123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

- Speaker Ryan: "The House will be in order, and the Members will please be in their seats. The Chaplain for today is Vicar Rob Kuglar from the Grace Lutheran Church of Springfield.

 Vicar Kugar...Kuglar"
- Vicar Kuglar: "Let us pray. Almighty God, our Heavenly Father. bless those who hold office in the government of the State of Illinois. Bless them that they may do their work in a spirit of wisdom, kindness and justice. Help them use their authority to serve faithfully, those whom they represent. Help them to use their authority to speak votes and actions on the issues before them. Help them not succumb to the sin of silence when silence destructive and weak. Help them to see beyond the fog of rhetoric, self-interest, political interests and daily pressures; to discern that which is just, that which equitable and that which is fair. Help them, above all, to see, remember and act to meet the needs of all the people they serve. This we pray, Heavenly Father. Amen."
- Speaker Ryan: "Thank you. Representative Tim Bell will lead the Pledge today."
- Bell et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Ryan: "Roll Call for attendance. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. With 170 Members answering the Roll, a quorum of the House is present. We have some special guests with us today that are going to be on the podium and introduced by Representative Irv Smith. So, Representative Smith, would you introduce your guests, please?"
- Smith, Irv: "Representative Oblinger's coming up, too. We have
 two guests. I'm going to introduce one. And, you may know
 them by their appearance as they come up. And,

123rd Legislative Day

June 10. 1982

Representative Oblinger will introduce the other. The short stubby fellow will be my introduction. If he looks Ulysses S. Grant, he's only...he only has three stars on. I understand finally he earned a fourth. If he looks like Ulysses S. Grant, he is. And I gave him the test of We were in the Speaker's office a few moments proof. and the test of proof was a bottle of Jack Daniels almost emptv. And, he said sometimes he can get lost but not always. He has some words that he would crowd. like to pass on, so, at this point, we'll give you General Ulysses...excuse me, the 18th President of the United States, General Ulysses S. Grant."

Ulysses S. Grant: "Thank you. Can you all hear me? That means sit down. Can you all see me? Most people think that Grant was eight feet tall, rode a stallion General Grant was a very charger everywhere. Not true. short individual: my stature, only five, eight. very own reception and becoming the General and Chief of the Army in Washington, D. C., he was inside the reception room for five minutes before anyone could recognize him. I first came to Springfield in April of 1861, for much the same reason as many of your constituents come to Springfield to see you. I was out of work and looking for There was a war going on. I was a college a job. I was able, available and looking for work. graduate. my Congressman pulled the classic line for me. He pointed me out to the Governor and said to the Governor, give that man a job, I'll owe you one. It turned out to be one of the greatest political appointments in history for, in three years, I was running half the country and, in seven years, the whole of it. People have acclaimed to me as a great military genius for my victories at Shiloh and Little do they realize that I failed in my at Vicksburg.

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

true military objectives in the West. I intended to capture Lynchburg, Tennessee and drain the South's economic reserves, personally. As many of you may know, Lynchburg, Tennessee is the home of the Jack Daniels distillery. Governor Thompson would appreciate that. My adjutant says I smoke too many ciqars. I actually am a pipe smoker; however, a newspaper man - are you familiar with newspaper men - from Cincinnati, reporting on the events of the battle of Shiloh, saw me calmly sitting on my horse, chewing on a cigar. And so, it appeared in the headlines across the country, after the battle that General Grant won Shiloh calmly sitting on a horse chewing on a cigar. And, a grateful public sent me thousands upon thousands upon thousands of cigars. After 120 years, I still have three boxcar loads left. In your next campaigns, if any of would wish to have some cigars, buy me a drink and I'll share them with you. Do you read newspapers? I геад newspapers all the time; follow them regularly. They always tell me what I'm supposed to be doing. They have all my strategies published well in advance, so that my army knows exactly where they are going and what they supposed to be doing when they get there. You too should follow newspapers' advice. Look what it did for me. Ιf you have been following newspapers, you will know that President Lincoln and I are here today to invite all of you to attend Lincoln Fest, Fourth of July Weekend, Saturday and Sunday, here in Springfield. I'll be in my old second-floor office at the Old State Capitol Building on the courthouse square. I'll be shaking hands, kissing babies, having my picture taken, electioneering in general, you know. And, if any of you would wish to come and see me and here more of General Grant, buy me a drink at Lincoln Fest."

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Oblinger: "Fellow Members, I'm very proud to present to you, the 16th President of the United States. However, I've had a lot of similar experiences. As County Clerk of Sangamon County, the office I occupied was the previous office of our 16th President. In my keeping was the original application of his marriage license and Mary Todd's. And finally we have him to thank here in Springfield, for bringing us the state capitol, I think. One thing I would like to say, I'm proud to represent, and I'm sure Mr. Smith and Mr. Kane are too, the same district that Abraham Lincoln represented in this General Assembly. I take great pleasure in presenting to you, the Honorable Abraham Lincoln, 16th President of the United States."

Abraham Lincoln: "Thank you. I am honored to be here this afternoon, Ladies and Gentlemen. I first rode into town, 1837, on a borrowed horse, with seven dollars in my pocket and found out that room and board was 24 dollars a week. And I owed a thousand dollar debt at New Salem. And last year I returned, after 150 years to win the International Abe Lincoln Look Alike Contest аŧ Springfield, Illinois in Lincoln Fest. With malice toward none and charity for all, with firmness in the right as God gives us to see the right, let us strive on to bind up nation's wounds, and to care for Bim and His will. And to do all which may achieve and cherish a just and lasting peace among ourselves and all nations. Thank you. See you at Lincoln Fest, July 3rd and 4th."

Speaker Ryan: "Thank you very much, gentlemen. Representative Macdonald will be circulating, on the Republican side, and Representative Chapman on the Democrat side, for the Salvation Army Doughnut Day. We'd like to have you reach down into your pockets and give graciously and gratefully. Are there any excused absences, Representative Telcser?

- 123rd Legislative Day

 Representative White, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. On the Republican side of the aisle, we have a group of 8th graders from the Franklin Fine Arts Academy in Chicago. They are represented by Representative Sandquist, O'Brien and White. Thank you."
- Speaker Ryan: "Welcome to the Illinois House. Representative Hastert, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to also rise for a purpose of introduction. We have us...with us today a guest from Belgium, Mrs. 'Augusta Yonson'. I'd like to have her stand and be recognized by the House. She's in the back gallery."
- Speaker Ryan: "Welcome to the Illinois House. Representative

 Deuchler, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to request time next week for the introduction and equal time for a short presentation by a...an impersonation of Susan B. Anthony. As we had the program today, it seems to me that there were a few remarks on equality that were not covered by the impersonation of President Lincoln. Would that be possible?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Why don't you see me in my chambers before the time, and we'll talk about it? There's only one television camera in the chamber, and the lights on so they can take the picture. So you'll all know that they've got the cameras here. Representative Telcser."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I wonder if I might take a moment today to introduce the parents of Representative Penny Pullen, Mr. and Mrs. Pullen, who are on the floor at Penny's desk. And also to let you know

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

that Mr. Pullen is a distinguished member of the City Council in Park Ridge."

- Speaker Ryan: "Welcome to the Illinois House, Alderman and Mrs. Page 2 of the Calendar under the Order of Senate Pullen. Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill Representative Friedrich. Want to hear the Bill. Representative? Out of the record. How about 1248? of the record. On the Calendar on page 2, under the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2461, Representative Ha...Davis. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2461, a Bill for an Act relating to the Department of Central Management Services. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bowman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think we have a...a bit of unfinished business before us. I would like to know when we are going to get a chance to vote on the rules change, straight up or down."

Speaker Ryan: "You weren't recognized for that purpose."

Bowman: "We had the Motion to over..."

Speaker Ryan: "Proceed, Representative Davis. Proceed, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, yes, I think there's a series of Floor
Amendments to this Bill, offered by Representative Kane.

We're in agreement on almost all of them, and I think the
procedural effect will show that we can dispose of them
rather quickly."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any Motions filed with respect to Amendments #1 or 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Byan: "Are there further Amendments?"

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Kane, amends House Bill..."

 Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kane on Amendment #3 to House Bill

 2461."
- Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think Amendment #3 is an agreed Amendment. It establishes one of the recommendations by the Auditor General for the Department of Administrative Services, and if anybody has any questions, I'd be glad to answer them. Otherwise, I would move for the adoption of Amendment #3."
- Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3.

 All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed

 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Kane, amends House Bill 2461 on page 1 and so forth."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kane."
- Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment
 #4 again I believe, is an agreed Amendment. It
 incorporates another of the recommendations of the Auditor
 General for the Department of Administrative Services, and
 I would urge the adoption of Amendment #4."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 2461. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Kane, amends House Bill 2461 on page 1, line 18 and so forth."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kane."
- Kane: "Mr. Speaker, again, Amendment #5 is a recommendation of the Auditor General, and I would urge the adoption of Amendment #5."
- Speaker Byan: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5.

 All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

- *no*. The *ayes* have it, and the Amendment*s adopted.

 Purther Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Kane, amends Bouse Bill..."

 Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kane, Amendment #6."
- Kane: "Again, Amendment #6 is an agreed Amendment. It implements a recommendation of the Auditor General. I'd urge it's adoption."
- Speaker Byan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 2461. All in favor will signify by voti...saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Kane, amends House Bill 2461 on page 1, line 18 and so forth."
- Speaker Byan: "Representative Kane."
- Kane: "Again, Amendment #7, I believe, is an agreed Amendment.

 It incorporates a recommendation of the Auditor General for the Department of Administrative Services. And I would urge the adoption of Amendment #7."
- Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #7

 to House Bill 2461. All in favor will signify by saying
 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the
 Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Kane, amends House Bill 2461 on page one and line 18 and so forth."
- Speaker Byan: "Representative Kane."
- Kane: "I would ask leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #8."
- Speaker Ryan: "Withdraw #8. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, Kane, amends House Bill
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kane on Amendment #9."
- Kane: "I would ask leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #9."
- Speaker Ryan: "Withdraw #9. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, Kane, amends House..."

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kane on Amendment #10."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #10 adds a provision in the purchasing Act governed by the Department of Administrative Services. What it says is that the aggregate amount of such emergency purchases or non-bid purchases, under the sum of 2,500 dollars shall not exceed, in any one year, 7,500 from any one vendor. And this is a Amendment to try to limit stringing by state agencies. I believe it is an agreed Amendment, agreed to by the Department. And I'd ask the adoption of Amendment #10."

Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #10 to House Bill 2461. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Ryan: "Third Reading. House Bill 2517, Representative Davis. Read the Bill, Mr..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2517, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any Motions filed with respect to Amendments 1 or 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Ryan: "Third Reading. House Bill 2530, Representative Davis. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2530, a Bill for an Act to create the
Criminal Justice Information System Trust Fund in the State
Treasury. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

- Speaker Byan: "Third Beading. On page 3 of the Calendar under the Order of Non-concurrence appears Senate Bill 1193, Representative Meyer."
- Meyer, Ted: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to recede from all three Amendments, and ask for the appointment of a Conference Committee. Refuse to recede from all three Amendments, and ask for the appointment of a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendments...Senate Amendments...House Amendments #3, 4 and 9 of Senate Bill 1193. Any discussion? Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just think, as a courtesy
 to the House, it would be a good practice if we would have
 an explanation of what the Amendments are by the Sponsor."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Meyer, would you respond...care to respond to the Gentleman's inquiry?"
- Meyer, Ted: "One moment, Mr. Speaker, and I'll...I'll get the Amendments out of my file. Mr. Speaker, if you'd take this out of the record, momentarily. I can't find my file."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Meyer, can we take this out of the record, or are you prepared to respond to the Gentleman's questions? You are prepared. Proceed, Representative."
- Meyer, Ted: "House Amendment #3 was the same Amendment as Senate...as Committee Amendment #1 that provided technical corrections in it. House Amendment #4 added the paragraph that provides that the proposed site location of new regional pollution control...control facility shall be approved by the appropriate county board or government body of the municipality, unless it meets specified conditions; adds, *nothing to this Section shall apply to any existing

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

or new regional pollution control facilities located within counties having population of nore than million...three million', pardon me. ₽9 House Amendment deletes references to Chapter 1 and 11 1/2: deletes its title and everything contained after the enacting clause: re...replaces Amendment to the Environmental Protection Act the Title X; permits paragraphs *permit under this Act shall not relieve the per...permitting of any applicant from meeting applicable standards ...

- Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendments...House Amendments 3, 4 and 9. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede. The Gentleman asks for a Conference Committee, and a Conference Committee will be formed. The Calendar on page 3 under the Order of Non-concurrence appears Senate Bill 1669, Representative Wolf. Representative Wolf.
- Speaker Peters: "The Order of Non-concurrence, Senate Bill 1669, Representative Wolf."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the
 House recede from Amendments #1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1669.
 Amendments 1 and 3 would have deleted the monies for
 renovating the existing mental health facilities so that
 institutions are certifiable, and by receding from these
 Amendments the...the money will be available to do that.
 And I would defer to my distinguished Cosponsor, Mr.
 Reilly, to answer any technical questions that may arise,
 should they arise."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Any discussion? Representative Wikoff on Senate Bill 1669."
- Wikoff: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to, much as I hate to oppose the Motion to recede from Amendment #1, this

123rd Legislative Day

June 10. 1982

is the money which reduces the funding for Meyer Clinic for the remodeling to take place to provide for the transfer of the Adler Mental Health Clinic to Meyer; and while some of that work is going on, it s...I have, I think, a very optomistic view that the Legislature is going to fund Adler Clinic from the grapevine vibes that I m getting. And as such I think it would be a mistake to go ahead and spend that money, and I would request that the Sponsor withdraw the Motion to recede from Amendment #1."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Matijevich on Senate Bill 1669." Matijevich: "Mr. Spe...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen the House, on the Motion to recede, I would plead with all of the Members, Democrats and Republicans, to vote against the Motion to recede. And I... I make that plea on what I think are very logical grounds. Next week Appropriations Committee is considering the appropriation for the Department of Mental Health. We...We're going to those issues of the closures of Dixon and Bowen. The court is going to, soon, have an order with regards Bowen, we're sure of that. We have the matter of the closure of Dixon right now in litigation with regards to keeping Dixon open. If we vote to recede, we are ratifying the closure of those facilities. I don't think that it's logical to do that at this time. Also I would urge the Members, many of you are aware I know that there...there is program where these young people at Dixon, for example, are going to be placed in what we would call suitable facilities in certified beds. That I think is a great mistake to...to those individuals. This week in the Appropriations Committee...next week I should say, we are going to hear from the President of the Dixon Association Group, for ex...example. For us to take this action with only three weeks in the end of

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

where, with only the Fiscal Year *82 coming to a close, when those monies really can't be obligated in that short time that we've got in this fiscal year, I think, is really nonsense. So, I would urge the Members on both sides of the aisle to vote against this Motion to recede, and we can go to a Conference Committee and, probably by that thing...by that time, some of these issues with regards to closure may be a little clearer. But really the only logical thing to do at this time is to vote 'no' on the Motion to recede. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Representative Reilly, no-Representative Ebbesen- Give the Gentleman your attention."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I voted for these Amendments when this Bill passed over to the Senate. And I would suggest that we follow the lead of Representative Wolf, and we do recede from the Amendments and put this Bill in the proper posture so that the money can be made available. Now the Governor's gone up relative of the Dixon situation, the Governor has gone, Monday of this week, to the City of Dixon and made a...had a formal announcement there. And they're going to have a medium security prison, 750 beds, and another one for 450. And it involves something like 30 million dollars, give or take, and that's all part of the appropriation process. think the appropriate thing to do at this particular point in time is to follow the lead of Representative Wolf. put this Bill in the proper posture so this money can be made available immediately. And I would think that we would wote with Representative Wolf on this particular situation. Thank you, Mr. Chairman (sic - Speaker)."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Gentlemen of the House. I stand in opposition to rescinding this Amendment, as well as the following Amendment that I sponsored, on the same question. I think you will find that the existing Amendment addresses the Adler Center. The following...the other Amendment, on the recision, is the Dixon Developmental Center. What you have in this situation is capital funds that will be used to duplicate the existing services at the facilities. The Governor did come to Dixon, but he said at Dixon, he does not have any funds available for a correctional facility. He made that public. He also said that he only has a probably three to four months worth of funding. in the Mental Health and Developmental Disability Budget. By keeping this Amendment in, we are following the judicial decree of Judge Staniec, at the Dixon Developmental Center, which is a composite of the same situation under Mr. Wikoff. I respectfully request a 'no' vote on this and the following Amendments for recision."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "A year ago, in the appropriations process in one of the probably...special presentations, people and personnel and others who we worked and been benefitted by Adler over the years, made their presentation to appropriate Subcommittee and Committee, and convinced both Legislators and the Administration that Adler's own clinic serves a unique purpose with unique resources for unique kind of people at a very low cost. The Governor, understand it, this afternoon is on his way to both Meyer and Adler for a tour of the facilities and, without trying to prejudge what the Governor would do in response to that, I think he has shown as he did a year ago, an interest in at least looking very carefully at a situation that seemed once decided. Adler - I can only speak for Adler because

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

it's in the area that I represent, and I think there are probably other Legislators who will tell you with respect to the other two facilities, the same thing — is a very special and probably...absolutely unique institution whose preservation I think is essential to supplying services to the people of Illinois, the parents and others...children who really need it. And for those reasons and for the reasons that I think Representative Matijevich and Wikoff and Mautino and others have alluded to, I would urge very careful consideration of this Motion, and a 'no' vote on the Motion."

Speaker Peters: "Representative McClain. Give the Gentleman your attention, please."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, a Parliamentary Inquiry, please. Inquiry, Mr. Webb. Is this Motion the received from Amendment 1 and 2, or just 1? 1 and 3, or just 1?"

Speaker Peters: "I...I...Would you repeat your inquiry?"

McClain: "Yes, Sir. Is this a Motion to recede from 1 and 3, or just 1?"

Speaker Peters: "1 and 3."

McClain: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, if I may speak to the Motion, please."

Speaker Peters: "Proceed, Sir."

