

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives.  
The House will convene in fifteen minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please  
be in your seats. Be led in prayer by the Reverend  
Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son, and the  
Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy  
service this day. Amen. M. J. Savage wrote: There  
comes an hour of sadness with the setting of the sun,  
not for the sins committed but for the things I have  
not done. Let us pray. O Lord God, our Eternal and  
Everlasting Father, we praise Thy holy name and give  
our thanks for all the glory of Thy creation. Do  
Thou, O Father, enable us with the gifts of diligence  
and perseverance that we may accomplish, as Members of  
this House of Representatives, such things that are  
well-pleasing to Thee and for good of...and for the good  
of the State of Illinois; through Jesus Christ our  
Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Pledge of allegiance. I pledge allegiance  
to the flag of the United States of America and to the  
Republic for which it stands, one nation under God,  
indivisible, with liberty and justice for all. Roll  
Call for attendance. Your switch only. Take the  
record. Representative Ryan, do you want to...do you  
seek recognition, Representative Ryan?"

Unknown: "Where's the real Minority Leader? Where's the  
real Minority Leader?"

Speaker Redmond: "His...the switch was mysteriously depressed.  
That used to be known as bringing apples to teachers.  
Will you take the record? The Reading of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the 130th Legislative Day. The  
House met pursuant to adjournment. The Speaker in the  
Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leon."



Leon: "I move that we dispense with the Reading of the Journal and that Journals #130 of May 15, 131 of May 16, 132 of May 20, 133 of May 21, 134 of May 22, 135 of May 23, be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Reading of the Journal is dispensed with, and the Journals are approved as read. Representative Keane, how about 3614? Can we move that along? Senate Bills, Second Reading. Page 2. Senate Bills, Second Reading. 1588."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1588. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Secretary of State. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1605."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1605. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the Metropolitan Fair and Exhibition Authority Reconstructions. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amenmdents from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1612. Whose license is 152 and who's...who's 21? Is that you, Collins? I know who 23 is. 1612."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1612. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of the Governor. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1615."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1615. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Environmental Facilities Finance Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. I didn't know what the... 2617 (sic)."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1617. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Liquor Control Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1624."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1624. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Pollution Control Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1625."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1625. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Racing Board. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions...?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 16...Representative...  
where's Bowman? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Hold that."

Speaker Redmond: "Which one?"

Bowman: "The racing board."

Speaker Redmond: "1627?"

Bowman: "Five."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record."

Bowman: "1625."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you want five or seven? Put 1625 back  
on Second Reading. Please be alert. 1627."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1627. A Bill for an Act to  
provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the  
Illinois Commerce Commission. Second Reading of the  
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Have we got...1634. Third Reading on 1627."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1634. A Bill for an Act making  
appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense  
of the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board. Second  
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in  
Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Hallstrom, amends Senate  
Bill 1634 by deleting line 9 through 26 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?  
Floor Amendment #2? Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment?  
Is Representative Hallstrom in the chamber? Representa-  
tive Hallstrom's Amendment #2. What does that mean?  
You may be excused."



Hallstrom: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. I only wanted Amendment #1. May I have leave, please, to table Amendment #2?"

Speaker Redmond: "You withdraw #2, is that correct?"

Hallstrom: "Yes, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 2 is withdrawn."

Hallstrom: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1654."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1654. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the Midwestern Governors' Conference. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1667."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1667. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Treasurer. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1980."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1980. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Corrections and the State Comptroller. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1982. Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Vinson: "To request, Mr. Speaker, that you hold that Bill on Second Reading, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'll take that one out of the record. 1983."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1983. A Bill for an Act to amend



Sections of an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the State Fire Marshall. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1985."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1985. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Labor. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "1985."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. The Rules Committee will meet at three o'clock rather than two o'clock. Constitutional Amendments, Third Reading. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #1. Representative Matijevich. I heard you on the radio this morning. Do you want to call that one now? Out of the record. Will you mention it to Representative Madigan, also? Representative VonBoeckman, for what purpose do you rise?"

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to have leave to have Senate Bill 1709 heard in Rules today. I've spoken to the Leadership on both sides, and they agreed."

Speaker Redmond: "And, there is no objection, is that correct?"

