

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in fifteen minutes. Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in five minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery." 12 13 14 15 16

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be led in prayer today by Reverend George P. Harjes, Pastor Kumler United Methodist Church in Springfield." 17 18 19 20

Harjes: "Let us pray. Oh Thou who dost govern the world with wisdom, and whose judgments are true and righteous altogether, grant we beseech Thee that those who rule over us and legislate for us may be of one mind to establish justice and promote the welfare of all people. Endow them with the right understanding, pure purposes and found speech. Enable them to rise above all self seeking and party deal to the nobler concerns of the common good. Cleanse our public life of every vestige of evil, subdue in our state all that is harmful and make us a disciplined and devoted citizenry that we may do Thy will in this time and place. As we come to the close of another session of the General Assembly, breath a new, fresh spirit into all deliberations and decision making. Let not expedience or haste rule, but give our leaders new vision and set their hearts on fire with large resolves. Where sound principle is involved, let there be no waning of courage and determination. But where form and substance provides room for acceptable alternatives, let the spirit of compromise prevail that the best possible good might result. In all things let us test our pronouncements and practices by the plumb line of Thy righteousness and by a profound concern for all persons. Empower us with Thy living Spirit to do what is pleasing in Thy sight. This we 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39

ask in Thy Blessed Name. Amen." 40

Speaker Redmond: "Pledge of Allegiance, Representative 41
Collins." 42

Collins: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United 43
States of America, and to the Republic for which it 44
stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with 45
liberty and justice for all." 46

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance, use your switch 47
only. Roll Call for attendance. Here comes Tim. 48
Take the record. Senate Bills, Third Reading, page 3, 49
alright Senate Bill 659. Representative Hannig is
recognized." 50

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 659, a Bill for an Act relating 51
to the disposal of hazardous wastes, Third Reading of 52
the Bill." 53

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hannig." 55

Hannig: "As you recall, I attempted to call this Bill 56
yesterday on Third Reading. The Minority Spokesman on 57
the House Environmental Committee brought it to my 58
attention that there was a technical error in this
Bill. This Amendment would correct that error. It's 59
strictly technical; I would move for its adoption." 60

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman have leave to return Senate Bill 61
659 returned to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing 62
no objection, leave is granted. Read the Amendment, 63
Mr. Clerk." 64

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Hannig, amends Senate Bill 659 65
on page 16, line 21 by adding after 'for' the 66
following: 'except in home rule units of 67
government'." 68

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hannig has moved the 69
adoption of Amendment #8. Any discussion? What did 70
you say? Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 71
'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it, motion
carried, the Amendment is adopted. Any further 72
Amendments?" 73

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 75

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Any other Member have a 76
Bill on Third Reading they want to be returned to the 77
Order of Second Reading? Time is getting short. 78
Representative Ryan." 79

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I have a couple of Bills on ah...that 80
I'd like to have tabled, Senate Bill 889 and 890, 81
I'd like to have leave to..." 82

Speaker Redmond: "889..." 84

Ryan: "And 890." 86

Speaker Redmond: "Where are they?" 88

Ryan: "They're on page six." 90

Speaker Redmond: "Representative, what are they, 8 what?" 92

Ryan: "No, my name is Ryan. The Bills are 889 and 890." 94

Speaker Redmond: "Where is, what page?" 96

Ryan: "On page six." 98

Speaker Redmond: "On page six. Representative Ryan moves to 99
table 889 and 890. Leave granted? Hearing no 100
objection, leave is granted. That's what is known as 101
resolve. Representative Flinn." 102

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, yesterday we moved Senate Bill 93 to 103
Third Reading with the understanding that I would move 104
it back when we got something straightened out with 105
George Ray Hudson, and we now have an Amendment which
would correct this problem, and I would ask that..." 106

Speaker Redmond: "What Bill is that?" 109

Flinn: "93. Senate Bill 93." 111

Speaker Redmond: "Before we do that, I'd like to, I don't 112
suppose anybody else remembers Andy Gump. Andy Gump, 113
he wears no men's collar. No men's collar. Now 114
Representative Flinn has asked leave to return 93 to
the Order of Second Reading. Does he have leave? 115
Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Read the 116
Amendment, Mr. Clerk." 117

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Hudson-Dyer, amends Senate 118
Bill 93 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and 2 and so 119

forth." 120

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion on Representative 121
Flinn's...who have you appointed, is Representative 122
Hudson, George Ray? The Gentleman from DuPage." 123

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, all this Amendment does is 124
to make Senate Bill applicable, applicable to St. 125
Clair County only. It leaves out DuPage. We felt we 126
had a problem with it. The Sponsor very graciously 127
agreed to the Amendment, and I think it puts the Bill 128
in the shape that I think we can go on with without 129
any trouble."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels." 131

Daniels: "Will the Gentleman yield? Mr. Hudson?" 133

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hudson." 135

Daniels: "The only thing I'm concerned about is your use of 136
this figure 400,000 and classification as to DuPage 137
County. And I believe that the figure used previously 138
was more than that, and I'm wondering..." 139

Hudson: "Can't hear you, Lee." 141

Daniels: "I'm concerned...you used the figure 400,000, and 142
that's your cut off figure to exclude DuPage." 144

Hudson: "Yea, right." 146

Daniels: "Well, I think we've used another figure for our 147
classification purposes, and I think you'd want to 148
check that out. I think it's 450." 149

Hudson: "450." 151

Daniels: "Maybe you could just remove it from the record 152
temporarily, and we could check that out." 154

Hudson: "Alright, would you help me with that?" 156

Daniels: "Sure." 158

Hudson: "Okay. Would you be willing, Monroe, to hold this 159
temporarily until we..." 160

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn?" 162

Flinn: "Just leave it on Third, when they get the other 163
Amendment ready, why we'll do it again." 165

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'll put it back on Third Reading. 166

What do you...Representative Ryan, are you going to 167
 want a Conference this morning?" 168

Ryan: "Well in view of the past twenty-four hours, I believe 169
 I better do that, Mr. Speaker. Yes, I'd like to have 170
 a Conference." 171

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, Representative McClain." 173

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, could we handle one more Bill before 174
 he..." 175

Speaker Redmond: "Could we do what?" 177

McClain: "Could we handle one more Bill with leave to go 178
 back to Second for an Amendment." 180

Speaker Redmond: "What number, what Bill are you talking 181
 about?" 182

McClain: "257, Sir." 184

Speaker Redmond: "357, where..." 186

McClain: "No, no, Sir, 257." 188

Speaker Redmond: "257." 190

McClain: "It's on page 3." 192

Speaker Redmond: "It's on page 3, Representative McClain 193
 asks us leave to have 257 go back to the Order of 194
 Second Reading. Any, does he have leave? Hearing no 195
 objection, leave is granted. Read the Amendment.
 Representative Matijeich." 197

Matijeich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to do something 198
 again. I said the other day I don't do too often, but 199
 I got to do it again. And all in one week, and I'm 200
 going to move, ask that I rise on a point of personal
 privilege. Mr. Speaker, the other day I said what a 201
 good job that the task force was doing on all issues, 202
 and I meant it. I meant it, and I resent the fact 203
 that the Governor and the Mayor of Chicago are going
 to direct me what to do. They're not going to do it. 204
 Now I'm going to tell you what took place way back in 205
 1969. When we passed the Income Tax, and I went up in 206
 the gallery and left the floor of the House, because 207
 Governor Ogilvie at that time met with Mayor Daley,

and they were going to put a flat 4% on individuals 208
 and corporations. And I went up in the gallery, and I 209
 left the gal...left the floor, and I said I'm leaving,
 and I'm going up there with the people. And Jack 210
 Touhy was madder than hell at me. But by us doing 211
 that, and there were five of us. We caused a
 revolution, and we got something done. Now as I rise 212
 on this point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker, ten 213
 years later we're going to go ahead with what the
 Governor and the Mayor of Chicago tell us what we're 214
 going to do? I'm going back up in that gallery. But 215
 there are not going to be four more up there with me,
 there's going to be a hell of lot more. And they 216
 better wise up." 217

Speaker Redmond: "Would you read Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 218
 257." 219

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 257 on page 220
 1, line 1 by deleting Section 2.30 and so forth." 222

Speaker Redmond: "Whose Amendment, Representative McClain. 223
 Representative McClain." 224

McClain: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I'll handle the Amendment. It's 225
 a simple Amendment. What it does is provide the 226
 bounty on coyote pelts of ah, 20 bucks, it's Senator 227
 John Knupple's Bill that got hung up in Committee. I
 move for its adoption." 228

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion or question on the 229
 Gentleman's motion? Representative Yourell." 231

Yourell: "Ah, I arise to oppose Senate Amendment, ah, House 232
 Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 257. This restores the 233
 bounty on coyotes, and I believe the figure is \$25. 234
 This Amendment, this was deleted, as I understand it,
 in the Senate to this Bill. The Department of 235
 Conservation to my knowledge does not want this 236
 Amendment. If you put a bounty on these animals, I
 can assure you there won't be any animals left in the 237
 State of Illinois, because they'll go out and they'll 238

be slaughtered. Now there is no reason for a bounty. 239

I think Representative Watson who had the first Bill 240

in the House objected to a bounty as well being placed 241

on these animals. I'm not certain about that, he can 242

speak for himself, but I think in conversations with 243

Representative Watson, he indicated that to me. In 244

conversations with the Department of Conservation, 245

they too indicated that they did not want a bounty 246

placed on these animals. They can very well control, 247

they can very control the numbers of coyotes in 248

Illinois by administration through the annual, the 249

annual kill and die off. They know exactly how many 250

died by the pelts that are returned. I was told by 251

the Department wildlife biologists that last year 252

there were 14,000 estimated coyotes in the State of 253

Illinois. There were counted over 6,000 pelts turned 254

in, and if those figures are accurate, today there is 255

less than 7,000 coyotes in the State of Illinois. Now 256

if you're going to place a bounty on these animals, I 257

can tell you one thing, they'll really going to go out 258

and hunt them for that lousy 25 bucks. And I think a 259

species of animal that is endangered in this State 260

deserves better protection than that. And I move to 261

ah, oppose this Amendment." 262

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative 263

Robbins." 264

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is a Bill which we 265

killed in Committee." 266

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer." 267

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield? What is the...do 268

coyote pelts have a market? Can you sell a coyote 269

pelt?" 270

Anonymous: "Aren't they worth about 40 or 50 bucks." 271

Brummer: "Could Representative McClain have his mike turned 272

on to respond?" 273

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain." 274

McClain: "Ah, yes, Mr. Brummer." 272

Brummer: "What is a coyote pelt currently worth?" 274

McClain: "Representative Harris informs me \$20 during the 275
summer and \$50 during the winter." 277

Brummer: "So that's a hunter, we have a open season can get 278
a return as a result of ah, of ah, hunting coyotes 279
currently. They can sell the pelts for 50 bucks 280
during the winter or 20 bucks during the summer. 281

McClain: "Under Amendment #2, ah, putting the bounty in, who 282
would pay for the bounty, ah, would it be counties who 283
pay some bounties or is it the State of Illinois?" 285

McClain: "Ah, Mr. Brummer, the Department of Conservation 286
will set the bounty at \$20 for the coyote and um, the 287
State will pay for the bounty." 288

Brummer: "The State would pay the bounty?" 290

McClain: "Yes, that's correct." 292

Brummer: "In order to receive the bounty, what does the 293
hunter have to turn in?" 294

McClain: "He has to present the skin or the head of the 295
coyote." 296

Brummer: "The what?" 298

McClain: "He has to either present the skin or the head of 299
the coyote." 300

Brummer: "The ah, they obviously wouldn't be presenting the 301
skin, because they can sell that skin or that pelt for 302
20 to \$50, right?" 303

McClain: "I would presume that's right." 305

Brummer: "Thank you." 307

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers." 309

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, I would 310
like to give you a 'practic of politics' on coyotes. 311
Number one, the coyote is not a native of Illinois. 312
We didn't have the coyotes, because we were more 313
forested state just some fifty years ago. So as we've 314
cleared more and more land that the that the coyotes 314
would come in from the West. It's like the starling.

The starling is from England. The coyote is from the Great Plains. We did not have them in Illinois. I can tell you right now, my grandfather never knew what a coyote was in Illinois, and neither did my grandfather, great-grandfather. And we were here, we would know. So I see no harm in getting rid of the coyotes for the two reasons, one I mentioned, one is of course, they're not native, number two is they're destroying in my area, my counties the great numbers of ah, calves, and of chickens and small farm animals and so on. The question is right now this Amendment. Now if we open up a bounty, well we're going to be overrun downstate with people thinking its a big deal to go into business. We're going to lose all of our dogs to begin with, damn, most of them, because they won't know the difference between a dog and a coyote. They'll shoot anything on site. On top of that, in the past and I'm sure will be in the future, we had our calves shot at, we had our chickens shot up. We've had all these happen, so I think we should defeat this Amendment and then vote for the Bill that will allow the hunting of coyotes at any time, because they should be destroyed, because the damage they do, and they're not native to Illinois. They're not our creatures at all. They're not like the...pigeon and others. They are interlopers in a sense just like we are."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Could I ask the Sponsor a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Surely."

Wolf: "Ah, is this the same Amendment which would be the Bill which failed to clear Committee?"

McClain: "I'm sorry, I, what did you say, Mr. Wolf, I was discussing something."

Wolf: "I say the Senator Knuppel had two Bills, one which

would extend the, the season on bounty, and there was 348
 another Bill on coyotes, one that would place the 349
 bounty, is what we're, what you're trying to do here,
 is to resurrect a Bill that was defeated in 350
 Committee?" 351

McClain: "In our Committee, yes." 353

Wolf: "Thank you." 355

McClain: "It lost by one vote." 357

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins." 359

Collins: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, just one question of the Sponsor. 360
 Are the two-legged coyotes included in this 361
 Amendment?" 362

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain." 364

McClain: "No, only four-legged." 366

Collins: "Oh, well to define, there is an official 367
 organization incorporated as coyotes. Now do you 368
 define that or rule them out of this Bill?" 369

McClain: "No, no, I'm a Member of that one and ah..." 371

Collins: "I don't think you could be a Member of that." 373

Speaker Redmond: "Do you know what that one is?" 375

McClain: "Allen Greiman says oh yes I could." 377

Collins: "Being in the game we are, I guess you could at 378
 that." 379

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Harris." 380

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 382
 the House, I would like to speak to the Amendment, if 383
 I may." 384

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed." 386

Harris: "I'd like, hear me just a little bit. This is an 387
 Amendment that's needed throughout southern Illinois 388
 and central Illinois. It's been asked for by many 389
 farmers. The coyotes are native animals from the West
 coming in for the deer herd. Its following the deer 390
 herd is killing many small calves, it's killing many 391
 small pigs. Farmers need this piece of legislation 392
 very badly. Help the farmer to help himself, a person

who really needs your help. Thank you." 394

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester." 396

Winchester: "Thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the 397
Sponsor yield for a question?" Speaker Redmond: "He 399
will." 400

Winchester: "I agree with the comments that were made by 401
Representative Harris pertaining to our farmers in 402
southern Illinois. But I've got to ask this one 403
question, maybe it's been brought up. Is this going 404
to be a blanket now, a blanket to kill coyotes twelve 406
months out of the year for herein out, or are there 407
provisions in there where the Department of 408
Conservation can regulate it by having a one month or 409
two month or three month season on coyote? Or is it 410
just going to be a blanket kill?" 411

McClain: "Ah, no, Mr. Winchester, I accepted Buz Yourell's 412
Bill which limited the time and gave the Department of 413
Conservation administrative authority to reduce the 414
amount of time, so..." 415

Winchester: "As they see necessary, they can reduce the 416
amount down to a certain amount of days to hunt 417
coyotes?" 418

McClain: "Yes, for the hunting." 419

Winchester: "Alright, thank you." 420

McClain: "And in the Amendment, ah, Mr. Winchester, it says 421
bounties may be taken by trapping methods during the 422
period from September 1st to March 1st, so we limit it 423
by statute." 424

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell." 425

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question." 426

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the main question be 427
put. Those in favor vote 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. 428
The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. 429
Representative McClain to close." 430

McClain: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 431
House, this is my most important Amendment. What this 432
433
434

provides for is a simple bounty on coyote pelts 435
 wherein the person has to validate with the Department
 of Conservation. It failed in the House Environmental 436
 Committee by one vote as a Bill form, and Senator 437
 Knipple asked me to try to get it on this Bill on the 438
 House floor. I ask for an 'aye' vote." 439

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion 440
 to the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor vote 442
 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? 443
 What's the record, Mr. Clerk? Do you know? Who had
 the record? Marovitz had the record? Who has the 444
 record? I think, oh, Representative Ropp has the 445
 record. Is this a new record? No, okay.
 Representative McClain." 446

McClain: "Would you mind dumping this Roll Call and just 447
 taking it orally?" 448

Speaker Redmond: "Would I what?" 450

McClain: "Just dump this Roll Call and take it orally." 452

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this 453
 question there's 11 'aye' and 110 'no' and the motion 454
 failed. Representative Pierce." 455

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I think it's terrible that these 456
 younger Members are taking away the records of some us 457
 senior Legislators established on the Bottle Bill and 458
 other good legislation in the past and Representative
 McClain with 110 'no' votes and 11 'aye' votes has 459
 completely destroyed every record I have established 460
 with the...Bill minimum deposit...on the floor of this
 House and I resent it." 462

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Slape." 464

Slape: "Mr. Speaker, I think that Mr. McClain owes the House 465
 a thank you. He's the one that Committee Chairman 466
 always wants to preserve the integrity of the 467
 Committee, and we've already heard this Bill, and just
 to preserve his integrity, I think he owes the House a 468
 round of thank you." 469

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ropp." 471

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, he's still four 472
votes short of the record." 473

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, having voted on the prevailing side, 474
the Speaker moves that the vote by which the motion 475
failed be reconsidered... Representative Leinenweber." 476

Leinenweber: "Well, this is no where near the record. I 478
have to recall, during my first term, Representative 479
Catania had a Bill that got two votes, hers and 480
Representative Deuster's." 481

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell." 483

Yourell: "We're not going to get home this week-end this 484
way." 485

Speaker Redmond: "Who said we were? On Senate Bills, Third 486
Reading, Short Debate appears Senate Bill 1072. Yea, 487
he wants to move it back. Does Representative Reilly 488
have leave to return it to the Order of Second Reading
for the purpose of an Amendment by Mr. McClain." 490

Reilly: "He might win on this one." 492

Speaker Redmond: "1072. Read the Amendment, Mr...does he 493
have leave to return? Hearing no objection, leave is 494
granted. Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk." 495

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Ewing, amends Senate Bill 1072 496
on page 1, line 2 and so forth." 498

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly." 500

Ewing: "Ewing, Mr. Speaker." 502

Speaker Redmond: "Who is it?" 504

Ewing: "Ewing." 506

Speaker Redmond: "Yea, Representative Ewing." 508

Ewing: "Yes, thank..." 510

Speaker Redmond: "Second best Representative from Pontiac." 511

Ewing: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 513
of the House, this is an Amendment which brings our 514
Illinois Sign Law at least into conformity with our 515
neighboring states. The local Government Amendment
and it allows for zoning by any local unit of 516

Government who has that power under State Statutes 517
under our sign law. Very simply, that's all it does,
and I would ask for your favorable approval." 519

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the 520
Gentleman's motion, Representative Brummer." 522

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?" 524

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 526

Brummer: "Would this Amendment in any way conflict with the, 527
the Federal Sign Law and therefore jeopardize the 528
Federal funds which come into our road program?" 529

Ewing: "No." 531

Brummer: "It does not conflict with the Federal Law?" 533

Ewing: "No." 535

Brummer: "Is that a 'Chapman and Cutler' opinion or is that 536
an Ewing opinion?" 537

Ewing: "Ewing." 539

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question's on 540
the Gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment 1. 541
Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 542
'ayes' have it, the motion carried, the Amendment is
adopted. Any further Amendments?" 544

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 546

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Does the Gentleman have 547
leave to have it stay on the Order of Short Debate? 548
Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Roll Call for 549
attendance. Okay, page 4, Senate Bills, Second
Reading, Short Debate. 140. Out of the record. 550
Senate Bills, Second Reading, on Appropriations, page 551
5, Senate Bill 101. Is Representative Deuster on the
floor? Out of the record. 501, Representative 552
McClain. McClain, 501. That may not be anything. 553
Will you call it, Mr. Clerk, 501." 554

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 501, a Bill for an Act to amend 555
Sections of the School Code, Second Reading of the 556
Bill, no Committee Amendments." 557

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 559

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Robbins, amends Senate Bill 560
501 on page 1, line 13 by changing \$9,000 to \$7,000 561
and so forth." 562

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins, is it? Robbins." 563

Robbins: "Yes, the Amendment on 501, it reduces the bottom 565
on the salary for non-degree teacher from 9,000 to 566
7,000, for a teacher with a Bachelor's Degree from 567
10,000 to 7,500 and for a Masters Degree from 11,000
to 8,000. I received quite a bit of correspondence 568
from the smaller schools in the downstate districts. 569
With the drop in enrollment and the drop in state 570
funds due to the drop in enrollment, some of them have
a choice of cutting teachers and they felt like they 571
would rather try to keep the teachers and they didn't 572
feel like they could with that increase of a balance,
so it's a question of whether you want to set a 573
minimum which some of the schools cannot live with or 574
set a minimum that they can live with. I feel like 575
the minimum should be increased, so I offered this
Amendment." 576

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative McClain." 577

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker and 579
Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I held this Bill as 580
a courtesy to Mr. Robbins so that he could get this 581
Amendment drafted and printed and leave some, permit
him the opportunity to hear him out. But it was only 582
a courtesy. This Amendment really guts the whole 583
intention of the Bill. The Bill was originally in, 584
put in the Senate as you recall had also increments
and a higher minimum wage for teachers. We reduced it 585
substantially, the fiscal impact initially was 586
somewhere around \$30,000,000. We've cut it down so
much now that the cost impact in this state is 1.6 588
million. It's effectively almost an embarrassing Bill
as amended, but even then we still affect some 3,000 589
teachers with this minimum wage. We have not 590

increased minimum wage for many years. The purchasing power for a teacher now with the minimum wage as is, is \$3,257. I think it's deplorable that 3,000 teachers do not even get paid a minimum 6,000, current minimum starting out as a beginning teacher, and I along with the Bachelors Degree and Masters Degree Program, I think this Amendment is, should be soundly defeated for all of us that are interested in quality education. Every year school systems get more and more money, now the Corporate Personal Property Tax Replacement, they get a wealth of money that's not placed in the School Aid Formula. And I think Mr. Robbins's Amendment should be soundly defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speakers, Members of the House, this is like a bounty on teachers if you want to figure out a way to drive teachers out of the State of Illinois then you vote for the Amendment. This is poverty level wages. \$7,000 in an urban area and of course this would not be urban, but basically what you're talking about, for a family of four, it just about qualifies that family for the Federal Poverty Program under the old definition. Now that's a preposterous notion when you realize that you trust your children to be in the classroom with the teachers and you want qualified teachers. But 7,000 bucks is really a laugh, and I don't understand why a man who comes out of an area that needs a solid teaching corps as any other area, is willing to say bring us some second-rate people, let's give them 7,000 bucks. And if there's a chance you'll get someone who is not competent, maybe that's what you're going to attract. But it's a terrible Amendment, it's a bounty Amendment. I solicit a 'no' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, the effect of this

Amendment, in my opinion, is exactly what 620
 Representative Schneider and McClain indicated. The 621
 purchasing power and the value of a current minimum
 salary on the Statute Books of this State, which has 622
 been there for somewhere around six to eight years,
 has been lessened to the point that the starting 623
 teacher is effectively being paid about what would be 624
 \$3,000 in today's dollars if you go back to the 625
 beginning of the current minimum salary. That's
 effectively little else what this Amendment does. It 626
 should be soundly and resoundly defeated. The 627
 argument is made over and over that the poorest
 districts are the greatest harmed by this type of 628
 Amendment, this type of Bill. I don't happen to 629
 believe that in looking at the various data on the
 issue. The Amendment is not fair to teachers. It is 630
 a bounty on teachers; it ought to be soundly
 defeated." 631

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question, 632
 Representative Birchler." 633

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this Bill was heard at 634
 length in the Elementary and Secondary Committee. It 635
 came out with a fifteen to three vote. I think if 636
 that was the place that we should make the corrections
 if there's any other further corrections that was 637
 needed for it. Anybody coming out of the University 638
 with a degree in about any other field can expect to 639
 make much more money than what these minimum salary
 figures are fixed. And I think that this Amendment 640
 should be soundly defeated." 641

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Harris." 642

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 644
 the House, Mr. Speaker, this, and Ladies and Gentlemen 645
 of the House, this Amendment would put teachers into a 646
 second-class division. We expect teachers to train 647
 the future leaders of this country, we expect teachers

to train the doctors and attorneys, the farmers, the 648
 Legislators. And the teacher who cannot stay in a 649
 teaching position with this type of an Amendment.
 This Amendment should be soundly defeated. Thank 650
 you." 651

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite. 652
 Representative Satterthwaite. Representative Darrow." 653

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous 655
 question." 656

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the main question be 657
 put. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. 658
 The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. 659
 Representative Robbins to close." 660

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I put this 661
 Amendment in, because of the mail and the people that 662
 called me and contacted me and asked me to put it in. 663
 This Amendment does not mean that the teachers will 664
 not receive more money than that. They already have 665
 said on the other side of the floor that most of the 666
 teachers receive more money than even what they're 667
 talking about already. And it only affects a small 668
 amount of teachers. It comes down to a right to work, 669
 a right to receive and a right to keep the schools 670
 open. Just look at your Springfield area. If the 671
 teachers here were given a chance to maybe work for a 672
 reduced salary and work instead of losing 180 673
 teachers, how would they vote?" 674

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion 675
 to the adoption of Amendment 1. Those in favor say 676
 'aye', opposed 'no'. 'Nos' have it, the motion 677
 failed. Any further Amendments?" 678

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 679

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Greiman." 680

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would just like to 681
 announce a Democratic Conference in the next few 682
 minutes, when the Speaker recesses." 683

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, Representative Ryan." 684

Greiman: "It's an important Conference, please be there in 685
114." 686

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan." 688

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to request a 689
Republican Conference in Room 118 immediately." 691

Speaker Redmond: "For how long?" 693

Ryan: "I have no idea how long it will take. I imagine we 694
better schedule about forty-five minutes to an hour's 695
worth." 696

Speaker Redmond: "We'll be back at 1:30, back at 1:30." 698

Ryan: "Alright." 700

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers." 702

Borchers: "I would like to know because of the motels, do we 703
know in anything that we can notify our motels yet?" 704

Speaker Redmond: "Well, as of now, we're working now, when 706
we get back from the conferences, we'll see if 707
there's, anything's been changed, but now..." 708

Borchers: "Could I ask a question? After the conference." 709

Speaker Redmond: "Correct, I would think so. I think it's a 711
mistake to assume we're going to do anything except 712
work. I don't know where the idea comes from that 713
things have changed, but the Senate has indicated to 714
me that they're going to send us 300 Bills with 715
Amendments. Now, you put that on our calendar and you 716
figure what it's going to do with it. So the House 717
will now stand in recess until 1:30. The Republicans' 718
Conference in Room 118, Democratic Conference in 114 719
immediately. Representative Taylor, for what purpose 720
do you arise?" 721

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an 722
introduction. We have here in the gallery today 150 723
youngsters from the Lawndale area. 25...The 724
neighborhood clean-up Committee from...in the west
side of Chicago represented here by Representative
Bill Henry, Langdon Patrick and Vince Molloy. The

block leaders are Mrs. Cynthia Lingran, Mrs. George Reynolds, Mrs. Annitta Branch and Mr. Riccardo Islow, welcome to Springfield." 724
725
726

RECESS 727

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich." 730

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, over in the Stratton Building, we've been accustomed to some sounds over the intercom, and it usually goes like this: God bless Mayor Byrne. We haven't heard it today, and we're missing it, and I wondered what happened." 731
732
733
735

Speaker Redmond: "Well, Mayor Byrne's blessing isn't on the floor. House will be in order. House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 1400. Representative Hallock." 736
737
738

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1400, a Bill for an Act creating the Department of Commerce, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 4 and 5 were adopted in Committee." 740
741
742
743

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 1, 4 and 5?" 744
745

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed." 747

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly." 749

Reilly: "I don't believe Representative Hallock is here. I would think you wouldn't call the Bill without the Sponsor here." 750
751
752

Speaker Redmond: "Then we'll take it out of the record. Senate Bills, Second Reading, page 5, Senate Bill 501. Is that on Third now? 575. Any floor Amendments on that?" 753
754
755
756

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 575, a Bill for an Act making an Appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Corrections, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee." 757
758
759
760

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 1, 2 and 3?" 761
762

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #3 by 763

Representative Eugene Barnes." 764

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Horsey on the floor?" 765

Out of the record. 576." 766

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 576, a Bill for an Act making an 767

Appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expenses 768

of the Bureau of the Budget, Second Reading of the 769

Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in 770

Committee." 770

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1, 771

2, 3 and 4?" 772

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed." 774

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 776

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments." 778

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 577." 780

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 577, a Bill for an Act making 781

Appropriations to the ordinary and contingent expense 782

of the the Institute of Natural Resources, Second 783

Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 7 and 8 784

were adopted in Committee." 784

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to the Committee 785

Amendments?" 786

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #8 by 787

Representative J.J. Wolf and Schraeder." 789

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed, what's your pleasure? 790

Representative Schraeder here? Okay. Is Wolf here 791

too? Representative Thompson, Johnson or Swanson, or 792

whatever his name is, please sit down. Hanahan, 794

George Ray Hudson, Representative J.J. Wolf." 794

Wolf: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this, bear 795

with me a second. Amendment #8 is a motion to table 796

which provides \$2,000,000 in grants to museums under 797

the Institute of Natural Resources. I would like to 798

point out to the Members of the House, that there is 799

already \$1,000,000 in museum grants already in this 799

Bill without this additional \$2,000,000 which would 800

come from General Revenue Funds for the purpose of

giving museum grants. Now when these grants were 801
drawn, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it was 802
drawn very narrowly and very tightly. And out of the
\$1,000,000 that would currently be allocated for 803
grants only \$13,000 of that \$1,000,000 approximately 804
would go to downstate museums. It is drawn so tightly
that regulations provide that it must be publicly 805
owned on municipal owned ground, and they're only some 806
three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, oh 807
possibly about fifteen or twenty museums in the entire
state that would qualify. And so especially you 808
downstate Members of the General Assembly, I want to 809
point out again that only 13,000 approximately out of
that \$1,000,000 would go downstate. And the lines are 810
drawn so tightly that even our own state museum 811
couldn't qualify for this additional money. I think
an additional \$2,000,000 which narrowly was added in 812
Committee, I believe by one or two votes, is 813
unnecessary, it is unneeded and will help no one
probably to any great extent except the City of 814
Chicago. And I would ask your support for my motion
to table that Amendment." 816

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed." 818

Reed: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 819
Representative Wolf is absolutely right. I agree with 820
his motion to table the ah, Committee Amendment." 822

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion. 823
Representative Matijevich." 825

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 826
House, the Committee Amendment which adds the funds 827
for public museums is a state-wide money for public 828
museums throughout the State of Illinois. There's no
doubt about it that in the Chicago area, we have the 829
larger public museums that would benefit by this. But 830
look at it from this perspective that in the public 831
museums that we are allowing many of the students, the

school children throughout the State of Illinois to 832
benefit. Look at it also from this standpoint, that 833
the public museums for example in the City of Chicago
draw many, many tourists from all over the country. 834
Those tourists bring added dollars in tourist funds to 835
the, throughout the State of Illinois. We get the 836
benefit out of those tourist dollars. Two-thirds of 837
the visitors in the public museums, two-thirds, Mr.
Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope 838
you're listening to this, because in the presentation 839
by the Minority Spokesman, you are lead to believe
that this is for Chicago only. Two-thirds of those 840
visitors are outside of the City of Chicago, outside 841
of the County of Cook. Many of them are from
downstate areas who go to Chicago to visit. Many of 842
them are from outside of the State of Illinois. But 843
we do benefit from it. This is money well-spent. 844
Money's dues do come back to the State of Illinois.
So I would urge the Members of the House to back up 845
the Committee Amendment and to vote against the motion 846
to table Committee Amendment #8." 847

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. 848
Schraeder." 849

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, there is an 850
attempt to put this \$2,000,000 on another Bill and the 851
Committee in its wisdom rejected that Amendment. And 852
I would like to say that we would like to do the same
thing here. 97.90% of this \$2,000,000 plus 97.97% of 853
the original \$1,000,000 go to a single county. Now if 854
this is fair to the other 101 counties, then I'm 855
crazy. And don't say I'm not crazy. But let me say
this, we in downstate pay our costs when we go to 856
Chicago. We'll gladly pay the admission fees and all 857
the other things that go with it, but in no way is
this Amendment of \$2,000,000 fair. I ask you to 859
support this motion and defeat this Amendment." 860

- Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman 861
from Cook, Mr. Totten." 862
- Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 863
the House, I rise to support the motion to table. As 864
the other speakers have pointed out, most of this 865
money is going for one small geographical area of the
State. We've already provided a \$1,000,000 for 866
museums in another...in this Bill. To add another 867
\$2,000,000 when we are being asked to support tax 868
increases by the second floor and by the Mayor of the
City of Chicago, the people of the State just can't 869
afford it. This money is not needed, it's an ill 870
advised, ill advised Appropriation, and the Members of
this House should vote to support the motion to table 871
Amendment #8." 872
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz." 873
- Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor of the motion answer a couple of 875
questions?" 876
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will." 878
- Leverenz: "Could you tell me, Representative, over here, the 879
little kid with the hand way up...ah, isn't the grant 880
amount, are they not based on the actual operating 881
budgets of roads, institutions?" 882
- Wolf: "Yes." 884
- Leverenz: "They are. Do you feel that is fair? Don't you 885
feel that is fair to be based on the operating 886
budget?" 887
- Wolf: "It is based on certain other factors, ah, that is not 888
the sole criteria. As I mentioned before, even the 889
State museum couldn't qualify for any of this grant 890
money, because..." 891
- Leverenz: "What are the other qualifications?" 893
- Wolf: "They have to be built on municipally owned public 894
land, municipally owned." 895
- Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, I cannot hear him at all. If we 896
could get a little order." 897

- Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the House give the Gentleman some order please." 898
899
- Leverenz: "What, the question is Representative, what are the other qualifiers for the money? I understood it was based primarily on the operating budgets of those institutions." 900
901
902
903
- Wolf: "They have to be built on public land and I believe municipally owned if...is that correct?" 904
906
- Leverenz: "So that the grants are based on the operating budgets and they must be on publicly owned ground. I think that is ultimately fair. Could you perhaps indicate to me what percent since you are shooting at Cook County or the City of Chicago, can you tell me what percent of the visitors to those institutions come from outside the City of Chicago or the Cook County area or even out of state?" 907
908
909
910
911
913
- Wolf: "No, could you?" 915
- Leverenz: "Yes, I can. It's in excess of 60% and probably as high as 80%. Are you favor of wrecking tourism?" 916
917
- Wolf: "No, I'm not in favor of wrecking tourism, but I'm opposed to adding an additional \$2,000,000 when there are already a \$1,000,000 in grants here right now. And there are only four, seven museums outside of the City of Chicago who ever qualified for it, which means out of the additional \$2,000,000, those seven museums outside of the City of Chicago will get about \$26,000 out of \$2,000,000. And I don't think that's fair, Mr. Leverenz." 919
920
921
922
923
924
925
- Leverenz: "Well, thank you, and Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that a motion like this is a tremendous loss to what goes on with the institutions and it would be totally unwise to go with it, so I would recommend a red vote." 926
927
928
929
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf, to close." 930
931
- Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in closing, I would just ask the 932

support of the Members of the House, as I mentioned 933
before, there is already a \$1,000,000 in grants here. 934
This was an Amendment adding an additional \$2,000,000
from the General Revenue Fund, that's been stated on 935
the floor today. We've all been in conference, some 936
arrangement made through the Governor's Office and the 937
Mayor of the City of Chicago, is asking us to hoist
additional taxes on the people of our respective 939
districts. I think that this is unneeded,
unnecessary, and I would ask for the support of an 940
'aye' vote on my motion to table Amendment #8." 941

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall the House table 942
Amendment #8. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 943
'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The motion's 944
tabled...does not prevail. Any further Amendments?
Roll Call. Alright the question is shall the House 945
vote 'aye' on the motion to table or 'no'. All in 946
favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The motion 947
to table Committee Amendment #8. Kosinski. Have all
voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all 948
voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk 949
will take the record. On this question there are 81
'ayes', 77 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present'. The 950
Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty, requests a 951
verification. Poll the absentees. The Gentleman from
Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, for what purpose do you seek 952
recognition? Schraeder, you seeking recognition? Oh, 953
okay." 954

Clerk O'Brien: "The poll of the absentees..." 956

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel, what 957
purpose do you seek recognition?" 959

Piel: "Leave to be verified, Mr. Speaker." 961

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, we have to poll the absentees 962
first." 963

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Borchers, Bowman, 964
Deuster, Gaines, Giorgi, Dave Jones, Kane, Katz, 965

Kozubowski, Mautino, McGrew..."	966
Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, would you kindly record Mr. Bowman as 'no'. Mrs. Breslin as 'no'. Please continue, Sir."	967 968 969
Clerk O'Brien: "McGrew, Peters, Robbins, Schlickman, Stearney, no further."	970 971
Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the count? On this question there are 81 'ayes', 79 'nos' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Amendment #8 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"	972 973 974 975
Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #, floor Amendment #9, Matijevich, amends Senate Bill 577..."	976 977
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."	978 979
Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #9 deletes statutory language in the Appropriation Bill which is ruled unconstitutional. The Section requires the Institute of Natural Resources to submit a list of projects to the House and Senate Appropriations Committee, because that language is unconstitutional. I therefore move the adoption of Amendment #9."	980 981 982 983 984 985
Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Reed."	986 987
Reed: "Lake County, Mr. Speaker, it's a very fine Amendment and I urge the adoption of Amendment #9."	988 990
Speaker Lechowicz: "It's a very fine county, as well, Ma'am."	991 992
Reed: "Thank you."	994
Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is shall Amendment #9 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed, Amendment #9 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"	995 996 997 998
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments"	1000
Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. We missed one Bill,, two Bills. Senate Bill 575."	1001 1002

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 575. A Bill for an Act making an Appropriation for expenses of the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee." 1003
1004
1005
1006

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor? There's a motion? Read the motion." 1007
1009

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #3 by Representative Eugene Barnes." 1010
1011

Speaker Lechowicz: "A motion to table Amendment #3, The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes." 1012
1014

Barnes: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #3 was technically drawn in error in Committee and on the tabling another Amendment will be offered to replace that Amendment. I would move for the tabling of Amendment #...Committee Amendment #3." 1015
1016
1017
1018
1019

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's been a request that the TV cameras be turned on, request for photographs. Would the Membership kindly be in their proper mode. Request is granted. Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #3 be tabled? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed...Amendment #3 is tabled. Any further Amendments?" 1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, E.M. Barnes, amends Senate Bill 575..." 1026
1027

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes." 1029

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. As I indicated, Amendment #3 which was adopted in Committee with the agreement of the Sponsor and the...Minority Party at that time, but was technically incorrect, Amendment #5 merely corrects the Amendment #3 which we just previously tabled." 1030
1031
1032
1033
1035

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Question is, shall Amendment #5 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed...Amendment #5 is adopted. Any further Amendments?" 1036
1037
1038
1039

Clerk	O'Brien: "Floor	Amendment	#6,	1040
	Schraeder-VonBoeckman..."			1041
Speaker	Lechowicz: "The Gentleman	from Peoria,	Mr	1042
	Schraeder."			1043
Schraeder:	"Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,	this is		1044
	probably one of the most controversial			1045
	measures...Amendments that will come up, at least in			1046
	the Department of Corrections. And I will try to be			
	brief and I'll try to be as...as widespread in my			1047
	discussion as possible. This does pertain to a vacant			1048
	piece of state property in my county but not in my			
	legislative district, it's in the district adjoining			1049
	mine. The Peoria State Hospital has been vacant now			1050
	some three or four years. There was a Commission			
	established after the hospital was closed under a			1051
	previous administration. That Commission recognized			1052
	that the property was not being used and what should			
	be done with it. They recommended that the State of			1053
	Illinois sell it for a million dollars to...so that			1054
	the State would get their equity out and be able to			1055
	pay off the outstanding bonds. Bids were put out and			
	the State of Illinois accepted the only bid that met			1056
	the requirements of the statute. Subsequent to that,			1057
	the bidder defaulted on payment of the payment to the			
	State of Illinois of the amount of money required and			1058
	it went back into state hands. Again bids were			1059
	submitted. And at that time no bids were accepted by			
	the State of Illinois because they did not meet the			1060
	requirements. As of this time the property is in the			1061
	hands of the State of Illinois. There is no			1062
	Appropriation for maintaining the property. There is			
	no Appropriation for security and the property is now			1063
	in...going in a downhill condition. What this			1064
	Amendment does is to...and I might point out that I am			
	speaking now so those who have...are interested in the			1065
	Concordia property, it will not be purchased or			1066

rented, it will be transferred to the Peoria State Hospital. But I'm speaking in general right now of the condition of vacant state properties in the State of Illinois. There are numbers of vacant properties, there are some more that are becoming vacant because of closures of state hospitals...school for children and others. And what I'm saying by this Amendment is that the State of Illinois...it's about time we quit buying property or leasing property from political entities that owe an obligation to someone in state government. And that we use the property now having been...having been the property of the State at a minimal cost. This Appropriation for eight hundred and some thousand dollars is the transfer of the amount from the Concordia, minus the facilities now being required by this...Department of Corrections. I say to you that we ought to use state property that is now vacant at no cost to the State other than renovation, rather than buying or taking from some private organization...property and then renovating it at additional state cost. This Amendment is extremely serious, not only to the State of Illinois but to my district. But let me say one thing that I wasn't going to say and the more I thought about it, the more I thought it was important that I say. Since this Amendment was introduced two or three days ago, I've been in conversation with the Department of Corrections and they've requested numbers of times that I withdraw my Amendment. And I'm willing to withdraw my Amendment for the Peoria State Hospital if they will agree that they will use some state institution that is now vacant. But they will not do that. And on my last refusal, a Gentleman from the Department of Corrections said to me...and I want you to listen to this very closely because I think it hits all of us and you can be in the same situation. A man

from the Department of Corrections said to me, 'Do you know that your son has an application on the Director's desk for a position in the Law Enforcement Department?' And you know what I told him he could do with that...application? You're right, buddy. That's what I told him and in no...certain words. Anytime a director of a department can tell a Legislator that he's going to be blackmailed if he doesn't do something that the director wants or the Governor wants, then something is drastically wrong. My son doesn't need a job with the State of Illinois. He tried to get it on his own, not through me. But anytime a director tells me he's going to blackmail me to do something with an Appropriation, he's got another thing coming. And I say to you, this is wrong. And if you can't support this Amendment, and I hope you do, at least support one of the Amendments that will use state property for the State of Illinois rather than going out and purchasing. And I ask for your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk." 1106

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I can well understand why Representative Schraeder is proposing this Amendment because he and I both know very well that we've had some problems with the Peoria State Hospital property. Together we sponsored and spoke in behalf of a Bill a few years back where we would sell that property to the highest bidder as long as they would bid the minimum million dollars. We had a buyer, he wasn't able to finance it and therefore, the project fell through. Since that time, we have resubmitted it for bids and we had no bidders. So we do have a problem. We have the property there, it's going downhill, I've asked the Department of Administrative Services to pull together a meeting of those of us who are interested in that property to see

if we can't come up with some idea to resolve the whole problem. Frankly, I don't know whether this Amendment solves that problem or not. I haven't given it that much deep thought. However, I do concur with him if he's being forced, so to speak, to table this Amendment as a result of any personal feature in his family relative to the State of Illinois. I think that's bad, it's bad policy, it's just not the right way to go. However, I don't think that this Amendment necessarily is the right way to go at this moment. I would like to see us all get together, he and I and the Department and some other Legislators within that area who are interested in seeing something productive happen to that property and work some workable solution. It may not be possible to sell it at this time. Perhaps we would want to do something...work for the State on that property. But at the last indication there was no state agency interested in that property for any particular purpose. And I would like to see the State agencies through the Department of Administrative Services, sit down and try and iron out the problems that we have up at Peoria State."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Leverenz: "Has there been any analysis done by Administrative Services or the Department of Corrections on this property?"

