

Doorkeeper: "Attention, Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in five minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "Recognition? Representative Macdonald. Thank you. Thank you. Where's Representative Hallstrom? She's not in her seat. The Lady from Evanston... That's a suburb of Evanston, isn't it? The House will come to order, the Members please be in their seats. We will be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Thomas Carlyle wrote: Blessed is he who has found his work. Let him ask no other blessedness. He is the greatest of all. Let us pray. Almighty God, as we come to that holy season when we remember the birth of he who came not to be ministered to but to minister, grant that each of us who have been called to serve in this House of Representatives may approach our duties with singleness of purpose, devoutly dedicated to the effectual working of our democracy. May each of us who here labour be grateful that we may share with Thee in bringing peace on earth through good will and good government in this State of Illinois, through Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "The Pledge of Allegiance. Representative Ropp."

Members: "Pledge of Allegiance."

Speaker Redmond: "Adjournment Resolution, Representative

Giorgi."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 115. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eighty-First General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when both Houses adjourn on Friday, December 5, 1980, they stand adjourned until Wednesday, January 14, 1981 at 10:00 a.m."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I move for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution till January 14th."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Resolution is adopted. There any reason we can't move Senate Bills, Second Reading, 2038, Mr. Clerk? Have you got any Amendments or anything? Top of the page? Senate Bills, Second Reading. 2038."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2038. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making an appropriation to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. We'll stand at ease until the skirmishers go out and bring in some bodies. Representative Deuster, you're head of the scouts and skirmishers. Will you go out and..."

Senator Schaeffer: "If I could presume on the Membership of the House while you're standing at ease, we have asked the Speaker for permission to explain to you exactly

what we've been doing up here and simply put, we've been honoring one of your outgoing Members, Dick Mugalian, as the Past Chairman of the State Mental Health Commission. Dick has made a very sizable contribution and we've been presenting him with a plaque in recognition of his efforts on behalf of the mentally ill and developmentally disabled in this state and we just want to let you know that sometimes our efforts here do go with some recognition. Certainly, Representative Mugalian deserves that recognition."

Mugalian: "Thank you. I want to thank all of my colleagues for making my job that much easier. Dr. Pat Hastings, who's back there, and my secretary, Cec Durst', and all of the staff without whom none of our good works could be possible here. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VonBoeckman, was that yourself, Mautino, and Stuffle? Is that correct? Okay. The House will be in order. Roll Call for attendance. On Supplemental Calendar #1, that's been... Motions. Representative Leon on the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion. Pursuant to Rule 63(a), I move to take Senate Bill 1338 from the table and return to the Calendar on the Order of Third Reading. Representative John Leon."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker. Pursuant to Rule 63, I move to have Senate Bill 1338 taken back to Second Reading...placed on the Calendar on the Order of Third Reading. Taken from the table. I need 107 votes for..."

Speaker Redmond: "There's no Calendar on this. This is a

motion. But the Calendar #1 concerns that in the event that this motion carries. The question is on Representative Leon's motion that. We'll get a transcript for you. We'll have it transcribed in Taiwan. Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, is this on a Supplemental Calendar, or where are we? I don't have any Supplemental on my desk."

Speaker Redmond: "There should be a Supplemental... This motion is not on the Calendar. This is a motion that does not have to be on the Calendar. But if it carries, the motion is that it be placed on the Calendar and then there is a Supplemental Calendar #1 in anticipation of the passage of this motion."

Darrow: "All right. I was under the impression there was a Supplemental."

Speaker Redmond: "There is, but this motion isn't on it. Like the motion for adjournment is not on it. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker. The Digest indicates that the House Sponsor of this Bill is Representative Kornowicz. And I'm wondering how Representative Leon is handling this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The Senate Sponsor requested that Representative Leon handle it."

Pullen: "That's nice."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is on Representative Leon's motion that Senate Bill 1338 be taken from the table and placed on the Order of Second Reading. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ryan, are

you seeking recognition? Have all voted who wish?
Representative Darrow, are you seeking recognition?
Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, could the Sponsor explain a little bit
what is in this Bill and what happened to it over in
the Senate? Some of us aren't that up to date on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leon."

Leon: "The Bill is...has an Amendment, and the purpose of
having this Bill placed on the Order of Third Reading
is so that I can bring it...move to bring it back to
Second for the purpose of an Amendment and the
Amendment will be printed and on your desk before I
present that motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Could we have some idea what Amendment we're
talking about?"

Leon: "This is an Amendment for the Illinois Development
Bond Authority. They're having difficulty selling
their bonds and this Amendment... If this gets on the
floor it will be for the purpose of permitting them to
sell their bonds at a higher rate of interest than is
now provided for because the bonds are not salable at
the present rate of interest."

Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kane: "Who's the Sponsor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Leon."

Kane: "Do... We have a floating rate limit on mortgages,
don't we?"

Leon: "I'm sorry, I didn't..."

Kane: "I said, don't we have a floating rate limit on mortgages?"

Leon: "No. No."

Kane: "We did for a while, didn't we?"

Leon: "It's suspended until December 31, 1981."

Kane: "And then it's going back to a floating rate?"

Leon: "It may go back to a floating rate unless other legislation is adopted prior to that time."

Kane: "Would not a floating rate be more preferable than a removal of the ceiling completely?"

Leon: "If you can tell me what rate we should float with I'll be happy to concur with you, but with the bond market and the money rate and interest going crazy at the moment I don't know where we could stop or where we could begin."

Kane: "How about tying into the mortgage rate in the secondary mortgage market."

Leon: "There's no ceiling on that."

Kane: "No, what I'm saying is if you tied it to that rate then...then what would happen is it would...it would float up and down with the market rather than removing the limit altogether."

Leon: "The answer to that question is this, where are we going to set it at the present time when bonds are not available...when buyers are not available at any rate at the moment. They have to negotiate a rate in order to make the bonds acceptable to buyers. And there are programs now, that are underway now, that are being forced on them because we cannot sell the bonds."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VonBoeckman."

Boeckman: "Point of order. I think we're arguing the merits of the Amendment that will be presented later. I don't think we should decide..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think the discussion has been terminated on that anyway. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 159 'aye' and 9 'no' and the motion prevails. Senate Bill 1338 will be placed on the Order of Second Reading... I understand that...Third Reading. I understand that there's a problem with Amendment #2 so we'll have to wait until Amendment 3 is prepared. Representative...Representative Conti, for what reason do you arise?"

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise for the purpose of an announcement and also for the purpose of making a permanent record. Through your offices the Space Needs Commission was informed that there are some various violations in this particular building. Every single Member of the Space Needs Commission has notified the proper authorities of these violations and, Mr. Speaker, through your help you have been working very diligently with the Space Needs Commission and I appreciate that, in notifying the proper people that we do have these violations that do exist in this building. It frightens me to no end. With the MGM fire that we had last week, and I pick up the Tribune this morning, I see 26 more perish in a fire in New York. We have employees on the 4th and 5th floor of the South end of this building whose lives are in jeopardy. We have the other side of the aisle on this third floor that

there are some serious violations. We have notified the proper people, the bids were supposed to go out in September. As of our meeting of last week we pushed the efforts again to try to get these problems and these violations corrected and as of this date I have not received any communication of when this work will begin. The Chairman..both the Chairman and the Co-Chairman of the Space Needs Commission are working with me and you, Mr. Speaker. We've been trying to get this done. And I don't know what we can do as a body not to share any of the responsibilities if work does not begin and something does happen in this building. Now we are going to recess, hopefully today. And I hope that we are putting the custodian of this building on notice that before we come back on January 14th that a serious effort is going to be made to try to correct these violations. I am at a loss, the Chairman of the Space Needs Commission is at a loss. Every Member, every Member on that Commission has expressed their concerns of the problems that we do have in this building. I just want to go on record that we've done everything we've done, we've exhausted all our efforts. Now it's up to them. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Farley."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I certainly concur in Representative Conti's remarks and as Co-Chairman of the Space Needs Commission I would hope that those interested parties, the State Fire Marshall, the Secretary of State and all of those that are in fact responsible for these changes, these corrections, would take his comments to heart. I certainly endorse

those comments and I would hope that they would respond immediately if not sooner. So, as the Co-Chairman, I would like to go on record as supporting those comments and supporting your efforts and leadership on both sides of the aisle's efforts to correct those violations and have something done. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "When did we start this, Representative Conti, two years ago, three years ago?"

