64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

- Speaker Ryan: "The House will be in Order and the Members will be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer today by Pastor Rudolph Shoultz from the Union Baptist Church in Springfield. Reverend Shoultz."
- Reverend Schoultz: "Shall we pray? Spirit of the living God, Father, freshen us this day as we invoke Thy holy presence in our midst. We ask of Thee this day, dear Lord, to lift our hearts to the height that we may show our concern for those who have placed their trust in us by giving us this responsibility. Remove from us, dear Father, those things that may divide us, that may even remember the words of him who pen these words, liberty and justice for all. May those words echo through this Chamber this day, so in making our decision, we may work as a team and not as adversaries. May we ask of Thee, 0 God, to bless these ideals, that Thy kingdom will come upon this earth, bless him, who shall preside here this day, are the Leadership of this Chamber, we ask it in the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen."
- Speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Reverend. Representative Nelson will lead in the Pledge today."
- Nelson: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of
  America and to the Republic, for which it stands, one
  nation under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for
  all."
- Speaker Ryan: "Roll call for attendance. Messages from the Senate."
- Clerk Leone: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

  Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of
  Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following
  Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I'm
  instructed to ask concurrence of the House of

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Representatives. to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #5, adopted by the Senate, June 15, 1981, Kenneth Wright A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright. Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform Secretary. the House that the Senate has adopted the Representatives following Senate Joint Resolution the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Joint Resolution 13. 15. 1981. Kenneth Wright, adopted by the Senate June Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright. Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I m instructed to ask concurrence of the House Representatives, to vit: Senate Joint Resolution #29, adopted by the Senate, June 15, 1981, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives. to wit: Senate Joint Resolution 34. June 15, 1981, adopted by the Senate, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. message from the Senate, Mr. Wright. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House Secretary. Mr. Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption instructed to ask concurrence of the House ο£ Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution 39. Senate, June 15, 1981, Kenneth Wright, adopted by the Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House Secretary. Mr. of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the

64th Legislative Day

June 16. 1981

following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Joint Resolution 42. adopted by the Senate, June 15, 1981, by two-thirds vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Sente Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I I n instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution 44. adopted by the Senate, June 15, 1981, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

- Speaker Byan: "Death Resolutions. Just a minute, take the record, Mr. Clerk. 169, 170 Members answering the Roll. A quorum of the House is present. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "Death Resolution 380, Breslin. In respect to the memory of Mark McConville. House Resolution 381, Bower.

  In respect to the memory of Zean Gassman. House Resolution 384, Johnson. In respect to the memory of Paul Lindenmeyer."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Friedrich at Representative Collins" mic."
- Friedrich: "I move the adoption of the Death Resolutions."
- Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Death Resolutions.

  All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Death Resolutions are adopted. On the Calendar on page two, under the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate appears Senate Bill 634, Representative Catania. Representative Catania in the Chamber? You want this Bill read, Representative?
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 634, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Catania on Senate Bill 634."

- Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill simply goes along with what we did a little earlier, saying that days of fast and thanksgiving would not be school holidays in the State of Illinois. This says that if the Governor proclaims a day of fast and thanksgiving it will not be a school holiday in the State of Illinois. It leaves that discretion with the local school boards. It came out of the Education Committee with 19 'yes' votes and I ask for your approval."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any opposition? The question is 'shall Senate Bill 634 pass?! All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 139 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', none voting 'present' and this Bill having received the Constitutional is hereby declared passed. House Bill 643, Representative Braun. Representative Braun in the Chamber? Out of the Representative record. House Bill 676, Keane. Representative Keane, you want this Bill heard? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 676, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Comptroller's Act, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Keane, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Keane: "Thank Mr. Speaker. you, This legislation amends the Comptroller's Act to reorganize and resection the provisions governing the review of vouchers. It redefines the Comptroller's responsibility regarding it by requiring the Comptroller to examine all vouchers and any accompanying documentation to determine whether vouchers meet requirements established by or pursuant to law. This was in The Comptroller's office, as submitted this in

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

compliance with the Auditor General's report of the 1980 audit of the Comptroller's office. I would ask for a favorable roll call."

- Speaker Ryan: "Is there anyone in opposition? Any debate? The question is, 'shall Senate Bill 676 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'.

  Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 146 voting 'aye', none voting 'no',

  1 voting 'present' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed.

  Representative Pechous, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Pechous: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise on a point of personal privilege."
- Speaker Ryan: "Just a minute, Representative. Can I have a little attention for Representative Pechous. He has a point of personal privilege he'd like to point out. Proceed, Representative."
- Pechous: "Mr. Speaker and Colleagues, this past week I became the subject of a scurrilous comment in a publication known as the Paxton Daily Record. In there... where is it? It's somewhere in central Illinois. In that article termed "Off the Record" there was also some political commentary raised as to other Members of the Illinois House. In my case, and I take particular exception to it, I find it defamatory, completely untrue and most damaging, if you will, to both my private and public reputation, demeaning to me as a Member of the House, and moreover, places a stigma on the entire Illinois House and on the Membership, thereof. speaks about a certain cookbook that had been developed by what is known as the Women's ... Illinois Women's Political Caucus and it goes on to say that I had contributed a recipe and that recipe, just before that cookbook went to

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

press, had been caused to have a change of that title of a certain chilli concoction from "Jailhouse Chilli" to "Texas Chillin. And it goes on. Why the reason? 'Pechous has been indicted on charges of criminal fraud and faces trial'. That is an absolute falsehood. In my judgement, it was made in a reckless disregard for the by any manner at all, to verify, to make an truth or ascertainment whether, in fact, it was true. I tell here and now and my attorney will be telling that publisher in appropriate redress that it is an untruth. Again, it demonstrates that too often freedom of the press is used by those, by some publishers to exercise tyranny by the press. It is true that in the past five years that I have been a subject of civil litigation, but I have never been the subject of criminal proceedings and, in categorically reject the statement here that I had been indicted on charges of criminal fraud and face trial. reassure you, my colleagues, that I have done nothing, either in personal or private conduct, to bring about sort of discreditation, and I thank you for your kind attention."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the newspaper to which you refer, Representative Pechous, happens to be in Representative Christensen's and Representative Ryan and my district. I don't know what was said about you but I'm certain it's extremely mild as compared to what's been said about the three of us over the years. I'm delighted that he has located you in far away Bourbon... Berwyn and perhaps he'll leave Ray and George and I alone, occasionally. I may pipe him information on you from time to time."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Pechous."

64th Legislative Day

June 16. 1981

- Pechous: "In response to the last speaker, I would only mention that the publisher and I have never met. He would have no way of knowing anything, whatsoever, of my background and I have been singled out for uncalled for vilification, and I stand on my earlier remarks. Anyone who feels that remarks that may have been directed at him or others that may have been of some merit, that stands by itself. In my case, I say it is absolutely without merit. Thank you."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative McMaster, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To make an introduction in violation of the House rules. I have with me today, three young people from the Galesburg area, of Knox County. The 'Garying' children, Tom, Carey, and Katie. Their parents, Mr. and Mrs. Mike 'Garing' are in the gallery, back up here. They're real nice young farm kids and they're down here to see that we're operating on behalf of the farmers of the State of Illinois. Thank you."
- Speaker Ryan: "Welcome to the Illinois House, folks, it's nice to have you here. You can rest assured that we always operate in the best interest of the farm folks. Representative Kulas, before I call on you, I'd like to say that it's the intention of the Chair...it was the intention of the Chair to work until 9:00 tonight, but I understand that the Democrats have some kind of a caucus this evening around 6:00 or 6:30. With that in mind, and since I've been invited to the caucus, I think we'll adjourn at around 6:00 or 6:30 today. But I must add, that you can plan on the rest of the week being very hectic unless you want to be here for Father's Day. Representative Kulas."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for enlightening the Members. I just wanted the record to reflect that I was here 45 minutes earlier this morning to make up for my tardiness

64th Legislative Day yesterday."

June 16, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Very good, Representative, and if you'll come in early tomorrow, I will...we'll set the record straight.

How about DiPrima? Alright, now we'll go back to the business. On page one of the Calendar under the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate appears Senate Bill 14. Representative Zito, Representative Zito in the Chamber? Representative Zito, you want your Bill called or out of the record? Representative Bower, you want to handle the Bill? Handle the Bill. Representative Bower on Senate Bill 14."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 14, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy Practice Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bower."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a very simple Bill to correct a bureaucratic problem. It provides that any pharmacy which does not own its own scales will be in compliance with existing law if it is entitled to use weights at a location within 500 feet from its facility. We have a situation where we have a hospital and a nursing home that have a pharmacy and they don't want to go to the expense of having scales in each one. I would ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any opposition? The question is....Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Just a question, so I have it right in my mind.

Actually, what this does, is there's two pharmacies within

500 feet of one another and they can both operate off the
same scale?"

Bower: "Right."

Giglio: "Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is...did you want to close, Representative Bower?"

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Bower: "It passed the Senate 57 to nothing. I don't think from a competitive standpoint that two pharmacies are going to probably be sharing this, is really more in the public sector that we're talking about and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is 'shall Senate Bill 14 pass?" in favor will signify by woting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Mr. Clerk. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. this question there are 143 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Bill 27, Representative Getty. Want your Bill read, Representative? Representative Getty? Representative Getty? Do you want to hear Senate Bill 27? Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 27, a Bill for an Act to amend..."

Speaker Ryan: "Just a minute, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Doorkeeper, will you clear the aisles and clear the floor? We've got a lot of work to do this week. We're going to get it done. unauthorized people out of the Chamber. Clear the floor. The Members will be in their seats. If you'll pay attention and do what you're suppose to do, we can move along like we're suppose to today. Proceed, Representative."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 27, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code
of Criminal Procedure and the Unified Code of Corrections,
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 27 as it has been amended is different from the synopsis. So if you're reading that, please disregard it. Originally, this Bill had some Constitutional problems. We have amended it

64th Legislative Day

June 16. 1981

to make it, I think, one of the more reasonable and meaningful Bills to come out of this Session of the Legislature. It provides that where a person is charged with a forcible felony while free on bail on another charge, the State shall make application pursuant to the provisions of Section 1-10-6 of the Code. Which would give the ability of the court to revoke that prior bail because of the Commission, clear and convincing evidence of the Commission of a subsequent crime while on bail. I believe this Bill passed out of Committee unanimously as it was amended. I know of no opposition to it and I would ask for your support of this strong measure for law and justice in this State."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any opposition? The question is 'shall Senate Bill 27 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 144 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 5 voting 'present' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House (sic) Bill 98. Representative Giglio, Senate Bill 98, Representative Giglio. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 98, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Nr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, now that the controversial Amendments are off the Bill, it's a one page Bill and what it does, it gives the Department of Transportation the authority to adopt rules and regulations to support the steel coils that are put on the flatbed trucks. In our area we've had many accidents in transporting these heavy steel rolls on these flatbed trucks and we never had any standards as how they should be

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

tied down and which way and with what. These rules and regulations are or will be similiar to those that by the Federal Government that take care of the interstate transportation of these coils. Now, just to familiarize, so you know what you're voting on; when the Bill came over here from the Senate, we put an Amendment on to take out the Class IV felony which was a one to three punishment plus a \$10,000 fine. That no longer is on the Bill. Also, the controversial Amendment that discussed here yesterday pertaining to the insurance and drunken driving is not on the Bill. So, actually what this Bill does in the posture that it's in right now, it's a Class A misdemeanor and it just gives the Department of Transportation the authority to adopt the rules regulations to secure these steel coils. If there's any questions or any doubts in your minds. I'll be happy to answer, if not I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Giorgi, you stand in opposition?"

Giorgi: "Yes, I want to reiterate some of the things that

occurred on this floor yesterday afternoon."

Speaker Ryan: "Would you address your...keep your remarks to the Bill, Representative?"

Giorgi: "That's right, the Bill...."

Speaker Ryan: "The Bill is on Short Debate."

Giorgi: "That's correct and there's no one else asking for the floor to oppose the Bill, is that correct? Is there anyone else asking to oppose the Bill?"

Speaker Ryan: "Well, you were the first one up, Representative."

Giorgi: "Well, I'll yield to someone else if you have someone."

Speaker Ryan: "No, no, go ahead."

Giorgi: "There is no one, fine. Well, Mr. Speaker, yesterday I charged an insurance broker who sits in this House in conflict of interest, and I renew that charge today. I

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

don't back down from that charge."

- Speaker Ryan: "Well, Representative, I think your remarks should be refined to the Bill and not to any personal prejudices you may have. Keep your remarks to the Bill, if you would, please?"
- Giorgi: "I am speaking to the Bill, Mr. Speaker and a couple of people got up to aid the Gentleman from Whiteside, who also were in conflict on the Bill. What this Bill did, what the Amendment was trying to do, was trying to keep money from insurance companies and insurance brokers and people that represent the insurance industry and that Amendment was taken off the Bill. The other thing this Bill was going to do was to deny an insurance company the ability to raise a person's insurance rate in the middle of a policy year. This thing was taken off of the Bill but I want to remind the Members of the House...."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Giorgi, would you hold your remarks? Representative Giglio."
- Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, I don't very often challenge my colleague, especially from the same blood background that I am but this is my Bill. This doesn't got nothing to do with what he's talking about. We had that debate yesterday. Now, my colleague from the other side of the aisle, who I respect with a great deal, explain it to my fellow blood relative over here. I don't know what he's talking about. This is my Bill. I want to conduct this thing the way it's suppose to be and get on with the business of the House, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Ryan: "Your point is certainly well taken, Representative Giglio, and the question is 'shall Senate Bill 98 pass?'

  All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record,

  Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 146 voting 'aye', none

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Could I have your attention, please? I have an important announcement, a very important announcement. It's a bulletin. The <u>Tribune Company</u> says today that they will buy the Chicago Cubs for 20 and 1/2 million dollars. Mitch Lawson will be at second base, Dan Egler at short—stop. That's official, the <u>Tribune</u> is going to buy the Cubs. I thought all of you would like to know that. Representative Schneider."

- Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, I want to know how many right fielders
  we're going to have with the <u>Tribune</u> buying the Cubs? I
  know there's plenty of candidates on this side of the
  aisle, on that side of the aisle, but not on this side."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hudson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Hudson: "I just wondered, Mr. Speaker, while the <a href="https://www.chi.nlm.nih.google.com/consider-buying-the-BTA">Chicago Tribune</a>
  is in a buying mood if they would consider buying the BTA,
  maybe that would be the end."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "I'd just like to comment on that too, Mr. Speaker, and say that one loser deserves another."
- Speaker Ryan: "Alright, if we can have your attention, we'll start back here on Senate Bill 134, Representative Pechous.

  Are you ready for your Bill, Representative? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 134, a Bill for an Act creating the Illinois National Guard Study Commission, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Pechous on Senate Bill 134."
- Pechous: "Nr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 134
  is a Bill which would create the Illinois National Guard
  Study Commission and its purpose is to evaluate and study

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

means and methods by which the Illinois National Guard can more swiftly and most assuredly perform its two-fold missions as provided firstly. under the Illinois Constitution and secondly, in the event of its mobilization by the President of the United States. Senate Bill 134 is the outgrowth of House Joint Resolution 72 which sped past this Chamber last year, last term and which created a special preparedness House-Senate Committee which solved the membership journey throughout the State at little or no expense to the taxpayers, studying the manner in which the Illinois National Guard operated and watching in turn, how the Illinois National Guard responded by examination and by knowing that it's Illinois Legislature was interested in its upgrowth and its further development. I ask vou...I ask for a favorable vote on Senate Bill 134, so the purpose of the Commission which has a three year, less than three year, two year life, will be sped into action. I would ask for a favorable vote, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House on Senate Bill 134."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any opposition? Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman talks about the Joint Committee that has been existing for the last couple of years at little or no cost to the taxpayers buy now he seems to be proposing to replace it with a Commission, who's companion appropriation Bill would be \$50,000 for the first year. I suggest we take a very close look at a Bill to create another Commission whose appropriation would be \$50,000 for the first year and see whether we really need this. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'shall Senate Bill 134 pass?'

All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by

voting 'no'. We're on Short Debate, Representative. We're

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

on Short Debate, Representative. Representative Pechous, you have one minute to explain your vote."

Pechous: "Yes. very briefly, the last speaker indicated a falicious figure. It is no where near \$50,000. under the appropriation it's provided for \$30,000 and there is no belief that any part of that will be spent. monies will be used for the development, the relocation and renovation of current facilities, armories to bring about armories in various places in the State of Illinois where there's a crying need. It's going to be used to increase the enlistment, the retention of membership in the Illinois National Guard. I think with the given flood situation, you saw that the Illinois National Guard again performed the duty that it has in many past instances. I ask you for a vote of confidence on behalf of the Illinois National Guard. The membership, the officers say they want this. I think it's needed and I, again, I tell you that the expenses will be little or nothing. I ask for a favorable vote on behalf of the Illinois.... (cut off)

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On
this question there are 83 voting 'aye', 56 voting 'no', 11

voting 'present'. Representative Pechous."

Pechous: "Polling of the absentees."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman requests a polling of the absentees.

Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. Bartulis. Braun. Brunner. Bullock. Ewell. Ewing. Garmisa. Griffin. Grossi. Henry. Jones. Kucharski. Levin. Margalus. Martire. Redmond. Schuneman. Margaret Smith. MCBroom. Peters. Stanley. Stearney. E. G. Steele. C. N. Stiehl. Winchester and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Peters. Record Representative

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Peters as 'aye'. Representative Grossi, 'aye'. Are there any other changes? Representative Currie, 'aye'. Currie. Representative Griffin, 'aye'. Are there any other changes? Representative Smith."

Smith: "Mr. Speaker, will you please vote me, 'aye' please?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Smith, 'aye'. Representative

Leverenz, 'aye'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk?

Representative Deuster, do you seek recognition on this

issue? Well, it's going to be necessary."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I would request a verification of the affirmative vote, if it's necessary."

Speaker Ryan: "Well, on this question...Representative Zito,
'aye'. Representative Hiller, record, Representative
Hiller?"

Miller: "I'd like to be recorded 'present', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Change Mr. Miller to 'present'. Let's save some time here, Representative Pechous, if we dump the Roll Call and start over, will that be alright with you?"

Pechous: "Yes, I will accept that."

Speaker Ryan: "Alright, I think we can save some time if we do that. Dump the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk. The question is 'Shall Senate Bill 134 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative McBroom. Well, you still got time to record your own switch there, Representative. Now. have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 91 voting 'aye', 48 voting 'no', 6 voting 'present'. Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 138, Representative Keane, no Representative Klemm. Just a minute, hold that, will you please, Mr. Clerk? Representative Chapman in the Chair with Representative Macdonald."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Chapman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Ginny Macdonald and Representative Roland Meyer and I, are pleased to introduce you to the winning State Champs in badminton for the fifth consecutive year. These girls and their coach, Mary Lou Hundt are from Arlington High School and I'd ask the Clerk to read the Resolution, please, which you have unanimously adopted."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 361, offered by Representatives Chapman, Macdonald and Roland Meyer, whereas the phenomenal Arlington High School Badminton team won consecutive State Championship this year and whereas, the Cardinals...tremendous success with different players over past five years can only be attributed to their hard driving coach, Mary Lou Hundt and her assistant, Sue Kelly whereas, the team is composed of six hardworking and highly competitive young girls and whereas, Amy Rasmussen and Carol Wenzel, both seniors, teamed up to seize the State doubles championship, finishing their high school careers with records of 105 wins and 8 losses and 132 wins and 3 losses, respectively; and whereas, Dona Weber, also a senior, finishes her high school career with a record of 117 wins and 3 losses; and whereas, Linda Klawitter, a senior and accomplished doubles player, ended her Arlington High School badminton career with a record of 83 wins and 14 losses; and whereas, Nancy Ericson, a junior, emerged as Conference Champion at 2nd singles, shutting out four opponents in one day and contributing 2 1/2 points to team effort; and whereas, Janine DeSimone, a sophomore, played three rounds of doubles with Linda Klawitter in the championship bracket before being beaten by 2nd seeds; therefore, be resolved by the House of Representatives of Eighty-Second General Assembly of the State the ο£ Illinois, that we congratulate the superior athletes at.

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Arlington High School who make up the school's 1981 badminton team and their fine coaches on winning the State badminton championship for Arlington High School for the fifth year in a row; and be it further resolved, that copies of this preamble and Resolution be presented to each member of the Arlington High School's badminton team and their coaches. Adopted by the House of Representatives, June 10, 1981."

Speaker Chapman: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk and now, Mary Lou Hundt,
the winning team's coach will introduce you, individually,
to members of the team."

Hundt: "Thank you very much. It's a pleasure to be here today and I would like to introduce them, starting with the and that's Janine DeSimone, she's a sophomore on the team. This is her second year. Here is Nancy Ericson, she's a junior. Nancy has been on the team three played singles and only to lose in the This year she district to her team mate, Nancy Ericson. Behind me is Dona Weber. Dona Weber has played four years for Arlington. She this year played singles, she was runner up champion in the State meet. She was also Conference Champion and District Champion. Carol Wenzel, standing next to Dona Weber. Carol Wenzel has been on the team four years. She's played in three State meets. She's been on three State championship teams. Last year and this year she was Conference Champion in doubles, District Champion in doubles and State Champion in doubles. Carol Wenzel. Next to Carol Wenzel is Linda Klawitter. Linda Klawitter is a senior. She has been on the team for three years and has played doubles. Linda Klawitter. The last player the team, also a senior, is Any Rasmussen. Amy Rasmussen has been on the team, also for four years. is her second year in the State meet and this year she

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Conference Doubles Champion, District Doubles Champion and State Champion in doubles. Amy Rasmussen. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Chapman: "Thank you girls and thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Ryan: "Thank you very much, Ladies. Alright, back to business. Senate Bill 138, Representative Klenm."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 138, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Klemm."
- Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 138 is a simple Bill that provides that nondedicated subdivision roads that are in townships when improved can become part of the township or road district. It passed the Senate 54 to nothing. There is no opposition and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any opposition? The question is 'Shall Senate Bill 138 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by 'voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 128 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 166, Representative Getty. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 166, a Bill for an Act relating to the prescription and dispensation of drugs and medicine, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Getty."
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 166 is a
  Bill which is recommended by the Dangerous Drugs
  Commission, the Dangerous Drugs Advisory Council and the
  Prescription Drugs Task Force which met as a result of
  disclosures of unsavory practice in prescription drugs.

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

This Bill has the support of the Pharmicist Association. It has the support of the Medical Society and I know of no organized opposition to it. It provides that prescriptions issued for Schedule II controlled substances include a written and numerical notation of quantity. That prescriptions for controlled substances and Schedule II would not be filled more than two days after date of issuance. That specific current federal labeling requirements be observed and that under certain circumstances Department of Registration and Education ordered...orders remain in effect while pending final decision. It puts a good faith requirement on dispensation of drugs by dentists, pharmacists, doctors, veterinarians, and podiatrists. It requires the inclusion of the ... on the label of the drug name, dosage and quantity, except under certain circumstances. Requires disclosure of labeling in same manner that would be required in other Acts for the Podiatry Act. I believe that this is a good provision to clean up some loop holes in the law which permitted unscrupulous dispensers of drugs. Profiteering and the drug market on Schedule II drugs to get away with a thing that proliferated the illegal and illicit use of drugs. ask for your support in this matter."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Peters in the Chair."

Speaker Peters: "Any opposition? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, first of all, I would ask that the requisite number of Members join me in taking this Bill off Short Debate."

Speaker Peters: "There are ten. Mr. Clerk, the Bill is off Short

Debate. Proceed, Sir."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My opposition to this Bill does not rest on most of what the Bill does. My opposition rests on the administrative procedure aspects of the Bill.

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

What the Bill, in essence, says is that regulations adopted by, I believe, any three agencies in effect have the same force as law passed by this General Assembly. It places the burden very firmly on the practitioner to establish that the regulations are wrong, rather than on the agency to establish that the regulations are right. I believe that it's a mistake for us to do this. Because what it really does is to concentrate an enormous amount of hands of the bureaucrats. I believe that the existing and normal procedures, administrative review procedures, and the processes for judicial review of agency regulations are as appropriate in this particular situation as they are in any other. Health and safety aspects are under normal administrative review treated the same as procedures for the Department of Public Health, for the Environmental Protection Agency, for the Pollution Control Board, for a number of other agencies, whose actions are fully...as fully affect public health and safety as they do for these agencies and to give these people the right without judicial review, to in effect, establish regulations, I think is a mistake that we ought not make. let me give you one example of what the Department of Registration...."

