117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- Speaker Ryan: "The House will be in order and the Members will please be in their seats. The Chaplain for today again is Sister Tarcisius McCarthy, who is the Servant of the Holy Heart of Mary, St. Mary's Hospital, Kankakee. Sister Tarcisius."
- Sister NcCarthy: "Mighty and Eternal God, bestow Thy blessing on gathered here today in this General Assembly to search for ways and means to really serve the interests of all the citizens of this great state. Strengthen the resolve of its Members to work harder toward helping the people achieve a greater sense of pride in their state, a feeling of satisfaction that laws are meant to benefit rather than hamper, and in general an awareness that despite its current problems, Illinois is a wonderful place in which to live, to work and to enjoy the benefits of Your goodness through nature. Help all in this great Assembly provide the people in Illinois not only with the laws necessary to function as a community of people, but also, importantly, with a sense of direction so that whatever our future, we will know that we are a people bent on doing what is right and good in Your sight. Forgive us our inadequacies. Oh Lord, and in Your infinite mercy, help us all to do our very best to serve our country, our state and You. Amen."
- Speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Sister. The Pledge will be led by Representative Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Ryan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. With 165 answering the Roll, a quorum of the House

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

is present. You know, yesterday I told you folks that Sister Tarcisius was celebrating her 60th year as a nun, and with that we have a Resolution I'd like to have the Clerk read. It's already been adopted, and I'd like to present it to her this morning. Read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk."

offered by Representatives Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 898, Ryan and McBroom. Whereas, the best characteristics of any society are personified by those individuals who help others; and whereas, educating young persons is, and should be, one of life's highest callings; and whereas, Tarcisius McCarthy is celebrating her 60 years of religious life; whereas, she was born in Chicago and entered the Servants of Holy Heart of Mary on August 15, 1919; and whereas, she pronounced her first vows in the Congregation on July 17, 1922; and whereas, she began teaching in 1921 at Our Lady of the Academy in Manteno and continued until 1928; and whereas, her next eight years were spent with students at the Holy Family Academy in Beaverville; whereas, she continued her teaching career at St. Patrick's Academy in Momence from 1936 to 1942, when she took a sabbatical leave for study: and whereas, she returned to Patrick's and continued teaching there until 1955; St. whereas, from 1955 to 1962 she taught students at St. Patrick's Central High School (now Bishop Martin D. McNamara High School) in Kankakee; whereas, she served principal of St. Peter's School in Geneva for the next four and whereas, from 1966 to 1968 she was principal of Our Lady's Academy in Manteno, where she begun her teaching career four decades earlier; whereas, she has worked secretary in the Catholic Schools Office in Joliet from 1968 to 1975; and whereas, she devotes her time today to pastoral care of the elderly at St. Mary's in Kankakee;

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

be it therefore. RESOLVED hν the House of Representatives of the 82nd General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we commend Sister Tarcisius McCarthy, for years service of religious life, and be it further RESOLVED, that we honor her for teaching young persons love truth and goodness and duty: and be it further RESOLVED, that suitable copies of this presented to her and the Sisters of St. Mary's Sister Residence. Adopted by the House of Representatives on 13, 1982."

Speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Let me have the Resolution.

Sister, here is a specially hand printed Resolution for you. I'd like to tell the Body that the Sister lives with the several other nuns and I'm not going to read their names, but there's two of them that are celebrating 65 years as nuns, and I'd like to have you take these back to those two. And there's one here for 50 years that ...and I think there's another one here for 50 and one for 25. So we wish you the...Thank you for being our Chaplain here for the last...and we'd like to have you come back sometime. Thanks very much. Representative Peters. Turn Peters on will you? Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with leave, if I might just say to Sister Tarcisius that there are many of us here, in this Assembly, who have spent a lot of years, a lot of our growing years under the direction and guidance of the good Sisters of many of the Orders and Congregations of the Church. And the last line of the Resolution read here today said that in your 60 years you have guided others to love truth, goodness and duty. To that I say Amen, and to that I say that that attitude, I think, has been very representative of the good Sisters of your Order, and the good Sisters who have served all of us

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

so well, not only in our individual lives, but in giving us examples to follow in our lives and the dedication that they have shown in teaching the children of our schools, and the dedication that they have shown by their entire lives, in spending their lives in contemplation meditation and prayer and in good works and in hard works so that we may all benefit by it, not only in this world, but primarily in the next. To you, Sister Tarcisius, I say thanks because I...through you I say thanks to Sister Ostella and Sister Miranda and Sister Francis Borgia, a whole load of good women who ve taught me in my grammar school years and who have aided and ministered to me I've been in the hospital, and who have helped my family in many other ways in terms of quidance and counsel. So it's through you I say thank you to them because in your years you have stood as an example and epitomized all the best things of the Sisters of our Church, and to you I thank you and God bless you for being Sister Tarcisius. Thank you."

Sister McCarthy: "Thank you, Representative...Mr. Peters. This has been one of the highlights of my Jubilee Year. I am really thrilled about everything that has happened so far, and thanks to all of you people, the Representatives and the General Assembly of the State of Illinois. God bless all of you. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 1267,
Keane - Macdonald, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue
Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1352, Peters,
a Bill for an Act to amend the Board of Higher Education.
First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1354, Richmond, a
Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent
expenses of Southern Illinois University. First Reading of

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "General Resolutions."

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Joint Resolution #11, Jones: House Resolution #946, Bullock; House Resolution 958, Virginia Frederick Jaffe; House Resolution 960, Irv Smith, et al."
- Speaker Ryan: "Committee on Assignments. ..Calendar on page two under the Order of House Bills, Third Reading, appears House Bill 2119. Representative Wolf. 2196, House Bill 2196. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2196, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of various state agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf."

- Wolf, J. J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the ordinary and contingent expense legislation for the Department of Conservation for Fiscal Year *83. Yes, total..total dollars was 71,626,400 dollars, plus an additional 30 dollars which was added by Amendment the other day. This is a decrease of about...almost 20 percent less than last year's appropriation, and I would ask for a favorable vote of this Assembly."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Darrow. Wait a minute.

 Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm going to rise to support this appropriation. Jake, I noticed you were slow talking today. But I'm going to rise in support of this appropriation. My only problem with the agency, as you know, when they came into the Committee, was the matter of the Department of Conservation quarters over at the Lincoln Towers. I...I think it was a substantial amount of money that we're paying for that. Other than that, it is a very lean appropriation, and I'm going to support it."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Darrow, did you change your mind? Darrow...Darrow doesn't want to. Representative Wolf, do you care to close?"
- Wolf, J. J.: "No, Mr. Speaker. I would just ask for "yes" votes."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2196 pass?'.

 All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 146 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2214, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2214, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Fire Marshal. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf."

- Wolf, J.: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 2214
 appropriates 4.6 million dollars for the ordinary and
 contingent expenses of the Office of State Fire Marshal.
 He did add approximately 700...750,000 dollars by Amendment
 for the firemen's training for Chicago and downstate by
 Amendments offered by Mr. Terzich. That did increase that
 amount by approximately 750,000 dollars. Other than that
 there's no budget increase in addition to that, and I would
 ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2214 pass?". All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 155 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.

117th Legislative Day

- May 27, 1982
- Representative Findley? House Bill 2217, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2217, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Military and Naval Department. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill appropriates 6,799,180 dollars for the ordinary and contingent expenses for Fiscal Year '83 for the Military and Naval Department."

 Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we have to defend the State of
 Illinois from all attacks from within and without, and I
 would just ask for an affirmative Roll Call. I don't want

to talk too much this morning, John."

- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2217 pass?'. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 138 voting 'aye', 12 voting 'no', 8 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Mays, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Mays: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an introduction.

 In the front center aisle we have the County Chairman of the Republican Party from Adams County, also the Superintendent of Veterans' Home in Quincy, Illinois, Richard Hap Northern, would you please welcome them to Springfield?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Welcome Richard Hap Northern to the Illinois

 House. Nice to have you with us. House Bill 2247,

 Representative Telcser. Representative McClain, you want
 to move on 2247, Representative?"
- McClain: "Please, Mr. Speaker."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2247, a Bill for an Act providing for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of State Appellate Defender. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McClain."

- McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of State Appellate Defender. The appropriations 3,348,800 dollars. It's less than introduced, and I would ask for a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2247 pass?'. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 144 voting 'aye', 14 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2279, Representative McAuliffe. Representative Wolf. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2279, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would first ask leave of the House to handle this Bill for Mr. McAuliffe. Mr. Speaker?

 For the record I'm asking ..."
- Speaker Ryan: "Yes. You have....The Gentleman asks leave to handle the Bill for Representative McAuliffe. Are there any objections?"
- Wolf, J. J.: "I would further request..."
- Speaker Byan: "Representative Matijevich?"
- Matijevich: "No objection, but I think we've worked it out with Representative Wolf to ask leave to return it to Second Reading. We adopted Amendment 6 and there's..."

117th Legislative Day

- May 27, 1982
- Speaker Ryan: "He wants leave, to first of all, to be the Sponsor...to handle the Bill."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Alright. Then the next request, Mr. Speaker..."
- Speaker Ryan: "No objections. Representative Wolf is the Sponsor of the Bill. Now, Representative Wolf."
- Wolf, J. J.: "...Is to ask that the Bill be returned to Second Reading."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman asks leave to return..."
- Wolf, J. J.: "...Leave to return ..."
- Speaker Ryan: "... House Bill 2279 to the Order of Second Reading.

 Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted.

 Representative Wolf on House Bill 2279. Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment 10, Matijevich, amends House Bill 2279..."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Matijevich on Amendment #10."
- Hatijevich: "First, I'd like to have leave to withdraw Amendment
 #6 which was adopted this...Mr. Speaker. There was a
 technical flaw."
- Speaker Ryan: "You're going to have to move to table it, the Clerk tells me."
- Matijevich: "Move..move to table Amendment #6, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #6 to House
 Bill 2279. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all
 opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's
 tabled. Now, Representative Matijevich, on Amendment #10."
- Matijevich: "Now, Amendment #10 is the same as Amendment #6,
 which was adopted, only it is now technically in order.

 It's the Amendment 25,475 dollars to Goodwill Industries.

 I move for the adoption of Amendment #10."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #10 to House Bill 2279. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and

117th Legislative Day

May 27. 1982

the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Ryan: "Third Reading. Now, the Gentleman asks leave to have House Bill 2279 heard immediately on the Order of Third Reading. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Representative Wolf on House Bill 2279." Wolf, J. J.: "Okay. Has the Clerk read the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2279, has been read a third time previously."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Thank you. House Bill 2279 appropriates 1,250,622.02 for the payment of 94 awards by the Court of Claims. This Bill, of course, provides the only means of paying the awards, and will be amended as we go along through the Session to reflect the actual awards so any other awards which are approved by the Court of Claims prior to this will be added on. I would now move, Mr. Speaker, the..the passage of House Bill 2279, as amended."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The guestion is, 'Shall House Bill 2279 pass?'. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this guestion there are 149 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Hastert and Johnson 'aye'. House Bill 2283, Representative Yourell. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2283, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Treasurer. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Yourell."
- Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2283 is the ordinary and contingent expense..expenses for the Office of State Treasurer.

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Yesterday, I believe it was, we placed Amendment #1...Representative Davis placed Amendment #1 that reduced the line items by about 78,000 dollars. I ask for approval of House Bill 2283."

- Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion? The question is, "Shall House Bill 2283 pass?". All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 154 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2370, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2370, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various state agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf."
- Wolf, J. J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the continuing Commissions and other agencies. The total amount on the Bill...the total now is 14,678,722 dollars. Included in this, of course, is our Legislative Reference Bureau's Budget, which does the Bill drafting for this House, and the Legislative Council, which is our research bureau and in-House service. Those service agencies make up 75 percent of this total, and I would ask for your affirmative votes."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Darrow."
- Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Mr. Clerk, it's 2370 on the Board. The Gentleman indicates he will."
- Darrow: "Would you explain in detail these Commissions and what their function is, and whether they issue reports, and the appropriation for each?"
- Wolf, J. J.: "I believe that would be in your analysis."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- Darrow: "Well, then let's...Let me ask this. Why does the Economic and Fiscal Commission require 9500 dollars for travel? Where do they travel?"
- Holf, J. J.: "Chicago and back."
- Darrow: "That would be for the staff?"
- Wolf, J. J.: "I would...I would suggest you ask Representative
 Pierce who happens to be the Chairman of the Economic and
 Fiscal Commission."
- Darrow: "You're the Sponsor of the Bill. That's why I'm asking you. What is the..."
- Wolf, J. J.: "I'm deferring to the wisdom of my distinguished colleague from Lake."
- Darrow: "Well, let ..let me continue by asking you what the Illinois National Guard Study Commission accomplished last year."
- Wolf, J. J.: "That was just organized. I don't think we have received a report from them yet."
- Darrow: "What do you plan to spend 25,000 dollars on for that?"
- Wolf, J. J.: "I don't plan to spend anything on it. I'm not on that Commission."
- Darrow: "Has the Council on Nutrition issued any reports or done any work during the past year?"
- Wolf, J. J.: "Yes, and our distinguished colleague, on my left, has issued an excellent report."
- Darrow: "Bell..."
- Wolf, J. J.: "As a matter of fact, he's made me a much bealthier person because of it."
- Darrow: "Well, I have the Bill analysis. I've been following these. I can follow those without any problem. Some of our other Members will just have to continue to vote blindly then on these appropriations since we're relying on our analysis, rather than the Sponsor to answer questions. Thank you."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- Wolf, J. J.: "I believe I've made an attempt to answer all of your questions as honestly as I can."
- Speaker Ryan: "Further discussion? Representative Wolf to close."
- Wolf, J. J.: "No further."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2370 pass?'.

 All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 96 voting 'aye', 49 voting 'no', 10 voting 'present'.

 This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2393, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill."
- Mr. Clerk: "House Bill 2393, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Attorney General and for the Environmental Protection Trust Fund Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf. Representative Davis."

Davis: "Hell, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the ordinary and contingent expense Bill for the Attorney General's Office which has a modest increase this year now. The total amount in the Bill is 17,434,700 dollars. There were...There was a Committee Amendment adopted requested by Representative Riquey that is a pass through Amendment for some 25,000 dollars from the..the Environmental Protection Fund to fund some education unit on a..on a litigation that I'm not sure I understand all of it. But it was apparently a very good Amendment. And, indeed, the Bill is up some appropriation is up some 5 percent, and I would be glad to answer any questions that you might have about the Bill. I think we had fair discussion on Second Reading on this Bill, and I think we all know what it does."

Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion? Representative Matijevich."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I feel obligated, as a Minority Spokesman on appropriation Bills, that I should rise and cast a 'present' vote, I believe, would be the most appropriate vote on this Bill. do so to register my feeling on what I consider to be probably, in all my time in politics, the most amateur politicking of any state officer that I've seen. I * B referring to the ads that the Attorney General put in the newspapers relative to the Drug Profit Seizure Bill, and the Grand Jury Bill. The To my knowledge, I didn't know of anybody that opposed the Drug Profit Seizure Bill, yet, the Attorney General saw fit to put these various ads in the newspaper. I don't know if he got his 50.000 dollars worth of publicity out of his campaign fund that...that he wanted to get, but I hear that some of the ... I talked to one of the Members and said, 'What was your response? . And he said, 'I got 18 responses from Now, I didn't ... I didn't see any ad from the Attorney General, for example, when the ...when who appointed him, didn't put any funds in the budget for the Metropolitan Enforcement Groups. He put an ad in the paper saying drugs kill kids, drugs ruin The Governor has yet to put any funds in the Department of Law Enforcement's appropriation Bill for Metropolitan Enforcement Groups. I didn't see that type of ad, so I think that, as one who feels that this is a .. a real amateurish type of political Act, coming from a person who ought to be the chief law enforcer in the State of Illinois, that this side of the aisle ought to register their feelings also by voting *present* on this Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Move the previous question."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

 All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed

 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Motion prevails.

 Representative Wolf, to clo...er..Representative Davis to close."
- Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I won't respond to I suppose we could get into an additional prior speaker. political tirade and haranque as we did in Committee this Bill and on the Treasurer's Bill and others, and we let those pass. I think we all know what the Bill good shape. Financially, I think we all know that the agency has to be funded, and not withstanding the politics of whatever you believe, that the Attorney General's Office is the Chief Law Enforcement Officer of this state, and certainly it has to be funded and has to continue ..its activities. So I would recommend to you that you vote 'aye', rather than 'present', on the other side of the aisle."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2393 pass?'.

 All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Representative McClain, one minute to explain your vote."
- McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, especially the Members on this side of aisle, I urge a 'present' vote. I do so because this Gentleman, the head of this agency, came from..as Director of Law Enforcement. Either the Gentleman is naive or arrogant. But never before...even Dan Walker did not use a political fund to try to enhance his governmental responsibilities in proposing legislation. I think there is nothing more devastating to a lot of people who supported the Grand Jury Bill, than to be faced with those kinds of ads in a...should not be a political Ιt

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

should not be a political issue. On those grounds, I think Democrats and especially downstate Democrats ought to be voting 'present' to register their severe disgust with this kind of acts on behalf of the Chief Law Enforcement Officer of this great state."

- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? One minute for Representative Van Duyne to explain his vote."
- Van "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'll only take about 15 seconds. But this is a good budget for the It also has that nice fat 60,000 dollars in it General. that I tried to take off yesterday that the Sponsor of this Bill defended so steadfastly in...and he has nothing to So he's got 60,000 dollars to play around spend it for. with that I don't think he needs. So I * m going to "no"."
- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Is there anybody else who would like to explain their vote? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 106 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', 51 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2481, Representative Miller."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2481, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Law Enforcement. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2481 is an appropriation to the Department of Law Enforcement to restore the MEG funding for the Fiscal Year 1983 at its Fiscal Year 1982 levels. I think most of you in the last month received the annual report from Director Zagel on the effectiveness of the MEG program. Just very shortly they had seizures in 1981 of almost 20 million dollars. They handled 1849 cases with a conviction rate of 91 percent. This funding is endorsed by

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

the County Boards and city governments throughout the state, by the Association of Chiefs of Police, and the locals contribute over 2 million dollars locally. So I think it's a very worthwhile program, and I'd be happy to have your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, would the Gentleman yield to a guestion or two?"

Miller: "Yes."

Matijevich: "Representative Miller, there was another Bill which would have..what we term as "enabling legislation", I think it was Monroe Flinn's Bill. What happened to that Bill?" Miller: "I believe it was defeated on Third Reading."

Matijevich: "Yes, that...that was a substantive Bill that would have provided a funding mechanism. Also, are you aware if there's any monies in the Department of Law Enforcement to fund the MEG units right now?"

Miller: "It's my understanding that a few nights ago the Senate did add this money back in, and the reason I'm pursuing with this Bill is to show the resolve of the House and the will of the House to see that this is indeed supported. I think this Bill was important..."

Matijevich: "Do you think for one moment, especially after all those ads that your Attorney General has sent all over the state, do you think for one moment that the...the Appropriations Committee, the majority of which you control, would for one moment not support the MEG funds the Department of Law Enforcement when it comes before the House Appropriations Committee? Do you think for one moment that those that built...that those funds are going to be cut? Do you think for one moment that the House isn't going to show it's resolve where it really belongs,

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

in the Department of Law Enforcement's appropriation Bill?"
Miller: "That would be pure speculation on my part,
Representative. And I'd..."

Matijevich: "I'd like an answer. I'd like an answer. Do you think that those funds are going to be cut out of the Department of Law Enforcement's appropriation Bill?"

Miller: "If you hadn't interrupted me you would have gotten the rest of my answer. I don't think that they will."

Matijevich: "Well, good, I'm glad you feel that way, because as long as you do, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to There's no doubt in anybody's mind what this Bill is I'm sure you already got your press releases out, for. Representative Miller, and that's all that's necessary. you've got everybody that you want to contact You know. to...in order to show them that you're for drug like everybody is. 1 was not for the elimination of the funds in the Department La w Enforcement. I didn't have a press release to tell anybody just a matter of my policy. That was your It was Governor, your Governor, that made that stupid elimination of those funds. You didn't have a press release, I'll bet, denouncing your Governor for eliminating those funds. I*11 bet you didn't have a press release doing that. And I'll tell you, if I was running against you I'd have press release telling the people that this is the type of duplication that cost taxpayers money, that cost Because every introduction of a Bill cost some monev. It's a duplication and a waste to have two monies. only one is logical right where it belongs, in the Department of Law Enforcement. I'm going to vote...I most of you ought to vote "present" on this Bill imagine because a 'no' vote would always be misinterpreted. Because people are not aware that the funds are where they

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

belong right now, right this moment they are in the..in the...out of the Senate; the Bill coming into the House and the Department of Law Enforcement, they re there. in place. To pass another Bill just because you political rhetoric all over your district is asinine. It's stupid and I can*t...I can*t be for it. I*m going to vote 'no'. I can tell my people and justify my 'no' vote. Some may be afraid to vote 'no', but not me. I'll stand tall to my people and say, 'I was for...for drug enforcement 1 wasn't acting, like the the start. Governor, to eliminate those funds. The Governor, of all people, here he appoints Fahner as Attorney General. Fahner's got these stupid damn ads, and he appoints that guy marching all over the State of Illinois and say, 'I am for the elimination of And yet the Governor has the stupidity, if you drugs. will, to eliminate funds for drug enforcement. stupid and you're just as stupid in passing this type of Bill. I'm sorry for that. That wasn't personal. That was...That was a rhetorical type of a remark." was...That

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Davis." Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's refreshing to see a nonpartisan speech coming from our distinguished colleague from Lake I don't know whether his comment about the Governor's stupidity was personal or not. And I'm not even sure that that's...that's true, Representative. However, I think that all people make mistakes, including Governors. And when this was taken out of the budget, was a mistake. It was obvious. And I don't think that the money would have been put back in in the Senate had it not been for Representative Miller's Bill to bring this to attention of the I firmly believe House. Representative Miller's Bill created enough stir created enough interest in this..in this area that the

117th Legislative Day

May 27. 1982

reversal of position was..was totally consistent with..with good judgment in..in looking back and finding out that you have made a mistake. And I...You can't trust the Senate. You don't know what they're going to do over there. We all know that. And they put the money back in in a Conference Committee; they may take it back out or whatever. I think we ought to pass this Bill and get this Bill out and make certain that the House statement on the MEG unit funding is total and absolute and serve notice on the Senate and the Governor that we intend to continue with this program and we intend to fund it. And I recommend an 'aye' vote to you because I think a 'no' vote indicates a failure of resolve, despite what the distinguished Gentleman from Lake said."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Byan: "Indicates he will."

Leverenz: "Could you tell us how much is actually in the Senate

Bill that funds the same identical thing as you have this

Bill for?"

Miller: "Representative, I believe it is the same dollar amount, or within a few dollars of that, which is a 1,309,250 dollars."

Leverenz: "Same dollar amount?"

Miller: "To the best of my knowledge I believe it is."

Leverenz: "And you merely want to show the resolve of the House by passing this Bill?"

Miller: "Well, Representative, my Bill was introduced long before
the Amendment in the Senate was offered, and the Amendment
in the Senate was not offered until after the Governor had
indicated the change of position. And, I think it
certainly is our prerogative to continue with this Bill,
and that's what I intend to do."

Leverenz: "Are you aware of how we handled this same situation

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

three years ago?"

Miller: "I wasn't here three years ago, and I'm not aware of that, Sir."

Leverenz: "Let me explain that we just simply amended the Department of Law Enforcement's Bill to include the amount of money to operate that function of government. Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. Clearly the Gentleman wants to show his resolve with 35 Sponsors of this Bill. Those 35 Sponsors all sit on the same side of the aisle. If the Gentleman wanted to show the resolve of the House he would have come across the aisle to get, I would believe, some bipartisan support to show the total resolve of the House. I intend to vote 'no' on the Bill because this is the exact thing that allows the Coalition for Political Honesty to run all over the state and point their finger at the General Assembly for acting so irresponsibly. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Miller to close."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In closing, I'll be very brief and only ask for your 'aye' vote. I believe that, in fact, I did ask my opponent to be a Cosponsor of the Bill, and I believe that he is such. I don't see it as a reelection Bill, and think that we should vote 'aye'. And I would earnestly solicit your 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2481 pass?'.

All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record,

Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 119 voting 'aye', 15

voting 'no', 26 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page three of the Calendar, appears Senate Bills,

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Third Reading. Senate Bill 1385. Read the Bill. Are you ready to go with that, Representative Matijevich? Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1385, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent and distributive expenses of the State Comptroller. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Matijevich."

- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a measure, a appropriation of 50,668 dollars. This is to restore funds that the General Assembly had to expend in that amount when Senator Sam Shapiro....Dave, I said the same thing in Committee. Dave Shapiro died in August of '81, and those funds were suspended, expended for the surviving spouse. I ask the House to support Senate Bill 1385. There's no opposition."
- Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion? The question is, "Shall House
 Bill 1385 (sic, Senate Bill 1385), pass?". All in favor
 will signify by...Senate Bill 1385 pass?". All in favor
 will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'.
 Have all voted who wish? Take...is your key turned? On
 the Board, Representative. Have all voted who wish? Take
 the record. On this question there are 153 voting 'aye',
 none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having
 received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
 passed. Senate Bill 1395, Representative Wikoff. Read the
 Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1395, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State..."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Darrow, for what purpose do you seek recognition? On this Bill? Representative Wikoff on Senate Bill 1395."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1395, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois State Scholarship

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1395 appropriates 250,000 to the Illinois State Scholarship Commissions for FY *82 National Guard Scholarships. These are available to pay tuition and fees at public institutions at ..any member of the Illinois National Guard. They ended up short last year. This is...This should fund all of the scholarship claims for FY *82. And, provides 824...824,334 to the U. of I. for the U. of I. Athletic Association. These were the monies which were proceeds received from the Lottery which was adopted by both the House and the Senate last year. This is the funding mechanism to transfer those funds to the U. of I. Athletic Association."

Speaker Ryan: "Well, now, Representative Darrow, did you care to...Your.. Representative Keane?"

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates he'll yield. Representative Stiehl in the Chair."

Keane: "Yes. Yes. Virgil, I am going to support this Bill, but what I wanted to do was to get some information into the record. In terms of the funds for the University of Illinois, those...can you explain how those are going to be given out? Will they be given to the University of Illinois for distribution to the Athletic Association?"

Wikoff: "Yes. These are appropriated to the...in accordance with the Bill last year, to the University of Illinois for distribution to the U. of I. Athletic Association, which is technically not a state..er..not a function of the State of Illinois, but is an affiliate of the...affiliated with the University of Illinois. And that is the terminology which they have agreed and I think everybody's agreed upon

117th Legislative Day

May 27. 1982

and which was also stipulated in the record in the committee...in the hearing...in the Committee hearing."

Keane: "As ...As an affiliate then, they will be submitting a budget and the funds will be expended on the basis of that budget. Is that correct?"

Wikoff: "I would assume so ... "

Keane: "I mean, it may be..."

Wikoff: "Yes...Yes."

Keane: "It may be an internal budget, but..."

Wikoff: "Yes. Yes."

Keane: "That will be...Thank you very much." >

Speaker Stiehl: "Is there further discussion? Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield? He indicates he will."

Speaker Stiehl: "Indicates...He indicates he will."

Leverenz: "The question of Representative Keane, and to this appropriation, this is 842,000 dollars to the Athletic Fund, is that correct?"

Wikoff: "To the University for...for the Athletic Association."

Leverenz: "For the Athletic Association."

Wikoff: "Yes."

Leverenz: "Are you aware that on multiple occasions there has been questions raised, especially with the Athletic Fund..er..the Athletic Association and the foundations that they claim that they are not state agencies? And you almost said they are not a state agency."

Wikoff: "That's true. They are affiliated with the University of Illinois. They are not a state agency."

Leverenz: "Why then are we giving money to a non-state agency?"

Wikoff: "It's to the University of Illinois for that usage and
that was the terminology which was placed in the Bill last
year which all...in fact I even think you voted for, in
this ...the affiliated..er..affiliate of the University of

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Illinois was agreed by the Auditor General and I think Representative Keane, as far as the question was raised in the Audit Commission."

- Leverenz: "I understand that. And that's exactly the point. In the Audit Commission, the Association or the Poundations, they're the ones that keep men running everything and then they tell us that they're not state agencies. To further clarify then, if we appropriate this money and it goes to this Association, it is your opinion that they are, in fact, a state agency because they are receiving a state appropriation. Is that right?"
- Wikoff: "That was...That was not what I said. I very clearly stated that they were not a state agency. It was to the University of Illinois they were affiliated as with the University of Illinois."
- Leverenz: "Well, I understood that we were only to appropriate agencies. money for state To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. Madame Speaker. Clearly we have a situation here that just imagination. staggers the Apparently vе are appropriating money to non-state agencies. If they are not state agencies, they must be private. And I just can't agree with that at all. The University has had a number of problems. I don't exactly know how they're going to straighten them all out, and perhaps, this is a way to send a message to the University of Illinois so that it can pass the word on to its so-called affiliates that claim to be exempt and to be non-state agencies, that, in fact they are agencies. simply рv the fact that we appropriating money to them. Thank you."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Rule 55 (b) requires that when a Member rises that he address the Chair as 'Mr. Speaker', and I would move to suspend that Rule while you are in the Chair

117th Legislative Day

May 27. 1982

to permit that we may address you as "Madame Speaker". I'd

Speaker Stiehl: "The Gentleman asks leave to suspend the provisions of Rule 55 (d) (sic 55B)."

