

Doorkeeper: "Test. Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in ten minutes. Test. Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in five minutes. All persons not entitled to the House Floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost, Amen. Oh Lord, bless this House in Thy service this day, Amen. Cicero wrote, 'Gratitude is not only the greatest of virtues, but the parent of all others.' Let us pray. Oh Heavenly Father, we thy humble servants, give to Thee our hearty thanks for Thy loving kindness in providing all that we are and possess. We thank Thee that we are able to serve as members of this House of Representatives in the State of Illinois. Grant that we may ever honor Thee and Thy great glory in whatsoever we may do. May our gratitude beget gratitude among the people whom we do serve that our society may be one of contentment and harmony with all barriers of inequity and displeasure done away through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, do you have your cue card?"

Yourell: "Yes, sir, right..."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance?"

Members: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll call for attendance, only your own



switch. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Conti have leave to get on the roll call? No objection, leave is granted. Representative Ryan have leave to get on the roll call? No objection, leave is granted. Maybe we better have a new roll. Beverly, do you want to get on the roll call? Maybe we ought to dump that roll call. I heard you on the radio yesterday. We're gonna take another roll call. I just want the first one, I want that ten o'clock roll call. When I hear complaints at midnight on Friday, why I just want to have this roll call. We'll have another one tomorrow and another one the day after. That's correct. We'll go to the order of business of the Sponsors of Bills who were here at ten o'clock or whenever we opened. Representative Conti."

Conti: "All those mornings that we were suppose to be here at ten o'clock, and when we got here at ten o'clock, it adjourned till eleven."

Speaker Redmond: "There weren't many of those. You're thinking of when Art Telscer was assistant speaker."

Conti: "This last week."

Speaker Redmond: "Page 38, err, Senate Bills, First Reading. Are there any House Sponsors that identify themselves with the Clerk. Then we can move them along. House Bills, Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar. It's on page 2, 11."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 11. A Bill for An Act to create the Real Property Tax Procedures Study Commission."

Speaker Redmond: "The Sponsor's not hear, out of the record. 1136. Representative Skinner here? He's not here, out of the record. 1426."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1426. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of the Regional Transportation Authority Act, Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fourteen of them."

Speaker Redmond: "Fourteen amendments, I guess it looks like we better...Representative Davis. Wait a minute now, we got the wrong Bill. Hard to believe that the Clerk had the wrong Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate House Bill 1426. A Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act, Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1622."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1622. There's a motion to table."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there a motion on that one?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, there's a fiscal note to..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is filed. House Bill 1622. A Bill for An Act relating to the prevention of duplication of surveys, inspections and investigations of nursing homes by certain state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1801. Representative Skinner here? Take this one out of the record. 2211."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2211. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of The School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1. This Bill has been read a second time previously and Amendment #1 was adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "No motion filed with respect to Amendment



#1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you want that one out? Representative Ryan requests that one out of the record, so in due deference to the Minority Leader, we will accede to his request. 2351."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2351. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Ewing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing here? Guess not. Out of the record. 2555. Out of the record for desire to be cooperative with the Minority Leader, at his request, we will take that one out of the record. Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 574. Terzich. A Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 753. Hannig. A Bill for An Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 754. Hannig. A Bill for An Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 1694. 1694"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1694. Jaffe, Peters. A Bill for An Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2... Jaffe. Amends House Bill 6...the amendment is Stearney's. Amends House



Bill 1694 on page 1, line 12 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is ah, an amendment by Representative Stearney which we agreed to ah in committee together with Representative ah, Cullerton, Representative Katz, Representative Kosinski. Ah, basically, what it does, it takes out by threat of force and it also tightens up the language ah, defining when a female is physically helpless, and I would move the adoption of amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried; the amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. How about 1760 on Second Reading?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1760. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of An Act in regard to limitations. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "By whom?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Piel. Amends House Bills...ah, the Sponsor was wrong on that. Amendment #1. Johnson, Sandquist. Amends House Bill 1760 on page 1, line 13 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Beatty here? Just better take it out of the record. Yea, what are they? House Bill 100. 348."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 348. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second



~~Reading of the Bill.~~ This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Praying for the Members that weren't here at ten o'clock. Representative Ewing is in the hall. House Bill, Second Reading, 2764. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I do wonder why you're skipping around when one of my Bills is shortly thereafter, shortly after 348."

Speaker Redmond: "348?"

Skinner: "I'm sorry I was..."

Speaker Redmond: "348, 348, are you interested in 348?"

Skinner: "No, I'm interested in 980, which has no amendments and which has a fiscal note which has been filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, you weren't here at the time so that's the reason we didn't call..."

Skinner: "I was working as hard as you were, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that may be."

Skinner: "It certainly is."

Speaker Redmond: "2764."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2764. A Bill for An Act creating the Library Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 980."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 980. Representative Skinner. A Bill for An Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any, Representative Getty."



Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to table amendments #1 and

Speaker Redmond: "Is the motion been filed in writing? It hasn't, has not been, but the Clerk advises me that it doesn't have to be. Representative Skinner, on the motion to table."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I would vehemently object to this, and ah, I guess it's time to heat up the Session here, because the Cook County Organization Democrats ah, with some exceptions on the south side and the west side were the, the majority are really giving them the, the old shaft, are trying to, are trying to prohibit major reform of the assessment process. At the present time in Cook County, the assessments in the various townships, including the inactive townships in Cook County, range from 11% on the south side, which coincidentally enough is where Bridgeport is, to 17.3% on the west side, which coincidentally enough is primarily black, to 16.3% in Hyde Park Township, which extends all the way to the south side of the city, which also is coincidentally black. This is an attempt not entirely, but largely. This ah, motion to table is an attempt to keep those who are underassessed having there special privilege and to keep those who are overassessed paying more taxes than they should. Now the people living in single family dwellings and apartment buildings on the west side are paying 58% more taxes than are the people in Bridgeport. That is worse than in St. Claire County. There's a difference however. In St. Clair County, there is an excuse. In St. Claire County, the Board of Assessors admits there has not been a reassessment in the last forty years. In Cook County however, there has been a reassessment each of the last four years. The assertion has been made that the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

assessments on the west side of Chicago and in other deteriorating parts of the city are merely a result of urban blight. That is, the assessments have not been cut as the value of the housing has decreased. That argument however does not hold one ounce of water as anyone who is willing to take a look at this January, 1973 report from the A. D. Little Company, which was commissioned by the Department of Housing and Urban Development and printed by the Government on Government opera..., the Committee on Government Operations in Congress. In 1973, the Cook County assessor knew that deteriorating neighborhoods were being discriminated against. The Cook County assessor knew the problem existed and the Cook County assessor refused to do anything about it. This motion to table is an attempt to make certain that nothing is done about it now or in the foreseeable future. What this amendment does, what these 2 amendments do is give Cook County, give the Cook County Board of Appeals, Board of Tax Appeals, for the first time, the ability to equalize assessments on a class by class and township by township including inactive townships within Chicago basis. The average assessments on homes and small apartment buildings in Cook County is 13%. If your township's above 13%, you're assessed too high and that includes virtually the entire southwestern part, southwestern quadrant, the fourth quadrant which Assessor Hynes says he will not reassess until he finds out what his predecessor did wrong in the black, the primarily black townships of the city."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw my objections. I think..."

Speaker Redmond: "Have, is there any motion now pending with



respect to any committee amendments? He withdrew his motion to table."

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor amendment #3, Skinner, amends House Bill 980, as amended by House amendment #2 with reference to the page and line number of that amendment on page 6 by deleting lines 7 through 11 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Speaker, Representative Keane, with his keen eyes, ah, noticed the 'anomaly' in the Bill after it was amended by amendment 2, which might conceivably force the Cook County Board of Tax Appeals to raise assessments to the ah, ordinance level. That was not my intent. I don't care, where what level they equalize them at as long as they equalize them and that is what this amendment does. It mandates an equalization to whatever level the Board of Tax Appeals wants to make it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Getty: "Ah, would amendment #3 still allow for classification?"

Skinner: "Certainly."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of amendment 3. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Any further amendments? The amendments, that amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the order of Third Reading, on page 14, appears House Bill 1016,



Representative McPike is recognized."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have an amendment filed if the Clerk will read it."

Speaker Redmond: "You ask leave to return it to the order of Second Reading?"

McPike: "Yes, I would like leave to return this to Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Yes, I wonder if the Clerk can clarify which amendment this is."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we got to get to the order of Second Reading first. Does he have leave to get to the order of Second Reading? Hearing no objections, Second Reading. Are there any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Ah, the next amendment is #17, McPike, amends House Bill 1016 as amended by deleting everything after the enacting clause and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Well, I have to the same Bill, I have a number in my amendments to 1016, #17 by Walsh."

McPike: "I think that's correct, Mr. Speaker. This is, should be amendment #18. I think amendment #17 was withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "You're correct. Amendment #17 by Walsh was withdrawn."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike requests leave to change the numbering on the, his amendment to 18 on its face. Does he have leave? Leave is granted. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I think that we ought to take this out of the record and hold it for awhile till we get some attendance here, we probably ought to wait till we get some people here so we can do these things we



have to do."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike."

McPike: "Ah, thank you, Mr. Speaker, if I can explain what this amendment is, perhaps there would be no objections. We adopted a number of amendments to this Bill. Enrolling & Engrossing called it to our attention that there were some discrepancies between the amendments that we adopted. I simply asked the Reference Bureau to incorporate all of them into one amendment, so that there would be no discrepancies on the language. And that's what amendment #18 does. If Representative Ryan would withdraw his amend...objection, I would offer the amendment at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, was this amendment just distributed this morning?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Ryan: "Well it deletes everything after the enacting clause. I really think, Representative, you probably ought to hold it till we've at least had a chance to look at it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Representative McPike is attempting to indicate, Representative Ryan, that there was a mistake in a couple of the amendments in that they did not fit together. What the amendment did, it Representative McPike and I are principal Sponsors of the Bill, he brought it down and we decided the best thing to do was to take all the amendments, two of which came from your side or three I believe, from Representative Totten and Representative Walsh and one from myself, incorporate them all together because they all deal with the same section of the statutes and just go back and strike



all the amendments and put them in one."

Ryan: "All I'm asking Representative Walsh that we just received this amendment this morning, our staff hasn't has a chance to look at it. It strikes everything after the enacting clause and I would like to have the Bill held, until we have a chance to look at it."

McPike: "How long did you want us to hold it, Representative Ryan?"

Ryan: "Well, I think it probably until we have had a chance to look at it Representative McPike. Until we get some people on the floor that may have some interest in this. Both Representatives Walsh and Totten aren't here yet and they have obviously got some interest in this Bill."

McPike: "I have no objections. Lets just hold it..."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Is there any member that has a Bill on Third that he wants to bring back to Second? That he or she wants to bring back? Pardon me Representative Catania. That will be the day. You carry a good thing too far now, Representative Skinner. Page 36, 2710."

Clerk O'Brien: "House...oh. She requests, take it back to Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallstrom, do you desire to return that to the order of Second Reading?"

Hallstrom: "Yes please, Mr. Speaker, may I have leave?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing no objection it will be returned to the order of Second Reading. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Hallstrom. Amends House Bill 2710, on page 1, line 9 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, did you read the title of this Bill? Will you please read it?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No sir, I read it previously..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallstrom."



Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to withdraw the first Amendment for the second?"

Speaker Redmond: "Let's see now, there was Floor Amendment one? Is that correct Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you desire to withdraw that? Is that correct?"

Hallstrom: "Yes please, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Hallstrom-Ralph Dunn. Amends House Bill 2710 on page 1, line 9 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallstrom, on the Amendment."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This second Amendment is really a technical Amendment. There are some changes in the spelling. The only substantial part of the Amendment is that it does exclude podiatrists as well as physicians licensed to practice medicine in the State of Illinois. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Questions on the Lady's motion to... Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Ryan: "Representative, did you explain what this Amendment does?"

Hallstrom: "Yes sir."

Ryan: "Would you do it again please?"

Hallstrom: "I'd be glad to. It simply excludes podiatrists from this Act as the same as it does physicians licensed to practice medicine in the State of Illinois."



Ryan: "Representative, didn't the first Amendment add them into the Bill?"

Hallstrom: "No sir it did not, it also did the same thing. We put the second Amendment on, it incorporated some other changes in the Bill."

Ryan: "Why do you want to exclude podiatrists?"

Hallstrom: "Pardon?"

Ryan: "Why do you want to exclude podiatrists?"

Hallstrom: "Well, podiatrists as you well all may know do practice medicine, are physicians, but they do only work below the ankle. They asked to be excluded from this so that they would not be included in this licensing, which is really for orthotists and prothetists."

Ryan: "I believe it's below the knee, but I may be... you may be right. It seems to me..."

Hallstrom: "Well, my information is that it's below the ankle, because what they really do is work on your feet."

Ryan: "Well, I understand this but...for what... why would that be a reason to eliminate them from the Bill?"

Hallstrom: "Well sir, the podiatrists came to us and asked that they be excluded or exempted from this, because they will be working on the foot, on certain kinds of devices that help with the feet and so they felt that it would be detrimental to them to have to be included in this licensing."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The questions on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes have it. The motion carried, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Any other Members that



have a Bill on Third that wants to return it to the Order of Second? Which one? It is. I think they'd rather leave it where it is. It's easier to find... On the Order of Consideration Postponed, appears House Bill 161. Representative Von Boeckman is recognized. Representative Von Boeckman."

Von Boeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the reason for... that I would like to bring House Bill 161 back for Amendment 4 is that Amendment 3 that was put on, that was brought back and put on was found out by the Clerk advised me and the Reference Bureau too, that it was drafted improperly, so I have Amendment 4 that brings it to ah...in line with ah... so that the Amendment would be in proper form and I ask that we adopt Amendment #4 to House Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Does Gentleman have leave to have 161 returned to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Any Amendments from the floor Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, amends House Bill 161, as amended, by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the explanation of Amendment #4, any discussion? Representative Conti didn't hear the explanation, Representative Von Boeckman. Will you please explain the Amendment?"

Von Boeckman: "Well, what the Amendment does is clarify Amendment #3. There were several portions left out of Amendment #3 and this brings it into compliance. What it does...we're talking about the Uniform Speed Bill and what this does if and when the Federal Government decides to put the speed limit back to 65 then we will go back without having to first come back to the General Assembly and make those changes."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question is on the



Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 4. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Return to the Order of Consideration Postponed. Do you have any motions requesting unanimous consent with respect to being recorded on various Bills, Mr. Clerk? I don't know whether any of the members have any idea what you do to the Clerk's Office when you do this. Is it alright if we do that July the 2nd? Okay. Unless it really means reelection why it's devastating when you... Okay then. Okay. I think what we ought to do is to have the members who do this volunteer to help work up in the Clerk's Office. Not enough for this nonsense. It will take about a week to do all this up in the Clerk's Office. House Bills Third Reading, Short Debate. We've already done that. When you weren't here. You weren't on the floor. Rules say, you shouldn't be anyplace but here and you voted for them. No wonder they're so good. 601."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 601, Yourell. A Bill for An Act to amend the Election Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 713."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 713. A Bill for An Act directing the Department of Local Government Affairs to study annual reassessments and computer use. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan. 713, Third Reading, Short Debate. Out of the record. 752. Representative Watson. Okay. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 752, Watson-Birkinbine. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of the Election Code,



Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The purpose of this Bill is to avoid some of the abuses that we saw last year, both with the circulation of the Thompson proposition and that of the members of the House. It's designed to simply put in writing on petitions the legal status of those people who sign a petition, so that if indeed they try and roundtable them, or they put false names, or sign Mickey Mouse or any such things as we saw in the past, hopefully they will be deterred from that. It costs the State of Illinois \$30,000 last year, to investigate the Thompson proposition and the abuses of those signatures on those petitions. This is designed to try and help prevent that problem from arising in the future. I would request a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeich. In the meantime Roll Call for attendance."

Matijeich: "I know I shouldn't be asking a question, because we are in Short Debate. I guess we can, but my question is, what's the penalty I happened to be walking on the floor as this Bill was debated in the Elections Committee and I under...ah... I'm like everybody and we understand that many many people violate the petitions...ah... in writing of petitions, but I don't want to do anything that will harm people getting involved in elections through the petition process and somebody jokingly said after they heard the penalty provisions, we ought to make it a felony. Is it a felony? No, you're kidding. Is it a felony, is that the penalty?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "To the best of my knowledge, it's not a penalty. This isn't... this particular Bill does not



determine the penalty. Excuse me. It simply says, what the law is regarding false signatures. This simply says that language will be put on the petition. It does not set the penalty. Well, it provides for a...

Matijeich: "Somebody in the background here is telling me it's a felony and you're telling me it's not. I wouldn't want to vote on something that's putting people in jail for something they really aren't aware of. I agree that ignorance of the law is no excuse, but we're passing so many laws, that as far as I'm concerned ignorance of the law is an excuse."

Birkinbine: "Mr. Matijeich, I can ah, read you the language, it says warning anyone placing a signature in the space provided below the subject of the penalties of perjury unless each person whose signature appears on this petition has met the requirements of Section 28..."

Matijeich: "Well, I wish you would add after that and, and the penalty for perjury is a felony and you ah, may end up in jail for it. This is just saying that it is forgery. Most people don't know that ah, when they forge something they may end up in jail."

Birkinbine: "No, it's perjury, not..."

Matijeich: "I mean perjury. It's forgery that we're talking about, isn't it? Are we talking about..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think there's enough problems..."

Birkinbine: "Mr. Matijeich, ah, at the request from Mr. Getty from your side, we added the language that said to the best of his ability to try and solve some of your, ah, the problems that you mentioned."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I could speak to Representative Matijeich's objection. The law already establishes this as a



Class 3 felony. The Bill merely spells out for the protection of the signer what is already the law and the penalties to which he is already subject. This, if anything, is a protection for the signer and does not create any new class of felony. The ah, as I said, John, it is already a felony, it is already in the law, and this, by this Bill, it would merely be spelled out for the protection of that person who would sign the petition. I don't think he listened to me."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 105 'aye', 106 'aye', 2 'no', the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1038. Representative Braun. Carol Mosley Braun. 1038."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1038, a Bill for An Act to amend Sections of An Act to revise the law in relation to landlord and tenant. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Excuse the delay, Mr. Speaker. The House Bill 1038 is a simple change that was ah, opp...supported in committee. It merely adds prov...ah, procedural protections to the procedure for distress for rent. It required that a bond and a hearing take place before distress ah, activity takes place. I would point out to the Members of the House that um, the Bill that was sponsored by the real estate industry had suggested abolition of distress for rent altogether. This Bill does not abolish distress for rent as a procedure that is available but rather gives some procedural protection to the people who are involved in it, and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Speaker, I am sincerely interested in asking a question on this Bill which may or may not cause me to oppose it. What is the penalty for violation of ah, this...is there any particular penalty in here?"

Braun: "Um, I'm sorry, Representative Leinenweber, I was, I jumped up to ah, to ah, address this Bill and I wasn't really quite prepared. No, I, no there are no provisions in here for violation. Ah, it does provide, however, for certain procedural penal...procedural guarantees that I can delineate for you."

Leinenweber: "Yea, what I'm saying is that if these procedural guarantees aren't vile, is there any specific penalty provided?"

Braun: "No, Sir."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 106 'aye', 2 'no', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1064."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1064, a Bill for An Act relating to junkyards and scrap processing facilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr., Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the purpose of this Bill was to give the Department of Transportation the needed definitions in the law so that they can follow along with Bills that have come originally along out of Congress, started actually, initially by Lady Bird Johnson and her effort to cut down on visual eye sores



and help clean up our highways. There is federal money ~~presently sitting~~ unused that because of the lack of definition in our law, Illinois is not able to make use of. By adding the definition enclosed in this Bill into the law, those moneys could than be used. It passed out of committee 16 to 1. It is backed by the auto and truck recyclers of Illinois, and I would ask for a favorable consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition?
Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Can we ask the Sponsor a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Ewing: "What ah, you know what kind of rules and regulations are they trying to put in, John, with this legislation?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "This legislation doesn't so much add rules and regulations as it does definitions to the existing law. There's a, a fiscal note was requested and it says in effect that it will cost nothing because of the money that is sitting there unused."

Ewing: "Well, does it make it more difficult to get road signs? Right now in this state we're having problems getting any type of road signs even for legitimate businesses. What type of businesses are we ah, considering here?"

Birkinbine: "I don't think it applies to road signs. It moves definitions of recycling yards, of automobile graveyards, junkyards."

Ewing: "Well, I don't know what you're trying to do. Sometimes we sell our soul to get a little federal money, and then we wonder how we're gonna live with it. And ah, I'm always a little curious before we take that carrot just what your Bill does. And I don't think you've explained it. Until you do, I



~~don't see how we can support it."~~

Birkinbine: "Tom, primarily, the Department of Transportation has been stymied, because this law when it went into effect was intended to um, help clean up those junkyards that or ah, areas of scrap that had not been registered that were technically um, illegal on the roadside and they were not able to clean these up because of a lack of definition. It involves some screening of yards. Ah, what it is does is ask the owners of these lots to clean things up on their own. It does not apply to areas that are zoned industrial. I know I had a couple steel companies contact me and say 'what would this Bill mean to us because we have a significant amount of scrapyards, ah, would we be forced to screen it?' And the answer is no because for the most part they're in an area zone industrial either that or they had ah, they were already in effect, excuse me, ah prior to 1967, the Department of Transp...this is something written up by the Department of Transportation in conjunction with the auto and truck recyclers, and ah, they feel its need of definition. I do not claim to be an expert either on Department of Transportation matters or auto recycling. Apparently, it's something that the industry feels is needed as does the Department."

Ewing: "Well, ah, not, I'd like to speak to this Bill. I think we have to be very careful just what kind of authority we give the Department of Transportation. My, ah, experience in working with them on road signs is a real negative one. They would put everything and everyone out of business along our interstate highways without really any regard to local economy and those people whose jobs depend on it. Um, I personally don't ah, don't like to buy a 'pig in the poke', and I'm afraid that's exactly what we're doing here for



some extra federal dollars. Ah, until I have a better explanation of it, I would oppose this Bill and I would ask others who don't want to sell out to Washington and don't want to find that they've been ah, sold ah, a bill of goods to also impose this until we get the answers."

Birkinbine: "Mr. Speaker, there seems to be some confusion about this. Could we pull this out of the record?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 1134. Telcser. Out of the record. 1156. Representative Rea. Out of the record. 1158. Representative Bell. He was here earlier. Out of the record. 1272. Representative Beatty. Out of the record. 1358. Representative White. Out of the record. 1434. Marovitz, Telcser, Lechowicz. Out of the record. 1457. Representative Stearney. Out of the record. 1468. Representative Reed. Representative Winchester, will you please sit down? Representative Winchester, Ewing, Sumner, please sit down. Representative Reed's..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1468, A Bill for..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman, sit down."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for An Act to amend sections of the Snowmobile Registration and Safety Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill pretty well explains itself. It is simply a modernization and tightening up of the existing registration and identification procedures by the Department of Tran...of ah, Conservation in regard to snowmobiles."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish?"



Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 126 'aye' and no 'nay', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1477."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1477, a Bill for An Act to amend sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1477 provides that if you have a change in marital status, homeowner and automobile insurance policies cannot be non-renewed, and it also says that if a written application for an insurance policy is rejected, the rejection shall be in writing. I ask for your approval of House Bill 1477; it came out of the Insurance Committee Association nothing..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I am sincerely interested in asking a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Read the Bill first, and then ask the question. Proceed Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Pardon?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "Ah, Representative Catania, would you answer a question? Concerning the change in marital status, what if ah, you, for example, well, not you, an individual has a fine driving record marries someone who has a terrible driving record, most of the policies, I understand them, under the terms of the policies, they make household residents ah, named insured by definition. Would your Bill require the company in keeping the policy in effect, would it permit them to exclude your spouse, who has a terrible driving record from coverage under the policy?"



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Certainly they would not have to write coverage for your spouse but if you wanted coverage for your spouse, as you know, the insurance company's practice is to base the rate on the poorest driving record in the family, and this would certainly allow them to do that."

Leinenweber: "This would allow them that also given the option for example ah, let's say I have State, er, person A has State Farm Insurance. Person B, because of a poor driving record, has been cancelled by State Farm. They get married; there's nothing in your Bill which would require State Farm to cover person B?"

