

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in 15 minutes. Attention Members of House of Representatives, the House will convene in 5 minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Bradley: "The House will come to order, Members please be in their seat. We'll be led in prayer by Clerk of the House."

Clerk O'Brien: "Let us pray. Lord bless this House and all those that serve and work here. Amen."

Speaker Bradley: "Gordy Ropp...Representative Ropp will lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance."

Ropp: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Bradley: "Roll Call for attendance. Only sw...only push your own switch. Mr. Getty, would you press...press Bradley's present button. Clerk will take the record. Mr. Borchers, what purpose do you rise?"

Borchers: "I believe from now on it might be a good idea for the Speaker to glance at the gallery to remind our guests that when the pledge of allegiance is given, they, too, should stand. No they didn't. I watched one that did not."

Speaker Bradley: "Maybe there was a protest of some nature that...Change of votes. The Clerk will read the request. Mr. Collins objects. All right. Mr. Collins. It will be journalized there were objections to the requests to change of votes. The Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Birchler, what purpose do you rise?"

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Personal privilege, really."

Speaker Bradley: "State your point, Sir."

Birchler: "Today is... today is an unusual day. It's a happening

that we've had each of the six years that I been here. Particularly today if we have Members that are not here in Springfield, not in there offices here in town, I would like to see that they do not get voted 'present' or voted on Bills. There's a group of us about 20 or 25 that come in here every morning for the prayer, the pledge of allegiance to the flag, and seldom do we miss. And then for somebody to drive home and not come back or set...be out of town. That's what I'm trying to say. I want them in Springfield, today, if we're counting them on the Roll Calls."

Speaker Bradley: "You're absolutely right. You're point's well taken. And the rules provide that you vote your own switch only, so we...we know we're well protected by that rule. I'm informed by the temporary parliamentarian that it's also in the statutes that you've got to be here. We'll notify the state police to be alert, guard all roads leaving the capital. This...Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "After that pay raise for the state police, I wouldn't worry too much about them."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "I well remember about 10, 11 years ago, and I would like to see it repeated, when four state policemen took one of the Members of the Legislature who was trying to get out at a critical moment. And took ahold...each one took a hold of an arm and each one and his legs, the four of them. They opened the door and threw him in. And, I would like to see that repeated. So let's make them come in. And notify the state police. That was an interesting sight. And he bounced."

Speaker Bradley: "Just who was it that you wanted thrown?"

Borchers: "I want to have any Member of the Legislature brought

in so they can't go clear to Pontiac and throw them in the front door. I think it'd be very interesting."

Speaker Bradley: "Buzz Yourell is here, so we won't throw him in. He's with us today. Let's...Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, when 1812 comes up again, I wonder if we could have the appropriate Tchaikovsky music to accompany (sic)."

Speaker Bradley: "We're arranging that now. We're in the process of... Did we take the record on the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk? Take the...all right. Let's... Agreed Resolutions. We ready? Mr. Matijevich on the Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 118, Lechowicz. House Resolution 957, Sam Wolf. 958, Harris. and 960, Mautino."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Joint Resolution 118, Lechowicz, resolves that the General Assembly memorialize the interla...the International Olympic Committee. 957, Sam Wolf, congratulates Donald McClean and his wife on their anniversary, I believe. House Resolution 958, Harris, urges the President of the United States to oppose some change in the Social Security system. And 950, Mautino, that commends the Illinois Task Force on Foreign Language and International study. Mo...move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the adoption of the...All in favor of the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions...."

Matijevich: "(Mumbling)..."

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I think you're premature. I'm just going over the Agreed Resolutions. They're not agreed, yet."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I don't know a thing..."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay, let's hold them then for a few minutes."

Collins: "I don't think there is any objection, but I just had somebody hand them to me."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, let's just hold them. Mr. Schraeder, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schraeder: "Well..."

Speaker Bradley: "When Mr. Conti gets here, Mr. Collins, we'll check with him and see."

Schraeder: "Mr. ...Mr. Speaker, I think it ought noted that the great Republican leader on the other side is in usual form."

Speaker Bradley: "The...Mr. Bullock, what purpose do you rise?"

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I have a Death Resolution that I submitted yesterday, and I..."

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, we're getting r...we're preparing to read it. Death Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 951, Dave Jones, et al. Respect memory of Mr. and Mrs. Willard Ice. House Resolution 959, Larry Bullock, et al, respect memory of Leslie T. Jones."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Resolutions signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Resolutions are adopted. Mr. Ropp has been on his feet for some time. What purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, I just have a point of information."

Speaker Bradley: "Our information?"

Ropp: "It might be considered your information."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, proceed."

Ropp: "It has to do with the landscape project that I reported on the other day out to the southwest, here, and checking the copper tubing that's being installed, there. Per outlet there are, in my opinion anyway, six more pieces of copper unions, short pieces are being installed for each outlet than are necessarily being needed to provide that sprinkling service with the necessary equipment. Six extra

pieces per outlet. I think that's a little bit extravagant, and certainly an attempt to spend taxpayer's money unnecessarily."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, we can go with the Agreed Resolutions with the exception of one. I... We removed that. All right. All in favor... Which..."

Clerk O'Brien: "958 was..."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, all in favor of the Agreed Resolutions with...that were read with the exception of 958 say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 958, Harris, et al."

Speaker Bradley: "Committee on Assignment. All right, on the calendar, on page 2. Well, rather than go through the whole calendar, is there anybody here that would like anything that they have called? Get the day started. Anything that's noncontroversial. All right, Mr. Matijevich, on House Bill 2411, a Conference Committee Report. 2410. I understand that the first Conference Committee was failed in the Senate to pass the Senate, so we ought to have... No? Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "No that's just something hanging over from last year, and maybe I should have tabled them, 2410, 2411, but I've just been holding them, so..."

Speaker Bradley: "Just leave them on the Calendar, then?"

Matijevich: "Yeah."

Speaker Bradley: "All right. Mr. Matijevich, the Clerk said that, you know, it failed in the Senate, we ought to take it off the calendar."

Matijevich: "All right, go ahead. That's all right with me."

Speaker Bradley: "2410 and 2411 or just 10?"

Matijevich: "2410 and 11."

Speaker Bradley: "2410 and 11 will be tabled. Thank you, Sir."

Matijevich: "Turn me off. Turn me..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Breslin, did you have anything on the calendar that you'd like to call or that would be noncontroversial this morning? You have any comments or anything that you'd like to say to the Body? Talk about your baby or something?"

Breslin: "Mr. ...Mr. Speaker, I wasn't here when Jack Hill was here, but I have to agree with him. I'd like to go home and see my baby."

Speaker Bradley: "We're going to go to work on that right now, and as I'm sure that she's probably home looking for you, too. All right, Mr. ...Mr. Woodyard. Mr. Woodyard, on 1636. Where did he go?"

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I wish to move for adoption of a Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1636, which is the annual appropriation for Department of Conservation."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon me, Mr. Woodyard, I didn't hear you."

Woodyard: "I wish to move for adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? All right, 1636, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Is that the one that we added about 95 jobs to here on the floor of the House?"

Woodyard: "Yes, and that was reduced or the appropriation which was Representative Vinson's appropriation...amendment, I'm, sorry, was completely taken out in Conference Committee. It was a million and a half dollars. It was re...and then it was replaced by 178,000."

Bowman: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, all in favor of the Gentleman's motion vote 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Yes, we'll need 107 votes. Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110...112 'ayes' and 4 'nays', and this Bill...or

this...House adopt...does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1636 with a 3/5's majority. Hereby declared passed. Yeah, Mr. Collins. Mr. Birchler, what purpose do you rise?"

Birchler: "I was trying to find that last Bill we voted on. I see it on there now. Okay."

Speaker Bradley: "On page 4, 1636. Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to ask for a verification on last Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "I..."

Collins: "You have not gone to the next order of business, and that's what the rules state."

Speaker Bradley: "Yeah, I...and we didn't take a official Roll Call here."

Collins: "You might explain to the body, that I'm not doing this to try to stop this."

Speaker Bradley: "I know. Mr. Collins feels that we need to make sure that we get all the bodies here and take a official Roll Call before we start moving the Bills."

Collins: "Yeah, take it out of record."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Matijevich. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, why don't we just take that Bill out of the record. I think we all know that if we went through a verification..."

Speaker Bradley: "I don't think there's...yeah...He was only doing it so that we could take it out of the record, and we'll bring it back. Mr. Collins, I guess the way we ought to do it, move to reconsider, and we'll reconsider it, and take it out of the record, it's back on the calendar. The record show that Mr. Reilly is in the chamber. The record show that Mr. Brummer is in the chamber. All right, now we're going to try and take a Attendance Roll Call, but we would wish that everybody just do their own switch, and

we'll get the Members...we'll leave it open, we'll get the Members coming in to do their switches. When we get up to 107, we can go to work, so... Attendance Roll Call, and only your own switch. Nobody else's. Who did you see pushing, Mr. Collins? We'll just leave it on until they come in. We'll get them on their. We'll go to work. Mr....Pardon, Mr. Ryan?"

Ryan: "What are you going to do?"

Speaker Bradley: "Going to leave the board open until we get 107 present on there. We don't want them pushing anybody else's but their own switch, and when we get 107, we can go to work."

Ryan: "That's wonderful. As long as we understand each other, we're all right."

Speaker Bradley: "Would you please put Mr. Vinson on the Roll Call. Press his yellow button. Mr. Piel. Mr. Pechous, Mr. Watson, would you please push your present buttons. We're trying to get 107 warm bodies. Mr. White, would you please press your present button. Get on the Roll. Mr. Friedrich, what purpose do you rise?"

D. P. Friedrich: "A parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, Sir."

D. P. Friedrich: "As I read the rules, at midnight last night in the absence of a motion having been made to suspend Rule 58e previously, I believe that all Bills...Conference Committee Reports and Bills are on the table. Am I right in assuming that, and it would take a motion to move them from the table before we act on them?"

Speaker Bradley: "I think the Speaker handled that last night in reply to your question, and suspended the rules for 24 hours, today, and..."

D. P. Friedrich: "I...I believe..."

Speaker Bradley: "You raised that question last night. And I

think he answered the question as I recall."

D. P. Friedrich: "I thought...I thought he ruled, that's why I'm asking, I thought he ruled that they were in fact on the table, and they had to be taken from the table."

Speaker Bradley: "I think you're...Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, that's exactly what he did because it really doesn't make any difference. It takes 107 to take from the table. It also takes 107 to pass the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, what Mr. Friedrich is saying that then we'd have to take every Bill from the table. I think we're quibbling over a few minutes. Mr. Friedrich is saying that should have been done at one minute til 12, and we did it...by the time we got the motion explained to everybody it was 10 after 12. But the Speaker took care of that ruling last night. If you want to bring that up to him when he's in the chair, we'll do that. Mr. Brummer, what purpose do you rise?"

Brummer: "I think the Speaker indicated last night that it would require removing from the table with regard to House Bills because the suspension of the rule occurred about 12:15 and at 12 o'clock, all the House Bills pursuant to the rule were tabled. And those Bills at 12 o'clock became tabled. At 12:15 we suspended the rule. Well, the Bills were on the table at that point. I think he indicated that we would simply include in the motion a motion to remove those from the table together with the required the 107 votes to pass them after midnight June 30."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, we'd have to...we'd have to take all the... Mr. Friedrich."

D. P. Friedrich: "I believe, Mr. Speaker, that also applies to Conference Committee Reports. I'm prepared and would be willing to make a mass motion to take those Bills...we want to enumerate them...and take them from the table. I have

no quarrel with it. I just want to be sure that we're doing the right thing. I think everything...all the Conference Committee Reports and all the House Bills are now on the table. And I have no objection to making a motion that they be taken from the table all in one group. I think we need to do that so that we're... I believe that's what the chair ruled, Mr. Speaker."

Mr. Speaker: "All right, if that'll satisfy everybody, let's take the Roll Call for attendance on this one so we have a quorum. Now, Mr. Friedrich, on his motion to suspend the rule on regarding House Bills, Conference Committee Re... Mr. Friedrich."

D. P. Friedrich: "My motion would be to take from the table those Bills on the calendar purs...in accordance with the rules that they are tabled."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, did I hear you say there was a quorum present?"

Speaker Bradley: "116."

Collins: "I would question the quorum."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Kane. Mr. Kane is here."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Kane is hardly a quorum, and my question of the quorum is in order."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay, that's...Gentleman questions the quorum. We'll have an Oral Verified Roll Call. Members will be in their seats, and press your present button when your name is called, and the clerk will call the roll. Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, just to wish everyone a Happy New Year."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, the clerk will call the roll. Yes, and push your yellow button when your name is called, only yours."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Ackerman. Ackerman, present.
Abramson, present. Ackerman, present. Alexander."

Speaker Bradley: "Absent."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson."

Speaker Bradley: "Present."

Clerk O'Brien: "Present. Balanoff. Balanoff, pass. Jane
Barnes, present. Beatty. Beatty."

Speaker Bradley: "Passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pass. Bell, present. Bianco, pass. Birchler."

Speaker Bradley: "Present."

Clerk O'Brien: "Present. Birkinbine, present. Bluthardt,
present. Borchers, present. Boucek, present. Bower,
present. Bowman, present."

Speaker Bradley: "Push Mr. Bon...Bowman's switch over there
please...this time."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bradley, present. Braun. Braun."

Speaker Bradley: "Push her present switch, please. Mrs..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Present."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Chapman, would you push Bradley's switch,
please. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Braun is present. Breslin, present. Brummer,
present. Bullock, present. Burnidge. Burnidge."

Speaker Bradley: "Push your switch, Mr. Burnidge."

Clerk O'Brien: "Present. Campbell, pass. Capparelli, present.
Capuzi, pass. Casey, present. Catania, pass. Chapman,
present. Christensen, pass. Collins, present. Conti."

Speaker Bradley: "Pete...Mr. Conti, present."

Clerk O'Brien: "Present. Cullerton, pass. Currie, present.
Daniels, pass. Darrow, pass. Davis. Jack Davis, present.
Dawson, present. Deuster, present. DiPrima, present.
Domico, pass. Donovan, present. Doyle, present. John
Dunn, pass. Ralph Dunn, pass. Dyer, present. Ebbesen,
present. Epton, pass. Ewell, pass. Ewing, pass. Farley,

pass. Fl...Farley, present. Flinn, present. Virginia Frederick, present. Dwight Friedrich, present. Gaines, pass. Garmisa, pass. Getty, present. Giorgi, present. Goodwin, pass. Greiman, present. Griesheimer, present. Grossi, present. Hallock, present. Hallstrom, present. Hanahan, pass. Hannig, present. Harris, pass. Henry, present. Hoffman, pass."

Speaker Bradley: "Domico, now present, Mr. Clerk. Domico is in his chair. And Mr. Capuzi is present. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hoxsey, present. Hudson, present. Huff, present. Huskey, present. Jaffe, pass. Johnson, present. Dave Jones, present. Emil Jones, pass. Kane, present. Karpiel, present. Katz. Kapiel, pass. Katz. Katz, pass. Keane. Keane, pass. Kelly, pass. Kent, present. Klosak, pass. Kornowicz, present. Kosinski, present. Krska, pass. Kucharski, pass. Kulas, present. Laurino, pass. Lechowicz, pass. Leinenweber, pass. Leon, present. Leverenz, present. Macdonald, present. Madigan, present. Mahar, present. Margalus, present. Marovitz, pass. Matijeovich, present. Matula, present. Marg..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. ...Mr. Goodwin, well, we'll come back and pick up the other ones. Finish the... just...just push your switch."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mautino, present. McAuliffe, pass. McBroom, pass. McClain, present. McCourt, pass. McGrew, pass. McMaster, present. McPike, present. Meyer, present. Molloy, pass. Mugalian, present. Mulcahey, present. Murphy, present. Neff, present. Oblinger, present. O'Brien, pass. Patrick, present. Pechous, present. Peters, pass. Piel, present. Pierce, pass. Polk, present. Pouncey, present."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Polk, push your present button."

Clerk O'Brien: "Preston, pass. Pullen, present. Rea, present.

Reed, present. Reilly, pass. Richmond, present. Rigney, present. Robbins, present. Ronan, pass. Ropp, present. Ryan, present. Sandquist, present. Satterthwaite, present. Schisler, present. Schlickman, pass. Schneider, present. Schoeberlein, present. Schraeder, present. Schuneman, present. Sharp, pass. Simms, present. Skinner, pass. Slape, pass. Stanley, pass. Stearney, pass. Steczo, pass. E. G. Steele, present. C. M. Stiehl, present. Stuffle, present. Sumner, present. Swanstrom, present. Taylor, present. Telcser, pass. Terzich, present. Totten, present. Tuerk, present. Van Duyne, present. Vinson, present. Vitek, pass. VonBoeckman, present. Walsh, pass. Watson, present. White, pass. Wikoff. White is present. Anybody push his button?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Rea, would you push Mr. White's button. He's in the chamber. Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wikoff, present. Willer, present."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Collins, Mr. Capparelli wants to be verified. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Williams, present. Williamson, present. Winchester."

Speaker Bradley: "Pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pass. J. J. Wolf, present. Sam Wolf, present. Woodyard, present. Younge, present. Yourell, pass. Oh, Yourell here? Yourell, present. Mr. Speaker, present."

Speaker Bradley: "Present. Mr. Campbell now wishes to be on the roll. Record him. And Mr. McCourt, Mr. Ewing. We don't want to go too fast here. I think...All right, Mr. Reilly, Ralph Dunn. Mr. Harris is on there. Mr. Goodwin. How many...? That's 128 present? Mr. Collins on the question of the quorum. Any questions? Okay, now, Mr. Woodyard on Senate Bill 1636. Let's try that one more time. Out of the record? Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, what

purpose do you rise?"

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have a Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1713 which I'm sure is not at all controversial. You want..."

Speaker Bradley: "Where is it on the calendar?"

Schraeder: "I haven't got a calendar, so I can't tell you. Page 4, they tell me."

Speaker Bradley: "Let the chair be corrected on that Roll Call. It was not a verified Roll Call, just a Oral Roll Call for Attendance, and those Members coming in can come down to well and get on the Roll Call. Mr. Ewing, you wish...Mr. Ew...Yeah, all right, Ewell is present. All right, now what was your request, Mr. Schraeder? On what page?"

Schraeder: "It's page 4, the 4th one down. Senate Bill 1713."

Speaker Bradley: "You wish to adopt the First Conference Committee Report?"

Schraeder: "Yes, Sir?"

Speaker Bradley: "All right."

Schraeder: "Well, this was amendment offered in the House by Representative Anderson. Representative Mautino also was interested in it. It's been modified a little bit in the Senate. It's got complete agreement from the Conference Committee, and apparently it's extremely noncontroversial, and I move 'do adopt' the Conference Committee Report on 1713."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, now before we do that, let's get back to Mr. Friedrich, and on your motion, and we'll take a Roll Call his.... State your motion, Sir."

D. P. Friedrich: "Well, let me make one specific motion. We're waiting on the tapes of what the Speaker decided last night. But to set the record clear on this one, I move that the House take from the table the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1713."

Speaker Bradley: "Would the parliamentarian please come out. I don't think we have to do that with Senate Bills, I was told."

D. P. Friedrich: "You have to do it on Conference Committee Reports, Mr. Speaker. The rules specifically says Conference Committee Report, and I just want to be sure Mr. Schraeder's Bill is correct. So I'm moving that we take it from the table pursuant to that rule."

Speaker Bradley: "I thought you were going to make a motion to take care of it."

D. P. Friedrich: "I...I have...Yes, Sir, but I have...I'm waiting on the tapes to see what the Speaker said last night, and we'll do it...if it's all right, we'll do it one at a time until that time comes. I'm going to support Mr. Schraeder's motion on this Conference Committee Report, but I want to be sure it's taken from the table."

Speaker Bradley: "The parliamentarian suggests that the Conference Committee Report on a Senate Bill was not tabled."

D. P. Friedrich: "It specifically says Conference Committee Reports, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "They can't be considered. It doesn't table them. And then we suspended that rule. It tables the House Bills, but not the reports nor the amendment."

D. P. Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'm reading from the book. It says that after June the 30th any year, the House may not take up or vote on...upon Conference Committee Reports. And this is a Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Bradley: "That's correct, and that was suspended."

D. P. Friedrich: "It was not suspended timely, though. That report was here prior to that time, and so it's tabled. I'm trying to be helpful, Mr. Speaker, not being in the way, and I think I'm correct."

Speaker Bradley: "The parliamentarian suggests you read the next sentence of the rule."

D. P. Friedrich: "The next sentence says 'or Senate amendments to House Bills or House amendments to Senate Bills with respect to which has as of that date passed, but which have not received final action'. So it goes back, it says that the House may not take up or vote on Conference Committee Reports which has as of that date passed both houses which have not received final action. This is a Conference Committee Report, and it is subject to the rule. I think that we'd be safer, Mr. Speaker, if we would take this Conference Committee Report from the table. I'm prepared later to..."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, Mr. ..., why don't you make a motion..."

D. P. Friedrich: "I move that the..."

Speaker Bradley: "...to take everything that was tabled by this rule..."

D. P. Friedrich: "No...No, Mr. Speaker, until we get the tape, I'm going to propose that we do it one at a time, and I'm moving now, Mr. Speaker, that the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 13 be taken from the table to be acted on by this House. 1713, I'm sorry. And I ask for a Ro...I ask for a vote on my motion."

Speaker Bradley: "I don't think that's...we're going to have to rule that out of order because I don't...we don't...in the opinion of the chair and the parliamentarian, we don't think it's on the table. Mr. Flinn, what purpose do you rise?"

Flinn: "Well, you've already made your ruling, but I think you're right. I think the only thing that can get on the Speaker's Table is something generated in this House alone. Conference Committee Reports are generated by both the

House and the Senate. Senate Bills are generated in the Senate. Senate Resolutions are generated in the Senate...Joint Resolutions, and they are not to be tabled as I read the rules, nothing except those things generated here alone. That was my interpretation of the Speaker's Table all the time."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Friedrich, I believe, is absolutely right, and what he's trying to do it very helpful to the Membership in that their Bills in Conference Committee Report should not be suspect. Now I draw you attention back to last June 30th when at midnight all the Bills were tabled...Conference Committee Reports and Bills were tabled in the House, and the parliamentarian, if he's listening, they were all tabled, and we had to release them in batches from the table last July 1st when the transportation issue was being argued so hotly. So, I think, Representative Friedrich is right on this point because the motion to suspend 68d and e last night was made at 12:15, and at one second after midnight all those Conference Committee Reports that were in control of the House and were filed were tabled at that point pursuant to that rule. So I think Representative Friedrich is trying to protect the Membership here to make certain that their Bills if there's any judicial inquiry or any inquiry later on about the...the legitimacy of the Bill that they'd better take them from the table first. And I think Representative Friedrich is doing the Membership a favor now. It may take a little more time to do it, but I think we ought to do them one at a time, and release them from the table as we go."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "You know, I think there's an easy way out of this

conundrum. Why don't you just request that Representative Friedrich phrase his motion to be that in the event that House Bill 1713 or Senate Bill 1713 was tabled by whatever rule it is that he moves to take it from the table."

Speaker Bradley: "It's still in the opinion of the chair it was not tabled. The last sentence 'such Bills shall not be again be taken up by the House and all such House Bills'. House Bills shall be tabled. That's the only thing that was tabled, the House Bills. Doesn't say anything about tabling a Conference Committee Report or a Senate or anything... House Bills were tabled, and we're not taking up a House Bill. It's a Sen...yeah, it's a Senate Bill. The rule was suspended for the Senate Bill and that was timely. The only ones that Mr. Friedrich should be concerned about were House Bills that were tabled, and that's according to the way I read the rule, the last sentence, 'such Bill shall not again be taken up by the House and all such House Bills shall be tabled'. This is not a House Bill, and the other rule was suspended to take care of these...these... We can't...we can't table the Senate Bill. The Senate has the Bill. All right, now, we've ruled, and Mr. Schraeder on Senate Bill 1713, was that, Mr. Schraeder?"

Schraeder: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Chairman, I move for its adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "1713?"

Schraeder: "17...Senate Bill 1713."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, moves the adoption of First Committee Report on Senate Bill 1713. All ...Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Now is this on the motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report?"

Speaker Bradley: "This is a motion to adopt the Senate...or the First Conference Committee Report. Yes, Sir."

Vinson: "Well, would the...would the Sponsor be kind enough to explain what's in the First Conference Committee Report? What the Bill deals with or something?"

Schraeder: "I yield to Representative Mautino."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Yes, who asked the question? Representative Vinson? Sam, by agreement with Jake Wolf, Don Anderson, myself and Representative Schraeder, we reduced the fine for dipnetting from \$500 which was extremely high down to \$100. That's totally what the...what the Conference Committee Report addresses. Just reducing that fine. \$500. We also would rule high."

Vinson: "What's dipnetting?"

Mautino: "Well, where I come from it's called yo-heaving. Dipnetting is the proper term, I guess. Basically, along...along the Illinois River and the backwater areas and along the canal areas, they use these large dipnets to seine out, if you can use that term, carp and...and trash fish out of the Illinois River."

Vinson: "And you've also reduced the fine for commercial fishermen who dipnet?"

Mautino: "No, I think that stays...that stays the same. All we did was change the fine for dipnetting within 100 foot of a dam if you'll look at the amendment. Everything else in the Bill stays the same."

Vinson: "No, they..."

Mautino: "The fine that we are addressing is the fine if a person is caught dipnetting within 100 feet of a dam. That was set in the original Bill at \$500, and the four of us agreed with the Senators that it should be reduced to \$100. That's the only thing that the amendment does."

Vinson: "Well, now wait a second, Mr. ...Representative, as I read this, you say that anybody can dipnet without fine as

long as they don't do it within 100 feet of the base of a dam, except for a commercial fishermen."

Mautino: "Yes, commercial fishermen has a license to do this. Ron Griesheimer was also a Sponsor of this amendment as well, but we did not address that Section of it. We just addressed the fine for dipnetting within 100 feet of a dam."

Vinson: "Yeah, but you're...you are authorizing dipnetting away from the dam?"

Mautino: "Yes."

Vinson: "As I...It's totally legal to dipnet if you're not within 100 feet of the dam? Is that true under present law?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Vinson, are you...are you concluded? All right, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address the...the amendment...Conference Committee Report based upon the questions of Representative Vinson. The Department of Conservation originally opposed any permission granted to general fishermen to dipnet in the State of Illinois. Representative Anderson introduced several amendments to other Bills trying to authorize this action. Representative Wolf and myself then worked with Representative Anderson, and we put together a Bill which we somewhat sold to the Department of Conservation on the theory that we would allow dipnetting in the State of Illinois by general fishermen so long as it was not within 100 feet of the base of the dam. And further providing that anyone that dipnetted and took in anything other than defined trash fish would loose their dipnetting equipment. It would be confiscated and sold by the state and that their would be a mandatory fine of \$500 that was not reduceable. When this went to the Conference Committee, they saw fit to reduce the fine to \$100, and evidently the

Department of Conservation agreed with this. But they also took out the language that this was a mandatory fine so that the way the Bill is written now with the suggestion of the Conference Committee the fine is imposed by the Court to be \$5 and the confiscation of equipment. So that...so far as I'm concerned it very definitely materially changes the Bill as it was originally conceived. And suggested Representative Anderson, by myself and Representative Wolf, and I don't think it's near as strict a Bill as it used to be. Knowing the courts of our state unquestionably if one of these people are picked up by a conservation officer and have been illegally dipnetting, they'll probably get a tap on the hand, a \$5 fine and \$10 court cost and that'll be it. And I think that's wrong. I think this is a sufficiently severe offense invading our sport fishing in the State of Illinois if it is done and if it is violated that a person should have a very substantial fine facing them, and because of that, I would not favor this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will, Mr. Schraeder."

Sandquist: "I don't know if this question's for Mr. Schraeder or for Mr. Mautino, but I'd like to know does this have anything to do with the fine for skinny dipping?"

Schraeder: "I defer him to Representative Mautino."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "I'd be happy to defer...defer that to Representative Anderson."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, in regard to this amendment, you know, we did work with the Department. I really didn't care if the fine went to \$1000, but the Department accepted

the language in this Bill, and they were the ones that re..., you know, that in...refused to allow our amendment in the first place and fought us on the floor...floor. Now this is a minimum fine of \$100 plus confiscation of your equipment, so it's not what the last speaker purported. So I urge the adoption of Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1713."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty, did you want to..."

Getty: "Yeah, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "Well, will it be Schraeder, Mautino, Anderson, ..."

Getty: "Well, maybe...maybe one of them could tell me was the...addressing the language in the Conference Committee Report appearing on line 21 and thereafter concerning dipnetting by a person holding only a valid sportfishing license. Did we defeat that important legislation before?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "You said we defeated it where?"

Getty: "Did we defeat that important legislation sometime before?"

Mautino: "That provision? Yes, I think it was."

Getty: "The Bill that originally provided for this was defeated, and you're trying to put it back into this Conference Committee?"

Mautino: "The way we drafted it it allows...it took out the provisions for making a person get a commercial fish license to dipnet. It's a different situation."

Getty: "Well, presently under the law can people holding only a sport fishing license dipnet."

Mautino: "Under our existing law, yes. This would allow a sport fisherman and not a commercial fisherman to do the same, but not within 100 feet of dam."

Getty: "Okay."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Schraeder, to close."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, apparently this non...noncontroversial Bill is got all the explanation needed, and I'm sure that there's 107 votes, and I move concurrence."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves that the House concur...or adopt the First Conference Committee Report on 1713. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, if I may. Representative Griesheimer came over to me very sh...a few minutes ago and said he misread the Conference Committee Report, and he was mistaken on the comments he made on the House floor. Now, I don't know what's going on at 10 after 10 or a quarter after 10 in the morning that we have a lot of yellow lights on this noncontroversial Bill. I think it's a little too early in the day to start holding Bills hostage or else we're going to be here probably until Friday. I...If someone has a problem with the Bill, that's one thing. If we're just going to play little games with minor Bills, I don't think it's the proper posture to take, and I would ask that we put 107 votes on this piece of legislation."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish?"

Schraeder: "Take it out of the record, Mr. ..."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, to explain his vote."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, take it out of the record, if you please."

Speaker Bradley: "Have leave to take it out of the record? Hearing no objection, we'll take it out of the record. On the calendar on page 2, appears Senate Bill 1662 under

nonconcurrency. Mr. Telcser. Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Out of the record."

Speaker Bradley: "Senate Bill 1662."

Telcser: "Out of the record, Mr. ..."

Speaker Bradley: "Out of the record? Message from the Governor."

Clerk O'Brien: "To the Honorable Members of the House of Representatives, 81st General Assembly, Pursuant to Article 4 Section 9d of the Illinois Constitution of 1970, I hereby veto and return the item inclusive in Section 2 page 14 line 18 through 22 from House Bill 3054 entitled 'An Act in relation to certain appropriations amending an Act herein named.'. This item would provide that the Department of Personnel funds to increase the state paid dependent health insurance contribution from \$7 per month per dependent plan to \$14 per month per dependent plan. If the state contribution were to be increased, only 1/3 of state employees would benefit while the premium rates of increase for dependents, the actual charge and cost to an employee as a percent of salary, has gone from 3% to 4% since fiscal year 1975. In addition, the state continues to be one of only 10 states which contributes 100% for insurance coverage of retirees. More than half the states do not make any contribution for retired employees insurance. Sufficient justification does not exist to support the proposed increase in the expenditure of state funds. For this reason, I respectfully withhold my approval of this item. Sincerely, James R. Thompson, Governor."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Pierce. On nonconcurrency on page 2 appears 1662. Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment to Senate Bill 1662, and ask for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "On that Gentleman's motion, all in favor say

'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it, the...1662, you're correct. All in favor say 'aye', and opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede, and we'll have a Conference Committee appointed. The TV light is on. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully request a recess for the purposes of a Democratic Conference which will be on the subject of workers' compensation. Probably won't be more than half hour, I would guess."

Speaker Bradley: "30 minutes? What room?"

Greiman: "30 minutes in 114."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I request a Republican Conference in Room 118 for one hour."

Speaker Bradley: "One hour? Back on the floor at 11:30? All right, we will...The House will stand in... Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, I just want to point out, Mr. Speaker, that it may be an hour or an hour and a half. I don't want to be tied to an hour if I need an hour and a half."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, let's shoot for 11:30, and we'll wait."

Ryan: "All right."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, House will stand in recess until 11:30, tentatively."

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members, please be in their seats. Pursuant to a Resolution that was adopted yesterday, I was authorized to appoint a Committee of 10 to go visit the sphinx in Egypt for the next couple of days. So I'll be making that appointment, and transportation'll be furnished. Skinner's going to be chairman of that. This is a traveling Committee. Out of sight, out of mind. Representative Dyer will you come up here and preside for a moment. Just a moment, now. I don't want you to reconsider your decision and... The order is Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 944. C. M. Stiehl, et al.
Whereas...whereas it is a rare pr...privilege for any person to encounter and serve with someone who is totally dedicated to the elevation and improvement of society, and Whereas it has been a unique honor for the Members of this chamber to serve the people of the State of Illinois in the company of Representative Goudyloch 'Giddy' Dyer, and Whereas for the past 12 years, Giddy was devoted herself to the state. Her major legislative accomplishments coming in the fields of conservation, health, education, local government and women's rights, in which area she has consistently been a dedicated champion, and Whereas Giddy was born Goudyloch Erwin of Davison, North Carolina, was educated as Agnes Scott College, Columbia University and the University of Chicago, Whereas her deep dedication to the rights of women, her belief in the value of quality education are fostered, and Whereas a conscientious public servant, Giddy was first woman elected to DuPage County Board where she served two...two terms. She also served three terms as a Republican Committeewoman in DuPage County and was the first president of the DuPage County Republican Workshop, is a charter member of the West Suburban Republican Women, and while serving as a members of the DuPage County Board was chairman of the Health Committee and Friends of the Forest Preserve before cumulating her ...culminating her public service by election to the Illinois House of Representatives where she thereafter convened the first Conference of Women Legislators, and Whereas her continuing example of selfishness (sic), service to society is exemplified in her civic work as a hos..hos...hos...hospital volunteer, scouting leader, Legislative chairman of the PTA and her memberships in the American Association of University Women, the League of

Women Voters, the Federation of Business and Professional Women and the Union Church, as Whereas in recognition of her outstanding achievements, Giddy has received numerous awards including the 1973 Woman of the Year Award by the Hillsdale chapter of the National Federation of Business and Professional Women, the 1978 Friend of Higher Education Award from the Illinois Federation of Private Colleges and Universities, and a \$25,000 endowment in her name by the American Association of University Women to the educational fellowship program, and Whereas Giddy Dyer has served and always will serve as an inspiration to all women and men dedicated to public service, and Whereas her retirement from the House of Representatives will enable Giddy to share more time with her husband, Robert, son, Rob, daughter, Win, and her five grandchildren, and well as her numerous public activities, Therefore Be It Resolved By the House of Representatives, the 81st General Assembly, the State of Illinois, that we commend and express our deep feelings of thanks to Representative Goudyloch 'Giddy' Dyer for her tireless and outstanding public service to the people of the State of Illinois, and be it further Resolved that it has been one of the great benefits of the legislative service that we have all been privileged to know and honor, to work with this warm, bright and gentle Lady, and be it further Resolved that a suitable copy of this preamble and Resolution be presented to Representative Giddy Dyer as our way of saying farewell and thank you to this inspiring leader whose irreproachable integrity and loyalty to high principles serve us as a reminder as...of inspiration to all people vested with public's trust."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stiehl. Representative Stiehl."

C. M. Stiehl: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker. It is a distinct pleasure to honor a fellow colleague who has served this

Body for almost 12 years. When she arrived in Springfield in 1969, she had the distinction of being only one of four women elected to the House the previous fall. And during these 12 years, Giddy Dyer has developed an unmistakable style marked by abundant energy, commitment to cause, courage of her conviction and an untiring interest in the needs of her constituents and of the residents of Illinois. And so it is with gratitude and admiration that I ask the Members to join in presenting this fine, intelligent Legislator, Representative Goudyloch 'Giddy' Dyer, with this Resolution commemorating her legislative accomplishments and her forthcoming retirement at the end of this year. Giddy, you will be missed by us, but we are grateful for having had the opportunity to know and to serve you...with you. Best wishes for continued happiness and success in this new chapter of your life."

Speaker Redmond: "Any...any further discussion? Questions on Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise for the privilege of expressing my personal admiration and respect for a great Lady and my good friend, Giddy Dyer. Her presence during the years she has served in this Body have brought a special dimension of dignity and prestige to the Illinois House of Representatives. She has worked tirelessly throughout her years in public office for an amazingly diverse number of issues. Spanning education, technical tax related legislation and Bills that offered other bodies of government, conservation and most especially Bills that have related to helping humanity in general. Her causes which she has championed so tirelessly have effectively improved the lives of children, women and families are not only now history but are legion in these changing times of social stress and uncertainty. She is a

woman of enormous intelligence and charm and a gentle, wise friend whose unparelled sense of humor has endured her not only to me but to countless other colleagues in this chamber over the years. Life changes and political scenes shift, but this Body has been a better place because Giddy Dyer has served here. Giddy, I can't imagine the Illinois House without you, but I know I speak for all of us when I wish both you and Bob great happiness in your well deserved retirement into private life where you can enjoy your children and grandchildren who mean so much to you. May God bless you with continuing good health and happiness."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the Lady's motion for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution's adopted, and I herewith present to Representative Dyer a copy of the Resolution."

Dyer: "Thank you. Thank you. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, before...before I ask Giddy to respond, I felt pretty strongly about the fact that she has served with us so long and so well, so I took it upon myself to obtain a little token that I have said presented to Representative Giddy Dyer upon her retirement from the Illinois House, June 1980, by Speaker William Redmond. And here you are Giddy."

Dyer: "That's marvelous."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Thank you, I want to point out to our side of the aisle that we all know what this means when the trunk is up, right? That I means... I regret to say that means a Republican majority next year. Now please don't...don't take your votes off that Roll Call on the Resolution. I just have one thing to say. This doesn't mean surrender. This means thank you very, very much. Today I found that I

am breaking a resolution that I made when I came into politics, and I came to DuPage County Board into the Legislature, that I would never, ever cry as a weapon for getting a Bill through. I would never use tears, so believe me this is the first time that that particular resolution has been broken. I am so deeply grateful. It has really been an exciting chapter in my life to be with all of you for 12 years. I really will remember each one of you whether we've been on the same side of the issues or whether we've been on different sides. I value your friendship. I hope I'll see you...I'll certainly see in the Veto Session. I hope I'll see you again as time goes on. We all have days of agony and ecstasy in this Body. Agony when our favorite project fails to go through. Then we have days of ecstasy like this when you remember what this process is really all about. It's about doing the best work we can for the people of Illinois and the friendships and associations we have here. Thank you very, very much. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "The one thing that Representative Dyer didn't tell us is...or didn't tell the people that are here who did not attend the Mr. Wonderful Contest is that she's going to write her memoirs, and those of us who either wish to be remembered or don't wish to be remembered should see her promptly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Ask the Speaker a question. Did you buy the elephant under an assumed name?"

Speaker Redmond: "No I bought that in 1962."

Borchers: "That's an old elephant."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it's been a long time since the Republicans had the majority."

Borchers: "Nice answer. We will m... we will correct that."

Speaker Redmond: "Reso...General Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 962. Flinn, et al."