- Speaker Peters: "Representative Getty, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think the Gentleman is speaking to something that's existing law and not to the Bill or what the Bill does. I think his problem is with the existing law and that isn't appropriate. I would like, at this time, since I think there is a misunderstanding here, to just take this out of the record. I think we could clear it up."

Speaker Peters: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 244,

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Representative Richmond. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 244, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Excuse me, Representative Richmond, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"
- Epton: "That's alright, Mr. Speaker. I simply was going to indicate that I had a conflict of interest, as usual."
- Speaker Peters: "The Bill is out of the record. Representative Richmond."
- Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 244 comes to us from the Senate with...under the sponsorship of Senator Sangmeister where it passed with a very good vote, 35 to 11. It was heard in Personnel and Pensions and Veteran's Affairs Committee with a vote of 11 to nothing. This is similiar to House Bill 697, which last year passed out of this House with a 122 votes. The main difference is this doesn't ... this less in cost to the accrued liability. The Bill would create an alternate retirement plan for employees who have daily contact with inmates in the Department of Correction Facilities. Its purpose is to reduce the exceptionally high staff turnover rate in the Department of Corrections and to create a career service for trained security personnel and to ultimately upgrade the State's Correctional System. The first year pay up of this Bill, the first year cost is \$108,000 with an increase in accrued liability of \$15,850.000. It will be.... I ask for your support."
- Speaker Peters: "Anyone stand in opposition? Representative
  Ebbesen?"
- Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

  I thought that we should bring out here what this Bill

  basically does. There are some good points to the Bill but

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

there are some things that in my opinion shouldn't be It really grants a more lucrative retrirement formula to the security personnel in the Department of Corrections covered by the State Employees' Retirement System, but it also increases the employee contributions at 1 1/2% of the salary for those employees that are covered by Social Security and it goes from 4 to 5 1/2% increase in the contribution of those that are. But as far as the background, you know the Pension Laws Commission, think, is in agreement that the prison guards should receive a higher retirement formula since they are really subjected to a lot more stress and often retire earlier than other employees which is the Bill's Sponsor brought out. But it also, this Bill, affects 4,300 other security personnel and that second group really, opinion of the Pension Laws Commission and a lot of us who have studied this, really don't deserve that higher formula and as was indicated, now this is the first of about 35 or 40 pension Bills and if you vote favorably for this Bill, you're talking about an increase in the unfunded accrued liability to the system of \$15,000,000, plus the annual cost. The first year is only going to be \$108,000 but it will increase by leaps and bounds in the very near future. And I have to stand in opposition to this Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Richmond to close."

Richmond: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to point out that I'm also...with me on this Bill is the hyphenated Sponsor, is Répresentative Ralph Dunn; indicating the bi-partisan support for this good Bill and I would like to also point out that this applies only to those who are in daily contact within the walls of a prison or the facility where it's determined that there is a very dangerous situation that does exist or the potential for it, at least

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

on a daily basis. And it would still be less than the formula that's enjoyed presently by those who are deemed to be in similiar circumstances where danger is involved in their employment. Such as, the State Police, the firefighters, air pilots and investigators employed by the Secretary of State. I ask for your support in this effort."

Speaker Peters: "Question is 'Shall Senate Bill 244 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk, the voting is open. To explain his vote, Representative Davis, to explain his vote."

Davis: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Richmond is absolutely right. I realize the pension impact of the Bill, however, this is a repeat of House Bill 697, that passed this House overwhelmingly last year. And it's an inducement to keep correctional guards in the system. We have just an awesome turnover and the only way we're ever going to stabilize the prisons inside the walls is to protect those with higher pay and higher pension benefits and I see it's got enough votes, so, I'll shut up."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Ropp, to explain his vote."

Ropp: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think this is a good Bill even though it does cost some money. When we had the recent situation in Pontiac, where guards were murdered and then not found to be murdered because no one was there to cause the crime. They're taking their lives into their hands every day. I think, I certainly know I wouldn't trade places with them and I'm willing to vote for this Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this guestion there are 112 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed.

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Senate Bill 293, Representative Kornowicz. Senate Bill 293. Senate Bill 299, Representative Oblinger. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 299, a Bill for an Act to authorize the direct deposit of payments to State annuitants and public aid recipients, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Oblinger."

- Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, similiar to what they do with Social Security checks, we're asking that that be done here in the State of Illinois. That the checks be sent directly to the banks and the local Savings and Loan rather than to the people, individually, to provide for safety so that they aren't stolen or lost. And it's exactly like a Social Security Plan."
- Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 299 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting Hr. Clerk, the voting is open. Have all voted 'nay'. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 155 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'nay', 2 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. For the purposes of an introduction, Representatives Friedrich, Slape and Watson."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, you've heard the announcement about the Chicago Cubs a while ago. But I can tell you that did not have half the impact as a few weeks ago when the Carlyle Indians won the State Baseball Championship, right here in Springfield. You know we talk about all kinds of things around here but one of the things that you can say about Clinton County, they've got more baseball teams then they've got policemen. And I think that says something in itself. It's a great baseball

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

county. In fact, one town has 16 baseball teams and no So, maybe that's a lesson to some of the rest policemen. of you who are emphasizing policemen instead of baseball. We're really proud to have this team with us today from Carlyle, represented bу Representative Watson Representative Slape and myself. And there's a Resolution, I wonder, Mr. Clerk, could we have that Resolution read?" Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 375, Whereas, the Illinois House of Representatives in a continuing effort to recognize the excellence of young athletes, wishes to congratulate the Carlyle High School baseball team, otherwise known as the "Indians", who have captured the 1981 Class A Boys State Baseball Championship and ended the season with a spectacular 28-4 record; and Whereas, the "Indians", led inspired by Coach Gary Wheeler, beat Quincy Notre Dame 7-3 and Decatur St. Teresa 9-2 before finally claiming the championship title by defeating Aurora Catholic 3-1; and Whereas, not since the Mighty Casey of Mudville struck out at bat, have so many hearts palpitated over the action upon the diamond when the "Indians", behind the solid pitching efforts of Matt Tucker, successfully guarded their margin of victory in the waning hours of that hard fought baseball duel for the State crown; and Whereas, the entire community of Carlyle and the numerous fans of this fine team are proud of these outstanding athletes and displayed their admiration in numerous ways: therefore, bе it Resolved, by the House of Representatives of the Bighty-Second General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we congratulate Coach Gary Wheeler and the "Indians" of Carlyle High School on their success in the 1981 baseball season and that we send our sincere wish that the "Indians" meet continued success in the future: and be further Resolved, that suitable copies of this preamble and

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Resolution be presented to Coach Gary Wheeler and to each of the members of the 1981 Carlyle High School baseball team, as a formal indication of our deep respect and esteem in which they are held by this House."

- Priedrich: "You've heard the Resolution. All in favor of the Resolution signify by saying 'aye'. That much for baseball, now Coach Gary Wheeler."
- Gary Wheeler: "Thank you for bestowing this honor on us. very proud of our town, our county. We are very proud of our school and we're also very proud to be citizens of the great State of Illinois. Thank you very much. At this time I would introduce some of the players that came along with us. Some of them are involved in a Cardinal tryout camp down in St. Louis and they were unable to make it. Matt Tucker, the individual who pitched the championship game and won 3-1. He's in the hospital at 'Breeze' with a broken neck. He broke his neck during the second inning of the championship game but went ahead and finished pitching the game. Yes, that's what I said, only a Tucker could do that. You have to know the family. I will introduce the individuals who did come along. All these young men will be back next year and we hope to be here again. on the right, we have a young man that's going to be a sophomore, Todd 'Isaac'. Beside Todd, we have our right fielder, Joe 'Krueger'. In front of Joe we have our left fielder, Leonard Johnson. A pitcher, Hal 'Hippin'. In the middle here we have our second baseman, Curt Beckemeyer. Behind me we have our DH and third baseman, John Alexander. One of our pinch runners and outfielders, Mark 'Seibur'. Beside me here, a little story, Mr. Excitement, we've won the State championship, everybody is jumping up and down and going crazy, this individual here is putting the bats in the bat rack, putting the balls up. Just

64th Legislative Day

- June 16, 1981
- another game to him, Mr. Excitement, Larry Miller and my right arm, best assistant coach, as I say, in the State, Doug 'Grost'. Thank you again, we appreciate it."
- Priedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we appreciate

  very much you're taking the time to honor this great team

  and baseball."
- Speaker Peters: "Let the record indicate that House Resolution 375 has been adopted. Senate Bill 379, Representative Karpiel. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 379, a Bill for an Act authorizing the
  Director of Administrative Services to convey certain real
  property, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Karpiel."
- Karpiel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 379 authorizes the sale of two parcels of land which has been declared surplus property by the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. The two land parcels will be sold to the 'Jane Shover' Easter Seal Rehabilitation Center in Elgin and...the town of Elgin. And both land transfers have 'hold harmless and right of re-entry' clauses. I ask for your affirmative vote."
- Speaker Peters: "Anyone stand in opposition? There being no one, the question is 'Shall Senate Bill 379 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk, the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 151 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 391, Representative Giorgi."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 391, a Bill for an Act to amend the

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities

Confidentiality Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the Legislative Digest is accurate in what it says. This amends the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Confidentiality Act and permits health services, planned corporations to obtain confidential health records of the insured without his or his parents or guardians or in consent but his consent and it's Senator Simms' Bill. It's part of a dissertation that has to do with confidentiality and I urge support of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Anyone stand in opposition? Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I stand not so much in opposition to this Bill as to the whole concept. I'm going to vote against this only because I believe that we should not be giving people who are coming into mental institutions...be confronting them with the signing of a general release of information. All this Bill does is to bring the Blue Cross people into the same rights as other insurance carriers from what I can gather. And I suppose that's fair, why should we have different rules for different carriers. However, we certainly ought to have, we ought to probably get rid of this Bill all together and just have them come in and each time they need the information, ask for the information, if they're entitled to it, they'll get it. If they're not, we ought not ask a person who's mentally ill to sign a general release."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Giorgi to close."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this changes one Section of the Act that
we're allowing a lot of people into these records and if we
feel that Blue Cross-Blue Shield deserves to be in it, you
vote 'aye', if you don't you vote 'no'."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

- Speaker Peters: "The question is 'Shall Senate Bill 391 pass?"

  Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk, the voting is open.

  Representative Jaffe to explain his vote."
- Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm really surprised at the Gentleman from Rockford. Of all people, I think that Zeke would be the last person to sponsor this type of Bill. I think it plays right into the insurance companies hands. It opens up confidentiality and I would really urge a 'no' vote on this particular Bill. I've never gotten up to speak against a Giorgi-Bill beforehand but I think that this one is so bad that we ought to look at it very closely and really vote 'no' on it."
- Speaker Peters: "To explain his vote, Representative Domico.

  Representative Zito, will you check the light there please?

  Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take
  the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 80

  voting 'aye', 50 voting 'nay'. Poll of the Absentees.

  Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. Bluthardt. Braun. Brunner. Collins. Conti. Daniels. Deuster. Ewing. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Garmisa. Giglio. Griffin. Hoxsey. Hudson. Jackson. Jones. Karpiel. Kosinski. Kucharski. Margalus. Mautino. McAuliffe. McGrew. Peters. Polk." McBroom.

Speaker Peters: "Excuse me. Representative McGrew, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Peters. Polk."

Speaker Peters: "Polk, 'no'."

Clerk Leone: "Redmond. Schuneman. Irv Smith. Stanley.

Stearney. Stewart. C. M. Stiehl. Tuerk. Turner. J. J.

Wolf. Sam Wolf."

Speaker Peters: "Sam Wolf, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Younge, and Mr. Speaker."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Peters: "Friedrich, 'aye'. McCormick, 'aye'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Kosinski, 'aye'. Representative Stiehl, Representative Cissy Stiehl, 'aye'. Representative Huskey, 'aye'. Representative Braun, 'no'. Representative 'no'. Stewart, Representative Deuster, Representative Jones, 'aye'. Representative Irv Smith, Representative Mulcahey, 'no'. Representative Mulcahey, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have my vote changed from 'yes' to 'no', please."

Speaker Peters: "Mulcahey from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Johnson,
Representative Johnson?"

Johnson: "Yes, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Peters: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Johnson: "Switch me to 'no'."

Speaker Peters: "Change the Gentleman to 'no'. Representative Hastert?"

Hastert: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Peters: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hastert: "Record me as 'no', please."

Speaker Peters: "Change the Gentleman to 'no'. Representative
Alexander."

Alexander: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Peters: "Representative Giorgi, do you want to do this all over again? Representative Giorgi?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I know it has enough votes...."

Speaker Peters: "We've had one vote, we've had a Poll of the
Absentees and we're still changing. Now, that's madness."

Giorgi: "If the House will give me leave to take it out of record, I'll take it out of the record. Otherwise, if the House...."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Peters: "There's been objections."

Giorgi: "Just take the vote then."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Alexander?"

Alexander: "Mr. Speaker, change me from 'aye' to 'no'."

"Alexander from 'aye' to 'no'. Daniels, 'no'. Speaker Peters: Huskey from 'no' to 'aye' to 'no'. It's a vote of conviction. Representative Dunn, 'aye'. No, Representative John Dunn 'no'. Representative You have all that, Mr. Clerk? Representative Stuffle from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Margaret Smith from 'a ye' to 'no'. Representative Collins, Representative Telcser from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Representative Ropp from 'aye' to 'no'. Deuster? the count, Mr. Clerk? Change Representative Henry from 'aye' to 'no'. On this question there are 79 voting 'aye', 63 voting 'no'. Postponed Consideration. Senate Bill 416, Representative DiPrima. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 416, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning the educational opportunities for children of deceased or totally disabled veterans, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 416 amends an Act concerning educational opportunities for children of deceased or totally disabled veterans. Now, currently these children are receiving \$150.00 toward their educational opportunities and this would raise it to \$250.00 for each child. And I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Peters: "Anyone stand in opposition? There being no one, the question is 'Shall Senate Bill 416 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk, the voting is open. Representative

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Kucharski. Peters 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Thank you, Sir. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 151 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', 2 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Najority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill....Representative McPike, for what purpose do you rise? McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just very briefly, I'd like to welcome the people in the audience that came down from the City of Chicago. In the Speaker's Gallery to our right, are citizen's from the 22nd Legislative District, from the 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th Ward of Chicago, represented by Larry Bullock, Susan Catania, and Margaret Smith. Could we welcome them, please?"

Speaker Peters: "Senate Bill 497, Representative Matijevich.

Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 497, a Bill for an Act in relationship to State finance, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Matijevich."

Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Matijevich: "Mr. Senate Bill 497 prohibits the expenditure of Pederal funds by State agencies except as appropriated by law and with certain exemptions. Senate Bill 497 is very similiar Bills we have passed nearly unanimously the last two Sessions of the Legislature, only to be vetoed by the Governor. We feel that it is very important that the State Legislature be involved in the appropriations process where Federal funds are given to the State of Illinois. Although these matters have been vetoed by the Governor we still think it's important that we pass this type of legislation to...so that we show the Governor that this is a legislative policy we feel like strongly about it. There are some Pederal programs that are good. There are some

### 64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

that aren't and we should curtail them but we ought to do it through the appropriations process and this Bill is also in conformance with the Illinois Constitution, and I would urge your passage of it, as we have done in the past, nearly unanimously."

- Speaker Peters: "Anyone stand in opposition? Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask, Mr. Speaker, that the requisite number of individuals join me in taking this Bill off Short Debate. It certainly should not be on Short Debate."
- Speaker Peters: "Do ten Members join the Gentleman from DeWitt?

  There appear to be more than ten. Mr. Clerk, indicate this
  Bill is off Short Debate."
- Vinson: "Would the Sponsor yield for several questions, Mr. Speaker? Representative Matijevich, on page one of the Bill, you describe as State agencies, "Any agency which is created by Statute other than a unit of local government."

  Now, how do we determine whether an agency created by statute, is or is not a unit of local government?"
- Matijevich: "Where you at now, Sam? On line what?"
- Vinson: "On page one, lines 23, 24 and 5, you indicate that, 'a

  State agency is any agency created by Statute other than a

  unit of local government.' And my question is, how do we

  determine when we read a Statute whether or not an agency

  created by Statute is or is not an agency...unit of local

  government?"
- Matijevich: "Well, I didn't...I was never aware that there was any problem in determining what is a State agency or is not a State agency, Sam. If you could give me some example where you think that there would be a difficulty in determining what a State agency is, I would be happy to clear up what might be a problem for you. I've never...."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

- Vinson: "Well, is the...let's take for example, the RTA. Is that an agency of State or local government?"
- Matijevich: "Yes, I think Representative Pierce has said it accurately. It is a local government as defined by the Enabling Act which created the Regional Transportation Authority."

Vinson: "That language is in the Enabling Act?"

Matijevich: "That's right."

- Vinson: "Now, what about the Bi-State agency, which was created by Inter-State Compact?"
- Matijevich: "I'm not sure, I'd have to get the Enabling Act that created the Bi-State and I would guess that may be the same but I'm only guessing, Sam."
- Vinson: "What about the various Port Districts around the State that the...for instance the Governor makes appointments to that are created by State Statute? Are they State or local government agencies?"
- Matijevich: "Port Districts, again, I'd be guessing, Sam. I would guess that those are local governments."
- Vinson: "Okay, now, on page two of the Bill, as I read the language in...from lines 5 through 10, you exempt the series of agencies from the operation of this Act which are clearly State agencies. Is that not the case?"
- Matijevich: "Those exemptions that you are pointing to are those that try to counteract the Governor's prior objections when he first vetoed it. In other words, those agencies that have Revenue Bond Authority. That was to alleviate the objections of the Governor."
- Vinson: "Where does the Illinois Health Facilities Development
  Authority have any Revenue Bond Authority?"
- Matijevich: "I'm not sure why that one was included in the exemption. I know your fondness for that agency, Sam. But that exemption was made and it was included but that may

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

have been to meet another objection by the Governor in prior vetoes. I'm not aware of why that one was exempted."

Vinson: "You're familiar or aren't you, Representative, with the State Water Survey, the Mineral Survey and so forth?"

Matijevich: "Yes."

Vinson: "Now, they're currently located in the Department of Natural Resources?"

Matijevich: "Right."

Vinson: "Wouldn't the same purpose of your exemption for the

State universities be appropriately served by exempting the

Natural History Survey, the Water Survey and so forth? I

mean, would you want to impede their research functions?"

Matijevich: "For what reason, Sam?"

Vinson: "Well, I assume you're exempting the universities, because you don't want to impede their research functions."

Matijevich: "That may be one of the reasons why the Senate has included that exemption but I don't see the corollary between the universities and the State Water Survey?"

Vinson: "Why not? I mean, if they're housed at the university, they're a research agency, they draw on university staff, heavily...many cooperative projects with the university, how would you distinguish the two?"

Matijevich: "Well, I think probably a reason may be that the Water Survey is part of a department which has a Director appointed by the Governor. While the university systems don't operate in that same vein as a, quote, "State agency", which has a Director, you might say, appointed by the Governor. I think there is that...."

Vinson: "Well, who appoints the Chairman of the Board of Regents, for instance?"

Matijevich: "I'll be darned if I know."

Vinson: "I beg your pardon?"

Matijevich: "I'll be darned if I know."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

- Vinson: "The Governor. The Governor of the State of Illinois appoints the Chairman of the Board of Regents and does various other systems, with the single exception of the U of I, of course. Now, on lines 19 through 26, would you try to describe for me anything that would not be excepted under the language in those lines?"
- Matijevich: "In other words, are you saying, by lines 19 to 26, you are saying that all funds that are directly dispersed to units of local government would be exempted, is that what you're saying?"
- Vinson: "I'm just asking if you could give me a single example."

  Speaker Peters: "Excuse me, Representative Vinson, you have two
  minutes left of your ten."
- Matijevich: "By the way, I've just been informed that the Chairman of the Board of Regents is appointed by the rest of the members, Sam."
- Vinson: "Well, who appoints him to be a member? To the Bill, Mr.

  Speaker, so that I get my last minute in."
- Speaker Peters: "Proceed. Proceed."
- Vinson: "I would oppose the Bill. It's inartfully drawn. The exemptions bear no reality to reasonable purpose..." (cut off)
- Speaker Peters: "That's my error, Representative. Proceed."
- Vinson: "And the only purpose for the Bill is harrassment of the Governor's Office. It's a petty, partisan Bill. It ought to be rejected. We ought to, if we draw a Bill in this fashion, we ought to at least exempt emergency funds and create a set of exemptions which bear some reasonable relation to reality. I would urge 'no' votes on the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

Ropp: "Yes, Representative, I somewhat could support this, other

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

than because the Federal fiscal year is different than ours. It seems to me like it's very impractical for us to go out and to...go out of Session July 1, not knowing even how much money ve're exactly going to get from the Federal Government, and that it would cost a sizable amount of money to have us to come back into Session and to reappropriate those funds, maybe at this point, that we don't even know we're going to get. What's your response to that?"

Matijevich: "My response, Gordon, and you know, you've been the Director of the Department of Agriculture and I know you with supplementals as the Director of came in the Department of Agriculture. That has been no problem. I \* d like to tell the Members that this concept started when Governor Walker was Governor and he was opposed to it. I cannot believe that Legislators are here, standing on the floor, saying that the Legislature should not be a part of process and appropriate in the State Legislature with regards to Federal Funds. I'll tell you, if you don't want to do it, be my quest. I just think this is good legislative policy and this is the first time since we have initiated this legislation that anybody has made it partisan and I regret that because it's good legislative appropriation policy and I would hope that some Republican on the Appropriations Committee would stand up and say it's good policy because it is."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Ropp, are you....?

Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm not on the Appropriations Committee but I am pleased to stand in support of the Gentleman's Bill. This is, indeed, not a partisan Bill and I certainly don't think that anyone should have alledged it to be so. I don't think that

64th Legislative Day

June 16. 1981

Senator Totten when he was serving in this House, pushing this Bill, ever meant it to be nitpicking at the Governor's Office. I'm sure of that. This Bill says, basically, that Pederal funds shall not be spent by State agencies unless they ve been appropriated by this General Assembly. The Sponsor is absolutely correct that a similiar Bill has passed this House overwhelmingly on more than one occasion, only to be vetoed when it dropped a floor down. And I urge to vote for this Bill, yet again, because as it was right the first time and the second time it is right again today to say that State agencies should be spending money only as appropriated by the General Assembly. They should not be receiving manna from Washington and figuring that they can distribute it however they wish. Without the elected Representatives of the people of Illinois making those decisions about the disposition of Federal funds. funds, after all, are our money. They are the money of the taxpayers of Illinois, as well as of other states and they are allocated to Illinois for what ought to be Illinois governmental purposes. State agencies should not be autonomists in the spending of money but they certainly are not with respect to any State raised tax money. should not be with respect to money that falls from Washington, either. This General Assembly should be having a careful review of Federal expenditures in Illinois and should be making the decisions about where those monies go. All kinds of problems can be raised about the coincidence of the fiscal year and that sort of thing. Well, Sessions in the fall, already. And there are not that many grants that come down that they could not be handled within the context of our current limited Session in the fall. Those suggestions are really red herrings, trying to stop behalf of some out of control bureaucracy, I guess. A

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

very good Bill, and I salute the Gentleman from Lake for bringing this Bill to us today. I urge very strongly your favorable consideration. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 497 is not a new idea. We've heard this issue debated many times over prior General Assemblies and as I did in the past, I arise today to oppose Senate Bill 497. And I oppose it for a couple of reasons. Knowing full well that the essence of Senate Bill 497 has a great deal of appeal to those of us who serve in the Legislative Branch of government, just about everything that the prior speaker said in connection with Senate Bill 497 bares the truth. However, practical matter, the provisions of 497 could, in fact, hurt citizens of Illinois. One simply has themselves, what if federal money comes to Illinois when we're not in Session and that money is needed in instances such as natural disasters? I might also point out that Illinois' fiscal year does not necessarily coincide with the fiscal year that the Pederal Government uses in allocating funds to the various states. The fact of the matter is, in my view, that the provisions of 497 are simply not workable and they're not realistic given the world in which we function. So, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it is basically for those reasons that I rise to oppose Senate Bill 497 in spite of the fact that it has great legislative appeal. In my view, it could wind up hurting our constituents."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Peters: "Excuse me. For what purpose, Sir?

Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I, you know, everyone who speaks for or against

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

something has a right to say what they believe. But I want the record to clearly show that Art Telcser, last year, when this Bill was introduced as House Bill...Senate Bill 2014, is recorded as voting 'aye'. So don't say you always opposed it, Art. This is a new opposition. You've always been for it. And..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative, you'll have opportunity to close. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. Members of the House. There are several Bills that annually come before this Body that one can scratch one's head until it bleeds to try to figure out why anybody in this Body would oppose it. Senate Bill 497, as the Gentleman pointed out, has been around a lot longer than most of us. I voted for this Bill time and time again. It's passed this House usually with an absolutely overwhelming majority to be vetoed by because of the reasonable grounds that the Governor wants to have as much power as possible. The Governor wants as much legislative power and I suppose the Legislature will want as much executive power encroached upon each other as much as possible until one of them strikes back. Now the power to appropriate money is purely legislative. All Senate Bill 497 seeks to do is establish under law that the legislative power to appropriate money for expenditures of State Government will be accomplished by this Body and not by the Executive or any of the executive agencies. Unfortunately, over the years misguided attempts to get the Governor to sign this Bill, it's been watered down and in each succeeding term it gets put in in the watered down version. There's no reason in the world why educational establishments ought to be relieved of the obligation to spend money that's been previously appropriated by this Body than any other agency

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

of government. The point that's always raised that federal money becomes available when we're not in Session is absolutely absurd. There's too much federal money floating around. Fortunately, because of the change in administration in Washington, there will be much less than there was. But just because there's money available, does not mean that this state or any agency of this state for that very reason, spend it. It ought to be considered judgment on the part of the elected people of this state, whether it's federal money, whether it's money raised locally by taxation, but it ought to be a considered judgment by the Legislative Branch of government that whether money ought to be spent for a particular purpose or So this Bill makes about as much sense as any piece of legislation that's ever been filed in this and I, for that reason, I urge everybody to vote for it like virtually everybody did last year and the year year before that. And then, hopefully some of us will resist the pressure to override the Governor's veto when it comes back in the fall."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Brunner. Brunner?"

Brunmer: "Yes, I move the previous question."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall the previous question be put?'. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Matijevich to close."

Matijevich: "In closing, may I first inform the Membership that

Senate Bill 498 is following this which will ..will take

care of all educational purposes. But I would urge the

Membership as Legislators to support this. We all know

that the Governor is going to veto it. That's because he's

the Chief Executive. However, as Representative

Leinenweber said, we have a legislative responsibility.

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

and our legislative responsibility is to have an oversight over monies that are being appropriated and spent in programs that are here in the State of Illinois even though they may be funded federally. This is the way that we, as a policy, as a decision by the Legislature, say that we firmly believe that the Legislature ought to be a part of that appropriations process. I offer this Bill as others have in the past because it is good legislative policy. It is not, in any way, partisan and I regret that anybody made it that way. It's something that all of us should stand for and I urge your vote."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 497 Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the On this question ... Representative record, Mr. Clerk. Stanley, 'aye'. On this question...Ah. Let's not... I say it three times. Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 127 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'nay', 1 voting This Bill, having received the Constitutional 'present'. Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Kustra, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Kustra: "Thank you, Nr. Speaker. I just received on my desk from a page, I assume these are being distributed across the floor- a zeroxed copy of an article in the <u>Sun-Times</u>. It's my understanding that according to Rule 55.1 that's prohibited. I would appreciate it if you'd enforce that."

Speaker Peters: "The pages, Mr. Clerk, will be instructed in the Rule and no material is to be distributed unless it is first approved according to the Rules. Your point is well taken, Sir. Senate Bill 498, Representative Matijevich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 498, a Bill for an Act to amend the

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Matijevich."

- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 498 is a companion to Senate Bill 497. It stipulates that no federal funds received by the state for education purposes may be expended by any state agency or official until such funds have been appropriated by the General Assembly. It's the same policy decision with regard to the last Bill. I would urge your favorable consideration. This passed the Senate unanimously and it passed the House Committee unanimously. And I would urge your favorable vote."
- Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 498 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Satterthwaite to explain her vote for one minute."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I really wanted to ask a question.

  I know that the previous Bill exempted universities and community colleges, but I do not understand what happens in regard to those institutions in this Bill. I think we really are hamstringing our universities in particular, particularly the University of Illinois, if, in fact, they cannot expend any federal monies unless it's appropriated.

  And I just would like some clarification on this issue."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Ebbesen to explain his vote for one minute."
- Ebbesen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would like clarification on that also, that point. I don't know. This is Short Debate and it's explanation of votes. Can we get an answer from somebody?"
- Speaker Peters: "Someone wishes to explain their vote and make

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

that answer, that's entirely within their judgment. all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. question there are 143 voting 'aye', 17 voting 'nay', 3 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate 546, Representative Schuneman. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill ....Page of the Calendar, House Bill 700 (sic, Senate Bill 700). Representative Capparelli? Read the Bill. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 700, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act regulating the right of Boards to board
certain aircraft for hire by paid passengers and make
violation thereof of criminal offense. Third Reading of
the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Capparelli."

- Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 700 would increase the penalty to a Class A misdemeanor from a Class B misdemeanor for those who attempt to board an aircraft with a gun or another dangerous weapon. This increasing the penalty would make it consistent with the penalty for unlawful possession of firearms. It also would make it a crime for those who are not passengers, who carry a gun across into a plane area a penalty of the same, a Class A misdemeanor. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."
  - Speaker Peters: "Anyone stand in opposition? Discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 700 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 162 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 791, Representative Terzich. Out of the record. Senate Bill 800, Representative Swanstrom. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 800, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relationship to recorders. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Swanstrom."

Swanstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 800 is another attempt by the Illinois Recorders Association to reduce some of their costs. It amends the law in relation to recorders of deeds. It requires that when a map or a plat of an addition or subdivision is filed, that it must be accompanied by a minimum of six exact copies. Currently only one map or plat must be submitted at the time of filing. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, what is the Clerk's Office going to do with six copies of the map or plat?"

Speaker Peters: "Representative Swanstrom."

Swanstrom: "As I understand it, Representative Brummer, those copies are needed by the recorders. They have to take the responsibility of making the extra copies and they feel that they should be submitted by the person filing the map or the plat."

Brummer: "Well, this is Bill supported by the Recorders
Association?"

Swanstrom: "This comes at their request, yes."

Brummer: "I guess I'm not in opposition, although I would question the wisdom of their judgment possibly. It's only going to require additional storage space, additional cost to the people who are filing the plats. Obviously the recorders are entitled to charge for copies of those if

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

they provide copies to someone who comes into the office and requests it and they ought to be able to recoup their costs doing that. If they're going to provide these copies to someone who comes in, are they going to charge for these copies that they have been provided free of charge? Are they going to charge me if I walk in and ask for one of these copies?"

Swanstrom: "I have no idea."

- Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 800 pass?'.

  Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. To explain her vote, Representative Oblinger."
- Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly, perhaps
  I can answer the questions in explaining my vote. As a
  recorder, you're required to have six copies of each one of
  these. That's why we're asking people to do it now rather
  than the recorder to have to prepare those. Any document
  that you get from the Recorder's Office you do pay for a
  copy. This would not increase the cost. They already have
  to have six copies. So I'm very happy to vote for this
  Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 153 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 818, Representative Hallstrom. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 818, a Bill for an Act to amend the Phenylketonuria Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Very good, Dr. Leone. Representative
  Hallstrom."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I first would like to applaude the Clerk. a very good job in pronouncing it. It does amend the Phenylketonuria Act to require that every newborn be tested for hypothyroidism as well as pku. which phenylketonuria. The Bill specifies two types of testing for hypothyroidism. This pku testing has been with us for some time, since the mid '60's. You should know that it was on the agreed list in Health and Family Services The Department of Public Health, the Illinois Committee. Association of Retarded Citizens, the Governor's Planning Council on Developmental Disabilities are all for the Bill. The important part of the Bill is that it does prevent mental retardation so that not only does it save the children and the families all kinds of heartache, but it saves the state money in the long run and I would appreciate your affirmative vote."

Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 818 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Giglio, will you please vote Representative Keane? Thank you, Sir. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Mr. Clerk. this question there are 163 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 848, Representative Ronan? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 864, Representative Telcser? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 864, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Illinois Energy Resource Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

- Speaker Peters: "Representative Telcser."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Rea is supposed to handle this Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Rea? Your pleasure,
  Representative? Out of the record? Out of the record.

  Senate Bill 882, Representative Macdonald. Out of the
  record. 883? Out of the record. Senate Bill 894,
  Representative Robbins? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 894, a Bill for an Act to release highway easements and restore access rights to certain described lands. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative McCormick."
- McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is Illinois Department of Transportation's Bill to release some highway...highway easements for an amount of money. Actually what it does, it consists of about 97.26 acres and they receive 168,031. It was reviewed in Committee and it was unanimous. I don't think there's any opposition to the Bill and I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? Representative Getty for a question."
- Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I arise not in opposition, but to ask
  a sincere question I think ought to be in the record.
  Representative, are there appraisals for the valuation?"
- ${\tt McCormick:}\ {\tt "I"m}\ {\tt sorry.}\ {\tt I}\ {\tt missed}\ {\tt your}\ {\tt question}$  , Representative. "
- Getty: "Is there an appraisal to substantiate the valuations?"
- McCormick: "Yes, Sir. And you can go...I can show you if you'd

  like me to each and every one of them and where they're
  located. It's a departmental Bill."
- Getty: "No, I just felt we should have it in the record that there were appraisals to substantiate the valuations in each case.."
- McCormick: "Yes, Sir. That's true."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Getty: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 894 pass?'.

Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Brunner, to explain your vote, Sir?"

Brunmer: "No, in an attempt to push a green light."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Bradley to explain his vote.

Take the record. On this question there are 159 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 898, Representative Deuster. Out of the record. Senate Bill 902, Representative Robbins? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 902, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning Bovine Tuberculosis by increasing the state compensation to owners of animals stricken by the disease.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "This Bill increases the compensation for brucellosis on cows that are condemned and ... Illinois at the present time is considered a brucellosis-free state. There are very few condemnations to which this applies and this brings the Bill more in line with the current factors of the market."

Speaker Peters: "Anyone stand in opposition? Any discussion?

Representative Ewell?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Peters: "Representative, we are on Short Debate."

Ewell: "I know that. Well, I.. just a little discussion."

Speaker Peters: "Proceed."

Ewell: "I see where we're going to increase these fees from \$50.00 to \$200.00, that we're going to pay for an animal

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

which reacts to a bovine tuberculosis test and from \$100.00 to \$400.00 for, what is it, a previously purebred animal? And what I'm asking is, is this amounts to an increase of 400% by way of comments. And I'm wondering how much and how well we really ought to take care of all of these cows that you have and other bovine animals. Now, I personally am deeply affected and I understand when your cows get sick. I even send them get well cards. But I was wondering about this 400% increase and in this day of austerity when we're cutting the budgets in all other areas, whether we should really go into a 400% increase for these bovine animals."

Robbins: "I really don't believe that the increase is not unjustified. This increase is the increase which the Department of Agriculture considered fair. Some of the animals in your purebred lines are costing \$2500 to \$5000 and when a man is required to ship a cow, he will receive in the neighborhood of \$500.00. So the farmer is going to be a net loser and this is just a mere pittance of compensation."

Ewell: "Well, the final words and I understand this is Short
Debate and I am going to vote 'present'. But I just wanted
to point out to you that in Illinois, in the past three
years, that no herds have really been destroyed because of
any tuberculosis in the herds. Now, I understand your
sentiment for preparing for the future or preparing for any
other time or event. But I wonder in this day of fiscal
responsibility if those of you who hear me from the other
side of the aisle and this side of the aisle might not
wonder, are we not getting into a little bit of excessive
compensation in 400% for the cows when we can't find any
increase for the other people..the people in the state who
happen to be needy? I do believe it's excessive and I

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

think that the get well cards are enough."

Robbins: "Most farmers that would be..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Robbins, I don't believe that was a question. Do you wish now to close?"

Robbins: "I just feel that this is a just Bill with just compensation and I request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 902 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all Take the record. Mr. Clerk. voted who wish? question there are 125 voting 'aye', 6 voting 'nay', 21 Bill, having received voting 'present'. This the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 910. Representative Bower. Read the Bill, Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 910, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities
Confidentiality Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Bower."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Senate Bill 910 amends the Mental Health and House. Developmental Disabilities Confidentiality Act to allow a facility director of a mental health facility to report a suspected violation of criminal law or other serious incident which has occurred in such facility to the appropriate law enforcement and investigating agencies. Under current law. it is absolutely impossible for a This Bill has facility director to make such a report. been worked on by...in cooperation with the Department of Mental Health, Department of Law Enforcement and the Illinois State Medical Society and it passed the Senate 49 to nothing and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? Discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 910 pass?'.

Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 143 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', 10 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 912, Representative Oblinger. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 912, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly, this is a Medicare supplement insurance Bill to bring us into conformity with the federal law, 'the Bachaas Amendment'. It allows people ten days in which to look over their insurance policy and refuse it, thirty days if they've been an insurance Medicare defines what solicited. Ιt supplement program is and it can't be a company or a union insurance group. It is individual insurance. And they also must inform the people that this is not a policy, but a supplemental policy and give the people time to look this over. I would request your support for this insurance Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Anyone in opposition? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 912 pass?'.

Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 161 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 919, Representative Ryan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 951. Representative Piel? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk.

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 951, a Bill for an Act to amend the
  Illinois Savings and Loan Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
  Speaker Peters: "Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill deals with the Illinois Sawings Association Bank Act which deals with one bank in the State of Illinois. It basically is a technical Bill. It clears up three areas of the..of the Act which are no longer in effect because of being...covering only one bank. It passed out of the Senate 57 to nothing and I'd be more than happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Peters: "Does anyone stand in opposition? Any discussion? Representative John Dunn."
- John Dunn: "Am I entitled to ask a question?"
- Speaker Peters: "Well, to expedite matters, proceed, Sir."
- John Dunn: "I just asked one. Will this permit a Savings and
  Loan to buy a bank?"
- Piel: "No. This deals ...Unfortunately a lot of people are mistaken. This is an Illinois Savings Association Act.

  It's not a Savings and Loan. It does not deal with Savings and Loans at all. It deals with one institution in the entire state, John. It's a Savings Association Bank, they call them."
- Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 951 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 150 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'nay', 7 voting 'present'. This Bill, having

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 955, Representative Hoffman? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 972. Representative Satterthwaite? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 972, a Bill for an Act to amend the Alcoholism and Intoxification Treatment Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a noncontroversial Bill. I believe it simply provides for one additional Member to the Governor's Citizens Advisory Council on Alcoholism, a member of the Dangerous Drugs Commission, the Director or an appointee in his place would be on that Advisory Commission and it's simply to help to coordinate activities between alcohol dependence and drug abuse services in the state and I move for its passage."

Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? Any discussion? being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 972 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question who wish? there are 162 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Senate Bill 1040. Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Levin? Out? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1044. Representative J.J.Wolf? Read the Bill, Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1044, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf, J.J."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly.

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Senate Bill 1044 excludes enrollees and temporary staff of programs administered by the Department of Conservation under the Youth Conservation Corps Act of 1970 as from the retirement system, these employees usually don't work enough to earn a pension. And excluding them would save both the employer and the employee from making There would be a small cost savings on this. contribution. is one Committee Amendment which provides that a retirement system contributions shall earn interest from the date of retirement to the date the retiree becomes eligible for annuity that is on the employee's contributions only and not the state's. And (it) also allows Members of the General Assembly to earn pension that is, to purchase pension credit if they work for the state and for some reason at that time participate in the State Employees' Retirement System. think it's a fairly simple Bill and I would solicit affirmative votes."

Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Ha ve all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 133 voting 'aye', 13 voting 'nay', 'present'. This Bill, having received the voting Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. For purposes of an announcement, the Representative from Chicago, Representative Smith."

Margaret Smith: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and to our dean colleagues assembled, I'd like to make a presentation. We have present with us today up in the gallery, Ms. Eleanor Frederick, who is one of our most outstanding certified

64th Legislative Day

- June 16, 1981
- public accountants in the city of Chicago and civic workers. Will you please stand Mrs. Frederick? Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Peters: "Senate Bill 1047, Representative Jim Kelley.

  Out of the record. Senate Bill 1068, Representative

  Leverenz? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1068, a Bill for an Act to create Sanitary Districts. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1068 would eliminate the provision that the Auditor General designate the accountant or CPA firm that would do the audit of the Metropolitan Sanitary District. It passed in the Senate overwhelmingly. It came out of Committee the same way.

  I'd ask your 'aye' votes for Senate Bill 1068."
- Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1068....'..Representative Daniels."
- Daniels: "We're...We have some questions on this Bill. And, Mr.

  Speaker, I think we'd like to go into a little bit more

  debate on it. We'd like it taken off of Short Debate. So,

  all those join me in Short Debate..take it off of Short

  Debate."
- Speaker Peters: "Are there ten Members joining the Gentleman?

  There are. Mr. Clerk? Please note that Senate Bill 1068
  is on Regular Debate. Representative Daniels."
- Daniels: "Representative Leverenz, your Bill removes the authority of the Auditor General to designate the accountant to make the annual audit of the Metropolitan Sanitary District. Is that correct?"
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. Indeed it does."
- Daniels: "Now, our question very simply is, why..why do you want

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

to remove this authority from the Auditor General, the very Gentleman that you were standing up yesterday, talking about doing such a great job?"

- Leverenz: "Well, there is no question about the adequacy of the performance of the Auditor General. But specifically, it is in the eyes of a person that wants objectivity difficult for an Auditor General to name A, B, C firm to do the audit and then, should a Member of the General Assembly find cause or discrepancies within the audit, it would be difficult for the Auditor General to go in and do an objective job of double checking the CPA firm that he had approved to do the audit. It would eliminate that conflict."
- Daniels: "Well, now, is the Auditor General, as I understand, charged with the responsibility of auditing various state agencies in the State of Illinois? For instance, he's charged with the responsibility of auditing the Department of Law Enforcement. Isn't that correct?"

Leverenz: "That is correct."

- Daniels: "And he's charged with naming the persons or the outfit of the CPA's that perform that actual audit. Isn't that correct, in the law enforcement?"
- Leverenz: "Would you say that again? The noise on the floor is a little loud."
- Daniels: "He's charged with the responsibility of selecting the auditors that perform the audit on the Department of Law Enforcement. Isn't that correct, the CPA's?"
- Leverenz: "He has a choice of doing it internally or selecting the firm that does the audit. That is correct."
- Daniels: "And, it's my understanding that in fact an outside firm is doing the Department of Law Enforcement. Isn't that correct?"
- Leverenz: "I don't know. I haven't seen the recent audit."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Daniels: "Now what you're saying in this specific case is that a case where we have the ninth largest municipal corporation, the ninth largest municipal corporation in the United States of America today, that the Auditor General should not designate the accountant to commence the annual audit. Is that correct, Sir?"

Leverenz: "Well, I don't understand the point of your question, but.."

Daniels: "Is that correct? Yes or no. That's all it takes."

Leverenz: "Restate your question."

Daniels: "You are stating..."

Leverenz: "...It sounded..."

Daniels: "...That in this case, in the minth largest municipal corporation in the United States of America that you want to remove the authority from the Auditor General to designate the accountant and you want to give that very authority to the Metropolitan Sanitary District itself.

Isn't that correct? The fox watching the chicken coop.

That correct, Sir?"

Leverenz: "No, that is incorrect."

Daniels: "All right. To the Bill? Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have a Bill that appeared before you on Short Debate, one that seems to be very simple in nature. But as stated, we are dealing with the ninth largest corporation in the United States of America, municipal corporation in the United States of America What this Bill does, simple in its toda y. very explanation, is removes the independent authority of the Auditor General of the State of Illinois, a Gentleman who has performed admirably in all of his functions, removes from him the authority to name the accountant to make the annual audit of this ninth largest municipal corporation in the United States today. I think this is a dangerous

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

situation. This is a situation where the Sanitary District itself will select its own auditor to determine its own audit, watching itself over its activities. I like the safeguard that we have with the Auditor General. I favor continuing in that fashion and I would earnestly suggest that you vote against this piece of legislation."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Gentleman from DuPage is reading into this something that isn't even there. This is the only place in the law where the Auditor General appoints the auditor and has no responsibility whatsoever of the audit. He is not the auditor of the Sanitary District. It's a quirk in the law that the Auditor General appoints the auditor who does it. has no responsibility for follow up, no responsibility for the content of the audit whatsoever. And it was his request to the Legislative Audit Commission that this be included in eliminate for him the legislative package to our responsibility of making this appointment. They'll have to be audited by a CPA anyway. It has nothing to do with the quality of the audit and there's no reason on God's green earth why the Auditor General should be appointing this auditor."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "I would like...Lee, I would like to address my comments to you. The audit that we're talking about is the ..is the independent audit. It is not the audit that is done by the Auditor General. Lee? The...I would like to address my comments to the first..the one..the Gentleman that had questions regarding the audit. The audit does not apply, Lee, to any audit that's done on a regular basis by the Auditor General. What we have here is a situation that would say that any semi or quasi-governmental unit, if we

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

had a law that said the Auditor General shall hire or approve their independent auditor. This has nothing to do with the regular audits of the Audit Commission. It is the only example of when we have a unique audit where in a unique case the Auditor General is to approve the audit firm. And the Auditor General has indicated that he has no desire..there's really no need for him to audit..to approve the auditor that the Sanitary District has for its internal audit because they're always using one of the big ten audit companies anyway. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? Being none,

  Representative Leverenz to close."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill would provide the exact safeguards that the Representative from DuPage is so worried about. Right now, the Auditor General designates any auditing firm to do the audit. And should we ask him to go back in and look at problems within that district or any other district? It's very difficult for a person that picks a firm to go back in and look objectively at what happened or what may be wrong or what we might find. This Bill comes as part of the package of the Legislative Audit Commission and has received a good eyeball look by the Members of the Commission and the Auditor General. And I ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1068 pass?'.

  Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Senate Bill 1068.

  Representative....Representative Wolf? Representative Wolf? Have all voted who wish?

  Representative Neff."
- Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is sponsored by the Legislative Audit

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Commission. The Auditor General has asked for this legislation and he feels it's needed. We've given it a thorough study and we feel it's the best for everybody's concern to have this legislation. It passed out of the Senate without..with very little opposition. And I would hope that we would give it enough votes to pass it out of the House."

Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 87 voting 'aye', 71 voting 'nay', 10 voting 'present'.

Representative Leverenz?"

Leverenz: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman requests a poll of the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees: Abramson. Ralph Dunn.

Garmisa. Huskey. Levin. Margalus. Martire. Redmond.

And. Stanley."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Macdonald, votes 'aye'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? There are 88 'ayes', 78 'no'.

Representative Leverenz?"

Leverenz: "We'll let it die."

Speaker Peters: "On this question there are 88 voting 'aye', 70
'no', 10 voting 'present'. This Bill, having failed to
receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
lost. Senate Bill 1078. Representative Barnes. Read the
Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1078, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this
Bill is a result of public hearings held on child abuse by
the Illinois Investigating Commission. It creates the
offence of sexual abuse of a child by a family member. It
came out of the Senate with a 57 'aye' vote and no 'nays'.

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

And, what it does, it actually defines sexual abuse, deviant sexual assault or sexual or annal penetration with any object or lewd fondling or touching between daughters, sons, brothers or sisters, including step or adopted. This is a very much needed Bill and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? Discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1078 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting Voting is open. Representative Woodyard? Peters, 'nay'. 'ave'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 163 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declare passed. Senate Bill 1094, Representative Huskey? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1094, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 1094 is a simple Bill. It allows the money that the tollway police, which are hired and work for the tollway and paid for by the tollway, the fines that are collected, ten percent will go to the State's Attorney in that local area and the rest of the money will go to the toll road authority. The tollway authority pays for the police. They maintain the roads on the tollway and the heavy trucks do the damage to the tollways so rightfully, this is where the money should go. I would like to have a favorable vote."

Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's with some reluctance I get

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

up to oppose this piece of legislation. However, the funds that we are talking about here are currently going to the Road Fund and to municipalities and to counties in which these tollways run. In particular, we are again diverting money to the tollway authority that should be going to the Road Fund. I think it's a bad idea at the present time. I think the tollways can pay for themselves. They can continue to collect tolls and pay off the indebtedness. Whereas the Road Fund, we don't have this source of revenue. Our roads are deteriorating and therefore, I'm opposed to this legislation."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Huskey to close."

Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, the heavy Huskey: "Well, Mr. trucks on the tollways are tearing up the tollways and if the tollways don't have any money to enforce the law enforcement so they can collect this...keep these heavy trucks off their tollways, the fine money that they collect go to pay the police force. That is not paid for by the taxpayer. That's paid out of the tollway funds and if divide up the way Representative Darrow suggests you divide it up, it would be \$3,000 per county throughout the state. The whole amount only amounts to \$300,000.00. So, I think you're depriving the tollways of money that's rightfully theirs and they should have that money in order to keep up their tollways and certainly there isn't enough money to squabble over. The heavy trucks should be kept off the tollways and this money is rightfully theirs. I certainly hope for your favorable vote."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1094 pass?'.

Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Representative Yourell to explain his vote."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

the House, I'm going to vote for this Bill not because of the Sponsor's explanation, but because of a phone call I just received from the chief counsel of the tollway who indicated to me that this is very necessary legislation for the Illinois Highway Tollway Commission and I'm going to be voting 'aye'."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Leverenz to explain his vote."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Currently the Illinois toll road has one of two choices, either it gets some money back that it produces in terms of fine revenue. And this only is the overweight fines. It has nothing to do with the movers and speeders that everybody is asking about every once in a while. They only want a small share back. The only other opportunity they have is to increase tolls and you deal with that up there in the northeast corner too while you're playing with mass transit."

Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 107 voting 'aye', 39 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Daniels in the Chair."

Speaker Daniels: "Senate Bill 1130. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1130, a Bill for an Act to establish procedures concerning the collection, disclosure and confidentiality of health statistics. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Karpiel."

Karpiel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1130 creates the Illinois Health Statistics Act. It authorizes the Department of Public Health to collect and maintain health data. It prohibits the department from disclosing any of the data which would

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

identify individuals unless certain conditions are met. It requires the department to take appropriate measures to protect the confidentiality of health data. The Department of Public Health has requested this legislation because it will assist them with the health planning process, help them coordinate information systems and provide for the confidentiality and security of health data and I ask for your affirmative vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1130 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 162 voting 'aye', 1 'no', 4 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 1130, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1131, Representative Miller. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1131, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
to establish programs and enhance and improve the control
of high blood pressure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Miller."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1131 amends the High Blood Pressure Control Act. It would make computerization of high blood pressure registrees optional which would allow for manual systems in local areas. This registree system is not now mandatory for local health departments and many of the locals have been unable or unwilling to participate in the program as long as it was computerized. By making the computerization optional, we hope that many of the locals will now participate. I know of no opposition and would request a favorable vote."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Is there any discussion? Being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1131 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Hoffman, move to your chair and vote your switch. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 159 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 2 'present'. Senate Bill 1131, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Kornowicz? Do you desire to call your 293 now? Senate Bill 293. Representative Kornowicz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 293, a Bill for an Act to create Land

Resources Management Study Commission and the Ethnic

Heritage Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the Committee (sic, House), Senate Bill 293 amends the Ethnic Heritage Commission Act by providing that during the Heritage Festival the Commission present cultural activities throughout the state effectively. This was requested by the Comptroller's Office and the Bureau of the Budget to make ..to make it legal. I ask for a favorable vote."

passage of Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the Senate Bill 293. Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall 293 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk...Representative Leinenweber, will you push Representative Macdonald 'yes'? Okay. The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 167 voting 'yes', Representative Collins 'aye', 168 voting 'yes', 1 'no', 1

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

'present'. Senate Bill 293, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Terzich on Senate Bill 1139. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1139, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the deduction and withholding of salaries of state employees. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it eliminates the direct petition of the Comptroller by the state employees desiring wage withholdings. Currently the employees desiring wage withholdings for any of the many various purposes files an authorization for deduction directly with the Comptroller. What this will do is it will leave the request for the deduction filed directly with the department that they're working for and it's simply a time-saving, a manpower saving Bill and I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Daniels: "Anyone in opposition? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Terzich: "Certainly. It has nothing to do with the Sanitary
District."

Vinson: "What's the position of the Comptroller on this Bill?"

Terzich: "The Comptroller says he's for it because it's in the interest of manpower and service time savings and it's a good government Bill."

Vinson: "I think it is, too."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Terzich, to close."

Terzich: "Reilly is for it also I understand and I'd appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Senate Bill 1139. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1139 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those against by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 167 voting 'aye', 3 'nay', none 'present'. Senate Bill 1139, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1146, Representative Bullock? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1190, Representative Bullcahey. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1190, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate
Bill 1190 is designed to allow School Districts to use one
of their four institute days on the last day of the school
term. Now, as many of you know as parents and as some as
teachers around here, this causes a great deal of havoc and
a great deal of expense. If the schools are allowed to use
one of the four institute days on the last day of school,
this will allow the kids to attend the next to the last day
of school as a regular full day and therefore eliminate
them coming back Monday morning or Tuesday morning,
whatever the case may be, in order to..just for those
couple of hours. So this will allow the schools to use one
of their four institute days on the last day of school."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of 1190. Is there anyone in opposition? Being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1190 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish?

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 162 voting 'aye', 1 'nay', 1 'present'. Senate Bill 1190, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1205, Representative Karpiel. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1205, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the drug commonly known as laetrile.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Karpiel."

Karpiel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1205 amends the Act relating to laetrile to delete the requirement that the Department of Public Health review required reports and compile a statistical report..records on the use of laetrile. Also the department would no longer be required to report annually to the Governor and the General Assembly on the use of laetrile. The Department of Public Health is sponsoring this Bill and I know of no opposition on it. I would appreciate your affirmative vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1205 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 155 voting 'yes', 4 'nay', 2 'present'. Senate Bill 1205, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1208, Representative Reilly. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1208, a Bill for an Act authorizing the

Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities
to facilitate and establish community services for persons
who are mentally ill, developmentally disabled or alcoholic

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

- dependent. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly?"
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1208 is a product of the Mental Health Commission. It simply codifies the existing practice of the Department of Mental Health in the area of community agencies. Over the years, this has grown, but there's never been any Statutory charter that provided a framework for that. The Bill was received virtually unanimously consideration at each step. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on Senate Bill 1208."
- Speaker Daniels: "Is there anyone in opposition? Representative

  Mautino."
- Mautino: "I would like to have five Members join me in knocking it off of Short Debate. I if read the Digest right,

  Jimmy..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Ten Members?..."
- Mautino: "..Amendment #2, Senate Amendment #2, is it on the Bill yet? If it is, we've got a problem."
- Reilly: "I'm sorry. I know nothing about a Senate Amendment.

  No. What I have in front of me says, Senate Amendment \$1.

  Says nothing about Senate Amendment \$2."
- Mautino: "Oh, and then tabled? Okay. All right. That's alright then. I apologize. I don't...I've been notified it's been tabled."
- Speaker Daniels: "Is there anyone in opposition? Representative

  Dunn? No opposition? Okay."
- John Dunn: "Wait. Wait. Now, there was some confusion back here. I now need, if we can, a little explanation about what this Bill does."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Reilly."
- Reilly: "Thank you. The Bill, as it stands, simply codifies the practices of the Department of Mental Health in the way they relate to community agencies and encourages the

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

department to continue to encourage community agencies in providing community services in mental health. It doesn't...It provides a Statutory framework for what the department basically is doing anyway which has grown up over the past few years with the growth of community mental health without any Statutory mandate or framework."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Dunn in opposition."

John Dunn: "I would like to know, Mr. Speaker and Mr. Sponsor, whether the community agencies support this Bill."

Reilly: "Yes."

John Dunn: "There are some organizations that represent them and do 708 boards and all the community agencies for the mentally ill and developmentally disabled support this Bill?"

Reilly: "Yes, Representative Dunn, they do. I haven't talked to them personally, but all of those agencies were involved in the process before the Mental Health Commission that lead to the development of the Bill. The Association of Illinois Community Mental Health Authorities, the Department, the Commission, the Illinois Association for Retarded Citizens, the Governor's Planning Council, all support the Bill."

Dunn: "I'm a little un...You say, you haven't talked to them.

They do support..."

Reilly: "Yes.."

Dunn: "...What lead up to this Bill or they support this specific
Bill in its present form?"

Reilly: "They support this specific Bill in its present form."

Dunn: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Satterthwaite. This is in Short Debate. Are you in opposition? All right. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1208 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

voting is open. Representative Satterthwaite to explain her vote. Timer's on."

- Satterthwaite: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just wanted to assure those Members who are concerned about adequate community mental health that this has been developed as a way of finally putting into the Statutes some obligation on the part of the state to see that those services are provided within our local community setting.

  And thank you for your support."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 157 voting 'yes', 3 'nay', 1 'present'. Senate Bill 1208, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Getty on Senate Bill 166. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 166, a Bill for an Act relating to the prescription and dispensation of drugs and medicine. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, earlier this was taken out of the record because of some confusion with cleared Representative Vinson. We have now uр that difference. I would recall to the House that this was Bill which would close loopholes in existing concerning prescription drugs. It was recommended by the Prescription Drug Task Force as a result of an expose a year or two ago concerning abuses in dispensation of drugs. It requires good faith in the dispensation. It requires It conforms to federal regulations and it labeling. requires that Schedule 2 controlled substances be written numerically notation of quantity be written on them, that such prescriptions be filled within two days, they are schedule to controlled substances. I believe

64th Legislative Day

- June 16, 1981
- Representative Vinson has a question and I would yield to him at this time."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Getty, the language on page eight, lines five through nine, does that language really mean that if the court finds that it's unlikely that the public health will be injured, that a stay can be entered?"
- Getty: "Yes. It is not necessary that the court find that no injurious effect can be conceived of, only that an injurious effect as the result of a stay is unlikely."
- Vinson: "Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty, to close."
- Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, with the clearing up of that possible problem, I know of no opposition. This is supported by the Pharmaceutical Association. It's supported by the Medical Association. It has very strong support based upon the disclosures that were contained in an expose in one of the major metropolitan newspapers. I believe this is a Bill that will go a long way towards closing the abuse and loopholes in prescription drugs and I would ask for your support."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 166. The question is, 'Shall 166 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take ... Excuse me. Representative Epton. I'm sorry."
- Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's my fault. I was listening and was late. This the drug Bill? This is the one I had a conflict of interest on, but I'm voting my conscience."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Fine, Sir. Thank you. Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 162 voting 'aye', no voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. Senate Bill 166, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1218? Representative Ronan? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1227, Representative Telcser. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1227, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Anti-Trust Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill

1227 is a simple Bill. It simply adds to the Illinois
Anti-Trust Act language which includes master television
antenna services to those items which would fall under the
provisions of the Anti-Trust Act. It passed out of the
House Committee without any dissenting votes. And I would
appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "Is there anyone in opposition? Being none, the Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1227. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill who wish? there are 156 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', 6 voting Bill 1227, having received 'present'. Senate Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 546, Representative Schuneman? Out of the record. Senate Bill 643, Representative Braun? Out of the record. Senate Bill 848, Representative Ronan? Out of the record. Bill 864...Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Schraeder: "I'm going to handle 848 for Representative Ronan."

64th Legislative Day

- June 16, 1981
- Speaker Daniels: "All right. Senate Bill 848, Representative Schraeder. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 848, a Bill for an Act in relationship to work programs for Public Aid recipients. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Schraeder."
- Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, there's been an Amendment filed by
  Representative Getty and I'd like to move it from Third
  back to Second for placement of the Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "It's not printed yet, Sir. We'll have to take it out of the record. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 864, Representative Rea? Out of the record. Senate Bill 882, Representative Macdonald. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 882, a Bill for an Act to amend the
  Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities
  Confidentiality Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Macdonald."
- Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This allows any department, agency or institution or facility that has the custody of a patient pursuant either to state law or court order to receive such information of confidentiality without the consent of the patient. This will facilitate and protect the patient ..or the person in custody to have medical records or these other records forwarded with them. And I ask for your approval of this Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Is there anyone in opposition? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."
- Jaffe: "I would just like to ask a few questions, if I may, Mr.

  Speaker? Does this mean that the individual whose mental

  health records you have...it's without their consent?

  This..I don't understand that, Virginia."

64th Legislative Day

June 16. 1981

Macdonald: "That's the way the Bill is written. It just says,

'...Any department, agency, institution or facility which
has custody of the recipient pursuant to state law of any
court order of commitment..', and it will permit those
records to go with the patient or with the person in
custody."

Jaffe: "But this is a private...Does this mean a private therapist from 20 years back?"

Macdonald: "Well, that..that's my understanding. It's Senator

Geo-Karris' Bill. It passed out of Committee 12 to
nothing."

Jaffe: "Well, you know, if I may speak to the Bill. I think..."

Speaker Daniels: "To the Bill in opposition."

Jaffe: "Yes. I am in opposition, Mr. Speaker. It would seem that if you're going to give any therapist the right, without consent, to issue an individual's mental health records and you can go back to the beginning of time, I really think we're blowing holes in the Confidentiality Act that were never meant to be. We're giving all types of exemptions here and this is, I think, the widest one that we have at the present time. It provides that a therapist may disclose a record or communication without consent to any agency and I think that that's just a terrible thing and I really think that we should not go that far and we should vote against Senate Bill 882."

Speaker Daniels: "Any further discussion? Representative Macdonald to close."

Macdonald: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was requested, of course, by the Department of Corrections because they have found great problems. There is a great duplication and there are many problems with other agencies as well that have transfer of patients or people in their custody. This will save time and money and

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

I think that it is not intended to overdoing invade privacy and I think that it will be of great assistance to the agencies that have these people in their custody. And I would ask for your approval."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 882. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 882 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk ... Have all those voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 125 voting 'yes', 21 'no', 13 'present'. Senate Bill 882, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared 883, passed. Senate Bill Representative Macdonald."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 883, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the disclosure of medical and mental health records.

  Third Reading of the Bill."
- Macdonald: "Essentially, this is basically the same Bill as before. As you remember, last week there were two Amendments that were put on it, one by Representative Cullerton and one by Representative Kane. Their Bills ...Their Amendments were basically Bills that were passed by this House and lost in the Senate which just amend the same Act and I would ask for your approval of....The Amendments passed overwhelmingly and I would ask for your approval of House (sic, Senate) Bill 883."
- Speaker Daniels: "Anyone in opposition? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 883 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will... Representative Mulcahey to his switch. Okay? Alright.

64th Legislative Day

Ropp:

June 16, 1981

Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there 138 are voting 'aye', 13 'nay', Senate Bill 883, having received 'present'. Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 898, Representative Deuster. Read the Bill, Clerk. Out of the record. Senate Bill 955, Representative Representative Hoffman, Senate Bill 955. Out of Hoffman. record. June 1st we had the Eighth Annual Legislative Tennis Championship Tournament and Representative going to present the awards for the eighth Annual Legislative Tennis Championship Tournament that was sponsored by the Southwestern Illinois Industrial Association, Robert J. A. Walters, Executive Director. Representative Ropp."

Speaker and Members of the House, it is with great

deal of pleasure to bring forward to you the athletic expertise that we have within these hallowed halls. may well remember back on June 1, 1981, about 15 men ventured to the tennis courts and for about four and a half to five hours continuously played tennis. Some even played unfortunately, did not win as many as 51 games. They, though. I'd like to call the winner in the A Division. Ιt was Senator Art Berman and Representative Doug Kane. Doug, would you come forward and pick up your trophy? Let's give him a round of applause. Congratulations. Okay. Division, in the B Division the winners were Frank Watson and Cal Schuneman. Would you men come up? participant even got a nice little trophy and I thought that was the winners. If I knew they were going to this big, maybe we'd have played a little harder. Come on up here men. Congratulations. Okay. Then we had another

Division, the C Division, the championship first place winners there were Representatives Jesse White and John

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Dunn from Decatur. John and Jesse, come on up here. Come on, Jesse, here you go. Why don't you take that back to John. Congratulations to all of you winners and all of you who participated. These trophies and the organization of the Annual Tennis Tournament were provided by Bob Walters who is a former House Member who is the Director of the Southwestern Industrial Association and I think he's up above the Speaker's Gallery. Bob, stand up and take a bow. Let's give him a hand. Thank you all, very much."

- Speaker Daniels: "Congratulations to all the champion tennis players. Those of us that new Representative Schuneman in his earlier days, remember when he was first place in the over 65 category so we're glad to see that he's doing so well now. Representative Ewing hasn't even started the game yet. Representative Katz."
- Katz: Mr. Speaker, I wanted to make sure that "Yes, Representative Ropp notes the fact that this distinguished Walters, was a very fine Member of this gentleman, Mr. House of Representatives, served the people of his district and this House very well. We have rarely had such an Legislator and I thought that that ought to be noted that he is a former distinguished colleague of this Body."
- Speaker Daniels: "That is correct and thank you for pointing that out, and we appreciate Representative Walters for taking care of this. Thank you, Representative Katz. Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I very seldom disagree with Representative Katz; however, I'm not too sure after what he said about me at the last Legislative Tennis Tournament just how distinguished a Member he was."
- Speaker Daniels: "Senate Bill 1040, Representative Levin. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1047, Representative Kelley, Jim Kelley. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1146,

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Representative Bullock. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1218, Representative Ronan. Out of the record. Page four of the Calendar, Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 139, Representative Bower. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 139, a Bill for an Act relating to outside access to school campuses. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bower."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 139 amends the School Code in Chapter 144 to allow the recruiting representatives to the armed forces of the Illinois and United States access to campuses of schools, colleges and universities in order to inform students of available education and or opportunities as such access is offered to person or groups purpose is to acquaint students with educational opportunities. This is a Bill that was requested by the Illinois National Guard that has the overwhelming support of all veterans and military organizations. It has been a serious problem in certain areas where school officials have not allowed military recruiters on campuses. And particularly for the underprivileged of our society, the military often offers the very best educational and career opportunities that they'll ever have, and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Is there any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 139. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 139 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 155 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', 7 'present'. Senate Bill

64th Legislative Day

- June 16, 1981
- 139, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 142, Representative Getty. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 142, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the fees of the Sheriff Recorder of Deeds in County Clerk in counties of Third Class. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty."
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill which provides that mileage charges made by the Sheriff in Cook County only; this does not affect any county other than Cook County, will be charged on the basis of each party served. To clarify this, let me point out that currently Cook County's rates for service by the Sheriff are the cheapest in the state. The Sheriff has been, as a matter of practice when they take in a process, charging at that rate of 16% a mile for each party that is asked to be served. This would clarify that practice that that is what is called for, and I would ask for your support."
- Speaker Daniels: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook,

  Representative Barr."
- Barr: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."
- Barr: "Yes, Representative Getty, this, as I understand it, Cook
  County is a home-rule county. Is that correct?"
- Getty: "That is correct, Sir."
- Barr: "Well, under the home rule powers, would not Cook County have the authority to accomplish what is sought by this Bill?"
- Getty: "Absolutely, but I would call out to you... Excuse me,

  Sir. Would you mind getting down so I could see

  Representative Barr? I would point out to you that you

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

would then have the problem that we in the legal profession know that it's difficult where you have a statutory authority that would be modified by a county ordinance. So, it would be far better and easier for us in seeing what the law is, advising our clients and so forth that the body of law be in one readily accessible place. I would agree with you, as a matter of theory clearly, the county could pass this ordinance. Clearly, I think the Sheriff can make the charge as it is now. I understand that's the practice in most locations throughout the state and I would call to your attention further that the charge is 16¢ where as it's 40¢ downstate."

Barr: "One further question, Representative Getty. Has there been, to your knowledge, any attempt by the Cook County Board of Commissioners to enact this change?"

Getty: "Not to my knowledge."

Barr: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a

question?"

Getty: "Certainly."

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Am I right in assuming that what this would do would mean... what it would mean would be that if the Sheriff or one of his agents served on two people in the same building that he would double charge for the mileage?"

Getty: "It isn't double charging. As you know, when you go in to ask that process be served, the Sheriff doesn't know whether he can do it in one trip or whether it's going to take 50 trips. He has to be able to tell you what the rate is and it just isn't practical to make it condition. It may be that, as a practical matter, you suggest maybe there would be some economy in if it were the same location that

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

both parties could be served at the same rate. Practically speaking, that just wouldn't work out because one party may be home, another at that location, another not at that location. The Sheriff may have to go back once or not at all or five or ten times. The practical aspect is that when you come in you have one charge and you know that that's what the charge is going to be."

Vinson: "Wouldn't it be more straight forward just to raise the fee if that's the essence of the problem?"

I really don't think so. I think it would be far Getty: "No, better to have the fee on a per person basis at a much lower rate than the downstate counties choose to have. Now, this affects only Cook County as I pointed out before. Currently, sheriffs in first and second class counties recieve 40¢ per mile for the service of their processes. The law is silent on whether they're to charge on a location or per person basis. I think, quite frankly, that you downstaters and I would recommend this to you, Sir, you downstaters might want to reduce your fees and at the same time make it clear that it's on a per person basis. Tt. seems a lot easier when we would come in with process that you would know exactly how much it would be, that there wouldn't be any ambiguity and this would clear up any ambiguity in the law."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed, Sir."

Vinson: "It would seem to me that it would be much more straight forward just to raise the filing fees rather then to potentially double charge people on mileage. I would urge people to cast a 'no' vote, and at this time I would make an inquiry of the Chair. It would seem to me that this, as Mr. Barr pointed out, is a preemption of home rule and would require 107 to pass."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "The House will stand at ease while we look at the Bill. While the Parliamentarian is looking at the Bill, if you will all look to the Speaker's left you'll notice that Mr. 'Loeson', who just removed his hat, has now changed his alliegance and he is now the sports editor for the Chicago Cubs. Mitch 'Loeson'. Those of us that are White Sox fans, though, are happy that there's finally some competition in the City of Chicago. Representative Getty."
- Getty: "While the Parliamentarian is looking, I would direct him
  to Chapter 1, Section 1106. 'No law enacted after January
  12, 1977 denies or limits any power or function of a home
  rule unit pursuant to paragraphs G, H, I, J, or K of
  Section 6 Article VII of the Illinois Constitution unless',
  Representative Vinson, 'unless there's a specific language
  limiting or denying the power or function and the language
  specifically sets forth in what manner and to what extent
  it is a limitation or a denial of the power or function of
  a home rule unit.'"
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty, you are very perceptive today. That is the exact statute and Section that the Parliamentarian just quoted and he used the exact language in quoting his ruling. He is determined that you are in agreement with him. The vote, Representative Vinson, takes 89 votes to pass and we wish you well. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "In that case, Mr. Speaker, I would request a verification if it gets that number of votes."
- Speaker Daniels: "Verification of the ruling of the Chair or of the Bill?"
- Vinson: "On the Bill if it should happen to get 89 even though I think it should take 107."
- Speaker Daniels: "Thank you, Sir. Representative Cullerton."
  Cullerton: "What if it gets 90, Sam?"

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "He gives."

Cullerton: "He gives up. Okay. Fine."

Speaker Daniels: "On the Bill, Sir? Okay. Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Would the Lady yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Will the Lady.... The Lady will yield but so will the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Stearney: "Well, who is the Sponsor?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty."

Stearney: "My question is, did the Senate do anything to this Bill?"

Getty: "First of all, I think we ought to clear up who's yielding
and I think that maybe Representative Stearney, you
were..."

Stearney: "I'll rephrase the question."

Getty: "Alright."

Stearney: "Would the Sponsor yield."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty, we'll let that one slide by and you will yield to Representative Stearney."

Getty: "Your question is, what was done in the Senate?"

Stearney: "If anything."

Getty: "It was passed by the Senate. It...was not amended in the Senate."

Stearney: "I'm sorry."

Getty: "You're on another Bill."

Stearney: "I'm on another Bill. I'm on Breslin's contribute comparitive negligence. I'm sorry."

Getty: "Right. There's no legitimate objection to this Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Henry, on the Bill."

Henry; "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Sponsor of the Senate Bill 142, Representative Getty, has made it quite clear. He was quite correct and

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

precise and I, too, can verify and have been working in that particular community of law enforcement that he answered Representative Vinson's question. He was so correct and up to date that even the Parliamentarian agreed with him. So; therefore, I ask support of Senate Bill 142."

