51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

- Speaker Ryan: "The House will come to Order. The Members will be in their seats. Sister Sienna from Sacred Heart Academy for the prayer."
- Sister Sienna: "As we pray today, we've been asked to remember also Representative Redmond's wife, Rita, who recently had God, our Father, we acknowledge Your presence among this group of Legislators as they meet together once Give to each of them Your help and guidance that they may show themselves to be truly Your people. you for the assurance that You listen to us and care about our concerns. When these people become absorbed in their debates, keep them still close to You. In Your patient and loving ways help them to be understanding of each other as they work together ready to offer positive suggestions and creative support. Let not the machinery of this conscience of their organization make them less responsibilities to persons rather than to programs. Inspire them to work to achieve for each individual all that he needs to develop to the fullest his potentialities and his destiny. As they struggle for answers and right decisions, place before them Your own words to us through Your prophet, Micha. This is what Yahweh asks of you, only this, to act justly, to love tenderly and to walk humbly with Your God."
- Speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Sister. Representative Alstat will lead the Pledge."
- Alstat: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of
  America and to the Republic for which it stands one nation,
  under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Ryan: "Roll Call for attendance. Representative Oblinger for an introduction."
- Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, I

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

a young man here that I would like to introduce to I'm going to have a hard time with his name, want you to listen carefully. This is Abdul Rahman Barghouti, the Inspector of Elementary Education for State of Kuwait and he's here visiting us in Springfield." Abdul Rahman Barghouti: "Gentlemen, it's an honor for me to stand in this position, to speak to the Representatives of people in the United States, which we believe that it will be always the defendant of freedom and democracy I came far away overseas from Kuwait. There I am working since 25 years as Inspector of elementary schools As a teacher and as an official from Ruwait, I would like to make every emotion of our emotions clear to the people of America here. In the Arab world we, in spite of all the events, the political events, we will still believe that America will always be our ally and our helper. Secondly, I am a Palestinian. I am a Palestinian working in Kuwait and it is unforgettable moment for me to stand here to say something about my case, that's our case, the Palestinian case, because we believe that we need your help. We need your help to make our case obvious. know, Gentlemen, that this case has been the main case during the 20 or 30 years ago, in ...., in the United Nations. in the Congress, all over the world. But unfortunately, it didn't go one step forward. Still, people of Palestine, still they are refugees. still living in tents, waiting for the time when people acknowledge their rights to go home to their country and to their property. And no one, no one like the Americans can help us to do so. So, I take this opportunity to ask help of everyone of you, Senators or Representatives or people, that in order to continue the friendship and the confidence between both people, the Arabs and the

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Americans, this case should be followed. And thank you very much for letting me stand in this position and speak these words. Thank you very much."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Epton?"

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We have an honored guest and this is the first time in my years in the Legislature that I've seen our forum used to suggest that the Palestinians have rights which are theirs alone. I believe that this is not an area to advocate a particular cause of a foreign nation. We do not allow Israel to do so, nor do we expect Kuwait. We desire peace and we desire recognition and we have refugees. Kuwait, you are an honored guest. We are glad you are here. We hope you will remember that there are many of us who have suffered even longer than those of you in Kuwait. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Take the record, will you, Mr. Clerk? One hundred and sixty-five Members answering the Roll. A Quorum of the House is present. Representative Polk in the chamber? Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Leone: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary; Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House ٥f Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following title, passage of which I'm instructed ask concurrence of the House of Representative to wit; Senate Bill 836. Passed the Senate May 27th, 1981, Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary; Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles and passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit; Senate Bills 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 238, 274, 316, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 328, 336, 337. Passed by the Senate May 27th, 1981, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Speaker Ryan: ".. Reading of the Journal."

Clerk Leone: "Journal of 35th Legislative Day, Wednesday May 6th, 1981, 11:00 o'clock a.m. The House met pursuant adjournment. May 6th. The House met pursuant to adjournment. The Speaker in the Chair. Prayer by Reverend M.C. Johnson, Calvery Temple Church, Springfield, Illinois. Representative Bower led the House in the Pledge Allegiance. By direction of the Speaker Roll Call was taken to ascertain the attendance of the Members as follows: 170 present. By unanimous consent Representative Margalus and Braun were excused from absence because of illness. Representative Redmond was excused because of illness in the family. Representative McGrew was excused because of death in the family. House Bills on Second Reading. Consent Calendar, having been printed the following Bills were taken up and read by Title a second time and advanced to the Order of Third Reading, Consent Calendar. House Bills 655, 683, 811, 843, 884....

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Why did you recognize me, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Ryan: "I thought your light was on."

Clerk Leone: "...1141, 1262, 1397, 1399, 1412, 1413, 1414, 1415,

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, could the Clerk please speak a little louder?"

Speaker Ryan: "Could you speak a little louder, Mr. Clerk?
Continue."

Clerk Leone: "1498, 1503, 1652, 1672, 1813, 1815, 1830 and 1838.

House Bill 7...House Bill 752..."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I move we dispense with the reading of Journal #35 of May 6th, 1981 and it be approved as read."

51st Legislative Day

- May 28, 1981
- Speaker Ryan: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Motion carries. Representative Stiehl in the Chair."
- Stiehl: "On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 491,

  Representative Davis. Representative Davis?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 491, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Davis: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker...Madame Speaker. I beg your pardon. Welcome in the Chair it is. House Bill 491 is the operational OCE for the Illinois Office of Education. It's up about 7 one-half percent this year. The Committee action there was a million eight new dollars requested in the operations budget and the Committee in floor action trimmed that by some \$600,000.00. There's now a million two increase in new dollars in the budget and I think it's a fairly responsible budget, one that we can all live with and one that's been negotiated. I think probably the Senate is going to want to pare it a little further. I recommend your favorable approval on this Bill."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? Representative McClain..."
- Davis: "Oh, by the way, this whole budget is 16 million and 500 thousand."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative McClain."
- McClain: "Thank you very much, Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge support of this legislation. I don't think that we ought to hold up any appropriations dealing with social services or education. So, I would urge the passage of 491."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Further discussion? Representative Davis to close. Excuse me. Representative Mulcahey?"

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Mulcahey: "Will the Sponsor yield? Representative..."

Davis: "No."

Nulcahey: "This budget this year, how much is it up or down from last year?"

Davis: "It's up about 7 and one-half percent, Dick."

Mulcahey: "Seven and a half percent?"

Davis: "Yes."

Nulcahey: "And this fits in with the recommendations of the Appropriation Committee and..."

Davis: "As a matter of fact, it does, Dick."

Mulcahey: "By golly, that's good."

Davis: "Yes, thank you."

Mulcahey: "That's good."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Davis to close."

Davis: "Well, I think Representative Mulcahey just closed for me.

I would just ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 491 pass?'.

All in support will vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 119 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 492, Representative Stuffle?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 492, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Members of the House, this Bill is the appropriation for the coming fiscal year for pay out for the state aid distribution formula to elementary and secondary public school districts as well as the pay out for summer school. As you know, we went through a number

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

of efforts to amend this Bill. It's currently at a level of 1 billion 512 million dollars recommended by the Committee. The Amendment that was offered to increase it by 13 million failed. The effort to fully fund the summer school reimbursement line also failed. So that line is about 300 thousand below full funding. I would submit the Bill for your consideration."

Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Madame Speaker. Sorry. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Stiehl: "He indicates he will."

McClain: "Mr. Stuffle, what is the current guaranteed per pupil amount?"

Stuffle: "Fifteen hundred and sixty-three dollars my understanding under the formula appropriation level in this Bill."

McClain: "And the Governor reduced the general state aid. Is that correct?"

Stuffle: "The Committee...the Bill, as I understand it, the original proposal of the Board of Education was for a billion 607 million dollars. The recommended level at the Governor's ninety million dollar allocation for elementary and secondary education which you and I supported on the floor was a billion 525 million, approximately 13 million above the funding level in the first line of this Bill, which would produce a \$1,567 roughly per student. Now the Bill is at the level of 69 million dollar funding for elementary and secondary education, 1.512 billion dollars, \$1563 per student, which is below the level that you and I and others supported. But that's the level of the Bill now."

McClain: "Thank you. Madame Speaker, on behalf of the Bill,

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

although the Bill falls substantially short of the Democratic task force recommendations in our party, I believe that this piece of legislation ought to pass and get over to the Senate and maybe we can improve on it and I would urge its passage."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "I just want to again articulate a similar and note for the Membership also that the recommendation for summer school falls into a pro-rated level to 91%. Remember, we eliminated the summer school provisions for special ed kids a few years ago, but we did leave in :we thought were critical needs for profoundly and severely handicapped children. This Bill does reduce considerably. We had offered a 100% funding for that which was only 3 million bucks. But it was reduced by the Appropriations Committee and in line I think with what Governor Thompson wants and that is to put the burden for schools back on the locals. I'm like Representatives McClain and Stuffle, supporting this Bill and others with the hope that something will happen in the Senate either through their wisdom or ours in terms of a Conference Committee to improve it. So I can encourage you to vote 'aye' and hope that as the weeks progress we'll get ..make better arrangements with the Senate on funding."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, (sic, Madame Speaker), I wonder if the Sponsor of the Bill could identify some of the school districts that are going to lose money and some that are going to gain money under this formula. Are we by popular, you know, cities involved?"

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Stuffle."

Giorgi: "So that the Legislature can be alerted as to whether they want to support this Bill or not.."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Stuffle: "Why, certainly, Representative Giorgi. Chicago will gain money and Rockford will lose money."

Giorgi: "And what other cities?"

Stuffle: "Well, East St. Louis will gain some money. Charleston will lose some money. Matoon will lose some money..."

Giorgi: "Well, you'd better go down that litany so that the
Legislators are alerted as to whether they want to continue
funding education or not."

Stuffle: "I don't know all 1013 by heart. I know about 800 of them maybe. But I can't go through the whole list today."

Giorgi: "Well, give me about 20."

Stuffle: "Twenty? Any particular districts you want to know about?"

Giorgi: "Whose brain child was this? Which one of the 'Claybaugh' twins worked on this?"

Stuffle: "Would you repeat that question please?"

Giorgi: "Which one of the 'Claybaugh' twins or triplets worked on this?"

Stuffle: "I'm not familiar with the 'Claybaugh' triplets since Charlie left."

Giorgi: "Well, that's ... Hoffman-Schneider and Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Oh, all three."

Giorgi: "So who got shafted? You know, let's bear it all today."

Stuffle: "Who got shafted? Well, we didn't ...."

Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me. Representative Schneider, for what reason do you arise?"

Schneider: "Point of disgrace. He's using my name in debate. I want to address that when Representative Stuffle is finished, as one of the 'Claybaugh' trio."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Well, as you know, on this Bill or any other school aid appropriation and I'm not wildly enthusiastic about the Bill at this funding level because I think we could have cut in

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

other areas and we offered some Amendments to do that to fund this at a little higher level. You're always in the situation with increased property tax assessments locally decreasing enrollment in most districts that without some changes in the law as proposed in the Bill passed out of here by Representative Hoffman and supported by the triplets, as Representative Giorgi calls them, we would seeing a short fall over 150 million dollars in funding for schools automatically because of the old formulas not meeting the needs of schools this year. Many districts will not receive as much money as they did last year even with the Hoffman formula. That's often the case however. The problem is the necessity of trying to come up with an equitable formula that fits into whatever 1evel ο£ appropriation that holds harmless to the greatest extent school districts from dramatic losses in state aid. you've got multiplier situations reaching...going up 20% in some counties and school districts, enrollments dropping 10%, assessment increases on top of multiplier increases, you're going to see a dramatic drop in school aid. effort is to minimize that drop by the changes in Hoffman formula Bill and by the appropriation in this Bill. happen to think as others do that we ought to put more But Representative Giorgi, I think I've money in it. talked to you about this over and over. You've got to compare apples to apples and oranges to oranges. got to look at the facts and the facts simply are that a district like Rockford that falls into that category of going to lose a those three problems I mentioned is dramatic amount of state money over and above what they would without this Bill and without the Hoffman Bill, from what they had last year. So you've got to decide whether you want some money or no money or a dramatic loss.

·51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

you're in the middle on this Bill regretably."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Giorgi, did you want to address the Bill?"

Giorgi: "Yes, Madame Chairman. (sic, Madame Speaker). The population loss in Chicago was more dramatic than downstate and how could two suburban..the twins and the triplet from downstate Illinois give Chicago more money than downstate?

How do you justify that formula binge?"

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Well, as you know, I don't justify that and as you know, I sponsored the Bill that shifted Chicago from getting 45¢ of every new dollar, which they did from '73 to '76, to where they get 31.7¢ of every new dollar. And you fought me on that one until you understood and it took a couple of years for that one to sink in. I hope this takes less time."

Giorgi: "Tell me why they got more money with loss of student population?"

Stuffle: "There's a difference between lost population and lost enrollment and lost ADA. Chicago's not suffering as dramatically as some other districts..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me, Representative Stuffle. It appears that we're getting into a dialogue here and I think it's best we proceed with the Bill."

Stuffle: "I agree."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "I'll just, since my name was used in debate, Madame Speaker, and Representative Giorgi reads the Rockford headlines that blare out that Rockford is going to lose a million bucks. If you want to keep the pupil guarantee at 1464 per kid as it was last year, that would cost you 1 billion 323.3 million. When you change...if you just left it at that figure, Rockford goes from 20 million dollars to

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

approximately 24 million dollars. Now you can say that Rockford makes out at 204 million bucks. My district, from of the 'Claybaugh' triplets, would lose 300 thousand dollars. Now, what Stuffle is saying is correct. to deal with one thousand twelve districts throughout the state. You've got to deal with the quarantee per pupil as it relates to the number of kids and the assessment. Those things are inevitable. What the formula Bill does and this is in the formula, it's the only appropriation for it, is try to distribute it as equitably as possible. So I can go back home and tell Rockford, we made 4 million bucks this year. Instead of reading of the headlines we've tried to address the problem when we talked to the Rockford press corps before. I talked with people in the Representatives Hallock and Kelly with the same idea of trying to explain that there are inevitable fluctuations that are not static. We'd like to all be able to our people in the district that we're all going to get a million new dollars this year. You can't say it. think it's unreasonable to expect that you're always going to show a gain when you don't have the kids. Are we fund kids that aren't there? I think that's unreasonable request. We've made some adjustments in formula in 1974, '75 and '76 to deal with the disappearing child. We're doing more of that now and I think we're doing it fairly well considering the limits that the Governor is placing on us. So I think it's a Bill, as I said earlier, that we've got to adjust as we go through the process. Maybe we'll improve what Rockford has been gaining or losing over the past few years. final note is, when Representative Stuffle feels here a few years ago we helped Rockford out with very identifiable language only for Rockford. Rockford does not

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

get abused despite the fact that you perceive it that way. They make out as they have over the past few years like the rest of us."

- Speaker Stiehl: "Do you care to close, Representative Stuffle?"
  Stuffle: "Yes. Simply to reiterate what Representative Schneider said and to reiterate what I said, that I don't think this level of funding is adequate. But I would recommend we send the Bill to the Senate. And in closing, indicate to Representative Giorgi that we did shift 7 million dollars from Chicago by way of the formula substantive Bill Representative Hoffman passed out of here the other day with our support and simply to suggest, Representative Giorgi, if you have a problem with the distribution, perhaps you could impanel a grand jury to look into the matter."
- Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 492 pass?'.

  All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question there are...Take the Roll, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 132 'ayes', 14 'nos' and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. For what purpose do you arise, Mr. Friedrich?"
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker...Madame Speaker and Members of the House, I have some good news. I just talked to Representative Margalus. He's home. He's getting along well and recuperating and he said he's anxious to get back and he will be back just as soon as the doctor says okay."
- Speaker Stiehl: "I know the whole House will be happy to hear that. House Bill 493, Representative Steczo?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 493, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Steczo."

- Steczo: "Thank you, Madame Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 493 is the appropriation to the State Board of Education that funds mandated programs for educational purposes. This Bill is in the same condition at which it left the Appropriations Committee except for one item. Last week on the floor of the House, the House felt that it was appropriate to raise the amount for textbooks in the state up to a level of 14 million dollars. This Bill has the line items for such things as transportation, bilingual, special education, etc. And I would ask for your favorable support."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker (sic, Madame Speaker), I think the Sponsor ought to tell the House who's the biggest gainer and who's the biggest loser on this Bill in school districts."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "In terms of categorical grants, Zeke, it's really difficult to say because they are appropriated, you know, throughout the state depending on the need..."
- Giorgi: "Yes, but compared to last year's figures, don't you have a couple of good gainers and a couple of good losers?...."
- Steczo: "We don't have happen to have those by districts for categorical programs.."
- Giorgi: "... By districts, by districts.."
- Steczo: "The one...In terms of the programs themselves, the big
  loser of course has been bilingual. The biggest gainer has
  been textbooks."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Schneider."
- Schneider: "Again, I just want to comment I think as we go down the line on all these Bills, responding to the gainer-loser mentality of the Gentleman from Rockford. In this case the

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

children are losing. This is a pro-ration of money that districts have put out front for special education. Representative Steczo addressed the bilingual and textbook question. That one is not a formula driven mandate so that figure can fluctuate as we see fit. But it's where the districts have expended the money and it's in sensitive to all of us. For that's verv transportation for special ed, regular transportation, special ed programs in general. The Governor's budget is forcing...er..his recommendation and as it's reflected in the appropriations coming on to the floor of the House, reflect a reduction to approximately 91% of those monies. The fact then in short is, if you're going to maintain those programs and they are required to be maintained, they're going to have to picking up those dollars locally rather than from the state who is the initiator of that If you have special That's who the losers are. mandate. ed programs in your districts and most of you do, those programs will continue, but they will continue at the expense of the local taxpayer. I, again, will support proposal despite the fact that our Amendments lost on it with the assumption that maybe again something will between the Senate and the House as we grind down to July 1st. So, those in general are the winners and losers as I perceive them. I think the ultimate loser of course is the student who's a special ed student."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Stiehl: "He indicates he will."