Speaker Redmond: "Rules Committee. The order of concurrence on page 2. House Bill 891. Sandquist. Out of the record. House Bill 2905. Anderson and Oblinger. Out of the record. House Bill 3045. Oblinger. Out of the record. House Bill 3236. Garmisa. Out of the Record. House Bill 3236. Garmisa. Out of the Record. House Bill 3237. Garmisa. Out of the record. House Bill 3369. Sandquist and Marovitz. Out of the record. House Bill 3490. Garmisa. Out of the record. House Joint Resolution 59. Representative Kornowicz. Out of the Record. Conference Committee Reports. On page 3, Conference Committee with respect to House Bill 262. Representative Pierce. Representative Ropp and Kelly, would you...Kelly and Ropp, you're standing in front of Representative Pierce. It's against the rules."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 262 is the most meaningful property tax relief of this session. The Bill as it passed out of the House of Representatives contained a increase in the homestead exemption...the universal homestead exemption from 3,000 to \$5,000 per year effective with the 1980 assessment year. You'll recall that in January we came back in special session and raised it for 1979 from 1,500 to \$3,000. The Bill passed the Senate and was put in Conference Committee due to some uncertainty about a decision by Judge Murray in the circuit court of Cook County. An effort was then made by me to take another route toward tax relief, and that is to lower the assessment levels on real estate from 33% of fair market value to 30% of fair market value which would have amounted to a freeze in property tax assessments

for residential, industrial and commercial property and not a real lowering of them. However, there was somewhat...some uncertainty by the school districts...school district officials who are paid by the taxpayers generously at home came down here to lobby on the taxpayers money against the taxpayers getting and the homeowners getting a little bit of relief. The Senators were reluctant to go along. They're not inclined towards any real estate tax relief for commercial, industrial or residential. Somehow I managed to get six Conference Committee Members including all five House Members. The Republican Members, Representative Woodyard and Ewing as well as the three Democratic House Members plus Senator Maragos to sign a Conference Committee Report which increased the homestead exemption from 3 to \$4,000 effective with a 1980 assessments. Not to 5 because the Senators felt that might be too hard on some of the tax eating...some of the tax eating units. And this whole experience has inclined me to...towards Representative Skinner's point of view that the tax eaters will never voluntarily give the taxpayer a break. They will oppose anything no matter how reasonable including the lowering of the assessment level from 33 1/3 to 30%. However, I am satisfied that at this time and place with a 3/5 vote requirement, the best we can do, and it's a good step, is to adopt Conference Committee Report 1 and increase for the 1980 assessment year for the homeowner the universal homestead exemption from 3,000 to \$4,000. And I move, and all five House Members concur, I move that we adopt Conference Committee Report 1 to House Bill 262 for some meaningful relief for homeowners."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

D. P. Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, again trying to be helpful, I move that the House take this Bill from the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you consider making that motion to all House Bills?"

D. P. Friedrich: "I have...I have no objection. I move, Mr. Speaker, that all House Bills that were pending as of 11:59 on June the 30th be taken from the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion. You heard the Gentle...Representative Collins."

Collins: "Speaker, we would object to taking all House Bills...rather take them one at a time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

D. P. Friedrich: "In that case, I withdraw my motion and make the motion specifically with respect to this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, Representative Friedrich has moved that House Bill 262 be taken from the table. Those is favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 131 'aye' and 3 'no'. The motion carries and House Bill 262 has been taken from the table. Represen...Representative Birkinbine on the Conference Committee."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Birkinbine: "Dan, did we increase the homestead exemption last year? Wasn't there a Bill that did that?"

Pierce: "Yes...yes, as I said in my...in my address, in January of this year we came back and increased for the 79 assessment year the homestead exemption from 1500 to 3,000. That will appear on the bills that will soon be coming out in Cook County and have already come out in Lake County. We went right through that 3,000 like...like it wasn't even there in Lake County. My Bill's talking about the assessment year '80. The bills you'll get in '81 and that will increase the...from 3 to 4,000. It'll provide another 1,000, about 90 or \$100 of...of tax relief."

Birkinbine: "Second question. Isn't there a second part of this Conference Committee."

Pierce: "Yes, I neglected to point out...I neglected to point out that the Conference Committee for counties that estimate taxes and base them on 50% of the previous year's taxes. The first installment will be 55% of the previous year's taxes. That should work out to about 50 or even less than 50% of the current year's taxes cause taxes are always up about 5 or 10%. So by doing that, we're really making the two installments pretty much even. In the past the second installment has been much heavier because of the first in those counties that estimate based on 50% of the prior year's taxes, those counties that estimate, 50% of the prior year's taxes was always well under 50% of the current year's taxes, often only 40 or 45%. So by providing the first installment only in counties that...only in counties that estimate be 55%, we're getting the first installment up to about half the tax bill where it should be, and where it is in the other counties. And I'm glad you...since last night I forgot, and I'm glad you pointed that out."

Birkinbine: "Okay, well, thank you, if I could speak to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Birkinbine: "With your earlier comments with regard to what you referred to as the tax eaters, I know in talking with members of the school board in the village of Glencoe in my district the citizens in the village of Glencoe had voted to increase their own taxes last year for the benefit of their schools, and we in our wisdom by increasing the homestead exemption managed to wipe out the moneys that would have been going to their local schools. Money that they were more than willing to spend. I suggest that perhaps we're moving too fast by going lickity-split one

homestead exemption increase after another. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to ask the Sponsor one question. For the Members of the House, Representative Pierce, the 55% estimated tax payment we've been told would affect only Cook County. Is that correct?"

Pierce: "I understand that it will affect Will County as well, although there's some language in there about counties over 3 million, it's the next paragraph of the statute is self-executing and that's what Will County uses now. Lake did estimate until this year. Lake estimated last two or three years. I understand it will affect Will. So..."

Ewing: "So..."

Pierce: "That's what Will Rogers tell me."

Ewing: "So, we...It's possibly on Cook at the most Will and Cook?"

Pierce: "That's correct."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to address this Conference Committee Report. As a minority spokesman of revenue, I was able to serve on this Conference Committee. I did sign this report. And let's face it, it has \$1,000 increase in the homestead exemption, and many of you are going to go home, and you're going to face your taxpayers, and they're going to ask you what you did to help relieve the rise in property taxes which they're going to come out on their bills this year. This is the only ball game in town if you want to be for any relief. But I would also indicate to the Members of this House that the Republicans in the Conference Committee suggested that we put 1292 into this report and do some true limitations. Put the Governor's program into this report. Of course, this didn't get out of the Conference

Committee. So it's the only ball game in town. But remember, we'll be back in a few short weeks, a month, and we will come back with 1292. We will try to have some meaningful tax relief and some tax limitation. This is just another bandaid on the great big sore, property taxes. But it's the only thing in town."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a very tempting morsel for me personally to vote for because the way my personal real estate exemption's gone up, we need to bring the homestead exemption up to keep pace with...with that. But having said that, I would like to point out and emphasize for those counties who do estimate such as Will County, there's no question about this applies to Will County if you read very carefully, this is a provision that will...the morsel for the tax eaters. This will cause an increase in the first installment of the taxpayer...the real estate tax payers of those poor souls who live in counties that do pay on the estimated basis, and I...I've been told that DuPage County does, too, although I don't know for sure because I don't live there. The people don't want this. They have enough trouble in counties like Will when they get their first tax installment right after the first of the year I...about the same time the Christmas bills come. They're not going to like that it's gone up by 5%. I've found in the past that this...this type of approach which will take money out of the pockets of the taxpayers earlier cost the taxpayers money. The question is who ought to lose the interest of the use of the money for the period of time between the first installment of the estimate and the last installment of the estimate. The whole installment system is to get money into the pockets of the taxing bodies earlier, and

there's no reason in the world why we ought to push this up by an additional 5%. I'll tell you that it'll probably be another 10% next year and 15% the year after. And I'll tell you also when your county boards find out that they can get this extra money earlier, they're going to be enticed into estimating...adopting the estimated tax payment schedule. I wouldn't encourage them to do so. So reluctantly, because of the morsel that's being tossed to me personally and the other people...while we'd like to vote for this, I would urge people to vote 'no'. Get this odorous provision out of this fine Bill, and then bring it back, Representative, so that we can whole heartedly support it and send it to our Governor for his certain signature."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose the motion made by the distinguished Gentleman from Highland Park. But frankly, I rise not so much in opposition to the merits or demerits of what he's saying, but in an attempt to establish a procedure and a process by which we will expedite the deliberations that we have left. Now, Mr. Speaker, you know that in the conversations I've had with you on the appropriations measures, we have bent over backwards in every way, both sides of the aisle, frankly, in cooperation with Representative Chapman to try and expedite this and get out of here before June 30th. We thought we had that accomplished. I for one am very disappointed, extremely disappointed at all the work that we did just went down the tubes because of the personalities and piques of certain individuals that were involved. I would ask, Mr. Speaker, certainly with all respect to you and to what you've tried to do, but I would ask the Members on our side of the aisle to vote 'no' on

every concurrence motion that may be brought before this Assembly until the leadership sits down, House and Senate, and decides exactly what we're going to do and quit playing the games that some people on the other side of the rotunda are intending to do. We go through this, Mr. Speaker, year in and year out, and the House puts in its time, its blood, its sweat, and we're the ones who end up getting rapped by whether it's the media or the newspaper because we run every two years. And frankly, I am getting a little tired of that, and a little tired of that posture where we get our rears kicked because people are insulated on the other side of the aisle. I would renew my request, Mr. Speaker, not because of my disagreement with what Representative Pierce wants to say or not say, but I would ask everyone on this side of the aisle on every motion that's presented to vote 'no' until we reach agreement as to exactly what we're going to do in a responsible and reasonable manner."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, because I worked with Pete Peters, I agree with everything he says except one thing, and that's his suggestion. And I think I've got a better one. Vote out every Conference Committee Report and every concurrence that's on a House Bill. On a House Bill that we agree with and sit on your hands on a Senate Bill. You're absolutely correct, but I think from all that I've seen, the problem isn't with the leadership over here. The problem isn't with the House Members over here. It is with the Senate. And I had a little argument with one of the Senators over there yesterday, too. And I said the only thing we're going to do is hit you where it hurts. He happens to have a real interest in SIU, and I said I know what hurts you. Well, what hurts the Senators is Senate Bills. So if

you're going to do it, do it right, but let's sit tight on the Senate Bills. We can make them come down to their knees just like they've done it to us every session."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels. Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to remind Representative Matijevich, for each Senator he hurts when he hurts SIU, he hurts twice that many...three times that many Representatives. And although I think he meant to attack Senate Bills only, I think we'd better lay off of issues when we talk about that sort of thing. I don't argue with the fact that the Senate does us this way each year. I'm already accustomed to it. And I'm sure that each of those who are serving their first or second term will soon become accustomed to it. I don't know that there's a whole lot we can do about it and accomplish anything except stay around all during July and August and the rest of the year."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall the main question be put. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried. Representative Pierce to close."

Pierce: "Mr. ...Mr. Speaker, in answer to the Gentleman from Will, all we're trying to do is to make the first installment payment about half the tax bill, so they're not hit heavily on the second. If the counties feel that the installment method is a bad method, the county board can by mere resolution or ordinance go back to the old system. And maybe they should. All we're trying to do is make sure that the first bill is about half the bill. And that's what it will do. Our figures show by paying 55% in those counties that estimate...55% of the prior year's taxes you're...you're paying somewhere between 49.9 to 50.1% of

this year's taxes. Now, as to what the Gentleman from Cook who I respect so much said, I'm in a quandary here. When we pass billions and millions of dollars of money to be spent, no one raises these points, but we got one little Bill to help the homeowner...to help the sixty year old widow who doesn't qualify for senior citizens homestead, but only for the universal homestead, then all of a sudden these heavy political issues and bombs are thrown into the General Assembly. We spend billions for public aid, billions for education, hundreds of millions for universities that may or may not even exist as far as...as far as I know. And when it comes...when it comes to homeowners relief, we're in a big political issue, but because...because I don't want the Gentleman from Cook to have a bad record with his homeowners, and he's got a lot of homeowners, and because I don't want my Republican colleagues to have a poor record with their homeowners because they're my friends and they'll have trouble getting re-elected based on their records already this session. I would like to withdraw this from the record until such time as peace and harmony prevail between the House and the Senate and between Representative Chapman and the Republican members of the Appropriations Committee even though that may be Christmas Eve. So with that in mind, I'd ask to withdraw this from the record. It'd be unfair to the homeowners to call this Bill now and to give my Republican colleagues an even worse voting record if they voted 'no' than they have already for the six months of the session. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "What was your motion, Representative Pierce?"

Pierce: "To take it out of the record because there seems to be extraneous issues that are threatening this Bill which is needed by the homeowners for a little bit of tax relief."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Out of the record. 929. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "I think I have to move to take that off the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Somebody does."

Daniels: "I so move."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels moves that House Bill 929 be taken from the table. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Would the Sponsor yield, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels will."

Cullerton: "Could you just give me a little bit of an idea as to what the Bill does."

Daniels: "This Bill involves the salaries on the supervisors of assessments throughout the State of Illinois."

Cullerton: "Thank you."

Daniels: "You're welcome."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 'aye' and 24 'no'. The motion prevails. On the Bill then...I mean on the First Conference Committee Report."

Daniels: "I would..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative."

Daniels: "I don't know the appropriate motion. I want to reject that and go to a second."

Speaker Redmond: "The motion would be to adopt. What'd you say?"

Daniels: "I want to reject the First Conference Committee Report and go to a Second Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we're on the First Conference Committee Report, now. There shouldn't be a second in existence."

Daniels: "Well, we want a Second Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved that the House reject the First Conference Committee Report. Those in

favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion prevails, and the House rejects the First Conference Committee Report. House Bill 1009. Representative Schraeder for a motion."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like the record to show that Representative Stuffle is the chief cosponsor with me on this House Bill 1009. This is a First Conference Committee Report, and it was signed by all the members excepting one. It increases pension benefits for downstate and Chicago teachers and the state universities retirement systems. And it provides an increase in base pensions a dollar a month for each year of creditable service for those annuitants prior to January 1, 76. Provides maximum survivor benefit of 50...of \$500 per month to 600 for two or more survivors and 300 per month to 400 for single survivors. On January 1, 81, the award will be \$50. It's a two step provision on. And in January 1, 81, another 50 to reflect the increase. It provides for a minimum retirement from \$300 per month for 30 years service to 450 for 45 years of service, and it provides the...It will be a one...one time increase for all annuitants, and the survivors benefits are increased about \$100 per month, and it will be in the two step, \$50 at a step. And I would move for concurrence in House Conference Committee Report 1009."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I would make the same requ...remarks on this Bill, and it does not again go to the merits of it, that I made on the Bill offered by Representative Pierce. Whether I support those Bills later or not is not the question here. It's the question of getting ourselves in a position where we can end up dealing effectively with it. And I would ask Representataive Schraeder if you see so fit

to take this out of the record for now."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's quite obvious that there's a fight between certain leaders in the House and the Senate on both sides of the aisle. And I think it's a terrible thing that they're holding all these good pieces of legislation hostage. Spending all the state money unnecessarily. And I think..."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker."

Schraeder: "...I think it's an obvious..."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker."

Schraeder: "...move to make a power play, and I'd like to take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 1255. Representative Dunn. Out of the record. 3047. 3047. Out of the record. 3193. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, do I understand correctly that I must move to take this from the table?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Walsh: "I so move."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved to take House Bill 3193 from the table. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120 'aye' and 7 'no'. The motion prevails, and House Bill 3193 is taken from the table. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm not too sure what's going on here. I...I've been authorized to announce though to Representative Pierce that peace and harmony now reigns and it's just thanksgiving. What the Conference...and I ask, Mr. Speaker, that we consider revised Conference Committee Report for House Bill 3193. There was a technical error in the original...in the original Conference Committee Report. That has been

corrected, and so I ask unanimous consent that we consider the revised Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, under what rules do we go to a revised Conference Committee Report?"

Speaker Redmond: "Assistant Parliamentarian Walsh. Walsh."

Walsh: "If I may respond to that, this...it was suggested to us that since there was a printing error. They had omitted a line in the original Conference Committee Report. We discussed this with the parliamentarian, Mr. Epstein, and it was his suggestion that we print a revised First Conference Committee Report rather than go to a Second Conference Committee just because of that technical error. Now, he also said that it would be necessary for us if this was challenged to get 89 votes to consider that. If you object to it Representative Kane, why, I suppose..."

Kane: "Well, I guess I'm not objecting to this particular one, but I think that last night and during this whole season we've wound up with two and three revised Conference Committee Reports that have been substantially different from the original. And I think there have been times when we've had one report on our desks and we've been actually voting on another one. And nobody has been telling us that. And I think that if we're going to stop that, we ought to start upholding to the rules. And the rules require one Conference Committee, and if there's a Second Conference Committee Report that's a Second Conference Committee Report not a revised First Conference Committee Report."

Walsh: "I understand your objection, and I was inclined that way, except that mechanically this is a whole lot easier. And I can explain to you what the revision is and where the error lie. It was the omission of the second to last line of

the...of the law as it is now as to the ...the repealer date. That was omitted in the First Conference Committee Report. It was purely a mechanical error. And it seemed rather silly to go through all that trouble. I understand your point with respect to great variations between the original and revised. This one would seem to fit the situation where a revision would be suitable."

Kane: "I won't object."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, if we're going to adopt a posture, I can't differentiate between those Bills that I agree with, those Bills I disagree with and whether the Sponsor's Democrat or a Republican. I think a lot more's at stake here. And it's not a question of raising money...wasting money as raised by the Gentleman from Peoria. These red flags and these red herrings that constantly get thrown up in the House. Well, we end up banging the hell out of ourselves. Now, for once it would seem to me we ought to stand and know where we're going and assume a posture. The Democrat members of the Appropriations Committee and the Republican members are standing together on this. I don't think that it behooves any individual member to attempt to destroy the unity that we are trying to bring to the House in dealing with some of these problems. There is no public aid budget, Representative Pierce, and that's what we're worried about. And we're worried whether it's going to be a 5% or no percent. And that's what I'm concerned about. I'm concerned about whether there's going to be enough money for homemaker care for handicapped people which some people in the Senate don't want. I think those are important issues. Much more important than a technical change in an amendment or much more important than somebody's pension right or much more important than

anything else. And it's for those reasons I'm taking that posture that I'm taking. And I would ask those of you who've got any kind of conscience and concern for where this House stands to stand with me on this issue and vote 'no' on all of these."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I do not intend in view of the Gentleman's objection and some objection that I've heard on the other side to pursue this, except that I would appreciate it if we could have unanimous consent now for when this is taken up later at whatever the appropriate time is to consider the revised Conference Committee Report. May I have that?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection to that. Hearing no objection, Attendance Roll Call, which we're now taking...I guess we did take one. Did we take one?"

Walsh: "And now, Mr. Speaker, if you'd take it out of the record in that posture."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 3197. Representative DiPrima, for a motion. No, you'd better move that it be taken from the table, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Take it from the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima moves that 3197 be taken from the table. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 130 'aye' and 4 'no', and 3197's taken from the table. Now do you renew your recommendation that it be taken out of the...Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "I wonder if before he does that, could I...you know, we're going to get to that Bill later, but we got a lull. Can I ask you a couple of questions on it, Larry? No, come on, here, I'm reading the Bill, Larry, just answer this

question here. What hap...Larry, answer this one. Larry, what happens, I'm reading on page 2 of the Conference Committee Report..."

DiPrima: "Yeah, but listen..."

Matijevich: "What...wait...you listen..."

DiPrima: "Matijevich, I got a hot sandwich here, and that's more important."

Matijevich: "You know you're always telling us shut your mouth and listen, now you shut your mouth and listen."

DiPrima: "Shut your mouth and listen. It's in a state of status-quo until I get through with my sandwich."

Matijevich: "All right, you answer this question. What..."

DiPrima: "All right, I'll take you on."

Matijevich: "What happens when a certified Representative of the bargaining unit, even though the agreement is silent on the subject thereof, negotiates an agreement, and the director pursuant to Section 8, here, has promulgated rules they have relating to pay in the hours of work. Or put in other words, does the negotiated agreement, thereof, prevail over the promulgated rules, and if so, why?"

DiPrima: "That's an innocuous question. You want to bargain, go to Goldblatts."

Matijevich: "And my next question...my question, Larry, is why didn't Senator Merlo and John D'Arco sign your report. They're your countrymen."

DiPrima: "They're degenerates. That's why. Sniveling rats."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 3365. Representative Ted Meyers."

Matijevich: "Turn me off. Me off."

Speaker Redmond: "Ted Meyers."

Meyers: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make the appropriate motion to take the Conference Committee on 3365 from the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyers moves that the First Conference Committee Report with respect to House 3365 be

taken from the table. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'."

Meyers: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 126 'aye' and 3 'no'. The motion prevails. The Bill is taken from the table. Representative Meyers."

Meyers: "Mr. Speaker, please take this out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Representative Cullerton. Four o'clock yesterday afternoon having arrived...Representative Matijeovich on the floor?"

Matijeovich: "Will the...Will the House stand at attention. We're going to have a little... First of all, I think this is the hour that was 4 o'clock yesterday that never arrived, and I had all those questions of people, when is the John Cullerton Show going to come about. And I saw John yesterday, and he said 'You know, I don't feel up to it anyway. Maybe we shouldn't do it.' And I said 'Well, I've been in Conference Committee all day, and I haven't even thought of anything.' So he said you got to do something, and you know, Ellis Levin has been gone so long I can't even impersonate him anymore. And what...so I jotted some things down only because John said you gotta do something before he gets into it, and, of course, some of you know how Ellis used to go like this a little bit, and he used to hold the mike like this and do it. But what I thought I'd do, I'd just give a little...a few little lines from a favorite of mine...Oh, I know what Buzz is going to say. He did it last time, and he enjoyed it more than anybody. So, go ahead, Buzz, do your thing. And then I'll turn you on to Hanahan."

Yourell: "No, I don't want to do my thing, Mr. Speaker, but we're fighting a battle launched by the coalition for Political

Honesty to reduce the size of the House. And if you're sitting up there in the gallery as the public are today and as they have for many days and many weeks and can sometimes observe the antics of the Members of this House in the guise of levity when we've got so many important things to do, and we have to make fools and asses out of ourselves in front of the public, that's one good reason for the reduction of the size of the House. And I think we ought to really get on the...either adjourn this House and come back when we got something to do or...or...or..."

Matijevich: "Well, Buzz, all I can say, you've done it and now it's our turn. So I thought what I'll do...I'm going to call on John Cullerton, right off the bat. John Cullerton. John."

Cullerton: "Are we in recess?"

Matijevich: "Yes, we are, yeah."

Cullerton: "Well, unless we've recessed, I don't want to do anything."

Matijevich: "The House stands in recess. And we call on John Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Brother and Sisters of the House. I appreciate this chance to appear before you today. And I appreciate reigning as king as Mr. Wonderful for one year. And one thing about being king, when I come to Springfield, I come here to get that crown because, you know, a hundred and some years ago, my people, the Cullertons, have been in Chicago for a hundred years. And we try our best to remain faithful and loyal as long as we go anyplace. But when I was a little boy, we lived next to a Jewish family. And when the little Jewish kid would go to barmitzvah, I would go with him. So we had to take a choice of what we wanted to be in life. My father wanted to be...wanted me to be a lawyer, and I wanted to be a

comedian, and somebody wanted me to be something else. So I wound up being a politician here in Springfield. But as long as I've been Mr. Wonderful, there is something trinkling in my back, and that was one of the sons of Abraham that was always pushing me. So I'm going to let my friend Taylor Pouncey over there introduce the new Mr. Wonderful, the son of Abraham. Thank you."

Matijevich: "Mr. Wonderful."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Pouncey in the chair."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "All right, Ladies, I appreciate it. We're going to dedicate this little performance to Buzz Yourell. Hope you can hear us, Buzz. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you may recall last year when you elected me your Speaker, I passed my Bill on the last day of the session legalizing the policy. I have it that the Senate killed that Bill. As it turns out, we don't need to pass that Bill anymore because the Illinois State Lottery has already legalized the policy. And they call it the daily lottery now. And all the brothers on the south and west side have got their terminals, and they make a lot of money. However, I have noticed that you have not given us any money from the agricultural premium fund. And we can't make our promises to the Cook County Hospital unless we get that money. So I got a Bill here. This Bill's going build a race track right around Cook County Hospital, and we're going to leave the money right there. Now, I appreciate a favorable Roll Call. All in favor say 'aye', and if you say 'no', I'm going to sit on you. And now the chair's going to recognize the Gentleman from southern Illinois, Representative William Harris."

Cullerton (Harris): Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I got a good Bill here. And this Bill makes it illegal for any college man to run for public

office. Now, when I was running in my district, I went knocking on the front door, and the college man was knocking on the back door, and I don't think that's fair, Tiger. I checked this out with my good friend, Senator Gene John, who is my leader, and co-sponsored by Representative Winchester, Representative Rea, and my running mate, Representative C. L. McCormick."

Cullerton (Pouncey): All right Ladies, now I think it's time we ought to have a...hear from another brother. Chair's going to recognize Representative Larry Bullock."

Cullerton (Bullock): "Speaker, Mr. Speaker, the blind, the poor, the cripple. Mr. Speaker. The genesis of the 'cocamunantly' juxtaposition of the eternal mind says that the grist of the mill of the gods grind slowly. Now my good friend Dwight Friedrich, who I respect to the ultimate, has asked me to come down to southern Illinois and campaign for my running mate Frank Watson. I have a...this Urban Cowboy will not venture down that dark alleyway of southern Illinois for fear that my voice may fall upon ears that are not used to this bulge eye."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "All right, Brothers, I think he's doing a pretty good job. Now, I...now we're going to hear from a Lady Representative. Representative from Hyde Park. Representatative Barbara Currie."

Cullerton (Currie): "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. My name is Barbara Flaming Currie, and they don't call me Flaming for nothing, okay? When one comes from Hyde Park, one can just sense, as if if were, the tremendous obligations that one has living in a bi-racial society, and I certainly sense the problems of Black people and the poor Black people and the working poor, as we say these days, because it's so difficult for them to grow up living in this separate society. And I

certainly will do everything in my power as one must, as one really must, to help the poor Black people, which is not to say that I actually think they should be able...allowed to run in my district. This thing can go a little too far."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Lechowicz in the chair."

Cullerton (Lechowicz): "To all my partners in the gallery, welcome to Springfield. Hope you enjoy your visit. Birkinbine. How is the Gentleman recorded. Remove him. Ha Ha Ha. Marco, vote me 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Pouncey in the chair."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Thank you Ladies, you're always welcome Brother. Come on up here anytime you want. Now I'm going to call on my would be leader, that's Representative George Ryan. Brother Ryan, you want to say a few words?"

Cullerton (Ryan): "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Brother Pouncey. I don't know where the real Speaker is. I guess he's back there taking a nap or something. Mr. Pouncey...Pouncey you're doing a fine job. And if you would have voted for me, you would have been up there a lot more often. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm going to publicly announce here that I've decided I'm going to...well frankly, I'm going to announce that I'm going to endorse Alan Dixon for Senator. Might seem a little bit unusual since I'm Republican, but I told Dave O'Neal, I said, 'Dave, you can take over my pharmacy since I hope to have a new job.' And quite frankly I'm going to let Edgar kill all these damn Bills. Let Edgar kill them. I'm getting pretty tired of it. I'm also tired...quite frankly, I'm getting sick and tired of coming in third in my district to McBroom and Christensen. I think I've done it one too many times. I'm going to get a new job."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "All right, excuse me Brother,

Representative Getty, for what purpose do you rise?"

Cullerton (Getty): "Could you...could you take this out of the record for a few minutes. My legal counsel, Mark O'Brien, has a problem with it. We'll come right back to it."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "All right, Ladies, no problem... Now listen, I think we should recognize the Representative who used to be Representative. Now he's Senator, and since he's over here, I just wish you'd say hello to him, Representative Brother D'Arco. Brother D'Arco."

Cullerton (D'Arco): "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think this is a good Bill, and I appreciate you supporting this Bill. What does this Bill do? Well vote for my Bill. Knuppel, Knuppel, vote for my Bill, Knuppel. You ain't going to vote for my Bill. All right. You going to vote for my Bill. Okay, don't vote for my Bill. Don't vote for my Bill. It's a bad Bill. Okay, don't vote for it. Don't come to my Commission or my Committee anymore either. You going to be in trouble."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "All right, Brother, I think we've had enough of the Senators, don't you agree with me on that? Now we're going to call on Representative Cullerton. He's going to make some...he's going to make some announcements."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to use my own voice for this...these announcements. First of all, we have with us a man who is amongst us who was named the Freshman of the Year by the 'Illinois Political Reporter'. And they conduct a contest every year where only subscribers mail in their applications for their Freshman of the Year. And this year the winner is Representative John Hallock. Can we have a big hand for John Hallock. Freshman of the Year. Representative Hallock has asked me to announce that he has 1,000 extra subscriptions to the

'Illinois Political Reporter' if anyone is interested. Also, to clear up some questions that have been raised over the last year. I want to make this public...make this clear. Representative Quentin Goodwin is a member of the Black Caucus; I am not. Also, Representative Krska, our newest Member, has won the Don Udstuen look alike contest. I want to make that announcement as well."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "I thank you, Mr. Cullerton, our chair recognizes Representative Kornowicz."

Cullerton (Kornowicz): "Mr. Speaker, move to previous question."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "All right, Brother, we'll go right on to it. Now we're..the chair's going to recognize Representative from Hazelcrest, Representative Kelly, who's going to introduce a Bill."

Cullerton (Kelly): "Well, thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know. I think...I think it's a pretty good Bill. I think it's an idea whose time has come, you know. This Bill...this Bill defines as obscenity the showing of, well, you know, like unnatural sex. And I think it's a good Bill. And if you want to open your digest, you'll find it on page 602, and House Bill 23, and well, I...this Bill basically bans unnatural sex, and I appreciate your vote."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Excuse me, Representative Chapman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Cullerton (Chapman): "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will...will the Sponsor yield?"

Cullerton (Kelly): "Well, I don't know there, Representative Chapman. What'd you have in mind, there? Sounds pretty unnatural to me, you know, I..."

Cullerton (Chapman): "Well, Representative Kelly, just what would you define as unnatural sex?"

Cullerton (Kelly): "Well, I don't know. I'd say it'd be anybody

who's, you know, too old or, I mean, anybody's who too heavy or, you know maybe a combination or...I don't know, Representative. Maybe if you and I got together, that'd be pretty unnatural, I guess, you know."

Cullerton (Chapman): "Well, Representative Kelly, I think you have most honorably proven my point."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Now the chair's going to recognize Representative Ronan, and before any of you get worried, don't worry because we're going to put the timer on. And we're also going to put the censor on."

Cullerton (Ronan): "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. My attitude is I'm in favor of the ERA if these beep-beep broads work a beep-beep precinct and then they buy their beep-beep tickets, then they get equal rights from me."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Ladies and Gentlemen, now I will come to the highlight of this performance because right now I'm going to introduce to you my own personal leader for the first time in Springfield, the Mayor of the City of Chicago, Mayor Jane Byrnes."

Cullerton (Byrnes): "What do you people think I'm crazy?"

Cullerton (Collins): "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, here, Mr. Speaker, vote to override the rule, Mr. Speaker. Are you going to recognize me, Mr. Speaker?"

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Oh, yeah, Brother Collins, I recognize you. What are you doing over there?"

Cullerton (Collins): "Mr. Speaker, you gonna let me speak?"

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Sure, Brother, go right ahead."

Cullerton (Collins): "Mr. Speaker, it says right here in Rule 34d that I can talk till I'm blue in the face."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Brother, I think you're a little beyond that point, Brother. You look like the red lobster down there. Now it's time to recognize Sister Alexander. I

want recognize Sister Ethel Alexander."

Cullerton (Alexander): "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To please you all, I have not given very many speeches. This entire year went by that I've been down here is because I have something caught in my throat, and it's hard to talk that way."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "All right, excuse me, Sister, I appreciate it. The Chair's going to recognize Representative Madigan."

Cullerton (Madigan): "Pounce, how are you? Talk to you later. Real good."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Okay, Brother, anytime you want to lead them. Now, the chair's going to recognize from way down south, Representative Clyde Robbins."

Cullerton (Robbins): "Well, uh, thank you, Mr. ...well, Brother Taylor, thank you, Pouncey or whatever your name is. Now, I am in favor of this Bill, and it's a good Bill. And I think it would help my district. And this particular Bill would abolish Chicago. And I think we need this for southern Illinois. Chicago has been getting too much, and it's a good Bill. I took a poll in my district, and they said that this...they all were in favor of this Bill. And I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call, especially after I have supported you on all of your Bills. But one thing, explain to me, if you could, why I always end my sentences with a prepositions under it. Now, I...I...I've got a sentence that ends with four of them. And I want to read it to you. What did you bring that book I want to be read to from up for."

Cullerton (Pullen): "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Representative Pullen, what is the problem, Sister?"

Cullerton (Pullen): "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, somebody voted my switch for the appropriation Bill, Mr. Speaker, and I want to know who did it."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Don't worry about it, Sister, you can always change your vote. Now we're going to be very honored. You're going to be very happy, Representative Yourell, to know that this is the last speaker we're going to have. This is...We're very happy to have with us the Secretary of State and the next Senator from the State of Illinois, Alan Dixon. Alan, Brother Alan, come on up here and say a few words."

Cullerton (Dixon): "Six years ago, as I walked the length and the breadth of this great state, I asked you to make me your Treasurer, and I made two pledges. Hi Alan, how are you? I pledged to you that I would start purchasing Wendy franchises, and I made pledge No. 2. I pledged to you that if you made me your State Treasurer, I would resign that position and turn it over to a Republican. And by God, I did it. Four years ago, as I walked the length and the breadth of this great state. I asked you to make me your Secretary of State. At that time I made two pledges. I pledged to you that I would open up my own travel agency and give the state a good ride. And No. 2, I pledged to you that if you made me your Secretary of State, I would quit that job and turn that over to a Republican and run for that again right now. And now I come before you as I walk the length and the breadth of this great state, and I make two pledges to you. First, I pledge to you that I will sell all my franchises of Wendy's and my travel agencies. I also pledge that if you make your State Senator, I will quit that job and turn it over to a Republican one more time and move to Pago-Pago with Bill Scott. Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen."

Matijevich: "That...that was Mr. Wonderful. You know, when he left here, he said, 'That damn Yourell, he messed it up.' You know, but you think he messed it up for you, I was going to do a few things, but you know me around here from Yourell I get no respect, I get no respect from Yourell. In fact, my kid drives me nuts. My kid doesn't give me respect either. You know, for three years he's been going to a private school, and he didn't tell me where it is. That's the kind of respect I get. Even as a child, I used to...I used to sit in the swing. I'd sit in the swing. I'd sit there for two hours before somebody would push me. That's the kind of respect I get. And once when I had...when I was a kid, I had...I had a fever. And I'll never forget that. My old man he never gave me respect either. I had the thermometer in my mouth, and my old man said to me...he said, 'All right, son, bite real hard.' Larry liked that one. And I remember they asked me, 'What do you want to be when you grow up...grow up? What do you want to be?' And I remember I...my little boy, when we...they...when I asked that to him he looked at me and then he looked at my wife, and he said, 'When I grow up, I want to be single.' Even my dog...my dog drives me crazy. Last night for an hour and a half he wouldn't let go of a bone. It was in my finger. And my wife's always got a short answer for me. For example, some of you don't know when I left here Saturday night like some of you did, and I came back Sunday. When I came home Saturday night, I thought everybody would be so happy. And I walked in the front door, and I said, 'I'm home, I'm home, I'm home.' And my wife said, 'quit repeating yourself.' Even on my wedding night...night, I got no respect. No respect from my wife. My wife told me on my wedding night, 'We've been seeing too much of each other.' And my uncle, he was so

lazy...my uncle was so lazy he married a pregnant girl. Tim Simms liked that one. But my wife is always trying to get rid of me. The other day she told me to put out the garbage, and I told her, 'I did put out the garbage'. She said 'Go out and look at it for a while.' And I went to a travel agency with my wife. She asked the travel agent for some tips on a pleasure cruise. Then he looked at me. He said, 'Go by yourself.' That's the kind of respect I get. And last night I had a ter...terrible argument with my wife...wife. And words passed between us. She tossed a dictionary at me. And I have a psychiatrist. He doesn't give me no respect, either. I told him my wife and I are slowly drifting apart. And he showed me how to speed it up. But my wife has taught me one thing. There's always one side to a story. That's...that's all I could do in a hurry. And John Cullerton, we always respect and enjoy this, and we knew that there wasn't going to be any business done til the Senate start moving, so I always feel, although some will criticize, that when you do some serious business if you have no levity, I don't think in the first place you're humor, and second place I think some of the most serious business is done by people who have a good sense of humor. And I think that that's the way most of us feel. That's the Cullerton Show tonight...today. Tim Johnson up here."

Johnson: "Yeah, I have no humor to add except to say that with all due respect to Representative Yourell. When we're in here for 16 days out of 17 and some days all night and the last day 20 hours and when we have to wait on things anyway, I think that anybody who would be critical of this Body for simply...simply, as you say, trying to add a little levity and good humor and fellowship to this Body really...really is the kind of person who really doesn't

understand what it's all about. And so, to Representative Cullerton, Representative Matijevich, as one Member who has no talent, I sure appreciate...sure appreciate your willingness to add to the enjoyment of being an Illinois Legislator."

Matijevich: "Thank you. I don't have any...I don't have any, either. But John Cullerton sure does. And all I can say is we're all human, and I'll tell you this is the best body of people that anyone could serve with. And God Bless all of you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing, do you want to take your file out and look at House Resolution 94? Representative George Ray Hudson, please don't bother Representative Ewing. House Resolution 94, Mr. Ewing? Representative Ewing, parliamentarian advises me that you have to move for immediate consideration of House Resolution 94 if that's your pleasure."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would so move."

Speaker Redmond: "943, I guess. I wrote over the..."

Ewing: "943."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion to move for immediate consideration of House Resolution 943. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Uh? Ewing. Page 4. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Takes 107 votes. Take the record. On this question there's 110 'aye' and no 'nay'. The motion carries. Representative Ewing on House Resolution 943."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, last year we created by Resolution of this House a special House Highway Sign Committee. This Committee was composed of Members of both sides of the aisle. And I served as chairman of it since it was my Resolution. The idea of this Committee was to study the highway signing program in

this state to see how it's being implemented and how it is affected by the Federal Highway Beautification Act of 1965. This came about because of legislation which has on some occasions in the last several years passed this General Assembly or this House which would have repealed our local Highway Sign Act which is in compliance with the Federal Highway Beautification Act and legislation which I introduced last year to allow what I think is a fair process for advertising signs along our highways in the State of Illinois. We passed our Highway Beautification Act in 1971. In that Act, each Department of Transportation district was given the responsibility for taking down the highway signs that were in non-compliance. Some districts moved with a lot of speed and effectiveness, other districts did not. In some districts today new signs are being allowed that are illegal under the Illinois Act. In other districts, no signs are being allowed. The exemptions in the law are slanted particularly against rural areas. And areas where the highway now goes out around the former communities. Currently state signs have not been deemed adequate by many businesses in our communities and by the traveling public. I think all the surveys have shown that the public would prefer to have more adequate signings. There's been severe economic loss in many places because of the lack of signs where the highway has skirted the area. There seems to be an overwhelming desire that something be done. The Committee has made two recommendations. First, they recommend that the Department of Transportation start a pilot program for the logo signs. And these are signs that are uniform in nature. They're put up by the Department of Transportation but with local payments by those businesses who are advertising on those signs. And these would be uniform

throughout the State of Illinois. Many other states are involved in this program and have found it to be the best alternative to complying with the Federal Sign Program and yet giving the traveling public and the business community the adequate information we need along our highway. The second part of this Resolution supports modification of the federal program. Currently, the Federal Highway Signing Program is under modification, and there is a trend to return to a more free enterprise system of advertising along our highways, not an open highway sign program like we had before, but one with rules and regulations, but handled not by the state but by free enterprise. We support that. We think it's the best way to go in the State of Illinois. I would hope that this Body would accept our report and approve it with the Resolution which has been submitted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well, I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Greiman: "If we don't pass this, do you think that they'll...travelers will bypass Illinois cause of our bad business climate?"

Ewing: "I don't think that has anything to do with it."

Greiman: "Oh, well, will they...well, they won't roll up the roads or anything if we don't pass this because of a bad climate for business?"

