

Speaker Giorgi: "The House will be in order. The Members will be in their seats. We'll be lead in prayer by Father Krueger."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. We have been asked to remember in our prayers this morning Willard and Ina Ice. Rest eternal grant them O Lord, and let light perpetual shine upon them. O God whose mercies cannot be numbered; accept our prayers on behalf of the souls of Thy servants Willard and Ina departed, and grant them an entrance into the land of light and joy, in the fellowship of Thy Saints; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen. May they rest in peace and may light perpetual shine upon them. We've also been asked to remember in our prayers Mary Francis Squires and Joan Roberts. Members of the Republican Leaderships Secretaries. Almighty God our Heavenly Father, we beseech Thee graciously to comfort thy servants Mary Francis and Joan in their sufferings. And to bless the means made use for their cure. Fill their hearts with confidence that though they sometimes be afraid, they may yet put their trust in Thee and be restored to health of body and mind; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen. The 125th Psalm begins: They that trust in the Lord shall be as Mt. Zion, which cannot be removed, but abided forever. Let us pray. Almighty God, we pledge to...we pledge to Thee this day and every day our abiding trust and love. Do Thou, O Lord, sustain us as labor in this House of Representatives. Direct our minds and control our

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

wills that led with due caution and respect for Thy Holy Law, we may hasten the legislative process by judicious and wise decisions that will benefit the people of the State of Illinois; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pledge of Allegiance."

All: "I Pledge Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Giorgi: "Roll call for attendance. Your own switch if you can control yourself. Reading of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the 146th Legislative Day. The House met pursuant to adjournment, the Speaker in the Chair. Prayer by Reverend...George..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Kosinski, for what reason do you rise?"

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and the Journal #146 of June 17, 1980, and #147 of June 18, 1980 be approved as read."

Speaker Giorgi: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion. All in...the question...all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Journal's reading is dispensed with. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 932, Dyer; 933, McClain; 934, McClain; 935, McClain; 936, McClain; 937, McClain."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Getty on the Agreed resolutions."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 932 by Dyer et al

commemorates the Illinois Department of Transportation on their work in educating the public on prevention of death. House Resolution 933, McClain et al congratulates Father Herald Yokum on his 50 years of ordination as a priest. 934 by McClain, Father 'Lucian Troy' on his 60 years of service as a priest. 935 by McClain, Father Henry 'Friburg' on his 50th anniversary as a priest. 936 by McClain, Father 'Serifin Tebisar' on his 50 years in the priesthood. 937 by McClain congratulates William J. Alberts, an educator, on the accomplishment of his years of service as an educator. I move for adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Giorgi: "You heard the Gentleman's motion for the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'nay'. Saying 'aye'...say 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 108, O'Brien."

Speaker Giorgi: "Committee on Assignment. House Bills Third Reading...Third Reading. The staff and Leadership on both sides of the aisle tell me the Bills are noncontroversial so with Senate Bills Third Reading...Senate Bills Third Reading. They are noncontroversial they tell me. Senate Bill 1571, Representative Kane, out of the record. Senate Bill 1572, Representative Wikoff. Senate Bill 1572 Third Reading. Read it a third time, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1572, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Board of Trustees to the University of Illinois, Third Reading the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wikoff on Senate Bill 1572."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1572 is the operation of contingent budget for the University of Illinois that totals \$364,251,572 which is approximately a nine point nine percent increase over last year."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? The question is 'Shall Senate Bill 1572 pass?'. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 123 'ayes', one 'nay', one voting 'present', and Senate Bill 1572 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Richmond on Senate Bill 1572. Mr. Clerk, read Senate..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1573, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Southern Illinois University, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond on Senate Bill 1573."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the regular request...budget for the fiscal year 1981 Southern Illinois University campuses, and it is a total request of \$149,982,965. This is approximately...it is an eight point six increase over 1980 budget. I...ask you...'aye' vote on this budget."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Rea on Senate Bill 1573."

Rea: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Rea: "Just a few brief corrections, Bruce. Do you know offhand what the percent of increase has been for the civil service employees over the...say the last three years as compared to the faculty and the administration and also the main...the labor maintenance?"

Richmond: "I can't answer that with any definite figures. I know that I have been very strongly opposed to a blanket increase...percentage increase to all the employees because it adversely affects those on the lower end of the...of the pay scale. If they get an eight and a half increase on \$10,000 as opposed to an eight and a half on a \$50,000 annual salary, of course, you can see how much out of line that it is, and there's been an attempt each year to make a formula that's directed to that particular issue, and although I am not totally happy with the outcome, there has been a great deal of effort put in that direction."

Rea: "I join you with that same concern. I hope that this is something that we can look at in terms of this coming year. I might also ask along those same lines, do you know offhand, I know there is a chancellor; there is a vice chancellor; two presidents. I am not sure how many vice presidents. Do you know how many vice presidents and what the salaries are of these people?"

Richmond: "Well, I...somewhere in this pile of information I have a list of the employees and the vice presidents and so forth. Just offhand I don't seem to put my finger right on it. I'll get you that information

though. I would...we have it only for the School of Medicine here at our fingertips, Representative Rea. The list of the employees for the School of Medicine, of course, there's quite a few that are in the high salary bracket, and that is easily understandable because of the nature of...of their mission. They're all M.D.'s, and of course we have to be competitive with what they would be doing in a private sector."

Rea: "Could we get these figures in for the others, Bruce?"

Richmond: "Certainly, I have it, Jim, but I don't have it here at my desk. I'd give you a copy of it."

Rea: "Let me ask you another question here in terms of the medical school, and I'm very interested in this...in the medical school, and SIU, and I know that the intent was also to...because of the manpower shortage that we have in many areas throughout Illinois, could you give me any figures on what percentage of the graduates are remaining in Illinois and what percentages might be going into the manpower shortage areas?"

Richmond: "That was the mission of the medical school originally when this Legislature created it. It was to make family practice type graduates who would spend their professional years in the areas where they are worst needed, and downstate Illinois where there was definitely a shortage of doctors. And this...I don't know whether I can give you percentages or not..I do have it here somewhere, but...at this point I am told it is such a new school that we haven't graduated that many, but at this point I am told it is 25% of them are practicing in the areas that you are referring

to."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Rea to the...Senate Bill 1573...Rea."

Rea: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak on the Bill now. I..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Rea: "I think there are some areas that we certainly will want some clarification...some areas that we will want to work on this coming year. I do rise in support of this Bill. I feel that...there probably was not as much preparation and as much consideration given in some areas that possibly should have been given, and I hope, Bruce, that we can take this message back to the university and that we can work more closely with them in the preparation of their budget for next year, and perhaps on some of their programs and some of the areas that many of us have expressed some concerns about that we can get some clarification on these. So I do rise in support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer on Senate Bill 1573."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will that Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Griesheimer: "Representative Richmond, how much of this money is being earmarked for the Edwardsville campus of SIU?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond to answer his question. Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "They...that breakdown is not in our figures here because it is very difficult to break down exactly."

There is a lot of overlapping services that are provided by various people in the system, and it hasn't been important to break it down apparently between the two campuses."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that it is absolutely imperative to cast an intelligent vote on this appropriation Bill to know how much of the money is going to SIU in Carbondale and how much at Edwardsville for the very reasons that the Sponsor just gave. These are overlapping services of a state institution which is in the near proximity to its arm. I have contended for years this arm should be abolished and closed. I would ask the Sponsor to take the Bill out of the record until he knows how much of this appropriation is going to Edwardsville and how much is going to Carbondale."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Birchler, do you want to speak to Griesheimer's question?"

Birchler: "I just wanted to move the previous question at an appropriate time so we can get on with this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Alright, we have just a couple more speakers. You know the nature of Representative Griesheimer's...in the meantime we will go to Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock. He's trying to get the information."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am somewhat familiar with Southern Illinois University. Several of my relatives and friends have attended this university. It is one of the largest universities in the State of Illinois. I am particularly concerned about the dental program at

SIU, and I am certainly...the Membership in the House are aware that SIU has made a commendable effort in the field of dentistry, particularly preparing dentists to serve in medically underserved areas. I think the appropriation for the college this year is reasonable, and I certainly stand in support of Representative Richmond and his request for an appropriation for the SIU university. I think one of the earlier speakers asked a question about the cost distribution according to various campuses. My digest indicates to me, Representative, that the appropriation as we are considering here today could...as far as the Edwardsville campus I think was his question. Approximately I thought I had the figure. Hold on just a minute, Mr. Speaker. I am sorry, these were the tuition figures, but I was going to try to answer his question for him, but my analysis does not have the specific breakdown for the Edwardsville campus, but I certainly think that if he would check his digest he would see that the increase this year is minimal."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wikoff on Senate Bill 1573."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill, as all higher education Bills, received a thorough and adequate hearing both in the Senate Committees and the House Appropriation Committee, and I rise in support of this Bill in its present condition. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Harris on Senate Bill 1573."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The move...the previous question has been moved. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'nay', and the 'ayes' have it. The previous question has been moved. Representative Richmond to close."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The question of a breakdown between the campuses, I think, is one that is very difficult to answer not for the reasons that could be asked of any university, and one that the previous speaker gave, but because...that it's not overlapping services to the extent that they're wasted services but it is very difficult to answer not for the reasons that the previous speaker gave, but because...that it's not overlapping services to the extent that they're wasted services, but it is very difficult to have a breakdown, and I think totally unnecessary. This, as we had pointed out, is a modest increase. I ask for your approval of this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is 'Shall Senate Bill 1573 pass?'. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. And Representative Griesheimer on the question."

Griesheimer: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was not allowed to continue my questions or make my comments, so I'll do it by explanation of vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Griesheimer: "There is no doubt that SIU as it now stands at Carbondale campus is one of the great universities of our nation. But like so many governmental bureaucracies, this is one that has gotten way out of

hand. We have another branch of SIU at Edwardsville which is in the close proximity to Carbondale and completely unnecessary. It would be like establishing the University of Illinois at Champaign and establishing another branch of the University of Illinois about a hundred miles away. And that is totally ridiculous. It is not wise government. It is not good investment. It is a bureaucracy, and then I understand within the bureaucracy they fight among themselves. About the only thing they've ever been able to agree to down there is to build an enormous president's house which they regularly squander money on. I'd also like to ask the Sponsor of this Bill how much they've increased the budget for the law school down there. This law school which is probably unnecessary and doesn't fit the category of the medical schools where we need doctors, and we certainly don't need any more lawyers is building another new building down there, and I would lay your money that if we could ask this question of the Sponsor you'd find out that the budget for the law school has vastly increased in the last year. I think if we're ever going to get economy back into government we've got to start reviewing our colleges like our local school boards are reviewing their schools and start closing them. And I would suggest the very first place to start closing them would be the law school at SIU and then the Edwardsville campus."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this

question there are 119 'ayes', 20 'nays', four voting 'present', and Senate Bill 1573 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Kane on Senate Bill 1571. Read it a Third time. Just for a moment. Representative Borchers, for what reason do you rise? On Senate Bill 1571?"

Borchers: "No, no. I want to rise on a point of personal privilege. I think from what the Gentleman...some of you Gentleman told me, you're rather interested in the adventures I have been having except perhaps a couple on the other side. Nevertheless, for the first time I received a telephone call from California. It is a widow, and for anyone interested..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Borchers, why don't we wait till after we get this Bill out of the way. I'll give you a point of personal privilege."

Borchers: "Okay."

Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bill 1571."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1571, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Higher Education, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane on Senate Bill 1571."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1571 is the ordinary and contingent appropriations for the Board of Higher Education. The total appropriation in the Bill is \$33,468,067 which is a slight increase over last year, and I would ask for favorable consideration."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Birkinbine...Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor. Just how much of an increase is that? I understood that a budget of \$32,906,000 was an increase of 11.3%. Well, you're well up to over \$33,000,000. Right?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "It is about a nine percent increase. I think the...the...Senate amended the Bill so it is now 32 million nine, and I think the increase over the FY '80 estimated expenditures not the appropriations is in the neighborhood of three point three five million dollars."

Birkinbine: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any further discussion? The question is 'Shall Senate Bill 1571 pass?'. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. While we're taking a tally, Representative Borchers on a point of personal privilege. Representative...just one moment, Mr. Borchers. Have all voted who wish? ~~Have all voted who wish?~~ Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 125 'ayes', three 'nays', three voting 'present', and Senate Bill 1571 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Borchers for a minute."

Borchers: "I suppose I should thank this House because you have helped me...get me into the most interesting conversations all over this United States and communications. I read one yesterday. Now...I see there is objections from..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Borchers. Just a moment

please. Mr. Kane, for what reason do you arise?"

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I think we are really abusing this whole right of personal privilege, and really...I think we've got a lot of work to do, and I think that if the Chair would start clamping down we could get some more work done."

Speaker Giorgi: "I agree with you, but I'll give him another half of a minute. Representative Borchers for another half of a minute."

Borchers: "I would like to point out that the Representative that just spoke took more time probably than I did, and he might as well sit down. Now, anyway, here's a telephone call from a woman in California. The first telephone call that I have received that is for me instead...for us on my side instead of against us. But now I have gone to California, New York, Wisconsin, and Alabama with telephone calls. And I am counting the countless letters and cards, one of which I read you yesterday that was right to the point. Anyway, thank you for the fun ~~am~~ having out of what happened the other day. Thanks a lot."

Speaker Giorgi: "Repre...read the...Representative Peters, for what reason do you arise?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, just a point of interest. I think that you will find that geologically our country tilts to the west, and everything rose just kind of rolls there anyway."

Speaker Giorgi: "Very well put, Mr. Peters. Read Senate Bill 15...Representative Braun, for what reason do you arise? For what reason do you seek recognition, Representative Braun?"

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I simply wanted to be recorded on 1571. I pushed my button, and the light..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does she have leave to be recorded on 1571? Any objections?"

Braun: "...Voting 'yes'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Leave is granted. She is recorded as voting 'aye' on 1571. Representative Wolf, for what reason do you arise?"

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I thought it might be helpful if any Sponsor of an appropriation Bill would, as we have in the past, indicate how much last year's appropriation was and the increase in percentage, and also if there are any other appropriations that might have been tacked on along the way. I think that would be of interest before the Members vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Very well put, Mr. Kane...Mr. Wolf. Read Senate Bill 1574 for a third time."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1574, a Bill for an Act making ~~an appropriation to the Board of Regents, Third~~ Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane on Senate Bill 1574."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the budget for the Board of Regents. It is a \$12,000,000 over last year. Seventy-nine expenditures were estimated at \$115,896,000. As this Bill now stands, it is at \$139,703,000."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? The question is 'Shall Senate Bill 1574 pass?'. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"

Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 117 'ayes', 16 'nays', four voting 'present', and Senate Bill 1574 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Bower, would you come up... 'fill a form'... wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Read Senate Bill 1575 for a third time."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1575, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Board of Governors of state colleges and universities, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Keane on Senate Bill 1575."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is the appropriation for the Board of Governors of the state colleges and universities. The... comparison between this year and last year is... at the present time it is \$132,000,000. For FY '80 it was 120. We... my analysis indicates that the faculty staff... faculty, administration, and staff increases are seven point eight percent, and the systems office increases are seven point seven percent. I would ask for a favorable roll call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There be no request for discussion, the question is 'Shall Senate Bill 1575 pass?'. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 110 'ayes',... 111 'ayes', 13 'nays', five voting 'present', and Senate Bill 1575 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1576."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1576, a Bill for an Act making

an appropriation of the Illinois Community College Board, and the Board of Trustees of the State Community College of East St. Louis, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stuffle on Senate Bill 1576."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. This is the appropriation for FY '81 for the community colleges in Illinois. The appropriation this year is \$156,209,000. This is a little over eight percent over the appropriation for FY '80. It plugs in the new formula funding that has seven categories this year as opposed to five. I would appreciate a favorable roll call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? There be no request for discussion, the question is 'Shall Senate Bill 1576 pass?'. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 129 'ayes', six 'nays', three voting 'present', and Senate Bill 1576 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Schneider for the purpose of an announcement."

Schneider: "...Just the...very short time to the General Assembly and timely enough because we're waiting for the appropriation on categorical monies over from the Senate that deal with gifted children and other categories. But today we have with us the Gifted Child of the Year, Mike 'Yo'. Mike is from the Ninth District, and for the purposes of introducing Mike, I

would like to have Representative Mahar take on that responsibility. Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Yes, thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is a real privilege for me on behalf of myself and Representative Kelly and Steczo to introduce the Gifted Child of the Year, Mr. Michael 'Yo' who is presently in the eight grade at the 'Flossmore' Junior High School in the Ninth District, and he would like to be an astrophysist. One hundred and thirty kids around...from around the state selected on the basis of their scores in SAT and SCAT are down here for a luncheon today, and I know many of you have been invited to the luncheon if you could, would like to have you there. I would like at this time to introduce Michael...have him say a couple of words."

Mike 'Yo': "Hello, Honorable Members of the House and Senate. Excuse me if I seem nervous, but I so excited speaking before you today. I have been lucky all my life. I was lucky to be able to participate in the math 'talent search' last year. I was lucky to be able to take Latin and a high school algebra course as a result of that 'touch', and I am lucky to be in the math 'talent seminar' at Illinois State University which brought us here today in Springfield. All these lucky things have been made possible because of the Illinois Gifted Program. I am also fortunate to have many teachers who gave me extra materials to work on in class. Without them, I would have been bored and would have lost interest in school a long time ago. I am also fortunate to have the many principals who went through trouble to arrange special programs for me. I

would like to see many more people like me come out of gifted program. I would also like to see the Gifted Program continue and expand reaching not only the mathematically gifted, but the gifted in the sciences and arts as well. Then there will be more people who think school is a challenge. These are the people of the future who will make this state, this country, and this world a better place to live in. Thank you."

Mahar: "How about a hand for Michael's parents."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich, what do you need? What do you need? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, could we register him as a lobbyist?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Mr. Speaker, do you know how old that young fellow was?"

Speaker Giorgi: "How old was the young fellow, Glen? Twelve years old. Representative Jack Davis on the issue."

Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think Mahar, Kelly, and Steczo ought to be darn glad he's not 21."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Chapman on the issue today."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the young Gentleman a question...as the..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mike, would you come up and answer a question?"

Chapman: "Michael, as the Chief Sponsor of House Bill 3067, I wonder if you have any suggestions for the Body as to how they should vote on House Bill 3067 which includes the appropriation for our gifted education. How would you suggest that they vote?"

Mike 'Yo': "I think you should vote for it because, well,

simply...I'm supposed to say that, but...alright...
but the Gifted Program quite isn't...quite developed,
and they are only reaching the mathematically and
verbally gifted, and there are some who really like
sciences and the arts, and so I think that it should
reach these science...these gifted in the sciences and
arts."

Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bill 1629."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1629, a Bill for an Act to make
an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent
expenses of the State Fire Marshal, Third Reading of
the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "The TV and news people want to shoot some
film, so we'll put the TV light on. Representative
E.G. Steele on 1629."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. Senate Bill 1629 is the appropriation for the
State Fire Marshal...funds an agency which oversees
fire protection services here in the State of
Illinois. The total amount of the budget is for
\$4,459,000 which is somewhat less than the Governor's
proposed funding of this agency. It is within the
scope of the budget with a few Amendments that have
been adopted, and I urge your approval."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative
Woods Bowman on Senate Bill 1629."

Bowman: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Bowman: "Representative Steele, the...Calendar indicates
that five Amendments have been adopted to this Bill
thereby putting it in a vastly different form than it

came over to...from the Senate. I wonder if you could be kind enough to simply go over the Amendments one by one and indicate what they do. Just briefly..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Steele on the five Amendments."

Steele: "Yes, I would be happy to do that. House Amendment #1 restored six boiler inspectors...the ten had been reduced from the Senate. House Amendment #2 appropriates \$30,000 which is strictly from the federal government. It is a pass through amount. It is not state funds, and if the federal funds of \$30,000 are available, then it has been appropriated in th budget. House Amendment #3 amounted to \$25,000. House Amendment #4 restores two positions cut by the Senate, and still of course, the total amount...and then House...House Amendment #5 added to boiler inspectors after six were to be transferred to the Department of Nuclear Safety, so it amounted to a net loss, actually in the department of some \$53,000 so the bottom line is that the budget is within the scope as proposed by the Governor, and in fact, it is less than the Governor originally proposed in his budget, and I think it is a frugal budget worthy of the support and approval here."

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I counted correctly, there are 11 new positions in this budget, and I believe the authorized head count for the agency right now is 144. I would just like to ask the Sponsor one last question. How many vacancies are there in the agency right now?"

Steele: "I'm sorry, I missed that..."

Bowman: "How many vacancies are there in the agency?"

Steele: "They're...there are five vacancies that are in the process of being filled, and the reason they are vacant is the funds were not available heretofore to fill them."

Bowman: "Well, thank you, Representative Steele. I am going to be voting for this Bill because we should fund state government, but I just think the Membership here ought to realize that we...we are putting eleven new positions in an agency that is...is relatively small, and has already five vacancies in it. I think, you know, much of this is a result of...of Amendments that were added on here and there and this is not a really very careful way to...to budget. I would hope that the agency would make good use of these new individuals in the future, and that the audit reports that the Auditor General will be submitting to the General Assembly in the future, I trust will be much improved. They will not find as much wrong with the agency as they have in the past. So, I will be voting for this at the present time, but I hope that we don't see the agency back here again with another shocking increase in its head count."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request...any further discussion. The question is 'Shall Senate Bill 1629 pass?'. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 131 'aye', 18 'nays', five voting 'present'. Senate Bill 1629 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby

declared passed. We're going to hold the next Bill. I...Senate Bills Second Reading. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1578."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1578, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions on those Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Motions...to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1578."

Speaker Giorgi: "Whose motion? There are two motions. One from Representative McGrew, and one from Representative McClain to table Amendment #2. Who wants to speak on it? McClain filed it first. Representative McClain on...the motion to table Amendment #2."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, with all due respect, Mr. McGrew is the Chairman of the Higher Education Committee. I'll let him move, and maybe he'll let me close. He's Chairman of the Higher Education. I think he ought to have that right."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew on the motion then. On the motion to table Amendment #2."

McGrew: "Okay, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 very honestly is the difference of the maximum award offered by the Illinois State Scholarship Committee. The Board of Higher Education in its first recommendation suggested that we increase the current maximum \$1,800 award to \$2,000 award. As you well know that the times of inflation have hit all areas,

and it has been something of major consideration of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. I might add that we have already attempted to address the issue on the substantive Bill, and the House has voted that we would increase this to the maximum of \$2,000 so if we table this Amendment, we are making it consistent with the substantive Bill. It amounts to \$2.754 million dollars. I would answer any questions and would like to have Representative McClain to close."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Peters on the motion. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, excuse me...I rise to oppose the tabling motion offered by my good friend, Representative McGrew and Representative McClain, and I do so because I think all of us have had some reservations in regard to the manner in which the Illinois State Scholarship Commission has operated. In fact, in the Appropriations II Committee, we have resolved along with the Committee on Higher Education to put back into operation a joint Committee to look into the operations of the Scholarship Commission and to make some recommendations perhaps for some legislative changes. One of the things that I think we must address, and maybe we won't do it this Session, we probably won't do it this Session, but one of the things we are going to have to address is exactly what the relationship is between the public universities which we support through tax monies, and the private universities which are...which we are becoming

increasingly responsible for supporting in terms of the scholarship funds and other grants that we give to those universities. It appears to me that we cannot for too much longer have two systems, private and public, being increasingly funded by the taxpayer. At some point we are going to have to make some readjustments in the situation. Having been here for a period of years, I know that once programs get rolling, and once programs get entrenched, and once peoples' expectations get to the point where what they receive soon becomes an entitlement where they feel they have a right to it, it becomes impossible to turn those programs back. Now I have two private colleges in my district. One of those colleges happens to be the college that the Governor graduated from. The other college is operated by the Felician Sisters who taught me in grammar school, and who I am personally very close to, and have admired, and continue to admire. But the point that I am attempting to make here is not the needs of the private universities because those needs are legitimate. What I am trying to get at is that we as a Legislature representing 11,000,000 people in the state at some point are going to have to end up deciding what we really expect of education, and where we are really going. And the answer in education, as we have found out in other social services areas is not to continue to pour more and more money in. That does not end up solving the problem. Some basic changes have got to be made. I thought that the level at which we fund scholarships to private universities at \$1,800 last year. I

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

thought that it was reasonable to increase that another \$100 to \$1,900 this year. There are many on this House floor who, for very good reasons and in their heart I am sure, think it should go to \$2,000, or \$2,200, or \$2,500, or \$3,000, or whatever it might happen to be. All I am suggesting to you by my offering the Amendment I did to cut back on the funds available, and my opposition to the well intentioned motions of Representatives McGrew and McClain is to bring to the consideration of the House the fact that we are going to have to come to grips with this problem before it gets out of control like a lot of the other programs we end up funding. And we can get up on the House floor year after year and talk about the increasing costs of whatever it happens to be, but in our hearts we know that they have gone so far down the road that to try and start dismantling them and making the kind of changes we need becomes almost impossible because too many people become involved, and as I say, people then begin to think it is a right. Those are my reasons for opposing the motion. I will vote in opposition to the motion made by Representative McGrew and McClain to table my Amendments to reduce this appropriation. Those of you who feel in your hearts that in fact there is a problem, those of you who feel that by your vote you might want to give some indication that the private and the public sector, and the people in the higher education community ought to get together and start resolving this problem. I would ask you to cast a 'no' vote or a 'present' vote on the motion made by

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Representative McGrew. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kosinski, for what reason do you arise?"

Kosinski: "Will the Sponsor of the motion answer a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew...Yes, he will..."

Kosinski: "I'm not clear, Mr. McGrew, as to what you wish to table. Do you wish to table all the Amendments of the Appropriations Committee?"

McGrew: "No, Sir. We are only voting on Amendment #2 right now."

Kosinski: "I see. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bowman on the motion to table Amendment #2."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to...the...Mr. Peters' point about people beginning to think that this may be a right of theirs, well let me tell the Gentleman that indeed, I think higher education is a right. I think for those people who have the ability to go on with their education, and if they have the desire to go on with their education, then I think they have the right to go on with that education. We have a number of public institutions in this state that we fund with public money. It seems to me that that makes this...that is a pretty clear signal to the citizens of Illinois that higher education is a right for those people who have the ability and the desire that money, by itself, should not be a deterrent to continuing with one's education. Now I rise on this motion as one who has taught in public institutions, and this particular...the scholarship ceiling is

supposed to be a...or is viewed as being a boon to private institutions. Well, perhaps that is. Perhaps that is, but I see this primarily as a consumer piece of legislation, that what we should do is to look at it from the point of view of the student who has to make choices about which institution of higher education best serves his or her needs for the future. Viewed in that light, I think that we have to acknowledge that we do not wish to put financial barriers in the way...in the way of any deserving student with the necessary abilities to go on. I don't think that this...we should view this as being a fight between public and private institutions. I think all of us no matter what our...our allegiances are...what our interests are ought to rally behind this particular piece of legislation on behalf of the students. I stand with Representative McGrew and urge the tabling of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ralph Dunn on the motion."

~~Dunn:~~ "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. As Minority Spokesman on the higher education Committee, I would urge that we would vote against the motion to ta...strike Amendment #2 to keep the...to take...replace the \$2,754,000. As I understand it, this is not in the Governor's budget, and we face the likelihood of having an amendatory veto or a reduction veto, and we have fought this battle on the floor and in Higher Education Committee, and the \$100 increase that we have allowed this year to private education, I think, is sufficient. I think that it will come back next year, and hopefully we can get another \$100

or the next year, but I would urge that we vote against this motion to strike Amendment #2. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Conti on the motion."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have heard so much about Committee...the workings of the Committees and how important it is for the committee process. I think the Governor has leaned over backwards more than once on this particular subject. They deliberated for a good many hours and finally reached this agreement. The Appropriation Committee worked real hard on it. They insisted on \$2,000. The Governor offered \$1,800 per pupil. He finally conceded and went to \$1,900. Now this is going to cost \$2,754,000. Now I can't understand when we keep refering to the poor student who this is only going to cost \$100, but when you start multiplying these hundred dollars here and hundred dollars there, we're...we're against the motion to table. That's what I meant. I started out wrong, but this is something that the Governor has leaned over backwards and tried to work out a compromise. The Committee has worked real hard on it, and if we're going to just come up here with these motions at random and just change this budget around, this is the reason why the budget is all out of kilter as of this date. Now we've got to stop someplace, and I think that this is a good place to start right now."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cullerton on the Amendment.
On the motion to table."

Cullerton: "Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Cullerton: "Representative McGrew, have the public institutions of higher education throughout the State of Illinois, have they increased their tuitions this year?"

McGrew: "To the best of my knowledge, every one of the 36 have."

Cullerton: "And do you know at what rate...what percentage increase these institutions have raised their tuition?"

McGrew: "The only three that I am personally aware of, Sir, range from 11 to 18 percent."

Cullerton: "And how does that compare with an increase in tuition of private universities?"

McGrew: "As you undoubtedly know, private schools have not had a tuition increase for this year."

Cullerton: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative John Dunn on the motion."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of the motion to...to...restore the funds for the funding of the State Scholarship Commission awards at a level of \$2,000, and those who say that this money, this \$2,700,000 is not in the Governor's budget and are making a big point of it are trying to pull the wool over the eyes of the Members. I wish the Members who are talking with that train of thought would...would stand up and report to the Membership about how many times the State Scholarship Commission has been before this General Assembly in the past year asking for supplemental appropriations. I think they were in

three or four times. They were in as recently as April of this year. They come and go all the time asking for more money for scholarships. This is simply an equitable provision to enable those who attend private universities where the expenses are greater to receive a comparable amount of funding so that they will be placed on an equitable level on a par with those who attend the public universities. This is a good concept, and I urge that we restore these funds."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative J.J. Wolf on the motion."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Although I do not serve on Appropriations II, I am the Minority Spokesman of Appropriations I. I would just like to call the attention to the House a constitutional provision in the state finance Section, and it says...Section B says 'The General Assembly, by law, shall make appropriations for all expenditures of public funds by the state. Appropriations for a fiscal year shall not exceed funds estimated by the General Assembly to be available during that year'. And I don't...not just speaking to this issue in particular, but I would just like to point out that we are probably some \$150,000,000 over the budget right at this particular point, and we have a constitutional mandate not to appropriate more money than what is estimated to be available. Now, if we're going to start going for every cause regardless of how worthy many of us may feel, we're going to be in a heck of a mess with this, and I would urge the Members of the House to vote against this particular Amendment and

all others that will be adding additional dollars on that are not within the scope of the state's revenue for this year and hold up our constitutional mandate."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane on the motion."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just echo the words spoken by Representative Peters. We do have to make some basic decisions sometime, and whether we're going to have full funding of both systems, we can't really do that, and I don't think that...I think that the level that we're funding the scholarships for the private universities and colleges at this point is at an adequate level. There is an adequate increase, and we can't give everything to everybody, and I would concur with Representative Peters."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dyer on the motion."

Dyer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to respond to the two Members of my own Party who are our Minority Spokes...people on Appropriations Committees. Yes, I respect the goal of not spending more money than we take in. I would just suggest that we all have our priorities and as a former chair of the Higher Education Committee, my priority is certainly in the area of higher education and to see that there is a balanced offering of choice and access to every kind of institution of higher learning in the state. I'll save that two point seven million somewhere else when we get to other items on the budget, but let me just point out to you that we subsidize every student that goes to the University of Illinois or any of their public universities. There

is a large difference between cost of educating a student and price. This amount that is being suggested, the \$2,000 ceiling for scholarships, doesn't even make up for the tuition differential between a public university and a private university. Think about this. You would be penny wise and pound foolish if you vote 'yes' on this motion to table because it would cost many more millions of dollars to replace what the private universities and colleges in this state have to offer. If you represent Augustana, or Bradley, or DePaul, or Knox, or Loyola, or Roosevelt, or Quincy College, or Blackburn, or Illinois College, you will be betraying your constituency if you vote 'no' on this motion to table. Keep the private colleges and universities alive. We will save money in the long run. I urge a 'no' vote on this motion to table."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Keane on the motion."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think that it is important that we all realize that the substantive language setting the \$2,000 scholarship level has already passed this House. So what we're talking...we're not really talking about \$2,000 versus \$1,900. We are talking about the total amount that will be available on a \$2,000 level. I also want to point out to the Members that a great deal of the monies that we spend through the Illinois State Scholarship Commission go to students who attend the public universities. This is not strictly a private university Bill. If...if we cut this appropriation, if we do not make the appropriation of a sufficient

level to fund all of them, this will not only hurt private, but also public schools...public higher education students. If you look at the amount we're talking about, two million...two and three-quarters million for higher education, it is not that substantial in terms of what other amounts that we run through here and some of the Amendments we'll be considering this afternoon. I would join with Representative Peters in saying that we do have to look into the operation of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. I would be happy to join with them on that, and I do believe that it needs legislative changes. However, that does not...the fact of the requirements that we have to have to clean up the Illinois State Scholarship Commission should not in any way affect the vote on the funding of the scholarships, and I would urge you to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dyer for a correction."

