49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "The House will come to Order and the Members will be in their seats. We'll be lead in prayer today by Sister Mary Siena from the Sacred Heart Academy located in Springfield. Sister."

Sister Mary Siena: "Let us pray. Lord God, of the universe, call upon Your Name and ask Your blessing on these people gathered together for the important tasks of government our State of Illinois. We are thankful for the dignity and creative challenge of their work. A work that can ennoble them and can lift up the spirit of Your people in this State. May these Legislators rely on Your promised help so that the work they undertake will not be just their own. Help them remember that You, our God, have a holy design for each of us. That we are, each of us, special to You. That are names are written in the palm of Your hand and our place in history, our purpose for existing is known to You Remind them of their own giftedness since endless ages. and the strengths they bring to this job, unique gifts that enable them to work for the good of those they represent and to make a difference. Individual strengths that make it possible to give reality to our dreams and in so doing, to help create Your Kingdom here in our midsts. We rejoice in the reminders around us at this season to be hopeful, trees and fields, birds and flowers are strong signs of renewal and growth and strength. Make all of us, Lord, know the capacity for change in our own lives, for without change there can be no real growth and no true life. our hearts be ever changing, ever in growth as life leads us on our journey to You, our Mysterious Source. You, who are forever new, yet forever the same. Amen."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Leon will lead the Pledge."

Leon: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1981
- America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Ryan: "Roll Call for attendance. Take the record, Mr.

  Clerk. Wait for Capparelli. 151 Members answering the
  Roll, a quorum of the House is present. Corrected

  Committee Report."
- Clerk Leone: "Representative Stearney, Chairman from the Committee on Judiciary II to which the following Bills were referred. Action taken April 30th, 1981 and reported the same back with the following recommendations; Interim Study Calendar, House Bill 1183 and House Bill 1196."
- Speaker Ryan: "Add Representatives Ebbesen and Wolf to the Roll Call. Representative Collins, do you seek recognition?"
- Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to call the attention of the Body to the fact that we have visitors today from the fifth grade of the Tri-City school, here in the 50th District, represented by Representatives Oblinger, Kane and Irv Smith. They're in the Speaker's Gallery, to the right rear."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kulas, do you seek recognition this morning?"
- Kulas: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, last Thursday, due to a bad case of frayed nerves and Springfielditis, I realized that I was rude to the Chair and to the Members of this Assembly in my questioning of the Chair as far as the program for the rest of the week and I'd like to publicly apologize to you, Mr. Speaker and to the other Members. And now..."
- Speaker Ryan: "It's certainly not necessary, Mr. Kulas."
- Aulas: "And now I'd like to ask you what is the plan for the rest of this week?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Thank you very much, but it wasn't necessary. I

- \$\dpsi\$9th Legislative Day May 26, 1981 think everybody understands. Representative Capparelli, do you seek recognition?"
- Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, would the record please show that

  Robert Terzich is excused because of a death in his family.

  Thank you."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Getty, do you have any excused absences?"
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker, may the record show that Representative Keane is excused due to official business and Representative Redmond due to illness in the family."
- Speaker Ryan: "The record will so indicate. Representative Collins, do you have any excused absences?"
- Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, may the record show that
  Representative Margalus is excused because of illness and
  Representative Zwick is excused because of a death in the
  family."

Speaker Ryan: "Messages from the Senate."

clerk Leone: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. I'm directed to inform the House of Speaker. Mr. Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution to wit: House Joint Resolution 35, concurred in by the Senate, May 22, 1981, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles and the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Bills 547, 548, 549, 556, 558, 559, 560, 565, 568, 569, 570, 571, 574, 575 passed the Senate May 21, 1981, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed the Bills with the following titles and

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit; Senate Bills 579, 580, 584, 587, 593, 597, 599, 601, 602, 606, 607, 610, 611, 612, 617, 618 passed the Senate May 21, 1981, Kenneth Wright, A Message from the Senate by Mr. Secretary. Wright, Secretary. Ar. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed the Bills with the following titles and the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit; Senate Bills 623, 625, 629, 633, 634, 639, 641, 642, 643, 644, passed the Senate May 1981, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed the Bills with the following titles and the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit; Senate Bills 209, 211, 227, 245, 246, 250, 254, 270, 286, 293, 294, 295, 301, 347, 350, 370, 376, 391, 414, 423, 430, passed by the Senate May 22, 1981, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills with the following titles and passage of which I \* m instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Bills 446, 468, 480, 490. 491, 495, 508, 513, 514, 516, 527, 528, 535, 542, 581, 585, 631, 655, and 700, passed the 589, 590, 592, 626, 588. Senate May 22, 1981, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills with the following titles and the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit; Senate Bill 729, 730, 736,

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

737, 779, 800, 805, 806, 876, 877, 884, 899, 900, 901, 909, 911, 914, 942, 972, 1006, 1029, 1037, 1047, 1048, passed by the Senate May 22, 1981 Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I \* m directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles and the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit; Senate Bill 1056, 1084, 1095, 1096, 1097, 1100, 1101, 1103, 1106, 1109, 1138, 1139, 1149, 1167, 1173, 1174, 1175, 1198, passed the Senate May 22, 1981, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. directed to inform the House of Speaker, I · m Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills with the following titles and the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit; Senate Bill 14, 51, 60, 65, 67, 98, 118, 127, 134, 144, 150, 155, 160, 166, 169, 180, 183, 184, 197, 202, 205, passed the Senate May 22, 1981, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Ryan: "Introduction, First Reading of House Bills."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1904, Margaret Smith-Madigan, a Bill for to amend Sections of the Unified Code of an Act Corrections, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1905, Bowman-Madigan, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1906, Kornowicz-Madigan, a Bill for and Act in relationship to certain grants for senior citizens and disabled persons, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kulas, it's the intention of the Chair to adjourn somewhere around 6:30 this evening. Representative Bullock, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, it was recently brought to my attention that one of my colleagues in the House took offense at some remarks I made last week. Those remarks were not intended at the Gentleman from Macon as an individual. If my remarks were intemperate, I certainly would like to apologize to him. It is not my nature to castigate a Member of the House whether I agree or disagree with their position on the issue, and I would like to apologize to the Gentleman if I offended him in any way."

Speaker Ryan: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill Stuffle, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 507...Senate Bill 413, Greiman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Consumer Frauds and Deceptive Business Practices Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 443, J.J. Wolf-Topinka, a Bill for an Act to amend the Bingo License and Tax Act. Bill 448. Leverenz. a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Senate Bill 462, Kucharski-Schraeder, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Senate Bill 477. Vinson, a Bill for an Act relating to certain investment credits, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 481. for an Act to amend the Illinois Reilly, Bill Administrative Procedure Act, First Reading of the Senate Bill 486, Davis-Mautino, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Ralph Dunn, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill....Senate Virginia Frederick, a Bill for an Act in relationship to operation records and auditing of public transportation carriers, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 138, Klemm, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 524, Jim

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Kelley-Barkhausen, a Bill for an Act to amend the Child Care Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 525, PielRonan, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the disclosure of information and various credit and loan transactions, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 534, Preston, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 546, Schuneman, a Bill for an Act in relationship to making state agency rules and regulations, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 578, McPike-Polk, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the authorization of multi-office banking, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 450, Tate, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 497, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act in relationship to State finance, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 498, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 511, Slape, a Bill for an Act to amend the Agricultural Areas Conservation and Protection Act, First Reading of the Bill."

- Speaker Byan: "On the Calendar on page seven, under the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 364, Representative Hoffman. Mr. Clerk? Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
  House. I move we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House
  Bill 364."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not concur in House Bill 364. Is there any discussion? Representative McClain."
- McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman explain the Senate Amendment, please?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 364 was an

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

appropriation to the Illinois Scholarship Commission.

This...the Senate has now agreed to recede from this Amendment. The supporters of the Amendment understand the error of their ways and have agreed to back away from this Amendment."

McClain: "Mr. Hoffman, would...I don't really care about Senate

Sponsors. This is the House. Could you explain what the

Amendment actually does, please?"

Hoffman: "The Amendment provided...at an appropriation of three million dollars for supplemental appropriations to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission Monetary Award."

McClain: "And was this a supplemental appropriation?"

Hoffman: "Yes, it was."

McClain: "Has that money already been dedicated to students but just not spent to the colleges, is that correct?"

Hoffman: "I'm not really too familiar with the program. My only interest was to get this Amendment off of my minuscule transfer Bill for the School Problems Commission. So, I'm really uncertain about that."

McClain: . "Do you have any idea how many students are affected by the Amendment?"

Hoffman: "None at all, except that the Senate Sponsor and the people who supported the Amendment, initially, have now backed away from this. It's my understanding that this would have drawn down on the total appropriation the way it works so that it would have cut out practically all of the resources available for junior college scholarships, as well as the fact that the Scholarship Commission had agreed last year not to file any supplemental...any request for supplemental appropriations."

McClain: "So, as far as you know, you don't know for sure even if
your staff person is telling you...our information says it
affects about 35 thousand students. Is that correct?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Hoffman: "Someone has come to my aid, indicates that there are about 35 thousand student awards affected out of a total of 85 thousand awards and that is a partial...would affect their awards partially, whatever that means."

McClain: "And it's...the schools, both public and private have already committed the dollars, isn't that correct?"

Hoffman: "That's true."

McClain: "So, what we're basically doing here is either the public or private college has to eat the money or they will ask the individual students to pay the difference, maybe \$80.00 per student?"

Hoffman: "I'm told that's true."

McClain: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I realize that probably a nonconcurrence Motion will pass. If I could, Speaker, I'd like to speak to the nonconcurrence Motion? Speaker?"

Speaker Ryan: "Proceed."

McClain: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just for What the State did here is, the State your information. agreed to give certain awards to students, let's say for the second semester of their year in school. And what has happened here is the State is not going to fulfill its word in fulfilling...paying for their awards that these students received. So, what will happen back in your districts, is either that the private or the public college will eat the money, which will average about \$80.00 per student, or what will happen is that your college, be it private or public, will force that student to pay the difference of \$80.00 prior to receiving their degree or going on to their next year of schooling. I think that, indeed, I understand Mr. Hoffman's position and now the Illinois State Scholarship I think they make a tremendous error but I Commission. think the Membership ought to know that in agreeing with

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Mr. Hoffman to nonconcur in this, all of us will hear from our constituents, be it either from the public or private colleges, that they have to eat that \$80.00 per student or that their students are going to be required to pay that \$80.00 and I, for one, will be saying 'no' to the nonconcurrence."

- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Schraeder."
- Schraeder: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I concur with the last speaker. Just because we have a Scholarship Commission that's inept, inefficient and don't know what they are doing from the word 'go', I think we ought to be realistic about this. These students were awarded scholarships based upon the judgement of the Commission at that time. And why should we penalize the students and/or those institutions which accepted those students? Now, I'm not for a supplemental appropriations but this would be a dasterdly thing for these students in these universities. Representative McClain is absolutely right. What's been done is done and And I would ask for a finance it. ought to nonconcurrence as well."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Jones. Any further discussion?

  The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman to close."
- Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I mistakenly assumed that all bases had been touched on this issue. I'd only make two points. The group who advocated this Amendment to begin with, the Federation of Independent Colleges and Universities, have backed away from this Amendment. They realize the import of their request. I would also suggest that this will only draw down the '82 appropriation by an equal amount if it were to pass. The Scholarship Commission, of course, does

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

not support it and it has been agreed that the Senate will recede from the Amendment where it was initially introduced, so that this tiny, small, innocuous, little Bill of mine, which transfers a mere \$4,000 within the line items of the School Problems Commission, could become a reality. Now, that's not asking too much. I appreciate your nonconcurrence."

- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not concur on House Bill 364. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Leverenz, did you care to explain your vote?"
- Leverenz: "As long as we don't have enough green votes, you are approaching, at least in my mind if we leave the Amendment on, we are approaching the threshold of deficit spending. I think that the issue of the Scholarship Commission is a separate issue and this is where we are spending next year's money this year for the private sector schools only. I understand a green vote is to take the Senate Amendment off. Thank you."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Jones, one minute to explain your vote."
- Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I agree with the Sponsor of the Bill. If we concur in this Amendment, we will be penalizing the public institutions, not only this year, but in FY '82 as well. We should vote green on this Amendment to take off this three million dollars because we are going to get bombarded next year in FY '82 for students for loans and the budget for FY '82 will be reduced. So, I concur with the Sponsor of the Bill. We should all be voting green on this piece of legislation."
- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 86 voting 'aye', 60

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

voting 'no' and one voting 'present' and the Gentleman's Motion prevails. Representative Kane? On the Calendar on page two, under the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, appears House Bill 61, Representative Matijevich. Out of the record. House Bill 420, Representative Hallock. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 420, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board, Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any Motions filed with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Mautino, amends House Bill 420 as amended."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino, on Amendment #2."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment was originally introduced because of the results of the Auditor General's report. I have been in contact with NIU and the Board of Regents. I have not received their response to that particular problem within the Auditor General's report that affects the facility in Rockford, and I really, in all good conscience, do not want to hold up Representative Hallock's Bill so therefore I will withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Ryan: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Ryan: "Third Reading. House Bill 494, Representative Matijevich. Out of the record? Out of the record. House Bill 530, Representative Steczo. Do you want to go with the Bill, Representative? Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 530, a Bill for an Act making

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

appropriations to the Capital Development Board, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any Motions filed with respect to Amendments 1 or 2?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, J.J. Wolf, amends House Bill 530..."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf on Amendment #3 to House Bill 530."

J.J. Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this just changes the effective date for reimbursements from July 1, 81 to January 1, 82. We're not too sure that we can sell the bonds by that time and that's all the Amendment does. I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, I except the Amendment."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 530. All in favor will signify by saying "aye", all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Ryan: "Third Reading. House Bill 585, Representative Wolf. Going to go with 585, Representative? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 585, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Transportation and the Department of Labor, Illinois Arts Council, and Industrial Commission, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 26 were adopted in Committee."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any Motions filed with respect to any of the Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #27, Madigan-Matijevich, amends
House Bill 585 on page 46 and so forth."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan on Amendment #27."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment would restore this appropriation to the original request of the agency. The Amendment would restore \$324,210 to the Arts Council and it's broken down in the usual manner. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Wolf.

Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As the Chairman of the Sub-committee on Economic Development that sponsored the Amendment to delete the 324,210. This was General Revenue monies for the Illinois Arts Council. We spent a considerable amount of time looking at this particular agency and we found that this was a good area where we could make cutbacks that we could justify and I would ask that all Members resist this Amendment and vote 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hoffman, do you seek recognition?

Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I support the restoration of the \$324,000 to the Arts Council. There's been some rhetoric on the floor with regards to the Arts Council but we have as the years pass by there's been less rhetoric on the floor and we've found that the Arts Council has provided the stimulation of culture and the arts not only in the Chicago area but throughout the downstate area and we feel that the Arts Council needs these funds so that it can do a good job

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

throughout the State of Illinois. So, I strongly support the Minority Leader in restoration of these funds." Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn." John Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. For those of you who may feel that this may be of benefit only to the northeastern part of the urge the Members to look favorably upon this Amendment. Those of us downstate over the past few years have been the beneficiaries of the downstate tour of the Chicago Symphony Orchestra. That tour is financed in by the Illinois Arts Council. The Chicago Symphony program of course and its European trips and so on are funded independently by themselves and are not funded with taxpayer dollars. But the downstate swing is and when the Chicago Symphony comes into a community they are generally added to whatever community concerts series is taking place that's taking place in that particular community that year and it is without dispute that ticket sales for the local arts series go up when the Chicago Symphony comes to town. So, not only do we find that the symphony comes to town but the entire arts series and cultural program for a community is boosted significantly when the symphony downstate tour. This funding is necessary because without it the testimony in Committee was, the symphony probably would not be coming downstate and furthermore with the cuts in education that we see at the present time, this is a the fine arts. We want our chance for us to support children to grow up exposed not only to athletics and vocational ed and reading and writing and arithmetic but we want them to know about some of the finer things in life so they can...when they become adults, enjoy a better quality of life than perhaps we have been the beneficiary of. Without this funding that is jeopardized. I would urge a

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

favorable vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Dunn, do you know if Mike Royko understands that the downstate has the Chicago Symphony come in?"

John Dunn: "We are not...We have an investigation under way, Mr. Speaker. We're not sure that Mr. Royko even knows what the Chicago Symphony is, let alone where it has been or where it has been recently. The Chicago Symphony doesn't play in 'Billygoat Saloon'. So we're not sure he knows where the Chicago Symphony is."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf."

J.J. Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The previous Gentleman just talked about a tour by the Chicago Symphony Orchestra of downstate Illinois. During the Committe, the director said there wasn't going to be any tour of downstate Illinois by the Chicago Orchestra because they were planning on going and touring Europe. Now the Arts Council got an increase in the last General Assembly of nearly 35%. This Bill leaves a good increase for them. The Committee felt this was an area that could be cut without seriously damaging vital programs and I as I stated the other day, there's a whole string of Amendments. I don't really want to get into in arguing each and everyone of these things but it's probably necessary. The sum total, bottom line, is we're trying to with the series of Democrat Amendments another \$133,000,000 to the budget. Now, I have to regard these as budget busting Amendments, and urge everybody to resist these pressures and vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. For your information, Mike Royko was born in southern Illinois."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan to close."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in closing I would like to point out to the Body that the request of the Arts Council, the initial request of the Arts Council was \$12,000 below the appropriation given to this agency in the last fiscal year. This agency beeded the warnings of the Governor's Bureau of the Budget. did not come into the Legislature requesting appropriations than it had received in the prior year. took its last year's appropriation and reduced that bγ \$12,000 and then the Committee reduced that further by \$324,000. So, what I'm attempting to do, is simply place this agency appropriation where it began its initial request, \$12,000 under last years appropriation. I would request an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #27 to House Bill 585. All in favor will signify by....Representative Wolf, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- J.J. Wolf: "Well, I just wanted to check, Mr. Speaker, this says
   Amendment, I think it should be to House Bill 585 'as
   amended'. And I think it's technically incorrect, would I
   get an opinion on that?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Let me see the Amendment, Mr. Clerk. What's your point, Representative?"
- J.J. Wolf: "The top of the Amendment, #27 says, 'amend House Bill 585' and I believe it should say 'amend House Bill 585 as amended'. I would question whether it's technically correct."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move to amend the Amendment on its face.

To meet the objection of the Representative."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf."

J.J. Wolf: "I object."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

- Speaker Ryan: "Objections have been noted, Representative Madigan, to your request to amend the Amendment on its face. The Parliamentarian tells me it is technically incorrect. Representative Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I placed a Motion before the Body.

  Representative Wolf simply noted an objection. I would move that the Amendment be amended on its face to provide that it would read, 'to amend the Bill as amended'. It's a very technical request. I don't understand why the Gentleman wishes to become so stringent at this point in our deliberations. Mr. Speaker, I would point out that I am in a position to redraft the Amendment and then refile it with a different number. I don't see that that would accomplish the purpose of the Body."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf."

- J.J. Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, in deference to the Minority

  Leader, I would withdraw the objection. We'll just vote

  the Amendment up and down. Hopefully, down."
- Speaker Ryan: "Now, do you want to close again? Representative Madigan. The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #27 to House Bill 585. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 77 voting 'aye', 72 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. Representative Wolf."
- J.J. Wolf: "I'd like to verify the Roll."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman asks for a verification of the Roll Call. Mr. Madigan would like a Poll of the Absentees.

  Poll the Absentees, Mr. Clerk. Representative Wolf, Representative Domico would like to have leave to be verified. Leave is granted."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. Abramson. Alexander.

  Barkhausen. Barnes. Bluthardt. Brummer. Deuster.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Garmisa. Griffin. Jackson. Katz. Keane. Kucharski. Margalus. Martire. McAuliffe. McMaster. Ted Meyer. Miller. Pierce. Redmond. Sandquist. Schneider. Slape. Stearney. Stuffle. Terzich. And Zwick."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any changes? The Gentleman requests a verification. Poll the affirmative votes, Mr. Clerk."

Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Clerk Balanoff. Bowman. Bradley. Braun. Breslin. Bullock. Capparelli. Carev. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Cullerton. Darrow. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Dovle. Jack Currie. John Dunn. Ewell. Farley. Findley. Flinn. Dunn. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hanahan. Henry. Huff. Getty. Kane. Dick Kelly. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Jaffe. Jones. Kulas. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Krska. Levin. Madigan. Matijevich. Mautino. McClain. McGrew. McPike. Mulcahey. Murphy. O'Brien. O'Connell. Ozella. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Rhem. Richmond. Pechous. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Schraeder. Margaret Ronan. Turner. Van Duyne. Vitek. Smith. Steczo. Stewart.

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Alexander."

Alexander: "Mr. Speaker, how am I voted?"

Speaker Ryan: "How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is not recorded as voting."

Alexander: "Please vote me 'aye'."

White. Sam Wolf.

Speaker Ryan: "Record her as 'aye'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Younge. Yourell. And Zito."

Clerk Leone: "78 voting 'aye', 72 voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Do you have any questions, Representative Wolf?"

J.J. Wolf: "Yes, is Mr. Bradley in the Chambers?"

Speaker Ryan: "Is Representative Bradley in the Chambers. Right,

he's over here in the center aisle."

J.J. Wolf: "I see him. Representative Bullock."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock.

Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Representative Bullock.

Hows' the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting ave."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

J.J. Wolf: "Representative Chapman."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Chapman. Is the Lady in the Chamber? Representative Chapman. How's she recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove her from the Roll Call."