Ewing: "I don't even think that's a serious question, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Oh, well, okay, then, on a more serious vein then perhaps. I think this is...we use a lot of 'buzz' words in the General Assembly. We say 'foot in the door'. This is a 'foot in the door'. And that's a bad thing. I think

this is a 'foot in the door'. This is the beginning of new sign programs. You know, we'll have...yesterday, we had a quiz that the Speaker passed out that had all the trademarks, and we'll see all those trademarks along our signs...along our highways. There's no question. This is a 'foot in the door'. Now, I don't know what roads you travel. I travel 55 or 57 back to my home from here. I don't have any trouble. I know that there's a hotel, a motel in Pontiac that you can get food in Lincoln, that you can get all kinds of things along the road. I...I'm not confused ever when I drive in highways. I've driven in southern Illinois and I've never had to camp out, unless I wanted to, because of a lack of signing. I'm not confused at all about where any of the facilities are. I find bathrooms with relative ease all over the State of Illinois without having to have signs on our highways...more signs on our highways. I think this is a 'foot in the door'. I think we're going to find ourselves with all the great logos...the advertising logos within a couple of years. And I haven't the faintest idea why we should possibly reduce our...our stringent, and they stringent, apparently, rules with respect to highway signing. I think we should adequately and thoroughly police them. I see lot of illegal signs. If you look at the rules and the regulations of the Transportation Department, you're not supposed to have sporting events or things like that, but they have them. I think they should carefully police them, but I sure as heck don't think we ought to increase them. And I'm going to vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I want to commend Representative Ewing for introducing this Resolution, and also to say to Representative Greiman that not only do we

want to take the first step in, but I think it's good to put both feet in the door. Last year our family had the opportunity to travel to the east, I mean to the eastern part of the United States. And on numerous occasions, we commented what a pleasure it was to be looking for a particular kind of a restaurant or a particular kind of a motel and have that so clearly displayed so that we didn't make the wrong turn off interstate highways. Particularly where you have young kids, and they want to go in for a hamburger or something and it gives that indication. You can save a lot of time and and lot of effort. And I think this was good that we had uniform...uniform kinds of recognition for places to go. As I understand the Resolution, it indicates that local business will substantiate some of the cost if not all. And certainly it will not be causing the state a sizeable increase in money and will provide a tremendous service to those people who are traveling through our great State of Illinois. I urge a favorable support of this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a Member of this Committee that made this study, I rise in support of the...of the Resolution. And ask that you give it very serious consideration as we did for many, many hours and did a great deal of research and survey. As one who has been in this business for many years and was put out of business as a result of this action, I feel like I'm rather close to the...to the subject. However, I did not object to the...to the thrust of the Highway Beautification Act when it was originally started. And I thought that if my district...Highway District No. 9 asked us to remove our signs, and they paid us very fair amount for the removal, that they were doing it throughout the

state, I find that that this isn't necessarily so. I find that other states have lagged considerably behind Illinois in many respects. I find that they have instituted this logo program which apparently is working very well. All we're asking...or suggesting here is that we start a pilot program and this was worked out with the Department of Transportation after quite a bit of time spent in discussing what the effects would be and what the cost would be. I think a pilot program is the logical thing to do. And if it works out, then we can make a determination as to how much further we want to go. I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First, I want to say that we're not talking about billboards. We're talking about uniform sides...signs that will be designed by the Department of Transportation, will be set up and installed by the Department of Transportation, and will be sold to various business along the...the interstate highways and our state routes. Back in the early 1970's when we passed the Illinois Beautification Act which was kind of forced down our throats by the federal government as a blackmail or a hostage type situation in order to get some 30 or 40 million dollars from the federal government. And as Representative Ewing said the different districts in the Department of Transportation, you know, some enforced it and some did not. In the areas where they enforced it, business suffered tremendously along our state highways. This is not something new that we've been working with. We've been working with this problem for several years, now. We think we have found a reasonable solution without having to go back to the billboard type situation that we

had in the past. Again, it's uniformity, and the signs, it will be monitored and regulated by the Department of Transportation. And I...I think, along with most of the Committee Members and the businesses and the Department of Transportation, that it would be a definite step forward. And I would ask you to support this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, well, from a business angle, I feel this is not a bad idea. I hadn't thought of it before. But I think the state would come out with some money ahead. We have some signs on some land of ours that large signs, and they bring around \$750 a year just for one sign, or something like that. I really don't remember, but it's around there. Now, I do a lot of traveling, and I find that there's never enough information as to exactly the kind of things I would like to do and to see and to stop and look at. They're always short of knowledge in relation to the various strange areas that I go into. Now, I do travel certain roads. For example, from here to Decatur. I don't need any advice there. I know what the facilities are, where to go and so on. But when you get into a strange area, you have an entire diffe...different sit...situation confronting you. You have no knowledge of the area, and these signs are very, very important to increase and let you know where you want to go, what you want to see, and how to do it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. First of all, I want to point out that this is a solution that we have proposed because the signs that were the big billboards were unsightly and objectionable to many of the environmentalists. These signs will be placed in strategic spots and chosen by the Department of Transportation so

this won't happen. Did you know that our visiting public can travel clear through the State of Illinois and never stop. We must in some way encourage them stop and eat at our restaurants, to buy our gasoline and to visit the tourism shops that are in this state. There are beautiful places, and we are trying to give them a way that they can find where they are and still do it attractively on our interstate highways. I urge you to support this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Ewing to close."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I...This Resolution is not binding. It does set a policy, though. I hope it is a 'foot in the door' to an improvement in the highway signing in the State of Illinois. I hope, too, it is a partial solution to the Bills which are introduced every year, every session of this General Assembly to wipe us out of the Federal Highway Beautification Act which could cost us millions in federal highway money. And hopefully this is a solution that will solve that dilemma and will also help the traveling public and the businessmen of our state. And I would urge an 'aye' vote on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentlemen's motion for the adoption of House Resolution 943. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Simple majority. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Representative Ebbesen, 'aye'. Ewing, simple majority. On this question there's 105 'aye' and 18 'no'. The motion carries. The Resolution's adopted. Senate Joint Resolution 123. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move to suspend the provisions of Rule 41(a) for the immediate consideration of Senate Joint Resolution 123."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objections. Hearing none, Attendance Roll Call will be used in support of the motion. On the Senate Joint Resolution 123, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Joint Resolution 123 creates a Joint Legislative Committee on the census. The purpose is so that Illinois can designate territorial groupings in the metropolitan areas of the state for special census reports. This must be submitted to the census bureau by approximately October 1st, 1980. The powers and duties created hereunder will direct Illinois' participation and version to the Bureau of the Census, alternatives approach to blocked areas, advise the Census Bureau of Illinois needs and desires with respect to the census data, plan and direct staff efforts in preparation for legislative and congressional redistricting, provide interim report to the 81st General Assembly, submit a final report to the 82nd General Assembly, elect from among...or among its membership two co-chairmen who shall not be the same political party and elect such other officers as are deemed appropriate. I would move for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 123."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question, please?"

Getty: "I will."

Leinenweber: "Representative Getty, this is to be a Commission composed of legislative members did you say?"

Getty: "That's correct."

Leinenweber: "Just legislative members?"

Getty: "That's correct."

Leinenweber: "And specifically, what is the purpose?"

Getty: "As I indicated before, the purpose is to create a Joint Legislative Committee on the census so that Illinois can

designate territorial groupings in the metropolitan areas of the state for a special census report. This must be submitted to the census bureau by October 1st."

Leinenweber: "All right, in other words, this is basically for reapportionment."

Getty: "That's..well, it's...it's to have the census data available so that it can be reported back eventually to the next...to this General Assembly and the next General Assembly."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Getty: "It's the map work."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, will the Gentleman yield for a question? I'm a member of the Legislative Information System, and I'd like to clarify the relationship of this Committee to Legislative Information System."

Getty: "There is none."

Bowman: "We...Beg pardon."

Getty: "There is none."

Bowman: "Well, let me ask what the...this Commission's role will then be in terms of making use of the census tapes for purpose of analyzing alternative maps which might be prepared for the reapportionment."

Getty: "That's possible, but not contemplated."

Bowman: "It is possible, but not contemplated."

Getty: "That's right."

Bowman: "Well...is it to have any function other than simply receiving the data from the census bureau and, I think you said something about reporting back to them."

Getty: "To do preparatory map work so that when we get the data, we can align it with the districts."

Bowman: "Okay, so the...the....why can that...why is that function best done by a separate comm...commission rather

than the Legislative Information System. That sounds like a purely technical kind of operation that could very easily be done by LIS."

Getty: "No, it has to be done this summer."

Bowman: "It has to be done this summer?"

Getty: "That's correct."

Bowman: "Well, LIS is a continuing commission."

Getty: "It's not a computer function. It's a map function."

Bowman: "I understand it's a map function. I'm saying why can't LIS do it. Why does it...because LIS is bi-partisan and bi-cameral and has no other...no public members on it. It seems to me that we're creating a duplicate structure here. And I'm just curious as to why the necessity for it."

Getty: "The leadership does not want to, and I do not think it appropriate that we involve LIS in anything that even sounds in politics or reapportionment."

Bowman: "Well, but if this is only a purely technical thing, I think...What I'm...I'm sorry...Representative Getty, what I'm trying to get at, my first set of questions had to do with how this particular commission or committee would become involved in analyzing alternative maps that the General Assembly might be considering during the reapportionment question. And...and your answer at that time indicated to me that they really wouldn't be getting involved in it. It would be purely a technical kind of thing that they would be doing. Well, if that's the case, then why not let LIS do it because there is no policy implication then."

Getty: "They're not map people."

Bowman: "They're not mapping?"

Getty: "They're not map people. They don't work with maps."

Bowman: "Okay, well, okay, but this..."

Getty: "And in addition to that,..."

Bowman: "Okay."

Getty: "We have staff that is available here. Our legislative staff that is available this summer to do this work."

Bowman: "Okay, now...now, I think we're getting somewhere because I was about to say that the members of the commission that you're suggesting are not map people, either. I mean, they're just Representatives like you and me and like the members of the LIS. So the idea is that..."

Getty: "They would have a bi-partisan group that would direct the work."

Bowman: "To direct the work of a specific group of staff. That is the real purpose of this."

Getty: "That's correct. That's correct."

Bowman: "Is see. Okay. Okay, thank you, that answers all my questions."

Getty: "Very good."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the Gentleman's motion. His motion is to create an equal Commission. Equal in terms of partisan membership, equal in terms of the two chambers to do a balanced honest job that has to be done this summer. There's an emergency nature to the Gentleman's request. And I would urge that everybody cast an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 123. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 126 'aye' and one 'no'. The motion carried, and the Senate Joint Resolution 123 is adopted. Is Representative Peters on the floor? If you wish. Senate Joint Resolution 124. Representative

20th."

Getty: "I would presume that that is correct."

Pullen: "When did it become known that this kind of Resolution would be necessary?"

Getty: "When Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3433 was concurred in by this House and went to the Governor. My recollection is, and I could be wrong, but that was last Saturday."

Pullen: "When was the application filed with the Department of Transportation?"

Getty: "I don't know that there is such an application filed. I have no specific information on that."

Pullen: "Excuse me, I do see in your Resolution that the application was filed May 29, 1979."

Getty: "All right, very good."

Pullen: "And I think it's rather strange that it's taken 13 months to present this on the...hopefully the last day of the session. Can you please tell us who the members are of the ad-hoc Committee for the expansion and enhancement of lake front facilities that we are granting this privilege to."

Getty: "I don't know."

Pullen: "Do you know the names of the directors of the Illinois Not For Profit Corporation?"

Getty: "No."

Pullen: "Could I ask that this be taken out of the record until the Sponsor can give us that information? I think that it's...that this House really ought to have that kind of information before we grant such an extensive privilege to a group that is unknown to us."

Getty: "Be happy to do that for you."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. On the Supplemental Calendar #1 under the order of motions appears a motion

with respect to Senate Joint Resolution 101. Representative Totten is recognized."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Pursuant to Rule 41(a), I move to take from the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration Senate Joint Resolution 101."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 107 votes on this one."

Totten: "Give me 'aye'. Give me 'aye'..."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Oh, okay, no, I'll wait...I'll wait. I didn't know this was a motion to take from the table. I'll ask my questions when we call the motion of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "I wonder if the Gentleman could indicate the topic matter of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Sure, I'd be glad to. The Auditor General has noticed as have some of the others that we have some of these what we call auxiliary enterprises existing in public universities which are intended to be self sufficient. What has...what has happened in some of these cases is that the revenue generated, say for example from a cafeteria, has produced sufficient revenues to pay the employees involved. In some cases there are surpluses being accumulated spent in other ways, and yet the state is appropriating money in some cases for pension systems and so on. And what the Resolution does...some of the universities are starting to phase this stuff out now. And what we're asking them to do is to phase it out to comply

with the Act and get these auxiliary enterprises doing what they were intended to do."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, please."

Speaker Redmond: "On the motion for immediate consideration?"

Pullen: "Yeah."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we can take this record on this now. There's 111 'aye' and 7 'no', and the motion carries. Now we'll go to the consideration of the Resolution. Now Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Would you please tell us who the Senate Sponsor of this Resolution is?"

Totten: "I believe it's Senator Regner."

Pullen: "Did you say Senator Regner?"

Totten: "Right."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would rise in support of Senate Joint Resolution 101. At the present time there is an audit subcommittee inves...looking into the practice in higher education of auxiliary enterprises, and this Resolution is pretty much in line with the thinking of the subcommittee and the Auditor General's office. And I would ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question's on...Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would rise to oppose the Resolution. One effect of the Resolution given the past history of this issue might well be to imperil the validity of the revenue bonds that have been issued to finance some of the auxiliary enterprises which require that this money be treated in specific ways. And I believe that it would

not only be unconstitutional. It'd be highly improper and a mistake for us to interfere with higher education to the point of...to the point and with the private market place to the point where we imperil the revenue bonds which have financed these enterprises. We may involve ourselves in something so complex that will in fact cost the State of Illinois an enormously increased amount of money because we have imperiled those revenue bonds. And so I would urge a 'no' vote on the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

D. P. Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I am serving on this subcommittee of the Legislative Audit Commission. Representative Keane is the chairman. And we had these schools before our Commission this last week, and there is no...the question was not even raised as to invalidating the bond and the matter of paying them off. In fact, I think we are in the direction of resolving these things, and even the Governor has now informed the regents that he is in favor of the position taken by our subcommittee and the Auditor General. So what we're trying to do is getting...get back to the appropriation process in general for all agencies and all state officers. And I think this...this is a need...needed step to accomplish that. It will not keep them from running a cafeteria and paying for the cafeteria out of it, and so on. It just keeps them from playing games with money that comes along. And say, well, the end justifies the means. We'll do what we want to with it. It makes some degree of accountability to these institutions which are a part of the state government."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members, I think Representative Vinson is absolutely right that we should oppose this

particular Resolution. It goes beyond the issue of who pays for what here as he indicated. It goes to the entire question of our ability to continue to operate the facilities that are involved with these particular employees. Most of these facilities aren't making it...aren't making it now in the black, haven't in the past, and probably won't in the future because of the type of service that they provide. I think that in fact that that reasoning there could be used to kill this particular Resolution. The problem is that that reasoning has been used to attempt to pass it. These are state employees anyway you cut it. They should be treated the same as others. We should continue to fund the operations we're dealing with here...the cost we're dealing with here in the same manner as we have in the past or imperil the activities as Representative Vinson indicated that have long been a part of the facilities that these particular employees work for. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn."

John Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, does this item have to be on the calendar for one hour before we can consider it?"

Totten: "No. It's a Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't recall that the rule provides. Says before Conference Committee Reports and amendments can be taken up, that's in Rule 68. I don't think there's any provision in there for any specific period of time on a motion. Anything further? Representative Satterthwaite. McClain."

Satterthwaite: "Will the...will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Sharp, will you please sit down. Representative Satterthwaite. Which Sponsor's going to respond."

Satterthwaite: "Representative Totten."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Satterthwaite: "If...if this Resolution passes, would it be the intent of the Audit Commission or whoever wants this change to take place that buildings like the student union for instance would have to be charged for heat and utilities that might be provided to it now as part of a campus life system?"

Totten: "Well, let me yield the answer to that question to Representative Keane."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative To...Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In the answer to that question and the clarification of a point that was made earlier, what has happening now with revenue bond income is that in many cases the revenue bond income is in excess of what...it has to be in excess of what is needed for the debt service. Not only is it in excess of that plus other factors and other expenses within that individual bond unit, it also in some...in many cases has a surplus, and the surplus is being used by institutions in other ways. And what we are...what we want is to use the surplus revenue generated by a bonded indebted...indebtedness vehicle to first pay off the heat, light, utility cost and also the...some of the costs that are now being paid for employees' benefits. What many of the institutions do at the present time is take appropriated dollars and pay off different things. It varies within the institution. Some institutions have all utilities and all of the...a number of the workers' benefits paid directly and immediately out of appropriated dollars. Later in the year, that...let's say that dormitory or the lunch room generates a profit. The profit is then turned into some other use by the university with...in what we...what the Auditor General's

point is is that we are misusing the appropriation process. In other words, they have money that has not gone through the appropriation process. The moneys that...the excess funds that are used...generated by a bonded indebtedness vehicle should be used to reduce the amount of appropriated money being paid now for utilities and for benefits. There is no intention of hurting the universities. It's just that we don't want them coming up with windfalls."

Satterthwaite: "Do I understand then that the answer to my question is 'yes'. That if this Resolution is passed and implemented that it would be the intent of the Legislative Auditing Commission that the universities break out such things as utility costs and have them prorated in some way for the...the student facilities."

Keane: "Sure. That's correct."

Satterthwaite: "Even...even though it...we're part of an overall system where it might not be easy to tell how much of a particular utility cost could be related to an individual building."

Keane: "In almost all instances that is not a problem. Usually, except for book stores or lunch rooms that are located in classroom buildings, they're almost all in dorm buildings. And usually the whole complex or the building was put together through a bonded instrument...bonding instrument. And the revenue..."

Satterthwaite: "The bonds...the bond, as I see it, however, doesn't do anything to separate the cost of a utility for one particular building from the cost of a utility service to another building when they may be interconnected on the campus."

Keane: "That...that is the matter that...from our understanding has very little difficulty. For instance, at the present time the universities use charge back to account, and they

actually charge back for a room, a room within a building that a...that a alumni association or foundation must...might use. So there really isn't that much difficulty in...in...in identifying the cost that should be put under the bonded indebted instrument."

Satterthwaite: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if I may speak to the Resolution, then. I don't disagree that some allocations should be made in terms of cost to the university system for certain services that they're providing to student buildings. But I do feel that if it is really the intent of the Sponsors of this Resolution to talk in terms of utilizing money paid back that should be earmarked for paying off bonds or earmarked for other purposes, I'm not sure that the Resolution as it's drafted really does that. And my concern is that in many of the universities where it is not really feasible to give an exact dollar cost on many of these particular functions that what we would be doing is setting up some kind of an artificial accounting system that might or might not accurately...accurately reflect what the actual cost was. I think if it is the desire of the Audit Commission simply to track those dollars and get them in the appropriation process that some other mechanism might be available that would speak more directly to that point. But I don't really think that the Resolution as it's currently drafted either would hold any actual weight in law or in fact do what the Sponsor seemed to want to do."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Keane, to close, or Totten, which one? Keane, to close."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, there already has been some informal agreement with the universities with, I'm sorry, with the Board of Higher Education to attempt to phase these costs out. I don't think the Audit Commission or the

Senate Joint Resolution 124. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, at the request of Representative Pullen, we took Senate Joint Resolution 124 out of the record. She has...had raised a question which at this time, I would answer. The persons who are the members of the Ad-hoc Committee for the Expansion and Enhancement of Lake Front Facilities are Harry Weiss, Justin D. Goldman, David W. Howell, Alan R. Johnston, Chester Cutner, Jerry Larson, Gene T. McCarthy, Sidney Robbins, Sommer Solid, Blair Vetter, Philip A. Watson, Lynn Williams and Joseph S. Wright, Sr. The Ad-hoc Committee for the Expansion and Enhancement of Lake Front Facilities is not a Not-For-Profit Illinois Corporation. The Not-For-Profit Illinois Corporation, having the same general membership, is Navy-Pier Marina, Inc., who is its successor, Justin D. Goldman, David W. Howell, Alan R. Johnston, Sidney Robbins, Philip A. Watson, Harry M. Weiss, Lynn A. Williams and Joseph S. Wright are all directors of that corporation which is the non...Not-For-Profit Corporation which is the successor to the Ad-hoc Committee for the Expansion and Enhancement of Lake Front Facilities. We have filed an amendment pursuant to that which has been distributed which clearly will amend the Resolution to provide the Ad-hoc Committee for the Expansion and Enhance of Lake Front Facilities or its successor, and I would at this time move for amen....the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Joint Resolution 124."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Getty has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Joint Resolution 124. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'm wondering, Mr. Sponsor, why you're using the language 'or its successor' when the Ad-hoc Committee...when the so called Ad-hoc Committee and its

Not-For-Profit Corporation papers is really called the Navy Pier Marina, Inc., a Not-For-Profit Corporation. Why are you saying 'or its successor agency' or whatever instead of saying 'also known as'?"

Getty: "Because the application as I explained was filed in the name of the Ad-hoc Committee. The same...very same people as I have read into the record are the directors of the successor Not-For-Profit Corporation who were members of the Ad-hoc Committee. So it is the successor. In point of time the application was filed and the application was in the name of the Ad-hoc Committee. The...However, it is my understanding that the Not-For-Profit Corporation as successor to the Ad-hoc Committee would handle the administration of this. In either case since the application was in the name of the Ad-hoc Committee, that will make it clear that either the Ad-hoc Committee or its successor which in our record here we have indicated is the Not-For-Profit Corporation known as Navy Pier Marina, Inc., is clearly in our record as its successor."

Pullen: "So the successor that would be referenced in this amendment is the Navy Pier Marina, Inc., and is only that."

Getty: "That's correct."

Pullen: "No future successor to that corporation."

Getty: "Not known to me nor do I contemplate it, and the...what I have read into the record indicates that the same directors of the corporation are the people who were the members of the Ad-hoc Committee. It seems to be a natural succession."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the amendment. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it the motion carried. The amendment's adopted. Representative Getty."

Getty: "And now, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think we have probably amply discussed this. Again, for those who might have been off the floor before. This will implement Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3433 which allows the Department of Transportation to issue a permit for the construction of the marina north of Navy Pier in Chicago. It is required that the authorization of the General Assembly, the approval of the Governor, and the approval of the Pollution Control Board be obtained. This would be the step authorizing action by the General Assembly, and I would move for the adoption of the Joint...Senate Joint Resolution which yesterday passed the Senate by a vote of 53 to nothing."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 124. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "How many votes does this take to pass?"

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Abramson: "How many votes does this require?"

Speaker Redmond: "Where's the parliamentarian? 89 is my offhand impression, but wait til I get him out here. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, very briefly to explain my 'aye' vote. This is not a situation where it's going to affect the tax revenue within the State of Illinois. It's an item that's been discussed quite amply. For a good number of years we've been providing additional boat spaces for both the residents of the city of Chicago and the suburban area. It would...It's private enterprise at its best. All it's asking for is the authorization of the General Assembly to look into the matter to come up with private financing and a...and the facility after 10 years will be turned over to the...either

to the city of Chicago or to the park district. I can't see how anyone could be opposed to the concept especially if it provides recreational facilities that are needed within the city of Chicago and for the suburban areas as well without any cost to the taxpayer, be strictly financed by the user and through the private enterprise system. Deserves your 'aye' vote. I would hope you would consider it and vote accordingly. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "In answer to Representative Abramson's inquiry, a simple majority. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 107 'aye' and 17 'no'. The motion prevails. Senate Joint Resolution 124 as amended is adopted. On the supplemental calendar #1, appears on Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 3482. Is Representative Peters on the floor? Are we unfreezed? There's a freeze on that one. Representative Terzich. Terzich. When do you want it, Lou? Where is it? What page is it on? Oh. Representative Peters are we unfrozen?"

Peters: "3047, Mr. Speaker, is that where we're at?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, Yeah. Representative Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentle...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I now move to concur with the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3047. Now, the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Did we take it already?"

Capuzi: "The Con...the Concurrence Committee voted 4 to 1...or 6 to 1 in favor to concur with Senate Amendments 1, 4, 5 and 6. Now, 1, 4, 5...1, 4 and 5 were already adopted by this House. We now have to adopt #6, Amendment 6, and I'd appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capuzi, do you remember, did we move to take this one from the table earlier?"

Capuzi: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, then you'd better make that motion."

Capuzi: "I so move, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capuzi has moved that the rule be suspended in order that House Bill 3047, the Conf...Second Conference Committee Report, be taken from the table. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. I know it. I know it. Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Wanted to know did Representative Collins sign this report?"

Capuzi: "Representative Collins did not sign this report. He was the only one that didn't."

Balanoff: "Yeah."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Balanoff."

Capuzi: "He wasn't at the meeting."

Balanoff: "Speak to the Bill. I certainly..."

Speaker Redmond: "We're only on the motion, now."

Balanoff: "Oh, then I...excuse me."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish. Clerk will take the record. This question there's 132 'aye' and no 'nay'. The motion carries, and the House Bill 3047 is taken from the table. Now, Representative Capuzi. You have moved that the House..."

Capuzi: "Concur with Senate amendments...we might as well take them all, Mr. Speaker. 1, 4, 5 and 6."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, don't you...aren't you..."

Capuzi: "We are..."

Speaker Redmond: "Aren't you moving to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report."

Capuzi: "Yes, I so move. I did that before."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Questions on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen

of the House. You may recall that I rose to speak on the First Conference Committee Report or the concurrence motion on this Bill on Thursday. And I'm sure that many people would wonder why there would be a Conference Committee and much less two Conference Committees on appropriation which is basically very small, is not an issue, and as a matter of fact is virtually an agreed appropriation. I could say further that this is a commission that there's been never any question about its administration. As a matter of fact, it couldn't be handled better. And it couldn't be chaired by a finer representative of our...of state government. The Lady that runs this commission does an outstanding job, and I want to take off my hat to her any time. As a matter of fact, I consider her a very good friend. Furthermore, this...this appropriation is sponsored by one of the finest, and, of course, the dean of the House, Representative Capuni...Capuzi who has the affection and the respect of all of us. So, you would wonder...you would wonder why there would be any controversy involving this. And as I pointed out to you...to the Body on Friday, I believe it was, the only reason that there is any controversy surrounding this appropriation is because of the involvement of cheap crass political muscle to punish one area of our state. An area that lies within the 30th district, an area called Higwish, an area that is a proud working man's community, and I'm sure most of you never heard of and probably fewer could spell. But in this appropriation there are grants to hard working community organizations staffed by people who are volunteers who have an interest in the betterment of their community and work hard year after year to better the kids, the juveniles, in their community. They have juvenile delinquency problems. They have...they have narcotics

problems like so many other communities. And throughout this state we appropriate the small amount of \$250,000 to try and cope with these problems within these neighborhoods. Now, in the past that had...this sum has been a lump sum appropriation given to the commission on Juvenile...or Delinquency Prevention for their allocation to these various neighborhood organizations. And they've done a darn good job, and the director of this commission has done an outstanding job of allocating this money to these community committees. But this year, for some strange reason, instead of a lump sum to this Commission. The various Community Committees that worked or received money from the Commission were delineated by name. And everyone that applied and everyone that has received money in the past were named to receive money in this grant because of explicity exception of the Haglish Community Committee. Now, why was this one Community Committee singled out for exclusion. The Sponsor of the Bill didn't want it excluded. The Commission didn't want it excluded. Why did someone in the Senate feel that it had to be excluded. And that's a question that the people in Hagwish and the people throughout the district are going to be asking. And they're going to get answers, believe me. This was one of the rottenest, cheapest political tricks that's ever been perpetrated on this House. Because one group, one neighborhood would not march to the tune of cheap political bosses, they're being punished, and their community is going to suffer. These...these hoodlums don't care that children may not be receive inoculations in the next year. They don't care if kids may get in trouble and have no one to help them. They don't care if kids may be taking dope and have no where to turn. They are only interested in their vengeance to be wrecked upon this

community. And as I say, it's one of the most rotten tricks that I've ever seen perpetrated in my years in this Body. It would be very tempting for me to stand on the floor of the House tonight knowing that it would take 107 votes to pass this appropriation and ask you to vote against this appropriation, and I think I might be successful. But I'm not going to put myself down to the level of these cheap hoodlums. This is an important commission. It's doing great work, and I would ask...I would ask that you support this motion tonight. Appropriate the money for this commission. Hold your nose because it is a smelly, stinking job that has been done on the people of the 30th district. And I hope that I can come back to you next year and ask you to appropriate money for this community committee. They're a proud group that have done great work. They deserve better, and they're being mistreated by, as I say, very low, petty people. And believe me...believe me, the people of the district will get their answers as to who's responsible."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker and Mr. Collins, could you give me the name of that Senator so I can keep it in back of my head? Would you give it to me, please? Would you give it to us? Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question... you ready for the question. The question is 'Shall the House adopt...' Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "I...despite the political skulduggery that went behind this Bill that took away the funding for this small Committee that did so...that has been doing such worthwhile work, I'm going to vote for this measure because I don't want to jeopardize the Bill. But I want everyone here to know, and some of you know who perpetrated this

skuldruggery, and I tell you the people in Hagwish will know who did this. Right, yeah, okay. And...But as I said before, I don't want to jeopardize the Bill, and I certainly will vote for it, the appropriation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson, on Hagwish."

Dawson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I ain't afraid to come out and say in front. I'm the only Legislator that happens to live in Hagwish. And I really don't give a damn what the people think because I work for the people in Hagwish. And I came down here when they first started this commission, and I was the one who was working with that commission since it started. And those amendments were not put on over here in the House. They were put over there in the Senate. So they want to talk about somebody doing it, let them come out name the person who they want. And I vote 'aye' to support this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move this...I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is 'Shall the main question be put'. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Representative Capuzi to close."

Capuzi: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know what the ramifications are or what the political situation is in the 30th district or anything else. The only thing I'm interested in is to get this Bill passed. To get the appropriation so that we don't disenfranchise all these children that are involved. And I...so I ask that we concur with Senate Amendments 1, 4, 5 and 6."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to

House Bill 3047. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 137 'aye' and 15 'no', and the House does by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3047. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, just if I may with your permission by way of a report to the House Members, Representative Matijevich if you might want to add to this or Representative Chapman. We have pretty much concluded the negotiations on all of the appropriation Bills. Everyone seems to be as satisfied as anybody ever is in a compromise kind of situation. We are now waiting on the paper work to come through. So it may be well now, Mr. Speaker, that if it is your desire and that of the House that we start again with Representative Pierce's Bill and discuss that on the merits of which ain't many, but start with that rather than attempting to hold this for the posturing which was very successful. And I thank all of you for going along with that, John, and I think the House has done a good job. And I thank all of you for that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman desires to be recorded as 'aye' on 3047. Does he have leave. On the order of Conference Committee Reports, on page 4, appears Senate Bill 1578. Representative Sam McGrew. Is he in the chamber? We'll take that one out of the record. Senate Bill 1636. Representative Woodyard. Woodyard here? Representative Casey, the name was Hackmeister. Representative Casey, the name was Hackmeister. When Casey was younger he had a good memory, but... How about concurrence. Representative Peters, are we unfrozen on that stuff?"

Peters: "On 262, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I was going to go right...already went down to 891, 99, 2905 and so forth, but they were all taken out of the record. Now, I don't know."

Peters: "I think Representative Woodyard is here someplace."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that's the problem. Now that we have discovered one of the Sponsors. Senate Bill 1578, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to adopt Conference #1 to Senate Bill 1578. In Conference Committee what we have done to the Bill was at my insistence we did include the money for the Comptroller's section. And the personal services, we did not increase the...that is above what the Senate had passed. We did not increase neither the travel nor equipment in that line item, but did give them the right to put additional money in the Comptroller's section of the scholarship commission. We did concur in House Amendment #4 and that was the one that abolished the bilingual teacher program. Then as often happens at the end of the session, we have a little section in the end that also creates the money for the Local Government Finance Study Commission. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1578. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "I had my light on, Mr. Speaker. I had intended to ask a question. Possibly the Sponsor would indicate whether or not this Bill has any relationship to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission maximum grant that we approved the other day of \$2,000."

Speaker Redmond: "McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yes, this now contains the agreed that we have done on the other Bill that would reduce that to \$1900, and the Governor's indicated that he would sign it at that level, so there would be a further reduction of 2.754 or 45 million in that line item. And I would like to ask from the chair, did I have to move to take from the table before we adopted this vote."

Speaker Redmond: "No, it's a Senate Bill."

McGrew: "Okay, that's what I thought. Thank you."

McCourt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that the other day we...we debated long and clear on the general spirit and feeling of the House was that the proper level of grants was \$2,000. Now, we're going back on...on what we did the other day, and I would suggest that...that the proper posture here would be not to concur."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, if I might answer that. The answer lies not in the Senate in this instance. For they may be the fall guy, the answer is on the second floor. And that answer is that Governor Thompson indicated that if we passed it at \$2,000 the maximum grant, he would line item reduction veto it to 2...to \$1900. If he does, that the...the maximum award would be 1870 as provided by law until such time as the General Assembly could convene to either accept his reduction veto or to override it. And so therefore if we don't agree to this agreement to the compromise of 1900 then the Governor is going to veto the Bill, and we would find students actually getting 1800 maximum grant."

McCourt: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 'aye' and 18 'no', and the House does by a three-fifths majority adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1578.

Representative...1636, Representative Woodyard. I'll be back to you on that. On page 4. I'll go to McClain. 1636."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to adopt the Conference Committee Report for Senate Bill 1636."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's...Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "What was it? Was is it now? And what got lost in the interim. And why? Perhaps there...was there any...maybe I should ask the question. Would the Sponsor yield?"

McClain: "Right."

Leverenz: "Was there any amendment dealing with a birthday put on in the Senate that's in this Conference Committee Report?"

McClain: "Not that I know of."

Leverenz: "At least he didn't have an amendment for this Bill. What is the Conference Report have in it now? What was deleted and new added?"

McClain: "Well, the Conference Committee recommends that we concur with these amendments: 1, 2, 3, 9, 11, 12, 19 and recede from 4, 5, 6, 7, 13, 16, 17, 20, 22, 23, 27."

Leverenz: "Is the.."

McClain: "The Con... The House amendment...when this Bill left the House, we had added about \$6 1/2 million in Committee and floor amendments. And..."

Leverenz: "That's not unusual."

McClain: "And the Senate nonconcurrent with all of the amendments, Committee and floor amendments of that 6 1/2 million. The Conference Committee has added back about 2 1/2 million of that."

Leverenz: "Of that 2 1/2 million does any go toward what was in Amendment #4, House Amendment #4. Is that funded?"

McClain: "I understand that it is."

Leverenz: "How about the money for the Bureau of Land and Historic sites? That money is there?"

McClain: "Right."

Leverenz: "And it's pretty well close to the way the Bureau of the Budget came out with it?"

McClain: "Yes."

Leverenz: "Very good. Thank you. I would support an 'aye' vote. I would encourage everyone to vote 'aye', and please wait around as Representative Collins might want to verify the Roll."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I understand that sending the Department of Conservation budget items for non-game wildlife to the Senate is like sending...sending a bird to Mount St. Helen's the day it erupts. The Senate is a death trip apparently on anything that flies, whether it be Bald Eagles or whether it be smaller, furry and feathered, things. The Governor when vetoing House Bill 2200 which would have provided approximately \$1 million in voluntary contributions for non-game wildlife said that if it were important to support non-game wildlife which would come out of tax funds. See, they're Acts are budgeted in the amount of money which was about 1/3 the amount which would have been raised through voluntary contributions. We are now down to about, I think, \$270,000 for that, and this state set up priorities that's so screwed up that we're going to spend more money, and I apologize to the Representatives and Senators representing this district, but the amount is approximately the same. We're going to spend more money on an award for horse race in southern Illinois than we are on non-game wildlife throughout the State of Illinois. Now that is the type of misplaced priorities which I think is unconscionable. I shall certainly not vote for the

Conference Committee Report. Not because a piddly little \$10,000 appropriation wasn't left in, but because the Senate has absolutely no commitment through its Appropriations Committee spokespeople toward what I consider the finer things of life."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino, please don't raise your voice. The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House...Senate Bill 1636. Those in favor...Representative J. J. Wolf."

J. J. Wolf: "I was wondering if the Sponsor could just take this out of the record for a minute. I think we're missing something. No, that ain't it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

J. J. Wolf: "We found what we're looking for."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1636. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final passage. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 'aye', and 22 'no', and the House does by a 3/5 majority adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1636. Representative Piel, did you find your key? On the cal...supplemental calendar #1 appears on the Conference Committee Reports, appears Senate Bill 1559. Representative McClain. Representative Kane and Rea..."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1559 is the substantive language for the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. What this is is this Conference Committee reflects the Governor's wishes of a \$1900 level for maximum awards for full time students. This is the substantive language with Mr. McGrew's appropriation of 1578. Although I respect very

much the House and the Senate both pass pieces of legislation for a maximum grant level of 2000, it's the belief of the Board of Higher Education, the Federation of Independent Colleges and those of us who are the House and Senate Sponsors that instead of brick shingles, people that we are trying to protect would rather go with the Governor's level so that people and the students actually know what the awards will be. And so we would now concur with Senate...First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1559 which makes it a \$1900 level for maximum awards."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is 'Shall the House'...Wait a minute, what was your motion, Representative McClain?"

McClain: "Concur."

Speaker Redmond: "That the House..."

McClain: "Concur with the First Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "That the House...that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1559. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. On this question there's 141 'aye' and 8 'no' and the House does by 3/5 majority concur in the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1559. Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 3250. Representative Donovan. House Bill 3250. Representative Donovan yields to Representative McPike. Out of the record. I remember that one some place. What page is this? Oh, here it is. On page 4 under the order of Conference Committee Reports, appears Senate Bill 2001. Representative Ryan. Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to take Senate Bill 2001 from the table, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "You don't have to do that. It's a Senate

Bill."

McBroom: "All right, okay, then I...then I move to concur with the Conference Committee Report, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom moves that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 2001. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Wait a minute. What's the trouble? It's on page 4."

McBroom: "Yeah, yeah."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 2009."

McBroom: "No, no, 2001."

Speaker Redmond: "I mean 2001. It's unnecessary to take it from the table. It's a Senate Bill. Be ready for the question. Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, would the...would the Gentlemen explain what the report does...what it does."

McBroom: "Yeah, I'll be glad to Representative Mulcahey. When the Bill came over from the Senate, it provided for indemnification of physicians at institutions throughout the state. Because of malpractice suits, they were unable to get any insur...insurance. This provides for indemnification of these physicians. We added an amendment here in the House that would indemnify all state employees. This was unacceptable from the Senate. From what I was able to glean from dialogue with Members of the Senate and the Governor's staff, it was impossible to prevail. Therefore, we went to Conference Committee. This amendment was removed, and I'm moving to concur with the Conference Committee Report."

Mulcahey: "Well, Mr. Speak...Representative McBroom, there was some changes here concerning the...concerning...concerning the maximum awards to \$100,000. Would you explain that? I..."

McBroom: "This...that...that...that...that part is out. It

Resolutions around here. Why can't we set up a little Committee and find out what this is going to cost and take care of this in the veto session if we really need this."

McBroom: "Well, the answer is, Representative Dunn, that it is difficult enough now to get the physicians to participate in state service at their rather meager salary compared to what they could make in private enterprise, and then if they run the hazard of not having an insurance... any insurance. I certainly wouldn't want to participate as state...state employed physician. And I would suggest that probably you wouldn't and no one else here would."

John Dunn: "Will all of the employees be licensed to practice medicine in the State of Illinois before they're entitled to insurance coverage?"

McBroom: "There's no...there's no change in the licensing requirements, Representative Dunn. This is just a move to try to retain physicians at our various institutions throughout the state."

John Dunn: "Well, the...the debate has indicated that the coverage would be extended to physicians who are employees of the State of Illinois. And I just wonder if all physicians employed by the State of Illinois are licensed to practice medicine in Illinois or not. And if they are not, are they covered by this insurance."

McBroom: "I have no idea whether they're all licensed, Representative Dunn. I can assure that we're moving in a direction that we think is appropriate and proper."

