

1.  
Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be lead in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chapláin."

Krueger: " In the Name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. Oh. Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. John Ruskin wrote: 'What we think or what we know or what we believe is in the end of little consequence. The only things of consequence is what we do.' Let us pray. Heavenly Father, Almighty God, who dost know all our deeds, and all our endeavors, and all our feelings, render Thy Hóly Spirit may so pervade our minds and bodies that we may enact only those laws which are concomitant and consistent with Thy Will and Divine Plan that the lives of the citizens of the State of Illinois may enjoy effectual economy and domestic peace and perpetual sovereignty. Through Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, pledge of allegiance."

Yourell: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Regular Session will stand in recess to the call of the Chair. The Third Special Session will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be lead in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Krueger: "In the Name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen.. Oh, Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Michelangelo prayed, 'Lord, grant me that I may also desire more than I can accomplish.' Let us pray. Almighty God, who art the Universal Creator of all that is, all that has been and all that ever shall be, we express to Thee our great gratitude for all these blessing which in Thy infinite wisdom have



permitted man to share. Thou alone knowest our limitations. Yet, Good Lord, never withhold from us the hearty desire to seek and refine more adequate ways of affecting such laws that will minister more adequately to the need of our State of Illinois and the people whom it does serve. We ask this in the Name of Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk and Representative Leinenweber in the pledge of allegiance."

Both: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God; indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll stand in recess to the call of the Chair. Representative Conti, you may have permission. House will be in order. Members please be in their seats. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bill of the following title; to wit; House Bill 1179 together with Senate Amendment #1. Passed by the Senate as amended November 8th, 1979 by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of a Bill the following title to wit; House Bill #2789 together with Senate Amendment. Passed by the Senate as amended November 8th, 1979 by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills the following title to wit: House



Bills # 2790, 2794, 2795, and 2797, together with Senate Amendments passed by the Senate as amended November 8th, 1979 by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Senator Geo-Karis is here to use the phone. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Would the record show that Representative Huff is excused because of illness?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Hearing none, the record will so show. Any Republicans absent who should be excused? Who's the Leadership on the Republican side now? Headless horsemen. Representative Mulcahey? I appoint you the Minority Leader. Oh, there's one here. Sitting in the wrong seat though, against the rules. On the Order of Motions on page four appears Senate Bill 1377. Representative Reilly is recognized. You've got to move something here. Parliamentarian or Ron Nelson will you consult with Representative Reilly? Parliamentarian in the chamber? "

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion, I move to suspend the provisions of Rule 68-E for the consideration of Senate Bill 1377 on the Order of Nonconcurrency-Consideration Postponed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "At the suggestion of the Majority Leader, I would ask to take this out of the record at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Supplemental Calendar #1, on the Order of Concurrence, House Bill 1179. Representative Hoxsey? Parliamentarian here? Representative Hoxsey on the floor? Out of the record. 2789, Representative Polk. Representative Campbell, will you please let... Representative Polk moves to suspend the Rule 68-D. "

Polk: "Yeah, we moved to suspend the Calendar, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "You.. for all the Bills that appear on Supplemental Calendar #1? Is that your motion? Is there



any objection? Carry them altogether? It's on the Gentleman's motion to suspend the Calendar rule with respect to Supplemental Calendar #1. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 121 'aye's, and eight 'no'. Representative Balanoff? It's a motion to suspend the rule which requires them to be on the Calendar for one day. Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 121 'aye' and eight 'no'. The motion carried. The rule is suspended. Now, Representative Hoxsey. Is she here on 1179? Out of the record. How about 2789, Representative Polk? Representative Polk? "

Polk: "Mr. Chairman, we move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 2789."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2789? Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not going to object to the Bill, but I wish that the individuals considering this legislation would explain what it does and what the Senate Amendment is and what the original appropriation is. This will be coming up in other legislation. This one I have no objection to if Representative Polk however would address himself to this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman. The point is well taken. I would concur in other Amendments saying that we would all like to know what's in it. But this simply changes 176,000 the appropriation from bond funds to general revenue funds. It was agreed to by the Senate. Our staff and the Democratic staff looked over and they concurred to it. So it's no additional funds. It's just a transfer."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the



Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2789. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 124 'aye' and two 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2789. Constitutional two-thirds Majority. 2790, Representative Chapman. Representative McMasters?"

McMasters: "I hit the wrong button on that last one."

Speaker Redmond: "What'd you hit? 'Present'?"

McMasters: "Vote me 'yes' on it please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters desires to be recorded as 'yes'. Representative Chapman. Representative Farley, 'aye'. Representative Chapman on 2790."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker? I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2790 and then I have a further motion in regard to Senate Amendment 5 which is to concur when that time comes."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Would the Sponsor please tell us what these Amendments are?"

Chapman: "Amendment 1 I believe is the Amendment to add eight million... eight point four million to an appropriation which originally was for 151,000. The eight point four million would be for a new program for the Department of Corrections. Senate Amendment 2 breaks out the five point three million appropriation for the development of work camps specifying the individual sites. Since Senate Amendment 2 relates to Senate Amendment 1 which adds a substantial dollar amount to the original Bill, I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 also."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, I just wanted to ask a question. I had somebody from the Department of Corrections talk to me last night and



they had told me that you had agreed to concur. Has there been some change here?"

Chapman: "At no point had I agreed to concur. I was happy initially to discuss the matter with the department, but I suggested to the department that I would like them to find another Bill for their Amendment since there were too many questions that I had in relation to their Amendment to want to proceed with it myself."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker. I hadn't finished my first question."

Speaker Redmond: "Pardon me. Pardon."

Pullen: "Could you tell us what Amendment 5 does? You're moving to concur in that at the same time are you not?"

Chapman: "No. I think they need to be two separate motions."

Pullen: "Okay. So..."

Chapman: "Representative Pullen, my motion was to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 and 2. I was merely indicating that I had a further motion to offer in regard to Senate Amendment 5."

Pullen: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? I hear a voice. Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think really that we should take a little bit closer look at this. This is.. Amendment #2 is a total of five million, three hundred and twenty thousand dollar appropriation and it has to do with something that we all, well not all, but most of us voted for in the past and I'm happy to say were sponsored by my Senator from my district, Senator Sangmeister, to allow people who are incarcerated in our Illinois prisons to work on certain work projects. But, I don't know whether or not he had in mind taking these people out of their present domiciles and buying specially



built trailers or mobile homes for these people. Now if some of the people here don't have the Amendment there's \$760,000 that goes into Vandalia, \$760,000 that goes into Pontiac and \$760,000 goes to Sheridan. 'Vienna' gets a million, five hundred and twenty thousand Logan gets \$760,000, but.. and the last one is 'Camp, Logan' in Zion, for \$760,000. The total is five .. five million, five hundred.. three hundred and twenty thousand. But unless you go astray these are not for improvements that you are prison. And they are not for administrative hiring such as new guards or new people to work there. These are just to buy mobile homes to house these prisoners in. Now there's one out here at the.. at the fair grounds and they're going to put some mobile homes there and I'm wondering why in the world they can't use the '4-H' facilities that they've got right now. So it does pose a problem with me and I'm.. I stand in support of Representative Chapman that I think the Senate put this on with not too much thought and I think we should not go along with the concurrence of it and maybe at least bring it to Committee. I don't know how the issue came to Appropriations II in the first place for this appropriation. I think... And therefore I stand with her and do not want to vote for concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I would like to clarify and maybe inform you a little bit in regard to two of those work camps that you're speaking of. One of them will be in conjunction with Pontiac and one will be in conjunction with Sheridan. Now, what we have run into in LaSalle County was a proposal whereby a housing development was going to be a remodeling of building that was already there in a residential area. Now, what we're going to run into



in many places in the State of Illinois with the work camps is an objection by the community of having the inmates working in their area and being outside of prison walls. In Sheridan and in Pontiac we hope to house these inmates right outside the fence and they will be in the community which is already prepared to accept this type of a program. This is one way we can counteract some of the problems that Correction is going to run into throughout the state. Nobody wants a penal institution or inmates in their area, but let's face it, we have it. What we're trying to do here at least for those two institutions is to place this program in an area and in such a way that it will not cause a lot of problems in the community."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Representative Hoxsey, Senate... House Bill 2790 is to appropriate a hundred and fifty-one thousand dollars to the Illinois Office of Education for a program for hearing impaired, emotionally disturbed children. Now an Amendment was put on in the Senate, Amendment #2 and Amendment #3 relates to it, that adds eight point four million dollars to this small Bill with the small pricetag which I offered. You may be very right in what you are suggesting. This may be a funded program. But the House has not had an opportunity to have a Committee hearing on it. It is a new concept for our state. It may be an excellent program, but as the Sponsor of this Bill, I do not feel prepared to move ahead with it at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the.. Representative Peters? The question's on the Lady's motion that the House do nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"





Have all.... Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just in terms of explaining my 'no' vote, what we're talking about is an appropriation of some eight point two millions dollars I believe for the purchase of seven mobile units. This Legislature passed legislation talking about work programs for the inmates of the prisons. This Legislature mandated that department to work and develop those kinds of programs. All of you also know that when these people are put out on the road one place or another, they're going to have to stay someplace. These centers, many of you have been talked to about them by the various members of the Department of Corrections. There's not too many of you that want these centers located in your own communities. The department has determined because of that opposition that one way they can end up fulfilling the mandate, taking care of an ever increasing prison population is by the construction of these mobile centers on or near land which is now owned by the state. We're talking about eight of them. Now, I don't particularly hold any great degree of interest personally for what happens in the Department of Corrections. It is not my area so to speak. But you're not going to end up solving the problem by not voting for this particular appropriation. You now have a prison population of eleven thousand and eighty. And into the mid part of next year you're talking almost twelve thousand and increasing. Where are you going to put these people? The alternative is in your back yards and in your communities, in half-way houses and centers. Now if that's what you want, don't end up complaining and moaning and berating the department when they come into your communities and make efforts to do this. You can not have it two ways. It's as simple as that. Vote 'yes' or vote



'no', but know that the alternative is centers located in your communities, homes that either have been abandoned or can be refurbished, parts of universities that may not be used, places in the center up-town one place or another. There's no alternative. It is one way or the other. You can not end up having it both ways."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just to re-echo some of the last speaker's comments. I would beseech some of those people over there with red votes who are talking about the problem in Corrections, to give us these funds so that we can provide the needed places for these inmates. If we don't do it, we're only asking for more prison riots like we had in Pontiac and for more expenditure of state funds to handle this problem. It's imperative that we make this move. We either have to support our Department of Corrections or we should scrap the whole thing and start over. We know that's not a reasonable alternative. This money is needed for prisoners from all over the state. It's not a regional area. Most of us, I'll have two of these in my district, and I'd just as soon they were in somebody else's district. But I know that they're needed and I know that the people or I believe the people in the areas where they are located will accept them. And then we can get these many institutions on board and get something done with these prisoners."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that Representative Ewing pointed out the problem very well. The need here is overwhelming for additional bed space in our .. among our prison personnel. As was pointed out, the adult population in our prisons has risen by eight hundred people or seven



point five percent since just February of this year. Now, in addition the problem is that there is a commitment by the Department of Corrections to keep the adult population at Pontiac at a level that is below the pre-riot level with the object to be to prevent any further riots in our prisons throughout the state. You also... I think most of us also realize that there is a court order that mandates the reduction of Stateville's population from 2700 residents of last year to 1600 under this order. So the need for additional beds in our prisons or outside our prisons is obvious and these funds are vitally needed to provide the work camps and to allow the Department of Corrections to contract with private agencies to house these prisoners. It's a definite move in the right direction to prevent overcrowding and to prevent any further problems or riots within our penitentiary population. And I would urge the defeat of this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I take exception to some of the previous remarks made by the last three speakers on the other side of the aisle. We're talking of an appropriation of over five million dollars for units of housing which are going to last five or ten years at the very utmost without a hearing, without the Appropriation Committee taking proper action. Now this isn't a question of whether or not we are going to go along with the program of cleaning up our state with prisoners rather than keep them locked up in cells. It's a question of being fiscally responsible. Now if we can delay this a couple of months, that's no problem. And let the process of the Appropriations Committee work. Now if anybody knows anything about mobile units, they know they aren't a substantial piece



of housing. We had them on some of the campuses put up under the war time programs and you know they were nothing but shacks. They were shacks from the word go and that's what's going to happen here. And so I would just say that there's no reason to pass this at this point. We can wait a couple of months, still have the program and plan for it. The Department of Corrections can come to us with a feasible plan and at that time we can spend the money and if it takes five point three million, then so be it. But we shouldn't rush into this because some Senator all of sudden tacks an Amendment onto a Bill and says now's the time to go. I think we ought to wait a couple of months and give the proper hearings to this matter."

Speaker Matijevicek: "Have all voted? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explanation of my vote, I. I stand with Representative Schraeder. I can't understand it either. If you'll take a look at the Amendment you have variables all over the state, Vandalia, Pontiac, Sheridan, 'Vienna', Logan, Camp Logan, all also we mentioned Stateville. Now there's also a plan in effect right now with Stateville renovation that they're going to tear down three of the round houses that houses about six... or two hundred and twenty-five or two hundred and thirty inmates in each one of those round houses. Not to mention the farm that we've sort of dissipated over the year. Now that's just one location in my district. Plus the fact that we've got Manteno sitting over there which is under the auspices of the Department of Mental Health and that thing is just going to rack and ruin and I just cannot see without a hearing before the Appropriations Committee, without putting these things up for bid, I've been told by one of our staff



that the specifications for these mobile homes are going to reduce it down to where it's a no good proposition, where only one corporation could build them. Now, you know, I 'm not so much against the idea of prisoners working, but I am against the... just pushing this thing through to make 'Mr. Franzen' happy. And I wish that, you know, we would at least hold this off and come back and go through the Appropriations Committee like it's supposed to be."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner, to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Did I hear the Gentleman correctly? He wants to come back again?"

Van Duyne: "When we come back normally."

Skinner: "I don't know about the rest of you, but I don't want to come back in December."

Van Duyne: "Three months is not going to kill anybody, Cal. November and December and January is only.. a little over two months. The Appropriations Committee will meet in January as it always does."

Skinner: "Well, I..."

Van Duyne: "Not only that, but if Stateville is still there and Manteno is still falling to rack and ruin as you well know, I can't see the sense in going out and spending five and a half million dollars."

Speaker Matijeich: "One moment. The Gentleman from Cook...."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Sir.."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf, for what purpose do you arise?"

Wolf: "On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. I believe...."

Speaker Matijeich: "Your point's well taken."

Wolf: "The Gentlemen are partaking of a dialogue."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner, to explain his vote this time."



Skinner: "Okay. Why not? I'm voting 'no' and I'm voting 'no' because I think it's a exercise in futility to vote 'yes'. The Gentleman from Will is absolutely correct. We would not have a prison bed problem if we had converted Manteno to a prison, but the administration decided it didn't want to take the heat from our Minority Leader and his running mate and so it's not going to be converted to a prison, Representative. This is the... These are the cheapest beds we're going to get. You know, we've been beaten. Admit defeat. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 70 'aye's and 91 'nay's and the Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill which .. Let's see. This is nonconcurrency. It just takes a Majority, right?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, that's right."

Chapman: "Okay. I move to postpone."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman, moves to place the Bill on Postponed Consideration. And the Bill is on Postponed Consideration. House Bill 2794. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser, arise?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, that was a nonconcurrency motion. The motion to nonconcur prevailed and you can't put an item on Postponed Consideration under those circumstances. The nonconcurrency motion prevailed. It prevailed and nonconcurrency motion failed."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's correct and the Lady has asked to put the Bill on Postponed Consideration."

Telcser: "You can't put it on Postponed Consideration. The motion failed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hold on. We'll get back to it in a minute."



Could the Parliamentarian come here just for one moment? Hold it there for one minute. The Parliamentarian's having fun. The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you seek arise?"

Bradley: "Try to help the dilemma we're in. I think you declared the motion failed. Just go on to the next order of business. The motion failed and it'll stay on the Calendar right where it is. And I think that's all that... She doesn't have to go to ... She can withdraw her request to put it on Postponed Consideration. The motion failed. Go to the next order of business."

Speaker Matijevec: "Alright. I'll tell the Parliamentarian we can do it that way. Thank you, Gerry. The Chair is ready to rule. The motion was declared lost. The Sponsor of the Bill did not have the right to move... Postponed Consideration because the motion to nonconcur is not final action. The motion to concur would have been. So the motion has been declared lost and now the Bill is on the Calendar and I understand by her waving of her arms that the Sponsor of the Bill does not wish to make a further motion and we are therefore... The Bill is on the Calendar and we now proceed to House Bill 2794. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 2794, I believe is a noncontroversial Bill. It passed near unanimously. The Amendment..."

Speaker Matijevec: "One moment. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser, for what purpose do you arise?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I move the House concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 5 to House Bill 2790."

Speaker Matijevec: "Are you a Chief Sponsor of the Bill?"

Telcser: "I think I may be. Wait a second, Mr. Speaker, and



I'll check the Digest?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The.. I'm sorry. I don't list you as the Co-Chief-Sponsor. We will now go back to...."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, will you call on a Chief Sponsor? He'll make the motion. He's right there."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane, for what purpose do you arise?"

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I think that it was ruled earlier that if there are hyphenated Co-Sponsors, there has to be the agreement of all of the hyphenated Co-Sponsors before a Bill can be called."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, I don't think we even have that problem right now. So, let's go to House Bill 2794. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think. The .. As I say the Bill itself was noncontroversial, passed almost unanimously. The Amendmant was to which we now seek concurrence was adopted overwhelmingly in the Senate. All it does is to transfer the sum of \$269,700 from the foster care line item in DCFS to adolescent training and support. This program, the ATSP program, underwent an extensive review by DCFS. They discovered that there were a greater number of legitimate cases of adolescents receiving grants in this program than they had originally anticipated. Therefore, the original FY. '80 request needed to be doubled from its original appropriation of \$296,400 to \$566,100. By the same token, the foster care line item was significantly overbudgeted in the spring thereby allowing the transfer to be made rather than a supplemental request. I want to stress that this is not a supplemental appropriation. This does not change the bottom line for the budget. It merely transfers the sum of \$269,000 from one line item to another and I ask the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative





Bowman, has moved that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2794. If there's no discussion, the Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you. I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Speaker Matijevidich: "He indicated he'll yield."

Pullen: "Did you say that the line item for foster care is overbudgeted?"

Bowman: "Yes. That's what I said."

Pullen: "If we.. If we concur with this Amendment now, when do you anticipate our starting to receive letters from foster parents again about how underpaid they are?"

Bowman: "This money isn't going to be spent. Have you gotten any letters so far, Representative Pullen?"

Pullen: "Not this year, it's too close into the fiscal year. What is their rate right now for reimbursement?"

Bowman: "\$170.00 is the top."

Pullen: "\$170.00 a what?"

Bowman: "A month, is tops."

Pullen: "The top reimbursement is \$170.00 a month. What category of foster child is that?"

Bowman: "Twelve and over."

Pullen: "And what is the reimbursement for the lowest amount?"

Bowman: "I don't have that information at this time. But the 170 is the top figure. So if you're concerned about the possible expenditure of these funds, it seems to me that that's the figure you'd want to use."

Pullen: "Certainly is novel to hear you presenting this argument, Representative. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevidich: "Any other discussion?"

Bowman: "I am pleased."

Speaker Matijevidich: "If not, the question... The Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "The Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevidich: "Indicates he will."

Anderson: "Now you talk about this foster care money. Would



this include independent living money for those people too?"

Bowman: "Well, the line item into which we are transferring does include that."

Anderson: "And you're trying to take money away?"

Bowman: "No, no, no. We're trying to transfer money into the adolescent training and support line item and that does include independent living money."

Anderson: "And this is where you're short money and you want.."

Bowman: "That's right."