VonBoeckman: "No objection."

Speaker Redmond: "Leave is granted there being no objection. Representative Vinson in the uniform of the day."

Vinson: "I beg your pardon?"



Speaker Redmond: "In the uniform of the day."

Vinson: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. This, I think, is the first Calendar I have looked at where a particular Order of Business has been deleted from the Calendar, and I would inquire as to why there is no Order of Business Constitutional Amendments, Postponed Consideration on the Calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "You'll have to wait until the Parliamentarian gets here, and then we will answer you."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, the real Parliamentarian has informed me as to what the problem is, and I...I don't think I need any further enlightenment."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Who explained it to you?"

Vinson: "The real Speaker (sic) right there with the white hair. The distinguished looking fellow."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh."

Vinson: "I mean the...I'm sorry. I mean the real Parliamentarian."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. He's the guy that did it, but I wasn't going to give him away. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would now move that the House stand in recess until 10 a. m. on June 4th."

Speaker Redmond: "Didn't hear you. Don't go over to the Senate, though. They won't let you in. Representative Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, I have an announcement to make on my Committee hearing. My Committee hearing on financial institutions is set for two o'clock. Due to unforeseen circumstances, I have to postpone it until three o'clock. Would all Members of the Financial Institutions Committee listen? The meeting is scheduled, not for two, but for 3 p. m. today."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, in light of Representative Leon's announcement, for those of us that are on Rules



Committee, were you moved back?"

Speaker Redmond: "...at three."

Simms: "Can we have until six in Committee...Committee time?"

Speaker Redmond: "Did you know that, John? I had announced that Rules would be at three."

Simms: "I thought it was to accommodate the Members of the... so we wouldn't have the dual Committees operating at the same time."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that was part of the reason. I wasn't aware that Representative Leon wanted to change it from...we have 38 Bills in Rules today. Why don't you talk to...Roll Call for attendance. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the record show that Representative Laurino is excused because of illness?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, the record will so show. Are there any Republicans who happen to be excused?"

Ryan: "Representative..."

Speaker Redmond: "Bluthardt?"

Ryan: "Representative Molloy is absent because of sickness."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Molloy?"

Ryan: "Right."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection to his absence being excused? Hearing none, the record will so show. Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rule for posting Senate Bill 1828 and 1881 for Rules tomorrow. I talked to Leadership on both sides of the aisle, and I've secured their approval."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you repeat your request?"

Keane: "I would ask leave to suspend the appropriate rule so that Senate Bills 1828 and 1881 can be posted for Rules Committee tomorrow. I have spoken to the Leader-





ship on both sides of the aisle, and they have both agreed to this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Was that to be heard today or tomorrow, Jim? I didn't..."

Speaker Redmond: "He said tomorrow."

Keane: "Tomorrow."

Ryan: "Tomorrow. Okay. That'd be fine."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing...any objection? Hearing none, the record will so show, and the Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative Oblinger. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like the appropriate rule suspended to hear Senate Bill <sup>1815</sup> 1850 in Rules tomorrow. I've spoken to both Leaderships."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, the record...the rule will be suspended, and the Bill can be heard in Rules tomorrow, and the Attendance Roll Call will be used on behalf of the motion. Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "For the purpose of an announcement, Mr. Speaker. Today will be the last baseball practice for the House before tomorrow's game against the Senate. Anybody who would like to come out, the practice will be at the Springfield High practice field on Capitol and New at 4:30, and it's our last practice before tomorrow night's game. Springfield High diamond, Capitol and New, 4:30. We'd welcome everybody out there for their last practice."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of the Speaker's Table, House Joint Resolution 2. Representative Pechous. Out of the record. House Joint Resolution 24. Representative Brummer. Out of the record. House Resolution 282 on page 4. It should be and is referred to the Rules Committee. Under the rules that should be in the



Rules Committee. The one on...282. David. David. It's referred. House Resolution 385. Braun. Out of the record. House Resolution 387. Representative Catania. Out of the record. House Resolution 551. Katz. Out of the record. House Resolution 580. Kelly. Out of the record. House Joint Resolution 15. Representative Friedrich. Representative Friedrich. Will you turn Representative Friedrich on? I can't hear his whisper."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, if you'll pass me just for the moment, I'll get my file on that. I didn't know you were going to call it."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll be back to you. House Joint Resolution 21. McPike. Out of the record. House Joint...Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Joint Resolution 15 creates a Commission on inheritance tax laws to study the administration on inheritance tax procedures in Illinois. This has not been completely reviewed for a long time, and some of the procedures we use are somewhat antiquated, and this would provide for, not only legislative Members, but a Representative appointed by the Attorney General and one by the State Treasurer, and I think that this is in order, and I would appreciate your vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Friedrich: "Yes."