Catania: "Absolutely not, this deals only with non-renewal."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: Question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 'aye' and 2 'no' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1499."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1499, a Bill for An Act to amend sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Oh, I'm sorry, if I remembered correctly, House Bill 1499 is the, amends the Corrupt Practice Act with instead of just including the elected officials, it includes appointed officials also."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 139 'aye' and no 'nay', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, hereby declared



passed. 1535. Schneider. Out of the record. 1556. Bradley. Out of the record. 1562. Yourell. Out of the record. 1686. Kempiners. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1686, a Bill for An Act to amend sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill that was originally introduced by Representative Brady, and when he left the Legislature, I talked to him about taking over Sponsorship of the Bill and what I wanted to do with it, and he said that this is exactly what he had intended when he introduced it. Currently, a woman who would otherwise qualify for medical assistance, except that she does not have any children, ah, during her first pregnancy, none of that pregnancy is covered by Medicaid until such time as the child is born. House Bill 1686 would make the child eligible from the moment that the pregnancy is medically determined so that the woman would be able to receive proper prenatal care and delivery service. The, there's an extreme problem in this situation not being covered at the current time. In as many of you know, during pregnancy if proper care is not taken, a child could be born with severe handicaps. I've checked with the Illinois Association for Retarded Citizens to find out what it would cost the State for caring for a child born in such a way for its lifetime and the estimate was \$500,000. Ah, I have conferred with both the Departments of Public Aid and the Department of Public Health on this Bill and both of them are in support of it. Ah, I would urge your support for House Bill 1686."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? Representative



Kelly."

Kelly: "The first one. No...ah Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm not in opposition but I just wanted to ask the Sponsor is ah... is ah... refers to in your Amendment, you changed it to a child that's already born, right? Not an unborn child?"

Kempiners: "No, incorrect. The child is covered, from the point that it is medically determined that the woman is pregnant. That's the problem now, Representative that the child is not covered until such time as it is... is the child is born. And the problem is if, the woman doesn't have proper prenatal care, that child could be born with mental retardation and other problems that could have been prevented had there been proper prenatal care."

Kelly: "And the ah... In other words, like Public Aid abortions. We passed 333 during the last session which prevented the use of Public Aid funds for that type of situation. That wouldn't have an effect on it at all, your Bill, would it?"

Kempiners: "No, as a matter of fact this would encourage continuation. Well not encourage it, but it would make it possible continuation of the pregnancy and that a healthy child would be born."

Kelly: "I will be glad to support your Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder, are you in opposition? Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I just want to know the fiscal impact."

Kempiners: "In working with the Department of Public Aid, they estimate less than a million dollars and they have been in consultation with the Bureau of the Budget which if the current proposed Public Aid appropriation Bill is passed and it's the form it's in now, they would be able to handle this Bill with no problem at all."



Schraeder: "How about the taxpayers, can they handle a million bucks?"

Kempiners: "Well, if you look at the impact of a child that's born with a problem it would cost the taxpayers \$500,000 on one child. So, I think in the long run if you save four children a year from mental retardation, you are going to be ahead."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman, opposition?"

Schuneman: "No Sir, just a question Mr. Speaker. Representative Schraeder asked one of the questions I had... Bill. The other one. In reading the synopsis, I get the impression that both the mother and the fetus would be entitled to Medicare under your Bill, is that correct?"

Kempiners: "Correct, just medical care. No other type, just medical."

Schuneman: "I beg your pardon?"

Kempiners: "Just medical care."

Schuneman: "Right, no support for the pregnant..."

Kempiners: "This disciplines that section of the code that deals with medical care."

Schuneman: "Ok. I've had this problem several times and although I think Public Aid many times gives away a lot of things we shouldn't be giving away, that this is one instance where we should expand the program to include this. I think it's a good Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, should this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 136 'aye' and 2 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1690."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1690. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of the Juvenile Court Act. Third Reading of



the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1690 is just as the synopsis reads. It only affects children neglected or physically abused child. It provides that a guardian ad litem which is appointed by the court must have at least one face to face interview with that minor child that either is abused or neglected. What we found is ah... my law partner once was an Assistant State's Attorney and what... she dealt only with abused and neglected children. What she found was that the guardian ad litem that was appointed by the court very seldom was an advocate for the interests of that minor child. So what this would require, is that guardian ad litem would at least have to meet with that minor child for one face to face interview once before court proceedings. So, I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Just a question on line 14. Page 1 on line 14, Mike. Did you change that, amend that on its face? You have a typographical error there."

McClain: "Fact to face?"

Conti: "Yes, have you changed that?"

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, may we do that please?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman asks leave..."

McClain: "It should be face to face instead of fact to face."

Speaker Redmond: "To change the Bill on its face, it should be face to face."

Conti: "He's got it fact to face."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Conti."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave to make that change on the face of the Bill? Hearing no objection leave is granted. Representative Leinenweber."



Leinenweber: "I had a more substantive objection I think to the Bill, than that. I think with this particular Bill, House Bill 1690, we're invading a particular relationship that we as Legislators ought not to invade, and that is the relationship that is even more close or more responsibility than the typical attorney-client relationship. This is the relationship with the person who has been appointed by court to be responsible in court for the welfare of a particular minor. I don't think in these instances, that I certainly don't see any specific objection to a guardian ad litem conferring once face to face with the particular minor, but I don't think that we have the right down here in the Legislature to enter into to this particular field and tell attorney's and guardians how they should act. They are responsible to the court for their responsibilities, they are not responsible to the Legislature. I think this is a bad precedent. I think that maybe next time and this could very well develop into a real make work type of operation whereby we're going to say that not only must you see them once, you must see them twice or you must see them weekly until the matter is terminated. You must do this, you must do that and with each additional added responsibility you are going to add court costs onto the proceeding which will ultimately be born by the taxpayers. I think this is a bad precedent and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallock. Representative McClain, to close."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. With all due respect to Mr. Leinenweber, I knew a lawyer would oppose this Bill. I'm also a practicing lawyer. The issue for this Bill is only with the abused and neglected child only, not the



entire Juvenile Court Act. All it requires is that guardian ad litem would have to meet face to face with that abused and neglected child prior to the court beginnings. I think the issue is, is that typically lawyers, and I'm one of them, typically lawyers get appointed by a judge to be a guardian ad litem. They don't care about the case. They sit at the table, they pick up their 35 bucks and they leave. All this means is in an abused and neglected case that lawyer that's appointed by the court must at least meet with that child. The hope is that at least that lawyer then would after seeing the child and talking with the child, if the child's old enough to be able to talk, that maybe the lawyer then would be an advocate for that interest of that child who's abused and neglected. So because of that I put in the Bill, it's not a commission on children Bill or anything like that, it's just a Bill to try to make lawyers responsible to their professional ethics and I'd ask for an 'aye' vote.

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 117 'aye' 10 'no' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1693. Representative Giorgi.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1693. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of An Act relating to certain investments of public funds by public agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1693 permits public agencies to invest funds in corporate obligations which mature no later than 180 days and which had one



of the three highest ratings by at least two ratings services. There are some other safeguards in the Bill, in that purchases by treasurer's are limited to a 10% of an outstanding issuance and that the portfolio not exceed 25% of the investment program. I don't believe there's any opposition to the Bill and I urge favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition. Question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye' oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, 134 'aye' and 1 'no' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1770."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1770. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1770 amended the Revenue Act and extends exemption from property taxes to counties, municipalities, cities and villages and special taxing districts, for all properties in which title is held by the local governmental unit and being used for future expansion or development. Presently, school districts, park districts and conservation districts already have this authority in the Revenue Act. House Bill 1770 extends this same authority to counties, villages, cities and taxing districts. Amendment #1 which was adopted in Committee, clarifies the situation when public property is used for other than public purposes, it is then subject to being taxed. For example, any property that the local government holds title to that is leased to private entities would be subject to taxes. This leasehold tax



provision would be spelled out under the terms of the lease. I know no opposition to House Bill 1770 and I ask for a favorable Roll Call.

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? Representative Conti."

Conti: "I'm not in opposition, but Buz I don't know of any governmental agency now, that has property in title that is not tax exempt. I don't know of any agency. Can you name one?"

Yourell: "Yeah. All it applies to is counties, municipalities such as cities and villages and special taxing districts. This, I mean that's what it applies to under 1770. Presently only school districts, park districts and conservation districts have this exemption."

Conti: "That's not true."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Brief question. Could you give us an example of what kind of property that is not exempt now, will be exempt under this Bill?"

Yourell: "Any property that is acquired for future expansion in development by the unit of local government."

Kane: "That is not exempt now?"

Yourell: "No, it is exempt now under counties, under special taxing districts, cities and villages and conservation districts and park districts, but not other units of government."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Yourell: "Yes."

Mahar: "Bus, you are saying that if a municipality is expanding for parking sites or something like that, that once they take title, they are tax exempt, are they not?"



Yourell: "That is correct. Under the present law, only school districts, park districts and conservation districts have this authority. Other units of government do not and this expands the law to include those exemptions for counties, municipal corporations and other special taxing districts. They do not have that authority under the Revenue Article today."

Mahar: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 99 aye and 13 no and the Bill having receiving the Constitutional Majority hereby is declared passed. 1828, Stearney here? Out of the record, Representative Telcser. Let's go back to 1134. 1134."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1134. A Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Capital Development Board Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1134 deals with easements for utilities along property, along schools owned by the Capital Development Board. When CDB was created, it took over from the former School Building Commission, the ownership of a number of schools and from time to time when the schools want to build a utility, a sewer line, or some sort of utility, they have to get an easement in order to do so. That is what House Bill 1134 is all about and I appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Just a brief question for the Sponsors. Would this, as I understand this, allow the



Capital Development Board to affect the easement for requesting schools? Is that it, for the right-of-way? Is that correct?"

Telcser: "Well, as I understand your question, that is correct. If the school that is owned by the Capital Development Board wants to build a utility line, or a sewer line, some sort of utility, they have to have an easement in order to do it, so that CDB can build the utility line. But, they have to get an easement first. This Bill gives them easements."

Huff: "Right, the Capital Development Board would have the authority to effect the easement, is that it?"

Telcser: "That's correct."

Huff: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Question to the Sponsor. What type of easement? Are you talking about an easement that goes through somebody else's property?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser, Telcser, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Representative, as I understand this Bill, the easement would be across the school property."

Waddell: "And no property that is next to it?"

Telcser: "It is so that CDB who holds title to school property can give the easement for the utility to be constructed across the school property."

Waddell: "If that is where it ended, I would see nothing wrong with it, but I am not so sure that the way this is worded, that that is where it ends."

Telcser: "I don't see how CDB could give easements to property in which they don't hold, Representative, which would be the land to which you refer."

Waddell: "Yeah, but you do with a utility."

Telcser: "They are only giving the utility an easement on



the school property to which they hold title."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Mahar: "Alright, doesn't this just simply say that now that the CDB in order for a municipality to build a sewer line, the CDB would have to come to the General Assembly to get authority to do it and this is allowing them to go ahead and give those easements, is this correct?"

Telcser: "That's correct. It gives the easements on the school's property."

Mahar: "On the school property, right, or whatever property they happen to own."

Telcser: "But in this case, the school's property."

Mahar: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is - Shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote aye, those oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the Record. In this question there's 123 aye and 3 no. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. I have been advised that there are a couple of Bills on Third Reading which are of some emergency, so with the leave of the House, we will go to 2487. Representative Friedrich. It's on page 33, 2487, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a deficiency appropriation for the Legislative Reference Bureau and it's all in the area of the printing of the Digest, which is a substantial increase. We were only able to get one bidder on the Digest printing because it is such a problem. The Bill was amended, however, by Representative Richmond for a transfer of funds in the, for the Illinois' Future Task Force. There was



no added additional money involved there. There was just a transfer of funds within the Department. As far as I know, there's no opposition. That's been cleared by Staff on both sides of the aisle."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Read the Bill Mr. Clerk.

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2487. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act appropriating funds for the Legislative Reference Bureau. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is...Shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish. Clerk will take the Record. On this question there is 133 'aye' and no 'nay'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. There is one more...445. Representative Griesheimer. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk...445."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 445. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the adoption of persons and to repeal an Act herein named. Third Reading of the Bill.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. House Bill 445 is a Bill to establish a procedure in our Court System, whereby adopted children can, through this procedure, determine information concerning the medical records and family background. The Bill had an extensive hearing in Committee, a number of Amendments were established in there to satisfy both the Sub-Committee and the Committee as a whole and was favorably voted out. I wish all the members to know that one of the Chief opponents to the Bill when the Bill was first filed, was the Illinois Catholic Conference. I have spent a great deal of time with Mr. Jimmy Lago. We have established an understanding. The Bill has been put in a position that they feel that they will not



oppose it in any way. It complies with their general procedures and protects them. There are two additional things that we will be taking care of in the Senate, that are rather minor, that they also requested. I feel that this is a Bill that is much needed in our State...complies with the requests of a number of people, literally hundreds of thousands of people throughout the State that are involved in this, and I would urge its passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Mugalian: "Why is this Bill considered an emergency, while we have hundreds of other Bills on the Calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's an emergency. The Sponsor's got an emergency. Anything further? The question is shall this Bill....Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Sponsor yield to a question, please."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Hallstrom: "Representative, does this have now an amendment that says there shall be an intermediary, so that there will be some contact with the natural parents before the young person is allowed to know who those parents are?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Absolutely. It has an intermediary that is a Court officer, holds full allegiance and responsibility to the Court. There will be no direct contact between the birth parents and the petitioner. I will point out to you that when you use the word 'child' that this does not apply to child. You have to be over the age of 18 before you can even apply and you must have your parents' consent to age 25, so that there is no situation where a child



would be involved here. The intermediary is a Court officer responsible not to divulge any information except to the Court and only with the Court's permission and subject to sanctions by the Court, if they in any way do divulge anything."

Hallstrom: "Thank you sir, then I can support this...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber. Question is...Shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there is 130 'aye' and 11 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Now we will return to House Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate, page 6, 1937. Stearney is still not here huh? 1937. Representative Walsh is in the Chamber. He snuck in. How did you do that? 1937."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1937. A Bill for an Act creating the Adult Abuse Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill is to protect the other end of the spectrum from the child. We have child abuse laws, but we have none that protect those who are 65 and over who cannot protect themselves. I checked the Bills throughout the Country, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New York, Pennsylvania, Florida, Alabama, South Carolina Bills...Reread them and used the best parts of each of them for this Bill. The reason for the Bill is, I think Representative Flinn and I both felt the same way, we knew about child abuse back in the early 70's and did nothing about it and then it became catastrophic and we had to throw in six million and three hundred people to try and stop it and we're not sure it will work. This is a Bill to take notice of



this problem and begin to work on it before it becomes so large we can't handle it. I ask for a favorable Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question is...Shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 129 'aye' and 2 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Is Representative J. J. Wolf in the Chamber. Will you tell us what happened on the weekend. Was it worth going down there?"

J.J. Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. It was one of the most enjoyable weekends I think anyone could have had and those of us who went on the outstanding fishing trip down to Union county in Southern Illinois, had a wonderful time and those who didn't get there missed a great weekend."

Speaker Redmond: "Did you catch any fish?"

J.J. Wolf: "We brought a ton of fish back, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. 1949. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yeah, I think Mr. Speaker, the Members of the House would enjoy a report from Representative Kelly on his trip to the Purple Grackle in Cape Girardeau, Missouri as part of that fishing trip. Kelly caught his share of fish and we are waiting for the rest of the story."

Speaker Redmond: "Did the Purple Grackle catch fish too? 1949. Representative Kempiners."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1949, amends the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1949 provides for confidentiality of Public Aid records used in judicial



proceedings to which an applicant or recipient is a party and when those cases are not related to programs administered by the Department of Public Aid. An Amendment has been put on this Bill that would provide that these records shall be disclosed upon the order of a court where the court finds such to be necessary in the interest of justice. Basically, this Bill was drafted so that the State of Illinois records would conform to H.E.W. standards with regard to confidentiality. Again, I stress that this prohibition is only in judicial proceedings in which the Department of Public Aid is not a case and in which the ah... the ah client is a matter, is part of the lawsuit and I would urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, are we still on Short Debate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber. It's on Short Debate."

Leinenweber: "I have sincere questions on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with your sincere questions."

Leinenweber: "Thank you. Representative Kempiners, would you please, once more run... the Amendment which now gives a judge final authority to permit ah..."

Kempiners: "Yeah. First off, I want to make it clear that this covers only those instances where the lawsuit does not involve the Department of Public Aid. However, in that lawsuit as the Amendment indicates, the files can be opened upon an order of the court in of the language is where the court finds such to be necessary in the interest of justice."

Leinenweber: "I could conceive of a situation. I just want to make sure that this exception would cover it. A person, let's say, who is involved in a personal injury litigation who's claiming some kind of ah.. disability which has alledgedly prevented him from pursuing his



work and perhaps it might come up that the person at the time of the occurrence was on Public Aid, which would indicate that he wasn't working. Would the exception permit in that instance that information to be brought into court?"

Kempiners: "Well, the Amendment is a Getty-Kempiners Bill and I don't see Mike over there, but it's my understanding..."

Leinenweber: "I do."

Kempiners: "Is he over there?"

Leinenweber: "Yeah, he's over there."

Kempiners: "It's my understanding this is why the Amendment is on there, but I would prefer it coming from Representative Getty who first brought this matter to my attention."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, could I have leave to ask Representative Getty who is apparently Sponsor of the Amendment of which I have a question about?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes you may, yes. Representative Getty."

Leinenweber: "Did you hear the question I asked Representative Kempiners. Let me start over again. As I understand it, this is an attempt to bring confidentiality to matters a Public Aid recipient may be involved in with the Department in a judicial proceeding which does not involve the Department of Public Aid. Now, several times I've been involved in a proceeding whereby a potential claimant for a personal injury action claims to be disabled from work but it comes to life that they may have been on Public Aid at the time of the occurrence. Would your Amendment make that fact admissible and allow the bringing in of the records of Public Aid to show, say at the time of the occurrence, the person was on Public Aid?"

Getty: "The effect of the Amendment would be to cut back



what the Bill originally did. The Bill as introduced, would have prohibited and possibly made an absolute privilege. What this would do, would have the privilege, in effect be where the court finds...; it would be waived where the court finds it's in the interest of justice. In other words, you wouldn't want to have a possibility of federal disclosure laws being violated, which is a problem. At the same time you would not want to cut off the rights of litigation ...to discovery. So I think that this, the Amendment would help to protect the interest that you have, Representative Leinenweber. At the same time, not put us in the posture as the law presently is where the Department might be forced to disclose information which federal law would prohibit."

Leinenweber: "Fine, thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative, former Representative Geo Karis is here to use the phone. Anybody... Representative Matijeich objects. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 134 'aye' and no 'nay'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1960. Sandquist. Out of the record: Representative Winchester, for what purpose do you rise?"

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was a observer and a participant in the fishing trip over the weekend and I was also a chaperone at the Purple Grackle Club for Representative Kelly. And I would like for the membership to know that our Southern ladies from Southern Illinois as well as our Southern Missouri ladies were quite pleased to have him there to show them his professional disco dancing abilities. So we



appreciate it, Representative Kelly, you coming down."

Speaker Redmond: "Was Representative Polk there?"

Winchester: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Too bad. 1961. Out of the record. 1962. Out of the record. 1980. Out of the record. 1981. 1981, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1981. A Bill for An Act to amend the Securities Law of 1953. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1981 amends the Securities Law of 1953 and it exempts from registration of reserved stock or stock issued pursuant to conversion of reserved stock of any savings and loan of any state, where such savings and loan is a member of the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation. It therefore eliminates the duplication and an unnecessary expense for savings and loan. It's supported by Savings and Loan League, the Savings and Loan Council and the Secretary of State has no objection. I ask your support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, 136 'aye' and no 'nay'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 2132."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2132. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of The School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, it appears I'm going to need another Amendment on this Bill, so I would ask that it be withdrawn from consideration at this time."



Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2146. Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for the purpose of an introduction. We have with us in the gallery the Columbia District #4 School of Monroe County. Represented by Representative Birchler, Representative Richmond and Representative Ralph Dunn. The kids are from the 58th District, Monroe County."

Speaker Redmond: "2146."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2146. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2146 increases the added compensation for various assessment officials for earning training certificates. At the present time, those who have received, earned the Certified Illinois Assessment Officers' Certificate, received \$300 per year. The Bill would increase it to \$500. It's a regular compensation for assessing officials, it is generally considered too low and this would rectify it. I ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane. Kane."

Kane: "Will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kane: "Are these training certificates issued by the Department of Local Government Affairs after training, or is it by a private group?"

Keane: "DLGA, it is my understanding, after training....it's a private...they are issued by the Illinois Property Assessment Institute."

Kane: "Which is a private group?"

Keane: "That is correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further? Representative Kane."



Kane: "I would like to speak to the Bill. I think if we are going to give increased compensation to the supervisors of assessments for taking a training program, I think that that training program should be run by the State and supervised by the State in that it should not be given by a private association that collects fees for giving these training programs."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keane to close."

Keane: "Its the Illinois Property Assessment Institute's designation are recognized by the Department of Local Government Affairs. It's looked upon as an inducement to have assessors, who in many cases, do not possess full knowledge of the assessment process to encourage them to become more proficient in their occupation. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is...Shall this Bill Pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. In this question there are 109 'aye' and 16 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2180."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2180. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Tax Relief Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "My light is on. Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill was introduced by Representative Giorgi and myself in response to some problems which we have experienced in helping our constituents with the circuit breaker programs. As the law presently stands, if any individual receives any income from public assistance programs, they are automatically disqualified from receiving any benefits under the circuit breaker program. In my own case, we



helped a lady who had an income of \$4.00 per month from the Public Aid Department for purposes of a supplemental shopping allowance. That was an income of only \$50 a year from the Department of Public Aid. That \$50 a year income disqualified her from receiving benefits on the order of \$400 under the circuit breaker program. This is grossly unfair. The people on these...receive these shopping allowances don't understand their being cutoff from their circuit breaker benefits by accepting this money. What the Bill does, it's simply to say that we will exempt the first \$20 of Public Aid benefits, so if a person earns no more than \$20 or gets no more than \$20 a month from Public Aid, they are entitled to receive benefits under the circuit breaker program."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? Question is...Shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 116 'aye' and 23 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2212. Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait until we read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2212. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Article XIV of the School Code requires special education programs for all handicapped children ages 3 to 21. The State Board of Education has encouraged local school districts to provide these programs based on individual educational needs. The problem, and what I



hope 2212 will solve, is that many school districts now find that some of these youngsters can benefit from more than two and one-half to four hours of education, but they only get the ADA based on a half a day. What we're asking is that if the individual educational needs do go beyond that two and one-half hours, that the school districts shall then be able to get the full ADA."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? Question is...Shall this Bill pass. All those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. In this question there's 142 'aye' and no 'nays'. The Bill having the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2234."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2234. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "He's been passing Bills for the last fifteen years. Representative Jaffe...Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. 2234 amends the Pension Code. Basically what it does is that it deletes the provisional, provisions requiring to suspension of the Chicago Teachers' pension benefits, while the teacher is employed by the City or coterminous municipal corporation. Basically what you have here is a Constitutional problem. You don't have the same problem...it's not the same pension system and no other employment, public or private, would buy the pension. It also deletes some obsolete reference to common employer and I would urge an 'aye' vote. It passed out of Committee 13 to nothing."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? Representative Skinner in opposition."



Skinner: "Yes, it's too cold in here, so we need some hot air. I would like to know if this would allow a teacher who has retired to draw the retirement benefits while being on the City of Chicago payroll for example."

Jaffe: "Yes, Cal, what you have at the present time is that teachers can go out and work for the county, can work for the RTA, could work for the Metropolitan Sanitary District, and would not be penalized in that fashion. Under the present law, however, if they go to work for the City, they do. The Bill came about because of the fact that I have somebody in my precinct who was a Chicago teacher and then picked up a job after his retirement with the City of Chicago and had his pension cutoff and I think you do have a Constitutional problem there. I asked people on both sides of the aisle whether or not they had any objection to it, and they thought if there was a Constitutional problem this would cure."

Skinner: "Well, I don't know about a Constitutional problem, but this certainly extends the philosophy of 'double-dipping', uh...that the federal government has widely abused to another local governmental unit. And, I think that should be reflected upon before this whizzes out of the House and you get another precinct worker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

Wolf: "Could I have leave to ask the Sponsor one brief question?"

Speaker Redmond: "You may."

Wolf: "Mr. Jaffe, does the same yardstick apply to the General Assembly if a member is on retirement? Is he permitted to work for the State of Illinois and draw his salary at the same time?"



Jaffe: "I really don't know Jake. You would probably know that better than I would."

Wolf: "I don't know, that's why I am asking you."

