61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Clerk Leone: "I've been asked to announce that the House will stand at ease until about twenty minutes after five.

Reverend Schultz, would you come, please come to the podium?"

Speaker Peters: "The House will be in order. Individuals not entitled to the floor will please leave. ... especially ask you to remember in your prayers today Julia Telcser, the mother of Representative Telcser and also Representative Telcser and his family in their time of sorrow and bereavement. The Chaplain for today is the Reverend Rudolph Schultz of the Union Baptist Church located here in Springfield, Illinois. Reverend Schultz."

Reverend Schultz: "Shall we pray? Oh God of grace, God of glory, we are before Thee now in this silent moment. Draw near to us that we may ever known before and stay with us through out deliberation of this eave tide. We need Thee to prevent us from forbeing ourselves to selfishness. Lord, our God, hear our prayers these moments as these are Your children may be able to disagree without being disagreeable and differ without being different. May Thy spirit, Oh God, create an atmosphere that would lend itself to freedom of thoughts and honesty in their deliberation. We pray for the Representative this day with his bereavement and his Bless him, we pray, may You stand with him in these hours, and Lord, we pray for the leadership of this chamber and others who assist to make a smooth operation of our government. And in all our ways, we will acknowledge You so that You may direct our path in the Name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost.

Speaker Peters: "We'll be led in the pledge of alliegance by Representative Mays."

Mays: "I pledge alliegance to the flag of the United States of

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible with Liberty and Justice for all."

Speaker Peters: "Roll Call for Attendance. Committee Reports,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Representative McMaster, Chairman from Committee on Counties and Townships, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 9, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 160, 169, 218, 350, 370, 431. 590. 606, 626, 629, 653, 654, 707, 801, 802, 805, 1016, 1088, 1104, 1037. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 68, 565, 589, 1168 and 1008. 'Do pass Consent Calendar' 535. Senate Bills 652 and Senate Bill 67. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 800 and 1068. 'Do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 127. 'Be adopted' House Joint Resolution 27. Representative Reilly, Chairman from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education, to the following Bills were referred, action taken June 9, 1981 and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 597, 733, 1160, 1179, 1181, 1182, 1183, 1184, 1186, and 1187. 'Do no pass' Senate Bill 865. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 1177, 1180. 'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 202. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 416. and 1190. \*Do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 498 and 955. Representative Pullen, Chairman from the Committee on Executive, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 10, 1981 and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 161, 192, 214, 243, 286, 446, 531, 681, 915, 934, 935, 939, and 940. pass as amended' Senate Bills 223, 250, 356, 892, 1028.

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 423 and 1075. \* Do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 508. 1 Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 293, 643, 730. 864. 908, and 1139. 'Interim Study Calendar' Senate Bill 1174. 'Do pass' Senate Bill 59, 60, 190, 662. 819, and 1051. 'Do not pass' Senate Bills 482 and 511. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 16, 941, 867. 877. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 574. \* Do as amended Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 299 and pass 'Be adopted' House Resolution 334. 894. 'Do pass' Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 26. Representative McBroom, Chairman from the Committee On Financial Institutions, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 10, 1981, and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Bills 137, 143, 269, 1010, 1036, 1096. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 1033. 'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 468. 514, and 901. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 919 and 951. Representative Chairman from the Committee on Judiciary II, to Stearney, which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 10, 1981, and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 618, 1052. 'Do not pass' Senate Bill 100, 741. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 1, 644, 817, and 1042. 'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 19 and 570. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 676, 882 and 883. 'Do pass amended Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 27 and 700. 'Interim Study Calendar' Senate Bills 42, 51, 205, 206, 207. 359, 515, 602, and 868. Representative McAuliffe, Chairman from the Committee on Personnel and Pensions and Veteran Afairs, to which the following Bills were referred, taken June 10, 1981, and reported the same back with the

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 740. 829, 842, 851, 879, 926, 928, and 1058. 'Do not pass' Senate Bills 828 and 924. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 1030 and 1113. 'Do pass Consent Calendar Senate Bills 21, 296, 697, 798, 891, 1025, 1038, and 1111. pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 464, 888, 889, 1125, 1126, and 1197. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 134 and 244. Do pass amended Short Calendar' Senate Bill 930 and 1044. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 1127, 1128, and 1196. Representative Neff, Chairman from the Committee on Transportation, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 10, 1981, and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 215. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 507. 'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 860. 'Do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 853. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Bill 138. 'Be adopted' House Resolution 239."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Madigan. Absences, excused absences your side of the aisle, Sir."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the record show that Representative Redmond is excused because of the illness of his wife?"

Speaker Peters: "The record will so indicate. Representative...

Is Representative Getty here? Getty? Okay. All of these lights are not working so we might have a problem. Is... here? Yes, alright. Representative Polk, in Representative Daniels chair, please. Excused absences, our side of the aisle."

Polk: "Would the record please show that Representative Margalus and Telcser?"

Speaker Peters: "The record will so indicate Representative

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Margalus and Telcser. Margalus because of illness and Telcser because of a death in the family. Representative Birkinbine, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

- Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of For the purpose of information to the House Members, there have been some letters that have been received from, by various House Members in the last day or two in reference to House Bill 701, which is a collective bargaining Bill for Illinois Teachers, and it's reported that the vote on that Bill was 145 to 2, and some of people who have been writing the letters are very concerned about that. You should know that there was a mix up in the Clerk's Office, that the actual vote on that Bill was 118 to 39. So, in responding to people who write to you. you may want to get a copy of the Roll Call, and inform them that it was a simple error in the Clerk's Office. been corrected, and the computer print out in the future will show that the actual vote was not 145 to 2, but rather 118 to 39."
- Speaker Peters: "Thank you, Sir. Mr. Clerk, take the record.

  There are 171 answering the quorum call. A quorum is present. Page 13 of the Calendar, Consent Calendar, Third Readings."
- Clerk Leone: "Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second Legislative
  Day. Senate Bill 358, a Bill for an Act in regard to
  limitations. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Question is, 'Shall the Consent Calendar pass?'.

  Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

  The voting is still open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Consent Calendar having received the Constitutional Majority, 140 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'nay', 20 voting 'present', received the Constitutional

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Majority is hereby declared passed. Consent Calendar, Second Reading, page 12 of the Calendar. Read the Bills, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Leone: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Legislative Day, Senate Bill 144, a Bill for an Act to require hotels. motels to post in their room floor plans showing exits. Senate Bill 731, a Bill for relationship to cycle rider safety training. Senate Bill 779, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to promotion of Conservation Education. Senate Bill 912, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Senate Bill 929, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Senate Bill 977, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to contest and exhibitions of various classes registered livestock at the Illinois State 982, a Bill for an Act to amend the Senate Bill Illinois Municipal Code. Senate Bill 1043, a Bill for enabling units of local government in the state to finance public work projects. Senate Bill 1062, a Bill for an Act to amend the Health Maintenance Organization Senate Bill 1074, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Senate Bill 1094, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Senate Bill 1105, a Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Together with attached Amendments, Second Reading of these Bills."
- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bills, Third Reading,
  Short Debate Calendar. Page two, Consent, Third Reading.
  Senate Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar, top of
  page two. Senate Bill 22, Representative Irv Smith. Are
  you ready? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 22, a Bill for an Act relating to benefits for certain military personnel. Third Reading of the Bill."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Speaker Peters: "Representative Smith."

- Smith: "Yes, this Bill would give the, all members who work for the state government the same privileges, if they're in the National Guard, as members of the AFSME Union, and I would ask for a favorable vote, Mr. Chairman."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Anyone in opposition? There being no one, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 22 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk, the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 149 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', 3 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 525, Representative Roman. I'm sorry again. Representative Piel. Senate Bill 525. Representative Piel. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 525, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to disclosure information of various credit and loan transactions. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Piel."

- Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  Basically, all this Bill does is bring the state in compliance with the federal law. Right now, under certain transactions, if they go by the state law, they're in violation of federal law. If they go under federal law, they're in violation of state law, and all this does is bring the two in compliance with each other. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Peters: "Anyone in opposition? There being no one, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 525 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk, the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 153 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', 6 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House(sic) Bill 543, Representative Sam Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 543, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Sam Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 543 makes several changes in the Motor Vehicle Code. Number one, it modifies the eligibility date for reduced fees for first division motor vehicles newly acquired. Second, it adds a provision requiring the Secretary of State to suspend for 30 days the drivers license of any person who violates a local ordinance similar to Section 111414 concerning the approaching. overtaking and passing of a school bus. The third thing that it does is it would permit only those vehicles specifically designed to transport motor vehicles a foot extension on the front and a four foot extension on the rear. These provisions only apply to vehicles which have obtained a permit from the Illinois Department of Transportation and organizations that pay an appropriate Approximately 26 states, including all of those contiguous to the State of Illinois, have similar overhang I would simply ask for the support of the provisions. House on this particular measure."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

Balanoff: "Who's the Sponsor? Where's the Sponsor?"

Speaker Peters: "Excuse me, Representative. Will you give the Lady and the Gentleman order, and would the individuals

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

between Representative Wolf and Balanoff please sit down."

Balanoff: "Okay. Thank you. Mr. Wolf, does this Bill permit truck trailers to be three feet and seven feet longer than they presently are permitted?"

Wolf: "No, this only permits a three foot extension on the front of the load extension. It doesn't permit any length, any additional length of the vehicle itself."

Balanoff: "You mean on the tractor part of it?"

Wolf: "That is right."

Balanoff: "Yes, and then you said there was permitted another longer tractor. At first you used the three feet and then you said a large number of feet were permitted."

Wolf: "No. I said three foot on the front and four foot on the rear."

Balanoff: "Making a total of seven feet longer than is presently permitted?"

Wolf: "That's correct."

Balanoff: "This Bill would permit trailers to be seven feet longer than they are presently permitted? Is that correct?"

Wolf: "This only applies to auto transit carriers. No other vehicles."

Balanoff: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Sam, if this increases the weight load, I oppose your Bill. Does it increase the weight load?"

Wolf: "This Bill has nothing to do with the weight load. Even with the extension the auto transit carrier would still be well below..."

Kosinski: "... situation of the end of the truck over the cab.

The cab situation, is that what it is?"

Wolf: "I'm sorry, Representative. I can't hear you."

Kosinski: "Well, is it a question of extending on a ..."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Wolf: "I still can't hear him."

Kosinski: "Alright. Is it just a question of extending it over the front wheels of the cab? Is that the idea of the Bill?"

Wolf: "Three foot in front of the front bumper. Four foot at the rear of the vehicle or any combination of vehicles."

Kosinski: "I see. This won't increase the weight load?"

Wolf: "No, Sir."

Kosinski: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, may I make a suggestion that you may remove unauthorized personnel off the floor and get the level down a little bit? No one can hear Mr. Wolf."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman properly asks that unauthorized personnel leave the floor. The Doorkeepers are instructed to ask non-Members and staff that is not properly on the floor at this time to leave the floor. Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

Preston: "By passage of this Bill, do I understand as you stated that the weight load requirement of these trucks will not increase at all?"

Wolf: "What I said was that the present weight load limitation by the State of Illinois will not be affected by this particular Bill. The present weight load limitation, I believe, is some 72,000. This Bill has nothing to do with that and would not increase that limitation."

Preston: "Do you have any information as what the turning rate is difference would be if a truck of this added length were allowed to go on highways?"

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Wolf: "I think this depends on the wehicles that they're hauling,

Lee."

Preston: "I'm sorry. I can't hear the Gentleman."

Wolf: "I say, I think this depends on the vehicles that the particular transit carrier is hauling."

Preston: "Wouldn't the Bill..."

Speaker Peters: "Excuse me, Representative. I think it would be helpful to all involved, if the Gentlemen standing at least in between the speaker's would please take their seats.

Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you. Wouldn't the ability of a truck that is extended now seven feet in length, the ability of that truck to navigate traffic in urban areas in Chicago be more difficult than it is now?"

Wolf: "No, I don't believe that it would, Lee. This particular legislation is the result of a test that was conducted by the Illinois Department of Transportation for some 12 months, and during that test there were some 4200 single trip permits were issued and it covered a span of 900,000 miles. Now, during that time, there was no incident whatsoever with regard to the overhang that we're talking about here. There were two accidents. One involved an auto transit carrier that wasn't loaded at the time so the overhang had nothing to do with it. The other involved a side swipe which had nothing to do with the So this is safe, and it's not opposed by the overhang. Illinois Department."

Preston: "Was any of this testing done in high traffic density areas like, as they would experience in Chicago?"

Wolf: "These trucks..."

Speaker Peters: "Excuse me. Representative Tuerk, for what purpose?"