Dyer: "Yes, correction, Mr. Speaker. My tongue got twisted after all my oratory. I was listening to myself. I meant vote 'yes' on the motion to table."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Campbell on the motion."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is 'Shall the Peters' question put?'. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', and the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The previous question is put, and Representative McClain to close or McGrew? Representative McClain to close."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, frankly I don't understand the conversation from the Gentleman from Sangamon. This

is not...this has nothing to between public and private. It is true 60% of the money goes to private schools, 40% to public schools, but this is not a private versus public concept. What we have done continually in the State of Illinois, we've mandated our colleges and universities throughout the State of Illinois certain health and safety codes that they have to do. We've told them what kind of...salaries they have to pay their employees. All this kind of 'substance' this appropriation does is try to keep those schools at a reasonable par level with what is going on with our laws. Secondly, we've already passed the substantive language. We passed that 100 to 50 on a verified roll call. That has already gone to the Senate for concurrence. So in terms of what we're doing here, that \$2,000 end up being law in the substantive, and you end up supporting Mr. Peters here by reducing the appropriation to \$1,900. Again the Scholarship Commission is going to have to come back for again a supplemental appropriation because they're not going to have the funding level that we have given them on a substantive language. So what we're doing in essence by supporting Mr. Peters' Amendment, is we're causing a severe rift again between the Legislature and the Commission. We have a new executive director that is trying to work hard with us. He has met many of you. I know he has met with Mr. Peters. I admire what Mr. Peters is doing, but this is nothing to do with private versus public. These are your kids that we're helping. These are your parents that we're helping. It goes to public

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

schools and private schools, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote on the motion to table Mr. Peters' Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on the motion to table Committee Amendment #2. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Table the motion...motion to table. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Robbins to explain his vote for one minute. Putting the timer on. One minute."

Robbins: "Thank you. I wasn't recognized in debate. I know I know very little about the subject since I have only sent seven kids to college. I know that any child that wants to go to college can borrow the money to go on his own and the state does not have to subsidize him. This is a privilege to help him. It should not pay his full way. He should have the right to work for it, and his parents should have the obligation to help send him. We should not give everybody ~~everything they want because we take the right to be~~ proud to say they have earned it away from them."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 65 'nays', no voting 'present', and the motion to table carries. Any further motions?"

Clerk Leone: "Motion to table. I move to table Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1578. McGrew."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew on the motion to table Amendment...Committee Amendment #4."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and

Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 reduces \$47,100 for the bilingual award program of the Scholarship Commission. This particular line item is for teachers that have been through...excuse me...that have been through graduate school. They must indeed be total bilingual individuals, and they must come back to teach in the Illinois schools if they are a recipient of this award. As I say, it is not only the written, but as well it has to be the verbal passage so that indeed they must be total bilingual individuals to teach in the...in this program, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote to table."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kosinski on the motion."

Kosinski: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in the Appropriation Committee I offered this Amendment which would provide scholarship funds principally from people from out of state to teach them to teach bilingual studies and expend further the amoeba about which we are concerned which is evergrowing. I withdrew these funds. I think the bilingual education program is too expensive. I object to the motion to table. I will vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "There be no further request for discussion, the question is 'Shall the motion to table Amendment #4 prevail?'. All in favor...Representative Hoffman on the motion. Hoffman on the motion."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I was engaged in conversation by one of the Governor's minions here, and I didn't have an opportunity to hear what the motion was. Would the response..."

Speaker Giorgi: "The motion is to table Amendment

#4...Committee Amendment #4."

Hoffman: "Which does what? I...that is my question to the Sponsor of this motion."

McGrew: "It eliminates the bi...bilingual awards for teachers that will be teaching in the program."

Hoffman: "How much is involved dollars and cents?"

McGrew: "\$47,100 total, and this is the complete abolition of the program if the Amendment is adopted."

Hoffman: "If the Amendment is adopted it will abolish the program, and the dollar amount is \$47,000."

McGrew: "That is correct."

Hoffman: "Fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Giorgi: "There be no further request for discussion, the motion is to table Committee Amendment #4. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment...Let's have a roll call. On the motion to table Committee Amendment #4. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative McGrew to explain his vote."

McGrew: "Well, I...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, frankly I'm not particularly concerned in terms of my individual problem in the district, but I would like the Membership to realize that you are totally abolishing a program that has been in the works for many many years. It is the bilingual education. They must be truly bilingual teachers. They must be certified to teach, and then they must come back to Illinois and teach in it. Now whatever is your will, proceed, but I want you to know precisely what you are doing. If we get more 'no'

votes, we are abolishing the entire program."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 60 'ayes', 90 'nays', none voting 'present', and the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further motions or Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further motions or Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "No further motions or Amendments, Third Reading. House...Senate Bill 1635. Representative Robbins, Senate Bill 1635. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1635, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent and distributive expenses of the Department of Agriculture, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 9 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson on Senate Bill 1635. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I think you went past 1614."

Speaker Giorgi: "That's right. We're on Senate Bill 1635."

Vinson: "When do you intend to call 1614? I believe..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Later on. The Speaker asked me to keep some Bills out till we get some work done. Continue, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "I believe the rules...I believe the rules specify that in an order of call you can't deviate from, and that does not...you have not announced a priority of call in the proper form."

Speaker Giorgi: "No, but I did call Senate Bill 1635, Mr..."

Vinson: "But then will you go back to 1614?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, I will eventually. Senate Bill 1635, read the Bill a second time. On Senate Bill 1635, Representative Collins. Senate Bill 1635,

Representative Collins on Senate Bill 1635."

Collins: "No, Mr. Speaker. Under the rules you do not have the option to pass that Bill that Representative Vinson just called to your attention. You are on a priority of call. You have no right. You have no right under the rules to pass over his Bill. Now you don't have the option. The rules are very clear on that. If you'll call it as the next Bill, we won't...we will not raise any protest, but if you're just going to continue to violate the rules, yes we'll protest, and we'll protest loudly. Now I...Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker, the rules give you no leeway in this subject. Now what is your answer to that?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Well, yesterday when I was acting Speaker I roamed the Calendar at will. That is the way I understand the rules. Now if you can show me the rule that I am violating while we're going to Mr. Robbins Bill, 1635, I'll be glad to act on this. Senate Bill 1635. Any motions filed to any of the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10, J.J. Wolf, amends Senate Bill 1635 as amended."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wolf on Senate Bill 1635 Amendment #10."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In Committee I had expressed some concern over the Chicago Fest being funded out of agricultural premium funds because the language as I saw it didn't permit it. I understand the Senate has since put an

Amendment on...well, that is a point in itself whether it is legal or not, but if it should be, and I understand the Comptroller did pay last year, then the least we ought to have, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, is that there should be a report of how the \$500,000 grant is spent. The major precedent for this language is with the county fair disaster grants..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "They...they are similar to the Chicago Pest grant in that each is funded from the agricultural premium fund, and they don't have statutory authority. In the FY '80, fifteen county fairs received a total of \$668,800 to replace buildings. Similar language required the fairs to account to the Department of Agriculture for their expenditures, and there were at least two fairs, Bureau County and Western Illinois fairs that got \$95,600 with the same requirement. As we go here in House Bill 1205 which was approved in August, 1979, there were a number of fairs...there was the Morgan County Fair, Pike County, Jersey, Western Illinois, Schuyler, LaSalle, Ogle County, etc., etc. down the ladder, and the language is 'the appropriate fair association shall upon completion of all necessary work make an accounting of monies spent from the applicable appropriation Section 1 to the Department of Agriculture. It is a very simple Amendment. I'm not opposing to giving the half a million dollars to the Chicago Pest. I just think there ought to be an accountability for it like every other county fair has done, and I think...I don't know if there should be any opposition to it, but I would

urge your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion, the motion is 'Shall the Amendment be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #11, Alexander-Gaines-Bullock, amends Senate Bill 1635 as amended."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Alexander on Amendment #11."

Alexander: "Mr. Speaker, I arise to withdraw this Amendment. I have one...I've got another one."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Lady asks leave to withdraw Amendment #11. Any objections? No objection, leave is granted to withdraw Amendment #11. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #12, Richmond, amends Senate Bill 1635 as amended."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond on Amendment #12."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is \$5,000 appropriation for expenses and awards in relation to contests and exhibitions for the Illinois Exhibition Poultry, Bantam, and Water Fowl Association. The funding for this purpose is provided in last year's budget, but was inadvertently left out of the Department's appropriation Bill. I've discussed this with the Department. They have no objections."

Speaker Giorgi: "There be no request for dis...no request for discussion, Representative J.J. Wolf on Amendment #12."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the amount is very small, only \$5,000, but I would just like to point out that this

is not prize money to be given away at...at the..at the fair. As I understand it this is for...for private...am I correct in understanding, Mr. Birchler (sic)?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "No, it is my understanding that a good portion of it will be used for awards at the fair and exhibitions. There are other expenses of this association and other shows I...that part of this money would go for. I don't have a break down on it though."

Wolf: "That was not the information that we received from the State Fair. And I understand included in the FY '81 fair budget is \$6,450 for...for the various fowl, bantams, chickens, and other fowl during the fair."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond to close."

Richmond: "My information is that it is for awards and premiums in contests and exhibitions for...for this Association much the same that we furnish award money for other associations that do this type of thing. I would ask for your con...vote on this."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?'. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', and the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Let's have a roll call. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no' on Amendment #12. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 65 'ayes', 44 'nays', five voting 'present', and the Amendment prevails. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #13, Ropp, amends Senate Bill 1635 as amended."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ropp on Amendment #13 to Senate Bill 1635."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I introduced this Amendment last year primarily for the purpose of determining whether or not there were justifiable needs of having an export man representing the State of Illinois for agriculture in Latin America. Currently we do have offices representing the State of Illinois, and agriculture, and business in Hong Kong and in Brussels. In Latin America, however, there is no representative for agriculture, and it was my intent by offering this Amendment that this would serve as seed money to determine whether or not there should be someone funded on a full-time basis in that position next year...after the study. So I urge a favorable vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cullerton on the Amendment."

Cullerton: "How much money is included in this Amendment?"

Ropp: "\$25,000. That covers the...the study, the survey, and a report that would be written to be used by the Department in justifying any further expansion should that report show that the need in the fiscal budget following the budget we're currently working on."

Cullerton: "Well, just procedurally what are you going to do? Send somebody down to Brazil to study whether or not we can export grain to Brazil?"

Ropp: "That is correct. In any normal new...securing a potential market in the international field, you first of all explore the area to determine whether or not

there are sufficient warrants for wanting to purchase products that you may produce. This is normal business in any kind of a venture like this. The state has done this previously, and I am almost sure for Latin America. I know they did it before going to Hong Kong, and it gives the General Assembly some indication as to whether or not following funds should be supported in appropriations to follow up with personnel..."

Cullerton: "Well, don't we have an office..doesn't the State of Illinois have an office in San Paulo, Brazil?"

Ropp: "That is correct. There is one..."

Cullerton: "What are we doing there in San Paulo?"

Ropp: "Agriculturally nothing to my knowledge."

Cullerton: "What are they...what are they...what are they doing?"

Ropp: "This is the Department of currently Commerce and Administrative Affairs. It used to be Business and Economic Development."

~~Cullerton:~~ "You say you introduced this Amendment last year? What happened to this Amendment last year?"

Ropp: "The Governor vetoed it. Line item vetoed it out."

Cullerton: "Your Governor vetoed this request to be able to export grain to Southern...South America?"

Ropp: "I believe he did. Yes."

Cullerton: "Did we try to override that veto?"

Ropp: "No."

Cullerton: "If this is vetoed again do you have any idea whether or not the Governor is going to change his mind?"

Ropp: "I don't know. There is a possibility."

Cullerton: "By the way, do you happen to have anybody in mind for this particular position?"

Ropp: "To be real honest, last year I did. Since then...about three weeks after that was past, the man dies with cancer."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewell on Amendment #13."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I don't have any questions, I just want to cast a little light to the Body about the ag premium fund, the money that comes in from the race track so we'll all know. The gist of the matter...the bottom line of the matter is simply that Cook County is responsible for 86% of all the wagering and revenue in Illinois. In other words, when you look at the thoroughbred racing you have the tracks, Balmoral, Sportsman, Arlington, Hawthorne, which generate \$30,000,000. Downstate at Cahokia and Fairmont generate about \$5,000,000. The Harness in Cook County generates 32, and the downstate, \$2,900,000. What I want to point out is that Cook County is responsible for 82% of the thoroughbred wagering and 89% of the harness wagering. In essence, this is money that comes from Cook County that is then redistributed throughout the rest of the state and with their pecunious eyes, they leer at the evil gamblers in Cook County. Now I want to point out once we know where the money comes from, you have to take a look and see where the money goes. When you take a look at where the money goes, you find out it goes to the ag premium fund currently 50% of all the revenue..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Ewell, excuse me just for a moment for

Representative Schuneman. and for what reason do arise on this Amendment #13?"

Schuneman: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. Would you ask the speaker to confine himself to the Amendment? We're getting late in the Session. We're running out of time, and we've heard these speeches before, and I think the Gentleman should confine his remarks to the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Anyway, the current distribution is 50% to the ag premium funds, seven percent to the fair exposition fund. Nothing to the metropolitan fair and exposition fund..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me...Mr. Ewell, excuse me a moment. I have a couple of people that put their lights on before J.J. Wolf, but for what reason do you arise on this Amendment, Mr. Wolf?"

Wolf: "Point of order. I think the Gentleman is on the wrong Amendment. This Amendment, I believe, is not being taken from ag premium funds."

Speaker Giorgi: "He is talking about funding an office in San Paulo, and this is germane to the issue cause I am very familiar with the agriculture premium fund. Continue, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "And then you get the Illinois thoroughbred breeders get six percent, the standardbred breeders get six percent, and we have a race track improvement fund. What I want to point out to you Gentlemen is I have no objection in voting our money to you and your concerns throughout the state, but I want to point out to you that this money is not raised. You don't grow it on a

farm. You dig it and mine it out of the pockets of the people of Cook County. Now for some reason or another, we happen to believe that this is a private poaching ground that should only be poached on for these various Amendments which you offer at various times for the benefit of your own communities, your own areas, your own constituents, and your own patronage workers. I also want to point out that when we look at funds and find out what the available balances are, the ag premium fund as of 1980 11 months, has a \$47,200,000 available balance. The biggest balance by far in the state. This is not a private poaching ground. It does not come from the pockets...it does not come from the farms of Illinois, it comes from the pockets of the people of Cook County. Now I am only going to ask that you be a little more reasonable and tolerant in your understanding when we attempt to approach you and tell you that there are ag related problems in Cook County, and believe me, I know how to make them ag related, that you will remember whose money you're talking about. And I want to thank you for this consideration."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hoxsey on the Amendment."

Hoxsey: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, I would like very much to respond and ask a...make a request, let's say, of Representative Ewell. Before he comes back to address this House with statistics on where the money comes from in the agricultural premium fund, I would like him to provide this Body with the percentages of attendance at the race tracks in Cook County, how many

are supporting that track outside of Cook County, and how many in Cook County. I think you'll find, Representative Ewell, there is a lot of downstate attendance at those race tracks."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kent on the Amendment."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all to generate the sale of our grain...and our farm products, also helps the whole State of Illinois. Where will these products be shipped from? Probably from the Port of Chicago. Who gets the jobs then? Also I would like to remind Representative Ewell that the fund for the paramutual at the race tracks would not have passed had it not been for those downstate who agreed due to the fact that some of the money would be coming back to train our young people in the 4-H program. So I hope he understands that this is for the good of all of us, not just one section. This Amendment of Representative Ropp's will do good for all of Illinois. The more products we sell, the more ~~generation we give as far as our products, then you~~ also will be better economically. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich on the Amendment."

Matijevich: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I did fight Representative Ropp on this Amendment, I recall, last year. However, I have taken a change of heart. I feel that it ought to be worth the gamble to try to promote agricultural products that are...overseas. In fact, it is with my philosophy contrary to what the Department of Commerce's philosophy seems to be that they are

promoting more...foreign investments rather than American business, and I think that this is totally within what our philosophy ought to be to promote American products and American business. And I think it ought to be worth that effort. I think in the Committee I said that I discussed this matter with every farmer in my district, and he is for it. So I...I say that if it is good for the farmers in Illinois, it ought to be good for all of Illinois, and I will cooperate, and I commend our former director with this Amendment, and I urge your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "The last request for recognition, Representative Hoffman on the Amendment."

Hoffman: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "You were the last request. Representative Ropp to close."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to say that I think it is only good business practice to first of all deter...determine whether or not there is a need that would justify spending money in Latin America. In my judgment, it is not a good idea just to throw money down a rathole just because you think it might be a good idea without exploring the possibility. In answer to one of the questions as to whether or not Cook County needs additional funds, let me say that I am very much aware that Cook County leads the nation by producing 90% of the onion sets that are produced in this country. However, I can assure you that should you feed those horses onion sets, I think the racing would soon terminate and that all of the agricultural products that are grown in

Cook County would not certainly substantiate the health and the good care that would go for good racing in the State of Illinois. I have talked to several people relative to the concerns that they have, and I share the same concerns for those people who do on the one hand have sufficient dollars to spend at the race track, and on the other hand do not have sufficient funds to take care of health care needs, so I am going to recommend next year, and I have talked to several people on that side of the aisle that maybe in fact we should provide funds for developing maybe a dog track or a horse track around Cook County Hospital. Therefore, while you're waiting in line to take care of your health needs not having enough money to pay for the health care, but do have enough money to bet on horses or and dogs, we could in fact provide enough revenue from that special premium fund called whatever you may, that would surround Cook County Hospital, and therefore it would be somewhat self sufficient so that we wouldn't have to come to the General Assembly all the time to request money. I urge a favorable vote on this very important Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is 'Shall Amendment #13 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #13 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #14, Birchler, amends Senate Bill 13...1635 as amended."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Birchler on Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 1635."

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."

Amendment #14 appropriates \$10,000 or so much thereof that may be necessary from the general fund to the Institute of Natural Resources to study the feasibility of establishing an alcohol fuel program at the Beck Vocational Center. The Beck Vocational Center is represented by Representative Dunn, Representative Richmond, and myself. It is in the middle of agricultural deal. It is located on a 'nike' site that has the large buildings and well there. The fuel...possibility of the fuel that could be made as a result of this study could be used in the school buses that bring the children from 10 rural high schools into this area. I ask a favorable vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Robbins on the Amendment."

Robbins: "Representative Birchler, have you changed your mind? When we talked earlier you was going to go with 16."

Birchler: "I have been advised that since Amendment #11 was not adopted, #14 is the one that we must use. It is technically okay, and we will table Amendment #16."

Robbins: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "There be no further request for discussion, the question is 'Shall Amendment #14 be adopted?'. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #14 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #15, Henry, amends Senate Bill 1635 as amended."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Henry on Amendment #15."

Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #15 to Senate Bill 1635 is a very simple Amendment. It calls for

funds to help in the area to develop a shopping center to disperse 'ag' products, and by the way, Mr. Speaker, President Cullerton from the 12th District told me it was okay, and he will support it, and I ask for your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "There be no request for discussion, the question is 'Shall Amendment #15 be adopted?'. Representative J.J. Wolf on Amendment #15."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Amendment would add \$1,000,000 from ag premium funds for the development costs of a shopping center in East Garfield. Now I happen to have the statute in front of me, and you can't use the agricultural premium funds for this. You can use it for expenses of operating fairs, prize money, distribution to county fairs, personal services, for agriculture advisors, distribution of agricultural home economics, it is a whole list, and nowhere is there anything in the Act that permits us to use agricultural premium funds for development of a shopping center, and I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Robbins on the Amendment."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker I feel like the Amendment will do damage to the Bill as it goes back to the Senate. I request a 'no' vote, and a roll call vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ropp on the Amendment."

Ropp: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I guess I would just like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he'll yield."

Ropp: "And that is whether or not you are attempting to bypass the intent of the current statute. I wouldn't

have anything wrong if this Body determined that they were to come up with \$1,000,000 for some study of developing a shopping center, but I just question whether or not this is the appropriate fund to get it out of, and I hate to get the General Assembly in a bind by taking money out of a fund which statutorily was not permitted."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Henry."

Henry: "Mr. Speaker, I want to answer that. It is my understanding that the fund is used to reconstruct and develop fair grounds. We are talking about doing the same thing in the inner city to construct an institution to disperse agricultural products and to teach the youngsters what we are doing downstate with a lot of money that we serve all over the State of Illinois. I think that answered your question."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich on the Amendment."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would agree that this is not the normal place for this type of an appropriation. However, I've got to agree with Representative Henry's logic, because if you for example went to the race track in Chicago today, you will find a good percentage of black people are race...are betting at that race track. And Representative Henry's logic in this instance is that the agricultural premium funds which come out of betting at the race track ought to in some measure be spent and help those people who are spending their earnings at those race tracks. And I think that we as the General Assembly ought to support that notion in

this instance that it is going to help the inner city in those areas that they are spending their hard earned money over the race tracks. This is the logic that...in fact, he's got me laughing now...the Gentleman up on the podium makes such a great oratory over so many...for so many years, and therefore, I rise even though there also is that logic that this may not be the place for it, the Department of Agriculture budget, but I think that I ought to support Representative Henry because of...of the need in this instance."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kent on the Amendment. Kent...Kent..."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am so glad you're in the Chair today. One thing I would like to remind you that the premium fund has very definite...requirements, and this does not come under those requirements, and also I would like to urge Representative Henry that there are 4-H programs in the Chicago area. I will be more than happy to help him to develop 4-H programs...more of them in that area. This is where those young people will learn, and I will do all I can to see that they are there."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bradley on the Amendment."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the Gentleman on the Amendment. I recall there was some question when the money from the ag premium fund was used for building and rebuilding McCormick place. I think that we've used some of the ag fund money for various civic centers throughout the State of Illinois, and I think that if this does not meet the statutes, the Governor

can take a look at it and veto it, but...and I think we're pretty liberal in what we have been doing with ag premium fund dollars, and I think we ought to go ahead and support this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cullerton on the Amendment.
Representative Bradley."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in favor of the Amendment. We talk about the purposes of the agricultural premium fund. We all know what the purposes are, and we all know about the deal that was cut downstaters back a few years ago to allow us to have betting so we could help out the agricultural problems in the state. What about the excess money that this fund brings in every year? Now there's \$44,000 going to the 4-H clubs in Cook County. That's all. There is four...over \$40,000,000 in that fund right now that the Governor has refused to transfer into the general revenue fund but which eventually will go there. That is excess money. We only spend about \$13,000,000 every year, and we bring in about 25 or 26. The betting in the Cook County tracks albeit some people from downstate and outside Cook County go, but the majority...well over majority come from Cook County, is bringing in an excess of money. It is not being spent. It is going back into the fund in the general...eventually into the general revenue fund. And the Gentleman's motion is surely in order, and is appropriate to take some of that excess money that is being generated, 86% of it in Cook County, and asking that some of it come back."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Huff on the Amendment."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just simply want to make the observation that 80% of the handles generated at the race tracks like 'Sportsman, Maywood, Balmore, and Hawthorne' are put there by black voters. At \$2,000,000 a day blacks are by far the largest contributors to the race track handle. Therefore, the largest contributors to the state revenue. It would seem, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, only fair that some of these dollars be returned on a one time basis to assist the development...for the lack of a sematical term, urban fair and exposition center, the creation of which would provide services and jobs and increase the percapita earnings and even further increase the revenues to the state."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Henry to close. Representative Henry, do you want to close?"

Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I heard in debate, Mr. Speaker, following the statute. I remember last year \$800,000 left that same fund to repair a building that had been destroyed by a fire. I thought I would make that point, and I ask for a favorable vote on the Amendment #15."

Speaker Giorgi: "There's been a request for a roll call, so all those in favor of the Amendment will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 66 'ayes', 61 'nays', and one voting 'present'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #16, Birchler,..."

Speaker Bradley: "Yeah, the Gentleman...the Gentleman from Randolph, are you withdrawing that Amendment, Mr. Birchler?"

Birchler: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I am withdrawing Amendment #16."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman...the Gentleman withdraws Amendment #16. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #17, Tuerk, amends Senate Bill 1635 as amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk. Yeah, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would like to withdraw Amendment..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment...#17 was it?"

Tuerk: "Right."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, it is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18, Tuerk..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk. Mr. Tuerk...turn Mr..."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #18 appropriates \$25,000 from the fair and exposition fund, the Department of Ag for a grant to the Heart of Illinois Fair. Now what this planning money does is allow the Heart of Illinois Fair and the Exposition Gardens Board to do some planning relative to construction of some sort of facility or extension of facilities so that they are able to present better shows and therefore draw and hold better crowds in their stands. This is the planning money. I don't

know precisely what there is in mind at the moment other than there has been some talk about the possibility that it may be in the form of a park, a stadium of sort, but something that is going to expand the facilities of the grandstand and therefore, that's what this money is appropriated into the funds for."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment...the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #19, Alexander-Gaines-Henry, amends Senate Bill 1635..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Alexander."

Alexander: "Mr. Speaker, I withdraw Amendment #19."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #19. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20, Alexander-Gaines-Henry, amends Senate Bill 1635 as amended in the title inserting before the period the following."

~~Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Alexander."~~

Alexander: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and fellow Statepersons. Amendment #20 is precise in its wording. It has no hidden gimmicks, and the appropriation request of \$2,000,000 is sorely needed for the service contained and asked for in this Amendment. It is not a black Amendment. It is not a white Amendment. It is not a Majority Amendment. It is not a Minority Amendment. It is an Amendment to help the forgotten middle average citizen of the State of Illinois. There is a request that \$2,000,000 be appropriated to the Comptroller for the Department of Human Services of

the City of Chicago and for programs of the crisis intervention and day care services in that city. I ask your favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Mr. Walsh, the Gentleman from Cook."

Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, I reluctantly have to rise in opposition to this. We're proposing under this Amendment to take \$2,000,000 in state funds and the state does fund a crisis intervention program through the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs with federal funds. This is proposing new state money. It just seems to me that through the crisis intervention program with federal dollars would be...would be the way to go. Also, the...social service appropriation should not be made through the Comptroller. Public aid would be more appropriate, and I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Mr. Robbins."

Robbins: "The last Section, 23, of the Amendment makes this ~~take effect July 1 of 1980.~~ I would presume that we might be through concurrence on this Amendment by then, and how can you have an Act take effect before the Governor signs it? I guess I am new to this law. I think the Amendment is defective, and I ask that the Amendment be...be withdrawn on that account."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor of the Amendment please yield? Representative Alexander, is this what you and I dicussed in regard to the raising of the rates for day care services that we both had a

concern about?"

Alexander: "Yes it is, 'Dolly'."

Hallstrom: "Well, after you talked to Mr. 'Biliger', is it now true then, since they are not going to raise those rates, that there is not really this emergency need for the money at this time?"

Alexander: "Yes and no, and I think I've applied this to you. Mr. 'Biliger' informed me that there has been meetings throughout the City of Chicago in response to the number of requests from parents who were paying fees but who were being told that their rates per child were going from \$39 to \$125. That because of this request of parents for reconsideration, that the immediate fee cost would not into affect, that they had decided to increase but not immediately, and I am merely asking that this money be set aside for this for many of the day cares in my area who will...these managers or the persons who are handling them because of lack of funds, because of the cutback in services through the federal cuts have had to go throughout the neighborhood begging milk from the local grocery stores and day old rolls and bread in order that their little 'wards' may have something during the time that they are into those...I mean day care services. I still think this is a good cause, and I cannot withdraw from that position having attended many meetings in my district with regards to day care crisis that exist in my district."

Hallstrom: "Well, as you may know, I am very concerned about day care services also, but after the discussion with Mr. 'Biliger', it was my understanding that the

department is not going to raise those now, and we have so much need for money, for services, for children, for the kinds of things that you're looking for. I am just wondering should we put this \$2,000,000 now into this when the reasons we started with the Amendment has now passed, and they're not going to raise, you know, the fees."

Alexander: "Dolly, the fees are going to be raised, but the amount to be determined I have pre stated, has been re...thought of, and the fees will be readjusted according to incomes of the family. In the beginning instant it was going to be just a broad, across the board increase. But Mr. 'Biliger' has given me the understanding that it will be guaged upon the parents' income on a graduated scale. I do not know what that amount was. I asked him if he could possibly give me a projection of what he thought it might be. He said he was not at this time at liberty to give me that precise information."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to suggest two reasons for all of us to join with Representative Alexander in voting 'yes' on Amendment #20 to provide much needed dollars for day care and for crisis intervention. Many of us are concerned with the escalating cost of public aid. We want to see people supporting themselves and their families. Only if child care can be provided for many parents is it possible for them to hold down jobs or to get the...to develop the skills in order to hold down jobs so I...I believe that one of the most important steps

that we can take in cutting down the number of recipients on the public aid roles is to provide adequate funding for day care so that parents can hold jobs while their children are being properly and adequately cared for. The second reason that I would like to offer to you as I urge you to vote 'yes' on Amendment #20 is that by providing sufficient child care funds, you are helping keep families together. Again and again as I visit day care centers I learn of mothers, of fathers, single parents who are only able to keep their children with them and provide for their children because child care is available. The young father with his children, the mother with her children may be required to sign those children over to a state agency, and for those children to be consigned to foster homes simply because they are not able to provide adequate care for their children while they go out and earn a living for themselves and those children. I can think of no more important action that this Legislature could take than to support child care funding if we really want to help keep families together, if we really want to support the family in our society. Please vote 'yes'."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to raise a question of the chair just momentarily, and the question is why do we have so many appropriation Bills? Why don't we just have one Bill and we can put everything in on it. Are we really kind of wasting time when we vote on 40 appropriations when we could just do that on one?"

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Bradley: "That's been considered, but that's not the chair's problem."

Ropp: "Well, I guess...I think that's a point that maybe the chair or the whole House ought to have some reason with as to whether or not this Amendment and maybe even others ought to be considered into a particular appropriation that has a separate department that deals with specifics set out by the statutes that this Body have enacted and signed into law, when in fact, we have other departments which this appropriation and this Amendment could be attached to. Why are we attaching it to the Department of Agriculture appropriation budget? Would...would someone have an answer just for my own clarification?"

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentle...Well, the Lady has the right to offer an Amendment to this particular Bill, and if it is germane, and you're not raising a question of germaneness, we can certainly...she has every right and entitled to consideration by the House. and so far as the first part of your question, the House two or three years ago...four years ago made an attempt to consolidate appropriation Bills into a half of a dozen Bills, but I don't think this is the time to discuss that right now with the chair. That's not my problem. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Mrs. Alexander, you wish to close?"

Alexander: "This is my first Session here in this General

Assembly, and I've heard, and I've voted for issues that benefit the poor, the aged, the handicapped, banks, farmers, industries, retail merchants, schools, and the likes. Now I'm asking that this House consider voting 'aye' for Amendment #20 which will benefit Mr. Average Citizen so that he can keep his head above water and be able to function as he should. I respectfully request an 'aye' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Lady's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the chair the 'ayes' have it. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. 80...84 'ayes', 69 'nos', and the motion prevails. I thought that was about...when I heard the vocal roll being determined about 84-69. Further Amendments? Oh, wait a minute. 84-69. The motion is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #21, Peters, amends Senate Bill 1635 as amended by inserting directly after Section 18..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment in the amount of \$200,000 for local government. It is something that we forgot when we dealt with some of the other appropriations. It has been cleared with Representative Matijevich, Chairman of the Appropriations and Representative Wolf, the Minority Spokesman. I would solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion

signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #22, Davis, amends Senate Bill 1635 as amended by inserting after the last..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. A rather small inconsequential Amendment to replace the canopy at the Will County Fair damaged in the 1978-79 snow storm that got lost in the shuffle last year. It amounts to only \$1,270. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none, the question's on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'...the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. Senate Bill...16...Mr. Vinson, the Gentleman from DeWitt."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I appreciate the recognition in a timely fashion. The previous Gentleman in the chair bypassed House Bill...Senate Bill 1614. He jumped from 1578 to 1635 which clearly violates Rule 37A2, and I would appreciate it if you would return to 1614 so that it could be heard at this time. I was in my chair and sought recognition at the appropriate time, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, since I've...as long as I'm going to be in the chair...1635, we're going to go to 1636 next, and I can't go out of line. We're in that position now. I understand that debate has already

taken place. 16...Senate Bill 1636."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1636, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Conservation, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman..."

Clerk O'Brien: "...#1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, and 12 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any...motions relative to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #3 by Representative Ryan."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Ryan on Amendment...on the tabling motion on #3."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, we have some Members who have been trying to get your attention here, and I, you know, if we're going to start playing these kind of games this early in the day, why we might as well just go all the way with it. You've got Representative Walsh, Representative Vinson here trying to get your attention. If you're going to set up there and do what Redmond does, you might as well bring him back out. The real Speaker, Mr. Cullerton. Would you represent...would you recognize Representative Vinson. That's your running mate."

