38th Legislative Day

- Speaker Ryan: "The House will come to order, and the Members will please be in their seats. The chaplain for today is Father Wright, from St. Agnes Church, located here in Springfield.
- Father Wright: "Let us bow our head in prayer. Almighty God, we humbly thank you for this great land which You have given us for our inheritance. We pray that we may always prove to be a people mindful of Your love and kindness. Bless this land with honest labor. Save us from violence, discord, and confusion, from pride and arrogance and from every evil way. Preserve and increase our liberties and fashion into one united nation this people of many races and tones. Fill with the spirit of wisdom those to whom we entrust the authority of government, that they may always seek justice and peace. In the time of prosperity, fill our hearts with thankfulness. And in the time of trouble, do not allow our trust and hope in You to fail. This we ask through Christ our Lord.
- Speaker Ryan :"Thank you Father Wright. Representative Oblinger will lead the pledge today.
- Oblinger: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States

 States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,

 one nation, under God, indivisible, with Liberty and

 Justice for all."
- Speaker Ryan: "Roll Call for attendance. It is the intention of the Chair to work on House Bills Third Reading Short Debate Calendar this evening until about 9:00 or 9:30. Depending on how we fare there, we will come back in tommorow morning at 8:00 A.M. to begin the days work. We may break for lunch, tommorow, for an hour and a half and come back and with any luck we can be through with this Calendar by our deadline, which is Monday. And that is my intent. If it takes next Saturday and Sunday to do that, we will be here.

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

And so it is all going to depend on you folks how fast we move or how slow we move. But we will be in o'clock tommorow morning. Take the record Mr. Clerk. members answering the Boll, a quorum of the House is On page 9 of the Calendar, under the order of House Bill's Third Reading Short Debate Calendar appears House Bill 17, Representative Hoffman. I would suggest that if you have an opportunity to call your Bill, that you call it. We are going to go through all of these at least once, hopefully by next Monday. And I don't know whether we are going to get back to them. So...all depends on how much time we've got. House Bill 17, Representative Hoffman, out of the record. House Bill 162, Representative Ronan, out of the record. House Bill 377, Representative Hudson, Representative Hudson. Read the Bill: Mr. Clerk." Clerk Leone: "House Bill 377, a Bill for an Act to eliminate

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 377, a Bill for an Act to eliminate obsolete references to Junior Colleges in various acts.

Third reading of the Bill.

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ropp, do you seek attention or...recognition?"

Ropp: "Yes, Ar. Speaker and Members of the House. I've got about three...a minute and a half I'd like to just expose' momentarily. Could I do that, please? Well, I don't know. It all depends on what you want to expose'. I want to expose about a black eye, in my opinion, of the justice system of the State of Illinois, that occured this last week-end, when some ten people, who were at one time thought to have committed murder on three prison guards in Pontiac were found to be acquitted. I'm just wondering whether or not the mother's. the father's. the grandparents, the sons, and the daughter's are satisfied with this kind of a ruling. I'm wondering whether or not this kind of justice will do anything to deter future

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

outbreaks of this type. This certainly will encourage, maybe this summer, when other outbreaks occur. It will be open season on guards. I dear say, I don't understand, why any man or woman, in the State of Illinois, would ever want to be a guard in the prison system in the State of Illinois. In my opinion, it seems like a tremendous injustice, to the justice system, came about this last I think that we are in serious jeopardy here in week-end. the State of Illinois where it seems that the whole justice system that we have been involved with is nothing but a mockery. And I certainly think that this body ought to take sincere concern as to the outcome of that particular court case in Chicago. Thank You."

- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hudson, on House Bill 377. The Bill has been read."
- Hudson: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 377 simply makes technical changes in various Acts of the Illinois statutes to eliminate obsolete references to Junior Colleges. The substitute references are either to public community colleges, or to private Junior colleges. Now this is really a computerized codification and an update and a sort of a cleaning house as it were of this particular Act relevent to those terms. That is all that it is and I would urge passage of this Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion? This is on Short Debate. Are there any opponents? Representative Winchester do you...
- Winchester: "Just a parlimentary inquirey, Hr. Speaker. What is a rules governing Short Debate? Is that explanation, and then one opponent, and then closing, and then take the vote?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Two minutes for each side, two minutes for the proponent, two minutes for the opponent, and one minute for

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

the sponsor to close. The question is, 'shall House Bill 377 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 128 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill having received a Constitution Majority is herby declared passed. Representative Ronan on Bill 162. Representative Ronan told me that he couldn't get in because the door was locked because of the prayer. So we are going to give him a second chance. Representative Ronan on Bill 162. Read the Bill."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 162, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Property Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan."
- Ronan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker for your tremendous compassion. I really appreciate this. It's a real tribute to type of way you run the House of Representatives."
- Speaker Ryan: "Proceed with the Bill, would you a...
 Representative?"
- Ronan: "House Bill 162, is a very simple concept. We discussed the Bill extensively in Committee. It is on the Short Debate Calendar. Because what it is a good government Bill. What basically we are doing, is that it is going to be easier for all the code departments, especially the Department of Administrative Services, to handle the sale and purchase of electronic data processing equipment. If there are no questions on the Bill, I would like to a...I'd move for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion? Representative Ebbesen. Two minutes.
- Ebbesen: "Well, yes. Just a question. Are the ammendments Floor
 Amendments or Committee Amendments?

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Ronan: "Both Ammendments are Floor Amendments.

Ebbensen: "Well, a... would you a...what did a...how was the Bill different from when it came out of Committee with those Ammendments?

Ronan: "Those two Ammendments were proposed by the Department of
Administrative Services and the Comptrollers office. To
make it more efficient for their operations. So that those
are the only changes.

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ronan, do you care to close?

Ronan: "Yes, since this is a bill that will make state government more efficient, and make it easier to purchase electronic data processing equipment. I move for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'shall House Bill 162 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed, by voting 'no'. All voted who wish? To record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 123 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', and 3 voting...Laurino 'aye'. On this question there are 124 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present.' This Bill having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 410, Representative Neff, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 410, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: : Representative Neff, read the Bill.

Neff: "Thank you Mr. Spreaker, and Ladies and Gentelmen of the House. House Bill 410, as a matter was drafted by the motor vehicle laws commission. Because of the need to reduce the number of trucks, weight catagories, and to streamline our present license. Now this Bill was worked out by a Committee, working Committee, including representatives of the Department of Transportation and Department of Law Enforcement, Secretary of State, and many

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

other facets of the trucking agriculture industry. There is one thing that I want to keep in mind this Bill will generate about 2 1/2 million dollars annual revenue. It is a product of about a years work, and as Secretary of State pointed out to me recently, it is not only a good Bill for an industry, it is good for Law Enforcement, and good for the State Treasurer. I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Greiman?"

Greiman: :Merely a question of the sponsor. Does this a... does this increase the load that... the weight load?

Neff: "No, it doesn't Representative. It just reclassifies. It is something that has been needed done for years, putting them in different categories. No increased weights in it.

Greiman: "When we put in categories, do we do that on basis of weight? Is that what the... in other words, what are the basis of the categories? Are they by weight or by height, by length, or what?"

Neff: "No, it's by weight, yes, but it is no increase. It's the present weights that we have in the statutes now. It will restructure the state truck weight classification and fee schedule. It will reduce the alpha and special weight group by some twelve categories. Now the main point of this legislation is to reduce down the different categories we do have. And say it's been worked out by the Secretary of State, by the Law Enforcement, by the Department of Transportation and was presented through the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission.

Greiman: "Well, so that taken as a whole, would we be increasing the tax that most would be paying?"

Neff: : "Yes, in some categories we will be."

Greiman: "But taken as whole is that the case?

Neff: "Yes, yes, I would say yes, generally speaking, because it is going to bring in about 2 1/2 million dollars a year

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

more than what we are getting in now."

Greiman: "Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Neff, one minute to close."

Neff: "As I stated this Bill was worked out by the Motor Vehicles
Laws Commission, and the people involved in it was the
Department of Law Enforcement, Secretary of State, and many
trucking, agriculture, and related industries. A... this
reduces the many different categories that we have on truck
weights and the way they pay and it will bring in about 2
1/2 million dollars. It is some increases, but there is no
increase on the actual weights we have on the trucks right
now. I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'shall House Bill 410 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wish? All voted who wish. Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 123 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', and 15 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is herby declared passed. House Bill 430, Representative Polk. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 430, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the rate of interest and other charges in the sale on credit and lending of money. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Polk"

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 430, simply provides that the Federal Government, which they have done, allows one class of lender to include a variable interest rate, and mortgage contracts, then all classes of lenders in Illinois may include similar provisions. In the Committee the Bill passed 15 - 0. It had no opposition, it just had proponents registered. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

38th Legislative Day

- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'shall House... Representative Jaffe, two minutes."
- Jaffe: "Would the Gentlemen yield for a question?"
- Polk: "Absolutely."
- Jaffe: "I just have one question then and I wonder if you could ask it for me? You say one class of lender, and then all classes of lenders. What would you deem would be a class of lender?"
- Polk: "C.L., would you say that again, please, I'm sorry I didn't..."
- Jaffe: "What would you deem to be a class of lender? I don't understand that, that phrase when you say one class of lender. What does that mean?"
- Polk: "Any, and there is a word before that 'any' one class of lender."
- Jaffe: "I understand, but what is a class of lender?That a...
- Polk: "A class lender, a class lender would be versus a lender that doesn't have any class error, and a guy who wears a tie and coat. I mean you know... a class operation like Chicago Title and Trust."
- Jaffe: "No, I think you know, I'm trying to get down to what you really mean by a class of lender? I mean if you have, is a...I don't understand that term. I..."
- Polk: "Chicago Title and Trust is the major title insurer in the State of Illinois and they were the ones who indicated that the House, the original bill we passed last year, which is public Act 1367. This did not include them. And it was at the Chicago Title and Trust request that this was introduced."
- Jaffe: "Well I understand that, but I still don't understand what
 a class of lender is. Give me an example of a class of
 lender. I'm not opposed to a... I just want to know what
 I'm voting on."

38th Legislative Day

- Polk: "Alright Aaron, then I assume that a class lender is by definition there...you have banks, and then you have savings and loans, and different types of lenders, and I think by term, they call that class."
- Jaffe: "And this would only deal with mortgages, is that correct?

 It would deal with anything else? And this would only deal with mortgages, is that what your saying?"
- Polk: "Yes, well Chicago, the Chicago... (cut off)"
- Speaker Ryan: "That's it your time is up Representative Jaffe.

 Two minutes to close, Representative Polk."
- Polk: "Then again, to try to answer Representative Jaffe...a there was one element only that indicated that they were no longer...would...were concerned whether they would loan in Illinois any longer, which is the Chicago Title and Trust. They requested the legislation was introduced in their behalf. Those members on the Committee voted do pass 15-0, and I would appreciate your vote."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, shall House Bill 430 pass?" All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Dunn, one minute to explain your vote."
- Dunn: "If you are for renewable rate mortgages, vote for this Bill. If your not, don't."
- Speaker Ryan: "All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 116 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no', 17 voting 'present', and this having received ... Mulcahey 'aye'. On this question there 117 voting'yes', 7 voting 'no', 17 voting are DOW 'present'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 448. Representative Wolf...out of the record. House Bill 523, Representative Bartulis, out of the record. House Bill 543, J.J. Wolf, out of the record. House Bill 545.

38th Legislative Day

- Wolf, out of the record. House Bill 546, J.J. Wolf, out of the record. House Bill 547, out of the record. House Bill 600, Representative Satterthwaite. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 600, A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Satterthwaite. Two minutes to explain the Bill."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. House Bill 600, amplifies the authority of counties. It's purely permissive. The Bill originally expanded their jurisdiction, so they could purchase prairie or other natural areas, and by Amendment on the House Floor, the Department on Aging, asked me to expand their authority in relationship to having funds channeled through the county for services that would keep from institutionalizing older citizens, and I know of no opposition to the Bill. I urge your support."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 600 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 141 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 647, Representative Collins. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 647, A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Collins. Two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Collins: "Thank you Hr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 647, is a simple clarification in the Election Code. The Senate Bill 964, in the last session

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

spelled out the numbers of Poll Watchers that would be allowed in a polling place in a given election day. But there...clearly the intent was that Poll Watchers could be substituted during the day but there have been some interpretations that the same Poll Watcher would have to serve the entire day. House Bill 647 would merely clarify the point that was the initial legislative intent that there could be a substitution of a Poll Watcher, for another in the polling place upon election day. I would ask for a favorable consideration of the Bill."

- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'shall House Bill 647 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there 146 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is herby declared passed. House Bill 685, Representative Catania. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 685, A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to State Finance. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Catania. Two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 685 would Amend the State Finance Act to provide for automatic termination of State treasury special funds, after twelve months of inactivity, rather than eighteen months of inactivity. This is only the State funds that we put an Amendment on. At the request of the University of Illinois, to not terminate the Federal Trust Funds, because they pointed out as a Land Grant school, they have special Federal trust funds which may occasionally become inactive for more than twelve months. But this a money saving

38th Legislative Day

- measure so that the regular state Special Treasury Funds, if they are inactive that long would automatically be terminated and the money would go back to the General Revenue Fund. I ask for your support."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Marion...no. The Gentleman from Adams. Representative McLain. Two minutes. McLain."
- McLain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Lady explain just in her closing what activity means?"
- Catania: "Yes, there isn't any money being expended from the fund if nothing really is being done with it. Really genuine inactivity. Then after twelve months the money would go back into the General Revenue Fund."
- McLain: "That would mean no interest, also? No interest is being raised?"
- Catania: "If the fund is being handled in such a way that interest is coming in but the money is not being used at all, then the interest as well, would go back into the General Revenue Fund."
- McLain: "Would that include like the special fund's that state

 Treasurer has now, like say an Ag Premium Fund where they
 have a surplus, and they haved placed in it a certain bank
 for an investment but the money has not been expended let's
 say for five years."
- Catania: "No, it would have to be the total fund. It would have to be the entire Agricultural Premium Fund, being inactive.

 It could not be a part of the fund. This deals only with the fund in it's entirety and of course the Agricultural Premium Fund could never be in that situation."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Catania. Two minutes to close."
- Catania: "This Bill came out of the Executive Committee unanimously. It was on the consent Calendar. I took it off to put on the Amendment requested by the University of

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Illinois, to exempt their Federal Trust Fund. I ask for your support."

- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'shall House Bill 685 pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 141 voting 'aye', none voting 'no, 3 voting 'present', and this having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 699, Representative Getty. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 699, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Getty. Two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 699 is a Bill which Amends the Act which created School Finance You will recall that when this Authority. Act was originally passed it provided for Indemnity Provisions, set forth under what however the Act failed to the Indemnity would circumstances be provided. Specifically, it is to be provided however to the Officers, and Employees. and Directors of the School Finance Authority. This will clarify the circumstances which Indemnification is to be provided and will also specifically set out that Indemnification Insurance may be Currently because of the lack of this in the purchased. Act the School Finance Authority has been unable to purchase the Insurance and therefore is a Self Insurer. is in the interest of the public of course, that they be able to prudently purchase insurance. I would ask for the support of this measure."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Schneider. Two minutes in opposition."
- Schneider: "Last year, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, when

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

the School Finance Authority came before the Problems Commission, in a meeting to talk about various proposals, among those proposals was this Indemnification Procedure. The reaction at that time was that there was adequate coverage, if not excessive coverage, for these kinds of individuals, and the roles they play, and that there was no need for legislation in this case. I would suggest very seriously to the membership that before they decide to Indemnify, these individuals that the Bill be given a greater exploration. If nothing else it ought to be knocked off this Calendar. I know that there is a process for that but my gut reaction is that these kinds of provisions are provided for. I can't quite understand why the CFA, Chicago School Finance Authority, needs to have further Indemnification. So to me, it appears to be a... needless kind of proposition and it is the one that problably is of course exceeding the necessary kind of protection that they already have in the So, I would ask that unless we have further code. discussion, and justification for it that we oppose the measure."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Getty. Two minutes to close."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I'd like to make it clear that the Act already provides for Indemnification.

This clarifies that mandate, which we the General Assembly already for. What it does is set forth clearly how the Indemnification will be handled. It provides that the public body may purchase the necessary Insurance so that we are not self-insuring, where it is unreasonable to self-insure in this sort of circumstance. This is very definately in the public interest that we pass it. I think maybe that the Gentleman may have misunderstood. I would ask for your support. This passed out of Committee I might

38th Legislative Day

- May 11, 1981
- say unanimously, and until just a moment ago I might say I have known of no opposition to it. I would ask for your support."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'shall House Bill 699 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish. Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 119 voting 'yes', 20 voting 'no', and 5 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority has been declared passed. House Bill 786. Representative Macdonald. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 786, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinos Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Two minutes to explain your Bill. Representative Macdonald."
- Macdonald: "Yes, this is a very simple Bill, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. It allows municipalities to exclude employees who work less than one thousand hours per year from the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund. The part—time employees that would be excluded, generally have a high turn over record, and therefore, they would not work long enough to be eligible for the pension. This Bill would save such employees in the Illinois Municipal Conference cost of retirement contributions. I ask for your support of this Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Bowman?

 Two minutes in opposition."
- Bowman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Normally I do not rise in opposition to Bills Sponsored by the gentle lady from Cook, however, I do sit in on the Commission on the Status on Nomen, and I know that women in particular are concerned about benefits, fringe benefits on part-time employment.

 Because they are precisely the ones who have multiple role

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

responsibilities, and are more likely to have part-time employment as opposed to full-time employment. I feel that this particular piece of legislation would impact adversely on them and for that reason I stand opposition to the legislation."

- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Macdonald. One minute to close."

 Macdonald: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. I'm sure that my good friend on the other side of
 the aisle knows that I would do nothing deliberately to
 impact employment of women in the work place. I certainly
 feel that this will save municipalities a great deal of
 money, and because of the turn over, we know that these are
 not full-time, part-time employees, and I urge your support
 of this Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'shall House Bill 786 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wish. Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 121 voting 'aye', 21 voting 'no', and 4 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 812, Representative Wikoff. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 812, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to create the State University Civil Service System. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wikoff, two minutes to explain you vote, your ...Bill."
- Wikoff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This was a change in the probation system of the State University Civil Service Act.

 This was requested by the ..employees of the State University Civil Service. Basically what it does, it gives discretionary period by the merit board that probationary periods for appointments or promotions can be no less than

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

six months and it has been increased to twelve months. The reason for it, or can be in-between the six and twelve months period. The reason for this is that many of the jobs which become highly technical at the end of six months an individual cannot really determine whether or not, they cannot determine whether or not that he is qualified, and many times can lose the position because they don't show adequate progress at the end of six months. It will effect no one in office now or in jobs status now, and it would be a phase in period. I know of no opposition to it. I would ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Gentelman from Hardin,

Representative Winchester, two minutes in opposition."

Winchester: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Ryan: "He indicates he will."

Winchester: "How will this proposal affect those employees that are working at the Dixon Springs Experimental Station, which is a part of the University of Illinois, who have at the present time, I feel discriminatory salary ranges compared the salaries of provided for employees doing equivalent work at the University of Illinois?"

Wikoff: "It would make no change in that situation Bob, it's a for promotion and those seeking appointments. There would be no change in that, in either advantages or disadvantages."

Winchester" "Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "One minute for Representative Wikoff to close."

Wikoff: "The question is, 'shall House Bill 815 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

All voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 148 voting aye', none voting 'no', and 3 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. With

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

leave of the House I would like to go back and pick up Representative Wolf's Bills, who was, I understand, delayed because of the Appropriations process. Are there objections? Hearing none leave is granted. On page 9 in the Calendar, here is House Bill 448, Representative Wolf.

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 448, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. "

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf. Two minutes to explain your Bill."

Wolf: "Is that 448?"

Speaker Ryan: "House Bill 448 Representative."

Wolf: "Yes Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House this merely increases reserve requirement from one to two years on the General Assembly Retirement System beginning September 1, 1982. It is felt that we need a little extra time on the reserve requirement. I would ask your favorable vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'shall House Bill 448 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 122 voting 'aye', 11 voting 'no', and 20 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 523...House Bill 543, Representative Wolf."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 543, a Bill for an Act to Amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Two minutes to explain your Bill, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 543 jointly Sponsored by Representative Schraeder and Mr. McCourt, amends the Vehicle Code. What it does, is presently we have these charitable vehicle plates which you

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

see on the street. They currently receive the plates for two year period...for a fee of eight dollars. This would change that to one half of the regular fee which is charge any one else and would require that they have a logo or the name of the institution on the side of the door on the passenger vehicle.

- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'shall House Bill 543 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish. Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 137 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', and 7 voting 'present", and this bill having a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 545, Representative Wolf. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 545, A Bill for an Act to amend the downstate Teachers Retirement Article, the Pension Code.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Wolf: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This amends
 the downstate Teachers Pension Code and changes the
 word, "widow" or 'widower' to 'surviving spouse.' There is
 no subsative change other than that. I solicit your
 votes."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'shall House Bill 545 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Bowman, one minute to explain your vote."
- Bowman: "Well I think that the Amendment number 1 was adopted, and there is some language in there that pertains to the General Assembly, but I think that everyone ought to take a good look at this Bill. I'm voting 'present' until I get a better explanation."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all vote who wish?

Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 92 voting 'aye', 11 voting 'no', 40 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 546, Representative Wolf. Two minutes to explain your vote or your Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 546, a Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Fire, Police, Laborers, and Park Employees Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This merely makes change in the numbering and punctuation in the investment section."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Dunn. Two minutes in opposition."

Dunn: "Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Rvan: "Indicates he will."

Dunn: "Was this Bill Amended?"

Wolf: "Not that I know of. If the last one was I didn't happen to have the Amendment. I certainly did not mean to misrepresent."

Dunn: "Then, well then, why if it only changes in numbering and commas then why on page 7 does move a paragraph around. I really don't know what it does, but I would like for you to explain that."

Wolf: "On page 7?"

Dunn: "Yes. Is that the same paragraph, just moved around?"

Wolf: "Yes, to the best of my knowledge. That is all the Bill does is make punctuation and technical corrections."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf. One minute close."

Wolf: "I would just ask a favorable vote of the House."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'shall House Bill 546 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Peters. One minute to explain

38th Legislative Day

- your vote."
- Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just to alert the Members of the House, as did Representative Bowman, this bill has been introduced in the Illinois General Assembly by a Member of the General Assembly.

 Please be on guard."
- Speaker Ryan: "All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish. Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 99 voting 'aye', 24 voting 'no', 23 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 547, Representative Wolf."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 547, A Bill for an Act to amend Teachers, the Chicago Teachers Article of the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Wolf. Two minutes to explain the Bill."
- Wolf: "Yes, I could use a little more help from Representative Peters, like on the one. This amends the Teachers, the Chicago Teachers Article to make technical corrections in the numbering and punctuation of the investments section. It makes no other changes other than that. But, again this is introduced by a Member of the General Asembly so as Mr. Peters said, you better be on guard. It's something awful."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'shall House Bill 547 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wish? Take the record Mr.Clerk. On this question there are 124 voting 'aye', 13 voting 'no', 14 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 815, Representative Pullen. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 815, a Bill for an Act to amend the

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Illinois Health Facilities Planning Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Pullen. Two minutes to explain your Bill."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House 815 would exempt sheltered care facilities from necessity to comply with Certificate of Need. of Need, as indicated by the title of the Act, Illinois Health Facilities Planning Act, is supposed to be concerned with Health Facilities. Sheltered Care Facilities are not truely Health Facilities, and should not have been included the Act to begin with. Shelter Care facilities are not required by Federal law or regulation to be included in of Need, which is the major reason Certificate why Certificate of Need was adopted in Illinois. Certificate of Need process requires the facilities to come to the government for approval for change in the number of beds that are included in the facility, or physical structure changes, equipment purchases, and that sort of thing. It is a costly procedure for a facility to have to follow in order to make changes necessary for the provision of care, and in this case, shelter within its walls. it is an unnecessary regulation that the State has put upon these shelter providers. I urge adoption of this Bill, which did pass on a unanimous vote from the health Committee. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Levin."

Levin: "Yes, I would yield for a motion to Representative

Cullerton under Section 9F."

Speaker Ryan: "Do you speak for Representative Cullerton?"
Levin: "I would ask to yield to..."

Speaker Ryan: "Oh, Representative Cullerton, in opposition."

Cullerton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

38th Legislative Day

...

- May 11, 1981
- House. For temporary rule 9.1E I would ask for ten Members of the House to join me to take this off the Short Debate and put it on Pull Debate? We can consider it tommorow night."
- Speaker Ryan: "Alright you*ve got your vote. It is now on Full
 Debate."
- Cullerton: "Do you want to consider it tommorow night?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Consider it right now. On Full Debate. I'm not sure whether Representative Pullen, you want to continue this Bill Representative? It's been taken off the Short Debate Calendar. And is now open for Full Debate. Do you want to call it now or later?"
- pullen: "Thank you for your consideration Mr. Speaker. I'd be happy to call it now."
- Speaker Ryan: "Do you care to speak any longer? On behalf of the Bill at this point?"
- Pullen: :I will close debate, thank you."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Levin. Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "Well, I believe Mr. Speaker, that on Full Debate that
 the rules only provide for an opponent to speak once, and
 Mr. Levin has already spoken. He has yielded his time."
- Speaker Ryan: "Point is well taken, Representative. Are there, are there any questions? Any Debate? Representative Chapman, on House Bill 815."
- Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, one of the problems that we have, as we try to provide facilities for people who need our help, is that of containing costs. Voting for this Bill is a vote for increased costs. If you have a concern with seeing that costs are contained, and after all, it is the State of Illinois taxpayers, that put a large share of....have I used up my time Mr. Speaker?"
- Speaker Ryan: "No, that's alright. Go ahead...Representative it

38th Legislative Day

١

May 11, 1981

was a mistake.