John Dunn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't want to delay the proceedings. I just think this concept may be laudatory, but it hasn't been thought out completely. And there doesn't seem to be a crying need for this at the present time. Until we check out all the details, I would recommend that we slow this down and take care of it when

we know the answers to the questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of Senate Bill 2001. There has been a need for this legislation for some time. In fact it's been proposed for the last several years. The problem basically lies in our penitentiary systems. Doctors are within the employ or on contract with the State of Illinois and go out to treat inmates who with nothing else to do with the law library up there behest are very quick to file a malpractice suit against a physician who treats them. There...therefore there was growing problem within the State of Illinois to get doctors willing to go out there and treat the patients. And cause of certain federal court orders and that sort of thing, close scrutiny by the John Howard Society, prisoners are entitled to first class medical care. It's a growing problem with the state to try to get these people, these doctors, to go out there. So I urge us to join and vote 'aye' on the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

D. P. Friedrich: "I can tell you, Mr. Speaker, I can tell you from experience that it is almost impossible for doctors practicing at state institutes to get malpractice insurance. And because of that, many of them...most of them are very reluctant to do it at all. And if we're going to keep doctors, we're going to have to do this. The answer to Representative Dunn's question, we eliminated the nonlicensed doctors in state institutions two or three years ago. They're no longer there."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Jaffe: "What you're saying is that we're really self-insured but

we have no money put away to self-insure ourselves. Isn't that correct?"

McBroom: "What I'm really saying is what, Representative Jaffe?"

Jaffe: "You're saying that...that we're going to be self-insured, but we don't have any money to self-insure ourselves. We have no funds to pay claims with. Isn't that correct?"

McBroom: "Well, Representative Jaffe, I presume that you intend to vote against the Bill, and I am not able to..."

Jaffe: "I want an answer to my question. All I'm saying is..."

McBroom: "All right. Representative Jaffe, do you have a suggestion as to how we can keep physicians in state institutions if we don't do something like this? I don't...I do not."

Jaffe: "Well, then your answer is that I'm correct that we're actually establishing some sort of concept without backing it up with money."

McBroom: "I didn't hear your question, Representative."

Jaffe: "Well, it's quite obvious that you're answering my question in the affirmative. That we're establishing a...a...a system without backing it up with any money. In other words, if a doctor in one of our institutions were to cut off a wrong leg tomorrow, we wouldn't have a penny to pay him, but nonetheless the law says that we have to pay him. Isn't that correct?"

McBroom: "Well, I don't agree with you, Representative Jaffe. Until there's some experience as to how many suits there's going to be, I presume that the State will not build up a reserve."

Jaffe: "Well, I don't want to really belabor this particular situation. But I think the problem really arises out of the fact that we just don't pay the doctors enough money. That's why we don't get decent doctors. So we get second rate doctors, and then we have to worry about malpractice."

I think it's a vicious circle, and I think the concept is bad. And I just don't think that this is the right way to handle this particular subject matter, and I would vote against this particular Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Woodyard."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is 'Shall the main question be put?'. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carries. Representative McBroom, to close."

McBroom: "Well, I would simply close by further responding to Representative Jaffe that there's some doctors that I know, and I'm sure you know, Mr. Speaker, and the Members know, that at any price, at any salary regardless of how astronomical we might set it. We still could not get them to participate in state prisons and state institutions. I think we're lucky to have these people. We need to keep them, and this seems to me not a perfect solution but a partial solution. I solicit an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 2001. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Preston to explain his vote."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Bill. Frequently the physicians working at state institutions do not have complete authority over patient care. The way they would in a private setting. This Bill is necessary to protect these physicians against frivolous law suits. And I ask you and encourage you to give it an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 137 'aye' and 4 'nay', and the House does by a 3/5's majority adopt the

First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 2001.
1729. On the order of Conference Committee Report on page
4. Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would move that the House
move to do not adopt Conference Committee #1 to 1729."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the
Gentleman's motion that the House refuse to adopt the First
Conference Committee Report on... Representative Dunn."

Schneider: "I'll tell them why. There is a reporting date on a
state board of education study. We want to change that
date."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, I'm glad to here he didn't answer it with that he
was moving to nonconcur because he likes it here."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is on the Gentleman's motion that the
House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on
Senate Bill 1729. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'.
Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried, and
the House refuses to adopt the First Conference Committee
Report to Senate Bill 1729, and request a Second Conference
Committee. 1799. Representative Greiman. Representative
Greiman. 1799."

Greiman: "Oh, there you are. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I think, I
think. I would ask that we adopt the Conference
Report...that's where we are, I think, I hope...1799. And
appreciate the wake up call. I ask that the House...the
Conference Committee Report provides that the House recesses
from Amendments 1 and 2 and that Amendment 2 is put back
with a time limitation then. And it does not become
effective until July 1st, 1981. Amendment 2...1 that was
removed was removed at the request of the Senate Committee,
and...because they believed that we already had the
benefits of 1. I ask that we adopt the Conference

Committee Report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Greiman, your motion was it refuse to adopt, is that correct?"

Greiman: "It's on Conference Committee 1."

Speaker Redmond: "You do not want to adopt it, is that correct?"

Greiman: "Adopt, I think."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Gentleman has moved that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1799. Those in favor vote 'aye', and oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. On this question there's 138 'aye' and 5 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report by a 3/5's majority. 1747, Jane Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur with the Committee Report on Senate Bill 1747. The only reason it has gone to Conference Committee was because there were some technical changes that had to be done to some of the language. It was incorrect. It did not change the intent of the Bill, and the Bill previously passed out of this House 130 'aye' votes. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The Lady has moved that the House adopt the...Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, they'd like a further explanation. This was the nutritional Bill for pregnant teenagers. It passed out with 130 'aye' votes."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's the Lady's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1747. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental calendar #2 is being distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 125 'aye' and 21 'no', and the House does by a 3/5's majority adopt the Conference

Committee Report to Senate Bill 1747. 15...Didn't I say by a 3/5's? I should have. 1544. Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Member of the House. I move that the House will adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1544. Senate Bill 1544 is the one with the discussion on it about the ride sharing program. We worked out all of the problems. We went through the different views on the ride sharing arrangements from the definition of a chauffeur, a commercial vehicle and a common carrier. And that came from that portion of Senate Bill 1545. It changes the language definition of a ride-sharing arrangement by eliminating motor vehicle and replacing it with passenger car. A passenger car as defined in the Vehicle Code as a motor vehicle of the first division including a multi-personal passenger vehicle that is designed for carrying not more than 10 persons. And actually the ride-sharing program is just for private vehicles. Mr. Speaker and Member of the House, I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's...Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yeah, there was a problem here before, and I missed some of the Gentleman's explanation. When this Bill was here, we were fortunately able to stop it. It provided that the employer would be liable under certain circumstances for an accident involving a ride-share. Is the employers liability still established in this particular...?"

Taylor: "No, it's not. Employer would not be liable."

Leinenweber: "The employer would not be liable."

Taylor: "That's correct."

Leinenweber: "Is that correct? Does it have anything to do with Workmen's Compensation."

Taylor: "Nothing at all with Workmen's Compensation."

Leinenweber: "Was that something that the Conference Committee specifically did or was that..."

Taylor: "Well, it was what was intended by the legislation in the first place. And I think everyone has got involved with other discussion including band-pooling which is not a part of this Bill. Workmen's Compensation was not a part of it. It's just...The Workmen's Compensation Bill that we had was 1541, and it's on second reading...I mean, on postponed consideration, rather."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, briefly on Senate Bill 1544, while I certainly share with many of the Members the desirability of ride-sharing, nevertheless, it's...I think it's dangerous to tinker with the common law principles of liability which this Bill apparently does. Now, I've...I've looked over the staff analysis, and while apparently it's been an adjustment from the Bill which we defeated on third reading, nevertheless, it still does tinker with the principles of the common law. Now, we've had hundreds of years of experience with the common law. It's pretty definite in these situations. I don't think we ought to tinker with it because when we do then we create uncertainty which did not exist before. Uncertainty breeds caution, and I think that the net result might very well be reluctance to en...to have ride-sharing which is what the Bill was intended to do all along. So reluctantly, I would suggest we not support it."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to close because I certainly would like to see this Bill pass. We are changing the law as we do in most cases in order to try to encourage ride-sharing. I think it's a good purpose here, and I think under this

proposal's about the best that we can go."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1544. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 110 'aye' and 38 'no', and the House does by a 3/5's majority adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1544. 1545, you want to go..."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take 1545 out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "What tell in the Senate? Well, he wants this one out of the record. Okay. 1710. You weren't...1710, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is the revision. It's the Conference...First Conference Committee Report, and it's in regard to the \$30 fee for vehicle use tax. And as it now stands amended then by the Conference Committee eliminates the \$30 vehicle tax on the following: cars older than 5 years and that's determined by the manufacturer's model number, motorcycles, motor driven cycles, motorized pedi-cycles, trailers, cars issued junking license certificates. And that's all it does, and the department and everybody's agreed. And I don't know of any opposition. I move the concurrence with Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, could the Gentleman tell us how much would be lost to the state road fund."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "The orig...the original draft of that was around 14 million, so we presume that it would be down to about 3 or 4 but we don't have any way of really telling."

Skinner: "The loss of 3 or 4 million? Did the Department of Revenue assist you in drafting this amendment?"

Schraeder: "Yes, they're well aware of it."

Skinner: "May we presume that the Department of Revenue's willing to live with it?"

Schraeder: "I presume so because they voiced no objection."

Skinner: "Oh, that's great. I'm happy they've seen the light. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question. The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption...the House adopt First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1710. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 148 'aye', 2 'no', and the House by a 3/5's majority does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1710. 1713, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, this covers the Con...First Conference Committee Report, and this has to do with dipnetting, and this was Representative Mautino's and Representative Anderson's amendment. Been pretty well clear; I don't know of any objections to it. We had a pretty good discussion on it this morning before it was taken out of the record, and I understand that there's no opposition to this, and I would move concurrence in Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1731."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1713. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 155 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does by a 3/5's majority adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1713.

Conference Committee Reports on page 3, Representative Pierce, 262."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, we were discussing 262 at the time when the cold winds were blowing from the, I guess that's the north over there...the west. And now it's a balmy friendly breezes blowing across, not only the central aisle of this House but the rotunda. Everything is sweetness and light. It's not even Christmas Eve. But you can give you taxpayers, your homeowners a Christmas present early by voting today to adopt Conference Committee Report to House Bill 262. It raises the universal homestead exemption applying the people of any age who's assessment is raised from 4 to 5,000. It doesn't debase any assessments because it's only on increases that it applies. It doesn't apply unless the person gets an increase...the homeowner gets an increase assessment. We raise it from 1,500 to 3,000 from this January for '79. My Bill would raise it for the 80th assessment. The Bill that would be received in '81 before the 5,000 and will provide protection against escalating real estate values. What's happened in the past is that the real estate values have gone up much faster than people's earnings have and at a much faster rate. And we're still basing the assessment years at the years from 2 to 4 years ago. So it's still at that escalating rate, much faster people think comes one up. This is a chance for you to vote tax relief. On the other item that affects Cook and Will counties on the first installment on the estimated being 55% of the prior year, that is only aimed at making each installment as equal as possible which makes it conform with what happens to the rest of the state where the first installment is 50% of the actual bill for that year. It will prevent some tax anticipation warrant interest being tax anticipation notes by schools and local

government. I, therefore ask you, and as Representative Ewing so eloquently said, 'This is the only show in town for real estate relief for your voters, your homeowners this year, this session prior to November 1st, anyway. This is it, House Bill 262. Please adopt Committee Amendment...Committee Report #1, and give your taxpayers an additional homestead exemption. It goes to homeowners who need it the most, who have increased values on their assessment.'

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Tuerk: "On new construction traditionally they don't assess at the higher level the first year. Would this apply to a new construction? For example, it's assessed, say, at 50,000 this year, brand new home, next year they reassess it upward maybe to reflect the full value of the home. Would they..."

Pierce: "That's a good question. I believe it does. I want to double check that. I believe it does. If it's a homestead, you automatically get the 3,000 under this bill 4,000 for '80. On the...shown on your Bill, on the increase on the prior year. I've never thought of that exact question, but I think the answer would have to be, 'Yes, it does.'"

Tuerk: "Thank you. That's the intent of your Bill, at any rate?"

Pierce: "Yes, that was correct, because it's not what the status was the prior year. It's what the status is the year that the tax bill is received. And if that's a...if that's a residence that year, the homestead would apply to reduce the increased assessed evaluation. For 1979 it'll be 3,000. Those bills will be out soon in your area if they're not out already. And for '80 under this bill, it'd

be 4,000. Not the original 5,000 that was in the Bill when it passed this House overwhelmingly earlier this spring."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. May I ask the Sponsor a question, please?"

Pierce: "Yes."

Hallstrom: "Representative Pierce, could you explain to the Members of the House how will this affect the school districts in the state?"

Pierce: "Yes, the school districts will in no way lose assessment base. Unlike the senior citizen homestead exemption, which applies to every senior citizen to lower their assessment, this only applies to reduce increases in assessment from the taxable base of the taxpayer. If his assessment stays the same, he gets no benefits from the uniform...universal homestead exemption, sometimes called the Heinz homestead exemption. If his assessment goes up, then it is applied against the increases over...over the previous year."

Hallstrom: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Yes, please, may I speak to the question? The school districts in the 1st legislative district were very distressed when we did what we did last year by increasing the homestead exemption...exemption to \$3,000. So I don't think, Representative Pierce, they understand it the way you're saying it. They really believe that they're going to lose revenue from it, and they are against it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Pierce: "Yes, I don't think they will. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "J. J. Wolf."

J. J. Wolf: "Yes, just a couple of fast questions. Dan, you said 5,000. Is that 4,000 by amendment?"

Pierce: "Yes, the original Bill which passed overwhelmingly in

both houses raised it from 3 to 5 for the 1980 assessment year. The Conference Committee Report makes that 4. So it's from 3 to 4, and that seemed to be a way of assuaging some of the concern of the tax eaters."

J. J. Wolf: "Okay, secondly, could you tell me why four of the five Senators didn't sign the Conference Committee Report?"

Pierce: "Several of them were in caucus and the others, a couple of Democrats tax eaters were giving me a hard time. And so Senator Maragos was on the floor and he very gladly signed it, rather than wait because I thought I'd it get in before midnight last night on the 89 votes...rather than wait for the Republican caucus to end and rather than try to convince some of the tax eating Democratic State Senators that this was a good idea, and believe me they're tax eaters, I went with the five House Members including the two Republicans, Representatives Ewing and Woodyard, as well as the always able Senator Keane and the on-the-job Senator Getty and myself to have the six votes. And we don't need those Senators, anyway. They don't contribute much to government."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's Bills just like this that we've been referring to all year long we're trying to help the people back home. And you certainly can have a guilty conscience if you put up a red vote on a Bill such as this. But are we really helping the senior citizens back home when you do something like this to them? What is the total cost, may I ask, Representative Pierce, of the savings that taxpayers have on a \$10,000 assessed evaluation. Do you have any idea what that would be?"

Pierce: "Let me say this. It's not senior citizens. It's all citizens."

Conti: "All citizens."

Pierce: "It would depend. If they got a...if they got an increase of a \$1,000 in equalized assessed valuation, and their...and their tax rate were \$9 as it is my area, then it'd be a \$90 savings."

Conti: "It'll be a \$90 savings. Now that \$90 savings, a portion of that money goes to local government, and just how are these tax eaters, as Cal Skinner always refers to them as the local government, supposed to run local government? They're only going to come up with another hidden tax. You're only going to come up with another replacement tax locally. And usually that replacement tax always hurts twice as much as what we're taking off for whatever relief we're giving them here in Springfield. And it's a hard Bill to vote against, but when you stop and think what you're doing to local school districts, what you're doing to the local officials that are often referred to as tax eaters, it's no wonder the poor people back home don't need friends like us down here. I urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Move the previous question, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Representative Kornowicz has moved the previous question. Those in favor the main question be put...those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Those opposed. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 96 'aye' and 18 'no', and the motion prevails. Representative Pierce to close."

Pierce: "I...I, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't understand what the problem is. This Bill when it was double passed the House overwhelmingly earlier this spring. I believe it was in May. We voted overwhelmingly

for a \$5,000 homestead exemption. The Senate Rules Committee allowed the this to reach the Revenue Committee of the Senate. They approved it. And I can't understand why those of you that already voted for this...this spring are now somewhat reluctant to go ahead. Now, I will tell you what the vote was. I'll tell you what the vote was this spring, but it was overwhelming when it...when it passed here. The Bill applies to people regardless of age only if they receive an increase in that equalized assessed valuation. And that's the only case in which they would...139 to 21. Thank you, Harry. My bifocals aren't working too well. That's 139 to 21, and as I recall, that's more than a 3/5's vote. It's your chance to get a vote on record for the homeowners of your district those who receive increased assessments. And with that, I want to give you all the chance to go back to your voters with something. I'll get reelected anyway. Some of you may have problems. Please vote 'aye'.

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative O'Brien, to explain his vote."

O'Brien: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members, for recognizing me. I've had my light on for a long time. I'd like to point out that this is a bad Bill for a number of reasons. First of all this Conference Committee hasn't been signed by all of the Members that should have signed it. Second of all, there is an amendment on this Bill that was an original Bill that was killed in the Revenue Committee. Then that Bill was arisen again as an amendment, and it was killed on this House floor, and now we have the tax acceleration payments of 55% included in this Bill which quite frankly is a tax increase for Cook

County. And when that Bill was in its original form, it included any county with 300,000 or more that the tax acceleration payments would be included. And any county with 300,000 or more can adopt the same payment program that they're trying to do to the people in Cook County and Will County simply by a Resolution by the county board. This is a bad...bad Bill. It's charaded. And Mr. Speaker, I'm going to ask for a verification of this Roll Call because there were several lights on, and you recognized someone to move the previous question on purpose. Representative Leinenweber had his light. I've had my light on since the beginning of the debate. I'd like a...I'd like to verify this Roll Call if it gets a sufficient number."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Last night we had the record for the worst Bill. Tonight, we have the honor of voting for the best Bill to come through this session. The previous speaker is absolutely incorrect. This Bill is intended to help the property owners. More over, it is in fact a freeze. Representative Pierce has explained the intent of this legislation. I think all of the people in here are can support it. I submit to you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House and those of you with red buttons on on the other side of the aisle, that this is the harbinger of the Republican party. This is the best vote that you could make in an election year for your constituents. I'm proud to vote for my constituents, and I hope that Representative Pierce gets 176 'aye' votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, I, like Representative O'Brien, had my light on from the beginning

because I happen to represent constituents which are affected by the second half of this Bill. Now the second half of this Bill does as Representative O'Brien pointed out. It increases the tax bill of Will County and Cook County tax payers, which I don't particularly like, particularly when it's sponsored by a Gentleman from a county that...whose voters are not affected by it. Now, I'll tell what's going to happen. The Governor's going to veto the first half and leave the second half. And we're going to have a tough time overriding the first half. So we're going to end up with the...with all the bones and the meat is going to get cut out of this. And the people who are going to pay are the people in Will County and the people in the City of Chicago in the County of Cook. I don't like it one bit and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I agree with Representative Leinenweber. Let's defeat this and send it back to a Second Conference Committee. And let them take Will County and Lake County out and leave Cook in if you want if you think it's a very good idea. Will County doesn't want any part of this, and I don't think Lake really does either. I could vote for the homestead tax exemption, but I sure as heck am not going to vote against the constituents in our district, Harry's and mine and Van Duynes district, which comprises the bulk of Will County. For God's sake vote 'no' and take this to Conference Committee and take that off and stuff out of there."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, this Bill does not increase by one cent, and Representative Leinenweber knows it, the tax bills of the people of Will or Cook County.

Not by one cent. All it does is make sure that the 2 installments are roughly equal which they aren't presently. Not by one cent does it increase the 1979, or this would be the 1980 tax bill, of the people of Will County. In fact, it gives them a homestead exemption. And for the Gentleman from Beacher's information, this isn't coming back on a Second Conference Committee. Now's your chance to vote for or against...for or against, and increased homestead exemption for your homeowners. And any other Conference Committee will be held over to the fall to take a further look at this. This is it for the homestead exemption. Either you're going to support it now or you're going to go back and explain to your voters why you think that their real estate taxes are reasonable even when they're increased. And I urge you all to vote to adopt the Conference Committee Report at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Well, you go ahead and adopt this Conference Committee Report, and let's make it understood that what you're doing is trying to pull the wool over your electorates. You're trying to tell them that your granting tax relief when you really aren't. The people of DuPage County are getting sick and tired of the shenanigans that are going on in this General Assembly. They talk about a 3,000 homestead exemption that we passed. It's all phony. Phony because the average tax bill in DuPage County went up \$200 last year. \$200, you call that tax relief? All that our tax bodies do is they assess higher levies against them so it offsets the homestead exemption you're talking about. Now, when we go home and we talk to our municipalities and we talk to them about tax relief, and they say to us, 'You aren't helping us at all.' All we're doing, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, is avoiding the real issue. We're

trying to pull the wool over our electorates. We're trying to fool them, and they're going to get smart to us. They're going to know what we're doing. I'm voting 'present' on this. I'll go home and tell the people of my district that your tax bills went up. It wasn't my fault. We tried some tax relief, but I'm not going to pull the wool over your eyes."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone else? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 106 'ayes', 35 'no'. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, in order to help some of my colleagues in November, will you please poll the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Poll of the absentees, please. Representative Dimarco, aye. Oh. Representative Domico, may he be verified? Who's verifying? Conti? O'Brien."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty. Bluthardt. Deuster. Epton."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capuzi. May Representative Capuzi be verified? Okay."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the poll of the absentees. Klosak. Kosinski. Kucharski. Laurino. McGrew. Pechous. Rigney. Schlickman. Walsh. and J. J. Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz requests leave to be verified, Representative Conti. Conti. Lechowicz, leave to be verified? This question there's 106 'aye', 35 'no', and the motion fails. Representative Reed."

Reed: "Will you change me to 'aye', please?"

Speaker Redmond: "I've declared the results, Representative Reed. 929. No, he didn't. Representative Pierce, did you request a Second Conference?"

Pierce: "I'm not requesting that. I thought it was automatic under the rules."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, why don't you request it, and that

way..."

Pierce: "I'll request it, but we're not coming back with a report. All right, we'll request it."

Speaker Redmond: "Request a Second Conference Committee. 929. 1009. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, again we went through this this morning and Representative Peters asked us to be kind and gentle and all those things. And we have been. And so I'll go through it very slowly and hopefully that you will concur with what we have to offer on the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you hold it a minute, Mr. Schraeder. My memory is that we went through all of these House Bills and moved to take them from the table. Am I right on that, Mr. Clerk? Okay, well, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "And I think the record this morning will show that Representative Stuffle is the co-chief Sponsor and I...if he isn't, I'd like to make sure he is 'cause he done a lot of hard work on this, too."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, your first thing that you have to do is to move to take it from the table."

Schraeder: "We did this morning."

Speaker Redmond: "That's what I thought, but the clerk disagrees with me."

Schraeder: "Well, we'll move to take it from the table and place it..."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that House Bill 1009 be taken from the table. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 127 'aye' and 5 'no', and the motion prevails. Representative Schraeder-Stuffle."

Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I will be brief, and if they

have any questions between Representative Stuffle and myself we'll try to answer them. Try to save time. Everyone's anxious to...to move along. This provides some changes in pension benefits for downstate Chicago teachers and state universities systems. I don't know of any opposition. The...in fact it was very encouraging. I just had a message sent in to me that our dear friends in the Senate have passed this Bill out now 55 to 3. So apparently even those people over there have seen the wisdom of the ways. And I move...move the concurrence of Conference Report 101...I mean, excuse me, Conference Report 1 on Senate Bill 1009..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that House Bill or Senate Bill?"

Schraeder: "House Bill. House Bill 1009."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House...Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, he said there's no opposition. Maybe the opposition isn't organized, but I think the word is out a little bit. I just like to talk...first of all I'd like to ask a question of Representative Schraeder. You know when this Bill was in Committee...Representative Schraeder, would he yield, Mr. Speaker? I would assure that he would. When this Bill was in Committee, it's my understanding that if it would moved out which was...it...the original Bill addressed itself to something that was very important that was not too costly that had very good support. And now it's become a vehicle. And is it correct in my statement that you would resist any and all amendments if we could resolve the issue that you brought before the Committee?"

Schraeder: "Representative, I don't believe I made any statements in that regard, but I think any piece of legislation whether it be mine or yours is subject to amendments by the

House and the Senate to encourage us its passage and also to make it better legislation and to greatly enhance the citizens of Illinois. And I think that's what this one did. This minor Bill that I have has become a great piece of legislation due to the hard work on the part of legislators in both the House and the Senate. And I might add Senator Berning, too, was a great help on this piece of legislation."

Ebbesen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to address myself to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Ebbesen: "First of all, Members of the House, that this particular proposal as it now is on Conference Committee Report #1 increases the unfunded accrued liability to the tune of something well over \$100 million. And if this proposal was adopted, the...to amortize...the annual cost figures...to amortize over 30 years, the total number of dollars would be over \$7,770,000, and the annual cost figures would be something like a just a fraction over 6 million or a total impact of \$13,880,000. Now, I think that there was some negotiations...some discussions that took place with the Illinois Education...I mean the Downstate Teachers Association and more than likely the Chicago teachers. I don't know about the state universities. I think they were probably brought in. Whether they were consulted or not, I don't know. But the point is that here is the downstate teachers who when you're campaigning, and they're always asking you, 'What are we going to do about more funding, minimizing that unfunded accrued liability.'. And annually they bring in, especially in the odd numbered years, will bring anywhere from 35 to 50 legislative proposals to increase benefits. Now, here we are with this proposal before us. It's on a

vehicle that was not supposed to...the amendments were supposed to have been resisted, any type of amendment. The original Bill addressing itself to something that I could support. Representative Terzich as the chairman of our Committee could support. But now here we find ourselves at this particular time with a...an unfunded accrued liabilities, state responsibility of almost 5 billion, and that's with a 'b', Members of the House, billion dollars for today's services that we're transferring out into the future for tax payers of the future. I think that this particular proposal Const...Committee Report #1 is something that ought to be resoundly defeated. I just think there ought to be 177 negative votes up there. And make this Committee and the Sponsor the recipient of that award that we pass out. And I would encourage everyone to take a close look at this Bill. And if nothing else, send it back to the drafting board for reconsideration. I would encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if you want to go on with any other debate, I'll close on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "I have a question for either the...either of the Sponsors. As I look at my analysis, it indicates that there'll be an increase in retirement benefits of a dollar a month for each year of creditable service for those who retired before January 1st, 1976. Is that correct? So if you had 35 years of service, that would be an increase of \$35 a month for \$410 a year. Is that an accurate statement? Secondly, the analysis goes on to point out that we're going to increase the survivors benefit which is a separate part of the retirement program from 500 to 550 if you have more than 1 survivor and 300 to 350 if you have only 1

survivor. And then that's increased if you become a survivor after June 30th, '81. One...those are correct?"

Schraeder: "That's correct."

Hoffman: "All right, the next question I have for either of the Sponsors the comments made by the previous speaker in terms of unfunded liability, do you agree with that figure? And if not, why not?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Schraeder: "Stuffle respond, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Stuffle."

Stuffle: "I don't know where Representative Ebbesen got the unfunded liability figure because we're dealing here with a situation where two of the benefits are closed in benefits. In other words, the cost figures there on an annual basis are not going to continue forever which would be, I think, the assumption of whoever put that figure together. We've not been given that figure. At least I haven't. I don't think Representative Schraeder has."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "A point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State you point."

Ebbesen: "Where I got the figure, I'm looking at the 1979 report of examination of public employees' pension funds Department of Insurance on page 13, the unfunded accrued liability of 1977 went from over \$7 billion to 7 million...7,739,000,000 in 1979, an increase of \$725,729,871. The percentage of increase is 10%. Now this is 1980, and I know what's happened with what the Bill that the Governor signed into the teachers...the downstate teachers before the increase of the unfunded accrued liability. I am sure that figure is well over at this point in time \$8 billion dollars according to this report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, but I understood you to say you had a figure on this particular Bill. We haven't seen that figure. That was the reference that was made here. We haven't been given that figure to my knowledge any time ever. The Pension Laws Commission, my understanding, they by the way help put this together, the actuary for them, and I haven't seen that figure from him. And our people have been in contact with him throughout the last week in putting this together."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, if I'm not mistaken, I think Representative Terzich may have the...the fiscal impact statement from the actuary for the Pension Laws Commission. Is that not correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Stuffle: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me just conclude my remarks..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Stuffle: "...by observing what is happening here. I think there are two things that we have to keep in mind. Now, I happen to be a participant in the downstate teachers retirement system. And my mother is an annuitant of that particular system right now. And I have always been concerned about both the kinds of benefits that are received and the kinds of liability that that system has to carry. And I think that we have to balance these two things out. And I think we're at the point now where we have to look very, very carefully at what the increase unfunded liability of that system is. We are making progress on improving or reducing the unfunded liability. And I think all of the benefits that we change in that system have to be weighed against the kind of unfunded liability that we're going to face in that particular system."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

D. P. Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I am not familiar with all the

parts of this Bill, but there is one part in it I'd like to call to your attention. And that is the benefits for the widows of retired teachers. I have a case in my district where they did everything right. They worked and saved and bought their home. He retired and had a long illness. Left her almost destitute, and now she's trying to live on about \$100 a month. And when I go to the Pensions Committee for this, they are very unreceptive and say, 'Sorry, we can't do anything about it.'. I think that a person of this kind is certainly better than a public aid recipient because they have done things right. And for that reason alone, I'm going to support this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Well, a rip-off's a rip-off. Last night there was a pension Bill that went through this House that didn't no wheres near the damage that this one does and every newspaper in the state started pointing out that the Legislators raised their own pension. Well, that's just wasn't the fact with that Bill even though I voted 'no' on it. But this one has an astronomical fiscal impact that for the most part the press in the state will probably ignore. This one has the impact that Representative Ebbesen pointed out. And it's going to do to our pension systems for downstate teachers and other who may come under it, I'm not sure, an impact on the future tax...on the tax payers in this state in the future something that is way beyond what House Bill 3535 did for the Legislators. I call to the attention of the press the impact that this Bill may have, what it's going to do for the teachers, and this Bill ought not to be passed in its present fashion. It ought to be brought...brought to a Second Conference Committee and take off the harmful provisions that have

been put on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "A question the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schuneman: "My question is, is there any money anywhere appropriated in all the appropriation Bills that would pay some of the unfunded liability that's going to be caused by this Bill? Who's the Sponsor of the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Stuffle: "There's not any money in the specific line items, but I'll address that subject on the survivors when I close, or I will now by saying to you that the point that needs to be made first of all is that the figures that have been brought out here about unfunded liability, I don't know where you got them on your side of the aisle, but I just conferred with the chairman, Representative Terzich, and there is no pension impact statement on this from the Pension Laws Commission on the Conference Report. Secondly, there are reserves in each system on the survivors money that exceed the pay out and have and continue to."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the Bill. I have no opposition at all to increasing pensions for and cost of living raises and so forth for pensioners. I think we need to do something about it. The thing that bothers me about these pension Bills as they come flying through here is that we find Legislators who like to be the heroes, who like to pass the Bills that promise pensions to people, but I don't see anybody standing up demanding that we fund these pensions. And we're heading down a very serious road when we pass pension increase after increase, but nobody comes up with the money to fend those...to fund those pensions. Representative Ebbesen and other people on the Pension Committee and the Pension Laws Study Commission

have done an outstanding job in trying to bring this problem to our attention. And we should be careful about increasing pensions without paying the money that needs to be paid to fund those promises. And I would urge that we go along with their suggestion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Speaker, move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is 'Shall the main question be put.'. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Representative Stuffle to close."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I want to address some of the questions that were raised here, to reiterate some of the things that I and others have said. Someone made the comment that there was a specific statement apparently of 100 and some million dollar unfunded liability. I repeat what I said and Representative Terzich can vouch for that, too, as chairman of the Pension Committee, There's no impact statement that we've seen or that's been prepared on this Conference Committee Report. Many of the things in the Bill in the Senate originally were taken out of this report. Secondly, I would point this out to you. Someone wants to bring this to the attention of the press. That's fine with me because what this Bill does is give a dollar to every person per month per year of service in each of these systems based upon their creditable service only if they retired before January 1, 1976. The oldest, lowest paid group of people on pensions in all of these systems. That does not apply in the future. That is not used to compute any automatic increases in the future for any of these people. Secondly, the provision with regard to the 1% increase for survivors was on a Bill supported by and

drafted by the Pension Laws Commission actuary, supported by Republicans and Democrats, sponsored by Representative Neff and I. Those people in the universities system, for example, who get this great benefit of 1%..1%, average \$217.40 a month. And 60% of those people are over 75 years of age. That's a great benefit, a great giveaway. So tell the press if you want to about that. The only benefit for current employees is a maximum possible increase of \$50 a month in survivor benefits on a prospective basis, not on current people. The only other provision in here is downstate teachers in a closed group, 96 to be exact, the ability to have 45 years of creditable service used on a \$10 a month basis to get only those with 45 years up to \$450 a month. Chicago has had that provision for their teachers for a number of years. 96 people, guess what the average age of those people is. 81 years of age, 81. This Bill helps the poorest paid people. Nobody else. Current employees get only that benefit, and anyone who contradicts that on this floor is not telling you the truth. The question also arose as to whether or not there would be any increase tax in Chicago. There will not be because of this because of the reserve there because of the way payout is made in this state. And lastly, I say this to you when people rise here and say, 'People keep putting these in to be heroes.'. We put this Bill in to help these older people, not to help in any great way at all as I've indicated current employees, but the poorest of the poor pensioners. And you ought to also know that Representative Terzich and I every year since I've been here have introduced a Bill to defer some of the cost in these systems to reduce the unfunded liability. And every time we've either failed here in the House to get enough votes or the current Governor's vetoed the Bill. And we've been

unsuccessful in overriding the veto. I ask for your vote on the basis of the need for the Bill, nothing else. It can be afforded. The cost has been blown greatly out of proportion. Representative Neff and Schraeder, myself and Birchler have worked hard for this for an old group of people. I'd appreciate your vote in concurring with the Senate which did vote 55 to 3 for the wisdom of the Bill. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1009. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ebbesen to explain his vote."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote. A point...A point of personal privileges. I've been advised by my staff that these...these numbers....these figures were taken over the phone from the actuary in Chicago. And when I say that the downstate teachers retirement system, the unfunded accrued liability goes up 82 million, the Chicago teachers 20 million, and the state universities 11 million. They're actuarial figures, and the cost is going to be \$13 million. I think we ought to be voting 'no' on this Bill, get a Second Conference Committee, and take care of what the original Bill said, these people that need it badly. Nobody's denying that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these issues were addressed, and as many of you know that I've always supported benefits that were right for both the retirees and also the survivors. However, in this instance, the Bill came out with a very, very minor item changing the effective date of some benefit changes, and subsequently, we had on a ad-hoc basis to cover the teachers who are on retirement that were affected by the

raft of inflation. If you'll recall last year, we passed House Bill 1803 which gave them a 3% increment. This is an addition to that 3% increment, and we addressed that. In addition to that, Representative Neff came in and asked for some benefits on survivors. And what happened there that there wasn't any system in the entire state that provided an increment for survivors, and we granted it after hearing it in the Pension Laws Commission. And I subsequently said that that would also be a...now coming in there'll be a horde coming in asking for survivor increases just as well as he did. And sure enough it came true on House Bill 1009. You should also be interested to know that when the...when it comes to survivors benefits you can be assured that the active employees are not too willing to help pay for the cost of helping out their retirees. They don't want to pay the money. I think we...we addressed that issue by saying 'fine, we'll...we'll do it on an ad-hoc basis', but after the teachers association got together they threw it with...and by the way, that cost, though, is approximately \$7 million annually. In addition, they came in and said...said that they wanted to increase the maximum on the survivors benefit which benefits all of the active employees. This cost another \$7 million. And I think they're overstepping their boundary, that the unfunded liability of course would be near \$100 million. They have met...met their current payment, and I don't think that they will do it in the future. And I would suggest that the Bill go back and do everybody a favor. It's still going to cost \$13.8 million, and there is no money to be put in to fund this, either by the state or by the pen...the people who are participating in this. And I would urge a 'no' vote on House Bill 1009."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 1, 1980.

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's very heroic to vote a pension increase for all state employees, teachers, policemen, firemen, but let me say this that you're not doing anyone a favor, the tax payers, the teachers. I've served on this Pension Committee for...every since I've been here for 7 years, and I call it 'break the bank' Committee. And this green vote up there is definitely a 'break the bank' vote. So go ahead, break your pension fund down. And the people like Representative Ebbesen, Representative Terzich who have worked so hard to protect the pension fund, and they bring it to the House floor and wave all these teardropping flags on the floor for...and let's say these teachers may deserve it. But if you haven't got the money, why break your system? A half a loaf is certainly better than no loaf, and go ahead vote your green lights and break you pension funds down."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know whether these figures been floating around here come from. I know that there's one piece of legislation in here as Representative Terzich brought out that was approved by the Pension Laws Commission. We're talking about \$100,000 approximately annually in this particular Bill. And this is to take care of teachers retirees, college and universities that many of them are only making 150 to 2...175 a month. Fact is the average for 1,000 to 1,200 of these here beneficiaries are only drawing 100..\$217.90 a month. Now, I, again, I don't know whether it's coming out. But we do know that these people, many of them have been on retirement for maybe 20 years. Their spouses have died, and they've used up all of their income that they had, their savings and so forth, and they're desparate. And this will give them a little relief. And I think if any of us have a heart at all, we'll

support this legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I can't...can't quite believe what I heard just a moment ago when one Gentleman on the other side of the aisle got up and indicated that we're doing a very, very terrible thing by granting a small increase in downstate and Chicago teacher retirement benefits. \$1 a month for 12 months for every year served. That didn't seem to be a problem last night when the same Gentleman voted to increase his own pension funds by 5%. Now you ought to look at that Roll Call and vote your conscience on this one. If you're going to vote yourself a 5% increase when you retire, why should you deny a person who's already retired a small increment. So if you'd like to take a look at that Roll Call, the number of the Bill is House Bill 3535, the First Conference Committee Report. Very interesting Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think the point is is what...what devilment the Conference Committee is up...was up to. The Bill as introduced would have provided relief to those who needed relief. The total cost to the state was absolutely minimal, \$150,000. We spend that much on Xeroxing in the House, much less the Senate. The Bill that came back from the Conference Committee cost \$13 million annually. There is a difference. We can send this Bill back, strip off the goodies that nobody seems to want to talk about, the additions, and go back to the...to the basics, the people, the retirees who need help. And then there will...equity will be done. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I know many people here think they're doing the right thing by putting 115 green votes up on the board, but believe me you're doing the wrong thing. This Bill was considered by the Pension Commis...Committee and the Pension Laws Commission; and it was a one time stop gap measure to take care of retired...retirees, those people who would retired prior to 1976. And the idea was to give those people \$1 extra per month for each year of service they put in with state...and these various systems. And...and we approved that, and the Pension Laws Commission approved that. And there was a fiscal statement, an impact statement from...from the Pension Laws Commission that it would cost approximately \$150,000 a one time cost. Now, what's been added to this is something close to \$15 million. There is no contribution by the present participant. Right now the City of Chicago on their annual...on their payroll, 15% of their payroll goes just to pay retirees. If...if any time we've done anything wrong, unreasonable without any clear thought of what we're doing, it's passing this Bill. We should reject this Conference Committee Report. Accept it as it originally came out of the Pension Committee, and then next time...and let the Pension Commission study this problem with survivors. And not pass this at this particular session. And, Mr. Speaker, this...this should say 107, I'd like a ver...request a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, is my light working?"

Speaker Redmond: "Not very well."

Ewing: "Well, I didn't think so..."

Speaker Redmond: "Your light's working, but the microphone isn't."

Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for recognizing my light. It's been on a while, and everything's been said that I wanted to say. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "That's the reason I didn't call. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 118 'aye' and 33 'no'. Representative McCourt, did you request a verification? Representative McCourt requests a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Poll the absentees, Mr. Speaker, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Johnson: "I just...I want to be verified."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson, may he be verified? Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk. Representative O'Brien. May he be verified? Abramson. May he be verified? Representative Getty. Getty. May he be verified, is that your inquiry. Representative Murphy. Murphy wants to be verified. Representative Ronan desires to be verified."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty. Bluthardt. Epton. Kane. Keane."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Klosak. Kosinski. Kucharski. Laurino. Madigan. Schlickman. Walsh. No further."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton asked leave to be verified. Verify the affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Alexander. Balanoff. Barnes. Bell. Birchler. Borchers. Bower. Bradley. Braun. Breslin."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capparelli desires to be verified."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the poll of the affirmative. Brummer. Bullock. Campbell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kulas requests leave to be verified."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the poll of the affirmative. Capparelli. Capuzi. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Conti. Cullerton. Currie. Daniels. Darrow. Davis. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Ralph Dunn: "Could I be verified, please, Sir. 'Aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "May Representative Ralph Dunn be verified? Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dyer. Ewing. Farley. Flinn. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman. Griesheimer. Hallock. Hanahan. Hannig. Harris. Henry. Hoxsey. Huff. Jaffe. Johnson. Dave Jones. Emil Jones. Kane. Katz. Kelly. Kent. Kornowicz. Kulas. Leverenz. Mahar. Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. McAuliffe. McBroom. McClain. McGrew. McMaster. McPike. Molloy. Mugalian. Mulcahey. Murphy. Neff. Oblinger. O'Brien. Pechous. Peters. Pierce. Polk. Preston. Rea."

Speaker Redmond: "Virginia Frederick, 'aye'. Deuster, 'aye'. Representative Anderson, 'aye'. Representative Burnidge, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the poll of the affirmative. Reilly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Donovan. Donovan requests permission to be verified. Young fellow down here."

Clerk O'Brien: "Richmond. Rigney. Robbins. Ronan. Ropp. Ryan. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schneider."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom requests permission to be verified. McCourt, McBroom desires to be verified."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Sharp. Slape. Stanley. Stearney. Steczo. E. G. Steele. C. M. Stiehl. Stuffle. Sumner. Telcser. Tuerk. Van Duyne. Vinson. VonBoeckman. Watson. White. Wikoff. Willer.

Williamson. Winchester. Sam Wolf. Woodyard. Younge.
And Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Abramson."

Speaker Redmond: "Who? Abramson requested permission to be
verified. Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "What is the count right now?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 123 'aye'."

McCourt: "Well."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor, 'aye'. That's 124."

McCourt: "Bradley."

Speaker Redmond: "How's Bradley recorded? Representative Taylor
Pouncey, have you got a tie on today? Okay.
Representative Taylor Pouncey. Taylor Pouncey."

Pouncey: "'Aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record him as 'aye'. Representative Patrick."

Patrick: "'Aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "How's Representative Bradley recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Any other questions?"

McCourt: "Braun."

Speaker Redmond: "How's Braun recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her. Oh, Braun here? Pardon me. If
Krska had been in his seat, I could have seen her."

McCourt: "Capuzi."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

McCourt: "Capuzi."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capuzi, how's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

McCourt: "Chapman."

Speaker Redmond: "Chapman. How's she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Somebody's pointing to her. Where is she?"

McCourt: "All right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman, where are you?"

McCourt: "All right."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, she's here."

McCourt: "Christensen."

Speaker Redmond: "Christensen, he's here."

McCourt: "Cullerton."

Speaker Redmond: "He requested verification."

McCourt: "I didn't have him checked. All right. All right."

Speaker Redmond: "He was here. He requested it."

McCourt: "Currie."

Speaker Redmond: "She's here."

McCourt: "Darrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Cullerton? Yes, he did."

McCourt: "Darrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Darrow's here. If Harris'd sit down, you could see Darrow."

McCourt: "John Dunn."

Speaker Redmond: "John Dunn here? John Dunn? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

McCourt: "Dyer."

Speaker Redmond: "How is she recorded? Remove her."

McCourt: "Garmisa."

Speaker Redmond: "How's Garmisa recorded? John Dunn's returned. Put him back on the Roll Call. Garmisa, how's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Representative Marovitz. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Leave to be verified, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "May he be verified?"

McCourt: "All right."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

McCourt: "Giorgi."

Speaker Redmond: "Giorgi's down here."

McCourt: "All right. Greiman."

Speaker Redmond: "You know where he is. Land of nod."

McCourt: "Hallock. Oh, here he is, never mind."

Speaker Redmond: "Who is..."

McCourt: "Hanahan."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

McCourt: "Hannig."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

McCourt: "Harris."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris is here."

McCourt: "Katz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

McCourt: "Kornowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

McCourt: "Mahar."

Speaker Redmond: "Mahar? He's here."

McCourt: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Redmond: "How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

McCourt: "McGrew."

Speaker Redmond: "McGrew here? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "McGrew...McGrew is here. He's here."

McCourt: "All right. All right. Mugalian."

Speaker Redmond: "How's Mugalian recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Representative Dawson, for purpose do you rise?"

Dawson: "Record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Dawson as 'aye'. Proceed."

McCourt: "O'Brien."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here. Count him twice. He was verified and..."

McCourt: "Peters. Never mind. Pierce. Never mind."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

McCourt: "Preston."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

McCourt: "Never mind. Slape."

Speaker Redmond: "Slape here? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded voting 'aye'."

McCourt: "Stanley. Never mind. Stearney."

Speaker Redmond: "You were recorded. You asked permission to be verified, didn't we? Representative Slape is back there."

McCourt: "Stearney."

Speaker Redmond: "Stearney here? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

McCourt: "E. G. Steele."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

McCourt: "Telcser."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

McCourt: "White."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

McCourt: "Jesse White."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jesse White here? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Wait a minute."

McCourt: "Ann Willer."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute. Jess White is in the doorway there."

McCourt: "All right."

Speaker Redmond: "Ann Willer? How's she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "That isn't Ann Willer in her seat, is it?"

McCourt: "How about Williamson? Williamson."

Speaker Redmond: "Re...Remove Ann Willer."

McCourt: "Williamson."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

McCourt: "Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Barnes here? How's she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

McCourt: "Stearney. Did I take him off."

Speaker Redmond: "You did. You can only take them off once."

McCourt: "I was afraid of that. Is there still time for anyone to change their vote?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I haven't..."

McCourt: "That's all."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count? 116 'aye', 27 'no', and the motion prevails, and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1009, by a 3/5's margin. 1255, Donovan. Out of the record. 3193, Representative Boucek. 3193, on page 3. Out of the record. 3197, Representative DiPrima. I heard him. DiPrima. 3365, Representative Meyer. We passed him by. Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "All right, thank you, Mr. Speaker. At this time I would move that the House do adopt Conference Committee #1 on 3365. 3365 is an amendment to the...to the Environmental

Protection Agency Act. It relates to hazardous waste. The House acceded...receded to Senate Amendment #1 which was a technical amendment that...that renumbered a paragraph. Senate Amendment #2 was incorporated within the Conference Committee Report that said that the Director of the...of the department should only be able to spend \$1 million without authorization of the...of the General Assembly in dealing with an...with a...with a hazardous waste problem. Senate Amendment #3 dealt with the prequalifications of operators of hazardous waste. Senate...the Senate amend...Senate receded from Senate Amendment #4 which dealt with prequalifications in preconditions of...of...of definitions of hazardous waste. The House receded from Senate Amendment #5 which again dealt with...with prequalifications of the operators of hazardous waste. Additionally, there was new language added dealing with...with liability and responsibility of hazardous waste sites. It...it was...Section B said that it becomes a class 4 felony to illicitly and knowingly dump hazardous waste within the State of Illinois. There was additional language added that said that in the event of a...of a hazardous waste incident that was not covered by the hazardous waste fund that the fund should take care of the problem and then seek redress...redress from their owners and wrongdoers, and in the event that this was an orphaned or abandoned site, that the General Revenue Fund would reimburse the hazardous waste fund. I urge your approval of Conference Committee #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on Representative Meyer's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3365. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final action. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will

take the record. On this question there's 152 'aye' and 1 'no'. And the House does by a 3/5's majority adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3365. Mulcahey, 'aye'. We're going to go to a motion on 3535. Representative Doyle is recognized. Mr. Clerk will you read the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. Pursuant to Rule 62 A and having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3535 was adopted. Representative Doyle."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Doyle."

Doyle: "Mr. Speaker, I so move."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Davis."

Davis: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise in full support of this motion. It was brought out earlier today about the practice that is very common and occurs in the General Assembly about cutting in at the last moment in a Conference Committee Report things have been in other Bills, things that have died, things that are revived. But one of the worst practices that we have around here is that the end of the session to put totally new things in a Bill that no one's ever seen before and that might just be antagonistic to the view points of a great many of the Legis...of the Legislators sitting on this floor. Now the scenario last night was that this Bill was heard at 11:38 at which time many Legislators had not even seen the Conference Committee Report buried in a load of paper when it was distributed, I think, less than an hour earlier than that. The Sponsor, not to his discredit, he's a very honorable man, merely said the Bill was a merely Bill. And the Bill did pass, and I indeed had an 'aye' vote on the Bill. At 11:40 after having dug out the Conference Committee Report and read it,

I immediately went down to the well and filed a motion or drafted a motion of which Representative Friedrich filed to reconsider this vote. I think that this kind of action and this kind of attention that is put upon the General Assembly is the kind of thing that makes us all look like lemmings ready to jump over a cliff into a deep abyss in which lurks...or not lurks, poor choice of words...in which resides the very angry electorate and perhaps a very smiling Pat Quinn. I certainly recommend to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that you vote for this motion to reconsider so that we can then reconsider the vote and send this back to a Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, too rise in support of this motion. Let me say that I was one of those that got caught in the switches about 11:30 last night. When I saw the...my green light on there, I immediately went down. It was too late to change it then. The Roll had already been taken. I immediately went down to the clerk's desk and asked to be changed from an 'aye' vote to a 'no' vote, and he did file a slip to do just that. But unfortunately, there was a lock put on changing of votes, and so we could not change our votes. For this reason, I think we should have the opportunity to reconsider the vote by which this Bill passed. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, I would've continued to support 3535 with the exception of about 7 minutes ago a colleague of this House rose up to use this particular Bill to put it out of proportion to use it as political rhetoric. So therefore, Mr. Speaker, I rise to support this motion and the fact that that particular tool was used in this very House by one of the....one of the Members."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is 'Shall the main question be put?'.

Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried. Representative Doyle."

Doyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, because there was some confusion, I now move to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 3535 passed."

Speaker Redmond: "What was it? The First Conference Committee Report?"

Doyle: "On the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion 'Having voted on the prevailing side to reconsider the vote by which the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3535 was adopted.' Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, unlike some of my colleagues, I knew what was in that Bill. I voted for that Bill, and I'll continue to vote for the Bill today. There has been some misunderstanding about the last pay raise. If the Members of the Legislature have any fault with voting for any kind of an increase, it's because the manner we do it in at the 11th hour. But let me tell you something that since 1976 till 1980 and the pay raise that we gave ourselves would include up till '82 a five year raise without a raise at less than 4% a year was construed as a 40% increase. This contributing factor of 1% that we're giving for this increase in our pension will be the only kind of a raise I could perceive for this General Assembly in the next 4 years. I have campaigned in the past that the people get the kind of government that they pay for. Maybe we could get rid some of these 6,000 Bills that we have down here if we get responsible people

that try to perpetrate the fraud on the people back home by telling them they're coming down here and they're going to cut their taxes, and they're going to give them every pork barrel that they ask for, and they're going to do this, and they're going to do that. And that's the reason why you've got the type of legislation that you have. But pay a man a decent salary. I voted for every pension Bill that came up here. I voted for every pay increase, the state police pay increase. I pay my employees back home a decent wage so I don't have to have arbitrate with the un...labor unions every year. It's time that some of us stand up and tell the people what we're doing down here. How much we're worth. And we should vote for this pay increase or this pension increase. And I have no qualms in voting for it again today. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's very ironic that just previously we could without batting an eyelash vote out an annual cost of approximately \$14 million without one penny contribution whatsoever. No one mentioned the fact that the contributions on the revision of the General Assembly retirement system raised their contributions to over \$3,000 a year and that the 1% was more than actuarily sound. No one made mention of the fact that it seems everybody in the General Assembly is getting an 85% pension which we know that's not true. Every one hasn't said that the policemen, the firemen, the teachers and every other system have a 75% maximum. So, why are you criticizing something that people want to pay for and you can go and let all the other pressures whether its the teachers association or anyone else, and say, the poor widows, the poor this. And yet, they don't even want to pay for a benefit. But yet you can chastise your own Members of watching out and paying an

adequate amount, and I will vote 'present' on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. ...Mr. Speaker, I might have misheard, but are we now on the motion to reconsider?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, we are."

Peters: "And then we will the consider the Conference Committee Report once again?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct."

Peters: "Fine, 'cause I intend to vote 'yes' on that, and I'd like to speak on that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen. Representative Conti."

Ebbesen: "Well, yes..."

Conti: "For the purpose, Mr. ... For the purpose of the transcription if that's on, I misquoted myself when I said, 'I vote 'aye'.' I want to vote 'no' for the reconsideration of this. Just so the record is clear. I am voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Maybe I'm a little bit confused, but doesn't this increase in our pension also...isn't it not also accompanied by an extra charge on us for that increase in pension?"

Speaker Redmond: "Who can answer that? Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I think if we...if we just got...if you rule that the motion has passed then we can get on talking on the Bill itself."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, the question is on...128 'aye', 15 'no', and the motion prevails. The vote will be reconsidered. Representative Doyle. Representative Doyle."

Doyle: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I now move to nonconcur in the First Conference Committee Report and ask for a Second Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "The motion...the motion is to not adopt the

First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3535.
Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope this is the appropriate place, but I'd just like to point out that the substance of this Conference Committee Report. The Bill...most of the Bill was Senate Bill 1125 that passed out of here Second Conference Committee Report last fall and is on the Secretary's desk in the Senate with about 120 votes. But the balance of the Bill related to the increase of...in the going from 80 to 85%. According to the actuary for the Pension Laws Commission, I was on the telephone with him 3 different times today, increasing the General Assembly Retirement Systems maximum retirement benefit from 80% of the salary to 85% will cost the tax payers of Illinois about \$46,000 annually. Now, the increase in the unfunded accrued liability, we were just talking about that with this Bill relative to this pension system, would be \$975,000 but it's amortized as they do with all pension systems over a period of 30 years. Now, that means that using all his actuarial figures and he came up with a factor of 15.79, he came up with \$62,000 annually to take care of that over a 30 year period. That would mean \$1.8 million. Now, the current service cost each year according to the actuary would be \$52,000, or a total between those two of \$114,000. Now, unlike, as was indicated previously, unlike a Bill we just passed for 13 million, no contribution or increase whatsoever to pay for it. There was an increase of 1%, going from 10% Member contribution to 11%. Now, if you multiply taking 1% of the \$28,000 salary, that's \$280 a year times 236 Members, that totals \$68,000 roughly. You take the difference between 114 and the \$68,000, and you come up with a difference of \$46,000. Now to talk about the 5% that was in there. It

GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 1, 1980.

wasn't tacked onto the end for somebody who was going to be serving his 19th and his 20th year and then stepping across the threshold. But that 5% increase was put into the 8, 10, and 12 year category such that there may be some people out there in their 30's or 35 to 40 years of age who might wish to render a little public service. They might interrupt their lives to contribute to participation in government at this level. That's why that was put back there. To me it seemed to be a reasonable sensible approach. I think, unfortunately, it was the timing of when it...when it occurred as opposed to the Bill itself. And I'm going to go along. I would encourage everybody to at least send this back to a Second Conference and come up with what might be whatever is the substitute."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Is my understanding that Sponsor has moved to not adopt Conference Committee Report #1, is that correct, Sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Davis: "I would request and I would hope 5 others would join me to Roll Call on that motion. In other words a 'yes' vote on this would be to not adopt this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, besides the Gen...Members of the General Assembly there are some other people included in this report. I knew what I was voting for last night, and although I vote red quite a bit, I voted for this Bill. I felt like they should be included. I am...will be paying my part of the increase. I'll have two years in on this. It's not going to amount to anything for me. I'll pay in more than I'll ever draw out. Now, some of you it will help. But what...for the

time...for the number of hours in the day that you put in for the amount of time that you put in. When you start talking about salary for the General Assembly, there's not a one of you out there that if you'd put in the time and the work that you do here in the General Assembly but what can't...but what could make more money. I made more money than I'm making in the General Assembly when I was at home. And if I go back home and stay, I'd make more money. I'm here to serve the people of my district. I feel like this is just, and I will vote to keep it on because I feel like that...that...that this is just. Now, you can't just say that you want people to serve for nothing. You want people to work. You want people to study the Bills and you want people to vote their conscience. You have to...it all takes a lot of time to campaign. If you didn't have to campaign any, if you didn't have any constituent work when you got done, you didn't have to worry about phone calls, letters and the things that you do for these people, this would be a different story. But you should pay...you should get...you should receive in compensation something for what you put in. And since we're paying the large majority of this, I can't see where the people have any room to complain."

Speaker Redmond: "Any...Representative Boucek. Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Mr. Speaker, I would request an Oral Verified Roll Call on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Wonder how many Members here will be voting without a conflict of interest on this right now. You know a lot of times I hear about conflicts of interests. I wonder how many Members right now are about to cast a vote with a conflict of interest for the press to write about. Another

thing, I was wondering how many Members will refuse that increase in their pension just like they refused their increase in salaries. The greatest scene of mass hypocrisy. The greatest scene of mass hypocrisy are those Members that I see standing down cashing their checks before the ink is dried when a year ago they were beating their chest about how bad it was how we got a pay raise. Who're they kidding. This is a scene that you should do in the bathroom with the door locked and the lights out."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is 'Shall the main question be put?'."

Those is favor vote 'aye', those...those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Doyle to close."

Doyle: "I...I just ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House do not adopt First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3535. Those...and request a Second Conference Committee. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote I would like to see enough red votes there not to concur so it does go back to a Conference Committee so we can stand up and be counted on it. I'd like to see everybody vote 'no' not to concur. Was it green? Now, you got me confused. It's red, not to concur. So that we can send this back to a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Slape."

Conti: "The other way around, green."

Slape: "Point..."

Conti: "Everybody turn to vote the gr..."

Slape: "Point of...point of order. Isn't the motion not to

concur?"

Speaker Redmond: "Not...that we do not adopt and go to a Second Conference Committee. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 'aye' and 31 'no' and the motion prevails the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report. A Second Conference Committee is requested. 3482, Representative Terzich. Representative Terzich, 3482."

Terzich: "Yes...yes, Mr. Speaker... Want to clear the board? I move to nonconcur with the First Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3482. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries, and the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3482. Conference Committee Reports on supplemental calendar #2 appears under the order of Conference Committee Reports, House Bill 2837, Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that we concur with the Conference Committee Report #...#1. What it does is it...it accepts the....recommends the acceptance of the Senate Amendment #1 which takes out state grants and leaves the Bill that the state firemarshal shall disperse federal grants to units of local government. And I move for the concurrence in this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, are there in fact any federal grants..."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute."

Brummer: "Will the Sponsor yield? Are there federal grants that come to the State of Illinois that would be administered under this program?"

Younge: "There...the United States Department of Agriculture and

this forestry service has federal grants available for municipalities of 10,000 and under. The effort will be to amend the federal statute making them available to municipalities over 10,000."

Brummer: "So unless the federal law is amended, we...there is no...this would not do anything, is that right?"

Younge: "I will look for other types of federal grants."

Brummer: "I'm sorry. I can't hear you."

Younge: "I will look for other types of federal grants, too."

Brummer: "I...I still cannot hear."

Younge: "I said other types of federal grants will be looked for."

Brummer: "Okay, they are currently distributed through the Illinois Department of Conservation to fire districts some, I think, federal money. Would that be shifted under this? Are those the dollars that currently go to those entities of under 10,000?"

Younge: "I don't know about that."

Brummer: "If this Conference Committee Report is adopted, you indicated that there were currently federal grants for municipalities of under 10,000. Who currently administers those in Illinois?"

Younge: "They're administered directly by...to the municipalities."

Brummer: "To whom does the federal grant go? Doesn't it go through some state agency?"

Younge: "I am not...I'm not absolutely certain about that."

Brummer: "In any event this does not shift the current state agency that administers those to units of under 10,000, is that correct?"

Younge: "Not in any way, no."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "She will."

McCourt: "Is this the Bill that is to enable St. Clair County or East St. Louis to procure one new fire engine?"

Younge: "No, this is the Bill to permit or authorize the state's fire...firemarshal to administer federal grants for fire protection equipment to units of local government."

McCourt: "Well, just so everyone knows when I go home to Evanston and I tell them what I tried to do for Evanston, when you go home to East St. Louis, what are you going to tell them that House Bill 2837 tried to do for East St. Louis?"

Younge: "I'm going to tell them that this House Bill makes it possible for the state firemarshal to try to find federal grants for fire protection equipment for any city in Illinois that needs it."

McCourt: "In other words, I can put Evanston on the list, and if I'm lucky, they might get a new fire engine, too. Is that it?"

Younge: "If it needs it."

McCourt: "They need it. All right, if that's all it does, it must be no good."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Pullen: "There is legislation that has passed this House several different times that would require all federal funds to be appropriated by the Legislature before they could be spent, and there were certain exceptions to that. Do you know whether the grants that would be under this Bill would have to be appropriated by the General Assembly if that legislation is signed?"

Younge: "I had...I had presumed that it would be have to be appropriated. And... I had presumed that it would be have

to be approp...specifically appropriated by the General Assembly, just like conservation. The only point is that the state firemarshal ought to be the one related to fire protection equipment."

Pullen: "So it would be subject to state appropriation of federal of funds?"

Younger: "Yes."

Pullen: "If Representative Lechowicz's Bills are signed?"

Younger: "Yes, I do not know of any exception having to do with the firemarshal's office, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Younger: "Surely."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, was this Bill taken from the table?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, we're going to have to go to that order as soon as we get through questioning here. Representative Wikoff. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I was just going to rise and say in support of this Bill this was worked out in Conference Committee, and I think it's in fine shape right now."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Before we consider the Bill, we have to move to take it from the table. So, do you make the motion, Representative Younger?"

Younger: "I do, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Those in favor of the motion indicate by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120 'aye' and 5 'no', and the Bill is taken from the table. Are you ready for the question? On the question of adoption the First Conference Committee Report, those in favor...the Lady's motion to adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2837,

indicate by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 122 'aye' and 15 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2837, by a 3/5's majority. Now, all the rest of the... There's two more House Bills on there. Let's go to Senate...934 on the supplemental calendar #2. Representative Pierce on the floor. 934. Good idea. We called it once when nobody was here. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "I'm still after...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 934 as it passed the House provided for increasing the penalty for cigarette...bringing in untaxed cigarettes and selling them from a first class misdemeanor to a third class felony. It passed the House...it passed the Senate and the House. In the House we put on an amendment that made clear the violation of home rule tax, taxing powers, criminal violations were subject to criminal penalties. For some reason the Senate nonconcurred and went into a Conference Committee. Now, in the Conference Committee the Bill was improved to make it clear that on the cigarette bootlegging an individual would not be guilty of a felony, only a misdemeanor, but a cigarette distributor would be subject to a third class felony. In other words, the individual would be just as he is now, only a misdemeanor should he be picked up for bootlegging cigarettes. But the dealer, the guy in business, the guy who's trying to evade taxes would be guilty of a third class felony. The...the Bill also has a provision in it allowing home rule units to prosecute violators of their home rule tax ordinances. I think the Bill is noncontroversial now. I therefore move to adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 934."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "With all respect to the Sponsor, I'm not sure it is that controversial...noncontroversial. Representative Pierce, just a couple questions. You indicated that this sets the penalty at a class 3 felony, is that right?"

Pierce: "Excuse me, class 4 felony. I misspoke."

Johnson: "Okay. What...what's the penitentiary term or eligible term for a class 4 felony?"

Pierce: "Class 4 felony, I believe, is 3 to...3 to...1 to 3. 1 to 3."

Johnson: "Why...let me, I guess, rephrase that. What soc...why are we making a felony rather than a misdemeanor even for the cigarette dealer?"

Pierce: "Because this is a mutli-million dollar business of smuggling cigarettes into our state because of differential in our taxes. These guys are in business to make money, and they make money by defrauding the stockholder...I mean the...the...defrauding the tax payers of our state. If you fail to file your income tax, that's a felony."

Johnson: "Well, I..."

Pierce: "If you...if you underestimate your income tax, that's a felony. But here are these bootleggers, many of them members of the syndicate, who are bringing thousands and thousands of dollars of untaxed cigarettes into our state to avoid taxes, and they make...reap a profit. And...and they should be guilty of a felony just as you would be if you made a false entry on your income tax return."

Johnson: "Well, I guess what I'm asking is why...I agree. I mean I think it's something that ought to be addressed. But why can't you do it as we do in theft or we do in criminal damage where you set a certain dollar amount of in this case, you know, value of illegally transported items of cigarettes or whatever and set it on a value basis rather

than a carte blanche class for felony for everyone who violates the Act."

Pierce: "Not everyone. Only distributors. Individuals..."

Johnson: "That's what I mean, distributors. But why can't you do it in terms of an ad valorem approach as we have in the rest of our criminal code, rather than do it in a...on a carte blanche basis?"

Pierce: "I don't know if that's in the rest of our criminal code, but if you like that approach, you should have introduced a Bill. Now on July 1st, we have a Bill that passed the House already, and all we've done now is modified that Bill and take some people out from it. And the House seemed to approve the concept. Both the House and the Senate passed the Bill with the concept in it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Mahar: "Yes, on page 5, Representative Pierce, it deals with the acquisition of local liquor licenses and says in effect that the Department of Conservation doesn't have... That's out?"

Pierce: "Oh, that's Conference Committee Report #1."

Mahar: "I have the wrong report. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Pierce: "One was defeated in the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Stearney."

Stearney: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Pierce: "Yes, I will."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Stearney: "Representative, can you tell me how many cigarette distributors have been prosecuted in the last few years for bringing cigarettes into the state illegally?"

Pierce: "Not too many, Ron. I got the figures. I'll do it from memory now. There are only about six prosecutions a year and about two or three convictions a year."

Stearney: "And of those two, three or convictions that there have been, what has been the sentence meted out to them?"

Pierce: "I haven't got the sentence, but they've been misdemeanors. So..."

Stearney: "Well, were they sentenced to..."

Pierce: "I doubt..."

Stearney: "...serve time in the county jail?"

Pierce: "I doubt if they got the maximum first degree misdemeanor on the first offense."

Stearney: "Wait. Are you sure that they were sentenced to the county jail?"

Pierce: "No, I'm not. No, not at all."

Stearney: "Well, what troubles me then about it is you're increasing the penalties not knowing if the penalties will ever be meted out. This is another incidence of legislating purely for the sake of legislating. You might as well make it a class X or a class Z offense. No one's ever going to..."

Pierce: "Well, maybe we'll come to that next year."

Stearney: "Now, no one is ever going to be prosecuted..."

Pierce: "Well, we do have separation of powers. We can't help what the judiciary does. And maybe there'll be a new State's Attorney in January, December in Cook County, who'll be more vigorous in his prosecution. Maybe the Gentleman across the rotunda."

Stearney: "Well, I don't think he's got anything to do with it. But I don't know what you're going to accomplish by passing this since no one...very few people are prosecuted, and even less of them are ever...are sentenced to jail."

Pierce: "Well, I agree with you more should be prosecuted. And

we hope by making it a more significant offense, the State's Attorney will pay more attention to it..."

Stearney: "Well, I think..."

Pierce: "...prosecute more. We'll have to hire more defense attorneys to represent them."

Stearney: "Well, Representative, I think this should be class X offense, 6 years to 30 years in the penitentiary. This is a heinous offense."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Pierce: "Well, thank you, and the defense attorney should charge more then."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is 'Shall the main question be put?'. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'."

Pierce: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Representative Pierce to close."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, the Bill...both concept and this Bill passed the House earlier. We've now modified what some people thought was a somewhat objectionable concept, and that is individuals who were found carrying lot of untaxed cigarettes being possibly guilty of a 4th class felony. We made it clear they were only guilty of a misdemeanor. However, dealers who are robbing the state revenues of thousands and thousands of dollars by bootlegging all kinds of cigarettes, and we know how common that is, would be subject to a...a 4th class felony when they are caught. And we hope it'll be a deterrent. We hope this increased penalty will be deterrent to bootleggers. And therefore, I ask that we adopt Conference Committee 2 to Senate Bill 934."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 934. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to explain my 'aye' vote. In response to Representative Johnson's very intelligent questions may I say that indeed under a class 4 felony for distributors, restitution may be offered...ordered. You may have a community service sort of penalty attached to it. It is indeed the proper sort of penalty that should be attached where you have a concerted effort. Where you have a distributor, this is the appropriate penalty. And I'm glad to see we have 116 votes up there. This is an important Bill, also, to make clear because of a recent Supreme Court case that the State's Attorney of the various counties are entitled to prosecute clearly where there is a local ordinance. They're entitled where violation of that ordinance is concerned to prosecute under theft statutes. And I certainly solicit your...your votes on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. Representative J. J. Wolf."

J. J. Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My light working up there, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, very well. It's always blinking."

J. J. Wolf: "I was trying to find out one thing because I think there's a very serious question here. How many cigarettes do you have to be bootlegging in order to get convicted of a class 4 felony. I was trying to find out. If somebody goes down to Kentucky and comes back with a carton of cigarettes and sells it to a friend, is he subject to getting a...being convicted of a class 4 felony? Some years back I sponsored some legislation, but there was a

certain number of cartons of cigarettes that would be...have to be involved. I believe the number was 15."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "In answer to the Gentleman's question because I know he's a conscientious Member, we've taken out the individual. Individuals won't be liable. A dealer, a licensed cigarette dealer, though, will be liable, but I think even on a pack."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf, 'aye'. On this question there's 123 'aye' and 18 'no', and the House... Representative Steele, 'aye'. Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be voted 'aye' and make the same inquiry that Representative Wolf did. I'd like to check to see if my speak button is working as I had that on from the beginning of the debate, also."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester had his light on from the beginning of the debate, and we didn't call on him. Representative Anderson had his light on, and we didn't call him. Representative Tuerk had his light on, we didn't call on him. Representative Winchester, 'aye'. What's the count Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 126 'aye' and 18 'no', and the House does by a 3/5's majority adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 934. On the regular calendar, 3193. That's on page 3. Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I yield to Representative Getty."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, has this been moved from the table? I...I think it was..."

Speaker Redmond: "No."

Getty: "earlier...or then I would..."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute. Wait a minute."

Getty: "I think it..."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, yes, it was."

Getty: "All right. Very good. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would move that the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3193. The Conference Committee Report sets out clearly that no person holding an office under a municipality shall be permitted to be a member of the Board of Fire and Police Commissioners. It also clarifies that any city, village, incorporated town or home rule municipality may by either Resolution or ordinance opt out of the national historic district provisions. It provides that any county may by Resolution or ordinance provide that this Section shall not apply and shall not affect the assessment. It further sets forth the procedures under which a person may apply by verified application to the county assessor or supervisor of assessments of the Board of Assessors. I would move for the adoption of the Second Conference...or strike that, First Conference Committee Report which was unanimously signed by all of the Members of the Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any further discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House do adopt the First Revised Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill...on House Bill 3193. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 133 'aye' and 4 'no'. The motion carries and the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3193. Representative Bower."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to point out that that is Representative Walsh's last Bill on the calendar in his last term in the House of Representatives."

Speaker Redmond: "How do you know?"

Bower: "Well, he told me so."

Speaker Redmond: "We're going to be here in the fall. We'll have... Well, we may have introduction of first reading. Who knows? On 1255 on page 3, Representative Donovan. I believe his... Representative Dunn."

John Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, there's just a number of us back here wonder whether we ought to order dinner or should we... Are we going to adjourn or break..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, let me tell you..."

John Dunn: "...or what's our program?"

Speaker Redmond: "Let me tell you the best of my guess. There are still two appropriation Bills that have not appeared on a House calendar, and I don't know whether the Conference Committees Reports have been prepared. But let's assume that they get back here within five minutes that would mean that we couldn't possibly hear them until 10...9:30. We also have four other appropriation Bills on the calendar. We have 1812 on the calendar. We 3250 on the calendar. So it seems unlikely to me that we'll be out of here before midnight. Now, I may be wrong, but... Well, how do I know how much you're going to talk. If you don't, you know, you keep pushing the buttons, and you bowl me out because I don't see you, and I don't recognize you. There's only 176 out there times 10 minutes. My best guess is that we may very well be here til midnight. Now, I don't know. Why don't you prove me a liar. Prove me a liar. Now, did we take this one from the table? We have not taken this one from the table. So, Representative Donovan, the first order would be move to suspend the rule in order that House Bill 1255 be taken from the table. Representative..."

Donovan: "Mr. Speaker, I move to take from the t...to suspend the rule to take from the table House Bill 1255."

Speaker Redmond: "Those in favor of the motion vote 'aye',

opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120...130 'aye' and no 'nay'. The motion carries. Representative Donovan on House Bill 1255."

Donovan: "Mr. Speaker, I move to adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1255."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor didn't explain what this does, but I would...I know what it does, and I'm rising in opposition. About all this Conference Committee Report does is to breath some life into an old idea that's well intentioned, but I think wrong and troublesome. This Bill would provide...would make it a crime for a husband or a wife to violate a civil domestic divorce decree in this way. The idea is that where a divorce decree, where a decree relating to a divorce prohibits a husband from harrassing or interfering with his wife or calling her on the phone or things like that. That it would make that a crime. Now, the judges already have authority under the contempt of court powers to get tough with a fellow that's harrassing his wife or giving her a hard time or calling her on the phone. But suppose a man calls up his wife to wish her a happy birthday, and it happens that that's in violation of the standard provision that we quite often prepare. And that it says you shall not call your wife, harrass her, interfere with her, intimidate her and a lot of other things. Now, it may be well, we want to help women. We want to help a husband, for that matter, too, from avoiding violence and trouble and serious things. But this is too broad, and to mix criminal law with civil law and make a husband or a wife a criminal because they violated domestic civil decree, I think is wrong. And I think that this is something, maybe an idea, we ought to

consider more carefully next year in a new session. I still think it's wrong, and I would urge 'no' votes on this idea of making a criminal out of a person who violates a civil divorce decree."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Has the Conference Committee Report itself been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "They tell me it has."

Brummer: "Where?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Braun has it, now."

Brummer: "They're supposed to be on pink paper. That's a yellow sheet of paper."

Speaker Redmond: "They tell me that's the..."

Brummer: "Is that a...is that a Conference Committee Report on this, on House Bill 1255? We...I have the analysis. I'd like the copy of the Report. Can anyone find one on their desk?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider, will you ask the chief page about the Conference Committee Report on 1255. Tell me what he says. Representative Braun, do you have one?"

Braun: "I have a special copy, Mr. Speaker. I don't know if they've been distributed or not. This copy was given to me by Representative Catania."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty, do you have it? When was it distributed? Do you have any date on that?"

Getty: "I...yeah the first day of July 1979, Mr. Speaker. One year ago today."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson. Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, I...the only people who seem to have a copy are the ones who are on the Conference Committee. And I don't have one, and I think the language of the Section 2 is really important. Our staff doesn't have one."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Donovan. It was distributed. We assume that all the Members keep these things year after year. Representative Kane."

Kane: "There isn't any over here either, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Take it out of the record until there is one distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. On page 2 of the regular calendar under the order of concurrence appears House Bill 2905. Representative Anderson, I believe we have to move to suspend the rule to take this from the table. Representative Anderson, are you handling that? Representative Steele, will you please sit down. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I'd ask Representative Anderson to hold this for a while."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record."

Speaker Madigan: "House Bill 2905, Mr. Anderson. Do not wish to call the Bill? Mrs. Barnes. Mrs. Barnes, on Senate Bill 1812."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to consider the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1812. There is only...there was only one word changed from last night. And it was a total amount to be paid as a reimbursement back to the school districts, and the effective date was changed. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1812. And on that motion Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "On a matter...on a matter of parliamentary inquiry,

now this...this is a Senate Bill, does it not need 107 to be considered as... We have been making motions on Bill by Bill. Is that for only House Bills?"

Speaker Madigan: "I'm told that that rule does not apply to Senate Bills, but only House Bills."

Schneider: "Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "You wish to speak to the motion?"

Schneider: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Barnes."

Barnes: "I understand that a lot my votes are out having dinner, and perhaps I should take it out of the record and wait until they come back."

Schneider: "No, no, go ahead. Don't take it out. You got a good shot at it. Trust me. Golden opportunity."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady requests that the Bill be taken from the record. The Bill shall be taken from the record. On the second supplemental calendar, Senate Bill 1640, Mr. Ewing. Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, is this need to move to take this Bill from the table, or is it off the table? It's not on the table?"

Speaker Madigan: "There's no need for a motion, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "All right, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Department of Corrections budget for the coming year. As it was originally introduced it was \$245,624,000. The Senate cut out \$2,000,220. The Sen...as it passed the Senate, it came over to us at \$243,000,000. As it is finally presented here tonight, we have added back 173 mil...\$1,000,738 of the \$2,000,220 taken out by the Senate. In addition, we have had transferred into this budget from other agency budgets 1,514,000. And I would, therefore, move for the adoption of this appropriation for

the Department of Corrections."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1640. On that motion, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Would the... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield. Mr. Ewing."

Totten: "You... Mr. Ewing, you indicated in your remarks that there was some money transferred in from other budgets. What was that?"

Ewing: "\$318,000 from the Department of Mental Health."

Totten: "For what?"

Ewing: "This is for the unified delinquency program. UDIS, it's called."

Totten: "Is that the only transfer in?"

Ewing: "No, no, there's one other. 100...\$1,750,000 from the State Board of Education to the Department of Corrections for special educations program in adult and juvenile correction facilities."

Totten: "Is that a new program?"

Ewing: "It was taken from the State Board of Education Budget."

Totten: "It is..."

Ewing: "No, I understand it wasn't a new program this year. It's for the education that provided to those people incarcerated in our juvenile and adult institutions."

Totten: "It's a new program?"

Ewing: "Pardon."

Totten: "It is a new program?"

Ewing: "No, I understand it is not a new program."

Totten: "Why wasn't it in the budget before."

Ewing: "Well, it was in probably the Board of...the State Board of Education budget before."

Totten: "Has it been taken out of there?"

Ewing: "Yes, it has. This is the transfer in."

Totten: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Bullock: "Representative, I noticed that this is...the Conference Committee Report is about \$1.5 million over the original House version. Could you tell me what the Dixon Springs in Kankakee personnel service is? What that line item is for? It's about \$80,000."

Ewing: "Just a moment, we'll tell you. Dixon Springs is for supplies and accounting needs."

Bullock: "Supplies and accounting needs? My records show that personal services, Representative."

Ewing: "Oh, okay, I'm sorry. That's one accountant and one storekeeper."

Bullock: "For \$80,000, okay. And the Joliet for about \$200,000, is that for a doorkeeper and accountant, also?"

Ewing: "Jo...You said for Joliet?"

Bullock: "Yes, Sir, Joliet, personal services."

Ewing: "No, I think we can get more than two for that, Representative. We got 19..."

Bullock: "I'm glad to hear you say that. If that's the case, I certainly was going to apply myself."

Ewing: "19 security staff people. 19 security staff people for Joliet."

Bullock: "19 security staff people. Also, what are we receding from here? I don't show in my staff analysis these other

four amendments that we are asking to recede from."

Ewing: "Well, what we are receding..."

Bullock: "1, 3, 4 and 7."

