132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

- Speaker Ryan: "The House will be in order, and the Members will please be in their seats. The Chaplain for today is Reverend Paul Flesner from the Grace Lutheran Church of Springfield. Reverend Flesner."
- Reverend Flesner: "Let us bow our heads in prayer. Heavenly Father, the hour is early this morning, time getting short, and there still remains work to be done. ask in the days that lie ahead You keep our vision clear, and our footsteps firm, and our compassion open concern inclusive. Give us Your quidance as we keep working with the issues before us. Keep haste from preventing us from seeing that which is important, but keep indecisiveness from making us unable to act. Help us to see clearly our responsibility to act justly and wisely for all our citizens. Hear also, oh God, the personal prayers of each of our hearts this morning as we bring our needs before You in prayer and grant them all for Your mercy's sake. Amen."
- Speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Reverend. We'll be led in the Pledge today by Representative Christensen."
- Christensen, et al: "I pledge allegiance to the Plag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Ryan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Messages from the Senate."

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

2485. 2461, 1301 and 2502 together with attached Amendments hereto and adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; passed the Senate as amended June 25, 1982, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has accepted to the request of House of Representatives for the First Conference Committee Report to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments to Senate Bill 1193. I am further instructed to inform the House of Representatives that the Committee on Committee of the Senate has appointed such Members as part, action taken by the Senate June 25, 1982, Kenneth Wright, Secretary ...

Speaker Ryan: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. With 155 Members answering the Roll, a quorum of the House is present.

Reading of the Journal."

Clerk Leone: "Reading of the Journal. Journal of ...

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I move we suspend the reading of the

Journal and approve all Journals from this Session which

have not already been approved."

Speaker Ryan: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion prevails. Representative Getty, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I think you know that that was a matter of quite a bit of controversy, and I think, to try to run it through without respecting the rights of the Minority to register their protest, I think is unconscionable. And I think it's a poor way to start out the day. I would ask that the Gentleman back up his Motion, permit us to put in the record those things which we feel that should be put in

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

the record."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "What did we just do? Yes?

Speaker Ryan: "We just approved the Journal, Representative Leinenweber. Representative Getty, we'll be glad to file all your dissents and protests on the preceeding matter."

Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you know, it's just a matter of giving people their rights, and I think it ill behooves the Speaker of the House and the Majority Leader to try to run something through that they know is controversial, when the Minority just wants to make its record. Now, you out number us. You can carry that, but at least you should have given us the opportunity to register, in a proper manner, our protest of the conduct of May 18, 1981. You know that's the only thing..."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Getty, I just said that I would be glad to take your protest and your dissent, and you can file them. You can state them now if you wish, whatever you want to do, and they'll be entered in the record in the proper form."

Getty: "Well, let my remarks..."

Speaker Ryan: "If you want to proceed with your dissent, why, go ahead."

Getty: "Let my remarks here stand for that, and I will yield to Representative Giorgi."

Speaker Ryan: "'Agreed Bill' Giorgi. Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think the record is very plain and the dissent... or the objections of the Journal of May 18 of last year, that's 13 months ago, and we've been on the floor daily waiting for you to take up the discussion of the Journals. And I don't think it's fair. I don't think the House record ought to indicate that you furtively, for 13 months, surreptiously tried to get the Journal approved.

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Hr. Speaker, I don't think that you want to leave the. the podium as a Speaker and have that black mark on your record of trying to sneak through the House, for 13 months, the approval of a Journal and when you know that there is a lot of discussion to be held on that Journal, because the Journal plainly shows that someone minipulated the records. You lied into the Journal. You did a lot of illegal things, and I think you'd like to clear the air. And I think you ought to reconsider your action."

- SpeakerRyan: "Representative Barr, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Barr: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I guess this is a point of personal privilege. I understand that there's some problem with events that occurred in this House on May 18, 1981. Is that correct? I understand it is, Mr. Speaker. The only thing I know that happened that day is I was sworn in. I wonder if that's the problem here."
- Speaker Ryan: "It's certainly worth considering, Representative.

 Representative Collins."
- Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if that's the case, I want to be added to the dissent."
- Speaker Ryan: "On the Calendar, on page two, under the Order of Concurrences...Representative Daniels, would you come to the podium, please? Representative Daniels in the Chair."
- Speaker Daniels: "We're waiting right now for a Supplemental Calendar. So, in the interim, the House will stand at ease until the Supplemental Calendar gets up. Supplemental Calendar #1, House... on the Order of Concurrence, House Bill 1244, Representative Currie. 1244. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1244, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Currie."

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Currie: "Let me take it out of the record."

Speaker Daniels: "You want that out of the record? Out of the record. 1301, Representative Schneider. Out of the record. 2342, Representative Leinenweber. Read the Motion."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2342, a Bill for an Act in relationship to land trusts together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 so that we can have a Conference Committee on this Bill. There's some additional language that needs to be put into the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and Senate Amendment #1 is nonconcurred in. House Bill 2356, Representative Leinenweber. Read the Motion. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I would move to concur in Senate Amendments 2 and 3. House Bill 2356 establi... amends the Purchasing Act to permit state agencies and units of local government to purchase goods and services from sheltered workshops for the handicapped and not be subject to the betti... the competitive bidding requirements. Senate Amendment #2 is some language, added language. It expands the powers of the Committee that is established in the... the Department Administrative Services to include development ٥f guidelines where sheltered workshops are to participate on the provisions of the Bill. The authority to review bids submitted and the authority to reject bids are termed to be substantially more than purchase would cost if competitively bid. The effect of Senate Amendment #3 is to remove the phrase 'noncompetitive bids' from