Schraeder: "Yes, the Administrative Services is very well aware of this property."

Leverenz: "They have done an analysis of the property as it could possibly be used by the Department of Corrections?"

Schraeder: "I don't think they've made that survey of...recently for...specifically for the Department of

- Corrections. That I can't answer." 1153
- Leverenz: "Do you think that they have..." 1155
- Schraeder: "They do know the property. They know that there 1156
are roughly 55 buildings there at the...there's a 1157
brand new auditorium, there's a brand new commissary, 1158
there's a brand new renovated administration building,
there's a brand new chapel, there's 160 acres of land 1159
that could be used for...for ranges...for pistols and 1160
what have you, there's dormitories, the complete 1161
facility is there." 1162
- Leverenz: "Well, what is their recommendation?" 1164
- Schraeder: "The Department of Administrative Services, since 1165
the Director is also under the Governor, refuses to 1166
take a position." 1167
- Leverenz: "Thank you. Perhaps it could be used as a museum, 1168
Representative Schraeder. What do you feel about 1169
that?" 1170
- Schraeder: "Repeat your question, would you please." 1172
- Leverenz: "Would you consider another Amendment that this 1173
could be turned into a museum?" 1175
- Schraeder: "If that's the wisdom of the House and the 1176
Senate, I wouldn't object. But I think 1177
that's...probably we couldn't finance it at this time.
And this money now...the department wants to spend, 1178
this 5.6 million or 6 million dollars has to be spent 1179
if the Department of Corrections is ri...is correct 1180
and we can certainly cut that down by using this 1181
facility." 1182
- Leverenz: "I certainly suggest you might withdraw the 1183
Amendment and consider an Amendment to purchase the 1184
property for a state museum. Thank you." 1185
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman...the Gentleman from Rock 1186
Island, Mr. Polk." 1187
- Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, in behalf 1188
of the Amendment, I am not totally sure that I concur 1189
with the specific line item that the Representative 1190

from Peoria has pointed out in regard to the 1190
 correctional center, the training center in his 1191
 community. But what I do support is what we have done 1192
 in our community as you're all aware...in the last
 week, we have closed an inst...installation in our 1193
 area, the East Moline Mental Health Center and we are 1194
 moving in a minimum security penitentiary. I think
 the Governor in this instance, is using facilities 1195
 that we have in the State, we're not going to be 1196
 spending any excessive amount of money, as you all 1197
 know how expensive it is to open a new insti...a new
 installation such as this. But I like the idea of 1198
 saying to GSA, we do have throughout the State other 1199
 places that the State owns where we have invested a
 tremendous amount of money, and we should certainly 1200
 take a look at those before we start buying or 1201
 spending money elsewhere. And I would like to see
 this supported, simply to the point of bringing it to 1202
 their attention, let's take a look at them and then if 1203
 it's more economical to do so, let's use them. Thank
 you." 1204

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. 1205
 Matijevich." 1206

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 1207
 House, I would hope that the Assembly is aware of what 1208
 the purport of this Amendment really is all about. 1209
 This Amendment is an attempt, and I support it, to
 kill the State's plan to lease and then have an option 1210
 to purchase the Concordia College for the purpose of 1211
 training correctional offices. I support the notion 1212
 as Representative Schraeder does that we have
 available state facilities that we no longer should be 1213
 purchasing...other properties of...for use by state 1214
 agencies. Also I submit to you, Ladies and Gentlemen
 of the House, have you ever become aware of something 1215
 and you just can't put your finger on it, but there's 1216

something that smells about it? The...the matter of 1216
the...rental of the Concordia College and the option 1217
to purchase...I can't put my finger on it, but I don't 1218
like it and because it was thrust upon us quite 1219
quickly, I think we should wait before we go into
that..." 1220

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. 1221
Hoxsey, what purpose do you seek recognition?" 1223

Hoxsey: "Yes, would the Representative please address the 1224
Amendment?" 1225

Speaker Lechowicz: "I think he is. The Gentleman from Lake, 1226
Mr. Matijevich." 1227

Matijevich: "If I'm not addressing the Amendment, my name 1228
isn't John Matijevich. I'll tell you, maybe you don't 1229
want to hear what the real reason of the Amendment is. 1230
It isn't just to use the available...facilities at 1231
Peoria State Hospital, it's...the real thrust of the 1232
Amendment is that it kills the Concordia deal, that's 1233
the thrust of the Amendment and I support that.
Because as I said, it came upon us quickly, there's
something that smells about it and if we don't stop it 1234
now, it well could be...the North Michigan Avenue 1235
situation with the...employment security building. We
just have to have more available knowledge about it 1236
and I don't think we ought to go blindly into it, and 1237
we should put a stop to it now. And by supporting 1238
this Amendment, we do put a stop to it." 1239

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff. 1240
Mr. Neff, please." 1241

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 1242
the House. I rise in support of this Amendment. I 1243
think it's almost ridiculous for the State to...going 1244
out, I'm talking about leasing the other property,
buying other property, when we have thousands of 1245
square feet of space that's laying idle and whether 1247
this is the proper place, I'm not sure about it but I

do commend the Representative for bringing this Peoria 1248
area in on it. I think it should be looked at and I'm 1249
sure that if it isn't satisfactory, there is other
places in the State of Illinois that would be 1250
satisfactory and our state certainly should be looking 1251
at property they now own that is sitting idle instead
of talking about leasing or buying other property. 1252
Thank you." 1253

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. 1254
Skinner." 1255

Skinner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I don't know 1256
as much about this subject as a lot of people do and 1257
some of the premises which I have been based...which I 1258
have based the arguments I've made with many of you on 1259
the House floor...one of the premises was not correct
and I'd like to state that at the first, if I might. 1260
I was told by Director Franzen on Tuesday that the 1261
State's responsibility for transportation to prison
guards to this training center would...consisted of 1262
one round trip. The bus that would take them at the 1263
beginning of their five week period and to wherever 1264
the site would be and the bus that would bring them
home. Now in today's Journal Register, Director 1265
Franzen points out that he was incorrect. I mean, he 1266
doesn't point that out, he's not quite that
charitable. He says that the State under the AFSME 1267
contract has to pay for transportation home from the 1268
training site each week or has to pay time and a half,
has to pay overtime. Okay, now that's out of the way. 1269
So let's talk about what makes sense on this issue. 1270
We're going to pay 5.6 million dollars for the 1271
Concordia Sem...Seminary in Springfield if we buy it
right now after renovation, that's purchase price plus 1272
renovation. In the budget now is the amount of money 1273
to rent it for part of next year. I consider this an
in-run around the Legislature for a capital project. 1274

It should be bonded, it should go through the Capital 1275
 Development Board, it should require a three-fifths 1276
 majority. We are basically being told to approve 1277
 money for a lease which will then have a balloon 1278
 payment, so to speak, because we will be putting all 1279
 this investment in and we can't afford to back out.
 We're going to have to buy it next year and the 1280
 Director is now being very upfront saying that we're 1281
 going to have to buy it. One of the arguments for 1282
 having it in Springfield is that we can have a 1283
 headquarters for the Department of Corrections. Every 1284
 department wants to have a separate building for 1285
 headquarters. Every department does not need a 1286
 separate building for headquarters. Now, the thing 1287
 that really disturbs me about this is the very 1288
 unstructured decision making process on the part of 1289
 the Department of Corrections and, in fact, the Budget 1290
 Bureau. The arrangement with Concordia has been 1291
 presented to the Budget Bureau, it has been analyzed 1292
 with...by the Budget Bureau and it doesn't look that 1293
 bad on the surface. I don't think that Bill Cellini 1294
 is ripping off the State with this, it's going to be 1295
 five hundred...five dollars and sixty-two cents per 1296
 square foot. But it's going to...and that doesn't 1297
 sound bad for Springfield, that's not bad rental for 1298
 office buildings. The problem is that we don't have 1299
 to pay that much because we own facilities that are
 centralized in Peoria, in Bloomington and in
 Jacksonville. Indeed, even...even in...Representative
 VanDuyne's district. And I object very strenuously
 and I hope I'm doing it mildly enough to the lack of
 any written specifications to date for the need for a
 training facility. They need a training facility, but
 that can't tell you what they need in the training
 facility. They can't or won't. Those figures were
 not available in writing as of Tuesday when I talked

with Director Franzen. They may be available today, I 1300
would certainly hope so since we're almost to Third 1301
Reading in the second House on a 5...on a 5.6 million 1302
dollar facility. Alright, how much renovation can you
buy at a facility like Peoria State for 5.6 million 1303
dollars? That's the question we ought to be 1304
addressing ourselves with...with this Amendment.
Could we remodel? Could we remodel Peoria State and 1305
make it a training site for 5.6 million dollars, which 1306
is what the State is going to obligated for at minimum 1307
for Concordia College? Now what does the State need
that we've been able to find through the newspapers 1308
and through corridor talk? The state needs two 1309
hundred beds. The state needs a dining facility,
including a kitchen. The state needs a gym and the 1310
State needs a rifle range. That's all the State
needs. Now Representative Schraeder in closing I'm 1311
sure will tell us whether he thinks 5.6 million 1312
dollars can provide that...that type of facility in 1313
his district. I would suspect that one could find...I
mean, I can't imagine any state facility that could 1315
not be turned into a training center for correction
guards for that amount of money. I think I could turn 1316
my apartment in Springfield into a training center for 1317
guards for that kind of money. The rifle range might 1318
have to be vertical, buy that's a lot of money. So I
would suggest that while Peoria State may not be the 1319
most...most logical site, that you should give this 1320
very serious consideration. We are not the
administrative branch. We are not proposing this 1321
facility at Concordia. It is being proposed by the 1322
Executive Branch. And I will tell you that, based
upon my experience as a budget examiner for the United 1323
States Budget of the Bureau and service on the 1324
Appropriations Committee and the fiscal analysis that
I've undertaken in the last fifteen years, that if I 1325

had been Director, I would have asked what the alternatives are. This Director has really not asked what the alternatives are and cannot tell you why Peoria State isn't better, why it would not cost 5.6 million dollars. He can't tell you why the children's home in Bloomington that's about to be closed wouldn't be sufficient. He can tell you that he needs the facility very quickly, he needs it by next March. He can tell you that it would be nice to have a headquarters in Springfield. He can say it would be nice to have the training center in Springfield, because it could coordinate with the State police and you wouldn't have to build a rifle range. And what he is basically saying is whatever extra number of millions of dollars that we're going to spend, maybe it's one million, maybe it's two million, is going to be used..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman bring his remarks to a close?"

Skinner: "...is going to be used...yes, I will...is going to be used to save the State time in developing a training facility. Now he has made his judgement. And we must make our judgement and fulfill our Constitutional responsibility. And based upon that information, I'm sure you will make an intelligent judgement."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Peoria, Mrs. Sumner."

Sumner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. At my last phone call or two, I understand that there has been an interest by several parties in buying this property. If this be the case, I think it is a good idea and I understand that they are considering letting bids again. This would let this property go back to the private sector. It would be sold to people that would develop it. It would put it back on to the productive...basis, back on to the tax rolls and provide more income for the

people of that area. And as long as this is the feeling and there are people who are expressing interest, I certainly hope that we can let bids and go ahead and promote the selling of this project. Thank you." 1355
1356
1357
1358

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer." 1359
1360

Brummer: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield?" 1362

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will." 1364

Brummer: "Do you have any estimate of the savings that this would result to the State of Illinois if this Amendment were adopted and the training center was put at the Peoria State Hospital?" 1365
1366
1367
1368

Schraeder: "Well, it would be my estimate and...to be perfectly frank, I can't see it costing any more than 50% of the cost of the Concordia situation because we have everything there. It would only require minimum renovation by the establishment of the shooting ranges and that type of thing. An absolute maximum, under any condition, would be half of this." 1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374

Brummer: "So you're talking about a savings of two and a half to three million dollars?" 1375
1377

Schraeder: "That's right, almost three million dollars." 1379

Brummer: "Do you have any provision in here that would put that savings into the...to the much discussed Road Fund?" 1380
1381
1382

Schraeder: "I'd be more than happy to accept that plea and would be supportive of it." 1383
1384

Brummer: "Thank you." 1386

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson." 1387

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. There's one telling point that's been made about this. It was made by Representative Skinner and the point was that it was a fairly...accurately reflects market prices. There's nothing unfair or underhanded about this. And the question sim...simply remains after you" 1389
1390
1391
1392
1393

determine that is whether we're going to put ourselves 1394
in the position of substituting our judgement on every
issue that comes up and every Appropriation Bill for 1395
the Executive Branch. It is appropriate for us to set 1396
guidelines, if we make it out...out of the way when 1397
they get out in left field, it's appropriate for us to
bring them back in. But we shouldn't substitute our 1398
judgement on every single thing or we're going to be 1399
down here until December 1st. I urge a 'no' vote." 1400

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen." 1401

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question." 1404

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous 1405
question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 1406
'aye', opposed. The previous question has been moved. 1407
The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, to close."

Schraeder: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'll be very brief because I 1409
think this has been thoroughly discussed. I left out 1410
the implication of any political overtures as far as 1411
this piece of legislation was concerned. But I want 1412
to emphasize very clearly, why should the State buy or 1413
lease or a combination of buying and leasing
additional property when the State already has 1414
throughout the State of Illinois many things 1415
that...many facilities...would possibly use for this
kind of a...we have Mantino, we have the Bloomington
area, we have Southern Illinois, we have Moline and 1416
yes, we have Peoria. At least we should look at the 1417
places that are available to the State without
additional cost. Yes, we should save three million 1418
dollars. Yes, we should do all these things for the 1419
State of Illinois. And no, we should not further
private enterprise at the expense of the taxpayer. 1420
And I would ask you for an 'aye' vote." 1421

speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #6 be 1422
adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 1423
'no'. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Steele, to 1424

explain his vote. Timer's on. Steele, please." 1425

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of 1426
the House, I urge a 'yes' vote on this Amendment 1427
because I think that this Amendment, if nothing else, 1428
will force the Department to take a look at other 1429
state facilities that are available here in Illinois. 1430
And in so doing, it may save six and a half million 1431
dollars for the taxpayers of this state. We all know 1432
that we have many surplus properties all over this 1433
state. We have surplus properties at Bloomington that 1434
could very well serve this purpose and save six and a 1435
half million. We have surplus property at Peoria,
surplus property at Alton, surplus property at 1436
Jacksonville, surplus state-owned property at 1437
Galesburg that could save the taxpayers of this state 1438
six and a half million dollars. And that's what this
Amendment is designed to do and I urge your support of
it." 1439

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey, to 1440
explain her vote. Timer's on." 1441

Hoxsey: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker..." 1442

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, Maam. Well, I 1443
did...something is the matter with the timer." 1444

Hoxsey: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I feel it's 1445
about time I responded to all the allegations that 1446
have been made here. The Administrative Services and 1447
CDB have done an indepth study of this institution at 1448
Bartonville. And it has indicated that it will cost 1449
an estimated five million dollars to put this location 1450
in an acceptable condition to use, 48 buildings there, 1451
3 buildings are acceptable. So when the former 1452
speaker says three million dollar savings, I certainly 1453
have to question it. It was rejected in July of '73 1454
and again in '76 by the State of Illinois even as a 1455
correctional institution. Now what...we don't need 1456
160 acres for a correctional center...I don't mean

correct...I beg your pardon, a training center and 1457
 administrative offices. We need it centrally located 1458
 where it's available to all parts of the State of 1459
 Illinois. This location would be totally 1460
 unacceptable..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. 1461
 Griesheimer, to explain his vote. Timer's on." 1463

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative 1464
 Schraeder's arguments are so logical and so fiscally 1465
 responsible that I suppose there's not a chance in the 1466
 world that anybody will adopt them here. But I would 1467
 suggest, not only that we adopt his idea for this 1468
 particular training facility, but let's hope and pray 1469
 we can get Lewis College into one of these. Let's 1470
 move Lewis College Law School possibly to Mantino."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. 1471
 Schraeder, to explain his vote. Timer's on." 1473

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is extremely important 1474
 if we want to save the taxpayers money. And let me 1475
 emphasize again, I am not primarily speaking of the 1476
 Peoria State Hospital. I'm speaking of the premise 1477
 that we have state affil...facilities throughout the 1478
 State of Illinois, eight or ten at least. And if they 1479
 don't use Peoria State, they ought least...ought to 1480
 use one of the other state-owned facilities. And I 1481
 would certainly ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 1482
 who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 1483
 question there are 80 'ayes', 82 'nos', 2 recorded as 1484
 'present'. The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Poll the absentees, please." 1487

Speaker Lechowicz: "Poll the absentees, please. The Lady 1488
 from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey, for what purpose do you 1489
 seek recognition?" 1490

Hoxsey: "I would ask for a verification." 1492

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, we have to poll the absentees 1493

first, Maam."	1494
Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. E.M. Barnes. Beatty. Deuster. Gaines. Giorgi. Kozubowski. Leverenz. Reilly. Schlickman. Schuneman. C.M. Stiehl. Stuffle. No further."	1495 1496 1497 1498
Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Leverenz as 'no'. Mr Bower as 'no'. Mrs. Stiehl as 'aye'. What's the count now, Mr. Clerk? It's 81 'ayes', 84 'nos'. The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."	1499 1500 1501 1502
Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to verify the 'no' votes."	1503 1504
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman...the Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey, what purpose do you seek recognition?"	1505 1507
Hoxsey: "If he is going to persist, I would verify the negative vote...the positive vote."	1508 1510
Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, we'll get to that question when we get to it. The Gentleman from...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Abramson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"	1511 1512 1513 1514
Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"	1516
Speaker Lechowicz: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"	1518
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."	1520
Abramson: "Vote me 'no', please."	1522
Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Abramson as 'no'. Verify the negative vote."	1523 1524
Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Jane Barnes. Bianco. Birchler. Bluthardt. Borchers."	1525 1526
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Bower, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"	1527 1529
Bower: "May I be verified as voting 'no'?"	1531
Speaker Lechowicz: "How was the Gentleman recorded?"	1533
Clerk O'Brien: "'No'."	1535
Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly re...verified."	1537
Clerk O'Brien: "Boucek. Bower. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Collins. Conti. Cullerton. Daniels. Davis. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Doyle. Ebbesen."	1538 1539 1540

Epton. Ewell. Ewing. Friedland. Garmisa. Getty.	1540
Goodwin. Grossi. Hallock...I'm sorry...not Hallock.	1541
Hallstrom. Hanahan. Harris. Hoffman. Horsey.	1542
Huskey. Johnson. Dave Jones. Kane. Kelly.	
Kempiners. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kucharski. Kulas.	1543
Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Leon. Leverenz.	1544
Madigan. Mahar. Margalus. Marovitz. Matula.	
McAuliffe. McBroom. McClain. McCourt. Meyer.	1545
Malloy. Oblinger. O'Brien. Peters. Piel. Reed.	1546
Richmond. Rigney. Robbins. Bonan. Ropp. Ryan.	
Sandquist. Schoeberlein. Stanley. Stearney.	1547
Sumner. Telcser. Terzich. Vinson. Vitek. Wikoff.	1548
Winchester. J.J. Wolf. Woodyard and Yourell."	1549
Speaker Lechowicz: "Question's on the negative vote."	1551
Schraeder: "Yea, what are we starting on, Mr. Speaker?"	1553
Schraeder: "Pardon me. Oh, what's the count, Jack? On this...right now, we're starting out with 81 'ayes', 85 'nos'."	1554 1555 1556
Schraeder: "O'Brien."	1558
Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. O'Brien is on the floor."	1560
Schraeder: "Dawson."	1562
Speaker Lechowicz: "Dawson. Mr. Dawson. How is the Gentleman recorded?"	1563 1564
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."	1565
Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."	1568
Schraeder: "DiPrima."	1570
Speaker Lechowicz: "DiPrima. How's the Gentleman recorded?"	1571
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."	1573
Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove Mr. DiPrima."	1576
Schraeder: "Epton."	1578
Speaker Lechowicz: "Epton is in his chair."	1580
Schraeder: "Ewell. Ewell."	1582
Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ewell. He's in the chamber."	1584
Schraeder: "Friedland."	1586
Speaker Lechowicz: "Friedland. He's in the chamber."	1588
Schraeder: "Garmisa."	1590

Speaker Lechowicz: "Garmisa is in the chamber." 1592

Schraeder: "Rigney." 1594

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Rigney is in the chamber." 1596

Schraeder: "Mr. Robbins." 1598

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here." 1600

Schraeder: "Terzich." 1602

Speaker Lechowicz: "Terzich. Mr. Terzich. How's the
Gentleman recorded?" 1603
1604

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'." 1605

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him. And put Mr. Dawson back
on. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley, for what
purpose do you seek recognition?" 1607
1608
1609

Farley: "Would you change my 'aye' vote to 'no', please?" 1611

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Farley as 'no'." 1613

Schraeder: "Hoffman." 1615

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hoffman is here." 1617

Schraeder: "Huskey." 1619

Speaker Lechowicz: "Huskey is here." 1621

Schraeder: "Johnson." 1623

Speaker Lechowicz: "Johnson. Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson.
How's the Gentleman recorded?" 1624
1626

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'." 1627

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him. Would you put Mr. Terzich
back on. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey,
what purpose do you seek recognition? I'm sorry,
what? Oh, okay. Please continue." 1629
1630
1631
1632

Schraeder: "Laurino." 1634

Speaker Lechowicz: "Laurino. He's here...in the chamber." 1635

Schraeder: "McAuliffe." 1638

Speaker Lechowicz: "McAuliffe. He's in the chamber." 1640

Schraeder: "Malloy." 1642

Speaker Lechowicz: "And Mr. Madigan wants to be verified.
Put Mr. Johnson back on the Roll Call. The Gentleman
from Lake, Mr. Deuster, what purpose do you seek
recognition? Record him as 'aye'. Mr. Swanstrom,
what purpose do you seek recognition?" 1643
1644
1645
1647

Swanstrom: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my 'aye' vote to 'no', please?" 1648

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Swanstrom as 'no'." 1649

Mr. Ewell." 1650

Ewell: "Change my 'no' vote to 'aye'." 1651

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Ewell as 'aye'. Mr. ... put Mr. DiPrima back on the Roll Call." 1653

Schraeder: "Boucek." 1654

Speaker Lechowicz: "Boucek. He's back there." 1656

Schraeder: "Representative Collins." 1658

Speaker Lechowicz: "Collins. He's here." 1660

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, did I call Davis?" 1662

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jack Davis is here." 1666

Schraeder: "Okay. Kelly." 1668

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you kindly...Mr. Goodwin, what purpose do you seek recognition? Kindly record Mr. Goodwin as 'aye'." 1670

Schraeder: "Did you rule on Kelly?" 1673

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, what?" 1675

Schraeder: "Kelly." 1677

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kelly. He's in his chair." 1679

Schraeder: "Wolf. J.J. Wolf." 1681

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here." 1683

Schraeder: "Mr. Laurino." 1685

Speaker Lechowicz: "Laurino was...Laurino was already verified. He was...he's still here." 1687

Schraeder: "Okay. Mr. Wikoff." 1688

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Wikoff. He's here. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, what purpose do you seek recognition? Kindly record him as 'no'. Keane as 'no'. And McPike as 'no'." 1692

Schraeder: "How about Mr. Marovitz?" 1693

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute, who did you ask for, Fred?" 1694

Schraeder: "Mr. Marovitz." 1695

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Marovitz. He's in the aisle." 1696

Kindly record Mr. Reilly as 'no'." 1705

Schraeder: "That's all, Mr. Speaker." 1707

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the count, Jack?" 1709

Schraeder: "One more, Mr. McPike." 1711

Speaker Lechowicz: "He is just behind you." 1713

Schraeder: "Oh, okay." 1715

Speaker Lechowicz: "On this question there are 80 'ayes', 88 1716
'nos' and the Amendment is defeated. Any further 1717
Amendments?" 1718

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Rigney, amends Senate Bill 575 1719
as amended in Page 1, line 16 and so forth." 1721

Speaker Lechowicz: "Before we get to Mr. Rigney's Amendment, 1722
I've been asked by the Speaker's Office and the 1723
Speaker to make the announcement that Adele Good, who 1724
is in charge of the Mayor's Office of Senior Citizens 1725
and Handicapped, is in the Mayor's Office...the 1726
Speaker's Office and if there's any Member that would 1727
like to visit her or meet her, discuss the policies 1728
and programs of the Mayor's Office of Senior Citizens 1729
and Handicapped, she is available for the Membership 1730
in the Speaker's Office. The Speaker also asked me to 1731
inform the Membership that it's the intent of the 1732
Speaker, the Chair and the Membership that we would 1733
work tonight until approximately eight o'clock in the 1734
evening, depending upon how well we do, and that we 1735
will reconvene tomorrow morning at ten o'clock til 1736
one. Adjourn approximately at one o'clock tomorrow, 1737
reconvene Sunday afternoon at five. So you can plan 1738
your schedules accordingly. Until approximately eight 1739
o'clock tonight, tomorrow morning at ten o'clock until 1740
one, Sunday we return back at five o'clock. The 1741
Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer, what purpose do 1742
you seek recognition?"

Brummer: "You neglected to say the Mayor's assistant of 1743
which city. Was that from Effingham?" 1744

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, I'm sorry. The Mayor Byrnes' person 1745

from the office of Senior Citizens and Handicapped. 1743

The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, what purpose do 1744
you seek recognition?" 1745

Dunn: "Just to make an observation, Mr. Speaker, that we 1746
lost at least three or four hours of time this morning 1747
and had we spent that, there would have been no need 1748
for a Saturday Session." 1749

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. 1750
McBroom." 1751

McBroom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise 1752
on a point of personal privilege. A group of 1753
constituents from Kankakee are represented by 1754
Representative...Representative Christian (sic), 1755
Representative Ryan and I, are here in the gallery. 1756
They were brought down here by the two aldermen that 1757
represent their wards, Alderman Delaney and Alderman 1758
Adams. I wish the Ladies would stand and be 1759
acknowledged." 1760

Speaker Lechowicz: "Now we're on Amendment #7 which is 1761
offered by Representative Rigney. Mr. Rigney on 1762
Amendment #7." 1763

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #7 is very similar to 1764
the Amendment that we have just defeated. This is the 1765
one that would take out the money for Concordia and 1766
say that we would put five hundred thousand dollars 1767
into a rental arrangement for Shimer College in Mt. 1768
Carol, Illinois. We do have a situation there with a 1769
beautiful college campus that I think has a lot of 1770
potential for some type of development...for some type 1771
of state institution. After some rather extended 1772
conversations with the Department of Corrections, it 1773
became apparent to me that perhaps this is not the
time nor the place to be offering this particular
Amendment. There might be other alternative uses for
that college campus. And so Mr. Speaker, at this
time, I would like to withdraw Amendment #7."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let me point out to the Membership, in order to...even try to live up with that schedule, we should complete Second Readings today. So...judge your time accordingly. The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #7. Any further Amendments...Mr. Clerk?" 1774
1775
1776
1778

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #8, Mulcahey-Skinner, amends Senate Bill 575..." 1779
1780

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey." 1781
1782

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, for the past two days the Director of the Department of Corrections and...the Director of the Department of Administrative Services have been meeting with the President of Shimer College and both agencies and departments have promised the people from Shimer that they will look into the potential use of that particular institution. With this word coming from such reputable people as that, at this time I would withdraw Amendment #8." 1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #8 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'...oh, he withdrew it. I'm sorry. With all these people talking, it's pretty hard. The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #8. Any further Amendments?" 1791
1792
1793
1794
1795

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #9, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 575..." 1796
1797

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner." 1798
1799

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, one of the things that really bothers me, as much as anything else, has to do with the Constitutional prerogatives of the Legislative Branch verses the Executive Branch. We are being asked in the Corrections Department Budget to lease a building which is going to end up being bought, everyone agrees. It seems to me that if everyone 1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805

- agrees, if the majority of the House agrees that we
ought to put the training facility at Concordia
Seminary in Springfield, that we ought to be man
enough to, excuse the sexual illusion, but we ought to
be able to stand up in front of our constituents and
say, 'We're going to buy Concordia College' and tell
them what the price is. It's going to be four...four
million dollars plus renovation, a total of 5.6
million dollars. I see no reason whatsoever to pay
rent until we make that decision sometime next year
when none of the rent, not one cent of the rent, goes
toward lessening the purchase price. This Amendment
calls for a 5.6 million dollar Appropriation to the
Department of Corrections to buy Concordia Seminary
and I move for its adoption." 1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey." 1817
- Hoxsey: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would have__to
oppose this Amendment on the basis that he's
appropriated this through the...the Revenue Fund
rather than the Capital Bonding Process. And I
wouldn't think it would be a very good idea to
appropriate this kind of money from the General
Revenue Fund." 1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners." 1823
- Kempiners: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Lady who is the
Sponsor of the Bill yield for some questions?" 1825
1827
- Speaker Lechowicz: "On the Amendment?" 1829
- Kempiners: "On the Amendment, yes, Sir." 1831
- Speaker Lechowicz: "She indicates she will." 1833
- Kempiners: "Mrs. Hoxsey, it was my understanding from the
testimony presented by the Department in the
Appropriations Committee that there is no possibility
that we can purchase this because a particular group
in Springfield has a first option to buy on that
property. Is that correct?" 1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
- Hoxsey: "The...the property can be purchased on 90 days 1839

notice...once you've exercised the lease option. It 1840
 can be purchased at any time on a 90 day's notice." 1841

Kempiners: "But there is a lease option that is controlled 1842
 by a party that...that at the present time...so that 1843
 if this money is appropriated we cannot expend it and 1844
 purchase it directly from the owners of Concordia
 property. Is that correct?" 1845

Hoxsey: "We don't have the option to lease from the owners, 1846
 we have to lease from the people that have 1847
 the...option." 1848

Kempiners: "Yeah, that have the option." 1850

Hoxsey: "Yes, Yes, we don't have that ability to do that." 1851

Kempiners: "So we cannot, even if this money is 1853
 appropriated, we cannot buy that from the owner of 1854
 Concordia." 1855

Hoxsey: "Not until the lease option is exercised." 1857

Kempiners: "Okay. My next question is, also, during the 1858
 Committee hearings, Director Franzen indicated that 1859
 the benefit of entering into this agreement was the 1860
 time restraints placed on him. Is that not correct?"

Hoxsey: "That's right." 1863

Kempiners: "That because of the procedures, we of the State, 1864
 must follow because of our state law and Capital 1865
 Development Board requirements that there is no way we 1866
 would be able to renovate this structure in time for
 occupancy by next spring, is that correct?" 1868

Hoxsey: "That's correct." 1870

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, may I address the Amendment?" 1872

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, Sir." 1874

Kempiners: "Thank you. Regardless of how you feel about 1875
 this particular issue, by voting for this Amendment 1876
 you will be authorizing the expenditure of money which 1877
 cannot be spent in the first place and even if it
 could it would not meet the needs of the Department. 1878
 I come from a district that has several correctional 1879
 institutions in it and several more on its periphery.