Vinson: "Mr...Mr...I know that, and he is an excellent one, let me assure you of that. The problem with 1614 as I understand it..."

Ryan: "I didn't ask you what the problem was. I asked you to repre...recognize the Gentleman please."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would request that we go to House Bill 1614..."

Speaker Bradley: "You have an...there has been an Amendment..."

Vinson: "It was bypassed in the normal order of call in violation of the rules."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, there has been an Amendment that has been, I understand, filed...a long thick Amendment. We're waiting for it to come up..., Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "That Amendment has been filed. That Amendment was printed and distributed two days ago. It is on every Member's desk. It is a bipartisan Amendment. Everybody has it, and we ought to go to that Bill as the rules prescribe."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've got a Bill on the Calendar. They skipped over me, and I didn't say a word, and I think...I think, Sam, you've been here long enough to know that in these closing days we try to accomodate everybody. We're going to get to you. You know that. Don't worry about it. And...I kept my Bill on the call to accomodate some Republican Members who are going to come with some Amendments to my Bill. So don't worry about it. We'll get to you. And why get to your Bill if we're going to withhold our votes? We could just leave you hanging. You'll be on postponed, Sam. So we're going to accomodate you, we're going to help you, so let's help each other..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, regardless of what Representative Matijevich is doing with his Bill and

notwithstanding his threats of retaliation, under House Rule 37A you have no option but to go to that Bill on the order of call."

Speaker Bradley: "I am on...I was on the order of call at 1635. The next order..."

Collins: "You don't have any right to go by 1614..."

Speaker Bradley: "I didn't go by 1614."

Collins: "Yes you did."

Speaker Bradley: "No, Sir."

Collins: "And...and the Gentleman who preceded you in the Chair did it earlier and assured us that he would get back to it."

Speaker Bradley: "I didn't...I didn't..."

Collins: "You are in direct violation of House..."

Speaker Bradley: "I'll take it up with Mr. Giorgi. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Representative...Representative Bradley, you've called 1636. You've called the necessary Amendments, so let's get on with 1636."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright... Mr. Ryan, did you want to persist...you have a motion on Amendment #3 to 1636."

Ryan: "Withdraw Amendment #3."

Speaker Bradley: "He withdraws Amendment...is it his Amendment?"

Ryan: "I've got a motion there. I want to withdraw the motion."

Speaker Bradley: "Withdraw the motion? Alright. The Gentleman withdraws the motion. Alright then, any other motions relative to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1636 by Representative Ryan."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Ryan on that."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr...Mr. Speaker...I would like to move to table Amendment #4 which would restore the \$350,000 for the Illinois Young Adult Conservation Corps."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #4. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye'...The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, so that the House is aware of what House Committee Amendment #4 is, this would eliminate \$350,000 in general revenue funds in state funding added by the Senate for the Illinois Young Adult Conservation Corps. The reason for the Amendment, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we would be for the first time appropriating state funds for this program. The...there is an uncertainty of the program on the federal level, and in fact when President Carter mentioned the proposed federal cuts, this program was listed number one. Number one in ~~priority of eliminating it. Eliminating it as a~~ federal program, and one of the reasons for the elimination was that it is a high cost program in return for what we get. In other words, \$13,000 in federal funds per enrollee. I think Representative Keane in the Committee said that we'd be better off if we spent \$13,000. We spend less than that in scholarships to send someone to the University of Illinois. And we'd be better off doing that, but the logic for it...there is only one logic you could have in keeping the \$350,000 and that is to continue the program for this fiscal year. However, my fear is,

and I think it...I don't think I am a conservative on this floor of the House, my fear is that if we keep this \$350,000 and the federal government does eliminate the program as they said that they would, even though the director said they will eliminate the program in the future, my fear is once we do appropriate state funds for a program much as we have done in the past, then next year we are going to have the baby from then on, and I think that it is not worth it. We found that the program is a costly one. It is not worth it for the state to pick up this program, and therefore, that is why I as Chairman and the Committee supported Committee Amendment #4, and that is why I urge the Membership to vote against the motion to table."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, hasn't this motion been withdrawn and he is talking about Amendment..."

Speaker Bradley: "No, that's...not on four. On three it was withdrawn, not on four."

McGrew: "My apology."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in support of this motion. I would like to point out to you just what this program is all about. The Young Adult Conservation Corps has around the state about 18 difference facilities ranging in places from as far north as Rockford to as far south as Carbondale. In my line of thinking, they do an excellent job. They employ and put to work students

and young people between the ages of 16 and 23. And mind you, it is very important to keep in mind what kind of kids we have here in this program. The people we have in this program, ones who will not be employed unless they can have this kind of a job this summer. As you all are aware, the unemployment problem of youth in our state is a major crisis. If you have these kids unemployed this summer, you're going to have a major crime problem, and these two tie together, because at the present time about half of all juveniles' crimes are committed by those in this kind of category. And furthermore, half of all felonies being committed today are being committed by juveniles. If you take away this program this summer when we need it the most, you're going to find probably a direct correlation in terms of the crime in our state. But more importantly in crime itself you have to talk about what is going to happen to these kids. Many of these kids are wayward kids not sure where they're going in their future and don't really know what to do. This program gives them something to do in training them skills. They help work in the parks. They cut down trees, learn how to make construction project, make trails, restrooms, and so on. It is a very important training tool for these kids, many of them who are drop-outs. If you cut this program, you're not only going to have a direct increase in crime, but also you're going to leave these kids with nothing to do, no skills whatsoever, and going on unemployment, overall hurt the state. I would say to you today that for a mere \$350,000 we

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

have a chance to keep a very essential program, essential to all our youth in our state...statewide. I would urge you to support this motion. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is very interesting to sit here and listen to the Members from the other side of the aisle. When Cook County and Chicago has an Amendment in for some funds for the inner city, when Carol Braun and some of the other Members of the black caucus have Amendments in to help their children, their juveniles, and then they emphasize the fact that things will happen this summer if they don't get some funds, that the highest unemployment rate is among black teenagers, what do we hear from the other side of the aisle? Nothing but negative comments and 'no' votes. But what we have here is a program for the white community in downstate Illinois. Not for the juvenile delinquents, not for ~~the underprivileged, but this program caters to the~~ middle class person who is out of work because he is in between his sophomore and junior year in high school or he is between high school and college, and he needs the job to get out of his parents' hair. That is what this program is all about. It's not for the underprivileged. This program is a patronage program for the rich, and I say to you as John Matijevich said, 'This is the first time we're funding this program. We're taking over for the federal government.' And all of you who sit here, and you say year after year that you don't like revenue sharing,

and that you don't like picking up federal programs, should be voting against this motion to table because here again, a federal program has been dumped on the state again...of Illinois. And here again, we're going to pass \$350,000 to pick up this patronage program for the rich. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hate to interrupt at a time like this, but unlike his brother, he is very industrious, has to get back to work. Somewhere down the line, his brother, his twin brother went astray and joined the Democratic Party, but I've got Committeeman...the Republican Committeeman from Berwyn, Mr. Pechous at my left here with his wife, Tyla, sitting with his twin brother."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I find it odd that I stand as a Minority Member of the Minority Party speaking for ~~something which we have long been fighting for~~ and that is the fact that here we have another federal program that has proved its worthlessness and yet vested interest in the state want to prevail by having us now start funding it with general revenue funds. Time and time again I have seen Members from my side of the aisle get up and warn about what is going to happen with some of these programs. Here we have a prime example. The federal government has felt that the program isn't worth the funding, and now we are asked to pick up a good portion of the tab. I am just surprised that we sit here and let the Democrats pick

up the thunder. I find myself in complete agreement with Representative Matijevich and Representative Darrow. This program ought to be abolished. The federal government feels it should be. It is worthless, and it is one that we should not waste dollars on either, and I would recommend that we vote against the motion to table."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the motion to table. It just is not true that this Amendment or that the money that we're talking about here represents the state pick up of the federal program. That is not true. That is not the goal of this money. That is not going to happen. The state couldn't possibly fund the entire program. We know that. There is no goal of doing that. The problem is as Representative Matijevich said it out and then said he didn't believe the director or afraid that it would turn out not to be correct. The problem is that the ~~federal funds may or may not run out in this coming~~ fiscal year. The program has commitments. We want to move ahead with the program as it is. If the federal funds are cut, then next year that is the end of the program. We all know that. The Department knows that. The people in the division know that. I know that. The Sponsor of the Bill knows that. Let me focus on some...a couple of points before I quit. Representative Hallock was absolutely correct in talking about the things that this does for the kids that are involved in the program. But let me focus on another part of the program. Every one of you in

every district in this state has had a project done by the Young Adult Conservation Corps. I, in my district, have had a couple of situations where they have worked a couple of times in state parks and other times dealing with...with the grounds of the Jacksonville State Hospital, and they have done good work. Not only for the money do we get kids trained in learning to work in responsible situations, but we also get good work done in conservation projects and beautification projects, and every one of you in your district if you know it, and if you'll look at the record has had these projects. We are not...we are not trying to pick up at the state level a federal program. If the federal funds in fact are ended in this coming fiscal year, that unfortunately will be the end of this good program. What we're trying to do is provide some stability for the program during this time of uncertainty on the federal funds, and I would ask for a favorable roll call on the motion to table."

~~Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen:"~~

Pullen: "So the program will end if the feds cut it off, huh? It will be very entertaining next year when the same Legislators tell us that we have to pick up the funds because the feds have cut it off because this program is so dandy and terrific. The Governor of this state is very concerned about what it happening with federal revenue sharing to the state because he is afraid that it is going to cut off a fair amount of revenue, and he thinks the answer to that is to stop tax relief Bills. I think the answer to that is to stop spending. This is a really good place to start,

and for the Gentleman on the other side who suggested that this side of the aisle is going to be protecting this appropriation, I want you to know that there is more than one Member of this side of the aisle who is not going to be protecting this appropriation. I would rather protect the taxpayers. This is a good program to start cutting on. Let's support the Committee Amendment and defeat the tabling motion."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan to close the debate."

Ryan: "I move to table Amendment #4, Mr. Speaker. I ask a favorable roll call."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to table...All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 62 'ayes', and 72 'nos'. And the motion fails. Further...any other motions relative to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions...no further motions."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any other Floor Amendments? Or are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended on page four."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Skinner in the chamber? Take it out of the record. Further Amendments? Yeah, Mr. Skinner has arrived. Mr. Skinner on Amendment #13. Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, last year when we were discussing the non-game wildlife check off Bill, we presented the estimates of the Department of Conservation as to how

much this would raise through voluntary contributions from income tax payers in the State of Illinois. If you'll remember, it was a \$10 per...per taxpayer check off out of the refund. So there would be no diversion of tax monies. It would be all new money voluntary contributions. The estimate of the Department of Conservation last year in testimony was that it would raise \$1,000,000 for non-game wildlife in the State of Illinois. The Governor in vetoing the Bill said that he did not believe that state...state expenditures should be financed through voluntary contributions. This was about five days before he announced the Instant Lottery by the way. The...he subsequently did put in money into the budget for non-game wildlife, but it was nowhere near \$1,000,000. Well, I think that the State of Illinois should be willing to put in as much money into the conservation budget for non-game wildlife as would have been raised through voluntary contributions. That's \$1,000,000... Amendment #13 deletes the Committee figure of \$228,100 and inserts in lieu thereof \$1,000,000."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion?"

Skinner: "Excuse me. Mr. Speaker, the wrong indication is up there. I'm not trying to table #13, I'm trying to adopt #13."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Discussion? Hearing none,...on the...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "This would add a check off to our income tax form. Is that correct?"

Skinner: "No."

Leverenz: "How do you do it then?"

Skinner: "Well, we're going to take it out of the general fund. The Governor says that it shouldn't come from voluntary contributions. It should come from tax dollars, and it is okay with me. It really doesn't matter to me where the money comes from."

Leverenz: "How do you indicate that you want to do it?"

Skinner: "You vote for the Amendment."

Leverenz: "It is just a straight appropriation here?"

Skinner: "Yes."

Leverenz: "I see. Not a..."

Skinner: "I was explaining what would have happened had the Governor not vetoed Virginia Macdonald's and my check off Bill."

Leverenz: "That was the one where you wanted to check off on the income tax."

Skinner: "Yes."

Leverenz: "I'm still against it. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from..."

Skinner: "You're against non-game wildlife, or you're against a check off?"

Leverenz: "That check off system, and perhaps this will help my conservative voting record."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Yes, I would like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield."

Van Duyne: "Cal, I was listening to you, but I never really got the synopsis of this...correct me if I am wrong. Is this to stock any type of wildlife, water fowl, hunting units, or fishing...game preserves or what? I

never heard you."

Skinner: "No, this is to improve the habitat of non-game wildlife. It is supported by the Illinois Federation of Wildlife or...Illinois Wildlife Federation."

Van Dyne: "You mean the endangered species type thing?"

Skinner: "It would...some of them would be endangered, yeah...eagles..."

Van Dyne: "Hawks..."

Skinner: "Hawks, turtles...but if you do improve any wildlife habitat, quite obviously, that wildlife which is hunted also benefits because at minimum, some of the wildlife would be in the food chain, and if...and quite probably, some of those wildlife would be wildlife that is hunted or fished."

Van Dyne: "Well, okay. That was my point exactly. You know, we have a very large conservation area in Will County, the Des Plains conservation area, and we had a little bit of a joke here last year when one of the people from Bloomington didn't want the \$20 or \$30,000 that was appropriated for their duck preserves or whatever it was, and of course, I told him at that time if he didn't want it, I would take it. Now could some of this money be construed to go or at least within the perimeters of it, to go to some of the four lakes up there in our area...the northern part of the state for...well, making it more profitable for the hunters who go out there and hunt ducks or so...within that...at least in that area?"

Skinner: "As long as it is benefiting non...non-game wildlife in some...by some stretch of the imagination, I would think it could be spent there."

Van Dyne: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #14, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to withdraw Amendment #14...It is slightly out of order."

Speaker Bradley: "He withdraws Amendment #...14. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #15, Vinson, amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to withdraw Amendment #15."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #15. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #16, Vinson, amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #16 provides approximately \$1,500,000 for additional staff for the Land and Historical Sites Division of the Department of Conservation. The purpose of the Amendment is that through the past two years the affect of Senate action has been to substantially compress growth...reasonable growth of this division. And the result has been that despite adding three of the biggest state parks in Illinois to the system over those two years, the

division has been unable to provide adequate staffing at those state parks and at existing state parks. The three new parks that have been added are at Lake Clinton, at Lake Newton, and at...one that is coming on...on stream in just about a month in Randolph County. Existing state parks are so substantially understaffed that the one with which I am most familiar, New Salem, the Department is unable to even provide a full-time night watchman to protect that historic village from vandalism. The situation at other state parks is so bad that we have reached a point where the lakes are being destroyed by litter because there is no water patrol, where there is no protection against vandalism whatsoever, where potentially very useful parks that I think are particularly important in light of the fact that people are taking shorter vacations with the energy crunch and so forth cannot be used. The campsites aren't put in. The facilities are not developed. They are not maintained, and the...in short, the whole system is underserved. So I would move for adoption of...of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The...Sponsor yield for a few questions?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Leverenz: "Was this in the Governor's budget?"

Vinson: "Part of it was, Representative. Part of this represents a restoration of Senate cuts, but it is...the Amendment in total goes beyond the Governor's budget because I..."

Leverenz: "How far has it gone?"

Vinson: "I believe that the need goes beyond the Governor's budget."

Leverenz: "How far beyond?"

Vinson: "I believe approximately \$100,000."

Leverenz: "In other words, you are...doubling it."

Vinson: "No."

Leverenz: "You said one million six, and you said \$800,000 beyond the Governor's budget."

Vinson: "Well, we're talking..."

Leverenz: "That's double."

Vinson: "Well, we're talking about an appropriation for this line of some \$18 to \$20,000,000...well, for personal services of some \$10 to \$11,000,000. We're not doubling that. Now we are...we are more than restoring the Senate cuts. That's right."

Leverenz: "Well, how much money is the Amendment actually? You said a million six."

Vinson: "One million five."

Leverenz: "One million five."

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Leverenz: "How many positions is that?"

Vinson: "Well, we estimate that we will provide..."

Leverenz: "You spoke of two."

Vinson: "I what?"

Leverenz: "Well, you said...you talked about two. One was a night watchman..."

Vinson: "No, I talked about three new...entirely new state parks that have to be staffed..."

Leverenz: "How many people?"

Vinson: "In fact about shortages in personnel in every park in the system."

Leverenz: "How many people is that?"

Vinson: "It repre...it represents a total...of 70 to 80 new positions plus..."

Leverenz: "70 to 80..."

Vinson: "Plus 500...plus 582 man months of...of part-time help."

Leverenz: "Is this the...I would be in support of this if you were going to transfer the ag people over here."

Vinson: "I don't care about the ag people. I care about the park system."

Leverenz: "And the Governor's position now on your Amendment is what?"

Vinson: "I haven't talked with the Governor about it. I thought it was the Legislature's duty to fund and appropriate, Representative."

Leverenz: "I just thought he might give you some indication. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "...The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "First of all, I have been asked if the Gentleman would yield."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Matijeovich: "I have been asked by somebody, where is Lake 'Uton'...'Uton'?"

Vinson: "Where is lake what?"

Matijeovich: "Did you say 'Uton'?"

Vinson: "No."

Matijeovich: "No? That's what the Chair was asking me to ask you that question. I don't..."

Vinson: "I'm not aware of any Lake 'Uton', and I didn't mention it."

Speaker Bradley: "I thought you said Lake 'Uton'. I was wondering where is Lake 'Uton'?"

Vinson: "I've never heard of Lake 'Uton'."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Ropp..."

Vinson: "...Lake Newton is what I said."

Matijevich: "Oh, I see."

Vinson: "It's in Jasper County."

Ropp: "No, no, no, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Ropp."

Ropp "The town...the town of 'Uton' is two and a half miles from where I live, and two weeks ago when we had that eight inches of rain there was Lake 'Uton'."

Vinson: "You want a ranger there. Is that what you said?"

Ropp: "I would sure like to be a ranger there."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Matijevich, did you have other questions?"

Matijevich: "Yes, and now, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would like to seriously rise to oppose this Amendment, and again, I find myself in the posture where I am not necessarily a conservative; however, the increased level of appropriation is far in excess of the Governor's budget. A 13% increase over the original request or nine...29% above...the FY '80 expenditure. Now this, if we approve this Amendment #16 depending on how the Governor...the Department spends this money, the division could hire 94...94 new full-time employees. And I...I think that there is no doubt about it, if any of you know what the Senate is...doing over there with new full-time employees, we're not going to get anywhere with this anyhow. So I think that the best posture of the House ought to be

to dispose of this right now by voting against the Amendment. Even if we went through a Conference Committee I see no hope for you, Representative Vinson, so I think the best thing for the Legislature in this House to do right now is to oppose Amendment #16."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Brummer: "You indicated one of the sites that you were concerned about was Lake Newton in Jasper County in the 54th District. Would you indicate what the plans are with regard to the...that portion of the appropriation...Amendment concerning Newton Lake...how many dollars? How many positions? What the plans are?"

Vinson: "I could tell you the..the positions. It would provide for two maintenance workers and a ranger."

Brummer: "So three positions."

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Brummer: "How many staff people are there currently?"

Vinson: "I don't know. What I understand what they have done is to scavage funds from the whole system to try to provide some start up staff for these new parks. I don't know how many are currently employed at Lake Newton."

Brummer: "Okay, there are three...three of the 70 to 80 positions at Newton where are the other 77 then?"

Vinson: "Well, they're...they're scattered through the whole

system. They are. .."

Brummer: "Well, you indicated this was for three new parks."

Vinson: "I indicated that..."

Brummer: "One was Newton, one was Clinton, and one was someplace else."

Vinson: "Representative Brummer, if you'd tune in your hearing aid, I indicated that it was for three new parks and for increasing staffing in every existing park."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Brummer, are you completed?"

Brummer: "Yes."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Mr. Vinson to close."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think it is well known that Illinois' park system lags behind the nation. In many cases we pick up sites which we never properly develop, never make properly usable by Illinois residents or indeed by residents who would commend Illinois from other states if we maintained those parks like other states do. What this Amendment provides for is the necessary level of staff to do that. Some people have indicated that it is too much money. I think we need to spend that money because I think if we make those parks usable it will do a lot for tourism, and it will do a lot to provide recreation facilities for Illinois citizens. Some people have indicated that the Senate won't like it."

I...I think that at some point in the appropriations process it is proper indeed necessary for the House to draw the line and to tell the Senate they've got to do something we care about. With this Amendment we could do that. As I indicated earlier, there are three entirely new parks brought into the system in the past year. Despite that, what the Senate would like to do is provide for a total increase over last year of seven percent. Now with inflation running at an 18% rate with an addition of three new parks, what that means is we're reducing our efforts in conservation, in park operation, and park maintenance at precisely the time when Illinois residents want to use the parks more. I find that foolish. I think it is inappropriate. This Amendment will correct that, and I would ask you for 'yes' votes."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Mr. Van Duyne to explain his vote."

Van Duyne: "Yes, I had my light on, Mr. Speaker, for quite a while. I wanted to ask a question, and I...it would have some bearing on whether I vote in support of this Amendment or not. I don't really know what the definition of a park is, but I'm sure the Representative, the Sponsor of the Amendment, knows that they're going to open up one of the few lakes in northern Illinois which is known as the Collins...Collins Lake which was built by GE for the nuclear power station up there. It is a cooling lake, 2,000 acres, and they are going to open that up for fishing and hunting this fall. Is there any...would

there be any money in here for the Department to take over operation because...operation of this because that is going to be their obligation. And of the answer is no, I am going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Vinson to explain his vote."

Vinson: "We'll get you something."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Totten to explain his vote."

Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What the Sponsor is almost accomplishing by this is having more people inspecting parks than there are people going to the parks. And we are fast coming up to that with this addition of almost 90 additional employees. It is apparent also that even though I may have some sympathies for his re-election, that this will completely staff all the park precinct captains in DeWitt County for the Sponsor of the Amendment. I think that to some extent that may be worthwhile, but I don't think it is worthwhile at taxpayers' expense, and that we ought to ~~defeat this addition as it is over and above the~~ Governor's budget."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 71 'ayes', and 71 'nays'. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow. 71 'ayes', and 71 'nays'. Mr...Marovitz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded 'aye' please."

Speaker Bradley: "What was that, Mr. Marovitz?"

Marovitz: "I would like to be recorded 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Record Mr. Marovitz as voting 'no'. Mr.

Ronan."

Ronan: "Yeah, I wanted to explain my vote. That's...that's the only thing. I think that this is an admirable Amendment, and I think that Representative Vinson who is a real fine spokesman for the parks deserves the kind of votes on this type of...of an Amendment. As one of the real supporters of the parks in Cook County, I think that some friends on this side of the aisle should join Mr. Vinson and staff those parks. That's all I wanted to say."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Oblinger. Mrs. Oblinger wishes to be recorded 'aye'. And Mr. Skinner...'aye'. Mrs. Frederick, 'aye'. Mr. Reilly...McMaster, 'aye'. Oh Reilly. Reilly wanted to be recorded 'aye'. I'm sorry, and McMaster 'aye'."

McMaster: "Change me from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "From 'no' to 'aye', and Mr. Molloy, 'aye'. Mr. McGrew 'no'. Mr. White, 'no'. Mr. Katz, 'no'. And out of the balcony, Mr. O'Brien, 'aye'. And Mr. Greiman, 'no'. Okay, what's the count? Mr. McCourt, 'aye'. Mr. Rigney, 'aye'. Mr. DiPrima from 'no' to 'aye'. Any further changes? What's the count, Mr... On this question there are 80 'ayes', 72 'nos', and the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, very briefly, Mr. Speaker, we are privileged today to have three select students from the Illinois government class studying the legislative process in both the House and the Senate from Mount Vernon High School in the 54th District represented by Representative Robbins, Representative Bower, and

myself. They are accompanied by their teacher, Larry Pierson. They are next to the Lincoln picture in the Republican gallery."

Speaker Bradley: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #17, Younge, amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #17 would appropriate \$3,000,000 to the Department of Conservation to be used for summer jobs for intercity...intercity youth. We have a phenomena in the State of Illinois involving an overall rate of some 38% unemployment among young black males between the ages of 16 and 19 years as compared with 13% unemployment for white males, and the figures are equally shocking having to do with females in that age category. This is the age category which is basically unemployed. This Amendment would correct the...the ~~problem that Representative Darrow talked about in~~ terms of the Young Adult Conservation Corps Program in that there are not too many intercity youths that get a chance...an opportunity to participate in this program. This program is a very good program. The young people go to the various parks and they learn carpentry. They learn about nature. They don't have an opportunity to get in trouble, and commit crimes, but they learn the positive attitudes as to how to work and how to make them productive members of society. The Conservation...Department of Conservation wants to do this program. It would take this \$3,000,000 and create 1,000 jobs at about \$3,000

apiece. Therefore, accounting for the \$3,000,000 amount. We have had two things that happened this year which signaled the direction in which we ought to go in...in reference to solving this problem or doing something about the problem of unemployment of young people in intercities. First of all, there were about 25,000 young people that demonstrated earlier this year in the City of Chicago. That is very serious. Secondly, there was a march on Washington in which thousands of people from all over the nation went to the...the federal government in order to plead for more employment and to...the creation of jobs for intercity youth. This is a good program. The Department of Conservation wants this program, and I think because there is such a growing 'growth'...crisis among the unemployed...ability of young people that we ought to appropriate these funds so this program can be continued and be successful, and I ask for your support in this matter."

~~Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."~~

Wolf: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates she will."

Wolf: "Representative Younger, is this the same Amendment or a similar one that was offered in Committee that was defeated in the Appropriations I Committee?"

Younger: "Yes, I believe it is. It was slightly defeated. It is an important Amendment, Senator Wolf...Representative Wolf. It is important that we do something about the...the very chronic problem of unemployment among young...young people in the intercity. And that is why I am going ahead and

offering it again to this whole Body."

Speaker Bradley: "Further Discussion? The question is 'Shall this motion prevail?'. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Would the Lady please define who will be eligible for these...this employment?"

Young: "The term...intercity is an acronym for the city within the city. The city that has a high unemployment. We're talking about ghetto children in the intercities of Chicago, Danville, East St. Louis, Cairo, Peoria,..."

Skinner: "How about Elgin and Waukegan?"

Young: "Yes, if there are intercity or very poor youth there they would be eligible, too."

Skinner: "Generally in cities of over 50,000 people."

Young: "Not necessarily. Just in where there are pockets of poverty."

Skinner: "I guess East St. Louis has less than 50,000. I didn't mean to exclude East St. Louis. Thank you."

~~Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Birkinbine."~~

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This chronic problem that the Lady refers to is indeed a problem, but a solution was offered or at least a partial solution in the House Labor and Commerce Committee when we were considering the minimum wage. There was a youth differential that was offered to that minimum wage Bill, and the Majority Party in this House voted it down following lock step with the dictates of the AF of L-CIO. I would suggest that they speak with forked tongue when they show concern for the youth of our intercity."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to support this fine Amendment for all the reasons that the Lady stated which I thought were correct and finite."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Younge to close."

Younge: "Thank you. It is important that we demonstrate that we have concern about one of the most chronic problems in Illinois, and that is the lack of a job experience for intercity youth. A lack of an opportunity for self fulfillment that comes through the ability to work successfully. This is a program that the Department of Com...of Conservation has been very successful in developing, and I think that it ought to be...have an opportunity to expand and to continue this program through this appropriation, and I ask for your support."

Speaker Bradley: "The question's on the Lady's motion. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record." On this question there are 83 'ayes', 38 'nos', and the Lady's motion prevails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #18, Younge, amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to table..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #18."

Younge: "Let me explain why. The Committee asked me to change the Amendment to make it the construction of a vote House. That type of Amendment does not belong in this Bill. It belongs in a capital Bill. So I am

tabling the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. You withdraw the Amendment. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #19, Mautino-Ebbesen-Schuneman, amends Senate Bill 1636 by inserting after the last line..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #19 was originally introduced in Appropriations I Committee on this legislation as one of two Amendments that were supported by the Department of Conservation and addressed by Director 'Kenny' in that meeting. The first portion of it is addressing the 'Hennepin' Parkway was adopted and for some odd reason, this Amendment that is...now appears before you as 19 did not get adopted because there was not a full Committee at that time. What it does is appropriate the...it is a reappropriation of 1.5 million dollars for the dredging and maintenance of Lake 'DePue' which is owned by the State of Illinois on the Illinois River which causes siltation. For those of you who have been around here for the last six or eight years, I know that this has been an approved project. They have a mud cat down there that has been working for the last year and a half and it is a reappropriation, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #20, Harris, amends Senate Bill

1636 as amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Williamson, Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an appropriation for \$25,000 for a boat ramp to be located in 'Wily' and Grassy Lakes in a parking lot. That is a very much needed...Amendment, and this has been in need for several years. I would like...ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #21, Ropp, amends Senate Bill 1636..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have leave to table that Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Withdraws the Amendment. The Gentleman ~~withdraws the Amendment. Further Amendments?~~"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #22, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, last fall while I was listening to WCFL on a Sunday afternoon, I heard a Comanche Indian named Bill 'Vocer' explain how he is breeding golden eagles through artificial insemination. I became fairly interested in the topic, and have talked to him extensively, and have learned that he has now received permission from the federal government to breed bald eagles. He has been around the country and gotten six

pair of bald eagles from various zoos including one in the City of Chicago, and now has them in 'Milstup', Illinois down near the metro east area, or in the metro east area, and he is attempting to develop breeding stock who will nest in the State of Illinois. Now as you may or may not know, the State of Illinois has a lot of bald eagles in the winter. They do not, however, nest in the State of Illinois in the summer. What he hopes to do is to raise the eagles from eggs through the...through artificial insemination. And to put these eaglettes, pictures of which I have from the back page of the Chicago Tribune the Friday after Thanksgiving into habitat where the eagles may identify and come back and breed on their own the next year. It costs about \$2,000 per pair of eagles to...to keep them for a year. I'm asking for \$10,000 that, of course, would not necessarily have to go to Mr. 'Vocer'. It could go to some other...some other person or institution if that institution or person had similiar abilities."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well yes, Mr. Speaker, and Representative Skinner, Ladies and Gentlemen, it is my understanding from some private conversations, Cal, that the actual cost of the eagles' semen is only \$1.50, and the balance of \$10,000 goes to Mr. 'Vocer' for his courage in collecting it. Is that true?"

Skinner: "No, it is not true. The \$10,000 would go for the...basically the keeping the eagles alive for a year. Food and lodging."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston."

Preston: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "I'm almost afraid. Yes, he will."

Preston: "Representative Skinner, have you received the approval of Representative Kelly for this artificial insemination project?"

Skinner: "It hadn't occurred to me to talk to him about it. There hasn't been very much controversy with the breeding of domestic animals through artificial insemination, and in fact, we have a certified artificial inseminator on the House floor. Eagles are not domesticated generally, and it really is a step forward. It is...this is the first instance I am aware of in the country where an eagle has been raised through artificial insemination. In fact, we had it on the second floor last year. The eagle's name was Pride. Unfortunately, the eagle was not housebroken..."

Preston: "Has Representative Kelly..."

Skinner: "And since then...since then the Governor has replaced the rug in the outer office."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr..."

Preston: "...Representative...given his approval for a step forward."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "I hope so."

Vinson: "I...we'll say at the outset that I intend to vote for this, but I wonder if you might explain to us the methodology. How do they do this?"

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from...from Lake, Mr."

Griesheimer. This is silly."

Skinner: "Do you want an answer to that question?"

Griesheimer: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Skinner: "Am I answering Representative Vinson's question?"

Speaker Bradley: "Well, Mr. Kelly forbids it."

Griesheimer: "Representative Skinner..."

Skinner: "Yes, Sir."

Griesheimer: "You alluded to the fact that we have a certified inseminator on the floor. I know there is a number of likely candidates, but who might that person be?"

Skinner: "Well, the Representative is now standing up with his arms folded."

Griesheimer: "Are they artificial or natural?"

Skinner: "Artificial."

Griesheimer: "Is there a significance difference between the two?"

Skinner: "If you are dealing with bulls, yes."

Griesheimer: "Does this...does this Bill or Amendment go to the interest point of bulls, or is it dealing with another..."

Skinner: "No, it deals with bald eagles."

Griesheimer: "I would imagine it would make a difference to the eagle."

Skinner: "There are some eagles, Representative, who are imprinted upon human beings. I saw a movie on a Rockford television station just this last weekend of a...of a female eagle...that was imprinted upon a...his male trainer. And in the case of Mr. 'Volcer' and his golden eagle, Pride, the eagle was a male

eagle imprinted upon him, and he thought...the eagle thought that Bill was his sex object basically."

