137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- Speaker Ryan: "The House will be in order, and the Members will please be in their chairs. You're probably about to hear the most important words that you're going to hear all day, and I would suggest that all of you listen with both ears.

 The Chaplain for today is Vicar Bob Kugler from the Grace Lutheran Church of Springfield. Vicar Kugler."
- Vicar Kugler: "Let us pray. Almighty God, Our Heavenly Father, bless those who hold office in the government of this State of Illinois. Bless them that they might do their work in a spirit of wisdom, kindness and justice. Help them to use their authority to serve faithfully and to promote the general welfare. Help them to grow in the understanding of their task. Help them to see that they are called to serve the interests of the people of the state. They are called to serve them through just and fair decision making. are called to serve them in knowledge of the needs of those they serve. They are called to seek to meet those needs. Heavenly Father, grant Your aid to these people as they attempt to perform this monumental task. Empower them with Your wisdom and grace that they may indeed be fair, just and wise in their decision making. This we pray, O Heavenly Father. Amen."
- Speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Vicar. We'll be led in the Pledge today by Representative Hudson."
- Hudson et al: "I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United

 States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,

 one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and
 justice for all."
- Speaker Ryan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. With 166 Members answering the Roll, a guorum of the House is present. Messages from the Senate."
- Clerk Leone: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

directed to inform the House of Mr. Speaker, I am Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, an adoption of which I instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Senate Joint Representatives to wit: Resolution 108. adopted by the Senate June 30, 1982. Kenneth Wright. Secretary."

- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Telcser, do you have any excused absences? Representative Telcser is absent? Can't excuse the excused absentees. Representative Getty. What does that mean? Staff on the Democrat side say there are no absences, headed by Gary Lapel. Eddie Kornowicz, what do you know about it? Everybody's here Eddie says. No absences on the Democrat side. Representative Collins."
- Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, may the record show that

 Representative Jane Barnes is excused due to...due to

 illness."
- Speaker Ryan: "The record will so indicate. Representative Daniels in the Chair."
- Speaker Daniels: "The House will please come to order. The Members be in their seats. Those not entitled to the floor please retire to the gallery. Page two of the Calendar, Conference Committee Reports, appropriations, House Bill 2196, Representative J. J. Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 2196, the conferees recommend that the House concur in Senate Amendment #5, which has no dollar change. It shifts printing from law enforcement to the executive office..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me. The Gentleman, Representative J. J. Wolf, moves to suspend Rule 68 (d) and (e). Does he have leave?"
- Wolf: "And 63 (a), Mr. Speaker, so this Bill may be considered

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

immediately."

Speaker Daniels: "Any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. The appropriate rules are suspended.

Representative J. J. Wolf, House Bill 2196."

Wolf: thank you, Mr. Speaker, for calling my attention to "Yes. that technicality. It also shifts from contractual to equipment due to the new Comptroller rules and shifts from contractual to personal services for some people who are on a part-time head count. The exemption for the permanent improvements on the trail is still in there. That language is still in there, and fur...the conferees further recommend that House Bill 2196 be further amended to do the following things: It would add \$150,000 in the land historic sites for needed seasonable help in maintaining the parks...state parks throughout the summer, and \$50,000 in GRF to the forestry division to fund four life science career trainees that would be assigned to non-game wildlife program to assist a natural heritage biologist and assist in the administration of the Illinois Nature Preserve System. It adds \$16,000 in GRF to extend funding for the position of Deputy Director. The total change then by the Amendment is \$216,000 additional. I would move, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #5, and the Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2196 be adopted."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

Representative Ted Meyer."

Meyer: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he'll yield."

Meyer: "Mr. Sponsor, is there an increase in the Director's pay in this Bill?"

Wolf: "No there is not."

Meyer: "There should be."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria,

Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Well. here we are again. Another one of these Conference Reports that comes out of the blue and sticks in an Amendment that hasn't been discussed all Session. It was a quiet issue. It was resolved. The Department was happy with it, and here we find out now we're putting in again a prohibition against any future spending of money for the Rock Island Trail. We haven't asked for a single penny. We've been very quiet about it. We haven't wanted to offend anybody. We don't want to put anybody on the hook, but here it is back in again, and I don't know why it keeps occurring when the House and the Senate have spoken very clearly on this issue. And I would just ask that this particular Conference Committee Report, 2196, be rejected, because this is an issue we have not discussed."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Darrow: "With regard to the \$150,000 for park maintenance, is that primarily for the summer help?"

Wolf: "Yes, that is summer help for students and so forth usually who work in the park system throughout the summer months on their summer vacations."

Darrow: "So that...but that would be for, basically, the months of July and August of this year."

Wolf: "Yes."

Darrow: "And will this be handled...will they make application through Springfield or where will the applications be made?

I just want to make sure this isn't patronage."

Wolf: "No, I assume that they would be made. I honestly

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

can't...I would assume they would be made through the Land and Historic Sites Division here in Springfield and probably also through the office in Chicago."

Darrow: "And lastly, what's this Deputy Director in the executive office? What are his duties?"

Wolf: "I'm sorry, I didn't get that guestion."

Darrow: "The Deputy Director's position in the executive office, could you explain that position?"

Wolf: "The position has been vacant for a few months, earlier it was funded for six months. It is the thinking of the Department that they would like to fill the position around, on or about September 1st. That would take care of the four month salary plus retirement benefits and other things that go with it."

Darrow: "And Representative Schraeder mentioned the Rock Island Trails. I don't see anything in this analysis about the Rock Island...Oh yes, here I see it. Is that substantive language that we're talking about?"

Wolf: "Yes, it is. It was left in Amendment #5. It is substantive language which has no place in here which is, in my opinion at least, blatantly unconstitutional. We also are trying to get a commitment from the Governor that he would veto that language out of the Bill."

Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative J. J. Wolf to close."

Wolf: "I would just ask for the approval of the Members of the House, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 120 'aye', 24 'no', 21 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received a three-fifths

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2205, Representative Reilly. The Gentleman, Representative Reilly, moves to suspend the appropriate rules, Rule 68 (d) and (e), to have the Bill considered immediately. Any objections? Hearing none, unanimous leave is granted. Representative Reilly, House Bill 2205."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the appropriation for DCFS. The Conference Committee Report recommends that the House concur in Senate Amendments 3 and 4, excuse me. Senate Amendment 3, no dollar change. It is a transfer to a training grant program for day care. Senate Amendment 4 was \$30,000 for child abuse in the Decatur Mental Health Center. The Conference further recommends an appropriation which is necessary to carry out the agreement with the Child Care Association. If the House will remember, last December the Department made an agreement to pay higher rates. It turns out there are more kids in the homes. So, in order to pay the higher rates, they need more money. I'll be glad to answer questions. The bottom line is now \$195,592,900. I'd be glad to answer questions. Otherwise, I would ask adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Levin.

Indicates he will."

Levin: "Representative, is UDIS in this budget now?"

Reilly: "Yes."

Levin: "At what level?"

Reilly: "A million three, which was the level we had it here in the House."

Levin: "Okay, and the Governor...has the Governor agreed to sign that provision?"

Reilly: "Not yet, although my guess is that he will. But he has not...not indicated any promise to do that."

Levin: "Thank you."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Ropp: "In this appropriation, is there a figure for the funding of Kaleidoscope Program in Bloomington and Chicago?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Representative Ropp, there's no line item specifically for that. There are line items for grants and for purchase of programs, some of which the Department has in the past used for that. As you know, the House, with my support, has passed a Resolution authored by one of your colleagues from that area whereby we've set up a Committee to investigate that, and we will be doing that, and we will have our report in time for the Fall Veto Session to take whatever action it deems appropriate. So, there's no specific money for them, but out of the grant lines and purchase of care lines, I assume that that's possible to spend the money there."

Ropp: "Okay, has that Committee been appointed yet? Do you know?"

Reilly: "I...no, I do not know."

Ropp: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 143 'aye', 4 voting 'no', 20 voting 'present', and this Bill, having passed by a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2206, Representative Reilly. The Gentleman moves to suspend the appropriate rule and Rule 68 (d) and (e) to have the Bill heard immediately. Any objections? Hearing

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

no objections, unanimous leave is given. Representative Reilly, House Bill 2206."

- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the appropriation for the Dangerous Drugs Commission. The Conference recommends that the House concur with Senate Amendments 3 and 4. Senate Amendment 3 was a technical Amendment. Senate Amendment #4 added 40,000 GRF for the start-up costs for transfer and, excuse me, responsibility for the triplicate prescription from R and E to Dangerous Drugs. The bottom line now is \$17,181,100. I would ask adoption of the Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? You've heard the Gentleman's Motion-All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 148 'aye', 2 voting 'no', 15 'present'. Bill, having passed by a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1359, Representative Keane. The Gentleman in the chambers? Representative Keane. of the record. 1363, Representative J. J. Wolf. Representative Matijevich, 1363. The Gentleman moves to suspend the appropriate rules to have the Bill heard immediately. Any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is given. Representative Wolf, Senate Bill 1363. I mean Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1363, the Senate concurs in the following House Amendments. The appropriation of funds to move the Deerfield office of the State Scholarship Commission further amends the Bill appropriating \$800,000 for the completion of the Oakhurst Drainage Project and 96,000 to the Department of

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Registration and Education for development and costs relating to the medical malpractice and discipline reporting system under the Medical Practice Act. I move for the adoption of Committee...Conference Committee Report \$1 to Senate Bill 1363."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Lake,
Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Pierce: "Mr. Matijevich, did I hear you say you're appropriating money to close the Lake County...the Deerfield office of the State Scholarship Commission?"

Matijevich: "That's right, Dan."

Pierce: "You support closing offices in Lake County and moving them somewhere else?"

Matijevich: "Dan, even though that office is in Lake County, as
far as I'm concerned, it could be in Timbuktu.

I...everytime I call the office, it is almost like it is
in...it's in never-never land."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Yeah, you're right. That's because they don't appropriate enough money for state scholarships, but it seems to me, maybe it's a good idea. We have absolutely no state facilities in my district. The one facility we have is being grabbed off by Chicago and by Springfield. believe me, they're not very responsive in either Chicago or Springfield. They come to us to help out their schools in Chicago and so on, but the state offices there are very unresponsive, especially under the Thompson administration, and the same is true of Springfield where they won't even answer a Democrat's request. usually. At least in Deerfield we had some good local people, even some Democratic precinct committeemen working there. And if

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

this is a trend to further reduce the few facilities in Lake County, the people of Lake County should know that's the...what the Thompson administration is doing, centralizing everything in Chicago and Springfield and to hell with the collar counties. I'm not going to go along with it, but I suppose some of you will."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? You've heard the Gentleman, Representative Matijevich's, Motion. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 143 'aye', voting 'no', 8 voting 'present', and Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted, and Senate Bill 1363, having received a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. to Repre...okay, record Representative Pierce as 'no' on that Bill. Does the Gentleman have leave to be recorded as no'? Record Representative Pierce as 'no'. Representative Conti."

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise on a point of Conti: personal privilege. All Session and last night, including last night, I heard how the schools may not open up in the City of Chicago this next October, or August or September, whenever the schools are supposed to open up. We, on this side of the aisle, have been accused many times of not having compassion for your school children, of not having compassion for the poor and the indigent. Thompson will give us the money. He can't afford to close the schools. Thompson will give us the money. He can't afford to down the transportation. I aim my remarks at the sincere Democratic colleagues I have on the other side of the aisle who were taken in but good last night. They witnessed the greatest display of pusillanimity by their Leaders that

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

I've ever witnessed in my 36 years in public office. were voting green to fund their schools. And when you suburbanites and when you downstaters were voting for them, they switched their vote and got off of the Bill. Elmwood Park received national recognition three times, because when we need, we raise the taxes. The people that they can't get a free lunch. When they want good schools, they pay for it through taxes. And their leaders invite a referendum, and their leaders tell them that if they want schools, they have to pay for it. They don't hide behind the General Assembly. They have to pay their bills, and they pay it. That's why we received national recognition three times. I'm proud to say that I'm a Republican, because this is the second time, the second time this Session my Leadership stood up and was counted. George Ryan on the ERA, and he was counted. He told where he stood, and he stood there. Phil Rock on the ERA, who was supposed to be for the ERA and voted the other way. With this type of coward Leadership, I'm going to ask all of you: what are they going to do in a couple of hours when they're going to ask for a hundred million dollars for bonding for the RTA? Is Thompson going to give them And in that Bill, there's no restructuring either. So, I want to remind you people from downstate and in the suburbs in the collar counties, there's no restructuring in They're going to ask you to spend \$100,000,000. that. are they going to vote, their Leadership? I could move this morning to reconsider the vote by which that Bill was voted on last night, because I was on the prevailing side. But, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to go on record, and I want the Clerks to pay particular attention, that pursuant to Rule 50 (a), object to anyone changing their vote on this particular

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Bill, and I want to go on record right now. No one is to change that Bill, because I can go back home, and I can tell my people; yes, you needed funds for schools, and the only way you're going to get it is through taxation whether it comes through the state or whether it comes on your tax bill. I'll be standing up. I'll be counted, and that's why I've been in office for 36 years. I didn't hide behind a facade."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I didn't realize we were in the process of political debates, but I'll be more than happy to enter into this political debate with the previous speaker or anyone else. In all honesty, I believe you better take a look exactly at what's transpiring not only in the City of Chicago, but the County of Cook and throughout this state. This state has increased the multiplier for the sheer purpose to eliminate the amount of money coming into every school district in this state. And if you want to talk about hypocrisy, that's where it's at. Now, I voted 'no' on that Bill for a good reason. Jefferson Township has just been reassessed. Our lowest increase in taxes is 19%, from 19 to 85% increase for August. Well, that's a sizeable chunk to eat. believe that our people have not been supportive of the educational system. They have delivered, but I think what better start looking at, Elmer, is the state multiplier and laying the blame exactly where it's at. one spoke to me about that. I vote my own switch, and if you don't believe it, try me."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the last speaker displayed how little he knows about how the multiplier's established. To lay the blame on the

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

multiplier is to... is to continue the game that is played here so often, and that's with smoke, mirrors and loud music, and that's all that was. Because if you know how the multiplier operates, you know how it is established on the basis of sales ratios. If you're unwilling to take the responsibility and try to shift it off to somebody else, just say so. Don't display your ignorance of how the process functions by trying to convince other people that you know what you're talking about, because you cannot fool all of the people all of the time, and some of us you can't fool hardly at all. Now let me tell you something. Last night some of us were fooled, and you're looking at one of them. I was fooled. I thought the Leaders on that side of the aisle from the City of Chicago would stand up and what was right and what was the best interest of their people and their school children. But you know something. they didn't have the courage to do it, but they had the ability to sucker half of the people over there into it and 15 or 16 of us over here. Some of us did it because we thought it was right. I still think it's right. But what was wrong was that when I came to this Legislature - and I've been here longer than most of you - Leadership's word was golden. You could bank on it. John Touhy said, 'I am here. He was here. When John Touhy said, 'I'm not going to be here, he wasn't there. Times have changed. have changed. We saw a beautiful example of it last night. I would only suggest to all of us that we should remember. I will, and I know there are a lot of other people here who will, too. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I don't want to get involved in this harangue between the Republican and Democratic Party, but I would like to say a few words on my

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

vote last night on Senate Bill 1180. First of all, I'd like to apologize to my taxpayers and all of the people in the City of Chicago for my ignorance. I did not realize I was voting for the largest property tax increase that I've voted for in 10 years down here. I do apologize for that, and I am somewhat embarrassed that I was naive enough to be on that Roll Call. I would indicate to each and every one of you that this is not 1975, and this isn't Dick Daley and Dan Walker fighting over an issue about the kids. 1'11 tell you what education is. Education is not buildings. It's not janitors. It's not school teachers. It's not pension funds. Education is your kid's reading score, his math score, etcetera, etcetera. And I, for one, am sick and tired of property tax increases that are going to wind up funding pension programs for school teachers 15 years from now. If you think that property taxes isn't going to be the most important issue that each and every one of us is going to face in this state in the next five years. you're completely wrong. Believe me, I am embarrassed for my vote. I apologize, and I think it's time to take a good look at the Board of Education and the study group that we made that took over...the Finance Authority that took over the Board of Education. Maybe what we ought to do is put a rider on there requiring the Chicago City Council and all 50 aldermen in the Chicago City Council to vote for any property tax increase for education in the City of Chicago. Maybe we ought to have a referendum for the people. frankly, I'll tell you I think it was the worst wote I made in ten years. I apologize, and I hope that we've killed it in the Senate."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It is now exactly 12 hours since I first asked to be recognized

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

on a point of personal privilege. What I wanted to say is that the fight for equal rights will continue whether Leadership chooses to keep its word or not. Perhaps we will return to a time when Leadership will keep its word. All of us who have worked so hard for equal rights will be here continuing in the struggle, and I invite all of you to join us."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to perhaps clarify something that happened on the floor last night that many of you may even yet not be aware of. There was a lot of activity on the floor around midnight, and at that time, House Bill ... excuse me, Senate Bill 1160 was before us. I'm sorry, House Bill 1060. right. I'm still back on the other education one. House Bill 1060 was before us. House Bill 1060 was not debated at that time. I and many other people were seeking recognition on that, and I, too, like Representative Catania, have been waiting 12 hours to be recognized. I've eaten at a lot of Chicago restaurants, Mr. Speaker, and I know how to get the attention of waiters, because they sometimes will keep you waiting almost as long. Mr. Speaker, I want everyone here to know exactly what they were voting on. There...it's very easy to take a position on one side or the other, of something that is as important as that. But what we did last night with that Bill was to give Charlie 'Swiebel' a face-saving way out at the taxpayers expense. The Chicago Housing Authority is probably one of the most mismanaged agencies of city government, and it is run by someone who, at one time, was labeled a member of a cabal of evil men and who the Federal Government is trying to get out as the head of the Chicago Housing Authority. Well, what did we do? We voted to make

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

the chairmanship a full-time paid position, because he said he wouldn't accept it under those circumstances. maybe he will and maybe he won't, but I'd rather taxpayers' money than Charlie 'Swiebel's' face. It isn't handsome enough to pay anything for anyway. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that what you did last night by refusing to recognize anyone to speak on that issue is that you...you permitted a lot of...a lot of buttons to be punched inadvertently. I think you permitted a lot of people to roam the floor and punch some buttons, advertently, and I think that you ought to give Members an opportunity to stand up and clear the record, and so that they they can be recorded the way they wish to be recorded on this issue. Lastly, with respect to the school tax increase, I would only observe that it is amazing what difference one editorial can make. I didn't these speeches being made last night, and I can only assume it's because of some editorial writing that appeared in the Chicago papers today. I would point out to the Membership of the House that when we created the School Finance Authority, we cut the operating tax rate for the City of Chicago schools and dedicated a portion of their old tax rate to paying off an accumulated debt. That debt had accumulated over a period of several years. There other alternative but to issue long term bonds to pay off this accumulated debt, and in order to do it, we cut the amount of money that the schools would have to operate OD. We took the money away from them. Now, it's often been said you don't solve problems by throwing money after them, but it is equally true that you don't solve problems by robbing the schools of money, and that's exactly what we did a couple of years ago. Now in response, the Chicago schools have cut their budget. They have cut it two years

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

in a row, and they have made very deep cuts. I have had schools in my neighborhood close, and I'm sure there's probably not a Representative on this floor from the City of Chicago or represents any part of the City of Chicago who can't say that they haven't had a school close in their neighborhood. I think the Chicago school system has made an effort to cut their budget, and I think that...that ought to give them a pat on the back, and give them some additional money to operate and tell them to go back to the drawing board and continue to find other economies. But T think we have to be prepared to ... to back up with actions, you know, our expressions of commendation to the Chicago schools for cutting their budget. But in conclusion, I would just like to say, Mr. Speaker, I do hope you give other people the opportunity to stand up and be recognized so they can be recorded on the Charlie 'Swiebel' bail out Bill that we passed last night."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative J. J. Wolf."

- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of parliamentary inquiry. I'm a little confused looking at the Calendar. Are we on the Order of Explanation of Vote, Personal Privileges or Concurrences?"
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman's point is well taken. We're going to go to Senate Bill 1359, Representative Keane. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1359, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman asks leave to suspend the appropriate rules. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. The appropriate rules are suspended to hear Senate Bill 1359 immediately, Conference Committee Report #1. Representative Keane."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1359 deals with the Keane: appropriation for the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. The Conference Committee Report kept in House Amendment #1 which increased by 20,000 the appropriation for state contributions to the Employees' Retirement Fund, and it deleted House Amendment #2 which granted support payments ex...or more support payments, and it also deleted House Amendment #5 which was put on another Bill. would ask for a favorable consideration of ... favorable acceptance of the Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when Pierce: "Mr. this Bill came over from the Senate, I offered Amendment #4 which restored the \$8,000,000 that the Board of Higher Education had recommended for scholarship grants. That was several million dollars below the original request of the Scholarship Commission. This House, by overwhelming record vote, put on that Amendment. The Bill went to the Senate. The Senate nonconcurred, came back to the House, and we refused to recede... Representative Keane refused to recede went to Conference Committee. I might point out that that Conference Committee did not include Representative Keane, the House Sponsor of this Bill, or Representative Kustra who was the original House Sponsor of the Bill. might point out that Senator DeAngelis, the Chief Sponsor the Bill, did not sign that...did not sign that Committee Report...Conference Committee Report, neither did Senator Newhouse. What they've done now is struck out the recommended amount of the Board of Higher Education for state student scholarships. Now when you return to your districts, you're going to find in August, when the students want to return to school, they can't qualify for

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

federal loans. That program is being decimated, and some 30,000 students who qualified last year for Illinois qualify scholarships will not be able to in the the coming...this fiscal year. starting in August, Now what this Bill would do, what my Amendment 4 would done was restore about one-third of those students to their state scholarships that they qualified for this year. We've taken a lot of times and a lot of deals to help The students, when you go home, will notice Judges out. how we helped the Judges, how we helped the school how we helped the state employees, but the one group that doesn't have any lobbyists in Springfield our college students. So what do they get? They get the short end of the stick, because they re working this summer so they can go back to school. They have no one here to speak for them. The only one they have to speak for them are the people in this chamber. And DOM to speak for them by rejecting Conference Committee #1. There will be plenty of opportunity for Conference Committee #2 when the Leaders are working on more deals here through the day and night. This is your record vote as to whether you believe in the State Scholarship Program. It goes for both public and private colleges, very essential to the private colleges, but also important to the public colleges and junior colleges, half the students because more than who receive state scholarships are in public institutions. Ιt is important for private institutions, because the grants are higher at the private institutions with their higher tuitions. is a vote that will determine, when the students review our record, as to whether we cut out their student loans, or we supported their student loans. Now, I did vote - and I'll admit it, maybe it was a wrong vote - for the Judges'

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

When I voted for the Judges that work four or five hours a day to get a pay increase, it wasn't because I was doing it to cut out the students who must return to college, so maybe some day they'll be fortunate enough to lawyers, and doctors, Legislators and other people who are useful to our community. I therefore ask those of voted for Amendment #4, which only brings this appropriation up to the Board of Higher Education's amount, that you vote against acceptance of this Conference Committee Report. Representative Keane wasn't even placed on that Conference Committee, and he's the Sponsor of the Bill, and neither was Representative Kustra who was the original Sponsor. Senator DeAngelis, the Chief Sponsor, didn't sign the Conference Committee; and therefore, I recommend those of you who want to see our students return to college and have a future vote 'no' on adopting Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1359."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

McGrew: "Representative Keane, House Amendment #2 added the eight, four (8.4) million. Is that not correct?"

Keane: "Correct."

McGrew: "Now, is that just into the general increase?"

Keane: "That would be in the Monetary Award Program."

McGrew: "Okay, and Amendment #5, what was that?"

Keane: "That amended the title to the State Board of Education and appropriated money for the common School Fund, but that had been taken off, because it has been put on another Bill."

McGrew: "It's taken off?"

Keane: "That is removed by the Conference Committee Report, because it is on another Bill."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

McGrew: "Well, according to the analysis that I have here,

Amendment #5 is still in."

Keane: "Well, it says that they ask us to recede from 5, if you
look up on the top line."

McGrew: "Okay. Okay."

Keane: "Concur in Amendment #1 and recede from 2 and 5, or 4 and 5, whichever Pierce's was."

McGrew: "Okay, then I, too, would ask that we not concur in this

Report, and let's send it back to Conference Report and see

if we can't get a little bit better break for the kids of

the State of Illinois. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Will the Sponsor yield to a guestion?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Lechowicz: "If the state aid on the multiplier is frozen or increased, does it limit the amount of money coming into the school districts?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Yes, it does."

Lechowicz: "And does...the only other alternative then is to raise real estate taxes."

Keane: "I'm not sure I understand your guestion. Would you repeat that?"

Lechowicz: "Well, if you...if you have a smaller amount of money coming in from the state for schools, the only other alternative is to raise real estate taxes if you want to maintain the same dollar amounts. I just want to correct Doctor Hoffman."

Keane: "That's...yeah, that's correct."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kustra."

Kustra: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am no longer the Sponsor of this Bill. I had my

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

name removed, but I would like to say just a couple of words about the Conference Committee Report. I rise support of that Conference Committee Report. And to the remarks made by Representative Pierce, I can only say that I don't think we should use the Board of Higher Education as some sacred cow to which we here, in the General have to accede to their every wish. I'd like to follow the wishes of the Board of Higher Education can, but it just so happens that the Board of Higher Education in the State of Illinois does not have the responsibility for balancing the budget of this state. And the bottom line is that there's 8.5 million dollars in this budget which we cannot afford. That's the reason why the Conference Committee Report has taken that out, and I you to concur."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Keane to close."

"I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on this Bill. Keane: T don't believe it's going to do any of us any good to send it back to the Senate. The ... although I am very, sympathetic with Representative Pierce's Amendment, I had an Amendment of my own for this Bill that I withdrew simply because if we couldnot get 30 votes in the Senate on concurrence, we're not going to get 36 when we go back there. So, I think that to send it back, go to a Second Conference Committee would just be a...an operation in futility. I would therefore ask that we get the Bill out at its present level. It's acceptable to the Leadership in the Illinois State Scholarship Commission, and I think no matter how long we're working on it, this is the level it's going to go out at, and I'd ask for you to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

The voting's open. Representative Hoffman."

- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of the Motion. The House is receding from House Amendment #5, however, that Amendment has been placed in 2567 which will provide for additional state aid to a number of school districts that are affected. Again, I just bring that attention to...as a response to the remarks made by a Gentleman from the other side of the aisle, which continues to prove a point that I made earlier."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative McBroom."

 McBroom: "Yes, on another subject when the Roll Call's..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Take the record. On this question there are
 131 'aye', 20 'no', 16 voting 'present', and the House
 adopts Conference Committee Report #1, and Senate Bill
 1359, having received a three-fifths Majority, is hereby
 declared passed. Representative McBroom, for what purpose
 do you rise, Sir?"
- McBroom: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to call your attention to the fact that Senator Sam Vadalabene is on the floor, and I think both sides of the aisle should rise and greet him. Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "Welcome, Senator. Senate Bill 1386,
 Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, I move to suspend the appropriate rule so this Bill can be heard."
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Does the Gentleman have unanimous leave? Any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is granted, and the appropriate rules are suspended. Representative Matijevich on..."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Senate Bill 1386 is the ordinary and contingent and distributive expense of the State Comptroller. Conference Committee Report #1, the Senate concurs with House Amendment #2 which is a restoration of 20...\$126,903. The House recedes from Amendments 1 and 3. One was a reduction of 54,500. Amendment #3 was \$88,000 to reimburse William Duausk, and that Amendment is in another Bill, I believe, the Court of Claims. I move...the total funds now are \$19,500,013. I now move to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1386."

- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 143 'aye', 12 'no', 10 voting 'present'. The House adopts Conference Committee Report #1, and Senate Bill having received a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1404. Representative Representative Winchester, Senate Bill 1404."
- Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1404, which is the ordinary and contingent appropriation for the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs, recommends that the Senate and the House concur in House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 and further, the conferees added additional grants and reappropriations to the Bill..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Representative Winchester. The Gentleman, Representative Winchester, moves to suspend the appropriate rules to have the Bill heard immediately. Are there any objections? Hearing none, unanimous leave is...okay. The Gentleman, Representative Winchester, moves

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

that the House suspend the appropriate rules to hear Senate Bill 1404, Conference Committee Report #1 immediately. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Take the record. The Gentleman's Motions prevail. Senate Bill...the appropriate rules are suspended. Senate Bill 1404, Conference Committee Report #1, Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I apologize to the House for failing to ask for leave earlier. Thank you for bringing it to my attention. This is the ordinary and contingent appropriation for Commerce and Community Affairs. The Conference Committee has recommended that the Senate and the House concur with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9. The total appropriation for Commerce and Community Affairs now is 219,069,000, and I would ask that we do adopt Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Schneider." Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In Appropriations, Director Pox, in response to a question about the business climate in Illinois, talked a little bit about how bogus that one Report was and asserted that Illinois was doing quite well based on the variety of factors that go to make up the Illinois economy, and I think that's a very important bit of information that hasn't been circulated. The other thing I would like to note is that I don't believe DCCA has had a great record of attracting industry and business to Illinois, and therefore, we ought to raise some serious questions about its existence and about the funding. 1 think there are a lot of little lapel pins being passed around that are kind of symbolic of the success of the DCCA Program. The highway is about as lengthy as the current administration can provide for, and I think that reflects basically the success of DCCA. So, I would ask that you

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as one of the conferees, I would urge the adoption of this Conference Committee Report. I think Mr. Winchester has explained it adequately. We believe it is a good Conference Committee Report. In response to the previous Gentleman, I would say that the new director, Director Pox, is doing, I think, an admirable job. He's new in the position. I think he's already shown the signs that he will improve that agency in the months to come, and I would just ask for the favorable vote of the Members of this House."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Winchester, moves adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting Have all voted who wish? The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 125 'aye', 5 'no', 30 voting 'present', and the House adopts Conference Committee Report #1. Senate Bill 1404, having passed by a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Mr. Speaker, on that Bill my button just didn't register well. I would like..."

Speaker Daniels: "How would you like to be recorded, Ma'am?"
Balanoff: "'Aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Record Representative Balanoff as 'aye'."
Balanoff: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Having received 126. Senate Bill 1414,
Representative Vinson. The Gentleman, Representative
Vinson, moves to suspend the appropriate rules to have
Senate Bill 1414 heard immediately. Are there any

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is given. Representative Vinson on 1414."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The conferees recommended that the Senate concur in House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 14 and 15; that the House recede from House Amendments 7, 17, 18 and 19; that Senate Bill 1414 be further amended...Am I on?"

Speaker Daniels: "You're always on."

Vinson: "That's certainly untrue today, Mr. Speaker. Βv reversing Senate Amendment #5, and I want...I want Members to hear this and understand this. I support it and will arque for it, but I don't want to deceive any Member as to the nature of what they're voting on in connection with this. By reversing Senate Amendment #5 which appropriations in the Bill which were from the Road Fund as the Bill was introduced, and that 60% GRF and 40% Road As it now stands, the Bill is 100% Road Fund Pund split. Bill for the troopers. With those comments...oh, also point out that the other item of controversy that I think Members would be interested in is that the eight percent pay raise for troopers was preserved. I believe the Conference Report represents a judicious balancing of what's possible in this...in this field. I believe it is the appropriate action, and I would move that this House do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1414."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question, Sir?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Lechowicz: "Are the 90 truck inspectors returned back in the budget?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Miller."