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Amendment #2 - in other words, to clarify the first Amendment. So, I would move, Mr. Speaker, to... that the House concur in Senate Amendments 2 and 3."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? On the Motion, the Gentleman moves that the House concur in... Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Getty: "Representative Leinenweber, is this a more or less restrictive provision than the present law?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "The present law has a limit of 2500 dollars for purchase of goods on a noncompetitive bid basis. So, the Bill itself sought to eliminate that requirement so that sheltered workshops could negotiate directly with the state to do things like, for the Department of Conservation, build park benches and things of this nature. So, the Bill becomes less restrictive as far as the non... or as far as competitive bidding requirements are concerned."

Getty: "That only affects sheltered workshops?"

Leinenweber: "Yes, Sir. The theory behind the Bill is that, with declining federal and state dollars, that this is a good way to permit sheltered workshops to raise money to continue their operations. What started the thing off was a problem that a sheltered workshop in my community had. They sought to make some park benches for the Department of Conservation. They were limited to 2500 dollars, and they had to finnagle around. And it was difficult to do so, and so we decided to try to help them with this Bill."

Getty: "Alright. I'm not quite sure I'm clear on Senate

Amendment 3, under what circumstances the Department would
be required to reject a bid."

Leinenweber: "They would be required to reject the bid if it was determined by this Committee that it was substantially more

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

than the purchase would be if it was competitively bid."

Getty: "Oh, okay. Now then, could you define for me what substantially more means in the context of this Bill?"

Leinenweber: "More than less than substantially more."

Getty: "I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you, Sir."

Leinenweber: "Slightly more than less than substantially more, it would be."

Getty: "Well, I..."

Leinenweber: "It requires good faith effort on the part of the state to make this work, and we think that the fact that people would know what the price of a park bench is going for, generally, would be. And you could spot a bad...a bad deal here. I think these people are smart enough to be able to do that."

Getty: "Well, what I'm trying to get at is the legislative intent, and I wanted to know whether you are trying to impose any sort of objective standard or whether it is your intent to have a solely subjective standard that the Committee would follow."

Leinenweber: "I've been advised to say yes. I didn't draft the Amendment. The way the... I think this... basically what the Senate felt was is there ought to be some kind of a ...a provision in here so that, if a bad deal was spotted, that they could take care of it. I think, basically, that's what it was. So, that would be subjective."

Getty: "So, it's your intent that a sub... subjective standard be followed. Is that correct?"

Leinenweber: "Yeah. Correct."

Getty: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Gentleman from DeWitt,
Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels; "Indicates he will."

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

- Vinson: "Is there anything relating to the Health Finance
 Authority in this concurrence?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Leinenweber."
- Leinenweber: "I think that was Senate Amendment #4 which was failed miserably."
- Vinson: "It failed? There's nothing... There's nothing on..."
- Leinenweber: "There's nothing on this Bill related to the Health
 Finance Authority other than, I suppose, if the Health
 Finance Authority needed a desk, they might be able to
 negotiate with a sheltered workshop to make the desk for
 them, if they existed."
- Vinson: "Okay, but there's no extension of the sunset date on the Health Finance Authority."
- Leinenweber: "The legislative intent was to leave the Health Finance Authority alone."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman,
 Representative Leinenweber, to close."
- Leinenweber: "I would appreciate the votes of the Members."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments #2 and 3. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On the Gentleman's Motion there are 139 'aye', none voting 'no', 5 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendments #2 and 3 to House Bill 2356. House Bill 1244, Representative Currie. Representative Currie? Out of the record. Would you like your Bill called again, Representative Currie? House Bill 1244, Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House

 Bill 1244 is a landmark preservation Bill. It's the Bill

 that we passed out to encourage residential homeowners to

 do historic preservation and restoration on historic

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

properties. The Senate amended the Bill, and I would move with the Sponsor of this Bill on the other side, Representative Hallock, to concur in Senate Amendment 1. Senate Amendment 1 insures that anybody who, under the old Bill, had already been able to take advantage of that tax incentive provision would still be entitled to it; although, the broad Bill that House Bill 1244 repeals would continue to be repealed. So, I would move the House concur in Senate Amendment 1."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "She indicates she will."

Vinson: "I'm sorry, Representative. I would not bother to ask
you this given the nature of the Bill had I an analysis,
but I don't have an analysis on the Bill. And I wonder if
you call tell me, is the Health Finance Authority extended
on this Bill?"

Currie: "I'm sorry. Pardon me? I didn't hear the question."

Vinson: "Is there anything relating to the Illinois Health
Finance Authority on this Bill?"

Currie: "I appreciate your concern, Representative Vinson. There is not."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Lady moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments \$1 and 2 to House Bill 1244. Representative Currie."

Currie: "I'm moving to concur in Senate Amendment 1, not in Senate Amendment 2."

Speaker Daniels: "One. Are you going to move to concur in 2?"
Currie: "No."

Speaker Daniels: "So you're nonconcurring in 2. Concur in 1.

Lady moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1. All those in
favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On the Lady's Motion there are 141 'aye', none voting 'no', 1 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1244. Senate Amendment #2. Representative Currie."

- Currie: "There are technical problems still needing address. I would move the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 2."
- Speaker Daniels: "Would you explain what that Amendment does and what the problems are? The analysis are not out yet.

