

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in 15 minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "Get all these unauthorized persons off of the floor. Retire to the Gallery. We...there's hardly room for the Members. How do replace Members with staff? Give them voting rights. Good morning. Good morning."

Doorkeeper: "Attention, Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in five minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. The Members please be in their seats. We will be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain. We will be led by Jack O'Brien, the Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Let us pray. Lord, Bless this House and all those that serve and work here. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Pledge of Allegiance." Members: "Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America. And to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Yours only. Take the record. Jack O'Brien's prayer didn't take. We will be led in prayer by Father Krueger."

Father Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost, Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. It is written in the tenth and eleventh verse of the second chapter of the Book of Proverbs; When wisdom enterith into thine heart and

knowledge is pleasant unto thy soul, discretion shall preserve thee and understanding shall keep thee. Let us pray. Eternal Lord God, we humbly...we, Thy humble and obedient servants do praise Thy holy Name in thanksgiving and gratitude for all the blessings of this life we have received of Thy hands. We praise Thy name for calling us to serve the people of the State of Illinois in this House of Representatives and we pray that we may be faithful ever to this trust. As we make decisions this day which will be effected into law, do Thou, O Lord, be our guide sustaining us with wisdom and knowledge, through Jesus Christ, Our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Reading of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the 148th Legislative Day. The House met..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and that Journal #148 of June 19, 1980 and 149 of June 20, 1980, be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Journal is approved as if having been read. Did that already. Want to lead us in Cheer, Cheer? Representative Collins has moved that we shake down the thunder from the sky. Representative Darrow moves to nonconcur. The question is on Representative Darrow's motion to nonconcur. I understand that the moonies are going to

take over now that they've burned the chapel down. Is that right? Give Chalkie two. Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I got my copy of the Journal Register this morning and I don't know whether you've had an opportunity to see it but you'll notice that Representative Kane is still teething. He's sucking on his thumb watching the...watching the vote board. I just wonder if that's going to drive all of us to this sort of thing before this is over with. Yeah, he's wide awake but he's there just sucking on that thumb as big as life. I just think that's wonderful."

Speaker Redmond: "Who was he looking at, Reilly? You know, two or three Sessions ago somebody tried to steal the Cook County Jail. Yesterday somebody was trying to steal the district office. Representative Friederich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I wouldn't take the time of the House if we were doing anything else but I've had something on my mind for a long time. In fact it goes back, clear back to the Constitutional Convention. I think that legislative bodies, and this is no exception, spend too much time talking about minorities rights and all this thing and not enough talk about responsibility. And I'm talking about not only responsibility of elected officials but the responsibility of the individual citizens. I was surprised when we redid the Constitution. There was not one word in it about responsibility. There was a whole two pages about rights but nothing about responsibility. I think they go hand in hand. And on

this minority business, I want to say that Roland Burris was born in Centralia. His father...grandfather was illiterate. Nobody told Roland that he was part of the minority so he became Comptroller of the State of Illinois. We had another boy by the name of Blackwell who's father was illiterate. He became one of the top three mathematicians of the United States. Nobody told him he was a part of a minority. I think we're all part of a minority but I think we're all Americans and I think we're privileged to live in a country where there... you have some responsibility if you're going to make self government work. And I'm getting a little tired of talking about Spanish speaking people and German Americans and Polish Americans and Latin Americans and so on. I think we're all Americans and I think we live in a country where we have the greatest privilege on earth. But I think we have a heck of a lot of responsibility. And I'm spending a lot of my time talking to anybody that will listen. School groups, Chamber of Commerce, Rotary Clubs and anybody else that it's about time the individual citizens start taking a little responsibility and making self government work. And I'd like to hear a little less around this floor about minorities and rights and so on and a little more about responsibility."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow, are you seeking recognition on the matter of concurrence? What's the number of your Bill?"

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 276, page 3, I would move

to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. This is the sales tax provision. It went over to the Senate and the Senate, in their wisdom, put on an Amendment that turned it into what's known as the Twinkie Bill and I do not plan to concur with that and I would so move."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, can the Sponsor of the Bill be a bit more explicit than saying the Amendment turned it into a Twinkie Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Yes, according to the press room on the second floor, the categorical sales tax Bill is called a Twinkie Bill. Because the citizens of the State of Illinois would still have to pay sales tax on their twinkies. And for that reason it's been dubbed the Twinkie Bill by the press corp. What it does is, it... the categorical sales tax exemption Bill that we say over here earlier this year that came over from the Senate and Representative Mautino and Schuneman amended that Bill into a penny off provision and now in retaliation the Senate has taken the one percent sales tax revision Bill and turned it into a Twinkie Bill. And so I would like to nonconcur."

Birkinbine: "I understand. It's the Hostess Cupcake Bill."

Darrow: "Well, I think they're concerned about this. I don't know if anyone has been contacted by Hostess Cupcake, but Penny Pullen is bringing us brownies and you would have to pay sales tax on Penny's brownies if we went according to this system."

Speaker Redmond: "Speaker doesn't have to cause she didn't

give him a brownie this morning. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield? Clarence, is this the Bill that now has been amended to look like George Sangmeister's?"

Darrow: "Yes, this is the Twinkie Bill."

McClain: "And you want to move to nonconcur with that?"

Darrow: "Move to nonconcur? It's my understanding that George is going to nonconcur over in the Senate with the Amendment we put on his Bill. Where we go from here is not yet clear."

McClain: "Well I don't know if...I...this is up to the Speaker but I'd just as soon wait till we have a fuller Membership on this one, Mr. Speaker. Cause I would think that they're going to...this is going to be a substantive motion for concurrence then, Clarence."

Darrow: "Well whatever this Body wishes. But if you don't want any sales tax provisions out of here, Representative McClain, that's what we're headed for because the only sales tax provision that's reached the Governor's desk so far is the one that Representative Lechowicz drafted. The Governor can sign or amendatorily veto that. If we want to get down to last days neither one of these Bills will get out and neither the Sangmeister Bill nor this Bill. So it's your decision. I'll go by what the House wants to do."

McClain: "I'll do...I mean, it's up to the Speaker, of course. And yourself, you're controlling the Bill. I'd just as soon wait till there's a fuller Membership

on the floor."

Darrow: "I'd like to go with it."

McClain: "Well then, Mr. Speaker, I move...I make substitute motion of concurrence then."

Speaker Redmond: "That one we can't do. Take it out of the record. Any other noncontroversial...nonconcurrence. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "No, I have one on concurrence that's not controversial."

Speaker Redmond: "The problem is that it takes 89 on concurrence..."

Friedrich: "I think I can find 89 if you don't watch too closely."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, what's the number? I'm depending on you not to get me in trouble with the... Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "3106, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "3106. House Bill 3106. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I move we concur in Senate Amendment #1. This Bill was amended in the Senate to provide for the replacement race for the Hambiltonian, which we're losing this year. And it would provide that horse races could be run at Du Quoin or Springfield as a replacement for the Hambiltonian. The Hambiltonian has of course been one of the great events in racing in the whole country. We've had the privilege of having it for a number of years. The people at Du Quoin and in Springfield harness racing business believe this will enable us to keep it alive and probably develop a race which would be comparable

to the Hambiltonian. So I move that we do concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Does this expand it to permit betting, paramutual betting?"

Friedrich: "Yes, at Du Quoin or Springfield for harness racing."

Getty: "So the real thrust of this is to open it up to paramutual betting in Springfield and in Du Quoin? Is that correct?"

Friedrich: "Right, that would then...but the...the reason behind it is to develop a replacement race for the Hambiltonian."

Getty: "Thank you."

Friedrich: "Senator Buzbee was the Senate Sponsor and the Hayes people and all the people in harness racing will talk to the Governor about it and I'm sure it will be signed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "I just think that everybody ought to understand that this is a Bill to permit paramutual betting at the State Fair. While not merely a replacement for the Hambiltonian."

Friedrich: "We have paramutual betting at Du Quoin now. Selfishly, I hope it stays at Du Quoin. Paramutual betting is legal at either place now, Representative Getty and this lanugage says, 'Should the Hambiltonian stakes no longer be run in Illinois then it is the policy of the state to foster race or races at the Du Quoin State Fair for the benefit of the harness racing industry. That's...that's all it does."

Getty: "All right, so that...that appears not to expand paramutual betting."

Friedrich: "No, as a matter of fact it doesn't. We've legalized paramutual betting at the State Fair now if they want to use it."

Getty: "Fine. Thank you for the clarification."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3... Who? Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask a question. Dwight, when would this be effective? This year or next year."

Friedrich: "Well, it will be effective when the Hambiltonian is gone, because we still have Hambiltonian racing this year."

Ropp: "You mean at the Illinois State Fair then in 1981..."

Friedrich: "It would be possible to do it one or the other places, yes, 81..."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3106. - Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 90...89 'aye' and 3 'no' and the House does concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3106. Representative Brummer. Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, are you looking for items of nonconcurrence?"

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Brummer: "Are you looking for items of nonconcurrence?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Brummer: "On 3179, I would move to nonconcur. Do you want to recognize Representative Kane...I mean, McClain? I would move to nonconcur. This is the Act creating the medical facilities revenue bond when it went over to the Senate there was included an Amendment to incorporate the provisions of a Bill creating ambulance districts. I really don't know what the Conference Committee may do if there is a Conference Committee, but the Senate Amendment is at a minimum, defective. It includes references to hospital districts, numerous places through the Amendments when its intent was entirely to deal with ambulance districts. So I would move to nonconcur so that we can, at a minimum get up...clean up the language in the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "On the order of concurrence appears Senate Bill 3179. Representative Brummer has moved that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3179. Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "I don't know if it's supposed to be part of a motion to request that a Conference Committee be appointed but I would so request."

Speaker Redmond: "No... Unnecessary. Our efficient Clerk does that. Representative Leon. 3116, is that the one you..."

Leon: "That's right."

Speaker Redmond: "3116, on the order of concurrence."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I

move to concur in the Senate Amendment to House Bill 3116. This Bill was requested by the Secretary of State and the Senate put on clarifying language. I would appreciate approval of my request."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question... Representative Collins wants to know what clarifying language is."

Leon: "The original Bill provided that the only person that could sell house trailers would be a registered auto dealer. This Bill provides that real estate salesmen may sell a used vehicle, a used house trailer and on the Senate Amendment they provide a method by which the real estate salesmen or brokers would give the Secretary of State the numbers and identification of the house trailer that is being sold."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3116? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 107 'aye' and 2 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3116. Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, I move to concur..."

Speaker Redmond: "Give me the number of the Bill first."

Reed: "Oh, I'm sorry, 3174 on page 6."

Speaker Redmond: "3174 on page 6."

Reed: "I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3174. It merely provides for an immediate effective date."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed moves that the House

concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3174. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. It's not the intention of the Speaker to go to the order of change of votes until next Tuesday. Have all voted who wish? And I mean it. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 117 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3174. What did you say? What do you got? Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3485. If you remember, that was the Bill that required insurance companies to cover reconstructive surgery and ~~prosthesis after a masectomys. There has been an~~ Amendment added that when a masectomy is performed there is no...and there is no evidence of malignancy that the offered coverage must be limited to the previous prosthetic devices and reconstructive surgery within two years after the date of masectomy. This is only limited to a precancerous condition known as fibrosis disease. And I would move to concur. This is a very important Bill and it is a limitation only ~~in that case of two years after the date of the~~ masectomy. This is not cosmetic surgery."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 3485. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 'aye' and 0 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 3485. Representative Hallstrom.

Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry, I wanted very much to be included as 'yes' on that Bill and this young man was speaking to me and you closed it off too fast."

Speaker Redmond: "Does she have leave to be recorded? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. 3415. Wait a minute now, we can't do that one. That is...that just came on yesterday and we don't have it back from the printer yet. Well, I'm taking what I can here. 3401. 3401. Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do concur with Senate Bill...with Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3401. This is an agreed Amendment and all it does is provide that the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs will consult with local labor organizations as will...as the developmental authorities and employment services in arranging for the job training program. I would ask for concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any question? Any discussion? The question is on the Lady's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3401. Those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 'aye' and 2 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3401. I can't take anything that wasn't on yesterday's calendar because the stuff isn't back yet. Representative Giorgi, the one you want isn't back yet. 2976? No.

The Clerk tells me no. He doesn't have it back from the printer. Wait a minute now, everybody shut up. 2776. Where in the world is that one? Somebody gave me one that isn't even in existence. There's no such number. 1980. 1980. Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur on House Bill 1980. The Senate added an Amendment merely placing on this Bill a concept that was placed on another Bill reducing the fee charged to retail fish dealers. The fee is reduced down to \$10.00. The Department of Conservation, I understand, agrees with this. I'd move to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

~~Brummer: "Yes, I certainly agree with the Senate Amendment~~
because this is final passage I wonder if the Sponsor could briefly indicate what the original Bill does?"

Griesheimer: "The original Bill was a comprehensive, as I recall, realignment of some of the provisions of the Fish Code. And it, as I recall, and I'll have to say I don't remember all the details of it, but it was pretty much a re...just a realignment Bill as developed by the Department of Conservation."

~~Brummer: "Thank you."~~

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1980. All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Giorgi, will you take Madigan's phone. Have all voted who wish? Do you want to come up... The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 125 'aye' and 0 'nay'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to

House Bill 1980. 3137. 3137. Representative Leverenz out there? 1673. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a technical Amendment which was drafted by the Illinois State Bar Association, which makes no substantive changes except that it includes the payment of the statutory...or the release of the statutory interest as well as the security deposit when a building is sold. I ask the concurrence in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bowman. Mr. Bowman, you made a motion to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1673?"

Bowman: "That's correct. The original Bill simply said that whoever purchases a building is...then becomes liable to pay the security deposit back to the lesser...or the tenant, when the tenant moves, and this also makes them liable to the interest on the security deposit of the Amendment is purely technical change that was drafted by the State Bar Association and is supported by the realtors and myself and I move concurrence."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1673. All in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. 3346. I'm sorry. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 125 'aye', 1 'nay', 3 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1673 and the Bill is declared passed. Representative Simms, on House Bill 1673."

Simms: "No, 3346."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm sorry, 3346."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 to House Bill 3346 limits this legislation strictly to downstate Illinois. It eliminates counties over 1,000,000. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3346."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Simms...the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 3346. Representative Kane on the motion."

Kane: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, it would be helpful if the Sponsor of the Bill would give some indication of what the general thrust of the Bill was."

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, the practice is to explain the Senate Amendment."

Kane: "Well, in addition to the Senate Amendment, how that affects the rest of the Bill."

Simms: "To...the legislation gives the County Boards the authority to direct the recorder of deeds to set up a numbering system and map making department. It is optional legislation that allows the County Boards in downstate Illinois to have the flexibility to establish this system in the recorders office. At the present time they can put it in the County Clerk's Office, the Treasurer's Office or the Supervisor of Assessments. This just makes one more avenue that would allow this system to be enacted and to have the County Board, if they so chose, to place it in the County Recorder's Office. There was no opposition to the Bill in Committee either in the House or the Senate and Amendment #1 only limits the application of the legislation strictly to downstate Illinois."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3346? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 120 'aye', 2 'nay, none voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to 3346. This Bill is declared passed. Representative Lechowicz on 2612."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 on House Bill 2612. Senate Amendment #1 changes the Amendatory Act from 1979 to 1980. The Bill was originally introduced in 1979 and was referred to...and incorrectly referred to in the Amendatory Act of 1979 so it's a technical, corrective Amendment. Amendment #2 changes the effective date from immediately upon becoming law to July 1, 1981. I received a phone call from Senator Weaver, who indicated that with that provision that the Governor would sign the Bill. I told him that I would move to concur with both Amendments. As you recall the original Bill would require investment income attributable to the investment of monies of the road fund to be retained in the road fund. Those are those two Amendments. I move that the House concur in Amendments 1 and 2 on House Bill 2612."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Keane, is your light on? Representative Keane, on this question? There being no requests for discussion, the motion is, shall the House concur in

Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 2612? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed by saying 'no'. Voting 'aye' and voting 'no' for the record. Final record. Have all voted who wish? Representative Ryan. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 93 'aye', 27 'nay', 2 voting 'present'. And the House does concur to Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 2612 and the Bill is declared passed. House Bill 3137. Representative Ryan, for what reason do you rise?"

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I question the quorum here this morning. Question the quorum, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "How do I determine it?"

Ryan: "I suppose by taking a Roll Call and then let me verify it if I have to. I want to..."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right, at the request of the Minority Leader we'll have a Roll Call for attendance. Hit your switch for the Attendance Roll Call. Take the roll, Mr. Clerk. Dump that Roll Call. The Minority Leader requests a Roll Call. Punch your own switch. He's concerned about the final action on the Bills and he's rightfully concerned. So let's have a Roll Call for attendance and hit the switch if it's a Member that you know for sure is here and he's in the room. Hit your own switch, physically, but I can't hit mine. Somebody please hit mine. Your own switch...your own switch. Your own switch, Ryan is watching. Hit your own switch, please. Okay, take the record. We have a nonconcurrency. Can we go to that for a minute, George? Nonconcurrency? Is that 3137? We're going

to nonconcur and then go to 3137, Ted. Then we're going to nonconcur with it. Let's go to House Bill 3046 for a nonconcurré. 3046, please. Representative Pullen on 3046. Representative Pullen on Senate Bill 3046. Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, there are two Amendments that I wish to concur with in order to give the Senate the House's intent and there is a third Amendment that I wish to nonconcur with. I move that the House concur with Senate Amendments # 1 and 4 and nonconcur with Senate Amendment #3."

Speaker Giorgi: "Make one motion...make your concurrence motion then to begin with. What is your concurrence motion?"

Pullen: "That we concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 4 to House Bill 3046."

Speaker Giorgi: "Will you explain the Amendments, please?"

Pullen: "These Amendments make a reduction in the budget for the Department of Law Enforcement of \$663,600 dollars."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz, on the motion."

Leverenz: "Could she...could the Representative repeat that, please?"

Pullen: "These Amendments make a reduction in the budget of the Department of Law Enforcement of \$663,600 dollars."

Leverenz: "In what areas?"

Pullen: "In personal services..."

Leverenz: "For what divisions?"

Pullen: "In support services..."

Leverenz: "How much in support services?"

Pullen: "\$215,500 dollar reduction. In EDP, \$64,500 dollars, in the race track securities, \$25,300 dollars. In fraud and forgery, \$86,300. In internal investigations, \$22,000 dollars. In...no, that's not personal services though. There's a further reduction in the Division of Administration for the purchase of vehicles of \$135,000 dollars."

Leverenz: "Your cuts in support services for \$210,000 dollars?"

Pullen: "\$215,500."

Leverenz: "\$215,000? How will that impact, for example, on processing a set of finger prints? I understand it takes about 40 days or something to process a set of finger prints?"

Pullen: "This is the 8% solution Amendment and it is not expected to have much impact on what you're asking at all. The Department is very committed to reducing that turn around time and they would not have accepted the Amendment if it would have an effect on that."

Leverenz: "I understand it might be as high as 150 days with your Amendment."

Pullen: "I don't believe that's so, Sir. I don't know where you got that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Change, Mr. Clerk...John...Change the...Representative Kosinski, on the motion."

Kosinski: "Mrs. Pullen, in your concurrence, with the two Amendments of which you spoke, one which concerns itself with support services. It was my feeling that we in the House Appropriations Committee handled that matter satisfactorily and I'm a little concerned about what additional damage the Senate may have done to

that very important agency. My concern, of course, is the forensic sciences. The commitment to new equipment, the commitment to new personnel. Is it your opinion that these are adequately funded after the Senate did additional work on what we thought was a justifiable posture?"

Pullen: "Yes, it is, Sir."

Kosinski: "Do you know if forensic science has been injured in any way? I know we have some very capable people in that area and the intention of hiring additional. I also know we have very little back up money for equipment. Such equipment may be necessary and it appears to me the Senate may have damaged the intent of the..of DLE in at area. I...I'm not sure, but what do you think?"

Pullen: "The reduction in equipment is \$20,000, Sir, and that is not considered to be damaging to this."

Kosinski: "Well...this is... Now, 20, \$20,000 sounds like very little money when we talk in terms of the billions we spend here but that \$20,000 may well be important to one scientific piece of equipment that we..we have concern about. I'm not certain, but as the Sponsor of that Amendment, or of that Bill I think we...you should share my concern. Your objection then is to one Amendment but not the other two. Is that correct? Well in any event it goes into conference on the basis of your objection. Maybe we can examine it more thoroughly there. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Piel, do you seek recognition on this Bill? Braun, do you seek recognition? Polk? Your lights are flashing."

Representative Pullen, to close then."

Pullen: "I ask for concurrence with Senate Amendments #1 and 4."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 4 to House Bill 3046. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'nay'. Final action on those Amendments. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 119 'aye', 1 'nay', 0 voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendments 1 and 4 to House Bill 3046. Now you have a motion to nonconcur. Is that Amendment #3? Representative Pullen? Representative Pullen?"

Pullen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I now move that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #3, which adds 1.8 million dollars and would have the entire Bill \$917,515 over the budget for the Department of Law Enforcement, which is something that this state cannot afford."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Leverenz, on the nonconcurrence."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield for a few questions?"

Speaker Giorgi: "She indicates she will."

Leverenz: "It was a million, eight?"

Pullen: "One million, eight hundred and fifteen thousand, three...six hundred and seventy-five dollars."

Leverenz: "And that was an addition by the Senate and you want to nonconcur?"

Pullen: "That's correct."

Leverenz: "What would you think it should be?"

Pullen: "I think that it should stay within the budget of the Department of Law Enforcement and not go over it by almost one million dollars."

Leverenz: "In other words, you want to cut to about four and a half percent a pay raise?"

Pullen: "No, I didn't say that, Sir."

Leverenz: "What would you recommend then?"

Pullen: "I would recommend that we follow the pay plan of the Department of Law Enforcement."

Leverenz: "What is that?"

Pullen: "That is the pay raise that was granted last year and incentive for promotion."

Leverenz: "But if you are in...moving to nonconcur a million eight, could you suggest to this body what you think it should be? Or do you just want to delete the million eight? I understand, which is a proper funding level for the program you just expressed."

Pullen: "You understand that's the proper funding level for the program I just expressed? That is not accurate. The proper funding level for the program I just expressed was in the budget as proposed."

Leverenz: "Thank you. The troopers thank you."

Pullen: "You're welcome."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Davis on the motion to nonconcur."

Davis: "Well I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question." 402

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Davis: "Madam Sponsor."

Pullen: "Yes."

Davis: "If the Bill should come back from a Conference Committee with an 8 percent or greater pay raise for

the State Police Troopers, what will be your posture on the Bill, on the Conference Committee?"

Pullen: "I probably will move to put it to another Conference Committee."

Davis: "I beg your pardon?"

Pullen: "I probably will move to put it to another Conference Committee."

Davis: "And then what will be your posture on a second Conference Committee if it remains in there?"

Pullen: "We'll see."

Davis: "Penny, this is important, I think. What will be your posture, will you table the Bill?"

Pullen: "Well, I'm...I'm not... I...probably not."

Davis: "Okay."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for any further discussion... Representative Polk, on the motion."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would move to support the Sponsor in this...in the posture at this time, although I do support the Amendment. She has agreed to send it back to the Senate to see if they will concur and I want...think it should be very clear that we're not talking about an 8 percent, we're talking about a 4.2 percent with overtime...a portion put in at 3.8 in case that would work out. She has agreed to send it back. The troopers have agreed to take...let them take it back to the Senate and take another look at it. I'd like to support her motion at this time."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 3046. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the House does not concur in

Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 3046.
 Representative Leverenz on House Bill 3137.
 Motion...Klosak, is he in the room. You're next."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On the...on the Senate Amendment to House Bill 3137 I would move to concur. It makes a simple change. It deletes the reference to 1979 and inserts a reference to 1980, which will bring it into proper form as we are in 1980 now. I would move to concur."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for a discussion, the Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3137. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 116 'aye', no 'nay', none voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3137 and the Bill is declared passed and Representative Collins wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Klosak on 2271. Sorry, 2771."