137th Legislative Day July 1, 1982

Miller: "Question for the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he'll yield."

Miller: "Representative Vinson, what is the final MEG funding level in this appropriation?"

Vinson: "\$104,351,000."

Miller: "104,000,000?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Miller: "MEG units. I don't believe it is quite that much, is it?"

Vinson: "That's what staff has written down on my analysis. I'm sorry. Did you ask for MEG's, or did you ask for the total funding?"

Miller: "MEG's."

Vinson: "Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you asked for the total funding level. It's the Senate level, and it is...I'll have it for you in just a second, Sir. It is...the Senate concurred in House Amendment #15 in the Conference Committee Report which increased funding for MEG's by \$150,000 which would restore the MEG's Program to the Senate level which is \$150,000 higher than provided in House Bill 2481, the '82 level. Does that explain?"

Miller: "Okay, I'm happy to see House Bill 2481 used as the bench mark. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Nelson."

Nelson: "Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he'll yield."

Nelson: "Thank you. Representative Vinson, is it true that in receding from House Amendment 17 to this Bill, we are taking out the time and a half payments for troopers that we discussed?"

Speaker Daniels: "Try my mike, Representative Vinson. That always works."

Vinson: "Yes, Ma'am. We are backing off of the time and a half

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

overtime provision and preserving the eight percent pay raise."

Nelson: "What is the reason for that?"

Vinson: "Because the real objective of the troopers' lodge was to accomplish the eight percent pay raise. They viewed the time and a half overtime as a negotiating lever in that process."

Nelson: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "According to my analysis, we didn't recede from Amendment 17. It stays as it is."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Representative, if you will read the Conference Committee Report, and the Conference Committee Reports — I would suggest this to every Member, because sometimes the analysis...the staff is under such enormous pressure and put...in putting together the analyses, sometimes they are wrong. But if you will read the Conference Committee Report, you will find in item two of the recommendations, the House recedes from Amendment 7, 17, 18 and 19."

Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion to adopt Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. question there are 128 'aye', 15 'no', 21 voting 'present'. The House adopts Conference Committee Report #1, and Senate Bill 1414, having passed by a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1417, Representative Reilly. The Gentleman, Representative Reilly, moves to suspend the appropriate rules to have Senate Bill 1414 heard immediately. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is granted.

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Representative Reilly on Senate Bill 1417."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Con...First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1417 recommends that the Senate concur in House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 10, 12, 23, 24 and 25 and recede on #21. This will put the Bill essentially in the shape that the House put it. Amendment #21 deleted 16.6 from the ADM Block Grant Fund, but that was taken care of elsewhere in the Conference Committee Report. It further recommends money for region 4 grants, money for the phase out at Dixon, and some money in Block Grant Funds for three community mental health centers. It makes a bottom line of \$596,680,800. Be glad to answer questions. Otherwise, I'd ask adoption of the Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Just а brief comment in support of Conference...Conference Report #1 Committee and specifically with regard to the Adler Zone Clinic. I that the passage of Conference Committee Report #1 will send a message that the Legislature has sent for two consecutive years to the Governor, and that is that Adler Zone Clinic in Champaign provides a unique service to troubled children and their families at a very economical cost. This is the second year going that Legislature has felt through significant amount of testimony and input that Adler works and that it is in the best not only of people in east Central Illinois, but the whole State of Illinois, that it be allowed to continue. And I hope the Governor, upon getting this message from the Legislature, will consider his actions and sign this Bill as it comes to him."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

I would also like to comment on Senate Bill 1417. And for the record, compliment, if I may, to a degree, the conferees for adding the additional \$13,000,000 for the Dixon Developmental Center. The point I'd like to make that regard is, I believe that this House realized that we would not be in Session in October when there would clients at that facility. The original proposal approximately \$6,000,000. Although I disagree with the phase out proposal, I do point out for the record that there will be clients at that facility after October. T * m glad to see that the conferees added enough money to cover the cost of care for those...for those clients that will be there. And, Mr. Reilly, I'm glad to see that you did agree to that. And even though the House didn't understand it originally, I'm glad the conferees did. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We have debated this issue of mental health funding for a number of months now, and as has been proposed and stipulated by Governor Thompson repeatedly this year, the noney would be in place for the orderly transfer of residents from Dixon. I again compliment the Conference Committee for doing that."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? You*ve heard Gentleman, Representative Reilly's, Motion. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 154 'aye', 2 voting 'present', and the House adopts Conference Committee Report #1, and Senate Bill 1417, having received three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. Laurino 'aye'. Senate Bill 1420, Representative Davis.

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

The Gentleman, Representative Davis, moves to suspend the appropriate rules to have Senate Bill 1420 heard immediately. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is granted. Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1420 is the OCE for the new combined Department of Administrative Services and Personnel into the Central Management Services Fund Agency. The Senate...or the Conference Committee recommends that the Senate concur in House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8 9 which added some monies in and out and then combine the two Agencies. There was a further provision in Section 6.1 that added the sum of \$4,500 or as much thereof as may be necessary to appropriate to that Agency for a portrait of the President of the Senate, Philip Rock. The new Agency of the combined of the two Agencies now \$292,350,932, and I would move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 and ask...answer any questions you might have."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I just question whether that is really Representative Davis or not. According to the picture in the paper this morning, it certainly doesn't look like him.

I'm wondering if that really is."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, a question of the Sponsor. Is there some money in there for...did you add some kind of a picture or something like that?"

Davis: "I beg your pardon."

Mulcahey: "Is there some money in there for a painting or something?"

Davis: "Yes, a portrait of the President of the Senate, which is

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

characteristic of both chambers for their presiding officers. The money was appropriated last year and was not spent."

fulcahey: "What is it? It's about \$2,000."

Davis: "The appropriation is \$4,500."

fulcahey: "Would it be cheaper just getting a Polaroid and enlarging it?"

)avis: "I recommend we could do that for \$1.40."

fulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Davis: "Yes, it is, at the same funding as the introduced the level...level, Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "And how much is that?"

Davis: "Approximately \$67,000 and some change. Well, wait a minute...\$450,000 and some change. I'm sorry."

Darrow: "And is there money in there for the form management where they're supposed to eliminate the number of forms and the red tape?"

Davis: "I'm sorry. Would you redefine the guestion a bit? I didn't quite understand."

Darrow: "Well, a few years ago we passed some legislation that said that we'll set up a group of people to investigate whether we can cut down the number of forms that are being used by State Government. And it is my understanding that although we funded this group of people to eliminate some of the needless forms, they haven't done much of anything other than spend taxpayers' money. And I was just wondering how much money is being used for this purpose if they've accomplished anything."

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

Davis: "Well, the answer staff's given me — and I really didn't know the answer to that, Representative Darrow — is they do have a group internally working to consolidate and reduce the number of forms and printing available, but there is no specific line item for that group."

Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I was just going to suggest to the Sponsor that he suggest to the artist who is going to do this portrait that in order to do it great historic significance, they might consider putting some sort of an ERA button, I'm not sure which kind, on the Senate President."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Davis,

I read that article this morning, too. I have some tickets
for Representative Loftus* fund raiser. I was curious
about how many you*d like."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Levin: "What are wall certificates?"

Davis: "Wall certificates are exactly that. They are the certificates of licensure and things of that nature that are characteristic..."

Levin: "I'm sorry, I can't...Representative. I can't hear you."

Davis: "Certificates of licensure and things of that nature."

Levin: "Oh."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Davis, moves the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

there are 109 'aye', 39 'no', 20 voting 'present', and the House adopts Conference Committee Report #1, and Senate Bill 1420, having received a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1516, Representative Matijevich. The Gentleman requests unanimous leave to suspend the appropriate rules to have Senate Bill 1516 heard immediately. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is granted. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate
Bill 1516 has now become the Bill for the Court of Claims
payment of awards. Conference Committee Report #1 now
appropriates \$5,083,800 for that purpose. I move to adopt
Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1516."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 133 'aye', 15 'no', 18 voting 'present', and the House adopts Conference Committee Report #1, and Senate Bill 1516, having received a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. I have a page who went downstairs and got a Sun-Times for somebody up here. Who ordered the Sun-Times, sent a page to get it? Over here, and the Tribune asks for equal time, and the Journal-Register, Suburban Trib. ... On page two of your Calendar on the Order of Concurrence, House Bill 2200, Representative Davis. Representative Wolf, House Bill 2200. The Gentleman, Representative Wolf, requests unanimous leave to suspend the appropriate rules. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is given. The appropriate rules are suspended to hear House Bill 2200 immediately. Representative Wolf."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is the concurrence on the, pardon,
 Lieutenant Governor's OCE. The Senate Amendment would just
 merely change the effective date to January 10th. I
 believe that is the only change by Senate Amendment, and I
 would move that the House do concur."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, you've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 146 'aye', 5 'no', 13 voting 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2200, having received a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 2441. Representative Reilly. The Gentleman, Representative Reilly, moves to suspend the appropriate rules to have House Bill 2441 heard immediately. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave granted, and Representative Reilly on House Bill 2441."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me talk a little slowly here while I slowly open my analysis group. 24...2441 concurrence simply deals with the Industrial Commission budget. The concurrence is in Amendments 2, 6 and 7. It would provide a budget of \$4,590,400. I would move concurrence in Senate Amendments 2, 6 and 7."
- 'inson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge a 'no' vote on this appropriation, because the Industrial Commission has continued to fail to implement its statutory responsibility to implement standards for the determination of liability. There is no reason for us to participate in that failure of statutory mandate, and we ought vote 'no'. That could be the single biggest thing

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

for the business climate this year."

Toolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would respectively disagree with the last Gentleman. I would have to say that we've done what we could in this area. I think the time has come to put our votes on the Board and fund this agency as we have to all other agencies so that the orderly process of State Government may continue, and I would ask for a 'yes' vote on the concurrence."

ipeaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino."

lautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I also stand making the same comments as Representative Wolf in opposition to Mr. Vinson's position on this legislation. I would like to point out, as I did in Committee when this was presented, that the intent that has...Mr. Vinson has a concern with was originally introduced, authorized and signed by the Governor after it was proposed in the legislation that I had presented addressing the question of standards. I believe that Mr. Vinson has a personal vendetta in this particular case and is trying to impose upon the Industrial Commission something that is not in the statute. And I agree in total with Representative Wolf on this question, and I believe that Mr. Vinson is incorrect in his observation and his position on the issue."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Tuerk."

tuerk: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

ipeaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Tuerk: "There's an Industrial Commission Office in Peoria which
has been serving Central Illinois for some time now, and
it's been a very effective operation as far as serving not
only those that are served, but those that are served by
it. Now, there was a move to close that office, and we

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

have moved to put some money back in in order to keep that office open. Is that in this Conference Committee Report or the concurrence Motion?"

Reilly: "Yes. Yes, the money is in."

Tuerk: "It is? Thank you. Well, to the Bill. I would support the Motion to concur. Even though the Industrial Commission has a number of things in which it could improve itself in the administration of the office, I do think it has made a number of improvements in the last couple of years, and hopefully that will continue. And I would address myself to the opposition expressed a moment ago that it is true they have failed to do certain things, but it has improved during the course of time, and I would hope that it would be re...continue to improve its operation and administration. I would support the concurrence."

:peaker Daniels: "Representative Davis."

Mr. Speaker. I, too, believe in the wavis: "Well, thank you, orderly process of government, but unfortunately, this particular agency, in my opinion - and I agree with Representative Vinson despite the comments from шV colleague from Bureau who effected a compromise on standards by putting into law two years ago on workmen's compensation standards that the Industrial Commission would set by case president...precedent as the decisions were written and codified some standards in workmen's compensation. And I can tell you that during the testimony in Subcommittee and in full Committee, the Chairman held up six volumes as thick as the Chicago telephone directory of precedents and standards. Over 1,600 cases have so since been codified in the last two years, and they have yet to even address the question of pulling together the standards that are embodied in those precedents. I'm going to vote 'no' on this appropriation. I, frankly, am outraged that

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

the Industrial Commission is flaunting the nature of the statutory language that my colleague from Bureau put into the law two years ago, and it is about time, by George, they got about the business of effecting the compromise that was...that was mandated by this General Assembly in both Houses in a compromise between business, and labor and the Trial Lawyers, if you will. Now, I can say to you that the continuing deterioration of our economy and our business climate is not served by the management and the attitude of this agency. I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative John Dunn."

Junn: "Does the Department of Children and Family Services support this legislation in its present form?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly. Would you repeat your question, Sir?"

Junn: "Does the Department of Children and Family Services support this legislation in its present form?"

Reilly: "It has nothing to do with them in its present form.

They...this started out in life as a transfer and supplemental for them. That was accomplished in another Bill, and so this Bill was gutted in the Senate, and the Industrial Commission language put in."

Junn: "What is in this Bill then besides the Peoria office of the Industrial Commission at this time?"

teilly: "The OCE for the Industrial Commission."

)unn: "Then the Department of Children and Family Services is not in support of the OCE for the Industrial Commission."

Reilly: "Well, I mean, you know, they just don't care. It's
 nothing to them."

ipeaker Daniels: "Representative Mays."

lays: "Jim ..."

ipeaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly, Representative Mays
 requests if you'll yield to a question. Indicates he

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

will."

ipeaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly."

teilly: "I apologize. Would Representative Mays repeat the
 question?"

leilly: "No."

lays: "Thank you."

:peaker Daniels: "Representative Johnson."

(ohnson: "I've kind of lost track here. Who's the Sponsor of the Bill?"

:peaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly."

iohnson: "Well, I won't address this question to Representative Reilly, because I don't think he's taken the posture that some have, and I'm concerned, as other people are, about the administration of workmen's compensation laws and even the need for some reform in that area, but I don't see what we're going to accomplish by refusing to accept...or refusing to concur and allowing the Industrial Commission to go unfunded. There are some people who believe, as I do - I think Representative Hanahan and other people do - that maybe we don't need workmen's comp law at all, that we ought to go to a common law liability system, but we're not We do have an Industrial Commission that's responsible for administering compensation for injured workers. There is already a backlog. There's already significant problems in the Industrial Commission with respect to even getting a hearing on temporary total, let alone your ultimate hearing on permanent partial. And to fail to fund this Commission, while it may be a symbolic gesture, would be absolutely to cause chaos in the state

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

And I think more important than that, or equally system. important as that from an economic standpoint, to thousands: perhaps hundreds of thousands of people on the unemployment compensation roles and our public aid and the ultimate cost to the State of Illinois would be so much more...so much greater than what problems we have terms of working with the current system, that we'd really be cutting off our nose to spite our face. I would agree with Representative Davis, and Vinson and others that there are some problems that I hope we can address ourselves to, and we will legislatively as we go along. But I think it would be an irresponsible act to allow thousands of injured people to go with no compensation, and their families to starve to death while we fool around with trying to work out some reform that could be better done directly through the legislative process."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly to close."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Johnson said it well. I move adoption or concurrence in the Senate Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman's moved for concurrence in Senate Amendments. All those in favor signify by voting opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 'aye', 36 'no', 16 voting 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendments #2, And this Bill, having passed by a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Nonconcurrence, Senate Bill 1599, Representative Piel. The Gentleman moves to suspend the appropriate rules to have the Bill heard there any objections? immediately. Are Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is given. Representative

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to recede from House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1599. The...by the way, the Clerk...this is Senate Bill not House Bill 1599. The Senate has said that they refuse to concur with any of the three Amendments, and so I would refuse to recede from the three Amendments and ask for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "You're refusing to recede, Sir?"

Piel: "Yes."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay, you've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Can we clear the Board? Representative John Dunn. You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, House refuses to recede from House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1599. A Conference Committee shall be Representative Reilly. The appointed. Gentleman. Representative Reilly, on House Bill 2504. The Gentleman move's to suspend the appropriate rules to have House Bill 2504 moved from the table and heard immediately. any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is given. Representative Reilly, House Bill 2504."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to disapprove the First

Conference Committee and ask that a Second Conference

Committee be appointed."

Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the First Conference Committee Report is rejected to House Bill 2504, and a Second Conference Committee shall be appointed. Senate Bill 1518, Representative Keane. The Gentleman, Representative Keane, moves to suspend the appropriate rules to remove from the table Senate Bill 1518. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

'ayes' have it. The Gentleman has unanimous leave on Senate Bill 1518. Representative Keane."

- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Conference Committee Report #1

 has been filed, and there were errors in it. It is my

 understanding that the Senate has either rejected...because

 of the errors, has either rejected it or will reject it. I

 would also ask that we reject it and..."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman...you've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Any discussion? Being none, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the First Conference Committee Report is rejected. Senate Bill...and Second Conference Committee shall be appointed. Senate Bill 1532, Representative Hastert. The Gentleman, Representative Hastert, moves to suspend the appropriate rules to remove Senate Bill 1532 from the table Are there any and to hear immediately. objections? is given. ВO objections, unanimous leave Representative Hastert on Senate Bill 1532."
- Hastert: "Mr. Chairman (sic, Mr. Speaker), I move for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on 1532.

 Basic...Basically what we did is clarify some of the language, the supervisor of assessors' Bill, cleared up some of the language which was in the original...1607 and 2289. Move..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 150 'aye', 1 'no', 7 voting 'present', and the House adopts Conference Committee Report \$1, and Senate Bill 1532, having received a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. The House will stand in recess until the

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

hour of 2:30."

Speaker Daniels: "House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. All those not entitled to the floor, please retire to the gallery. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary: 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the First Conference Committee of the following Bills: House Bills. 2196, 2205 and 2206; Senate Bills 1359, 1403, 1404, 1405, 1406, 1407, 1409, 1411, 1413, 1415, 1417, 1418, 1419, 1420, 1421, 1425, 1426, 1427 and 1428, adopted by the Senate July 1, 1982', Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary: 'Mr. Speaker, I directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the Second Conference Committee to House Bill 1938, adopted by the Senate July 1, 1982 by a three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary: 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to adopt the First Conference Committee and requests a Second on Senate Bill 1518 and Senate Bill 1422, action taken by the Senate July 1, 1982', Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary: 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption of their Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bills 512 and Senate 1652, action taken by the Senate July 1, 1982°, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by *Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform Wright, Secretary: the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1401 and requests a Conference Committee, action taken by the Senate

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- July 1, 1982', Kenneth Wright, Secretary."
- Speaker Daniels: "Supplemental Calendar #1. The Order of
 Nonconcurrence, Senate Bill 512, Representative Beatty."
- Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, I refuse to recede and ask for appointment of a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Beatty, makes the Motion to suspend the appropriate rules so that he can consider Senate Bill 512 immediately and remove the same from the table. All those in favor... Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is given and the Gentleman's Motions prevail. Representative Beatty, on Senate Bill 512."
- Beatty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I refuse to recede and ask for appointment of a Conference Committee on Senate Bill 512."
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Any discussion? Being none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Gentleman's Motion prevails, and the Gentleman requests that a Conference Committee be appointed. Representative Watson. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise. Sir?"
- Matijevich: "To introduce a former Member and a good friend of all of ours, Jack Lower."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Lower. Representative Levin. Is Representative Levin... Is the Gentleman on the floor? Supplemental Calendar 1518. #1, Representative Keane, Senate Bill 1518. Conference Committee Reports. Representative Keane. The Gentleman, Representative Keane, moves to suspend the appropriate rules to remove Senate Bill 1518 from the table and to consider the same there any objections? Hearing no immediately. Are objections, unanimous leave is granted. Senate Bill Representative Keane."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1518. The First, we withdrew because ο£ some technical remember. difficulties in the language. Senate Bill 1518 and the Conference Committee Report does a number of things. The first provision that it has is that the Department of Revenue - this comes out of the Audit Commission. The Department of Revenue shall make available to the State Scholarship Commission upon request by the Commission information that may be necessary to the Commission in the collection of defaulted or delinquent student loans. Wе found that there's a number of people who are not paying back their student loans. They are employed. We found that we had state employees who owed delinquent student loans. What this does is it gives the Illinois State Scholarship Commission... the Commission the ability to go people who have jobs and who are working and collect The second item was the first House Amendment, that's... we, as the House Amendment left here, we had taken the cap off of the ... from a 10% cap, we took the cap off totally in the building facilities line; and, as it came back now from the Senate, it's redu... the cap is back on at 20%. So, the result is, the cap has gone from 10% to We also have the basic Bill which, as it left the 20%. and then the final portion of the...of House, Conference Committee Report states that, in community college districts where you have elected members, where the board is elected, it clears up the term difficulties that were brought on by the Consolidation of Elections. It strings them out so that they don't have a fast ... a total turnover or a majority turnover. It moves them to a six-year term. I'd be happy to answer any questions on the Bill, and I would ask for a favorable support."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Barr."

Barr: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

Barr: "Representative Keane, with respect to the last part of the Conference Committee Report dealing with the terms of community college trustees; am I correct that the provision making these terms six years instead of four years applies only to the five community college districts in Cook County?"

Keane: "No, it's... It's in-county...in Cook County, excluding the City of Chicago where there are elected boards."

Barr: "Okay. Well it applies... It does not apply to the City of Chicago, but it applies to community college districts.."

Keane: "Well, the City of Chicago... City of Chicago does not have an elected board. It's an appointed board."

Barr: "Correct. Right. Okay, but it does not apply to community college districts with elected boards in downstate Illinois? Is that correct?"

Keane: "That's correct."

Barr: "Why the difference?"

Reane: "Unfortunately, I don't know. This Bill was put on in the Senate. I believe it's because, in the... in the Cook County area, what happened was, where you had a seven-member board with staggered terms and each of them — you would have a turnover of one or two each year, with the Consolidation of Elections, you will have, let's say on a seven-member board, in one year you could have up to four board members flip over. So, the feeling was is that that could take a policy, an ongoing policy and create a drastic change in it, and the feeling was to spread it out over a six-year term, allow for, at the maximum, one... two people

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

to turnover in any given year. And you'd have more continuity of policy making and such."

Barr: "Representative Keane, I guess my question is, it sounds to me that like there's some good reasons to have six-year terms to keep continuity and the like, as you stated, but I'm wondering why, if it's a good idea for the suburban Cook County districts with elected boards, why it isn't a good idea in all the rest of the state which also has elected boards."

Keane: "I really have no problem. I would have no problem with that. Unfortu... You know, with that kind of concept and be happy to support it if that's what they want. As I said, this isn't my idea. It's an Amendment that came out of the Senate."

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Sir. Representative McMaster, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I would request the Gentleman from Cook
County, on our side of the aisle, to speak for his county,
not for downstate. I do not think the rest of us, Bob,
want six-year elected terms for our junior college boards.
I would suggest you recognize that."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr."

Barr: "Then, to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I wasn't trying..."

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed."

Barr: "... to speak for anybody, Representative McMaster. I was just asking a question. It may be a very fine Bill, but... and the Sponsor was... was answering my questions, I thought, reasonably well, but here we have a change. And I think this, as I understand it, the difference between this Conference Report, which is the Second Conference Report, and the First Conference Report, which came out earlier, was this particular change in the law. As I understand it, now all elected community college districts have four—year

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

terms, and here's a change being made to apply just to districts in Cook County. And I was inquiring as to why it was made. I'm not speaking for anybody; and, as I say, Representative Keane was... was answering my question, I thought, reasonably well. But, as I say, it was just a question, Mr. Speaker, not an argument, and I guess I've gotten my answer that the request came from certain community college districts in Cook County which wanted this. And, if they want it, why I certainly don't oppose it. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr... Yes, Mr. Speak... Am I on?"

Speaker Daniels: "You're always on."

Vinson: "I think a number of people would have comments about that. The... the Bill, Mr. Speaker and the reason for the change between the First and the Second Conference Committee Report were that a number of specific downstaters had objection, as Representative McMaster indicated, to the six-year term concept. I, personally, do not, but I think we have to recognize reality around here. And I would urge that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report. I don't believe there's anything strongly objectionable in it, and I think there are some... some elements of progress in it. And I would urge adoption, a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield

for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Hannig: "On page eight of the Conference Committee Report, the
Committee Report talks about a... a referendum tax
increase, I believe, backdoor referendum. My question is,
could you explain what type of... for what reason do we
need this?"

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Reane: "Yes. This... This Bill... this was... This part was the Bill, as it went out of this House before, went out at a very large vote. This applies to one school district in the State of Illinois only. It's the Posen-Robbins School District. I think that may, you know, bring it back, and it only applies to them. And it's for health."

Hannig: "So, the... this would have only implications in one school district."

(eane: "It's so written that it only applies to one school
 district, and it's only.... There's a cap on it, and it's
 only for four years for them to get their health. They
 have a health service program - it's the only one in the
 state - to keep it going."

Hannig: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Stuffle." Stuffle: "Yes, just briefly. There was opposition to a Bill that would have mandated the six-year terms for all community college districts a couple of years ago. That Bill did not pass this House. I think, to clear up the situation, as Representative Vinson, I think, attempted to do, the original language that was put on our desk in the Conference Report would have included all of our community college districts in the six-year provision, whether or not they had voted by referendum to do this. It's already in the law now that you can do that by referendum. clearly, downstate districts don't want six-year terms. They think the accountability ought to be provided shorter terms, and so do I. But this particular Report, the Second Report, is correct. It does not have any provisions that would force that six-year term on downstate, and I think that, if Cook County wants to solve their problems that way, fine. So long as they leave us alone; and, for those reasons, to get this out of the way

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

so someday it doesn't come back and attempt to force it on us, I would urge an 'aye' vote on this particular Conference Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Jack Dunn."

"Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the single school Junn: district in the entire state in question there, the Posen-Robbins School District, lies within my Legislative District and that of Representative Dick Kelly and Terry Steczo. Each of the three of us have been over there to the health facility that they have. You have to understand it is a very poor, primarily Black neighborhood, and I think the things that Terry and Dick and I have seen over there have made us feel pretty good about what they have They do have a health service over there been doing. that's beneficial to this tiny community and district. A good deal of the people working there are volunteer workers with a very small staff; and, since it is a unique situation. I would certainly urge that we all get behind it and vote 'yes' for it. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ewing."

3wing: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "Question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'.

All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The
'ayes' have it. Representative Keane, to close."

teane: "I think it's been well discussed. I'd ask for a
favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. The question is, 'Shall the House concur in ... or adopt Conference Committee Report #2?". All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this guestion there are 135 'aye', 19 'no', 5 voting 'present', and the

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

House adopts Conference Committee Report #2, and Senate Bill 1518, having received a three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Levin. Out of the record. Representative Watson, Senate Bill 1652. The Gentleman, Representative Watson, moves to suspend the appropriate rules to take from the table Senate Bill 1652 and to hear immediately. Any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is granted. Senate Bill 1652, Representative Watson."

- *atson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I refuse to recede from Senate
 action on Senate Bill 1652 and ask for a Conference
 Committee to be appointed."
- speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Any questions? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 and 3, and a Conference Committee shall be appointed. Representative Darrow, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Parrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the House of Representatives do adopt Senate Joint Resolution #108.

 This is the Resolution that details our argument for and against the Constitutional Amendment proposal that will be on the November ballot. The Senate has already adopted this Resolution."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves to adopt
 Senate Joint Resolution 108. All those in favor signify by
 voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open.
 Have all voted who wish? Have all... Representative
 Ewing."
- Bwing: "Yes, an inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. Did we have... Did we need to have leave to go to this Order of Business? The Sponsor usually is a stickler for the rules."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Daniels: "No, Sir."

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr."

"Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to have it noted, for the Barr: I think you might call this a point of personal record. It's by way of explanation of my privilege. Pursuant to Section 103 of Chapter I of the Illinois Revised Statutes states that, when а Constitutional Amendment is proposed for approval by the voters, the minority Members; that is, those who voted against the proposed Amendment in the General Assembly, are entitled by statute to prepare the arguments against the proposal. It's very clear in the statutes. Mr. Speaker, I was the minority, the only Member of the General Assembly who voted against the adoption of this proposed Amendment, and I just want it noted in the record that I was not a Member of this Committee nor was I consulted by the Committee as to the wording of the argument in opposition. The... I have no particular objection to this Resolution, but I did want it noted in the record that, in this instance, the clear mandate of the Illinois Statutes was not complied with."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Darrow."

)arrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to the Gentleman from Cook, let me say that, as to the arguments against this proposal, we contacted the American Civil Liberties Union. They testified in Committee against the proposal. They were the only group to speak against the proposal in the House or in the Senate. They prepared the arguments We did not change those arguments one bit. against it. prepared the arguments on behalf of the We, in turn, this Constitutional Amendment. It is шy adoption of understanding from talking to our staff that they, in fact, did present a copy of the ACLU arguments to Representative

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Barr for his perusal, and that he had the opportunity, at that time, to correct them, change them or do whatever he wanted or to write his own arguments. That's the information that's provided by our staff."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative LaHood."

laHood: "Mr. Speaker, is this matter on our Calendar?"

Speaker Daniels: "No, Sir."

LaHood: "Does it have to be?"

Speaker Daniels: "No, Sir. It's a Resolution."

LaHood: "Could he explain what it is?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Laflood, he did explain; but,

Representative Darrow, could you just briefly..."

LaHood: "I was looking for it on the Calendar, and I didn't hear
his explanation."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay. He will... He will cover that again,
Sir."

Darrow: "Well, the Representative from Rock Island, I see, is voting in favor of it, and it's another good vote that he casts. He's been doing a very fine job in the tradition set by Representative Ben Polk."

Speaker Daniels: "Is that an endorsement, Sir?"

Darrow: "Yes, I understand that there is another opening over at the Department of Aging, and I'm sure that Ray LaHood will be following in Ben's footsteps."

Speaker Daniels: "Would you just briefly explain it for him?"

Darrow: "Yes. Yes. In explanation, the statute spells out that the General Assembly has the obligation to write the arguments for or against or present a Resolution, I should say, containing the arguments for or against a proposed Constitutional Amendment. If you recall, earlier in the year, we did adopt a Constitutional Amendment that passed out of the House, out of the Senate and will be on the

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

November ballot. Subsequently, we passed a Resolution calling for the appointment of a Committee to draft the This document, as I document that is now on your desk. said earlier, the arguments in favor of the Constitutional Amendment were prepared by the Committee made up of Members of the House and the Senate, a bipartisan Committee. drafted the arguments in favor of it. opposed to the provision were drafted by the American Civil Liberties Union. We did not tamper with their draft, that appears as the arguments against the proposal. will, in turn, be printed up. It will go out to the voters in the State of Illinois through the auspices of the Secretary of State. In fact, I'm sure that the Republicans will be glad to know that, prior to the election, Secretary of State, Jim Edgar, will have one of these brochures going to every voter in Illinois with his signature on it."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative LaHood."

- LaHood: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I appreciate Representative

 Darrow's endorsement of my candidacy for the 72nd District.