- And I know the problems of turnover that the Department of Corrections is facing with regard to correctional officers. There is a great need in the central part of this state for such a facility to train these correctional officers. And because of the lease option...oh, I'm sorry, because of the option that this group has to purchase this property, the State cannot directly purchase it from the owners of the Concordia Seminary. Secondly, even if we did purchase it, it would not be able to be renovated in the amount of time necessary for us to use it by this coming spring. So this Amendment is frivolous and I would urge its defeat." 1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman." 1890
- Greiman: "Well, thank you. I really have some questions to the Sponsor of the Bill, if she could answer them insofar as the option is concerned. That's the option that the Springfield Gentleman...group has, when does that option expire?" 1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
- Hoxsey: "I believe the option on this is an open-ended option, Representative." 1897
1898
- Greiman: "Well, you're not saying that...that the Gentleman from Springfield has an option forever are you? I mean, does he have an option for the rest of...for as long...til...as long as time?" 1899
1900
1901
1902
- Hoxsey: "It's open-ended." 1903
1904
- Greiman: "Well, I don't...I...well, okay, open-ended. Is there a written document...have we received...do we have a copy of that document?" 1905
1906
1907
- Hoxsey: "Well you wouldn't have a document...an official document until the authorization has been..." 1908
1909
- Greiman: "No, no, I'm talking about the option between the...the Concordia College and the Springfield group. Have we seen a copy of that option?" 1910
1911
1912
1913
- Hoxsey: "Yes, yes, the Department has a copy of the option between the owner and the lessor of the property at 1914
1915

the present time." 1916

Greiman: "And you're saying that that option never expires, 1917
it goes on forever. If he doesn't exercise it, it's 1918
just on forever, is that what you're saying...when you 1919
say open-ended?" 1920

Hoxsey: "Well, I can't...I'm talking about the...wait a 1921
minute. Alright, I'm getting this, Representative." 1922

Greiman: "Okay, maybe...while you're...while Mr. Hedge is 1924
looking maybe we can go on to some other things. 1925
Suppose the consideration that it would take for the 1926
option, do you know...that the lessor paid for the
option? How much did he pay? Do you know?" 1928

Hoxsey: "I don't know, I really don't." 1930

Greiman: "Well, of course, you're probably aware that if he 1931
didn't pay anything the option is...has no 1932
consideration and it's not a valid option. Did any 1933
state official that we know of ever go to the
Concordia people and talk to them about a sale or a 1934
lease directly?" 1935

Hoxsey: "There is no use in doing that. When someone else 1936
has the option on it, there's no point in that. 1937
You're not going to go around the middleman by no 1938
means. You wouldn't if it was me, you wouldn't go
around me." 1939

Greiman: "We've found ways to cut out the middleman. What 1940
kind of financing do they have, do you 1941
know...does...the lessor have?" 1942

Hoxsey: "I have no idea what his financing is." 1944

Greiman: "Well, do you think his financing is based on 1945
a...on the State lease? Maybe you could get financing 1946
without a state lease." 1947

Hoxsey: "Well I would think so." 1949

Greiman: "Do you think you could...financing of a 1950
College..." 1951

Hoxsey: "Without a state...without a lease on this 1952
property...certainly he wouldn't renovate it, wouldn't 1953

go ahead." 1954

Greiman: "If they couldn't get lease then, okay." 1956

Hoxsey: "You know, they can do it some other way, Representative." 1957

Greiman: "Did he have a..." 1960

Speaker Lechowicz: "Greiman, would you bring your remarks to a close?" 1961

Greiman: "Did he have a right to a Commission, do you know?" 1963

Hoxsey: "To a what?" 1966

Greiman: "A Commission in the event of a sale." 1968

Hoxsey: "I would imagine he does, most people do have." 1970

Greiman: "Well, is he a broker or a buyer or what is he...the man you call the lessor?" 1971

Hoxsey: "Well, he ah...he has real estate rights and...as well as the first option to buy, Representative." 1973

Greiman: "Alright, well, please, just very briefly on the Bill. I have frankly never heard of an open-ended option. You have an option for a stated period of time and I think that before we get stuck with the lessor, as Representative Hoxsey says, we should vote for this Amendment and we should negotiate directly with the seller. Now I'm not sure he has an option. There was a newspaper article where he said he could get a Commission or he could own the property, either one. What he's doing in real estate parlance, is he is leveraging...I want to say leverancy...he is leveraging a piece...his real estate with our lease. He's going out and he's peddling our lease with the mortgage lender and that's...that's...where we are at really with this thing. We've given him a good lease, or apparently a good lease, and I think we haven't explored all of the possibilities. And the only way we can do that is if we authorize the Department to go out and begin negotiating from square one, at least to find out when the option expires. And the only way we can do that is to support Representative Skinner's

1954
1956
1957
1958
1960
1961
1962
1963
1966
1968
1970
1971
1972
1973
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991

nice Amendment." 1992

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh wait, no, the Gentleman from Cook, 1993
Mr. Leverenz." 1994

Leverenz: "I respectfully move the previous question." 1996

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous 1997
question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye' 1998
opposed...the previous question has been adopted. The 1999
Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to close." 2000

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, last night the Director of the 2001
Department of Corrections promised me a copy of the 2002
lease. May I have it, now? Could you bring it down, 2003
the legislative liaison that is standing next to Mrs.
Hoxsey? I won't have time to evaluate it, obviously 2004
while I'm standing here in closing, but let me tell 2005
you that I have been informed by the House Democratic
Staff who has talked to the Missouri synod of the 2006
Lutheran Church that the developer in question, Bill 2007
Cellini, does not have an exclusive option with the
church, that anybody can buy the property and that we 2008
can go and negotiate with the property. For the 2009
purpose of this Amendment is not to cut the middleman
out. I don't mind if Bill Cellini makes a profit on 2010
this property, that doesn't bother me at all. And if 2011
there weren't other alternatives, I wouldn't...you 2012
know, five dollars and sixty-two cents per square foot
doesn't tear me up, that's not that expensive for 2013
Springfield. What does bother me is that the 2014
Department of Corrections is...has not put in the
total cost. And were it not for the...St. Louis Globe 2015
Democrat Article of June 8th, none of us would know on 2016
this House floor outside of the Appropriations
Committee that we...by voting for the Department of 2017
Correction's budget and this...and the line item that 2018
we're talking about, the one year's rent or the
partial one year rent, none of us would know that we 2019
were committed to buying, to shelling out probably a 2020

minimum of 5.6 to 6.5 million dollars within the next 2021
 18 months in order to purchase this property. Now I
 don't think that's the way the Executive Branch should 2022
 treat the Legislative Branch in matters of purchasing 2023
 a major state facility. And this is not a minor state
 facility, it's going to be one heck of an economic 2024
 boom to any area who gets it. Alright so, number one, 2025
 I think the Sponsor of the Bill is misinformed with
 regard to the exclusivity of the option that the 2026
 developer has. Number two, even if she is correct, 2027
 she states that the State may exercise a 90 day
 option. How many days are in a year? 365, my isn't 2028
 that a revelation? Why don't we on the date...the day 2029
 after, the day after this is signed...excuse me, is 2030
 next year leap year? 366. The day after this Bill is
 signed in July, go to Bill Cellini and say, 'Bill, we 2031
 want to exercise part of that option. We want to 2032
 lease one square foot of property...and 90 days from
 now after you've finished renovating it, we want to 2033
 buy it'. And if he says, I can't do it in 90 days, 2034
 say okay, well we really don't need it until March.
 That's when we want to get the prison guards in there 2035
 for training. We're going to move part of the 2036
 administration in, I believe, in December or something
 like that, but the really...the primary purpose is 2037
 training. So, if indeed, the Capital Development 2038
 Board is not as competent as we would want it to be, 2039
 and this is the first complaint of the Capital
 Development Board I have heard that comes from a 2040
 department director, if indeed the Capital Development
 Board, if they do the renovation, if it would cost us 2041
 more...which if it would we sure ought to changing 2042
 some procedures in the Capital Development Board, and 2043
 if indeed the Capital Development Board can't t do it 2044
 fast enough and if it is we ought to be doing
 something to change the Capital Development Board 2045

procedures. And I talked to Sam Skinner, parenthesis, 2046
no relation, end of parenthesis, last...two night ago 2047
and conveyed this...conveyed this complaint of the 2048
Director. If we can't make the Capital Development 2049
Board serve our needs in what is being argued here is 2050
an absolute emergency .that we do as fast as 2050
possible...there ought to be severe changes 2051
be...be...enacted...there ought to be severe changes 2051
being enacted in the legislation authorizing the 2052
Capital Development Board before we adjourn in June. 2052
And I hereby call on the Director to come forth with 2053
whatever changes would be necessary. At any rate, 2054
whether we do it through the Capital Development Board 2055
or through the private developer, I don't care. What 2055
I do care about is that we be straight with our 2056
taxpayers and the Executive Branch be straightforward 2056
with us. And if they need this facility and they need 2057
it next year that we appropriate the money this year 2058
instead of being blackjacked next year after we've put 2059
in 1.7 million dollars plus \$985,000 worth of rent,
none of which goes toward the purchase price. If we 2060
need it, let's buy it, let's vote for this Amendment. 2061
Oh, incidentally, this is not a frivolous Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall Amendment #9 be 2063
adopted. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 2064
'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, to explain 2065
his vote." 2066

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think I'm going to waste the 2067
time of the House. The Gentleman from McHenry has 2068
made a statement, the press has been attentive, they 2069
wrote down what they wanted to write. Those of us who 2070
have been in the Appropriations Committee, we've heard 2070
all the testimony on it. This was well debated in 2071
testimony...both sides of the aisle. It didn't 2071
receive a unanimous vote, but certainly received a 2072
substantial vote, to defeat the Amendment which would 2073

have deleted this money. We see no relationship 2073
between what is happening here in regard to Concordia 2074
and what has happened at 910 South Michigan Avenue. 2075
One of the things that has not been mentioned is the 2076
fact that the Department has got 39,000 square feet
that they must move out of the armory and you can't 2077
take 39,000 square feet and all the employees and pick 2078
them up overnight and move them to Peoria or to Shimer
College or to Chicago or Cairo, Illinois. You've got 2079
people and families and a lot of other things that 2080
you've got to end up considering and taking into 2081
consideration. Thank you." 2082

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 2083
who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 2084
question there are 57 'ayes', 74 'nos'. The Amendment 2085
is defeated. Any further Amendments?" 2086

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #10, Bradley." 2088

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McClain, Mr. 2089
Bradley." 2090

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, would...I'd like to withdraw #10." 2091

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #10. 2093
Any further Amendments?" 2094

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #11, Bradley, amends Senate 565..." 2095

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McClain, Mr. 2097
Bradley." 2098

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Gentlemen...Ladies and 2099
Gentlemen of the House, we belabored this question for 2100
quite some time. But I would like to offer Amendment 2101
#11 and point out to you that the ISSCS facility is
centrally located. The report...the Republican...and 2102
I point out quite clearly, I hope that everybody is 2103
listening, the Republican Staff report indicates that 2104
ISSCS has more available space than Concordia, some
95,000 square feet more space. They have an activity 2105
center, a large dining hall, a gymnasium with a pool, 2106
they have laundry facilities. They have a school

building. where the children are there now...attend 2107
 school, we own the property. It seems to me it would 2108
 be a tremendous savings and answer all of the
 questions and all of the objections to...that there 2109
 are to Concordia...that the facilities are there, the 2110
 State owns them...all...the best of facilities, 2111
 housing is already there. And the Department...what
 bothers me most is that it did not take a look at 2112
 facilities such as this in the State of Illinois. And 2113
 I know Mr. Peters would love to cut off debate because
 he knows this is a good facility. But let me say this 2114
 to you, I have not given up hope yet that we keep 2115
 ISSCS where it is. I'm going to...I've offered this 2116
 Amendment so that the Department would take a look at
 the facility at ISSCS in the event that it's not going 2117
 to be used for its present purpose and I hope that the 2118
 department and the Governor does take a look because
 I think it would be a tremendous savings to the State 2119
 of Illinois of possibly some four to five million 2120
 dollars in savings. So with that said, Mr. Speaker 2121
 and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'll withdraw
 Amendment #11 so we won't have to vote on it. But 2122
 it's a good facility and I...if it does not stay open, 2123
 I hope the Department of Corrections would take a
 look. And if it does close and we're back here next 2124
 fall, I'll be in there with some kind of an Amendment 2125
 that will mandate that...the Department of Corrections
 use this facility. So I withdraw Amendment #11." 2127

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #11. 2128
 Any further Amendments?" 2129

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments." 2131

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1400, I'm 2132
 sorry, House Bill 1400." 2133

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1400, a Bill for an Act creating 2134
 the Department of Commerce. Second Reading of the 2135
 Bill. Amendments #1, 4 and 5 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?" 2138

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed." 2140

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 2142

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6, Bullock, amends Engrossed House 2143
Bill 1400 on page 12, line 23 by deleting and so 2144
forth." 2145

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe it's seven. The Gentleman 2146
from Cook, wait a minute, there's a question as far 2147
as, how many on the number of the Amendments? On the 2148
ques...Mr. Hallock." 2149

Hallock: "There are, Mr. Speaker, there are now six. This 2150
should be #7, I believe..." 2151

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let's take this Bill out of the record 2152
until we get the numbering straight. We'll get back 2153
to it. Will you check that out, please? Senate Bill 2154
581." 2155

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 581, a Bill for an Act making an 2156
Appropriation for the expenses of the Department of 2157
Business and Economic Development. Second Reading of 2158
the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 adopted in 2159
Committee." 2159

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?" 2161

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed." 2163

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 2165

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #5, Ackerman-Vinson-Ropp, amends 2166
Senate Bill 581 as amended by deleting Section 8 and 2167
inserting in lieu thereof the following." 2168

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Taylorville, Mr. 2169
Ackerman." 2170

Ackerman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 2171
of the House, this Amendment appropriates the sum of 2172
\$10,000 or so much thereof as may be necessary to the 2173
Department of Business and Economic Development to 2174
study the feasibility and encourage the transformation 2175
of the Hiram-Walker Distillery in Peoria, Illinois to
the facility for the production of alcohol from

Illinois grown grains for use in gasohol. Ask for the
 adoption of this Amendment." 2176
 2177

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman 2178
 from Macon, Mr. Dunn." 2179

Dunn: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2180
 House, I understand what this Amendment is about. The 2181
 facility in question, I understand, is about to close 2182
 and there is some concern about the employment for the
 area and the possibility of converting this plant for 2183
 alcohol manufacture for the purpose of gasohol. But 2184
 the problem with the Amendment is that this General 2185
 Assembly has not yet made any decisions with regard to
 gasohol. We're in the final week of the Session, and 2186
 we have a subCommittee appointed by the House 2187
 Transportation Committee specifically for the question
 of gasohol and all House Members' Bills filed on that 2188
 subject have been placed in that subCommittee which is 2189
 a working subCommittee to work on this question during 2190
 the summer and hopefully come back with the Bill in 2191
 the fall. There is nothing about the Hiram-Walker
 Plant in Peoria that can't wait until September. And 2192
 I think we should defeat this Amendment, because any 2193
 action taken with regard to would be premature. The 2194
 possibility of using this plant is something that
 perhaps ought to be looked into but, I think it should 2195
 be looked into in light of what is going to happen to 2196
 our Motor Fuel Tax, and I'm sure everyone in this Body
 knows that that question's up in the air now. The 2197
 question whether to take the tax off gasohol or not 2198
 will depend on in large measure about what is done
 with regard to the Motor Fuel Tax. So we...we're 2199
 acting prematurely if we get into the business of 2200
 gasohol or any aspect of...thereof at this time, and
 I would respectfully request the defeat of this 2201
 Amendment." 2202

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce." 2204

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 2205
 this is another example of one Member of this House 2206
 thinking he owns or he invented the subject of 2207
 gasohol. Now we haven't done a darn thing about
 gasohol this year in the legislature, because some 2208
 subCommittee of some Committee in the House, 2209
 Transportation Committee, thinks they invented
 gasohol, they own gasohol, the House Rules say that 2210
 they're the only ones that can deal with gasohol. Now 2211
 gasohol can be dealt with by the Committee on Energy,
 Environmental Energy and Natural Resources, it could 2212
 be dealt with by the Revenue Committee, by many 2213
 Committees. That Committee has a right to deal with 2214
 it for they chose not to deal with it, they chose to
 bury it in some subCommittee and study...someone to 2215
 get a few headlines and meanwhile we haven't done a 2216
 thing about gasohol in this state while we are running
 out of gasoline. Now I resent the fact that one 2217
 self-creative subCommittee should think that they're 2218
 the only ones in the House of Representatives that can
 deal with the subject of gasohol and because of that, 2219
 I'm going to support this Amendment." 2220

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson." 2221

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I 2223
 think Representative Pierce has spoken very 2224
 articulately on the subject. I would agree with his 2225
 remarks, they're well taken, even more importantly, I
 think, is the fact that this legislature has not dealt 2226
 with gasohol. And it's important for us to 2227
 demonstrate that it's the public policy of this state
 to promote gasohol. This is an opportunity to do it 2228
 in the context of alleviating unemployment and in the 2229
 context of encouraging the production and technology.
 We've got to get moving on this subject, and I would 2230
 urge adoption of the Amendment." 2231

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp." 2233

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I too want to commend Representative Pierce for his words on this particular issue. It seems to like in the last several years, we have a tremendous energy crisis here in the nation, and we're taking no positive steps towards trying to improve that situation. This particular small piece of money will really begin to do some good in this area. And I urge strong support of this. We are in a strong agricultural state, and if we can generate by some means the use of these agricultural products, it's certainly going to add money not only to the farmers of the State of Illinois but to resolve the energy concern that all people throughout the State have. And I think it's time that we start using the energy that we have been trying to say how we should not move in this direction and support this kind of energy Bill that is now being considered. I urge a favorable vote on this particular piece."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed. The previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from Tazewell, Mr. Ackerman, to close."

Ackerman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move for a very small expenditure of money. There is a possibility of great returns to the people of Illinois, and it could offer many jobs and solve many problems in the forms of our energy shortage. I ask for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall Amendment #5 be adopted. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms, to

- explain his vote. Timer's on." 2264
- Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as the Sponsor of Senate Bill 2265
581, I will accept the Amendment, and I would urge the 2266
adoption of Representative Ackerman's Amendment." 2267
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Unbelievable. Have all voted who wish? 2268
Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the 2269
record. On this question there's 119 'ayes', 10 2270
'nos', the Amendment's adopted. Any further
Amendments?" 2271
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6, Giorgi, amends Senate Bill 581 2272
as amended by inserting immediately after Section 4 2273
the following." 2274
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. 2275
Simms." 2276
- Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 2277
Representative Giorgi is absent today, because of a 2278
death in his family and his Amendment adds a \$150,000 2279
for a marketing study for civic centers in the State
of Illinois. I think it's a good idea and I would 2280
urge the adoption of this Amendment." 2281
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is shall 2282
Amendment #6 be adopted. All in favor signify by 2283
saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed. Amendment #6 is 2284
adopted. Any further Amendments? Any further
Amendments?" 2285
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #7, amends...Peters, amends Senate 2286
Bill 581 as amended on page 2, line 26 by deleting 2287
64,500..." 2288
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Who is the Sponsor of the Amendment? 2289
Who? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters." 2291
- Peters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and 2292
Gentlemen of the House, what Amendment #6...7, 2293
Amendment #7 would do would be to add to the 2294
Appropriation for the Film Office in BED the sum of
\$100,000. The Appropriation for some reason unknown 2295
to me, was in fact increased...or decreased by about 2296

\$1,200. And if there is an agency in State Government that we can't point to that has brought money into the State of Illinois and that has had an economic impact on the State, it is the Film Office. Since their existence, they can document and document well a economic impact of some 24 to 26 million dollars on the economy of the State of Illinois. I have discussed this Amendment with the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee and the Minority Spokesman and the Sponsor of the Bill as protocol would call for, and they are all in agreement with this Amendment." 2296
2298
2299
2300
2301
2302
2303
2304
2305

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is shall Amendment #7 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed. Amendment #7 is adopted. Any further Amendments?" 2306
2307
2308
2309

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #8, Leverenz, amends Senate Bill 581 as amended..." 2310
2311

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz." 2312

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #8 in a prior Amendment in Committee, we did delete two things. The \$30,000 International Trade position and I believe almost a like amount in travel. What we're doing with Amendment #8 is restoring only the position in the International Trade Group, one position at \$30,000 and no...none of the travel money. This position was held prior for two years by the Director and then left unfilled in headcount. The new Director intends to fill that position very shortly, and I have talked to the Sponsor of the Bill, and we agreed to restore the money for that one position. I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #8." 2313
2314
2315
2316
2317
2318
2319
2320
2321
2322
2323
2324

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is shall Amendment #8 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed. #8 is adopted. Any further Amendments?" 2325
2326
2327
2328

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #9, Dave Jones, amends Senate Bill 581 as amended..."	2329
	2330
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Dave Jones."	2331
	2332
Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I take...ask leave to withdraw the Amendment."	2333
	2334
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #9. Any further Amendments?"	2335
	2336
Clerk Leone: "Amendment #10, Younge, amends Senate Bill 581 as amended..."	2337
	2338
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."	2339
Younge: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to withdraw the Amendment."	2341
	2342
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #10. Any further Amendments?"	2343
	2344
Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."	2346
Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 587. Mr. Bower."	2347
	2348
Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 587, a Bill for an Act making Appropriations for the expenses of the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 adopted in Committee."	2349
	2350
	2351
	2352
Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"	2354
Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."	2356
Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"	2358
Clerk Leone: "Amendment #8, Bower..."	2360
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman...you want to take the Bill out of the record?"	2361
	2362
Bower: "Yes, I do."	2364
Speaker Lechowicz: "At the request of the Sponsor, the Bill's out of the record. Senate Bill 588, Mr. Davis."	2365
	2366
	2367
Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 588. A Bill for an Act making Appropriations for the expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill."	2368
	2369
	2370

Amendments #1 and 2 adopted in Committee."	2371
Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"	2373
Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."	2375
Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"	2377
Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3, Collins, amends Senate Bill 588	2378
as amended on page 2, line 30, by deleting one	2379
million..."	2380
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."	2381
Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to withdraw Amendment	2383
#3."	2384
Speaker Lechowicz: "Objections have been raised. The	2385
Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #3.	2386
Hearing no objections, the Amendment is withdrawn.	2387
Any further Amendments?"	2388
Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4, Campbell et al, amends Senate	2389
Bill 588 as amended..."	2390
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Vernilion, Mr.	2391
Campbell."	2392
Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the	2393
House, this is a 6 1/2% increase in salary for the	2394
sworn personnel in the Department of Law Enforcement.	2395
Now in 1978 in August, they did receive a pay raise.	
However, if you average out, they haven't previously	2396
received a pay raise for four years and if you average	2397
that out it amounts to about 2% a year. And I want to	2398
tell you that these are people that are out on the	
line and the troopers get about \$14,000 and many of	2399
the municipal officers start at considerably more than	2400
that. And I think if there's anyone who is entitled	2401
to a pay raise, it's this particular group. And I	2402
would certainly ask for your favorable support and	
there are a number of other people who would probably	2403
like to speak on this question too. And I think Tim	2404
Johnson will close."	2405
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr.	2406
Hanahan."	2407

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I too, would like to rise and support this Amendment. The fraternal order of police are really in the forefront in the battle that they have a decent wage level for our sworn personnel. They're doing a good job protecting us on the highways and I'd suggest that's it's a good Amendment." 2408
2409
2410
2411
2412
2413

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Watson." 2415

Watson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to also support this Amendment. The range of a trooper, salary wise, is from \$14,280 to \$22,322 for twenty years of service and that's an increase of less than 2% per year. They receive the lowest salary increase of any other state employee on their increments, on this basis. Often times the State Police are called to go to...just recently the Pontiac Prison, they're called from all over the State. They have to go there at no additional compensation and regard for their family life. The overtime that the...they have accrued in these last couple of weeks...a month or so here, in trying to enforce the 55 mile an hour speed limit, the overtime that they have accrued has to be taken at the convenience of the department, not necessarily their own convenience. I think the salary increase is within reason and I hope that we'll see a lot of green lights on this particular Amendment. Thank you." 2416
2417
2418
2419
2420
2421
2422
2423
2424
2425
2426
2427
2428
2429
2430

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino." 2431

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, rise in support of this Amendment which I think is direly needed if we're discussing basically the sworn personnel of the Department of Law Enforcement. Something here should be pointed out. About four years ago, Doug Kane and I tried to get this situation squared away when they merged the IBI into the Department of Law Enforcement. There still

happens to be a pay differential between the members 2439
 who are in Law Enforcement under IBI and the sworn 2440
 personnel. It seems to me to be a ridiculous 2441
 situation where we have IBI members who are basically 2442
 under another division coming into Law Enforcement and
 receiving additional pay plans. What we have here is 2443
 something for the guy on the front line or the woman 2444
 on the front line. But most importantly, the existing 2445
 schedule sets up the pay proposal and base plan with
 very few increments between that person who has ten 2446
 years of service, fifteen years of service to twenty 2447
 years of service. In that particular area, we find 2448
 that most of our good Law Enforcement sworn personnel 2449
 fall in this category. Yet the increments in raises 2450
 in those areas were not sufficient. So, therefore, 2451
 I'm supporting this good piece of legislation and
 recommend it to everyone here." 2452

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Friedland." 2453

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous 2454
 question." 2455

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous 2456
 question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 2457
 'aye', opposed. The previous question has been moved. 2458
 The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson, to close."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think 2460
 you're all aware..." 2461

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Johnson. We did not hear 2462
 from the Sponsor of the Bill. Then I'll let you 2463
 close...with leave. We had some...I guess. The 2464
 Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis." 2465

Davis: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I rise in 2466
 opposition to the Amendment. I'm the Sponsor of the 2467
 Department of Law Enforcement's budget this year. I 2468
 think everybody should be listening to what I'm saying 2469
 and I would request that you get order in the House, 2470
 because this is a very important Amendment..." 2471

Speaker Lechowicz: "The House is in order, Sir, please
continue." 2472
2473

Davis: "Thank you, Sir. Let me first say that I think that 2474
the Department of Law Enforcement in the State of 2475
Illinois is probably the finest department in the 2476
fifty states in this nation. There is no question 2477
that our sworn personnel, our troopers and all the 2478
divisions in the Department of Law Enforcement do a 2479
magnificent job in protecting this state and in 2480
protecting our roads and in protecting our lives and 2481
property. I think the Sponsors of this Amendment are 2482
absolutely laudable in coming to you with a 6 1/2% pay 2483
increase for the troopers, and I know their heart is 2484
in the right place, especially since we moved into 2485
double-digit inflation in 1979. I think there are 2486
some things, however, they haven't told you and some 2487
things that you should know before you vote on this 2488
Amendment. As you all know who have handled 2489
Appropriation Bills for any of the departments in the 2490
State, delicate negotiations go on between both 2491
chambers. This Bill, the whole Appropriation was cut 2492
drastically in the Senate and in an arrangement here 2493
in the House in a long negotiation process. It was 2494
cut even further in the Support Services and into 2495
autos and into a number of other things so that more 2496
personnel could be put back into the line items that 2497
the Senate cut out. If this Bill goes into a
Conference Committee because of an Amendment of this
nature, and I'm almost assured that it will, I'm
afraid that a lot more unwieldy and terrible things
are going to happen to this budget. That may be
beside the point, but it's something that all of you
who have sponsored Bills can understand. Now as to
the base pay plan and the increase that was granted
last year, the sworn personnel of the Department of
Law Enforcement went a long time in the previous

administrations without a pay raise. Last year 2498
 Representative Kosinski and I fought long and hard to
 keep the major increase that was pushed through last 2499
 year over the objections of the Senate. And we did 2500
 that. And Representative Kosinski who's always law
 and order and always a friend of the troopers and the 2501
 sworn personnel as I am, managed to do exactly that. 2502
 Now let me tell you what that pay plan did last year.
 Incidentally, it just started in August of last year 2503
 and is now being fully implemented. The plan revised 2504
 the structure of existing plans by adding steps to a 2505
 total of ten steps in retaining automatic longevity up
 to twenty years of service. The salary for troopers 2506
 now ranges from \$14,280 at entrance to 22,000 with 2507
 twenty years of service. This is an average increase
 of 15% with those less than eight years and 22% with 2508
 those over eight years. Actual increases for the 2509
 State Police range from 7 to 27%. This year there's
 a professional incentive plan, paid plan, that's gone 2510
 into effect by administrative rule of the department 2511
 that provides for troopers and special agents who
 demonstrate superior performance can move up an 2512
 additional step before they get there one year in 2513
 advance. Indeed a salary increase this year and for
 next. The implementation policy was drafted and will 2514
 be available in FY 80 next week. There is a pay 2515
 differential for overtime work...premium pay, rather,
 for overtime work that's not what Mr. Hanahan would 2516
 like or what I would like, but it's there and it's 2517
 operating now. There is a pay differential for those 2518
 who have special over time or long over...long beyond
 the normal shift work in...in effect right now, \$150 2519
 monthly differential. It...unfortunately...oh, thank 2520
 you. Another important point that was just handed to
 me, unfortunately, the drafters of the Amendment...the 2521
 drafters of the Amendment, on this particular 2522

Amendment only addressed the troopers. I think 2522
 Representative Campbell indicated to you that it was 2523
 an Amendment that was for all sworn personnel in the 2524
 Department of Law Enforcement. Ladies and Gentlemen,
 it is not, it discriminates against special agents, it 2525
 discriminates against administrative, it discriminates 2526
 against the...Financial...Fraud and Forgery Division,
 Race Track Support Services and Internal 2527
 Investigation. So I don't believe that the Amendment 2528
 covers everybody, only the troopers. Let me say to
 you the most important part from my standpoint is 2529
 this, it's 2 1/2 million dollars out of the Road Fund. 2530
 The troopers...the troopers are...in this state
 currently, unless the tax package has passed this 2531
 morning...or it was proposed this morning as passed, 2532
 the troopers are funded 100% from the Road Fund. This
 is another two and a half million dollar drain on the 2533
 Road Fund. During all these negotiations that have 2534
 been going on, I want to stand on this floor and say 2535
 to you that yesterday afternoon at 4:30 Governor
 Thompson made the commitment to me and to the Director 2536
 of the Department of Law Enforcement that in FY 81 2537
 there will be a sizeable and equitable increase for
 all sworn personnel in the Department of Law 2538
 Enforcement. Now he's given me that commitment and if 2539
 there's any attempt to renege on that commitment, you
 know in this House and I know in the Senate that we 2540
 will give a veto proof vote to a pay increase for 2541
 sworn members in FY 81. I urge you at this point to 2542
 defeat this Amendment for the purposes that I've
 outlined and let this Bill go clean to the Senate." 2544

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. 2545
 Johnson, to close." 2546

Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 2547
 I think you've all had the opportunity, either through 2548
 Representatives of the Governor's Office or through 2549

different sponsors of...or opponents of this 2549
 Amendment, to have it discussed for you. What this is 2550
 as a...not even a cost of living increase for the 2551
 people who everyday, 365 days a year, put their lives
 and their family's security on the line for you as the 2552
 citizens...citizens of Illinois. Sure we had a pay 2553
 raise last year, but that pay raise over the five year
 period that it had lapsed and which there had been no 2554
 pay...pay raise, worked out to a little more than 2% a 2555
 year. We've made some real efforts this year to 2556
 provide equity for different beneficiaries of state 2557
 funds, whether it be educators, state employees, 2558
 public aid recipients and so forth, and those are all
 valid objectives. The average beginning state trooper 2559
 in Illinois makes about \$14,500 a year. Now I...let 2560
 you image just for a moment, and I think you all know
 what the responsibilities and the burden that are 2561
 placed upon those people who are putting their lives 2562
 and their family's security on the line for you
 everyday, that the possibility as people in 2563
 Representative Ryan and McBroom and Christensen's 2564
 district realize...of meeting death in the course
 of...in the course...investigation of a robbery as the 2565
 troopers did in the Paxton area. They've been called
 on now to take extra responsibility, ten and fourteen 2566
 hour days without additional compensation to enforce 2567
 the energy conservating measures set forth by the 2568
 Governor in terms of the 55 mile an hour limit. The
 responsibility, the burden on these people who are 2569
 working everyday on your behalf are unbelievable. 2570
 There...if any beneficiary of state funds who deserve
 this increase, it's the State trooper. Unlike most 2571
 state employees, they don't have pay raises apply on 2572
 an annual basis. They only have them for certain
 anniversary dates and the equities of this situation 2573
 are so overwhelmingly in favor of this that I hope we 2574

- have...well over 130 'aye' votes on this Amendment. I 2574
 urge you to vote 'yes' for equity, for the people of 2575
 Illinois, for the troopers who everyday are out on the 2576
 road, 365 days a year protecting your interests and if 2577
 you really believe, as I think most of you do, that
 law enforcement and effective law and order are 2578
 something we ought to strive for in Illinois, then 2579
 it's time that we put our money where our mouths are
 and vote for this cost of living increase for the 2580
 State troopers of Illinois. I urge an 'aye' vote." 2582
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #4 be 2583
 adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 2584
 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, to 2585
 explain his vote." 2586
- Collins: "Oh yes, Mr. Speaker, I can see it is probably 2587
 futile to speak against this Amendment. But the point 2588
 is that this group of individuals has received fifteen 2589
 pay raises in the last twenty-one years. The pay
 raise range last year wasn't as meager as people were 2590
 led to believe, it ranged from 7 to 27% and the 2591
 average salary of this group is over \$18,000 a year.
 And when you include...when you include officers it 2592
 goes over \$22,000. This is an irresponsible Amendment 2593
 and I would urge the Members of this House to vote 2594
 against it." 2595
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Lady from 2596
 Cook, Mrs. Braun, to explain her vote. Timer's on." 2597
- Braun: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker. I urge a 'yes' vote on 2599
 this Amendment. The troopers are professional, 2600
 competent and dedicated to the public service who put 2601
 their lives on the line for us everyday that they go
 to work. And I think that under the circumstances, an 2602
 'aye' vote would be appropriate in this case. Thank 2603
 you very much." 2604
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 2605
 who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 2606

question there's 123 'ayes', 27 'nos', 1 recorded as 2607
 'present'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further
 Amendments?" 2608

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments." 2610

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 619." 2612

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 619, a Bill for an Act to amend 2613
 the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No 2614
 Committee Amendments." 2615

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. 2616
 Winchester, what purpose do you seek recognition?" 2618

Winchester: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you were on Appropriation 2619
 Bills, and I have appro...ah, Senate Bill 483, you 2620
 skipped over. And I think we're ready to hear that 2621
 Bill. I'd like to hear it now." 2622

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Winchester, Mr. Winchester, if 2623
 you were in the chamber, if you take a look at your 2624
 calendar, I'm going down page 6. And I haven't 2625
 deviated." 2626

Winchester: "You've been on...no, you've been on 2627
 Appropriation Bills, Mr. Speaker, and I'd like..." 2628

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, that's fine, Senate Bill 619. 2630
 Any...are...the fiscal notes been filed?" 2632

Clerk Leone: "The fiscal note has been filed." 2634

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?" 2636

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 619 2637
 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and inserting in lieu 2638
 thereof the following." 2639

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Sponsor of the Bill is not on the 2640
 floor, take the Bill out of the record. But the Bill 2641
 Sponsor isn't here. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2642
 667." 2643

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 667, a Bill for an Act to amend 2644
 the Metropolitan Civic Center and Support Act and 2645
 Cigarette Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. 2646
 Amendment #1 adopted in Committee." 2647

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?" 2649

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed." 2651

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 2653

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 2654
667..." 2655

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Giorgi, excuse me, Mr. Giorgi. Take 2656
the Bill out of the record. Go right down the 2657
line...Senate Bill 745. Mr. Barnes." 2658

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 745, a Bill for an Act creating 2659
the Illinois Small Construction Business Act. Second 2660
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in 2661
Committee." 2662

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?" 2664

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed." 2666

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 2668

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Leinenweber, amends Senate Bill 2669
745 on page 4 by deleting lines 29 through 31 and 2670
inserting in lieu thereof the following." 2671

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Leinenweber. The Gentleman from 2672
Cook, Mr. Barnes, what purpose do you seek 2673
recognition?" 2674

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I am familiar with the 2675
Amendment and it is acceptable. If the Clerk will read 2676
it, I would appreciate it. It's very brief and..." 2678

Speaker Lechowicz: "Read the Amendment." 2680

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 745 on page 4 2681
by deleting lines 29 through 31 and inserting in lieu 2682
the following: 'This Act shall be repealed January 1, 2683
1983'." 2684

Barnes: "That is correct, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, 2685
I spoke with the Senate Sponsor and had a conversation 2686
with Representative Leinenweber, they agree with that 2687
Amendment and it...I move for the adoption." 2688

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman, move for adoption of the 2689
Amendment. All those in favor signify by saying 2690
'aye', 'aye', opposed. Amendment #2 is adopted. Any 2691
further Amendments?" 2692

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."	2694
Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 830."	2696
Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 830, a Bill for an Act making Appropriations for the expenses of the Court of Claims. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 adopted in Committee."	2697 2698 2699 2700
Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"	2702
Clerk Leone: "Motion to move to table Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 830. Leverenz."	2703 2704
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."	2705
Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to table Amendment #3 which would delete five positions. And I would ask for leave to be able to table that Amendment to restore that funding."	2707 2708 2709 2710
Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Question is shall the Gentleman's motion to table Amendment #3 prevail. All in favor say 'aye', opposed. Amendment #3 is tabled. The Gentleman's motion prevails. Any further Amendments?"	2711 2712 2713 2714
Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4, Simms, amends Senate Bill 830 as amended on page 1, line..."	2715 2717
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."	2718 2720
Hallock: "I move to table Amendment #4, Mr. Speaker."	2722
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #4. Any further Amendments?"	2723 2724
Clerk Leone: "Amendment #5, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 830..."	2725 2726
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."	2727
Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, basically what this Amendment does and I've discussed it with the Sponsor of the Bill, Representative McAuliffe, and he's agreeable. There was \$900 for this, for all of the hearing officers and judges for expenditures. And when they held their meetings in Chicago and Springfield, for all of the people in the department	2729 2730 2731 2732 2733

\$900 doesn't carry. So basically we added what should have been there in the beginning, \$7500 for expenses and it's an agreed Amendment." 2735

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Amendment #5 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed. Amendment #5 is adopted. Any further Amendments?" 2737

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments." 2738

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 905." 2739

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 905. A Bill for an Act to amend the Banking Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee." 2740

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?" 2741

Clerk Leone: "A motion to move to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 905 (sic), Stuffle." 2743

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 2744

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, my motion to table #1 is necessary because of a mistake in the Amendment. The provisions in Amendment #1 will be put into Amendment #6. I move to table Amendment #1." 2747

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Question is, shall Amendment #1 be tabled? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed...Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?" 2748

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Stuffle, amends Senate Bill 905 as..." 2750

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Any further Amendments?" 2751

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3, O'Brien, amends Senate Bill 905 on page 7..." 2753

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien. Amendment #3." 2755

O'Brien: "Yes...yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. Senate Bill 905 is one of the more important measures to come before this Body. And I have Amendment #3 and...Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 905 is a very simple

Amendment. We're talking about an electronic transfer 2774
of funds and we're talking about branch banking. 2775
Amendment #3 deletes deposits from the ATM, automatic
teller machines, basically is branch banking 2776
throughout the State of Illinois and I have an
Amendment here that will delete deposits from the 2777
service that the ATM will provide. It does permit, 2778
however, withdrawals, responses to account balance
inquiries, transfer of funds between different 2779
accounts of the same customer within the same bank, 2780
check verification and guarantees and pay out of cash 2781
pursuant to preauthorization lines of credit. The
reason that I'm putting this in is because these ATM's 2782
quite frankly are branches. And they are branches 2783
without bricks, they are branches without mortar, they 2784
are branches without jobs, they are branches without
personal services and they are branches without a 2785
commitment to the community. What you'll have, 2786
basically, is a deposit that will be sent downtown and
the money will leave the community. And I ask for the 2787
adoption of Amendment #3." 2788

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from 2789
Cook, Mr. Piel." 2790

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 2791
the House. This Bill, along with companion Bill 906, 2792
was the work of Representative Stuffle with the 2793
different banking groups and this was not thought up
overnight. It was something that took about a year to 2794
a year and a half to put together. The AMBI group, 2795
the IBA, ICBI and the electronic funds of Illinois all
work together. They are completely opposed to any 2796
additional Amendments unless the Amendment is proposed 2798
by Mr. Stuffle because they've done a lot of work and
they've had a lot of long hours put in on this Bill. 2799
I would ask the Members of the House to please object 2800
to any Amendments other than those put forth by the 2801

Sponsor, Mr. Stuffle. Thank you." 2802

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Abramson." 2803

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2805
House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment. This 2806
Bill is specifically designed to protect the 2807
independent community banks. There's nothing
branching about this Bill at all. This Amendment 2808
would have the effect of gutting...gutting the Bill 2809
and removing one of the key functions that an ATM will
be providing for the community. I urge a 'no' vote on 2810
this Amendment." 2811

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino." 2812

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to respond 2814
to Representative Piel's statement that we should not 2815
be even considering adopting anything other than what 2816
was offered by the Sponsor. I think that this House
had better know right off the bat that we're 2817
addressing the area of possible, possible 2818
multi-holding regions if we don't amend this
legislation. Now we addressed the question of 2820
multi-holding bank corporations about two or three
weeks ago...or possibly a month. The Amendment that 2821
you have before us, that I have been in conjunction 2822
with Representative O'Brien, addresses some of those
questions. So therefore, what I'm saying to you is 2823
if...if you want to increase the computerized science 2824
of financial transactions for you and every other
person in the State of Illinois, I would look very 2825
carefully at these Amendments. And for the protection 2826
of yourself and all your constituents who will be
using these facilities, either at a retail 2827
establishment or at an extended area of a financial 2828
institution, I don't think that you want this to
happen if the vote about three...three or four weeks 2829
ago is conducive of the feeling of the General 2830
Assembly. And I would ask for support of...Amendments

on this Bill, especially 3, 4 and 7 and 8." 2832

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel, whose 2833
name was used in debate...he wants a point of personal 2834
privilege." 2835

Piel: "The point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker, is that 2836
he is stating something that I was inferring that the 2837
groups that were opposed to the multi-bank holding 2838
companies were opposed to this. This is not the case 2839
at all. This is not branches, it's not multi-bank 2840
holding companies and all the banking fraternities 2841
along with the finance companies in Illinois are in 2842
favor of this." 2843