McClain: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, last weekend I had the opportunity to be with the Association of Retarded Citizens. There are a great many people that are very concerned about Adler and the Dixon closings. The biggest beef is that the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities had no plan in order to transfer these people away from Adler and Dixon. In other words, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is absolutely no plan to make this transfer. What will happen is, they are

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

taking recreation rooms of other mental health facilities throughout the State of Illinois: they re putting arbitrary dividers between them. And if it had not been for the untimely transfer, had nothing to do with the closure of people of the Tinley Park, none of us would have about that. Now, the Governor has set up a...a task force of sorts, to try to monitor these transfers. But it is not a good program. It is not well thought out. It is not planned. It is not with the input of the Association of Retarded Citizens, or the parents, or the staffs. So this is a very poor management for both the residents, the staff and in terms of just good government. And for that purpose, in terms of those people which we ought to be concerned about, you ought not to be voting 'yes' on this Motion to recede."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move the previous question."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman moves the previous question.
You've heard the Motion. Those in favor will signify by
saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the
Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Representative Reilly to
close."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Let me try to clarify a couple of issues here."

Speaker Peters: "Excuse me, Sir. Give the Gentleman your attention, please."

Reilly: "First, I don't see this as a vote to ratify the closure.

The Governor is going to Adler. If he changes his mind, as

I personally hope that he will, there's plenty of time to

deal with that. In fact the work at Meyer is almost done,

and this simply appropriates the money. The work at Meyer

will be very valuable to that facility, whether or not

Adler closes. But the opponents of this try to have it

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

both ways. On the one hand, they tell us that we shouldn't make the decision to cl ... or that we shouldn't be voting this capital money until the decision is made definitely to close the facilities. But then, when we talk about closing the facilities, which everybody on this floor knows that we've got to close some of these mental health facilities, when you talk about that, they say, "Oh my gosh, you can't close the facility because the receiving facilities are in such lousy shape. Now you can't have both ends of the pipe at once. We are simply dealing here with a couple of things. This Bill provides grant money, which your local community mental health agencies need, and indeed the checks are a couple of weeks overdue. Number two, it provides capital money for Shapiro and some other facilities which have nothing to do with the fact that Dixon or Bowen or Adler may close. And number three, it provides the money to pro...put the receiving facilities to which the kids would move, into decent shape. You keep reading in the newspapers about how you can't make the move because the receiving facilities are in such bad shape. All in the world we're dealing with here is an attempt to put them in decent shape. The money will be useful to those facilities whether or not the decision finally made to move. You all know that at some point we've got to deal with the fact that we have too many state mental health facilities. The system is over-built for the number of people currently in institutions or who are ever again going to be in mental health facilities in this state. would strongly urge 'yes' votes on this Motion. know it's not easy. I know the other side has an emotionally appealing case. There is still some room for maneuver when we deal with the FY 83 budget, particularly in my opinion on Adler. We need to approve this Bill today, and get this

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

money going so that these facilities can put...be put into decent shape and the operation of the Mental Health Department can go forward. I ask for 'yes' votes, 'yes' votes on this Motion."

- Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall the House recede from Amendments #1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1669?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The...Final Action. Final Action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? To explain her vote, Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, although ultimately it is clear that we will have to spend money for some of these things, the construction of which has already been accomplished I think by not receding from this Amendment we can put the Bill into its proper posture in a Conference Committee and resolve what has been done by edict without legislative approval already. And then, of course, we will be forced to fund those things that have already happened. However I think it is a matter of poor planning for any department to proceed with a major kind of new program effort of this sort without receiving legislative approval in advance. And therefore I advise a "no" vote."
- Speaker Peters: "To explain his vote, Representative Olson. One minute."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As a Representative from Dixon, Illinois, which is significantly involved in this particular measure, the Governor did come to our community this week and affirm that we will be receiving the Department of Corrections. The Director of Mental Health has told us, as late as yesterday, that the beds arenot placed for the transfer.

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

We need this 1,500,000 to move it. And I strongly urge an "aye" vote on this recision. Thank you."

- Speaker Peters: "Representative Rea to explain his vote for one minute."
- Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of I agree that there needs to be consolidation and integration of services, and that there can be a savings. But, in this particular instance, one of the things that you really need to think about is quality of care. And there has not been the proper planning in order for this to come about. I think that you should reassess. Anybody that has voted green should look at their vote up there and reconsider and...in terms of the ones that are residing those facilities at the present time. Because, the end results, we do want the best possible service and, result I don't think that we are going to get it. And this will give a chance then, to work out the differences and to find a way of...of providing what's needed for those and keep the quality of care at the highest."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Madigan. Representative Mautino to explain his vote for one minute."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My question to the Chair is that...and my request is that if, in fact, this receives 89 votes, I*d like the opportunity and I do seek a Verification of the Affirmative Votes, in that regard, since I am the Sponsor of Amendment #3 that is being raised by this issue."
- Speaker Peters: "You are entitled to that right, Sir.

 Representative Friedrich to explain his vote for one minute."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, last year, I think, the people said they wanted to see where savings could be made in government, at all levels. It's amazing to me every time that an

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

executive officer tries to make some saving, there are always those people who want to spend more money. The Leadership on the other side of the aisle keeps telling us that the budget is out of balance; but every time we try to do things which will save money and balance the budget, they vote in the other direction. So, I think that there's something mixed up here in what we're trying to do; that the Governor feels and the departments feel that this is a necessary change and a place to save some money. I think this is the time to do it."

- Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? There being none, have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 90 voting 'aye', 73 voting 'nay', 6 voting 'present'. Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Poll of the Ab...excuse me. Verification of the Affirmative Roll on this."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Reilly asks for a Poll of the Absentees. Representative Mautino asks for a Verification of the Affirmative Vote. Mr. Clerk, a Poll of the Absentees. Do da. Do da."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Ewell. Farley. Giorgi.

 Huff. Katz. Pechous. Topinka and Younge."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Huff. Mr. Clerk, Representative Huff wishes to be dec...recorded as voting 'no'. Proceed with a Verification of the Affirmative Vote. The Members will please be in their seats to facilitate the Verification. Proceed, Mr. Clerk, at haste."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Ackerman. Alstat. Barkhausen. Barnes. Barr. Bartulis. Bell. Bianco. Bower. Braun. Collins. Conti. Daniels. Davis. Deuchler. Deuster. Donovan. Jack Dunn-John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Epton. Fawell. Findley. Virginia

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Griffin. Grossi. Hallock. Hastert. Hoffman. Hudson. Huskey. Karpiel. Jim Kelley. Dick Kelly. Kociolko. Klemm. Koehler...Koehler. Kucharski. Kustra. LaHood. Leinenweber. Macdonald. Margalus. Martire. Mays. McAuliffe. McCormick. McMaster. Ted Meyer. R. J. Meyer. McBroom. Miller. Neff. Nelson. Oblinger. Olson. Peters. Piel-Pullen. Reed. Reilly. Rigney. Robbins. Ronan. Ropp. Sandquist. Harry Smith. Irv Smith. Stanley. E. G. Steele. Stewart. C. M. Stiehl. Swanstrom. Telcser. Tuerk. Turner. Watson. Winchester. J. J. Wolf. Woodyard. Zwick and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Peters: "The count is 90 voting 'aye', 74 voting 'nay'.

For the verification, the Chair recognizes Representative
Mautino. Proceed, Sir."

Mautino: "Representative Barnes."

Speaker Peters: "The Lady is in her seat."

Mautino: "Representative Barr."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Barr. B-A-R-R. Barr. The Gentleman in the chamber? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman is here, at the well, out the door."

Mautino: "Findley."

Peters: "Findley is in his seat."

Mautino: "Kucharski."

Kucharski: "The Gentleman is in the chamber in his seat."

Mautino: "Mar...Margalus."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman is in his seat."

Mautino: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Mautino: "McBroom."

Speaker Peters: "Representative McBroom is in his seat."

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Mautino: "Stanley."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Stanley is in his seat."

Mautino: "Stearney. It's difficult to see where they are, Sir."

Speaker Peters: "I understand that, Sir. Will the Members please

be seated to facilitate the Verification from the distinguished Member of Bureau County, Representative Mautino. Proceed, Sir."

Mautino: "Mr. Stearney. Is he here? I don't..."

Speaker Peters: "Yes."

Mautino: "Okay. Tate."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Tate. The Gentleman's in the center aisle."

Mautino: "I think Ma... Okay, he's back here. That's all I have, Sir."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Braun, what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my vote to 'no'?"

Speaker Peters: "Change Representative Braun from 'aye'...from
'aye' to 'no'. On this question, oh... Representative

Stewart seeks recognition."

Stewart: "Mr. Speaker, please record me as 'aye'...as 'no'."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Stewart wishes to be changed from 'aye'...'aye' to 'no'?"

Stewart: "Yes."

Speaker Peters: "From "aye" to 'no". Who is the other one?

Stewart and who? Braun. Representative Turner."

Turner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be changed to 'no'."

Speaker Peters: "Mr. Clerk, Representative Turner wishes to be changed from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Dick Kelly."

Kelly: "Mr. Speaker, please change me from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Peters: "Change Representative Kelly from *aye* to *no*.

Representative Ewell."

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Ewell: "...be recorded as 'present'."

Speaker Peters: "How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Ewell: "Not at all."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Speaker Peters: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'.

Any ... Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Peters: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Vinson: "Would you change my vote from 'no' to 'yes', please?"

Speaker Peters: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'.

Representative Terzich, do you seek recognition, Sir?

Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be recorded as 'aye'."

- Wolf, J. J.: "Just to correct the record. That was Amendments 1 and 3, not 1 and 2."
- Speaker Peters: "Correction of the record on the last action of the House. It is Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1669.

 The House, having receded from those Amendments, Senate Bill 1669, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Lechowicz, what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, on the Supplemental Calendar #1

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

- today, appears a Motion on Senate Bill 1299, pursuant to Rule 66(a). I move to discharge the Executive Committee from further consideration and advance to Second Reading, First Legislative Day, Senate Bill 1299."
- Speaker Peters: "Supplemental Calendar #1 Motions. Proceed,
 Sir."
- Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe this matter has been discussed last year in this General Assembly. But, more important, the Federal Government has now come up with an idea of having 70 enterprise zones located throughout the United States. Illinois is in the process, in fact, there has been designated as receiving two..."
- Speaker Peters: "Excuse me, Sir. Ex...Representative Matijevich, state your point, Sir."
- Matijevich: "I'm going to raise a point of order, and I'm only doing it by memory. I believe this Motion's got to stay on the Calendar one Legislative Day. Could the Parliamentarian check that rule. I believe it has to be on the Calendar one Legislative Day, and then be considered."
- Speaker Peters: "I am informed, Representative Matijevich, that
 the Motion was properly filed yesterday, and is properly on
 the...properly on the Calendar. Proceed, Representative
 Lechowicz."
- Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in or...in, also...in response to your ruling, I did check it with the Parliamentarian. I did check it with Dave Epstein, in reference when I filed my Motion yesterday, and I, unfortunately the Calendar was already in the process of being prin...at the printers being printed. And for that reason a Supplemental Calendar had to be issued today. Or else it would have appeared on the normal Calendar for today's business. But still, it goes back to the basic premise, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Gentlemen of the House, as far as enterprise zones, located throughout the United States. The Federal Government has designated approximately 70 enterprise zones to be allocated to the 50 states. Illinois is in the fortunate position, through the work of its elected officials, of having two areas designated in this state in the enterprise zone concept; one in Chicago and one in the East Saint Louis area. But in order to implement this type of legislation, from the Federal Government to make an application for the enterprise zone, we will have to..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Lechowicz, excuse me.

Representative Lechowicz, excuse me."

Lechowicz: "...address ourselves to Senate Bill 1299."

Speaker: "Excuse me, Sir. Will the people on this side kind of move to wherever you can so that the Chair can view those who are seeking the attention of the Chair. Representative McPike, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McPike: "Under a point of order, Mr. Speaker. Under the temporary rules of the House, Rule 66, Motion to Discharge Committee, (b) 'the Motion must be in writing, and shall be carried on the daily calendar for the next Legislative Day under the Order of Motions'. This Motion, therefore, cannot be heard until either tomorrow or next week."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Lechowicz, on that point."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, this is the same guestion that you made a ruling on, in answer to Representative Matijevich. And maybe the Gentleman was not in the chamber, but I did check with the Parliamentarian. I did check with David Epstein. They in turn instructed me...told me that my Motion yesterday when I filed it with the Clerk, was in order. Unfortunately, the Calendar was already off to the printers. For that reason, it did not appear on the normal calendar today, but it appeared on the Supplemental

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Calendar today, and my Motion is in order at this time."

Speaker Peters: "The po...Your point is well taken, Sir, and that would be the ruling of the Chair. Proceed, Sir."

Lechowicz: "Well, ar. Speaker, basically, I believe this Membership knows the importance of this legislation. I'm asking in all fairness that this matter be considered by this Body. I'm asking that this matter appear on Second Reading, First Legislative Day. It's my intent, with the review of the staff and in fact we worked on this late last night, stating that there were some errors from the Senate in the original drafting of this legislation. We will have a corrective, technical Amendment, and I'm also working with the respective municipalities and the Governor's Office in trying to put this piece of legislation in an area that we can apply and receive two enterprise zones from the Federal Government. And for that reason, I om asking for your support and your 'aye' vote to discharge the Executive Committee and place Senate Bill Second Reading, First Legislative Day, and I so move."

Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Any discussion? Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion, as Chairman of Executive Committee.

This Bill got to us too late to be posted according to the normal posting deadline. And, consequently, we have not been able to give it the consideration that it deserves.

And I strongly support the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Peters: "Representative McPike, on the Motion."

McPike: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Motion. This Bill last year was sent to the Governor and vetoed. Now, a Bill that is much different than the one that's sent to the Governor has been put forth. The Bill in its present form,

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

is strongly opposed by the AF of L-CIO and by working men and women throughout the state for the simple reason that President Reagan has already given away the store. corporations in the country next year and by 1985 will paying no federal taxes. And the intent of this Bill is to allow them to pay no state taxes. Everyone knows the condition of the state economy right now. We could...we desperately need 100, 200, 300 million dollars more just to provide adequate services to our constituents. And this Bill in any form is simply going to provide more giveaways to large businesses. There is nothing in this Bill that is going to stimulate the small business. is nothing in this Bill that is going to alleviate the burdens on the small business man. There is nothing this Bill that is going to help open financial markets to the entrepreneur or to the small businessman that wants risky business. This is simply going to allow finance a businesses to further deduct ongoing taxes from their...from their state tax obligations, and leave the state with less money than it has now. If we really want to address the business, if we really want to address the tax climate, what we should do is decouple from the federal use some οf that noney to rebuild our tax: inter...intra-structure in the cities to rebuild OUL streets and our bridges and our sewer systems, refund our educational system. And that way, we can attract a large and small businesses. But, to simply continue down the line of Reagan, to continue to bankrupt not only the Federal Government, but the State Government and the city and county governments and the school districts, is no answer to our economic problems. I don't think that this Bill deserves a hearing on the House floor. I think it should be....I think it should be allowed to die in

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Committee. It did not get a...a committee hearing, and I don't think it really deserves, now, to be put on the floor. I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Ebbesen."

Bbbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, "Shall the previous question be put?". Those in favor will signify by saying "aye", those opposed 'nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Representative Lechowicz to close."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in response to the previous speaker, he's so out of step with reality, it's a shame. Unfortunately, the Federal Government has been cutting back on respective programs in...affecting every area, every area that the Democratic has always supported. This is one area that transcends Democratic or Republican Party lines. We're talking about providing additional jobs in the State of Illinois. We're talking about bringing industry in State of Illinois. This is the only concept that is now being espoused by the present administration, present federal administration, where you can make an application and receive some relief. If you don't accept this, you're out of step with reality. I strongly recommend an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall the Gentleman's Motion to discharge the Executive Committee, pursuant to Rule 66(a), further consideration of Senate Bill 1299 be adopted?'. Take 89 votes. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? To explain her vote, Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I just want to correct a misstatement of the Sponsor of this Motion. This

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

particular Bill, the way it is now, 73 pages worth, will not bring additional jobs to Illinois. As a matter of fact, there's nothing in the Bill which says that the people in Il...in Illinois must be the ones who are hired. They can come from of outside the state. Also, Indiana. Michigan, anywhere else. it communities within the state compete with each other. One community could send its business over to the designated zone and, therefore, make their...the first community die because they can just move the business from one part of the state of another. Under this Bill, you can have...the area could have its own fire department, its own police department, its own day care without anv kind οf This Bill is a terribly complicated regulations at all. Bill, 73 pages long. It has many..."

- Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Being none, have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are ...On this question there are 134 voting 'aye', 25 voting 'nay', 2 voting 'present': and the Executive Committee is discharged from further consideration of Senate Bill 1299, and the Bill will appear on the Calendar on the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. On the Calendar, page 2, Senate Bills Second Reading, House...Senate Bill 1392. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1392, a Bill for an Act to amend certain appropriation Acts herein named. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Wolf Winchester, amends

 Senate Bill 1392 as amended by deleting Section 5 and so
 forth."
- Speaker Peters: "Amendment #1. Representative Hoxsey, on

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Amendment #1."

- Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 Amendment 1 would transfer 110,000 dollars of Ag Premium
 Fund in the Agri...in the Agriculture Budget to repair the
 fire damage to the horse barns at the fairgrounds. I would
 ask your favorable support."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House...Senate Bill 1392 be adopted?". Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those oppose 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 1392 as amended..."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Mautino, Amendment #2."
- Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, since the other Amendment was relieved from the embodiment of 1669, I now ask to withdraw Amendment #2."
- Speaker Peters: "I'm sorry, Sir."
- Mautino: "Since 1669 addressed the same question, I ask to withdraw."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Mautino..."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Mautino, Amendment #3."
- Mautino: "Same thing, Pete."
- Speaker Peters: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #3. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Leinenweber..."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Leinenweber, Amendment #4.

 Representative Leinenweber."
- Leinenweber: "Oh, yeah. Amendment #4 adds 610 dollars to the appropriation for the Commission for Uniformity of

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Legislation in the United States to cover a deficit. I urge its adoption."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Peters: "No. He indicates he will."

Darrow: "What are the total annual dues for this Commission?"

Leinenweber: "I think they're 22 thousand some dollars."

Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1392 be adopted?'. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Bullock..."

Speaker Peters: "Amendment #5, Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Withdraw #5 and #10."

Speaker Peters: "Gentleman withdraws #5. Any further

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Hoffman..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Hoffman, Amendment #6."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have...The Amendment before me is Amendment #8."

