52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

- Speaker Peters: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the House will be at ease until one o'clock. One o'clock."
- Clerk Leone: "Could I have your attention. I've just been asked to announce, we will convene Session at four o'clock this afternoon."
- Jones: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, while we are in recess waiting to get started. We have a group of students here from the 'Devort' on the west side of the City of Chicago, 7th and 8th graders. We would like to welcome you to Springfield, they are represented by Representative Griffin, Turner and Henry. Welcome to Springfield."
- Speaker Peters: "The hour of....the hour of one o'clock having arrived, the House is not...is now in Session. The prayer today will be offered by Sister Mary Siena, Sacred Heart Academy located here in Springfield, Illinois. Sister Mary Siena."
- Sister Siena: "Let us pray. Lord Our God, bless this Body of people gathered once more to work for the common good. them not forget that even unforeseen events can give them the opportunity to act lovingly, firmly, wisely. Τo transform things from what they are to what they might Help them to strive for the kind of love, Saint Paul, describes in his epistle to the Romans, when he says, your love be genuine, hate what is evil, hold fast to what is good. Never lack zeil but be on fire with the spirit. Rejoyce in hope, be patient in tribulation be constant in prayer. Do not become self satisfied and seek only the Live in harmony with one another, make highest ideals. real friends with the poor. Do all you can to live at Do not be overcome by evil but peace with everyone. overcome evil with good. In their efforts at this genuine love Lord, help them to be fearestly honest, to know when

52nd Legislative Day

May 29. 1981.

to wait patiently and when to move relentlessly ahead. Grant them the senerity to accept the things they cannot change the courage to change things they can and the wisdom to know the difference."

- Speaker Peters: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Kustra."
- Kustra: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands one nation, under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Peters: "Thank you, Representatives. Thank you, Sister.

 Roll Call for Attendance. The Chair recognizes

 Representative Chapman for an annoucement."
- Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sure the whole House joins me thanking
 Sister for being here all through this week. Her words
 have provided comfort, guidance and inspiration for all of
 us. And I believe among the most beautiful prayers that
 have ever opened these Sessions. Thank you, Sister."
- Speaker Peters: "House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 588.

 Pardon. Is there quorum? Take the...call. Is there a
 quorum present? 165 answering the Roll. There is a quorum
 present. House Bill 588. House Bills, Third Reading.

 Representative Madigan for what purpose do you seek
 recognition?"
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the records show that Representative
 Mulcahey is excused. That Representative Redmond is
 excused because of an illness in the family and that
 Representative Keane and Getty are excused because of
 Legislative business."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Collins, any absences on your side? Excused absences?"
- Collins: "Mr. Speaker, may the records show that Representative
 Margalus is excused because of illness and Representative
 Zwick is excused because of a death in the family."

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

- Speaker Peters: "The record will so indicate. Representative Giorgi for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Giorgi: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I know my Minority Leader excused
 Representative Mulcahey from this Session but, Mulcahey was
 here at noon and here at four o'clock. So, how do we
 handle that in his case? He was present...present and I
 saw him, I was a witness to it."
- Speaker Peters: "I'm not sure, Representative. Representative Wolf, House Bill 588."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 588, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Children and Family Services, the Department of Public Aid, the Department of Public Health, the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities, and the Department of Rehabilitation Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf, J.J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. We started going through this the other day before it was taken through the record. The Department of Children and Pamily Services, their budget is one hundred and eighty-one million, one hundred and sixty-seven thousand which is 3.3% less than it was introduced. Department of Public Aid, two billion nine hundred and thirty-five million, four hundred eighty-one point six, which is a reduction of 1.2% below as introduced. The Department of Public Health as recommended in this Bill, a hundred thirteen million, thirty-five thousand seven hundred dollars, which is one tenth of percent less than as introduced. The Department of Mental five hundred and sixty-seven million, thousand eight hundred dollars, which is seven tenths of a The Department of Rehab percent below as introduced. Services, ninety-nine million, eight hundred and eighty

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

thousand dollars or point eight percent below the introduced amount. And the bipartisan House Committee on Medical Assistant Program, seventy-five thousand dollars, comes to a total of three billion, eight hundred ninety-six million, six hundred and fifty-one point one thousand dollars or a difference of one point two percent below as introduced. If there are any specific questions I would be happy to answer them or defer to the Subcommittee Chairman."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Matijevich, on the Bill."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I made my remarks reference to this Bill yesterday. Even though this is almost four billion dollars and it's a lot of money and state spending to some, and I'm sure that some may withhold their votes just because it's four billion dollars in state monies and funds. I feel that we must fund human services, I think all of us heard the prayer by Sister, just a little bit ago as she said, we should help the poor. My problem is that very often when we talk about public assistance, that there is a myth that we are giving too much for the poor and so often it is the providers that are making the monies and the poor remain poor. I intend to support this appropriation Bill and hopefully see its passage today.

Speaker Peters: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates that he will."

Darrow: "Do you have any idea how many people are on the Public Aid staff?"

Wolf, J.J.: "Do you want a head count?"

Darrow: "Head count."

Wolf, J.J.: "Ten thousand and forty-three."

Darrow: "And what are the current administrative cost of the

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

program?"

Wolf, J. J.: "Alright. A total of a hundred fifty million, seven hundred, forty-one point four thousand."

Darrow: "Pardon."

Wolf, J. J.: "Hundred and fifty million, seven hundred and forty-one thousand, four hundred."

Darrow: "What I'm doing ... "

Wolf, J.J.: "Personal Services."

Darrow: "What I'm doing is checking with figures that I received yesterday from Public Aid. They had indicated that the administrative cost were two hundred thirty-five million, one hundred and thirty-seven thousand. So we have a discrepancy there, I believe."

Wolf, J.J.: "Okay, what I gave you was Personal Service, I thought that's want you wanted."

Darrow: "No, I was talking about adminstration...,I would like to address this Bill."

Wolf, J.J.: "Two hundred and twenty-seven million, one hundred and sixty-seven thousand, six hundred. That's GRS."

Speaker Peters: "Proceed, Representative."

Darrow: "Thank you. Yesterday after we amended this legislation and attempted to amend it further. I checked with the Department of Public Aid and I learned that there were in excess of ten thousand bureaucrats working to administer the Public Aid funds. Furthermore, they indicated that there was a sum of two hundred and thirty-five million, one hundred and thirty-seven dollars used to administer the program. Further checking I learned that of the budget, one billion six hundred thirty-four million, six hundred and eighty thousand dollars goes to doctors and hospitals. This is more than goes to the recipients themselves, the recipients receive one billion, one hundred and two million, eight hundred, forty-one thousand. So we have a

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

program that employs over ten thousand people in the state, it costs over two hundred and thirty-five million to administer and the most funds of any program go to doctors We have a need for welfare reform, the hospitals. Carter Administration has proposed welfare reform in this area, it fell upon deaf ears, President Reagan has not yet called for a reform of this. Here in Illinois we have House Bill 79, I believe it is, introduced by Catania and Ryan. But this Bill has not had a fair hearing on the House floor, it has not been acted upon. I think this whole system needs reform, I think that were re...we have a system where the recipients are receiving some assistance but most of its going for administration and to doctors and I would encourage my colleagues to vote hospitals. 'present' on this until we have some meaningful; reform in the Public Aid Department. Until Catania, Ryan Bill is voted on by this House of Representatives. There's really no emergency here, we're talking about appropriation for fiscal year beginning July 1st. I think if we can withhold our votes, resurrect the Catania, Ryan Bill, we would have a better chance of improving the system the delivery of services in cutting back on this wasteful: program. you."

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Representative Schraeder."
Schraeder: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

Schraeder: "Representative, what is the Department of Child and Family Services done with the purchase of care contracts they've had in effect for some time with some of the private agencies?"

Wolf, J.J.: "Would you repeat that question, please."

Schraeder: "What has the Child and Family Services Department done with the contracts for the purchase of care with the

- 52nd Legislative Day May 29, 1981
 private agencies?"
- Wolf, J.J.: "I've been advised that they still have contracts for the coming year like they have currently."
- Schraeder: "Well, they still have contracts and tend to continue those contracts, why aren't they providing that...allowing those private agencies to fulfill those contracts. Why are they withholding the...those individual situations from the private agencies then? Doesn't that seem like a conflict?

 Make a contract then not allow them to function."
- Wolf, J.J.: "I'm not sure I understand the question. If they have a contract, I can't understand..."
- Schraeder: "But they're not letting those contracts function...