Klosak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I am seeking concurrence in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2771. Senate Amendment #1 is now the entire substance of the Bill. It stripped the original House version of some proposed fee increases that were in the House Bill and took out some further provisions that the Senate felt needed further deliberation in the next year. The change remaining that is of most importance to the Department at the present time is the one that makes nearly all the

license renewals biannual. At the present time some 70 percent of the licenses are renewed in one year and 30 percent in the next. This results in an inefficient use of manpower and creates some rather embarrassing delays in returning licenses to realtors and other applicants. The Bill also would renew the issuance of wall certificates to licensees, something many associations have requested. This will be done at cost which will be about two dollars per certificate. Amendment #2 is requested by the Governor. What this would do is delete two state officer positions, that is the Superintendent of Registration and the Assistant Director. In addition, it would raise the Director's salary by \$6,300 dollars. The Governor feels that the salary boost is necessary to attract qualified people and they feel that the state officer positions are superfluous. I seek your concurrence and approval of these two Senate Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall the House concur to Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 2771? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114 'aye', 13 'nay' 4 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2771. The Bill is declared passed. House Bill 3151. Just a minute. 3151. Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you arise?"

Leverenz: "I'd like to be recorded 'no' on 2771. I just hit the wrong switch."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Record him as 'no'. We're going to 3151 now. Yes it is... They tell me that all the Amendments have been distributed. Representative Terzich, all the Amendments have been distributed on House Bill 3151. There's only one Amendment. Do you have it for Representative Collins? Page 3151, have you distributed the Amendments? Senate Amendment #1 to 3151? Representative Collins on this Amendment."

Collins: "No, not on this Amendment. I have no objection to the Bill at all but the Speaker indicated earlier that only those that were on yesterday's calendar were being called and I don't think this one was. Am I mistaken? Okay, I'm sorry."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue then with concurrence on House Bill 3151."

Terzich: "House Bill 3151 amended the State University Retirement system to come in compliance with the Age discrimination ruling. Amendment #1...Senate Amendment #1 simply brings in the Chicago Park District under the same regulations. This has been approved by the Pension Laws Commission and I would urge concurrence with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3151? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'nay'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question

there's 127 'aye', 0 'nay', 7 voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 3151. The Bill is declared passed. 3154. No, 3415. I'm sorry. 3415. House Bill 3415. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "House Bill 3415 is a Bill that is saving the state approximately one million dollars. What it does is it excludes the social security payment for benefits paid under a fixed rate plan. Senate Amendment #1 simply grandfatheres the Illinois Toll Roads Commission into the program, since they were previously under it and I move for concurrence with Sente Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McCourt, on the motion."

McCourt: "Will the Sponsor please yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

McCourt: "Does this change at all the state's policy on sick pay? Would this require that the state to pay the employees for all unused sick pay at the date of retirement?"

Terzich: "This has nothing to do with the payment of pension benefits or sick pay upon retirement, Representative McCourt. This is only when a person is on disability, personal sick days that it excludes social security payments to the Federal Government. It's plain...there's no pensions involved."

McCourt: "All right, thank you."

Terzich: "This passed the House, I think, 150 to 1. All this does is include the Toll Road Commission, that's all."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no further discussion, the motion is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment

#1 to House Bill 3415? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 142 'aye', 0 'nay', 5 voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3415 and the Bill is declared passed. House Bill 3152 and I'm going to Rigney next. 3152. Representative Collins on this Amendment #1 to 3152."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I...you're going on today's calendar and some of these Amendments are just hitting the Member's desks. No analysis have been distributed on a number of these that you're calling and I wish you'd stick. I would ask you to stick to yesterday's list as the real Speaker was. It would make it easier on both sides of the aisle. And...and this one, this one was not on yesterday's list."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Terzich, would you pull this out of the record? He objects to this one. I'm sorry, Capparelli. Representative Capparelli on the...questions, Phil..."

Collins: "There's no question on the Bill. It's just that we don't have some Amendments. We have no analysis and you don't either. The real Speaker said that he was going to stick to yesterday's calendar and I was just making that request. I don't object to your Bill at all."

Capparelli: "I have no problem. Take it out of the record. No big deal."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, the request will be taken out of the record. Representative Dunn, for what reason do you

arise? Take it out of the record."

Dunn, J.: "Just for clarification, Mr. Speaker, if we're going to wait until we have an analysis of all the Bills on Concurrence, I guess we'll have to stand at ease. Because on this side of the aisle we don't have an analysis of any of them."

Speaker Giorgi: "House Bill 3403, Representative Rigney. 3403."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, 3403 is a Bill that directed the Institute of Natural Resources to carry out certain activities in the area of research for alcohol. Among other things, it asks the agency to serve as a clearing house for information for all alcohol productivity technology. It also asks them to be the agency to coordinate other state agencies the activities, in fact, of about six other state agencies in the area of alcohol research. The Senate Amendment was was really only technical in nature. In no way was it substantive. I ask for its passage."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion, the Gentleman moves that the... Representative Mugalian, on the motion to concur. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Mugalian: "My question, I think, may pertain more to the original Bill, Representative Rigney, than the Amendment. The question is, and I think you'll be well qualified to answer it, doesn't the Department of Agriculture have a long tradition of working in this field, and doesn't it also have a program to do research in the area of alcohol?"

Rigney: "Well, not really. I think the Department of Agriculture kind of acts as a liaison officer out to the local farming community, tries to help those farmers who are interested in that particular subject. When you say a department or a department function, for the most part that's been the activities of one single man. The institute of natural resources by contrast has delved into this problem much more deeply and it is my feeling that they are the logical one to designate to be the lead agency in this area. By no means are we in any way limiting the other activities of about six other agencies of state government that also carry out some role as far as alcohol is concerned."

Mugalian: "Well, maybe I was mistaken but I was under the impression that the Department of Agriculture has for many years conducted investigations in this field and in fact is a lead agency. My concern is that we will now have two competing state agencies in the same program."

Rigney: "Mr. Mugalian, I think a better word to describe their activities would be promotion, rather than research."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no further requests for a discussion, the motion is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to Senate...House Bill 3403? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 118 'aye', 7 'nay', 6 voting 'present' and the House does concur to

Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3403 and the Bill is declared passed. Representative Rigney on 3440."

Rigney: "The original Bill, 3440, Mr. Speaker, merely set out a procedure whereby the Department of Revenue would have to follow an administrative review procedure for those individuals or charitable institutions that were seeking exemptions from the Real Estate Property Tax. Up until the present time, when an appeal was denied by the Illinois State Property Tax Appeal Board there really was no procedure for appeal of that decision back through the court system. This set up that procedure. The Amendment that was added on was also another Bill of mine that was held in the Senate Rules Committee and came back in the form of an Amendment. I think probably of the Bills that I've had this year this is the one that really does qualify as an emergency Bill. It's one that allows those smaller downstate counties of under 50 thousand to set back their publication date from the first of August until December 31st. This is the publication date, by the way, for all the counties over 50 thousand. So all it does now is to set one uniform publication date for all of the counties in Illinois."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any requests for discussion? No requests for a discussion, the motion is, shall the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3440? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 138 'aye', 0 'nay', 1 voting 'present'. The

House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3440 and the Bill is declared passed. Representative Capparelli, on House Bill 3152."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3152. As you know, originally the Bill allowed Pension Funds to invest into the money market, insurance companies, credit unions. The Amendment #1 only limits their investments to 40 percent of the aggregated amount in the fund. I move to concur."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mugalian, on the motion."

Mugalian: "I understood the Speaker to say that he would not call Bills that were not on yesterday's Calendar...I have no objection to this Bill... But I...but I had hoped that he would not call those. We haven't had chance to even check the files. I don't care about that, I'll vote for the Bill but from now on would you please let us know if you're going to call them if they were not on yesterday's calendar or not. I mean if..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "I move to concur."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right, Representative Hoffman, on the question. Representative Gene Hoffman. His light is flashing. There being no request for further discussion, the motion is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3152? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 136 'aye', 0 'nay', 9 voting

'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3152 and the Bill is declared passed. Representative Peters, on House Bill 3475."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to concur with Senate Amendment to House Bill 3475..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Representative Peters. Representative Kane, for what reason do you rise?"

Kane: "On a point of information, Mr. Speaker. Is it clear or do we understand that the Bills that are being called are on yesterday's calendar and we're going to go through that before we go through today's calendar?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes. I have a calendar here that has been marked by the Speaker where the Bills have been...the Amendments have been distributed and it's okay to call them. That Bill is on the Speaker's list to be called."

Kane: "Well, I don't know about this particular Bill. What I'm saying is that there were a lot of Senate Amendments that did not hit our desks until a half an hour ago."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right, those Bills won't be called. I'm calling the Bills that the Clerk tells me the Amendments have been distributed in ample time. In other words..."

Kane: "No, I think what we were asking is that you call only those...or you call first those Bills that the Amendments were distributed yesterday."

Speaker Giorgi: "I think that's what this Calendar

indicates, that they were distributed yesterday."

Kane: "Well, is it or is it not?"

Speaker Giorgi: "My plan is to call those Bills. Where the Amendments are distributed..."

Kane: "But you've already twice gone to Bills that were just distributed within the last 15 or 20 minutes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Well, what happened is there were a couple of objections and the objectors met with the Sponsors of the Bill and we allowed those to be called for the final action. We won't do it again."

Kane: "So we don't have to keep watching you?"

Speaker Giorgi: "No. Well, I think you ought to watch me. I don't think you want to give me a free hand. Representative Peters, on 3475."

Peters: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill that we had some concern about in terms of the requirements of the Federal Government for the Department of Children and Family Services regarding the definition of abused children. The Department and the Senate have sat down with the people at the Department and they have come up with what appears to be an adequate definition, or at least it meets the objections of those people who thought that it went too far in regard to what might be considered parental control, or necessary parental control or necessary parental control and discipline of the child. It deleted references to mental injury but still makes some references to what's now termed emotional health. It appears that now the Bill will bring Illinois into compliance and the Members of the House and the Senate who were in objection or had objections initially now seem to be satisfied and I

would move concurrence of Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Brummer, on the motion."

Brummer: "The Sponsor indicated...would the Sponsor indicate the new definition of...with regard to child abuse?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Representative, what the Senate Amendment did, and if you recollect the conversations we had here, the problem we had was in the references made to mental injury or the inclusion of the whole concept of mental injury to the child. That has been deleted in the Senate Amendment and references are now made to what's generally termed the emotional health of the child."

Brummer: "Would the Bill..."

Peters: "It also takes out the word 'threatened'. If you remember we had that problem. Threatened, mental injury, threatened harm, the word 'threatened' has also been removed..."

Brummer: "Does the Bill..."

Peters: "And the word serious has been removed, which was another problem that we had here on the floor."

Brummer: "Does the Bill still define as child abuse, physical injuries to a child?"

Peters: "It is now defined as physical abuse, which causes death, disfigurement, impairment of physical or emotional health or loss of impairment of any bodily function."

Brummer: "Are we referring to Senate Amendment #1, the Schaefer Amendment? That appears to read causes or inflicts or causes to be inflicted upon or allowed to be inflicted upon a child, physical injury. Now I

suppose the paddling of a child would be physical injury."

Peters: "Representative Brummer, I am not certain that I can answer that correctly and I think maybe what we'd better do is just take it out of the record until everybody gets their act together here and I've got all the information in front of me."

Brummer: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no further request for discussion, the motion is, shall the House con...I'm sorry. I didn't pay any attention. House Bill 3487. 3487. Representative Reilly. I'm sorry, it's the wrong number. 3007. Oh, you want 3487? All right, put 3487 on. 3487. Reilly. What number is it? Representative McClain, on House Bill 3487. McPike. Representative McPike, that's one of the Bills that Representative Kane and Mugalian are objecting to. Take it out of the record. 3007. Representative Reilly. 3007."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3007. The underlying Bill is a combined product of the House Republican and Democrat staffs and the Comptrollers Office. It simply tightens up, in various ways, legislative controlled state spending. Senate Amendment #1 deals with clarifying an ambiguity in the law brought to our attention by some of the State university libraries as to what line items or what kind of categories you pay for library books out of. It simply clarifies that they know how to make those expenditures and the Comptroller's Office knows how to

record them. I would move concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3007."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? There being no request for discussion, the motion is, shall the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3007? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 137 'ayes', 0 'nays', 0 voting 'present'. Representative Collins, 'aye'. And this Bill, having... and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3007, and the Bill is declared passed. Representative Collins, Leinenweber and Totten would like to be recorded a voting 'aye'. Leinenweber, Totten and Collins. House Bill 1563, Representative Polk. 1563."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I move to concur in House Bill 1563 and Senate Amendment #1 which is just a technical Amendment concerning the effective date."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1563? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 128 'ayes', 7 'nays', 13 voting 'present'. And the Bill, having rece... And the motion to concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1563 is hereby declared passed. So House Bill 1563 is hereby declared passed, final action."

Speaker Giorgi: "House Bill 3448, Representative Hallstrom. 3448. Is that on the Calendar? It's okay. House Bill 3448. Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with the Senate Amendments on House Bill 3448. It's just a clarifying Amendment. They wanted the word elderly included in the Bill. Actually, it was addressed as handicapped, but the definition for handicapped did include age. The original Bill allowed the Illinois Department of Transportation to make transportation grants to carriers, including not for profit corporations to provide mass transportation to handicapped persons. They have just included the word elderly and I would ask the House please to concur with Senate Amendment...with the Amendment to House Bill 3448."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any requests for discussion? There being no request now, the question is, shall the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3448? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 148 'aye', 0 'nay', 0 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3448. The Bill is declared passed. Final Action. Representative Hoffman on House Bill 3426."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3426. The Senate Amendment

changes the effective date from October 1 to September the 16th in order to put the effective date and Bill in sequence with the final payment for Special Ed. tuition payments in FY'80 and the first new monthly payment September 30th. I move to concur with the Senate Amendment to 3426."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion, the motion is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3426? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no' and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 144 'aye', 1 'nay', 1 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3426 and the Bill is declared passed. Representative Rea on House Bill 536. House Bill 536."

Rea: "I move for occur...or concurrence on Amendment 1 for House Bill 536. This is the same Amendment as we placed on Senate Bill 673, which actually, if the E.P.A....which says the E.P.A. is doing a study of the air pollution standards and if the study shows it is possible to relax those standards then the E.P.A. will propose such a rule change to the Pollution Control Board. We move for adoption."

Speaker Giorgi "There being no request for a discussion, the motion is, shall the House, shall Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 536 be concurred to? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question

there are 102 'aye', 33 'nay', 8 voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 536 and the Bill is declared passed. Representative Swanstrom, for an announcement."

Swanstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I believe Representative Rigney is standing in the back of the chamber so if he can hear this... Representative Rigney, are you there? There he is, sure. Members of the House, since I can't sing to him here on the House floor I just wanted to make you aware that my distinguished colleague from Red Oak, Representative Rigney, the senior member of the 35th District legislative delegation, is celebrating his birthday today. He has never had a conflict of interest in voting for senior citizen legislation but he did admit to me that he starting to get worried. I don't want him to worry too much though. He still hasn't told me for sure what number of birthday this is, but whatever it is, Harlan, we all wish you a happy birthday." Speaker Giorgi: "House Bill 1221. Representative Ralph Dunn on House Bill 1221."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'd like to ask that we concur with Senate Amendment #2 on House Bill 1221. House Bill 1221 exempts alternate energy facilities from sales tax and real property taxes. The Amendment narrows the scope of the Bill and provides some technical corrections. It eliminates passive solar fixtures, such as windows, that might have been qualified under the original Bill. And I'd like to ask for concurrence of the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Getty, on the motion to concur."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if you could take this out of the record for just a couple of minutes. We'll get back to it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Dunn, we'll get back to it. If he agrees...does the Gentleman have leave? No objections. Leave is granted. Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 3498. Representative Bradley on House Bill 3498."

Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3498. What Senate Amendment #1 does, the Senator from Champaign wanted to make sure that the Illinois Lab School was not in the Bill and would make sure that they weren't be added this Amendment and so they are out of the language and I move the adoption...or I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jaffe, on the Motion by Representative Bradley."

Jaffe: "Yes, Representative Bradley, would you explain that Amendment? I mean, this was a bad Bill to begin with. What does the Amendment do?"

Bradley: "The Amendment exempts the Illinois Lab School from the language."

Jaffe: "In other words... Well, so who is now included then?"

Bradley: "Well, there's only two lab schools in the state so it only applies to the lab school at Illinois State University."

Jaffe: "Well why...why does the University of Illinois Lab School want to opt out?"

Bradley: "I have no idea."

Jaffe: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Bradley: "Their lab school is not...they only have 130 or 40 students. It's not as large a school as the one at Illinois State University so possibly for that reason they did not want to be included."

Jaffe: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if I may speak on this Bill, this was the Bill that really is special interest legislation for just one school, a lab school, of course, in Representative Bradley's area. Those of us in the Higher Education Committee who listened to this particular Bill, many of us felt that we're really just passing special legislation for that one school, giving them funds that we aren't giving any other school and really making quite an elitist school in that particular area. We really shouldn't have elitist schools and be spending money in that fashion in education. It was a bad Bill to begin with. I'm happy to see that the University of Illinois says they don't want no part of it and I think that it's a bad Bill and we ought to vote 'no' on it."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion...Representative Wikoff on the motion."

Wikoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and thank you. In answer to Representative Jaffe's question, this was by agreement with the unit school districts in Champaign and Urbana and the University of Illinois that this decision be made and that's what Senator Weaver has done and I

would support the Bill in its present condition."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Collins, on the motion."

Collins: "Well yeah, Mr. Speaker, I think we ought to turn this down out of hand. This is...I don't care about any of the Senate Amendments, I just think this is a terrible Bill. The fact that we have lab schools at our universities in itself is subject to question when you, as Representative Jaffe says, establish elitist schools so that the children of faculty members can attend a school other than the public school system or private schools if they wish. I think it is ludicrous and now to tap the common school fund for the existence of these schools, I think is reprehensible. As it was earlier said, it's...I'm happy to see that the University of Illinois wanted no part of this charade but I think we ought to turn this Bill down. Illinois State University is a proud school and I hate to see them bring such...any shame upon the school with such a Bill as this. I think that we ought to similarly reject this legislation."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hallstrom, on the motion."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to support this action and to refute, regrettably, some of the things that have been said on the floor. First of all, this Bill did pass this House. There were arguments made on the floor of the House that evidently did influence the Members of the House. This is not an elited school. This is the school that has done a great deal to show how to work with handicapped youngsters. There has been a cap put on the Bill. There can be no other schools now that

take this posture and that was the wish of this House and that wish was granted. I ask you please to support the Bill, as you did before. Accept its Amendment. It is realistic if the University of Illinois does not want to be a part of it they certainly should have the right not to. But the Illinois State University does need this help and it is for children who are selected, not because of any particular reason, there is a range of youngsters who are able to attend the school. It is a very good operation, it has done a lot to show how we can work with handicapped children. I would ask you please to support this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Satterthwaite on the Amendment...on the motion."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just wanted to clarify that the purpose of these schools is not for educating elite students. The purpose is as a demonstration project, a means for training our teachers using experimental programs, etc., to see how successful they are. We were concerned that it might have some kind of complicating effect at the U. of I. Lab School and with this Amendment, which was added in the Senate there will be no additional problem, I think. As the previous speaker pointed out, this Bill went out of the House with a favorable vote. The Amendment only clarified some questions that were raised at that time. If you supported it before, I think you should now support it. And some of us who couldn't support it before can now support it. I ask your favorable vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ropp, on the motion."

Ropp: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, one of the errors that had been occurring before was that we had been funding the lab school out of General Revenue Funds through the university in part, and also they were getting some funds from the local school districts and the local school districts in fact were receiving monies that they..for kids that they really weren't teaching because they had all been sent to the lab school. So, in effect, this Bill will reduce the total amount of dollars, if you look at it in a big picture and puts it on a more equitable basis. Secondly, at the lab school there are a great many handicapped and special ed. kids that are instructed there and taken care of and that school is considered one of the finest schools in the country in that regard. They certainly have a higher percentage of kids of this kind at that school than any other location in our immediate area. So I urge your favorable support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Campbell, on the motion."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall the previous question be put? All in favor will signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the previous question is put. Representative Bradley, to close."

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Bill was debated quite lengthy on the floor of the House some three or four weeks ago and went out of the House, the House

approved the measure, it was approved 55 to 6 in the Senate with this Amendment on it. We're just simply responding to the wishes of the lab school at the University of Illinois with this Amendment and I would appreciate the same response and the same vote we got on the floor of the House when we passed the Bill out of here and sent it to the Senate."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3498? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'nay'. Final action. Representative Dyer, to explain her vote for one minute."

Dyer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, before you vote 'yes' on this Bill I think you should listen a minute to those of us who did hear the testimony in Higher Education Committee. The...the Superintendents of the public schools in the area testified that they had declining enrollment, that they had plenty of openings for the children who formerly attended lab schools. I suggest that teachers, that if this is needed for training teachers that teachers will get much more realistic training in a regular public school than they will in the hot house atmosphere of a lab school. I think this should be soundly defeated. The whole concept...the universities can use their regular university appropriation for training their teachers. This is not the place to do it. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson, to explain his vote for one minute. Representative Schneider in the... Vinson is absent. Representative Schneider, to

explain his vote for one minute. Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Now, I think you ought to keep in mind what you're doing and that is you are supporting a lab school that is really the preference of a state university which it alleges to use and I guess it does, for teacher training and education. Representative Dyer is correct inasmuch as the testimony that was presented to the Committee indicated that the Superintendent in that district was indicating the impact on him fiscally, which has a negative impact. Suffice to say, however, that there are other considerations. And that is one that I...some that I mentioned on the floor included, for example, the notion of whether or not the lab school really responds to that need, that is to train teachers. The assumption was that without the lab school teacher training could not proceed effectively in the I.S.U. area. Well that is on face, bogus, because we know that between the cities in that area that there are certainly plenty of classrooms with which to place teachers. Lab schools have characteristically allowed for the teachers to observe, for the most part, never to take on the full nine week or twelve week or ten week assignment that is need preparatory to becoming a teacher. So I think what you're...what we're doing here is saying to the Illinois..to Illinois State University is that we will take you off the hook on a program that you need. They have been, in the past, funding it for us to not support this Amendment or this proposal does not mean

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 27, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

it will stop, it just means that it will impact on our GRF and will not be dealt with through the university system. I think its an error, we don't jeopardize the program and I would hope that we could vote 'no' and I will do so."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hoffman, do you want to explain your vote for one minute? Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "No, I see the votes are there. It's not necessary."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 102 'aye', 42 'nay', 7 voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3498 and the Bill is declared passed. Final action. Representative Dawson, on 2429."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wish to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2429."

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you explain the Amendment, Mr. Dawson?"

Dawson: "This Amendment requires the compensation for each board member shall not exceed 20,000 annually and an additional 5,000 dollars to the Board Chairman of the Chicago Regional Port District. None of this money is to come from the state. This money comes from within their own entity and it is from their earnings from the operations of the Chicago Regional Port District. And this goes for the nine member board which is four Republicans appointed by the Governor and five members appointed by the Mayor of the City of Chicago."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion the motion is, shall the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2429? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Representative Mc... Have all voted who wish? Representative McCourt, for what reason do you rise?"

McCourt: "I wish I had had a chance to ask a question, but as I read the analysis this is really a pay raise Bill, isn't it, for the Chicago Regional Port District? Or is that Pork?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Collins... Representative Collins wants to answer that question."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, this is not a pay raise Bill for the port commissioners. The fact of the matter is that the port commissioners in the past have...have served without any compensation at all. Now it is felt, and the Bill has addressed the problem of attracting people that were willing to serve in this capacity and put the time in that it takes to administer such an operation....an operation such as the Chicago Regional Port District and so it establishes they would receive some compensation. In the Senate it was felt that there should be a ceiling put on the amount of compensations they would receive so Senate Amendment #1 does put a limit as to what they may receive. So it's not a pay raise. It will be compensation for the first time for people who are serving in a near fulltime capacity. Since it is not entirely a full time capacity, the Senate Amendment was put on so there would be a ceiling on what they could receive

and that is a ceiling of 20,000 dollars, which I think is eminently reasonable and I would ask for a favorable vote on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2429."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 92 'aye', 53 'nay', 7 voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2429 and the Bill is declared passed. Back to Ralph Dunn and House Bill 1221."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 1221, as I said a while ago, was a Bill that I'd like to concur with Senate Amendment #2. Senate Amendment #2 merely makes some technical corrections. It narrows the scope of the Bill somewhat and my staff tells me it's a better Bill than it was when it passed out of this House a month or so ago. It is a Bill that would exempt alternate energy facilities from sales tax and property tax. I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call on concurrence."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bullock, on the motion. There being no further discussion, the motion is, shall the House concur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1221? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 129 'aye', 5 'nay', 1 voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1221 and the Bill is declared passed."