Speaker Peters: "Mr. Clerk. Representative Vinson, what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for purpose of an announcement.

Representative Mulcahey's son, about an hour ago, was

elected Attorney General of Boys State for Illinois. And I

think he deserves a hand."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Mulcahey, if we need an opinion,
we'll call on you. Representative Hoffman on Amendment
#6."

Hoffman: "Who says succeeding generations can't succeed? Thank

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1392 is a transfer of 760 dollars within the budget of the School Problems Commission to cover the state's contribution for social security for an employee. And I would move the adoption of Amendment #6."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1392 be adopted?'.

Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

Amendment 6 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, J. J. Wolf - Winchester..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Winchester on Amendment #7."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment \$7 appropriates 962,000 dollars from the Metropolitan Exposition Auditorium and Office Building Fund, the MEAOB Fund, to DECCA to pay for civic centers of Aurora, Danville, Decatur, Joliet, Peoria, Rockford and Springfield, interest income earned on bonds used for construction of their civic center. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call vote on the adoption of Amendment \$7."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a matter of a Parliamentary Inquiry, so stay in the Chair. I would ask whether Amendment #7, the text of Amendment #7 conforms with Section 8, Article...Section 8(d) of the Constitution of Illinois, which provides, an appropriation Bill shall be limited to the subject of appropriations. It appears to me, in reading Section 7(a) of Amendment 7, that it provides for substantive matters, and not just for appropriation matters. It speaks about debt past debt, service and allocation and settlement agreements and what not. So, I merely ask. None of those cities are my, you know, my town; but whether or not it conforms to the

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Further

Constitution."

Amendments?"

Speaker Peters: "Is that a rhetorical question?"

Greiman: "Well, it's in...I bring it to the Speaker's attention to do with what he will. If it's unconstitutional, I know that the Speaker always wants to do the right thing and follow the Constitution and the temporary rules. Whoops."

Speaker Peters: "It would be the opinion of the Chair that Amendment #7 is in order, that the language is appropriate in accordance with court cases which have divined on that subject. Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1392 be adopted?'. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Hoffman..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Hoffman, Amendment #8."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like permission of the House to table previously adopted Amendment #6, and then adopt Amendment #8 in...in lieu of 6, due to incorrect language in Amendment #6. So, I'd like to table Amendment #6."

'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted.

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman...All right. The Gentleman now mo...The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #6. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #6 is tabled. Now, Amendment #8, which is the proper Amendment."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #8 is, as I explained for Amendment #6, but with the correct language to fit into the Bill, and I would move the adoption of Amendment #8."

Speaker Peters: "Gentleman ... Any discussion? There being none,

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1392. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #8 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, J. J. Wolf..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf, Amendment #9."

Wolf, J. J.: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House,
Amendment #9 is a transfer of 342,000 dollars in the
Northern Illinois University budget, which is needed for
construction of a new steam line. There is no new dollars.
This is merely a transfer. I would ask for the adoption of
Amendment #9."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 1392 be adopted?'.

Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it; Amendment #9, adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, Bullock..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Bullock, Amendment #10."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #10, bipartisan Amendment Bullock — Lechowicz — et al, appropriates 28,000 dollars for expenses incurred by employees of the former Commission on Delinquency Prevention. Several employees, as you know, worked July 1 and 2, and were unsuccessful in getting their salaries. The operations of CDF was absorbed by the Department of Children and Family Services. I know of no opposition to the Amendment, and would ask for an affirmative vote."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Has this one been distributed?"

Speaker Peters: "In essence, Representative Leinenweber. Any further discussion? There being none, the question is,

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

"Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?". Those in favor will signify by saying "aye", those opposed "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. Amendment #10's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Bepresentative Friedrich, on that same Order, Senate Bill 1247. Out. 1248, out of the record. Representative J. J. Wolf, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Representative Wolf. With the kind indulgence of the House, to correct some misnumbering in terms of the Amendments. Senate Bill 1392 is now on the Order of Third Reading. Representative Wolf asks leave to bring the Bill back to the Order of Second Reading for purposes of amendment. Are there any objections? There being none, leave is granted. Representative...Second Reading. Representative Wolf."

Wolf, J. J.: "First, Mr. Speaker, an inquiry of the Chair. Was

Amendment #4 adopted?"

Speaker Peters: "Yes."

- Wolf, J. J.: "If #4 was adopted, then we...Mr. Hoffman, by error, adopted 6, then tabled 6 and adopted #8. And the misnumbering is so, I would move that we table Amendment #8 and that we reconsider Amendment #6 and adopt that one."
- Speaker Peters: "All right. Do you have it? We're on 8. Gentleman moves to table Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1392. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #8 is adopted...8 is tabled. 8 is tabled. Representative Wolf, now, on Amendment #6, moves to reconsider the Motion by which Amendment #6 was tabled. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed nay. The ayes The have it. Motion to table has...reconsider... We are now on Amendment #6. The

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Gentleman now moves to adopt Amendment #6. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'.

Amendment #6 is adopted. Representative Bowman."

- Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I have no objection to the Amendment but from a parliamentary perspective let me suggest that only someone voting on the prevailing side can make a Motion to reconsider. And therefore voice votes cannot be reconsidered because you have no way of knowing who voted on the prevailing side. The proper Motion is to take from the table. Simply...All we have to do is to make the Motion to take that Amendment from the table and readopt it, not a Motion to reconsider the Amendment, Sir."
- Speaker Peters: "The Chair, again, stands corrected, humbly thanks the Gentleman from Chicago. But now, the Parliamentarian will tell me what the real rule is. Representative Bowman on Amendment #6, Sir. Would you please state the proper Motion?"
- Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that we take from the table

 Amendment #6."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'.

 The opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #6 is now before us. On Amendment #6, Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "I now move we adopt Amendment #6."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman now moves we adopt Amendment #6.

 Those in favor will signify by saying *aye*...Oop. Before that, Representative Conti."
- Conti: "Things are going a little too fast for me, Mr. Speaker.

 I understand table...Amendment #6 was tabled because of the
 technical error in Amendment #6. Now, if it was a
 technical error in #6, why are we reconsidering Amendment
 #6?"
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Conti, in the presentation of the

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Amendments, it was 8 that had the technical error, and not 6. So, we're trying to correct that."

Conti: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Bowman's Motion now is well put.

Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

Amendment #6 is adopted. What do you... Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Representative Wolf."

Wolf, J. J.: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, now, pursuant to Rule 35, I ask leave of the House, using the Attendance Roll Call, so that we may consider the passage of Senate Bill 1392 today."

Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is there objection? There being none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 1392, Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1392, a Bill for an Act to amend certain appropriation Acts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf, J. J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1392 is a transfer supplemental Bill. In addition to the Amendments which were just adopted by this House, Senate Bill 1392 originally appropriated 7,300 dollars in General Revenue Funds to the Capitol Development Board. However, Senate Amendment #1 changed the title and deleted everything after the enacting clause. Senate Amendment #1, transferred 88,804 dollars in the Supreme Court's Fiscal '82 budget from the personal services for shorthand reporters to Associate Judges. This will allow 20 new Associate Judges to be added in June of this year. Senate Amendment #2 transfers 85,182 in GRF in the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs FY 82 budget and makes a supplemental appropriation of 164,818 dollars in GRF plus a

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

transfer of 30,500 in Federal Employment and Training Funds 23,700 dollar transfer in tourism hns funds. Additionally, Senate Amendment #3 is a transfer Amendment the Fiscal '82 appropriation for the Department of Registration Education, transferring 28,000 dollars within medical disciplinary fund. Total increase appropriation in the amended Bill prior to House Amendments was 164,818 dollars. I would ask for affirmative votes." discussion? Speaker Peters: "Any Representative Flinn-Gentleman...There being none, the question is, Senate Bill 1392 be adopted? . Those in favor will signify by voting *aye*, those opposed by voting *nay*. Final Action, Third Reading. 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 153 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'nay' none voting 'present'. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Frederick, what purpose do you seek recognition?"

- Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to suspend Rule 41 in order that the House give immediate consideration to passage of House Resolution 958, which will extend the reporting date for one year, until June 30, 1983, of the Rape Study Committee. This Resolution does have the approval of the Speaker and the Minority Leader."
- Speaker Peters: "You've hear the Lady's Motion asking for leave for use of the Attendance Roll Call. Is there leave? No objection. The rule is suspended. What's the Resolution?"

 Frederick: "Now..."
- Speaker Peters: "Do you have the Resolution, Mr. Clerk? All right. Representative Frederick."
- Frederick: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the Resolution simply extends the

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

reporting date of the Rape Study Committee for one year, from June 30, 1982 to June 30, 1983."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion on the Resolution? There being none, the question...question is, 'Shall the Resolution be adopted?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Representative Bradley, on this Resolution?

Bradley: "Yes, on this Resolution."

Speaker Peters: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Bradley: "I was trying to get your attention. I was wondering, what rates do...do...do they study? What rates?"

Speaker Peters: "Rapes. R-A-P-E-S. Okay? The Gentleman withdraws his question. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr Clerk. Mr. Clerk. Thank you. On this question...yes. On this question there are 153 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'nay', 3 voting 'present'. Resolution 958 is adopted. Represent...Reilly, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a Motion which I have checked with both sides to take Senate Bill 20...to discharge the Committee on Health and Family Services for consideration."