J.J. Wolf: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Capparelli. Representative Capparelli. Here's Representative Chapman, return her to the Roll Call. Representative Capparelli. Right here.

Representative Barnes, do you seek recognition?

Representative Barnes.

Barnes: "I'd like to be recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Record the Lady as 'aye'."

J.J. Wolf: "Representative Darrow."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Darrow. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

J.J. Wolf: "Representative Ewell."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ewell in the Chamber?

Representative Ewell? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

J.J. Wolf: "Mr. Plinn."

J.J. Wolf: "Representative Findley."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Findley. Is Representative Findley in the Chamber? Where? Right over here."

\_· · · ·

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Flinn. Is Representative Flinn in

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

the Chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

J.J.Wolf: "Mr. Giglio."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Giglio. Representative Giglio in

the Chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

J.J. Wolf: "Mr. Giorgi, the 'Winnebago Flash'."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Giorgi is in the center aisle.

Representative Barkhausen, do you seek recognition? Record

Mr. Barkhausen as 'no'."

J.J. Wolf: "Mr. Hanahan."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hanahan. Representative Hanahan in

the Chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call. Return

Representative Bullock to the Roll Call."

J.J. Wolf: "Kosinski."

Speaker Ryan: "Here at the Well."

J.J. Wolf: "Laurino."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Laurino. Representative Laurino.

Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

J.J. Wolf: "Did I see Mr. McClain? McClain."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McClain. Representative McClain.

Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

J.J. Wolf: "McGrew."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McGrew. Representative McGrew in the Chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

49th Legislative Day May 26, 1981

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

J.J. Wolf: "Murphy."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Murphy is in his seat."

J.J. Wolf: "O'Brien."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative O'Brien. Representative O'Brien in

the Chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him. Return McClain to the Roll Call."

J.J. Wolf: "O'Connell."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative O'Connell. He's over here."

J.J. Wolf: "Pechous."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Pechous. Representative Pechous in

the Chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

J.J. Wolf: "Preston."

Speaker Ryan: "In his seat."

J.J. Wolf: "Steczo."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Steczo, in his seat."

J.J. Wolf: "White, Representative White."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative White. Representative White. Is

the Gentleman in the Chamber? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

J.J. Wolf: "Representative Younge."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Younge. Representative Younge. Is

the Lady in the Chamber? How's she recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove her from the Roll Call."

J.J. Wolf: "Yourell."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Yourell. How!s Representative Yourell recorded?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove Representative Yourell. Just a minute,
Representative Wolf. Representative DiPrima, for what
purpose do you seek recognition?"

DiPrima: "Can I be verified, please?"

Speaker Ryan: "Alright."

J. J. Wolf: "Pouncey."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Pouncey, in his seat, as usual."

J.J. Wolf: "Richmond."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Richmond. He's back in his Chair."

J.J. Wolf: "And Stewart."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Stewart, in her Chair."

J.J. Wolf: "That's it, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "67 voting 'aye', 73 voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan."

Speaker Ryan: "The count now is 67 'aye', 73 'no' is where we'll start, Representative. Read the Negative Roll Call, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Negative. Ackerman. Alstat. Barr. Bartulis. Bell. Bianco. Birkinbine. Barkhausen. Bower. Collins. Conti. Daniels. Davis. Boucek. Deuchler. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewing. Fawell. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Grossi. Hastert. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Hudson. Hannig. Hallstrom. Huskey. Johnson. Karpiel. Jim Kelley. Klemm. Kociolko. Macdonald. Kustra. Leinenweber. Mays. McBroom. McCornick. Roland Meyer. Neff. Nelson. Oblinger. Peters. Piel. Polk. Pullen. Reed. Reilly. Robbins. Ropp. Schuneman. Irv Smith. Rigney. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Swanstrom. Tate.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Topinka. Tuerk. Vinson. Watson. Wikoff. Winchester.

J.J. Wolf. Woodyard and Mr. Speaker.

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan, do you have any questions of the negative? The count is 67 'yes', 73 'no'."

Madigan: "73?"

Speaker Ryan: "Is that right, Mr. Clerk?"

Madigan: "Alstat?"

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

Madigan: "Bartulis."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bartulis, is in his seat."

Madigan: "Bell."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bell, in his chair."

Madigan: "Bianco."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bianco. Representative Bianco. Is
the Gentleman in the Chamber? How's the Gentleman
recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Madigan: "Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Dunn. Ralph Dunn. In the back, on the Democrat side."

Madigan: "Ewing."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ewing. Is Representative Ewing in the Chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Madigan: "Grossi."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Grossi, in his chair."

Madigan: "Is he there?"

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

Madigan: "McBroom."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McBroom. Representative McBroom.

How's the Gentleman recorded?"

TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Madigan: "Peters."

49th Legislative Day

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Peters. Representative Peters in

the Chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Madigan: "Piel."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Piel, in the center aisle."

Madigan: "Pullen."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Pullen, in the ... right over here."

Madigan: "Stanley. Stanley."

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

Madigan: "Tate."

Speaker Ryan: "In the center aisle."

Madigan: "Telcser."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Telcser in the Chamber? How's the

Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Madigan: "Topinka."

Speaker Ryan: "In her chair."

Madigan: "Tuerk."

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

Madigan: "Hoffman."

Speaker Ryan: "Over here in Conti's chair."

Madigan: "Karpiel."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Karpiel in the Chamber?

Representative Karpiel. How's the Lady voted?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove here from the Roll Call."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you restore Representative White?"

Speaker Ryan: "Return Representative White to the Roll Call."

May 26, 1981

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Madigan: "Celeste Stiehl."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Stiehl. Celeste Stiehl. Is
Representative Stiehl in the Chamber? Representative
Stiehl. How's the Lady recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove her from the Roll Call. Return Representative Karpiel."

Madigan: "Vinson."

Speaker Ryan: "At the podium, trying to cut a deal."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative?"

Madigan: "We have an agreement not to verify Mr. Conti if he's in the building. Do you know if he's in his office?"

Speaker Ryan: "Yes, he's been here today."

Madigan: "You've seen him. Okay."

Speaker Ryan: "He is here, Representative. Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Mr. Speaker, would you please change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Change the Gentleman's vote to 'aye'. Representative Slape? Record Slape as 'aye'. Representative Griffin, do you seek recognition? Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative Meyer. Ted Meyer. Do you have any further Record the Gentleman as 'no'. questions, Representative Madigan?"

Madigan: "No."

Speaker Ryan: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative Dunn, do you seek recognition?"

Jack Dunn: "Change my vote to a 'nay'."

Speaker Ryan: "Record the Gentleman as a 'no'. Now, what's the count? Representative Madigan. Representative Pechous, or Representative Stuffle, pardon me. Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Are there any other changes? What's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 70 voting 'aye', 69

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

voting 'no'. Representative Ewell. Pardon? No, you were not removed. Return Representative Ewell to the Roll. On this question there are 71 voting 'aye', 69 voting 'no'. And the Gentleman...Gentleman's Motion prevails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment 28, Reed, amends House Bill 585 as amended."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Koehler, do you seek recognition?"
Koehler: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
I rise to recognize a group of women from Marshal and
Putnum County who've come down to visit us today, in the
back of the Chamber. In the balcony in the back of the
Chamber there are a group of 40 women from the Marshal —
Putnum County Farm Bureau. And they are represented by
myself, Representatives Ackerman and Ozella. Thank you
very much."

Speaker Ryan: "Nice to have you with us, Ladies. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk, to House Bill 585."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #28, Reed, amends House Bill 585 as amended."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Reed on Amendment #28."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment 28 is not designed to break the bank. It would restore \$79,200 to the Division of Water Resources for the services of three civil engineers. Now, they're not just engineers, they are the only personnel who have assurred, inspected, established and issued permits for the safety of 950 and levys in the State of Illinois. I don't happen to have a dam or a levy in my district but I can assure you that the dams and levys in 15 downstate and suburban counties classified today as unsafe. I would urge the are restoration, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, of \$79,200 to the Division of Water Resources."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is...the
  Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #28. All in favor
  will....signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'? The
  'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further
  Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #29, Matijevich, amends House Bill 585 as amended."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Matijevich on Amendment #29."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #29 to House Bill 585 reduces the appropriation to the Department of Labor from 15,000,000 to 12,000,000 for the payment of unemployment compensation for former The Committee reduced that amount by State employees. is a further reduction of \$350,000. Мy Amendment \$2,650,000 to bring it to the FY 81 appropriation level. For the past three fiscal years we have appropriated that \$12,000,000 for that purpose. It has been enough and it is estimated that the expenditures this year will be a little over \$10,000,000. The reason we feel \$12,000,000 will be enough for the coming fiscal year is because of the Governor's freeze there has been less State employees leaving public service. So this is a reduction that we know will be enough for the stated purpose and I move for the adoption of Amendment #29 to House Bill 585."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Winchester."
- Winchester: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and
  Gentlemen of the House. I stand in agreement with
  Representative Matijevich. This is only a paper reduction
  and I would ask that all Members support this Amendment."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #29. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #30, Leverenz, amends House Bill 585 as amended."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #30."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We will withdraw Amendment #30."

Speaker Ryan: "Withdraw #30. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #31, Leverenz."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #31."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #31 would provide a 6.8 million dollar increase in GRF and Motor Fuel Tax and Road Fund to provide for an 8% cost of living increase for noncoded employees. Currently, both the code and noncode are technical employees. Both receive State...the State step and merit increases but only the coded employees receive the cost of living increase that we call the 8% solution this year. This would provide an 8% increase for the technical employees so that they are on an equal footing and not bearing the brunt of the problem. I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #31."

- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Piel, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Piel: "Question of the Clerk, Mr. Speaker. Has this been distributed on our side, because I do not have it?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Mr. Clerk, has Amendment #31 been printed and distributed? Yes, it has, Mr. Piel. Representative Winchester."
- Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to Amendment #31 for several reasons. One, it takes \$291,000 out of the General Revenue Fund and two, it takes \$312,900 out of the Motor Fuel Tax Distribution Fund which we so desperately need to

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

take care of our rural bridges and our rural roads and it takes 6,261,000 away from our Road Fund which we so desperately need for the widening and resurfacing of our highways. I might add that the techs got an 8% pay increase effective December, 1980 and they're scheduled to get another 8% increase in January of 1982 and for those reasons I would ask that this Amendment not be adopted."

- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Leverenz, do yo care to close?"
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In support of the 8% cost of living for all employees and that's really what the Amendment does. I can understand the Gentleman's opposition to technical people but as we just saw an Amendment go on to keep our civil engineers in Water Resources and they should all receive and be treated equal.

  So, I would ask for your 'aye' vote in the adoption of Amendment #31."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #31 to House Bill 585. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 69 voting 'aye', 73 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I would humbly request a Poll of the Absentees,

  Speaker."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman requests humbly a Poll of the Absentees. Read the absentees, Mr. Clerk. Poll the absentees."
- Abramson-Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. Clerk Bianco. Bluthardt. Breslin. Brummer. Capparelli. Deuster-Ewing. Garmisa. Greiman. Jackson. Donovan. Ewell. Katz. Keane. Krska. Kucharski. Laurino. Margalus.

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1981
- Martire. McAuliffe. NcBroom. McClain. McGrew. Miller.

  Pierce. Redmond. Reed. Satterthwaite. Schneider.

  Stearney. Telcser. Terzich. Younge. And Zwick."
- Speaker Ryan: "Are there any changes? Poll of the Absentees has been completed. Record Representative McClain as 'aye'.

  What's the count? Representative Breslin. Record Representative Breslin as 'aye'. Representative Reed, no. On this question there are 71 voting 'aye', 74 voting 'no'. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I will ask for a verification of the red."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Greiman. Are you sure? Record
  Representative Greiman as 'aye'. The Gentleman has
  requested a Poll of the Negative...a verification of the
  negative, Mr. Clerk. We'll read the Negative Roll Call."
- Clerk Leone: "Ackerman. Alstat. Barkhausen. Barnes. Barr."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Martire, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Martire: "Cause I'd like to be recorded as voting 'no' on this Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative
  Bianco, do you seek recognition? Representative Bianco."
  Bianco: "Record me as 'no', Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Ryan: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative
  Niller, do you seek recognition? Record the Gentleman as
  'no'."
- Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the Poll of the Negative. Barr.

  Bartulis. Bell. Bianco. Birkinbine. Boucek. Bower.

  Catania. Collins. Conti. Daniels. Davis. Deuchler.

  Jack Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Epton."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bartulis asks leave, Representative Leverenz, to be verified. Leave is granted."
- Clerk Leone: "Fawell. Findley. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Priedrich. Griffin. Grossi. Hallock. Hallstrom.

49th Legislative Day

May 26. 1981

Hannig. Hastert Hoffman Hoxsev. Hudson. Huskev. Klemm\_ Johnson. Karpiel. Jim Kellev. Kociolko. Kustra. Macdonald. Leinenweber. Martire. Koehler. Mavs. McCormick. McMaster. Ted Meyer. Roland Never. Miller, Neff, Nelson, Peters, Piel, Polk. Rigney. Robbins. Reed. Reilly. RODD Sandquist. Schuneman. Stanley. E. G. Steele. C.N. Stiphl Swanstrom. Tate. Topinka. Tuerk. Watson. Wikoff Winchester, J.J. Wolf. Woodvard and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kucharski, do you seek recognition?"

Kucharski: "Yes. Mr. Speaker. How am I recorded?"

Speaker Ryan: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Kucharski: "Please vote me 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Are there any other changes before we proceed with the verification? Ask Representative Leverenz, Representative Ebbesen would like to have...to be verified? Ebbesen. Now, what's the count, Mr. Clerk? The count is 72 'aye', 78 'no', Mr. Leverenz. Proceed with questions."

Leverenz: "Ackerman."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ackerman is in his chair."

Leverenz: "Birkinbine."

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

Levenenz: "Davis."

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

Leverenz: "Peters."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Peters. Is the Gentleman in the

Chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Leverenz: "Rigney."

49th Legislative Day May 26, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

Leverenz: "McBroom."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McBroom. Is Representative McBroom

in the Chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Tate."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Tate, in his chair."

Leverenz: "Pullen."

Speaker Byan: "Representative Pullen. Representative Pullen, in her chair."

Leverenz: "It's difficult to see with the people in the center aisle speaking."

Speaker Ryan: "Will you clear the center aisle, please. Take your seats so the Gentleman can verify the Roll Call."

Leverenz: "Karpiel."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Karpiel. Over here on this side."

Leverenz: "Jim Kelley."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kelley down here by the Well."

Leverenz: "I think that's it."

Speaker Ryan: "On this question there are 72 voting "aye", 77

voting "no" and the Gentleman's Motion fails and the

Amendment loses. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #32, Bowman, amends House Bill 585 as amended."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bowman. Representative Bowman in the Chamber? Representative Winchester, do you seek recognition?"

Winchester: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, for a parliamentary inquiry. Even though the Sponsor of the Amendment is not on the floor, I question as to whether Amendment #32 is germane to this appropriation Bill. There is substantive language in the Amendment, and I don't think that's appropriate for an

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

appropriation Bill, and I would ask that the Parliamentarian make a ruling."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to offer Mr. Bowman's Amendment in his stead. The Amendment...did I hear someone shout that he's here?"

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman has arrived. Representative Winchester, would you restate...?"

Winchester: "Yes."

Speaker Ryan: "...For the benefit of Representative Bowman."

Winchester: "I have a parliamentary inquiry of the Parliamentarian. It is my opinion that Amendment #32 is not germane to this appropriation Bill. It has... it is all substantive language and I don't think substantive language has any benefit or any need to be in an appropriation Bill, and I would ask for a ruling from the Parliamentarian."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bowman. Just a minute,
Representative. Alright, let's bring the House to order.

Mr. Doorkeeper, clear the aisles. Get rid of the people
that don't belong down here and let's have some order."

Bowman: "I would like to comment on that for the benefit of the parliamentarian, that he might take a few matters into consideration while he's pondering the germaness. I would like to point out first that this Amendment deals solely and exclusively with the expenditure of funds. The Amendment does not affect the substantive rights of any person or entity. Nor does the Amendment create any substantive law whatsoever. Number two, the Amendment merely specifies the goegraphical areas in which the appropriated funds may be spent. This is simply a different manner of identifying the purpose or objective of expenditures. It is perhaps less specific than your

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

marking funds for itemized projects but it neither mandates expenditures or prescribes the manner in which the funds may be spent. Number three, the Amendment does not conflict with the existing substantive law. Existing law neither requires nor prohibits the geographical allocation of road fund monies for these purposes. Existing law defers to the appropriation process to allocate funds for these purposes among different projects and areas of the State and lastly, I would point out that the Amendment does not attempt to impose a permanent formula on road fund expenditures. The Amendment merely provides a legislative allocation of specific dollars to specific areas in the I believe these four State for a single fiscal year. points weigh very heavily in favor of a finding of germaness."

- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bowman, the Parliamentarian tells

  me that Amendment #32 attempts to amend existing

  substantive law and has nothing to do with appropriations.

  Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate it, if the Parliamentarian is suggesting that I'm amending substantive law, that he cite the Section that I'm amending. I just indicated in my remarks to the Chair that there is no conflict with substantive law because substantive law is silent on the question of geographic allocation. This is not a formula. It is a one year appropriation. What Section is there a problem with?"
- Speaker Ryan: "The Parliamentarian tells me that road funds are allocated by State law and this attempts to amend that law, and therefore, your Amendment is out of order, Representative. Purther Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #33, Oblinger, amends House Bill 585 as amended."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Oblinger on Amendment #33."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, for 13 years now you have been funding the Capital City Relocation Authority here in Springfield to the tune of around \$80,000 a year plus the amount of the money that's given for consultation. They haven't come up with a plan that people can agree to. Finally, this year they were going to ram through the relocation rather than the limited Bill which is the underpass and overpass. The way it is allocated for the funding οf the relocation is three-fourths of one percent is given by the county 1.4% by the city, 2.5% by the State and the rest is by the Federal Government. If they go with the relocation, the county has already adopted a resolution refusing to pay three-fourths of one percent. The city, which is 1.4% is already broke and is trying to find ways to reduce the I don't see how they can come up with their money. percent. The state's two point five percent...you were just talking about saving money...would be five million, eight hundred and fifty thousand dollars which I think we can well use for roads and bridges whereas, the limited Bill, which is seventy million, the amount for the state would be one million, seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars. What I've attempted to do with this Amendment is not to put the relocation authority out of business, allowing them the forty-nine thousand dollars and the thirty-one thousand dollars for their planning and administrative costs, but to delete for this year the amount of money they would have to come up with in order to make the relocation. The reason for this is there won't even be a hearing on this until June 23rd and I see no reason that there should be this five million plus dollars in this budget for something we're not even sure will occur. If there are any

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

questions, I'd be glad to answer them."

- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mrs. Oblinger, I was on the phone while you were discussing Amendment #33. So I may ask something that may be repetitive. My understanding is that you are taking funds out that are going to be used for the Capital City Railroad Relocation Project and your reasoning is that there's no way that the funds can be used for that purpose. Is that what I'm hearing?"
- Oblinger: "In Section 15, there is money for their planning, \$49,000.00. In Section 38 is the additional planning for them of \$31,000.00. We're not doing away with the relocation or taking away their authority for planning and administration. What I'm saying is that the county has already refused to pay their share. The city is so broke I don't know where it will get theirs without a new tax and for the state, it means over five million dollars at today's going cost. Plus the fact, there won't even be a hearing on this until June 23rd. So I'm asking that that part those two Sections which are allocated to Springfield be removed."
- Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it seems as though every year that I've been in Springfield that one of the big issues amongst the area Legislators is the matter of the Railroad Relocation Project. And I know, Representative Jones, that was always one of his pet areas here on the House floor. I think...I will admit as Representative Oblinger is admitting evidently on the floor that this project has never been ..come to reality. But it seems as though if we remove this from the budget we are stating legislative intent that they not proceed and I think all of us, as Members of the House, are aware that if there's any one

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

city in the State of Illinois that has too many .. too many railroad crossings it's the city of Springfield and something ought to be done about it. I'm sure that the Pederal Government is ...has been stalling on this. haven't done what they should do. But I feel strongly that we ought to keep these funds in there otherwise we are admitting that we never want to see this done in the city Springfield and I recall one time. Representative Giorgi, and he's left here... where we.. this was quite a few vears ago. Representative Giorgi and I had a commitment at one of the .. on the other end of town at one of the functions, legislative functions, and we actually got a laughing jog because of the times that we were caught at different crossing and we were late for the function. think it took us about 45 minutes to get from here to there and we never got there. So, I think we do need these funds so that we do declare our legislative intent."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, may I answer Representative Matijevich?"

Speaker Ryan: "Proceed, Representative."

Oblinger: "Number one, there is another method besides the Railroad Relocation which is a limited Bill, the underpass It costs seventy million and against two and over pass. hundred and thirty-four million. Now that's number one. Number two. if you think this plan of relocation, Representative Matijevich, is going to remove railroad lines, you're badly mistaken. The only thing it's going to remove is the 19th Street corridor. All the switching lines will be left and the 3rd Street corridor will be left. And number three, we'll building greatly to the east in Sangamon County so we'll have the same problem again with the people coming from the east. We say with limited Bill, over pass and underpass, we'll be much more able to do this job."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Grossi?"

Grossi: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in violating the rules I'd like to point out to the left of us in the gallery is the eighth grade class of Infant Jesus, a.....of Flossmore, represented by Representatives Jack Dunn, Dick Kelly and Terry Steczo."