Chapman: "Well, my point is simply that I would ask you to vote against this Bill if you are concerned with keeping taxes down."

Speaker Ryan: :Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Members, may I ask to Sponsor a question?"

Speaker Ryan: "She indicates she'll yield."

O'Brien: "Does this Bill apply to hospitals, nursing homes, and sheltered care homes, in Illinois?"

Chapman: "It applies to sheltered care facilities, sir."

O'Brien: "Does it apply to hospitals?"

Chapman: "Not this one."

O'Brien: "Then, what...what the effect of this Bill would be, is that we would not need a Certificate of Need, in order to put a shelter care facility in a specific county or in a specific location. Is that correct?"

Pullen: "You would not need a Certificate of Need to do anything with a sheltered care facility."

O'Brien: "That is not correct, in my estimation what your indicating is that the Bill will do away with there requirement for a individual or a company to get a Certificate of Need, which would indicate that there was a need for shelter care beds in that county or in that area. And this Bill would do away with that requirement of indicating and showing that there is a need for that type of health care service, in that community."

Pullen: "It would do away with the Government bureaucratic interference, that's correct."

O'Brien: "That's fine. Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Bill?"

Speaker Ryan: "Yes, proceed, Representative."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. The Certificate

of Need concept, was a concept that was developed over ten

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

years ago at the urging of the Federal Government, when we created the Health Facilities Planning Board. concept that is very important in terms of providing adequate medical care to all segments, of all communities, in the State of Illinois. If one hospital, which is within two blocks of another hospital, indicated that it wanted to buy a very expensive Cancer Scanner, that would cost 2 million dollars, they would be required to go and get a Certificate of Need in order to get that Cancer Scanner. And the hospital within two blocks, if they went in and asked, also, for that same Certificate of Need, to buy that very expensive 2 million dollar piece of equipment. Health Facilities Planning Board would turn them down and would not let both hospitals, serving the same community, buy that expensive machinery. This Health Facilities Planning Board, and the Certificate of Need concept, good concept, based upon providing adequate health care to all communities throughout the State of Illinois, away with it for sheltered care facilities would just be the beginning of doing away with providing some coordinated planning, in health care in the State of Illinois. This is a bad Bill and a bad concept."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in support of Representative Pullen's Bill.

I think some of the previous speakers may have missed the mark on this Bill. Representative Pullens's Bill deals with shelter care facilities. And there has only been one sheltered care facility permit issued this State in three years. I don't think that this Bill has anything to do with hospital construction. It deals no more, no less with shelter care facilities. She is correct, in stating that it would eliminate a bureaucratic red tape of those people

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

who want to invest their own capital dollars in a project and take the risk. I think we would be ill-advised to defeat this Bill. I urge an 'aye' vote for the Bill. It will enhance commerce and industry in this state, and I think that you all should give her a green light."

Speaker Byan: "The Gentleman from Dewitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Lady's

Bill. I think the only important point that needs to be

made about the Bill, is that the only facilities that she
is affecting are facilities that provide no health care.

By definiton a sheltered care home can provide no health
care. So nothing that she is doing will affect facilities

which provide health care. For those reasons I would
support the Lady's Bill"

Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman from McClain Representative...the

Gentleman from Adams. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen the House, I stand in support of Miss Pullen's Bill. Like Mr. Vinson's statement, this Bill is a necessary Bill for retaining the costs for sheltered care facilities throughout the State of Illinois. Sheltered care health services to the people facilities offer no that...and residents within that facility. And to address some of the other problems of the Certificate of Need, Certificate of Need ought to be scrutinized. But this Bill does not go towards scrutenizing the Certificate of Need proposals that now are adopted with Eugenia Chapman's tutorship. This kind of concept will not affect the health care industry at all. Medical society has no problems with this Bill. It is a good cost containment for sheltered care facilities and the residents. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Wayne, Representative Robbins."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Robbins: "We all talk about trying to take some of the bureaucracy out of our lives. This is one opportunity that we can do it. Bureaucracy that is not being used. Let's vote this Bill up. And I move the previous question."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative, you can't do that. You can't speak and then move the previous question. Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "I move the previous question Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentelman has moved the previous question.

All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed
'no'. The 'aye's' have it and the Gentlemans motion
prevails. The lady from Cook, Representative Pullen to
close."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill has nothing to do with expensive hospital equipment or even hospitals at all, or even health facilities. exempts sheltered care facilities from the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Act. Many people have concerns about sheltered care facilities and the quality of care that is given in these facilities. And one of the greatest concerns often is the physical plant in which people are housed. Certificate of Need process actually slows down improvements in these facilities that are needed to help people live a better life. It can take as long as a year for a facility to get a Certificate of Need to even make minor adjustments in it's buildings and the costs of construction by the time that the Certificate of Need has been approved, if it is approved, can be very much more expensive when the facility is sited by the Department of Public Health and knows it must make the changes. This Bill will improve the quality of care for people in Illinois, and it will remove costly and unnecessary ill-conceived bureaucracy. I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

you very much."

- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 815 pass?'

 All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all

 opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Satterthwaite to
 explain her vote."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, at a time when the state is in a financial crunch, we should be jealously guarding any reimbursement to facilities in our state for care of our elderly and handicapped people. If this review process is no longer necessary, there is nothing to prevent more sheltered care being developed in a particular region, than can possibly be utilized. That will drive the cost of care up for all of those who are in facilities in that area and the State will end up picking up the burden. I urge a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bowman, one minute to explain your vote."
- Bowman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Sheltered care facilities are a fine thing unless you have to many of them in one area. If we had had something like Health Facility Planning Act in effect a generation ago we never have had an uptown in Chicago. The problem with places like uptown in Chicago and the neighboring communities of Edgewater, in Chicago, are that there are to many sheltered care facilities in a very small Uptown was once a very luxurious neighborhood, too. So if you want to protect your neighborhoods from the dumping of patients out of our mental health institutions and have them crowded into small areas, sheltered care facilities, then just go ahead and vote for this legislation. But if you think that we should not dump these people, these poor people that cannot fend for themselves into small neighborhoods and to stack them

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

like so much cord wood in sheltered care facilities then I think you want a 'no' vote on this legislation."

- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Leverenz, one moment to explain your vote."
- Leverenz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the This is very important that it pass. A facility, a sheltered care facility in my district has been denied and it's been held in hostage for over two years. They provide no health care only sheltered care. They want to make improvements. The cost of the improvements have risen 30% from what they originally went in and asked for. Let it be clear, that the Health Facilities Planning Board, the majority can only have some importance if they say 'no' to a project. The majority never visit the project, they simply say 'no'. And they stretch the people that provide a needed service, in your district and mine over the coals. And actually hurt the delivery of care to elderly and those people that cannot get around to easily. What we need is a few more green votes."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Friedrich, one minute to explain his vote."
- Priedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't know where the, how the opposition has come to the conclusion that they have come to. In my area when you have competition prices are lower, not higher. Services better, not worse because you have to compete to stay in business. And I can tell you with the financial community the way it is now, nobody is going to back a financing of a sheltered care home unless it's a feasible project which is needed. These projects are needed and I urge your favorable vote."
- Speaker Ryan: "All voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk.

 On this question there are 97 voting 'aye', 41 voting 'no',

 and 16 'present', and this Bill having received a

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed.House Bill 817, Representative Donovan. Read the Bill."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 817, A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Donovan, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Donovan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 817 Amends the Vehicle Code and makes a new definition for type 1 and type 2 school buses, based on a gross vehicle weight. Presently they are based on maximum passenger capacity. Type 1 school buses or anybody 17 people or passengers or more. Type 2 is 16 or under. What we are trying to do here is to try to bring type 2 school buses into the conformity with Federal regulations. Department of Transportation supports this Bill. What it does is allow the type 2 buses to probably go from 16 to 19 or 20 depending on the manufacturer who makes these buses. These 'busette's' if you will, it allows more productivity as far as drivers, saves gas, things like that. It is supported as I said by, it brings in the line with the Federal regulations, the State law and brings the DOT as supportive of the Bill. That is about it. It keeps all the safety regulations, the spacing between seats the same as it is now. I ask for your favorable vote."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 817 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' all opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 152 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 858, Representative Jones. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 858, a Bill for an Act ot Amend the

38th Legislative Day

٠,٠

- School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Just a minute Mr. Clerk. Representative Wolf, do you seek recognition?"
- Wolf: "Yes Mr. Speaker. Having voted on the prevailing side on House Bill 545 I would move this reconsideration. I overlooked an explanation. I want to make to make it perfectly clear to the Members what it is."
- Speaker Ryan: "House Bill 545...Let me finish 858 and will come back to it. Representative Wolf. Hold your motion.

 Representative Jones, two minutes to explain House Bill 858."
- Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is really a cleaned up Bill for Legislation that we passed two years ago. It only affected City of Chicago Public Schools. What it does, is give the Chicago Board until October 30th to submit a plan for the distribution of the Title 1 Funds, that means the State Title 1 Funds. Also, it includes in there where the Board has to approve or reject the plan within 30 days after submission. And those attendance centers that could possibly be under funded that they shall be funded with the funds they lost in addition to the funds allocated in the plan. I ask a favorable vote on the Legislation."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman. Two minutes in opposition."
- Hoffman: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen.

 I do not rise in opposition it is merely to point out that

 we are talking about the State Board of Education a...

 considering the plan rather than the Chicago Board of

 Education. It is a good Bill and should be supported."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, Shall House Bill 858 pass?" All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

voting 'no'. All voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. Representative Meyer, Ted. One minute to explain your vote."

Meyer, Ted: "I will speak in opposition to the Bill."

- Speaker Ryan: "Proceed Representative. Two minutes. To record.

 On this question there are 150 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no',

 1 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a

 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House
 Bill 864, Representative Leinenweber. Read the Bill. Just
 a minute, just a minute, just a minute. Going back to
 Representative Wolf. Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Having voted on a prevailing side on House Bill 545 I now move the reconsideration of vote by which it was adopted."
- Speaker Ryan: "Having voted on a prevailing side, Representative Wolf, moves to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 545 passed. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish. Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this motion 145 vote 'aye', 2 vote 'no', and 3 vote 'present'. House Bill 545 is now in the order of the Third Reading Representative Wolf, on House Bill 545."
- Wolf: "Yes, Thank you Mr. Speaker. When you called on me, you got me a little short footed, a little flat footed. I read from the digest Mr. Bowman did make the point there was an Amendment on this Bill. I want to make it perfectly clear that everybody knows what they were voted on. I've never tried to slip anything by them this General Assembly since I've been a Member, and I don't intend to do so now. I want to explain this Amendment to you very carefully, I hope you will listen. It is not a bad Amendment. It does Amend the General Assembly Retirement Article. Presently, under our present system, we receive 3% of salary a year

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

for the first eight years, 4% of salary for the next four, and 5% of salary for the final eight. Which comes out to a total of 80%, which is a maximum which we can receive. The Amendment which is on this Bill, which is now a part of this Bill, changes that formula to a straight 4% across the board. It does not increase the maximum retirement, still stays at 80%. It does take off the top and put it at So it is an even twenty years times 4% still the bottom. comes out to 80%. There is a slight cost involved in this it does contain an additional 1/2 of 1% of your salary as a contribution. I*ve waiting for been Mr. Goldstein', from the pension laws Commission to figure on this, I think that this should about do it. If it doesn't there is another Bill which is sponsored Mr. Telcser, which has an additional 1/2 of 1% contribution, which Ι believe would more than take care of contribution there might be including no cost to the State I'm quite certain that that would not reach of Illinois. that. So it is not raising, some of you think this is Bill to increase your salary 85 or 90%. It is not. Ιt does not increase your maximum retirement. It still at 80%. It does change it again. Right now let me explain once more. For the first eight years you're here you get 3% a year, for the next four you get 4%, and for the next eight you get 5% of salary. This levels it out and makes it a straight 4% across a year, and there is an increase in your contribution. There will also be a reduction in the number of the General Assembly Members next time. I have been advised by Mr. Goldstein that accrued liabilities would also reduce because of this and over the long haul projection. It is a good Bill. It is nothing that you have to be ashamed to vote for and I would solicit your votes."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates he will."

Satterthwaite: "Jake, what happens in terms of those of us who already have some service. Under what rate would we be reimbursed at the time we retire?"

Wolf, J. J.: "Your transfer, are you talking about transfering from other systems?"

Satterthwaite: "No, just under the General Assembly system. For those of who might already have ten years service in before this Bill passes. How would the benefit be calculated?"

Wolf, J.J.: "There would be no reduction in benefits."

Satterthwaite: "Would there be an increase of benefits?

Wolf, J.J.: "There would be a slight increase, yes, but those on the bottom rung, if you've got four, you know like eight years of time in, there would be a little increase. It does not effect those on the top end. It levels it out. That is why I say it would be a slight cost involved. There is 1/2% contribution here. If that is not enough to do there is another Bill which would also increase it, which I would believe, and I haven't got the total figures, but I believe it should take care of all costs."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 545 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting no'. All voted who wish? Representative Farley. Would you care to explain your vote."

Farley: "No, I just have a question. Are we referring to House Bill 545 or are we referring to House Bill 448?"