 There are Members that would like to know and why you're nonconcurring."
- Currie: "I am recommending nonconcurrence, because the Senate
 Amendment #2, which is intended to tighten the provisions,
 make clear that we're looking for substantial preservation.
 There still are some technical questions about whether
 we've done that job adequately. So, I would like to put it
 in the Conference Committee and make sure that the
 Amendment is technically in good shape."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Lady moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcurs in Senate Amendment #2.

 Representative Kane, what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Kane: "Parliamentary inquiry. There are a number of Amendments filed to Senate Bill 1654 that are illegible, and I would like, you know, some indication from the Chair, at this point, whether... Well, no. There's more than one. All of the Amendments are by the same Member. And I don't know which one he wants to go with, but none of them are... none of them so far are illegible. Twenty-one is illegible. So is 5 and a number of others."
- Speaker Daniels: "Do you have the new copy that was passed out?

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

It's white. Zeroxed copy?"

Kane: "No, I haven't seen that."

Speaker Daniels: "Would you come up here for a second, and we'll explain to you what..."

Kane: "Sure. The only thing I'm saying is that, before the Amendment is called, there should be some legible copy on our desks."

Speaker Daniels: "It has been... It has and should have been distributed on your side is what I'm suggesting to you.

It's Amendment #21."

Kane: "Okay. But I don't think this is legible either."

Speaker Daniels: "Why don't you come up here for a second?"

Kane: "My only question is whether this meets the printing requirements."

Speaker Daniels: "We'll get back to you on that. Representative

Vinson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, a lot of us would prefer to get adjourned here today so we can go play golf, rather than argue over printing standards on Amendments that aren't even before the House, and I would urge the Chair to move on with the progress of the day, rather than to com... get completely wrapped up in Mr. Kane's arcane points."

Speaker Daniels: "House Bill 2502, Representative Bower.

Representative Bower, on the Motion."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1. This is the tax enforcement program of the Department of Revenue, and the Amendment, I think, is a very good Amendment that clarifies that peace officer status for revenue agents is strictly limited to their revenue enforcement activities and to nothing else."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Does this provide for any additional personnel?"

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Bower: "No. This merely is the definition of their duties. The Amendment actually limits the scope of authority of the agent. This has nothing to do with employing more revenue agents."

Getty: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Yes, Mr. Bower, does this... do the peace officers get time and a half for overtime?"

Bower: "I can't hear."

Speaker Daniels: "Would you repeat the question, Sir?"

Mays: "Do the peace officers get time and a half for overtime?"

Bower: "They would be paid under existing personnel policies, and
I don't know what those are."

Mays: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield? Is this the Bill originally that increased the penalty for various tax violations?"

Bower: "That is correct."

Brummer: "Did that include anything with regard to the filing of senior citizens Circuit Breaker relief?"

Bower: "Yes, it did."

Brummer: "And what were the changes with regard to the filing of Circuit Breaker relief applications?"

Bower: "If you file a fraudulent return, it would go from a Class

B to a Class A misdemeanor for the first offense."

Brummer: "And that would... Would that be applicable with regard
to the preparer of the return as well as the senior citizen
who's filing the application?"

Bower: "That's under existing law."

Brummer: "The answer is yes then?"

Bower: "Under existing law, that is the case."

Brummer: "And the increased penalty would be applicable to both?"

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Bower: "Yes."

Brummer: "Okay. And what is the definition used for determining whether there is a fraudulent application filed?"

Bower: "If they knowingly enter false information on a return."

Brummer: "What is the... What is the types of... What are the
types of information required on a Circuit Breaker Relief
Form? Aren't there certain things with regard to their
income, and their Social Security that they have and things
like that?"

Bower: "Certainly."

I quess my concern is that senior citizens, some Brummer: "Okav. of them are older, forgetful at times and things of this may have some difficulty nature. Thev may be... remembering exactly the amount of their Social Security, or their income, or their interest income and things of don't ... I certainly would not condone filing fraudulent returns, but I suspect there is some margin some of the seniors because error filed bν οf forgetfullness on their part. Do you think we're going have more senior citizens in jail as a result of this?"

Bower: "Well. Representative Brummer. you're a very fine attorney, and you know that the standard of knowingly would not cause people who mistakenly or accidentally entered information such as that subject to criminal prosecution. That's very clear. That's a standard that is nsed throughout the criminal law, and I don't know how much clearer you could make it. With any program that the State or Federal Government has, there are always some who knowingly try to cheat the taxpayers. This is not aimed at people who accidentally or unintentionally make mistakes, and the Department of Revenue is certainly not interested in going out and prosecuting scores of senior citizens."

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Brummer: "Has there been a high incidence of fraudulent applications with regard to the senior citizen Circuit Breaker relief?"

Bower: "No, there is a very low incidence."

Brummer: "Well, what is the need to increase the penalty with regard to these individuals then?"

Bower: "I believe this is to bring this penalty into line with what most of the rest of the penalties for other tax fraud violations are."

Brummer: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Macon, Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Dunn: "What does Senate Amendment #1 do?"

Bower: "Representative Dunn, I thought I clearly explained that.

It limits the peace officer status of revenue agents to their revenue enforcement duties only. It's so that they... There was some concern in the Senate and perhaps properly so that they might get involved in things other than tax enforcement questions, and the intention was to make it strictly limited to tax enforcement."