"Gentleman, Representative Getty, to close." Speaker Daniels: Getty: "Nr. Speaker, Members of the House, I suppose that there could be some argument that some downstaters could make say that their way of ambiguity and higher charges to the people of their counties is better. And if they want to charge more, I don't care. I never intrude upon what downstate Representatives think is appropriate to be charged in downstate Illinois. This is a Bill that affects only my county, Cook County. It's a Bill that will clarify existing language that the charge of 16¢ per mile is on each party served. That's all it does. It clarifies what I really believe is already the law rather than an artificial doubling such as, or not doubling, two and a half times is what the charge is in downstate. I don't quarrel with that, but I would ask you in the sense of fairness to permit us in Cook County to operate our system in an orderly manner in this regard so that people who are going to have service performed know exactly what the charges will be when they come in to have the service performed. I would ask for your support."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 142. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 142 pass?'.

All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 81 voting 'aye', 75 voting 'no'. Gentleman from

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: "I'd like a poll of the absentees, please."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman requests a poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees."

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bartulis. Braun. Garmisa. Hoffman. Jackson.

Kane. Margulas. Oblinger. Peters. Redmond. Reed.

Telcser and J. J. Wolf."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Postponed please."

- Speaker Daniels: "Place this on Postponed Consideration. Going to pick up Senate Bill 919 which is on Short Debate Calendar, page three. Representative McBroom, Senate Bill 919. Representative Leon, Senate Bill 919."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 919, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. Third

  Reading of the Bill."
- Leon: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this
  Bill will provide that the Illinois Chartered Savings and
  Loans will have the same privilege of branching as the
  Federal Chartered banks. I'd... There was no opposition in
  Committee. It passed out 15 to nothing. I'd appreciate
  your vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Is there anyone in opposition? Being none, the Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 919. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 919 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there wish? are 149 voting 'aye', 1 'nay', 5 'present'. Senate Bill having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 150, Representative

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Cullerton."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 150, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act requiring all owners of highrise
apartment buildings to post appropriate instructions
relating to fires. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Cullerton."

- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 150 requires owners of multiple dwellings which are defined as buildings containing six or more living units to post in all living units other than on the ground floor units instructions regarding procedures to use in the event of a fire. The law right now applies to all highrises, that is buildings over three stories and we're expanding it to include buildings such as boarding houses where many deaths have occurred by fire in the recent years. I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Daniels: "Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 150 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there wish? are 164 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting Senate Bill 150, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 168. Out of the record. Senate Bill 170. Representative Getty. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 170, a Bill for an Act in relation to martial arts training centers. Third Reading of the Bill."

  Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty."
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 170 is
  a Bill which creates the Martial Arts Training Center and

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Exhibition Registration Act. It requires the licensing of martial arts training centers, matches and exhibitions. Creates the State Martial Arts Board under the direction of the Department of Registration and Education and gives the Board and Department authority to administer this Act. I know of no organized opposition to this. Representative Domico and I are the House Sponsors and I would ask for your support."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kustra, from Cook."

Kustra: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As a Member of the Sunset Committee, I would like to stand in opposition to the Bill. It doesn't really seem to make much sense to me how we can go back to our districts and campaign on holding down the size of government and then come back here and vote into law various commissions that just simply do nothing but run the cost of doing business in government. We have before us a proposal that would have a substantial impact on Department of Registration and Education, creates another board with similar duties to the Athletic To regulate in this area is difficult and it's expensive. The training centers could not operate without inspection I also sit on the Appropriations and prior approval. Committee and I can tell you right now that we simply don't appropriate the kind of money that this Bill would require. The Sunset Committee heard testimony on this Bill. It's my understanding that the Senate Sponsor had agreed to amend it somewhere along the way to at least make it palatable to the Sunset Committee. I see that the Amendments were never added and so what we're going to have here, if we pass this unnecessary regulation and more burdensome Bill. is government. I ask that you vote against this Bill. you."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hate to speak against the Bill and my good friends, Representative Getty and Representative Domico but what Representative Kustra says is entirely true. We did hear this in Sunset and the Senate Sponsor of the Bill who was there... I mean, we heard it under a sunrise provision, definitely said that he was going to have substantial Amendments to try to get in line with the Massachusetts Bill. There was great opposition to the current status. There was no need that we needed at this time and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I want to let Representative Kustra and Representative Sandquist know that I have absolutely no knowledge of any commitment for an Amendment. I want you to know that. This is the first I've ever heard of it from anybody. The Bill's been sitting here. It was heard in Committee. First time I've ever heard of it. I... What I'd like to do right now is take it out of the record. I'd like you to let me know exactly what those Amendments are. I will make it my business as will Representative Domico to find out what the commitments were and you can be assured that those commitments will be honored."

Speaker Daniels: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 171,

Representative Hannig. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 171, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hanniq."

Clerk O'Brien: "Third Reading of the Bill."

Hannig: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the staff has pointed out to me a technical error on Amendment #1 so I would ask to bring it back for the purposes of tabling that Amendment."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Are you offering any other Amendments,

Representative Hannig?"

Hannig: "That was not my Amendment in Committee, but certainly if
the offerer of the Amendment would like to discuss it, I'd
behappy to talk to him about the matter."

Speaker Daniels: "Who's the author of that Amendment?"

Hannig: "Representative Meyer, I believe."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Meyer."

Meyer (Ted): "Mr. Speaker, this is the first I've heard of this..."

Speaker Daniels: "Take this Bill out of the record and Representative Hannig and Meyer will you discuss this with each other? Out of the record. Senate Bill 172, Representative Breslin. Read the Bill. Representative Oblinger. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 172, a Bill for an Act relating to location of sanitary land fills and hazardous waste disposal sites. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, we would like permission to return this to Second Reading for the purpose of a technical Amendment required by federal law."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Oblinger, there has not been an Amendment filed."

Oblinger: "Pardon?"

Speaker Daniels: "There is no Amendment filed."

Oblinger: "Oh, yes there is. We had it up there. It's been distributed. I've had this for three days."

Speaker Daniels: "What number is the Amendment, Representative Oblinger?"

Oblinger: "Number five."

Speaker Daniels: "Amendment #5. We're checking it out. Lady

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

asks permission to return Senate Bill 172 to the Order of Second Reading. Does she have leave? Leave is granted. Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any Floor Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Breslin-Oblinger..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Oblinger, Amendment #5."

Oblinger: "This amends a Bill in two places. The first part of the Amendment clarifies what we mean by the word 'facility'. The second part is required by the Federal Government to exempt telephone companies and electrical utility companies from the Bill because they do have PCB's which are collected and buried on their own private property. So they have to be exempted specifically from this Bill, and that's what the Amendment does."

Speaker Daniels: "Lady moves the adoption of Amendment #5. Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Does this include the Metropolitan Sanitary District?"

Oblinger: "No, it does not. That's what the first part of the

Amendment addresses. It was very specific that a sanitary

district is omitted from this Bill. Mrs. Breslin thought

of that."

Getty: "Okay. So that there's no impact on the Chicago Metropolitan Sanitary District."

Oblinger: "That's right."

Getty: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, would the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "She indicates she will."

Oblinger: "Pardon?"

Yourell: "This has to do with the PCB's. Is that correct?"

Oblinger: "The exemption in the second part required by the Federal Government, yes. It has to do with PCB's from

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

electric utility companies and telephone companies that are collected and buried on their own private sites. They are exempted from a regional facility."

Speaker Daniels: "Any further discussion?"

Oblinger: "I have one further favor to ask of this General Assembly. When this Bill was drafted, it says part 761 of the federal law. It should have .42 after it."

Speaker Daniels: "Would you want the Amendment adopted first?"
Oblinger: "Alright. Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5

to Senate Bill 172. All those in favor will signify by
saying 'aye', opposed by saying 'no'. 'Ayes' have it.

Amendment is adopted. Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "I would now like permission to amend the Amendment on its face by adding .42 which was omitted when this Bill was drafted. That would be on line 16, page four. It would then read, 'that entity and waste storage sites regulated under 40CFR part 761.42 are not regional waste disposal facilities'."

Speaker Daniels: "That's on Amendment #5?"

Oblinger: "Yes."

Speaker Daniels: "What is it that you're correcting on its face?"
Oblinger: "They ommitted to put .42 after the federal statute
that they quoted. Where it says, 'part 761' it should have
also..."

Speaker Daniels: "So line 12 ..."

Oblinger: "Should read..."

Speaker Daniels: "... would read '40 CFR, part 761.42 are not regional waste disposal facilities'."

Oblinger: "That's right?"

Speaker Daniels: "Is that correct?"

Oblinger: "Yes."

Speaker Daniels: "That's how it would be amended?"

64th Legislative Day

June 16. 1981

Oblinger: "Yes."

- Speaker Daniels: "Does the Lady have leave to amend the Amendment on its face? Leave is... Representative Getty."
- Getty: "I believe that there's a little bit too much confusion here and I have had staff that think that it may do just exactly the opposite of what the Lady intends to do. I think we ought to take it out of the record now. Take a look and be sure it's right before we put it on so we'll save time later."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty, does she have leave to correct the Amendment on its face and if we grant that leave we'll leave it on Second Reading per your request."

  Getty: "Yes."
- "With that understanding. Does the Lady Speaker Daniels: leave to make the correction on line 12 of Amendment #5 as requested? Okay. Once again, the Lady has requested that line 12 of Amendment #5 be corrected to read 761.42. Add Does everyone understand? the .42 after 761. Does the Lady have leave? No objections, leave is The Bill will remain on Second Reading. granted. Oblinger, would you please talk to Representative Representative Getty and Representative Breslin to work it The Amendment was adopted. That is correct, Mr. out. Clerk. Okay. Senate Bill 172 remains on Second Senate Bill 176, Representative Cissy Stiehl. Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 176, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to alcoholic liquors. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Celeste Stiehl."
- Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is similar to House Bill 333 which passed out of this House some weeks ago. It amends the existing

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

excise tax to allow Illinois two remaining breweries to qualify under the existing statute. What we are really talking about here are jobs, jobs for Illinois, jobs for Peoria and jobs for Belleville. There are about 1300 jobs This Bill is designed to place Illinois in a at stake. more competitive position with its neighboring states. This is a highly competitive business and the economic hardship that insues in any community when one of these plants close is extremely severe. The Bill has been amended at the request of the Council of Churches to provide for a five year sunset provision. This is in order to review it to determine that these jobs have been retained and that the local plants have been able to expand and create new jobs through this provision. I would ask for a favorable vote."

- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."
  Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield for a
  question?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Indicates she will."
- Mautino: "Representative, the two breweries that we're helping with this legislation, how much would each of them receive in a tax rebate?"
- Stiehl: "It would be a credit of about 257,000 dollars a brewery."
- Mautino: "257,000 a brewery. What was the gross revenue of the two breweries involved, Pabst and Heileman, during 1980?

  Do you happen to know?"
- Stiehl: "Representative, I'm not concerned with their revenue outside of this state. What I'm talking about are these two plants within this state, Peoria and Belleville.

  That's all I'm concerned about."
- Mautino: "Well, I think you stated that there's 1300 employees that are involved in this."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Stiehl: "Yes."

Mautino: "These particular industries."

Stiehl: "There are about 300 in Belleville and about 1000 in Peoria, I believe."

Mautino: "There are also breweries in the State of Illinois that have facilities here that there employees are under the main offices of the office. For example, Anheuser Busch has about 1050 employees in the State of Illinois, almost a total amount that you'd be addressing in this legislation. I'd like to also point out that the two breveries that we're talking about, the third and the fifth largest in the nation, had a net revenue after taxes last year. The Pabst had a 12.6 million dollar net revenue and Heileman's had a 34.7 net revenue and the return on the shareholders to the Reileman brewery, for example, was one of the largest on the big board stock exchange which was a 30.6% Mr. Speaker, I would like to address this So. legislation if I may."

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed."

Mautino: "Having been in this industry for about 23 years, I know well what this legislation does and what it did in the previous years. Let me give you a little history. In the previous years, we allocated 130,000 dollars for this same provision to a Wisonsin brewery who then closed down the brewery and took the 100,000 and went back to Wisconsin. I don't think it's quite fair to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois to implement this type of tax relief. Brewers do not need tax relief. Some of the best stocks on the big board are those conglomerates that own breweries. It is utterly ridiculous that we can't give relief to the citizens but we want to give relief to the breweries. This, in my mind, is a step backward because almost every brewery, not only in Illinois but in the state, have

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

increased the price of their product to their wholesalers and ultimately to the retailers three times during 1980 and two times during '81. Without a doubt, you're not talking about a mom and a pop brewery. You're talking about of the largest conglomerates in the nation. I don't think this General Assembly should be giving away 250,000 dollars of the state's money to two individual breweries in this They can raise their prices to cover whatever cost they have as they do in the free enterprise system. most importantly they don't deserve nor do they need this type of a tax break. I think it's utterly ridiculous. Tt. would be a sham on the people of the State of Illinois if this General Assembly in these economic times give a break to breweries. It's absolutely ridiculous and I recommend a 'no' vote."

"Gentleman from Cook, Representative Sandquist." Speaker Daniels: Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the I must rise in support of this legislation, and, in doing so. I'd like to give you a little history. What Representative Mautino just said about that one small brewery we had. He talked about it from Wisconsin. Actually, it may have been owned by Wisconsin, but it was the Peter Hamm Brewery in the 13th District in the near north side of Chicago and I did introduce that legislation back in '77 to try to save that brewery for the Chicago. It was the last remaining one in the City of Chicago and the legislation which we passed them which this is modeled after did save that brewery for approximately And while they did get the tax credit that one year. Representative Mautino talked about, the wages that were paid, the sales tax that was paid, the state got far more than what the tax break that they got. And I'm just sorry they did have to finally close and we lost the last

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

brewery, but the idea behind this Bill is the same behind that. It was to save business for the State of Illinois and it did work for awhile then and I sure hope that it will work longer now because the State of Illinois does need this competition from... I mean, to put away this competition from out of state breweries and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, we're not giving away state money here. We're giving an inducement, an inducement by reducing a tax on those brewers that have faith and confidence in our state to keep their breweries here. If these big national breweries that are complaining about this would locate a plant here in Illinois they'd be entitled to the same exemption, the same credit. This Bill applies to brewery located in the State of Illinois and I suggest to Anheuser Busch and to Schlitz and to Coors, whoever else wants this benefit, wants this credit, let them open a brewery in Illinois and they'll automatically receive it. Two breweries have remained here and I give them credit. One is Pabst at Peoria Heights and the other is the Stag Brewery at Belleville which is now owned by ... Heileman and Company. I don't think we have to critize them for I think we should praise them for staying in Illinois. staying in Illinois and we should encourage the others to move here. And I say to the Gentleman from Bureau, if the breweries that you're talking about are so concerned why don't they move a brewery to Illinois. We'll welcome them in Chicago. We'll welcome them even in Highland Park because we get thirsty up there too. It's a good Bill and I intend to support it."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."
Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Representative Sandquist and Representative Pierce covered many of the points that I'd cover. This Bill is not a sham. It's not a disservice to the citizens of Illinois. It's going to make those two actually a service. breweries, two remaining breweries in this state more competitive, and, as a result, I think it wil restore the viability of those breweries within their own districts within the state. It's going to generate, generate, in the long run, more taxes for the State of Illinois and I think this small relief that we're seemingly giving which been established by precedent in previous Sessions of the General Assembly make it more competitive and: therefore, be a service and a beneficial effect on the whole state, and I would urge your support of this Bill."

- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Stiehl, to close."
- Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is very important Bill to the economy, not only of Belleville and Peoria, but to the economy of many other cities in the State of Illinois because the supplies and the products that are bought by these two manufacturing firms or these two production firms amount to between 60 and 80 million dollars a year. This is an important Bill, and I would ask for an affirmative vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 176. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Gentleman from St. Claire, Representative Flinn."
- Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I was off the floor when the Bill came up and I don't know all that was said, but one of the most important things about this Bill is that it does not cost the state in revenue in the long run. In fact, it creates

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Now, if jobs and: therefore, brings in more income. you don't think the breweries will shut down. This one was already shut down for a year in Belleville and there were a people laid off. These people are lot of Most of those unemployment insurance for the most part. people who were then laid off are now back to work. There's a chance that we will expand and create even more jobs. I can't speak directly for the Peoria brewery but I can for Belleville. I know for a fact it is supported by the Teamster's Union, by the Brewery Worker's Union, by the Mayor of the City of Belleville, the Council, the County Board. All of the people in that area support the idea of keeping those jobs in Illinois. Those who oppose the from the stand point that it may, from a religious standpoint who are opposed to selling alcoholic beverages, there will not be one can or one bottle of beer sold less in Illinois. It will be imported from out of These are our last two breweries. This is our opportunity to save the breweries and I would plead that we get enough green votes up there to pass the BIll."

- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino, you did speak in debate. Mautino."
- Mautino: "I'm not going to address the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I would like to make mention that if this gets 89 votes, I'd like the opportunity to verify."
- Speaker Daniels: "You are entitled to that, Sir. Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."
- Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I have to admit that this is a perochial interest in one instance, but it's also a second interest of state-wide to all of us. We're talking about employment in the Peoria, Peoria Heights area and in the Belleville area and I think that's extremely important to both of those communities. Knowing the managers and the owners of

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

these companies have been very helpful to those communities in their funding of local projects. But think of all the income and the taxes that have been paid by those employees who have been able to be gainfully employed over the years. It's very important that we do pass this piece of legislation and I want to point out there's an Amendment on this that would make this Bill self-destruct after five years and I think that's important because then they got to come back and prove that they are deserving of a continuing tax exemption. Look at all the students who have gone to universities because of summer employment at these two universities. They finance their education without tax money...(cut off)."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf, to explain his vote. Timer's on, Sir."

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. "Thank you, I rise in support of this very good Bill. I think there were a few comments made a minute ago about the profits, corporate profits made by these two breweries outside the limits of the State of Illinois. All of us know that regardless of its size, will close corporation, anv profits of that operation depending on the institution at a particular location, and that's what we have in these two locations in the State of doesn't make any difference how much money these two breweries make anyplace in the country. If these two locations aren't making the money, they're going to close them. If they do, the amount of money that we're going to expend in the form of unemployment compensation to the unemployed workers will more than offset the amount of money that we're talking about on this Bill. I would urge your support."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

voted who wish? Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane, to explain his vote. Timer's on, Sir."

- Wane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one of the most horrendous political problems that we have in this country is the tax laws that we have, and one of the things that people object to is the exemptions here and the exemptions there for everybody. And people keep saying to get rid of the exemptions. Let's get a clean tax in which everybody is treated equally, and this is exactly how our tax laws get messed up because people come in for special treatment, special exemptions here and there and everybody goes along with them until we have a gerrymandered system which just doesn't work and falls apart and everybody feels put upon and then our general tax rate has to go up. And so, at this point, I would urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 93 'aye', 59 'no', 6 voting 'present' and the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino, has requested a verification. And the Lady, Representative Stiehl from St. Clair has request a poll of the absentees."
- O'Brien: "Poll of the Clerk absentees. Catania. Davis. Deuchler. Ewell. Garmisa. Getty. Griffin. Jackson. Jones. Klemm. Kosinski. Madigan. Margalus. Oblinger. Polk. Redmond. Richmond. Robbins. Pouncey. No further."
- Speaker Daniels: "Lady from Kane, Representative Deuchler, 'aye'.

  Any other changes? Proceed with a Poll of the Affirmative
  Roll."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Ackerman. Alexander. Alstat. Barr. Bartulis.

  Beatty. Bianco. Bluthardt. Bradley. Bullock.

  Capparelli. Carrie."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Davis is pointing to his nose.

Does that mean 'aye'? Representative Davis 'aye'. 'No'.

Representative Davis 'no'. Representative Jackson.

Representative Jackson."

Jackson: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Jackson: "Record me 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Jackson 'aye'. Proceed, Sir."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the Poll of the Affirmative."

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me. Representative Miller."

Miller: "I'd like to be changed from 'present' to 'no', please."

Speaker Daniels: "Change the Gentleman from 'present' to 'no'.

Proceed, Sir."

Collins. Conti. Cullerton. Darrow. Clerk O'Brien: "Chapman. Deuchler. Deuster. DiPrima. Domico. Doyle. Jack Dunn. Parley. Findley. Flinn. Dwight Ralph Dunn. Epton. Friedrich. Giglio. Giorgi. Hallstrom. Hanahan. Hannig. Huff. Jackson. Karpiel. Keane. Henry. Hoxsey. Koehler. Kornowicz. Krska. Kulas. Kustra. Laurino. Leinenveber. Leon. Levin. Macdonald. Lechovicz. Martire. Matijevich. Mays. McAuliffe. McBroom. McClain. McPike. Murphy. Neff."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, leave to be verified, please."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino, may Representative Steczo be verified? Leave is granted."

Clerk O'Brien: "O'Brien. Ozella. Pechous. Peters. Pierce. Reed. Reilly. Saltsman. Sandquist. Schraeder. Slape.

Irv Smith. Margaret Smith. Stanley. Stearney. Steczo."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino, Representative Younge asks for leave to be verified as 'aye'. She's down here.

Leave is granted."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. G. Steele. Stewart. C. M. Stiehl. Stuffle.

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Telcser. Terzich. Topinka. Tuerk. Turner. Van Duyne. Watson. White. Wikoff. Winchester. San Wolf. Woodyard. Younge. Zwick and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Lady from Cook, Representative Catania, wishes to be recorded as 'ave'. Representative Lechowicz requests leave to be verified. Does he have leave? Leave is Representative Lechovicz. Representative granted to from Cook. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative Neyer desires to be changed from 'no' to Ted Meyer, from Cook. Representative Winchester. Gentleman requests to be changed from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Huskey from 'present' to 'aye'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 97 'aye'. Representative Mautino, any questions of the Affirmative Roll?"

Mautino: "Yes, Sir. Representative Bartulis."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bartulis. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He's in his chair."

Mautino: "Giorgi."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mautino: "Farley."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Farley. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Farley. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him and return Representative Giorgi to the Roll."

Mautino: "Leon."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Leon. Return Representative Farley to the Roll. Representative Leon. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mautino: "Slape."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Slape. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? He's in his chair.'

Mautino: "Telcser."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Telcser. Gentleman in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mautino: "Stuffle."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stuffle. How is the Gentleman recorded? He's here. Right over here using the phone."

Mautino: "Findley."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Findley is in his chair."

Mautino: "Conti."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti. Gentleman,
Representative Conti, in the chambers? How is the
Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him. Representative Jack Dunn requests permission to be verified, Representative Mautino. He's up here."

Mautino: "Bullock."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bullock. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mautino: "Capparelli."

Speaker Daniels: "Return Representative Leon to the Roll.

Representative Capparelli, in his chair. He's planning the strategy for tomorrow nights victory over the Senate because he says the Senate are a bunch of old people.

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Mautino."

Mautino: "Bianco."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bianco is in his chair.

Representative Bullock has returned. Return him to the

Roll."

Mautino: "Karpiel."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Telcser has returned. Return him to the Roll. Representative Karpiel is in her chair."

Mautino: "Stearney."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stearney. Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Stearney? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mautino: "Henry."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Henry. The Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Henry? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Nautino: "Hanahan."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hanahan. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Hanahan. How is he recorded?" Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mautino: "Martire."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Martire. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mautino: "Neff."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Neff. Representative Neff. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mautino: "Pechous."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pechous is in his chair."

Mautino: "Stanley."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stanley is in his chair."

Nautino: "Margaret Smith."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Margaret Smith. Representative Smith is in the back."

Mautino: "White,"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative White is right here and return Representative Martire to the Roll."

Mautino: "Wikoff."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wikoff is in his chair."

Mautino: "Watson."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Watson is standing up there waving at you."

Mautino: "Hallock."

Speaker Daniels: "Who?"

Mautino: "Hallock."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hallock is standing by Representative Watson."

Mautino: "O'Brien."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative O'Brien is in his chair. He can't go very far. He's got a bad knee."

Mautino: "Monica Stewart."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stewart is in her chair.

Representative Farley."

Farley: "Change me to 'no', please."

Speaker Daniels: "How is Representative Farley recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Change him from 'aye' to 'no'."

Mautino: "Cullerton."

64th Legislative Day

June 16. 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mautino: "Terzich. He's in his seat. I see him. That's it.

And Bluthardt is in his seat."

Speaker Daniels: "Record Representative Kosinski as voting

Mautino: "Bluthardt."

Speaker Daniels: "Now, Representative Bluthardt is in his chair.

Representative Irv Smith, for what purpose do you rise,
Sir?"

Smith: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Daniels: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Smith: "Change me to 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Change Representative Irv Smith from 'aye' to
'no'. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell, for what
purpose do you rise?"