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 2843

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I rise in opposition 2845
to Amendment #3. It is indeed opposed by every bank 2846
organization in the State. It is imposed by the 2847
credit unions. It is opposed by the savings and 2848
loans. I would point out Representative...the first 2849
Speaker indicated in offering the Amendment that we're 2850
not offering services to people. Exactly the opposite 2851
is true. His Amendment takes away services. People 2852
decide for themselves whether they want to make 2853
deposits with this system or to pay on accounts that 2854
they owe money on. That's what the Amendment does. 2855
It takes away two services from people if they want 2856
them. The issue and the question of branching is not 2857
germane at this point, it has not been raised, it is 2858
not an issue, it won't be until Third Reading. The 2859
point should be made as well, I think, in reference to 2860
the second Speaker in support of the Amendment, he was 2861
talking about 906, not 905. We're talking about 2862
teller machines here, we're not talking about the
point of sale terminals. I oppose the Amendment and
ask for its defeat." 2861

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. 2861
Friedrich." 2862

Friedrich: "May I ask, would the Sponsor yield to a question?" 2863
2864

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will." 2866

Friedrich: "Do I understand that with this Amendment that no deposits could be run through this procedure...just withdrawals and transfers?" 2867
2868
2869

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. O'Brien. O'Brien." 2871

O'Brien: "That is correct, Representative Friedrich. This will permit them to...the machines to provide services like withdrawals, respond to account balancing inquiries, transfers of funds from one account to another account, check verification and guarantee and pay out cash pursuant to preauthorized lines of credit. However, it will prohibit them from making deposits at the ATM's that they intend to locate throughout the State of Illinois instead of providing us with a branch bank which quite frankly would be...we'd be better off as consumers with." 2872
2873
2874
2875
2876
2877
2878
2880

Friedrich: "Wait a minute. I didn't quite understand that last part. They could make deposits?" 2881
2883

O'Brien: "No." 2885

Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we're going to be confronted with a half a dozen different proposals here in a few minutes. One of them is this proposal and another one is electronic transfer of funds which would in all...for all practical purposes, be branch banking because Sears and Roebuck and everybody else is going to get in the act, J.C. Penny, and they're going to have their own bank with electronic transfer of funds. And then of course you're going to have the bank holding company thing which I'm not for. So the only reason I'm supporting this is it's the lesser of three evils as far as I see it." 2886
2887
2888
2889
2890
2891
2892
2893
2894
2895

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien, to close." 2896
2897

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, this is a very simple Amendment. It permits the ATM's to provide all sorts of other services except take direct deposits out of the community and send them downtown. It's a very simple Amendment and I'd like to point out to some of the Speakers that this Bill is not the agreed Bill, it is not the Bill that a commission was worked on, it is not 962 which came...which was worked on for three years in the Senate. This is a Bill that all of the associations supposedly are in favor of. But I can tell you that some of the Members that are supporting this thing have just made comments to me that when there's only one...train leaving the station, you buy a ticket for that train. This cheats the consumer and I suggest strongly that we would be better off with branches with a commitment to the...to the communities. And this makes second class citizens out of the small banks, the credit unions and the currency exchanges and I move for the adoption of this Amendment." 2898
2899
2900
2901
2902
2903
2904
2905
2906
2907
2908
2909
2910
2911
2912

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed...Amendment #3...the Gentleman asks for a Roll Call. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 18 'ayes', 114 'nos' and the Amendment is defeated. Any further Amendments?" 2913
2914
2915
2916
2917
2919

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4, O'Brien-Mautino, amends Senate Bill 905 on page 1, line 1 and 5..." 2920
2922

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien." 2923

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, this Amendment just allows for the charging of a fee for the ATM. If you're going to establish a \$35,000 machine in a community that can do every single, solitary thing that a bank can and provide every service to that 2925
2926
2927
2928

community, I think quite frankly the State of Illinois 2929
ought to get some funds generated and that we 2930
have...asked for a fee of \$500 for each ATM which 2931
banks are allowed some ten ATM's. We are also asking
for the same fee for the POS's. I move for the 2932
adoption of the Amendment and be happy to answer any 2933
questions." 2934

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from 2935
Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 2936

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I oppose the 2937
Amendment. It provides for a separate accessment for 2938
each of the machines involveð at a flat \$500 fee. 2939
That's a burden that would be directly passed on to
the consumer. And I should point this out, it's 2940
obviously too high of a fee. And the people we've 2941
talked to in the Commissioner of Banks Office...it
would seem logical and consistent to keep the program 2942
that we have now. Section 48, paragraph 3, which is 2943
being amended here, already gives the Commissioner of 2944
Banks the ability to set a fee that's necessary. It
would be ridiculous to set a \$500 fee if that's too 2945
much because that adds to the burden of the consumer 2946
it's passed on. We've had an estimate for only two
banks in the City of Chicago. This could be a quarter 2947
million dollar cost passed on. It's too much. It 2948
defeats its own purpose because it amends the
provision that already gives the Commissioner 2949
authority. I oppose the Amendment." 2950

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman 2951
from Cook, Mr. O'Brien, to close." 2953

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I just ask for a 2954
favorable Roll Call. The Commissioner of Trusts and 2955
Savings hasn't had the opportunity to assess what his 2956
expenses will be in administering this Act should it
get 107 votes on Third Reading. I'd move for the 2957
adoption of the Amendment." 2958

- Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed...Amendment #4 is defeated. Any further Amendments?" 2959
2960
2961
2962
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #5, O'Brien-Mautino, amends Senate Bill 905 as amended by deleting subparagraph d of paragraph 16 and Section 5 and so forth." 2963
2964
2965
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien." 2966
- O'Brien: "Well, as Representative Ewell indicated earlier, it's time to buy a ticket on that train. Let's table this Amendment." 2968
2969
2970
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Any further Amendments?" 2971
2972
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6, Stuffle, amends Senate Bill 905..." 2973
2974
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 2975
- Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I offer Amendment #6 to include the provisions of #1 which were slightly technically in error. It readopts the clean version of that Amendment. It provides, as did that Amendment, for ten teller machines for each bank, four outside of the county in which the bank may be located in the contiguous county. It provides for the various transactions that may be established under the Act. And it defines the types of transactions therein. It provides home office protections and provides for bank sharing of the various financial institution activities in the Bill. I move for the adoption of the Amendment." 2977
2978
2979
2980
2981
2982
2983
2984
2985
2986
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #6 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed...Amendment #6 is adopted. Any further Amendments?" 2987
2988
2989
2990
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #7, Stuffle, amends Senate Bill 905 as amended in..." 2991
2992
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 2993

Stuffle: "Amendment #7 simply clarifies language in the existing statutes with regard to the situation where there is a merger with a state charter, S & L merger. There's a question in a court case indicating...the court indicated they thought the language should be tightened up to put the word 'including' in so we would not be just considering mergers with regard to facility activities but we should say specifically where there is a merger that facility activity may go on. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Question is, shall Amendment #7 be adopted? All in favor say 'aye', opposed...Amendment #7 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #8, Stuffle, amends Senate Bill 905 as amended in Amendment 6..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Amendment #8 simply defines the central banking...central business district for banking purposes in the City of Chicago. It's consistent with the natural geographic boundaries in the banking areas in the City of Chicago. It's intended to give no one in that area any monopoly, but to place everyone in that area in the banking activities in the same posture. And I move for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Yes, Representative Stuffle indicated that it's the natural boundaries...the central business district..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Stuffle."

O'Brien: "...and what I'm interested in is having a definition of the natural boundaries of the central business district."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "What we did with the Amendment, Representative

O'Brien, was look at the banking houses in the City of Chicago that are in the Loop and to establish in the Bill specific boundaries so that each of those banks in that area, even those two blocks beyond the river, would be included. If we did otherwise, and there was a question about this in the original Bill and that's why we're changing it, we could be in the posture of giving one or two banks a break where they would have a different home office protection and different rights than other banks. And they would, in fact, have a likely monopoly in a certain area."

O'Brien: "Can you give me a geographical distinction?"

Stuffle: "Oh, they're in the Bill. That part of the City of Chicago bounded by the Chicago River on the north, Van Buren Street on the south, Lake Michigan on the east, Canal Street on the west. These are the definitions agreed to by the various groups in the banking community with regard, as they have told me, to where all of the banks in that area are situated."

O'Brien: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. At the hearing...what Representative Stuffle said in delineating the banking boundaries, I would say as the Representative of the district in which most banks are located, he is absolutely correct."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall Amendment #8 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed...Amendment #8 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #9, Mautino-O'Brien, amends Senate Bill 905 on page 8, line..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know if there

would be any objection to this Amendment. But 3067
basically the Bill is silent...is silent as to an 3068
effective date. So what I've done with this is put an
effective date in the legislation, 1981." 3070

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 3071

Stuffle: "I am opposed to the Amendment as is the banking 3073
community. The Bill speaks to when certain activities 3074
would take place notwithstanding the question raised 3075
by Representative Mautino and it defines when those
activities may be...take place. And it would depend 3076
upon when the Bill is signed as to its 3077
effect...otherwise I oppose the Amendment. It's
unnecessary. It's unworkable. If it were adopted at 3078
the wrong time with regard to the provisions and the 3079
dates and the timing in the Bill. And it very well
would mean a delay of the effective date by putting it 3080
in. I oppose the Amendment." 3081

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. 3082
Friedrich." 3083

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill in its finality will make 3084
some major changing...changes in one of the main 3085
industries in the State of Illinois. I think it 3086
should be done deliberately and there should be a time
level. And I support this Amendment." 3088

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, 3089
to close." 3090

Mautino: "I agree totally with the last Speaker, 3091
Representative Friedrich. And I ask that we do put in 3092
an implementation date in it and I ask for a Roll
Call." 3093

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall Amendment #9 be 3094
adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 3095
'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 3096
wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this
question there are 15 'ayes', 96 'nos'. The Amendment 3097
is defeated. Any further Amendments?" 3098

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #10, Bullock-Davis, amends Senate 3099
 Bill 905 on page 1 by deleting lines 1 and 2 and 3100
 inserting in lieu thereof the following." 3101

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock. 3102
 Excuse me, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff, for what 3103
 purpose do you seek recognition?" 3104

Huff: "On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. Referring to the 3105
 appropriate Roll...rule, I'm requesting the Chair to 3106
 rule on the germaneness of this Amendment. And I 3107
 don't think it's germane to the central issue of the
 Bill." 3108

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock." 3109

Bullock: "Mr. Chairman, I wish to withdraw this Amendment 3111
 and proceed to the next one. I don't think 3112
 germaneness is appropriate since the Sponsor wishes to 3113
 withdraw it." 3114

Speaker Lechowicz: "Point is well taken. Amendment #10 is 3115
 withdrawn. Any further Amendments?" 3117

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #11, Bullock-Davis, amends Senate 3118
 Bill 905 as amended by deleting the title and 3119
 inserting in lieu thereof the following." 3120

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock." 3121

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of 3123
 the House. I think that the Membership would be 3124
 interested in this Amendment. This Amendment has come 3125
 about as a result of input and deliberation from major
 institutions across the State. This was part of a 3126
 Bill that received 88 votes in this House a short 3127
 while ago. And this Amendment has deleted any 3128
 reference to service facilities. This Amendment, in
 effect, will allow banks to establish affiliates on 3129
 the common ownership...throughout the State of 3130
 Illinois and establish multi-bank holding companies.
 Illinois presently allows one bank holding company and 3131
 this would set up five regions and establish those 3132
 regions throughout the State of Illinois. As you

know, a multi-bank holding company cannot charter any 3133
 new banks. We also have in this Bill a ten year 3134
 effective date where a bank must have been in
 existence for no less than ten years before being 3135
 acquired by the holding company. I think most of you 3136
 heard the remarks presented by Representative McPike
 who initially sponsored House Bill 1299. And he 3137
 indicated at that time that there was some problems in 3138
 the State in terms of banks being competitive. We 3139
 know that the competition in the State of Illinois is
 not what it should be between banks and savings and 3140
 loans. We also know that the State of Illinois is one 3141
 of two states in the union that does not allow this
 type of procedure. I submit to you Members of the 3142
 House and Mr. Speaker that if this Amendment were in 3143
 fact adopted, that we would be doing not only a great 3144
 service to the consumers of the State, but would be
 beneficial for the entire banking community in the 3145
 State of Illinois. And I certainly, Mr. Speaker, 3146
 would urge an 'aye' vote on this Amendment and I
 certainly would request a Roll Call." 3148

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 3149

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I want to be clear in pointing 3151
 this out. Not only do I oppose the Amendment, but to 3152
 point out to you that the group which put together 3153
 House Bill 1299 which is essentially with one minor
 minute difference what this Amendment is...that that 3154
 association...the association for modern banking while 3155
 putting that Bill together opposes, opposes placing 3156
 this Amendment on this Bill. We have an agreement in
 every issue with every banking organization in this 3157
 state to oppose this Amendment on this Bill and to 3158
 support the Bill as Representative Piel indicated.
 And I would indicate to you, not as a threat, but as a 3159
 promise, if this Amendment is placed on this Bill, 3160
 this Bill will be tabled. I oppose the Amendment." 3161

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis." 3163

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. That's a pretty tough act 3164
to follow. Although I do believe, as Representative 3165
Bullock and...and a lot of Members in this House, that 3166
everybody should have an opportunity once again...as 3167
we know things do crop up there from time to time 3168
after they apparently were defeated, that you should 3169
have another opportunity to vote on the bare 3170
provisions of holding companies that were in 1299. 3171
Now this is not 1299. This Bill only relates to 3172
holding company provisions. The facilities were 3173
removed that Representative Walsh and others objected 3174
to, the ten year provision was put in that was 3175
promised to have been put in in the Senate if 1299 3176
would have survived. This is bare holding company 3177
Bill and the State of Illinois is ready for this Bill. 3178
And I know the unit banks oppose it...they still do 3179
oppose it, the objections are still the same that they 3180
have and the Bill is still good for those very same 3181
objections. Representative McPike and I and others 3182
debated the Bill amply back when it was called 3183
and...and the Bill is in the cleanest, best shape that 3184
it can possibly be now for the benefit of everybody. 3185
And 1299 would have passed that day if some people had 3186
been on the floor who were not supposed to be on the 3187
floor, despite Representative Stuffle's objections, I 3188
think this House should place this Amendment on House 3189
Bill 905." 3190

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Hannig. 3191
Mr. Hannig. Hannig, please." 3192

Hannig: "Mr....Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question." 3193

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous 3194
question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 3195
opposed...the previous question has been moved. The 3196
Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock, to close." 3197

Bullock: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and 3198

Gentlemen of the House. I think the merits of this 3194
 Bill have been discussed on one previous occasion and 3195
 I think it's been amply described here today by my 3196
 colleague on the other side of the aisle, 3197
 Representative Davis. Let me just site to you some of 3198
 the obvious benefits. I think there's a great demand 3199
 in this state for credit and there's a great demand in 3200
 this state for service and there's a great demand in 3201
 this state for creating jobs for white collar middle 3202
 income persons. This Bill will solve all three of 3203
 those problems. And I've just placed a bet with 3204
 Representative Mautino that perhaps we can get this 3205
 Amendment passed. Don't make me wrong. Let's 3206
 encourage some 'aye' votes and a Roll Call." 3207
 3208
 Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall Amendment #11 be 3209
 adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 3210
 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 3211
 wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 3212
 question, there is 15 'ayes', 106 'nos', 8 recorded as 3213
 'present'. The Amendment is defeated. Any further 3214
 Amendments?" 3215
 3216
 Clerk Leone: "Amendment #12, Huff, amends Senate Bill 3217
 905..." 3218
 3219
 Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff. 3220
 Withdraw the Amendment. Any further Amendments?" 3221
 3222
 Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments." 3223
 3224
 Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 906." 3225
 3226
 Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 906. A Bill for an Act creating 3227
 the Electronic Fund Transfer Transmission Facility Act 3228
 and amending the Illinois Banking Act. Second Reading 3229
 of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee." 3230
 3231
 Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?" 3232
 3233
 Clerk Leone: "No motions filed." 3234
 3235
 Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 3236
 3237
 Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Stuffle, amends Senate Bill 906 3238
 as amended..." 3239
 3240

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 3231

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. This is basically 3233
 technical. I've submitted it to clean up the language 3234
 in the Bill with regard to a couple of technical 3235
 mistakes and to clarify for the industry and for the 3236
 courts the meaning of the severability clause in the 3238
 Act. And I move the adoption of Amendment #2." 3239

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Question is, shall 3239
 Amendment #2 be adopted. All in favor signify by 3240
 saying 'aye', opposed...Amendment #2 is adopted. Any 3241
 further Amendments?" 3242

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3, O'Brien-Mautino, amends Senate 3243
 Bill 906 on page 27, line 28 by deleting Section 5 and 3244
 inserting in lieu thereof..." 3245

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf, 3246
 for what purpose do you seek recognition?" 3248

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, before you went on to...before you go on 3249
 to Amendment #2, I was trying to get your attention. 3250
 I would like to ask the Sponsor, Stuffle, one or two 3251
 questions just to clarify something if I might?" 3252

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed." 3254

Wolf: "Mr. Stuffle, now with the Committee Amendment to 3255
 Senate Bill 906, how would this Bill affect an 3256
 electronic terminal that was on line to an 3257
 out-of-state nonbanking corporation? Now, I know for 3258
 example that a charge card company is selling 3259
 travelers checks through machines which transmit these 3260
 electronic impulses to the company's out-of-state 3261
 office. And then the company in turn generates a 3262
 paper draft which is drawn on the card member's 3261
 checking account. Would this kind of machine be 3262
 included within the definition of a point of sale 3264
 terminal in Section 3-112 of Senate Bill 906?" 3264

Stuffle: "The answer is no." 3266

Wolf: "You say, no, it would not?" 3268

Stuffle: "No, it would not be." 3270

Wolf: "Thank you. And a second question. In the event that the charge card company eliminated the paper draft arranged on this on line system between the company's office and the card member bank, would the machine be a point of sale terminal?"

Stuffle: "No, it would not be a point of sale terminal so long as the impulses from the machine were transmitted to the card company's office."

Wolf: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Back to Amendment #3. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien, on Amendment #3."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I too had a question on Amendment #2 in relation to its germaneness and how it affected 906 and I wonder if I might be able to answer a question and then we could dispose of Amendment #3 and #4...rather quickly? Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Stuffle. He indicated he'll yield."

O'Brien: "Does Senate Bill 906 exclude depository financial institutions other than banks from implementing their own transmission facilities?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "George."

Stuffle: "I think you asked me if it excluded depository financial institutions other than banks from implementing their own facilities."

O'Brien: "Transmission facilities, that's right."

Stuffle: "The Bill provides that any of the chartered financial institutions, credit unions, savings and loans and banks may be involved in the financial activities under this Bill and would operate through bank established transmission facilities, whether they be proprietary, locally or statewide electronic funds transfer a facility operations. The Bill provides further that all of the financial institutions could share and would share in those activities. And it limits, on the other hand, their ability to deal with

more than one transmission facility so as to preclude 3308
a monopoly." 3309

O'Brien: "Well, Representative Stuffle, my analysis 3310
indicates that Section 6-103 requires that any 3311
transmission facility must be accessible by no fewer 3312
than one hundred banks. It is the intent of this 3313
paragraph, in my estimation, to require participation 3314
of the transmission facility...to at least one hundred 3315
participating banks and any funds transfer corporation 3316
which governs a transmission facility. Would this not 3317
effectively eliminate development of savings and 3318
loans, credit unions..." 3319

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle, 3320
for what purpose do you seek recognition?" 3321

Stuffle: "Well, I'll answer the question gladly, but point 3322
out, this has nothing at all to do with Amendment #2, 3323
nothing anywhere near germane to the issue. But, I'll 3324
answer it. The answer is no, it doesn't affect 3325
savings and loans. The answer is no, the savings and 3326
loans are not against the Amendment, if that's what 3327
you're driving at. And the answer to your question 3328
is, you're not on point. The point you're trying to 3329
make is something in regard to how many banks are 3330
involved. The Bill says there has to be two hundred 3331
banks involved before you have a statewide facility 3332
operation and one hundred banks on line in the network 3333
before it can operate. But...and that's the answer to 3334
your question, even though it's not on point and it's 3335
not germane to the Amendment." 3336

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. O'Brien." 3337

O'Brien: "Representative Stuffle, I appreciate your patience 3338
and I also appreciate your explanation. But, the fact 3339
is quite frankly that the Bill does require that a 3340
hundred banks form a corporation to operate the 3341
switch. And it would preclude the savings and loans 3342
and the credit unions from taking it. But, we 3343

can...we can take that matter up at another time. I 3339
 move to table #3." 3340

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #3. 3341
 Any further Amendments?" 3342

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4. Mautino-O'Brien. Amends Senate 3343
 Bill 906..." 3344

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino." 3345

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Once again, I am 3347
 addressing the question of an implementation date. It 3348
 is inconceivable to me on this date in June of 1979 3349
 that this enactment should be in 1980. I ask that
 there be some thought given to the electronic transfer 3350
 system that will affect all financial institutions in 3351
 the State of Illinois and other people involved in
 financial transactions, whether they be at the retail 3352
 level, wholesale level or what. And have at least 3353
 until 1981 to examine and put the process into...into
 effect if in fact that's what you want to do. And 3355
 basically, I have...I have found yet in this General
 Assembly...very surprising, that on 905 you didn't 3356
 allow an implementation date at all in that 3357
 legislation. In this legislation, I'm asking for an
 implementation date of January 1, 1981. I ask for a 3358
 favorable Roll Call." 3359

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 3360

Stuffle: "I oppose the Amendment for basically the same 3362
 reasons of the similar Amendment on 905 and would add 3363
 there is an effective date in the Bill. The banks 3364
 have provisions in both Bills and specific dates as to
 when they can begin certain transactions and 3365
 operations. They still have to put their act together 3366
 before they can do that...if they're ready to go, and 3367
 they are in some cases. And if they're in agreement,
 and they are, in all cases with regard to the 3368
 organizations involved, then they ought to let them go 3369
 in January 1, 1980. I oppose the Amendment." 3370

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed...Amendment is defeated. Any further Amendments?" 3371
3372
3373
3374

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments." 3376

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 973. Mr. Barnes. Mr. Barnes. 973. Oh, Peters-Barnes, right." 3377
3378
3379

Speaker Leone: "Senate Bill 973. A Bill for an Act to amend the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 4 have been adopted in Committee." 3380
3381
3382
3383

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?" 3385

Clerk Leone: "A motion to table Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 4 to Senate Bill 973. Eugenia Chapman." 3386
3388

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, on a motion to table Committee Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 4. Mrs. Chapman." 3389
3390

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move to table these four Committee Amendments. A Sub-Committee of the Human Resources Committee met to discuss Amendments to the Bill and our decision was to offer a final Amendment. Amendment #7 and therefore, I move to table these four Amendments." 3392
3393
3394
3395
3396

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters." 3397
3399

Peters: "Mr. Chairman, I concur with the statements made by the Chairman of the Human Resources Committee. I accept the motion." 3400
3401
3402

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall the House table Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 on Senate Bill 973. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye', oppose...the motion prevails and Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 are tabled. Any further amendments?" 3403
3404
3405
3406
3407

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #5. Chapman-Peters. Amends Senate Bill..." 3408
3409

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman." 3411

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, that was not technically correct...I 3412
would ask to withdraw that Amendment." 3414

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady withdraws Amendment #5. Any 3415
further Amendments?" 3416

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6. Chapman-Peters..." 3418

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman. 6." 3420

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment 6 is the Amendment that now 3421
represents the Bill and was arrived at after a lengthy 3422
discussion among members of the Committee and the 3423
Department. The Bill expands the definitions and 3424
reporting requirements of the Abused and Neglected
Child Reporting Act and creates a single statewide 3425
system of response to child abuse. I move to adopt 3426
Amendment #6." 3427

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from 3428
Cook, Mr. Peters." 3429

Peters: "Mr. Chairman, again, I concur with the statements 3430
made by Mrs. Chapman. We have worked on this with 3431
all of the associations that are involved. We think 3432
we have a very comprehensive piece of legislation. I
would urge adoption of this Amendment." 3434

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall Amendment #6 be 3435
adopted. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye', 3436
'aye', oppose 'no'. Number 6 adopted. Any further 3437
amendments?" 3438

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #7. McClain. Amends Senate Bill 3439
973..." 3440

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain." 3441

McClain: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, Ladies and 3443
Gentlemen of the House. I believe this too is an 3444
agreed amendment. This amendment would provide for 3445
guardian litem that are appointed to represent minor
children for the abused and the neglected child. Must 3446
at least have one face to face interview with that 3447
child prior to the adjudicatory...hearing and I move 3448

for the adoption of this amendment. We had a similar 3448
 Bill that said this and it passed the House 3449
 overwhelmingly and got caught up in the Senate
 maneuvers." 3450

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Question is, shall 3451
 Amendment #7 be adopted. All in favor, signify by 3452
 saying 'aye', opposed. Amendment #7 is adopted. Any 3453
 further amendments?" 3454

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #8. Peters. Amends Senate Bill..." 3455

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters." 3458

Peters: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 3459
 House. Amendment #8 is again an attempt to reach an 3460
 agreement between the various associations and groups 3461
 that have come into play in working on this
 comprehensive reform of the Act. What Amendment #8 3462
 would do is to remove from the definition of neglected 3463
 child the words 'subjected to an environment injurious
 to his or her welfare' and the reasons we are removing 3464
 that is the concern over the interpretation of what 3465
 environment injurious may mean. We are fearful that 3466
 it may end up in a lot of litigation, a lot of
 misunderstandings and until such time that we can 3467
 arrive at a more clearer, concise kind of definition
 to address this kind of problem, we think it's better 3468
 to remove this from the Bill. And, I would move 3469
 adoption of Amendment #8." 3470

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Question is, 3471
 shall Amendment #8 be adopted? All in favor, signify 3472
 by saying 'aye', oppose...Amendment #8 adopted. Any 3473
 further amendments?" 3474

Clerk Leone: "No further amendments." 3476

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1037." 3478

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1037. A Bill for an Act to Amend 3479
 the Retailers Occupation Use and Service Occupation 3480
 and Service Use Tax Act. Third...Second Reading of 3481
 the Bill. No Committee amendments." 3482

- Speaker Lechowicz: "Any amendments from the floor?" 348
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1. Bowman. Amends..." 348
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman." 348
- Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to withdraw 348
Amendment #1." 349
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #1. Any 349
further amendments?" 349
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Bowman. Amends..." 349
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman." 349
- Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, I also ask leave of the House to 349
withdraw Amendment #2." 349
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Any 349
further amendments?" 350
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3. Bowman. Amends Senate Bill 350
10..." 350
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman." 350
- Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We 350
got it right this time. Amendment #3 is the amendment 350
I would like the House to adopt. It has been worked 350
out in conjunction with the Democratic staff. I 350
checked it with the Senate Sponsor and with the 350
Republican side of the aisle and I ask adoption of the 350
Amendment. I don't believe there's any opposition to 350
it." 351
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion. Question is, 351
shall Amendment #3 be adopted? All in favor, signify 351
by saying 'aye', oppose...Amendment #3 is adopted. 351
Any further amendments?" 351
- Clerk Leone: "No further amendments." 351
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1100." 351
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1100..." 352
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Request of the Sponsor. Take it out of 352
the record. Senate Bill 1207." 352
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1207. A Bill for an Act to Amend 352
the Workmen's Compensation Act. Second Reading of the 352
Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee." 352

Speaker Lechowicz: "Out of the record, by request of the Sponsor. Senate Bill 1211." 3527
3528

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1211. A Bill for an Act Creating the Civil Rights Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee." 3529
3530
3531

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?" 3533

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed." 3535

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any amendments from the floor?" 3537

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Bullock-Taylor. Amends Senate Bill 1211 on page 1 by deleting lines 9 through 14 and inserting in lieu thereof the following." 3538
3539
3540

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock." 3542

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think there's any problems with this amendment. It's a technical amendment changing the constitution of the board. I think you will recall it's an amendment we spoke to you about increasing the numbers and designating the Speaker of the House and President of the Senate to make such appointments. I move adoption of Amendment #11." 3543
3544
3545
3546
3547
3548

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines." 3549
3550

Gaines: "Ah, will the maker of the motion yield for a question?" 3551
3552

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will." 3554

Gaines: "What is the effect of the amendment, Mr. Bullock?" 3555

Speaker Lechowicz: "It increases it to twelve members, if my memory serves me right. Mr. Bullock. Mr. Bullock, please." 3557
3558
3559

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. You're absolutely correct in your explanation of the Bill. The Chair is correct. 14 members." 3560
3561
3562

Gaines: "Who makes the appointment?" 3564

Bullock: "Okay, I tell you the amendment speaks, Representative Gaines. The appointment is by the Speaker and President of the Senate, Minority Leaders of both Houses." 3565
3566
3567
3568

Gaines: "This has no one appointed by the Governor. Is that correct?" 3569
3570

Bullock: "Ah, officers of the Illinois Legislature, Representative Gaines. I think..." 3571
3572

Gaines: "Well, I'll have to oppose this amendment. I agree to the amendment that the Speaker there wanted, which would limit the Govern..public members to speak but not vote. But, I do feel that all segments of government should be represented in the discussion. So therefore, I would have to oppose this motion." 3573
3574
3575
3576
3577

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock to close." 3578
3579

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I think the amendment's been closed. I move adoption..." 3580
3581

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't see any. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt." 3582
3584

Bluthardt: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The Sponsor of this Amendment is really dancing around it. Now, what the amendment does. You'll recall this previously has been amended. The Bill came to us with a provision for 6 members of the Civil Rights Commission that is sponsored by Senator Washington. In Committee, it was suggested that instead of 2 from the House, 2 from the Senate and 2 public members, public members to be appointed by the Governor, as I remember, that he would accept 4 from the House, 4 from the Senate and 4 from the public. And that's the amendment that was put on with the agreement and the concurrence of Senator Washington and the House Sponsor, Representative Gaines. The reason it was put on was to give it a greater spectrum and a greater balance from the 12 member board. But, this amendment changes that substantially. It gives the majority party, the majority membership on the Commission, by giving the House Speaker and the President of the Senate, the power to appoint more

members than the Minority Speaker and that it takes 3599
 away the authority of the Governor to appoint the 3600
 public members. What it does then is give us a rigged
 Commission. A Commission that will not be balanced, 3601
 will not represent properly the entire spectrum of 3602
 this State of ours and, you know, anything that comes
 out of that Commission would be suspect because it is 3603
 so unbalanced. If you want a real Commission to look 3604
 into these questions of civil rights and the various 3605
 agencies that deal with civil rights in this State,
 then let's have a fair balanced Commission in equal 3607
 representation by both Houses and by the public would 3608
 be the proper type of Commission. Anything else I
 think would be suspect in my eyes and I think this 3609
 amendment ought to be defeated." 3610

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock, to 3611
 close." 3612

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 3613
 the House. The Chair did explain this amendment quite 3614
 fairly. Quite simply, this amendment does nothing 3615
 more than increase the Commission to 14 members and
 allowing the President of the Senate and Speaker of 3616
 the House, Minority Leaders of both sides to appoint 3617
 members of the Committee. And certainly no more of 3618
 two of which can be of the same political party. This
 does not stack the Commission in favor of either party 3619
 and based on that Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 3620
 of the House, I respectfully urge an 'aye' vote on
 Amendment #2. Request a Roll Call." 3622

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall Amendment #2 be 3623
 adopted. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye', 3624
 oppose...Amendment #2 is adopted. Roll Call. 3625
 Question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted. All in
 favor, vote 'aye', all oppose vote 'no'. Aye, aye, 3626
 aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 3627
 wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this

question, there's 56 'ayes', 57 'nos'. The Gentleman 3628
 from Cook, Mr. Bullock." 3629

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would like to poll the 3630
 absentees." 3631

Speaker Lechowicz: "Poll of the absentees. Kindly record 3632
 Mr. Leon as 'aye'. Capparelli as 'aye'. Let's dump 3633
 this Roll Call. That's a lot easier. Now, I would 3634
 hope everybody would be in their own respective chair
 and vote your own switch. Question is, shall 3635
 Amendment #2 be adopted. All in favor, vote 'aye', 3636
 all oppose vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have
 all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The 3637
 Clerk will take the record. On this question, there 3638
 are 62 'ayes', 78 'nays'. The Gentleman from Cook,
 Mr. Bullock." 3639

Bullock: "Request a poll of the absentees, Mr. Chairman." 3641

Speaker Lechowicz: "Poll the absentees." 3643

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Balanoff." 3645

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Balanoff." 3647

Balanoff: "'Aye'." 3649

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record the Lady as 'aye'." 3651

Clerk Leone: "E. M. Barnes. Beatty. Bower. Bowman. 3652
 Breslin. Brummer. Chapman. Cullerton. Currie. 3653
 Davis. Deuster. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Ewell. 3654
 Flinn. Giorgi. Greiman. Hannig. Katz." 3655

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who was that? Hannig as 'aye', please." 3656

Clerk Leone: "Kozubowski. Laurino. Leverenz. Marovitz. 3658
 McCourt. McPike. Meyer." 3659

Speaker Lechowicz: "Cullerton as 'aye'. And who was that? 3660
 Meyer as 'no'." 3661

Clerk Leone: "Pechous. Peters. Reed. Schlickman. 3662
 Schoeberlein. Slape. C. M. Stiehl. VonBoeckman. 3663
 and Yourell." 3664

Speaker Lechowicz: "That concludes. Mr. Barnes wants to be 3665
 recorded as 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. 3666
 Bullock." 3667

Bullock: "Mr. Chairman, I would like to request a verification of the negative Roll Call." 3668
3670

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Piel for what purpose do you seek recognition?" 3671
3672

Piel: "Leave to be verified, please." 3674

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leave to be verified. You want to be recorded as 'no', Mr. Barnes? Change Mr. Barnes from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Stuffle requests leave to be verified as an 'aye'. Leave is granted. Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl for what purpose do you seek recognition?" 3675
3676
3677
3678
3679

Stiehl: "Vote me 'no' Mr. Speaker." 3681

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record her as 'no'. Mr. Davis as 'aye', 'no', I'm sorry, 'no'. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman. Leave to be verified. Mr. Ewing. Schuneman, I'm sorry." 3682
3683
3684
3685

Schuneman: "That's alright. Same request, Mr. Speaker." 3687

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leave to be verified. Mr. Schoeberlein wants to be recorded as 'no'. 'No'. What are we starting out with? On this question, there are 65 'ayes' and 83 'nos'. And who wanted to be...Mrs. Breslin as an 'aye'. Mrs. Currie as an 'aye'. That means there's 65 'no' right? Did you get those two please? 67 'aye' and 83 'no'. Does the Gentleman persist in the verification request? Poll...verify the negative votes." 3688
3689
3690
3691
3692
3693
3694

Clerk Leone: "Abranson. Ackerman. Anderson. E. M. Barnes. Jane Barnes. Bell. Bianco. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boucek. Campbell. Catania. Collins. Conti. Daniels. Davis. Dyer. Epton. Ewing. Virginia Frederick. Friedland. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Griesheimer. Grossi. Hallock. Hallstrom. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Hudson. Huskey. Jaffe. Johnson. Dave Jones. Kane. Kempiners. Kent. Klosak. Kucharski. Leinenweber. MacDonald. Mahar. Margalus. Matula. McAuliffe. McBroom. McMaster." 3695
3696
3697
3698
3699
3700
3701

Meyer." 3702

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Mulcahey, for what purpose do you 3703
seek recognition?" 3704

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my vote from 'no' 3705
to 'aye', please." 3706

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Mulcahey as 'aye'. 3707
Mr. Ralph Dunn wants to be recorded as 'no'. Mr. 3708
Slape. Slape." 3709

Slape: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. How am I recorded?" 3711

Speaker Lechowicz: "How is the Gentleman recorded?" 3713

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting." 3715

Slape: "Would you please record me as 'yes'." 3717

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record him as 'aye'." 3719

Clerk Leone: "Continuing the poll of the negative. Neff. 3720
Oblinger. Piel. Polk. Pullen. Reilly. Rigney. 3721
Robbins. Ropp. Ryan. Sandquist. Schneider. 3722
Schoeberlein. Schuneman. Simms. Skinner. Stanley.
Stearney. E. G. Steele. C. M. Stiehl. Sumner. 3723
Swanstrom. Telcser. Totten. Tuerk. Vinson. 3724
Waddell. Walsh. Watson. Wikoff. Winchester. J. J.
Wolf. and Woodyard. Representative Monroe Flinn in 3725
the Chair." 3726

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Chapman. Chapman 'aye'. 3727
Representative Jaffe. Jaffe." 3728

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, would you change me from 'no' to 3729
'aye'?" 3730

Speaker Flinn: "Change Jaffe from 'no' to 'aye'. 3731
Representative Schneider." 3732

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker. Leave for persuasive...I go from 3733
'no' to 'green'." 3734

Speaker Flinn: "'No' to 'aye'. Representative Yourell." 3736

Yourell: "How am I recorded?" 3738

Speaker Flinn: "How is the Gentleman recorded? Yourell." 3740

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting." 3742

Speaker Flinn: "Mr. Yourell, 'no'. Switch Flinn from not 3743
voting to 'aye'. Flinn. Representative Betty Lou 3744

Reed." 3745

Reed: "Vote me 'no' please." 3747

Speaker Flinn: "Reed 'no'. Any further changes til 3748
we...Representative Bower. 'No'. Any further 3749
changes? Okay. Representative Bullock." 3750

Bullock: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, what's the count?" 3752

Speaker Flinn: "Give us the count, Clerk. 73 'ayes' and 84 3753
'nos'. You're down 11." 3754

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would like 3755
to...Representative Leinenweber." 3756

Speaker Flinn: "Leinenweber. Is that Leinenweber sitting 3757
there by Representative MacDonald? No, that's not 3758
him. That is Leinenweber? Okay. Is the Gentleman in 3759
the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?" 3760

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'." 3762

Speaker Flinn: "Take him off the Rolls." 3764

Bullock: "Representative McAuliffe." 3766

Speaker Flinn: "McAuliffe is in his chair. Standing by his 3767
chair." 3768

Bullock: "Representative McBroom." 3770

Speaker Flinn: "McBroom. Down in the front. Right here by 3771
me." 3772

Bullock: "Representative Sandquist." 3774

Speaker Flinn: "Sandquist, the Gentleman is not in his 3775
chair. Is he on the floor? How is the Gentleman 3776
recorded?" 3777

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'." 3779

Speaker Flinn: "Take him off the record. Representative 3780
Brummer wishes to be voted as 'aye'. Brummer. 3781
'Aye'." 3782

Bullock: "Representative Kempiners." 3784

Speaker Flinn: "Repeat that." 3786

Bullock: "Kempiners." 3788

Speaker Flinn: "Kempiners. Here he is right here on my 3789
left." 3790

Bullock: "Representative Hoffman." 3792

Speaker Flinn: "Gene Hoffman is in his chair. He was verified, he says too." 3793
3794

Bullock: "Representative Stearney." 3796

Speaker Flinn: "Stearney. The Gentleman is not in his chair. How is the Gentleman recorded?" 3797
3799

