

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Representative Hallstrom, you seeking recognition? She is not. To be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to thy service this day. Amen. Thomas Carlyle wrote: The first duty of a man is still that of subduing fear. We must get rid of fear; we cannot act at all till then. A man's acts are slavish, not true but specious; his very thoughts are false, he thinks too as a slave and coward till he has got fear under his feet. Let us pray. Almighty God, we employ Thy grace this day that we may see in perfect freedom the answers to the issues which are to be considered by this House of Representatives. Drive from us prejudice, preoccupation, and pettiness; unshackle us from our desires to be popular, complacent, and self-serving; enable us to express our true convictions and desires that we may best serve the people of this State of Illinois according to Thy will and Thy glory; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Pledge of Allegiance."

All: "I Pledge Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Introduction of First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Introduction and First Reading of Bills. House Bill 364...44, Representative Younge, a Bill for an Act to authorize the Director of Public Aid to make

certain grants in order to avoid an increased public aid burden on the state, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills Second Reading. Approval of the Journal, pardon me."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the 162nd Legislative Day. The House met pursuant to adjournment. The Speaker in the Chair. Prayer by Father William Krueger, Chaplain. Representative Ropp led the House in Pledge of Allegiance. By direction of the Speaker a roll call was taken..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal, and may the Journal #162 of November 19, 163 of November 20, and 164 November 21, 1980 be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. The Journals are approved as if read. Senate Bills Second Reading Senate Bill 2028. Out of the record. Do you want any of those Second Reading? Stand at ease for a minute. Representative Borchers, for what purpose do you rise?"

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, I have a relic of this House at my desk. It is a cane with a solid gold beautifully engraved head, and it was...it was carried and used by my grandfather in 1900 right on the floor of the House when he was a Member of the Legislature. If you would like to see this I would be glad to show it to you. I brought it just in case someone would like to see a genuine antique of the

House."

Speaker Redmond: "Which is the antique? Which is the antique?"

Borchers: "That isn't...let's see how to say that. Sir, that's none of your damn business."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills Second Reading Senate Bill 2030."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2030, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making appropriations to certain state agencies, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "2031."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2031, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making appropriations to certain state agencies, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor...no Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2033."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2033, a Bill for an Act amending Sections of an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Environmental Protection Agency, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2034."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2034, a Bill for an Act to amend

Acts..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could take this out of the record for a minute."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2035."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2035, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making appropriations for certain continuing Boards and Commissions, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2040."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2040, a Bill for an Act making appropriations and reappropriations to the Capital Development Board for certain permanent improvements and repairs of public community colleges, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise? What did you say?"

Walsh: "Deuster turned the switch on. I don't have anything to say."

Speaker Redmond: "You don't have anything to say? Let the record indicate that Representative Walsh is in the chambers. Any Member that has a Bill in any order of business that wants it called right not? Motions to change votes. Is that what's the next order, Mr. Clerk? Don't have any. Anything under the order of the Speaker's table that any Member wants called? Anything under the order of motions that any Member

wants called? Representative Simms, are you seeking recognition? Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, are you on orders of accepting amendatory vetoes or..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I asked the Members to tell me what they wanted, and nobody has done anything so far. Amendatory veto. Are you ready for that? Which one have you got?"

Simms: "Yeah, 1759. Mr. Speaker, I would be happy to call that."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we have to do something for five minutes here then I'll get back to you."

Simms: "Okay. Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. On the order of concurrence on page three appears House Bill 2777. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is the Legislative Reference Bureau revisory Bill. It was introduced in the House and held in the Senate until near the end of the Session so that all of the revisions that...could be put in. There are no substantive changes at all. These are things that clean up things that have to be done with the...by the Reference Bureau from time to time, and as far as I know there's no opposition to it, and I would appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2777. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will

take the record. On this question there's 130 'aye' and no 'nay', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2777. By a three-fifths vote the Clerk says. On amendatory veto motions on page four...roll call for attendance...appears Senate Bill 1759, the motion with respect to 1759. Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sandquist, would you please sit down?"

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1759 as amended by the Governor basically leaves the language of the legislation totally intact. The only proviso being changed allows...DCFS would be allowed to make a...out of state placements with the interstate compact on the placement of children. This amendatory language was added at the request of Representatives Polk and Darrow to accomodate a facility that does receive some placements in Davenport, Iowa, and I would make a motion that we do accept the amendatory veto on Senate Bill 1759."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? I think so. The question is 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 1759 by adoption of the Amendment?'. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 141 'aye' and no 'nay'. The motion having received the three-fifths Majority prevails, and the item...no, and

the House does accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change. And House Bill 1759 is declared passed. Representative Mautino, for what purpose do you arise? Temper?"

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, 1726 is also an amendatory veto motion. If you're looking for things to do I would be happy to take that up at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Under amendatory veto motions on page three, Senate Bill 1726. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto on 1726 which puts this legislation in the same posture as the previous House Bill that passed earlier in the Session. There is one additional recommendation that the Governor makes, and it allows the State of Illinois, if the Federal Congress enacts the provision for pension subtractions from unemployment benefits at 50% instead of the 100% that has been the rule as of March 1 of 1980. I think it is a good move. There should be no opposition from anyone, and those people receiving a pension or social security who are still working will come under the 50% bracket as a subtraction rather than 100%, and I move the adoption of the motion to accept the Governor's veto."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Stuffle: "Dick, I've gotten some calls on this particular

amendatory veto from people who receive retirement benefits from agencies...work in agencies in the federal government including military personnel. Does this treat...this 50%...does this treat social security, federal military pensions, and other pensions of federal employees the same way?"

Mautino: "No, basically the federal law said that 100% of whatever their social security, or federal pension, or individual pension...that amount would be reduced...that amount would reduce their unemployment compensation. What we're saying in this amendatory veto is that we can, if Congress allows us which they have allowed us to do, to reduce that to 50%. And also on the military basis, if in fact the military person did not receive his pension within 18 months of the qualifying period, there would be no deduction. So therefore, this solves your problem. It solves mine, and most of everybody elses in this room."

Stuffle: "Are we...didn't the federal law say we could adopt their findings in effect?"

Mautino: "That is what this Amendment does. Before we did not have the opportunity to do so. With this amendatory veto we have the opportunity to do so."

Stuffle: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is 'Shall the House concur with the Governor's recommendation for change with respect to Senate Bill 1726?'. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 136 'aye', and one 'no'. The motion having received the three-fifths Constitutional



Majority prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change. Representative Kane, 'aye'. Representative Tuerk, for what purpose do you rise?"

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would like to call your attention to a departing Member of this Body come next month, and that is one of the senior Members of the Body, Representative William Walsh. He is not only retiring, but also his secretary is retiring, Virginia Morris, fine Lady that has kept him on the straight and narrow for the last several years, and they are having a little happy hour in Bill's office immediately following adjournment, and it would be my purpose to inform the Body that those of you that can drop by and have a little fun with Big Bill and Virginia, why they would appreciate having you, and that is the purpose of the announcement."

Speaker Redmond: "I thought his wife's name was Barbara."

Tuerk: "His wife's name is Barbara. She is a fine Lady. She's..."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's this Virginia you're talking about?"

Tuerk: "Virginia Morris, his secretary. But how Barbara has put up with him for so many years, we...maybe we could get some indication of that this afternoon. But at any rate they are having a little party."

Speaker Redmond: "Page three amendatory veto motions Senate Bill 1706, Representative Grossi."

Grossi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. At this time I would like to move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto on Senate Bill 1706. What the Governor's amendatory veto has done is change the special sentencing provision to

provide that those persons that actually care for the child are eligible for this special sentencing provision. This is in addition to several other changes the Governor has made. At this time I move for the acceptance of the Governor's amendatory veto."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 1706 by adoption of the Amendment?'. All in favor indicate by voting 'aye' and opposed voting 'no'. We'll use this for the attendance roll call, too. Can we do that, Mr. Clerk? Can't do that. Oh, that's right. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 138 'aye', and no 'nay'. The motion having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Representative Cissy Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would you please let the record show that Representative Betty Lou Reed and Representative Bob Piel are excused because of illness?"

Speaker Redmond: "Who are they?"

Stiehl: "Representative Betty Lou Reed and Representative Bob Piel."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Hearing none, the record will so show. Representative Sumner."

Sumner: "Thank you, Mr...Mr. Speaker, would you record me as 'yes' on that last one. My Board wasn't working, but it is now."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Sumner as 'aye'."

Roll call for attendance. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the records show that Representative DiPrima is excused because of illness and that Representative Braun is excused because of the illness of her child."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, the record will so show. DiPrima and Braun. On page two under the order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 2029. Representative Winchester. 2029. Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

*Start*  
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2029, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Administrative Services, and the Capital Development Board, and the State Board of Education, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Jane Barnes, amends Senate Bill 2029 on page one by deleting the title and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jane Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, it amends the fiscal '81 appropriation for the Illinois Arts Council. It distinguishes by line item the operational expenses of disbursing a grant from the grant itself. Previously there was no break out for this function. It also transfers \$24,500 out of contractual services into a new line item for travel expenses. There is no change in the total appropriation. I would ask a favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins. Representative Collins is growing old. The question's on the Lady's

motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2036 under the same order of business. Senate Bills Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2036, a Bill for an Act amending certain fiscal year 1981 appropriation Acts and to provide supplemental appropriations thereto, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Chapman, amends Senate Bill 2036 on page five by inserting between line 27 and 28 the following Section 2A: No expenditures will be allowed in the appropriations made in Section 2 until the amounts have been approved in writing by the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is this 2036? Is that the correct...Representative Chapman on the Amendment."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, this is a technical Amendment. There is no dollar change. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Penny Pullen."

Pullen: "Could the Lady please tell us what House Bill 2036 is...Senate Bill, excuse me?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "I don't see...Oh, I am on...This is Senator Regner's Bill that provides...fiscal 1981 appropriations for the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission. It might be better for Mr. Swanstrom to be responding to these questions. He is the Sponsor

of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "...Since the Amendment is the Lady's Amendment and refers specifically to Section 2 of the Bill, could you tell us what Section 2 is?"

Chapman: "Yes, what the Amendment...the Amendment inserts language that is necessary, we understand, to allow the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission to have proper control over the expenditure of funds for certain programs."

Pullen: "Well, what programs are in Section 2?"

Chapman: "There are...there are two programs here within the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission, the one is for the federal criminal justice trust fund, prosecutors, managment information system. And the other is for the police information management system. Then, one more. In...under the Department of Corrections this is a program for the sexually dangerous offenders and one for assistant...assistance to local prosecutors."

Pullen: "Are those the programs in Section 2 which this Amendment refers to?"

Chapman: "Would you repeat yourself, Representative?"

Pullen: "Your Amendment refers...your Amendment concerns expenditures from appropriations made in Section 2."

Chapman: "That is right, and I have identified the four programs."

Pullen: "Those...those programs are Section 2?"

Chapman: "Yes, ma'am."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those

in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Kelly at the podium."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I know that 1980 has been a traumatic experience for many of us who have been involved in the elections, well this was a traumatic experience for one Stan Mikita who has retired as a member of the Chicago Blackhawks Hockey Team, and it is my pleasure to have Stan as our guest of honor in the House of Representatives today. You know, many people have an opinion that Chicago is a sports city, does not have a winner. And I'll say this, Ladies and Gentlemen, many of us are well aware that the reason we don't always have championship teams is because we don't always have championship players, and certainly a number of citizens over the years who have contributed greatly to Chicago, to the sports, and certainly the State of Illinois, and a man who has been a person who is not only an outstanding player, but certainly Hall of Fame member, and a man who is, I feel, a Gentleman who has well represented Chicago well represented the State of Illinois, at this time before I ask San...Stan Mikita to address the Body, I would like to ask our distinguished Clerk of the House, Jack O'Brien, to read a Resolution which will be presented to Stan following a...the presentation program."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1045, whereas Stan Mikita

of the Chicago Blackhawks is one of the greatest centers ever to play in the National Hockey League. A player of exceptional intelligence and eligance who has become a hero to countless Chicago fans; and whereas Stan Mikita retired last April after playing for the Blackhawks for 21 years, longer than any other player in the team's history and still holds career records for points, 1469 and assists, 926; and whereas Stan Mikita has been named to the All-Star team eight times and has won numerous honors and awards including the Hart Trophy for the NHL's most valuable player twice, the Ross Trophy for the individual scoring champion four times, and the Lady Byng Trophy for sportsmanship twice, and whereas the Black...Chicago Blackhawks have retired the number 21 jersey he has worn since 1959 and honor bestowed on no other player, and displayed a banner in permanent commemoration of his extraordinary career. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 81st General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we join Blackhawk fans everywhere in paying tribute to the great Stan Mikita and express our gratitude to the years of enjoyment he has given the people of this state. Be it further resolved that suitable copies of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Stan Mikita as an expression of our best wishes."

Kelly: "Representative Lechowicz is recognized."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is with a deep sense of pleasure I move for the adoption of this Resolution. As Representative Kelly pointed out to the Membership, Stan Mikita is not only

an asset to the Chicago Blackhawks and to the tremendous field of hockey, but he is really a tribute to all of mankind because anyone who was there that evening at the Chicago stadium when his uniform was retired, known...what shown the deep respect all of Chicago hockey fans had for this individual, but more important than that, the deep respect that was...that was held to this individual by his fellow teammates and other people who played the great sport of hockey, but Stan Mikita is a man who has a tremendous devotion for his family, has a tremendous devotion for the people of Illinois, has a tremendous devotion for the freedom that we possess in the United States. Ladies and Gentlemen, this man is really a...a wonderful individual who not only deserves a tribute of this Body, but of all the people in the State of Illinois. I move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Kelly: "It has been moved for the adoption of House Resolution 1045. Representative Pierce is recognized."

Pierce: "Mr. Kelly, and Mr. Speaker, and Stan Mikita, there is something about Stan that some of you don't know, and that is he is a darn good tennis player. I once had the opportunity to play doubles against him in a celebrity tennis tournament for the benefit of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome up on the...up in the Park Ridge area I believe it was, and he is a very fine and serious tennis player, so I think Stan will now go on to a career on the tennis courts, and he can make that a rugged game as well."

Kelly: "Representative Conti."



Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know how many tennis rackets Stan has broken, or I don't know how many hockey sticks he has broken, but I can attest that he's broken a few 'four woods', 'three woods', and drivers on the golf course, and I think that if Stan had to be guaged by his athletic adeptness I think he would be a tremendous golfer in the field of golf, too. We'd like to see you in the...follow up with golf now, Stan."

Kelly: "He's a professional. Representative McCourt for a comment."

McCourt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I definitely concur in the Resolution honoring Stan Mikita, but I think there is something left out of the Resolution, and I know it from personal experience. Some years ago when my son was captain of the Loyola Academy hockey team he received a crippling illness on the ice, and one of the greatest things while he was in the hospital convalescing was a visit of Stan Mikita. He came to Evanston to the hospital. He spent over an hour with my son, and this is not the only visit that...that this fine Gentleman has made. Besides being a tremendous athlete in...throughout the country, he has given up his time to go and visit injured players and other people that are hospitalized, and I heartily concur in this recommendation and in this Resolution that we are giving to this outstanding person today. Thank you."

Kelly: "It's...it's been moved and seconded the passage of House Resolution 1045. All in favor say 'aye',...unanimously passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, it

is my deep personal honor, it is a pleasure to introduce to you Stan Mikita, a great Illinoisian."

Stan Mikita: "Thank you, Mr. Kelly, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Little did I realize 32 years ago when I left the communist country of Czechoslovakia that I would be standing here in front of you today. I can only say that this type of thing can only happen in America, and as I mentioned at my retirement, this was due to one man, and I presented him with my jersey and that was my father. Without him I could not be here accepting this honor, and on behalf of my wife and my children I want to thank all of you and especially Mr. Kelly for this great honor. Thank you all very kindly."