Ewell: "Just vote me 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Record Representative Ray Ewell as 'no'.

Further questions, Representative Mautino?"

Mautino: "Kosinski was the only other one."

Speaker Daniels: "Any further changes?"

Mautino: "Roman Kosinski was the last one. I didn't know if you heard it or not."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kosinski came in and he was recorded as voting 'aye'. He requested to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Mautino: "He did not request to be verified and leave, Sir."

Speaker Daniels: "He did not request to be verified."

Mautino: "Rosinski."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kosinski. How is the Gentleman recorded?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."
- Speaker Daniels: "Remove Representative Kosinski from the Roll.

Representative Alexander, for what purpose do you rise?"

- Alexander: "Mr. Speaker, change me to 'no', please."
- Speaker Daniels: "Change Representative Alexander from 'aye' to
  'no'. Now, Representative Kosinski has returned for a
  second time. See him, Representative Mautino? Alright.
  Return Representative Kosinski to the Roll. Further
  questions?"
- Mautino: "Representative Friedrich, Dwight Friedrich."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Dwight Friedrich. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? Representative Dwight Friedrich. How is the Gentleman recorded?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."
- Speaker Daniels: "Remove him. Representative O'Brien wishes to be changed from 'aye' to 'no'. Return Representative Stearney to the Roll. He's right here in front, Representative Mautino. Further questions? Representative Jaffe, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Jaffe: "Yes, would you change me from 'no' to 'aye', please?"

  Speaker Daniels: "Change Representative Jaffe from 'no' to 'aye'.
  - Representative Mautino, any further challenges? No further challenges. Alright. Mr....Representative Stiehl."
- Stiehl: "What is the count, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Daniels: "That's what we were just trying to find out.

  Mr. Clerk, what's the count? On this question there are 89
  'ayes', 65 voting 'no', 6 'present'. Senate Bill 176,
  having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby
  declared passed. Representative Schraeder."
- Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I
  move that lie on the table."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves to reconsider. Gentleman,
  Representative Stuffle, moves that lie upon the table. All
  those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed by saying
  'no'. 'Ayes' have it. Notion is tabled. Representative
  Peters in the Chair."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Oblinger, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, we have now got Senate Bill 172, which was right before this one, straightened out with Mr. Getty."
- Speaker Peters: "Well, we'll get to it presently. Senate Bill 180, Representative Ropp. Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 197, Representative Huskey. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 197, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Huskey."
- Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, could I have leave to bring this back to Second Reading for an Amendment?"
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman asks leave to take Senate Bill 224 back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Is there objection? I'm sorry. Senate Bill 197 back to the Order of Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. Is there objection? There being none, leave is granted. Second Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment \$1, Deuster, amends Senate Bill 197 on page one, line one and five and so forth."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Deuster, Amendment #1."
- Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 197 is the same as House Bill
  648 as it was introduced. You may remember House Bill 648
  was introduced to clarify that the water well boaring

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

apparatuses and rigs were exempt from the vehicle That Bill, as it was introduced, had the support of the Motor Vehicles Committee. It was reported 9 to nothing. It was supported by the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission and also, of course, the Illinois Water Well Drillers. What happened on the floor to House Bill 648 is that some of my good friends, the country boys, put up truck Amendment on that Bill and sunk it. in order to try and breath new life in to this idea to which is just kind of а clarifying Representative Huskey and Senate Mahar have indicated that they're willing to allow me to offer this Amendment onto their vehicle. I think it is a good Bill. It ought to be passed and these things sometimes happen around here when you're trying to accomodate your friends, you may lose a good Bill. That's what it does. It simply clarifies that the water well drillers are exempt from vehicle inspection. They never have been. The Secretary of State and the Department of Transportation support this concept. I would urge your support of Amendment #1."

- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion on Amendment #1? Representative
  Brummer."
- Brummer: "Yes, what's the rationale for exempting water well boaring apparatus or rigs?"
- Deuster: "They used to think that they were agricultural equipment and the rationale is that they only are on the highways for the purpose, limited purpose of going from one job to another."
- Brummer: "Most vehicles are on the highway for the purpose of going from one spot to another in my observation except the..."
- Deuster: "Everthing's a question of degree. Also these darn things couldn't even fit in an inspection lane so..."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Brummer: "If they were that large and that awkward, maybe that's the type of vehicle that ought to be inspected."

Deuster: "I don't think so. The Secretary of State doesn't think so. The Department of Transportation doesn't think so. The Motor Vehicle Laws Commission doesn't think so. The Motor Vehicle Safety Commission doesn't think so."

Brummer: "And Don Deuster."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Giglio on the Amendment."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, a question of Mr. Deuster. Now, on the Amendment, it just takes in the exemption for the oil rigs.

That correct? The water rigs."

Deuster: "That's right."

Giglio: "Nothing to do with the second division vehicles.

Correct?"

Deuster: "That's right. I've gotten rid of that. One experience is enough."

Giglio: "Fine. How about, is there anything in there with the oil rigs or is this strictly water. That's important because there are a lot of oil rigs in the State of Illinois that do a lot of drilling."

Deuster: "This is the first I've heard about it. Nothing in there about that."

Giglio: "It's the first time you're heard about oil rigs in Illinois?"

Speaker Peters: "Gentlemen, let's proceed."

Deuster: "I don't know. Is that a Bill that you have introduced.

It's a new subject to me..."

Giglio: "That's okay. It was just brought to my attention, if we're exempting rigs and I remember the motor vehicle....

On the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Peters: "Proceed, Sir."

Giglio: "It was brought up in the Motor Vehicle Committee and we were concerned whether or not it would start an avalanche.

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

but truthfully, this Amendment, what it does, just takes care of the oil rigs, the rigs that drill for water. I'm sorry, water, and nothing else and there aren't that many and they are too large to get into the stalls and DOT and Secretary of State and everybody's in favor of it. If that's all the Amendment is on this Bill then it's a good Amendment and I would urge for its adoption."

- Speaker Peters: "On the Amendment, Representative Yourell. On the Amendment, Sir. Representative Conti, on the Amendment. Representative Deuster, to close."
- Deuster: "I would urge your support of Amendment #1. Thank you."

  Speaker Peters: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill

  197 be adopted?'. Those in favor will signify by saying
  'aye', those opposed. The Amendment is adopted. Third

  Reading. Representative...Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Huskey, amends Senate Bill 197 be deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."
- Speaker Peters: "Senate Amendment #2, Representative Huskey."
- Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to withdraw Amendment #2."
- Speaker Peters: "Senate Amendment 2 is withdrawn. Any further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Representative Conti."
- Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're honored here today to have an old friend of mine, one that I went to school with from Western Illinois University and the former Superintendent of Schools of the State of Illinois, Ray Page in the back of the hall. Ray Page. Welcome back, Ray."
- Speaker Peters: "Senate Bill 209, Representative Piel. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 224, Representative Peters. Is the Gentleman in the

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

chamber? He is. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Representative Epton in the Chair."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 224, a Bill for an Act amending and repealing Sections of and adding Sections to the Child Care Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Epton: "Representative Peters on Senate Bill 224's
  Amendment."
- Peters: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 224 has been much discussed by the House when we first passed it to the Senate and when it came back from the Senate with the Amendments that have been added. What, basically, the Bill does is exempt from licensing certain kinds of facilities dealing with day care and child care. It makes the exemptions for certain of the schools. It beefs up the enforcement and revocation provisions of the law with day care centers. It establishes a concept of a day care agency to assist the Department of Children and Family Services in finding individuals to open their homes other facilities as day care homes. Amendments have been added in the House which provide some guarantees that the homes would file statements indicating that they meet the various health and fire safety standards and to maintain records to insure that those programs, which are considered Mother's Day opt programs are, in fact, just that and that those institutions that carry them on are not in the real business of day care center operations. I respectfully request passage and approval of the House of Senate Bill 224."

Speaker Epton: "Is there any discussion? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House. I rise in support of Senate Bill 224. This is one
of those pieces of legislation that has quite a bit of
different Sections amended. It does a lot of different

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

things. I had some problems with it. The Sponsor, both the House Sponsor and the Senate Sponsor were kind enough to try and work those out, and I think, to a large extent, we succeeded. It is certainly a vastly better Bill than it was when it came over from the Senate and it isn't exactly what I would like, frankly."

- Speaker Epton: "Thank you very much. Representative Peters, to close."
- Peters: "Just to thank the Representative for his kind support and move adoption of legislation."
- Speaker Epton: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?". All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

  Representative Bowman, explain your vote?"
- Bowman: "Well, you cut me off in mid-sentence."
- Speaker Epton: "I apologize. I certainly didn\*t mean to. Have all voted who wish?"

Bowman: "No."

- Speaker Epton: "Take the record. On this question there are 150 'ayes', no 'nos', 1 voting 'present'. Again, my apologies.

  This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed. Thank you very much.

  Representative Peters in the Chair."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Laurino 'aye' on Senate Bill 224.

  Thank you, Representative Epton, for a splendid...Thank
  you, Representative Bowman. Senate Bill 227,
  Representative Greiman. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 227, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate bill 227 responds to a problem of jurisdiction in child custody cases and specifically

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

responds to an Illinois decision recently that very much affects the parent in Illinois whose child goes out of state and allows an out of state court to deny that parent, Illinois parent, the right to see that child again. the Uniform Child Custody Senate Bill 227 amends Jurisdiction Act to provide that a court, that would Illinois court, once having obtained normally be the jurisdiction over a child retains that jurisdiction unless jurisdiction or none of the parties any it concedes the longer reside in Illinois, including the child. And what this does is to impact on this Illinois Supreme Court decision where a parent allowed his child to go to California court, under Illinois decree, California. California court refused to allow him to see his child. Illinois court... Finally, the Supreme Court said we no longer have jurisdiction over this matter. This would allow the Illinois court with a party here and with the original decree having been entered in Illinois to retain jurisdiction under such a set of circumstances. I would ask that you give it your favorable consideration."

- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Johnson. Representative Johnson."
- Johnson: "I was just... This is on Full Debate. I'm for the Bill. I just was going to help you out. It's so rare that we agree on something and I wanted to help you out if you needed it. Good Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 227 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'.

  Mr. Clerk. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 152 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the

- 64th Legislative Day June 16, 1981

  Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We will
  - now just back up a couple to pick up Senate Bill 172.
    Representative Vinson, for an announcement."
- Vinson: "I'd like to introduce a former colleague of ours,

  Representative Gil Deavers, Member of the leadership who

  might want to say a few words."
- Gil Deavers: "Pete, I'll say one thing. My golf games a lot better since you let me out of this place."
- Speaker Peters: "I'll join you next year."
- Deavers: "Good. I can't handle Fred Tuerk, but you know how it goes. Thanks, Pete."
- Speaker Peters: "Nice seeing you, Gil. Senate Bill 172. Who's handling this? Representative Sam Wolf, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, while we're on the... while we're on introductions. In the upper left gallery, we have three individuals who are visiting Illinois from Norway. They are C. C. 'Dipresno' and 'Anatova Ves Tofossen' and 'Uni Dipresno'. 'Uni' is a recent graduate of Southern Illinois in Edwardsville."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Swanstrom, do you have anything to say for your solemates. No. Glug, something. Senate Bill 172, Representative... Is that on Second Reading? 172, Second Reading, Representative Oblinger, for the Amendment."
- Oblinger: "Amendment \$5, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, does two things. It defines what a sanitary.."
- Speaker Peters: "Just a second. Amendment #2 was adopted on its face and has been adopted."
- Oblinger: "Amendment #5, they allowed me to correct it on its

  face where a mistake had been made in the numbering but

  they did not adopt the Amendment #5 because Mr. Getty had

  me take it out until he checked with the Metropolitan

64th Legislative Day

- June 16, 1981
- Sanitary District in Chicago that they approved of this Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Alright, we are now on Amendment #5, Mr. Clerk.

  Amendment #5, proceed, Mrs. Oblinger."
- Oblinger: "Two parts of Amendment #5. The first part defines what a sanitary district is so it could be removed from the citing requirements. The second part makes this in conformity with the federal law on PCB's for our electric utilities. I would request..."
- Speaker Peters: "The Lady moves adoption of Amendment \$5. Any discussion? Representative Schneider."
- Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Oblinger is..

  make that statement again about the PCB's, please. What

  did you say about them?"
- Oblinger: "The federal law requires that telephone companies and electric utilities that have PCB's may dispose of them on their own private property but are not to be included in this citing one because it is one they already own. This is a federal law. We had to put this in there in order to conform to it."
- Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? I'm sorry, Sir, proceed."

  Schneider: "I just want to point out that, to many Members, you ought to be aware that frequently the federal laws as they are more laxed and less restrictive than Illinois. I know there's a mood that says that's fine with us but on the other hand when you're talking about PCB's and we're talking about how that kind of an item is disposed of. The leaching problems, the possibility of seeping into drinking water. I don't think we're monitoring that enough. I'm not going to make a big deal about it on Second Reading although I guess this is the place to beat it but I do think it's a mistake to put ourselves into conformity with federal language because those decisions being made in

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Washington certainly do not reflect or are able to capture what needs to be done in Illinois. So, I'm in opposition to it just simply because of that. And I think Members again ought to be aware of that."

Speaker Peters: "... moves the adoption of Amendment #5. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed.

The opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 254, Representative Karpiel. Out of the record. Senate Bill 256, Representative Davis. Out of the record. Senate Bill 263, Representative Pierce. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 263, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill gives county collectors a third option in withholding tax money paid under protest and determining how much it can pay over to the school districts, cities, villages, and all the other taxing units. It allows them to withhold an amount equal to the average annual tax objections that were sustained in court over the preceding five years. The purpose of the Bill is to speed up somewhat the payments of protested taxes to the taxing districts that need them. The cities, villages, school districts, park districts and so on. Center Hall believes this will do that. The House Revenue Committee approved the Bill 19 to nothing and I ask that the full House now follow the Senate and the House Revenue Committee in approving Senate Bill 263."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Pierce..

Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

of the House. I didn't know what kind of response there would be to this but I just want you to know I think that other Members of the House that this is an important piece of legislation that will be particularly helpful in counties which have difficulty rapping up their tax bills which are under protest. Local taxing bodies develop their budgets on the basis of those resources and the demial of them only costs the taxpayer more money through the sale of anticipation notes and so I would endorse this program."

- Speaker Daniels: "Any further discussion? Representative Pierce, to close."
- Pierce: "This is a Bill to help local governments. It will help East St. Louis. It will help your school district and your city get the money that they have levied and are being withheld and never claimed. And, therefore, I urge passage of Senate Bill 263. One of the best pieces of legislation ever to come out of that ridiculous Body."
- Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 263 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. by voting 'nay'. all voted who wish? Representative Woodyard. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. 'aye'. Clerk. On this question there are 160 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 270, Representative Ebbesen. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 270, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to State finance, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Ebbesen."
- Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

  Senate Bill 270 amends the State finance Act and it really

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

allows expenditures of \$5,000 or less from contractual services for improvements to real property when a permanent improvement appropriation exists. And what happened, I think, was in the last Session or recently. amended the definition of contractual services and as a result several of the state agencies have considerable difficulty with the provisions of the amended And so especially the... some of the universities got into this situation. So this legislation has when it its point of origin... to help out the universities or regions, Board of Governors, the Comptroller's office, everybody's for it. It has received overwhelming support in the Senate and both Committees of the House and the Senate and I would encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 270 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'.

Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 156 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 355, Representative Topinka. Read the Bill. Will the Ladies and Gentlemen between the Chair and Representative Topinka please find a seat."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 355, a Bill for an Act to give small business equal access to justice, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Topinka."

Topinka: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this basically provides some access for the small businessman to be able to challenge various regulations that may be picayune you or may be just challenge a ball on

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

the basis that the state would be willing to compensate him or her should they be successful. It is a good way to provide a check on regulations to make sure they are doing, indeed, what they are supposed to be doing rather than just harassing. Likewise, this gives equal access to justice by the small business person so that they can be compensated if successful. This Bill was amended by a Subcommittee in Judiciary I. It received broad bipartisan support. I think it's a real good little peoples Bill and I would encourage your positive vote."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I reluctantly rise to oppose the young Lady's Bill. I arise to oppose it even though the concept is The problem is that the burden, the burden of concept. proof that the defendant in the administrative hearing has to sustain in showing that the agency ought to pay for the legal counsel is simply too small a burden. I proposed legislation and support legislation in civil arena where if there is wreckless or woeful or wanton allegations with disregard for the truth, you could recover your legal I believe that a similar kind of concept fees. appropriate in the administrative arena. The problem is that here, if an agency is simply guilty of the slightest 'cintilla' of negligence they are subject to being charged for the legal fees of the person who is the victim of that And I believe that that is a real corruption negligence. of the legal process. I think that if the Bill strengthened so that if there were wreckless disregard for the truth as a requirement there would be no problem with this Bill but to simply say that the merest act of negligence can subject an agency, and when you say you say taxpayer, it's the taxpayers who ultimately pay

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

this. To subject the tax payers to that kind of a burden is a mistake. And so, I would oppose the Bill and urge

Speaker Peters: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "The ... I don't think the preceding Gentleman probably missed the Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 355 which was put on in Committee which makes this, I think, an excellent Bill. It eliminates the problem the Gentleman pointed out and that was the original Bill that any time an agency lost they would be forced to pay the attorney's fees and costs of the individual that was charged. It was thought by the Committee that this would be too costly to the taxpayers of the state but that there were cases which the cost to the person brought before the agency was so great that and the charges so ridiculous that there ought to be instances where a person or a firm would be able to obtain their court costs and attorney's we limited the Bill to two specific instances. One is, in the contested case where an agency was found guilty making charges which are found to be untrue and without reasonable cause. Now, this is the law if an It was felt that in brought suit in the circuit court. suits before an agency itself that the same standard ought to apply so Section A makes that provision. makes the provision that where a person who is charged by an agency for violating an agency regulation or rule who later is successful in having that rule overturned grounds that the agency exceeded its statutory authority or the agencies fail to follow statutory procedures or for other reasons that the rule was found to be invalid. That. the person who was brought before the agency on that particular rule ought to recover fees and court costs. has come to our attention from our constituents that many

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

many times they are charged with violating unreasonable rules and regulations. But because of the cost of fighting matter they have, instead, succumbed and entered into some kind of an agreement with the agency. We thought that this particular provision would give the incentive to individuals who are charged by agencies in trying to weed out these 'picaky unes', small, unreasonable regulations. So, the Committee and the Sponsor felt that in this type of situation attorney's fees were justified also. I think it's a good Bill. It will help the small guy, the businessman who's being harassed by bureaucrats only in those instances where there's true harassment. those instances where there's a legitimate difference of So, I urge that everyone support the Lady in Senate Bill 355 and let's send this on to the Governor."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this measure and let me remind you last term we did support legislation of Representative Yourell's which would set up a joint Administrative would go over and have the authority to Committee which revoke proposed rules of the department. The reason we did that is because we felt that the power to institute rules should be with the General Assembly. Now, this here Bill goes on step further because it says that a party that has the right to recover reasonable shall have attorney's fees and the reasonable expenses incurred in And namely I remind you and that's certain situations. when they can prove by going into a court at a later date having the court rule that the agency exceeded its statutory authority or the agency failed to follow statutory procedure in the adoption of the rule. In those instances the court, in those instances the agreed party

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

would have the right to recover its expenses and attorney's fees. I think this Bill is sufficiently circumscribed to provide only recovery in those certain instances and for that reason it is rationable. It is reasonable and I think we should adopt it. I urge an 'aye' vote on this measure. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a couple of questions, please."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a couple of questions, please."

Speaker Peters: "She indicates she'll respond."

Pullen: "Representative, are you trying harass the Governor with this Bill?"

Topinka: "No, I'm just trying to keep the little guy from having to buckle under..."

Pullen: "Do you think that contrary to harassing the Governor that this Bill would keep the government from harassing small business?"

Topinka: "I think that might have some bearing on it, yes."

Pullen: "Do you think that this Bill might actually accomplish causing departments and agencies to be more cautious before they move against people?"

Topinka: "Oh, I would certainly hope so."

Pullen: "Thank you for presenting this fine Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Representative Zito."

Zito: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall the previous question be put?' Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Representative Topinka, to close."

Topinka: "Well, Mr. Chairman and Members of the House, I would

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

like to thank all the fine people that stood up for this Bill because in general I think they have known reputations for trying to find something that is very sound legally as well as trying to get the will of the people out. It's very difficult when you're just the little guy to have to go up against the state or the Federal Government and the whole faceless mass of bureaucracies. I think would be one way of settling up and keeping the little guy from having to buckle under and I would really encourage an 'aye' vote on this. Thank you."

- Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 355 pass?'

  Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Leinenweber, you spoke in debate."
- Leinenweber: "No, I just wanted to compliment my seatmate. He's working the floor against this Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Collins, to explain his vote.

  Inexplicable? Your light is on. Have all voted who wish?

  Another error. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 143 voting 'aye', 10 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 404, Representative Rea. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 404, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Rea."
- Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate
  Bill 404 is Cosponsored by Representative Ralph Dunn and
  myself. Senate Bill 404 establishes an office of
  co-commerce within the Department of Commerce and Community
  Affairs and directs cooperation with the Illinois Institute

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

of Natural Resources in order to promote Illinois coal. Illinois large reserves are high quality by tumorous coal give us the opportunity to play a leading role in and fuel throughout the nation and the world. through the 1970's Illinois coal development remain static. Numerous coal development projects which were originally targeted for construction in Illinois were dropped by the Federal Government. The availability of the coal energy development bond fund gives Illinois a drawing card for demonstration plants to locate in the state. the coal fund mechanism is activated after an interested developer approaches the state for financal assistance. This procedure does not allow for an organized state supported effort to seek out companies that may use Illinois coal and entice them to locate in Illinois. There a number of other activities which warrant our attention and consideration as they pertain Utilities and industrial coal users in the development. Southeast are looking to the Midwest for future long term coal supplies. Continental Resources Company is looking to Illinois as a possible beginning point for a fifteen hundred mile coal slurry pipeline to Georgia and Florida. It has been estimated at forty to fifty million tons of coal each year would flow through the pipeline. quantities of coal are being sought by western Europe, Japan and other nations. Coal exports are expected to increase substantially over the next few vears. Coal export terminals in the Great Lakes and in the Gulf could serve as focal points to export Illinois coal overseas. best snited for promotional activities are development within the Department of Commerce and Community The department currently works with industries Affairs. which are looking to expand within the state or locate

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

facilities in Illinois. In addition, the department is best equipped to operate a foreign and domestic marketing effort. This Bill is very needed in Illinois and certainly is supported by the Illinois Energy Resources Commission, the Illinois Employment and Training Council, UMWA, Illinois Coal Association and a good example was a loss of the Texas eastern plant which costs its state over four billion dollars in investment capital and over three hundred permanent jobs because we did not have an integrated coordinator approach. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Giorgi.

Representative Giorgi? Representative Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I really don't see any need for this Bill. The Governor has created an Energy Development Board...review board. The Lieutenant Governor is working with the various departments now, all we're trying to do by passing this Bill is telling them that the legislative process is now in working with the Governor's Office to expedite what they are doing. So, I really don't see the necessity for this Bill at this time. The Lieutenant Governor has initiated work along these lines and I can't...can't for the life of me see why we need this Bill. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Priedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think we all are aware of the great resources we have in this state and we want to develop them. But, I like Representative Conti, I'm not sure what we're doing except adding another layer of nothing on here. To tell the Department of Commerce and Industry they ought to do what they ought to be doing. You've already got these other Commissions, you've got the Department which is already suppose to be promoting

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

industry in Illinois. We're doing all kinds of things and all this says is, just tell them to do what they're suppose to be doing and I'd kinda think we've overdone this business of layer after layer after layer and I don't believe this accomplish anything."

Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? There being none, Representative Rea to close."

Rea: "Representative, to Ralph Dunn for closing."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Ralph Dunn to close."

Dunn, Ralph: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. The previous two speakers who spoke about the necessity of this Bill might.. might call their attention to Amendment they've put on the Bill that would avoid any siding assistance by the Institute of duplication on Natural Resources. This Bill, the concept of it, has been approved by Executive Order which the Governor issued hut. we in the coal area.. in the coal depend upon our livelihood for coal are concerned about the fact that we in state government have been talking about it but we haven't been doing anything about it. This Bill was introduced and passed in the Senate by Senator Buzbee, Representative Rea in honor of the House Sponsors. We think that it's a Bill that will point up the need for siding assistance and create a department of coal commerce in the Department of Conmerce. And I'd urge an 'aye' vote and I'd appreciate it. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 404 pass?'

Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Kulas, to explain his vote."

Kulas: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

since the coal miners often find themselves in the dark like the mushrooms in the Assembly I think this Bill would enlighten them and I would ask for more green votes."

- Speaker Peters: "Representative Rea, to explain his vote.

  Representative Topinka, to explain her vote."
- Topinka: "Well, I agree that they need help and for that reason I have a green vote. But I would like to comment on Representative Kulas who perpetually makes comments about mushrooms. Coming from a district that specializes in them we are not kept in the dark as much as he might think. Thank you."
- Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Оn this question there are 115 voting 'aye', 42 Clerk. voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. This Bill. received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. If the Membership would give the Chair their attention for one short intervening piece of business before we return to Third Readings. With leave of House and with concurrence of both the Majority and the Minority Leader, the Chair now would like to go to page 9 of the Calendar to pick up only those Bills on Second Readings to which Floor Amendments have not been filed. if you will pay close attention we will get through this rather quickly and the Chair has the notations here from the Clerk in terms that the Amendments have been filed. Representative McMaster, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a Bill on Third Reading that I would like to move back to Second for an Amendment. Is there any chance of getting to that tonight?"
- Speaker Peters: "I don't believe we'll have time for that tonight, Representative. We intend to get out of here

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

sometime between quarter after six and six-thirty."

NcMaster: "We couldn't do it now, before we get off of Third Reading?"

Speaker Peters: "Well...."

McMaster: "It shouldn't be a long process."

Speaker Peters: "The problem that I have, Representative McMaster, is that we have a list of about...well, I would say fifteen or sixteen Members who have asked the same thing. Thank you, Sir. Senate Bills, Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar. Senate Bill 127, Representative Van Duyne. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 127, a Bill for an Act to amend to the Illinois Municipal Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 128, Representative Sandquist. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 128, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Uniform Gifts to Minors Act, Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 423, Representative Ebbesen. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 423, a Bill for an Act to amend Section..."

Speaker Peters: "Excuse me. Mr. Ebbesen...out of the record.

The Chair, again, asks that the Members give your attention. It will make it a lot easier for all of us if we're going through rather than taking Bills in and out of

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

the record. Senate Bill 731, Representative White. Out of the record. Senate Bill 798, Representative Bower. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 798, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Personnel Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 853, Representative Woodyard. Head the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 853, a Bill for an Act in relation to the definition of gasohol, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 860, Representative Leverenz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 860, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1034, Representative Stanley. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Representative White. Let's go back and pick that one up. Senate Bill 731, Representative White. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 731, a Bill for an Act in relation to cycle riders safety training, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted previously in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Representative Stanley in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1119, Representative Sandquist. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1119, a Bill for an Act in relation to alcoholic liquors, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1132, Representative Watson. 1132. Out of the record. 1133. Senate Bill Is the Gentleman in the 1133. Representative Polk. chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bills, Second Reading, Calendar. Senate Bill 1, Representative regular He's checking to see if there's been an Capparelli. Amendment. Senate Bill #1, Representative Capparelli. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill #1, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Criminal Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 16, Representative DiPrima. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 16."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill #16, a Bill for an Act establishing a Commission to study the effects of Agent Orange defoliant on Vietnam veterans, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

#1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 115, Representative Greiman. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 143, Representative Barkhausen. Senate Bill 143. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Out of the record? Senate Bill 147, Representative Barkhausen. Representative, unless you have Amendments filed we're moving from Second to Third. Out of the record. Senate Bill 161, Representative Ebbesen. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 161, a Bill for an Act authorizing the DeKalb County Forest Preserve District to sell certain real property, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 190, Representative Davis. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 192, Representative Davis. Out of the record. Senate Bill 211, Representative Sandquist. Representative Sandquist, 211. Read the Bill, Hr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 211, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and the Pharmacy Practice Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 214, Representative Irv Smith. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 214, a Bill for an Act relating to the offense of burglary and the penalties prescribed thereto, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 218, Representative Deuster. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 218, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Local Records Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No

Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 316, Representative Wolf. Hold on second. Senate Bill 316, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 316, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Environmental Pacilities Financing Authority, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 318, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 318, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Governor's Purchased Care Review Board, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 321, Representative

64th Legislative Day

June 16. 1981

Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 321, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Trustees of the General Assembly Retirement System, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 322, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 322, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Judges Retirement System, Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 323, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 323, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Teachers Retirement System, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 324, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 324, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for certain retirement benefits for teachers, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 325, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 325, a Bill for an Act making

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Employees' Retirement System, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 328, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 328, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Medical Center Commission, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. At the top of the page.. 330 has an Amendment. Senate Bill 224, Representative Madigan.

Mark, is that alright? Senate Bill 274, Representative Madigan. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 274, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary contingent expenses of the State Comptroller, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, if we would now go back to Senate Bills, Second Reading, Short Debate for the purposes of picking up Senate Bill 1034, Representative Stanley. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1034, a Bill for an Act concerning the Department of Registration and Education, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None,"

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill.. Representative

  Mulcahey, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, it's my understanding that Representative

  Darrow is working on an Amendment for this. I wonder if we

  could hold that Bill for a... 1034, yes. Could we hold

  that on Second?"
- Speaker Peters: "Well, we have moved it to Third. Representative

  Stanley I'm sure will attempt to accommodate you in moving
  it back to Second. There's been no Amendment filed."
- Mulcahey: "I realize that but there is Amendments that are being prepared right now."
- Speaker Peters: "If the Gentleman wishes to take it back to Second I will be happy but that's within his province, Sir."
- Mulcahey: "Roger, I'd really appreciate it."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman says to leave it on Third. He'll look at the Amendment and discuss it with Representative Darrow. Senate Bill... Representative Daniels, what purpose, Sir?"
- Daniels: "Just to take a second of the House, Mr. Speaker.

  Normally, when a Legislator's wife comes to Springfield

  we're all very pleased to meet them but because of time

  restraints we don't have an opportunity to introduce them

  but we have an exception today because we have with us

  Representative Gene Hoffman's wife, Diana Hoffman, and on

  Saturday she was awarded her Master's of Education from the

  National College of Education. So now we have Dr. Hoffman

  and his wife, Master's of Education, Diana Hoffman, in the

  Speaker's gallery if we might welcome her and congratulate

  her on receiving her Master's Degree."
- Speaker Peters: "Will the real Mrs. Hoffman stand up. Thank you.

  On page 13 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 333, Representative

  Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 333, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for thte ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Human Rights, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendments #1 & 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 334, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 334, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Human Rights Commission, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 335, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 335, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Pollution Control Board, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 336, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 336, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies, Second

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 337, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 337, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf, want to take this out?

Take this out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 338,

Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 338, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Financial Institutions, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 341, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 341, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mines and Minerals. Amendments #1, 2, 4 & 5 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to the Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 342, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 342, a Bill for an Act making

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Liquor Control Commission, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. We'll back up and pick up Senate
Bill 337, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 337, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendments #1 & 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 352, Representative
O'Brien. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 352, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 438, Representative Kornowicz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 438, a Bill for an Act to require insurance and other security in connection with amusement rides and attractions, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 490, Representative Katz. 490. Out of the record. Senate Bill 531, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 531, a Bill for an Act to amend the

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

State Mandates Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf, 531, did you want that moved? Any Motions.. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 535, Representative Swanstrom. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 535, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Recorder Act, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment \$1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Ploor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 559, Representative Telcser. 559, Sir? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 559, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 574, Representative Kornowicz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 574, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 588, Representative Swanstrom. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 588, a Bill for an Act to revise the

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

law in relation to recorders, Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 589, Representative Swanstrom. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 589, a Bill for an Act to provide for the registration of farm names and the Soil and Water Conservation District Act, Second Reading of the Bill.

  Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor? Any Motions from the floor with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion, I move to table Amendment #1,

  Representative Ropp."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Ropp."
- Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm the Sponsor of this Amendment and after some consideration with a number of people involved in the Bill we have decided to table this Amendment."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1 to

  Senate Bill 589. Those in favor will signify by saying
  'aye', those opposed. The opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes'

  have it. The Amendment is tabled. Any further

  Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions."

- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 590, Representative Swanstrom. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 590, a Bill for an Act relating to fees of recorders, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

### STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 526, Representative Deuster. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the Senate Bill 644. Representative Preston. record. 626. 644. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

"Senate Bill 644, a Bill for an Act to amend the Clerk O'Brien: Criminal Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor? Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 653, Representative Representative Keane. Out of the record. Senate Bill 654, Representative Keane. Out of the record. Senate Bill 662, Representative Sandquist. Read the Bill. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 662, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. We'll catch up to you, Representative. Senate Bill 670, Representative. the record. 671 out of the record. Senate Bill 681, Representative Leverenz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 681, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 694, Representative

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Telcser. Out of the record. Read it. Senate Bill 694, Representative Telcser. Read the Bill, &r. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 694, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 740, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 740, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 753, Representative Reilly. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 753, a Bill for an Act authorizing the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities to license, regulate and monitor community residential alternatives for developmentally disabled persons, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 756, Representative Reilly. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 756, a Bill for an Act in relation to the licensing of Community Living Facilities, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 801, Representative Swanstrom. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 801, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the compensation of Sheriffs, Coroners, County Treasurers, County Clerks, Recorders and Auditors and their necessary clerk hire. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 802, Representative Swanstrom. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 802, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to clerks of courts, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 805, Representative Swanstrom. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 805, a Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 565, Representative
O'Brien. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 565, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Park District Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment \$1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions(sic) from the floor? Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 827, Representative McGrew. Out of the record. Senate Bill 836,

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Representative Findley. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 836, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Small Business Purchasing Act, Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 837, Representative Schraeder. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 842, Representative Bullock. Out of the record. Senate Bill 851, Representative Smith (Irv). Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 851, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 867, Representative

  Barkhausen. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 867, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to the insanity defense and to establish a plea and verdict of guilty but mentally ill, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1? Has a fiscal note been filed?"
- Cherk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is not filed."
- Speaker Peters: "There's been a request for a fiscal note. The
  Bill will remain on Second. Representative Getty."
- Getty: "It's my understanding that there are other Motions that have been filed relative to this that would not fall within the purview of a non-controversial matter and I want it not to be heard at this time in accordance with our understanding."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Peters: "Senate Bill 867 will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Senate Bill 877, Representative Telcser.

Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 877, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections, Second Reading
of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 879, Representative Terzich."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 879, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 915, Representative Dick Kelly. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 915, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, there was an understanding that there would be nothing that was non-controversial that was called. Senate Bill 877 is definitely controversial and I would ask that that be brought back to the Order of Second Reading. Now, with the understanding that nothing controversial would be called I forbear having filed a Motion regarding that because I was waiting.."

Speaker Peters: "Is there a Motion filed?"

Getty: "There was not a Motion filed. It was my understanding that nothing that was controversial would be heard. That

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

is a controversial matter and I think in keeping with our understanding that that Bill should be held on the Order of Second Reading to be heard at an appropriate time when we do not have the understanding that non-controversial matters will not be heard."

- Speaker Peters: "Representative Getty, before I respond,
  Representative Telcser."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I would hope your response would be what
  I'm going to say. I think what the Speaker said was, he's
  going to call Bills on Second Reading where there were no
  Motions or Amendments filed and there was no mention of
  controversy or not."
- Speaker Peters: "That is exactly what I did say, Representative Getty. The Chair in discussion with both the Minority Leader and the Majority Leader indicated that what we wanted to do was to go back to the Order of Second Reading to pick up those Bills to which there have been no Motions or no Amendments filed. And that is the list that I am following as given me by the Clerk. Representative Getty."
- Getty: "That was not my understanding. My understanding was from the language that I heard put that this would be non-controversial matters that included those things which you articulated. And I think, Representative Telcser, are you the Sponsor of this?"
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Telcser. The Gentleman inquires whether you are the Sponsor."
- Telcser: "Yes, I am, Representative."
- Getty: "I just think in the sense of that understanding that you should agree that it should be brought back."
- Telcser: "Well, Representative, let me simply say that the agreement was to call Bills that have no Motions or Amendments filed to them and in that light I think we comply with our agreement but we could leave it on Third

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

and you and I could talk further."

Getty: "Alright, fine then. Maybe you'd like to have us file Motions on every Bill. You know, I think, Representative, understanding was that wе would that the non-controversial matters heard. There's no secret that this is controversial and I just think in the sense of fairness rather than trying to run something through we ought to just act like gentlemen, ladies and gentlemen in is obviously the chamber and sa y alright, this controversial. We'll hold it on Second Reading. wouldn't that be the way, Representative Telcser, that I would expect you to act who always in this chamber has been a Gentleman."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Representative, there's no question of being a Gentleman or not. I consider you clearly a Gentleman and a person whose word is always good and you know the high regard I have for you. But the fact is simply that the Speaker announced very clearly that Bills would be called to which there were no Amendments or Motions filed. There was simply no discussion whatsoever whether or not a Bill was or was not controversial. That question was never a part of the Speaker's decision to go on this order of business. You're interjecting the question of controversy has nothing whatever to do with the business which we're conducting."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Well, then I'm going to ask both the Chair and you,
Representative Telcser, since you're running this House the
temporary speakers in there and the real Speaker if he's
within my hearing, if you won't take that back to Second I
think that's in keeping with the spirit of the agreement
that we would not have anything that was controversial

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

heard. I think... I'm asking you, Representative Telcser, as a Gentleman to agree to have it brought back to Second and I'm asking you, Mr. temporary Speaker Peters, as a Gentleman to have it brought back to Second."

Speaker Peters: "The Chair does not believe that it is within the province of the Chair to bring a Bill back to whatever order if a Member does not so desire. I think again the tape will clearly indicate there was no mention of controversial or non-controversial legislation. I frankly am not sure with all the legislation we had to this point and move to Third contains some of which I'm sure for a number of Members would be controversial. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, what you said is absolutely correct. Let me simply say again that it has ... this is not an issue of being a Gentleman or not being a Gentleman or being controversial or not be controversial. The Bill is now the Order of Third Reading. I'd be delighted to talk to the Gentleman about it further and once more I believe that I would not even be given leave if I were of a mind to take it back to Second today. I'm sure there would be objections but nevertheless I would hope, Mr. Speaker, that it would stay on Third. I'll be delighted to talk to the Gentleman and perhaps, perhaps, I'm not saying we would, perhaps would come back to Second at some other date. But by leaving it on Third I am complying to the letter with agreement which the Speaker had with myself and the Minority Leader. There was absolutely no discussion of something being controversial or not. And once more, what is controversial? If one person opposes a Bill, does that make it controversial and therefore, it could not be moved this afternoon? I think not and I believe that is the exact reason why the question of controversy was not a part

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

that the agreement we had on this order of business."

- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz. For what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of House. I believe if you do check the tape it's quite clear that you would address this House and said that we'd proceed on the Second Reading, page 14 and that it was the intent of the Chair to call on Bills with non-controversial Now, 877, Ladies and Gentlemen, my question to the Chair was the germaneness of the Amendment that was adopted in Committee. That question was raised in Committee and the Chairman of the Committee ruled the Amendment germane. But, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you would check with the Parliamentarian I believe the Speaker would rule in a different fashion. And for that reason this Bill should not have been moved from Second to Third. And I believe the Gentleman's request is totally in order that the Bill be brought back from Third to Second until that question is raised and ruled upon. If you want to check the records of the Committee Clerk or the Committee Chairman that question was raised in Committee, Daniels was handling the Bill for Representative Telcser because of the death of his mother. And that's exactly what happened in Committee and that question should have been raised on the floor and the Speaker should make a ruling."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Cullerton, do you wish to address the Chair on this point?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think I understand
  what Representative Telcser is saying that it was his
  understanding that whether the Bills were controversial or
  not they were going to be moved. I think I understand what
  Representative Getty was saying that it was his
  understanding that if it were controversial it wouldn't be

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

moved. It's just a simple matter that happens many times in this chamber when a Bill has been moved to Third Reading where another Nember has a request for an Amendment or for a Motion just to simply take that back to Second I think we can avoid... we're not trying to discuss the merits of the Bill at this time we're just saying Bill's Second Reading. There may be on Some Amendments. There may be some Motions. Some of which that So I'm just suggesting that we do you may agree to. right now or if we don't do that we're put in a position where we have to file Motions on all the other Bills and get into a big controversy when all we want to do is just move about the matters of the House quickly and with a degree of the quorum. So I would just simply ask either Representative Daniels, who's a Sponsor of this Bill, Representative Telcser if they would simply bring the Bill back to Second Reading and then we can discuss problems there may be with the Bill if any."

Speaker Peters: "Senate Bill 877 is on the Order of Third Reading. The questions propounded by the Gentlemen all of whom have spoke have come now after we have considered two Bills, passed 877 is a question of whether the request was not. But laying all that aside the tapes will clearly indicate what the Chair stated and that was that we would take Bills in which there were no Amendments Nothing to do with controversy or non-controversy. If the tape indicates that the Chair said non-controversial the Gentleman, Representative Telcser indicates he will take it back to Second Reading. I am sure, however. is not what the tapes will indicate. Senate Bill 915, Representative Kelly. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 915, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 926, Representative Terzich. Representative Terzich, Senate Bill 926. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 926, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 928, Representative Stuffle. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 934, Representative Brunner. Senate Bill 934. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 934, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to husband
and wife, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 939, Representative Bradley. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? 939. Do you wish the Bill called, Sir? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 939, a Bill for an Act in relation to the performance of an abortion procedure by any physician upon a woman who was not pregnant, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Would you hold that on Second Reading, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Peters: "Senate Bill 939, Second Reading at request of

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

the Sponsor. Senate Bill 940, Representative O'Connell.
Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 940, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Abortion Law, Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1007, Representative Piel. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1007, a Bill for an Act in relation to automobile renting and amending certain Acts herein named, Second Reading of the Bill. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative
Cullerton."

Speaker Peters: "Representative who?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Cullerton."

Speaker Peters: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1010, Representative Piel. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1010, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings Associations Banking Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Ploor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. 939 for Representative Bradley.

Read the Bill again, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 939, a Bill for an Act in relation to the performance of an abortion procedure by any physician upon a woman who was not pregnant, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

#### STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

June 16, 1981

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

64th Legislative Day

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1016, Representative Daniels."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 10...."

Speaker Peters: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1016, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to authorize counties to issue bonds for the construction....
- Speaker Peters: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1030, Representative Darrow. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1033. Representative Kustra. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1036. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative McBroom. Senate Bill 1042, Representative Ewing. Out of the record. Is the Gentleman... 1042. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1042, a Bill for an Act in relation disturbances in State correctional institutions, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative Cullerton."
- Speaker Peters: "Out of the record. Senate Bill Representative Ebbesen. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1059.. 1058, Representative Oblinger. Read the Bill, Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1058, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

"Any Amendments from the floor?" Speaker Peters:

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1077, Representative

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Jaffe. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1077, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1088, Representative Zwick. Is the Lady in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1096, Representative Grossi. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1096, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Comptroller Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1113, Representative McCormick. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1113, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1..."

Speaker Peters: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1148, Representative Hallstrom. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1148, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of Orthotic and Prosthetic practice, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Representative Levin. Senate Bill 1177. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1179. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1179, a Bill for an Act to amend the

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1180, Representative Levin. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1180, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Cullerton, do you want to file a Motion on this one? Did you want to file one? I didn't want to leave you an opportunity. Third Reading. Senate Bill 1181, Representative Levin. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1181, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1182. Out of the record. Representative Levin, there's Amendments being filed on these. Senate Bill 1196, Representative McAuliffe. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1196, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative

Cullerton."

Speaker Peters: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1206,
Representative Jim Kelley. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1206, a Bill for an Act to amend the

DES Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee

Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Representative Deuster, what was that Bill number? Senate Bill 626, Representative Deuster. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 626, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Third Reading. Representative

Daniels in the chair."

Speaker Daniels: "Senate Bill 404, Representative Rea. Senate
Bill 405, Bepresentative Dunn (Ralph). Read the Bill, Mr.
Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 405, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Ralph: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The Dunn. purpose of Senate Bill 405 is to encourage greater use Illinois coal by Illinois industries and to further the development of coal utilization technologies. It provides that corporations that are subject to Illinois Income Tax Act could receive a tax credit equal to as much as 20% of the amount spent on coal utilization research. And, of course, that is providing it's approved by the Research Board that we created in this Session of the Legislature. Our 5% of the amount spent on equipment intend to increase the use of Illinois coal during the tax year. This is pollution control equipment. Based on a recent institute

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

of natural resources study, the Institute of Natural Resources Study shows that industry if it were technically feasible if they switched to Illinois coal now we could increase our production by 8 to 22 million tons a year and provide as many as four thousand new jobs. Tax collection, both state and local, would increase by well over twenty million a year along with a drop in state welfare payments. This certainly is a Bill that I would urge support for and urge passage of. I'd be glad to answer any questions."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman's moved for the passage of Senate
  Bill 405. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the
  question is, 'Shall 405 pass?' Those in favor signify by
  voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open.
  Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
  Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, is the... when the Board indicates that
  Mr. Speaker is voting 'no' does that mean that whoever is
  in the Chair is voting 'no' or the Representative Ryan is
  voting 'no'?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Lechowicz, the Gentleman from Cook."
- Lechowicz: "Well very briefly, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you want to provide for a pliant in southern Illinois and anyone dealing with the coal industry knows that probably Illinois has got one of its tremendous resource in coal. And what this Bill does, it provides for tax credit in providing for research and making sure that coal that is being produced can be used in Illinois and providing that it comprehends and coincides with the air impact qualities that are required by the Federal and State Government. So you're providing for a tax credit for research in providing safe air and clean air in this state and using Illinois coal. I can't see why anybody would be

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

opposed to this Bill. It also provides a tax credit for 5% for the cost of equipment purchased to utilize Illinois coal. We're talking about a tremendous resource. People that have just come back to work, one of the most important resources that we have in Illinois, providing a job impetus for a lot of people in southern and central Illinois and this House will not support it. It quite by a substantial margin out of Committee. It passed very closely in the Senate but in turn is something that probably that the Governor's opposed to or the Bureau of the Budget but in all reality if you want to provide any tax incentives and the Republican Party, supposedly I've heard for the last three weeks are providing incentives to promote industry in this state. Well this is one of them. You're providing an incentive for the coal Please vote 'ave'. It's a good Bill." employment.

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Rea, to explain his vote. The timer's on, Sir."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr .... Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the This Bill here will certainly be a boost to flouse. business and utilities and certainly to coal and this will promote the use of Illinois coal. At the present time we have approximately over twenty million tons of western coal that is being brought into Illinois each year. And hy providing an incentive to the businesses and utility companies they will... it will encourage them to utilize coal to conduct the additional research which is necessary. In fact, we're on the werge of breaking through on some new technology and it will also provide the incentive to put cleaning devices on because of the tax investment incentive program here. I might point out to you that as a result.... (cut off) As we utilized more coal every million tons of coal that is utilized here would

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

generate over a thousand new jobs. We increase a personal income to Illinois residents of almost nineteen million annually. So with the increased state and local tax revenues of almost 1.7 million annually this will be a great economic boost to Illinois and to the economy as a whole."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Gentleman from Johnson, Representative C.L. McCormick, to explain his vote. The timer's on, Sir." McCormick: "Nr. Speaker, I can't for the life of me understand how any man or any woman in this House could vote against a Bill like this whenever it means the employment of hundreds and hundreds of people in the southern part of Illinois when you were willing to go along and buy the beer people the stuff they wanted, many, many dollars that you gave them away which would mean a whole lot more than this. Southern Illinois, southern Illinois has given you the greatest potential for energy of any section in Illinois and you turn your back on it and you run down the street and say 'oh, we don't care a thing about you down there.' Well, let me tell you something. I don't have time now but one of these days I want to tell you how it use to before we had the coal industry in Illinois. I want to tell you how our women had to use the mother best panties and stuff like that instead of the fine silk ones that you use up north. Ladies and Gentlemen, we need this Bill and this Bill is good for all of southern Illinois. And it's especially good for all the rest of you people... (cut that you call for taxes in Illinois. I'd

Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kulas, to explain his vote. The timer's on, Sir."

appreciate an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

64th Legislative Day

- June 16, 1981
- Kulas: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I
  want you to take a good look at that Board and see how us
  city slickers are voting to help you people down south so
  when it comes to vote again on our transportation problem
  remember how we voted and don't stick it to us."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff, to explain his vote. The timer's on, Sir."
- Huff: "I just wanted to say 'Amen' to the remarks made by Representative Kulas."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 125 voting 'aye', 31 'nay', 7 'present'. Senate Bill 405, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 430. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 430, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bianco."
- Bianco: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd

  like to move this to Second Reading for the purpose of an

  agreed Amendment. Could we have leave ..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Does the Gentleman have leave to move the Bill to Second Reading? Leave granted. Granted, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 430. Are there any Amendments filed on this Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 430 on page one, line twenty-nine and so forth."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino, Floor Amendment #1."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and thank you, Representative Bianco, for bringing it back. This is an agreed Amendment from the Committee that increased the feet at the state charges for the microfish listing of all the license numbers in the State of Illinois from 200 to 400 dollars

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

per set. It's an agreed Amendment and it will save the road fund approximately \$215,600 a year. It will be an increase into that fund and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 434, Representative Mautino. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 434, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 434 is a graduated payment loan that was passed in 1980, I believe, in January. And this legislation enables the young first time home buyer to make principal payments at a reduced rate for a period of time of the loan and anticipation that the buyers income will increase at that time. It increases the reduced payment period from any mortgage loan under thirty years. It passed the Senate Committee with an 11-0 vote and it passed the Senate chambers with a 53 to zip and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call and I'd be happy to answer any questions you have on the legislation."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman's moved for the passage of Senate Bill 434. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 434 pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take... Representative Hudson.. my switch. The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 148 voting 'yes', none voting 'nay', 4 'present'. Senate Bill 434, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 455, Representative Mautino."