Speaker Ryan: "Welcome. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Is this germane?

Because Amendment #32, which I had offered, was ruled nongermane because it sought to make some geographic specificity in the appropriation and this is very specific.

We're talking about the Capital City and I wonder if this is not out of order on the same grounds. Parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Ryan: "The Parliamentarian tells me that your Amendment,

Representative Bowman, attempted to change substantive law
while this Amendment limits the appropriations..."

Bowman: "But, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Ryan: "So, I would rule that the Amendment is in order."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, the Parliamentarian never did indicate with respect to #32 as to what extent..or..to which part of the Statutes..."

Speaker Ryan: "That's not timely, Representative.."

Bowman: "Well..."

Speaker Ryan: "We're on Amendment 33. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's my understanding that the city does not intend to use this money and we're pretty confident the Pederal Government is not going to appropriate its shares. So it's silly for the State of Illinois to provide its share. So I stand in support as the Chairman of the Economic Development Committee for Appropriations. I stand

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

in support of Representative Oblinger's Amendment and would ask that it be adopted."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "I would ask a favorable Roll Call vote on this Amendment 33. I think this is one way that we can have additional money for roads and bridges or for RTA, CTA, whichever way we go. And it's something as Representative Winchester said can't possibly happen so why should we have the money in the budget for it. Thank you very much."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #33 to House Bill 585. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #34, J.J. Wolf, amends House Bill 585 as amended."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This decreases the Illinois Arts Council FY '82 request by \$324,210.00 making a new total of \$2,830,890.00."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, point of order."

Speaker Ryan: "State your point."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is out of order because it is dilatory. It is the exact duplicate of Amendment #27 which I offered. My Amendment restored money that had been removed by the Committee. This Amendment does just the opposite. This issue has been thoroughly debated this afternoon. It was the subject of a verification and Mr. Speaker, I suggest to you that if your approach to the consideration of the budget is to utilize tactics such as Amendment #34, then, Mr. Speaker, I suggest that this side of the aisle will simply grind the appropriation's process

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

to a slow halt."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "How about if I promise not to do it again, Mr. Speaker?

No, Mr. Speaker, I think a lot of....It wasn't thoroughly debated. I think a number of Members have delays with planes and things and others might have discovered the error of their ways, Mr. Minority Leader. And I would like to give them an opportunity to vote on this very important matter."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman's argument itself indicates that it is duplicative and dilatory in nature. The exact same subject matter has been debated just a short time ago by this House and it is unseemly that it be taken up again in the same Legislative Day. I think that it's clearly a dilatory matter. It's been the subject of a Verified Roll Call. His own argument is the best argument against doing it again."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was going to argue the same as the Minority Leader and Representative Getty have done where a Body, under Robert's Rules, has finalized a decision on a subject matter and then for a Motion to come back immediately thereafter which would reverse that decision, is a dilatory Motion. What we would do if we would allow this Motion to persist would be that then Representative Madigan can introduce another Amendment to do the reverse and we could keep on going ad infinitum. And the purpose for that Rule is so..because the matter has been decided upon by the Body that we cannot then delay the business of the Body and reverse that decision immediately thereafter."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf."

49th Legislative Day

May 26. 1981

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've been studying this Amendment very, very carefully and I believe the distinguished Minority Leader is absolutely correct. Were I to persist with this Amendment, it would in fact, Mr. Speaker, be violative of the rules and would be dilatory. So I would like leave to withdraw Amendment #34."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman withdraws 34. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McCormick, do you seek recognition?"

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, I hate to interrupt this Amendment stage but there's something that's bothering me very much and I think that the rest of the Members of this House are entitled to know something about it and I would assume maybe that you could help us or someone else could. was elected up here in 1956 and I've been elected every two years since except for the time I decided to stay home and do you know, Mr. Speaker, I never got the flowers that this County Chairman did from Cook County. I'm not as handsome as he is, but I'm short, fat and pretty good looking and I'm a County Chairman too and I want to know what he's been doing to be entitled to flowers like that from sweethearts or from ...he's either an awful woman's man, Mr. Speaker, or he's selling jobs up there one. I don't know which it And I think we're entitled to know a little bit about all those flowers, Representative Barr. I know you had a hard time convincing some of those Committemen to get you down here, but I didn't know that they had to send flowers to keep you. So I think you'd better get up and tell us where you're getting them flowers and what obligations you've got. It might be, Mr. Speaker, that it's important to the rest of this House. Did you ever get that many?

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

And you got elected Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Never got that many, C.L."

McCormick: "That's what I know."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Catania, do you seek recognition?"

Catania: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

I just wanted to point out that I've always really admired

C. L. McCormick and maybe all the women could take up a

collection and we could buy flowers for C.L."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McCormick."

McCormick: "I said the only thing I got when I got elected was a cactus."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I can't help as a Member who's been observing the passing scene of flowers the last few days to..here I've hurt, of course, that I've been left out like the rest of you have. However, however, I would think that at the very least, the minimum, that the new Representative from Cook County should take this opportunity right now and rise and explain to the House why all of the flowers. I think we should demand this of him."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Barr, are you going to continue to hide behind your flowers or are you going to speak?"

Barr: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, actually Representative McCormick beat me to it. I was about to rise. As you know, I'm not familiar with the rules and practices and procedures of this House yet. And I was just about to rise to ask leave of you, Mr. Speaker, and my colleagues to start a floral business here on the floor of the House. I've heard back home about how woefully underpaid Members of the General Assembly are and I thought this might possibly be a permissible way to supplement my income and that's really the goal here. Actually, there's some interesting cards that have been

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

placed in these flowers that some of the Members might be But I think primarily these are from interested in. constituents of mine who are pleased, number one, that I'm here and two, that I got here without them having to vote One of the most intriguing cards though here is from...none of these are signed and I'm not sure why that That is, not signed with names of anybody I recognize, but here's one that says, '.. I'd do anything to be your secretary.... It's a beautiful plant. I've been thinking Let me tell you. And I would welcome about that. suggestions from Members on either side of the aisle as to what might be an appropriate response. There's one. obviously, obviously misdirected though, Mr. Speaker. hnd one that was meant ma y have been the for Representative McCornick because it says, ....To I'd like also to say, I've lost count. are probably...catch me up to 17 or 18 different plants or floral arrangements. But in every case, every day, Mr. Speaker, there's been at least one gift and there's another here today from good old faithful supporter. And I want to thank 'Faithful' for all of his and/or her faithful support. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Ryan: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Barr. I might add if you're looking for suggestions for a secretary you might find out if she could type, take shorthand and those kinds of things.

  Representative Findley."
- Findley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, as one of two Republicans who supports full funding for the arts, I'd like to give some of my colleagues, late arriving colleagues, a chance to vote again and as one who voted on the prevailing side to Amendment 27 to House Bill 585, I wish to have that vote reconsidered."
- Speaker Ryan: "Mr. Madigan. You've heard the Gentleman's

**49th Legislative Day** 

May 26, 1981

Motion."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion. I think it's very nice of him to attempt to provide a second opportunity for his colleagues who, for whatever reason, did not make themselves available in the chamber at the appointed time of 12:00. I presume that he was here. I was here. A majority of our Members were here considering the issue, debating the issue and voting on the issue and to stand at this time and to say that he wishes to give those who cannot keep proper time another opportunity to do injustice to the arts, Mr. Findley, because that's what they will do, I think is unfair to the proponents of the arts and to yourself. And for that reason, I would rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Ryan: "Having voted on the... Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion to reconsider and with a conciliatory tune, I would ask the distinguished Minority Leader that I would be happy not to ask for a verification if he would not also in the interests of time."

Speaker Ryan: "Having voted on the prevailing side, the Gentleman moves to reconsider Amendment #27 to House Bill 585. All those in favor of the Motion will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 80 voting 'aye'...could we have a little order over there? Gentlemen, please? On this question there are 80 voting 'yes', 81 voting 'no'. Representative Daniels?"

Daniels: "'Aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Record the Gentleman as \*aye\*. Representative rindley asks for a poll of the absentees. Representative

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Bell."

Bell: "'Aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Jackson? Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. What's the count now, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 83 voting 'aye', 81 voting 'no'. Representative Mautino, do you seek recognition?"

Mautino: "Please vote me 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I request a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Ryan: "We've done that, Representative. Representative
Findley had requested a poll of the absentees."

Madigan: "Would you ask the Clerk if he's polled the absentees?"

Speaker Ryan: "Did you poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk? Oh, I see.

He'd ask for it. It has not been done..."

Madigan: "Well, I suppose he no longer wishes a poll of the absentees..."

Speaker Ryan: "Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk.."

Madigan: "...And at the conclusion of that, if the Motion still prevails, I would request a verification of the 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees: Abramson. Brummer.

Garmisa. Katz. Keane. Margalus. McAuliffe. Redmond.

Stearney. Terzich. Younge and Zwick."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman requests a poll ..requests a verification of the affirmative vote. Starting out with 83, Representative Madigan. Eighty-three voting 'yes', 82 voting 'no'. Read the Affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Ackerman. Alstat. Barkhausen. Barr. Bartulis.

Bell. Bianco. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Boucek. Bower.

Collins. Conti. Daniels. Davis. Deuchler. Deuster.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Jack Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewing. Favell. Findley. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Griffin. Grossi. Hallock. Hallstrom. Hastert. Hoffman. Hudson. Huskey. Jackson. Johnson. Karpiel. Jim Kelley. Koehler. Kustra. Klemm. Kociolko. Leinenweber. Macdonald. Martire. Mays. McBroom. McCourt. McMaster. Ted Meyer... Pardon me, McCormick. McMaster. Ted Meyer. Roland Meyer. Miller. Neff. Nelson. Oblinger. Peters. Piel. Polk. Pullen. Reed. Reilly. Rigney. Robbins. Ropp. Sandquist. Schuneman. Irv Smith. Stanley. E.G. Steele. C.M.Stiehl. Swanstrom. Tate. Telcser. Topinka. Tuerk. Vinson. Watson. Wikoff. Winchester. J.J. Wolf. Woodyard and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan, you start out with 83

'yes' and 82 'no'. Do you have any questions of the

Affirmative?"

Madigan: "Ewing?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ewing is in his chair."

Madigan: "Griffin."

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

Madigan: "Johnson?"

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

Madigan: "Kustra?"

Speaker Ryan: "In the back..back of the..."

Madigan: "Dwight Friedrich?"

Speaker Ryan: "Dwight Friedrich? Representative Friedrich in the

chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call. There he is back.

Return him to the Roll Call. He's back."

Madigan: "McMaster."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McMaster is in his chair."

Madigan: "McCormick."

## STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Did you say McMaster? Or Hastert?"

Madigan: "McMaster."

Speaker Ryan: "McMaster's in his chair. McCormick is at his desk. "

Madigan: "Hastert too?"

Speaker Rvan: "Hastert's in his chair."

Madigan: "Peters."

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

Madigan: "Smith."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Smith. Representative Irv Smith.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Irv Smith?

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Madigan: "McBroom."

"He's at the podium with me." Speaker Ryan:

Madigan: "That's kind of instinctive. Topinka?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Topinka? Representative Topinka?

How is the Lady voted?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove her from the Roll Call."

Madigan: "Tuerk."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Tuerk, at his desk."

Madigan: "Birkinbine."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Birkinbine is at his desk."

Madigan: "Bluthardt."

Bluthardt? Representative Ryan: "Representative Speaker

Bluthardt? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Madigan: "Alstat."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Alstat, right here in front."

Madigan: "Barkhausen."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Barkhausen? Where is he?

Representative Barkhausen in the chamber? He's in the

qallery."

Madigan: "Bell."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bell, in his chair."

Madigan: "Bower."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bower is in his desk...at his desk."

Madigan: "Deuster."

Speaker Ryan: "Who was that, Representative?"

Madigan: "Deuster. Donald Deuster."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Deuster? Representative Deuster is on the floor. Return Irv Smith to the Roll Call."

Madigan: "Ted Meyer?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Meyer, Ted Meyer? Representative Meyer in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Madigan: "Karpiel."

Speaker Ryan: "In her...At her desk."

Madigan: "C.M.Stiehl."

Speaker Ryan: "At her desk."

Madigan: "No further questions."

Speaker Ryan: "On this question there are 80 voting 'aye', 82 voting 'no'. Representative Pindley?"

Findley: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to verify the negative votes."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman requests a verification of the

Negative. Would you poll the negative vote, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Alexander. Balanoff. Barnes. Beatty. Bowman.

Bradley. Braun. Breslin. Bullock. Capparelli. Carey.

Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Cullerton. Currie.

Darrow. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn..."

Speaker Ryan: "Mr. Clerk. return Representative Topinka to the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Roll Call."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the negative: Ewell. Flinn. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Parlev. Hanahan. Hannig. Henry. Huff. Jaffe. Jones. Kane. Dick Kelly. Kornowicz..."

Speaker Ryan: "Wait a minute, Mr. Clerk. Return Representative Meyer to the Roll Call. Meyer, Ted Meyer."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the negative: Kornowicz, Kosinski, Krska, Kucharski, Kulas, Laurino, Lechowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. Mautino. McClain. McGrew. Mulcahey. Murphy. O'Brien. O'Connell. Ozella. Pechous. Pierce. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Rhem. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Schneider. Schraeder. Slape. Margaret Smith. Steczo. Stewart. Stuffle. Turner. Van Duyne. Vitek. White. Sam Holf. Yourell. And, Zito."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Findley, the count is now 82 'yes', 82 'no'. Do you have any questions of the affirmative (sic, negative)?"

Pindley: "Is Representative Breslin here?"

Speaker Ryan: "Yes, she is."

Findley: "Representative Christensen?"

Speaker Byan: "In his chair."

Findley: "Representative Cullerton."

Speaker Ryan: "He's in the back of the chamber."

Findley: "Representative Darrow? Representative Darrow? Is the

Gentleman in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Findley: "Representative Domico."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Domico? Representative Domico in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Here he is, right here. He's here. He showed up."

Findley: "Representative Ewell."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ewell? Representative Ewell in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call. Representative Macdonald would like to have leave..."

Findley: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Farley? Hi, Ronan. How are you?

Representative Farley back there? Representative Farley?

The Gentleman...How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Findley: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Giglio? Representative Giglio?

Representative Giglio in the chambers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Findley: "Representative Hanahan."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hanahan? Representative Hanahan in

the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Findley: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Laurino. Representative Laurino.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Findley: "Representative Lechowicz."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Lechowicz. Representative

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Lechowicz in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?

Here he is right here."

Findley: "Representative McGrew."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McGrew? Representative McGrew? Is

the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative McGrew?

How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Findley: "Representative O'Brien."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative O'Brien? Representative O'Brien?

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Findley: "Representative Schraeder."

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

Findley: "Representative Zito."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Zito? Representative Zito. Is the

Gentleman in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Findley: "Representative McPike."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McPike is in his chair."

Findley: "That's all, Mr. Speaker. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "On this question there are are 82 'aye', 73 'no'.

Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, after you declare the results on this Motion, another Motion has been filed by Representative Hanniq and if you'd be so kind as to call that."

Speaker Ryan: "On this question there are 82 voting 'aye', 73 voting 'no'. And the Record Representative Ewell as ...No, return him to the Roll Call. He got knocked off. Now, we've got 82 voting 'aye', 74 voting 'no'. And the Gentleman's Motion prevails. And Amendment #27 can now be

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

- reconsidered. Representative Madigan."
- Madigan: "For what purpose did you recognize me?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Cause your light was on. For what purpose do you arise?"
- Madigan: "I'm not seeking recognition at this time. The

  Gentleman's Motion has carried. Perhaps he..he now moves
  to take the Amendment from the Bill (sic, Table)."
- Speaker Ryan: "Well, I'm sure he did. I thought you said you had...you wanted to make a Motion."
- Madigan: "After this matter is considered."
- Speaker Ryan: "After this one's considered. Representative Findley."
- Findley: "Mr. Speaker, I now move we reconsider the vote on Amendment #27. Pardon me, Mr. Speaker. I mean, I move to table Amendment 27. I spoke incorrectly before."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #27 to

  House Bill 585. Is there any discussion? Representative

  Hadigan?"
- Madigan: "I question whether that's the correct Motion, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment is now part of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "He moved to reconsider and that passed,

  Representative. And now the Motion is to table Amendment

  #27."
- Madigan: "A question of the Sponsor."
- Speaker Ryan: "Proceed."
- Madigan: "Mr. Findley, when you offered the Motion to reconsider,
  you stated that you still planned to continue to vote for
  the additional appropriation for the Arts Council. Is that
  correct?"
- Pindley: "Yes. What I said before is what you say now."
- Madigan: "Do you understand that your Motion would reduce that appropriation by about \$300,000.00?"
- Findley: "Yes, Sir. I'm aware of that."

## STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

49th Legislative Day May 26, 1981

Madigan: "You plan to vote against your Motion?"

Findley: "You'll soon see, Representative."

Madigan: "Oh, well, thank you very much."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #27 to House Bill 585. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Representative McClain, do you seek recognition?"

McClain: "I do, Mr. Speaker. Would you kindly not take advantage of my colleague from the 48th District this way?"

Speaker Ryan: "Pardon?"

McClain: "Would you kindly not take advantage of my colleague this way in the 48th District?"

- Speaker Ryan: "I didn't know you had any colleagues. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Wolf."
- Speaker, I don't want to go through a Wolf: "Well. Mr. verification, but I know somebody that hasn't been here all day and his light's been punched. We could save an awful lot of time if people would just, you know, vote for the people who are here and those who are entitled to their 36 dollars and those that aren't here shouldn't be voted. Now, if you want to go through a verification, we can do Or you can, you know, dump the record. Now, if you want me to name some names I can tell you some haven't been here all morning."
- voted who wish? Representative Rvan: "Have all Winchester, do you seek recognition?"
- "Well, just to follow up on what Representative Wolf Winchester: Hanahan is one three different said. Mr. He's still verifications. His name has been taken off. not on the House floor and he's recorded as voting 'no' and so is Laurino in the same situation. They ought to move them over to 'present'."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 80 voting 'aye', 81 voting 'no'. Representative Wolf?"
- Wolf: "Well, I was just going to ask you if you would like to take off the people who aren't here, Mr. Speaker, or..."
- Speaker Ryan: "Do you request a verification, Representative?"
- Wolf: "If that's what it takes, that's what we'll do."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman requests a verification of the negative. Poll the absentees. Representative Findley, do you seek recognition?"
- Findley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, does it take a Motion to adopt to make this Amendment part of the Bill?"
- Speaker Ryan: "No, it's already a part of the Bill. You're trying to take if off, Representative. Poll the absentees."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees: Abramson. Bluthardt.
  Brummer. Garmisa. Jackson. Katz. Keane. Margalus.
  McAuliffe. Redmond. Sandquist. Margaret Smith.
  Stearney. Terzich. Younge and Zwick."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman persists in his verification of the negative. Poll the negative vote, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Alexander. Balanoff. Barnes.."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Smith, do you seek recognition?"

  Margaret Smith: "I'd like to register a 'no' vote if you please?"

  Speaker Ryan: "Record the Lady as 'no'."
- Clerk Leone: "Continuing with a poll of the negative: Bradley. Braun. Breslin. Bullock. Beatty. Bowman. Capparelli. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Carey. Darrow. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Currie. Cullerton. John Dunn. Ewell. Farley. Findley. Flinn. Doyle. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hanahan. Hannig. Getty. Kane. Dick Kelly. Huff. Jaffe. Jones. Henry. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Krska. Kucharski. Kulas.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Lechowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Levin. Madigan. Matijevich. Mautino. McClain. McGrew. McPike. Mulcahey. Murphy. O'Brien. O'Connell. Ozella. Pechous. Pierce. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Rhem. Richmond. Ronan..."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Braun, do you seek recognition?"

Braun: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Leave to be verified,
please? I'm sorry to interrupt..."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf, Representative Braun asks leave to be verified. All right."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the negative:
Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Schneider. Schraeder. Slape.
Margaret Smith. Steczo. Stewart. Stuffle. Turner. Van
Duyne. Vitek. White. Sam Wolf. And, Zito."

Speaker Ryan: "Any questions, Representative Wolf? What's the count? Representative Ronan, do you seek recognition?"

Ronan: "Yes, I want leave to be verified."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman asks leave to be verified. Go ahead. Representative Steczo?"

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, same request."

Speaker Ryan: "Same request. Okay. We're starting out with a count of 80 'yes', 82 'no'. Representative Wolf, do you have any questions?"

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. The first five I'm going to question are people I don't think have been here all day and I would appreciate it if they were kept off the Roll. Mr. Hanahan."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hanahan? Representative Hanahan in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Wolf: "Mr. Laurino?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Laurino in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Wolf: "Mr. Darrow."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Darrow? Representative Darrow in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Wolf: "Mr. McGrew."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McGrew? Representative McGrew in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Wolf: "Mr. O'Brien."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative O'Brien? Representative O'Brien in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Wolf: "Is Mr. Zito here right now?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Zito? Representative Zito in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Wolf: "John Dunn."

Speaker Ryan: "Right here in the well."

Wolf: "That's all, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan?"

Madigan: "Ar. Speaker, I request a verification of the 'aye'
vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Well, wait until I get the count here for you.

We're now at 80 'aye', 76 'no', Representative Madigan.

Poll the Affirmative, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative: Ackerman. Alstat.

Barkhausen. Barr. Bartulis. Bell. Bianco. Birkinbine.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Boucek. Bower. Collins. Conti. Daniels..."