Ewing: "We are receding from these, and then in a compromise with the Senate, they're all being, or parts of them not completely, are being added back. What happened when, as I indicated, the Senate cut this by \$2,220,000 when it came over here. We added back approximately 1 point some million of that in our amendments 1, 3, 4 and 7. Then the Senate failed to concur in these amendments. We had the Conference Committee Report. And we have further cut the additions which the House made. Now, the House additions..."

Bullock: "How deep were those cuts, Representative?"

Ewing: "The House additions to the Department of Corrections budget were never as great as the amount that was originally cut from the Senate. And there is approximately 2.7 million in operations that have been cut out of this budget from the time it was submitted."

Bullock: "One last question, perhaps my staff analysis is incorrect, but my analysis show the net of 1.7 million. And that it really comes from the State Board of Education..."

Ewing: "Well..."

Bullock: "...and through the Department of Corrections for special education programs in adult and juvenile facilities. Is that incorrect?"

Ewing: "That...now...You misunderstood what I said. There's a 2.7 million in general operations cut, but they did transfer in the 1.75 million for education. Yes, that's correct."

Bullock: "Very good. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to briefly address the Bill if I may."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, most of you if not all of you are familiar with the problems in our correctional facilities here in Illinois. I dare say I've gotten an inordinate amount of correspondence from the Stateville Center. And one of the things that I've communicated with Director Franzen about is that many of incarcerated inmates at that facility are really crying out for assistance in the area of vocational education moreover the need for programs in television repair and the overall vocational rehab facility. I certainly have difficulty and would urge that all of you take a look at this Conference Committee Report where we are being asked to, in fact, authorize in excess of a quarter of a million dollars for personnel services at a time when I'm sure many of the Representatives over there, one in particular I've spoken with about this problem, Representative McCourt from Evanston. He and I are personally familiar with the situation at that facility, that it would appear to me to be the better part of wisdom for the department and certainly for the Sponsor of this legislation to have put that money into vocational programs particularly programs that might rehabilitate those persons that are presently incarcerated. I'm not certain if we certainly, Representative Giorgi, Hanahan and McPike, we're certainly paying an inordinate rate for the workers at these facilities. And it's certainly in excess of the prevailing wage in the State of Illinois. And so for that reason, I certainly would think that persons might want to look very closely at this quarter of a million boondoggle for patronage for those people who are the chosen ones and who are fortunate enough to get their piece of the action in the Conference Committee Report. And I certainly think

that we don't want to go on record tonight while we're considering such important legislation as being able to vote this measure out. And I certainly think we ought to send it back. We ought to send it back to Conference Committee until the Representatives can do a better job of monitoring the state money and certainly being in line with this administration's posture. And I certainly will ask for a verification if this Bill receives the required number of votes because I don't think it's right for us to spend 3 quarters of a million dollars on boondoggle programs and personal services when we know that we need this money where it really counts. And that's to rehabilitate our incarcerated members in our correctional institution."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "Motion is for the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Mr. Ewing to close the debate."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we all know our prisons are bulging. We all wanted some safe streets in this state of ours. And if we're going to put people away, we're going to have to pay the cost. Some of us stood up here and said that when we passed the class X crime Bill. Now's the time to put the money out there. We're opening three new prisons this year, and I would ask for your support in funding this very important function of state government. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall the...shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1640?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. All those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Friedrich to explain his

vote."

D. P. Friedrich: "Well, just in response to Representative Bullock's remark. If he has competent people from his district who want to apply for a job at Stateville, I'm sure if he'll see Mr. Franzen, they will be hired without political affiliation. Now, if that's patronage, I don't quite understand it. Maybe he does. But if he's got qualified people who want to work down there, there are vacancies, and they can be hired. I suggest he go after that."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bullock to explain his vote."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege. My name was mentioned in debate. Representative Friedrich probably misunderstood what I was saying. I'm not opposed to patronage. I think it's a great idea particularly when it's Republican sharing with Democrats. I also think that Director Franzen has done a commendable job. He's one of the hardest working members of the Thompson administration. And because I think he's doing such a wonderful job and because I respect the minority leader for his fairness, I withdraw my request for verification, and I vote 'present'."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 129 'aye', 9 'no', 15 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1640. On supplemental calendar #2, Senate Bill 1545, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move that the House adopt the Conference Committee Room...Report #2 on Senate Bill 1545. This is a consumer originated Bill which requires the Secretary of State to promulgate rules and regulations on the amount of reserve of insurance a new car dealer must have. It applies to the extended warranty."

And this is only on new cars. And the ex...and the extended warranty is one that is provided by the dealer as an additional to the regular manufacturer warranty for a set fee. Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 1545."

Speaker Madigan: "The motion is 'Do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1545. Is there any discussion? Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Leverenz: "Representative Taylor, was this in the First Conference Committee Report?"

Taylor: "No, the Second Conference."

Leverenz: "Was anything that you have here in the Second Conference Committee Report in the First Conference Committee Report?"

Taylor: "Yes, it was...it was. I misunderstood you. It was in the First Conference Committee Report."

Leverenz: "What will be the impact on the new car dealers with this? What is the cost to the new car dealers because of this? Is there any way to estimate that?"

Taylor: "I don't...I don't have the answer to that Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Does this go further than the warranties offered by the manufacturer?"

Taylor: "It's the warranty that the dealer offers to the individual."

Leverenz: "That is over and above what the manufacturer..."

Taylor: "Yes."

Leverenz: "...like General Motors or Chrysler would offer?"

Taylor: "Yes."

Leverenz: "What would be the need for that protection?"

Taylor: "They're..."

Clerk Leone: "Supplemental 3 to the House calendar is now being distributed."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, I...the Bill was originally, I understand, a ride-sharing program type Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "I understand that Mr. McBroom is better able to answer the question. Mr. McBroom."

McBroom: "Well, I don't know that I'm better able to answer than Representative Taylor or not. But I do want to say, Representative Leverenz, that he had the courtesy of discussing this with me yesterday. The warranty that he is alluding to is above and beyond what you get when you buy a new automobile. You get a factory warranty, and then if you so desire, you can purchase a warranty from the dealer that goes beyond the factory warranty. Like what Representative Taylor is trying to do is to...to assure that if you in fact buy that additional warranty and then come in for repairs at some later date, that there is money to pay for those repairs whether it's done in Kankakee or Chicago or DeMoines, Iowa. And I really intend to support this. And I really don't see anything wrong with it."

Leverenz: "Would that be like all the..."

McBroom: "It was not done at my request, but at...Representative Taylor did discuss it with me at length last evening."

Leverenz: "You said it was not done at your request. Who's request was it done for?"

McBroom: "Well, you'd have to ask Representative Taylor that. I don't know. I don't know, but I don't see anything wrong with it. If you buy a warranty it's like an insurance policy if something happens, I assume you'd want it to be collectible. And for it to be collectible, you need a...you need money. You need a reserve."

Leverenz: "This'd be like buying a Chrysler and have Chrysler go

under and then there might be somebody there to fix the car?"

McBroom: "Well, that's not...that's not too far off. Or not necessarily Chrysler, any manufacturer."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Tuerk and I were the minority Members on the House serving on the Conference Committee. This concept was in the First Conference Committee Report. There was some technical language change necessary. That was made in Conference Committee Report #2. To...to restate again what Representative McBroom said, it's a consumer Bill..."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Taylor seek recognition?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave to take this out of the record."

Speaker Madigan: "This matter shall be taken out of the record. Mr. Daniels. Is Mr. Daniels in the chamber? Mrs. Karpiel. You wish to call Senate Bill 1624 on the third supplemental calendar the appropriations for the Pollution Control Board?"

Karpiel: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "On the third supplemental calendar Senate Bill 1624. Mrs. Karpiel."

Karpiel: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the House adopt Conference...Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1624."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves for the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1624. Mr. Gaines."

Gaines: "This is on the third Conference...third supplemental

calendar. It was just distributed. It hasn't been on our desk an hour. This has 8:40, so it couldn't have been on the desk an hour."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I would move to suspend that rule with regards to appropriation Bills. I think that the... both the House and Senate have worked real hard in getting together and compromising on the appropriation Bills. There's nothing hidden in them at all, and I think that at least you're going to have the reports on your desk. We're not going to hide anything from you. But I...so I would move to suspend that. What?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich moves to suspend Rule 68D."

Matijevich: "One at a time. In other words, if one does cause a problem to anybody I can understand that."

Speaker Madigan: "Fine."

Matijevich: "But, most of these have been worked out, so I move to suspend it with regard to this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich moves to suspend Rule 68D relative to Senate Bill 1624. Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, could we take this out of the record for now, please."

Speaker Madigan: "This matter shall be taken from the record. Mr. Daniels, do you wish to call House Bill 929?"

Daniels: "Yes, and I would presume that we would have to suspend the appropriate rule. May I explain, Mr. Speaker, that 929 has been on the desk of the Members of the House for a considerable length of time. We had made a few corrections which I'll explain in debate. The Senate just recently approved the Second Conference Committee Report, and I think that this will be acceptable to Members of the House. So I would move to suspend Rule 68D...8D."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels moves to suspend Rule 68D for

immediate consideration of the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 929. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'."

Daniels: "'Aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "All those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Mr. Daniels on House Bill 929."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 929 contains the following items. #1, it's a increase in the maximums for the supervisors of assessments. #2, it has an amendment which would increase the pay for the Assistant State's Attorneys and counties between 20,000 and 30,000 in population from the sum of \$4,000 to the sum of \$8,000. This involves three counties in our state. And the final amendment deals with the Local Government Finance Study Commission which previously had passed out of the House."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 929. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: Is that really Representative Daniels? With that haircut I hardly recognized him. I was wondering if he'd identify himself for us."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "I'm not sure we should get haircuts in Springfield. Three parades on July 4th and so..."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. ...Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Let me just explain to you. This first part of this Bill which is previously passed the House is a increase in the maximum for the supervisors of assessments throughout the State of Illinois. In order to have the pay raise

approved, if they approve it, it requires a Resolution by the county board. This does not automatically take effect. It's up to each county as to whether or not they want to increase the maximum. They have not had an increase in their pay for a considerable length of time. The reason that this came back to us was because an amendment was put on in the Senate which deleted the minimums. And of course many of us couldn't leave with the fact of deleting minimums. And therefore, the Senate is receding in their amendment in the Conference Committee Report. The other two items of the Bill which I think strengthen the Bill are dealing with the Local Government Finance Study Commission. We find that many of these are needed. We have very great support throughout the state for allowing our county boards to determine whether or not they should grant an increase to the supervisors of assessment. If your county board thinks that they're doing a good job, then they'll be able to determine whether or not a pay increase should be granted. If they don't, then none will be awarded to them. So all we're doing is authorizing the local county boards throughout the State of Illinois to determine whether or not a pay increase should be granted. Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Meyers."

Meyers: "Yes, I find it very interesting that the last Gentleman voted against the increase in pension, but yet he's in favor of the pay increase for assess...for assessors. I vote 'present'."

Daniels: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 113 'aye', 33 'no', 8 voting 'present', and this motion having received a 3/5's Constitutional Majority is

hereby declared passed. Mr. Hoffman, do you wish to call Senate Bill 569? Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Let'er roll, Mr. Speaker. This is Senate Bill 569 which is..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman, we should first move to suspend Rule 68D for immediate consideration and also you should move to take it off of the table. So, at this time Mr. Hoffman moves...Chair stands corrected. You need only move to suspend Rule 68D."

Hoffman: "That's right. So I do, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman moves to suspend Rule 68D for immediate consideration. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 569 is much different that it was when it left the House. It contains Amendment #5 which was placed on...on the House, and I would ask Representative Huff to speak to that. And another amendment has been placed on which is similar to a Bill sponsored by Representative Goodwin, and I would ask him to speak that. And I would yield to Representative Huff."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thank you, Representative Hoffman. Amendment #5 is House Bill 2913 which comprised the Chicago School Study Commission. It passed out of the House with 114 votes. I know of no reason why it should be controversial at this time."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. Pet...Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Would the Sp..."

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield. Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman, there is a question."

Simms: "Gene, under the Conference Committee, it indicates

through the analysis that I have that this allows the transfer of students between school districts. Is that correct?"

Hoffman: "Representative Goodwin will speak to that. Let him speak to that."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Goodwin."

Goodwin: "Yes, this is to permit students to transfer between school districts to serve the best interest of redistributing school populations between districts, varying en...enrollment patterns. That's so we can tear down some of the old rotten schools 80 years old, transfer kids to some of the newer ones where the school population has decreased."

Simms: "Well, does this in essence mean that it is possible under the provisions of this wording that it is possible to have between interdistrict bussing being forced upon by federal courts for the purposes of desegregation?"

Goodwin: "Yes, when...if the school districts and the superintendents of education deem it best."

Simms: "So in other words this is possible for forced bussing?"

Goodwin: "Well, no, oh no."

Simms: "Then nothing's forced and this is all..."

Goodwin: "Well, if...if..."

Simms: "Read the...read the Bill. It says if..."

Goodwin: "Well, when I say..."

Simms: "Everybody has to agree. The student has to agree. The parents have to agree. Or is this just an agreement...?"

Goodwin: "Parents...parents have to agree. Guardians have to agree."

Simms: "But...but this...but this can be used as a mechanism for achieving a racial balance between 2 school districts. Am I correct?"

Goodwin: "I imagine it could by federal court order if

necessary."

Simms: "Thank you."

Goodwin: "Well, a court order does...a federal court order does it by itself."

Simms: "Yeah, but this would provide the funds for it."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would just point out to the Membership that this Conference Committee Report which adopts the Bill which, I think, did not pass through the legislative process which has established as a public policy of this state and a finding of all of us who are Members of the General Assembly, and I would ask all of the Members to take the First Conference Committee Report which is what we're voting on and read Section 1 which is established as a public policy and a finding of us who are Members of the General Assembly. It has to do with that redistribution of students between school districts because with varying enrollment patterns, is it appropriate expenditure of state funds to encourage and facilitate such transfers. So we're talking about inter-school district transfers, not transfers with...between schools within a given district, but schools between different districts. And we're being asked to establish here tonight that this is a public policy and a finding of all of us collectively, Members of the General Assembly, that this is an appropriate expenditure of state funds to encourage and facilitate such transfers. I have personally felt that where these transfers occur for reasons of overcrowding that is certainly an appropriate expenditure of funds. But because of quote 'varying enrollment patters' whatever in the world that means, end quote, I personally feel is a waste of tax payer's funds. We have precious little funds to send down to the schools,

and I think it ought to be used for education purposes rather than for some sociological purposes which call for taking students from one school district which may be in one community and taking them to...over to another city or the Lord knows where."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Jones. Is there any further discussion?
Mrs. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You have before you now a relatively innocuous proposal to set up a study commission and to give parents the right to choose...the right to choose the ability to transfer the students within districts. Now, I want to point to you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that we are presently considering and will be considering later on this evening Senate Bill 1812, which puts a lot of money into transportation of students, puts a lot of money into bussing of students. And the objections that I've heard raised here tonight have not once...not once, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, been raised in opposition to Senate Bill 1812. We talk about a lot of things with regard to that legislation, how much it's going to cost, how much it's going to work, how much it's...how it will work, whether or not it will work, how much strain it will put on the school systems of the State of Illinois. But that's bussing without having anything to do with racial integration. This legislation does not require forced bussing. It just sets up the mechanism where by parents can choose interdistrict transfers. Please understand that. It is an innocuous proposal. It won't hurt anybody. The people in your district won't mind it. If they look at the language if you explain it to them, they will have the same...the same reaction that I am suggesting to you now which is this is a harmless,

innocuous proposal that is intended to address some of the needs of the school children in the city of Chicago. It's as simple as that, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and I would encourage your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, fel...fellow Members of the House, innocuous movement or attempt will result in the next year or so in mandatory bussing. But not only at a time when we are having higher gas prices, less gas, more trouble in this country, this little Bill if it...if it becomes mandatory, and that will be the next step, will create practically a revolution of a parts of our country. I can't conceive of what Taylorville will do when they find out that there'll be a interchange, mandatory interchange, which will come, about all of history, with say Decatur. They'll regard it very poorly. And I'm sure there'll be a lot of trouble that we...that we don't want to have. So because of the cost and the future trouble and what will happen, I certainly request and suggest we vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey. Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. All those opposed by say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Mr. Hoffman to close the debate."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 569 has had three lives. It came in as a Bill originally for current funding for special ed, became a Bill for the bonding in the Chicago School Finance Authority, and included in that was the amendment which Representative Huff explained to you in terms of the Chicago Schools Study Commission. And on the part of the Bill as explained by Representative Goodwin has been

considered by this House before. I think it has been explained very clearly by both of the proposers of the amendments to the Bill. And I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentlemen moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 569. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. All those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Huskey to explain his vote."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, here we are again with House Bill 2208 or 9 from...I wanted to ask some questions on it, but not being able to ask questions and as far as them having hearings, they've had this particular amendment I'm referring to has had hearings in the Educational Committee. It's been through the House. It's been through the Senate. And it deserves to die right here in the House this time. My vote is 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Currie. Mrs. Currie to explain her vote."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This Bill, the first part of this Bill, the interdistrict voluntary desegregation proposal has in fact been heard in Committee. In fact, last year it passed this very House. I think it deserves the same kind of vote on the board this year as it did last. It's a sensible proposal and a very good proposal for those of you who are uncomfortable with the thought of mandated racial integration. It provides for those people who wish to pursue racial integration on a voluntary basis to do so. It provides state incentives so that we can begin to get away from the kind of segregated situation we now have without forcing people onto busses, without forcing them into school situations they would prefer not to be in. The second part of the Bill calls for the creation of a Community School Study Committee who look at the question of structure of the Chicago Board of

Education. I should think all those people who are reluctant to support the Chicago School Finance Authority bale out operation in January of this year and again yesterday in this House would be more than happy to support any kind of scheme that might reorganize reorder the way in which the Chicago School Board does business. There will be little cost associated with this proposal. Those of you who were reluctant to help out school system bale itself out should at least be willing to give this...this...this proposal your support so that we can perhaps make the changes in the structure of that board that clearly need to be made. I urge more green votes on the board."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Gaines to explain his vote."

Gaines: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Both of these amendments in the Conference Committee Report have passed this House this Session. So I don't see what all the upset's all about. You complain about forced bussing. There's nothing forced about this legislation. Yet, you're going to ask some of us who's Sponsoring this Bill to vote for bussing of private students out of their districts. And I don't see the difference between allowing students in Chicago to have their parents choose a school outside of their district and allowing the parents downstate to choose a school out of their district and have the state help them get there. It's the same thing. It's the very same thing. The people are not going to sit around and watch downstaters being brushed out of their district. And then when someone talks about bussing some other kids, they say it's not right. Well, bussing is bussing to me. And when you come out here with 1812 talking about bussing, you are for it. And this says nothing at all about bussing. It doesn't say you have to bus. There's nothing in this Bill that says about

bussing. But you do have a Bill 1812 about bussing, and I want to see how you vote on that."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bullock....Mr. Jones to explain his vote."

Emil Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was surprised to see...that I don't see as many green votes up there on this piece of legislation. As one of the previous speakers pointed out, we will be hearing Senate Bill 1812 very shortly, and all this Bill does is open the door of the closet and let you know where most people stand because Senate Bill 1812 can be used for the same purpose. Only difference is that that will be private schools. This Bill deals with the public schools. So all this Bill really does it pull the cover off certain individuals and maybe even the Sponsor of the Bill because I know that she is absent as far as voting on this legislation. But I voted for 1812 at one point in time and I have allowed my colleagues to vote for 1812 at one point in time. And I'd like to see the Sponsors and supporters of 1812 on the board voting green on this piece of legislation."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stuffle to explain his vote."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, we have passed this Bill out before, it seems to me there is a big difference between it and 1812 because there's, as Emil said, it deals with public schools only. It also deals with a voluntary rather than a mandatory program as exists in that particular Bill. And as well, we ought to look to the fact that what this Bill does, what the report does, not what some of the red herrings and strawmen arguments are that have been raised here about forced bussing. That's not what's in it. That doesn't seem to me in any way what it's going to lead to. Rather, it's exactly the opposite. If we want to oppose forced bussing, then we ought to support

this because it's not that. It's just the opposite, and if we go on record saying we're for non-forced voluntary programs where the parents, the guardians, the students, the schools have to agree, then we've already established the policy that effectively says we're against the other. We should support this and put aside the silly arguments, the underlying things that are trying to defeat this that aren't there."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bullock to explain his vote."

Bullock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've given remarks here before as to the cost benefit of both of the proposals before us. One of the proposals I think is quite significant which allows for the creation of a commission in Chicago to study direct representation for input at the policy levels of the Chicago Board of Education. The other measure which has been well described here by Representative Stuffle perhaps in the best form yet as a preventative measure. I also am delighted to see some of the green votes up there are persons who I know are genuine and sincere and truly trying to represent all of the citizens of the state. I just want to say that I am certainly under no illusions that 107 votes are not here in the House of Representatives for a very, very watered down provision to allow for direct quality education in our public schools. But I think we're certainly going to see the day, we're going to rue the day that we procrastinated, that we chose not to bite the bullet that we persisted in some of us in burying our heads in the sand. And we're going to curse the darkness as opposed to lighting a candle. And I think that you've been given a chance to do this again and again, and it's indeed unfortunate that this Legislature in the great state of Illinois is not prepared, not prepared, to move ahead into

the decade of the 80's and discharge your responsibilities.
It's very, very sad indeed."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Huff to explain his vote."

Huff: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think Representative Stuffle hit it right on the head that it takes two to tango. And it's a voluntary program with regards to the amendment that Representative Goodwin is talking about. But I would like to talk about my Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen, which seeks to create separate autonomous districts. It seeks to enter the deliberations that's going on right now with regards to the Justice Department on desegregation with the Board of Education right now. It could provide the answer to desegregation once and for all. And whatever that answer may be, Ladies and Gentlemen, that my amendment provides a provision that comes back with recommendations for this House to deliberate on. And further, provides that the ultimate decision will be held in a referendum by the people of Chicago. It's very conceivable, Ladies and Gentlemen, that if this amendment goes forward, every ethnic group could have their own district with their own elected board, and they, Ladies and Gentlemen, they will decide where integration will take place if it's to take place at all. I think this is a good sensible approach to a knotty problem, and I urge some more 'aye'...green votes. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Would the parliamentarian come to the podium.

Mrs. Braun."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Madigan: "Give us your question."

Braun: "Is the...is the majority leader going to vote? Yes, he will."

Braun: "How about the assistant majority leader?"

Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yeah, I would like to point people's eyes towards Section 3 of this Bill. That's the one with the monetary impact. It says that every student who is bussed across a school district line, shall have extra state aid go with him or her amounting to .25. I presume that's a 25% increase. I think that means that if students are bussed from Chicago to Cicero, just to name an example, that the money is going to come out of my school district's share. And I don't think that's a very good deal for anybody in the suburbs. I think anybody in the suburbs that votes for this got to have rocks in their head."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The parliamentarian informs the chair that the Bill is silent regarding an effective date. And therefore, if this motion receives 89 votes, the Bill would become effective July 1, 1981. Have all voted who wish? The clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 74 'ayes', 69 'no', 12 voting 'present'. Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "What happened? Que pasa? There...the... I'd like to poll the absentees, please. They...they've got to be out there."

Speaker Madigan: "The clerk shall poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Barnes. Beatty. Bianco. Bradley. Epton. Garmisa. Katz. Kelly. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kucharski. Laurino. Lechowicz. Margalus. Patrick. Peters. Preston. Schlickman. VonBoeckman. Walsh. And Winchester."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any change of votes? On this question, 74 'ayes', 69 'nos', 12 'present'. Record Mr. Patrick as 'aye'. Mr. Bullock. Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I just had a brief caucus here with Representative Hoffman. And I really want to thank

him for extending himself. And he just codified the new definition of liberalism which is someone that does not have a vested interest at the moment. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 75 'ayes', 69 'no', 12 voting 'present'. This motion fails. And pursuant to the rule there shall be a Second Conference Committee appointed. Chair recognizes Mr. Swanstrom."

Swanstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to bring to your attention the fact that our distinguished colleague from Macon County, Representative Borchers, is celebrating his birthday today. Tim just said the 80, but I don't believe that for a minute. We all know that if Commander Borchers had not led his cavalry troops so valiantly in World War II that we would still be fighting that war. Oh, Valley Forge, that's right. But we also know that his French and Indian Heritage have given him the...the strong courage and fortitude to lead the good fight, not only in World War II, World War I, Valley Forge and so on, but also here in the General Assembly. Weber, we wish you a very happy birthday."

Speaker Madigan: "On page 3 of the regular calendar on the order of Conference Committee Reports, there appears House Bill 3197. Mr. DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker, I move the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3197 so that a Second Conference Committee can be appointed."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves 'do not adopt' for the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3197. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed, 'no'. In the opinion of the chair, the 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. The First Conference Committee Report is not adopted and pursuant to the rules there shall be a

Second Conference Committee appointed. On the order of the third supplemental calendar, there appears Senate Bill 1624. Mrs. Karpiel. Mrs. Karpiel."

Karpiel: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1624 which is the appropriation for the Pollution Control Board."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves that the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1624. Is there any discussion? Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates that she will yield."

Mulcahey: "Representative, it says in my analysis that the House will recede from House Amendment #1. What is House Amendment #1?"

Karpiel: "House Amendment #1 restored two positions that have been taken out of the appropriations by the Senate. It also had restored \$20,000 for court reporting."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? The question is 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1624?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', 26 'nos', 4 voting 'present', and this Bill having received a 3/5's Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Telcser. Senate Bill 1662 on the third supplemental calendar."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1662 is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Public Aid, Department of Rehabilitative Services and

Department of Human Services. The...what the Report recommends is that this...okay, that the Senate concur with all the House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 without any change, and #7 and #10 with changes. Amendment #6 has changes some House Amendments in that it restores 5 in home services positions rather than 10 that were in Amendment #6. It would also provide to 1/2 million dollars from general fund rather than \$1 million for the line...for the grant line in home services item. We also had a cut in the Department of Public Aid budget for \$999,200. Be happy to answer any further questions."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1662. Is there any discussion? Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, what percentage of this budget will be fraudulently spent?"

Telcser: "We couldn't hear him, Mr. Speaker."

Skinner: "What percentage of the budget will be fraudulently spent? How much of this is fraudulently..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Skinner, I suggest that your...I suggest your question is out of order. Is there any further discussion? Is there any further discussion. The...Let me suggest to you, Mr. Skinner, that your questions should be in order. Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Skinner, of course, whether one's question is in order or not is in the eyes of the beholder. Last year...in the last year with which...in which the federal government did studies, what percentage of the payments on their aid to dependent children, families with dependent children, were paid out without justification, according to the federal government, HEW, I believe, or whatever they call it now?"

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker,..."

Skinner: "Are the ..."

Telcser: "I'm not aware of what the amount is or in fact if there was such a study. Apparently Mr. Skinner is aware of that study. I'm sorry I'm not, but the Gentleman from McHenry if he is aware of any fraud in this or any other system, I suggest he call the local law enforcement agencies and suggest that if he is aware of such fraud, he withholding information, then becomes an accessory to the fraud. So, please, Representative, report any fraud you may be aware of."

Skinner: "Perhaps I'll be indicted, but the...I believe the figure has ranged between 8 and 12 percent of the total expense according to the audits that have been run..."

Telcser: "If you knew the answer, why did you ask the question, Representative?"

Skinner: "I don't know what it is this year. Is it closer to 8 or closer to 12? Is it closer to 5 or closer to 20? Have we had improvement, or is it getting worse?"

Telcser: "We hope for improvement, Representative."

Skinner: "Hope for...all we've gotten from this department, Mr. Representative, since I've been here."

Telcser: "Hope springs eternal. Without it life wouldn't be worth much."

Skinner: "It certainly does spring eternal and never reaches fruition."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, has this report been distributed?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Democratic Page indicates that the Report has been distributed."

Mulcahey: "It's under...I understand it's under a different Bill number. Is that correct?"

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? Is there any

further discussion? The question is 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate 1662?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Borchers to explain his vote."

Borchers: "I always hate to do this, but I cannot...can't help but remind you that in my town we now have the fifth generation on welfare. And 62% of the children born on welfare are illegitimate. That this...this...this is going to break our country without any doubt because we now have more people or we're just about even on those that are receiving and those that are producing. And this can't go on forever without something serious happening here. So I feel it's our duty to vote 'no'...or my duty to vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Reilly to explain his vote."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Reilly to explain his vote."

Reilly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the agreement worked out in the...the Conference Committee essentially upholds the House position, and as a legitimate expression of concern. We've worked on this issue for weeks. If you don't want this to pass, fine. But just be ready to stay here another week while we labor and bring forth exactly the same Report. There's no way that we're going to adjourn without some kind of increase here. This is a reasonable one. It costs less than half of what we were talking about before. In terms of the Senate position, it costs about 1/4 of what we started out with in the House. It's a very reasonable position, and a responsible course at this point is to vote 'yes'. As I say, we've worked long and hard on this, and there should be an affirmative vote to adopt this report."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

to echo Representative Reilly's remarks, we have worked very hard on this. Now, if you don't want to vote for it and you want to see a revolution, the checks are coming out for it tomorrow. And there's a hold..."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker."

Matijevich: "There's a hold on those checks at the currency exchange if we don't pass this legislation. Now, I think that we all want to be responsible. If you want to take care of the blind, the disabled and the poor or do you want to have that on your conscience that at this moment you're going to withhold the payments are...are due to people who are in need. I don't think any of us want to work any longer. Nothing more can happen than it has happened now in this First Conf...Conference Committee Report. Nothing more can be done. Those of you who are withholding your vote, tell me what more you want. Do you want more? Surely there won't be any less. What is the reason for a Second Conference? There can't any legitimate reason. We have to move on this tonight. We've got to do our duty, our responsibility. So, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would urge Members to get on the Roll Call. That's the responsible thing to do."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Chapman to explain her vote."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, even though I agree with everything Mr. Reilly said, and with everything Mr. Matijevich said, I would ask you to vote 'yes' for this appropriation not because you'll still be here tomorrow or the day after or the day after or come back next week, not only because there are people who need the dollars that they will be anticipating tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock, but because this is a good Report. It is a good Conference Committee Report that deals with three important agencies and with every one of the three agencies has resolved problems

between the House and the Senate. Let me just give you one example, and that is this: We cut out the administrative fat from this budget in the House to the tune of 2.8 million. The Senate presumably having read the House report done by the House Democratic Staff cut out additional dollars, and... Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn to explain his vote."

John Dunn: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's all (garbled)...union and vote red on appropriations Bills when it doesn't count. But when it's a matter of funding state government, that's our responsibility. I don't like some aspects of some of these Bills anymore than anybody else. And I don't like any kind of a spending record. But I'm here to fund state government, and I urge all my colleagues to vote green so that we can get out of here and go home and so government can be funded. We'll be laughed right out of this state if we don't fund state government. You raised your hand and swore an oath. Stand up and be counted. Vote green."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Robbins to explain his vote."

Robbins: "Mr. ...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree with some of the things that have been said on this Bill. I'm not necessarily in favor of everything and as far as this goes. But we do have to fund the government. If we want to change the program, let's try to do what we can to change the program. But right now let's fund what we've got."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ebbesen to explain his vote."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, just for the record, I'm voting 'present' due to a conflict of interest."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The clerk shall take the record. On this question

there are 116 'ayes', 34 'no', 9 voting 'present'. This Bill having received a Constitutional 3/5's Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, now that you've sealed the vote up, I'd like to recommend to the Members of the House they take a copy of that Roll Call, and they...when they run into a Klu Klux Klan fellow that's looking for some Members, he can refer the red lights to them. When they ask you for the name of some of the ex...eccentric Members of the House, give them the red lights. When he asks for the queers and the oddballs, give him the red lights. When he asks the demented and mentally stunted, give him the red lights. You got a hell of a Roll Call up there fellows. Take a copy of it."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ryan. Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I understand that the Governor in his usual fashion, he and Jayne have invited everybody to the mansion when we conclude our business here this evening regardless of the time. And he asked that I make that announcement."

Speaker Madigan: "Will the Governor wait up for us?"

Ryan: "Absolutely."

Speaker Madigan: "On the third supplemental calendar on the order of Speaker's Table, there appears a report of the Joint Committee to prepare the arguments against the proposed initiative amendment to Article IV of the Constitution. Mrs. Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, under the Statutes, we are required, this General Assembly is required, to propose an argument against a proposal that will be put on the ballot in November initiated by the citizenry. As you know there is a possibility that there

will be on the ballot in November a proposal to reduce the size and change the structure and end the cumulative vote for the House of Representatives. The Joint Committee prepared a draft of...prepared a final argument, an argument against those who propose the...the amendment to the Constitution are responsible for proposing an argument in favor. I hope that the House will adopt this Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? The Lady moves for the adoption of the Report. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 127 'aye', 18 'no', 3 voting 'present', and the Report is adopted. Is Mrs. Barnes in the chamber? Mrs. Barnes? Mr. Peters. On the order of the second supplemental calendar, there appears Senate Bill 1665, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1665 is a Conference Committee Report to the new appropriation for the Capital Development Board. The Report recommended that the...that we keep House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 29, 30, 33, 34, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 49, 53, 62, 63, 67, 76, 77 and 79. I think we discussed most of these amendments in prior discussion on the floor. I'd be delighted to answer any questions. I now offer...move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 relative to Senate Bill 1665."

Speaker Madigan: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1665. Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1665.

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Matijevich to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "Well, explain my vote, but first did we take this from the table, or did... House or Senate? Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I just want to quickly explain my vote. This is the Bill that Art Telcser was so good to all of us Members. And again, both the Senate and the House worked very hard on it. We know that it's going to pass out. There's no doubt about it, so let's do our work, and...and we..."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 109 'aye', 43 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received a 3/5's Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the third supplemental calendar there appears Senate Bill 1729. Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. 1729 is the Bill which involved a lot of discussion last week. The Bill has gone to the Senate where it has undergone a number of changes. The principal ones I will address at this time. Principal in terms of the substantive language relating to the references to related services we have brought into compliance at the suggestion of Members of the Senate, the 94-142 language which is the language that the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board has been using for interpretation of these questionable services as far as they have seen them. I was amenable and open to those changes because of a review process which will allow the State Board of Education to determine whether or not a child who is placed according to the individual education program is properly placed. In the event there is a question of that, the other procedure which will be...which has been developed to deal with the proper placement and

the effort to contain cost has been for the Purchase Care Review Board to make an appeal to the State Board of Education to deal with any of those challenged costs. So, I think we have made a important and significant changes that would make it a more palatable Bill than the cost would be related as a nominal cost. A final point that I have agreed to is the elimination of the references to the mental health which is the elimination of the 7-1 Section. So that's the basic burden and responsibility makes Illinois consistent with the federal law in that the State Board of Education is the principal agency to deal with these kinds of special education children. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, Members, I would ask that the House do agree to Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1729. Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Anderson: "Now that the Department of Mental Health is out of this Bill and there is a conflict as to the room and board which they used to pick up, who will pick it up now?"

Schneider: "The Department of Mental Health never picked up much more than 1.5 mil...\$1.3 million, as I think you recall, on a line item...a line items for IPG's. They had again, to refresh your memory, 85 spots, and they had filled at this point only 43. So the actual cost that they were quoting about was unrelated to that line, but they had speculated that they may need additional staff. And I think that, of course, is eliminated now because we don't need the Department of Mental in for this particular service. And that can be done through the process and the mechanism we have established in the proposal. So their concerns, I

think that some of you were bothered by, have been addressed by removing them from the Bill."

Anderson: "So now the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board is required to reimburse the school districts for tuition and room and board and cost of any portion thereof?"

Schneider: "No, they are responsible for that amount above the allow...above the approved cost. So we have...If they have a question of that, they bring those challenges and those questions to the State Board of Education. If they cannot assure the state board that that dollar amount is approvable then the...the board itself, the Review Board, will have to pick up those costs. But it doesn't...the cost basically will be borne by the State Board of Education. They will be consistent with the IEP, and we will assure that the child has been placed. I think the crucial thing that I think all of us are interested in is that rather than foreclosing on a child who has an IEP that demands a need for behavioral disorder of sorts that that child will be placed and that there is an assurance that the money will be forthcoming either from the State Board through the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board or through the local school districts."

Anderson: "All right, have you made an estimate, Representative Schneider, of what this fiscal im...?"

Schneider: "I understand from Representative...Senator Berman in his discussions with Mr. Birchler that because the language is 94-142 language which is identical to what they have been using as an interpretive...interpretive language for placement and determination of therapy that those costs will be nominal."

Anderson: "But you have no idea what they will be."

Schneider: "I don't think if the BOB has not come up with anything now, and we've been contesting those figures as

you are aware again over the last couple of months, that it's pretty tough to determine that cost. But I would say to you again what has been established by the Senator in the...over in the Senate where the Bill just passed with 42 votes, I believe, that the cost according the them are nominal."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Leverenz: "Representative, where is the money to afford a part of the program which you just got done explaining? In what Bill is that tucked into?"

Schneider: "That money as I described to you on the original Bill when you raised a question again falls under the formula and the funding mechanism that was established before. I want to reiterate to you and to other Members of the House that the special education money is reimbursable, that it is a one year lag in terms of reimbursement for tuition, a current funding for room and board. The local district again is re...it pays at two per cap as I described it under a week ago when the Bill was in its original form."

Leverenz: "We're back to the reimbursement at the end of a year for the room and the board and everything else, and where is the money coming from to fund it on an interim basis?"

Schneider: "That money is funded on the 702 line in a reimbursement mechanism that is in place already. And I want to remind you again, as I told you last week, that the to...the room and board is currently...is current funding reimbursement. And the tuition is reimbursement."

Leverenz: "If 1729 fails, it'll leave the current mechanism in order?"

Schneider: "I would think that's correct."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Schneider: "It would, of course, prevent kids from being treated properly."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1729?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Schneider to explain his vote."

Schneider: "I do want to repeat to you that the Bill as it went out a week ago, of course, received around the majority vote that was necessary. I also want to repeat to you that what you are faced with, of course, is the choice of whether or not a child will or will not be placed. There are 2,000 possibly more, 2,500 kids, who are outside a placement now because of the fact that we are unwilling to bear that responsibility. What 1729 does as amended, and it's important to notice that we place the child that some concerns about cost will be dealt with in the due process mechanism, and so I would encourage again that you consider your votes and establish green lights on the board for this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Mrs. Satterthwaite to explain her vote."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if you think by not adopting this Bill you're going to save the state money, I think you may be wrong. In fact it's the state law is out of compliance with the federal law for 94-142. We may in fact be jeopardizing federal moneys that are already available to the state. In this mechanism we will not be putting a greater burden on the local school districts than they would have had under other circumstances already in the law. What we are doing is

allowing them to pay the money until the decision is made in terms of how much the Review Board will pick up. This is not really an added cost to the state that is not an obligation already there under the federal law. We will simply be bringing the state law into compliance with the federal and assuring that each student gets appropriate care. What we are finding now is that some of the students are getting inappropriate placement which will in the end mean that they have to have longer treatment programs and will in fact be a special demand on tax moneys for a longer period of life. If they are able to get into an appropriate program, get the appropriate treatment, they may in fact cost a lot less over the long run."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Friedrich to explain his vote."

D. P. Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't suppose what I'm going to say is going to make 107 votes, but I think sometimes you have to know a family with a child who needs this kind of help before you really understand what you're voting on. I happen to know of a child who was born deaf. If he can get this kind of help now, he can go to a school not available in his home community and learn to lip-reading and so on. If he's forced to do it the other way, he's bound to sign language the rest of his life. Now, here's a chance in this particular case that I know of, and I know there are hundreds of others that we'll have a chance to give a child a chance to have it...make his own way in this life. Now, you can decide whether it's worth it or not. I happen to think it is."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Hallstrom to explain her vote."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in support of House Bill 1729. I don't

know of any of you, like Representative Friedrich has said, have had the calls that I've had from parents who have children who are severely emotionally disturbed. These children need psychological services and social work services when they are sent by the school district to an appropriate facility that can meet their needs. The problem has been that the local school districts have not been able to do what they want to do and that is to place that child appropriately because the Purchase Care Review Board has been unrealistic about the cost involved. I was told many times when I was talking to the parents that they were told to call the Department of Mental Health. I called the Department of Mental Health for them, and I was told that each individual child that I talked about did not fit into their program. There has been nowhere for these children to go. Now, we're only allowing the school districts to place these children when they want to. Nobody is saying to that school district 'You must do this.'. They are making the decision after they come up with the individual education program for that child. I'd like to remind you again, we're not going to get rid of these children. They're going to be with us, and you're going to pay for them now or you're going to pay for them in the Department of Mental Health when they really become mentally ill or in the Department of Corrections or other departments, Department of Public Aid. So I plead with you to vote for this Bill. Allow the school districts now to help them. My feeling is that honestly the school districts will be reimbursed. We must conform with the federal legislation, and we must think of what will happen to these children if we don't take care of them up front. We'll pay for them later. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Margalus to

explain his vote."