Conti: "Almost. It's 18 months vigorously but it's two years ago we were put on notice."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be at ease until we get the Amendments printed and distributed. Representative Slape is on the floor. Ready? House will be in order. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House in passing the Bills the following title to wit: House Bill #3623 together with Senate Amendment #1, passed by the Senate as amended, December 5, 1980 by three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House and passage of Bills of following title to wit: House Bill #3636 together with Senate Amendment #1 passed by the Senate as amended December 5, 1980 by three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House of Representatives

the Senate concurred with the House and passage of Bills of following titles to wit: House Bill #3635 passed by the Senate September 5, 1980, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar One. Under the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1338, Representative Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, pursuant to Rule 35B, I ask leave of the House to return Senate Bill 1338 to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman have leave? Objection's been raised."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, I then move..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved...proceed."

Leon: "...we...have a Roll Call on my motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved that Senate Bill 1338 be returned to the order of Second Reading. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 89 votes. Clerk will take the record. This question there's 107 'aye' and 6 'no' and the motion prevails. Senate Bill 1338 is returned to the order of Second Reading. Any Amendments Mr. Clerk? Mr. Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker I now move to table Amendment #1 to House Bill...to Senate Bill 1338. May I have leave to have that Amendment tabled?"

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman have leave to have Amendment #1 tabled? Hearing no objections, leave is granted."

Leon: "Now, Mr. Speaker I move to ta...to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Has it been presented at all?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Leon, amends Senate Bill 1338."

Speaker Redmond: "Withdraw 2, is that correct?"

Leon: "I would like to withdraw that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Leon, amends Senate Bill 1338 as amended by deleting the title and inserting in lieu there of, the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment's been printed and distributed. I now move to adopt Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1338."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaneness of Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in Denver. Parliamentarian advises me that in as much as it refers to the same Chapter, in his opinion it is germane. Question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 34D, if the Amendment is adopted, I demand that before...that the Amendment...that the Bill shall be returned to the order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day and read by the amended title on two days before final passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, the first question we have now is the question on the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment indicate by...Representative Kane."

Kane: "By the...Parliamentary inquiry. By the ruling of the...of the Parliamentarian, is the...is the Parliamentarian setting a precedent that any Amendment that amends any portion of the same Chapter is automatically germane?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think we'll have to take it on a case by case basis...this is the ruling with respect to this Amendment with respect to this particular Bill. Representative Vinson, on the motion."

Vinson: "Mr....yes, Mr. Speaker, I just wonder why we can't have the real Parliamentarian rather than the Logan County Democratic Chairman up there, reading the rules?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's not the Chairman. If he were Chairman, he couldn't...he couldn't serve but he's not Chairman. Question is on the Gentleman's...Gentleman's preference. Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kane: "When was the last time that the bond...the interest rate on these bonds was increased by statute?"

Leon: "July 2nd of this year."

Kane: "July 2nd of this year?"

Leon: "Right."

Kane: "What was the prime rate at that time?"

Leon: "Ten and three-quarters...or eleven, somewhere in that area."

Kane: "No, the limit on the bonds went ten and three-quarters but what was the prime rate in July?"

Leon: "Ten and three-quarters to twelve, in that area,"

- beginning on what day of the week you looked at it."
- Kane: "I thought in July the prime rate was around 20%."
- Leon: "In April it was 20%."
- Kane: "When was the last time that IHDA sold bonds?"
- Leon: "In August."
- Kane: "What was the rate at that time?"
- Leon: "Ten...ten percent."
- Kane: "But you sold....the IHDA bonds were sold at ten percent in August?"
- Leon: "Yes."
- Kane: "And what's the present municipal bond rate?"
- Leon: "On the IHDA bonds, I believe it would be eleven and a quarter to eleven and a half, today."
- Kane: "And has IDHA tried to sell any bonds and not been able to?"
- Leon: "That is the purpose of this Bill, IHDA is in the process of making an offering and they're fearful that with the ceiling as it now is, that they would not be able to sell them and that...and the underwriters have advised IHDA that they could not sell the bonds at that rate today."
- Kane: "What is the emergency that IHDA has to sell bonds immediately?"
- Leon: "Projects that are under consideration, unless the bonds are sold could not be started."
- Kane: "At this interest rate however, wouldn't the projects not need the purpose for which IHDA bonds and IHDA projects are put together and that's to provide low-cost housing?"
- Leon: "The low-cost housing is based on a subsidy from the Federal Government that would have no bearing on the

low-cost housing aspect of...and rent for the persons who would use them."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to address myself to this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Kane: "I think that this Amendment is unwise at this time. I think that the interest rate permissible by statute at ten and three-quarters percent is sufficiently high if there is a temporary squeeze because of the temporary interest rates and the temporary prime rate in the money markets, I think that the reason for...for that is the state of the economy, it will be temporary, those rates will come down. The reason why those rates are high is to slow down economic activity and to slow down inflation and if every time that the prime goes up to seventeen, seventeen and a half, we come in here and raise the ceilings or eliminate the ceilings on all kinds of bond issues and bond rates, all we will be doing is fueling the fires of inflation. I think it's time that if we're going to stop inflation, we're going to have to slow down, we're all going to have to hurt a little bit and I think that if we are going to maintain the kind of housing program that IHDA is presently serving and the cost of that housing is to...and the purpose of that is to provide housing at some kind of reasonable rate. If we continue to issue bonds and go ahead with the program when interest rates are this high, we will be defeating the purpose that...that we have originally created IHDA to...to serve. I think that a pause at this time might be preferable to removing the ceiling

at all. We have had no hearings on this. There is no emergency and I would urge that we defeat this Amendment at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope the people in the chamber listened to the previous speaker because he was completely wrong on a lot of his statements. It's a situation if you've got money to invest in the bond market and somebody is offering you eleven and a half percent and IHDA is offering you ten and a half percent, it's an obvious situ...or ten and three-quarters percent...it's an obvious situation of which way you are going to go you are going to go where you can receive the highest return and right now the IHDA bonds are not marketable in the market place. A couple of weeks ago before the prime rate started climbing, they were marketable and this is the reason it's coming in at the last minute because they were hoping that the prime rate would stop ...start dropping and all this is, is to make bonds...IHDA bonds more marketable in the market place. They are governed under a lot of federal regulations, so you know you're sitting here saying that it's going to be...you know he's the one that was throwing the red herring up when we talked about doing away with the usury ceiling before and I said that it was going to seek it's own level and it did. So I would ask the people give a favorable vote to Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House, yes it's true the questions that he did ask, the prime rate back in April was 20%, it did fall down to about 11% or ten and three quarters in August and it's back up to 19 now. What's the emergency of this nature of this Bill is that the...we stand to lose about \$75,000,000 for projects, incidentally a project was just approved in Southeast part of Springfield, so that would not be in jeopardy but in Quincy, in Decatur, in Chicago, in Mattoon other constructions that are under way now, if this bond is not passed and these bonds are not sold by, I think it is next Thursday there's an awful lot of construction that will be in jeopardy and we don't know they're...they're under construction now, we don't know just what the outcome of this will be, if these bonds are not sold. That's the purpose of the emergency and that's the purpose why it's imperative why we do give them that leeway of increasing the bonds. Now this is only for the purpose of meeting this deadline of Thursday of the sale of these bonds and it will go back into the rate that originally was passed, the floating rate cap on it. So it is imperative that we do pass this because the city of Chicago, Mattoon, Quincy and a big project in Decatur is now under way and these bonds must be sold."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Birkinbine: "Mr. Leon, is it true that there is...if this were to pass, there would be no limit whatsoever, on

the interest that could be applied to these bonds?"