Brummer: "Is there any anticipated cost? Will this create a staff Commission?"

Friedrich: "No."

Brummer: "Who would be Members of the Commission?"

Friedrich: "Appointed by the Speaker, and the Majority Leader, and the President, and the Minority Leader in the Senate, and the one appointed by the Attorney General, and one appointed by the State Treasurer."



Brummer: "Would you explain it in more detail? There's no staff involved?"

Friedrich: "Well, there's no appropriation, so I assume there'd be no staff involved, except what, possibly, secretarial help when it comes time to write the report."

Brummer: "I thought that we passed, the House earlier this Session, a rather comprehensive updating of the Illinois inheritance tax laws."

Friedrich: "We've made some...House Bill 1490 which was in your Committee, I believe, Representative Brummer, did make some changes which would be beneficial. This is just merely to go over the whole thing to see if there are any suggested other changes needed. It's not been done for a long time."

Brummer: "There seems to be a number of questions here. Is this on Short Debate?"

Friedrich: "No."

Brummer: "The Calendar indicated. I thought it was on Short Debate. I really don't understand...you know, we have a variety of laws that are always ongoing. We don't have new Commissions appointed to study them periodically. Maybe we ought to. I know we've addressed the Illinois inheritance tax law itself several times this year. One, as I recall, abolished the Illinois inheritance tax law completely. One, the Bill that was heard in our Committee, was presented as a comprehensive revision of the Illinois inheritance tax laws in Illinois. I certainly am not interested in seeing a new Commission appointed that may encompass staff and additional expenditures of state funds, and that's...you know, I guess I have some hesitancy about the entire thrust of this."

Friedrich: "Well, Representative Brummer, I have reason to believe that the one that abolished inheritance tax will not be...get out of the Senate. That's number one,



so I think that's a little...rather remote possibility. The changes that were made you're familiar with. There were three substantive changes in the Bill 1490 which is in the Senate, and that's all. But, Illinois...the Illinois inheritance tax has not been revised hardly at all, except the one change that was made increasing the exemption for the surviving stock and immediate family. As you know, I'm not one of the last of the big spenders. If this involved setting up a permanent staff and so on, I would not be introducing it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Friedrich: "Yes, I will."

Getty: "Representative Friedrich, I note that Representative Jaffe doesn't seem to be on the floor. Now, he's the Chairman of the Judiciary I Committee, the Committee that would normally be charged with considering such things. I know he's traditionally very concerned with what he might consider to be incursions upon his jurisdiction, and I would just respectfully suggest to you that maybe we ought to take it out of the record until he's here."

Friedrich: "Well, this went to the Executive Committee where most Commissions go. I would hope that Representative Jaffe or you would be on the Committee. I think you're experts in that field. You were real helpful on this Bill that I had."

Getty: "Then you wouldn't want to take it out of the record now?"

Friedrich: "Not particularly. It's getting down to the last week. I know there's a lot of empty seats around here, and if you're really going to opposed, why, we'll just vote it down. But, I think it's an area which is extremely important to a lot of people, and I...we've



studied everything from A to Z around here, and this is one area which I think is really vital to the people and is evidence by the fact that at least this House was willing to abolish the whole inheritance tax system."

Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it appears to me that the Gentleman is absolutely right. There are still a lot of empty seats around here. Representative Jaffe, the Chairman of Judiciary I, is not here. I think that very clearly the Commission which this would create would be one which would make incursions upon an area which indeed the Judiciary I Committee had a Subcommittee on this year which dealt specifically with some major legislation in the area. The Committee system, I believe, in the House is working if the Members want it to work. There is no need in my judgment for the creation of a special Committee at this time. Why, when we have a Committee of this House that has spent a year and a half in studying a matter why we would then create a special Committee to study the same thing is beyond me. Either we believe in the Committee system in this House or we don't believe in the Committee system. Now, I think that the Judiciary Committee has addressed itself well to the legislation. In fact, one of the Bills was one sponsored by the Sponsor of this proposed...the Resolution. I think his intention is very well. I think it is misdirected. I think that the Judiciary Committee of this House can well study further this matter, and if further work needs to be done, the Judiciary Committee of this House can work on it. And, I would, therefore, oppose adoption of this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I doubt that there's anyone on the floor of the



House who has more respect or greater affection for Representative Jaffe than I have. On the other hand, we were called into Session at noon. We have a reasonable Resolution before us. The Sponsor has indicated that by all means he anticipates that those Gentlemen like Representative Getty and Representative Jaffe certainly will be involved in the procedure. Regardless of how effectively a Committee works, there are still things that are overlooked, and I see no harm in passing this, and the Sponsor has indicated if those who are present decide to vote it down, so be it. But, I in no way view it as a reflection on either the Chairman of the Committee or the Committee itself. I, too, believe in the Committee structure, but I can assure you that without some of our Commissions we would not have any of the good laws which are presently pending on the books today, and especially when you have a situation where there will be no cost involved, and I strongly recommend the passage of this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would I be in order to request a suspension of the rules for the purpose of hearing Senate Bill...or having Senate Bill #2006 posted in Rules tomorrow?"

Speaker Redmond: "Would you repeat that, Mr. Hudson?"

Hudson: "I am requesting suspension of the appropriate rule so that Senate Bill 2006..."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you spoken with Representative Madigan and Representative Ryan?"

Hudson: "I have not. It..."

Speaker Redmond: "I think it's..."

Hudson: "I'll be..."

Speaker Redmond: "And, in abundance of caution, I would recommend that that's the procedure."

Hudson: "I defer to your superior wisdom, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "HJR 15. Any further discussion on that?  
Representative Getty."

Getty: "Well, Mr..."

Speaker Redmond: "89."

Getty: "That's...parliamentary inquiry. It takes 89. Thank  
you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich, to close."

Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I hate to see Representative  
Getty oppose this, because in all fairness, he and his  
Committee and Subcommittee were most helpful in getting  
the...doing a lot of work on House Bill 1490. But, I  
would suggest to you that Representative Getty knows  
that a Commission does not pass Bills. It makes  
studies and recommendations, and it's this Body and the  
Senate that pass this Bill. So, if he's concerned about  
what's going to happen about inheritance tax, it  
probably will end up...the recommendation will end up  
back in his Committee anyway. The other thing I would  
to point out to you, for 10 long years the House  
Judiciary Committee did not study inheritance tax. It  
had to take a Bill, and I had the Bill, and they  
studied my Bill and acted on it. If it hadn't been for  
that, the Committee would not have acted on its own.  
It acted because I brought a Bill in and requested it.  
And, in fairness, they did a great job. I appreciated  
their help. They kept me out of a lot of pitfalls.  
But, I'm saying that I can't understand what the harm  
is in letting somebody look at the inheritance tax  
procedures in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion  
that House Joint Resolution 15 be adopted. Those in  
favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. It requires  
89 votes. Representative Deuster. One minute."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,  
I would encourage more 'no' votes. At a time when our



state budget is in trouble, the last thing we need to do is create another Commission. We have 177 Members of the House. I think a lot of us could introduce Bills as Representative Friedrich has done to cure problems or to enlarge exemptions in the inheritance tax. It doesn't take a Commission. We do have a Committee, and I would urge the rejection of this well-intentioned proposal at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I wonder if anybody's listening to any of this debate. The Sponsor of the Resolution has stood up and said it's not going to cost any money. There's no budget bill, and we just heard someone arguing that we ought to defeat this Resolution, because it's going to cost a lot of money. I've come to this debate out of the prospective of my service on the Legislative Audit Commission. When we heard the audit of the Attorney General's office, I believe, it became clear that there were changes needed in the inheritance tax law, and if the lawyers in this House are incapable of coming to some type of a Resolution that brings the inheritance tax collection laws into some semblance of rational system, then perhaps we need this group to do it. I think I have at least as much faith in Representative Friedrich and the ability of, perhaps, the infusion of new ideas from some non-legal minds as I do from the Judiciary Committee. Now, they've had eight years to clean up the mess, and it's still there. I mean, until last year we were renting space to private...a private heavy contributor in the State Office Building to process inheritance tax stuff. It was just unbelievable."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I think it might be good business at this point to call the absentees and let the