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of order. We're on

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Short Debate Calendar, as I understand it, and it's been my understanding Short Debate allows one opponent to speak in behalf of the Bill. Now, if these people want to ask questions, I would suggest they can move it off Short Debate and go on to Full Debate and then open the thing wide open."

- Speaker Peters: "Your point is well taken. Representative
  Preston, requests it be taken off Short Debate. Are there
  ten Gentleman that join him? There are. Proceed."
- Preston: "My question was, Representative, if any of this testing that was done took place in high traffic density areas as the trucks would experience in Chicago?"
- Wolf: "It took place only where they re allowed and that's most on interstates and those highways in between. As far as traveling in high traffic areas, I doubt that these trucks would get into those areas."
- Preston: "Okay. Thank you very much for your candid answers."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Giglio. I'm sorry.

  Representative Schuneman first. Schuneman."
- Schuneman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor. Representative, I'm interested in the change that this Bill makes in the effective date for the reduced fees in motor vehicle registration. Does this affect the fees for automobiles as opposed to trucks?"
- Wolf: "The reduced fees that we're talking about here are only for newly acquired first division vehicles, newly acquired, Cal."

Schuneman: "First division vehicles are cars or trucks?"

Wolf: "Passenger cars."

Schuneman: "Okay, and how does it affect that change?"

Wolf: "It doesn't affect the fees a bit. It changes the effective date of the reduced, in the application of the reduced fee, and it simply changes it from June the 16th to

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

December the 31st, to June 15th and thereafter."

Schuneman: "There's no reduction in the..."

Wolf: "No change in the reduction."

Schuneman: "Okay. So, so people are still going to be able to get a reduced fee, if they buy a car after June 15th."

Wolf: "That's right."

Schuneman: "They're still going to be able to get the reduced fee. Is that correct?"

Wolf: "That's correct."

Schuneman: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just want to remind my colleagues, four years ago I had this same... Well, it wasn't a Bill. It was a Resolution, requested by the automobile industry and dealers and also the drivers of the car carriers, that we have a test program with DOT to do what we're doing with this Bill for two years to see what the impact would be towards the accident rate and the carrying of these cars with the overload. And, we came up with a negative accident rate. There wasn't one accident and with that and with the blessings of DOT we've put this Resolution in the form of a Bill. And with that, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf. J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, there seems to be some confusion here. The Digest shows J. J. Wolf as the Sponsor, and I would request that that be changed properly."

Speaker Peters: "Mr. Clerk, please make the proper change that the Sponsor is Sam Wolf. Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Peters: "Previous question has been moved. The question

61st Legislative Day

- June 11, 1981
- is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Sam Wolf, to close."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would simply remind the House that this Bill has nothing to do with weight limitations. There is no degree of safety involved here in that the testing conducted by the Illinois Department of Transportation indicated that there was no safety hazard. I would simply ask for the support of the House on this particular measure."
- Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 543 pass?'.

  Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Friedrich, to explain his vote."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the problem I have with this Bill is that added length. In delivering these cars to the dealers throughout the state, they always get into congested areas and turning the corners, and I invariably find myself trying to stay out of the way of some truck which takes up both lanes trying to turn a corner. Now, that may not be any more weight, but believe me, that added length is a pain in the neck when they get into a town."
- Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Leverenz, to explain his vote."
- Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Leverenz, to explain his vote."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. With 112 greens, I would not normally get up. Fact is, that the Amendment went on in Committee, and I apologize for having my name as the mover to put the

# 61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Amendment on in Committee. The explanation in Committee was simply that this length, extreme long length now, was explained that it was a Bill that came out of Committee and got lost on the floor because of the time to consider Bills on Third Reading, and to that, and it got lost. There was hardly any discussion of any length extensions on trucks at all when the Amendment was adopted in Committee. It was not the wisest move for us to make in Committee. I think you will find also that the Chairman of the Committee has removed his name from the Sponsorship of the Bill at the same time. We discussed it at length. I did indicate to the Sponsor that I would oppose the Bill. It was a shabby practice by those that were in Committee in support of the Amendment that snuck it through."

- Speaker Peters: "Representative Giorgi, to explain his vote. No?

  Representative Sam Wolf, to explain his vote."
- Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I take exception with the remarks of the last speaker. There was no intent at all in Committee to deceive, in any way, the Members of that Committee or anyone in attendance as to the content of this Bill. Now, Representative Leverenz was on the Committee. Senator Chew was there to testify. Informa... The same information was given in that Committee that was given on this House floor. I don't understand the remarks of the previous speaker. The Bill was properly represented in Committee, and I'm sure that the Chairman of that Committee will attest to that."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Leverenz, one minute on a point of order."
- Leverenz: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. My name was mentioned. I had not wanted to name the people that were involved that shot the Amendment onto the Bill. It happened to be those from the Motor Vehicles Laws

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Commission that did a fast shuffle and passed the Amendment out. It's unfortunate it was put on the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Schraeder."

- Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I hate to criticize the last speaker, but he's all wet. This Bill came out of the Motor Vehicles Committee 11 to nothing on a Consent Calendar, the Short Debate Calendar. He's absolutely wrong. This Bill is as presented by Representative Wolf. There's no, nothing shady about this. This Bill was clear all the way through and, I just can't understand why the other speaker would say what he did, and this followed a Resolution..."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Hoffman. Explain his vote for one minute."
- Hoffman: "No, Mr. Speaker, thank you, very much. I didn't intend
  to explain my vote. I merely rose to ask if the last
  speaker would give me a definition of acromony."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Ropp, to explain his vote."
- Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to also Ropp: clarify what actually went on in Committee. The Senate Sponsor was in there, and when the question was asked whether this is an attempt to provide one more vehicle to be hauled which hopefully would reduce the cost of transportation and reduce the costs thereby to the eventual purchaser of the autmobile, this was the intent. To reduce the cost of hauling where you could haul one more vehicle. I think the Amendment clearly states that these kinds of trucks can only go on major highways. They do not get involved in home areas, and I think this is a good Bill and ought to be passed."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Huskey, to explain his vote."

  Huskey: "Well, first I want to explain something on the

  Sponsorship of why my name was removed as Sponsorship. It

  wasn't removed, if you notice, I'm voting 'aye' on this

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Bill. My name was removed because Representative Wolf worked with the Motor Vehicle Commission during a long period of time in the studying the safety and so forth on The Bill was a Motor Vehicle Commissions Bill, and Representative Wolf is on the Motor Vehicle Commission and I thought it was only in reference to him that I remove my name as Sponsor and gave it to him. But I'm voting It's a good Bill. It's a consumers Bill. It's to help reduce the cost of automobiles. It puts that truck way up in the air over and above any automobile traffic, the automobile it hauls and I can't see, I've been in the trucking business most of my life, and I can't see any safety hazards that this Bill would present whatsoever. It is a good Bill..."

Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 103 voting 'aye', 48 voting 'nay', 14 voting 'present'.

This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 612, Representative McGrew. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 612, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 67(sic) is basically a very simple Bill. We do not have any record, any history of what is going on in terms of some of the attacks on personnel in schools and all it does is require that upon a written receipt of a complaint from any school personnel the superintendent shall report it to both the authorities and to the State Board of Education. The athorities within 24 hours, the State Board of Education within three days. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Anyone in opposition? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 612 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed.

  Representative Conti. Representative Conti. Go ahead."
- Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  These Bills are coming by pretty fast, and I just noticed here in the Digest that if a teacher reports an incident, and later on that incident is proven that the assault and battery was not correct that that teacher can become liable and become involved in a law suit for false arrest or false retention of some student. I think this should be taken off of Short Debate and discussed a little more."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman asks the this be taken from Short

  Debate. Are there ten individuals who join him? There

  are. Proceed, Sir."
- Conti: "Mr. McGrew, Representative McGrew, if the school official reporting a battery, will he be liable if later the accused was later found innocent of the battery charges?"
- McGrew: "Only if he is liable under existing laws. This Bill does not address that in any way."
- Conti: "I have no further questions."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Hoffman. Your light is on, Sir.

  Representative Katz."
- Matz: "I don't believe that the last answer of the Gentleman, that it does not address the question, is correct, because before, if this Bill does not pass, the superintendent of the school can decide for a variety of reasons that he should not report it. It may be because he is not sure of the facts and so he can use his own judgement. This Bill will force him in every case to report the facts, and it does seem to me that it might open up the superintendent in doubtful cases to the possibility of a law suit so that I don't believe that the answer that was given by the

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Gentleman really correctly states the situation that school principals will be facing. They will be forced to report it, whereas before, and subject themselves to a law suit whereas, without this Bill, they didn't have to report it prior to that time, and hence, they wouldn't have been subject to a law suit."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Peters: "Indicates he will."

that the superintendent shall report all incidents of battery committed against teachers. And my question to you is, in your definition, what is a battery? In other words, what I'm getting at... I know when I went to school, there were sometimes a real minor incident involving a student and a teacher. Under the definition of a battery, it could be determined a battery, yet it's a very quick incident that is solved right within the school, and the student is very sorry for what he or she did. The matter is resolved very quickly, and under this Bill even though the school authorities and the teacher have resolved that issue quite quickly, this Bill would mandate that that be reported within the 24 hours. Now, could you respond to that?"

McGrew: "Certainly, Sir. If you read the Bill very closely you see, 'upon written receipt'. In other words, the teacher must initiate the process the same as it is now. If the teacher is going to file charges, it should be done through the State's Attorney and so on and so forth. All this Bill attempts to do is to collect data to see how much of a problem we do have in the State of Illinois."

Matijevich: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, may I speak to the

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Bil1?"

Speaker Peters: "Proceed, Sir."

Epton: "I'm afraid I'm somewhat confused by some of the legal interpretation that's been raised by several Members. simply, if I were a principal, and a written complaint by a teacher were given to me, I sure as the Devil would turn it over to local authorities. To do otherwise, would leave me open to some litigation. 1 don't see how liable. superintendent becomes He's not filina complaint. He is simply doing as the Sponsor indicated. written complaint is received. It makes it mandatory. which it already should be. And I see nothing wrong with this Bill, and I see no legal repercussions arising from it."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Barr."

Barr: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the... It seems to me this Bill adds nothing to the present law. If a teacher is assaulted in any way, presumably has a complete freedom to report that him or herself and this doesn't add anything. There's no reason for this to go through the superintendent, and it does create, I think, the potential for possible increased liability. I don't see that it does any good for anybody, and for that reason, would urge the Members to vote 'no'."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Irv Smith."

Smith: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. I think one of the things this would help do would be to gather reliable statistics as far as the number of assaults on teachers. We don't have this, and because on so many occasions reports are not made for the reasons cited. And, I think this is a good Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I tend to concur..."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Speaker Peters: "Proceed."

Hoffman: "I tend to concur with the last two speakers, because I think it will ... I think what is true now is the fact that teacher can certainly file a complaint themselves, or if they go to their school principal, that person should, you know, forward that complaint to the police. But I think, secondly, that one of the main intents of this legislation is to give us some handle on how many kinds of incidents like this that take place, and also, Ladies and Gentlemen, whether we like it or not. there are some people in positions of administrative responsibility that would like to think this kind of thing would never happen in their building. And the fact of the matter is that it does happen. There may be an interest on their part to sweep it under the rug and leave the teacher appearing to have no support. You know, and I know, that if you want to run a school and educate young people, you have to have the proper atmosphere. And I think this Bill would help us. It certainly will not hurt us, and I would encourage your support."

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Representative McGrew, to close."

McGrew: "I think that I have done adequate. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 612 pass?'.

Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 136 voting 'aye', 18 voting 'nay', 7 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 783, Representative Hoffman. Out of the record. Senate Bill 899, Representative Wikoff. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 899, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to natural resources research data collection environmental studies of the Illinois Developmental Bond Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of The Senate Bill 899 was or is an administration Bill which did come out of the Senate as an agreed Bill. Basically, what it started out to do authorizes the Board of Natural Resources and Conservation to consider decide matters pertaining to natural history, geology, water, water resources and so on and so forth. There were two Amendments in the House. One of them changed the name of it. It was a technical Amendment which because of the changing originally, did not change in the original Bills the business and economic development name to Commerce Community Affairs and did change the title of it. One other Amendment which was placed on which was an agreed basically, establishes within the Institute of Amendment. Natural Resources, the Illinois Coal Research Board with the.. and the purpose of that is to support and coordinate Illinois coal research. There are monies available through the utilities taxes which are collected, which if are not spent, go back to the ... or go into the GRF Fund. again, is an agreement. There's some three million dollars involved which will go to coal research. One of the things that is very pertinent right now, I guess they're getting rather close to a break through at the Illinois, over at University of Illinois on the de-sulfurizing Illinois coal, which would permit it to be much more widely utilized than it is now. I know of no opposition to this Bill, and that's basically what it does."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Darrow."