Leon: "That is right."

Birkinbine: "No limit from the time this is signed into law to January of first of 1982."

Leon: "That is right."

Birkinbine: "And that the payback period for such bonds in such interest could be as long as 15 years."

Leon: "You are exactly right, Sir."

Birkinbine: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker if I could speak to the Bill, I think this entire idea must be looked upon as absolute irresponsibility on our part if we go ahead with this. Just a couple weeks ago, we listened to the Governor say that we're in a time where fiscal concern, fiscal prudence dictates everything we do. I suggest that the argument is not whether or not IHDA bonds are today marketable but whether or not trying to market them at any interest rate is prudent. I suggest it's not. As you've heard there is absolutely no cap, no limit whatsoever that can be put on the interest rate of these bonds. If you'll look at the back of Amendment 3, you also see that these bonds may be sold by the authority at public or private sale. There is nothing that says that at a private sale these bonds might be sold at one, two, four, seven points above the market rate. Now, to simply give carte blanche to an authority that is not elected, that the public cannot bring pressure to bear on, to be wise I think is absolute foolishness and it would be unwise of them to go along with it. I suggest a 'no' vote. If we're going to say simply that there's federal money available and we might lose it and look

at that for the next few months while fifteen years from now we still might be paying an absolutely exorbitant rate for these bonds, we're being penny wise and pound foolish. I suggest a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmon: "Anything further? Representative Leon to close."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in asking for your approval of this Amendment, I would like to bring to your attention the fact that we do have to have confidence in the men that are operating IHDA. They certainly are not going to engage in issuing bonds at an exorbitant rate of interest because they so have a public trust and from their past record. I know that they will not sell bonds at a rate that is exorbitant. In addition to that, at the present time we are in a crunch, a monetary crunch where money is very, very hard to obtain. IHDA needs this money from the proceeds of the bonds that are going to be offered next week at the rate that they...at the best rate they can get. From knowledge that I have from the personnel of IHDA they are going to attempt to sell the bonds at the lowest possible rate they can obtain. However, the bonds must be sold in order to complete construction that is started and to engage in the program of low cost housing which is very necessary in the State of Illinois at this time. Further, the men who are going to work and put these projects together are now out of work. We talk about trying to enhance the economy, we can't enhance the economy if we do not provide someplace where people who are out of work, want to work. The small increase

in the interest rate that may be necessary to have to sell these bonds is a small price to pay for putting thousands of working men back to work and relieving our unemployment rolls in Illinois. The benefits we will receive from the reduction of unemployment compensation would more than offset the cost of the interest that might be charged. Therefor I ask your favorable consideration of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 3. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, for the record I feel may...I may have a conflict of interest in this bonding issue. Hence, I must vote 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 79...8 'aye' and 15 'no' and the motion carries. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 34D, I now demand that the Bill be returned to the order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day and read by amended title on two days before final passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, I just want to thank the...the electrician, in light of the holiday season the board over on the Democrat side is just so festive and gay it just makes me feel like singing Jingle Bells or something. We need Adeline Geo-Karis here to do that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen do you grant him leave to sing Jingle Bells. Okay, you don't have

leave. Tommy, come up here. Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker I would like to refer to what Representative Pullen, Representative Pullen was talking about 34D and if she'd read the last sentence, it says, 'this subsection does not apply to Amendments which amend the title of the Bill, by delaying or deleting of sections of the same Act as amended by the Bill.' See you're deleting the title."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Well, the Gentleman should keep reading because it says, 'deleting Section numbers from the same Act', and this is distinctly not the same Act, which is why it is not germane. This is the Illinois Housing Development Act and what is being amended is the Senior Citizen Property Tax Relief Grant Act."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, I now move to suspend Rule 34D, so that this Bill can remain on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, Representative Pullen has moved that the...pursuant to Rule 34D that the Bill be returned to the order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day and be read by amended title on two days before passage. Representative Leon has moved that provisions of 34D be suspended and the question is on Representative Leon's motion, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "You haven't ruled that that rule is applicable yet, don't you think you ought to make a ruling?"

Speaker Redmond: "It is applicable."

Skinner: "On, fine."

Speaker Redmond: "Now the question is on Representative

Leon's motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 34D. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Kane."

Kane: "Parliamentary inquiry. How many votes does this motion take?"

Speaker Redmond: "89. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 89 'aye' and 24 'no'. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to verify that Roll Call, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen requests a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Leon requests a Poll of the Absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk. Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, before we get into this verification if I may...for the purpose of an introduction today. We have in the gallery from Whiteside County, one of the finest districts in...being part of 36th legislative district, we have a small community called Fulton and in that Fulton community we have 'dutch days' every year. It's an outstanding time and you're certainly all invited, when you come up there, I'd like you to meet the Mayor of Fulton, Mr. Mayor 'Werseman', who's setting up in the gallery. Mayor, would you stand up, please. Thank you, and his wife."

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers, for what purpose do you rise?"

Borchers: "Permission to move...to change me from...to 'yes'"

from 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Borchers as 'yes', you want? Okay, proceed with the Absentee Roll. Representative Satterthwaite, 'yes', change her from 'no' to 'yes'. Representative Ackerman, 'yes'. Representative Stanley, 'yes'. Catania, maybe, 'yes'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Abramson. Beatty. Bell. Bluthardt. Braun. Capparelli. Capuzi. Davis. Giglio. Deuster. DiPrima. Domico. Dyer. Ewell. Flinn. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Garmisa. Getty. Hanahan. Harris. Hoffman. Huskey. Jaffe. Katz. Keane. Klosak. Kornowicz. Krska. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Leverenz. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McCourt. Griffin. Mugaljian. Oblinger. O'Brien. Peters. Pierce. Preston. Rea. Reed. Sandquist. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Sharp. Slape. Stearney. E.G. Steele. Telcser. Totten. Tuerk. Walsh. White. Willer. And J.J. Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capuzi, 'aye'. Representative Mautino, 'no'. The affirmative vote. What's the count now? 93. Verification of the Affirmative Roll Call has been requested."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ackerman. Alexander. Anderson. Balanoff. Barnes. Bianco. Birchler. Borchers. Boucek. Bower. Bradley. Bullock. Campbell. Capuzi. Casey. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Collins. Conti. Cullerton. Currie. Daniels. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Epton. Farley. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman. Griesheimer. Grossi. Hallock. Hannig. Henry. Hoxsey. Johnson. Marjorie Jones."