fellows across the street we're in business over here, so I request a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 80 'aye' and 54 'no'. Representative Friedrich has requested a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Abramson. Beatty. Braun. Casey. Daniels. DiPrima. Farley. Flinn. Gaines. Goodwin. Hanahan. Harris. Hoffman. Katz. Keane. Klosak. Kucharski. Laurino. McAuliffe. McBroom. McGrew. Molloy. Mugalian. Preston. Richmond. Schisler. Schlickman. Schuneman. Slape. Stearney. J. J. Wolf. Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell. Mr..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf, 'aye'. Representative Wolf, 'aye'. Wolf, 'aye' and Wolf, 'aye'. That's two wolves. That's a pack. What's the count? Representative Kosinski. What was that one? Kosinski is 'present'. 81 'aye'; 54 'no'. Having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, the motion is lost. Conference Committee reports. House Bill 925. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, you catch me unaware. I am...I am not prepared."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you repeat that, please?"

Skinner: "Yes, I have to check with my state Senator to see if he has managed to resurrect this Bill in the other chamber."

Speaker Redmond: "And, you said you were unprepared. Is that correct?"

Skinner: "Well, I could become prepared quickly, but I would like to check with him to make sure that I'm not passing a dead Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Okay, we'll take this one out of the record. House Bill 1255. Conference Committee



report. Page 3. Representative Donovan. Out of the record. 2410. Representative Matijevich. Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise? We'll take 2410 out of the record."

Leinenweber: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. In consultation with Representative Bradley, we would like to announce that, with the Speaker's permission, we'd planned to call HJRCA 32 tomorrow, Wednesday, June 4th, whenever the Speaker will call it."

Speaker Redmond: "2411 on page 4. Matijevich. Out of the record. Senate Bill 185. Getty. We're taking 2411 out of the record. 185. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1150. Dawson. Out of the record. Representative Kelly, do you want to go with 580? On page 4, on the Speaker's Table, House Resolution 580. Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Resolution 580 is to direct the Legislative Investigation Commission to investigate the contract awarding procedures for the Department of Labor, especially at the location and the services which were provided recently or at least last winter at 910 South Michigan Avenue in Chicago. This Resolution was introduced on behalf of the Diamond Defective Agency, which provides services...security services, and they had three bids that were made on this particular...at this particular location, and the Diamond Defective Agency was the low...low bidder. And, what had happened is that they...another agency, in fact, the Andy Frang group had received this work even though they were not the low bidder, and there certainly is impriority, and I had asked and I got favorable support from the Executive Committee to have this matter reviewed by the Legislative Investigation Commission. So, it's...there has been other actions by the Department of Labor that



have been questionable, and this is another one, and I think it's time that we look into some of these practices."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Resolution which would require the Legislative Investigating Commission to investigate a contract for security services which was placed with the Department of Labor's Chicago offices. Now, it's very...this was ...the Representative's correct that the...not the low bid was accepted, but there's a very valid reason why, and it doesn't require a full-blown investigation by the Legislative Investigating Commission. The reason that the low bid was not accepted was that the Diamond Agency that has instigated this Resolution did not have a Fair Employment Practices Commission number required of all state contractors. Now, if they'd accepted that bid, it would've been in violation of the requirement...of that specific requirement. It has been looked into by the Governor's legal staff, and they've found no irregularities. So, I really don't see any reason why we ought to toss this work off on the Legislative Investigating Commission when there's a very simple, adequate reason why the specific contract was not let to the Diamond Agency."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Yes, in response to Representative Leinenweber's comment, this particular agency, Diamond Defective Agency, has had several contracts with the State of Illinois. And, I told them that you're...possibly are taking a chance on not...on damaging your future ability if you go forward and ask me to go forward with this Resolution, and they said, 'Go right ahead, because we know it was unfair, and we've been doing this work before. We've been certified before.' And, even though