Dunn: "Second question. Have the senior citizens groups of the State of Illinois requested the legislation which comprises the main Bill?"

Bower: "I don't know that they..."

Dunn: "What senior citizen groups are in support of this legislation?"

Bower: "I don't know that they've taken any position. I'm a member of the Illinois Council on Aging, and this has not been a matter of any concern to the Council on Aging. And we have reviewed a great deal of legislation that deals with... well, I think virtually all legislation that

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

effects senior citizens."

Dunn: "Was this legislation brought before the Illinois Council of Aging?"

Bower: "The staff reviewed... the legislation."

Dunn: "I mean you. You're the Spon... Are you the House Sponsor of this legislation?"

Bower: "I am."

Dunn: "And did you... and you're on that Council, and you didn't bring this legislation before that Council."

Bower: "I don't believe there was any discussion."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Dunn, to the Motion."

Dunn: "Well, to the Bill and the Motion, Mr. Speaker. I think we should go a little bit slow; because, as one of the previous speakers indicated, I don't know that there's been any evidence that there is a rampage of violation of Circuit Breaker Forms. And the particular group citizens who apply for Circuit Breaker relief are those who have served in our state for many, many years as valuable citizens contributing to the economy, paying their bills meeting all the duties and responsibilities citizenship. And, at the time when they may be in need the relief that the Circuit Breaker Act provides, to tell them that we are now going to hit them over the head with a stiffer penalty in the event they trip up and make a mistake is a very difficult concept for me to accept. And I know and understand that the language used in the Act provides for only knowing violations, but who is to say who will be charged. We all know that prosecuters have filed charges when they probably should not have or when they should have been reduced. And I think the penalty that we have in the statute at the present time is... is adequate, and I certainly cannot recommend an 'aye' vote for this legislation. And I hope that the legislation will

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

defeated. Urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Barr: This is an incredible little performance going on here this I think, if these Gentleman, Representative morning. Brummer, Representative Dunn, want to go on the campaign trail, they can do that in the appropriate place and time, but what we have here is two Members of the Representatives speaking in defense of fraud. The question that this Bill addresses is not the... the qualified senior citizen who files an application. We're talking about the person that doesn't meet the qualifications who's trying to cheat the honest citizens of this state, and this, under all the tax laws that we have, this Bill is designed to stop fraud, to stop cheating. And how anybody, regardless of Party, on the floor of this House could oppose that type of measure is beyond me. I would suggest that every Member of this House ought to vote in favor of this fine legislation."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Bower, to close."

Bower: "Well, thank you, Mr.... Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There's obviously a little political smokescreen that is being performed on the other side of the aisle. They pick out the senior citizens provisions to pick on. This happens to deal with fraud under the income tax, fraud under the motor fuel tax, fraud under the retailers' occupation tax, under the cigarette tax. It's aimed at making certain that the honest

132nd Legislative Day

June 26. 1982

taxpayers of Illinois are not bearing... are not carrying the burden for the tax cheats. This is merely a provision that.. that was added by the Senate to limit the peace officer status. It happens to he an item + h = + Representative Hanahan addressed on the floor that he was concerned about. I think it's a very good provision. Τf you're for the tax cheats. I quess you'll vote against concurrence. If you're for the honest taxpayers and against the tax cheats, you'll vote 'ave'. I would urge a concurrence."

- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 2502. All those in favor will
 signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Voting's
 open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
 Representative Hannig, to explain his vote. Timer's on,
 Sir."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise to explain my 'no' vote on this Bill. This Bill would simply give the Department of Revenue more teeth to harrass the small businesses and the senior citizens of our state. They have enough power under the current law to enforce the... those tax cheaters, and I think that, by giving them more power, we're simply doing a disservice to our constituency. This Bill got only 97 votes when it passed the House. It is a controversial Bill, and I think, if the Sponsor wants to debate it on a day like today, I would ask for a verification."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

 Gentleman, Representative Bower, requests a Poll of the Absentees. Representative Ewing, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Abramson. Barnes.

132nd Legislative Day

- June 26, 1982
- Capparelli. Bradley. Bullock. Cullerton. Deuster. DiPrima. Ebbesen. Ewell. Garmisa. Henry. Huff. Jaffe. Jones. Kane. Kornowicz. Krska. Kucharski. Laurino. Levin. Martire. McMaster. R. J. Oblinger. O'Brien. Pierce. Sandquist. Mever. Margaret Smith. Schneider. Harry Smith. Terzich. White. No further."
- Speaker Daniels: "86 'aye', 49 'no', 7 voting 'present'.

 Representative Bower."
- Bower: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to nonconcur and ask for a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Let me say that there are 86 'aye', 49 'no', 7
 'present' and the Gentleman's Motion to concur fails.

 Representative Conti."
- Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 leaving the necessary time for the Clerk, I move that the
 House now stand adjourned 'til Sunday, July 27... June 27th
 at 6:00 p.m."
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House stands adjourned until 6:00 tomorrow evening."

112nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

- Speaker Ryan: "The House will be in order, and the Members will please be in their seats. The Chaplain for today is Reverend Paul Flesner from the Grace Lutheran Church of Springfield. Reverend Flesner."
- Reverend Flesner: "Let us bow our heads in prayer. Gracious Heavenly Father, the hour is early this morning, time is getting short, and there still remains work to be done. We the days that lie ahead You keep our vision clear, and our footsteps firm, and our compassion open concern inclusive. Give us Your quidance as we keep working with the issues before us. Keep haste from preventing us from seeing that which is important, but keep indecisiveness from making us unable to act. Help us to see clearly our responsibility to act justly and wisely for all our citizens. Hear also, oh God, the personal prayers of each of our hearts this morning as we bring our needs before You in prayer and grant them all for Your mercy's sake. Amen."
- speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Reverend. We'll be led in the Pledge today by Representative Christensen."
- Onited States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Ryan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Messages from the Senate."
- c.erk Leone: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary,
 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of
 Representatives... I am directed to inform the House of
 Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the
 House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the
 following titles, to wit; House Bills 1244, 2508, 2356,

1 12nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

2342. 2485. 2461. 1301 and 2502 together with attached Amendments hereto and adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: passed the Senate as amended June 25, 1982, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Secretary, 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has accepted to the of Representatives for the First o£ House Conference Committee Report to consider the difference the two Houses in regard to House Amendments to Senate Bill 1193. I am further instructed to inform the House of Representatives that the Committee on Committee of the Senate has appointed such Members as part, action taken by the Senate June 25, 1982, Kenneth Wright, Secretary ...

- Deaker Ryan: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. With 155 Members answering the Roll, a quorum of the House is present.

 Reading of the Journal."
- Cterk Leone: "Reading of the Journal. Journal of ...
- Deaker Ryan: "Representative Telcser."
- plcser: "Mr. Speaker, I move we suspend the reading of the Journal and approve all Journals from this Session which have not already been approved."
- S beaker Ryan: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion prevails. Representative Getty, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- guite a bit of controversy, and I think, to try to run it through without respecting the rights of the Minority to register their protest, I think is unconscionable. And I think it's a poor way to start out the day. I would ask that the Gentleman back up his Motion, permit us to put in the record those things which we feel that should be put in

1 12nd Legislative Day
the record."

June 26, 1982

- Smeaker Ryan: "Representative Leinenweber."
- Lainenweber: "What did we just do? Yes?
- Speaker Ryan: "We just approved the Journal, Representative Leinenweber. Representative Getty, we'll be glad to file all your dissents and protests on the preceeding matter."
- .atty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you know, it's just a matter of giving people their rights, and I think it ill behooves the Speaker of the House and the Majority Leader to try to run something through that they know is controversial, when the Minority just wants to make its record. Now, you out number us. You can carry that, but at least you should have given us the opportunity to register, in a proper manner, our protest of the conduct of May 18, 1981. You know that's the only thing..."
- peaker Ryan: "Representative Getty, I just said that I would be glad to take your protest and your dissent, and you can file them. You can state them now if you wish, whatever you want to do, and they'll be entered in the record in the proper form."
- letty: "Well, let my remarks..."
- beaker Ryan: "If you want to proceed with your dissent, why, go ahead."
- 'etty: "Let my remarks here stand for that, and I will yield to Representative Giorgi."
- Speaker Ryan: "'Agreed Bill' Giorgi. Representative Giorgi."
- iorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think the record is very plain and the dissent... or the objections of the Journal of May 18 of last year, that's 13 months ago, and we've been on the floor daily waiting for you to take up the discussion of the Journals. And I don't think it's fair. I don't think the House record ought to indicate that you furtively, for 13 months, surreptiously tried to get the Journal approved.

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Mr. Speaker, I don't think that you want to leave the.. the podium as a Speaker and have that black mark on your record of trying to sneak through the House, for 13 months, the approval of a Journal and when you know that there is a lot of discussion to be held on that Journal, because the Journal plainly shows that someone manipulated the records. You lied into the Journal. You did a lot of illegal things, and I think you'd like to clear the air. And I think you ought to reconsider your action."

- peakerRyan: "Representative Barr, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Fir: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I guess this is a point of personal privilege. I understand that there's some problem with events that occurred in this House on May 18, 1981. Is that correct? I understand it is, Mr. Speaker. The only thing I know that happened that day is I was sworn in. I wonder if that's the problem here."
- Speaker Ryan: "It's certainly worth considering, Representative.

 Representative Collins."
- ins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if that's the case, I want to be
 added to the dissent."
- Speaker Ryan: "On the Calendar, on page two, under the Order of Concurrences...Representative Daniels, would you come to the podium, please? Representative Daniels in the Chair."
- Speaker Daniels: "We're waiting right now for a Supplemental Calendar. So, in the interim, the House will stand at ease until the Supplemental Calendar gets up. Supplemental Calendar #1, House... on the Order of Concurrence, House Bill 1244, Representative Currie. 1244. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1244, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Currie."

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Cirrie: "Let me take it out of the record."

- 3 yeaker Daniels: "You want that out of the record? Out of the record. 1301, Representative Schneider. Out of the record. 2342, Representative Leinenweber. Read the Motion."
- cterk Leone: "House Bill 2342, a Bill for an Act in relationship
 to land trusts together with Senate Amendment #1."
- beaker Daniels: "Representative Leinenweber."
- Teinenweber: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 so that we can have a Conference Committee on this Bill. There's some additional language that needs to be put into the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and Senate Amendment #1 is nonconcurred in. House Bill 2356, Representative Leinenweber. Read the Motion. Representative Leinenweber."
- Leinenweber: "I would move to concur in Senate Amendments 2 and 3. House Bill 2356 establi... amends the Purchasing Act to permit state agencies and units of local government to purchase goods and services from sheltered workshops for the handicapped and not be subject to the betti... the competitive bidding requirements. Senate Amendment #2 is some language, added language. It expands the powers of Committee that is established in the... the Department the Administrative Services to include development quidelines where sheltered workshops are to participate on the provisions of the Bill. The authority to review bids submitted and the authority to reject bids are termed to be substantially more than purchase would cost if competitively bid. The effect of Senate Amendment #3 is to remove the phrase 'noncompetitive bids' from

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Amendment #2 - in other words, to clarify the first Amendment. So, I would move, Mr. Speaker, to... that the House concur in Senate Amendments 2 and 3."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? On the Motion, the Gentleman moves that the House concur in... Representative Getty."

setty: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

;etty: "Representative Leinenweber, is this a more or less
restrictive provision than the present law?"

peaker Daniels: "Representative Leinenweber."