Griesheimer: "Oh, then I understand. I must have misread this Bill. You're talking about feathered friends, eagles. I thought you were talking about your ego all this time. This is not the artificial insemination of your ego."

Skinner: "No, Sir."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman...the Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Ropp: "Yes, I think this...I think this causes a lot of interesting questions. How many eggs will an eagle actually lay in a year?"

Skinner: "Generally two per year, I believe."

Ropp: "Well, you know with other fowl like chickens if you make the artificial day become night and extend the light period you can get more eggs. Is that part of the program to artificially induce more eggs so we could expand the production of bald eagles quicker?"

Skinner: "Well, generally what we're trying to do is produce them in captivity and then release them to the wild. And there has not been very successful breeding of bald eagles in captivity."

Ropp: "Very good. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters. Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to speak against to Amendment because I think of some problems in a long range. If

the program is successful, Representative Vinson will be in here for 60 to 70 jobs for the parks to follow the eagles around and inspect them, and it won't be shortly thereafter that Representative Meyer will be in here for several bald eagle parks around the state to keep them. The cost will mount up and mount up till millions and millions of dollars will be spent on bald eagles around the state. I hate to think if this General Assembly had been in Session when dinosaurs were becoming and endangered species that we might be embarking on one of the same programs, and I think the dangerous...the precedent is dangerous, and we ought not to walk on such an uncharted course as one that is favorable."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Mr. Skinner to close."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, in view of the only opponent speaking on the House floor being the Spokesman for my presidential candidate to be, I think it is incumbent upon me to point out that if Ronald Reagan does win, he will need all the bald eagles that he can get, and we should have bald eagles being...nesting in the State of Illinois, and that is the goal...the long-term goal. Illinois is not sure of nesting grounds. Eagles have nested here before. They are in

public hands and in private hands. They are protected. The problem is there is just no eagles around to lay the eggs. And what the...what this project has intended to do is to develop little eagles and to put them out on platforms hoping that after they are raised and after they...well, when they reach breeding age, that they will return to Illinois, and we can again have breeding...breeding bald eagles living in the State of Illinois rather than just wintering in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment \$23, Vinson-Bradley-Ropp, amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended on page 16 line 10 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment is a small Amendment. It is really a 'marrily' Amendment when you get down to it. It is only for \$16,000 and it is for a purpose which might bring a substantial amount of revenue to the State of Illinois. What it does is it provides the money to the Department to engage in a...an analysis...a study for...of whether at one of the larger lakes in central Illinois, Lake Clinton, whether it would be appropriate to provide the kind of recreational facilities that they are now engaged in in Carlyle. It is a unique sort of experiment that they are doing at Carlyle that this would fund an

analysis of at Clinton. It is an experiment where on Department land they have gone out to bid and invited private bidders to bid on the opportunity to erect, construct, and operate a recreational facility that includes a hotel, golf course, etc...and what...puts us in the position of perhaps being able to do if this experiment works is to create at several Illinois facilities the kind of operation that Kentucky has at Kentucky Lake which I am sure some of you have visited and been impressed with. We obviously don't have the state money that makes that possible, but by engaging in this kind of experiment with the private sector, we may...pardon me, we may be able to attract the private money that makes it possible to have the facilities and have them in the way that actually brings revenue into the state rather than costing the state money. I don't know...know of no opposition to the Amendment, and I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. McBroom."

~~McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House,~~

I...trying to get your attention on the previous dialogue about the bald eagle, and with all due respect to Representative Skinner and the other people who spoke on it, that's...I would just like to point out that that's one of the reasons that we were here till 11 o'clock last night, and I wonder, Mr. Speaker, would you entertain a motion that there be no further explanation of votes for the balance of the Session?"

Speaker Bradley: "I have to entertain any motion that is made, I imagine..."

McBroom: "Well,...I'm not putting it in the form of a

motion, but I wish we would all think about it. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay. Point is well taken though, Sir. All in favor of Mr. Vinson's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'...in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have... 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #24, Harris, amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended on page one line 13 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Williamson, Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like leave to withdraw Amendment #24."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #24. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #25, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended on page four line 11."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I ask leave to withdraw..."

~~Speaker Bradley: "He withdraws that Amendment. Further Amendments?"~~

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #26, Peters,...amends Senate Bill 1636."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Peters...Mr. Peters. Where is Mr. Peters? Did you read. ..did you read the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "It amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended by inserting before the affective date Section the following Section."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. I understand he's...be here very shortly. Are there any other Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #27 is also Peters."

Speaker Bradley: "It is also Peters? Let's take this...out of the record. Here he comes. The Gentleman moves to withdraw Amendment #26. The...Amendment is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #27, Peters, amends Senate Bill 1636 as amended on page one by deleting line one..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the same Amendment that we had adopted on a previous Bill with approval of...of the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee and the Minority Spokesman. There is some question as to whether it may have been technically correct so as not to cause problem later on and have some alternative. I ask that the same Amendment be adopted to 1636."

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1640."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1640, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Corrections, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm sorry. I skipped the...I skipped the Bill 1637. Let's move back to 1637. Take it out of the record, Mr. McBroome? Alright, out of the record. Alright, 1640 then. Any motions relative to...Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1640 by Representative Winchester."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Winchester on the floor? Is Representative...Winchester...Mr. Peters on the motion...the motion to table."

Peters: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker. I would ask that the Amendment be tabled. It is technically incorrect, and there is another Amendment coming up that will straighten this out."

Speaker Bradley: "Any objections? Hearing none the...all in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Chapman, amends Senate Bill 1640...40 line 22."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment...restores 118,400 contractual services and travel line items at East Moline, Menard, and adult institution services. Also provides \$95,600 for medical expenses of persons in county facilities as mandated by PA 81-1099. This is a result of discussions that we have had as agreed subsequent to the...approval of the Bill by the Appropriations II Committee. I move for adoption of Floor Amendment #3."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Lady's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Chapman, amends Senate Bill 1640..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "The Amendment reduces the contractual services line item for the Department of Corrections school district and provides for a separate line item for the use of these funds. The separate line provides for these funds to be available for no purpose other than contractual increases to community college districts. This is also one that has been worked out cooperatively, and I move for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1640."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Lady's motion say...signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Ewing, amends Senate Bill 1640 on page one line 16 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr...Mr. Ewing on the floor? Mr. Peters, can you handle this Amendment?"

Peters: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is agreed to by both staffs and the Department. I would move adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #5..."

Peters: "Hold on...hold on..."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor signify..."

Peters: "I'm sorry. Both staffs and Department have agreed to withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Oh, alright. We'll withdraw it then. Hearing no objections, withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Winchester, amends Senate Bill 1640 on page seven line four and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Peters on the Amendment."

Peters: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is the Amendment that we withdrew a little bit earlier, and it is now technically correct, and what it would do is add \$318,300 for the 'youth' program to funded through this appropriation. This is a program which does deal with delinquency intervention, and it is supported by the Commission on Children and a number of groups who are all involved in the question of child care and so forth and so on, and we would respectfully request the House...to adopt...this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Is that bothering you, Mr. Hallock? Is that bothering you? Oh, alright...alright...On the Gentleman's motion, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Hoxsey, amends Senate Bill 1640 on page 12 line 11 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this simply restores \$30,000 in personal services used for security guards at the 'Sheridan' Correctional Institution. In consideration of the location of this institution and the people in that community, I prefer not to see any less security used at that institution. It is one of the better run ones in the State of Illinois, and we would like to keep it that way. I would ask your consideration."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady...the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "May I ask the Sponsor a question please?"

Speaker Bradley: "Certainly."

Chapman: "Representative Hoxsey, we talked with Director 'Frenzon' at...at length in terms of determining what the needs of this Department were because we want to see that that DOC has sufficient funds to complete their mission. Now at no point did he discuss with is providing this \$30,500 for personal services at 'Sheridan'. At no point did he suggest that he believed this was needed. I wonder if we've had a communications problem here."

Hoxsey: "Well, maybe there is a communications gap, Representative, but I happen to live there in that community. I happen to be well acquainted with the location of the institution, how it is run, and the people in the community, and I can tell you that I do not want to see security cut back on that institution, and that is what we have here."

Chapman: "Well, if I may speak now on the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "You certainly may."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, since there has been no need demonstrated for these funds, since the agency is not requesting the funds, since we have been very careful in trying to be responsible in use of taxpayers' dollars, I cannot support this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Hoxsey to close."

Hoxsey: "Yes, well, I just simply say that I feel that I am in a better position to know what that community, what their needs are, and how much security they need in that institution better than those that have spoke before me. Now I would ask your favorable support on this."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Lady's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Yes, Mrs. Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, I wanted to add that this was in the Governor's budget by the way."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. There are 64 'ayes' and 40...66 'ayes', 46 'no', and the Lady's motion prevails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of Bills the following titles to wit: House Bills #3140, 3166, 3167, 3173, 3204, and 3291 passed the Senate June 25, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the

~~House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with~~

the House in passage of Bills the following titles to wit: House Bills #2976, 2975, 3250, 2905, 2823, 3425, 929, 891, 303, 276, 3544, 3487, 3433, 3429, 3427, 3416, 3385, 3386, excuse me...3365, 3366, 3333, 3271, 3179, 3193, 3160, 3153, 3152, and 3229 together with the attached Amendments passed the Senate as amended June 25, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of Bills the following titles to wit:

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Senate Bills #665, 2822, 2824, and 2847 passed the Senate June 26, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills the following titles to wit: House Bills #3197, 3236, 3237, 3359, 3151, 3272, 3415, 2227, 2893, 3505, 986, 821, 2710, 3369, and 1400 together with Amendments passed the Senate as amended June 26, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Clerk...request for change...request to be voted."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Johnson requests to vote 'aye' on Senate Bill 1572."

Speaker Bradley: "Hearing no objections, he'll be so recorded. Senate Bill 1650, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1650, a Bill for an Act to amend certain public Acts, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #3 by Representative Reilly."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Amendment #3 was an Amendment we put on in Committee to do some transfers for the Department of Public Aid. Subsequently, the same money was put into another Bill which has now passed both Houses and has been signed by the Governor. So we don't need the Amendment any more, and I would move to table...excuse me...move to table Amendment #3."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman moves to table

Amendment #3. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments...or floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Reilly, amends Senate Bill 1650 as amended..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 to House Bill 1650 restores a program that was in the Governor's budget and which was approved by the Appropriations Committee but subsequently deleted on the House floor. This is \$400,000 for the first phase of a decision that was made last winter to move the administrative offices of Highway District Six from Springfield to Jacksonville. To review the program and how we got to where we are, going clear back into the Ogilvie and perhaps even into the Kerner administration officials in the highway district have known that it was inefficient and wrong to have the administrative headquarters of that district on the eastern edge of that district which was Springfield and have wanted to move that headquarters further west toward the center of the district. This has grown increasingly acute because the major construction projects on interstate highways particularly around the Springfield area are largely completed and the big work the district is going to be doing over the next two decades is the work around Quincy and the Chicago-Kansas City Expressway, the completion of the Central Illinois Expressway, and work further west. A...a...'conform' of consulting engineers was...hired by the state, I believe it was two years ago to do a feasibility study

of...on this question and concluded that it would be a great deal more efficient and save something like a quarter of a million dollars a year to have this project...this office located centrally within the district, and that feasibility study was consequently implemented by Director Kramer last winter when he made an announcement that he would move the ...the district office to...to Jacksonville. There has been a good deal of talk in the previous discussions and in some material that's been distributed on the House floor about the cost of doing this. The fact is, there is no cost...no net cost for the simple reason that the Highway District office has outgrown the headquarters in which it is located here in Springfield. The feasibility study and the Department time and time again went over various proposals to remodel old buildings here in Springfield and old buildings in Jacksonville and concluded that that was not a wise investment of the taxpayers' money because while the initial cost of doing that, and we have old buildings in Jacksonville, we have people in Jacksonville who would like to palm off some of these old buildings on the state. But the architects and the people who made the feasibility study concluded that was not a wise use of the taxpayers' money both because the useful life of the building...the remodeled building would be half that...only half that of a new building but also because you would still end up with a building that wasn't designed to be a highway district office. There are some very specific kinds of requirements, some very specific kinds of

standards that the...would make more efficiency operations to that office. So that idea was rejected. The fact is, and you're going to hear...you may hear some denials of this, but I am positive as I stand here that if for some reason this project does not go through as the Governor has asked and I am asking in this Amendment you will be passing within two years and probably less than that an appropriation to build a new building at exactly the same cost here in Springfield. That will be the net result no matter what may be claimed. It seems to me that this is a move tht has been recommended after careful study. It is a move that makes sense. Obviously you don't want the highway district office at one edge of the district. Obviously it makes sense to have it in the center of the district because what these people do is go out from that office to supervise..."

Speaker Bradley: "Will the Gentleman bring his remarks to a close please?"

Reilly: "So I would ask for a favorable roll call on the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Is Mr. Kane within the sound of my voice I wish he would come to the podium. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I raise a question of germaneness. This is appropriation 1650 for the Racing Board which comes out of funds provided by the racing industry, and here we have an Amendment that takes money out of the road fund. I would like to have a ruling as the germaneness of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, would...would the Parliamentarian

come to the podium? Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "On a point of order. Any appropriation is germane to any other appropriation. If it weren't, 99% of what we do in the appropriations area would be out of order. We're going to amend all kinds of Bills today with all kinds of projects. This is germane."

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, I am going to rule that the...it is germane."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, then I want to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, well speak to the Bill. Mr. Darrow, what purpose do you rise?"

Jones: "...Amendment..."

Darrow: "Well, I would further make an parliamentary inquiry on how an appropriation Bill can contain substantive language. We have...this Amendment reads an Act to amend certain public Acts and according to this Amendment, we had and making appropriations. We have a combination of language. I never saw an appropriation Bill that amended certain public Acts."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, in the opinion of the Chair, I...as far...as many times as I can recall, this question has come up in this situation, an appropriation Bill can be amended. If I'm wrong, would the Parliamentarian please..."

Darrow: "Well, I'm not addressing that. I'm addressing the fact that what we have evidently is substantive language in appropriation Bill...in an appropriation...both contained in an appropriation Bill. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Would you be a little more specific in..."

Darrow: "Yes, we have...it begins an Act to amend certain

\$10,000,000 to Rockford and so on around the district...the state particularly for Route 51. We're saying that this \$400,000 is depleted...is taken from the road fund which cannot stand any reduction at this time. There is no need for the facility even to be moved at all or to be changed. I have talked to people in the Department, and many of the chiefs in that Department have said that there has been no survey made. They haven't been asked if they need more space, where that space would be, or what their plans are. And if you...if they need more space, all you've got to do is walk out or drive out rather to...on Dirksen Parkway to the Taj Mahal and see all this bare space they have available in the headquarters of the DOT. This fund is already depleted by...by lack of federal funds by one half, and there was a priority by the Governor to these projects that he publically announced. And all we're saying is that this is no time to raid that Department, and this is no emergency, and we did take it out of this House once on the 25th of the...May, and that this project can wait until there is a photostudy and provisions are in the road fund to accomodate it. I yield to Representative Oblinger."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Darrow, the Parliamentarian agrees that the rules provide that Amendments must be germane to the subject of the Bill. This Bill is appropriations, and this Amendment is appropriations so that we're going to rule that it is germane to the Bill."

Darrow: "Well, the title of the Bill is talking about

public Acts. I assume therefore, that we're amending certain public Acts, and if that is the case, we would have substantive language in the Bill. Now, if we have substantive language in the Bill, we can't have that and an appropriation Bill. So either it has to be an appropriation Bill or a substantive Bill, but we can't have both. Therefore, the Amendment is out of order."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Parliamentarian...Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "The reason the language about amending certain public Acts is in here is because one of the things the Bill does is transfer some funds, and therefore, what we're amending is the public Act that...that made the appropriation in the first place. That is common language in every appropriation Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "While we're waiting to the Parliamentarian to arrive, Mr. Jones to speak to the motion. We'll get back there."

Jones: "I...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to read to you the AP release of the 17th of this month. I says, 'Springfield, Illinois, the \$985,000,000 limousine of a road construction program was unveiled today by Governor James R. Thompson's administration but officials warn federal budget slashes would turn it into a jalopy before the year's end.' We're just saying that this project has no priority and could be...should be disposed of at this time and taken up at a later date. The Governor and his...fly around. Can't even use the roads. HE has to use the airplane. On June 16 he promised \$6,000,000 to Decatur. On the 16th he promised

amending certain Acts which would indicate their substantive...substantive language in it. Representative Reilly also indicated there was substantive language in it. My objection was that you can't have substantive language in appropriation Bills."

Speaker Bradley: "Yeah, where is the substantive language in the Bill...or the Amendment? Yeah, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "The answer is there is none. The reason the first paragraph that you're quoting or the enacting clause, whatever, talks about amending certain...the Public Act that is being amended is the prior appropriation. The only language in here in this Amendment at all is simply a discription of the...of the line item to which the money is being appropriated."

Darrow: "Well, that would be fine now that I see Representative Kane is on the floor and rallying the troops. So I agree with the Parliamentarian."

Speaker Redmond: "Alright. Alright. Mr...Mr...the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would rise in opposition to this Amendment. We've gone through the arguments on this before. It is obvious that the studies that have been made are not accurate. They are not going to reduce costs. Most of the activities of the...District Six headquarters are in the eastern half of the district. We have taken road milage from the District Six headquarters to the county garages in all of the counties in the district. There is less than a five mile difference between the central location in Jacksonville and the

central location in Springfield. In accumulative miles distance it is not going to save any expense, and what we will have if we do move the District Six headquarters to Jacksonville is the tremendous expense of building a new building over there. It will...it will create tremendous hardship on the employees. It will cost them the move. It will disrupt their families all for the personal grandisement of the public officials who want the district headquarters moved. There is no public policy to be served by moving the district headquarters, and I think we should soundly defeat this Amendment particularly in a year and at a time when the road funds are depleted and we are already...the Governor is already asking or talking about an increase in the motor fuel taxes, and I think that if we are going to talk about increasing the motor fuel taxes and the gasoline taxes, we ought to at least spend the money in some reasonable way and not simply to create a boondoggle for Jacksonville and the public officials over there."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Reilly to close. Oh, I'm sorry. The Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if we were asking for a building in Springfield as against the building in Jacksonville, I would say you would have to be suspect of our motive. We are not asking for this. We have shown you what the differences would be between the buildings in the two places, but on the recommendation of the people we've talked to who actually work in that Department, they say there is no immediate need for any expansion at this time, and

when there is a need they would first look to the building we now have in place the Department of Transportation building out there on the bypass. There is space there. Why are we spending \$400,000 to find out about a building when we don't even need it?"

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Hannig, to explain his vote. I'm sorry. Mr. Hannig."

Hannig: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in support of Representative Reilly's motion. To recap the situation of District Six, the present 46 year old headquarters here in Springfield has been outgrown, and there is no room for expansion. At the request of the Governor, the firm of management and transportation associates prepared a study considering the six possible alternative sites. Now those sites include constructing a new building here in Springfield, rehabilitating the vacant Sears building here in Springfield, rehabilitating the vacant Sangamon building here in Springfield, rehabilitating an existing state owned building in Jacksonville, and constructing a new building in Jacksonville on grounds already owned by the state. Now only is Jacksonville the geographic and population center of the 15 county district, but the study showed that over the long run, a new building in Jacksonville would save the taxpayers more money. None of the other districts are in Springfield, and there really is no reason that District Six must remain here in Springfield. Now a 'no' vote on this issue does not really accomplish any useful purpose. It keeps the district in its

overcrowded, inefficient headquarters. But in the long run, a move will be made, and it will cost more money. I would urge an 'aye' vote on this measure."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't want to take sides in anything which is apparently a prevential issue here and my friends in Springfield, but I think we have too much concentration of government in Springfield now. I don't see any purpose to be served in keeping a district highway office here where we have the central office. I think there is much to be gained in putting it in Jacksonville. Frankly a lot of the people who work in the district live in Jacksonville already so when you talk about driving you're not really saying anything anyway. A lot of people in Jacksonville drive to Springfield. So if there is a few people in Springfield driving to Jacksonville, it might divide the highway a little bit. But I do think we've got too much government in Springfield and not enough of it scattered around the state."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I agree with Representative Kane, and I rise in opposition to this Amendment. You know, it would appear to me that this House has rejected this proposition time and time again, and I don't see why not it now pops up in an appropriation Bill. I think he is correct that this by and large would be a boondoggle for Jacksonville. there would be a great expense of moving, and there

would be great of expansion to take this particular thing to Jacksonville. I see no need for it. I think we've debated it beforehand, Mr. Speaker, and I think we ought to vote down this particular Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Vermillion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Mr. Reilly to close."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. When we talked about building a glass monument for the Department of Revenue, that is good government, and that ought to be here. When we talk about adding 360 slots...in job slots in Springfield just in that one department just this year, that is good government. But when we talk about doing something that has been recommended by professional engineers, ironically professional engineers from Springfield, that is a boondoggle. Now that is nonsense. You all know that is nonsense. You all know what the issues are here. This has been studied carefully. There is no space at the central office. All the other alternatives have been studied. The professionals both in the Department and in...the consulting engineers have said that in terms of operational efficiency for lots of reasons it would be better to have this in Jacksonville. That is the issue. The issue is efficiency. The decision was made by the professionals in the Department. It is in the budget. We ought to reinforce that, and we ought

to carry it out. To say that somehow we ought not do this because we've got problems in the highway program is equally foolish. \$400,000 wouldn't build three feet of new highway. To say that we ought to defeat this appropriation for that reason is just beyond comment. I would ask for a favorable roll call. This Amendment ought to be put on the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "I can't believe that the Sponsor of this motion says that \$400,000 won't build three feet of highway. It will build about three-tenths of a mile of four lane highway. Now the road fund is bankrupt. We have no money in it. Now if the Gentleman wishes to sponsor the tax increase that will provide the money for the road fund so that we can build his building, that is fine, but he's not doing that. He's suggesting we take money that should be spent on roads in our district and build a building for which the justification has not clearly been made. I just don't think that we should support his motion."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Yes, Mr. Jones. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 60 'ayes', and 58 'nos'. Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Poll the absentees, please."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman..."

Jones: "At the proper time I want to check the positive roll call."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Poll the absentees. Mr.

Schraeder, 'no'. Mr. Hallock, 'yes'. Schraeder, 'no'. Hallock, 'yes'. Karpiel, 'aye'. Alexander, 'no'. ...Absentees. Mr. Stearney."

Stearney: "Record me 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Stearney, 'no'. Mr. Casey, 'aye'. Mr. Williams, 'no'. Schisler, 'aye'. Lechowicz, 'no'. Domico...Domico, 'no'. Capparelli,...Capparelli, 'no'. Leon, 'no'. Alright. You got those, Jack? Alright, Kulas,...Kulas, 'no'. Doyle, 'no'. Klosak, 'aye'. On this question there's 63 'ayes', and 68 'nos'. Mrs. Catania, how do you wish to be recorded? No, that's 69 'nos'. Mr. Leinenweber...70 'nos'. Mr. Grossi, 'aye'. Alright, now I think that's...Leinenweber, 'no', and Grossi, 'aye'. Now it's 63 'ayes' and 70 'nos'. Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Yes, I would ask...I would ask for a verification of the negative roll call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Beatty. Birkinbine."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Perhaps...I was thinking we, at Representative Jones' request, already polled the absentees. If we have not, let's do that first."

Reilly: "Okay, let's poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Abramson. Barnes. Breslin. Brummer. Davis."

Speaker Bradley: "Brummer, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dawson. Deuster. Donovan. Ewing. Farley. Gaines. Goodwin. Henry. Hudson. Huff. Emil Jones. Katz. Keane. Laurino. Macdonald. McGrew. Meyer..."

Speaker Bradley: "Meyer, 'aye'. Braun...we're not to that

point yet. We're at poll of the absentees. Everybody better stick around...get in their seats so we can get the work done. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Molloy. Neff. O'Brien. Patrick. Pouncey."

Speaker Bradley: "Pouncey, 'aye'. Patrick, 'aye'. Goodwin, 'aye'. Dawson, 'aye'. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rea. Rigney. Satterthwaite. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Schuneman. Simms. VonBoeckman. Walsh. Winchester. J.J. Wolf. Sam Wolf. Younge. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Que..questions? Oh, I'm sorry. Call the affirma..or call the.. you want to check the negative poll...roll. Correct Mr. Reilly?"

Reilly: "What's the count? What are we starting out with?"

Speaker Bradley: "58 'ayes' , 71 'nos'. Yeah, but hold it."

Reilly: "That can't be right."

Speaker Bradley: "68 'ayes', 71 'nos'. Mr. Farley wishes to be recorded as 'no'. Steczo, how do you wish to be recorded?"

Steczko: "Mr. Speaker, leave to be verified please."

Speaker Bradley: "Which way are you voting?"

Steczko: "'No'."

Speaker Bradley: "Leave for him to be verified. Alright.

Mr. Farley, you wish to be verified? Farley, verified? Alright. Alright, call the negative roll.

Mr. Wolf, 'aye'. Schoeberlein, 'aye'. Deuster, 'no'.

McGrew, 'aye'. Henry, 'aye'. Mr. Hudson, 'no'.

There's 32 'ayes', 74 'nos'. Call the negative."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Beatty. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boucek. Bradley. Braun. Brummer.

Burnidge. Campbell. Capparelli. Catania. Chapman.
 Christensen. Cullerton. Currie. Darrow. Deuster.
 Domico. Doyle. John Dunn. Epton. Ewell. Farley.
 Virginia Frederick. Garmisa. Giorgi. Hallstrom.
 Harris. Hoffman. Hudson. Huskey. Jaffe. Dave
 Jones. Kane. Kulas. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Leon.
 Leverenz. Mahar. Matijevich. Mautino. McCourt.
 McMaster. McPike. Mulcahey. Murphy. Oblinger.
 Piel. Pierce. Preston. Pullen. Richmond. Robbins.
 Sandquist. Schneider. Schraeder. Skinner. Stanley.
 Stearney. Steczko. Stuffle. Sumner. Swanstrom.
 Tuerk. Van Duyne. Vitek. Watson. White. Wikoff.
 Williams. Williamson. No further."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Mr. VonBoeckman wishes to be recorded as voting 'no', and Mr. O'Brien wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Alright. Mr. Simms is recorded as 'aye'. Jane Barnes 'no'. Ewing 'aye'. Let's see where we are now. Abramson wishes to be recorded as 'aye', so we're starting at 75 'ayes', 77 'nos'. Questions to the affirmative...negative, I'm sorry. The negative.

Reilly: "Beatty."

Speaker Bradley: "Beatty? He's not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'"

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Reilly: "Christensen?"

Speaker Bradley: "Christensen's there."

Reilly: "Cullerton?"

Speaker Bradley: "Cullerton is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Reilly: "Harris?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Robbins have leave to be verified?"

Leave granted. Robbins is verified."

Reilly "Yes, Harris?"

Speaker Bradley: "Harris?"

Reilly: "Harris."

Speaker Bradley: "He's not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Reilly: "Hoffman."

Speaker Bradley: "Hoffman is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Who was it?"

Speaker Bradley: "Hoffman."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Reilly: "McPike?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's in his seat."

Reilly: "Pierce?"

Speaker Bradley: "Who?"

Reilly: "Pierce."

Speaker Bradley: "Pierce is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Reilly: "Richmond?"

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon?"

Reilly: "Richmond?"

Speaker Bradley: "Richmond is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Reilly: "Schneider?"

Speaker Bradley: "Schneider? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Reilly: "Stanley?"

Speaker Bradley: "He is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off. Put Schneider back on he's returned right here, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Swanstrom?"

Speaker Bradley: "Swanstrom in his seat."

Reilly: "Van Duyne?"

Speaker Bradley: "Van Duyne is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Reilly: "Watson?"

Speaker Bradley: "Watson? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Reilly: "Williamson?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's in his seat."

Reilly: "Greiman?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Bradley: "Greiman, removed? Should we take him off?"

Reilly: "No. My advisors are making mistakes there."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Simms?"

Simms: "I would like to... I made a mistake. I would like to be recorded as voting 'no'. I'm sorry."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Simms goes from 'aye' to 'no'."

Reilly: "Chapman?"

Speaker Bradley: "She's in her seat. Greiman has returned. Put him back on. Greiman. Greiman. We didn't remove him? Alright, well he's in the chamber. Huff wishes to be recorded 'aye'."

Reilly: "Representative Giorgi?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Giorgi is not in his chair. How is he recorded? There he is. There he is in the door."

Reilly: "Garnisa?"

Speaker Bradley: "In his chair. Mr. Richmond has returned. Put him back on the roll."

Reilly: "VonBoeckman?"

Speaker Bradley: "Wait a minute. Mr. Watson has returned. Put him back on the Roll. Mr. Hanahan wishes to go from 'aye' to 'no' and Mr. Harris has returned. Put him back."

Reilly: "VonBoeckman?"

Speaker Bradley: "VonBoeckman is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Reilly: "Schraeder?"

Speaker Bradley: "Schraeder, not in his chair. How is he

recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off. And Cullerton has returned. Put him back on. Mrs. Dyer, what purpose do you rise?"

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Bradley: "How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'present'."

Dyer: "Alright, I would like to explain that vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed."

Dyer: "This is a difficult issue because I hate to vote against my good friend who's the..who's the sponsor of this. He's been a very good friend. I really would prefer to wait until this reaches the same stage in the Senate before I cast a vote but that isn't quite possible technically with procedure so I will keep my commitment to my good friend Joe Oblinger and vote 'no'."

Reilly: "Christensen?"

Speaker Bradley: "Christensen, I think we..you checked him once. You verified him already. Harris we put back on I believe. I ask Mr. Harris what purpose."

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Bradley: "I think you're recorded as voting 'no'."

Harris: "I'd like to re-record as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Bradley: "Change Mr. Harris from 'no' to 'aye', Mr. Wolf?"

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Bradley: "How is Mr. Wolf recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Wolf: "Vote me 'aye' please."

Speaker Bradley: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "I took you off. What do you want to do?"

Wolf: "Vote 'aye'."

Reilly: "Mr. Rea. How is Mr. Rea recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Who?"

Reilly: "Rea."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Bradley: "He wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'.
Mr. Williamson?"

Williamson: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Williamson: "Make mine 'yes' please."

Speaker Bradley: "Record him as 'aye'."

Reilly: "Mr. Mc...Mr. Mc...Miss Currie?"

Currie: "'aye'"

Speaker Bradley: "From 'no' to 'aye' and McPike from 'no' to
'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Who was it? McPike?"

Speaker Bradley: "Further question?"

Reilly: "No further questions."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Katz wishes to be recorded as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "81 'aye's, 69 'no's."

Speaker Bradley: "...question there are 81 'aye's and
69 'no's and Mr. Jones requests verification of the
Affirmative Roll. Call the Affirmative Roll."

Clerk O'Brien: "Telcser. Verify Mr. Bower."

Speaker Bradley: "Call the Affirmative Roll."

Clerk Bradley: "Abramson. Ackerman. Anderson. Balanoff.
Bell. Bianco. Birchler. Bower. Bowman. Bullock.
Capuzi. Casey. Collins. Conti. Currie. Daniels.

Dawson. DiPrima. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Ewing.
 Flinn. Dwight Friedrich. Goodwin. Greiman.
 Griesheimer. Grossi. Hallock. Hannig. Harris.
 Henry. Hoxsey. Huff. Johnson. Karpiel. Katz.
 Kelly. Kent. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Krska.
 Kucharski. Margalus. Marovitz. Matula. McAuliffe.
 McBroom. McClain. McGrew. McPike. Meyer.
 Mugalian. Patrick. Pechous. Peters. Polk.
 Pouncey. Rea. Reed. Reilly. Ronan. Ropp. Ryan.
 Schisler. Schoeberlein. Sharp. Slape. E.G. Steele.
 C.M. Steele. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Totten.
 Vinson. Willer. Williamson. J.J. Wolf. Sam Wolf.
 Woodyard. and Yourell."

Speaker Bradley: "Questions."

Clerk O'Brien: "What are we... What's the count we are starting with please?"

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, just a minute. Mr. Mautino would come down here just a minute? Mr. Harris, what purpose do you arise?"

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, I've been enlightened on this bill. I want to keep my vote as it originally is, as 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "It's a 'no' vote for Mr. Harris. Alright. 80 to 70. That's why we're starting questions."

Jones: "Abramson?"

Speaker Bradley: "Abramson, not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Jones: "Anderson?"

Speaker Bradley: "Anderson, he's there."

Jones: "Bell?"

Speaker Bradley: "Bell, not in his seat. How is he recorded? Oh, I'm sorry, there he is. He's here."

Jones: "Bullock?"

Speaker Bradley: "He there."