Speaker Ryan: "Just a minute, Mr. Clerk. Representative Piel requests leave to be verified, Representative. Does that mean 'yes'? Representative Nelson, the same request. Representative Huskey. Representative Boucek. And, Representative Topinka, the same request."

"Continuing with the poll of the Affirmative: Clerk Leone: Davis. Deuchler. Deuster. Jack Dunn. Ralph Favell. Virginia Frederick. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewing. Dwight Friedrich. Griffin. Grossi. Hallock. Hallstrom. Hastert. Hoffman. Huskey. Hoxsey. Hudson. Johnson. Karpiel. Jim Kelley. Klemm. Kociolko. Koehler. Kustra. Macdonald. Martire. McBroom. Leinenweber. Mays. McCormick. McMaster. Ted Meyer. Roland Meyer. Miller. Neff. Nelson. Oblinger. Peters. Piel. Polk. Pullen. Reed. Reilly. Rigney. Robbins. Ropp. Schuneman. Smith. Stanley. E.G. Steele. C.M.Stiehl. Swanstrom. Tate. Telcser. Topinka. Tuerk. Vinson. Watson. Wikoff. Winchester. J.J.Wolf. Woodyard. Yourell. And, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan, you start out with 80 'aye', 76 'no'. Do you have any questions?"

Madigan: "Barkhausen."

Speaker Ryan: "Still in the gallery."

Madigan: "Bianco."

Speaker Ryan: "Bianco? Representative Bianco? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Madigan: "Collins. Ebbesen."

Speaker Ryan: "What was the last one, Representative?"

Madigan: "Collins. He's in his chair."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Collins, in his chair."

TRANSCRIPTION DEB

May 26, 1981

Madigan: "Ebbesen, he's in his chair."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ebbesen..."

Madigan: "Fawell. Fawell."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Fawell? In the back."

Madigan: "Grossi?"

49th Legislative Day

Speaker Ryan: "In the back."

Madigan: "Hallstrom?"

Speaker Ryan: "At her desk."

Madigan: "Leinenweber."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Leinenweber? In the back, by the

men's room."

Madigan: "McBroom?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McBroom? Right here."

Madigan: "Reilly?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Reilly? Over here."

Madigan: "Stanley."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Stanley? Representative Stanley?

Right here."

Madigan: "Tate."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Tate's in his chair."

Madigan: "Tuerk."

Speaker Ryan: "At his desk."

Madigan: "Vinson."

Speaker Ryan: "Op here. Right here."

Madigan: "Watson."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Watson, in the back."

Madigan: "Bluthardt."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bluthardt? Representative

Bluthardt in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman is not recorded."

Madigan: "Rigney."

Speaker Ryan: "In his chair."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Madigan: "Pullen."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Pullen, in the back of the chamber."

Madigan: "Roland Meyer."

Speaker Ryan: "In the back."

Madigan: "Woodyard."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Woodyard? At his desk by the phone. Are there any further questions, Representative Madigan?"

Madigan: "No."

- Speaker Ryan: "What's the count? On this question there are 79
  voting 'aye', and 76 voting 'no'. And the Gentleman's
  Motion to table Amendment #27 prevails. Further
  Amendments? Representative Hannig?"
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Having voted on the prevailing side, I would now move to reconsider the vote on Amendment #31 to House Bill 585, would move to reconsider the vote by which that Amendment failed."
- Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion? Representative Winchester."
- Winchester: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I question whether or not the Gentleman did in fact vote on the prevailing side. Could the Clerk confirm that..."
- Speaker Ryan: "I have the Roll Call here. He, in fact, did."
- Winchester: "Well, if that's the case then I'd just suggest us going with the Motion to defeat this and get on with the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Having voted on the prevailing side by which
  Amendment #31 lost, to House Bill...Representative
  Collins?"
- Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would move that that Motion lie upon the Table."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hannig, having voted on the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

prevailing side on Amendment #31 has moved to reconsider the vote by which it lost. Representative Collins has moved that Representative Hannig's Motion lie on the Table. All in favor...Representative Hannig. All in favor of the Motion to lie the Motion on the Table will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Hannig."

- Hannig: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd request a poll of the absentees and a verification..."
- Speaker Ryan: "On this question there are 79 voting 'aye', 76
  voting 'yes' (sic, 'no') and none voting 'present'.
  Representative Wolf?"
- Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, earlier I made a request. There are five Members who haven't been here all morning. You know, now enough is enough is enough. Now, Mr. Darrow is being voted on this question. He's..."

Speaker Ryan: "Right in center aisle.."

Wolf: "All right. Thank you, Mr. Darrow. Okay. How about Sam McGrew?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hannig has requested a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees..."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Daniels in the Chair."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees: Abramson. Bianco.
Bluthardt. Brummer. Garmisa. Hanahan. Henry. Jackson.
Jones. Katz. Keane. Laurino. Macdonald. Margalus.
McAuliffe. Pechous.."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Martire, do you seek recognition?"

Martire: "Mr. Speaker, I request leave to be verified."

Speaker Ryan: "Okay."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the poll of the absentees.."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Daniels in the Chair."

49th Legislative Day

May 26. 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the poll of the absentees: Redmond.

Stearney. Terzich. Younge. Zito. And, Zwick. No

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pechous?"

Pechous: "Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative

John Dunn from Macon."

Dunn: "I ask leave to be verified, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Well, we're on a verification of the Affirmative, Representative Dunn. I think we are. Have we completed a poll of the absentees? Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "I have a request for a verification of the affirmative vote before the Speaker's change spots up there..."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay. Mr. Clerk, what's the count?

Representative Macdonald, you wish to be recorded as 'aye'?

Record Representative Macdonald as 'aye'. Representative

Jones wishes to be recorded as 'no'. Representative Henry

wishes to be recorded as 'no'. Okay. We have 80 'aye', 79

'nos'. Proceed with a verification of the Affirmative

Roll."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ackerman..."

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative
Schuneman?"

Schuneman: "I request leave to be verified, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Schuneman and Representative Bower and Representative McCormick request leave to be verified. Do they have leave? Schuneman, Bower and McCormick. Representative Mautino?"

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, I'm assuming that they're going to verify the negative as well. I'd like to be verified negative and have the same leave."

Speaker Daniels: "It's really not in order. I don't know if anyone's going to ask for it or who may ask for it,

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Representative Mautino. Okay. Proceed with the poll of the Affirmative Roll."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Alstat. Barkhausen. Barnes. Barr. Bartulis. Birkinbine. Boucek. Bower. Collins. Deuchler. Jack Dunn. Daniels. Davis. Deuster. Ralph Epton. Ewing. Pawell. Findley. Dunn. Ebbesen. Dwight Friedrich. Griffin. Virginia Frederick. Grossi. Hallock. Hallstrom. Hastert. Hoffman. Hoxsev. Hudson. Huskey. Johnson. Karpiel. Jim Kelley. Klemm. Kociolko. Koehler. Kucharski. Kustra. Leinenweber. Macdonald...." Speaker Daniels: "Mr. Clerk, excuse me. Representative Peters asks leave to be verified. And, Representative Ralph Dunn, the Gentleman next to you, can you introduce him to the chamber?"
- Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have the privilege of introducing a former Member of this House and now a Member of Congress who has just been telling me about how great things are in Washington, D.C. this year, Congressman John Porter."

Speaker Daniels: "Congressman Porter?"

Congressman Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's really a pleasure to

be back and to see so many old friends and it's also a

pleasure to see you up there in the Chair."

Speaker Daniels: "Things have changed haven't they, John?"

Congressman Porter: "Things certainly have changed since I've been here. I stepped on the floor just in time to hear C.L. McCormick giving his comments about my County Chairman and again, things haven't changed. I also was here in time to hear my County Chairman give his maiden speech in response. So, deligthed to see my old friend Bob Barr here and representing my home town of Evanston and our neighboring community of Chicago. I know he's going to do an excellent job in the General Assembly and I want to say

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

it's really a pleasure to be down here to see all of you. People have asked me why I am here. And I say, well, I'm here to lobby. And I told that to some of the secretaries over at the L wing when I stopped in to see many old friends and they've hired me. I'm now representing the staff and they'd like a pay raise. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. It's going to be a real pleasure to see all of you and I hope to see you tonight also. Thank you very much."

Speaker Daniels: "Thank you for stopping by, Congressman Porter.

Representative Hannig, Representative Kucharski asks leave
to be verified. Leave is granted. Proceed with the poll
of the Affirmative Roll."

Clerk O'Brien: "Martire. Mays. McBroom. McCormick..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Telcser asks leave to be verified, Representative Hannig. Leave is granted."

Clerk O'Brien: "McMaster. Ted Meyer. R.J. Meyer. Miller. Neff. Nelson. Peters. Piel. Polk. Pullen. Reed. Reilly. Robbins. Ropp. Sandquist. Schuneman. Rigney. Stanley. E.G.Steele. C.M.Stiehl. Swanstrom. Smith. Telcser. Topinka. Tuerk. Watson. Wikoff. Winchester. J.J.Wolf. Woodyard. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Questions of the Affirmative Roll.

Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Representative Alstat?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Alstat is in his chair."

Hannig: "Representative Barkhausen?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barkhausen? Representative

Barkhausen? Is the Gentleman in the chamber or the

qallery? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove Representative Barkhausen."

Hannig: "Bell."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bell is in his seat."

Hannig: "Boucek?"

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me. Representative Barkhausen is back.

Center aisle, return him to the Roll. Next question, Sir?"

Hannig: "Boucek."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Boucek is in his seat as usual."

Hanniq: "Davis."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Davis is in the back."

Hannig: "Findley."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Findley? In the rear."

Hannig: "Deuchler."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Deuchler is in her seat."

Hannig: "Representative Kustra."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kustra? Representative Kustra?

"The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Speaker Daniels: "Remove Representative Kustra from the Roll."

Hannig: "Representative Vinson."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson? Representative Vinson?

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Would you like him removed?"

Hannig: "No."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay."

Hannig: "Representative Stanley."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stanley? Representative Stanley

in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Clerk O'Brien: "Oh, no..."

Speaker Daniels: "Oh, not voting? Leave him on as not voting.

You'd help us if you would only poll the Affirmative Roll.

Okay?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "Stanley is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stanley, is he in the chamber?

The Gentleman is not in the chamber. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay. Remove Representative Stanley from the

Roll. Excuse me. Representative Stanley is right here on my right. Representative Hannig, return Representative

Stanley to the Roll. Any other questions?"

Hannig: "Representative Ropp?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ropp is in the center aisle."

Hannig: . "Representative C.M. Stiehl?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative C.M. Stiehl is in her chair."

Hannig: "Representative Topinka?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Topinka? Is in her chair."

Hannig: "Representative Barnes?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barnes is in the gallery. See her up there? Over here, Representative."

Hannig: "Okay. Representative Pullen."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pullen is in the rear."

Hannig: "Representative R. Meyer?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Roland Neyer is in the aisle."

Hanniq: "Representative Polk?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ben Polk? Is the Gentleman in

the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove Representative Polk from the Roll. Any

Hanniq: "Representative Robbins?"

other questions?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Robbins is in his chair."

Hannig: "Representative Kelley, Jim Kelley?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Jim Kelley is in his chair."

Hannig: "Representative McBroom."

Sepaker Daniels: "Representative McBroom is in the rear."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Hannig: "Representative Frederick, Virginia."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Virginia Frederick is in her chair as always. Return Representative Kustra to the Roll.

He's in the center aisle here. Representative Polk has returned. Return him to the Roll. Any other questions,

Hannig: "Representative Irv Smith."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Irv Smith. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove Representative Irv Smith from the Roll.

Any other questions? Representative Bianco, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?".

Bianco: "Mr. Speaker, vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Record Representative Bianco as 'aye'.

Representative Abramson. Record Representative Abramson as 'aye'. Any other questions of the Affirmative Roll, Sir?"

Hannig: "Could you give us the count, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Daniels: "Do you have any other questions?"

Hanng: "Could we have the count first, please?"

Speaker Daniels: "Could we have the count? On this question there are 81 'aye', 79 'no'."

Hannig: "No further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "And the Gentleman's Motion to table... Motion to reconsider is hereby declared passed."

Hannig: "Mr. Speaker, I think technically the Motion we're voting on is Representative someone's, Collins Motion."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Collins Motion to table, your Motion to reconsider has passed. Any other Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1981
- could the record show that Committee Amendment #22, I understand the House records show me as a Sponsor of that Committee Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "It shows Representative Winchester as Amendment #22."
- Matijevich: "It was Wikoff, but either one of those are alright.

  It was a partisan Amendment and I should not be the Sponsor
- Speaker Daniels: "House Bill 585, Third Reading. Representative Conti."
- Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, unfortunately, Park Junior High School is here from LaGrange Park represented by Nelson, Boucek and O'Connell, but they just left in the middle of the Affirmative Roll Call, the verification Roll Call, but we do have a stalwarth committeeman from Cook County and a good friend of mine, Bill Petrick, sitting up in the balcony from Palos Township. Bill Petrick."
- Speaker Daniels: "House Bill 586. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 586, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Conservation and the Institute of Natural Resources. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 12 and 13 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions regarding the Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative
  Currie."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Currie on Amendment #1. Motion to table."
- Currie: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "I understood the Clerk to say Amendment #13 was

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

adopted in Committee. I'm not sure. My records don't show that. I may be wrong, but, Jake, do your records show..."

Clerk O'Brien: "My records indicate Amendment 4... Let's see. 1,

2, and 3 were adopted, 4 was withdrawn, 5 and 6 were adopted, 7 was withdrawn, 8 failed, 9 was adopted, 10 failed, 11 was withdrawn, 12 was adopted, 13 was adopted and 14 failed."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The House Appropriations Committee perhaps through inadvertence deleted 1.5 million dollars in appropriations to the Institute of Natural Resources to fund operating costs in I say perhaps they acted through 27 state museums. inadvertence because the 1.5 million dollars was money suggested by the Governor, both in his budget one and his budget two addresses. For the last two years, the state has been funding 27 museums in the state at this small level, 1.5 million dollars, and the utility of that kind of funding is absolutely clear. The museums range about the state, in the City of Chicago, in Decatur, Bloomington, DeKalb, Pekin, even in the Speaker's home city, Kankakee. All of these museums serve many more than the residence in their own home communities. The Brookfield Zoo outside the City of Chicago, for example serves about 800... about 510,000 people who live in the State of Illinois, but now in the County of Cook. All of these nuseums and institutions serve many people who come from outside of the State of Illinois and I would urge the House to table the Appropriations Committee action in this measure and restore the 1.5 million dollars Governor Thompson would have us spend to help the operating budgets of these various institutions."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Winchester, on the Motion to

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

table."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in opposition to the Lady's Motion. she said, the Amendment that we adopted would eliminate 1,500,000 dollars in General Revenue Funds. This ... the Natural Resources has only been making funds available for the last three years, maybe four years. It went from 500,000 dollars to 1,500,000 dollars. We are in... We have economic... The times... Our economic times are bad. can't afford to spend 1,500,000 dollars. The Committee spent a lot of time looking for areas that we could cut dollars to meet with the Governor's budget problems and If we this is one agency where we can do it. we've got additional dollars next year or the year after, then perhaps we might be able to come back and give the public museums some additional dollars. But right now 1,500,000 General Revenue Fund, we just can't afford it and I would ask that the Lady's Motion be defeated."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the Lady's Motion to table the Committee Amendment. The Committee Amendment would have the effect of eliminating all financial support from the State of Illinois to the major museums throughout the State of Illinois. Representative Currie has already stated that the attendance of these museums is statewide in nature, and I would like to recite for the record and for the edification of the Members certain mandates which have been placed upon these museums by action of this Legislature. As an example, the Chicago Historical Society is under a statutory requirement to admit all school children free of charge, including their teachers and chaperones. The Field Museum of Natural History is under a statutory requirement

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

to admit everybody free of admission on Fridays and to admit school groups when they are accompanied by a teacher. The Art Institute of Chicago is under а statutory obligation to admit free of charge elementary and secondary school children and also on Thursdays that all day and evening hours be free. This pattern persists through all of these major, cultural institutions so that this General Assembly, by its action, has placed requirements upon of these institutions that there be free admissions. From one institution to the other, the requirement varies, hut the concept is always there. The General Assembly has required free admissions. The effect of the Committee Amendment would be to eliminate all state support for these I think that the Committee Amendment is ill nuseums. founded and short sided and I would recommend support of the Lady's Motion to table that Amendment."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I too believe the Committee errored in reducing this amount. In addition to the statutory obligation that we have to mandating that students be admitted free into those public museums, I feel that we are short sided because when we have people coming as visitors as we do at our public museums, that means so much to the economy of the area. You may realize, for example, if you read a couple months back where the Mayor of the City οf contemplated for awhile about having some races at the lakefront. That was immediately rejected because of worthiness and value of Sunday as a visitors day at the public museums. So that idea was dropped, and many, many people come from all over, not only Illinois, but all over the country to visit these museums. I think it would be short sided of us not to have the state contribute to the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

value of our public museums. This would be another case if
we dropped this funding where the state, rather than
looking at its own bureaucracy is finding ways not to
produce revenues to local governments, to local museums and
that sort that add so much to the vitality and the economy
of areas all over the State of Illinois. If you travel in
other states like Wisconsin, I think it's just a shame. I
live in Lake County and the people trip over themselves to
leave the State of Illinois to go to Wisconsin where the
State of Wisconsin contributes so much to the vitality and
economy of its areas. That's the least that the State of
Illinois ought to do, and this is a way of doing it by
contributing this amount to the public museums."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Wolf."

Wolf (J. J.): "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. would hope that the Members would oppose the Lady's Motion Amendment #1. Ιt received considerable to table discussion, not only in the subcommittee, but in the full Committee. I know we're all faced with a very difficult problem this year in trying to find money to balance the budget. The House Appropriations Committee did manage to There was some eight or nine cut 105 million dollars. million dollars, of course, added by a Floor Amendment last week before we went back home. I would hope that the Members would resist this Motion at this time. Perhaps somewhere down the line before this Session is finished some other sources and find some areas where find things could be cut and we would look to the distinguished Minority Leader for leadership in that area and maybe he could tell us where to find some additional dollars and help us out. At time time, I would ask for a "no" vote on the Lady's Motion to table."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Madigan: "In response to the question from Representative Wolf and in response to debate from Representative Winchester.

One area where we can reduce appropriations, Gentlemen, is your Amendment #18 to House Bill 589 where you are attempting to appropriate 100 million dollars for military hardware."

Speaker Daniels: "I think that's in response to your writer, Mr. Royko, isn't it, Mr. Madigan?"

Madigan: "He's not my writer. 100 million dollars for military hardware."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Currie, to close."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 1 think the points have been well made. These institutions that serve all the people of Illinois, in fact, serve beyond our borders. The Brookfield Zoo, people example, serves for free 200,000 school children from over the State of Illinois. The Art Institute in Chicago sees passing through its doors every year over people who are not from the State of Illinois at all. people who come to these museums are spending dollars in our local stores and our institutions, are increasing our revenues and it seems to me appropriate for us to recognize the increased costs ο£ operating these museums, particularly those that are willing to serve our children whether they come from DeKalb, Galena, Galesburg or wherever for free. We have a state responsibility to

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

spend these dollars and may I remind you that these dollars are not great. 1.5 million dollars, the same amount is asked in this Amendment to table in this Motion to table the Amendment from the Appropriations Committee as we spent last year for these same museums, as we spent the year before for these same museums. Meanwhile, their costs of providing services have, of course, grown by leaps and bounds. If we accept this Motion we are, in fact, offering them fewer dollars as a proportion of their total expenses to serve us, to serve our school children, to serve all the people. This is not an increase in expenditures over prior years. In fact, it is a minimal amount of money to satisfy our conscience, our consciences as Legislators and our conscience as Representatives of the people of Illinois that we have a commitment to the major institutions and museums that serve all of our people. Governor asked for these 1.5 million dollars and I do too. 'yes' vote on the Motion to table House Appropriations Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 586."

Speaker Daniels: "Lady has moved to table Amendment #1 to House
Bill 586. The question is, 'Shall her Motion prevail?'.

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those
opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all
those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish?
Representative Hoxsey, could you hit my switch as 'no'?
Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who
wish? Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote.

Everybody in the Appropriations Subcommittee as the
Appropriation Committee works hard to try to find places
where we can cut and save dollars. We know we've got a
serious fiscal problem in the State of Illinois. It's been
confirmed by the Economic and Piscal Commission. The

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Governor's told us that. We've been told, find places where we can cut money..."

- Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Sir. Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe the Gentleman spoke in debate. Is he permitted to explain his vote?"
- Speaker Daniels: "I believe you are correct. Have all those voted who wish? Representative Hoxsey."
- Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, basically, this money only represents two to three percent of their operating budget. It isn't that big an item. It's only been there since 1977 and it would seem to me that people that visit the institutions would be more than happy to pay the same admission than anybody else has to pay when they go there. It's only the school children that you're talking about, and if I know parents, they'd be more than happy to subsidize their children paying an admission at the museums. I suggest a 'no' vote to hold the budget."

  Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bower."
- Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As Representative Winchester started to say, the Appropriations Committee heard long hearings, in fact, hearings on this particular Amendment. We hear a great deal of talk about the need for this money because of the school children that visit these museums. My understanding that it has been since the 1890's that the museums of Chicago have been required to admit these school children So this is not exactly a recent event. Representative Hoxsey said it only represents a very small portion of their operating costs. In these tight fiscal times, I would urge a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this issue there are 83 'aye', 79 'nay'. Representative Wolf

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1981
- asks for a poll of the absentees and then a verification of the Affirmative Roll. Mr. Clerk, will you poll the absentees?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Bartulis. Bluthardt.