Anderson: "I certainly support you then."

Bowman: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Matijevich : "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters. Peters?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, just to .. from our side again, to agree with the general statements made by Representative Bowman. The Department is in agreement with the particular Amendment. They feel comfortable with it and I would ask the Members on our side of the aisle to support Representative Bowman in his motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "If there's no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2794?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'nay'." This takes 107 three-fifths Constitutional Majority. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 137 'aye's', 7 'nay', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 ... J.J. Wolf, 'aye', Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2794. Gene Hoffman, 'aye'. And House Bill 2794, having received the Constitutional three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed.

House Bill 2795, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."



Amendment... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2795 appropriates \$100,000 to the road fund to the Court of Claims for payment of awards by the court. Amendment #2 appropriates \$150,000 for payments to 'Kinsberry School'. These awards have been awarded by the Court of Claims and I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 2795."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Taylor has moved to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2795. On that motion, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, could the Sponsor of the Bill tell me why we're taking money from the road fund to pay general claims of the Court of Claims?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "These are normal claims that come to as attributed to the road problems and that why it came from the road fund."

Skinner: "Can you give us a clue as to what they're for? I mean, we used to take all sorts of money from the road fund to pay things that shouldn't be paid for out of the road fund... And I want to make sure we're not doing it here."

Taylor: "Well, Representative Skinner, I don't have that information now. I just saw these Amendments a few moments ago. I did even know that they were put on over in the Senate. But I do know that the normal procedure for Court of Claims awards has been awarded by the court and they come back for our approval."

Skinner: "Then we may assume these are from the Department of Transportation? Claims that the Department of Transportation didn't pay on a timely basis?"

Taylor: "Yes. Yes."

Skinner: "Thank you."



Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just once again to indicate that our staff and our side so to speak are in concurrence with the motion made by Representative Taylor in regard to the Senate Amendments. And we would respectfully request the affirmative votes of the Assembly in regard to this motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "If there's no further discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor has moved that the House do concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2795. The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2795?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. This motion takes three-fifths Constitutional Majority, 107 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 138 'aye's, 3 'nay's, and the House does concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2795. And House Bill 2795, having received the Constitutional three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2797. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would move that we concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 3. Senate Amendment #1 makes a reduction of \$240,300 in federal funds of which 49,500 adjustments for public water supply air pollution control, there's... would delay the Motor Vehicle Emissions program because the General Assembly has not taken appropriate legislation. So since there's no program, there's no need to appropriate the money..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Could I interrupt? It's not normal to interrupt in the middle of a Bill. However, we have a celebrity here at the time and we're going to have to now interrupt. You know, some of you... some



of you Green Bay Packers fans have said the Pack will be back, we have before us today a celebrity for the Chicago Bear fans. Mr. Bear, himself, and I'm going to introduce my colleague from the Lake County... Is everybody ready now? Do you know who we have here? Ron Griesheimer, my colleague, has introduced a Resolution that is going to be offered and adopted and today. So I will now introduce Ron Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, John, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Recently every (sic) in Lake County was truly distinguished when Mr. Halas decided to move the home of the Chicago Bears to Lake Forest, Illinois. Matter of fact, my colleague, Ginny Frederick, served on the Lake Cou... the Lake Forest City Council that voted for this move into Lake Forest. It's now probably one of the finest training facilities of any professional football team in the United States. Because of their move to Lake County and the honor they have bestowed upon us, myself and Representative Marovitz teamed together as he is a long-time personal friend of Mr. Halas, to prepare and present this Resolution which I am about to present to the House and thereafter request unanimous consent for passage and adoption. Whereas, the State of Illinois and Mr. Halas, if you'd like to stand up here... Whereas the State of Illinois has produced many great national leaders whose names shall be etched in the granite of American history, and folk lore, and whereas, no Illinoisan has more greatly distinguished himself in the area of sports and community service than George Stanley Halas, and whereas, Mr. Halas, a product of Chicago's Crane Technical High School and later an outstanding three letter varsity athlete at the University of Illinois, is celebrating his 60th year in football and whereas, his monumental achievements in



professional football began with the organization of the Decatur 'Staley's Football Team' in Decatur, Illinois, and whereas the Decatur 'Staley's' were thereafter moved to Chicago being renamed the 'Chicago Bears', and whereas, Mr. Halas then organized and was one of the leading spirits in the formation of the American Professional Football Association, forerunner of America's greatest sports program, the National Football League, and whereas, Mr. Halas's love for football was second only to his love for his nation and state, having temporarily given up his coaching position to serve in the United States Navy during World War II, where he received the bronze star, and whereas, he has led the now famous Chicago Bears to more national and divisional championships than any other team in professional football and has had more Chicago Bears elected to the National Football Hall of Fame, where he, himself, was elected in 1963. And whereas, this year the Chicago Bears organization established their new home, the Halas Hall Training Facility in Lake Forest, Illinois, to the sincere delight of all Lake County Bear fans, and whereas, no Illinoisan from Lincoln to Frank Lloyd Wright, Sandburg to Stevenson, has brought more distinguished fame to his state than George Stanley Halas 'Mr. Football, USA,' therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eighty-First General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we express our respect and appreciation to one of the truly great men of professional... and the State of Illinois, George Stanley Halas and be it further resolved that we continue to extend our warmest welcome to the Chicago Bears upon the completion of the Halas Hall Training Facility in Lake Forest, Illinois. And be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to George Stanley Halas to



commemorate his 60th anniversary in professional football. Mr. Speaker, I would move for the adoption of this Resolution and that all Members of the House be joined as Co-Sponsors."

Speaker Matijevich: "The.. Representative Griesheimer has moved the suspension of rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. And the Resolution is adopted. The presentation of Coach Halas is going to be made by the Co-Joint-Chief Sponsor, Bill Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Before we do that, I'd like to recognize a friend of Coach Halas's and all the people of the city of Chicago, and my opinion and the opinion of football experts, the greatest quarterback every to play professional football that came here with George Halas Sid Luckman. Sid Lu man. You know all of us.. all of us here who have followed professional sports, know about George Halas the father of professional football. We've all heard about that. But, one of George Halas's secrets was keeping his game plan a secret from the press and from the opposing team. And one thing that the people of the country are only learning recently because it's been George Halas's game plan to keep it secret is just how wonderful, how decent, how civic-minded and how charitable a man George Halas is. He wouldn't allow us in the Resolution to include all of the civic duties and charitable organizations that he belongs to and has contributed to. But let me tell you, and anybody who has followed the Chicago Bears, been a member of the Chicago Bears family or knows this fine Gentleman, knows that there isn't a more decent, honorable, dedicated, or charitable human being on earth than George Halas and he is beloved not only because



he is the father of professional football, but he is beloved by people who know him because of the kind of human being that he is. I'm proud as is Representative Cullerton and Representative Telcser and Senator Merlo to have him as a Member of the 12th District and I'm proud to introduce one of the most wonderful human beings God ever put on this earth, George Hallis."

Halas: "Gee, that's pretty good for a 'bohunk' from the west side of Chicago. Thank you very much. Speaker Redmond, President Rock, Members of the House and Senate, Ladies and Gentlemen, this morning as I boarded the plane for Springfield, I remembered that the last time I was in Springfield was 59 years ago. At that time I was an employee of the AE Staley Manufacturing Company in Decatur. And we had a baseball team managed by Iron Joe McGuinnity. And we.. They scheduled a game to be played in Springfield to play a game against the Sangamo Electric Company Team. And as I remember, we did win that game. I always remember the victories. And I tell you, as I.. as the plane winged south to Springfield, I thought of Mr. A.E. Staley and all of the memories faded. Mr. Staley about whom the state can really boast as a justifiable, honorable man, and he made my dreams come true. In 1921, Mr. Staley called me to his office and he said, 'George, we had a great season in 1920, but there is a recession now. And we cannot afford to have the team. We cannot support it. In fact, we're on our last ear of corn.' But he never... He did not really fire his employees, but he did reduce their salaries as survival. And did great things; and he said... See we had the players then. They all got \$55.00 a week to play and work. And .. and if there was any money left at the end of the season, why that would be split up. We hadn't had a very successful season and we split up and got 17.... each player





got \$1700 for the season in addition to the \$55.00 a week to work. I was coach and manager and player so they voted me two shares. Well you see, even as a youngster, the money started coming my way. And he said, with the recession, we can't support a team again. But, he said, take the team to Chicago. I give you the team. And I give you \$5,000 as seed money to get started. I didn't realize what a great present that was until later years. And that was the type of a man that Mr. Staley was. I said a silent prayer to him and said that I hope that .. that my.. his faith in me did not go astray. He said, just advertise the Staley Company for one year. Call it the 'Chicago Staley's' for the year of 1921, which we did do. And let me tell you a true story. When .. When his granddaughter at that time was seven years old, he told her, stick in the mud, that was his favorite expression, for his wonderful granddaughter. He said, I want 'Stick in the Mud', I want to tell you two things. And I won't be alive to see them come true. But you will. I.. He said, one of things is that soybeans will become the most important crop in the United States. At that time, he told the farmers at least put in one acre of soybeans and I'll be glad to take it off your hands. But now his prediction came true. And, it was realized this year as it is the biggest crop in history of the United States. 59 years have passed since the last time I was in Springfield. Today, I am within these hallowed walls. I am being honored by my peers. I am deeply touched and my gratitude has no bounds. With your permission, I would like to have this day and this citation shared with Mr. Staley. He was a man that made my dream come true. And, if you.. And I want to tell you another thing, that I would like to present a very special man and that is Mr. Sid Luckman



who was the greatest quarterback, not only with the Bears, but the entire league. All he did was to win championships, six of them. And I tell you...."

Speaker Matijevich: "Let's hear a few words from Sid Luckman. He looks like he could throw some passes today, doesn't he?"

Sid Luckman: "Coach Halas, Members of the Legislature, and Honored Guests, I can't tell you how honored and thrilled I am to be here with Coach Hallis. Coach asked me to come down here with him today and spend this memorial day with him. Ladies and Gentlemen, I feel in my heart, I really know Coach Halas as well as any man in the United States, as well as man can possibly know another man. I've been with him now for 40 years. I was blessed to have played with him for 12 years and I was coached... coaching with him for 20 years and that was eight years ago. Ladies and Gentleman and people who represent our state, from the depths of my heart, I would like to tell you one very, very important thing that Coach Halas is the most important part of my life. Everything in this world that I have I attribute to Coach Halas and the fact that he dearly loved me, respected me and wanted me to try to be an athlete. He worked with me. He coached me. He fathered me. And to this very day, the good Lord has never put on this earth a man any greater, any finer, any more charitable, any more distinguished than Coach George Halas. I want you folks honoring him here today, you never in your life have ever or ever again will honor a man as great as Coach George Halas. From the depths of my heart and from all the Chicago Bears, this is a wonderful day in Coach Halas's life. May the good Lord bestow upon him many, many more years of health and happiness. I really don't know of anyone in this



world more deserving. And to you folks who pass the laws in this great country of ours, continue to pass the right ones. They're so vital and so important to every citizen in this country and again, may God bless all of you and thank you so very much for having me and I love this man as my father and the most wonderful human being I've ever known. Thank you so much."

Halas: "Thank you, Sid. That was beautiful. I liked it also. Now, if there are any questions to be asked, I'll be glad to answer them."

Speaker Matijevich: "There's one here. You know might ask the first question. From Decatur, a Gentleman who says, he can say something in behalf of the Staley's, Webber Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Halas, as I told you in the Speaker's Office, if it hadn't been for our own family, the Staley's would not have been in Decatur. Therefore, nevertheless, this is true. Therefore, since Roland Staley was a Member of my gang when I was a boy and we got into trouble together now and then, I feel that it's only right and proper that I tell you that in behalf of the Staley's, who are really all gone, that I appreciate in behalf of them and for the city of Decatur your comments in relation to the Staley family and Decatur and I want to thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are there.... Ed Bluthardt, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Question. Who was .. It may be a little unfair right now, Mr. Halas but my favorite quarterback was Karl 'Brumba'. Now who was the better? Luckman or Brumba?"

Halas "Well, 'Brumba' was a great quarterback. He's the one that taught Sid how to become a quarterback. So that's what I think of 'Brummie'."



Speaker Matijevich: "Are there any more... I might say in behalf of my county also, I happen to be a good friend of Jim McMillan and I've never met George Halas in my life. But Jim McMillan was part of that Staley group I believe. But he surely played with George Ha.. maybe not the Staley group, but he played with George very early and everything that Sid Luckman said about George Halas I can attest to because I've talked to Jim McMillan at length about George Halas and so it was a pleasure for me finally to meet him personally. Was there one other question? If not, I want to again express the appreciation of the House of Representatives for having George Halas here with us today. I can think of only one person that doesn't like George Halas and that's my wife, because of all the time I spend watching football on Sunday. And I say that in jest. But we all deeply express what he has done for football, what he has also done for Chicago and what he has done for the State of Illinois and all people. Thank you for being with us, George. And Sid Luckman, too. Mr. Halas promises that the next time he's coming to Springfield he's going to bring all of the Honey Bears with him. He said he'd like that too. And Sid Luckman said he's going to bring a new offense too. And George says, that, you can count on. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "I don't think we adopted the Resolution, yet, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's right. It's been moved that we adopt Resolution in behalf of George Halas, Mr. Football. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. And the Resolution is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that leave was asked to add all Members as Co-Sponsors and I don't think that



was done either."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave for all Members as Co-Sponsors. Leave and it shall be ordered. Now we revert back to House Bill 2797, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. In summation, the grand total reduction in Amendment #1 was 240,300, Amendment #3 restored 40 thousand dollars in federal funds which will allow the Illinois EPA to develop a tracking system and I would move to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 2797."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Wolf has moved that the House do concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 2797. If there's no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 2797?' Those in favor sig... No.. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, I believe Representative Wolf said Senate Amendment #3 according to this article that I have on page two. It's Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. We're looking at that Amendment."

Borchers: "It's the one that adds \$40,000. It's Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Senate Amendments that we are considering and I have them with the Bill are 1 and 3."

Borchers: "Well, then what is Senate Amendment #2 and #3 the difference?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Senate Amendment #2 was tabled. There's an error on your ~~on your~~ analysis, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Oh, I see. Okay."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House



Bill 2797?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. It takes three-fifths Constitutional Majority, 107 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 138 'aye's, 4 'nay's, one answering 'present'. And the House does concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 2797. And House Bill 2797, having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The.. The Clerk on the announcement of the Supplemental Calendar #2." Clerk Leone: "Supplemental Calendar #2 is now being distributed."

Speaker Matijevich: "On Supplemental Calendar #2 on the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 2492.

The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill #2492. What the Senate did was to cut the pay increase for State's Attorneys from 58,000 down to 50,500. And it does not become effective until December of 1980. I'd be willing to answer any questions and ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler, has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2492. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, for starters, Mr. Speaker, I'm waiting for the Calendar, Supplemental Calendar. It hasn't arrived yet. And for seconders, I'd sort of like to have the concurrence report. Think that could be done before we vote on it? Here it is."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment's been passed out."

Skinner: "Could the Sponsor tell us what percentage increase... What percentage we are increasing the State's Attorney salaries by?"

Schisler: "This is over the Bill that I sent over. This is



a decrease by \$8,000."

Skinner: "So it was 42,000 before and we're increasing it by 8,000?"

Schisler: "To 50,500. And in the counties where it goes to, 50,500, the County Board has to approve of the one-third or else they don't get it."

Skinner: "I'm sorry. You faded out at the last part of your sentence. Could you repeat it again?"

Schisler: "Unless the County Board passes it out and agrees to it, then the State's Attorney will not get the 50,500."

Skinner: "So it will be 42,500 unless the County Board raises the salary, is that correct?"

Schisler: "It'll get two-thirds of the 50,500 unless the County Board approves it, the increase."

Skinner: "That's a weird formula."

Schisler: "Well, that's the Senate for you. And your Governor asked for that."

Skinner: "Now we're increasing the percentage increase for the smaller counties of substantially more, correct?"

Schisler: "Yes. In counties of 10,000 to 20,000 they can practice law, but most of those cases they're too busy."

Skinner: "And that's about a 20%.. 25% increase in salaries? Right?"

Schisler: "I haven't... I just got this a few minutes myself.. ago myself and I don't know what the percentage is."

Skinner: "I'm curious as to why we should increase the salaries at all... There doesn't seem to be a shortage of State's Attorney's candidates."

Schisler: "Well, I beg to differ with the Gentleman, that 64 elected State's Attorneys last time chose not to run. So, ~~all you're going to get is inexperienced State's Attorneys, young boys out of law school and I think when it comes to law enforcement in the State of Illinois we need good, competent State's Attorneys.~~"



Skinner: "I thought we had them."

Schisler: "And this does not... They couldn't.. If we don't do it now, they can't get it till 1984."

Speaker Matijevich: "Is there any other discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2492?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. This motion takes three-fifths Constitutional Majority. 107 votes. 89 votes, I'm sorry. Simple Majority. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 102 'aye's, 30 'nays, seven answering 'present'. And the House does concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2492. And House Bill 2492, having received the Constitutional simple Majority... Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of Bills the following titles to wit; House Bills # 2492, 2798, 2805, 2811, and 2818 together with Amendments, passed by the Senate as amended, November 8th, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."





Speaker Matijevich: "On Supplemental Calendar #1 appears House Bill 1179, Representative Hoxsey, the Lady from LaSalle."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Amendment 1 to House Bill 1179 might be called the 'Accountability Amendment' for Cook County Hospital. I'd like to enlighten you a little on a few of the items within that Amendment so that you know what this Amendment is all about. The Health and Hospital Governing Commission will be abolished. The County Board is given the powers and the duties of operating Cook County Hospital. They're also given the authority of contracting with private hospital management corporations for the operation of Cook County Hospital. The County Board is given the authority to terminate the services of the executive director or any administrative officer. The County Board is given the authority to contract for clinic services with the City of Chicago or any other local governmental jurisdiction. The County Board is given the responsibility of preparing, publishing, and holding public hearings on a budget for Cook County Hospital. The County Board must report to the 80th General Assembly on or before May 1, 1980, with their plan, both for operation and capital..."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment, the Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite, for what purpose do you rise?"