Jones: "Dunn?"

Speaker Bradley: "Ralph Dunn, he's there. Both Dunn's are there."

Jones: "Flinn?"

Speaker Bradley: "Flinn, is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Jones: "Griesheimer?"

Speaker Bradley: "Is not in his seat. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Jones: "Could Mr... Could Peters be verified?"

Speaker Bradley: "Okay."

Jones: "Hallock?"

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon?"

Jones: "Hallock."

Speaker Bradley: "Hallock, he's in the chambers."

Jones: "Huff?"

Speaker Bradley: "Huff is there."

Jones: "Johnson?"

Speaker Bradley: "Johnson is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off and return Mr. Flinn. He's in the chambers."

Jones: "Kucharski?"

Speaker Bradley: "Kucharski's not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Jones: "Marovitz?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's in his seat."

Jones: "McGrew?"

Speaker Bradley: "Is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Jones: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Bradley: "McAuliffe. McAuliffe is not in his seat.

How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Jones: "McGrew?"

Speaker Bradley: "Here he is."

Jones: "Rea?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's here in his seat."

Jones: "Ropp?"

Speaker Bradley: "Ropp is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded ...

Speaker Bradley: "He's right here. He's right here."

Jones: "Ryan?"

Speaker Bradley: "Ryan is not in his.. yes he is, he's in the chamber."

Jones: "Telcser?"

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon?"

Jones; "Telcser."

Speaker Bradley: "Telcser is not in his seat. How is he

recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Jones: "Vinson?"

Speaker Bradley: "Ta..well wait a minute, let's take him off. Alright, remove Telcser. Vinson? He's not in his seat. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Jones: "J.J. Wolf?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's here."

Jones: "Yourell?"

Speaker Bradley: "Yourell, is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Remove him and put Mr. Abramson back on the Roll."

Jones: "Kelly?"

Speaker Bradley: "Kelly. Kelly. asked about Kelly? He's not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off. Mrs. Macdonald wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Further questions."

Jones: "Hanahan."

Speaker Bradley: "Is not in his seat. He's in.. over here in the chamber."

Jones: "Hoxsey?"

Speaker Bradley: "Hoxsey's there."

Jones: "Krska?"

Speaker Bradley: "Krska, he's here."

Jones: "Meyer?"

Speaker Bradley: "Meyer. Meyer. I don't think is there."

How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, take Meyer off and replace Telcser...he's... or put him back on."

Jones: "Schisler?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's there."

Jones: "Margalus?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's there. Kelly's returned...return him to the..."

Clerk O'Brien: "What's the the count, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bradley: "Any further questions? Mr. Mulcahey, what purpose do you arise?"

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Bradley: "How is Mulcahey recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, sir."

Speaker Bradley: "Any further question? Ronan? Ronan, he's not in his seat. He's not in his seat. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Jones: "Kelly?"

Speaker Bradley: "We've already verified Kelly."

Jones: "Yourell?"

Speaker Bradley: "We took him off. Further questions?"

Jones: "Bower?"

Speaker Bradley: "Bower. Yes he did. He had leave to be verified. Alright."

Gaines: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Gaines, what purpose do you arise? How is he recorded?"

Matijevich: "Leave to withdrawal 5."

Speaker Bradley: "Hearing no objection to hearings withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment 5 was technically incorrect and Amendment 5 takes its place. Amendment #6 is no dollar change. It is a transfer within the Supreme Court budget. It transfers in the FY80 appropriations sixty-three thousand dollars from the Line item appropriation on salaries to.. for travel for circuit and associate judges. What has happened is that there's been ten to fifteen downstate judges per week used in the Cook County area and because it was difficult to assess that there would be this many judges used from other areas of the state in the Cook County area that they need to transfer this amount of money to the travel appropriations. I know of no opposition to this and I move to adopt Amendment #6."

Speaker Bradley: "Any quest... any discussion hearing on the Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich questions of the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor signify by saying 'aye's. Polls know the 'aye's have it. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #7 Mahar. Senate Bill 1650 is amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar on the Amendment."

Mahar: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #7 is a light item transfer in the grant program for scholarships. The Illinois State Scholarship Commission is for twenty-five

thousand dollars into the National Guard Scholarship Program. This is a result in the increase in the recruiting program in which more people now are taking advantage of this and I would ask for its approval."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, discussion hearing...questions on the Gentleman's motion, all in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Polls know the 'aye's have it. Further Amendments."

Mahar: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third reading. Mr. Burnidge, Stuffle, Campbell, Woodyard, and Miss Illinois Teenager. Mr. whoever is going to do the honors of the introduction..."

Woodyard: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, its a great pleasure for me today to introduce to you Miss Teenage Illinois. From our district, the district represented by myself, Representative Stuffle, Representative Campbell. She's from Westville, Illinois and her parents, Mary and Raymond, are here with her today. She will be participating in the National Teenage Contest in Florida next month in August. She's been a class president, she's been president of arts class, many other activities in her own school. She will be attending Illinois State University this fall. With great pleasure I introduce to you, Melissa Vogel."

Miss Vogel: "Hi! I'd like to thank you all for letting me speak here today. I know you're all pretty busy. My pageant was March 28 & 29 and it was pretty exciting and like Woodyard said, we're going on...I'm going on to the Nationals and I leave on August 8 and I'll be

there..the pageant is August 15 so it's pretty exciting. I was here last year. I don't think anyone would remember though. I was the Federalist candidate for Illini Girl's State for Lieutenant Governor but...so I've been here before but it's more exciting this year because ..I don't know..it just is. I wanted to say I'm gonna try to do my best down at the Nationals and I hope I can make Illinois proud of me but whether I win or lose I want to say that...I want to make sure that no one forgets the great state of Illinois down there. Thank you."

Woodyard: "I overlooked our pageant director for the state, Mrs. Martha Smith and her daughter Kimberly up here, the pageant director. I would also like to add, Melissa happens to be a niece of my sister-in-law so this is great. Another constituent for us up in the McClain County when she gets to ISU. Mr. Ewing?"

Ewing: "Yes, Representative Woodyard talking about that relationship, does that make them kissing cousins?"

~~Speaker Bradley: "Woodyard, does that make your kissing~~
cousins?"

Ewing: "He's hopeful."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want you to know that that lady that just spoke to the House is an extremely bright young lady. She's chosen wisely the school to which she is planning to attend. There is no better school in this state."

Speaker Bradley: "Why do you say that?"

Hoffman: "For modest reasons."

Speaker Bradley: "There will be no advertisements on the floor of the House, Mr. Hoffman. Alright, we want to pick up a couple of things before we go to the next.. like a message from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright's secretary, Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate conferred with the House passage of a Bill following title to wit: House Bill 3289 together with Amendments passed the Senate as amended June 26, 1980, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright,... are there any members that have a motion that ha..or that's..has a motion of concurrence on the calendar that they would like to move to non-concur so we can send those back to the Senate. Mr. Darrow or somebody asked me, Miss Pullen do you have one of those? Pullen. Turn Miss Pullen on."

Pullen: "I'm on thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "She's on."

Pullen: "Just now. Thank you Mr. Speaker, I move to non-concur in the Senate Amendment to House Bill 3432, that's Senate Amendments #1 & 2. This Bill relates to a fee schedule in the Department of Children and Family Services and the Amendments have some technical problems with them so we need to..."

Speaker Bradley: "The lady moves to non-concur in the Senate Amendments #1 & 2 to House Bill 3432 all in favor say 'aye'. Polls know the 'aye's have it and the House moves to non-concur."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The House non-concurs. Any others? The

lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge, do you have a...give us the page number and the bill number so we can take..."

Younge: "House Bill 2837."

Speaker Bradley: "Where is that?"

Younge: "It's on concur."

Speaker Bradley: "What page?"

Younge: "I don't have my..."

Speaker Bradley: "2837, okay, House Bill 2837."

Younge: "Right."

Speaker Bradley: "2837. You wish to non-concur in Senate Amendments.."

Younge: "Number one."

Speaker Bradley: "Number one."

Younge: "Senate Amendment #1 basically took out the word state and made the subject matter of the Bill the Office of the State Farm Marshall could make grants to units of local government from Federal Grants not State and Federal Grants and I move to non-concur."

Speaker Bradley: "The lady moves to non-concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2837, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no' the 'aye's have it and the House non-concurs. Are there any others so we can send them back to the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallock."

Speaker Bradley: "Then back..back to Senate Bill second 1663." Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1663. A Bill for an act making appropriations to the ordinary contingent expense for the Capital Development Board. Second reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to Amendment #1."

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Further..for..Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "For Amendment #2 Taylor amends Senate Bill
1663..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Clerk O'Brien: "By striking everything after the enacting
clause."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker I'd like to
have leave of the House to withdrawal Amendment #2.

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman have leave to withdraw it.
Hearing no objection the ... will be withdrawn in
further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third reading.

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1664."

Speaker Bradley: "Senate Bill 1664."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1664, a Bill for an Act making
~~Certain~~ reappropriations for the Capital Development
Board, Secretary of State, Southern Illinois
University, for permanent improvement, grants, and
related purposes. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendments #1,2,3,4,5, and 6 were adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1 of Senate
Bill 1664 by Representative Ropp."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Ropp, on Amendment...on
your motion to table."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is an issue

that actually I won't debate long. I want to emphasize the point of concern and intent by the General Assembly. This has to do with a piece of property that is one hundred feet wide, crossing thirty-five country roads or/and four towns, and twenty-six and a half miles long. It is an abandoned railroad and about six years ago or maybe even four years ago because of the sizable discontent on behalf of the adjacent property owners, there was a sentence placed in this particular appropriation which stated that there would be no funds spent on the development of this particular piece of property primary with the intent that the differences between the adjacent land owners and the state or people interested in that might be resolved. There have been attempts to compromise on particular areas of this issue and seen that people did not want to compromise at that particular time last year. There were two colleagues who introduced a Resolution, Resolution 332, directing the Illinois Transportation Study Commission to study what posture the state should be as it pertains to abandoned railroads. And I say to you that that Commission had two hearings throughout the state, one in Northern Illinois, one in Peoria area and one in Southern Illinois, and they very clearly had a large amount of testimony. And that testimony has been prepared and summation and conclusions thereof are now printed in a publication and I say to you that they have several findings, one of which as it pertains to whether Illinois ought to be like other states in developing these hundred foot drifts of property that

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

goes through land owners' farms and other adjacent properties, should be a part of a bike trail or some sort of development. One of the findings in the study Commission and I quote, 'further, as a major agricultural state, Illinois is not in a comparable situation with either Michigan or with Wisconsin where a recreation programs received substantial legislative and executive branch support.' It goes on and states that local units of government should take the lead in developing these kinds of programs. Further, in actually the findings it also states that the Department of Conservation and I'm quoting, 'has little, if any, interest in abandonment right-of-ways unless it is located in areas.' Flat, and I'm quoting again, 'flat farm land is not generally a desirable location for recreational trails.' Moving clear on into the recommendations that have come forth by this Subcommittee, I continue to quote that, 'the Department of Conservation in cooperation with the Department of Agriculture should evaluate all railroad lines, active and abandoned, with respect to their desirability as recreational or conservation facilities and that this evaluation be reported to the public at the earliest possible date.' And going on it says, 'local leadership should facilitate agreement.' I repeat, 'should facilitate agreement between land owners and recreational interests.' I say to you that the intent of the General Assembly was that, to put forth a Resolution and to not even give any consideration whatsoever to their findings or to their recommendations is inappropriate. And further,

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

I'm stating to you that if this is the original intent, right now, to further spend large amounts of money for pieces of property that are only one hundred feet wide and that extend for a few miles, maybe no longer than a mile, before it is involved in the hazardous safety of country roads crossings, I say that is unwise. The report further goes on and says that currently as of 12/'79 there are 441 abandoned rail roads. Pending, there are 387 abandoned railroads. Potentially there are another 422 for a total of some 1,250 miles of abandoned potential railroads in the State of Illinois. This is a sizable piece of property in which if the intent is to fund and to develop trails throughout the State of Illinois, I say this is in error. In doing some checking as to what the costs just initially for a fence to fence in some two hundred and seventy acres of property we're talking about 2.4 millions of dollars just to fence property that this would encumber. I urge you to give support to this motion so that the intent and further consideration by this general Body can be given to the study Commission that was inaugurated last year by this General Assembly. I might further say that people along the trails have continually been working with the Department of Conservation in trying to find, and are in actuality succeeding, in finding areas that are in my opinion and I'm sure the Conservation Department's opinion are more scenic to people who are concerned with environment than being right out in the middle of a corn field. Further, it's my own opinion that

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

generally, farmers are more impressed with the scenic, aesthetic value of a corn field or a soybean field than someone who is concerned about wild flowers and other things. In this particular area, this Amendment puts back into this Bill the words that say 'no further monies at this time will be spent on the development of this abandoned railroad. I urge your support of this in that in order to comply and to further the intent of this general Body and the Transportation Committee, which I am sure has not been given full study, would be a wise in supporting my motion to table this Amendment. I urge your favorable support."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I guess I should start by apologizing. I don't know any one, single issue that affects one district has caused so much discussion and dispute and debate upon the House floor and I..and I honestly apologize for that we've taken so much time, but I think this issue, which apparently is of sufficient import of the whole State of Illinois, because it is one of policy in regard to green land, recreational areas, and that type of thing.. And over the years the State of Illinois through the General Assembly has made policy on this matter. It has made policy on the Rock Island Trail by the appropriations that it's formulated over the years. Up to this point, there's been some as I understand it five hundred thousand dollars spent on the Trail, which, by the way, was a gift of the State of Illinois...Years

have gone by and there have been motions to stop, to give this back to the farmers, to sell it to the farmers, and those measures have been consistently defeated by the General Assembly. The General Assembly in its wisdom has said, this gift of valuable recreation land was given to the State of Illinois and it is too valuable to now discard at the whim of one or two Legislators and particularly those who are not even in the area. I want to say very clearly it is not a question of the adoption of the motion or the rejection of the motion, only to what it represents. If you feel that the State of Illinois shouldn't have recreational areas, shouldn't develop parks, etc., that the policy of the State of Illinois should no more..should we get into this field, then you're saying, in effect, that the Department of Conservation has no useful purpose anymore and that we should not fund it or any of its activities. To me, that's the most important question, a question of policy. I would ask that you look at this question very, very seriously and if you remember a couple of years ago we had an Amendment to sell a couple of other parts in another Gentleman's district. And I want you to remember that what would happen if someone wanted to sell the recreational facilities of your district? I think you would be rather hesitant to vote for this kind of a motion. I think the issue is very clear. It's just strictly a matter of policy of the State of Illinois. Do you want greenery? Do you want recreation? If you want recreation greenery then I would say this motion to table should be defeated and

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

I ask for your support."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman....The Gentleman from Will,
Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the tabling motion. I.. I think the prior speaker has lead you down a path of .. a primrose path of green lands and marvelous pastoral pictures. But I think what he is really done is to hide the fact that the General Assembly is divided several on this question so much so that the Amendment we're discussing tabling was adopted in Committee by a razor thin one vote margin, nine to eight with one voting 'present'. I might point out to you that he talks a great deal about policy, the policy of the General Assembly to do this, the policy to do that, with respect to abandoned railroads and that's what this is we're talking about. But let me tell you what the Amendment we're trying to table does. The Amendment was Illinois policy not to appropriate money for further development of abandoned railroads. And therefore, the Representative would have you believe that he is legislating policy, when, in fact, he is removing policy from the.. from the statutes with his Amendment. I oppose it, yes I do oppose the development of abandoned railroads through prime farm land. There are plenty of abandoned railroads, as Representative Ropp has mentioned, that run in scenic lands along rivers and through.. through rises and through areas that are not farmable. This is a good motion to table to restore what Representative... the Representative that has spoken before would have you do, to restore the policy of the

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

State of Illinois that until once and for all, this issue is settled, the policy shall be of the State of Illinois not to appropriate money for this losing venture."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk.:

Tuerk:: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it is true that this issue has been before this Body on many occasions. I can remember several years ago when the Department of Conservation under the leadership of a 'Director Dean' handled this issue very poorly. And as a result, got the property owners in that area irate over the way in which he bulldozed the .. the program to the present state. I supported the property owners back in those days because I felt that their rights were being trampled. I supported the excising of the appropriation at that time with the thought that with the direction of some of us Legislators with the Department of Conservation, we could work out some sort of a compromise. I, personally, arranged a number of meetings with the Department, with not only the opponents of this issue, but also the proponents, both of which and I repeat, both of which are constituents of mine. And I want to protect not only the rights of the property owners, but also the needs of those who feel that such a facility would be an asset, not only to them and their recreational activity, but also to the whole community. After a number of meetings it became apparent to me at least that frankly no solution was in sight. And I don't mean to get into any of the merits of whose fault that was, but it was the.. an

indication that neither side, the proponent, nor the opponent, were willing to compromise to the extent that a controversial measure such as this becomes evident and gets generation through the process of people getting in a solid position. It is true. There have been some public hearings relative to this matter and it is true that many people have expressed their strong feelings. I, frankly, don't know what the solution is and have so said that on many occasions, not only to .. in public meetings, but also the Department, to the proponents, to the opponents. It would seem to me, however, that somewhere down the line, someone has to bargain in good faith and get this thing off, send it one way or the other. Either come to the conclusion that this is not a good thing to do, or yes, indeed, it is a good thing to do and work up programs so that the property owners are duly protected. I am certainly willing to abide by the wishes of this Body as well as the Senate. I can understand my colleague from the 46th introducing the Amendment which would appropriate a small amount of funds for the development, at least partially, but I also understand the concerns of the property owners and would like some expression from this Body as to what direction to turn because apparently the Department of Conservation can't get it resolved and apparently we, as Legislators, don't seem to be able to get it resolved."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to speak on this motion because I have gone to the area and looked at

the Rock Island Trail as it is and I certainly do not believe that this so-called trail should be developed. There's a diagonal strip of land that cuts through farm property, creating great problems for the farmers in the area, and it also is a very poor trail. Yes, I've been there. That's why I'm talking. The trail is cut in many places. There... This amount that has been put in this Bill that we are trying to table, would a small drop in the bucket compared to what this General Assembly would be required to spend down the years to develop this trail at all fully. It would require the construction of three major bridges, and I'd like you to think about your own districts and the projects you need in your district, and how much money will be taken away from those projects by the construction of three major bridges for some people to hike down a trail that should never have been a trail to begin with. It has.. It is cut in several places including towns along the trail, some of which are refusing by their own ordinances to post signs indicating where the rest of the trail picks up so that if a person is on foot or bicycling and they come into a town, they cannot find the rest of the trail and the townspeople do not want the trail and so they refuse to post signs. That will continue whether or not we develop it and spend millions of dollars of the taxpayers' money as this eventually would need to force the trail down the throats of the people who live in that area. There is a great deal of land in the State of Illinois that could be traded for this if there is going to be the need for recreational trails.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

This is a poor location. It is a very bad idea to develop this trail. And I would urge all of you to go visit it and see that for yourselves as I did last diagonal strip of land that cuts through farm property, creating great problems for the farmers in the area, and it also is a very poor trail. It's a very poor sight to use as a recreational trail. Yes, I've been there. That's why I'm talking. The trail is cut in many places. There... This amount that has been put in this Bill that we are trying to table, would be a small drop in the bucket compared to what this General Assembly would be required to spend down the years to develop this trail at all fully. It would require the construction of three major bridges, and I'd like you to think about your own districts and the projects you need in your district, and how much money will be taken away from those projects by the construction of three major bridges for some people to hike down a trail that should never have been a trail to begin with. It has... It is cut in several places including towns along the trail, some of which are refusing by their own ordinances to post signs indicating where the rest of the trail picks up so that if a person is on foot or bicycling and they come into a town, they cannot find the rest of the trail and the townspeople do not want the trail and so they refuse to post signs. That will continue whether or not we develop it and spend millions of dollars of the taxpayers' money as this eventually would need to force the trail down the throats of the people who live in that area. There is a great deal of land in

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the State of Illinois that could be traded for this if there is going to be the need for recreational trails. This is a poor location. It is a very bad idea to develop this trail. And I would urge all of you to go visit it and see that for yourselves as I did last September. I think if you did, you would join me in total conviction that this Amendment should be tabled and Representative Ropp's motion should be supported. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton. Mr. Van Duyne?"

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is not the first time that we've talked about the Rock Island Trail. In fact, the first term of my few terms that we've been here, I've served on a Committee, that had numerous hearings on this with many, many people up in the gallery trying to get this property away from the State of Illinois. Now, I'm not against the farmers and I'm not against the state. I think that there is ~~a very amicable solution which will cost no one any~~ money. We have very learned people working for the State of Illinois with certain expertise in leasing property. I think the most important thing about this trail is the right-of-way. If anybody in this group has ever served on local governments, or with the State Government, for that matter, when you had to acquire a right-of-way, you know how you're held out to dry as far as the price of land. Now, we do own this ...property now. I don't care whether we further it along anyway. I can share the view of the people who live in that area about the bikers and people who

throw trash around their area and cut fences and so on. So I think the amicable solution, and I told this to Representative Ropp last fall and I said I would be glad to entertain any help from him that he would request to try to work out a lease. We passed a Bill out of here just some months ago where we leased a right-of-way between...on the median strip of Route 55 and Route 80 throughout the State of Illinois. Now, if we can lease out median strips including air right over a highway such as Route 80 and Route 55 especially when you get into the city of Chicago, surely we can protect the state's rights in this as far as right-of-way is concerned, so that if they ever want to build a highway through these four towns and I don't mean next year or ten years from now.... I talked to 'Jim Helfrige' right here at my desk not over an hour ago or two hours ago when his budget was before this very Body and 'Jim Helfrige' told me himself and if you don't know him, he is the Representative of the Department of Conservation, that they could lease this property to these people for one dollar or some pittance and use the very lawyers we have at our own disposal..would not cost the state one dime and it would make everyone happy. It would protect the state as far as its right-of-way is concerned and it would make everyone of the farmers and the people involved in this land happy. They could use it and it wouldn't cost them a dime."

Speaker Bradley: The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, we all know where all this is. I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves the previous question.

All in favor signify by voting.... saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. And Mr. Ropp, to close the debate."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in reply to Representative Van Dwyne, since this issue has been going on for several years, taking your suggestion in regard to the proper use of it and which I would somewhat support, I didn't feel it was an emergency this Session and that's why that wasn't introduced since this has been going on for a number of years. By no means do I want this Body to feel that we are opposed to bike trails of any nature. The fact is, I hold in my hands a list put out by the formerly the Department of Tourism, Illinois Department of Business and Economic Development, at that time, entitled, Illinois' Bike Trails. Now it's not supported by the state, but they promote these to the tune of seventeen different trails throughout the State of Illinois, ~~encompassing some 1,268 miles.~~ So the state is involved in supporting and encouraging recreational facilities like this. I say that in this particular issue at this time, this is one that is only a drop in the bucket as to the potential state cost that would be involved. We are, and I would admit that myself and other people are urgently.. urgently seeking additional property for use and development for recreational, scenic trails in place of this one which encumbers one hundred feet of prime farm land, cutting up one hundred farmers in Central Illinois because of the large amount of money that ultimately will be

needed to be appropriated from some fund in the State of Illinois. I urge your support of this Amendment... this motion because I think there are some other priorities, concerns, such as the need for Public Aid, for education and other areas that I think deserve first priority of money. I urge your favorable support on this motion. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Mr. Bowman, to explain his vote for one minute."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, like Representative Pullen have been down there to see the trail. Let me tell you that the materials to build those bridges are lying around in the fields. They've already been purchased, waiting like an erector set to be put together. An that trail is one of the finest examples of certain kinds of prairie flowers and other specimens that exist in Illinois. I presume she didn't notice the little blue stem, the large blue stem or the rattlesnake master when she was down there."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer to explain his vote."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe all the Members of the House know that I have supported the Department of Conservation conscientiously in the last several terms of the Session and of the Legislature. And, indeed, I feel there is a need for bicycle trails. But I think that what we might just keep in mind in this situation is that while we're talking about eliminating this particular bicycle trail or

nature path or whatever you want to call it, this will release monies for other areas that do want these facilities. Representative Friedrich and Matijevich and I can attest to the fact that we've pleaded for some money for five miles of bicycle trail between Libertyville and Lake Forest which would interconnect with the massive bicycle trail system coming out of Cook County. The Mayor of Waukegan would like to have money to continue to the bicycle trail through Waukegan to interconnect with the Wisconsin one. We've been told there's no money for it. And the people in our area, dearly want it. So I'm going to vo... I'm going to support Representative Ropp's motion. This will release money for other projects where we want it and at the same time make his people happy."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, to explain his vote."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, apparently the last speaker and a couple of them are mistaken. This is not putting one cent towards an appropriation. This will set state policy if you want a trial period. It has no money involved at all in this Amendment. And so on that basis, Mr. Speaker, if it gets the red votes, I'd like to ask poll the absentees and have a verification."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 84 'aye's and 67 'no's, and the Gentleman's motion pre...Mr. Schraeder?"

Schraeder: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Bradley: "Poll the absentees. Whichever we go, we

would verify Mr. Katz? Fine."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees; Beatty. Bower. Campbell. Domico. Donovan. John Dunn. Epton. Garmisa. Dave Jones. Kosinski. Laurino. Lechowicz. Madigan. Mahar. Oblinger. Robbins. Schlickman. Schuneman. Skinner. Stuffle. Vitek. Walsh. Walsh. Watson. And, Wikoff."

Speaker Bradley: "Mulcahey? For what purpose do you arise?"

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry for bothering you. I would like to change my vote from 'yes' to 'no', please."

Speaker Bradley: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. On this question there are 83 'aye's, and 69?...68 'no's. And Mr. Schraeder?"

Schraeder: "I'd like to verify the Affirmative."

Speaker Bradley: "Verify the Affirmative. Let's be in our seats and raise your hand when your name is called. Call of the Affirmative Roll."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative; Abramson. Ackerman. Barnes. Bell. Bianco. Birchler. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boucek. Brummer. Bullock. Burnidge. Capparelli. Capuzi. Casey. Collins. Conti. Daniels. Darrow. Davis. Dawson. Deuster. DiPrima. Doyle. Ralph Dunn. Ewing. Flinn. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Getty. Goodwin. Griesheimer. Hallstrom. Hanahan. Harris. Hoxsey. Hudson. Huskey. Emil Jones. Karpiel. Kent. Klosak. Kornowicz. Krska. Kucharski. Kulas. Margulas. Matula. McAuliffe. McBroom. McGrew. McMaster. Molloy. Neff. Patrick. Peters. Piel. Polk. Pouncey. Pullen. Reilly. Richmond. Rigney. Ronan.

Ropp. Tyan. Schoeberlein. Simms. Slape. Stanley.
 Stearney. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Swanstrom.
 Taylor. Terzich. Totten. Vinson. Williams.
 Williamson. Winchester. and, Woodyard."

Speaker Bradley: "Questions of the Affirmative? Mr. Molloy,
 for what purpose do you arise?"

Molloy: "I'd like to change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Record Mr. Molloy as voting 'no'.
 Questions of the Affirmative."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, where are we starting from?"

Speaker Bradley: "82 'aye's, and 69 'no's."

Schraeder: "Abramson."

Speaker Bradley: "Abramson? He's there."

Schraeder: "Boucek?"

Speaker Bradley: "Boucek is there. Can Mr. Collins be
 verified Mr. Schraeder?"

Schraeder: "He's a Gentleman, yes."

Speaker Bradley: "Collins?"

Schraeder: "Alright. Brummer?"

Speaker Bradley: "Brummer? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Schraeder: "Capparelli?"

Speaker Bradley: "How is he... He's in the center aisle."

Schraeder: "Karpel?;

Speaker Bradley: "Karpel is in her seat."

Schraeder: "Conti?"

Speaker Bradley: "Conti? I don't see him. How is he
 recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Schraeder: "Capuzi?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's there."

Schraeder: "Darrow?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Schraeder: "Deuster?"

Speaker Bradley: "Deuster's there."

Schraeder: "Slape?"

Speaker Bradley: "Slape? Is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Schraeder: "Flinn?"

Speaker Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Representative Flinn is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Schraeder: "Hanahan?"

Speaker Bradley: "Hanahan, is he in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Here he is."

Schraeder: "Emil Jones?"

Speaker Bradley: "David Jones is here."

Schraeder: "Kucharski?"

Speaker Bradley: "Kucharski is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll and put Mr. Conti

back on. Pardon?"

Schraeder: "Kornowicz?"

Speaker Bradley: "Kornowicz? Now, wait a minute. Mr. Hanahan would like to go from 'aye' to 'no'. Alright. Kornowicz is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Representative Kornowicz is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Here... Mr. Kornowicz is here. Leave him on."

Schraeder: "Macklefee?"

Speaker Bradley: "Who did you say?"

Schraeder: "Alright. Mr. Flinn.. Mr. Flinn has returned. Put him back on the Roll."

Schraeder: "Neff?"

Speaker Bradley: "Neff? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Schraeder: "Peters?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's there."

Schraeder: "Polk? Reilly?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's there."

Schraeder: "Ronan?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's there."

Schraeder: "Stanley?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's there."

Schraeder: "Stearney?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's here."

Schraeder: "Swanstrom?"

Speaker Bradley: "Swanstrom? He's there."

Schraeder: "Terzich?"

Speaker Bradley: "Terzich, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, did I get Swamstrom?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's here."

Schraeder: "Okay. Taylor?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, did you say that Mr. Kornowicz was here?:

Speaker Bradley: "Yes. He came in."

Schraeder: "Okay. Totten?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's there."

Schraeder: "Williams?"

Speaker Bradley: "Williams is.. Jack Williams is here. Kucharski has returned. Put him back on the Roll."

Schraeder: "Woodyard?"

Speaker Bradley: "Woodyard? Woodyard? I don't ... Where is he? I don't see him." How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Schraeder: "Piel."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon?"

Schraeder: "Piel."

Speaker Bradley: "He's there."

Schraeder: "That's all, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay. What's the count? On this question there's 74 'aye's, 70 'nay's, and the gentleman's motion prevails. Any motions to other amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further motions for amendments. Amendment

#7 was withdrawn. Amendment #8, Oblinger-Jones amends Senate Bill 1644's amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Yes, we'd like to table that amendment.."

Speaker Bradley: "Let's withdraw it. She withdraws Amendment #8. Further amendments."

Clerk Leone: "For Amendment #9, Woodyard, Stuffle, amends Senate Bill 1644 as amended."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Woodyard on the floor. Mr. Stuffle on the floor. Are there any other amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further amendments after Amendment #9."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Telcser?"

Telcser: "Speaker, if you could read the Bill a second time move it to third if Representative Woodyard and Stuffle would want to have their amendment adopted or have a roll call I'll take it back to the second and let them go with it."

Speaker Bradley: "Let's move it...let's move it to third."

Telcser: "Move it to third and I'm not sure they really want.."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, third reading. Senate Bill 1665."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1665. A Bill for an act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements grants and related purposes. Second reading of the Bill, Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, and 53 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions in relation to those

amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "A motion to table, I move to table Amendment #22 to Senate Bill 1655, Gordon Ropp."

Speaker Bradley: "You wish..you wish to table that amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Mr. Speaker, I think there is no need for this one now and we'll table that."

Speaker Bradley: "Now, so you have a motion to table Amendment #22."

Ropp: "Okay, withdraw it."

Speaker Bradley: "Withdraws with motion to table. Alright, any other motions?"

Clerk Leone: "Motion to table. I move to table Amendment #36 to Senate Bill 1665, Representative Jacob John Wolf."

Speaker Bradley: "Jake Wolf on your motion to table. You want to proceed, persist or withdraw?"

Wolf: "I'd like to table that there is another..."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, you're going to table your..withdraw your motion to table. Further motions. The Gentleman moves..persists in..it's withdrawn. His motion to table is withdrawn."

Clerk Leone: "No, table the amendment. To table Committee Amendment #36."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, the gentleman moves the table Amendment #36 all in favor say 'aye' oppose 'no' and the gentleman's motion prevails and the Amendment's tabled. Further motions regarding those amendments."

Clerk Leone: "A motion to table, I move to table Amendment #48, to Senate Bill 1665, Representative John Matijevich. Oh, I thought that was Keane's amendment."

Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Keane handled that amendment for Representative Schisler in Committee and there's another amendment which that will be taken care of later down the line and of right now move to table Committee Amendment #48, I believe it is #48."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman who is to table it, Mr...Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane on the motion."

Mr. Keane: "Yes, I concur."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, on the.. on the Gentleman's motion all in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' the 'aye's have it and the Amendment is tabled. Further motions."

Clerk Leone: "No further motions."