Speaker Peters: "Proceed, Sir."

Reilly: "Senate Bill 1288, it deals with PKU testing, which is testing we do of kids for genetic defects. As I say, it's been checked and agreed by the Chairman and both sides. The Committee simply didn't get a chance to post it."

Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Those in favor will signi... Any discussion? Being none, those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. 89 votes. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 157 voting

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 1288 is discharged, and the Gentleman's Motion prevails. Mr. Clerk, read the Adjournment Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 92, resolved by the House of Representatives of the 82nd General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when the House of Representatives adjourns on Thursday, June 10, 1982, it stands adjourned until Wednesday, June 16, 1982 at the hour of 12:00 o'clock noon. And when the Senate adjourns on Friday, June 11, 1982, it stands adjourned until Monday, June 14, 1982 at the hour of 12:00 o'clock noon."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Telcser, Gentleman..."

- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to offer and move the adoption of House Joint Resolution 92."
- Speaker Peters: "Gentleman moves adoption of ad...of the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The Resolution is adopted. Messages from the Senate."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright,
 Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the
 House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the
 following Senate Joint Resolution, and the adoption of
 which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of
 Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #98;
 adopted by the Senate June 10, 1982.' Kenneth Wright,
 Secretary."
- Speaker Peters: "Agreed Resolutions, Representative Conti. Does the Clerk read the..."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House...Senate Joint Resolution 96, Klemm.

 Senate Joint Resolution 97, Capparelli. Senate Joint

 Resolution 99, Terzich Topinka Kociolko. House Joint

 Resolution 93, Ryan. House Resolution 966, Swanstrom.

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

967, Rigney. 968, Younge. 969, Jane Barnes. 970, Harry Smith. 971, Donovan - Findley. 972, Wikoff. 973, Rigney. 974, Rea. 975, Griffin. 977, Zito. 978, Tuerk - Schraeder - Koehler - Saltsman. 980, Greiman. 981, Doyle - DiPrima - et al. 983, Brummer. 984, McGrew."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Conti on the Agreed Resolutions." Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Joint Resolution 96 by Klemm, that we extend our heartiest and most sincere congratulations to George S. Justen and Son Funeral Home upon the celebration of its centennial anniversary. Senate...Senate Joint Resolution 97. Capparelli, be it resolved by the 82nd General Assembly that the reporting date for the report of the Joint Condominium Study Committee be created by the Senate Joint Resolution 9, and extended to Senate Joint Resolution 52 to January 12th of 1983. Senate Joint Resolution 99, Terzich - et al, where the anniversary of a very sad day in history of mankind were the 4th...40th anniversary of the destruction of the town of Lidice. Now, they have expressed their deep empathy and respect for Czechoslovakia people, especially those citizens of Lidice who died gallantly fighting Nazis oppression and remembrance on the 40th anniversary of the Lidice massacre June 10. 1942. House Joint Resolution 93, Representative George Ryan, the 12th century Persians invented the minai technique of decorating their earthenware pottery, in which colors were painted onto already glazed and fired vessels, and then fixed by refiring at relatively low temperature. The technique has a great advantage over the previous used techniques. House Resolution 966, it has become to the attention to the House of Representatives that Paul Shearer and his lovely wife, Glow, operated their...are celebrating their golden

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

anniversary on June 3, 1982. That's bу Swanstrom. Resolution 967 by Rigney, that this chamber, upon this auspicious occasion, extend the best wishes and heartiest congratulations to Mayor William I. (sic - L.) and all the residents of Polo, Illinois and Ogle County upon the celebration of the town's 125th anniversary. House Resolution 968 by Younge, Alfrenia Hampton, OB occasion of her retirement, that we commend her for a lifetime of outstanding contribution to society and civic life. House Resolution 969, Jane M. Barnes, Sister Irenaeus Chekouras, R.S.M., will be honored at the evening garden reception on June 12, 1982. Harry Smith, House Resolution 970, the Trojans of Triton College, under the guidance of Coach Robert Symonds, finished the 1982 junior ba...college baseball season ranked 4th in the nation. House Resolution 971, Donovan and Findley, whereas the BEC dumps their surpluses on the world market, and thereby depresses world sugar prices. This is memorializing Congress to stop this so that we can sell more corn, the farmers in Illinois. House Resolution 972 by Wikoff, marks the 1982 the 75th anniversary of the Urbana Park District. House Resolution 973, Rigney, whereas it has become the attention of the House of Representative that the Stillman Valley National Bank of Stillman Valley, Illinois will celebrate its 100th anniversary on June 9th. House Resolution 19...974, Rea, the House of Resolution that ¥e. Dutch Doelitzsch upon his congratulate and commend outstanding service to his listeners who stood by his radio during the Marion catastrophe we had last week, announcing the progress of the tornado, and stayed at his radio all day long. House Resolution 975, Griffin, Mary B. Corbett retiring after completing 20 years of Directress of the Educational director of the Alcuin Montessori School.

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

House Resolution 977 by Representative Zito, Triton College won the 1982 North Central Community College Conference baseball championship, marking the 10th straight year they have claimed that title. House Resolution 978, the girls' softball team of Illinois Central College, East Peoria, won the 1982 National Junior College softball championship. House Resolution 980 by Greiman, whereas the observance of basic human rights requires that all persons have the right to emigrate freely. House Resolution 981, DiPrima - et al. that we commend Reverend Monsignor Edward Pellicore on the occasion of his retirement. July 1, 1982. House Resolution 983 by Brummer, whereas it has come to the attention of this House that the Village of Edgewood will be celebrating the occasion of its 125th celebration on July 3rd and of this year. House Resolution 984 by McGrew, whereas Mr. Viar has accepted the position of Executive Director of the California Community College Trustees. beginning July 1, 1982. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adoption of the Res...Agreed Resolutions."

- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'.

 The 'ayes' have it. The Resolutions are adopted. Let's wait. Hold on a second. Representative Wolf for announcement."
- J. J.: "Thank Wolf. you, Mr. Speaker. House Appropriations Committee will meet today immediately after adjournment. We would ask for the prompt attendance of all Members. Secondly, the meeting originally scheduled for Friday, June 11th, that's tomorrow, will be postponed until Tuesday, June 15th. at 2:00 p. m. So the meeting originally scheduled for Tuesday ... all the Bills posted at that time. will be heard following the Bills originally scheduled for Priday. In other words, our plan is to take the Bills that

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

were scheduled for to...for tomorrow, hear them Tuesday afternoon and then proceed to hear the Bills that are posted for Tuesday. Appropriations Committee will meet, of course, on Wednesday, June 16th and Thursday, June 17th, as planned, and the deadline for the House Committee action on all Senate appropriation Bills is June the 20th. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Bepresentative McAuliffe, for an announcement."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
there's a pair of prescription sunglasses that were left on
my desk. If anybody lost their sunglasses, come over and
claim them."

Speaker Peters: "An announcement from the Chair. The House will be in Perfunct. Session on Wednesday at 12:00 noon and actual Session at 2:00 p.m. Perfunct. at noon, 2:00 p.m. real Session. The House will convene at 2:00 p.m. We have... Yes, we have just a couple extra other pieces, and we'll get around to the people who have the statements and the announcements here. On page three of the Calendar, Constitutional Amendments, Second Reading, House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #1. Representative Catania. Does the Lady wish it to be moved to Third Reading? It will remain on Second. The Lady is not here. Now, Representative Diprima. An announcement, Sir? What purpose?"

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Peters: "What purpose do you seek recognition?"

DiPrima: "Monday is Plag Day, and anybody that has a Flag Day speech that they re looking for, I have them here. Put out your flags, too."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege."

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Speaker Peters: "Proceed, Sir."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, on page four of the Calendar, there's a House Resolution 212 of which I am the Chief House Sponsor. It passed the Executive Committee last spring, Speaker has failed to call that particular Amendment. MV purpose in rising today, Mr. Speaker, is to inform you, particularly, and other Members of the House that we've had further problems with the Kaleidoscope Program in Bloomington that you, not too long ago on the floor of House, when we passed DCFS Appropriation Committee, made the statement that they're doing a fine job. On May 14th. had another case where an employee of Kaleidoscope raped one of the wards of the State of Illinois, a 14-year-old girl. This is the second time, Mr. Speaker, and I particularly wish you would listen. This is the second time that that has happened. We've had one person that a ward of the state, who robbed a bank in Bloomington, who was a ward of Kaleidoscope of which DCFS is so proud of that particular private organization, that they place these children in these homes. We've had two of those wards of the state, Mr. Speaker, burn down one of the local lumber yards, and I could go on and on. Finally, Mr. even though you have defended this Department explicitly on many occasions, finally, under House Resolution 776 that passed in 1978, the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission has asked for the police department report on the last rape case on May 14th in Bloomington. My purpose, Mr. ... really, Mr. Speaker, is to ask you and ask the real Speaker to reconsider their position on DCFS. I ask how long, how long, how long will you continue to let this private organization, who gives absolutely, evidentally no supervision at all to the wards of the state, how long will we continue to fund them, and how long, Sir, will you

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

continue to support the funding at 25,000 dollars a student, the wards of the state? And now we have the Investigating Commission, Legislative under House Resolution 778 (sic - 776), which, incidentally, Mr. Speaker, is child abuse investigation. We're having our Commission, under our child abuse Investigating investigation Resolution, investigate the R Wards of State of Illinois, and we continue to fund and say that DCFS is doing a great job. And we continue to fund Kaleidoscope. My point, Mr. Speaker, is that I will be asking you and the Speaker, George Ryan, to call that Resolution next week at any time you want. Today, obviously, is not a very good time to be doing that, but I will be asking you to call that Resolution because we just cannot continue to be responsible, if we're responsible Representatives, to fund that Department that, in turn, funds Kaleidoscope Incorporated that does not - and I drive by one of their homes every day, Mr. Speaker, does not provide supervision. It's very evident that they don't provide supervision. It's time we guit funding that organization, and I hope that you will call that Resolution for consideration. And I plead with you to do that at the earliest possible time next week, Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker Peters: "Representative Bradley, if it would be your intent to make the Motion to do that now, the Chair would take that Motion."
- Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I called you on the phone an hour and a half ago and asked you to recognize me, and you waited until the last possible minute to recognize me, even to the point after the Adjournment Resolution and the other Resolutions were adopted. And you and I very well know, you've been here long enough, and I've been here long enough that, with the day that we're getting out of there,

123rd Legislative Day

June 10. 1982

the Membership is not in attendance as it well should be.