Emil Jones. Kelly. Kent. Kosinski. Kucharski.
 Kulas. Leon. Mahar. Margalus. Marovitz. Matula.
 McBroom. McClain. McGrew. McMaster. McPike.
 Murphy. Neff. Patrick. Pechous. Piel. Polk.
 Pouncey. Reilly. Richmond. Rigney. Robbins.
 Ronan. Ropp. Ryan. Satterthwaite. Schisler.
 Schraeder. Schuneman. Simms. Stanley. C.M. Stiehl.
 Stuffle. Swanstrom. Taylor. Terzich. Van Duyne.
 Vinson. Vitek. VonBoeckman. Watson. Wikoff.
 Williamson. Winchester. Sam Wolf. Woodyard.
 Yourell. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call, Representative Pullen? Representative Steczo, 'aye'. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "What's the count, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Pullen: "What is the count?"

Speaker Redmond: "What is the count, Mr. Clerk? 95 'aye'."

Pullen: "Thank you. Mr. Bullock."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bullock. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Will Senator Simms please be quiet. Proceed."

Pullen: "Mr. Casey, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Casey here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Representative Leverenz, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Leverenz, 'aye'. Representative Davis, Davis 'aye'. Proceed. Representative Huff."

Huff: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's Huff recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'."

Huff: "Well, I believe I have conflict of interest, but I will vote my conscience. Change me to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff, 'aye'. Representative Willer, 'aye'. Two off. Proceed with the verification."

Pullen: "Mr. Christensen, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Christensen is here, handsome young gentleman, there."

Pullen: "Mr. Daniels."

Speaker Redmond: "How is Daniels recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Pullen: "Mr....I see him. Mr. Hannig."

Speaker Redmond: "Hannig. How is Hannig recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Hannig here? Remove him. Representative Flinn is on the floor and desires to be recorded as 'aye'."

Pullen: "Mr. Emil Jones."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Emil Jones. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Pullen: "Mr. Kelly."

Speaker Redmond: "Kelly, he's here. Reilly, he's there. Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Could I have leave to be verified, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly, he requests for leave to be verified. Proceed."

Pullen: "Mr. Marovitz.",

Speaker Redmond: "How's Marovitz recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Pullen: "Mr. McClain."

Speaker Redmond: "How's McClain recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Pullen: "Mr. McGrew."

Speaker Redmond: "How's McGrew recorded? Bradley will you come up here."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "How's... Representative Schoeberlein. Wait a minute, how about McGrew?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove McGrew and Schoeberlein desires to be recorded as 'aye'."

Pullen: "Mr. McPike."

Speaker Redmond: "How is McPike recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. McPike, he's not in his seat, is he in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Pullen: "Mr. Pechous."

Speaker Bradley: "Pechous, Pechous is not in his chair. Is he in the chambers? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Pullen: "Mr. Pouncey."

Speaker Bradley: "Pouncey. He's in his chair, as always."

Pullen: "Thank you. Mr. Schuneman."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Schuneman. I don't see him in his seat. Is he in the chambers? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Pullen: "Mr. Stuffle."

Speaker Bradley: "Stuffle, Stuffle's around here....he's in the middle aisle."

Pullen: "Mr. Taylor."

Speaker Bradley: "Jimmy Taylor is in the...in the chambers and put...Mr. Hannig has returned. Will you please put him back on the Roll? And Jimmy Taylor's verified, he's back there."

Pullen: "Mr. Simms."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Simms, he's not in his seat. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Remove him from the Roll....here, Mr. Simms has just...has just returned. Put Mr. Simms back on the Roll and Mr. Cullerton has asked leave to be verified. Miss Pullen, is that alright?"

Pullen: "I'm not objecting."

Speaker Bradley: "She's not objecting."

Pullen: "Mr. Terzich."

Speaker Bradley: "Terzich. He's not in his seat. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Remove him."

Pullen: "Mr. Vitek. Oh, hi John."

Speaker Bradley: "He's always in his chair."

Pullen: "Mr. VonBoeckman."

Speaker Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Pullen: "Mr. Watson."

Speaker Bradley: "Watson, is in his seat."

Pullen: "Mr. Williamson."

Speaker Bradley: "Is in his seat."

Pullen: "Mr. Leverenz."

Speaker Bradley: "He's in his seat."

Pullen: "That's all Mr. Speaker, thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Thank you. This question there are 90 'ayes' and 21 recorded as voting 'no' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. For what purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leon arise?"

Leon: "Now, I'd like to suspend Rule 34C...35C for immediate consideration of this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any objections? There are objections...have been heard. Do you now move to..."

Leon: "I now move to suspend..."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay...Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "No...I call the motion...I'm for this package but just for the sake of saving the time, could you instruct the Members to just vote their own switches, so we don't have Representative Pullen verifying every vote."

Speaker Bradley: "It's in the rules...that they vote their own switches."

Johnson: "I understand that, with just a little urging from the Chair maybe we can save some time and get out of

here."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair urges...those, only the Members that are here, those Members that are not here please do not vote your switch. Mr...will Mr. Kane, from...the Gentleman from Sangamon, what purpose do you rise?"

Kane: "Parliamentary inquiry. How many votes..."

Speaker Bradley: "107.."

Kane: "107 votes? Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Yeah...The Lady from...that was your question? Okay, it takes 107 votes to suspend this rule. So, all in favor of the Gentleman's motion, signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker I now withdraw that motion. Just leave it stay where it's at."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay, the Gentleman with...the Gentleman withdraws the motion, so the Bill will stay on Third Reading. Message from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of their Amendment to Senate Bill 2028. Amendment 13, that is. Further instructed to inform the House, the Senate has refused to concur with the following Amendments; House Amendments #2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, and 12, action taken by the Senate December 5, 1980, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson for what purpose do you rise?"

Vinson: "I'd be prepared to take 2028 at this point."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from St. Clair, Miss Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would you please let the record show that Representative Beatty Lou Reed and Representative Josephine Oblinger are excused because of illness."

Speaker Bradley: "The record will so indicate. Mr. Vinson has indicated he would move on Senate Bill 2028. Are there any objections, that was the message just read from the Senate. That is not...the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "I may not have any objections but could he explain what the Bill is and what happened over in the Senate?"

Speaker Bradley: "But we're going to get to that if there's no objection to his going ahead with the Bill. Mr. Vinson would explain the...the Bill and what happened in the Senate or it's a Senate Bill and what the..."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a Bill we considered the other day and in the Senate the Senate took off Amendments 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, and 12 and I would move to recede from those Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, now Mr. Kane."

Kane: "I'm not sure that...that we can get to the Bill yet. He's still asking leave of the House, I believe because it doesn't appear on any calendar anywhere."

Speaker Bradley: "He...he said he would go along with it, if there were no objections, or he didn't say if there...he said he was prepared to go with Bill, I said it was just read and I suppose that sometime I

should have ask if there were objectioning...are you objecting to..."

Kane: "Well, I think Representative...I think Representative Darrow was raising a question and I'm not sure that by just reading the Amendment numbers that..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair agrees with you. What's your druthers, then...are you objecting to go...to proceeding with it or do you want a better...you want a better explanation."

Kane: "A better explanation so we know whether to object or not."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Vinson, I think the only way we're going to get to that Bill now is a little better explanation of what those Amendments might have done that were removed."