Representative Richmond, on 2921. House Bill 2921."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 and #2 to House Bill 2921. briefly, the Amendment #1... Course this is the Bill that exempts sales tax from farm machinery and equipment. The Senate has placed the 1,000 threshold back on the Bill through Amendment #1 and changed some language in it that does answer...or takes some...some of the portions of the Bill out of the grey area. The equipment for lease is included. And I think that's...and then the effective date is September the 1st 1980 rather than January 1, 1981. And Senate Bill #...I mean Amendment #2 provides technical corrections to the title of the bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, on the motion."

Skinner: "Are all of those grain drying machines produced in my district included?"

Richmond: "Yes, they would be included."

Skinner: "Well that's an improvement."

Richmond: "Everything that's used in the production of farm...grain and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no further request for discussion, the question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 2921? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 116 'aye', 31 'nay', 5 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 2921."

I only have one more request for a concurrence and then we're going to Third Reading. Representative Hoxsey, for what reason do you rise?"

Hoxsey: "I...I missed getting my button on 'yes' before you took the record on that."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm sorry, Mrs. Hoxsey, I didn't hear you. Say that again."

Hoxsey: "I missed getting my button pushed before you took the record. I'd like to be recorded as 'yes' on that last 2921."

Speaker Giorgi: "Hoxsey 'aye'. Can you... There's so many, would you please come down to the well and give it to the Clerk and we'll journalize them. There seem to be very many people. Let's come down to the well and get it over with. The last Bill that I said we'd call before we go to Third Reading is. McCourt, 3137. Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "This is 3127."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm sorry. House Bill 21...3127. 3127."

McCourt: "House Bill 3127 has two Amendments. The 1st Amendment basically is House Bill 3556, which passed this House 148 to 0. That Amendment would allow by referendum unit...dual districts to increase their educational fund from 3 dollars to \$3.50 by referendum. Amendment #2 is just a housekeeping Amendment to correct an error. I move for concurrence."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for a discussion, Representative McCourt moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 3127. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed

by voting 'no'. Final action. Representative Schneider on 3127."

Schneider: "I...we had some questions, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry that we jumped right to the Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "...request for a discussion."

Schneider: "Would you mind? Thank you. Representative McCourt on the two Amendments, are they the transportation Amendments? Is one an Amendment dealing with the rate for transportation? Is that Amendment #1?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McCourt, answer Schneider's question."

McCourt: "Amendment #1 is actually the House Bill 3556, which increases the allowable educational tax levy from 3 dollars to \$3.50 if it's approved by local referendum. Amendment #2 corrects an error regarding school bus transportation. Last year when we passed the Bill the way the Bill was written, it would almost allow a double inclusion of the transportation."

Schneider: "All right. That's what I thought it was. Now, Amendment #2 doesn't bother me because I realize the problem we have with that. Now, I don't know, Jim, if you're aware that one of the intentions the Legislature was to try to encourage the formation of unit districts. And one of the ways we did that was to have a combined rate that when it was brought to...when that rate rather was brought together from the Elementary and Secondary it would encourage the unit district to be formed. So, for example, when you move from 3 percent to 3 and a half for each of the districts, let's say an elementary and a high school,

which are dual districts, you combine their rates they would be a 7. All right? What you did not change, however, was the 6 percent rate which would be still the rate for a unit district. So, in effect, the effort to consolidate would result in a disincentive. That is, the idea of bringing them together would be discouraged. So I think maybe you haven't looked at that, and I don't know who the Sponsor in the Senate was but the impact really is not to encourage the formation of unit districts, which was the intent to some extent of the rates that were formulated. So if you follow my argument, what you've done is allowed the elementary and the high schools in a unit district to increase their rates from 3 to 3.50 by referendum, of course, and together that would be of course...if they were to combine, would be 7 percent. However, if they do combine and become a unit district, they in effect lose a percent by going back to 6. I think it's an Amendment that probably should not be concurred in because maybe the Sponsor in the Senate did not know this and I believe we would do better as a House to concur in Amendment #2 but nonconcur in Amendment #1. And unless that would be your direction I would encourage the Membership to vote 'no'."

McCourt: "Well, since the House Sponsor of the Bill is not on the floor at the present time I'd like to take this out of the record."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to take it out of the record. One last Bill that we...one last concurrence, then we're going to House Bills, Third Reading, because the deadline is today. House Joint

Resolution 78, which is on the Concurrence Calendar, page 9, for a change in date. Representative Kelly on House J.R. 78."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 78. This Resolution proclaimed the week of October 5th thru October 12 respect life week. And when it left the House it had 1979 and it was changed to 1980, which it should be. And I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for debate, the question is, shall the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 78? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 122 'aye', 14 'no', 5 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resoution 78. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bills, Third Reading."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Page 2 appears Senate Bill 1573. Mr. McGrew. Take the Bill out of the record. 1606. Mr. Matijevich. 1606, John. Take it out of the record. 1614, Mr. Vinson. 1626, Mr. Abramson. Read the Bill. We have to point out today is the deadline on Appropriation Bills so I would strongly recommend you call your Bills."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1626. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Industrial Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Abramson."

Abramson: "This is the ordinary and contingent expense for the Industrial Commission. As introduced it was 4 million 163 thousand dollars..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you want to speak up? They can't hear you in the back and I can't hear you up here either."

Abramson: "This is the ordinary and contingent expense for the Industrial Commission. As amended, it has 4 million, 163 thousand dollars in it and this includes 248 thousand to fund the changes that we've...are going to make in operation of the Industrial Commission, plus 240 thousand dollars for...to mail new brochures to each injured worker to inform their rights. Pardon me, the new figure is 4 million, 651 thousand, 115 dollars."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Was that an Agreed Resolution that was being read there? I could hardly hear it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The ordinary and contingent expenses for the Industrial Commission."

Leverenz: "What is the increase? What is the increase and for what reason is the increase in the Senate Amendment?"

Abramson: "Over what? Over last year, over as introduced?"

Leverenz: "That's correct, over introduced. Thanks for giving me the question."

Abramson: "Okay, there is about 500 thousand dollars."

Leverenz: "A half a million dollars over what was...what it

was as introduced."

Abramson: "Okay, there was two Amendments that were adopted by the House yesterday. One was 240 thousand dollars to print and mail out brochures to each injured worker so they know what their rights are under the Act. The other Amendment was 248 thousand dollars to fund the proposed changes in the Workmen's Comp. Act. That means writing written decisions and having sufficient law clerks and typists to do that."

Leverenz: "That was how much? The second part there? 248 thousand?"

Abramson: "Yes."

Leverenz: "248 thousand dollars to provide written opinion."

Abramson: "That's your seatmate's Amendment, sitting right next to you."

Leverenz: "I understand. He does an excellent job. What... Don't you think that would provide in essence the same as standards that would...with written opinions start to establish something close to standards on certain cases so there's something to go back and refer to?"

Abramson: "I can share that belief. Yes."

Leverenz: "You want to repeat that?"

Abramson: "Yes, in my opinion."

Leverenz: "That is your opinion? You share that belief? So that when we get a copy of the transcript or the tape we'll have you on record saying that so we can give it to Bob 'Weidrick', he's with a newspaper someplace. It might be Peoria."

Abramson: "It sounds like the PEORIA STAR to me."

Leverenz: "I support it. Thank you. Super job."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz. Take that one out. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Mulcahey: "Concerning arbitrator's salaries, is there any increase in this appropriation for increase..."

Abramson: "No increase for arbitrator's salaries at all."

Mulcahey: "Okay. Now I understand there's some eight new employees under the Commissioner for law clerks, two law students and two clerk typist III, is that correct?"

Abramson: "As introduced or under Mautino's Amendment?"

Mulcahey: "That is correct."

Abramson: "Okay, I'm not clear as to what you mean, as introduced the new positions..."

Mulcahey: "Okay, what are we voting on here now? We're voting on the Bill as amended and everything else, right?"

Abramson: "Okay, Mautino's Amendment provides for 26 new positions. Eight law clerks, two law students, thirteen clerk typists and one administrative assistant."

Mulcahey: "Okay, in this budget is how much above and beyond last year's budget?"

Abramson: "Okay... 1 million, 400 thousand, about."

Mulcahey: "1 million, 400 thousand."

Abramson: "Well, 1 million, 382 thousand, something like that."

Mulcahey: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1626

pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'.
 Hey Clyde...Clyde, get me over there, will you? Have
 all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The
 Clerk will take the record. On this question there's
 113 'aye', 28 'no', 5 recorded as 'present'. This
 Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is
 hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1635."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1635. A Bill for an Act to
 provide for the ordinary and contingent and
 distributive expenses of the Department of
 Agriculture. Third Reading..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, we have a very fine agricultural
 appropriation Bill. As it came over from the Senate,
 the Senate appropriated 39 thousand, 366...39 million,
 366 thousand, 400 dollars. Due to the fine Amendments
 that were added on in Committee and on the floor we
 are reporting out an agricultural Bill which will be
 helpful to everyone. The cost will only be 43
 million, 387 thousand, 400 dollars."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion about the 11
 Amendments that were adopted? The Gentleman from
 Cook, Mr. Birkinbine?"

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor
 yield? Clyde, is there money in this for the City of
 Chicago for Chicagofest?"

Robbins: "Yes, 500 thousand."

Birkinbine: "Well, if I could speak to the Bill. Ladies and
 Gentlemen of the House, when we were talking about
 whether or not the state..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's not enough. Please, proceed."

Birkinbine: "Thank you. When we were discussing last year whether or not the state fair should be a self funding activity one of the principal arguments was that the State Fair is down here in Springfield and that if it were in a place such as Chicago or a major metropolitan area such as the Minnesota State Fair then it could easily be a self funding function. Well, using that same argument there is no reason on earth why Chicagofest, with all the great line up of stars, be they musicians, singers, symphony, whatever, should not be able to pay for itself. You've got some of the finest entertainment. Some of the finest restaurants, for that matter, bringing food out to Chicagofest. The fact that it should not be able to pay for itself is absolutely absurd and that one Amendment, or that one part of this Bill makes a vote against this totally worthwhile."

Robbias: "On Chicagofest, they will definitely have to have the money since they are running in competition with the state fair. I suggest we will probably both have good losses this year."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Williamson, Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. May I speak to the Amendment on Chicagofest?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, Sir."

Harris: "The Chicagofest is something that is not just for Chicago, it's for the State of Illinois. This is something that is a cultural center. We have...we know that people in Chicago support our county fairs throughout the State of Illinois. They put new roofs

on our buildings. When we have...they vote in Committee to help us to keep our fairgrounds up and here we are talking about something for the State of Illinois, Chicagofest is good for the state, where everyone can attend. This is a very worthy project and probably should stay on the Bill without any doubt."

Speaker Lechowicz: "God bless you. The Gentleman from Cook. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this appropriation. Mention was made of the Chicagofest. There's a little bit in there too for my area, just a little bit, of the same principle. We've got a lakefront festival in Waukegan. And I think if you want to look a little deeper into that Chicagofest you will find that that brings in much business into the Chicago area. And there are people that come from all over, not just Illinois, not just Chicago, not just Cook County. So it is an investment. It is something that brings people into the area. And I think as the Legislature we have to be for that. So I have no doubt in my mind that the State of Illinois and the taxpayers are going to get their money's worth with Chicagofest. And we ought to support it and we ought to also support this appropriation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen."

Pullen: "Will the Sponsor yield for a couple questions?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Pullen: "Is there any provision for the half million or so that's going to Chicagofest to be paid back?"

Robbins: "It is a direct grant."

Pullen: "It's a direct grant and not a loan?"

Robbins: "Yes. This is correct."

Pullen: "So it's a great on the treasury. Thank you. Is there money in this Bill now for the building of a shopping center out of the Agricultural Premium Fund?"

Robbins: "This Bill takes care of everybody. Of course there is."

Pullen: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill doesn't take care of everybody. We have fireworks in Park Ridge on the Fourth of July and we aren't getting any money from the state for that and I think that's just terrible. And we have a frontiersday festival in Arlington Heights that runs three or four days and I think we ought to have everybody in the state pay for that too. And I'm sure that everybody here can think of some little festivals and parties and things of that sort and festivities in their hometown that are not getting funded out of the Agricultural Premium Fund. Shopping centers that aren't getting funded out of the Agricultural Premium Fund. And I think that until everybody gets their fair share we ought to defeat this Bill."

Robbins: "I suggest you offer an Amendment to the Bill next year to take care of your district."

Pullen: "I'd really rather not. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman...Mr. Robbins, to close."

Robbins: "I think we have a very comprehensive agricultural Bill. It reaches across many sections. It does many things for many people and all I hope is we keep the people betting on the horses so we can keep running."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. I was in error. There was one person seeking recognition, with leave of the House we'll get back to Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to speak to this Bill. I've been sort of prompted by the comments of my colleague from North Chicago. This is a classic example where a legitimate appropriation for the Department of Agriculture that should be strongly supported by all of us as our major industry in this state ought to be voted down until we get it adjusted. It is just incomprehensible why we would be financing something like Chicagofest that should really be called Chicago Pot Festival or even the Waukegan Festival on the lakefront, with taxpayers money. If you would ask the average homeowner in Cook County or Lake County or Sangamon County if they want to have their tax dollars from their income tax go to finance Chicagofest or any other festival they'd say absolutely not. The only thing the people are asking for are some tax relief. They're not asking to finance Chicagofest, which has been a dismal failure financially. I suggest it's a dismal failure financially because it's improperly run. And that is the sole and only reason they have to come to the State of Illinois and ask for money to put it on again. It doesn't bring business down to the area, particularly. If it brought business into the area there'd be enough profits to finance this within itself. This is not why we're down here. We're not to be spending taxpayers money to finance entertainment festivals. We're suppose to be spending

taxpayers money for the benefit of the people of the State of Illinois some legitimate function like the Department of Agriculture to help an industry which needs assistance. I would suggest we withhold our vote on this or vote 'no' until we take out these two completely useless functions of the State of Illinois. And insofar as the State Fair is concerned, again I would say the same thing I did last year, there is no reason this should not be self perpetuating with its own funds. State funds should be withdrawn or phased out over a period of time. Let's get this state back on a fiscal level where it belongs."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1635 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. It's for the Department of Agriculture. Have all voted who wish? Roman...Roman. Get Garmisa. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from... Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I'm voting 'no' because I...theoretically, I am a farmer. All my family farms. It's unconscionable to me to spend money for things that should go strictly for agriculture for such things as that Chicagofest. We have no business, as an agricultural group, entering into some affair in Chicago that has nothing to do with agriculture. We've supported Bills for Chicago. We've done it all along. But we have no right,...in Chicagofest, which has been a losing proposition all along, has no right to be asking funds from the agricultural funds of the State of Illinois. Therefore, I think we should turn this down and get

that thing off of there."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff. The timer is on."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't think there's going to be many votes changed, but I think we ought to take a look at this legislation. As the Sponsor made the remark, he has something in here for everybody and I remember a long time ago, when you go to try to pass any kind of legislation where you're trying to take...give everybody something, why you'd better take another look at it. This Bill can go back and it can be...some of the Amendments taken off that should never have been put on this and we can still pass it. This business of saying, well we have to pass it for Agriculture and I'm for the Department of Agriculture but I'm certainly not for some of the things that's in this particular piece of legislation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 106 'aye', 43 'no', 18 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1636. Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I wanted to support the last Bill and tell the farmers in the State of Illinois we're not angry. Last May the Government bought 60 pounds of butter to put in Government warehouses to keep it off the market. They bought 26 million pounds last year. They bought 48 pounds of cheese and put it in warehouses. They bought 88...83 million pounds of

nonfat dry milk to put in warehouses. I think we're pretty fair to the farmers. I think we do pretty well in keeping the kitty."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Ropp: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just want to make clarification of the previous speaker. I think he was..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Were the pounds incorrect?"

Ropp: "The what?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Were the pounds incorrect?"

Ropp: "No..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Were the facilities incorrect?"

Ropp: "Yeah, the facilities. It was the Federal Government that was doing that and not the State Government. So I don't want you to get the impression ..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point it well taken. Senate Bill 1640. Mr. Ewing, do you want to call that Bill? Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1640. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent and distributive of expenses of the Department of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill for the Department of Corrections for the coming year. With the Amendments that I think have been agreed upon by both sides of the aisle, the total appropriation is 245 million, 143 thousand. And I would ask for the approval of this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question

is, shall Senate Bill 1640 pass? All in favor... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Totten: "You indicated how much the total appropriation is now. How much is it above the level that was introduced by the Governor, or requested by the Governor and how much of an increase is it over last year?"

Ewing: "The appropriation is under what the Governor had requested. The appropriation was cut by some approximately 3 million in the Senate. Some of that was restored here in the House. And so it's under what the Department originally came in for. The appropriation level from last year was 188 million, 168 thousand. So it's up considerably from last year but we're going to open several new institutions this year and our prison population is actually running a few over capacity. And we continue to have more costs in this area."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Taylor, would you come to the Speaker's Rostrum, please? Any further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1640 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Marco, 'aye'. Marco. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 144 'aye', 4 'no', 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1650."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1650. A Bill for an Act to amend certain public acts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Klosak."

Klosak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 1650 amends fiscal year 1980 budget of 60 agencies for a total net increase of 16 thousand 500 dollars. Actually, it makes transfers with no dollar increases within the following commissions and agencies. The Racing Board, the Supreme Court, the Historical Society, the Department of Personnel and the State Scholarship Commission. The 16 thousand, 500 dollar increase goes to the State Employees Group Insurance Advisory Commission in their 1980 fiscal year budget. Now, up until this point we had no controversy. The Bill came out of our Appropriation II Committee 24 to 0. Yesterday we tacked on an Amendment putting on 400 thousand dollars, which Representative Reilly put on, and that was appropriated to the Department of Transportation for a study on moving District 6 headquarters. That is the only controversial part of Senate Bill 1650 and I believe that was resolved by us on the vote on that Amendment yesterday. I seek your favorable consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1650 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill that contains the study for moving District 6 headquarters to Jacksonville. It's one of

the Amendments that were put on this Bill yesterday and I would urge that this Bill be held up at this time so it can be brought back and that money taken off. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins, for what purpose do you seek recognition? The Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, when we're needlessly spending 400 thousand dollars when we don't need to, which might lead to a building that will cost 4.8 million dollars, which we don't need, after ~~talking to the people that work in this Department,~~ they were never talked to about this. They said at the place they are now they can work for a number of more years and if they need to go anyplace they can go out to the Department of Transportation Building out on the by-pass. We don't need any building in Jacksonville or in Springfield and I don't see why we're going to spend over 5 million dollars in a case like this."

~~Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman~~
from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to get involved in the argument we had yesterday. The point is, this Bill contains, in addition to the part that's been addressed, transfers for several state agencies, which have to be signed by June 30. The responsible thing is to go ahead and send it to the Senate. If they don't concur in the controversial part then we can proceed on that basis. But to stop it now would be irresponsible."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 100 'aye', 41 'no', 14 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1662."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1662..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Senate Bill 1663. Art, do you want to call that one? Read the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1663. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Members of the House, Senate Bill 1663 is the operation budget for the Capital Development Board for fiscal 1981 in the amount of 6 million, 802 thousand point 6 dollars. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion?"

Telcser: "It's an increase of 300 thousand."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1663 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 'aye', 23 'no', 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1664."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1664. A Bill for an Act making

certain reappropriations to the Capital Development Board, Secretary of State, Southern Illinois University, for permanent improvement, grants and related purposes. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, you may recall yesterday when we had this Bill on Second Reading I promised Representative Stuffle and Woodyard, who were absent at the time, that I would give them the opportunity to offer Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 1664 and therefore, I ask leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Wolf: "I object."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has objected. Mr. Griesheimer, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Do you object too?"

Telcser: "All right, Mr. Speaker, I therefore move, pursuant to Rule 35(b) that we return Senate Bill 1664 to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the Gentleman's motion prevail? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would suggest 'no' votes on there. This is a reappropriation. The Amendment to be considered is to delete a reappropriation that is very vital to our district. This matter should have

been raised previously in Committee or someplace else.
We ought to have 'no' votes on there."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members, at least for the record I want you to pay careful attention to what Representative Brummer said. He said this is vital to his district. The project in question in Amendment #9 is not even in his district and he knows that. He's been trying to put this project in Representative Woodyard's district and mine for several years. We don't want it there. I surveyed that area and it was running 25 to 1 against the project and he knows that. I repeat, it's not even in his district. It may be vital to it but he's trying to give something to us that they don't want, that they want us to take care of. It's in my district. I don't want it there. Representative Woodyard doesn't want it there. The property owners don't want it there, the people in general don't want it there. We would need 89 votes to bring this back. If you care about, believe in people here representing their district, opposing projects the people there don't want then this should get an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite, on a point of order."

Satterthwaite: "I don't find such an Amendment on my desk. Has it been distributed?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Tony, has the Amendment been distributed? Yes, Ma'am, it has."

Satterthwaite: "It's not here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It will be a rather moot question. This

motion takes 89 votes. Just slightly short. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Vermillion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I can't understand the reasoning behind the 113 'no' votes because this does affect the 53rd District, Representative Stuffle and Representative Woodyard and myself. And this has been going on for quite some time and obviously the people there don't want the project and I don't see why the Legislature here is going to force that down our throats. I ask you to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Edgar, Mr. Woodyard, the timer is on."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I also rise in support of the motion to bring this back to Second Reading. I do apologize to the Membership for us not being on the floor yesterday at the time this was called but we certainly don't want this project in our district."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 25 'aye', 109 'no', 19 recorded...13 recorded as 'present'. The motion fails. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser. The Bill is still on Third Reading."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in view of the failure of my motion to prevail, the Bill is now on Third Reading and I'd be very appreciative of the Membership if we'd pass the Bill now on Third...He read it already."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Did you read the Bill..."

Clerk Leone: "The Bill has been read a third time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. Is there any discussion on Senate Bill 1664? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1664 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Roman... Larry...Larry. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Art, did this one take 107? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne. For what purpose do you seek recognition?"

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Once in a while when if I punch my button a little bit funny it doesn't work. So inadvertently I didn't get on the Roll Call. I'd like to be voted 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. VanDuyne as 'aye' and Mrs. Currie as 'aye' and Mr. Jaffe as 'aye' and Mr. Harris and Mr. Ropp as 'aye'. Did you get all those, John? What's the count? 10...about 111, I guess. On this question there's 111 'ayes', 44 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1665."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1665. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements, grants and related purposes. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 1665 reflects the appropriation for next fiscal year for the concerns the Members of the Legislature have expressed for their districts. It contains the Amendments we adopted yesterday and some Committee Amendments adopted a few weeks earlier. I'd be

delighted to answer any questions and appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the classis, something for everybody Bill. 83 Amendments have accomplished that. I thought yesterday when we passed them all that we were going maybe 30 thousand dollars but I understand there's well over 300 thousand dollars in new money attached on there for projects. It takes a lot of time to do that and most of them are going to get vetoed out and it kind of becomes a charade, I think, on the legislative process that we even let this happen. Of course, the only way we can stop it is to hold the Bill from passing and force these Amendments on and I would recommend that as the wise choice."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it isn't quite true that these are all kinds of add ons for things, a new project in a district. Amendment #70, which I introduced, has to do with the YWCA here in the City of Springfield. The State has condemned the property and offered them 1.2 million. It's going to take 2.3 million to replace that building so they can continue their good program. The Federal Government says not for profit organizations should be reimbursed for the replacement because they have no kind of profit funds from which they could take this money. Early on one of our Judges here who used to be a Member of this Body, Representative Coutrakon, held

the same for the state. The state is not being fit to do that. This is not an add on. I think it's a debt that the Legislature and the State of Illinois owes to a not for profit organization when they take their land and tell them to go find something else."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Telcser, to close."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think one of the prior speakers took a very simplistic view of what Senate Bill 1665 is all about. It's quite easy in a few words to take the brush and paint everyone with the color they wish to choose, but I tell you what I think Senate Bill 1665 reflects, this Bill reflects what the Legislature is all about. Everyone who is elected to serve in the General Assembly, do the very best we can to raise the quality of life for the constituents whom we represent. There are just so many dollars to go around in order to satisfy the needs of the various citizens throughout the state and their diverse needs. I think Senate Bill 1665, with the Amendments that were adopted, reflects so well the deep concern the elected members of the Legislature has for their constituents and after all, that's what the Legislature is all about. We're here to reconcile the differences among ourselves and to set priorities in order to spend the available revenue within the budget. I don't believe there's one Member who has offered Amendments who wants to go beyond the budget. But in order to reconcile differences and to put priorities in order, we ought to have everything in one Conference Committee. And in my view the Members who vote for

this Bill and the Members who offered Amendments are doing what they're elected to do. Set priority and satisfy the needs of their constituents. And therefore, Mr. Speaker, I sincerely hope we get enough votes to pass Senate Bill 1665. It's a responsible, sound, good vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Sente Bill 1665 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I'm very happy to vote 'no' on this responsible Bill to supply all you people the needs of your constituents. It's a beautiful pork Bill. You're all doing your duty. I want to congratulate you upon your duty. I think it's wonderful that you all take care of your constituents like that, and especially all our tax money. Congratulations, I'm happy to vote 'no' against it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Yes...seeking recognition... Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 93 'aye', 58 'no', 11 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1606."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1606. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in speaking in behalf of Senate Bill 1606 could we just have a copy of Art Telcser's speech, speech

#50 and use my name to it because it applies to this Bill also. It's got some goodies in it according to the priority and needs of certain people and I couldn't say it better than Art did. I appreciate your support. The total is 43 million, 272...74 thousand, 502 dollars and 16 cents and I appreciate your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Not again. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "I suppose I ought to journalize my remarks. The last..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...requested in the record..."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, again we have the practice that I thought we were trying to discourage last year when we adjourned at the last minute with an omnibus Bill that had so many goodies in it that we couldn't even recognize it or keep track of what it was all about. But this Bill now adds 20 million dollars for impaction grants for hospitals that have their patient base for welfare recipients. It adds 20 million dollars for Chrysler. I... It has some 55 thousand dollars for Community...Chicago Community Schools Study Commission. It has 23 thousand dollars for reimbursed Ford County for legal fees. And the total gets on up to what the Sponsor indicated, which is well over, of course, the number of dollars that the Bill was originally intended. Although I think the Bill was originally intended to be a vehicle Bill to do exactly this. I think the process by which we do this is not a good one. It is not a sound way to do it. The only

way that we can stop it again is to defeat the Bill here, because this Bill is going to go into Conference Committee eventually and is going to come out probably at three times the figures. We're going to see many of the other measures that were defeated attached onto this and in the wee hours of June 30 or the morning of July 1 we'll be asked to support a Bill with a dollar amount well over this. The practice is not a good one and even though everybody is taken care of the one group of people that are not taken care of is the taxpayers and I think that this Bill ought to be defeated in its present form."