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'." 3801

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the record. Representative Ewell, for what purpose to you rise?" 3802
3804

Ewell: "'No'." 3806

Speaker Flinn: "Ewell, 'no'." 3808

Bullock: "Representative Matula." 3810

Speaker Flinn: "Matula is in his chair." 3812

Bullock: "Representative Skinner." 3814

Speaker Flinn: "Skinner is in his chair." 3816

Bullock: "Representative Telcser." 3818

Speaker Flinn: "Telcser. Art Telcser." 3820

Bullock: "He's right in the..." 3822

Speaker Flinn: "Okay." 3824

Bullock: "Representative Swanstrom." 3826

Speaker Flinn: "Swanstrom is at his chair." 3828

Bullock: "What's the count now, Mr. Speaker?" 3830

Speaker Flinn: "74 'aye' and 82 'no'. Eight down." 3832

Bullock: "74 and 82. Representative Beatty." 3834

Speaker Flinn: "Beatty. He's not in his chair. How is the Gentleman recorded?" 3835
3836

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting." 3838

Speaker Flinn: "Take him off the record." 3840

Bullock: "Representative Katz." 3842

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Katz. The Gentleman is not in his chair. He's right in the middle of the aisle there. There he is." 3843
3844
3845

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting." 3847

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Katz is not recorded as voting. Representative Katz, do you wish to vote? He's still absent, he said." 3848
3849
3850

Bullock: "Representative Leverenz." 3852

Speaker Flinn: "Leverenz. The Gentleman is not in his chair. Is he on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?" 3853
3854
3855

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting." 3857

Bullock: "Representative Piel." 3859

Speaker Flinn: "Who?" 3861

Bullock: "Piel. He verified, leave to be verified? He's back. Okay." 3862
3863

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Eugene Barnes would like to be verified. Okay. Alright. Verified." 3864
3866

Bullock: "Did I...Representative J. J. Wolf." 3868

Speaker Flinn: "Jake Wolf. Waving at you back there." 3870

Bullock: "Representative Woodyard." 3872

Speaker Flinn: "Woodyard. He's right in his chair." 3874

Bullock: "Representative Abramson." 3876

Speaker Flinn: "Abramson. Sitting in his chair." 3878

Bullock: "Representative Jane Barnes." 3880

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McClain would like to be verified. Okay. You're verified okay. Who was the last one?" 3881
3882
3883

Bullock: "Barnes, Jane." 3885

Speaker Flinn: "Jane Barnes. I...she's not in her chair. Is the Lady on the floor? How is the Lady recorded?" 3886
3887

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'." 3890

Speaker Flinn: "Take her off the Roll." 3892

Bullock: "Representative..." 3894

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise?" 3895
3896

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, you asked me a question a few moments ago and I am now ready to answer. The question was, 'how did I want to be recorded' and the answer is 'aye', sir." 3897
3898
3899
3900

Speaker Flinn: "Aye?" 3902

Katz: "Yes, I am a little slow in getting a response, but it is getting late in the day." 3903
3905

Speaker Flinn: "Katz, 'aye'. Representative Greiman, 3906

'aye'." 3907

Bullock: "Representative Ewing." 3909

Speaker Flinn: "Ewing. The Gentleman is not in his chair. 3910
Is he on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?" 3911

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'." 3914

Speaker Flinn: "Take him off the Roll." 3916

Bullock: "Representative Marovitz." 3918

Speaker Flinn: "Marovitz?" 3920

Bullock: "Marovitz." 3922

Speaker Flinn: "Oh. How is Marovitz recorded?" 3924

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting." 3926

Speaker Flinn: "He's absent. Take him off the Roll. Oh, 3927
here he is. Representative Marovitz. I'm sorry. He 3928
wants to vote 'aye'." 3929

Bullock: "Representative Schuneman." 3931

Speaker Flinn: "Schuneman. The Gentleman is not in his 3932
seat. Oh, Schuneman's been verified, I understand." 3933

Bullock: "Representative Vinson." 3936

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Vinson is at his seat. 3937
Representative Betty Lou Reed would like to be 3938
verified." 3939

Bullock: "What's the count, Mr. Speaker?" 3941

Speaker Flinn: "Give us another count. Put Jane Barnes back 3942
on the Roll. She's returned. Ewing is back. Put 3943
Ewing back on the Roll. 77 'aye', 82 'no'." 3944

Bullock: "Representative Kane." 3946

Speaker Flinn: "Doug Kane. He's over here in the other 3947
aisle." 3948

Bullock: "Representative Pechous." 3950

Speaker Flinn: "Pechous. Right in his seat." 3952

Bullock: "Representative Meyer." 3954

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Piel, for what purpose do you 3955
rise?" 3956

Piel: "A question of the chair, Mr. Speaker. Can you 3957
explain to me exactly what the normal procedure is 3958
in...he's verifying the negative Roll Call. I've been 3959

standing back here for about 4 minutes. He's verified 3959
6 people who are not voting. I was under the 3960
assumption that if you didn't want to vote, you didn't 3961
want to vote, but he keeps on verifying the people
that are not voting. Now, if he wants to stall for 3962
time, maybe he could ask for maybe a recess for ten 3963
minutes." 3964

Speaker Flinn: "Well, he's just calling names he thinks the 3965
people that are voting against him, he trying to 3966
get..." 3967

Piel: "No, no, no, I mean you verify it...the people who are 3968
not voting. And then you just verified the people 3969
that were the negative votes. If he was listening, he 3970
should have known or he should have looked at the
board." 3971

Speaker Flinn: "I agree with you. He should verify the 3972
negative votes only." 3973

Piel: "Thank you." 3975

Speaker Flinn: "Alright, Representative Emil Jones. Emil 3976
Jones." 3977

Jones: "Yes Mr. Speaker. Just an explanation in response to 3978
the previous speaker. What happens on a verification 3979
of this type is very difficult to determine who voted 3980
yes and who voted no and who didn't vote at all, 3981
because several members jump up and asked to be placed
on the Roll Call. So, it is very difficult looking at 3982
the board to tell who voted yes and who voted no and 3983
who is absent." 3984

Speaker Flinn: "We could get along a little faster if we 3985
wouldn't keep on interrupting Representative Bullock. 3986
Go ahead, Representative Bullock." 3987

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, take the record, take the record." 3989

Speaker Flinn: "Well, the record's been taken. Give us the 3990
count. On this question, there are 77 'ayes' and 82 3991
'nos', and the Amendment #2 loses. It is not adopted. 3992
Further amendments." 3993

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3. McCourt-Gaines. Amends Senate 3994
 Bill 1211 on page 1 by deleting lines 1, 2, and 3 and 3995
 inserting in lieu thereof the following." 3996

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Gaines." 3998

Gaines: "On this one Mr. Bullock and I are in agreement and 3999
 he's going to handle it for Mr. McCourt." 4001

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock." 4003

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 4004
 the House. I was given leave by the Sponsor of this 4005
 amendment to handle it for him. Representative 4006
 McCourt. Amendment #3 is agreed to by the Sponsors of
 the Bill. The amendment re-creates the Commission and 4007
 the amendment also understands the difference in urban 4008
 education. I respectfully request an 'aye' vote on 4009
 Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1211." 4010

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McAuliffe." 4012

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaness of this 4013
 amendment." 4014

Speaker Flinn: "Would the Parliamentarian come to the 4015
 podium? Parliamentarian, please. The Parliamentarian 4016
 come to the podium please. The Parliamentarian 4017
 advises me that the Amendment is not germane. Further
 amendments. Further amendments." 4019

Clerk Leone: "No further amendments." 4021

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3...1377 on page 4022
 7. The top of page 7." 4023

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1377. A Bill for an Act to amend 4024
 the Illinois Human Rights Act. Second Reading of the 4025
 Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee." 4026

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to Amendment #1?" 4028

Clerk Leone: "Motion to table Amendment #1 of Senate Bill 4029
 1377, Bullock." 4030

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock on the motion." 4032

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker..." 4034

Speaker Flinn: "Just a moment. Is Jim Reilly on the floor? 4035
 There he is. The Sponsor of the Bill is present." 4036

Proceed, Representative Bullock, on the motion. 4037

Representative Bullock on the motion." 4038

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 4039

At this time I place before the body a motion to 4040

recommit Senate Bill 1377 to the House..." 4041

Speaker Flinn: "Just a, one moment please. Representative 4042

Reilly, for what purpose do you rise?" 4044

Reilly: "We're on the order of Second Reading, not on the 4045

order of motions. The motion to...." 4047

Speaker Flinn: "Well, the motion was to table Amendment #1, 4048

which was adopted in the Committee." 4050

Reilly: "But he said he was going to offer, I thought, a 4051

motion to recommit." 4052

Speaker Flinn: "No, the motion is to table Amendment #1, 4053

adopted in the Committee. Proceed Representative 4054

Bullock." 4055

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 4056

I request ruling from the Parliamentarian. A motion 4057

has been filed relative to Senate Bill 1377 and I 4058

request a ruling from the chair." 4059

Speaker Flinn: "Are you speaking to the motion to table 4060

Amendment #1, Representative Bullock? You made a 4061

parliamentary inquiry about another motion. But, the 4062

motion that was before us has to do with tabling 4062

Amendment #1." 4063

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I request a ruling from the chair, 4064

relative to a motion at this time, which has been 4065

filed, to recommit Senate Bill 1377 to the appropriate 4066

Committee and requests suspension of the appropriate 4066

rules for that..." 4068

Speaker Flinn: "Okay, we'll check it out. Representative 4069

Collins. For what purpose do you rise?" 4071

Collins: "Well, to object Mr. Speaker. I thought we were on 4072

the order of Second Reading and not on the order of 4073

motions." 4074

Speaker Flinn: "He's made a parliamentary inquiry and the 4075

Parliamentarian is checking it for us. Representative 4076
 Emil Jones. I have been advised by the 4077
 Parliamentarian that under Rule 61a that a motion to
 commit has a higher priority than a motion to amend. 4078
 So, this motion would take precedence over the motion 4079
 to amend. Representative Reilly." 4080

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker. The question isn't the priority of 4081
 motions and if you persist in that ruling, I will have 4082
 to appeal the ruling of the chair. The question is 4083
 the order of business. We're on the order of business
 of Second Reading. On the calendar. Clearly on the 4084
 calendar, not on this order, but on the order of 4085
 motions, is a motion to recommit, and it's very simple 4086
 that that is the proper ruling and if you persist in
 your ruling, I will have to appeal the rule." 4088

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matijevich." 4090

Matijevich: "Well, then what are we going to do on..." 4092

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I make a point of order. You have 4093
 ruled. You've ruled properly, because we are on that 4094
 Bill and the...there was...we were on the order of 4095
 amending and a motion to commit has priority. You
 made the ruling. It was proper in fact the only thing 4096
 he can do is appeal that ruling, but I think he ought 4097
 to look at Rule 61a and he would find that his appeal 4098
 would have no merit." 4099

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Ewell." 4101

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker..." 4103

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Reilly." 4105

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker. I still think the chair may be wrong, 4106
 but I would withdraw my objection. Let's go to that 4107
 motion." 4108

Speaker Flinn: "Alright. The objection to the Speaker's 4109
 ruling has been withdrawn, so Representative 4110
 Bullock...read the motion first. Read Representative
 Bullock's motion." 4112

Clerk Leone: "Motion to recommit to State Governmental 4113

Organization Committee." 4114

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock." 4116

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I 4117
 filed a motion to recommit Senate Bill 1377 to the 4118
 appropriate Committee. The House Re-Organization 4119
 Committee for the fact that neither the Senate nor the
 appropriate House Committee has been allowed to fairly 4120
 consider the scope and magnitude of Senate Bill 1377. 4121
 I respectfully indicate that it does appear that with
 the closing actions of this Session and with the 4122
 pressing matters before the State Legislature, that 4123
 consideration of Senate Bill 1377 with some 90
 technical amendments and substitutive amendments could 4124
 better wait until the Spring or Fall Session for 4125
 consideration. In addition, I indicate that to this
 body, that there is division on the question as to the 4126
 merits of this Bill. Groups from throughout the State 4127
 of Illinois did appear at the appropriate Committee 4128
 and wished to make inputs and several amendments were
 prepared for that Committee and it was heard on the 4129
 last day and we all were in haste to finish the 4130
 business of the Committee and some 13 odd Committees
 were not considered because of the closing of the 4131
 meeting. Numerous amendments have been filed to this 4132
 Bill by both the Sponsor of the Bill and the
 Membership from both sides of the aisle. Based on 4133
 these facts, Mr. Chairman and members of the House of 4134
 Representatives, I feel that our posture at this time
 would enhance the efficiency of the House of 4135
 Representatives and our posture to recommit would 4136
 provide ample period for maximum citizens and
 community input relative to the ultimate impact of 4137
 Senate Bill 1377 which is a significance of civil 4138
 rights proposals. I think that every member of this
 body has had opportunity to consider this motion. It 4139
 has been filed for some time and I'm joined in 4140

submitting this motion by the Assistant Majority Leader on this side of the aisle and I would hope that all members of the Body would join with us in recommitting Senate Bill 1377 to the appropriate Committee for consideration either in the Spring or Fall Session." 4140
4141
4142
4144
4145

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kane." 4147

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to oppose strongly this motion to recommit Senate Bill 1377 to Committee. There has been a great deal of controversy surrounding Senate Bill 1377, but I would submit to you that that controversy has been generated by only two individuals on the floor of this House. Senate Bill 1377 passed the Senate unanimously on a vote of 45 to nothing. And when this Bill came over here to the House, the opponents to Senate Bill 1377 came to me and they said that they objected to the Bill. They said that they objected to the contents of the Bill and that there were numerous community and civil rights organizations that were opposed to the Bills. And they said that those groups were going to come down and object to the Bill before Committee. And they said that there would be letters filed with the Committee from community, civil rights and human rights organizations objecting to the contents of the Bill. I read the Bill. I read Senate Bill 1377 and I found that the statements made by the objectors to the Bill were simply not accurate. That they did not characterize the contents of Senate Bill 1377 in any kind of accurate manner. And then we had the Committee hearings. And I submit to you that there was not one individual, not one community group, not one human rights group, not one handicapped group, not even one warm body came down and testified against Senate Bill 1377. And the meeting was scheduled ten days in advance, so that there was plenty of time for 4148
4149
4150
4151
4152
4153
4154
4155
4156
4157
4158
4159
4160
4161
4162
4163
4164
4165
4166
4167
4168

anybody who was interested in the contents of the Bill 4169
and who had read the Bill to come down and testify
against it. But, there was not one warm body came 4170
down to the Committee to testify against the Bill. 4171
And let me ask you as individual members. If you're
an individual member or if you're a leader of this 4172
House and you object to Senate Bill 1377 as strongly 4173
as the opponents to this Bill object to it, and if 4174
there was any merit at all, any merit at all to your
positions, do you think that you could produce one 4175
warm body to come and testify against the Bill? I'm 4176
sure you could. I could. You could. And I think
that the only conclusion that can be reached is that 4177
there is no merit at all to the opposition to this 4178
Bill. There were no opponents showed up. Let me read 4179
you a list of those who showed up in favor of the
Bill. And this is not simply a civil rights Bill. 4180
This is a human rights Bill and it goes to the 4181
enforcing of State Laws that have to do with the
discrimination against the handicapped and against the 4182
aged and against other individuals. And these are the 4183
organizations who came down and testified for Senate
Bill 1377. The United Cerebral Palsy, the Beverly 4184
Area Planning Association, the League of Black Women, 4185
the Illinois Retired Teachers' Association, the 4186
Illinois Association of Rehabilitation Facilities, the
Springfield Human Relations Commission, the Woodlawn 4187
Organization, Co-Help, the Illinois State Council of 4188
Senior Citizens, the Illinois Association of Realtors,
the Illinois State Council of the Urban Leagues, the 4189
Midwest Community Council, the Leadership Council from 4190
Metropolitan Open Communities, the National Hookup of
Black Women, the Chicago Urban League, Operation PUSH, 4191
the Illinois Association for Retarded Citizens, Senior 4192
Actions Center, the Department on Aging, the YMCA, the 4193
former Solicitor General of the United States and the

Institute on Pluralism and Group Identity. They came 4194
 down and testified in favor of the Bill. And the 4195
 opponents of this Bill would have you think that there
 is no merit to this Bill and that the people who came 4196
 down and testified in favor of it don't know what's in 4197
 the Bill. And I would submit to you that we had a 4198
 full and fair hearing. We spent an hour and a half
 discussing the merits of this Bill. And I would say 4199
 to you that the only conclusion that can be reached is 4200
 that the opponents to this Bill are not motivated by 4201
 any concern for human or civil rights, but are
 motivated solely by a desire for personal power. And 4202
 I ask each one of you if any of you...if you have any 4203
 respect at all for the Committee process, if you have
 any respect for the House of Representatives and if 4204
 you feel that the House should not be bullied into a 4205
 position that has absolutely no merit, I urge you to
 defeat this motion to recommit Senate Bill 1377 to 4206
 Committee and defeat it overwhelmingly." 4207

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Reilly." 4209

Reilly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 4210
 the House. As Chief Sponsor of House Bill 1377, along 4211
 with my Co-Sponsor, Representative Gene Barnes. I ask 4212
 the House to join with me in rejecting this motion. 4213
 This Bill finds us in a historic moment. This Bill
 finds us in the one time, in my judgment, and I think 4214
 many of you understand why I say this. In this 4215
 decade, and perhaps in another decade to come in which
 we may be able to take significant action in this 4216
 field, every major organization dealing with this 4217
 subject, every one, testified in support of this Bill.
 The insult of this motion is not to me as a person, it 4218
 is, if it is personal at all to Representative Kane. 4219
 The Chairman of the Committee that heard this. Out of 4220
 which it came with an overwhelming vote to the floor
 of this House. The Chicago Defender, in an editorial 4221

just a couple of days ago, entitled Rights Bill Must 4222
Pass, said a Bill now before the Illinois House 4223
 popularly entitled the Illinois Human Rights Act has
 full support of a cabaret of civil rights 4224
 organizations who feel the Bill is one of the most 4225
 comprehensive civil rights Bills ever introduced.
 They say it must pass. The Tribune in a recent 4226
 editorial said that we should quit talking about it, 4227
 we should get on with it, quit worrying about
 questions of turf and pass the Bill. The Sun Times in 4228
 an editorial last Wednesday, said stop stalling on 4229
 civil rights reform and I stress that Representative 4230
 Kane has said, this is not just a civil rights Bill. 4231
 The Illinois Association of Realtors, the Retarded 4232
 Citizens groups, the Senior Citizens groups, all kinds
 of groups across the broad spectrum are for this Bill. 4233
 This Bill came over to the Senate, from the Senate, 4234
 late. It's not my fault as Sponsor. Since that time,
 anybody who's been willing to sit down with me or the 4235
 Governor's office staff or with Gene Barnes has done 4236
 so and with the groups that have sat down with us and 4237
 have been willing to talk, we worked out significant
 amendments. Gene Barnes and I spent two hours 4238
 yesterday with one group and worked out amendments 4239
 that we partially have taken care of the problems they
 raised. We have been, we were up to 15-20 minutes 4240
 ago, willing to talk, willing to work. We have made 4241
 some significant improvements in this Bill in the 4242
 House. The issue here is clear. If you want to kill
 the Bill, then you vote for the motion, 'cause we will 4243
 not have the momentum next year to take this Bill back 4244
 to the Senate. And any of you who have been here more
 than a week know that. If you put this out of the 4245
 record now, you've killed the Bill. If you're against 4246
 this kind of step, if you're against this kind of 4247
 legislation put together by so many groups, then vote

to kill the Bill by voting for this motion, but don't 4248
 anyone kid themselves that somehow you can vote for 4249
 this motion on the thought that we're going to study
 the Bill. I'll study the Bill from now to doomsday, 4250
 but I guarantee you if we don't carry out the momentum 4251
 we've got now, get it back to the Senate, get the 4252
 amendments concurred in and get in on the Governor's 4253
 desk, it's dead. Not just for now, but probably for a
 decade. I ask you to join us with Representative 4254
 Kane, with my Co-Sponsor, Representative Barnes and I 4255
 in rejecting this motion." 4256

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Getty." 4258

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I rise in 4259
 support of the Gentleman's motion to recommit this to 4260
 Committee. I do so as a member of that Committee. I 4261
 think we ought to look clearly at the legislative
 history of this Bill. It was not heard in the Senate 4262
 Committee. As a matter of fact, that Committee was 4263
 discharged and the significant amendment was placed on
 the Bill, and then it was voted over to this Body. 4264
 Where at least at that point, it should have received 4265
 ample study. It should have received two weeks, at
 least, which were available, of consideration in 4266
 Committee and hopefully in Sub-Committee. This did 4267
 not happen. It was not posted until the very last day
 for Committee Hearings. As a matter of fact, there 4268
 was only an hour and a half for Committee Hearings. 4269
 Or an hour and forty minutes. As a matter of fact, I
 could not ask questions, which I wanted to ask, due to 4270
 a limited time. I did ask one question, which later I 4271
 was informed was something that the proponents of the 4272
 Bill, the Governor's Office had never even considered.
 Space needs. Well, that pretty fundamental. It's not 4274
 a racial issue. It shouldn't be a racial issue. It's
 an issue of do we have the Bill in proper shape yet, 4275
 or not. That's all. And we shouldn't consider 4276

anything else but that. Do we have the Bill in proper 4276
 shape? The so-called proponents and the so-called 4277
 opponents of this Bill are my friends on both sides. 4278
 I'm not taking sides on that issue. I think this is 4279
 basically a good Bill an a sound idea. I think there
 are problems in drafting. I think a 53 page Bill 4280
 deserves the work of the House. One of the most 4281
 significant pieces of legislation to come through this
 Body in two years deserves hard study. I happen to be 4282
 the principle Sponsor of the Criminal Justice Reform 4283
 Bill. House Bill 1500, which those of you who were in 4284
 the last Session will remember. Well, that carried
 over two General Assemblies where we had hearings all 4285
 over the State. Where we had input from all segments 4286
 of society. Where we considered deliberatively.
 Where we listened to all sides. Where we considered 4287
 the Governor's positions. Where we adopted some of 4288
 the Governor's positions, in fact, most of them. 4289
 Where the Senate, as well as the House, met in lengthy
 conferences to work out it's concerns. A Bill of 4290
 approximately the same length. Approximately the same 4291
 considerations for all of the people of the State.
 And you know what we did on that when we ran into an 4292
 impasse two years ago at this time? We said we'll 4293
 wait until the Fall Session. And indeed, we came back
 in the Fall Session and we passed out a Bill that got 4294
 a tremendous vote out of this Body and out of the 4295
 Senate and which the Governor signed as is, without 4296
 the need for amendatory vetoes, without the need to 4297
 clean it up. Without the need to look it over again.
 Because we had done our homework. Because we made it 4298
 a good Bill. Not because it was ramroded through the 4299
 Senate. Not because it was given to the House and we 4300
 had an hour and a half hearing on a 53 page Bill and
 then said pass it or you're against something. No, 4301
 because we did our job properly. And I think that's 4302

what this motion is all about. I think this is a 4302
 motion that says wait a minute. I don't care what the 4303
Sun Times says. We're for civil rights and they're 4304
 for civil rights. I don't care what the people who 4305
 came down here and testified and said they were in
 support of it said, or those who were against it said. 4306
 I care that this Body does its job properly. I care 4307
 that when we vote 'yes' on an issue, when we vote on
 one of the most significant pieces of legislation that 4308
 we've seen in two years, that it ought to be in proper 4309
 shape. And you know, the proponents of this Bill 4310
 would have to privately tell you that it isn't in
 proper shape. That they themselves don't know 4311
 everything that's in it and that there's been a few 4312
 suprizes that have been pointed out to them. And I
 suggest to you ladies and Gentlemen that we just are 4313
 not ready. I suggest to you that this should go back 4314
 to the Committee on State Government Organization.
 That a Sub-Committee should be formed and that over 4315
 the Summer, we can have total input from every 4316
 interested party in this State, and that in the Fall,
 as we did in that last significant piece of 4317
 legislation, we can pass out a Bill that everyone of 4318
 us can be proud that can really do something for civil
 rights and not have a bunch of hidden facts in it that 4320
 we're not sure of. So, that when we go home to the 4321
 people, we can say, yes we did our jobs. And that's
 what this motion speaks to. If you believe in doing 4322
 the job that you're down here to do, vote to 4323
 recommit." 4324

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative 4325
 Brummer." 4326

Brummer: "I move the previous question." 4328

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved the previous 4329
 question. The question is, shall the main objection 4330
 be put. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those 4331

oppose...the 'ayes have it. The Sponsor, 4331
 Representative Bullock to close." 4332

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker I would like to defer if I could, to 4333
 the Co-Sponsor of this motion to close. 4334
 Representative Jones." 4335

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Jones. Emil Jones." 4337

Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and prior to my closing, 4338
 I would like to ask the chair for a point of 4339
 information." 4340

Speaker Flinn: "State your point." 4342

Jones: "Could you explain to me when an amendment is offered 4343
 in Committee, what is our rule on offering an 4344
 amendment in Committee and who can offer those 4345
 amendments?" 4346

Speaker Flinn: "Our new rules provide that any member of the 4347
 Committee or the Sponsor, even though he may not be a 4348
 member of the Committee, can offer them." 4349

Jones: "Could you tell the Body, who is the Sponsor of 4350
 Senate Bill 1377?" 4351

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kane, for what purpose do you 4352
 rise? Kane. Turn Kane on." 4354

Kane: "Point of order. What does this have to do with the 4355
 motion to recommit?" 4356

Speaker Flinn: "He just asked me a question and I gave him 4357
 the answer and I was getting ready to answer his 4358
 second question. I don't know what he's talking about 4359
 that for. I couldn't explain his purpose. You want 4360
 to know who we have listed as the Sponsor of 1377. 4362
 Let me check and make sure. Well, we have up here the 4362
 Senate Sponsor is Shapiro and the House Sponsor is 4363
 Reilly and Ryan. What is the purpose of your raising 4364
 the question of that?" 4364

Jones: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The reason why I raised that 4365
 question, because as I close, I am going to explain to 4366
 each and every member as to why I joined in having 4367
 this Bill recommitted to the Committee. As the

Chairman of the Re-Organization Committee pointed out, 4368
 we must always have respect for the Committee process 4369
 in this House. Respect for the House and respect for 4370
 its leadership. According to the rules, as I 4371
 understand it, and as you pointed out Mr. Speaker, 4372
 that only a Committee member or the Sponsor of the 4373
 Bill can offer an amendment in Committee. 4374
 Representative Reilly, who is the chief Sponsor of 4375
 this legislation and if each member here will check 4376
 their calendar for the last few weeks, the only name
 you saw on the calendar was Reilly and Ryan. If you
 check the Digest as of June 1, 19..." 4377

Speaker Flinn: "One moment please. Representative Reilly, 4378
 for what purpose do you arise?" 4379

Reilly: "I rise on a point of order and on a point of 4380
 personal privilege both, since my name was mentioned. 4381
 I signed and handed to the Clerk, the day or the day 4382
 after the Bill came over from the Senate, a notice
 that I was to be the Chief Sponsor and Gene Barnes was 4383
 to be the second Sponsor. If the Clerk's office 4384
 fouled that up, and that may not be their fault, but
 if they did, that's too bad and that's not my fault. 4385
 But, the fact is I signed that. I will swear to any 4386
 member of this House that I signed that. That is the 4387
 sponsorship of the Bill, if that slip will be found, I
 think we can correct the matter. And in any event, it 4388
 has nothing to do with the motion to recommit." 4390

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Emil Jones." 4392

Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. In response to the last 4393
 speaker, it does have something to do with the motion 4394
 to recommit because the Bill itself was reported out 4395
 of Committee in violation of the House Rules in which
 every member of this House voted for. The reason why 4396
 I say that is simply this. The name that appears on 4397
 the motion...on the Committee report to offer the 4398
 amendment, is neither the Sponsor nor a member of the

Committee. For the information of the previous 4399
 speaker, I checked with the Clerk's office. You did 4400
 not file to have your name placed on there. You asked
 that Representative Barnes be removed and you be 4402
 replaced. The Digest of June 1st carries you as the
 Chief Sponsor of this legislation. If we, as members 4403
 of this Body, are to have respect for the Committee
 process, and I am a Committee Chairman, then we must 4404
 have respect for the rules and regulations that we
 adopted. There is no way, no way that I, the chairman 4405
 of a Committee, would vote on a Bill with an amendment
 attached to it in violation of the House Rules and 4406
 stand on this House floor and say we must have respect
 for the Committee process. Each side of this aisle 4407
 has leadership. Every member of the leadership are
 ex-official members of every standing Committee in 4408
 this House. Whenever a leadership member come into a
 Committee, if he has a Bill, we have given him the due 4409
 respect of having his Bill heard first if he so
 desires. Being an ex-official member of this 4410
 Committee, when the hearing was held on this floor on
 June 13th, the Assistant Majority Leader had several 4411
 amendments that was given to the Committee Clerk to be
 acted on by the Committee as it so desired. The 4412
 Committee under the iron hand of the Chairman only
 heard two of those amendments and then entertained a 4413
 motion, a due pass motion, and voted the Bill out.
 That is total disrespect for each and every member of 4414
 the leadership on both sides of the aisle. The record
 will show this and I am not saying this because 4415
 of...the member I am talking about happens to have a
 year around suntan, that's Jim Taylor. But, it is not 4416
 in the process of keeping me in good spirits with the
 leadership who we have elected. Furthermore, if you 4417
 will look at your rule book, the rules are clear on
 this point and the rules state that we must have a 4418
 4419
 4420
 4421
 4422
 4423
 4424

House Sponsor for a Senate Bill. Now, if we have the 4425
respect for the Committee process. If we have the 4426
respect for the leaders that we elected. If we have 4427
the respect for the rules of this House, then you have
no other choice but to vote to recommit this Bill, 4428
because this Bill is appearing on Second Reading in 4429
violation, in violation of the House rules. I, the
Committee Chairman, and all other chairmens and 4430
minority spokesmen know what I am talking about. If 4431
we are to respect our leadership in the future, from
this day on, then we must vote to recommit this bill 4432
because when Representative Taylor had amendments 4433
filed as the Assistant Majority Leader, on this side
of the aisle, the Chairman of that Committee should 4434
have given him the courtesy as all leadership is given 4435
to have those amendments heard. He did not do it. 4436
The amendments were on file. It's a matter of record.
And if we do not vote to recommit this Bill, we are 4437
voting to have a Chairman of a Committee do as they 4438
please in violation of the rules of this House.
Though I join in the motion to recommit this Bill and 4439
I suggest that every leader on both sides of the aisle 4440
join with us." 4441

Speaker Flinn: "The question is, shall Senate 4442
Bill...Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you 4443
rise?" 4444

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal 4445
privilege. My name was used in debate." 4447

Speaker Flinn: "State your point. Proceed." 4449

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of this House. It is true 4450
that I was here. I tried to get the Chairman of the 4451
Committee to give me some time so that I could talk 4452
with him about what was going on. And I hear his
anxiety to get this Bill out of the House. They did 4453
not feel that they could wait to give me a chance to 4454
talk. I heard that they called and I see the Speaker

to extend the time about ten minutes. That was good, 4455
 but I do resent the fact that if you can call for ten 4456
 minutes, and I have thirteen amendments on this floor, 4457
 that we should have been given an opportunity to at
 least have those amendments discussed. How in the 4458
 world can anyone think that when you look at my 4459
 pigmentation and think that I can be against any civil
 right legislation. I have a mother and a father. I 4460
 have twelve sisters. I have a son. I have two 4461
 daughters. I have two granddaughters. I would not, 4462
 in good conscience, stand up here to fight for
 anything that would affect them at any time in life. 4463
 And I resent the fact that this man sits on the floor 4464
 and tells me what he thought happened. Let me tell
 you one thing, as long as I have been a member of this 4465
 House, and twelve or thirteen members in this Body has 4466
 a problem on a piece of legislation, I think it is 4467
 important enough that he should be able to sit down
 and discuss it with us. I have tried to get them to 4468
 see it. I beg of each of you, send this Bill back to 4469
 the Committee. Give us an opportunity to study it and
 if we find in another year that it's a good Bill, I 4470
 will join with you and support this piece of 4471
 legislation. But, at this time, I beg of you. Stand
 by me this time. And I won't expect much of anyone on 4472
 this floor. I am going to help support you in your 4473
 pork barrels, in your projects across the state. I 4474
 put up civic centers all over this state, not for me. 4475
 I put bridges, put everything all over this state. I 4476
 ask one thing of you, stand with me on this motion to
 recommit this Bill to the Committee." 4478

Speaker Flinn: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1377 be 4479
 recommitted to Committee. It takes a simple majority. 4480
 I've been asked by a couple of people. It takes a 4481
 simple majority. All those in favor, vote 'aye', 4482
 those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ray Ewell to

explain his vote." 4483

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. We've had a lot 4484
of sound and fury, but very little reason. I suggest 4485
to the members of this Body that I've been here on the 4486
income tax when it was presented to us on the 30th 4487
with no hearings. I was here for the RTA when it got
no hearing. I've been here for many other major 4488
pieces of legislation when it got no hearing. This 4489
was the last day to hear a Bill. The Chairman allowed
the Minority Leader and the other opponents to have at 4490
least five opportunities to have what they call a 4491
personal privilege. I think he extended every
possible courtesy in the effort to have this Bill 4492
heard and to dispatch the business of this House. I 4493
also would rise in resentment because I rise in
resentment against the threats and intimidations that 4494
came to the witnesses who came down on behalf of this 4495
Bill. They were threatened. Their projects were 4496
threatened. Their jobs were threatened. And I resent
this type of treatment for anyone. There is no one 4497
within the sound of this House will tell logically 4498
that anybody who intends to help a Bill is going to do
it with some seventy amendments. No Bill that comes 4499
out of the Senate without a decent can be that bad. 4500
And no Bill that got this type of hearing the House
could be that bad. I think what we have here is an 4501
insult to the integrity and the integrity to the House 4502
and above all, an insult to the Chairman of the
Committee. He allowed it and if anyone wants to read 4503
the record, I say that he was a gentleman. He was 4504
honorable and he tried to extend every possible
benefit and every possible courtesy to the Members of 4506
this House. They promised witnesses and they brought 4507
none and on the other hand, you had every major..." 4508

Speaker Flinn: "Would you bring your remarks to a close 4509
please." 4510

Ewell: "...every major civil rights organization down here speaking for the Bill. This Bill is beyond Jim Taylor, it's beyond the Majority Leader, it's beyond the black members of the House. It affects every single woman, every crippled person in the state, the aged in the state, and many other groups and classifications and deserves to be treated as such. And it is not our personal property. And I so resent it. And I would ask for a overwhelming vote, an overwhelming vote in refusing to send this Bill back to a Committee and certain death."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Gaines to explain his vote."

Gaines: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First, I want to correct two previous speakers that said every civil rights organization was in favor of this Bill. The number one civil rights organization in this Country is not for this Bill. The Chicago chapter, Southside chapter of the NAACP is not for this Bill. And you can call Frank Williams at 238-7858, who's the President and he will tell you so. And the Devon ministrator boys, with the black ministrator brokers, Jesse Jones, telephone number 783-4400. He'll tell you, they're not for it. And an attorney who reviewed the Bill at the request of the Cook County Bar Association, found a serious legal defect to it. So, the Cook County Bar Association is not for it or against it, but one of its members who reviewed it found fault with it. So I resent it being said that every civil rights organization is for it. And I know at least of those who have endorsed it that are reconsidering it at this moment, based on facts that they have gotten since the hearing. And I want to say that it's tragic that downstate blacks basically were ignored. I have talked to many blacks across this state since this has happened and they all want opportunities to be heard. They want to have

opportunity to have serious input. United Automobile 4539
 Workers weren't consulted. Their regional director 4540
 asked the Governor to sit down with Representative 4541
 Taylor and I while they were here in session so that 4542
 they could participate and we haven't heard from the 4543
 Governor yet. So those of you who respect the 4544
 opinions of the UAW, the NAACP and the Cook County Bar 4545
 Association, they did not endorse it and they 4546
 certainly are as interested in civil rights as anybody 4547
 else. So, I feel that there's significant problems 4548
 with this Bill. Anything that would divide the blacks 4549
 in this legislature, like this legislation has done, 4550
 certainly can't be all good or all bad and I'm not 4551
 claiming that it's all bad, but I think it's bad 4552
 enough that we should consider relighting it and 4553
 taking out its imperfections because once it's passed, 4554
 it will be forgotten and those imperfections will be 4555
 allowed to stay forever. And just like that plane 4556
 that fell down. It looked like it was alright, it was 4557
 alright for awhile, but it's structural defect caused 4558
 it to fall. And that's why I'm asking you to support 4559
 this motion." 4560

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Doug Huff, to explain his 4555
 vote. Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you 4556
 arise?" 4557

Taylor: "I rise on a point of personal privilege. My name 4558
 was used in debate. Representative Raymond, you used 4559
 my name just a few minutes ago. Now give him a chance 4560
 to retaliate." 4561

Speaker Flinn: "Proceed, Representative Taylor." 4563

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, I did not go 4564
 out and solicit as support of any organization to come 4565
 down here to Springfield to lobby for this Bill. Not 4566
 one. Most of you know it appears here that I might 4567
 lose this battle. But you know, I'm a prize fighter, 4568
 an ex-prize fighter and I learned in the fight game 4569

how to lose as well as to win. There is another fight 4569
 that we have fought well. We just might lose. But 4570
 I'm like MacArthur baby, I'll return." 4571

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Doug Huff to explain his 4572
 vote." 4573

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 4574
 House. In the course of the debate that I listened 4575
 to, one of the previous speakers made reference to the 4576
 historical implication in what they were attempting to 4577
 do in our behalf and it brought immediately to my mind 4578
 historically, the Hitler plan on the verge of my 4579
 government in the third rite, in which he sought to 4580
 provide the health insurance plan and the human rights 4581
 plan for the Jews of that state. And we all know 4582
 historically, what happened there. It lead to the 4583
 Holocaust. I don't mean to suggest that anything as 4584
 Draconian or that drastric is implied in this measure 4585
 that we are debating here tonight, but I must tell you 4586
 the reason why I'm voting to recommit and asking your 4587
 indulgence for the same vote. It's because I was 4588
 astounded and stunned when I and the rest of the 4589
 members sat across from the Chief Executive of this 4590
 state and appealed to him for input into a measure 4591
 that he said was for our benefit and we were denied 4592
 that wholeheartedly, coldly and I became immediately 4593
 suspect of the worth of anything that someone was 4594
 belaboring in my behalf and behalf of those like me 4595
 but won't allow me as the constitutional 4596
 representative of those people to make input. It is 4597
 clearly on this principle and nothing else ladies and 4598
 gentlemen that we are asking you to give us this 'aye' 4599
 vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Goodwin to explain his vote." 4595

Goodwin: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 4597
 House. I'm explaining my vote because I've been 4598
 practicing law for thirty years and every time I read 4599

this Bill, I find something else. We had no 4599
 opportunity to go through with this Bill. Now, I 4600
 heard the Chairman of the Committee state that the 4601
 urban league was here and was supporting this. We
 received this letter yesterday and it says 'I am 4602
 writing to express the concern regarding our support 4603
 to Senate Bill 1377, creating the Illinois Human
 Rights Act. Without the concurrence of the black 4604
 legislators in this House, we feel that amendments and 4605
 appropriate fundings to Senate Bill 1377 provides a 4606
 base for improving the current conditions in the area
 of affirmative action in this State for all blacks and 4607
 minority in the State of Illinois.' In other words, 4608
 they have changed their minds. They did not read the
 Bill and did not understand the Bill. And also at 4609
 that hearing, there were twelve black members of this 4610
 House who filed objections to it and we were ignored.
 And I resent that. We have been going through the 4611
 Bill and all this Bill is a recap of the Bills that 4612
 are already on the statutes. We have these civil 4613
 rights law. And it's a great idea to consolidate them
 and put them together. I am for a Bill like this, but 4614
 every time we read it, we find something else in it. 4615
 It was either intentionally done that way or it was a
 damned sloppy job. And I would like to call your 4616
 attention to a few of the things that we discovered. 4617
 This Bill would remove all existing statutory
 provisions that define deliberate civil rights 4618
 violations as misdemeanors and appropriate penal 4619
 provision, particularly in the area of public
 accommodations. Wide varieties of areas in this Bill 4620
 would eliminate any direct access of individuals to 4621
 the court for redress of civil rights violations.
 Instead, for the first time, under civil rights bills, 4622
 we have to exhaust administrative reviews and what now 4623
 can take two and three years. This was eliminated.