Margalus: "Mr. Speaker, how am I voting?"

Speaker Madigan: "You're recorded 'aye', Mr. Margalus. We're still..."

Margalus: "That is the correct vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 81 'ayes', 59 'nos', 12 voting 'present'. The clerk shall poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty. Bluthardt. Bradley. Capparelli. Dawson. Domico. Dyer. Epton. Gaines. Huskey. Katz. Klosak. Kosinski. Kucharski. Laurino. Lechowicz. McGrew. Preston. Schlickman. Slape. Telcser. Terzich. Walsh. White. And Sam Wolf."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? How many of them, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 81 'aye', 59 'no', 12 voting 'present'. The motion fails. And the House does not adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1729. Mr. Terzich, did you wish to call House Bill 3054? On item veto? Or is it Mr. Jones?"

Terzich: "Well, surely, sure, why not? Nothing ventured, nothing gained."

Speaker Madigan: "I believe your motion, Mr. Terzich, will be 'Shall the item on page 14 line 18 to 22 pass notwithstanding the veto of the Governor?'"

Terzich: "Well, that's correct, Mr. Speaker. 3054 was the, I believe, the appropriation of a Bill that we passed, House Bill 426, which included the addition of \$7 to be paid towards the state employees' group insurance program."

Speaker Madigan: "Have you made your motion, Mr. Terzich?"

Terzich: "Yes, I believe the motion is to override the Governor's veto on the..."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to override the Governor

on..."

Terzich: "Item of reduction."

Speaker Madigan: "...on an item of reduction."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the item of reduction amounts to \$4 million which was to be paid towards the state employees' group insurance program. Just recently the state insurance plan was increased approximately 5% for the state employee contribution. However, those who had...were unfortunate to have dependent coverage, their increase for the high option for one dependent was 15% of \$6.82. And for 2 dependents or more was an additional \$20 per month or a total of \$107.40. It's ironic to note that during 1975 under the high option there was approximately 85% of the employees participate in the high option. During the years as the cost of hospitalization increase because of the fact that the state employees could not afford the dependent insurance, today that participation has dropped down to 51%. Now, the amount of money that we're asking that the state appropriate towards the dependent insurance is a very, very minimal amount when you take an employee who has to pay \$100 per month or \$1,200 a year to insure his family, we know that it's not within the reach of the employee. And I think that it's atrocious that the state would not agree to pick up an additional \$7 per month which is only 1/3 of the increased cost. Now, there are many states in the area that pay substantially more toward dependent insurance such as Indiana pays \$40 per month, Iowa \$11, Wisconsin \$53, Ohio \$43, Minnesota \$31. Now, this is coverage that is needed by all Members, not only the General Assembly, but throughout the state. And if the state cannot assist the employees of paying for a most valuable benefit that they need, then they're shirking in their duties. And I would

urge your support to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 3054."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ryan. Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Questions the Sponsor..."

Speaker Madigan: "Excuse me, Mr. Schuneman. Mr. Jones. Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, as the Cosponsor of this legislation, I want to say to you that 51,300 state employees in the State of Illinois are in favor of this. The Engineer Society is in favor of it. AFSCME is in favor of it. The Illinois State Employees Association favors it. The Illinois Federation of Teachers favor it. The Teamsters favor it. Most everybody favors it except the Governor who saw fit to veto it. I urge your support. You...this only pays them a \$7 on the...7 more dollars. Right now the state pays \$7 for each dependent. As you know, that the dependent's cost is from 50..40 to \$55 per month. And this is just increases it by \$7 to take up the increased cost of insurance...the rise in insurance cost."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, question the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker. Representative Terzich, this is your motion, Sir?"

Terzich: "That's correct."

Schuneman: "As I understand it, what you're asking us to do is override the Governor's veto of this...of this measure."

Terzich: "This very minimal measure."

Schuneman: "Does this...The dependent's coverage that we would be paying for here would cover dependents of employees who are now subject to collective bargaining agreements. Is that correct?"

Terzich: "It also covers the majority of employees who are not covered under collective bargaining."

Schuneman: "Okay, and it also covers then dependents of those of

us here in the General Assembly, all state employees?"

Terzich: "That's correct."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak against the Bill."

Terzich: "Shame on you."

Schuneman: "This money was not included in the Governor's budget.

We are spending money that is not approved by the administration that has been vetoed by this administration. To a great...I can understand why AFSCME would favor this Bill. Many of the people that are going to be reimbursed and helped by this are those who are subject to the collective bargaining agreements that they are working on. I think that we should put this matter off for another year. Possibly some increase is justified in the dependent coverage. But I think we've gone too far with this when we're doubling it in one year. I urge a vote against this proposal."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cullerton on the motion."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this motion to override the Governor's veto. I am a member of the State Group Health Insurance Advisory Commission along with Representative Vinson as well as Senator Bruce, Senator Davidson. Toward the few Commissions that exist in this state that can justify its own expenditures. During this year we received a check from Blue Cross Blue Shield for over \$200,000 just because of the efforts that this Commission expended. Now, we considered this specific proposal, and we unanimously decided this was a very good provision, definitely worth while. And the Governor chose to veto this as one of his first vetoes. I think was a big mistake. I hope I'll be joined by my Republican counterparts in recommending that we override the Governor's veto. I'd appreciate a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson on the motion."

Vinson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. As Representative Cullerton indicated we do serve together on the Group Insurance Advisory Commission. And I believe for the first time this year the Group Insurance Advisory Commission began to really in a diligent fashion perform its function of managing this program. We successfully found several ways to save the state money and to actually cause a return of money the state had previously spent. We took action which will cause more money to be returned next year. And we caused the carrier to provide us data that I believe next year will make it possible for the state to operate this program with a savings. Now, what that tells me is that if we will hold off at this time on overriding the Governor's veto that I think we can demonstrate to the Governor that the money can be saved, that the benefits can be provided and that the cost will not increase to the state. In short, I think this is the wrong time to override the Governor on this because...because it will ruin an opportunity for us to work with him cooperatively. Now, I believe and I understand the problems that state employees face. They have to buy this insurance for dependents. The costs have been going up. And it's a time of severe medical cost increase. But I believe that it's important that we establish a track record of cooperating with the administration on this issue because enormous savings and enormous improvements in benefits are possible if we can work together. For those reasons, I would very strongly urge a 'no' vote on this motion to override. And Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker, if this should get the requisite number of votes for the override, I would ask for a verification."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Oblinger on the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental calendar #4 is now being distributed."

Oblinger: "Mr. ...Is it on? It isn't on. Is it on? Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if you've worked and had the employees within your office, this is one of the greatest morale builders as well as one of the greatest health help. It doesn't cost us as much as a 2, 3 or 4% additional raise, but it accomplishes it in the same purposes a healthy and invigorating group of people working for you. And I think this is something you have to look at when you're considering fringe benefits."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reilly on the motion."

Reilly: "Just an inquiry, Mr. Speaker. How many votes does this require for affirmative action."

Speaker Giorgi: "107 votes. Representative Kelly on the motion."

Kelly: "Yes, I would like to ask Representative Terzich a question. Bob."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Terzich, he'd like to ask a question."

Terzich: "Yes, Representative."

Kelly: "I want to ask you does this...did I understand you to say yes this does include the Members of the General Assembly in these increased benefits."

Terzich: "It incr...includes all state employees covered under the group health insurance program which includes the General Assembly."

Kelly: "Okay, what...what does it mean to us as an individual in benefits?"

Terzich: "Well, it doesn't mean really much. If you're paying..."

Kelly: "In other words, I just want to make sure..."

Terzich: "If you're paying \$100 a month for dependent coverage, then say...you tell me what \$7 represents of \$100, and

that's what it means, 7%. And we've seen where the amount of people who are participating in the higher option from 1975 which was 85% because of the cost dropped less than 50% today because of the increased cost."

Kelly: "Thank you."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like a clarification. I believe it's 89 votes."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm waiting for the parliamentarian, now. Someone else told me it takes 89 votes, and I'm not sure. I thought there was a note up here that would take 107 votes. We're waiting on the parliamentarian for the moment. Who's going to close? Representative Jones or Terzich? Representative Terzich to close. There'll be another request. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, this is an item line reduction. How many votes does it take to override?"

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm...We have informed it takes 107 votes. Item veto, not a reduction veto. It's an item veto. Representative Terzich to close."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Speaker, I, you know, I cannot stress too much. I've...I've had 20 years in the group insurance area, and the statistics do prove that because of the cost that the people cannot afford. I don't care if you have a Cadillac or an Oldsmobile, that the amount of money that they have to pay for dependent insurance is extremely high. Now, I mean not just a little high, but extremely high. When the state's cost in this last adjustment amounted to only 5% for the state but all the way up to 26% for two or more dependents and up to 30% under the low option, that's absolutely ridiculous that the state can stand by and a member of the Commission and the group insurance who voted an approve for the \$14..or \$7 additional cost to say let's

look at it another year and another year. I can tell you, I've been looking at it for a...the last half a dozen years. And you'll continuously have people drop out. Now, this is tokenism for these people who have to have hospitalization. And if we can pass out \$17 million for the teachers under their pension system, well how about looking out for our employees. And let's take care of the cost that they have to have. If you go to the hospital today, you know it costs a lot of money and they need this protection. They need our help. And we don't need a lot of rhetoric when it only comes to \$4 million for over 51,000 participants. And let's show them that they have the support of their legislature in overriding this veto which was wrong in the first place. He should have never have done it. The Commission certainly agreed that the additional cost should be borne by the state, and it probably should have been five times more. So I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is 'Shall the item on page 14 lines 18 through 22 of House Bill 3045 pass notwithstanding the veto of the Governor?' It's final action. It takes 107 votes. All in favor vote 'aye', and those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Jones on...to explain his vote."

Dave Jones: "Explaining my vote on it, call your attention that Indiana pays \$40 per month, our neighboring cities...states. Wisconsin pays 53, Ohio 43, Minnesota 31, Michigan 74, New York 33, California 59, Pennsylvania 40 to 50. And in the private sector almost every group insurance covers all the dependents. But the state insurance does not. This is a modest increase of only \$7 that the state pays now \$7. And this would increase it to \$14 and is...it's only to keep pace with the increased cost of the

insurance that the state employees have to pay."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well, I could talk about this for another hour. Mr. Speaker, what's my options? Could I put this on postponed consideration."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just till midnight tonight because it has got a two week life... If we don't come back in two weeks, it's dead."

Terzich: "Well, fine, I'll do that because probably most people are misguided on the Governor's veto on this. But when they realize how wrong he was, that they might change their vote and vote for the people."

Speaker Giorgi: "The matter's on postponed consideration. Senate Bill 1666, Representative Telcser. And Representative Vinson, on Senate Bill 1666? Telcser on Senate Bill 1666."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1666 is the authorization for the Capital Development Board. As I recall, I don't have it in front of me, in the amount of 1,555,468,300. I'd be glad to answer any questions and would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1666?'. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Go get some... Representative Telcser to explain his vote for a minute."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I fail to see why we don't have 107 votes on the board for Senate Bill 1666. This is the authorization to build the projects which the Members of the House have expressed that their constituents want, desire and need. We just a few moments ago had 107 votes for the appropriation Bill to build these various projects. And so, Mr. Speaker and Members of the

House, there really is no rationale for us not to vote for Senate Bill 1666. It seems to me that if some Members had something on their minds regarding 1666, they would have asked some questions during the course of my opening remarks and to the moments that follow regarding the debate."

Speaker Giorgi: "I have a...Representative Telcser, like to take this out of the record momentarily?"

Telcser: "Fine."

Speaker Giorgi: "Out of the record. Representative Mulcahey, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I was wondering what the delay was and what the posture of the House is at this point. Why the delay is occurring, etc."

Speaker Giorgi: "There seems to be just a little snag. There are only three or four appropriation Bills left, and they're conferring with the Senate on some technical matter that occurred between the House and the Senate. That will be done in a few minutes."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, could we take workmen's comp right now? This it seems is ready to go, right?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Nope. It's not ready, yet."

Mulcahey: "Oh, I thought it was. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "We'll take a break from taking pictures for a while. Representative Deuster, for what reason do you rise?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I feel that also have the sound technician turn on Representative Carol Braun."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Braun."

Deuster: "We have a little song that is quite brief, but it may express what every Member who is here has on his or her mind. And this is a little parody on 'Won't You Come Home, Bill Bailey' to that tune, but it's 'Won't You Adjourn,

Bill Redmond'. If Carol is ready, then we'll try to synchronize, and we hope that this add a slight bit of levity and express what we all are yearning for so badly right now. Are you ready Carol?"

Braun: "I am."

Deuster and Braun: (singing) "Won't you adjourn, Bill Redmond? Won't you adjourn? We've moaned the whole session long. No more vote explanations. No more oral verifications. We know we take too long."

Deuster: "Oh, yes, Bill."

Deuster and Braun: (singing) "Remember your first election. We chose you to lead on only 93 ballots long. Well, we are so sorry that we've been dilatory. Bill Redmond, won't you please adjourn?"

Deuster: "Our families need us."

Braun: "You're wanted in Bensonville."

Deuster and Braun: "Bill Redmond, won't you please adjourn?"

Deuster: "Why don't you all join us one more time, 'Bill Redmond, won't you please adjourn.'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And if the real Speaker's listening, I wish you would ignore that last number. Those two are completely out of order. I think they were both elected to represent the people in the State Illinois in their district. We're all here to work. Let's get down to business if we have to stay till 5 o'clock in the morning."

Speaker Giorgi.: "Representative Ebbesen. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, do you suppose that every 15 minutes with that meeting going on back there that you might check and see if there's light smoke or dark smoke coming out as a signal out there. Would you do that for us?"

Speaker Giorgi: "On supplemental calendar #4, Senate Joint Resolution 125, Representative Abramson. Read that

Resolution, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 125. Requested a report on the Joint Committee for the reducing of the right of redemption after sales tax amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Joint Resolution 125 is the report of the Joint Committee for reducing the right of redemption after tax sales amendment. This is the report of the Committee that contains the explanation, arguments in favor of and ballot for the Constitutional Amendment that's going to be on the ballot in November. This relates to SJR 56 sponsored by Rock and Madigan. I move the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any request for debate? There being no request for debate, the ques...the question is 'Shall Senate Joint Resolution 125 pass?'. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Takes 89 votes. Simple majority. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 119 'ayes', 2 'nays', 7 voting 'present', and Senate Joint Resolution 125 is hereby declared passed. Supplemental calendar #4, House Bill 3197. Representative DiPrima. Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, all the objections have been removed, and this Bill has passed the Senate by a vote of 45 to 1. This is the Bill that gives scholarships to children of Vietnam veterans who are deceased or disabled. And one is awarded to each county. And it also includes members of the military reserve and national guard in the personal code...personnel code, provides that state employees who are members of the reserves or guards shall receive leaves of absence for basic training, advance training and annual

training summer camp. Also provides that the state shall pay the differential between the military pay and usual state pay while the military member is at the basic training or at advanced training up to 30 days. I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "The TV light is on again. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "The Sponsor yield?"

DiPrima: "Yes."

Cullerton: "What was the vote in the Senate?"

DiPrima: "45 to 1."

Cullerton: "Who voted against it?"

DiPrima: "I wished I knew. I don't know."

Cullerton: "Who's on the Conference Committee?"

DiPrima: "They all approved."

Cullerton: "That including Merlo?"

DiPrima: "Yes."

Cullerton: "I recommend an 'aye' vote."

DiPrima: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "What did Representative Matijevich have to say about this?"

DiPrima: "He isn't here. I wanted to talk to him."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kornowicz on the motion."

Kornowicz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

DiPrima: "Yes."

Kornowicz: "Larry, how much does this cost? What is this going to cost?"

DiPrima: "What are you worried about..."

Kornowicz: "I mean..."

DiPrima: "...what it's going to cost. Just vote for it. Shut up."

Kornowicz: "Now wait a second, now, Larry, where at? I can't

vote unless you tell me the cost on that. What is this going to cost? Everyone knows..."

DiPrima: "About \$25 a man."

Kornowicz: "25, and how...how many men...how many men involved, Larry?"

DiPrima: "\$200,000 in all."

Kornowicz: "Will this take in the Polish army, too?"

DiPrima: "Just those in the reserves."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative..."

DiPrima: "Including the Pope."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Chapman on the question."

Chapman: "It was asked how Mr. Matijevich felt on this issue.

Mr. Matijevich had to step off the floor briefly, but he asked me to say that in return for your fine support for the Equal Rights Amendment that both Chapman and Matijevich are going to vote 'yes'. It fills the bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Karpziel on the issue. Representative Karpziel. Representative Karpziel."

Karpziel: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was going to ask the Sponsor if he would yield for a few questions."

DiPrima: "Yes, maam."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue. Continue."

Karpziel: "Does he have a definition of a reservist?"

DiPrima: "Mahar, he's a member of the reserves. I'll let him answer that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mahar to answer to the question."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A reservist is anyone who's taken oath of office to serve in the military or naval or...of the United State Army or air force or navy."

Karpziel: "Well, if this reservist or...I noticed in line 28...29 through 33 that tells about basic training, advanced training, annual training, what if this reservist is called to active duty."

Mahar: "This was one of the points of contention in the First Conference Committee Report, and that's been taken out. There's a limitation on it. Now there's 30 days."

Karpiel: "Well, my last question, again I think has already been answered. My copy of the Conference Committee Report shows that the Senator Merlo didn't sign it. And I was going to ask Representative DiPrima why...why not?"

DiPrima: "Would you repeat the question, please?"

Karpiel: "Yes, why didn't Senator Merlo sign the Conference Committee Report. This copy I have he has not signed it."

DiPrima: "He voted for it, Mrs. Karpiel."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question. How's that, Ryan?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Repeat your question, Representative Capuzi."

Capuzi: "I move the question...the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "You move the previous question?"

Capuzi: "Yes."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'nays'. The 'ayes' have it, and the previous question is put. Representative DiPrima to close. Pardon me, Mr. DiPrima. Pardon me, Mr. DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, listen."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Just to avoid the confusion for a few minutes, let's pull this out of the record."

Speaker Giorgi: "Out of the record."

DiPrima: "All right, listen, now, let me tell you people the truth about this Bill. Now, listen. Now, the Governor has...we have veteran's day at the state fair. And about 300 of top veterans in this state are invited to the mansion and...for brunch. And usual he signs my Bills.

Now this is the only Bill we have, and I got to pass this Bill. And there's nothing wrong with it. Now, don't play any games with me."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. DiPrima. Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"

DiPrima: "All right, listen, let's take it out of the record."

Speaker Giorgi: "Out of the record. All right, let's go to the...The Sponsor has control of his Bill. Representative Huskey, for what reason do you rise?"

Huskey: "Well, I wanted to ask the fancy soothsayer a couple of questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue. He took the Bill out of the record."

Huskey: "Now, that he's taken it out of the record, I can't ask him."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment. Representative Capuzi, for what reason do you rise?"

Capuzi: "Now, Mr. Speaker, if Larry wants to take this Bill out of the record at this time, I think he's entitled to that. He is the Sponsor of this Bill, and he should take it out of the record if he so wishes."

Speaker Giorgi: "We did, Mr. Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Take it out of the record, Larry."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Conti, for what reason do you rise? Just a moment. Mr. Conti. Mr. Conti, for what reason do you rise?"

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder if it would be asking too much if you turned the camera lights down, out. It is late at night. There's been a lot of Members who have been working hard today. And I see cameras flashing all over the place."

Speaker Giorgi: "TV lights are off. Camera lights are off. Representative...Who was asking for recognition? Representative Ebbesen, for what reason do you rise?"

Ebbesen: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I had an hour...over an hour's conference with the Sponsor of that Bill, Representative DiPrima. And I really think he should have called it because he assured me there wasn't going to cost the taxpayers a dime because government was going to pay for it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative DiPrima, for what reason do you rise?"

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

DiPrima: "I would like to direct these few words to my good friend, Ron Stearney. You know, Mr. Stearney, you are not only a sophist, but a iconoclast as well...who engages in diatribe. And furthermore, you should emulate my loquacious presentations of the complex issues of my legislation."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stearney on a point of personal privilege."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it appears that Representative DiPrima has got his signals crossed. I wrote that speech so he can rebut...rebut Representative Matijevich. Now, Larry, can't you read? Matijevich. Matijevich is gone."

Speaker Giorgi: "They don't...There's no Bill on...It doesn't...There's no question. No table. Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Did you say lieutenant? Well, you're wrong. I wanted to point out that I don't know the basic pay right now, but I'm convinced that the cost of the state in relation to even a private's pay or corporal or a sergeant, staff sergeant is not very great. They probably...the pay scale of the army and the national guard is such today that I doubt it would cost us very much. The fact is that we'd be responsible for very little money. So I think we ought to,

Larry, personally, should go on ahead and pass the Bill. I don't see where all the excitement or where all the cost is. Well, he's got the right. I'm not going to argue with him. But as the commanding general perhaps, I think he should listen a little bit. For the time to attack this, you attack. And all the esprit de corps and all the verve of the corps is for the Bill. We should carry the assault forward and take the hill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I have a great deal of respect for the previous speaker and his military experience and so on, but let's not forget one thing, also, that he was a look out at Pearl Harbor, too."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative J. J. Wolf."

J. J. Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was wondering if we couldn't have a trial vote to show Mr. DiPrima the kind of vote he would have gotten had he not taken it from the record."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I've been looking on my calender and trying to determine what order of business we're on."

Speaker Giorgi: "Let's...let's take a vote on. All in favor of Representative DiPrima offering his Bill vote 'aye'. Those who are in favor of his offering his Bill. Those that are opposed vote 'no'. This is just a sample vote, Mr. DiPrima. How does it look? Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Looking up at that score board is amazing. I think at this time, the Sponsor of this particular piece of legislation should remove his name and hand it on to someone else."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, I will dump the Roll Call, Mr. DiPrima, and say good wishes, Mr. DiPrima. Dump the Roll Call. Representative Borchers, again."

There's...There's...There's Conti."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative DiPrima on the Bill."

DiPrima: "Yeah, well,...you...I explained the Bill before. You know what it's all about. And like I said to you before, the Governor has to have this Bill because on Veteran's Day he has to have a veteran's Bill to sign. And mine is the one he usually...my Bills are the ones he usually signs."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative....Representative Jaffe on the motion."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if you look at the Conference Committee Report, and it's not as the Representative has actually proclaimed it to be, it's a lot different. Let me read the language to you starting on the bottom. It says, 'During such basic training and up to 30 days of special or advance training if such employees compensation for military activities is less than his compensation in the state employ, he shall receive his regular compensation in the state employ minus the...his...minus the amount of his compensation for military activities.' So when he says to you that it's 30 days, it's not 30 days. It's more like 10 weeks probably close to 3 months. That concept I think is bad enough as it is. The concept of giving out all these scholarships to every county, I really don't see the necessity for it. I realize the Gentleman has his ax to grind and so on and so forth. And I realize that we all like to humor him occasionally. But it's a bad Bill. We ought to take it seriously for a change, and we ought to vote 'no' on House Bill 3197."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "There's this nincompoop, now. You hear him talking. There's a baboon that's never voted for a veteran's Bill. You big jerk."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz. For what reason do you rise?"

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, there isn't a chance in the world of me saying anything on this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummer on the Bill."

Brummer: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kulas, what reason do you rise?"

Kulas: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in ex...just to clarify Representative...to Representative Jaffe. What this Bill does for the national...any state employee who joins the national guard or the United State army reserve, he gets a leave of absence and he gets paid the difference between his state pay and his army pay. This is an incentive to have people join the national guard and the United States army reserve. Our...our national guard and army reserves are below par, and that's why we want this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative DiPrima to close."

DiPrima: "Listen, Myron, you don't have to beg. This is a good Bill. He was beefing about the kids that are sons of deceased Vietnam veterans and disabled Vietnam veterans who are going to be getting a scholarship if this Bill passes. And he's objecting to the fact that these kids are going to get a scholarship. All right, I'll take your 'aye' votes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jaffe, for reason you rise?"

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege. I don't care if he throws a tantrum. He does that all the time. You know, but the point of it is that these scholarships are political in nature. They're given out by the county on the basis of politics not on the basis of merit. And he knows that. He needs something for his Memorial Day parade or whatever. You know, I just think that it's a bad concept, and he should be ashamed of himself."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, Representative DiPrima renews his motion that the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3197. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Johnson to explain his vote for one minute. Johnson."

Johnson: "Yeah, only to say that if anybody else whether someone agrees or disagrees accuse someone of being a jerk and a nincompoop and everything else because he has the audacity to disagree with a Bill, if anybody else did that everybody in the House would say, as the press would say or the media would say, that they're out of line. Now, I respect Representative DiPrima, but I think Representative Jaffe has his reasons as many people do for opposing this Bill. And that kind of thing is just totally out of line to call somebody a nincompoop and an idiot because they have principles and they oppose a particular concept. I think it's wrong. And I think Representative Jaffe's owed an apology."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Collins to explain his vote."

Collins: "Well, yeah, yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of House Bill 3197. As you'll recall just a very few moments ago, everybody was having a good time kidding with Representative DiPrima because they knew this was a good Bill and they knew it was going to pass. And it was on the verge of passing and some of us who were motivated by other reasons came in and asked him to hold his Bill until certain negotiations were concluded. And it was a bad time, a bad time to pick a good Bill out of the record. And I certainly feel responsible for any difficulty that this Bill may be in at this time. If Representative DiPrima was goaded into a display of temper, I think that I would have to accept the responsibility for that too. This is a good Bill. It's one that merits your cons...your

consideration. We all know that Representative DiPrima represents the veterans organizations throughout the state. He handles Bills that have been endorsed by all the veterans organizations throughout the state. That issue has nothing to do with the veterans organizations at the current time, Representative Hanahan. But seriously, I would appreciate everybody getting on this Bill and giving Representative DiPrima the vote of confidence that he deserves. The American Legion Convention opens up in two weeks, and it would be a proud moment for all of us to appear as those who have helped him support...pass this Bill which would provide...provide scholarships for the children of veterans of our wars. And I can't see any reason for withholding a vote on this Bill. I would urge every Member to vote in the affirmative on this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Davis to explain his vote. Jack Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wanted to ask Representative DiPrima a question, but I think I know the answer. Seriously this Bill will have the effect of increasing enlistments in the reserve, the national guard and it's sadly impotent armed service that the United States now experiences where military men in the navy, the army, the marine corps and the air force are now enlisted personnel are on welfare and drawing food stamps to supplement a sadly deficient military pay. This is a very good Bill and the State of Illinois should support the notion that this Bill will encourage enlistments into the ready reserve and into the national guard."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Braun to explain her vote for one minute."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To Representative DiPrima, in all due deference,

Sir, I think you owe Representative Jaffe an apology."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stearney to explain his vote for one minute. Representative Stearney. Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Gentlemen, true, we owe this to the military. These people in case of emergency have to obey orders. They possibly give their life...give their lives or get shot up, one thing or another. And this is not a great thing. I think we've got the Bill, so I think it's foolish to waste any more time talking. But it is a just thing to do."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I resent the actions of Representative DiPrima and these latest action is to go over here and call one of our Lady Members names. And as far as I'm concerned, I'll spend as much...shut up. Mr. Speaker, I think I've spent as much time in the military as Mr. DiPrima, and I think I'm as good an American. But I think that the rules of the House prohibit what he's done. And I wouldn't vote for this Bill as long as he's Sponsor."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question 123 'ayes', 11 'nays', 10 voting 'present'. And this Bill having received the...the House concurs to the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3197. The Bill is declared passed. Representative Donovan on House Bill 1255. Donovan."

Donovan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I believe we've taken this from the table earlier. So...Just a minute. Take it out of the record."

Speaker Giorgi: "Out of the record. Representative Marovitz on House Bill 1407, Conference Committee Report."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and

Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a minute, Mr. Marovitz. This is on supplemental calendar #4. Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 1407. The Conference Committee has taken out the \$150 million home loan...statewide home loan program in here. The most important element in this Conference Committee Report is the additional bonding authority...rate authority for IHDA, 10 3/4. IHDA has been out of the bond market for about 9 months now because their bond limits were 9%. Unless we pass this important legislation, hundreds of projects that IHDA has committed to across the State of Illinois will not be able to go ahead. It's very important legislation for the state senior citizen projects, housing projects, low and moderate income projects across the State of Illinois. IHDA must be able to get into the bond market. Increasing their bonding rates to 10 3/4 will allow them to do that. And I would ask for an affirmative Roll Call on this important legislation and adoption of Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 1407."

Speaker Giorgi: "Before we take discussion, Representative Marovitz, we have to move that the Bill be taken from the table. Do I have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? Any objections. Leave has been granted. The Attendance Roll Call will be used to take from the table. Representative Skinner on the motion that Marovitz that we adopt the Second Conference Committee Report. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yeah, what happened to your good Bill? It seems to have disappeared"

Marovitz: "Somebody took a walk."

Skinner: "Now who is that?"

Marovitz: "Well, I don't want to name names on the House floor.

The class thing to do is not to name names. You can check the Roll Call."

Skinner: "Can we check the Conference Committee and see some of those names?"

Marovitz: "Nope, you won't find those names on the Conference Committee Report. Conference Committee Report people are diligent Members of the House of Representatives."

Skinner: "Well, not all of them."

Marovitz: "And the Senate."

Skinner: "Not all of them seemed to have signed it."

Marovitz: "If they didn't sign it, it's only because they weren't at the Conference Committee meeting. They're all in agreement with this Report. I'm sure you could check the Roll Call. They'll all be on it."

Skinner: "Well, what I don't understand is why we're doing away with subsidized mortgages at the federal government's extent...expense..."

Marovitz: "I don't understand that either, Cal. I don't understand it either. The Bill got 36 votes in the Senate. There was a verification. One Member took a walk. It got 35 votes as a result of that. Consequently, we had to go to Conference Committee Report #2."

Skinner: "Well, I guess this is as good a place as any to put on the record that the problem that we're having with the housing in the United States is the fault of the United States Congress. And there's one Gentlemen in the United State Congress named Ulman who has thrown a monkey wrench into state and local government attempt to alleviate the problem with the only tool they have which is to pass government financed mortgage bond programs. This was a loophole which the Congressman's Bill which he introduced

did not cover apparently. And I resent very much one Senator, apparently, taking a walk. That will mean that four to five thousand homeowners in the State of Illinois or prospective homeowners will not be able to get financial assistance through mortgages. I think that is deplorable, and I hope that someone will take the message to the Senator whoever the unknown person is."

Marovitz: "In response to my...my well informed colleague on the other side of the aisle, I would like to make a statement for the record that Conference Committee Report #1 which included the statewide home loan program of \$150 million in bond which will federally tax exempt and which would provide approximately 4,000 home loan mortgages at low interest rates across the state of Illinois was one of the most important Bills to come across the pike this legislative session, and would have benefitted almost every county, perhaps every county in the State of Illinois and 300 to 400 lending institutions in the State of Illinois. And I, too, agree with Representative Skinner in my disappointment and my disagreement with the actions of the Senate tonight. I certainly wish we could have gone ahead with Conference Committee Report #1. 35 other states have gone ahead with a home loan...statewide home loan program. Now, that the State of Illinois because of the actions across the rotunda has not gone ahead with that program. The citizens of the State of Illinois will be subsidizing 35 other states and their statewide low interest federally tax exempt home loan programs. I think that's unfortunate. Unfortunately, we are left with Conference Committee Report #2. All of IHDA's projects are in Conference Committee Report #2. It is extremely important that we pass this Report, allow IHDA to get into the bond market and many senior citizen low and moderate income housing projects to

go ahead this summer across the state. And I would ask for an affirmative Roll Call in the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just for a moment, Representative Skinner. Just a moment. Representative DiPrima on a point of personal privilege. Just a moment. Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you know I can't help myself at times when I'm handling these veteran Bills. They get carried away. There was a lot of toying around at the beginning here, and I thought people were playing a little game on me. And I couldn't afford to lose this Bill. As I said, on Veteran's Day the Governor has about 300 veterans from the state at the mansion for a luncheon and then usually signs my Bill...or Bills. And this was the only Bill we had for that particular Veteran's Day coming up this year. And I'm sorry I was carried away, and I want to apologize to each and every one of you for my actions. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bullock on the motion...on Marovitz's motion. Excuse me one minute, Representative Bullock. Are you finished, Mr. DiPrima?"

DiPrima: "I want to apologize to Aaron Jaffe, too."

Speaker Giorgi: "Very well, very well. Representative Schraeder for what reason do you rise? Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I'd like leave to be voted 'yes' on Representative Prima's Bill."

DiPrima: "Yeah, I also want to apologize to Penny Pullen over there and Brummer over here. Who else did I castigate? Johnson castigated me. All right. Okay, Timmy. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Very good. Representative Bullock on the motion of Marovitz's. Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Marovitz, I

understand that the Senate has made some adjustments in the Bill. And quite frankly Representative Skinner and others indicated that the best part of the Bill was removed. And so all we're left with here is an option if we support this Conference Committee Report of increasing the interest rates on the bonds of IHDA, is that correct?"

Marovitz: "Well, that is the major part...part of the legislation."

Bullock: Representative Marovitz, what impact is this going to have on pending projects presently being considered by IHDA?"

Marovitz: "I think it'll have an important impact on pending projects because without the ability to get into the bond market which IHDA has not had for nine months, all the impending projects, low, moderate income housing, senior citizen housing, would not be able to go ahead. And we're talking about a very substantial amount of projects across the State of Illinois. And without the passage of this legislation, IHDA can't get into the bond market. The projects can't go ahead, and many fine communities and fine projects across the state would be jeopardized."

Bullock: "Representative, do you know of any low income projects that are presently pending that would be jeopardized if this Legislature were not to grant IDHA its increased interest rate limit?"

Marovitz: "I have not been privy to the list of IDHA projects pending, past or future. At no time was I given a list of IHDA projects. I know that there are some projects that are of great concern to people on the west side of Chicago, the south side of Chicago, East St. Louis, Illinois. There is a meeting pending now that will be coming up, I believe, within a week between IHDA and the many members of your community and the south side and the west side communities

of the city of Chicago which many of these projects including Ike Simms Village will be discussed. I would certainly hope that these projects would be considered favorably. We'll go ahead and that the west side of Chicago, the south side of Chicago and East St. Louis will be given favorable consideration by IHDA."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I originally...I'd like to just briefly comment on the Bill. Originally, I had intended...originally I have intended to support this Bill enthusiastically because I thought it had some features that would certainly make it avant garde. Since those features have been removed, I'm somewhat reticent in my support of the Bill. However, the Sponsor of the Bill has always indicated openmindedness and fairness and appears to be trying to present a case ipso facto for some of the people who are directly involved in IHDA that heretofore have done absolutely zero in certain communities. And I think that having had the Sponsor of this Bill indicate for the record at least the intentions of the IHDA officials and general counsels for IHDA that I will be watching very closely the outcome of that summit that you mentioned. And certainly I will not support any future increased interest bond limits for this agency until there are some more definitive, clear action taken by IHDA in those communities that are previously underserved."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question moves...the Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Previous question prevails. Representative Marovitz to close."

Marovitz: "I would just reiterate to the Membership that this is an extremely important piece of legislation because we do

have a lot of projects that are on the drawing board that are ready to go to construction for low and moderate income housing, senior citizens in areas that are depressed and that really need rehabilitation. We need this legislation. IHDA must get into the bond market. They haven't been in the bond market for about nine months. This legislation will allow them to do that. And allow IHDA projects to go ahead. And I think it's important that all of us...all of us take a close look at IHDA and make sure that they are responsive to the needs of the Membership of the House and the Senate. We have commitments from IHDA. They're going to go ahead with these commitments. Let's given them an opportunity to get into the bond market and move these projects forward. I would ask for an 'aye' vote on adoption of Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz before we put that question, we have to suspend some rules. I recognize Representative Getty to suspend rules for 24 hours."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would now move that we suspend the provisions of Rule 68D and E for 24 hours."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves that we suspend the appropriate rule for the next 24 hours. Do we have leave to use the att...the Attendance Roll Call? Leave. Leave has been granted. We'll use the Attendance Roll Call. What was...now...Rep...Let's take a Roll Call on it. It takes how many votes? 89 votes. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', and those opposed are voting 'no'. This is on the motion. We're taking Attendance Roll Call after this motion call. This is on the motion. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question 150 'ayes', 2 'nays', 3 voting 'present'. The motion prevails. Back to Representative...Let's take an Attendance Roll Call now."

Just a moment, Mr. Totten. For one second, Mr. Totten. Plenty of time, Mr. Totten. We're clearing the board for an Attendance Roll Call. Think it can wait until after we take an Attendance Roll Call. Get on the Roll Call for it's Tuesday...Wednesday or Tuesday? Wednesday, July 2nd. It's an Attendance Roll Call. Only press your own switch. Take the Roll, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 2905. Back to Marovitz. Back to Representative Marovitz on House Bill 1407."

Marovitz: "I can see that there are some people who are a little upset about being ignored. Maybe they want a verification or something like that. But I think we're in the middle of a Roll Call, at least in the middle of a Bill. And I'd like to proceed on it and ask for a favorable Roll Call on the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 1407 which will allow these projects in the State of Illinois to go ahead with funding."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz renews his motion to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1407. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. And Representative Daniels to explain his vote. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to support House Bill 1407. I was a Member of the Conference Committee. And the only reason I didn't sign the Report is because we removed the very important provision in the Illinois Home Owners Mortgage Bond Act. However, it is extremely important that we support the passage of this Bill to provide for the administrative grant to non-profit groups doing rehabilitation projects throughout Illinois. This also concurs with the increase and the interest rate which is necessary to sell the bonds. Thank you very much."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer to explain his vote. Griesheimer, he's up. Representative Lechowicz, you want to explain your vote? Have all voted who wish?"

Marovitz: "Everybody vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten to explain your vote for 1 minute."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Well, you're entitled to that. Have all voted who wish? Representative O'Brien, for what reason do you rise?"

O'Brien: "Explain my vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Explain your vote for one minute."

O'Brien: "I'd like to vote 'aye'. I think it's an excellent Bill. I would like to point out to IHDA that I think in the future that it would be very important for them in both the 12th, 13th and 15th legislative districts and some of those in Hyde Park to continue or to begin to subsidize housing for senior citizens that have been displaced by condominium conversions in those districts that I mentioned. There have been more condominium conversions in those districts than any other district...in other place in the entire country. And I think that IHDA should look into that and begin to help those senior citizens that have been displaced. I vote 'aye'. This is an excellent Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question 139 'ayes', 9 'nays', 2 voting 'present'. And this Bill...and this Second Conference Committee Report is adopted by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority. House Bill 2905. I think it's untimely. We went beyond that point. House Bill 2905, Representative Anderson. Representative Totten, do you persist in your verification?"

Totten: "Yes, I do."

Marovitz: "You do? He's out of order."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz, for what reason do you rise?"

Marovitz: "I rise because I know...I know that there aren't 27 Members that aren't here. So we definitely need to poll the absentees."

Speaker Giorgi: "Poll the absentees. Proceed with the verification."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Abramson. Beatty."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schoeberlein wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. He asks leave to be verified. Any objections? He's verified."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the absentees. Bluthardt. Bradley. Capparelli. Casey. Catania. Dyer. Epton. Ewell. Garmisa. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Krska. Kucharski. Laurino. McMaster. Neff. Peters. Schlickman. Stearney. Stuffle. Terzich. Walsh. Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me. Representative Totten, do you wish recognition?"