Kelly: "The Speaker wanted me to announce which county he is from. It is from DuPage County that Mr. Redmond, and Lee Daniels, and also Gene Hoffman are from...are his Legislators."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 1759, Simms. Did we take that one? Okay. 1978...wait a minute. Representative Ryan. Pardon me."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have a new Member that has been seated this morning and sworn in this morning, and I would like to introduce him to this Body if I may."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Ryan: "Mr. Redd Griffin was selected by the 21st Legislative District, a Republican Committeeman, to fill the unexpired term and the 82nd General Assembly term of the late Vince Molloy. Mr. Griffin is a high school teacher at Morton High School in social services. He

has taught since 1967 in both high schools and community colleges. He has twice been elected to the trustee of the Oak Park Township Board and has been vice president of the Trustees' Association of Cook County. He is also involved with audio visual...as an audio visual writer, a producer, and a lecturer, and now adds the title of State Representative. I would like to introduce Representative Redd Griffin of Oak Park."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Griffin...Representative Griffin."

Griffin: "This is the first time I've used this microphone.

All I can say is coming down here...that...the diversity of people here and the diversity of backgrounds, and attitudes, skills, and interests really seems to me to represent Illinois and as something that, for 20 years, I have wanted to do. Twenty years ago last summer I was down here to help to bring Bill Horsley, a Member of this Body playing Abraham Lincoln from Springfield to Chicago for the Republican National Convention. We came down to this particular room, I came in and at that time, 100 years after Lincoln's nomination, I was surprised to see his portrait hanging here on the right, and Douglas' on the left, and I thought anybody who could claim to come from a Legislature that had these two people as the heads of their Parties at one time had to be a very special place. I am honored to be here. I hope I'll learn a great deal from you, and I hope that I can contribute something to this distinguished Assembly. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Under amendatory veto motions appears

Senate Bill 1978 on page four. Representative Campbell...1978. Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1978 is basically the same legislation that passed the House originally. The only thing that was changed, the Governor did amendatorily veto Amendment #5 which dealt with the Oak Forest Hospital, and he did this on the basis of the fact that the Amendment was drafted in such a way as to make as to make it special legislation. In addition to that, it really doesn't qualify for federal reimbursement, and I would move to accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change and ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 141 'aye', and two 'no'. The Bill having...the motion having received the Constitutional Majority prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change. He says it doesn't. I didn't. He said it doesn't take it. Having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority. There is a problem between the Clerk and the Parliamentarian. The Clerk won. Representative Griffin, do you desire to cast a vote on this measure? Representative Griffin...Representative Ryan, I've been advised by the Clerk that Representative Griffin is recorded on the roll call. Ryan is not paying any attention. Parliamentarian, the Clerk tells me he is not recorded

as voting. Senate Bills Second Reading Senate bill 2041."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2041, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Nursing Care...Nursing Home Care Reform Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Is Gene Hoffman here? Page four under amendatory veto motions appears Senate Bill 1747. Representative Jane Barnes in the chamber? You want to go with 1747?"

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1747 was passed out of this House very favorably, and the Governor saw fit to make the effective date instead of July 1, 1981, December 1, 1980. And I would request a favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill...Senate Bill 1747 by adoption of the Amendment?'. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 133 'aye' and six 'no'. The motion having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change. Parliamentarian here? Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Vinson: "While we're casting around, Mr. Speaker, for things

to do, I think it might be appropriate for me to move Senate Bill 2028 to Third Reading. It is my intention to take that back so that everybody can take a crack at it with their Amendments tomorrow, but the people who know the rules seem to indicate that we might want to move it to Third Reading today."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any Amendments on the...the order of Senate Bills Second Reading on page two, 2028."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2028, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide appropriations to certain agencies, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "I understand there are Amendments that have not...that are not yet ready. Is that correct? And you're wish is to move it to Third and then bring it back tomorrow?"

Vinson: "I'll bring it back tomorrow, and we'll give everybody a crack at their Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there...are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "There are Amendments filed."

Speaker Redmond: "You want to do everything tomorrow? How about the ones that are here now? Eight of them are printed. Do you want to take those eight? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, it is whatever your preference is. We could take those eight now or we could take the whole 'schebang' tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "My...my off-hand thought on of course I'll defer to the Clerk is that you should take the

Amendments that are here now and then you may not have to bring it back. So why don't we go with the Amendments that you have. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Chapman, amends Senate Bill 2028 on page three by deleting line 27 and 28 and inserting in lieu thereof the following...necessary..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I do have Amendment #1. Would it be appropriate for me to ask the Sponsor of the Bill if he is willing to return the Bill to Second Reading tomorrow for further Amendments if they were not all considered today."

Vinson: "Yes, Madame Chairperson. I...I believe that there are a number of people who talked to me on the floor who have indicated they want to amend the Bill, and because it is one of the few remaining vehicles, I think I...in the rush, I might exclude somebody, so I would say that I am willing, yes, to return it tomorrow to Second."

Chapman: "Thank you very much, Sir. Amendment #1 does not change the dollars appropriated, but it simply provides that \$307,000 which was to come from the capital development bond fund for remodeling at Galesburg instead would be appropriated from the general revenue fund. This is because we're talking about a small amount of money, and it is for renovation and remodeling of a present structure, and so the general revenue fund appears to be a much more appropriate place to get the money from rather than

from bonds. I move adoption."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would oppose the Gentlelady's Amendment for a couple of reasons. What her effort is is to transfer the expenditures so that they are not appropriated out of the general revenue fund rather that they are appropriated from the...I'm sorry, so that they are not appropriated out of the capital development fund, but are appropriated out of the general revenue fund. Now, I think it is always an important question for us to take a look at as to what fund money should be appropriated from, and I...I certainly would share the concern of Ms. Chapman and other Members of the House who might feel that...we should not erect things that will last for less than the life of the...of the bonds. I...in this case, I think if you take a close look at the improvements suggested by this Bill, they will...their life will not expire before the life of the bonds. This attempts to do things with walls, fire systems, and so forth, which are going to have very long lives. And it is important that we get this Bill through, that we get this money through so that we can improve the quality of this facility and so that the retarded people in this facility will be properly cared for. I would be...I think that we're going to have to take a look at these questions throughout the Session, and I think it would be very sad for us to get into a situation where because we cut our pennies and cut our corners too closely, that we did not fully utilize the capital development fund at a time when the general revenue fund is under such



tremendous pressure. So I would urge a 'no' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I feel very strongly that we're making a mistake if we rely on bonds for so many expenditures. However, I've been talking with Representative Roman Kosinski who I regard as a very good resource on these kinds of matters, and he recommends to me that we not make an issue of this, and so I would like to withdraw this Amendment please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson...a question? You ready for the question or do you want to..."

Vinson: "No...the Gentlelady withdrew the Amendment so..."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, I see. Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Who did you say withdrew it?"

Vinson: "The...the Gentlelady from..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, that's what I thought I heard you say. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 2028 by deleting Section 2 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who is the Sponsor? Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2082 (sic) is an Amendment line item for \$100,000. This Amendment is presented because the Pilot House, the mental health facility in Henry County...went on a drive and purchased property for their new...facility and applied then for a HUD grant. Under the HUD

guidelines the Director, Harry 'Plitz' said that the 10 acres of which they purchased, six that were in timber land under Class 2 property and four that they were going to build the facility on was prime farmland. The difference between the classifications for prime farmland and regular Agriculture 1 costwise is approximately \$100,000. We're asking for a grant so that if in fact HUD does not change their evaluation of prime farmland, the additional money will be there for the completion of the building, and that is what the Amendment is all about. I would be happy to answer any questions, and if there are none I would ask for favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's...Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, I...I would say that I probably ought to, Mr. Speaker, briefly elucidate for the Members what this issue is about. I do not personally oppose the issue. I believe the Governor's office and certain other people may oppose the issue. It is the question of whether we should appropriate \$100,000 to buy prime farmland as I understand it for a mentally retarded facility. I believe that part of the...the reason that this issue came up was because of the confusion surrounding the mental health appropriation last spring. Some people might repose...might oppose it on the basis of...of the cost, and other people might be for it because they of the need to help these people, and I'll let everybody choose their own conscience on the issue."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further, Representative Mautino?"

Mautino: "In closing, Mr. Speaker, I would like to guarantee for Representative Vinson on the floor here today that if in fact HUD changes their mind on the classification of the property which has already been purchased, I would be happy to have or ask for elimination of that \$100,000. This is a protective measure only, Sam, so that HUD will allow them...they've got the money. They've got the property, but HUD changed the classification of the land. I would be happy to ask for an elimination if in fact HUD changes their mind on the...on the value of the property. And I do ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's...question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question...98 'aye' and 18 'no'. The motion carries, and Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Mulcahey, amends Senate Bill 2028 by deleting Section 3 and inserting in lieu thereof Section 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "...Who is the Sponsor? Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to table Amendment #3. I withdraw Amendment #3, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3 was withdrawn. Floor Amendment #4, Mulcahey, amends Senate Bill 2028 by deleting Section 2 and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House."

Amendment #4 simply incorporates into the Bill the St. Francis School in Freeport, the St. Vincent School in Freeport a total of \$225,000 which takes effect immediately becoming...upon becoming law, and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Again on this one my posture would be the same as before. I do...I would appreciate it if Representative Mulcahey would elucidate the purpose of the funds."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yeah, Representative Vinson, of course the purpose of the funds, of course, is to maintain maintenance of the schools. Originally the...this was included. It was supposed to have been included in the Governor's budget, of course, and with the delay that we had last year at the last moment of appropriation time, by the time this report came to the state it was not included in the Governor's budget although I am sure the Governor had intended to do so, and as a result this is basically a supplemental appropriation as well...not even a supplemental appropriation. It is more or less the full appropriation that these people need in order to maintain...maintain these two institutions."

Speaker Matijevich: "Further discussion? If not, Representative Mulcahey has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill...Senate Bill 2028. All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. And

the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 2028 by deleting Section 4..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino...Mautino."

Mautino: "I would like...I would like to table Amendment #5 and go with Amendment #6."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman asks leave to table Amendment #5...he withdraws to table Amendment #5. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 2028..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino, on Amendment #6."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment is for \$300,000 for personal services. This came about because of the certification needed at Dixon Developmental Center. The problem occurred and was addressed and discussed with Representative Peters in Appropriation II. There is a provision in the mental health contract between AFSCME, ISEA, and the administration that mandates...that states specifically mandatory overtime in four classifications. Yet, the money was not appropriated nor spent in that regard. This \$300,000 covers those mandatory overtime provisions that are in those classifications. I think they are Clerk I, II, III, IV, Tech I, II, III. And basically with this Amendment those people can be paid for that overtime provision. It goes to the administration not to those employees for certification. Happy to answer any

questions on the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #6. On that the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman indicated that he had had conversations on this subject with this side of the aisle. I am certainly not privy to any of those conversations. Nobody has approached me on this item. I think we have tried to work with people on the other side of the aisle as Mr. Mautino's previous Amendment and Mr. Mulcahey's Amendment demonstrated, and I would oppose the Amendment. I am in no way advised that it is necessary, and we are facing a very difficult fiscal crunch in the state. So I would oppose it and I would urge everybody to vote against it."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 2028. On that...those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 83 'ayes', 67 'nays', and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 2028 by deleting Section 2 and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino, on Amendment #7."

Mautino: "I would like to withdraw Amendment #7."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #7 is withdrawn. Further

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 2028 as amended on page four by inserting immediately..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino, on Amendment #8."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House and Representative Vinson, this Amendment is in agreement with the Department of Public Health. This is the amount of money under the WIC program under the Federal Department of Agriculture originally paid to Positive Options Company of Paxton, Illinois who was then to pay the vendors for food substances under that program. Positive Options, in fact, did not pay the vendors, but were paid, and the Department of Public Health has agreed to institute action against public...against Positive Options in re...remitting this money, but the vendors must be paid. These are for bills in June and July of 1980. So therefore, with agreement from the Department, I have instituted this Amendment and ask for its adoption. This goes to pay grocery stores in Central Illinois for foodstuffs that were purchased but not paid for."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #8. On that the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, again nobody has communicated to us that there has been any agreement on this or that there is any desire for this by the Department. I would emphasize on the Amendment

that we now are being asked to vote for the third item of pork in...for Mr. Mautino's district. He ripped us off on some prime farmland that we were very kind to help him with. He ripped us off on some overtime pay for union employees, and now he is trying to rip us off for food stamps. And I think we've got to draw a line someplace, and I would urge that everybody vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield? How much money is involved in this?"

Mautino: "The amount of dollars included in this Amendment is \$4,000 that were vouchered by the drug stores and grocery stores from about the Indiana border to the Iowa border through the State of Illinois."

Brummer: "Well, why don't they pursue the...the individual that was a recipient of the public funds?"

Mautino: "They are through court action. But we also, because of the fiscal year impaction which is under the federal law, we have to have an appropriation to pay those people and then go back after Positive Option."

Brummer: "Well, as I understand it, we've already paid for those services and those goods. The problem is it just didn't get to the correct vendor. Is that right?"

Mautino: "There is a court system going on right now. Yes. There is court action going on now or is going to be introduced by..."

Brummer: "The State has already paid this once."



Mautino: "The federal government paid Positive Options, but the retailers did not get paid."

Brummer: "Right, but the federal funds...I mean the public funds were expended for these purposes."

Mautino: "We'll be..."

Brummer: "They just didn't get passed through to the retailers as they should."

Mautino: "They will be when court action is taken."

Brummer: "What will happen if the retailers obtain judgments against the...the intermediary? Are they then going to reimburse the state for...for these appropriations?"

Mautino: "No. It's during the portion...it is during the point of time when the state was...was involved in a contractual dispute with Positive Option. What we have is both a legal problem, but that is no reason to take it out on the vendors who supplied the foodstuffs. And most of these bills are all like within \$100 or \$200. There is quite a few small ones. And it costs \$100 to go into small claims court, so this is the best way to do it."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a...just a brief comment on this \$4,000 as to what our previous speaker said be...a little while ago. He is belaboring the \$4,000 a rip off, and then I...I want to alert you at the very next Amendment. He has got one for \$650,000 which incorporates but not limits to all the heating, ventilating, and then he without going through contract or without for bids he...giving the sole

discretion to the Governor. So..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson says..."

Van Dyne: "I didn't mention his...I didn't mention his name..."

Speaker Matijevich: "He says all of that is out of order. We're on Amendment #8. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Besides that, I am going to withdraw Amendment #9."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's better yet. Further discussion? The Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "I don't think anybody else wants to speak anyway. Representative Mautino has moved for the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 2028. Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #8 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Vinson..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #9, I understand, is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #10, Vinson,..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Is that printed? It is being passed out right now. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson, on Amendment #10."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Amendment appropriates \$650,000 from the Capital Development Board to correct some very severe problems at the Illinois State Museum. If this Amendment is not adopted, and if we don't correct these problems very quickly, much of the collection is going to be severely damaged. Environmental controls, humidity

problems, and so forth are going to actually damage the art involved. It is my understanding that everybody has approved of this Amendment, and I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Vinson has moved the adoption of Amendment #10...you want to change that up on the Board? On Amendment #10...on that the Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

McClain: "Mr. Vinson, lines 19 to 22 where it says no contract shall be entered into etcetera without the Governor's signature, is that a usual provision?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Vinson, are you answering that?"

Vinson: "I'm sorry. Was there a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Matijevich: "I thought so. Could you pose that question again, Representative McClain?"

McClain: "Yes, Sir. Mr. Vinson, lines 19 through 22 of your Amendment where it requires that no contract shall be entered into without the Governor's signature, is that a usual provision on all contracts?"

Vinson: "We think that should be standard, Representative. The real purpose for this is that the...the emergency is so extreme that actual damage is going to be done to the collection very quickly if we don't get this thing done very quickly, and that is the purpose for the whole thing."

McClain: "Well, don't you trust your Capital Development Board Director or your new Secretary of State?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, in answer to the question, that is standard language. Any capital funds have to be released by the Governor. And that is all that language refers to. Any time you expend capital funds before the expenditure they have to be released by the Governor."

McClain: "So what you're saying is that the fund has to be...the monies have to be released even before a contract is entered into?"

Vinson: "Yes."

McClain: "You're sure that this...this exact language, exact, Sam, is standard language for all expenditures from the fund?"

Vinson: "That's what the lawyers and the staff people tell me."

McClain: "Exact language."

Vinson: "That's what the lawyers and the staff people tell me. Now, I have not sat down and read that language, and I've never happened to have handled a capital development Bill in the past, but that is what the lawyers and the staff people tell me, Representative."