61st Legislative Day

June 11. 1981

- Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was wondering if the Sponsor would be willing to take this out of the record now. We have no objections to it, but I think we have some technical problems, and we could correct with an Amendment."
- Wikoff: "Why didn't you bring them up sooner? I'll be happy to?"
- Speaker Peters: "Is it the editorial or the royal "we",
  Representative Darrow?"
- Darrow: "It's Tom Ohler, Mark O'Brien, Jeff Mays"
- Speaker Peters: "It's us'm and them'n. What's your pleasure,

  Representative Wikoff?"
- Wikoff: "If they've got a technical problem, I'll be happy to if it's..."
- Speaker Peters: "Cross your heart and hope to die, Representative
  Darrow?"
- Wikoff: "If there's a reason to."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Darrow, the Gentleman asks if, in truth, it's technical."
- Darrow: "It's a drafting error. I have no objection to it."
- Speaker Peters: "Out of the record. Senate Bills, Third Reading.

  Senate Bill 12, Representative Bower. Read the Bill, Mr.

  Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 12, a Bill for a Act to amend the Personnel Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Bower."
- Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill grants preference among applicants for state employment to current and retired members of the Illinois National Guard and Naval Malicia. This Bill was a recommendation of the special joint Committee of the House and Senate that investigated the National Guard. It passed the Senate 52 to nothing, and I would urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

is, 'Shall Senate Bill 12 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Darrow, to explain his vote. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 135 voting 'aye', 12 voting 'nay', 6 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 63, Representative Deuster. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 63, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 63 amends the Criminal Code to make it lawful for any person who's 18 years of age and over to carry an object that I have in my hand that we're all familiar with and that is one of these tear gas self-defense projectors. Right now, it's actually illegal to have this in your, on or about your person or in your automobile. This is Senate Bill 63 is essentially the same as a Bill that was introduced and sponsored by Representative Monroe Flinn, House Bill 83, which passed this House 155 to 1 a little earlier in April. This Bill passed the Senate 54 to 1. think it's a very important piece of legislation to make it lawful for Illinois citizens to have this self-defense type of devise, and of course we know particularly it is women who like to have these in their purse to protect themselves from attack. The Bill was amended in Committee by an Amendment offered by Representative Katz which adds an adjective that the noxious gas in here must be non-lethal, and it's also the device must be designed soley for personal defense. I would urge your continued support of this concept to help citizens of Illinois defend themselves

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

against attacks."

Speaker Peters: "On this question, Representative Giorgi."

- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, but before I ask him a question, I'd like to hear from the Representative that took the NRA on up in Morton Grove and get some advice from the Representative from Morton Grove here as to whether this is a good Bill, Mr. Jaffe. Can you tell us about your occurrences in Morton Grove when you took on the NRA and beat them in the ban of hand guns. Do you think we should allow this type of weapon to be used by our citizenry? Would Mr. Jaffe please answer that question?"
- Speaker Peters: "He'll do that in his explanation, Sir.

  Representative Leinenweber."
- Leinenweber: "I wanted to ask the Gentleman a question whether or not there's retroactive provision in here so that those people who have possession of them today would not be in violation of the law?"
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Deuster."
- Deuster: "I would hope that there would be some merciful prosecutorial discretion and that no one would pursue me or you if I hand it to you for possessing it purely for educational purposes. Thank you."
- Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? Representative Deuster, to close."
- Deuster: "I think the House understands this. I would appreciate your affirmative vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 63 pass?'.

  Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 157 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 116, Breslin. Out of the record. Senate Bill 125, Leinenweber. Out of the record. Senate Bill 139, Bower. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill..."

- Sepaker Peters: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 142, Getty. Out of the record. Senate Bill 167, Sandquist. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 167, a Bill for an Act to amend the
  Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities
  Confidentiality Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Sandquist."

- Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 167 does just what the Digest says. It amends the Mental Health Confidentiality Act to provide that when a person raises defensive insanity in a criminal case then the records of the therapist and the communications between the recipient and the therapist may be admissable. At the present time, it's admissable in a civil or in an administrative proceeding. It passed the Senate 52 to nothing and passed the Judiciary II Committee by a 13 to nothing vote. I'd ask a favorable vote."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Brummer. Will the Ladies and Gentlemen between Representative Brummer and the Sponsor please be seated?"
- Brummer: "Yes, does the defendant have a right to object to the admissability of those records?"
- Sandquist: "The defendant is the one who invokes by using the defense of insanity. If he doesn't use that, then it can't be introduced."
- Brummer: "By automatically raising the defense of insanity, does he waive the confidentiality of those records?"
- Sandquist: "Yes, he does."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Question of the Sponsor. Will he yield?"

Speaker Peters: "He ... Yes."

- Davis: "Representative Sandquist, following Representative

  Brummer's line of questioning, just one or two more. Are

  all records of the therapist involved including the tapes

  and whatever notes he would have kept during therapy

  sessions?"
- Sandquist: "Whatever's involved. The confidentiality which is in there now would be removed if the person raises the defense of insanity."
- Davis: "One further question then. During the proceeding, if he raises that defense and the confidentiality is removed, are the proceedings in camera in the trial court?"
- Speaker Peters: "Part of what is happening is there are open microphones and people around the Gentlemen who are answering questions are also speaking and it is reverberating about the chamber adding to the confusion we are usually in. I'm sorry, Sir. Repeat the question, please."
- Davis: "The question is, is the trial court then the proceedings in camera? Are they in chambers or in camera with the jury, the judge and those party to the criminal prosecution?"
- Sandquist: "No. All that's involved is you can't use the confidentiality, as a failure to have the communications used, if the person raises the insanity as a defense."
- Davis: "Well, there are times in therapy sessions when other issues and other matters are brought forth that could be highly compromising to individuals not pertaining to the prosecution, and I'm wondering if this Bill should not have been amended, and I apologize for this late date of raising

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

it, Elroy, but I'm wondering if that hearing should not be in camera. It's just a point, and it bothers me. I used to be a therapist."

Sandquist: "Well, that's really what's not involved in here, I don't think, Jack."

Davis: "Okay."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

Jaffe: "Roy, what would be included under therapist? Would that be a psychiatrist, a psychologist and everyone else?

Anybody at all who would be a therapist?"

Sandquist: "It would be a qualified person who normally would have the, in the act, who would have the right to have the confidentiality raised."

Jaffe; "I'm talking about therapists. That could be a physician.

It could be a psychiologists. It could be a psychiatrist.

Isn't that correct?"

Sandquist: "That's right."

Jaffe: "And then you would be able to bring in all their records.

Is that correct?"

Sandquist: "You could bring in their records, but it's the person who invokes it is the one who's using..."

Jaffe: "I understand that, but the problem is that this person could be undergoing therapy for ten years, and there could be all sorts of things in the records for a period of ten years that would have no bearing upon this particular trial. Isn't that correct?"

Sandquist: "We're only talking about the trial, this trial when he's raising insanity as a defense."

Jaffe: "Yes, well, if I may speak to the Bill. I don't think that the question has been answered, and perhaps the Sponsor can answer it, but the thing that bothers me is we

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

may be talking about a person who'd in therapy for a long period of time who's talked about a lot of issues who'd involved in a lot of people over ten years and his fantasies and everything else that might be involved there. Stuff that really cannot be cross examined or anything else and all of a sudden you're saying that for a period of ten years you're going to bring in all this stuff and you're going to open up those records and you're going to permit all that stuff be allowed. If that's the case and you're not telling me otherwise, I don't..."

Sandquist: "No, no. That is not the case, Reverend Jaffe. The usual rules of germaneness and relavancy, all of that applies, so you couldn't bring in something that's way beyond. It has to do..."

Jaffe: "I would beg to differ with you for this reason, because germane, you know. When you're talking about germaneness over a period of something ten years ago happened that actually affected this particular individual ten years later and who was involved and so on and so ... I think we're getting into a very, a very sticky area, and I really think that I would have to oppose this Bill, because I think there are too many things that can go into mental health records over a long period of time that could be discussed at a trial that would have absolutely nothing to do with that particular incidence or with that particular individual. I think you would be bringing in all sorts of people that you shouldn't be bringing in. Unless you can give me a better explanation for it, I'm going to oppose the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

Satterthwaite: "Representative Sandquist, as I..."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Speaker Peters: "Excuse me, Representative Satterthwaite. Ladies and Gentlemen, it is very difficult to hear, especially when individuals are in between the people speaking or around the microphones that are open. Proceed, ma'an."

Satterthwaite: "As I read the Bill on page four which really does not make any changes in the current law, it looks to me as though that Section really is the crux of the questions that have been addressed to you. Would you say that particularly Section 8B would give the kind of guarantee that the questioners seem to have in mind that, in fact, not everything need be disclosed. It looks to me as though the current language indiciates that the court or agency may prevent disclosure or limit disclosure to the extent that other admissable evidence is insufficient to establish facts, and they may, in fact, decide what may be pertinent to the case, and you're not changing that portion of the current law in any way. Is that right? Thank you."

Sandquist: "That is correct. That is still in there."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "This Bill simply says that you can't have it both ways. If you're a patient, and you want to keep your psychiatric history a matter of privacy, you don't have to raise the defense of insanity. But if you do, in trying to defend yourself from having committed a crime, yourself raise the issue that you were insane, then the people of the state are entitled to get the evidence as to your mental And the best evidence of your mental condition condition. would be the records of your psychiatric treatment. the law is no different in other areas. For example, if you want to keep private your treatment with a regarding an injury, you can do so, but if you choose to sue somebody else for that injury, then your privacy doesn't attach anymore. If you choose to go to court to

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

sue somebody, they have a right to depose your doctor and find out the facts concerning it, because by the act of suing the individual, you have waived your right to the same way, a person who chooses to defend themselves from a criminal charge by alledging that they were insane, places an issue to the question of their mental state, and the best evidence of their mental state appears in psychiatric records. They have chosen to raise the defense. They then can no longer hide behind privilege. They have raised the issue. The jury and the people are entitled to the facts. That's why I think this is a fair and just Bill and the reason why I support it." Speaker Peters: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I submit to you that what it does, what this Bill does is give a person who has undergone therapy a You will be precluded from claiming insanity defense if indeed you ever want to protect confidentiality of your records or the confidentiality of statements made about other people. I don't think the privilege was intended to give people a hobson's choice to put people in a position in which they could not undergo therapy and have the availability of that defense available to them, and I would encourage ... I just think this Bill is, while it's well intentioned, it goes to destroying not only the privilege but it becomes ... has a chilling affect on people having the confidence to say what they will in the privacy of their therapists or the psychiatrists office. I would vote 'no'."

- Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? There being none, Representative Sandquist, to close."
- Sandquist: "I just like to reiterate what Representative Katz said and what Representative Satterthwaite says. This

# 61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

does not change the Bill, except that when it's used in a criminal case as in a civil when a person invokes it himself, then the confidentiality is lost. I'd ask your 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Peters: "Question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 167 pass?'.

  Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk, the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Yourell... Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 147 'aye', 11 'nay', 4 voting 'present'. This Bill having recieved the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Yourell, for what purpose, Sir?"
- Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have been trying for about six weeks to have a meeting of the Election Laws Commission to consider some very important changes in election law presented by the State Board of Election and others and not knowing that we would be in Session commencing at 5:00 this evening I did once again schedule a meeting. And my purpose in rising is to ask leave to have the Election Laws Commission meet at the same time the House is in Session."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman makes a proper request so that the Commission on Election Laws may meet while the House is in Session. The Gentleman asks leave of the Attendance Roll Call to suspend the appropriate rule. Does... Is there objection? There being none, the Gentleman has leave.