that was used in his argument, why wasn't it used before?  
They do have the certification to my knowledge."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on Representative Kelly's motion that House Resolution 580 be adopted. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? It requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 69 'aye', 41 'no'. The motion having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, having secured approval on the other side of the aisle from Representative Madigan and checked with my side of the aisle, I repeat my request for suspension of the appropriate rule so that Senate Bill 2006 might be heard in Rules tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Any objection? Hearing no objection, leave is granted, and the Attendance Roll Call will be used. On Senate Bills, Third Reading, on page 2 appears Senate Bill 673. Incidentally, we're waiting for a House Sponsor on Senate Joint Resolution 91 sponsored by Senator Mitchler. Now, Representative Schoeberlein, you're the colleague of Representative (sic) Mitchler. Oh, you're not. Are you familiar with House Joint Resolution...Senate Joint Resolution 91? Who's in that District? You, Murphy, and who's the third one? Casey. I'm just calling your attention. As soon as we get a House Sponsor, why, we can...okay."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 673. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 1378. Representative Pullen. Out of the record. 1441. Monroe Flinn. 1441. Monroe Flinn. Out of the record. 1852. Pierce."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1852. A Bill for an Act to amend



Sections of an Act making certain appropriations.  
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1851 (sic) makes some minor transfers in the Energy Resources Commission...fiscal 1980 appropriation between lines...line items. It doesn't appropriate any additional funds. It also makes a \$4,000 line item change in the Public Employees Pension Law Commission. It's a rather routine Bill, and I urge passage of Senate Bill 1852."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 122 'aye' and 9 'nay'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Anything else on the Calendar that a Sponsor wants me to call? Oh, yeah, I see. On the Order of Motions on page 6. House Joint Resolution 96. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to discharge the Committee on Executive from further consideration for HJR 96. I have not had an opportunity to talk to Representative Capparelli, but I did clear with Representative Madigan, and this is to...so we could get it over to the Senate so the Committee to rewrite the Public Aid Code... this Bill can be studied a little bit further, and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kane: "Would you indicate the subject matter of this Resolution?"



Ryan: "I thought I did, Representative. It's the...the Committee to rewrite the Public Aid Code. This is a revision. We've had our hearings and others have been held, and it's the Public Aid...the new Public Aid Code that's been rewritten. We want to get it in so the Legislative Advisory Committee can look and whoever else wants to. We want to get it underway."

Kane: "What is the purpose of this Resolution?"

Ryan: "To discharge the Committee on Executive."

Kane: "No, I mean that's the motion."

Ryan: "...the Resolution."

Kane: "Yeah. To lengthen the time or what...?"

Ryan: "No, it creates a Joint House-Senate Committee of 16 Members."

Kane: "To look at the revision?"

Ryan: "To look at the revision, that's right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Epton: "Representative Ryan, you were mumbling into your microphone, so I really don't know what you were talking about, and I...it's difficult to understand you even when you're not mumbling. But, in any event, my question is, does this have anything to do with the tax on pharmacists or...?"

Ryan: "No, No, it's not. It's the tax on lawyers and especially those that have head problems."

Epton: "I see. Well, will this in any way affect the flow of illicit drugs that one individual might be receiving from another individual?"

Ryan: "Are you out of pills, Representative?"

Epton: "Yes."

Ryan: "Call my office."

Epton: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the



Gentleman's motion that House Joint Resolution 96 Committee on...the Executive Committee be discharged with respect to House Joint Resolution 96 and that the Resolution be advanced to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? 89 votes. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 133 'aye' and 3 'no', and the motion prevails, and the Executive Committee is discharged from further consideration of House Joint Resolution 96. It's placed on the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Any... any announcements? Representative Pechous."

Pechous: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think at this time given the attendance that I would like to go forward with HJR 2 and give the Clerk an opportunity to take it off the Calendar, hopefully with its adoption today. If I may continue?"

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. House Joint Resolution 2 on page 4."