 They are denying the right..."
- Wolf, J.J.: "In what way? If someone has a contract that they contracted to deliver services, I can't see how they can be impared from delivering those services."
- Schraeder: "Well, that's what the agency has been doing for some time. Well, let me go one other question. What's the position of the agency in cutting back the million plus to some four hundred thousand dollars as far as the prenatal and maturity services to some of the agencies? Is it the policy of the department to discontinue that completely next year, since you've reduced it over 50% on this years budget?"
- Wolf, J.J.: "The department as I understand, is going to continue the program at a somewhat diminished level and are making every effort to clean it up."
- Schraeder: "But what happens if you have the need there, is...how can you exist on less than 50% funding if we have need of greater than that this year? It seems to me that the teenage pregnancy in unwed mothers category is increasing every year rather than decreasing. How can you explain that in the cut back?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

Wolf, J.J.: "The department believes that they can fulfill that obligation with the lesser amount of dollars."

Schraeder: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it just seems to me that this is another time this agency has shown the irresponsible actions of past fiscal years. They keep saying they're going to take care of the children, they aren't, they're not taking care of the expectant single mothers when the need is there. They certainly aren't filling their obligations as far as the written contracts between private agencies and the state and it seems to me that until they have a thorough discussion with those private agencies and some kind of meaningful dialog, we ought to vote 'present' and not give them the sufficient votes to pass their appropriation."

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Representative Reilly." Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just briefy, as Chairman of the subcommittee, that heard this appropriation. We worked very carefully and very closely between the two parties, Representative Bowman, the Minority Spokesman and myself and all the Members of the subcommittee. We dealt as best we could with the various problems that were brought to us. think we present to you a Bill that is in reasonable shape., Now: there's in an area here where the proponents wouldn't like to have more money. That will be the story on the whole budget here, in the Senate, when you get to final passage on the Conference Committee. We would like to give more to all kinds of good causes but we have responded. We did listen. We did take into account the complaints that were made, that day care was being cut too much and we responded to that. We did take into account the complaints that direct patient care position in mental health were not being funded sufficiently, and we responded to that.

52nd Legislative:Day

May 29, 1981.

worked through this Bill for many many hours in the Committee, the subcommittee and in the full Committee and you remember for about six hours the other day. I'm sure you were all exhausted listening to it but you all sat here, I was very proud of the whole House. We worked our will on this and we won some and lost some on the various Amendments. I think the Bill as it comes to you has been worked on very carefully and in very close cooperation. I commend Representative Bowman, I commend Representative: Matijevich, Representative Wolf. We have all from both parties worked to put this Bill in the best possible shape. To not pass it at this point and move it along is in plain not only irresponsible but also a simple language, vote....if you don't like the planned kind of omnibus Bills you have now, defeat this Bill and wait till you see what comes back from the Senate on July 3. If you don't like this you'll love that. The only responsible vote and a. good, honest conscientious principle vote at this point is to vote to fund these agencies, send this Bill over to the Senate and move on with the process. I ask with all the sincerity that I possess for a favorable vote on House Bill 588. " .

- Speaker Peters: "The Representatives in this order, as the lights came on. Bullock, Kelly, O'Connell, McBroom, Leverenz.

 Representative Bullock. Your light is not on,

 Representative Kane. Representative Kelly."
- Kelly, D.: "Yes, I would just like to ask a question of the Sponsor."
- Speaker Peters: "He indicates he'll yield."
- Mental Health Facility and the Howel Center, if there will:

 be a two and a half million dollar reduction in our budget

 and if so, does this mean, I understand there's going to be

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

- approximately two hundred employees that are going to be removed from that facility as far as losing their jobs and so forth. I believe it's under Amendment #18 or 19."
- Wolf, J.J.: "Representative Kelly, I understand there's a slight decrease in dollars it is not that large of one...from this year, fiscal '81 to fiscal '82. And that there will be some small decrease in number of employees from FY-81 to 82 but, it is not two hundred like two hundred like you've..."
- Kelly, D: "I have an explanation of Amendment #19 and it says here, transfers two and a half million from the Howel Developmental Center to Dixon and Shapiro Developmental Centers for caring of a hundred and sixty residents and then it notes that there are at Tinley Park, forty-eight people and at Howel: Center two hundred and twenty-four people who will be either transferred or else will lose their employment. Now that's the explanation that I have of the Amendment and....is that not correct?"
- Wolf, J.J.: "Okay. I understand there were suppose to be a hundred and sixty residents transferred to Howel originally. These people were instead shifted to Dixon and to Shapiro and therefore, the additional personnel would not be needed since the additional patients would not be going to Howel."
- Kelly, D.: "Okay, well then I just...."
- Wolf, J.J.: "We're talking....these were to be new position and they are not needed now because of the patients are not going to be....that is one hundred and sixty residents are not going to be transferred to Howel."
- Kelly, D.: "Okay. Thank you. I would just like to speak on this issue, Mr. Speaker and that is, that a particularly the Legislators that are representing that area. We're going to have a number of people and we already have high unemployment rate and this is going to add to it and I have

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

always supported this appropriation. And I feel very bad not to but I just can't do it with that large, a..., and by closing down those facilities, that would be, I think a real tragedy and I'm going to encourage those of you particularly from the south suburbs to either vote 'no! or vote 'present'.

Speaker Peters: "O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, "Shall the main question be put?" Those in favor will signify by saying "aye", those opposed. The "ayes" have it, Representative Wolf to close."

Wolf, J.J.: "Thank you, Mr., Speaker., In answer to the last Gentleman, I would like to submit to this House of Representatives, it is not the function of any department. to try to use patient care to solve unemployment problems on a regional basis. We could make the same argument for Dixon for Shapiro, for any other center and as to the be moved there to solve some local should patient unemployment problems. I think these exist all over the In answer ato a previous Gentlemen, who said that there were over ten thousand bureaucrats in the Department. of Public Aid, I wouldn't consider case workers and people who are out in the streets trying to fulfill the needs of the people out there as bureaucrats. Now there are over...close to two hundred, ten thousand AFDC recipients alone, that these ten thousand employees are taking care of. I don't have the figures on the others but I know in AFDC alone there are close to two hundred and ten thousand people out there that have to be served and I think it's foolishness and folly to vote 'no' on this appropriation. It is something that has to be done, the question before you now at this point, is not how much more money can be

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

added in or subtracted, that was done on Second Reading. The question before you now is, are you going to fund this budget, are you going to take care of the people in these various agencies in Children and Family Services, in Public Aid, in Hental: Health or are you going to give them nothing. And that is the question before you now at Third Reading, I would ask for your affirmative votes.

- Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall House...shall House
 Bill 588 pass?'. Those in favor will signify by voting
 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 Representative O'Brien to explain his vote."
 - O'Brien: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members. I rise to support House Bill 588 and I realize that yesterday we were asking for Chicago to sucede from the State of Illinois. Today cooler heads prevail primarily because our Speaker, Speaker Ryan, has opened up the channels of communication and for those reasons, I will support the appropriation process and I'm happy to state, Speaker, that I am very pleased that we have finally opened up the channels of communication so that we can solve the problems for all the people of the State of Illinois. I vote
 - Speaker Peters: "Representative Satterthwaite to explain her vote."
 - hurts me not to be able to support these budgets for human services which are of dire concern to me. But a part of the mental health budget as it now stands, is an elimination of regional offices and in return, some monies put into the central office in Springfield instead. I do not believe that we can run the kind of mental health program that we need in the State of Illinois with that

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

portion: of the budget eliminated. We really need to have those regional offices open and functioning. And for a that reason. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Bullock to explain..."

Bullock: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to state for the record, not only my voting 'aye' but I think for the first time that I can recall in my short tenure here. That we have bipartisan cuts in the Public Aid budget...minus the cost of living increase for public aid recipients of which. I would have liked to have seen in this budget., I thought that there was one very significant Amendment to this Bill. I noticed that Representative Vinson had put an Amendment to this Bill that cut out a hundred and seventy-five dollars...seventy-five thousand dollars to health systems think Representative Vinson should be agencies. I commended for at least pointing the way to the radication of an agency or agencies across the state that I think have been a tremendous deterent to quality care. And I want the records to show at least, some Democrats believe in budget cuts and if for no other reason, I'm supporting that one."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Braun to explain her vote."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr...Thank you, Mr., Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House., This budget..."