  Representative Yourell."
- Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Members of the Commission know where the meeting's going to be held so it won't be necessary to make that announcement. So, please be there as promptly as

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

possible."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Yourell, would you tell the Clerk where you're going to be in case... Thank you. Senate Bill 171, Representative Hannig. Out of the record. Senate Bill 181, Representative McMaster. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 181, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. Senate Bill 181 amends the Public Aid Code to provide the reimbursement for nursing home support cost cannot be lower than the 70th percentile of all facilities. support costs within that nursing homes grouping. nursing home reimbursement system has been under constant criticism by the nursing home industry and senior citizens advocacy groups as being insufficient to meet the costs of caring for public aid patients. Illinois is one of the lowest states, ranking 44th out of the 49 in percent of Medicaid spent on nursing homes. Illinois spends about 27 and 28 percent of its Medicaid money on long term care. while the average state spends about 42 percent of its total Medicaid allocation on long term care. I would try to answer any questions and would urge a unanimous..."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Matijevich."
Matijevich: "Representative McMaster, I'm speaking with some staff here in regards to your Bill on line 76... or lines 21 rather through 25 on the first page where you say, '...It shall not be less than the 70th percentile for the group in which the facility is classified...'. And my question is, you... to you is, we think that what you want ... Are you sure that that's want you what or does the language... Should the language read, '..Shall be not more

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

- than the 70th percentile...'? In other words, I think I know what you want but, I'm just wondering if the wording is proper and it should not read, '...Shall be not more than the 70th percentile...'."
- McMaster: "Johnny, I think, really, if we were to say, '..Not more than the 70th percentile...', you would be restricted... restricting it and it could not be more. It does not say how much less it could be. What we are trying to do in this Bill is say that it must at least be the 70th percentile. And that would give the department permission to go higher if they so desired."
- Matijevich: "Okay. I just wanted to make sure of what you wanted, Tom."
- Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? Representative...

  Representative Chapman."
- Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"
- Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."
- Chapman: "Did you indicate what the cost of Senate Bill 181 would be..."
- McMaster: "It is estimated, Eugenia, that the estimated cost the first year would be 17 and 1/2 million and the second year approximately 42 million."
- Chapman: "Forty-two million. Now we are talking about state dollars, not federal dollars. Is that right?"
- McMaster: "I would assume that a portion of this money would come from federal dollars and probably a portion from state.

  It's the Department of Public Aid and Public Health that allocate the money out. But I'm quite sure, Eugenia, that some of that is federal money."
- Chapman: "Representative, my understanding is this would be a 50-50 match so that it would be about... possibly 20 million in federal money and 20 million in state money.

  Now, you may..."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

HcMaster: "The second year..."

Chapman: "You may know -if I may address the Bill- You may that there was a Joint Committee of the House and the Senate which studied the issue of nursing care reimbursement and made some very specific recommendations to this Legislature. One of them was that the Auditor General's report showed that, indeed, the reimbursement method was impossible to understand, implement, inequitable and unfair. I am going to support Representative McMaster's Bill, but I do think that we should know that in a year, where proposals are being made by the Governor to contain costs, that there is substantial cost when you vote 'yes' on Senate Bill 181." Speaker Peters: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of Senate
Bill 181. I want to commend the Sponsor for sponsoring
this legislation. It is very important at a time when we
have requested that certain health providers, particularly
in the nursing home industry, reform conditions within
those facilities, that we also recognize concomitant to
that type of reform is added cost. Representative
McMaster's Bill, which is a cost reimbursement proponent,
does indeed set a reasonable limit of 70 percent for those
facilities to comply with the model nursing home reform
legislation that we passed two years ago. I think it's a
fine Bill, and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I, too, will support this Bill. One of the things that has been a problem down through the years is that many private pay people have had to subsidize people who were on public aid though they may be living in the same room or staying in the same room or even right next door. This is a good start. I eventually

61st Legislative Day

- June 11. 1981
- think that it ought to be closer, but this will be a Bill that private pay people will get some relief as well as this will give assistance to public aid people. So, I think this is a good Bill, and I urge your support."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative McMaster to close.

  Representative Dunn. John Dunn."
- Dunn, John: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."
- Dunn, John: "How are the costs which are reported to 70 percentile determined? As I read the analysis, it seems to indicate that the minimum now will be what 70 percent of the nursing homes in the area are charging. Is that correct?"
- McMaster: "Yes, John, the components that make up the reimbursement rate are the support costs, the nursing costs and capital costs."
- Dunn, John: "Not to prolong this, let me just follow up with a question. What is to prevent all the nursing homes in a particular area from getting their costs up by a means of talking back and forth among themselves, so that they are always assured that 70 percent of them will have their costs high enough so that they all get reimbursed 100 percent of what they really want? How does this system prevent that?"
- McMaster: "John, for one thing, I think the free enterprise system would prevent that. Secondly, in downstate at least, I don't know about your county, John, but we do have a rather good county nursing home in my own personal county and in several of our counties within my Legislative District. These, being public nursing homes, county nursing homes, are in a position that they're costs are closely scrutinized by the county board and the members of

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

the Nursing Home Committee. One of the problems that we have had in all of our county homes is the fact that we are more or less required or obligated to take quite a few public aid patients. We do not feel that we can turn them away. Because of the low reimbursement rate of the Department of Public Health and Public Aid, we find that the private care patients are, in effect, subsidizing the state patients, the patients that the state is obligated for. And I do not think that this should occur that way, John."

Dunn, John: "Thank you, Mr. Sponsor ... "

Speaker Peters: "Representative...I'm sorry. Proceed, Sir.
Representative Dunn."

John: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. It seems perhaps I'm Dunn, coming along late with this criticism and comment because the Sponsor is, I think, attempting to amend what has been the existing practice. But if the rate upon which these percentile figures are based is set by the nursing homes themselves, then there is nothing to prevent them from getting together to make sure that the rates are conveniently high. And that possibility, coupled with the price tag of this Bill, leaves me, unfortunately, to recommend opposition to the Bill, not because of the nursing home industry -and we have many fine nursing homes in my area who do an excellent job and who give quality care at the most reasonable prices that they can -but to register an objection to the system that we are using and also to add emphasis to the fact, that I don't think we should be increasing our reimbursements to nursing homes, if we cannot take care of the welfare themselves, who are in dire need of an increase in cost of living and who are losing some of their hospital out-patient benefits as we have discussed earlier. So. I

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

unfortunately recommend opposition to this piece of legislation."

Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? There being none, Representative McMaster to close."

McMaster: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. I think that we have had a good discussion on this Bill. I explained the situation that the county nursing homes and many others are in, in regard to public aid I feel that the Federal Government has asked that the States should be reimbursing at the cost of care. And we in Illinois, at least, have never been anywhere near reimbursing our nursing homes for the actual cost of care. As far as the nursing homes themselves setting the rate, don't think that this would be possible after all the Department of Public Health and Public Aid are the ones that examine all of these costs and do determine the rate. and I do not feel that, as Mr. Dunn, (John), thinks, it would become a monopoly type of thing that they would be fixing their own rates high enough so that they would be guaranteed a hundred percent or more of their costs. 1 would urge support of this Bill."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 181 pass?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed bу voting nay. The voting is open. Representative Wolf. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Add McClain to the Roll. There are 130 voting, 134 voting 'aye', 'nay', 3 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared Senate Bill 197, Representative Huskey. Out of passed. the record. Senate Bill 220, Representative McMaster. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 220, a Bill for an Act to amend the

61st Legislative Day

the noise,

June 11, 1981

Senate Bill 220 is similar to House

Nursing Home Car Reform Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the noise level is really impossible. Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and thank you for cutting down

Sir.

Bill 580 which I passed out of here several weeks ago and which was passed out of Senate Committee and is now on Second Reading of the Senate floor. Senate Bill 220 is not as complete as 580 is, and in actuality, Senate Bill does concern itself with the amount of hours required for the training of aids in nursing homes. It has been previously that the Department of Public Realth required 120 hours of education for nurses aid training. Under Senate Bill 220, as well as under House Bill 580, that I this would be changed to require proficiency passed. In the event, of course, that the nurses aid applicant was not proficient in the skills of the work. then they would be required to go to school till they acquired sufficient knowledge to be able to pass proficiency test. I don't think that necessarily 120 hours of schooling does give proficiency. It gives education, but perhaps not actual practice. I think that the proficiency test is good, and I would urge your support." Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 220 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 160 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'nay', none voting 'present', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 228, Representative Lechowicz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 228, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 228 is enabling legislation that was approved by the voters of Illinois in changing the State Constitution in reference to the revenue tax sales on delinquent property. This establishes а six-month redemption period for property sold for non-payment of It establishes that property qualifying for the six-month redemption be vacant, non-farmed real estate or real estate containing improvements consisting of structures of which contain seven or more residential estate which is commercial or industrial units. Real property. This Bill would take effect immediately. again, a confirmation of a proposal that was approved by the voters in the last November election. I'd be than happy to answer any questions. I solicit your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Barr."

Barr: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

Barr: "Is the sole purpose of this Bill, Representative Lechowicz to implement the Constitutional Amendment?"

Speaker Peters: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "That is correct. Basically, the Constitutional Amendment called for a scavenger sale within a 90 day period. That has proved to be unworkable. This makes it a six-month period."

Barr: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 228 pass? Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

'nay'. Mr. Clerk, the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 156 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 388, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 388, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this amends the Illinois Vehicle Code. It removes the limitation on semi-trailers but stipulates that the overall combination of a tractor trailer shall remain at its current maximum of sixty feet. What this Bill does, it's endorsed by IDOT...it's favor of this proposal because this puts the Bill in the form that is the trend throughout the country, and passed out of Committee 13 to nothing, and I'd appreciate your support." Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 388 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Collins, 'aye'. On this question there are 138..34 voting 'aye', 18 voting 'nay', 18 voting 'present', 8 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Membership may be interested in knowing that objections have been filed for anyone wishing to add or change their votes. So better do them when we're on the Bill. Bill 412, Representative Bower. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 412, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Illinois Pension Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

61st Legislative Day

June 11. 1981

Speaker Peters: "Representative Bower."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 412 is identical to a Bill previously passed by the House. It raises the regular interest rate for the state teacher's retirement system from 5% to 6%. It has no cost to the state. In fact, it will save some money. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 412 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk, the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 159 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 443, Representative Wolf, J.J. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 443, a Bill for an Act to amend the Bingo License and Tax Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill clarifies the language as who may conduct bingo games in Illinois. It has been sponsored in the Senate by Senator Lemke and Becker and in the House by myself with Representative Topinka and Kornowicz. The.. this amends the Bingo and Tax Act, requires the promoter of bingo games to have a proprietary interest in each promoted, adds ethnic, youth, athletic and seniors citizen organizations to the list of those eligible for the license, and it has a grandfather clause. To permit those, the continued licensing of those who are licensed prior to December 31, 1979. This has been worked out completely with the Department of Revenue to their satisfaction. There was a question raised as to what

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

constitutes proprietary interest. We had had conversation with the department. They have no trouble with it...they interpret proprietary interest as one having to be a member of that organization and therefore, a non-member would not be eligible for any of the proceeds. I think it is a good Bill. It's desired by many of your community and senior citizen organizations, and I would move for its adoption and passage."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Topinka."

Topinka: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is really an outstanding Bill and in our area especially where we do have fraternal organizations and ethnic groups. This will be a great boon to them. I certainly would encourage you to vote for this. It is a nice bipartisan Bill and a great American one. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 443 pass? Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Mr. Clerk, the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 158 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', 5 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 448, Representative Leverenz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 448, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 448 is exactly as it states in the synopsis digest, with the exception of one small change in Amendment in the House. It changes the word 'recklessly' to 'knowingly'. It would provide that anyone that prepares

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

a false document, which authorizes the disbursement of public funds, would be a violation under the official misconduct. If you'd now change a report, it is official misconduct. This would provide a person that makes out a document from scratch. A new document entirely would also be misconduct. I solicit your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 448 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Clerk, the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 164 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 484, Representative Ronan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 486, Representative Davis. Out of the record. Senate Bill 487, Representative Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk." Friedrich.

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 487, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regulatory Agency Sunset Act and the Water Well and Pump Installation Contractors Licensing Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Friedrich."

Priedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this would exempt the water well drillers and pump installers from the Sunset Act. This is an area that is very sensitive to the public health because those who are responsible for drilling water wells have the, if the well is improperly drilled, it will pollute the various aquifers and, of course, create all kinds of problems. And it's also true with the pump installers. The Department of Public Health testified before the Sunset Committee in favor of keeping this as a licensed group and the Bill came out of the House

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Committee 14 to 1. I'd be glad to answer a question, but I think that generally explains the problem."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 487 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'.

The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Pardon. Representative Currie, to explain her vote."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The yes votes on this Board are Currie: directly contradictory to the recommendations of the Sunset Committee. The Sunset Committee that was overwhelmingly adopted by this House and by the Senate in 1979. true that it is important to protect the public health through the regulation of water wells themselves. But there is nothing in the licenture of the diggers that that end. achieves The Sunset Committee recommended tightening the procedures for enforcement to ensure there will not be polluted water in the.. or wells that interfere with the aquifers, but we strongly recommended that we end licenture of the well diggers themselves. There is no justification in the need to protect the public health and safety to continue that program. And the cost of the consumer of that kind of regulation is substantial. I urge more 'no' votes if this Legislature really meant it when it said yes to the Sunset principal."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Oblinger, to explain her vote."
Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, I
know what the Sunset Commission recommended, but I assure
you I think we who live where we have wells know what we
need better than that Commission does. And the pump
installation is far more difficult. We know you have
tighter regulations but we also want the licenture of the
people who do the installing. And I think we are the kinds
of people who ought to know as much about this as possible,

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

and I urge you to vote 'yes' because it is a health question."

Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 513, Representative Terzich. Out οf the record. Senate Bill Representative Kosinski. Out of the record. Senate Bill 524, Representative Kelley. Representative Jim Kelley. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 524, a Bill for an Act to amend the Child Care Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Kelley."

Kelley: "Yes.."

Speaker Peters: "Give the Gentleman your attention."

Kelley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly, Bill 524 is an amended version of House Bill 1004 that's on the Spring Calendar. It exempts from licensing as Day Care Centers programs operated as an 'intrigal' part of a local church ministry or a religious and unprofit elementary This Bill with the Amendment requires that all of school. state health at far safety standards must be met of these facilities. The goal of this Bill is to exempt church operated pre-schools for the Department of Children and Family Service licensors. This is for the church that mothers usually operate as teachers and women of that particular church can go to work and leave their children in an atmosphere that they feel safe with. I would urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Preston."

Preston: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

- Preston: "Under church ministry, does that include synagogues and other type of religious institution ministry?"
- Kelley: "Yes, and we were going to put and Amendment on. I don't know..."
- Preston: "I filed an Amendment for this, Representative, and I..."
- Kelley: "Mr. Speaker, can that Amendment be put on on Third Reading? I agree with this..."
- Speaker Peters: "Well, if we could bring this back to Second

  Reading for the purpose of this Amendment that would be helpful."
- Kelley: "Can it. Okay, if we bring to Second, can we still hear it tonight, Mr. Speaker?"
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Kelley, if it's brought back to Second to be amended it... we will not vote on it today.

  You can vote on it tomorrow, the day after, next week, or two weeks from now."
- Kelley: "I'd like to go with the Bill them at this point, because we may get bogged out and the end of the.."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you. I am speaking to the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Peters: "Proceed, Sir."

Preston: "The Bill as it is currently drafted, I think, is discriminatory and is not in proper form for passage, and I would urge people not to vote for this Bill on Third Reading in its present form. If the Sponsor will not bring it back to Second to cure the defects in accordance with the conversation we had in the Amendment that I introduced that I filed I would urge people to vote 'no' because this is clearly discriminatory and the language of the Bill itself does not convey what the intent of the Sponsor is. So, if it is not going to be amended, I would ask people to vote 'no' on this Bill."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

- Speaker Peters: "Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House.."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Bowman, excuse me.

  Representative Kelley, what purpose, Sir."
- Kelley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I completely agree with the Amendment that my colleague had. My concern is if we can get to this Bill. I'm agreeable to bring it back, if I have some assurances that we will get another vote on Third. I want to be sure I can get a vote."
- Speaker Peters: "The best that the Chair can indicate to you that it would seem that we should be able to get back to the Bill, if you bring it back for an Amendment. However, the Chair would not want to prejudice the standing of your Bill by whatever he might say. It's a decision, you Sir, have to make. We have nineteen days left in the Session."
- Kelley: "Alright, Mr. Speaker, I'll take it back for my colleague's Amendment. Can we get the Amendment on this evening?"
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman asks.. Representative Tuerk, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Tuerk: "Well, just a question, Mr. Speaker. Is the Amendment duly filed, and is it printed and distributed?"
- Speaker Peters: "It is. The Gentleman asks leave.. the Gentleman asks leave to bring Senate Bill 524 back to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave? Is there objections? The Gentleman has leave. Senate Bill 524, Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Preston, amends Senate Bill..."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Preston, Amendment #1."
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What Amendment #1 simply does is add to the present Bill after the word 'church'. It

61st Legislative Day

- June 11, 1981
- adds a comma and includes the word 'synagogues, or other religious institution.' So that the Bill clearly covers any church day care centers, or synagogue day care centers or other religious institutional day care centers."
- Speaker Peters: "Now, there are an awful lot of lights on. Are the lights on the Amendment or on the Bill? If they are on the Bill, please shut them off. On the Amendment, Representative Huff."
- Huff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield to a question?"
- Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."
- Huff: "Just simply this, Representative Preston. Would you please go over what that Amendment does again one more time?"
- Preston: "The Amendment simply makes clear that the Bill applies to church, synagogues or other religious institution day care centers. Right now the reading of the Bill says any church ministry, and I'm just adding the words 'church, synagogue or other religious institution.'"
- Huff: "Thank you."
- Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Representative Wikoff.

  What the Amendment does is add the word 'synagogue' in case
  you didn't hear it. Representative Bartulis, on the
  Amendment."
- Bartulis: "Representative Preston, does this include the moonies also? It does doesn't it?"
- Preston: "This includes any religious institutional day care center. If they're considered a church, it would include the moonies it would include any religious institutional day care center. Not on.. as it's written right now it may very well also include them because, I think, they consider themselves the church. So in the present form, I think it includes them as well. This Bill includes synagogues and a

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

catch all of other religious institutions."

Bartulis: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, be careful of this
Amendment."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Henry. Representative Chapman, on this Amendment."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm going to support this Amendment because it corrects one minor problem in the Bill, but it also highlights the many other imperfections in this legislation so I hope that no one here thinks in voting for this Amendment that you are correcting the serious defects in this Bill. They still exist."

Speaker Peters: "On the Amendment, Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, Representative Preston, was it your intent to simply include the word 'synagogue' in this Bill? It seems to me that what you're doing here is not only including synagogues, and I think we all support that idea, but it seems to me that you're broadening this to the point where we might have almost any organization that says we are a religious organization and for that reason we don't have to be licensed. And if that's what you're doing, I think we should reject your Amendment. Could you respond to that?"

Speaker Peters: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "I can try to respond to that. I.. what my intent is if
we are including, if we are making a special case on behalf
of church there may institutions that call themselves
temple. There may be religious organizations that call
them, I don't know what they might call themselves. I'm
certainly, specifically including synagogues and trying to
broaden it so that it includes people who may belong. I
don't know what the high temple considers themselves
something other than a church or synagogue but something
that's entitled to the same protection if we're giving

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

special protection at churchs and or synagogues."

Schuneman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to the Amendment, it seems to me that the Representative who offers the Amendment offered it and received permission to have this Bill returned to Second Reading in order to add the word 'synagogue'. And I don't think the House generally would disagree with that but it does seems to me that what we're doing now is opening this provision whereby licensing would be excused to all kinds and all manners of organizations which may claim to be religious, but are not generally recognized as religious organizations. And it seems to me that this Amendment in its present form should be rejected."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Amendment. I'd just like to point out to the membership, I sit on that Committee, and I questioned the proponents of the legislation when they came before the Committee. And I said in the Bill in its present form, would it include the moonies? Would it include the Hari Krishnas? Would it include the Guru Maharashis Divine Light Mission? All of which by the way have facilities in my district, and the proponents of this legislation said it would. So Representative Preston's Amendment doesn't expand the Bill in that respect. It just adds synagogues."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Slape."

Slape: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Peters: "Reluctantly, Representative Preston."

Slape: "Lee, with this.. Here I am, Lee, down front down here."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Slape. He's on your side."

Slape: "Will this include day care centers for mushrooms?"

Preston: "Yes, specifically that's included in the Amendment,

Representative."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Slape: "Very good."

Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? Representative Friedrich."

- Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield? I fail to see why synagogues or schools operated by the Jewish religion group...religious group would not be included in the original Bill. I don't know why you have to spell out synagogues because it refers to the Jewish group anymore than you have to refer out to other things. My digest says, 'or religious, not profit elementary school.' Now, why couldn't that be a school operated by the Jews?"
- Preston: "May I respond, Mr. Speaker. Because in my reading of the Bill it says 'church or other non-profit religious wording.' There could be that might not include a synagogue that does not run a school, but only runs a day care center."
- Friedrich: "Would you not be a not for profit religious organization? I thought you were."

Preston: "Sure we'd be a not for profit organization.."

Friedrich: "You are included."

- Preston: "According to your reading I'm sure that's so. I read it as carefully as my abilities, as I admit are limited.

  But according to my abilities I read the language and it wasn't crystal clear to me, so I thought I would add the word so it's very crystal clear."
- Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, on the Amendment, I think we're trying to cure a problem that doesn't exist."
- Speaker Peters: "God forbid we should have something controversial. Representative Bower."
- Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was a Member of the Committee that heard this Bill and heard the House version of it. I think it was clear to the membership of that Committee that it was to...

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

that this Bill as was introduced by Representative Kelley covers all organized religion. I think that the Amendment is an attempt to kill the Bill. It certainly was intended to include synagogues, as well as churches of the Christian religion. I think it is merely an effort to kill a very fine Bill, and for that reason I'll vote against the Amendment. Not because I'm going to vote against synagogues being included. They're already included in the existing Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Henry."

Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield?"

Preston: "No, Mr. Speaker. Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry. Yes, I certainly will."

Speaker Peters: "Proceed, Representative Henry."

Henry: "Yes, Lee, ... sure that you understand that there's a gang in Chicago that call themselves the 'Elrukins' and they consider themselves a religious institution. Will they also be covered by the Amendment and also the Bill?"

Preston: "In answer to that, I think they would clearly be covered by the Bill as originally written without this Amendment. However, with this Amendment there are some other organizations, other than the one you referred to, that may not be covered. Synagogues, for example, in the Bill as now, as it now stands, I think the organization you refer to would clearly be covered but synagogues would not clearly be covered. And I would like to see to it they are clearly covered."

Henry: "Are you saying, Lee, that with the Bill as it is without your Amendment the 'Elrukin' gang could be included in the Bill and also in the Amendment?"

Preston: "Yes, if they call themselves a church, I think they are clearly covered by the Bill without my Amendment."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Henry: "Bad Bill with a bad Amendment. Thank you."

Oh, Speaker Peters: "Representative Bullock. I a sorry. Representative Brunner has been waiting, Representative Representative Bullock, you'll understand it's Bullock. my affirmative action program. Representative He wants to cut it off Brummer. qο ahead, Representative Bullock-Oh. do so you. Fine. Representative Preston, to close."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I really didn't expect this
Amendment to be all that controversial. I thought it was
simply clarifying the language in accordance with the
actual intent of the Sponsor, and I wasn't expecting all
this conversation on it. All my Amendment does is include
within church make it clear that include synagogues and
organizations that call themselves temple instead of
church. That's all."

question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 Speaker Peters: "The House (sic) Bill 524 be adopted? Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed by saying 'no'. opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it. One, two. Two people only. One, two, three. The rules provide for at least four. Roll Call Vote. We have to wait for the Clerk to read. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 524 pass? Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if this was such a bad Bill, I don't know why we didn't kill it on Third Reading. By accepting this Amendment and by those of you who are voting green on it you wanted this Bill killed in the first place because what Mr. Preston is trying to do is already in the Bill. So if it's your intention to kill this Bill entirely, then go ahead and put

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

green votes on it. I don't know why you didn't do it in the first place on Third Reading instead of putting this Amendment opening the door for every kook organization in the State of Illinois to become involved on a free ticket and a free lunch."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Ropp."

"Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill started out as a real good Bill, to provide some attention to comply with the Constitution whereby there was no, separation between the church and state. It's getting to the point now that it is so wide open to include groups who almost everybody in this chamber are opposed to because of their rules, because of their antics and because of the way that in many of our opinions is unamerican. The Sponsor had good faith in letting the Sponsor of the Amendment enter this, and I think the Sponsor of Amendment is attempting to make a bad move in this direction. I urge you to defeat this Amendment so that the original Sponsor can have a good Bill that is vitally needed here in Illinois."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Jones, to explain his vote."

Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am voting 'yes' for this Amendment. I do not consider the synagogue a kook organization, but I do believe that the Bill as is originally written will automatically include all the kooks. All this Bill does is make a bad Bill worse and we should be defeating in the first place because I believe everyone as dealing with youngsters should be licensed be it church or any other not for profit organization. So I intend to vote against the Bill. I am voting for this Amendment, but I'm not voting the Amendment to kill the Bill. The Bill is already bad."