Leon: "The Amendments that I would move to recede from would be \$100,000 for a project for the developmentally disabled. \$225,000 for two DMH DD funded facilities for handicapped children. \$300,000 for Dickson Developmental Center. \$4,000 for the WIC program. \$650,000 for environmental control in the State Museum. And 5.2 million dollars for monetary awards for the Scholarship Commission. \$508,000 for the previous year for the Scholarship Commission. I would move that the House recede from those Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman has moved then that the House recede from those Amendments that he numbered on House Amendments to Senate Bill 2028, this will be a nonconcurrence, he moved to non...I'm sorry, it was right that he...he's moved to recede. This would be final action then on this motion. It's got an

immediate effective date so it's going to take 107 votes to...to pass it. So all in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 109 'ayes' and 6 'nays' and the House...or the Gentleman's to recede from the Amendments he stated in his motion does prevail and the Senate Bill 2028 with a three-fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Mrs. Stiehl, again."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would also let the record show that Representative Peters is absent...is excused because of illness and Representative Telcser is excused because of illness in the family."

Speaker Bradley: "The records will so indicate and Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, on the Democratic side would the record show that Representative DiPrima is excused because of illness and that Representative Braun is excused because of the illness of her child."

Speaker Bradley: "The record will so indicate. The information that Members were expecting a Supplemental Calendar to be here with four or five items on it, any minute. We can go ahead with the work of the House. Supplemental Calendar #2 of...appears House Bill 3623, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, are you handling that?"

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment...Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill

3623 incorporates into the election laws several technical changes that we felt were necessary, due to the upcoming elections in this 1981 year, the odd numbered year. We had some problems with the city of Bloomington and the number of petitions, party petitions that would be required in order to qualify since that city changed its districting areas to wards. What it did, was just to establish petition signature requirements in order to accommodate that situation. There were 13 or 14 other technical changes in a municipal schedule consolidated primary dates of local caucuses and so forth. These are all recommendations of the election laws commissions and I now refer to Representative Collins, if he wants to continue the description of the changes. If not I move do adopt...do concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3623."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House does adopt in the...Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey, what purpose do you rise?"

Huskey: "Well, I wish that he'd explain the 13 or 14 technical changes, what they apply too."

Speaker Bradley: "I think possibly that he was going to pass the ball over to Mr. Collins. Mr. Yourell."

Huskey: "I think they should be explained."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "I'd be delighted to explain to Mr. Huskey exactly what they do. Number one is petition requirements, municipalities and counties, as I indicated in my opening remarks. Number two is new party petitions, municipalities with wards and counties with county

board districts. Those two I explained to you. Number three...or is a municipal election schedule, it adds permissive language to allow municipalities who alter their manner of elections of their officers pursuant to the Constitution by referendum. Number five is a consolidated primary township of initial primaries held on the same day. Number six is dates of local caucuses. Number seven is odd year local elections in person absentee voting, municipal and township clerk's offices. Number eight is multi-township assessors that canvas, what that does is establish the county clerks as the canvassing authority for this office frequently non-designated in the Election Code. Number nine is the canvas authority for special districts. Number ten deals with backdoor referendums and a number of those referendums that can be placed on any election of the five date consolidated election schedule. Number eleven is local referendum petitions of the objection period defining that. Number thirteen is a local election...the ballot form. This is a purely technical correction, it eliminates conflict between two sections to remit separate party circle designations for township and municipal elections. Number fourteen is township nominating petitions, townships partially in boards of election commissioners does not affect suburban Cook County. Fifteen, mandatory training of election judges. Number sixteen, date for ballot placement lotteries. Number seventeen, biennial canvas requirements. I'd be delighted to answer any questions or all questions directed specifically to any one of those fifteen

changes."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, wait a minute, Mr. Huskey...his explanation meet with your approval? Okay, then Mr....the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, what purpose do you rise?"

Vinson: "I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "There is a provision in this Bill that permits cities which have in the past elected aldermen city-wide to elect them by district and to permit them to get the requisite number of signatures to establish the requisite number of signatures, is that correct?"

Yourell: "That's correct, in the event of a redistricting or the change of a physical political complexion of an area such as a municipality or a county, then the number of petitions that are required would be pro-rated by the number of those local subdivisions within the municipality or the county."

Vinson: "Second question is, is there anything in this which amends Chapter 24, paragraph 327 or paragraph 326 or which supercedes those?"

Yourell: "What are those...?"

Vinson: "Well, what did...what I'm asking about is there anything in this which would in anyway impinge on the current statutory scheme for the election of aldermen in the city?"

Yourell: "No, I think you're referring to an Amendment that Woods Bowman...Amendment that's not in here."

Vinson: "There is nothing in there that changes the current statute."

Yourell: "No."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "I wonder if the Gentleman would yield to a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Conti: "Am I to understand that now with consolidation of elections if you have a Republican and Democratic party ticket and they have a caucus ticket where they have the voter's choice or people choice or whatever you have, that you cannot vote a Republican or Democrat in the county election and then go to the local elections and vote the opposite party?"

Yourell: "No...that's wrong, I'll read it to you, it has nothing to do with the county. It's..."

Conti: "...or the municipality, I didn't...you didn't necessarily mean the..."

Yourell: "I'll read the language to you, Elmer, and I think perhaps it'll clear it up. It does not permit voting in one major parties primary for the township and the other major parties primary for the municipal election. That clarifies the situation. Also it does not permit voting in both a major party primary and a local party primary for either the township or municipal elections. Two primaries for the same office, and that's a clarification in the law."

Conti: "Alright, one more question, last question. Does this in any way affect appointments of police and fire commissioners?"

Yourell: "No, Sir."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Cullerton: "The law indicates that there are different laws in effect for counties that have different populations and in this Act today... indicate a population of more than 350,000 but less than 1,000,000, is that anywhere indicated in the law as changed?"

Yourell: "No."

Cullerton: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. Opinion of the chair the 'ayes' have it. Mr. Collins, are you closing, Sir? Mr. Collins to close the debate and what purpose does the Lady from DuPage, Miss Karpiel arise?"

Karpiel: "Mr. Speaker, I did have my light on for quite awhile because I did want to ask the Sponsor a question."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, you'll have to ask him in an explanation of vote, Mr. Simms had his light on, I did not have any idea he was going to...previous question."

Karpiel: "I...I think since this Amendment does affect DuPage County that I should have been accorded the opportunity to ask a question."

Speaker Bradley: "I can only do what the people in the Assembly ask...when I ask recognition and that...it was done, you'll have to ask in the form of a

question, I'm sorry. Mr. Collins, to close."

Collins: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just like to endorse everything that Representative Yourell has said in his remarks and his response to questions. This is an Amendment that was worked out with the staff and Members in both Houses on both sides of the aisle. It..it is, I believe noncontroversial in every aspect the most substantive things are the areas dealing with the city of Bloomington and also changing the township caucus dates so it will not conflict with the Presidential Inauguration. The...I...I don't know what Representative Karpel is making reference too. There's nothing in particular in reference to DuPage County here, but I'm sure either Representative Yourell or I will be happy to respond to her in her explanation of vote and I would ask for the favorable consideration of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Now, Mrs. Karpel to explain her vote and at the time if you wish to ask the question maybe one of the Gentlemen will respond. Alright, the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "I have a...a question but I think the previous question's been moved, so I'll have to explain my vote I guess."

Speaker Bradley: "Explain your vote, well we haven't voted yet."

Dunn: "We're not voting yet."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, your light was flashing. I didn't know for what purpose you got..."

Dunn: "I'm anticipating."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay, Mr. Collins, are you concluded with your remarks?"

Collins: "Well, just one thing, Mr. Speaker, in conclusion, if Representative Karpel is making reference to deferring the referendum in DuPage County as the original Bill did. We took care of that by Amendment to another Bill and the deferral is in a separate Bill and so this Bill was used as a vehicle for this. What the referendum which she is concerned about is, I believe, has been spoken to in another Bill and has been deferred by that Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Correct. The Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3623. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, now to explain his vote."