 And, second of all, after his explanation, 24 people are

 voting 'present' now."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 139 'aye', 5 voting 'no', 23 voting 'present', and the House adopts Senate Joint Resolution 108. Representative Levin. We're having difficulty with the machine. So, we're having to run tests with the machine here. Representative Johnson, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Johnson: "On a point or personal privilege. As the Charter
 Member and President of the Paper Clip Club, I'm glad to
 see we have eleven new initiates in the Club today. Thanks
 for turning on the board."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bullock. Representative
Bullock. Gentleman on the floor? Representative
Cullerton, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 673? May I ask leave to handle that for Representative Madigan?"
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Cullerton, asks leave to go to the Order of the Speaker's Table to consider immediately House Resolution 673. Are there any objections? Hearing none, unanimous leave is granted.

 Representative Cullerton on House Resolution 673."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This House Resolution is sponsored by Representative Ryan..Speaker Ryan and Representative Madigan. It creates a House Committee to consider all relevant matters relating to the reduction of the House. The Committee shall have fourteen members, including the Speaker as the Chairman, the Minority Leader the Vice-Chairman, the Clerk as the Secretary, the Assistant Clerk, five Members appointed by the Speaker and five Members appointed by the Minority Leader. The Committee shall report by September 15th, 1982. of the cutback Amendment. is aware, of course, The reduction will have an impact upon the configuration of House seating, allocation of office space and the use and employment of resources. That is what the purpose of the Committee..that is the purpose of the House Committee. And I would ask for the adoption of House Resolution 673."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Johnson."

- Johnson: "Representative Cullerton, is the Commission a paid

 Commission or what is..what's the status of it?"
- Cullerton: "No. There's no appropriation. It's not a paid

 Commission. It's not a Commission at all. It's a House

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

Committee.

Johnson: "Committee? And its charge is to study what?"

Cullerton: "Consider all relevant matters relating to the reduction of the size of the House. What we have in mind here are, for example: where are we going to..what seats are we going to remove from the House floor; where are the offices going to be; shall they be enlarged by one-third; shall they be..where they shall be — that type of thing — everything that will be changed as a result of the reduction in the size of the House."

Johnson: "And I presume then this Committee is to report to the General Assembly its findings. Is that right?"

Cullerton: "Right, by September 15th, 1982."

Johnson: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman, Representative Cullerton, moves the adoption of HR 673.

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 149 'ayes', 7 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. The House adopts House Resolution 673. Supplemental Calendar #1 on the Order of Conference Committee Reports, House Bill 2439, Representative Terzich. Gentleman, Representative Terzich, moves to suspend the appropriate rules to take House Bill 2439 from the table and to consider the same immediately. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is granted. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Nr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Conference Committee #1 on House Bill 2439; there were a few minor changes from the original Bill that was passed out. One, that the Conference Committee adopted Senate... concurred with Senate Amendment #2 which provided an

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

increase in the Downstate Police Fire's widow from 200 to dollars. There's approximately 100 widows and that they haven't had any change in their benefits since 1972. It also provides for a change in the formula for the Chicago Park District maximum pension from 38 years for the maximum to 35 years. It also provides where it exempts elected officials from the provision that places the 20% limit on increase in salaries that are used to determine their retirement formula with the Downstate Teachers* Article. And also, the State Board of Education to pick up the retirement contributions under the ERISA plan for the There were no additional costs in IRS tax deductions. here. We have the State Mandate Act on there. It was signed by all the conferees, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Terzich: "Sure."

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

Catania: "Representative Terzich, why is it that this talks only about widows and not about widowers?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "I ... I really don't know. It's just... That's the way it was written, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Well, as you know, there are policewomen on the force, and I would assume that you would be concerned about their widowers just as we are all concerned about widows."

Terzich: "Well, these were the old ones that they haven't had any changed since 1972, and I don't believe there are any in there, Representative Catania. I'm sure you are aware that I did change the statutes to make it a neuter gender, and we are also recodifying the Pension Code to make sure that

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- all these are a neuter gender."
- Catania: "Well, that's exactly why I was so surprised to see this language which, I'm sure, you would agree we should get rid of as soon as possible."
- Terzich: "That's right in the... like I say, we're recodifying the Pension Code, and we are getting rid of that provision."
- Catania: "Well, Representative Terzich, for the record, if the unfortunate case should arise between now and the time that we get around to appropriately changing the language to conform with the Equal Rights provision in our Illinois Constitution, I think it's important to establish legislative intent that widowers would qualify equally with widows."
- Terzich: "It's my understanding in all of the pension provisions that they do provide that the widow does also include widower, Representative Catania. That's one of the reasons we are recodifying the Pension Code as well."
- Catania: "Alright, but I want it to be quite clear in the record that, if the need arose, that is certainly our intent; that widowers would qualify as well as widows."
- Terzich: "That is correct, Representative Catania."
- Catania: "Thank you, Representative Terzich, and I'm delighted to
 hear you say that you're going to get the language changed
 as soon as it is physically possible to get it changed."
- Terzich: "It's in the ... It's in the process now, and it should be in the legislation in the next General Assembly."
- Catania: "Good."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."
- Ewing: "I move the previous question."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All in

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, Representative Terzich, to close."

- Terzich: "Well, again, Mr. Speaker, as I mentioned before, these were the only changes. These are no-cost items, as far as the teachers' pick-up. There's a minimal cost for the widowers...or widow or widowers on the Downstate Police minimum, and it... the benefits have been approved by the Pension Laws Commission, also, the Board of Commissions for the City of Chicago Park District, and I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Barr: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. I just wondered what it is that we are considering. We have on our desks with regard to House Bill 2439 a document entitled Second Conference Committee Report and another one, First Corrected Conference Committee Report. Which one are we dealing with?"
- Speaker Daniels: "The First Corrected Conference Committee
 Report. Is that correct, Representative Terzich? The
 First Corrected. For what purpose does Representative
 Wikoff rise?"
- Wikoff: "Question of the Sponsor."
- Speaker Daniels: "I'm sorry, Sir. He has closed. So, we'll let you explain your vote. You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Representative Wikoff, to explain his vote. Timer's on, Sir."
- Wikoff: "Yes, in explanation, Bob, could you either nod yes or no? Down at the bottom on the analysis it says 'amends the Downstate Teachers' Retirement System, provides the State Board of Education shall pick up the contribution'. Is that an agreed upon situation or...?"

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- Cerzich: "Yes, all that does is, because of the Bill that we passed last Session whereby the contributions are tax deductible for income tax purposes, you have to make it as a employer pick up. It's a no-cost item."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Tate, to explain his vote. The timer's on, Sir."
- "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and ... Ladies and Gentlemen of Cate: House, I rise in support of House Bill 2439. I served on the Conference Committee in this... on this Report, and I worked with the Taxpayers' Federation on this Report. And I ... I can encourage you that the Taxpayers' Federation had absolutely no problems on this. Ιn reference to Representative Wikoff's question on the pick up for the employ... or for the Teachers' Retirement System, that entirely a paper pick up. There is nothing in this. of that language was... is in this to... to unify... uniform the regional superintendents in the state, which all this regional superintendent Bill to put them in compliance with every other elected official in the state. So, I would encourage a 'yes' vote and, again, to restate this..."
- ipeaker Daniels: "Representative Schraeder, to explain his vote.
 The timer's on."
- Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, apparently, from what

 I'm reading, the Conference Committee Report says that the
 employers, and I presume that's the Boards of Education in
 one of the...all the districts, will pick up the teacher
 contribution beginning July 1 of '83. If that's true, then
 I would suggest that perhaps your Boards wouldn't like
 that, and a 'no' vote would be...would be more in order."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 'aye', 31 'no', 16

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

voting 'present', and Conference Committee Report #1 is And House Bill 2439, having a... received a adopted. three-fiths vote, is hereby declared passed. House Bill Representative Levin. Representative Levin, he says 403, yes. You got your instructions now, Sir? Okay. We all Representative Getty, Representative Levin ready to go? said he's ready. Okay. Now, Representative Levin moves to suspend the appropriate rules to remove House Bill 403 from the table to have the same heard immediately. Anv No objections. Unanimous discussion? Any objections? leave is given. House Bill 403, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You may recall that we had House Bill 2135 which the understanding was that that Bill was going to go back to Conference Committee. the Senate and go into а Unfortunately, one of the Senators over there screwed; things up. I think many of you know who he is, and the Bill, instead, went to the Governor's desk. The result is that there were a number of technical problems in 2135; bad references, syntax and so on. And one of the reasons for bringing back House Bill 403 was to clean up the technical problems in 2135 and, also, put an immediate effective date on the Bill which, inadvertently, got left off when that Bill was in the Senate on Amendments. So, this Bill, for starters, contains the provisions that were in 2135 as they went to the Governor. Cleaned up, no substantive changes. In addition, there were several measures that were added, measures which have passed this House, in almost every There is nothing in here case, on overwhelming votes. which is ... is controversial. Let me just go through what has been added, and either myself or Representative Collins will then be happy to answer questions. It looks like a thick Report, but the vast majority of it is Representative

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Pullen's Amendment #8 from House Bill 2135. In addition to what was in 2135, a portion of an Amendment offered Senator Rhoads requiring any newspaper editorial that is reprinted to bear a date is in this Bill, on literature. In addition, where there are vacancies for township offices where there's more than 28 the term, there will be a mid-term election remaining in only if there is a candidate who files for an election. requires a printed form on the inside of the envelopes where you have punch-card voting, lines and boxes for write-in votings. At the present time, you write in on the inside of the ballot envelope. It simply says, 'Write This has caused a lot of confusion. In addition... Oh... Okay. One of the other provisions both Houses overwhelmingly last year was Representative Watson's House Bill 131 that requires a declaration of intent for write-in voting. This is to eliminate the need to count Nickey Mouse and Donald It will require that anybody who wishes to be a write-in candidate must file a declaration of intent by 5:00 p.m. the day before the election. One of the other provisions provides that equipment frames used to encase the ballots punch-card systems are not subject to the two-month preservation requirement for election materials. Recently there was a problem where there was a contest, and there was an election within a couple of months. And the election authority in question was not able to separate the ballot frames to use them for the new election. People really aren't that interested in the ballot frames. They re interested in what's inside the ballot frames. With respect to absentee voting in nursing homes the legislation that we passed three years ago - this clarifies that the election authority may send an even number of

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

judges in for the absentee voting counting. At the present time, the law says you can have no more than two. We have instances where you need... you've got 70 or 80 nursing home patients, and you need more than two judge. This is what is being done now. Unfortunately, it's illegal. This clarifies that. The County Clerks' Association and the Board of Election Association support the entire Bill. If there are questions, either myself or Representative Collins will be happy to answer them."

opeaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson."

vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the rise in opposition to this Bill...to this House. I Conference Committee Report. You'll find, on page 53 the Conference Committee Report in lines 11 through 15, the requirement that any newspaper editorial column or article reprinted shall contain the date of the ... You'll also find that requirement when any editorial. implication of a newspaper endorsement is contained. What that Section requires is that, in your political literature now, you're going to be regulated as to what you can publish. I would argue two things. I would argue, number one, it's going way beyond the scope of this House to regulate what can and should be contained in political literature other than anything that simply requires the disclosure of the source that the literature is coming ought not require that. That's a complete and improper infringement upon the electoral process. begin creating this kind of a requirement, you're going to see it expand year, after year, after year to regulate what you can and how you can insert it in your political literature. It's totally improper for us to do that. All that can do is subvert the electoral process and the public's right to know. Now, while this particular

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

requirement may be somewhat innocuous on its face, the fact that we begin putting the nose of the camel under the tent is going to lead to repercussions down the road. A General Assembly controlled by some other party, a General Assembly controlled by some other faction or by some other ... other conception of what is right or wrong will use this particular this particular precedent requirement, establish some new precedent that further infringes on your right and on the peoples' right to insert what you want to insert into your political literature. I believe, and I rarely rise on issues related to the First Amendment, but I believe this one is a particularly dangerous infringement in the long run, for its precedents sake on the First Amendment and on the electoral process and on political I would strongly urge a 'no' vote on this. candidacies. It can be returned for a Second Conference Committee Report, and that particular Section can be taken out. think it would be terrible to create this kind of precedent."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative O'Connell."

C'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like to simply disagree with the previous speaker and point out that the only requirement that the Conference Committee Report inserts is that, whenever any newspaper editorial column or article is reprinted in any political literature, it is required to show the date of publication on the literature. I find nothing detrimental to our rights to legislate ourselves in this regard. Quite the contrary, I think it does more for an accountability of our campaigns than presently exists. I would point out that this requirement is indeed an innocuous one. It is a fair one. It will provide that, while we may have been prominent candidates ten years ago,

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

we may not be as effective candidates in the forthcoming election, and I think that requiring the date on any of your literature is ... is truth in campaigning. Thank you."

peaker Daniels: "Representative Topinka."

!opinka: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, have to agree with the previous speaker and disagree with a colleague I respect very much from Clinton, and that is having, in my last election noted it happened to me, where a former alderman I had endorsed at least ten years earlier proceeded to use a letter in another campaign that was not dated and used it as if it were contemporary, causing me to have to file an election complaint. The Senator who generated this particular Amendment at this time had the similar situation in his last election. I don't feel it particularly hurts First Amendment rights on this at all, because it just shows the date of publication. establishing a precedent, as such. It's merely clarifying when the article ran and putting it into a context of time. So, I would encourage that this be retained in this Report. Thank you."

ipeaker Daniels: "Representative Alexander."

`lexander: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a
 question?"

ipeaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

Nlexander: "Alan (sic - Ellis), on #8, your item #8, the last two lines prohibits electioneering in the part of a nursing home where residents are voting. An example, a three-story nursing home bed 190 beds. Does that mean that, if I was a precinct worker, I could not be on the second floor talking to voters while election... I mean the voting is going on the first floor?"

evin: "Okay. Representative, during the time that voting is

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

going on, the judges are going, in many cases, where there are incapacitated residents in the nursing home. They are going from room to room, and so they can be really in any part of the nursing home during that period. The language is on page 31, lines 13 to 15, and it says, 'electioneering shall be prohibited from all parts of the building in which balloting is being conducted during the posted time period'. This was in... This was in Representative Bowman's Bill, House Bill 1668, which passed the House by 146 to 2."

llexander: "You still... I still don't understand. If I'm on the
 third floor of a 190 bed nursing home and they're voting on
 the first floor, and I am a precinct worker, can I speak to
 my voters on the third floor?"

Levin: "Not during the time that voting is going on."

Alexander: "On the third floor or on the first floor?"

Levin: "If there is no voting going on, if it's... you know. If
the posted time that the judges are going come into the
nursing home is 9:00 in the morning, there's nothing that
precludes people up to 9:00 in the morning from
electioneering. When... Once the judges get there at 9:00
in the morning, until they have completed the absentee
voting, there would be no campaigning in the building."

Alexander: "Alright, thank you."

Levin: "In the parts of the building in which the voting is taking place."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, there are two county clerks, past county clerks on this floor, C.

L. McCormick and I. We've looked at this Bill, and you might think you're doing a fine job; but, I want to see you be the one that has to implement it. Number one, you're suppose to file a certificate if you are going to be a

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

write-in candidate. Now, this pre-supposes you understand what some of your friends and neighbors are up to. two, it has to be done at 5:00 the night before the election when all the supplies are out. They've told me. 'Oh yeah, you can send it out with the absentee ballots the next day'. This is fine. Why are they doing it? much time and work. will save us so How much time and work do they think it takes to list a few write-in Number two. I thought this was supposed to be a reform Bill. Will you look at the part where you are going to have people voting in the hospitals? We always saw to it that there was a person from the County Clerk's Office from the Election Commission. Now, any relative or resident of the precinct in which you live can take your ballot up there, and you're suppose to vote it secretly. And then they're going to give it to you, and this is a lot of silly business. I thought it was to reform it. This protection at all. Number three, the voting procedures and the counting procedures within a precinct are almost impossible to implement. This is an idealistic Bill, but it is not realistic; and, if you were a county clerk, don't think you'd be able to work it. They said, 'Yeah, they want ... The county clerks support this. you're going to hear. The county clerks support the idea; but, when they get in there and have to start working with it, I want to see how well they do. We've heen finishing our counting here at around 10:00 at night. I anticipate it will be 2:00 in the morning at this next I know where I'm going to spend election night. election. I'm going to spend it down there watching that counting procedure and the counting in the precincts. I think this is a Bill that is idealistic but not very realistic for implementation."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. typical reform election Bill that makes no sense, infringes on the rights of people. After listening to this...this discussion, I wasn't sure what I was going to do with this Thank goodness we had this excellent discussion. This is an atrocity. It's a mistake. In our ward, we have problems in our nursing homes. We have to assist those people on election day. They get confused. They don't know what to do; and, if we're not in those buildings helping those people, making sure that they get the opportunity to vote, we've got problems. Our turnout is and we don't get the kind of voting support we need in the nursing homes. So, that's one mistake. I happen to also agree with Representative Vinson's comments. So, get endorsed by the Tribune or the Sun-Times. So, it You still should have happened ten years ago. the opportunity to put in your literature and not have to identify the date. So, I think this is a typical attempt by good government, so-called good government people, to screw up the election process and make it so difficult that finally we're going to have elections where nobody comes Let's open up the process. Let's put it out to vote. where it belongs, in the free marketplace so that you can a decent election and give people the have opportunity to vote. Thank you, very much. everyone to vote 'no' on this absurd, quasi election reform Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I have a question of the Sponsor, but I think that last speaker did an excellent job in getting a few green votes for you."

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he'll yield."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Ewing: "Is there anything in this reform that would require a candidate who wants to be a write—in candidate to notify the clerk?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Yes. Yes. Yeah, Representative, we passed last year House Bill 131 sponsored by Representative Watson to require a declaration of intent for those persons who want to be write-in candidates, and that is included in this Bill. We certainly cannot preclude people from writing in. That's their constitutional right, but whether or not they're counted is a different question. And this provides that, if you want... you want to have a write-in vote for, you know, your candidacy, you have to file a declaration of intent. This would eliminate the need to count Mickey Mouse and Donald Duck and this type of thing."

Ewing: "Well, Mr. Levin, don't we already require that you have to have the number of write—in votes required for your petition to...before you can take the place on the ballot?"

Levin: "That's to qualify as a winning candidate in a primary.

What we're talking about here is counting."

Ewing: "Well, how can you win if they don't count?"

Levin: "Yeah, if Mickey Mouse filed a declaration of intent, his votes would be counted."

Ewing: "Pardon? Is he... Is he on your Party? Is he a member of your Party?"

Levin: "I think he's a member of both Parties on occasion."

Ewing: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen..."

Levin: "This is a ..."

Ewing: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that I would have to join Representative Vinson and Representative Ronan, and I think this Bill ought to be put to sleep and not pass. And, as far as I'm concerned, we don't need another Conference Committee this year. We can address

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

this in another Session of the General Assembly. We'll probably all survive."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

O'Brien: "Section #9, Representative Levin, which you omitted..."

Speaker Daniels: "Would you ask this as a Ward Committeeman? As

a Ward Committeeman, you're asking him the questions."

O'Brien: "That's correct."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay."

O'Brien: "As his Ward Committeeman. Section #9 permits the Chicago Board of Election Commissioners and, and its employees to hold outside employment. Why, in God's name, do we put a measure like that in a reform Bill? Do we want to hire the Board of Election Commissioners or its employees to be consultants in campaigns back in Chicago or what?"

Levin: "Okay. At the request of Senator Nedza, that was taken out. So, the Democratic staff analysis is incorrect. That is not in the Bill."

O'Brien: "The Democratic staff analysis is incorrect."

Levin: "That's correct."

O'Brien: "And it is not in the Bill."

Levin: "Yes, Representative (sic - Senator) Nedza."

O'Brien: "Would you take this out of the record so we can read
the Bill and be sure? I wouldn't want to take your word
and then have you make a mistake. I've already made one
mistake this Session. I really don't want to vote again on
another one."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Levin. The Sponsor will now return to his desk. Here he goes up the stairs, on his way to the mike, and Representative Levin."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Levin: "I would yield, Mr. Speaker, to Representative Collins to close."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Well, is it... Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will. Is it Collins or Levin that you want to yield?"

Winchester: "Representative Levin."

Speaker Daniels: "Alright. Levin."

Winchester: "Is it true, Representative Levin, that most all of the different Sections in this Bill were all, at one time, a House Bill or a Senate Bill that passed the House or passed the Senate?"

Levin: "That is correct."

Winchester: "Alright, and isn't it true that most all of these provisions in this Bill were in a Bill that we thought we had an understanding with the Senate; that it was an agreement that, if you concurred on some and nonconcurred on others, that it would go into a Conference Committee and some additional language would be added on some other issues; and, at that time we upheld our end of the bargain, but the Senate failed up... uphold their end of the bargain?"

Levin: "That is absolutely correct."

Winchester: "Isn't this the legislation that you and Representative Collins revived in order to take care of the different pieces of legislation that various Members on the House had that was important to them that they wanted to get sent to the Governor; but, because of the action in the Senate, that opportunity was not given to them?"

Levin: "Yes, these include House Bill 131, Representative Watson, that passed the House at 125 to 1 votes; Amendment #3 in House Bill 1668, which was Representative Koehler's;

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Representative Giglio's House Bill 209; Representative Woodyard's House Bill 529; Representative Collins' House Bill 1475; Representative Pullen's House Bill 1750; Representative Pullen's House Bill 181; Representative Reilly's House Bill 107; and the underlying Bill which was mine, House Bill 403; and Representative Collins' and Winchester's House Bill 718 and House Bill 942; and Representative Bowman's House Bill 1668. Yes."

Winchester: "Well, thank you, Representative Levin. As the Chairman of the Elections Committee, in looking through this, every one of these... nearly every one of these Bills came through our Elections Committee, in some cases, I believe, the Executive Committee. They were approved by near unanimous votes in Committee and, at one time or another, on the House floor or the Senate. So, I would now ask that we do concur with this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor yield for a question, please? Thank you, Representative. I think that this particular piece of legislation does have many good parts in it, and I've read it over quite carefully, particularly the truth in campaigning portion. I think that this is a good thing, to put dates on our newspaper articles. I wanted to ask two questions. Number one, is there anything to do in here with these requirements regarding circulating of petitions statewide?"

Levin: "No."

Koehler: "There is nothing. Alright."

Levin: "That was Bill 791, which I believe the Governor signed."

Koehler: "That is correct. There is nothing in this Bill that..."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- Levin: "There's nothing in this Bill relating to that subject."
- Koehler: "Second, I could not tell through the confusion what your answer was to Representative Ewing when he asked about the requirements. Are you required to fill out a declaration of intent before becoming a write-in candidate?"
- Levin: "Representative, one of the problems that delays the counting on election night and costs a great deal of money in terms of having to key punch and so on are the frivolous write-in votes, the Mickey Mouses and the Donald Ducks and so on, which currently are required to be counted, to be listed by the judges, to be key punched, and tabulated and so on. What this Bill provides is, for a write-in vote to be counted and tabulated, there must be a declaration of intent by a ... that's filed prior to election day."
- Koehler: "Well, yes; but, in the case of, like, precinct committeemen"
- Levin: "By 5:00 p.m. the day before election."
- Koehler: "But, in the case of precinct committeemen and so forth,
 many are... have... there are vacancies, and they could not
 fill it unless they were by write in. And many of these
 people don't know that they're going to be..."
- Levin: "This gives you up 'til 5:00 p.m. the Monday before the election. You... Yeah."
- Koehler: "Well, thank you, Representative. I appreciate you answering my question."
- Speaker Daniels; "Representative Woodyard."
- Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question, because I can hardly wait to hear Representative Collins rise above principle on the close."
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Collins, to close."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Collins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As Representative Winchester and Levin pointed out, this Bill is a product of many Bills that have passed this House previously in this Session. All of these been bipartisan Bills passed by overwhelming majorities, and the reason that we have this here before us today is that, because of the action of the Senate and, particular, I must say one particular Senator, killed a number of these Bills. And so, in an effort to accommodate the Members of this chamber with Bills that they had already passed in this Session, we and the staff put in many hours of work to put this Bill together to give people a chance to put their legislation on the Governor's desk. There is nothing in this Bill that it was not approached in bipartisan manner, and there is nothing, I submit, that should be objectionable to any Member of this House. have to point out some of the arguments that were made against the Bill. The fact that Representative Vinson zeroed in on probably the most insignificant portion of the Bill would, at least, make me suspicious as he has some ulterior purpose for attacking some other part of the Bill. And the fact that Representative Ronan wants to elections in the free marketplace I think is a good argument for the very existence of such a Bill argument in favor ο£ this legislation. Rep...Representative Oblinger pointed out a fact on the... absentee.. or I mean on the write-in voting, and the Representative Koehler spoke to that. This is to protect the... the serious write-in candidate and, at the same time, to get away from the frivolous Nickey Mouse candidates. Now. Representative Koehler mentioned precinct committeemen that have to run, but I submit to her and to all of you that they have until 5:00 the day before

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

the election to merely indicate that they are a serious write-in candidate and would not impose any hardship on As far as the hospitalized voter provision that was mentioned, this was a Bill that passed this House in an overwhelming majority also. Ιt was sponsored Representative Giglio, and I would point out that there are... there are safequards built right into the... this Bill where affidavits have to be filed in this instance, not only by the person who is handling the ballot for the hospitalized voter, but also by the attending There is... there is no weakness in that Bill, physician. and this was one that was... gone over in the Elections Committee and this House with a fine-tooth comb. ask you, in the interest of fairness to the Members of this House, to give this Bill serious consideration. I that every Member who introduced these Bills are entitled to have their legislation considered, especially in event that every one of these Bills, I think without exception, passed this House, not by ... I say overwhelming. Every one of them had in excess of 100 votes. nothing controversial here. There is good legislation here. It's good election legislation that I think we all stand behind, and I would soli...solicit the could favorable support of this House."

Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Representative Nelson, to explain her vote. The timer's on."

Nelson: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

I would like to, for the record, just correct what I believe may be a mistake in some of the dialogue here on the floor. It is true that most of these measures did pass one or the other of the Houses of the General Assembly with

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

the exception, I believe, of Mark Rhoads Amendment #17 to 2135... or 14. I supported that entire Amendment which had in it, not only the provision for reproducing in your campaign literature the date that the endorsement was originally published in the newspaper, but also a provision that there should be a letter of authorization on file in the case that you are using an endorsement by some other politician. That provision is no longer in the final form of 403. All that is in here is the one that Representative Vinson objected to, and I vehemently dis..."

- Speaker Daniels: "Bring your remarks to a close, please. Okay.

 Representative Balanoff, to explain her vote. The timer's on."
- Balanoff: "I wanted to ask some questions; but, since I didn't have the opportunity, I just want to say, one of the provisions in this Bill states that immediately after an election a piece of election equipment can be thrown out. So, those of you who want to contest an election, you're going to be minus a piece of equipment. Also, the Board of Election Commissioners and their employees can double-dip under the terms of this Bill. At least, I wanted to ask questions about this, and I didn't have the opportunity."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr, to explain his vote. The timer's on."

Barr: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was... My heart strings were tugged as I'm sure many others were by the heart-rending remarks of Representative Ronan, and it occurred to me that, perhaps, the aid that he finds necessary to give to the residents of nursing homes in his ward was occasioned by an event a couple of years ago in an election when one of those residents accidentally voted Republican. In the hope of a few more such accidents and in support of the good reforms that are in this Bill, I urge everyone to vote

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

'yes'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ebbesen, to explain his vote.

The timer's on."

Ebbesen: "Well, in explaining my 'no' vote, Mr. Speaker, I would like to call the attention of the House that, you know, this is 160 pages long and is the first agreed Bill list that I have ever seen in my tenure that's now in And just because all that Conference Committee form. legislation came out of the Election Committee and then passed the House, maybe the Senator over there did all of us a favor. It should be passing both the House and the Senate and should be on the statutes if it's all that good legislation. And you people that are voting affirmatively, you can answer to your ... about the practical application that has been brought out in the debate. You can answer to your county clerks when...if this Bill was to become law. I think it's poor legislation. We ought to all be voting ****

Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Very guickly, on... on page two, item... item #3 for vacancies in township office.

Now, we had one in Joliet Township; and, if we have to hold an election where there's a can... where there's a challenge to our appointee for the office that's now vacant, it's going to cost us a lot of money. Now, assuming that this... I'm voting 'no' and assuming this is going back to another Conference Committee, I would exhort the conferees to take #3 out of this and then maybe we might get them to give it another look."

ipeaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
wish? Clerk will take the record. Representative Vinson."
finson: "Mr. Speaker, I want to know the circumstances under

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

which, with 90 votes on this, it might become effective next July if it were signed by the Governor. And, if there are such circumstances, then I request a verification."

- Speaker Daniels: "Barkhausen 'aye'. Parliamentarian advises me that the Bill provides that it shall become effective upon becoming law and; therefore, pursuant to the Statute upon Statutes, the Bill would be effective July of 1983 if it passed by a Constitutional Majority. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, that being the case, unless the Sponsors request a Second Conference Committee Report, I would request a verification."
- ipeaker Daniels: "Your request is noted, Sir. Representative
 Leon."

"eon: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Daniels: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

:lerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

leon: "Please vote me 'no'."

- ipeaker Daniels: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'.
 Further changes, additions or deletions? Representative
 Deuster."
- Peuster: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to change my vote from
 'present' to 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

:lerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'present'."

3peaker Daniels: "Change him to 'aye'. Representative Levin, do you request a Poll of the Absentees? Poll the absentees."

:lerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Barnes. Birkinbine.
Bullock. Daniels. Epton. Ewell. Kornowicz. Peters.

Irv Smith. E. G. Steele. J. J. Wolf. Younge and Zwick."

Speaker Daniels: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Change Representative Macdonald from 'aye' to 'present'. What's the count? There are 90 'ayes' and 48 'present'.

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Gentleman, Representative Vinson, requests a Verification of the Affirmative Boll. Proceed with the Verification of the Affirmative Boll. Would all Members please be in their seats? Those not entitled to the floor, please retire to the gallery. Representative Turner requests leave to be verified. Does he have leave, Representative Vinson? Leave is granted. Representative Buff, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

- iuff: "#r. Speaker, will you change my 'present' vote to an 'aye'
 vote?"
- iteczo: "Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to be verified, please?"
 ipeaker Daniels: "Gentleman requests leave to be verified. Leave
 is granted. Proceed. Poll of the verification."
- :lerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Affirmative. Abramson. Balanoff. Barkhausen. Barr. Bartulis. Beatty. Boucek. Bowman_ Braun. Breslin. Capparelli. Carey. Catania. Chapman. Collins. Cullerton. Currie. Deuchler. Deuster. DiPrima. Domico. Doyle. Dwight Friedrich. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hallstrom. Hannig. Hastert. Henry. Huff. Huskey. Jaffe. Jones. Kane. Karpiel. Katz. Keane. Dick Kelly. Klemm. Kucharski. Kosinski. Krska. Kulas. Kustra. Laurino. Lechowicz. Levin. Loftus. Madigan. Margalus. Martire. Matijevich. McAuliffe. Murphy. Nelson. O'Connell. Ozella. Pechous. Piel. Pierce. Pouncey. Preston. Pullen. Reilly. Riquey. Saltsman. Sandquist. Schneider. Schraeder. Slape. Stanley. Stearney. Stewart. C. M. Stiehl. Swanstrom. Telcser. Steczo. Terzich. Tuerk. Turner. Vitek. Watson. White. Winchester. Yourell. Zito and Mr. Speaker."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson, questions of the Affirmative Roll?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Capparelli."