Speaker "Lechowicz:" "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yes, I certainly rise to second the previous Gentleman's comments on this Bill and I would just like to point out once again, so that everybody knows what they're doing, you are appropriating by your vote, if you vote green, 20 million dollars which will be loaned to Chrysler Corporation. This is not a loan guarantee. This is an outright loan to Chrysler Corporation and you're setting the stage then for the substantive Bill, when it comes back over here, for concurrence to finalize what many of us feel is an extremely bad idea. Now, I'd just like to remind many of you on both sides of the aisle you didn't understand the Bill by what you told me when it was past out of here to being an outright loan but you thought it ought to have been a guarantee. So we're setting the stage now to finalize what many of you didn't want it to do when you voted earlier this

year."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question if I could. Representative Matijevich, I presume that what the previous speaker said is true but I also understand in the Bill that there's a guaranteed that Chrysler has to pledge 130 or 33 percent of the value of their plant. Do you have any idea, in order to assure this loan, to prevent the state from taking any undue harrassment from this, do you know the value, the rough value of this plant?"

Matijevich: "I'll yield to..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose..."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman has not answered the question that has any relevance to the Bill at hand. He's talking to the substantive Bill, which is not being considered."

Speaker Redmond: "I think he's right. Proceed, Representative VanDuyne. With those limitations in mind."

VanDuyne: "I thought it was on Third Reading..."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further, Representative VanDuyne? Do you want to conclude your remarks? Please, your microphone is on."

VanDuyne: "Well, I was just telling Jesse White that I wasn't so worried about lending anybody 20 million dollars if the plant is worth 350 million and they're going to guarantee us that they're supporting us to 130 percent of its value. I'd say that's a pretty

good risk."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything futher? Are you ready for the question? Shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "By the way, just a continuation of that. If the state don't want to do it for those kind of risks, I'll lend them the money."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "I... In relation to this Bill, I understand this is the one that has the..some 30 million dollars for the hospital, for the working poor. I meant to ask that question but I have been told that it does. I want to point out to you that this working poor is mostly attributed, you might say, to the sexually active working poor and that's what we're paying for."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question... Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, may I have a verification, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "You may. On this question there's 91 'aye' and 67 'no'. Representative Totten has requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Matijevich. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I would request a poll of the absentees and I'd urge some Members to hop on to avoid the verification because all of us know that there are certain matters in here, if we don't do it now we know it's going to be done. So if you can, as the old saying goes, in your heart, give us the vote. Please

do it now and avoid the verification so we can get out of here at a decent time. And I'd appreciate that type of support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Change me from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Murphy changed from 'no' to 'aye'. Proceed with the poll of the absentees. Capparelli, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Christensen. Conti. Cullerton. Gaines. Katz. Laurino. Molloy. Mugalian. Robbins. Schlickman. Stearney. Willer. And Winchester."

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the... Verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Katz, 'aye'. Representative Christensen, 'aye'. Representative Christensen 'aye'. Any other additions to the Roll Call? Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative McPike."

McPike: "Could I be verified, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Can Representative McPike be verified? Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Alexander. Balanoff. Barnes. Beatty. Bianco."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson requests permission to be verified? Does he have permission? What else happened on here?"

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the affirmative. Birchler. Bradley. Braun. Bullock. Capparelli. Capuzi. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Currie. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Doyle. Ralph Dunn. Epton. Ewell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton desires to be recorded as 'aye' and leave...requests leave to be verified."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the Affirmative. Parley. Flinn. Garmisa. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman. Hallock. Hanahan. Harris. Henry. Hoffman. Huff. Jaffe. Johnson. Dave Jones. Emil Jones. Kane. Katz. Keane. Kelly. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Krska. Kucharski. Kulas. Lechowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Madigan. Margalus. Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. McAuliffe. McBroom. McClain. McGrew. McPike. Meyer. Murphy. Oblinger. O'Brien. Patrick. Pierce. Polk. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Richmond. Ronan. Ryan. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Sharp. Simms. Stanley. Steczo. C.H. Stiehl. Stuffle. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. VanDuyne. Vinson. Vitek. White. Williams. Williamson. Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell. And, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call? Representative Robbins, 'aye'. Representative Gaines...Gaines 'aye'. Representative Totten."

Totten: "What's the count, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 98 'aye', 66 'no'."

Totten: "Balanoff."

Speaker Redmond: "She's here."

Totten: "Jane Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Totten: "Jane Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jane Barnes. How is she recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her. Representative Conti. Conti 'no'."

Totten: "Flinn."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Totten: "Monroe Flinn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove Representative Monroe Flinn."

Totten: "Garmisa."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa...how is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Is he in the chamber? Remove Representative Garmisa."

Totten: "Greiman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman...how is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove Representative Greiman."

Totten: "Henry."

Speaker Redmond: "Henry...is Representative Henry in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove Representative Henry."

Totten: "Huff."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff. How is Representative Huff recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove Representative Huff. Wait a

minute. Is somebody yelling? Remove Representative Huff."

Totten: "Jaufe."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here. Representative Simms. No. May Representative Simms be verified? That was Simms in that very well modulated voice. Representative Robbins, for what purpose...may Representative Robbins be verified? Proceed."

Totten: "Keane."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane, he's in the aisle."

Totten: "Keane. K-e-a-n-e."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Keane back there in the dark hole? How is he...Representative Keane, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Representative Henry has returned. We did not take Kane off. We took Keane off. Kane is keen, but Keane isn't Kane. Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hanahan: "Yes, may I be verified? Representative Terzich

and I have a meeting that we have to go to."

Speaker Redmond: "Can't hear you."

Hanahan: "Terzich and Hanahan be verified?"

Speaker Redmond: "May Representatives Terzich and Hanahan be verified? Representative Huff has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call. Representative Greiman has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call."

Totten: "Kosinski."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Kosinski here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "Kulas."

Speaker Redmond: "He's back in the aisle, the center aisle."

Totten: "Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Totten: "Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz...he's here in the doorway."

Totten: "Mautino."

Speaker Redmond: "Who was that?"

Totten: "Mautino."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Mautino here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "McBroom."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative McBroom here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "Polk."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk...where? He's standing back there. I think that is Polk. He's got his back to us. Do you recognize him from the rear back there with Representative Hallstrom?"

Totten: "I recognize him."

Speaker Redmond: "That is Polk."

Totten: "Schisler."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Schisler back there? He's always back there. Never caught him off yet."

Totten: "Sharp."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sharp...how is he recorded?
Representative Representative Sharp...Representative
Stearney. Representative Stearney 'no'. Is Sharp
here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "White."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Totten: "Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "I saw him come in a minute ago.
Representative Yourell. There he is."

Totten: "No further questions."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count? 90 'aye' and 67 'no',
and the Bill, having received the Constitutional
Majority, is hereby declared passed? 1578. When we
got through with the Third Reading Bills we'll break
for lunch. Representative Watson, you can take that
back."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1578. A Bill for an Act making an
appropriation to the Illinois State Scholarship
Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew. 1578."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and
Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1578 is the
regular and ordinary expenses of the Illinois State
Scholarship Commission. I would ask for its
adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question is, shall this
Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote
'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the
record. On this question there's 118 'aye' and 15

'no'. Representative Ropp desires to be recorded as 'no'. There's 119 'aye' and 15 'no'. Harovitz 'no'... 'aye'. Jones 'aye'. Mahar 'aye'. Gaines 'aye'. Simms 'aye'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1614."

Clerk Leona: "Senate Bill 1614. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Civil Service Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I think everybody is familiar with this issue. It's the appropriation for the Civil Service Commission and a few related activities and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question? Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you yield?"

Bowman: "Could he tell us how the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities is related to the Civil Service Commission? That is a fascinating thesis that I wish he would elaborate on."

Vinson: "Well, they're both part of the Civil Administrative Code, Representative."

Bowman: "Oh. I thought maybe you were trying to say that the...that the people running the Civil Service Commission are a little crazy. Would you say that?"

Vinson: "I'd never suggest that."

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, before Representative Vinson mumbles this through in the finest Zeke Giorgi fashion, I would just like to point out to everybody that this is the Bill that has the revised, expanded, deluxe version of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities budget on it. This is the one that has apparently a little something for almost everybody. However, as Representative Satterthwaite was very quick to point out when we had the debate on the Amendment, that there are some significant deletions from the department's budget as it appears in Amendment form on this Bill. So I think a lot of people may want to take a good look at this. I, myself plan to vote 'present' because I think we do have an obligation to fund state government. But I think everyone of us here who has an interest in Mental Health ought to take a look at it. Especially those of you who were expecting to have certain projects or certain programs funded in your own districts ought to make sure before you vote on this that you've been cut into the deal too. So I would recommend that everyone who hasn't looked at this to determine whether they're in on the deal ought to vote 'present' along with me. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to voice some concern over this budget and particularly Amendment #3, which deals with the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. My concerns are two. One, that money

that was taken out of the Senate to fund the 40 psychiatric beds to be moved to 'Tinley Park' Mental Health, be restored. And I've been informed that that has been restored and I'm very grateful for that. But beyond that, I'm concerned about the fact that, as somebody said, there's something for everybody in it but apparently something is being taken away from us in region two. And that is that the region administrators office is being abolished for reasons that apparently some people don't like the previous actions of the administrator. Now, in my dealings with the administrator, and I think that my two colleagues from the 9th District, Mr. Steczo and Mr. Kelly, will agree with me, we've had nothing but cooperation from the administrator. He deals with the Howell Developmental Center. He deals with Ludiman Center and he's been in the area for a long number of years. Now in every indication that I've had with him in concerns about the care of people and concerns about the administration have been very, very well received. Now I haven't gone to him and asked him to put my brother-in-law on the payroll. Maybe if I did and he refused to do that I'd be sore at him too. But I think he's done a great job. I think he's a very efficient individual and the fact he doesn't conform to what some people want is not an indication that his job should be removed. I think it's very essential that that job be retained. I think this is the wrong way to go about it. If the man is some kind of a culprit there ought to be some other way of getting rid of his job and I would urge that we consider this

very seriously and I'm sorry to say that in spite of my interest in Mental Health, and I have been for many, many years, that I am not able to vote for this legislation at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Preston: "Representative Vinson, can you tell me what the total amount of the appropriation pursuant to this Bill is?"

Vinson: "535 million for the Department of Mental Health."

Preston: "How much for the Civil Service Commission?"

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Vinson, to close."

Preston: "Whoa...I asked a question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. What's going on out there? Representative Preston."

Preston: "I asked Representative Vinson how much of the appropriation..."

Speaker Redmond: "Didn't he have that at his fingertips?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. I'm disappointed. I thought you had that at your fingertips. 1636. Representative Woodyard."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1636. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Woodyard."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

This is the annual appropriation for the Department of Conservation in the amount, as amended, of 114 million, 703 thousand. It is the only Bill in the House carrying the eagle."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 128 'aye' and 19 'no' and the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1662. Representative Collins, 'no'. Representative Leverenz, 'aye'. Representative Mulcahey, 'aye'. 130. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1662. Out of the record. Message from the Senate."

Clerk Leone: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bills of the following titles, to wit. House Bills 3180, 3143, 3024, 3001, 3014, 3026, 3034, 3027, 3029, 3036, 3037, 3047, 3049, 3044, 3067, 3201, 3241, 3320, 3301, 3311, 3208. Together with the attached Amendments hereto. Passed the Senate as amended June 26, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker. I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bills of the following titles, to wit: House Bills 3021, 3064, 3126, 3135, 3249, 3290.

Passed by the Senate June 27, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything else, Mr. Clerk, that we have to do before lunch? Representative Frederick. Do you want to wait a minute? Okay, now. Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, today is the last day to buy your tickets for the Mr. Wonderful dinner, which will be held on Sunday night. I'd like to tell you that we will be working here through Monday so Sunday night is a perfect night to have a relaxed evening. You will enjoy free beverages, a dinner and a top notch show where we will pick the Mr. Wonderful of 1980. The proceeds from this dinner, and the tickets are 25 dollars, will go to a Catholic program for unwed mothers, run by Sister Monica Cahill in Chicago. The Children's Home and Aid Society and the Chicago Comprehensive Care Service. We hope to see all of you there. We need to have definite reservations as of today. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "It's been suggested that we break for lunch for about an hour. About an hour. Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Before we break for lunch I would just like to remind people there are still some tickets left for the Legislator's night at White Sox Park on July 29. That's a Tuesday. They play the Milwaukee Brewers. Tickets are 15 dollars a piece, covers a complete buffet dinner of ribs, chicken, hot dogs, hamburgers, all the beer you can drink, all the soft drinks. The White Sox players will have dinner with us. So bring

your family. Call. Either let me know or my secretary. We have some tickets left. Reservations are coming in pretty well from both sides of the aisle. But we'd like to know before we break on July 1 and the checks should be made out to the Chicago White Sox. Again, July 29, 6:00 night game, buffet dinner, 15 dollars a piece. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Did you know the White Sox have signed the Senate ball team to help them out that night? The House will now stand in recess until 1:15."

Speaker Redmond: "Be sure to get back here on time because we're going to have another one of those quizzes that we had the last Session. We'll see who's good. House will come to order. Any Member have a Nonconcurrency? Any Nonconcurrency? Representative Doyle. Representative Doyle, for what purpose do you arise?"

Doyle: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I want to nonconcur on House Bill #3535. The Senate Amendment came over on it, contained some technical errors in the Section amending the fund's investment authority. The Amendment also contains discriminatory language with respect to widows and widowers. I wish to nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes. Would the Gentleman please repeat what the Bill deals with?"

Doyle: "Sam, it... The Senate Amendment contains some technical errors in the Section amending the fund's investment authority. The Amendment also contains discriminatory language with respect to widows and widowers."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House nonconcur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3535. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3535."

Doyle: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "How about House Bill 1009 on the Order of Concurrence as appearing on page three? Representative Schraeder, 1009? Want to try that one? See what happens?"

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is concurrence for the Senate Amendment #1. Adds some provisions to the downstate, Chicago teachers' university employees retirement system with the benefits increase that we're asking for. We've also put a provision in for a quarter of one cent contribution and this has been approved by the Teachers' Federation...supported. Most of it, or part of at least has been endorsed by the Pension Laws Commission, not all of it entirely because there is some cost involved, which is not funded. And I'd ask for a con..approval of the concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Earlier today I heard quite a few different Representatives talking about this Bill. I think, you know, there were people that had questions on it and seeing as we do not have any people to inquire, I'd ask him if he would take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. House Bill 1407. 1407. Representative Marovitz on the floor? Out of the record. House Bill 1522, Representative Hanahan? Out of the record. 1552, E.G. Stiehl. Out of the record. 2227? Yourell on the floor? Out of the record. 2351, Representative Ewing. Coward. Coward. You see what it was? I want to see how well you do. 2351? Ewing? Out of the record. 2771? Representative Klosak on the floor? Has this been roped along? 2823? Out of the record. 2845? Out of the record. 2893? Out of the record. 3023. Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3023 is an Act appropriating money for the Commissioner of Saving and Loan. Senate Amendment #1, there was an overbudgeting on certain line items and the Senate Amendment #1 would be reducing it to \$20,450. Senate Amendment #2 was tabled and Senate Amendment #3 just basically broke down the Savings and Loan mortgage Board meeting's expense to a line item instead of lumping it into a group. There was no dollar change in that. So we're talking about a concur... I would ask for a concurrence and it's a reduction of \$20,450."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3023. 3022. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Is it 2? Oh, it's on Amendments 1 and 3, pardon me. It's 3023, Amendments 1 and 3. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's

90... there's 100 'aye' and 2 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 3023. 2723. Daniels on the floor? Out of the record. 2823? You weren't in your seat. 2823. Out of the record. 2905? Where did I... Is that one ready, Mr. Clerk? Is that stuff back from the printer or wherever it comes from? Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 2917? Out of the record. 3028. 3028, I show...Huh? 3028? Wait a minute. Representative Macdonald. I'm probing around for noncontroversial cause some people are still in the rat skeller. Representative Macdonald. Representative Macdonald. Electrician. Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur on Senate Amendment 1, 2, 3 and 4, also 5 with .. the Environmental Protection Agency's appropriation. Amendment #1 makes a net reduction of \$321,350. \$102,050 from the general revenue fund, 219 from federal funds. Included are reductions of \$1,129,450 in personal services related items and an increase of a construction of \$994,600. This is due to the eight percent solution. They also transferred back to contractual services the employees transferred by the House from contractual and personal services. This is approximately 65 employees. Senate Amendment #2 breaks out reappropriations by specific items from anti-pollution bond funds for sewage treatment plants. This was requested by the Comptroller's Office. It also increases the reappropriation by 2.6 million in grant monies to reflect expanded funds originally

thought to be expended earlier. Amendment #3 appropriated \$140,414 general revenue matching funds for federal grants including grants under national urban run-off programs to meet the requirements of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of '72. Appropriations are to the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission in the amount of \$86,608, the greater Egypt Regional Planning Commission in the amount of \$15,000, Southwestern Illinois Metropolitan and Regional Planning Commission in about \$38,806. Amendment #4 was a correctional Amendment which it took to put back into order a mistake that was made in the transfer of funds in Senate Amendment #1. There is no net dollar change. Senate Amendment #5 adds \$691,900 dollars to federal funds which are unanticipated carryover funds on new funds which became available for fiscal year '81. I move for the adoption of these Amendments and to concur.."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Lady's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 3028. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 105 'aye' and 17 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 3028. 3380. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3380. The Bill as it left the House would have allowed for some

employees who were discharged and who will be reinstated by court order to pay into their state retirement system the amount owed and would be entitled to the time that they were off. The only change in the Amendment was it says...or the Amendment changed the Bill by saying that it would let the Department of Transportation make the payments in equal installments for a period of five fiscal years if the total amount is more than \$150,000. The Amendment was supported by the Illinois Public Employees Pension Laws Commission and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the House to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3380. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 131 'aye' and 1 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3380. 3439. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3439. The Bill as it left the House would allow the Department of Administrative Services to enter into multi-year fuel contracts specifically for coal. Representative McClain raised a question on the floor that fuel would encompass oil, gasoline and coal, so in the Senate we amended that. We took out the word, 'fuels', and inserted I believe, 'coal'. We took care of the

objections that Representative McClain had on the Bill in the Senate. I would ask that we concur in Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative McClain."

McClain: "No, Sir. That was the query we had. It was on Conference stage here in the House and Mr. Winchester cut this word and that's fine."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House will concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3439. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 137 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3439. 3416. Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we do concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3416. This is a Bill which we passed by a large margin which permits private employee pension benefit plans to be exempt from the nine percent when they make loans to Members who are part of a plan. It does not apply to any public pension plans, only private ones. And the two Amendments that the Senate put on are ..one was technical and it corrected a misfigure in the citation of the U.S. code and the second was to change the effective date would be coming law."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3416. 1 and 2 to 3416."

Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3416. 3427, are the Amendments back from the printer on that one? Has it been distributed, Craig? 3427? 3427, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to concur in Senate Amendments 1, 4 and 5. What the effect of the House Bill was when we passed it was to delete the requirements that the Director and Assistant Director of Public Health be licensed physicians. The Senaté, by their Amendments, added a change in the requirements for the Director of Public Aid and it deleted the requirement that the Director of Public Aid must have at least ten years of experience in public assistance administration and not have been politically active in the past five preceeding years. It becomes increasingly difficult, if not impossible, to find individuals who fit the requirements that we have set in. Amendment #4 initially deleted all references to the medical determination Board and Amendment #5 restored those provisions indicated that when a physician is appointed a Director of the Board, the medical determination Board goes out of existence. And I would move adoption ...er..concurrence in Senate Amendments 1,4 and 5 to House Bill 3427."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 4 and 5 to House Bill 3427. Those in

favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 141 'aye' and 6 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 4 and 5 ...Representative Peters?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the House for their action here in doing it as quickly as we did. This will now make it possible for Bill Kempiners to appear before the Senate Committee on Monday for confirmation. I thank you all."

Speaker Redmond: "The House concurs in Senate Amendments 1, 4 and 5 to House Bill 3427. Representative O'Brien. O'Brien, 'aye'. 3025. Representative Karpel, for what purpose do you arise?"

Karpel: "Could you record me as 'aye' on that last one?"

Speaker Redmond: "Can't hear you."

Karpel: "Could you please record me as 'aye' on that last one?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Karpel as 'aye' on the last Bill. 3025. Representative Woodyard."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 on House Bill 3025. These Amendments..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Woodyard: "Amendment #1 makes a net reduction of \$1,515,900. Amendment #2 restores the \$50,000 that was removed for the 'Alice Computer System', which is a research project carried on by the Argonne National Laboratory. Amendment #3 increases the reappropriation levels for data processing by 1.4 million dollars. Senate Amendment #4 breaks out the funding for expenses of

the Lottery Board. And Amendment #5 restores a total of \$573,200."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 3025?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 122 'aye' and 11 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 3025. 312...3153. Out of the record. 2917. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to consider 2917 and 2918 on the same"

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. 2918 and 2917 will be considered together. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2917 and 2918 are the implementation ... the implementation...for the consolidation of elections that goes into effect in December of 1981. The Senate Amendments were Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to 2917 and Senate Amendments # 1 and 2 to 2918, were all technical in nature. And I move to concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2917 and Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2918."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I reluctantly but vociferously rise to oppose the concurrence to Senate Amendment #1 of House Bill 2918. To remember, we had a very hot floor fight on whether or not junior college trustees should

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 27, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

have four year terms or six year terms. The Illinois House decided there was no reason to be afraid of the people, no reason for junior college trustees to be afraid of the people and we passed... Am I incorrect, or what? Representative Yourell indicates my interpretation is incorrect, Mr. Speaker. Could you turn his microphone on so we can hear why?"

Yourell: "Yes, it's my understanding that that was taken care of in House Bill 3229."

Skinner: "The junior college trustee term... Have we voted on that yet?"

Yourell: "No, that's coming up."

Skinner: "Oh. Well, could you... could you delineate the substance of these Amendments that you made here?"

Yourell: "They're merely technical Amendments changing the reference to the Statutes. That's all they are."