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 455, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regulatory Agency Sunset Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

  Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 455, a major Sponsor of that legislation, Representative Daniels, has asked me to handle this from the floor. Basically, what this legislation does is defer the repeal of the Detection and Exception Examinees Act from October 1st of '81 to October 1st of '91. Basically, this was brought out of Committee after we had heard extensive testimony and that testimony coming. basically. and information coming basically from the financial institutions who have an interest in maintaining the existing licensure practices for the polygraph operators. It has been presented to us that this additional licensure, which would have been eliminated under the Sunset provision, is needed for those industries that depend upon this type of examination for their employees. I'd be happy to answer any questions that you might have on this legislation."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate
  Bill 455. Is there any discussion? Lady from Cook,
  Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. We defeated a comparable Bill last month, a House Bill that was before us that would provide for exactly what Senate Bill 455 is about. The Sunset Committee, which this House adopted by an overwhelming vote two years ago, was

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

established by us in order to ask the question, 'Can we get government off the backs of the people? . When it came to the question of licensure of polygraphers of those who give lie detector tests, the Sunset Committee said we should get government off the backs of the people and we should stop licensing members of this particular occupation. would argue that licensure of those who practice the profession helps protect the individual who, in fact, takes the lie detector tests. Nothing could be further from the truth. On the basis of the testimony the polygraphers gave the Sunset Committee, it's clear that even licensed lie detector testers have not a very good showing of accuracy on the basis of the tests that they offer. So, somebody who is in an employment situation who is looking for a or who is being asked by his employer whether or not might be responsible for some problem in the firm does not have any guarantees because we license those who give detector tests in this state that the answers that that lie gives about that employee or that detector tester prospective employee have any truth at all. If you want to protect that individual, the employee, there's another way to do it and that is to abolish lie detector testing in This Bill, Senate Bill 455, is no protection employment. for the person who's the ultimate victim of the lie detector tester, and if it comes to the question of who's doing the hiring, the Sunset Committee, I think, had the right answer, to get the government off the backs of people answer and that is to let the consumer make choices on the basis of the kinds of considerations consumers, people, the citizens and the taxpayers are able to make on their own. If you believed in Sunset when you voted for it, then you ought to vote 'no' on Senate Bill 455."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Marion, Representative

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Priedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, even though lie detector tests are not admissible as evidence, certainly are widely used and respected. And I can tell you in the hands of the wrong people the operation of the machine could ruin lives of many people and you better watch out what you're doing here. It's a very sensitive thing and it ... and now those who operate them in the State of Illinois, in my opinion, are people of very high integrity and respected for what they do. And the ones that I have known and had any contact with, they do a good job and even though it's not 100% accurate it certainly is an indication and it is accepted. Now, turn the thing around and let some unscrupulous person be operating this machine and you can ruin the life and reputation of some person that has done no wrong. So, be careful what you're doing here in eliminating this licensure."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk.

Representative Barr."

Barr: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to say that I was the one Member of the Committee on Registration and Regulation that cast a negative vote on this Bill, but since that time I've had an opportunity to study the Bill more carefully and I would like to say that I've changed my mind on this Bill and would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, I make a Motion. Previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', ... Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry before you go

64th Legislative Day

with that."

June 16, 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "Okay. All those opposed signify by saying 'no'. Previous question passes. The 'ayes' have it.

  Representative Mautino, to close, but before that,
  - Representative Mautino, to close, but before that,
    Representative Getty."
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I'm addressing myself to the Calendar and it indicates that a fiscal note was requested. I note this is not on... this is on the Order of Third Reading. It does not indicate that the fiscal note was filed either here or in the book. I'd ask that the Chair clarify this situation."
- Speaker Daniels: "It was a fiscal note that was filed after the Bill was on Third. It was untimely; however, there is a fiscal note that is filed regardless and it's here for you to review and it's from Len Taylor, Piscal Officer of the Department of Registration and Education, Representative Getty."
- Getty: "So that the fiscal note request, you're saying, was filed after the Bill was on Third Reading?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Correct, Sir. But we do have a fiscal note filed regardless and it is here present. It's under date of June 16th of 1981."
- Getty: "Alright. Is the record also correct that no Amendments were adopted to this Bill?"
- Speaker Daniels: "No Amendments were adopted although there were three Amendments filed after the Bill was on Third."

Getty: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino, to close."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I agree in total with Dwight Friedrich in his comments concerning this piece of legislation. I would like to point out as well that in this legislation it prohibits the examiners from asking

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

questions relating to religious, political, racial, sexual, labor or union matters unless the question is raised directly in that employment procedure. I think that the Sunset Committee has worked very deligently on many of the licensure provisions, but as we know it, this area is probably more sensitive than any other. Lie detectors have been before us for the past five or six years in the same posture, but I do believe and very firmly believe that we should have some regulations so that those people, who are going through those courses and classes at the three facilities here in the State of Illinois, are licensed and they are controlled. And, we do have a handle on those people that are qualified to give these examinations, and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 455. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 455 pass?'.

All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Voting is open. Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Darrow, to explain his vote. The Timer's on, Sir."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Sunset Committee reviewed this legislation, took testimony and rendered a decision. We are not saying that you can no longer have polygraph operators. All we're saying is they cannot be licensed. We don't have to don't have to charge them and we don't license them. Wе have to use governmental expense to make sure that we have a monopoly on polygraph operators. They can go about their and be regulated by their own business they can organization. The banks, the savings and loan people such as that can hire their own polygraph people based on their education and qualifications. There's no need for this further regulation by the state government. I'd solicit a

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Brien, to explain his vote."

Speaker and Members, I rise in support of this O'Brien: "Mr. Bill. I think that Representative Barr was correct. time to time the Sunset Commission does make mistakes and this is one big mistake that we are making. Quite frankly, the State of Illi ... (cut off). Thank you. Quite frankly, the State of Illinois is the model state for lie detection examiners in the United States. We have put together with John Reed and Fred 'Imbow' and I'm sure many people are aware that Fred 'Imbow' is the Professor of Criminology from Northwestern University, one of the finest training And before anybody can even get into the programs. they must be a college graduate. This, if this Bill does not pass, you will put the banks, the savings and loans, food chains, anybody that serves in the sensitive cash flow position or anybody that has a business that operates in a cash flow position in serious jeopardy and you will really ruin one of the model laws that we have in the United States here in Illinois for polygraph examiners. I'd encourage everyone to support this measure. good Bill and Illinois is way out front in this area."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Sandquist, to explain his vote. Timer's on, Sir."

Sandquist: "Well, I'm sorry I have to beg to differ with my colleague from the 13th District, but if Illinois was way out in front on lie detectors then we wouldn't need all the Amendments that have been put onto this Bill here. The Sunset looked at this very clearly, and I'm not asking you to do this because Sunset did it. I'm asking you to look at it. What protections do we have here? All of the protections that are needed by the banks or anybody else

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

who uses it they can do themselves. We don't have to put them up there and license these people so they get the protected title and you're going to... All the labor people and all ... They know what we're talking about. They're against this because it puts them up on a halo where they shouldn't be. These are not admitted in evidence and we should not give them the protection that the state is asking for. Besides, with the fiscal note, if you look at it, this is going to cost the state money. If we're ever going to get out of licensing things that are not needed, here's one opportunity to do it, and I certainly ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take... Representative McPike, you're... The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 109 voting 'aye', 41 'no' and 8 'present'. Senate Bill 455, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I request leave of the House to have House
Bill 997 taken from the table and placed in Interim Study
in the Reorganization of State Government Committee. I ask
leave to use the attendance Roll Call. I've discussed it
with the Chairman. I've discussed it with the Minority
Representative, Mr. Kane, and with Mr. Steczo and there's
no opposition. This got caught up in the Executive Order

Speaker Daniels: "House Bill 977 be removed from the table and placed in Interim Study. Is that correct, Sir?"

Polk: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman have leave? No objections, leave is granted. Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "I have a parliamentary inquiry. Could you tell me the

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

funding amount for the Sunset Commission?"

- Speaker Daniels: "I don't know. Representative Sandquist will talk to you shortly after..."
- Stearney: "Well, it appears to me, Mr. Speaker, that perhaps the only thing that should go out of existence is the Sunset Commission because we're not in the position to bestow Sunset upon any other Commission in the state."
- Speaker Daniels: "Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second Day,
  page 22 of the calendar. Mr. Clerk, read the Bills."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 65, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Senate Bill 155, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Senate Bill 384, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the practice of podiatry in the State of Illinois. Senate Bill 576, a bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act and the Veterinary Medicine and Surgical Practice Act. Senate Bill 799, a Bill for an Act to provide for ownership rights in plastics, die molds and to form and to provide for their destruction under certain conditions. Senate Bill 841, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Senate Bill 1138, a Bill for an Act in relationship to uncollected claims and accounts received of State agencies, Third Reading of these Bills."
- Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall these Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Telcser. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On these Bills, 131 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', 17 'present'. Bills appearing on Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second Legislative Day are hereby declared passed. Representative Conti, Agreed Resolutions. Agreed Resolutions."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 382, McBroom-Ryan. House Resolution 383, O'Connell. House Resolution 384, Fawell. House Resolution 386, Topinka. House Resolution 387, Laurino. House Resolution 388, Tate-Donovan-John Dunn. House Resolution 390, Younge-et al. House Resolution 391, DiPrima-et al."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti, Agreed Resolutions."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you called 384 for.. that should be 385."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 385, Favell."

Conti: "You said 384. Alright. Fine. House Resolution 382, McBroom-Ryan, the Reverend Joseph Tremonti, of Kankakee celebrated the 40th Anniversary of Ordination in the House Resolution 383, O'Connell, where Karen Lemke has been chosen Life Newspaper's "1981 Senior of the House Resolution 385 by Fawell, the Chicago and Life Wood Industry honors Mr. Lickteig as the "Man of the 1981. House Resolution 386, Topinka, the James for Havranek is being presented to the Czechoslovak Society of American Museum, a Living Memorial Book on behalf of this retired physician on June 17. House Resolution 387 by Laurino, Thomas Piazzisi of Chicago, Illinois, will celebrate their Golden Wedding Anniversary on July 5. House Resolution 388, Tate-Donovan-John Dunn, Sheryl Smith of Decatur was recently selected Miss Illinois National Teenager of 1981. House Resolution 390, Schuneman-et al, Advisory Council shall establish educational programs for contractors and enable them to better understand and comply with their requirements. House Resolution 391, DiPrima-et al, Mr. and Mrs. William Warke of Chicago, Illinois will celebrate their Golden Wedding Anniversary on Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the July 24. Mr. House, I move for the adoption of the Resolutions."

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman's moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolution."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 389, Rigney."

Speaker Daniels: "Committee on assignment. Two Bills, introduction, First Reading."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1916, a Bill for an Act to create County
Health Services Board, Department to authorize tax levy
therefore, and to amend reappeal certain Acts therein
named, Jane Barnes, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill
1917, Ted Meyer, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the
Motor Fuel Tax Law, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Committee Report."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Ryan, Chairman from the Committee on Reapportionment, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 16, 1981 and reported the same back with the following recommendations, 'do pass as amended House Bills 1902 and 1903'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf, J.J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The House Appropriations

Committee will meet tomorrow morning at 8:30. We were

scheduled for 8:00. It will be 8:30 and there will be a

Republican Member Conference for breakfast in my office at

7:30."

Speaker Daniels: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 36..33.. Senate Bill 636, a Bill for an Act regarding exemptions from certain occupation and use taxes for certain machinery and equipment, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1064, a Bill for an Act in relationship to partial exclusion of sales of farm machinery and equipment from the use tax, service use tax, service occupation tax and retailers' occupation tax, First

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1981

Reading of the Bill."

- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Collins."
- Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry. I'm aware of the fact that former Members are allowed the House floor but is there something in the rules about them lobbying and still being permitted on the floor?"
- Speaker Daniels: "For merit selection. Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to request a Republican Conference in Room 114 immediately after adjournment."
- Speaker Daniels: "Immediately after adjournment, Republican

  Conference, Room 114. Representative Greiman. No
  announcement. Representative Telcser."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House stand adjourned until the hour of 10:00 a.m. tomorrow, Wednesday, June 17."
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Adjourn until tomorrow at 10:00 a.m. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House stands adjourned until tomorrow 10:00 a.m."

### JUNE 16, 1981

HB-1916	1ST	READING	PAGE	175
HB-1917	1ST	READING	PAGE	175
SB-0001	2ND	READING	PAGE	134
SB-0014	3RD	READING	PAGE	8
SB-0016	2ND	READING	PAGE	134
SB-0027	3RD	READING	PAGE	9
SB-0065	3RD	READING	PAGE	173
SB-0098	3RD	READING	PAGE	10
SB-0127	2ND	READING	PAGE	132
SB-0128	2ND	READING	PAGE	132
SB-0134	3RD	READING	PAGE	13
SB-0138	3RD	READING	PAGE	19
SB-0139		READING	PAGE	81
	3RD			
SB-0142	3RD	READING	PAGE	82
SB-0150	3RD	READING	PAGE	89
SB-0155	3RD	READING	PAGE	173
SB-0161	_			135
	2ND	READING	PAGE	
SB-0166	3RD	READING	PAGE	19
	3RD	READING	PAGE	73
SB-0170	3RD	READING	PAGE	89
SB-0171				91
	3RD	READING	PAGE	
SB-0172	2ND	READING	PAGE	118
	3RD	READING	PAGE	92
SB-0176	3RD	READING	PAGE	95
				111
SB-0197	3RD	READING	PAGE	
SB-0211	2ND	READING	PAGE	135
SB-0214	2ND	READING	PAGE	136
SB-0218	2ND	READING	PAGE	136
SB-0224	3RD	READING	PAGE	115
SB-0227	3BD	READING	PAGE	116
SB-0244	3RD	READING	PAGE	22
SB-0263	3RD	READING	PAGE	120
SB-0270	3RD	READING	PAGE	121
SB-0274	2ND	READING	PAGE	138
SB-0293	3RD	READING	PAGE	67
SB-0299	3RD	READING	PAGE	25
SB-0316	2ND	READING	PAGE	136
SB-0318	2ND	READING	PAGE	136
SB-0321	2ND	READING	PAGE	137
SB-0322	2ND	READING	PAGE	137
SB-0323	2ND	READING	PAGE	137
		TRUTHA		
SB-0324		AD LATER		
	2ND	READING	PAGE	137
SB-0325	2ND 2ND	READING READING		
	2ND	READING	PAGE PAGE	137 137
SB-0328	2ND 2ND	READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138
SB-0328 SB-0333	2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING BEADING	PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140
SB-0328 SB-0333	2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING BEADING	PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0336	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING READING READING READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 140
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING READING READING READING READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 140
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0336 SB-0337	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 140 141
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0337 SB-0338	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING READING READING READING READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 140 141 142 141
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0336 SB-0337	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 140 141
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0337 SB-0338 SB-0341	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 140 141 141 142 141
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0337 SB-0338 SB-0341 SB-0342	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 141 141
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0335 SB-0336 SB-0337 SB-0338 SB-0341 SB-0342 SB-0352	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 142 141 141 141
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0337 SB-0338 SB-0341 SB-0341 SB-0352 SB-0352	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 142 141 141 141 142 122
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0335 SB-0336 SB-0337 SB-0338 SB-0341 SB-0342 SB-0352	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 142 141 141 141
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0337 SB-0337 SB-0341 SB-0342 SB-0352 SB-0355 SB-0379	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 142 141 141 141 142 122 28
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0337 SB-0338 SB-0341 SB-0342 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0384	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 141 141 141 142 122 28 173
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0336 SB-0337 SB-0341 SB-0342 SB-0352 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0379 SB-0384 SB-0391	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 142 141 141 142 122 28 173 28
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0335 SB-0336 SB-0337 SB-0338 SB-0342 SB-0352 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0391 SB-0404	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 142 141 141 142 22 28 173 28 127
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0337 SB-0341 SB-0342 SB-0352 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0384 SB-0352 SB-0354 SB-0354 SB-0354 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0384 SB-0384 SB-0384 SB-0384	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 142 141 141 142 28 173 28 127 160
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0335 SB-0336 SB-0337 SB-0338 SB-0342 SB-0352 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0391 SB-0404	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 142 141 141 142 22 28 173 28 127
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0337 SB-0341 SB-0341 SB-0352 SB-0355 SB-0355 SB-0359 SB-0391 SB-0391 SB-0405 SB-0405 SB-0416	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 141 141 142 122 28 173 28 127 160 32
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0334 SB-0335 SB-0337 SB-0341 SB-0342 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0379 SB-0384 SB-0391 SB-0404 SB-0404 SB-0404 SB-0404 SB-0404 SB-0404 SB-0404	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 2ND	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 141 141 141 142 28 173 28 127 160 32
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0335 SB-0337 SB-0337 SB-0341 SB-0342 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0391 SB-0404 SB-0404 SB-0404 SB-0404 SB-0430 SB-0430	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 2ND 3RD 2ND 2ND	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 142 141 141 142 122 28 173 28 127 160 32 165
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0335 SB-0336 SB-0337 SB-0338 SB-0342 SB-0352 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0391 SB-0404 SB-0405 SB-0404 SB-0405 SB-0404 SB-0403 SB-0434 SB-0438	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 3ND 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2N	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 142 141 141 142 28 173 28 127 160 32 165 142
SB-0328 SB-0333 SB-0335 SB-0337 SB-0337 SB-0341 SB-0342 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0355 SB-0379 SB-0391 SB-0404 SB-0404 SB-0404 SB-0404 SB-0430 SB-0430	2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 2ND 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 3RD 2ND 3RD 2ND 2ND	READING	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	137 137 138 140 140 140 141 141 142 141 141 142 122 28 173 28 127 160 32 165

## STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSENBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

. .

### DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

JUNE 16, 1981

SB-0497	3RD	READING	PAGE	33
SB-0498	3RD	READING	PAGE	43
SB-0531	2ND	READING	PAGE	142
SB-0534	2ND	READING	PAGE	167
SB-0535	2ND	READING	PAGE	143
SB-0559	2ND	READING	PAGE	143
SB-0565	2ND	READING	PAGE	147
SB-0574	2ND	READING	PAGE	143
SB-0576	3RD	READING	PAGE	173
SB-0588	2ND	READING	PAGE	143
SB-0589	2ND	READING	PAGE	144
SB-0590	2ND	READING	PAGE	144
SB-0526	2ND	READING	PAGE	160
SB-0634	3RD	READING	PAGE	3
SB-0636	1ST	READING	PAGE	175
SB-0644	2ND	READING	PAGE	145
SB-0662	2ND	READING	PAGE	145
SB-0676	3RD	READING	PAGE	4
SB-0681	2ND	READING	PAGE	145
SB-0694	2ND	READING	PAGE	146
SB-0700	3RD	READING	PAGE	45
SB-0731				133
	2ND	READING	PAGE	_
SB-0740	2ND 2ND	READING	PAGE	146
SB-0753		READING	PAGE	146
SB-0756	2ND	READING	PAGE	146
SB-0798	2ND	READING	PAGE	133
SB-0799	3RD	READING	PAGE	173
SB-0800	3RD	READING	PAGE	46
SB-0801 SB-0802	2ND	READING	PAGE	147
SB-0805	2ND	READING	PAGE	147
	2ND	READING	PAGE	147
SB-0818	3RD	READING	PAGE	47
SB-0836	2ND	READING	PAGE	148 173
SB-0841	3RD	READING	PAGE	
SB-0842	2ND	READING	PAGE	100 76
SB-0848 SB-0851	3RD 2ND	READING READING	PAGE	148
SB-0853			PAGE	133
SB-0860	2ND 2ND	READING READING	PAGE PAGE	133
SB-0864	3RD	READING	PAGE	48
SB-0867	2ND	READING	PAGE	148
SB-0007	2ND	READING	PAGE	149
SB-0879	2ND	READING	PAGE	149
SB-0882	3RD	READING	PAGE	76
SB-0883	3RD	READING	PAGE	78
SB-0894	3RD	READING	PAGE	49
SB-0902	3RD	READING	PAGE	50
SB-0910	3RD	READING	PAGE	52
SB-0912	3RD	READING	PAGE	53
SB-0915	2ND	READING	PAGE	149
30.0713	2ND	READING	PAGE	154
SB-0919	3RD	READING	PAGE	88
SB-0926	2ND	READING	PAGE	155
SB0934	2ND	READING	PAGE	155
SB-0939	2ND	READING	PAGE	155
22 0,333	2ND	READING	PAGE	156
SB-0940	2ND	READING	PAGE	156
SB-0951	3RD	READING	PAGE	54
SB-0972	3RD	READING	PAGE	55
SB-0977		IONS	PAGE	172
SB-1007	2ND	READING	PAGE	156
SB-1010	2ND	READING	PAGE	156
SB-1034	2ND	READING	PAGE	138
SB-1042	2N D	READING	PAGE	157
SB-1044	3RD	READING	PAGE	55
,				

#### JUNE 16, 1981

SB-1058	2ND	READING	PAGE	157
SB-1064	1ST	READING	PAGE	175
SB-1068	3RD	READING	PAGE	57
SB-1077	2ND	READING	PAGE	158
SB~1078	3RD	READING	PAGE	62
SB-1094	3RD	READING	PAGE	63
SB-1096	2ND	READING	PAGE	158
SB-1113	2ND	READING	PAGE	158
SB-1119	2ND	READING	PAGE	134
SB-1130	3RD	READING	PAGE	65
SB-1131	3RD	READING	PAGE	66
SB-1138	3RD	READING	PAGE	173
SB-1139	3RD	READING	PAGE	68
SB-1148	2ND	READING	PAGE	158
SB-1179	2ND	READING	PAGE	158
SB-1180	2ND	READING	PAGE	159
SB-1181	2ND	READING	PAGE	159
SB-1190	3RD	READING	PAGE	69
SB-1196	2ND	READING	PAGE	159
SB-1205	3RD	READING	PAGE	70
SB-1206	2ND	READING	PAGE	160
SB-1208	3RD	READING	PAGE	70
SB-1227	3RD	READING	PAGE	75

#### SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER RYAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND SCHOULTZ	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - REPRESENTATIVE NELSON	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	1
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	3
REPRESENTATIVE CHAPMAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	16
PRESENTATION OF HR 361	PAGE	17
REPRESENTATIVE PETERS IN CHAIR	PAGE	20
HR 375 - ADOPTION	PAGE	26
REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN CHAIR	PAGE	65
REPRESENTATIVE PETERS IN CHAIR	PAGE	111
REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN CHAIR	PAGE	113
REPRESENTATIVE PETERS IN CHAIR	PAGE	113
REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN CHAIR	PAGE	121
REPRESENTATIVE PETERS IN CHAIR	PAGE	121
REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN CHAIR	PAGE	160
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	174
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	175
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	175
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	176