"peaker Daniels: "Representative Capparelli. He's in his chair planning his strategy for the next ball game."

inson: "Mrs. Chapman."

peaker Daniels: "Representative Chapman. Is the Lady in the chambers? Representative Chapman. How's the Lady recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

peaker Daniels: "Remove her. Further questions?"

inson: "Garmisa."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Garmisa. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Collins: "Well, I'd like to save a little time, Mr. Speaker, and
I think I've gotten an indication from enough Members here
that, if we took out the part to which Representative
Vinson referred on the dating of the literature, and
apparently there's some serious reservations about the
write-in voters, if we took... if we took that out and went
to a Second Conference Committee Report, I get the feeling
that we would have enough votes to pass it with 107. And I
think what the... if Representative Levin will agree, I
think we would... we would let it go down at this point and
ask that a Second Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Levin, do you agree with the suburban Republican? He agrees. Alright. There are 90 'ayes', 47 (sic - 'present'), and Conference Committee Report #1 fails. Gentleman, Representative Collins, requests a Second Conference Committee. A Second Conference Committee shall be appointed. Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, it seems to me - is there any procedure at

137th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1982
- all where we could, with those... with that understanding of Representative Collins, if there's a way we could go to a Second Conference Committee without reprinting 160 pages?

 None whatsoever?"
- Speaker Daniels: "No, Sir. The law requires it. The rules of the House require it, and we're going to stick by them.

 For what purpose does the Gentlemen from Cook,

 Representative Keane, rise?"
- eane: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to go to the Order of Motions and, pursuant to Rule 63(a), I move to take House Bill 991 from the table."
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is there any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is granted, and House Bill 991 shall be removed from the table. On that Motion, Representative Keane."
- heane: "We're... I ask that, on the Notion of House Bill 991, that it be taken from the table; that unanimous... Is it unanimous consent that must be given, or have we done that already? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. Have you..."
- Speaker Daniels: "I'm sorry, Sir."
- "eane: "... already done... Have we already removed this from the
 table?"
- peaker Daniels: "We just... We went to that Order of Business.

 Gentleman, Representative Keane, having prevailed in his
 Motion to take House Bill 991 from the table, moves to
 suspend the appropriate rules and consider it immediately.

 Are there any objections? Representative Kane."
- Kane: "So far all we've done is just unanimously let him make the Motion to take from the table."
- peaker Daniels: "No. No, we've given him leave. The Bill has been taken from the table."
- ane: "No. No. All he asked was unanimous leave; and, before he says to take it from the table, it would be nice for

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

him ... "

Speaker Daniels: "Do you have any objections, Sir?"

ane: "Yes. I'd like to know what it is."

Speaker Daniels: "Alright. Raise... Representative Keane."

eane: "We're taking this from the table and planning on... going to use it for the tax on taxation Bill only. This tax on taxation Bill that will affect... will only affect state funds."

ine: "What are you going to do? Strip the Bill and then put
that provision in?"

eane: "That's correct."

ane: "I remove my objection."

peaker Daniels: "There any objections to the Gentleman considering the same immediately? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is given. House Bill 991 shall be removed from the table, placed before the House for its immediate consideration. House Bill 991 is on the Order of First Conference Committee Report. It will be on a Supplemental Calendar on the Order of First Conference Committee Report. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Adams rise?"

colain: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask for leave or move to suspend the appropriate rule so that the... I can pose a Motion to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 1452 was defeated last night."

peaker Daniels: "Gentleman asks leave to suspend the appropriate rules. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is granted, and the House suspends the appropriate rules. Now, Representative... Representative Krska. I'm sorry. Your light was not on, Sir."

Krska: "I object."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, then I would move to suspend the appropriate rule so that I can pose a Motion

- 137th Legislative Day

 to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 1452 was
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. It'll take 89 votes. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Lechowicz, to explain his vote."
- echovicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to request 'aye' votes on this measure. This Bill was called at quarter 'til twelve last night; and, in turn, the way it was explained and the movement, as far as the adopted... Second Conference Committee - corrected version - it was not adequately explained nor... nor no questions were permitted to be asked. And, for this reason, I think this matter is This matter should receive of dire importance. the necessary votes. We should actually hear the Second Conference Committee, corrected version, on 1452, and hopefully we can pass this measure."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Krska."

defeated."

- rska: "Hello. Mr. Speaker, this Bill was heard yesterday, and it had a fair and lengthy hearing. And there are many objections to this Bill, and we strongly defeated this bad, bad Bill. And I recommend today that we do the same. How can a bad Bill without any Amendments change within 15 hours, and you, the House Members, were the ones that strongly defeated this. And I, again, recommend a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 106 'aye', 16 'no' and 18 voting 'present'. The Gentleman's Motion prevails, and the rules are suspended. Representative McClain, for the purpose of a Motion."

icclain: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Gentlemen of the House. I would now move, having voted on the prevailing side, move to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 1452 was defeated last night."

discussion? Question is, 'Shall the Gentleman's Motion prevail?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. This is a Motion to reconsider. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 102 'aye', 40 'no', 9 voting 'present', and the Gentleman's Motion to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 1452, Conference Committee Report #1 failed prevails. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, could we hear the Bill presently?"

Speaker Daniels: "Yes, Sir."

icClain: "That would be up to Mr. Barr. He's the Sponsor, I think."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr, Conference Committee
Report #1. Representative Bwing, for what purpose do you
rise, Sir?"

wing: "Mr. Speaker, what was your last ruling about prevailed?"

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman's Motion to reconsider the vote by

which Senate Bill 1452, Conference Committee Report #1

failed. That Motion prevailed."

wing: "Inquiry of the Chair."

peaker Daniels: "I'm sorry. The Clerk advised me that was

Conference Committee Report #2."

wing: "Alright. We only need 89 votes to pass a Motion."

Speaker Daniels: "To reconsider. That is correct, Sir."

wing: "But, to make... to pass the Bill, of course, it will take the 107."

Speaker Daniels: "For the Bill to become effective immediately, it would take 107."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Ewing: "Thank you."

insured,

and

Speaker Daniels: "You're welcome, Sir. Representative Barr."

Parr: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

As Representative Lechowicz stated a moment ago, this Bill

was called last night very shortly before midnight. It was not possible to answer all the questions that Members had to give a full explanation of the provisions of this Bill. I don't want to repeat unnecessarily what was said last night, but let me try to cover this Bill. This Bill contains, first of all, some very important material which is needed very badly by the Treasurer's Office and by the Governor's Office, language which has also been cleared and recommended by the Office of the Attorney General regard to the investment powers of the State Treasurer. And what it does is not make a substantive change in present law, but to correct, to correct drafting errors which exist in the present law with regard to the power the State Treasurer. And it states that the Treasurer may, doesn't have to, but he may, with the approval of Governor. invest certain state funds in investment certificates and other forms of investment securities

> issued by state or national banks; that is, of the United States, provided that those banks are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, which most banks

> there now which is inappropriate, which states that the securities themselves have to be insured by either the

Savings and Loan Corporation. Securities are not insured by those Federal Corporations. It's the banks that are

straightens that out. It makes some modest changes in the investment powers of the trustees of certain of the state

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the

this

It takes out of the present law language, which is in

language that is very necessary

Federal

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Beginning on page four of the Second pension funds. Conference Committee Report there are several pages of language, the so-called approved list, οf investment authority οf the trustees of this... these funds. Virtually, all of that language is in the present It's not new. It's underlined because the Section number is changed, but this is not any substantial addition of new language to the present law. All those powers and authorities that are listed are in the present law with the following exceptions. In Section 5.1, which is currently in the law and authorizes - understand - does not direct, but authorizes the trustees of these funds to invest in bonds issued by the State of Israel, it in...it includes language authorizing investment also in common or preferred stock or notes issued by banks owned controlled in whole or in part by the State of Israel. it does... It isn't a new provision relating to that power. authorizes, for the first time, the investment in... Excuse me, Mr... Excuse me, Mr. Speaker. It corrects the language, a similar correction as is made in the powers of the State Treasurer with regard to the power of trustees of pension funds to invest in certain mortgage pass-through securities, stating now that ... It states that the pool of mortgages - this is investments in mortgage pools of these type of mortgages - that the pool shall be insured by mortgage guarantee or equivalent insurance. It's the pool that's insured, not the security that's insured, and this is the change that's made in the present law to conform to what, in fact, is the practice. And, finally, it authorizes the investment in federally-regulated money market funds to enable the trustees of these pension funds to take advantage of the present high interest rates offered by these funds. Now, in addition, and this is what

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

we didn't... weren't able to get into last night. There is language in this Conference Committee Report respecting the pension... the rights of certain Members of the General Assembly to secure pension benefits. The new language, in addition to the concurrence in House Amendments 3 and 5 which were previously adopted by this House, in addition to that, it does provide that a Member of the General Assembly may purchase into and include in his service credit service with an employer as a te...as a temporary employee or temporary appointee. Now, many people, including me. believe that this isn't actually necessary under the present language, but the Attorney General and others have raised questions. So this language is being inserted to make it clear that persons holding this type of employment or appointment may include that time in their service credit if they wish to purchase in. They still have to purchase in and pay the interest on it. Are there questions, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Gentleman from Wayne,
Representative Robbins."

lobbins: "I understand that Israel has favored status of all nations of the United States. What I don't understand is why we do this with... for bonds for Israel and not for Germany, or Japan or any other country. Am I mistaken that this is a... treating one nation of our friends favored over another with a... by including them in the bonds?"

Parr: "No, Representative. This... this really is not the Bill to debate that guestion, if it's something that ought to be debated. That's in the law now. The trustees of these pension funds can now invest - they don't have to - but they are authorized by law to invest in bonds and other securities issued by the Dominion of Canada and to invest in bonds and certain other securities issued by the State

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

of Israel. That's in the law now. Ιf vou defeat this Bill, that's still in the law. The only change that's being made by this Bill in that law is to make a VETV slight expansion in that authorization to permit investment in certain stocks and notes issued by banks owned by the State of Israel, not private banks, but banks owned by the State of Israel. At the present time, as I say, Israel bonds are an authorized investment, and this doesn't change that. The law also permits, as I said, authorization in all sorts of public and private securities of the United States and of Canada."

- Robbins: "Representative Barr, do you believe that business in Illinois is able to obtain enough capital to expand and do as it should in Illinois?"
- Barr: "I don't think that the problem of business in Illinois is an inability to attract capital, but I don't...also don't think that's a relevant question, Representative. What we're talking about is the powers that the trustees of pension funds should have in order to realize the maximum income for those funds for the benefit of the beneficiaries of those funds, and I believe that they should have the broadest possible power commensurate with the necessary guidelines of safety and prudence. And that's what we're trying to do."
- Robbins: "Then... Then, as I understand it, that, with the rates of interest that we have at the present time, that pension funds is better off being invested in foreign funds."
- Barr: "No, certainly not. In fact, one of the reasons... One of the changes we're making, Representative, is to permit these funds to be invested in money market funds to take advantage of the higher interest rates. This Bill doesn't mandate the investment of funds... excuse me, in any particular investment. What it does is authorize the

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

trustees of these funds, using their prudent business judgement, to make investments in a broad range of securities and other types of investments which, in their opinion, will realize in the highest possible income commensurate with the needs of safety and prudence. That's what this legislation is all about, and that's what's in the law now."

Robbins: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr, we're on the Second Conference Committee Report corrected. Is that correct?"

Barr: "That's correct."

Speaker Daniels: "Alright. Representative Ebbesen."

Bbbesen: "Well, yes. Representative Barr, I'd like to ask a question, if I could. On page six, under... under F, it says the fund or system making the investment shall have at least, you know, five million dollars in net present assets. When you're talking about the system, you're talking about the Board of Trustees of any given system. Is that right?"

Barr: "Yes, the particular pension retirement system that is... is in question. Yes."

Bbbesen: "Alright. Now, if you go down in #6 right below that, you talk about ... oh, about line 17, 16 and 17, it said, 'provided the aggregate investment shall not exceed 20% of the total investment account of the Board'. Now, I guess my question is, let's take the Downstate Teachers' Fund who may have two bill... over two billion dollars in assets, does that mean that you're talking about that Board of Trustees can utilize 20% of two billion dollars to get out and speculate in housing and things like this?"

Barr: "Representative Ebbesen, there's nothing in here that authorizes speculation in anything, but this is in the law now. You defeat this Bill - that's in the law. You're

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

not... There's nothing that this Bill does to... to change in any way the present law, and the language you're referring to is in the law at the present time."

Ebbesen: "Well, if it... If it's in the law now, what's the purpose of this Bill? This is underlined in here. If you're..."

Barr: "Representative Ebbesen, I thought I explained that earlier. The reason that language is underlined is because the Section number is changed. We're taking the language, including this language you're referring to which is presently in Section 1-112, and making it now Section 1-113; and, because of that, under the rules for printing Bills, it has to be underlined. But that language is in there now. I've ... I've told you the three changes that are being made in this several pages of language."

Ebbesen: "One other question on page ten relative to the Section regarding service of an employer; I guess that involves the civil service transfer or whatever, and you said that they would have to pay for it plus interest and so forth.

Where is that in the Bill?"

Barr: "I'm sorry. I didn't... I didn't understand your question.

I didn't hear your question, Representative Ebbesen."

Bbbesen: "My question is, on page ten, line 16 through 20, referring to, I guess, validation of service credits that you said that the ones who would participate in that, who qualified, would be paying... making a contribution plus interest. Where is that in the Bill that states that? I don't see that."

Barr: "No, it's not in the Bill. It's in the law now."

Ebbesen: "It's in the law."

3arr: "We're not making any change in that. All we're doing is... is making an addition which some of the lawyers feel is required to make it clear which service credits they

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

can, if they wish, buy in. But the provisions requiring payment for both the employee share/employer share and interest are in the law at the present time. This makes no change in that."

"Alright. Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. I... This is Ebbesen: not going to be my first negative vote on this legislative proposal. I realize on the basic thrust of the legislation it is the result of the Governor's Blue Ribbon Task Force studying this for two or two and a half years, but I want to remind the Members now that they are placing in hands and broadening the possibilities, not that the objective and the basic thrust of the legislation isn't to accomplish that, but you are putting in the hands of Boards of Trustees of these pension systems the opportunity, perhaps, to earn more interest. But, to me, I think that maybe some of the restrictions that are in the existing statutes might prove to be better, less interest; I'll tell you, the masses of people that are going to step across the threshold in retirement in the next five and ten years in these pension systems; that money better be there to take care of these people. We've never had anybody fail to receive a check as far as their retirement years are concerned; but, to me, I still have to feel that we're taking a risk placing this in the hands of these trustees. I'm not discrediting the trustees. I'm a member of the General Assembly Retirement Board myself; but, when you open up this avenue with the idea of possible speculation and doing things out there in the hands of a very few just to earn a little more interest, you better protect the money that's in there now, because the unfunded accrued liability to the taxpayers of this state, right now, over four and a half billion dollars just as far as the state is concerned. And I... I would advise everyone to

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

take a very close look at this piece of legislation."

Speaker Daniels: "Bepresentative Stuffle."

Speaker and Members. I think there's some Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. confusion about what the Conference Committee Report does in two or three areas, and I hope it can be cleared up. First of all, I think Representative Barr is absolutely he suggests that we're putting in a little different form what is already in the statutes as investment authority. We've dealt with the Prudent Man or Prudent Person Rule throughout this current Session of the House and Senate. The fact of it is that the issue of mortgage pass-through investments was settled by law last year. In fact, I was happy to be one of the two principal Sponsors of that. This doesn't change that. We're not delving into first mortgages. We're not delving into unsecured mortgages. We're allowing a pension investment in a situation where there would be a pool and where there would be insurance for that pool. That's the best investment you could probably get now. The day we passed that particular law last year we have a nub... numerous investments in six and eight percent portfolios. That very day the issue was made that they could have gotten into one at 15.88%. The fact of the matter is that this will, I believe, do the opposite of what Representative It will indeed give flexibility to the system. At the same time, it provides insurance to the system against the loss of revenue or the squandering of assets. It's an important Bill. It's important also in the aspect to the State Treasurer of his ability to make investments, especially when we've got a cash flow problem and a shortage of money. There's a quarantee in this that that money will not be squandered by the Treasurer but will be invested wisely, and it will be invested wisely in a period

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

when there's a limited amount that can be invested. As to the other point, I know others have suggested a fear that we're opening up and giving away some credits or the purchase of credit by Members of the General Assembly. That's not the case. The uncorrected copy did do that. allowed a window for the purchase of credit without paying for the funded costs to the State of Illinois. doesn't do that at all. It merely clarifies the situation where a Member of the General Assembly has had certain service in another section of the law as a local employee. We're not giving any Member of the General Assembly the right to any free ride or any bonus or any giveaway in the purchase of credit. It's an important Bill, a important Conference Committee Report if indeed we are to spur the economy in this state, increase the pension investment and get that economy going, and it deserves an 'aye' vote for all the reasons well articulated hν Representative Barr."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative McBroom."

ScBroom: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Barr, to close."

Barr: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me just close by re-emphasizing that language in this Bill is deemed to be very important by the Treasurer, Treasurer Cosentino, and by Governor Thompson. Certain technical changes are deemed to be necessary by the Attorney General. As Representative Stuffle pointed out, there are... there are some changes in the pension provisions, but there's no free ride offered. There's nothing... There are no new benefits bestowed, and this is good legislation. It's necessary legislation, and

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

I would urge an 'aye' vote."

ipeaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in
 favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.
 The voting's open. Representative Vinson, to explain his
 vote. The timer's on."

finson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Now, the pension funds, the state investment program has been earning five, six percent through these years of extraordinarily high interest rates. That is not a way to cut into unfunded accrued liabilities. Now, what this Bill permits, the single, most important provision, in my judgement, is this Bill really makes effective the ability of the Treasurer to invest state funds in the Illinois Construction Industry, be it residential By changing the language on the pass-through consumer. mortgages, what the Gentleman from Cook has done is to create effective language so that those investments we thought we had authorized last year can actually be made. The provisions in the Bill last year, because of technical drafting errors and because of the quickness with which it was done, did not permit that kind of investment to be made, very substantially, because most of those funds simply are not insured the way the language appeared to require last year. The way the language is this year, which is a very minor change and just recognizes the realities of the marketplace and, in no way, risks those public funds, the way that language is now changed will permit substantial investment in the construction industry, in the housing industry in Illinois. And that will permit, not only jobs for Illinois, but in addition to that, a much higher return on those public funds and that is what we can use to cut into the unfunded accrued liabilities. I would strongly urge 'aye' votes on this Bill. It's an extremely

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

important Bill for the Illinois investment climate."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the greatest theft of our pension funds in the state over the years has been inflation, and some of the worst investments that we've made in the pension funds have been fixed rate investments in a period of inflation. I think this Bill, this Conference Committee Report, gives the flexibility to the trustees of these funds to engage in the same modern type investment that a prudent man would invest in with the Trust Department of the Northern Trust Continental Bank or any one of the professional trustees in our state. We're modernizing our law. We're working against the theft of our money through inflation by allowing more alternatives in investment; and, as I said, the worst investments in the past have been those made by the Illinois pension trustees in a most conservative and the most fixed income returns that have been eaten away by inflation. It's a good Bill, and I vote 'ave'."

speaker Daniels: "Representative Deuster."

when some good ideas and some good Bills are lumped in with some questionable concepts. On page twelve of this Bill is a possible expansion of the General Assembly Retirement System that may go beyond the scope. The purpose of the good General Assembly Pension System is that we live in a business that has high risk; and, when we allow credit for people who, in the words of this Bill, 'occupy positions exempt from the classified service', I don't think 'position' is defined. Are we extending credit for a person who might have been a private consultant? I think this has the danger of opening a loophole, and we ought to be concerned. I'm sorry that part of the Bill is lumped in

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

with what has been expressed by Representative Pierce and others to be very important and necessary legislation."

'peaker Daniels: "Representative Lechowicz."

echowicz: "Thank you."

- "ipeaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 126 'aye', 36 'no', 7 voting 'present'.

 The House adopts Corrected Conference Committee Report #2, and Senate Bill 1452, having received an extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative McBroom, rise?"
- icBroom: "Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry. I don't understand. Maybe you can explain it to me or the Parliamentarian. It's the day after we're suppose to adjourn. One-hundred and twenty... It's the day after we're suppose to adjourn. One-hundred and twenty some odd votes on the board and people are still explaining their votes. Could you give me an answer to that, Mr. Speaker?"
- peaker Daniels: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Wayne
 rise, Representative Robbins?"
- that it happened. There was so many people running around on the aisle that the Governor's people didn't have...
- teilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 714, which is...Representative Bullock is the House Sponsor, he has asked that I handle in his absence, and I understand that's agreed with the other side. So, I would move to suspend the appropriate rules for immediate consideration of Senate Bill ... of the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 714."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

ipeaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. There any
 objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is
 granted. Representative Reilly, on the Motion."

teilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 714 is fairly simple. It is agreed between business, labor and the Industrial Commission. It simply provides a statutory mechanism for what happens when a self-insured company which, under present law, has to post a bond. It goes bankrupt. There's just a void. There's no way that the Industrial Commission, on behalf of the employees, gets hold of that money. The other thing that it does is provide a statutory authority for a small fee for computer and other statistical reports. Be glad to answer questions but; otherwise, I would ask for approval of the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 714."

ipeaker Daniels: "Rep...Senate Bill 714, having been taken from the table and placed on the Calendar, the Order of Second Conference Committee Report. Representative Reilly has moved for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. Are there... Is there any discussion? Representative Piel."

iel: "Question, Mr. Speaker."

:peaker Daniels: "He indicates he'll..."

'iel: "First of all, this 714, do we...has this been distributed?"

:peaker Daniels: "It has not been, Sir."

'iel: "Thank you."

peaker Daniels: "Alright, we'll take ... we'll take it out of the record. It will appear on the Calendar under Conference Committee Reports, Second Report. Out of the record. On the Calendar, Conference Committee Reports, page two, House Bill 1938, Representative Kulas.

Gentleman, Representative Kulas, asks to suspend the

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

appropriate rules to have House Bill 1938 taken from the Calendar... or from the table, placed on the Calendar on Order of Conference Committee Reports, Second Conference Committee. Leave to immediate consideration. Any objections? Hearing no objections, unanimous leave is given. House Bill 1938, Representative Kulas."

inquiry. Last night, when I asked that a Second Conference Committee be appointed, Representative Getty brought up the fact that I had not rejected Conference Committee #1. What is the position of the Bill now? The House has not acted on Conference Committee #1, and I d like to, right now, reject Conference Committee #1 and go to Conference Committee #2."

ipeaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved to reject Conference
 Committee Report #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye',
 opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Conference Committee
 Report #1 is rejected. Now, is there a Second Conference
 Committee Report?"

Kulas: "Yes, there is."

Speaker Daniels: "And that is on the Calendar, and it has been distributed. Is that correct, Sir?"

'ulas: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Eulas: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1938, as it went out of the House, was a Bill that required that the Secretary of State notify so-called social clubs that they cannot serve liquor at their...in their premises without the proper local and state licensing requirements. The Senate had put on an Amendment at the request of the Secretary of State. When we brought this Amendment back, we nonconcurred because there was some

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

problems that Representative Leinenweber and Representative Brummer and other people had. And Conference Committee #2 deleted the fact that the Secretary of State will not deny... will not pull the charters of these so-called social clubs on any violation, but will only pull their charters if the violation is for selling liquor without a license. And I would ask for an affirmative Roll Call."

ipeaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Leinenweber."
ieinenweber: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"
ipeaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

"einenweber: "Representative, what is the problem that this Bill is aimed at, and it's obviously aimed at some situation somewhere. And could you tell us what it is?"

lulas: "Yes, Representative Leinenweber. The problem is in, especially like in my area in Chicago, there are a lot of gangs who form so-called social clubs, and they get not-for-profit charters. And they open up a storefront, and all, in fact, is what that is is it's a tavern, they do not get any liquor license from the city or from the state. They don't pay any state sales tax or anything else. Now, when the police raid these places, they say, *Well, we're not selling the liquor. It's paid out of the membership's dues. And they get away with this, and the police's hands are tied. So, what this Bill would, by the passage of this Bill, the Secretary of State would notify these clubs that they can't even serve liquor in their premises unless they comply with the local and state laws."

Leinenweber: "Well, the way I read the Second Conference
Committee Report they run into problems with their charter
only if they are selling or offering for sale alcoholic
liquors, not if they're giving it away. Is that correct?"
Kulas: "Yes."

Leinenweber: "Which is a violation of numerous laws, almost too

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

numerous to mention. I really wonder why the police have been having a problem. If they're selling alcohol without a license, then they've violated the law and are subject to prosecution."

Yulas: "Well, the problem is that the police have to catch them in the act of selling. If they can't catch them in the act of selling, there's nothing that they can do about it. This would prohibit them from even having liquor in their club unless they comply with the local and city licenses."

Leinenweber: "Would there be any reason why one of these outfits couldn't, after their charter is revoked, turn around and obtain a new one?"

Yulas: "No, nothing to prohibit them from obtaining a new license, except that from now on the Secretary of State will have a record; and, when they applying for their charter, it will be stated in their charter that they will not serve liquor without complying to the local and state laws."

Leinenweber: "I have no further questions."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and Representative Kulas, to close."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill passed out of the House 161... with 161 votes. It's a problem which we are trying to address. It doesn't affect any of the legitimate organizations; such as, the veteran clubs and other clubs. This is just aimed at the so-called social clubs which are run by gangs, and I would ask for an affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Notion. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 157 'aye', none voting 'no', 10 voting 'present'. The House adopts Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 1938, having received an extraordinary three-fifths Majority, is declared passed. your first Bill? ... Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 93, Daniels - Collins.

House Resolution 1078, Rigney; 1103, Katz - et al; 1105,

Catania - et al; and, 1106, Dick Kelly."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Senate Joint Resolution 93, Daniels and Collins; that we strongly urge the President of the United States and Congress to impose a steel import limitation under provisions of the Trade Act of 1974. Riqney, Resolution 1078. The Illinois Department of Transportation be directed to conduct a thorough analysis of bona estimate of market potential for business and recreation travel to and from Chicago, tourist and recreation travel to and from Galena and JoDaviess County area and connecting service to O'Hare International Airport. House Resolution 1103, Katz - et al; that Ray E. (sic - F.) Duty of Illinois will be retiring on September 1, 1982 from his position as Arbitrator of the Illinois Industrial Commission after 22 years of outstanding and meritorious service. House Resolution 1105, Catania - et al: that commend the Kemper Group for its foresight and concern for human beings who are victims of violent crime and rape. House Resolution 1106, Dick Kelly: that we commend the Cheker Oil Company and Richard P. Small for providing excellent and distinguished service to our community and the State of Illinois. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Jaffe, what do you want?"

Jaffe: "No, I would just like Representative Conti to run the first Resolution by us again."

Speaker Daniels: "Why don't you go over there and look at it?"

Jaffe: "Could you hold it until we do?"

Speaker Daniels: "Walk over there. I'll wait for you."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I would object to the first Senate

Joint Resolution. What was it? 93. I think that ought to
go to Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Yeah, I would object to House Resolution 1078."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti... or Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I understand that Senate Joint
Resolution 93 has been knocked off the agreed list; and, at
this time, I would ask for unanimous consent that it may be
considered for immediate consideration."

Speaker Daniels: "There are objections by Representative Jaffe.

Gentleman, Representative Collins, moves that the House
consider immediately Senate Joint Resolution 93. Senate,

Mr. Clerk."

Collins: "Senate Joint Resolution #93, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Senate Joint Resolution 93. Any discussion?

Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I would oppose that particular Motion, and I would oppose that particular Motion as a Democrat, quite truthfully, in objection to the way that the Democrats on this side of the aisle have been

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

handled. It seems to me that whenever we have a Resolution by and large that deals with domestic policy or foreign policy what happens, on other side of the aisle, it's said it's objected to, and we have to go sit through the Executive Committee for about ten hours at a time and have... and have these innocuous Resolutions sometimes But this is not an innocuous Resolution. heard there. think it's a matter for domestic policy. I think it's a matter for foreign policy. I think it's a controversial matter, and I think that we should not let that type of Resolution merely out. We should send it to the Executive Committee or whatever Committee the Assignments wants to sent it to. and let them have a it through at hearing. I don't see why we have to push this particular time, and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "No, I certainly did want recognition. I kind of resent the implication that I shouldn't speak on issue. I would like to certainly second Representative Jaffe said. If you read this Resolution, it flies directly in the face of the free market that supposedly we Republicans and anybody who knows what the real problems in the world are believe in. So, I certainly don't think that we ought to consider this thing without major review. We ought to send this to the Poreign Relations Committee or whatever Committee's jurisdiction this thing would fall under. It's questionable where it would fall, but perhaps a Committee of the Whole where we could bring in experts from all over the world to testify on this weighty measure. But I think this is the type of stuff that fortunately or hopefully Washington would put in the circular file, even if we do pass it, I don't think we ought to waste our time and go on record

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

in a bad principle."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the opposition of the Gentleman from Skokie is no surprise to me, but the opposition from the Gentleman from Will is more than a surprise. It's a shock. Now, his district is very much akin to mine where we are suffering very serious unemployment in the backbone of his district, as mine, is the steel industry. And his constituency, I'm sure, would be crying out for us to speak out in a loud and strong voice on this subject to do something to save this industry which is struggling to maintain its viability today in this country."

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me. Representative Collins, excuse me.

Representative Jaffe is waving his hand in the air.

Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yeah, point of order. Let the Gentleman address the Motion and not the Resolution itself."

Speaker Daniels: "I think that you also addressed the Resolution."

Jaffe: "I beg to differ with you, I did not."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Collins. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I... if I should.. if I should answer the Gentleman from Skokie, I'd have to get into the field of foreign policy and perhaps go before the Foreign Relations Committee, and there I would receive a welcome from the.. from Speaker Ryan's very good friend, the Chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee. However, if I may get back... if I may get back to the subject at hand, we all know that the steel industry is.. is the backbone of this country. We know that this industry has been eroded today because of the dumping of foreign steel in this

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

country, and this Resolution merely calls upon the President of the United States and the Congress of the United States to do every thing they can to ... to assist this vital industry..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "... in this country and to..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Collins, you're asking leave of the House... you're asking... You're moving that the House consider immediately Senate Joint Resolution 93. Correct?

On that Motion...."

Collins: "I am. I guess I was carried away by my sense of shock at the remarks of the Gentleman from Will."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kane, on that Motion."

Kane: "Yeah, point of order. Can that Motion be brought before us when the Resolution is not printed and on our desks? I don't...I don't think that we are supposed to vote..."

Speaker Daniels: "The Parliamentarian says yes on a Resolution."

Kane: "Under what rule?"