Skinner: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Radzoni: "Any further question? The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments to House Bill 2917 on Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to 2917 and Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to 2918?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On these questions there's 134 'aye' and 6 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2917 and Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2918. House Bill 2982, Representative Kraska. Representative Kraska. Out of the record? 2997. Representative Brunner."

Brunner: "Yes, 2997 is a Bill that we passed out of here previously, I think, on a unanimous Roll Call. There

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 27, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

is the Senate Amendment #1, was a technical Amendment. Senate Amendment #2 incorporated the provisions of House Bill 3383 which previously passed out of here on 131 to 3 Roll Call dealing with the transportation of mental health patients. I don't think there's any controversy about this issue and I would ask for concurrence in Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2997. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 157 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 2 (sic) to House Bill 2997. 2997? 2997? No, I mean 2975. Representative Currie? 2975? Out of the record. Representative Pierce, your Amendments... those Amendments aren't printed and back yet so... 3022. Representative Neff? Representative Neff on the floor? Out of the record. 3289. 3289. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "House Bill 3289, Amendment... Senate Amendment #3... I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #4 to nonconcur. Senate Amendment #4 would put in... was put on incorrectly worded and I understand the Senator who offered that will recede."

Speaker Redmond: "So your motion then is to nonconcur in 4 and concur in 3?"

Pierce: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 3289?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted

who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120...132 'aye' and 1 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 3289. Now, my understanding is that you move to nonconcur in Amendment 4. Is that correct? Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #4, the State Treasurer has a problem with. I believe he's right. And we're going to nonconcur on that. I understand that there's good chance the Senate will recede from that. So I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 4."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 4. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the motion to nonconcur carries. 3051. Representative Hallstrom. Hallstrom in the chamber? Representative J.J. Wolf, will you... I can't see Representative Hallstrom. Will you have your aide"

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to move to concur on Senate Bill... on the Amendments from the Senate on House Bill 3051. One Amendment reduces the appropriation of the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission by \$22,000. The other deletes the amount of monies for the guardian ad litem and the money for IDA, but these were put on other budgets. The Commission is in agreement with these deductions and I would ask leave that you concur on the Senate Amendments to 3051."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the

Lady's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 3051. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 'aye' and 9 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 3051. 3054. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, in agreement with Representative Chapman, I'd like to hold this for a while."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 3114, Schneider? Representative Hoffman, please do not bother Representative Schneider. 3114. Out of the record. 3127. The Gentleman from Evanston, Representative McCourt? Not printed. Not printed yet. McCourt? Out of the record. 3193, Representative Walsh. Out of the record. Garmisa here? 929? Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to concur in Senate Amendments #2, 3 and 4. Senate Amendment #2 to the Bill... to this Bill, which raises the maximum salary allotment for supervisor of assessments, incorporates a reference to multi-township assessors. Senate Amendment #3 deletes the provision for a minimum salary for supervisors of assessments. And Senate Amendment #4, sponsored by Senator Donnewald, amends the provisions relating to reimbursement by the state of Assistant State's Attorneys for salaries in counties which have a state mental institution. This provision raises allotment for such counties which have a population between

20,000 and 30,000 from \$4,000 to \$12,000. Allotments for all other counties in the State of Illinois remain the same. Three counties, according to this Amendment, Senate Amendment #4, will be affected, that is Clinton County where you have the Murray Mental Health Center, Knox County where you have the Galesburg Center and Saline County where you have the A.L. Bower's Developmental Center. I would move to concur in all three Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "The...Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you arise? Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes. Would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Yourell: "Representative Daniels, it's my understanding that Senate Amendment #4 treats three counties in this state differently than it treats any other county."

Daniels: "That's correct."

Yourell: "Can you suggest to me why all of the other counties should remain at the current salary and only those counties that were mentioned should go from \$4,000 to \$12,000 and counties with 70 to 1...70,000 to 1,000,000 would remain at \$6,000, more than twice what the Gentleman in the counties that have 20 to 30 thousand population? I think that's highly irregular. We're only talking about three counties out of 102 counties in the State of Illinois that this legislation affects. It seems to me that an Assistant State's Attorney who is receiving \$6,000 in a county of one million does not get any consideration for salary increase, but an Assistant State's Attorney in a county with 20 to 30 thousand residents goes from

\$4,000 to \$12,000, an increase of 300%. Now, I think that's not very considerate of the other counties and I would ask that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 929 so that we could go to a Conference Committee and find out why these three counties are treated so generously and other counties are not even considered."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kane: "When you explained Amendment #3, you said that it deleted the minimum salary requirement. But I don't think that you said that it increased the maximums."

Daniels: "I was not told that Senate Amendment #3 increased the maximum."

Kane: "Would your motion be different if you knew that that was the case?"

Daniels: "No."

Kane: "Would you tell us why the maximums have been increased and what percentage?"

Daniels: "You have it right there. Can I borrow it for a second? Those are the maximums that were increased by the original legislation; that's the same. What they did by Senate Amendment #3 is remove the minimum. But they left in the same maximum increases that was in the original legislation."

Kane: "I thought the direction of the Legislature, or at least last year, was to remove maximum salaries and let it..that be determined at the local level."

Daniels: "Well, that..."

Kane: "Well, why are we doing something different with this

office?"

Daniels: "This is the Bill as originally passed, 929, by the House, overwhelmingly passed, had the minimum, maximums in it for the supervisor of assessments. What Senate Amendment #3 did, was remove the minimum. In answer to your question, as I understand, the .. on some legislation the current mood is to remove the maximums. However, in this particular legislation, in the hearings between the counties..before the Counties and Townships Committee, they determined that this was the best way to handle it and this is how it passed the House. Now, Senator Gitz, for whatever reason, decided to remove the minimum. And that's what his Amendment did."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge that we vote 'no' on the motion to concur on Amendment #3. I don't think that we want to remove the minimum salaries for supervisors of assessments. They're already in office. It would give the kind of control and pressure that we don't need. I think that we want to make sure that the supervisors of assessments get paid adequately if we're going to keep the kind of quality assessments that we're all looking for and I'd urge that we send this Bill back to Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I'm also concerned about the removal of the minimum salary level for supervisors of assessments. Can you tell me for the purposes of guidance for the Illinois court system, whether a supervisor of

assessments salary could be lowered during his or her four year term?"

Daniels: "Well, it's my understanding it could be."

Skinner: "It could be? Why don't you say 'no' and ..."

Daniels: "No."

Skinner: "All right. Well, then, I will have to oppose concurrence to this also.."

Daniels: "...I don't know, Representative Skinner. I didn't propose the Amendment. Senator Gitz did. As far as I'm concerned, it would be very foolish to lower a supervisor of assessment's salary during his term of office or even before his term of office in a day and age when inflation is cutting away at everyone's salary. I, personally, do not like the form of Amendment.. of Amendment #3. However, that's how it came over. It does not affect the authority of the County Board to increase a supervisor of assessment's salary if they so feel. Whether or not they could decrease that would be a matter of other speculation."

Skinner: "So, Mr. Speaker, if I could speak to the question. I don't think we ought to leave it to chance in the Illinois court system of whether or not supervisor of assessments will... supervisor of assessments can have pressure put on them by County Boards through the lowering of their salary, down to even \$100.00 a year. I don't think we should leave that to chance. I don't think that should be allowed and under this Amendment, it appears that it would be up to the Illinois court system. There are counties in the State of Illinois that have attempted to fire supervisors of assessments, who have a four year term, by

appropriating less money than has been agreed upon in the original salary set for the supervisor of assessments prior to his taking office for a four year term. Real estate assessments is an extremely technical area. It is an area in which the General Assembly has been attempting to give support to good assessors and good supervisors of assessments and penalize those that are poor. Unfortunately, those who are good supervisors of assessments tend to alienate the political establishments on various County Boards and those County Boards tend to retaliate by lowering the salaries, forcing the supervisor of assessments to quit. The State of Illinois pays half of the salaries of supervisors of assessments in Illinois and I think we have within... I think we have a very strong interest in making sure the best people get those jobs as possible and once good people get the jobs, they shouldn't be allowed to be pushed out of office through the cutting of a salary. And that would be fairly similar to having our salary cut during the middle of our term and I don't think any of us would be very sympathetic to that. So, I would ask the Sponsor of the Bill to change his motion to concur with the three Amendments which are.. the Amendments which are acceptable, to nonconcur with this one and if he refuses to do that, I would ask for negative votes on his motion to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels has moved that the House concur... I think maybe we'd better take them one at a time. What do you think? Senate Amendment 2

to House Bill 929? Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative DiPrima, please be quiet. Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 94 'ayes' and 27 'no'. And the House concurs in Amendment #2. The motion is that the House concur in Amendment #3 to House Bill 929. Put that question as soon as we get the Roll Call off of this one. Separating them. We took 2 first. Well, there seemed to be some discussion about concurring and nonconcurring. Now, this is on Amendment #3. Those in favor of the motion vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Daniels, are you waving your hand?"

Daniels: "Well, it's my understanding that what this Amendment does is remove the minimum salaries for supervisors of assessments. It would not affect the maximum. So I would solicit ten, eleven, twelve...nevermind."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 79 'ayes' and 63 'no'. And the motion fails. Representative Daniels now moves to nonconcur in Amendment #2 (sic)...in Amendment #...No. No. It's 2. That last one was 2, wasn't it?"

Daniels: "Two is okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh. It would be nonconcur in Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House nonconcur in Amendment #3. Amendment #4."

Daniels: "I move to concur in Amendment #4. That's the one dealing with the Assistant State's Attorney's salaries."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is...."

Daniels: "Representative Yourell is opposed to that.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Just a brief reminder to the Members of the House. This is Amendment #4 that takes three counties of the state and gives those three counties, those individual three counties, a 300% increase in their salaries without doing anything for the other 99 counties of the state. Now, it seems to me that a county with 20 to 30 thousand population will receive twice as much salary as a Gentleman that serves in the same capacity in a county with a million people in it. Now, if we're going to be consistent, I think we ought to be consistent, and treat all of these individuals alike. Either alike or in a grading salary schedule so that those who represent people in smaller counties do not make 300% more than those who represent larger counties. I would ask you to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #4 because it has to go back now, the Bill, to a Conference Committee, and perhaps there can be some adjudication or consideration given to this argument. So I would ask you to vote 'no' on Amendment #4 to House Bill 929."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Amendment #4 to House Bill 929. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"

The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 52 'aye' and 66 'no'. And the motion fails. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Move to nonconcur on Amendment #4, is that correct? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried and the House nonconcur in Amendment #4. Let's see if we can get this page all cleared off. House Bill 303. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask the House to nonconcur in Senate Amendments # 1 and 2 to House Bill 303."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur (sic) in Amendments .. Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 303. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Wait a minute. Did you say nonconcur? Pardon me. The motion moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 303. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 on House Bill 303. 891. Out of the record. 986. Representative Rea?"

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. There are three Amendments to House Bill 989. These are technical Amendments and I would move for the concurrence. The Amendments here mainly change the dates because the..this Bill was in the ..in the Spring Session and has to change the names of some of the departments due to the reorganization and also the

effective dates. I would move for concurrence of Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Redmond: "That was concurred was it?"

Rea: "Yes. For concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "All right. Senate Amendment #2, providing that this review Board will succeed the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board, is that correct? By Senate Amendment #2, the new Board that you are creating will succeed the Governor's Board. Is that correct?"

Rea: "That is correct, the statutory Board."

Schlickman: "Who makes the appointments to your new Board?"

Rea: "The Governor."

Schlickman: "Subject to confirmation by the Senate?"

Rea: "Not with confirmation of the Senate, no. But the Governor does make the appointment."

Schlickman: "I see. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman. Will the Sponsor yield please?"

Rea: "Yes."

Hallstrom: "Thank you. Representative Rea, could you tell me how this would include services for children?"

Rea: "Yes, I think that at the present time, we have the two rate review Boards and there is a lack of the coordination and the integration that this here legislation would provide. And also if you notice, that this would provide for in terms of the.. of

Board.. of the 395 Board which was done by legislation, that there will be an orderly transition from the existing structure to the new Board so that there will not be any loss as a result of it."

Hallstrom: "Thank you. May I speak to the Gentleman's remarks please?"

Speaker Redmond: "I can't hear you."

Hallstrom: "I said, may I please speak to the Gentleman's remarks?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Hallstrom: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I can understand in some way what Representative Rea is trying to do. I have a great concern though that this timing is not the right time. I think we still need a Board that deals specifically with special education placement. We can not lose these youngsters and their placement as part of a whole Board that is addressing itself to many other issues. The General Assembly established the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board. It's been in operation in less than a year. Are we ready to judge their performance? And I have had some concerns and I have had legislation to address those concerns. But I don't want to see the baby thrown out with the bath water as many of you say and I would hope that we would still give the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board a chance to answer the needs of these special education children and not put them in with the Board that considers so many other very important issues. But I don't want to see the special education children get lost in the shuffle. Thank

you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have no position on this motion because I... it was not on yesterday's Calendar and we don't have the Amendments yet. At least I don't. And I thought the Chair was going to try to make sure that we did have the Amendments. That would save a lot of time and perhaps avoid asking questions about what the Amendment does."

Speaker Redmond: "Was the Amendment distributed? Page tells me it was distributed."

Mugalian: "I've been keeping them all the last three days and I..."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you bring one down to Representative Mugalian? Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "I don't want to speak on the Bill. I just ... I thought the Chair was not going to call anything..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I'm not calling anything that they tell that hasn't been distributed. Now, I have been advised that the Amendments are distributed on this one. I don't know. Because..."

Mugalian: "I tried to tell you, I have been keeping every one and keeping them in order and I don't have that one. Maybe it was overlooked."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you get Representative Mugalian? Will you see that he gets one of the Amendments? Ready for the question here? You want to separate them or was there any objection? The question is on Representative Rea's motion to concur. Is that correct? Concur on Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House

Bill 986. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 101 'aye' and 43 'no'. And the House concurs in Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 986. 3022, Representative Neff. Don't leave us now. 3022."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with House Bill... Senate Amendment to House Bill 3022. The Amendment the Senate put on reduced appropriation \$16,700 and this reduces the whole budget down to \$2,856,100. This appropriation is for the appropriations for the Department of Financial Institutions and I don't think the \$16,000 was taken out of the contingency and I don't think it's going to hurt the department any. And I move to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3022. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 125 'aye' and 5 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3022. 3035. Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 3035 and to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The Lady has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House nonconcur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 3035. The Lady has moved that the

House concur in Amendment #3 to House Bill 3035. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Leverenz, one minute to explain his vote. You were seeking recognition. You had your hand up. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 124 'aye' and 6 'no'. And the House does concur in Amendment #3 to House Bill 3035. 3505. That's on page eight. Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 3505 was amended in the Senate to include the entire State of Illinois in regards to increasing the amount of money that would retain..be retained within the county from the inheritance tax by one percent. We had amended that into the Bill, which only included Cook County, and in the wisdom of the Senate, they felt the entire state also be included in that. And I move to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Just a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Mugalian: "What is the economic..the fiscal impact of this insofar as state revenues are concerned?"

Ropp: "Well, first of all, it's going to depend on how many people actually pass away to determine their inheritance. The one that I have in my analysis here is about 1.2 million dollars."

Mugalian: "I'm not sure I heard all of that. You mean.. My question was what is the impact to the state? You've got a reduction in state revenue?"

Ropp: "Well, I said, first of all, it's going to depend on

how many people pass away and their inheritance will be determined. The figure that I have on my analysis here is 1.2 million dollars."

Mugalian: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? You ready for the question? Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question? Isn't there another Bill floating around somewhere with a similar Amendment on it that earmarks some of those funds?"

Ropp: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear you."

Kane: "Isn't there another Bill floating around somewhere with a similar Amendment on it that earmarks some of those funds?"

Ropp: "I'm not aware of it. There might be, but I don't know."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to oppose concurrence of Amendment #2 to House Bill 305 (sic). This Bill will cost ..this Amendment I should say, will cost the state approximately 1.2 million dollars per year. And for what? Nothing. We are not imposing any additional burden or responsibility upon the counties with respect to the collection of inheritance tax. And I would suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that we ought to be going in the opposite direction. The inheritance tax is a state tax. It ought to be collected by the State Government. And it could be done either by the Attorney General's Office, which is involved in the auditing of inheritance tax returns, or done by the

State Treasurer's Office, which is involved in the inspection of safe deposit boxes and also with respect to the ultimate receipt and the issuance of receipts for the payment of the taxes. I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that we ought to vote 'no' on Amendment #2. We are giving 1.2 million dollars to the counties for nothing and also Mr. Speaker, it's going in the wrong direction. We ought to eliminate the counties function entirely with respect to the collection of inheritance tax. I urge a 'nay' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Ropp to close."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 30..3505. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 111 'aye' and 38 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3505. 3544? It's on page nine. 3544, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3544 simply raises the permissive amount that the County Board in Cook County may pay to its clerk of the Circuit Court from \$42,000 to \$55,000. I would move for concurrence in Amendment.. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3544, which was basically a Bill to increase in keeping with inflation

the fees of the Probate Court."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment...Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes. Does this increase the minimum salaries with regard to circuit clerks in downstate?"

Getty: "No."

Brummer: "Does it affect the fees of ..probate fees downstate?"

Getty: "No."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3544. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 95 'aye' and 33 'no'. And the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3544. 3050. Representative Sumner. On page five. Simms, pardon me. Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3, the total reduction in the three Amendments is a reduction of \$71,000 from the request by the ..as it left the House of Representatives. The reductions are in personal services and also in contractual services. Amendment #2 combines out-patient methadone maintenance and out-patient drug free grants. There is just a change in the lines, no dollar change. Amendment #3 restored \$29,500. So, there is a net reduction of \$71,000 and I would move to concur in Amendments .. Senate

Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 3050."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Simms: "Yes."

Darrow: "Representative Simms, was Senate Amendment #2 offered by Senator Grotberg? Is that what we're talking about?"

Simms: "Senator Grotberg offered..."

Darrow: "...For out-patient drug free services?"

Simms: "Yes. Senator Grotberg offered Amendment #2."

Darrow: "According to our analysis, that Amendment is technically incorrect. Are you aware of that?"

Simms: "Our staff checked that information with your staff and I think there was an error. The Amendment is technically correct, I understand..."

Darrow: "They indicate that they've corrected that. Thank you."

Simms: "I would move for concurrence then on the Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Redmond: "You ready for the question? That was concur, wasn't it, Representative?"

Simms: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 (sic) to House Bill 3050?' 1, 2 and 3. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 141 'aye' and 1 'no'. And the motion carried. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 on House Bill 3050. 3054, Representative Peters. Page six."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker, I would move to concur

in Senate Amendment 1 and Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3054. The Bill as it originally left the House was a supplementary appropriation to the Department of Children and Family Services in the amount of approximately 5.6 million dollars. Senate Amendment 1 made some changes and transfers within that same amount of money and increased the amounts of money to be used by the Department for adoption services. Senate Amendment #2 was added in order to cover the additional expenses by the passage of House Bill 426 in the amount of 4.3 million dollars, which is the increase that would be required for the group insurance legislation passed, which would increase the state's share of payments for the dependent insurance from seven to fourteen dollars. I would move concurrence in Amendments #1 and 2. I'm not sure what will happen ultimately, but I would move for the concurrence, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 in House Bill 3054. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 126 'aye' and 5 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3054. 3536. 3536, on page eight. 3536, Representative Chapman. Huh? I called Walsh, but he wasn't in the chamber at the time. Is Walsh back? Have a nice lunch? Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 3536 makes technical changes in the Illinois Statutes

replacing references to the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Illinois Office of Education to State Board of Education ...or Superintendent of Education. House Sponsor and staff and the State Board of Ed, Legislative Reference Bureau, the Problems Commission, House and Republican... House Democratic and Republican staff worked out the Bill and the two Amendments that were offered and adopted in the Senate represent their efforts. I move to concur with Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3536."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes. Representative Chapman, would you yield to a question please?"

Chapman: "Surely, Sir."

Stuffle: "Are there any changes in the Senate actions that would transfer powers that now reside with the Superintendent to the Board?"

Chapman: "What..What we did, Mr. Stuffle, is whenever we found an issue that could not easily be resolved, we set it aside to be dealt with at a later date. So there are many.. I'm not sure what you're ..you're referring to. But where there are specific kinds of changes, for example, the Membership of the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction on various Boards, and we could not resolve amicably whether that delegation should be to the Superintendent of Public ...the Superintendent of Education or the State Board of Education, we just removed that from the Bill. And that's what Amendment #1 and Amendment #2 represent. So, we intend and we believe we have taken everything controversial out of the Bill to be resolved at a

further time."

Stuffle: "You didn't take away any powers? You just attempted to clarify who had the authority?"

Chapman: "That's right, Sir."

Stuffle: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Lady's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3536. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 105 'aye' and 1 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3536. 3229. Representative Collins, wait a minute. I'm wrong on that. That's not printed and not ready yet so...Well, that's not my information. Are they distributed, printed and distributed? He indicates that it's not..the page..Representative Getty, for what purpose do you arise?"

Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think it would probably be wiser at this point to take this Bill out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 3193.

Representative Walsh. 3193. On page six. Representative Walsh, W., R. LaGrange Park."

Walsh: "W. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3193. What Senate Amendment #1 does is provide that in historical areas or districts where a municipality deprives an owner of a permit for modification or demolition, a court of competent jurisdiction must determine what, if any,

loss each individual applicant sustains. I move for concurrence, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? He moved to concur in all Amendments. 1, 2 and 3, is that correct, Mr. Walsh? The Gentleman moves to concur in Amendment 1 to House Bill 3193. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker, is this a record vote for Bill Walsh?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's his first Bill. On this question there's 154 'aye' and 1 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1. What is your motion with respect to 2 and 3?"

Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendments 2 and 3."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 3193. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried and the House nonconcur in Amendments 2 and 3. The camera lights are out now for a while. 81...891, Representative Sandquist? Do you want that one? Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of an announcement. May I have your attention for just a minute? Representative Leverenz, who's Chairman of the Legislative Audit Commission, and I am Vice-Chairman of that Commission, put out a letter this morning with some information along with it. It concerns the unwillingness of the Supreme Court at this time to let the Auditor General audit the accounts ..funds that

are held by the Supreme Court. Since the Auditor General is responsible to the General Assembly, we thought that every Member of the General Assembly ought to know what was going on in this area and the refusal of court to have this audit. So, I hope when you get this material, you will take a look at it. It indicates the sequence of events and I think you ought to take notice of it and be apprised of it. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed, are you ready with 3433? Out of the record. 3425. Reilly? We ready on that one? Out of the record. What's your number? 3153. On page six. Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 3 and 4 to House Bill 3153."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur (sic).. nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 3 and 4 to House Bill 3153. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House nonconcur's in Amendments 1, 3 and 4. Representative Leverenz, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Cullerton, for what purpose do you arise?"

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Mr. Speaker, I'm a little upset as to the decision that was made by the Conference of Women Legislators. They just told me that I'm not going to be eligible to compete in the Mr. Wonderful Contest. I don't think it's a very fair.. I don't think it's a

very fair ruling. You can win the World Series year after year. You can win the SuperBowl year after year. And just because we can't have the same Miss America every year, I don't feel I should be discriminated against and perhaps someone else could come to my defense. However, if the decision is going to remain, perhaps that will open up the field and we'll have more people competing for the title."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, didn't Representative Cullerton get married since last year?"

Cullerton: "Yes, that's true."

Skinner: "Well, that certainly makes you ineligible."

Cullerton: "Did you get divorced since last year?"

Skinner: "Definitely not."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer told me she wanted to save you an embarrassment. Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Yes, on behalf of the Conference of Women Legislators, I'd like to respond. Since every other contestant last year except Representative Cullerton came in second, that means every one of those people is eligible for first place this year. So just come back in if you were in there last year. If you weren't, come on in anyway."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think Representative Cullerton should be allowed to participate. I believe it's fair."

Cullerton: "Well, frankly..."

Speaker Redmond: "How did you vote on equal rights? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, actually this is an amateur contest. And John Cullerton told me, in fact that's why I never give impersonations anyone.. Remember last year over here in the House, I didn't give impersonations. I had another skit. But John Cullerton told me he was paid once to perform. So John, you are no longer an amateur. You are a professional and that's why you cannot compete. You know, we are like an Olympic ..you know event. We are all amateurs. In fact, I'm going to get in it this year and I think to entice others to come to this Mr. Wonderful Contest, maybe to get some more of you to perform in the contest, John is going to have some impersonations and just...Although he's also going to do it on the floor I guess next Monday. I think just to try to promote the thing Sunday, he ought to give us one that we haven't heard. I don't know. Has anybody heard Ethyl May Alexander? Why don't you do Ethyl for us and then Sunday..."