  Brummer. Garmisa. Hanahan. Katz. Keane. Margalus.

  McGrew. Redmond. Stearney. Terzich. Younge. Yourell
  and Zwick."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kulas asks for leave to be verified. Representative Dick Kelly asks leave to be verified. Leave is granted. Representative Levin, are you going to be on the floor or are you leaving us? Asks for leave to be verified. Representative O'Connell asks for leave to be verified. Leave is granted. Representative Richmond asks for leave to be verified. Leave is granted. Gentleman asks for a Poll of the Affirmative Roll. Representative Johnson, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Johnson: "Same request, leave to be verified as a negative vote."

  Speaker Daniels: "Representative Johnson, we are on a Poll of the

  Affirmative Roll."
- Johnson: "I understand that. I assume there will be a...
  Representative Madigan, do you intend to poll the Negative
  Roll Call? Could I have leave to be verified in the event
  you do?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Currie. Representative Currie."

  Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We'll make that decision at the appropriate time. My understanding is that the Chair has ruled that verification for a ..."
- Speaker Daniels: "We have a Poll of the Affirmative Roll. Start the Affirmative Roll."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Ackerman. Alexander. Balanoff. Beatty.

  Bianco. Boucek. Bowman. Bradley. Braun. Breslin.

  Bullock. Capparelli. Carey. Catania. Chapman."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bradley asks leave to be

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

verified. He asks leave to be verified. Representative Bradley, leave is granted. Proceed."

O'Brien: "Christensen. Cullerton. Currie. Clerk DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Virginia Frederick. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Henry. Huff. Jackson. Jaffe. Jones. Kane., Dick Kelly. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Krska. Kulas. Laurino. Lechovicz. Leon. Leverenz. Levin. Madigan. Matijevich. Mautino. McClain. McPike. Mulcahey. Murphy. O'Brien. O'Connell. Ozella. Pechous. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Reed. Rhem. Richmond. Pierce. Ronan. Ropp. Saltsman. Sandquist. Schneider. Schraeder. Margaret Smith. Steczo. Stewart. Topinka. Turner. Van Duyne. Vitek. White. Sam Wolf and Zito."

Speaker Daniels: "Questions of the Affirmative Roll,
Representative Wolf. Mr. Clerk, what's the count? 83-79.
83 'aye', 79 'nay'. Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Domico."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Domico. The Gentleman in the chambers? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Domico. Remove him."

Wolf: "Greiman."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Greiman. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? Representative Greiman. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Wolf: "Levin."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Levin has been verified."

Wolf: "McClain."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative McClain. Representative Greiman has returned in the center aisle. Place him back on

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

the Roll. Representative McClain is... Representative Domico is over here on my left."

Wolf: "Mr. Domico's not happy. I didn't hear his request and I apologize. He asked for it."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Domico has been verified.

Return him to the Roll. Representative McClain is up here
in the front. Proceed."

Wolf: "O'Brien."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative O'Brien is in his seat."

Wolf: "Preston."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Preston. Representative Preston. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Wolf: "Zito."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Zito. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? Representative Zito. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Wolf: "Sam Wolf."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Sam Wolf. Gentleman's in his chair. Representative Reed, for what purpose do you arise?"

Reed: "Change my 'yes' vote to 'no' please."

Speaker Daniels: "Change Representative Reed from 'yes' to 'no'.

Representative Jake Wolf, are there any further questions?

Representative Nelson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Nelson: "Mr. Speaker, would you change me from 'no' and to 'yes'?"

Speaker Daniels: "Change Representative Nelson from 'no' to 'aye'. Any further questions? Mr. Clerk, what's the count? For what purpose does Representative Epton rise?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, change my vote from 'yes' to 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Change Representative Epton from 'aye' to 'no'.

Are there any other changes? Mr. Clerk. This matter having received 80 'ayes' and 80 'nos'. Lady from Cook, Representative Currie requests a verification of the Negative Roll. Mr. Madigan, I assume that's what you are requesting too. Alright. We will have a verification of the Negative Roll. Representative Hoffman desires to be verified as 'no'. Does he have leave, Representative Currie? Representative Johnson had asked for leave previously. Does he have leave now?"

Currie: "Is he here, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Johnson is in the center aisle."

Currie: "Yes, then he may have leave to be verified."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Johnson has leave.

Representative Bartulis, for what purpose do you arise? To

be recorded as 'no'. Record Representative Bartulis as

'no'. Alright. Okay. Let's proceed with the..."

Currie: "May I have a count, Mr. Speaker, at this point?"

- Speaker Daniels: "The present count... We're starting with 80 'ayes' and 81 'no'. Proceed with a verification of the Negative Roll. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, can we please have everyone in their chairs. All those not entitled to the floor, please retire to the gallery so we can get the verification done. Proceed with a verification of the Negative Roll."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Alstat. Barkhausen. Barnes. Barr.

  Bartulis. Bell. Birkinbine. Bower. Collins. Conti.

  Daniels. Davis. Deuchler. Deuster."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Currie, Representative McBroom asks for leave to be verified as 'no'. Does he have leave? Gentleman has leave. Representative Topinka, for what purpose do you arise?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Topinka: "I'd like to change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Change Representative Topinka from 'aye' to

'no'. Proceed with a Poll of the Negative Roll."

Clerk O'Brien: "Jack Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewing. Pawell. Findley. Dwight Friedrich. Griffin. Grossi. Hallstrom. Hannig. Hastert. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Hallock. Hudson. Huskey. Johnson. Karpiel. Jim Kelley. Kucharski. Kustra. Leinenweber. Koehler. Kociolko. Martire. McAuliffe. McBroom. Macdonald. Mays. McCormick. McMaster. Ted Neyer. R. J. Heyer. Miller. Neff. Oblinger. Peters. Piel. Polk. Pullen. Rigney. Robbins. Satterthwaite. Schuneman. Reilly. Slape. Irv Smith. Stanley. E. G. Steele. C. M. Stiehl. Telcser. Topinka. Tuerk. Tate. Stuffle. Swanstrom. Vinson. Watson. Wikoff. Winchester. J. J.

Speaker Daniels: "Verification of the Negative Roll Call,
Representative Currie. Representative Madigan. Count,
Mr. Clerk. Representative Madigan and Representative
Currie, there are 79 'aye', and 82 'nay'. Representative
Currie, you have any questions on the Negative Roll?"

Currie: "Representative Jack Dunn."

Woodyard and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Jack Dunn. Representative Jack
Dunn is in the rear."

Currie: "Representative Ewing."

Speaker Daniels: "I'm sorry."

Currie: "Representative Ewing."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ewing is in his chair."

Currie: "Representative Grossi."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pat Grossi is in his chair."

Jurrie: "Representative Karpiel."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Doris Karpiel is in her chair."

Surrie: "Representative Piel."

19th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Piel is in his chair."

Currie: "Representative Pullen."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pullen is talking to Chairman
Barr."

Currie: "Representative Schuneman."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Schuneman. Representative Schuneman. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

:lerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll."

Currie: "Representative Stanley."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stanley is on my right."

Currie: "Representative Tate."

is the Gentleman recorded?"

:lerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Currie: "I don't have him on my list. I have Hoffman, Johnson,
McBroom. I don't have Representative Tate."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove Representative Tate from the Roll."

Currie: "Representative Vinson."

Put him back on the Roll. Representative Vinson. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

:lerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove Representative Vinson from the Roll.

Representative Schuneman has returned, center aisle. Return

Representative Schuneman to the Roll."

Jurrie: "Representative Wikoff."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wikoff is in his chair."

Currie: "Representative Robbins."

#9th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Robbins is in his chair."

Currie: "Representative Telcser."

ipeaker Daniels: "Representative Telcser is over here on the
 left. Further questions?"

Currie: "Representative Cissy Stiehl."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative C. M. Stiehl is in her chair.

Purther questions."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. No further questions."

ipeaker Daniels: "Count, Mr. Clerk. Representative Slape, for what purpose do you arise?"

Slape: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded, please?"

Speaker Daniels: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Slape: "Please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Change Representative Slape from 'no' to 'aye'.

There are 80 'ayes', 80 'nos'. Lady's Motion to..."

Currie: "Mr. Speaker, I think there's a request for your attention over to the left in front."

Speaker Daniels: "Who wants my attention? Sir, your light is not on. Representative Currie, I would recognize him if his light was on. His light is now on."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hannig, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Hannig: "Mr. Speaker, would you record me as 'aye', please?"

Speaker Daniels: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'.

Representative Vinson has returned right here. Return the

Gentleman to the Roll as 'no'. Representative Stuffle, for

what purpose do you arise? As if I didn't know."

Stuffle: "Change me to 'aye', please."

Speaker Daniels: "Change Representative Stuffle from 'no' to
'aye'. Any other changes? Representative Satterthwaite."
Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, please change my vote from 'no' to

19th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

'aye'."

- Speaker Daniels: "Change Representative Satterthwaite from 'no' to 'aye'. Any other changes? Representative Mulcahey, you want to change to 'no'? Okay. This issue having received 83 'aye', 78 'no', the Lady's Motion to table Amendment #1 carries. Amendment #1 is tabled. Representative Getty, for what purpose do you arise?"
- 3etty: "Having voted on the prevailing side, I now move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was tabled."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty having voted in the prevailing side moves to reconsider. Representative Madigan moves that that lie upon the table. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Okay. Any other further Motions on Amendments to House Bill 586?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions filed."
- Speaker Daniels: "No further Motions filed. Further Floor Amendments? Are there any Floor Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15, Madigan-Matijevich, amends
  House Bill 586 as amended on page 25."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I withdraw that Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #15. Further Ploor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #16, E. G. Steele-McPike, amends House
  Bill 586 on page 15 by inserting between lines 15 and 16
  the following and so forth."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Steele, Gentleman from Madison.

  Representative McPike who is temporarily absent. Excuse

  me. He's on his way to his chair, up the aisle. Gentleman

  from Madison, Representative McPike. Amendment #16."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #16 adds 10,000 dollars to the Department

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

of Conservation's budget for construction of a boat ramp at Lewis and Clark State Park. For those of you now familiar with this area of the state, this is directly across the Missouri side from where the Missouri and the Mississippi meet. It is directly below the new lock and damn that is to be constructed in Alton and this in the only place in the river, the only land available really for construction of a boat ramp. It's needed not only for recreation, but it's needed for safety so that if there are any accidents, boating accidents, etcetera, in the river someone has a place to launch. The Department of Conservation has agreed that a boat ramp should be put in this place. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #16. Is there any further discussion? Representative Steele, Everett Steele, from Madison."

Steele: "Yes, I rise in support of this Amendment. This is something we've discussed with the Department of Transportation, Director Kenney supports this Amendment, has indicated his approval of it, and I join in a bipartisan support of it."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative McPike, to close."

icPike: "I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."

19th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

all those opposed 'nay'. Gentleman from Madison asks for a Roll Call, Representative McPike. All those in favor of Amendment #16 signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Representative Conti. Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On Amendment #16 there are 79 voting 'aye', 76 voting 'no'. This Amendment is adopted. Amendment #17 or any further Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #17, Ropp-Jack Davis, amends

  House Bill 586 as amended on page 15 by deleting line 11

  through 15."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp.

  Amendment #17."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. keeping with the intent of the Governor unnecessary General Revenue Funds, this Amendment will attempt to prevent the spending of ultimately some six million dollars as has been directed by the Department of Conservation for the development of an abandoned railroad right of way that covers some 27 miles. Might I call your attention to the fact that there happens to be some 35 different crossroads in this area which, in my opinion, is somewhat of a safety problem. In addition to that it goes right in prime farmland effecting some 100 farmers in that area in which they own property on both sides of this abandoned railroad. Last Session there was a Resolution passed in which abandoned railroads were studied and those reports that came forth indicated that local communities or municipalities should be the ones to get involved in developing this kind of real estate. the agreement by the Director intent and with Conservation to look for an alternate source to replace

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

this particular piece of property that runs through prime farmland with one that does not go through farmland, with one that does not interfere with any economic situation or municipality, and still provide that recreational source that some people want. Might I call your attention that if there are already some 1200 miles in the State of Illinois that have been indicated as a bike trail. We have seen this program now involved here in the General Assembly for something like 17 years and, in my opinion, this is start up money to only work on some five miles, which I think is unnecessary at this time when we're very much concerned about and looking to where we can save General Revenue money. And with the agreement that we have with the Director of Conservation we certainly have been working to resolve this problem to the mutual benefit of all people. In my opinion, that there are priorities in the State of Illinois that deal with day care centers, education, public aid, transportation, highway, higher education, elementary education and housing, just to name a few that, in my opinion and I hope that opinion of this House that have higher priority than to develop, in part, a portion of an abandoned railroad right of way rathole. I urge your favorable vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #17. Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Gentleman that offers this Amendment really isn't that interested in saving the funds as much as he is stopping the development of this project. Now he mentioned that this has been around for a number of years and that's true. I, personally, have wrestled with this issue long before he ever came to the House of Representative. The fact remains

# 43th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

that back in the days when Tony Dean was Director of Conservation he did begin this project and he used a bulldozer type of action on it. At that time, I got up the floor of this House and saw to it that I and others from our district excised the amount of money appropriated for the development of that trail at that time, because I didn't think the Director of Conservation then handled the project at all well. I realize the farmers in thar area I represented those people and this a problem. Gentleman that offers this Amendment is some 40 to 50 miles away from the district so he doesn't have all the answers to this problem either. The fact remains he did mention the fact that they're trying to work out a compromise trade. That's all well and good except that trade is no where near fruition at this point. Now what I started to say is I excised or helped excise the funds for the development some eight or ten years ago with the real hope of finding a solution to the problem to satisfy the farmers as well as satisfy those who wanted this trail developed. After many meetings with Conservation people, after many meetings with the property owners along that area, with many meetings with the people who thought that the trail should be developed, it became apparent that there was no in the making because you couldn't satisfy solution everybody with everything. I think that Director Kenney has made tremendous effort to resolve this problem. he's attempting to do here is develop five miles of the miles. He has put this appropriation, this amount of money in the appropriation for the Department. I think the only way that this whole issue is going to be resolved is for the funds to be restored, the funds to be kept in this budget that five miles of the trail be developed so that we can see whether or not it's going to work in finality.

⊕9th Legislative Day

May 26. 1981

This abandoned right of way admittedly is 28 miles long. It's fraught with peril in terms of problems with the property owners, problems with those who want the trail, problems with our own colleagues here who don't even represent the district but seem to be interested in getting this trail stopped. Now at one time I was in favor of that, but, as far as I'm concerned, I don't think there has been adequate debate, adequate compromise. I think, at this point, the Department of Conservation is in the right. I think they should pursue it and proceed with the development."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative

Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr...Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of Well, here we have the perennial problem that we thought we had solved amongst the Members of our own There was an election last November and one of the incumbents who fought the trail didn't have the feelings of the people in our district so he was defeated. And so we thought we were going to finally work out the We thought our Legislators were going to be in problem. tune with what the constituency wanted and low and behold, we have another interloper, Royko type situation, but that's not the worst of it. In order to solve our problem, he comes in and he goes into another district, not his, and attempts to get a railroad from that district and trade for ours. Instead of solving our problem, he wants to create a problem in the adjoining district so then we have two but I think what I want to say is the fact that we did have a policy established a couple of years ago what's called now, to my constituent anyway, what's fair is And those of you who were there then will remember fair. that the Representative who offered this Amendment tried to

-9th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

sell this abandoned railroad to the private ownership of the farmers along the trail. And Amendments were adopted on that to say well if that's fair, we ought to sell state parks in that Gentleman's district, and so attached it to a Bill. We didn't do that this year because we wanted to show you that this is not the way to go. want to be able to resolve our problem for the best interest of our constituency as possible, and we attempted to do that and the Governor, in his wisdom, has attempted to do that. The Director of Conservation has tried extremely hard to solve this problem and has come up with a solution to the problem. All I would say is without laboring the point any farther is that it is a local And let me remind you that when you have a local problem. problem, the courtesy is due those Legislators to try to work it out as best they can, and we would have had this worked out four years if we wouldn't have had an interloper coming in and trying to tell our constituency what was good for them. Let me say this, let us solve the problem in our own district this year so that you don't have to be concerned with it in the next two, three, four, six and ten years because it won't be resolved, and we would ask for a \*no\* vote on this Amendment."

Epeaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

\*\*Eff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I speak

in favor of this Amendment. This 202,000 dollars isn't

needed. We know the fiscal condition, financial condition

of the state, and right now we certainly shouldn't be

spending money on something that will probably never be

worth anything to anybody. I would hope that we would

support this Amendment."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, with all respect to the two Gentlemen from Peoria, if there's anything we don't need right now it's a park five miles long and 100 feet wide. Anybody knows the problem of maintaining parks, but certainly this is about as near impossible as anything I can think of. We've got parks now that need attention that need money to take care of them and certainly we don't need this one."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson." Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have a great deal of respect and affection for Representative Schraeder, and, on a number of instances, end up voting just as he does. But I think he is realy astray on this particular issue when he suggests that Representative Ropp does not have a legitimate issue in the problem or is interloping in the problem. Anytime this General Assembly is asked to appropriate money to pay for any district of the state, whether it's something in something in Chicago, whether it's something in Vienna, or whether it's something in Star County, this General Assembly and every Member of it, in exercising their Constitutional duty, has a right to vote their conscience, has a right to introduce Amendments and has a right to pass I think it's most important that we exercise legislation. that kind of restraint and that kind of judgement because, if Members are unwilling to do that, then what we're going to get is one constant boundoggle after another. it's important that people vote against projects whether they're in somebody else's district or not, if they think those are mistakes. Now, Representative Ropp feels very strongly on this issue and I think that there's some justification for his feeling, and I would urge people to go along with his Motion on this and particularly because

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

of the overriding concerns involved. I don't believe he's interloping at all. I just think he's exercising his constitutional duty and people should vote in favor of his position on this issue."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I couldn't agree with the last Davis: and I can tell you that it's almost speaker more providential that this Amendment is always presented to on a Monday when I ve been home over a weekend or for three Committee I carried the Amendment days. Ιn incidentally, failed on a 9 to 9 vote showing that this Legislature is still divided in Committee and should probably will show that it's divided on this floor. Rnt the Amendment came up on a Monday in Committee, as I recall, and I'd spent that weekend as I spent this I was fortunate enough not to have any three days. speeches to make so I spent it at home and my farm happens to run a quarter of a mile to a half a mile along an active right of way of a railroad that's private property now that nobody pays any attention to, and I spent the entire weekend picking up things that were thrown into my ranging from pieces of broken refrigerators to thoroughly used sexual devices and any number of other kinds of garbage that the people climb my fences and tear them down in the middle and pick asparagus and like the nice green countryside in my particular farm. I sympathize with the people who want a trail in this state and I certainly sympathize with the adjacent property owners. I know what's going on in an active railway where it's private property where the railroad cannot keep security out on an active railroad. I shutter to think what would happen if we turned loose hords of people going up and down a trail with no absolutely no regard for the adjacent property

19th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

owner rights. I can suggest to you that the only way that this could be supported is that if we appropriate not 200,000 dollars, but, as Representative Ropp said, six million dollars it would take to build 12 foot cyclone fences on each side of the trail and to have security forces and double the conservation police to patrol this particular linear park. I'm not opposed to conservation. In fact, I'm an outdoorsman and a sportsman. What I opposed is to peoples' rights being trampled in the adjacent property owners. It's a good way to save 200,000 dollars. The Legislature is divided on the ultimate resolution of this linear park and I suggest that an 'aye' vote on Representative Ropp's Amendment is a very good fiscal vote and one that represents the divided feeling in this particular Legislature."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative John Dunn."

Dunn, John: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I reluctantly rise in opposition to the Rock Island Trail. I know that many of us feel that things of this kind are very desirable and it is important to preserve and protect our environment, but I'd just like to add one perspective a... We hear from time to time that this would be a nice place for a people who enjoy bicycle riding to get on at one end of the trail and ride to the other or take their families on a bicycle ride. I've done fair amount of bicycle riding and I know from experience that most people who like to become involved with distance bicycle riding like to get on the bicycle right at the end of their own driveway and then take off someplace and head for a road or a highway that is not very heavily traveled and go out into the countryside and they don't like to bicycle on top of their car or inside their van and

49th Legislative Day

ì.

May 26, 1981

transport it 40, 50, or 100 miles, get out and then ride 15 or 20 miles. Experienced bicycle riders like to ride 50 miles or more. So, while this concept has perhaps some merit as the previous speaker has indicated, there are many, many disadvantages and I think when we're perhaps struggling with our decision here we should vote in favor of using our money elsewhere and defeating the funding for the Rock Island Trail."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bower."