It would be a poor time to call the Resolution. I just asked for a fair chance, and I hope you will call it next week."

Speaker Peters: "Okay. What are we on? General Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 976, Klemm."

Speaker Peters: "Committee on Rules."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 982, Ted Meyer."

Speaker Peters: "Committee on Assignments. Death Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 965, Macdonald — et al, with respect to the memory of Walter E. Peppler of Palatine, Illinois: and, House Resolution 979, Bianco — et al, respect to the memory of 23 members of the Air Force Reserves and four members of the Air National Guard who lost their lives when their aircraft crashed in McHenry County, Illinois."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Conti, on the Death Resolutions."

Conti: "I move for the adoption of the Death Resolutions."

- Speaker Peters: "Gentleman moves the adoption of the Death
 Resolutions. Those in favor will signify by saying "aye",
 those opposed "nay". The Resolutions are adopted.
 Representative Ewing, for what purpose do you seek
 recognition?"
- Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I have a Motion on the Calendar on Senate
 Bill 1305 which kind of got caught in the transfer, and I
 have talked with the Committee Chairman. My Motion is to
 discharge Committee and put Senate Bill 1305 out on Second
 Reading."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Ewing, have you discussed this with both the.. the Chairman and the Spokesman on the Committee?"
- Ewing: "Yes, I have. Well, not with the Spokesman, but with the Representative Madigan."

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

- Speaker Peters: "Madigan's fine? The Chair will take the Motion.

 State the Motion, Sir."
- Ewing: "This is a Motion to discharge Executive Committee and to place on Order of Second Reading Senate Bill 1305."
- Speaker Peters: "You've 'heard the Gentleman's Motion.

 Discussion? Representative Kane.
- Kane: "Would the Gentleman let the House know what the Bill is about so we can vote intelligently?"
- Ewing: "Yes, Sir. This is the drug paraphernalia Bill, which passed the Senate and by an overwhelming vote."
- Kane: "Don't we already have a number of House Bills on the floor that deal with the same issue?"
- Ewing: "Not to my knowledge, none."
- Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? There being none...

 Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "Just to confirm that I do support this Motion, since
 it's discharging my Committee. We were not able to hear it
 because of it coming to us too late for the posting."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 133 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'nay', and the Gentleman's Motion to discharge Senate Bill 1305 from the Committee on Executive prevails. Rep...Representative Nelson, for what purpose do you seek the recognition of the Chair?"

Nelson: "Mr. Speaker, for an inquiry."

Speaker Peters: "State the inquiry."

Nelson: "Thank you. I was distressed yesterday by some of the rhetoric on the floor that implied that Republicans were not strongly committed to the Equal Rights Amendment. I am. I think you know that, and I wondered if you could

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

tell this Body whether or not you have any information about when we will be allowed to vote on a rules changes to ratify the Equal Rights Amendment."

Speaker Peters: "I haven't heard that since I was at Holy
Innocence Church. I'm sorry. I did not hear the inquiry
because of the chanting. When?"

Nelson: "The... The inquiry, Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Peter: "Is."

Nelson; "... is whether or not you have any information about
when we may have an actual vote on the question of
ratification with an extraordinary majority or a simply
majority."

Speaker Peters: "If...Is there a place on the Calendar you may suggest that the Chair go to?"

Nelson: "I would suggest a Special Order."

Speaker Peters: "For right now?"

Nelson: "Not right now."

Speaker Peters: "What do we have... What do we put in that Special Order?"

Nelson: "House Resolution 71."

Speaker Peters: "House Resolution 71? You... Now? We can do that now, if you want."

Nelson: "No."

Speaker Peters: "Alright."

Nelson: "My question...My question was..."

Speaker Peters: "Well, then, Representative Nelson, the guestion is, when are you ready? We're ready."

Nelson: "Is that true?"

Speaker Peters: "We're ready for House Resolution 71."

Nelson: "No, what I m asking is something much different. What I am asking is when this House could have a legitimate chance, with all the Members here, to vote..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Nelson, if you can suggest to the

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Chair where we can go on the Calendar to accomplish what you want, I would be happy to at least consider your request."

Nelson: "My request of you, Mr. Speaker, is for you to answer my question which is, when can we have a legitimate, not a farce, but a legitimate chance to decide the question of what number of people in this chamber will decide how the Equal Rights Amendment will be ratified in Illinois?"

Speaker Peters: "The Chair can only go by what is on the Calendar. There is nothing, as far as the Chair knows, on the Calendar that we can go to to accomplish you end. If there's something on the Calendar, I think that would be the time for that to be considered."

Nelson: "Do you know when the next Bules Committee meeting is?"

Speaker Peters: "I haven't the slightest idea, no. Any other inquiry of the Chair? Representative Kane, you haven't...

Por what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Kane: "Point of parliamentary inquiry. I think that the guestion that is being asked..."

Speaker Peters: "Proceed with your point."

Kane: "Thank you. I think that the question that is being asked is, and you know as well as I do, that the whole strategy for this Session is to keep that question off of the Calendar so that, for you to innocently ask where on Calendar can we go to get to that question, is really beside the point. You know, as well as I do. that whole policy of the Leadership of this House has been to keep that question off of the Calendar for the past and a half. You have refused to put the question of the rules of the House to the Body, where it should legitimately decided. So, for you to ask the question, point to me where on the Calendar we can go, is a farce." Speaker Peters: "The ... The Chair regrets that the Gentleman

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

considers the answer of the Chair a farce. The Chair was making a direct answer to a direct inquiry by the Lady, and that inquiry was, when can we; and, I asked, where are we on the Calendar. Now, according to the rules, 11 Members of the Bules Committee vote out a rule. It will appear before the House. That is majority rule. If 89 Members of the House decide action A, B, C or D and those Motions are put, 89 Members of the House will then prevail. That, as far as I recall, is part of the democratic process. Representative Bowman, for what purpose do you seek inquiry of the Chair?"

- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On the same point, you were not in the Chair yesterday when we went to the Order of Speaker's Table to consider various House Resolutions, and so it occurs to me that you might be prepared to rule differently on the question of germaneness. Now, if you could suggest to us that if you would rule an Amendment to one of those Resolutions germane, I would be happy to suggest which Resolution we might take up at this point, Sir."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Bowman, you would not want the Chair to stack the situation, would you?"
- Bowman: "Well, since the Chair has stacked the situation in favor of the other side, I think this would be a little even-handedness. Yes, I think that would be very helpful, too."
- Speaker Peters: "Careful of you blood pressure. Careful. Any statements, inquiries of the Chair? Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"
- Madigan: "To ask, Mr. Speaker, if this has not already been done, that Representative Pechous be excused because of illness."
- Speaker Peters: "The record will so indicate. Is anyone, who is a Sponsor, Representatives Catania, Matijevich, Jones or

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Sandquist. Can we get some indication whether you want that read a second time and move the Amendment to Third Reading? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Chairman... or Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I see no problem in moving the issue at this Could I also say a few comments? I'll be very Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, brief. when Diane ... Diana Nelson got up, what I have objected to and I might as well say it. I'd just as soon put my name, and Ť hope would join with me, one person Representative Giorgi says he will, as a dissent and journalize that dissent on the floor of the House. objected to yesterday and what I object to today is the feeling that, as a Member of this House, that this issue is being made sort of a circus, and the Chair sort of, quotes, *stretched us out . That s what bothered me. The Speaker of the House, yesterday, knew that he had to make a ruling of germaneness. Knowing what his ruling was going to be, he stretched us out. He made a mockery of the process by calling probably on a dozen persons on the main issue. That made a mockery of it. If he really was going to rule the matter nongermane, he should... or germane, he should have done it right there and get to that issue; but, no, he chose to make the whole issue a type of circus atmosphere, and then ruled on the germaneness. And I thought that was very cruel to all of us, as individual Members, and now I felt the same thing when Diana got up; that you were, quotes, 'stretching it out' and sort of making it a joke, and I dissent to that. And I'd ask that my dissent be recorded, and now I'd ask that the issue, the Resolution be moved."