Satterthwaite: "This is just an inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Have these Amendments been distributed?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, they have been distributed. Proceed Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "They must report to the 80th General Assembly before May 1, 1980, with their plan for making Cook County Hospital fiscally solvent. The employees of the Governing Commission become Members of the Cook County



Personnel Merit System. The Auditor General maintains his ability to perform independent audits. There are no other significant changes in the size, or the scope, or the function of Cook County Hospital, and I would move to concur with Amendment 1 to House Bill 1179."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Hoxsey, has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1179. On that, the Lady from Cook, Representative Braun."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a most sinister piece of legislation. There is no question that the Health and Hospital Governing Commission, which was created in 1969 as a reform suggested by Governor Ogilvie...there is no question that that Commission is in need of reform. There are administration and budgeting problems that we all share every time the question of funding Cook County comes before us. The hospital's administration is amenable to change. All the Members of the Governing Commission have offered to resign, but that offer was ignored in favor of this kind of Chicago-style reform. I want to tell you what Chicago-style reform means. It means in the first instance, that thousands of jobs at Cook County Hospital, health professional, will be turned over to the patronage system of the Chicago organization. The employees then will have no job protection, no civil service protection, and they will be rewarded for their months of working without pay and without knowing whether they were going to get paid by being dumped by the...dumped in favor of a patronage system that only swells the Chicago organization. I question how many people think that that is a desirable result, or indeed is reform for the Health and Hospital for the operation of Cook County Hospital. The politics of that aside. There are important health care considerations here. This is the beginning



of the end for the kind of quality health care that Cook County Hospital has provided. I would remind you that before 1969, when the County Board was running the hospital, that there were flyswatters in the operating room, you had to call your ward committeeman to get into the hospital, the hospital had lost its accreditation. It was only after Governor Ogilvie saw the importance of change at that hospital. Only then was the accreditation of the hospital won back, was the quality of care raised, and did the hospital attain its now famous reputation for its burn unit, its trauma unit, and its paranatal care. This did not come about under the County Board, and there is nothing to suggest that the County Board will do any better with governing this hospital. In fact, I would suggest, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to send it back to the County Board would invite disaster. Not only disaster for the Cook County Hospital, but for the private hospitals along the lakefront in Chicago. Those hospitals will be inundated by the patient flow that they say they cannot handle. There are eight private hospitals, Michael Reese, Billings Hospital, Northwestern, Passavant, ... St. Luke, all that stand to lose if this kind of change happens with regard to...if control of the Cook County Hospital is given back to the County Board. It's worse than closing the hospital. It is a reduction in the level and quality of services that will have the same result. But the County gets to keep the money. The County gets to impose a tax increase, and I would point out that this Bill has removed the limitation on the taxing rate beyond 25%, and that increase is not included in the statutory taxing limitation for the County. So, we will have, ... we will see another tax increase just to bloat the patronage army of the Chicago organization. Notice also, that there is no rule for the City of Chicago to do anything regarding



Cook County Hospital in this legislation. If Chicago... if the City of Chicago sends...90% of its patients treated at Cook County Hospital are City of Chicago residents, any yet it still...the City has refused even till today to do anything, to provide health care for the working poor. They are not picking up any responsibility under this legislation. It lets the City off the hook entirely and forever. I think that that is wrong, and I think that that is part of the... that is part of the infirmity of this legislation. Now, finally, some of the p<sup>e</sup>ople...some people are impressed by the notion that turning this over to the County Board may mean that a private firm will take over the management of the hospital itself. I want to point out that nowhere in this country, is there any experience with a private firm running anything larger than a 600-bed hospital. It just hasn't been done. It cannot be done for any institution the size...the size of Cook County Hospital. What we're looking at is allowing the County Board to turn it over to a private syndicate, its operation in this institution. And again, I suggest to you that that is wrong. I want to suggest to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that this is not a reform. Chicago isn't ready for this kind of reform...Or as they said...at the turn of the century, 'Chicago ain't ready for reform'. And that's what this legislation is about. This is no more and no less than a rape of Cook County Hospital, and the concerned citizens who want to see this institution continue to function, and I urge your 'no' vote on this concurrence motion. Finally, I want to point out that there are those who are concerned about the dollars for Cook County Hospital. I want to point out that one, the City of Chicago has yet to come up with a penny for the hospital, and the County of Cook, as of this moment, is sitting on 11 million dollars that has been appropriated to the hospital



but not paid out. Well, if this...if this Bill is tied to the money, and I don't think it is, I think we can...we can deal with the reform at a later time. When we've had an opportunity to come up with a better solution than turning this over to patronage, turning this over without having any city involvement. But if this Bill is tied to the money Bill, I want to suggest to you, Ladies...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that we'd be better off, we would be better off than...not to use the poor and the sick as a part of this nefarious scheme to turn the hospital over to the politicians in Chicago. I urge a 'no' vote very strongly on this...on this concurrence motion. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates..."

Mahar: "First question is, is there any change in the tax rate? Is the tax rate that we presently have, .25, will it increase in any way?"

Hoxsey: "Not in this Bill, as far as I can see."

Mahar: "Nothing in there that increases the tax rate."

Hoxsey: "No."

Mahar: "Secondly, you mentioned something about the merit system. Now the people that are under the Hospital Governing Commission are under their own merit system. Could you tell me how they're going to be transferred into a permanent merit system? What's going to happen to the people who are now on the merit system under the Governing Commission? You mentioned something about going to Cook County."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment, Representative Mahar, I'm getting signals over there that the Amendment has not been passed out, but I understand here it has been



distributed. I guess they're in the process...so it's being distributed right now on your side. Alright, proceed, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Yes, thank you, I'm waiting for an answer, Mr. Speaker, I think the Lady's...I'm sorry. I can't hear you."

Hoxsey: "Would you repeat the question for me?"

Speaker Matijeich: "One moment, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kane: "Point of order. Since this is really final action, and not simply an Amendment, don't the Amendments from the Senate have to lie on the desk for an hour or 2 hours... I think the..."

Speaker Matijeich: "More than that..."

Hoxsey: "This Amendment has been on my desk..."

Speaker Matijeich: "One day unless the rule was suspended."

Kane: "I don't...I would raise that point of order..."

Hoxsey: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment has been on my desk for an hour. I don't know where it is on the other desk."

Kane: "I think the rules require a day. Is that 24 hours?"

Speaker Matijeich: "The rules require 1 day,...and Representative Hoxsey moves to suspend 68d for the purpose of consideration of this Bill. Supplemental Calendar #2... All Bills on supplemental Calendar #2, and we need a Roll Call. On that, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I think that she has to make that motion and I'd like to see it in writing and I believe it has to be on the Calendar."

Speaker Matijeich: "It doesn't have to be on the Calendar, but if you request, it has to be in writing."

Kane: "I would make that request."

Speaker Matijeich: "Alright. Representative Hoxsey, there's been a request that you move that motion be in writing, the suspension of...we have suspended it for Calendar 1, but not 2...oh, well this is on Calendar 1."



It has been suspended. I'm sorry. We can proceed. It has been suspended. That was the first order. I thought we were on Calendar 2. Alright. Okay, Representative Hoxsey, continue with the answer to Representative Mahar's question."

Hoxsey: "Alright, Representative Mahar, would you repeat your question?"

Mahar: "Yes, I'm concerned about how the hospital employees are going to be taking care of particularly the Oak Forest people. There is 2 thousand employees out there, and they are now presently under a merit system as created by the Governing Commission. You mentioned that one of the things that is going to happen is that they are going to be reassigned to Cook County or something. I want to know how that is done, because those people are telling me this morning that they are being left in limbo. They don't know where they're at. They're telling me they're going to be temporary which means they could be fired immediately for whatever. And I want you to tell me exactly what this is going to do to those people."

Hoxsey: "Alright...alright. My information here tells me that those people will be transferred to that merit system, however, they won't be grandfathered in, because if they did, this would prevent the...incompetent employees from being let go."

Mahar: "Well, what you're saying is then, that somebody else is going to decide who's incompetent. In other words, they are going to have to pass some kind of a civil service exam and transit from the present Governing Commission over to the new job. I can see where they do have some concern then, don't they?"

Hoxsey: "That is exactly right."

Mahar: "Now in regard to one more question, back on the original question I asked you, in which there was a question about raising the tax limit from .25 which it



now is. If you'll look on page six of the Amendment, the language which now allows the Board of Commissioners to tax not to exceed .25 has been stricken from the language of the Amendment, which in my mind means now with a home rule unit, the sky is the limit on the people of Cook County."

Hoxsey: "Representative Mahar, under home rule, I anticipate that Cook County can make any levy they want to. So I don't believe that is a particular item for a controversy."

Mahar: "Well, then why.. why is the language stricken in the Amendment which limits it to .25?"

Hoxsey: "The Bill was passed in 1969, before the new Constitution went into effect."

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, may I say a word or two on this?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Mahar: "While I recognize the real need to do something about paying the people in Oak Forest, particularly, and Cook County, I'm sure of the fact that the people that are really suffering are those people at the bottom of the line, who are living from pay day to pay day who have been working for a period of time without any salary and don't know what's going to happen. And while something needs to be done, I certainly do not support the Governing Commission, a Commission who has not had a quorum in their last several meetings. It seems to me that something is being passed here that may not be for the benefit of all the people concerned, and I have grave concerns that this isn't going to do the job and therefore I cannot support this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty, has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'." Representative Skinner, to explain his vote:

Skinner: "If there's any Bill where discussion should not





be prematurely cut off, it is this one. This is definitely a tax rate increase without a referendum in Cook County. I mean...if you want it, that's fine. It's not my constituents that's going to pay. It's your constituents that's going to pay. You've stuck it to them so many times this year they probably won't know the difference. But there are some other things that ought to be discussed on this Bill such as, perhaps giving the Governor 1/3 of the patronage job of Cook County Hospital..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted?"

Skinner: "...Which is the rumor that is floating around the House. Things like that ought to be discussed, so I would hope all of the people would vote 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 38 answering 'no', 6 answering present, and the previous question prevails. And we now return to Representative...Hoxsey...The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Hoxsey to close."

Hoxsey: "Yes, I would just ask for a favorable Roll Call on concurrence with Amendment 1 to House Bill 1179."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Hoxsey, has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1179. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner, to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is an atrocity. It is a leap backwards of over 10 years. Ten years ago the Cook County Commission...for Cook County Hospital was put into effect because the Cook County Board mismanaged Cook County Hospital so badly that it lost its accreditation. Now you can say anything else you want about the Cook County Hospital Commission, but under its guidance, the hospital has regained its



accreditation. You can also suggest that the employees aren't patronage employees any more. There are 87 hundred jobs involved here. An argument is being made that we have to pass this first, or you won't get the 15 million dollars. Well I'll tell you one thing, I voted for the 15 million dollars yesterday, but I'm not going to vote for it to go to the Cook County Board. That's one rathole I'm not going to fund out of my districts...my district's taxes."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Emil Jones, to explain his vote."

Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We have, in Cook County, in the name of Dr. Houghton, one of the finest hospital administrators in the country. He has done a tremendous job in running that hospital. I'm not concerned about whether or not there would be an increase in the tax rate in Cook County because we all know that the current ceiling has been there so long that it is impossible for the Cook County Hospital to actually manage its affairs without a subsidy. But I cannot stand here and vote 'yes' for this Amendment when you are crucifying the individual who has done a tremendous job. So I urge my colleagues not to vote for this Amendment because the Governor indicated that the only way he's going to give the necessary subsidies to the Cook County Hospital is if we abolish the Commission. We need Cook County Hospital, and we need Dr. Houghton because he has done the job that those in the past could not have done. So I urge your 'no' vote on this motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson; to explain his vote. One minute."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think I've probably spent as much time fighting for subsidy...fighting against a subsidy for Cook County Hospital as anybody this Session. I did it



because I didn't want to see the money wasted without a chance to reform the place. This insures some possibility...some possibility for professional management and for addressing the real problems of how you deliver health care there. I would urge people to vote for it, because without this I don't think there is any chance for a subsidy this year."

Speaker Matijeovich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane, to explain his vote. One minute. ...It takes 107 votes, 3/5ths Constitutional Majority. Proceed."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one of the old Jewish prophet<sup>s</sup> said that 'Where the carcass<sup>is</sup>, the vultures<sup>re the</sup> will be gathered'. This is a bill for the vultures not to resurrect the carcass. The people who brought us the sales tax deal, and the highway deal are now bringing us the Cook County Hospital deal, and they're putting Cook County Hospital under the County Board which lost the accreditation for the hospital only 10 years ago. And those people have not changed since then. The County Board has been responsible for some of the problems of the hospital. They are sitting on 11 million dollars of tax dollars that belongs to the hospital and they have not released. There was an attempt in the Senate to provide civil service protection to the employees of the Cook County Hospital. That Amendment was defeated. The reason why it was defeated is that there's been a deal between the vultures. One of the vultures is going to get 2 jobs and the other vulture is going to get one job for every three jobs that's going to be divided up. It's very nice. And they're using the poor and the sick..."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Could you bring your carcass to a close?"

Kane: "...They're using the poor and the sick as an excuse to get fat. This is a Bill for the vultures and not for the carcass, and I would urge a 'no' vote."



Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania. One minute to explain her vote."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I heartily concur with everyone who has spoken against this Amendment. As you may or may not know, the Senate refused yesterday to adopt an Amendment that would have made it clear that the civil service status of the employees who have been there 15 years, 20 years, 30 years, would be maintained. What they are proposing to do with this Amendment is to change it all to temporary positions, and it is true that we would regress several years, maybe a couple of decades back to a total patronage system at Cook County Hospital. And yes, the rumor is that 1/3rd of the job will go to Big Jim, and 1/3rd will go to Jane, and 1/3rd will go to George. And if anybody thinks that that is progress, I certainly hope you'll reconsider. This does indeed use the poor and the sick to achieve the worst possible things that those 3 people that I have just mentioned could ever want to achieve. And I certainly don't think that we ought to adopt it just to make those 3 people happy, and leave several thousand people twisting in the wind..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Will the Lady please bring her remarks to a close?"

Catania: "...The people who need the health care, and the people who need the jobs. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from...from Cook, Representative Barnes, to explain his vote. Representative Ewell to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise in opposition to this Bill because my memory is not so short that I do not remember when patients were three deep in the hospital. I remember when there was an eight hour wait for emergency. I remember when you needed a letter for basic admission to the hospital. I remember when only a political connection would get you could get you a



45.

residency in the hospital. When it was nonaccredited, when even the kitchen help and the aides were required to do political work. I remember the kick backs, the graft, and the corruption, and I cannot support this Bill which has had no hearings, no notice, no due process, and is simply a ramming down the throat of the people of a Bill that is not worthy. I say to you that we have to ask fundamentally whether this is right or wrong. We must ask our own consciences 'What are we doing to the people? Would I ask for slavery for these people? Would I justify it because of political expedience? Would I say that I happen to be a tribal chief, and as a result I'm willing to sell my political rivals and also the captives of war. Will I be a Yankee traitor who says it fine, I go to church every Sunday, and besides, it makes fine profits. Will I join the slaveowners who say well this is a fine system, just because we are doing it in the name of Christianity.' Gentlemen, we must each ask our own conscience. This Bill is adherently wrong. It takes everything backwards, every bit of progress that has been made at the County Hospital, and we're asking them to do it to these people. You're asking now to put my poeple...so that they again will have to stand in line 3 deep, get a political letter for admission, and now you and your moralistic sense say you're helping us? The answer is no..."

Speaker Matijeich: "Will the Gentleman bring his remarks to a close?"

Ewell: "...Crucifying Dr. 'Haughton' on the lamb without notice, without hearing, and what you are doing is inherently wrong, unjust, and we must each answer our own conscience, and I plead for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Gaines to explain his vote. One minute."

Gaines: "Thank-you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to agree with my



district mate. The people who get the health care say they want the board, the Governing Commission. The people who want...who are not getting the care and who want to take the money say put in on the County Board. The little children who are saved by Cook County Hospital, the senior citizens who have no other place to go, they want it under the Governing Commission. The people who are serviced by the hospital want it this way because they are getting good care. They didn't get good care under the Democratic Committeemen situation under the County Board. They didn't get it. We understand it. Those of you down state, you've never had a relative die because they couldn't get into ol' Cook County Hospital, or one that was saved because they could get into it today. That is the issue, good health care. We are either going to keep the Governing Commission in good health care, or they're going to throw us backwards and have people die."

Speaker Matijevich: "Will the Gentleman bring his remarks... the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan, one minute to explain his vote."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment to the House Bill 1179 based on just the fairness doctrine. If those Members here were to lose their pension under the General Assembly Pension Rights, I'm sure it would be an awful hullabaloo and a cry by all Members of this House that participate. Certainly, there has to be some fairness in what is going on here, and the fairness isn't here in this Amendment. The fairness isn't given to the Members who are trying to keep Cook County Hospital operating. They're going to lose their pension rights, their very vestige rights of working and the dedication they have given to this hospital, and to the people who have been sick in this community for the various years. The trade union movement is unalterably opposed to this Amendment



being adopted based just on fairness. We ask you in all sincerity to please consider the removal of pension rights of workers who have tried to keep Cook County Hospital a viable institution to take care of its citizens of Cook County. I ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bill Walsh...William Walsh to explain his vote."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there has been a tremendous amount of misunderstanding with respect to this Bill. First of all, there is not a tax increase provided in this. The Cook County Board can levy whatever they want as a tax, because of their home rule powers. The reason that a maximum is set forth in the Bill and the language struck is that the Bill was enacted...the Governing Commission was enacted in 1969, before the new Constitution, and it was then the Legislation's prerogative to put a tax limit on it. The Constitution took care of that, and there is no longer that legislative prerogative, so the .25 tax levy is...does not apply. Now, with respect to the 325 or so people that work for the Governing Commission that are going to be taken off of the so called merit system, it's either a question of putting the thousands of county employees that are presently working under a civil service system under the merit system and giving them the same benefits, one of which, as I understand it, a 5 week vacation after 1 years employment and a 7 week vacation after 5 years of employment. Now if you think Cook County government can long exist on that basis, then vote 'no' on this Bill. This is absolute nonsense..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Will the Gentleman bring his remarks to a close?"

Walsh: "...It's a bow really to union bosses and should not be. Let's put it where it will be visible, where

the elected County Board Members will have the respon-



sibility of running the County Hospital and the way it should be run."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. Even though we are in the middle of a Roll Call, I've been asked to introduce a Lady from Greece who is visiting us today, Mrs. 'Mahopalo'... 'Mahopalo'...way in the back on the Republican side. She is an attorney. She's visiting from Greece. Welcome to the House. The Lady from Cook, Representative Currie, one minute to explain her vote."

Currie: "Thank-you, Mr. Speaker and Members fo the House. I rise to explain my 'no' vote on this issue. Whatever management problems may plague the Cook County Hospital, the current financial crunch is not a function of management difficulties. The responsibility for the crisis Cook County Hospital is in rest<sup>s</sup> squarely with this ... State Legislature and the Governor of the State of Illinois. Cook County serves the working poor. This state has not changed eligibility limits to the medically indigent since 1973. Cook County is in financial trouble because it is not the...being reimbursed by the state, by the Department of Public Aid, by federal matching funds for the real services it is performing for the poor people of the County of Cook. Any thought you might have in your minds that if we turn control of the hospital over to the Cook County Board, we will thereby resolve the financial crisis, is absolutely dead wrong. There may be management problems. This House has a Committee which is right now investigating the issue of governance of Cook County Hospital. We do not yet have a report from that..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Will the Lady bring her remarks to a close?"

~~Currie: "We are waiting for information from the Auditor General on what is going wrong at Cook County Hospital. It is irresponsibile of this House to turn the hospital right now back to the Cook County Board which drove it~~





into the ground. Thank-you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman, one minute to explain his vote."

Hoffman: "Thank-you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know, and I know that this Bill has to pass. The question is, 'Is it going to pass today, or is it going to pass tomorrow?'. Now, if you want to be here tomorrow, you can vote any way but 'yes', because you know eventually that this program has to pass. I would suggest to you that there is only one way to vote on the basis of the situation that we find ourselves in today. We're up against a wall. You make the decision. You know in your heart that this Bill has to pass. We have to get this done, and I would ask you to vote 'yes', and let's get it over with, and let's get out of here."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes. One minute to explain his vote."

Barnes: "Thank-you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the former Speaker said that this Bill must pass. It is unfortunate, if we must trade the lives of the sick, the infirm, those who cannot come here to speak for themselves, only for the purpose of expediency. This is an ambominable program relative to the solution of a problem at Cook County Hospital. If any of you here, me being a born raised resident of the City of Chicago, from a family who had to depend on that service for my family, my father who spent his last nine months suffering from cancer in that institution, if any of you have ever seen how that hospital operated under the Cook County Board before 9 years ago, there is no way that there would be any green lights on that Board to use for the purpose of expediency the life blood of the health care system for hundreds of thousands of people simply because no one wants to address the solution in the proper manner simply..."



Speaker Matijevich: "Will the Gentleman bring his remarks to a close?"

Barnes: "...to mean it is beyond any comprehension. I would urge...I would urge solely all of the Members of this House not to vote for this proposal, to look into the real solutions of the problem there, and to go to those solutions. This is not the way to go simply because it is expedient to do it at this time. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman. One minute to explain his vote."