Pechous: "Yes, the description I've set forth on the Calendar indicates that a protestor's denial by a federal agency or federal funds that earn new salaries of the State of Illinois. Namely, two communities in my district have been compelled over a long indeterminant period of time having filed pages, and reams, and copies, and many more copies of grant applications with the economic development agency and the heart commonly known, another agency. That these two municipalities have been compelled to wait objectly hat in hand. The federal agencies have failed to disclose any reasons for the denial of those fundings that involves, in one case, recreational property and another case it involves acquisition of territory for economic development by the community. I think what has happened here, Mr. Speaker and Ladies...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that the federal bureaucracy has lost sight of a very important



aspect of the United States Constitution that we are all sworn to uphold. And, that's the existence of the Tenth Amendment that holds that...that powers are reserved to the states and to the people, respectfully. and that the unwarranted incursions into state autonomy and sovereignty by federal bureaucrats has got to come to a halt. And, at least, what I hope to do...my hope in the passage and the submission of HJR 2 is to let the Illinois General Assembly go on record as protesting the increasing latitude being given to the federal bureaucrats. It does not entail the expenditures of any money. It merely places this Body on record as saying, 'Mr. Big Government, get off our back.' And, all the other words that are appropriate to it. Mr. Speaker, if there are no questions to which I'll be pleased to respond to, I would ask for the adoption of HJR 2, and it is a matter that I have discussed with the Mayor and City Council of my community, which is Berwyn, as late as last night. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber. Representative Walsh is in the chamber. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Does that qualify where I can say I have to talk about Representative Walsh, or may I ask the Gentleman some questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, you can ask the Gentleman some questions."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Sir. What...Representative Pechous, what kicked this off? What type of money were the villages in your district trying to get from the Federal Government that they're having trouble with?"

Pechous: "In my community, which is Berwyn with a population of approximately 55,000, that has suffered for long standing a property of recreational land. There had been a lumber yard property that was struck by fire some...oh, approximately a year and a half ago. The





city had through a consortium of bankers...local bankers that acquired the property to prevent it from going into the hands of private developers that thought, now being, that that land would become the area for recreational development. Grant application had been filed over approximately about 18 months ago. The agency has refused to disclose with the municipality why the funds are being withheld. There have been allegations that have never been supported. There has been a failure as of approximately a week ago in an attempt to provide a reconciliation that the agency has failed, even given their Mayor's position that he would waiver confidentiality and they still refused to agree to any meaningful disclosure as to what has prevented the transfer of those funds. It has passed through all of the rating agencies, including our Department of Conservation here in Illinois, and it is bogged down and has been and very shortly, that property may never become a part of the public recreational area which it most deservedly belongs."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Representative Pechous. And, Mr. Speaker, if I might briefly address HJR 2? I certainly don't quarrel with us going on record and blasting the federal bureaucrats who any of us who have been involved with...any type of a program that involves federal bureaucrats will know that they do exert unwarranted authority and make things difficult and require endless forms, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera. However, I do find one aspect of HJR 2 a bit incongruous, and that is what kicked this off was that apparently a town in the Gentleman's district went hat in hand to Washington seeking some federal handout. Now, when we go to a unit of government with our hat in hand seeking money, we place ourselves in the prostrate position of having to bow down and kiss the feet of these bureaucrats.



The answer to the problem is don't go to Washington and ask for this money. Then, also, instruct our Congressmen and Senators not to vote for these programs whereby Washington can send our tax dollars, which after taking their percentage back to us with these strings attached, we, last week or the week before, voted on a proposal which I thought was absolutely ludicrous and that was that each of us would have to take our cars in and have it examined and then if...if it was a real pollution trap, we could continue to drive it. But, if it was only a minimal problem, we would have to spend \$100 to get it fixed. The thrust that we were told that we were under there was we'd lose something like a ten billion dollar or a hundred billion dollar transportation funding from the federal level. So, I do find it incongruous, and I'm going to vote 'present' on the Resolution, not because I don't think that the federal bureaucrats are behaving in rather ridiculous and unrestrained ways, but I really don't want to go on record as saying that we ought to go hat in hand to Washington to get money and then object when Washington does what we expect them to do along and that is try to exert some of these stupid regulations and act in these ridiculous ways."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that...Representative Pechous, to close."