Speaker Daniels: "Webb's rules. He says it's proper. On the Motion for immediate consideration?"

Kane: "On voting on it."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay. All right. Representative..."

Kane: "I don't think...I don't think that, unless we have copies in front of us and distributed, I don't think that the rules allow for voting."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Collins has moved that the House consider immediately Senate Joint Resolution 93. On that Motion, all in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. This is on the Gentleman's Motion for immediate consideration. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. There are 120 'aye', 28 'no' and one voting 'present'. Gentleman's

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Motion prevails. Representative Collins on Senate Joint Resolution 93."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I would have enjoyed that vote on щy election Bill earlier. Let me just add, as I started to say earlier, that this Resolution merely speaks to massive problem facing the State of Illinois and this country and my constituency and my district certainly great magnitude. We have experienced great lay-offs in our steel industry in the Chicagoland area. In my district, we have all of the major steel companies, and the employees of these companies reside in my district and surrounding districts. Unemployment has been soaring in this...in this and the...the Resolution merely calls upon President and the...and the Congress of the United States to insure that these foreign companies desist from dumping in our market. It would...It would force these foreign companies to compete fairly with American Steel in marketplace, and it would ask that Congress impose steel import limitations under the Trade Act of 1974, and I would urge you to vote in favor of this Resolution."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would draw your attention to House Rule 41(a), which says that this Subsection may be suspended only upon an affirmative vote of 107 Members, but that this Subsection may be suspended as to the assignment of a Resolution to Committee, only after the Resolution has been reproduced and distributed on the Members' desks, except with the unanimous consent of the House. And I think that objections were raised, and I don't believe that this matter is properly before us at this time."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kane, the Parliamentarian cites
Rule 41(b) that says that the Speaker and the Minority
Leader may agree that all Resolutions may be considered by

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

the whole House without suspension of the rules. adopted as a group by a single Motion. The effect of your objection was to consider a division of the question. The Parliamentarian has advised me that, in his opinion, the action of the House in considering SJR 93 at this time before the House is proper. Representative Leinenweber." Leinenweber: "Well, even though I, in violation of the rules, this Resolution during the debate on whether we spoke on ought to suspend the rules, I would just like to reiterate a couple of matters that were...that I mentioned then. are in, by this Resolution, doing exactly what some of the whereas clauses say that we shouldn't do. We are strongly urging the President of the United States and Congress to impose...impose import limitations, which is nothing short of, really, strong violations of the principles of free Now, perhaps this ought to be done; however, it ought to be done in the context of lengthy negotiations with foreign countries after hearing all of the appropriate And I sus...I suspect that there are not too officials. many Members of this Body who really have the knowledge and the background to make an intelligent decision on this particular issue: not that that has ever bothered this particular Body in the past. But, I would suggest that maybe a *present* vote, if you feel that we ought to have some kind of protectionist help for the steel industry, would be the right vote. But I don't think we ought to pass this thing and send this Resolution down to Washington where it will be ignored, but we might look a little foolish in the process."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "Okay. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, being a farmer, I wouldn't know anything about an embargo. It is really good business, it costs the State of

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

Illinois several million dollars in income tax alone when embar...through the embargoes that have been placed on our grain by other nations. At the present time, we don't have a definite what you would call embargo. We just have trade barriers that act as embargoes. And, as long as we are dealing as individuals against governments, we will face those embargoes or trade negotiations. I think we need to support this Resolution and support our steel industry."

- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Collins to close."
- Collins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Perhaps the Gentleman who sits to my right is more concerned with free trade and the niceties of negotiation than he is about hungry people in his district and mine, but I'm not. And I think...I think that we should send the message that our ailing steel industry needs help, and anything we can do to express ourselves to help them, I think we should do. And I would ask for your favorable vote on this Resolution."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Senate

 Joint Resolution 93. All in favor signify by voting 'aye',
 opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted

 who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
 wish? Representative Macdonald."
- Macdonald: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I...I quite agree with a lot of the remarks that were made by my seatmate, Representative Collins, and all. But, without being able to see and read a Resolution of this magnitude, which has not been delivered to me, I cannot vote on any Resolution like this."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 110 'aye', 37 'no', 14 voting 'present'. Senate Joint Resolution 93 is adopted.

137th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1982
- Representative Dwight Friedrich, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Priedrich: "Mr. Speaker, a few minutes ago I was in the back of the room doing some copying, and I was off the floor when the Agreed Resolutions were presented. One of those was for Raymond Duty, who is from my town, and it was memorializing him. And I can tell you, the statements in the Resolution are not accurate, and I'm not going to go into that except to say that he is one of the hearing officers that we had before the Legislative Audit Commission, because they were awarding each other awards of considerable size."
- Speaker Daniels: "What Resolution number was that, Sir?"
- Friedrich: "I don't have it. It's the one...Representative Conti
- Speaker Daniels: "Why don't you come down and check with...1103.

 It's 1103."
- Friedrich: "All right. All I want to say, I'm not going into all the reasons why we shouldn't memorialize Ray Duty. I'd just like unanimous consent to have my name...the record show that my name is not one of those voting for it."
- Speaker Daniels: "Agreed Resolutions have not been adopted yet,
 Sir. If you wish to object, you may do so."
- Friedrich: "Then I object to it being in the Agreed Resolutions."

 Speaker Daniels: "You're within your right, Sir. Representative

 Schneider."
- Schneider: "We're proving one of those famous laws of the Illinois Legislature. If there's a vacuum to be filled, we'll fill it. I mean, now when we start attacking Agreed Resolution, which in some cases make sense, I guess, but why don't we just go to dinner. Let Elmer read those Agreed Resolutions. Otherwise, we're going to start looking at ones on whether or not we should recall

137th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1982
- Representative Hoffman's doctoral commemoration. I've got a Motion to reconsider that, now that his wife is here. I mean, we're filling up the vacuum. This is nonsense. Let's go eat dinner. All right, let's let Elmer eat. Let Elmer cook."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti, what items are left on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have House Resolution 1105 and House Resolution 1106, which are congra...congratulatory Resolution and one is a commendable Resolution, and I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- "Are there objections? Speaker Daniels: anv Hearing по the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. objections. Now. pursuant to Representative Schneider's Motion, he moves that the House stand at ease...recess until the hour of 8:00 o'clock for the purpose of dinner. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House will stand in recess until 8:00 o'clock."
- Speaker Ryan: "The House will be in order. The Supplemental

 Calendar #2 under the Order of Conference Committee Reports

 appears Senate Bill 1400, Representative Wolf.

 Representative Wolf."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, first I d like to have leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rules and leave to hear Senate Bill 1400 and 1401 together. One is the authorization."
- Speaker Ryan: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. He's asked leave to take Senate Bills 1400 and 1401 off the table and asked leave to have them...to suspend the appropriate rule to have them heard together. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Proceed, Representative."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Is... Senate Bill 1400 is

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

the Capital Development Board new capital money. Conference Committee recommends that the Senate concur in House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 13, 14 and 15 and that the House recede from House Amendments 8, 10, 11 and 12, and that Senate Bill 1400 be further amended to include the following projects: 28,000 dollars in Ag Premium Funds for Mercer County Fairgrounds Cattle Building replacement; a 200,000 dollar school construction bond. pardon me, roof replacement for Naperville Junior High School: 1.1 million dollar Lincoln Developmental Center rehabilitation and remodeling for certification and accreditation: a 1.5 million state 50 percent converting St. Mary's Hospital in LaSalle to a veterans center - this was Amendment which was agreed but receded from in the change of the language - the ... would require that the local...the locals match by 50 percent, that they put their money up first before any state money would come into play on this; and 50 million for the public library grants for the Secretary of the State. That's it on 1400. and... Okay, 1401 is the authorization. Ιt adds 145,329,100 dollars to the current authorization level, which would provide the authorization for those particular projects that I just read in Senate Bill 1400."

Speaker Ryan: "Further discussion?"

- Wolf, J. J.: "I would then move, Mr. Speaker, that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1400."
- Speaker Ryan: "Well, I think we have some discussion here,
 Representative Wolf, before you do that. I'll give you an
 opportunity to close. The Lady from DuPage, Representative
 Fawell."
- Fawell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates that he will."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- Pawell: "Jake, I have a...the Illinois Deaf and Blind School in my district, and they were promised that they would also be included in the Capital Development defreeze. Do you know anything about it? They got a letter from the Governor saving that..."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Is that a... Is that a project that is presently in there?"

Pawell: "I think so. I think so."

Wolf, J. J.: "Is it frozen at the moment? Do you know?"

Fawell: "It was frozen in, and...and he was...the Dr. Mitchell,
who's the head of that Illinois Deaf and Blind School
told...got a letter from the Governor, and I also got a
phone call from the Governor's Office."

Wolf, J. J.: "Okay. There are...There are a number of existing projects right now that...that that would be in the reapport...reappropriation Bill."

Fawell: "Yeah, is..."

Wolf, J. J.: "It'll have...That's not this Bill here, and I don't know if it's in it."

Fawell: "Okay. Could you...Could the staff maybe look it up?

I'd like to make sure that it's in there someplace."

Wolf, J. J.: "Yeah."

Fawell: "Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I don't believe that the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1401 has been distributed yet. I would raise an objection..."

Speaker Ryan: "Mr. Clerk, has the Conference Committee on 1401 been distributed? Doug, your staff person there behind you has it if you'll to have it."

Kane: "I would ask for a division of the question, then."

Speaker Ryan: "Pardon?"

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Kane: "I would ask for a division of the question between 1400 and 1401."

Speaker Ryan: "Oftentimes we'll do that for you Representative.

The Gentleman had asked leave to hear them together."

Wolf, J. J.: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Yes."

Wolf, J. J.: "I did ask leave to hear them together and unanimous consent..."

Speaker Ryan: "That's right, and leave was granted,
Representative Kane. Your request is not timely.
Representative Winchester. Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates he will."

Kane: "Is 1401 the Bill which raises the debt ceiling for the state?"

Wolf, J. J.: "Yes."

Kane: "How much does it raise it from?"

Wolf, J. J.: "Okay. It was 1.6 billion to 1.8. The change is 145,329,100 dollars."

Kane: "How much?"

Wolf, J. J.: "145,329,100."

Kane: "Okay, and...and that raises the total debt obligation of the state to what number?"

Wolf, J. J.: "About 1.8 billion, approximately."

Kane: "That's only for the Capital Development Board, right?"

Wolf, J. J.: "Yes."

Kane: "Now, if you add in the other general obligation debt of the state, what does that raise it to?"

Wolf, J. J.: "Okay, about...about 4.8 billion right now with all funds including principal and interest."

Kane: "Now, if you...that's only what has already been sold. Is that not true?"

Wolf, J. J.: "It includes authorized but unissued."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Kane: "Without the interest."

Wolf, J. J.: "This includes...includes principal and interest.

That's total."

Kane: "I think those number are inaccurate. I wish you'd double check them."

Wolf, J. J.: "These are from the Comptroller's Office. I'd be happy to share these with you."

Kane: "How much has already been sold?"

Wolf, J. J.: "Just over 3.8 billion."

Kane: "And how much authorized?"

Wolf, J. J.: "5.1."

Kane: "So, 5.1 billion has been authorized. How come the total debt is only 4.8?"

Wolf, J. J.: "That includes the outstanding interest as well, when I gave you that figure."

Kane: "Okay, but if 5.1 billion in debt in principal has been authorized, how come the total debt outstanding is only 4.8 if you don't include all of the authorized debt."

Wolf, J. J.: "Because there is...there is 1.3 authorized but unissued and 3.8 that has been issued. The principal is about...just about 3. It's 2.9 billion and the outstanding interest is 1.9."

Kane: "Okay, but the 4.8 is only outstanding. That does not include the authorized but unissued. Is that not correct?"

Wolf, J. J.: "Correct."

Kane: "So, in addition to the 4.8, you've have 1.3 that is authorized but unissued."

Wolf, J. J.: "Yes, exactly."

Kane: "So, that comes to 6 point...about 6.2."

Wolf, J. J.: "Well, that is assuming, of course, that you issue everything that has been authorized."

Kane: "Well, why would you authorize something you were not going to issue?"

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Wolf, J. J.: "Well, you never know what's going to happen on the second floor."

Kane: "Well, why are we authorizing more, then, if part of what we've authorized isn't going to be issued?"

Wolf, J. J.: "We're authorizing the amount that...to take care of
the additional...the additional projects which
we're...which we're recommending in Senate Bill 1400."

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what we're Kane: doing tonight with Senate Bill 1400 and Senate Bill 1401 is raising the debt ceiling of the state. We've already authorized, since the new constitution, which was passed in 1970, we have authorized some 5...almost 5.2 billion dollars worth of general obligation debt for the state. We're being asked tonight to authorize another 140 million dollars. We already have an outstanding debt of 4.9 billion. We have authorized but unissued 1.3 billion, and you add to that the interest which will accrue on what has been authorized but unissued, we are in the neighborhood of seven to seven and a half, eight billion dollars worth of debt that this state has incurred in a ten year period; which means that we are deficit financing each year approximately 800 million dollars. You know, in every year, the Governor, the people who sponsor this legislation come to us and say, 'This has become a way of life. don't do it now, you're going to have to do it later. Well, I think it's time that this Legislature said, 'This far and no further'. We are to the place where we are up over...we have a debt in this state of over two and a half percent of the personal income of this state, which is the marginal place where the bonding people think that we are in a danger zone. The only reason why we are not in a danger zone is that we started with such a low base in 1970. We are now spending close to 300 million dollars a

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

year in general revenue and road funds to pay off the principal and interest on bonds that have been sold in the last ten years. The state is increasing its debt at two to two and a half percent the rate...two to two and a half times the rate of the federal debt, and we are spending ourselves into a time of fiscal chaos. Not only are we increasing the general obligation debt of the state, we are putting off until next year an awful lot of expenditures we are incurring this year. that Not only are we increasing the general obligation debt, we are increasing pension debt. We are increasing our unemployment debt to the federal government. In fact, this ... just this last week, the Tribune put together an article which said that, *How is the state getting into the place where we are into of 18 billion dollars? .. debt the tune to This Legislature, over the last several years, has not been fiscally sound. We are in the position where we are spending ourselves into a position of fiscal chaos, it's a debt that's going to come due next year, the year after. We're going to be faced with the position of either declaring bankruptcy..."

Speaker Ryan: "Bring your remarks to a close, Representative."

Kane: "...or raising taxes. That is the choice that is going to

be facing us next year, and I'd urge that we start taking

responsible action now and voting 'no'. Representative

Daniels, I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Ryan: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates that he will."

Frederick: "Representative Wolf, I notice that you have an item for museums this year, as usual. Could you tell me if that is the same as last year? Is it less? Is it more?"

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Wolf, J. J.: "Did you say museums?"

Prederick: "Yes."

Wolf, J. J.: "No, it's for the...No, it's for libraries. This is a new authorization level. This is for library grants to the Secretary of State."

Frederick: "Yeah, it says museum grant."

Wolf, J. J.: "Where do you see museums? There should be nothing... Are you on Senate Bill 1400?"

Frederick: "Yeah, I am."

Speaker Ryan: "Could we have a little order in the chamber, please? The noise level is pretty high here. Mr. Doorman, would you clear the floor of all unauthorized people?"

Wolf, J. J.: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I can't hear the Lady's question."

Prederick: "It's on the third page of our staff analysis."

Wolf, J. J.: "I don't know what this is, but it's not...it's the
wrong sheet. It does not pertain to this Bill. It does
not."

Frederick: "Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cass, Representative Findley." Findley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Would

the...Would the Sponsor yield to a guestion, please?"

Speaker Ryan: "No. Indicates he will."

Findley: "Mr. Sponsor, in my analysis, it says there's appropriated in GRF funds 500,000 dollars for ChicagoFest.

Is that correct?"

Wolf, J. J.: "That's not the right Bill. You've got the wrong sheet. I just told the Lady from Lake the same thing.

That does not pertain to this Bill. It's erroneous."

Findley: "Okay. Does this pertain to...Will this come up later, Sir?"

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Wolf, J. J.: "Yes."

Pindley: "If I reinsert this someplace else..."

Wolf, J. J.: "Yeah, that sheet does not belong with this."

Findley: "Okay, thank you. That satisfies my question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Certainly glad to hear that. Representative Piel."

Piel: "Move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Gentleman's Motion prevails.

Representative Wolf to close."

Wolf, J. J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly, the Gentleman from Sangamon so excitingly pointed out that...the areas in which we are spending money, and he knows full well...the Gentleman knows full well that we have the Kane Amendment on the CDB that he put on there some years ago which says before the state can spend money on a particular project that we have to authorize the entire amount, even though it may not be spent for a long number of years. And what the Gentleman is suggesting as an alternative is that we fund long-range capital projects with GRF dollars. That makes as much sense of going out and taking a house and buying it with cash, when everybody knows you don't buy houses that way, or at least not too many of us can. You take out a 25 year mortgage and amortize it over that period. And so, I would just ask for the affirmative votes of the Assembly on Senate...on the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1400 and 1401."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference

Committee Report \$1 on Senate Bills 1400 and 1401?'. All

in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by

voting 'no'. This is final action. Representative

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Winchester, one minute to explain your vote."

Winchester: "Well, it looks as if we've got the necessary votes,
Mr. Speaker, but I was a conferee on 1400, and my signature
is not on the Report. And for the record, I would like at
least to put in the record that I do support Senate Bill
1400."

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 117 voting 'aye', 38 voting 'no', 8 voting 'present', and these Bills, having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed. On page two of the regular...or page three of the regular Calendar, under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1398, Representative Wolf. Out of the record. Supplemental Calendar #2, under Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 991, Representative Keane. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 991, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 991 as it...as reads in Conference Committee Report #1 deletes everything that was in the Bill, and basically is the tax on tax Bill. It's a tax on tax, and it only affects the state part of the state utility tax. As the Members know, all three kinds of utility taxes that we levy are levied on gross receipts, and the gross receipts are defined to include the other utility taxes. So, there are three...there are different kinds of taxes. Within the five percent gross utility tax, there is a two percent direct receipt pass-through tax, and a three percent tax that is contained right in the rate base. In addition to this, there a .08 percent surtax, which is levied against the five percent gross receipts tax, and this is assessed by the Commerce

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Commission to defray the Commission expenses. What has happened is, is that we are taxing our taxes in the case of utilities. The Conference Committee Report to House Bill 991 would alleviate this situation. It will cost the state approximately 11.9 million. This will be in the form of relief to people who pay utility bills and are taxed with the existing tax on tax. I think most of...almost everyone is aware of this inequity. I'd be happy to answer any questions, and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Ryan: "There any discussion? The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing, do you have questions?"

Ewing: "Mr. Sp...Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Would you proceed?"

Ewing: "Why did you call on me?"

Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman indicates he'll yield to your questions."

Ewing: "Thank you. I think some of my seatmates must be playing tricks on me and punched my button. I think we ought to get on to work."

Speaker Ryan: "Somebody told me the lights were on, but nobody was home. Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Miller."

Hiller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in support of this Conference Committee Report. This Report is nearly identical to House Bill 2377 which we passed out of this chamber earlier this year, 125 to 30. One thing Representative Keane omitted was the fact that, in the future, all of the state utility tax will be disclosed on the customer's bill in full. Presently three—fifths of that bill is now submerged in the service base, and I think this will be sort of a truth in taxation for utility taxes as well. But, it's an excellent Report,

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

and appreciate the Sponsor's assistance in this matter.

Recommend an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin....Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Speaker O'Brien. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I too rise in support of this measure. I think that, for the entire two years in this General Assembly, this may well be the only public utility reform to pass this Body. It's a modest savings to the senior citizens. I think it's fair, and I urge its adoption."

Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, Sir?"

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative Keane, is there anything in this

Conference Report relating to the Homestead exemption?"

Keane: "Unfortunately, there isn't. No, this is just strictly tax on tax."

Vinson: "Representative Keane, is there anything in this

Conference Report related to the method of selection for
the Commerce Commission?"

Keane: "No, it has nothing to do with that."

Vinson: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Ryan: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake,
Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Would the...Would the Gentleman yield to a guestion, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates he will."

Pierce: "Mr. Keane, you stated you didn't do anything about the municipal tax on a tax. You didn't attack that problem?"

Keane: "No."

Pierce: "Why not? Isn't that just as evil a thing of paying a tax on a tax, as the state tax is?"

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- Keane: "Well, it may be. It may be even more evil, but we felt
 the Bill would pass in this form and probably would be good
 to test it, see what the impact is, see how the effect
 would be of...of breaking out the utility taxes in the bill
 and also not taxing the tax. Perhaps at some future date,
 together we could Cosponsor a Bill to do what you suggest."
- Pierce: "Well. fine. Mr. Speaker. I think the Gentleman has pointed out a shortcoming here. I think some people think half a loaf is better than a full loaf, and they're probably right. But, one of the worst evils is the municipal utility tax applying on top of the gas bill, electric bill and the state tax as well, and that still will persist. Some of our reformers, however, are so enamored of their municipal governments and their and so on, they don't dare touch the municipal tax on a I guess that will take another day. Representative Conti's here protecting municipalities and others. He's with me, he says, because he's fair at all times; and therefore, will come back next year, maybe, and eliminate that evil, the municipal tax on a tax on gas and electric bills. But this is half a loaf, and I intend to support it."
- Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Smith."
- Smith: "Hr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I move the previous question."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'.

 The 'ayes' have it, and the Gentleman's Motion prevails.

 Representative Keane to close."
- Keane: "I think it has been well debated, and I ask for your favorable consideration."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Conference Committee Report on House Bill 991?. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this guestion there are 166 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #2 under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2504, Representative Reilly."

- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask leave to suspend the appropriate rules for immediate consideration."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman asks leave to support...to suspend the appropriate rules for immediate consideration of House Bill 2504. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted, and House Bill 2504 is suspended and available for immediate consideration."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2504, this is the Second Conference Committee Report. It has finally been worked out between myself, and the administration and the Auditor General. I believe we've got the language right this time. Bill as originally introduced, created an inner agency service fund for DCCA. We also clarify purchasing power for DAS and CBD. clarification of procedures for professional artistic service contracts, allows the Governor, for the first six months of FY '83, to make inner fund transfers. A key provision that led to the compromise was the provision relating to certification of payroll vouchers. The criminal sanction was taken out, but in its place, the Bill mandates, absolutely mandates the word 'shall' is used. It mandates that anyone who violates the Act shall be removed from office. I'd be glad to answer questions; otherwise, I would ask a favorable Roll Call."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Byan: "Indicates he will."

Mautino: "Representative Reilly, you know I've had legislation in addressing the question of par...professional artistic contracts. I find it very interesting that on page 13 starting with line 13, we are now going into the business of authorizing oral contracts not reduced to writing, even after the contract has been instituted. Since when is that a policy to hire people on oral contracts and not have anything in writing under professional artistic? It seems to me that we are taking a step backwards and opening up to a greater degree those funds that we allocate for architects, engineers and attorneys which has not been the case in any of the previous Bills. Would you please explain why that's in this legislation?"

Reilly: "It's my understanding that in no way is this a step backwards, but if anything, a step forwards. Those practices have regrettably occurred. Usually it is regrettably. I suppose sometimes it is а genuine What this provides is a mechanism to make sure emergency. that the officer who authorizes a payment comes under the provision that says he shall be removed from office if he violates the law. Before the Comptroller can payment, the responsible authorizing official must sign a certification which puts his name on the line. And if it turns out that he has done this improperly in violation of the Act, then he shall be removed from office. Ιf anything, in that regard, I think it is a step forward."

Mautino: "To take that a step further, Mr. Beilly, do you know of any business entities in the State of Illinois that authorize contractual services on oral agreements?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

137th Legislative Day Reilly: "Yes."

Mautino: "Do attornevs do it?"

Reilly: "Yes."

Mautino: "In what cases?"

Reilly: "In cases where, for whatever...whatever reason the...the contracts aren't prepared in time, there is some time We all do it every day as a matter of element involved. course. I am currently getting some things printed for campaign, as an example. I didn't sign a contract. We did it...we did it in terms of an oral negotiation. That doesn't make it any less binding, and the point is that here, the director of the agency or his designee, whoever is going to put their name on the line, must sign in writing that it is being done properly and must certify that. We're not taking anything out ο£ the understand, in that regard. And as I say, if anything, we're taking a step forward."

Mautino: "Well, to take that just one final step further. just...I have a problem accepting the fact that we allow anyone to have oral contracts under professional artistic. I do believe that for audit procedures and for checks and balances, it is better to have those contracts signed before those people are paid. I notice that it is also in excess of \$2,500. Ιn other words, you could...some administrative head or some person in the administration could contract for 50 or \$100,000 as long as he signed the warrant and said it was okay, and it was done properly. That seems to me quite a bit of latitude. Therefore, I have a question about 2504."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, I just want to thank Representative Reilly for his help in working out a problem we had connect...that the

July 1, 1982

137th Legislative Day

- July 1. 1982
- Auditor General had and the Legislative Audit Commission had in working out the problem."
- Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Nulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor. Representative Reilly, why
 do you want to give the Governor the authority to transfer
 from any special fund in the State Treasury into the
 General Revenue Fund?"
- Reilly: "So that we can get through the first six months of the next fiscal year."
- Mulcahey: "So you get through the next six months of the next fiscal year."
- Reilly: "The first six months of the next fiscal year."
- Mulcahey: "The first six months. Well, what...is there a precedent for this, or is this a new idea?"
- Reilly: "...Transfer between funds all the time, I honestly can't answer, for sure, your guestion as to whether this specific kind of practice has been authorized. The Bill sets up a procedure for doing that, and only during the first six months of the fiscal year, only during Fiscal Year '83 and mandates that the transfers must be reversed by the end of the fiscal year."
- Mulcahey: "So they do have to be paid back."
- Reilly: "Yes, and within that fiscal year."
- Mulcahey: "Where are the funds going to come from to pay it back?

 If you're going to rob from Paul to pay Peter, how you going to get it back later on?"
- Reilly: "The problem is one of cash flow. During the first part of the fiscal year with School Aid payments and what have you, there is a considerably greater drain on the general fund than later in the fiscal year. By the same time, because most of us pay whatever...whatever kinds of income tax we pay and so on during the second half of the fiscal

137th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1982
- year, that is during the first half of the calendar year, cash comes in later. It is simply a matter of cash flow to make sure that all the funds at all times have a liquid balance."
- Mulcahey: "So, in effect, you're looking for an economic recovery the second half of the year."
- Reilly: "Not just...in the normal cour...I hope for that, but aside from that, in the normal course of even the most prosperous year, the state spends more than it takes in in the first half of the fiscal year and takes in more than it spends in the second half. Even in this recession year, that's been true."
- Mulcahey: "Okay, I hope you're right, Sir."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Representative Nelson."
- Nelson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Question of the Sponsor."
- Speaker Ryan: "Indicates he'll yield."
- Nelson: "Representative Reilly, on page eight, as I read this Section of the Bill under Subsection B, I read that any individual who enters into a written agreement as a volunteer to consult or to help with any instrumentality of State Government or any...excuse me, any agency of the state, that person then, if a civil suit is brought against that person, must be defended by the Attorney General of this state, and the costs must be borne by the State of Illinois. In any such proceeding, the state shall pay the court costs and litigation expenses. I don't understand what you had in mind by including this Section."
- Reilly: "Okay, first just to add...you're right, if the suit is brought against him or her in the course of their performing their duties for the state. What we have in mind is the fact that the state has a great many advisory

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

boards. Some of them involve engineers, or physicians, or dentists or whoever it may...may involve. These are people who volunteer their time to give advice on discipline of their profession or whatever it may be. In the course of doing that, even though they don't get paid, someone may sue them, not because they personally, as an individual, went out and did something wrong, but because as part the job we've...we, the state, have asked them to do and would otherwise have to hire someone to do. If somebody thinks they should have had their license renewed when they didn't get it renewed or whatever, it hardly seems fair to ask somebody to volunteer their service, get them in the middle of a law suit, not because of something they personally did, but because of their employment and then expect them to defend themselves in court and to bear the cost of that."

Nelson: "Am I am instrumentality of the state?"

Reilly: "Excuse me?"

Nelson: "Am I am instrumentality of the state?"

Reilly: "No, not ... "

Nelson: "I cannot hire a volunteer to work as an intern in my office, say, and have them come under this provision of the law."

Reilly: "That's correct. You could not. It would have to be an instrumentality in the sense of an agency, or a Commission or something of that sort, an advisory body, something of that sort."

Nelson: "So only an agency could enter into an agreement with one of these so called volunteers."

Reilly: "Yes."

Nelson: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I think that this perhaps is written in such broad language that we may be opening up a precedent here that could be costly to the state, and I

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

just have certain misgivings about it. But I appreciate Representative Reilly's answers to my questions."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I just wonder if you could transfer some of these funds to a campaign treasury if you promise to pay them back right after the election."

Speaker Ryan: "Bepresentative Jack Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Motion prevails. Representative Reilly to close."

Reilly: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would make a couple of points in closing. As to the indemnification procedure, with all due respect to my friend, Representative Nelson, it's actually drawn extremely narrowly. I have argued over the last 48 hours that it ought to be drawn a little more broadly. When read Section B in conjunction with the Sections on the previous page, you find a very narrow range of people who would be covered by this and only when they're acting in the course of giving their professional advice or consultation, and then only as volunteers. On the overall provision, it seems to me that when we have satisfied the Audit Commission and the Auditor General, who properly had some concerns about the wording initially, but who are now satisfied, as I understand it, with this wording. And I want to thank Representative Dwight Friedrich and Auditor General Cronson and the others who have been very patient in helping us work this out. It seems to me that we're doing a good job. As to the transfers, I think it is just an honest answer that that is one of the things we're going to have to do in getting through the year. It does mandate

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

that they be paid back within the fiscal year. This is an absolutely vital Bill. It has support from both sides of the aisle, and I would ask adoption of Conference Committee #2 on House Bill 2504."

- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, "Shall Conference Committee
 Report #2 to House Bill 2504 be adopted...or passed?". All
 in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by
 voting 'no'. This is final action. Representative Darrow,
 one minute to explain your vote."
- Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a month ago we spread out the School Aid payments. A week ago we spread out the hospital payments. Here we're transferring funds so that we can keep the budget alive and the funds in place. Last ev...Last evening I spoke to one of the senior press members, and he indicated the same thing was taking place prior to the enactment of the income tax. No doubt about it, the Chicago Tribune was absolutely right. The state's in financial trouble, and Thompson's holding it together with paper clips and paste. Vote
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ebbesen, one minute to explain your vote."
- Ebbesen: "Oh, yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in response to Representative Mautino about this oral contract aspect and explaining my 'aye' vote, you know, he asked if it's ever been used anywhere in State Government. And there is nowhere where it's been more used and abused than the Illinois General Assembly. You know, your word is supposed to be your bond. If you break it, you know, you're going to pay for it for the rest of your life. But if you do break it, it leaves you free to wheel and deal as you see fit. I just thought I'd bring that to your attention.

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bowman, one minute to explain your vote."