Speaker Bradley: "For amendments. Mr. Telcser?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, there are a large number of amendments offered to Senate Bill 1665 and I understand that a number of sponsors are going to withdraw their amendments. If we can withdraw we're.. I'm told by the sponsors if I'm in error they could let me know now. If we can withdraw Amendment #54 which is Representative Winchester, Representative fifty..Amendment #55 by Representative Braun, Huff, and Ewell. Fifty-seven Representative Taylor. Sixty-four Representative Giorgi. Eighty Representative Peters. Sixty-eight Representative Oblinger. If that is alright with those members and if it's alright with the chair we can take all the rest of the amendments and adopt them on one oral vote.. No?"

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute."

Telcser: "I'm just trying to save time."

Speaker Bradley: "What ever you want to do is okay by me. Fine. Mr. Winchester. Is he there? Mr. Braun, Huff, Ewell, on that, are you aware of what we're doing? Mr. Braun, are you aware...withdrawing your Amendment #55 to this Bill, Braun, Huff, Ewell. Alright, Mr. Taylor on 57 is it alright with you to withdraw that Amendment #57 to that?"

Taylor: "Alright."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Giorgi on 64?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'm taking the..the fifty thousand dollars out for the State of Illinois Building in the city of Rockford as long as the offer stays in the other bill. That's the agreement I made."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, Mr. Peters, Mr. Peters on 80 is it alright with you to take it out of no..Oblinger on 68? I didn't see Mr. Winchester..is it alright with Mr. Winchester to withdraw that? Alright, then the motion isn't...Mr. Matijevich."

~~Matijevich: "I'm rising because I hear from opposition and gentlemen of the house"~~
 I'm rising because I hear from opposition..I'm rising to concur and commend the sponsor Art Telcser. I realize that there are some conservative voices that would like to get up and oppose his motion to adopt all of these with one Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "But we're not at that point yet..we're on..we're on the point of withdrawing 54,55, 57, 64, 68, and 80. On that motion, all in favor of that motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no' and those amendments are withdrawn. Now Mr. Telcser moves the adoption.."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I..it's alright with me if we adopt all the amendments on an oral Roll Call. A couple members want a record Roll Call for their end of the Session ratings of some kind so whatever the membership wants to do it's okay by me."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, Mr. Mulcahey..Mr. Mulcahey, what purpose do you rise?"

Mulcahey: "Speaker, a question to the chair we have withdrawn about five or six amendments just now. We're up to about 54. How many amendments are there left."

Speaker Bradley: "How many amendments do we have there, Tony?"

Clerk Leone: "There have been 82 amendments filed. We're up to 53 we adopted in Committee so that leaves us 29 amendments less six."

Speaker Bradley: "Twenty-three amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Twenty-three amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Thank you. Alright, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, now I'd like to speak to concur with Representative Telcser and I heard..I heard Representative Totten voice over there and I want to say that the readings are phoney in the first place. Let's save our own time here. This is what we ought to be concerned about and I commend Representative Telcser first of all for allowing sponsors to put amendments on this Bill because it's the only way that legislators have to show the concerns in their particular areas. Most of them are aware that they're not going to go anywhere with those amendments that even if the Conference Committee accepts and even if

it goes to the Governor. They're aware that nothing is going to happen as far as these capital projects. But its their way of saying that there is this need in similar years ..it is very possible that government will look toward that need and I think that that's responsible action and I commend Art Telcser for allowing us to do it that way. I also want to commend publicly Don Schlickman. This was a torn agency a few years back and I think that Don Schlickman has done a good job in making this agency responsible. Now I can see that Representative Totten is going to get up and say this is alot of money, these are alot of appropriations. If all of you are here twenty years none of you are going to have anything like he's got in Schaumburg with that deal ..building over there so he's got his thing. He doesn't have to have anything for the rest of his legislative life. He's had it. But let's save our legislative time in concurring with one Roll Call, get it over with, let the Conference Committee if they will continue with their work or let the gov..Governor do his thing but let's vote on that one Roll Call only."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, does the gentleman have..have his consent to take them all on one Roll Call? Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. One Roll Call is fine with me, in fact, I thought it was a good procedure in the Committee when we did it. I would like to point out to Mr. Matijevich though, that I have one more amendment being drafted that's to move the D.O.T. Building out of Schamburg because it's

nothing but pain in the neck there and will happily give it to North Chicago or Waukegan or Jacksonville or whoever wants it. But I would object to the fact that we do it on an Oral Roll Call with a recorded vote in Committee on how we did it and there's over thirty million dollars involved in this.. in taking all these amendments at one time and I as one member would like to be recorded..or have a recorded vote and it not be an Oral Roll Call.

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, the Gentleman, Mr. Telcser moves to the adoption of Amendments..Maybe we better have the Clerk read the remaining Amendments. Mr. Telcser makes that motion."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment to Senate Bill 1665 for Amendments 56 McGrew - McMaster, 58 Rae - Winchester, 59 Rae - Winchester, 60 Hallock - Braun, 61 Ropp, 62 Ewing, 63 Marovitz, 65 Ryan - McBroom, 66 Younge, 67 Mautino - Abramson - Schuneman, 69 Anderson, 70 Oblinger - Jones, 71 Stearney - Vinson, 72 Capparelli - McAuliffe - Kosinski - DiPrima - Doyle - Terzich - Kornowicz - Leon and Greiman, 73 Skinner - Hanahan - Burnich, 74 Hoxsey, 75 Schisler, 76 Rae - Winchester - Birchler - Ralph Dunn - McMaster - Slape - Donovan - Hannig and Richmond, 77 Peters,"

Speaker Bradley: "Just one minute, please. Mrs. Vinson don't leave the chair would go out of conten..context here for just a second and introduce to the House of Representatives a young lady from our 44th District Mrs. Sam Vinson at the rear of the room in a very delightful looking purple outfit. Mrs. Vinson. Proceed. I'm trying to make a Democrat out of her."

Clerk Leone: "78 Younge, 79 Peters, 81 Peters, and 82 Matijevich."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, on that motion all in favor signify by voting 'aye'..no wait a minute, Mr. Ropp wants to withdraw Amendment #61 so we'll withdraw Amendment #61. Alright, now on the other amendments all in favor of adoption of those amendments vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'..Okay let's..have all voted wish..the lady from Champagne, Mrs.Satterthwaite wait to explain her vote for one minute."

Mrs. Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House I think this is really a travesty of the legislative process. It's no wonder the public reaction to what we do down here is such an outrage. Why should we take one vote on all these questions giving absolutely no direction to the people who happen to be on the Conference Committee where a report comes out. I felt that one reason we did this was individual amendments was so that we could at least have some indication of the extent of support or the extent of objection by doing it this way there is absolutely no direction to anybody on that Conference Committee and I think it really stinks."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted to wish...Mr..Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers to explain his vote for one minute."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker I was elected to represent the people. I don't even know what some of these amendments are because I haven't got to them. For all I know I'm voting for fifty million dollars for the North Koreans. I don't know so I can't go on with

sort of boloney. It's not a legislative act at all."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Flinn to explain his vote."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker it appears that some of these members don't know what all these amendments are. They come under one common name, pork barrels. That's the name of them. You can either adopt them or you can reject them it amounts to the same thing. The Governor's big pencil is going to take care of them anyway."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted to wish..have all voted to wish..Clerk will take the record."

Clerk Leone: "On this question there are 96 'aye's, 60 'no's the Bill as having..these amendments are adopted."

Speaker Bradley: "Further amendments."

Clerk Leone: "No further amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third reading. Senate Bill second reading appears 1606. Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, let that...let that Roll Call be consider the Honor Roll of the Session."

Speaker Bradley: "This all be recorded."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1606. A Bill for an act making certain appropriations..second reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to Amendment #1."

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Further amendments."

Clerk Leone: "For Amendment #2, Matijeovich amends Senate Bill 1606.."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijeovich. Amendment #2."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House Amendment #2 appropriate \$502,581.39 for interest payments to the Community College District 508. What happened was that there was an error in the fiscal year '77-'78 in reimbursements to this Junior College District which resulted in a law suit and this amendment is required so that that Junior College District receives this amount of money based on the result of the court suits in the award that that Junior College District be appropriated this amount of money in interest payments. I move for the adoption of Amendment #2..floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1606."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from McKinley, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "For those of us who don't know the numbers could you tell what Junior College District this is?"

Speaker Bradley: "508."

Skinner: "Yes, is that Lake County..College of Lake County, College of DuPage, McHenry County College, City College of Chicago?"

Speaker Bradley: "It's City of Chicago but I don't know."

Skinner: "Ohhhh!"

Speaker Bradley: "Well, it doesn't make any difference where it would be this is a.."

Skinner: "Of course not."

Speaker Bradley: "The court order, no matter where..All in favor of John's motion signify by saying 'aye'. Polls know the 'aye's have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "For Amendment #3, Younge amends Senate Bill 1606 as amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Would you read that Amendment, please?"

Speaker Bradley: "You want them to read the Amendment?"

Younge: "Yes."

Speaker Bradley: "Read the Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3 amend Senate Bill 1606 is amended by inserting after Section 1 the following: Section 1A, the sum of \$48,702 are so much thereof that may be necessary is appropriated to the State Fire Marshall for grants to assist municipalities in need of emergency funds for fire fighting equipment or protective gear."

Younge: "Thank you very much. This is the appropriations part of a Bill that has past the House and the Senate in reference to the Fire Marshall having the authorization to make grants to units of local government for fire protection equipment and gear. The Senate..this Bill is now on concurrence on the House calendars House Bill 2837. The Senate took out state subject to their being a specific appropriation. ~~This is the small specific appropriation which is the~~ other part of this Bill and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Questions on the adoption of the Amendment, all in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Gentleman from Champagne, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "I just wondered if the Amendment has been distributed. Has it?"

Speaker Bradley: "All the Amendments have been distributed."

Johnson: "Okay."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Amendment, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no' the 'aye's...On the question

all in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 73 'aye's, 54 'no's and the lady's motion prevails. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "For Amendment #4, Younge amends Senate Bill 1606."

Speaker Bradley: "Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and members of the House, this amendment would appropriate \$1,900,000 to the Illinois Industrial Development authority for loans for industrial development in St. Clair County. St. Clair is a very unique county in that it is on the Illinois side of the..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Younge, just a minute, please. The lady..the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Why Betty you know how I always help you, that last Roll Call was..which was your amendment you were busy getting votes, you weren't recorded and I'd like to have leave that why that would be recorded on that last Roll Call as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any objections? The objections have been raised, Mrs. Younge. Record Mrs. Younge as voting 'aye' in the last Roll Call. Alright, go ahead with this amendment Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Thank you very much. This appropriation would match a Federal grant for \$2,300,000 for the development of an industrial park in the St. Clair County area. There is a plant, the Hunter Packing Company plant which has taken an option on two sites in Missouri. This plant employs some one thousand

people and if it cannot be successful in getting a ...grant for fifty million dollars which it has applied for we all know the Federal Government is cutting back or if this industrial park cannot be developed in the lands of this industrial park is very close to the present site of Hunter we will lose the seventy million dollars payroll in Illinois and also lose the property value of this plant being here. I read in the paper this morning that not only do we have a problem in the East St. Louis area the possibility of Hunter Packing Company leaving but Swift Packing Company the other large meat packer. It is rumored it is thinking about leaving and both of these situations are because of the dilapidation of the physical plant. An industrial park in that area can solve these problems and I ask for your support in this amendment in reference to this matter."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion, the Gentleman from Williamson, Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This amendment is a much needed amendment. In East St. Louis there's very high unemployment ratio. Hunter Packing Company has been one of the major packers in the Midwest. They have a Federal Inspection Act and I tell you that they have hired several hundred people. If Hunter Packing Company leaves East St. Louis it will probably be one of the last largest employers to be in that area. This is something that's really needed in this district. This is something that is very, very much asked for. I think if the Representative who is asking for this in

my judgement is doing a great justice to her district and also to the State of Illinois trying to keep employment up where people will not have to be on Public Aid. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would rise in opposition to this amendment the previous speaker made a statement that St. Clair County is one of the most unique areas in the state and it certainly is because its an area that receives the most pork of any area in the state. They ought to open up a meat packing plant down there because all day long that's all you hear is another project for East St. Louis and St. Clair County. We must have forked out enough money so they could build a Cutahey and the Armour and the Swift Packing plant there and they could go into the hog processing business because it certainly is the pork barrel capital of the United States and the rest of the state is paying for all these crazy projects."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Speaker, move to the previous question, please."

Speaker Bradley: "Move to the previous question. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' the 'aye's have it. Mrs. Younge to close."

Younge: "The way to lessen the unemployment role and the welfare role is to put people to work and retain plants and industry within our state. This is what this amendment is about. Giving Hunter Packing Company and Swift Packing Company the opportunity to locate in an industrial park in Illinois so that we

will not lose this vital industry. And I move for your support on this matter."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of this motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Champagne, Mr. Johnson to explain his vote for one minute."

Johnson: "Representative McAuliffe said it better that I did but Danville is right on the border too and if Representative Stuffle and Representative Woodyard and Campbell came in with one fiftieth of the number of amendments and bills in the whole term that Representative Younge does in one week they wouldn't get three votes. I can't believe how we indulge this fancy that we have that there somehow one spot in Illinois that shouldn't fund their own local projects that the state ought to have to fund all the time. And we keep indulging it by voting these things out, letting the Governor veto it and not having enough votes to override the veto. It's ridiculous, it's costly and it just doesn't make any sense and I wish at some point we'd say, let's just be realistic from the beginning, let's realize that in St. Clair County and Madison County ought to be treated like the rest of the state in terms of those things and vote 'no'. Looks like we lose again. We have to leave it up to the Governor."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "When you announce this Roll Call I was going to make an introduction of Jack Hill and I didn't know if anybody wanted to hear me talk like Jack Hill or not."

Speaker Bradley: "Let's get this one done. All in favor.. all voting to wish..have all voted who wish? On this question there's 69 'aye's and 54 'no's and the lady's motion prevails. Alright, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, most of you know what a good that the Mayor of Aurora, Jack Hill is right here and his son, David is right over here and I want to introduce him to all of you."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute, Mr. Matijevich. I'd like to..for the benefit of Mayor Hill, we're going to break out of here at 4:30 this afternoon so you can get home to your children. And your wife..you've worked long and hard this week and we're going to break so you can get home. Matijevich does that better than anybody else. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "No, the reason I want to speak. The reason I'm at Giorgi's microphone is because Jack Hill use to stand over, here on the corner and Jack Hill, if some of you don't know it every once in a while some of you say I've got a temper. Hell, I don't have a temper. You should have seen Jack Hill around here. And you really got to like Jack Hill's temper or not..but..and I've given sort of an impersonation.. I can't speak like Jack Hill but I think I knew some of his mannerisms. Jack Hill was the type of guy I could look at the back of him and know when he was mad because it seem like you could just feel him going up and up but..and I've done the impersonation of Jack but many of you didn't know what lead up to that

outburst when Jack would..would get madder than hell. Let me let you know what happen before and it went something like this because I walked by his desk one day and I heard it. Jack would be on the phone it would be something like this. That's why I'm glad his son David is here. I asked him how old is David and he's thirteen years old and Jack would get on the phone and he'd be like this..he says: Yeh, but..bu..bu..bu..bu Belva, you know how it is around here Bu..Bu..Belva I can't help it Belva..would you listen to me? Belva I know we got to get out of this goddamned place. Belva, listen you know I love you, Belva..Belva, the damn Speaker back there all day. He's not doing anything. He's back in that office sitting you know on his..and we're here working. He doesn't care he's fresh out there. We're sitting here doing nothing, we're waiting. I want to get home. David doesn't even know what I look like, I know that, but what can I do? I'm standing here. I'm doing my

~~son. I want to get out of here. I want to get out of here.~~
 Belva, Bu..Bu..Bu..are you there Belva? Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker. Do you hear me?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Hill, what purpose are you rising?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I've been here..I was here when we started this morning. I was here. Nobody was here. I was here in my desk. I was here at work. I was here right away on time. I've been here all day. I've been sitting here we've been doing nothing. We don't even eat when we're suppose to eat. What kind of life we've got. You're sitting back

there in the office. You're doing nothing back there. We know when we're going to adjourn and when you come out here you're doing nothing. Our families back there at home. My kid David doesn't even look..know what I look like. Belva's on the phone giving me hell. I want to get home. We got work to do at home. We got to meet our families. We got to see our families. Mr. Speaker, you got to get us out of here, you got to get us home. That's all there is to it. We got..We got to get out and I move adjourn Mr. Speaker right now."

Speaker Bradley: "Jack, the second reading. Further amendments."

Clerk Leone: "For Amendment #5, Peters amends Senate Bill 1606 is amended."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speak..Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an excellent amendment I would ask the same favorable Roll Call as Representative Younge got for her very fine legislation."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Just a slight clue, Representative Peters. What's the content?"

Peters: "Representative Skinner, this is an appropriation to be made to the Office of the Secretary of State for remodeling certain areas of the Stratton Office of the Building the total sum is forty thousand dollars."

Skinner: "Not first traveling agency?"

Peters: "Pardon."

Skinner: "Not first travel agency?"

Peters: "No."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no', the 'aye's have it and the motion is adopted. Don't anybody get nervous that we're leaving at 4:30. That was only a part of the act and we're going to continue to work. Further amendments."

Clerk Leone: "For Amendment #6, Taylor amends Senate Bill 1660 by inserting immediately private effective date ...the following."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and members of the House. This is a probate \$210,000 as a part of law enforcement for the bombing squad. Mr. Speaker, this amendment came about one night when my car stopped out..just out on the highway out on Peoria road and the officer there came up and he stayed there with me until I was able to get into Springfield which was about 2:30 in the morning. While I was sitting there talking to him, he told me about the dangers we have here in Springfield that there is no bomb and arson unit right here in the State Capitol to have as many problems that we have. If you were here when I first came down every other day we got some complaint that there was a bomb someplace in this State Capitol. In order to get a person to really remove a bomb from this State Capitol he would have to come all the way from Champagne and I did not think the members of this House would like to be under that condition. That's why I went forth and add this amendment drafted to get

that kind of training, get the people right here in this State Capitol where we can have the protection. I wish for your support for Amendment #6, Senate Bill 1606."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "In the case he will."

Totten: "Mr. Taylor, I appreciate your concern but wasn't it just about a year or two years ago that we had the Secretary of State bomb unit squad remove a bomb from a suitcase or something? Aren't they capable of doing it?"

Taylor: "No, they did not move. Some persons came in here that could not remove the bomb from the suitcase. No, they were not professional, they could not do it and that was one of the discussions that we had that night. ~~Now that it doesn't happen, and that is why I~~ introduced this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "If I remember correctly the incident involved a number of the Secretary of State's police shooting a suitcase full of clothes that was left in the Stratton Building which sort of indicates, probably, the general competence of some of the employees over there. I would suggest however, that we should not encourage the state employees in the Capitol complex by suggesting that there might be some bomb scares. During the rash of bomb scares four or five years ago

it was found after investigation that it was state employees calling them because they wanted to get off of work early. I would suggest that if we pass this Amendment we may be encouraging them to want to get off of work earlier even more in the future because there would be somebody to react. I don't think this is a very good idea. I think we ought to trust the bomb and arson squads that already exist. I trust Pat Ward."

Speaker Redmond: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Roll call. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 83 'aye', 37 'no', and the motion prevails. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, O'Brien-Redmond et al, amends Senate Bill..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, the following Amendment is an appropriation to the Industrial Commission Advisory Committee for the purpose of reviewing, evaluating and making recommendations to the General Assembly with regard to the operating policies and procedures of the Industrial Commission and the effect that such policies have on the implementation and application of the Illinois Workers Compensation Law. The appropriation is fifty thousand dollars. This is a companion to House Joint

Resolution 108 and it's necessary in order for any meaningful reform in relation to Worker's Comp."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no' the 'aye's have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? The Gentleman..what purpose does the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi rise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, to introduce the Mayor of the second largest city in Illinois, Rockford, with the second largest industrial base and a great Democratic Mayor, Robert Magone, in the back gallery. Robert Magone, the Mayor of Rockford, second largest city, gateway to the North."

Speaker Bradley: "Further amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, Ryan, McBroom amends Senate Bill 1606 is amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #8 adds \$23,218.77 to reimburse the Ford County to the cost of the model used in a crime scene trial in the Monroe-Lampkin trial, where I think there were two state policemen killed. We tried to get this through the Attorney General's office but he didn't have a contract for it and couldn't pay it and so I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentlman's motion say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'aye's have it, the amendment is adopted. Further amendments."

Clerk Leone: "For Amendment #9, Huff, Henry, Bullock amends Senate Bill 1606 is amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, I have this listed as Amendment #8."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendment #8 I think was the gentleman from Kankakee. Clerk has it as 9."

Huff: "Alright, I stand corrected. Amendment #8, Amendment #9, I'm sorry, appropriates fifty-five thousand dollars or so much thereof as may be necessary to the Chicago's Community School Study Commission. This is an agreed Amendment, I know of no opposition, and I solicit your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no' the 'aye's have it. Roll Call. Everybody in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish. The Clerk will take the record. This question there is 69 'aye's, 50 'no's and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10, Darrow-Polk-Bell, amends Senate Bill 1606 is amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this amendment adds one hundred and sixty thousand dollars to the Department of Mental Health for purposes to pay for the transporting of the mentally ill to the state facilities by the County Sheriff Departments at the present time but local County Sheriff has a responsibility of transferring some mentally ill patients to the Mental Health facilities and this would reimburse the counties. I'd ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion

signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'aye's have it. Amendment is adopted. Further amendments. I think we have a former member with us in the rear of the chambers. One of the finer golfers in the group. Former Representative Gil Deavers. Stand up Gil. His handicap has gone down since he left here. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #11, Catania amends Senate Bill 1606."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and members of the House.

Amendment #11 appropriates the same twenty million dollars that we appropriated a few weeks ago in House Bill 2922 for the purpose of funding impaction payments to those public hospitals that have spent over twenty percent of their patient care days in the last three years on care for the indigent. Unfortunately, the Senators decided to play games after we sent this over to them they held the Bill in the appropriations committee and then yesterday when they voted down the substantive Bill they said that since the appropriations Bill didn't get out they weren't going to vote for the substantive Bill. Of course, we can still keep trying to send the substantive Bill to them again. I want to be sure that they understand that it is the intention of this House to appropriate the twenty million dollars for the impaction payments. I was deeply grateful to you when you supported it the first. Now that the Senate has killed it, please help me one more time and vote for the money in Amendment #11."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Will the lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates she will."

Catania: "Yes."

Leinenweber: "Did you say the authorization to spend this money was killed by the Senate?"

Catania: "They put it on postponed consideration and the deadline is now passed but they were clearly playing games, they didn't let it out of their appropriations Committee so that they could use that as an excuse to vote down the substantive Bill. Now I think it's very important for the House to reinforce it's intent that we support both the substantive Bill and the appropriations Bill as you know we can't do them both at the same time. I can only do them one at a time so I'm asking for help to do it for the appropriation Bill right now in this Amendment."

Leinenweber: "What are you going to use for an authorization Bill if it's dead?"

Catania: "I will try to find a way to amend something with the authorization."

Leinenweber: "Would you say that the posture of the Senate that in opposition to this expenditure?"

Catania: "It appears to be the posture that if we give them both of them at the same time they might consider them."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion..on the lady's motion all in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. 'Aye's, 'aye's have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Floor the Amendment #12, Giorgi, Hanahan amends Senate Bill 1606 is amended."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor..Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, last night the Senate passed the Chrysler Bill with a minor Amendment. It says the Bill left the House and in the event it reaches the Governor's desk. There's going to have to be a necessary appropriation and Senate.. House Amendment #12..the Senate..to Senate Bill 1606 has the convenience for the money. What it says is the sum of twenty million dollars there is so much there...as may be necessary to be distributed monthly in equal amounts for the six months, six months subsequent to the effective date of the Corporate Loan Act of 1980, would bring this Bill into November in the Veto Session. So in the event the Governor signs the Bill, we have the money mechanism in the events the Governor wants to release the money. No monies can be released, no monies can be released until the Governor releases them, the Bureau of the Budget, Director of the Bureau of the Budget and the Director of Commerce and Community Development have negotiated with Chrysler so that they can't get the money and the collateralization and the guarantees are in the Act so I move..I move for the adoption of Amendment #12."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I'd like to address the..the Gentleman's amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, members of the House, sometime

ago when this Bill went through after being amended to provide for a loan rather than a guarantee many members indicated that they thought it was still a guarantee. I was not one and I will say on behalf of the gentleman who presented the Bill he never did say that it was a guarantee. He said it was a loan that was why it only required 89 votes. Another member who addressed the issue did, however; say it was guarantee and suffice to say from some of the discussions, particularly on this side of the aisle, many members thought it was still a guarantee. Well I can tell you this, if you would like to see the Chrysler package returned to a guarantee then you better not appropriate this money. If it's a guarantee, it requires a three-fifths vote if we appropriate this money and we go as a loan it only requires 89 votes. So if any of you have any question at all now whether you want it to be a guarantee or a loan I suggest that you pay attention to this amendment and don't appropriate money to a loan money to Chrysler Corporation but wait for the Bill to come back, put it in the Conference and let them come in with the guarantee the way it was originally presented to us. I'll vote against it in any case because I don't think the state has any right to enter into failing business situations. That's one of the great things about the American business community, you have the right to succeed and you have the right to fail. However, I want everybody to know the issue is here, you're setting it once and for all, this is a loan not a guarantee. This money will go out from the conference

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

of the state directly to Chrysler so make no mistake about it. Don't come back and say you thought it was a guarantee because it's not. This is a loan."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Davis..from Will, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Will the sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Davis: "Representative Giorgi, when you first introduced this Bill and during the course of the windings through the legislature and the machinations back and forth it was presented as a peg board in the necessity that Illinois participate in the Federal Loan Guarantee so that Chrysler could..could get a billion and a half dollars in loan guarantees. Is that true?"

Giorgi: "That wasn't the presentation. What it was we knew..everyone knew that the Federal Loan Board told Chrysler go into every state where you have facilities and negotiate with them for some help but we knew that our participation did not hinge on the Federal Government giving them the money and that's why they got the money last Tuesday. It was not part of the presentation."

Davis: "Well, then may I address the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed."

Davis: "Well, it was my distinct recall that we were told and that were being told that that was indeed the case that if Illinois did not participate that the pro-rata share of Illinois through the Belvedere plant did not participate that the entire amount would not be forthcoming from the Federal Government. Unwisely in my opinion the Federal Government has loaned the

billion and a half dollars to Chrysler without Illinois' help. They dispensed five hundred million last week to Chrysler so indeed the defacto approval of the loan has been made, money has been passed, there is no longer any need for the State of Illinois to participate in this awful practice of loaning state tax payers dollars to private corporations that are ailing and are probably failing and in most all probability will fail. This is absolutely insanity now that the money has been passed there is no longer any reason so let's not appropriate the money and open the floodgate for every other corporation in this state to tap into State Revenue. And I would like a roll call, Sir, on this vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen. The Gentleman from McHenry... Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker I move to the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no' the 'aye's have it. The question is moved. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi. Close the debate."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Leinenweber, when he began his dissertation was correct except the money for Chrysler can be put on the Chrysler Bill because it is not an appropriation Bill there is no..no appropriation can accompany these substantive language. You are right except you have to have an appropriation vehicle. Now, if the Bill is signed into the law, you're going to need money. If the Governor vetos a Bill he can veto the money. This six months step brings us into the Veto Session in November veto time so that there's

no rush in giving Chrysler the money. There's plenty of time for the Department of Economic Development for the Bureau of the Budget and the Governor negotiate which with Chrysler and if the Governor deems that Chrysler doesn't need the money Representative Davis, he does not have to release the money. I am not releasing the money. The Governor must release the money. I can't make it any safer than it is. I urge you to adopt this amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', oppose by voting 'no'. And the Gentleman from.. you want to explain your vote Mr. Skinner? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "When explaining my vote I'd like to ask a question of the sponsor and that question is fairly simple and that is: What guarantee do we have Representative from Rockford, that the money will ever come back? I have a second question that perhaps you could ask the Mayor of Rockford: How much has Rockford put up? Since Rockford has the most to lose, anything? One thin dime?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the quick answer to Representative Skinner's question. Your collateralization was some of the best language I've ever seen in any Bill. You know the guarantee that's in this legislation. You know it very well there's plenty of guarantee so that any event there's default, Illinois will recover its money. And the reason that Chrysler is in Boone County and Belvedere is the reason that Rockford does

not have to participate but alot of the people live in our area. I urge the adoption of this amendment. Take the Roll Call will you Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. For this question there are 88 'aye's, 67 'no's and the Gentleman's motion is adopted. Further Amendments. Pardon? Oh, Mr. Epton. Sorry sir."

Epton: "Thank you, I really only wanted to make the comment that everybody knows that the last thing in the world Zeke Giorgi is is a gambler. If he says it's a good bet, you can be assured that it's a solid situation."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, let's take a...a couple of items. The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Bower what purpose do you rise?"

Bower: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise for the purpose of an introduction. I am pleased to introduce a fellow legislator from the State of Indiana, Morey Dahl from the sixty-second ~~district representing the Vincennes area. He happens~~ to be a Democrat but he happens to enjoy minority status in Indiana like we..on this side of the aisle do in Illinois. Representative Dahl."

Speaker Bradley: "Greetings. Mr. Kosinski, you want to be recorded as voting 'aye' on that last amendment hearing no objections so be recorded. Now, Miss Currie, 'aye', no..sorry. Miss Braun, 'aye'. You want to be recorded 'aye' on the last amendment?"

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not Mrs. Currie, I'm Mrs. Braun. I don't believe I am doing this but I'd like to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Record her as 'aye'. Alright, Mr. Representative Hanahan for some presentations."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House. As you know we had a Tennis Tournament conducted for the members of the General Assembly and some of the former members of the General Assembly and I don't know how the Republicans ended up winning three of the four trophies. I thought they were better at fox and hounds than they were at tennis but it seems that they proved me wrong. I ended up with the gout and they ended up with the trophies."

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, some of my Republican colleagues want to know what tennis tournament was held last night. Is that why you were on the tennis court last night while we were playing around here waiting for you?"

Hanahan: "That's just practice for next year because we got to get even we got a couple of guys that are going to be leaving and maybe we'll have a better chance next year. But I'd like Representative Bill Walsh to come forward, Representative Ron Griesheimer, Representative Watson, and former Representative Dick Luft. If they'd come to the podium to receive an award. By the way, these trophies are provided in the tennis tournament was provided by the Southwestern Illinois Industrial Association headed up by former Representative Bob Walters and I think a great deal of praise could be handed to a man who almost single-handedly puts together a tournament for the enjoyment of all. And we have here in Springfield a great racket club out south of town that any of you

that have never visited it ought to take the time. It's better than jogging, better than racketball, and better than a few other sports that are participated in here in Springfield. But tennis is a good game, it's a game of love, that's why we have such handsome men participating in this kind of activity. The winner..the winning process that place put Dick Luft former Representative Dick Luft from Pekin, and Representative Ron Griesheimer as the top winners with 27 wins in a tournament. And I'd like this time to present to Dick Luft and to Ron Griesheimer their trophies for their winnings. Dick Luft. And this is the Waukegan flash, Rep. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "I hope my newspaper's here today. I just want to prove to them that I'm not a total loser down here. And if I was Frank Watson I'd get very nervous standing up with the other three of us. One retiring and two losers so Frank, Good Luck next year!"

Hanahan: "For the big guy it's really hard to understand a ~~guy going to retire and win a tournament in tennis~~ but this guy just astounds most of us that go out on the tennis court for social tennis to see a guy his size and especially at his age do such a job. And you know for those of you who aren't worried about that Workman's Comp in case Bill got hurt we were well assured that Workman's Comp covered his activity on the tennis court and right now I'd like to very proudly present our colleague Bill Walsh's tournament trophy."

Walsh: "Thank you, Tom. Thank you very much and thank you Tom for those very kind words I think. And Frank

Walsh..Frank Walsh.. Frank Watson I must say this that honestly is a newcomer he's going to be the only Representative that the Republicans will have playing next year in the tournament that has a trophy and I could just tell you that Doug Kane insists that next time we're not going to play for money, we're going to play for a trophy and he told me to tell Frank to practice up because the game is going to be played for more than love and a trophy next time. Frank, congratulations!"

Hanahan: "And I like to thank all who participated..here wait the photographer would like to get a picture of all you guys. Over on that side, Dick. And I'd just like to thank everyone that participated, all the help, and the fun, and the social activity in the tennis tournament. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "On that last Amendment#11 that was adopted by a count of 90 to 70 and the amendment's adopted. Further amendments."

~~Clark Leone: "Floor Amendment #13. Peters, amends Senate Bill 1606 is amended by inserting before the effective date."~~

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters on the Amendment."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment in the sum two hundred thousand dollars for local government study in regard to the financing of the cities and local municipalities through the income tax, through the sales tax, what role..what role the state ought to be playing, what adjustments can end up being made, and it's good to have it on one extra bill, Representative

Totten, I move to adopt Amendment #13."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion, Mr. Totten. Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The sponsor would yield the question. Didn't we just do this in another Bill today, the same two hundred thousand dollars?"