McClain: "Well, if that ends up not being exact language, what...what do you see is the ramifications from line 19 through 22 as a lawyer?"

Vinson: "I think that what the ramifications of those are as a lawyer is that you cannot enter into a contract...the Secretary of State cannot enter into a contract prior to the allocation of the money being approved by the Governor. So...so that the Governor does not...as a condition precedent make that allocation of the funds, then the Secretary can't

enter into the contract."

McClain: "It is my understanding from the bond funds what usually happens is that the Secretary of State enters into a contract pursuant to the monies being released from the development fund. Now for the first time you're putting the Governor into the process even before the contract can be entered into."

Vinson: "It's not correct. That is not my understanding. My understanding that...is that this has always been a condition precedent. Now, let me make this representation to you. We can have the lawyers on your staff and the lawyers on our staff sit down and see if that, in fact, has not always been a condition precedent. And we can have that done this afternoon. And when I take this thing back for Second Reading tomorrow, if it has not been, I'll take the Amendment off. I have no desire to...to slip anything by on this Amendment."

McClain: "Thank you. Let's do that, alright?"

Vinson: "Yeah."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne. Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you..."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. Representative Mautino, would you come up to the podium for a second? You've got some...and Mulcahey. You've got some problems with Amendment #2 and 4 at least. You want to come up? Mulcahey and Mautino. Proceed, Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker. It looks like this Amendment really gives permission to the

Governor to take away the job of the two Appropriation Committees by giving him the authority...specific authority here. It says...in other words it is just saying that he has the discretion as to write the contract, and as long as he signs it, why it is approved and then the money can be taken away from the CDB appropriation. Now, a couple of things I would like to know is first of all, is this GRF money, and also, what do you mean by not limited to? Are they going to change the whole face of the outside of the building? You're...you...you say here that rehabilitation but not limited to replacement of the heating, ventilating, air conditioning, and environmental, etc...etc...which means that if the Governor through his discretion or judgment wants to change the outside face of the building he can do that too, or add a room onto it, or whatever he wants really. And also, I would like to have you answer one other question. What's the difference between Amendment #9 and #10?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Nine has been withdrawn."

Van Duyne: "I know, but they seem indetical, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Van Duyne: "And also..."

Vinson: "Trying to address the questions in reverse order, Mr. Van Duyne, Amendment #9 was technically out of order. Amendment #10 is substantively the same thing, but nine was out of order. Now, the second part of the question deals with the 'but not limited to' language, and what I believe that that pertains to is

that we're not trying to control the language so tightly that if you have to do some electrical work in the process of doing the environmental work or the heating work, that that would be precluded. And we contrive language very narrowly in these appropriation Bills. You do...you have the threat of precluding that. And we're trying to avoid that. The third part of the question deals with the matter of the Appropriations Committees. What the...the Governor is not going to spend this money. This money and this agency falls under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of State. Now he is the one that ultimately has to make the decision. We frequently, through the appropriations process and particularly in capital development fund matters, have language in the Bill which says that before the Governor...before an agency can spend the money, the Governor has to release the money. The purpose for that is to insure that the money is actually there. You've got to go through the bond sales process. You've got to get them underwritten. You've got to get the money coming in, and you frequently have a cashflow problem. That is the purpose for that language as I understand it. Now the fourth part of the question..."

Van Duyne: "I only asked two questions."

Vinson: "No, you had four questions, Representative, and I believe the fourth part of the question dealt with the question about the...whether the...what fund the money came from. And it comes from the capital development fund, not the GRF."

Van Duyne: "Okay. Thank you very much. Nevertheless, I am

still opposed to the Amendment. The...the specific language of the Amendment does not preclude, I believe you said you believe, and I don't really care what you believe. What I would like to know...know is what the Amendment says, and I really...I am kind of cynical in my belief that maybe you might be going to use some of this for personal services later on. And while I am talking, could I ask you another question? Did Secretary of State Alan Dixon, ask for this appropriation? Answer me specifically, Sam. Could...could this emphasize the 'could this' be used for personal services under the way the Amendment is written?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker, Representative Matijevich...Mr. Speaker. I appreciate the floor, but I think there was a question just asked of the Sponsor of the Amendment. I would like to be able to speak thereafter."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Well, I believe this time there were two questions asked. And I believe the answer to the two questions is that both Representa...Secretary of State Dixon and Secretary of State designate Edgar are in favor of spending this money. I believe the second part of the question is that the...it would be a closely litigated matter as to whether this could be spent for personal services or not. I think that the decision of the court would be that it could not be, but you might be right."



Speaker Matijevich: "Now the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In rising to support this I would hope that maybe Representative McClain was off of the phone because I might be able to shed some light on the question he raised. The language in lines 16, 17, and 18 which calls for the approval of the release of the money is, in fact,...this is in fact standard procedure in capital development releases. And the Governor is not appropriating the money. He is just authorizing the release of the money. In a recent situation that Representative Matijevich and I just went through on the Waukegan Harbor improvement, you'll recall that the money was appropriated in two increments over the last two and a half years. However, that money has never been released because we were waiting for various EPA approvals, and federal approvals, and permits, and God knows what else. Even after that was all done pursuant to statute, the Governor had to sign the release of the money before any contract could be signed for the preliminary engineering. So this has been done before, and I don't think it in any way infringes upon the appropriation process."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, it seems to me that this language of the Amendment is extremely loose. I would like to point out following the line that Representative Van Duyne said 'but not

limited to', if you read the whole area of 12 through 18 you'll find out the word 'but not limited' is extremely important in this Amendment. According to what Representative Vinson said, this could be for personal services. This could go to even rehabilitation of offices over there. It doesn't say that that is exempt. It says it's not limited to. We could put a new office building over there under this \$650,000. And certainly that smacks of a little patronage somewhere in there. And I would say with that not limited to ought to be stricken if we're going to pass this Amendment. So at this time I would ask for a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, with leave of the chamber, Mr. Griesheimer referred to me. Could I ask Mr. Griesheimer a question? On that...Ron, on that Waukegan bridge, was that exact language in your Bill? It might be operating procedure between constitutional officers, and it might be operating procedure from the Capital Development Board, was that exact language in the law?"

McClain: "To my best recollection, it did require the Governor to release the money. Now, Representative Matijevich handled the most recent appropriation Bill where I think the language was in there. But it is my understanding that it did, in fact, require the Governor to release the money, and therefore we haven't been able to touch it until he has signed the release."

Speaker Matijevich: "If you're asking me the question, Representative McClain, I doubt if it is in the statute. That is standard practice, but I doubt if it is in the statute. I doubt. The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I am not sure what all the opposition of the other side of the aisle means, but I can tell you that the people in the Secretary of State's office had agreed to this and had even agreed to put in the Bill for this and somehow, I guess, in the heat of running for United States Senator...the House of Representatives, they forgot to do their work. So this is just a follow up to correct an error that was made by the present Secretary of State. As for trying to interpret this the new Secretary of State could use this for personal services, I don't think they're reading the whole thing because it says for rehabilitation of the Illinois State Museum. Now I don't know how you can get involved in personal services in that area, but if you can read that in there you can read more than I can. Maybe there is something I don't see. Maybe they would like to explain how they read that in there."

Speaker Matijevich: "Further discussion? Representative Vinson, do you want to close?"

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. In the...enormous amount of time that we have taken to go through this appropriation we have come up with some very solid answers to the Gentleman on the other side of the aisle. In respect to Mr. McClain's question...or Mr. Van Duyne's

question rather about whether proceeds...whether proceeds can be used for personal services, I would cite him to Section 1...Chapter 127 Section 753. That is the authority under which the bonds for this purpose are sold. That delimits the purposes for which the bonds can be applied. It does it in conjunction with the appropriations Bill. And the appropriations Bill cannot exceed the authority of that Bill. Now that is a Chapter. Now what that says is it delimits it very quickly to acquisition, development, construction, reconstruction, improvement, financing, architecture, planning installation of capital facilities, and durable equipment. There is no way in which personal services is listed in that paragraph, and the money cannot be spent for personal services. It is very clear. The answer is absolutely ironclad on that subject and any attempt to suggest that it is not...just one second...any attempt to suggest that it is not is a mirage for attacking the historical library and the artifacts within it and the public's right to be educated in this cultural fashion. Now in addition, Mr. Speaker, could I have some order? This is an important subject."

Speaker Matijevich: "Could we have your attention? Proceed."

Vinson: "Now, in addition to that, there was some question by Mr. McClain about the language in 19...lines 19 through 22 of the statute. Now, what the...the Amendment, I'm sorry. What the statute says, and that is 127 Paragraph 757 is that very clearly the Governor

has to approve for any agency after the Legislature has authorized the money, the Governor has to personally approve that money for the agency to get it. Now I'll read the language since everybody is concerned about the details of the language. 'At all times the proceeds from the sale of the bonds are subject to appropriation by the General Assembly and may be expended with approval of the Governor in such amounts and at such times as the respective Department, authority, public corporation, Commission Board, agency, university, or college deems necessary or desirable for the specific purposes contemplated by this Act.' Clearly you have to have the approval of the Governor, and that is all this language refers to. It again simply squares the language in a proposed appropriation Bill with the language in the underlying statute. Now finally since I am closing and since what we're trying to do here is to preserve the integrity of the various arrowheads and paintings and so forth that are on display over there, a valuable collection, that has some enormous value monetarily and culturally for the history of this state. I would urge that people vote for this Amendment because it is necessary in the judgment of the experts that we establish these environmental control systems very quickly or we may have a very substantial emergency. I would urge a 'yes' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Vinson has moved for the adoption of Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 2028. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. And Amendment...let's try one more. Those in favor

signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this issue, there are 81 'ayes' and 28 loud 'noes'. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, McGrew, amends Senate Bill 2028 as amended by inserting immediately after Section 4 the following...Section 4.2, and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative...the Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. That should be corrected to be Knox, I have spoken to the Speaker about that before. Amendment #11 would appropriate the sum of 5.287 million dollars to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. What has happened, frankly, were several things that...that led to the need for the adoption of this Amendment. First of all, the ISSC had suggested that we would get a hundred and sixty-six thousand applications this year. In actuality, we had a hundred and seventy-five thousand applications. But even, had we get...had we gotten the figure correct, let me tell you what's happened to the cost of education in the State of Illinois. In the past years, the number of eligible students have about seventy-three percent of those that applied to the ISSC. This year the experience factor is eighty percent of those that apply, are indeed, eligible for that type of a grant. So you can see that the need is there in terms of the students. Compound that with the fact that the average college in the State of

Illinois has gone up somewhere between 11 and 12 percent increase to the student in the cost of that education. And for those reasons, we have found that the appropriation to the ISSC was indeed short of the funds necessary to implement the program. Now for those of you that think that the ISSC have not been responsible and tried...not tried to meet the recommendation, let me tell you what they have done. In...on July the 21st, the ISSC met and did several things that would cut down on the total cost of the appropriation, and frankly, those things would not be changed under this supplemental appropriation. Number one, they said that they would increase the number of self-help expectations of the students from two...up to two thousand dollars. In other words, they said the students now have to be able to contribute more toward the funding of their education. Number two, they said that they would not increase the awards that have already been announced. In other words, if you were a student in the ISSC and were continuing your education, there would not be an increase to those students that were already enrolled in higher education. Number three, they said that they would not, I repeat, would not increase the maximum award from the 1900 to the 2000 dollar award. In essence, they said that they would leave the maximum of 1900 dollars who have the...I'm sorry, the 1900 who had an unmet need up to 2000. And those students that were already enrolled in the program would remain at 1800 maximum award. We have not tried to go back and fill those programs. The 5.2 million dollars simply, and

only, are for those students that would be attending college in the second quarter, and frankly, ignore some of those that were later applications. Let me tell you, the students that are left are, indeed, those that are of the highest need. In terms of an economic recession, the number of dollars and students that enroll later are always higher. It's the student that doesn't know for sure if he can get the dollars together to attend college means that he is the one that applies to the ISSC last. And the experience factor is nearly ten percent greater need for the late applications than it is for the early applications. I will answer any questions."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McGrew has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Leverenz: "How did the Scholarship Commission get into the problems of having this need for this amount of money?"

McGrew: "Part of the problem originated because of the necessity of projecting early. Frankly, we got into a bigger recession than we thought we would have. And therefore, we've found that the cost of education is higher. In other words, the students that applied...that were eligible for an application, increased from the average of seventy-three percent as it had been over the last few years to eighty percent."

Leverenz: "What was the supplemental they needed last year?"



McGrew: "Well, the supplemental last year, frankly, were some of the same types of problems. And I think if you want to look at these figures...we have a new Executive Director, frankly, and I...as you well know, who is not a fan of the departing one, but the new figures, the B.O.B. concede are accurate, the Board of Higher Education concede are accurate and, of course, the I.S.S.C. stands by them. If this supplemental appropriation passes, I could assure I you, I will not support another supplemental appropriation. The record is not good, but they have really sat down and tried to work with the facts and I think that they are very, very solid."

Leverenz: "Well, this appropriation, was it not handled in the Senate on another Bill?"

McGrew: "No, Sir. This was contained in part of another Senate Amendment Bill, but that Senate Bill that they were considering included the total 9.9 million. In my explanation I said that we did not go back and try to pick up the funds of the changes that the I.S.S.C. made in the meeting on July the 21st. And those were the four different categories that I said they reduced the awards in one case, they said the students have to help themselves better in another and we did not try to fund those particular areas again."

Leverenz: "How much of the money will go to students who have already attended school and who now owe the school?"

McGrew: "None. This is for second quarter only."

Leverenz: "So if the appropriation is not put on to this budget, students will not be hurt, those students who

have already attended, because the Scholarship Commission has not made the awards to those that are in school already for the first semester. Is that correct?"

McGrew: "I'm not sure I understand your question. I think that you're correct."

Leverenz: "The money has not been distributed for the students who have gone already to school the first semester."

McGrew: "Yes, Sir, that is correct. As a matter of fact..."

Leverenz: "Why?"

McGrew: "...that will require another, I believe, it's 2.7 million dollars."

Leverenz: "Well what's wrong with the State Scholarship Commission, number one, that the schools that the students are attending have not received the tuition money that is owed?"

McGrew: "The problem, Sir, they cut off the applications on August the 28th because at the point they had received the necessary awards...the necessary application of one hundred and fifty-five thousand applications. They had announced one hundred and...one hundred and fourteen thousand awards and that was all the money that we had. Sir, frankly, I don't quarrel with your point. What your point is that it would require another 2...I think, it's 2.1 million or something like that, of students that are currently in school and would have been eligible for...it's 2.359 million for those that are in the school this fall quarter or this fall term would have been eligible."

Leverenz: "Where is that 2.3 million that is going to have

to then be appropriated, apparently, to pay the schools that money?"

McGrew: "You're...frankly, you're talking about if there would be a deficiency appropriation for that term..."

Leverenz: "And there's not going to be?"

McGrew: "No, as a compromise..."

Leverenz: "Does that mean that then that the students who have gone to school and are about to get grades soon have tuition bills owed to the schools that they are going to get stuck with?"

McGrew: "No, Sir. No, Sir, they...for that to have happened, the I.S.S.C. would have had to announce the awards but not have the money. They have not done that to their credit. And they used to do that in the past, frankly."

Leverenz: "This is one of the worst run operations..."

McGrew: "Absolutely."

Leverenz: "...of government. And their planning is horrible. Their data processing is horrible. They cannot control what they're getting now and should have no more money for the shabby operation that they have. We are not going to hurt anybody if we do not put this Amendment on."

McGrew: "That is incorrect. We don't know how many students are waiting to enroll the second quarter, the second term, because we don't know whether they were there the first term or not. Frankly, what we have done is cut off the awards for the first award...for the first term saying we will not take any more applications for that. Let me be honest. This is a compromise in order to try to help as many students as we have. The

students that are late applying or traditionally, the lowest income of all...they're either traditionally either black or Hispanic, and they are the ones that need the funds the most."

Leverenz: "Well, that's very admirable in terms of a presentation, but if you don't know who they are, then I guess the only thing you can say is traditionally they are black or Latino in support of an appropriation to squeek the Amendment past. The fact is, you don't know who they are. You don't know how many they are and the Illinois State Scholarship Commission can't tell us how many they are."