Dunn: "My point was going to be that this Amendment is in excess of 100 pages long. It affects the election process which is near and dear to the hearts of all of us. And, I presume and I'm fairly typical and don't know what it contains, the details thereof and the implications on the various districts back home and furthermore, there is no analysis of this Amendment on our side of the aisle. So I'd urge all the people over here to just vote 'present' until we find out what this is and what it does and what its ramifications are."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow, to explain his vote."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know how Representative Dunn can he doesn't have an analysis."

I gave him the Republican staff analysis of this Bill and he has it before him. Just because we don't have Democratic House analysis isn't our fault."

Speaker Bradley: "Is that true, Mr. Dunn? Do you have the Republican analysis?"

Dunn: "Yes, I do have that, but I tried to remain free of taint and to be objective in my analysis of this piece of legislation and furthermore, I haven't had time to read that either. I have it right here and I shall read it and if ..if we have time to properly analyze this Bill, I'll be ready to vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, to explain his vote."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The questions I had and didn't have an opportunity to ask where that which came into the explanation on municipal elections and township elections. Apparently this will have some change on the April elections in suburban Cook. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Any further discussion? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, one of the things that this will affect is a situation in Bloomington as Representative Yourell has mentioned, but maybe, just in detail. It's needed because the city had been electing city council members at large and at the previous election they voted to go to the ward system which now will be seven wards and they need this particular Section of the Bill passed so that they know how many signatures to put on a petition so that they can comply and to carry on with the election this

coming January or February. So, it's pretty important, at least that Section is anyway and we'd certainly urge your support on this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know what the reluctance is in voting for this legislation. As I indicated, for the most part, it is purely technical in change and there was a substantial number of meetings of the Republican Members and the Democratic Members of the Election Laws Commission and we discussed many items of mutual interest and beneficial interest to the election laws of Illinois. And this is a consensus of opinion from both the Republican and the Democratic Members of the Election Laws Commission. There were many, many people in attendance at those meetings. Much was discussed and this was the specifics that were decided upon to correct some very serious faults in the Election Code so that, as you've heard before, the city of Bloomington can conduct an election in a proper fashion since they've changed their form of government and this would allow them to do that. Number one, this clarifies the number of signatures required on petitions. It doesn't make any major changes. It will not affect, in any way, the consolidated schedule that will take place in 1981. If it does anything at all, it clears up some ambiguous language in the Code and I don't know what the reluctance is relative to this legislation. And I would hope that you would cast an 'aye' vote so that we could have a proper

election in 1981."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Mr. Collins, for what purpose do you arise?"

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I share Representative Yourell's puzzlement as to the Members' reluctance to support this Bill. As he said, other than the Bloomington item, and the township caucus item, this is basically a clean-up in the Election Code. Now I think that the Members on both sides of the aisle would allow that no one is more skeptical of proposals that come from the other side as Representative Yourell would be of my proposals or I of his, on a purely political approach. The staff of both sides of the aisle and Representative Yourell and I and other Members of the Election Laws Commission went over every one of these items and as a matter of fact eliminated items that we thought would be controversial. These are the items that we could all agree upon. The Senate staff and Membership on both sides of the aisle in the Senate also participated in these deliberations and anybody else that wished to provide any input into our considerations. Now the one thing that is of emergency basis here is the item for Bloomington. They have to certify their candidates for the aldermanic elections this month. So this .. this change in the law is vital to the city of Bloomington. Other than that, most of these things, are, as I said, clean-up in the Election Code. I share the average Member's reluctance when it comes to tinkering with the Election Code as I think everyone knows. Those of us involved are satisfied

that there is nothing here but... that would do any harm to anybody, to any sector or to any party and as a matter of fact, are needed changes in the Code. I would urge a few more votes up there. Honestly those 'present' votes are puzzling me."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti, to explain his vote."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have the utmost respect for the last speaker and the Sponsor of this legislation. They have been champions of reform in the Election Codes and I've usually followed their way of the Bills that they've introduced. There's only one thing that frightens me here. We've got a four page analysis here and as I'm reading it, I get to number five, it makes uniform the dates for filing nomination papers for candidates nominated by caucus to fit the uniform schedule for regular filing periods. Changes the date of municipal caucuses to the second Thursday in January. Now, we're talking about something that's going to take effect in the next six weeks. Now, are we going to be able to decipher or understand this so that everyone in Cook County or in the suburbs throughout the State of Illinois, suburban areas, rural areas, going to be able to make these changes so that they meet the Election Code of the State of Illinois? The only thing that I'm concerned with is why? Why on the last date, the last hour, do we have to digest something as important as this? I am ..rely heavily both on Representative Collins and Yourell's knowledge of the election laws, but this kind of frightens me when it

takes place in the next four weeks, six weeks."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Yourell would like to respond to your scepticism."

Yourell: "Elmer, I think you're referring to point six in the analysis and what that does is simply, as Representative Collins had indicated in his description of the legislation, there has been a conflict with the Presidential inauguration, which is Jaunary 20th. This simply makes that change to accommodate that situation. There's nothing frightening in here, Elmer. You know I wouldn't do that to you."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Yes, Mr. Yourell?"

Yourell: "Would the Clerk please poll the absentees, please?"

Speaker Bradley: "Yes. Mr. Johnson, there's a request for a poll of the absentees. The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, I don't feel strongly about this Bill, but it's obvious there aren't 96 votes up there. And if he's going to poll the absentees we're going to verify it. That's just ludicrous. There aren't anywhere near 96 votes up there and everybody in this chamber knows it. There aren't even 89 people in this chamber. I'm not going to have ghosts' votes in addition to absentees on it, so 'll verify it if it gets the necessary votes."

Speaker Bradley: "There are 96... I can assure you there are 96 people in the chamber. Alright. The Gentleman has

requested a poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees: Abramson. Beatty. Bell. Bluthardt. Braun. Capparelli. Casey. Daniels. Giglio. Deuster. DiPrima. Domico. Dyer. Ewell. Dwight Friedrich. Garmisa. Getty. Hanahan. Hoffman. Huff. Jaffe. Kane. Katz. Klosak. Kornowicz. Krska. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Matijevich. Mautino. McCourt. McGrew. McPike. Griffin. Mugalian. Oblinger. O'Brien. Peters. Pierce. Preston. Rea. Reed. Sandquist. Schlickman. Schneider. Sharp. Stearney. E.G. Steele. Telcser. Terzich. Totten. Tuerk. Vitek. Walsh. White. No further."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Mautino wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. And, Representative Wolf wishes to go from 'present' to 'aye', Sam Wolf. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz?"

Leverenz: "Yes, this is my Leader's Bill. I would like to be recorded 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Leverenz wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Mr. Van Duyne, the Gentleman from Will, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Van Duyne: "Well, to explain my vote. As I understand it, the only change in the township caucus would be that they're moving the date up so that they can get the proper petitions filed with the county clerk or the town clerk during the filing date. Is that right? That is the only change? Okay, I'll vote 'aye' also."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Van Duyne wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye', from 'present' to 'aye'. Are there any further changes? Additions or subtractions. Mr.

Christensen? Mr. Christensen wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Dwight Friedrich wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. J.J. Wolf wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. And the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson, for what purpose do you... from 'no'.. from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Hallock wishes to go from 'present' to 'aye'. Mr. Schuneman from 'no' to 'aye'. Mrs. Barnes, Mrs. Barnes wishes to go from 'present' to 'aye'. Any further changes? What's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are ... Mr. Slape wishes to be recorded 'aye'. On this question there are 108 'ayes', 12 'nos', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3623. Mr. Johnson?"