Cullerton: "Well... Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I don't know if anybody here hasn't purchased a ticket yet, but it's my understanding that Tim Johnson and Eugenia Chapman are going to compete in the swimming race. And anybody who's not willing to pay \$25.00 to see Eugenia Chapman in a bathing suit is crazy."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I still have these tickets. When Mr. Johnson stated that we were going to have a race, I suggested the tickets were for

sale and so far I haven't sold any tickets at all. So, I can only assume that this is not the kind of event that's going to draw very much attention. So, I'm really pondering whether this is a worthwhile activity for Mr. Johnson and I to spend time on. I'm wondering if, since there's nobody who wants to buy any tickets, if ..if we'd better stick to examining Mr. Johnson's beauty rather than his swimming talents."

Matijevich: "You shouldn't have challenged his breast stroke. You know you're way over...you won already."

~~Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."~~

Bowman: "I just want to suggest to Representative Chapman that Representative Vinson has her Bill on Second Reading that.. and he might be interested in buying a few tickets to the Mr. Wonderful Contest. In fact, he might be interested in buying an awful lot of tickets to the Mr. Wonderful Contest. So I just thought I would make a suggestion to Representative Chapman. Maybe she could sell out this afternoon."

~~Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."~~

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I wanted to inform the Lady from Arlington Heights and the House that there is a Lady's bathing suit hanging in the ladies room in the House chamber right now and perhaps she could try it on here and then we wouldn't have to spend the money to go see her in it."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute now. We've got to get back to serious business here. We have an Agreed Resolution. Chalkie, will you give the Clerk the Agreed Resolution? Will you read the Resolution, Mr.

Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 142, Redmond...I've been set up."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Resol...Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Where's the Assistant Clerk?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, due to the importance of this Resolution, I wish we could have some order. I really want to hear this Resolution read."

Speaker Redmond: "Come on, Mr. Clerk. It's very important. We can't continue any of the business of this House...Read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "I think it needs to be printed and distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman?"

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Just to clarify this Resolution before the Membership, it commends the Clerk for the fine job that he has done and the outstanding pronunciations and enunciations of some rather obtrusive words in the English language. And therefore, I think it is only fitting and appropriate that he read it as opposed to anyone else."

Speaker Redmond: "Spontaneous demand that you read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk. Don't bother the Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Whereas it is appropo to cogitate during the closing 'instantenant' of a laborious apoplectic 'anachronya'...."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think you get an idea of the tenet of the Resolution. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Let Zeke Giorgi read it."

Speaker Redmond: "That's a good idea. Representative

Giorgi, you normally do that. Will you read the Resolution? Representative Giorgi. Giorgi, read the Resolution."

Giorgi: "Incomprehensible mumbling."

Ewell: "Committee on behalf of Giorgi will read the Resolution."

'Committee': "Assemblage rallery."

Ewell: "Move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "All those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution... Suitable copy will be presented to the Clerk. 3475. Wait a minute now. Message from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate, by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bills 934, 1480, 1457, 1404, 1505, 1510, 1621, 1632, 1613, 1616, 1726, 1728, 1752, 1768, 1812, 1828 and 2001. Action taken by the Senate June 27th, 1980, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. On page four of the Calendar on concurrence is House Bill 2723. Representative Daniels is by his seat, would like to call that Bill. It's an important tax reform measure and job stimulus Bill and I sure wish we could go to that and get that passed out while we have got everybody around and in a relaxed mood."

Speaker Redmond: "We called 3475. Representative White?"

White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I

lost my checkbook and if anyone finds it I would very much appreciate them returning it to me. A black checkbook."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters on 3475."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3475. The Bill may recall we had a bit of discussion on this earlier today. The legislation was initially introduced in order to take care of a problem which the Federal Government felt that we had in our statutes regarding child abuse and neglect. A number of Members of the House thought that the government was going too far. We passed a Bill out that went into the Senate. Since that time negotiations went on between Members of the Senate, Department of Children and Family Services. A number of the Legislators who were involved...The Senate Amendment #1 now changes the definition and puts in a definition which is agreeable to the Department, to the people involved and basically what that does...What the Amendment says is rather than just talking about physical or mental injury, impairment of physical or emotional health, the Amendment now reads as part of child abuse, 'inflicts or causes to be inflicted or allows to be inflicted upon such child physical injury by other than accidental means which causes death, disfigurement, impairment of physical or emotional health or loss of impairment of any bodily function and B, creates a substantial risk of physical injury to such child by other than accidental means which would be likely to cause death, disfigurement,

impairment of physical or emotional health or loss impairment of bodily functions'. That would appear to satisfy all persons involved and I would move adoption ...er..concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3475."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur...in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3475. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 139 'aye' and 2 'no' and the House does concur in ~~Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3475. Representative~~ Reilly, 'aye'. 3487. McPike?"

Reilly: "Hold that, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Hold at the request of the Sponsor. 3359. Representative Burnidge."

Burnidge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to move to concur with ~~Senate Amendment 1 and 3 to House Bill 3359. Senate~~ Amendment #1 would authorize the Department of Conservation, and I want you to remember that's authorize, not mandate, authorize them to trade properties a piece of which they own with a piece privately owned. There will be a fair market value appraisal done on it. They are only authorized to do so if the market value of the property they are giving away does not ..is not less than what they are receiving. Senate Amendment #3 would increase from one acre to three acres the size of a piece of property owned by a Park District which may be sold. Under the present law they can only sell one acre.

They would have to petition the Circuit Court and follow the public hearing with notice in a newspaper. They could then proceed. I would ask for a favorable vote. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Burnidge, what was your motion? To concur?"

Burnidge: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 3359. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 140 'aye' and 3 'no'. And the House does concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 3359. Stand at ease for about five minutes till I reconnoiter here. Representative Darrow?"

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, before we do that could we call ..."

Speaker Redmond: "...276?"

Darrow: "276 for nonconcurrency?"

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. 276. Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 276. What this is is the sales tax Bill. The Amendment that was placed on in the Senate would be the categorical tax relief measure. It's my understanding with the Senate Sponsor of the other sales tax Bill, that they are nonconcurring or have nonconcluded with our Amendment on that Bill. And we would ask to now nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the

Gentleman's motion to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 276. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried and the House nonconcur. We'll be at ease for about five minutes till I find out where I am."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental.. Supplemental Calendar #1 is being distributed."

Unknown: "Score is tied 2 to 2. (baseball)."

Speaker Redmond: "House will be back in order. On page seven House Bill 3365. Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. At this time I would like to nonconcur on Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 3365."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Meyer: "Representative Getty, I just got through speaking with Speaker Redmond."

Getty: "And your motion is to..."

Meyer: "To nonconcur. If you want to I can take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "What is the motion?"

Meyer: "To nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 3365. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 3365. 3366. Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to concur in Senate Amendment to House Bill 3566 (sic). House Bill

3566 (sic) amends the Pollution Control Financing Act.
Senate Amendment #1 describes the ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is it 3366? Is that the one you're talking about?"

Meyer: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Meyer: "This Bill amends the Pollution Control Financing Act and it would permit the financing of recycling and recovery devices not ... In the Amendment it said that land and the buildings could not be financed. That's the only difference. You can finance the device, but not the land and buildings."

Speaker Redmond: "What was your motion?"

Meyer: "To concur in Senate Amendment 2."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3366. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 'aye' and no 'nay'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3366. On the Supplemental Calendar #1, on the Order of Nonconcurrency, appears Senate Bill 934. Representative Pierce. Representative Pierce, 934."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I would move not to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 934. And I would request a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Pierce has moved that the House refuse to recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 934. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House refuses to recede from

Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 934. 1404, Representative Mulcahey. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, can you take this out for just a second? Could we get back to that in a second, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey?"

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I move we nonconcur with Senate Bill 1404 and appoint a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey..."

Mulcahey: "...Do not recede..."

Speaker Redmond: "...Moves that we refuse to recede from Amendment 5 to Senate Bill 1404. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House refuses to recede from Amendment #5. 1457. Pierce. Out of the record? 1480, Hoffman. Representative Gene Hoffman on 1480?"

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House refuse to recede from Amendment #3 and to call for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman ...refuse to recede? Is that correct?"

Hoffman: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1480. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried and the House refuses to recede from Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1480. Senate Bill 1505, Representative Stanley. Stanley? Stanley? Out of the record. 1510, Representative Collins? Representative Collins? Take it out of the record. 3369. On the Order of Concurrence, Representative

Sandquist. 3369. Supplemental 1? Out of the record. Happy to announce that the winner of the wackier wordies is a freshman Representative from DuPage County, Representative Karpiel, who had but one mistake. Now, Representative Karpiel, I've been led to believe that in the painting 'The Treaty with the Indians' George Rogers Clark that there are a couple of mistakes? Now, would you like a go at the painting and come back and tell us what those mistakes are? Representative Greiman? Representative Collins? Well...On the Order on Supplemental Calendar #1, on the Order of Nonconurrence... Representative Borchers, I don't want you to tell us. Now, you be quiet."

Borchers: "No, I'm not going to tell you. I just want to tell it to Representative Karpiel, is he (sic) wants me to look at that picture and this is serious, I will help him (sic) on seeing if there's any mistakes in relation to the Indians in the picture."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins? 157? Representative Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1510. This..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten, for what purpose do you arise?"

Collins: "Not to recede.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten?"

Totten: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. Rule 68-D says that Supplemental Calendars between June 16th and June 27th must be on our desks for three hours before we can take them up."

Speaker Redmond: "Not for nonconurrence... final action."

Totten: "Concurrences and Conference Committee reports."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we have this as nonconcurrency so..."

Totten: "No, but it's..."

Speaker Redmond: "It's concurrence and nonconcurrency...are they synonymous?.....On on the Order of Nonconcurrency...The House has voted on that Amendment and we refuse...we either refuse to recede or we recede. Then he's refusing to recede, so it's not final action. We've got to get it in the mill these last two or three days. So, the process has always been that that prohibition does not apply to anything that...except where there's final action. This is not final action."

Totten: "Then we're not going to take up any concurrences or Conference Committee reports within the rules I understand?"

Speaker Redmond: "We always obey the rules, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Somebody has to watch the store though."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, and we're in good hands when you're watching. Representative Collins moves that we refuse to recede from Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1510. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House refuses to recede from Amendment #4. Representative Greiman?"

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker, I had a request for a Conference by Members of the Democratic side and would appreciate appropriate time being set aside at this point or at the leisure of the Chair for a Conference in room 114. I don't know how long we'll take. Probably not too

long."

Speaker Redmond: "Republicans need one? How long would you say, Mr. Greiman?"

Greiman: "I was thinking of..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that we determine if Mr. Ryan would like a caucus also before we..."

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Madigan: "I suggest that we determine if Mr. Ryan would also like a caucus before we move off the floor. We might be able to accomodate both sides at the same time."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. - We'll hold for five minutes. On the back of Supplemental Calendar #1 appears 1613, Representative Polk. Out of the record. 1616, McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments...not recede."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1616. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House refuses to recede from Amendment #1. 1621, Epton."

Epton: "I thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1621 was the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Insurance. The House added with the consent of the Majority and minority \$13,000 to the appropriation. But the Senate, in their great wisdom, decided that \$13,000 was much too much to add and although we

kissed their ring and other parts of their anatomy, at this time, we respectfully request that we recede from Amendment..."

Speaker Redmond: "What was it? To do what?"

Epton: "Recede from Amendment #1, House Amendment which added \$13,000."

Speaker Redmond: "Then your motion is that the House recede from Amendment 1, is that correct?"

Epton: "That's correct, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? This is final action. The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1621. Representative Totten. Representative Totten objects."

Totten: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Totten: "If this is final action then we are violating that rule with the three hour notice. Mr. Speaker, I don't think there are any objections, in this case. We could suspend the rules to take the Bill which..."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian reminds me that Rule 68-D says before being taken up or voted upon, Conference Committee reports and Senate Amendments on the Order of Concurrence shall be reproduced and distributed. This is a House Amendment. This is not a Senate Amendment. This Bill has been considered by the House. The reason for the prohibition on the other one is it would be new material that had never been before the House before. But this Amendment has been before the House. The Parliamentarian advises me that that prohibition, that hourly prohibition, does not

apply to a House Amendment on a Senate Bill. Representative Epton."

Epton: "I might add that this on the House floor for three days but we deliberately kept it off Representative Totten's desk because we wanted to see if he was alert. He is naturally watching the proceedings carefully and I think that he's indicated to me that he personally will provide the \$13,000 to the Department of Insurance so I'm sure he doesn't mind if we go ahead anyhow."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1621. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 131 'aye' and 4 'no' and the House .. and the motion carried and the House recedes from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1621. 1613, Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I move we nonconcur on Amendment #1 of Senate Bill 1613..ask to recede.."

Speaker Redmond: "You..."

Polk: "To recede."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk moves to recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1613. Those in favor"

Polk: "Refuse to recede.."

Speaker Redmond: "Refuse to recede..You want a Conference?"

Polk: "Yes please."

Speaker Redmond: "The question..The question's on the Gentleman's motion that we refuse to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1613. Those in favor say

'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried and the House refuses to recede and a Conference Committee is requested. 1632, Ralph Dunn. Representative Ralph Dunn in his seat? Out of the record. 1726, Representative Mautino? Is he in his seat? 1726. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, I would like to have a Conference Committee on 1726, have one appointed. We're not receding from that Amendment.."

Speaker Redmond: "What are you... What's your motion with respect to the Amendments 1, 2, 5 and 6? They move to refuse to recede?"

Mautino: "Refuse to recede, yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendments 1, 2, 5 and 6 from Senate Bill 1726 and request a Conference Committee. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the motion carried and the House refuses to recede from Amendments 1, 2, 5 and 6 to Senate Bill 1726. 1728. Representative Watson. Out of the record. 1752, Representative Telcser on the floor? Out of the record. 1760, Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House refuse to recede from Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1760 and I ask for the appointment of a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1760. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried and the House

refuses to recede from Amendment 2 and a Conference Committee is requested. 1812. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, I refuse to recede from Amendments 11 and 12 to Senate Bill 1812."

Speaker Redmond: "What was your motion, Representative Barnes? Representative Barnes moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendments 11 and 12 to Senate Bill 1812. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House refuses to recede from Amendments 11 and 12 and a Conference Committee will be requested. 1828. Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to refuse to recede from Amendments 4 and 7 of Senate Bill 1828 and ask for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keane moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendments 4 and 7?"

Keane: "That's correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J.J. Wolf. J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "I'm just having a little trouble finding some of these. I wonder if ..if the Sponsor could..."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of Supplemental Calendar #1, on the reverse side."

Wolf: "But it also might be helpful if the Sponsors would ask.. would explain briefly why we should recede from..."

Keane: "I'd be happy to. The Amendment #4 was a change that I put on. It was my Amendment to the Bill. It changed the effective date of the Bill. Amendment #7 was Representative Vinson's Amendment and I would

yield to him if he's here. Okay?"

Wolf: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keane moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendment 4 and 7 to Senate Bill 1828. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried and the House refuses to recede from Amendments 4 and 7 to Senate Bill 1828. And a Conference Committee will be requested. Representative... 1752? Telcser? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 on Senate Bill 1752 and request a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "What was the motion, Representative?"

Telcser: "I refuse to recede..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1752. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The House refuses to recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1752 and a Conference Committee has been requested. 2000. Representative 'Broom'. Out of the record. 2001. 2001 request that it be taken out of the record. Marovitz on the floor? House Bill 1407."

Marovitz: "Out of the record please. I thought you were going to call..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I'll call... You've got a million of them. 3271? 3271, Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I believe the Board is wrong. I would move that the House do concur with Senate Amendment, I believe, it's Senate

Amendment 1.."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Amendment 1."

Marovitz: "To House Bill 3271."

Speaker Redmond: "You move to concur?"

Marovitz: "Move to concur, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels? Daniels on the motion?"

Daniels: "No, I was just wondering when you're going to call 2723.."

Speaker Redmond: "In due course. The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3271. Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes. I wonder if the Sponsor would explain what the Senate Amendment does."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. In answering the Gentleman's question, what this does, it corrects a question that was raised in the Senate about the nature of the fire. This is part of the arson package and so that the information would be disclosed only when the fire investigator has reason to believe that the damage or destruction was caused by other than accidental means. Representative Williamson raised a question about a skillet fire or something like that and this answers that particular question. It also raises from five percent to fifteen percent the interest held by an individual in a corporation who must disclose the information."

Brummer: "Why do you include in there the fire ..if title is held by a fire inspector? What is unique about a fire inspector as opposed to a corporation?"

Marovitz: "There are some .. That is where the information is received, in some counties. All this has to do is with the inspection of a fire suspected of being arson."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "The Bill, as we passed it, provided that if an individual did not disclose information to a fire inspector there would be a fine of \$100.00 per day. As I understand the Senate Amendment #1, that you wish us to concur with, that sanction is deleted. Aren't you removing a substantial part of the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Representative, reading from page two, line twelve, 'notwithstanding any exculpatory provision in the trust instrument or management agreement, a trustee or managing agent, or any other person who violates this Act shall be guilty of a petty offense and fined \$100.00 for each day such violations'. That is still ..remains in the law."

Schlickman: "I'm not talking about disclosing a beneficial interest. I'm talking about an inquiry that is made by a fire inspector who has reason to believe that the fire was caused other than by accidental means. And if the individual does not respond according to the Bill, as we passed it, that would be a violation and subject to the fine..."

Marovitz: "Okay.. You're referring to Sections 9 through 11 on..."

Schlickman: "...And this Amendment would remove that sanction for disclosure."

Marovitz: "Senator Netsch came to me and she was handling the Bill in the Senate. The Reference Bureau in drafting this legislation made an error which Senator Netsch did not catch and deleted that part. Certainly, it could be solved by nonconcurring and going to Conference, putting it back in which is fine.. actually it's preferable with me. I would rather see that provision in. I would agree with you. Our only question was would that cause any problems and we thought that we would pass this way and run with it again with corrective legislation in January. If it your wish that we put this in Conference ... put the applicable provision back in which in fact should be in.. I agree with you and I concur.."

Schlickman: "I would suggest we nonconcur."

Marovitz: "Fine. Fine."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Marovitz: "Okay. I have no problem with that. Mr. Speaker, I would then move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further?"

Marovitz: "I'll move to nonconcur, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3271. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3271. 3272. Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, could you take this out of the record just for a moment and then we'll come back to it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Don't you run away now. I think .. Have you got another one on here?"

Marovitz: "I promise I won't run away, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Marovitz: "I said, I promise I won't run away."

Speaker Redmond: "How about 3333?"

Marovitz: "How about it?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's yours. On page seven. Two down from the one we just took out of the record."

Marovitz: "Beginning to follow, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Mr.

Speaker. I would move the House do concur with House... with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3333.

What it does it mandates that the Director of Insurance, and this is concurred with by the Director

of Insurance and the insurance industry, that the

Director of Insurance form a task force to review the fair plan and force consideration of methodology to

remove the profit motive from the crime of arson. We

passed legislation here. The Senate felt that there

was some problems with that legislation. And felt

that a task force should be set up. The task force is

going to be comprised of Members of the insurance

industry, the public, the General Assembly. They will

hold public hearings. They will promulgate rules to

adopt policy forms and endorsements for the fair plan

and for methods to remove the profit motive from the

crime of arson and I would ask for an affirmative Roll

Call in concurring with Senate Amendment #1 to House

Bill 3333."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Marovitz moves to concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3333. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no...
.....1407 Representative Marovitz. On page four."

Marovitz: "Promised you I wouldn't."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 'aye' and 1 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3333.."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker on 1407 I gave my word to a large segment of the Democratic side of the aisle that I would hold that Bill pending a certain agreement that we'd reach...the formula agreement..."

Speaker Redmond: "...All right. Okay. We'll take it out of the record."

Marovitz: "Take it out of the record.."

Speaker Redmond: "1522, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1522. Senate Amendment 1 and 2 provides that it specifically adds to the prevailing wage cause those employment in service contracts for janitorial, for security services, and window washing and Amendment #2 provides that none of the prevailing wage wouldbe applicable to vocational rehabilitation projects. I move to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Is this an Amendment to the Purchasing Act?"

Hanahan: "I didn't hear the Gentleman."

Skinner: "Is this an Amendment to the Purchasing Act?"

Hanahan: "Yes. This is an Amendment on prevailing wage."

Skinner: "Then may I suggest there is a good reason for nonconcurring? As you may or may not have known, the Attorney General has ruled that the anti-bribery statutes sponsored by Representative Stearney in 1975 after 'Lester Crown', the president of Material Service admitted using money, corporate funds, to bribe Members of this General Assembly, has been construed very narrowly. In fact, it's been construed so narrowly that the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission is going to be able to continue renting space from Henry Crown and company which owns the Material Service building in which Material Services... the company is also located. The president of the Henry..of Henry Crown Company is Lester Crown. Now, that means that the same man who admitted under oath, under a grant of total immunity from the U. S. attorney at the time, is going to continue receiving money, state tax dollars, because he happens to be president of a second corporation. Apparently, one gets to bribe state officials once per corporation. If you bribe a state corporation under the name of Material Service, Material Service can't do business directly with the state. But if you have another corporation whose president is the same Lester Crown, you can still do business with State Government. In addition, Material Service is now doing business with the state department of Transportation which Lord knows, will do business with almost anyone. Not as a direct contractor however, but through a subterfuge of supplying concrete and

gravel to the joint venture which is now repaving the Edens Expressway. This is the only vehicle that has been found that amends the Purchasing Act which could be amended to prohibit companies like Material Services' presidents, whose officers have admitted under oath having bribed Members of the General Assembly, or other state officials, from doing business with state.. with the State of Illinois. Because this is the only vehicle which exists, I would urge the General Assembly not to concur with the Amendments. And to send it to Conference Committee in the hope that the Conference Committee Members may be sensitized to a higher level of ethics than apparently exists in the Attorney General's Office, at least in the opinion writing division. I would ask for a negative vote and ask for the appointment of a Conference Committee in the hope that we might be able to plug this loophole which is absolutely large enough to drive a cement mixing truck through which was passed after this bribe was paid."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes. Will the Sponsor yield? I'm not sure I understand the ..the legislation, proposed legislation, completely. A concern that I have is a practice which exists in our area, is that the Mental Health Workshop, the opportunity centers, provide services to some state entities. Are they specifically excluded from the provisions of prevailing wages under this?"

Hanahan: "Yes, that's the reason why I suggest a 'yes' vote

so that they would be specifically exempt. That was the Senate Amendment."

Brummer: "Which Senate Amendment?"

Hanahan: "I think Amendment 2."

Brummer: "Well, that only deals with janitorial cleaning services according to the Synopsis, janitorial cleaning services, window cleaning services and security services. Some of these..."

Hanahan: "That would be provided for from a vocational rehabilitation center."

Brummer: "Yes, but some of these services that are provided by the...the opportunity centers are items that are not janitorial, or not window cleaning or security services, which appear to be the exclusions provided in Senate Amendment #2."

Hanahan: "Amendment #2 adds language stating that this Section does not apply to vocational programs of training for physically or mentally handicapped persons. So those opportunity centers will be specifically exempt so that they can continue to provide the services at the reduced rates and not be in conflict with the prevailing wage."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield? Would you explain exactly who is going to be now included under the Prevailing Wage Act under this...this Bill?"

Hanahan: "Under this Bill, the inclusion that the Bill has already passed the House and Senate, was the inclusion of those security personnel, janitorial service personnel and security personnel. They would now be

covered by the Prevailing Wage Act and the Purchasing Act of the state employment."

Birkinbine: "Isn't it true that the Prevailing Wage Act was set up primarily for construction?"

Hanahan: "No. We..In the Prevailing Wage Act we include barbers, bakers, machinists, many other..There's 19 essential organizational type crafts that would be covered by prevailing wage that have nothing to do with construction. It's not a unique position."

Birkinbine: "How would the prevailing wage be determined?"

Hanahan: "Department of Labor has a regular statutory provision to determine in any given county what the existing prevailing wage and in these instances of employment are. That's already a matter of record by the Department of Labor."

Birkinbine: "Right. Are you familiar with it? Say, for example, for a window washer, how are we going to know what that window washer.. How are they going to determine what.."