Speaker Peters: "Mr. Clerk, page three of the Calendar,
Constitutional Amendment, Second Reading, HJRCA 1. Read

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

the Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment Whereas, the 92nd Congress of the United States of America, in its second Session in both Houses by Constitutional Majority of two-thirds thereof, adopted the following proposition to amend the Constitution of the United States of America: Joint Resolution, resolved by the House of Representatives in the Senate of the United States of America, in Congress assembled, two-thirds of each House concurring therein, that the following Article is proposed as an Amendment to the Constitution of the United States which shall be valid to all intent and purposes as a part of the Constitution, when ratified by the Legislatures of three-fourths of the several within seven years from the date of its submission by the Congress. Article: Section 1 - Equality of rights under law shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or any state on account of sex. Section 2 - The Congress shall have the power to enforce by appropriate legislation the provisions of this Article. Section 3 - This Amendment shall take effect two years after the date of ratification, whereas, the time limit for ratification of the proposed Amendment by the Legislatures of the requisite number of states has been extended to June 30, 1982 by Joint Resolution of the 95th Congress of the United States American. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 82nd General Assembly of the State Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, proposed Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America be, and the same is hereby ratified, and further resolved that a certified copy of this Resolution be forwarded by the Secretary of State of Illinois, to the Administrator of General Services of the United States, to

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

the President pro tem of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States, and to each Senator and Representative from Illinois in the Congress of the United States. Second Reading of the Constitutional Amendment. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. What else? Representative Vinson, what purpose do you seek recognition of the Chair, Sir?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I will keep my comments as brief as Representative Matijevich, but the fact that we do have a process going on here of some historical significance I think requires that the record be set correct each time somebody makes an allegation about the fairness or the lack of fairness of the procedures in this House. What the Chair has been inquired of is when there will be a vote on whether it takes a Constitutional Majority or an extraordinary majority to ratify the Equal Rights Amendment. That is something that can only be brought to this floor from the Rules Committee of the House of Representatives. It seems to me extraordinarily unfair for anybody to suggest that something other than a majority of the Rules Committee should dictate whether that should come to this floor. If majority rule is what they seek, then they have to accept the concept of majority rule when it runs against them. The Speaker has consistently given them every opportunity to amend, to vote on those issues, they ve lost. I think that he has extended fairness again today through you sitting in the Chair trying to move this thing to Third Reading so that it can be considered whenever the Sponsors choose to consider it. And. for I think the Speaker has dealt with reasons, extraordinary fairness in this matter."

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

Speaker Peters: "Representative Kociolko, what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Kociolko: "In the similar vein of the speaker who just preceded me to set the record straight. The guestion has been raised as to why the Speaker yesterday gave individuals an opportunity to speak on the subject of the rules change, and the Speaker was taken to task for having given Members of this House an opportunity to speak their views. Had he not done so, I'm quite sure that today we would instead have heard the standard speech about tyranny."

Speaker Peters: "Any... Representative Currie. No. Currie.

Representative Currie, what purpose do you seek recognition
of the Chair?"

Currie: "Just further clarification of the record, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."

Speaker Peters: "Proceed."

Currie: "It is true that the proposal to change the rule lost in the Rules Committee, but it is a tradition, it is clear procedure in this House that every Session, when we adopt rules, we have opportunities to offer proposals like that on Second Reading. That proposal has been denied. That opportunity is not ours. It is clear the opportunity is lacking, because the Speaker of this House, the real Speaker of this House, does not wish to treat the issue of the Equal Rights Amendment in a fair fashion. He does not wish to let the Members of this House vote on one of the most critical issues the 82nd General Assembly faces."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Fawell, what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Pawell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have been sitting here for almost a year and a half now listening, patiently, to the other side of the aisle constantly harangue us about our rules and the fact that they have not been able to vote on

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

would like to point out to my colleagues on the other side of the aisle that, indeed, most of you did vote on the rules that we are operating under. In fact, they are your rules. They were put on to the jurisdiction. put in place by your Party, under my Representative, Bill Redmond. I have not been able to vote on the rules. The rules have been, as far as I concerned, fair. I commend your Party for putting rules in My Speaker has only been using your place that are fair. rules to do the business of the House. I wish every time the ERA issue came up that those on your side of the aisle would quit making it a partisan issue. I would like to see it passed. What you are doing, in the long run, is going to harm the issue to the point that nothing will be accomplished. I would appreciate, and a number of us this side of the aisle would appreciate your remarks staying on the issue and refusing to enter into this charade of constantly haranguing us about your rules that you voted for."

Speaker Peters: "No demonstrations. Further inquiries, statements? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members, let me first say that the Plymouth Foundation Pellowship Dinner with Chuck Coleson of Prison Pellowship will be held on Tuesday evening at the Sangamo Club on the 15th. That's the evening before we come back in Session on Wednesday. And so, Mr. ... Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I now move that the House stand adjourned until next Wednesday, July 16th, 12:00 Perfunct., 2:00 Session, giving the Clerk enough time to read message from Committees that met today. I now move that the House stand adjourned."

Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion, giving the Clerk sufficient perfunctory time. Those in favor will

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The House stands adjourned 'til Wednesday, June 16th, 12:00 Perfunct., 2:00 Regular Session."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Reports. Representative Chairman from the Committee on Judiciary II to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 10, referred the same back with the following recommendations: *Do pass* Senate Bills 1231, 1242, 1387 and 1590. *Do pass as amended. Senate Bill 1429. Do not pass. Senate Bill 1564. Representative McBroom, Chairman of the Committee on Financial Institutions to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 10, 1982, reported the same back with the following recommendations: Do pass as amended Senate Bill 1665. 'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 1698 and 1330. That was Senate Bill 1298 and 1330. 'Do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 1526 and 1667. Representative Neff, Chairman from the Committee on Transportation to which the following Bills were referred, action taken on June 10, 1982, reported the same back with the following recommendations: *Do pass as amended Senate Bill 1653. Representative Barnes, Chairman of the Committee on Health and Family Services to which the Bills were referred, action taken June 10, 1982, following reported the same back with the following recommendation: *Do pass* Senate Bill 1670. Representative McAuliffe. Chairman of the Committee on Personnel, Pensions and Veterans Affairs to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 9, 1982, reported the same back with the following recommendations: *Do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 1256 and 1452. *Do pass as amended Short Debate* Senate Bill 1579. Representative Ewing, Chairman of the Committee on Revenue to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 9, 1982.

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

reported the same back with the following recommendations: *Do pass* Senate Bills 1267, 1324, 1436, 1539 and 1540. *Do pass as amended* Senate Bills 1289, 1367, 1532 and 1621. 'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 1537, 1562 and 1599. *Do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar* Senate Bill 1510. Representative J. T. Meyer, Chairman of the Committee on Energy and Environment to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 9. 1982reported the same back with the following recommendations: *Do pass* Senate Bill 1259. *Do pass as amended* Senate Bills 1260 and 1471. Representative Tuerk, Chairman of the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 9, 1982, the same back with the following recommendations: pass' Senate Bill 1375, 1496 and 1606. amended Senate Bill 1383. Representative Hudson, Chairman of the Committee on Higher Education to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 9, 1982, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass' Senate Bills 1358. 1360 and 1503. Representative Sandquist, Chairman of the Committee on Registration and Regulation to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 9, 1982, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass' Senate Bills 1588 and 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 1389, 1492. *Do pass as amended Consent* Calendar* Senate Bill 1652. Representative McMaster, Chairman of the Committee on Counties and Townships to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 9, 1982, reported the same back with the following recommendations: "Do pass" Senate Bill 1384, 1470 and 1630. Do pass Consent Calendar! Senate Bill 1368. Representative Reilly, Chairman of the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which

123rd Legislative Day

June 10, 1982

the following Bills were referred, action taken June 9, 1982, with the following reported the same back recommendations: 'Do pass' Senate Bill 1518 and 1520. Representative Pullen, Chairman of the Committee on Executive to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 10, 1982, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass' Senate Bills 1292, 1296, 1371, 1401, 1534, 1549, 1566, 1594 and 1685. pass as amended Senate Bills 734, 1251, 1500, 1519, 1592, 1654, 1656 and 1663. 'Do not pass as amended' Senate Bill 1280. *Do pass Consent Calendar* Senate Bills 1591 and 1668. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 1593 and No further business. The House now 1658. stands adjourned."

08/03/82 10:27

STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

JUNE 10, 1982

HB-2461	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
HB-2517	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-2530	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
SB-1193	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	10
SB-1288	MOTION	PAGE	39
SB-1299	MOTION	PAGE	24
SB-1305	MOTION	PAGE	47
SB-1392	SECOND READING	PAGE	29
SB-1392	THIRD READING	PAGE	37
SB-1392	MOTION	PAGE	37
SB- 16 69	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	11
HR-0212	OTHER	PAGE	45
HR-0958	MOTION	PAGE	38
HR-0958	ADOPTED	PAGE	39
HJR-0092	ADOPTED	PAGE	40
*HJR-0001	SECOND READING	PAGE	52

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE RIAN	PAGE	
PRAYER - VICAR KUGLAR	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
REPRESENTATIVE PETERS IN CHAIR	PAGE	11
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	40
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	40
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	47
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	47
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	59