Our discoveries go on and on and on. And all we're asking this Body to do, is to please give us time to get input to do our jobs, like Representative Getty said, put this Bill in the shape it should be and I think it is a wonderful thing and we would be one of the first states in this nation to consolidate and put the civil rights under one head and make it powerful. We know nothing about the staff and we know nothing about the funding. And we know that the...when they were individual agencies, they were underfunded and understaffed. What is...I guarantee that this would not be if you put them all together and make one giant bureauracy and then have the people wade through. So I am asking you, please give us some more green votes up here so that we can do the job the way it should be. I beg of you to give us this time and this opportunity to get something together that is vital to everyone of us. I have been fighting for civil rights for 40 years and I'm still fighting for them no matter what some people in here might tell you. And we need this time because we want a good Bill to come out of here. So, I beseech you. Please give us some more green votes."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Gene Barnes to explain his vote."

Barnes: "Well, thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'm not going to belabor the point. I think it is pretty self-evident what some members of this House is attempting to do. But let me be very clear. When this Bill came over from the Senate, I was the prime Sponsor of it. I deliberately, after some people raised some questions with the Bill, left the Bill on Second...left the Bill to be posted to be called for a two week period, to afford those people that opportunity to read this Bill and to come up with positive suggestions relative to it. They failed to

do so. Did not intend to do it at that time. Does 4652
 not intend to do it at this time. Let me say to you 4653
 Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, some eight and a 4654
 half, now the ninth year that I have served in this
 General Assembly. There have been a very small 4655
 handful of members to address the vital issues 4656
 relative to human rights and relative to civil rights
 especially, in this state. Of those members, that I 4657
 feel here that it is of my admissity, each and every 4658
 one of those members that is still serving in this
 Body, and I can name them one by one if you wish, each 4659
 and every one of those members who in the last 15 4660
 years, last 15 years, addressed themselves to civil
 rights, fair employment practices and the whole 4661
 spectrum relative to equal opportunity in this State, 4662
 was..would number only 5 remaining members of this
 joint Body. Senator Harold Washington, Senator 4663
 Richard Newhouse, Senator Kenneth Hall, Representative 4664
 Ray Ewell and Representative Gene Barnes, who is now
 addressing you. I would like for you to take that 4665
 into consideration. All five of those gentlemen are 4666
 sponsoring and supporting this legislation. Not one
 other person, not one other person that had been 4667
 intimidating, threatening, passing around false 4668
 information, impugning various members of this House's
 character, impugning their motives. Not one of those 4669
 persons has ever addressed in the last 15 years this 4670
 area in this General Assembly, not one. I suggest to 4671
 you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that if you
 get down to what the issues are here, the issue does 4672
 not relate to the direct substance of this Bill. No 4673
 one, no one, from that group that has been so
 violently opposed to this Bill, has addressed the 4674
 substantive matter of this Bill. I submit to you, Mr. 4675
 Speaker and Members of this House, that everyone, that
 everyone has attempted to extend every courtesy that 4676

was humanly possible to anyone that had any opposition 4677
to Senate Bill 1377. To afford them the opportunity
to address that issue in a most judicious manner. 4678
That course has not been taken. This motion in 4679
itself, is a motion directed intentionally and
directed directly toward the Chairman of the Committee 4680
where this Bill was heard. This motion in itself is a 4681
motion to attempt in a round about way knowing full 4682
well, that this kind of motion only takes a simple
majority. Knowing full well, that if they would have 4683
to address this Bill when it takes a majority of the 4684
membership of this House that their chances were very
slim because they do not stand on facts. And their 4685
position is not based on the facts that rests in body 4686
within 1377. I solicit to you Mr. Speaker and Members
of the House, that the right course that we are taking 4687
here, and I solicit an overwhelming, an overwhelming 4688
defeat of this motion so that no one, no one that 4689
comes to this Body, can indicate to this Body in any
way, that they have some self-appointed right, some 4690
self-appointed right to overrule, to overrule, usurp, 4691
use in a most disgusting manner the procedures of this
House relative to an issue that was presented here. I 4692
say to every Member of this House on either side of 4693
the aisle, act on this issue as it relates to the 4694
Bill. Vote your conscience and you determine by the
information that is presented to you in fact, as it 4695
relates to this measure, but don't vote your 4696
conscience based intimidation and threats. Base your
vote based on personal, personal vindictiveness on a 4697
measure this important to this state. I solicit, 4698
solicit and proudly vote 'no' on this matter and urge
you to do so." 4699

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Gaines to explain his vote." 4700

Gaines: "A point of personal privilege. A point of personal 4702
privilege." 4703

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Gaines, you're being 4704
 recognized to explain your vote. Do you want to 4705
 explain..." 4706

Gaines: "A point of personal privilege." 4708

Speaker Flinn: "State your point." 4710

Gaines: "This man referred to me..." 4712

Speaker Flinn: "One moment please. Now, we can't 4713
 all...Representative Hanahan on a point of order." 4715

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, I, I, I've sat here very patiently 4716
 and listened to the abuse and I hope you call your 4717
 Parliamentarian. The abuse of somebody calling the 4718
 House to attention on the point of personal privilege. 4719
 Now, I don't know where some of the members have 4720
 gotten the idea that at any time 'willy nilly' you 4721
 could bring this House to a standstill or interrupt 4722
 deliberative Body action by using a tactic called 4723
 'personal privilege'. May the Parliamentarian make a 4724
 review of the issue of personal privilege and I have 4725
 in front of me a copy of that usage and it certainly 4726
 has been well abused today, because it says in a point 4727
 of personal privilege, questions of personal privilege 4728
 which seldom arise in ordinary society and even more 4729
 rarely, more rarely justify interruption of pending 4730
 business may relate, for example, to an incorrect 4731
 record of a member's participation in a meeting 4732
 contained in minutes approved in his absence or the 4733
 charges circulated against a member's character. I 4734
 don't know where these members are continuing to say 4735
 that personal privilege or any time, any time, any 4736
 time a person has mentioned his name in debate, any 4737
 time that a person's name is used in debate is not a 4738
 reason for personal privilege and I wish an 4739
 interpretation of the chair would take place." 4740

Speaker Flinn: "I would like to state that I have been more 4741
 than liberal with the point of personal privilege. I 4742
 have been more than liberal with the one minute thing 4743

because it is simply a very highly emotional issue. 4738
 The members who were allowed to speak beyond the one
 minute were standing all this time when the previous 4739
 question was moved on them. They wanted to speak on 4740
 the Bill. Now, we are going to proceed as we were and
 I recognize Representative Gaines to explain his 4741
 vote." 4742

Gaines: "Ladies and Gentleman, Representative Barnes said 4743
 that no one of his color had participated in any civil 4744
 rights action in this House, within the last 15 years. 4745
 And 4 years ago, Representative Wyvetter Younge and I, 4746
 she was the Chairman of the Sub-Committee
 investigating equal employment opportunity violations 4747
 in the State of Illinois. And as a member of the 4748
 Human Resources Committee, and as Spokesman last year,
 Representative Chapman and I held hearings on matters 4749
 pertaining to equal opportunities. So that perhaps 4750
 that others of us who are not in agreement with my
 District mate, who has also fought for civil rights. 4751
 And I wish he would make it clear that who he is 4752
 talking about, because if he's talking about me, I'm
 going to talk back. And I'll debate it on 39th Street 4753
 any day of the week. That's in our District. What 4754
 I'm saying is that there have been others of us who 4755
 have been active, and even though she was not in the
 legislature, Representative Carol Moseley Braun has 4756
 been active in the civil rights movement. She has 4757
 complaints about this Bill. What I'm saying is that I
 hope that both sides will refrain from using personal 4758
 attacks. But whenever I'm attacked, I'm going to 4759
 accept the responsibility to answer and that is
 personal privilege when someone describes you and says 4760
 that you have not done what you have come down here to 4761
 do. And I'm not going to stand for it." 4762

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Braun to explain her vote." 4763

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I 4765

have never taken issue with the fundamental structures 4766
 of this piece of legislation. Nor have I taken issue 4767
 with the fact that it combines existing civil rights
 authority. I think that's good. I think the Bill in 4768
 its concept and in its structure is good. But, having 4769
 practiced law for 6 years, not as long as 4770
 Representative Goodwin, I've gone over this Bill
 with...line by line and I regretfully have concluded 4771
 that it is simply a sloppy piece of legislation. 4772
 There are technical and typographical defects replete
 in this Bill. Now, you have before you today some 4773
 ninety odd amendments. Some of them are clearly 4774
 dilatory and I would be the first to vote against
 those. But not all of them are bad. In deed, the 4775
 Sponsors of the legislation have filed amendments to 4776
 clean up some, but not all of the technical and
 typographical defects in this Bill. Now it seems to 4777
 me as a legislature, we have a choice with this 4778
 motion. We can either sit here for the rest of the
 evening and tomorrow, as a Committee of the whole, and 4779
 proceed to clean up this legislation so as not to 4780
 engender unnecessary litigation when people go to
 enforce their rights under it. Or we can recommit it 4781
 to a Committee and have it cleaned up there. I for 4782
 one, think that that is the wisest course. I want to
 point out that we've already seen some of the painful 4783
 evidence of the split and the debate and the confusion 4784
 and the disagreements about this legislation. It's 4785
 just started. I think it's unfortunate. I wish it
 didn't happen. But, as a House, I believe that it 4786
 is...it is incumbent upon us to look at this 4787
 legislation as legislation and decide whether or not
 we would have it handled in a procedurally sensible 4788
 way by the Committee that is empowered to do such 4789
 things, or whether or not we would choose to do it as
 an entire Body. That is the full substance of my 4790

objection of my vote. Green on this Bill, and I urge 4791
 you again, to vote your own conscience and vote what 4792
 you think is the most appropriate course for 4793
 straightening up this legislation. But I urge you, it 4794
 must be straightened out before it is passed out of 4795
 this House and sent forward to an unsuspecting public 4796
 to formulate the basis of all civil rights for all
 people in the State of Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Before I recognize the next person to 4797
 explain their vote. I would ask the members to not 4798
 mention other member's names in debate, and maybe that 4799
 will move us along a little faster. Representative
 Henry to explain his vote." 4801

Henry: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this 4802
 great Body. This is my 65th day as a member of this 4803
 House and I have learned a great deal from both sides 4804
 of the aisle. I think I've made some friends. I
 don't want to make any enemies. I have a couple of 4805
 questions that I want to ask. I want to know why the 4806
 big rush, why the big rush, why are we running down 4807
 the river or driving down the highway with no one with
 glasses. Are the blind following the blind? Are 4808
 their deals made to please? Are we looking out for 4809
 our brothers in Southern Illinois? Are we looking out
 for our brothers on Lake Michigan, the middle of the 4810
 State, the East of the State, the West of the State?
 What are we doing? What are we doing? Why are we
 rushing? Can't we sit down as adults and look out 4812
 after the young men and women that I brought down from 4813
 the Westside City of Chicago today, 150. I want to 4814
 make the right decision if I have to affect the future
 leadership of this State. I don't care what color 4815
 they are. They are flesh and blood. They are men and 4816
 women of God. And God gave me the sense to recognize
 that there is something wrong when you rush fast. 4817
 You've been telling me, as a new member Bill Henry, 4818

you should sit down and watch and listen and not be heard. Well, Mr. Speaker, I would like for you to take this as my main speech. Win or lose. I'm not against Jim Taylor, I'm not against any member on the other side of the House. I'm not against a member of the Senate. I'm for the people of Illinois. Be black and white, young and old. I want it to be known that I do not want to rush into something that might affect every citizen. Handicapped, blind, aged, young. It affects every citizen in the State of Illinois. And I want to be right and I want to study this Bill. And I think if your conscience is right, you have God walking in front of you, you want to be right, and I believe this great Body of the State of Illinois wants to be right when it makes laws that affects the citizens. That's why I have that green button. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Taylor Pouncey to explain his vote."

Pouncey: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House. I think this is the first time in my 5 years that I've ever stood up to explain a vote or ask for help, except Lind-Bloom Park. But this evening, I'm standing to request more people to support this measure, because it is a serious matter. I have fought for civil rights for more than 40 years of my life. When I entered the Marine Corps some 30 some years ago, some people were treated less than human beings, but you survive to go on. I have been in this Democratic Party 20 some years. I was elected against the Democrat Party's wishes even. But I come down here to support a Party that I believe in and have supported since the Roosevelt days. I have never begged anyone of anything as long as I've been on this State of Illinois in this world for 56 years. No one has ever put any pressure on me. And I don't put

pressure on other people. I have been fired, 4845
 mistreated, denied, forsaken and everything else. I 4846
 have been in some of these same Committees where these 4847
 self-styled leaders stand up and say if you want to 4848
 fill out this Committee, take this other 2 tons with
 you. I have accepted stuff like that, but I accept it 4849
 because I couldn't do any better. There is no one in 4850
 this House that do not have a burden on their back.
 There's a division on that side of the aisle. There's 4851
 a division on this side of the aisle. There's a 4852
 division in the black members. But there is one or 4853
 two that I know that is just like the 12 or 14..12
 disciples that Christ had. He didn't have 12. One 4854
 forsakened him and two denied him. So if he could not 4855
 control 12 men, I don't expect nobody else to come
 here and hold 177 together. But, Ladies and 4856
 Gentlemen, this is a serious matter. I was here that 4857
 afternoon and all they asked is to hold the Bill. Set
 up...I've seen Committees set up, Sub-Committees set 4858
 up, Conference Committees set up, all kinds of 4859
 Committees set up, but no one has every said, let's
 sit down and talk about Senate Bill 1377. But if it 4860
 is the will of the people that we lose this Bill, I am 4861
 glad that it has been brought to the attention of 4862
 everybody that it is a crisis and it is more serious
 than you think. I ask you for help, please." 4864

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Ann Willer to explain her 4865
 vote." 4866

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Something strange I 4867
 noticed in all the discussion here about who is 4868
 interested and who is affected by this Bill. No one 4869
 has paid any attention to the fact that women are very
 much affected by this Bill and are very much 4870
 interested in this Bill. Not one woman was asked or 4871
 brought down to testify...asked to testify from this
 House. Not one woman legislator was consulted with in 4872

this House. One woman Senate had some part in it. 4873
 Not one women's group was brought down or invited down 4874
 to speak, except two groups of women who were both 4875
 black and that was the extent of the women's input. 4876
 So I sure's heck, and the women of the House should 4877
 sure as heck be stamping our feet too. However, I 4878
 think this is far too serious a matter to let your 4879
 pride get in the way. And I remember Jesse Madison 4880
 last year getting up and saying something pretty 4881
 great. When it came to voting on ERA, he said we 4882
 haven't been consulted. We're always counted. We're 4883
 never consulted. But he said, this is far too 4884
 important a matter and therefor, I vote yes. And I 4885
 haven't forgotten that. Bless his heart. This is a 4886
 matter that affects people of Illinois, unlike ERA, 4887
 which would have affected the Nation. But, I'm not 4888
 going to let my fit of pique and I am angry that no 4889
 woman member of this House was asked to be involved. 4890
 No woman's organization was asked to come down and 4891
 testify. But, I still think it's too important to let 4892
 my pride or our pride get in the way, as women, who 4893
 are very much affected, just as blacks are, by this 4894
 Bill. Everybody seems to be concerned about the 4895
 blacks and never mind the women. Well, I'm still 4896
 going to vote 'no' because I think this Bill should 4897
 come before it's perceived. I think it's too bad 4898
 people put so many amendments on. But, we need this 4899
 Bill and we need it as soon as possible, so I
 therefore vote 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Alexander to explain her 4894
 vote." 4895

Alexander: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House of 4896
 Representatives. I thank you for this opportunity to 4897
 address you. I have not addressed you too many times 4898
 this Session, being a freshman. But I would like to 4899
 say that each of us have been elected by people to

represent people, regardless of our race, color, or 4900
 creed. Each of us has the power of the vote button 4901
 that sits on the right side of our desks to use it
 rightfully, to use it wrongfully, to use it willfully, 4902
 as we so elect to do so. I doubt, very seriously, 4903
 that there has been more than 20 members of this House
 here, that have seriously sat down and read Senate 4904
 Bill 1377 with an open mind. You cannot read it with 4905
 a closed mind and hope to get something out of it. I 4906
 doubt, very seriously, that if we had really sat down
 and read it as to its good and bad merits. Senate 4907
 Bill 1377 directly affects all people. Maybe not you 4908
 today, maybe not you today, but what about tomorrow?
 Our State cannot afford the luxury of going on record 4909
 as having produced a watered down civil rights Bill. 4910
 Any piece of legislation dealing with the human rights 4911
 of people throughout the length and width of this
 State should be considered and this has not been done. 4912
 I would like to say only one other thing before I sit 4913
 down. I would like to say that this is not a black on
 black fight. It is a human rights fight for the 4914
 rights of all citizens. It is not a fight of the 4915
 Senate against the House of Representatives. It is a 4916
 fight for all people. I am sensitive to the needs 4917
 that this Bill lacks. I am asking those of you who
 can and in good conscience realize that there is a 4918
 deficiency, whatever it is, to cast a green vote to 4919
 put this Bill for reconsideration and I too will
 totally support and fight to see that this Bill is 4920
 heard in the Fall Session or Spring Session. Thank 4921
 you." 4922

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock to explain his vote. 4923
 Now, there are two other lights on, but those..Reilly 4924
 and Jones spoke in debate and so, as a result, I'm 4925
 going to recognize Representative Bullock, and that's
 the last one we have up here. Representative Jones, 4926

for what purpose do you arise?" 4927

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, in order to file a motion of this 4928
nature, it takes two according to the rules of this 4929
House and being one of the..." 4930

Speaker Flinn: "Well, which one of you wants to speak then?" 4931

Jones: "Being one of the ones required by the rules of the 4933
House, I request that I have the opportunity to 4934
speak." 4935

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock, is that alright with 4936
you? Is that okay with you if Representatives Jones 4937
speaks to explain his vote? Alright, I'll recognize 4938
both of you. Representative Bullock, to start with.
Representative Jones." 4940

Jones: "I, the rules does not say either/or, the rules say 4941
clearly that..." 4942

Speaker Flinn: "Well, I'm recognizing both of you. You 4943
speak now then. You go ahead and explain your vote. 4944
Representative Jones, you're recognized. You're 4945
recognized to explain your vote." 4946

Jones: "I was just trying to follow the rules of the House 4947
as have been adopted by this Body and in debate, as I 4948
pointed out and I believe that each member in the 4949
House is going to live to regret this, because, as I
pointed out, the Bill was acted on in violation of the 4950
House rules. But, however, I don't need to get on the 4951
floor and defend my civil rights record, but one of 4952
the first things you do when you read the Bill, it
reminds me of a great leader who served 36 years in 4953
this Body. And that is Representa...former 4954
Representative Corneal Davis and if those curtains was
open, you can see where the old Lincoln Hotel in 4955
Springfield stood and when you read this Bill, it's 4956
not what it is giving you, it's what is taken away
from you and it takes the Public Accommodation Act out 4957
of the Criminal Law for which Representative Corneal 4958
Davis spent a lifetime trying to get on the books. 4959

So, I am not one to stab him in the back. I am not 4959
 one to sell out to the Governor. I am not one to 4960
 stand by the Governor if he was so interested in the 4961
 people. You read in the headlines of this defendant's 4962
 sponsor of the Bill, you see what the Governor thought 4963
 of the poor people. He threatened to close Cook 4964
 County Hospital. Don't tell me or individuals you're 4965
 trying to put something together for me without having 4966
 my input into it. So, no, I didn't stab him in the 4967
 back. And, as it was pointed out by a previous 4968
 speaker, but you all see the Lincoln Hotel over there. 4969
 Corneal Davis spent a lifetime trying to get it 4970
 closed. But, you take that away from, as far as 4971
 public accommodations are concerned, and leave it to 4972
 administrative review. You can no longer go to Court 4973
 until you have exhausted the administrative review 4974
 remedies, and that may take three to five years. So, 4975
 in explaining my vote as a Sponsor of this motion, as 4976
 I previously stated, the Bill is out here in violation 4977
 of our House Rules. I have...I still have to defend 4978
 my civil rights records. For individuals who feel 4979
 that they do, let them do so. And if the Sponsors in 4980
 the Senate were so concerned, then why do we have 4981
 Senate Bill 1211 on the House Calendar, which we just 4982
 got through acting on and that is the Commission to 4983
 Study the Re-Organization of the Civil Rights 4984
 Agencies." 4985
 4986

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock to explain his vote." 4979

Bullock: "Ah, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 4981
 of the House. Mr. Speaker, you've been more than 4982
 gracious to extend extra time to persons on this 4983
 subject. We have consumed approximately one hour and 4984
 forty-five minutes on this motion. We have 4985
 approximately 93 amendments filed and 5 more 4986
 amendments are coming. I submit to you that the
 motion to recommit to Committee was done with the pure 4986

intention of allowing this Body to continue its 4987
 business and to address the critical issues of the
 State of Illinois. I suggest to you, you talk about 4988
 editorials, I have an editorial from a paper in 4989
 Southern Illinois, Decatur, Illinois, which probably
 summarizes my position on this matter, and I quote: 4990
 'One of the reasons for the plan's failure is Governor 4991
 Thompson's uncertain financial commitment to the 4992
 present agency. Hesitation by lawmakers is a healthy
 response to Thompson's vague commitment to the new 4993
 agency, especially if consolidation means a further 4994
 weakening of State Government's ability to protect the
 civil rights of Illinois citizens.' Now I submit to 4995
 you that the Decatur newspaper may not be the best 4996
 newspaper in the world, but the Decatur newspaper has
 talked to a very salient issue and it is proof 4997
 positive that this Bill should be recommitted and I 4998
 suggest to you that the Decatur newspaper will long 4999
 ring to be a sage in the debate on Senate Bill 1377."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 5001
 wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 5002
 question, there's 57 voting 'aye' and 94 voting 'no' 5003
 and this motion is lost. Now, we were on the Bullock
 motion to table Amendment #1, I believe. It's been so 5004
 long ago, I forgot too. Did we read that motion? 5005
 Read it again, please, just in case." 5006

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion, I move to table Amendment #1 to 5007
 Senate Bill 1377." 5008

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock, on the motion." 5010

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I did not file such a motion. I 5011
 certainly would withdraw that motion." 5013

Speaker Flinn: "Motion is withdrawn. Are there any further 5014
 amendments? Are there any floor amendments at all. 5015
 Are there some?" 5016

Clerk O'Brien: "There are 109 amendments." 5018

Speaker Flinn: "109. You'd better hurry up folks, if you're 5019

going to get any printed 'cause they're running out of 5020
room back there. Okay, read the first floor 5021
amendment. What's the number of the amendment?" 5022

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5023
Senate Bill 1377 on page line 25..." 5025

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock on Amendment #4. 5026
Change the board. Representative Bullock." 5028

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 5029
the House. This is a substantive amendment to Senate 5030
Bill 1377. In effect, this is one of 104 amendments 5031
that we will consider tonight. Some of the amendments 5032
are technical and some are substantive and some will 5033
be withdrawn. This is an amendment that I think 5034
deserves the consideration of every member of this 5035
House. This amendment relates to real estate 5036
transactions and racial steering. In real estate, 5037
racial steering is unlawful and members who support 5038
Representative Taylor and others of this amendment, 5039
believe that it's obvious when you have personage of 5040
different ancestry and different heritage. That those 5041
persons also have equal access to housing. This 5042
amendment is intended to afford equal access to 5043
housing. Not only single family units, but any type 5044
of housing consistent with the Public Accommodation 5045
Codes of this Bill. I respectfully urge that we get 5046
an 'aye' vote on Amendment #4. It's basic." 5047

Speaker Flinn: "Okay, Representative Kane." 5048

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table Amendment #4. Senate 5049
Bill 1377 is a Bill that reorganizes three agencies in 5050
state government, which are not involved in this Bill 5051
with enforcement of civil rights actions or changing 5052
current law, and I would move to table Amendment #4." 5053

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment 5054
#4...ah, table the motion, I guess you're talk...Well, 5055
we haven't adopted the amendment yet. Alright. 5056
Representative...well, I don't think we can have a 5057

discussion on a motion to table." 5054

Kane: "No, that's non-debatable." 5056

Speaker Flinn: "Alright. There are a number of people 5057
seeking recognition. Representative Kane, why don't 5058
we just vote the amendment up or down and it's the 5059
same thing...the same result, isn't it? It amounts to
the same thing if you vote it up or down as tabling 5060
it. Representative Kane." 5061

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I think to save the time of the House 5062
and most of these amendments are dilatory. A motion 5063
to table is non-debatable and I was moved to table 5064
Amendment #4." 5065

Speaker Flinn: "You're right. You're absolutely right. 5066
Okay. The motion is to table Amendment #4. All those 5067
in favor vote 'aye'...you cannot be debating on 5068
this...we're not going to...what's your
purpose...Representative Bullock. What's your purpose 5069
for arising?" 5070

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to make a parliamentary 5071
inquiry, if that's in order." 5073

Speaker Flinn: "Well, state your point." 5075

Bullock: "The amendment, Mr. Speaker, the question that I 5076
raise for the Parliamentarian to you, is to rule on 5077
the germaness of this amendment." 5078

Speaker Flinn: "That's your own amendment." 5080

Bullock: "Am I out of order, Mr. Chairman?" 5082

Speaker Flinn: "No, you can do that if you want. 5083
Absolutely." 5084

Bullock: "I am asking the chair to make a rule on the 5085
germaness of this amendment. That's all I'm asking." 5086

Speaker Flinn: "It is an unusual request, but of course 5088
we've had a...but this has been an unusual day too. 5089
Representative Bullock. What purpose do you arise, 5090
Representative Bullock?" 5091

Bullock: "I raise the question because Representative Kane 5092
raised the question." 5093

- Speaker Flinn: "Well, he didn't ask about the germaness of it, you did." 5094
- Bullock: "That is correct. So that's what prompted my question on germaness, Mr. Chairman. I know that it's unusual, but Representative Kane raised the question." 5095
5096
5097
- Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kane, for what purpose do you arise?" 5099
- Kane: "Mr. Speaker. I wasn't questioning the germaness of the amendment to the Acts covered in the Bill. I was saying that the intent of the Sponsor of the Bill and the intent of the Bill is to re-organize state government and not to change the enforcement powers of civil rights and for that reason, I was moving to table the amendment." 5100
5101
5102
5103
5104
5105
5106
- Speaker Flinn: "Okay. We're on your..on your motion just as soon as the Parliamentarian answers the question. I am advised that it is germane. So, the motion is to table Amendment #4. All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Gaines, for what purpose do you arise?" 5107
5108
5109
5110
- Gaines: "Is it proper for us to explain our votes on this?" 5111
- Speaker Flinn: "The rules provide that you can, but obviously, it won't do much good, according to the board. Alright, explain your vote. Representative Gaines to explain his vote." 5112
5114
5115
5116
5117
- Gaines: "I am voting 'no' on this because I think that each and every amendment should be properly discussed. When those of you who have amendments to your Bills, you normally have them discussed. And I don't hear anybody this Session, jumping up to table an amendment without a discussion, except on this Bill. So, therefore, I am questioning the integrity of the man who does it." 5118
5119
5120
5121
5122
- Speaker Flinn: "Representative Jones to explain his vote." 5123
- Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. On the motion itself, it will explain on the motion, is that I feel that the 5124
5126
5127

amendment should be debated because I am not one of those who jumps up and says that I am a fighter for civil rights. I don't have to stand on the House floor and say that. This amendment deals with civil rights. It deals with minorities or any person that has a desire to live where they choose. This is what this amendment deals with. It deals with a person having a right to live where they choose. That's a civil rights portion so therefore, I done vote 'no' on the motion, but individuals who don't want people to live where they choose and the board looks very strange up there, that individuals are jumping up saying that they are so strong for civil rights, they are voting against people having the right to live where they choose."

Speaker Flinn: "Now, I am going to make an announcement here, just for a moment. I'm going to turn the timer on the, the stop watch on. I've been more than fair so far, up here, and I plan to stop everybody at one minute explaining their vote. It is absolutely useless to explain you vote when the vote's like this. So, that's what I'm going to do. Now, Representative Frederick, for what purpose do you rise?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker. Am I recognized or am I not? Sit down."

Speaker Flinn: "Yes you are. Representative Friedrich is recognized."

Friedrich: "When I have the floor, I don't interrupt you and you don't interrupt me. Alright I don't care how..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Friedrich, you are recognized not to argue with the members, but to...recognized to speak to the crowd. Representative Friedrich, turn him on. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I think you ruled improperly, because I think if you can't debate a motion to table, then you can't explain your vote. But, in the absence

- of that, I move that the rule which allows explanation of votes be suspended on the next 104 amendments." 5159
5161
- Speaker Flinn: "Well, if you want to make the motion after this Roll Call, I'll recognize you for it, but it's out of order at the time. We're in the middle of the Roll Call. Representative Taylor to explain you vote and I apologize to you, Representative Taylor, but you're the first one that I'm going to put the stop watch on." 5162
5163
5164
5165
5167
5168
- Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, it won't take that much time, but if you're tired up in the chair, I am prepared to relieve you as a leader. I think I have that right too. I will sit up there and take the time to see that these amendments are discussed tonight and that's not asking too much. I am getting kicked every other way. Can I use...can I have the chair for a while, Mr. Speaker? I will recognize the right people." 5169
5170
5171
5172
5173
5174
5175
- Speaker Flinn: "Well, I'm not tired yet, Jimmy. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 98 voting 'aye' and 43 voting 'no' and Amendment #4 is tabled. Further amendments." 5176
5177
5178
5180
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5. Bullock-Taylor. Amends Senate Bill 1377 on page 38 and so forth." 5181
5183
- Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock. Representative Taylor." 5184
5185
- Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #5." 5186
5187
- Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kane." Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I move to lay that motion on the table and the amendment on the table. Substitute motion." 5189
5191
- Speaker Flinn: "I was distracted there. What..state your..." 5192
5193
- Kane: "I offer a substitute motion to table Amendment #5." 5194
- Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #5. It's not...we cannot discuss it, so we're going" 5196
5197

to vote on it. All those in favor vote 'aye', those 5198
oppose vote 'no'. Representative Deuster, do you want
to explain your vote? One minute. The timer's on and 5199
the stop clock is on too." 5200

Deuster: "Somebody just said don't explain your vote. I'm 5201
just saying, I remember in my first term, RTA was 5202
considered in a manner like this. I don't care what 5203
the minority group is. I think if we're going to have
amendments offered, it's not good sport to just to 5204
table them one after another. Apparently that's what 5205
some people want to do. It's not popular to hang 5206
around, listen to amendments, but I'll tell you, we'd
be in a lot better shape in this State when we 5207
considered RTA in my first term if we'd considered 5208
some of those amendments and I don't know too much
about civil rights, but I think that we at least owe 5209
some decent respect to those opinions of those people 5210
who are concerned about this, whatever the merits of
their concern might be." 5212

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock to explain his vote. 5213
The timer's on." 5214

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to explain my vote. 5215
Representative Taylor will handle the rest of these 5216
amendments until such time that he gets tired. I'm a 5217
little bit younger than Representative Taylor and I
have some proclivity and I don't want to be emotional 5218
about the matter, but I submit to you, you're 5219
trampling on the rights of members who are your
colleagues in this House by tabling every one of these 5220
amendments. They are substantive and they address 5221
some real problems in the State, but if it's the will
of this Body that you don't give very much 5222
consideration to civil rights and to the deficiencies 5223
of a Bill, then I certainly would not want to 5224
inconvenience you at this time and I wouldn't want to 5225
inconvenience the House at this time. And that's why

I made the motion to recommit. So Representative Taylor as the Chief Sponsor of these amendments will handle it and when he tires, I will merely read them and we'll listen to Representative Kane make the motions to table and we'll get you out in time." 5226
5227
5228
5230

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Gaines to explain your vote. One minute..." 5231
5232

Gaines: "Ah, Mr. Speaker. At the proper time, I wish to ask the affirmative Roll Call to be verified." 5233
5235

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kane. For what purpose do you arise?" 5236
5237

Kane: "I think the rules provide for the Speaker to rule those kinds of motions as dilatory and I'd ask you to rule in that way." 5238
5239
5240

Speaker Flinn: "I'll rule on it when the time comes. Any...Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 88 voting 'aye' and 45 voting 'no' and Amendment #5 loses. Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you arise?" 5241
5242
5243
5244
5245

Friedrich: "I filed a motion in connection with the explanation of vote. I gave it to the Clerk." 5246
5248

Speaker Flinn: "The Clerk will read the motion." 5250

Clerk O'Brien: "I move to suspend Rule 56b in connection with consideration of the amendment in connection with Senate Bill 1377. Representative Dwight Friedrich." 5252
5253

Speaker Flinn: "You've heard the motion. Representative Matijevich." 5255
5256

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to that motion. I do it for various reasons. One, I know how emotional this issue is with certain members of this Body. I don't want to take that right away from them. I frankly think that it is wrong to simply table the motion...the amendments without even giving the Sponsor of the amendment the opportunity to close," 5257
5258
5259
5260
5261
5262

because I think that's not good procedure. Secondly, 5263
 I don't want to in these last few days of the Session
 to establish this priority. I mean establish this 5264
 precedence because I don't know what's coming down the 5265
 line as far as the road program, as far as RTA and 5266
 there may be a thousand amendments. I don't know. 5267
 And I don't want somebody in the late hours of this
 Session to preclude me from doing whatever I have to 5268
 do on an issue that is going to be very emotional with 5269
 me. So, I think that all of you had better take 5270
 another look. I think that there was rightful reason
 to commit this thing back to Committee because there 5271
 were many, many objections. But above all, I think 5272
 you had better not preclude somebody with an emotional
 issue from at least explaining their stand and surely 5273
 not at this time, because we don't know what's coming 5274
 down the road that may affect every, every one of us. 5275
 So, I stand in opposition to Representative
 Friedrich's motion." 5276

Speaker Flinn: "Friedrich." 5278

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker. Normally, when this number of 5279
 amendments are filed, they're not, and I don't suggest 5280
 that in this case, but normally at least when there 5281
 are that many amendments filed on a Bill, they are
 there for dilatory purposes. I'm not suggesting these 5282
 are or aren't. But, a while ago, we went through an 5283
 hour of explanation of votes and I don't think that's 5284
 necessary. Now, what I'm going to do is withdraw this
 motion for the time being, but if we get into an 5285
 epidemic of vote explanations, I can assure you that I 5286
 am going to reinstate it." 5287

Speaker Flinn: "The motion is withdrawn. Any further 5288
 amendments on Senate Bill 1377?" 5289

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #..." 5291

Speaker Flinn: "Just a minute. Representative Huff for what 5292
 purpose do you arise?" 5293

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to say this. 5294
That when..if and when Representative Friedrich 5295
decides to reinstate that motion, I am going to 5296
support it. And I want to support it for this reason,
because we represent in our aggregate number of 14 or 5297
15 members, some 3,000,000 or more people. None of us 5298
on this floor really know how many. And I think that 5299
it is particularly painful for me to sit here and see
our efforts be slopped over, even the Press is gone. 5300
Two-thirds of the members are gone. This is a charade 5301
and I'm not going to sit here and suffer through this.
I think what we need to do..we see we're not going to 5302
get any response or compassion from those that we are 5303
asking to try to understand our side. Those 99 5304
amendments that Representative Bullock and the
Minority Assistant...Majority Assistant Leader got, 5305
it's not going to get any consideration. We're not 5306
kidding anybody here. We represent over, we don't
know how many people. In the millions, and we're not 5307
getting the consideration here that just refers to us 5308
and the people that we represent. We're talking to a 5309
House that is echoing with our empty pleas. I'm not
going to suffer through it and I'm not going to ask my 5310
people that I represent to suffer through this either. 5311
I have dignity and I'm going to protect it, because 5312
one day it may come to be that there will be all kind
of different kind of face down here. And I'm..it's 5313
necessary. I'm going to come out and suggest what we 5314
may have to do to get our problems redressed properly
is form a whole new damn Party." 5316

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Schraeder." 5318

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker. I would like to say a few 5319
things and I'm going to be very brief and you know I'm 5320
kind of considered a minority in the Democratic Party. 5321
And that's alright. I don't object to that, but I've 5322
sat here today for an hour, an hour and a half and two

hours and a motion was lost to put this back in 5323
 Committee. And now, we're discussing the amendments 5324
 to the Bill and there are many and suffice it to say
 that I don't know what those amendments contain. But 5325
 I am quite sure out of a hundred amendments, there 5326
 ought to be some and probably a lot of them that are 5327
 essential to passage of a good piece of legislation,
 and I think it's a travesty on the people of the State 5328
 of Illinois represented in this General Assembly that 5329
 we aren't allowed to hear those amendments because 5330
 some people here do not want to take the time to
 listen to civil rights legislation. And I haven't 5331
 always been favorable to civil rights legislation, 5332
 among other things. But I think it's a travesty if
 the people that we represent that the people who 5333
 offered these amendments and they represent 185,000 5334
 people per district, roughly that. I think they're
 entitled to that. I don't want to sit here all night 5335
 and listen to amendments, but when I had my amendment 5336
 up on 575, I had more courtesy than I've had in a long 5337
 time. I lost my amendment, but I had a good hearing
 and I think that we ought to be gentlemen and ladies 5338
 enough and representative enough of the people to sit 5339
 down and listen to these amendments and I think that's
 a common courtesy. That's what we're here for and if 5340
 we can't do that, then we don't have a democracy. We 5341
 have a travesty of justice and I think it's a very 5342
 very sad day." 5343

Speaker Flinn: "Further amendments." 5345

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5346
 Senate Bill 1377 on page 38 by inserting after line 15 5347
 the following." 5348

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Taylor." 5350

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 5351
 Amendment #6 is release of tenantry. Attempt to make 5352
 a person hold. It exists in the Anti-Trust Law, both 5353

state and federal. Damage can be applied to someone 5353
 by not monopoly. I move for the adoption of Amendment 5354
 #6." 5355

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of 5356
 Amendment #6. Representative Kane on the motion." 5358