Bowman: "In explaining my 'no' vote, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to say that the Sponsor of this Bill was wrong in responding to Representative Mahar. If one reads the Amendment, and I can't blame her for not having read the Amendment since she probably only saw it 5 minutes before getting up to speak, page 3 which transfers the powers and duties completely fails to address itself to the personnel. The word personnel is not even in the transfer language. Those jobs, all of those jobs, not just those who work at the Governing Commission, but those who work at the hospital, all thousands...thousands of them, are abolished. And I submit that that was done deliberately. That word was left out because if it would have been put in, it would have been easier to make a case under the 'Shackman' Decision for protecting those workers from political hirings and firings. But because the word was not even left in there, because those jobs are abolished, I submit that it is going to be much more difficult under the 'Shackman' Decision to protect those jobs. We have just taken a giant step back into the Dark Ages of patronage in this state if we approve this Amendment..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Please bring your remarks to a close."

Bowman: "I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly. One minute to explain his vote."



Kelly: "Thank-you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

About 6 months ago I had planned to vote to eliminate the Governing Commission of the hospital. I happen to be a person who feels that the patronage system in many respects is better than the civil service and servants who feel they are protected and are not doing what I consider a reasonably good job, and there is an inefficiency. But Ladies and Gentleman, that is before what has occurred during the last 2 months in this General Assembly on such issues as the road program, on such issues as the sales tax, and certainly the issue that is facing us today. There is no question about it that there was a deal made early on all 3 issues, and that is why I, rather than voting a 'yes', which I intended to vote, will vote a 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? This is going to take 3/5ths Constitutional Majority, and Representative Susan Catania has indicated a request for a verification if it reaches the Constitutional 3/5ths Majority. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 'ayes', 47 'nos', 5 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1...I know, we're going to get to that...to 1179...Except... however, there has been a request for a verification by Susan Catania, and Representative Hoxsey asked for a poll of the absentees. The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees: Bluthardt, Bullock, Dyer, Epton, Huff, Huskey, Leinenweber, Meyer, Reed, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Schuneman, and Winchester."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's all of the absentees. The Clerk will now read out the affirmative vote. The Membership will remain in their seats, and when your name is called, raise your right hand...right arm on verification. The Clerk will proceed with the affirmative vote."



Clerk Leone: "Abramson, Ackerman, Anderson, Beatty, Bell, Bianco, Birchler, Birkinbine, Boucek, Bower, Breslin, Burnidge, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Collins, Conti, Cullerton, Daniels, Davis, Dawson, Deuster, DiPrima, Domico, Donovan, Doyle, Ralph Dunn, Ebbesen, Ewing, Farley, Flinn, Friedland, Friedrich, Garmisa, Getty, Giorgi, Goodwin, Greiman, Griesheimer, Grossi, Hallock, Hallstrom, Hannig, Harris, Henry, Hoffman, Hoxsey, Hudson, Dave Jones, Keane, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kucharski, Kulas, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leon, Macdonald, Madigan, Margulas, Marovitz, Matula, McAuliffe, McBroom, McClain, McCourt, McMaster, Murphy, Neff, Patrick, Pechous, Peters, Pierce, Polk, Pouncey, Preston, Pullen, Rea, Reilly, Rigney, Ronan, Ropp, Ryan, Sanquist, Schisler, Simms, Slape, Stanley, E.G. Steele, C.M. Stiehl, Stuffle, Sumner, Swanstrom, Taylor, Terzich, Totten, Tuerk, Vinson, Vitek, Walsh, Watson, White, Wikoff, J.J. Wolf, Sam Wolf, Younge, Yourell, and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Questions on the affirmative vote?

The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania, on questions of the affirmative vote. Catania."

Catania: "Representative Boucek..."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative Bluthardt."

Catania: "Boucek."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Oh, who?"

Catania: "Boucek."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Boucek. Where's Emil? Boucek. He's in the back waving his arm."

Catania: "Representative Jack Davis."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Jack Davis is in his seat."

Catania: "Representative Dawson."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative Dawson is in the aisle here."

Catania: "Representative Domico."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Domico. Representative Domico. How is he recorded?"



Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him."

Catania: "Representative Ebbesen."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ebbesen is in his seat."

Catania: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Matijevich: "Farley is right here."

Catania: "Representative Flinn."

Matijevich: "Monroe Flinn. Monroe Flinn. I don't see him  
back there. How is Mr. Flinn recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him for the moment."

Catania: "Representative Hallstrom."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hallstrom is in her seat."

Catania: "Representative Griesheimer."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Griesheimer. I don't

see Representative Griesheimer. How is he recorded?

Return Flinn back to the Roll Call. Return Monroe

Flinn to the Roll Call. Griesheimer, how is he  
recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him."

Catania: "Representative Henry."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bill Henry is back in his seat."

Catania: "Representative Dave Jones."

Speaker Matijevich: "Dave Jones is in his seat."

Catania: "Representative Kent."

Speaker Matijevich: "Who?"

Catania: "Mary Lou Kent."

Speaker Matijevich: "Mary Lou Kent is in her seat."

Catania: "Representative Klosak."

Speaker Matijevich: "Klosak. Is he back there? Wave your

arm if you're back there. I don't see him. How is  
Mr. Klosak recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him."

Catania: "Representative Matula."



Speaker Matijevich: "Matula is in his seat."

Catania: "Representative McCourt."

Speaker Matijevich: "McCourt is in his seat."

Catania: "Representative McMaster."

Speaker Matijevich: "McMaster is in his seat."

Catania: "Representative Polk."

Speaker Matijevich: "Polk is in his seat."

Catania: "Representative Slape."

Speaker Matijevich: "Slape, Mike Slape."

Catania: "Right."

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh, right up in front."

Catania: "Representative Stuffle."

Speaker Matijevich: "Stuffle is up front, too."

Catania: "Representative Vinson."

Speaker Matijevich: "Vinson, Sam...oh, right next to you."

Catania: "Representative Yourell."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bus Yourell...is sitting up front near  
the press row. Continue. That's where Bus likes it."

Catania: "Representative Bianco."

Speaker Matijevich: "Phil Bianco is waving his arms in the  
back."

Catania: "Representative Collins."

Speaker Matijevich: "Collins is in the middle aisle in the  
back."

Catania: "Representative Doyle."

Speaker Matijevich: "Doyle is in his seat."

Catania: "Representative White."

Speaker Matijevich: "Jesse White is in his seat."

Catania: "Representative Stanley."

Speaker Matijevich: "In his seat."

Catania: "That's all. Thank-you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's all. Would the Clerk give me a  
count? One hundred and nine 'aye', forty-seven 'nos',  
and the House does concur. One moment, the Gentleman  
from Cook, Representative Henry, for what purpose do  
you rise?"



Henry: "Change my 'yes' vote to a 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Change Henry from 'yes' to 'no'. On this question there are 107 'ayes', and...108 'ayes',...108 'ayes', 48 'nos', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to 1179, and House Bill 1179 having received the Constitutional 3/5ths Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Consideration Postponed appears Senate Bill 1436. Who's handling that? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side I move that we reconsider the vote by which ..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Madigan moves that we.. the House reconsider the vote by which House Bill 1436 was declared passed. And Representative Giorgi... I mean House Bill... What was that number? 1179. And Representative Giorgi moves that motion lie on the table. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay' and the tabling motion prevails. And now we're on the Order of House Bill 1436. Senate Bill 1436. Who's handling this for the Speaker? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz, the Assistant Majority Leader, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1436 we discussed it quite thoroughly last night and last week. It's an appropriation for 18 million, 531 thousand, four hundred and 58 dollars. As you recall when this Bill was originally introduced it called for an appropriation of 15 thousand dollars for printing expenses for the Senate and then in Full Debate on the House floor there were 12 Amendments that were offered. Two were withdrawn and ten were adopted. Amendment #2 added 35 thousand dollars for the Land Resources Study Commission. Amendment #3 adopted added 15 million for the operation of Cook County Hospital. Amendment #4 transferred certain dollar amounts within the Department of Transportation to the aviation



budget. Amendment #5 added 95 thousand dollars for the Office of the Attorney General. Amendment #7 added 25 thousand dollars for half-year appropriation for the spanish speaking study Commission. Amendment #8 added 597 thousand, 585 dollars for the Lincoln Developmental Disability Center. Amendment #9 added 851 thousand, 239 dollars for the Manteno Mental Health Center and 561 thousand, 634 dollars for the Shapiro Mental Health Center. Amendment #10 added 850 thousand dollars for the Illinois Valley Community College. Amendment #11 added 284 thousand, 375 dollars for the 'Anna Mental Health Center', and 171 thousand, 625 dollars for the 'Bowen Mental Health Center. Amendment #12 added 60 thousand dollars for air travel. Now the Bill contains as I stated earlier, a total appropriation of 18 million, 531 thousand, 458 dollars. I'd be more than happy to answer any questions. Ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Lechowicz, has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendments #2,3,4, 5,7,8,9,10,11,12 to Senate Bill 1436. On that, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Lechowicz: "Those were House Amendments, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh, I'm sorry. This is Consideration Postponed on Third Reading. Consideration Postponed on Third Reading. The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1436. And on that, the Gentleman from McHenry.."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to know if this 60 thousand dollars is to pay for the junket to San Fransisco. In Amendment 12?"

Lechowicz: "No, Sirs. I believe that 60 thousand dollars is offered by George Ryan on the floor and was the additional expenses that were incurred as far as the air operations travel contained out of the Leadership."





Office of both the House and the Senate."

Skinner: "Am I to conclude from that that the Leadership in the House has spent 60 thousand dollars for state planes? For travel?"

Lechowicz: "I believe they made an adjustment in the rates and the way they calculate the travel on state aircraft. For that reason, the money was requested to be put in the budget. And that's for all Members."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from...."

Skinner: "All Members. Okay. In that case, in that case, I'll just talk about House Amendment 3. "

Lechowicz: "You know if you take Ozark or Air Illinois or whatever way you get down here, I believe you're reimbursed for your actual costs."

Skinner: "I take a Honda usually or Amtrack. I would... I would speak to the paternity of the suit or of the... not of the suit, of the Bill. Wasn't the Sponsor the Gentleman who made a rather strong argument against Christmas tree Bills recently?"

Lechowicz: "In fact, I made it at the close of the last Session and I said..."

Skinner: "The close of the last.... That recently."

Lechowicz: "Yes. And I don't know if you heard my opening remarks, I stated how the Bill was introduced and how the Amendments were fully debated and were adopted by this full Membership of the House. And in my personal opinion, that is not a Christmas tree Bill of any sort. What it is it's actually the will of the House doing the proper work of this House and offering Amendments that are either accepted or rejected in Full Debate."

Skinner: "Well, that's a pretty good explanation, but I still see some tinsel and I see some popcorn strung around. I saw some popcorn in the back rows of the Republican side. And somebody took out a needle and some string and they're draped around this Bill some place and I'm sure



that with Christmas coming up it's appropriate. But to give a gift of 15 million dollars to a turkey like the Cook County Board with no strings attached strikes me as inappropriate. Now, the downstate Democrats who gave their Cook County colleagues or Chicago colleagues, let me put it that way, this great victory in the last vote ought to be thinking that ... ought to be thinking about the money this time around. They defeated it when it was up the first time around and they can defeat it this time around. If you want to show you're powerful, this is the time not to be voting for it. Cook County government has an unlimited tax rate. They can easily raise this money in Cook County. Why should the money come from your district outside of Cook County? I think that we ought to allow them to tax.. increase their taxes if that's what they really want and in order to do that, I'm going to vote 'no', which is a change from my vote of yesterday. "

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would urge adoption, favorable action on this Bill. There may be an appropriate time to fight Cook County Hospital. I believe that time will come next spring when we deal with the permanent questions about Cook County Hospital and keeping it alive until we have finished reviewing those questions and can deal with them on a detached basis would be a desirable thing. I would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: " If there's no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1436 pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'nay'. This question takes 107 three-fifths Constitutional Majority votes. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner; for what purpose do you arise?"



Skinner: "To make sure that you let me verify this vote if it should happen to get the number of votes to the top.."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has indicated an intention to verify the vote if it receives the 107 votes. Takes 107 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I thought that this was rather adequately discussed. I believe the main objection here would contain under Senate Bill 1436 is the 15 million dollars that's going to Cook County Hospital. What wasn't discussed as far as the number of patients that that health facility took care of during this past year. There's been editorial support both on radio and in the press in reference to the fine work that Cook County has done for many indigent people in this state, not only indigent though. I think you've got to take... give credit where credit is due. It's got the finest burn center of any facility in this state. And here we are, we're saying I'm sorry. The employees of Cook County Hospital who haven't been paid for the past three weeks and receive a stipend of their salaries of \$200.00 per employee last week, that we're not going to give you any additional money to alleviate a very aggravated problem. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, I think this is wrong. If you're going to be actually saying.... They're at their maximum tax limit now. When that institution came before us a number of years ago, we gave then a quarter cent .. a quarter cent. It was raised to a nickel, then it went to a quarter. Twenty-five cents, they're at their maximum. And you say, you can't afford it. Well, let me tell you what you can't afford. This House has always been noted to afford decency and common care. And I don't know what's going on in reference to some of my downstate colleagues."



My downstate colleagues I think we've supported a good number of years. I've never had a deaf ear for any one of your districts. And in this Bill and in this Bill we didn't have a deaf ear for any of your concerns and I would hope to God you would kindly realize the serious plight that the people of Cook County are in and give some consideration and an 'aye' vote as well. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Emil Jones, to explain his vote."

Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to vote 'yes' on this measure. As a matter of fact, we're talking about 15 million dollars or actually 15 million dollars is really not adequate. When we think of those employees who work there and did not receive a paycheck, we really should be giving them a bonus for staying on the job. How many public employees do you know that would be so dedicated that they would continue to work without pay to see their indigent persons are given proper health care? So I think we should see more green lights on this Board."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 'aye's, 39 'nays, three answering 'present'. And Senate Bill 1436, having received the Constitutional Majority...three-fifths Majority... Representative Skinner indicates an intention to verify the vote. Representative Lechowicz asked for a poll of the absentees. Leave for Conti to be verified. And Stearney."

Clerk O'Brien: "Roll of the absentees: Bradley."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bradley, 'no.'"

Clerk O'Brien: "Bullock. John Dunn. Excuse me, he voted 'present'. Byer. Epton. Ewing. Virginia Frederick."



Griesheimer. Hudson. Huff. Huskey. Klosak.  
 Leinenweber. McGrew. Meyer. Reed. Schlickman.  
 Schoeberlein. Schuneman..."

Speaker Matijevich: "..... leave to be verified."Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "And, Winchester."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. The poll of the absentees has been completed. What do we start with? We start with 115 'aye's. Do you persist, Mr. Skinner? He says, are you kidding. The Clerk will call out the Affirmative vote. The Members will be in their seats. And raise your arm when your affirmative name has been called."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Alexander. Anderson. Balanoff. E.M. Barnes. Jane Barnes. Beatty. Bianco. Birchler. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Boucek. Bowman. Braun. Breslin. Burnidge. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Collins. Conti. Cullerton. Currie. Daniels. Dawson. Deuster. DiPrima. Domico. Doyle. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Ewell. Farley..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave for Ewell to be verified. Leave. And Richmond, leave. And Garmisa. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Flinn. Friedrich. Gaines. Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman. Grossi. Hallstrom. Hanahan. Harris. Henry. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Jaffe. Dave Jones, Emil Jones. Katz. Keane. Kelly. Kempiners. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Kulas. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leon. Macdonald. Madigan. Mahar. Margulas. Marovitz. McAuliffe. McBroom. McClain. McCourt. McMaster. Molloy. Mugalian. Murphy. Oblinger. O'Brien. Patrick. Pechous. Peters. Piel. Pierce. Polk. Pounsey. Preston. Rea. Richmond. Robbins. Ronan. Ryan. Sandquist. Schneider. Stanley. Stearney. Steczko. C.M. Stiehl. Stuffle. Taylor. Telser. Terzich. Vinson. Vitek. Walsh. White. Willer.



J.J. Wolf. Sam Wolf. Woodyard. Younge. Yourell.  
Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Change Matijevich from 'no' to 'aye'.  
The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer,  
Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Matijevich: "How is Griesheimer recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Matijevich: "Not voting."

Griesheimer: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Griesheimer, 'aye'. Are there any other  
before we go into the Affirmative vote? Alright. Repre-  
sentative Skinner, on the Affirmative votes. From  
your seat, according to the rules. He's not sure yet.  
Representative Skinner on questions of the Affirmative  
vote."

Skinner: "Bianco."

Speaker Matijevich: "Who was that? Bianco?"

Skinner: "Yes."

Speaker Matijevich: "Phil Bianco? I don't see Bianco back  
there. Bianco. Oh, yes he's waving now."

Skinner: "I have to take your word for it. I can't see him."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Skinner: "Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Matijevich: "Ralph Dunn? Ralph Dunn? Up in  
the gallery I guess, from what my spies tell me."

Skinner: "Where?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Speaker's gallery."

Skinner: "All I see is a hand."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, I trust my colleague Dan Pierce.  
He's up there. Proceed. Let's go, Cal."

Skinner: "Daniels."

Speaker Matijevich: "Lee Daniels has been verified."

Skinner: "Excuse me."

Speaker Matijevich: "I thought he had permission."



Skinner: "Uh-uh. "

Speaker Matijevich : "Oh, that was the last Bill. I'm sorry.

How's Lee Daniels recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Continue."

Skinner: "Is Representative Farley back there in the dark?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Farley?"

Skinner: "I see his hand..."

Speaker Matijevich: "He is back there, yes. Proceed."

Skinner: "Is Representative Schisler sitting next to him?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Schisler's back there. Proceed.

Schisler's voting 'no' anyway. "Proceed."

Skinner: "All I did was mark down the empty seats, Sir.

So my slowness is because I'm trying not to call people

who are voting 'no'. Or were absent. I can't see

Representative Flinn through Representative (sic)

Swartz. Is he there?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He's in the middle aisle. "

Skinner: "I see him. Representative Harris."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bill Harris? I don't

see him. How is Representative Harris..."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him."

Skinner: "Representative Domico."

Speaker Matijevich: "Domico? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him."

Skinner: "Representative Schneider."

Speaker Matijevich: "Schneider. Glen Schneider? How is  
Glen Schneider recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him."

Skinner: "Representative Steczko."

Speaker Matijevich: "Steczko? In the back."



Skinner: "Representative Chapman."

Speaker Matijevec: "Chapman? Over on the side. Proceed."

Skinner: "Representative Giorgi."

Speaker Matijevec: "Giorgi. Right here. Speaking to the Speaker."

Skinner: "Representative Rea."

Speaker Matijevec: "Jim Rea? James Rea? I don't see him  
in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevec: "Remove him."

Skinner: "Appears Representative Braun is voting 'yes', but  
I don't see her."

Speaker Matijevec: "Carol Braun? I don't see her here.  
How is Carol Braun recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevec: "Remove her."

Skinner: "Representative Bowman."

Speaker Matijevec: "Woods Bowman? I don't see him in his  
seat. Oh, he's in the back."

Skinner: "Representative Ewell."

Speaker Matijevec: "Ray Ewell, did he have leave to be  
verified?"

Skinner: "No, that was Representative Yourell."

Speaker Matijevec: "No, that was Representative Ewell. He  
was the first one that got up and asked leave. We  
have him verified."

Skinner: "Well, I checked Representative Yourell's name on  
my box."

Speaker Matijevec: "Yourell's here too."

Skinner: "Well, he was the one I gave leave to be verified."

Speaker Matijevec: "Well, I know I gave leave to Representative  
Ewell."

Skinner: "You're not the one that ..."

Speaker Matijevec: "The Clerk has him verified."

Skinner: "I'm the one that has to give the permission."

Speaker Matijevec: "Well, you nodded."





Skinner: "No, I didn't."

Speaker Matijevich: "Schneider.... The House can give one  
leave and the House gave him leave."