Jaffe: "I really don't know. Perhaps Representative Terzich could reply to that, because as I indicated I had staff on both sides the aisle research this and I wasn't going to go ahead with this unless the facts I had were correct. I do not, I don't have an answer to your question."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question is...shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. This question is 75 'aye' and 49 'no'. Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, just an explanation of my vote. I might say that the Gentleman from McHenry actually started to waive a red herring over here and I don't think that really exists. What we are trying to do is attack a Constitutional problem. It has nothing to do with double-dipping at all. I don't have anybody in my precinct who's an ex-Chicago teacher who is working for me or anything else to that effect. As I said, this is not the same pension system and you are running into the problem of no other employment, whether it be the public or private would have this problem. The person could go to work for the county, could go to work for the sanitary district, go to work for the park district, and still have his pension, but in this particular instance, when they go to work for the City in some menial job, their pension is cutoff, and this just isn't fair."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I noticed I pushed a red button. I would like to be voting 'aye' on this."



Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Conti from red to ~~no~~ from red to green, from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Jaffe. Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "'Aye'"

Speaker Redmond: "Record Brummer as 'aye'. Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "'Aye'"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Frederick. Wait a minute, let's dump this Roll Call and take a new one. Question is...Shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye', those oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there's 95 'aye' and 43 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2333. Representative Ebbesen here? Out of the Record. 2365. Out of the Record. 2436, Hannig. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk. 2436."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2436. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Consumer Finance Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2436 amends the Consumer Finance Act to provide penalties for violation of Section 14. Section 14 of the Consumer Finance Act spells out the duties of the financial institutions. It also spells out what must be disclosed by the finance company and also spells out the terms that must be met by the financial institutions. The Bill would establish Illinois penalties for violation of this Act. The penalties are identical with the Federal Truth and Lending Act. In Committee, members of the financial community testified in favor of this Bill. The Bill was unanimously passed by the House Judiciary I



Committee and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? Question is...Shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there's 128 'aye' and 8 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2446."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 244..."

Speaker Redmond: "...please sit down."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2446, a Bill for An Act to amend The Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino. Laurino, 2446. Representative Laurino, have you...? Maybe we better take this one out temporarily and go to 1158. Then we'll be back to Representative Laurino. 1158"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1158, a Bill for An Act to amend The Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bell."

Bell: "1158, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 1158 is a clean up Bill; it amends the Revenue Act, and it changes lands and lots to parcels of real property. It's ah, just simply to cleanup the ah, some wording, delete some obsolete dates...and I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 142 'aye', no 'nay'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative White, are you ready with 1358? Representative White, 1358. 1358."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1358, a Bill for An Act to amend The Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Are we ready for 2446, Representative Laurino?"

Laurino: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 2446 ah, the amendment ah, the amendment #1 to House Bill 2446 ah, actually is the Bill. What it does is put in ah, vote-a-matics into those precincts that ah, have 35,000 population or more."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, one of the things one learns on the House Floor, when the Sponsor mumbles, there's a reason to read the digest. This is a mandated program, capital letters! Ah, when I have a mandated program, I'll tell you. Unfortunately, the Sponsor didn't tell you that this one was. What we're gonna do is force every county whose population is between 35 and 40 thousand people to buy electronic voting machines. What that basically means is if you want to fix the election, you're gonna have to get somebody with a little higher degree of education than with paper ballots. Ah, I'm not, my county went to electronic data processing for elections before the law required it. My county has about 140,000 people now. The election results have never been in earlier than they were the last year there were paper ballots. I don't know what the reason is for requiring electronic voting machines except maybe to make the manufacturers happy. Um, but there is just no reason to mandate this. Hasn't anybody learned a lesson ah, that was taught last year through the hearings that the Lieutenant Governor held around the State that local government officials do not want mandated programs. If they'd if they're smart enough to be local officials, they're smart enough to figure out whether they need an electronic data processing



machine to um, and punch cards, to run an election. I think that judgement ought to be left up to the local officials."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Well, I would agree with Representative Skinner because I also have a county in my district that would fall into this category. And it's not so bad if you have some industry in the county that would provide EDP. You'd still have the expense of buying the equipment, but in the case of Kendall County, I don't think that there's even an industry that has the counting equipment that would be necessary, which means that the ballots would have to go outside the county in order to be counted. So, I think that there're two things that we have to look at, the first is that we are mandating a program on a unit of local government, but the second is it may be a mandate which is not enforceable, that we don't have the ability to mandate, that in addition to buying the vote-a-matics, for example, ah, we'd have to force them to buy a computer for their court house. And I think that could really be an expensive burden on the taxpayer and I would also urge a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, amendment #3, in my judgement being a past member of the State Board of Elections, is an excellent amendment. We're going to be on consolidation of elections. Counties of this size, with paper ballots will have an extremely difficult time counting their ballots. I mean an extremely difficult time. You could have as many as six or eight ballots. This amendment could save the taxpayers a lot of money, give better services to the voter. This is an excellent amendment. Thank you



very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing: ~~Representative Ewing, before the~~

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I would oppose this amendment, and I think the last speaker gave us ample reason why we either ought to delay the effectiveness of consolidated elections or do away with it altogether. And I would hope that this Bill would go down."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino to close."

Laurino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Unfortunately for some of us, we all don't have shrieking, discernable voices that are irritating to our ah, colleagues here, like Representative Skinner. I wasn't mumbling, maybe he should just about clean his ears out and he could hear a little better. As for intellectual vote fraud, I doubt whether or not that paper ballots allude to anything but vote fraud. And that was the reason for the amendment. It's designed just to implicate the situations of orders having the proper right to vote and an easier access to ah, the um, situation at hand. It wasn't designed for anything, ah, any cost expectations but ah, if the people that come from those counties feel that ah, this is too costly, then maybe they should look into their background and find out how costly vote fraud is. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Mautino to explain his vote."

Mautino: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I had my light on because I wanted to question the Sponsor before he closed. As I remember the amendment, it drops the population figure from 40 to 35 thousand for the county. But my question is, is the program mandating voting machines or is it allowable to use vote-a-matics in terms of machines? If that is the answer than I say yes, it's



a good amendment. If that's not the answer, then we've got a problem."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino:"

Laurino: "You're correct in your assumption, Representative Mautino."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 90 'aye' and 55 'no', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2450. Who's the Sponsor of this Bill now? Representative Schoeberlein, I understand, is an alderman. Representative Henry, are you the principal Sponsor? 2450."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2450, a Bill for An Act to repeal the Emergency Public Transportation and Assistance Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Henry."

Henry: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, ah, House Bill 2450 repeals the Emergency Transportation Assistance Act of 1971. There was no automatic 'repeallant' and there have to be effective once the grants had been made. Therefore, House Bill 2450 was necessary to delete the Act from the statutes. Ah, the Act had served its purpose; ah, Alderman Schoeberlein asked me to handle this Bill for him. Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I recommend adoption of 2450."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any amendments? Any motion? Any discussion? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I would be sincerely opposed to this Bill if I thought it were going to be amended in the Senate, but I have been assured that it will not be. And when the Sponsor closes, I'm sure he will put that in the record. Ah, just cleaning off some language that shouldn't be in the statutes anymore. Might tempt somebody from Chicago if we leave it in."



Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass?
Representative Matijeveh."

Matijeveh: "Well, this is Mr. Henry's first Bill. Because
it's his first Bill, I shall vote for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass? Those
in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. 2462. Take
the record, John. On this question there's 142 'aye'
and 1 'no', and the Bill having received the
Constitutional Majority hereby declared passed.
2462."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2462..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers wants to know if
that applause was the occasion to the fact that he
entered the chamber. Did you applaude for
Representative Borchers? (applause) That's pretty
skimpy. Representative Currie."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2462, a Bill for An Act to amend
the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act. Third Reading
of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.
2462 is a housekeeping Bill. It would extend the
notice and filing deadlines and personal injury
actions brought against the Chicago Transportation
Authority to bring them into line with those in the
Tort Immunity Act. Four years ago, this body extended
the notice and the filing provisions in the Tort
Immunity Act so that all other agencies of government
now require a one year filing notice period and a two
year filing period. All this Bill does is to extend
those same time deadlines to the Chicago
Transportation Authority. I ask your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? Question
is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye',
oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all



voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 118 'aye' and 18 'no' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 2491. Representative Wolf."

J.J. Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Just one final note on that fishing trip. I just wanted to compliment some of the young people that were there fishing; Representative Lechowicz's son Eddie and ah... Buz Yourell's son Pete, who I think were among those who caught the most fish, but the large fish prize went to Representative Terzich's son Butch. He was a heck of a fisherman, he caught one great big bass down there."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2491. A Bill for An Act to amend..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich. For what purpose do you rise?"

Terzich: "Well, the only reason Representative Wolf mentioned that, ask him who went and cleaned all the fish with them. It doesn't run in the Terzich family."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty here? Out of the record. 2501."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2501. A Bill for An Act authorizing the reimbursement of transportation carriers which provide reduced fare transportation for the handicapped. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Patrick. Patrick."

Patrick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2501 authorizes the reimbursement of the transportation carriers which provide reduced fare transportation for the handicapped. Requests for reimbursement shall be made to the Illinois Secretary of Transportation in amounts described by this Act. Reimbursement shall not exceed



1/2 for adult fare or \$2.00 per trip, whichever is least. I ask your support of this fine Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any... Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I won't rise to oppose this Bill. I'm certainly concerned with the word handicapped and who defines handicapped. I find that in this last snowstorm, we've had many many requests for handicapped signs, no parking for handicapped signs and checking out some of the signs that are being put up for no parking, we find out that somebody has emphysema or someone who has a heart murmur has decided to be a handicapped person. Now, when your using the word handicapping your not defining handicapped whether it's a paraplegic or what the condition may be on this. I don't know what the fiscal impact would be to the state, or to the Transit Authority."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "It seems to me this ought to be taken off Short Debate, because I distinctly remember the Governor's transportation program wants to abolish this half fare reimbursement and I'm sure that it should be discussed at length."

Speaker Redmond: "Is he joined by nine others? Okay. Representative..."

Skinner: "Now, could I ask the Sponsor some questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, you go right ahead."

Skinner: "Yes, how much is this going to cost?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Patrick."

Patrick: "This Bill would cost one million dollars. It was voted in a companion Bill 2502."

Skinner: "Alright now, the present, am I correct that the present reimbursement program is about 16 to 19 million dollars? The half fare reimbursement statewide?"



Patrick: "Sounds right."

Skinner: "It sounds right to me too. Approximately 96% of this money goes to the CTA. Now, if everybody that's not served by the CTA wants to give the CTA more money, this is certainly a way to get it to them. But, it really seems like penny ante stuff. It would seem to me that the 200 hundred million dollars of state and local tax dollars that are going to the RTA, plus the hundred and some odd million dollars that's coming from the federal government to the RTA, ought to be enough to satiate even the appetites of the RTA Board. For some reason when the RTA Act passed, probably greediness on the part of the CTA, we didn't abolish the half fare program for the RTA area. I can't think of a reason in the world that this thing ought to be passed. It's a drop in the bucket when one compares it to the over 300 hundred million dollar RTA budget and if one wants to compare it to what the RTA lusts for that is a what a one percent sales tax on the six county area will bring in, that is 355 million dollars. Divide that by one million or divide 355 into one million and you'll find it's not even a one percent increase in their budgets. What on earth good is this Bill going to do? I can't see any at all. The RTA presently has, it presently has enough money to provide all the transportation it wants to provide to any handicapped program. It's the RTA Board's decision however, not to provide that transportation. Seems no reason to me that we should reward people for not doing what the federal law mandates that they should do and that's what we would be doing if we passed House Bill 2501."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Would the Sponsor yield, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."



Telcser: "Representative, how much money are you appropriating to the Secretary of Transportation's Office to handle the applications to enforce the Act, to see to it that those who do apply are in fact handicapped and to establish rules in order to define what a handicapped is?"

Patrick: "The appropriation Bill is 2502 and it was for one million dollars. Nothing in this Bill."

Telcser: "Is that for the administration of the Act, or is that simply for the reimbursements?"

Patrick: "Just for the reimbursement."

Telcser: "Well then how, well what about the Secretary's budget? The Secretary has to have money to handle the Act and to handle the applications and enforce the law."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Patrick. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well Mr. Speaker, in response to Representative Telcser, the definition under House Bill 2501 of the handicapped person is almost identical to the one listed in the National Mass Transportation Assistance Act and the Urban Mass Transportation Act and these Acts as a point of information requires that as a condition for receiving federal aid, mass transit carriers must charge handicapped persons half the regular adult fare. Under House Bill 2501, what Representative Skinner pointed out, the money would not be going to the RTA or the CTA. Basically, the intent of House Bill 2501 is to reimburse the carriers who put out these mini buses to provide assistance for the handicapped people in this state. It's a small van type of vehicle and that's the purpose of 2501. It also confirms with the federal definition of who a handicapped person is... I can share your concern as far as the policing of House Bill 2501, but I believe



the federal guidelines are quite specific and the definition of a handicapped person is contained in 2501."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In explaining my 'aye' vote, we heard one of the speakers on the other side of the aisle talking about these people being more than taking care of with an appropriation of the... or when the one cent sales tax were enacted. You talk about putting the cart before the horse or apples and oranges or mixing different metaphors, this really is one instance where it really applies. Now, let me tell you this, if this Bill does not pass we're talking about the handicapped throughout the State of Illinois being marooned where they will not be able to get to their place of business or their schools, to their places where they can be put on tax rolls. We're talking about this million dollars going to the 'handy' buses. The buses that transport the handicapped to and from their place of business, their schools or to various other places where they can get off of welfare and be put back on the tax rolls. And this Bill, by the way Ladies and Gentlemen, was passed in the Transportation Committee and put on Short Debate, with some of the objectors or one of the main objectors to this Bill being present at that vote. If he was talking about this being a bad Bill, that would have been as good a time as any to express his opposition to the Bill. This is a good Bill. I implore you all to give it a green light."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted.... Representative Borchers."



Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, in my community I happen to know that some of this transportation went to such places as taverns and such places as shows and therefore I think we have no obligation whatsoever, of paying for this kind of transportation. Now some are on the level, but others are not and since I'm on the subject and got honored by the Speaker a few moments ago I want to point out that my compatriot over there, Mr. Tim Donovan, is now in the chamber himself."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, but he didn't get any applause."

Borchers: "That's his tough luck."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if this gets 89 and holds I would like to request a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 87 'aye' and 65 'no'. Representative Patrick."

Patrick: "Poll the absentees please."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman requests a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk. Representative James Taylor, for what purpose do you rise?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I was rising to speak in behalf of the Bill, but now that we are polling the absentees I will wait since Representative Polk has requested a verification. I do believe the legislation is needed at this time. We need all the support we can."

Clerk O'Brien: "The absentees, Jane Barnes, Breslin, Daniels, Deuster, Ebbesen, Epton, Dwight Friedrich, Johnson, Katz, Kozubowski, Kucharski, McBroom, McGrew, Meyer, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Oblinger, Peters, Schlickman, Stearney, Telcser, no further."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, would you please change my 'no' vote to 'yes'."



Speaker Redmond: "Record the Lady as 'aye'. Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Record me as 'aye', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the la...Gentleman as 'aye'. Anything further? Now what's the count? It's now 89 'ayes' and 65 'nos'. Representative Polk has requested a verification, the affirmative Roll Call. Mr. Clerk, verify the Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander, Balanoff, E.M. Barnes, Beatty, Birchler, Bowman, Bradley, Preston, Braun, Brummer, Bullock, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Christensen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian desires to be recorded as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Cullerton, Currie, Darrow, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Donovan, Doyle, John Dunn, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Gaines, Garmisa, Getty, Giorgi, Goodwin, Greiman, Hallstrom, Hanahan."

Speaker Redmond: "Mrs...Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker, ah, may I have leave of the House, please, to remove my vote. I think I do have a conflict of interest. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "How do you desire to be recorded - as present?"

Hallstrom: "Present."

Speaker Redmond: "Present. Record the Lady as present."

Clerk O'Brien: "Harr..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Ah, what is, what's the count now then, Sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "89."

Polk: "Ah, didn't Ms. Hallstrom just remove herself?"

Speaker Redmond: "She did."

Polk: "Still 89, fine."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hanahan, Harris, Huff, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Keane, Kelly, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Madigan, Margalus,



Marovitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McClain, McPike, Molloy, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Murphy, Kulas, O'Brien, Patrick, Pechous, Pierce, Pouncey, Rea, Richmond, Ronan, Ropp, Sandquist, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Schraeder, Henry."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Patrick."

Patrick: "Mr. Speaker, can I put this on Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Redmond: "Postponed Consideration. Representative Kosinski. Purpose of an announcement."

Kosinski: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, an announcement, Ladies and Gentlemen, and I direct this particularly to, to some of you newcomers to the General Assembly. We are graced again with a Correspondence Association grid iron dinner and show tomorrow night, Tuesday, at the Forum 30. There's still some tickets left. Those of you who haven't participated in this in the past, I suggest you go in your own defense. These reporters sometimes are a little rough on us. But it's good to be there and hear verbatim precisely what's said about you. Ah, the tickets are \$20.00. They're available from anyone of the very nice people representing the media. Ah, in, if there's some question about the increase of cost from last year's \$15.00, the Elks Club is closing, as you know, in consequence, it has to be held at the Forum 30. The prices there are a little steeper. Go for your self-protection. Grid iron dinner tomorrow night."

Speaker Redmond: "How about the parking lot, Representative Kosinski, do you have any observations about the parking lot?"

Kosinski: "I don't know what's occurring in some of our parking lots, but some of us get to the stall assigned to us and find another Legislator's car there. Now, something is goofed-up and it is extremely important



that we adhere our own space because, this morning an example, I went to stall 4, found another Legislator there, parked in stall 3, and I'm sure that Legislator is annoyed with me. Please be careful to use your own space."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to point out to the membership and ah, for the record, that that is a 25% increase in one year in the cost of the tickets."

Speaker Redmond: "I wonder, Mr...Represen...I wonder if Mr. Con knows about that. 2508."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2508. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of The School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, one out of eight teenage girls in our nation will become pregnant this year. Or putting it another way, one out of five children born in our nation is born to a child. More and more, the ages of these young mothers is getting lower, and we're finding 12's and 13's and 14 year-old girls having babies in increasing numbers. I was pleased to see this body approve Representative Kempiners's Bill that would provide some support, some help, ah, commitment on our part to help mothers who have decided to have their, their babies. This is a small Bill I'm proposing to you today that I believe will be of assistance in helping young mothers. Ah, simply, the Bill calls on the State Board of Education to survey and evaluate courses of instruction established by local school boards for pregnant pupils and to report and make recommendations to the Legislature. There are many good programs that school districts in our State have developed to deal with problems that pregnant pupils have and which young



mothers have. I believe that this kind of minor legislation can possibly have a major impact in encouraging school districts to recognize and develop the kind of instruction which is required for these pregnant women. This is not a mandate as you can see. It simply is a survey and evaluation in an attempt to give some visibility ah, to this issue among educational leaders and systems. I ask for your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I won't say that I'm in opposition, but I like to point out that in 1969 and 70 when all the baloney was going on in this House and on the campuses, I pointed out that this is the very kind of liberal thinking ah, that will result in this kind of a Bill in due time. And here we are, 10 years, 12 years later, getting ready to help the increasing number of pregnant high school mothers because of a lack of interest and lack of firmness and lack of conservatism on the part of this very House in this very Senate in this very State. So I advise you to vote for it and remember if we keep voting for some of the liberal Bills that we've been having in the last 12, 15 years, we're just gonna have more of the same and the destruction of the moral fiber, fiber of this country. So vote 'aye' and help them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder if she'll yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Conti: "I noticed that it says the empowers the Board to provide home instructions: Would this also include the counseling on ah, on abortions for any of these



ah, would there...any instructions on that?"

Chapman: "Mr. Conti, this is only relating to programs which school districts have for pregnant pupils ah, when they reach the point when they sometimes cannot remain in school anymore and some districts offer homebound classes. But, other school districts integrate them into the school program. So this has nothing to do with abortion at all, quite the opposite. This is aimed at providing some attention for the pregnant pupils who have decided to have their babies."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, are we still on Short Debate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, can't you identify it?"

Polk: "May I invite ten other people to join me to take this off Short Debate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Joined by nine people. 8 they tell me, I only counted 8. Now it's 9. Now, it's on full debate. Representative Polk."

Polk: "It seems to me this last...ah, the conclusion of last year, we did everything possible to keep from mandating programs into the school system For the Sponsor, I'm asking this question: Are we now mandating this program in the school systems?"

Chapman: "Thank you, for giving me an opportunity to explain this Mr. Polk. This is not a mandate. It doesn't ask local school districts to do anything. There are a number of local school districts that do, have very good programs of a variety of kind. All this Bill does, is to ask the State Board of Education to survey and to evaluate the programs which on a permissive basis under this legislation and in the present school code school districts now operate under."

Polk: "Well..."

Chapman: "No mandate Sir."

Polk: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, speaking of the Bill...but it appears to



me, although I have to disagree with my learned friend in Decatur; in relation to how he's going to vote, it appears to me that this is a mandate although, in a sense to the State School Board."

Chapman: "Oh, definitely. A mandate to the State Board of Education to survey and evaluate. They supported the Bill in committee, by the way."

Polk: "Which in turn, which in turn as we will find as we are doing on many other activities, one being evaluation of students. This again will mandate, eventually mandate and two years from now we will be coming back mandating this into every school program. We're having enough difficult time, trying to make our funds go as far as we like them to. We're all concerned about the level of funding that we're going to have for elementary and secondary this coming year and I just believe that this is a step in that direction. It's going to cost the school districts more and more money. We're all, I assume that you received your property tax through the mail last week, as I did. Mine went up considerably, almost ten percent, close to thirteen percent. All of us are concerned about our property taxes and I think this is ultimately where it's going to end up."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill has been amended to provide that it will be the responsibility of the State Board to collect and ah bring data together to see what is happening in terms of courses of instruction. Let me share with you the precepts of a person who has had a number of pregnant students in his class. I teach seniors in high school and in fact I had one girl this year who is the mother of two children and she's eighteen years old, the first one



was born when she was fifteen, the second one was born about a year ago. We have a program at our school which provides for homebound instruction and we have a prescribed program through which the homebound tutor deals with the teachers that are assigned to those students on a regular basis then the individual goes to the regular program in a homebound situation and were frequently in contact with the student and the parents by phone. However, this is not true everywhere and the attitude towards the pregnant student varies a great deal. It seems to me, that it's important that we make decisions here on a statewide basis to have some understanding of the various points of view, that are held on this issue and the various ways in which the communities deal with it. I think this is one of the advantages of having a State Board of Education, in where they can accept the responsibilities and do these things for us. So, I rise in support of this Bill and would request my colleagues to do likewise."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I too would like to rise in support of 2508, as amended. What this Bill does in its amended form, it mandates, no question about that, the State Board to survey and evaluate courses of instruction for pregnant pupils and make recommendations to the General Assembly. Now what other way can we deal with the problem that's out there, unless we know what's going on out there? So this is good legislation and legislation that we should support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to oppose this measure, I'll tell you why. I just called the Legislative Reference



Bureau and they told me that if we pass this proposal into Bill, it will create the 5th volume of the statutes and I point that out, because what the Sponsor is trying to do can be done without a statute. It can be done by a simple Resolution asking the State Board to conduct the survey. What we are doing is cluttering up our statute books, with things which can be done in another fashion. I'm not going to oppose the measure on its merits, I would support it if it was a Resolution. But it is not a Resolution, which it should be and as a statute I don't think it's necessary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's hard to argue against the merit of this type of statute, but I think when we are considering our vote here, there's one thing we want to keep in mind. The Sponsor has admitted after some hesitation, this is a mandate to the State and we can be assured that the bureaucracy which is the State Board of Education, will be in here for an appropriation, or it will be hidden in their appropriation and we are going to pay for this, we are going to use tax money to make and to fund this extra mandate to the State Board of Education. Now I think the decision is whether to you it's worth it and whether we'll get a usable report back or just a lot more paper that goes into the waste basket."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'd like to echo the sentiments of those in opposition, but I'd like to point out one other thing: We're very cognizant of the fact that we want the units of government to have what we call home rule powers. Well I think this very thing applies to the school



Board districts throughout the State of Illinois and certainly in my areas. I'm not sure whether my districts or any one of them or even one of them have a program such as this and I'm not basically opposed to that concept. But, I am opposed to the concept and this is what we're doing today, for the next year or the following year to come up with a mandated program. I would say, let the school districts make this determination what's best for their students. Let's don't try to be legislating what the School Board's ought to do. If we give this authority, or the determination that the State Board should go in and survey and evaluate, they're going to evaluate and I dare say next year they're going to come and say that this program was good, that one's not, but they're going to come up with a mandated program that's going to cover every district within this state and that's wrong, we've got to stop the concept now. Let the local districts make the determination."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I really ah, I think I'd like to listen to the debate on this Bill, but I haven't heard it. It has been going on for 15 minutes or so. I heard the debate on the fact that we should close-up shop now, because we are going to get the 5th volume of the Illinois Revises Statutes. I assume Representative Totten feels that we should close-up now and just walk away, because he can't possibly vote on any one. If the next Bill will do it, we ought to go home then and I assume there are some Republican Sponsors maybe even a few Bills they care about. As far as the rest of them are concerned, they're talking about two Bills down the road, or maybe twenty Bills 20 years from now. This doesn't mandate local districts. This



doesn't mandate anybody and as far as mandating the state, that's what we do in this place, we mandate the state. We provide for the state funds to do state activities and what this Bill does, what this Bill does is to allow for an evaluation of how we are going to provide education for young women who are pregnant. Young women who are pregnant have some problems, some severe problems. Generally they're not married, that puts them into some anti-societal positions and what happens is, that we condemn them forever to ignorance. We condemn them forever, to be on the edge of society. This Bill is a good Bill. This Bill makes sense and I'm ashamed in a way, ashamed that people are getting up and talking about the next Bill and the next Bill and the next Bill. Talk about this Bill. Talk about this issue. Talk about these young women and vote for this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye' 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Chapman to close."