Speaker Ryan: "House Bill 545, Representative."

Farley: "Alright, just so I'm clear. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "All voted who wish? Representative Wolf, J.J., do

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

you seek recognition?"

Wolf, J.J.: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, you know it has always amazed me in over the years that everbody gets oun shy whenever your going Amend something that the General Assembly Retirement System. I think I have given them adequate explanation of this Bill. It has always amazed me that people will vote for 80 million dollars of accrued liabilities for some downstate teachers or something else, but if it was possibly a \$10 cost to the General Assembly Retirement System, right away everybody gets shy of the press. I've explained this Bill as best as I can. It is not a bad Bill. I think that it is a fair equitable Bill. I think there is adequate funding to take care of it. If you want to let it go down the tube because your worried about the press then so be it, I could care less."

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Zwick."

Zwick: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. In explaining my 'no' vote I

would just like to say that yes, perhaps I am a little bit

gun shy. But it appears to me that what this Bill does is

increase the pension in effect for short termers. And

until I'm convinced others I will vote 'No' and I would

urge others to vote 'no', also. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ewell, to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, a lot of things require courage. I see a lot of votes on that board, whereas people are sitting there holding their breaths saying I sure hope they do it. They want those of us to go out and take the heat, take the blame and do their job. I say to you, if you do a decent job, your constituency is going to reward you. I think that cowardice that we may face at this time, is merely a test of the test we are going to face in the future. And anybody won't even vote for

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

themselves, surely won't vote for anything else that calls for a vote of conscience and character. I think that the people who are ducking and evading this vote, and saying I didn't vote for it, are being most hypocritical, and insincere. I think you ought to take stock, and if your not worth it, certainly there are some members of this body who are worth it, who have done the work, and will continue to do the work. And in their best interest you ought to get off some of those red and yellow votes. If we are going to hang we may as well hang together, or else we will surely hang one by one, slowly in the wind. And if those of you who won't be back this time next year, on both sides of the isle, those of you who won't be back because you are going to be beat at the poles. But it is not going to be because of this vote. This is simply a decent, honest and upright vote, and you ought to vote for yourself and the people who deserve it. Thank you."

- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish.

 Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 94 voting 'aye', 55 voting 'no', 11 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declares passed. House Bill 864, Representative Leinenweber. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 864, A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hastert, do you seek recognition? "
 Hastert: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Because of the gravity of this Bill,
 - I have nine other members that would like to remove this Bill from Short Debate under Regular Debate. **
- Speaker Ryan: "Are there ten other Members that would join
 Representative Hastert to remove this from the order of
 Short Debate? One, your absolutely right, the Bill is
 removed from the order of Short Debate. Now open to Full

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Debate. Representative Leinenweber you ve got ten minutes now to present your Bill."

Leinenweber: "Thank you Mr.Speaker. I don't know if I need ten minutes. This Bill seems to be progressing backwards rather rapidly. It started out with a 15-0 do pass vote in elementary and secondary education in the Concent Calendar. the request of a Member it went to Short Debate and now there appears to be ten Members who seek to expand on the It is really a rather simple Bill. It seeks to debate. change the procedures for forming Consolidated School Districts to track the existing procedures that currently in the law for forming a Unit School District. Very simply it provides that any petition for consolidating a School District after the hearing before the local Superintendent of Schools is subject to review by the State Board of Education and must make a written decision which is then reviewable by Administrative Review. Now I believe this is important because when you consolidate school districts, particularly if the districts are not code terminus and overlap other school districts, you may cause a tremendous effect on the assessed valuation of a school district that is not part of the final consolidated district but happened to overlap with one of the school districts that is to be in the new Consolidated School District. Now because of the fact that it may cause a substancial change in the assessed valuation of school districts, it appears to me that such a change will have a profound effect on State Aid for a district that is losing assessed valuation, and some effect on school district which is gaining the assessed valuation. Not to say the profound effect that loss of such the assessed valuation may have on the educational program of the district losing the property. It seems to me, therefore, very reasonable

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

certainly as in the lot created unit districts to have some review by the State Superintendent of Schools to see whether or not there will be a detrimental effect on a school district brought about by consolidation over which the school district losing assessed valuation has no I can give you a very graphic example, several control. school districts in Grundy County, are seeking t.o consolidate, and one of which is a grade school district that happens to overlap with the Joliet Township School District, in an area called where those of you who drive down route 55 know as the Mobile Oil Refinery Plant. There happens to be within that overlapping portion about 64 million dollars worth of assessed valuation. Now the decision, because of the fact that the consolidating school districts are in Grundy County, has made entirely by the Superintendent of Schools of Grundy County, and neither the Joliet School District nor the Will County Superintendent of Schools has any input. This is grossly unfair and will cause absolutely disasterous effect on a school district that is realing now because of many, many problems that it has due to the fact that it is a big city High School district with substancial minority students and many other problems that go along with being a big city school It seems to me Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it is only fair to provide some oversight by a official that does not have a direct stake in being elected by the people who are not effected by the losing of the assessed valuation, that is the County Superintendent of Schools. Therefore, I have filed House Bill 864 because I said that is Elementary and Secondary Education System approved the Bill, and the State Board of Education is insupport of the Bill. I therefore, Mr. Speaker urge the membership and yourself to support House Bill 864."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van

Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Harry's Bill tries to solve a problem or at least address a problem that we have plaqued with for the past five or six years. population in Joliet Township is somewhere around 100 thousand people, 86 or 87 thousand to be more exact. And the population of Troy Township, where this grade school district is located is approximately 20 thousand. consolidated area that they are trying to arrive at now, is somewhere in the neighborhood 10 or 12 thousand people. is a very rural area. We have been before the State Board of Education on this twice before, it has been in court for a long time. The rendition of the school board has been the Department of Education has been in our favor all these Now they are trying a new wrinkle through the consolodation effort in the overlap, to try to really rape the Joliet area of 65 million dollars of Oil Refinery and Chemical Plants assessed evaluation. I think that Harry's Bill tries to address the problem from a serious point of view and from a practical point of view besides. I would just like to have every one of you people that represent a large metropolitan area, at least; Decatur, Peoria, Joliet, Rockford, that type of area, and have one of your suburbs try to come in and take away 65 million dollars of assesed valuation from your school district. You know what you are laboring under right now. You know the shape of the school districts. I'm talking about their financial It would absolutely be horrendous if this were shape. taken away from the Joliet and Troy School District. So I think Harry's vote deserves a resonding 'aye' vote. Even though I have to oppose my seatmate for the first time in the whole four or five years that we have sat here

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

together. But I think we are on sound ground, we are right, and our people deserve to keep this assessed valuation. I think the Bill deserves an I vote."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question? "

Speaker Ryan: "He indicates he will."

Hastert: "Mr. Sponsor, a couple questions I have about the Bill.

What is this basically deal? What the difference between consolidated a... Board of Education Districts and Unit Districts. Isn't there basically a difference between a hundred... a dollar sixty level in education as opposed to a three dollar level in education levy?"

Leinenweber: "Would you repeat the question?"

Hastert: "Yes, in the Consolidated District, which your Bill would basically destroy or change so that there wouldn't be anymore Consolidated Districts. The Tax level for the Consolidated District is \$1.60. Now I believe the top level of levy for education levy in the Unit District is \$3.00. Is that correct?"

Leinenweber: "I think that is approximately right. In Unit

Dristrict of course goes from K through High School."

Hastert: "Well a Consolidatded Unit could too. Is that correct?"

Leinenweber: "That is true. Might or might not."

Hastert: "Also, right. The question, just a technical question, and I'm reading through the Bill. I have a question on the third page of the Bill."

Leinenweber: "If it is to technical your problably asking the wrong guy but I will try."

Hastert: "On the third page of the Bill, on the eleventh line it talks about County Boards of School Trustees. I couldn't find County Boards of School Trustees and I'm not sure that they exist."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

- Leinenweber: "Sure they do. That is the people you elect every four years, usually without opposition."
- Hastert: Well aren't there Regional Boards and School Trustees? In essence isn'tthis what the power of this Bill would take to take the power away from locally elected regional boards of School Trustees?"
- Leinenweber: "Well, it is my understanding they are called County

 Board of School Trustees as opposed to the Regional

 Superintendent of Schools. As I understand there is a

 County Board of School Trustees in every county. I could

 stand to be corrected there."

Hastert: "One other question."

Leinenweber: "I've been told they are called Regional Board."

- Hastert: "My concern I might ask you, if you would yield.

 Doesn't this basically take local control away from local school districts and put it in the hands of the State Board of Education?"
- Leinenweber: "Well as I understand, the local school districts don't have the control now. The control now is in the Regional or County Board of School Trustees in the county of which the Consolidating Districts mainly reside. Which may mean for example, in the situation that you and I are aware of that the Joliet Township High School District nor, neither the Joliet Township High School nor the Will County Board of School Trustees who are, in fact elected, have any say whatsoever, whether they lose 64 million dollars worth of assessed valuation. Now it appears to me, I would rather have, being from Will County, to have some official, however they have to be elected or not is another I happen to favor the election of the State issue. Superintendent of Instruction, but some official that is not beholding to the Consolidating School Districts review this. That is all it does. It reviews it and then it goes

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

to court under Administrative Review and the parties are not satisfied. "

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Bill? "

Speaker Ryan: "Proceed Representative."

"Ladies and Gentlemen of the House of Representatives. I would like to make a couple of points on this First of all, I believe this Bill and it is the best of my information, this Bill would effectively cut out, stop, crumble about four or five consolidations that are taking place in our area in Northern Illinois. There is a one impending in Livingston County. Several in Grundy County. One in DeKalb. And by blocking this or the passage of this Bill and blocking effective consolidations of districts the Regional Board of Trustees that are locally elected gentlemen from your district who, after a hearing, grant whether or not there can be an election, and that the people in each district would elect, duly elect, whether they want to become consolidated or not to become consolidated. This Bill would not only crush local control your school districts at home, it would also crush four or five pending School Districts just in our area of the state, in the north central area of the state, and possibly many all over the state. One other point that I think is very important is today of economy of education ways that we can hold down costs of education by voters by the electorate. A consolidated Board of Education can dollar sixty cents on the education fund. District which this would elevate almost all Districts in the state henceforth that would want to consolidate, would raise that rate to almost three dollars. Ladies and Gentlemen, the idea of local control and those School Districts who have gone on for four or five years and try to go through the process of consolidating, I

38th Legislative Day

- a 'no' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Christensen."
- Christensen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Sponsor of this Bill is absolutely right. He said it's a very simple Bill. All this Bill does is to take the power away from your Regional Board of School Trustees and hand it to the Board of State Education. What we're doing once again, is taking the local power away. We're going to put it in the State Board of Education where we can get a hammerlock on them and make them vote the way we want them to vote. Well, this is exactly what we do not want. I think this is a very, very bad piece of legislation and I think everybody on this side of the aisle ought to vote against it."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative McBroom."
- McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in support of Representative Christensen's position. This Bill is very near and dear to his heart. The area involved is in Representative Christensen's own very back yard. He's absolutely right when he says it will vest more power in the State Board of Education. It seems to me that it's useless duplication and I would hope that the Members on this side would vote 'no' in support of both Representative Christensen and myself."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle, do you seek recognition? Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber, to close."
- Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, before I address some of the remarks that have been raised, I'd like to point out this is my first Bill. And I hope you...It may be my last. In any event, we've heard a lot of talk about local control, but I'd like to point out that both in the

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981.

situations of consolidated School Districts, after this Bill, if it passes, goes into law, be the same as unit Districts. It requires a vote of the people, a referendum. of the people in all the Districts affected, to determine whether or not they want to go ahead with would point out that what we do here consolidation. I today or what the Grundy County school trustees may do to the Joliet township high school District may have grave effects on the amount of money that comes from state aid because you're going to be taking sixty-four million dollars of assessed valuation out of one School District without any say on the part of the people in that School District and give it to another School District which all the say through its county board of trustees which are different from Joliet. This is an equitable Bill. provides some review, but the local control is there. It's a local referendum to determine whether or not one of these Districts ought to be performed. But it oughtn't to be able to be performed at the tremendous detriment of one of the School Districts. An equitable Bill and I ask your support."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 864 pass?' in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by Representative Leverenz to explain his vote." voting 'no'. Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think it's going in the right direction. I heard about this up in m y while attending a meeting of school administrators. over sixty-five million dollar property grab here apparently. Very interesting. The question is, who get of those that travel I-55 between here and Chicago Dow Chemical and Mobile Oil? If you're really concerned with local control, I think you will vote red and leave it in the hands of the people rather than to end up seeing a

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

sizable amount of assessed valuation transferred from one District to the other District and completely collapse and gut the District that will be losing the assessed valuation. It would totally devastating. If local control is with the trustees, I think that's wrong. Local control should be with the voters of the District and that's what this would provide. Thank you."

- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Leinenweber?"
- Leinenweber: "Well, I'm not really going to explain my vote, but

 I don't want the Duff Douglas Award and I would like enough

 votes to maybe hold this thing on Postponed Consideration."
- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Ebbesen to explain his vote. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 29 voting 'yes', 101 voting 'no', 27 voting 'present', and this Bill, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed...er..failed. Is declared lost. Before we go any further here I've had several requests from Members that have Bills on Third Reading they would like to move back to Second. I'11 do my best to accommodate you if you will come to the well, give me the list...leave a list here with the Clerk and maybe we can do that before we get out of here this evening. House Bill 871, Representative Reed. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 871, a Bill for an Act to amend the Snowmobile Registration Safety Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "That's on Short Debate, Representative. You have two minutes to explain your Bill. Representative Reed."
- Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 871 adds to the Snowmobile Act the prohibition to use a snowmobile to hunt, pursue, worry or kill wildlife. It

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

also changes the class C dismean...misdemeanor to class B, consistent with the existing Wildlife Code."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Brummer in opposition. Two minutes."

Brunner: "Can I ask a question of the speaker?"

Speaker Ryan: "Indicates she'll yield."

Brummer: "...of the Sponsor. Does this apply to all wildlife?"

Reed: "Yes, Sir."

Brummer: "Did you have any testimony in Committee regarding the practice in southern Illinois of using snowmobiles to help contain the coyote population which has become a very severe problem?"

Reed: "We did not discuss that. However, I heard that legislation last year before that Committee and there was no reference. That was allowed by law to take place and I think has no impact on this whatsoever."

Brummer: "Well, would this not prohibit the use of snowmobiles in hunting those animals?"

Reed: "Not if it's allowed by law to hunt those animals. That law does not define that you cannot use a snowmobile."

Brunner: "Well, what does the Bill do now?"

Reed: "It does exactly what it says."

Brummer: "And would you tell me what that is?"

Reed: "With those exemptions that are currently on the books.

The coyotes are currently on the books as being exempted."

Brummer: "Well there is an open season with regard to coyotes. I know of nothing, no exemption, currently in the law which says that you..that you cannot use a snowmobile with regard to any type of ...pursuing any type of animal.."

Reed: "Certainly...."

Brummer: "..Maybe I did not understand your explanation. It's very noisy here. Could you..."

Reed: "I simply said that this adds to the Snowmobile Act the

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

prohibition to use the snowmobile to hunt, pursue, worry or kill wildlife as defined in Section 1.2 of the Wildlife Code."

Speaker Ryan: "Your time has expired, Representative.

Representative Brummer?"

Brummer: "Yes. Could I...Could I have ten people to join me to remove this from Short Debate?"

Speaker Ryan: "Don't ask me."

Brummer: "I have ten people. I would request that this be removed from Short Debate."

Speaker Ryan: "The Bill is now removed from the Order of Short

Debate. Representative Reed, did you care to give any
further explanation on your Bill?"

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I assumed and thought the Bill that was passed last year that provided for the taking of coyotes did not have anything to do with this particular piece of legislation where we are trying to protect all other wildlife. If coyotes are..have open season, I assume by Statute, that snowmobiles are exempted and are able to be used to hunt, pursue, worry or kill coyotes."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Well it seems that the question very specifically would be if coyotes are wildlife as defined in Section 1.2T of the Wildlife Code. Now, I don't happen to have a copy of Section 1.2T of the Wildlife Code in my pocket, but I think coyotes are part of wildlife as generally defined under the Wildlife Code. And if they are, this Bill would prohibit the use of snowmobiles in hunting, pursuing, worrying or killing coyotes and I would simply suggest to you that ...or at least to other Nembers of the General Assembly that if that is, in fact, the case, we ought not to be passing this. The reason we had the Bill dealing with

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

wildlife...with covotes last year is because they are defined as wildlife within the Conservation Code and the Bill last year simply dealt with the question of whether we're going to have a closed season or a limited season with regard to wildlife...with regard to coyotes or whether we're going to have a year round season with regard to covotes as we do with regard to some other forms of And if coyotes are included in 1.2T of the wildlife. Wildlife Code, as I think they are, and the obviously doesn't know if they are or are not, this Bill would be very bad for downstate Illinois where coyotes are a continuing problem in killing livestock and in young swine, in sheep and young cows and that is precisely why the Bill was passed, to create an open season with regard to coyotes and one of the methods used to hunt those covotes is snownobiles in the winter. And therefore, we ought to have a 'no' vote with regard to this."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey."
Huskey: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I
want you to think ... I rise in support of this Bill. It's
a good Bill. I want you to think of the days of snow that
you could use a snowmobile in southern Illinois. You can
kill one hell of a lot of deer in a couple of days, but
you're not going to get very many coyotes in those two or
three days of snow that you're allowed to use a snowmobile
in southern Illinois because you haven't got that much snow
in southern Illinois to do that much damage. It's a good
Bill. There could be an awful lot of damage done to the
wildlife that we're trying to protect and why kill a good
Bill for the sake of a few coyotes?"

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'll just reiterate partially what

Representative Huskey said. This snowmobiling can not only

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

extend to coyotes, but it can extend to any other animal that's in existence and we know that when there's a possibility for abuse, it occurs. This legislation is needed. If hunters want to be hunters then let them continue on. Coyotes have been around for a long time. They've been hunted in every form and I certainly think they can be hunted without snowmobiles. I am very proud to support this Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis." Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish Representative Yourell was on the floor. But I think I grew up not far from where Representative Brummer grew up and I can tell you that there's not a sportsman or an outdoorsman in this General Assembly, myself included, that would ever consider hunting 'upland' game or any furred animal on the farm for any reason from a mechanized vehicle. If you want to have a coyote drive you do it on foot. It you want to hunt an animal. you do it on foot. And you give the animal a chance. No more would I hunt one in a helicopter or any mechanized vehicle than I would step out in the field. It's just...It's just crazy. The sportsman would not do I think Representative Brummer is a little misguided on this and I suggest that this is a very good It's protective of wildlife and it's protective of people. If you can imagine a snowmobile doing 40 miles hour with a guy with a shotgun on it, or in a drive where people are criss-crossing and weapons are being used...It's a safety Bill. It's good for wildlife. It's an excellent change and I certainly solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, I think the point's been made. I think that we ought to find some way of changing the law regarding the

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

coyote and classification of it as a wild animal or not. But I don't think we ought to ruin this good Bill by talking about the coyote and how this Bill is going to prevent killing of the coyote. It is a good Bill. There's enough poor animals that have been scared to death, out of their wits and their lives, just by snowmobiles, but let alone hunting on a snowmobile surely gives an unfair advantage to those poor animals. And it's a good Bill and I would urge your support of it."

- Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? Representative Meyer, do you seek recognition?"
- Meyer, Ted: "I believe Representative Hallstrom is seeking recognition."
- Speaker Ryan: "That's what her light indicates on this Board,

 Representative. Representative Halstrom?"
- Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. All I was going to do was move the previous question please. Thank you."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Lady has moved the previous question. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Lady's Motion prevails. Representative Reed to close."
- Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was suggested as a good will gesture on the part of the Illinois Snowmobile Association to show their good faith to the naturalist and the conservationalists and those who love wildlife and to indicate that they had no intention of using any unsportsmanlike means to hunt, kill, pursue or harass any wild animal. I simply ask for your support."
- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 871 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Hardin, Representative Winchester, to explain his vote."
- Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

the House. I stand in support of this Bill and I am quite disturbed that my Gentleman from Effingham would imply that sportsmen in southern Illinois would use vehicles to hunt animals. And, I don't know how they do it in his area, but he does not live in southern Illinois. He lives in central Illinois and we, in southern Illinois, we don't ..we don't hunt animals by vehicle, Representative Brummer. So I would urge a favorable Roll Call. It is supported by the Department of Conservation."

- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Mulcahey, do you care to explain your vote?"
- Mulcahey: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in northern Illinois we hunt them on feet with our bare hands. And I vote an 'aye'."
- Speaker Ryan: "On this question...er..Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 152 voting 'aye', 8 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 872, Representative Reed. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 872, a Bill for an Act to amend the Snowmobile Registration and Safety Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Two minutes to explain your Bill, Representative Reed."
- Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 872 does exactly as the synopsis indicates. It provides that units of local government may authorize by ordinance the operation of a snowoobile within ten feet of the roadway in order to avoid obstructions or hazardous terrain. As most of you know, snowmobiles are allowed to operate within ten feet of the roadway. In a great many instances, there are either massive trees or there will be

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

an obstruction of some kind on roadways that are allowed to snowmobilers. They simply ask the opportunity to go to the affected local units of government and ask for an ordinance to allow them to legally be closer than the ten feet."

- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Smith, do you seek recognition on this issue?"
- Smith, Margaret: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I merely want to present this august Body the lovely wife of Representative John Dunn who is present with us this evening, a Representative from the 9th District. His wife, Mrs. Nora Dunn of Tinley Park is with us this evening. I want to recognize her presence and she was former First Lady of Tinley Park. Mrs. John Dunn, will you please stand?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Nice to have you with us. Are there any opponents to House Bill 872? Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 872 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'.

 Representative Ropp to explain his vote."
- Ropp: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was going to ask a question. I suppose this Bill means that if there were a tree on the other side of ten feet, that the municipalities will have the authority to tell that snowmobiler, 'Be sure and miss the tree.'? Is that what this does? I can see that it does. Thank you."
- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 1...Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 154 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 874. Representative Reilly."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill ..."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker, I have to take this back from Third to

- 38th Legislative Day May 11, 1981

 Second. Would you rather I did that later when you're doing..."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman asks leave to return House Bill 874 to the Order of Second Reading. Are there objections?

 Hearing none, leave is granted. House Bill 874 is now on the Order of Second Reading."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The problem is that when I had this on Second Reading I made the mistake of tabling Committee Amendment #2, when it should have been #1. So I now move to table Committee Amendment #1."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves to table Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 874. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And Amendment #1 is tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Reilly: "I now move to take Committee Amendment #2 from the Table."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves to take Amendment #2 to House Bill 874 from the Table. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Amendment #2 is now adopted. House Bill 874 is on the Order of Third Reading...Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, McClain, amends House Bill 847

 (sic) on page one and line"
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative McClain on Amendment #4 to House Bill 874."
- McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment would provide that regional superintendents with the consent of the local School Board may operation special education classes for children from orphanages, foster family homes, children homes or state housing units. The proposed legislation also allows a regional superintendent to operation those special education classes and claim state reimbursement. As far as

38th Legislative Day

- May 11, 1981
- I know, there is no opposition. And Representative Reilly has concurred in the Amendment."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment
- #4. Is there any discussion? Representative Schneider."
- Schneider: "On that Amendment, Nike, is it the educational service region will operate a school? They don't operate any now, do they?"
- McClain: "Yes, there are. Some regional superintendents in the state that currently operate..."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative McClain, the Amendment's been filed. It hasn't been printed and distributed. Take the Bill out of the record. House...Representative McClain?"
- McClain: "Mr. Speaker, because of the lateness of the week, it's all right with me if we just move the Bill back to Third and then if time permits later in the week, if things are moving, we'll move back to Second. But I think Mr. Reilly ought to have the Bill ...to Third."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Reilly."
- Reilly: "Yes. Mike is being very generous on this. I would like..ask that it be sent to Third."
- Speaker Ryan: "Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Ryan: "874 on House Bill...Third Reading. House Bill 893, Representative Steczo. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 893, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the protection of rights of privacy, Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Steczo, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 893 was sponsored by Representative McCourt and myself and it seeks to enact the Communications Consumer Privacy Act that provides protections both for the industry and the subscribers of

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

these various systems. Amendment #1 does not show in your Digest. It was drafted in consultation with the Illinois, Indiana Cable T.V. Association who are now with the Amendment in support of the Bill. So I would ask for your support on House Bill 893."

- Speaker Byan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Hoffman, do you seek recognition? Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 893 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 129 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no' and 6 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 909, Representative Reilly. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 909, a Bill for an Act to amend the Psychiatrists Registration Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Reilly, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 909, sponsored by Representative Schneider and myself, simply makes available in effect a second opinion in some cases from school psychologists. A lot of times a patient, a parent whose kids are examined, dealt with by the school psychologists in their school system would like a private opinion. It simply provides that people who have a degree and who are licensed school pyschologists can give that privately as well as as part of the school system. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 909 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who

38th Legislative Day

- Wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 138 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 930, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 930, a Bill for an Act to amend the Law Revision Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Two minutes to explain his vote, Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Law Revision Commission was created in 1975 to study the statutory law in Illinois in order to determine which laws were obsolete, out—dated or unnecessary. Since that time, the Commission has done a very commendable job when saving the state millions of dollars, has reduced the statute books substantially and is in the process of reducing it by 700 pages. And I would urge your support to extend the Commission."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 930 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 116 voting 'aye', 30 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 934, Representative Hoffman. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 934, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code and Community College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hoffman, two minutes to explain your Bill."