Skinner; "Have to have unanimous consent. Representative  
Balanoff. "Oh, I see her. I'm sorry."

Speaker Matijevich: "Return Schneider back."

Skinner: "Representative Hannig."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hannig. Gary Hannig? I don't see him  
in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, he can stay out of his seat.  
Continue."

Skinner: "Representative Redmond."

Speaker Matijevich: "Who?"

Skinner: "Redmond:"

Speaker Matijevich: "He's right here."

Skinner: "That concludes the verification for this afternoon."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Alright. Representative  
Robbins asked to be changed from 'aye' to 'no'. Could  
we have the count please? Robbins. 11... On this  
motion there are 111 'aye's, 39 'no's, and Senate Bill  
1436, having received the Constitutional three-fifths  
Majority, is hereby declared passed."



Speaker Matijevich: "On the Order of...on the House Calendar, Supplemental #2, on the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 2811. The Minority Leader, Representative George Ryan. 2811."

✓  
✓  
Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2811 is the usury Bill. And in its present form, right now, it removes the usury interest ceiling on residential real estate loans for approximately twenty-six months. Senate Amendment #1 changed the interest rate which can be charged on...that's right, Senate Amendment #1... which can be charged on private loans from 12% to 9%. It moved it back...from 12 to 9."

Speaker Matijevich: "Mr. Ryan, I've been...I've been told to be safe we had better move. And Representative Ryan moves to suspend the one day Calendar provision for Supplemental Calendar #2."

Ryan: "We passed a Bill on that Calendar earlier."

Speaker Matijevich: "There's an objection...he has moved that we suspend the Calendar provision. On that...it takes 89 votes. Those in favor of suspending the...the 68 (d) vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. It takes 89 votes. Those who want to go home vote 'aye', those who want to stay here vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? There are one hundred...the Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 132 'ayes', 0 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. And the motion prevails and the Calendar provision has been suspended. Now back to Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 2811."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ryan has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to



House Bill 2811. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes, would the Gentleman tell us what the effect of Senate Amendments are? I'm concerned about the... as I understand it, one of the Amendments relates to automatic increases in the ceiling...of governmental units, the rate of which they can charge interest on bonds. What changes are made with regard to the question of the effective rates of borrowing money by government<sup>al</sup> units and which governmental units are effected?"

Ryan: "Well, I think you answered your own question, Representative. I think you know the answer. We tied it to the prime rate and the Senate moved it up from 60% to 70%."

Speaker Matijevich: "The...does that answer your question, Harold?"

Katz: "Yes. I would like to...to speak on that issue."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed, Representative Katz."

Katz: "We came into Session here with a view to...taking up on an emergency basis, the problem facing homeowners in the purchase of real estate. Now, by virtue of Amendments added in the House and now one in the Senate, the rate at which a government<sup>al</sup> units can charge interest for the raising of funds has been increased now automatically, as I understand it, to 70% of the prime rate. We have not had any hearings. I'm sure that the cost...to the taxpayers directly. When we were talking about homeowners we were talking the cost to homeowners. Now we're talking about the cost to every governmental unit in the State of Illinois as I read the Amendment. And I'm sure that there is involved...millions and millions of dollars in extra cost for the raising of funds. I think that is too serious a matter to be handled on



emergency kind of basis. If there was an immediate problem as I've heard involving the Chicago School Board, then an Amendment ought to have been drafted to deal with that specific problem. But as this Amendment is drafted, in fell swoop, we are greatly increasing the amount of money that is going to be paid in interest to raise money. This is going to be a tremendously expensive undertaking. I don't think we are prepared to do that. And I, accordingly, with the second increase that's now been made in the Senate to the first Amendment that we put on, I would not be able to support this Amendment...and think it is not the time to do it and that the proper procedures have not been followed to undertake this vast, extra expenditure of public funds."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, the Amendment that Representative Katz refers to from the House was offered by myself and Representative Madigan. And, indeed, we're talking about an emergency with regard to public corporations. As was debated on this House floor last week when we put the Amendment on from the House and with slight change from the Senate, in fact, very slight change, I believe, it would open up a situation where there would be a float on the top end of the public corporation's maximum rate on their public indebtedness. We have a situation where there is indeed an emergency, as we indicated last week, in the City of Chicago with regard to meeting the pay roll potentially in the next month for over fifty thousand educational employees. We indicated last week that the same situation prevails downstate with regard to public districts such as library districts. I indicated that that is the case in the Fifty-ninth



Legislative District with at least two school districts who can't market their indebtedness. It is the case in the Belleville school district and it is the case in park districts in the suburban areas, just to name a few. Last week we went forth and talked to people in the education area, the park district question and also the Municipal League. Each of those entities support this legislation, not because they want to but basically because they have to. And it indeed, I think, along with the question of mortgage interest rates presents an emergency situation that needs to be addressed and must be addressed at this time if we are to avoid some certain insolvency situations in districts. No one wants the situation

where people have to pay more for money, particularly out of taxpayer's funds, but indeed that is the situation. It's all part and parcel of the same thing with the tightening of the money by the fed from the October 6th action. And I would urge concurrence in the Senate Amendment as Representative Ryan did."

Speaker Matisevich: "The Rep...the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The fact of the matter is, as all of us know, there is really no alternative. Public bodies as well as private institutions must go into the money market to get money. No one in their right mind is going to loan money for 9% when they can get 13. It just is sheer, absolute nonsense out of which you can make no sense, no matter how hard you try. I rise to suggest to all of the Members of the House that these Amendments must be adopted and that we do have an emergency on our hands. And I would support a concurrence in this Amendment."



Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to comment on the Bill since this is final action. A great and noble profession will be having some dramatic changes by reason of this Bill, by 2811. And that's the juice loan business which, of course, is...I'll tell you about the juice loan business. It's a business where people come and they need a thousand dollars quickly and they say, 'Okay, we'll give you the thousand, give us two thousand dollars next week.' That, probably an effective rate annually of maybe 2000%. They don't send lawyer letters if you're late. They put your ~~they break your leg~~ or may put your nose over on the other side of your face. Because they can't sue. You see, the interest rate makes that a...makes that a bad loan. But this Bill takes <sup>the</sup> cap off. It takes the cap off of any loans. So all you have to do if you are in the juice loan business is say, 'Hey, you got a second mortgage we could make? We'll give you any rate you want.' And that's...and that's all one has...I have to do."

Speaker Matijevich: "John Cullerton says you're stealing his thunder."

Greiman: "That's all one has to do to charge any rate. So that we, by 2811, by the passage of 2811 we, in fact, make the juice loan a legitimate, honest business. As long as there's a second mortgage or a third or a fourth mortgage, as long as there's some security in real estate the juice loan business is now a honest to God, good business. I suppose that maybe that's what we should call this. The juice loan act business of 1979. If somebody charged a three for one basis we would have the Illinois Investigating Commission



and we would have the financial institution, we'd have everybody running in. But you know, the incredible thing is that the juice loan people have the fancy Chicago banks and the savings and loans coming in as their lobbyists. Now let's talk about the savings and loans. They tell us that they need X dollars because they are paying out Y dollars. But the truth of the matter is that statistically they're not paying out 10% or 12%. They are only paying out that on a few accounts. Surely, only about 20% of the savings and loan accounts that are held by S & L pay the top interest rates. They're still paying 6%, 7% on lots and lots of money. So that they are not in any crunch. If you wait three months, two months the mortgage people, the people who make mortgages here in Illinois, will be....they will be eating their money and they will put that money out to loan. And we won't increase inflation and we won't grind again people who want to buy homes. Again, I would say the good vote for 2811 is to vote 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I am sure that many of us enjoyed that last performance on the so-called juice loans but nothing could be farther from the truth. The fact of the matter, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, is that when people cannot get loans from legitimate sources, that's when they go to the juice people and to the usurers. And as long as there is money available there is no reason to do so. If there is no money available for people to get legitimate loans, that in fact, forces them to this type of operation. And I would think that a 'yes' vote would be a proper one on...on House Bill 2811."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "I don't think anybody's left. The Minority Leader, Representative George Ryan, to close."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think everybody here knows what this Bill is all about. It was put in as an emergency measure. It's still of that nature and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ryan has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2811. The question is, shall the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2811? This...all those in favor say...signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This takes three-fifths, 107 votes. This is final action. 107 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 139 'ayes', 11 'nays', 5 answering 'present'. And the House does concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2811. And House Bill 2811 having received the three-fifths... Terzich 'no'...having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2818. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."





Ryan: "Give me a minute here. That's the Speaker's Bill, I believe, isn't it? I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2818. "

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ryan has moved to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2811. 2818, rather. 2818. On that, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "What does the Amendment do?"

Ryan: "It reduced from \$10.00 per \$100.00 add on to \$9.25 per 100."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2818. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 130 'aye', and 19 'no'. And the House by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority does concur to Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2818. Representative Marovitz, 'no'. Representative Willer. Representative Willer is frustrated. What do you want to vote? "



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer 'yes!'. Has your frustration ended now? On the order of motions, Senate Bill 1377, Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If everyone will pay attention for just a second I think I can save a great deal of time. All this motion deals with is the Calendar requirement. It is a motion to suspend Rule 68E. The plan will be that the Clerk will issue a Supplemental Calendar, and after we've taken care of some other matters that we have to anyway, then there will be adequate time for a full debate in whatever vote may occur on this Bill. All I'm asking now is the right to get to that point. We owe it to these agencies to tell them one way or the other, up or down, whether they're in business or not."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the provisions of Rule 68E be suspended with respect to Senate Bill 1377. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose consideration of this matter at this time. This is a very controversial matter. Another Bill has been introduced for the matter...subject matter will not be lost. Many persons are concerned about this have not been consulted, and I am asking you not to bring this up at this time. Let us have an opportunity to work this out and have a harmonious agreed Bill. That could be done, but not today. And that's what I'm asking. You know how the rancor has gone, and you know how emotions will flare. We are very close to having an agreement among the Senate groups that are for or against this Bill, and I'm asking that you allow us to work this thing out and come back to you with an agreed Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Reilly to close."

Reilly: "Again, Mr. Speaker, I repeat this motion takes 89 votes. The ultimate question is 'Do Representative Barnes and I as the Sponsors have 107 to pass it or not?'. It doesn't seem to me we need to waste a great deal of time on this motion. I'm glad to see that Representative Taylor is supporting me in the motion. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 90... Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank-you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'd just wanted to point out that what we are doing is receding from an Amendment of which I was the Sponsor, and I do not have an objection..."

Speaker Redmond: "No, this is on the motion. This is Representative Reilly's motion that the provisions of Rule 68E be suspended."

Catania: "And we're not going to use the same Roll Call for both?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, this is...this takes 89 votes, and the Clerk has taken the record. It looks like it has 98 votes..."

Catania: "Wonderful."

Speaker Redmond: "...Which is transposition, but it still carries."

Catania: "Why don't you get a few more and just use the same Roll Call?"

Speaker Redmond: "The motion carries. Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "I forgot my good friend, Representative Jones, who is also supporting the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that good or bad? Now what have we got? Back on the Supplemental Calendar #2, 2798. Representatives Bell-Polk. Representative Bell."



Bell: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 and concur with Senate Amendment 3 on House Bill 2798. There are only three Senate Amendments that the Senate placed on."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that... How many Senate Amendments encompass here? 1, 2, and 3?"

Bell: "One, two and... I'm moving to nonconcur on 1 and 2 and concur on 3."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's.... The Gentleman's motion is that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2798. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The motion carried. Representative Bell on three."

Bell: "I move to concur with Senate Amendment #3. There was no appropriation for that money and it's just removing a category."

Speaker Redmond: "Non... He's concurring in three. Nonconcur in one and two. And the question is... The Gentleman's motion.... Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield? Could you state to me what that Amendment is about?"

Bell: "Amendment 3 reduces a million dollars of the authorization category for grants to private... or to private, non-profit universities and colleges. There was no appropriation for this money awarded and so they just want to remove it out to make it .. make it the same with... It was House Bill 829, I believe."

McClain: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on the concurrence motion."

Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill looks like it's going to either... unless they withdraw from the Amendment, looks like it's going to go on to Conference Committee anyway. What this basically is, the Amendment



was supported by and proposed by Senator Weaver. What this basically is is the public school system knocking off this new category which would have provided money for capital improvements or at least the authorization for capital improvements for not... non-private or for private schools, higher education facilities. If you recall that was House Bill 829. It passed overwhelmingly in the House. Passed overwhelmingly in the Senate. The Governor vetoed it and we lost by some 14 votes on an override. The import of this category being kept on the authorization is it helps us set a precedent so that some day soon we can indeed have the appropriation in the substantive language for private schools. The action on the part of the Senate was really one of vindictiveness because the public schools believe that if they retain all the money for their systems, that then they don't have to compete with private schools. And in the meantime, all we're continually putting more and more legislation on the back of the private schools, the public schools do not want to fund it. So I would.... I know that concurrence of the motion will probably be successful, but I want you to know that indeed this is a vindictive Amendment proposed by the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 2798. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109 'aye', and 19 'no' and the House does by three-fifths Constitutional Majority accept the Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2798."

Clerk Leone: "Supplemental Calendar #3 is now being distri-



buted."

Speaker Redmond: "2805, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2805 appropriates 4 million dollars to the Inheritance Tax... for the inheritance tax collection distributive fund to the Office of the State Treasurer. This is, as you know, because of House Bill 798, I believe it was.... or Senate Bill 798, which provided for a four percent revenue to be retained by the counties for the collection of the inheritance tax. I move for ... I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2805."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Skinner."

The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1,2,3,4,5,.... Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I'm sorry. I thought we were only voting on Amendment one."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute. What... Amendment do you want? One only? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2805... Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Friedrich: "Would you tell us again, Representative Yourell, how much money's involved here?"

Yourell: "Four million dollars. As you know, when we passed..."

Friedrich: "... I would only comment that we've talked about all the surpluses and be interesting to know we've been nipping away at that so-called surplus.

It would interesting to know how much surplus we've got left."

Speaker Redmond: "Six hundred million. Have all voted who



wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 77 'aye' and 21 'no'. The motion failed.

Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "You're a little swift there, Mr. Speaker. What happened to me? "

Speaker Redmond: "Well, you didn't get the 107 votes. The House did not concur in Senate Amendment 1. Want to dump this one and see if you have better luck?"

Yourell: "Well, you know, we passed Senate Bill 798. If we don't provide the money for it, it's a ridiculous exercise in futility. We've got to provide the money to the State Treasurer for the collection for the distributive fund for the collection by the counties. This is a Bill that we passed that provided the counties retain four percent of the collections of the inheritance tax. That Bill went out of here with a tremendous Majority. All we're doing is saying now this is what we have to put into the State Treasurer's Office to make the distributive fund work. "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "I was just going to say, I suggest that we dump this Roll Call and try it again.."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. All in favor of the motion vote 'aye'; and opposed vote 'no'. This is Amendment #1. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 133 'aye' and 16 'no'. And the House by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2805. Representative Yourell. Representative Giorgi, are you seeking recognition? Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2805 amends the appropriation for the criminal sentencing Commission, makes transfers to certain line items and no additional funds are added. I move to concur in Senate



Amendment #2 to House Bill 2805."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, specifically, what does this Amendment do with respect to appropriations for the criminal sentencing Commission?"

Yourell: "Okay. There's no additional funding. What it does on a line item basis for personal services, reduces the amount of 75 thousand to 39 thousand for state contributions, state employees retirement system from 6 thousand down to three thousand, 120... For state contributions to Social Security from four thousand, 220 to two thousand, 391 dollars. From contractual services from 24 thousand to one hundred dollars to 74 thousand 809 dollars. Travel, commodities, printing remains the same. For equipment it reduces the line item from 15 thousand to 5 thousand. Telecommunication services remain as they were in the original Bill. This does not add funds. It just changes the items on a line item basis in the Amendment."

Johnson: "Now what I want to know is, as respect to the criminal sentencing Commission, why are we changing the line itemization of those particular... particular things that you mentioned?"

Yourell: "They needed more money in contractual services so they juggled the other line items to take care of that. The word is adjusted, I'm sorry."

Johnson: "In contractual services, what's the general nature of the kind of thing they needed more money for? The reason I ask is because a lot of controversy here in the House and the Senate about the criminal sentencing Commission generally and I just want to know why they vary their request?"

Yourell: "The difference in the contractual services is for attorney's fees."

Johnson: "For... You mean, more attorney's fees? For whom?"





"For staff or additional full-time people or what?"

Yourell: "I'm told they needed legal assistance for the Board itself."

Johnson: "Okay, I take it really, I'm not trying to harass you on this. I just take it you don't have any more information than the general line items. Is that right?"

Yourell: "That's correct."

Johnson: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Yourell, you move to concur is that correct?"

Yourell: "Yes. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2805."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2805. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "I would like the permission of the House to be voted 'yes' on Amendment #1 and 'no' on #2 because I got them mixed up. Is that possible? Do I have to have the House's permission?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, you're voting 'no' on the House, so you don't need any permission on that. Does the Gentleman have leave to be recorded as voting 'aye' on Amendment 1?"

Borchers: "That's right."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objection... I understand that the Borchers's family is going to deed Macon County to the Bears, is that correct? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Representative Giorgi, did you vote somebody else's switch? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 'aye's and 25 'no'. And the House does by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2805. Representative Yourell."



Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2805 makes a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Revenue for four million, six hundred thousand dollars. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2805."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, I don't know how other Legislators .. what thier experience has been with respect to the Department of Revenue, but <sup>mine</sup> has been that there's no single department in State Government that does a worse job or at least did prior to the new Director coming in of serving the people than the Department of Revenue. I can't even begin to count the number of times I've had constituents call my office because their tax returns are two or three years late in terms of a refund. The Department of Revenue is the most mechanized, automotonic agency of State Government. And I can think of no better way to tell the department that they'd better do better than by voting 'no' on this supplemental appropriation. I will say that Mr. 'Zaygell' and the people... and Mr. 'Stewart', the legislative liaison <sup>have</sup> since they've taken over, certainly upgraded the quality of service of that department. But overall, this department more than any other department in the state has done a lousy job of responding to people's needs. If you're two days late on filing your tax returns, you're indicted. If you're three years late, if you're the state in refunding somebody's tax return, even though the statute provides <sup>for</sup> a penalty, you're lucky if you aren't threatened with going to jail before you can ever get the... the computers to straighten out the problem. I realize that it will probably pass, but I think this is certainly an area to vote a symbolic 'no' to the Department of Revenue."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, to close."



Representative Skinner? Pardon me."

Skinner: "I'm sorry. I wasn't paying attention. Could you tell me what programs these are for? What new programs? For implementing legislation enacted during the Spring Session. Which Bills?"

✓ Yourell: "It was for a Bill that we passed to accelerate the payments to Motor Vehicle fund<sup>S</sup> and also for the Department of Transportation supplemental processing. And part was for the corporate replacement of the personal property tax."

Skinner: "Oh. That might cost that much. The other two couldn't possibly, or the other three."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question's on Representative Yourell's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2805. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 11 'aye', 25 'no', and the House has by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, concurred in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2805. Representative Yourell."

111 ✓ Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 2805 amends Senate Amendment #3. It reduces the supplemental request from four million, six hundred thousand, to a transfer of six hundred and fiftyfour thousand, one hundred dollars motor fuel tax revenues in supplemental funding in the amount of three million, two hundred and ninety-five thousand, nine hundred dollars in general revenue funds and thirty-five thousand, eight hundred dollars motor fuel for a total supplemental request as amended of three million, nine hundred and eight-five thousand, eight hundred dollars. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 2805."



Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The motion is... The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 4 to House Bill 2805. Those in favor vote 'aye; opposed vote 'no'.. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 127 'aye' and 6 'no'. And the House has by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority concurred in Senate Amendment 4 to House Bill 2805. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #5 .. Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 2805 as amended adds one million, two hundred thousand dollars or so much there as may be necessary to the Department of Public Health for secondary care for premature and high mortality risk infants and their mothers. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 2805. "as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 5 to House Bill 2805. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 'aye', and 24 'no' and the House has by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, concurred in Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 2805. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #6 to House Bill 2805 as amended appropriates one hundred and fifty thousand dollars or as much as may be needed to the Department of Public Aid for the purpose of providing welfare employment program contracts to day care centers. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #6 to House Bill 2805 as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Will the Speaker (sic) yield? I have a question. As I understand, well I mean the Sponsor..."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, for what purpose you...Okay. Go ahead, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Is it true that my understanding that this is money that will be repaid by the Federal Government because recent legislation that was passed and that this is just money that's being used to take care of a short-term situation?"

Yourell: "Yes, that Bill is presently in Conference Committee and they expect that to pass and then this money will be reimbursed to that area."

Ropp: "But I mean the state will be reimbursed this amount. Yeah, okay. So this isn't costing us anything."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I pushed the wrong button. Could I be changed to 'no' please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does Representative Collins have unanimous consent, having confessed error? He's confessed error. Making it the first time. "

Collins: "I hope the last too."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave to be recorded as 'no'? Record him as 'no'. Representative Conti, another Member of the Leadership. He pushed the wrong button. He be recorded as 'no'. Representative McAuliffe? 'No'."

Jake Wolf 'no'. Representative Cissy Stiehl, another Member of the Leadership, 'no'. What's the count on this? Anthony? What's the count? Representative Yourell. Well, we're not able to do it yet. We've got... We've got a problem with the computer."

Yourell: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House... This is the last Amendment, Senate Amendment #7 to... Huh? We already voted on 6 didn't we? Oh, well this appropriates \$150,000. I explained it prior to the time the Board had a problem. The Depart-



ment of Public Aid for reestablishing the welfare employment program to day care centers. A question asked by Representative Ropp, I believe, was this money reimbursable from the Federal Government. It's my understanding that that legislation is presently in a Conference Committee and that money will be reimbursable to the state. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #6 to House Bill 2805 as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It seems to me that what the previous speaker said just isn't exactly true. That those funds have already run out and the only way that that money is going to be made up after this temporary funds are given is through a rate increase. They're going to have to show there's going to be a need for a rate increase in order to get those funds in the future."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Are we on seven or six?"

Speaker Redmond: "We're on number six."

Skinner: "Seven to come."

Speaker Redmond: "Seven follows six. (German counting)."

Skinner: "Alright."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 6 to House Bill 2805. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my 'aye' vote, I would kind of like to respond to Representative Mahar. The Department of Children and Family Services is planning to go before the Purchase Care Rate Review Board. They had intended to go before the Board in October and they missed that date and now it looks like the earliest date that they'll get before the Board will



be December. If they... Because of the fact that this program is being terminated at this time, a lot of the centers are under a considerable hardship. A number of them will probably even be forced to close. I have scheduled a Subcommittee hearing in Chicago next week to look into this matter. If this money is.. is not forthcoming, a number of centers will indeed close. And they are not just the Chicago, but all over the state. They're about seventy centers that are in jeopardy at this point. We have to make this appropriation if we are to keep these particular centers open. The Federal Government is at the point of taking final action. There is very little difference between the House version and the Senate version of the bill that is going to Conference Committee and once that Bill passes and becomes law, we will be able to go back and claim reimbursement for this particular period of time. And the money, it's a very, very small amount to the state, but it will mean the difference between life and death for several centers throughout the state, both upstate as well as <sup>down</sup>state and I urge an affirmative vote on this measure."

~~Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish?" Representative~~

~~Ropp."~~

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this particular Section is... I think we've had two or three times already this year where the state has had to pay for this program and then later was reimbursed by the Federal Government. So, even though it is tax money, I can assure you it's not money out of our general funds and this money will be forthcoming, has been stated because the Federal Government is a little bit lax and slow in getting their legislation in the hopper. So this is money that is for a good program and it's also will be



coming back within the next thirty to forty days, as I understand it. And I welcome your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just want to echo what the previous speaker said. As it relates to his district, apparently relates to mine too. And that these people very much need these funds at the present time and they're going to show cause I think for .. to go before the Rate Review Board for more money as far as the overall operation is concerned. They've been short-changed like a lot of people have been we've been acting on here in the last few days for millions and millions of dollars more than these people are asking for. It just seems to me that we could put a few more green votes up on the Board and let some of us folks have a couple of bucks."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. These day care centers as you all know are very important. And I just can't understand why we're talking about \$150,000 and denying that kind of an appropriation when we know that these funds are reimburseable. It just doesn't seem logical to me that we can spend this much time on an appropriation of \$150,000. Now I know there's a lot of individuals up there that are not voting that are here and I don't like to do this because of the lateness of the hour and the work yet to be done, but this is important to all of our districts and I would respectfully ask for a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish?"

Yourell: "You're next. You're next."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 107 'aye' and 41 'no'. And the House does by a three-fifths





Constitutional Majority, concur in Senate Amendment 6 to House Bill 2805. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the last Amendment to the Bill. It appropriates 15 million dollars to the Cook County Board for Cook County Hospital. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #7 to House Bill 2805 as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner. We've got another bad Roll Call so you're going to have to let you talk for quite a while now. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Was that a motion to concur?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, what was your... on number seven?"

Yourell: "That's correct, Sir."

Skinner: "Once is enough; Representative. You've got 15 million. You're not getting 30. "

Yourell: "No, you don't understand, Sir. This has to go back to be concurred in. One of them will become law, not both. There will not be 30 million dollars."

Skinner: "I don't know about you, but I see some strange dealings between the Mayor and the Governor and I am not... I wouldn't at all be surprised if 'Vulture George' and 'Vulture Jane' didn't get another 15 million on top of the 15 million we've already got and I definitely insist on a verification on this if you don't want to move to nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar. That was Amendment 6. We had a little problem with that Roll Call. Okay. Any further discussion with respect to Amendment 7? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #7 to House Bill 2805. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, let me give just a pragmatic reason why every one should be voting for it. You know, if we nonconcur



in this, then the thing has got to go back to the Senate and they'll probably refuse to recede and it will go to Conference Committee and we'll be hanging around here for an awful lot longer than anyone wants to hang <sup>g</sup> around. So, if I could echo Gene Hoffman's sentiments from the last Bill, I think we ought to vote for this. I happen to <sup>SUPPORT</sup> support the issue too, but it seems to me there's some good pragmatic reasons for going on this one."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 62 'aye' and 67 'no'. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Would the Representative care to move to nonconcur now? Or may I?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "I thought you were going to verify this Roll Call."

Skinner: "... Sanity of the people voting 'yes'.."

Speaker Redmond: "I think it has to have a certain minimum and I don't think it's up there."

Yourell: "What is that minimum, Sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "Seventy."

Yourell: "Oh, yes indeed. Okay. I move now to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #7 to House Bill 2805 as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate

Amendment #7 to House Bill 2805. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The motion carries. And the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #7. Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to call the attention of the Body that one of our former Members is in the balcony over here on our side of the aisle, one of the finest Members we've had in a number of years, Bob Day is up in the audience."

Speaker Redmond: "Wasn't he the Mayor? Wasn't he the Mayor of Peoria? Wasn't he the Mayor of Peoria at one time?"



Tuerk: "Right. Right."

Speaker Redmond: "Was he a good Mayor too?"

Tuerk: "He was a good Mayor, a good Mayor."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, was he a family Mayor?"

Tuerk: "He has a nice family."

Speaker Redmond: "Is he a lawyer?"

Skinner: "He was against the RTA, I remember that vividly..

We thank him... We still thank him for that."

Speaker Redmond : "Is he a lawyer?"

Skinner: "Well, you can't have everything right.."

Speaker Redmond: "Was he Chairman of Judiciary Committee?"

Tuerk: "One of these days, he's going to get out of practicing and really go to work."



Speaker Redmond: "Let's see now. Supplemental Calendar #3 appears Senate Bill 1377, Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank-you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There probably, at this point, a great deal of debate on Senate Bill 1377. We've come down a long road. There's been a great deal of discussion. At one point, Representative Catania objected to our removing this Amendment. She does not any longer. Representative Taylor was concerned about this Bill. He has expressed those concerns very forcefully. I think at this point we have met those concerns. The Bill was supported by the major civil rights groups in the state. The Bill was supported by the Chamber of Commerce. It's supported by the Illinois Board of Realtors. It is a good Bill. It brings into focus the state's efforts in this area. It provides one form both for those who are grieved, and those who are allegedly discriminated. It provides efficient use of state funds and state resources in this very vital area. I would be glad to answer any questions, but I think at this point there is probably everything that can be said about the Bill has been said. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "What is your motion, Sir?"

Reilly: "My motion is to recede...that the House recede from Amendment #19."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Representative Taylor. Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank-you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. As you know, House...Senate Bill 1377, I have continuously opposed it throughout the Session. I worked very hard against it, because I did not think that it was what it should be, or that all that all that data needed to be in the Bill. Today, I talked with the Governor himself, and he has made a commitment to me and the Membership that we will work with us over the year to



try to <sup>strengthen</sup> strengthen Senate Bill 1377, and before a director is appointed for that agency, that he would discuss it with us. And in light of the fact that those agencies as they presently exist is not being funded and not doing the things that they should be doing in the area of civil rights. I would not want to go on record as opposing <sup>ing</sup> on the last day of this Session, 1377. Therefore, I am going to remove my objection, and I will support Senate Bill 1377 and hope that the Governor keep his commitment in terms of trying to make this reorganization what it ought to be."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question's on... Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the technical vote is on receding on a particular Amendment, but the issue is still the Bill. The Bill takes away the protection of the state's criminal statutes from blacks, and crippled people, and old people from being discriminated against in this state of ours. That is the main contention of those of us who feel that this is not the opportune time to pass this Bill until that portion could be taken out. The most eloquent black legal man in the State of Illinois, Justin A. Jones, Jr., who took part in every civil rights legal matter in the last 40 years, is opposed to this taking away of this protection. The NAACP of the... Chicago, Frank Williams, President of the south side branch, is opposed. And those of you who say you were there... Attorney General William J. Scott, he was not consulted, and they are taking away from the Attorney General's office the right to be the chief law enforcement officer for civil rights matters, and the Attorney General told me that those who say that it is not being used, that his office does use it. And I'm going to say that those of you who purport to care for the deacon know that this does away with

11/8/79



P.  
 FEPC which the deacon spent his life creating in this chamber. And he was ill when they had hearings on this Bill, and he was not able to come down and have an input. So I'm asking you not to pass this Bill today. Give the Attorney General the opportunity to have input. Give the deacon an opportunity to have an input, and I'm saying that those of you who are concerned about doing harm to business, well, I'm telling you, it's going to put undue restraints on business without helping black folks. And that is why I am opposed to it now, as I've always been. And I hope that those of you who say you are now for it will be able to help me if I go down to a little town in Illinois and not be served, help me find a commission rather than a policeman, because under the present statute, the Police Department, and the State's Attorney, and the Attorney General, have the responsibility to enforce the Civil Rights <sup>Statute</sup> of this state. And this takes that away from them. And so I'm going to say in response to the people saying it's not needed because it hasn't been used, that the Attorney General said it's like taking...bars off your window because you haven't been robbed yet. And that is what they are doing. They are getting rid of the watchdog, the Attorney General's office, and replacing it with a commission. So I'm asking for a 'no' vote on this Roll Call."

Speaker Remmond: "Representative Stanley. Anyone further? Representative Alexander."

Alexander: "Mr. Speaker, am I permitted at this point to speak to the Bill? Thank-you very kindly. What I'm going to say might cost my re-election, back in Chicago. A deal has again been cut by our Chief Executive Officers of the state and city with regards to Senate Bill 1377. The deal was Cook County Hospital versus Senate Bill 1377, the Human Rights Act. Today



in the Chicago Defender, the headlines read on the front page 'Civil Rights Agency on the Chopping Block'. What agency is this? This is the agency of Chicago which governs the Commission on Human-Relations, whose function is to enforce housing ordinances, to monitor city contracts for affirmative action in equal

opportunity, and to conciliate complaints of discrimination and other civil rights matters. Let me go one step

further with <sup>you with</sup> regards to my opposition to Senate Bill 1377. On September 27, 1979, our Chief Executor of

this state, the honorable Governor, Jim Thompson, made a confession of error. The conscription of which I have here on my desk for any Legislator in this House to read... where he stated that the Bill was imperfect.

I will agree with him that we perhaps cannot pass perfect legislation to please all persons, but I must state before this House my opposition for 2 reasons. First, I belong to the Minority, and second, I am a woman. He has indicated to the power structure here that he is willing to deal after we pass this Bill out.

I say to those of you here who do not and would not want to predicate such an act upon the citizens of the State of

Illinois, a confession of error Bill, that they withhold their vote in seeing that this Bill is cast, and that they will consider some more substantive legislation which has been filed in this House with regards to human rights throughout the State of Illinois.

I suggest to you that the Bill that is now on file is a more thorough Bill, a more excellent par Bill it may have to be amended, but I say to you, you are not giving the citizens of Illinois a chance to make a decision which is proper with regards to their civil rights. I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Raymond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I listened



quite attentively...attentively to the last <sup>two</sup> Speakers who spoke regarding Senate Bill 1377. It seems to me that the reasons which they enunciated as their rationale for opposing the Bill, in reality, are the very reasons which Members should support Senate Bill 1377. On the contrary, offenses which now are considered minor petty offenses would receive greater consideration and could be...if people are found guilty, could be more serious offenses than they are under current law. Secondly, we have today, a mix, or hodge-podge of many, <sup>many</sup> agencies with varying initials describing them, to which cases of varying kinds are referred. A citizen who was <sup>ag</sup>grieved or discriminated against in the first place, has difficulty getting accountability from one or perhaps two agencies who have overlapping jurisdiction. At the same time, an individual who may be charged with an act of discrimination suffers the same unfair treatment. Senate Bill 1377 would consolidate all of the agencies into one accountable, fair, equitable agency to hear the cases of discrimination which are now heard among many, many various boards, ~~and~~ commissions, and agencies. I think that this is a sound, rational move, not only toward the consolidation of government, not only toward streamlining government and saving money, but it is a sound, rational method by which cases can be heard, disposed of, and where people will know just what the law is. I think Senate Bill 1377 satisfies all the criticisms which we've heard for many, many years about government bureaucracies and government abuses. And it is for these reasons which I support the motion to recede from Amendment #19 to Senate Bill 1377."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Braun:

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House (sic) 1377 has some significant





advantages to it, including a Fair Housing Law, provisions for unjust relief, and of course the administrative reorganization that it affects. However, looking at the substantive Bill, there are some serious defects in the legislation. Defects which were pointed out by the Chicago Council of Lawyers in its report issued not <sup>three</sup> weeks ago. It repeals the existing civil rights authority and replaces that authority but abandons certain existing rights regarding testing standards, discrimination by contractors, protections extended to the handicapped, private right of action, delay be respondents, damages by willful discrimination. It creates a new exemption for discrimination by private clubs, religious corporations, and others.

The Governor's staff has made certain representations that they will work with interested Members of this

Legislature to amend a Bill next Session, and I...on the

basis of the report issued by the Chicago Council of

Lawyers. And for the record, Representative Reilly,

because we are making a legislative record here, I

would like for you, for the record, to speak to the

issue of representations regarding future Amendments

to clean up this legislation." <sup>Speaker Redmond:</sup> "Representative Piel" ..

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, I asked a question..."

Speaker Redmond: "Pardon me."

Braun: "...Of Representative Reilly."

Speaker Redmond: "Pardon me. Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker, I intend to respond to the question, and perhaps I would do it in the closing. Is that alright, Carol, or do you want me to do it now? I..."

Braun: "I would appreciate if you'd just make..."

Reilly: "Alright. Representative Braun, and earlier, Representative Taylor are correct. Both the Governor and I as the Sponsor of the Bill, and I would correct Representative Alexander here. There is no deal on this Bill. Straightforwardly we have said that on the basis



of the objections that have been raised, we will sit down with all the interested parties involved here, on the basis of those objections, and work to develop and subsequently pass both technical corrections to the Bill and those substantive corrections and improvements which we can agree upon. And we have given our word on that to several people, including Representative Braun, and Representative Taylor, and Representative Kane, and others who have been involved in the Bill."

Braun: "Mr...I would...I would...just...what...like to go one step further, Representative Reilly, and ask whether or not we...the agreement...that at least <sup>has</sup> been reached with me, because I was not party to any agreements with Representative Taylor. If the agreements regarding...this legislation, the clean up Bill, encompass...the objections made by the Chicago Council of Lawyers."

Reilly: "I don't know exactly how to phrase the answer. We have never said that we would agree with every objection in the Chicago Council of Lawyers...made, but we have certainly committed to you that...through nobody's fault, we have not been able to do it to this point. We have certainly committed to you that we will sit down on all of those, and it is my intention and the Governor's intention to be cooperative and to agree. But I am not going to stand here on the floor and tell you that every single objection they have made, we accept. That's not true, but you are certainly correct that we have made that agreement with you that we will sit down and work out those objections to the best of our ability."

Braun: "Thank-you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania. Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank-you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It was my Amendment that was the only one that was



that was left...as a point of contentio<sup>n</sup> on this Bill, and it deals with language on credit card applications. I agreed with the Governor that I would not object to having it removed from this legislation which is, in effect, what we are doing by receding here. And talking about things the Governor <sup>has</sup> said he will do, he has said that he will support getting this language on credit card applications, so I have no objection to the Amendment being withdrawn so that the Bill can be debated on its merits."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallock. Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is 'Shall the main question be put?'. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Representative Reilly to close."

Reilly: "The mood of the House, I believe, Mr. Speaker, is to vote. I just ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House recede from Amendment #19 to Senate Bill 1377. Final action. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Henry."

Henry: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, just to explain my vote. I want it to be known to the House of Representatives here today, and to the visitors, that I know of nothing about deals. I haven't been promised anything. I know nothing about agreements. The only thing I know is that I have friends in the City of Chicago that have been lobbying me to change my mind on this Bill, and that is the only reason, I want to make this clear, that is the only reason that I am changing my mind, because one of the lobbyists that I have a great respect for, and I feel that she knows what she is talking about, but these Bills, and people playing with the



civil rights legislation in the State of Illinois. I do not agree with it. I have some deep problems with this Bill, and I want the House of Representatives to understand that. I hope to be back here next year to try to clean it up, but for the people of the State of Illinois, I think they need some improved civil rights on the book, and that's the reason I'm voting."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that this is a Bill that's had a lot of controversy to it as indicated by previous Speakers. There are still some problems with it. However, I think that on balance,...this is probably an improvement, and I would urge an 'aye' vote at this time with the feeling that there are changes that we must make next year."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there is only one line in the Illinois Constitution which suggests that anybody has any responsibility. There is about 4 or 5 pages of rights, and I think some of the time I'd like to get around here when we start talking about responsibility and not waste so much time about everybody's rights."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 121 'aye', and 24 'no', and the House, by a 3/5ths Constitutional Majority recedes from Amendment #19 to Senate Bill 1377. Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "I wish to ask for a verification please."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. He's within his rights. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Does this require only 89 votes?"

Speaker Redmond: "I believe it is 107. Mr. Parliamentarian."



*Lechowicz*

Lechowicz:

: "I don't believe so."

Speaker Redmond: "Depends on what the effective date is.

What is it, Mr. Reilly? Do you know? Have you got the Bill, Mr. Clerk? How can we tell? Representative Reilly, can you tell when the effective date is?"

Reilly: "Sections of the Bill are immediately effective. Therefore it requires 107."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Katz. Representative Katz. ...May Representative Katz be verified? Representative Stiehl. Representative Stiehl. Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "May I ask your permission to be verified please?"

Speaker Redmond: "May Representative Stiehl be verified?"