Chapman: "There has been quite a bit of discussion on this little Bill. I'd ask you to vote for the Bill, which simply asks the State Office of Education to collect information about programs for pregnant students and to evaluate them. Then next year, if there is a Bill that's mandatory, vote against that one. But, this is not a mandate on local school districts, it simply gives us a basis for gathering information which we don't have now to help school districts determine what they want to do, not what we want them to do, but what local school board's want to do. Please vote 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall this Bill pass? Those



in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Representative Currie." Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I rise to explain my vote. I don't see the issue as one of mandated programs at all. Our schools are already mandated to provide education for our youngsters, whether those youngsters are pregnant females or not. The point is, there is an epidemic of teenage pregnancy, the issue is whether our State Board of Education can find ways to help our local school districts meet their mandate to educate young people. I think that's the issue here, not mandating new programs at all, merely helping us provide the services that this Legislature and previous Legislatures have already mandated our school systems to provide."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald. To explain her vote."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I too am concerned about my taxes, but even more so I am concerned about the young people who are involved in this increasing problem of pregnancies among teenagers and even those who are younger. I think when children have children it should be a concern of this entire General Assembly and we are not mandating our schools to do anything. As far as the statutes are concerned, I would say that unless we find some way to help these young women, ultimately our statutes will be full, much fuller than they are today with the help that the State Board of Education can do in a survey that is asked by this Bill. This is a good Bill. It will prevent further problems that can emanate from the trend that is happening and I urge you to join me in voting 'yes' for this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Alexander."



Alexander: "Mr. Speaker and to the House. Last year alone, some thirty thousand teenage pregnancies took place in the State of Illinois. This is just not a State of Illinois problem; this is a national crisis and unless we want to send up with these young women without education, becoming more persons on the Public Welfare rolls, I urge you to give us a 'green' vote, so that we can give them that type of education that they will need to sustain themselves and their families as they grow into maturity."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 99 'aye' and 46 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 2597."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2597. A Bill for An Act in relation to banks organized specifically to serve savings associations. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2597 places the bank for savings and loan associations in its own act. The bank, as you all probably remember, was chartered in 1966 to provide services to Illinois State Chartered Savings and Loan Associations, services not adequately rendered by the Federal Home Loan Bank System. The bank does primarily the business throughout the country with both state and federal associations. But a very high percentage of its business is done with the Illinois institutions. Basically, legislation is needed to permit broader lending provisions in the serving of savings and loan associations and statutory languages needed to specify that the bank for savings and loans is organized specifically to serve savings associations. Originally it was intended that the



Illinois Banking Act be amended, but after discussion with Commissioner Bill Harris, it was decided to place this bank in its own act which would be administered by the Commissioner of Banks. The Savings Association Banking Act provides for a bank which will be owned by savings and loan associations and will have as its customers in deposit only, savings associations. While this bank does not do business with the public, it can perform normal banking transactions for its customer and make normal banking investments to insure that the diversity necessary for a sound banking practice. This Bill has been amended so as to eliminate any possibility that a branch can be established. This was done at the request of the Illinois Banking Association. It should be emphasized that passage of this Bill will bring money into Illinois for the banks and savings and loan associations from all over the country and these funds will be available for Illinois institutions for lending for Illinois residents who desire to borrow and to buy a home. This Bill passed out of committee with a vote of 16 to nothing. This Bill is presently supported by the Commissioner of Banks and Trusts Companies, the Commissioner of Savings and Loans Associations and as amended has no opposition from the banking industry. I'd appreciate your favorable consideration. I'd be more than happy to respond to any questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? Question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 'aye' and no 'nay'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 2618.



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2618. A Bill for An Act to amend sections of The Election Code: ~~Third Reading of the~~ Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'll handle this Bill, with leave of the House, in the absence of Representative McBroom today. I'm not too sure I can tell you much about it, other than it prohibits the use of nicknames which implies or suggests possession of a title, a degree or professional status as part of a candidates nickname or name on a ballot, or nomination papers. I would move for the passage of 2618."

Speaker Redmond: "Are anyone in opposition? Question is, shall this Bill pass? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "How would this affect someone whose nickname was doc, like perhaps Doctor Capuzi."

Ryan: "Well ah, that's one of the things that wouldn't be included on the ah, you wouldn't be able to use and I don't know as Doc Capuzi, runs as Doc."

Bowman: "Okay."

Ryan: "But he is a doctor, but he is a doctor but it's for people who aren't who use the title."

Bowman: "But the nickname doc, for example, would be specifically excluded?"

Ryan: "Well if you're not a doctor, then I guess you wouldn't be able to use the title, doc."

Bowman: "If you are a doctor, would you be able to use it?"

Ryan: "Pardon?"

Bowman: "If someone were a doctor, would they be able to use the nickname, Doc."

Ryan: "Yes. Well it's not a nickname."

Bowman: "They'd be able to use the name doctor?"

Ryan: "That would be a legitimate use of the title. Doctor, not doc. They'd be able to use doctor. For those that don't have those qualifications and attempt to



use this, as I understand this Bill."

Bowman: "But you can't use the title of doctor on the ballot can you? Under the present law."

Ryan: "No, you can't now, no and you can't under this Bill either."

Bowman: "Okay. Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anything further? Question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 141 'aye' and no 'nay'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. We will now go to the Order of House Bills Third Reading, subject matter appropriations. House Bill 8. Representative Terzich."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 8. A Bill for An Act to make an appropriation for certain retirement systems. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker ah, I appreciate the advance notice of Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "You're always alert."

Terzich: "Ah, well you know it, thanks to the the Colonel Ryan over there. Ah, yes, ah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 8 is similar to a Bill that we passed in the last General Assembly, which addresses the problem of the unfunded liability ah, with regard to the State-Sponsored ah, pension program. What House Bill 8 does, it provides for an additional 1% of salary to be applied towards the State supported pension systems. What the intent of this Bill is to do, is to freeze the unfunded liability at its current level. At the present time, combined with the employee contributions, the monies contributed by the



State are insuffic...are insufficient to meet ah, the increase in the unemployment liability. What the Bill will do, it'll simply mean that the State will contribute the amount of money necessary to pay for the accrued benefits that are incurred on an annual basis. Ah, this Bill has been endorsed by the Pension Laws Commission. It received unanimous support in the last General Assembly, and I think it would be a giant step forward by the State of Illinois to incorporate this type of program in this upcoming Session, and I would urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I don't believe it's necessary, but this also contains the retirement for ah, for judges, ourselves, the downstate teachers, the Chicago Retirement Funds. It's a very important Bill, and I think as amended, it affects the retirement of almost every State employee ah, and it's funded as a pay as you go basis, approximately 1%. I strongly solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 91 'aye' and 27 'no', the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority hereby declared passed. 389 on page 9, Representative Harris."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 389. A Bill for An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris."

Harris "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 389 is companion Bill. The seed money for House Bill 388, which this House passed some



few weeks back, it's \$175,000 as recommended by the Department of Conservation and their chief forester for forest land and vicinity backed from 20 to 100 acres for the small drawer but non-commercial timber land throughout this State, and I ask for a favorable vote on this piece of Legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Is anyone in opposition? The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 98 'aye' and 32 'no' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority hereby declared passed." House Bill 702.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 702. A Bill for An Act making an appropriation to the Illinois Industrial Development Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 702 would appropriate \$1,900,000 to the Illinois Industrial Development Authority for loans for industrial development in St. Clair County. St. Clair County is unique in Illinois and should be given special consideration ah, for industrial development for the following reasons: first of all, it is adjacent to the St. Louis Metropolitan Region which is land locked in reference to industrial land and the only place for future development in that region is in the St. Clair County area. Within the area, there are a number of agencies and municipalities that have grants from the Federal Government that are 70% grants for industrial development. But these agencies are unable to ah, get and obtain the matching funds to buy the land and do the other development things that can bring these ah, industrial projects into realization. An example of that is the Bi-State Development Agency



that is attempting to develop an industrial park at the Bi-State Airport. And also within the city of East St. Louis there are um, grants for industrial development that cannot go ahead because of the lack of a matching share. This Bill will make available ah, between 8 and 9 million dollars for federal funds for industrial development. St. Clair County is an area that has lost 55,000 manufacturing jobs in the last 20 years and this year some 2,000 jobs have been lost as a result of plants closing down. For example, over in Nester, the Carling Brewery, ah Company, the Metro-East Journal and other industries that if there were, if there had been funds available for renovation and ah, expansion, um, they would not have lost this industry and the revenues would have not been lost to the State of Illinois and I ask for your support in this matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would just like to point out that this is a \$1,900,000 General Revenue Funds, which is not in the Governor's budget, and it is limited to projects in St. Clair County only and also the substantive Bill, House Bill 821 has not yet been passed by this House of Representatives. Ah, it seems to me that we're putting the cart before the horse and if we don't patch this up to the measure ah, I can see no reason for passing this kind of an appropriation diminishing general revenue funds by \$1,900,000 when there isn't even a substantive Bill passed yet and for that reason, Mr. Speaker, and many more too numerous to mention I will oppose this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, ah, the previous speaker pointed out some of the problems, and he did



mention that there are others that are too numerous to mention. This Bill 702 seeks to appropriate general revenue funds for the purpose of making to the Illinois ah, Industrial Development Authority for the purpose of making a loan, however, the substantive of the Bill calls for raising IIDA's bonding authorities from ah, 100 to 102 million, another \$2,000,000 for the same purpose, so it is questionable even whether or not under the legislation yet to be passed by this House, the...would even be able to spend this money because I doubt if their authority would be broad enough. In any event, ah, as we pointed out before, this is an appropriation of general revenue funds at a time when they're hard to come by. It's a program I think we ought to think carefully before we commit ourselves to vote for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge to close."

Younge: "Ah, the passage of this Bill will bring to the State of Illinois almost \$10,000,000 in Federal funds for industrial development. Industrial development is our means to stabilize the economy of Illinois, to stabilize the economy of St. Clair County, and I ask for your support in this Bill. Our effort is to move welfare to gainful employment and to help raise revenues in Illinois and we must do this through the development of a series of industrial parks and I ask for your support in this matter."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, even though this is a Bill that would provide funding for a specific area of the State, I think we need to recognize that when one part of our State has the kind



of need that this particular area has, it is really necessary for us throughout the State to be supportive of development of the kind that this Bill would provide. As long as we have a depressed area of the State, we are in fact diverting State dollars for support to that area in many ways. I think the Sponsor of this legislation should be complimented on her diligent work to try to provide a way for that portion of our State to help itself from here on in. She is trying to get passed a program whereby they can have development and take people off public aid rolls, get in business there that will help them to build up the economy of the area and make them self-sustaining. I urge your support for her measure."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank, you Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Bill in accordance with explaining my vote. I know Representative Younge and for this reason I propose this to you. But, if you have ever been to East St. Louis and saw the situation that exists there, you need somebody like Representative Younge that is trying hard to look the people up. We are working with her and hope that you would understand the sensitivity of the needs of that area. You have an awful lot of public aid recipients there. You want to continue to pay through Public Aid or do you want to give them an opportunity to pull themselves up by their 'boot strap'? You give them the help here. I am certain that in the future, you will see that East St. Louis and that area down there that has the depressed area will be in much better shape. I solicit your support for House Bill 702."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of



the House. I rise in support of Representative Younge's Bill, but I do so for several reasons. I have sat here over the last few weeks, and I have watched individuals from the other side of the aisle come to this Body and seek to take money from the Agricultural Premium Fund to replace buildings that have been torn down in their designated counties. Most of us would call that some form of 'pork'. But I think that if we consider that what is good for the goose is good for the gander, that most of you who are either not voting or are voting red, probably are going to have in the next few days, have some pet project of your own that you want support for. I think that when you look at the Agricultural Premium Fund, you had better understand that there are people who are placing funds from Chicago into that fund and there are people on that side of the aisle who want to use those funds. There are people from Chicago who have an interest in those funds, and those funds could just as well be used for other projects in this State. I am going to suggest to you today that if you are casting a 'no' vote on this Bill or you are casting a yellow vote, that you had better reconsider, because there are projects that you have and you feel strongly about, equally as strong as Representative Younge feels about here Bill. Her Bill is a loan. It is going to revitalize a neighborhood that presently is a drain on the State Treasury and we don't want that drain on our State Treasury, so I am suggesting to you that you give her some support. If you have problems with the Bill in particular, other than the amount of the loan, give her a green vote, let her put it on postpone Consideration, give her a chance to clean it up. She is concerned about her constituents, just as you are. I suggest to you that in the not so distant future,



many of you, probably are going to have projects that you are going to be seeking support for and I would certainly urge that you give her an 'aye' vote and give her the kind of support that she is asking."

Speaker Redmond "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I think most of you recall in the last couple of sessions that Wyvetter has had many Bills that relate to the needs of her District and practically all of the Bills that she has had, were voted out of the House and most of them were killed in the Senate, if not all of them. The vote on this Bill in Committee was really a testimony to Wyvetter Young's hard work, trying to help her District. Someone said that the Bills may be farfetched but we really don't know until we try to put them into effect. Actually, Wyvetter made an arrangement with the Sub-Committee of our Committee. She had seven Bills, I believe, so we said which are your three best Bills, Wyvetter, and this was one of her three best Bills. I haven't seen anybody work as hard as she does. I am not sure but what some of those other four Bills may come up via amendments. I think she may pull one over on the Sub-Committee anyhow, but that's alright. That shows how hard she is working for her District. I think that we had ought to vote this Bill out as testimony to that hard work. All of us know that East St. Louis is a depressed area. Something must be done. We've seen everybody in this legislature for years try to help their District. This is just another case of it and therefore, I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House. I have been thinking this over. I am pretty conservative and



I like to save money, but that is a devil of a depressed area. I have been through it going to St. Louis a number of times. I know I've, or I know some of you think I ought to vote a stayed 'no', but I am really going to reconsider, because of my own interests in driving down through that area and seeing what's happening. I'm willing to give it one more chance anyway and see if it will help that area, because most of the people are on welfare, and if it does help get these people off of welfare, it's worth it. Some try. A little bit of money, and as I understand it, we get 10 for one of the dollars. It's money coming back to us from the Federal Government, which they never should have gotten in the first place, because they charge us for every damned dollar they get. So I think I am going to switch my vote and help her on this particular case."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As you know, I have risen on every occasion that this Representative has, each time she has sought to have an economic measure considered here. So much so, that I am beginning to think that it is going to take all the running we can do just to stay in one place, because we don't seem to be getting anywhere with these measures, and I don't understand the reasons for it. I'd like to address myself to those on the other side of the aisle that call themselves "The Apostles for the Guarding of the General Revenue Fund", who are always to quick to talk about its depletion, when anyone with any modicum of economics know that we are not talking about depleting the General Revenue Fund. We are talking about embellishing it by giving these people who have an economic unemployment rate that is higher than it was



in 1929 during the Depression. We're talking about returning people back to the tax rolls, to replenish the fund and to add to the General Revenue Fund, not take from it. It's not only good economics, it's just good business to adopt these economic measures and I would also ask for some more green votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I certainly do want to ask for enough votes to pass this Bill. During my term of service here do not ask for an increase in Public Aid. What I want is an increase in the capacity to develop jobs for people. We must move from Public Aid to gainful employment. I believe that the way to do that is through the creation of a series of industrial parks around this State where people will have the privilege of working. I believe that all people are just like the 177 people here in this room. We are what we are because we have an opportunity to work and what we must extend to those people in Illinois who are not working is the privilege and the opportunity of work and that is what all of this Bill is about. It would mean that additional tax revenues would be added to the State of Illinois. It would mean that several hundreds of people would be taken off of Public Aid and put into gainful employment, and I think that that is the key thing, the key thing, that we should be about during this Session and every Session of the General Assembly. I ask you on those philosophical and public policy basis to support this matter. Why should we lay emphasis on St. Clair County? We should lay emphasis on St. Clair County because it is the worst off in this State, so far as the amount of Public Aid that is spent, so far as the tax drain on this State. I ask you, based on the fact



that we do want people to work, we need people to work, and this is what the State ought to be doing there and the Federal Government wants to help and the help that the Federal Government has available for the people cannot be made available unless the General Assembly in the State of Illinois offers its assistance. I ask you to give me the additional four votes that I need to make the, to turn this area into an area of prosperity of employment, so that we will not be spending our money for prisons, so that we will not be spending our money in divorce courts, so we won't be spending our money on public aid, so that we will be spending it on gainful employment. That's what this Bill is about and every person in this room ought to be in favor of that because it's good enough for all of us."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. In this question there's 89 'aye' and 53 'no' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority hereby is declared passed. 715. Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise for the purpose of an introduction. We are happy to have with us the St. James graduating class visiting our State Capitol today from St. Jane G., The Chantos graduating class. With them is Sister Valerie Shawl and their teacher Mrs. Pat Stayed and their Associate Pastor Rev. Mark Hollohan. They are up there on the Democratic side. Yea, let's give them a hand."

Speaker Redmond: "915. Representative Conti."

Conti: "I missed the most important thing. They are represented by represented by Phil Bianco, Robert Terzich, and Edmund Kornowicz."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 715. A Bill for an Act in



relation to appropriation from the Road Fund to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Christensen. Out of the record. 898."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 898. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation in relation to snow removal. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 898 appropriates money to the Department of Transportation for the purpose of reimbursement for qualifying units of local governments in almost 50 counties in Illinois that suffered the cruel and unusual snowfall of this last winter. Now, the reason for the Bill is because the many units of local governments have almost went bankrupt in keeping up with snow removal and some of them have had to float bond issues to pay for some of the Bills from snow removal and some of them have had to replenish their equipment. Further, there is a move in Washington by Senator Percy and Senator Stevenson to extend the date, so that maybe most of the counties in Illinois will qualify. I am sure many members of the House have received letters from their townships, counties, and cities and everyone is aware of this Bill and I urge their favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Needless to say, the temptation for me is great to get into a partisan harangue about protecting the State Treasury from attack for 20 million plus dollars for the purpose of snow removal. I would much prefer Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to appeal to each and every member on the basis of our responsibility as



Legislators rather than as a Republican to a Democrat. It seems that it has always been the practice in the General Assembly for the party who does not have the Governor to try to introduce as many Bills as they possibly can to cause the State Treasury to be depleted. There seems to be some sort of feeling that the public will buy this kind of attitude and you would cause embarrassment to the Party in power. But, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think that the people in Illinois are much too sophisticated today and much too smart to see behind...to see through that veil of political hypocrisy. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. For some four months now, we have been in Session voting for millions and millions of dollars for worthy causes, knowing full well that that money does not exist. I submit to you Mr. Speaker that this is what causes the public to lose faith in their public officials. The promises are false promises. The money is not there to provide the programs which we are voting out of this General Assembly. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is one of those so-called programs which I believe is designed simply as a political gimmick to try and embarrass the Governor who happens to be a member of the Party opposite from where this Bill originates. I submit to every member of the House that the public will not buy this \$20 million grab bag from the State Treasury. It is their money and their tax dollars which are being asked to spend for this program. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I don't think I have to remind the Members about the snow removal situation in Cook County this last winter. I don't have to remind the members of this House about the contracts and reports that were paid for with hard earned tax dollars, which



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

cost all of us more money. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I urge every Member in this Body to vote against this Bill. There is absolutely no rational reason to take \$20 million out of the State Treasury and use it for this purpose. A purpose which perhaps was fueled by phoney consulting fees, phoney contracts, Bills from snow removal people who never ever removed snow. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is foolishness at its height. I think for one of the few times this Session, we ought to act as a responsible Body, no matter which party we happen to be members of and vote 'no' on this \$20 million grab."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. If I ever saw a rip-off, this is it. Do you realize that all these units of local governments, municipalities that were affected by the terrific snows of this past winter have already been reimbursed by the Federal Government. For the first time in my memory, the Federal Government has come up and came up very quickly with funds to pay for the cost of removal and the Federal Government was very fair, as well as very quick in bringing that money forward. In my little town, we were reimbursed almost \$25 thousand within 30 days after we had expended the money. My town is in better shape today with the snow removal appropriation than it has been in the past. Mainly, because the Federal Government came up front and gave us the money. In every community, every municipality, and I think every county that has been affected by the blizzards and declared part of the disaster area, have been reimbursed and reimbursed very well. There is no need for this type of Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would



like to term this Bill as the "Snow Job Bill", because that is exactly what it is. Let me reiterate: We're dealing with almost \$21 million in General Revenue Funds. Now let me point out for some of you members who are interested in the real facts and aren't going to get into a political type of deal here. The Federal Government has already picked up two-thirds of the cost of the original twenty-four counties that were in this Bill. There is absolutely no reason in the world for the State to pick up the other third. All of those twenty-four counties that were named as federal snow disaster areas did receive two-thirds of the cost or are receiving it from the Federal Government, and in order to get this Bill out of Committee, the Sponsor amended it to add 59 more counties in the Bill and now we have 83 counties who are taking State General Revenue Funds, not just along with the payback provision, but an outright gift, and for those of you who may be interested, I would like to point out--as far as the City of Chicago goes, the consultant firm of Kenneth Zane, the RTA Director, received a \$90 thousand contract for a study on how to improve snow removal in Chicago. So, if there was such a desperate need for this money, I think some of that could have been used, rather than the purpose for which it was and I would ask for a resounding 'no' vote from every responsible member of this General Assembly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Giorgi to close. ...Representative Conti, are you seeking...Representative Giorgi."



Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker. This Bill has nothing to do with contracts. This Bill is needed because the number one problem facing municipalities and counties in our government is moving traffic. The cruel and unusual snow depleted all the resources of local units of government. And the two-thirds they received from the Federal Government was not the fully two-thirds that they were entitled to. Just the two-thirds which the Federal Government could reach. We're trying to reach the other one-third. Now, I think the Minority Leader was talking because they want to hide the fact that our General Revenue cash balances are more than anticipated. It's predicted that over \$100 million will be found in the cash reserves, cash balance surpluses. All I am asking for is one-fifth of that to aid our own cities, counties and townships, and some on the verge of bankruptcy because of these huge snow costs. Some of them had to replenish their entire Department of Public Works Divisions because of the huge snow. This is not a rip-off. The only rip-off in this Bill was the attempt by a Chicago Legislator to include Dade County, Florida in the Bill. This is a good Bill, and I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There isn't any Bill that I would rather vote for than this one, but let me explain to you why I am going to be voting no. In our small town, we appropriate something like \$50,000 for snow removal. We have done an excellent job in removing the snow immediately after the snow and we were penalized because the Governor considered Cook County a disaster area four days after the snowfall. Our streets were already



cleaned and the appropriation of \$50,000 was wiped out and we spent close to a half a million dollars. Now, the Federal Government tells us that only after a day certain were we able to get some of our money back. We are hurting and we are hurting bad. But, we keep hearing and keep seeing all the crocodile tears on the other side of the aisle about the taxpayers and about what relief they can have. This is a \$21 million expenditure we do not have and this is the reason why I am voting 'no'. Yes, we did have a disaster in the State of Illinois, but instead of repaving ten or twelve streets this year, I am just cutting back services and there are 30,000 people in Elmwood Park who appreciate that for the simple reason that they are not going to raise taxes and we are not going to the State with our hat in our hand and asking for these additional funds. They realize that this was an act of God and they realize that we all have to cut back in some of our services to stop some of this government spending. So, I urge everyone to vote 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have not had a letter from any village, city, township, or county telling me to vote 'yes' for any state money, but I just talked to Aurora. They have been promised \$140,000 Federal Funds and \$70 some thousand has been received. I do not believe that we should give away money like this as we are and you new people coming in here -- when you are running for reelection, let me assure you, they are going to examine your record and if you got a giveaway program, you're the one they are going to giveaway. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? ...He's not



here...Representative Lechowicz. Representative

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to remind Representative Conti that we could probably put all the streets in Elmwood Park into one precinct of Chicago, so I don't think the comparison of \$50,000 thousand is feasible or comparative to the money that we spent to get our streets cleared."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This question, there's 77 'aye' and 64 'no'. Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker. Poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman was asked for a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "I don't"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Alexander. When we poll the absentees, we will get to you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Alexander."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Alexander desires to record as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes, Jane Barnes, Beatty, Bianco, Birkinbine, Breslin, Capuzi, Daniels, Darrow, Dawson, Deuster, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Friedland, Getty, Huskey, Kozubowski, Leverenz, Margalus, McBroom, McGrew, Neff, Rigney, "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rigney."