Getty: "55 (b)."

Speaker Stiehl: "Leave? Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Further discussion on the Bill. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Madame Speaker and Members of the House. reluctant to oppose a Bill of my distinguished colleague from Champaign, but I think Representative Leverenz has put his finger on something that's pretty delicate and pretty important. We believe and..that all funds spent by the state should be appropriated by this Body. We also believe that any agency that receives state funds should be a state be subject to audit. We're beginning to have all kinds of problems and of course, you saw what happened at the University of Illinois because of lack of proper management. They are running these funds back and forth pretty...sometimes it's pretty hard to tell what is University Funds and what is Foundation Funds and so on. So I think it, at one time, at some point in time, they either have to say they're a state agency, or they're not. If they're not a state agency they're not entitled to the appropriation. If they are, then they're subject to audit. It's very simple. If they're willing to submit to an audit, I will ... and we can have the ... that substantiated here, then I will withdraw my objection. But I think that money that's appropriated by this Body ought to be subject to audit. It's public funds and the Constitution specifically says that all public funds are subject to audit."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Alexander."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Alexander: "Thank you, Madame Chairperson. I'd like to say an objection to the Bill, I'm going to vote 'no'. That any person in this House that say that they have a humane spirit, that will vote for funding to an athletic group, and I am as much for athletics and physical ed and good body conditioning as any person in this House. And yesterday we stood here on this floor and voted down any appropriation to those persons who may have to go up on a dialysis machine in order to save their lives. If you vote green I hope you sleep good tonight. Thank you."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Kelley."

Kelley, Jim: "Madame Chairman, I move the previous question."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall the main guestion be put?'. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Wikoff to close."

Wikoff: "Yes, thank you, Madame Speaker. These, I think one thing that should be corrected that many people are...are questioning on here, these funds, as any other affiliate of the University, can be audited. They have been. Foundation Funds have been audited by the State General, and these funds are subject to audit, just like anything else. And, they also...Yes, Auditor General. thank you. They also ... What we are doing is the complying with the Bill which was passed by a very large majority in both Houses and signed by the Governor last year to provide these funds from the Lottery system, and this is a mechanism to provide them to the University of Illinois for transfer to the Athletic Association. I'd ask favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Stiehl: "Bould the cameraman in the balcony please stand back from the railing so there's no danger of the camera falling on any Members of the House? Thank you very much.

117th Legislative Day

- May 27, 1982
- The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1395 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

 Representative Oblinger to explain her vote."
- Oblinger: "Madame Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, I
 was very interested in the dialogue that was going all
 around why you weren't going to vote for this Bill. I
 would suggest if you feel so strongly about this money that
 you all refuse those great free tickets you get to the
 football games and we wouldn't have to ask for this money."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Bepresentative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Madame Speaker, I'd just like to remind some of the people, like Ralph Dunn, for instance, who's frightened to vote for a gambling Bill, that there's a few hundred thousand dollars of gambling dollars in this appropriation. You might want to keep your 'Simon pure' record. Rigney and a few other of the guys that grab all they can of the Agricultural Premium Fund, Bopp is up there and a few others of the 'Holy Rollers'. You'd better get off. These are gambling dollars."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Brummer. Representative Robbins."
- Robbins: "It really....It really is too bad that us people live in...get a little money out of the Ag Premium Fund, raise the horses, feed them and take care of them all year so we can run them up there for just a little while."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 133 voting "aye", 14 voting 'no', 18 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Calendar on page two on House Bills, Second Reading appears House Bill 2222. Representative Ryan. Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise?"

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Peters: "For the purpose of a Motion, Madame Speaker."

Speaker Stiehl: "Proceed."

- Peters: "The Bills which appear on page two, Second Reading, were read a second time yesterday. Amendments will be added today and hopefully we can vote on them for Third Reading. The purpose of this Motion is to suspend the appropriate rules so that we can amend the Bills where necessary and vote on those Bills today on Third Reading, rather than putting individual Motions on each of the Bills. I would now move, Madame Speaker, that House Rule 35 (c) and any other appropriate rules be suspended so that House Bills 2222, 2223, 2426...2456, 2457, 2458, 2459 may be amended and heard and voted on on Third Reading today."
- Speaker Stiehl: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is leave granted? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Alright.

 House Bill 2222. Representative Peters."
- Peters: "Madame Speaker, I would ask leave for use of the Attendance Roll Call in passing that Motion."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Leave is granted. Now, House Bill 2222. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2222 has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf, on the Bill. Are there any Motions filed?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed in respect to Amendment #1."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Keane McClain, amends House
 Bill 2222, as amended."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, (sic, Madame Speaker), Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. We were discussing this Amendment...this Amendment yesterday when the Bill was taken out of the record. And there was a good bit of

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

discussion on it prior to the Bill being taken out of the record. What Amendment #2 does, and I think it's important that you're aware of it, we have...we've made a cut, or the budget ... the Bill. as unamended, made a cut which would reduce the size of the House staff. Now, this is the staff and assistants of the Speaker and the Minority Leader, well as our research staff and operations. As we all know, when we went through...because of the reduction of the size of the House, I don't think that it's logical to reduce the size of the staff. Other states that have had a reduction in their size of their House have found that they needed more staff. That there was a greater dependency on staff because you had fewer Members to carry the load. found that in a number of ... in almost all cases, that the..the needs of the staff are ..are based not on so much the number of Members that House has, or a General Assembly but on the dollars in the budget and on the total population within the state. We will have, even with the reduced House, the same number of appropriation Bills that we've always had. It's not logical for us to reduce the appropriations staff by one-third simply because we are reducing the House. We may well have to add to that staff because there will be one-third fewer Members to carry the load that we have in the appropriations process. The thing applies to the substantive side. It's also going to be very difficult for us to recover, if we let them go, the talented staff that we have on both sides of the aisle. we lose the staff, it's going to take us a great deal time to replace them. If you take a look at the candidates for the House of Representatives next year, that will be here next year, we will probably have a great number freshmen Legislators. It's very , very important from my viewpoint that we have a cont...that we have a continuity

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

of staff, that we keep the resources, it's a very valuable resource that we have, and that we are going to need them very, very much. I would ask that you support my Amendment. And in the event that we do have... If we do find the ... that our experience is different, it would be easier then, at that point, sometime this next year to terminate or not fill vacancies if we find that we don't need the staff. I'd ask for your favorable consideration. I'll be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker (sic, Madame Speaker), and Members of the House, I think the Members ought to look closely at House Bill 2222 and the Amendments being offered to the I must say that at first blush, my thought process and my thinking about the Assembly's budget for the ensuing fiscal year concurred with the Gentleman and others who are offering Amendment #2. But, Mr. Speaker, Members of the I've been reflecting on this question for the last day or two, and I've come to a different conclusion, and I believe the Members of the House likewise, ought to give this matter very serious thought. Last year, Mr. Members of the House, Illinois citizens spoke loud and They want Illinois Government reduced. And they clear. to reduce the costs of running the Legislative wanted Branch of Government. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think by adopting this Amendment we simply thumb our nose at Illinois citizens and Illinois taxpayers. think we're obligated, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to do what the Illinois citizens want to do, that is to reduce the size of Government, and to spend less of their money. Now, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this branch of government always has been, and probably

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

the whipping boy of the editorial pages. be. say, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if we're interested in preserving any semblance of integrity for this branch of government, we ought to pay close attention to Illinois voters and Illinois taxpayers. The Members who are contested, the few that are contested, are running in new districts. And I can assure you, in my judgment, the new voters whom you'll be facing will be looking at Roll Calls such as this to ascertain whether or not you are complying with the wishes of Illinois citizens who voted by a large majority to cut back the size of this House, and to reduce government. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I must say that it pains me to make these remarks because I fought vigorously against the Amendment, and I've always been one in the past who has felt that this branch government ought to have the tools necessary in which to function. But, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't think we are in a position to turn our backs on Illinois citizens who overwhelmingly voted to cut size. So, Mr. Speaker and Members, I think we ought to give Illinois citizens the kind of government they want. And I think we ought to vote *no*."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Madame Chairman and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and specifically the Majority Leader, two-thirds of us, Art, hope to return to this House. Obviously, the workload will be distributed, the same workload or a greater workload will be distributed on us, those of us who return. loss of staff will be inadequate...inadequate understanding of the issues and problems not only appropriations, but in all the issues that come before the General Assembly. While politically it mav sound reasonable to subscribe to the reduction of the House by

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

the reduction of staff, practically it is not. I think us who opposed the reduction of the House indicated that the work load would be greater and staff costs would be higher. I think the people of Illinois are ready to accept that. They have achieved their purpose, wrongful purpose of reducing the size of the House. still must have adequate representation in our votes for the people of Illinois. And to achieve that adequate representation we must understand what's going on in this House, and understand what's going on in this House with greater workload means the necessity of more staff, or at least equal staff. To let down the people of Illinois, improper voting, through lack of understanding, doesn't achieve the end they desire I'm certain. probably will get smaller and many of us will be on more Committees. To keep up presently with the problems is a I think very seriously, Mr. Majority Leader. I'll...And you are not returning, I understand. seriously. that the men and women of this General Assembly who do return will want to represent the people of Illinois and they can only do that with adequate staff Therefore, I would recommend to this representation. General Assembly, both sides of the aisle, those people who will come back to share the load, that we retain this staff. And I am certainly in accord with this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I wasn't sent here by the editorial boards. It isn't to the editorial boards that I..I owe my responsibility as a Legislator, nor to what might have been in the minds of individuals voting on the cutback Amendment in November of 1980. What they voted in November of 1980 was to cut 59

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Members, cut 59 Members' salaries, to cut 59 Members' diems and travel expenses. My understanding of what the people of this state want, people who, in number, were not cut back by the cut back Amendment, is adequate and responsible service from the elected Representatives will remain after January 1983. My understanding of my responsibility to those people is to see to it provide the kind of service that they deserve. That service requires adequate staff support, adequate staff Pat Quinn wants to put on the ballot in 1984 an Amendment that cuts back the number of staff in the Illinois House and in the Illinois Senate, fine. do it. He didn't, and I'm not going to assume that the voters intended these kinds of cuts when they voted to end 59 seats in this Legislature. To be responsible to the people of the State of Illinois, more than 11 million of them, we require the kind of staff support that will available only through Amendment 2 to House Bill 2222, and

I urge responsible Legislators to support that Amendment."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Who's the...is Representative Keane the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Speaker Stiehl: "Yes."

Johnson: "Yes. A question of the Sponsor. You may have explained this in your initial comments, but can you tell me, Representative Keane, with the certainty of 59 less Legislators why that wouldn't mean an appropriate and equivalent reduction in the amount of necessary staff? That doesn't make sense to me."

Keane: "Yes, I'd be happy to answer that. Other states that have reduced the size of their House have found that the reduction does, in no way, cuts down the amount of work that they have to do. The amount of appropriation Bills,

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

for instance, that we have to pass will remain pretty constant whether they are 15 of us or 178 of us. #e have to move certain amount of legislation to meet the needs of the people of the State of Illinois. The other states that have cut back have found that the amount of work that has to be done is based on budget level, and we're pretty high. We've got ... we've got a budget some 14 to 15 population of the state. and on the The population means that different interest groups, different constituencies have to be served, and that is more of a governing factor of how the .. the workload of the General And two last...one last thing. They found out Assembly. that those who have reduced the size of their House have had to have more staff. They ve had to go out and hire ...increase that."

Johnson: "To the Bill, then, Mr. Speaker and Members If there was ever a classic example, and I certainly respect the .. the Sponsor of this Amendment. personally and professionally, but I certainly disagree with him on this. Because if there was ever an example, classic example, of a self-fulfilling prophecy, this is it. For us to say that other states have done X, Y and Z, and because we want to have some kind of a justification for increasing, in effect, increasing the per capita staff allowance of the taxpayers money, this is it. There may be an equal number of appropriations matters, Legislators that are here are responsible, and I think the vast majority of them are, there's going...there ought be a significant reduction in the number of substantive Bills that are introduced. That's part of the reason for the cut back. I guess we're going to see the results, the potential results of that. But if every time we come along here we say, 'Other states have done this and we

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

need this and we really need that, there's not going to be any possibility for the cutback to work because we're going to not make it work. Now, a lot of you disagree. A lot of people within this chamber have legitimate disagreements on whether the voters were right or not. But I think that if we're going to give this experiment a chance and we're going to give it a legitimate chance to work in economic terms, this is an area that it ought to be .. that it to be done in. In addition to that, the number of people that each one of us represent is going to be cut in Right now there's an incredible amount of duplication between three Legislators that represent the same groups Now we're only going to have 95,000 people to people. represent, and we're still going to say, despite the reduction of 59 Legislators, and despite the fact that each of those is reduced in half, we're going to keep the same appropriation for staff that we've had in the That doesn't make sense. It's a self-fulfilling years. prophecy. And if яе really believe in fiscal responsibility and we really believe in giving a voice to the will of the people, we ought to vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you know, when we voted...when they voted for the cut back Amendment, I think the voters probably felt that they're going to reduce some payments, 28,000 times 59 is a million six hundred some thousand dollars. However, think for a moment what you're doing to yourself. You know, you've heard the expression sometimes of 'I shot myself in the foot', because we don't have individual staffs. We have staffs for a Committee. And all of us are..the staff is available to us. Now, there isn't going to be, I don't

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

believe, a lesser number of Committees. And surely as one who has been involved in the appropriations process. as a Chairman and a Minority Spokesman, I really don't know what we would do if we had less staff. Think about that for a moment. You know, it's a 14 plus billion dollar the taxpayers would be cheated if we I think budget. wouldn't have adequate staff to overview the They're not saving a cent. Let me tell you that. process. we're going is back to the last year's level. And all We're not increasing. But we would if we don't pass Amendment, eliminate some of our present staff. Now. what's going to happen if we do that? You know, came when the only thing we had was our here, at one time, desks. We didn't have an office. Our .. our whole office desk that I'm sitting at. Not one of us had a was this And then, as we involved into the process, the only had staff were the Leadership, ones that Republican and Democratic Leadership. Now, think for a moment what you're going to do. You're going to evolve a process going backwards where the staff...where the staff is going to work for the Speaker or the Minority..and the Minority Leader. And not for the Membership. Now. that's a dangerous thing, I believe. I think the staff belongs to everybody. And, I really have to compliment both Republican and Democratic staff. They do a heck of a And let me tell you, voters, that you would be shortchanging yourselves if by not passing this Amendment, we reduced the staff that works for you. They work they earn every cent of the monies that are given to them. I believe that anybody who would be voting against this Amendment, all you're doing is playing, I think, dirty politics. You're trying to say by voting against this Amendment that somehow you're going to get all those voters

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

that voted for the cutback Amendment. We're saving them monies by 28,000 dollars per the 29...59 Members. But. believe me, if you don't support this Amendment, you are not doing anything responsible at all. And I don't want to return to that day when a little clique, a little clique, runs this whole House. I think the voters said that 177 Members are too cumbersome. I.. I disagreed with that. 'small d, that the House is more quote, believe democratic', than the ...than the Senate. But I really believe that we need adequate, strong, good, responsible, responsive staff, responsive to all of us, and as one hopes that he returns, God and the voters willing, I want to see that staff here, and I'm going to support Representative Keane proudly on this Amendment."