Totten: "Call the....call the affirmative vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Oh, I'm sorry, Speaker wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Now, just a minute. Representative Stuffle wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Neff wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. And I guess Representative Totten insists on the verification. So proceed with the affirmative Roll Call. Representative Lech...Grossi. Grossi asks leave to be verified. Any objections. Leave is granted. Representative Sumner for what reason do you rise? To be recorded as voting 'no'. Sumner, 'no'. Representative McMaster. McMaster."

McMaster: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "How's Mr. McMaster recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

McMaster: "Due to Representative Totten's influence, please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McMaster as 'aye'."

Totten: "You'd better begin the affirmative verification."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, what are we starting out with as far as the count? What's the count?"

Speaker Giorgi: "143 'ayes'."

Lechowicz: "143 'ayes'? And it only requires 89 votes? Mr. Totten, do you persist? You'll never...you'll never regret it, let me tell you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Lechowicz: "You'll never forget it, either."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Contin...Poll of the affirmative. Ackerman. Alexander. Anderson. Balanoff. Barnes. Bell. Bianco. Birchler. Birkinbine. Borchers. Bower. Bowman. Braun. Breslin."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Ronan asks leave to be verified. Any objections? 144. Representative Catania wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Dunn asks leave to be verified. Any objections? Dunn is verified. Representative McAuliffe, for what reason do you rise?"

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I object to this. I think it's dilatory. I'm voting 'no' on this Bill, but I don't want to spend the rest of the night sitting here till 6 or 7 o'clock in the morning. And this is crazy taking a verified Roll Call when you got 143 'aye' votes on it. I'm not supporting this Bill. But I don't want to spend the rest of the night sitting here listening to a lot of silly business. It's crazy. I object."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the affirmative.
Bres..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me. Just a moment, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Birchler asked leave to be verified. Any objections? Birchler's verified."

Clerk Leone: "Breslin. Brummer. Burnidge. Campbell. Capuzi. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Collins. Conti. Cullerton. Currie. Daniels. Darrow. Davis. Dawson. Deuster. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Ewing. Farley. Flinn. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman. Griesheimer. Grossi. Hallock. Hallstrom. Hanahan. Hannig. Harris. Henry. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Huff. Huskey. Jaffe. Johnson. Dave Jones. Emil Jones. Katz. Keane. Kelly. Kent. Kulas. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Leon. Leverenz. Macdonald. Mahar. Margalus. Marovitz. Matijevich. Matula. Mautino. McBroom. McClain. McCourt. McGrew. McMaster. McPike. Meyer. Molloy. Mugalian. Mulcahey. Murphy. Neff. Oblinger. O'Brien. Patrick. Pechous. Piel. Pierce. Polk. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Reed. Reilly. Richmond. Robbins. Ronan. Ropp. Ryan. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Sharp. Simms. Skinner. Slape. Stanley. Steczo. E. G. Steele. C. M. Stiehl. Stuffle. Swanstrom. Taylor. Telcser. Tuerk. Van Duyne. Vinson. Vitek. VonBoeckman. Watson. White. Wikoff. Willer. Williams. Williamson. Winchester. J. J. Wolf. Sam Wolf. Woodyard. Yourell. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Slape asked leave to be verified. He's up here at the well. Any objections? Leave is granted. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Dawson."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dawson. Excuse me, just a moment. Representative Huff, for what reason do you rise?"

Huff: "Speaker, leave to be verified."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any objections to Mr. Huff being verified? There being no objection leave is granted. Dawson. Is Representative Dawson here? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Totten: "Katz."

Speaker Giorgi: "Who?"

Totten: "Katz. Mr. Wonderful."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Katz. Is he in the chambers. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Totten: "McPike."

Speaker Giorgi: "McPike. Isn't McPike in the room? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Here's Mr. McPike, right here."

Totten: "Mugalian."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mugalian. How's he...how's the gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Totten: "Preston."

Speaker Giorgi: "Preston. How's he...is Preston in the chambers here? He's in the back of the room."

Totten: "Okay. Garmisa."

Speaker Giorgi: "Garmisa. Is Garmisa in the chambers? How's Garmisa recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Speaker Giorgi: "I can't do anything with him."

Totten: "Farley."

Speaker Giorgi: "Farley. How's...how's Farley recorded...recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Totten: "Willer."

Speaker Giorgi: "Willer. Mrs. Willer in the chambers? How's she recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'. Take her off the record. Restore Representative Dawson."

Totten: "Rea."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Rea's in the aisle."

Totten: "Rea."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's in the aisle."

Totten: "Bradley."

Speaker Giorgi: "Bradley. Is Gerald Bradley in the chambers? How's he recorded."

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman's not recorded as voting."

Speaker Giorgi: "I can't do anything with him."

Totten: "No further questions."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk, there are how many 'ayes', Mr. Clerk? There are 140 'ayes', 10 'nos', 2 voting 'present'. Representative Madigan, for what reason do you rise?"

Madigan: "Would you announce the number of verified votes, Mr. Speaker? What's the count?"

Speaker Giorgi: "140 'ayes'."

Madigan: "And those are verified?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He didn't go through the...I would suspect they're verified, yes."

Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "140 'ayes', yes."

Madigan: "Please note that in the record for future Bills."

Speaker Giorgi: "10...10 'nos', 2 voting 'present', and House Bill 1407...The House adopts the Second Conference Committee Report, and record Mr. Terzich as 'aye'. Representative O'Brien, do you want to be recorded voting 'aye'?"

O'Brien: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think I am recorded 'aye'...as voting 'aye', but I would like to point out that there are 140 verified Members voting 'aye'. But the Majority Leader is voting 'present'. Will you please include him in the Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "The verified Roll Call will indicate that. On this question there are 139 'aye'...140 'ayes', 10 'nays', 2 voting 'present', and the House does concur to Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1407, and is declared passed with a Constitutional three-fifths Majority. House Bill 2905, Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to suspend the appropriate rule and take House Bill 2905 from the table."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves that 2905 from the table. Do we have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? Leave is granted. The Attendance Roll Call is used."

Anderson: "I move..."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Bill's off the table."

Anderson: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Anderson. House Bill 2905."

Anderson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to suspend Rule 68E which will allow House Bill 2905 to be on the Fall Calendar."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any objections? We'll put...Leave is granted. Put it on the Fall Calendar. Senate Bill 1666. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1666 is the authorization for the Capital Development Board. I

offer and move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative...There be no request for discussion. On this question...Representative Telcser moves that the House concur with the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1666. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have everybody...Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 35 'nays', 3 voting 'present'. And the House accepts the...House adopts the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1666 and the Bill is declared passed with the necessary 3/5's majority. House Bill 3038, Representative Ryan. Representative Totten, for what reason do you rise?"

Totten: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. Just a few minutes ago we took an Attendance Roll Call to determine who was here. On the last Roll Call there were a number of people who weren't here on the Attendance Roll Call who were voting. I hope we would avoid that on future Roll Calls."

Speaker Giorgi: "Point is well taken. House Bill 3038, Representative Ryan. On House Bill 3038, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3038 is the Department of Transportation OCE. And I would move to adopt the Conference Committee Report. And I'd be glad to tell you what that does. That means that we would recede from Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 16 and 18 and that we would concur in Senate Amendments 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14 and 17. And that we would amend the Bill as further as follows: We would reduce the agency operation by a total reduction of \$1,975,900. We eliminate \$26 million for student and

elderly half fare reimbursement. We add 1,560,000 to the bi-state public transportation fund for a total of 7,808,000. It breaks out series B appropriations and reappropriations in the series B and GRF line items. There's no net dollar change. Increases intercity rail transportation by \$30,000 with no dollar change. It's a shift in the amount there from Chicago to Champaign to Chicago and Peoria. It adds \$36,000 for the leasing of an aircraft for department directors. It makes a reduction of \$386,913 in the state agency highway safety programs. And it changes \$50,000 appropriation for minority resource center in East St. Louis from General Revenue to Road Fund. It is now \$5,097,131 above the introduced level. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan, before we put the question, we must take from the table. So Representative Ryan moves that we take the Bill from the table. All in favor...leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? No objection. Leave is granted. The Attendance Roll Call is used, and the Bill is off the table. And now you renew your motion. Representative Vinson on the motion."

Vinson: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Is there an amendment on the Bill for 59 cents for an item, Representative?"

Ryan: "Yes, Senate Amendment #6 adds 59 cents for the purchase of a box of crayons. That's Senate Amendment #6. I believe the...Well, I don't know who the Senate Sponsor was."

Vinson: "Who was the Sponsor of that in the Senate?"

Ryan: "Senator Regner."

Vinson: "I see. That's very interesting. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mulcahey on the motion."

Mulcahey: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Mulcahey: "Representative Ryan, I see in dis...Amendment #5 district 2 has a reduction of \$286,000. What...where's that reduction take place and why?"

Ryan: "Hang on. We'll find the answer. New positions are cut out."

Mulcahey: "I beg your pardon."

Ryan: "New positions. It cuts out new positions."

Mulcahey: "\$286,000?"

Ryan: "Approximately 10 positions. New positions."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McBroom on the motion."

McBroom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "You're the last speaker anyway. Representative Ryan to close."

Ryan: "I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan moves that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3038. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Vote Tuerk 'aye' over there. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question 110 'ayes', 34 'nays', 4 voting 'present'. And the House does accept the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3038, and the Bill is declared passed with the necessary 3/5's majority. We'll turn the microphone over to Representative VonBoeckman for an announcement."

VonBoeckman: "Well, fellow Members, it gives me a great, great pleasure to make an award to a newspaper editor from the Peoria Journal Star, one of the most fabulous newspapers in central Illinois. I really can't say too much for the editor and their editorials. However, the individual that

is receive our Resolution that we in the General Assembly passed recently is one of the finest reporters and a positive reporter that I've ever seen. He's been a friend of mine and a friend of Fred Tuerk and a friend of Fred Schraeder's for a great number of years. And I'm sure he's been a friend to all we in the General Assembly. It gives me great pleasure to present to my good friend, and I'm sure your good friend, Bill O'Connell this Resolution. Bill. I think Bill should give us a little speech. He was shaking. And I said, 'Bill, there's nothing to it.'"

Speaker Giorgi: "House Bill 3084, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to point out that Mary Lou Sumner is also a member of that district that's represented by that newspaper. And I just wanted to bring that to the attention of the Members. House Bill 3084 is the Department of Transportation FY 81 Capital Appropriation Bill. And I would move that we adopt Conference...the First Conference Committee Report, and it makes the following changes: That the House concur in Senate Amendments 2, 3, 5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15 and 16. That the Senate recede from Senate Amendment 11. And that we further amend the Bill by adding \$12,110,000 for three projects. Correction of a drainage deficiency at Kuka Street and Route 53, acquisition of the Elmhurst Chicago Stone Co. quarries, and the rehabilitation of the Hennepin Canal in Henry and Bureau Counties. It makes a reduction of \$1,558,300 from the Road Fund and the township bridge program for a total of \$15,147,500. The Bill is now \$12,022,700 less than it was introduced in...by the Governor. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, have you moved to take House Bill 3084 from the table."

Ryan: "I don't know whether we did that in one motion or whether

we didn't."

Speaker Redmond: "You'd better make such a motion."

Ryan: "I would so move, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "You heard the motion. Those in favor vote 'aye'...oh, you've been doing it with the Attendance Roll Call? Any objection? Hearing none, Attendance Roll Call will be used. It's on the table. Representative Ryan, you heard the discussion on the motion to adopt the First Conference Committee Report. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record on this. Representative Lechowicz. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, very briefly in support of this Bill. First of all I think it's an excellent Conference Committee Report. It should be supported by the House. I think the Membership should evaluate the procedures that were used. I believe that Senate Amendments #2 which eliminates many boondoggle projects that were eliminated should be supported by this House. I think it brings it in conformity to the Governor's original projections. I recommend an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my 'yes' vote, now the last time three of us from my district voted 'no' because we found that we had not been told the truth and certain promises made to us were not carried out. Now, the Governor's come around to our area again and publicly made statements as to what we was going to do for us. I'm taking him at his word. I want it made a matter of record that I'm taking him at his word and, therefore, voting 'aye'. However, if this word is not kept, I intend to vote, and if I'm reelected, I intend to vote 'no' for the next two years."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 125 'aye' and 21 'no'. The motion carries and the House adopts the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 308...3084. On the fourth supplemental calendar appears House Bill 3482. Representative Friedrich. Representative Friedrich, I didn't... Will you turn Representative Friedrich mike on."

Friedrich: "Could I be recorded as voting 'aye' on that. I was temporarily away from my desk."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Williams. Williams."

Williams: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would just like to personally thank the minority leader and also the Members of the Appropriations Committee who worked extremely hard to get that, you know, let's say, the moneys restored there to pick up that...that quarry which is a big important part of the DesPlaines, you know, flood water management plan. And I'd really like to thank Representative Ryan and Representative Matijevich and all the people who had a hand in that. And Representative Daniels and the Speaker and everyone. So it's a big important step for that flood water management plan. I'd like to thank everyone for that."

Speaker Redmond: "3482, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to suspend Rule 68E and place 3482 on the Fall Calendar. I'd like leave of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has asked leave to place 3482 on the Fall Calendar. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? 89 votes. Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 129 'aye' and 5 'no', and the motion carried. 3489 be placed on the Fall Calendar. 1150, Representative Dawson. 3482."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd

like to suspend Rule 68E and place Senate 1150 on the Fall Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you moved to take it from the table, Representative Dawson?"

Dawson: "Yes, I have. I asked for that. It's a Senate Bill, Mr. Speaker, 1150."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. What was....what was your motion?"

Dawson: "To place it on the Fall Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentlemen has moved to suspend the rule and to place Senate Bill 1150 on the Fall Calendar. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Minimum wage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 102 'aye', 37 'no', and the motion carries. Senate Bill 1150 is on the Fall Calendar. 3250. Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Mr. Speaker, I believe we've taken this from the table, so..."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentlemen moves that the..."

Donovan: "Take..."

Speaker Redmond: "1150 be taken from the table."

Donovan: "I think it already has been."

Speaker Redmond: "According to the records, you took it from the table."

Donovan: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3250 as amended by the Senate and as revised in Conference Committee provides for a comprehensive reform in Illinois Worker's Compensation System. The terms of the Bill have been agreed by Members of both parties. And from all indications, both management and labor have agreed to the provisions. Mr. Speaker, I move for the concurrence with the First Conference

Committee Report on House Bill 3250. And at this time, I would defer to Representative McPike for detail points of the Bill as agreed in Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Take this one out of the record. We have one more we have to take care of. Senate Bill 1629, Representative Steele. It's out of the record. 1629. Representative Steele."

E. G. Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In Senate Bill 1629 there's been a technical typographical error on page 30, line 4 of Section 10 and with leave of the House, I'd like to ask leave to amend it on its face. May I have leave, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Read the change, please."

E. G. Steele: "The change on line 4, Section 10 replaces Bureau of the Budget should be added in place of Select Joint Committee on Regulatory Agency Reform. It's a typographical error. Replacing Bureau of the Budget for what is now listed Select Joint Committee on Regulatory Agency Reform. I ask leave to amend on its face."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there leave to amend it on its face? No objection, leave is granted. Representative Steele. Representative Steele."

E. G. Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1629 is, I believe, possibly the last appropriation, at least one of the last appropriation Bills to be considered here this evening. It is the firemarshal's budget. And I move to concur with Conference Committee Report 1 to Senate Bill 1629. The firemarshal's budget under this Conference Committee is approximately 80,000 less than it was originally submitted. And the Conference Committee adds to...to...to this budget the Department of Aging, the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities, Local Governments Finance Study Commissions, Bureau of the

Budget and Sunset commission. This Conference Commission is now passed the Senate 47 to 9. It's been signed by all the House Members, Senate Members. And I move for its favorable adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the Gentlemen's motion. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Could the Gentleman tell me what is left out on the last line of page 28?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steele. Anybody want some roads? The Secretary of the Department of Transportation is on the floor. He will meet all the Members in my office after, and you can tell him what your needs are for your district. Representative Steele."

E. G. Steele: "The question again, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "On the last line of page 28, the sentence ends 'that the amounts have been approved in writing by the blank.'"

E. G. Steele: "Governor."

Skinner: "By the Governor?"

E. G. Steele: "Yeah."

Skinner: "Oh, okay."

E. G. Steele: "Bad Xerox on the copy."

Skinner: "Well, perhaps that ought to be added."

E. G. Steele: "Leave."

Skinner: "And could you tell me how the Joint Committee on the Census is going to spend 100...\$100,000 changing map lines or something. Are we hiring the national geographic to do six color maps or what?"

E. G. Steele: "We understand this is an agreement from the Members of the Senate who practically unanimously adopted it. And it's preparing for the census that is coming up. The census now being taken this year. And preparation for reapportionment I was told."

Skinner: "Well, in the Resolution that we just passed, the reason

that we did not give it to LIS was because there were a couple of staffers running around that seemed to be loose. We wouldn't have to hire any new people. And I wonder whether a 59 cent box of crayons might not be enough. Why do we need \$100,000?"

E. G. Steele: "I think it's the maps and supplies in connection with the Joint Resolution that we passed here earlier today preparing for the census."

Skinner: "\$100,000 is an awful lot of preparation just to give a report to the census. I don't think it's justifiable."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any further questions?"

E. G. Steele: "Question."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1629. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative John Dunn, please sit down in front of him. Darrow."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to vote for this so that we can fund state government and go on. I'd just like to note that according to the way I read my analysis and according to the information provided me, there are about 100 new jobs in the Mental Health budget. And I think that's excessive, and I think everybody knows who put them there. And I don't think it's right. And I hope the Governor vetoes those jobs out."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 'aye' and 24 'no', and the motion carries. And the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1629. 3250, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, somehow Senate Bill 185 Conference Committee Report fell through the cracks tonight. The Governor seems to want it very badly. And maybe because it

had my fingerprints on it, it's not being called. So I guess at this point I'd better moved to put it on the Fall Calendar so that the Governor can have an opportunity to have his Bill. The Senate's already adopted it 52 to 3, but apparently we couldn't get to it tonight. So I would that the Senate Bill 185 Second Conference Committee Report be placed on the Fall Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have any objection? Any objection? Hearing the no objection, the Attendance Roll Call will be used. 3250. Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3250, as amended by the Senate and a revised...as revised in the Conference Committee, provided that for comprehensive reform in Illinois Worker's Compensation system. The terms of the Bill have been agreed by both parties, and from all indications, industry, capitalist, and labor. This is an agreed Bill that come out of the Conference Committee, and I ask for your favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have no intentions of making a long speech and going all through this Bill. There are over 19 specific provisions but there is one provision that I have to discuss for about two minutes to make sure the legislative intent is in the law or is in the record. On page 26 of the Bill we address ourselves to a freeze on permanent partial. Under current law, the average weekly wage is adjusted twice a year, on July first and on January first. It is our intent to freeze the average weekly wage as it applies to permanent partials beginning January first, 1981, for a three year period. That means that there was an adjustment on July 1 of 1980, and there will be another adjustment on January 1, 1981, before this freeze goes into

effect. So that...and I would like to read the first sentence of this change, 'the maximum weekly compensation rate for the period January 1, 1981, through December 31, 1983, except as herein after provided, shall be 100% of the state's average weekly wage and covered industries under the Unemployment Insurance Act in effect on January 1, 1981'. And I would like it clearly shown in the record that...Mr. Speaker, could I have some attention, please? I would like it clearly shown in the record that the legislative intent of the words 'in effect' on line 19 means in effect for the benefit period defined in Chapter 48, Section 401 of the Unemployment Insurance Act. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, despite the fact that the Republican side of the aisle had to give up sponsorship in order to get some reform in this particular field in this Session, we still stand firm in our belief that this Bill does make some preliminary steps at least. It's a good move in the right direction. It's probably not all that we would like to have seen accomplished. However, I think those of us who have worked on this Bill deserve some credit for bringing the parties together. I do... I, too, want to read into the record that fact that the one provision relating to hearing loss is tested for continuous sound, and I thought the record should show that so that everybody knows what the legislative intent of that provision of the law is. I solicit your support for the Bill. Hopefully, we can come back next year with some more reforms in this area and yet some reasonable and sensible work comp. statute in the books. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of

the House. I would like to point out what House Bill 3250 that the Republicans worked hard to put in it's not in this Bill. Comprehensive medical standards, a true reduction in the maximum levels for permanent partial benefits to 66 and two-thirds, a comprehensive set of hearing loss standards and deductions for pre-existing injuries. And I'd like the record to show, Mr. Speaker, that the Republicans and I have filed this evening a new Bill, House Bill 3618, that I'd like to have read tonight into the record so we can at least have it available for consideration when we come back here in the fall to see if we can't add these things that are still missing from House Bill 3250. And I would also ask that we pass this Bill and that we support it and get it out of here and then come back in the fall and do the same thing on House Bill 3618."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative John Dunn."

John Dunn: "Mr. Speaker I'd like to read into the record a motion for the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is 'Shall the main question be put?'. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Representative...Who is...Who's going to close? Donovan or McPike? Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to thank the...the conferees and certainly the Republicans and Democrats alike. I think this Bill does make a giant step toward working toward equity and justice for certainly the worker and the capitalist, and above all, the people in the State of Illinois. So I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3250. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'."

Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "I want to take the opportunity as a Republican for thanking Representative Donovan for permitting me to be one of the...one of the Republicans that is a Cosponsor of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, in view of the fact 3618 is now introduced, I think we're acting a little hastily here maybe. We should come back Friday and work on 3618 because I can't get a convertible for the July Fourth Parade. They're hard to find these days. But because of the late hour and because Representative Ryan has endorsed this Bill, I think that we could wait on 3618 till the week after next, the week of the Republican National Convention, and maybe put it out then because it's probably a Ronald Reagan Bill anyway."

Speaker Redmond; "Representative Greiman. Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have some questions of a non-political nature that deal with the interpretation of the Act, and I wondered if I might ask the Sponsor... if I could have leave to ask the Sponsor... Well, thank you. I appreciate your courtesy. Therefore, I will suggest that on page 43 which deals with the accidental injuries incurred while participating in voluntary recreational programs. The question that I would have put would be whether or not the injury itself must relate to the doing of the physical recreational Act or whether... excuse me. Could you sell your roses just a little down the road please? Thank you. Or whether or not an employee who is, for example, playing baseball and hit by a company truck is barred from comp. in that situation. If you're playing baseball and you slide and you break a leg sliding, we understand he's barred. But if you're hit by a truck that

goes wild while you're playing baseball, are you barred then from comp. The other question is... I think that you would not be barred from comp. The other question is if you are barred from comp. and the employer is negligent, do you have a common law action? These are...these are serious questions, but I guess the House doesn't care. I hope no one breaks a leg or loses an eye."

Speaker Redmond: "Any... anyone further? Representative Pechous."

Pechous: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. After years of legislative inertia on this subject, this is indeed a great moment in the history of the State of Illinois and this subject. And I wonder whether Sponsor Donovan, Representative Donovan, would agree to let those who would wish to sign in as Cosponsors as I had previously done. And with his leave I would hope that that could be accomplished."

Speaker Redmond: "May Representative Pechous be added as a Cosponsor? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 158 'aye' and 2 'no', the motion carried, and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3250. On page 5. On page 6 under Motions House Joint Resolution 108. Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative..."

O'Brien: "House Joint..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I... I reluctantly object to whatever you're doing here. We had an agreement all day long that I've had to keep my eye on, needless to say, and it finally all worked out in the end. But the agreement was that the

Workmen's Compensation Bill would be the last order of business of this House. If we're going to go to motions, then I think we ought to start at the top of the motions list and take the Republican and the Democrats and everybody that are on the list. And I hate to do this to my friend Danny O'Brien, but I've got people over here that'd like to have some motions called. And if you're going to do it, then we got to call them all."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, this motion really relates to the issue that we just finished. This...this Resolution deals with Worker's Comp. This is a bi-partisan Resolution, George. And it calls for equal numbers of Members from both sides of the aisle, Democrats and Republicans and from both chambers, George."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

O'Brien: "And if we're going to have any reform in Worker's Comp., we've got to start with the industrial commission."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, if...Representative O'Brien is within his rights to make the motion. It will require 107 votes."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, how do we get out of the...you went to the...to the order of motions here. How do we jump down to the middle of the page to 108 to O'Brien's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Most of the others have been taken."

Ryan: "Well how about...Have they all been taken?"

Speaker Redmond: "No."

Ryan: "Well, then let's start back like the rules say..."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll go...we'll go back if in the event Representative O'Brien's motion carries and is placed on the...taken from the...placed on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration, we'll go back and get all the rest of them. Representative O'Brien on your motion."

O'Brien: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't want to get the Minority Leader upset. I felt and I think the chair felt and many Members in this chamber feel that this would be the appropriate Resolution to take after we just dealt with 32...House Bill 3250 which dealt with Worker's Comp. If we're going to deal with Worker's Comp. and get any kind of reform, this would be the Resolution to take in order. But I will yield to the Minority Leader, and I will let it go in the order that he wants it to go in. And I will let him know that I am there to vote on all the Resolutions that he's interested in should he persist in his objection to this motion being taken up at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, how long has the Gentleman's motion been on the calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know."

Ryan: "Well, Mr...."

Speaker Redmond: "A couple of weeks I would assume."

Ryan: "Fine."

Speaker Redmond: "Let's proceed to the motion. Representative O'Brien moves that House Joint Resolution 108 be taken...be placed on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 107 votes. Representative Simms, for what purpose do you rise?"

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I speak against this motion. This should be defeated. This is only duplicating what's already existing. We have the Labor Laws Commission as it is. Representative Schoeberlein is one on our side that served on it. And all this commission basically doing is going to spend more money to do the things that the Labor Laws Study Commission already has the authority and has been performing adequately. So this is just a wasted Resolution that's anymore public relations."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, why don't...I can see the telephone lines working. Why don't we just take this out of the record for now. And I'll go over and talk to Mr. Ryan."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Been a request for one more Bill. House Bill 1295. What's the... 1255. What's the pleasure? Representative Ryan objects under those circumstances 80..107 is unlikely. Representative Madigan, do we have the adjournment Resolution? Representative Giorgi, what is it an Agreed Resolution? Agreed Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 958, Harris. 961, Hanahan. 963, Younge."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, 958 asks for a study of the associate peer system by Harris. 961 by Hanahan..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I withdraw my objection to 1255."

Speaker Redmond: "On the order on page 3 on the regular calendar, House Bill 1255. Now, has the motion been made to take that one from the table? We're on 1255. It has...It already has been taken from the table is that correct?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yes, it's been removed from the table. 12...just a minute here. I move for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1255. That was further...was discussed earlier in the evening before it was taken out of the record for the lack of copies of the Conference Report. First of all it deals with the unlawful use and manufacture and sale of credit cards, identification cards, identification cards used for the purposes of...of receiving public aid benefits when none has been authorized. That's the first part of the

Conference Committee Report. The second one which is of some interest to you people, other people here, were...was the domestic violence section of it. It adds provisions that concerning domestic domestic...violence, and I'll read just exactly here what it says from it. It provides that 'Any person who intentionally violates a domestic injunction or restraining order prohibiting a party from entering or remaining in a marital home or striking or interfering with personal liberty of the complainant or child commits a Class C misdemeanor. That's 30 days or \$500 fine.' There is support from the Illinois State's Attorney's Association and police departments around the state I've heard. I would move for the concurrence at this time and ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I would also remove my objections to 108, Mr. Speaker. That may not be timely, but I want to do it while I'm removing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I'm sorry at this hour to speak, but when this subject came up earlier, I suggested that I had some concerns about the Bill because it related to divorce decrees. I have since analyzed the Bill. And find that it is restricted to a Section of the law, Chapter 69, relating to domestic injunctions. I know the purpose of the Bill is to try to help women who are being beaten up by their husbands. And the...as I read it, although I still have some concerns, I think that it's probably workable, and I intend to support the motion. What it does do is it says this, if a wife or husband has secured, and normally it's a wife, has secured an injunction against the husband which removes him from the home for 30 days because of a imminent threat of violence to the wife, then if that husband or that spouse

during the time that that injunction is in force goes into that house in violation of the invention...injunction, rather, and...or strikes the spouse or interferes with the personal liberty of this spouse or child that that's a Class C misdemeanor. I have some trouble with interfering with the personal liberty."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is 'Shall the main question be put?'. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Representative Donovan to close."

Donovan: "I ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1255. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all vot...Representative Johnson, one minute to explain your vote."

Johnson: "Well, this is a total dramatic change in our whole per...our whole Civil Code in Illinois. This attaches criminal penalties to what there's already criminal penalties attached to. And it takes criminal law into the area of civil law. It's a total change in everything we've ever had in our common law system. And to do this at the last minute in a Conference Committee Report without having hearings, witnesses or anything in...anything else in this Bill is a real disservice to our... to our Criminal and our Civil Code in Illinois. And if this receives 107 votes or whatever it requires, I want to verify it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 82 'aye' and 37 'no'."

The motion fails. Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that we go to the order of motions, and we call HJR 108."

Speaker Redmond: "HJR 108. House HJ...House Joint Resolution 108, Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, House Joint Resolution 108 deals with the Joint Industrial Commission Advisory Committee. It sets up a Committee made of Members of both the House and the Senate, equal numbers from either side of the aisle, to determine and to discuss and to look into the procedures that we are operating under in the industrial commission to date. If we are going to have any reform in the Industrial Commission, I think it's important that we as Members of the General Assembly get the opportunity to take a look into their procedures that they presently operate under. I'd be more than happy to answer any questions that any Members might have and might indicate that the Resolution bi-partisan support. I hope."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to House Joint Resolution 108. As I earlier indicated, this subject can be well addressed by the Committee in the House of Representatives for the Labor Committee, but most importantly we already have set up a mechanism called the Labor Laws Study Commission. Their function is to make recommendations to the General Assembly regarding labor law, the application as how it is progressing in the area of unemployment compensation as well as workman's compensation. It's a Commission that has been working effectively for a good number of years. And it does make valid recommendations. I suggest to you that what is being suggested in this Resolution is expensive. It requires that contractual services may be entered into. So I would

urge that the House reject House Joint Resolution 108."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien, have you moved for immediate consideration?"

O'Brien: "I do so. I move for its immediate consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions... The question's on the Gentleman's motion that suspend the Rule 41A for immediate consideration on House Joint Resolution 108. Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk'll take the record. This question there's 80 'aye' and 13 'no'. Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "That was a little quick. You think we could call the absentees, Mr. Speaker. ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien has requested a poll of the absentees. We'll dump the Roll Call and do it again. But please your own...only your own switch. Dump the Roll. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question there's 85 'aye' and 8 'no'. The motion failed. Change of votes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Capparelli requests to vote 'no' on House Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "What are we doing now, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we have motions for change of votes that were..."

Ryan: "How many?"

Speaker Redmond: "How many, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "I'd say 10 to 15."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if we take them one by one that's fine, but we can't..."

Speaker Redmond: "Take them one by one, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Capparelli requests to vote 'no' on Senate Bill 569. Is there objections? Representative Matula requests to vote 'aye' on Senate Bill 1578. Are there objections? Representative Hallstrom requests to vote 'aye' on Senate Bill 1578. Are there objections? Representative Karpiel requests to vote 'no' on the Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1662. Are there objections? Representative Capparelli requests to vote 'present' on Senate Bill 1662. Are there objections? Representative Balanoff requests to vote 'aye' on Senate Bill 1624. Are there objections? Representative Kelly requests to vote 'no' on Senate Bill 1665. Are there objections? Representative Donovan requests to vote 'no' on Senate Bill 1666. Is there objections? Representative Slape requests to vote 'aye' on Senate Bill 1812. Are there objections? Representative Karpiel requests to vote 'aye' on Senate Bill 2001. Are there objections? Representative Hudson requests to vote 'aye' on House Bill 929. Are there objections? Representative Karpiel requests to vote 'aye' on the Second Conference Committee on House Bill 929. Are there objections? Representative Dawson requests to vote 'no' on Senate Bill 3040...on House Bill 3047. Are there objections? Representative Karpiel requests to vote 'aye' on House Bill 3047 Second Conference Committee. Are there objections? Representative Huskey requests to vote 'aye' on House Bill 3193. Are there objections? Representative Sumner requests to vote 'aye' on Second Conference Committee on House 3197. Are there objections? Representative Braun requests to vote 'aye' on House Bill 3197 Second Conference Committee. Are there objections? Representative Boucek requests to vote 'aye' on House Bill 3197 Second Conference Committee. Are there objections? Representative Neff requests to vote 'aye' on

House Bill 3250. Are there objections?"

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objections, the motions will be..."

Representative Pell...Piel. Motions will be allowed.

Representative Piel."

Piel: "Yes, he mentioned my name on one motion. I couldn't figure out which motion that would be because I didn't fill out a motion slip."

Speaker Redmond: "I can't understand a word you said."

Piel: "He filled out...He mentioned my name on a motion. I didn't fill out a motion slip. That's..."

Speaker Redmond: "Karpiel. That's the Lady in the back row. That is for the Adjournment Resolution? Agreed Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 958, Harris. 961, Hanahan. 963, Younge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I just heard from the Governor, and Jane and they are anxiously awaiting our arrival as soon as we adjourn here."

Speaker Redmond: "Why don't you introduce the first Lady of the minority party."

Ryan: "Why don't you? My wife."

Speaker Redmond: "Mrs. George Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "A lot nicer than her husband. Have we had the Death Resolution for Willard Ice? What have we got now, Mr. Clerk? Agreed Resolutions. Zeke Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Harris has the study the social security system. 961 by Hanahan talks about a Crystal Lake JC award. And Yvetter Younge requests the utilities to study terminations. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed

Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Introduction and first reading. Representative Madigan, the Adjournment Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3618, Ryan. A Bill for An Act relating to compensation for injuries sustained by persons in scope and course of their employment. First reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on the...Rules Committee. Senate...Adjournment Resolution, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 126. Resolved by the Senate of the 81st General, the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein that when the two Houses adjourn on Tuesday, July 1, 1980, they stand adjourned until Friday, November 6, 1980, at 12 o'clock noon with Amendment #1. Amends Senate Joint Resolution 126 to read: When the two House adjourn on Wednesday, July 2, 1980, they stand adjourned until Friday, November 6, 1980, at 12 o'clock noon."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane. Representative Kane."

Kane: "I think that's Friday, November 7th. Thursday is the 6th. Which day are we coming back?"

Speaker Redmond: "Do we have leave to correct the Adjournment Resolution on its face. The amendment to the Adjournment Resolution. Thursday 6th, is that correct?"

Keane: "Thursday is the 6th or Friday the 7th, but you can't Thursday the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Thursday the 6th."

Clerk O'Brien: "Thursday the November 6th."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, with your leave before the Adjournment Resolution, I would like to express..."

Speaker Redmond: "Close that door back there, will you? I can't

see."

Peters: "I would to express my thanks and the thanks of the Republican Members of the Committee first of all to our Appropriation Staff and our chief Phil Gannett and also our very deep and sincere appreciation to Bill Holland, the Director of the Democratic Staff and the Members of the Democratic staff for the fine job that they did. And let me add, Mr. Chairman, my thanks to Representative Matijevich, but also my very deep thanks to Representative Chapman who many of you know I had some very serious reservations about our ability to be able to get along and to resolve things. But let me say, and I say this publicly, Representative Chapman in my estimation this year has done a great deal in order to make the record of this House and to move the legislation of this House. And I am grateful to her for all of her assistance. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Pete, I thank you very much for your...your remarks. I'm very moved and very grateful. I want to say that I never at any point had any question about our ability to work well together. It's been a pleasure for me to work with you. I appreciate you. And I join you in thanking our Appropriations Committee staff and their leaders Bill and Phil. And I thank all the Members of the Appropriations II Committee both Republicans and Democrats and join with you Pete in feeling very good about the ability of this Legislature to resolve...to resolve complex problems in a very exemplary fashion. The process works."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to express my sentiments. Thanks for nothing because that's exactly what I got."

Speaker Redmond: "The Adjournment Resolution. The Adjournment Resolution. Representative Madigan moves the adoption of

the amendment to the Adjournment Resolution. Is that correct? Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The amendment's adopted. Now the adjournment Resolution, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "I move for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan has moved the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution as amended. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried. And the House now stands adjourned pursuant to the Resolution."

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JULY 01, 1980

PAGE 1

HB-0262	CONFERENCE	PAGE	31
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	111
HB-0929	CONFERENCE	PAGE	180
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	41
	MOTIONS	PAGE	179
HB-1009	CONFERENCE	PAGE	42
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	122
	MOTIONS	PAGE	121
HB-1255	CONFERENCE	PAGE	166
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	266
	MOTIONS	PAGE	165
HB-1407	CONFERENCE	PAGE	234
HB-2410	TABLED	PAGE	5
HB-2411	TABLED	PAGE	5
HB-2837	CONFERENCE	PAGE	153
HB-2905	MOTIONS	PAGE	248
HB-3038	CONFERENCE	PAGE	249
HB-3045	VETO	PAGE	210
HB-3047	CONFERENCE	PAGE	86
HB-3084	CONFERENCE	PAGE	253
	MOTIONS	PAGE	252
HB-3193	CONFERENCE	PAGE	164
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	43
HB-3197	CONFERENCE	PAGE	194
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	221
	MOTIONS	PAGE	46
HB-3250	CONFERENCE	PAGE	255
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	259
	MOTIONS	PAGE	81
HB-3365	CONFERENCE	PAGE	142
	MOTIONS	PAGE	47
HB-3482	CONFERENCE	PAGE	153
	MOTIONS	PAGE	82
	MOTIONS	PAGE	254
HB-3535	MOTIONS	PAGE	144
HB-3618	1ST READING	PAGE	273
SB-0185	MOTIONS	PAGE	259
SB-0569	CONFERENCE	PAGE	182
	MOTIONS	PAGE	182
SB-0934	CONFERENCE	PAGE	157
SB-1150	MOTIONS	PAGE	255
SB-1544	CONFERENCE	PAGE	107
SB-1545	CONFERENCE	PAGE	175

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JULY 01, 1980

PAGE 2

SB-1559	CONFERENCE	PAGE	97
SB-1578	CONFERENCE	PAGE	93
SB-1624	CONFERENCE	PAGE	178
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	195
SB-1629	CONFERENCE	PAGE	256
	MOTIONS	PAGE	256
SB-1636	CONFERENCE	PAGE	6
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	95
SB-1640	CONFERENCE	PAGE	169
SB-1662	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	24
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	195
SB-1665	CONFERENCE	PAGE	202
SB-1666	CONFERENCE	PAGE	218
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	248
SB-1710	CONFERENCE	PAGE	109
SB-1713	CONFERENCE	PAGE	14
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	110
SB-1729	CONFERENCE	PAGE	203
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	105
SB-1747	CONFERENCE	PAGE	106
SB-1799	CONFERENCE	PAGE	105
SB-1812	CONFERENCE	PAGE	168
SB-2001	CONFERENCE	PAGE	98
HR-0943	3RD READING	PAGE	67
	MOTIONS	PAGE	60
HR-0944	3RD READING	PAGE	26
HR-0951	3RD READING	PAGE	4
HR-0958	1ST READING	PAGE	5
HR-0959	3RD READING	PAGE	4
HR-0962	1ST READING	PAGE	31
HJR-0108	MOTIONS	PAGE	269
SJR-0101	3RD READING	PAGE	74
SJR-0123	3RD READING	PAGE	68
SJR-0124	2ND READING	PAGE	83
	3RD READING	PAGE	71
SJR-0125	3RD READING	PAGE	220
SJR-0126	3RD READING	PAGE	273

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JULY 01, 1980

PAGE 3

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER BRADLEY - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
CLERK O'BRIEN - PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	3
ORAL ATTENDANCE ROLL CALL	PAGE	10
VETO MESSAGE ON HB 3054	PAGE	24
JNT COMM AGAINST ART IV - CONSTITUTION	PAGE	201
BLANKET SUSPENSION RULE 68D AND E	PAGE	240
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	266
MEMBER VOTE CHANGES	PAGE	271
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	272
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	275