Johnson: "Maybe your hearing is... have some problem here. I asked for a verification if this reached 107. And I haven't changed my mind. You know as well as I do, there are a lot of votes on the Board that aren't up there... that are up there that aren't here.. And I asked for it.."

Speaker Bradley: "To the best of my knowledge, you did not request that. You mentioned..."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, now let's not be ridiculous.."

Speaker Bradley: "Just let me reply, Sir. You said there are not 96 people on the floor of the House and I said I thought there was 96 people. You never asked for a verification."

Johnson: "I'd ask then that the Clerk...I presume you keep a transcript of the commentary here or the Lady in the gallery does. I clearly asked for a verification if this reached 107 and there's a good many people here who heard me...Everybody... I'm not saying you're.."

whether you heard me or not, I did make the request and everybody else here knows that I did.."

Speaker Bradley: "Sir, you mentioned verification. You said there were not 96 people in this House..."

Johnson: "Well, then let's ask the Clerk..."

Speaker Bradley: "...You never directed the Chair to ask for a verification. I'll stand by that. You did not ask for verification of that Roll Call. You said there were not... you were being kind of facetious about it at the time, when you said there were not 96 people in the House and I said that I could assure you there were 96 people in the House. But you never asked for verification and I've always been... when anybody has ever asked for verifications, I've given them to you. The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I've been listening to every word that's been said. And if you look at that transcript, Representative did ask for a verification of this Roll Call if it reached the necessary number of votes."

Speaker Bradley: "The opinion of the Chair still stands, that he did not clearly ask for a verification of the Roll. He informed the House... He informed the Chair there were not 96 people in the chamber. I said I care to differ with you on that issue. The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've been standing right beside Representative Johnson and although he and I are on different sides of this vote right now, he absolutely asked that this vote be verified if the total reached 107. Now, I think that there are many

of us who have since changed our mind and decided we would vote for this Bill, but we respect Representative Johnson's right in calling upon the Chair now to do what he asked you to do originally."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Schuneman, I'll stand by my guns that he did not ask for a verification. He informed the Chair there were not 96 Members in the House. And besides, I've already announced the results of the Roll and...The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I want very much to pass this Bill and I feel somewhat taken aback that the integrity of Representative Yourell, Bluthardt and myself and others is under question here. However, the rule I think is very clear that Representative Johnson can ask for a verification at any point up to the moving to the next matter of business. And at the risk of losing the Bill, I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that he is within his rights to ask for the verification and I would ask you to honor that request if he persists."

Speaker Bradley: "You see, he's never asked for it in my opinion up till right now. He just told me.. reminded me that he asked for it previously. Now if he wants to ask for a verification, ask for it properly and you'll get it. Do you want a verification, Mr. Johnson?"

Johnson: "No. Let me... Let's just... I did ask for it before. It's the same... I'm certainly not questioning Representative Yourell's or Collins' or Bluthardt's integrity in any way. And, reluctantly, to save all the parliamentary inquiries in the town

and so forth, I'll withdraw the request that I did make. But I'd appreciate it in the future if when requests are clearly made like that, they be recognized by the Chair. So I'll withdraw it, let the Bill go and I certainly trust Representative Collins, Yourell and Bluthardt. And there was never any insinuations on their integrity from my standpoint. Let the Bill go..."

Speaker Bradley: "... We appreciate that. House Bill 3636 on Concurrences. Yes. Oh. The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, you were in error because Mr. Johnson asked for that verification. Now, since you have decided to pull it through, I'm going to move that the vote by which this passed be reconsidered. I did vote on the prevailing side."

Speaker Bradley: "He can make that motion if he wishes to do that. And... The Gentleman moves to... that the vote by which the House adopted Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3623 be reconsidered. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion ..On the motion? On the motion? The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move that the motion lie on the table."

Speaker Bradley: "All right..."

Flinn: "While we're playing around..."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of Mr. Flinn's motion then that Mr. Friedrich's motion lie on the table, will vote 'aye'; opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 64 'ayes' and

39 'nos' and Mr. Flinn's motion prevails. And, Mr. Friedrich's motion is tabled. House Bill... On Concurrences, House Bill 3636. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 3636 has one Senate Amendment and the Senate Amendment changes the reporting date of the Local Government Finance Study Commission. Has nothing to do with the original intent of the Bill, which allows the county clerks to send out estimated tax bills. That will be rectified the following years. The House passed this Bill earlier and everyone's familiar with what's in the Bill and I urge the support of this concurrence."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, maybe everyone else in the House is acquainted with the changes that the Senate made, but I am not. I would appreciate it if the Sponsor would indicate what those changes were."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Giorgi?"

Giorgi: "There was an Amendment placed by Representative Daniels that had to do with the Local Government Finance Study Commission's report. And all the Senate Amendment did was change their reporting date from December to April and that's the extent of their changes."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Brummer?"

Brummer: "No..."

Speaker Bradley: "Excuse me..."

Brummer: "There are no changes then dealing with the assessment law itself, that the changes... that the

Senate made?"

Giorgi: "That's correct."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Schuneman: "Zeke, as you recall, I had some reservations about this Bill when you first presented it here. How did the estimated tax billing systems, how will they work now? Will the county send out their estimated bill for the two installments at one time?"

Giorgi: "Yes, Sir."

Schuneman: "Okay. Then, the following year, they will pick up the difference if any between what their estimate was and what the final tax assessment was to be?"

Giorgi: "That's correct."

Schuneman: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Bradley: "Further questions? If not, the question's... Oh, I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins."

Robbins: "I have one question in my mind. Your levies are made on a firm basis and would this be legal? Would it be Constitutional to levy for one year and pay on an estimate and then the next year's bill, you would have this added in? Would this be Constitutional?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Giorgi?"

Giorgi: "As I understand it, it would be."

Speaker Bradley: "All right. The question's on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye'; opposed by voting

'no'. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would rise in support of this legislation. This has been worked on for a period of time by the Legislators from Winnebago because of the problems that we have had this year of the one payment tax bill. This was the only system that could have been worked out in order to avoid that same situation again. And I would urge that my colleagues on this side of the aisle to join with those on the Democratic side in giving 107 votes to concur in this Amendment that has been placed on. This legislation is greatly needed so the citizens of the various counties no longer will be faced with a very serious problem of a one payment tax bill which can be extremely devastating. So I would ask my Republican colleagues to give the additional votes to Representative Giorgi on this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 8 'nays', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3636. Supplemental #2, under Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 2708. Mr. ... The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle, you're going to handle that?"