- Speaker Stiehl: "Further discussion? Representative Hudson.

 Representative Swanstrom."
- Swanstrom: "Thank you. Thank you, Madame Speaker. I move the previous question."
- Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Keane to close."

Keane: "I will yield to my Cosponsor, Representative Madigan."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "He said, 'Madigan'. Madame Chair..er..Madame Speaker, first of all, we'd request a Roll Call, if you would, please. Secondly, Madame Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment is not an Amendment that we pose lightly to the Membership for consideration. Notwithstanding speech 32-cb amended by Mr. Telcser, Mr...the Majority Leader, we stand firm that this is really a bipartisan nonpartisan Amendment for the citizens of Illinois, not just for the House of Representatives. Ladies and Gentlemen, what Mr. Matijevich said is very

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

If you think that because the size of the House is reduced by a third, that all of a sudden we're going to abolish the Judiciary Committee, Public Utility Committee and Executive Committee, I think you're wrong. Committees, those 23, 24 Committees are still qoing We still have to staff those Committees. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, right now in appropriation process we are at a disadvantage with the Bureau of the Budget. We are at a disadvantage of all budgetary Committees of all the Departments in Government. We have dedicated staff, both sides of the aisle. and we require them long hours to be here with us and to try to ferret out what is right for us to vote and to represent the citizens of the State of Illinois. This is not either a pro-George Ryan or anti-George Ryan Amendment. This is a pro-State Government Amendment. House of Representatives, the Legislative Branch, is at a disadvantage. Mr. Reilly and I, year after year after try to build a good, solid bipartisan budgetary Committee, and we've never been able to pass it. good staff. The Amendment here will only bring us up to the appropriation level of the present year. If you vote 'no'. what vou are doing is you're moving the appropriation, for instance the research staff, back two back two years. That means the staff will have to be dismissed, and that would be awful, not only for citizens of Illinois, but for your own effectiveness and your own Legislative Districts. So on those grounds, I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no'. Did you speak in debate, Representative Kosinski?"

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Kosinski: "May I say one more..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Madame Speaker, Members of the House, in explaining my vote, I'd like to suggest that I remember a lot of people standing on this floor voting against their pay increases. But they're the first ones down to cash their paychecks. remember a lot of Members in this House are the first ones to get up and criticize the increase of the gas allowance. And they're the first ones to complain if the Doorkeeper don't have their paycheck on time each week. I saw a lot hypocrisy going on in this House, but the greatest hypocrisy is when somebody says that we shouldn't be equal to the State Senate. That's what you're talking about. There's no cut back in this Bill on the State staffing. But you're talking about cutting back your House needs of the citizens of Illinois are not staffing. The going away because there's going to be 59 less of us here. The needs are still going to have to be met and you're going to have to have greater and greater participation of staff to do the work of those exit 59. If there's ever a need for an increase in the staff, this is the time to have it, so that you're on par with the ... "

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Collins to explain his vote." Collins: "Well. thank you, Madame Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A lot's been said about cutting back on staff, and that's not true. The budget, proposed by the Speaker, is a manageable budget which would allow present staff to continue to operate. This is a cost feature, but ...it is a manageable budget, as proposed by the Speaker, and not one staff person would be cut out under this proposal. As a matter of fact, in the current budget money for staff is going to be lapsed. So don't believe any of the false cries, the cries of wolf,

117th Legislative Day

May 27. 1982

of firing people that are working for us now. It just is not going to happen. But I....let me say a word further that I think too often we have become so dependent on staff that we're allowing them to think for our..for us. So often you'll hear a Member say, the synopsis says this and the synopsis says that. I say to so many of you, when's the last time you read a Bill? You can rely too much on staff. We have ample staff right now. We have excellent staff and we're going to keep them. But this money, as proposed by the Speaker, it will do the job that we want done with the people that we have done now and done in an economical, frugal method, and I think this Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I regret seeing all those red lights on the Board, and it's too bad that the Speaker House wants to make this a campaign issue. This isn't a campaign issue. He's not going to be a Member of the House next year. He's probably not going to be involved in government next year. So the issue that I see is that here go, kowtowing to the newspapers, making sure that we..that we've got an opportunity to get that big red vote the Board so that we can go back to our district and on mislead the people in our district. The staff we've got in the House is excellent. It's bipartisan. It does a great job for both sides of the aisle, and it's regretful that we've got so many people who want to kowtow to newspapers and worry about campaign issues. The issue that should be facing is how we're going to organize the House next year and how we're going to have competent staff who are trained and equipped to do the job that we I'm very sorry to see those 90 red votes. It's a mistake, but, you know, people do what they've got to do

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

elections."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Madame Speaker, if the Majority party in this House was sincere, they could lop off this expense money by increased productivity. Your smoke screen is working well. You resent what our staff has found out about your scandalous behavior of your department heads, the inefficiency of your department heads, the arrogance and the insolence of your department heads. Your smoke screen is working well. Our people have found you...find all over this country pulling...thinking you ought to be indicted about. You want to hide that stuff, so you think you'll cut our staff and we're not going to find it out. Well, the citizens are telling us everyday. We don't need the staff. Go ahead and cut it."

Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 62 voting 'aye', 170 voting 'no' and the Amendment is...Amendment #2 to House Bill 2222 is lost. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Stiehl: "Third Reading.Inadvertently moved this
Bill. It is still on Second Reading. Further Amendments,
Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments. A Motion, "I move to table
Amendment #1 to House Bill 2222", Representative Telcser."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Madame Speaker, I distinctly heard in the record to hold, that this Bill was moved to Third Reading. You have to ask for leave to bring this back to Second Reading. And without that leave, and I object to that leave. And if he

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

wants to make a Motion to move it back, that's fair. But don't push us around because you're a Lady."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Hanahan, there was pending business still on the House floor relating to this Bill, and it cannot be moved as long as there is pending business on the floor. It was my mistake. The Bill is still on Second Reading. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Madame Speaker, Members of the House..Madame Speaker?"

Speaker Stiehl: "State your point, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker...Madame Speaker, I don't know where you pulled that ruling from, and I'm sure the Parliamentarian is a lot smarter. Now get around this ruling. Did the Gentleman vote on the prevailing side to contravene the Motion that he's trying to take off? How did the Gentleman vote?"

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Madame Speaker, if the Gentleman would let me put my Motion, he will then find out, I am not moving to reconsider the Motion by which it was adopted. I, frankly, don't know if I voted on the prevailing side or not. My Motion is, Madame Speaker, to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 2222."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Madame Speaker, I rise to a point of order. The record clearly indicates that the Speaker moved this Bill from Second Reading to Third Reading. The Chair has consistently, over my objections and my plea to fairness, refused to return from Third Reading to Second Reading when there were pending Motions, when there were requests in the record for Fiscal Notes, and when the Chair had moved it, the Chair refused during this term to return it, even though there were things pending. I suggest to you, Madame Speaker, that you are...your purported bringing it back is

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

clearly out of order. I have filed this very term within the last month a dissent to the Speaker moving, under similar circumstances, when we had pending a Fiscal Note, refusing our rights to bring it back. Now, Madame Speaker, I suggest to you, clearly you need a Motion to bring this back. It's on Third Reading. You said it was on Third Reading. Until there is a Motion to move it back to Second Reading, it should stay on Third Reading."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Madame Speaker, Members of the House, the last thing I want to happen is for the Lady in the Chair to suffer verbal abuse which, in my opinion, she does not deserve. There are many...There are many people who preside on that podium who are treated a little differently. But, Madame Speaker, I now move then, Madame Speaker, that House Bill 2222 be moved from the Order of Third Reading to the Order of Second Reading, out of respect for you, Madame Speaker."

Speaker Stiehl: "The Gentleman has moved to return House Bill

2222 from the Order of Third Reading to the Order of Second Reading. Does the Gentleman have leave? On that Motion, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "I'd like to speak on the Motion, Madame Speaker, if

I...I mean...I mean I'm sorry I'm in the minority here..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Proceed, Representative."

Hanahan: "And sometimes, you know, people in the majority like to tread on the minority. But there are rules protecting us, and I might point out, Madame Speaker, the rules were put in by the Democrats that we're operating under. I don't object to continuing operating under those rules, but they aren't to protect the minority, which you happen to have been in last time. Now, Madame Speaker, this Motion is absolutely a hypocritical Motion to contravene the action of this House, that clearly and significantly passed an

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Amendment yesterday. It took a whole day to sneak around to try and get that Amendment off. That's for the pay raises for the secretaries. Everyone in this House knows, and Ladies and Gentlemen, if I were a secretary working for some of you phonies that want to increase your own every which way you can, and don't want to increase the pay of your secretaries, I'd quit. No wonder you want to drive people into unions. It's the kind of hypocrisy and the kind of tyranny that you're now exhibiting of trying to say that your secretaries are not worth what the Senate secretaries are worth, that somehow, some secretaries are worth more than others. And even go as far as to say this, that somebody hired off the street is worth more than someone who's dedicated themselves for ten years here. That's what this Notion is signifying. That's all And if you're so gutless and so asking to be vote on. spineless that you can't stand up for the people who are administrative assistants... I heard that crap yesterday, yet some secretaries make 25,000 are worth more..."

- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Hanahan, would you confine your remarks to the Motion, please?"
- Hanahan: "I'm making a Motion...that I'm talking to the Motion to table, Madame Speaker. The reason why this Motion is being made is for the purposes of..."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Collins, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Collins: "Madame Speaker, the Motion is to return to Second Reading. He's speaking to the ...to the Motion...to the Amendment. I would suggest that you keep it...ask him to direct his remarks to the Motion to return to Second. And as a matter of fact, I think he's used more than enough time already."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "And the reason why "

Speaker Stiehl: "To the Motion, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "...And the reason why I'm speaking out very clearly and succinctly and some people are holding their legs together because they can't take the heat, let me tell you, Madame Speaker, the reason I'm speaking out is because I don't want this Motion to be brought back to Second Reading. These people are wetting their pants right now. even want a Roll Call. But I'm going to ask you for a Roll Call on this Motion, and I hope those secretaries over there are listening, and I hope they check the Roll Call, and I hope they check how their...how their Sponsors supposedly are voting on this for their own welfare. here's what gets me most, Madame Speaker, the reason why I'm against this Motion, is yesterday somebody came to me and said that certain secretaries are not secretaries, even though they make as high as 30,000 dollars a year, than Members of the House. I asked that Gentleman a question. I said, is that girl doing anything more or less than my secretary. Is she providing any more legislative assistance than our secretary? And the answer is no, that there's a truly an unfairness, and no wonder your Leaders are out there trying to twist your arms and trying to ask you to vote for this Motion, because their secretaries are making 20 and 25 thousand a year, while you're saying up to 30---"

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Epton."

Hanahan: "... While some of your secretaries..."

Epton: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. This is the first time in the many years I've been in the Legislature with the Gentleman on the other side of the floor that I've ever asked him to refrain from any venomous remarks and confine his remarks

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

to the Motion. The Motion is to return this to Second Reading. He's too fine an individual to continue in the vein he's doing. He's creating animosities where none should exist. This is not a personal issue. He knows me well enough to know that I agree with him in many instances in what he says. And I hope he will confine his remarks to the Motion."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Chapman. Representative Hanahan, bring your remarks to a close, please, Sir."

Hanahan: "Yes. I will, Madame Chairman. I think I have the right of the floor like any other Member. I'm sorry that if somebody feels offended. I apologize for being But I'm speaking in behalf of people who can't offensive. speak on the floor of this House. I'm speaking on secretaries, the loyal secretaries over there, who aren't privileged to speak on this floor of the House against this Motion. The Motion is very specific, and for a purpose of reduce and to remove the Amendment #1 that was adopted to this Bill. That's the reason why this Motion is I'm not a soothsayer or a mind reader, but I know the reason for the Motion is. There's no doubt in anyone's mind. And anyone who votes for this Motion what it's for. The fact remains is that this Motion is to remove from...some form of equity of our secretaries who pay increase, who deserve some equity with the Senate secretaries, who deserve some equity among other secretaries working for the Minority and the Majority of this House, for getting decent wages. And I resent stand on this floor as long as possible to protect their interests because they've been dedicating themselves to our benefit. They've been dedicating to the Republicans and to the Democrats of this House, and because some Leaders don't like this, we should not buckle in."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. I would just like to applaud Representative Hanahan's return to health and his renewed vigor and would question whether it is appropriate for him to retreat to the nurse's station any further. He has demonstrated this morning the vigor and the good health of a thoroughly healthy man, and I don't believe that we need any further to have this procedure of him retreating to the nurse's station."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. (sic) Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I stand in opposition to the Majority Leader's Motion to return House Bill 2222 back from Third Reading to Second Reading. I stand here because the House has spoken, its will, on Amendment #1 yesterday by adopting Amendment #1. I speak specifically to...to Mr. Hanahan's concept of the Leaders are not in favor of this Amendment. We endorse the Amendment, and we encourage the Amendment. We think it is fair, and we think the payraises for secretaries in the Stratton Building is fair. So on both sides of the aisle, I hope the gals in the Stratton Building are listening and watching this vote. A vote 'yes' to return 2222 back from Third Reading to Second Reading is a vote to table that Amendment, and for them not to get a payraise and for some of them to be fired. encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Madame Speaker and Members of the House, I hope the secretaries — the young Ladies, not gals, the young Ladies — are listening, and I'll tell you why. I hope they heard every word of this, because this should have been piped right to the Senate. The thing they are upset about is being asked to work five days for nothing. That is what

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

they are upset about, and that isn't the Republicans who are doing that. You better listen to what your other Leadership is doing. You're talking one way, and they're talking another way. You better get together on your planning."

- Speaker Stiehl: "The...The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2222 be returned to the Order of Second Reading?'. Representative Chapman."
- Chapman: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. Just one short comment. As you all know, when discussion of equity and salaries for women is mentioned, this interests me greatly. My comment, Mr. Hanahan, as I support your view is that sometimes some people are more equal than others."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Madame Chairman, I just request a Roll Call vote on this
 issue."
- Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, "Shall House Bill 2222 be returned to the Order of Second Reading?". All those...all in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Bullock, for what pupose do you arise?"