Bowman: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a \$45,000,000 slush fund for the Governor. It has tax increase written all over it. We are borrowing for operations. That is not the way to do business. That's what got the Chicago schools in trouble. The only way that you can pay this back, and it is going to have to be paid back after the election, is through a tax increase. So, if you vote for this, that is exactly what you're begging for."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ropp, one minute to explain your vote."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I know my light is difficult to see, but...well I had a couple of questions I wanted to find out before making any decision. One is, how many of these funds actually come under the Finance Act that the \$45,000,000 can be drawn from, and can \$45,000,000 come from each fund? And two, is there a minimum amount below which you cannot borrow in order to provide money for the General Revenue Pund? I don't know if the Sponsor could give me some answer in a brief explanation of his vote. I'd appreciate it for the benefit of the House."

Speaker Byan: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 119 voting 'aye', 47 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. ...Calendar. On the Regular Calendar on page three under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Conference...or Senate Bill 1398, Representative Winchester. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1398, Conference Committee Report."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the Senate refused to accept

Conference Committee Report #1. I would now ask for

Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1398 and has requested a Second Conference Committee. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Second Conference Committee will be formed. On Supplemental Calendar #2 under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 714, Representative Daniels."

Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Daniels: "Mr. Conference Committee Report #2 deletes everything after the enacting clause and replaces the Bill with the provision that if a company files for bankruptcy, procedures are established for litigation, negotiation, or appropriate steps to take in the case that a company does file bankruptcy and claims against...for the Industrial Commission to determine procedures for the administration of claims filed against an insolvent self-insured company. This action and this legislation has been brought to our attention and necessary on such actions such as the Goldblatt's bankruptcy where there are pending claims against it. I know of no opposition to the Bill. It has support on both sides of the aisle, and I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Byan: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates he will."

McPike: "If a private company is insured through an insurance company in Illinois, and that insurance company goes

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

bankrupt, a worker, an injured worker that is receiving payments from that bankrupt insurance company is guaranteed under Illinois law to continue to receive those payments. Under a self-insured company that goes bankrupt, there is nothing that will insure that an injured worker receiving payments will continue to receive those payments. Does this Bill, in any way, guarantee that that worker will continue to receive those payments?"

Daniels: "Well, Representative McPike, that's the purpose of having the bonds posted, and this procedure will allow the Industrial Commission to set up procedures in the event a self-insured company were to go insolvent. That is exactly what's happened in the Goldblatt's situation right now, and the bonding company has withdrawn and said that there are no procedures. Therefore, they are not going to meet any demands. So what this Bill does is to protect the injured worker in the case the company were to go insolvent, such a situation."

McPike: "Well, I certainly think the Bill is a step in the right direction. It allows the Industrial Commission to step in and try to recover for the injured worker, and I think it is correct that we move in that direction. I would only hope that next year we can move to make sure that the injured worker will be guaranteed that once the company goes insolvent that his worker compensation payments will continue under the same guarantee as...as exists under private insurance companies."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels, to close. Just a minute, Representative Daniels. The Conference Chairman on the Democrat side, Representative Greiman, would like to speak. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A question of the Sponsor, if

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

I might."

Speaker Daniels: "Certainly. Proceed, Representative."

Greiman: "Thank you. Is it my understanding that the Statute of
Limitations for the filing of a claim is 12 months from the
date you set, not from the date of the injury, but rather
from the date of the bankruptcy, so that if...or the
appointment of receiver, so that if a receiver was
appointed on January 1st, kept the business open and going,
and nine months or ten months later there was an injury,
that that employee would only have two months or whatever
it is to file? Is that correct?"

Daniels: "It doesn't affect the Statute of Limitations. What the
Bill does is sets a 12 month limitation for claims filed
against an insolvent self-insured company."

Greiman: "Well, there's nobody else. I mean, you know..."

Daniels: "After, after they've been taken into bankruptcy."

Daniels: "That's for the ... "

Greiman: "Do you see a problem of unequal protection in that situation where you have one classification of injured parties that can...have filed forever, and one classification that are limited maybe conceivably up to one day. They could get hurt, and if they don't file by the end of that day, they could be out, if their injury happens while...during the time of the appointment of the receiver."

Daniels: "It only provides a 12 month limitations for claims filed against an insolvent self-insured after they ve filed the petition for bankruptcy. It doesn't affect the Statute of Limitations on the claim."

Greiman: "Well, see, there's nobody to sue. You know, the company is bankrupt and insolvent, so that the effect is to

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

have less than a...less than a Statute of Limitations. I hear what you're saying, but I think that perhaps we're not looking at the realities of what it is to have a claim against the bankrupt company. That's not much...that may not be much of a claim. Now, maybe it's not much of a claim in any event, but I think we're shutting...we're cutting down the right to claim by not handling something about what happens after a claim accrues after the bankruptcy while the company is still in operation. But in any event, the..."

Daniels: "Well, Representative Greiman, just so you rest assured

- and we were very concerned about this, too, to protect
the injured worker - we've passed this by the AF of L-CIO,
and the language has been reviewed by them and approved by
them, and we're very happy that they also support the
language in here. Now, perhaps maybe next year we may
have..."

Greiman: "Well ..."

Daniels: "...Some additional things that we'll want come back with, but this is the first step."

Greiman: "I don't have a 100% record with them, so I don't accept everything they do, but thank you anyhow."

Daniels: "You're welcome."

Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? Representative Daniels to

Daniels: "Just move for adoption of Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Byan: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 714?". All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 163 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', and 3

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2133, Supplemental Calendar #2. Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2133, Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Committee Report #2 to House Bill recommends to the House that the House concur with Amendment #1 which deleted language that was technically incorrect. In addition, we replaced that language which extends the boundaries of the Metropolitan Sanitary District to include territory in the Village of Hoffman Estates and the Village of Inverness. Additionally, the of Conference Report exempts the position assistant treasurer of the Metropolitan Sanitary District from civil service examination requirements. This position inadvertently omitted from legislation we passed last year. addition to that, it allows Sanitary District employees to hold public office, which is not incompatable with duties as employees of the District, but restricts those employees from campaigning or engaging in political activities during working hours. It...the Report also amends the validating...amends the Act's validating appropriation and tax levy ordinances of Cook County and the Forest Preserve District in Cook County to include the Years 1979 and '80, and lastly, extends the boundaries of the Medical Center District located in the City of Chicago to include territory approximately two blocks south of the current boundary. Mr. Speaker, I would ask the House to concur in Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 2133."

Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Piel: "Question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Byan: "Indicates he'll yield."

Piel: "Of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. We've received #1, but we have not received #2."

Speaker Ryan: "Pardon?"

Piel: "We've received #1, but we have not received Conference

Committee Report #2. Now, is he dealing with 1 or 2?"

Speaker Ryan: "It's Conference Committee Report #2. Has it been distributed, Mr. Clerk?"

Piel: "Not on our side it hasn't."

Speaker Ryan: "It has been printed and distributed,

Representative. Further discussion? Representative

Lechowicz."

- Lechowicz: "No, Nr. Speaker, I just want to point out the fact that it has been distributed. It has been filed for over three hours, and I would hope that the House would concur with the Conference Committee #2. It is basically the same information that is contained in #1, but in conjunction, the medical facility has been....the boundary lines are back to their old boundary lines as far as the railroad track, and I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Ryan: "Further discussion? Chairman from Cook, Representative Barr."
- Barr: "Thank you, Mr...Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question or two?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Yeah, he guesses he would. Not sure, but he thinks so."
- Barr: "Well, we'll give it a try. Representative, I am interested in this part of the Report which, by the way, has not been distributed on this side, but we've got an analysis of it here. We'll work from that."
- Speaker Ryan: "Let me interrupt, Representative Barr. It has been distributed on our side, on all sides. Proceed."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Barr: "Be that as it may, Mr. Speaker, that isn't important.

The...I'm interested in the provisions in this Report,

Representative, that validate the appropriation and tax

levy ordinances of Cook County and of the Cook County

Forest Preserve District for the years 1979 and 1980. And

I wonder if you could tell us what it is about those

appropriation and tax levy ordinances that violates the

stat...the appropriate statutes that require validation."

Steczo: "Representative Barr, I believe that...first of all, let me preface it by saying that we've been validating those appropriation ordinances since 1966, and evidently, there is some way where they don't meet the statutory requirements as to the detail for listing revenue and expenditures. However, the purpose of validating the ordinances is to also...I believe according to Chapman and Cutler and some others, to...to provide some assistance with bonding and other factors. So..."

Barr: "Did I understand you to say this is something that we've done every year since 1966?"

Steczo: "Yes, Sir. 1966."

Barr: "I wonder why, if there are some provisions of the law that these taxing bodies, at least since 1966, don't comply with, why don't we change the law rather than validate the ordinances every year?"

Steczo: "That...I would be happy to support you in that effort if
you desire to introduce legislation to that effect, but
right now we have to deal with the situation as it presents
itself."

Barr: "Why do we have to do this? What happens if we don't?"

Steczo: "I don't know."

Barr: "Well, it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, on the Bill that what we've got here is a situation where we are validating something which implies that the provisions of the law have

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

been violated. We're told that this occurs...has occurred regularly every year, at least as far back as 1966. We're not...we don't know what provisions of the law have been violated, and we don't know why it is that these provisions have been violated, and we don't know what happens refuse to validate the violation, and yet we're asked to do what apparently has become routine here which is †a validate violations of the law. law presumably that has passed by this General Assembly for some good reason. But we're given no reason for doing this, and that indicates to me that there's no good reason to support this And I would urge for ... on that basis, that we oppose the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2."

- Speaker Ryan: "Now, is there further discussion? Representative
 Zito, I hear you calling."
- Zito: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."
- Speaker Ryan: "Well, could you hold that for one minute,
 Representative, we have, I think, only one more person that
 would like to speak, and that's Representative Hallstrom,
 and I know you wouldn't want to gag Representative
 Hallstrom. The Gentleman re...Representative Hallstrom."
- Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just merely wanted to tell
 you that no matter what anybody thinks up there, we
 honestly do not have a copy of this Report, and it is too
 important, and we'd like to make sure we got one please.
 Thank you."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Steczo to close. Representative Lechowicz, did you want to speak on this again?"
- Lechowicz: "No, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to answer the Gentleman's question in reference to the taxing provisions as far as the validation procedure. That was initiated by President Ogilvie under the County Board, requested by a very conservative opinion from his legal counsel. And

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

since that time, they have validated the taxing provisions of the County based upon the unanimous vote of the County Board. And for that reason, Senate Bill 60 was introduced, was brought over into the House and held by the Speaker. And for that reason, that provision is now contained in House Bill 2133."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Steczo to close."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to concur with Representative Lechowicz that these validation Bills were included in Senate Bill 59 and Senate Bill 60 which passed the Senate overwhelmingly, and Senate Bill 59, for instance, was used as a different vehicle. In addition, Conference Committee Report #1 was approved by the Senate yesterday which is basically the same as #2 by a vote of 53 to 4. I would ask that the House concur in Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 2133."

Speaker Byan: "The question is, 'Shall the House...Shall the House adopt Conference Committee #2 to House Bill 2133?'.

All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no', and this is final action. Representative Pullen, one minute to explain your vote."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to answer the Gentleman's question, since the Gentleman on the other side wanted to so badly so he could speak twice. I wanted to answer the Gentleman's question that the reason that they don't comply is because the law says that ... that county board levies should be line itemed, and the Cook County Board does not want to line item its appropriations even though other counties in the state do. And that's why it's necessary we do this so that the taxpavers cannot successfully protest their taxes. and that's what validation is all about, Sir. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Findley, one minute to explain your

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

vote."

- Pindley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. We're being sold a pig in a poke here, Sir, and I would request that any Member who, like me, never saw a copy of Conference Committee Report #2 not cast a vote in favor of it."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Dunn, Jack Dunn, one minute to explain your vote."
- Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like all of you to look at the top of the page of the staff analysis. When we've got eight homes in the Village of Madison, we've got 637 homes in the Village of Hoffman Estates and Inverness, we're having some massive sewage problems. This is one of those meatball things that happen from time to time, and we would like to get those homes tied on. I know Senator Mahar and Representative Steczo have, in good conscience, submitted this Bill, and suddenly we've got all these things dangling from it. It would certainly be a tragedy to lose this Bill because of a problem with the County. I would urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this guestion there are 107

 voting 'aye', 54 'no', 8 voting 'present'. This Bill,

 having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is

 hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #1 under the

 Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill

 1423, Representative Henry. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1423, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Henry."
- Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask leave of the House to send House Bill 1423 back to the Senate for a Conference Report #2. I understand that the Senate refused

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

to concur with Amendment #1, and I am asking for a Second Conference Report."

- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves the House do not accept
 Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1423 and
 requests a Second Conference Committee be formed. All in
 favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The
 'ayes' have it, and the Motion prevails. Representative
 Ebbesen, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Ebbesen: "Well, just one thing, Mr. Speaker. I would appreciate...you know, there might be some fiscal impact to this, and I happened to hear the Senate debate on this, and that's probably what you'll have to take out in the Conference Committee relative to whatever it was. But I'd like to know the fiscal impact of the Conference Committee Report when it comes back. Could you have those numbers available for us, Representative? I'm talking about the Chicago situation."

Henry: "The fiscal impact on it is being...will be discussed in the Second Conference Report, and I can have those...have those figures for you when we finish up."

Ebbesen: "Pine. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "On the regular Calendar under...on page three under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2276, Representative Bradley. Representative Bradley in the chamber? Representative Bradley. Representative Levin, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Levin: "I'll be handling this."

Speaker Ryan: "I beg your pardon."

Levin: "I'll be handling this Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bradley in the chamber? Do you have leave from Representative Bradley to handle this fine piece of legislation, Representative Levin? Read the Bill,

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2276, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the maximum rate of interest of bonds and warrants. Conference Committee Report #1."
- Levin: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I move that we accept corrected Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2276. This Bill deals with the issue of mass transportation. It has been subject of extensive negotiation between the Office of the Governor, the House and Senate Democrats and Republicans, RTA, the CTA, the banks, and all those who have an interest in it. And it is a. I think, a fair and equitable settlement to deal with a very serious problem that exists at the present time. What has happened over last couple of years is the debt of the RTA system has continued to increase month after month until we have gotten to the point where the predictions that we heard a year ago about the system going under are unfortunately about to occur unless we do something about it. the...oh, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I'm apprised that we have to...we did not suspend Rule 68 (E), and I would move that before we continue."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask for a 30 minute recess for the purpose of a Republican caucus in room 114 immediately. Conference."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative LaHood, nice to see you in the gallery this evening. I believe your constituent has gone home, Representative. Representative Madigan, the Republicans are going to go for a conference, hopefully. You want to have one with the Democrats?"
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, we've already explained this legislation

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

for ... "

Speaker Ryan: "Confer to your people. That's good."

Madigan: "We're very anxious to consider the Bill."

- Speaker Ryan: "The House will now stand adjourned until the hour of 10:40 while the Republicans retire to room 114 en masse to discuss the forthcoming piece of legislation."
- Wolf: "Hello. Anybody that would like a piece of cake, I have

 one over here at my desk, and I need some help to eat it."

 Clerk O'Brien: "Recess is extended 15 minutes."
- Speaker Ryan: "The House will be...the House will be in order, and the Members will please be in their seats. On the Calendar on page three under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2276, Representative Levin. Representative Zito, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Zito: "I'd like to be recognized after Representative Levin. I have some questions for him. Just getting a early start,

 Hr. Speaker."
- Speaker Ryan: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2276, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the maximum rate of interest of bonds and warrants. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Ryan: "Represent...Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First, I would move that we suspend Rule 68 (E) so that this Bill can be heard at this time."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman asks leave to suspend the appropriate rules to have House Bill 2267 (sic 2276) heard immediately. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted, and House Bill 2276 can be heard immediately. Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

House. I move that the House concur in the First corrected Conference Committee Report to House Bill this Motion reluctantly, since under this proposal, the City of Chicago will lose its numerical domination of Regional Transportation Authority Board. As it is right now, the City has six members of that Board. That will be reduced to five. Currently, suburban Cook has three members. That will be increased to four, and the collar counties have two, and that will remain the same. the current law, therefore, the City has six out of the 11 If this proposal is adopted, the City will only seats. have five out of the 11 seats. However, I believe that necessary for the survival of the Regional...of is transportation in the six county area for there to be approval of the \$100,000,000 in interim financing provided for in this Bill. Without it, the system is projected to reach the highest level of deficit that it has ever been at by the end of September. This legislation would provide authority for \$100,000,000 in interim notes. It would require that 20,000,000 of that go to the suburbs. Currently, the RTA owes the suburban railroads \$14,000,000, the suburban bus systems approximately 1.4 million, and they owe their own vendors for suburban services, fuel, this type of thing, an additional 2.1 million This \$20,000,000 that would go to the suburbs would pay off entirely the current debt. The Bill also provides that at least \$35,000,000 must go to the CTA for its debt. The CTA debt is currently at the level of 87.2 million. So this proposal would not fully pay off the CTA debt while it would pay off the suburban debt. I understand that the suburban railroads are particularly concerned that this legislation pass and that one of the railroads had made very clear that without this legislation, they will have to

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

give up their commuter rail business by September. Other provisions of this Bill I will just briefly mention. One is for the first time, there will be a requirement for a balanced budget by the RTA. Intake and outflow must be equal. Secondly, for the first time, there will be an eight percent limit on administrative expenses for the RTA. And thirdly, the \$200 a day per diem for Board members is abolished and replaced with a straight \$25,000 a year salary. In addition, the Bill requires that these interim notes be repaid within 18 months and provides that there is a first claim on the RTA sales tax in order to guarantee repayment of these notes. I'd be happy, at this point, to answer any questions and otherwise to urge adoption of this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Ryan: "Now, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Will the Sponsor yield for a guestion?"

Speaker Ryan: "He indicates that he would be delighted to."

Leinenweber: "Representative Levin, you started out your remarks

by indicating you were reluctant to urge a course of action

which would cause a reapportionment of the RTA Board. Does

that mean that you personally have a feeling that one man
one vote is inappropriate?"

Levin: "I think that it certainly is appropriate."

Leinenweber: "Then how do you justify your reluctance to urge the reapportionment of the Board?"

Levin: "My reluctance comes from representing a district that is within the City of Chicago and which, I think, has certainly benefitted by having numerical domination."

Leinenweber: "In other words, you..."

Levin: "We are giving up that numerical domination under this Bill."

Leinenweber: "Well, you're not suggesting that you're giving up

137th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1982
- numerical domination of the Board, which would anyway...do
 any damage to the concept of one man one wote or equal
 representation for an equal number of members, are you?"
- Levin: "No."
- Leinenweber: "In other words, it's fully justified in your mind that this seat go from Chicago to suburban Cook based upon demographic considerations. Is that correct?"
- Levin: "There clearly has been a population shift. The City of Chicago has lost some population. The Cook County suburbs have gained, relatively speaking, population. And this proposal is made to..."
- Leinenweber: "Well, what I'm saying is, you're reluctance is not based upon any concept of one man one vote damage, but just the fact that Chicago has, in the recent past, had a numerical domination of the Board, totally unjustified by population. Is that correct?"
- Levin: "Well, I think...you know, my reluctance comes from the fact that Chicago has indeed enjoyed a six to five Majority and that they will be giving that up under this Bill."
- Leinenweber: "Well, in any event, now you say that if we concur in the Conference Committee Report, then the RTA will from henceforward operate under a balanced budget. Is that correct?"
- Levin: "That is provided for expressly in the Conference
 Committee Report."
- Leinenweber: "Right. What kind of controls, or what kind of punishments or what is there in Conference Committee Report #1 that will guarantee us that, in fact, they will operate under a balanced budget and will not pull some kind of financial shenanigans like nega...negative appropriations in order to balance the budget."
- Levin: "We are putting...we are putting an expressed mandate into the law. It is on page four of the Conference Committee

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Report between lines 18 and 21, and where it states that the budget shall show a balance between anticipated revenues from all sources and anticipated expenses and shall show cash balances sufficient to pay, with reasonable promptness, all obligations and expenses that incur.

- Leinenweber: "Alright. What provisions are there in there to enforce this statement that's in the Report?"
- Levin: "You know, this is a body that is mandated to operate under law, and I can just suggest the history of the RTA where the RTA has taken actions which were...some people felt were questionable under the law, and individuals have taken them into court, and the court has ruled where the court has felt that there was a violation of the RTA Neighboring Act."
- Leinenweber: "Well, let me ask you this, isn't there...wasn't...hasn't there been, for a number of years, a similar provision in the law that governs the Chicago Board of Education?"
- Levin: "There was a provision that was, I believe, added in 1979 which has, I think, been effective now for one year in terms of the operation of the Chicago Board of Education."
- Leinenweber: "Well, what is there in the...this particular Report
 that will guarantee that no more than eight percent of the
 budget will be spent for administration expenses."
- Levin: "Okay, there is the expressed language which would be placed in the statute to require that. And again..."
- Leinenweber: "What if they spend 8.001? What happens?"
- Levin: "Representative, I would suggest that, you know, again, the history of the RTA has been that where the RTA has taken actions which some have felt were in violation of its enabling Act, they've been taken to court. The court has mandated remedial action in terms of violations of their Act."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Leinenweber: "Alright, now the \$25,000 a year salary replaces the...is it a \$200 or \$100 a day per diem?"

Levin: "I'm sorry. I did not hear that question."

Leinenweber: "What is the current per diem rate for the Board members?"

Levin: "\$200."

Leinenweber: "Alright, now there is currently...this will be replaced by a flat \$25,000 a year salary. Is that right?"

Levin: "That's correct."

Leinenweber: "Currently there is a limit of \$25,000 per year at \$200 a day. Is that correct?"

Levin: "Yes."

Leinenweber: "How many Board members have been paid, say last year less than \$25,000?"

Levin: "I do not have the answer to that question."

Leinenweber: "Alright, and now, as far as the repayment of these interim notes within 18 months, does the RTA currently have an outstanding balance due the state...from a previous loan?"

Levin: "Representative, the RTA has fully repaid the interim loans."

Leinenweber: "How about the original start-up loan of..."

Levin: "Pardon?"

Leinenweber: "I think it was..."

Levin: "The interim loans, I believe, they were 19...197...no,

Leinenweber: "No, there was a start-up loan that was given them back in '75, I think, of..."

Levin: "There was a 197...I am informed that there was a 1973. I think it was initially to the CTA loan that there has been a pay-back schedule, and I believe that they are on target in terms of that."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, very

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

briefly on this Conference Committee Report. This is being presented to us in terms of reform of the RTA on the basis that there will be a reapportionment, that there will be a balanced budget, that there will be a limitation of administration expenses, limitation of salary, and a repayment of this \$100,000,000 loan. Let me just tell you this: under the law that was passed in 1975, there is to be reapportionment which will do precisely what this particular Conference Committee Report requires."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Zito."

Zito: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Ryan: "Just a minute, Representative Zito."

Zito: "Pardon me?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Tuerk, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Tuerk: "Well, House Bill 2276 is a pretty important Bill to this chamber, and I doubt that anybody in this chamber can hear what's going on. I wish you'd gavel a little order in the chamber. A little louder, please."

Speaker Ryan: "Little order in the chamber. Proceed,
Representative Zito."

Zito: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Ryan: "Wait a minute, Representative Zito. We have another par...Representative Leinenweber, what..."

Leinenweber: "Well, I realize there's been a lot of noise. I was addressing the Bill when Representative Tuerk asked for some order, and I would like to conclude my remarks."

Speaker Ryan: "Clear the floor, Mr. Doorkeeper. Clear the floor.

Take all the unauthorized people out of here."

Leinenweber: "I would like to address the Bill. I asked some questions. I got some answers, and I'd like to make some comments on what I consider the deficiencies of this

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Report, if I might. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 2276 is being presented to us as a reform measure. In return, we are being asked to really, in effect, give about \$100,000,000 I would suggest to you that every single one to the RTA. of these reforms are totally without any substance whatsoever. The original RTA Act of 1975 required apportionment this year, and we're not going to get anything that we're not entitled to under the original Act. A balanced budget with no enforcement procedures in it will be the same as the balanced budget requirement which has been in existence for the Chicago Board of Education for last ten years, the same with the eight percent administration expense. We're being told that the Board members will receive only \$25,000 a year, which is what they've been getting all along. They're supposed to repay \$100,000,000 within 18 months. I suggest to you if you believe that, you believe in the tooth fairy."

Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? Representative Zito, have you had your turn?"

Zito: "Thank you for the third time. No, I have not."

Speaker Ryan: "Alright, it is your turn."

Zito: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Ryan: "Absolutely."

Zito: "Mr. Levin, just to...a question was asked, I think, and I
don't know if we got a direct answer. What will the State
of Illinois' share to providing financial assistance to the
RTA be?"

Levin: "This will come from the bond market."

Zito: "Do you have an approximation of a dollar figure that...Is the state going to pick up 100?"

Levin: "It won't cost the state anything. We'll go...The RTA will go into the bond market and we'll follow the normal

- 137th Legislative Day July 1, 1982

 procedures on the bond market."
- Zito: "It mentions in the Conference Committee Report that the RTA, 20 million dollars approximately, at least 20 million dollars, is going to be set aside for transportation agencies such as commuter rail and suburban bus. How is that money going to be separated or set aside?"
- Levin: "It will have to be used for debts owed commuter railroads, suburban bus or what is called the RTA vendors, which is the purchase of supplies and fuel for the suburban equipment."
- Zito: "Do you think that 20 million dollars is going to reinstate
 the lines on the suburban area that we were accustomed to,
 the commuter lines and the transit lines?"
- Levin: "At the present time, the money...the amount of money owed the commuter railroads is 14 million. The amount owed suburban buses is about 1.4 million, and the amount owed RTA vendors is about 2.1 million. And, 20 million would not only cover that amount, but would require..would cover an increase. By contrast, the proposal guarantees the CTA only 35 million, and the CTA is currently owed 87.2 million. And so the CTA would not be made whole, the current debt owed the various suburban qiven operations, they, in fact, would be made whole under this They re quaranteed the 20 million dollars which is more than the amount that is currently owed to them."
- Zito: "The RTA has already announced that they are going to purchase a south suburban safeway. Will monies be available for that purchase?"
- Levin: "Not from this. This is dealing with operations, not with capital."
- Zito: "I couldn't hear your answer."
- Levin: "Not from this money. This money is operating money. What you're talking about is capital improvements and

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- acquisitions."
- Zito: "Are there monies available in the capital improvement?

 This deal, from what I understand, has already been consummated."
- Levin: "I think that is..you know, that involves the Federal Government and the UMPTA Act where there continues to be capital money available."
- Zito: "Representative Levin, I'm also concerned about Section 4.01 on page three. That's the budget and program Section, and I wonder if you can go into a little more detail on who the RTA is going to be responsible to for a balanced budget. You know, the original RTA Act in 1974 provided for a balanced budget as it was, and we've never seen one. Who are they going to be responsible to?"
- Levin: "Representative, the history of the RTA has been that
 where there are provisions in the law that the RTA appears
 to be in violation of, and there have been court suits
 where the RTA has not acted voluntarily, the courts have
 ordered the RTA to file ..."
- Zito: "Representative, I've filed lawsuits against the RTA. We still don't have a balanced budget. I'd like to know the answer to my question. And my question was, who is the RTA going to be responsible to for a balanced budget? Is it going to be responsible to this General Assembly, to the mayor of the City of Chicago, to the Board of RTA? Who's it going to be responsible to?"
- Levin: "I think to all of these...to all of the entities that you've mentioned."
- Zito: "I didn't hear your answer."
- Levin: "I think to..to every one of the groups that you mentioned, to this General Assembly..."
- Zito: "So what you're telling me is that it's not completely stated in this Conference Committee Report, and we still

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

have a vagueness as to who is going to be responsible for maintaining that the RTA completes and has and lives within a balanced budget."

- Levin: "Yes. I would point out that we are changing the composition of that Board, and there will no longer be a majority of Members from the City of Chicago. The majority will shift. The City will only have five out of the eleven. The suburban Cook will pick up one member and the collar counties will continue so that the reputation of the city is that the city is more free-spending I don't know if that's true and that the suburbs are more frugal and we'll certainly have an opportunity to..to test that theory."
- Zito: "Well, Representative, if that's the best you can do, okay.

 I..I still don't have any sure guarantee that we are going to finally and I ...I like what we've done here with this Conference Committee Report. I would like to, however, have a more sure commitment that we're going to live within a balanced budget this time."
- Levin: "Okay. Yes. You know, it's like any other law. The strongest thing you can do is mandate an agency to do something in the law and that is what we are doing here.

 I'm not.."
- Zito: "So we have it already is what you're..."
- Levin: "Representative, I'm not...I'm not familiar with the provision in the law now that requires a balanced budget."
- Zito: "The RTA Act of 1974 does, but I'd like to go on to my final question. In Section 3.01 on page seven, which deals with the Board of Directors, I'm intrigued by the suburban area and I'm a suburban Legislator, so it makes me pleased to see that we're going to have equal representation on that Board. I'm interested in who's going to reapportion the districts and how that whole

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

procedure is going to take place."

Levin: "Okay. You know, the divisions will remain the same three districts that exist now, one of which is under 3.01 (A), the City of Chicago. (B) is suburban Cook which will gain a Director and that appointment will be made by the Members of the Cook County Board elected from the part of Cook County outside of Chicago. And the third district is the collar counties, and they will continue to have their Directors appointed by the Chairman of the County Boards of Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will."

Zito: "If you could be a...Representative, if you could be a little more specific. On page seven, lines eight through sixteen deal with the four Directors appointed by the Members of the Cook County Board for the suburban area of Cook County. Can you be a little more explicit on that Section?"

Levin: "Okay. There's no...within suburban Cook County, there is no division of territory provided for under the current law or would this Bill make any change. And so the...it would be...the appointment of the new Director and in the future, the reappointment or selection of new Directors from suburban Cook County will be made by the members..the suburban members of the Cook County Board from any part of suburban Cook County."

Zito: "Is it...Is it theoretically possible that all the members from the suburban Cook County area come from one specific town or area or township? Is that possible? Is that a realization? Could that be realized?"

Levin: "Possible, but I do not think that probable."

Zito: "Who's going to appoint those people, again? The suburban members of the Cook County Board?"

Levin: "Yes."

Zito: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, to the ...to the Bill? As a

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

suburban...as a suburban Cook County Legislator. шv district is all in suburban Cook County. I have been vehemently opposed to the RTA, its management. its financial stature certainly its administration. and However, I think House Bill 2276 provides the legislation that we need, not only in suburban Cook County, but for the City of Chicago and the collar counties, to provide adequate transportation for our area. We are funding this..this..these programs primarily by ourselves. We're trying to help ourselves out of a dilemma that we've caused since 1974. I think a lot of the dilemma has come from the fact that the RTA has been poorly managed. been financially poorly managed, administrationally poorly managed and a lack of funds in a misdirection. legislation provides for that. It provides for that in several areas. Number one, with the setting aside of at least 20 million dollars to reinstate our lines and commuter rails. Also, it provides for that very strongly in voices that we didn't have prior to this legislation which would be equal or better than equal representation in suburban..suburban and collar county area. I would ask all of my colleagues, certainly from the suburban and collar county areas, the City of Chicago and even the downstaters, because yes, this is...this is a piece of legislation that we can use to help ourselves and I would strongly urge the adoption of this Conference Committee Report. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Swanstrom."

Swanstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed

137th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1982
- 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Motion prevails.
 Representative Levin to close."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just in urging your support for this Conference Committee Report, I would say this makes a number of reforms in the RTA. It is a compromise. It was worked out by..in cooperation with the Governor's Office and everybody else who had an interest. It provides some badly needed money to keep the system operating. It makes some reforms. It changes the balance of power. And I would ask your favorable support for the measure."
- Speaker Ryan: "The guestion is, "Shall the House...The question is, "Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2276?". All in favor will signify by voting "aye", all opposed by voting "no". Representative Johnson, one minute to explain your vote."
- Johnson: "First, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to request a verification should this receive the 107 votes."
- Speaker Ryan: "You weren't recognized for that purpose,
 Representative. Representative Smith, one minute to
 explain your vote. Representative Smith."
- Smith, Harry: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is not the eleventh hour. We're at least 24 hours past that. We are hearing a lot about people wanting reform. Where were they on Senate Bill 1601? Yes, this is a Band-Aid. And yes, Chicago is a part of the State of Illinois and a necessary part, and I think this is something that we have to vote for whether we like it or not. So let's not play leap frog with the unicorn. Let's get some green votes up there."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey, one minute to explain your vote."
- Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

 I feel this is probably the most responsible vote I can

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

make this Session. I live in a district...I am running in a district that is probably the most contested race in Cook County, and let me say a little further that they closed the RTA and the CTA in my district and nobody even knew it. But there's a lot more depends on this vote than my district or your district. The entire economy of the north..northern part of the State of Illinois depends a lot on your vote on this important issue tonight. So I urge a 'yes' vote. Rise above principle and vote 'yes'."

- Speaker Ryan: "Have all you principle-people voted?

 Representative Madigan."
- Madigan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to declare that I may have a conflict of interest on this Bill, but I will wote my conscience. Thank you."
- Speaker Byan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Meyer, one minute to explain your vote."
- Meyer, Roland: "If this gets 107 votes, Mr. Speaker, I'd ask for a verification."
- Speaker Ryan: "Well, you weren't recognized for that purpose either, Representative. Representative Cook..Representative Ronan, one minute to explain your vote."
- Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Even though I may have a potential conflict of interest in this piece of legislation, I do want to vote my conscience and vote for this fine, important Bill for all the people in Northeastern Illinois."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Bell, one minute to explain your vote."
- Bell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is probably one of the most difficult votes of this Session for me. In 1978 and 1980 I campaigned against the RTA. One of the things at the time I said I needed..or

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

that the state needed, the RTA needed was restructuring. I believe in this Bill we have restructuring. I realize the metropolitan area needs mass transit. The state and for the economy of the state and the economy of the Northwest part of Illinois we have to have mass transit and for that reason, I'm putting an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kane, one minute to explain your vote. Representative Beatty, one minute to explain your vote."
- Beatty: "I have a conflict of interest, but there are provisions in this Bill that will make the RTA much better and there are provisions that will assist the CTA and public transportation is important. I feel that..I was here when the RTA was first formed and ..and there was a forcing there and the control was in the city and perhaps now this is a big improvement. The...geographically the..the consideration should be given to the suburban RTA people.

 I'm voting 'yes'."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis, to explain his vote."
- Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Last I fought very hard for cost-effectiveness efficiency in the CTA and the RTA. We tried very hard to reach some resolution to a problem and we had votes to do it in this House on three different Representative who's not here tonight with us, sitting over other side of the aisle right across from on Representative LaHood, called me the arch assassin of the And I suppose, in large measure, you all viewed it RTA. that way, that I probably was the arch assassin of the RTA. That organization has never functioned, in my opinion, with a cost-effective or efficient rating. But you all know what the problem is. It's not the RTA so much. It's the

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

CTA. You guys know it over there. You've always known it over there. And you know that the day of reckoning is coming when you're going to have to clean up your house. And I can say to all of you, all of you Democrats over there who are laying off of it now, if you were arrested today for being a Democrat there wouldn't... If you were arrested today for being a Democrat there wouldn't be enough evidence to convict you. But I can only tell you this, that the economy of the state is absolutely dependent on the northeast six counties. This is an interim note project and you're going to pay the interest for it and you're going to pay dearly for it in the City and you're going to be restructured. You know it. I know it and the interesting part about it is that you've proved going to restructure it tonight by transferring the control of the RTA and your subsidies to the CTA over to suburban and collar county RTA Board Members on December first of this year with this Bill. We're willing to give you interim note financing. We're willing to put some votes up there for you. Now let's see what you're willing to do in return for that. You're moving towards structured change and you'd better damn well be ready for it next year 'cause it's coming."

- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Brien, to explain his vote. One minute."
- O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I rise as the third Member that you've recognized in this chamber to request a verification of this Roll Call. There are Members who are voting who are not here. Mr. Speaker, I think that you ought to end this Session on a fair note."
- Speaker Ryan: "Well, Representative O'Brien, I'll certainly recognize you to verify the Roll Call. Representative Johnson, one minute to explain your vote."

137th Legislative Day

i

July 1, 1982

Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I think downstate Legislators of either party ought to look at this Session and see what we've gotten out of it. We look and see what we did yesterday, some people unknowingly increased the taxes in the City of Chicago for their schools. We've funded museums, library and about to fund ChicagoFest and now we're about to fund RTA, which is in many downstate taxpayers minds one of the greatest You can call it a loan or whatever you rip-offs of all. want, but the fact of the matter is when the sales tax revenues go in and those are depleted, they're going to be back here next year asking for a subsidy. And I..I would simply suggest that if the government..the State Government of Illinois is regarded as some kind of a table, Chicago's gotten a feast out of it and downstate taxpayers aren't even living on bread and water. Any downstate taxpayer, any downstate Legislator who votes this isn't representing their district."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Cullerton, one minute to explain your vote."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of I feel it's necessary to perhaps...to correct House. some of the points that were just made by the previous speaker. We, in this Session, have attempted to, in effect, keep government running, both in the state, and now we're trying to keep the .. the trains running. I think that a Bill that abolishes the inheritance tax is something which is of vital concern to people downstate. At least that's what they tell me. And that Bill passed with votes from Chicago Democrats. I think that keeping the state viable is a very important thing which we, in the General Assembly, have done this Session. I think that's something that downstaters can look to and I don't think it's a very

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

fair thing to call this a loan when it's not. It's an ability to borrow money. I would ask that all of you be practical and please give an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser." Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I served in this Assembly when the RTA was first created. And I, just like Members sitting here tonight, have been wrestling over and over and over again with what appears to be an irreconcilable problem, the problem of mass transportation in the northeastern part of this state. There are deep political differences which over the years have made it almost impossible to reconcile what our responsibilities are with mass transportation in that part of Illinois. don't think there's a Member in this House who does not that somehow, somewhere we must come to some agree equitable resolution of this particular problem. But we're not going to do it tonight and we're not going to do next week because we haven't been able to do it for the last year and a half of this Session, nor have we been able to do it ever since the inception of the Regional Transportation Authority. I don't purport to have these answers. And I don't know that any single Member does. But I do know, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we must continue to provide those necessary services to those citizens who must travel up and back everyday to keep this state's economy moving. Perhaps the next Session of the General Assembly will be able to come up with a solution. Perhaps the RTA will have to be abolished. Perhaps we'll have to go back to the old system of the CTA coming down every year for a subsidy and making their own case. Speaker and Members of the House, I do believe one thing. I believe that if we fail to pass this tonight, every Member of this Assembly will be sorry for it because

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

the wrath of Illinois citizens will come upon us. You can't tell me that the economy in the northern part of the state is not interrelated to every nook and cranny of this great state of ours. And what we do tonight will surely affect everyone's constituent who is sitting on this floor tonight. The only possible, responsible vote in my opinion is to vote 'yes'. And for those Members who are returning, to continue to work for a resolution of this very, very complex, complicated problem. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, please, I sincerely implore you to vote 'yes' and continue to go on with..with what will be, hopefully, hopefully a solution to this terrible, terrible problem."

Reven: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook.

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "One of the major complaints regarding the ...the RTA has been the Chicago control over the RTA. This Bill makes a significant step forward in providing that in fact the suburban and collar county areas will dominate and control the RTA. That does respond to one of the most persistent criticisms that the critics, those who have frequently voted against the RTA have made. I believe that that step forward does justify a new lease on life, does justify us in voting funds to keep the mass transportation in the Chicago area going and I will accordingly vote "aye"."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as one who represents downstate people, I just want to tell the Members of the House, you know, you're not talking about General Revenue Funds here. They're not involved. The state's not borrowing this money. We're just authorizing the RTA to go out and have short term notes. Now, the state could very well buy some of those. It's not

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

a...It's really like saying, it's a revenue anticipation warrant, and to me, that makes sense in view of the circumstances. And I certainly would encourage everyone it's a very difficult vote for me to make - but to me, it's reality in terms of the situation. And I would encourage some of you people that are up there on blue, 46 of you're playing cat and mouse and chess for political purposes. This Bill has to pass in the interests of people of this state. We cannot...We've got to move those people around in that geographical area in the terms of the revenue they generate for income tax and sales tax. You*ve got to put those red (sic, green) votes up there. would encourage you to do it right now."

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Representative Kelly."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Kelly. Dick: I've served in this General Assembly and this House Representatives since 1973 and I want to respond to a couple of the earlier statements made by my colleagues Republican side of the aisle to let those of you who t he are particularly new Members of this General Assembly know that the RTA was created in 1973 by the Repu...by a Republican House and by a Republican Senate. Robert Blair at that time the Speaker of the House. chief architect and my colleaques on the other side of the aisle that are from his district, at least one as I recall, also supported that position. As far as I can see, every suburban Democrat and Republican alike could easily support this proposal because it is not a .. not one of the strongest issues to come down the road. All I can say is that I'm going to ask for you to support it, and if you don't, too bad."

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Wolf."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Wolf, J. J.: "Yes, thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. I would like to just explain my vote and ask for some affirmative votes. I've served here probably as long or longer than most of you have. I've supported road programs for downstate. I've supported the suburban and Cook County area on many issues in the past, and I would just like to say that that is what necessary to make State Government run. Oftentimes we must vote for things of which our constituents will have no immediate service from. Some of the people from Chicago and upstate will probably never get a change to use the roads from downstate. That doesn't mean that it's not important to the economy of this state. This is only a few votes short up there, Mr. Speaker. There will be a time later this year when we'll be working on a road program, or at least those of you who are in this General Assembly. This is probably my last night to serve in this General Assembly which I've enjoyed for nearly 14 years. I've made a great many friends. I think let's just get the few more votes up there on the top. Let's get this because it is necessary and as Abraham Lincoln once said, that if we only voted for those things for which our own constituents benefitted, we'd never have progress in this I think this is an issue not only for Cook County, state. suburban, collar counties, but downstaters as well because that's what State Government is all about."

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's very unusual that I get up at
this time and ask for a vote from the Membership of this
General Assembly on an issue that's of utmost importance,
not only in Northern Illinois, but throughout the state. I
believe that the Leadership has worked diligently in trying
to come up with a compromise package which is contained in

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

2276. It's not an easy thing to make an adjustment to. It's not easy for us from Chicago to realize the fact that the Leadership..or the control of the RTA will swing to the We're hoping for all fairness and equity suburban area. based upon the necessity of providing transportation to the northern...northeastern portion of the United States in the State of Illinois in reference to public transportation. The CTA's involved. Yes, the trains are involved. The buses are involved. And for that reason I would hope that some of шy downstate Members who realize the number of times that I, personally, have helped in many different issues would support this specific issue at this time because it's of such vital importance. I, for one, not realize - and I don't think you can as well - what would happen if the RTA and the CTA would shut down. You talk about havoc in this State of Illinois, it's just almost beyond comprehension of the additional burden provide to the general public in Northeastern Illinois. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is not the easiest item to adjust to, but it's the one that is at hand and it deserves your support. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have a Roll Call before you right now that consists of many Members of both sides of the aisle, many Members from downstate Illinois, from the City of Chicago, from the collar counties, suburban Cook. We're not dealing at the present time with what could be classified as a partisan issue for we're dealing right now with an issue that truly has to be classified as one of responsibility and an obligation of those of us that are Members and thinking Members of the General Assembly as to the millions of

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

people that live in the northern part of Illinois and are affected by the Regional Transportation System. I am casting a green vote for this Bill, not because I believe that the RTA is an efficient operation and not because I believe that the CTA is run economically or run benefit of its people that it serves and not because I believe that the system in which it's operated and the patronage operation that it has is a good system. But I m voting green because it's the responsible thing to do and the thing that in today's time and at the present time must be done. For really the question comes down, can we, today, looking at all the facts at the present time. resolve the potential transportation crisis that we can face in Northern Illinois? Can we risk the economic loss that we may suffer if our trains go down and trains that affect us in the suburban areas that are run efficiently and were run efficiently before the Regional Transportation took effect? The only answer we can come up with right now is, no, we can't answer that problem. But with a green vote and with a support of this piece of legislation, comes an obligation, an obligation of each and every one of we must face, an obligation to deal with the that restructuring of a system that doesn't operate properly, that doesn't function properly and that doesn't serve the millions of people in Northern Illinois. So, I cast my I support the hundred million dollars in green vote. interim financing that must be repaid by the Regional Transportation, but along with it, I support the obligation that all of us have in solving this crisis. And at the same time, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to compliment you, Mr. Speaker, for the Leadership that you've shown in trying to solve this crisis. I • d also like to compliment the Chicago

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Association of Chamber of Commerce and Industry and the Suburban Association of Mayors in their efforts to resolve it, and pledge to you, Mr. Speaker, and to those organizations, continued effort to resolve this continuing problem of a system that today does not function and is the reason that we're here once again in the last hours..."

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. пO behalf of the downstate people throughout the State of Illinois, I want them to take a good hard look at this Roll Call. Because all week long and all weekend and the first part of this week, we have seen legislation being passed, we have seen deals being made with the Governor of this state, with the mayor of Chicago. And that's all We are seeing museums being funded, there is to it. ChicagoFest being funded, the RTA now, schools and pensions after pensions. And what we're witnessing today is another example of deals being made. And I want to tell the people of downstate Illinois and the rank and file of the City of Chicago to take a look at this Roll Call and see what has been going on this week in the Illinois General Assembly. You are being sold out. You are being sold out by a mayor in Chicago and a Governor in Springfield who go to bed together. And you know who ends up on the short end. Downstaters have always complained about what Chicago gets. Downstaters are still getting the short end of this deal So at election time, pay attention. Senator Stevenson always said he would never get involved in legislative process. He never has. But that is not true of the mayor and that is not true of the Governor of this Take a look at this Roll Call in November and take state. a look at that gubernatorial candidate."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? The Lady from Cook,

Representative Topinka."

Topinka: "Yes, Mr. Speaker? Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to compliment everybody here in the House who's managed to rise above their parochial interests and their self-righteousness as they've gone forth to seek their various utopias, 'cause the bottom line on this is that we have the very practical problem of keeping public transportation operative. Personally, I don't particularly care about this Bill - I'm not real happy with it - but I think it is the responsible way to qo. And I'm pleased to see that many people whom I know have had a lot of trouble making a decision on this particular Bill have come with it. I appreciate that. For those of you who worry in Southern Illinois, and especially as regards Governor Stevenson who has never made a commitment particularly on anything, so I don't think we have to worry about him doing that in the future anyway, I do have to comment that George Ryan has done a fine job. The Governor has leadership, and I'd like to commend the people of my own party who in many cases have had the most difficulty making a decision. Let's put some more Republican green votes on this and back our own party."

Speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Representative Topinka. You can have another minute if you'd like. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The..For too many years we really have been a house divided. This downstate-upstate separation has hurt all the residents of this great state. And it's about time that we, as Legislators, would get together and solve the problems that are out of your own area and the problems that pop up all over the state are problems that all of us

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

have to deal with. And Ladies and Gentlemen, the problems that the BTA is having now financially and otherwise, There are other not unique in this area. they're transportation districts throughout the country. Philadelphia, in Los Angeles and Pittsburg, in Cleveland. Washington D. C. is now starting to have their problems. They are all over the country. We do need your help and it's about time that we did get together and voted in one voice for a problem that is a real and great and one that we have to solve in this General Assembly. And Speaker, I plead for an 'aye' vote on this very important piece of legislation."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Wayne, Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A few years ago, John Cullerton would get on my mike and say a few things and I really appreciated John and his problems that he has in Chicago. I think that a Legislator has a duty to not only represent his district, but to be responsible for his actions and the state as a whole. I believe that the RTA Board should be reformed. I also see in this Bill the possibility that the RTA Board will be reformed. So therefore, you Gentlemen who, on the other side of the aisle and you people from Chicago who cast your votes to help me get the sales tax off of farm machinery, I appreciate it. So, I am going to try to help you to help yourself. Now, clean up your Board so you can get by, and I will vote green."

- Speaker Byan: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Smith."
- Smith, Irv: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If Representative Robbins can vote green for this, I can follow him for much the same reasons. Because I see no motor fuel tax money going into this, and if the Transit Authority, if the RTA is cleaned

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

up, and we hope it will, and it should be, I will give it
my green vote."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster." McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. You know. Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, I suppose for many years down here I have voted 'no' on mass transportation subsidies and help the metropolitan area of the state in the northeastern I have gone home many times and wondered a little nart. The people in Galesburg who have a mass transit bit. system there, a bus line, have a subsidy from the state, paid by the state for the buses in Galesburg. I know that the bus lines in Peoria have a subsidy from the State of Illinois that all of the people share in. The people in Rockford, the Quad Cities, Quincy, St. Louis, probably, many others, and sometimes I have wondered, am I really being honest in what I do. I could probably vote this very easily and go home and say, look, I am protecting the people in my district. ¥e are subsidizing the mass transit area. We don't care if we subsidize the downstate area. but we're not going to subsidize the metropolitan area. And I could maybe go home sometimes I wonder whether I could live with myself a nd when I do that. But I think that this is not really a that they are going to be forced by the way the legislation is written to pay this back to the State of don't want to see the mass transit shut down in our metropolitan area. I think it's very necessary our state. I would urge enough 'yes' votes on this legislation so that we do not have to hang around here and monkey around with it and continue to. I urge a 'yes' vote. Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen."

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Representative
Winchester, do you want to explain your vote?"

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- Winchester: "Well, if you don't mind, Mr. Speaker, because I think Representative Robbins and Representative Smith kind of touched it off as far as many of us downstaters are concerned, and after I got to thinking about it, I do appreciate that the City of Chicago helped us with the 60 million dollars for Hillsboro and Centralia, the 45 million for Vienna, the 8 million for the Bowen Center and another million for another institution that will probably be located someplace in Central Illinois. And I think this is an issue that I can go back to my constituents and I can explain why I, as someone from the deep south, would vote for a Bill that would benefit just a certain area of the state. Well, I know that I can justify that vote because I know that from time to time we swap votes and they've been pretty good. And we don't do this often, and I've been convinced that this is an issue where they very desperately need a green vote, and I would urge all of our downstaters to vote green."
- Speaker Ryan: "Now, have all voted who wish? Has everybody had an opportunity to explain their vote? Take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'aye', 53 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. The Gentleman from Chicago, Representative O'Brien, persists in his verification. Is that right, Representative?"
- O'Brien: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to check to see if you were going to be fair in that Chair. I've been here long enough to see that the train..."
- Speaker Ryan: "...I'm trying to check to see if you've got the backbone to verify the Roll Call, Representative."
- O'Brien: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I do have the backbone, but I can also count. And I can see that there are probably about three people that are voting that aren't here and that would leave me...or..would leave you and Madigan, Mr.

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker, at 113. So I will withdraw my request for a verification at this time and thank you for being fair."

- Speaker Ryan: "One hundred and sixteen voting 'aye', 53 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #2 appears House Bill 2461, Representative Davis. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2461, a Bill for an Act relating to the Department of Central Management Services. First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Davis."

- Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 2461, which is the reorganization of the Department of Administrative Services, the Department of Personnel and now amended into the Bill, a very important function that must start from today on, the Criminal Justice Information Fund which will receive the monies from abolition of 'ILAC' is all contained in House Bill 2461. Conference Committee Report #1 offers that the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2 which deleted..."
- Speaker Ryan: "...Just a minute, Representative Davis. The Gentleman asks leave to suspend the appropriate rules to have House Bill 2461. Are there objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Proceed, Representative."
- Davis: "I beg your pardon, Mr. Speaker. Conference Committee
 Report #1 offers that the House concurs in Senate Amendment
 #2 which deleted a Representative Kane Amendment, Amendment
 #2 that the Senate recede from Senate Amendment #3 and that
 House Bill 2461 be further amended to reinstitute the
 Representative Kane language that deals with stringing in
 ..in purchasing in State Government. I believe that we all
 know the subject of this Bill as it has been brought to us
 on..on numerous occasions. I would simply recommend and

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

move now that the House do adopt Conference Committee

Report #1 to House Bill 2461."

- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2461?'. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 157 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On Supplemental Calendar #3 under the Order of Nonconcurrence, appears Senate Bill 1672. Representative Olson. Olson? Oh, yes.
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
- Speaker Ryan: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1672, a Bill for an Act concerning the collection of child and spouse support with House Amendment #5."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Olson moves to suspend the appropriate rules to remove Senate Bill 1672 from the table for immediate consideration. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Representative Olson on Senate Bill 1672."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 1672 is a technical Bill which originated in the Senate dealing with an omnibus Federal Bill entitled 4-D of the Social Security Act, which provides that we must have in place by July 1st of 1982 a penalty provision to be paid by a respondent in addition to a regular payment in the amount of 10% in a child support collection facility. I move to recede from Amendment #5."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Brummer on Senate Bill 1672."

137th Legislative Day July 1, 1982

Brunmer: "Yes. Would the Gentleman explain.."

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates he will."

Brunmer: "... The Amendment that he is receding from?"

Olson: "Yes, Representative Brummer. It's the Amendment sponsored by Representatives Currie and Reilly which define the terms which the Department of Public Aid would use to determine the eligibility for receiving assistance from the House Bill hardship fund line. That Amendment had been adopted into Senate Bill 1654, and as a consequence, it is no longer of value."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall the House recede from Senate Amendment #5..er..House Amendment #5 from Senate Bill 1672?'. This is final action. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On question there are 160 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #3 under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1599. Representative Piel. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1599, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal and county use and occupation taxes..."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Piel?"

Clerk O'Brien: "First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves the House suspend the appropriate rules to remove Senate Bill 1599 from the table. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Bill 1599 is very..is basically in the same shape
that it left the House a couple of days ago where it

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

received 148 to 8 vote. Conference Committee Report #1 takes the House..er..takes the Bill as it left the House basically .. the Bill adds one Section to it. Ιt maintains...it was maintained as the way it passed the House, plus it was one that..part added to it which prohibits any local unit of government from levying a tax an option, or a commodity transaction. This Conference House..The Senate has just concurred in Committee #1, 55 to nothing. And I'd be more than happy to answer any questions that you might have."

Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Bureau,
Representative Mautino."

For legislative intent Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. because this identical language that's in 1599 has already been involved and included in the Conference Committee Report that's already been accepted, I must point out for the record that there is a problem under definition carrier. I've discussed that with the Department of Revenue and it will have to be a correction in Rules and Regulations. The point I want to make for legislative intent is that, as currently drawn, this Conference Committee Report would make it literally impossible for an independent broker driver to claim a credit on the special fuels. By definition of carrier in the existing statute, it is that company which travels interstate incorporated in the state. So therefore, under the rules and regulations of the Department of Revenue, that definition would have to be changed so that the majority of users of special fuel would have the opportunity to claim a credit just as a company would be under the existing definition. So is it your intention to have the Department of Revenue make that rule and regulation so that all broker drivers would come under the same provision as under the

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

definition of carrier?"

Speaker Ryan: "Any other discussion?"

Piel: "That's correct, Dick."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dunn."

Dunn, John: "Will the Sponsor yield? Representative Piel, how about vehicle stickers if automobile leasing agency is in a community, they are still obliged to buy vehicle stickers from the community in which they are based, are they not?"

Piel: "Correct."

Dunn, John: "Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield to a guestion?

Did I hear you say you have something in here to exempt commodities brokers from any taxation from cities and villages? Is that what you said?"

Piel: "That's correct."

Pierce: "I didn't hear you say it very loud, but you did say it.

What city is threatening to tax commodities brokers? Who
would be that mean?"

Piel: "Pardon me?"

Pierce: "Tax those nice fellows.."

Piel: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear you, Dan."

Pierce: "What's the need for this Bill?"

Piel: "It was brought up in Conference Committee that this was the one area that they wanted to bring into the Bill. So I said I'm going to go along..."

Pierce: "Who is they? That's what I want to know."

Piel: "The Members of the Conference Committee."

Pierce: "The Members of the Conference Committee were losing sleep last night?"

Piel: "They were all signed off on."

Pierce: "Because commodity brokers might be taxed by someone?"

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Piel: "I don't know if they lost any sleep. I think we all lost some last night."

Pierce: "I wish they'd lose as much sleep over the Public Aid recipients."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Fawell."

Fawell: "Just for a...Just to double check. Would the government...would the...It's a long night. Will the speaker yield for a question? Okay. We...Sponsor...Real estate transfer tax, that's not included in this, right?"

Piel: "Correct."

Fawell: "Okay."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Piel to close."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

We've seen this before, and I would just ask for a
favorable Roll Call on House Bill..er..Senate Bill 1599."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall the House...shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1599?'. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 120 voting 'aye', 32 voting 'no', and 8 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received a Constitutional three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the regular Calendar on the ..on page two under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1913. Representative Huskey. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1913, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves to suspend the..asks for leave to suspend the appropriate rules to take the Bill from the table for immediate consideration. Are there any

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

objections? Hearing none, leave is granted.

Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1913 contains a combination of about six Bills. It has the .. It has the CV charitable vehicle, which at first we had that they had the logo on the doors. Conference Committee Report removed the logo from the doors, but it still has in the Bill the necessarv requirements that the applicant has to furnish documentation that they have tax exempt (sic, exemption) from the IRS status and it makes it a far more credible for the person that's got...the person that has a CV plates has to be a true religious or charitable organization with a true accreditation. It also creates a new category of retired legislative license plates. Now, there was quite a bit of controversy on that when we brought it up before. So the Conference Committee Report made this a vanity plate which will cost the retired Legislator \$50.00 for the legislative plate plus...so actually it'11 bе money-making deal for the state. It also ... Mr. Speaker, they're asking for a Roll Call."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Huskey, have you completed your remarks?"

Huskey: "Yes."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Emil Jones, Assistant Leader."

Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?
According to the Conference Committee Report I have, I see some other legislation in here that the House defeated overwhelmingly. Could you tell the Members of the House what that legislation is?"

Huskey: "Well, I...I..as I explained, there were quite a few different Bills in here, Representative Jones, about seven

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

different Bills. I don't know what particular Bill you're talking about."

Jones: "Well tell..tell the one about the...the...the parking of...fines and the Secretary of State and the one about the moving violations that the House defeated overwhelmingly that you have in this Bill. Could you tell us about that?"

Huskey: "Well, I didn't know anything about the House defeating any Bill overwhelmingly, Representative Jones. This Bill has passed out of the Senate with an overwhelming vote. The questions you ask though is on the Secretary of State. The State Police Department says to us, 'You are the Legislators. You are making the laws, but you don't do anything to help us enforce them'. So, actually what we're doing here is to help the state..the various police departments throughout the state enforce the laws that we make here. So, what we've got, the answer is, if you have ten or more outstanding traffic tickets from any village or city, and there's a warrant issued for...the police departments from those respective villages..."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Miller."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Jones, for what purpose do you seek recognition now?"

Jones: "I wasn't through with my question. But, Mr. Speaker, let
me address the Conference Committee Report. I know all
Members want to rush out of here all of a sudden, but
incorporated within this Conference Committee Report is
some legislation that this House defeated. And that
legislation was ..dealt with the moving violations, two or
more, wherein a person's ..wherein a person's driver's
license is subject to be suspended. Now, I'm a person who
believes in having the State of Illinois support the

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

law...the State of Illinois enforce its laws on citizens. But, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House, I know my distinguished colleague, Representative Huskey, is unaware of this. I don't think he's ever visited the Chicago traffic court on North LaSalle Street, and when you visit that traffic court, Representative Huskey, you would think that only Black people drove cars throughout the City of Chicago because they ore the only ones that is there. So, when the Chicago Police Department starting issuing tickets based on violations rather than the color of one's skin, then I can be supportive of this type of legislation. I am not going to sit on this House floor and vote for something which I know is bad for constituents. Now, maybe some citizens of color here might do that ... "

- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Jones, can I interrupt you just for a minute? I'd like to announce that immediately after we adjourn for the evening, we're welcome to go the Mansion for a little buttermilk and...I thought you'd like to know that, Representative Jones. Proceed."
- Jones: "Well, Mr. Speaker, that was a very nice offer, but I thought we took all the caviar and lobster out of the Governor's budget this time, so I'm not interested in going there. But, this is..this is a very bad Conference Committee Report. And I know the Members here have a vested interest because you've got something about license plates for retired Members. But I, for one, can not support this legislation and you vote as you please. But it's a very, very bad Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Ryan: "Thanks for your comments, Representative.

 Representative Miller."
- Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a question for the Sponsor."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Proceed."

- Miller: "Representative Huskey, I believe our analysis is incorrect, is it not, with regard to the fact that two or more violations of a moving..moving violation will not cause your revocation? It has to be two or more warrants?"
- Huskey: "Representative Miller, that's right. On the analysis there is a mistake. It says, 'has two or more violations regarding the movement of traffic'. That's two or more warrants. So that does disturb people on this..on this report. It's two or more warrants instead of two or more violations."
- Miller: "Well, I appreciate you clarifying that. I think it's important to the Members that we have that..especially for the record. Thank you very much."
- Huskey: "Thank you for calling it to our attention, Representative."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

 Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, too, realize that it's been a long day. But I recognize or don't recognize the material that's in this Conference Committee Report as was represented by the original version of House Bill 1913.