Speaker Peters: "Excuse me. The House Rules prohibit the filming during explanation. Thank you. Representative Braun to explain her vote."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I recognize this Bill has to be passed and I frankly hope that 89 people will at least vote for it. I will not lend my vote to this Bill, however, not only because the cost of living is not in there, I'm not that much of a spoiled sport. But certainly because of what it

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

does to hospitals, particularly the high tertiary care facilities throughout this state. It will set up a separate...if the cuts in this Bill are passed, it will set up a separate standard of health care for the poor. I frankly find that unconsciousable. The cuts in the hospital lines, what it does in terms of health services I find to be counter productive and short sided. I believe that the poor will suffer and for that reason, I'm voting 'present'."

- Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 130 voting 'aye', 15 voting 'nay', 12 voting 'present', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Younge for the purposes of an announcement. Representative Younge."
- Younge: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. In the gallery to our right are three very important visitors. Veronica Wade of the Illinois Commission on Children, Andrew Hoffman who is a Sophomore at Rose Homen Institute of Technology and Electrical Engineering and Karen Welch who is Vice Chairman of the Illinois Council on Youth. Karen is a Sophomore at MIT, majoring in machanical engineering. Would you welcome them, please."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Balanoff, for what purpose do you rise, Ma*am?"
- Balanoff: "My button seems to be...it wasn't working and....."
- Speaker Peters: "Mr. Electrician, the Lady's button is not working. Does the Lady wish to be recorded?"
- Balanoff: "As 'aye' on 588."
- Speaker Peters: "The Lady asks leave to be recorded as voting as 'aye' on House Bill 588. Is there any objection? Leave being granted, the Lady will be so recorded."
- Balanoff: "Thank you."

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

- Speaker Peters: "Representative O'Connell for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- O'Connell: "Mr. Speaker, similarly my button did not work. I request leave to be recorded 'aye'."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman requests leave to be recorded, does he have leave of the House. Leave is granted, Mr. Clerk. Let the record indicate, Representative O'Connell as voting 'aye'. House Bill 589, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 589, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement, the Department of Insurance, the Hilitary and Naval Department, the State Fire Marshal, the Local Governmental Law: Enforcement Officers' Training Board, the Illinois Racing Board, and the Illinois Historical Library and the Department of Mental Health Developmental Disabilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf, J. J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House... The Agencies are funded as follows. The Department of Law Enforcement, sixty-eight million six hundred forty-two dollars. That's 1% less than thousand introduced....pardon me, it was a hundred and five million, hundred and forty-four point three thousand. Department of Insurance at eight million, one hundred eighty-seven thousand six hundred dollars, that was 2 1/2%. less than introduced. The Military and Naval Department, six million, four hundred and minety-one point three thousand. Six million, four hundred ninety-one thousand, three hundred dollars, a reduction of 5.8% under the Bill as introduced. State Fire Marshal, four million, nine hundred and twenty-three thousand, two hundred dollars

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981:

which is 7 1/2% below the amount at which it was introduced. Local Government Officer Training, three million, two hundred and sixty-four thousand, nine hundred dollars. The Racing Board, seven million, six hundred and sixty-five thousand, three hundred dollars, that's .2% below as introduced. Historical Library, one million, seven hundred and seventy thousand, one hundred dollars. For a grand total of a hundred and thirty-seven million, six hundred and ninety-six thousand, seven hundred dollars."

Speaker Peters: "In the order of the lights so far, "

Representative Matijevich and Schraeder. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I again would now urge the Members on this side of the aisle: to withhold their votes or vote on House Bill 589. And we do, I believe, have legitimate reasons why we should not vote for this Appropriation Bill at this time. For the last couple of....last Session, this Session, we've been having some real serious problems with the Department of Law Enforcement and up grading its system, the computerized criminal history systems. Which is so important to local police departments, local law enforcement agencies. rely so heavily on this information, in the court systems and when you don't have that adequate information you are doing a good job in law enforcement. Now: I realize. that Director 'Zagal' has said, that they are going to all that they can to up grade this system. But they have such a backlog. I think that the only way we can show that we mean business is by withholding our votes. Now that ... I think that does work to a great extent, for example, one of the other agencies in this omnibus type of Bill is the Racing Board. The Racing Board showed both the Republican

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

and Democratic staff just a complete sense of arrogance in their lack of providing adequate information to the...both staffs. In fact, one of the Gentleman from that agency said, well just don't give us the money, we just won't have any racing dates. I think that type of arrogance is a type that we, as a House ought to show that we do have final approval on those appropriation Bills. And the best way that we can show that we mean business and we want accountability, we want those agencies of government doing their job, is by withholding our votes. So I would urge the Members on this side of the aisle at this time to withhold their votes or vote 'no' on House Bill 589."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

Schraeder: "Yesterday or the day before I made an inquiry about Concordia Seminary: which is now used by the State Police Agencies. I wonder if you can tell me what the original sale price of that property was?"

Wolf, J.J.: "It's not in this Bill."

Schraeder: "Nothing to do with the Concordia?"

Wolf, J.J.: "No."

Schraeder: "Which one is that in?"

Wolf, J.J.: "Department of Corrections."

Schraeder: "Okay..."

Wolf, J.J.: "That's a Senate Bill."

Schraeder: "Okay. Thank you. What about the two new penal institutions that are now under construction. Is there any money in for that or is that the other budget?"

Wolf, J.J.: "That's Capitol CBD and Department of Corrections."
Schraeder: "Is there anything in there for furnishing or for

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

staffing in this budget?"

Wolf, J.J.: "No, not in this one."

Schraeder: "But that staffing be in that budget or would it be in this budget?"

Wolf, J.J.: "That's in the Department of Corrections budget."

Schraeder: "Thank you."

Speaker: Peters: / "Representative Leverenz., He's not here.,

Representative Wolf to close."

Wolf, J.J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Nembers of the House. In closing I would like to respond to the Minority Spokesman on Committee, maybe my mind is bad but it seemed to me that you voted for this in Committee and I thought we had solved that particular problem. As a matter of fact, the head count in the support services division, I believe we increased that from around 226 to 359, for the computerized criminal history in order that we could get these records out. You're shaking your head no over there. At any rate, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as I said, this is the Department of Law Enforcement which is the State Police and other agencies and not the Department of Corrections. I would just ask for your affirmative vote."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 589 pass?"

Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Vinson to explain his vote."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Chairman of the Committee was accurate in saying that one of the Amendments that we put on here, added a hundred eighty-nine thousand for new positions in support services. To provide the manpower to get the criminal history records and so forth out on a much quicker basis. This is some 75% or so of the amount that the

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

director said, would get it done on a fast turn around time. I think the subcommittee and the Committee were extremely responsible and that and I would urge an 'aye' vote for this agency."

- Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 86 voting 'aye'...the Gentleman asks for a poll of the absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Bouman. Deuster.

 DiPrima. Garmisa. Getty. Hanahan. Huff. Kane. Keane.

 Kosinski. Laurino. Hargalus. Miller. Hulcahey.

 Pechous. Pierce. Redmond. Stearney. Topinka. Younge.

 And Zwick."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Vote me 'no', please."

- Speaker Peters: "Vote Representative Kane, 'no', Any other changes? Take the record. What's the count? On this question there are 86 voting 'aye', 42 voting 'no', 29 voting 'present'. This Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost., 621 out of the record. 624, Representative Huff., Out of the record. 673, Representative Collins. It's for the Port Authority. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 673, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 673 is the companion appropriation for House Bill 674, which this House passed just in the last week or so by a vote of 145 to 12. The substantive Bill came out of the Appropriation Committee, 20 to nothing and this Bill came out of the...Oh, I mean the Executive

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

Committee. And this Bill came out of the Appropriations Committee by a vote of 15 to 4. This Bill would provide monies for Port Districts in Chicago at the rate of twenty-five cents per ton for foreign imports and exports. It's an important Bill to our Port Districts and especially to the Chicago Regional Port District and I would ask for your favorable consideration.

Speaker Peters: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I join in support of this Bill. The ports do need a lot of help, I think the Bill such as this does do a lot to help the economy of the State of Illinois and therefore, I intend to vote for it."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I was wondering if the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

Bullock: "Representative Collins, I've received information relative to the ports, particularly around Calumet Harbor.

I was wondering if you could clarify for me whether or not this quarter of a million dollars in anyway make the Calument Harbor a system more competitive than what some of us in the past would like to see. I recall recently, a news account that one of the largest employers of the State of Illinois, Caterpillar Company was not utilizing ports in the City of Chicago, but instead were using some of the ports on the east coast. Will this Bill in anyway enhance..."