Speaker Redmond: Representative Rigney, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sandquist."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sandquist, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Satterthwaite...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey, 'no'. What was the last one?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Satterthwaite."

Speaker Redmond: "Satterthwaite? Proceed."



Clerk O'Brien: "Schlickman, Stearney, Stuffle and Waddell."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count now?"

Clerk Leone: "79 'aye' and 67 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Postponed Consideration Mr. Speaker."

Mr. Speaker: "Postponed Consideration. 999."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 999. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for grants to Chicago Park District. Third Reading of the Bill."

Mr. Speaker: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 999 appropriates \$1,350,000 to the Department of Local Government Affairs for the grants for the Chicago Park District to be a Lindbloom Park Fieldhouse. This is the third term this Bill has been before the House. It has passed the House and the Senate in the 79th General Assembly and was vetoed by the Governor, but, since that has passed, the Urban Park and Recreation Recovery Act of 1978 through the Federal Government, has appropriated close to \$750 million for urban parks. There's the matter that the Local Government Affairs had to transfer these Federal Funds into the local government, so Chicago can get this Lindbloom Park, which is much needed. I ask for your favorable support today."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'm somewhat reluctant to rise in opposition because I know the great need in the community of Mr. Pouncey and his community of Lindblom for this particular park. However, I must reiterate as we have over the past years, that it is not the function of the State of Illinois to be building parks and fieldhouses in every park district throughout the State. Now for some reason unknown to me, maybe with the new



administration the city of Chicago that they will put it on their priority list. I have introduced a resolution to that effect, urging the Chicago Park District and the Mayor of Chicago to put it on the priority list. I'm advised by the Sponsor that if they did, there would be some 80 to 85% Federal funding available with which to build this particular fieldhouse. And I would reluctantly ask everyone, at least on this side of the aisle as well as the other, to vote no on expending a million and half dollars because I believe that if we set this precedent - park districts have tax spaces, they have park Commissioners appointed in the City of Chicago elected in other areas and they have a tax base. They taxed the people of their communities and in order to improve these various parks to build new ones and to improve those that already exist. It is a bad practice again, let me repeat, to be utilizing the State General Revenue Funds to begin this. As many of you know, I factitiously introduced a resolution ah, rather a Bill, an amendment for Second Reading that would appropriate a million three to build an indoor swimming pool and a handball court in my district and one in Washington Park in Springfield. I did that only to point out as an example of where we could go once we started this practice. I of course withdrew the amendment. I appreciate the Sponsor's sincerity. I know how hard they have worked, but this is not the way to go, and I respectfully ask for a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the measure that Representative Pouncey has before us..."

Anonymous: "Totten in the tank."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you repeat please?"



Totten: "My mike okay? I've had a lot of help over here, someone suggested the mike's okay, but the words are wrong and the mind is wrong. I tell you why, when, this is going to be hard too."

Anonymous: "This is a conservative union vote, I forgot to point that out."

Totten: "The Gentleman from Cook has brought before us a proposal that is not new. But some proposals, but some proposals get better with time and this is one that has. Although some say that we should be taxing for this district, you'll have to remember that the constituents and the Gentleman from Cook's district have been taxed too high already. And its about time that we supply them with some of the necessary services, and I encourage an 'aye' vote from this proposal."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, just to inform the House that Representative Totten has just left the Reagan Organization."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pouncey, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, I'm concerned, is there a doctor in the House?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pouncey to close."

Pouncey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I appreciate what the Gentleman said on the other side of the aisle. But this park is necessary in the 26th Legislative District. This school that I...Lindbloom Park, that I'm begging for is not just to support an ordinary school. Lindbloom School is one of the highest rated high schools in the City of Chicago. The dropout rate is less, the students actually go on to achieve heights in life...Five schools need this park. The park is there, but they just ought to have



the fieldhouse and the other etiquette equipment that is necessary. So I beg your support for Lindbloom Park today."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no': Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, but this is one policy, one piece of legislation that I know an awful lot about. I happen to be a part of this 26th district. And I don't know if you recognize where Lindbloom Park is located at. You heard about the problems we had in 'Gayed' Park some years ago. You hear about the problems we have each year in Marquette Park. Every year we have problems there. Lindbloom Park is between those two areas where we have an awful lot of racial tension there over the years. Lindbloom Park serves all the people of that community, black and white alike. Lindbloom Park has an old, obsolete share there. We have thousands of football players and baseball players approximately each year. Don't have a decent washroom. Don't have a decent place to change clothes. I suggest to you that this is a good Bill. It's a good proposal in a time that you ought to want to help that type of area, so that you can stop some of the problems that we're having throughout the city, and help the people who are trying to get along. I solicit your support for House Bill 999."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Appropriations Committee moved this Bill out of committee for a couple reasons. Again, it was a testimony to Representative Pouncey's perseverance on this issue. However, there was another reason for it. There are now becoming



available federal funds for urban parks. And this finally will be the time if we can give some local help through state funds to ah, for a grant to the park district, that they will finally be able to build a fieldhouse at Lindbloom Park so I would urge the Members to ah, pass this Bill out of the House and so that Taylor Pouncey's District may find itself available from these funds which are being appropriated from the Department of Interior."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bradley: "Well, I wanted to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker and follow up on the remark by Representative Matijevich. 85% of the total amount of dollars needed to build this project, 85% of the dollars are going to come from the Federal Government. So the State of Illinois stands to benefit greatly along with the City of Chicago in the particular park. And the people surrounding that par...that area will get the benefit and use of the fieldhouse. 85% of those dollars will come from the Federal Government, now I suggest to you that when we built the interstate highway system it was on a 90-10 break, and I didn't see anybody that wasn't happy with that particular arrangement with the Federal Government and the same percentage practically is available to this particular area on a 15 match, 15% State, 85% Federal to build this project an um, in this particular park district. And I'm sure that they're gonna be other situations in other people's districts throughout the State of Illinois, in fact I'm trying to think if we can use one in the 44th District, that we would be very happy to have 85% federal funding and we would come up with the other 15% to supply recreational facilities in areas that are very poor in those types of facilities, and I



can't think of a better reason to ah, spend 15% of the \$1,350,000 from the State than this particular one to supply a much needed facilities for the young men and women of that district. I would hope we would get five more votes up there."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, obviously Representative Totten has worked out an arrangement here so that we're going to have a giant Ronald Reagan rally on the south side. And I appeal to my colleagues on this side of the aisle to support this so that we can see a return of bipartisan politics to Chicago."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to say that I had a long talk with the Director of Conservation, and there are federal funds that are going begging because urban areas up to this point have not been included. And everyone here talks about the fact that no one wants to do anything. The people in the city are this, that or the other. While this district, where this is located is right next to mine, so I'm very familiar with it. And it is badly needed in this area, and I'm asking many of you who come before us year in and year out for a downstate park that we in the city need a park too. More so perhaps than you need there because you have the vast countryside. So I'm going to ask some of the people on this side of the aisle that normally say that they are followers of Don Totten to practice what they preach. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I'm opposing this Bill because we have an entity in Chicago called The Chicago Park



District who are suppose to set priorities and determine where in the City of Chicago we should or should not have parks. We've given that park district rate increases over the past few years so that they could take care of their business and I for one, Mr. Speaker, am not going to use State funds from the General Revenue Fund to help bail out the Chicago Park District in their inability to make decisions."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Alexander."

Alexander: "I'd like to explain my vote. I too am from the 26th District where Representative Taylor and Pouncey Taylor hails from. If you ever have an opportunity to be in that district and see the young men and young women that have no place to go for any recreational facilities, you who are not voting green on that board would not hesitate. The sight is so deploring and so depressing and all we're asking that we be able to the result of this, say to our people in our district that we here we do have a care for you, that we wish that you could have some place for proper recreation to get these young people off the street into something a little more constructive than what they're doing now. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to explain my vote. Ah, the park district of Kelly is doing such a good job that it ah, I feel that this will add more jobs to the park district in the City of Chicago so I want to help out Mr. Kelly."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I'd like to voice my opinion that the State of Illinois is passing up a bargain, basement bargain here. We have



all complained about the amount of money we send to Washington D.C. and don't get our fair share back at all. Here's an opportunity to get \$85 out of the \$100 that's spent on the project. This one is obviously a deserving one, one that is needed for the neighborhood, for the type of neighborhood it is, and I see no reason why we can't get two more votes up there and pass the Bill to take advantage of some of our own Illinois money and Washington D.C. is going to send back to us."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, we let one go by us. If this reaches 89 votes, I'm going to ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 88 'aye' and 63 'no'. Representative Pouncey."

Pouncey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, may I have the poll of absentees, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees. Representative Stuffle desires to be recorded as 'aye'...'aye' and 63. Former House Member Jim Edgars, Senator ..., thought you were in Tokyo."

Clerk O'Brien: "The absentees, Jane Barnes, Breslin, Capuzi, Darrow, Deuster, Ebbesen, Epton, Griesheimer, Kane, Katz, Kozubowski, McBroom, McGrew, Meyer, Molloy, Peters, Robbins, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Schuneman, Stearney, no further."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "I ask for a verification on the roll, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Representative Madigan. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I have talked with the Minority Leader Mr. Ryan regarding his request, and I would



suggest that the Rules Committee could convene in your office, Mr. Speaker, to discuss some alternatives to the present procedure to facilitate the movement of Bills during this last week for consideration of House Bills. With that in mind, I now request leave of the House that the Rules Committee be allowed to convene in your office immediately while the House remains in Session."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted. There will be a meeting of the Rules Committee in the Speaker's Office as soon as we get through with the verification of this Roll Call."

Madigan: "Could we verify all the Members of the Rules Committee now and..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we can do it just as fast, so to do it the other way and okay, Representative Matijevich, you...Representative Matijevich is going to take over. Now may I be verified?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The Clerk will proceed with the affirmative vote. The ah, Representative Polk, the Gentleman from..."

Polk: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, thank you very much, before starting to the verification, I'd like to ah, introduce from the galleries from our Queens of All Saints, the 15th District now in the House gallery to my left, we have students, I wish they'd stand to be recognized, they're represented here by Representative Peters, Laurino and Greiman. If they'd stand so we can recognize them, please."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed with the...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan, the Majority Leader."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you please verify all the Members of the Rules Committee for the purpose of this verification. Leave to verify all the Members of the Rules Committee. Leave. The ones that are here



naturally. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf." "Ah, could you kindly just announce the names of the Members of the Rules Committee? I'd like to know if they're here before we..."

Speaker Matijevich: "There's one notable absence, Harold Katz. The Gentleman from Cook Representative Madigan, ah, you're raising your hands for those... Lechowicz, Flinn, Schneider, Bradley, Giorgi, Pouncey, it's your Bill. You better stick around. Ah, I've just been told an announcement from the chair, there will be no break, we'll work right through to 8 o'clock, no break, 8:00 p.m. The Gentleman from ah, will... Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted everybody to know that a great former Democratic County Chairman is right back up there by the Speaker. Louie Piani and his wife from Coal City."

Speaker Matijevich: "I think we're ready for the affirmative vote. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander, Balanoff, E.M. Barnes, Beatty, Bianco, Birchler, Bowman, Bradley, Preston, Braun, Brummer, Bullock, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Christensen, Cullerton, Currie, Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Gaines, Garmisa, Getty, Giorgi, Goodwin, Greiman, Hanahan, Hannig, Harris, Huff, Huskey, Jaffe, Dave Jones, Emil Jones, Keane, Kelly, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Madigan, Margalus, Marovitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McClain, McPike, Mulcahey, Murphy, Kulas, Neff, O'Brien, Patrick, Pechous, Pierce, Pouncey, Rea, Richmond, Ronan, Ropp, Schisler, Schneider, Schraeder, Sharp, Henry, Slape, Steczko, Stuffle, Taylor."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Taylor Pouncey, for what purpose do you rise?"

Pouncey: "Mr. Speaker, to save the time of the House, I would like to put this Bill on..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to put House Bill 999 on Postponed Consideration. Leave and House Bill 999 will be on the Order of Postponed Consideration. House Bill 1205. Reilly."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1205. A Bill for An Act making appropriation to the Department of Agriculture for replacing structures destroyed or damaged by ice and snowstorms at various fairgrounds. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is another in the series of Bills that we have had that appropriates money from the Agricultural Premium Fund for disaster grants for county fairs in Morgan, Pike, Jersey and the Western Illinois fair at Griggsville, the total of \$124,000. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Reilly, has moved for the passage of House Bill 1205. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye' those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 110 voting 'aye', 6 voting 'no' and 7 voting 'present' and House Bill 1205 having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. We skipped a couple of ah..., 1045, Ewell. I don't see him in his seat. Out of the record. House Bill 1168, Gaines."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1168. A Bill for An Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Equal Employment Opportunity Department. Third



Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Gaines on House Bill 1168."

Gaines: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House and
Mr. Speaker. This is an appropriation for a new
Department that we created last year and on all of
your analysis they try to compare it with a equal
employment section under another Department last year,
which is not really accurate and instead...ah this is
a brand new Department. It appropriates \$247,500 for
this Department. As I told the people in the
Committee, that I am in the process of trying to
prepare an Amendment to make it really, to include
some things that the Committee said we should have and
we shall submit that in the Senate. So I ask for a
favorable vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Gaines has moved for the passage of House Bill 1168.
Hearing no discussion, the question is shall House
Bill, I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Representative, ah will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Bowman: "What is the status of there ah, affirmative action
plan? Do they have one?"

Gaines: "Yes, they do. As I stated before, several of you
added that that particular office be required also to
have an affirmative action office, even though it was
an affirmative action office, so they will have one
and the suggestions that the Committee made will be
taken care of in the Senate."

Bowman: "Wait, wait Representative, I think you said two
different things, I thought at one point you said they
do have one and then you said they will have one."

Gaines: "What I'm saying is, you said a plan."



Bowman: "Well yeah, all agencies are required to submit with their ISL forms... with the affirmative action."

Gaines: "Yeah, I'm quite sure they submitted one to themselves."

Bowman: "My recollection is, I served on the Appropriations II Committee and my recollection is they did not submit that and I think it's a very very bad example for other agencies of State Government."

Gaines: "This is a brand new agency, Sir, they haven't had an opportunity to do anything yet."

Bowman: "Well, what are they in business for anyway, except to keep on the backs of the other agencies, they ought to be the first ones in there with their plans."

Gaines: "What I am saying is, they have not even been given a budget yet. So how do you submit something that you don't have in the budget to submit is apparent."

Bowman: "So tell them to get on it. Thank you."

Gaines: "Well they are. That's what I said, that the suggestions made by the Committee will be taken care of in the Senate because of pressure of time."

Speaker Matijevec: "Call the nurse. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Gaines has moved for the passage of House Bill 1168. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will...the Clerk will take the record. On this issue, there are 90 voting 'aye', 17 voting 'no' and 6 voting 'present' and House Bill 1168 having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Leverenz, 'aye'. Representative Bowman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bowman: "Oh, I just wanted to commend you on your sense of timing, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevec: "It was close. Thank you. I was going to call the nurse for you in a minute. House Bill



1170."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1170. A Bill for An Act to make an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Fair Employment Practices Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Abramson on 1170. Abramson."

Abramson: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House this is a ordinary and contingent expense appropriation for the Fair Employment Practices Commission for fiscal year 1979. It appropriates Joliet 298,600 for their use. 1,348,900 is from General Revenue and \$928,700 is from the Federal Government."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Abramson, has move for the passage of House Bill 1170. The question is, shall House Bill 1170 pass? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I merely ask for how much it was the previous year, Mr. Speaker."

Abramson: "Last year it was \$1,957,000"

Leverenz: "And the increase amount is how much?"

Abramson: "17.4 percent."

Leverenz: "17 percent. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman did you want to ask ah... The question is, shall House Bill 1170 pass? Oh, I'm sorry. Representative Piel, from Cook."

Piel: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Abramson: "No."

Piel: "Then I'll state that it was a 21 percent increase, not 17."



Abramson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Jones, your light is not on. Do you want to speak? No. Alright. The question is, shall House Bill 1170 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will... the Clerk will take the...lets get on it, take the record. There are 79 voting 'aye', 31... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Abramson. Do you want to poll the absentees or... and House Bill 1170 having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. By the way, if there are any controversial appropriations, I would suggest that they might hold the Bills for a while. We'll get back to them, I'm sure. House Bill 1209, Kornowicz."

Clerk O'Brien: House Bill 1209. A Bill for An Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kornowicz on House Bill 1209."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 1209 appropriates \$2,250,000 from the road fund to the Department of Transportation for improvement of 2 1/2 miles of Pulaski Road from I-55 to 59th Street in Chicago. This is one of the most important roads leading to the Midway Airport, leading to I-55 to the downtown area and leading to various business areas throughout the south side. I checked with the Department of Transportation and I talked to the district engineer and after a review of three men, review the Pulaski from I-55 to 59th Street, they felt that it needs resurfacing. The projected federal aid reimbursement on road fund and I've got these figures from our great Congressman John Fary that there is



\$420,000,000 and this was a figure also from the Fiscal Commission. "I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kornowicz has moved for the passage of House Bill 1209. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

J.J. Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Again I must reluctantly rise in opposition to the expenditure of two and a quarter million dollars from the road fund to put improvements on Pulaski Road from I-55 to 59th Street in Chicago. The officials of the Department of Transportation both at District 1 headquarters in Schaumburg and here feel that the appropriation is unwarranted. They maintain that this particular section of Pulaski Road does not meet the statewide criteria for immediate resurfacing and that the City of Chicago has not made any transfer agreements for jurisdiction. Also, if FAU funds are not available, are not made available to the City of Chicago, straight state funds will be required and for these reasons the project has not been included in DOT short range program. I would just like to point out again, I know we are always competing for projects under the DOT budget, everyone in their own districts of course always feel that their own project is the most important. I was just wondering, but if we do pass this of course I would just like to point out that two and a quarter million dollars from some needed programs will be cutoff because there will not be sufficient money to pay for those. And so then, I again urge the Members of the House to vote against this unbudgeted appropriation."

Speaker Matijeich: "Before we continue, I wonder if we could interrupt Representative Kornowicz. Democratic aide, Mark O'Brien, tells me that somebody in the



gallery wants you at this moment. Oh, alright. We'll wait till the Bill is over he said. "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Once again the Department of Transportation is simply saying, just because of the fact that the city will not takeover maintenance of this particular piece of roadway that is maintained by the State of Illinois, that the motorists and the people of the City of Chicago, in this particular area are to be neglected. I'd like to have you know, that about ten years ago it qualified for a proving ground for General Motors, just to say how bad that road is. That is one of our main arteries through the City of Chicago. The vehicle traffic there is probably one of the highest in the city, there's numerous trucks leading in and out of I-55 as well as automobiles going to and from the Loop. Now, if you want to have money spent wisely then certainly this is one project that is worthwhile. This is approximately 2 1/2 miles, it does go into our district and certainly if it was not warranted, we wouldn't be in here asking for the money. But, if you want to get the maximum utilization of the motor fuel money by repairing this here dreadful piece of highway that the State of Illinois totally neglects, to bring it up to the proper grade that it should be to carry the amount of traffic that it does. We've got dips and bends and potholes and what have you through this whole stretch. I've yet to see it resurfaced in my entire tenure in the Legislature and certainly since they complain about the repairs on it, they haven't made any recommendations for the resurfacing. So, I would urge your support to help rectify this situation. I'm sure that the people of the City of Chicago and the County



of Cook and throughout the state will certainly be appreciative of this effort to bring this road up to its proper standards of what it should be."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Kornowicz to 'close.'"

Kornowicz: "In closing, I mentioned previously. Representative Wolf mentioned that in regards to the state money and so on. I mentioned and its been proven to us that there is federal money, there is federal money to take care of this type of road and just like Representative Terzich mentioned, this is one of the main streets, one of the main streets in the heaviest traveled streets on the south side leading from the I-55 going all the way south to the shopping centers, it's also going to the Midway Airport. And this is very essential if we are going to rejuvenate Midway Airport, we need this resurfacing, we need this street. This is a very important street and I ask you for your full support."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kornowicz has moved for the passage of House Bill 1209. The question is, shall House Bill 1209 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker. I'm absolutely convinced of the need of this project and I know where to find the money. We can get the money out of the cross-town deal. All we have to do is to get Governor Thompson and Mayor Byrne to sit down and re-cut that deal. There's what, two Billion dollars available? You can repave every pothole in Chicago with that kind of money, as well as avoid a gas tax downstate for at least three years."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kornowicz



wishes a poll of the absentees. As I said earlier, those who have controversial appropriations, I think they ought to hold them for a while. That right, John? 'Aye'. Leverenz, 'aye'. Can we help get it up to 70, then he's going to put it on Postponed? Ronan, 'aye'. Mautino, 'aye'. Grossi, 'aye'. Kelly, 'aye'. Sandquist, 'aye'. Deuster, 'aye'. That's it. Leave to place House Bill 1209 on Postponed Consideration. Leave? 1236, I understand is out of the record right now. Taylor here, for Crime Investigating Commission? I know Giorgi doesn't want to handle it. Out of the record. Davis on 1538. Out of the record. Wyvatter Younge. Out of the record on 1583 and 1589. Clarence Neff on 1595."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1595. A Bill for An Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture from the Agricultural Premium Fund. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff on..."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1595 is another one of those, one of those that we have had several of pertaining to ah, snow damage done on buildings and local 4-H and county fairs. Ah, \$55,000 of this Bill goes to the McDonough County 4-H Fair for the building that collapsed during a snow storm. They do have insurance to cover little over half of it which is about \$120,000, but they're going to need \$55,000 more, and then \$13,000 goes to the Mercer County Fair for ah, putting a new roof on a building and those folks are donating their labor and so forth. That's the way they got it down to \$13,000. This is a total of \$68,000. It comes out of the Agricultural Premium Fund. I would appreciate a vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Henderson,



Representative Neff has moved for the passage of House Bill 1595. There's no question and no discussion, the question is, shall House...the Gentleman from Cook or Winnebago, Representative Giorgi on the gambling issue."

Giorgi: "Representative Neff, how did you vote on the Bill to help the cities and counties on snow removal?"

Neff: "Ah, I think I was off the floor at that time."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook raises a point of order and he's correct here. Your question's out of order. The question is shall House Bill 1595 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye' those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Giorgi to explain his vote."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think that um, we ought to remind Representative Neff and most of the people that put the globs on these agricultural premium fund that all that money is is race track gambling skim. That's what it is - it's skim. You're using gambling dollars to help your 4-H Clubs and your Future Farmers of America and you're using gambling dollars for what you're to put a new roof on that building. So don't forget when you look up towards the Heavens, it's from the skim at the tracks, skim at the tracks."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Wayne, Representative Robbins to explain his vote."

Robbins: "You got to have those county fairs to tra...have the tracks to train your horses on so you can bet on them. I realize it's gambling. You do too and you need the money. And since we only get about 15 to 20% of it and you get the rest of it to spread over McCormick place why think about it a little bit. You got to have a place to run those horses."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted...the Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMasters."



Speaker Matijevich: "How's the wedding?"

McMasters: "Fine, thank you, John, and thank all of you for the opportunity to be there and participate. Zeke, Zeke are you still over there? Giorgi? I want to tell you something, Zeke. All of us Legislators met with the Home Extension people in Galesburg earlier this year and making our presentation to them and congratulating them on what they do and everything, we also informed them that the money for the support of your program through the University of Illinois we realize comes from the Fair Mutual Betting Fund. We told them this. The older women knew, the younger ones did not. And in closing my address I said remember one thing, the Quad City Downs will be open for racing before long. Go up and spend your money making bets and help your program. They know it, Zeke."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giorgi thinks he can sneak a personal privilege here. Go ahead."

Giorgi: "Now there's another lesson in slick elocution. He says, he used paramutual machines. That's to skim the money at the tracks. The paramutual machine is like The Agricultural Premium Fund. Doesn't that sound nice? Or Metropolitan Exposition and Authority Fund, doesn't that sound nice? It's like the guy in the barracks quipping the crap game, that's what it is."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Bureau to continue, ah, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to correct Representative Giorgi. The term skim is not correct, it's called the Privilege Tax. It's called the Privilege Tax, and I don't want anyone to get the wrong impression. We'll have the opportunity to look at that under House Bill 2111."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted, have all voted who



wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are fifteen voting 'aye' 3 voting 'no' and 15 voting 'present' and 1595 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill, the Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain. For what purpose do you rise?"