Bullock: "Madame Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote if I may."

Speaker Stiehl: "Proceed."

Bullock: "Madame Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman from McHenry was very eloquent in his remarks, but I think that this vote is probably a test vote on future votes on the ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment, because we see so many people walk around in this chamber indicating that they are not worth one dollar for one dollar's work. And I think that when the lights on this issue are recorded, that I think we will find what we've found all along that on the issue of human rights, it is an issue that tends to separate the political Parties.

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

It is an issue that I think the secretaries fully understand. It is an issue that I think is a matter of human dignity that we're asking people to remain indentured servants to work long and arduous hours for less than a full day's pay. I think it is a disgrace. I think it is despicable that some of you would have the audacity to call yourselves good, solid public servants, because you're not, because you're..."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Preston. The timer is on."

Preston: "Thank...thank you, Madame Speaker and Ladies Бas Gentlemen of the House. I just wanted to really restate the remarks that were previously made against this Motion. The secretaries in the House on both sides of the aisle do an outstanding job. They can't continue to do that job. They have to look for work elsewhere, as has, in fact. taken place. Some of the most experienced secretaries here have had to seek out other employment. We are going to be facing a new General Assembly where the Members are basically inexperience compared to the present General Assembly. We're going to need solid, competent staff. in addition to that, this is only what is right. People...your constituents are entitled to a pay raise when they've had longevity in their jobs, and your secretaries are similarly entitled that payraise. I urge you to see...to put more red votes up there and to defeat this Motion."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Thank you...thank you, Madame Chairman. I just wonder if those with the green lights over there feel that the...the Senate secretaries are worth the money they are getting. Are you going to come back and propose a cut so that those people over there get the same salary as the House of Representatives' secretaries? If that is what

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- you're indicating, then maybe your green light is right, but I daresay you're not going to come up with a proposal to cut those Senate secretaries. So why don't you treat your own secretaries in a like manner? Give them a substantial wage."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Representative Telcser. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk. Representative Zito, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Zito: "Madame Speaker, in the event that this gets 89 votes, I'd like to verify."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. Abramson, Beatty, Breslin,
 Cullerton, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Garmisa, O'Brien,
 Satterthwaite and Stearney."
- Speaker Stiehl: "On this question, there are 85 voting 'aye' and 81 voting 'no'. Representative Ebbesen. Change Representative Ebbesen from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Dunn, 'aye'. Ralph Dunn. Are there any further changes? What is the count, Mr. Clerk? On this guestion, there are 87 'ayes'...Representative Alstat. Representative Alstat, 'aye'. Representative Getty, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Getty: "I just want to make it clear that if this gets 89 votes,
 we will verify, and I ask to be recognized for that
 purpose."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Zito made that request,

 Representative Getty. On this guestion, there are 88

 voting 'aye'...Representative Dan...Representative Daniels,

 did you...did you wish...for what purpose do you rise?"
- Daniels: "I did. I just wanted to make sure how I was recorded,

 because I have been working the floor very hard. I don't

 know. You know, we've had a lot of trouble with the

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

machines. I just want to make sure that that..."

Speaker Stiehl: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Daniels: "Because I'll tell you why, Mr. Speaker. I'll tell you exactly why I am asking. Every one of those secretaries on the other side of the street are outstanding people that work for this House, every single one of them. But I am not going to stand up on the floor of this House and be hypocritical when we're talking about education matters, when we're talking about needed funds for the education of our children. That is why I am voting the way I am. You go ahead. When it comes time for mental health, when it comes time for education, go on, Tom Hanahan, talk the way you want to talk. I am going to speak for our people right now on the floor of this House."

Speaker Stiehl: "How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting "aye"."

Daniels: "And that is the way I want to leave it."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Madame Speaker, there was too much noise, and I couldn't hear Representative Daniels. And I wonder if he would mind repeating what he just said. I...I feel the same as he does about our secretaries, and I think that he...he proposed a great tribute to these Ladies, and I think that everyone should have the opportunity to hear it. And I would ask...I would ask that Representative Daniels repeat his remarks and then show the Gentleman a little courtesy. Let him have a little order please."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "I'll be very, very quiet, and I will tell you, Madame Speaker, the following very simply: every one of the secretaries in the House works for the House because they are fine people, and I am proud of every one of them. But I am also proud of the children of this state. And I will

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

stand on this floor and support top education in this state. And, Mr. Collins, I think that is the way you are voting, too. As a matter of fact, I am proud of all the Republicans on this side of the aisle that share the same concern for education in this state, the same concerns to keep a balanced budget in this state. And it is a fraud what you're saying to the secretaries over there, because you know that this can't be retained in the budget. But, we're not going to tell them the frauds that you're willing to engage in right now, Mr. Schneider."

- Speaker Stiehl: "What's the...what's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this Motion, there are 88 voting 'aye' and 80 voting 'no', and the Gentleman's Motion is lost. House Bill 2222 on the Order of Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2222, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Wolf. Representative Peters, are
 you going to handle this?"
- Peters: "Mr. Speaker...Madame Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 222 (sic 2222) is for the ordinary and contingent expenses and operations of the House. I would urge that all the Members approve of this legislation, especially since it does provide for needed increases for our secretaries. Hard...hard-fought battle, but it does show compassion on the part of the Members of the House and interests; and contrary to statements made earlier, we can now vote very freely on this with uncrossed legs and move passage of this legislation."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is...Is there any discussion?"
Peters: "No."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "I think I have an opening for a secretary, if anybody

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

is interested."

- Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall Bouse Bill 2222 pass?'.

 All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

 Representative Braun to explain her vote. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 140 voting 'aye', 22 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'.

 This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Second Reading, House Bill 2223. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2223, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the staff, equipment and district offices of the Members of the General Assembly. Has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Preston Madigan Telcser Conti Hanahan et al, amends House Bill 2223 on page one
 and so forth."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Preston on Amendment #1."
- Preston: "Thank you, Madame Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the This typically non-controversial Bill would amend the appropriation for the Members of the General Assembly, both Senate and House, to provide each Senator and each Representative with a legislative aide. The money for this in Fiscal Year '83 would be a \$7,000 position. It takes affect after the beginning of the new General Assembly. The money, the appropriation could not be used for office allowance. It could not be used for postage, or rent anything else, only for the hiring of a legislative assistant to help out with your constituent concerns. does not represent an increase in expenditures. but, fact, represents a significantly less expenditure than in the present General Assembly, since in the upcoming new

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

General Assembly there will be 59 fewer Representatives along with 59 fewer office allowances. This represents a savings to the state by virtue of that that will require a less expenditure to provide your constituents, the citizens of Illinois, with proper and needed services from vour Contrary to what one of the previous speakers on another Bill had mentioned, in the next General Assembly we will not have a decreased workload by virtue of our ... the size of our district being decreased. We will have an increased workload; because right now where, in VOUT present districts, there are three Representatives to represent your present constituents, in the next General Assembly, as you all know. There will be one in your district, and you will be getting absolutely an increase in volume of legislative concerns. legislative legislative complaints and matters that have to be looked This does not represent any benefit whatsoever to the Senators or Representatives. I don't benefit a bit by having someone who can help my constituents. people who do benefit are my constituents; and in order for do the job for which we were elected, we need an adequate staff to help us in the upcoming months when there are fewer Representatives to carry the workload that more people have been carried until now. So I urge your 'aye' vote, and I'll be glad to answer any questions concerning this Amendment."

Speaker Stiehl: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment...Oh, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker...Madame Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the same issue was discussed on an earlier Amendment, which was soundly defeated by the Members of this House. This appropriation does add another \$1,239,000 to this appropriation at this point and at this

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

stage of the game in terms of the appropriation process and consideration. I think it is probably pretty early for us to be going on record in regard to this kind of a heavy increase in the House appropriation. I would ask that the Members, certainly on this side of the aisle and the Members on the other side of the aisle, give this the same number of red votes as they did to an earlier Amendment which sought to increase our appropriation for the General Assembly and with the view that at the proper time some adjustments may or may not be made. But at any rate, to discuss at this point, an additional appropriation ...2. ...\$1,239,000 for additional staff seems to me to be pretty heavy and out of keeping with the kind of votes we're going to have to make to sustain a relatively balanced budget and to provide funds in some other areas. I would think that we can wait before we make a decision on this kind of an expenditure when we get a better idea as to what the budget situation may be."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Stiehl: "He indicates he will."

Yourell: "Representative, I heard you mention the figure \$7,000.

That really isn't the true figure since we're talking about \$14,000 hereafter. Is that correct? Is this just the appropriation from January to July?"

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "No. Representative, what I say to you is correct. It represents a \$14,000 a year position. But in Fiscal Year '83, as I stated, the expenditure is \$7,000, because this does not take affect until the new General Assembly after January 11th of 1983."

Yourell: "I understand. I just want to make certain it would be a \$14,000 job."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Preston: "Thank you so much for pointing that out,
Representative."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "I just wondered, we have several Members of the General
Assembly that have time for two jobs now. Will they need
two assistants? Can anyone answer the question please?"

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Preston,"

Preston: "Yes, in answer to the previous speaker's question, many of us, even though we don't spend our off days in farming some of us still find time to represent land. constituents and, nonetheless, need an office staff to do We're here many months out of the that appropriately. year, many days out of the week We're here during half the year. Someone has to be at home who can adequately and properly represent us at community meetings, when constituents want to see us, or our Representatives at our home office when they can't travel to Springfield to see us. So that is why this additional staff is needed. don't need it, Representative. I can get along very fine without it. Maybe you can get along very fine without There is nothing in this that requires someone who feels they don't need that additional staff help to spend it. You can be a hero. Anyone who doesn't spend it can be a hero every time they run for office by proudly saying how much money they returned to the General Revenue Fund in unexpended...unspent dollars. That will make a hero out of There are some of us, however, in order to properly, you. efficiently and expeditiously handle our constituents' and concerns and problems, need additional staff to do it. It. not going to help me. It is going to help constituents. I don't need it: but the people who elected me, they sure as hell do need it, and it is for them that I put this in."

117th Legislative Day

May 27. 1982

Robbins: "Then...then you admit that you don't need this staff, and it is just some of these people that aren't willing to spend full time at a job like you and I do that need this. So why should...why should we subsidize the people that are not working full-time as Representatives with this assistant? Why should we spend that extra money? Why shouldn't we all be heroes and vote red on this Bill? Thank you."

Speaker Stiehl: "Further discussion? Representative Collins." Collins: "Well, thank you. Madame Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ι rise in opposition to this Amendment, if not ill-conceived, certainly ill-timed. W۵ presently have an allowance for our home office which is not exactly generous, but I think it is ample for most of us to service our con...service our constituency. baa T it is somewhat ridiculous to increase the amount of money that we're going to be spending in our home pursuits to service our constituency, as the Sponsor says. But I think it is a needless expenditure. We already money, and I think that at a time like this when we are considering a budget that is really being cut to the bone, when we are talking about money that is not available for education, for money that is not available for other services for the people of the State of Illinois, that put ourselves in a terrible position to say to the world, 'We can't have money for other services, but yes, take care of ourselves, and we can spend more money on staff for ourselves. No matter what language we couch it comes across as we are spending more money on ourselves. I think it is an ill-timed Amendment. I think something that may have some...be worthy of some consideration in the future, maybe in the next General Assembly after the size of the House has been reduced and

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

after we have had a chance to see just what the load is on Members who are coming back in the next Session; then perhaps this type of thing should be considered. But I certainly do not think it is timely now, and I think that we should dispatch this Amendment and defeat it at this time."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Madame Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amen...this Amendment actually would not have an effect in law, because there is a substantive statute authorizing how much our legislative district allowance is, and it limits it. And adding an appropriation without changing that statute has no effect at all. Perhaps the Gentleman wasn't aware of that, but I think very possibly, this Amendment has more to do with Leadership caucuses at the end of this year than it does to do with adding district office staff. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Stiehl: "Is there further discussion? Representative Preston to close."

Preston: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. In closing, the...this Amendment has been amply discussed, but I want to point out that in my district office, I have two people who work for me part-time. They work half-days each, six half-days each plus one or as many as two evenings during the week. One of those people is paid \$400 a month. I can't give that a raise out of my present office allowance. fact, next year after July, I have to ask both part-time administrative assistants to take two months off without pay. Now, at the same time, because of backs at the federal level, and cut backs at the state level for all sorts of services for people in need, I have...I have been inundated, as have many other people, especially in Chicago, by people who have been cut out

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

public aid programs, people who have been cut out of medical assistance programs, people who have been cut of educational assistance. And with each of these individuals who contact me, either I or someone in шv office goes with that person, contacts the appropriate state or federal agency to see what can be done. T to be...have an increased number of those concerns, complaints of constituents in need of help. I am going unable to help them get money for college. I am going to be unable to help them deal with the social security bureaucracy, or the Department of Public Aid bureaucracy or Medicaid bureaucracy, and I need additional staff. If you don't, you're very fortunate. And if you don't, you should, in fact, not spend it. But don*t deprive my constituents of the help that they need. It is not for me. I don't give a damn. I am fortunate today. I don't need any of those kind of assistances from State or Federal Government today. But my constituents, the people who need help and look to me for that help, do need this assistance. And it is for them that I am making a plea to put this into the budget. This is not an increase. The expenditure before this, with the full 177 Members, was \$2,655,000 in the way of legislative salary and legislative staff. a fraction of that, about less than 50% of that amount. This isn't an increase. This is a decrease from current level of expenditures for assisting constituents. people, residents who are in need of help. behalf, try to overlook the...your argument to the press about what a distinguished Legislator you may be and much money you have saved for God and country and think of the people who you represent who may come and need that when you're here, you can't assist them. You need some people at home who can. Thank you."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do
you rise?"

Matijevich: "I am going to explain my vote when the time comes."

Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 pass?'.