Speaker Peter: "Excuse me, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I'll answer Representative Bullock's question privately, in the meantime I would like to take this out of the record."

Speaker Peters: "Out of the record. 697, Representative

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

Winchester. Read the Bill, Nr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 697, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the State Board of Elections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Winchester."

- Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of This is the ordinary and contingent the House. appropriation for the State Board of Elections as , it was originally introduced, it was four million three hundred, sixteen thousand. We trimed it, three hundred ninety-three thousand dollars, the budget is now:three million, nine hundred and twenty-two thousand. represents a decrease of 36.5% from FY-81 appropriation. This is how it was submitted to us by the State Board of Elections. It's probably one of the better agencies to send us a...an appropriation budget this year and I would ask for a favorable vote.
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall'
 House Bill 697 pass?' Those in favor will signify by
 voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all
 voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this
 question there are 149 voting 'yes', none voting 'nay', 3
 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the
 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House
 Bill 733, Representative Robbins. Read the Bill, Mr.
 Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 733, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Agriculture for the control of starlings. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Robbins.", Out of the record.

 Page 7, Constitutional Amendments, Second Reading. Are.

 Clerk, House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 13."

 Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

- #13. This Resolution has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Peters: "Any Notion regard to Amendment:#1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. Ploor Amendments?"

 Representative McCormick for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- McCormick: "Well, Mr. Speaker while you're waiting to get something lined up. Might I make a statement to all of the Ladies, the lovely Ladies...Hembers of the House. I want you to know that I appreciate very much this beautiful plant that you have given me. I'll tell you one thing, you young fellows may be tall, dark and handsome but the girls said, old C. L., is short, fat and loveable. But thank you very much, I appreciate it very much. And I want you to know this, as soon as it dries I've got the secret papers to make it what you want it."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Daniels., Representative Daniels, shall we go with it? Any Amendments? Third Reading back to Second. Any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Cullerton. Amends House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #13 on page 1, by deleting line 8 and 9 and so forth."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Cullerton, Amendment #1."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Nr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This rewrites the Resolution, provides that the...it be a creation of a Judicial Screening Commission to certify the fitness of candidates for Supreme Appellate Circuit Court and Associate Judges. No person will be eligible to serve in the Office of Supreme Appellate Circuit Court or Associate Judge unless they are certified by the Judicial Screening Commission. And it would not apply to such Judges in office at the time of this

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

adoption. There would be twenty-three Members on this Screening Commission, the Pirst: Judicial District would have nine, three appointed by the Supreme Court, three non Lawyers appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. Three Attornies selected by attornies from the district. And the other four downstate districts, there would be twelve members, three from each district, three appointed by the Supreme Court, three non lawyers, one appointed by the....all appointed by the Governor. And again, three attornies selected by attornies from the district. There would be two, at large, members appointed by the Supreme Court. The members of the Screening Commission would serve for six year terms, the Supreme Court shall designate one of the Judge members as Chairman for a two year term. The Commission shall adopt rules of procedure and in order to be eligible to serve or be eligible to hold the office of Supreme Appellate Circuit Court Associate Judge, a person must submit his name for. certification to the Screening Commission. No person may hold such office unless his fitness has been certified. As I said before, they should use the following criteria, judicial temperment, legal: knowledge, experience as an attorney or Judge, integrity and partialty in absence of prejudice and avoidance of impropriety. There would be, for the purpose of evaluation; and certification, there would be two categories, Supreme and Appellate and the other category would be Circuit and Associate. votes to certify a candidate and the take twelve certification shall be valid for six years subject to findings of ethical or judicial conduct violations by the. Supreme Court. That's what the Amendment does, I would be. happy to answer any questions and ask for its adoption."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Vinson."

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

Vinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he'll try."

Vinson: "Would you distinctly express what the difference of the...between the Amendment and the Constitutional Amendment as it now is proposed, is?"

Cullerton: "Yes, we still retain the peoples right to decide who their Judges are going to be instead of having it....as the Bill is given as the Bill is drafted, it really turns over the appointment power to a very small group of people. What this does, is to insure that we have quality candidates but it still keeps the people...allows them the right to decide who the Judges shall be."

Vinson: "So, this would still provide for an election....an electoral system?"

Cullerton: "That's correct."

Vinson: "Can you tell me, on page 2, subsection (b) at the top of the page, line 7 and 8....which would require the licensed attorney to maintain a law practice in the district? Does that differ from the underline Amendment?"

Speaker Peters: "Representative Cullerton, will you reply. He indicates he'll reply."

Cullerton: "I'm trying to get the answer for him, one second."

Speaker Peters: "Take your time."

Vinson: "I'm having a hard time hearing him, Mr. Speaker., Could you ask him to speak louder."

Speaker Peters: "He hasn't said anything yet."

Vinson: "Oh, I see."

Cullerton: "I don't know the answer, Representative."

Vinson: "You what?"

Cullerton: "I can't understand your questions so I can't give you the answer."

Vinson: "Well, on page 2 in subsections (b), on thine. 7 and 8, where the attorney has to maintain a law practice in the

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

- district. Does that differ from the underlined Constitutional Amendment that's being proposed?"
- Cullerton: "Well, I know what this Amendment does but I don't was know what Representative Daniels Constitutional Amendment says."
- Vinson: "I see. Your proposing an Amendment and you don't know.
 what the...what it is you're amending."
- Cullerton: "I know what it's going to be after a this Amendment a passes. That's the important a thing."
- Vinson: "To the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What Representative Cullerton, is proposing is an attempt to essentially rape the principle and purpose of the Constitutional Amendment we're considering. But the Constitutional Amendment that we're considering would do is provide, by judicial district for an optional basis for people to choose to go to a merit selection of judges nominating procedure. It would not impose that any place in the state, the people would have to choose it. The problem with the Amendment is, that this would still throw it back into an electoral system and you would have no guarantee that that electoral system still would not be rigged to produce highly partisan judicial results. I would strongly urge defeat of the Amendment.
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Dunn is next and then you,"

 Representative. Representative John Dunn."
- Dunn, John: "Thank you for indulgence, Mr. Speaker. I was down at the well just getting a copy of this Amendment and I would like to ask the Sponsor, for my own clarification. Whether if this Amendment is adopted, House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 13 would provide for appointment and merit selection of all Judges from the Associate Judge to the Circuit Judge, the Appellate Judge, Supreme Court Judge, all across the State of Illinois."

52nd Legislative Day

- May 29, 1981
- Cullerton: "No, it would provide that we would maintain the peoples right to decide who are Judges are going to be rather than turn that over to an elete and select group of people to make that decision."
- Dunn, John: "Would there be any change in the present system of electing Circuit Judges if your Amendment is adopted and this Constitutional Amendment would become law?"
- Cullerton: "Well, we would insure that the candidates for that
 office, pass a very rigorous investigation by Screening
 Commission that I'm proposing. And that's how it would
 improve the system."
- Dunn, John: "What changes would be made in the election process for Circuit Judge if your Amendment is adopted?":
- Cullerton: "No person would be eligible to run unless they've been certified by the Judicial Screening Commission."
- Dunn, John: "And on your Screening Commission, how: many lawyers and how many non lawyers will there be?"
- Cullerton: "There's three Judges appointed by the Supreme Court, three non lawyers appointed by the Governor..."
- Dunn, John: "At the Circuit level, screening of Circuit Judges."

 Cullerton: "That's how I'm answering. I'm describing the

 Commission to you. The Screening Commission, three Judges

 appointed by the Supreme Court, three non lawyers appointed

 by the Governor and three attorneys selected by the

 attorneys from the district. And two at large, appointed

 by the Supreme Court. Could be either attorneys or non

 attorneys."
- Dunn, John: "Would the three Judges who are appointed, screened candidates for Circuit Judge in every circuit in the state?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, there's....there is..."
- Dunn, John: "And the three non lawyers which have whom you have spoke, would they also participate in the screening of all

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

Circuit Judge candidates throughout the State of Illinois?"
Cullerton: "No, in the district. In the judicial district."

- Dunn, John: "Well, you mentioned three Judges, three non lawyers, you said three attorneys from the district. Are three Judges to be selected in each circuit or are there three Judges statewide to participate in this election of all candidates in every circuit?"
- Cullerton: "There's two separate Commissions. One is for the first judicial district, has nine members. Second one, is for the other four downstate districts and they have twelve members. Three Judges appointed by the Supreme Court, three non lawyers appointed by the Governor, three attorneys and two at large."
- Dunn, John: "How many Screening Commissions altogether will there be in the State of Illinois. Maybe..."
- Cullerton: "Two. One for the first judicial district and other one for the other four downstate."