McGrew: "We can't tell you precisely, Sir, but I guarantee you that if this appropriation passes, it will be the last. And I can tell you that they are experiencing it in a much better light than it has been. And, Representative, how could we project last year that the average cost of going to school was going to go up twelve percent? How, for example, could we say that the average experience of the students in terms of self-help has got to be higher. You know, we have got an eighty percent factor or seven percent increase in the number of applications that are eligible. If the applications would have remained stagnant we would have been short. And let me confess to you, when I passed the original appropriation, and I was the Chief Sponsor of that Bill, I went to the Governor's Office and I said, 'Governor, we are at least seven million dollars short with the experience and the economy right now.' His response was, 'I have said so much money for B.H.E., I don't care where you take it out

of. If you take it out of somewhere else, I'll sign it. If you don't, I won't. That certainly is not responsible and certainly did not help the I.S.S.C."

Leverenz: "Then we should stick with what you appropriated for the I.S.S.C. and not give them any more money because we just have to get back to a philosophy of taking care of needs, and the budget's already gone, and now we looking to take care of some wants. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think the Membership here should know that last week when we debated this in the Appropriation Committee, the Executive Director didn't even show up to defend his Bill. And if this is so important he should have at least had the courtesy to the Members, not only the Committee but of the entire House, to show up and speak to that issue. Secondly, it has to be pointed out that last Session we gave eighty-five million dollars, last spring, to the Scholarship Commission. I, for one, resent the Scholarship Commission telling students around the state that if we pass more money, more money each Session that they'll get scholarships. We passed some money. They should live within that budget and cut off the scholarships. When they put the burden on us time and time again, I resent that. We did our duty last spring. They should have done theirs. They were neglectful. They're trying to pass the blame along to us again and ask for more money. I urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Chairman...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the respective colleagues from the other side of the aisle points out accurately that the Executive Director of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission was not present when the subject matter of the Bill was discussed before the Appropriations II Committee last week. I'm happy to have a chance to provide the information that the Executive Director sought me out almost immediately after the meeting, they were very much involved with the Bill which was still in the Senate at the point that it came before our Committee. And it surely does not excuse the Commission or the Executive Director that there was not representation from their Commission before our Committee, but it was not an intentional...it was not through lack of interest. It was because they were focusing their attention on the actual Bill. The Bill was not being heard in our Committee. The actual Bill was over in the Senate and at almost the same time they were giving attention to what was happening to their legislation in the Senate. The Gentleman did come to my office. He did listen to the entire tape of the hearing and did hear first hand the views of the various Members of the Committee in regard to the Commission and the request. So the message that I have is that, yes, the Representative is true in his statement that the Executive Director was not there but it was not through lack of interest on his part. It was not through a lack of concern for these

dollars. But it was...was...an oversight, possibly a serious oversight, but it was not an intentional lack of courtesy or interest as far as the Bill is concerned or to the Members of the Committee or to the House."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Johnson has moved the previous question. The question's 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. And the main question is put. The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew, to close."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Illinois is headed into a peculiar economic situation and that we all concede. We've got problems in keeping the state budget in line, but I think right here is one of the very, very essential judgmental problems that we've got to answer and that is, who is going to have to suffer the most in the State of Illinois in an economic situation? This Bill is a supplemental appropriation for those students that need help the most. They are the ones with the lowest income. They are the ones that didn't even know whether or not they could afford to go to college until the last minute and they tried to scrape the money together. And they are going to start. The question is, are we going to say, we're going to turn our back on those that need the help the most? If you look at the Bill, we have, indeed, tried to help those

types of students. We eliminated...we eliminated the maximum grant for those attending private schools. We eliminated that 2000...that 1900 dollar maximum count. So what we have done is to, in essence, boil out that area that needs help the most, the poor student, the one that is trying to get ahead in life and that, in essence, will be returning tax dollars to the State of Illinois. We can help them. We can get them going through college for a better income and more tax back to the state instead of trying to ignore them, put them back out on the streets. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McGrew has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 2028. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain, to explain his vote."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the part of the Amendment that most of you received letters from from your colleges and junior colleges and from a lot of students throughout the State of Illinois. What Mr. McGrew has stated to you is absolutely accurate. Those students that have not had counseling or good people helping them fill out forms for I.S.S.C. grants are...those are the ones that are caught in the switches with the lack of funding for grants for them this year. So those people that have not had the training or whatever to do...to fill out the forms, those people are the people that have now been caught between the bricks and are not receiving their grants



and caught in a severe financial squeeze. So I'd ask you to listen back to your community colleges and your colleges and to those students who wrote you letters and adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich, to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "Mr...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, time after time the Auditor General has pointed out gross mismanagement on the part of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. I think this so called emergency is another example of their incompetence. We have repeatedly had them before the Legislative Audit Commission to try to get their act straightened out, and my suggestion is that we withhold these funds until they get their act together. This is another \$5,000,000 that we don't have."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, to explain his vote."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I would agree with the last speaker if this money was to go to the Scholarship Commission, but they don't keep this money. This money is for the young students in our state who can't afford to go to college. We're not punishing the executive director because he doesn't show up or showed up late for a meeting. That is silly. We're not punishing this Commission because they don't keep the books the way we want them to keep. All we'll be punishing by defeating this Amendment is the young people of our state who will get this money in the...in the nature of scholarships. This money doesn't stay with that Commission. This money doesn't go for the salaries of

the executive director or the Commission. It goes for the students who can't attend school unless we adopt this Amendment. They will be out of school the second semester unless we vote 'aye' and adopt this good Amendment, and I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. You spoke in debate, John. Sorry about that. Oh, the Gentleman from Winnebago indicates he would like a verification if this receives the requisite number of votes. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 96 'ayes', 61 'nays', two answering 'present', and the Amendment carries, and the Gentleman indicates a verification of the...affirmative vote...of the Amendment, yeah. Daniels...record Daniels as voting 'no'. The Clerk will call out the affirmative vote. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kane: "Parliamentary inquiry. How many votes does it take to adopt this Amendment?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Simple Majority."

Kane: "So we'd have...there is about a 35 vote margin there. Would the request be dilatory?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, I can't say that because we don't know if those 61 are all there either. But if the Gentleman thinks he can win, I'll tell him he can, but I can't say it is dilatory. Representative Rock...from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "No, I withdrew the request."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. He withdraws his request and Amendment #11 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #...Amendment #12, McGrew, amends Senate Bill 2028 as amended by inserting immediately after Section 4 the following...Section 4.3..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew on Amendment #12."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #12 frankly is an appropriation in the amount of \$508,000 to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. This particular line item would provide for about 1,500 terms or about 750 students that are liable for the awards. It would go to about 65 institutions. This is the one that was referred to earlier that would...this...funds that were announced but were lapsed. The money frankly would not be an increase in the appropriation because they have about...they lapse about \$200,000,000 and they have received about \$300,000,000, I'm sorry, about \$300,000 from the federal government for participation in this program. So it would not be an increase from the original appropriation at all and I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McGrew has moved for the adoption of Amendment #12. Is there any discussion? If not, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. And the Amendment #12 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "No further Amendments, the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. By...after discussion

with Minority Leader, Ryan and Sponsor, Sam Vinson, I'd like to move that we amend on its face Amendment #4 that was presented by Representative Mulcahey because there's a drafting error in it that we've had cleared with the other side of the aisle. I would like to read the provision that must be changed. On line 2..."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right, do we have leave to return to the Order of...Amendments...the Clerk...or, the Chair is going to rule Amendment #4 out of order. Do we have leave for that purpose? I understand from the Parliamentarian it's 4 that is out of order. All right, leave. Now how are we going to correct that, Representative Mautino?"

Mautino: "I move that we amend it on its face by inserting on line 2 the following: Inserting immediately after Section 2 the following: On line 3, Section 2 (a)."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. Do we have leave to amend Amendment #4 on its face so that it reads, Section 2 (a) rather than 2? Leave. Now, all in favor of Amendment #4, say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. And Amendment #4 is adopted. All right. Are there any other Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2034."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2034. A Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts making appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1981. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from...from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. The...page 3, on the Order of Total Veto Motions appears Senate Bill 1518. The Gentleman...Representative Woodyard, the Gentleman from Edgar. Representative Woodyard."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 1518 is a gasahol tax incentive Bill. I think all of you are pretty aware of the ramifications of this Bill and what it contains. But let me at least go through some of the premises of what this Bill will do. This Bill removes the 4% sales tax, not motor fuel tax, not impacting the road fund, from gasahol for approximately a year and a half. At that time, July of 1982, we start phasing that tax back on. Thus, the state, later in the term of the Bill, will be replacing any lost revenues. This Bill was introduced in the Senate. It passed the Senate by almost a 55 to 4 majority. And it passed the House in the spring also with a very strong majority. The state has been committed for a number of years, and that's very indicative of the number of Bills on gasahol that were introduced this past Session. This Bill happens to be the only one that made it through both the Senate and the House and was then vetoed by the Governor. This Bill will accomplish not only the increased usage of gasahol, but also will certainly help in the production. We feel that we have to do this in the State of Illinois to bring us back into a position of leadership in alcohol production. The Governor and this state have told us that they have been very committed to the...to the use of gasahol. Yet, when the incentive Bill came, it was vetoed

because of the fiscal impact of this Bill. Many erroneous figures have been put forth on the fiscal impact of this Bill. At this time, from now, if we override this thing today, it only have a fiscal impact of about 1.3 million dollars until the start of the next fiscal year. We anticipate that the annual cost would be about two and three quaters of a million dollars a year. Much allusion has been made to the fact that the State of Iowa has lost a lot of their road...road tax dollars with a tax incentive. I have spoken with many people in the State of Iowa, including people from the Governor's Office, and do you know what they tell me? They say, we hope you don't pass an incentive Bill. We'll take all of those alcohol plants in Iowa. They're tickled to death to have them. We feel the time has come for Illinois to pass this incentive Bill and to get us back on track as a leader in production and usage. I certainly solicit your favorable support for this Bill. It will create jobs. It will increase tax base, and that certainly will offset any of the revenue loss that might be projected. I certainly urge your favorable support in the Override Motion of the Governor's Veto. I'd be happy to answer any questions on this Bill. And I would ask that Representative Donovan close."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Edgar, Representative Woodyard, has moved to concur with the Senate in overriding the Governor's Veto with respect to Senate Bill 1518. On that motion, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the override of

this Bill. I would offer three arguments. The first of which is the utter failure of the national government to provide a coherent energy policy. The production of alcohol is the only, and I stress the word 'only', way to increase the supply of liquid fuel in a short run. The only way to increase the supply of liquid fuel in a short run. Now, all of the syn. fuel plants that the Federal Government is pouring tens of billions of dollars into is not going...are not going to produce one drop of liquid fuel for maybe five to ten years. Stills can produce liquid fuel within one year, small ones can. The second argument I would offer is that in order to get...in order for people to want to build distilleries to get financing, they have to have a market for their product. Tying down a market or creating a market is what this Bill is all about. The third reason I would offer, the third argument I would offer, is an environmental argument. Although the Illinois and the the United States EPA can't seem to figure it out, if everyone in the City of Chicago...or, if everyone in the Chicago metropolitan area used gasahol the carbon monoxide emissions would be cut by 31%. Similar reductions in carbon monoxide emissions could be expected in the Peoria and in the East St. Louis metropolitan areas if gasahol were the exclusive fuel. So, in summary, I would suggest that an override will increase the supply of liquid fuel in the short run and at the same time cut air pollution. For those reasons, I urge an affirmative vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Wolf: "Mr. Woodyard, I just have a serious question here. If...if we're going to do this and promote the use of gasohol, I assume that that is going to increase the demand for corn products and possibly the price, and I want to know what that is going to do to the price of corn liquor either at the still or the stillery?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Woodyard."

Woodyard: "The past history of states that have an incentive Bill looks to be an increase of about five cents a bushel which is something in the area of 10...go ahead."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Wolf..J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, I want to know how much that is going to be to the price of a fifth. Not...I don't care about a bushel."

Speaker Matijevich: "It comes in liters now, Jay."

Woodyard: "If you could drink 190 proof alcohol, man, you're welcome to it."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just want to remind the House that right now in Brazil 90% of the vehicular traffic is operated on alcohol, and they are practically free of the OPEC nations. But we all know I'm not going to remind you what is going on over there. The possibility actually of maybe an all out break out war, but this will be freeing our nation and be very advantageous to our farming population if we



override the Governor. Now I know that in Macon County, in Shelby County, and in Christian County in my district there are many individuals wishing to create the stills that will produce this alcohol. Also to free themselves from the necessity of buying the fuel to...to move their farming vehicles. So we're doing a very fine thing by overriding the Governor's veto."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to speak in favor of this override for a couple of reasons. First of all we have talked so much about our national economy and the inflation that we find ourselves a part of. Might I say that one of the reasons is because of our imbalance on international trade. We find that because we are being held up hostage in many cases by the Middle East countries who provide oil, a very important product to this country. It seems to me most fitting that we have an opportunity by passage or overriding this Bill to keep U.S. dollars in the United States. And I am saying that this does provide that opportunity to expand the energy market by producing a product that we can produce here in the United States with an ongoing natural resource, a product, corn, or other commodities that we are using to develop this alcohol is a product that we will grow year after year after year after year. I'm saying that it will continue to provide a market within the boundaries of the United States. It will continue to provide ongoing jobs and

it will have a great tendency to bring more imbalance...or, balance of international trade. Let's keep United States' dollars in the United States. I urge an override vote on this Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Reluctantly, I think someone should rise in opposition to this piece of legislation. I support the use of gasahol and I promote the production of alcohol, particularly in the State of Illinois. I don't have to remind anyone in this room, the State of Illinois is one of the nation's leaders in the agricultural products and the marketing of those products into manufacturing goods and food products. We, in Illinois, are proud of our record and we ought to be leaders in the promotion and production of alcohol for fuel purposes. The question before us today is whether the form of the Bill that we are considering now will provide us with the result we wish. The Bill would simply remove the sales tax on gasahol. And, everyone in this room who plans to vote for this Bill is operating on the presumption that by so doing, gasahol at the pump will then be the same price or less than lead-free gasoline which is also sold competitively at the pump. There is nowhere...nothing in the legislation which provides or mandates that the price of gasahol shall be the same as or less than lead-free. There is nothing that requires the oil companies to do anything with their price. And, recently, in our community where I come

from in Decatur, Standard Oil Company indicated that they were going to stop...terminate a test marketing of alcohol for fuel purposes. They said sales were not adequate. And in connection with the publication of the news...news account of that interview with the Amoco sales representative, they made no commitment about what they might do about the price of gasahol should the subsidy we are talking about now be granted. In other words, Amoco, Texaco, Shell, Exxon, have the option to pocket the four cents we're talking about removing from...from the gasahol, shove it in their pocket and increase their profits and keep the price up at the same as lead-free or above. Why would they want to do that? I'll tell you why they want to do that, the oil companies don't make alcohol, they make gasoline. Why should they promote a product that includes something that is an item of competition to them, something put out by a competitor? Why should the oil companies want to see gasahol flourish at all? They own the oil fields, they own the shale fields, they own the coal mines, but they don't own alcohol. If we're going to subsidize alcohol, let's subsidize the grower. Let's subsidize the farmer. Let's subsidize those who produce alcohol. Let's help them...let's help them with the investement they need to build plants, to expand plants. Let's help co-ops. What about FS? What if they want to put up a still? Why don't we subsidize them? Why are we taking 4% sales tax off at the pump when that benefit will accrue 90% to the oil companies? A gallon of gasahol is 90% gasoline and only 10% alcohol. Wouldn't you,

GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

December 3, 1980.

as a retailer, like to have a competitive advantage where your product doesn't have to pay sales tax but your competitor does? Every gallon of gasoline pumped into a car that is 90% gasoline and 10% gasahol will have a sales tax advantage to Texaco, Amoco, Exxon and Shell. It won't come to your farmer back home. He will wake up two or three years down the road, realize he hasn't had a subsidy, alcohol is no farther along than it is now. We won't be anywhere. We should stop right here, grind to a halt and we should subsidize alcohol, not gasoline. And then we'll see alcohol on the market as a competitive product. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the override of Senate Bill 1518. My reasons for the support of this are different from those of my colleagues from the rural areas. I think basically we have to look at the practicalities of the economics. If we, as a nation, are to develop alternative fuels to gasoline, to be less dependent upon the OPEC nations and have a competitive market place that's necessary to use other type of fuels. No one is going to pull up to the gas station and purchase a fuel that is more expensive than another. You must make gasahol competitive with gasoline. And this way, people will have the opportunity and the option and the encouragement to use gasahol. It's a five year experimental plan that the State of Illinois is developing in trying to

assist our Nation in developing an energy policy. A previous Legislator, perhaps characterized it best, by saying that our National Government has failed to develop an adequate national policy in the area of energy and less dependence upon the OPEC Nations. And I'd urge every Member of the House of Representatives to give the opportunity in the next five years to use this as a catalyst to encourage the development of the use of gasahol in automobiles. The State of Illinois now is using gasahol in the state automobiles but they don't pay sales tax upon those purchases of state gasoline. So I urge that each and everyone of us examine the necessity of our Nation being less dependent upon the foreign Nations and more dependent upon the resources of Amercia. And I would urge at least 130 to 140 votes to override this Veto."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Leon has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. And the main question is put. The Gentleman from Edgar, Representative Woodyard, to close."