Piel: "Terry, what is the total amount for bilingual education in here right now?"

Steczo: "The total amount is 8 million dollars. That was reduced from a level of 14 million dollars as originally

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981.

introduced."

- Piel: "Okay. But there is an Amendment that removed 8.7 million dollars of bilingual education, correct? Initially?"
- Steczo: "Initially, but through a bipartisan effort in the Appropriations Committee, I believe it was Representative Kosinski and Representative Davis, those ...that that money was put back in at a much lower level."
- Piel: "Okay. When the 8.7 million dollars of bilingual education was put back in, where was the 8.7 million dollars taken from? What area?"
- Steczo: "Special ed and regular transportation. In the Appropriations Committee, money was initially removed to fund transportation at 100% and then through the bipartisan effort in Committee, that amount was removed back from transportation into bilingual."
- Piel: "Okay. So let me...let me...I'm just making sure that I've got this right according to my sheet here. They put the 8.7 million dollars of bilingual education back in there at the expense of the special education department, is that correct?"
- Steczo: "Of transportation, for special ed and regular transportation and not other special education programs.

  Keeping in mind that the money was originally taken from bilingual, put into special education ..er..into transportation in the first place."
- Piel: "What I'm saying though is if they take that 8.7 million dollars in special education-transportation, they're still going to have to give them money for the special education transportation eventually, right?"
- Steczo: "The money...Special education transportation in this Bill as it presently stands, is funded at about 91%."
- Piel: "Thank you."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Is there further discussion? Representative

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Steczo to close."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madame Speaker, Members of the House. To reiterate some of Representative Schneider's remarks, this Bill is the Bill that will fund mandated programs in the Any of us who have had any contact at all with our state. local school districts hear the clamor from those school districts every year that we have mandated programs and we have refused to live up to our commitment. Although the programs provided in House Bill 493 are not mandated at 100%, it's an increase in many cases over what we've had before and in lieu of funding them at 100%, I think our local school districts would be extremely happy to have the efforts put forth in this Bill toward funding their programs. We weren't able to provide any mandated further...further assistance in any further increases. But, you know, we feel that at the present time this is the best we can do and I'm sure that they would be happy with that. I would ask for an affirmative vote."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 493 pass?'.

All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'.

Representative Mulcahey to explain his vote."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Madame Speaker and Members of the I've been around here for seven years now and in all due respect to Representative Steczo and anybody else that may have handled this Bill in the past, we've been listening to the same arguments and the same rationale and supposedly the same documentation for some seven years now and that is that everybody is going to be happy with what they've got. We haven't lived up to the mandates. We have not lived up to our ..our responsibility yet, especially in the area of I think that our school And transportation. districts... This is the only game in town. I realize that. And we're going to have to vote for it. But I just want

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

the record to show that the school districts indeed are not getting all they should be getting. They're not getting all they've expected and unfortunately, we didn't do the job. And I know it's not only us that did not get the job done. It's the little fellow down on the second floor who is..who's calling the shots. So, let's, you know, let's let the record show that once again, we're telling the school districts settle for what you're going to get because quite frankly that's all. And I don't think it's right."

- Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill, having received 119 'yes', 26 'no', 10 'present', this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 494, Representative Matijevich?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 494, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to grant in aid State Board of Education.

  Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madame Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill. 494 is an appropriation to the State Board of Education for nonmandated Genera1 Revenue Pund grants. The total appropriation is in the amount of 48 million, 523 thousand, exactly as it came out of the Appropriations Committee. Appropriations Committee did make substantial The reductions in Committee Amendment #1 and Amendment #6 and 7 sponsored by myself and Representative Jack Davis restored monies for the high impact training program and total restoration of funds for vocational education. I would ask for your favorable support in the passage of House Bill 494."

Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? Representative

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Stuffle."

- Stuffle: "Yes, Representative Matijevich, does this Bill still contain any money for the truance alternative program and if so, how much?"
- Matijevich: "No, Representative Stuffle. That was taken out in Committee Amendment #1. Representative Reilly had an Amendment, Floor Amendment, but he did withdraw it, which would have restored the money for the truant alternative program. I think it's a good program..."
- Stuffle: "Can you explain to me and for the edification of our Members who may not know, how that program works and what kind of funding it's had and how long it's been in existence in contrast to the fact that we're not funding it at all this year?"
- Matijevich: "It's at least three years. It was a demonstration type program that has been working and the record has shown that in those areas where it has been used that the record of attendance has improved dramatically. I think it was a mistake to .. to reduce this amount of money. I think..I think, for example, in your area there's a school district that has been using it and it has proved successful. It ..it was one of those that was cut by the Governor's program to cut. I think that a program that allows kids to stay in school and has proved beneficial is not in any way cutting government, but really it's keeping kids out of school that ought to be getting an education. And I think I feel like you do that this is really unwise to cut such a program. But it's the only ball game in town as somebody over there said."
- Stuffle: "What's the level of funding for vocational education in the Bill compared to last year and compared to the initial Bill?"
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Matijevich."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Matijevich: "It's a million five above last year, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Thank you."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Madame Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Stiehl: "He indicates he will."

Conti: "John ... "

Matijevich: "Yes."

Conti: "John, my analysis isn't quite complete here. There are eleven Amendments on there. Were all the Amendments passed?"

Matijevich: "I think you must... Eleven Amendments?"

Conti: "Yes, my analysis shows eleven Amendments..."

Matijevich: "Only 1, 6 and 7, the Committee Amendments were the only ones adopted, Representative Conti."

Conti: "Only 1, 6 and 7. Thank you..."

Matijevich: "And 8 ... 8 also.."

Conti: "And 8."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. I'm just checking. I have ...My analysis shows that we still have in this Bill the finance study and I just want to tell Members that for those of you who are so fiscally conservative and feel that throwing 50 thousand dollars here and 100 thousand dollars there at a cause that may or may not be lost, we still include in this Bill a finance study. And I think the State Board of Education with all its resources certainly does not need to appropriate 100 thousand dollars for that purpose. The School Problems Commission has recently received the grant which they can do that very same function. We have found, I think, that of all...I have found, I quess, that of the many programs that ought to be

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

reduced, this one certainly doesn't need to exist at all. To the credit of the Committee, for once, they at least reduced it from 370 to 100, but I still find that it's kind of a ridiculous presumption to give the State Board of Education with its vast resources another 100 thousand dollars to do a finance study. So, again, it's a matter of how do you feel about voting for things that relate to programs in the state and whether or not you think the Senate and the House can work out their differences. hope we do with the intention of at least eliminating that 100 thousand dollars, restoring some money for the student intern program and certainly restoring adult education Section 31. That particular program is the only adult ed portion of the program that receives general monies and we have reduced that one. So I'm hopeful again that we can make some progress over in the Senate. I encourage an 'aye' vote, but it's certainly is a pathetic kind of a recommendation and I hope that we can be in very much on top of the issue so we can solve it as we again work toward the end of the Session."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Giorgi."

- Giorgi: "Mrs. Speaker, I'd like to ask the last speaker, who's a
  Member of the School Problems Commission, how much money
  the School Problems Commission expended last year and what
  new innovative and imaginative thoughts they came up with?"
- Speaker Stiehl: "That doesn't really address the Bill, Representative Giorgi..."
- Giorgi: "Yes, but, Madame Speaker, I think Mr. Schneider should tell us how much money the School Problems Commission expended last year and what innovative or imaginative thoughts they came up with to help us with our school dilemmas."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Would you care to respond, Representative

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Schneider."

- Schneider: "I don't care to respond to the Gentleman from Rockford. But we might as well. We came up with enough money to help salvage Rockford's inept district. You know, if they had good management and a Legislator who stayed on top of those management failures, perhaps we would prevent the closing of schools. Don't forget, Zeke. Birth control has had its impact on all areas of the state. Rockford is one of them. I think you ought to address the district and wonder why they're closing all these schools. And you can't keep these old factories open and put seven kids in a building and expect ...."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Matijevich to close."
- Matijevich: "I appreciate that, Madame Speaker. I appreciate your support in the passage of House Bill 494."
- Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 494 pass?'.

  All in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. Representative Giorgi, you spoke in debate. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question there ...Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 121 voting 'yes', 23 'no', 5 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 495, Representative Tuerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 495, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the funds for a common school fund and certain federal funds to the State Board of Education.

  Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Tuerk."
- Tuerk: "Madame Speaker, Members of the House, as the Clerk just read, this is an appropriation to the State Board of Education from this common school fund for three particular

51st Legislative Day

- 1

May 28, 1981

items. One, the pay outs for teachers' retirement totalling 300 million, 478 thousand; 460 million federal funds for grants in aid and 8 and one—half million from the drivers education fund. This appropriation is somewhat higher than a year ago. It covers the three items that I mentioned. Total of the appropriation is 769 million, 600 thousand. I'd ask for your support."

Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? Representative Giorgi, did you care to address the Bill? Representative Tuerk to close."

Tuerk: "I'd just ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 495 pass?'.

All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. Take the record... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this Bill there are 123 voting 'yes', 24 'no' and 5 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 522, Representative Mays."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 522, a Bill for an Act making appropriations relating to the Community Industrial Building Assistance Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you, Madame Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 522 appropriates a million dollars to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs for the purpose of making loans to not-for-profit development corporations. These loans must be used to pay interest only incurred in the construction of shell industrial buildings. House Bill 521, its substantive companion, passed out of the House overwhelmingly about two weeks ago. I would urge your favorable support."

Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? Representative Mays to

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

close."

Mays: "I would just ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 522 pass?'.

All those in favor vote 'yes', opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 133 voting 'aye', 15 'no' and 3 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 530. Representative Steczo."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 530, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion?"

Steczo: "Madame Speaker, maybe I can discuss the content of the legislation first. I haven't made my introduction yet."

Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me, Representative. Go ahead."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madame Speaker, Members of the House. Bill 530 is a Bill that passed the House last Session, although this year it's presented in much more moderate What it seeks to do is to reimburse community colleges for building that they have done since 1972, since the Capital Development Bond Act was passed and for building under which they were supposed to have received 75% reimbursement from the state. At this point in time they have received not one dime of reimbursement from the Representative Yourell Capital Development Board. myself have introduced this legislation and have amended it to make it more palatable to the Members of the House and more palatable to the Appropriations Committee from which it passed by a vote of 14 to 7. The Bill originally called for a 32 million dollar appropriation out of General Revenue Funds. It has since been amended to include

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

one-tenth of that amount, 3.2 million dollars, as partial It's been moved from the General Revenue Fund to the Capital Development Bond Fund and by an Amendment that was accepted by the Sponsors that was introduced by Representative Wolf, the effective date of the Bill has been changed from July 1st, 1981 to January 1st, 1982. We think that in its present condition it's palatable. affordable and would provide our local community colleges at least a partial payment for building that they have done since 1972 and have expected to receive reimbursement from the Capital Development Board. We also, I should mention, under the Amendments that were adopted to this legislation provided that the reimbursement could only go for the retirement of bonds or for building purposes. So, we think that the Bill does everything it should. We think that it's affordable in its present form. We feel that the local community colleges deserve these funds. expected to have received these funds. And I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? If not, Representative Steczo, would you care to close?"

Steczo: "Madame Speaker, I would like to yield to Representative
Yourell to close."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Madame Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is very important and all it does is make sure that the community colleges in Illinois get the money for the projects that were okayed back in 1972 by the Capital Development Board. They need this money. They've already done the building and it was told by the Capital Development Board at that time in 1972 that this money would be forthcoming. This is all it does and I urge a favorable Roll Call."

51st Legislative Day

Wolf."

May 28, 1981

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 530 pass?'.

All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 125 voting 'aye', 29 'no' and 2 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 536, Representative

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 536, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of the Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. The Governor's budget was introduced at 4 million 105.3 thousand dollars. A reduction was made in Committee of 408.4 thousand dollars. The Bill now stands at 3 million 696.9 million (sic, thousand) dollars or 18% below its introduction and I would ask for its passage."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Stiehl: "He indicates he will."

Darrow: "Under the Executive Officers line item for travel and that's been reduced but what's that fund used for, what travel? It's still a substantial amount."

Wolf: "Just a minute till I get an answer for you. Somebody says

it's travel to Rock Island. It'll be travel for the

Governor and the employees of his office."

Darrow: "What is the percentage increase for the Governor's travel in this budget over last year's budget? I believe we had that information for the Secretary of State yesterday. That's why I'm asking."

Wolf: "It's a 4% increase, \$7,000.00, 7.1."

Darrow: "Now, Amendment 2 transferred the Consumer Services

51st Legislative Day

- May 28, 1981
- Division to the Attorney General. That's a transfer of \$270,000.00. Will the same staff be going to the Attorney General's Office or will they be hiring?"
- Wolf: "No. First of all, we didn't transfer to the Attorney General. We just removed it from the Governor's budget request."
- Darrow: "But it will be picked up in the Attorney General's budget then?"
- Wolf:" "Well, the Joint General has...yes, he has something similar to that which the Committee, as you may recall, thought was a better program and it was duplicative and so therefore it was thought that we should remove this from the Governor's budget."
- Darrow: "Well, do you know if the staff that the Governor now has will be retained? I was very impressed with that staff."
- Wolf: "I didn't get your question."
- Darrow: "Will the staff that the Governor has in this area be transferred to the Attorney General? Do you have any idea?

  I was impressed with the staff the Governor had."
- Wolf: "Well, I can't honestly tell you they will be. But I'm certain that if you're impressed with certain individuals or all of them, I'm certain that they would accept some recommendations from Members of the General Assembly and retain good employees."
- Darrow: "The other item that I'm concerned about is the repairs, maintenance and capital improvements. It appears that we're always improving the Mansion. Originally there was over \$90,000 appropriated for that, plus \$10,000 for the Mansion. What are we doing over there that requires this much money?"
- Wolf: "Well, we're trying to keep the building structurally sound. I believe there's an increase of some 5%."
- Darrow: "Well, you reduced it to 25 million. Section 3 has been

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

reduced to 25 million, I believe. But Section 4, there's another 40 million and under the Executive Mansion there's 10 mill...10 thousand. I'm sorry. Thousands I meant, not millions..."

Wolf: "Thousands, yes."

Darrow: "Forty thousand...er...75 thousand...85 thousand dollars it appears that is going to be spent for renovation and remodeling."

Wolf: "Well, it's part of the program and I'm certain that they use good union help up there and pay the prevailing wages.

So I'm quite certain that that money is necessary."

Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Matijevich. Representative Matijevich, did you care to address the Bill?"

Matijevich: "Yes, Madame Chairman.. Madame Speaker and Ladies Gentlemen of the House, in all of my terms in the Legislature I've always supported a Governor and appropriation Bill and I intend to so support this Bill. However, I want to tell the Membership of my dismay appropriation Bill was heard Governor's Subcommittee. What happened at that time, we had Attorney General's appropriation Bill right before the Governor's appropriation. The Subcommittee approved Attorney General's appropriation Bill where funds where provided for a public utilities division within the Attorney General's Office and we quickly approved his Bill and then the Governor's appropriation Bill followed. what happened was and I think .. I think Representative Jack Davis, in all his sincerity, offered an Amendment which completely wiped out of the Governor's Office the Office of And the rationale was that that would Consumer Services. be a duplication of the Attorney General's Public Utilities Division. And ironically, Bill Dart, who we

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

celebrate his birthday. Bill is with the Illinois Manufacturer's Association. He sat idly by while we had the Attorney General's budget. But there he was to testify for elimination of the Office of Consumer Services. the Governor's man in Committee representing the Governor and his appropriation Bill had no comment and actually approved the elimination of the Office of Consumer Services. And I felt in my own heart that it was a way of getting rid of 'Al Grandis' who I feel is the principle spokesman for consumers throughout the State of Illinois. And I said in Subcommittee and I say it now and I believe it strongly that it was a way of getting rid of somebody who was doing their job on behalf of consumers. And I'd never met 'Al Grandis' and he happened to be in Springfield in the Capital one day after this happened in Appropriations Subcommittee. And we talked briefly about it and I know that 'Al Grandis' in his own heart feels that and his whole staff he's being swept out of office going to be absorbed evidently in the Attorney General's Office. I think he got the message somewhat like a league manager sometimes get the message. They wake up one day and read in the newspaper where they have been fired and got rid of their positions. That's the way it happened to 'Al Grandis' and I think that's unfortunate. told that he's going to move over to the Attorney General's Office, but what happens is he will be absorbed. not be able to lead that program, to lead that staff. think that is a mistake. I think the Governor is short shifting the consumer by this action and I think what's going to happen is we're going to have a lot of noise from the Attorney General during election time that he's the voice of the consumer. But the real voice that has been helping the consumer has really been swept out of ..out of

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

the Governor's Office and I just think that's unfortunate.

I intend to support this Bill, but I think it's a damn shame."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Madame Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I share Representative Matijevich's concern as far as the There is some question Office of Consumer Services. whether or not federal funding would..is going to be Yet, this office is at this point the only continued. protection that the consumers who use utilities. electric and telephone, have against ever spiraling utility increases. They are the only ones that are in there day in and day out questioning what the utilities are asking for. The consumers are very frustrated with the Illinois Commerce Commission and the massive increases they've been getting. Most of these increases are totally unjustified. But it is this Office of Consumer Services that has the knowledge and the expertise to be able to determine what is fair and what is not and to bring in the experts to oppose what is not. If they go, that will be the end of any semblance of consumer involvement in rate process. The current Attorney General does not have a very good record as far as public utilities. As soon as he took office, one of his assistants stood up in the Commerce Commission and opposed consumers cross-examining staff witnesses. I'm very concerned about..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me, Representative Levin. Representative Johnson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Johnson: "You know, it's a year and half before the election,
Representative Levin. You can save your attacks on the
Attorney General till later. It's out of order on this
Bill and I would make the point of order that the Gentleman
is simply making a political diatribe and he ought to

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

confine his remarks to the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Your point is well taken, Representative Johnson. Representative Levin, do you care to speak to the Bill?"