Dunn, John: "So, the other ... "

Speaker Peters: "Representative Daniels."

Dunn, John: "May I complete my questioning, Mr. Speaker?"

Daniels: "Take this Bill out of the record."

- Speaker Peters: "Out of the record. Senate Bills, First Beading.

 Messages from the Senate."
- Clerk Leone: "A Message from the Senate by, Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to—wit: Senate Bill 59, 1016, 1020, 1025, 1026, 1030, 1031, 1034, 1040, 1061, 1081, 1086, 1108, 1113, 1120, 1124, 1141, 1143, 1147, 1148, 1151, 1157, 1160, 1163, 1193, 1201, 1214, 1221, 1227, 1228, 983, 1119, 1168, 1146, 1155, 1161, 1176, 1177, 1179, 1180, 1181, 1182, 1183, 1184, 1186, 1187, 1190, 1196,

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

1197, 1205, 1206, 1218, 1105, 1110, 1125, 1126, 1127, 1128, 1130, 1131, 1132, 1133, 1144, 1145, 1060, 1062, 1068, 1073, 1074, 1075, 1077, 1078, 1083, 1085, 1087, 1088, 1094, 1104, 1010, 1027, 1028, 1033, 1036, 1038, 1043, 1044, 1049, 1051, 1052, 1058, 908, 913, 915, 919, 928, 932, 951, 953, 955, 966, 977, 989, 992, 864, 868, 875, 879, 885, 888, 889, 891, 892, 894, 895, 898, 902, 904, 596, 652, 741, 799, 803, 818, 827, 829, 841, 842, 851, 853, 861. Senate Bill 19, 21, 68, 115, 188, 259, 296, 352, 416, 418, 432,433, 575 and Senate Bill 1107, passed the Senate May 19, 1981. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Peters: "Senate Bills, First Reading.

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1031, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Revenue. Pirst Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 155, Brunner. A Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. First Reading of Bill. Senate Bill 218, Deuster. A Bill for an Act to amend the Local Records Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 230, Peters-Kane. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 231, Wikoff. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Board of Trustee of the University of Illinois. First Reading of the Bill: Senate Bill 232, A Bill: for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Southern Illinois University. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 233, Bradley. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the Board of Regions. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 234, Stuffle-Woodyard. A Bill for an Act making appropriations the Board ο£ Governors of State Colleges and Universities. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 235,

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

Woodyard-Stuffle. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Community College. Board and Board of Trustees and the State Community College of East St. Louis for fiscal year '82. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 236, Stuffle-Woodyard. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 238, Wikoff. A Bill for an Act making appropriation for the retirement purposes higher education institutions and agencies. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 274, Madigan-Matijevich. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent and distributive expenses of the State Comptroller. Senate Bill 270. Ebbesen. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the state finance. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 316, J. J. Wolf-Winchester. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Environmental Facilities Finance Authority. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 320, Wikoff. Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of State Universities Civil Service Merit Board. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 321, J. J. Wolf-Davis. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Trustees of the General Assembly Retirement System. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 322, J. J. Wolf-Davis. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for and contingent expenses of the Judges ordinary Retirement System. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 323, J. J. Wolf-Davis. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Teacher Retirement System. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 324, J. J. Wolf-Davis. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for certain retirement benefits for teachers. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 325, J. J. Wolf-Davis. A Bill for an

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of state employees retirement system. Reading of the Bill., Senate Bill 328, J. J. Wolf-Davis. Bill for an Act making appropriations for ordinary and contingent expenses in the Medical Center Commission. Senate Bill. 336, J. J. First Reading of the Bill. Wolf-Vinson. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Commissioners of Bank and Trust Companies. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 337, J. J. Wolf-Davis. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 347, Ropp. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 468, NcBroom-Kociolko. A Bill for an Act to amend the Uniformed Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act. Pirst Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 518, Henry. for an Act in relationship to explosive disposal units. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 535, Swanstrom. Bill for an Act to amend the Recorder Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 568, Terzich. A Bill for an Act to create Sanitary Districts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 569, Terzich. A Bill for an Act to create sanitary districts. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 639, Robbins. A Bill for an Act in relationship to filing leases and standardize objects and purposes for which appropriations are made. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 798, Terzich. A Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 800, Swanstrom. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship to recorders. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 882, Macdonald. A Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health and Developmental Disability Confidentiality Act. First Reading of the Bill.

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

Bill 883, Macdonald. A Bill for an Act in relationship to the disclosure of medical and mental health records. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 926, Terzich. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 940, O'Connell. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Abortion Law. Pirst Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1047, Jim Kelley. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vital Records Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1048, Jim Kelley. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vital Records Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Speaker Peters: "Committee Reports."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Pullen, Chairman from the Committee on Executive to which the following Bills were referred, action taken on May 28, 1981. Reported the same back with the following recommendations: Be adopted, House Resolution 212. Do not adopt as amended, House Joint Resolution 9."

Speaker Peters: "Introduction, First Readings."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1907, E. G. Steele. A Bill for an Act relating to the preparation of financial statements by certain public officials. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Read the Journal."

Clerk Leone: "House Journal, 36th Legislative Day, May 7, 1981.

Twelve O'Clock, noon "

Speaker Peters: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and that Journal #36, May 7, #37 of May 8, and #38 of May 11, 1981 be approved as read."

Speaker Peters: "All in favor say 'aye', all opposed. Amendment passes. Motion passes. Anything else? June 8, is the last day for Senate Bills to be reported out of House Committees. You want to make the motion? June 29, will be alright with me. Somebody might go in default but that's

52nd Legislative Day

- May 29, 1981
- alright. The Chair would now call on the Chairman of the various Committees to ask for appropriate leave or vote or not leave of the House in order to suspend the posting rules so that the Senate Bills can be heard. On that point, Representative Madigan.
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the Chair person indicate whether he or she has talked with the Minority Spokesman regarding this suspension of the posting requirements.":
- Speaker Peters: "The person that is the Chairman of the Committee. As we call on them we will be happy to put the question."
- Madigan: "My request was, rather than ask us on each occasion had you talked with the Minority Spokesman, would they simply state as part of their Motion whether they had discussed this action with the Minority Spokesman on the Committee."
- Speaker Peters: "Sure. Okay. Representative Wolf. Nothing?
 Representative Huskey, Motor Vehicles."
- Huskey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have the Minority Spokesman along side of me here and he's in agreement with me. I would like to...well, I know where he is. I would like to ask to remove the appropriate rules to hear in Committee, Tuesday, in Motor Vehicles Committee, Tuesday, Senate Bill 53, Senate Bill 173, 388, 401, 429, 462, 491, 543, 544, 625, 666, 1106 and Senate Bill 197."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. He asks
 leave for the attendance roll call. Is leave granted?

 Leave being granted, the rule is suspended. Representative
 Epton, Insurance. I'm going to take these in the role that
 I've got on the list. The Vice Chairman, Representative
 Birkinbine. Insurance."
- Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On behalf of Chairman,

 Epton. I ask leave of the appropriate rule to have heard

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

in Committee, Senate Bills 592, 662, 912, 913, 914, 929, 1062, 1073, 1109 and 1173. I do not know whether or not Representative Epton had a chance to talk to Spokesman O'Brien."

- Speaker Peters: "Representative O'Brien. O'Brien.":
- O'Brien: "Yes, Representative Epton and myself did talk, we have no objection whatsoever to waiving the rules so...for posting."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman has....Representative Matijevich for what purpose do you rise?"
- Matijevich: "For the record, I would like to publicly say, I know when we had House Bills in House Committees, some of the Committee Chairmen waited until a Sponsor had the request that their Bills be heard. This was a departure from what we've done for two Sessions and I was wondering if they're doing the same with regards to Senate Bills. Because I think the better procedure is just to post the Bills. We know that ever House Sponsor of every Senate Bill wants their Bills to be heard in Committee and I was wondering as a public position, are we going to post all of the Bills in Committees that are Senate Bills."
- Speaker Peters: "I would assume that will be done. Now all the Bills are not going to come here till June 1, that the last day in the Senate. The...you heard the Gentleman's Motion in regard to the Bills posted for the Insurance Committee.