McClain: "With leave, would you vote Mr. Giorgi 'aye', he forgot to vote, he got so excited."

Speaker Matijevich: "Show Giorgi 'aye'. 1608, Kelly, are you ready to go? Always ready, Kelly says. That's what they tell me. The Gentleman from Will, Representative VanDuyne. For what purpose do you rise?"

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Can you tell me what the difference is between Pouncey's Bill and Ed Kornowicz's Bill which both lost and the two Bills that the two Republicans had which both passed. They were all four appropriation Bills."

Speaker Matijevich: "Two of them are in the budget and the other two aren't. That's the difference right now. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1608. A Bill for An Act making an appropriation to the Director of the Department of Public Health. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Mr. Speaker, I don't mind taking 'chiding' from the Membership, but when the Speaker uses that privilege, I think that is going too far. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1608 is an accompaniment Bill to House Bill 1202, which is now on Third Reading and it is a new abortion law for Illinois. This provides \$50,000 to be used to prepare information, which the Department of Public Health shall...."



Speaker Matijevich: "One moment, Representative Kelly, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Hasn't it been a normal practice in the past to take an appropriation Bill with a substantive Bill with leave, so that we can hear both of them? We've done it before, why can't we do it again?"

Speaker Matijevich: "I wish we would, but we haven't been doing it, unfortunately."

Totten: "Well, can we start doing it?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Not yet, not until I get marching orders. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly to proceed."

Kelly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and thank you Mr. Totten for that effort. This would provide the \$50,000 for this material which would contain information about informed consent and information a doctor would provide to the mothers seeking the abortion, anyway, I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly, has moved for the passage of House Bill 1608. That's the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to ask parliamentary inquiries. Somebody has made a point about the substantive Bill not having passed yet, is that correct?"

Speaker Matijevich: Yes, they made it parliamentary inquiry and I've said that we have been passing Bills out, even though the substantive Bill has not passed. Until I hear otherwise, we're going to keep doing it."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would just like to point out, I know how you feel and I do. First, it is a very bad precedence to be setting, putting again the cart before the horse. Now, I have nothing against this



and I intend to vote for it, provided we have a substantive Bill to vote on first. You know and I know Mr. Speaker that in the past years, once we get an appropriation goes by and then the Sponsor of the substantive Bill gets up and says, well, you already spent the money, you might as well approve the Bill. I think it is a bad foot to be getting off on."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any further discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1608 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. Have all voted? The Gentleman from Macon. Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "I would like to agree with the last member of the Legislature who just spoke. I think it is not right for us to vote the money before we pass the Bills. It makes people feel obligated, so I am going to vote 'present' and I think we should change this around and hold our Bill until, with agreement, hold the Bill and take it up after we pass that Bill up and down and then take this matter up. It's besides, come to think about it, it's an expenditure, so I don't believe it's bound by the same rules, as I recollect."

Speaker Matijevich: "Does the Gentleman, even though we are on the Roll Call, does he have leave to take it out of the records? Leave...leave and it shall be taken out of the record. House Bill 1630, Friedrich."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1630. A Bill for an Act to provide the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Attorney General. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Marion. Oh he's on the Rules Committee. I'm sorry. Representative Dyer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Dyer: "I rise on a point of order. When a Roll Call has begun on a piece of legislation, isn't Representative Kelly's only recourse then to put his Bill on



Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, except I asked for leave and I didn't hear anybody."

Dyer: "We objected. This whole row objected."

Speaker Matijevich: "Giddy, I have a bad left ear and you know that. The next Bill is House Bill 1639."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1639. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Personnel. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Whiteside. Representative Schuneman on 1639."

Schuneman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The appropriation Bills haven't been doing too well here just recently, but I think we have one here that everybody can support. This is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Personnel. The appropriation totals \$97,944,000. I'll be happy to answer any questions that anyone may have about it."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Schuneman has moved for the passage of House Bill 1639. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "What was the previous year's appropriation and what percentage of increase?"

Schuneman: "The previous year's appropriation was \$87,772,000 and you asked for the percentage of increase."

Leverenz: "How much and what percentage?"

Schuneman: "The total increase was 11.5%."

Leverenz: "Wh..ho...Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Schuneman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I could elaborate on that, the total increase in the appropriation is \$10,171,000, but of that total, \$9,090,000 or about



89% of that increase is the increase in the group insurance cost for the State. The group insurance cost originally was indicated to be some \$15,000,000; but because of negotiations between the State and Blue Cross, that amount was reduced to about \$9,000,000."

Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, shall House Bill 1639 pass. Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'aye', 10 voting 'no' and 5 voting 'present'. House Bill 1639 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1641. Telcser. Out of the record. House Bill 1645, Ralph Dunn. Is Ralph ... he's ready."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1645. A Bill for an Act appropriating money, the expenses of the Department of Mines and Minerals. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Perry. Representative Dunn on House Bill 1645."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the operation of the Department of Mines and Minerals. It's in the amount of \$6,812,000, well, it's been amended up to \$6,989,999."

Speaker Matijevich: "Give the increase over last year's, because someone will ask you."

Dunn: "I was just getting ready to do that. It's about a \$3,000,000 increase over last year and this is all Federal money for the land reclamation program mandated by the Congress and by the Federal Government and administered by the Department of Mines and Minerals."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Perry has moved for the passage of House Bill 1645. There's no



discussion. The question is shall House Bill 1645 pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten. I didn't see your button..."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I wanted to ask the Sponsor a question. I was trying to follow the total appropriation and I guess our Digests are behind, because at the end of the Committee action, it was \$7,000,000 and some odd change. Has that been amended on the floor?"

Dunn: "It was reduced some \$60,000 on the floor. \$39,000 for phase in positions that were in the Bill and we took them out and phased them in over a year's period. Made a \$39,000 reduction. So, it is \$6,889,999."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 102 'aye', 16 'nays', 5 voting 'present'. House Bill 1645 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1648. Mahar back? I don't see him yet. Out of the record. Mahar, are you ready with state emergency services' appropriation?"

Mahar: "Yes."

Speaker Matijevich: "House Bill 1648. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1648. A Bill for an Act appropriating money for the expenses of the State Emergency Service and Disaster Agency. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar on 1648."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the ordinary appropriations for the Emergency Service and Disaster Area. It is in the total amount of \$9,020,000 and it's an additional \$378,000 over last year or a 4.2% increase."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook has moved for



passage of House Bill 1648. There's no further discussion. The question is shall House Bill 1648 pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those who oppose by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 7 'no', 6 voting 'present'. House Bill 1648 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1652 Peters."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1652. A Bill for an Act appropriating money for expenses of the Board of Vocational Rehabilitation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters on House Bill 1652."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1652 is for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Board of Vocational Rehabilitation in the total amount of \$81,000,000. \$62,000,000 of which are Federal Funds and \$19,000,000 of which are General Revenue Funds. This appropriation represents an increase of approximately \$18,000,000 from last year or approximately a 26...well a 27% increase over last year. The reason for the increase was because of the action of this General Assembly in transferring from the Department of Children and Family Services to the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation, five homes which were operated by the Department of Children and Family Services. That reduction will show up in the Department of Children and Family Services' budget, although it is an increase in this particular budget. The total funding of the Department is roughly 76% in federal funds."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters, has moved for the passage of House Bill 1652. There's no discussion. The question is shall House



Bill 1652 pass. Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 129 voting 'aye', 8 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. House Bill 1652, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1656, Macdonald."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1656. A Bill for an Act appropriating money for expenses of the Environmental Protection Agency. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Macdonald on 1656."

Macdonald: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1656 originally asked for \$299.3 million. Through four amendments, we have reduced that amount to \$298,943,299, which represents a 5.1 decrease over the fiscal year '79 budget. I would ask for your passage of this Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady from Cook, Representative Macdonald, has moved for the passage of House Bill 1656. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "This happened just lately, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House and I will tell you why I vote against the Environmental Protection Agency. One year ago, in Decatur, Illinois, we had a high school a solid block, a solid block in size, three stories high, interior walls solid brick. It was given to the Walkers in a contract. They took it at their landfill. The brick was extra hard brick. A year ago right now, the Fun Fair in Decatur was held to raise money for our hospitals. The women of the community came out and asked to have the brick as historical brick. The Walkers gave them all the brick they wanted. They painted them gold and sold them for a couple two or three dollars a brick for a door stop,



which is fine. The pile of brick was all in one area. An incredible number of bricks. Maybe some millions of them. So the Walkers got the idea, it would be a good idea to put an ad in the paper, considering the shortage of building material and permit people to come out and buy the brick. Ten cents a brick. New bricks are about thirty cents apiece. It was a real bargain. People came out and bought brick and then an inspector from the Environmental Protection Agency came around and saw the pile of bricks. They said you are going to have to bury the brick. As you all know, bricks smell like the devil. You can smell them for miles and miles. So, the men said, well we are selling the brick. They people are buying them so they can face their houses or whatever. It doesn't matter, you are either going to have to cover the bricks within eight hours or you are going to have to get a variance from the Pollution Control Board in Chicago and have a registered engineer from the State of Illinois do it. Now, we found out that it would cost, they found out it would, \$1,500 or \$2,000 to get the proper contour of the height of the brick above the ground where they were piled. Then, they found out it would maybe cost another \$1,000 or so for the proper papers for the variance. They figured it would be around \$3,500 or \$4,000. They decided at ten cents a brick, they would have to sell too many bricks to do anything about it. So..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn. For what purpose do you rise?"

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, he spins some fine yarn, but he is not speaking to the Bill at all."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's right. Would you confine your remarks to the appropriation measure, Representative Borchers."



Borchers: "I am. I will tell you exactly why I intend to vote against this kind of idiocy that they do all the time. So, it was necessary for those, these are black men by the way, that have this lease and this contract. And so it was necessary for them, by the orders of the EPA to take all those smelly brick, one block three stories high and shovel over them and bury them. Now that is a typical kind of asinine stuff that is pulled off all the time. So, I am going to vote no and I hope a few more do just for the helluva it."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I just wanted to find out the percent increase and I think it is mostly in the General Revenue."

Speaker Matijeich: "I think she said 5%."

Macdonald: "It's a 5.1% decrease over fiscal year '79."

Leverenz: "And that's because of all the capital money?"

Mac onald: "Well, there were four amendments to the Bill that the Agency accepted in Committee and those reductions amounted to a 5.1% decrease over last year's appropriation requirement."

Speaker Matijeich: "Thank you, the lady from Cook, Representative Macdonald, has moved for the passage of House Bill 656. (sic) Question is shall House Bill 656 sic) pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe, to explain his vote."

McAuliffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I am going to rise and explain my 'no' vote. As Representative Borchers stated, there is a problem with these landfills and the people that work in the EPA are absolutely unflexible. If you had a gold bar laying on top of a landfill, you would be prohibited from picking it up and the regulations by the bureaucrats in the EPA would require you to bury it within 8 hours. It's just crazy. They won't let anyone go through and



scavage through these landfills. As Representative Borchers says, bricks don't smell, and neither do gold bars, but according to their rules, if you had a gold bar laying on top of a landfill, you'd have to have it buried within eight hours or they would fine you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain, to explain his vote."

McClain: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. I would like to stand in support of this piece of legislation. For three reasons. One, we all know the Agency sooner or later is going to be funded. It's either going to be funded now or it is going to be funded later. Two, it's a 5.1% decrease. You know as well as I do that if it comes back, it will probably come back as an increase and thirdly, the Agency has come full tilt since the days of Mr. Briceland and others. Mr. Mossley and his staff are going into the communities now, trying to help out communities, help out districts, the whole psychic of the Environmental Protection Agency has changed since the beginning of the Agency, which I think, I know a lot of people believed that Mr. Ogilvie was defeated because of income tax. I believe he was defeated because the Agency was hard on farmers, naturally, a Republican constituency. So, I think the Agency has come full tilt helping districts, helping agencies. I think it deserves your 'aye' vote for those three reasons."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich, to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "No, Mr. Speaker, you said House Bill 656 and for the record, you should say 1656, I believe, Sir."

Speaker Matijevich: "I didn't hear you, Dwight."

Friedrich: "You announced it was for House Bill 656, it's 1656 I think, for the record."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, thank you. 1656."



Representative Sharp from Madison to explain his vote."

Sharp: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'm voting present because of an attitude that has been taken by the Environmental Protection Agency for the past year over a mistake that they made. In a district in a small community, community of wardens, a permit was issued by the Environmental Protection Agency to build a storage lagoon without any officials from the Environmental Protection Agency checking out the facts surrounding the request for the issuance of this permit. As a result, the lagoon under permit from the EPA was built in the middle of a cemetery in the middle of a residential neighborhood. When the agency was asked to explain this, the Director indicated that we made a mistake. When he was asked what they were going to do about it, he said, well there really wasn't anything they could do about it. The Director was asked to straighten it out and he wasn't able to, the Governor has been asked to straighten it out, he wasn't able to, the United States District Attorney was asked to straighten it out because there were federal funds involved, they didn't straighten it out. Now the agency, the Environmental Protection Agency, has indicated an interest in correcting the mistake that they made. But they haven't said how they are going to do it and until they can recognize the fact that they make mistakes and when they make those mistakes, they should correct them. I think that we should vote 'present' and indicate to the agency that they should correct their mistakes the same as they expect violators of the Environmental Protection Act to correct mistakes that they might make and I would ask for a 'no' or a 'present' vote."



Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf to explain his vote."

J.J. Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'm just going to rise and ask for some additional 'yes' votes on here. I'd like to point out that this agency aside of the personal problems that some of you may have and I can well understand that, I do not fault anyone for it, I think the agency probably has not listened in some areas and been unrealistic in others. However, with this appropriation I'd like to point out that it's actually the first agency we've seen today that's got a minus 5% in General Revenue Funds in their budget with the cuts that were made in Appropriations I Committee. The rest of the increase and the only increase is through federal funds in the form of grants. And so this is the one agency that has actually cut their budget as far as the General Revenue Funds go. I think that in itself is worth the vote. It's an example to some of the other agencies. Your personal problems that you might have insofar as the dumping grounds and so forth, that can be taken another time. But, I would urge you to get this appropriation moving and vote 'yes'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? The Lady from Cook, Representative Macdonald to explain her vote."

Macdonald: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I know that each of you have... those of you who are voting 'present' or 'no' have personal reasons that you are displeased with the Environment Protection Agency, but I would submit to you that the current...Director of the Environmental Protection Agency is doing his very best under some very adverse situations that have come to him by inheritance and not of his own doing. I think that it is only fair for us to say that without an



environmental system in Illinois, I think that down the road we are going to suffer enormously from lack of public protection. And while there may be mistakes, and I certainly do not condone those mistakes that have been brought to the attention of the Members of this House, but I will say to you that Illinois' Environmental Protection System is an exemplary system and without it we will be far worse off than we are with those few disagreements that one of us or the other may have with the agency personally. And I urge you please to vote for this appropriation."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn, to explain his vote."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if it wasn't for the crunch of time we have in front of us, I would join those who are voting 'present' or 'no'. And the reason I would...was to be put this on Postponed Consideration and to get attention of the..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 95 voting 'aye', 31 voting 'no', 25 voting 'present' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, 1656, is hereby declared passed. 1730, out of the record. 1758, McMaster, McGrew, Neff, Tuerk, Sumner."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1758. A Bill for An Act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster on 1758."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Several...about a year ago or two years ago, we started building an addition to our community college in Galesburg, the Carl



Sandberg Community College. Before the college board accepted the building last fall, or last winter, a series of leaks developed in the building and we discovered a lot of faulty construction. For instance a I-beam supposedly to support a floor above it and in the ceiling in the room below was hanging from the floor above with no support at either end of the I-beam. Very definitely faulty construction. Our Carl Sandberg College Board was willing to sue the architect and contractor, but were told by the CDB that they would file the suit themselves. So the state does have a lawsuit going against the contractor and architect because of this construction. I think the original estimated cost was somewhere in the neighborhood of \$550,000, this has since risen to some \$675,000. So this is the amount we are trying to get. I would like to point out that the reason for putting this through now, is to allow us to go ahead and correct these mistakes in construction before we go through another winter season and suffer more damage, therefore increasing the cost of the corrections. If this lawsuit should be determined in favor of the state and Carl Sandberg, the money of course would be paid by either the contractor or the architect whoever is at fault and the money would be recovered. So hopefully this will not cost the state anything, if the lawsuit goes right, and of course I cannot predict that. But that's the purpose of the legislation it's for \$675,000. The Governor has in his budget \$550,000 of the \$675,000. I would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Knox has moved for the passage of House Bill 1758. There's no discussion. The question is... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf."

J.J. Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."



Again as the minority spokesman, Appropriations I Committee, I must reluctantly rise in opposition to this Bill. Based on the Illinois Community College Act, Capital Development Board has already included Carl Sandberg in its Capital Bill, which is House Bill 2427 which is on Second Reading. And that is the recommendation of the Board of Higher Education. And in the Illinois Junior College Act, the state provides a 75% to a 25% local split. This Bill makes the state provide 100% or \$675,000 of the costs necessary to correct the defects in original construction. And I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In speaking in support of 1758, as Representative Wolf brought out, the state is asking that the local junior college pay 25%. And we want to keep in mind that the Capital Development Board was responsible for this job, they had their men on it. Carl Sandberg College had nothing to do with the building of it and when these cracks and so forth showed up, well it certainly isn't the state's fault. And as Representative McMaster has brought out, no doubt we'll get this money back. But at this time we feel that the state should be responsible for 100% because certainly Carl Sandberg had nothing to do with the building of it. It was under the Capital Development Board and they have to take the blame for it."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a short question.?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."



Leverenz: "Isn't this the same type of problem we had at Morton Junior College with the problems in the original construction and the repair? And doesn't it provide that should the court suit win in the favor of the college the money would be returned?"

McMaster: "Yes, Teddy, it's based upon the same thing as the Morton Junior College Bill that we passed last year. And I would like to point out that was also for 100%, Teddy."

Leverenz: "That's correct. Thank you very much."

Speaker Matijevich: "The..."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, may I close?"

Speaker Matijevich: "I don't think you need to, but if you want to. The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster has moved for the passage of House Bill 1758. The question is, shall House Bill 1758 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker...doesn't even get 89 votes. This is really an incredible episode in the Capital Development Board's supposedly supervisory performance. They were suppose to be supervising the contractor and what Representative McMaster has pointed out, that the beam wasn't supported, is absolutely true. And I couldn't believe it when I was driving through Galesburg one day and picked up the local newspaper and read about it. For this Capital Development Board to have the gall to ask the local people to put up 25% a second time, just is incredible to me. I think this ought to be supported."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder to explain his vote."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't think this particular piece of legislation should be



discussed in terms of any legislative district. Be perfectly frank, it is my district so I'm gonna speak on it. Put yourself in the same position the other taxpayers are in now. They authorize the Capital Development Board to proceed with the Billing of a junior college. The Capital Development Board had complete control over every phase of it, engineering, architectural work, construction what have you. And lo and behold, when the building was finished, there was a supporting I-beam across one major section of the college building that wasn't even attached to anything else. A supporting beam, have you ever heard anything so ridiculous. Now there isn't anybody in this House that can look at a building and see that an I-beam isn't attached would know better. And if for no other reason, if we ought to get a little bit of authority in these people, and I'll tell you one thing right now, I never make a commitment to any great degree if this thing happens again, and I'll support every piece of legislation that's in this category, if it's a faulty construction and it's the fall of the Capital Development Board, we have to support it."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted, have all voted, have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 91 voting 'aye' 34 voting 'no' 10 voting 'present' and House Bill 1758 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We'll revert back to page 15, House Bill 1170, the Majority Leader, or Minority Leader Representative Conti has a motion having voted on the prevailing side by which House Bill 1170 lost that that vote be reconsidered. There were many members in the Rules Committee on that, Representative Conti, do you want to discuss that further?"

Conti: "No, I don't want to discuss it but I do want to



reconsider the vote..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved that the vote by which 1170 was lost be reconsidered. Those in favor of reconsideration vote 'aye' those opposed vote 'no'. Hit me 'aye', Zeke. Have all voted? Have all voted, have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 92 voting 'aye', 19 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present' and House Bill 1170, the vote is reconsidered. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adop...for the passage of House Bill 1170."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Abramson, now let's not get into a political thing here, Ed, please. The Gentleman has moved, these are all budget Bills, and yours was out of that category. We can fight that battle later, please. The Gentleman has moved that House Bill 1170 be passed. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti asked for a poll of the absentees. Are there any one be recorded as 'aye' without going through that? Representative Mahar 'aye', Huskey 'aye', Wolf 'aye', Reed 'aye', Neff 'aye', DiPrima 'aye', Simms 'aye'. You got all those? Steczko 'aye'. What's the count? On this question there are 91 'ayes' 34 'nays' 8 voting 'present', and House Bill 1170 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1776, Schisler."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1776, A Bill for An-Act making an appropriation to the Department of Conservation.



Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijeovich: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler on House Bill 1776. That's the spare, that's the spirit Gale."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1776 would appropriate \$80,000 to the Department of Conservation to provide for electrical service on Anderson and Spring Lake which is in the 48th District. Now this is the third time that I had this Bill up on the House floor. The first time Governor Walker vetoed it and the second time the Bureau of the Budget failed to spend the money. So I'm, was bulldogged...to bring it back. I think that anyone that knows anything about recreation in the State of Illinois, knows that we rank at the very bottom in the nation as far as tourist dollars are concerned, and I serve on the Recreation Council and other organizations that promote tourism, and I think this would go a long ways towards helping us, and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijeovich: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler has moved for the passage of House Bill 1776. Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is shall House Bill 1776 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 79 voting 'aye' 45 voting 'no' 7 voting 'present'. And what do you want to do, Schisler?"

Schisler: "I couldn't hear you."

Speaker Matijeovich: "In House Bill 1776 having, Yourell 'aye', O'Brien 'aye', McMasters 'aye', Huskey 'aye', is that Matula, 'aye', Margalus I meant, Kelly 'aye', Goodwin 'aye', Greiman 'aye', Matula 'aye', Gaines



'aye'. On this question there are 90 voting 'aye', 45 voting 'no', 7 voting 'present' and House Bill 1776 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1853, Sandquist, out of the record. 1902, Braun, out of the record. 1925, Young, in or out? Out of the record. 1954, Peters-O'Brien. Read the Bill.

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1954, A Bill for An Act appropriating money to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters, on House Bill 1954."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, the substantive Bill 1953 has not passed as yet. If it's your pleasure, to take them both at one time, we could do that."

Speaker Matijevich: "If you're ready, I'm ready."

Peters: "Alright, House Bill 1953 and 1954, one of the problems that we have had..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Did we have, read the Bill 1953. Did he have leave, leave? Both together."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1953, a Bill for An Act to amend the Facilities for the Handicapped Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Peters: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one of the problems that has come up in regard to the implementation of the facilities for the handicapped Bill is in terms of the implementation of the rules and regulations which were developed by the Capital Development Board in regard to building, remodeling, construction and whether that applied to new buildings or old buildings. Representative O'Brien had legislation to correct this area, to give to building management and people in real estate and remodeling and rehabilitation more of an opportunity to do that kind of remodeling and yet keep the cost



down and still maintain what the general spirit of the Act was. The Capital Development Board put in House Bill 1953 which I have Sponsored to do basically the same thing. The result of 1953 now is an amalgamation of ideas as presented by Representative O'Brien and what was in 1953 plus consultation with the real estate people, the construction people and the Building Department of the City of Chicago. The amendment which was put on, which was adopted at the suggestion of the Building Department of the City of Chicago where a major amount of this kind of remodeling will, will be going on. And I would solicit, as would Representative O'Brien, your affirmative vote for 1953 and 1954 as the appropriation in the sum of \$4,620 which would pay for the expenses of this facility's board meeting which would allow people who wanted variance, variances from the rules and regs. of the Department to come in and receive those variances."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters and O'Brien have moved for the passage of House Bill 1953 and 1954. There's no discussion, the question is shall these Bills pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative O'Brien to explain his vote."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I just rise to reiterate some of the comments by Representative Peters in the passage of this legislation will finally enable the Health Facilities Act for the handicapped be implemented in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted, have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 134 'ayes', no 'nays', 3 voting 'present' and these Bills, House Bill 1953 and 1954 having received the Constitutional Majority are hereby



declared passed. House Bill 1994, Dave Jones, are you ready? Out of the record. Representative Taylor on 2011, out of the record. Representative Lechowicz, are you ready on 2035; Emergency Repair? Out of the record. 2083, Birchler, I don't see Birchler there, out of the record. McGrew, out of the record on 2088. 2090, McGrew-McMaster-Neff-Schraeder-Tuerk, is that out of the record. I don't see McGrew. That's a similar one. 2176, Rigney, are you ready? Read the Bill, 2176 and clear the board."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2176, a Bill for An Act making appropriations to the Department of Agriculture to replace a barn at the Ogle County Fairgrounds. Third Reading of the Bill.