Representative Kosinski requests permission to be verified. Representative Rea has the same request.

Representative Ray Ewell...requests to be verified.

Representative Richmond requests to be ver...Representative Barnes...looks like the CTA is leaving. Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Is the ver...is the excuse up to me or up to you?"  
If it is up to me, I say no."

Speaker Redmond: "It's up to the Body. It requires..."

Gaines: "Everybody else on every other thing doesn't have to stay here. If they want to stay...if they don't, then that's that."

Speaker Redmond: "Then all you have to do is object then. Representative..."

Gaines: "Well, I'm objecting."

Speaker Redmond: "An objection has been raised. Proceed with Representative Gaines. Proceed with the verification. Well, the whole procedure...everybody was standing up. I mean, of course, you can't be verified."

Gaines: "Well...I ask that you proceed..."

Speaker Redmond: "You're within your rights..."

Gaines: "Yes...I object to that..."



Speaker Redmond: "Verify the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Ackerman, Anderson, Balanoff, E.M. Barnes, Jane Barnes, Beatty, Bell, Birchler, Bluthardt, Bower, Bowman, Bradley, Braun, Brummer, Burnidge, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Catania, Chapman,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Haven't done anything to you yet."

Clerk O'Brien: "Christensen, Collins, Conti, Cullerton, Currie, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, Ralph Dunn, Ewell, Ewing, Farley, Flinn, Friedrich, Garmisa, Giorgio, Goodwin, Greiman, Hallock, Hallstrom, Hanahan, Hannig, Harris, Henry, Hoffman, Hoxsey, Jaffe, Dave Jones, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz, Keane, Kelly, Kempiners, Kent, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kucharski, Kulas, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Madigan, Mahar, Margulas, Marowitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McAuliffe, McBroom, McClain, McGrew, McMaster, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Neff, Oblinger, O'Brien, Patrick, Peters, Polk, Pouncey, Rea, Reilly, Richmond, Ronan, Ropp, Ryan, Sandquist, Schneider, Schraeder, Sharp, Skinner, Stanley, Stecz, E.G. Steele, C.M. Stiehl, Stuffle, Swanstrom, Taylor, Telscer, Terzich, Tuerk, Van Duyn, Vinson, Vitek, VonBoeckman, Watson, Wikoff, Willer, Williams, J.J. Wolf, Sam-Wolf, Woodyard, Yourell, Mr. P. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Slape desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Darrow, 'no'. Representative Mulcahey, 'aye'. Representative Boucek, 'aye'.

Representative Robbins, 'aye'. Representative Macdonald, 'aye'. Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald, 'aye'. This is not the last Bill. The Senate is still in Session, and we...they refuse to recede from the Senate Amendment,



I believe it's 1436, so you can expect to be in Session for a little bit longer. Maybe as much as an hour, four and a half. I'm guessing, but that is what it looks like. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative Ryan, in the meanwhile, I don't think that you have requested the absences of your sick Members to be excused."

Ryan: "Representative Epton is the only one I know."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Ryan: "Representative Epton is the only one I know."

Speaker Redmond: "How about Dyer?"

Ryan: "Representative Dyer."

Speaker Redmond: "She was excused yesterday."

Ryan: "Yeah, but I don't know if she's through with sick or not, but excuse her. It's..."

Speaker Redmond: "Don't know if she's sick or not... Any objections? Hearing none... Representative Borchers. Do you desire to be excused? Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, the feud about <sup>two</sup> weeks ago or when-  
ever it was, I am happy to say we had a Minority leader, none other than George Ryan, who was most magnanimous. He ordered a chicken dinner for us with the fixings. That's the best I've had in a hell of a long time. Now, I would like to see this magnificent action repeated if we have to stay here. George, what about another nice chicken dinner? You're not going to do it, huh? Well, at least it doesn't hurt to ask." "Yes."

Ryan: "The only thing that's left is the feathers, and you're certainly welcome to those."

Borchers: "Well, don't you have enough money in the Republican caucus to repeat that fat beautiful dinner? Oh it's the Speaker's turn. What about that, Mr. Speaker? What about the whole House? The Democrats are in control. You've got all the money. Let's have a nice dinner."



I even get a little applause out of that. A little more money, a little more dinners...chicken...steak if you've got any money."

Speaker Redmond: "The Borchers's family are inviting everybody <sup>body</sup> to dinner. Is that..."

Borchers: "I never said that. Let's don't bring up that part of the matter. That's going too far. I'm just one person. I'm talking about your whole Party."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, everybody's invited to Representative Ryan's fund raiser. One hundred twenty-five dollars apiece. On this question there are 125 'aye', 23 'no' and the House by 3/5ths Constitutional Majority...Huh? Representative Gaines. Pardon me, pardon me, that is right. Do you have any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call?"

Gaines: "Yes, I do."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Gaines: "Representative Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "Marovitz here? Is he on the floor? Is he on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Gaines: "Dawson."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Gaines: "Williams."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams here? Representative Williams. Is he here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Gaines: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Redmond: "Laurino here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Gaines: "Hanahan."





Speaker Redmond: "How is Hanahan recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Gaines: "Garmisa."

Speaker Redmond: "Garmisa here? He's here."

Gaines: "Preston."

Speaker Redmond: "Preston here? Marovitz has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call. Preston. How is he recorded? He's not voting, I don't think."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Gaines: "Beatty."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Gaines: "Representative Stuffle."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson is here. Put him back on the Roll Call. Representative Stuffle is here."

Gaines: "Terzich."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Gaines: "Kozubowski."

Speaker Redmond: "Kozubowski here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Gaines: "...Hoffman."

Speaker Redmond: "Gene Hoffman. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Is he in the chamber? Remove him."

Gaines: "...McGrew."

Speaker Redmond: "McBroom...McGrew, yeah, he's here. Representative Watson desires to be verified. Mr. Gaines..."

He has objected before, but..."

Gaines: "Alright."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Gaines: "Murphy."

Speaker Redmond: "Murphy's here."



Gaines: "McGrew."

Speaker Redmond: "McGrew is here."

Gaines: "Kempiners."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Gaines: "Kempiners."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative... Doctor Kempiners. He's  
back there."

Gaines: "Sandquist."

Speaker Redmond: "Sandquist here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Gaines: "VonBoeckman."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Gaines: "Sam Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "Sam Wolf here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Gaines: "Sharp."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Gaines: "That's all, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 117 'ayes',

23 'nos', and the House by a 3/5ths Constitutional  
Majority recedes from Amendment 19 to Senate Bill  
1377. Ipso facto, it's declared passed

by the Constitutional 3/5ths Majority. Message from the Senate."



Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has refused to concur <sup>with</sup> the House in the adoption of their Amendments to Bills of the following title; Senate Bill 1172, Amendment... House Amendments 1 and 2, action taken by the Senate November 8th, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. "

Speaker Redmond: "Going to have a Supplemental Calendar, Mr. Clerk? Supplemental Calendar is being prepared with respect to those two. Do we have the adjournment Resolution? Do we have the adjournment Resolution? Okay. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What happened on that message from the Senate? Was there a motion offered on that?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, no. We have to publish the Supplemental Calendar which is in the process of being prepared. "

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute now. We've got... Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like.. This is a very small matter. It will only take one minute. I would simply like to move that we suspend the appropriate rule so that we can consider House Resolution 544, which is an Amendment to House Resolution 265."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Hearing no objection.. the rule is suspended. "

Willer: "And I..."

Speaker Redmond: "Attendance Roll Call. Now Representative Willer."

Willer: "I would ask the House to approve of House Resolution 544 which simply extends the reporting time on the Corrections Industry Committee from December 1st to



February 1st."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Lady's motion for the adoption... Resolution 544. Those in favor indicate by voting 'aye' and opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109 'aye' and no 'nay'. And the Resolution is adopted. On the Regular Calendar on page four under Motions, appears a motion with respect to House Resolution 470. Representative DiPrima is recognized." Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, some 'ballo<sup>ooh</sup>nhead' in Congress had the audacity to .. Well, first of all, let me ask pursuant to Rule 41-A I move to place on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration, House Resolution 470. 41-A. Just look in your book there and you'll see what it means. Okay?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion ... to suspend the rule for immediate consideration of House Resolution 470. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "What... That rule.. one of the parts of that rule is where you can introduce more than five Resolutions. I don't think you want to do that, do you? Larry? I mean, we hear enough out of you on the floor of the House without these goofy Resolutions of yours. You ought to have more sense than that..."

DiPrima: "...This is a good one; wait'll you hear this one."

Matijevich: "You know, wake up will you?"

DiPrima: "Alright, John... You through? Alright."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Murphy? Representative Steczo was signaling to you."

DiPrima: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Representative DiPrima. You have anything further?"



DiPrima: "Yes. Now as I was saying..."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute now. Are you.. Representative Kane."

Kane: "I think the Resolution is defective. It refers to the Department of Health, Education and Welfare and there is no such department anymore."

Speaker Redmond: "What do you say to that, Representative DiPrima?"

DiPrima: "He's misinformed."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that a sufficient answer, Representative Kane? Have all voted who wish? Dr. Kempiners. Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 113 'aye', no 'nay', and the motion carried. Now, we'll go the ~~motion which appears on page two... I mean the Resolution~~ which appears on page three. House Resolution 470."

DiPrima: "Alright. Now, here we go. As I was saying before, 'some balo<sup>on</sup> headed' Congressman <sup>tacked on</sup> an Amendment to House Resolution 2626 which would place the Veteran's Administration under the jurisdiction of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare. Now, would you believe that? The Department of Health, Education and Welfare can't take care of its own business and now it's going to go ahead and take over the Veteran's Administration which is the government's fifth largest agency. It has a budget of 19 billion dollars a year and it administers the nation's.. the nation's largest and finest hospital and medical care in the country for veterans. Now, here the HEW can't take care of its own business and they're going to take care of the veterans. Would you believe that?

I move that we adopt this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I hate to be serious with Representative DiPrima, but Doug Kane really had a point there because there has been that reorganization. I hate to call you,



'Balloon Head', Larry, but the... but the Resolution is drafted improperly and I know you wouldn't want to do that. You want to have it in proper order; don't you? Amend it on its face will you?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

Matijevid: "Just trying to help you out."

DiPrima: "John, you're confusing me. I don't know what the hell you're talking about."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

DiPrima: "Yes."

Mautino: "Larry, since labor gives out flags for votes on their legislation, if I vote for this, how many cannons do I get?"

DiPrima: "You'll get a cannon right in the rear."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevid."

Matijevid: "Well, since it is in... you know, improper and defective, why don't you just amend it and transfer it to the Cook County Hospital? Then you'd really have a close eye...."

DiPrima: "They've got their hands full too."

Matijevid: "The County Board... The County Board's going to be looking at it. You know that."

DiPrima: "Well, anyway..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Although the Department of Education has been passed by Congress, to the best of my knowledge it is not a working Department yet and the Department of HEW still is in existence as HEW so I think it's a proper..."

DiPrima: "Thank you, Birkinbine. You satisfied, Kane, Matijevid, .....? Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of House Resolution 470."



Speaker Redmond: "Have all... The question's on the Gentleman's motion ..."

DiPrima: "Take 177 votes.."

Speaker Redmond: "On the adoption of House Resolution 470. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? "

DiPrima: "Go ahead. I'll get a Roll Call on this . Vote red."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 124 'aye', and five 'no', and the motion carried. Resolution's adopted. Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, just for the purpose of an announcement in case Representative Telcser's not on the floor, there will be a meeting of the Hungarian caucus immediately after adjournment."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions? Representative Balanoff?"

Balanoff: "I pushed my 'no' button. It should be 'aye' on that last.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative.. Representative Balanoff desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Currie, 'aye'. Cowards Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolutions 543, Younge, 545, Terzich, 546, Mulcahey, 552, Johnson, 553, Rea, and 554, Pechous."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 543 by Younge honors Attorney and Mrs. DuPris; 545 by Terzich talks about a retiring Chicago fireman; ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Not through yet, Representative Ronan."

Giorgi: "546 by Mulcahey honors a Rockford Fireman; 552"

by Johnson talks about National Cold Medal Competition; and 553 by Rea honors the ear of Christopher Community High School and 554 by Pechous talks about an impending marriage. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."



Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Would you have a State Police keep Representative Ronan here, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's got Marovitz's coat, too. The question is on Representative Giorgi's motion for the adoption of the Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The motion carries. The Resolutions are adopted."

Giorgi: "Do you want to adopt the two Death Resolutions now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Got a Death Resolution? Death Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 550, DiPrima, et. al., with respect to the memory of Mr. Eugene P. Alieo. House Resolution 549, McBroom-Ryan, with respect to the memory of Ed P. Madison."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The motion carried. The Death Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 547, and House Resolution 551."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. Introduction and First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Introduction and First Reading; House Bill 2834, Bowman-Balanoff-Currie-Bruan, a Bill for an Act to reduce the State Occupation and Use Tax on food for human consumption, prescription, nonprescription medicine, drugs, medicine, appliances and certain diabetic supplies. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2835, Wikoff, a Bill for an Act in relation to exemptions applicable to estates in bankruptcy. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2836, Polk, a Bill for an Act to require the reporting of critical health problems. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2837, Younge, a Bill for an Act





to amend Sections of an Act relating to State Fire Marshal. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2838, Deuster-Friedrich, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2839, Deuster, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2840, Redmond et. al., a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "We still have some work to do. Well, depends on what the Senate's doing. We've got a message from the Senate? We're having a Supplemental Calendar? Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright

Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in their adoption of their Amendments to the Bills of the following title; Senate Bill 1444, Amendments 1 and 2. Action taken by the Senate November 8th, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of Amendments #1,2,3,6, and 7 to Senate Bill 1445. I'm further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption of Amendments 4 and 5. Action taken by the Senate November 8th, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1, and 2 to House Bill 2798. And request a Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate November 8th, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr.



Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills the following title to wit; House Bills # 2792, 2799, 2800, 2804, and 2813. Passed by the Senate November 8th, 1979. by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian in the chamber? House will stand in recess to the call of the Chair. We're now going to Third Special Session. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following title to wit; House Bill 2796. Passed by the Senate November 8th, 1979 by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Joint Resolution #3, Resolved by the Senate of the Eighty-First General Assembly of the State of Illinois, Third Special Session, thereof, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Thursday, November 8th, 1979, they stand adjourned sine die. Adopted by the Senate November 8th, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, on the adjournment Resolution for the Third Special Session."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Resolution be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the adoption of the adjournment Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The motion carried. The Resolution's adopted. Represent"



ative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Third Legislative ... The Third Special Session be adjourned sine die."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion . Those in favor... Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The motion carried. The Third Special Session is adjourned sine die. That means, 'without day'. Now the Regular Session is back in Session. Is there a request for a Conference Committee from the Senate? Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "On House Bill 2798, the Senate requests a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Just to warn you that we're not quite through.

~~On Supplemental Calendar #2 appears House Bill 2798.~~

We have concurred in three. And we did nonconcur in one and two. And the Senate refused to recede. Representative Bell."

*accede*  
Bell: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House do not <sup>C</sup>accede to the Senate's request for a Conference Committee and that the House do concur in the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 and #2 to House Bill 2798."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's... Well, he wants the House to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2. Representative Lecho... er... Leverenz. I always get you big guys mixed up."

Leverenz: "He's big Ted, I'm little Ted. Could he explain the two Amendments that he's talking about?"

Bell: "Senate Amendment #1 reduces the total bond authorization and ~~it reduces it by 7 million, 150 thousand~~ dollars. Senate Amendment #2 restores 50% of that. It restores six and a half million dollars so all in all it reduces the bond ~~...~~ the total authorization by approximately six million, 650 thousand dollars. And



it's education, corrections, conservation, are re-stored state agencies, are cut out. Partial funds. It's all partial funds."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Just a question of the Sponsor. Representative Bell, I understood that without the full amount that the Conservation Department didn't get all of the authorization they couldn't spend any of it. Is that correct or is that a misconception?"

Bell: "I believe that's correct."

Wolf: "Then why are we accepting this Amendment?"

Bell: "Give us just a minute here. "

Speaker Redmond: "Wonder if the Membership realizes that Dr. Kempiners has been made head dietician in the State of Illinois?"

Bell: "Representative Wolf, we feel that the Department of Conservation can probably re-prioritize their projects and still can move ahead with as much money as they'll have."

Wolf: "Will they be able to make those land acquisitions?"

Bell: "Would you repeat that please?"

Wolf: "I said, will the Department of Conservation be able to make those land acquisitions that they were planning on doing or will we have to wait till next year or the year after till the price is ten or twenty percent higher?"

Bell: "Probably we'll have to wait till January."

Wolf: "Well, I don't have much confidence in King Howard the Ist over in the Senate, but.."

Bell: "That's true.."

Speaker Redmond: "Satisfied Representative J.J. Wolf?"

Wolf: "Not really."

Bell: "I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call on this.."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 on



House Bill 2798." Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 117 'aye' and nine 'nay' and the House does concur by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2798. Now... Objection has been raised to the sign on Representative Catania's desk that they can't see Representative Skinner. Representative Flinn can't see Skinner. Put it in front of Simms. Can't understand you with your pipe in your mouth. Don't know who's worse, you or Geo-Karis."

Vinson: "I said Ronan's got his coat on again. Would you admonish him?"

Speaker Redmond: "How about giving us an elocution lesson? Supplemental.. Supplemental Calendar #4."

Clerk O'Brien: "Is now being passed out."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of Nonconcurrency appears Senate Bill 1172. Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1172 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House refuse to recede from Amendments 1 and 2 and that a Conference Committee be appointed. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The motion carried. The House refuses to recede from Amendments 1 and 2 and request a Conference Committee. How about 1445? Can we do anything to that? Representative Kane."

Kane: "I would move that the House recede from Amendments 4 and 5."

Speaker Redmond: "That's on Senate Bill 1445 on the Order of Nonconcurrency. Is that correct? And what is your



motion?"

Kane: "To recede from Amendments 4 and 5."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's... Representative Kane has moved that the House recede from Amendments 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 1445. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Repres... Final action. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman explain what Amendments 4 and 5 are?"

Speaker Redmond: "Could you explain the Amendments? Explain the Amendments Representative Kane?"

Kane: "I would yield to Representative Peters."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters. Representative Peters hit Representative Hanahan's switch. Now.."

Peters: "I guess I'm finally where some people say I belong.

There .. It was just an error, Representative McClain. The Senate has objection because they had the feeling that these funds really won't be able to be acted on by the department till sometime in February. We'll be back in Session by then. They think we ought to have some hearings on it. The usual kind of action the Senate puts us through and you understand that so, I trust you would remove your objection and go along with the motion made by Representative Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Well, I wonder if we could have the Amendments explained."

Speaker Redmond: "Peters, will you explain them?"

Peters: "What the Amendment did was add a supplemental amount of 436,600 dollars of federal funds to the Department of Aging for demonstration projects relating to long-term care of the elderly. It is the feeling of the Senate that since these funds would not be here until February, that it would be in the best interests of the process, quote, unquote, to have hearings on



what the Department of Aging is doing in regard to those areas of study and what they intend to do. So they refuse to accept this appropriation at this time. Now, before everybody gets all exercised and excited about it, the alternative is to go to Conference Committee on this and probably be here twelve o'clock waiting before people settle this. Now if that's what you want to do... That's not what Representative Kane wants to do cause... No, you don't. That's.. That's kind of the alternative. I trust we don't get involved in that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "..."

Peters: "That's unreasonable.."

Pullen: "Well that's Amendment #4. How about Amendment #5?"

Peters: "I think we're taking one at a time, Ma'am."

Pullen: "That's not what the motion was."

Speaker Redmond: "It is now. Representative Oblinger, former Director of the Department of Aging."