 Does the Gentleman have leave for use of the attendance roll call. Representative Brummer on that question."
- Brummer: "Yes, I don't think he indicated, or if he did, I did not hear when the hearing was scheduled."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman wants to know when the meeting...the schedule...ordinary, regular day? Regular day."
- Brummer: "Does he know what date that is?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

Speaker Peters: "Tuesday, June 2, D-1 Stratton Building, 2:00

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "City and Villages, Representative Wikoff."

- Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Having checked with the Minority Spokesman and the Minority Leader, I would waive...ask leave to waive the appropriate rules, let Senate Bills 436, 737 and 1175 could be heard in Cities and Villages at 2:00 on...room 114 on Tuesday, June 2."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion, he asks
 leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Does he have leave?

 Leave granted. Conservation and Natural Resources,

 Representative Macdonald."
- Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In the absence of the Minority Spokesman but with the approval of the Minority Leader, I would like to suspend the appropriate rule to have Senate Bills 581, 721, 899 and 900 heard next Tuesday in Conservation and Natural Resource Committee."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Lady's motion, she asks leave for use of the Attendance Roll Call. On that question, Representative Johnson. No. Does the Lady has leave? The rule is suspended. Health and Family Services, Representative Barnes. I'm going to call them on the list I have here on. Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to suspend the appropriate rule, I have checked with the Minority Spokesman and ask permission to have Senate Bills, 61, 181, 220, 224, 484, 524, 631, 655, 689, 702, 1029 and 1078, and the meeting will be held next Tuesday, at 4 o'clock in room 114."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Lady's motion. The Lady ask leave for use of the Attendance Roll Call. Does the Lady have leave? No objection, leave being granted, the rule is

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

suspended. Public: Institutions and Social Services,
Representative Catania.

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I ask leave to suspend the posting rule so that all the Senate Bills that have been assigned to Public Institutions and Social Services Committee may be posted to be heard next Tuesday afternoon at 4:00 P.M. in room 118. The Minority Spokesperson has no objections."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Catania, we need the number of the Bills."

Catania: . "I don't have it with me."

Speaker Peters: "I think the Clerk is going to have problems."

Catania: "Staff says, they don't have it here."

Speaker Peters: "I think we're going to have problems."

Catania: "Well, I assume staff has it somewhere, maybe they can find it."

Speaker Peter: "On that question, Representative Meyer.".

Meyer, Ted: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I've been talking to Representative Schraeder and I have personal knowledge of Bills that are still over in the Senate pending, I can assume will go to our Committee. They're dealing with coal and how can we suspend a rule for Bills that we don't have."

Speaker Peters: "We can't."

Meyer, Ted: "Well, what is the posture of those Bills?"

Speaker Peters: "The rules can only be suspended for those Bills that have been assigned....assigned by the Committee on assignments. Any other numbers that might have been thrown in....just don't belong there and the Clerk and Committee Chairman in Committees will take note of that. Representative Catania, let's take yours out until we figure out what to do. Agriculture, Representative Rigney."

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

- Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to suspend the posting regulation for Senate Bills 764 and 1084, unfortunately our Minority Spokesman is not here and I have not been able to talk to him."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion, does he have leave for use of the Attendance Roll Call? Being no objection, he has leave. The rule is suspended for those Bills posted in the Committee on Agriculture. Committee on Revenue, Representative Bwing. The Vice Chairman, Representative Bell."
- Bell: "Mr. Speaker, the Minority Spokesman is not here, the Minority Leader has given permission to move the suspend...to suspend the appropriate rules for Revenue Committee for Wednesday, June 3, 8:00 A.M., room 114 to hear the following Bills. Senate Bill 17, 26, 148, 154, 176, 199, 228, 257, 305, 440, 443, 477, 486, 494, 580, 611, 633, 672, 771, 909.
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion. He asks
 leave for use of the Attendance Roll Call. Is leave
 granted? Leave is granted, rule is suspended in regard to
 the posting for the Committee on Revenue. Judiciary I,
 Representative Johnson. Vice Chairman."
- Johnson: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members, Representative Leinenweber has asked me to seek unanimous leave, we've conferred as far as we can with the Minority Spokesman but I don't think Representative Jaffe is here. I know of no objections. I would ask...is Representative Jaffe here? Would ask unanimous leave to suspend the appropriate posting requirements so that the following Bills can be heard on June 3, Wednesday at 9:00 A.M. Senate Bills 88, 116, 147, 208, 226, 267, 300, 355, 358, 377, 527, 542, 547, 607, 876 and 942. And I would so move."
- Speaker Peters: "Do you have all of those, Mr. Clerk? You've

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

heard the Gentleman's motion, he asks leave for use of the Attendance Roll Call. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave granted, the rule is suspended in regard to the Bills posted for Judiciary I. Elementary and Secondary Education, Chairman Reilly. Reilly."

- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask leave to use the Attendance Roll Call for a motion to suspend the posting rules for Senate Bill 62, 174, 498, 548, 549, 597, 612, 617, 641, 647, 723, 733, 765, 766, 781, 782, 783 and 788, which are all the Bills that are assigned to us so far. We will meet Wednesday at 8 o'clock, our regular time in room 118 in the Capitol. I assume I have to do this again Monday or Tuesday for a subsequent meeting later in the week."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion. The Gentleman ask leave for the...use of the Attendance Roll Call. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave granted, the rule is suspended in regard to the postings for Elementary and Secondary Education. Labor and Commerce, Representative Schuneman, Labor and Commerce. Schuneman, Labor and Commerce."
- Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the House Committee on Labor and Commerce is scheduled to meet at 2:00 P.M., in room 114 on Wednesday and we would ask leave to suspend the posting rule as respect to Bills that are assigned to our Committee. And those Bills are, House Bill 168...or Senate Bill rather 168, 546, 1081, 483, 221, 89, 579, 585, 703. Representative Farley, who is the Spokesman on that Committee is not in the chamber so I didn't have a chance to talk to him."

Speaker Peters: "On that question,

Representative....Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I object to this request for leave."

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman objects to the request for leave.

It will take 107 votes. Those in favor of the Gentleman's motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. What do you want? Representative Kane for what purpose do you rise?"

Kane: "Unless this is on the Calendar, doesn't it require unanimous consent?"

Speaker Peters: "Well, I don't think it's going to get 107 votes, do you?"

Kane: "Well, I think we ought to abide by the rules."

Speaker Peters: "You're right...you're right...you're right."

Kane: "Okay."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Schuneman on the question."

Schuneman: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Peters: "Dump this Roll Call."

Schuneman: "I understand that...that some of these Bills may or may not be controversial and I don't believe the Gentleman on the other side really know whether they are or not at this point. But the...I would simply point out to you that the only purpose of your objection on this is to delay the Committee meeting, we still will be able to post and have the Committee meeting and these Bills will be heard. So, I would ask you to reconsider this position....all you're doing is requiring the House to schedule a later Committee meeting. Because there's plenty of time to have hearings before..."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman has objected. It has to be on the Calendar. All it takes is one person to....Representative Priedrich on this question."

Priedrich, D.: "If the Minority Leader presists, I will now tell
you in advance, I will object to suspend the rule for any
Democrat Bill that comes over here after. Now, he's
delaying the process of Legislation, these people have a

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

right to hear their Bills, he knows what the deadline is and I'm going to tell you now that I will look up the Bills and no Democrat Bill will get suspension. If you want to play the game, I'll play it too."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Friedrich, that's your right.

Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, every Members of the House realizes that Bills concerning unemployment insurance are highly partisan in nature. There are two Bills on Mr. Schuneman's list which deal with the issue of unemployment insurance, Representative McPike suggested to Mr. Schuneman that he delete the two Bills dealing with unemployment insurance and then we would not object to all of the other Bills. Mr. Schuneman rejected that suggestion. If you wish to move ahead with the Bills that do not deal with unemployment insurance, we have no objection."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman is correct. That suggestion was made to me but that really doesn't solve the problem, Representative. These Bills are going to be heard, the only question is, whether they're going to be heard in our regular meeting on Wednesday of if they will be heard at some later time. And, you know, frankly I think that your tactics are in the nature of dilatory and they're going to fail but, if you're going to persist then I'm sure you're within your rights."

Speaker Peters: :Representative Schuneman, you are correct in what you're saying, the Minority Leader is correct in what he is saying. Representative Priedrich is right in expressing the right he has as a Member. There is objection made to Labor and Commerce. Counties and Townships, Chairman McMaster. What do you seek recognition for, Representative Giorgi?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to remind the House that the
Rules Committee refused to listen to Senior Citizen
Bill..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Giorgi, I don't want to get into a Rules Committee, I want to get through with Representative McMaster's, we'll take all your objections up at the end. Representative McMaster, Counties and Townships."

HcMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I now ask leave for the Attendance Roll Call to suspend the appropriate posting rules for Bills #172 and 420 and all other Bills that have and or are being assigned to Counties and Township Committee. There is a rather long list and I did not see until about 2 o'clock this afternoon, I do not have it over here with me...."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I will object to any...."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman makes objections."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, please. I will object to any request to suspend posting requirements for Bills that are not in possession of the Committee."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman is correct in that objection.

Representative McMaster. Representative Collins. Let us remind the Chairmen, the only Bills you can post are those Bills that have been assigned to your Committee. We do not deal in futoral..."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Peters: "Or preconception. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, you're references to birth control aside, Mr.

Speaker, I..."

Speaker Peters: "I'm attempting a prophylactic jester."

Collins: "I have one I may return to you, Sir. I would assume that if, Mr. Speaker, I would assume that if there are any Bills that have already been read into these motions, that

52nd Legislative Day

May 29. 1981

have not been assigned to the Committees by the Committee on Assignment, they would be routinely removed from these motions?"

Speaker Peters: "The Clerk will be so instructed."

Collins: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative McMaster to restate your motion."

McMaster: "To restate my motion then, Mr. Speaker. Only those
Bills that have been presently assigned to the Committee
and there are some fifteen or more Bills that have been
assigned to the Committee. I did not get a complete list
from the Committee on Assignment of Bills until this
afternoon sometime, the list is over in my office, I have
conferred with the Minority Spokesman of this Committee in
front of two witnesses and he has agreed to the posting of
the Bills that have been assigned to us. Now, may I have
the Attendance Roll Call?"

Speaker Peters: "Representative Madigan. Did she give him the list, alright. Alright he's getting the list of numbers. The Gentleman ask leave for the use of the Attendance Roll Call for suspension of the rule. On that question, Representative Kane."

Kane: "The rules specifically say, that this rule cannot be suspended except for specifically enumerated Bills, by number and I think that there is more than simply the convenience of the House...."

Speaker Peters: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The notice of the hearing was stamped, May 28, 1981. Now I assume that was Thursday and I think that is sufficient time then for the posting without any suspension of the rules."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman withdraws his motion. Energy and Environment, Representative Meyer."

Meyer, Ted: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to suspend the

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

appropriate rules....posting rules for Senate Bills 171, 899, 900, 1167 and 1193, the Committee meeting of Energy and Environment will be held, Wednesday, June 3, at 2:00 P.M. in room 118. And I have consulted with Representative Schraeder and he is in agreement.

- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman asks leave for the use of the Attendance Roll Call. On that question, Representative Darrow."
- Darrow: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I have a question that's result of Representative Friedrich's objection to any Democratic Bills. Are we playing a political game here or will we have..."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Priedrich has made no objections to this point."
- Darrow: "Alright, fine. I have no objection."
- Speaker Peters: "No objection, leave is granted. The rule is suspended in regard to Energy and Environment. The Elections, Representative Winchester."
- Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask for unanimous leave to suspend the posting requirement in order to hear Senate Bills 790, 791, 794. Elections Committee meets Wednesday at 2 o'clock in C-1. I discussed it with the Minority Spokesman and the Assistant Minority Spokesman, since the Minority....since Representative Laurino is not on the floor and they both agreed that it's okay. I would ask for leave."
- Speaker Peters: "On that...the Gentleman asks leave, you've heard his motion. He asks leave for the Attendance Roll Call.

 On that question, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Peters: "Representative Winchester, a question."

Winchester: "Yes."

Kane: "Is the primary Lockup Bill involved in that, in any of

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

those Bills?"

- Winchester: "I....I really don't know, Representative. I haven't looked at the Bills other than the Sponsor, they are all three represented....Sponsored by Representative Lemke...Senator Lemke, I'm sorry and I don't know. I can't tell you."
- Kane: "Okay, until you can tell me then I would object."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman objects. Talk to Senator Lemke.

 The Bill shall not be posted. No, let Kane, talk to him.

 Public Utilities, Chairman Abramson. Vice Chairman

 Kucharski. No postings. Higher Education, Chairman

 Hudson."
- Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask unanimous leave to suspend the appropriate posting rules so that the following Bills might be heard at 4:00 P.M., in room C-1, on June 3. I have checked with the Minority Leader, he has granted his okay in the absence of Representative McGrew. The following Bills, Senate Bill 139, 373, 605, 685 and 686."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion. He asks
 leave for use of the Attendance Roll Call. Is there
 objection? There being none, the rule is suspended for the
 Bills for Higher Education for the following week.
 Veterans' Affairs, Personnel and Pensions, Representative
 McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I consulted with Representative DiPrima and I would ask to suspend the appropriate....the appropriate rules to hear House....Senate Bills 512, 513, 558, 559, 560, 587, 593, 599, 694, 696, 724, 726, 729 and 1113, in Committee on Wednesday, at 4:00 P.M."

Speaker Peters: "On that question, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "No objections on my part, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Peters: "On that question, Representative Yourell."

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

- Yourell: "Just an inquire of the Chair. Personnel, Pensions of Veterans' Affairs is posted on the Calendar of today for 1,

 2, 3, 4, Bills and I heard a lot of Bills that were not...not posted for next week. So, I don't think that motion is necessary. He doesn't need leave, does he?"
- Speaker Peters: "Representative McAuliffe, take a look on page 11, of the Calendar. 1222, 108 and 412. Are there Bills in addition to that? There are Bills in addition to that, Representative Yourell, so what he would be asking for is leave to post those Bills that are not already on the posting notice."

Yourell: "They've been assigned?"

- Speaker Peters: "They've been assigned. You've heard the Gentleman's motion, does he have leave? Leaves granted, the rule is suspended. Registration and Regulation, Vice Chairman Watson."
- Watson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to ask leave for Attendance Roll Call to suspend the appropriate rule in regard to the posting requirements for Registration, Regulation Committee, meeting Wednesday, June 3, at 4:00 p.M. in room D-1 in the Stratton Building. The Following Bills, Senate Bill 487, 577, 610, 650, 651, 769, 1034, 1143 and 1148. We cleared this through the Minority Leader."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion. He asks
 leave for the use of the Attendance Roll Call. Is there
 objection? There being none, leave is granted, the rule is
 suspended in regard to those Bills posted for Registration
 and Regulation. State Government Organization,
 Representative Davis. No requests. Transportation,
 Chairman Neff."
- Neff: "Mr. Speaker, all Bills that have been assigned to Transportation have been posted."
- Speaker Peters: "I'm sorry, Representative, I didn't...no

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

requests?"

Neff: "No request, all Bills assigned to Transportation have been posted."

Speaker Peters: "Financial Institutions, Chairman McBroom."

McBroom: ; "Mr. / Speaker, when you get time can you get back to me in just a minute."

Speaker Peters: "Yes, Sir. Representative Catania, do you have your list? Public Institutions Social Services, Chairman Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Peters: "Excuse me, are the Clerks listening? Go ahead."

Catania: "I ask for leave for unanimous consent to suspend the posting rule so that we can post Senate Bills 23, 186, 1006, 1095 and 1198 to be heard in Public Institutions and Social Services Committee, Tuesday afternoon at 4:00 P.M., room 118. Representative Satterthwaite has no objections."

Speaker Peters: "The Lady asks leave. On that question, Representative Friedrich."

Priedrich, D.: "I want to object to 23 and 186, please."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman objects to 23 and..."

Friedrich, D: "186."

Speaker Peters: "186. Representative Darrow for what purpose..."

Darrow: "I object to every other Bills there."

Speaker Peters: "There has been objections raised to the entire post...there has been objection to the entire posting for Public Institutions and Social Services. Objections by Representative Darrow. Financial Institutions, Chairman McBroom."

McBroom: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I hope you can hear me, I'm losing my voice. I've discussed the matter with Representative Leon and I would ask unanimous consent leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rule for the posting of the following Bills. 426, 434, 469, 525, 578,

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

1056, 1097 and 761."

Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion, he asks leave for the...Representative Wolf."

Wolf, J.J.: "I object to posting of Senate Bill 761."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, I hate to do this, this is being ridiculous and we're hurting our own Members...."

Speaker Peters: "Representative..."

Darrow: "Bills..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Darrow, objects...."

Darrow: "Unless they want..."