Kane: "Substitute motion to table Amendment #6." 5360

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative 5361
 Kane, has moved to table Amendment #6. All those in 5362
 favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Have 5363
 all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The 5364
 Clerk will take the record. On this question, there 5365
 are 72 'ayes', 50 'nays' and the motion to table 5366
 pass..is approved. The amendment lost. I'll try the 5366
 voice vote until we get in trouble, then we'll go back 5368
 to the Roll Call again. Any further amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Representative Taylor." 5370

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I would hope that you would give me a 5371
 Roll Call vote please." 5372

Speaker Flinn: "You're in..you will be insisting on a Roll 5373
 Call. I'll continue with them. There's no point in 5374
 starting an argument. Further amendments." 5375

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5376
 Senate Bill 1377 on page 22 by inserting after line 32 5377
 the following and so forth." 5378

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook has moved that the 5379
 adoption of..." 5380

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker. Amendment #7 seeks civil action prior 5381
 to exhaustion of administrative remnanty. It 5382
 eliminates all limitation of direct action. I move 5383
 for the adoption of Amendment #7." 5384

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Kane, the Gentleman from 5385
 Sangamon, Representative Kane." 5387

Kane: "Again, Mr. Speaker, this is a re-organization Bill, 5388
 not a Bill to substantively change enforcement powers 5389
 and I move to table Amendment #7." 5390

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment 5391

#7. All those in favor vote 'aye', those oppose vote 5392
 'no'. Representative Cullerton." 5393

Cullerton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 5394
 of the House. I'm rising to explain my 'no' vote. I 5395
 think this is very inconsistent with our previous vote 5396
 to recommit this to Committee. Now, I voted with 5397
 Representative Kane on that because I thought we were 5398
 going to sit here and discuss the merit of these 5399
 amendments. That's why he said he didn't want it to 5400
 go back to his Committee. We can discuss it on the 5401
 House floor. Now, if these amendments are dilatory, 5402
 he can make a motion and it can be ruled on as being 5403
 dilatory or not, but just to blanketly deny us the 5404
 right to debate the merits of these motions. Now this 5405
 Bill, as I understand it, is over 50 pages long. 5406
 There's got to be some amendments to it that are 5407
 worthwhile. Perhaps even Representative Taylor can 5408
 tell us which ones or withdraw the ones that he thinks 5410
 are dilatory. But I think it is definitely 5411
 inconsistent to vote not to recommit it to Committee 5412
 and then to vote to table the amendments and not even 5413
 allow us to debate them." 5414
 5415

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Taylor." 5416

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know if there is any amendment 5417
 that is dilatory at this point. Should I run up on 5418
 one that is dilatory, I will gladly have it withdrawn, 5419
 but at this point Mr. Speaker, I think that it is 5420
 important for the members of this House to understand 5421
 just what these amendments do." 5422

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 5416
 wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 5417
 question there are 75 voting 'aye' and 57 voting 'no' 5418
 and Amendment #7 is tabled. Further amendments." 5419

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5420
 Senate Bill 1377 on page 57 by deleting the unnumbered 5421
 line preceding line 28 and so forth." 5422

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Taylor." 5424

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #8 5425
 repeals the Criminal Code laws. It deletes and 5426
 restores the Criminal Penalty Code and provides 5427
 ...criminal actions. I solicit your support for
 Amendment #8." 5428

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative 5429
 Kane." 5430

Kane: "Move to table Amendment #8." 5432

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment 5433
 #8. All those in favor, vote 'aye' and those opposed 5434
 vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 5435
 who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this
 question, there 64 voting 'aye' and 55 voting 'no', 5436
 and Amendment #8 is tabled. Further amendments." 5438

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5439
 Senate Bill 1377 on page 28 in each of lines 8, 10 and 5440
 26 and so forth." 5441

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Taylor." 5443

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker. I withdraw Amendment #9." 5445

Speaker Flinn: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #9. Further 5446
 amendments." 5447

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #10. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5448
 Senate Bill 1377 on page 28..." 5449

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Taylor." 5451

Taylor: "Amendment #10 creates a human rights advocates 5452
 division. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I 5453
 move for the adoption of Amendment #10." 5454

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of 5455
 Amendment #10. The Gentleman from Sangamon, 5456
 Representative Kane." 5457

Kane: "Move to table Amendment #10." 5459

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment 5460
 #10. All those in favor vote 'aye', and those oppose 5461
 vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 5462
 who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this

question, there are 69 voting 'aye' and 53 voting 'no' 5463
 and Amendment #10 is tabled. Further amendments." 5465

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #11. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5466
 Senate Bill 1377 on page 9 line 2 and so forth." 5468

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Taylor." 5470

Taylor: "Amendment #11 is the EEO administrative function. 5471
 It provides agencies representative to EEOC 5472
 administrator to be placed directly under the 5473
 Department of Human Rights managerially and
 budgetarily. I move for adoption of Amendment #11 5474
 to Senate Bill 1377." 5475

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for adoption of 5476
 Amendment #11. Representative Emil Jones." 5478

Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and I rise in support of 5479
 Amendment #11. What Amendment #11 does is simply 5480
 this. If you are concerned with affirmative action in 5481
 this state, then you will support this amendment. If
 you are concerned with civil rights, you will support 5482
 this amendment, because as long as the Chief Executive 5483
 of this state has the right to appoint directors and 5484
 those persons charged with affirmative action, work in
 the various agencies and departments and the agency 5485
 and department heads are their boss, then those 5486
 individuals cannot, they cannot work out effectively
 as far as affirmative actions are concerned. What 5487
 this amendment does is make those persons employees of 5488
 this particular agency where they can act independent
 of the Governor's Office. So, if you want a strong 5489
 Civil Rights Bill, as you have so indicated, then you 5490
 will vote for this amendment." 5491

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matijevich." 5493

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this 5494
 amendment. As chairman of the Appropriations 5495
 Committee for two terms now, Mr. Speaker, we have 5496
 tried to do what we can to implement and for
 affirmative action. And if it weren't for Wyvetter 5497

- Younge, who is a member of our committee, I don't think we'd have gotten off of first base. If this is going to be a truly Civil Rights Bill, I believe as Representative Jones has just said, that this is a very important amendment. I have seen in Committee where the agency directors have tried to fluff us off when the issues of affirmative action has come before the Committee and we don't have much time in the Appropriation Committee and we're under the gun. And, we haven't been able to do the job that is rightly necessary. So, I applaud those who have offered this amendment and I think it can go a long way. Possibly longer than much of what is in the substance of the original Bill. Because it means so much for jobs in the state of Illinois for all people. For women. For minorities. So, I urge the members to support this amendment." 5498
5499
5500
5501
5502
5503
5504
5505
5506
5507
5508
5509
- Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane." 5510
5511
- Kane: "Mr. Speaker. What this amendment does is to set up a duplicate function in each agency that is in the Bill, included in the main agency and I would offer a substitute motion to table Amendment #11." 5512
5513
5514
5515
- Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has offered a substitute motion to table Amendment #11. All those in favor, vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 76 voting 'aye' and 53 voting 'no' and Amendment #11 is tabled. Further amendments." 5516
5517
5518
5519
5520
5521
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12. Bullock-Taylor. Amends Senate Bill 1377 on page 19 by inserting after line 21 the following." 5522
5523
5524
- Speaker Flinn: "Representative Taylor." 5525
- Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #12 is a civil right violation. Because this is under the federal 5526
5527
5528

statute, it should also be included in the state 5529
statute. I move for the adoption of Amendment #12."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of 5531
Amendment #12. Representative Tim Johnson." 5533

Johnson: "Well, before we start processing through all these 5534
amendments and rejecting Representatives Bullock and 5535
Taylor out of hand. I don't think that's fair and I 5536
don't think that's good government. I think this is a
good amendment. It's something that clarifies the law 5537
as it ought to be and certainly makes clear that our 5538
civil rights laws are out of the middle ages and I 5539
would certainly urge a 'yes' vote on this amendment or
a 'no' vote on Representative Kane's motion to table." 5540

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kane." 5543

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I would offer a substitute motion to 5544
table Amendment #12. What Amendment #12 does is 5545
repeat the United States Constitution and I would 5546
table the amendment." 5547

Speaker Flinn: "I don't think we ought to discuss the ..." 5548

Kane: "Okay, I move to table Amendment #12." 5551

Speaker Flinn: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved to offer a 5552
substitute motion that we will table Amendment #12. 5553
All those in favor vote 'aye' and all those opposed 5554
vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 5555
question, there are 72 voting 'aye' and 67 voting 'no' 5556
and Amendment #12 is...Representative Gaines." 5557

Gaines: "Can we have a verification on this? I think it's 5558
close enough. Can't be called dilatory. We've had 5559
verification on larger span than this. Less than 10 5560
votes, less than 15 vote span there, Mr. Chairman.
Can't be called dilatory. 'Aye' vote." 5562

Speaker Flinn: "Gentleman has asked for the verification of 5563
the 'aye' vote." 5564

Gaines: "And poll of the absentees. I ask that for the 5565
Gentleman." 5566

Speaker Flinn: "He also requested to poll the absentees. 5567
 Clerk, poll the absentees. I would suggest while 5568
 we're polling the absentees, if you want to vote, when 5569
 your name is called, vote and don't interrupt the
 reading of it and that will help the Clerk out. He 5570
 can mark it when he's right there. Those of you who 5571
 did not vote and are here and wish to vote, vote when 5572
 your name is called. Poll the absentees." 5573

Clerk O'Brien: "Brummer. Capparelli. Currie." 5575

Speaker Flinn: "Capparelli 'aye'. Capparelli, no you had 5576
 your hand up, pointing upwards." 5578

Clerk O'Brien: "DiPrima. Doyle. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. 5579
 Garbisa. Goodwin." 5580

Speaker Flinn: "Goodwin, 'no'." 5582

Clerk O'Brien: "Hanaban." 5584

Speaker Flinn: "Did you pass Currie already? I don't think 5585
 everybody was listening to me. A few moments ago, I 5586
 asked that when your name was called to indicate if 5587
 you wish to vote. Which way do you wish to vote,
 Currie? Currie votes 'no'. Representative Kane, for 5588
 what purpose do you rise?" 5589

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, since this is a substitute motion to 5590
 table, I would...to save the time of the House on two 5591
 verifications, I would withdraw my motion to table and 5592
 we can go back to the main motion to do adopt. And
 that way, we can end up with only one verification 5593
 instead of two verifications." 5594

Speaker Flinn: "Dump the Roll Call. Dump the Roll Call. 5595
 The motion is by Representative Taylor to adopt 5596
 Amendment #12. All those in favor vote 'aye' and all 5597
 those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish?
 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the 5598
 record. On this question there are 54 voting 'aye' 5599
 and 76 voting 'no' and Amendment #12 loses. Further
 amendments." 5600

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #13. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5601

Senate Bill 1377 on page 19 by inserting after line 21 5602
the following." 5603

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Taylor." 5605

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 5606
Amendment #13 is a civil rights violation. It refers 5607
to the conspiracy which obstructs justice, a person's 5608
civil rights and I think someone has been trying to 5609
obstruct justice here. I think it's a good amendment
and should be adopted. Move for the adoption of 5610
Amendment #13." 5611

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of 5612
Amendment #13. Representative Kane." 5614

Kane: "Substitute motion to table Amendment #13." 5616

Speaker Flinn: "Gentleman has offered a substitute motion to 5617
table Amendment #13. All those in favor vote 'aye' 5618
and those oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? 5619
Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the
record. On this question there is 70 voting 'aye' and 5620
57 voting 'no' and Amendment #13 is tabled. Further 5621
amendments." 5622

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5623
Senate Bill 1377 on page 40 by inserting after line 18 5624
the following." 5625

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock." 5627

Bullock: "Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 5628
This is indeed a substantive amendment. It's the 5629
waiver of solvent immunity in administrative court 5630
proceedings and this in effect says that the State of 5631
Illinois can in fact be a defender in a proceeding by 5632
anyone who feels that they are willfully discriminated 5633
against under the provisions of this Act, and I would 5634
respectfully request and urge an affirmative vote on 5635
this amendment." 5636

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of 5636
Amendment #14. Representative Emil Jones. 5637
Representative Tim Johnson." 5638

Johnson: "Well, I don't know whether...it's not a point of 5639
personal privilege..I want to speak to this. I really 5640
hope that the members of this House look at the 5641
amendments that are presented. I disagreed with the 5642
amendments that they have presented, but I don't know 5643
how people can stand here and vote time after time 5644
after time to reject amendments, in many of the cases 5645
of which, or at least some cases of which have 5646
substance. This amendment has as much substance as 5647
you're ever going to find in an amendment to any Bill. 5648
Why do we say that it's alright to prosecute private 5649
individuals or anyone else for various sorts of 5650
discriminatory actions, but it's alright for the state 5651
to discriminate. It's alright for the state of 5652
Illinois to discriminate in housing and employment and 5653
otherwise, but we want to make a double standard. If 5654
we want to set the example we ought to have in terms 5655
of equal opportunities, in civil rights, we ought to 5656
live by the same standards as anybody else. And I 5657
urge a 'no' vote on Representative Kane's anticipated 5658
motion to table. This is a good amendment and it 5659
ought to be supported." 5660

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kane." 5661

Kane: "Move to table Amendment #14." 5662

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Jones, I recognized you a 5663
while ago and you waived over to Johnson and I got 5664
back to Kane, who had his light on. Now I'm trying to 5665
be fair here and I don't like being challenged on each 5666
and every thing I do. Now, the Gentleman has offered 5667
a substitute motion to table Amendment #14. All those 5668
in favor vote 'aye' and all those opposed vote 'no'. 5669
Representative Jones to explain his vote. Emil 5670
Jones." 5671

Jones: "Yes Mr. Speaker. Very briefly to the membership of 5672
the Body. As I stated earlier, you have to know what 5673
this Bill is doing. The Bill is taking from you, sure 5674

it's a good Bill, but you've got to know what the Bill is doing. It is taking it from the people of the state of Illinois. Currently, this law is not on the books. A person has that right, but this Bill that is currently drafted will strip the individuals of that right. So, one should vote 'no' against this amendment." 5669
5670
5671
5672
5673

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Slape." 5675

Slape: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would like to rise this time to point out today the amendments that have been offered here tonight are very important amendments to very large segments of the population of the state of Illinois. I did not know the motive behind the good Representative from Sangamon County intentions on offering a substitute motion on each and every one of these amendments. But, then in the name of democracy and in the name of fair play, I would ask him now to give the sponsors of these amendments their day in court and their time before this Body. Thank you." 5676
5677
5678
5679
5680
5681
5682
5683
5684

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 59 'ayes' and 72 'nos' and the motion to table loses. The question is now, Representative Bullock has moved to adopt Amendment #14. All those in favor, vote 'aye', all those opposed...somebody...oh, Representative Cullerton, I overlooked you, sorry. Representative Cullerton on Amendment #14." 5685
5686
5687
5688
5689
5690
5691

Cullerton: "I wanted to ask Mr. Bullock a question. How is this different under current law? All I have is a summary here and all it says solvent immunity. I wonder if you could just explain it as to how it changes current law." 5692
5693
5694
5695

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock to answer a question." 5696
5697

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Representative Cullerton," 5698

this does not presently exist under state statutes and 5699
 Representative Tim Johnson explained it quite 5700
 adequately and I could not add anything to what he
 said. That is the essence of the amendment." 5702

Cullerton: "Under the...under the Bill, right now without 5703
 this amendment, there's no way you can sue the state 5704
 of Illinois?" 5705

Bullock: "That is correct, Representative Cullerton." 5707

Cullerton: "Thank you." 5709

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Skinner." 5711

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I see no reason to make the automatic 5712
 presumption that the state of Illinois is not going to 5713
 discriminate, based on race or any other reason. I 5714
 think the state of Illinois has discriminated within
 my history against my county when my county board 5715
 asked the state to do something and the county refused 5717
 to even though it was obvious that the weight of
 evidence would be on the side of the state doing 5718
 something, and I can understand why this amendment 5719
 would be offered. I wonder if I could ask Mr. Johnson
 a question about its impact, since I am not a lawyer 5720
 and he is." 5721

Speaker Flinn: "Well, Mr. Johnson is not the Sponsor..." 5723

Skinner: "I know that, but he seems to understand it as well 5724
 as anyone. May I?" 5725

Speaker Flinn: "Alright. Where's Johnson at? Tim Johnson." 5726

Skinner: "Well, when he comes back, perhaps you could 5728
 recognize me and I could ask the question..." 5730

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Reilly." 5732

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker. The 1970 Constitution specifically 5733
 says that solvent immunity is waived. There is no 5734
 need for this provision first of all. The 5735
 Constitution says solvent immunity is waived except as
 otherwise provided by law. There is no law that 5736
 imposes solvent immunity on this point. Number two, 5737
 number two, the reason we reject this amendment and 5738

Stan Johnson, who I think a great many of you respect 5739
down in the Reference Bureau, can explain it better 5740
than I, but it raises grave legal problems when you
start putting constitutional language in a statute. 5741
Language that's already in the constitution and so for 5742
those reasons, it seems to me that we're opposing the
amendment. Representative Huff." 5744

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 5745
House. Well, this is exactly...in response to the 5746
last speaker, this is exactly the force of this 5747
amendment, is to put language in the law where the
constitution is solvent. This is a good Bill and I 5748
solicit your 'aye' vote." 5749

Speaker Flinn: "The question is shall...Representative 5750
Skinner, you've already talked once. Turn him on. 5751
Turn Skinner on. For what purpose do you arise?" 5752

Skinner: "Well, I rise because I thought I still had 5753
recognition and all of a sudden my light went out as 5754
well as...." 5755

Speaker Flinn: "Well, if I cut you off, I'm sorry, but I..." 5756

Skinner: "I would like to ask Representative Johnson to 5758
comment on what his colleague, the lawyer from 5759
Jacksonville said. I know there's another side and
I'd like to hear it." 5761

Speaker Flinn: "Representative...Representative Peters, for 5762
what purpose do you arise?" 5763

Peters: "Well, Mr. Speaker, after the Gentleman from 5764
Champaign gets through, I think I would like to hear 5765
what Representatives Leinenweber, Grossi, Hallock, 5766
certainly McClain and Matijevich and Greiman and Katz
and what all of those Gentlemen have to give us 5767
another perspective." 5768

Speaker Flinn: "Alright. I agree with you. I should not 5769
recognize someone else to answer questions on the 5770
floor other than the Sponsor, so the question is shall 5771
Senate Amendment...Amendment #14 be adopted to Senate

Bill 1377? Representative Bullock, you're recognized before we have the Roll Call." 5772

Bullock: "I would just like to close. Could I close, Mr. Chairman?" 5773

Speaker Flinn: "Sure. Sorry I overlooked you. I left.." 5774

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, Flinn, we have enacted subsequent statute in this state dealing with solventy immunity and we re-established solvent immunity in this state under the Constitution of the State of Illinois. And for that reason, we are offering Amendment #14, which will waive solvent immunity in administrative court proceedings. And, in fact, the State of Illinois can be a defendant in those proceedings." 5775

Speaker Flinn: "The question is, shall we adopt Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 1377. All those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Borchers to explain his vote." 5777

Borchers: "To explain my vote, I want to point out to you people here that we're going to clog up the courts from Chicago down to Cairo, Illinois and all we're going to do is just have one big trouble after another." 5779

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McClain." 5792

McClain: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. For your knowledge on this amendment, part of the definition of freedom from unlawful discrimination is also unfavorable discharge from the military service in association with employment, so its not just on marital status, age, ancestry, natural origin, physical or mental handicapped, color, religion or sex. It's also unfavorable discharge from military service, so in other words, if we have a military preference as part of our law, now we have a second law that says unfavorable discharge from military service is a definite conflict and I think on that basis alone," 5795

this amendment should be defeated." 5805

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 5806
wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 5807
question there are 58 voting 'aye' and 82 voting 'no' 5808
and Amendment #14 is not adopted. Further 5809
amendments." 5810

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #15. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5810
Senate Bill 1377 on page 19 by inserting after line 21 5811
the following." 5812

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock." 5814

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, this amendment deals with equal 5815
rights...Mr. Speaker, this amendment deals with equal 5816
rights under the law and generally, what this 5817
amendment would do would make Illinois law equal to 5818
protection for all persons. It's a clarifying 5819
language and it is an addition and once again, I 5820
respect and urge an 'aye' vote on Amendment #15." 5821

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kane." 5822

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?" 5824

Speaker Flinn: "He indicates he will." 5826

Kane: "What new categories of cases can be brought with this 5827
language, if this language is adopted?" 5829

Bullock: "Basically, Representative Kane, we're talking 5830
about the issues of public education and I think that 5831
you are well aware that we include this addition for 5832
the protection of all persons, that we are in fact 5833
referring to categories that are additions, as I said. 5834
We have defined the categories in the Bill and they 5835
are three categories and this would include any 5836
additional categories under the law, as presently 5837
constituted." 5838

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kane." 5838

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 5839
This amendment adds nothing to the law. It adds no 5840
additional categories of coverage and it adds no 5841
additional enforcement powers and I would urge that

this amendment be defeated." 5843

Speaker Flinn: "Okay, Representative Robbins, the Gentleman 5844
from Wayne." 5845

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, does the Gentleman know that has been 5846
offering the amendments, I have been trying to support 5847
them some, but whenever they come in and say in an 5848
amendment that we are not equal under the law and they
don't really expect to be treated equal under the law, 5849
as far as everybody else. It just says as enjoyed by 5850
white citizens. I think this is a front to the people 5851
that are white. I think that we should realize that
we have one Country. We should consider ourselves one 5852
and not try to discriminate against white or black." 5853

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock to close." 5856

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 5857
I respectfully say that this is under United States 5858
Constitutional Code, U. S. Code, and it is federal 5859
law, it is basic, it's primary and I urge adoption of
amendment." 5860

Speaker Flinn: "The question is, shall Amendment #15 be 5861
adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye' and those 5862
oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all 5863
voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On
this question, there's 38 voting 'aye' and 84 voting 5864
'no' and Amendment #15 is not adopted. Further 5865
amendments." 5866

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #16. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5867
Senate Bill 1377 on page 19 by inserting after line 6 5868
the following. Article 5A Public Education and so 5869
forth." 5870

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 5871
Bullock." 5872

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 5873
This amendment addresses a critical subject of public 5874
education. It adds the issue of public educational 5875
system for desegregation under the law. I

respectfully urge that this amendment be adopted. It 5876
affects a critical subject of education in our schools 5877
and I think all of us are in support of equal 5878
opportunity in education and I would urge an 'aye' 5879
vote." 5880

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock moves the 5880
adoption of Amendment #16. The Gentleman from 5881
Sangamon, Representative Kane." 5882

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I would oppose 5883
this amendment. What this amendment does is add 5884
public education as the jurisdiction under this Act, 5885
however, it does not relate to jurisdiction to the 5886
powers and duties to the entities created by the Act. 5887
There are no standards for desegregation in this 5888
amendment, nor does the amendment indicate what action 5889
constitutes a civil rights violation by local school 5890
boards and it also changes enforcement mechanisms to 5891
the court and I would urge the defeat of this 5892
amendment." 5893

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock to close." 5894

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 5895
the House. I think the amendment has been adequately 5896
explained and I think this Bill is of landmark status 5897
and it certainly should include the provisions for 5898
public education and I would urge an 'aye' vote." 5899

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock moves the 5900
adoption of Amendment #16. Those in favor, signify by 5901
voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all 5902
voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take 5903
the record. On this issue, there are 43 'ayes', 86 5904
'nos', 3 voting 'present' and the amendment fails. 5905
Further amendments." 5906

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #17. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5907
Senate Bill 1377 on page 58 by inserting after line 16 5908
the following and so forth." 5909

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 5910

Bullock." 5908

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to withdraw the two 5909
subsequent amendments, 17 and 18." 5911

Speaker Matijevich: "17 and 18. Leave to withdraw. Leave. 5912
Further amendments." 5913

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #19. Catania. Amends Senate Bill 5914
1377 on page 58 by inserting after line 11 the 5915
following." 5916

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative 5917
Catania." 5918

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 5919
Amendment #19 does something in this Bill that we have 5920
done in House Bill 1053 already. It says that the 5921
language which is spelled out on page 54 of this Bill 5922
about nondiscrimination on credit card applications 5923
will, in fact, be printed on credit card applications. 5924
I move for adoption of Amendment #19." 5924

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Catania moves for the 5925
adoption of Amendment #19. The Gentleman from 5926
Sangamon, Representative Kane." 5927

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This 5928
is a good amendment and I would support Representative 5929
Catania." 5930

Speaker Matijevich: "All in favor of Amendment #19 say 'aye, 5931
opposed 'no', and Amendment #19 is adopted. Further 5932
amendments?" 5933

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #20. Bullock-Taylor. Amends 5934
Senate Bill 1377 on page 18 by deleting lines 22 thru 5935
27 and so forth." 5936

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 5937
Bullock." 5938

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 5939
the House. Amendment #20 attempts to appeal on a 5940
present exemption in this Bill. It will require an 5941
abolition of private clubs exception from the 5942
anti-discrimination requirement. I think that the

Bill is regressive in nature and that it provides this exemption and this amendment would in fact eliminate that exemption under the Bill. And I urge an 'aye' vote." 5943

5944

5945

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock moves the adoption of Amendment 20. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane." 5946

5947

5948

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would oppose Amendment #20, what Amendment #20 does is include private clubs under the jurisdiction of this Bill. This Bill specifically deals with re-organization of existing powers. We are not adding powers to existing agencies and I would urge the defeat of this amendment." 5949

5950

5951

5952

5953

5954

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Emil Jones to close." 5956

Jones: "No, Mr. Speaker. I didn't want to close. I wanted to ask the Sponsor a question." 5957

5959

Speaker Matijevich: "Does he have leave? Leave. Proceed." 5960

Jones: "Does this Bill, as it is now drafted, exempt private clubs throughout the state, as far as the violation of one's civil rights?" 5962

5963

5964

Speaker Matijevich: "Bullock, for the answer. Representative Bullock." 5965

5966

Bullock: "Yes, Representative Jones. It does exempt private clubs." 5967

5968

Jones: "What is the current law that deals with private clubs?" 5969

5970

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock." 5972

Bullock: "Private clubs, Representative Jones, are excluded under the law." 5973

5974

Jones: "So, therefore, if I were to go to a private club and I was denied admittance, then I would have no remedy under this Bill. Am I correct?" 5975

5976

5977

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker." 5979

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock." 5981

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker. The Bill on page 18, lines 22 and 5982

27, quite clearly, exempts private clubs or 5983
 establishments, in fact not opened to the public 5984
 except to the extent that those goods and services,
 advantages and accommodations are made available to 5985
 customers. This to me is a blatant omission in the
 Bill. It's intentional. We know that, through the 5986
 years, that court litigation has proceeded on the 5987
 subject of private clubs, both in Chicago and outside
 of Chicago. And when those clubs have not given equal 5988
 access to all citizens of the state, there has been 5989
 litigation and in some cases, it has been successful.
 And this Bill, if not amended, if this amendment is 5990
 not adopted, those clubs would in fact be granted 5991
 reprieve and could discriminate. And for that reason, 5992
 I have introduced, along with Representative Taylor,
 this amendment to strike the exemption of private 5993
 clubs and bring it into conformity with present 5994
 statute." 5995

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 5996
 Eugene Barnes." 5997

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members 5998
 of the House, in addressing this amendment. This Bill 5999
 will not do anything relative to the status of private 6000
 clubs which is not now current law. This private 6001
 clubs is now exempted under the federal public
 accommodations law. The reason it was exempted is 6002
 because private clubs get into the grave
 constitutional question of the private individual's 6003
 rights. That's the reason it is exempted from the 6004
 public accommodations section of the federal law.
 This Bill, therefore, followed, tracked federal law 6005
 exactly. Therefore, the exemption exists here and is 6006
 in conformity of the current law as it now exists in
 this state. This amendment, I think, would raise 6008
 very, very serious questions relative to the private
 rights of an individual. This is a very dangerous, 6009

very dangerous step to take and I would question the wisdom of adopting this kind of amendment into the Illinois statute. I think that this amendment should be defeated." 6010

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Alexander." 6011

Alexander: "May I ask the Sponsor a question?" 6012

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will yield." 6013

Alexander: "Ah, Representative Bullock, in pretense, I was on my way down here to the General Assembly to perform the duties by the persons who had elected me..." 6014

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, could we give the Lady some order?" 6016

Alexander: "...and I had a breakdown enroute..." 6018

Speaker Redmond: "Could we have some order?" 6019

Alexander: "...and I had to be stranded and found an establishment and wandered into it, as a woman, trying to seek help, would I be discriminated against at that point? On my way to the General Assembly where laws are made." 6020

Bullock: "Representative Alexander, if this Bill were to become law, prima facia, you could be discriminated against and there would be no statute in which you could seek remedy. Federal law grant private clubs that exemption. The present statute on the State of Illinois do not grant that exemption and this Bill would in fact restore that provision of the law, so that you could seek redress and hopefully be made hold for the injury that you suffered as a result of willfull discrimination." 6021

Alexander: "Thank you." 6022

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock to close." 6023

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I urge an affirmative vote." 6024

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #20. Those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'..voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk

will take the record. On this question, there are 36 6049
 'ayes', 88 'nos', and 1 voting 'present' and the 6050
 amendment fails. Further amendments." 6051

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #21. Bullock-Taylor. Amends Senate 6053
 Bill 1377, on page 16, by inserting between include 6054
 and the colon, the word only." 6055

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 6056
 Bullock." 6057

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 6058
 Amendment #21, addresses the subject of credit cards 6059
 and credit financial institutions. It clarifies the 6060
 exemption criteria for nondiscriminatory credit loans, 6061
 which we've addressed in this General Assembly and it 6062
 makes this provision overall inclusive. And I would
 certainly would urge an 'aye' vote on Amendment #21."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock, moves the 6064
 adoption of Amendment 21. The Gentleman from 6065
 Sangamon, Representative Kane." 6066

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I 6067
 would oppose Amendment #1...#21. What the present 6068
 Bill does, is list three sound underwriting practices 6069
 and it says that underwriting practices or sound 6070
 underwriting practices, include these three things. 6071
 Which is willingness and ability to repay the market 6072
 value of proposed security, diversification of the 6073
 institutions investment portfolio. If this Amendment
 is adopted, the effect of it would be, is to say that
 these three criteria, are the only sound underwriting
 practices, and I don't think that we want to make this
 an exhaustive list of what sound underwriting
 practices are. And, I would urge the defeat of
 Amendment #21." 6077

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock, to close." 6079

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I urge an 'aye' vote." 6081

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock, has move the 6082
 adoption of Amendment 21. Those in favor signify by 6083

voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all 6084
voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take
the record. On this issue, there are 29 'ayes', 82 6085
'nos', 1 'present'. Amendment 21 fails. There's been 6086
an inquiry of the Chair, whether we are going to
adjourn at 8:00 or continue. I've talked to the 6087
Speaker, we are going to continue through this Bill, 6088
so... All right. The Amendment 22..." 6089

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 22. Bullock-Taylor. Amends Senate 6090
Bill 1377..." 6091

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 6092
Bullock." 6093

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 6094
This deals with the structure of the Bill. It deals 6095
with the commission itself, as established by the 6096
statute or the proposed statute. And this Amendment
in fact says, enforcement orders shall be enforced by 6097
the Commission, and the Commission shall enforce its 6098
own orders and not the designated Department of Human 6099
Rights. And, I would respectfully urge an 'aye' vote
on Amendment 22." 6100

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock, moves for the 6101
adoption of Amendment #22. The Gentleman from Cook, 6102
Representative Taylor. Representative Taylor, did you 6103
want recognition? Representative Taylor. The
Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane." 6105

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd 6106
oppose Amendment #22. What the Amendment would do, is 6107
allow the Commission to enforce its own order, placing 6108
the Commission that is set up by this Bill, which is
a quasi-judicial body in an adversary role with 6109
itself. What the Bill, as it presently is written, 6110
gives the Department of Human...gives the Department
the enforcement powers and I would urge the defeat of 6111
Amendment #22." 6112

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock moves for the 6113

- adoption of Amendment 22. All those in favor, signify 6114
 by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have 6115
 all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will
 take the record. On this issue, there 35 'ayes', 79 6116
 'nays', 4 'present'. Amendment 22 is lost. Further 6117
 Amendments?" 6118
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment 23. Bullock-Taylor. Amends Senate 6119
 Bill 1377..." 6120
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 6121
 Bullock." 6122
- Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 6123
 Amendment 23, is indeed a substantive procedural 6124
 Amendment. It certainly has, in that effect of 6125
 streamlining the proceedings for redress, that one of
 the earlier spokesman had attempted to identify. This 6126
 Amendment would allow a class action procedure, and 6127
 that those charges brought on in behalf of persons is 6128
 two or more, could be grouped together in a class
 action suit. I think this is very basic to existing 6129
 statute and existing law and, I know of no one who 6130
 would oppose this on its merit." 6131
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock, moves the 6132
 adoption of Amendment 23. The Gentleman from Cook, 6133
 Representative Getty." 6134
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I certainly want 6135
 to rise in support of this Amendment and I would urge 6136
 each of you to look at this and although most of you 6137
 have been voting against these Amendments, I think 6138
 this is one that you should sincerely consider. This
 would permit streamlining. If there were a case where 6139
 there was a pattern of discrimination, it would permit 6140
 a class action to be brought. Rather than having
 multiplicity of cases, it would be one case that could 6141
 be dealt with administratively. I think this is the 6142
 sort of thing that needed to be addressed in 6143
 Committee, that was not addressed in Committee. I 6144

think that the least that you can do, is to judge 6144
 these Amendments on their merits. This is an 6145
 Amendment that you should accept. I wish that the 6146
 Sponsor of the Bill, Representative Reilly, would look
 at this Amendment and consider it and accept it." 6148

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, 6149
 Representative Kane." 6150

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I 6151
 would oppose Amendment #23. As you attorney's know 6152
 and I'm not an attorney, the whole area of class 6153
 action is a complicated area, that recent court
 decisions have changed the jurisdiction of class 6154
 action. The present Bill sets up provisions for 6155
 individual complaints. If there is a need for class
 action, class action should be filed in the courts and 6156
 not before a quasi-judicial administrative agency. 6157
 And I'd urge the defeat of Amendment #23." 6158

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman, Representative Bullock, 6159
 moves for the adoption of Amendment #23. Those in 6160
 favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 6161
 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all
 voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On 6162
 this question there are 47 'ayes', 71 'nays', 1 6163
 'present'. Amendment 23 fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 24. Greiman. Amends Senate Bill 6165
 1377, on page 2, on line 13..." 6167

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative 6168
 Greiman." 6169

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 6170
 the House. Three weeks ago, this House passed House 6171
 Bill 65, ending discrimination in aging and mandatory 6172
 retirement, ah in Illinois. We passed out 127 to 7, 6173
 and it is on Third Reading in the Senate at this time.
 This Amendment merely takes the language of House Bill 6174
 65, which ends discrimination by reason of aging and 6175
 drafts it onto this Bill. It is a reasonable

Amendment...it is...and it passed out of here by 6176
 large, by large measures. And, I ask that we do...we 6177
 can form our past work with this fine Bill." 6178

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman moves the 6179
 adoption of Amendment 24. The Sponsor of the Bill, 6180
 from Morgan, Representative Reilly." 6181

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 6182
 House. With reluctance that I rise to oppose 6183
 Representative Greiman's Amendment. I have been a 6184
 Cosponsor with him both times that he has presented
 what has been House Bill 65, it's a principal I 6185
 believe in. The problem and the reason I oppose the 6186
 Amendment, is very simple. Twice, this has gone to 6187
 the Senate, twice it has gotten bombed there. I just
 don't want to jeopardize the Bill for that purpose. 6188
 If we can get the votes in the Senate to pass House 6189
 Bill 65, I would certainly join with Representative
 Greiman in doing everything I can in that regard. 6190
 But, I would beg you, even though the principal is 6191
 good, that we not load up the Bill with this Amendment
 because, it just is going to create grave problems for 6192
 the Bill in the Senate. The Bill as it stands, House 6193
 Bill...Senate Bill 1377, goes a great long way in this
 direction, and its an agreed Bill, in that regard. 6194
 That is it's not going to receive the kind of 6195
 opposition it will, with this Amendment. I would just 6196
 ask you to reject the Amendment. At least get this
 major step taken and go on with the Bill." 6198

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Kane...ah from Sangamon, 6199
 Representative Kane." 6200

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I 6201
 would oppose Amendment #24. Age discrimination is 6202
 already covered in the Bill and the discrimination 6203
 that is covered in the Bill includes the ages of
 between 40 and 70. If this Amendment is adopted, 6204
 there will be no limitation on discrimination because 6205

of age. Even though this Amendment would make the 6206
 Bill more dramatic, what it does is jeopardize the
 Bill. What it also does is extend discrimination or 6207
 the law covering discrimination beyond current 6208
 categories, which is not the purpose of this Bill.
 The limitation included in Senate Bill 1377, tracks 6209
 federal law and makes Illinois eligible for federal 6210
 funds. One other thing that this Amendment does, is
 it exempts apprentice programs from being able to 6211
 discriminate. The Department of Labor objects to the 6212
 inclusion of apprenticeship programs. And, I would 6213
 urge the defeat of Amendment #24." 6214

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 6215
 Greiman, to close." 6216

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Some substance of the 6217
 opposition is, that it would make the Bill more 6218
 difficult and I suppose that's true. Difficult, but 6219
 that's what we're here for. We're here to fight for
 human rights. Rights of all kinds of people, 6220
 including aged and that's never an easy fight. We've 6221
 sent a Bill across, now that Bill...if this Bill
 becomes law, House Bill 65 then, even if passed by the 6222
 Senate, cannot become law, because it will no longer 6223
 mesh with the Fair Employment Practices Act. So that
 we...are then essentially conning ourselves. But 6224
 another con is, that somehow this Bill provides job 6225
 security for people along tracking the federal
 program. The truth is, that that's not so. The debt 6226
 allows...that prohibits mandatory retirement of bus 6227
 boys, of waitresses, people who are not in interstate
 commerce. The federal government has already moved it 6228
 to 70. This Amendment moves it beyond that. It 6229
 conforms with a Bill, that we've already passed out of 6230
 this House. It would be...it would be a hypocritical
 act, for this House now to defeat Amendment 24. And, 6231
 I don't believe that we're a hypocritical House. I 6232

ask that we adopt Amendment 24." 6233

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman, moves the 6234
 adoption of Amendment 24. All in favor signify by 6235
 voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all 6236
 voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take 6237
 the record. On this question, there are 56 'ayes', 66 6238
 'nays', 1 present. Amendment 24 fails. Further 6239
 Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #25. Bullock-Taylor. Amends Senate 6240
 Bill 1377." 6241

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative 6242
 Bullock." 6243

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 6244
 the House. This is indeed a technical Amendment, 6245
 which is clarifying in nature and it deals with real 6246
 property transactions and, it merely extends 6247
 protection against discrimination of real property in 6248
 addition to real estate. And, I don't think it's 6249
 controversial and I certainly would urge an 'aye' 6250
 vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock, moves the 6251
 adoption of Amendment #25. The Gentleman from Cook, 6252
 Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Ah, just one brief question of the Sponsor, Mr. 6253
 Speaker." 6254