 The Gentleman, in explaining his Bill, indicated that..to the Body that there were certain safeguards in the Bill that would provide for the issuance of charity vehicle plates. And he refers to, in the Conference Committee Report, to certain IRS statements and so forth. I'd like to have him tell me where in the Conference Committee that is..that is."
- Huskey: "That's in the original Bill, Representative Yourell. It
 wasn't taken out of the original Bill. That was put in.
 If you will ask one of your fellow colleagues over there,
 Representative Van Duyne put that Amendment on the Bill and
 that was not removed from the Bill. So it didn't have to

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- be inserted in the Conference Committee Report."
- Yourell: "Well, are you telling us, Sir, that if it's not..if

 it's not in the Conference Committee Report that it remains
 in the Bill?"
- Huskey: "Alright. If you want to take your Conference Committee
 Report and look on page nine, line nineteen, you will find
 it in the original Bill."
- Yourell: "Alright. The next question is, included in this

 Conference Committee Report and I realize you didn't

 have anything to do with it is the provisions for

 commemorative plates...."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is...Oh, I'm sorry."
- Yourell: "You know, Mr. Speaker, I don't mind you cutting me off, but you do.."
- Speaker Ryan: "I'm sorry, Representative. Proceed."
- do appreciate your attention to my questions. Yourell: "I Included in this Conference Committee Report is a provision for commemorative plates and I would ask you to tell me the Secretary of State's Office, in the issuance of the LincolnFest registration plates, has fulfilled the legislative intent of the statute. And I would refer you to a report from the Illinois Legislative Council that says that every registration plate shall have displayed upon it the registration number assigned to the vehicle for which it is issued, the name of the state, which may be abbreviated, the year number for which it was issued, which may be abbreviated and the phrase 'Land of Lincoln'. suggest to you that those license plates that I've seen do not have the words, quote, 'Land of Lincoln', unquote. Ι would also ask you how many of these license plates were issued and by whom they were issued. I understand the Secretary of State has the broad authority for the issuance and certification of license plates in this category, but

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

I would also tell you that..er..ask you this guestion. If
I walked into the Secretary of State's Office and asked
them for a LincolnFest plate and offered \$5,000.00, could I
get one?"

Huskey: "Well, Representative Yourell, I don't know if that was a question or if you were just talking to make statements.

But this..."

Yourell: "I just asked you a question."

Huskey: "What question, Representative Yourell?"

Yourell: "If I walked into the Secretary of State's Office tomorrow and asked for a LincolnFest license plate and offered \$500.00 for that privilege, would I get one?"

Huskey: "Alright, Representative, this Bill is only to limit the number of..of ceremonial plates that the Secretary of State will issue. And if it's the ChicagoPest or a LincolnFest, the Bill limits it to 200. To answer you, if you walk into the Secretary of State to pick up those plates, they're paid for by the LincolnFest. If you walk into the Secretary of State to pick up for ChicagoPest, they're paid for by the ChicagoFest. But the Bill has nothing to do with that. It only is to limit the number of ceremonial plates that will be issued for any particular event."

Yourell: "Did you say there were 200 issued?"

Huskey: "No, Representative, I didn't say that. I said the Bill is to the..the Conference Report is to limit it to 200 so the Secretary will have more work to do than just to pass out ceremonial plates."

Yourell: "Well, I suggest you're absolutely wrong. It's not 200.

It's 150 and the Secretary of State's Office should charge
\$20.00 for the registration of this...these plates which he
has not done."

Speaker Ryan: "Now, is there any further discussion?

Representative...Representative Huskey to close."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Huskey: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, the issue is trying to be confused here. That has nothing to do with the Bill, what some of the last remarks are. The Bill is a Bill that has just passed out of the Senate. There's no problem with the Bill. It's a Bill that's a money-maker for the state all the way through. It costs us nothing. It makes us money, and it does no one no harm. I..."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1913?'. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz, one minute to explain your vote."

Lechowicz: "Well, very briefly, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to share with the Nembership at 1:00 o'clock in the morning a letter which each and every of us have received from Superintendent Brzeczek. And I'll just...I'd like to put it into the record, if I may. "I would like to share with you a serious situation that exists in the City of Chicago and other municipalities in the State οf Illinois concerning procedures for the service of traffic warrants. Specifically, these procedures apply to traffic violators who have either failed to pay the assessed fines or to appear in court to dispose of citations issued for either moving or parking violations. The multitude of this problem is demonstrated by the fact there were in excess of 181.000 traffic warrants entered into the Chicago Police Department computer system for service as of 1, January Forty-three percent of these warrants have been served by Chicago police officers requiring a substantial commitment of personnel..personnel and other resources must diverted from other which be responsibilities. A cost estimate indicates that the phase of our operations costs the Chicago Police Department in

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

excess of \$2,000,000.00 per year. A substantial part of the problem rests with the individual violator in that the outstanding warrants indicates a ο£ flagrant number disregard of the judicial process which can be remedied by reasonable legislative action. I am requesting that you support legislation which will permit municipalities to work in a cooperative posture with the Illinois Secretary of State whereby the Secretary of State, upon receiving a formal notice that a traffic violator has two or more warrants for outstanding moving violations or a warrant for 10 or more outstanding parking violations, would proceedings) to suspend the violator's driver's license. The suspension would continue until the matters pending before the traffic court are resolved. The intervention by the Secretary of State would only occur after the violator has received the past due notice concerning the issuance of the warrant and notice that suspension proceedings may be initiated. There's another letter... There's another page to this letter, but he assures - and you received a copy of this - assures that there are certain procedures that will be followed to protect the interests of any errors that may be forthcoming. This is good legislation. It should be And I believe that I'm sure that if you have any complications with this situation, you can call the Police Department. Ιn fact he gives the number, 312-744-5501, and he or any member of his staff will be more than happy to cooperate. This is good legislation and should be supported."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Lechowicz, could you give us that phone number again, please?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, if you have any problems, you can call me as well."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would concur with the remarks made by Representative Lechowicz. We did look at this Bill very carefully and I. frankly I voted 'no', but I am reminded of our discussions on this. We have a problem, as you all know, on this particular item with the City of Chicago. This should go to solve some of that problem, it doesn't only apply to the City of Chicago. It will apply to Champaign and Bloomington and cities up and down the state that have this similar kind of problem. The Secretary of State will be reimbursed so there appears to no great expenditure out of his particular budget for It goes to getting at those people who are really looking at the parking laws of the state, and disregarding those tickets in huge numbers. It gives us a way to get at them and to do the job. Pinancially, it should help the cities, again, downstate, that have the same kind of problem with..with huge tourists or transient or college populations. It is a good Bill, Mr. Speaker, and I think we ought to support it."

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 116 voting 'aye', 39 voting 'no', 11 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On Supplemental Calendar #3, under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1398, Representative Wolf. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Ryan: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1398, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Agriculture with a Second Conference Committee Report." Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman asks leave to remove Senate Bill

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

1398 from the table for immediate consideration. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted.

Representative Wolf. No, Representative Winchester. I'm sorry. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 1398. It just passed the Senate about five minutes ago. It's the ordinary and contingent appropriation for the Department of Agriculture. The report recommends that the Senate concur in House Amendments 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7, that the House recede from House Amendment #3. The Conference Committee put various other items in it: 500,000 for Chicago, adds 38 million to the Department of Transportation for construction of a bridge over the Illinois River and 200,000 to DCCA for the implementation of the Enterprise Zone Act. And I would now move that we concur with Conference Committee Report #2. Roll Call."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. Will the Sponsor yield to a
question?"

Speaker Ryan: "He indicates he will."

Vitek: "Mr. Sponsor, I want to be sure that the 20 thousand for the blacksmith shop is on there. Is it on there?"

Winchester: "Representative Vitek, I know how hard you've worked for that Amendment and I can assure you and guarantee you and promise you that it is in this Bill."

Vitek: "Thank you, and I'll support the Conference Committee #2."

Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? The Lady from Cook,

Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a few questions, please."

Speaker Ryan: "Proceed, Representative."

Pullen: "Why is any money needed to implement enterprise zones?" Winchester: "It's my understanding that the Department of

137th Legislative Day

July 1. 1982

Commerce and Community Affairs has to certify what money is going to be given out for the imple...implementation of the Enterprise Zone Act, and because their budget had already passed out, it was attached to this Bill in the Conference Committee."

- Pullen: "What kind of grants were there in the Enterprise Zones

 Act that they would be giving out money to the enterprise

 zones?"
- Winchester: "It's...Well, it's not for grants. It's for administrative staff, to implement the program."
- Pullen: "Two hundred thousand dollars to certify enterprise zones?"
- Winchester: "Well, this is..you know, this is the first year to get it started. There are several legal staff. There's administrative staff. There's a requirement for leasing of equipment, office space, the whole shebang. It's just...this is..this is the first year for it. We just passed the Totten Enterprise Zone Act last year. We're just now implementing it."
- Pullen: "Yes, and there's a limit to..about six enterprise zones a year that can be established, but for that we need to lease office space and add \$200,000 in salaries and that sort of thing. You said there was \$500,000.00 in here for Chicago. For what in Chicago, please?"

Winchester: "For the ChicagoFest."

Pullen: "Did ChicagoFest turn a profit last year?"

Winchester: "It's my understanding that they did."

Pullen: "Did any of that money come back to the state?"

Winchester: "Well, no, not to my knowledge."

Pullen: "Was any of it put aside for this year's expenses of ChicagoPest?"

Winchester: "No, I believe it wasn't."

Pullen: "Why are we giving a gift of \$500,000.00 to Chicago for

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

ChicagoFest again?"

Winchester: "All I can say is it's part of the program."

Pullen: "It sure is. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Have all...Representative Koehler. Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield please? It's just a quick question."

Speaker Ryan: "A quick one."

Ropp: "I notice in the First Conference Report that that ChicagoFest had to give a report to the state as to how that money was spent, and on this one that really does not say. Is that understood that that will still be in there?"

Winchester: "Representative Ropp, I'm not sure. I can't answer that question. I'm not sure, and my staff says he can't either."

Ropp: "Thank you."

Winchester: "Yes, it is. It's on...Yes, it is, Sir. It's..It is in Conference Committee #..#2."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to comment that there is more money in this budget for fixing fire damaged horse barns than there is for clinics for poor people in the State of Illinois. There's money in here for parties when we cannot provide funding for basic health care services. There's money in here for county fairs when we can't provide people with the basics upon which they have to live and we're reducing general assistance grants to \$144.00 a month. I think it's just..it's just outrageous."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Winchester to close."

Winchester: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I..I think that
there's a lot of good proposals in this Bill, and I would
just simply ask that we now concur with Conference
Committee Report #2."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt...the

 Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1398?'.

 All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by

 voting 'no'. Representative Van Duyne, one minute to

 explain your vote."
- Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. But that wasn't what I wanted the recognition of the Chair for. I just wanted to ask you whether or not itRepresentative Ryan, soon to be Lieutenant Governor...well, I mean...I mean maybe. Speaker?"

Speaker Ryan: "I didn't hear that. Say it again."

Van Duyne: "That really wasn't what I wanted to do is explain my
vote. I thought...I wanted to ask you whether it would be
appropriate for us to take a Roll Call for attendance or
are we going to do that tomorrow morning?"

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish?"

Van Duyne: "I mean..."

- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? I've got to get 107 votes on this Bill. Have all voted who wish? Take the On this question there are 110 voting 'aye', 44 record. voting 'no', 7 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby Roll Call for attendance. declared passed. Are all present who wish to be? Take the record. With 166 Members answering the Roll, a quorum of the House is present. On Supplemental Calendar #3 appears Senate Bill 1422. Representative Reilly. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1422, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Public Aid. Second Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves...asks leave to remove Senate
 Bill 1422 from the table for immediate consideration. Are

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

there objections? Hearing none, leave is granted.

Representative Reilly on Senate Bill 1422."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the OCE for the Department of Public Aid. It is exactly the same dollar amount as was presented to the House yesterday. There is no change. The Bill has now passed the Senate with 39 affirmative votes. I'd be glad to answer questions. Otherwise I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Braun." Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I mentioned on the last Bill having to do with the Agricultural Premium Fund, it seems to me that it's a disgrace when in the State of Illinois we would turn our backs absolutely on people who are the poorest of the poor, provide \$144.00 a month for people to live on when they can't. In the City of Chicago we're seeing people sleeping under viaducts and on the streets and on the park benches because they cannot afford rent. I had a phone call yesterday from one man who was threatening suicide because he couldn't find...he didn't have the money to find..to get transportation to go and look for a job. And that's the situation that we have in the City of Chicago and indeed in other parts of the State of Illinois. And at the same time, with a 15 billion dollar budget, we can find money for horse barns, we can find money for our pet projects and we can find money to construct things. We can't find money to feed people who are unemployed, unemployable and for whom there are no jobs. The second part of this budget which is distressing has to do with medical services the fact that there is no money for hospital out-patient. clinics, dentists, optometrists that serve the general assistance and the medically indigent categories. We had

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

sought to transfer monies into those line items. We were policy decision had been made that in not successful. A the interests of cost containment we would tell those same people who are sleeping under the viaducts and on the park benches and under the train tracks that we will not provide them with these medical services, no matter...no matter badly they needed them. That's the fact of the matter with regard to this budget. It is unfortunate. I regret it. I'm sorry that we were not able...better able to reach some kind of an accommodation so that we would not see the effect of this depression actually grinding people into the ground as it seems like it is going to do and has already started to do. However, Mr. Speaker and Ladies Gentlemen of the House, I am going to vote to pass this Conference Committee Report because I believe we worked through the process. I have had long discussions with Representative Reilly, the Members on that side of the aisle, the representatives of the Governor's Office and indeed, as recently as last night with the Governor, himself, regarding this issue. Ι don't agree with Governor on this. I think it's misdirected. I think it's not cost containment to tell people that they can't get clinic care and force them into expensive hospital beds. That's going to eat up the budget. I think misdirected to tell people that we're not going to give them money to clean themselves, to wear decent clothes, even look for work when they are in such dire straits. don't think it's productive to cut up this budget in such a way as to leave out even children, and there has been some debate about that, but there are 10,000 children of the medically indigent, the working poor, the janitors whatever, throughout this state who will not be able to get health care because of the way this budget is constructed.

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

I think that's regrettable. However, I do believe and I say this with all sincerity, that we have an obligation to fund these important functions. I don't really know..have an answer to the fact that the economy has gone..has bottomed out and that there are people called bag ladies in the City of Chicago for whom we can't seem to find our way clear with this budget to do anything. I think that's a disgrace. I think it is a disgrace and that it is not in ..not consistent with the tradition of this Legislature. But again, I don't see that we have any choice. It's late. I'm going to stop this speech and turn it over to Representative Reilly."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? Any discussion? Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I thought you couldn't see my Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you notice the Conference Committee Report, I did sign the Conference Committee Report. And, I think Representative Braun delineated all the problems we have with this particular piece of appropriation. But let me say this to each and every one of you, that we did sit down and try to ..tried very hard to get a transfer into the line item so that we can take care of the medically poor in the State of Illinois. But the Governor and his staff saw fit not to He, the Governor, indicated to us that he transfer that. expected the AFDC line item to increase due to the state of the economy, and if he feels that way, then he in turn telling us that the Reaganomics...the Reagan's economic policies for this country is not working. But I was a little more optimistic and I believe that something will come forth in the future wherein we can take care of the medically indigent in this state. So the monkey will be on his back. I sincerely believe he's being penny-wise and

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

pound foolish in this appropriation. But I signed the appropriation because I know there are many other aspects in the budget that is needed. And I don't intend to be an obstructionist just for the sake of getting one line item and punish other individuals who are in need. So I do solicit an 'aye' vote on the appropriation and hopefully, hopefully the Director of the Department of Public Aid will carry out his commitment and take care of some of the problems for the medically poor in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from

McBroom: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Gentleman's Motion prevails.

Representative Reilly to close."

Reilly: "I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Kankakee, Representative McBroom."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1422?'. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this guestion there are 117 voting 'aye', 39 voting 'no', 6 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On Supplemental Calendar #4, under the Order of Nonconcurrence appears Senate Bill 1653. Representative Tate."

Tate: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to recede from House Amendments 1, 2 and 3. It was Senate Bill 1653 which was the aeronautics...the Illinois Aeronautics Act and the Transportation Bond Act that we passed out of here three days ago with 120 votes."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman asks leave

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

to re...suspend the appropriate rule to move Senate Bill 1653 from the table for immediate consideration. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. And now, are there any questions, or any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "I just wanted to ask him if he could explain what it is we're receding from."

Speaker Ryan: "I didn't hear you, Representative."

Bowman: "I just asked him if he could tell us what we're receding from."

Tate: "Representative Bowman, Amendment 1 was basically a technical Amendment, clarifying changes only that do not alter the intent of the Bill. Amendment 2 involved series B bonds that had some RTA language in it that would have made the Bill uniform with the rest of the .. er .. made ... the Amendment would have made the Bill uniform with the rest of the language in the Bill. It got kind of tied down in the Senate over some controversy. It fell just a couple of votes short of the three-fifths requirement. Amendment 3 adds back a provision which was already duplicated in the existing law, so it was no longer necessary. It is essentially a technical Amendment too."

Bowman: "Kind of makes me wonder why we adopted it in the first place. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Now, is there any further discussion?

Representative Bowman's seatmate, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Is Amendment #3 the Amendment that I offered in Committee?"

Tate: "I.. I think so."

Levin: "Now, you're saying that this...would the requirement, if

I recall - and I can't find it in the synopsis Digest - but

I recall that it was to include the airports in the
planning document. Does that appear someplace else?"

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- Tate: "No. Amendment #3 was clean up language basically. It was duplicative of what you had already offered."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Levin, would you like the House to stand at ease while you find your Bill? No? Alright.

 Representative Tate to close."
- Tate: "Well, I'd just encourage a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall the House recede from House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1653?'. Final action. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 140..39 voting 'aye'...139 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On Calendar 4, Supplemental Calendar #4 under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1423, Representative Henry. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1423, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Pension Code. Second Conference Committee
 Report."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Henry asks leave to remove House
 Bill 1423 from the table and for immediate consideration.

 Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted.

 Representative Henry."
- Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to Conference Report #2. We passed this Bill out of the House before with 142 to 13. I ask you for your support once again and to concur with Amendment #1 to House Bill 1423.

 And I ask Representative Pouncey Taylor to get the truck."

Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion? Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. I move the previous question."

Speaker Ryan: "Well, that's fine. Thank you. The...We don't

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

need that though, Representative Vitek. I think everybody's...nobody wants to ask any questions or talk about this. How about you? The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1423?'. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Schneider to explain his vote."

Schneider: "I think we should know that in this proposal is something similar to what we passed out for the downstate teachers, if I'm not mistaken. That was a pension extension for early out. The advantage is very clear to school boards, that is members who reach a senior age can leave the profession early at a reduced rate without penalty. Most boards...Many boards, including my own in Naperville, have adopted that provision and has seen it as beneficial to allowing for ways to reduce your cash flow and ongoing budget because you can hire beginning teachers at a lesser rate. And I think what's in here is a provision that applies to teachers in Chicago."

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 111 voting 'aye', 46 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1652, Representative Watson. Supplemental Calendar #4. Read the Bill."

Watson: "Thank you, Mr ... "

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman asks leave to remove Senate Bill 1652 from the table for immediate consideration. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1652, a Bill for an Act in relation..."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Watson."

Clerk O'Brien: "...To fees for wall certificates. First

Conference Committee Report."

Watson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with the Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1652. The language in the Conference Committee Report is identical to the language that was originally in the Bill. There's been no change whatsoever. We felt that we might have to use this Bill as a vehicle and the language in House Bill 2504 is now on the Governor's desk and therefore, it was not needed as a vehicle. And I move to concur."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall the House adopt First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1652?*. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 142 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the regular Calendar on page two under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 394. Representative Plinn. The Gentleman asks leave to remove House Bill 394 from the table for immediate consideration. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. House is removed from the table. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 394, a Bill for an Act in relation to advertising special public mass transit vehicles. First Conference Committee Report."

Plinn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the reason I'm handling this Bill is because it's a vehicle Bill to do something that needs to be done. It started out with wheels. I think it's got wings on it now. But, what it does, it repeals the Urban Transportation District Act

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

which is a district in downtown Chicago which was created to build the Franklin Street subway. It's no longer needed because — I hate to lose Representative Mulcahey's vote because Mayor Byrne and Governor Thompson got together and decided not to build it. So we don't need the government. It's just an extra layer of government. And I would move to adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate... House Bill 394."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a couple of questions,

please."

Speaker Ryan: "He indicates that he would be delighted to yield."
Pullen: "I doubt it."

Flinn: "I will, but not delighted."

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates that he wouldn't be delighted, but that he would yield."

Pullen: "Is there any provision in this Conference Committee

Report for the distribution of the funds that were levied

by the Chicago Urban Transportation District?"

Flinn: "Yes, it will all be transferred within 60 days to the CTA and it must be spent within five years within the same district where they were collected, the same area, which is basically the downtown business area."

Pullen: "They're transferred to the Chicago Transit Authority, itself?"

Plinn: "Yes."

Pullen: "And how much money is it? Do you know?"

Flinn: "The..All the assets are about \$6,000,000.00."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any ..is there any other discussion?

Representative Vitek. Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates that he will."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

- Sandquist: "You said that the funds would be transferred to the CTA and are to be used in the Chicago Urban Transportation District only. Is that correct?"
- Flinn: "Yes. In the area where the taxes were collected, it must be spent there."
- Sandquist: "Right, well that's primarily in the 13th District and the new 8th district and I hope that's...I want to make sure of the legislative intent. That's where they will be spent."
- Speaker Ryan: "Further discussion? Representative O'Brien. We will give you a verification, Representative."
- O'Brien: "Thank you very much. I've never had any problem with the Chair being fair this year, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Bill by Representative Flinn. I had a Bill myself that was similar to this. This Bill does what we've been trying to do. It takes the money from the Chicago Urban Transportation District and it transfers it the CTA for capital improvements. My only concern is that they spend that money as rapidly as possible, and the Amendment that has been adopted allows them a five year grace period before the capital improvements are put in. The other thing that I have some concern, is that this taxing district that was created in 1969 generated million dollars, give or take a few hundred thousand. Six million dollars is going to be returned to the people as a result of a lawsuit. Six million dollars has been spent over the last seven years on feasibility studies and on and we may wind up, after generating 18 million dollars through a property tax assessment - we may wind with four million dollars going into capital improvements, hopefully in the district, within the five year period. I'd encourage everybody to vote 'aye' for the best that we can do in a bad situation."

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates he will."

- Vinson: "Representative Flinn, why would none of the money be transferred to the RTA for suburban rail improvements within the district?"
- Plinn: "Because all of the district is in downtown Chicago, all of the money was collected from that area, and all of the money will be spent in the next five years, if the law is signed into law."
- Vinson: "Well, aren't there...aren't there suburban rail terminals in downtown Chicago?"
- Plinn: "There... There sure is, but this money was collected down there, and they don't want to spend it out in DuPage County or someplace else."
- Vinson: "Yeah, but aren't there suburban rail terminals within the downtown district?"
- Flinn: "Well, they're not in the district itself, no."
- Vinson: "Well, where do those trains empty into, then? Do you think they all run up and down DuPage County?"
- Plinn: "No, they ... I'm trying to get advice, here."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. I would urge defeat of this Bill, because some of this money should be transferred to the RTA for the improvement of the downtown terminuses of the suburban rail hookups. Part of that money should be spent for that, so that it's equitably apportioned, and I would urge defeat of the Bill, or return of it to the Conference Committee until that change can be made."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Flinn, to close."

- Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't want to take any more of the
 time of the House, here."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Conference Committee Report on House Bill 394? . All in favor will signify by voting 'ave', all opposed by voting Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? record, ar. Clerk. On this quest... Take the On this voting 'aye', 36 voting 'no', 6 question, there are 118 voting 'present'. This Bill. having received three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The House will now stand adjourned for fifteen stand in recess for fifteen No. minutes. minutes. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Br. Secretary. "Mr. Speaker... A Message from the Senate by Speaker, I am directed to Mr. Wright, Secretary: 'Mr. inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted First Conference Committee Reports to the following Bills: Senate Bills 1400 and 1532; House Bills 1607, 394, 1060, and 2276 adopted by the Senate July 1. 1982. Kenneth Wright. Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary: 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted Second Conference Committee Reports to the following Bills: Senate Bills 1452 and 1518; House Bill 2504 adopted by the Senate July 1, 1982', Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary: 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of their Amendments to the following Bill: Senate Bill 1654, action by the Senate July 1, 1982. Kenneth Wright. taken A Message from the Secretary. Senate by Mr. Wright, *Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House Secretary: of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of their Amendments to Senate Bill 1672. I am further directed to inform the House the Senate has refused

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

to concur with House Amendment #5 action taken by the Senate July 1, 1982 by a three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Wright, Secretary: 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded the request of the First Conference Committee to Senate Bill 1652 action taken by the Senate July 1, 1982. from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary: 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt the First Conference Committee Report and requests a Second to House Bill 1423 action taken by the Senate July 1, 1982. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Secretary: 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption of their Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bills 1653, Amendments 1, 2, and 3, action taken by the Senate July 1, 1982. Kenneth Wright. Secretary."

Clerk Leone: "Messages from the Senate. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary: 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted Second Conference Committee Reports to the following Bills: House Bill 2133, Senate Bill House Bill 1423, and Senate Bill 1398, adopted by the Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from Senate'. Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary: Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the First Conference Committee Reports to the following Bills: House Bill 1913, 2370, 2439, and 991; together with Senate Bills 1516, 1599, and 1363, adopted by the Senate'. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Ryan: "The House will be in order. On the Calendar on

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

page two, under the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 1992, Representative Yourell. The Gentleman asks leave to remove House Bill 1992 from the table. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1992, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning titles, with Senate Amendments #1 and 3."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of House. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1992 is an Amendment that was put on in the Senate that requires the recorders of deeds in the counties of the state that the maps or plats submitted for recordation be accompanied up to six exact copies. That's what the Amendment does. The present law requires that in all, at least six copies be submitted for filing. This says any number up to six, and I have no argument with that Amendment. Amendment # 3 is an Amendment that amends the Uniform Criminal Extradition Act relating to fees for State's Attorney provides that the cost for extradition incurred by the State's Attorneys throughout the State of Illinois shall be paid by the state only if the extradited person is alleged to have committed a felony. Since 1980 ... January, 1982, this has been the practice of the State of Illinois and the Department of Law Enforcement has suddenly decided, through the issuance of an unsigned memorandum, that they no longer will do that, and it is costing the counties a great deal of money, and they have loosely interpreted the statute that provides for the extradition of criminals. With the computer system in force, at least in Cook County and some other counties of the state, it has been very advantageous in the extradition of these persons, and currently, the Sheriff in Cook County has 3500 wanted

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

persons entered into the nationwide computer. And the Chicago Police Department has a similar amount, I move for a... I move for a concurrence in Conference Committee Report on House...on House Bill 1992."

Speaker Byan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to oppose the Gentleman's concurrence Motion and I'd like to simply remind the Members that this would add 1.2 million dollars to the state's budget, something we could hardly afford, and would also save, I believe, some 600,000 dollars for the City. And Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think we've done pretty good by the City of Chicago the last couple of days. They can take care of themselves with this 600,000 dollars, and the state can save the million two cost that would be imposed by this Bill, if we concur with Amendment \$1, so I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this Motion. colleague has raised some buzz words, I'm afraid, at the end of the Session, and I don't think it's really fair. This applies statewide. This is not for the City. What this... What's happened here is, in an effort to save some money, the Department of Law Enforcement has said they're not going to extradite people who ... who the law requires extradite. The offenses that they're extraditing people is aggravated battery, burglary, arson, theft, and jumping bail. They're just saying, 'We can't afford to bring those people from other states into our state'. And the law right now clearly, in my opinion, states that they have the obligation, but they put out a memorandum saying that they don't... they don't have to do it. And what this is designed to do is to say that these

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

people have to fulfill their obligations, pay for the extradition of these criminals into the state. Now, you know, if we want to use buzz words, this is definitely a law and order Bill. It's clear it's a law and order Bill. It applies statewide. It... It... I appreciate the Speaker calling it. I really do. I'm surprised to hear Representative Telcser oppose it. It's nothing more than a clear law and order Bill. Are we going to spend a little bit extra money so that we can bring in these criminals back into the state for prosecution? That's exactly what the Bill does."

Speaker Ryan: "Would you bring your remarks to a close, please?" Cullerton: "I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Yourell, to close."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think the Bill has been debated well enough. It does not apply to the City of Chicago alone. It applies to all of the counties in the state - 102 of them. Every State's Attorney in the st...in the state has had an interest in this legislation. I'm sure that many of the Members have had word from those individuals, and I would move for concurrence in the Conference... in House Bill 1992."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, "Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 1992?". All in favor signify by voting "aye"... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, there are 100 voting "aye", 43 voting "no", 4 voting "present", and this Bill, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I now move the House stand adjourned until November 5th, the hour of 12:00 noon. Is it correct?"

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "I understand it's perfunctory."

Telcser: "If the Clerk needs... If the Clerk needs some perfunct time for messages, or whatever..."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Telcser, I think November the 5th is a perfunctory Session."

Telcser: "Is November 5 perfunct?"

Speaker Ryan: "Right."

Telcser: "Well, when is the regular Session, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Ryan: "The following Monday, whatever date that is."

Telcser: "Well, we'll adjourn now until November 5, the hour of 12:00 noon."

Speaker Ryan: "Right. The House now adjourns until the hour... The Gentleman moves the House stand adjourned until November the 5th, the hour of 12:00 noon. All in favor will signify... Representative Telcser. A11 in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House now stands adjourned until November the 5th, the hour of 12:00. And I might add, it's the first time in the ten years I've been here we've beat the Senate out."

Clerk O'Brien: "Introduction and First Reading of Bills. House Bill 2675, Peters et al, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Commission on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse in the Office of Lieutenant Governor. First Reading of the Bill. Messages from the Senate. A Message from the Senate by Mr. *Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform Wright, Secretary. the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House Representatives to wit: Resolved by the Senate of the 82nd General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, when the two Houses adjourn on Friday, July 2, 1982, they stand adjourned until

137th Legislative Day

July 1, 1982

November 5, 1982, at 12:00 noon. Adopted by the Senate July 2, 1982. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further business. The House now stands adjourned."

09/30/82 15:49

STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

JULY 01, 1982

PAGE

1

HB-0394	CONFERENCE	PAGE	200
HB-0403	CONFERENCE	PAGE	62
HB-0991	CONFERENCE	PAGE	120
HB-0991	NOTION	PAGE	82
HB-1423	CONFERENCE	PAGE	142
HB-1423	CONFERENCE	PAGE	198
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	179
HB- 1938	CONFERENCE	PAGE	98
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	206
HB-2133	CONPERENCE	PAGE	137
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	2
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	35
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	6
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	7
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	145
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	57
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	36
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	174
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	42
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	124
	FIRST READING	PAGE	209
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	45
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	97
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	133
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	17
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	8
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	23
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	132
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	188
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	111
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	111
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	24
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	26
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	29
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	31
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	192
SB-1452		PAGE	83
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	35
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	42
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	45
SB-1532	CONFERENCE	PAGE	43
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	41
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	176
SB-1652	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	52
SB-1652	CONFERENCE	PAGE	199
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	196
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	175
	ADOPTED	PAGE	56
SJR-0093		PAGE	103
SJR-0108		PAGE	52
	-		

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER RYAN	PAGE	
PRAYER - VICAR KUGLER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	1
REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN CHAIR	PAGE	2
RECESS	PAGE	43
HOUSE RECONVENES - SPEAKER DANIELS IN CHAIR	PAGE	44
MESSAGES FROM SENATE	PAGE	44
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	102
RECESS	PAGE	111

09/30/82 15:49

STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JULY 01, 1982

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE RECONVENES - SPEAKER RYAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	111
RECESS	PAGE	145
HOUSE RECONVENES - SPEAKER RYAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	145
MESSAGES FROM SENATE	PAGE	204
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	208
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	209