Levin: "Yes, I am.. I am speaking to the Bill, Madame Chair Person (sic, Madame Speaker). The Bill we're talking about is the appropriation for the Office of the Governor. What is not in that appropriation is monies to continue the Office of Consumer Services. That is to be eliminated. The value, the merit or the de-merit of that elimination is something that we must consider when we decide whether or not to vote for this appropriation. I suggest it was a bad move. I suggest that putting responsibility solely in the Office of the Attorney General, given his record, is a bad move. And, the situation for the consumer, as bad as it is now, will be worse if this appropriation passes in its current Accordingly, I urge a 'no' vote on form. appropriation."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Madame Speaker, I'd move the previous question."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Wolf to close."

Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker and Members of the House. I didn't wish to interrupt the Gentleman from Cook as I don't think too many people pay much attention to what he says anyway. In answer, in response to the distinguished Minority Spokesman, John, I just want to let you know, you may not know, but 'Al Grandis' was the first General Assembly scholarship I ever awarded as a Member of the General Assembly. He's thrown a few blocks. And certainly it was not done with eliminating his position. We have a friendship that goes back many years. Madame Speaker,

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Members of the House, I would just close by asking for a favorable vote. We believe that the..the budget as it now stands is in good shape. We think it is a reasonable one. I would just ask for your favorable votes."

- Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 536 pass?'.

  All in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. Representative

  Currie to explain her vote."
- Currie: "Thank you very much, Madame Speaker. I do listen to the Gentleman from Cook County and I think the arguments he made were well made indeed. The Office of Consumer Services in the Governor's budget is worth being retained there and on that grounds I am voting 'no' on this budget."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 27 'nos', 12 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. For what purpose does Representative Getty arise?"
- Getty: "Mr...Mr. Speaker and I say 'Mr. Speaker' because that's the requirement of Rule 55-B. I will now ask leave to suspend whenever you, Mr. Speaker, are in the Chair, the provisions of Rule 55-B so that we may properly address you as 'Madame Speaker'. May I have leave?"
- Speaker Stiehl: "Your point is well taken, Representative Getty.

  It certainly was a serious oversight and I'm glad you brought it to the attention of the Chair. Representative Kane."
- Kane: "If you would talk to the real Speaker maybe we could get
  to the permanent rules and we could take care of that
  permanently and your problems would be taken care of
  permanently and we wouldn't have to suspend that rule every
  time you were there. If you would do that I would
  appreciate it."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Speaker Stiehl: "House Bill 537, Representative Wolf."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 537, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of Lieutenant Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."

- Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker and Members of the Assembly.

  The Lieutenant Governor's ordinary and contingent expenses

  for FY '82 are 519 thousand 800 dollars, which is a

  reduction of 6/10th's of a percent from the previous year,

  which is 4,000 dollars less than last year's fiscal
  appropriation year. And I would move for its passage."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "How much money is allowed for the Senior Action..whatever's all over the state?"
- Wolf: "One hundred and ninety-six thousand two hundred dollars."

  Leverenz: "How much is that go toward the travel?"
- Wolf: "Four thousand dollars, same as it was when you were in Committee, Mr. Leverenz, that you voted for."
- Leverenz: "Is this for the ordinary and contingent expense of Ben polk? Could you explain how many meetings a year that senior operation has?"
- Wolf: "No. Didn't you ask that question in Committee?"
- Leverenz: "I may have overlooked asking that question specifically. But there are meetings all over the state and I wondered if you could tell the Body how many meetings they have?"
- Wolf: "I know it was more than 20, but I couldn't give you the exact number."

Leverenz: "More than how many?"

Wolf: "Twenty."

Leverenz: "What was the increase in contractual?"

Wolf: "Contractual in which? In the senior action center area?"

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Leverenz: "No. In the over all budget. Is that still a decrease?"

Wolf: "Yes, from 39 thousand to 30 thousand point three, a decrease of 8.7 or 22.3%."

Leverenz: "In the area of responsibility is included the abandoned mines and land, reclamation council. How many meetings a year do they have? And does the Lieutenant Governor attend them?"

Wolf: "Our staff can't give me the answer. Maybe yours can."

Leverenz: "We were unable to find that out. Thank you very much."

Wolf: "You're quite welcome."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Madame Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, I think I can answer Mr. Leverenz's questions. The senior action center is not the senior legislative forum. are two senior action centers in the State of Illinois, one in Chicago and one in Springfield. The one in Springfield is down there on 5th Street in the Myers Building. are there for the services of filling out circuit breaker, homestead exemption and except for two or three paid employees in each action center, everything is done by volunteers because I know I've been a volunteer there. The Legislative Forum on the other hand is what meets quarterly and it is also made up of volunteers and we pay our own expenses to go to meetings except for the leader of each region in the state. So there are two things of senior action centers which actually perform all of the services with volunteers."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and Madame Speaker, when we started the senior action centers this was an initiative by then Lieutenant Governor Neal Hartigan.

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

And after that, as all of you know, we created the Department of Aging. After the creation of the Department of Aging there's no reason really why the senior action center program ought to be under the Lieutenant Governor. The Department of Aging can use all of the funds that can get to help seniors. It really is ridiculous in respect to the Lieutenant Governor we've kept this in one of these days we ought to do the responsible thing and shift this 196 thousand dollars and whatever it takes the Department of Aging where it really belongs. I don't know if any of you have sensed like I have since November election the Lieutenant Governor doesn't seem to have the steam in him anyhow and as long as he's lost enthusiasm we ought to put the senior program where it really belongs. We all know that Lieutenant Governors really don't đо much. They're something like Presidents. They don't do much and evidently we try to find things for them to do. But I really think that we The Governor took out ought to finally do it right. 900 thousand, I believe it was, to the area of aging units. The Senate, in their wisdom, I understand, have put all of that money back. But, you know, when we're talking about duplication in government, as I've heard so often the last few days, one-third of the Governor's budget duplicates other parts of our budget and if we are really going to get to the heart of getting government, I think we ought to look at this budget and shift those areas that we have other units of government that is their responsibility. And I really think this is Everybody here knows that the the time. Governor is not going to run for office again. And this is the time to finally shift the senior action centers to where they really belong, the Department of Aging. The

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

time is right and I'm sure Lieutenant Governor, O'Neal, is not going to run for election. I'm not saying he's going to get dumped, but he's not going to get..run for election.

This is the time to do it."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Move the previous question."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. Representative Wolf to close."

- Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. Again, this budget is a reduction of \$4,000.00. It's probably one of the few agencies or departments in the state that has actually come in and asked for less money. I think the Lieutenant Governor's Office and Lieutenant Governor should be commended for coming in for less money and I would move for its passage."
- Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 537 pass?'.

  All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed 'no'. Representative Oblinger to explain her vote."
- Oblinger: "In order to explain my vote, I have to answer Representative Matijevich. The functions performed by the senior action centers cannot be put in the Department on Aging because the federal law forbids them to provide any direct services. They could not do it. So it has to be done by another agency. It is not duplicative because they are not allowed to do it and for that reason I am going to vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker:Stiehl: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. In explaining my vote, the senior action center of the Lieutenant Governor's Office at one time did a very able job in serving the needs of seniors around the state. That job has not been done under

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

- the present administration. The Department within the Lieutenant Governor's Office has shown an insensitivity to the needs of senior citizens. I've had repeated complaints and know of some of the complaints first hand. For that reason, I will not vote for this appropriation."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take...Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 102 voting 'aye', 40 'no' and 14 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 569, Representative Wolf."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 569, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Commission on the Status of Men. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker, Members of the Assembly.
  Originally this Bill was introduced at 122 thousand
  dollars. We have reduced by Amendment #1 22 thousand
  dollars from the original and it now stands at 100 thousand
  dollars. Would ask your favorable vote."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? Representative Schuneman."
- Schuneman: "A question of the Sponsor. Do you plan to use this money and this Commission as a forum for the ratification of the ERA?"
- Wolf: "I beg your pardon?"
- Schuneman: "Do you plan to use any of the money that's appropriated to this Commission for the ratification..as a forum for the ratification of ERA?"
- Wolf: "Well, Mr. Schuneman, I don't know that I'll be on the Commission, but I would certainly hope that the money would not be used to lobby for or against anything. I sincerely..."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Schuneman: "Under those conditions, Representative, I think I can support your appropriation."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Madame Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, would the Sponsor just give an idea? What in the world is a Status of Men Commission going to do but play games?"

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."

Schraeder: "I wonder who they're going to play the games with."

Wolf: "No, this is not an athletic team. They will not be playing games."

Schraeder: "You mean this is not a contest between them and the Women's Commission?"

Wolf: "No, Sir."

Schraeder: "What are they going to do? I'm being serious now.

What are they going to do with this money?"

Wolf: "Well, they will hold as the statutory language in the Bill which we've already authorized, to conduct hearings throughout the State of Illinois looking into problems that exist among husbands, fathers, problems pertaining to discrimination, employment, especially minority males. There are a number of problems in ...with regard to family and divorce."

Schraeder: "Could you tell me who the potential Chairman will be?"

Wolf: "They would have to be selected by the Committee."

Schraeder "Well, if you're talking about Zeke Giorgi, I might not mind. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, I think this is just another attempt to create a Committee for somebody's prestige. I don't think they're going to do any good. I think we have enough able bodied Legislators on both sides of the aisle and male and female that can do a job on legislation that's needed for the protection of men. The women have done it. They needed it presumedly because they

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

were under privileged and now we've brought them up to standard. And if this is an attempt to bring men over and above what the women have gained all these years then we ought to defeat it and I would think the women, particularly, would want to protect themselves by the defeat of this appropriation."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Yes, thank you, Madame Speaker. I would like to join in what Representative Schraeder said. I think this is a Commission among many that we really don't necessarily need. And particularly when men, I think, are in pretty good shape anyway. I know there was some concern that we're not spending as much money as for the Commission on Women because they've got more problems. I think we can deal with those without spending this money for men and show that we are conservative and that we can deal with our problems without setting up a special Commission. And I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Madame Speaker and Members of the House, I listened very carefully yesterday to the Sponsor of this Bill tell us that there was not only no money for new programs such as he is asking for today, but there isn't money enough to fund the present programs at the figures that the Governor had asked for in his budget earlier in the year. I watched the Sponsor of this Bill not only vote against dollars for day care for children, foster care services for children, for children, programs for the elderly, alternatives to institutionalization for the elderly and I certainly don't feel he's made a believer out of me. that I would want to vote for 100 thousand dollars for a program when this House is not willing to adequately fund services for children, for the poor, for the sick and

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

for the elderly. I'm voting 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Madame Speaker, I move the previous question."

- Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Wolf to close."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker and I would thank the Lady from Cook for her kind words. I know she spoke very vociferously in support of the substantive Bill that passed and I appreciate her conservative attitude after voting to add about 133 million dollars to the budget yesterday. But, I'm not too sure if her conservative fiscal policies are going to extend to House Bill 830 which is the other Commission Bill as well. She may be voting against that or proposing to bring it back to Second and make some cuts there. I'm not too sure. But I would just ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 569 pass?'.

  All in support signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'.

  Representative Ewell to explain his vote."
- Ewell: "Mr. Speaker (sic, Madame Speaker), I have to rise in opposition to this Bill and I say it simply because it's an anathema. Well, never mind."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Winchester."
- winchester: "Thank you, Madame Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Bill because I read in one of the local papers not too long ago that men seem to be dying at an earlier age than women and I'd like to know why. And that there's more men in mental health institutions than there are women and I'd like to know why that is. But what I find interesting is the Lady from Chicago who spoke against this appropriation Bill is probably going to be one of the strongest supporters of the

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Commission on the Status of Women and if this Bill goes down, I certainly feel that the Commission on the Status of Women ought to go down as bad as this Bill goes down."

Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 41 voting 'yes', 106 'no', 9 'present'. This Bill, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. House Bill 586, Representative Wolf."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Oh, excuse me. House Bill 587, Representative."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 587, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Administrative Services, the Department of Revenue, Bureau of the Budget and the State Civil Service Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Would you take that out of the record and call House Bill 585 please?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 585, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Transportation, the Department of Labor, the Illinois Arts Council and the Industrial Commission.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. I think Mr..."

Speaker Stiehl: "For what purpose do you arise, Mr. Giorgi?"

Giorgi: "Madame Speaker, for a parliamentary inquiry. I see that this Bill has four budgets on it and I don't want this to be considered my taking apart in debate because I want to debate the budget, but can I get up and inquire of the Sponsor of the four budgets? Or is...Am I just allowed to ask questions on the Bill? Because these are four different, you know, departmental budgets. There's 3

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

- billion dollars involved and I want to set the ground rules early.  $\mbox{\tt "}$
- Speaker Stiehl: "It's a question on the Bill, Representative Giorqi."
- Giorgi: "It would be a question on the Bill. Okay, later on then

  I want to get into the debate of the Bill if I'm going to
  be limited to one appearance."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. The Gentleman who spoke is exactly right. This contains the Department of Transportation..."
- Speaker:Stiehl: "For what purpose do you arise, Representative Yourell?"
- Yourell: "An inquiry of the Chair. To follow up what Representative Giorgi had to say in his inquiry, it's my understanding that the Illinois Arts Council is in this Bill. Now, if we want to vote against the Illinois Arts Council we have to vote against House Bill 585. Is that right? This is a very serious..."
- Speaker Stiehl: "The Bill is before you, Representative Yourell, and however you choose to vote on the Bill."
- Yourell: "Well, you know, I resent that kind of treatment that these four budgets are in this one appropriation Bill because many of us do not agree with all four of the budgets contained in House Bill 585, and I don't know whether it is proper to ask for division of the question or not, but I would make an inquiry of the Chair, a parliamentary inquiry of the Chair as to whether that is possible."
- Wolf: "Well, Madame Speaker..."
- Speaker Stiehl: "The Bill is not divisible, Representative Yourell. And you have a justice...in its present form."
- Yourell: "Okay, so for the record, Madame Chairman, I want to

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

indicate that many of the Members of the House as well as myself, I think, who are voting one way or the other for this Bill, does not reflect a true feeling about House Bill 585 since you do have to vote on the entire package."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. Well, I would just like to say, you know, these...Bill was on Second Reading for about two weeks. They were debated on Second Reading, and I didn't see any Amendments coming in to make any further cuts on the Art Council or do away with it all together. If anybody was opposed to it, I think that would have been the proper time to do so. The first Section of the Bill, Transportation was introduced at the Department of \$3,256,....\$3 billion, pardon me, \$3,025,630.1. was a change of \$20,478.5 or a reduction of .7% done by Amendment. With the Department of Labor was introduced at \$141,7....\$141,781.2 million and was reduced by \$823.2 thousand or six-tenths of a percent from \$158,15.6 or a The Illinois Arts Council was reduction o.f . 8%. introduced at \$3,955.1 thousand. It was reduced by \$324.2 8.2% which now stands at 3 million 630.9 thousand The Illinois Industrial Commission was introduced dollars. at \$4,705.6 million dollars and reduced \$279.9 thousand or 6%. The Bill total as now stands is \$3,263,29.3 billion. And if there are any questions I would be happy to answer them."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Evell. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Madame Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On this Bill I do intend to vote 'no', and I would ask my colleagues on this side of the aisle to vote against this Bill, and I do it for some various reasons. First of all, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are here this

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Session, and many of us heard the Governor's budget message. A n d one of the issues that he did address and address strongly was the matter of transportation. And T vote against this Bill not only for what is not happening in Springfield, but some of the things that are happening in Washington, too. I think this side of the specifically ought to address the issue of the Reagan administration and what they are not doing for Illinois in The Reagan administration matter of transportation. has taken some good wacks at the issue of railroad transportation. They have taken some wacks at the issue of airport transportation in the State of Illinois. the...and some of us were here in 1979, yet not even two years ago, it will be two years ago this fall, and remember all of the discussions that were made on the floor of the House, and I pointed to some of my downstate colleagues, some of my downstate colleagues who were sheparded into of room with the secretary the Department Transportation and all kinds of promises were made to you. you remember that? They were promises of highways And part of the reasoning for those downstate. were that we were going to get so much in federal funds as part of the Thompson - Byrne package in 1979. And you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, where are those Where are those highway projects that funds? were you? And I ask you that here we have a promised to President who is from the State of Illinois, or claims to and we have a Governor of the same political Party of the State of Illinois, yet we in Illinois are not getting our fair share in transportation funds. And here we have a a crisis that is brewing and the lid of the State crisis. Capitol is about to blow off because we haven't responded to that crisis. Yet, here we are at this time today, and

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

we are being asked to fund the Department of Transportation when we have not responded to the crisis. I think that And I think that we on this side of the aisle ridiculous. surely should not vote for this budget on the matter tranportation. On the matter of the Department of Labor and the Bureau of Employment Security, the Auditor General gave a scathing audit report to that Bureau in their lack of accounting procedures. And therefore, there are reasons that we ought to vote against this Amendment...this Bill and the fact that four agencies were put on an omnibus Bill. When we did that some years back and were ridiculed by the other side of the aisle for it, the following year I stood up on the floor of the House, and I said I will never a part of the omnibus Bill approach for again be appropriations. But here, that side of the aisle is lumping these appropriations in an omnibus and then referring them to a small Subcommittee over \$3,000,000,000 of monies are not really looked over. Therefore, I would urge the Members on this side of aisle to vote 'no' or 'present' on this Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Vinson."