Teinenweber: "The present law has a limit of 2500 dollars for purchase of goods on a noncompetitive bid basis. So, the Bill itself sought to eliminate that requirement so that sheltered workshops could negotiate directly with the state to do things like, for the Department of Conservation, build park benches and things of this nature. So, the Bill becomes less restrictive as far as the non... or as far as competitive bidding requirements are concerned."

etty: "That only affects sheltered workshops?"

Leinenweber: "Yes, Sir. The theory behind the Bill is that, with declining federal and state dollars, that this is a good way to permit sheltered workshops to raise money to continue their operations. What started the thing off was a problem that a sheltered workshop in my community had. They sought to make some park benches for the Department of Conservation. They were limited to 2500 dollars, and they had to finnagle around. And it was difficult to do so, and so we decided to try to help them with this Bill."

etty: "Alright. I'm not quite sure I'm clear on Senate
Amendment 3, under what circumstances the Department would
be required to reject a bid."

determined by this Committee that it was substantially more

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

than the purchase would be if it was competitively bid."

: tty: "Oh, okay. Now then, could you define for me what substantially more means in the context of this Bill?"

Teinenweber: "More than less than substantially more."

etty: "I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you, Sir."

would be."

etty: "Well, I..."

reinenweber: "It requires good faith effort on the part of the state to make this work, and we think that the fact that people would know what the price of a park bench is going for, generally, would be. And you could spot a bad...a bad deal here. I think these people are smart enough to be able to do that."

Hell, what I'm trying to get at is the legislative intent, and I wanted to know whether you are trying to impose any sort of objective standard or whether it is your intent to have a solely subjective standard that the Committee would follow."

einenweber: "I've been advised to say yes. I didn't draft the Amendment. The way the... I think this... basically what the Senate felt was is there ought to be some kind of a ...a provision in here so that, if a bad deal was spotted, that they could take care of it. I think, basically, that's what it was. So, that would be subjective."

stty: "So, it's your intent that a sub... subjective standard be followed. Is that correct?"

sinenweber: "Yeah. Correct."

etty: "Thank you, Sir."

; peaker Peters: "Further discussion? Gentleman from DeWitt,

Representative Vinson."

"inson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

peaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

132nd Legislative Day

- June 26, 1982
- Vinson: "Is there anything relating to the Health Finance
 Authority in this concurrence?"
- 3 seaker Daniels: "Representative Leinenweber."
- Loinenweber: "I think that was Senate Amendment #4 which was failed miserably."
- Vinson: "It failed? There's nothing ... There's nothing on..."
- Leinenweber: "There's nothing on this Bill related to the Health
 Finance Authority other than, I suppose, if the Health
 Finance Authority needed a desk, they might be able to
 negotiate with a sheltered workshop to make the desk for
 them, if they existed."
- "inson: "Okay, but there's no extension of the sunset date on the Health Finance Authority."
 - sinenweber: "The legislative intent was to leave the Health
 Finance Authority alone."
- peaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman,
 Representative Leinenweber, to close."
- L :inenweber: "I would appreciate the votes of the Members."
 - Amendments #2 and 3. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On the Gentleman's Motion there are 139 'aye', none voting 'no', 5 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendments #2 and 3 to House Bill 2356. House Bill 1244, Representative Currie. Representative Currie? Out of the record. Would you like your Bill called again, Representative Currie? House Bill 1244, Representative Currie."
- Bill 1244 is a landmark preservation Bill. It's the Bill that we passed out to encourage residential homeowners to do historic preservation and restoration on historic

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

properties. The Senate amended the Bill, and I would move with the Sponsor of this Bill on the other side, Representative Hallock, to concur in Senate Amendment 1. Senate Amendment 1 insures that anybody who, under the old Bill, had already been able to take advantage of that tax incentive provision would still be entitled to it; although, the broad Bill that House Bill 1244 repeals would continue to be repealed. So, I would move the House concur in Senate Amendment 1."

- Deaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson."
- "inson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Daniels: "She indicates she will."
- Vinson: "I'm sorry, Representative. I would not bother to ask
 you this given the nature of the Bill had I an analysis,
 but I don't have an analysis on the Bill. And I wonder if
 you call tell me, is the Health Finance Authority extended
 on this Bill?"
- firrie: "I'm sorry. Pardon me? I didn't hear the question."
- Finance Authority on this Bill?"
- cirrie: "I appreciate your concern, Representative Vinson. There
 is not."
- 'inson: "Thank you."
- that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to
 House Bill 1244. Representative Currie."
- Carrie: "I'm moving to concur in Semate Amendment 1, not in Semate Amendment 2."
- Speaker Daniels: "One. Are you going to move to concur in 2?"
- Cirrie: "No."
- Seeaker Daniels: "So you're nonconcurring in 2. Concur in 1.