Bower: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Ropp, to close."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Just to Ropp: clear up one or two points. I dare say there is not one district in this State of Illinois that either currently has an abandoned railroad or soon will have as I certainly do have abandoned railroads and more to come, according to some projections. There's been some interest on behalf of people to resolve this problem and those people who have mentioned that they attempted to resolve this might I call your attention to House Resolution 332 that was introduced by Representative VonBoeckman and Schraeder last year, that study very clearly said that the state should not, should not get involved in developing a bike trial, local municipalities, that local people should take the leadership in developing those kinds of programs. T \* m saying that even in the Resolution that they sponsored, they are not even complying with the intent and conclusion that came forth out of those several hearings that were held. Might I call your attention to the fact that Director Tony Dean actually was offered this abandoned

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

railroad several times and refused them until finally when he found out that the new incoming Director, William Rutherford, would be the new Director in which this piece property was coming through the Peoria Park Foundation, he then did accept it and say, 'Here, Mr. Rutherford, your problem. Take care of it. I om almost confident that if you talk with each Member in the Conservation Department they would say to you privately they wish this piece of real estate had never found its name into the State of Illinois. Might I say to you now that this is only seed money. It has a snowballing effect that will grow at least to the minimum of six million dollars, according to the Department of Conservation. I want to keep my word with the Director of Conservation and I hope that he keeps his in attempting to locate an alternative source that would replace this particular right of way with one that does not go through prime farmland with one that, in fact, does not involve local communities or does not get involved with any financial disruption. I urge your favorable vote in supporting of this Amendment so that we can more adequately provide funds to more needy programs and we can continue to work in sincere earnest this summer in coming up with an alternative program that will be beneficial to, not the farmers and local people, but also to the people who are interested in biking and hiking. I urge your favorable vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #17. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open.

Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman, to explain his vote. The timer is on, Sir."

Bowman: "Thank you. It looks like this Amendment is going down in flames the way it deserves. So I'll just add my voice

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

- to those Representatives Tuerk and Schraeder in supporting the Rock Island Trail and opposing this Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those

  voted who wish? Representative Hoxsey, to explain her

  vote. The timer is on."
- Hoxsey: "It's... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's a little bit far sided for me to say anything, but I do have a question. I wonder what the people living next to Rigley Field or Kominski Park would do if I pile in there with my picnic basket, a couple of dogs and a few more things and have a picnic in their back yard. I wonder if they'd let me do that. That's the way the farmer thinks."
  - Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Amendment #17 having received 41 'aye', 109 'nay' fails. Any further Ploor Amendments?"
  - Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18, Currie, amends House Bill 586 as amended on page 25 by deleting everything after line 6 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #18, Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker Daniels: "Amendment #18 is withdrawn. Any further Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #19, Madigan, amends House Bill 586 as amended by deleting all of Section 28 and so forth."

  Speaker Daniels: "Representative Madigan. Representative Getty."

  Getty: "Like to withdraw that Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "Amendment #19 is withdrawn. Amendment... Any further Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20, Matijevich, amends House
  Bill 586 as amended on page one, line 14 and so forth."

  Speaker Daniels: "Amendment #20, Representative Matijevich."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #20 to House Bill 586 eliminates funding for vacancies and new positions within the Department of Conservation. In addition, funding is reduced in the contractual services and the equipment line items in order to enforce the Governor's freeze on these items. did was have the staff go over the appropriation for the Department of Conservation and, in line with the Governor's intentions of freezing positions, we feel that those long term vacancies ought to be remained frozen. We feel that if we are going to take the quote, 'fat' out of the budget we ought to look at the agencies of government instead of just looking at where we can reduce grants from local governments and try to hamper local governments from operating. We feel that when a position has been for a goodly number of months that it can stay vacant without hampering the agencies of government therefore, I have offered Amendment #20 which is a total reduction of 1, 042,500 dollars. I ask for your favorable support for Amendment 20 to House Bill 586."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has asked for the adoption of Amendment #20. Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Mr. Speaker, Members, I would rise in opposition to this particular Amendment. The Appropriations Committee has already cut the Department of Conservation's budget below the request and below the Governor's additional request. Involved with these positions, as most of you know, the fish hatchery at Little Grassy and the new one at Sand Ridge which is scheduled to open up this Fall, 15 of these positions are five existing and ten new positions which are necessary for the orderly operation of these hatcheries.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

There are 12 of these vacancies are conservation police officers which had been frozen during the last fiscal year and, in the Wildlife Heritage one of there... there's two positions there and one of them is a non-game. As you know, this House has voted for a non-game Amendment on another piece of legislation. I don't believe this is necessary. I think we've more than cut enough money out of the Department's budget. As I mentioned, we are below the Governor's recommendation even after cutting some ...a million three, and I would urge its defeat."

- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Kelley. Representative Jim Kelley, Winnebago."
- Kelley: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd rise in opposition to this Amendment. I have a large state park in my district and almost weekly the ranger calls wanting additional help. Because of the state freeze, he's way below manpower and I don't think this is a partisan issue. He doesn't have the manpower to run the park now. I would like to add my opposition to this Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Currie, to close. Excuse me."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Committee reduced in amounts of these positions a six months funding. In other words, the vacancies up until January of 1982. All this Amendment does is to extend the vacancies all the way through the next fiscal year. I think, in this era when we say that we've got to tigthen our belts, it makes some sense that if a vacancy is going to exist until January 1, '82 that there's no magic that's going to occur in January that all of a sudden we're going to hire a lot of people. You know, the Governor's freeze came shortly after the November election and then the freeze was announced, and we've been able to get along

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

without these positions. They ve been vacant. I think the sense of the House is that because they ve been vacant they ought to stay vacant till the end of the fiscal year. That makes some budgetary sense to me and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of Amendment #20 to House Bill 586. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'.

The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.

This matter having received 81 ... or 69 'aye' and 81 'no' fails. Any further Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #21, Matijevich, amends House
Bill 586 as amended on page 21, line 25 and so forth."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, leave to withdraw Amendment #21."

Speaker Daniels: "Amendment 21 is withdrawn. Any further Floor

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #22, Davis, amends House Bill 586
as amended by inserting after Section 27 the following."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #22 would add 200,000 dollars to the Institute of Natural Resources budget for hydroelectric revolving loan fund for feasibility studies that would be repaid if the dams are built in those particular areas. While it's true that 200,000 dollars if General Revenue Punds in this tight time, I view this as an Amendment that will be repaid in time and will eleviate some of the energy problems in communities such are Marissa for the Kaskaskia lock and dam, Metropolis for the Ohio River lock and dam, Shanahan for 'Dresdin', 'Marseilles', Wilmington, Peru for the 'Star' of Rock block and dam and Elgin for the Elgin dam. The Bill... the substantive

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

legislation passed out of here last week and is not in the Senate. I think it's a particularly good idea to have this budgetary item within INR and I would respectfully request an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #22. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #23, Matijevich, amends House
  Bill 586 as amended on page 21, line 25 and so forth."
- Speaker Daniels: "Amendment #23, Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #23 to House Bill 586 is a total of 58,850 dollar
  reduction within the Institute of Natural Resources. What
  it is is six months funding for six vacancies within that
  agency and 12,600 dollars in equipment. I move for the
  adoption of Floor Amendment #23."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Floor
  Amendment #23. Representative Bower."
- Ladies and Gentlemen of the Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, The subcommittee handling the budget for the House. Institute gave that budget very thorough consideration. We have already reduced the funding for these positions from allow one year to six months. This was to for consideration for the Governor's job freeze. I'd like to point out that these are not new positions. They merely positions that are vacant. One of the positions that would be eliminated under this Amendment is the head of the entire archaeological program for the State Museum. It has been vacant for a period of time because the person that would fill this is normally a PHD. It requires a national advertising to try to fill the position. It also, the others in the State Museum are positions that would

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

have to do with the archaeological exhibit at the State Museum which has been going on for three years and that exhibit could not be opened up if these people are not put on the payroll. And the three for the State Water Survey are very important in terms of giving information and testing on the northeastern Illinois ground water problems and positions that deal with the testing of water samples for people from all over the state. I would urge for the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Daniels: "Any further discussion? Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Bower made many of the comments that I intended to. I would also urge opposition to this Amendment. As Glen mentioned, one of the problems that we have facing us that's going to severely limit the two water public service programs of the survey. In fact, one of them is going to seriously effect Water Surveys Northeastern Illinois Ground Water t he Advisory Service which is right up in Representative I think Glen pretty well made the Matijevich's district. on it. The House comments that were necessary Appropriation Committee has already reduced the funding of these positions for six months and didn't totally eliminate them which would completely emasculate the whole program. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Lady from Lake, Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with all due apologies, it's hard to believe that my colleague from Lake County is the Sponsor of this Amendment when the Water Surveys Northeastern Illinois Ground Water Advisory Service is scheduled for such severe limitation of service to our mutual consistency. Nowhere in all of Illinois is the quantity and the quality of ground water supply of greater

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1981
- importance than it is to the citizens, the units of local government and business in our area. I urge defeat of this Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich, to close."
- Matijevich: "I urge your adoption. I believe that if we can live without this funding for six months, we can live without it for 12 months even in the northern Illinois area. I've been one who found out a long time ago that many times government promises you something and it doesn't deliver. Maybe the best way to make it deliver is by voting against some of those things. I would urge your support of this Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #23. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. 'Nos' have it. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Amendment #23 fails. Third Reading, House Bill 586. Representative Wolf, for an announcement."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to again make a final announcement that tonight is the annual German-American Legislative Dinner at the Hilton. Those of you who have not yet purchased your ticket, I believe that Mr. Pechous has some left over. I think Mr. Schraeder, Harry Leinenweber is out. Schneider says he's got a few. Now is the time to do it and get ready to go for a 20 kegger tonight. We hope to see you all there. Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "House Bill 587. Mr. Clerk, call the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 587, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Administrative Service, and the Department of Revenue, the Bureau of the Budget and the State's Civil Service Commission, Second Reading of the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 10 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Motions regarding Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #10 by Representative

Pierce."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pierce, Motion to table
Amendment #10."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, earlier in this Session we heard Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt say that in his opinion this has been the most anti-local government Session that he has seen in Springfield. Never is that better illustrated than by Committee Amendment #10 which is placed on House Bill 587. For the first time since passed the State Income Tax Act in 1969 this Amendment would limit the share of income tax sharing that is due to local government units, cities, villages and counties throughout the state by placing a cap on that amount back to last year's distributive level. The Amendment, in effect, takes twenty-four million dollars which belongs to local governments, cities, villages, and counties and says no, we're going to break the word that was given to local government back in 1969 when the state income tax was passed and I was here and I voted for it and I Governor Ogilvie on the assumption that one-twelfth of the state income tax would go to local government. breaking that word. We're breaking that bond that's been in existence since '69 with Amendment #10 therefore, I rise today to move to table Committee Amendment #10 and restore the state's word for revenue sharing for local government units without the cap on keeping the one-twelfth. renew my Motion to table Amendment #10."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the This Amendment has obviously been subject to criticism. It's a proposal that isn't very popular But it's a proposal that was adopted by recognize that. the Appropriations Committee in full light and in the full context of the state budget. What this Amendment does is to freeze for this particular fiscal year, fiscal '82, payments under the revenue sharing program, the state revenue sharing program, at the '81 level. It adopts permanent change in the formula so that for next year payments would revert to the formula based percentage and amount. Now, there are a number of reasons why this Amendment should be adopted. Perhaps the most important reason is that we have finally reached the position in this country where revenue sharing is a misnomer. What we're doing is deficit sharing and it is appropriate in this kind of fiscal hardship year that we share the deficit with lower levels of government. We cannot buy everything we've wanted to buy in the past. The money is not there. The Pederal Government has found that with the Reagan The state is finding that because of its resources and its demands on spending. Local government has to find that Everyone should bear part of the burden. That's all too. this Amendment does. It's a deficit sharing Amendment. me explain to you what would happen if this Amendment is taken off, if the Gentleman's Motion should One thing that would occur is that revenue sharing payments to East St. Louis would decline by twenty thousand dollars and revenue sharing payments to Winnetka would increase by some twenty thousand dollars. make sense to endorse a formula, to endorse a position which reduces the amount of money going to East St. Louis and increases the amount of money going to Winnetka? That

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

is the problem we have with some of these formulas now in the law. There's no justification for that. There's no need basis for that. We have screwed up our need formulas to some extent and that is a bad mistake. beyond that, beyond the fact that by permitting the Gentleman's Motion to succeed that we would be benefiting the people who'd need benefiting the less and depriving money from people who need to be deprived the least. Amendment applies in the full face of fiscal reality. There simply is not enough money to share, not enough to buy everything we'd like to buy. And so I would request your negative vote on this Motion so that we can, in fact, adopt a policy, a deficit sharing so that we can recognize fiscal realities and so that this state will not enact the budget totally out of line. So that we come back here in July and relitigate the issues time after time after time. We don't have the money to do it. The Governor can't sign It's your Constitutional duty to balance the budget and this is the place to start."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I stand in support of Mr. Pierce's Amendment. I think it's interesting that Mr. Vinson would be the arguer in this case on this particular issue. The same Gentleman that now sponsors the Senate Bill 477 which has the investment task credit which will attack local governments, maybe up to the tune of forty million dollars. The whole process of what Governor Thompson wants to do in terms of balancing the state budget is to take money away from local governments. Instead of really curtailing his own state budget it's always attacking local governments. Representative Matijevich just offered a series of

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Amendments that would have reduced the budget of a million half and vet it was the same Republican and administration that was against those Amendments because indeed they would've restricted state budgets in state departments. The easiest way in the world is to always blame it on the other guys. When President Reagan tries to reduce his budget by curtailing our sums of money all of us incensed Just think what these bу it. governments are thinking by this kind of action on I stand here saying to Mr. Pierce and agree with Mr. Pierce that we should not take money always away from local governments. It's not a holy war against local governments. If we have to resolve our OWD problems they ought to be solved within our own budgetary constraints and not at the expense of local governments." "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Speaker Daniels: Wikoff."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Wikoff: support of Representative Pierce's I rise in Motion. As he mentioned quite earlier and Representative Bluthardt mentioned it very succinctly a week or two ago, we have done more to damage local governments in this Session the Legislature than we have in the past two or three years. This twenty-four million which you're talking about taking out right now is just another slap in the face at local government. Most of this is to take care of the mandates that have been put on in the past. It's put on by one that was worked out by the previous good formula. It was put on a It has worked. Governor Ogilvie. floating Amendment to take care of the escalation of costs that go on. I realize this is not a popular position for me to take on this side but Dan Pierce has got an excellent Motion and I urge the support of his Motion."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the no matter how you may have voted on the Amendments to reduce from the State of Illinois budget we really have business with regards to this twenty-four million dollars, taking it away from local government. Let me say that cannot do it because there is yet no substantive Bill passed the Legislature to change the Illinois State Income This is a part of the Act and it was a part of Tax Act. the Act because when we passed the State Income Tax Act the rational for passing it was that we try to alleviate the the property tax owners. If we take away this burdens on money from the twenty-four million dollars from the local government all that will do will place the burden on the property tax. We are not for uping the property tax. of us are for that. And let me tell you that years are going to be difficult for local governments. They're in addition to the shrinking federal revenues we have the matter of inflation. I don't think that any economic recovery program no matter what it does is going to help the inflationary factor that local governments are They have the rising cost of facing themselves with. public utilities, the rising cost of gasoline and motor oil, auto truck, equipment maintenance repair, the cost of the cost of purchased equipment of every type, electronic data concrete. petroleum products, ο£ And I want to read into the record what this processing. Amendment will do to specific cities because I want you vote against it after you find out how much money you are taking away illegally from those cities. And all you are doing is putting it into the state revenue pockets. Away from the property tax owners. The City of Chicago would be

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

hurt to the tune of \$6,338,690. Rockford, \$294,800. Springfield, Peoria, \$261,804. \$210,262. Decatur, \$198,460. Evanston, \$155,000. Joliet, \$164,000. \$171,000. Arlington Heights, \$139,000. East St. Louis, \$116,000. Skokie, \$127,000. Cicero, \$129,000. \$142,000. Oak Park, \$115,849. \$127,000 for Oak my area, Lawn. Elgin, \$134,000. Champaign, \$122,000. Des Plains, Mt. Prospect, \$111,000. Berwin, \$98,000. \$112,000. And yes even Pocahontas is going to be hurt by this. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, none of you can afford to take money away from your local government so that your property tax payers are going to pay more. If you feel as I do, support the Motion to table Committee Amendment #10."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf.  $(J_{\bullet}J_{\bullet})^{\,\, n}$ 

Wolf, J. J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Ι know this is a tough decision for everybody to make. T want to bring out a point that the Gentleman from the Lake who Sponsored this Amendment is the Chairman of Economic and Fiscal Commission. I'd like to point out that the Economic and Piscal Commission's revenue estimates are some two hundred and forty-four million dollars below the Governor's Bureau of the Budget. And I know it's nice to vote for everything that comes along just like the Members of Congress which has only balanced the budget twice since Dwight Eisenhower was President and now the chickens are come home the roost and I want to ask you a question. Where's it coming from? You're going to get up and going pose against cut for the mentally retarded, for the special education. You're going to be here to bang us on the school formula. Add, add, add. And I'm asking you, where the heck is it coming from. You're sent down here to make some tough decisions and this is a tough one. Now I

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

got two hundred four million dollars in the Local Distributive Fund for local municipalities and we're all going to have to bite the budget. This is a fiscal crisis time not only for the country but the State of Illinois. You were sent down here to show some backbone and some integrity. You ought to try doing it right now on this Amendment and I hope it's defeated."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, long before most of us were down here the State of Illinois adopted an income tax. And the Mayor of Elmwood Park over there can tell you that when that was adopted it agreement that the local municipalities would receive a certain percentage of that income tax. time when we had the personal property tax and trying to get rid of And the agreement with our that. local municipalities was that they would get percentage of that income tax. And now we have a Republican Governor and he's saying let's balance the budget and let's not give that money to our local municipalities. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Mayor of Rock Island, the Mayor the Mayor of Milan, all the other cities in my district came to me and they said, 'See whatever you can do to prevent this from happening. We need that Inflation is eating into our budget. We can't go ahead and have the projects that the tax payers want and the services that they deserve without this money. And you heard Representative Matijevich call out the amounts of money that would be cut from the local municipalities. I tell you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're going to have a balanced budget if this is passed but it's going to balanced on the backs of the real estate owners, the senior

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

citizens who can't pay their real estate taxes, those on fixed incomes. Because when ₩e cut this off, municipalities have no where else to go. No where else to go but to the real estate tax and we're going to see that real estate tax bill going up and up and up. In Rock Island County those bills just came out and they went And they will go up again and again and substantially. again as long as we cut this money out from the municipalities. And I tell you that there are many Members on your side of the aisle who want to vote with the Democrats on this issue but are being held back by leadership. And I say to those Members, vote Vote for what the people want back Don't be led by the nose. Make sure that the real estate taxes don't go up. Go by your campaign pledges and vote with the Democrats on this issue. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative
Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion and the most telling argument I can give you is that this morning before I left for Springfield I paid my local property tax and I'll tell you I can't stand it anymore. I just can't stand it and that's the reason I'm going to support the Gentleman's Motion to take care of this problem at least partially anyway."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "To close, I thank you very much, the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk. I'll go to the German dinner tonight, too. Ladies and Gentlemen, I was here in 1969 as was Representative Matijevich. Right in the statute of the Income Tax Act was the one-twelfth for local government and by that we mean all the municipalities and counties of the

49th Legislative Day

• - ,- ,

. .

. .

May 26, 1981

state throughout the state based on population. there's nothing wrong with the formula based on population. The Gentleman from Mt. Pulaski or wherever he's from that talked about how we can't afford this, he's the same man who's trying to put an investment tax credit to come out of local government and school's exclusively and not the He's nothing more than a show for state government against local governments. Now, where's the money coming :from, I was asked?"

'Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Yes."

Speaker:Daniels: "I apologize to you. I've missed two people. I will come back to you to close with your permission, Sir." Pierce: "Right in the middle of my speech."

Speaker Daniels: "Yes, Sir. I'll let you give it again. I'm very sorry. I have Representative Ewing and then Representative Bowman and then it will be Representative ۴. Excuse me, Sir. Okay, Representative Pierce. to close. ٠. . Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Motion and for two reasons. Pirst of all, we talk about less government. Now the only way you get less government is to give them less money. would challenge any of you to go through your city halls or . . . . your county government and say that we don't have a lot more government. A lot more people working in all of these institutions. There's no way that you're going less taxes unless you cut down on the size of government and funding it is the best way. And I would challenge the Democrats over here who say that they want support of this Motion. Where were you when we had 1147 and wanted to . . . limit taxes? You either had gone home or you voted 'no'. You didn't want to limit taxes. And if you want to limit

49th Legislative Day

1 -0

. .

64

١٠٠;

١. ١..

k m.

( ),

1.25

May 26, 1981

government, you limit spending and you limit taxes and then you don't have to worry about your real estate taxes going up. Where were you then?"

Daniels: "Representative Bowman. Excuse щe. Speaker Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you arise?" Madigan: "A point of information for Representative Ewing. Ewing, you were the one who was not present at the time

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ewing."

that Bill was called."

Ewing: "Mr. Minority Leader, I think I was voted for that Bill and it was still my Bill and it would have passed. ٠, would have passed if some of you guys had supported it."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Ewing, Speaker Ryan was so kind as to announce your absence that night."

Daniels: "I cleaning the Speaker think he was House. Representative Bowman."

"I'll vote for the Totten Bill when it gets over here." Ewing: Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of The Representative from Livingston mentioned the House. magic words which we've been skirting around here all mafternoon and those are property taxes. Property taxes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If this Bill, if this Amendment to table does not prevail then you all better go out and buy telescopes and buy binoculars and buy all sorts of optical magnification instruments because you're going to need them to see the property taxes because they re They re going to be out of going to be out of sight. The kinds of cuts that are being proposed by the sight. Governor are taking away from local governments and local governments are going to turn to the only other source of revenue that they have at their disposal and that is the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

local property tax. So I urge you to support the Motion to table the Amendment so that the local governments may have the resources to do the job that they are being called upon to do from one end of the state to the other. And to keep the local property taxes down. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Giorgi, from Winnebago."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, in answer to Representative Wolf, the Governor isn't cutting his budget at all. He isn't cutting the size of his patronage army. In fact, it's swollen for the next election. He hasn't cut state, state people off the payroll. He hasn't cut state services. He's putting it back on the cities, counties and townships that are almost bankrupt now. Matijevich mentioned what the city of Rockford would lose but everyone of us are going to lose money in our counties on the income tax return. You're all lose money in you county in your Health going to Departments. You're going to lose money they promise to support your state's attorneys. You're going to lose And the money they promise you to support your assessors. money they told they're going to reimburse you for sending your policemen to law enforcement school. You're going to You're going to lose your fire department's lose that. training monies. You're going to lose all kinds of money and the Governor is going to sit here on the second floor fat and heavy. He's not cutting himself one iota."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Dunn. John Dunn from Macon."