Woodyard: "Representative Donovan is to close."

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh, I'm sorry. Representative Donovan, to close, the Gentleman from Macon."

Donovan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, America, indeed the State of Illinois, needs to be back...need to be put back in the driver's

seat through using gasahol. The development of the ethanol industry needs to be increased through the incentive that we're offering here today and it's a signal to everybody in the State of Illinois that we are out to promote gashahol and indeed use it more. As some people that spoke here before...that certainly it will reduce the imports and our dependency on foreign oil. I think that alone, if nothing else, should be...we should pass this override on this Bill. Mr. Skinner...Representative Skinner pointed out, to reduce some pollution. I think it's very, very important that people are not think about at this point. It would reduce it considerably. So what I'm saying today is that gasahol can make a positive contribution to the Nation's energy problems and I would hope that you would vote in favor of this override. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Woodyard and Donovan have moved to concur with the Senate in overriding the Governor's Veto with respect to Senate Bill 1518. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1518 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Hardin, Representative Winchester, to explain his vote."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to the override motion and for a reason that has not been touched on by those who have spoken in opposition. That is, what kind of damage it might do to the state's road fund. I think we're all aware that 4 cents of the 5 cents sales tax goes to the

General Revenue Fund and 5.5% of the General Revenue Fund goes to the Road Fund, with 3% staying in the Road Fund and 2 1/2% going to motor fuel tax monies, with 60% of that going to our local governments to build roads and to take care of the gravel on the county roads. Now what we're doing here, and we've all been reading in the paper where the Governor has been warning us that someday this spring we're going to have a piece of legislation where we're going to have to consider changing the method of collecting taxes for the Road Fund, maybe a percentage tax, maybe a liquor tax, maybe a cigarette tax. I caution you all, yes, we want to do something this type of legislation. I support gasahol, but the timing is wrong, Ladies and Gentlemen, the timing is wrong. We have to be responsible now. We cannot come back. We cannot take this four cents off now and come back and have to discuss, and possibly, put some other kind of tax on to generate the dollars that we're going to need for our road program. Think about that. There's time later on for this type of proposal. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton, to explain his vote."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a conflict of interest, but I will vote my conscience as always."

Speaker Matijevich: "Which is...you better vote."

Epton: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Wayne, Representative Robbins, to explain his vote."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's been a lot made of the ten percent of alcohol

which will be used. The increased mileage by use of gasahol, which I have used and used consistently, gives me an additional ten percent of mileage. So, besides the efficiency, the cleaner air, and the use of Illinois products, and there has been an awful lot made of corn when we have one fellow in our area that's experimenting with making alcohol out of rotten peaches."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Steele, to explain his vote."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to explain my vote urging your override of this motion. We, in Illinois, as we all know, are the number one agricultural state in this Nation and certainly, of all states, ought to be in the forefront of encouraging the use of gasahol. It helps the farmer. It helps the consumer by encouraging the use of this fuel by giving it an incentive...a tax incentive where it can have a competitive rate. The Federal Government has seen fit to give tax breaks for the first several years on gasahol. And certainly if they see the need for this, certainly we, in Illinois, should do likewise. Now other states have taken the lead ahead of us, Iowa, Nebraska. They've given tax incentives and we haven't. It's time that we do our part as the number one agricultural state in this Nation, to do our part, to encourage this necessary fuel, at least for the first several years. And so I urge your vote of overriding this motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Franklin,



Representative Rea, to explain his vote.  
Representative Rea, R E A, Rea."

Rea: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in support of the override from a standpoint that we are the largest agricultural producing state. This is a very efficient fuel. It will make us less competitive in terms of the foreign markets. It will provide new markets for agriculture by eliminating the sales tax. It will make this a very competitive fuel. And it's good for the economy of this state. And, it is a program that we can afford and we should take the leadership in order to produce the gasahol and to promote its use in this Nation. So I would ask that everybody would consider this important move and what it will mean for the entire economy of the State of Illinois and vote 'aye' for this important piece of legislation."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Dwight, Representative Vinson, to explain his vote."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. There's been, I think, some shortsightedness stated about the Road Fund implications. The only way the Road Fund is ever going to be solvent is if we bring down the price of motor fuel. The only way we're going to bring down the price of motor fuel is to substantially increase the American supply of it. And what that goes back to is gasahol. We need this subsidy. Finally, I'd make the point that some ought to continue explaining their votes so that we get a few more green lights up there because there's a very good chance there will be a verification on this one."

And we need as many as we can on this."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman...farmer from Cook, Representative Ewell, to explain his vote. Ray Ewell, you've got your light on. The Gentleman farmer from Cook, Representative Ewell, sitting next to one, C.L. McCormick."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I've just received my instructions, so I'm voting properly. But what I want to say is that I'm going to support this Bill because I realize that the State of Illinois is, after all, one of the leaders in alcohol. We do need alcohol and gasahol production. We do need to support the rest of the state. We do need to take care of the farmers and the production to create jobs and employment in Illinois. I, too, am aware that this will create a dent in the Road Fund. I'm aware also that the intent then will probably be to put it on General Revenue. But we must realize that it's one state and just as we, from northern Illinois, tried to help you from southern Illinois, we tried to understand the problems of mid Illinois, I hope you will only be so reflective when we try to explain the problems of the central cities that for so many years have borne the burden for the state. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle, to explain his vote."

Stuffle: "I'm glad to hear that Representative Ewell is again supporting us downstate and, at least, he didn't talk about the cows this time. But I would rise in support of the motion and think we should put enough votes up there to prevent any effort at a verification

on this. We should put our money where our mouth is in this state for once. Stop talking about the loss of one million dollars in the Road Fund, because we all know that the cost we're going to have to bear for the program are much more than that. And it's essential that we become energy self-sufficient and this is probably the most important Bill that any downstater will vote on in this Veto Session."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen, to explain his vote."

Ebbesen: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm sure I'm not going to turn that around, but I'd just like for the record...it's my understanding that gasahol presently is exempt from the Federal tax of four cents a gallon nationwide and, therefore, it ought to be at our service stations, local service stations, selling four cents cheaper than gasoline. Now in Springfield, I note, that it's about six cents higher than gasoline. And the Department of Transportation has submitted a new highway program, of course, to the Office of the Management and Budget, and...it repeals that gasahol exemption. If the Legislature wants to provide incentives for gasahol production, you know, that's fine, we probably should. But let the state or the Federal energy programs foot the Bill and not the highway program."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from Hardin, Representative Winchester, to..."

Winchester: "Verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "...indicates a verification. On this question there are 134 'ayes', 37 'nays', and 3 voting 'present'. The motion prevails and Senate Bill 1518 is declared passed. However, there is a verification. And, the motion will not be declared passed until the verification is complete. Everybody will be in their seats. The Clerk will call off the affirmative vote. The...poll of the absentees. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative...Mike Getty, for what purpose do you arise?"

Getty: "I'd ask leave to be verified."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave for Mike Getty to be verified. Leave? Leave. The...Representative Woodyard asks that a poll of the absentees be made. The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Bell. Capuzi."

Speaker Matijevich: "Capuzi, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "DiPrima. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Leverenz. Peters. Piel. Reed. Schlickman. Totten. Yourell. And, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "I might inform the Members, we're going to fight the war of 1812. There's been a change in plans, so everybody stay in their seats. That's going to be next, I think. The Clerk will call the affirmative votes."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the affirmative. Abramson, Ackerman, Alexander, Barnes, ..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave for the Speaker to be verified. Leave. You want to be on? Yeah, 'aye' and verified."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the affirmative. Birchler, Birkinbine, Borchers, Boucek,..."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms, leave to be verified. Leave."

Clerk Leone: "Bower, Bradley, Braun, Breslin, Brummer, Bullock, Burndige, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Casey, Catania, Chapman, Christensen, Cullerton, Daniels, Davis, Dawson, Deuster, Domico, Donovan, Doyle, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Virginia Frederick, Gaines, Garmisa, Getty,..."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. Leave for Kornowicz to be recorded 'aye'. Leave and verified."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the affirmative. Giorgi, Goodwin, Greiman, Grossi, Hallock, Hallstrom, Hannig, Harris, Henry, Hoxsey, Hudson, Huff, Jaffe, Johnson, Marjorie Jones, Emil Jones, Kane, Karpiel, Katz, Keane, Kelly, Kent, Klosak, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Krska, Kucharski, Kulas, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leon, Macdonald, Marovitz, Matijevich, Matula, Mautino, McAuliffe, McBroom, McClain, McGrew, McMaster, Meyer, Griffin, Mulcahey, Murphy, Neff, Oblinger, O'Brien, Patrick, Pechous, Pierce, Polk, Pouncey, Pullen, Rea, Reilly, Richmond, Rigney, Robbins, Ronan, Ropp, Ryan, Sandquist, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sharp, Simms, Skinner, Slape, Stanley, Stearney, E.G. Steele, C.M. Stiehl, Sumner, Swanstrom, Taylor, Terzich, Tuerk, Van Dyne, Vinson, Vitek, VonBoeckman, Walsh, Watson, White, Wikoff, Williams..."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. Leave for Schoeberlein to be verified. Leave. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Williamson, Sam Wolf, Woodyard, Younge, and

Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "The poll has been concluded. On the question of verification of affirmative votes, the Gentleman from Hardin, Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Representative Bradley."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bradley...I don't see him. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him."

Winchester: "Representative Braun."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Braun...is not in her seat. Representative Braun...I don't see her. How is she recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove Representative Braun."

Winchester: "Representative Dawson."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Dawson...I don't see Representative Dawson. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him from the roll call. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative Domico."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative...here, put Dawson back on. Domico is in his seat."

Winchester: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Farley, are you back there? I don't see him back there. How is Representative Farley recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Matijevich: "Flinn, Representative Monroe Flinn. I don't see him back there. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative Garmisa."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Garmisa is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative Greiman."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman. How...is Greiman back there? Yes, he is back there."

Winchester: "Who, Representative Greiman?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes. Proceed."

Winchester: "Maybe he wanted to take a walk, Mr. Speaker. You want to ask him?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Who?"

Winchester: "Representative Harris."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bill Harris. I saw C.L. here, but I don't see Harris. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Proceed."

Winchester: "Repre...Representative Huff."

Speaker Matijevich: "Doug Huff, are you back there? No...how is Representative Huff recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative Jaffe."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Jaffe is in his seat."

Winchester: "Representative Emil Jones...I see him."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment, put Garmisa back on, and

Emil Jones is in the middle aisle. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative Katz."

Speaker Matijevich: "In his seat."

Winchester: "Representative Klosak."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Klosak is way back there. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative Kulas."

Speaker Matijevich: "Kulas is in his seat."

Winchester: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Matijevich: "Laurino is up in front by the podium. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative Marovitz. I see him."

Speaker Matijevich: "Marovitz is over by your side here."

Winchester: "Representative Matula."

Speaker Matijevich: "Matula is back there waving his hand."

Winchester: "Representative McBroom."

Speaker Matijevich: "McBroom...Ed. McBroom is back there waving. Yes. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative O'Brien."

Speaker Matijevich: "O'Brien...center aisle."

Winchester: "Representative Ronan...I see him."

Speaker Matijevich: "Al Ronan is in his seat."

Winchester: "Representative Slape."

Speaker Matijevich: "Mike Slape...where is Mike Slape? I don't see...How is Representative Slape recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative Taylor."

Speaker Matijevich: "Jim Taylor...Jim Taylor, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative...Representative VonBoeckman."

Speaker Matijevich: "VonBoeckman...I don't see him in his seat. VonBoeckman...how is VonBoeckman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Proceed."

Winchester: "Representative Williamson."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Williamson...in his seat."

Winchester: "Representative Kane."

Speaker Matijevich: "Doug Kane...I don't see him in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Proceed."

Winchester: "That's all I have, Mr. Speaker. What's the total?"

Speaker Matijevich: "What's the total? Return Representative Kane to the roll call. 126 'aye'...Put Taylor back on. Leverenz, we never took you off... 'aye'. Record Leverenz 'aye'. What's that final count, Mr. Clerk? 128 'aye', 27 'no', and the motion prevails and Senate Bill 1518 is hereby declared passed the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. On the order of motions on page number five appears Senate Bill 1812. The Lady from Cook is way in the back starting to run toward her seat. Representative Jane Barnes. The War of 1812 making overtures. The Lady from Cook, Representative Jane Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to suspend the Rule 68 (b), (d), and (e) so that Senate Bill 1812 can be put on the Calendar and can

also be heard today."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Jane Barnes has moved to suspend Rule 68 (e) so that Senate Bill 1812 may be placed on the Calendar on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. On that, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Clarification...parliamentary inquiry, I think, on the number of votes required for this particular suspension."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment."

Schneider: "This is the...David..."

Speaker Matijevich: "I understand I did not repeat her motion properly. She is...she moves to suspend...I was looking at the Calendar. She moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 68 (b) and 68 (d) in relation to Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1812. One moment, we've got the Parliamentarian looking at the motion. I understand now that the motion is to suspend the provisions of Rule 68 (d) and (e) in relation to Senate Bill 1812 which requires 89 votes. And the Gentleman from Cook on that, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "That's two sections of Rule 68 (d), as in dog, not to characterize the Bill or anything, but (d) and (e)?"

Speaker Matijevich: "68 as in...yeah, bow-wow."

Schneider: "Dog and (e), as in Ethel?"

Speaker Matijevich: "E as in Ethel, yeah."

Schneider: "I think some of my colleagues would like to divide the question on that. I would also make that motion that we divide the question (d) separate from

(e)."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. As long as you request it be divided, it is divided. You don't need a motion to divide."

Schneider: "And, the number of votes on (d), please."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. The Parliamentarian has asked, and I think it's proper, that the motion...the division is proper, but we proceed with (e) first because if (e) doesn't carry then the Bill is dead. The Gentleman from Cook...DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I have an additional inquiry of the Parliamentarian that's germane to this question. And that is, that on the Calendar is printed 68 (e). There is no indication on the Calendar that (d) would be considered. And for that reason, Mr. Speaker, I don't think that issue can be taken...at least today, until it is on the Calendar."

Speaker Matijevich: "There is no rule requirement that it be on the Calendar as of...for this type of motion. As a matter of practice, we do place it on the Calendar, however, that is not necessary under the rules."

Hoffman: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Now, back to, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "I wasn't clear, Mr. Speaker, on the number required under (e), 68 (e), 89 or 107?"

Speaker Matijevich: "89 on both of these, and we are going to proceed with (e) first. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Another inquiry of the Parliamentarian. I'm led

to believe that it does have to be in writing, however."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, if somebody demands it, and if you do, here it is."

Hoffman: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right, the Lady has proceeded to move to suspend the provisions of 68 (e), as in Ethel. On that question, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. It requires 89 votes. This suspension is to permit consideration. Is the Pope Catholic? Have all voted? The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew, to explain his vote."