Winson: "Thank you, Madame Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I noted with interest my distinguished colleague from Lake County his remarks. I thought that they were particularly pointed in this situation. All Session he has banged on this General Assembly, on the Governor, for being unwilling to fully fund local government. Now, in his last speech, he bangs on the President for not being willing to fully fund the states and the transportation budget. What he says, what he is really saying, the content of his remarks is the only way to solve problems is by throwing money at them. That is his whole philosophy. He wants us to fully fund local government so it can throw money at

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981.

problems. He wants the President to fully fund state government so it can throw money at problems. Well, I want to say to him a couple of things. Number one, the whole crisis that has evolved in Chicago has evolved because we have separated the accountability of raising taxes from the accountability of spending money. And what that does is encourage waste. And I am happy with what the President has done in cutting back on the federal government's subsidy of the states because that is going to discourage waste. That is going to discourage inefficiency. that is going to bring back some degree of accountability Legislature to the federal government, this don\*t government in general. Ιf develop some чe discipline, we're going to destroy this economy. Нe doesn't want to develop that discipline. He does want to destroy this economy because he wants to give the chief fix to every problem that comes along. I encourage every Republican, everybody who cares about fiscal restraint, who cares about a solid economy, who cares about growth, vote for this budget and to vote for every budget that And I encourage them to we're going to vote on today. that simply because the time has finally come when we can draw a line, when we can draw a line and stick it to the big spenders, and to save something for the taxpayers. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Madame Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is 'Shall the main question be put?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

Representative Wolf to close. Representative Ewing, do you wish to withdraw your Motion?"

Ewing: "Madame Speaker, if a few people want to raise a rucus,

let's put it up to vote. They either will or they won't."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is 'Shall the main question be put?" All in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 81 voting 'aye', 66 voting 'no'. The Motion fails. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "I was going to explain my vote. Once you take the vote, Madame Chairman....Madame Speaker."

Speaker Stiehl: "The Motion failed. Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Madame Speaker, there have been some serious allegations made by the...Representative Vinson and Representative Wolf. And you know, I went into the Journal, going to give a gift certificate if anybody identifies who said the words I am going to say to you now. This is out of the Journal from a lead on the Republican side. This is absolutely the worst mess I have ever seen with the request of appropriating money to run the State of Illinois. We've got the Art Council tied to the Department of Mental Health. We've got Law Enforcement tied to the Attorney General. We've got hoodlums running with bandits, and these Bills were introduced one Department at a time and because of your Majority in the Committee, ran...ran them out like you did. I'm...I can tell you I hope I never witness another method of appropriating like we're doing this year. I'll give a gift certificate to who identifies that speaker. Not Ryan. Not Tuerk. The day was June 29, 1978, and it was a very very heated sermon we Members of the General Assembly. A gift certificate to your favorite....."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Giorgi, would you confine your remarks to the Bill please?"

Giorgi: "Yes, Madame Speaker, until...until...0h, I have another message. I just received a call from the Conservers' Union, and this total is going to run up to \$3,500,000, so

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

I ought to be warned, you people who are worried about your Conservers' Union vote."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. I...Madame Speaker, I apologize. I misunderstood a while ago. I thought the Motion had passed and I refused to make my comments at that time. I later found out that the Motion failed. So now I would ask that I would have an opportunity to speak in debate."

Speaker Stiehl: "Proceed."

Winchester: "Thank you. My comments are directed Gentleman from Lake, and I am surprised that he would say that our small Subcommittees were ineffective, when I think that that has been the most effective system that we've had in state government in a long time. It gave us an opportunity for a handfull of Members of the Appropriations to go into depth into each one of these Committee appropriations Bills and to look for waste in government There seems to be a lot of concern about the which we did. Arts Council. Yes, it is 8% below its PY '81 expenditures, it is 28.4% over FY \*80 expenditures. We looked very deeply at the transportation program. For the first time in seven years that I can remember, we did not have 60, 70, 80, 90 Amendements attached to that Bill. We only had That is because we did our job in about 33. We have a responsible Bill Appropriations Subcommittees. here, and it has four agencies in it, and it has been done It has probably been done under under Speaker Redmond. Blair. It will be done under Democrat Speakers to come if there are any to come in the future. I think we have a responsible Bill here in House Bill 585. A lot of hard put into it. We have...we have added some work has been monies in places where maybe we shouldn't have. have

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

taken away money where maybe we shouldn't have, but it all balances out. It is a good Bill. It is a good transportation program. It is sufficient amount of monies for the Arts Council. It is a sufficient amount of money for the Department of Labor, and if we're going to be fiscally responsible people, we need to all...all of us put green votes on this Bill and get it out."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. rise to explain my 'no' wote in relation to House Bill 585, only would like to indicate to some of the Members that I am sorry that we have the Illinois Arts Council, the Department of Labor, and the Industrial Commission all wrapped into one including DOT. The DOT budget is big enough in and of itself, and it is difficult enough to understand to not have tied these other Department appropriations to it. Now, I rise full well, Speaker and Members, knowing that I have a Bill on the Calendar that may fall as a result of my action, is House Bill 766 which appropriates a small amount of money to study high rise fires in the State of Illinois. as one Legislator from the City of Chicago, am drawing the line right here and now, and I am telling all of my colleagues that I am fed up with the way we are conducting ourselves and the way we are addressing ourselves in relation to the transportation crisis that presently exists in the State of Illinois. I am not going to vote for one appropriation in the next couple of days that comes up. I am not going to spend any of taxpayers' money in the State of Illinois, and I am not going to vote to appropriate the tax dollars that constituents have been paying in because you are not facing the realities of the situation ... "

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Collins."

O'Brien: "I am not finished."

Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me."

- O'Brien: "I can't in good conscience, vote to spend my taxpayers' money without their needs being addressed. And we are hanging day to day in the City of Chicago waiting for some sort of solution to our transportation problem. The CTA, all they want is a little local control. They also would like the \$80,000,000 that is presently owed to them under the funding formula that we have been operating under for the last three or four years, and we agreed to. They have not been paid that \$80,000,000. Maybe it would be a better idea for Chicago to secede from Illinois. Maybe they would get more of their tax dollars. Madame Speaker, Members of the House, I did some checking, and it came to my attention..."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me, Representative. Representative

  Ewing, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Ewing: "I don't think that is addressing the Bill, and I rise on a point of order and ask the speaker to address the Bill.

  There is a Bill later on the Calendar dealing with the transportation issue when he can make his speech."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Your point is well taken. Would you please confine your remarks to the Bill. Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Madigan: "In response to the point made by Representative Ewing.

  One of the items contained in this appropriation Bill is an appropriation to the Department of Transportation which is responsible for the regulation and the provision of subsidy to mass transit carriers throughout the state. So that Mr.

  O'Brien is in order in his remarks."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative O'Brien, have you completed your remarks?"

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

O'Brien: "No, I have not, Madame Speaker."

Speaker Stiehl: "Proceed."

O'Brien: "As I was saying before I was interrupted, I think that perhaps the City of Chicago would be better off if it seceded from the State of Illinois. The City of Chicago would then be the 13th largest state in the Union. am sure we could cope with our problems. You know, I see many of you Legislators from upstate and downstate visiting the City of Chicago because when you come to Chicago normally come to my district to visit. And I see your cars in the district. And I see you going to the Bears! games and the Bulls' games, and the Hustle's games, and the games, and the Cub games and the Sting games, and we. have you visiting three of the five tallest buildings in the world which we have in the City of Chicago. I see many of you coming to our colleges and our universities, and our law schools, and our graduate schools and checking our State Street, and our Michigan Avenue, and our Water Tower. Well, these are all part of the State of Illinois, these people have paid their taxes, and they have a right to have their funds to run their transportation system. if the Department of Transportation and the Governor want to come in and run the CTA, well fine. Give us a proposal and I'll vote for it. But don't shut the system down. So, Madame Speaker and Members of the House, intend to vote 'present' right here and now on every single solitary appropriation - bar none - that comes up before problem of the this House until we address the transporation needs in the regions in Chicago. Thank you."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "...And Members of the House. The Gentleman who just spoke, the word is secede, not succeed if you want...if

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

that is what you were trying to...the point you were trying to make. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the remarks made by a number of the prior speakers really, in my judgment, don't make much sense. I think we've come that part of the Session where the Minority side of the aisle just wants to play some sort of obtuse political game, the purpose of which I really can't quite understand. like to call upon the... I hope that the Minority moments of good Leader who, at times has judgment, instructs his Members now: that they ought to indeed be voting for these appropriation Bills. I find it understand why the Democratic side of the aisle does not want to fund agencies like the Illinois Arts Council. Every Member who rose to say they were going to vote against this Bill explained why they were sorry about the Arts Council and the other Commission Bills and the other agencies which were involved in House Bill 585. Clearly, that is irresponsible. It is foolish. It is not going to accomplish anything which you think it may do in the end, and if in fact these Bills do fail and you don't fund the legitimate functions of state government which serve all of our constituencies, then you're the ones who are going have to answer to it, and you're going to have to ask your leader why he once again led you along the path irresponsibility and foolishness. What you're doing now is is no rational reason why you simply foolhearty. There should be voting against House Bills 585, 586, 587. whatever Bills your Leader has instructed you to vote against because of some agenda he may or may not have Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I the next few days. and urgently ask that the Members sincerely Democratic side of the aisle take leave of their vote for the Bill because you know that sometime before the

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

end of the fiscal year or a couple of days into the next fiscal year, you're going to be voting for You're not going to be able to take the appropriations. all of the interest public outcry from groups constituencies in Illinois if you think you're not going to vote for these Bills sooner or later. If you want to keep us here for the weekend, fine. The responsibility falls upon your shoulders. I again remind you that you will be voting for these Bills sooner or later because you're not . going to be able to take the constituent heat for not voting for it."

Speaker : Stiehl: "Representative Friedrich."

Priedrich: "Madame Speaker, I just wanted to make an observation.

I don't know if Representative Kulas..."

- Speaker Stiehl: "Give the Gentleman some order please.

  Representative Friedrich, proceed."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to make an observation that if they haven't appointed a successor to Representative Kulas, they had 66 votes a while ago to stay for the weekend, so he better get a clean shirt."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Reilly. Representative Jones, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Jones: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. You know, I thought you were a little different than the other Members on the other side of the aisle. I thought you would be fair in recognizing the Members of the House..."
- Speaker Stiehl: "State your point, Representative."
- Jones: "This is my point is that...The point is that I would have thought you would at least recognize a Member from each side of the aisle before just recognizing in a continuous manner, Representative Telcser for his patented George Ryan speech or...then going to Representative Priedrich for his dire problems, then not coming back on this side of the

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

aisle and recognizing some Members on this side. That is not fair, Madame Speaker, and I thought you were a fair person from the southern part of the State of Illinios. I don't consider you a bumpkin, you know, as...but at least you can recognize some Members on this side of the aisle. Go to a Democrat, then a Republican, then a Democrat, and then the Majority Leader, and the Minority Leader. That is how it is done, Madame Speaker." Speaker Stiehl: "Thank you, Representative. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Madame Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to correct the record and to correct some statements made by the Majority Leader, Mr. Telcser. To correct the record, Mr. Telcser, I do not instruct any Member of this Will I be allowed to finish my statement? To correct the record, I do not instruct any Member of how they should cast their vote. I made this House I statement to you early in the Session when tried to you the realities of current day politics. I explain to I am a captive of the process just as you instruct no one. are a captive of the process. I attempt to work with Members. What the Democratic Members are saying to the Speaker and to the Majority Leader today is that protest certain actions which have been taken in this House. Every Democrat has a personal reason for the vote that they will cast on this Bill and on subsequent Bills. But in general, we stand here today in protest to actions which have been taken by the Speaker and the Majority Leader from the very first day of this Session. protest have been instances, the actions that we have actions which have been taken against us and against the conduct of business in this legitimate Representatives. On the very first day of this Session

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

when I asked the right to appeal the ruling of the Chair, I was told by the Speaker of this House that Illinois in supposedly a Democratic society, I did not have the right to appeal the ruling of the Chair. time the only form of protest that was given to me and to the Democratic Members was to boycott the Governor's state of the state address. Our boycott done was not deliberately. We had fully intended to listen to the be in attendance Governor's speech, to for constitutionally mandated part of our work. But when we were denied a right which is given in some of the worst forms of government to appeal the ruling of the Chair, we Subsequently, the boycotted that particular Session. entire appropriations process was stacked by the Speaker and the Majority Leader. Under the administration of former Speaker Redmond, close to one-third of this Body participated in the budget preparation process. Under Speaker Ryan and Majority Leader Telcser, that number of participants has been severely reduced down Appropriations Committee, and the difference Majority and Minority Members on that Committee is stacked in such a fashion that there has been no meaningful input and debate on this budget. And lastly, this protest is a protest against a repeated habit of the Speaker and the Najority Leader in total violation of the rules of this House. Whenever it suits their purpose, whenever it suits their purpose to suspend a deadline rule or to accomplish whatever their purpose is, if the rules provide, which they do correctly that there must be a record vote taken, in blatant violation of that rule, some poor Member of the Majority Leadership is placed up in the Chair, they are told the Majority Leader will move to suspend the rule and suspend that rule on a verbal Roll Call with no record vote

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981.

and then we'll move to adjourn and we'll all go home. That is the scenerio that we've seen all too often in this Session and you people on that side of the aisle may think that it is cute. You may think that it accomplishes the purposes of the Majority Party, but I say to you, when you conduct the government of Illinois, when you conduct the business of the people in complete violation of a rule which requires a record vote, you are beginning the path toward total destruction. It is wrong. It is something that none of us should participate in. So for those reasons, this is a protest. We are here today to protest the conduct of our business by the Majority Party, by the Speaker, and the Majority Leader."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Telcser on a point of personal privilege."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Gentleman mentioned my name in debate a number of times and so I feel I have the right to answer some of the remarks he made. It is somewhat ludicrous to listen to the Gentleman say that he controls no votes and during the course of his remarks, I noted a number of his Members laughing and giggling making facial expressions which, of course, make my point. But, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, ever since the Session started, the Gentleman has been talking about Members' rights, the rights of his Members, the rights of the Minority, and on and on and on. He hasn't once talked about the rights of the public. The rights of the citizens of Illinois to have their business conducted the way they Last November Illinois citizens said want it conducted. loud and clear as did citizens all across this country, that they wanted some accountability. They wanted to know what is happening with their tax dollars and where their government was going. The Minority Leader, in

### 51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

disregard of the public feeling, still stands up on the floor of the House and talks about political rights and the rights of politicians and the rights that he says he needs so that he can continue to do the bidding of the Now; Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Minority Leader says is quite simple. He looks to us as to coalesce the Members on his side of the aisle. There was a time when there were Members on the other side of the aisle who had the courage to stand up and be independent. The Minority Leader has been successful four months of seduction. If he hasn't outrightly led and takes votes from Members on the Democratic side of he has done so in that quiet manner of seduction. He has reduced just about every single Member on that side of the aisle to little more than being lackees for the machine in Chicago. Mr. Speaker and Members of the who served the last six years know what happened. I think the way that the Minority Leader and his Members have been treated this Session have been eminently fair and equitable. The Speaker Ryan has done a... Speaker Ryan has set us on a course with a great many reforms. Appropriations Committee where all spending is in one body is a situation where the Illinois citizens can know how. their money is being spent. Fewer Members mean more accountability. And, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that is what our side of the aisle wants. The Gentleman stands up and says he is protesting today for the treatment have received. Well, who is more important, Members Members and his political followers or I submit to you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that Illinois citizens come first. That responsibility lies, not with some politicians around our the floor of this House who are seeking political

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

advantage, because that is what the Minority Leader wants. That is where he is going. And Members on the other side who have served for many years know that I am telling the truth. They know that the Minority Leader in the end, the closing days of this Session when the Conference Committees meet, will do what he knows he must do. is look out for the interests of the Chicago organization And those of you who are downstate at any expense. Democratic Legislators, you ought to be careful. you to beware because when it comes down to the end, you'll be out. And your constituents will be short changed. those few independent courageous Democrats with whom I have worked with for many years, I implore you not to always do the bidding of the Minority Leader and the machine. The independent Democratic Members have been decimated. Where are your voices of courage? They've been silenced this Session because the Minority Leader has been clever and subtle and smart. And for that, credit if that is the right word. Just a few days ago the Minority Leader said that I move in liberal circles get overly concerned with civil liberties or some such thing. And he said that he may be concerned with same things. Well, I invite the Minority Leader once again to spend more time with some liberals and spend more time with people concerned about civil liberties. walk in and walk out in an attempt to seduce more Members on your side of the aisle to do your bidding, because that Speaker and is what has happened this Session. Mr. Members of the House, the Minority Leader's voice rings Anyone who knows about his political background hollow. knows what his function is and his purpose. single-minded to keep the Chicago machine organization strong at any expense. He is walking all over downstate

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Democrats and independent liberal Democrats who fought that machine to come to this Legislature. And some of you may think you're going to get a district to run in, well, let's wait and see what happens by filing time in December. Let's see if the machine gives you a pass or opposes you in the primary. You're being given promises that may very well be false and you're going to have to answer to a that expects some independence and some constituency courage. And not a one of you, not a one of you except perhaps on one or two Roll Calls, have stood up for those constituencies who are looking to you for some independent free thought. You're all in the bag for the Minority Leader and the Chicago machine. And someday you're going to have to answer to a constituency, and I wonder how you're going to do it. And I repeat, you're all going to vote for these appropriation Bills sooner or later. The Minority Leader is giving you a lot of humbug. to stand up and do what's right. You ought to start now on 585 and vote for it."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Reilly on the Bill."