38th Legislative Day

- Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 934 increases the limitation from 2500 to 5,000 for competitive bid purposes. This 2500 figure was put in ten years ago and inflation has more than exceeded that amount. Amendment #1 was amended to include junior colleges and cities over 500,000 in the Bill or under the auspices of the Bill. And I would ask for your favorable support."
- Wi11 Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative.... Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 934 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 151 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1070, Representative Hoffman. Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1070, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hoffman, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a proposal from the School Problems Commission which hopefully will clarify a problem we have relative to the issuance of certificates backed up by state aid. Had some difficulty...In fact, this may be part of the cause of the problem in Chicago was the argument over whether the law was clear on how much resources and who could hold the funds to use state aid to back up the issuance of certificates. This Bill, the Amendment is the entire Bill which provides that the Board of Education may provide for the appointment of trustee which may be a trust

38th Legislative Day

- company or a bank having the power of a trust company within the state. The trustee then will hold the state aid funds that are ear-marked to pay state aid certificates until there are sufficient funds to pay the maturing certificates. And I would ask for your support."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1070 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 110 voting 'aye', 44 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1071, Representative Hoffman. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1071, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code..."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hoffman? Two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Hoffman: "Out of the record please."
- Speaker Ryan: "Out of the record. House Bill 1081, Representative Telcser. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1081, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Housing Development Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Telcser, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 1081 gives IDHA the authority to make direct loans to low and moderate income persons for home improvements. That's the essence of 1081."
- Speaker Byan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1081 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there

38th Legislative Day

- May 11, 1981
- are 106 voting 'aye', 35 voting 'no' and 7 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1082, Representative Telcser. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1082, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Housing Development Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Telcser, two minutes to explain the Bill."
- Telcser: "Mr., Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 1082 increases the revenue bond authority from 1.1 billion to 1.7 billion for IDHA."
- Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock, two minutes in opposition."
- Bullock: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to explain my vote. I quess I'll proceed to address a couple of issues. But Representative Telcser and I have discussed the Bill. Unfortunately, these Bills got on Third Reading before we had a chance to propose the, what I thought were necessary Amendments to the legislation. I just want to say that I appreciate Representative Telcser's cooperation. Perhaps we can put some of the Amendments on in the Senate. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill attempts to increase for the Illinois Housing Development Authority its bonded authority. I don't have to tell the Members of this Body that for the last three years the Illinois Development Authority has had approximately fifty million dollars in revenue that it's chosen not to utilize and the lending institutions of the state have chosen not to pursue as it relates to 'Long's', to the new residential dwellings in low and moderate income areas. I think the companion Bills that Representative Telcser has as it relates to ... Mr. Speaker? Mr. Speaker? I think my light's going to

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

run out. I was wondering if I could ask a couple of Members to join me on this side of the aisle so we could take the Bill off of Short Debate. Could I have ten Members assist me in moving this Bill off of Short Debate onto Full Debate? There's several issues I want to put on the record. I think we have sufficient numbers, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "Proceed, Representative."

Bullock: "Yes. This, as I said, this Bill is part of a package of Bills that the administration has put in this year which attempt to, in many respects, remove existing mandates that the Legislature has placed as it relates to the utilization revenue bonds for the Illinois Housing Development Authority. I think at a time when we are seeing a cutback the subsidization of housing on the Potomac in Washington, D.C., that we, in the State of Illinois, should be taking a more comprehensive look at housing patterns and the role of state agencies in the housing industry in Illinois. This Bill will give IDHA increased bonding It increases by 1.7 billion the bonded authority for IDHA. I think that what we ought to do, at least at the very least, is to vote 'present' on this Bill. I regret that I didn't have a chance to speak on the previous Bill which one of my seatmates indicated was perhaps the most substantive of the four. But for this reason and many others, and I won't take the time of the House, I think that we should seriously reconsider giving IDHA the latitude that these Bills are intended to by removing what was called the 'Skinner-Kucharski mandates' which mandated that IDHA aggressively pursue making loans in low and moderate income areas for new residential and rehabilitation housing. These Bills will remove those mandates. If you are supportive of that, you'd vote 'aye'.

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

If you are, as I perhaps am at this point, against removing those types of statutory mandates for IDHA, then I guess you'd vote 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser, to close."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, understand the concerns which the previous speaker has regarding his point of view on some Bills in this series of Bills. The fact of the matter is that without this increased authority, the agency will not be able to continue to provide housing throughout the state. Bill fails then the construction of housing which is conducted under the offices of this particular agency will grind to a halt. In order to provide housing the agency must have this increased authority. If the authority is not increased, as I said a few moments ago, housing would discontinue. Now I know that this Gentleman from Cook, who spoke to the Bill, does not want to see that happen. he simply wants to do , as I understand it, is to amend the method by which the housing is accomplished or performed. And as he said, some..one of the Bills has already passed the House and we may be able to address ourselves to that question on the Senate side. I would say this to the Members, we're in the closing days of getting House Bills out of the House. To be taking Bills out of the record to try and work matters out would simply endanger the Bills and their ability to become law. I am confident that the majority of the Members in this chamber this evening want to see this agency continue providing housing for the citizens of Illinois who find the need to turn to this type of financing to provide adequate housing. Everyone in this nation knows that rental housing construction has just about come to a halt and that the only way possible, the

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

only feasible way in almost all instances to build rental housing today, are with agencies such as IDHA. The defeat of House Bill 1082 this evening would be a devastating tragedy to the constituents in this...throughout Illinois who depend upon us to help provide reasonable housing for them. And so, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it is for those reasons that I seek your support for House Bill 1082 and ask you to reflect upon the remarks that the previous speaker made, his assertions that we have talked together, his appreciation of my time and my appreciation for his consideration when he looked over these Bills. Mr. Speaker, Members of this House, I appreciate an affirmative vote."

- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1082 pass?'

 All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by

 voting 'no'. Representative Levin to explain his vote.

 One minute."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my 'aye' vote, I think many of us have had very serious problems with the lack of responsiveness of this agency. And it's with reluctance that I vote 'aye', but I think we have a Bill coming down the pike, House Bill 1060, that many can support which will make this agency a little more responsive to Legislators and to the community groups they represent. Accordingly I vote 'aye'."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff.

 One minute to explain his vote."
- Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Personally I think that this legislation should be defeated. Illinois fortunately has a good bonding rating at this time, but we can't continue raising our bonds, issuing more bonds. We're going to suffer the same thing that many other states have. I think we've got to take a

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

very hard look at any more bonds we issue because we're going to lose the fine rating that Illinois enjoys right now. Therefore, I think it should be defeated."

- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Henry to explain his vote."
- Henry: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I have some experience in dealing with IDHA. I have found in the past that they were hard to deal with. But I am supporting this..."

Speaker Ryan: "Proceed, Representative."

- Henry: "Thank you very much. I am supporting the Bill because I know IDHA needs the money. My District needs homes and apartments and places for people to live. The only way that we can provide this and IDHA can provide it, they must increase their bonding authority. It's just that simple. Now if you don't want to build any homes in your District, then you don't vote for this Bill. But if you want to provide your constituency with some decent housing, then we need this extension. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Friedrich to explain his vote. One minute."
- Priedrich: "Ar. Speaker, Members of the House, I think it should be clarified that these are not general obligation bonds we're talking about. They're revenue bonds and will not affect the credit of the state one way or the other. Now if you think we need some money for income...for housing for low income people, then this is the way to go. It's the only game in town and, for the life of me, could not understand the opposition of some people across the aisle who apparently don't want any money for low income groups. This is the only game in town. It will not affect the state's credit rating."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kucharski to explain his vote. One

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

minute."

- Kucharski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. While I agree that it appears that IDHA has not been moving in this area quickly enough, I think it goes far beyond that. It is not solely IDHA's responsibility concerning the bonding authority. It has to follow federal regulations and time requirements that they have set up. IDHA's intention is to follow the programs that we have supplied through legislation and I believe they will with legislation that enables them, legislation such as this. Thank you."
- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr.

 Clerk. On this question there are 96 voting 'aye', 53

 voting 'no' and 6 voting 'present'. Representative

 Bullock, do you seek recognition?"
- Bullock: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to verify the Roll Call."

 Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman asks for verification of the Roll

 Call. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk. Representative

 Ewing."
- Ewing: "Could I have a ruling from the Chair as to how many votes this takes to pass?"

Speaker Ryan: "Eighty-nine."

Ewing: "For bonding authority only 89?"

Speaker Ryan: "These are revenue bonds. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees: Bradley. Chapman.

Deuchler. DiPrima..."

Speaker Ryan: "DiPrima, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Doyle. Epton. Ewing. Garmisa. Huff. Kane...."

Speaker Ryan: "Kane, 'no'."

Clerk Leone: "Kornowicz. Krska. Margalus. McCourt. McGrew.
O'Connell. Pierce. Schuneman. Stearney. Sam Wolf. And,
Yourell."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Doyle, do you seek recognition?

Record Representative Doyle as 'aye'. Are there any other

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

changes? What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative Bullock, there are now 98 voting 'aye', 54 voting 'no'. Will the Clerk poll the 'yes' votes?"

Clerk Leone: "Abramson. Alstat. Balanoff. Barnes. Bianco..." Speaker Ryan: "Mr. Clerk, the Gentleman withdraws his request for a verification. On this question there are 98 voting 'aye', 54 voting 'no' and 6 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1019 (sic), Representative Hoffman."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1091, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hoffman? Two minutes to explain your Bill."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to House Bill 1091 is the Bill. It's the School Problem's Commission proposal which deals with the sale of school property by the School Board. The price does not matter. If no Boards are received they may accept a written, or oral offer equal to or greater than the established minimum and a licensed real estate broker may be utilized to seek buyers if necessary. And if the School Board lowers the minimum selling price, the public sale procedure must then again be followed. This came out of our hearings. There's a problem in...which is...the Jacksonville School District faced on the sale of some of their property and this clarifies their authority to do what they need to do in the Act. And I would ask for your support."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1091 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

are 151 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1112, Representative Stuffle. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1112, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Stuffle, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. House Bill 1112 passed the Pension and Personnel Committee on a unanimous vote. It simply takes care of the remaining closed group of about 300 university annuitants who do not now receive the three percent annual cost adjustment in their pensions. This applies only to those people who have retired prior to August 15th, 1969. And they have to be at least 65 years of age to receive this benefit. As I said, it's for a closed group of people. The Bill is supported by the State Pension Laws Commission and I would appreciate an affirmative Boll Call on the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels, two minutes in opposition." Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I

reluctantly... and I'm sure each and every one of us are concerned about increased costs today throughout cost of living and also about our former employees who have served our state well. But in looking at this Bill, I reluctantly must rise in opposition. The cost in the accrued liability would be \$500,000. There is a \$25,000 increase in the first year pay out. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if ever there's a time when we must conserve on our funds, watch our state expenditures, now is the time. This is not the time that we should be passing Bills to

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

increase state university's retirement system benefits for those who have retired before 1965, when they've had less than 15 years of service. That's what this Bill does. It changes the benefits. It costs the state a great deal of money and of any times that we've had right now, we must be very careful on the expenditures that this state faces. I ask you to be very, very careful in looking at this Bill. I suggest to you that this is a Bill that you should oppose i the interests of our economic condition of this state at this time. Thank you."

- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, "Shall House Bill 1112 pass?"

 All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by

 voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative

 Stuffle to explain his vote. One minute."
- Stuffle: "Yes. Mr. Speaker. 1 understand the concern Representative Daniels has expressed. I would reiterate that this Bill is a meager cost item. It covers people who average in the mid 70's in age, only 300 in number, and it in fact is a group of people who average around \$100.00 a month in their pension benefits. It provides them only a three percent increase and again, reiterate, it was unanimously approved by the Committee and supported by State Pension Laws Commission."
- Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr.

 Clerk. On this question there are 90 voting 'aye', 60

 voting 'aye' (sic)..'no', and 10 voting 'present'.

 Representative Telcser."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, verification."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman asks for a verification.

 Representative Stuffle asks for a verification...poll of
 the absentees."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees: Bradley. Davis. Epton.

 Garmisa. Giorgi. Grossi. Huff. Jackson. Levin..."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Record me 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Margalus. O'Connell. Schuneman. Stearney.

Topinka. Younge. And, Yourell."

Speaker Ryan: "Are there any changes? The vote is now 91 'aye',
60 'no'. Representative Telcser. Poll the 'yes' votes.
Read the 'yes' votes."

Clerk Leone: "Alexander. Alstat. Balanoff. Beatty. Braun. Breslin. Brunner. Bullock. Capparelli. Carey. Chapman. Cullerton. Currie. Darrow. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn, Ralph Dunn. Ewell. Farley. Giglio. Greiman. Hanahan. Henry. Jaffe. Getty. Johnson. Jones. Kane. Katz. Keane. Dick Kelly. Rosinski. Krska. Kulas. Kustra. Laurino. Kornowicz. Lechovicz. Leon. Leverenz. Levin. Madigan. Matijevich. Mautino. McBroom. McClain. McGrew. McMaster. Miller. Mulcahey. Murphy. Neff. Oblinger. O'Brien. Ozella. Pechous. Pierce. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Rhem. Richmond. Robbins. Ronan. Saltsman. Redmond. Satterthwaite. Schneider. Schraeder. Slape. Irv Smith. Margaret Smith. Stanley. Steczo. E.G. Steele. Stewart. Stuffle. Turner. Van Duyne. Vinson. Vitek. White. Wikoff. Sam Wolf. Woodyard. And, Zito."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McCormick, do you seek recognition?

Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. What's the count, Mr.

Clerk? There are now 92 voting 'aye', 60 voting 'present'...'no', and 9 voting 'present'. Representative Telcser. Ninety-two to sixty. Do you have any questions?

Any questions, Representative Peters? ...er..Telcser?"

Telcser: "Representative Balanoff?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Balanoff, in her chair."

Telcser: "Representative Betty."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Beatty? Is Representative Beatty

on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Telcser: "Representative Breslin."

Speaker Ryan: "Return Beatty to the Roll Call. He just came into

the chambers. Representative Breslin?...."

Telcser: "Representative Capparelli?..."

Speaker Ryan: "Breslin, in her chair. Who?"

Telcser: "Capparelli."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Capparelli on the floor? In his chair."

Telcser: "Representative DiPrima."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative DiPrima, at his chair."

Telcser: "Representative Donovan."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Donovan in his chair."

Telcser: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Flinn? Representative Flinn on the

floor? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is he

recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll."

Telcser: "Representative Hanahan."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hanahan on the floor? Is the

Gentleman in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Telcser: "Representative Keane?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Keane is in his chair."

Telcser: "Representative Kulas."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kulas in the chamber? The

Gentleman's in his chair."

Telcser: "Representative Leon?"

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Leon? Representative Leon in the

chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Telcser: "Representative McGrew."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative McGrew in the chamber?

Representative McGrew? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call. Return Representative Leon."

Telcser: "Representative Murphy."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Murphy is in his chair."

Telcser: "Representative Pierce."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Pierce, recorded as not voting, I believe. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Is Representative Pierce in the chamber?

Representative Pierce. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Telcser: "Representative Robbins."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Robbins in the chamber?

Representative Robbins. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Telcser: "Representative Satterthwaite?"

Speaker Ryan: "In her chair."

Telcser: "Representative Schneider."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Schneider in the chamber? Right here in front."

Telcser: "Representative Jaffe."

Speaker Ryan: "Is Representative Jaffe in the chamber?..."

Telcser: "Representative Stanley."

Speaker Ryan: "Jaffe's here. Representative Stanley?

Representative Stanley in the chamber? How is the

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Telcser: "Representative Van Duyne."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Van Duyne? In his chair."

Telcser: "Representative White?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative White? In his chair."

Telcser: "Representative Smith, Irv Smith."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Smith in the chamber? Irv Smith?

No. No. The other Representative Smith. Irv Smith. Is

that right, Representative Telcser? Irv Smith?"

Telcser: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Ryan: "Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Remove him."

Telcser: "Representative Kane. Oh, he's in his seat. That's all, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative
Bowman?"

Bowman: "Please change me from 'present' to 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Change Mr. Bowman from 'present' to 'aye'. Are there any other changes? Representative Leverenz, do you seek recognition?"

Leverenz: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Ryan: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye"."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ropp, do you seek recognition?"

Ropp: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. How am I recorded?"

Speaker Ryan: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Ropp: "Kindly change me to 'yes' please."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

- Speaker Ryan: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'yes'.

 Representative Hannig, do you seek recognition?"
- Hannig: "Mr. Speaker, would you please record me as 'aye'?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Record the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'. Are there any other changes?"
- Telcser: "Representative Kustra, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kustra in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"
- Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."
- Speaker Ryan: "Remove him from the Roll Call. All right. Are there any further questions? Representative Telcser? No further questions. Are there any changes? On this there are 87 'aye'... voting Change question Representative Grossi from 'no' to 'aye' ... er. record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Last call. Are there any other changes? On this question there are 88 voting 'aye', 58 voting 'no' and 9 voting 'present'. Representative Stuffle?"

Stuffle: "Postponed."

- Speaker Ryan: "Postponed Consideration. House Bill 1126, Representative Stuffle. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1126, a Bill for an Act relating to the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Beading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "This is RepresentativeBradley's Bill.

 Representative Bradley in the chamber? Representative

 Bradley? Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill

 1172, Representative Koehler. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1172, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the state fair. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Koehler, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Koehler: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

object of this amended Bill is to establish an account that would allow the Department of Agriculture to pay ticket refunds for cancelled events, premiums and awards. The account would receive funds appropriated from the Agricultural Premium Fund, Standard Bred Breeders Fund and the Thouroughbred Breeders Fund, in conjunction with operations of the state fair. This Bill was developed in consultation with and in cooperation with the Comptroller's Office, the Governor's Office, the Bureau of the Budget and the Department of Agriculture. I would urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? Representative Mautino in opposition?"

Mautino: "Yes."

Speaker Ryan: "Two minutes."

Mautino: "Thank you very much. Speaker and Ladies Mr. Gentlemen of the House, I believe the Sponsor of this legislation, unless it has been amended in a different has not told you exactly what was in it. I believe that this is the legislation that pays back to the who are going to the state fair money if it happens to rain during the day. In other words, if someone goes into the fair at eight o'clock in the morning and it rains at eight o'clock this evening, I believe this leigslation authorizes that those people going through the gates get their money I'm not quite certain with all the funds that we've had problems with with the state fair that this is the proper posture to take. I would wonder just exactly how much this might cost, but I think it's an interesting thing to examine if, in fact, this is the case. I didn't have a chance to see any Amendments on it, but I think that's

Koehler: "Mr. Representative, this problem was addressed in

...It has two Amendments?"

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Amendment #1 and it is not for just going through the gate. It is only for events cancelled in the grandstand if it would be rained out or cancelled due to the fact that the entertainer did not show up."

Mautino: "Okay. Thank you very much, then, Mrs. Sponsor."

Koehler: "You're welcome."

All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 134 voting 'aye', 9 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. And it's the Lady's first Bill. Congratulations. House Bill 1236, Representative Hoffman. Read the Bill. It's the intent of the Chair to finish this Order of Business which is about ten more Bills from the one we're on and then adjourn. And since we may not get to thereturn these Bills back to the Order of Second Reading. Representative Hoffman...er..Mr. Clerk, read 1236."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1236, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Hoffman, two minutes to explain your Bill."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Bill does exactly as indicated in the Digest. It clarifies the language in terms of the use of regional board of school trustees for county board of school trustees as a board which may join with other School Districts in agreement for joint units of sites and buildings. And I move for the passage of Hous Bill 1236."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1236 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 144 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1237, Representative Hoffman. Read it."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1237, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman...Representative Hoffman, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Bill does exactly as the Digest indicates. It changes the reference from the Civil Defense Agency to Emergency Services Act Agency which is now the new terminology for the Civil Defense Agency in order to make schools available for defense shelters. I move for the adoption of House Bill 1237. Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of 1237."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn. Two minutes in opposition."
- Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I would think a man with a doctor's degree
 wouldn't have to mumble so much, even if it is a 'merely'
 Bill."
- Hoffman: "This is not a Bill on elocution. This is a Bill on..."

 Speaker Ryan: "Is there any...Any discussion? The question is,

 'Shall House Bill 1237 pass?' All in favor will signify by

 voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted

 who wish? Take the record, Nr. Clerk. On this question

 there are 156 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none

 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the

 Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House

 Bill 1252. Representative Hoffman. Read the Bill."

38th Legislative Day

- May 11, 1981
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1252, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Two minutes to explain your Bill, Representative
 Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1252 does exactly as the Digest indicates. It clarifies, well actually, it codifies the ..these two..three paragraphs from the School Code to bring the language up to date to the current usage for State Board of Education and Regional Superintendent. I would appreciate your support for House Bill 1252."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1252 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 146 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1364, Representative Telcser. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1364, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Housing Development Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Telcser, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 1364 removes the requirement that the interest rate on notes and bonds issued by IDHA after January 1st, 1982 shall not exceed 10.75% until July 1st, 1983 at which time the 10.75% limit shall again be reimposed unless the General Assembly at that time wishes to lift it."
- Speaker Byan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1364 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 124 voting 'aye', 15 voting 'no', and 11 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1414, Representative Bluthardt. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1414, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bluthardt, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Bluthardt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

 House Bill 1414 requires that taxes which are collected but

 not distributed to the proper authorities be invested by

 the Treasurer in the same manner as all other public funds.

 The interest which is compiled from these funds along with

 the principle shall be distributed on the first day of each

 month. House Bill 1414 states that the interest which this

 money gathers along with the principle amount of taxes will

 be distributed on the first day of each month. This is the

 same schedule which is currently used for the distribution

 of other tax monies and penalties. Another provision of

 the Bill will serve to curb possible misuse of tax funds

 and to clear up what might be considered an ambiguous part

 of the Revenue Act of 1939. I would solicit your support."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1414 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 134 voting 'aye', 12 voting 'no' and 7 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1503, Representative Nelson. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1503, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Two minutes to explain your Bill, Representative Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of This Bill does as it says in the Digest and synopsis that you have. It was voted unanimously out of the Cities and Villages Committee and it has the support of the Municipal League. I know of no opposition to the Bill. What it does is conform to the general interest rate law that was passed by the Eighty-First General Assembly. When cities at the present time levy special assessments, they want to undertake some kind of improvement in the village, they can issue bonds and vouchers in anticipation of collections. This interest rate can fluctuate and they can also charge interest rate. As it is now under the statutues that is set by law at seven percent. But we passed in the last Session a law, the general interest rate law, which would allow it to be set at either the greater of nine percent or seventy percent of the prime rate. I would ask for your affirmative vote on House Bill 1503. Thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1503 pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 148 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. And it's the Lady's first Bill. House Bill 1619. Representative Catania. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1619, a Bill for an Act in relationship to domestic relations, domestic violence shelters. Third

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Reading of the Bill."

- Speaker Ryan: "Two minutes to explain your vote. Representative Catania."
- Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1619 increases the marriage license fee by \$10.00 and the divorce filing fee by \$5.00 to generate funds for a domestic violence shelter and service fund in the State The problem is that the 1.7 million dollars of Treasury. Title 20 federal money which now is used to run the Illinois Coalition Against Domestic Violence may or may not continue to be available money. So the people who provide the services in the domestic violence shelters around the state to about ten thousand people annually, even though they recognize that about thirty-five thousand annually need their services, asked that this mechanism be established to generate the extra funds. Ιt is that would be administered by the Department of Public Aid just as the Title 20 funds are now administered. could contract with a not-for-profit corporation as they do The Illinois Coalition Against Domestic Violence is DOAthe agency that runs the program. So this would simply fund a group that's now in place that would fit into the existing mechanism and it would generate money without dipping into the General Revenue Fund or without relying on the currently very unreliable federal funds. So I would ask for your support."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

 Representative Getty, two minutes in opposition."
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I don't really want to rise in opposition, but I have a sincere question that I think we should clear up for legislative intent purposes. Representative Catania, would you explain the mechanism by which the money is withheld, where it is held, for how long before it goes

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

into the State Treasury?"

- Catania: "All right. The way it is specified in the Bill, it simply says that there shall be an increase in the marriage license fee and an increase in the divorce filing fee, that it will go into a domestic violence shelter and service fund and if there's additional language needed to establish the mechanism, we certainly can put that in in the Senate, but this is the way that it's now specified in the Bill."
- Getty: "As I understand it, that is in the State Treasury's. Is that correct?"
- Catania: "That's right. It's a special fund in the State
 Treasury."
- Getty: "All right. My problem goes to the original withholding.

 For example, would the County Clerk be required to have a separate check written out for this surcharge and would the Clerk of the Circuit Court be required to have a separate check written out for the surcharge regarding the divorce filings? And would they be empowered to hold that for a period of time and what would that turnover time be?"
- Catania: "This does not require that there be any separate surcharge made in a separate check. It could be all be collected in one check unless they prefered to do it otherwise in which case they could, and it does not stipulate any time for which it has to be held."
- Getty: "All right. Then what you're saying is that the funds, the additional funds collected, could be collected by the Clerk, the County Clerk and the Clerk of the Circuit Court respectively and 'comingeled' with other funds so collected until they were turned over to...."
- Speaker Ryan: "Proceed, Representative. We'll give you another thirty seconds."
- Getty: "...To the State Treasury. Is that correct?"
 Catania: "That's correct, Representative Getty."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Getty: "Thank you."

- Speaker Ryan: "Any further discussion? We're on Short Debate.

 The question is, 'Shall House Bill 16...'...Representative

 Friedrich, do you seek recognition?"
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, there are still a number of questions back here and when I realized we're on Short Debate, but for that reason, I think this is a pretty important and I hesitate to do this. But we're not going to be allowed to ask questions and I would like to move that we take it off of Short Debate and I'd ask nine others to join me."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Catania, do you care to talk any more about your Bill or answer questions or...For you fight fans, Cooney knocked Norton out in the first round so the fight is over. Took him 54 seconds to do it.

 Representative Johnson on House Bill 1619."
- Johnson: "Representative Catania, I haven't heard your response and I assume that you have answered it earlier. Am I correct in saying that the analysis is correct in.. that this Bill would increase the marriage license up to, in the amount of \$10.00 and the filing fee for divorce in the amount of \$5.00? Is that right?"