Hanahan: "They determine it by a specific formula that in any given county in the state of 102 counties, they have to have 50% of those people employed at that type of employment..if 50% receive a specific wage, that do that specific work, that would be the prevailing wage. If 30% receive a specific wage, then it triggers in another mechanism that it will not be construed as the prevailing wage, but a lesser wage can be divided in. If it's less than 30% of a given area that is surveyed in a county of.. doing a specific type of employment, then the average of all people working in that county would be used to construe as the average prevailing

wage."

Birkinbine: "Well, wouldn't we be dif..."

Hanahan: "...There's three different techniques that crop out..."

Birkinbine: "Okay. But wouldn't it be saving the state money if instead of going into a prevailing wage system, they simply said, 'We have this work available. What companies wish to provide it?' And find out who can provide it at the cheapest possible level? We're not talking about very complicated work when you're talking about janitorial work or window cleaning. It might very well be that Acme Window Cleaning Company or some area in the state could save the state a great many dollars and do it as well as a company selected by the Department of Labor."

Hanahan: "We debated all that on Third Reading on the floor of the House, if you remember, Representative. And that.. My position prevailed. Right now, we're just asking to ..to actually get more restrictive with the Senate Amendments. If you want to go back and reopen it and not adopt the Senate Amendments and reopen this whole thing for a broader view then you vote 'no'. But if you want to do what you're trying to allege here, that we're going to be more restrictive, then you'd vote 'yes' on adoption of the two Senate Amendments. They were not my Amendments. They are restricting the Bill. But the sense of the House and the Senate is that the philosophy or the concept should be continued and actually broadened to these kinds of employment."

Birkinbine: "Okay. I understand."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker, move the previous question please."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Representative Hanahan to close."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I ask to concur with the Senate Amendments #1 and 2 which do restrict the Bill that has already passed the House handsomely and has passed the Senate with a lot of support. Pete Millers wants to go home. For those who are friends of Pete, would you please get this Amendment concurred so he could go home? Otherwise he's going to have apoplexy out in the hall and if for no other reason, that should be good enough to give an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on Representative Hanahan's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1522. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Conti. Conti?"

Conti: "No, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted before the previous question is moved, on these motions for Concurrences and not to concur, we shouldn't debate the merits of the Bill. We should just vote on the motion. And we are going into the merits of the Bill on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I hoped everybody paid attention to what this Bill does. It could be one of the most costly things that we've done down here. Now, I respect the last Gentleman's opinion who spoke, but nevertheless, this is final

action. We're sending this to the Governor asking him to sign it. Now, just because the Senate Amendment made a horrendously bad Bill slightly less horrendously bad is no reason for us to give it an 'aye' vote. I think you ought to look at it. This puts about everything the state has under the prevailing wage, which means that any type of firm, whether it be minority or whatever, who wants to compete, who happens to have workers who are willing to work in slightly less than what the top rate in the community is, that the state will not be able to contract with them. I certainly urge a 'no' vote, not necessarily to send it to Conference Committee, but hopefully to kill it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish?. Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 100 'aye' and 42 'no'. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Verify."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten has requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Hanahan requests a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees; Abraason. Alexander. Bell. Borchers..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Alexander, 'no'? 'Aye'. I didn't know whether you were going like this or this. Representative Bell, 'aye'. Borchers, 'no'. McMaster, 'no'. Boucek, 'no'. Representative Huskey, 'no'. Macdonald, 'no'. Representative Willer. Willer."

Willer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, change my 'no' to 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "What was...What was your desire? I couldn't hear you."

Miller: "I'm sorry. Change my 'present' vote to 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer, 'yes'. Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Change my 'present' vote to 'no' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey, 'no'. Representative O'Brien, 'aye'. Representative Ruff, 'aye'. Representative Henry, 'aye'. Schlickman, 'no'. Representative Flinn, 'aye'. Representative Gaines, 'aye'. What's the count now, Mr. Clerk? Collins, 'no'. It's now 108 'aye'. Proceed. Representative Totten? Totten?"

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I .. At 108, I guess we can't knock them off. So, I'm going to remove my request for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "You say you renew it or withdraw it?"

Totten: "I remove my request. Remove."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels, for what purpose do you arise?"

Daniels: "I just wanted to say goodbye to Pete Miller."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten has withdrawn his request for a verification. What was the count now? 100 and..108 'aye'. 50 'no'. Representative Ebbesen? 'No'. That's 51 'no'. And the motion carried. And the House concurs in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1522. 2351. Called a second time today. Or the third time? Representative Ewing. 2351."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I am going to move to concur in the Senate Amendments to 2351. I do want to.. have everyone in the House to understand that the Senate

Amendment to 2351 is the Administrative Procedures Act Veto Power that has been added to this Bill. And when you vote to concur.. for concurrence, a vote for that would be a vote to approve this legislation and send it to the Governor. Well, I wasn't.. Representative Pullen is enthusiastic for me. However it goes, I'm going to be on the winning side."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Would the Gentleman... What was the Gentleman's here? Was it to concur in this Amendment?"

Ewing: "That's correct."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we've debated this particular issue time and time again and fortunately for the people in the state, the joint committee has been thwarted very time. Now, they're back again for the umpteenth time again trying to give themselves enormous powers over the State Government at the expense of the State Government and the rest of the Legislature. This is the same thing we debated just a few short days ago when the Members... We held, I believe, down to under 80 votes. This Bill gives three-fifths of the Members of the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules the power to object to a rule for any reason whatsoever that they can think of collectively and then upon filing a certified copy, the rule is automatically suspended for 180 days. That can put, of course, a tremendous crimp on State Government. It's undoubtedly unconstitutional. It's not a good idea to give so few of us.. that are I think ten Senators on that Committee, so even the

House Members could be shut out of this awesome decision making power. I think that we should once again kill this idea. And send a message to the Joint Committee that they are like the rest of us. They're not entitled to have a Legislature.. Legislature all of their own whereby they can play fast and loose with some of the things that we have done here as a Body and they the ..the bureaucrats and State Government does. There's an absolute lack of standards, procedural or substantive, in here. It violates separation of powers. It'll leave this small group prey to the special interests. I would suggest that if there ever was an environmental record vote, this ought to be it. I would urge you not to support the motion for concurrence. Let's nonconcur with this atrocious Amendment and then let it.. the Bill... Let the Senate recede from it and then send this Bill to the Governor the way it was which wasn't all that bad, as I see it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I was trying to file., follow Representative Leinenweber's logic and, you know, I'm kind of puzzled. I know in many instances a lawyer fresh out of law school goes into court on a Monday morning and talks the Judge into an injunction, neither one of them knew what was the intention of the law, and get an injunction for six months. They have got ten months here. They've been fighting the legislation for ten years and they've decided some bureaucrat has written a law.. interprets the law differently than what they intended. This is a very

good Amendment to this Bill. We should support this concurrence and you ought to explain to me how an attorney can go into court on a Monday morning with a fresh new Judge and a fresh new line and can get an injunction for 180 days, if it's any better than this method."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's seldom that I disagree with my good friend and running mate from Will County. However, in this case I do. I think that this is a very good Amendment. I think it's one that's necessary to insure the slowing down of the proliferation of bad regulations in State Government. As to it being unconstitutional, I'm not so sure that's true at all. What the Amendment does and what Representative Leinenweber left out of his attack on the Amendment was that when the.. when the Committee does object to a rule, and it does indeed by a three-fifths vote do so, that rule for 180 days the rule is suspended to provide us the time to address that issue in this General Assembly on that rule. Now, you all know that we all introduce Bills that deal with rectifying by Statute regulations that are oppressive. We have an opportunity to take that within our own grasp at this point and nip it in the bud, not requiring statutory action, but requiring either ratification or denial of the veto by this very Body. It's a very good Amendment. It's an Amendment that restores and bolsters more equality between the branches of government and gives us an opportunity to continue our check and balance on the executive who

tends to its agencies to be oppressive on every citizen of this state. I would urge an 'aye' vote on concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the General Assembly, it's really made me laugh to hear the Legislators who are in favor of this Amendment. When I was Director of the Department of Aging, first of all, we had to have 120 days in which comments could be made. Then we had to submit temporary rules and regulations. During this time, programs were not allowed to be put into effect and who were the ones yelling at me, 'Why aren't we getting our programs?' You're holding up our programs.' All the Legislators over here. Now, if you believe in this Amendment, you're not going to go to all of your Directors and your departments and say to them, 'Why aren't we getting any of our programs out here where I live?' You'd better make it consistent or you're not always going to get it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Breslin: "Question of the Sponsor please."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes. The Sponsor, will you yield to a question?"

Breslin: "Representative Ewing?"

Ewing: "Yes, Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "When we originally talked about this Bill, I thought you had said that you thought you were going to nonconcur on this Amendment. What has made you change your mind?"

Ewing: "When did I talk to you about that?"

Breslin: "Yesterday."

Ewing: "I have a lapse of memory."

Breslin: "Oh, I'm sorry. Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Representative Ewing to close."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this issue has been debated several times in this Body this year. We have passed out other legislation which contains this same provision that has been added as a Senate Amendment. It is a veto power over the bureaucracy which drives so many of our voters and constituents back home absolutely out of their gourd. There are probably legitimate arguments on both sides of this issue and I wait for the decision of this House on whether to concur. And I do move to concur on the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2351. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Vinson, one minute to explain his vote."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would urge 'aye' votes on this proposal. We have discussed two different approaches to dealing with this problem. One was the burden of proof Bill, which let the Committee itself

take all the action. I opposed that. For this to be effective, for this to work, the full House or the full Senate has to act. In my judgment, that's a reasonable legislative approach. This is a good way to control the bureaucracy and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I also stand in support of Representative Ewing's motion. So I might like to say, not all Directors are as conscientious as Representative Josephine Oblinger when she was the Director of Aging. There's a lot of Directors who are trying to build power bases and a lot of people built into the bureaucracy trying to build power bases and this Committee that we have is doing a tremendous job for the people. Many times we pass legislation that has good intent, to do things for the citizens of Illinois, only to find out that the agencies changed that intent around and come up with their own rules and regulations. And I think that this legislation is needed to correct that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am a Member of the Joint Committee and I serve on the Committee. I think it's a valuable Committee. But I don't believe that the Committee should be clothed with that kind of power, a power which is even beyond the power of the 89 votes in this Body. Because that power, those few people, those few Members, can not what our intent was, but what their intent was, how they see the law."

And once a Bill leaves this House, it has no special intent. We have no special knowledge about that Bill. And so it is that we are ..we are giving to those people a power that we wouldn't give to Members of our own ..of our own subcommittees. I've been a Member of that Committee and I've been lobbied. It's a new form of lobbying now. Lobby the special...the Joint Committee. Total lobby here, don't lobby there. Lobby the special Committee. And that's where the lobbying is beginning to take place. And, by the way, on substantive issues, not on the issues of procedure, ~~not on the issues what they should be considering, but~~ on the substantive issues, on the wisdom of those issues. And that's what's wrong with this power grab. And it is indeed a power grab. I've made this speech twelve times now. I said we'll keep on making it. And we'll have recordings of Leinenweber and Greiman fighting this Bill to help us keep on winning it. Vote 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if there was ever a Bill as amended ripe for vetoing, or ripe for being struck down for unconstitutionality, it's got to be House Bill 2351 with Senate Amendment #1. Now, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we legitimately delegate authority to administrative agencies to perform a function that we have imposed upon them. And we told them not only what they are to do, but how they are to do it. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, how can we in good conscience impose this responsibility ..impose a procedure for implementing

the responsibility by these administrative agencies on one hand and then on the other hand, by this Amendment give the authority to ten out of 236 Members of the General Assembly the authority to veto what these administrative agencies have been told to do and told how to do it. I urge a resounding 'nay' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the last two speakers who spoke in opposition to Amendment.. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2351 have not told you exactly what's at stake here. They have said and indicated to you that ten Members of this Body can do the things that they have indicated that they can do. This is simply not true. If you read the Amendment, if you read the provisions of House Bill 1503 which is the Amendment we're talking about, you will find that three-fifths of the Members of the Joint Committee have to recommend to veto a proposal and regulation by a state agency. That is not the end. This General Assembly, not the House, not the Senate, but both... both Bodies of this General Assembly have to, by Resolution, by Resolution, say to that agency, 'Yes, we agree with the action taken by the Joint Committee.' Should the Joint Committee is not involved, but only in the initial action taken by not ten Members, but by 16 Members who have an opportunity to vote. Three-fifths of those is ten, that's correct. But each Body, the House and the Senate must by Resolution concur with the actions of this Joint Committee to make the veto power stand. We are doing with this Amendment and

with the provisions of House Bill 1503 giving to every Member of this House, every Member of the Senate the opportunity to say 'no' to the agencies that have promulgated rules and regulations, to not adhere to the intent of the legislation, that we, as Members, elected Members, passed out and sent to the Governor. Every Member of the House, every Member of the Senate has an opportunity after the debate by the Joint Committee and passage of the veto power, by Resolution, give to you, the elected Members of the House, the elected Members of the Senate, the inherent authority to say to any agency, "This is not the intent of the legislation that we passed out." This is your Body. This is your authority. Why give it away? The only responsible vote is to vote 'yes' on the concurrence of Senate Amendment to House Bill 2351. Claim your authority. Vote 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the greatest enemies of our country today is.. state and national, is the bureaucracy of both the state and national. They are our greatest enemies. Let us not join them as the Legislature. Let us do our job and our duty and our responsibilities as Representatives to the people to protect them. To me, it's a very simple proposition. I believe we should be for the people and not for the bureaucracy. Somebody's got to keep that bureaucracy in line. The only people that can do it is ourselves. Well, let's do it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House, I rise in support of this proposition. You must first remember that in most Bills that we do pass, you are giving to the Director of each department rule making power. Second, you must remember that that rule making power has the force and effect of law of a statute passed by this Body. It is completely burdensome on all the public and business. And what we're doing then is allowing one individual, the Director, to decide what that rule should be, what that law should be. Whereas, here in the General Assembly, you have input, you have people speaking in opposition before Committees, and you can debate the matter on both the floor of the House and the Senate. The power should rightly be in the hands of the House. And unfortunately, it could not be in the hands of the entire House because it would be too cumbersome. So what we're doing is setting up an agency and I would rather trust Members of the House than any bureaucrat and any Director who cares not for what we say. And I give you a specific instance, the Director of Insurance came before the House Judiciary Committee on his arson Bills. And he told us that regardless of what the legislation provided for, he had virtual unlimited power to construe any Statute as he saw fit. And my God, Members of this Committee on that side of the aisle, especially Mr. Mugalian, was stood aghast at that proposition, that statement by a Director who says he could do what he wants. If that be the case, and it was mentioned publically, then we should take away that awesome power, that Directors and bureaucrats have and put it in the hands of the

General Assembly. This is undoubtedly a 'yes' vote.
Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone else seeking recognition? Have all voted who wish? Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the same old story. Here we go again, with an agency that was created by this Body to do a very viable, important work and has done good work and has insisted in recent times to overstep its bounds and indulge in a power grab as Representative Greiman has pointed out. Just think that this 16 Member Committee, eight Senators and eight House Members, as Representative Leinenweber pointed out, that means that eight Senators and two Members of this House, could come in and tell an administrative agency, an executive agency that rules and regulations that they have promulgated in keeping with statutes that we have passed...We have passed these laws that gives them the right to promulgate rules and regulations that have the force of law and then ten Legislators can come in and suspend these rules for up to six months. Then these legislators after filing their objections have up to six months to pass a Resolution in the House to rescind these rules and regulations. Now we've got into legislating by Resolution. This is something that we know cannot be done. We know it should not be done. This is another bad move on the part of this Committee that has gone power drunk, power mad, and has overstepped any imagination that anybody dreamed of when they.. when we created this agency. Here is one of the most

viable agencies that we have created gone mad, running amuck and trying to cope with the administration and the executive agencies. They're on the right course. Let's keep them on the right course, but let's not give them this kind of power to really run crazy and run rampant over the rights of the government of this state to.. Let's not embark upon this course any further. Let's put them back in their place. We all resent the executive agencies and their grab for power. Let's not counter it by another power grab on the part of the Legislature. This is bad legislation. Let's refuse to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Slape."

Slape: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Does that mean Mr. Collins is opposed to this motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins? Do you care to respond? Representative Slape asked a question. Would you repeat your question?"

Slape: "Does that mean you're opposed to this, Phil?"

Collins: "Oh, no. I'm very much in favor of it."

Slape: "That's what I thought. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 101 'aye' and 59 'no'. And the motion carries and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2351."

Speaker Redmond: "2845. Representative Henry."

Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2845. If you recall, during the debate I promised that I would ask the Senate to amend the Bill to clarify the purpose and identify what small businessmen and I'm asking for

your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yes, will the Gentleman yield to a question? Will he yield to a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I wanted to know if the Gentleman would yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He says he will."

Leinenweber: "Fine. Is the effect of Senate Amendment 1 to put your Bill, which was the Product Development Corporation out of business and replace it with the...the Small Business Assistance Act House Bill 3594, which we...which we defeated on Third Reading in the House?"

Henry: "I don't think that's totally correct. The New Product Development Corporation is still the main part of that Bill."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Sponsor really did not explain what occurred in the Senate. For some reason they took a mackrel that we killed in the form of House Bill 3594, which is the Small Business Assistance Act and replaced it for a mackrel that we passed called the Product Development Corporation. If I recall correctly the Small Business Assistance Act was designed to encourage people to get into the small grocery business in the State of Illinois and it would provide resources and know how for people to develop small grocery stores. I personally question whether there's a big demand in Illinois for small grocery stores. We were correct,

I think, in just a short time ago when we defeated this Bill in the form of House Bill 3594. I think we ought to defeat this motion to concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything...anyone further? Representative Henry, to close."

Henry: "I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2845? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker, is this part of the shopping center?"

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Gaines, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 90 'aye' and 68 'no'. The request has been made for a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Henry moves a poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Bowman. DiPrima. Donovan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Donovan, 'aye'. Why don't you wait until we reach you on the absentee list. It makes it a lot more orderly. Representative DiPrima, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Greiman. Hoxsey. Katz. Kelly. Klosak. Laurino. Margalus. Piel. Schuneman. Skinner. Taylor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Telcser. Wikoff. No further."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call has been requested. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "What is the count?"

Speaker Redmond: "93 'aye'."

Schlickman: "Would you ask the Members to raise their hands, please, as their names are called?"

Speaker Redmond: "I will. Everybody raise your right hand... Does that satisfy you, Representative Schlickman? Representative Stearney and McAuliffe request leave to be verified. Leave is granted by his appearance. Poll of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abrauson. Alexander. Anderson. Balanoff."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Beatty."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey, 'no'. Proceed. Representative Daniels, 'aye'. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Birchler. Bower. Bradley. Braun. Brummer. Bullock. Capparelli. Capuzi. Christensen. Cullerton. Currie. Daniels. Darrow. Davis. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Gaines. Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman. Hanahan. Hannig. Harris."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel desires to be recorded as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Henry. Huff. Jaffe. Johnson. Emil Jones. Kane. Keane. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Krska. Kulas. Lechowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Madigan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn desires leave to be

verified. Representative Schlickman, okay."

Clerk O'Brien: "Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. McAuliffe. McClain."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to change my red light to a green light, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff, let him be recorded as green. Representative Steele, 'aye'. Anderson, 'no'. Ralph Dunn, 'aye'. E.G. Representative Reilly, 'aye'. Representative Chapman, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the poll of the affirmative. McGrew. McPike. Molloy. Mulcahey. Murphy... Neff. O'Brien. Patrick. Pechous. Piel. Pierce. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Reilly. Richmond. Ronan. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schneider. Schraeder. Sharp. Slape. Stanley. Stearney. Steczo. E.G. Steele. Stuffle. Taylor. Terzich. Van Duynes. Vinson. Vitek. VonBoeckman. White. Williams. Williamson. Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker and Supplemental Calendar #2 is being distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call?"

Schlickman: "What is the count now, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "What is it, Mr. Clerk? 99 'aye'."

Schlickman: "Representative Abramson."

Speaker Redmond: "Abramson. Is Abramson here?"

Schlickman: "No, he's not, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded? Remove him. Representative Ackerman desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Keane. Representative Keane desires to be verified."

Schlickman: "Representative Currie."

Speaker Redmond: "Currie. Sharp, will you step one way or the other? Is Representative Currie here? Representative Garmisa desires to be verified. Is that all right? Representative Currie here? How is she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

Schlickman: "Representative John Dunn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Currie has returned. Put her back on the Roll Call. John Dunn. Proceed. John Dunn. Is he here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schlickman: "Representative Ewell."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Ewell here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schlickman: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Farley here? Farley? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schlickman: "Representative Huff."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Huff back there? Yeah, he's here."

Schlickman: "Representative Johnson."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Johnson here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schlickman: "Representative Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "Gaines here?"

Schlickman: "Kane...like in Kane and Able."

Speaker Redmond: "Kane. Representative Kane here? Put John Dunn back, he's returned. Kane. Is Kane here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him... Put him back on. Wait a minute now. Take him off. Going punchy."

Schlickman: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Satterthwaite here? How is she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

Schlickman: "Representative Schisler."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Schlickman: "Schisler."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Schisler here? He's always here. He's in the back in the middle of the room."

Schlickman: "Representative Terzich."

Speaker Redmond: "Terzich here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schlickman: "Representative Vinson."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson. He's over in this aisle."

Schlickman: "Speaker Redmond."

Speaker Redmond: "He's not here. Representative Gene Hoffman, 'aye'. Gene Hoffman. He desires to be recorded as 'aye'."

Schlickman: "If Representative Hoffman wants it that badly, I withdraw my request for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "The request for a verification has been withdrawn. On this question there are 94 'aye', 65 'no' and the motion prevails. Representative Grossi, 'aye'. 96 'aye', 64 'no' and the motion prevails and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2845. 3250, on page 7. Representative Donovan. Representative Braun, for what purpose do you rise?"

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, an inquiry of the Chair. Weren't we suppose to have a conference about an hour ago?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I was told that the request for the conference has been withdrawn."

Braun: "Oh, it has been withdrawn."

Speaker Redmond: "That's what I was told by Representative Mulcahey and Representative Harris. Now I don't know if... Representative Greiman, what's that? Representative Greiman. That was my understanding, Representative Braun. Now... Representative Greiman, Chairman of the Conference."

Greiman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Members who have requested it have not come to me to withdraw it but I understand that some of their concerns have been expressed. I'm not certain whether they still want it."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, do you want it or you don't want it?"

Greiman: "If you like, Mr. Speaker, maybe I... Mr. Speaker, if you'd like to give me a minute or two I'll check with the Members..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan... Well, let's go to this one, 3250, and then we'll be back. If you want a conference we'll have it. Representative Donovan. Donovan... McClain, don't bother him."

3250."

Donovan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #2 complains...contains a complete and unchanged final Senate versions of Senate Bill 1739 and 1740, as well as the final House versions of House Bill 2962 and House Bill 3250."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to request a Republican conference in Room 118."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we'll do it right after Representative Donovan...well. Representative Donovan. Not when we're right in the middle of something. You wouldn't want to do that."

Representative Donovan...You'll get your conference."

Donovan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1739 is the Senate Comprehensive Workmen's Compensation Reform Bill. This Bill changes many substantive aspects of the Illinois Worker's Compensation Law which has caused employers unfair burdens and expenses, specifically, Senate Bill 1739 does the following.

First, it provides that all decisions by arbitrators must be in writing. The writing decision will be binding precedent. The effect of the provision will increase uniformity in awards. Second, it provides that the employer shall be liable only when..."

Speaker Redmond: "3250, that's the number we're talking about, isn't it, Donovan?"

Donovan: "Yes, it is."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. Proceed, Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Second, it provides..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins, will you be quiet.

Earlier in the day I said that the Democrats wanted a conference and I asked if the Republicans wanted one and nobody said anything. Now, we've gone to this order, we're going to take this order. Representative Donovan. Get better manners, Representative Collins. Proceed, Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Second, it provides that the employer shall be liable only for injuries suffered by workers in the work place. Employers will no longer be required to compensate workers for their previous existing handicaps. Third, it creates a new funding mechanism for subsequent injured funds. Fourth, it provides that all injuries...injured employees will be provided with information of their rights and responsibilities under Worker's Compensation reform. Employers will also have access to this information. Fifth, it eliminates fees which attorneys may charge. Sixth, it provides a means of securing impartial medical testimony in disputed cases. Finally, it requires that employers be informed of all claims and payments made by insurers. The employer may challenge any claim he believes that he has been wrongfully paying. The second Senate Bill contained in this Amendment is Senate Bill 1740, which toughens regulations on insurance companies providing Workmen's Compensation Insurance and expands the insurance options open to employers. Senate Bill 1740 permits the employer to cover death benefits through a separate life insurance policy. It permits several employers to ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Doorkeeper, close the door... Close the door, Doorkeeper. Close and lock the door. Nobody permitted to leave, nobody permitted to leave. Doorkeeper, close the door. Proceed, Mr. Donovan."