Reilly: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. I, in the midst of all the...hey, I don't speak very often. You don't have to yell and scream. You can do that in a little while. Yesterday I spoke a lot because you introduced a lot Amendments. That is not my fault. On the Bill and to second what Representative Winchester said earlier though perhaps at this point to try to introduce rational argument into the debate is a waste of time. The process under the leadership of Representative Wolf has worked very well The Subcommittees despite the claims to the contrary. have met and have worked very carefully and have considered the Bills very carefully, and the Subcommittees had a one vote margin. I can speak most specifically about the

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Subcommittee I chaired, but I am sure the others worked along in the same light. The fact is that on the Subcommittee I chaired, and I am sure on the others, I know on my own, I sat down with Representative Minority Spokesman, and indeed with Representative Matijevich, and we worked...we worked right up to the last moment before that Subcommittee met in my office and worked out a great many problems. To say that the Minority had no imput is just hogwash. It is just nonsense. It is just not true, and I don't think either one of the Gentleman I named will stand up and deny what I am saying. compromised on a great many issues. The fact is, and the record will show this, that the Subcommittees plus the full Committee held many more hours of hearings, more hours of hearings, more imput than was ever the case in the past. We had more hearings on human services than we had ever had The fact is there is a great deal of difference hefore. between what had happened sometimes in the We planned this from the first. omnibus Bills and now. Everybody knew what the game was from the first. Everybody had their input. We spent six hours on the floor just one Bill yesterday considering Members' Amendments. that the Membership didn't have input is not true. Nobody can honestly say it is true, and I wish we quit...whatever protests may be made, make them, but I wish we would quit belittling a process that has worked very well. In fact, the reason we decided to go to the omnibus appropriation process was precisely because it gave us a chance to see the inner relationship between appropriations in one area and another. When you way you want more for transportation, you got to understand that means you've got to take money away from another area. meet with Legislators from other states, they laugh in your

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

face when you tell them that we have 50 or 60 appropriation Bills in Illinois. Usually they have one or two. is that the only responsible course on House Bill 585 is to vote for it. If you don't, what you're doing is you're voting for an even more confusing Conference Committee Report than you'll receive otherwise. I can't make this speech quite as well as Representative Matijevich makes every July 1, every July 2 and 3. And he's right. makes them those nights. I stand up and agree with him on The fact is that the place to have input on those nights. appropriations is on Second Reading. It is nonsense to say you can have input on appropriations on Third. Once the Bills are on Third, the only responsible thing to do is pass them. The process is working very well. It is a very well planned process. There has been input, and I would urge a 'yes' vote on this Bill and on the Bills to follow." Speaker Stiehl: "Representative John Dunn OB the Bill. Representative Ewell on the Bill."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, it has been said that if you live by the sword, you must also die by the as Democrats, and many of us have had Bills introduced in Committees that were Republican Majority, many a good died simply because there was a Republican Majority, simply because they had been ruled out. And so be it. That is the way the game is played. I have no objections to my little Bills dying at the hands of the Committee. That is the way the game is played. You have had the votes on the Committee. You have put out the Bills that you seek and you have ignored the Democratic Bills. put out, When we get to the floor, you seek to overrule us because have the votes on your Motions, and you have run roughshod over the rights of all of the Members.

I...but more succinctly, I should like to point out that

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

the Constitution of this state mandates us to provide for the common schools. It doesn't make many other mandates in terms of monies and expenditures, but nevertheless, we have proceeded to find billions of dollars to spend in various places and we're looking at a \$3,000,000,000 road budget. Now, I have no objections to building a little road for each of you so that you can get to your various localities. And even though the road may not be used but by five or ten people, we want you to have it because it is part of a And I don't ask you to classic transportation system. listen to the problems that we have in Chicago because they are tough problems, because we are having transit problems. And I understand it is alright to appropriate for 15 transit districts throughout the state that one-third of the operating cost, one-third of the operating cost while giving the City of Chicago nothing, not one penny. That is That is fair, and it is within your purview. I don't even object when the kids on your buses get on and wave at the bus drivers while the kids in Chicago get on and drop 35¢ into the till plus a nickel to ride, obligated to transport the school children, fine. Put this burden on the City of Chicago. I have no problem with that. I have no problem with sending the majority of the motor fuel taxes down to your districts to take care of to make sure that you're all snug and your roads, I have no problem with sending the majority comfortable. of the income tax that is collected in the state from Cook County area and sending it and distributing throughout the state. I don't even have a the revenue that we collect from the sales tax in that area and spending it throughout the state. : Sometimes in a moment of weakness, I cry over the fact that we collect 86% of the agricultural premium fund and spend

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

But that is only in a moment of weakness. downstate. But I'll tell you, one of the things that does distress is that I have taken the mandate to vote for the schools seriously. Every year I have voted to fund the schools no matter what level you have chosen. But I have seen those Members on your side of the aisle. I have seen 'pinch-pennies', we might say, vote against every Bill simply to obtain a rating by a conservative union. suggest to you that you have ignored fundamental precepts, You have ignored all of support the common schools. precepts in order to improve your ratings. ignored your duty and your obligation simply to say that we don't spend the money of the people of the state, and have ignored your sworn duty to provide for the common that is one of those that is schools, and i n the not all of the other frills that you get. Constitution. And so I say to you, I want to sit down this time and I want to watch those of you who are concerned about your conservative union ratings. I want to watch as your names go up on that Board and see how seriously you take the obligation to support the state and fund the government. I throw out the challenge to the Minority Leader who is now qone. I throw out the challenge to the Minority Leader to put your people in line. Let's see how many people that you can put on the Board to vote for the obligations that you say are so dear, so near, and so sacred. I want to see those Republicans who boast and brag in their districts about how little money they spend and what all they want to do for the people. You are the ones who want the benefits. I would like to see you at this time, now that I have towed your burden for 15 long years. I want to see it this time, you can't tow the burden for just a little while. You have a clear mandate, a Constitutional Majority, and I expect

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

you to use it. I would expect that the real Speaker would stand up on the podium, the real Speaker, mind you, stand on the podium and stand and tell his troops this is our obligation and I am going to lead you into battle. However, I do not see that, and I rue it. But, Ladies and Gentlemen, I say to you because of the many serious transgressions that you have performed, I cannot vote for this Bill at this time. But rest assured that, come the day of the Conference Committee, I shall join all the rest the sheep, and I shall watch the Leadership walk off with all the prizes, that is the Honorable Majority Leader the Honorable Minority Leader, and all of the other Leadership as they walk off and we as sheep shall vote blindly for Conference Committee Reports too thick to read. I am going to join you and I am going to sustain my mandate and so at this point, I must close."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Pierce on the Bill."

Pierce: "Madame Speaker, on the Bill. I was very inspired by the speech of the Majority Leader on the other side of he made me feel somewhat guilty as and independent Democrat for being so much under the thumb my Minority Leader. And I have begun to think it over, and I will say this to Mr. Telcser, I'll be ready to join you, Mr. Majority Leader, and support Speaker Ryan when you, and fund Speaker Ryan, and your Party are ready to transportation for handicapped children, when you are ready to properly fund education, when you are ready to support cost of living increases for public aid recipients, when support equal rights in ready to when you're willing to support Constitution, financing of transportation in the metropolitan area, then you'll find Dan Pierce, an independent again, occasionally voting with you, occasionally supporting you.

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

long...as long as you continue the present stance of not carrying out our obligation to the handicapped children of our:state, of not carrying out our obligations to the schools of the state, our constitutional obligation. I • m · not putting equal rights in the U.S. Constitution for I'm not publically financing public transportation women. from the state in the metropolitan area, and I'm not supporting a cost of living increase for those unfortunate people who, because of circumstance, are on public aid. Then I will remain a tool of the Democratic Party which remain stands for all these things. I'11 loyal to support my Party in every effort to Party, and I will obtain these things that I was sent here to obtain. that is how you're going to get back the independent vote. See if you can do it, Mr. Majority Leader. I don't believe you can because you're a minority in your own Party. Minority from the start. You're only an You've been a upfront spokesman for a few Lakefront liberals who vote with the IBI, IVI for Bernie Carey, and for Thompson occasionally, and you yourself are but a symbol of what is left which is very little of the independent Republican Party in the City of Chicago."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Madame Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege.

May we turn the television light off and then get down to
business and shut off the political speeches?"

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Bowman, on the Bill, and would you please confine your remarks to the Bill?"

Bowman: "Madame Chairman, Madame Speaker, I do wish to speak to the Bill, but I also would appreciate the tolerance of the Chair with respect to a point of personal privilege.

Because the Minority Leader in his remarks, Majority Leader, I still think of him fondly as Minority Leader.

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

The Majority Leader in his remarks made some references to independent Democrats..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me, Representative Bowman.

Representative Polk, for what purpose do you arise?"

Polk: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. Purpose of an introduction.

Representative Bowman, if you'll excuse me. In the gallery today we have an opportunity to meet one of the lovely wives and sister-in-laws of Representative Klemm, one of our new Members. If Dianne and Ellie would stand in the gallery we would appreciate it, and they could be recognized as Mrs. Klemm and his sister-in-law. Thank you."

Speaker Stiehl: "Continue, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "I do plan to...to address the Bill, but I would point out that very few people, very few people, to this point have addressed the Bill, and I would appreciate some tolerance from the Chair on what I consider be a point of personal privilege because of the remarks made by the Majority Leader with respect to some independent Democrats of which I presume he considers me to be one. I would like to make a couple of points first with the Bill. I plan to vote against this Bill. I would point out to the Majority Leader that I voted against the Bill in Committee. I would point out further that I consider my vote to be a statement against distorted spending priorities in this state. consider it a statement in favor of more money for human services and less money for hardware. I consider it to be a statement against the destruction of the Illinois Arts. That is why I am voting 'no' on this particular Council. piece of legislation. Not because the Leader on my side of the aisle asked me to vote that way. I have already been record against this piece of legislation in the Committee. And I have no shame, no embarrassment about

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

voting against the Bill again on the floor. But the Minority Leader made some...the Majority Leader made some points that I would like to respond to. First of all I. would point out that he did not speak on the Bill. Not once did the Majority Leader happen to say anything about the legislation. He was making purely political statements up and down the line. He was suggesting that many of us on this side of the aisle are voting this way simply because our Leader suggested that we vote this way, as if he has never suggested to people on his side of the aisle that should vote a particular way. Where was the support of good Republicans yesterday when 1 Amendments to restore Medicaid cuts, when I was offering Amendments to restore day care cuts. Many, many Amendments were offered yesterday which did restore monies that were being taken away from units of local governments, which were being taken away from care givers, which were taken away from grant recipients? Where was the Majority Party, where were they when those Amendments were offered? Does the Leader on the other side of the aisle ex...I understand, I'll send him a transcript. Leader on the other side of the aisle expect us to believe that he was not suggesting to people on his side how they should vote and how they should not vote? I think that is ludicrous. If he indeed was doing that, then I think should shut up when it comes to remarks about what the Democratic Leaders are doing. Also, I would like to point the Majority Party does not take independent Democrats seriously. It does not take downstate Democrats When Representative Levin got up on the floor to make some remarks about the Governor's budget, Chairman of the Appropriations ... "

Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me, Representative Bowman, for what

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

purpose do you arise, Representative Ewing?"

Ewing: "Point of order. Is this Bill on independent Democrats or what is it? I mean, that is all he is going on about.

The Majority Leader never mentioned his name. That is a real abuse of the personal privilege to get up and harrang, thinking that he might have been referred to by the Majority Leader. Let him address the Bill or let him be quiet."

Speaker Stiehl: "Your point is well taken. Please confine your remarks, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, Madame Chair, I am about to conclude. But that is exactly what we're talking about. When Representative Levin got up to make a remark, the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee said, 'Well, we don't listen to you anyway.' Now this kind of attitude, the applause that we just heard and the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee's remark, and the Majority Leader's remark, it is reflective of a very mean and nasty attitude that is settling over this House like a pall and will poison the entire appropriation process, will indeed poison the entire legislative process, and unless this...the attitude reflected by the Majority Party is changed and changed promptly, the well will be poisoned right through June 30 and the work of this House will grind to a halt because of a very mean, and nasty, and political attitude that is hanging over this House like a poll."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have really been amused by the last couple of speakers. What they are saying is we're liberal Democrats. We're the ones that the Majority Leader was talking about. We're not the conscience, willing, lackees of the Minority Leader and the Chicago machine. No, no,

51st/Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

we're not responding to the whip of the Minority Leader, What we want to do is spend more money. Well, have it one way or the other, Representatives Bowman, and Pierce. Either you're responding to the Levin. machine, or you want to spend more money that the taxpayers told you in November they're sick and tired of your liberal spending policies. They want you to tighten the belts. They want us to pull in our belts. They don't want us spending money. They want us to stop spending. They want to cut back, and that is what they said to you. either you want to spend money, you weren't listening to the people, or you are listening to the Minority Leader. But either way, you're wrong. The people said stop wasting our money, and that is what we're here for. Now, I've heard a lot of hue and cry about the Arts Council. remember a couple of years ago when you were in the Majority, you tied the Arts Council into the Illinois Racing Board. Apparently what you're saying to the people is that the Arts Council has more in common with certain equine properties than it does with these budgets that we are considering today. So I am telling you you can't have it both ways. Either you want to waste money or you want to respond to the Chicago machine. I say you're trying to do both. You're phonies, and quit trying to fool the people."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Madame Speaker, I respectfully move the previous question."

Speaker Stiehl: "The previous question has been moved. The question is 'Shall the main question be put?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

Representative Wolf to close."

Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker, Members of the House. We've

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

heard a lot of rhetoric out here in the last half an hour Let me just say in closing on this that the appropriation process, I believe, has worked very well. The 23 Members on that House Appropriations Committee have put in very long and hard hours. I am happy to say that through the course of this Session as in the previous Session, the working relationship between the Chairman and Minority Spokesman of the House Appropriations Committee has always been one that I think is very...has been very desirable. And the Minority Spokesman in past years, and even when he was Chairman, would get up and people would withhold their votes because they want to look good on some spending measures, and he would get up and say, 'You know you're going to have to vote for these. You know it is the responsible thing to do. You know we have to fund government. People have to get their pay checks. Commission's the Industrial This Bill contains is where people have to get their appropriation. This workmen's comp checks from. We've got money in here for administration to distribute those workmen's comp checks to people who are unemployed. We have...or who need the money. We have youth employment services in the Department of Labor. We have the distribution of unemployement checks in the Department of Labor's appropriation. There are job training programs for people in this appropriation. every one of us sitting in this chamber knows that the responsible thing to do is to fund state government and let state government operate. Now many of you in Your OWB hearts may feel that you have a particular gripe. we're addressing right now is the appropriation process and funding programs to take care of those road programs, take care of unemployment comp, to take care of workmen's comp, to take care of job training, youth administrative

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

service, and the like. Now, if you want to withhold your votes and say you don't care about these people, there is not much I can do. I am appealing to your reason and your sincerity as Legislators that we are all elected to come here and do a job and to fund these programs. We've had sufficient debate. We've had Subcommittee hearings. had full Committee hearings. We had people come and as...I think we've given everybody witnesses opportunity. We never cut off people from coming and offering testimony. We even allowed some in some rare cases where people came and they said, 'Well, we didn't know about this Subcommittee, and the full Committee heard the debate, and in effect, heard part of these Bills a second time. I don't think anything could have been fairer to that. I want to compliment the Minority Spokesman on the Committee. I think we've had a great relationship. We talked often and say if the Leadership stays off our back, we'll get this job done. I am appealing to your reason and your sanity. Let's get the job done. Let's vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is 'Shall House Bill 585 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'.

Representative Huff to explain his vote. Representative

Huff."

"Thank you, Madame Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Huff: I did not raise...I did not rise to explain my House. discussing inasmuch are vote. However, as w e transportation, I think that what I am about to do is most appropriate, Madame Chair...Madame Chair...Speaker. I move that the House suspend this regular order of business and convene a Committee to petition the Governor to call a Joint Special Session on Transportation. It distresses me to see business as usual on the other side of the aisle

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

while we face the imminent collapse of mass transportation in northern Illinois. I will persist with my Notion, Madame Speaker, and wish you would read the Motion."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "Madame Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is just my...this is just my third year here, and of understand things too well. Last course, т don't year...last year when you were in the Majority, I voted against the Amendments I didn't think was right, but when the Bill came up, you can check my record for the two years I voted in favor of the final appropriations Bills. because I considered this responsible. Now, I didn't vote for all the Amendments that you wanted to put on yesterday, but I am voting for the Bill. Now, it is time that you acted responsibly and voted for the Bills also, if you want to go back and do like you did last year and hide the whole Department of Public Aid in another budget in another Bill after it went down, this is what will happen to all of You know it and I know it, but let's be reasonable people and vote the Bills up or down on their merits. if you think this is right, why fine. So be it. This is Democratic process. Thank you for your vote, but think and remember what you are doing. I voted with you every time last year on the final Amendment of the Bill and the con..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Madame Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As many of you know at the end of the year for the last two years, I have gotten up on the podium and done a little comedy routine. But I think I am going to ask for a new Member of that team this year. I want to ask my colleague from the 12th District, Representative Telcser, to join me because I need a good straight man. Anybody

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

that can stand up with a straight face and say that this, our great Speaker, has introduced reforms this year, like not having any rules with a straight face, I think belongs in a comedy routine. They get up and they ask us to vote for this Bill. You've got 91 Mmebers. Why don't you talk to your own Hembers and get them on the Bill. What about the State Journal Register today, Al Manning's column, talking about 28 Republican County Chairmen on the payroll. That is the machine that is the machine. That Representative Telcser was talking about. not Representative Madigan's so called machine, because he has task force that asks us what we think. But you have a You have a problem with the new Republicans, problem. the.....who don't care about partonage, who don't want to talk about issues."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Cullerton, would you please confine your remarks to explanation of vote?