McGrew: "It was a parliamentary inquiry but I'll yield if we don't get the votes."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman, did you want to explain your vote? Proceed."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In light of the condition that we now find our general transportation program in where we're thirteen million dollars short, we voted last week not to provide that supplemental. It seems to me to be ill-considered (sic) to support this motion and bring this to the floor for an expenditure in an area which we know we are mandated and would move us into a different category. For that reason, Mr. Speaker, I would request negative votes on this Bill...on this motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record."

On this question there are 88 'ayes'...the Lady from Cook, Representative Jane Barnes, for what..."

Barnes: "I would like a poll of the absentees, please."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. 88 'ayes' and 58 'nays', and the Lady from Cook has requested a poll of the absentees. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Record me 'no', and should this get the sufficient number, I would request a poll of the...yeah, verification. I'll want the verification."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. The poll of the...the Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Bell. Braun. Breslin. Capuzi."

Speaker Matijevich: "Capuzi, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "DiPrima. Ewell. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Huff. Kelly. Laurino."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Kelly, 'aye'. Laurino, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Leverenz. Mautino."

Speaker Matijevich: "Stearney, 'aye'. Proceed. Leverenz, you're recorded 'no', aren't you? Yeah, 'no'. Yeah, he wants 'no'. Proceed with the..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mautino. Peters. Piel. Pierce. Reed. Schisler. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Totten. And, Yourell."

Speaker Matijevich: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 92 'ayes', 59 'noes'. And, Representative Leverenz has requested a poll of the affirmative vote...or, verification, rather. On that, the Clerk will call

off the affirmative vote and the Members will stay in their seats."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Anderson. Barnes. Beatty. Bianco. Birchler. Bower. Bullock. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Casey. Chapman. Christensen. Collins. Conti. Cullerton. Darrow. Davis. Dawson. Domico. Doyle. John Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Grossi. Hallock. Hannig. Harris. Henry. Marjorie Jones. Emil Jones. Keane. Kelly. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Krska. Kucharski. Kulas. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Leon. Madigan. Mahar. Margalus. Marovitz. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McBroom. McClain. McCourt. Meyer. Griffin. Mulcahey. Murphy."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave for Kornowicz to be verified? Leave. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Oblinger. O'Brien. Patrick."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Van Duyne, leave to be verified? Leave. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pechous. Pouncey. Preston. Ronan. Ryan. Schraeder. Simms. Skinner. Slape. Stanley. Stearney. Stuffle. Taylor."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave for Monroe Flinn to be verified? Leave, Monroe Flinn. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Telcser."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Telcser."

Clerk O'Brien: "Terzich. Tuerk. Van Duyne. Vinson. Vitek. Von Boeckman. Walsh. Watson. White."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave for Schoeberlein to be verified? Leave. Oh, Schoeberlein wanted to be 'aye' and verified. Karpiel, do you want 'aye' and leave to be

verified? Leave. Griesheimer, leave to be verified?

No. Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Matijevich: "How's Griesheimer recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Griesheimer: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Vote him 'aye'. Leave for Vinson to be verified? Leave. Representative Stuffle, leave to be verified? No. The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, change me from 'yes' to 'no', please."

Speaker Matijevich: "Changes Coles from 'yes' to 'no'. Did I...change Stuffle from 'yes' to 'no'. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing with the affirmative vote. Williamson. J.J. Wolf. Sam Wolf. Woodyard. Younge. And, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Frederick, Virginia Frederick. How's Representative Frederick recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Matijevich: "Not voting."

Frederick: "Please vote me 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Record her as voting 'no'. Representative Schoeberlein, I think we have you 'aye'. Could we have the count, Mr. Clerk. 94 'ayes', 60 'noes'. We'll start with 94. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz, on the questions of the affirmative vote."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Slape."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Slape is in his seat."

Leverenz: "Conti."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Conti is over here by Tim Simms' desk. Proceed."

Leverenz: "Jack Davis. He's in his seat."

Speaker Matijevich: "Jack Davis is in his seat."

Leverenz: "Giorgi."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giorgi. He's over here. Proceed."

Leverenz: "Von Boeckman."

Speaker Matijevich: "Von Boeckman. How's Representative Von Boeckman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting as 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Proceed."

Leverenz: "Representative Mahar."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mahar is in his seat."

Leverenz: "Margalus."

Speaker Matijevich: "Margalus...in his seat. Proceed."

Leverenz: "O'Brien."

Speaker Matijevich: "O'Brien. There he is."

Leverenz: "Harris."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bill Harris. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting as 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Continue."

Leverenz: "Terzich."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Terzich. I don't see him in his seat. Rep...he's way over there by the Republicans."

Leverenz: "Tell him to get in his seat."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."



Leverenz: "Skinner."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Skinner. Here he is over here. Return Von Boeckman to the Roll Call. Proceed."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Kosinski."

Speaker Matijevich: "Kosinski."

Leverenz: "I can't see there."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's in his seat."

Leverenz: "Kane."

Speaker Matijevich: "Kane is...Doug Kane, are you here? Where is he? Oh, he voted 'no'."

Leverenz: "I thought I heard his name, apparently, that was Keane."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Leverenz: "Stearney."

Speaker Matijevich: "Stearney is over here. Proceed."

Leverenz: "Tuerk."

Speaker Matijevich: "Tuerk is in his seat."

Leverenz: "Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Matijevich: "Wyvetter Younge. Wyvetter Younge. How's she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove her. Proceed."

Leverenz: "Capparelli."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's...he's over here. Leave for Jake Wolf to be recorded...recorded 'aye'. Leave...and, verified? Leave. Proceed."

Leverenz: "Did I ask Mahar."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yeah, he's here. Proceed."

Leverenz: "Tell me the count."

Speaker Matijevich: "What's the count, Jack? 92 'ayes'."

60..."

Leverenz: "Doyle. Ed Doyle."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's way in the back."

Leverenz: "Christensen."

Speaker Matijevich: "Christensen is over here."

Leverenz: "That's all we've got."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. How...what's the final count, Clerk? 92 'ayes', 60 'noes', and the motion prevails. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Keane. Well, we'll see what happens."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In order to save time of the House, I would ask...when it's appropriate and we're on the next motion, I would ask leave of the House for leave for the same Roll Call on the next motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has asked leave for the same Roll Call on the other motion. And, there has been objection. And so now we revert to Representative Jane Barnes on the other half of her motion, which will require also 89 votes. Those in favor signify by saying 'aye'...voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer, for what purpose..."

Brummer: "Are we entitled to know what this motion is so...before you open the voting?"

Speaker Matijevich: "To suspend 68 (d), I thought we went through all that..."

Brummer: "We went through it some time ago, that was a verification ago. What is that rule?"

Speaker Matijevich: "This is the bow-wow motion...68 (d)."

This would require that it would be on the Supplemental Calendar for one day. Those in favor...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative DuPage...Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barnes: "It was my understanding, Mr. Speaker, that we could have the Bill heard today."

Speaker Matijevich: "If you suspend it...the rule, you can."

Barnes: "I just wanted a clarification, Sir."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "May be a minor part...point, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, but if you look at that provision, what it really suggests is that the Sponsor should have had the foresight, I think she certainly must have had the knowledge, she's not a rookie, to provide the Members in advance with the notion that she will place her issue before us and it should be on the Supplemental Calendar. Now we've been in Veto Session for some time. I don't understand why the Sponsor would delay, except for perhaps, she felt that by gaining...gaining the advantage of surprise, would gain her also support for the proposition. There's, in a sense, really no advance information to the public, as well as to the Membership, to re-evaluate the proposition. So, I think it's a serious disadvantage for the Membership. I know we've debated the issue many times. I solicit a 'no' vote just simply because the Sponsor knew she was going to offer this proposal; offering it on a Supplemental Calendar would have worked no hardship on the Membership. And

I would suggest a 'no' vote just simply from lack of consideration of the Body to offer some concern for the...for the proposal."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, in its current form...the current form of Senate Bill 1812, does that contain the reinstatement of the subsidy to RTA for the half student fares?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Before we get to that, Representative McGew has got a point I think we better get to. Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. What's the effective date? My Conference Report has January the 2nd."

Speaker Matijevich: "The...we're getting a copy of the second report. I think the first report has that and the second report, I understand, may have been...changed that. One moment. We're looking at the Bill and Amendments, Mr. McGrew. We're looking for the Bill, Representative Schraeder. Do you have a point on this? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Okay. It seems to me that this is a dilatory tactic. We're on a motion now. We can get to that phase of it when we..."

Speaker Matijevich: "No, no, no, I'd rather not. I don't think we can proceed until we...until we rule on this. I don't think it's dilatory. It's a proper question."

Schraeder: "Well, at least it's...out of order."

Speaker Matijevich: "No, it's not out of order. The Second Conference Committee Report deletes that portion of

the First Conference...of the Bill, rather, that had the early effective date. Therefore, if the Bill received 89 votes, it would be effective July 1. So, therefore, it will take 89 votes. Now, the Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew, on that point."

McGrew: "Just for clarification. It's July 1, '81, right?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Right."

McGrew: "Okay."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's right. All right, now Representative Brummer, do you still have a question or not?"

Brummer: "Hang on a second. Yes, could the Sponsor respond to my question, please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed. It's a little out of order but we'll let it..."

Brummer: "Well if we aren't going to have it on the Calendar, we aren't going to have an opportunity to examine it, I'd like to know at least what we're going on and that we're going to throw up on the desk right away."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, we can get to that...I think that question now would be out of order. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 83 'ayes', 54 'nays'. And the Lady from Cook has asked that...a poll of the absentees. Representative Simms, 'aye'. The Lady has requested a poll of the absentees. Conti, 'aye'. Representative Conti, 'aye'. Bill Harris came back to the floor. Ed McBroom, 'aye'. McBroom, 'aye'. The Clerk will poll the absentees. Woodyard, 'aye'. Woodyard, 'aye'. Clerk will proceed

with the absentees, poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Alexander. Balanoff. Bell. Bradley. Braun. Breslin. Bullock. Davis. DiPrima. Donovan. Epton. Ewell. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Hanahan. Huff. Johnson. Klosak. Mugalian. Peters."

Speaker Matijevich: "Mugalian, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Piel. Reed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hoxsey, 'aye'. Hoxsey, 'aye'. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schisler. Schlickman. Stearney. Totten. Williamson. J.J. Wolf. And, Yourell."

Speaker Matijevich: "Stearney, 'aye'. Telcser, 'aye'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 89 'ayes', 55 'nays'. And the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman, has requested a verification of the affirmative vote. The Members will stay in their seat and the Clerk will call off the affirmative vote. When your name is called, raise your right arm, swear to tell the truth. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Anderson. Barnes. Beatty. Bianco. Birchler. Bower. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Casey. Chapman. Christensen. Collins. Conti. Cullerton. Darrow. Dawson. Domico. Doyle. John Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Griesheimer. Grossi."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Wolf, 'aye'. And do you ask leave to be recorded...no, he wants to be recorded 'aye'. Representative J.J. Wolf. And, Tim Johnson, 'no'. Tim Johnson, 'no'. Proceed. And, Tim Donovan, 'no'. Donovan, 'no'. Proceed with the affirmative

vote."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallock. Hannig. Henry. Hoxsey. Marjorie Jones. Emil Jones. Keane. Kelly. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Krska. Kucharski. Kulas. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Leon. Madigan. Mahar. Margalus. Marovitz. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McBroom."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave for Van Duyne to be verified? He's in the gallery. Leave."

Clerk O'Brien: "McClain. McCourt. Meyer. Griffin. Mulcahey. Murphy. Oblinger. O'Brien. Patrick. Pechous. Pouncey. Preston. Ronan. Ryan. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Simms. Skinner. Slape. Stanley. Stearney. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Tuerk. Van Duyne. Vinson. Vitek. Von Boeckman. Walsh. Watson. White. J.J. Wolf. Sam Wolf. Woodyard. Younge. And, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman, on verification of the affirmative vote."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much. Casey. Oh, I see him, I'm sorry."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Casey is in his seat."

Hoffman: "Farley."

Speaker Matijevich: "Farley. How is the Gentleman recorded? There is he is, right in front here."

Hoffman: "Goodwin."

Speaker Matijevich: "Goodwin. How's the...he's way in the back. Proceed."

Hoffman: "Christensen."

Speaker Matijevich: "Christensen. Ray Christensen. How is

he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's in the Senate listening to that nuclear debate. Remove him. That's where he's at."

Hoffman: "Kane."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative...he's voting 'no'. Is that Kane?"

Hoffman: "Oh, I'm sorry, it must have been Keane. I'm sure he's there."

Speaker Matijevich: "Keane is in the back. Proceed."

Hoffman: "Lechowicz."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Lechowicz. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him."

Hoffman: "Margalus."

Speaker Matijevich: "Margalus...is in the middle aisle."

Hoffman: "Yeah, I see him in the aisle."

Speaker Matijevich: "Return Christensen from..."

Hoffman: "Leinenweber."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leinenweber."

Hoffman: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Where is he? I don't see him. Oh, there he is. Representative Peters."

Hoffman: "Dawson."

Speaker Matijevich: "Just a minute. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, would you vote me 'yes'?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Peters, 'aye'. Continue."

Hoffman: "Von Boeckman."

Speaker Matijevich: "Von Boeckman."

Speaker Matijevich: "Von Boeckman, I don't see him in his



seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Proceed."

Hoffman: "Skinner."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's...I hear him, he's got to be here. Proceed."

Hoffman: "Vinson."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's in the middle...over on the side. Proceed."

Hoffman: "No further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 89 'ayes', 57 'noes'...89, and the motion carries. And now we're on the Bill...on the order of...the Second Conference Committee Reports, Mr. Clerk...Second Conference Committee Reports...is Senate Bill 1812. The Sponsor of that, the Lady from Cook, Representative Jane Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first of all I'd like to thank you all for voting on the past two motions. Senate Bill 1812, Conference Committee Report states: (1) 100% of the cost will be borne by the state. All children, public and nonpublic, will be provided transportation under identical circumstances. (2) All children, public or nonpublic, who attend a school located in the district in which they live will be provided transportation under identical circumstances. (3) All children, public or nonpublic, who attend a school adjacent to which they live will be transported to the school if a school is within five miles or less from home. (b) Both the district in which they live and the district

in which they attend school provides transportation. (c) Six students require transportation. (d) Seventy-five students must attend the school. I would request an 'aye' vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Jane Barnes, has moved for the adoption of Committee...Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 1812. On that, the Gentleman from Cook...DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The litany of this Bill is long. I could repeat to you the opposition which others..."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer, for what purpose do you arise?"

Brummer: "Yes, has the Conference Committee Report been distributed?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, July 1st. Don't you remember?"

Brummer: "Was it the second Conference Committee Report that was distributed at that time? I understand the Conference Committee Report has been rewritten."

Speaker Matijevich: "No, the second one was distributed also on July 1."

Brummer: "Would it be possible to get an additional copy since some of the material from my desk from July 1 has been replaced?"

Speaker Matijevich: "You can go over to my desk. I'm sure it's there. I'm just kidding, Rich."

Brummer: "I think we're entitled to see the Conference

Committee Report that we're voting on. You know, this is very serious matter. It's a very major matter and...you know, we...we don't even have the thing on the Calendar now. We don't have an opportunity to examine it before...this matter just came up an hour ago. We don't even have Conference Committee Reports on our desk so that we can examine what we're voting on. This is the height of lunacy. We don't even have it on the Calendar so that we can examine the matter to make an intelligent decision about what we're voting on. And now we're joking about whether we're even entitled to have a copy of the...of the Conference Committee Report ourselves."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well...by a vote of 89 to 55, we just suspended that. I'm sorry, Rich, but..."

Brummer: "But I...I'd like to have a copy of the Conference Committee Report...the...the correct one, not the incorrect one."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well...well, all I can say is that we voted on that."

Brummer: "Did we vote about whether or not there was a Conference Committee Report to be distributed on our desk..."

Speaker Matijevich: "We voted to suspend that rule, so now we will revert back to Representative Hoffman from DuPage. Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "I thought we voted with regard to putting the matter on the Calendar."