Speaker Matijevich: "He yields." 6255

Leverenz: "Could you...I didn't understand that. Did you 6257
 say the difference between real property and real 6258
 estate?" 6259

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock." 6261

Bullock: "This addresses the real estate transaction section 6262
 of the Bill, Representative Leverenz. It merely 6263
 extends protection against discrimination of real 6264
 property, we're adding the word personal to it." 6265

Leverenz: "I didn't here that the first time, thank you." 6267

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, 6268

Representative Kane." 6269

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I 6270
 would oppose Amendment #25. The definition of 6271
 personal property, that would be included in the 6272
 prohibition of discrimination, the sale of it, is 6273
 imprecise. It's extensive, it goes beyond present 6274
 existing federal and state law. And, I would urge the 6275
 defeat of Amendment #25." 6276

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved for the 6277
 adoption of Amendment #25. All those in favor, 6278
 signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. 6279
 The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock." 6280

Bullock: "I just wanted to clear the record, Mr. Chairman. 6281
 The previous Gentleman is both misstating fact and 6282
 circumstances. Existing law does state clearly, the 6283
 definitions that I have presented to this Body. And, 6284
 it does indeed include the language that I am 6285
 referring to in terms of properties and the 6286
 Constitution even of itself precludes of Illinois, 6287
 does preclude...." 6288

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk 6289
 will take the record. On this issue, there are 39 6290
 'ayes', 85 'nos', 2 'present' and Amendment #25 fails. 6291
 Further Amendments?" 6292

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #26. Bullock-Taylor. Amends Senate 6293
 Bill 1377..." 6294

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 6295
 Bullock." 6296

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask leave of the body to 6297
 have Amendment #26 through Amendment 33, considered on 6298
 the same Roll Call and, I shall briefly explain them 6299
 all." 6300

Speaker Matijevich: "Do we have leave to consider Amendments 6301
 26 through 33, on one Roll Call? Leave. Proceed with 6302
 the..." 6303

Bullock: "Mr. Chairman, this series of Amendments, encompass 6304

the United States Constitution. First Amendment 6302
 right, Second Amendment right, Third Amendment right, 6303
 Fourth Amendment right, Fifth Amendment right, Sixth
 Amendment right, Seventh Amendment right, Eighth 6304
 Amendment right, Fourteenth Amendment right. The 6305
 Thirteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, the
 Fifteenth Amendment, the Nineteenth Amendment, the 6306
 Sixteenth Amendment and the Twenty-fourth Amendment to 6307
 the United States Constitution, regarding freedom
 under the U.S. Code. It extends those federal rights 6308
 against discrimination and to state 6309
 anti-discrimination law. And, I would respectfully
 urge an 'aye' vote." 6310

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock has moved the 6311
 adoption of Amendment #26. The Gentleman...the 6312
 Gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti, for what 6313
 purpose do you rise Sir?" 6314

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 6315
 House. I agreed with Don Deuster, I agreed with 6316
 everyone here, that everybody should have there day in 6317
 court. Everybody should have a right to hear the
 Amendments that they want to be heard. But, when they 6318
 insult our intelligence and ask us to include the 6319
 United States Constitution in the state statute books,
 cluttering up the books just that much more. All this 6321
 is, is a federal Constitution and there asking us to 6322
 put it on the state statutes. Now this is
 ridiculous." 6323

Speaker Matijevich: "It may be a little more than that. The 6324
 Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson." 6326

Johnson: "Well, with all due respect to the previous 6327
 speaker, it is more than that. Under a current law, 6328
 the way a private or an individual has to seek redress 6329
 for his...violation of his constitutional rights to go
 to court. Then years, and a lot of money and a lot of 6330
 attorneys fees to vindicate himself. This simply 6331

says, the right to free speech, the right to freedom 6331
of assembly, the right to bear arms, the right to 6332
freedom from unreasonable searches and seizures, have 6333
administrative remedies. Where real people on a level 6334
can seek redress for their grievances. I think it
gives real meaning to the Constitution, the Bill of 6335
Rights' and I urge its adoption." 6336

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 6337
Getty." 6338

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the Body. Representative 6339
Johnson, was absolutely right. If you really believe 6340
in this Bill, it will do nothing but good to adopt 6341
these Amendments. This will permit a person to seek
administrative redress, for grievance. I think that 6342
to vote against this concept, is to say no, no, we're 6343
going to force federal law suits on civil rights
issues. If we're going to have meaning to a Bill such 6344
as this, incorporate the principals of the Bill of 6345
Rights' into it, so that a person can on an
administrative level seek to have redress of his 6346
grievances. Support this." 6347

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, 6348
Representative Darrow. Darrow. We don't have anybody 6349
at the console." 6350

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 6351
House. For you downstate Legislators who are always 6352
approached by fans of hunting clubs and other gun 6353
organizations about a right to bear arms, I would
remind you that you are given that right under this 6354
Amendment. So, if you want to continue to keep your 6355
guns and not be barred from them and, not have all 6356
this gun control legislation, you should be in favor
of this Amendment." 6357

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 6358
Mugalian." 6359

Mugalian: "I think we are playing games with this Amendment. 6360

Ah...this is really kind of you know, patently absurd. 6361

We got the entire Bill of Rights', we re-recited in 6362

this Act. I thought we were sincerely concerned about 6363

improving the delivery of human relations services and

protecting the rights that are already in our Illinois 6364

law. This Bill is intended to streamline and 6365

modernize the area of human relations. What this

Amendment does, is make a mockery of the whole 6366

situation and I'm surprised that they were'nt taken 6367

out of the record, because I was sure it was a joke."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, 6369

Representative Borchers." 6370

Borchers: "I really meant to explain my vote. But, all I've 6371

got to say about the Bill of Rights' is, I intend to 6372

keep my guns under the Constitution of the United 6373

States and that's it. I don't mean to ever give them

up. The hell with it." 6375

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, 6376

Representative Kane." 6377

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd 6378

agree with the previous speakers. These are 6379

ridiculous Amendments and I'd urge their defeat." 6380

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock, to close. 6381

Bullock to close." 6382

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 6383

the House. Representative Darrow, Representative 6384

Getty, Representative Johnson have echoed my 6385

sentiments entirely. I think that we all understand 6386

the Amendment and, we all believe in support of the 6387

Constitution of the United States. And, I think no 6388

more, no less should be done in the State of Illinois 6389

with legislation as a vantage and as broad sweeping 6390

as the proposal on the Senate Bill 1377, under the 6391

Sponsorship of Representative Reilly." 6392

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock has moved for 6391

the adoption of Amendments 26 through 33. All those 6392

in favor, signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Deuster, from Lake, to explain his vote." 6393
6394

Deuster: "Very briefly. It's not entirely silly to put Constitutional provisions in the state statutes, because what you do is, you allow a person to enforce his rights in the circuit court and the state court, rather than going into federal court. Sometimes that does facilitate and help people to enforce their rights." 6395
6396
6397
6398
6399
6400

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 54 'ayes', 77 'nays', 2 voting 'present', and the Amendments 26. through 33 are declared lost. Further Amendments?" 6401
6402
6403
6405

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #34." 6407

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you arise?" 6408
6410

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I think I have made my point. I don't intend to keep the Membership here any longer than need be. All I asked in Committee was for a fair hearing. To date, I do not believe that the Chairman gave me a fair hearing. I do not believe it was intended to give me a fair hearing. I have worked in this House for 11 years. I've sat here until 12:00, 1:00, 2:00, 3:00, 4:00 o'clock in the morning to give every Member the opportunity to have his day in court. That's all I have ever asked for. Whether you agree with me on this measure or not, I did feel, and I feel strongly about it now, I know for a fact that we have many points to be made. There are some Amendments that they have agreed to accept, no major substantive Amendments, but, indeed, it does show that we were on the case and that there are Amendments that are needed for this Bill. I will call the Amendments off by their number, those that are for Larry Bullock and 6411
6412
6413
6414
6415
6416
6417
6418
6419
6420
6421
6422
6423

myself. We will table the rest of them. These 6424
 Amendments are Amendments that have been accepted
 according to the Leadership on the other side of the 6425
 aisle. But I make no apology, no apology for my stand 6426
 on what I think that this Legislative Body is all 6427
 about. And I think that I deserve my day in court as
 well as anyone else. And I've seen many of you fight 6428
 for the day that you felt was important to your 6429
 District. I resent the fact that many of my good 6430
 friends that I've supported have merely voted against
 me because of a deal with Governor Thompson. I have 6431
 made no deals. I only ask that you give me my day in 6432
 court." 6433

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. So the Chair has this 6434
 straight, Representative Taylor, you are asking leave 6435
 to withdraw from Amendments 35 all Amendments except 6436
 those that you are now going to enunciate which have
 the agreement of the Sponsor, Jim Reilly? Is that 6437
 correct?" 6438

Taylor: "That's correct." 6440

Speaker Matijevich: "All right." 6442

Taylor: "Amendment #40." 6444

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #40 has the agreement... Do 6445
 we have.. All those in favor of Amendment #40 say 6446
 'aye'; opposed 'no', and Amendment #40 is adopted." 6447

Taylor: "Amendment #46." 6449

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #46. All those in favor say 6450
 'aye'; opposed 'no', and Amendment #46 is adopted." 6452

Taylor: "Amendment #59." 6454

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #59. All those in favor 6455
 signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no', and Amendment 6456
 #59 is adopted." 6457

Taylor: "Amendment #61." 6459

Speaker Matijevich: "Number 61. All those in favor signify 6460
 by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no', and Amendment #61 is 6461
 adopted. One moment. Jim. One moment. The Lady 6462

from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite, for what purpose do you arise?" 6462

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I object to this method of procedure..." 6463

Speaker Matijevich: "All right." 6464

Satterthwaite: "It was my understanding of what had been indicated by the Sponsor of these Amendments that he was going to read a list of Amendments for which there could be consideration and that the others would be withdrawn. He may know what these Amendments contain and he may have agreement with the Sponsor of the Bill, but I don't know what they include and I would like to have discussion of them." 6465

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. Let the record show your objection. It'll be journalized. There's a lot of people that had objections to the other procedure. The Gentleman from... from Sangamon, Representative Kane, for what purpose do you arise?" 6466

Kane: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think that what's good for the goose is good for the gander. I think that if Representative Taylor wants to withdraw those other Amendments except those, I think that Representative Satterthwaite is well within her rights to ask that at least the Amendments that we are going to adopt be explained." 6467

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. I'll accept the Body... Does the Body... By motion of Representative Taylor's, the Body feels and vote say 'aye'... If you approve the procedure that Representative Taylor has already started, all those say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. All those in favor of this procedure that we've had signify by voting 'aye'; opposed by voting 'no'. And by the way, we'll be out of here in five minutes, if you vote 'aye' on this Roll Call. And those who order their food are out of luck. All right 81 ayes... 'Ayes' have it. Now proceed, Representative Taylor." 6468

What is the next one?" 6494

Taylor: "Amendment #63, it deletes 'color'." 6496

Speaker Matijevich: "Number 63, those in favor signify by 6497
saying 'aye'; opposed 'no', and Amendment 63 is 6498
adopted." 6499

Taylor: "Amendment #67." 6501

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #67, Representative Taylor 6502
moves for the adoption. All in favor signify by 6503
saying 'aye'; opposed 'no' and Amendment #67 is 6504
adopted." 6505

Taylor: "Amendment #76." 6507

Speaker Matijevich: "Number 76. Those in favor signify by 6508
voting...saying 'aye'; opposed 'nay', and 76 is 6509
adopted." 6510

Taylor: "Amendment #77." 6512

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #77. Those in favor signify 6513
by saying 'aye'; opposed 'nay', and 77 is adopted." 6515

Taylor: "Amendment #79, changes the effective date of..." 6517

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. The Gentleman from Lake, 6518
Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you 6519
arise?" 6520

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I make a point of order and request 6521
at the same time. I would request that the Journal 6522
reflect that I vote 'no' on all of these because I'm 6523
going to review these Amendments with having in mind 6524
filing a motion...I will.. I want to be recorded as 6526
voting for all of these Amendments because I'm going 6527
to review them having in mind to make a motion to 6528
reconsider them cause I think this is a ridiculous way
to conduct a Legislative Body, to call off numbers. 6529
Nobody here knows what they are and I don't think.. 6530
You know, I'm willing to stay. The rest of you can go
home, but I'm going to stay home.. stay here and we
can hear these at least briefly explained." 6531

Speaker Matijevich: "All right..." 6533

Deuster: "And I think you would agree that that's..." 6535

Speaker Matijevich: "That's why I asked the whole Body to 6536
 vote on it and the Majority ruled, which I think is a 6537
 proper way. Representative Taylor, the next.. You're 6538
 on the record. And you will be journalized as
 objecting to this. We can get out of here in about 6539
 five minutes. Representative Taylor." 6540

Taylor: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #79 just changes 6541
 the..." 6542

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #79. All those in favor say 6543
 'aye'; opposed 'nay', and 79 is adopted. Proceed, 6544
 Representative Taylor." 6545

Taylor: "Amendment #85 removes the sexual language 6546
 throughout the Act." 6547

Speaker Matijevich: "Eighty-five. All those in favor 6548
 signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'nay', and 85 is 6549
 adopted. We have only got a couple more. Come on..., 6550
 you're such a nice guy. Proceed, Representative
 Taylor." 6551

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House..." 6553

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. Representative Gaines is.. 6554
 One moment. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
 Gaines." 6555
 6556

Gaines: "No one has the authority to table my Amendment and 6557
 to pass by two of them." 6558

Speaker Matijevich: "Well..." 6560

Gaines: "I insist that my Amendments be heard, even if you 6561
 vote them down." 6562

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, I'm sorry, Representative Gaines, 6563
 but when we started this, I said, 'Do we have leave to
 withdraw all Amendments...'" 6564
 6565

Gaines: "No one can withdraw, but the Sponsor of the 6566
 Amendment. No one can withdraw, but the Sponsor of
 the Amendment. When did you change the rules of the 6567
 House?" 6568
 6569

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, Taylor... Representative Gaines, 6570
 if you'll listen real closely. The Gentleman from 6571

Cook, Representative Taylor." 6572

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, in the beginning when I made my 6573
 motion, I stated that all of those Amendments that 6574
 were Sponsored by Bullock-Taylor would be withdrawn. 6575
 That was my motion. I could not speak for the other
 persons..." 6576

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. I misunderstood that. I'm 6577
 sorry. All right. We'll come back to your 6578
 Amendments. I misunderstood that because I asked 6579
 leave for all of these because.. We'll get back to
 yours, Representative Gaines. The Gentleman from 6580
 Macon, Representative Borchers, for what purpose do 6581
 you arise?" 6582

Borchers: "I want to be recorded on the Journal as opposing 6583
 all of this laissez faire actions." 6585

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. Representative Borchers 6586
 opposes it. Representative Grossi." 6588

Grossi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a parliamentary 6589
 inquiry." 6590

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed." 6592

Grossi: "How many votes does it take to suspend the rules?" 6593

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, if everybody would listen very 6595
 quietly... if everybody would listen, all those who 6596
 objected, listen very quietly. We started out by 6597
 saying... The Chair said, 'Does Representative Taylor
 have leave to withdraw all Amendments except those 6598
 which he will enunciate?'; And you gave him leave. And 6599
 now you're complaining." 6600

Grossi: "I'm complaining about the procedure that is being 6601
 followed. Amendments are being offered and accepted 6602
 without an explanation." 6603

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, all right. The Gentleman from 6604
 Cook, Representative Taylor." 6605

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of this House. 6606
 This is the last Amendment that I will make a motion 6607
 to and I would hope that you would consider those 6608

persons who have other Amendments. There's not that 6608
 many of them so you can run through them rather 6609
 quickly. But I do appreciate those Members on this 6610
 side of the aisle and the ones on the other side that
 stick with me tonight. You can rest assured Jim 6611
 Taylor never forgets a friend. I know those of you 6612
 that were on my side and I know those that oppose me. 6613
 Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #86 is
 the last Amendment." 6614

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. Representative Taylor moves 6615
 for the adoption of Amendment #86. Those in favor 6616
 signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no', and Amendment 6617
 #86 is adopted. Now, Representative Gaines, what
 Amendments do you have?" 6618

Gaines: "Mr. Speaker, some of my Amendments are still on the 6619
 way from the... being printed. But I have two of my 6620
 most serious Amendments, #81 and #82." 6621

Speaker Matijevich: "We'll revert back to Amendments 81 and 6622
 82. For those who are concerned about this procedure, 6623
 I might add that it's no worse than the Committee 6624
 procedures. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
 Gaines, on Amendment #81." 6626

Gaines: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment 6627
 deletes the repealer of the Public Accommodation Laws 6628
 of the State of Illinois. At the present time, if a 6629
 person is discriminated against, he has three
 remedies. Number 1, they can sue for damages, number 6630
 2, they can go to the State's Attorney and ask that a 6631
 criminal action...misdemeanor be charged or be placed
 against the offender and get a fine. And number 3, 6632
 they have the right to have the State's Attorney to 6633
 bring action to close them. Now this repeals that and 6634
 it says that under this Act, that no longer would a
 local State's Attorney handle it, but a Commission 6635
 will handle it. It'll take a year to get a decision. 6636
 And I do not feel that these rights ought to be taken

away from the citizens of the State of Illinois in 6637
place of a Commission. I think the local State's 6638
Attorney and the Attorney General should have the 6639
right to bring action for violation of civil rights.
And therefore, I ask for a favorable vote on this 6640
Amendment." 6641

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Gaines moves for the 6642
adoption of Amendment #81. On that, the Gentleman 6643
from Sangamon, Representative Kane." 6644

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I 6645
would oppose Amendment #81, and ask your opposition 6646
also. What this Amendment would do, is to put back 6647
into the Criminal Code certain civil rights 6648
violations. The mechanisms provided in this Act, 6649
provide more flexible forms of relief, would be more 6650
effective at combating discrimination. The criminal 6651
penalties do not provide relief in any meaningful 6652
manner and the current criminal penalties, which are 6653
Class B misdemeanors are not used in this state and 6654
they are not effective. And I would urge the defeat 6655
of Amendment #81." 6656

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Gaines, moves to adopt 6657
the Amendment #81. Representative.... All those in 6658
favor, signify by voting 'aye', opposed by... Oh, I'm 6659
sorry, Representative Gaines, to close." 6660

Gaines: "Before I close, I think you ought to recognize 6661
Representative Robbins." 6662

Speaker Matijeich: "What?" 6663

Gaines: "Representative Robbins has been waving at you for a 6664
half an hour." 6665

Speaker Matijeich: "I'm sorry, I didn't see his light. 6666
Representative Robbins." 6667

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, on these next two Bills, I wish leave 6668
of the House to be recorded 'yes', I wish leave of the 6669
House to be recorded 'no' on all other Bills...on all 6670
other Amendments to these Bills, if we're not going to 6671

be able to hear them properly. Thank you." 6670

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Gaines, to close." 6672

Gaines: "Mr. Speaker..." 6674

Speaker Matijevich: "By the way, there are about 26 other 6675
Amendments, I wasn't aware of that." 6677

Gaines: "Mr. Kane, is wrong when he says it's not being 6678
used. The Attorney General has used these laws within 6679
the last five years. I've talked with him about it. 6680
And they are laws that need to be retained on the
statute books of Illinois. So therefore, I ask for a 6681
favorable Roll Call." 6682

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Gaines, moves the 6683
adoption of Amendment #81. Those in favor, signify by 6684
voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all 6685
voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the
record. On this issue, there is 31 'ayes', 67 'nays', 6686
3 voting 'present'. Amendment #81 fails. Now 6687
lets...Representative Gaines lets go back, because I
didn't know that there were other Amendments besides 6688
yours. So we're going to take them in order. Those 6689
other Sponsors, other than Bullock and Taylor. And 6690
the Clerk will proceed in order." 6691

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #60. Chapman-Currie. Amends 6692
Senate Bill 1377, on page 2, in line 18 and so forth." 6693

Speaker Matijevich: "Is that leave to withdraw? Leave to 6695
withdraw Amendment #60. Leave... Further Amendments?" 6696

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #62. Chapman-Currie." 6699

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw 62. Leave. Further 6700
Amendments?" 6701

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #64. Chapman-Currie." 6703

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw 64. Leave. Further 6704
Amendments?" 6705

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #80. Reilly. Amends Senate Bill 6706
13..." 6707

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment 80. 6708
Leave." 6709

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #82. Gaines. Amends Senate Bill 6710
1377, on page 58..." 6711

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 6712
Gaines. Amendment #82." 6713

Gaines: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 6714
House. This one deletes the dissolutionment of the 6715
Commission on Human Relations, based on a legal 6716
opinion I received from a attorney, asked to review it 6717
by the Cook County Bar Association. And since I know 6718
you're going to vote it down, I'm still going to make 6719
the motion that you give me a favorable Roll Call." 6719

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 6720
Gaines, moves the adoption of Amendment #82. The 6721
Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane." 6722

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I 6723
would oppose Amendment #82. With the changes in the 6724
structure of the agency, the continuation of the 6725
Commission on Human Relations makes absolutely no
sense at all. And I'd urge the defeat of Amendment 6726
#82." 6727

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Gaines, to close." 6729

Gaines: "Knowing the mood of the House, I know they're going 6730
to vote 'no' on this, but I want to be on record, 6731
because these are the two things that the people of my 6732
district that I talked to, including those who support
the Bill in general, are interested in." 6734

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Gaines, moves the 6735
adoption of Amendment #82. Those in favor, signify by 6736
voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? 6737
Have all voted who wish? And this...take the record.
On this issue, there are 32 'ayes', 49 'nays', 3 6738
voting 'present'. Number 82 is declared lost. 6739
Further Amendments?" 6740

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #83. Catania-Getty. Amends 6741
Senate Bill..." 6742

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw 83. Leave. Further 6743

Amendments?" 6744

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #84. Steczo-Anderson." 6746

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw 84. Leave. Further 6747
Amendments?" 6748

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #87. Catania-Getty." 6750

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to with...no. 87. The Lady from 6751
Cook, Representative Catania." 6753

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 6754
87 would add a provision, which has already been 6755
approved in the Senate, in Senate Bill 746, which we 6756
discussed on the House floor. We put it on Postponed
Consideration, because we did not have a procedure. 6757
This would establish a procedure for bringing 6758
complaints of violations of the rules and regulations
of the FEPC and the EEOC. And I move for its 6759
adoption." 6760

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Catania, moves for the 6761
adoption of Amendment #87. The Gentleman from 6762
Sangamon, Representative Kane." 6763

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I 6764
oppose Amendment #87 and would ask you to oppose it 6765
also. If this Amendment is adopted, the Commission 6766
would be able to direct the Comptroller to withhold
appropriated funds of the State Department Board, or 6767
other agencies which has committed a willful violation 6768
of the Human Rights Act or the rules and regulations
of the Department. I think that the provisions in 6769
this Amendment, can be questioned constitutionally, as 6770
the Constitution specifically gives the authority to 6771
appropriate funds to the General Assembly. And I
don't think that we want to add this power to a 6772
quasi-judicial commission and I would ask for the 6773
defeat of this Amendment." 6774

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Catania, has moved for 6775
the adoption of Amendment #87. Those in favor, 6776
signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. 6777

Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will 6777
 take the record. On this issue, there are 36 'ayes', 6778
 57 'nays', 3 voting 'present' and Amendment 87, is 6779
 declared lost. Further Amendments?" 6780

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #88. Steczo-Anderson. Amends 6781
 Senate Bill 1377, on page 19." 6783

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, 6784
 Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise?" 6785

Vinson: "I move to table all remaining Amendments to this 6787
 Bill." 6788

Speaker Matijevich: "There's not too many left, so let's 6789
 hold tight for a moment. Steczo, do you want Anderson 6790
 to handle it? Representative Anderson. The Gentleman 6791
 from LaSalle." 6792

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment also has the 6793
 Comptroller to withhold funds. It has to do with a 6794
 Title 9 requirement, the education Amendments that 6795
 were passed in 1972 in Congress. In 1975, the 6796
 Illinois Legislature adopted three Sections of the 6797
 School Code to implement the Congressional Act of 6798
 1972. Now, the IOE has developed and has been trying 6799
 to implement guidelines which encourage the 6800
 elimination of sex discrimination and admissions 6801
 employment in structural programs and extra curricular 6802
 activities. IOE has a staff of 4 funded by federal 6803
 money. And I move for the adoption of Amendment 88." 6804

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Anderson, moves the 6805
 adoption of Amendment #88. The Gentleman from 6806
 Sangamon, Representative Kane." 6807

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I 6808
 would oppose Amendment #88 and ask the Members of the 6809
 House to do so also. This extends the State Statutes 6810
 considerably beyond what is the intent of this Bill, 6811
 which is to reorganize three agencies into one. And I 6812
 would urge the defeat of Amendment #88." 6813

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative...Dave Epstein, you want 6814

to come up here for a moment? The Gentleman from 6812
Cook, Representative Steczko, to close." 6813

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. 6814
Representative Anderson's remarks were absolutely 6815
correct, when he mentioned that this needed and the 6816
law has been in effect since 1972. We felt, that
especially during the consideration of Senate Bill 746 6817
earlier this week, that there were procedures that 6818
were lacking in the Amendment that was put on Senate
Bill 746. This I believe, answers those questions and 6819
I think it would be very very appropriate in this 6820
particular agency. And I would move for the adoption 6821
of Amendment 88 to Senate Bill 1377 and would ask for
an affirmative vote." 6822

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Steczko-Anderson have 6823
moved for the adoption of Amendment #88. Those in 6824
favor, signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by 6825
voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will
take the record. On this issue, there are 49 'ayes', 6826
55 'nos', 4 'present' and the Amendment 88 fails. 6827
Further Amendments?" 6828

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #89. Bowman. Amends Senate Bill 6829
1377, on page 1, line 19, and so forth." 6831

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bowman, has moved for 6832
the adoption of Amendment #89. The Gentleman from 6833
Cook, Representative Bowman." 6834

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 6835
House. This is a serious Amendment. It is an 6836
important Amendment, because it does break new ground 6837
in the state policy. To quote from the first page of
the Bill, 'we are seeking to secure for all 6838
individuals within Illinois, the freedom from 6839
discrimination, because of race, color, religion, sex,
national origin, ancestry age, marital status, 6840
physical or mental handicaps, or unfavorable discharge 6841
from military service'. Now in each of those

categories, we already have an established state 6843
policy. To this list, I would like to add sexual
orientation. That is to say, those persons among us 6844
who have different sexual orientations, that is 6845
homosexuals. These people are among the most
persecuted and harassed individuals in our society. 6846
This will be the only Roll Call on this issue in this 6847
Session. I think it is..." 6848

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bowman, has moved for 6849
the adoption of Amendment #89. The Gentleman from 6850
Sangamon, Representative Kane." 6851

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House, I 6852
would oppose this Amendment. It goes far beyond the 6853
intent of this Bill. And I would urge the defeat of 6854
Amendment #89." 6855

Speaker Matijevich: "Question is, shall Amendment #89 be 6856
adopted. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', 6857
those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have 6858
all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.
On this issue, there are 24 'ayes', 77 'nays', 6 6859
'present' and Amendment 89 fails. The Gentleman from 6860
Cook, Representative Gaines. For what purpose do you
rise?" 6861

Gaines: "Mr. Speaker, I am aware that the deals have all 6862
been cut and that at no time tonight has any Amendment 6863
been seriously considered and so therefore, I shall 6864
not burden you with the Amendments 93 through 104.
So, I wish leave to withdraw them." 6866

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave for Representative Gaines to 6867
withdraw the Amendments of which he is the Sponsor. 6868
Leave. We have Representative Grossi's permission 6869
too. Further Amendments?" 6870

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #90. Catania. Amends Senate Bill 6871
1377, on page 7, by deleting line 26..." 6873

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment 90. The Lady from Cook, 6874
Representative Catania." 6875

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like a Roll Call 6876
on this one and then I'm going to ask leave to 6877
withdraw 91 and 92, but this one talks about 6878
apprenticeship training programs. There is an
exemption in this Bill, for apprenticeship training 6879
programs so that they can discriminate on the basis of 6880
age. This is something that has worked a real
hardship on people who don't come up through the 6881
system, where their fathers are already members of the 6882
plumber's union or the electrician's union or whatever
union it is, so that from the time they're very young, 6883
they are told, when you grow up you are going to be a 6884
plumber or an electrician or whatever. They may
decide when they're in their thirties, that they'd 6885
like to go and get into an apprenticeship training 6886
program. And this is a sincere attempt to say, that 6887
people should have the right to do that even if they
are a little older than the ordinary people who are at 6888
the Washburn Trade School for instance up in Chicago. 6889
So I move for the adoption of Amendment 90." 6890

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Catania, moves for the 6891
adoption of Amendment #90. The Gentleman from 6892
Sangamon, Representative Kane." 6893

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 6894
Amendment #90 again, goes far beyond the provisions of 6895
this Bill and the intent of this Bill, which is a 6896
reorganization Bill of agencies in state government.
And I would urge the defeat of Amendment #90." 6898

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Catania, to close." 6900

Catania: "Thank you, Speaker. There is no reason to say 6901
that this goes beyond the scope of the intent of this 6902
Bill. This Bill sets out to say, among other things 6903
that we will not permit discrimination against people
even if they do get a little older, than the ages that 6904
which we ordinarily expect people to do things. I ask 6905
for your adoption of this Amendment." 6906

Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, shall Amendment #90 be 6907
 adopted. Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', 6908
 those opposed, by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have 6909
 all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On 6910
 this question, there are 35 'ayes', 59 'nays', 5
 'present'. Amendment 90 is declared lost. Leave to 6911
 withdraw 91 and 92. Leave. 91 and 92 are withdrawn. 6912
 Further Amendments?" 6913

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #109, Catania-DiPrima-Mahar, 6914
 amends Senate Bill 1377..." 6915

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Catania, do you want to 6916
 proceed with 109?" 6917

Catania: "Well, if the Sponsor would accept this one, I 6918
 would appreciate it, because all it does is to say 6919
 that the veteran's preference will extend to their 6920
 relatives. We have already expressed that in Senate
 Bill 12..." 6921

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates that he will accept this 6922
 one. All in favor of Amendment #109 say 'aye'; 6923
 opposed 'nay', and Amendment 109 is adopted. Further 6924
 Amendments?" 6925

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #110. Catania. Senate Bill..." 6926

Speaker Matijevich: "...Catania. Leave to withdraw 110. 6928
 Leave. Further Amendments?" 6929

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 6931

Speaker Matijevich: "No further Amendments. Now...the 6932
 Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, for what 6933
 purpose do rise?" 6934

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 6935
 I appreciate the hour is late, many of the Members did 6936
 get weary of this whole subject and left. The 6937
 Membership was a little light. Some of these
 Amendments were either adopted or defeated by very 6938
 narrow votes. And I'm not sure that many Members 6939
 really understood what the subjects were. On three of
 those Amendments, I filed a motion to reconsider under 6940

- our rules and that of course, we don't want to take up 6941
tonight, because the reason I filed the motion to 6942
reconsider, is that the Members aren't here. In order
to give those three Amendments fair consideration and 6943
to make sure that this important Bill is in the really 6944
the proper shape, I would suggest to the Chair that I 6945
would prefer that my motions to reconsider these
Amendments be shown on the Calendar tomorrow and that, 6946
so that this Bill can be...so that the reconsideration 6947
of these three Amendments can take place, that this 6948
Bill remain on the Order of Second Reading. So I make
that request, or inquiry to the Chair." 6950
- Speaker Matijevich: "Well, we're going to do them now, or 6951
we're going to do them never. I understand the 6952
Sponsor wants to move the Bill to Third Reading so... 6953
The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock, for
what purpose do you rise?" 6955
- Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 6956
the House. Mr. Speaker, I just want to make a request 6957
that the appropriate Amendments offered under 6958
Bullock-Taylor be journalized, if possible. At least
we have the record to show that these Amendments were 6959
offered to the General Assembly and that some of which 6960
were accepted and some of which were rejected. And I 6961
think that would at least for this Member's vantage
point, would satisfy me. If there's no objection, I'd 6962
like to request that be done, Mr. Speaker." 6963
- Speaker Matijevich: "The request is what, to journalize the 6964
Amendments?" 6965
- Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, the request is to journalize the 6966
Amendments that were filed under the Sponsorship of 6967
Bullock-Taylor." 6968
- Speaker Matijevich: "Are you talking about the Amendments 6969
that...we don't journalize, if they were withdrawn. 6970
Are talking about the ones that have been withdrawn?" 6971
- Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I'm requesting that every Amendment 6973

which was filed under Bullock-Taylor, be journalized 6974
as they were offered and that the record will also 6975
show those that were approved and those...." 6976

Speaker Matijevich: "Does he have leave, that they be 6977
journalized? Leave. There's objections. That's not 6978
our normal procedure, Representative Bullock." 6979

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I certainly respect the wish of the 6980
majority of the Members of this Body not to have those' 6981
Amendments journalized and I certainly respect the 6982
patience of the Chair and I certainly appreciate the 6983
Members that stood and supported the posture that I 6984
have taken today and Representative Taylor. And I 6985
think that the record will show, both to the Members 6986
and the gallery and those here, that on this matter, 6987
that the Illinois General Assembly did take some 6988
action and that there were substantive and technical 6989
Amendments adopted and that we did provide a 6990
deliberative hearing. And I think for years to come, 6991
we shall see that this decision that we made here 6992
today, will in fact have a lasting impact on the 6993
quality of life for all people and I submit to you 6994
that there was some omissions. And I hope that the 6995
persons who came down to support this measure, will 6996
take back to their constituents the message that these 6997
Amendments were offered and indeed adopted." 6998

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Deuster, we're searching 6999
the record to find out if you're motions were on voice 7000
votes or record votes. Representative Deuster." 7001

Deuster: "They were record votes, because I looked at the 7002
board and made sure that I voted on the prevailing 7003
side." 7004

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Deuster, moves that 7005
having voted on the prevailing side, the vote by which 7006
Amendment #88 was defeated, he moved to reconsider the 7007
vote and Representative Kane moved that that lie on 7008
the table. The motion...Those in favor to table 7009

signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The 7006
 motion to table by Representative Kane. Have all
 voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. We 7007
 have a written motion here and we're going to it. We 7008
 are on this Bill. Clerk will take the record. On 7009
 this, 57 'ayes', 26 'nays' and the motion to table
 prevails. Representative Deuster moves, that having 7010
 voted on the prevailing side...vote by which... 7011
 Representative Deuster. Representative Deuster." 7012

Deuster: "The whole purpose of making those motions, was 7013
 that there was no Membership here and that it wasn't a 7014
 proper vote and I thought that it should be taken 7015
 tomorrow. Since you're insisting that the motions be
 presented now or never, I don't want to take the time 7016
 of the House and I withdraw the motions." 7017

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Deuster withdraws his 7018
 motions. Now... The Gentleman from Cook, 7020
 Representative Kane...I mean Gaines. I'm so use to
 calling on Kane." 7021

Gaines: "That's a low blow. I wish to call your attention 7022
 to the fact that I have filed a request for a fiscal 7023
 note on the amended Bill. So therefore, it cannot go 7024
 to Third." 7025

Speaker Matijevich: "All right, the Gentleman has filed a 7026
 fiscal note on that the Sponsor of the Bill, the 7027
 Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Reilly." 7028

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker, you have stated correctly. None of 7029
 the Amendments have made any substantive change in the 7030
 functions of the Department. I have filed a fiscal 7031
 note, it's in good order. I ask for you to move the
 Bill to Third Reading." 7033

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Reilly, moves that the 7034
 fiscal note is not applicable on that... You've filed 7035
 one. All right. Okay. All right. I thought by what 7036
 you were saying, you were saying there's been no
 fiscal... All right. Third...no we got... All right. 7037

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor." 7039

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, my seatmate asked a reasonable request 7040
I thought and that was to have those Amendments that 7041
were not accepted journalized. I don't know what 7042
reason, or what you think that we have to hide other 7043
than the fact that we ask that privilege of having 7044
this House to have those Amendments journalized. And 7044
I move for that...to journalize those Amendments, that
were withdrawn, Mr. Speaker." 7046

Speaker Matijevich: "Is there objections? There is 7047
objection. The Gentleman moves that all Amendments, 7048
that are Bullock-Taylor Amendments, be journalized. 7049
On that, on that, all those in favor, signify by
voting 'aye', oppose 'no'. Have all voted? Have all 7050
voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On 7051
this question, there's 72 'ayes', 43 'nays' and 2
voting 'present' and the motion carries and they will 7052
be journalized. The Gentleman from Cook, 7053
Representative Madigan. Who do you want? The
Gentleman from Cook, Representative Gaines." 7055

Gaines: "Since I saved the Chamber some time too, by tabling 7056
mine, I'd like to have mine journalized." 7058

Speaker Matijevich: "All right, leave. That's Gaines, 7059
leave. One second, while I discuss something with... 7060
Oh, the Clerk. Has the fiscal note been filed on the 7061
Bill as amended?" 7062

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note on Senate Bill 1377, as amended, 7063
is filed." 7064

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from 7065
Cook, Representative Madigan." 7066

Madigan: "Providing 5 minutes for Perfunctory Session, I 7067
move that we adjourn to 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning." 7068

Speaker Matijevich: "Motion to adjourn until 10:00 o'clock. 7070
The House is adjourned." 7071

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate, from Mr. Wright, 7072
Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the 7073

House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with 7074
the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills
of the following titles to wit. House Bills 987, 991, 7075
1019, 1039, 1047, 1048, 1052, 1060, 1070, 1079, 1080, 7076
1084, 1086, 1088, 1099, 1130, 1139, 1156, 1160, 1184, 7077
1193, 1196, 1223, 1228, 1233, 1260, 1244, 1063, 1261
and 1272, together with Amendments and the adoption in 7078
which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House, 7079
passed by the Senate as amended, June 22, 1979. 7080
Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further business. The
House now stands adjourned." 7081

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 22, 1979

PAGE

HB-1400	2ND READING	PAGE	60
SB-0093	MOTIONS	PAGE	3
SB-0257	MOTIONS	PAGE	5
SB-0501	2ND READING	PAGE	14
SB-0575	2ND READING	PAGE	20
SB-0576	2ND READING	PAGE	21
SB-0577	2ND READING	PAGE	21
SB-0581	2ND READING	PAGE	61
SB-0588	2ND READING	PAGE	68
SB-0659	3RD READING	PAGE	2
	MOTIONS	PAGE	2
SB-0667	2ND READING	PAGE	76
SB-0745	2ND READING	PAGE	77
SB-0830	3RD READING	PAGE	78
SB-0889	MOTIONS	PAGE	3
SB-0890	MOTIONS	PAGE	3
SB-0905	2ND READING	PAGE	79
SB-0906	2ND READING	PAGE	93
SB-0973	2ND READING	PAGE	98
SB-1037	2ND READING	PAGE	100
SB-1072	2ND READING	PAGE	13
	MOTIONS	PAGE	13
SB-1211	2ND READING	PAGE	102
SB-1377	2ND READING	PAGE	113
	MOTIONS	PAGE	115

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 22, 1979

PAGE 2

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND HARJES - PRAYER	PAGE	1
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	208
PERFUNCT SESSION	PAGE	208
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	209
PERFUNCT SESSION	PAGE	208
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	209