 Lady moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

1:2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On the Lady's Motion there are 141 'aye', none voting 'no', 1 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1244. Senate Amendment #2. Representative Currie."

- Currie: "There are technical problems still needing address. I would move the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 2."
- speaker Daniels: "Would you explain what that Amendment does and what the problems are? The analysis are not out yet.

 There are Members that would like to know and why you're nonconcurring."
- Amendment #2, which is intended to tighten the provisions, make clear that we're looking for substantial preservation.

 There still are some technical questions about whether we've done that job adequately. So, I would like to put it in the Conference Committee and make sure that the Amendment is technically in good shape."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Lady moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcurs in Senate Amendment #2.

 Representative Kane, what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Tane: "Parliamentary inquiry. There are a number of Amendments filed to Senate Bill 1654 that are illegible, and I would like, you know, some indication from the Chair, at this point, whether... Well, no. There's more than one. All of the Amendments are by the same Member. And I don't know which one he wants to go with, but none of them are... none of them so far are illegible. Twenty-one is illegible. So is 5 and a number of others."

Speaker Daniels: "Do you have the new copy that was passed out?

1:2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

It's white. Zeroxed copy?"

Kane: "No, I haven't seen that."

- Speaker Daniels: "Would you come up here for a second, and we'll explain to you what..."
- Tane: "Sure. The only thing I'm saying is that, before the Amendment is called, there should be some legible copy on our desks."
- S'eaker Daniels: "It has been... It has and should have been distributed on your side is what I'm suggesting to you.

 It's Amendment #21."
- Yane: "Okay. But I don't think this is legible either."
- meaker Daniels: "Why don't you come up here for a second?"
- Tane: "My only question is whether this meets the printing requirements."
- ineaker Daniels: "We'll get back to you on that. Representative
 Vinson, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, a lot of us would prefer to get adjourned here today so we can go play golf, rather than argue over printing standards on Amendments that aren't even before the House, and I would urge the Chair to move on with the progress of the day, rather than to com... get completely wrapped up in Mr. Kane's arcane points."
- Speaker Daniels: "House Bill 2502, Representative Bower.
 Representative Bower, on the Motion."
- Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1. This is the tax enforcement program of the Department of Revenue, and the Amendment, I think, is a very good Amendment that clarifies that peace officer status for revenue agents is strictly limited to their revenue enforcement activities and to nothing else."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty."
- letty: "Does this provide for any additional personnel?"

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

"ower: "No. This merely is the definition of their duties. The Amendment actually limits the scope of authority of the agent. This has nothing to do with employing more revenue agents."

etty: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mays."

Hays: "Yes, Mr. Bower, does this... do the peace officers get time and a half for overtime?"

ower: "I can't hear."

Speaker Daniels: "Would you repeat the question, Sir?"

Says: "Do the peace officers get time and a half for overtime?"

ays: "Thank you."

speaker Daniels: "Purther discussion? Representative Brummer."

rummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield? Is this the Bill originally that increased the penalty for various tax violations?"

ower: "That is correct."

rummer: "Did that include anything with regard to the filing of senior citizens Circuit Breaker relief?"

Bower: "Yes, it did."

rummer: "And what were the changes with regard to the filing of Circuit Breaker relief applications?"

ower: "If you file a fraudulent return, it would go from a Class

B to a Class A misdemeanor for the first offense."

brummer: "And that would... Would that be applicable with regard
to the preparer of the return as well as the senior citizen
who's filing the application?"

ower: "That's under existing law."

rummer: "The answer is yes then?"

Bower: "Under existing law, that is the case."

rummer: "And the increased penalty would be applicable to both?"

172nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Hower: "Yes."

Brummer: "Okay. And what is the definition used for determining whether there is a fraudulent application filed?"

rummer: "What is the... What is the types of... What are the types of information required on a Circuit Breaker Relief Form? Aren't there certain things with regard to their income, and their Social Security that they have and things like that?"

Bower: "Certainly."

"Okay. I quess my concern is that senior citizens, some Frummer: of them are older, forgetful at times and things of this nature. They may be... may have some difficulty remembering exactly the amount of their Social Security, or their income, or their interest income and things of I don't ... I certainly would not condone filing fraudulent returns, but I suspect there is some margin filed bу some οf the seniors because of forgetfullness on their part. Do you think we're going t o have more senior citizens in fail as a result of this?"

Bower: "Well, Representative Brunner. you're a very fine attorney, and you know that the standard of knowingly would not cause people who mistakenly or accidentally entered information such as that subject to criminal prosecution. That's very clear. That's a standard that throughout the criminal law, and I don't know how much clearer you could make it. With any program that the State or Federal Government has, there are always some knowingly try to cheat the taxpayers. This is not aimed at who accidentally or unintentionally make the people mistakes, and the Department of Revenue is certainly not interested in going out and prosecuting scores of senior citizens."

172nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

Hrummer: "Has there been a high incidence of fraudulent applications with regard to the senior citizen Circuit Breaker relief?"

"ower: "No, there is a very low incidence."

rummer: "Well, what is the need to increase the penalty with regard to these individuals then?"

Bower: "I believe this is to bring this penalty into line with what most of the rest of the penalties for other tax fraud violations are."

-rummer: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Macon,
Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

seaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Junn: "What does Senate Amendment #1 do?"