Dunn, John: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of
the House. The previous speaker is exactly correct. A
green vote here will be a responsible vote. A red vote
will be a vote to raise local property taxes. When the
state income tax was passed and I think the Gentleman who's
sponsoring the Motion is going to close and point that out.
When the state income tax was passed the local governments

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

were to receive a local governmental distributive share. If we cap that fund at this time what we're going to tell those local people is that we're going back on our bargain at a time when they need revenues the most. They cannot eliminate all services. State government may talk and brag about balanced budgets but it won't be really balancing the if it's done at the expense of the local budget qovernmental units. These locals are going to have provide services. They're going to have to get the funds They only have one way to replace money to do them. we're taking away from them and that is to raise your property taxes. So if you want to go home and face your neighbors and your relatives and even those people who go out and knock on doors and campaign for and tell them that you raised local property taxes you go right ahead and do that and vote red. I'll be voting green with the good guys."

Speaker Daniels: "One of the good guys, Representative Pierce, to close."

Pierce: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ι in As legislation, if I can reach that same pitch of excitement again. In legislation we passed with the income tax in promised the cities and the counties that they 1969 we would receive one-twelfth of the income tax as revenue we've had recessions before. We had a sharing. Now. recession under Governor Walker and with all the promises never broke that promise. He kept Governor The local Ogilvie's promise and the Legislature's promise. government units would receive their one-twelfth of income tax. And we had a recession then when the state was Not until this year did anyone ever in bad shape then. dream up that we wouldn't, based on population which very fair way to reimburse local government, reimburse

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

local governments their share of state income tax. Where's the money coming from, the Gentleman asked? The money's coming from you and me or from our voters at home. coming from the state income tax to the extent that the state income tax is collected. One-twelfth of that goes to local government based on population. If those collections go down local governments will get less money. If those collections go up local governments will get more money. We know where it's coming from. It's only coming from money that has been collected or will be collected during the next fiscal year by the state from your tax payers. And if that money doesn't go, if that money doesn't go to local government units the state is still taking it. And what the state is doing with it I don't know except local government units we're going to have to turn to the property owner as it's been pointed out by previous speakers and to the home owner to obtain the money to run local government. Unfortunately, these home owner units The home rule municipalities have no rate can do that. limitations and they can collect that money from their home owners if they don't get it from the state. In Washington cutting out money for local government. Ιn thev\*re Springfield we're cutting out money for local government. We have a Bill we passed out, investment tax credit that come from local government. And now to break our that's in statute to reimburse one-twelfth of the state income tax to local government units to me is a breach harsh that I can't understand how anyone in this House can support it. And, Mr. Speaker, I renew my to table Amendment #10. The vote for local government is an 'aye' vote, the Motion to table Amendment #10."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, has moved to table Amendment #10. All those in favor will

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Meyer, Representative Ted Meyer from Cook." Meyer, Ted: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was here when the income tax was enacted. I voted for it. Unfortunately, the folks back home thought that they were going to get reduction in their local property taxes. hoax was spread by the Mayor in the City of Chicago in particular that this was going to lower the education Well that never happened. And quite frankly I can taxes. go to the villages in the City of Chicago and go to my constituents and say that I've taken six million dollars out of the City of Chicago budget and one hundred and some thousand out of Oak Lawn and everybody else's and I think that I can be re-elected on that. For those reasons I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have
a conflict of interest but I'm going to vote my
conscience."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This question having received 129 'aye', 25 'no', none voting 'present', the Gentleman's Motion to table hereby carries. Amendment #10 is tabled. Any further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, Leverenz-Davis, amends House
Bill 586 as amended on page seven, line twenty-eight and so
forth."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Leverenz, Amendment #12."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #12 would reduce the amount of rental that we are paying for property

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

through leases held by the Illinois Building Authority. Now, four or five years, maybe three or four, Illinois Building Authority has been billing the state for less money than we have actually been budgeting. This would reduce the rental from five million, one hundred thousand dollars down to 2.9 million dollars for a reduction in general revenue expenditures and I would be available to answer any questions and move for the adoption of Amendment \$12."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #12. Representative Matijevich from Lake."

Matijevich: "Only to say in support of this Amendment that we owe a debt of thanks to the Auditor General. When I was chairman of the Appropriations Committee he asked me to establish a Subcommittee on this matter which was extended into this Session and Representative Wolf, Chairman of the Appropriations Committee then extended that Subcommittee. This is a way we can save some money and I just want to publicly thank the Auditor General for starting and initiating the idea."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. So there'll be no mistake on this side of the aisle Representative Leverenz, my Amendment, Representative Matijevich was absolutely right. We have discovered an agency of government that's making a great deal of money and now we're going to start returning it to the budgetary process and this is the first Amendment. The rest will be on Senate Bills that are Appropriation Bills coming over to us that will ultimately this year save the state 7.7 million dollars in monies that it expends to rent from itself which is sort of an unwieldy and bulky process. It is a very good Amendment. It's a reduction of over two million dollars and another five to

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

- come. I heartily endorse it."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman, Representative Leverenz, to close."
- Leverenz: "I close by asking for your 'aye' wote for the adoption of Amendment #12."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #12. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #12 is adopted. Amendment #, any further Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, Bowman, amends House Bill 587 as amended in Section six by deleting the following and so forth."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I supported the last Amendment and, in fact, my own personal preference would be to go even further towards a path called 'net defeasance which would allow us to bail out virtually, totally this year. However, there are some problems raised by that particular path which I would just as soon avoid if possible and so I ask leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #13 and Amendment #14 following and I will support Representative Davis and Leverenz in the gross defeasance approach."
- Speaker Daniels: "Amendment #13 and Amendment #14 are withdrawn.

  Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15, Matijevich-Pierce, amends

  House Bill 587 as amended in Section 13 and so forth."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, since Committee Amendment #10 has been tabled I would now ask leave to withdraw Floor Amendment #15."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #16, Davis, amends House Bill 587

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

- as amended on page 21, line ten and so forth."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Davis. Representative Collins in the Chair."
- Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #16 is a technical Amendment offered to our side by Enrolling and Engrossing and incorporates some language that will needed. We find now that the Amendment is somewhat defective in its technical correction so I would ask leave of the House to amend on its face Amendment #16 by inserting on line three striking the numeral "ten" and adding "32" and on line five of the Amendment by striking "22" and adding in "23" and lines "two to five" rather than "fourteen, fifteen and sixteen". May I have leave of the House, Mr. Speaker? Be cleared with both sides."
- Speaker Collins: "The Gentleman asked leave to amend Amendment #16 on its face. Does he have leave? It shall be amended."
- Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Now it simply is a technical Amendment. No force in effect in any substance or money and I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Collins: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #16. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Lake,

  Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Okay, it's alright."
- Speaker Collins: "The question is, shall Amendment #16 be adopted? All those in favor will indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no' and Amendment #16 is adopted. Representative Davis, would you bring a copy of the Amendment up? Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Collins: "Third Reading. House Bill 588, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 288,...excuse me, House Bill 588, a

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Children and Family Services, the Department of Public Aid, the Department of Public Health, the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities, and the Department of Rehabilitation Services, Second Reading of the Bill. Committee Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Collins: "Are there any Motions relative to the Committee

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Committee Amendment #21 by
Representative Woods Bowman."

Speaker Collins: "Representative Bowman on the Motion.

Representative Daniels in the Chair."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Who's the Speaker. Okay, Amendment #21 made \$211,000 of operational reductions, and it was agreed to by both sides in Committee, however, unfortunately, it is tenchically incorrect and so that is why...it was my Amendment, and so I am moving to table my own Amendment, and we will be coming back with a re...new improved version of Amendment #21 later on. So I move to table 21 at this time."

Speaker Daniels: "The Motion is tabled. Any further Motions?"

Bowman: "Wait a minute. We haven't had a vote on it."

Speaker Daniels: "He's withdrawing his Motion, is that correct,

Sir?"

Bowman: "No, no, no, the Amendment is already on the Bill. It was adopted in Committee. I am moving to table that Amendment at this time because it is technically incorrect. I will be bringing back a technically correct Amendment later on, but we have to have a vote to table the Amendment. I don't think there is any opposition, but it

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

is a Committee Amendment, so we have to actually table it."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion on the Gentleman's Motion?

Being none, the Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #21.

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. The Gentleman's Motion to table Amendment #21 carries. Any further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions."

Speaker Daniels: "What purpose does Representative Reilly, the Gentleman from Morgan, arise?"

Reilly: "Thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Inadvertently we've had the same problem with Amendment #30 which should be tabled and a corrected version put on later. I had inadvertently forgotten to file the written Motion with leave. I don't think there is any objection. I would move to table Amendment #30 and it will be replaced later with a later Amendment, #36."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Reilly, has moved to table Amendment #30 due to a technical error. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it, and the Gentleman's Motion to table Amendment #30 is hereby passed. Amendment #30 is tabled.

Any further Motions regarding Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions filed."

Speaker Daniels: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #33, Conti - Zito, amends House
Bill 588 as amended by deleting Section 49 and so forth."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti on Amendment #33."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House and my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, I want you to pay particular attention. This should really be Zito - Conti Amendments. Mr. Zito wasn't feeling well, and he asked me to handle these Amendments for him, and I'll try to do the best I can. In the school district that

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

this concerns, there is some 60 children and special education classes that they are discontinuing the program in the Elmwood Park High School, and there is some four and five year—old students that have to go all the way to LaGrange Park for this special education class. Yes. So, I am asking you to adopt Amendment #33 to retain for these 33...for these 60 students in the Lyden Township area, to retain these special education classes at the Elmwood Park High School to keep these youngsters as young as four years of age travelling an hour, an hour and a half by bus to LaGrange Park."

- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Well, that was a very interesting explanation, but is the Representative sure that is what the Amendment does? I have a different description of it over here."
- Conti: "Well, I have it here, by deleting Section 49 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: the sum of \$75,000 or so much thereof to be necessarily appropriated by bipartisan House Committee on medical assistant programs for its ordinary and contingent expenses. Now, I don't happen to have the Bill in front of me, but I was told that is what the Bill is for."
- Bowman: "Okay, I agree with that explanation, and I am happy to support the Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."
- Lechowicz: "I move the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

Leverenz: "Elmer, I don't understand how this relates to the

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1981
- school situation. That is the one that is controlled out of the DuPage West Cook County thing?"
- Conti: "That is right. It was brought to my attention the last day that they were notified that they were going to discontinue this program, and I understand it is controlled out of DuPage."
- Leverenz: "It is controlled out of DuPage, but I understand they have scrapped moving anybody down to LaGrange and the program will be kept in place there, but I don't understand the Amendment. It says \$75,000 to be appropriated for mostly bipartisan House Committee medical assistance program. I don't understand how that money would reach educational..."
- Conti: "It would scrap for this semester only. This includes the next semester, Ted. And this is to have enough funds in there for it to be continued in the next semester. It was scrapped because it showed what a hardship it would create for these students immediately. This was to take affect immediately, and this Act will take affect July 1, 1981. In other words, their Amendment was that they scrapped it immediately back in January, and there were a group of parents that came up to the meeting one night that asked if we could continue till this semeter is over with and see if we can get some legislation passed at least to continue the program until July 1 of 1981 when we can get this appropriation in for the next semester."
- Leverenz: "Further...Representative Conti, does this have anything to do with House...with a House Resolution 198?"
- Conti: "No, the House Resolution I understand had something to do
  with it was 226. As I said, Ted, I don't have the
  Amendment. Mr. Zito got ill on us here about an hour an
  hour and a half ago on the floor, and he has the Amendment.
  He has the file. He has the Bill, and I was asked to

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

- handle it because we wanted to get this through today."
- Leverenz: "Well, with all due respect, this has nothing to do, as

  far as I can read, with any educational situation
  whatsoever, Representative. And this simply goes to fund a
  new or standing Committee of the House of Representatives."
- Conti: "Yes, but you're wrong on the Resolution numbers. House
  Resolution 226."
- Leverenz: "I rise in support of Amendment #33 which would fund a

  Committee in the House of Representatives as being offered
  by Representative Conti."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any further discussion? Representative Contito close."
- Conti: "That is all. I don't have anything else. I ask for an affirmative vote on this."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #33 to House Bill 588. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. And the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Amendment number... Any further Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #34, Conti Zito, amends House Bill 588 as amended by deleting Section 49."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti, Amendment #34. The Gentleman moves to withdraw Amendment #34. Amendment #34 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #35, Bowman, amends House Bill 588..."

  Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bowman, Amendment #35.

  Representative."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #35 replaces Amendment #21 which was tabled on a voice vote. This is the technically correct version. There is no change in the bottom line. The...the problem with the one we tabled was that the numbers which were being changed were...were incorrect,

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1981
- and...but the intent of the Amendment to reduce \$211,000 of GRF and operations mostly in contractual and equipment and commodities, is...is the...Amendment #35 implements the intent of Amendment #21 which was adopted in Committee in a bipartisan vote, and I urge adoption of #35."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for adoption of Amendment #35. Is there any discussion? Being none, all those in favor...favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #35 is adopted. Any further Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #36, Reilly, amends House Bill 588..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly on Amendment #36."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #36 simply replaces and is a technically correct version of Amendment #30 which clarified the Title XX funding in the Department of Public Aid budget. There was no controversy about this in Committee, and as far as I know, there is none now. I would ask for a favorable vote on Amendment #36."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #36. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of Amendment #36 signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #36 is adopted."
- Bowman: "By the way, Mr. Speaker, you can have my microphone turned off now."
- Speaker Daniels: "Thank you, Sir. Representative Wolf. J.J. Wolf from Cook."
- Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I was wondering if we could take this
  Bill out of the record."
- Speaker Daniels: "Yes, Sir. House Bill 588, out of the record.

  Held on Second Reading. Page nine of the Calendar, Order

  of Motions. Representative Greiman. Read the Motion, Mr.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. I move that that as a sense of the House, that the Speaker should remove armed guards from inside the House chambers."

Speaker Daniels: "Could we have some order please. Ladies and Gentlemen, Representative Greiman, purpose of his Motion."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On Thursday morning I asked the Speaker why it appeared that we had armed quards from the Secretary of State in both the balconies and the back aisle...or back corridor, and in the front. I was told that there had been discussions between both the Minority Leader and the Speaker after the assasination attempt on the Pope. Ιt seemed to me that firstly, this is a matter if some pretentiousness on our part to suspect that first that President would be attacked, and then the Pope, and next in line would be State Representatives, somehow is a little staggering to me. Moreover I note that our brethern and sisters across the rotunda seem to be secure. Now, they have a very tall doorman who is a very large man, and may be that he brings some security to them. smaller people, but I am satisfied that, except occasional situations, our fine people in red coats, although red coats has an English tradition Parliaments, I think they can serve to protect our doors adequately. I find on a more serious vein, that I am intimidated certainly by the fine people from the Secretary of State's office, but I just think as a matter of principle. A Legislative Body, if it is to be free open and thoughtful, should not have people in arms. Traditionally, the French Chamber of Deputies at the time of the Revolution was ringed by men at arms. During the long Parliament in England, arms were in the balcony of the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Parliament. During the Cranwell era, again, armed men entered Parliament. A Legislative Body should be free of armed men just as I believe polive officers generally leave their guns when they walk into a court to testify. And so it is that we want to make sure, very sure, that it is we who are...it is our protection that is being guarded rather than our minds that are being guarded. And it seems to me just a...something contrary to the Democratic institutions that we hold dear, Democratic institutions of Parliamentary government. Mr. Speaker, bang that gavel once, will you, so I can have a little order? Would you bang just....I am coming unglued."

Speaker Daniels: "Yes, Sir. The Gentleman would like order."

Greiman: "And so I say with some seriousness, that I think it should be not binding on the Speaker, but it should be the will of this House that we remove armed guards, if not from the outside, at least from this inside of this chamber, and that there be no armed personnel in this chamber until such time as there is a clear and a present danger which I do not believe anybody suggests. And on that note, I would appreciate your...making your feelings felt to the Speaker. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he'll yield."

Schuneman: "Representative, I don't have a copy of your Motion, but does your Motion speak to how the Speaker is to handle emergencies, does he check it with you, for example, before he puts guards in or removes guards, or does your Motions simply say that the Speaker can no longer have guards here?"

Greiman: "Certainly not. Certainly not, Representative

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Schuneman. I would not want to change. I think it is Rule 4K which allows the Speaker to provide for security. The armed...we never have had armed guards here before. were put in about a week ago. We have them now. don't know why they are necessary at this point. told in my inquiry, and I didn't file this right away. made an inquiry. I was told that the reason was because there had been an assasination attempt on the Pope. that is the reason, I think we are sort of over killing, and we're sort of being afraid. I'm not afraid. The Speaker should have the right to make those judgments, and I wouldn't tamper for a moment with his right. ask that we express our sentiment in this. We say by our vote, perhaps, 'Mr. Speaker, I think the quards aren't necessary to protect us. I am not afraid. ""

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak to the Motion."

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed, Sir."

Schuneman: "I think the Gentleman is absolutely right. The Speaker should have the discretion to either bring in guards or remove guards, and it should be done at the discretion of the Speaker, not at the discretion of the maker of this Motion or at my discretion. I think it is very possible that the Speaker of this House may have information about security problems, Representative, that you and I do not possess. And I don't think that this is something that you and I should be tampering with. And I urge the Members of the House to reject this Motion."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is with some amount of regret that I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion. I think in the some

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

two and a quarter years that I have served in the House, this is the first time that I have found it necessary to oppose Representative Greiman. 1 think that the Representative is incorrect in his statement that we have armed quards here in this chamber. Indeed, quards are present in the gallery surrounding the chamber itself. They have not been present on the floor of the are quards who quard the Congress of the House. There United States. We have crowds in here at all times. Certainly the access is open to crowds, and we have had a number of occasions when we have had demonstrations in the gallery of one type or another. I think it is entirely appropriate for the Speaker to have the gallery quarded, security arrangements as he sees fit, as well as outside of this chamber. And for that reason, I would ask the people of the House to oppose this Motion."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative
Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Greiman to close."

Greiman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, again. I would point out the very language of the Motion is not at all to compel the removal of these fine armed guards. It is instead merely that we express a sense of it. I know in no way do I want to take away that jurisdiction, that right. However, if we believe in Democratic process, if we believe in an open kind of Democracy, then we should just give our sense that we ought not to have armed guards in this room. As far as the necessity, Representative Preston's comment, there are

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

now 13 or 14 very undangerous looking folks up in the gallery. And I don't know that we need to have an armed guard to protect us from those 13 or 14. I would agree on those days at those moments when we have lots of people, lots of turmoil, lots of anger, lots of things going on, let's have them here. That is okay. I'm not against that. But on a day like today where, God, most of us had trouble keeping awake, I just don't think we have to have armed guards because of the fervor of activity that was shown here this afternoon. It is for this reason and the other reasons that we should just suggest to the Speaker that perhaps we don't have to be guarded, that perhaps we're not so damned inportant that everybody is going to try and come after us and that we do our business without the spector of armed guards."

- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Motion fails. House Bill 9, Representative Laurino. Read the Motion, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion pursuant to Rule 63A, I move to take House
  Bill 9 from the table."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Laurino moves to take House Bill 9 from the table and place it in Interim Study of the appropriate Committee. Is that correct, Sir? Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. House Bill 832, Representative Younge."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. Pursuant to Rule 63A, I move to take
  House Bill 832 from the table. Representative Younge."
- Speaker Daniels: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 1760, Representative Ronan."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. Pursuant to Rule 63A, I move to take

  House Bill 1760 from the table and assign to Interim Study

  Calendar of Health and Family Services Committee.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Representative Ronan."

- Speaker Daniels: "And House Bill 1764. Read the Motion, Sir."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1761. Motion. Pursuant to Rule 63A,

  I move to take House Bill 1761 from the table and assign to

  Interim Study Calendar of the Health and Family Services

  Committee. Representative Ronan."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Ronan, has moved to take from the table House Bill 1761 and House Bill 1760 and assign them to the appropriate Interim Study Calendar.

  Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Senate Bill 125. Read the Motion, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 125..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane."
- Kane: "Parliamentary...parliamentary inquiry. I have no objection, but I think to take from the table requires 107 votes and you may need a Roll Call."
- Speaker Daniels: "We have a unanimous leave with no objections,
  Representative Kane."
- Kane: "Well, that still doesn't get you to 107."
- Speaker Daniels: "That gets us to the number of people present."
- Kane: "No, that is if you have leave for the Attendance Roll Call."
- Speaker Daniels: "On House Bill 9, House Bill 1760, and House Bill 1761. Do the Gentleman have leave to remove those Bills from the table and place them on the appropriate Interim Study Calendar and use the Attendance Roll Call? Any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Thank you, Representative Kane. Representative Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you please recognize me after Mr. Leinenweber explains his Motion?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Yes, Sir, I will. Alright, Senate Bill 125.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Read the Motion, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. I move to discharge the Committee on
  Transportation from further consideration and place on the
  order of Second Reading Second Legislative Day.
  Representative Leinenweber."
- Speaker Daniels: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, may we please have some order? Will the Members of the House please be in their seat? Will we please clear the center aisle? All those not entitled to the floor please remove yourself to the gallery. Representative Leinenweber."
- Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Ι have filed a Motion to discharge the Committee on Transportation to move Senate Bill 125 to the order of Second Reading Second Legislative Day in part as a result of an extreme emergency which has been brought about by the Regional Transportation Authority last actions of the involved the City of Joliet Mass Friday which Transportation District. Senate Bill 125 simply amends the Regional Transportation Authority Act and authorizes any county or township to disconnect from the authorities territory by action of the county board as the case may be and.....the matter to disconnection by referendum. as I point out, this is...this Bill comes about by desire of the people who reside in some of the surrounding counties of the County of Cook because of their feeling and the feeling of the Legislators in that area that the Regional Transportation Authority is not operating in the best interests of mass transportation in the collar county And you will note that the Senate sponsorship of area. Senate Bill 125 is bipartisan support. Lead Sponsors being certain Democrats representing certain collar county areas and including Republicans representing Cook and collar county areas. Now as I pointed out, last Friday the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Regional Transportation Authority in direct violation of the Regional Transportation Authority Act, Section 4.01, refused to make the regular contribution to the Joliet Mass Transit District in order to meet a payroll of a mere \$21,000. Now,..."

- Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Nr. Robbins...or Mr. Leinenweber.

  Representative Bullock, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I was wondering if the Gentleman could get on with the business of the Motion, and perhaps if the Bill is appropriately before us, we could discuss the merits of the measure. I think the posture should be the Motion."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bullock, the Gentleman may go into an explanation of his Motion. He is doing that.

  Proceed, Representative Leinenweber."
- Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I am addressing the merits of the Motion, and the merits of the Motion go to the extreme emergency presented by the actions of the Regional Transportation Authority in regard to my home city of Joliet by shutting the life line of funds from the Regional Transportation Authority. Now, this has acted in direct violation of the Act by refusing to return proceeds which are collected by direct taxation of the authority by way of the sales tax which this Body imposed upon Will County some year and a half ago. So the Regional Transportation Authority continues to levy a tax every day since Friday, including today, on all measures purchased at retail within the confines of Will County and the City of Joliet including food, clothing, medicine, Now. it refuses to send this money other necessities. Now, it takes out of Joliet and Will County back. approximately \$10,000 a day, some \$66 to \$70,000 a week. It refuses to return any of that money which is exactly the law. So I want to discharge the contrary to

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Transportation Committee and get Senate Bill 125 immediately to the floor so it can be read a second time Second Legislative Day so that we can proceed to passage stage as soon as possible and send this good Bill to the Governor of this state so he can sign it so Will County can get out from under the take steps to Authority and its illegal attempts to Transportation withhold funds which lawfully belong to the Joliet Mass We want the authority to levy our own Transit District. tax and carry on our own transportation services which will be far superior to the ones that are currently being provided at much less the cost. So, Ladies and Gentlemen, Members of the House, and Mr. Speaker, I urge all of you to vote for this Motion on Senate Bill 125 so we can get on with solving the immediate emergency problem that Jol...the City of Joliet faces by wirtue of the enforced shut down by the Regional Transportation Authority of the City of Joliet buses."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Leinenweber, from Will has moved Senate Bill 125 to discharge the Committee on Transportation and placed the matter on Second Reading Second Legislative Day. On that Motion, Representative Madigan from Cook."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he'll yield."

Madigan: "Mr. Leinenweber, as I understand your problem, the bus service in Joliet has been discontinued because of the lack on money to pay salaries of bus drivers. Does your Bill, in any form, attempt to devise a scheme that would provide money to pay those salaries?"

Leinenweber: "Yes, it does. As I understand Senate Bill 125, it will enable the County of Will to levy a quarter percent sales tax in order to finance transportation needs within

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

- Will County and the City of Joliet."
- Madigan: "How long would it take to reinstate this service?"
- Leinenweber: "Well, I hope it won't take as long as it probably
  will be to reinstate the service if we stay within the
  Regional Transportation Authority."
- Madigan: "Do you plan to offer any Amendment to this Bill that
  would attempt to solve the larger problem in addition to
  that in Joliet?"
- Leinenweber: "I personally do not intend to offer any Amendments to this Bill."
- Madigan: "Your Motion, Mr. Leinenweber, reads that the Bill be placed on the Order of Second Reading Second Legislative Day. Would it be your intent to attempt to move that Bill to Third Reading today?"
- Leinenweber: "I don't see how we can if it gets read. the second today."
- Madigan: "The purpose of my question is simply to determine what your schedule is, Mr. Leinenweber, because I am concerned...I am concerned about lack of transportation services in Joliet, and I am concerned about what will probably be the lack of transportation services all throughout the RTA region."
- Leinenweber: "Well, maybe the Gentleman would call the RTA and tell them to live up to the letter of the law and send Joliet the \$21,000 it needs to pay its bus drivers."
- Madigan: "Mr. Leinenweber, your Bill is an Amendment to the Regional Transportation Authority Act, and therefore it could be used for the purpose of an Amendment to solve the larger problem. And if your Motion is successful, and if the Bill is placed on the Order of Second Reading, I would like an opportunity to offer Amendments to the Bill. And if I had guarantees from you and from the Chair that I would have that opportunity tomorrow, then I would not

- 49th Legislative Day May 26, 1981 stand in opposition to your Motion."
- Leinenweber: "I don't have any objection to hold it on Second
  Reading until for tomorrow."
- Madigan: "Based upon Mr. Leinenweber's statement that the Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading tomorrow, and Mr. Daniels, do I have your guarantee and blood on that?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Point well taken. I will not resist the Gentleman's Motion. Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis? No, any further discussion? The Gentleman from Wayne, Representative Robbins."
- Robbins: "I just had a question of the Sponsor."
- Speaker Davis: "He indicates he'll yield."
- Robbins: "Harry, I got a question now. As one of these Royko hayseeds, I want to find out if you've got enough money to buy enough horses to transport these people?"
- Leinenweber: "I didn't understand the Gentleman's question."
- Robbins: "Will that provide you with enough money to buy enough horses to transport these people? I sent my boy to sale today and told him to buy a couple because I thought someone up here is going to need them."
- Leinenweber: "Well, if this Bill goes into affect and we're allowed to have our own transportation services within Will County and levy a quater percent sales tax, we can provide the same level of services and also provide all of the...the military transport services needed to wage war against Chicago."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock."
- Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was wondering if the Sponsor would yield to a question or two."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."
- Bullock: "Representative Leinenweber, in your dissertation, you made reference to Senate Bill 126 but I find on the Calendar..."
- Leinenweber: "125. I misspoke if I said 126. I meant 125."
- Bullock: "You did associate the two. I am glad you clarified that because my digest relative to 126 described something entirely different than you alluded to."
- Leinenweber: "Yeah, to my understanding I am not sponsoring 126, so I misspoke if I said 126."
- Bullock: "Alright. Representative Madigan, I think, raised the points that I was concerned about, but Representative Leinenweber, in this Motion, if the Motion were to prevail and the Bill were to be presented without Amendments, would this Bill, in effect, merely allow Will County to disconnect from the RTA?"
- Leinenweber: "Any county or township by action of the county board or board of town trustees and also approved by a referendum."
- Bullock: "Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff."
- iuff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  I certainly can sympathize with Representative Leinenweber,
  but I want every Member to remember that his position is
  not unique. RTA owes Chicago as of to date over
  \$100,000,000, and I would like you to remember that. I
  move the previous question."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Leinenweber to close."
- Leinenweber: "I thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just merely urge everybody to join the Minority Leader and myself and support the Motion."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman's Motion on Senate Bill 125 is to discharge the Committee on Transportation from further

19th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

consideration and place Senate Bill 125 on the Order of Second Reading Second Legislative Day. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This Motion having received 152 'aye', 2 'nay', two voting 'present'. Representative O'Brien."

- very happy to help the Representative from the Joliet community in voting to move this Bill, Senate Bill 125 to Second Reading because I understand the problems that Joliet has and finally being shorted in its payments by the RTA. But I would like to point out to the Gentleman that represents Joliet that the Chicago Transit Authority is presently owned some \$80,000,000 by the RTA."
- Speaker Daniels: "This Motion having received 152 'aye', 2 'nay',
  2 voting 'present', hereby declared passed. Senate Bill
  125, Second Reading Second Legislative Day. Senate Bill
  256. Read the Motion, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Motion. I move to discharge the Committee on
  Transportation from further consideration and place it on
  the Order of Second Reading Second Legislative Day, Senate
  Bill 256."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Davis on the Motion."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have moved to advance 256 to the Order of Second Reading Second Legislative Day. It deals with the similar subject with the RTA. It is am important Bill with the impending, and it looks as if the shut down is going to occur, and I can't understand, however, why the 42nd District in Will County was singled out for the crude attempt to start shutting us down first. But since that is the case, I can tell you that Senate Bill

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

256 throughout the RTA region will indeed have a positive effect on transportation in the event of an emergency shut down and probably even beyond that as we go through the next few years. Senate Bill 256 deregulates taxi cabs and other forms of surface transportation in the RTA region. I suggest to you that any Bill on Second Reading at this point dealing with this subject at this time in the history of mass transit and in Illinois, is not a bad idea to have it on Second Reading where you can take a look at it and move it quickly to Third if we can and get it back to the Senate for their concurrence or their rejection or whatever the Senate chooses to do. I simply recommend to you it is a very good idea. The subject matter of the Bill, its time It is an idea that we can proceed forward with and offer reasonable alternatives in the event of a close down of the RTA and as sure as I am standing here, Joliet and South Suburban Safeways are just the beginning of that shut down, and I can tell you I have it on reliable authority that today the RTA Board turned down a 12 1/2 percent fare increase and negated any service alterations on weekends or at might. So apparently we're on a collision course with that particular Board, and I suggest to you that this is a very good Motion that that both vehicles 125 and 256 should be in front of us for our consideration and not go through the Committee process. respectfully request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, to ask of Mr. Davis as I did of Mr. Leinenweber, Mr. Davis, will this Bill be on the Order of Second Reading tomorrow for the purpose of an Amendment?"

Bavis: "Yes. Yes."

Madigan: "Thank you."

# }th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Any further discussion? Hearing none, the Gentleman's Motion, Senate Bill 256 is to discharge the Committee on Transportation from further consideration and place Senate Bill 256 on the Order of Second Reading Second Legislative Day. All those in favor of that Motion will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This Motion having The received 151 'aye', one 'nay', and two voting 'present' is hereby declared passed and Senate Bill 256 is discharged Committee on Transportation from further from the consideration and placed on the Order of Second Reading Second Legislative Day. Representative Ted Meyer, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

"Mr. Speaker, I filed a written Motion concerning House Meyer: Bill 1901 which I introduced last week. I would like to suspend...unanimous consent to suspend the appropriate rule so this Bill may be heard in Rules Committee this evening. Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill abolishes the downstate mass transit subsidy and replaces instead and gives local government and local transit authorities the right to levy sales tax up to a quarter percent for the replacement funds. It won't cost the downstate mass transit districts They will receive the same money that they are one cent. receiving now. The only difference is that the transit authority, the cities, the villages, and the counties will have to enact their own tax."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend the posting requirements. In order to have this Motion heard, the Gentleman must have unanimous leave of the House since it does not appear on the Calendar. Does he have unanimous leave of the House? Are there any objections? Hearing

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

objections, he does not...The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Did I hear you say that there were objections to the Gentleman's Motion?"

Speaker Daniels: "Yes, Sir, there were — Representative Darrow,
Representative Kane, Representative Dunn. Representative
Meyer, Ted Meyer from Cook."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, is my Motion out of order? Or can I

Speaker Daniels: "It is not out of order, Sir, but in order to be heard now, it must take unanimous consent. It must then appear on the Calendar which it will do, Sir."

Meyer: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I have filed with the Clerk a Motion that would suspend the posting requirements for the Rules Committee scheduled to meet this evening. The two Bills which have been posted for hearing by the Chairman of the Rules Committee deal with the question of reapportionment. The one Bill deals with legislative reapportionment and the other deals with congressional reapportionment. I suspect that the Rules Committee, through the friendly hand of the Speaker and the Parliamentarian will provide a smooth for these two reapportionment Bills through the thicket of the rules and its deadlines. And I suggest to the House that if it is the will of the Speaker and the Leadership on the other side of the aisle to provide for that kind of progress through the legislative process, then we ought to legislation that is certainly is other consider important to the people of the state as is the question reapportionment. My Motion deals with three Bills that help senior citizens. The first of these Bills is House Bill 1906 sponsored by Representative Kornowicz which would

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1981
- provide for the adoption and the establishment of the
  'tool' users refund plan."
- Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me. Mr. Madigan, excuse me, Sir.

  Before we get to an explanation of the vote of the Bills, I

  need to have unanimous leave of the House in order to have
  that heard. And as I understand, you are on House Bills
  1904, 1905, and 1906. Is that correct, Sir?"
- Madigan: "Well, I thought the Membership would like to know what
  the subject matter is. I know we do wish to be informed
  and enligthened before we vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Thank you, Sir. I would like to know, though, if the Members of the House wish to bring this matter before the House. It takes unanimous consent. Are there any objections to hearing the Motion on House Bill 1904, 1905, and 1906? Representative Huskey, Representative Friedrich, Representative Hoffman. Alright, Representative Ackerman. There are objections, Sir. I'm sorry. This must appear on the Calendar. Representative Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the Chair request of the Chairman of the Rules Committee when there will be another meeting of the Rules Committee?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, there are none scheduled, however, I would be glad to have that discussed in Rules Committee meeting which meets immediately after the Session."
- Speaker Daniels: "He'll bring it up at the Rules Committee meeting after Session, Representative Madigan. Agreed Resolutions. Representative Conti."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 325, Schraeder et al; 328, Karpiel; 329, Daniels; 330, Topinka; 331, Ebbesen et al; 333, Schuneman."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti, could you hold 329 to the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

last? Just go with the other ones."

Conti: "Three twenty..."

Speaker Daniels: "Nine."

Conti: "329 till last. Alright, fine."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay."

Conti: "House Resolution 325 by Schraeder, Tuerk, and Saltsman, the Illinois State Board of Education recently released its findings under an investigation in alleged violations the state law and policy by School District 150 of Peoria of Illinois and that this Resolution be copied and be given to Donald Gill. House Resolution 328 by Karpiel, this year's Rose Queen is the lovely Debra 'Van Couer' who gave a stirring rendition of Music in the Mirror and the Chorus Retirement Resolution, House Resolution 330 by Topinka. C. A. Chris will retire from his superintendent of the Elementary District School 103 in Lyons, Illinois. House Resolution 331, Ebbesen, Schuneman, Mautino, and Giorgi. Doctor William 'Onette', President of Northern Illinois University will receive the University of Minnesota outstanding acheivement award at a meeting at the University of Minnesota Board of Regents on June 12, 1981. House Resolution 333, Schuneman, that is Cecil Terry 'Hemsted', two former House Pierce and Republican staff employees found romance, and they were You've asked me to hold united in matrimony. Resolution 329."

Conti: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion on the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. House Resolution 329, Representative Conti, or the Clerk. Can the Clerk read the Motion...the Resolution?"

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 329. Whereas William E. Dart,
Director of Governmental Affairs of the Illinois

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Manufacturers Association is celebrating his birthday on May 26, 1981; and whereas William Dart was born in Jacksonville, Illinois on May 26, 1930 and was raised in Beardstown, Illinois: and whereas Mr. Dart joined the Marine Corps in 1949, underwent basic training in Paris Island, North Carolina, and served one year before the Marine Corps reserves; whereas William Dart has been active in Springfield civic affairs having served President of the Springfield Jaycees in 1960 and having run for Springfield City Commissioner in 1962; and whereas since 1964, Bill Dart has held executive positions with trade and professional associations including eight years executive director of the Illinois Society of Professional Engineers, and where as Mr. Dart is currently the Illinois Manufacturers' Association chief lobbyist and coordinates the lobbying activities of five other IMA staff members, and whereas Bill Dart is regarded by law makers, fellow lobbyists and journalists as one of the most effective and professional lobbyists in the Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 82nd General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we congratulate William E. Dart upon the occasion of his 51st birthday and that we commend him for his distinguished service to his profession. And be it further resolved that suitable copies of this Preamble and Resolution presented to Mr. William E. Dart."

- Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Resolution."

  Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. How many years was that?"
- Clerk Leone: "I believe it may be a typographical error. It says
- Speaker Daniels: "51. Okay, thank you. You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying

With Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

'aye', all those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. Congratulations, Mr. Dart, on your 51st birthday. Congratulations. Any further Resolutions?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Resolutions."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Friedrich."

- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to annouce a meeting of the Rules Committee in room 114 immediately after the Session.

  There is only two Bills. If you'll be prompt, we'll be out of there promptly."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative John Dunn. Okay, Representative Telcser for the adjournment Resolution."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that the House stand adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 a.m. giving the Clerk about five or ten minutes for a perfunctory readings. 9 a.m. tomorrow morning."
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'nay'.

  The 'ayes' have it. We'll adjourn until 9 a.m. tomorrow morning."
- Clerk Leone: "Messages from the Senate. Message from the Senate Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to by Mr. inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles, the passage of which I am concurrence οf the House to ask Representatives to wit: Senate Bills 647, 650, 651, 657, 662, 666, 669, 672, 676, passed the Senate May 26, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles, the passage of which I ask concurrence of the House of instructed to Representatives to wit: Senate Bill 681, 685, 686, 689,

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

694, 696, 702, 703, and 707, passed the Senate May 26, 1981. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has Bills of the following titles, the passage of which I am concurrence of the ask House instructed to Senate Bill 711, 721, 723, 724, Representatives to wit: 766. 726, 731, 733, 753, 755, 756, 761, 764, 765, passed the Senate May 26, 1981. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. inform the House of directed to Speaker, I am Senate has passed Bills of Representatives the the following titles, the passage of which I am instructed ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Bills 771, 777, 781, 782, 783, 788, 790, passed Senate May 26, 1981. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Senate Bills First Reading. Senate Bill 242, McClain, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Non-profit Health Care Service Plan Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 484, Ronan, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 487, Dwight Friedrich, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Regulatory Agency Sunset Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 504, Deuster, a Bill for an Act creating the Chain of Lakes, River... Fox River Commission, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Beatty, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 515, Kosinski, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 543, Huskey, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 544, Huskey, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois

19th Legislative Day

May 26, 1981

Vehicle Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Resolutions 332, Koehler; 334, Leinenweber — et al — Committee on Assignment. No further business, the House now stands adjourned until 9 a.m. tomorrow morning, May 27."

### MAY 26, 1981

HB-0009	MOTIONS	PAGE	129
HB0364	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	7
HB-0420	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0530	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0585	2ND READING	PAGE	13
	MOTIONS	PAGE	44
HB-0586	2ND READING	PAGE	68
HB0587		PAGE	101
HB0588	2ND READING	PAGE	118
HB-0832	MOTIONS	PAGE	129
HB-1760	MOTIONS	PAGE	129
HB-1761	MOTIONS	PAGE	130
HB-1901	HOTIONS	PAGE	139
HB- 1904	1ST READING	PAGE	5
HB- 1905	1ST READING	PAGE	5
HB-1906	1ST READING	PAGE	5
SB-0125	MOTIONS	PAGE	131
SB-0138	1ST READING	PAGE	6
SB-0242	1ST READING	PAGE	145
SB-0256	MOTIONS	PAGE	137
SB-0413	1ST READING	PAGE	6
SB-0443	1ST READING	PAGE	6
SB-0448	1ST READING	PAGE	6
SB-0450	1ST READING	PAGE	7
SB-0462	1ST READING	PAGE	6
SB-0469		PAGE	6
SB-0477	1ST READING	PAGE	6
SB-0481		PAGE	6
SB-0484		PAGE	145
SB-0486		PAGE	6
SB-0487		PAGE	145
SB-0494	1ST READING	PAGE	6
SB-0497		PAGE	7
SB-0498	1ST READING	PAGE	7
SB-0504	1ST READING	PAGE	145
SB-0507	1ST READING	PAGE .	6
SB-0511	1ST READING	PAGE	7
SB-0512	1ST READING	PAGE	145
SB-0515	1ST READING	PAGE	145
SB-0524	1ST READING	PAGE .	6
SB-0525	1ST READING	PAGE	7
SB-0534	1ST READING	PAGE -	7
SB-0543	1ST READING	PAGE	145
SB-0544	1ST READING	PAGE	145
SB-0546	1ST READING	PAGE	7
SB-0578	1ST READING	PAGE	7
HR-0332	1ST READING	PAGE	146
HR-0334	1ST READING	PAGE	146

### SUBJECT NATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER RYAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - SISTER MARY SIENA	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - REPRESENTATIVE LEON	PAGE	🤏 <b>1</b>
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
CORRECTED COMMITTEE REPORT	PAGE	2
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE	3
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	3
REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	61
REPRESENTATVE COLLINS IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	118
REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	119
MOTION - REMOVAL OF ARMED GUARDS FROM THE CHAMBERS	PAGE	125
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	141
HR 329 - ADOPTION	PAGE	142

PAGE 2

MAY 26, 1981

#### SUBJECT MATTER

ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	144
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	144
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	144
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	146