Representative Cullerton."

Unknown: "Turn me off."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Karpiel."

Karpiel: "Madame Speaker, could people either confine their remarks to the Bill and their explanation of vote if they wish and stay off of the political issues? I've sat here all morning, and all week, and weeks before listening to oratory sound and fury signifying absolutely nothing, and we get nothing done around here."

Speaker Stiehl: "Your point is well taken, Representative.

Representative Peters. Have all voted who wish?

Representative Henry. Representative Robbins, for what purpose do you arise?"

Robbins: "I may be one of those county chairman that are on the payroll, but I earned my right by winning an election.

Thank you."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

- Speaker Stiehl: "Repre....Representative Henry to explain your vote on the Bill."
- Henry: "Madame Chairman, I'm not...I didn't rise to explain my vote. I rose to question the Motion of my colleague. I understand there is a Motion on your desk that was introduced by Representative Huff Cosponsored by myself. What is the posture of that Motion?"
- Speaker Stiehl: "That Motion is now out of order. Have all voted
  who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative
  Daniels to explain your vote."
- Daniels: "You know. we sit here in a rather unique position. Many of us from the suburban areas, the collar counties surrounding Cook, the areas that you...you Members from Chicago have expected to come to your assitance in many of And I have been a Member of the Illinois the problems. General Assembly since 1975, and I remember...do you remember the time when Representative Madigan was sitting in the Chair and he had to be protected by Mr. Taylor and Pouncey? When he had to be protected and dive off of the podium when you slammed the gavel down for education for the City of Chicago and the rest of the State of Illinois came to your assitance in Chicago? Do you remember the 1979 transportation program when the Mayor of Chicago stuffed it down our throat; those of us from the suburban And you took away our state subsidy? The Members area? of Chicago, you took away the state subsidy for the You voted for that regional transportation system. program. You forced it down our throat. The Nayor of Chicago told you to do it, and you did it. Do you remember And now today you're standing up saying you want Do you remember the vote on the McCormick more money. Place, when you asked for the cigarette tax to help rebuild McCormick Place? Do you remember that? Do you remember

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

the sales tax when we wanted relief for this state and you opposed that relief? And now you stand up and you want to tell us that we're supposed to come to your assistance. Who are you talking to? You asked the rest of the State of Illinois to bail you out. Well, I want to tell you something. Somehow someway the regional transportation system will operate. Someway we'll be there. Someway those of us from the suburban area with our high real estate tax bills that you refuse to come to our assistance on - we'll be there to help bail you out somehow. I don't know how, but go ahead. Don't vote for this Bill. That is Someway it will get passed. Your Minority Leader okay. The Mayor of Chicago will come and she'll will be there. say we'll do what has to be done to help the people of the State of Illinois. We'll cut our deals for more roads that you don't need, and we'll make our deal. And we'll be But right now, let's get the work done. Let's go forward. Let's get enough votes up there to pass this legislation so that we can get the work done for the people of the State of Illinois instead of stalling like you're doing right now when you're going to watch your trains come to a halt in the City of Chicago, and then you're going to start screaming at the rest of us."

Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Yourell to explain his vote."

Yourell: "Yes, just briefly and on the Bill, House Bill 585.

I've checked the Roll Call as all of you have the opportunity to do now because those lights are up there, and there is 80 votes being cast on a Republican appropriation Bill which is very important to all the citizens of Illinois. All of the rhetoric, or much of it, has been directed to this side by Republican Members of the House, but I would suggest they talk to the 10 Republican

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Members who are not voting on this Bill yet and who are present here in this chamber. Instead of arraigning us, you ought to be talking to your own Members, get their votes up there, and I'll be glad to join you."

- Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this Bill...on this Bill there are 81 voting 'aye', 65 voting 'no', 16 'present'. Representative Wolf. This Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 586, Representative Wolf."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 586, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Conservation and Institute of Natural Resources, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Represent...Representative Wolf. Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Madigan: "Would you ask Speaker Ryan not to abuse his Members or to violate the normal code of conduct in any conversations he may have at this time?"
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf on the Bill."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker, Members ο£ the This...this Bill includes the Department of Conservation and the Institute of Natural Resources. The Bill as it now stands with the House Committee action on Conservation is one hundred and one million six hundred and sixty two point three thousand dollars of which thirty-one million nine hundred and forty six point three of the general revenue fund and the balance is in other funds. It has been reduced by a total of one percent. Three point one percent GRF. The Institute of Natural of that is in Resources..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Matijevich."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Matijevich: "Madame Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I again feel that this side of the aisle... I intend to oppose this Bill. On the last Bill, one of the leaders on that side of the aisle talked about responsiblity and fiscal integrity. On this particular Bill, some of you may remember that we tried to put on a couple of Amendments that would reduce some of the fat out of the bureaucracy. It is my contention that we ought to, if we are going to make cuts and talk about waste in government, we ought to talk about cutting the waste out of the operations of the bureaucracy in state government. All of the Governor's cuts are phony to the extent that they attempt to cut into Some of the Department of Public Aid local government. cuts, too, are phony because they need federal waivers and statutory changes which are federal and state not forthcoming. So they are, what someone called the other paper cuts that are not even going to be paper cuts. So I would ask that this side of the aisle either vote 'no' or 'present' because we did try to make attempts, because we tried to arrange our priorities within the Governor's budget. We think we ought to have that prerogative and right as Legislators. Since we weren't ... not allowed that, didn't make those cuts in the vacancies in government and these two agencies. I would urge this side of the aisle and anybody so inclined to vote 'no' or 'present'."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Madame Chairman, if I might, just in terms of a point of information. For those who are gleefully concerned about Roll Calls, for their information, my understanding is that the Illinois Conservative Union and those groups that keep an eye on these things take the final Roll Call on the Conference Committee, and they don't look at the ones in between."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Stiehl: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative Wolf, does this Bill include the budget for the Institute for Natural Resources?"

Wolf: "It does."

Vinson: "Do I recollect an Amendment being offered by the
Majority to increase funding for the Institute for Natural
Resources for things like museums?"

Wolf: "Yes, yes."

Vinson: "By the Minority."

Wolf: "Yes."

Vinson: "Did the Minority Leader take a particular interest in that?"

Wolf: "I am quite certain that he did take a particular interest."

Vinson: "Yes, just in response to Representative Matijevich's comments, I would make the point that the exercise we're going through is a consistent exercise by the Minority by the Democratic Party to increase funding, to increase taxes, and to try to stick it to the taxpayer. That happened on the last Bill. It is happening on this Bill. And I think as we go along through the course of the day when we discuss each of these Bills, that is what they are That is what Representative Pierce said. That is saying. said. That is what Representative Bowman Representative Matijevich really has to recognize. And I would urge people to realize that what the purpose of omnibus Bills in this Session is to do is to try to cut And in the past they were to try to increase money, and I would simply make that point."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

a question?"

Speaker Stiehl: "He indicates he will."

Bullock: "Representative Wolf, according to the Calendar here, this Bill has been amended substantially. Could you explain for me Amendment #6 more specifically what the public utility fund is and what the funds that have been transferred in that fund are used for?"

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "One moment, I'll get that."

Bullock: "Just #6."

Wolf: "Okay, that is the one where we shifted half a...\$500,000 from general revenue funds to the public utility funds.

What happens with the public utility funds, if it is not spent, it goes back to the utility companies. And it was the opinion of the Majority of the Members of the Committee, I don't remember what the vote wasit was 15 to 4, so it was certainly bipartisan accepted because that Amendment was 15 to 4, that that would be a better way to put that...take that money, shift it from general revenue funds to that utility fund and utilize that money rather than let it go back to the utility companies."

Bullock: "Now, let me get this straight. We took a half of a million dollars out of general revenue and placed it into a public utility fund."

Wolf: "No, no, no, we shifted the money instead of spending general revenue dollars. We freed up a half of a million dollars in general revenue fund to take the public utility fund money, because if that is not spent, that goes back to the utility companies. And we felt it was a better idea to free up a half a million in GRF that we could use for other social or other types of programs that are necessary and take this money from the public utility fund. We're shifting the responsibility to there rather than let the

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

money go back to the utility companies if it not spent."

Bullock: "Perhaps my analysis is incorrect, but I saw it flowing the opposite way from GFS to public utility."

Wolf: "No, what we did, in fact, is shifted that reponsibility to that and freed up a half a million dollars in GRF. And I say it was supported bipartisan. 15 to 4 was the vote in Committee."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Madame Speaker and Members of the House. Representative Vinson's information, I was the Sponsor, not Representative Madigan, of the Motion to restore museum and zoo grant and aid programs to the Institute of Natural Resources budget. Also for his information that was not an increase in the...in that budget as that budget was introduced by his Governor and my Governor. That budget came to us with that 1.5 million dollars in the Institute of Natural Resources budget. Inadvertently, the House Appropriations Committee, dominated by the Governor's Party, took that money out. My Motion was an attempt to restore, not to increase the budget, but to restore those will tell Representative Vinson, dollars. 1 Speaker, and the Members of this House, however, that I. am not going to support House Bill 586. Not because I have fallen into the seductions of my Minority Leader, Representative Telcser would have it, but in fact, it was Representative O'Brien's explanation that best explains I'll have to check later with Representative own vote. find out whether O'Brien is indeed Telcser to or a machine Democrat. But whatever he is, independent what he pointed out to the Members of this House and what I think I must only underscore, is that we are facing a transportation crisis of massive proportions not just in the City of Chicago, in the entire six county metropolitan

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

ultimately in the state. For us to regional area and pretend that we can go along with state government as usual with that transportation system about to grind to a is irresponsibility of the very worst kind. I was sent here by my constituents, whatever kinds of Democrats or Republicans they may be, and they ask me to look at what kinds of crises, what kinds of problems we face. I don't think one can ask the question, is there a machine crisis in transportation in the metropolitan area or is there an independent crisis in mass transportation metropolitan area? The answer is, it's a total crisis and it's a crisis that we must all respond to, the Members this side of the aisle and the Members on the other side of the aisle as well. Neither Representative Telcser nor the Speaker of this House nor the Governor of this State are prepared to turn our attention to the major problem that is our agenda and until we turn our attention to that problem, I can not in good conscience, speaking for all my constituents, vote to support these every day operations of State government.":

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Giorgi."

one made by the...Representative Peters on the Conservative
Union rating. I just was handed the Conservative Union
magazine this morning and a lovely lady from Park Ridge
jumped from number one position down into the middle of the
pack. The cash registers just rung up three billion
dollars for her and Piel is ahead of you now. He didn't
vote on the last issue and he looks pretty good and I think
you've caught up to...you passed Birkinbine and a couple of
the others which is very commendable."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative O'Brien. Representative O'Brien, did you care to explain your vote? Please speak to the

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Bill."

O'Brien: "Madame Speaker, thank you for recognizing me. My light
has been on since the explanation of votes among the
previous..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise?"

Peters: "Just to correct the Gentleman from Rockford. I am correct on what Roll Calls are used."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Madame Speaker, I rise on a point..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise?"

Peters: "I am correct on what Roll Calls will be used."

Speaker Stiehl: "On the Bill, Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Thank you. Thank you very much, Speaker and Members of the House. I rise on a point of order. Two of colleagues on this side of the aisle have had their lights on for the last twenty minutes. Representative Huff and Representative Henry, Madame Speaker, have a Motion filed with you up there which request that we go to a Meeting of the Whole composed of both the Senate and the House with the Governor in the Chair to convene a meeting to solve the CTA crisis and I think that that order of business ought to be taken up. We ought to see whether or not the Majority Leader is sincere in his effort to meet the crisis that have in transportation and I don't think that you can ignore those Members any longer. I think that they ought to be recognized and I think that the Motion ought to be Let's find out who wants to solve this transportation problem, the Governor, the Republican Party or the Democratic Party."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."

J.J. Wolf: "Yes, Madame Speaker, I just would like to respond.

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

The Lady that spoke a while ago. The subject of museums was brought up and that is exactly what:we're talking about, that's the process. That million and a half was removed in Subcommittee. It was upheld by the Full Committee and an Amendment was filed by an individual Member on the floor and it was the consensus of this Body that that million and a half be restored. Now. I don't know if there is a crisis in the monkey house at Brookfield Zoo, whatever it is, the majority of the Members decided to million and a half to it and that's what the process is all about. And to have the Minority Leader say that this thing has been a hoar as far as the appropriation process, that we've defused the Members from having input, They've got the right to put Amendments, we is hogwash. win some, we lose some. That's the process we've been going through here every since this General Assembly began. Now, I may not be for some Amendments and we may win some and we may lose some but that's the process. Everyone has had input. Any Member has the right to put Amendments on floor. We held these Bills for two weeks and you know it. I'm really disappointed in the tactics used to obstruct the normal flow of government. That's my close, up or down, Madame Speaker."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Giorgi to explain his vote. Oh, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 586 pass?' All those in support vote 'aye', those opposed 'no'? Representative Giorgi, to explain his vote."

Giorgi: "Madame Speaker, many Members of the Republican side have come to me and wanted to identify the phantom speaker from the Journel. Nobody's won it yet."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Bbbesen."

Ebbesen: "Madame Speaker, he spoke in debate on this. He's not entitled to..."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Speaker Stiehl: "Your point is well taken. Representative Winchester to explain his vote."

Winchester: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. It's become quite clear that what the whole purpose of the dilatory actions on that side of the aisle is, is to try to block all the old CE Bills for the operation of State government as a blackmail tactic to get something done on the RTA-CTA. All you downstate Democrats, be careful, you're being used again. We're back to sucking the hind tit. We watch...watch carefully what you're doing. For years and years and years we've been on the bottom end, for all of those who don't know what that means, that's getting the least amount of milk out of the cow and that's what we've been getting, and it's time that the downstate Democrats broke away from the Chicago bunch and stand on your own and pass these old CE Bills and let's get going."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Balanoff to explain her vote."

Balanoff: "Yes, thank you, Mrs. Speaker. I would like to accept the invitation of some of the Leadership on the other side of the aisle. If you put 88 Republican votes on there, I'll be...I would consider putting an 89th vote on."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Yourell, and the timer is on."

Yourell: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. I do want to yield to Representative Dwight Friedrich because I know he has a comment to make regarding Representative Winchester's outburst as he does on all occasions such as that. But I also want to suggest that I also agree with Mr. Wolf, Representative Wolf, that that is the process. This is the process and you go up or down on Second Reading with the Amendments that Mr. Wolf, you also go up or down on Third Reading, too. That is the process."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Yes, thank you Mrs. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

the House. We, the Members on this side of the aisle, attempted to have input into the appropriation process but we are in the minority. You are the majority now, with 91 Republicans on the other side of the aisle. You can put 91 votes on any piece of legislation and pass it. I don't know why you are appealing to us at this late hour when we appeal to you for help, you refuse, you voted down every measure there was that we presented to you. Now, you can not even put your own Members up there to vote for this appropriation Bill. Shame on each and everyone of you."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker...Madame Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The downstate Representative called it blackmail. If blackmail is what it takes..." (cut off)

Speaker Stiehl: "Continue, Representative."

Leverenz: "I don't think the Gentleman is correct. We need help,
we're looking for help and if we must withhold votes to get
the help then that's what we'll do. I think, too, that
Representative Giorgi owes us an answer. He said things
about lumping Bills. He wanted to give away a certificate
and I'll withhold my vote until I know who won the
certificate."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."

J.J. Wolf: "I was just going to, if I may, in the explanation of vote, respond. Somebody said put 88 Republicans, we don't have 88 Republicans. We've got Bill Margalus, who's had a quadruple bi-pass. We have another excused absence with a death in the family, there are others and I submit to you, that any Republican, who's a real Republican has got their green light up there right now if they're here."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "No, I think it's been said."

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Huff to explain his vote."

- Huff: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. Previously, I rose to make a Motion and you decided that it was out of order. I thought you would have at least cited the rule and since you didn't. I would like to explain the rule to the Chair, Madame Speaker. The rule states according to 61 (a),..."

  Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Huff, you were recognized to
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Huff, you were recognized to explain your vote. Please explain your vote."
- Huff: "Well, Mrs. Speaker, all I wanted to know, all I wanted to say is that no Motion can be entertained when a question is being debated. But when you take the record of this Bill that's about to go down, I would suggest that my Motion would then be in order. I'd like to be recognized then..."
- Speaker Stiehl: "That's correct, Representative, and you're therefore out of order. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This question received 87 'ayes', 48 'nos', 27 voting 'present'. This Bill having failed....Representative Wolf?"
- J.J. Wolf: "I'd like to poll the absentees."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. Capparelli. Deuster.

  DiPrima. Garmisa. Hanahan. Jackson. Keane. Kucharski.

  Margalus. Martire. Redmond. Stearney. Stuffle. Terzich

  and Zwick."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Are there any changes of votes? On this question there are 87 voting 'aye', 48 'no', 27 'present'.