There was some concern in the Senate and perhaps properly so that they might get involved in things other than tax enforcement questions, and the intention was to make it strictly limited to tax enforcement."

Ouan: "Second question. Have the senior citizens groups of the State of Illinois requested the legislation which comprises the main Bill?"

ower: "I don't know that they..."

unn: "What senior citizen groups are in support of this legislation?"

ower: "I don't know that they've taken any position. I'm a member of the Illinois Council on Aging, and this has not been a matter of any concern to the Council on Aging. And we have reviewed a great deal of legislation that deals with... well, I think virtually all legislation that

132nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

effects senior citizens."

Ounn: "Was this legislation brought before the Illinois Council of Aging?"

ower: "The staff reviewed... the legislation."

ouan: "I mean you. You're the Spon... Are you the House Sponsor of this legislation?"

ower: "I am."

unn: "And did you... and you're on that Council, and you didn't bring this legislation before that Council."

"over: "I don't believe there was any discussion."

-peaker Daniels: "Representative Dunn, to the Motion."

Duan: "Well, to the Bill and the Motion, Mr. Speaker. I think we should go a little bit slow; because, as one of the previous speakers indicated, I don't know that there's been any evidence that there is a rampage of violation of Circuit Breaker Forms. And the particular group of citizens who apply for Circuit Breaker relief are those who have served in our state for many, many years as valuable citizens contributing to the economy, paying their and meeting all the duties and responsibilities of citizenship. And, at the time when they may be in need of the relief that the Circuit Breaker Act provides, to tell them that we are now going to hit them over the head with a stiffer penalty in the event they trip up and make a mistake is a very difficult concept for me to accept. And I know and understand that the language used in the provides for only knowing violations, but who is to say who be charged. We all know that prosecuters have filed charges when they probably should not have or when have been reduced. And I think the penalty that we have in the statute at the present time is... is adequate, and I certainly cannot recommend an 'aye' vote for this legislation. And I hope that the legislation will

1.2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

defeated. Urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr."

"Thank you, Br. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Barr: This is an incredible little performance going on here this I think, if these Gentleman, Representative Brunner, Representative Dunn, want to go on the campaign trail, they can do that in the appropriate place and time, but what we have here is two Members of the House of Representatives speaking in defense of fraud. The question that this Bill addresses is not the... the qualified senior citizen who files an application. We're talking about the person that doesn't meet the qualifications who's trying to cheat the honest citizens of this state, and this, under all the tax laws that we have, this Bill is designed to stop fraud, to stop cheating. And how anybody, regardless of Party, on the floor of this House could oppose that type of measure is beyond me. I would suggest that every Member of this House ought to vote in favor of this fine legislation."

peaker Daniels: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Bower, to close."

Bower: "Well, thank you, Mr.... Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There's obviously a little political smokescreen that is being performed on the other side of the aisle. They pick out the senior citizens provisions to pick on. This happens to deal with fraud under the income tax, fraud under the motor fuel tax, fraud under the retailers' occupation tax, under the cigarette tax. It's aimed at making certain that the honest

102nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1982

taxpayers of Illinois are not bearing... are not carrying the burden for the tax cheats. This is merely a provision that was added by the Senate to limit the peace officer status. It happens to be aл item Representative Hanahan addressed on the floor that he was concerned about. I think it's a very good provision. you're for the tax cheats, I guess you'll vote against If you're for the honest taxpayers and concurrence. against the tax cheats, you'll vote 'aye'. I would urge a concurrence."

- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate

 Amendment #1 to House Bill 2502. All those in favor will

 signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Voting's

 open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 Representative Hannig, to explain his vote. Timer's on,

 Sir."
- rise to explain my 'no' vote on this Bill. This Bill would simply give the Department of Revenue more teeth to harrass the small businesses and the senior citizens of our state. They have enough power under the current law to enforce the... those tax cheaters, and I think that, by giving them more power, we're simply doing a disservice to our constituency. This Bill got only 97 votes when it passed the House. It is a controversial Bill, and I think, if the Sponsor wants to debate it on a day like today, I would ask for a verification."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

 Gentleman, Representative Bower, requests a Poll of the Absentees. Representative Ewing, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Clark O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Abramson. Barnes.

102nd Legislative Day

- June 26, 1982
- Bianco. Bradlev. Bullock. Capparelli. Cullerton. Ebbesen. Evell. Garmisa. Deuster. DiPrima. Henry. Huff. Jaffe. Jones. Kane. Kornowicz. Krska. Laurino. Levin. Martire. Kucharski. McMaster. R. J. Oblinger. O'Brien. Pierce. Sandquist. Heyer. Schneider. Наггу Smith. Margaret Smith. Stearney. Terzich. White. No further."
- Speaker Daniels: "86 'aye', 49 'no', 7 voting 'present'.

 Representative Bower."
- Bower: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to nonconcur and ask for a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Let me say that there are 86 'aye', 49 'no', 7
 'present' and the Gentleman's Motion to concur fails.

 Representative Conti."
- Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, leaving the necessary time for the Clerk, I move that the House now stand adjourned 'til Sunday, July 27... June 27th at 6:00 p.m."
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House stands adjourned until 6:00 tomorrow evening."

09/24/82 15:51

STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

JUNE 26, 1982

HB-1244 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	8
HB-2342 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	5
HB-2356 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	5
HB-2502 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	11

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER RYAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND FLESNER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM SENATE	PAGE	1
APPROVAL OF THE JOURNALS	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN CHAIR	PAGE	4
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	18