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? There being none, take the

61st Legislative Day

- June 11, 1981
- record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 67 voting 'aye', 86 voting 'nay'. The Amendment is lost. Third Reading. Senate Bill 534, Representative Preston."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 534, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Vinson: "Will the Gentleman take the Bill back to Second for purposes of an Amendment?"
- Speaker Peters: "I don't think the Gentleman wants to call it at all. Representative Preston, what's your pleasure, Sir?

  Out of the record. I thought I caught a 'glint' in his eye. Senate Bill 542, Representative Getty. Out of the record. Senate Bill 578, Representative McPike. Out of the record. Senate Bill 580, Representative Ewing. Out of the record. 599, Representative Stuffle. Is the Gentleman here? Out of the record. Senate Bill 610, Representative Sandquist. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 610, a Bill for an Act in relationship to weather modification, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Sandquist."
- Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe this is one place where you can support the Sunset Commission. Senate Bill 610 is similar to House Bill 978 which we previously had passed to keep the weather modifiers within Sunset. What it does, it modifies the Act so they don't go out on October 1 and it puts them in the Bureau of Natural Resources, and as I say it's the same thing we passed in 978. I'd ask an affirmative vote."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 610 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'.

  Mr. Clerk, the voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 158 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 623, Representative Grossi. Out of the record. At the request of the Sponsor, 651 out of the record. House Bill... Senate Bill 669, Representative Hudson. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 669, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning fees and salaries in the classified several counties with the state with reference thereto, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 669 amends an Act concerning fees and salaries and to classify the several counties of this state with a reference thereto. Changes sheriff's fees and counties of first and second class on sales of real and estate pursuant to execution. personal And the fee schedule is here. This does not include Cook County. Ιt came out of the Senate 54 to zip. No opposition in Committee there. No opposition in Committee over here Counties and Townships, and I would simply ask for an affirmative vote."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 669 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 154 voting 'aye', 8 voting 'nay', 2 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 672, Representative Ewing. Out of the

11:

61st Legislative Day

- June 11, 1981
- record. Senate Bill 726, Stuffle. Out of the record. Senate Bill 729, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 729, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
  to create sanitary districts, Third Reading of the Bill."

  Speaker Peters: "Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the proposed legislation would allow the Director of Personnel to establish eligible lists to meet the district recruitment's needs while also assuring the individuals are qualified. The proposed legislation will allow the director to establish the kind of eligible lists best suited for examination. This is similar to the State Personnel Code which allows ranking by categories and is also approved by the National Civil Service League."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 729 pass?' Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, I wonder if the Gentleman would explain the Bill one more time."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Terzich, proceed, Sir."
- Terzich: "Yes, it puts the Civil Service Personnel Code in the same stature as the State Personnel Code which has a rule of, presently has a rule of three. This would be a rule of five which is similar to the State Personnel Code."
- Vinson: "Well, to the Bill, Mr. Speaker, it would seem to me that one of the problems with this particular Bill is that the operating authority, in this case, I believe the Gentleman said the Sanitary District, would be able to choose anybody they chose within those categories. What that does is to, in effect, give the operating authority full discretion in whom it chooses to hire. And I believe that members might want to watch this. It would appear to me to be a blatant patronage rip off by the district, and I believe people might want to watch this and withhold their votes on this

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

particular Bill. And I would ask for a verification, if indeed, the Bill gets the appropriate number of votes."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Terzich."

- Terzich: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, again this is the same as the state requirement that the Bill came out of the Senate 55 to 2 out of the Committee 13 to 2. This will allow the Sanitary District the flexibility require for affirmative action goals. Personally, I don't see anything wrong with the Bill. It does still give the Civil Service test, and they have a rule of five rather than a rule of three which is similar to the state program. And I would urge your favorable support."
- Speaker Peters: "I neglected to call on Representative Giorgi and Matijevich. Proceed, Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think that the Representative from McLean or near Bloomington wasn't he the guy that took the category out of the Civil Service Act so that the Governor could appoint, and I understand now that the Republicans, it doesn't matter if you get superior or excellent or genius on a test, you have to be Republican to get hired. Is that correct?"

Speaker Peters: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, I guess I was going to say... I wasn't going to say exactly what Representative Giorgi, did but what I wanted to say that by the numerical ratings, I really don't see where this is anything devious at all. I think that it's still within guidelines that make it a proper procedure. I can't conceive of Senator Rhoads being a Cosponsor of anything that is anything but a good government type of legislation. So I think Representative Vinson is reading something other than what's in this Bill. I support it, and I don't see why anybody on that side of the aisle shouldn't either. I can't read what he's

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

evidently reading into it."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Vitek. Will the Gentleman and the Lady in front of him please give the... Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just to clear up this thing, you know when you're in head of a department, I was the head of the director of real estate in Chicago and each month we had to rate the employees as to their rating. Now, we did have qualified when you exceeded a rate of over 85, you had to explain on the back of the rating card why that person was qualified as an excellent or good rating. Now, this would simplify the thing, and it would have to follow the same category. If you have an employee working for you, he's exceptional, well, you rated him higher than 85. You gave him a 92 or a That helped him in his future, so that he could be considered for a higher job, but only on the direction of the department head. So I think it's a good Bill think it should support, get your support. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Brunner."

Brummer: "Yes, I move the previous question."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The question is. 'Shall Senate Bill 729 pass?' Those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Please vote your own switches. Representative Vinson has asked verification. It'll expedite matters some. Have all voted who wish? Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. This does not eliminate the testing or the scoring. What it does, it permits the director of personnel to substitute categories of ranking such as excellent, well-qualified, and qualified for numerical

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

ratings, and this establishes a register in other What it does is it simply procedures relating thereto. classifies the people the same way as the State Personnel When these people do take a test, they are listed as excellent or well-qualified. They have to from that list, and it does give the agency the proper embroider scope where they can get a well-qualified person to perform the job. Now, I understand that there's very, very small percentages in the rankings of Civil Service tests, and this will enable them to adapt to special employment programs as may be required. As well, as filling any type of affirmative action goals that may be necessary. It's nothing different than many other agencies have, and in particularly, the largest employer in the State of Illinois, which is the State of Illinois, has a similar code and it just puts it on the same vein. Certainly, if it's good enough for the State Personnel Code, I'm sure it should be good enough for other agencies just as well."

Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 73 voting 'aye', 75 voting 'nay', 4 voting 'present'. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "I would like to have a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Peters: "Poll of the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Alstat. Ralph Dunn. Flinn. Garmisa. Getty. Giglio. Katz. Levin. Margalus. ächaster. Oblinger. Peters. Redmond. Reed. Robbins. Irv Smith. C.M. Stiehl. Telcser. White. Winchester. Yourell. Zwick and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Kane, from 'yes' to 'no'.

Representative Terzich. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Postponed consideration."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Speaker Peters: "Postponed consideration. Senate Bill 771,

Ewing. Out of the record. Senate Bill 788, Stuffle. Out

of the record. Senate Bill 876, Topinka. Representative

Topinka. What does that mean? Read the Bill? Read the

Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 876, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Topinka."

Topinka: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a very, very simple Bill that has no financial impact on anyone.

It will not upset anyone and it will bring a great deal of comfort to people 65 years of age who are first cousins.

It will allow them to marry. And this has broad support by the Department of Aging and many senior citizens groups. I would ask your support."

Speaker Peters: "On this question, Representative Robbins. Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 876 pass?' Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Peters: "She indicates she will."

Ebbesen: "How did this get out of the Senate?"

Topinka: "It came out of the Senate unanimously."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Did the Sponsor say this is a Bar Association Bill?"

Topinka: "No she did not. She said it was a Bill that favored senior citizens."

Collins: "Somebody said it was a Bar Association Bill and I'm just wondering what bar."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Bower."

Bower: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield?"

Speaker Peters: "She indicates she will."

Bower: "Representative Topinka, there is a concept in the Law of

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Future Interest called the 'fertile octogenarian' and I wondered how this might apply?"

Topinka: "Representative, according to the <u>Guiness Book of World Records</u> the only consideration of that, really falls in around at age 57, as proven. So I don't think we have anything to worry about, for those of you who may worry about any genetic considerations, it seems to be a closed issue."

Bower: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Now, Nr. Speaker, I.."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Schneider, would you give the Gentleman your attention, please?"

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in support of this Bill. In the Committee hearing, itself, I sort of gave Representative Topinka a hard time, but it was sort of in jest, and I hope none of the things I said at that time, which were in jest, would be taken seriously at this time. I think it's a good concept, and I think it is a senior citizens Bill. I think there are many individuals, who are 65 years and older, who would like to get married for companionship and really, we should allow this type of thing in the State of Illinois. It does not.. I know it deals with men and women who want to get married to each other. And I think it's a good Bill, and we should pass it."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Jaffe, you indicate you stand in support of this Bill? Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think you ought to look at this Bill very, very carefully and bear in mind that Members of this General Assembly, that are 65 and over, have a conflict of interest."

61st Legislative Day

- June 11, 1981
- Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? Representative Topinka, to close."
- Topinka: "I think we've probably said enough, and I appreciate the comments on the floor. I think they've been good. As to which Bar Association is supporting this, you'll have to ask the Gentleman from Evanston. Not only that, I can concur with my bar end of it that we are in support. I'd like to see all of you in support. It would bring a great deal of comfort to some of our senior citizens. Thank you."
- Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall... the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 876 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. To explain his vote, Representative Katz."
- Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm
  going to vote for this Bill but I sort of resent the
  inference that all...that all couples 65 and older get out
  of marriage is comfort."
- Speaker Peters: "On that question, Representative Vitek."
- Vitek: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I meant to get up and ask the Sponsor a question.

  If Elmer Conti is my first cousin, and he's 65, could I marry Elmer Conti, as my first cousin?"
- Topinka: "Unfortunately, not according to Illinois statutes, you cannot."
- Vitek: "Well, I'm against the homosexual Bill and the ERA, so you know how I stand on this."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Ebbesen. Representative Huskey, to explain his vote."
- Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

  I have a conflict of interest, being that I'm 65, but I

  will vote 'yes'. I have no first cousins to marry either."

  Speaker Peters: "Representative Oblinger, to explain her vote."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, in a number of instances, the only people who are left in a family, after this number of years, are cousins. According to the time when we were raised people didn't live together without being married, and they feel that they're being immoral if they don't get married. So please let the last two surviving members of a family live together comfortably without feeling guilty. This is what the Bill is all about."

Speaker Peters: "Any other discussion? Representative Brummer." Brummer: "Yes. I think it's unfortunate that the entire Membership did not have the opportunity to hear the excellent testimony in Committee, regarding this Bill. And I think maybe the levity here has jeopardized, somewhat, the passage of this Bill, as it should not have. testimony indicated that this prohibition did not exist at any age in, I think 32 or 34 other states in this country. It is not a particularly drastic item. It is an item of good legislation that should pass. The prohibition does not exist in the majority of other states. And I think it is reasonable to remove this prohibition from the Illinois statutes. This Bill merits an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Breslin, to explain her vote."

Breslin: "I would second what Representative Brunner has just said. For the information of the Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, you should know that the vast majorities of the other states in this country have no prohibition against marriage between first cousins, regrdless of their age.

There is no genetic base for restrictions on marriage between cousins. We are a little archaic in Illinois, and I would suggest an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Conti, to explain his vote."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

at first I wasn't going to vote for this Bill but every time Adeline Geo-Karis goes by my desk she calls me her 'mediterranean cousin'. So, in spite of that, I'm still going to vote for the Bill. I vote 'aye', and I urge a few more 'aye' votes up there."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Robbins, to explain his vote."

Robbins: "I may not be 65 yet, but I might have a conflict of interest at that time, and I'd appreciate more green votes.

Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 107 voting 'aye', 45 voting 'nay', 3 voting 'present'. This Bill, having receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1029, Representative Out of the Woodyard. record. Senate Bill Representative Braun. Out? Out of the record. Bill, Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar. Senate 98, Representative Giglio. Out of the record. 186. Representative Telcser. Out of the record. Senate Bill 267, Representative Greiman. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 267, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 377, Representative Greiman. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 377, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 414, Representative

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Fawell. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 414, a Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 476, Representative Younge. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 476, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Promotion Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 528, Representative
Ropp. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 528, a Bill for an Act in relationship to sheep and wool production development and marketing programs, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 568, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 568, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to create sanitary districts, Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Excuse me. Pardon, Sir. Mr. Clerk, return 568 to Second Reading. The Gentleman asks leave. Second Reading, leave it on Second. Senate Bill 689, Representative Satterthwaite. The Chair would appreciate some indication from the Sponsors. It's

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

difficult sometimes to tell. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 689, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Realth and Developmental Disabilities Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Satterthwaite, amends Senate
  Bill 689 on page two and so forth."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Satterthwaite, Amendment #1."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is purely a technical Amendment. The same language is meant to be repeated in several places throughout the Bill. In one place part of the wording was left out, and I ask for the adoption of this Amendment so that it's in technically correct order."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment \$1 to Senate Bill 689 pass?" Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. Amendment \$1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 884, Representative Frederick. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 884, a Bill for an Act relating to interest rates on bonds issued by port districts, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Donovan, amends Senate Bill 884..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Donovan, Amendment #2."