Donovan: "...life insurance companies to provide detailed information on losses and expenses. It permits a deductible on medical expenses. It...it requires...it requires the information regarding the method by which rates are calculated be made available to employers. It makes available a new information booklet for worker's compensation and cost controls. House Bill 2962, which is the third part of this Amendment automatically gears future salary increases to arbitrators to...of industrial commissioners."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, why don't we return to the days of Bob Blair when we were fair?"

Donovan: "Present salary levels are not changed by this Bill. House Bill 3250, which is the final part of the Senate Amendment, permits the gathering and compiling and public distribution of aggregate statistics by the Industrial Commission. At present, accident reports submitted to the Industrial Commission must be kept confidential..."

Speaker Redmond: "...question...you're not suppose to interrupt the Gentleman."

Donovan: "The public distribution of detailed action and award information if statistical incident will be..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti has a parliamentary inquiry. What is your inquiry?"

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry. I can't find

the rule right just now but I'd like to suspend the rule where any 10 Members can call for a Conference at any time, at any time, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll have to call a Rules Committee in order to consider that and then present it as an Amendment to the Rules and then we'll do that."

Conti: "At any time...at any time we can call... Ten Members can call a Conference Committee at any time. So, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to suspend those rules right now. If just 10 Members will join me. Ten Members will raise their hand to join we'll have a conference."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't see them."

Conti: "There's 10 Members..."

Speaker Redmond: "No, Winchester's got two hands up and that doesn't count. Representative Donovan, you continue, please."

Donovan: "And finally, public distribution of detailed accidents and award information is...in statistical form will permit closer monitoring of the Industrial Commission's activities. The aggregate information will also create a larger actuarial base for small employers and will permit a pinpointing of job safety problems. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I do not agree with all provisions of 1739 and 40, but I do believe that we need to take some action toward resolving the differences over Workmen's Compensation. Senate Bill 1739 and 40 passed with bipartisan support in the Senate and is praised by business groups as a positive step toward reforming Workmen's Compensation system in Illinois. Mr.

Speaker, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3250."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry. It seems to me that the Speaker is having a little problem keeping order. I wonder if you'd let Representative Ryan take over."

Speaker Redmond: "No, you're in error there. Representative Simms."

Simms: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He says he will."

Simms: "Could you... In your remarks, Representative, could you tell us what in the Bill you don't agree with?"

Donovan: "Well..."

Simms: "With the Amendment...would you tell us what you don't agree."

Donovan: "Well, personally, I would have preferred our Democratic package which is not in that now, of course, but we preferred that the other night, we didn't have the votes to make it, so I guess I'm in error that way. I would have preferred the Democratic task force..."

Simms: "Tell me how the two Bills differ, so we can, you know, distinguish between the Democratic package and the Sente Democratic versions. Could you tell me how those two differ?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, right in the middle of an inquiry,

I'm not too sure that's in order. Is that in order, Mr. Collins? Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Ryan: For the purpose of requesting a Republican Conference in Room 118 for one hour."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, that's a reasonable request. When it comes from the right...from the Minority Leader well then we'll have to honor it. Back here at a quarter till 7:00. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There's been a request for a Democratic caucus in Room 114."

Speaker Redmond: "Back at a quarter till 7:00. Everybody wants to know when we're going to end tonight and quite frankly, I have no idea. We'll be here at 9:00 in the morning. Open the doors and let the troops out."

Recess

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come back to order. Representative Donovan. We're on 3250. Representative Donovan. You have moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1..."

Donovan: "3250."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Amendment 2, is that correct? Ready for the question. Is that correct? Ready for the question. The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 5 'aye' and 47 'no' and the motion fails. Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur with Senate

Amendments..."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to nonconcur. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 2 to 3250. 2905. Representative Anderson. 2905. Anderson, 2905, out of the record. 3045. Representative Oblinger. Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 4 to House Bill 3045."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion?" Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you. Will the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "She will."

Oblinger: "If I can hear you I will."

Bowman: "Well, I'll try and speak up, Representative Oblinger. The question I have is, what did the Senate do to the appropriation for the homemaker services?"

Oblinger: "In Committee... Amendment #1 removed eight jobs, reduced travel and contractual EDP services.

Amendment #2 removed over 8 million dollars for

community service and 50 thousand dollars in

contractual service because of the 50 thousand put in

there for the White House Conference. And Amendment

#4 restored three of the eight jobs that were

abolished in Amendment #1."

Bowman: "Well, if I... I didn't hear you mention anything about the grants for homemaker services. Was that affected at all?"

Oblinger: "I said that Amendment #2 removed over 8 million

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 27, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

dollars in community service funds."

Bowman: "Oh. Amendment #2 removes over 8 million dollars for community service funds. Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the...this motion, because it is... I serve on the House Appropriations II Committee and we took a very good look at that budget and we concluded that the...the additional head count that the agency was seeking to administer this homemaker program was going to be able to handle many more cases than we were going to be funding through the grant, so that the agency... so that the Appropriations II Committee in the House added several million dollars to make sure that the program was going to be adequately funded. And now the Senate turns around and cuts that money out. I think we ought to nonconcur in...in this Senate Amendment #2 and negotiate this. It seems to me that the homemaker program, which is designed to keep our senior citizens out of institutions and to care for them in their home ought to be adequately funded and I don't think that the Senate version of this appropriation does that. I think we should defeat this motion and then nonconcur and send it to conference."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the Committee. I'm really surprised what the Senate has done in regards to the appropriation cutting the department 8 million dollars. This will curtail these programs while they should be expanding. This is one of the most important departments in State Government. This is a department that helps out persons 60 and over and

emphasize on the low income and minority population. These people are all on fixed incomes. I'm against Amendment 2. Removal of 8 million dollars. I make a motion to send it to a Conference Committee for further study. This... This department is one of the essential departments under the Department of Aging there's 32 different services. Legislators don't know exactly what type of service. I know the type of service that this department gives. I had the Department of Aging put on various programs in regard to these seminars and workshops to let the people throughout the State of Illinois know exactly about the services and items that they got coming to them. We conduct the...the workshops in our Chicago Office. This department really gives service, and I make a motion to amend...in regards to Amendment 2 in regards to removing of the 8 million dollars. I think it's a disaster to this Department and I ask to send it to the Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "I really can't understand what all the fuss is about. The... It just so happens that the majority party is so interested over here, is not interested at all over in the Senate. So if we send it back for Conference to nonconcur on this we might lose 8 million more. It's better to keep something than lose it all, you know."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege, just to make the record clear. Another Representative and I were sitting in the legislative dining room in

the basement of this building listening to the squak box waiting for an opportunity to come up and vote...for the House to reorganize and come up and vote on a motion to concur on House Bill 3250. Upon hearing the Bill announced we ran up the stairs and did not make the Roll Call and I'd like the record to show that had I been here I would have voted 'aye' and I'm very dissappointed that such a quick action was taken without full Membership being here to vote on this important issue involving workmen's compensation, the most sensitive and emotional issue of this Session."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, I thought that you announced very clearly from that Speaker's podium that we were to be back here at 7:00 p.m."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you speaking on 3045?"

Huskey: "I'm answering the..."

Speaker Redmond: "He didn't ask you to respond. I think he was spanking me."

Huskey: "Well, I'm trying to protect you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, talk to Collins. Talk to Collins: Representative Hallstrom on 3045."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like you all to pay very close attention to the fact that besides Representative Kornowicz I don't think there's one Member of this General Assembly who has given more of her heart and time to senior citizens than Representative Jo Oblinger. I would like to ask her so that it's strictly clarified that there is an increase from 9

million. Is this true, Representative Oblinger, to 20 million dollars for the community care program and that this 8 million was put on in the Senate above that amount?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Last year there was 9 million for 6 months. This year there's 20 million for 12 months. The Amendment was not put on in the Appropriations Committee where it could be debated. It was put on on the floor. I have done quite a bit of research on this and with the money we now have I understand the Department can serve 2,000 more people. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Oblinger, to close."

Oblinger: "The Department has informed me that at this time, or in March, they had 55 hundred people on the program. They anticipate they've added some since the March 1 date and will be able to add 2,000 more. This is why they had not requested more money."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion to concur in Senate Amendment 4 to House Bill 3045. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Steczo, to explain his vote."

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I wish I'd had an opportunity to ask the Sponsor of this motion a question. She said in her own remarks that

the Department received 9 million dollars for last year for six months times 2 is 18 million dollars. Judging from the increased caseload that we had, judging from the inflation rate that would require more money to fund these programs, certainly 20 million dollars is not enough. But I question 20 million dollars because it was a transfer. I recall the figure 17.6 million dollars, which would actually be a decrease over last year. According to the Governor's own estimates, 67 hundred cases were on the rolls this year in this program. He expected 87 hundred for last...for next year, which would mean over 20 million dollars or 25 million dollars that the House approved in its wisdom. I urge that we disapprove of this concurrence motion and have the Senate recede from that Amendment restoring the original amount."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this appropriation has, what Mr. Kornowicz suggested to you is one of the Amendments in this concurrence motion that is where the Department of Aging is taking care...taking control over the Community Care Program of all your area agencies. All 13 area agencies are against this takeover. All 13 area agencies have tried to communicate with most of the Membership asking you to oppose this concurrence motion. So I would ask you to consider it. This is what you've been receiving your calls on from your area agency directors asking you not to concur with. So I'd ask you to consider that

as you vote. This is... This is the Department of Aging's budget, wherein they're taking over the Community Care Program throughout the State of Illinois from your area agency that has been taking care of those people. So I would ask you to consider that and ask you to hold off your vote at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there's 73 'aye' and 76 'no'. The motion fails. Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "May I ask, Mr. Speaker, do they concur in Amendments 1 and 4?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well the first one... What was the first question?"

Oblinger: "I had recommended concurrence in 1, 2 and 4 and we only voted here on 4. So I wondered in what state 1 and 2 are? 1 and 4 are."

Speaker Redmond: "We voted on all three, the Clerk advises me. Now, Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "I'd like to have a poll of the absentees, please."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has requested a poll of the absentees. Mr. Simms, for what purpose do you rise?"

Simms: "Record me as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Breslin. Bullock. Darrow. DiPrima. Ebbesen. Gaines. Griesheimer. Huff. Karpziel."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen, 'aye'. Karpziel, 'aye'. Proceed. Representative Neff, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the poll of the absentees. Kelly. Klosak. Kulas. Laurino. Leverenz. Mautino."

McCourt. Mulcahey. Skinner. Stuffle. Taylor.
Walsh. Winchester. Younge. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "78 'aye' and 76 'no'. Representative
Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Mulcahey, 'aye'. Younge. Younge 'no'.
Chapman. Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to suggest that the Lady,
if she fails to get a concurrence she might like to
move with a motion to concur with 1 and 3 and 4. I
believe that the Lady would find that this House may
be very happy to concur with 1, 3 and 4. I... I
think very clearly, from the stirring remarks of Mr.
Kornowicz, it's Amendment #2 that we are not willing
to accept."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like a verification of the 'no'
vote, please."

Speaker Redmond: "It's not in order. You require an
affirmative 89, Representative Oblinger. Wait a
minute. Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, we're on the Roll Call and I think
the last speaker just was out of order and I... I
want to change my vote from a 'no' to a 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "In that case, I'd like to change mine from
'present' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change it to 'no'. You know, it's pretty
apparent here there's so many red votes that the
possibility of getting 89 on these is kind of remote
and I would suggest that Representative Oblinger..."

Representative Dunn, 'no'. Should we dump this Roll Call? Wait a minute now. Well the motion failed on Amendments 1, 3 and 4 was it? 1, 2 and 4. Representative Oblinger. Well it failed, it's 74 to 76, it didn't get the necessary. It failed. Well, 76. What was the final count? It takes 89, so. 79. Birkinbine, 'aye'. McCourt 'aye'. Swanstrom 'aye'. Piel 'aye'. Representative Steczko."

teczko: "Mr. Speaker, if this gets the requisite number of votes I'm going to ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count? Representative Totten, 'aye'. Representative Darrow, 'no'. Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There's a bunch of votes being changed here, I just wanted to make sure. Now how does he have me recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "Don't you know?"

Piel: "Well, I wanted to make sure because there was a bunch of people changing their votes and I wanted to make sure he got me as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "We got you right."

Piel: "Does the Clerk have me as 'aye'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Aye. 84 'aye'. Representative Winchester 'aye', that's 85 and Vinson is 86. The motion fails. The motion fails. Representative Oblinger. Well, do you have any alternative motions?"

Oblinger: "No. I don't."

Speaker Redmond: "So the House refuses. 3046. Representative Pullen. Well I asked her if she wanted to and she didn't want to. Representative Oblinger, why don't you talk to the Parliamentarian. 3046."

Pullen: "We acted on that earlier, Mr. Speaker. Has there been a Message from the Senate?"

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Pullen: "We acted on that earlier, has there been a Message from the Senate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well you nonconcurred, is that right?"

Pullen: "We concurred in 2 Amendments and nonconcurred in another."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Representative Oblinger. We'll get back to Representative Oblinger. 3540. Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 3540 deals with the Emergency Housing Assistance Act of 1980 and Amendments... I would ask that we concur with Amendments #1 and 2. #1 sets down the priorities of the authority and Amendment #2 basically states the maximum amounts they can go on their authority and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 3540. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 'aye' and 9 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3540. 1400. Representative Huff."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, I wanted to be recorded as 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Huff as 'aye', Williamson, 'aye', Alexander 'aye', Krska, 'aye',

Balanoff, 'aye', Henry, 'aye', Goodwin 'aye'.
Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Just...just an inquiry. I want to know what the right procedure is so I'll do it. I understood when we are going to get on a roll call we're supposed to fill out a form. Now, if we can just get on when the Boards turns out, that is fine. I just want to know which one to do. We seem to follow one procedure one time and another the next time."

Speaker Redmond: "A lot of people come up here and fill out a slip to change..."

Johnson: "Well, that's what I do, but I just wondered if we could do it the other way, too."

Speaker Redmond: "No."

Johnson: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "1400. Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would move that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendments #4 and 5 to House Bill 1400."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opps...those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Oh, this is a...motion to nonconcur. I'm sorry. It doesn't...take the record on this. It doesn't make any difference. Take the record. On this question there's...90 'aye', and 5 'no', and the motion fails, and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2. 3160. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr...rule...pursuant to rule 58 I have just filed an objection to anyone changing their vote on the concurrence motion for Senate Amendment #2 to House

Bill 3250, and I would like the Journal and the record to so show."

Speaker Redmond: "It will so show. Representative Braun."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, would you ask Mr...Representative Ryan to mumble a little louder? I had a little difficulty hearing or understanding anything he said."

Speaker Redmond: "Mumble louder, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Would you listen a little closer, Representative?"

Braun: "Yes, Sir. All ears are on you."

Ryan: "I didn't hear you, what did you mumble. I said tht I had just filed a motion with the Clerk to object to anybody changing their vote on Senate...on the concurrence motion for Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3250. And I would like to have the record and the Journal so show. And I appreciate the...you gave me the opportunity now to make it twice on the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Dwyne."

Van Dwyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I did...I didn't...I object to that, of course, because I wasn't back from our...our conference, and as I understand it, the vote was...was called a little bit...with some rapidity, and the...the end result of the vote was something like one, or two, or five, and four, and fifty-four against...are you sure there was even a quorum present when you called...called the vote for the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "No...nobody questioned. We're on 3160. Representative Pechous."

Pechous: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, a Parliamentary inquiry. The...earlier Speaker, the Minority Leader, indicated that he had just filed that motion to object to any

changing of votes. My inquiry is at what point does an objection take hold...take effect? Is it at the time that it becomes a written motion and announced as such, and...or is it by an earlier oral statement does it become effective? It would seem to me that I had filed a written motion to change...to affect a vote change on my part, and now I find that moments later that something had been entered into the record objecting. And I question at what point...what take precedence?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think the objection would be anything subsequent to the filing of the objection."

Pechous: "I believe so, too."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker, I would suggest to Representative Pechous and to Representative Van Duyne that they raised Rule 50A, and their motions are subject to unanimous consent..."

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct..."

Collins: "...Their motion had not been put, and the...consent has been denied."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, ultimately we normally call the request for the change of votes and ask if there is any objection. If there is at that time..."

Collins: "The objection has been put in writing..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, I think that is probably right."

Collins: "And the request would be journalized."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Well, usually we call these at the end of the...end of the Session or whatever, and I don't care

what Representative Collins says, that...that as far as I'm concerned is not timely. Wait until the request is made and then they can make...the objections. I don't know why the...I don't know why my conversatoin was ruled out of order by the Speaker as not being timely. We're on a different order of business, and the Minority Leader over there is talking about making an objection on this very same point. Now, you know, Speaker, I am supposed to be with you...and visa versa."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijecich."

Matijevich: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. All of this discussion is out of order, including the Minority Leader's remarks of objecting. That too is not timely because there is no mention from the podium that anybody has a written request to change their vote. All of that is timely whenever that is brought before the House and I suggest we move on to the business of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "3160, Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendments #3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 3160."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "An inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. I've had several requests from our Members wanting to know how late we're going to work. Can you answer that?"

Speaker Redmond: "Midnight."

Ryan: "Midnight?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah. The question is on Representative Garmisa's motion that we nonconcur in Senate

Amendments 3, 4 and 5. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. We concur. Is that you again, Representative Vinson? Okay. What do you want?"

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we asked the... We wanted to speak on the question and I think when we're trying to get your attention well before you put the question you've got an obligation that you're going to be fair to give us a chance to speak on the question."

Speaker Redmond: "I'll do that when you...when I hear you in the future. 32.. 3236. Representative Garmisa. Out of the record. 3237. Garmisa. Out of the record. 3083. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to concur with Senate Amendment...Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 3083. Senate Amendment #1 reduces the reappropriation to the level of the unexpended balance as of June 9, for a total reduction of 156 million, 669 thousand, 333 dollars and it also breaks out the fiscal '79 road program reappropriation by district. Senate Amendment #2 were merely technical language changes and I would ask for the favorable Roll Call for concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 on House Bill 3083. Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 'aye' and 22 'no' and the House does concur... Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "It appears that we would like a verification of this Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Mr. Clerk, verify. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Would you poll the absentees, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan has requested a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, would you change me to 'no', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'. Schraeder."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees: Bullock. Burnidge. Capuzi. Chapman. Christensen. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Epton. Gaines. Garmisa. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Hannig. Huff. Huskey. Emil Jones. Karpziel. Kelly. Klosak. Kosinski. Kulas. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leon. Mahar. McAuliffe. McPike. O'Brien. Pullen. Satterthwaite. Schuneman. Skinner. Stearney. Stuffle. Taylor. Van Duyne. Von Boeckman. Watson. Williams. Younge and Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa, 'aye'. Representative Watson, 'aye'. Mugalian, 'no'. Mugalian, 'no'. Representative Van Duyne 'aye'. Greiman, 'no'. Representative Karpziel, 'aye'. Representative Burnidge, 'aye'. Huskey, 'aye'. Schuneman, 'aye'. Mahar, 'aye'. Representative Pechous, 'no'. Slape."

Slape: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Slape recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Slape: "You better record me as 'present'. I haven't had a chance to study this carefully enough."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Katz, 'no'. Currie, 'no'. Keane, 'no'. Representative Casey. Here Casey. Representative Totten, 'no'. What's the count now, Mr. Clerk? Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker. I had to be out of the chamber a few minutes. Could you please record me as voting 'no'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Pullen as 'no'. Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, what's the count?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know, the Clerk is tallying it. Representative Richmond. Representative Van Duynes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Van Duynes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could I have permission of the Chair to ask a question while there's a lull, of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Why surely."

Van Duynes: "Mr. Ryan."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I don't know whether he'll yield. You'll have to ask..."

Van Duynes: "Well whoever's...well. I would like to ask him a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, will you yield to Representative..."

Ryan: "Do you want me to yield on the verification? I voted green. Who wants me to yield, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well... Van Duynes."

Ryan: "Yes, Sir, Representative."

Van Duynes: "Is the money in there, the Amendment still in this Bill for the Keuka Street' Appropriation, Representative Ryan?"

Ryan: "For what? I didn't hear you."

Van Duynes: "The Keuka, K-e-u-k-a or k-o."

Ryan: "I understand you've got the wrong Bill."

Van Duynes: "All right. Thank you, very much."

Speaker Redmond: "105 'aye'. 105 'aye'. How many 'no'? How many 'no'? 32 'no'. Representative Hanahan. Hanahan, 'aye'. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "What's the count and are we still..."

Speaker Redmond: "106... We haven't actually started to verify yet."

Ryan: "Is the request... Is the request still in?"

Speaker Redmond: "The request is in. Representative Darrow. 106 'aye' and 32 'no'. Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "How many days until Christmas, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "182, I think it is. Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Ackerman. Alexander. Anderson. Barnes. Beatty. Bell. Bianco. Birchler. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boucek. Bower. Bradley. Burnidge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative... Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if we're going to persist on the verification on this Bill at this hour I will, in the interest of time, take this Bill out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Representative

Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we adjourn until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor... The House now stands adjourned."

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 27, 1980

PAGE 1

HB-0276	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	4
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	138
HB-0303	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	116
HB-0536	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	40
HB-0929	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	108
HB-0986	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	116
HB-1009	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	96
HB-1221	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	41
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51
HB-1400	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	198
HB-1522	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	154
HB-1563	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	38
HB-1673	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	15
HB-1980	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	14
HB-2351	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	162
HB-2429	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	49
HB-2612	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	17
HB-2771	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	25
HB-2845	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	174
HB-2917	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	104
HB-2918	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	104
HB-2921	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	52
HB-2997	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	105
HB-3007	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	37
HB-3022	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	120
HB-3023	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	97
HB-3025	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	103
HB-3028	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	98
HB-3035	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	120
HB-3045	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	188
HB-3046	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	19
HB-3050	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	124
HB-3051	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	107
HB-3054	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	125
HB-3083	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	202
HB-3106	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	7
HB-3116	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	10
HB-3127	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	53
HB-3137	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	25
HB-3151	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	27
HB-3152	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	33
HB-3160	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	201
HB-3174	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	11

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

PAGE 2

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 27, 1980

HB-3179	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	10
HB-3193	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	128
HB-3250	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	181
HB-3271	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	149
HB-3289	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	106
HB-3333	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	153
HB-3346	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	16
HB-3359	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	137
HB-3365	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	139
HB-3366	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	140
HB-3380	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	99
HB-3401	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	13
HB-3403	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	30
HB-3415	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	28
HB-3416	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	101
HB-3426	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	39
HB-3427	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	102
HB-3439	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	100
HB-3440	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	32
HB-3448	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	39
HB-3475	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	35
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	136
HB-3485	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	12
HB-3498	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	42
HB-3505	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	121
HB-3535	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	95
HB-3536	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	126
HB-3540	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	197
HB-3544	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	123
SB-0934	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	140
SB-1404	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	141
SB-1480	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	141
SB-1510	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	142
SB-1578	3RD READING	PAGE	88
SB-1606	3RD READING	PAGE	78
SB-1613	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	146
SB-1614	3RD READING	PAGE	89
SB-1616	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	144
SB-1621	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	144
SB-1626	3RD READING	PAGE	56
SB-1635	3RD READING	PAGE	60
SB-1636	3RD READING	PAGE	92
SB-1640	3RD READING	PAGE	67

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 27, 1980

PAGE 3

SB-1650	3RD READING	PAGE	69
SB-1663	3RD READING	PAGE	71
SB-1664	3RD READING	PAGE	75
	MOTIONS	PAGE	71
SB-1665	3RD READING	PAGE	75
SB-1726	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	147
SB-1752	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	149
SB-1760	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	147
SB-1812	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	148
SB-1828	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	148
HR-0142	3RD READING	PAGE	134

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 27, 1980

PAGE 4

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
CLERK O'BRIEN - PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
REVEREND KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	18
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	93
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	135
HOUSE RECESSES	PAGE	143
HOUSE RECESS	PAGE	187
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	206