All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

Those opposed. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment is defeated. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Stiehl: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2223, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the staff, equipment, district office of Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 2223 is a Bill which appropriates \$3,510,000, some odd hundred dollars for the district office allowance of \$17,000 a year. I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: Speaker, I quess I am going to vote for "Yes, Ms. this like everybody else is going to vote for it, or everybody. But, you know, everybody makes a big to-do about legislative expenditures, and we just had that Bill the district allowance. So much to-do about \$17,000 a year, \$17,000 a year where we have to pay rent for an office, where we have to pay all of our supplies, where we have to pay either an aide or a secretary. is a big, lot of money, isn't it? Now, I would like to see you stand up and raise some heck about what I was talking about yesterday, what I thought was an excess amount...an excessive amount. The Superintendent of the Lottery, the Superintendent of the Lottery rents at \$17 a square foot, \$4,303.80 a month, or \$51,000 a year for

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- one..."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Pullen, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Pullen: "Point of order, Madame Speaker. The Gentleman is not speaking to the Bill."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Confine your remarks to the Bill, Representative."
- Matijevich: "\$51,000 in rent alone, in rent alone. Another \$25,000 for office furniture, and all of you shake like heck..."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Excuse me, Representative. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Collins: "Madame Speaker, he persists in speaking of matters other than the Bill under consideration. I would suggest that you would either direct him to confine his remarks to the Bill or cut him off."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative, would you please confine your remarks to House Bill 2223?"
- Matijevich: "I thought I was making what I thought was a comparison. That relates to the district office, I think. I am going to support the Bill, but I think it ought to be noted, you know, too often all of the...our constituents look at legislative expenses. They don't look at these other expenses within government that, you know, that compared to those expenses, the Legislature is really getting peanuts, and we need it to do a good job. I am going to support the Bill, but I think it is inadequate."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Madame Speaker and Members of the House, I am trying to correlate the things I am hearing from the other side of the aisle with the same people who are saying we need to balance the budget. The Governor was wrong about his budget and everything else. I don't see how they can

117th Legislative Day

- May 27, 1982
- balance the budget and keep throwing in things like this which cost money."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Madame Speaker, I'd like to ask Representative Telcser a couple of innocent questions."
- Speaker Stiehl: "He indicates he'll yield."
- Giorgi: "Representative Telcser, you know, I'm not on the

 Committee now because of other duties, but is there

 anything peculiar in this budget that a Member ought to be
 ashamed to vote for?"
- Speaker Stiehl: "Not that I'm aware of, Representative."
- Giorgi: "Is there anything exorbitant in here? Anything hidden, anything scandalous in this budget that a Member should be ashamed to vote for?"
- Telcser: "Not that I am aware of. If there is, it would be news to me."
- Giorgi: "Okay, in other words, you say exhort every Member of the General Assembly to vote for this appropriation, because it is the ordinary and contingent expense of the General Assembly. Is that what you're saying?"
- Telcser: "This is a district allowance Bill, Representative."
- Giorgi: "Okay, and everyone that has a district office. So I

 want to say for the record now, watch the red phony lights

 that go up on the Board when this vote comes up. Watch the

 red phony lights."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Further discussion? Representative Yourell."
- Yourell: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- Speaker Stiehl: "He indicates he will."
- Yourell: "Art, what is the increase in expenditure for the office? Here I am. What is the increase for the district office? There isn't any?"
- Telcser: "There is none, Representative. It's the \$17,000 a . year."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- Yourell: "Well, that is what it is now."
- Telcser: "That is what I just said. There is no increase. This appropriates the amount which we currently get pursuant to statute."
- Yourell: "In other words, if those individuals who do not have a district office or use their home, or their store or something like that and call it a district office, they still get \$17,000 a year?"
- Telcser: "Well, no one gets the money. That is money from which they can voucher."
- Yourell: "But I mean, they still have that at their disposal."
- Telcser: "Yes, and if they want to use it, that is terrific, or they can lapse it."
- Yourell: "Thank you. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Is there further discussion? Representative Telcser to close."
- Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Stiehl: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2223 pass?'.

 All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed
 'no'. Representative Leverenz, one minute to explain your
 vote. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madame Speaker. I can see that we'll have to go to the ICC to get a 19% increase."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Ewell to explain your vote. Oh. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this guestion there are 154 voting 'aye', 8 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present'. Representative Conti, for what purpose do you rise? Oh, this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Conti, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Conti: "Well, Madame Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

House, I have been here for a good many years and never, never before have I seen so many personal attacks on Sponsors and authors of various Amendments and...taking the time of this House. Instead of issuing a press release like one of our Members was accused of, they are using the forum of this House to issue their press releases right from this forum. Now I take exception. I take exception on these personal attacks, these...by saying these cowardly red votes. Everybody has a conviction, and whether we agree with it or whether we disagree with it, I don't think we should use the floor of this House to personally attack any of the Members whether he be a Democrat or whether he be a Republican. I wish they would refrain from it."

Speaker Stiehl: "Representative Telcser."

- Telcser: "Madame Speaker, Members of the House, I wonder if I might take a moment to introduce some guests we have in the balcony behind me. They are representatives of the legal community from Nigeria. We're delighted to have them with us today."
- Speaker Stiehl: "Let's give the Gentlemen a round of applause.

 Representative Daniels in the Chair."
- Speaker Daniels: "House Bills Second Reading, House Bill 2456.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2456, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education. Has been read a second time previously. Amendments #3...Amendment #3 was withdrawn in Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed with respect to Amendment #3."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4 and 5 failed. The next Ploor
Amendment is Floor Amendment #6, Schneider - Jaffe Mautino."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Schneider, Amendment #6."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. In the course of the appropriations process, we have juggled dollars and amounts into various categories. I am trying to, for the...trying to add \$200,000 for summer school for profoundly handicapped child. That \$200,000 would raise what is currently before us from 85 to 90% of funding for this year. If you'll notice in upcoming Bills, we are looking at prorations, and private tuition, extraordinary services and so on, personnel, at 90% levels. I think a reasonable amount of dollars and a reasonable is percentage to ask that the \$200.000 be added to the summer school for the severely and profoundly handicapped. I don't know that there would be any opposition to this. Ιt seems to be a realistic dollar total, and it is consistent with the prorations in the other categories. I would ask an 'aye' vote on this measure."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly."

- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment, I think...as

 Sponsor of the Bill, I think this Amendment goes in the

 right direction. It brings us up to 90% which was the

 general thrust of what we did in Committee. I would

 support the Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Schneider, moves for the adoption of Amendment #6. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. #6 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, McClain McPike, amends House
 Bill 2456 as amended."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative McClain, Amendment #7."
- McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Mr. Speaker, I would ask Mr. McPike to handle this Amendment, if you would please. Mr. McPike."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- Speaker Daniels: "Representative McPike, Amendment #7.

 Representative Reilly, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- McPike: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Representative McPike.

 Representative Reilly."
- Reilly: "I rise on a point of order."
- Speaker Daniels: "State your point, Sir."
- Reilly: "I have no problem with the money that is involved here.

 I wish there were even more, but I don't think that we can add the substantive language that's involved here. You're either going to appropriate the money, or you're not. You can't say that you'll appropriate the money if we make some change in some other law. I just think the Supreme Court's clear on that. So, I would raise the point of order that this Amendment is out of order."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative McPike, Representative Reilly's point is well taken. There is substantive language contained, and your Amendment is out of order. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, McClain McPike, amends House Bill 2456."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative McClain. Representative McPike,
 Amendment #8."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment \$8 increases the appropriation for general state aid by \$25,000,000. We had...we had thought that possibly Amendment #7 would be ruled out of order, so we filed Amendment #8. But clearly, what the intent here is, is to say to the Governor that if the coupling is acheived in this state, then we would like to increase the educational budget by \$25,000,000. When the Governor introduced his budget, he did indeed say it was a lean budget, and that he said if we could find new revenues,

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

that he thought that the appropriation for schools should be increased. I introduced a Bill to decouple state taxes from the federal taxes, and that Bill was killed in Rules. Senator Netsch has a Bill in the Senate which decouples the State of Illinois from the federal taxes, and I hope that Bill eventually reaches the House floor and is hope it's passed and it reaches debated, and I the Governor's desk. Last year when the Federal Government passed Reagan's new tax package, they probably did the most fiscally irresponsible thing in the history of decreased country. They federal revenues by \$750,000,000,000; and \$500,000,000,000 of that over the next ten years is because of the accelerated depreciation given to businesses. And we've already seen what can happen because of the tax package that was passed. General Electric, for example, that made 2.6 billion dollars in profits not only paid no federal taxes, but they \$100,000,000 rebate. So when these...when these companies are getting these huge tax increases at the federal states in the country are simply saying to business, 'You have all the incentive that you now need żο invest, and we simply can't afford to bankrupt the State We cannot afford to bankrupt the Government. Government by giving you additional tax breaks now. that a number of states across the country: Pennsylvania, New York, Ohio, Minnesota, Kentucky, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia and Connecticut have already decoupled from the Federal Government. I think that we should do the same thing in Illinois. There have been two studies completed; one was completed by the Citizens for Tax Justice, which was a study supported by National Govern...Governors Association, the that estimated that Illinois lost \$33,000,000 in 1981. We*11

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

lose \$100,000,000 in 1982, \$200,000,000..."

- Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me. Excuse me, Sir. I am sorry to interrupt. Representative Birkinbine, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of order. The Gentleman is speaking to..."
- Speaker Daniels: "State your point please."
- Birkinbine: "...Decoupling and not to the Amendment which calls for adding \$25,000,000 for general state aid to the schools."
- Speaker Daniels: "Your point is well taken. Representative McPike, would you please limit your remarks to Amendment #8? Thank you, Sir."
- McPike: "Yes, I was, but I was trying to be a responsible Member of the General Assembly and explain to the...to the Members on the floor why this Amendment is a responsible Amendment and what the intent behind it is. I recognize that we have to have a balanced budget, and the Governor simply said to the General Assembly, 'You find the money, and we'll put it into education'. It is my intent, and it is Representative McClain's intent to say to the General Assembly that we would like \$25,000,000 to be added to an education budget this year in the hopes that decoupling will pass. If decoupling does not pass the General Assembly and is not signed by the Governor, then I would not be...then I would not object to a reduction of \$25,000,000 from...if this Amendment is adopted. So with that explanation, I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Again I support the amount here. I wish it could be more. I would make two points: one, we all know that as the budget stands now, it can't stand this increase. What we're expressing here is a wish,

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

a hope that by the time we get to July 1, we will have found the money to make this possible. I would make the second point that there's a much simpler way to raise that extra money, which the Governor pointed out in his budget message, and which we all know and which would be much less painful, and that is the liquor tax with the Leadership on the other side of the aisle — and both the House and the Senate has indicated they are opposed to. But I do support the Amendment and hope that it would be adopted."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative McPike, moves for the adoption of Amendment #8. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

Amendment #8 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly. Third Reading on...on House Bill 2456. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2456, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "House Bill 2456, Representative Reilly."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Bill, as amended, now appropriates \$1,432,505,200. It is a good Bill. It begins to fund education. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 2456. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 162 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2457. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2457, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Elections. Second

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Reading of the Bill. The Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 and #2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions filed with respect to Amendments #1 and 2?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, John Dunn, amends House
Bill..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Dunn, Amendment #3."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On February 28, 1982 there were three vacancies in the State Office of Education in positions used for drivers' education. The purpose of this Amendment would be to make a reduction of \$58,100 which would represent the personal services and fringe benefits for those three positions. If this Amendment is adopted, this will then free up \$58,100 more to be put into the Drivers' Education Fund for use by local school districts. I think this is a good Amendment, and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #3. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

Amendment #3 adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2457, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Do...did we have leave to hear this immediately on Third Reading? Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Yes."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- Davis: "The Bill, as it not is amended, has a new total of somewhere I'm not sure that my addition is correct somewhere in the neighborhood of \$33,120,000 as amended. There were two Committee Amendments adopted that reduced and shifted some federal funds around within...within the budget. The Dunn Amendment that was just added would, of course, reduce by 100 and some odd thousand dollars the Drivers' Education Fund. I believe the budget is in pretty good shape. It's...it's not fat. It's lean, and I would recommend an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 2457. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 156 'aye', none voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. House Bill 2457, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2458. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2458, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education. It has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions filed with respect to Amendments #1, 2 and 3?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4 lost. The next Floor Amendment,

 Amendment #5, Braun Bowman, amends House Bill 2458 as

 amended."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Braun, Amendment #5.

 Representative Bowman snapping fingers."
- Bowman: "I am the joint Sponsor on this, and I have given my file to Representative Braun who is absent from the floor. So,

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

I'll do the best I can. Let me just tell you this appropriates an additional \$675,000 for the free lunch and breakfast program. This appropriation would allow the State Board provide approximately 90% of local to districts claims for reimbursement. The 90% consistent with the other proration amounts that we've been trying to maintain in these budgets. We just got through adopting a...an Amendment from Representative Schneider to another Bill that achieved the same 90% reimbursement level. This breakfast and lunch program one that is provided to children from low and moderately low income families. There are apparent fees involved based on ability to pay. For many of these children, it is the only hot meal they get during the day, and it is necessary, first of all, from a nutritional point of view if they are to develop properly; but secondly, they can't study of they are hungry, and we need this legislation. need this \$675,000 to make sure that no child in this state goes hungry. Thank vou."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #5 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6, Mautino, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino, Amendment #6."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #6 to House Bill 2458 increases by \$20,000,000 the General Revenue Fund appropriations for special education programs in the area of private tuition. personal extraordinary services, reimbursement and Basically, on the day that I served in the transportation. Appropriation Committee in lieu of one of the Members I offered an Amendment for special education that was not

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Since that time. I have presented Amendments addressing the question of reducing contractual services and implementing those funds into the Special Education Fund for the State of Illinois: In the Committee the original Amendment I had increased the percentile funding from 80% to the 90% level. This legislation, increases those funds to the 97.5% level. Basically in dollars amounts, we're increasing the private tuition 1.175 million, extraordinary services 2.7 million, personal reimbursement for services 10.8 million and transportation 5.2 million. The drastic cuts in the special education program in the State of Illinois many of the students, their parents and all individual citizens around the State of Illinois have an interest this, contacting their Legislators on this Amendment and on This Amendment addresses those concerns and this Bill. brings it up to the level that, I believe, we need in the area of special education since it has taken drastic cuts under the proposal as presented without this Amendment. Therefore, I ask for an affirmative vote on Amendment #6 to House Bill 2458."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #6. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. #6 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2558 (sic - 2458), a Bill for an Act to appropriate to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've heard now the appropriation for the State Board of

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Education, the common school fund grants and mandated GRF grants. This is at the 90% level in special ed. I move for the passage and ask for your favorable support."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 2458. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, there are 162 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. House Bill 2458, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2459. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2459, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education. Has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions filed with respect to Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #5, 6 and 7 have lost. Amendment #8 has

lost. The next Ploor Amendment is Amendment #9,

Matijevich, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich, Amendment #9."

Natijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
I...I'm offering Amendment #9 which would increase by
\$1,000,000 the Adult Education Public Assistance program.