Speaker Matijevich: "We voted on both those issues and she won by a very close vote, as you recall. Representative Hoffman. Let's get to the main subject

and we'll finish this much quicker. Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I indicated, we've had long and lengthy discussions on this Bill. And the things that we said in the spring are still true today. But there's one...there is one additional thing which has happened. And, Ladies and Gentlemen, let me call your attention to the fact that last spring when we thought we were full funding the regular and special ed transportation, we, in fact, had under funded it by thirteen and a half million dollars. Now that's not the local school districts, that's the State of Illinois. That is the General Assembly. Last week...last week, we said, no supplemental. Take it at the proration. Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill requires that we pay this first and we pay it currently. Do we want to add this additional responsibility to this Legislature when we cannot even meet those responsibilities that were mandated right now? The answer is, and the answer should be, no. I have distributed in the past information which indicates that editorial after editorial after editorial says that this is a bad public policy. The Constitution of this state...the Constitution of this state says that it is contrary to public policy, in fact, it's unconstitutional. And you know as well as I do that it is going to end up in the courts of this state. Is that who we want to have decide these decisions? No, we want them decided right here. You know in your own local school

districts the difficulty they're having funding their programs that they are mandated to meet...their bussing of special education, their bussing of regular transportation, their bussing of vocational transportation. Where is the money going to come from to get the new buses, to hire the bus drivers, and to work out the administrative difficulties? Some of that is going to come from us, but you know that the capital costs are going to fall back on the districts. There's no way to afford that. For those reasons, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask you to confirm the position that we took in the spring that this was bad public policy. And I ask you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The prior speaker has, of course, articulated as well as anyone the problems that are clear with support of Senate Bill 1812. I think the litany continues on this side of the aisle only because, I guess, it is pretty evident as a result of some of the issues that we've talked about last week, the inability of the General Assembly to support certain kinds of tax breaks for senior citizens and other groups. Certainly the Governor's disheartening perceptions of the fiscal state of the State of Illinois, all of that does not appear to bring us any ray of hope in terms of funding for any programs much less one that is supplemental in terms of our obligation as a state Body. It certainly raises

serious questions about the current funding issue as Representative Hoffman has tried to state for you which simply means that if a school district is going to implement this program, that the start up costs will have to be absorbed by the local district until the reimbursement comes along in the form of the State Board of Education's allocation. We...last week or two weeks ago declined to support a supplemental for public transportation somewhere in the vicinity of \$13,000,000. I chose to support that because it appeared to be an error in calculation from the State Board of Education. I didn't think any district ought to be penalized for an error by them. But basically what we are saying if we support this legislation that we not only will support an unconstitutional measure, that we'll also say that we're willing to support a very direct special interest proposition in the face of rejecting \$13,000,000 for public schools in the face of the Governor's less than optimistic attitude about the financial state of Illinois. We are also saying to the local districts 'If you don't have the tax already levied, or if you do not want to extend the levy...a tax, then we will have to pay for it out of costs that are available to us and the school district.' These are simple and obvious concepts that we could not grasp...at this moment which seem amazing to me. I know I'm not always right, but I think it is pretty clear that those of you who have time after time spoken pretty...pretty succinctly on holding the line on the budget, about spending for those programs that can be justified and accounted for, I don't see

how you could support a measure such as this. I join Representative Hoffman and other Members of this House in soliciting a 'no' vote on 1812."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will."

Ebbesen: "I agree with what...everything that has been said so far on this subject. The question is, how much is this going to cost? What is the estimated cost and who made the estimate?"

Barnes: "Three and a half million."

Ebbesen: "How much?"

Barnes: "Three and a half million dollars."

Ebbesen: "Three and a half million dollars. Who made the estimate?"

Barnes: "That was the state...State Board of Education."

Ebbesen: "The State Board of Education?"

Barnes: "The first...the first Bill that we had, I think, was 8.4 million and then we made some changes and it was 3.5 million. And I filed the fiscal note. We got a fiscal note from them."

Ebbesen: "Thank you."

Barnes: "You're welcome."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Many of these arguments we've heard before. But I think it bears repeating that a few things be pointed out. In terms of public policy, we had a textbook Bill and many of the same arguments

that were used today by those who are advocating defeat of this measure used the same arguments before. Let me point out that the great bulk of the textbook money now goes to the public school system in the State of Illinois. In terms of public policy, I think it's wise for us to keep a strong private school alternative alive and well. It is also, in terms of dollars and cents, very wise for us to maintain...to help support private education by helping in the funding of bussing, which is going out-of-sight as many of you know. The...it...what may well happen, without help, is that the state will find that more and more students in the private schools will go into the public school system and we'll not only end up picking up their full bussing but we'll also...it will cost the taxpayers a great deal of money in terms of the tuition, which is presently saved. There are a number of other issues and things that we could discuss in regard to this Bill. They have been discussed in previous debate. I would only ask that you would give it your favorable consideration. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As Representative Keane so correctly pointed out, most of the arguments today have been heard by all of us countless times and it is redundant to go over them again. But the incontrovertible facts remain, that the State of Illinois is spending over two billion dollars a year



on education of seventy-five percent of the children of this state and twenty-five percent of the children of this state are receiving nothing. And we are spending over a hundred million dollars in transporting students, seventy-five percent of the children of this state, while twenty-five percent of the students are getting no help at all. And the fact is that we have two viable systems in this state, the public system and the private system. And if we are going to survive...if education is going to survive in the State of Illinois, we have to do everything we can to protect both of these systems. You need the public system, you need the private system. And I say to you, that if, if the private system should collapse, and that's a very real danger, if the private system does collapse, that all of these children are going to be put into the public system at a horrendous cost, at a cost much more than any money we're talking about now. If you want to destroy education, if you want to increase educational costs beyond belief, well then defeat this Bill. We have to pass this Bill. We have to support both systems in the state. We have to support all of the school children of this state. And I implore every Member of this House to vote for Senate Bill 1812."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Murphy, has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor

say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. And the question is put. The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes, to close. This is going to be an oral...verified Roll Call so...oral verified Roll Call, that is. So everybody stay in their seats. The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes, to close."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that this Bill has been very much debated. I think that each and every one of us knows what the Bill contains. I have spoken to many of you individually on many occasions and I want to thank you from the bottom of my heart for your consideration and an 'aye' vote for Senate Bill 1812."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, for purpose do you arise?"

Yourell: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Yourell: "Representative Barnes has indicated that there had been substantial previous debate on this Bill and that's entirely accurate. All of the arguments have been propounded and if you recall, when this Bill, under another number, was introduced in this House last year, I asked several questions relative to the mandated provisions' Bill that will go into effect on January 1st, 1981. I ask the sponsor of this legislation, the House sponsor of this legislation, if, in her judgment, and I put an Amendment to the Bill if you recall that delayed the effective date and no...require 89 votes, if it indeed...if this Bill came under the provisions of the mandated programs.

And I'd like the Parliamentarian to tell everybody here exactly how he feels about that."

Speaker Matijevich: "Parliamentarian on the floor? Would the Parliamentarian come to the podium? While we're waiting for him, Ray Christensen would like to announce that the Senate got 38 votes on the nuclear waste override. We've got two parts to that answer. One is, that's a legal question and the...the Chair is going to rule that that is a legal question that we...on a parliamentary matter. Two is...the second part of that question is, that because it passed in the Eighty-first General Assembly, it appears that it does not come under that provision of the...because that does not take effect until January. However, it is not entirely clear, and it is a matter that the courts will have to decide...thank, God. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you. If you recall, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I asked the Sponsor, the House Sponsor of this Bill, during the debates several months ago, if indeed, this will be funded entirely by the state and not by the local taxpayers. And she said that because of that Amendment placed to the Bill and her interpretation, that yes, indeed, the state would fully fund, fully fund the provisions of Senate Bill 1812. Now, Mr. Speaker, you're telling me...telling us something else. What is the answer? Can the Sponsor answer that question? Are the local taxpayers going to foot this Bill or is the state going to foot the Bill? That's important as far as my vote is concerned."

Speaker Matijevich: "Would the Sponsor of the Bill respond to that?"

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, it is stated right in the Bill, the state will fund this Senate Bill 1812."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. As long as it's in the Bill that's..."

Yourell: "All right. One further question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed. All right. We're going to proceed...I think that's out of order. We're going to proceed and she's asked for a Roll Call vote. The question is, shall the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill...we have already closed on that. She did. Yes, she did. Yes, she did. The previous question was moved and she closed the debate. The Second Conference Committee Report on 1812 be adopted? Those in...and this is going to be an oral verified Roll Call. Those in favor signify...no, the Clerk is going to call the Roll. Those in favor will signify by standing up and voting 'aye' and punching the 'aye' button. Those opposed...or 'no'...those opposed by voting 'no' and punching the red button. Does everybody understand that? This is the old Hanahan...that's not a commercial, Elmer. The Clerk will call the Roll. I think we all know what an oral verified Roll Call is. The Clerk will call the Roll."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Abramson, 'aye'. Ackerman. Ackerman, 'no'. Alexander. Alexander, pass. Anderson. Anderson, 'aye'. Balanoff. Balanoff, 'no'. Jane Barnes. Jane Barnes, 'aye'. Beatty. Beatty, 'aye'. Bell. Bell, pass. Bianco. Bianco, 'aye'. Birchler. Birchler, 'aye'. Birkinbine.

Birkinbine, 'no'. Bluthardt. Bluthardt, 'no'.  
 Borchers. Borchers, 'no'. Boucek. Boucek, 'no'.  
 Bower. Bower, pass. Bowman. Bowman, 'no'. Bradley.  
 Bradley, pass. Braun. Braun, pass. Breslin.  
 Breslin, 'aye'. Brummer. Brummer, pass. Bullock.  
 Bullock, pass. Burnidge. Burnidge, 'no'. Campbell.  
 Campbell, pass. Capparelli. Capparelli, 'aye'.  
 Capuzi. Capuzi, 'aye'. Casey. Casey, 'no'.  
 Catania."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative  
 Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.  
 Briefly, I would like to explain my vote on this Bill.  
 Catholic school parents in Chicago have to pay twice  
 if this Bill passes. Catholic school parents in  
 Chicago already pay tuitions that among the highest in  
 the state, if not the highest in the state. So that  
 is the initial drain on their pocket books...our  
 pocket books, I should say. But anybody that has been  
 reading the Chicago newspapers knows that there will  
 be a second drain because the Chicago school board has  
 made it quite clear that a tax increase is necessary  
 to keep the public schools afloat. And there's only  
 so much money to go around in the public school  
 education system. And the money is going to have to  
 come out of our tax dollars, whether it comes out of  
 our state tax dollars or our local tax dollars. So  
 we're going to be hit twice if we pass this Bill which  
 in no way benefits any Catholic school parent in the  
 City of Chicago. I have to vote 'no' and I can't  
 imagine how anyone who represents Catholic school

parents in Chicago could vote any way but 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Catania, 'no'. Chapman. Chapman, 'aye'. Christensen. Christensen, 'aye'. Collins. Collins, 'aye'. Conti. Conti, 'no'. Cullerton. Cullerton, 'aye'. Currie. Currie, 'no'. Daniels. Daniels, 'no'. Darrow. Darrow, 'aye'. Davis. Davis..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. In explanation of my vote, I want to make it perfectly clear that for the first time on one of these issues that involves private education, I'll be swimming against what I think is the coming tide. I have no problem with parochial aide or aid to private schools, I do have a problem currently with the Bill since the thirteen million dollar appropriation was turned down. I think the whole subject of transportation of school children, both private and public, should indeed be rethought and reworked because the thing just never pays for itself at the school district level anyway. I have always supported this concept. I will continue to support it next year. I believe that it is a good thing to do. I believe that is a good thing to do. I believe many of the arguments that Representative Collins made are correct arguments. I would suggest to you, however, that I...I supported it simply because I thought we moving in the area of...of fulfilling our mandates in the public transportation sector for funding one hundred percent of eighty percent. I was astounded to find that we did not and that the error was made. And even more astounded two

weeks ago when this House turned down the thirteen million dollar supplemental. I can't believe the Governor would sign it. Since his orders were to kill the supplemental...the...for the public of bussing...thirteen million dollar appropriation. I can't believe he'll sign it. I'm going to vote 'no' today with the clear idea that my district doesn't want me to do that. But I'm going to vote 'no' and then I'm going to vote 'yes' again when the Bill is brought back up next year, if it fails here today. I advocate a temporary 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Davis, 'no'. Dawson. Dawson, pass. Deuster. Deuster, 'no'. DiPrima. DiPrima, pass. Domico. Domico, pass. Donovan. Donovan, 'no'. Doyle. Doyle, 'aye'. John Dunn."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon Representative John Dunn."

Clerk O'Brien: "John Dunn, 'aye'. Ralph Dunn. Ralph Dunn, pass. Dyer. Dyer, 'no'. Ebbesen. Ebbesen, 'no'. Epton. Epton, pass. Ewell. Ewell, pass. Ewing. Ewing, 'no'. Farley. Farley, 'aye'. Flinn. Flinn, 'aye'. Virginia Frederick. Virginia Frederick, 'no'. Dwight Friedrich. Dwight Friedrich, pass. Gaines. Gaines, pass. Garmisa. Garmisa, 'aye'. Getty. Getty, 'aye'. Giorgi. Giorgi, 'aye'. Goodwin. Goodwin, 'aye'. Greiman. Greiman, 'no'. Griesheimer. Griesheimer, pass. Grossi. Grossi, 'aye'. Hallock. Hallock, 'aye'. Hallstrom."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of

the House, I feel must add my feelings to those of my colleagues who say that there is absolutely no logic in expanding a program when you don't have the money to pay for the program that you already have. Besides the money situation, has anyone considered the administrative problems involved when you're changing a whole policy? We have never had public school children bussed five miles outside their district to another public school. Can you imagine the kinds of things that the public schools are going to have to go through to implement this program? I would urge you, please, to look at it from both of these angles. Certainly, I'm not against the safety of children. I'm only suggesting that if we're going to be logical in this General Assembly, I can't possibly understand, when you can't spend the money already promised for the education of...the transportation of all children in the public schools, how you can now add five miles outside the district for private schools and add five miles outside the district for public schools. I would urge you, please, to consider these before you vote, and then vote 'no'"

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallstrom, 'no'. Hanahan. Hanahan, pass. Hannig. Hannig, 'aye'. Harris. Harris, 'aye'. Henry. Henry, 'aye'. Hoffman. Hoffman, 'no'. Hoxsey. Hoxsey, 'no'. Hudson. Hudson, 'no'. Huff. Huff, pass. Huskey. Huskey, 'no'. Jaffe. Jaffe, 'no'. Johnson."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Tim Johnson."

Johnson: "A lot of...a lot of discussion and argument about



the practicalities of this issue, and some, but very little, about the constitutional aspects of it, and those have been limited to discussion of the U.S. Constitution. I would call the Members' attention to Article 10 of the 1970 Illinois Constitution that says, in pertinent part, that the General Assembly shall...shall never make any appropriation or pay from any public fund whatever, anything to help support or sustain any school and so forth, controlled by any church or sectarian denomination, whatever. This seems to me to be absolutely crystal clear. And even if those constitutional scholars who say that the U.S. Supreme Court has upheld various forms of parochial aide under the 1st and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution, the Illinois Constitution is absolutely clear. And I can't conceive of a court anywhere in this state that would uphold it as being...as being even close to constitutional. And for that reason and for the other arguments stated, a bad public policy and the constitutional aspect, I vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Johnson, 'no'. Marjorie Jones. Marjorie Jones, 'aye'. Emil Jones. Emil Jones, pass. Kane. Kane, 'no'. Karpiel. Karpiel, 'no'. Katz. Katz, 'no'. Keane..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Keane, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Keane, 'aye'. Kelly. Kelly, 'aye'. Kent. Kent, 'no'. Klosak. Klosak, pass. Kornowicz. Kornowicz, 'aye'. Kosinski. Kosinski, 'aye'. Krska. Krska, 'aye'. Kucharski. Kucharski, 'aye'. Kulas. Kulas, 'aye'. Laurino. Laurino, 'aye'. Lechowicz. Lechowicz, 'aye'. Leinenweber. Leinenweber, 'aye'."

Leon. Leon, 'aye'. Leverenz. Leverenz, 'no'.  
 Macdonald. Macdonald, 'no'. Madigan. Madigan,  
 'aye'. Mahar. Mahar, 'aye'. Margalus. Margalus,  
 'aye'. Marovitz."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative  
 Marovitz."