Catania: "That is correct."

Johnson: "I don't dispute what Representative Catania is trying to do. But it is funny around here how we talk about and are all concerned about tax increases. We are all against tax increases, but if we raise revenue other ways, it still costs the taxpayers in the general sense money, then it's ok. If domestic violence shelter and service fund is necessary, and perhaps it is, it ought to be out of General Revenue Funds, and we ought to face it specifically, rather than imposing an indirect tax on people, through an increase in marriage license fees and an increase in filing fees for divorces. That is a backhanded way of going about

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

achieveing a result. And while the objective may be good I don't know how much money this is going to raise but we ought to look at it in a straight forward way and you either are or aren't for a tax increase to fund this. Because that is what it is, whether you call it a license fee increase and a filing fee increase or it is simply call it some kind of increase in State spending. It still comes out of the taxpayers pockets. And for those reasons, and for the reason that I think this problem ought to be approached in a comprehensive way, namely by the Greiman Bill, and related substansive matters, rather than by appropriations in the back door. I would urge people to yote 'No' on this."

Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn, John."

Dunn, John: "Br. Speaker, just to indicate that everyone who voted

for the domestic violence Bill ought to vote for this Bill.

This is a Bill which you provide a place for people to go

who are involved in the disputes, which give rise to the

subject matter, that generated House Bill 366, which passed

the General Assembly last week. If your involved in a

situation like this and your freightened and you have no

where to go, you... this Bill would help you alleviate that

situation, provide a place to go. I recommend that

everyone ...just those who voted for House Bill 366, vote

for this Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Karpiel."

Karpiel: "Yes, I would like to ask the Sponsor a question please?"

Speaker Ryan: "She indicated she'll yield."

Karpiel: "Representative Catania, I just wondered why is there the inclusion that 75% of the funds generated in Cook County would have to be spent in Cook County only? Why is that included in the Bill?"

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Catania: "This is because a significant portion of the funds are generated in Cook County anyway but so that 25% of the funds in Cook County could be used in smaller counties that don't generate their own fund if necessary. But it would put a floor in, so that Cook County would be assured that no more than that could go outside Cook County. Right now, in fact, there is a real scarcity of shelters in Cook County, and it was thought that perhaps as long as 75% would guarantee, there might be some encouragement to Aldermen for instance to say that they would be willing to have such shelters in their wards. There has been a certain reluctance in some cases to do this. So it was an effort to meet both of those needs."

Karpiel: "Alright, thank you. "

Catania: "It is very carefully thought out by the people who currently run the shelters and the services. And as I said earlier, they did ask that it be kept in the Department of Public Aide as the administrator, because that is how it runs now. And they are quite satisfied with that relationship."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentlemen from Winnebago, Representative
Mulcahey." Representative
Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Move the previous question, Mr.Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'aye's' have it, and the motion prevails. The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania to close."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. As I pointed out at the beginning, this Bill is needed so that we can generate funds to keep going the shelters and services that we now have. It is a network around the state the Coalition of Domestic Violence, and it is at

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

their request that we are proposing this Legislation. They are currently funded with 1.7 million dollars , of Title twenty funds, which may or may not stay in existence. However, they serve only 10 thousand people of the 35 thousand people who really need of the service. is just an effort to make sure the service is maintained, even though it really needs to be expanded. estimated that this would generate about 1.5 million dollars, so you see doesn't even come up to the current 1.7 And if the Gentleman from Champaign million dollars. really want to introduce Legislation to take it from the General Revenue Fund, I'd certainly be happy to discuss that with him and perhaps even support it. As I'm sure he knows, being a good attorney, the Greiman Bill, House Bill 366, did address only the legal situation and in provided for any funding. This is what we need to fund the we hope will provided with the good services that foundation provided by 366. Please vote 'yes'."

- Speaker Ryan: "The question is, shall House Bill 1619 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Frederich, D., to explain his vote."
- Prederich, D.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to ask the Sponsor how much money this was expected to raise, but I never had the opportunity. But I would assume that we're talking about a lot of money here, and we are talking about a big tax increase. I think I would join Representative Johnson in saying I didn't know that marriage and divorce is suppose to be a revenue raising a project. A...and now we are turning it ... it looks like we are really scraping the bottom of the barrel when we go to these two sources to raise money for this cause. So I'm going to oppose the Bill. I think, I don't think this is the appropriate place

38th Legislative Day
to get it."

May 11, 1981

- Speaker Ryan: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite, to explain her vote."
- Satterthwaite: "Nr. Speaker and Members of the House. Many times
 we've been told there is no such thing as a free lunch. If
 we are not going to provide the Federal monies that have
 been available in the past, then we are simply looking for
 a substitute mechanisim. It seems entirely appropriate
 that those who are either seeking the marriage license or
 those who are seeking to disolve a marriage, would bear the
 major cost of these programs if they are not being paid for
 out of General Revenue Funds or Federal Funds. We find
 that the spouse abuse situation is growing in magnitude,
 and I would strongly urge that every Member support this
 measure, as one small step toward giving to the abused
 parties a little bit of hope a little bit of respite so
 that they can pull their lives back together in a
 constructive way. "

Speaker Ryan: "The Lady from Kane, Representative Zwick."

- Zwick: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would just like to urge a 'yes' vote on what I consider a very important measure. I'm glad to see the way the vote is going up there. The... this discoverment seems to be going toward the concept of user fees, and effect that is what this is. This is a user fee. And it is not a tax increase. We desperately need..we, we...thank you. Thank you Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Ryan: "The count is now 116 Representative Zwick.

 Continue."
- Zwick: "I will end with that. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Ryan: "Now it's Representative Nelson's turn to explain her vote."
- Nelson: "No, no, no. Thank you, thank you, for all the 'Yes' votes."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Ropp would like to explain his vote."
- Ropp: "No, Nr. Speaker, I strongly support a users fee and I think this is very disproportionate. Your increasing by \$10 those people who are in love, and they certainly don't need it. You ought to increase the people who are going to need it, when they are getting divorced. So it is a highly unfair tax."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Barkhausen."
- Barkhausen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as one of the single Members of this body, it occurs to me that I might have a conflict of interest in voting on the proposed increase in the marriage fee, or an abusers fee. Call it what you will, but I assure all of you that I will vote my conscience."
- Speaker Ryan" "Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 118 voting 'aye', 35 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House BILL 1620. Representative Telcser. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1620, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Housing Development Act. Third Reading of the
 Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. This Bill allows IDA to make or purchase mortgages for existing residential structures, as well as newly constructed rehabilitated residential structures."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1620 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

- wish? All voted who wish. Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 104...106 voting 'aye', 37 voting 'no', and 10 voting 'present', and this having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1653, Representative Mautino. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1653, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the Convayance of Public Land. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Martino, two minutes to explain your Bill."
- Martino: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Last session Ex-Representative Burndige, and Ex-Representative Anderson passed Public Act 81-133, which was House Bill 3359, it conveyed property from Deer Park to the Department of Conservation and vice versa, this Legislation has Deer Park pay the Department of Conservation \$34,900. That is what it does. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1653 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 147 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1807, Representative Koehler. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1807, a Bill for an Act in Conveyance of the Public Lands. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Lady from Marshall, Representative Koehler, to explain your Bill."
- Koehler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

is a Bill authorizing the Department of Conservation to exchange parcels of property in Marshall County. Polk County, and Lake County. It provides for a land exchange. The Department of Conservation has stated that all parties have agreed to the swap. I would ask your favorable vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1807 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record Hr. Clerk. On this question there are 141 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and 5 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. ... vote. We won't be able to take these Bills back to Second tonight but we will do it in the morning. Change of vote Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Ewing requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill 1653. Represestentative Katz requests a vote 'no' on House Bill 909. Representative Smith requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill 1364. Representative Smith, Margaret Smith requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill 1236. Representative Smith requests a 'aye' 1091. Margaret vote OD Representative Braun requests a vote 'aye' on House Bills 1081 and 1082. Representative Dunn. Jack Dunn, requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill 1081. Representative Zwick requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill 1081. Representative Huskey requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill 1807. Representative Polk requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill 1172. Representative Deuchler requests a vote 'no House Bill 930. Representative Winchester requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill 1071. Representative Findley requests to vote 'no' on House Bill 545. Representative Slape Representative requests a vote 'present'on Houe Bill 545. Slape requests a vote 'present' on House Bill 545. Representative Levin requests vote 'no' on House Bill 430.

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Representative Jaffe requests a vote 'no' on House Bill Representative... Barkhausen requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill 162, 377, and 410. Representative Greiman on House Bill 430. requests a vote 'no' Representative Margaret Smith requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill Representative Bower requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill Representative Bower requests a vote 'aye' on 377. Representative Margaret Smith requests a vote Bill 162. 'aye' on House Bill 786. Representative Katz requests a vote 'no' on House Bill 543. Representative Johnson requests a vote 'ave' on House Bills 410, 430, 600, 685. Representative Margaret Smith requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill 545. Representative Balanoff requests to 'aye' on House Bill 530. Representative Balanoff requests to vote 'aye' on House Bill 162. Representative Balanoff requests to vote 'aye' Rouse Bill 377. on Representative Balanoff requests to vote 'present' on House Balanoff requests to Bill 410. Representative 'present' on House Bill 430. Representative Balanoff requests to vote 'aye' on House Bill 448. Representative Balanoff requests to vote 'present' on House Bill 523. Representative Balanoff requests to vote 'aye'on House Bill 543. Representative Balanoff requests to vote 'aye' on-House Bill 546. Representative Balanoff requests to vote 'aye' on House Bill 547. Representative Balanoff requests 'aye' on House Bill 600. Representative Balanoff requests to vote 'aye' on House Bill 647. Representative requests to vote 'aye' Balanoff on House Bill Representative Balanoff requests a vote 'aye' on House Bill Representative Balanoff requests a vote 'present' on 699. House Bill 786. Representative Balanoff requests to vote 'present' on House Bill 812. "

Speaker Ryan: "Further requests for the change of vote. Are

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted.

Representative Telcser. Wait just a minute.

Representative Madigan, do you have any excused absences? "

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker the records show that Representative O'Connell is excused because of Government business. Representative Bradley is excused because of illness."

Speaker Ryan: "The record will so indicate. Representative Telcser. Do you have any excused absences?"

Telcser: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Representative Margalus is absent because of illness."

Speaker Ryan: "The record will indicate. I would also like to announce that Representative Margalus underwent bypass surgury this morning, had three bypasses. I understand he is stable and doing very well. He is at Michael Reese Hospital in Chicago, where he will be in Intensive Care for several days and then out. The reports are good. Representative Madigan do you have any further announcements? "

Madigan: "What time do we convene in the morning Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Ryan: "Eight A.M. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Allowing five or ten minutes for perfunctory time for the Clerk, I now move that the House stand adjourned until 8 A.M. tommorow morning, Tuesday."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, what provision have you made for those who wish attend the A.M. mass?"

Speaker Ryan: "They can get in at 7:30."

Madigan: "The mass starts at 8 o'clock."

Speaker Ryan: "Are you serious?"

Madigan: "Yes, is that true? Eight o'clock"

Speaker Ryan: "I would say that they can go to mass. That's probably more important than being here."

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker have you really taken into consideration all of the Catholics in the body?"

Speaker Ryan "It is the first request I've had Representative."
Madigan: "You didn't think of us."

Speaker Ryan: "I think of you all of the time. But not in terms of church, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Your getting better."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves the House stand adjourned until the hour of 8 A.M. Tuesday May the 12th. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'aye's' have it, and the House now stands adjourned."

Clerk Leone: "Committee Reports. Representative J.J. Wolfe. from the Committe on Appropriations, which Chairman following Bills were referred action taken May 8th, back with the following reported the same recommendations. Do pass as Amended House Bills 108, 493, 494, 495, 530, 588, and 591. Do pass as Amended House Bill 585. Do pass as Amended House Bill 766. Pirst Reading. Senate Bill 871, a Bill for an Act to provide for the Appropriations of certain agencies. Representative J.J. Wolf, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 872, Representative J.J. Wolf, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making Appropriations part of the ordinary and contingent distributive expenses of Department of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 873, J.J. Wolf, Braun, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act making Appropriations for the Ordinary and Contingent Expenses and Dangerous Drugs Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 874... First Reading and Introduction of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 871, J.J.Wolf, a Bill for an Act to provide for the Appropriations of certain Agencies. First Reading of the Bill . Senate Bill 872, a Bill for an Act to amend

38th Legislative Day

May 11, 1981

sections of an Act making Appropriations of the ordinary and contingent and distributive expenses Department of Corrections. First reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 873, J.J. Wolf, Braun, a Bill for an Act amending sections of an Act making appropriations of the ordinary and contingent expenses of Dangerous Drugs Commission. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 874, J.J. Wolf, a Bill for an Act amending sections in the Act making Appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses Department of Veteran Affairs. First Reading of the Bill. No further business. The House now stands adjourned."