The program serves adults who are currently receiving public assistance. Because of the increasing costs of the programs at the local district and community college level, the lack of growth in state appropriations has caused participation in the Adult Education Public Assistance Program to fall and fail, not for lack of students, but for

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

In FY '81, 11,586 adults were served by 70 lack of funds. programs: while in this fiscal year, an estimated 9,000 adults are being served by 66 programs. It is worth noting that in FY '81, 1,473 entered the employed ranks and were removed from the public assistance roles. The State Board Education estimates that an increase in the amount offered in this Amendment in the Program would least 2,000 additional adults to be educated. And I think this is very important, and the Superintendent Don Gill, in Committee, if there was any one program he was especially lauditory, it was on adult education. And as I said, it is removing people from the public assistance roles. That is the cost effectiveness of it, and that is why I introduced the Amendment, and I offer and ask for your favorable support."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Matijevich, moves for the adoption of Amendment #9. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. #9 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10, Matijevich, amends House Bill 2459..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich, Amendment #10."
- Matijevich: "Again, Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment that I have offered, and the Superintendent was very supportive in This is Section 203 of the School Code Committee. providing the State Reimbursement Formula for educating over 21 and youth whose schooling interrupted. In FY *82, the State Board estimates 35,000 will be served by 90 programs. estimates that an increase of 1.3 million in this program could increase student participation by 5,000 to 8,000 in FY '83. Almost all adult education programs have waiting *81, 160,000 individuals would have lists. Tπ FΥ

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

participated if programs were available. The need is very substantial when one notes that more than 45,000 students drop out of our secondary schools each year. Adult education is a critical avenue for upgrading skills to gain employment, and I would certainly appreciate your support for a program that is so important, so effective and does so much for those who, at one time in their life, chose not to continue, but later on find it important for their life and for their livelihood. I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #10. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. #10 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #11, Steczo - Mautino, amends House..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Steczo, Amendment #11. Who are you pointing to, Sir?"

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, I defer to Representative Mautino."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino, Amendment #11."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, I had agreed with Representative Steczo to handle this Amendment. Due to a current request by Representative McGrew on the question of the placement of these nine facilities, I would like to withdraw #11."

Speaker Daniels: "#11 withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments?"

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2459, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House
Bill 2459 contains the General Revenue Fund non-formula
grants to the Illinois Office of Education. Among the
programs that we are funding in House Bill 2459, are adult

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

education which was...funding was increased by recent action on the House floor, gifted reimbursement, centers which are funded at the same level as last year, a Truants Alternative Program which is funded at the same level last year, vocational education which was as increased by 1.5 million dollars in Committee, high impact training which was increased by \$550,000 in Committee, Student Internship Program which was funded at the level as last year, and the School Finance Study which was reduced by about \$19,000 in Committee. These are extremely important programs, especially in terms of adult education, qifted reimbursement, vocational education, high impact training, etcetera, and I would appreciate an affirmative vote on House Bill 2459."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 2459. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 164 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. House Bill 2459, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ebbesen."
- Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I don't know what your intentions are, but I wonder if you could go to the Order of Nonconcurrence for Senate Bill 423 so we could refuse to recede and get a Conference Committee created. I've been working with the...Senator Bock and Senator Grotberg on this proposal. I'd like to get a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ebbesen, let me get back to you on that. Senate Bills Second Reading, appropriation matters. Senate Bill 1392. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1669. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1669, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

making appropriations to the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Wikoff, amends House...Senate
Bill..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wikoff, Amendment #1."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 reduces from the FY '82 capital improvements from the Department of Mental Health a sum of \$65,000. These funds were scheduled to be used for fire and health safety improvements at the Meyer Mental Health Center in anticipation of receiving patients from Adler Mental Health Center. The Senate has adopted an Amendment on their Bill, which is either over here or on its way over here, which would restore the funding for Adler Mental Health Clinic, and as a result, these funds are not...are not necessary. It makes the total cost of the Bill would then be \$1,435,000. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Macon,

Representative Dunn. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

Mautino: "Virgil, we...Representative Wikoff, we have the same problem in three other areas. You're taking the money out addressing one, one of the areas in your Amendment. Correct?"

Wikoff: "Yes, yes."

Mautino: "Alright. I don't know if this is a proper guestion or not, but Amendment #2 and/or 3 takes it out for all three facilities. Would you be agreeable to that type of an Amendment, because we all have the same problem with mental

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

health, or are you..."

Wikoff: "I don't have that much of a problem. Let's adopt this, and if there's...and if it, for some reason or other, the other one doesn't pass, which I said I have no objection to. At least this is in there, because presently, the way it is coming out of the Senate is Adler is the only one which is restored."

Mautino: "Well, my final question then would be, since we do have this problem in different areas of the state, will you be supportive of the same posture for funding under those mental health proposals, specifically Dixon and Bowen...as you are of your own under this Bill? Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he'll yield."

Dunn: "Does this Amendment delete some money, the sum of \$65,000 earmarked for improvements at the Meyer Mental Health Center?"

Wikoff: "Yes, Representative Dunn. This was improvements which would...were only necessary if they moved the...some of the children from Adler to Meyer. It doesn't affect that if Adler is not being closed in accordance with the actions of the Senate and hopefully the actions of the Bouse. Then, these funds would not be needed. It doesn't do anything else as far as taking anything away from Meyer."

Dunn: "In earlier positions taken this spring by the Governor, support was provided for the closing of Adler. Isn't that so?"

Wikoff: "Well, that was by the Governor."

Dunn: "Has the Governor changed his position?"

Wikoff: "Not that I know of."

Dunn: "Then..."

Wikoff: "There might be more of us than there are of him."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Dunn: "I don't understand this change in attitude by your side of the aisle which has consistently supported the Governor's position on appropriation matters and defended every appropriation cut or decrease on the basis that if it is not in the Governor's budget, we might as well not talk about it. What is so different about this? If the Governor has said Adler is going to close, don't you think that the Governor will...will veto any funding for Adler and that we better leave the Bill in the way, and shape and form that the Governor wants it?"

Wikoff: "Was that a question or a statement?"

Dunn: "That is a question."

Wikoff: "When the Governor called me over early last spring, before the budget was even announced, saying that they intended to close Adler, I informed him I thought it was a mistake and was going to oppose him all the way through on it, and that is what I am doing now. And we'll see in the long run who's got the most votes."

"Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to the Dunn: Amendment. I would urge defeat of this Amendment, because we all know the handwriting is on the wall. The Governor has gotten his way at the bottom line of his budget, every year since he was elected in 1976, this year is not going to be any different. If the Governor can stand firm on...on huge cuts in elementary and secondary education. The Governor has taken the position that a certain mental health center is going to be closed. You and I both know it is going to be closed, and money which is earmarked taking care of the patients that have presently been served at that facility should not be deleted from other portions of the budget. So I would urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment, and I would ask for defeat of the Amendment."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Satterthwaite."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."
- Satterthwaite: "Representative Wikoff, I am in agreement with what you're intending. I'm only wondering about the dollar amount and wonder whether this is a figure that the Bureau of the Budget has indicated is in the request, or how you arrived at this amount."
- Wikoff: "The...this is the amount of money that the Department advocated and requested to be used for these to take care of the physical changes required for the transfer of that."
- Satterthwaite: "But my understanding was that there were two different movements into Meyer, one movement of clients from Lincoln and the other from Adler, and that the construction costs that were allocated to Meyer were to address both of those."
- Wikoff: "The money for the transfer of the patients from Lincoln is still in there."
- Satterthwaite: "And what is that quantity? Do you know?" Wikoff: "10,000."
- Satterthwaite: "Only 10,000. That is what confused me, because I...the Bureau of the Budget had indicated to me that the 75,000 was to be about equally distributed for the two different sets of clients. I have no problem with the Amendment. I will support the Amendment, and I thank you for the clarification."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Wikoff...Representative...alright, the Gentleman, Representative Wikoff, moves for the...the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Gentleman, Representative Wikoff, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 123 'aye', 9 'no', none voting 'present'. Mulcahey 'aye'. 124 'aye', 9 'no', none voting 'present'. Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Mautino - Satterthwaite - Rea, amends House Bill 1669 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Question of the Parliamentarian, Mr. Speaker. Since
Amendment #1 went on, is Amendment #2 technically correct?

And I would like to correct the record to say that
Amendment #2 is sponsored as well by Representative Olson
and Ebbesen. The same holds true for Amendment #3."

Speaker Daniels: "You are correct, Sir. It is technically incorrect."

Mautino: "Therefore, I'll withdraw 2 with re..."

Speaker Daniels: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino, Amendment #3."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 is also sponsored by the same Sponsors previously mentioned. Amendment #3 basically is a result of the Cook County Circuit Judge Marjan Stantiec's temporary injunction prohibiting the patient transfer from the Divon Developmental Center through the suit brought about by the Parents' Association. Basically what this Amendment is doing is requesting...it deletes the requested supplemental funding of 1.3 million for capital improvements, but does allow the \$900,000 transfer for purchase care for mentally The Governor has stated earlier throughout this year that the closing of the three facilities, Dixon, Adler, and Bowen, would result in a 9.1 million dollar savings. But the past construction at Dixon Developmental Center

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

been over \$4,000,000 in the last fiscal year, and since 96...since 1976, we put \$11,000,000 in a compliance plan at Senate Bill 1669, in the Senate. that program. additional capital of one...that is this Bill here, I'm The cost of the delay of sorry, has 1.35 million in it. closing has been adjusted in the Adler budget was 2.9 million dollars. Basically what we're doing here, because the cost of keeping the current facilities open under the court injunction is about 1.5 million dollars, doesn't show up anywhere in the Governor's budget. He have a responsibility: number one, for quality care for those individuals in those facilities; number two, we have a responsibility to pay for their care, their food, their lodging, their curriculum, and of course, the energy and the utilities of those facilities. involved So basically what we're doing here is what has to be done fund those facilities under the court injunction whereby, for example, in Dixon, the 882 patients cannot be moved facilities, because there aren't any that eligible under the certification that is now presented. therefore, I ask for a 'yes' vote on Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1669, and I would refer to my other Cosponsors for additional information."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to oppose the Amendment.

To cite the court holding as reason why we should defeat this...or reason why we ought to adopt this Amendment is to stand the court's reasoning on its head. The court said that you couldn't move the kids from Dixon to some other facilities because they weren't in good enough shape. Precisely the point of the money in this Bill is to put them in good enough shape. Now I submit you can't have it both ways. You can't say that we shouldn't move them,

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

because the other facilities aren't in good enough shape, and we shouldn't fix up the other facilities. I mean, that is just backwards. The second point I would make is that the Senate, the Democrat controlled Senate, has judgment on this matter and defeated Amendments to keep Dixon open, defeated Amendments to keep Bowen open. It did keep Adler open. If I had to predict, I would predict that may be the result here. But in any event, that is the it stands as the Bill...the mental health appropriation for *83 came out of the Senate. But the main point I would make here is, I wouldn't have so much quarrel, I quess, the Gentleman's Amendment simply dealt with the question of whether we ought to fix up the receiving facilities that are going to take kids from Dixon. But it does a lot than that. Ιt is, as we say around here, a meat - ax approach. There is, in fact, out of the about a million two that is left in this Amendment after...left in the Bill for capital after Representative Wikoff; there is, in fact, \$300,000 for Waukegan which is needed whether or not Dixon is going to close. It would add...fix up the there so that two or three additional recipients...it would allow more efficient use of that facility. And that is needed whether or not Dixon closes, in my judgment. Tt. would specifically allow \$300,000 for roof repairs due to storm damage at Shapiro. And Shapiro is not one of the receiving facilities, which has nothing whatsoever to do with the closing of Dixon. So it seems to me that here in a situation in which the money here is simply to fix up other facilities. The decision, one way or is to whether Dixon and Bowen are going to be kept open will be made when the 197...FY *83 OCE is over here, and when we send it back to the Senate. This is not the place to make that decision. It is extremely important

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

that this Bill move today because of the grant money that is in it. I would oppose this Amendment. I understand the feelings of the people in the area, but I would oppose the Amendment, both because it doesn't make any sense in terms of the Dixon situation and because it takes out money that is needed, desperately needed for other facilities. Regardless of what the final decision on Dixon is, I would ask for 'no' votes on this Amendment."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DeKalb,
Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as Representative Mautino indicated, Representative Olson and myself are Cosponsors of this Amendment, and really it gets down to a very simple matter. You're talking about the court injunction relative to the Dixon situation, really, if the court prevails, we need the money in there. If it doesn't, why ... and the Executive Branch is successful in the challenge, why then the Governor can just take the pen and line item the money out of there. But you have to go through the appropriation process to have the available to take care of these people and all the circumstances. I don't want to be real lengthy on It is a very confusing issue, but the Amendment ought to be adopted, and it is a very simple matter for the Governor to take his pen if the money is not needed at that particular time. I would say this, that the Governor made the decision to close Dixon, and they've had public hearings up They are number one in line for renovation for a prison facility. It is well accepted by the community, but in this particular case with this million and a half dollars, this Amendment should be adopted and that money appropriated and made available. Urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative J.J. Wolf."

117th Legislative Day

May 27. 1982

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I join the Gentleman from Morgan in opposing this Amendment for two very simple reasons. These funds are needed to bring the receiving institutions to certifiable standards. The decision is made. The dye has been cast. The receiving institutions have to be certifiable. Those standards must be brought up. The Governor has promised that every patient from the two units being closed will be placed in a level of care which will be equal to or better, equal to or better as to what they currently are receiving, and I think this is necessary to do that, and I would urge 'no' votes on the Gentleman's Amendment."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Rea."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Amendment, and especially in light of the court injuction, because there is no quarantee that these patients will be transferred. Ι concerned about the quality of care. And as this relates Center. L. Bowen which has been one of the centers designated to be closed, we have seen some capital improvements already started on some old buildings at the Anna Mental Health Center. There has already been a considerable amount of money spent. There will be more money spent on this plus whatever comes after July 1, and is no guarantee either that those monies will bring those facilities up to the standards for certification certainly in terms of the high point count system that we have at the A. L. Bowen Center; and as a result, may not be able to provide the quality of care and services that are presently being provided. These monies are needed in terms the services. So therefore, instead of moving forward on the...on the capital development improvements, I would suggest that you...you vote in support of this...this

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Amendment, and let's...we have got time yet to determine what is going to happen there as far as the court injunction."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative McCormick."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Maybe I am wrong about it, but I don't know don't know. how long it takes Members of the Legislature to get to the point of accepting a fact as it is. You know and I know that the State of Illinois cannot continue operating many institutions for the number of people that we have at the present rate. Now, the money that comes out of this budget by Representative's Amendment will keep people from Anna from renovating the buildings where the boys and girls are going to be taken from Bowen Center, and everybody that inspected Anna, everybody except one two politicians, has said that Anna is as good or better than what they ever had at Bowen. What you're doing is delaying the place that these people are going to be put and fixing where they can be taken care of like they should. And it is a shame that the people in this Legislature would stop and think long enough to care about their community, that they would want it to be done right. You're going to get something in your community to replace it, and we're going to get something in our community to replace it. But, Ladies and Gentlemen, if we keep playing politics with this thing, we're going to end up losing it, and going to end up losing the replacement of the jobs that we have a right to expect. I cannot, for the life of understand why we can't understand when something is dead. We're going to lose the institutions, might as well face up to that fact, and we might as well get ready to fill them up with something else. 1 had rather have a correctional center in Bowen as to have