Speaker Peters: "To the posting of all Bills for Financial Institutions. Fine. Judiciary II, Chairman Stearney. Representative Daniels."

- Daniels: "In Representative Stearney's absence I would move that posting rules be suspended for Senate Bills 448, 450, 490, 515, 516, 530, 601, 602, 618 and 623."
- Speaker Peters: "Any objections? The Gentleman asks leave for use of the Attendance Roll Call. Is there objection? There being none, leave is granted and the posting notices for the Bills in Jud II for the coming week are suspended. Did the Chair call everyone? Does anyone else seek recognition on...does anyone else seek recognition on this matter? Representative DiPrima on...for purposes of an announcement."

DiPrima: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to give a final report on the Poppy Day."

Speaker Peters: "We eagerly await it."

DiPrima: "I know you've all been anxiously awaiting the report.

Well, I want to thank Taylor Pouncey, who chaired the group
that collected on the Democratic side with Jeanie Chapman,
Laz Murphy and Eddie Kornowicz. And Eddie....Bob Pechous,
Pechous incidentally is from that powerful allegence

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

district that I spoke about yesterday which....where he runs in Cicero and Berwin, yeah. And on the Republican side of course we had, Bill Collins, Jake Wolf, Ray Hudson and Ben Polk. Now, here's the donars, like I said, five....who won the bicycle? Danny O'Brien, he needs it."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman requires no flack."

the bag of marbles was won by Dolly Hallstrom. DiPrima: "Now. She donated ten dollars for the Poppies, Dolly Hallstrom. Jeff Holden, from the Illinois Medical Penny Pullen. Now the five dollar donars, John Hallock, Society. Clarence Neff, Herb Huskey, Glenn Bover, Bob Barr, Virginia Frederick, Ben Polk, Cal Schuneman, Ray Hudson, District Commander from the 11th District from the American Legion. Eddie Doyle, Rich Brummer, Chuck Cassel from the Telephone Company, Mike Getty, Bob Pechous, Joe Ebbesen, Everett Steele, Clyde Robbins, Virginia Macdonald, Collins. Randy Witter from Illinois Governmental Affairs, Illinois Association of Realtors, Phil Bianco, Carl Sodistrum, Bob Neyer. Who's this from ... Who? Lee Daniels, twenty dollars from Lee Daniels. You'll get the bicycle. Alright, that...that concludes the thing and I'm really all: of you for having given me indebted to support....oh, we collected close to five hundred dollars now with this. Beats all the records. Listen, I won't be able to give them this until next week so I'm going to hang on to it until we come back in. Thank you."

- Speaker Peters: "Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Friedrich, D.: "I just want to say, if the Minority Leader wants to reconsider his irresponsible actions, I'll withdraw my objection on the Bills that are to be heard next week. We need to get this done."
- Speaker Peters: "The Minority Leader indicates that he is firm in

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

his position."

Priedrich, D.: "So am I."

- Speaker Peters: "Just....Representative O'Brien., Representative McBroom."
- McBroom: "Mr. Speaker, I guess there's confus....some confusion here. I've been discussing this with Representative Leon, the Bills in Financial Institutions have been posted, they were posted before noon today. The meeting is next Thursday..."
- Speaker Peters: "The objections of Representative Wolf and Darrow, are withdrawn, the Bills are properly posted for hearing in Financial Institutions. Senate Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 125, Representative Leinenweber. Senate Bill. Did I say Senate? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1023, Representative J. J. Wolf."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1023, a Bill for an Act to amend the Department of Registration, Education, FY-81 Appropriations Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Move for its adoption."
- Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of House
 Bill 1023, is there any discussion? Senate Bill 1023. Is
 there any discussion? Representative Lechovicz."
- Lechowicz: "Well, I just want to stand to encourage this side of the aisle to support this Bill. If this Bill loses it effects the credit rating of the State of Illinois. We probably drop from a triple A, to an A, or lower if this Bill is not passed."
- Speaker Peters: "Any further discussion? Representative Wolf, any instructions for our side of the aisle?"
- Wolf, J. J.: "Well, I only wish the opposite side of the aisle had a...the same verber for passage of Appropriation Bills."

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981

- Speaker Peters: "Representative Daniels."
- Daniels: "I was just wondering, if this Bill were to fail, would it effect the credit rating of Chicago?"
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Unfortunately, no."
- Daniels: "But if....isn't this on all bonded indebtedness of the
 State of Illinois and would effect those bonds that we
 guarantee, the State of Illinois guaranteed? So, in that
 way it could effect the City of Chicago?"
- Wolf, J. J.: "The answer is, yes, indirectly."
- Daniels: "But those of us from the suburbs will once again help you out from Chicago and we'll support it."
- Speaker Peters: "On the question, Representative John Dunn."
- Dunn, John: "Just for the edification. Members on this side of the aisle, if the Bill:passes it may help Bill Poster, so I would recommend an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Wolf, out of the record? Where are we at on this?"
- Wolf, J.J.: "I think we're at the stage of passage. I would really like to take it out of the record but I think we ought to go ahead with it. It's the responsible thing to do."
- Speaker Peters: "We can always do it Monday. Or Tuesday. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1023 pass?' Senate Bill. Senate Bill 1023. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Representative Conti on the question."
- Collins: "Yes, I don't want to explain my vote, I want to express it."
- Speaker Peters: "I think that....Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 126 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed.

52nd Legislative Day

May 29, 1981.

Representative Leon wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'.

Does the Gentlemen have leave? Leave. Add the Gentleman

to the Roll Call. Representative Collins for the purposes

of a motion."

- Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House stand adjourn until Monday, June 1, at the hour of 4:00 P.M."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed. The 'ayes' have it, the House is adjourned...Mrs. Smith, for an announcement before we leave. Give the Lady your attention."
- Smith, M.: "Would you...would you please register me as voting
- Speaker Peters: "Add the Lady as 'aye' to the last Roll Call.

 Monday...and Representative Younge. Monday, 4:00 o'clock."

MAY 29, 1981.

HB-0588	3RD	READING	PAGE	2
HB-0589	3RD	READING	PAGE	15
HB-0673	3RD	READING	PAGE	19
HB-0697	3RD	READING	PAGE	21.
HB-1907	1ST	READING	PAGE.	31
SB-0155	1ST	READING	PAGE	28
SB-0218	1ST	READING	PAGE	28
SB-0230	1ST	READING	PAGE	28
SB-0231	15T	READING	PAGE	28
SB-0232	1ST	READING	PAGE	28
SB-0233	1ST	READING	PAGE	28
SB-0234	1ST	READING	PAGE	28
SB-0235	1ST	READING	PAGE	28
SB-0236	1ST	READING	PAGE	29
SB-0238	1ST	READING	PAGE	29
SB-0270	1ST	READING	PAGE	29
SB-0274	1ST	READING	PAGE	29
SB-0316	1ST	READING	PAGE	29
SB-0320	1ST	READING	PAGE	29
SB-0321	1ST	READING	PAGE	29
SB-0322	1ST	READING	PAGE	29
SB-0323	1ST	READING	PAGE	29
SB-0324	1ST	READING	PAGE	29.
SB-0325	1ST	READING	PAGE	29
SB-0328	1ST	READING	PAGE	30
SB-0336	.1ST	READING	PAGE	.30
SB-0337	1ST	READING	PAGE	30
SB-0347	1ST	READING	PAGE	30
SB-0468	1ST	READING	PAGE	30
SB-0518	1ST	READING	PAGE	30
SB-0535	1ST	READING	PAGE	30
SB-0568	1ST	READING	PAGE	30
SB-0569	1ST	READING	PAGE	30
SB-0639	1ST	READING	PAGE	30
SB-0798	1ST	READING	PAGE	30
SB-0800	1ST	READING	PAGE	.30
SB0882	1ST	READING	PAGE	30
SB-0883	1ST	READING	PAGE	31
SB-0926	1ST	READING	PAGE	31
SB-0940	1ST	READING	PAGE	31
SB-1023	3RD		PAGE	48
SB-1031	.1ST		PAGE	28
SB-1047	1ST		PAGE	31
SB-1048	1ST	READING	PAGE	31
*HJR-0013	2ND	READING	PAGE	21
•				

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE AT BASE - SPEAKER PETERS HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER PETERS PRAYER - SISTER SIENA PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE EXCUSED ABSENCE POLL OF ABSENTEES MESSAGE FROM SENATE COMMITTEE REPORTS SUSPENSION OF RULES CHANGE OF VOTE	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	1 1 2 2 2 19 27 31 32
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	50