Pechous: "I will respond in my close by saying that neither I nor more...no more so than my Cosponsor, Representative Klosak, has, in fact, initiated this request for federal funds. Speaking for myself, and I think safely for Representative Klosak, it may well be our thought to oppose such a funding proposal. Speaking solely for myself, I would never go to Washington with my hat out for a thing at all, because I know that strings are attached and are so horrendous that it simply is not



worth it. But, this was done by a City Council, and the Mayor, and proper authorities. They've asked me now, given the fact that they have committed it, they've asked me for the assistance that I am going vigorously seeking to address. I would ask for a favorable vote. I ask for your consideration of this matter, and I'm ready for the vote, Mr. Mayor (sic) and Members."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall House Joint Resolution 2 be adopted?' Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 105...110 'aye' and 3 'no'. The motion prevails, and the House Joint Resolution 2 is adopted. Any announcements? Rules...Rules at three o'clock. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just like to announce that 1937 was not a vintage year. As a matter of fact, in Will County and Joliet, it was near disaster. On this day in 1937 my seatmate was born."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, Rules at three o'clock. Any announcements? Representative Giorgi. Eleven o'clock tomorrow. How much time do you need? Agreed Resolutions. Representative Giorgi."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 823, Dave Jones; 824, Redmond; 826, Taylor."

Giorgi: "I don't have 826."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 823 by Dave Jones honors Mrs. Miriam Nation of Springfield, 824 by Redmond honors the retirement of James Sheeran, and I think 820...is that Jim Taylor's Resolution?"

Clerk O'Brien: "826 is Taylor's."

Speaker Redmond: "826 is Taylor's."

Giorgi: "Taylor's. I move for the adoption of the three Agreed Resolutions."



Speaker Redmond: "Did you have the one in there by the Speaker?"

Giorgi: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it."

Giorgi: "I now move..."

Speaker Redmond: "The motion carried, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Represent...Death Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 825, DiPrima-et al, respects the memory of Mr. Amerigo Lupi of Wheaton, Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Death Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Moves for the adoption of the Death Resolution. All in favor say 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Aye. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the motion carried. The Death Resolution's adopted. Representative Sam Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, was there any change in the meeting of the Financial Institutions Committee?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know. Representative Leon. Leon. Financial Institutions. Representative Wolf wants to know what time it's going to meet. Representative Wolf. The Rules is at three."

Leon: "Three o'clock. Three o'clock."

Speaker Redmond: "Could you make it a quarter to? Rules is at three. Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn until 11 o'clock tomorrow morning after a few minutes for perfunctory for the Clerk."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the motion. Any discussion? The question's on the motion. Those in favor say 'aye'."



Giorgi: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Aye. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The House now stands adjourned after the perfunct until 11 o'clock tomorrow morning."



## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
JUNE 03, 1980

PAGE 1

SB-0580	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1588	2ND READING	PAGE	2
SB-1605	2ND READING	PAGE	2
SB-1612	2ND READING	PAGE	2
SB-1615	2ND READING	PAGE	3
SB-1617	2ND READING	PAGE	3
SB-1624	2ND READING	PAGE	3
SB-1625	2ND READING	PAGE	3
SB-1627	2ND READING	PAGE	4
SB-1634	2ND READING	PAGE	4
SB-1654	2ND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1667	2ND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1709	MOTIONS	PAGE	6
SB-1815	MOTIONS	PAGE	9
SB-1828	MOTIONS	PAGE	8
SB-1852	3RD READING	PAGE	20
SB-1881	MOTIONS	PAGE	8
SB-1980	2ND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1983	2ND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1985	2ND READING	PAGE	6
SB-2006	MOTIONS	PAGE	20
HR-0825	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HJR-0002	3RD READING	PAGE	23
HJR-0015	3RD READING	PAGE	10
HJR-0096	MOTIONS	PAGE	21

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
JUNE 03, 1980

PAGE 2

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REV. KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
SPEAKER REDMOND - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
APPROVAL OF JOURNALS	PAGE	2
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE	8
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	27
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	29