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

nothing. Who wants it to be done like you did at Normal? Give it away for a buck after four or five years, multi-million dollar institutions, give them away because you can't fill them. Do you want somebody to privately buy one and make a nursing home or something like that out of it? I can't understand why you can't understand that the end is here for these institutions and support something else in that area that will replace those jobs. I would recommend a 'no' vote on this Resolution or on this Amendment."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I quess C. L. is always hard to follow, and he is telling us that we ought to do what right for the community. Now, I think that you who are going to vote on this issue think only this, think only who is going to do what is right by the parents and those kids? That is the issue. Now it happens to be that Dixon...Dixon Parent Association, to a parent, every damn one of them don't want Dixon closed. All of are on the Appropriations Committee, all of you know the testimony we heard about Adler last year. Every one every parent wants Adler open, every single one of them, There isn't a one of them that doesn't want it. to them. Now as to Bowen, every one of them want it remain open. open. So, are you going to stand here, any one of you and parents don't know what is right for their tell me that I don't think that Bob Mandeville knows what's right kids? for kids who are mentally retarded, kids who have to be of, kids that have had special care, special care from people almost all of their lives. learned to live with those people who have cared for them. They...if you let those people away from them,

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

going to die. Some of them are physically going to die. The people who have taken care of them have taken care of them as parents. I don't think any of you are heartless. You're not heartless just because you want to make a move that satisfies the Governor or Bob Mandeville. Do, for once, what is right for kids, what is right for the parents who have...who know that they've got to stay open. Even the courts are going to intervene. The courts, for what is right for those kids, are going to keep them open. Now, by voting for this Amendment, you're going to satisfy the parents and the courts. I urge you to support the Amendment."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Mautino to close."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. A lot has been said about what we have to do for the profoundly mentally retarded and disabled in this state. I would like to point out, there is no difference in Amendment #3 than there Amendment #1 presented by Mr. Wikoff. The same philosophy prevails. Number one, July 1's budget, of which those funding measures are included, could not be 1982. They couldn't be used before 1983 because of the Judge's decision on that question. The money that is proposed in this program, 1.3 million, has been spent at least five or six times over for certification at the three facilities: Bowen, Adler and Dixon. This is duplication of what we have already done in the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. you, I'm sure, have read the articles in the news media and heard and seen those stories on the transfer of clients from these three facilities to other facilities as well In fact, there were two deaths in the private care. transfer of clients to other facilities that are

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

investigation now. The Governor came to Dixon, Illinois, and he said there would no transfers unless a complete program and plan would be instituted with the agreement of the Parents' Association and the quardians of Basically, that is what the court decision hinged brought out at that time that and i + **425** there...other facilities are not capable of presenting the same type care and facilities that are now in operation under the certification program, and federal law. reimbursement at the three facilities. If, in fact, you has already been done want to duplicate what bу General Assembly, I think it is a waste of money to take that posture, since we have already expended those dollars those three facilities. By taking this money out but including the \$900,000 transfer for the purchased care, doing right by the clients under the care of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. We will be doing right for the parents of those clients, will be substantiating the court decision in that regard. I respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote on Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1669 and respectfully request a Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "While the Roll is being tabulated, I would like to announce that the Winnfield Middle School is up here in the left balcony led by school principal, Mr. Schmidt, and in the class is Kelly 'Gibland' the son of Paul 'Gibland', UAW legislative correspondent. Up here in this balcony."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Reilly."

117th Legislative Day

- May 27, 1982
- Reilly: "Reluctantly if...forget it. If the Leadership doesn't care, I don't care."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr.

 Clerk. On this question there are 94 'aye', 75 'no', and

 none voting 'present'. Amendment #3 is adopted. Further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. Representative Reilly. Excuse me, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I believe there has been an inadvertent error, and House...Senate Bill 1669 has been listed under the sponsorship Representative Wolf and Vinson. That is incorrect. It should be Representative Wolf and Reilly, and I would like to make sure that my name is removed from the sponsorship on that."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman wishes not to be associated with Senate Bill 1669. His name shall be removed, and Representative Reilly will be substituted for him. Alright, Senate Bill 1669, Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1669, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Reilly, requests unanimous leave to have House Bill...or Senate Bill 1669 heard on the Order of Third Reading. Any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 1669, Third Reading. Representative Reilly."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I must say to the House, you better hope the Senate stays around long enough to act on this Bill now that we've changed it. Otherwise, we'll have to be here next week, because this transfer must be enactee into law by that time. In any event, what we have left now

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

is some \$900,000 in transfers. I don't think there is any controversy about that. I would ask a favorable Roll Call."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 1669. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this guestion, there are 163 'aye', 3 'no', 1 'present'. Senate Bill 1669, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Order of Concurrence, page four, House Bill 522. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 522, a Bill for an Act making appropriations relating to the Community Industrial Building Assistance Act together with Senate Amendment #1."

 Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mays."
- Hays: "Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker. As the Clerk stated, this did have everything to do with the Bill that we al...almost unanimously passed out of here last year. A funny thing happened on the way to the Senate. What they do here is delete everything after the enacting clause and substitute appropriation for \$1,738,184 the State Board of Education to cover interest payments due to the Board as a result of a change in state aid from the usual double payments made in June to single payments made in June and July. I move we concur."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 522. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action on the Bill. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. There are 144 'aye', 2 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'; and House Bill 522, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared

117th Legislative Day

- May 27, 1982
- passed, and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1.

 Macdonald 'aye'. That is 145 'aye', 2 'no', 3 'present'.

 House Bill 1891. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1891, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Suburban Task Force together with Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stanley."
- Stanley: "Yes, there are no monies in here for Suburban Task Force. Senate Amendment #1 deleted...deleted everything after the enacting clause and did two things which was an inner transfer for the Ethnic Heritage Commission of \$9,600 and a \$700 transfer for the Motor Vehicles Commission, and that is all that the Bill does. This is not a supplemental. It is just an inner line item transfer. Request a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1_ The question is. 'Shall Senate Amendment...Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment to House Bill 1891? .. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Braun 'aye'. There are 118 'aye', 29 'no', 11 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declare passed, and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1891. Order of Nonconcurrence, Senate Bill 423. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 423, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Printings Contracts Act."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ebbesen."
- Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

 I would move that we refuse to recede on Senate Bill 423

 and that we ask for a Conference Committee."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Ebbesen, moves that House refuse to recede to Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 423. All those...and requests a Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede in Senate Amendments #1 and 2, and a Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 623. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 623, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Grossi."

Grossi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House refuse to recede from Amendments 1 and 2 and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Grossi, moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 and to appoint a Conference Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2. Senate Bill 740. Read the Bill. Senate Bill 740."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order. You keep saying we refuse to recede from Senate Amendments, and I think they are House Amendments. Aren't they?"

Speaker Daniels: "We're nonconcured."

Bradley: "In the House Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "It is okay now. Senate Bill 740."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 740, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Terzich. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House refuse to recede from

117th Legislative Day

May 27. 1982

Amendments #1 and 2 and ask for an appointment of the Conference Committee. Is that the correct Motion? What is the correct Motion?"

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Peters, moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2.... House Amendments #1 and 2."

Peters: "House Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Daniels: "All those in favor signify by saying age". The 'ayes' have it. opposed 'no'. and the nonconcurs. Senate Bill 1020...for clarification, Senate Bill 423, the House refused to recede, and a Conference Committee was appointed. Senate Bill 623, the refused to recede in Amendments #1 and 2, and a Committee has been requested and will be appointed. Bill 740, the House refuses to recede in Amendments #1 and 2, and the Gentleman requests a Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Senate Bill 1028. the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1028, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Property Control Act."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would refuse to concur with the Senate actions on Amendments 1 and 2 of Senate Bill 1028 and ask for a Conference Committee to be appointed."

Speaker Daniels: "The Lady moves that the House refuse to recede to Amendments #1 and 2, and a Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', and the 'ayes' have it. The House refuses to recede in Amendments #1 and 2 and a Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 1193. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1193, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ted Meyer. Representative

Bartulis. Out of the record, Sir? Out of the record.

Message from the Senate."

Clerk Leone: "Messages from the Senate. Message from the Senate by Mr. Bright, Secretary. *Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles, the passage of which I am asked...instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Bills 1280, 1290, 1296. 1358, 1366, 1400, 1401, 1501, 1502, 1516, 1558, 1560, 1588, 1601, 1607, 1614 and 1654, passed the Senate May 26, 1982. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Bright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following title, the passage of which I am instruct concurrence ŧο of the House Representatives to wit: Senate Bill 1242. passed the Senate May 27, 1982. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Daniels: "Senate Bills First Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bills First Reading. Senate Bill Pullen, a Bill for an Act to amend the Child Care Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1321, Sanguist -Pierce, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1324, Miller, a Bill Act in relationship to interest on rates of bonds. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1359. Kustra, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1360, Kustra, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1386, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary, and contingent and distributive expenses of the State Comptroller. First Reading of the Bill."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Speaker Daniels: "Adjournment Resolution."

Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution #91, resolved by the House of Representatives of the 82nd General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein that when the Senate adjourns on Thursday, May 27, 1982; it stands adjourned until Tuesday, June 1, 1982 at the hour of 12 o'clock noon. And when the House of Representatives adjourns on Thursday, May 27, 1982; they return in Perfunctory Session Friday, May 28, 1982 at the hour of 12 noon. And when they adjourn on May 28, 1982; they return for Perfunctory Session Wednesday, June 2, 1982 at the hour of 10 o'clock a.m.; and when they adjourn June 2, 1982, they return Tuesday, June 8, 1982 at the hour of 12 noon."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Telcser on the Adjournment

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Telcser on the Adjournment Resolution."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I now offer and move the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution...what's the number, Mr. Clerk?"

Speaker Daniels: "91."

Telcser: "91."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Adjournment Resolution is adopted. Representative...Representative Dwight Friedrich. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

Friedrich: "Mr....Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, may I have your attention for just a minute?"

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Mr. Friedrich. Mr. Friedrich, excuse me. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have not adjourned yet, we have merely adopted the Adjournment Resolution. May we please have order? Representative Friedrich."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this announcement, I think, will be important to most all of you. Despite the fact that we will not be in Session next week, there will be a meeting of Rules Committee at ... Wednesday at noon 114. We are going to waive the requirement that you put in a request for exemption, but it would be helpful you would do it. We certainly welcome you to appear if you want to. If you can't appear or prefer not to, it might be well, also, for you to have someone on the Rules Committee from your side of the aisle to present your Bill. the Senate Bills that come over, including those that come over in the Message tomorrow, will be posted for Rules Committee on the 20...on the June the 2nd, and the hearing will be at noon."

Speaker Daniels: "Speaker Ryan in the Chair."

Speaker Byan: "Representative Hallock, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Hallock: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to announce that the State Government Organization Committee will meet in 122B immediately after Session."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Satterthwaite, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Satterthwaite: "For a point of information. Mr. Speaker, as the Adjournment Resolution was read, it indicated that the Session tomorrow was to be perfunctory, but it really didn't clarify what the Session on June 2nd was to be. Is that also to be perfunctory?"

Speaker Ryan: "Yes. Perfunctory Session June the ... "

Satterthwaite: "Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Did you inquire about June the 2nd?"

Satterthwaite: "June 2nd, yes."

Speaker Ryan: "Perfunctory."

Satterthwaite: "Thank you."

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "Will not be back into Session until Tuesday, June the 8th, Regular Session, at which time the House will resolve into a Committee of the Whole for purposes of hearing testimony on the Equal Rights Amendment. That will be at 12:00 o'clock noon, Tuesday, June the 8th. Representative McMaster, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is for the Members of the Counties and Townships Committee. We did post for a hearing next Wednesday of the Committee; but, due to the fact that we will not be in, that meeting of the Counties and Townships Committee is cancelled. There will be no meeting of the Counties and Townships Committee next week."

Speaker Byan: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 952, Ryan. Bouse Resolution 962,

Laurino. 963, Ropp. 964, Frederick - Sandquist.

9...House Joint Resolution 90, Hikoff."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Resolution 952, we commend the Kankakee Fire Chief, Roger Regnier for his leadership as President of the Illinois Fire Chief Association. House Resolution 962, Laurino, whereas Korey Pat...Ptak and Caryn Rydzinski and Denis Sullivan will receive an award of the Girl Scout Gold Award presentation to be held on June 6, 1982. House Resolution 963, Ropp, that we congratulate Clarence R. Ropp on honored by the Illinois University naming its agricultural building the Clarence R. Ropp Agriculture Building. the father of our respected colleague, Gordon Ropp. Resolution 963...that's the same one. House Resolution 964, Frederick and Sandquist, that the Roman Catholic Church recently received the 1982 U. S. Catholic Award. House Joint Resolution 90, where it has come to the

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

attention of the House of Representatives, the Senate that Joseph S. Begando, chancellor and chief administrator of the University of Illinois Medical Center campus will retire on August 31, 1981. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adoption of the Resolutions."

- Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Agreed

 Resolutions. Representative Mautino, on the Agreed

 Resolutions."
- Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, I was asked to make an announcement upon completion of the Agreed Resolutions that downstairs the Ki...Kiln Gas Model is...is on exhibit. We recommend that you do stop down and see it, because it's a joint venture between independent enterprise and the Illinois Power as a promotion of Illinois Coal. And that's going on downstairs."
- Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Resolutions are adopted. Representative Telcser."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I would like to, if possible, amend the Adjournment Resolution for an additional Perfunctory Session on June 1st. Is that what you..."
- Speaker Ryan: "That's right. Gentleman requests leave to amend the Adjournment Resolution for Perfunctory Session on June the 1st in addition to the other Perfunctory Sessions that are scheduled. All in favor will signify by saying "aye", all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Adjournment Resolution is amended. Representative Telcser. Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Friedrich: "Hell, Mr. Speaker, I wondered if he was anticipating another Message from the Senate on the 1st, which might

117th Legislative Day

May 27, 1982

- include some more Senate Bills. If it did, I was going to make a Motion to suspend the posting rules on those which might come as late as Tuesday for tho...to be heard in Rules Committee. I will so move, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman asks leave to suspend the posting rules for Bills that make..."
- Friedrich: "For any Senate Bills that come later than tomorrow and as early as Tuesday to be included in Wednesday's posting."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr....Mr. Speaker, I would object to that Gentleman's Motion, but I would be willing to work with him in suspending the posting requirements when we return to Springfield."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."
- Priedrich: "I'll withdraw the Motion."
- Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman withdraws. Now, Representative Telcser."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I now move that the House stand adjourned until Tuesday, June 8th, Regular Session at the hour of 12:00 o'clock noon."
- Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman moves that the House stand adjourned until Tuesday, June the 8th, the hour of 12:00 noon. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House now stands adjourned."