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Stevenson, Representative Rigney on House Bill 2176."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 2176 is another one of these county fair Bills. This time it is for Ogle County, approximately \$24,000. There was also an amendment added on for the Winnebago County Fairgrounds for \$2,100."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Stevenson, Representative Rigney has moved for the passage of House Bill 2176. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock on that."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to, I was wondering if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Bullock: "Representative Rigney, how did you vote on that Urban's Cowboy Bill?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, a point of order, that doesn't make any difference. The Gentleman from Stevenson, Representative Rigney has moved for the passage of House Bill 2176. The question is shall House Bill 2176 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye',



those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 72 voting 'aye', 26 voting 'no', 15 voting 'present'. The Gentleman from Stevenson, Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Well, Speaker, there's 64 that haven't voted. I think we ought to give them the opportunity."

Speaker Matijevich: "Poll the absentees. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Well, I wanted to ask Rigney a question. Is Winnebago included in this Bill, Rigney?"

Rigney: "You ought to know better than that."

Giorgi: "Have to get some votes."

Speaker Matijevich: "Can we get some more skim votes? Could we take another Roll Call? There's some Members raising their hands. Take another Roll Call. Have all voted? All those in favor of House Bill 2776, 2176 signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Giorgi says it's alright now. Have all voted? Not doing much better. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The buttons are still open. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey to explain his vote."

Huskey: "I would just like to ask a question of Representative Rigney. I'm in concern whether this barn is going to be big enough to hold bingo games or not."

Speaker Matijevich: "If it's in Winnebago, it will. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 83 voting 'aye', 29 voting 'no', 25 voting 'present'. Does he have leave to put it on Postponed? I don't think we ought to go through it again. The, well, they have two chances. I don't think we can keep wasting time



here. Does he have leave to put it on Postponed? Leave, we gave him a Roll Call. ~~George, we gave him~~ two Roll Calls. Go ahead, poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "E.M. Barnes, Bradley, Breslin, Capparelli, Daniels, Darrow, Dawson, Domico, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Friedland, Gaines, Getty, Goodwin, Hanahan, Hoffman, Jaffe, Johnson, Kane, Katz, Kornowicz, Kozubowski, Lechowicz, Leon, Marovitz, McAuliffe."

Speaker Matijevich: "McAuliffe 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McBroom."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment, Representative Wolf, J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Change me 'present' to 'aye', please."

Speaker Matijevich: "'Present' to 'aye'. Jack Davis, 'aye'. Eddie Bluthardt 'aye', oh, I'm sorry, Bluthardt 'no'. Vince Molloy 'aye'. Sandquist, wait a minute, he's got to record all these. Sandquist 'aye'. Bluthardt was 'no'. Hallstrom 'aye'. O'Brien 'aye'. Mautino 'aye'. Doug Huff, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, ah, I'm in cognizance of the 'ayes' that are going up there now, but I'm somewhat suspect of the 83 'ayes' that are on there now, and if this continues, I'm going to ask for a verification of the affirmative votes, because I know, I see a lot of people are not here."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are there any others who, Piel 'aye', Representative Johnson, from Champaign 'aye', are there any others who desire to have their votes recorded? Continue with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "McGrew, Molloy, Mulcahey, Pierce, Schlickman, Schneider, Stanley, Stearney, Stuffle, Terzich, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Would you give me a count? On this



question, there are 92 voting 'aye', 29 voting 'no', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared....the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff, has asked for a verification. The Clerk will proceed with the affirmative vote."

Clerk Leone: "Ackerman, Anderson, Jane Barnes, Beatty, Bell, Bianco,..."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, to save some time in the House, I withdraw."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman removes his request for verification. On this issue there are 92 voting 'aye', two 29 voting 'no' and House Bill 2176 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We have the Minority Leader, while he was in the Rules Committee, we went over House Bill 1236. The Minority Leader from Kankakee, Representative Ryan on House Bill 1236."

Ryan: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker,..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1236. A Bill for an Act appropriating the money to the Department of Business and Economic Development. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Can I have leave to take 1235 and 1236 together?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Does he have leave to take 1235 and 1236 considered as a package? Leave, read the Bill 1235."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1235. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed, Representative Ryan."



Ryan: "House Bill 1235 is a Bill that creates a job training program and House Bill 1236 is a Bill that pays for it. In House Bill 1235, which is a substantive Bill,..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, did we allow this substantive Bill to be placed on Kelly's Bill earlier today? The substantive Bill to be placed on Kelly's Bill today?"

Speaker Matijevich: "I can't hear you Representative Giorgi. Will you..."

Giorgi: "There's objections to his planting both Bills together."

Speaker Matijevich: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear any objections. Do you object to hearing them both as a package?"

Giorgi: "There are objections."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, proceed with...."

Ryan: "What's the objections?"

Giorgi: "It's the substantive Bill that they object to being placed with the appropriation Bill, because that wasn't allowed earlier today by some of the other members."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed, he removed his objections. He said they didn't do it for Kelly. Proceed."

Ryan: "Well, I don't know anything about this. House Bill 1235 creates a State program in the Department of Business and Economic Development to provide job training funds to prospective businesses that are going to expand or locate in the State of Illinois. It also creates job industrial training councils to coordinate the activities involved in job training. House Bill 1236 appropriates the money to fund the job training program and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, the



Minority Leader, Representative Ryan, has moved for the passage of House Bills 1235 and 1236. One moment; before we get to that, the Assistant Majority Leader, Representative Taylor, is not here and Representative Bullock has asked recognition. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise on a point of personal privilege. I'm delighted to have a distinguished constituent of mine visit Springfield today. He is also a distinguished elected official. To my left here, is a friend whom I've known over the years and he is a member of the Appellate Court of Illinois. I would like to introduce to this Body, the Honorable Justice Glen T. Johnson."

Speaker Matijevich: "Welcome Judge. That Bill is already out. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan has moved for the passage of House Bills 1235 and 1236. There's no discussion. The question is, shall these Bills pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. All those in favor vote 'aye'.... Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 139 voting 'aye', no 'nays', 1 voting 'present' and these Bills, House Bills 1235 and 1236 having received the Constitutional Majority are declared passed. Also, in the Rules Committee at the time was Representative Friedrich on House Bill 1630. The Clerk will read the Bill. House Bill 1630."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1630. A Bill for an Act appropriating money for the expenses of the Attorney General. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich, on House Bill 1630."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is the



annual appropriation for the Office of the Attorney General. There was a reduction of appropriation in the Committee and as far as I know, there is no objection to the present total appropriation."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich, has moved for the passage of House Bill 1630. There's no discussion. The question is, shall House Bill 1630 pass. Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 126 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present' and House Bill 1630, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Also in Rules Committee was Representative Art Telcser. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser on House Bill 1641. Is that true Art? 1641. The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1641. A Bill for an Act appropriating money for the expenses of the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser on 1641."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 1641 is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Capital Development Board in the amount of \$6,541,200. It represents a 7.8% increase in the last fiscal year. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser, has moved for the passage of House Bill 1641. On that, the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I have been asked by some members on this side of the aisle to ask Representative Telcser if the authorization Bill was passed yet."



Telcser: "No, not yet, Representative."

Giorgi: "Well, you've passed over it a half of dozen times and they would like an explanation of that."

Telcser: "I think there are, aren't there some more amendments coming, that we are waiting for?"

Speaker Matijevich: "I think he's accommodating members with amendments, Representative Giorgi."

Telcser: "Can we put that in the record? We're waiting for more amendments, to the best of my knowledge."

Giorgi: "What's that percent of increase again, Mr. Telcser?"

Telcser: "7.8 over last year's budget."

Giorgi: "The present guidelines is only seven."

Telcser: "7% for what, Representative?"

Giorgi: "For increases. I know you are very familiar with the President Carter's espousing."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of House Bill 1641. The question is, shall House Bill 1641 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 99 voting 'aye', 24 voting 'no', 12 voting 'present', and House Bill 1641, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Also on the same subject matter, Representative Telcser on House Bill 2426."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2426. A Bill for an Act making reappropriation to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser on House Bill 2426."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 2426, as the Clerk said, is a reappropriation for the Capital Development Board. These are for projects from last year, which now have to be reappropriated



for. I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser, has moved for the passage of House Bill 2426. No discussion. The question is... I thought he told us how much. Someone wants to know how much."

Telcser: "\$453,338,171."

Speaker Matijevich: "A mere pittance. The question is shall House Bill 2426... Representative Murphy, the Gentleman from Kane."

Murphy: "Art, can you tell me just some of the financing for the Financial Institutional Building over here?"

Telcser: "You mean for the Revenue Building?"

Murphy: "Right, the Revenue Building. Mr. Speaker, on the Bill, I think we ought to hold up and have another look at this. I tried to get some information last year on it and we had line item 4.5 million out of it. Then we got into the payraise fight and we lost it. We... they override (sic) the Governor. So, I think what we should take another look at this one."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. A question to the Sponsor."

Speaker Matijevich: "Indicates he'll yield."

Huff: "Does this have anything to do with the Tinley Park Mental Health Center and the remodeling of that facility?"

Telcser: "There is planning money in here Representative, that was appropriated last year, which now has to be reappropriated."

Huff: "Well, I am going to have to make the same request as Representative Murphy, Mr. Speaker, because there are some things with this particular funds for the Mental Health, which I for myself, have not had or gotten answers and can't get answers, and I have been trying to get them since last year, and I know that many of



the patients that will be put out of Tinley Park are going, impact most heavily in my district, and I have tried to get information from many of the mental institutions in my area and the Directors of those institutions tell me that they don't know any more than I do, which is nothing. I would like to get some more answers on this."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser, to close."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would like to remind the members that this is a reappropriation. This is for projects that were approved last year, for which we are now reappropriating, because they were not completed for this next fiscal year. I might add, in the new Bill, which we will be hearing sometime this week, there's also money for the Revenue Building, which one member is concerned with, and there will also be a Floor Debate, regarding Tinley Park, which in respect to an amendment that was adopted in the Committee. So, for those reasons Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser, has moved for the passage of House Bill 2426. The question is shall House Bill 2426 pass. Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. Vote me 'aye', Giorgi. Representative Conti, from Cook, to explain his vote."

Conti: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we have to re-emphasize that this is not new money. This is money, not through the fault of Capital Development, but through the fault that most of the Junior Colleges, because of an environmental impact, because of mandated programs, because of slow-up of architectural designs, because



of procuring land, this money was not spent and was not spent at all. So, this is only a reappropriation of funds that, of commitments that were made in the last year, because of various agencies holding up these colleges with their building programs. Now, if we don't pass this, there are many programs that have been started, but we'll not be able to complete and by the time, we have to go back and go through the legislative process and try to get Bills passed to complete these colleges already started, and we're going to find ourselves not spending the \$453,000,000 but money that's been committed through inflationary period, it's going to cost us something like \$700,000,000. Now, if this was new found money, I would be joining all those 'present' lights and all those red lights. These are funds that have been committed and have not been expended."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker. Let me simply tell the Members of this House. This Bill was introduced months ago and we're going to be fighting the calendar all week long. We just met in the Rules Committee so that every single Member can have a chance at having their Bills heard, and let them go up or down in a fair manner. This Bill contains a reappropriation for buildings and rehabilitation to universities and many mental health facilities. I say to you, this is important for the State and if the Members want to play games, that's okay by me, Mr. Speaker. I am going to ask you to poll the absentees. If it has the votes, fine. If not, that's fine too."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Lechowicz, to explain his vote."

Lechowicz: "Not to explain my vote. I would like to ask for a new roll call."

Speaker Matijevich: "I think that is a reasonable request. This is a reappropriation and it's got to be funded. Let's, as Art Telcser says, let's not play games. Dump this Roll Call. Let's have a new one and Giorgi, your Bill is next. Don't play games. The question is, shall House Bill 2426 pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. Vote me 'aye', Zeke. Everybody got their message across? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 114 voting 'aye', 20 voting 'no', 11 voting 'present'. House Bill 2426, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Giorgi on House Bill 2243."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2243. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Business and Economic Development. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, now that he has quit playing games."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2243 appropriates \$150,000 to the Department of Business and Economic Development to conduct a state-wide survey to determine the market demands for civic centers, the extent this demand is unsatisfied and to determine where new centers should be placed. The reason for the Bill is because we have encumbered the skim fund to the tune of \$75 million for the next 20 years and we feel there may be more request for civic centers, and not to saturate the civic center markets, we thought it, study advisory, if you don't want the study, then we will increase the skim at the track and give everybody their money, so I



urge support of this Bill."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, has moved for passage of House Bill 2243. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yes, I hope all the Members paid close attention to the explanation that Representative Giorgi gave this Bill. Because if you did, you would have hear him say that he is appropriating \$150,000 to try to stir up interests in local communities and having us finance new civic centers for these local communities. Now, that's right, Representative Skinner, more civic centers. I can read from the explanation. To conduct a state-wide survey, to determine the market demand for civic centers, to extend this demand is unsatisfied and to determine where new centers should be placed. If you want to spend \$150,000 of our money in order to find out that every community wants to have one of these things, provided the State of Illinois will pay for it, then you probably feel that \$150,000 is well spent. I personally, don't."

Speaker Matijeich: "One announcement, there's a page here that has had a ham and cheese sandwich for the last five minutes and doesn't know what to do with it. Over there. If anybody wants to make claim to it, Kosinski says he'll take it. Sight unseen. The Gentleman from Winnebago has moved for the passage of House Bill 2249. Representative Giorgi to close."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Representative Leinenweber put it very well. If we feel that \$75,000,000 is enough for us to encumber for the next 20 years, to build civic centers, then we should spend \$150,000 to tell ourselves and the Department of Business and Economic Development that no new civic



centers are needed. But, if you want to listen to that mouthpiece, like you would in any court room and confuse the jury, then you won't spend the money and there will be more cities coming in for civic center monies and what you are going to do instead of skimming the track at 8%, you are going to be skimming it at 10%. Now, if that's what you want to do, listen to Leinenweber. If you want to put a halt to it, have the study. I'm not going to make the study, the Bureau of Economic Development is going to make the study. They are all appointed by the Governor, they are all Republicans from the high priority list of employment. It isn't Giorgi who is going to determine it, so vote your conscience."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago has moved for the passage of 2243. The question is shall House Bill 2243 pass. Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, to explain his vote, he thinks."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I will just say that this Bill is not timely. We should have spent this money about 10 years ago and we wouldn't have one in Rockford."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, and we're still having trouble with your light light, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, I, it really isn't necessary to say anything, but in our community, the majority of our people don't want the civic center, notwithstanding the wishes of some of the downtown ownership. Any, I might also point out, to pay for the damn thing, the City Council, for the City of Decatur was going to have to move in and rent part of the building itself to help pay for it as a City Hall."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who



wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 40 voting 'aye', maybe you should have played games, 75 voting 'no' and 10 voting 'present' and this Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 2265, Simms-Giorgi. The Clerk will read the Bill.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2265. A Bill for an Act making appropriation from the Road Fund to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is jointly sponsored by Representative Giorgi and myself and cosponsored by Representative Hallock. It appropriates \$500,000 to resurface and restructure Spring Creek Road, within the City of Rockford, which is a State responsibility, and at that time the City of Rockford will take over the maintenance and construction of the road and the responsibility, and I would urge a favorable report. Both the appropriation Sub-Committee and the Appropriation Committee approved the Bill unanimously. I move for it's adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms, has moved for the passage of House Bill 2265, and the Gentlemen from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question? Is this the road that leads into the Civic Center? If it is, I vote 'aye'. It runs right near his home, right."

Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, shall House Bill 2265 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. Winnebago's not doing



too good today. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 39 voting 'aye', 62 voting 'no', 14 voting 'present' and this Bill, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. We skipped over 2202, Tuerk. Read the Bill, 2202."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2202. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation from the Common School Fund to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk on House Bill 2202."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is the annual appropriation for the teacher's retirement. It comes out of the Common School Fund. It is in the amount of \$255,000,000. The total is going up each year, as evidenced by the fact that a couple of years ago, it was oh, \$208 million, but the fact remains that more people are on retirement. It is an appropriation for downstate teachers and Chicago teachers. It's a fund that we have to appropriate. It's for payouts only and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk, has moved for the passage of House Bill 2202. The question is, shall House Bill 2202 pass. All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. 2202. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 148 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and 3 voting 'present', and House Bill 2202, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2282. The Majority Leader, Representative Madigan, is he ready with that? 2282. Madigan-McGrew."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2282. Madigan. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Comptroller. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, the Majority Leader, Representative Madigan on House Bill 2282. Out of the record. House Bill 2285 out of the record. 496, Leverenz in or out? Out of the record. 2502, Patrick, in or out? Out of the record. 2574, Pullen. Out of the record. 2575, Conti. Did I skip over 2425. Oh, I'm sorry. 2425 Ryan. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2425. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, the Minority Leader, Representative Ryan, on House Bill 2425."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2425 appropriates \$1,750,000 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Guardianship and Mental Health Advocacy Commission and I would move for the passage of 2425."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Minority Leader has moved for the passage of House Bill 2425. The question is, shall House Bill 2425 pass. Those in favor,...the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, I would like to know if all this money is going to be funneled into institutions or whether citizen organizations like the one in my area might end up continuing to be funded? The one in my area is a Northeastern Illinois Advocacy Organization. I want to know if all this is ^{going to get} buried in state institutions or whether citizen involvement is going to be continued to be encouraged as it has over the first year of the program." Ryan: "The citizens will still have some say in this and have some involvement in this



Representative."

Skinner: "Are citizen organizations still going to have staff funded, or is that going to be withdrawn as has been previously threaten?"

Ryan: "Staff will be funded."

Skinner: "NEADD will be funded? N-E-A-D-D."

Ryan: "Who?"

Skinner: "No, it's N-E-A-D-D. It covers Lake, McHenry, Kane, I think, and Kendall County."

Ryan: "You want to know if it is specifically covered?"

Skinner: "Yes."

Ryan: "I can't answer that."

Skinner: "I am extremely concerned that this money is all going to get swallowed up by the state institutions."

Ryan: "Well, part of the problem is that none of the regional bodies have been set up because the Commission hasn't been set up, Representative."

Skinner: "Well, this is a successor Commission to a predecessor Commission, right?"

Ryan: "Successor to a predecessor. Normally that's the case."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Assistant Majority Leader, Representative Lechowicz, on this Bill."

Lechowicz: "Well, I would like to ask the Sponsor some questions, if I may. There's approximately a \$500,000 increase George. In what area is this? I would like to know the number of people, as far as are employed and how do they get the employment by the Commission for the sum of \$987,000."

Ryan: "Part of the increase is basically in the contractual line."

Speaker Matijevich: "Is that your question, Representative?"

Lechowicz: "Well, I would like to know how many employees there are and who sets..."



Ryan: "There aren't any now at this time."

Lechowicz: "Oh, this is a brand new one?"

Ryan: "Right."

Lechowicz: "Well, I can't understand. If this is brand new, how can we have an appropriation for one million five in '79, asking for an increase to one million seven, and there were no employees in '79?"

Ryan: "This is part of the package in Mental Health that's coming over from the Senate. It's Senator Daley's package. This is a new commission to set up, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, I would just like to know if there is any thought, as far as executive director, or the chairman of the board. From my understanding, according to reading the analysis, there's approximately...."

Ryan: "The Chairman was elected last week."

Lechowicz: "Was he? Who is he?"

Ryan: "I don't know."

Speaker Matijevich: "I wonder if we could have Representative Sandquist, who has been working with Senator Daley on this, he wants to answer these questions. Representative Sandquist, could you answer those...?"

Sandquist: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a new Commission of 9 members. The two legislative members are myself and Senator Daley. We did, last week, elect our chairman, who is John Schmidt, a lawyer from the City of Chicago. We are, at the present time, going, scanning through about 200 requests we got for an executive director from throughout the State. We will be having citizen participation. We've already had it. In answer to Representative Skinner's question, and we will have both staff and we will also contract for services out. We're taking over duties that had been



performance by others and we are starting a new guardianship."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, I am glad Mr. Sandquist rose to the occasion. I would still like to know how many employees you are intending on putting on what capacity for almost a million dollars."

Ryan: "987,000 to be exact."

Sandquist: "As I said, we are just organizing now. We do not have any employees yet. We have to start with the Executive Director."

Lechowicz: "In '79, you had an appropriation for \$241,000 for employees. Were any people hired under the \$241,000?"

Sandquist: "The money we had in the current, when we contracted out to take over duties which were previously held by the Disabilities Commission."

Lechowicz: "The Disabilities Commission, I don't believe, had an appropriation to that amount. You know, for a new Commission, normally, they start out at a much lower figure, and I was wondering how the \$1,750,000 was generated and to what are the goals of the Commission, and the number of employees, the type of work or job descriptions are involved. Can you answer that question?"

Sandquist: "Well, the Commission. First of all, we've got to set-up a new guardianship program. We also have to have human rights authority, which are going to be throughout the State. It's all part of the new Mental Health Code, which we adopted last year, and I can assure you that this money will be well spent to carry out the Code."

Lechowicz: "Can I ask you one specific question. Who put these numbers together?"

Sandquist: "It was really put together by the Bureau of the



Budget and with input from the Department of Mental Health and Disabilities:

Lechowicz: "Is this the Governor's Budget?"

Sandquist: "Yes, it's in the Governor's Budget, I believe."

Lechowicz: "Well, for what purpose do you intend on spending \$456,000 for contractual items?"

Sandquist: "Well, for one thing, we just have contracted for a program of advocacy and legal support for the various groups that have been previously set-up. That was the first step. As I said, we just finally got organized last week."

Lechowicz: "Could you tell us how much was expended from the fiscal 79 appropriation?"

Sandquist: "No, I don't have that right with me."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Representative Lechowicz, as I understand it, in 1979, they had almost \$ 1.6 million appropriated and never got off the ground. They haven't spent any of that as far as I know. If it was, it was a very small amount, but I show nothing. This year, they are finally organized, and ready to go, and the Bureau of the Budget came up with the \$1,750,000 for this Commission. Now, it's a new Commission and they, you know, it's kind of a shot in the dark, but they certainly, if it doesn't work out the way it should, we will have an opportunity to straighten it out next year."

Lechowicz: "Well, I appreciate that George, but we haven't had any information supplied to our staff as to how these figures were generated and for what purpose they are supposed to be spent. If you have any figures from the Bureau of the Budget that could shed some light on House Bill 2425, I would appreciate it, having it given to our staff, so we can review it as well."



Ryan: "Representative Lechowicz, I will... I will be glad to take this out of the record... I didn't know that your staff wasn't up to date on this. I will be glad to pull it out and work with you any way I can on it."

Lechowicz: "Thank you very much."

Ryan: "Take it out of the record."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to take House Bill 2425 out of the record. Leave. House Bill 2575, Representative Conti."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2575. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Commissioner of Banks and Trusts Companies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Assistant Minority Leader, Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wonder if I could have leave to consider House Bill 2373. I noticed Ed McBroom is not here, but it's sort of the companion bill with 2575 and I will go on and explain it if I could have leave."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to hear House Bill 2373 as a companion Bill. Leave and House Bill 2373. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2373. A Bill for an Act to amend the Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Conti on House Bill 2373 and 2575."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In 1975, when the banking industry of Illinois sought to establish an independent regulatory agency to charter and supervise state banks, a program was at the expense of the agency, would be self liquidating by means of fees paid by the state banks. This principle applied to trust departments and trust companies, as well. In 1965, legislation creating the agency established a bank and trust company fund from



which all expenses were paid into and to which all fees were deposited. Expenses, as the years grew by, were greater than those anticipated when developing the original fee schedule in '65 and a cash flow problem occurred. In 1972, the fee schedule was increased and the fiscal special fund eliminated. The increased fee schedule has, each year, produced a significantly more funds than have been appropriated for the regulations. This situation has continued during the time when a number of state banks and assets of state banks have been increasing dramatically. We find ourselves, therefore, in a situation where an agency involving no costs whatsoever to the taxpayers, has been denied the use of the fees, being permitted to provide adequate examination and supervision of banks. The reestablishments of banks and trusts companies would assure that the funding for regulations of this important industry would no longer be determined through battling with tax supported agencies for general revenues. In essence what I'm asking for is a working cash fund out of House Bill 2373 of \$750,000, and I'll explain how that is to be paid back. Ah, as I again say, it's not taxpayers' funds, that will be paid back with excess fees collected from banks. I now move for the adoption of House Bill 2373 so that we can go on to 2575."