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. The Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2708 deals with the same subject as the Bill did yesterday with regard to the Senate Amendment to the Bill. The Amendment becomes the Bill. The Conference Committee Report

would have the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. We had a great deal of debate yesterday with regard to this Amendment. This is the particular Bill that concerns itself with the truck testing issue. As Representative Woodyard and I and others who live on the borders of the various adjoining states indicated yesterday, this is a very important piece of legislation to us and very important now. Yesterday, there was some question about what the Bill did. Well, we had a great deal, I think, of confusion on the floor, so if you would abide with me a moment, let me point out what the Bill does do and what it doesn't do. First of all, it does not, in any way, repeal our testing program for trucks in Illinois. It applies only to an exemption for out of state registered division II vehicles, trucks, if you will. Some question arose yesterday as to the application of this Bill to those vehicles that are larger than pick-up trucks in division II. I would point out as I did yesterday, that currently any truck operating under the federal laws of Interstate Commerce is already exempted from our particular truck testing law. We're really talking about those people who have out of state registered pick-up trucks, for the most part. Particularly, as I said, on the borders of our state where I live and many others do here, we're losing much business. I would point out to you as Representative Woodyard did yesterday, one example alone, where a consumer in our district wrote to a lumber company and indicated that because of the law and the situation in Illinois, where we're having

small pick-up trucks stop from out of state that one individual alone did not buy some \$1200 worth of lumber commodities in our district because of the situation. All we're trying to do is make things fair for business in Illinois. Currently with this Bill as well, law enforcement has the opportunity to stop vehicles that it wishes, make checks, give tickets, order corrective action. That's where most of the activity goes on now, where people are stopped. That will still be the case. For many years, in fact dating back to 1932, we had reciprocity agreements with other states. The current situation has changed all of that. Adjoining states of Iowa and Indiana no longer have testing programs, so we have a problem. Only Missouri does. So I reiterate the points made here today and yesterday and would point out to you too, yesterday there was some confusion about who was for and against this Bill. The Department of Transportation supports this Bill and the Conference Committee Report. State Police support this Bill and the Conference Committee Report. The Motor Vehicle Laws Commission supports the Bill and the Conference Committee Report. And the Teamsters support the Bill and the Conference Committee Report. In fact, if you don't pass this particular report, anyone who crosses the state line into Illinois from Indiana is violating the law, even if they were going to be tested. And I submit to you that the need is not there and the situation is as I have indicated. And I reiterate, 85% of the trucks in this country are pick-up trucks. We're only talking about out of state trucks. The

remaining 15% almost all operate in interstate commerce as carriers and are already exempt. We need this Bill to help business. We need this Bill to keep people buying in Illinois, particularly where we have the situations as we do in the 53rd District and many others, where people from very small communities find it most economical to drive three or four miles into Illinois to buy instead of having to drive many more miles into a large city in Indiana. I urge your support of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor of adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2703 will signify by voting 'aye'; opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just in philosophy, general philosophy, I just wonder what this does to the people who are now advocating that we have even our cars tested. Does this fly in the face of that?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Van Duyne, would you move down one step and vote my light green for me please? Thank you. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Yes, Mr. Robbins, for what....?"

Robbins: "This is one of the reasons that Indiana repealed their law. They had a car testing law and it became so cumbersome that they not only took cars off the testing, but they took pick-ups off of testing. Now if you drove your car in Indiana in the last six years, you were subject to violation and you did not get a ticket because their law required all cars to be tested."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 108 'ayes' and 4 'nos'. And the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2708 by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority. All right. Now, Mrs. Alexander on your motion."

Alexander: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to move the House to suspend Rule 18K, for the pur...for the posting room with regards to Appropriations I and II, Subcommittee Affirmative Action hearings. This matter has been approved by both sides of the aisle. It was an inverted area on the part of staff, not to have it posted to this House and we're asking leave of this House to waive the posting requirements for these hearings."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The question on Ladies motion, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. Opinion of the chair, the 'ayes' have it, the Lady's motion's adopted. On Supplemental #3 appears Conference Committee Report or on House Bill 3622, the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is Mr. Lechowicz's Bill, House Bill 3622 which as it left..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Chapman, just a minute, please. What purpose does the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow, arise?"

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's my understanding that Rule 68 would pertain to this Conference Committee Report and therefore it has to be on the desk for one day."

Speaker Bradley: "Then you'll have to move to suspend

that..."

Chapman: "I move to suspend the appropriate rule in order that...that we may act on Conference Committee Report #1 at this time."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, the Lady moves to suspend 68B, I think. Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "I would also like to point out that prior to Mr. Lechowicz's departure, it was my understanding that Speaker Redmond was to handle this Bill and I was wondering if that was correct or if Mrs. Chapman was asked to handle it?"

Speaker Bradley: "Well, the chair would respond, I think, Mrs. Chapman is one of the Conference Committee members and I think that's why she's handling the Bill."

Chapman: "My understanding was that...that there was no problem with the Bill or the Conference Committee Report and I was acting on behalf of the Speaker and Mr. Lechowicz."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Well, there's a great deal of difficulty with this, it appropriates a half a million dollars for the Secretary of the State for a transition team and I think that ought to be taken into consideration."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, Mrs...Mrs. Chapman moves to suspend ..68B for the immediate consideration of Conference Committee Report on 3622. All in favor of the Lady's motion will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'."

Darrow: "Roll Call, Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "There's been a request for a Roll Call,

alright, all in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Darrow. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "If this receives 89 votes I'd like a verification."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 75 'ayes' and 11 'nos' and the Gentleman...the Lady's motion fails. Yes, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "I...I was about to request a Poll of the Absentees."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm sorry, you're certainly entitled to that. The Clerk will poll the absentees. The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Abramson. Alexander. Beatty. Bell. Bluthardt. Bradley. Braun. Bullock. Capparelli. Casey. Daniels. Giglio. Deuster. DiPrima. Domico. Ralph Dunn. Dyer. Epton. Ewell."

Speaker Bradley: "For what purpose does Mrs. Chapman rise?"

Chapman: "I withdraw my request, Sir...I'd like to withdraw my request..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady...the Lady withdraws her request for immediate consideration of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Member got anything that they would like to detain the Body with? Run for most popular Member? Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "No I...no...no...no..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers in French?"

Borchers: "Better take an interest in the Illinois history. I've never heard of money for transition before any...I was just curious upon what basis...I don't

want to bring up the point, but this was rather interesting."

Speaker Redmond: "There are more things on heaven and earth than you have dreamt of in your philosophy."

Borchers: "You're sure talking a straight ticket there."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? On the...wait a minute...getting ready here. What have you got, Mr. Clerk? Any change of votes? Motions for change of votes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Conti requests to vote 'aye' on Senate Bill 2040. Representative Macdonald requests to vote 'aye' on concurrence on House Bill 3622."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Anything further? Representative...are you ready? Introduction and First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3645, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Appropriations Committee I. Anything further? Anything, Mr. Clerk? Representative Giorgi on the adjournment. I know it. Move to adjourn to January 14, 1981 at 10 o'clock a.m. Is that correct?"

Giorgi: "I so move."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms objects."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, this is my last day in the House of Representatives..."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you promise?"

Simms: "I promise."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that...is that irrevocable?"

Simms: "That is irrevocable. I will be going over to the state Senate...to the upper...to the upper chambers of

the Legislature...But I would like...in all seriousness, I would like to thank each and every one of the Members of the House over the last ten years for the friendships that I have obtained, and the experience, and the ability, and the many fine memories that I have here in the House and having served under two Speakers of the House and the courtesies that have been extended. I appreciate the service in the House to the citizens of the state, and I thank the Membership for their courtesy, and I thank the Membership."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen...Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I think that he will know the feelings that we have towards him when his legislation comes over from the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything else? The question's on Representative Giorgi's motion that we adjourn till Wednesday January 14, 1981 at 10:00 a.m. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. The House now stands adjourned till January 14 at 10:00 a.m."

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
DECEMBER 05, 1980

PAGE 1

HB-2708	CONFERENCE	PAGE	51
HB-3622	CONFERENCE	PAGE	55
HB-3623	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	31
HB-3636	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	49
SB-1338	2ND READING	PAGE	10
	MOTIONS	PAGE	3
	MOTIONS	PAGE	20
	MOTIONS	PAGE	27
SB-2028	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	29
SB-2038	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HJR-0115	3RD READING	PAGE	2

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
DECEMBER 05, 1980

PAGE 2

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	9
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	28
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE	29
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE	31
MEMBER VOTE CHANGES	PAGE	58
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	59