Marovitz: "My Hanukkah present to the Cardinal. I vote  
 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Marovitz, 'aye'. Matijevich."

Speaker Matijevich: "'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Matijevich, 'aye'. Matula. Matula, 'no'.  
 Mautino. Mautino, pass. McAuliffe. McAuliffe,  
 'aye'. McBroom. McBroom, pass. McClain. McClain,  
 'aye'. McCourt. McCourt, 'aye'. McGrew. McGrew,  
 pass. McMaster. McMaster, 'no'. McPike. McPike,  
 'no'. Meyer. Meyer, 'aye'. Griffin."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Griffin."

Griffin: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, I've heard the arguments on  
 both sides of this and I'm sensitive to the concerns  
 that people have on both sides. I think it's...must  
 be careful about giving public funds to anything  
 relating to private institutions. But I think the  
 direction of too much statism, too much control  
 through the public schools is a danger to our society  
 and we need some diversity of opinion. Private  
 schools represent that chance for diversity. So,  
 while I don't care for this way of helping that cause,  
 I think that cause needs a boost. The college I went  
 to almost closed its doors, Shimer College, because of  
 a failure of this Body to give them some funds that  
 they could have used to help keep dissent and

divergent views alive. So, in order to help that cause, I would vote 'aye'...and vote 'yes' on this proposal."

Speaker Matijevich: "Griffin, as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Griffin, 'aye'. Mugalian."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to just explain, in part, my vote. I wish all of you had listened when Representative Johnson paraphrased the Illinois Constitution. I want to say just one thing, and it's about the most understated statement I've made since I've been here. If you were drafting the Illinois Constitution and wanted to make absolutely sure that a Bill like this would never pass, you couldn't have written it any more clearly than it has been written. It uses words like, whatever, never, whatsoever, and so forth. It absolutely...the Illinois Constitution absolutely prohibits this Bill. That is why I am voting 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mugalian, 'no'. Mulcahey. Mulcahey, pass. Murphy. Murphy, 'aye'. Neff. Neff, 'no'. Oblinger. Oblinger, 'aye'. O'Brien. O'Brien, 'aye'. Patrick. Patrick, 'aye'. Pechous. Pechous, 'aye'. Peters. Peters, 'aye'. Piel. Piel, pass. Pierce. Pierce, 'no'. Polk. Polk, 'no'. Pouncey. Pouncey, 'aye'. Preston."

Speaker Matijevich: "Could we go out of order and record the Speaker as 'aye'? Record the Speaker as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, 'aye'. Preston, 'aye'. Pullen. Pullen, 'no'. Rea. Rea, 'no'. Reed. Reed,

pass. Reilly. Reilly, 'no'. Richmond. Richmond,  
'no'. Rigney. Rigney, 'no'. Robbins."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Robbins, the Gentleman  
from Wayne."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I  
feel there is no doubt that this Bill will pass. But  
I come from an area in southern Illinois which voted  
to keep this House intact which..."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. Would the Parliamentarian  
come to the Podium?"

Robbins: "...which also voted, in the township that I live  
in, an additional ten cents in funding for local  
taxation for...for their school bussing because the  
state does not provide the money to transport the  
students at the present time. And this is one of the  
reasons...and if we are going to cheat our districts,  
as we have in the past. And we are going to require  
an additional tax increase on our districts. Why  
don't we tell the people the truth, that we are  
willing to levy them taxation without proper  
representation. Apparently, in some of your  
districts, they didn't believe you represented them as  
well as they did in ours."

Speaker Matijevich: "Robbins, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Robbins, 'no'. Ronan. Ronan, 'aye'.  
Ropp."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ropp, the Gentleman from  
McLean."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, thank you. For a  
number of reasons, but particularly when I found out  
that the projection of the cost was...was three and a

half million, which I think is way too low, but because it was comprised by the same group who have previously estimated our total transportation costs to be thirteen million dollars less than they projected. And besides, not one improvement in the quality of our state education will be received as a result of this passage of this Bill. I vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ropp, 'no'. Ryan. Ryan, 'aye'. Sandquist."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to say that this is a charade we're putting up here today. The Constitutional arguments are so clear that this Bill would never stand up. And I really...the people from Chicago are voting for this Bill when it doesn't help the schools in Chicago at all. I just don't understand it, and therefore, I'll proudly vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sandquist, 'no'. Satterthwaite, 'no'. Schisler. Schisler, 'no'. Schlickman. Schlickman, pass. Schneider. Schneider, 'no'. Schoeberlein. Schoeberlein, 'aye'. Schraeder. Schraeder, 'aye'. Schuneman. Schuneman, 'no'. Sharp. Sharp, pass. Simms. Simms, 'aye'. Skinner. Skinner, 'aye'. Slape. Slape, 'aye'. Stanley. Stanley, 'aye'. Stearney. Stearney, 'aye'. Steczo. Steczo, 'no'. E.G. Steele. E.G. Steele, 'no'. C.M. Stiehl. C.M. Stiehl, 'no'. Stuffle. Stuffle, 'no'. Sumner. Sumner, 'no'. Swanstrom. Swanstrom, 'no'. Taylor. Taylor, 'aye'. Telcser. Telcser, 'aye'. Terzich."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I fully comprehend Representative Davis' nebular statement regarding this Bill, so I figure my vote would be immatisticle (sic) to the overall effectiveness so I give a temporary 'aye' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Temporary 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Telcser. Terzich, rather, 'aye'. Totten. Totten, pass."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's in Washington. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Tuerk. Tuerk, 'aye'. Van Duyne."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne."

Clerk O'Brien: "Van Duyne, 'aye'. Vinson. Vinson, 'aye'. Vitek. Vitek, 'aye'. VonBoeckman. VonBoeckman, pass. Walsh. Walsh, 'aye'. Watson. Watson, 'aye'. White. White, 'aye'. Wikoff. Wikoff, 'no'. Willer. Willer, 'no'. Williams. Williams, 'no'. Williamson. Williamson, pass. Winchester. Winchester, 'no'. J.J. Wolf. J.J. Wolf, 'aye'. Sam Wolf. Sam Wolf, 'aye'. Woodyard. Woodyard, 'no'. Younge. Younge, 'aye'. Yourell."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I asked several questions during the debate on many occasions when this Bill was before us. And, again, I don't want to be redundant but I have to refer to the Chicago Representatives who are voting for this Bill because it's going to cost their

taxpayers a substantial sum of money for public transportation. They're going to gain nothing. It seems to me when the Governor vetoed 3628, which was a supplemental appropriation for public school transportation in Illinois, that he's going to be in one hell of a position when this...if it does get to his desk to sign this Bill after having suffered the experience of vetoing a public transportation Bill. I would also suggest to you that the Governor's veto the first time on this Bill, and it's my understanding from the Sponsor that he's indicated to her, most forceably on two occasions, that he's going to sign the Bill should it get to his desk. So I...I think what we're engaging here is, as one Representative indicated, a charade. This is a political issue designed to give certain individuals a political edge in certain districts. I...this is my last term in this House of Representatives, and I recognize all of the symptoms as I've recognized them throughout the years. Some of the people who are voting for this Bill also voted against House Bill 3628, which would be fully funding public education in Illinois. But my purpose only is to do one thing, to put this right smack dab on the Governor's desk and let him answer the electorate in two years. I vote 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Yourell, 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Clerk has completed the Roll Call. Has...any Member who is not on the Roll Call wish to be recognized to be on the Roll Call? Or, does any Member wish to Change their vote? Representative Mulcahey. Representative Mulcahey, the Gentleman from

Winnebago."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, please record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey, push your button and be recorded as 'aye'. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote very briefly. I wanted to hear the constitutional arguments on this because I respect the opinions of a number of people who have spoken to this issue. But I think it really begs the historical background of our Constitution to say that we are barred by the Constitution from voting for this type of Bill. This...history of our Nation is to the effect that government should not step in and take over the churches as the Mother England did over there. It in no way said that the churches could not involve themselves deeply in the question of education. The students of our state who attend private schools have as much right to use public transportation as any other students. Their parents are indeed taxpayers and that taxpayer's money is being used for every other purpose. Because the Governor of the state feels that there is a proration problem, should not bar the students as a class from this type of transportation. I feel that every person in this Legislature should be compelled to vote for this fair Bill which offers all students, the students and sons and daughters of all taxpayers of this state, an opportunity to attend their school. I vote 'yes'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Griesheimer, 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Griesheimer, 'yes'. Representative



Dawson. Dawson, 'aye'. Domico, 'aye'.  
 Representative Bower, from Effingham, 'aye'.  
 Representative McBroom, from Kankakee, 'aye'. The  
 Gentleman from Cook, Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Record me as 'aye', please."

Speaker Matijevich: "...wishes to be recorded from 'no' to  
 'aye'. Hit your button. The button...Representative  
 McGrew, 'no'. McGrew, 'no'. One moment, I'll get to  
 everybody. We have to slow down so that the Clerk  
 gets these also. The Gentleman from Cook,  
 Representative Emil Jones, 'aye'. VonBoeckman, 'aye'.  
 Hit your button, Jim. Any other Member wishes to be  
 recorded? Who? Bullock, 'aye'. This is a verified  
 Roll Call. Have all voted? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Please record me as 'present'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Record Brummer as 'present'. Chuck  
 Campbell, from Vermilion, 'aye'. Hit your button,  
 Chuck. Have all voted? Ethel Alexander. 'Present',  
 for Alexander. Have all voted? Have all voted who  
 wish? Ethel, would you hit your button 'present'.  
 Dwight Friedrich, 'aye'? Friedrich, 'aye'. Hit your  
 button, Dwight. Have all voted? Have all voted who  
 wish? Clerk, take the record on the oral verified  
 Roll Call. What is the count? On this question there  
 are 92 'ayes', 66 'nays', 2 voting 'present'. And the  
 issue prevails. The Second Conference Committee  
 Report on Senate Bill 1812 is adopted and the Bill is  
 hereby declared passed. The Lady from Cook,  
 Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,  
 a very hearty thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "You're welcome. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan, I believe, has an announcement. Where's George at? Oh, an announcement first from Representative Schneider, from DuPage."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The ACR 73 Commission which is the Committee investigating the Chicago school crisis was scheduled for a three o'clock meeting. That is of course cancelled. We will go forward with our 8:30 a.m. meeting as scheduled also. So tonight's meeting is off. Tomorrow morning's meeting is on."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you. I don't know whether this announcement is timely after that vote or not, but I haven't heard to the contrary. The Governor has changed his Christmas party from Friday night to Thursday night. That's tomorrow night at the mansion. The Governor and Jane's Christmas party is tomorrow night for all Members of the General Assembly, and I think you've all been invited by written invitation. But it has been changed to tomorrow night. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "George, are the Democrats invited to your party tonight?"

Ryan: "Everybody is invited."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. Well, Representative Dawson...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dawson."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to announce that I am resigning from the House today and will be going over to the Senate. I'd

like to thank each and every one of you for being such great friends, Democrats and Republicans, because I found out that when you come here you do not use Party lines quite that often. It's been a great experience working with everybody here and I want to continue the same thing when I go over to the Senate."

Speaker Matijevich: "Thank you, Glen. And now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan, on the adjournment...moves that the House stand adjourned...oh, the Gentleman...Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Yeah, I've been asked to announce, Mr. Speaker, just to remind everybody that Walsh is opening his office, 2031 over in the Stratton Building, for a little reception. Just a reminder."

Speaker Matijevich: "That will never beat Ryan's party, but I'll go. Any other announcements? Representative Griffin."

Griffin: "Mr. Speaker, I neglected, in my remarks earlier, to give adequate appreciation to Vince Malloy. I know you had a ceremony here in the Chamber in his honor. I know that you had a delegation come to Ascension Church for the funeral, along with the Governor, that meant a great deal to his family and to all of us in Oak Park. And I think I...was close to Vince for twenty years and I can't think of a man who was closer to his people than Vince, more humble and less changed by the process of politics. I hope I can in some small way continue in that tradition. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "If there are no other announcements, Representative Madigan moves, providing one minute perfunctory for the Clerk to do some business, that

this House stand adjourned until...one moment again. Fred Schraeder...who wants to speak? No? Who? Agreed Resolutions. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi. The Clerk on the Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Joint Resolution 131, Schisler. Senate Joint Resolution 132, McClain. House Joint Resolution 113, Schuneman. House Resolution 1046, Tuerk, et al. House Resolution 1047, McCourt, et al. House Resolution 1048, Bianco, et al. House Resolution 1049, Murphy, et al. House Resolution 1050, Margalus. House Resolution 1052, Emil Jones. House Resolution 1053, Johnson. House Resolution 1054, Bower. House Resolution 1055, Christensen. House Resolution 1057, Jaffe-Giorgi. House Resolution 1058, Sam Wolf, et al. House Resolution 1059, Johnson, et al. House Resolution 1060, Campbell, et al. House Resolution 1061, Cullerton."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giorgi, on the Agreed Resolutions."

Giorgi: "Did you pull out 1051, Mr. Leone?"

Clerk Leone: "Yes."

Giorgi: "You pulled it out, okay. Mr. Speaker, Senate Joint 131 by Schisler tells about Senator Scott Lucas. 132, by McClain, honors a Bill Diedrich. 113, by Schuneman, wants the home of President-Elect Reagan to be made a national historic landmark. 1046, by Tuerk, honors Bill Walsh. 1047, by McCourt, mentions an Eagle Scout. 1048, by Bianco, commends a Doctor Unger. 1049, by Murphy, records a ninetieth birthday. 1050, by Margalus, suggests social security

improvements. 1052, by Jones, tells about...Public League Champion. 1053, by Johnson, tells about a Hammond Football Team Championship. 1054, by Bower, retires a judge. 1055, by Christensen, tells of a capture. 1057, by Jaffe, asks for a later reporting date. 1058, by Wolf, talks about the First Warriors of the Illinois High School Soccer Championship. 1059, by Johnson, another championship. 1060, by Campbell, a perfect football record. And, 1061, by Cullerton, a marriage institution. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Matijevich: "Some of the Members who are retired are on those Agreed Resolutions and we're going to adopt everything but, later, on our last day here, any Member who wants to say a few words, I think we ought to do that. It's traditional that we do that. Now, Representative Giorgi moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Joint Resolution 134, Walsh, in respect to the memory of David P. Porter. House Resolution...Senate Joint Resolution 135, Boucek, in respect to the memory of William Gerbosi. House Resolution 1056, in respect to the memory...Wikoff, in respect to the memory of Orville Dillavou."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giorgi moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye' and...the Death Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution 114, Taylor, et al."

Speaker Matijevich: "Speaker's Table. Now, Representative Giorgi moves that the House stand adjourned after one...providing one minute for the Clerk...oh, now you don't need it. All right. The House stands adjourned until 11 A.M. tomorrow morning...and the House does stand...before we adjourn, will we pull back...leave to pull back one Resolution that the Speaker was unaware was a former Member. I'm sorry, 1056 be pulled back. I wasn't aware that that's a former Member. That one will be pulled back for tomorrow. All right, the House is...the House stands adjourned until 11 A.M. tomorrow morning. Thank you."

## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
DECEMBER 03, 1980

PAGE 1

HB-2777	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	5
HB-3644	1ST READING	PAGE	1
SB-1518	VETO	PAGE	61
SB-1706	MOTIONS	PAGE	9
SB-1726	MOTIONS	PAGE	7
SB-1747	VETO	PAGE	21
SB-1759	MOTIONS	PAGE	6
SB-1812	CONFERENCE	PAGE	97
	MOTIONS	PAGE	81
SB-1978	VETO	PAGE	20
SB-2028	2ND READING	PAGE	22
SB-2029	2ND READING	PAGE	11
SB-2030	2ND READING	PAGE	3
SB-2031	2ND READING	PAGE	3
SB-2033	2ND READING	PAGE	3
SB-2034	2ND READING	PAGE	60
SB-2035	2ND READING	PAGE	4
SB-2036	2ND READING	PAGE	12
SB-2040	2ND READING	PAGE	4
SB-2041	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HR-1045	3RD READING	PAGE	14

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
DECEMBER 03, 1980

PAGE 2

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
EXCUSES ABSENCES	PAGE	11
REDD GRIFFIN - INTRODUCED	PAGE	18
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	124
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	126