Peters: "I refuse to answer."

Totten: "Well, we did. That's four hundred thousand."

Speaker Bradley: "The fellow's cautious."

Totten: "Well, I don't think we should do it twice unless the sponsor's got a good reason for us to do it twice."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Matijevich, what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Totten is totally wrong. We did it in two other bills."

Totten: "I'm two-thirds wrong. That's six hundred thousand. What's the reason, I then would like to know..I want to vote 'no'..I would like to know why we've done it."

Peters: "Representative Totten, this is an important kind of project and..well, no, the project stays..the project stays the same it's just that we're not exactly sure what's going to end up going where. We'd just like to position ourself as to insure that the peoples' will will ultimately be done. And I know on that basis you would withdraw your objection, and I would move adoption of the Amendment."

Totten: "Well, I wonder if I could ask another question. It's six hundred now do you have any other amendments at two hundred a piece?"

Peters: "No, my word will only be two hundred. It won't be more than two hundred, Don."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. In the opinion of the chair, the 'aye's have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #14, Taylor amends Senate Bill 1606 is amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from.. I think the chair announced the Roll Call on Amendment #12, 90 to 70. It should have been Amendment #13, 90 to 70. Now Mr. Taylor on Amendment #14."

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 1606 appropriates sixty-two thousand dollars to the control..state comptroller to pay the Medley Movers and Storage for services ... in relccating public aid recipients for a few years back. You know this amendment has been here and Representative Totten has always supported it. It's been a good Amendment, and it's passed out of this House on three different occasions. It passed out of the Senate once went to the Governor's desk and he returned it and we did not have the time to override his veto. I do think and a lot of other facts that this House has went on record showing they do support the causes. The cases have been documented. I have spelled them out numerous times to you here. It is one that I strongly believe in and feel that any provider should be able to collect his money from the great State of Illinois. Mr. Speaker and members of the House I solicit your support for Amendment #14 and Senate Bill 1606."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, do you think you could get the janitor to turn on the air conditioning?"

Speaker Bradley: "I'll try. Mr. Totten. The Gentleman from Cook."

Totten: "Thank..thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. While we've passed alot of amendments there's been alot of garbage today and finally we have a sponsor who brings before us a responsible amendment. I'll have a hard time going on with this. But this sponsor has tried year in year out in the eight years that I've been down here to get poor Mr. Medley paid for moving some public aid recipients and he just never seems to be able to do it. I thought last year when I got up and spoke for it that we would finally get it passed and the Governor would realize the error in his ways in vetoing it. I think that the sponsor is sincere. I think the claim is probably a real claim that ought to be honored and I think that we ought to pay Medley Moving, and we ought to give this a resounding yea vote."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. Speaker of the chair..the motion prevails the amendment's..there's been a request for a Roll Call. All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers to explain his vote."

Borchers: "I think we should put another amendment all we help to pay to moving of all recipients of welfare from one house to another and one town to another. I don't think we should stop right where we are, let's

go the whole hog. Let's move them to California."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this there are 84 'aye's, 46 'no's the motion..and the amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #15, J.J. Wolf amend Senate Bill 1606 is amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "I just...sixty thousand dollars, I move the adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the adoption. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose say 'no'. Mr. Skinner is demanding...Mr. Totten, what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this was in the first category that I mentioned in my prior explanation of junk amendments. And I think the sponsor ought to explain it."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Wolf to explain it."

~~Wolf:~~ "I apologize to the house but we've passed twenty million dollar amendments with such ease I figured we could holler fresh fish for sale and everybody would vote 'aye'. This is sixty thousand dollars to the Department of Conservation for moving and remodeling expenses in the Stratton Building. I believe the administrative services is moving taking some of their space and they have to move, I believe, upstairs or downstairs and that's what it's all about."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the adoption say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'aye's have it, the amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "No further amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third reading. Senate Bill 1626. Mr. Vinson, I'm sorry Senate Bill 1614..Senate Bill 1614."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1614. A Bill for an act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Civil Service Commission. Second reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any..any motion?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Motions from members of the floor."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Vinson amends Senate Bill 1614 on page 1, line 10 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "I withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Further amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Vinson - Stuffle amends Senate Bill 1614 on page 1, line 2 and so forth. "

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the House. Amendment #3 represents the Mental Health budget for fiscal year 1981. The amendment is substantially higher than the level adopted by the Senate or by the Appropriations Committee. It's higher because over a period of time and for a variety of reasons, this state has not appropriated adequate funds for the care of the mentally ill, the developmentally disabled, and the alcoholically abused. The amendment would provide a level of funding adequate for those purposes. The amendment would appropriate the agency at a level \$535,000,000. Approximately ten million dollars above

the level of the Senate. The increased funding will accomplish several purposes. It will have fund the community grant section at an eight percent increase over last year. The Senate proposed to fund it at a six percent increase. I would submit to you that in a time of hyper inflation that to fail to provide at least an eight percent increase in the community grants area would be highly irresponsible. It insures that you cannot preserve the kind of professionalism and quality for community grant people necessary to provide this sorely needed service. The Amendment would provide for approximately three and one half million dollars more in the area of institutional funding. It would increase the funding..it would provide for funding at several developmentally institutions in the state at the level suggested by the Governor. Approximately a million dollars above the Senate's level. It would provide for one million, eight for new positions at several developed mentally disabled institutions. Those..that funding level is indeed above the level of the Governor as well as the Senate. What it will accomplish is to move those institutions somewhat closer to the department's own recommended 1.6 to 1 patient care staff to patient ratio. They will still in each case be below that ratio recommended by the department and recognized by experts as necessary to provide adequate care in this field but it will represent an improvement.. Additionally the amendment deals with a number of other things at levels both above the Senate and above the level recommended by the Appropriations II

Committee. It provides for some two hundred and forty thousand in discretionary community grants to be administered by the central office. The purpose for those funds is quite simple. This year for the first time in history we seek to appropriate the community grant lines on a region by region basis throughout the state. I think that's appropriate for legislative oversight and overview, but it's also, I think, appropriate when we do that for the first time in the history of this state that we insure that there is some discretionary money at the central office level because we probably will make some mistakes in some of the regional allocations. I think it's appropriate that we provide that funding. Finally, a key thing that the amendment does is to provide \$220,000 in increased community grant funding for the Region 1B area where we closed down last year the East Moline Mental Health Center. I think when we close down a state facility we have to recognize that for that region we impose increased costs on the community agencies and increased care level will be provided by them and an increased funding level is necessary there to do the job. Those are the basic mechanics, the facts, the arithmetic of this Amendment. But I think the important thing is for us to think about the problem in general. Can you say that it's right for a group of people who are almost totally unable to care for themselves that we are going to subject the state's response to their problems, to the rigors of inflation and no alleviation. Can you say that when we have eighteen percent inflation that six or seven

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

percent a year is enough to keep up. It obviously is not. For years the Mental Health response in this state has been inadequate. It's been inadequate at the institutional level, it's been inadequate on the community care side. The state should be doing more. These people need that help, they deserve it and we have a duty and obligation to provide it. For several years it has not been provided because of concerns about tight budgets, because of fights between people who care about institutions and people who care about community care service, because of legislative fights, because of partisan clashes between the legislature and the executive branch. I think it's time to end that, I think it's time to say that the State of Illinois can fund Mental Health at an adequate level. That it can fund Mental Health care needed in the communities and that it can fund the institutional care. To say that we should do one and not the other is to provide us with a non answer..a non answer ~~because community care and institutional care is not a~~ choice, it's a continuant and we have to be able to deal with both ends of the continuant if the care is going to be sufficient at either end. I would move very urgently, sincerely solicit your votes for adoption of Amendment #3 to 1614 so that this state can meet its obligations to care for those who cannot care for themselves."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House. I have a few questions for the Sponsor then I'd like to address the Bill. Mr. Vinson, this amendment looks

suspiciously like the greatly inflated Department of Mental Health and D.D. budget. Are you aware, sir, that just a little down the page we are coming to House...Senate Bill 1637 which is the budget Bill for the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities?"

Vinson: "Mrs. Chapman, I would say to you that if you have looked at 1637 you will notice the plethora of amendments are filed. If it's necessary we'll fight out each line item in this budget line item by line item to provide the care we think is necessary on 1637. I would suggest to you that it's unnecessary to do that. We can confront this thing in an intelligent, rational policy basis. We can say right here on this amendment whether we care about Mental Health or whether we don't care about Mental Health and we can make it unnecessary to deal with 1637 at all and make it much more possible for members to get out of here on time and to go home."

~~Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it is~~
interesting to see that Mr. Vinson admits that on the Calendar today is the Budget Bill for the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. This is the Bill that represents not only the Senate action but now the carefully deliberated discussion and decisions made by the House Appropriations #2 Committee. In the Bill which we will be addressing I hope later on in the day you will that every need that your constituents have written to you about is provided for. The Bill that is on the Calendar that Mr. Vinson would like us to overlook includes the

response to every request that you have heard from your constituents. But Mr. Vinson is a very determined man. Mr. Vinson does not give up easily. And as we know, like the wicked stepmother who has certain favorites among her children, who denies benefits to many of her children in order to provide for the favored few, Mr. Vinson has time and again come to us to ask us to provide favorite treatment for certain special institutions. And he has not had his way with this House or with the Committee so Mr. Vinson has launched a new attack in order to take care of his favorite few, to give them more than they need to give them benefits which will not accrue to the people being served he is at greatly inflating this budget and hoping he can disguise this favorite treatment by giving us alot of money for everyone. Now you and I know better, we know when this Bill goes back to the Senate they are not going to accept it, they will refuse to concur. If we deal with Senate Bill 1637 in a responsible way that we have possibly before us to be fiscally responsible and yet responsible to the needs of the clients that we must serve, we may find that the Senate could concur with the Bill because of course we know the Senate is made up of reasonable people. But if we should send this Bill that Mr. Vinson is proposing us to us through the Senate there will be a refusal to concur and Mr. Vinson hopes then that he will be able to take care of these favorite children in the family of the Mental Health institution. Let's show Mr. Vinson that he can't fool us. We know he's trying to take care of

the special institutions in his area, to take care of not the patients but people whom he believes are deserving of employment. We have a Department of Public Aid to take care of people who need jobs and can't find jobs. They shouldn't be on the payroll of the Department of Mental Health. The people on the payroll of the Department of Mental Health should be the people who are there to provide the direct service to the clients and that's why I ask you to vote 'no' on Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1614 so we can address this issue intelligently and in a way that is responsible not only to the tax payer but to the clients."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute...let's do it..do you have a chance to close?"

Vinson: "Representative Stuffle would close but I would like to speak briefly on a point of order."

Speaker Bradley: "What's your point..what's your point?"

Vinson: "Well, the lady used my name in debate and suggested that I carried..cared about a favorite few. Let's make it clear. The few I care about are those deprived mentally ill and developmentally disabled children in these institutions. And most of the money that is appropriated by this Amendment will be spent in regions not represented by me in any way, shape nor form but those people need protection and need care and that's who I'm speaking on behalf of and I wish she'd join me in that effort."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. McBroom."

McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker and members of the House. I

arise in total support of everything that Representative Vinson said. I'm surprised that some of the comments of the Chairman of the House Appropriations Committee when she made a statement that everything is provided for in the Senate Bill that carries Senator Regner and my name 1637. That simply is not true. Many members from both sides of the aisle have come to me and asked this question and that question, the other question. If that Bill would pass in its present form 1637 it would be a total disaster and I assure you that many of you would be very, very unhappy. your constituents would be extremely unhappy when you went home. Now as I was talking to several of the Representatives of the Department of Mental Health I have of mine who use to make a statement: There's nothing as stubborn as a fact and one of the key criteria for measurement for funding of mental institutions is staff to patient ratio. And I said to him is Representative Mautino on the floor? I wanted him to hear this statement too, because he's been questioning me about it. I said to him: 'Is it not true that the Shapiro Developmental Center and the Manteno State Hospital have the worst patient to ratio staff patient ratio of any two institutions in the system?' He said: 'No, there's one worse, Dixon.' And I would urge everyone to support Senator Vin.. Representative Vinson with an 'aye' vote on his motion."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think one of the reasons that Representative

Vinson's Amendment is such an inflated version of the Mental Health budget and one of the reasons that Representative McBroom asks for supporting it is that there is something in there for everybody. So I am going to exercise my right under Rule 34D to insist that if this Amendment is adopted that it be, the Bill be returned to the order of Second Reading First Legislative Day and be read by the amended title on two days before final passage. So I just wanted to make that request at this time before we proceed for roll call."

Speaker Bradley: "The lady from Cook, I think it's Mrs. Braun. Braun."

Braun: "Barbara Braun, Mr. Speaker. Question of the sponsor."

Speaker Bradley: "Indicates yield."

Braun: "To what extent is the Mental Health budget increased by Amendment #3?"

Vinson: "It's increased by \$10,464,000..\$10,464,600."

Braun: "Thank you. To what..in which parts of the..in which Mental Health facilities will staff increases be afforded by this amendment?"

Vinson: "It will take me just a second to get the right list. For the mentally ill will be at Galesburg, Chicago Ridge, Elgin, Manteno, Tinley Park, and Chester. For the developmentally disabled it will be at Lincoln, Shapiro, and Manteno."

Braun: "And what will be ...how many dif..how many jobs will be represented by the staff increases at these facilities, Mr. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I'm sorry I missed that question, Ma'am."

Braun: "How many positions will be represented by the staff increases authorized in this amendment?"

Vinson: "Well, by the way I left out several institutions in the last list. In addition to the ones I named are Dixon, Howell, Ludiman, Shapiro, Waukegan, Murray, and Bowmen. Now the total number of new positions from the cumulative effect of the amendment approaches 400."

Braun: "Representative Vinson, we sit on the same Committee, and as I understand the Committee omitted some language regarding compliance plan. Now that's in this amendment. Is that right?"

Vinson: "I'm sorry Ma'am could you repeat the question? Could we have some..I guess I can't ask for order. Repeat it and I'll try to catch it again."

Braun: "With regard to the compliance plan, have you gone in this amendment above and beyond the committee amendment?"

Vinson: "Yes."

Braun: "To what extent have you gone beyond on that one?"

Vinson: "That would be primarily for Shapiro and Lincoln. A total of about 90 positions."

Braun: "Okay, is that 90 positions total for all of the facilities that will get the staff increases for ... to this amendment?"

Vinson: "No, as I indicated the net effect of the amendment over the Senate is about 400 positions. The net effect of the amendment above the compliance plan when compared to committee action is about 90 positions."

Braun: "Representative Vinson, with regard to the 90 positions that you mentioned this the announcements

that I have indicates that those 90 positions will cost \$433,000. Is that accurate?"

Vinson: "No. They would be more on the order of about \$800,000 for personal services with concomitant increases for retirement and social security."

Braun: "\$800,000 for 90 positions?"

Vinson: "Yes, that's approximately the figure."

Braun: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker I'd like to speak to the amendment. I join Representative Chapman in her opposition to this amendment. These issues were taken up in committee on more than one occasion. This is at least the third time that it's been..that it was considered and rejected after a complete discussion in committee by the people who are most directly involved including the agency. I would strongly urge that this House respect the committee process and respect the decision on the committee to reject the, to reject the changes that Amendment #3 suggests. I further suggest that for those of you who are concerned about the funding of Mental Health in the State of Illinois. That this amendment will only have the effect of damaging the Bill and making its passage more difficult if not impossible. I encourage your 'no' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady moves the previous question. All in favor of the lady's motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. Mr. Vins..the 'aye's have it. And Mr. Vinson to close..oh no Mr. Stuffle to close the

debate."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and members. I don't happen to be a member of the committee that heard the particular Bill that Representative Chapman alluded to. But I do happen to know that there are people who aren't satisfied with the situation that we have with regard to the appropriation for Mental Health just as a sponsor that appropriation himself indicated and as Representative Vinson indicated. There's some allusion too, to the some argument somehow this is a deal for more patronage jobs. So let me tell you this, there is not one single, solitary new job in my district in this Amendment. I sponsored this Amendment with Representative Vinson because of problems with the budget, because we do not believe that there's a fair amount of money in the budget now for paying people, professionals who work in Mental Health. There's not a fair amount of money in the budget now, the cost of living increases for community grants. There's not a fair money now there to put in new jobs that need to be there, not patronage jobs but service jobs. So if there's someone to blame, Representative Chapman I hope you blame me as well because this amendment is a bipartisan one, one that came about not only because Representative Vinson put it in, because people came to Representative Vinson and came to me and requested new money additional money that's needed throughout this state, not in any restricted area. I find it somewhat ironic to see all of the type people here who are very liberal on many many issues standing up and opposing this money that I

always thought was a liberal cause, that I always thought was a need in the community, that I always thought was a priority among those types of people who have compassion. I know Representative Chapman does. I hope she'll reconsider her position. I hope she'll add a 'yes' vote to this particular Amendment, and I would urge an 'aye' vote for the reasons cited here today and well cited by Representative Vinson. We need this money not only to put people in the communities to provide services to provide direct services and decent salaries to those people employed in our state facilities. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Questions on the adoption of the amendment. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', oppose by voting 'no'. The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite to explain her vote."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I'm sorry I didn't get a chance to ask questions of the sponsor of this Bill. He told you what he added in DD. He didn't tell you what he took out. If this amendment passes and becomes the budget there will be no director of DD services. There will be a deletion of funds for one of the regional administrators. He didn't tell you about that because he wanted to tell you about the good things that we put into the Bill in committee and he didn't want you to know about the negative things that he took out. Now I don't know how he can profess to be doing this for the good of the community when certainly the community does not want the department to operate without a DD director and without an administrator for one of the major

regions in the state and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Yes, the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn to explain his vote."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope the members realize that we're not talking about the Mental Health budget right now. We're talking about the appropriation for the Civil Service Commission. We're here in the final days of the legislative session and the Mental Health budget is still on second reading. Those who think they can amend the Mental Health budget and want to do so have their opportunity. It was admitted in debate that amendments are on file. Why are we tinkering with the Civil Service Commission budget. Let's defeat this amendment and let's consider the Mental Health issues where they belong on the Mental Health budget. The Mental Health budget is amended for those of you who are interested in your community agencies. It is in the form that gives them the full eight percent they asked for. It's what they want, it's what they need. This Bill is pure and simple, an attempt to load ports on to another budget. This Bill should not be amended in this fashion. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Mautino, the Gentleman from Bureau."

Mautino: "Thank you very much. Jerry this is not to explain my vote. Mr. Speaker. It's a point of personal privilege since my name was mentioned in the debate I didn't have the opportunity to respond. It was mentioned by Representative McBroom so therefore, I would like to point out that yes I did discuss this

amendment with Representative McBroom and I did say that Dixon did need the additional funding. I did not say I would support the amendment. To those people who are supporting the amendment and it's a strange coalition up there, I will have a parliamentary inquiry upon completion of my comments. This is a very strange coalition put together. And to our good friends who are voting 'yes' on this and think that they can amend this Bill to be the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities and it maybe put together a deal I think that Representative Vinson's going to take you down the old ox road and leave you there when the train is on it's way out. What we are trying to do, what we did do the department, the department's budget that's handled by Representative McBroom is to put these provisions in the proper Bill. This is not the proper place to do it and I will stick with my no vote and my parliamentary question to you, Mr. Speaker is: If in fact this amendment goes on what is the posture of that Bill since we are changing the title and I want a response..."

Speaker Bradley: "We've already had that request and we'll get to that point when it's necessary. Alright, have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk..Mr. O'Brien, you want to explain your vote."

O'Brien: "No, it's not necessary. Take the record."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 88 'aye's and 74 'nay's. Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to remind you that you have

a request from Mr. Bowman."

Speaker Bradley: "We'll do that. 88 'aye's, 74 'no's and the amendment's adopted. Now where is the parliamentarian please come to the podium. Before we move to advance the Bill we will make that decision and determination and answer Mr. Bowman who raised the question on 34D. Further amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Huff, Henry, Bullock."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Huff, the Gentleman from Cook. On his Amendment #4."

Huff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #4 appropriates the sum of \$55,000 so much there that may be necessary. To the appropriate to the Chicago Community School Study Commission. I know of no oppositions to this Bill, this amendment and I solicit your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Move the adoption of the amendment. Discussion, hearing none. Everybody in favor of his motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no', the 'aye's have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Matijevich, amends Senate Bill 1614."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #5."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman asks for leave to withdraw. Hearing no objections the Amendment's withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third reading. Pardon me. Pardon me. Pardon me. Now, we'll make that determination. Let's

see the amendments Mr. Clerk.in the chair,
the..Mr. Bowman is..point is well taken and at his
request the chair will move the..Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to suspend that Rule."

Speaker Bradley: "That's...in order, the Gentleman moves to
suspend Rule 34D and we will have a Roll Call on the
Gentleman's motion. Mr. Bowman, you wish to speak
to..you just want to vote on it? Alright, I think
everybody knows what the posture is. The Lady from
Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "...Votes is required, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "89..he need 89 votes to suspend the Rule."

Chapman: "It will take 89 votes to suspend the Rules in
order for a Bill to move to.."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading to suspend to suspend this
Rule it takes 89 votes. Everybody in favor of Mr.
Vinson's motion, the Gentleman from Macon Mr. Dunn on
the motion."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, this is a technical motion I wish you
would restate the motion so the members understand
exactly what the motion is and so they can decide for
themselves whether they support the Gentleman from
DeWitt or not."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, the motion is to suspend 34D so
the Bill may be moved to third reading over the
objections of Mr. Bowman. Clear enough? Alright on
that motion everybody in favor of the motion vote
'aye',. oppose vote 'no'. Mr. Bowman to explain his
vote."

Bowman: "I hope everyone listened to Representative
Satterthwaite when she got up earlier to speak and to

indicate that there are quite a few important things missing from Representative Vinson's amendment and I think that given the gravity of the situation and the size of the amendment that we really ought to spend more time looking at it. And if this gets 89 votes I will request a verification."

Speaker Bradley: "All voted who wish, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock to explain his vote."

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of Representative Vinson's motion to suspend the appropriate rule. Representative Stuffle clearly indicated the need for this legislation and there are people who have lost and they have lost in their attempt to defeat the measure now they're trying to move on technicalities. I think we should give a large vote of confidence to suspend the appropriate rule, move on with the business of the House. Representative Vinson has a legitimate concern for his constituents and I join in support."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Stuffle to explain his vote."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, just to reiterate what I said before. This amendment comes from both sides of the aisle, it's not a giveaway program by any means I find it ironic as I said before that all the so called liberals here oppose this and I suggest to them when they bring up their liberal causes in the future that help only one area they look somewhere else for votes if their going to oppose an amendment that helps throughout this state, that helps the mentally ill, that helps the people who serve them, that helps the

communities of this state. We need an 'aye' vote on this for a cause that reaches across both aisles, both parties, all people, every district in this state."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien to explain his vote."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this motion and would like to indicate that I think that Representative Larry Stuffle is one hundred percent correct. Mental Health has always been a priority of the people on this side of the aisle and I am amazed at the people who are voting against this the liberal Democratic study group who have support more for..who have always supported more funds for Mental Health. I think that the pettiness of the committee structure is at the base of this. I think it's silly. I think that we should take care of the Mental Health program in the State of Illinois and put it back where it was under Otto Kerner as the number one Mental Health program in the country. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from..Pardon me the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar to explain his vote. Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you. This isn't a giveaway, this is a take away program and I'm very much concerned about Mental Health and we have Mental Health institutions in our district, the ninth district, Tinley Park, Howell, Ludiman Center, but in this particular legislation they're taking away the salary the position of one particular man that somebody doesn't like up there. And that's a lousy..that's a lousy way to take care of a situation like that. Instead of having..talking to

the director and finding out what's wrong, you take out the man's money. He's been there for years, he's done an excellent job, I've known him for the last eight years and this is a lousy way to get rid of this man. And I urge some more 'no' votes up there."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite to explain her vote."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Republican Sponsor of the Amendment under debate here has done a very good PR job of misleading the public to support anything that has the name Vinson on it. When we had the Bill in Committee we were successful in putting in all the desirable parts that are in this Amendment under debate now. However, we also were successful in keeping out the unnecessary parts of it. We kept the vital staff intact and we had on the other Bill the type of funding that is necessary. This Bill is subverting the committee process, there's no need for us to have committees any longer if we're going to do our funding this way and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz to explain his vote."

Leverenz: "I think this is the first time I am explaining my vote. It seems that with all the green votes we're giving a vote of confidence to an individual that was a little less than candid this time."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. McClain to Mrs. Chapman to explain her vote."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, if there are enough votes I would like verify please."

Speaker Bradley: "Already been requested. Any further

discussion? Have all voted who wish the Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 99 'aye's, 65 'nay's and there's been a request for verification. Mr. Vinson requests a poll of the absentees. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane, what purpose do you rise?"

Kane: "Change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Change Mr...record Mr. Kane as voting 'no', from 'aye' to 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Alexander. Anderson. Beatty. Davis. Donovan. Kulas. Laurino. McGrew. Schlickman. Skinner. Watson. and Yourell."

Speaker Bradley: "Call the affirmative roll. Would all the members please be in their seats. Raise your hand when your name is called and we'll work a little quicker. Faster. Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, may I be verified?"

Speaker Bradley: "Can Mr. Hoffman be verified? Mr. Schoeberlein. Mr. Schoeberlein requests..how are you recorded Mr. Schoeberlein? Mr. Bowman says fine. Proceed with the call of the affirmative."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Ackerman. Barnes. Bianco. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boucek. Bower. Bradley. Bullock. Burnidge. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Casey. Christensen. Collins. Conti. Cullerton. Daniels. DiPrima. Doyle. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Ewing. Farley. Flinn. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Goodwin. Greiman. Griesheimer. Hallock. Hannig. Harris. Henry. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Hudson. Huff. Huskey. Dave Jones. Emil Jones. Karpiel. Kane. Kent. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Krska.

Kucharski. Leinenweber. Margalus. Matula.
 McAuliffe. McBroom. McMaster. Meyer. Molloy.
 Neff. Oblinger."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Watson wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Record Mr. Watson as 'aye'. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "O'Brien. Patrick. Pechous. Peters. Polk.
 Pouncey. Richmond. Rigney. Ronan. Ropp. Ryan.
 Schisler. Schoeberlein. Schuneman. Simms. Slape.
 Stanley. Stearney. E.G. Steele. C.N. Stiehl.
 Stuffle. Sumner. Swanstrom. Taylor. Telcser.
 Terzich. Tuerk. Vinson. Watson. White. Wikoff.
 Williamson. Winchester. J.J. Wolf. Sam Wolf.
 Woodyard. and Younge."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Donovan wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Where did Mr. Ropp go? What did you want Mr. Ropp? Mr. Ropp. Mr. Bowman does Mr. Ropp have leave to be verified? Mr. Bowman said fine. Alright, where do we start..with. We're starting with 100 'aye's, Mr. Bowman or Mrs. Chapman. Who's going to question the affirmative?"

Bowman: "Bianco."

Speaker Bradley: "Bianco, he's in his chair."

Bowman: "Birkinbine."

Speaker Bradley: "Birkinbine is not in his Chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Tkae him off." recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bowman: "Bluthardt."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute. Now wait just a minute. Mr. Jones...J. David, what purpose do you rise? Record Mr...change Mr. JONES from 'aye' to 'no'."

Alright, further questions?"

Bowman: "Bluthardt."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bluthardt is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr...take Mr. Bluthardt off of the roll."

Bowman: "Burnidge."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute. Mr. Hanahan, what purpose do you rise?"

Hanahan: "Change from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Hanahan wishes to go from 'no' to 'aye'. Alright, Burnidge, he's back there."

Bowman: "Campbell."

Speaker Bradley: "Campbell is in Bluthardt's chair."

Bowman: "Capparelli."

Speaker Bradley: "Capparelli is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

~~Bowman: "Capuzi."~~

Speaker Bradley: "Wait a minute. Here is Capparelli. Put him back on. Pardon Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Capuzi."

Speaker Bradley: "Capuzi, he's in the rear of the chamber."

Bowman: "Conti."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Conti, not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Here he is down in the other well."

Bowman: "Daniels."

Speaker Bradley: "Daniels, is not in his chair. How is he

recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bowman: "Doyle."

Speaker Bradley: "Doyle, not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bowman: "Farley."

Speaker Bradley: "Farley, is he in that...no I don't see him. Alright, I don't see him back there. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bowman: "Flinn."

Speaker Bradley: "Flinn's not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bowman: "Goodwin."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon?"

Bowman: "Goodwin."

Speaker Bradley: "No, he's not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman.."

Speaker Bradley: "There he is. He's in the chambers."

Bowman: "Greiman."

Speaker Bradley: "Greiman is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off. Take him off and put Mr.

Flinn back on."

Bowman: "Hallock."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute. Let's get Mr. Flinn back on. Alright, what was that? Is Mr. Hallock here? Flinn we put back on. Mr. Hallock is not in his seat but he's in the aisle."

Bowman: "Harris."

Speaker Bradley: "Harris is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bowman: "Emil Jones."

Speaker Bradley: "Emil Jones, how's he recor..he's there."

Bowman: "Karpziel."

Speaker Bradley: "Karpziel, is this..I can't see through the maze of Capuzi and Polk. Would you sit down. No, I can't see Mrs. Karpziel in her chair. She's not in her chair. Is she in the chambers? How is she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

~~Speaker Bradley: "Take her off."~~

Bowman: "Kucharski."

Speaker Bradley: "Kucharski is not in the chambers. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Remove Mr. Kucharski and Mr. Robbins wishes to be recorded as 'aye' and Mr. Birkinbine has returned to the chamber. Put him back on the roll. We took you off Mr. Birkinbine. You want to go from 'aye' to 'no'. Alright, Mr. Birkinbine wishes to be recorded as 'no' and Mr. Kucharski is back in the chamber. Put him back on the roll. Okay, now you got

that? Wait, Kucharski is back. Kucharski is back, yes."

Bowman: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Bradley: "McAuliffe. He's in the chambers."

Bowman: "Meyer."

Speaker Bradley: "I signed in..the last..the one..I was.."

Bowman: "Meyer."

Speaker Bradley: "Meyers?"

Bowman: "Ted Meyer. He's a okay. How about Peters."

Speaker Bradley: "Peters is over on the Democratic side."

Bowman: "Polk."

Speaker Bradley: "Polk is..was..there he is. He's in the chamber."

Bowman: "He is in the chamber or was?"

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, he is. I had to find him again but he's here."

Bowman: "Okay, Pouncey."

Speaker Bradley: "Pouncey."

Bowman: "Sorry, I see him. Richmond."

~~Speaker Bradley: "Richmond is not in his chair. How is he~~
recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "There he is in Flinn's chair."

Bowman: "Simms."

Speaker Bradley: "Simms, not there. He's in the rear of the chambers with Mr. McCourt."

Bowman: "Slape."

Speaker Bradley: "Slape. Where? Oh, here he is here."

Bowman: "Stearney."

Speaker Bradley: "Stearney in the center aisle."

Bowman: "Taylor."

Speaker Bradley: "Taylor. There he is. He's in the chamber."

Bowman: "Watson."

Speaker Bradley: "He's here. Just a minute."

Bowman: "I have no further questions."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay. What's the count Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 92 'aye's and 67 'nay's and the motion by Mr. Bowman, no the motion was made by Mr. Vinson to suspend the Rule and the Rule has been suspended and the Bill will be moved to Third Reading. Senate Bill Second Reading appears the Senate Bill 1626."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1626, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Industrial Commission, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Wikoff-Anderson, amends Senate Bill 1626 as amended by deleting the effective date Section..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment adds a total of \$240,000 to the Industrial Commission for the production and distribution costs of informational pamphlets on employees rights under the Workmen's Compensation Act. These booklets are for any person that is injured in the state, and there is approximately 700,000 a year and not just those that are filing claims with the

Commission. It gives both the employees and the employers rights. It is something that the Industrial Commission favors. 'Rebecca Schneiderman' is in favor of it. This is with her approval, and I think that it could do as much to reducing some of the cost of workmen's compensation of any other action that we have...may have undertaken this year. It is something that the Industrial Commission has long been lacking in providing."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield."

Schuneman: "Virg, this is an appropriation for \$240,000 for the printing of brochures and pamphlets. Is that what you said?"

Wikoff: "Yes."

Schuneman: "How do you propose...how does the Commission propose to distribute those pamphlets?"

Wikoff: "Those...let Representative Anderson who is the Cosponsor of that answer that if I may please. I yield to him."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr...Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Representative Schuneman, I am the Cosponsor of this Amendment. \$192,000 for printing the booklet, \$34,400 for postage and bulk shipping, \$3,000 for paper, and 10,600 for the printing press."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Well, perhaps I am asking the questions at the wrong time, but I...no, my question was how do they propose to get these booklets or pamphlets into the

hands of the injured worker?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Wikoff or Mr. Anderson."