Oblinger: "I object to this because of the fact that if you really wanted to know what was going on in this program, a breakfast was set up last Wednesday morning to which 18 Legislators were invited to go over this very purpose and this very Amendment and four of them responded. So I don't think they really wanted to know what it was all about. And I object to this being taken out. I was here .. back there in that nurse's room waiting to be called when it was time for the vote and they came and told me that we're 11 votes short. They didn't need mine. That's where I was."

Peters: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we'd better cease and desist from this sort of thing. Representative Peters has moved that the House recede from Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 1445. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'."



Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record.

On this question there's 112 'aye' and 22 'no'. The motion carries. And the House recedes from Amendment 4. Amendment #5. Representative Peters. Leave to use the same Roll Call? Representative Pullen objects.

Representative Peters, explain the Amendment."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, what Amendment #5 did was to add 57,982 dollars to the Unfair Employment Practices Commission for their expense and would have broken down the 31,600 dollars from GRF and 26,335 dollars from federal funds. The argument made, Representative McClain, before you ask, the argument made by the Senate is that these were jobs or positions that in our appropriation hearings last year, the Senate and the House agreed to delete. And that it would not be proper at this point to stick these jobs back in without a fuller hearing on the Commission in terms of what they intend to do and again, it would appear that it is not a dire emergency in terms of the Fair Employment Practices Commission and we could well wait till we do come back in January or February in order to resolve this matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen,"

Pullen: "What is the status of the other Amendments? Does this constitute final passage?"

Peters: "Yes."

Pullen: "Could someone please explain the Bill then?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "What this Bill is a... is a Bill originally sponsored in the House by Representative Kane which appropriated 50,000 dollars federal funds to the Board of Higher Education for some studies and programs. In the House after some conference with both the Senate, Republican and Democratic appropriations people,





and staff as well as the House appropriations staff and Chairmen on both sides.. In fact these Amendments are sponsored jointly by Representative Barnes, Matijevich, Wolf and myself for this agreement in terms of at least the content and the Amendments would have.. would add 50,000 dollars to the Department of Public Health and 100,000 in emergency services for the Nuclear Safety Project, part of which is mandated by the Federal Government, 725,000 in additional funds to Mental Health, federal funds, 12,000 dollars to the Department of Agriculture, and Amendment added by Representative Brummer of 50,00 dollars to the 'Murray Mental Health Center' for and my recollection is for fire deficiencies and repairs. All of those Amendments were approved by pretty hefty majorities if my recollection serves me as they were discussed."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Pullen. "

Pullen: "Would you please tell us what the 725,000 dollars in federal money is for for Mental Health?"

Peters: "Representative, if you would just hang on one second until we get the folder, I'd be very happy to answer that."

Pullen: "I'd rather not hang, but while you're looking for that Amendment..."

Peters: "Oh, I wouldn't let you hang too long. The Amendment in Mental Health for the funds that we're talking about would provide funding at 'Bowen' for a special education contract negotiated with the local school district. It would increase the capital funds needed to complete the laundry and consolidation at Jacksonville. There's an increase in the amounts for the line item in the Developmental Disability Special Residential Alternative grants and there is some funds.. the dollar.. the exact dollar amount I'm not aware of here, for the conversion of



East Moline to correctional facility and for the transfer of some patients to the facility in Galesburg."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Peters: "My pleasure, as always, Representative Pullen."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on Representative Peters' motion that the House recede... is that correct?... from Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1445. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye' and eight 'no'. And the House does by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, recede from Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1445.

Now, Representative Kane."

Kane: "I would like to express my appreciation to Representative Peters and the sterling work that he does for our side of the aisle. We would welcome him.."

Speaker Redmond: "That would give us 90. But now, what, Mr. Clerk? What do you have? Oh, Lord. Representative Taylor Pouncey, sitting in Representative Cullerton's seat. Representative Taylor Pouncey. Mr. Cullerton's seat."

Cullerton; ( Pouncey): "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to get out of the Black caucus. I appreciate it. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The Senate hasn't taken final action on 1436 so we'll have to wait for that one. That was my Bill that had 15 thousand dollars to pay the printing bill for the Senate. They haven't adopted the adjournment Resolution so we still have some time. Representative Kane? Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would it be in order to request a break until a specific time?"

Speaker Redmond: "Have we been in communication with the Senate? Do we have any idea what they're doing? That's been a tough one. They don't know... Dave Epstein went



over to find out. We'll tell you in a minute as soon as Dave gets back. I guess all they have is 1436."

Kane: "Right, but it seems like they're not doing anything with that and it seems futile for us to wait around until they decide what they're going to do when they don't have the votes to do anything. "

Speaker Redmond: "I can't say I disagree with you, but.. Wait till David gets back here in a couple of minutes and then we'll decide <sup>it</sup>. Well, I know it. I think we'd better stay. Representative Harris sitting in Representative Cullerton's seat."

Harris: "I want to hear Taylor Pouncey."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor Pouncey. You seek recognition?"

Pouncey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, you tell that little Irish Legislator to either stop mocking me or he's going to have at least Charlie.. are going to come to have that accent and then he's going to be angry."

Speaker Redmond: "It appears that we may not adjourn today so... In case you didn't know it, 1436 had an Amendment for the pay for the Legislators. And if the Senate doesn't pass it, why, we'll have payless pay days."

~~The Senate has sent an all points bulletin over here.~~

In case there are any Senators here, please go back where you belong. Roman Kosinski seconds that. Senate Republicans are in caucus. They say for half an hour. Do I believe it? Yeah. House will come to order. Representative Madigan."

~~Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,~~  
our posture at this time is that there are two Bills remaining, both in the Senate. The Senate is unable to reach an agreement on the Bill which contains the appropriation for the Cook County Hospital. And they have suggested that we ought to adjourn and convene



tomorrow at eleven a.m. It is the opinion of the Speaker that we ought to do the same and that we ought to notify our Members immediately to be here tomorrow at twelve noon and that for those that have left that their secretaries ought to telephone them immediately and advise them that we will be in Session tomorrow.

I believe the suggested time is twelve noon."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative... Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and I'm not really going to start getting like Jack Hill yet, but we've been standing around here waiting doing nothing. And just because a deal that carried just one too many deals has fallen through, here we sit. Here we sit because the Minority Leader wants to add some more on for his District and the Senate won't go along with it. And here we sit and here we sit and you know that if we come back here tomorrow, that anybody... all you have to do is mention Cook County Hospital and there won't be the Members here. So we're going to waste the taxpayers' money by coming back here tomorrow. Now it's about time we told the Senate to scratch. It's about time that we told the Senate we'll come back tomorrow. We'll come back in perfunctory. If they don't do their work that they can do just by being there and completing their work, we'll come back in perfunctory today.. tomorrow and we'll come back in perfunctory again next week and then if they really need us, come back here like civil people next Tuesday. Let's do it right for a change. Now, I think that every Member, practically every Member on the floor of this House, has told their families they're going to be home tonight, everyone of them. And I know I have. And I thought I did that in good conscience, that we will be home. And maybe I ought to get like Jack Hill because I do have a sick son at home .



As Jack Hill used to say so often that he's got a boy ten years old, his wife doesn't recognize him. But there are many of us here that ought to be home with our families and just because somebody screwed up royally we're sitting here for two hours doing nothing, absolutely nothing. And then we're going to come back tomorrow and do nothing but have the State Police go looking for Members to be here. That's ridiculous. If we're really responsible and want to do what's right for the taxpayers, we're going to adjourn until perfunctory Session and not come back unless we really have to and I hope we don't."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I really hate to defend myself, because I really don't think it's necessary,

but as usual, the former .. the former Speaker is totally uninformed and really doesn't know what he's talking about when he talks about a deal and I would ask that the Majority Leader and the Assistant Majority Leader to verify that. I happen to be in the District where there are two state hospitals that need more employees. It's been proven. It's shown. They had a very slight increase in their budget, less than one-hundredth of one percent and I've asked for more money for that District and that's as important to me and my people as Cook County is to you and your people. And all ... I have never made one deal on this at all, not one deal. I sat in the President's Senate's Office with Representative Lechowicz, Representative Madigan, and Senator Rock and never once made this part of any deal. And anybody that can say that I did, doesn't know what the hell they're talking about. Now, I think that if the Senate wants to do their job, then it's there. They can do it. If they don't want to, that's too bad. We either ought to adjourn till January the 9th, according



to the adjournment Resolution, or we ought to stay here and do...today...Why we should be willing to sit around and wait for the Senate to make a decision whether we come in Monday or Tuesday or Wednesday of next week, totally is unfair to this chamber and to the people that are here. And I think that we either ought to adjourn till January the 9th and let the Senate do whatever they're going to do and.. or we ought to stay here tomorrow and resolve the problem."

Speaker Redmond: "The problem is that the adjournment Resolution adjourning the General Assembly till January the 9th has not been adopted by the Senate, nor has it been adopted by the House, so there's no way that we can put this off till January the 9th. The best that we can do is to have perfunctory Session no more than three days up until then.."

Ryan: "But, Mr.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative.."

Ryan: "But, Mr. Speaker, that makes us sit here waiting for the Senate to decide what they're going to do, whether they're going to pass the Bill back over here to us or not. We acted responsibly today. We did what we had to do. We took care of the people's business and the Senate is over there making all kinds of accusations that are totally untrue. Why we should have to stand by wondering whether we're going to be in here next Tuesday or Wednesday is just not fair. "

Speaker Redmond: "Well, my point is there's no way we can adjourn till January the 9th. Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, how familiar this scene is. Every year the Senate does the same thing to the House. Every year the tail wags the dog. Let me tell you, you're absolutely right, Mr. Speaker. What we ought to do is go into perfunctory Session and the Constitution provides that they'll be back in three days; They



don't do anything. Let's take three more days perfunctory and keep on till the Senate finds out that we're as much a part of the Legislature as they are."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think that the Ladies and Gentlemen that have been here for two days in this House of Representatives ought to get some consideration by the Senate. In my short term here I find out that every time we come to the end of the Session, we go through the same procedure because of the stubborn, obstinate, condition of the Senate. And I'm sick and tired of it. I don't mind being down here to do the business of the state. We're spending the state's money; We're here to do the job of the state. And I think we ought to go home till January and stay there and to hell with the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I've had the opportunity to sit on the other side of the aisle with some of those prima donas. And I can tell you that the last two years, we've sat around and the Senate has made all... Well, okay, the rotunda... The Senate has made all the necessary and all of the significant decisions. I think it's time for us to allow the Senate to sit there tomorrow morning at eleven or twelve o'clock when they've decided to come back and let them decide what they're going to do and it's time for us to come back on Wednesday. Let them make up their mind. We'll come back on Wednesday after they've made up their mind. Let's go home to our families onight. Let the Senate play around tomorrow and then we'll come back, solve the problem."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, each side of the aisle has elected its Leadership. The Majority Party has elected you as Speaker. We



have elected Representative Ryan to lead us. I think it's to the Leadership to solve the problems <sup>tonight.</sup> And if we can't come back .. We have to come back tomorrow, we have to come back tomorrow. That's what we're elected here to do a job. Let's finish it up and come back tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let's forget this talk about coming back next week. As many people have said, we're down here to do a job. And I know the Senate probably feels the same way we do. They think we're the ones who are to blame. We think they're to blame. Nevertheless, we're down here to do the job. We've ~~get two Bills left for us to go home and come back next~~ week. I think is pure idiocy. Let's come back tomorrow whatever the time is necessary. Let's do the job and let's get it over with and then let's go home and come back January 9th I hope."

Speaker Redmond: "We have three visitors in the chamber, the Senate Minority Leader, Rep... Senator Shapiro, former House Member George Sandmeister, now a Senator. He's here and Senator Nimrod. Oh, Senator... former Senator Leonard. Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Mr. Speaker, I think the House belongs to everybody and I really don't think I offend the Leadership on either side of the aisle by making a substitute motion that the House do adjourn until a perfunctory Session on Monday after which there be a perfunctory Session on Tuesday, I believe and as long as each day thereto, ~~until it is determined that the House must come back~~ in full Session, according to the Constitution."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian says that three days is Sunday. "

Matijeich: "I mean perfunct tomorrow. I meant tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, and then perfunct till Monday."





Matijevich: "Monday, that's right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this sort of reminds me of the end of June when we got hung up on an omnibus appropriation Bill and we had all kinds of speeches from the Leadership of both sides of the aisle that we're never going to get omnibus appropriation Bills again and we had a Resolution introduced by Representative Lechowicz which said, this time and no longer. And here we are in November in exactly the same problem with an omnibus appropriation Bill that nobody can buy all the parts of it. And I think that the Leadership should realize that when we start holding Bills and by-passing Committee and suspending all of these rules that we're going to end up in these kinds of problems. And I think that the Leadership has got us here and the Leadership should get us out of here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sumner."

Sumner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know I haven't been here too long than a few short years, but this is nonsense. We're here now. Let's get the job done. We owe it to the citizens to finish what we came down here to do, not to stay on forever and continue to take money from their pockets in order to be here. Let's finish this job tonight or tomorrow and go home till January 9th. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, first a Parliamentary inquiry.

If the Senate does not get its compliment <sup>of</sup> Membership back here tomorrow, and the Senate does come to any conclusion tomorrow, I'm afraid we'll be sitting here, am I correct, waiting on the Senate? Would make more sense maybe, Mr. Speaker, if we do go onto perfunctory Session. All the Senate has to do is concur in those Amendments and it goes to the Governor. We don't even



have to come back here. In the event that they don't, I suppose, we could come back. But it just seems to futile to me, Mr. Speaker, to sit around here possibly tomorrow and still not get any action on the part of the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, there's a possibility of a Conference Committee. There's another possibility of this thing. Representative Alexander."

Alexander: "To the Speaker and to the House, I just want to make one statement. In the meanwhile, back in Chicago, Cook County Hospital suffers."

Speaker Redmond: "Well... Representative Ryan, you seek recognition again?"

~~Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I think one problem that we're overlooking~~  
is the fact that the people in the... the employees at Cook County Hospital haven't been paid. And that's probably one of the emergencies about this whole situation. I understood that had action been taken, they wanted the check drafted yet tonight and mailed up there. That's how desperate they are. And I think for us to go into perfunctory and go home and wait for the Senate to decide what they're going to do just isn't fair to those people. I think they ought to take their action and we ought to stay here until it's done."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, my judgment is exactly what Representative Ryan suggests. If this was only a fight between the House and the Senate, it would be pretty simple to go home. But in my younger years, I had friends who interned at County Hospital and I've been through that ~~institution. And these are the poorest of the poor and~~ the sickest people in the world. And I personally, would find very great difficulty in leaving here without giving it a full opportunity to be ... for the Senate to do what they're elected to do and I have to believe that they think they're doing the best that their constituents



sent them. So my best judgment is that we should come back tomorrow and then we'll see where we go from there. I would be very, very unhappy if I left here and didn't make whatever steps are necessary to see that we do what little we can for these people that are less fortunate than we are. So with that, that's the way I feel, Representative Matijevich has got a substitute motion. Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, I would move that Representative Matijevich's motion lie on the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, rather than that, I'm going to withdraw it.

I think I... I feel right about it, but I don't want to offend anybody at all on this very serious issue either.

I really think that it is a futile thing because I think that if the Senate doesn't do what they could have done today, both the Senate and the House are going to have a very difficult time getting the votes tomorrow. Then all of that pleading for Cook County Hospital isn't going to do you any good. And I voted for it.

And not only that, I understand that the Governor of the State of Illinois signed that one little penny of sales tax relief and is on a plane on the way to Florida.

I don't think he's very interested in Cook County Hospital either if that's true."

Speaker Redmond: "I think... Representative Braun."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to... Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to echo what Representative Alexander said and elaborate on it a little bit. You know, it's kind of a shame that we're always talking about the needs of the poor and the needy and the like when it's convenient. Cook County Hospital had an appropriation of 15 million dollars on House Bill... Senate Bill 2805 when it came over here and Representative



Ryan, Representative Taylor and others voted against that Amendment. That 15 million dollars went down the tubes in deference to this other Bill that's now hung up in the Senate. I think it is unfair to the Members, I think it is unfair to the people of Cook County Hospital, who are looking for checks, I think it is an abomination and a disgrace to this whole legislative process that we are being put through this. And then still with the fate of Cook County Hospital being held in the balance. . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "I think that we'd better move the question pretty soon. Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

~~we're starting to again debate the issue that we've~~  
discussed the last three and four weeks. We ought to decided if we're going to stay tonight and that's it. No other issue should be discussed. We're wasting time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. Representative Madigan's motion that the House adjourn till tomorrow at twelve o'clock. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. We stand adjourned till twelve o'clock tomorrow."



## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
NOVEMBER 08, 1979

PAGE 1

|         |             |      |     |
|---------|-------------|------|-----|
| HB-1179 | CONCURRENCE | PAGE | 33  |
| HB-2492 | CONCURRENCE | PAGE | 30  |
| HB-2789 | CONCURRENCE | PAGE | 4   |
| HB-2790 | CONCURRENCE | PAGE | 5   |
| HB-2794 | CONCURRENCE | PAGE | 15  |
| HB-2795 | CONCURRENCE | PAGE | 18  |
| HB-2797 | CONCURRENCE | PAGE | 29  |
| HB-2798 | CONCURRENCE | PAGE | 76  |
|         | CONCURRENCE | PAGE | 115 |
| HB-2805 | CONCURRENCE | PAGE | 78  |
| HB-2811 | CONCURRENCE | PAGE | 66  |
| HB-2834 | 1ST READING | PAGE | 112 |
| HB-2835 | 1ST READING | PAGE | 112 |
| HB-2836 | 1ST READING | PAGE | 112 |
| HB-2837 | 1ST READING | PAGE | 112 |
| HB-2838 | 1ST READING | PAGE | 113 |
| HB-2839 | 1ST READING | PAGE | 113 |
| HB-2840 | 1ST READING | PAGE | 113 |
| SB-1172 | NON-CONCUR  | PAGE | 117 |
| SB-1377 | NON-CONCUR  | PAGE | 92  |
|         | MOTIONS     | PAGE | 74  |
| SB-1436 | 3RD READING | PAGE | 55  |
| SB-1445 | NON-CONCUR  | PAGE | 117 |
| HR-0470 | 3RD READING | PAGE | 108 |
| HR-0544 | 3RD READING | PAGE | 107 |
| HR-0547 | 1ST READING | PAGE | 112 |
| HR-0549 | 3RD READING | PAGE | 112 |
| HR-0550 | 3RD READING | PAGE | 112 |
| HR-0551 | 1ST READING | PAGE | 112 |

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
SPECIAL SESSION # 3

PAGE 2

NOVEMBER 08, 1979

SJR-0003 3RD READING PAGE 114

## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
NOVEMBER 08, 1979

PAGE 3

## SUBJECT MATTER

|  |      |     |
|--|------|-----|
| SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER       | PAGE | 1   |
| REV. KRUEGER - PRAYER                  | PAGE | 1   |
| REP. YOURELL - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE    | PAGE | 1   |
| REGULAR SESSION RECESSED               | PAGE | 1   |
| 3RD SPECIAL SESSION TO ORDER           | PAGE | 1   |
| REVL KRUEGER - PRAYER                  | PAGE | 1   |
| PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE                   | PAGE | 1   |
| 3RD SPECIAL SESSION RECESSED           | PAGE | 2   |
| MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE               | PAGE | 2   |
| ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE               | PAGE | 3   |
| EXCUSED ABSENCES                       | PAGE | 3   |
| POLK MOVES SUSPEND RULE 68 (D)         | PAGE | 3   |
| INTRODUCTION OF GEORGE HALAS           | PAGE | 21  |
| MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE               | PAGE | 32  |
| MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE               | PAGE | 107 |
| AGREED RESOLUTIONS                     | PAGE | 111 |
| MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE               | PAGE | 113 |
| 3RD SPECIAL SESSION ADJOURNED SINE DIE | PAGE | 115 |
| HOUSE ADJOURNED                        | PAGE | 132 |