  This Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 586(sic) (587). Representative Wolf."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 587, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Administrative Services, the Department of Revenue, the Bureau of the

51st Legislative Day

- May 28, 1981
- Budget and the State Civil Service Commission, Third Reading of the Bill."
- J.J. Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. This includes the Department of Administrative Services...as amended is 140 million..."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Madigan: "Madame Speaker, there is a Motion pending before the House. The Motion is offered by Representative Huff. I think that the Speaker, either you or the real Speaker wherever he may be, owe Mr. Huff a response. It's not fair to he or to those who support his Motion to continue with the consideration of business without providing an answer to the Gentleman who is a Member of this Body."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Mr. Madigan, that Motion is not presently before
  the House. It has not been properly presented and
  therefore, we will continue with the business of the House.
  Representative Wolf."
- Madigan: "Thank you, Madame Speaker."
- J.J. Wolf: "140 thousand, 45.4 dollars to the Department of Administrative Services...Department of Administrative Services. Department of Revenue, 1 billion, two hundred and twenty three million, six hundred and eighty-one point four thousand dollars. The Bureau of the Budget, two million, six hundred, ninty-one point eight thousand and the Civil Service Commission of two hundred ninty-eight point nine thousand dollars for a total of one billion, three hundred and sixty-six thousand, seven hundred and seventeen point five. And I would move for the passage."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Huff, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Huff: "Madame Speaker, I tried to be civil in this matter. I've stated the rule clearer than the Chair has. My Motion is

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

now in order and I insist that the Chair entertain it. According to the rules, Madame Speaker, no Motion can be entertained when a question is being debated and now, I'm going to cite the rule that says that my Motion takes precedence over what Mr. Wolf is talking about and I insist that you entertain this Motion now. Will you please read my Motion?"

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Friedrich."

Priedrich: "Madame Speaker, we are on the order of House Bills on
Third Reading. We are not on the order of Motions, if
they've got a majority to call the...change the order of
business, they can do it. But otherwise, we're on the
order of House Bills, Third Reading and they can get their
Motion called when we get on the order of Motions."

Speaker Stiehl: "Your point is well taken. Continue,
Representative Wolf."

J.J. Wolf: "I closed, that's it."

Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion on the Bill?"

Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I again rise my colleagues on this side of the aisle, to appeal to oppose this Bill. You know, it was just a little while ago I read in an editorial, a very short editorial, that is one of the reasons I'm going to oppose this Bill. The editorial was about budget Director Stockman but if ... I'm going to follow, that little editorial with what happened in the when Appropriations Subcommittee wе had the Budget Director, Bob appropriating funds for the Mandeville. This editorial is very short and it says, "Don't Gore My Ox". Budget Director, David Stockman, the human ax who can be so insensitive when cutting Federal programs that help others, seemed embarrassed. He should have been. Stockman spent hours this week telling Congress

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

of why his own ox to office of management and budget shouldn't be gored. His agency needs an extra four million dollars next year. He has reasons, of course."

- Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me, Representative. Representative

  Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Leinenweber: "Yes, I have a point of order. I thought this was an appropriation Bill not a United States...in the United States Congress and I thing the Gentleman ought to confine his remarks to this Bill which does appropriate for the Bureau of the Budget here in the State of Illinois but not for the Bureau of the Budget of the Office of Budget and Management in the United States Government. And I think he ought to stick to Illinois Agencies which would be in order but not the Federal ones. Pretty soon we'll be talking about the United Nations and the German 'Bund'."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Your point is well taken. Please confine your remarks to this Bill."
- Matijevich: "Mrs. Speaker, I'll do that, Madame Speaker. I've listened to all kinds of silly comparisons he has made and all I'm doing is making a comparison. If you'll bear with me, you'll see the irony in it. The Representative 'Roybowl' of California said, 'that's what they all tell us, they have increased responsibility.' So do older cities, students and others on Stockman's hit list and Stockman's reply was, 'It's not easy to explain'. Now my corollary is, and the irony in it is, now I'm getting down to State government, if you'll listen. We in the Bureau of the Budget."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yes, he's talking about, again, the United States

Congress and maybe he'll get into Australia and tell us how

the Australians do it and then maybe he can go to New

Zealand and then China and then Poland, maybe. But if he

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

has something to say on the Bill he ought to say it."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Matijevich, proceed."

I m Matijevich: "I'm getting right to where it hurts, now. And getting down to State government now because the Appropriation Subcommittee had Bob Mandeville's appropriation before us and I'll tell you, even Republican Members of that Subcommittee joined with me glee because we had an Amendment that was going to take a piddly eight thousand dollars out of his budget and stuttered, he stammered. He turned red. He just couldn't stand it and I said, you know, I'm enjoying this, because here you are knowing very little about human services and so much of government and you can't stand Exactly what happened to eight thousand dollar cut. happened to Mandeville in our Budget Stockman Appropriations process and that...My opposition to this Bill is a protest against what I saw happen. can't even stand to cut within his own budget and he's going to be here directing us as Legislators, telling us which cuts have to be made and which ones don't have to be And then when I, in my position as Minority made. Spokesman, try to cut operations in government, no, I can't do it. That's why I oppose this. I might say, too, I join Representative Wolf, I'm sort of enjoying this process today. Some of you may remember the dialogue that I with Representative Keats about the Conservative Union and their ratings. You know, I believe that Republicans over there have taken advantage of your own Republicans and I agree with Representative Wolf because it's almost the same as the pay raise issue. When many sit back and don't vote 'no' hoping that the Bill will pass and then they go and collect their pay. The same thing on appropriation Bills. We know we have to fund State government and here where all

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

these phonies through the years wouldn't vote 'no' or wouldn't vote 'yes'. They vote 'present', and many of them are on your side of the aisle were taking advantage of the Republicans who did vote 'yes' and took responsible advantage of us Democrats who vote 'yes' on appropriation Now, it's coming home to roost and I think now, Bills. finally, you ought to talk to the Conservative Union and tell them, rip up that whole list. It doesn't mean a damn thing to anybody because the budget is going to be billion dollars, no matter what anybody does. the bottom line. It's the Governor's budget and that's where it's all at. But I'd urge my colleagues on this side of the aisle to vote 'no' or 'present' on this Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. (sic) Speaker, I feel I have to respond to the prior speaker. The Gentleman from Lake County most respected Member of the Minority Party and a distinguished Minority Spokesman of the Appropriations Committee and I've served with him now a long time in the appropriations process and he's pretty good. I'm good to get to you, Representative VanDuyne, because I'm going to tell you that in that Subcommittee that Representative Natijevich just mentioned if he hadn't showed up and been a member of that Subcommittee there wouldn't have been a Minority Party in that Subcommittee. Throughout the entire process the Minority talks about its rights and offering its Amendments. It never came forth, its attendance at the level, at the Full committee level was Subcommittee ludicrous. It was almost nonexistent in many, many, many the floor you've had every opportunity to On offer Amendments but here you set just like you sat for the that minority the majority of most part in transportation and on those particular issues. You're

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

sitting on your hands, you're being irresponsible, and taking the lead of those who would take you down the drain. Now, let me tell you what's going to happen and I'm not going to yell and scream at you like the Gentleman from Rosiclare, although I have a tendency to go off the handle, But let me tell you what's going to happen over too. there, Freshmen, and on both sides, these Bills are going to go down and they're not going to be funded, Public Aid and all human services for all you crying and you yelling, they're not going to be funded in this House. The Senate Bills will come over and there will be some attempt Well, I don't think the House graph them on to it. Appropriations Committee is going to meet to do with those Bills. So, all the Public Aid and all the human services and the Bureau of the Budget that was just talked about and everything else, Mental Health, is all going to go into a Conference Committee and ten men and maybe a woman or two are going to decide what's in those and on June 30th, out will come the Conference Committee Report that will have 30 budgets in it and you won't any of it and your pet projects, whatever they are, won't be in it or you may not know it because it will be late in the morning of the 30th of June and you'll have to vote for it, up or down. But you'll have to vote up because they've got to be funded or you'll stay here on July second, and third, and fourth, and fifth. Ιf you want irresponsible and you've accused us of it in the past, now it's our turn. Be irresponsible. The Chicago Democratic has been irresponsible on transportation and now it's being irresponsible on its own programs, it's own efforts to fund human services. Go ahead, Gentlemen."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. Since my name was defamed,

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

I remember one day when Representative...in that very same Committee that he chaired and I was Minority Spokesman where Representative Davis took a walk and Mr. Vinson couldn't even offer the Amendment that he wanted to offer which would have immasculated the policemen's support for their local government training system. So, sometimes Representative Davis goes off a little half-cocked too."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative O'Brien."

- O'Brien: "Thank you, Madame Speaker and Members of the House. think that it's very clear although there are some very strong hardheads and thick skulls in this room. I think that it's very clear that it's not government as usual today. There is a shutdown of the this Chamber appropriations process taking place right now and we feel that the shutdown is appropriate, inresponsible because the significant needs of transportation have not been met by this Chamber or by the Governor of this State. So, Madame Speaker. I think on a point of order that Representative Huff ought to be recognized. He ask for recognition for his Motion to be taken up when we were back on House Bill 585. We took the vote on House Bill 585 and you can...you proceeded to ignore him. We went to 586, took the vote on 586 and you proceeded to ignore him."
- Speaker Stiehl: "For what purpose do you rise, Representative Wolf?"
- Speaker Stiehl: "We are on debate at the present time."
- J.J. Wolf: "We're on debate. Well, I would suggest that the
   Gentleman confine his remarks to the issue before the House
   at this time."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Your point is well taken. Would you please confine your remarks?"

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

O'Brien: "Thank you, Madame Chairman (sic) and Members of the House. I feel that my comments are being spoken under the privilege of a point of order, and I know that we operate with rules in this House. Rules that we change on a day to day basis and I can tell you, not since I was in the Senate in 1971 and 72 under Russell Arrington have we had the opportunity to change our rules as much. I remember one of the Democratic colleagues on my side of the aisle a few years back, who used to stand up every time the rules were changed in the Rule Book and he would say, 'What rule? We changed that rule today.'"

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative, this House is now on the order of Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "The Gentleman seems to be debating a Motion to change the order of business. Is there such a Motion, that's been filed to go to another order of business?"

Speaker Stiehl: "No, there is not."

Leinenweber: "Then he's clearly out of order."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Madame Speaker, Members of the House, I don't recall what the last number of Bill that was passed out of here but I know 495, we got by that...5...well, whatever. But it seemed to me the last couple of hours have been very nonproductive in this Chamber. It would seem to me that if the Democrats want to continue this harangue that somehow or another the Leadership on both sides of the aisle get their heads together, come to some semblance of order in this Chamber. It would seem to me that the best way to proceed is to recess for an hour, an hour and a half, whatever it takes and get this place back into some order so that we can proceed. Otherwise, we're going to be losing Members off the floor and we're not going to get

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

anything done. Now, it would seem to me that we do that and recess for an hour or so, so that cooler heads can prevail and we can get on to the order of the House."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Priedrich on the Bill."

Representative Leverenz on the Bill."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madame Speaker."

Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me. Representative Huff, for what purpose do you arise? State your point, please."

Huff: "Mrs. Speaker, since you won't recognize a legitimate request for a Motion that was in order at the time it was made. I will now make a Motion that you will most certainly will not be able to ignore. I move that we adjourn."

Speaker Stiehl: "You were not recognized for that purpose,

Representative Huff. Representative Leverenz, continue."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. On the Bill, it includes
the Department of Administrative Services. Could you tell
us how much is included now for the leasing operation that
is housed within the Department of Administrative Services?
If the Representative would be kind enough to answer a few
questions?"

J.J. Wolf: "Would you repeat that please, Ted?"

Leverenz: "Certainly. In a cooler framework. The Department of Administrative Services has a leasing group. Could you tell us now how much is appropriated to run that operation and how many people are involved with it?"

J.J. Wolf: "In what office or division is that?"

Leverenz: "In the division of Administrative Services or the pepartment of Administrative Services. Property Management has a leasing operation. Now I ask the question because there is an unemployment office in Oak Park that is under a directive to relocate. For over a year they have fiddled around and they have not come close to finding a new

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

location to locate to. It is causing the employees in that office a great deal of hardship. It is causing the manager of that office a great deal of hardship. The manager's regional supervisor came in and said, 'I understand you're having difficulty with politicians.' Now, for over a year, eigheen months, they have tried to locate a new office. How many people are funded? For how much money in Property Management? That office serves the 5th Legislative District, the 6th Legislative District and the 7th Legislative District."

- J.J. Wolf: "In GRF under the line of office property..."
- Leverenz: "18 and 21, also."
- J.J. Wolf: "48 and 21?"
- Leverenz: "Districts, legislative districts are served from that office."
- J.J. Wolf: "I don't have it broken down by legislative districts."
- Leverenz: "No, I'm just interested in how many people work there?

  How much money we are appropriating for this...?"
- J.J. Wolf: "...All for this...management has 32 thousand, 412
  point...32 million, 412 thousand point nine of that 10
  million 618 point seven is in GRP."
- Leverenz: "But how much do we allot to this group that purports to handling the leasing of property?"
- J.J. Wolf: "Don't have that broken down. It's an excellent question. I wish you would have ask that of the director when he was before the Committee."
- Leverenz: "I've asked the Director now for over a year what he's going to do about it."
- J.J. Wolf: "And what was his reply?"
- Leverenz: "We still don't have an office. It's an unbelievable operation."
- J.J. Wolf: "Do you got a spot in Franklin Park for them?"

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

- Leverenz: "Believe me, I don't know that I should be involved with doing the work of the Department of Administrative Services when it comes to finding leases. I think you'll find that they have about five people in the leasing operation. I think you'll find that they control 880 some odd leases for northern Illinois I was interested, however, to find out how much money we appropriate to pay those people that can so well not function, as to find one lousy, new location for an unemployment office that serves five legislative districts in the suburban area. I don't understand why we can't figure out how much that is for those four or five people. Does staff know, over there?"

  J.J. Wolf: "No, he doesn't."
- Leverenz: "Perhaps, our leader from, Representative Conti, from Elmwood Park might know."
- J.J. Wolf: "I understand he doesn't want the office in Elmwood
  Park."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Leverenz, do you have further questions?"
- Leverenz: "Well, I'm interested to find out then, what that office does up there. How many people they employ, Madame Speaker."
- J.J. Wolf: "Well, you just told me they employ five."
- Leverenz: "Well, that's what I heard. I wanted to know what you knew. Maybe you could take this out of the record and we can find an answer."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Kelley."
- Kelley: "Madame Chairman (sic), I'd like to move the previous question."
- Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Wolf to close."
- J.J. Wolf: "Do we have leave for the attendance Roll Call?"

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 587 pass?'

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed
'nay'. Representative Schraeder to explain his vote."

Schraeder: "Well, Madame Chairman (sic), this is another instance when you want to budget passed and yet, you can't even allow the Members to ask questions. It's very convenient that the Member of that side of the aisle made the Motion Now, if you want any cooperation to call the question. from this side of the aisle you ought to give us a little over here, and I'm just going to tell you one thing. There was one question I would like to ask, and that had to do with Concordia Seminary out here, that we're leasing on a continual basing instead of purchasing it. And I don't even get to ask the question, whether or not you've made any inquiries as to whether this continued leasing is in the best interest to the State of Illinois. And if think we can vote on this kind of legislation without having input from this floor, from Members who don't serve on the Appropriation Committee, then you're badly mistaken, and if you think we're opposing Bills because we want to be obstructing State government, you're badly mistaken. We want to know what the State is doing with the taxpayer's money, on this side of the aisle, even if you Republicans don't want to know. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative O'Brien, to explain your vote."

O'Brien: "Madame Speaker, I rise...thank you. I rise to explain

my vote, which will be a 'no' vote on the third Bill that

we have taken up this morning and this will mean that ten

appropriations for 10 different agencies has been defeated.

I hope that we are getting the message home. I vote 'no'.

Should this receive the required number of votes, I would

like to verify the Roll Call."

Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

- wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this Bill...on this question there are 83 'ayes', 62 'nos', 16 voting 'present'. This Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 588, Representative Wolf."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 588, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Children and Family Services, the Department of Public Aid, the Department of Public Health, the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities and the Department of Rehabilitation Services, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."
- J.J. Wolf: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. The Department of Children and Family Services as now stands is 181 million, 167 thousand dollars..."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me. Representative O'Brien, will you state your point, please?"
- O'Brien: "My point, Madame Speaker, is that Representative Huff and Representative Henry have had a Motion laying on your desk all morning. I would like to know at what point the Chair will recognize them to take that Motion up. Now, we are wasting the time of..."
- Stiehl: "Representative, we are not on the Order of Motions,
  we're on the Order of Third Reading. Representative Wolf,
  would you continue, please?"
- J.J. Wolf: "Yes, thank you. The total, as recommended is 3
   million,(sic) 896 million, 651.1 thousand or a reduction
   from introduced of 1.2%."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. (sic) Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first of all, I do have some problems with House

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Bill 588. For example, in the matter of the 'Medic management information system. For a couple of Sessions now the Appropriations Committee has been trying to get the Department of Public Aid to implement that system. Ever since Governor Thompson ran against Governor Walker, he talked about the matter of getting down to the issue fraud and abuse in public aid. If we could implement this system, we could save millions of dollars, estimated, million dollars a year of fraud and abuse. So, he's been talking about it a lot but not really doing what should done. There are over 30 states, 33 other states, that have that system on line and have gotten to the issue of fraud and abuse. There are some other problems that go to the matter of so-called cost containment that I think are real phoney and will, in the end result, cost more money. There's a matter of the Department of Children and Family Services budget. We found in March, for example, remember all the problems that we've had about child abuse and specifically, in the Quincy area. Director in March found it necessary to send a memo to his Department employees warning them that it was against policy to sleep in State offices or on State Department furniture and he instructed the employees to sleep in their cars if they needed a rest. And I thought, you know, how can a Director, when we have all these promises of abuse, I remember the day when anybody slept on the job they were fired. How can a Director in that sensitive area of Children and Family Services tell his employees that they can sleep in an automobile. To me a Director ought to tell his employees, 'you can't sleep when you're on the job, So, I've got those problems with the Bill. However, I'd like to tell my side of the aisle that we have always been responsive and responsible to the matter of

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

human services. We feel, as I heard the Lady when we had our opening prayer, that in our deliberations we ought to talk about persons, about what we feel ought to be done for persons, for humans and I think that every Member of the Legislature, every Member of this House, 177 of us, ought to vote 'aye' for human services. So, I urge my colleagues on the Democratic side of the aisle, do as we traditionally have done, support human services and vote 'aye' on House Bill 588."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr...Madame Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker :Stiehl: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Would you... is this the Bill that includes the Department of Mental Health, Representative Wolf?"