Wikoff: "They would be by mail, Cal, to any injury that is...that occurs within the state. It has to be filed, and they would go directly to the individual, and they also in previous conversations with Director 'Schneiderman', they would be avail...made available to employers for personal...handouts to people that are ...Don."

Anderson: "...Let me answer that. There are approximately 700,000 people injured each year. They would be sent the booklet along with the 80,000 claims a year. In other words, anyone that is injured, or anyone that files a claim, or both."

Schuneman: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further...Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "There was an Amendment that was marked...a Clerk's error that was marked #7. Have we taken care of that? We would like to withdraw that at this time."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, let's wait till we get to that point. Amendment...Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Chapman, amends Senate Bill 1626 as amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "I move for the adoption of Amendment #3 which is a technical Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "She moves the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 1626 as amended in Section 1..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1626 adds \$301,115 for personnel and equipment for the Industrial Commission pursuant to the request that we had for written decisions on individual cases that was embodied in Senate Bill 1739. These positions and this equipment is needed if we are going to update and allow for a...a filing system and a forwarding system on all the workers' compensation claims that we have in the State of Illinois. I ask for the adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion to adopt the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments. There was an Amendment misprinted, Number 7. Representative Anderson requested to withdraw that Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "No objections heard, the Amendment will be withdrawn. No further Amendments..."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1637. Mr. McBroom wants it out of the record. Alright. Mr. Telscer on Senate Bill 1662 requests leave to return it to Second Reading for purpose of Amendments."

Objections have been heard. Mr. Telscer."

Telscer: "Mr. Speaker, I move to take Senate Bill 1662 from the order of Third Reading and send it back to Second."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, he has...he has the right to make that motion, and we'll take a roll call vote on the Gentleman's motion to move it from Third to Second. Now on the Gentleman's motion...all in favor of the motion will vote 'aye', opposed will vote 'no'...on the motion to return the Bill from Third to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendments. And, Mr. Huff to explain his vote."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I...I raise the objection because the Sponsor is bringing this Bill 'abstencibly' back to put on the funding for the Governor's Human Rights Agency, and I am opposed to that."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Lady from

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to explain my vote. I would just like to make an inquiry. What is it exactly we're voting on right now?"

Speaker Bradley: "Well, we're voting on the Gentleman's motion to return Senate Bill 1662 from the order of Third Reading to Second Reading. There were objections heard when he made...when he asked leave. Now he's moved...he made the motion to do that, and he is strictly within his rights to be able to do that."

Braun: "Oh, alright. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr.

Kane, to explain his vote."

Kane: "For a question of the Sponsor. For what purpose are we returning this?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Telscer."

Telscer: "I am returning it for the purpose of allowing some Members, if they wish, to offer Amendments...and for the Members to vote them up or down. A very...purpose, I might add."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I think since we're coming this close to the end of the Session I think we ought to just vote 'no', and let's get on with our business."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Bullock to explain his vote."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to verify the affirmative vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 101 'ayes', 45 'nays'. The Gentleman requests verification...Mr. Telscer, are you requesting a poll of the absentees?"

Telscer: "...Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty, Bradley, Catania, Cullerton, Darrow, Deuster, Donovan, Dyer, Farley, Gaines, Hanahan, Jaffe, Johnson, Dave Jones, Karpiel, Keane, Kelly, Kulas, Laurino, Macdonald, Marovitz, McPike, Oblinger, Pierce, Preston, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Walsh, Younge, and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Call the affirmative."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Ackerman, Anderson, Barnes, Bell,

Bianco, Birkinbine, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boucek, Bower, Bowman, Burnidge,..."

Speaker Bradley: "Darrow wishes to be recorded 'no'. DiPrima from 'aye' to 'no'. McClain from 'aye' to 'no'. Doyle, 'aye' to 'no'. Madigan from 'aye' to 'no'. O'Brien from 'no' to 'aye'. Krska, 'aye' to 'no'. Capparelli... 'aye' to 'no'. Now, Kulas wishes to be re...were you...were you voting 'aye'...Kulas just wishes to be recorded 'no'. Can't go too fast for the Clerk now. Kulas wants to be...just recorded 'no'. He wasn't on the roll call. Alright, now Kosinski from 'aye' to 'no'. Pechous from 'aye' to 'no'. Kornowicz...from 'aye' to 'no'. Any other changes? Mr. Donovan just wishes to be recorded 'no'. And Mr. Getty from 'aye' to 'no'. Johnson...The Gentleman from Champaign."

Johnson: "Record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Let's see what the count is. If the Parliamentarian is back there, would he please come out? Mr. Mulcahey, what purpose do you rise?"

Mulcahey: "Change my vote to 'aye' please."

Speaker Bradley: "Record Mr. Mulcahey as 'aye'. Mr. Kane, what purpose do you rise?"

Kane: "Change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Record Mr. Kane as 'aye'. Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Change my 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Change my 'no' to 'aye'. Brummer, from 'no' to 'aye'. Mrs. Macdonald wishes to be recorded 'aye'. Can't go too fast, Clerk can't keep up with us. The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, from 'no' to

'aye'. Alright, Steczko, from 'no' to 'aye'. I think you were...Any further changes? Alright, continue with the affirmative."

Clerk O'Brien: "Daniels, Davis, Ralph Dunn, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Ewing, Virginia Frederick, Dwight Friedrich..."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute, Mr. Ronan, what purpose...Mr. Ronan wants to go from 'aye' to 'no'. Let's make these changes when they get to your call. Alright."

Clerk O'Brien: "Garmisa, Giorgi, Greiman, Griesheimer, Grossi, Hallock, Hallstrom, Hoffman, Hoxsey, Hudson, Huskey, Johnson, Kane, Kent, Klosak, Kucharski, Macdonald, Mahar, Margalus, Matijevich, Matula, Mautino, McAuliffe, McBroom, McCourt, McMaster, Meyer, Molloy, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Neff, O'Brien, Peters, Piel, Polk, Pullen, Reed, Reilly, Rigney, Robbins, Ropp, Ryan, Sandquist, Satterthwaite, Schoeberlein, Schuneman, Sharp, Simms, Skinner, Stanley, Stearney, Steczko, E.G. Steele, C.M. Stiehl, Stuffle, Sumner, Swanstrom, Telscer, Totten, Tuerk, Vinson, Watson, Wikoff, Willer, Winchester, J.J. Wolf, Woodyard, and Yourell."

Speaker Bradley: "...Mr. O'Brien, how do you wish to be recorded, Sir?"

O'Brien: "I wish to be recorded 'no' with Bullock and the boys."

Speaker Bradley: "Record Mr. O'Brien as 'no'. Mr. Donovan from 'no' to 'aye'. Alright. Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Ready? Okay, Dyer, 'aye'. Walsh, 'aye'. Schneider, 'aye'. Alright. Clerk, when you get time,

how many are we starting with? Mr. Bullock, we're starting with 102 'ayes'. Questions of the affirmative. Mr. Bullock, questions?"

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Currie."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Currie's in her chair."

Bullock: "Representative Daniels."

Speaker Bradley: "Daniels is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bullock: "Representative Darrow."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Darrow...is right in front of you."

Bullock: "Representative Brummer."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Brummer...was in the room. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Bullock: "Representative John Dunn."

Speaker Bradley: "John Dunn...is not in his chair. There he is over on the side."

Bullock: "Representative Garmisa."

Speaker Bradley: "Domico?"

Bullock: "Garmisa."

Speaker Bradley: "Garmisa...is sitting right here in his chair."

Bullock: "Representative Greiman...Representative Grossi."

Speaker Bradley: "He's there. Who was the last one?"

Bullock: "Grossi."

Speaker Bradley: "Right here. He's there."

Bullock: "Representative Hannig."

Speaker Bradley: "Hannig...he's...down in front. He said he voted 'no'."

Bullock: "Representative Hoffman."

Speaker Bradley: "Gene Hoffman...is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bullock: "Representative Huskey."

Speaker Bradley: "Hudson?"

Bullock: "Huskey."

Speaker Bradley: "Huskey...how is he recorded? He's in the rear of the room."

Bullock: "I think the Representative is trying to get your attention over there."

Speaker Bradley: "Garmisa wishes to be recorded as..."

Garmisa: "'No'."

Speaker Bradley: "From 'aye' to 'no'. Huskey is there.

Stand up, Mr. Huskey. Alright, Mr. Stuffle now wishes to change...If you want to change, let's go...get these knocked off, then we'll recognize everybody for a change and...otherwise, you're getting the Clerk all fouled up. Alright. Any further questions?"

Bullock: "Representative Stearney."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Stearney is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bullock: "Representative Capuzi."

Speaker Bradley: "Capuzi is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bullock: "Representative Peters."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Peters is not in his chair.
How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bullock: "Representative McAuliffe."

Speaker Bradley: "McAuliffe...he's not in his chair. How is
he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bullock: "Representative Schneider."

Speaker Bradley: "Schneider...he was in his chair. Here he
is in the doorway."

Bullock: "...Representative Collins."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Collins is not in his
chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bullock: "Representative Piel."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Piel is not in his chair.
How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bullock: "Representative Wolf."

Speaker Bradley: "Sam or J.J.? Sam's back there and the
other Wolf is...so, they're both in their chairs."

Bullock: "Representative Ewing."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Ewing...I don't think
he's...I don't see him in his chair. I see him there

though."

Bullock: "Representative McMaster."

Speaker Bradley: "He's in his seat."

Bullock: "Representative McBroom."

Speaker Bradley: "McBroom...he's not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bullock: "Representative Meyer."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute. I think we...I think you did give Mr. Piel permission to be verified when we started, and in fact, you told me that up here. Do you remember?"

Bullock: "I inadvertently forgot. I certainly agree with..."

Speaker Bradley: "So, we'll have to put Mr..."

Bullock: "Piel back on."

Speaker Bradley: "...Piel back on. Okay, now Mr. Peters has returned. Put him back on."

~~Bullock: "Representative Molloy."~~

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Molloy is in his chair."

Bullock: "Representative Sumner."

Speaker Bradley: "She's in her chair."

Bullock: "Representative Ryan."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Ryan is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentltman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bullock: "Representative Klosak."

Speaker Bradley: "Klosak...he's in his chair."

Bullock: "Representative Karpiel."

Speaker Bradley: "She is not in her chair. How is she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Bradley: "Leave her that way."

Bullock: "Representative Gene Hoffman. Is he in here?"

Speaker Bradley: "Gene Hoffman?"

Bullock: "Yes. Gene Hoffman."

Speaker Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman has been removed from the roll call."

Speaker Bradley: "He's been removed, yes."

Bullock: "Representative Bluthardt."

Speaker Bradley: "Bluthardt, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Bullock: "I think we took Representative McAuliffe off of the roll call, didn't we?"

Speaker Bradley: "I think we have too, yes."

Clerk O'Brien: "No, he was verified though."

~~Bullock: "Mr. Clerk, what did you say?"~~

Clerk O'Brien: "McAuliffe was verified, but he is not off of the roll call."

Bullock: "Okay. Thank you. What's the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Mulcahey wants to know how he is recorded. Mr. Ryan has returned, put him back on the roll. Mr. Mulcahey, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any further questions, Mr. Bullock?"

Bullock: "Yes, Representative Mugalian."

Speaker Bradley: "He's here."

Bullock: "Did we verify Representative Schoeberlein? I don't think we did."

Speaker Bradley: "Is he...was he one of the ones that you asked..."

Bullock: "I don't think we did verify him. Representative Schoeberlein."

Speaker Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bullock: "Let's take him off now. Representative Reilly."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative who?"

Bullock: "Reilly."

Speaker Bradley: "Reilly is here. Further questions?"

Bullock: "Not at this time, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay, what's the count? Mr. Matijevich, what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "My leader, James Taylor, tapped me on the shoulder, and I've got to switch from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Record Mr. Matijevich as 'no'. Mr. Stuffle."

~~Speaker Bradley: "Change my vote to 'no'."~~

Speaker Bradley: "Record Mr. Stuffle as 'no'. Alright. Mrs. Braun, what purpose do you rise?"

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to explain my vote."

Speaker Bradley: "I think we're past that point. We're on verification now."

Braun: "Well..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Clerk, what's the count? Mr. Deuster, what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Bradley: "How is Mr. Deuster recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Deuster: "Then please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Mr. Clerk, what's the count?
Alright, Mr. Bullock, what purpose do you rise?"

Bullock: "I would like to have the Clerk indicate whether he
changed Representative Garmisa's vote as he
requested."

Speaker Bradley: "We recorded him as he...Mr. Clerk, what
did Mr. Garmisa do?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Garmisa is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. On this question there are 90
'ayes', how many 'nos'? And 53 'nos'. The motion
prevails. The Bill is returned to Second Reading.
Now...Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Reilly, amends Senate Bill
1662 as amended by deleting the title and inserting in
lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #7 amends the
budget for the Department of Human Rights onto this
appropriation Bill. The amount totals \$3,900,000. In
the discussion, let me express the hope, probably in
vain, that the discussion will actually have something
to do with the Amendment. The issue here has nothing
whatsoever to do with whether the Department ought to
be created. That was done a year ago. The issue here
has nothing whatsoever to do with the personnel of the
Department or promises the Governor might make or
might not make. It has nothing to do with things like
criminal penalties or private right of action or
anything else. The issue is simply we have by law a
Department...as a responsible Legislature, as

responsible people we must fund that Department. The budget here represents a major accomplishment of the various civil rights groups that for years have said that the FEPC was underfunded and is in good shape as the Amendment...as it came out of Committee, it was agreed to by both sides. It was worked on very hard by both staffs, and I would hope that we would now as responsible people recognize that we're in the last week of the Legislative Session. The reality is this Department is going to be funded and that we would pass this Amendment. I would ask for a favorable roll call."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the Parliamentarian to rule whether or not this Amendment is germane."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, we'll get the Parliamentarian. It is germane."

Bullock: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Braun."

do you wish to talk on the Amendment?"

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, I had attempted to...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I had attempted to explain my vote on the motion to return this Bill to Second Reading. And I want to start out by saying that for me the issue that is presented by this Amendment was an important matter...is an important matter of principle. I...sat through what was one of the more devastating political experiences of my life last year when 1377 was passed. It was, in my opinion, a poorly drafted, ill-conceived, poorly thought out, piece of

legislative handicraft. I had no objections to the combining of the agencies, and indeed, I supported that concept. I still do. But we have tried to address with Members of the Governor's staff important issues concerning human rights in the State of Illinois and the status of minority employment and the status of minority participation in this state. We have so far had what has been to my...in my mind, painful negotiations that have not yet gotten to the point where we feel...where I for one felt comfortable with the commitment of the administration to civil rights in this state. Now, there is no question in my mind but that this agency needs to be funded. There is also no question in my mind but that the new director will probably do a very good job in administering the law that she is charged with administering. The law...the body of laws from which she has to work is imperfect. It does not in my opinion codify important civil rights protections that existed in the previous law. It may well be that at some time those omissions and errors will be straightened out. I am hopeful that they will. I am hopeful that the implementation of this legislation will be given the good faith wholehearted support by the administration. However, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, I for one do not believe it appropriate for an important group like the minorities of this state to be cut out of putting together, thinking through, and developing what is supposed to be civil rights enforcement in the State of Illinois. As it is, I was told yesterday that I had, quote

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

'nowhere to go but to vote for this legislation'. That is probably the truth, and I probably will vote to fund this agency, but I am saddened, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when an administration with nothing more than with what I can only describe as unmitigated arrogance put such a Body of law and puts such an agency together without considering the legitimate grievances, the legitimate concerns of the minorities in this House of Representatives. Irrespective of personalities, and I think that is one of the problems...irrespective of personalities, if legislation were put together on farming, the farmers in this House would have been consulted. If legislation were put together on mining, the miners would have been consulted. As the lawyers are consulted on legal matters, as those who are concerned about insurance, they are consulted there. But, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the of this House, the black Members of this Legislature were not consulted. And it may well be that that is just too bad. But the fact of the matter is that to my mind as a matter of principle, until such time as there was some indication by the administration that there would be some consideration of our grievances, there would be some consideration of our concern, that I would stand with my colleagues in opposition to this legislation. It is pretty clear to me now, Mr. Speaker, that we are in the 11th hour, 59th minute, and this agency is going to have to be funded. I am not one to throw the baby out with the bathwater. And for that reason, I will vote on this legislation, but

GENERAL ASSEMBLY June 26, 1980.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

I want noted for the record my dismay and frankly my disgust at the way the administration has handled the political situation in this House as well as the situation that is developing in the black community at least where I live. Right now in the black community in Chicago, House Bill 1377 is a dirty word. Right now if you would attend any of the community meetings in my neighborhood that legislation has come to symbolize...has come to symbolize benign neglect of the minority community and neglect of their concerns and arrogance with regard to civil rights legislation in this state. I am not putting that, Mr. Speaker, on the Governor. I am not putting that on any specific individual, but that is just the fact of the matter in the way this Bill has been handled. And with that, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I suppose we're going to go forward and vote on this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff
~~on the bill...of the amendments.~~

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to oppose this Amendment. The deficiencies that we have often spoke of in this Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen, were not put there by accident. They were put there by design, and they have a chilling effect, Ladies and Gentlemen, on the quality of life as myself as a black man. As it stands right now, Ladies and Gentlemen, if I were to go out for the evening, that evening outing would be conditioned by the whims of a maitre d', or a hotel clerk, or a waitress. If I was discriminated against, I would have no recourse, and I have always been...I

don't like the idea of statutes that speak only one way every day day in and day out being substituted by a Commission that would institute some kind of proceedings that would be willy-nilly with regards to my civil rights. I think the Governor should be ashamed of himself for trying to play God with...which is tantamount to my inalienable rights. I am opposed to this legislation. And then there is another part in 1377. For the first time, Ladies and Gentlemen, it exempts private clubs. And then on top of that it exempts the Fair Employment Practice Act...an Act that was put on the books by 34 years of hard work of one of the former Members, one of our revered Members, and yet less than one year after that man, 'Cornel Davis' has resigned from this hallowed hall, the Governor saw fit to expunge that work from the records. I plead with you, Ladies and Gentlemen, give us this opportunity to sit down with the Governor and talk this out. Don't run ram shod over us. And don't support this measure."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Reilly to close."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The temptation at this point, of course, would be to explain why I think the Department is a major advance, and I do. We've been through all that before. The only issue before us now is whether in this last week of the Session, we're going to do the responsible thing and fund the Department. I would ask for a favorable roll call on the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed will vote 'no'. On the Gentleman's Amendment...Have

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 67 'ayes' and 52 'nos'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. Mr. Bullock, what purpose do you rise?"

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to cite Rule 34D and question whether this Bill can be moved back to Third Reading today."

Speaker Bradley: "I don't think it is timely. I've already moved it to Third..."

Bullock: "I've had my light on, Mr. Speaker, and I shouted for recognition."

Unknown: "He sure did..."

Bullock: "...And it does amend the title of the Act, Mr. Speaker. Go check the proceedings. Get a copy of the transcript, and he did."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Has the Chair ruled that the Gentleman's inquiry was not timely?"

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair...the Chair so ruled."

Telcser: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bullock, I verified and did everything else with that Bill, and I announced that we moved it to Third Reading. It will have to stay. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor. Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I sat right here and talked to him about questioning...the fact that you can move this Bill back to Third Reading today. His light was on. We were sitting here. We asked you for permission to

be able to be on this side. Now I am not certain that they even have their votes here. I did not want to speak on this Bill. I think it was a mistake for you to move it that way, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bullock, your light was not on. There were only two lights flashing, but I am going to give...I am going to rule in favor of you. But that...you're putting the Chair in a terrible spot. You know...I want you to realize that. Your light was not flashing. You were not asking for recognition. There were only two lights flashing, Mrs. Braun, and Mr. Huff. I looked at them and I recognized both of them. And...it was not timely. We've done it before. We're going to ask...he is asking for our 34D, and we're going to rule...it is going to take 89 votes then. Mr. Telcser...and he so moves...moves to suspend the rules. They...he needs 89 votes. Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I want to say never have I questioned you. I have not raised any issue as relates to your presiding over this Body. I think the transcript will so indicate. I also would like to say, Mr. Speaker, I certainly respect the Chair, and if I were sitting there I would prefer to be respected. With that idea in mind and making it perfectly and unequivocally clear, I withdraw my request at this time, and you may move the Bill beyond Third, perhaps into purgatory."

Speaker Bradley: Senate Joint Resolution #3...103. I said Third Reading. Senate Joint Resolution 103. Senate Joint Resolution #103."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Is there a deadline on Senate Joint Resolution 103 today or tomorrow? And, if not, why are we moving to that? Why aren't we proceeding with business before us that does have deadlines?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think under the rules, it's permissible to call Senate Joint Resolution 103. The Sponsor has asked the Speaker to call that for the last three or four days, and I've been unable to do it. So now we will go to Senate Joint Resolution 103. Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 103 came from the Senate 44...54 votes to 5. I move at this time to place on the Speaker's table for immediate consideration pursuant to Rule 41-A. The Resolution deals with the establishment of a Critical Health Care Commission to report back December 31, 1980. I have no opposition. I've spoken to all major groups in the State of Illinois and would like a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion to suspend Rule 41-A to place Senate Joint Resolution 103 on the Speaker's table for immediate consideration. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 123 'aye' and five 'no'. The motion carries. Representative Bullock on the Resolution."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 103 addresses the subject of the nursing shortage in the State of

Illinois. The Resolution indicates that the supply of trained nurses in our state is inadequate, and we're asking, with support of this Resolution, that we establish a Commission on critical health issues to study the nursing shortage and make recommendations to the General Assembly as to possible legislative initiatives for long-term solutions to this crisis. The Commission will be comprised according to the rules of the House and the Senate. I'd certainly respect a favorable Roll Call on Senate Joint Resolution 103."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "...question is this, Representative Bullock.

I...I don't have the Resolution in front of me. I'm not sure what the exact wording is, but I'm wondering if the Resolution is broad enough to include an investigation of the need for health care professionals who provide the sort of health services in this state for which there is a shortage, not necessarily confined to those who are registered nurses. More specifically, of course, what I have in mind is the great need for more pre-natal care in home birth areas and possibly...possibility that we might consider licensing midwives as we have in the past."

Bullock: "Well, Representative Deuster, in answer to your first part of the question, the answer is affirmative. I'll be glad to send a copy of the Resolution over to you. The Director of R and E will serve as an ex officio Member of this Commission, and we certainly intend to use all of the professionals in the state government to help us look at this long-term problem.

I'd be glad to send the Resolution over. You're welcome to read it if you like. I thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to ask permission to have my 'yes' vote on that motion changed to a 'no' vote. I didn't realize what we're voting on. And, to address the motion, I'd be in opposition to this motion. I think there are enough nurses in the United States, and I don't think we have to import any nurses from Canada and bring them down here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Representative Bullock, if you'd respond to a question? I know you've made a sincere, albeit unsuccessful effort to secure passage of a Bill that would permit out-of-state, I guess, Canadian nurses to be licensed in Illinois. How does that Bill and its contents...affected by this Resolution? Both in specific language and in terms of your intentions?"

Bullock: "Representative Johnson, this Resolution is not affected by any legislation. Certainly not any legislation that's been defeated. The Illinois Hospital Association, the Illinois Nurses' Association, the Illinois State Medical Society, the Illinois Nursing Home Association, and many other allied health associations in the State of Illinois support Senate Joint Resolution 103."

Johnson: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The Gentleman on the other side has demonstrated a sincere

interest...an abiding interest in this problem. I think his proposal here is desirable. I think it might lead to some very constructive legislation in the area that could solve the problem, and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is 'Shall the main question be put?'. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Representative Bullock to close."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 103. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Schneider, one minute to explain his vote."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

~~SEN. 103. Just address itself to the Corporation~~

Commission to evaluate the nursing shortage. According to the Illinois Hospital Association, those six thousand slotted vacancies throughout the State of Illinois are real, and I would never quarrel with that figure. The question is, what is it that does not allow those slots to be filled? Sometimes it might relate to conditions in the hospitals. That is to say, working conditions. Sometimes it might relate to the varying types of degrees that nurses hold, and it also might relate, of course, to the fact that there are, you know, hours that are not acceptable to those

who want to be employed as nurses. So, what the Commission will hopefully and eventually accomplish will be legislation that will remediate. I think it will have proper representation on all sides of the employment spectrum as well as the managerial, and I would, of course, encourage a continuing trend on green."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel. One minute to explain his vote."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had a couple of questions. Maybe if the Sponsor would, you know...I'll ask the questions and then just make..."

Speaker Redmond: "The motion...the motion to put the previous question carried."

Piel: "I know, I'm going...in explaining my vote I'm going to ask questions and then let him know ahead of time. I'd like to know what the cost is of this Commi...what the cost is and what the...if there's a date to terminate this Commission because on the Resolution there's no date to terminate the Commission."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask that if this legislation passes, which it looks like it does, if we would ask the Canadian nurses to take a test to see if they can pass the Illinois nursing test, as many of our people have and failed, and if we would confine them to the same type of testing facilities that we have in the Chicago Stockyards."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 'aye' and 27 'no'. The motion carried, and the Resolution is adopted. On the order

of concurrence...now, I'm going to go on to nonconcurrence, so if anybody has a noncon...Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move to nonconcur on House Bill 3179."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute, wait a minute. I have four up here now where the Sponsors have indicated. Now what one is yours? 31..."

Brummer: "3179."

Speaker Redmond: "I'll get to that. The first one is 262. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Could the Gentleman or the Lady that explained why they are nonconcurring so that as a principle..."

Speaker Redmond: "I think they always do that."

McClain: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "262. Representative Pierce. If anybody else has nonconcurrence, I have 262, 1230, 2837, 3034. I'm not too sure about 3432, and 3179. Anyone else have one. Was 3432 taken, Representative Pullen? Okay, take that one off. 2837, you did? Okay, well let's let Mr. Pierce explain it. 262."

Pierce: "On House Bill 262, Mr. Speaker, I am moving to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. The reason we need a Conference Committee on this Bill is that in Cook County, a circuit court judge has issued an opinion just in the last day or two on the homestead exemption creating great problems on the universal homestead exemption, not the senior citizens, but on the Hynes homestead exemption. This Bill deals with an increase in that exemption, and we feel that as expedient as

the Supreme Court has taken up this matter today or tomorrow, expedient to place the matter in a Conference Committee with the idea that we will better be able to review the impact of the court's decision and come out by Sunday or Monday with a Conference Committee report, and we'll be certain of the ground we stand on. So, as the Sponsor of the Bill, I am asking that we nonconcur for the purpose of a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 262. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried, and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 262. 1230, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to nonconcur on House Bill 1230. The Amendment that the Senate put on inadvertently includes the Champaign Mass Transit District also, and we would like to work it out so that it does not affect those who do not want to be affected, and I would ask the House to nonconcur with Senate Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1230. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1230. 3034, Representative Stearney...Stearney...out of the record. 3179, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Is 3179 on the Calendar?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Brummer, where is 3179? I don't see it on the order of concurrence."

Brummer: "It is a motion to...or I want to move to nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "It has to still be on the Calendar."

Brummer: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "It is not on the Calendar."

Brummer: "Is there an objection being raised?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan? The Amendment..."

Ryan: "It's got to be on the Calendar. I don't have any objections..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Amendment hasn't been distributed either, Representative Brummer, Representative Ryan, what's your pleasure?"

Ryan: "Well, if it is not on the Calendar we might as well take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, out of the record. Now we still have one House Bill on...I mean Senate Bill...appropriation Bill, 1637 that is on Second Reading. Somebody...Jack...John told me that this is the only one. 1637, Representative Broom. Representative Ryan, what'll I do? We have to move 1637. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, that was...that was the part of a sort of cabal here a little while ago where they put that appropriation onto another Bill, and I understand McBroom was just going to leave it there. Is that there...I think he is there in the aisle, but I think he's just going to leave it on Second."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I want him to tell me. It is going to die an ignominious death. Representative Broom."

McBroom: "Yeah, thank you, Speaker 'Redburn', I would like you to read it a second time and leave it on Second Reading please."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you read it, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1637, a Bill for an Act to provide appropriations to certain agencies, Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "On the order of concurrence, House Bill 3034, Representative Stearney. Hold it on Second."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on House bill 3034 I move to nonconcur. The Senate removed \$44,000."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3034. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried, and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3034. The Chair is aware that there are some festivities tonight. Pressure is great to adjourn. My best judgment is that we should work a little while longer, but...I always...I always attempt to accommodate the Membership. My plan is that...if we have 89 people, I'll be here forever. 2771, Representative Klosak. If you fade into the night, why..."

Klosak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On House Bill 2771 I am asking concurrence. The Senate added two Amendments to this House Bill. May I have leave to have concurrence on both Amendments at one time?"

Speaker Redmond: "May Amendments...Senate Amendments #1 and 2 be considered together? Hearing no objection,

Senate Amendment #1 and 2...Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, that is a very thick Amendment. I think we just haven't had time since it has gotten over here to take a look at it. I think it would be appropriate at this time because of the hour to take it out of the record. We could look at it..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, with all due respect to the Chair, we did work here till one o'clock in the morning. We worked here till midnight last night, and I understand a couple of Members, because they had Bills, went to the Senate and just because of that someone has said well as long as people hang around we should work. Not because of the festivities, but because I think the Appropriations Committee both I and II have worked hard, and we had planned something for two nights ago, and I...I am one who understands that we have worked here, kept ourselves here overnight for some social activities that...outside agencies have had. Now I happen to believe that there are some concurrences that have come over that now I think that both the staff and the Leadership and all of us ought to look at all of them overnight and study. Having studied them, I think we can do a better job as a Legislature in looking them over. And I think that we can get the work done. I'll be here tomorrow and work again till one o'clock in the morning if we have to. I think we can get the work done. I think the Membership will work as they have responsibly, but I think having done all of these Appropriations Committees, having asked

for all the Members that have nonconcurrences, and done away with all of that work, that we should not move now to concurrences because we can then be here too long and, Mr. Speaker, I would plead with you that we...that we just work on the nonconcurrences only and save the concurrences for tomorrow, and work all day tomorrow if we have to...all day Saturday if you have to, which I don't think we'll have to, and finish our work today."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, what is the pleasure of the Body? Those in favor of quitting now raise your hand. Against it. Who wants to work? Darrow wants to work. Will you...be sure that's recorded will you, Mr. Clerk? Looks like people want to quit. Nine o'clock in the morning. What do you want, Mr. Clerk? Two minutes perfunct? Representative Matijevich moves that the House adjourn till nine o'clock...Oh, announcements? Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to announce the ~~meeting of the Appropriations Committee~~ going to meet at the fairgrounds at 7 p.m. and the other Members, as much as we'd like to have you, we just can't do it. It is the Members of the Appropriations Committee only...only those by invitation. I wish we could have you all, but we just can't do it. That is outside of the realm of possibility. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "After two minutes of perfunct, the House will now stand adjourned till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning. In case you're interested, Representative Vinson is bringing a doggy bag out to the

Appropriations dinner tonight. Our schedule calls for us to work Saturday, Sunday, and Monday, so don't forget."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills, the following titles to wit: House Bills #3022, 3023, 3025, 3035, 3028, 2038, 3045, 3046, 3050, 3051, 3054, 3066, 3068, and 3083 together with Amendments, passed by the Senate as amended June 26, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit, Senate Joint Resolution #11...122, adopted by the Senate June 26, 1980, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further business, the House now stands adjourned."

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 26, 1980

PAGE 1

HB-0262	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	255
HB-1230	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	256
HB-2771	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	258
HB-2837	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	142
HB-3034	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	258
HB-3432	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	141
SB-1571	3RD READING	PAGE	12
SB-1572	3RD READING	PAGE	3
SB-1573	3RD READING	PAGE	4
SB-1574	3RD READING	PAGE	15
SB-1575	3RD READING	PAGE	16
SB-1576	3RD READING	PAGE	16
SB-1578	2ND READING	PAGE	23
SB-1606	2ND READING	PAGE	172
SB-1614	2ND READING	PAGE	202
	MOTIONS	PAGE	217
SB-1626	2ND READING	PAGE	228
SB-1629	3RD READING	PAGE	20
SB-1635	2ND READING	PAGE	39
SB-1636	2ND READING	PAGE	67
SB-1637	2ND READING	PAGE	258
SB-1640	2ND READING	PAGE	105
SB-1650	2ND READING	PAGE	111
SB-1662	2ND READING	PAGE	232
SB-1663	2ND READING	PAGE	142
SB-1664	2ND READING	PAGE	143
SB-1665	2ND READING	PAGE	164
HJR-0108	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SJR-0103	3RD READING	PAGE	250
	MOTIONS	PAGE	249

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 26, 1980

PAGE 2

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER GIORGI - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	2
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	110
MEMBER VOTE CHANGE	PAGE	111
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	141
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	261
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	261