Donovan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Amendment \$2 to 884 keeps the same language 9% or 70% of the prime commercial rate and, in fact, what it does is add townships to this legislation. There is some confusion with some bankers whether townships do, in fact, comply under this. This is... this Amendment would specifically put those people in there through Chapter 146 1/2, paragraph 3. It clarifies it so nobody should object to it. I understand that some bankers are not sure whether they belong in there or not. We had a situation in my county where some banks do and some don't, as I understand. So this would clarify it. I think it keeps this exact same language that was in Virginia's Bill, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 884 pass?' Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed. In opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 898, Representative

Deuster. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 898, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections, Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 902, Representative Robbins. Senate Bill 902, Representative Robbins. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 902, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning bovine tuberculosis, Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 904, Representative Miller. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 904, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to bovine brucellosis and bull leasing, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1073, Representative Birkinbine. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1073, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code, Non-profit Health Care Service Plan Act, Medical Service Plan Act, and Voluntary Health Service Plan Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment \$1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions filed with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Committee reports."

Clerk Leone: "Representative J.J. Wolf, Chairman from the Committee on Appropriation to which the following Bills were referred action taken June 11, 1981, and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do Senate Bills 274, 316, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 338, 342, 517, 670. 'Do pass as amend Senate Bills 318, 328. 333. 334. 335, 336, 337, 341. and 671.1 Representative Barnes, Chairman from the Committee on Health and Family Services, to which the following Bills were referred action taken June 9, 1981, and reported the same back with the following recommendations: \*do pass Senate Bills 211, 753, 756, 999, 1148, and 1206. Do not pass Senate Bill 895. Do pass as amended Senate Bill

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

808. \* 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar, Senate Bills 14, 166, 1078, 391, 818, 848, 972, 1040, 1047, 1130, 1131, 1133, 1205, 1208 and 1218. \*\*

Speaker Peters: "Message from the Senate."

Clerk Leone: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of
Representatives the Senate has passed Bills with the
following titles. The passage of which I'm instructed to
ask concurrence of the House of Representatives. Senate
Bills 636 and 1064 passed the Senate June 11, 1981, Kenneth
Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Peters: "Representative DiPrima, for the purposes of an announcement."

DiPrima: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have some Flag Day speeches here. As you know, Sunday,

June 14, is Flag Day and any of you that might have to give a Flag Day speech, I have copies of speeches here you can make or give on that particular day. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Conti, Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 375, Friedrich-Watson-Slape. 376, Topinka. 377, Hoffman-et al."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Conti."

Resolution 375 congratulates the Carlyle Righ School baseball team for winning the 1981 Class A boy's state basketball. baseball. House Resolution 376 by Topinka, there's a special significance for Flag Day on June 14 this year that it is the fiftieth anniversary of the adoption of the "Star Spangled Banner" as our national anthem. House Resolution 377, Hoffman—et al, Mrs. Mildred Kittell has been an office secretary for Illinois School Problems Commission for over two decades. She's retiring starting September 1st. Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

these Resolutions."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Friedrich."

- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd appreciate if you'd pull that.

  Carlyle Indian Resolution out of the Agreed Resolutions.

  They're coming up here for an introduction Monday or

  Tuesday, and I think it'd be better if pass the Resolution
  at that time. I'd appreciate it."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion on the Resolution. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed. The Resolutions as read are adopted.

  Representative Priedrich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Friedrich: "I asked that you take that one out."

Speaker Peters: "Yes, he did."

Priedrich: "Thank you kindly."

Speaker Peters: "General Death Resolutions."

- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 363, McBroom-Ryan, in respect to the memory William 'Diggle'. House Resolution 374, Younge, in respect to the memory of Willie 'Newles'."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman moves adoption of the Death Resolutions. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed. The Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 364, Grossi. 367, Deuster. House

  Joint Resolution 39, Younge and House Joint Resolution 40,

  O'Connell-et al."
- Speaker Peters: "Committee on Assignments. Senate Bill, Second Reading, page five of the Calendar. Senate Bill 17, Representative Deuster. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 17, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Peters: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 61,

  Representative Braun. I have no idea, Ma'am. Read the

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 61, a Bill for an Act to assure children of arrest persons are cared for, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There is an Amendment being prepared for this Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 62, Out of the record. Representative Stewart. Senate Bill Out of the record. Bill 150. Beatty. Senate Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 172. Representative Breslin. Out of the record. Senate Bill Representative Stiehl. Out of the record. Senate 176, Bill 180, Representative Ropp. Read the Bill. Excuse We'll get right back to it, Sir. Representative Ropp, Senate Bill 180. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 180, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 176, sponsored by Representative Stiehl. The Chair is in possession of a letter from the Representative asking... giving permission to Representative Saltzman to handle the Bill. Senate Bill 176. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 176, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to alcoholic liquors, Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Saltzman, amends Senate Bill 176, page one.. page two and so forth."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Saltzman."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

- Saltzman: "Mr. Speaker, in behalf of Representative Stiehl, this
  Bill puts a Sunset provision into the brew tax which is a
  similar Bill to House Bill 333 that we passed from the
  House and the Senate. This puts a provision that after
  five years, they'll have to come down and appeal again for
  this tax. It strengthens the Bill, and it will make the
  breweries live up to the expectations that we want them to
  provide in the commerce and the jobs that we're hoping they
  get. I urge the passage of this Amendment."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion regarding Amendment #1? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 176 pass?'

  Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed.

  The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 224, out of the record. Senate Bill 254, Karpiel. Representative Karpiel. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 254, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Building Commission Act, Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. 256, Senate Bill 256. Senate Bill 257. Out of the record. the record. Representative Watson. Bill 300. Watson. Out of the record. Senate Bill 376, Nelson. Out of the record. Out of the record? Out of the record. Senate Bill 430, Representative Bianco. 430, Sir. Page six, third Bill from the top. What's your pleasure, Sir? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 430, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 434, Mautino. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 434, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act, Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill... Representative

Dunn, (John) for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

- Dunn, John: "Point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker. This is the kind of thing we normally do about 9:00 or 10:00 in morning. The first thing, there are not enough Members here in case anything controversial comes up, and I would greatly appreciate it if the Chair would indicate how long we're going to proceed tonight, and why we are on this order of business at this time of the evening."
- Speaker Peters: "We are on this order of business because these Bills have to be moved from Second to Third, at some point whether it's today, tomorrow, at nine in the morning or in the evening, and if there are controversial measures, they'll either be taken out of the record or the Amendments will be defeated. Second Readings are part of the business of the House in the morning or in the evening. Senate Bill 438, Representative Kornowicz. Out of the record. I don't know how long we're going to be here. Senate Bill 457, Representative Schraeder. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 457, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, Second Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Schraeder, there were Amendments just brought to the Clerk. We'll have to take it out of

61st Legislative Day

- June 11, 1981
- the record. 469, Representative Stuffle. Amendments have been filed. 475, Representative McCormick. Out of the record. 490, Representative Katz. Out of the record. Page five, to accommodate Representative Cullerton, Senate Bill 150. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 150, a Bill for an Act requiring all owners of high rise apartment buildings to post appropriate instructions relating to fires, Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "None."
- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 512, Beatty. Out of the record. Senate Bill 556, McHaster. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 556, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to compensation of county officials, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, McMaster, amends Senate Bill 556 on page two and so forth."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative McMaster, Amendment #1."
- McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is technical.

  It moves the language that's in the Bill from its present location as a paragraph to a location further below and that's all it does. It's the same language. It just puts it in a different place within the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion on this Amendment? If not, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 556 pass?'

  Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed.

  In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Fawell, amends Senate Bill 556 on page two and so forth."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

- Speaker Peters" "Representative Fawell, Amendment #2."
- Pawell: "I'd like to table Amendment #2, please."
- Speaker Peters: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #2. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Fawell, amend Senate Bill 556..."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Fawell, Amendment #3."
- Fawell: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. All Amendment #3 does is add the words 'and the Chief Clerk of each County Board of the Election Commission as to the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 556 pass?' Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it.

  Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Representative Daniels, in the Chair."
- Speaker Daniels: "House (sic) Bill 558. The Clerk will read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Senate Bill 558. The Clerk will read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 558, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

  Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions filed?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed in respect to Amendment #2."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Ted Meyer, amends Senate Bill 558 on page one and so forth."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Meyer, Amendment #3.

  Representative Ted Meyer. Is he on the floor?

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Representative Neyer, Amendment #3, Senate Bill 558."

- Meyer, Ted: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This allows employees who worked on a contractual basis to earn pension credit in the General Assembly Retirement System for up to eight years of credit. Maybe establish for service with the Attorney General or the Pension Laws Commission. I urge its adoption."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentlemen has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 558. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 559. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Daniels: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 560. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 560, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed in respect to Amendment #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments filed?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Henry, amends Senate Bill 560 by inserting after the end of Section 1, the following."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Henry, on Amendment #2."

Henry: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 relieves the state of the reimbursement liability under the State Mandate Act. I had made a commitment in the Committee that I would introduce this Amendment and I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of

61st Legislative Day

- June 11, 1981
- Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 587, Representative McAuliffe. Representative Mautino. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 587, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Henry, amends House
  Bill..Senate Bill 587 on page six and so forth."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Henry, Amendment \$1."
- Henry: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if the Bill... I would appreciate if the Sponsor would take the Bill out of the... out of the record for the time being. I would like to discuss the Amendment with him again."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Representative Henry, I'd be happy to take it out but

  I'd like to have you discuss it with me the first time.

  Yes, I'll take it out."
- Speaker Daniels: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 601,
  Representative Greiman. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 601, a Bill for an Act in relationship to requiring defendants to pay for the cost of appointed counsel, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Greiman, amends Senate Bill 601 on page one, line 11 and so forth."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Greiman."

- Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment is introduced to first, make clear that the requirement to pay for costs and fees for a court appointed lawyer is only placed upon the defendant if there's a conviction in that it may only... you may only resort to the bond if the defendant, in fact, is the one who put up the bond. So that if some friend of relative put up a bond, the court could not take those bond premiums and use them to the payment of costs or expenses. I would ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is whether Amendment #1 should be adopted. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

  The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 614, Representative Braun. Senate Bill 614, Representative Braun. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 614, a Bill for an Act to ward income tax credits to businesses which contribute money or resources to community groups, Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 647 has an Amendment that was just filed today, so Senate Bill 657. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Representative Klemm. Out of the record. Senate Bill 666, Representative Huskey. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 666, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

  Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions filed?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Huskey, amends Senate Bill 666 as amended."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Huskey, Amendment #2."
- Huskey: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #2 is a technical Amendment. It's merely by
  deleting 15-133 and deleting all of Section 15-113. That's
  all it says."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 666..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino, Amendment #3."
- Mautino: "Thank you. Inquiry of the Chair, please. There were some Amendments filed by Representative Huskey in my name.

  One was to be removed, and the last one was to be presented. I don't have the Amendment in front of me. Mr. Clerk, would you tell me which one #3 is."
- Speaker Daniels: "Amendment #3 we're on. Would you read that Amendment, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3 amends Senate Bill 666 as amended in Section 3 of the Bill, Section 27-24.4..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Does that answer your question, Representative Mautino? Amendment #2 was adopted. We are now on #3. Mr. Clerk, could you correct the Board? Thank you, Sir. Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Yes, Amendment #3 deletes the funding under the provisions of the Bill that go into the State Board of Education for drivers' education that was originally in the

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Bill that addressed overweight provisions. This only addresses the speeding violations by the truckers, and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Huskey - Giglio - Mautino..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Huskey, Floor Amendment #4."

Huskey: "I would like to have Floor Amendment #4 tabled."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved to table...withdraw

Floor Amendment #4. Does he have leave? Leave is granted.

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, Mautino ~ Huskey - Giglio, amends Senate Bill 666 as amended."

Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, is a substantive legis...a substantive Amendment #5 Amendment that was previously embodied in House Bill It makes the changes in the Commerce Commission, addressing the certificate of approval for new applicants, as well as duties of both the Secretary of State's office and the Commerce Commission Police, to make additional...arrests over and above what they are doing now. The Commerce and the...the Commerce Commission and the State Police...and the Secretary of State's Police can only address the of overweight violations and authorities, questions authorities of the truckers on the highways. them added responsibility, and I think that what it basically does is put...it tigthens up the application process and makes changes that are needed under the

61st Legislative Day

June 11. 1981

- Connerce Commission. And I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5. Are there any questions? Representative Oblinger."
- Oblinger: "Yes, I question the germaneness of the...Mr. Speaker,

  I question the germaneness of this Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "...We see the Amendment on the Bill, Mr. Clerk?

  Representative Oblinger, the Parliamentarian advises me
  that it is germane. It amends the Vehicle Code. It adds
  to that Code. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker Daniels: "You have...the Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5. There being no further question is 'Shall Amendment discussion, the #5 adopted? All those in favor signify by saying opposed 'no'. The question is 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', and by voting 'no'. The voting is open. all those opposed Have all those voted who wish? Representative Huskey...to explain your vote. Representative Huskey."
- Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an agreed Bill. It has been agreed to by the truckers. It has been agreed to by the Unions. It has been agreed to by the Interstate Commerce Commission. The only thing is that we were too late in getting this Bill in through the channels, so it was put on as an Amendment. There is nothing wrong with the Bill whatsoever. It is a very good Bill. It is agreed to by every party concerned."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Dunn to explain his vote.

  Representative Dunn to explain your vote."
- Dunn: "Not to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. I had a point of inquiry prior to the time you were in the Chair, and I was curious as to when this order of business is over if there

61st Legislative Day

- June 11, 1981
- is going to be an adjournment of this House until tomorrow."
- Speaker Daniels: "We don't know yet. We'll let you know as soon as we find out. Any further discussion? Explanation of vote. Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "No, I'd appreciate your 'aye' vote. I didn't have the opportunity to close, but if anyone has any questions, Representative Oblinger, I would be happy to come over and answer any considerations that she has."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment there are 90 voting 'yes', 28 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 685, Representative Braun. Representative Braun. Senate Bill 685. ...Out of the record. Senate Bill 694, out of the record. Senate Bill 723, out of the record. Senate Bill 724, Representative Schneider. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 724, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "None."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 764, Representative Stuffle. Representative Rigney, out of the record. Senate Bill 769, out of the record. Senate Bill 777. Representative Braun, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Braun: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. When you called Senate Bill 685, I was under the impression there were Amendments filed. Apparently there are not, and so I would just as soon have it go to Third Reading..."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Senate Bill 605, Representative Braun. Any
Amendments from the floor? Are there any Amendments in the
Committee?"

Braun: "No."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 685, a Bill for an Act to amend the Realth Services Educational Grants Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 777, Representative Yourell, out of the record. Senate Bill 861, Representative Rigney. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 861, a Bill for an Act to amend the Meat and Poultry Inspection Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 909, Representative
Hoxsey, out of the record. Senate Bill 913, Representative
Epton. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 913, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 966, out of the record. Senate Bill 992. You've got some Amendments filed to that, Sir, on Senate Bill 966. So that is out of the record. Senate Bill 992, Representative Miller. Representative Woodyard. Senate Bill 992, out of the record, Sir? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1006,

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Representative Currie. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1006, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1007, out of the record. Senate Bill 1007, out of the record. Senate Bill 1048, Representative Kelley, Jim Kelley. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1048, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Vital Records Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No

Committee Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Daniels: "Any...okay, that is Third Reading.

Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Would the Sponsor mind holding that on Second Reading?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kelley, Representative Darrow asked you if you would mind holding it on Third...on Second Reading. Representative Darrow would like to know if you would hold Senate Bill 1048 on Second Reading."

Kelley: "Is that for an Amendment, Representative?"

Speaker Daniels: "They're not sure."

Darrow: "No, let's let it go to Third."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1049,
Representative Ebbesen, out of the record. Senate Bill
1095, Representative Reilly. Representative Reilly. Read
the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1095, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code, Second Reading of the BIll.

Amendment #3 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions filed?"

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed in respect to Amendment #3."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Excuse me."

Speaker Daniels: "You're excused."

Sattherthwaite: "There was an error in Amendment #3. The
Reference Bureau is drafting a corrective Amendment, and I
wonder if the Sponsor would mind holding the Bill on Second
Reading until that comes."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly, will you hold that on Second Reading? Alright, Second Reading, Senate Bill 1095.

Okay, out of the record. Senate Bill 1109, out of the record. Excuse me, I have a note here that Representative Epton is to handle Senate Bill 1109. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1109, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed in respect to Amendment #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "I have a note from Representative Sandquist,
Representative Bowman, who is now on the floor, by the way.
Representative Bowman, Representative Sandquist is right
here."

Bowman: "Yeah, would you recognize me? Yes, I believe I did file some Floor Amendments early in the day. The were only one page long. I am surprised they're not out yet. Would you please check again?"

Speaker Daniels: "Alright, there are seven Amendments filed, none of which have been distributed. We'll take it out of the

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

record. Senate Bill 1124, Representative Macdonald. Read the Bill. Senate Bill 1124. Read the BIll."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1124, a Bill for an Act creating the
Illinois Natural Areas Preservation Act, Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment \$1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed with respect to Amendment #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1147,
Representative Kulas. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate BIll 1147, a..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kulas, we just received an Amendment. We'll have to take it out of the record. It hasn't been distributed yet or printed. Senate Bill 1198 has an Amendment filed which has not bee distri...Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I thought the practice we had been following, if the Amendment had not been distributed, the Sponsor has control of the Bill and can proceed, and we have repeatedly this spring and summer tabled Motions...Amendments that had not been distributed yet."

Speaker Daniels: "The Amendment was just filed, Sir, just a few minutes ago."

Brummer: "I understand that."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kulas, an Amendment was just filed with us a few minutes ago, so we're going to take it out of the record so we have an opportunity for it to be printed and distributed."

Kulas: "Well, can I know who filed the Amendment, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Schuneman. Okay, we're going to
go back and pick up Senate Bill 966 at the request of
Representative Slape. Senate Bill 966, read the Bill."

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 966 ...."
- Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Excuse me.

  Representative Schuneman."
- Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 1147, I did just file the Amendment a few minutes ago. I am not sure what the Sponsor wants to do with it, but I would have no objection if they want to handle the other Amendment, if he would agree to bring the Bill back to Second to consider my Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Schuneman, we've already taken it out of the record. We'll pick it up tomorrow. There will be enough time tomorrow to act on it. Okay, we're now back on Senate BIII 966. Representative Slape, and the Bill has been read. Is that correct, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 966, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Slape, amends Senate Bill 966 on page one and so forth."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Slape, Amendment #1."
- Slape: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 addresses a problem that came up during the hearing in Agriculture Committee. It changes the status whereby a person would fall under the fine provisions of the Act, and I would ask for adoption of Amendment #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', 'no', opposed. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

61st Legislative Day

June 11, 1981

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Introduction and First Reading."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1909, Ted Meyer, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Regional Transportation Authority Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1909, Ted Meyer, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections... House Bill 1909, Ted Meyer, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Regional Transportation Authority Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1910, Ted Meyer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act and the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1911, Ted Meyer, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1912, Ted Meyer, Bill for an Act in relationship to salaries of bus drivers and train operators employed by the public transportation agencies, First Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Senate Bill 1145, Representative Kulas. Read the BIll, Mr. Clerk. Second Reading."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1145, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the adoption of persons, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Kulas, amends Senate Bill 1145..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kulas."
- Kulas: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1145 is a technical Amendment. It just moves a comma from...from after the word or, to before the word or, and I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Daniels: "Alright, we're going to have to take this Bill out of the record, Representative Kulas. If you'll come up

61st Legislative Day

- June 11, 1981
- here I'll tell you. Take it out of the record.
  Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to announce a Republican caucus in room 114 immediately after adjournment.

  Hopefully it will be very brief."
- Speaker Daniels: "Republican caucus, room 114, immediately after adjournment. Representative Greiman. Okay, Representative Polk."
- Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we adjourn until 10:00 tomorrow morning."
- Speaker Daniels: "Does the Clerk need any time? You've heard the Gentleman's Motion to adjourn until 10:00 tomorrow morning June 12. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Adjourned until 10:00 tomorrow morning. Republican Conference, room 114. Republican Conference, room 114, right not. Room 114, Republican Conference. Right now, Republican Conference, room 114. Representative Zwick, Representative Koehler, Representative Friedrich, Deuster, Republican Conference, room 114. Representative Neff, 114. Representative Smith, right now. Representative Catania, Representative Barr, room 114. Henry."

¥ . 5 \*

### JUNE 11, 1981

			,,,,,	
HB-1909	1ST	READING	PAGE	90
HB-1910	1ST	READING	PAGE	90
HB-1911	1ST	READING	PAGE	90
HB- 1912	1ST	READING	PAGE	90
SB-0012	3RD	READING	PAGE	23
SB-0022	3RD	READING	PAGE	6
SB-0063 SB-0144	3RD 2ND	READING READING	PAGE PAGE	24 6
SB-0144	2ND	READING	PAGE	76
SB-0157	3RD	READING	PAGE	26
SB-0176	2ND	READING	PAGE	73
SB-0180	2ND	READING	PAGE	73
SB-0181	3RD	READING	PAGE	33
SB-0220	3RD	READING	PAGE	38
SB-0228	3RD	READING	PAGE	40
SB-0254	2ND	READING	PAGE	74
SB-0267	2ND	READING	PAGE	66
SB-0358	3RD	READING	PAGE	5
SB-0377	2ND	READING	PAGE	66
SB-0388	3RD	READING	PAGE	41
SB-0412 SB-0414	3RD 2ND	READING READING	PAGE PAGE	41 67
SB-0430	2ND	READING	PAGE	74
SB-0434	2ND	READING	PAGE	75
SB-0443	3RD	READING	PAGE	42
SB-0448	3RD	READING	PAGE	43
SB-0457	2ND	READING	PAGE	75
SB-0476	2ND	READING	PAGE	67
SB-0487	3RD	READING	PAGE	44
SB-0524	3RD	READING	PAGE	46
SB-0525	3RD	READING	PAGE	7
SB-0528 SB-0534	2ND	READING READING	PAGE PAGE	67 56
SB-0543	3RD 3RD	READING	PAGE	90 8
SB-0556	2ND	READING	PAGE	76
SB-0558	2ND	READING	PAGE	77
SB-0560	2ND	READING	PAGE	78
SB-0568	2ND	READING	PAGE	67
SB-0587	2ND	READING	PAGE	79
SB-0601	2ND	READING	PAGE	79
SB-0610	3RD	READING	PAGE	56
SB-0612	3RD	READING	PAGE	17
SB-0666 SB-0669	2ND 3RD	READING READING	PAGE PAGE	80 57
SB-0685	2ND	READING	PAGE	85
SB-0689	2ND	READING	PAGE	68
SB-0724	2ND	READING	PAGE	84
SB-0729	3RD	READING	PAGE	58
SB-0731	2N D	READING	PAGE	6
SB-0779	2 N D	READING	PAGE	6
SB-0861	2ND	READING	PAGE	85
SB-0876	3RD	READING	PAGE	62
SB-0884	2ND	READING	PAGE	68
SB-0898	2ND	READING	PAGE	69 22
SB-0899 SB-0902	3RD	READING	PAGE	69
SB-0904	2ND 2ND	READING READING	PAGE PAGE	70
SB-0912	2ND	READING	PAGE	6
SB-0913	2ND	READING	PAGE	85
SB-0929	2ND	READING	PAGE	6
SB-0966	2ND	READING	PAGE	89
SB-0977	2ND	READING	PAGE	6
SB-0982	2ND	READING	PAGE	6
SB-1006	2ND	READING	PAGE	86
SB-1043	2 N D	READING	PAGE	6

### JUNE 11, 1981

SB-1048	2ND	READING	PAGE	86
SB-1062	2ND	READING	PAGE	6
SB-1073	2ND	READING	PAGE	70
SB-1074	2ND	READING	PAGE	6
SB-1094	2N D	READING	PAGE	6
SB-1095	2ND	READING	PAGE	86
SB-1105	2ND	READING	PAGE	6
SB-1109	2ND	READING	PAGE	87
SB-1124	2ND	READING	PAGE	88
SB-1145	2ND	READING	PAGE	90
SB-1911	\157	READING/	PAGE	/90_
\$8-1912	1ST	READING	PAGE V	90 🖊

### SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE PETERS	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND SCHULTZ	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - REPRESENTATIVE MAYS	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE	4
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	70
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	71
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	71
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	72
REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	77
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	91

PAGE 2