J.J. Wolf: "Yes. The Department of Mental Health and...yes."

Vinson: "Can you tell me how many employees are in the Department of Mental Health above paygrade 20?"

J.J. Wolf: "On what?"

Vinson: "Above paygrade 20? How many employees there are?"

J.J. Wolf: "Above paygrade 20?"

Vinson: "Yes."

J.J. Wolf: "Well, we don't have the ISL form out. I'll be happy to get it for you. Would you take this out of the record, Ma'am."

Speaker Stiehl: "Mr. Clerk, take this out of the record. House Bill 589. Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 589, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement, the Department of Insurance, the Military Enabled Department, the State Fire Marshal, the Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officer's Training Board, the Illinois Racing Board and the Illinois

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Historical Library, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf."

- J.J. Wolf: "Would you take this one out of the record, Madame Speaker, please?"
- Speaker Stiehl: "Mr. Clerk, take this out of the record. House Bill 591. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 591, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the University Civil Service Merit Board, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wikoff."

- Wikoff: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. Everybody, note different Sponsor. We have...this is the appropriation Bill for the operating or...the operating expenses of the University Civil Service Merit Board. It passed both Subcommittee and the Committee on a unanimous vote. The Digest is exactly right. Amendment \$1 on it did reduce, by \$67,950, the amount for retirement. This contribution has been included in Senate Bill 238 which goes for all of the universities retirement systems and then the Amendment \$2 did reduce some equipment purchase and travel and, as I said, it passed both Committees unanimously. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? If not, Representative Wikoff, to close."

Wikoff: "Just ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 591 pass?'

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed

'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On

this Bill...on this question there are 133 voting 'aye', 2

voting 'no', 15 'present'. This Bill having received the

Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House

51st Legislative Day

- May 28, 1981
- Bill 621, out of the record. House Bill 624, Representative Huff."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 624, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Chicago Community School Study Commission, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Huff."
- Huff: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. At this time, Madame Speaker,

  Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to take the
  opportunity to have five Members stand up with me so that
  we can change the order of business to Motions."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Huff, you were not recognized for that purpose. We are now on the Order of Third Reading, House Bills, 624. Representative Ryan in the Chair."
- Speaker Ryan: "Take House Bill 624 out of the record. The Chair recognizes Representative Wolf concerning Senate Bill 1023."
- J.J. Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Pursuant to House Rule 31

  (b) I move to advance to Second Reading, Second Legislative

  Day without reference to Committee, Senate Bill 1023. I

  believe this has been cleared, the Treasurer's Office, they

  cleared it with the Minority Leader and the Spokesman on

  Appropriations Committee."
- Speaker Ryan: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion.

  Representative Madigan, do you seek recognition on House
  Bill 1023, Senate Bill 1023?"
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that this is the Bill that would provide for a transfer of monies to meet the State's obligations for bonded indebtedness?"
- Speaker Ryan: "That's correct, at the request of the State
  Treasurer."
- Madigan: "The State Treasurer and I support the Gentleman's Motion and the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'."

J.J. Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, would you have the Clerk be sure to read
the Bill a second time?"

Speaker Ryan: "Would you read the Bill a second time, Mr. Clerk?" Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1023..."

Speaker Ryan: "On this question there are 149 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no'...Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 151 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present' and the Gentleman's Motion prevails. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill a second time?"

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1023, a Bill for an Act to amend the Department of Registration, Education, FY 81 Appropriation Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Ryan: "Third Reading. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of a Motion, to recess until 5:00 this afternoon."

Speaker Ryan: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor
will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'
have it, and the House now stands in recess until the hour
of five p.m."

Speaker Peters: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 350, Greiman, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship to Clerks of Senate Bill 589, the Court, First Reading of the Bill. Donovan, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the registration of farm names and the Soil and Water Conservation Districts Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 626, Deuster, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Reading of the Bill. Pirst Bill...Senate Bill 626, Deuster, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bi 11

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in 629. relationship to State finance, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 676. Bullock, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Comptroller's Act, Pirst Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 681, Leverenz, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois, First Reading of Senate Bill 700, Capparelli, a Bill for an Act the Bill. to amend an Act regulating the right to board certain aircraft and to hire by pay passengers, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 731, White-Schraeder, a Bill for an Act in relationship to cycle-rider safety training, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 753, Reilly, a Bill Act authorizing the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities to license, regulate and monitor community residential alternatives for developmentally disabled persons. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 756. Reilly, a Bill for an Act in relationship to licensing of community living facilities, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 779, Oblinger-Ewing, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the promotion of conservation education, First Reading of the Bill. Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to campaign financial disclosure, Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 794, Terzich, a Bill for amend an Act in relationship to campaign an Act to financial disclosure, Pirst Reading of the Bill. Bill 808, Ronan, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 815, Kornowicz, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Rights Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 819, Peters, a Bill for an Act to designate depressed areas, relapsed governmental controls and to provide tax incentives to allow economic development therein, First

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981.

Reading of the Bill., Senate Bill 820, Hoffman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 828, McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, First Reading of the Bill., Senate Bill 837, Schraeder, a Bill for an Act to exempt alternative energy facilities from property tax and occupation use taxes, First Reading of the Bill. Bill 848, Ronan, a Bill for an Act in relationship to work programs for public aid recipients, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 867, Barkhausen-Ewing-Ewell, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relationship to insanity defense and to establish a plea of verdict of guilty but mentally ill, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 877, Telcser, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 911, Ted Meyer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified...Uniform Hazardous Substances Act of Illinois, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 912, Oblinger, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 920, Bullock, a Bill for an Act to amend the Baccalaureate Assistance Law for Nurses...Registered Senate Bill 922, Nurses, First Reading of the Bill. Bullock, a Bill for an Act creating the Statewide Nursing Education Commission, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 924, Bullock, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the practice of nursing, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 925, Bullock, a Bill for an Act amend the Health Services Education Grants, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 929, Epton, a Bill for an Act amend the Illinois Insurance Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 930, McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act amend the Illinois Pension Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 934, Brummer, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981.

to revise the law in relationship to husband and wife, Pirst Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 935, Vinson, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 939, O'Connell, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the performance of abortion procedures by any physician upon a woman who has not...was not pregnant, First Reading of the Senate Bill 941, Leinenweber, a Bill for an Act in relationship to abortion, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 954, Hoffman, a Bill for an Act to amend the 957. School Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Barr, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 968, Braun, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 972, Satterthwaite, a Bill for an Act to amend the Alcoholism and Intoxication Treatment Act, Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 982, Conti-Lechovicz, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code, First Reading of the Bill. 999, Bell-Darrow, a Bill for an Act in relationship public aid fraud, First Reading of the Bill. 1007, Piel-Bradley, a Bill for an Act in relationship to automobile renting, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1012, Huskey, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1015, Rea, a Bill for an Act to amend the Coal Miner Medical Emergency Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1138, Bullock, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the uncollected claims on accounts received of State agencies, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "Constitutional Amendments, Second Readings."

Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #2,

resolved by the House of Representatives of the

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Eighty-Second General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of the State for adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution, a proposition to amend Section 4 of Article IX of the Constitution to read Section 4. Article IX. Real Property follows: Taxation. Except as otherwise provided in this taxes upon real property shall be levied uniformly by ascertained bу the General valuation shall...provided by law. Subject to such limitations as the General Assembly may hereafter prescribe by law, more than 200,000 may counties with a population of classify or continue to classify real property for the purposes of taxation. Any such classification shall be reasonable and assessments shall be uniform within each The level of the assessment or rate of tax of the class. highest class in a county shall not exceed two and-one half times the level of assessment or rate of tax of the lowest class of that county. Real property used in farming in a county shall not be assessed at a higher level assessment than single family residential real property in that county. Any depreciation in the value of real estate occasioned by public easement may be deducted in assessing such property. The General Assembly may by law authorize counties to suspend or abate taxes upon real property. Rehabilitation/Growth Incentive Option. Any homerule municipality may designate certain specified areas within its boundaries in which the real property is to be taxed on a basis of sight valuation without regard to the value of improvements thereon. The tax rates of taxing districts applicable to such designated areas shall be adjusted annually to prevent loss of revenue received by such taxing

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

districts from such designated areas. Taxation of designated areas under this option shall be continued for twelve years but may be extended without limitation as long as any such extensions are for no less than twelve year periods. Schedule. This Amendment to Section 4 of Article IX takes effect upon its approval by the electors of this State. Second Reading of this Constitutional Amendment."

Speaker Peters: "The House will stand at ease for ten minutes. Constitutional Amendment, Second Reading; HJRCA 2. Is there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Notions and no Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. HJRCA 5."

Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #5 has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 has been read and has been adopted in Committee."

Speaker Peters: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. House Joint Resolution

Constitutional Amendment 15, Dwight Priedrich. Read the

Bill(sic), Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #15, resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eighty-Second General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of the State for adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution, a proposition to amend Section 1 of Article VIII of the Constitution to read as follows; Article VIII. Finance. Section I. General Provisions. "Public Funds" mean any funds belonging to the government which are held by or are under the control of

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

any public official in any branch or instrumentality of government. Public funds, property or credit shall be used only for public purposes. No instrumentality of government may receive, hold, expend or use nonpublic funds except as provided by the General Assembly by law. The State, units of local government and school districts shall obligations for payment or make payments from public funds. only as authorized by law or ordinance. Reports and records of the obligation, receipt and use of public funds of this State, units of local government and school districts are public records available for the inspection by the public according to law. Amendment #1 amends Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #15. Amendment #1 amends House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 15 on page one, line 19 by deleting the period and inserting in lieu thereof the following, 'or in the case of units of local government or school districts as ordinance'. Second Reading established bν Constitutional Amendment."

Speaker Peters: "Second Reading. We're going to leave it here,

Representative Priedrich. House Joint Resolution

Constitutional Amendment #16."

"House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment Clerk Leone: #16. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eighty-Second General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of the State for adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution, a proposition to amend Section 13 of Article VI of the Constitution, to read as follows: Article VI. Section 13. Prohibited Activities. The Supreme Court shall adopt rules of conduct for the Judges and Associate Judges. The Judges and

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Associate Judges shall devote full time to judicial duties. They shall not practice law, hold a position of profit, hold office under the United States or this State or unit of local government or school district or any political party. Serve in the State militia or armed forces of the United States for periods of time permitted by rule of the Supreme Court shall not be disqualified a person from serving as a Judge or Associate Judge. The regulation of the practice of law shall only be as established by the General Assembly by law. Second Reading of this Constitutional Amendment."

Speaker Peters: "Read a second time. House Joint Resolution

Constitutional Amendment 24."

Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #24. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eighty-Second General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of the State for adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this resolution, a proposition to amend Section 2 of Article I of the Constitution to read as follows: Article I. Bill of Rights. Section 2. Due Process and Equal Protection. No person shall be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law nor be denied the equal protection of the law provided that nothing contained herein or otherwise in this Constitution imposed upon the State of Illinois its political subdivisions, agencies or instrumentalities nor upon any unit of local government or school district nor upon any board, commission, or official thereof any obligation or responsibility which exceeds those imposed by the equal protection clause of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution with respect to the use of

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

school assignment or pupil transportation and enforcing this Section or any other provision of this Constitution. No court of this State may impose upon the State of Illinois its political subdivision, agency or instrumentality nor upon any unit of local government or school district nor upon any board, commission or official thereof any obligation or responsibility with respect to the use of public school assignment or public transportation except to remedy a specific violation by such party that would also constitute a violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution. And unless a Federal Court would be permitted under Federal decision...decisional law to impose that obligation or responsibility upon such party to remedy the specific violation of the Equal Protection Clause of Amendment of the United States except as may be the 14th precluded by the Constitution of the United States every judgement, decree, writ, or other order of the existing Court of this State whenever rendered which provisions regarding pupils, school assignment or pupil transportation or which requires a plan including any such .. supervisions... shall be upon application to a Court having jurisdiction by any interested person be modified to conform to the provisions of this Section as applied to the facts which exist at the time of such modification. And all actions or proceedings arising under the seeking application of the Amendment to this Section, all Courts therein, such actions or proceedings are or may hereafter be pending shall give such actions or proceedings first precedence over all other civil actions therein. shall prohibit a school district from Nothing herein voluntarily continuing or commencing a school integration plan after the effective date of this Amendment to this

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981

Section. In amending this Section the General Assembly and people of the State of Illinois find and declare that this Amendment is necessary to serve the compelling public interest including those of making the most effective use of the limited financial resources now and perspectively available to support public education and maximizing educational opportunities and protecting the health and safety of all public school pupils, enhancing the ability of the parents to participate in the educational process, preserving harmony and tranquility in this State its schools, preventing the waste of scarce fuel resources and protecting the environment. Transition This Amendment to Article I of the Constitution Schedule. of the United States takes effect upon its approval by the Reading of this electors of this State. Second Constitutional Amendment. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. HJRCA 25."

Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment Resolved by the House of Representatives of the #25. Eighty-Second General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of this State for adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution, a proposition to amend Section 6 of Article IX of the Constitution to read Section 6. Exemptions from Article IX. as follows: The General Assembly by law may exempt Property Taxation. from taxation only the property of this State, units of local government and school districts and property exclusively for veterans organizations, agricultural and horticultural societies, and for school,

51st Legislative Day

May 28, 1981.

religious, cemetery and charitable purposes. The General Assembly by law may grant homestead exemptions or rent credits. Schedule. This Constitutional Amendment takes effect upon the adoption by the electors of this State. Second Reading of this Constitutional Amendment. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

attendance of all Republicans."

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Peters: "Third Reading. The House will stand at ease."

Speaker Collins: "The House will be in order. The Members will be in their seats. The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to request a Republican Conference in Room 114 at 10:00 a.m. in the morning. It's

Speaker Collins: "Republican Conference at 10:00 in 114 in the morning. Representative Greiman, do you have a similar request? The Gentleman from Moline, Representative Polk, for what reason do you arise?"

a very important Conference and the Speaker has asked the

- Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's apparent that the Minority Leader has not had control as he said of his troops because in one of the Bills that we killed this afternoon, the Chicago Fest was in it. I, therefore, would like to have some time to read that Bill and see what we did to Chicago Fest and I make a Motion that we adjourn to 12:00 noon."
- Speaker Collins: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The House stands adjourned."

### MAY 28, 1981

HB0491	3RD	READING	PAGE	5
HB-0492	3RD	READING	PAGE	6
HB-0493	3RD	READING	PAGE	13
HB-0494	3RD	READING	PAGE	18
HB-0495	3RD	READING	PAGE	22
HB0522	3RD	READING	PAGE	23
HB-0530	3RD	READING	PAGE	24
HB-0536	3RD	READING	PAGE	26
HB-0537	3RD	READING	PAGE	33
HB-0,569	3RD	READING	PAGE	37
HB0585	3RD	READING	PAGE	41
HB-:0586	3RD	READING	PAGE	76
HB-0587	3RD	READING	PAGE	86
HB-0588	3RD 3RD	READING	PAGE	99
НВ-0589 НВ-0591	3RD	READING	PAGE	101 102
SB-0350	1ST	READING READING	PAGE.	104
SB-0589	1ST	READING	PAGE	104
SB-0626	15T	READING	PAGE	104
SB-0629	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB-0676	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB0681	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB-0700	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB-0731	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB0753	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB-0756	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB-0779	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB-0791	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB-0794	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB-0808	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB-0815	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB-0819	1ST	READING	PAGE	105
SB-0820	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0828	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0837 SB-0848	1ST 1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0867	15T	READING READING	PAGE PAGE	106 106
SB-0877	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0911	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0912	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0922	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0924	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0925	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0929	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0930	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0934	1ST	READING	PAGE	106
SB-0935	1ST	READING	PAGE	107
SB-0939	1ST	READING	PAGE	107
SB-0941	1ST	READING	PAGE	107
SB-0954	1ST	READING	PAGE PAGE	107
SB-0957 SB-0968	1ST 1ST	READING READING	PAGE	107 107
SB-0972	1ST	READING	PAGE	107
SB-0972	15T	READING	PAGE	107
SB-0909	1ST	READING	PAGE	107
SB-1007	1ST	READING	PAGE	107
SB-1012	1ST	READING	PAGE	107
SB-1015	1ST	READING	PAGE	107
SB-1023	2ND	READING	PAGE	104
		IONS	PAGE	103
SB-1138	.1ST	READING	PAGE	107
#HJR-0002	2ND	READING	PAGE	107
#HJR-0005	2ND	READING	PAGE	109 -
*HJR-0015	2 N D	READING	PAGE	109

5 - mp

PAGE 2

### MAY 28, 1981

*HJR-0016	2ND	READING	PAGE	110
*HJR-0024	2ND	READING	PAGE	111
*HJR-0025	2ND	READING	PAGE	113

### SUBJECT HATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER RYAN	PAGE	1.
PRAYER - SISTER SIENNA	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - REPRESENTATIVE ALSTAT	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	3
REPRESENTATIVE STIEHL IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	5
SPEAKER RYAN IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	103
RECESS	PAGE	104
HOUSE RECONVENES - REPRESENTATIVE PETERS	PAGE	104
REPRESENTATIVE COLLINS IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	114
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	114