Speaker Matijevich: "I thought you wanted them as a package?"

Conti: "Ah, alright, I'll explain House Bill 2575. The amendment to House Bill 2373 provides for the reestablishment of banks and trust companies through the fiscal year of 1980, expense of the Office of Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies was paid from that fund to provide a beginning cash flow of



\$750,000. In effect, the loan by the General Revenue Fund to the Bank and Trust Company Fund. Beginning in March of 1981, the General Revenue Fund will be repaid at the rate of \$150,000 per year until the full \$750,000 has been paid back. Thus, the House Bill 2575 is amended to appropriate money to the fund of the Office of Commissioner of Banks and Trusts ah, just to have a working cash fund. The repayment mechanism is established in an amendment into the House Bill 2373 which I stated before. The problem that we've been having is that some banks require more examinations. We never had any working cash fund to work for. We either had to send out for more money to the banks or if we did have a surplus, it created quite a problem in redistributing any surplus funds. This way if we have the working cash fund, it will be paid back in five years, and the Commissioner of Banks will not be handicapped or restricted at any one time in case of an emergency arises during the course of a year of an examination."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti has moved for the passage of House Bill 2375 and House Bill 25...2373 and House Bill 2575. The question is shall these Bills pass? The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I just wanted to speak in support of it. This is one way we can be sure that the agencies, the banks are being regulated will pay their part and no more. It will not come out of General Revenue."

Speaker Matijevich: "The question is shall these Bills pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107, 108 voting 'aye', no 'nays', 22 'present', and these Bills, House



Bill 2373 and 2575 having received the Constitutional Majority are hereby declared passed. House Bill 2577, Polk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2577. A Bill for An Act to make an appropriation for the expenses of the Department of Labor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Assistant Minority Leader from Rock Island, Representative Polk on House Bill 2577."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, we took this Bill to committee. We had a total of \$139,000,000. After amendment #1, we reduced that by \$4,000,000, amendment #2 made a technical change, amendment #3 was a voice vote to add \$1,000,000, amendment #4 was put on in committee and then tabled on the floor, amendment #5 failed in committee. That's the total number of amendments. The Bill has about \$14,000,000 in G.R.F. The new bottom line is \$136,000,000. I'd appreciate an 'aye' Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Polk has moved for the passage of House Bill 2577. From Dewitt, the Gentleman, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to this Bill. In the House Appropriations Committee, we put an amendment on the Bill to take the leasing operation out of the Department of Labor. We did that in conformance with recommendations of the Auditor General going back several years. The Department is in violation each year of the Statutes which give the leasing function to the Department of Administrative Services which can uniformly and honestly run a leasing operation. That amendment was taken off on the floor when there were relatively a few members on the floor. I would urge a 'no' vote on this Bill. Let's put it back, let's reconsider it,



and then let's put that amendment on it and at that point, it would be appropriate to vote for it, but we should not further the kind of rotten burrow leasing operation they have in the Department of Labor."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Majority Leader, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, In all fairness, I believe that the membership of the House was quite prevalent on that amendment. In fact, what the question was whether we were going to be losing federal funds in the Department of Labor which prices...which processes the leasing agreements. It was a twofold amendment, if I recall correctly, and this House overwhelmingly rejected the idea of the loss of over \$300,000 in federal funds. I believe that House Bill 2577 as presented by the Sponsor and the review of the Appropriations Committee should be passed. I strongly encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I wonder if Representative Polk could tell us which rotten amendment that Representative Vinson is so disturbed about."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Chairman, that was amendment #4 that we put on in committee. We did so, then brought it back to the floor after we found out it was going to be federal funds, they would take away our federal funds. We discussed it, I honestly believe, very openly and I don't recall frankly, that they had very many votes in opposition to it, so I really think it was done very fairly, openly, and everyone on the floor knew exactly what we were doing."

Skinner: "Ah, I apologize for not being one of those that knew exactly what we were doing. What exactly were we doing? Why is Representative Vinson and the Auditor



General so disturbed?"

Polk: "Well, the Auditor General has indicated that the Department of Labor and the Department of Public Aid, both had retained the authority to sign leases independently. It was found nec...it was in that department, there are \$287,000 in federal funds. The Federal Government is presently paying for it, if we do, if we would go back and adopt this amendment, then we'd be taking this money out of General Revenue Funds."

Skinner: "Now you're talking about signing leases for building space? Is that correct?"

Polk: "Pardon me." Skinner: "Building space?"

Polk: "Well, leases."

Skinner: "Well, you can lease all sorts of things. Is that what they're doing?"

Polk: "Office space."

Skinner: "They're leasing off..., anything besides office space?"

Polk: "I don't believe, no."

Skinner: "Well, may I suggest that I think Representative Vinson is right. This Department is the Department that got us into 910 South Michigan. And my Heavens, anybody that hasn't figured out, everybody, I mean, I think that everybody has figured out that was a bad deal, except for the present comptroller who signed the lease. Why would we want to allow the Department of Labor's current administration to have such temptations? I understand..."

Speaker Matijeovich: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff seek attention."

Anonymous: "I think he's trying to straighten us all out."

Huff: "Well, I just wanted to respond to ah, Representative Skinner. It was not this agency that got us into 910, it was done by the General Services which is now a



part of Administrative Services. It was this agency, along with myself and several other members who tried to stop this move, who protested the move. And I think Representative Lechowicz is right on target when he said this Bill, this amendment was defeated on the consideration of the fact that we would lose \$287,000 in federal funds. The amendment also wanted to take, ah I think, a rather punitive measure against these people and put this management and leasing operation into Administrative Services where they have previously had no experience. It was on that consideration that I also voted against it."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Representative from McHenry, Skinner to continue."

Skinner: "Well, maybe you're right."

Speaker Matijeich: "Let's hope so. The Gentleman from Will, Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Yes, one quick question. What are you paying now for per square foot for normal leases?"

Polk: "Well, my staff, it really depends on the lease. The one City places one and the other another."

VanDuyne: "Well, I mean, you surely must have some kind of an idea as far as bare floor space."

Polk: "I'm sorry, staff from both sides of the aisle, both sides of the aisle indicate that they can't answer that question. Maybe Representative Leverenz can."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Williamson, Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Williamson, Representative Harris moves the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put. All in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. All in favor of the main, shall the main question be put? Vote



'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 64, 65 voting 'aye', 21 voting 'no' and the main question is put. To close, the Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Polk. Polk to close."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I'm extremely sorry that amendment #4 came back into play here. We debated it at a great length. Ah, we really felt that we answered all the questions on that given day. Ah, in regard to Representative VanDuyne, I apologize for being unable to answer that specific question, but we have leases from Cairo to Chicago and again that information just isn't available. This is an operating and ordinary contingent expenses to the Department. We reduced it from an original \$139,000,000 down to \$136,000,000 and only \$14,000,000 of that is G.R.F. The balance comes out of your pocket and mine, federal funds, but it's money coming from the Federal Government, not out of the State Government. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Rock Island has moved for the passage of House Bill 2577. The question is shall House Bill 2577 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 104 voting 'aye', 41 voting 'no', and 3 voting 'present'. And House Bill 2577, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2578, Peters-Bower."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2578. A Bill for An Act making an appropriation for the expense of the Department of Public Health. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Peters on House Bill 2578, the Minority Spokesman on Appropriation 2.

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as indicated House Bill 2578 is for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Public Health for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1979. Just that there may be some background in terms of understanding the appropriation of some of these figures. This budget was a result of some extended hearings in the Appropriations Committee relative to the operations of the Department involving the Women and Infant Care Fund and other funds in that Department. As a result, the Director and various members of the Department of Public Health were called before the Appropriations Committee to give testimony in terms of the operations of that program and the awarding of certain contracts for the development of the programs to implement the WIC Program. And as a result of those hearings, a resolution was passed by the House which would direct the Legislative Investigating Commission to investigate the operations of the Department of Public Health in respect to the awarding of the contract with a group here in Springfield. Part of the recommendations made by the committee was that a oversight committee would be appointed by Chairman Barnes of 5 members to continue to oversee the expenditures and operations of this Department and to insure the cooperation of the Department that the operations budget for the Department of Public Health would be limited to a six month operation or budget. That is only now for operations, we are not talking about grant line items to the various hospitals or to the various programs. We're talking about the salaries of the people operating the Department, it's limited to six months. As a result of this, the new



totals for the budget are approximately, and we might differ here, depending on whether we're adding something here or deducting it, there's a number of amendments that were added. We're roughly talking about, at present the appropriation of approximately \$82,000,000. The appropriation was initially \$95,000,000 but the cuts were made by the committee in terms of a reduction of positions and also in terms of a reduction of that six month amendment. The Department, as you well know has authority over the General Public Health and Welfare interests of the State of Illinois. Much of its budget probably 60 or 65% is in terms of federal funds. Much of it going to the Women and Infant Care Program and to the Maternal Child Fund which does take care of or provides ah, a supplemental funds for nutrition for women who are in need of it and children who are in need of it. We also have listed in this appropriation a reappropriation of some \$15,000,000 for Providence Hospital that was not spent. The Department, also as you well know, oversees immunization programs, dental hygiene, syphilis testing and a number of related public health measure programs. If this appropriation would have gone through without the six month appropriation for operations, I must indicate to the General Assembly that we would have had an overall increase of some 14%. Since we are only on a six month budget for operations, this budget overall now is less than it was last year. I should indicate to the Assembly that one, the Senate still has to act on this, two, if the Senate approves the six month budget as we have indicated it, we will have a supplemental budget to consider when we come back in the Fall for the operation of the Department for the remainder of the year. I would solicit your support



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

for the appropriation and I see Chairman Barnes here, if I misspoke on any issue; or did not state the case clearly, I would certainly request that he rise and straighten me out where I might have been wrong; but I hope I stated the case correctly."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters, has moved for the passage of House Bill 2578. On that the Chairman of the Appropriations II Committee, Gene Barnes."

E.M. Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Just to say to Representative Peters, you are as elegant and as precise as always and I appreciate that explanation, so we are all fully aware of what's going on with House Bill 2578 and encourage the House to support this Bill at this time."

Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, shall House Bill 2578 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 136 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present' and House Bill 2578 having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2579, Vinson. Out of the record. House Bill 2605, Birchler. Out of the record. House Bill 2652, Lechowicz. 2652. Department of Revenue."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2652. A Bill for An Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Revenue. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Assistant Majority Leader from Cook County, Representative Lechowicz on House Bill 2652."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies of the House. House Bill 2652 is an appropriation for \$3,944,000 for repayment of over withheld sales taxes to all the respective municipalities and counties in this State."



The Bill was heard in the Revenue Committee and also in the Appropriations Committee and passed overwhelmingly, because this money has been withheld unfortunately from the units of local government for some time. I ask for your favorable consideration. This is money that has been withheld from the sales tax. All the municipalities in this State are contained in this Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Majority Leader, Representative Lechowicz, has moved the passage of House Bill 2652. On that, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, the only thing wrong with this Bill is that there isn't a preamble telling the Illinois Supreme Court that we resent the terms of the decree that they laid down, ordering the Comptroller to pay out money from this fund, without it being appropriated. Now this is certainly the way we should go about it, if something is wrong we should appropriate it. But I do not believe that the Illinois Supreme Court should get the opinion, because we appropriate this money that we are going to be ordered, or we are going to allow the Supreme Court to order the State Treasurer and the State Comptroller to divert money around the appropriations process. I think the Sponsor might even agree with that."

Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, shall House Bill 2652 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Vote 'aye'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 122 voting 'aye', 20 'no's', 4 'present'. House Bill 2652 having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Next Bill, House Bill 2680, Richmond-Winchester."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2680. A Bill for An Act making appropriations to the Southern Illinois University.
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Jackson,
Representative Richmond on House Bill 2680."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You're correct in stating that this is a Richmond-Winchester hyphenated Sponsorship effort and it would appropriate 2.9 million dollars to the Capital Development Board for remodeling of the women's gymnasium at Southern Illinois University, Carbondale Campus. In a word of background, the women's gym was constructed in 1927 and since that time basic roof repairs to head off extensive leaking is the only, the only renovation this structure has received. This funding is requested to upgrade the classrooms, the shower and the locker rooms. The facilities heating, air conditioning and water pipes will also be renovated. SIU has come under increased pressure to renovate this building since the enactment of Title IX of the Education Amendments Act. And Title IX provides that facilities for both sexes must be of an equal quality. In 1960's SIU constructed a new men's gymnasium. The women's gym is severely lacking in comparison to this facility and is therefore not in compliance with Title IX. Two years ago, CDB received funding to perform the architectural and engineering work on this project. So, I would like at this time to ask your support in this effort."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Richmond, has moved for the passage of House Bill 2680. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise not so much as to object to this,



as to object to the procedure that we're using, Mr. Speaker. I can't understand for the life of me when we have a large number of substantive pieces of legislation on the Calendar that we go to the Order of Appropriations and consider various pork measures. I can understand using..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Point of order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz on a point of order."

Lechowicz: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker, is that the Speaker at the time asked leave to go to the matters of appropriations. That is what the present Speaker is doing. The Gentleman has just explained this Bill and I believe if there's any further debate, they should be debated, but if not the roll call should be taken on 2680."

Speaker Matijevich: "Your point is well taken. We are on appropriation matters and when we're on that order of business, keep your remarks confined to the Bill. If you have any. Representative Totten, from Cook."

Totten: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'll try to confine my remarks to the Bill then. I don't think at this time that House Bill 2680, and I'm sorry that I didn't speak earlier on the subject matter, but with the press of business, I don't understand why this House is considering legislation like this that should normally be considered within the priority of call that all other Members have to go through. And so for these reasons, I think we ought to halt this process, consider OCE only, and not pass these other pork barrel Bills for the various districts. I'm sorry that I have to pick on these Member's Bills to do it. I think this House should have started that procedure when we started on Appropriation Bills."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Representative Winchester, to close."



Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As Representative Richmond said, this is an approximately a 2.9 million dollar appropriation for renovation of a gymnasium, a women's gymnasium at Southern Illinois University. Southern Illinois University has its enrollment from all over the State of Illinois. Kids, young women from all over the State of Illinois will benefit from this program. It's presently in very serious need of renovation and we would certainly appreciate a favorable roll call contrary to Representative Totten's comments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Richmond and Representative Winchester have moved for the passage of House Bill 2680. The question is, shall House Bill 2680 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Catania to explain her...no. Oh, I'm sorry. I missed you, Susan. Have all voted? Alright, leave for Representative Catania to ask a question. Leave. Proceed."

Catania: "Well, I did have a question. I wanted to know why this wasn't included in the regular budget request from SIU? Is this because they don't feel that women are entitled to be included in their regular budget request? I wondered if the administration supported this? I have the impression that they kind of leave women out and I think it's curious that they left this in a different kind of an appropriation than their regular OCE money."

Speaker Matijevich: "Richmond to explain his vote in answer to that."

Richmond: "Yes thank you, Mr. Speaker. Of course, this is a capital improvement and it's not a normal type thing to go in the regular operating budget and it also has been approved by the Board of Higher Education."



Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'aye', 31 'no', 7 voting 'present' and House Bill 2680 having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2763, Barnes."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2763. A Bill for An Act making an appropriation to the Public Library Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "I'm sorry. He didn't want to call that, but he did want to call House Bill 1902. Barnes. Read the Bill, 1902."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1902. A Bill for An Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Public Health. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Does he have leave to consider House Bill 1901 as a companion Bill. Leave. Read the Bill 1901."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1901. A Bill for An Act to create the Dental Student Tuition Grant Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, E.M. Barnes."

E.M. Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill is just as in your digest. We had a Bill a couple years ago, a program that was instituted by the State that had become a very successful program, relative to family practice physicians. House Bill 1901 and 1902 the companion Bill, which is \$50,000 for grant purposes to start this program, is a Bill that was suggested to me and that I am handling for the Illinois Dental Society. What this Bill does, is to set up a program for dental students, Illinois students, that are in, already in dental school to provide the necessary 'square with all' for them to continue their education, whereas



they would agree to serve in undeserved areas in the State of Illinois as a offset for the grant purposes for the dental education of these students. This, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House is in my estimation an excellent Bill. It will in the main, in the main it will cover downstate areas more so than the metropolis cities in the State. But, those are the areas that are in more pressing need for this kind of program, whereas as the difference in the family practice program, it addressed attention to mostly to the larger metropolitan areas. This is an ideal Bill to help in the area of health services. And I would urge each Member of the House to support House Bill 1901 and 1902 and would solicit the support of the House for those two measures."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Chairman of the Appropriations II Committee, has moved for the passage of House Bill 1901 and 1902. The question is, shall these Bills pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers to explain his vote."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I hope I can call your attention to the other need of continuing to spend the taxpayers' money. I remember what our experience already has been and the large percentage of those, I kind of forget whether it's 30% or something along those lines, who never pay it back. So, I think we should just keep on spending the money. Many of your here, perhaps, always practice, practice, oh, being a Legislator and perhaps don't have to earn the money to pay for these, but think of the poor guys who really have to work hard to pay for all this dough we're putting out. And all I've seen today is unnecessary, in many cases, unnecessary expenditures of the taxpayers' money that is hard earned. Believe



me, because I've been a hard earning taxpayer. So, I think you should think twice."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish; Currie? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 98 voting 'aye', 43 voting 'no', none voting present and these Bills, House Bills 1901 and 1902 having received the Constitutional Majority are hereby declared passed. House Bill 2704, O'Brien, Preston, are you ready? Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 1994, Jones, Dave Jones. The last appropriation Bill. Oh, I'm sorry, return, O'Brien is in his seat. 2704, O'Brien. I didn't see you, Dan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2704. A Bill for an Act to transfer money to the Litter Reduction and Material Recycling Fund. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan O'Brien, on House Bill 2704."

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is the one time funding, which will be returned to the General Revenue Fund. It's the start up costs for the companion Bill to House Bill 2703, which is the Litter Reduction Bill, which is the industry answer and our answer to the Bottle Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Brien, has moved for the passage of House Bill 2704. Point of Order by Representative Bowman. State your point. Woods Bowman, on a point of order."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I believe the companion Bill that would actually provide the substance of legislation for this appropriation is still on the Second Reading and I have an amendment filed to that and we haven't taken it up at this point. Can we proceed with the appropriation bill?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Under our rules, we can proceed."



Bowman: "Well, okay, I would like to point out to the membership. We have not approved substantive legislation to this appropriation."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, I would just point out to the Representative from the 11th District that the money couldn't be spent unless 2703 was passed and enacted by this General Assembly, but for his benefit and the rest of the Members, we'll take it out and come back to it at another time."

Speaker Matijevich: "We'll take it out of the record. The last appropriation bill is 1994. Representative Dave Jones."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1994. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Dave Jones, on 1994."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, 1993 is the authorization. Would it be proper, in your procedure, to have one Roll Call?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to consider 1993 as a package. Leave. Read the Bill, 1993."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1993. A Bill for an Act authorizing the Department of Conservation to purchase the Long Nine Building in Athens, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Dave Jones, on 1993 and 94."

Jones: "This legislation, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, is to authorize the State to purchase the Lincoln Long Nine at Athens, Illinois, which is about 15 miles from here. The real estate and the artifacts included therein, and the amount has been amended down by \$207,000, the Digest is correct, but the amount in the priority of call is not. This is the original 1931 building, where Abraham Lincoln and other members of



the famous Long Nine, from the Illinois State Legislature were banqueted and thanked on August 31, 1837, for their successful efforts to move the Capitol from Vandalia to Springfield, Illinois. It's one of the few remaining actual historic sites, in its original form, that a...are available...and we would appreciate your support for a former four member...four term member of this Body, by the name of Abraham Lincoln."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Dave Jones, has moved for the passage of House Bill 1994. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Wolf: "Ah, Representative Jones, I know sometime back, the Department of Conservation had made an appraisal and I think it was \$66,000. Was that ever resolved along the way?"

Jones: "The appraisal that the Conservation Department had made did not take into account the historic value of the building nor the artifacts therein which are valued at over \$100,000. Ah, in the historic value thing there's a recent article in the local paper that says that John L. Lewis, you know the great coal miner, leader and ah, founder of CIO, his mother's home at 1132 West Lawrence is listed among historic properties for sale in the current issue of 'Preservation News'. It carries a tag of \$120,000. Now this is a frame house..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Jones, I wonder if you'd take this out. There's a lot of lights on this, and this one's going to take some time. Could you take it out of the record? It's the last...Bill, and I understand there's a couple of caucuses, that



ah, you want to...go ahead."

Anonymous: "Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, the Gentleman from Sangamon has moved for the passage of House Bill 1994. The question is shall this Bill pass, these Bills pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike."

McPike: "Ah, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, before you cast an 'aye' vote on this, I think you should listen to a few of the facts. First of all, this was appraised by the Department of Conservation at \$60,000, and we're getting prepared to appropriate \$418,000 for it. The Department of Conservation estimates that it will cost approximately \$300,000 in additional funds to fix up the building. And beyond that, it's going to cost \$67,000 a year to operate it. Currently, they have approximately 2,000 visitors a year at this place. This is nothing but a rip-off. I can't understand how it ever got this far through the system. It's a disgrace. The Department of Conservation is against it, and everybody on this floor should be voting 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman..."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, take it out of the record, and we can work out these problems. We do have the answers to that."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, I gave you a chance before the Roll Call, and you wouldn't take it, now we can't do it unless there's leave, and it doesn't look like they want leave. Leave, let's give him leave. It's the last Bill on appropriation, and we're going to have some caucuses so why don't we give him leave. Leave and House Bill 1994 is out of the record. Alright, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman is going to announce a caucus."



181.

Greiman: "Yea, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to have about 20 quick minutes for a Democratic conference in room 114. I recommend to the Members that they attend. It will ah, disclose some of the options for the rest of the week for us."

Speaker Matijevich: "Thank you, Mr. Greiman, Democratic caucus, of 20 minutes in room 114. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar to give us the location of..."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I ask leave for a Republican conference in room 118 for 19 minutes."

Speaker Matijevich: "Room 118 for the Republicans at 19 minutes. Recess for a half an hour. Er, 20 minutes, whichever comes first."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order. House Bills, Second Reading. There's an emergency involving House Bill 1400. Would like to take that right now, if we may. House Bill 1400, I'll get to that one. This one has got a 600 page amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1400. A Bill for An Act creating the Department of Commerce. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was offered in committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Younge, amends House Bill 1400 as amended in the title and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the substance of amendment #2 is to change the title of the proposed Department of Commerce to the Department of Commerce and Economic Development. Um, I, ah, will call to the attention to the Members of the House, first of all, that in the Executive Order of Governor Thompson, the name of the proposed joining



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

of the various three Departments of Government which were the Department of Business and Economic Development, the Department of Local Government Affairs and the Governor's Office of Manpower was to call this new entity the Department of Commerce and Community Development and Community Affairs. Ah, under the new proposal of the Bill, the intent is to join now only the Department of Comm...the Department of Business and Economic Development and the Department of Local Government Affairs. And I would ask this body to remember what the Department of Local Government Affairs is. It is the Department that has the responsibility to work with local Governments in the development of their needs and to have a liaison between the State and the local community. So it is very important, it is very important that the title of this new Department reflect more than that it is just a Department of Commerce. The Department of Local Government Affairs has the responsibility for reassessments. It has the responsibility for public housing. It has the responsibility for the Federal Planning Funds. It has the responsibility for the life and well-being of those local communities. It works with those local communities in reference to sewer development, in reference to housing development, and in reference to many functions which are vital, which are vital to the local Government. And therefore, I say to you, I believe that it is very important that this amendment be adopted so that the Department, the proposed Department of Commerce is more than just a Department of Commerce and is a Department of Commerce and Economic Development. And I move for a favorable vote on this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I



would oppose the amendment. At one point in time, we had put into the Bill the 'Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development'. At that point in time, the title 'Commerce and Community Affairs' would be much more germane. But as amendment #5 will be offered by Representative Kane later on this evening takes from the Bill 'GOMHD and CETA', and therefore takes from the Bill the Community Affairs aspect of House Bill 1400. Accordingly, I believe the area of the Bill now is more along the lines of Commerce, and therefore should be called the Department of Commerce. And I would oppose this amendment offered by Representative Younger."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the main question be put. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried. Representative Younger to close."

Younger: "It is very important for the duties and responsibilities given to the Department of Local Government Affairs, which is now being merged into this new Department be reflected in the title. And I ask you to vote 'yes' on this amendment in order to reflect that the title of the new Department will be in line with what the Governor originally proposed, and that is the Department of Commerce and Community Development."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Lady's motion for the adoption of amendment 2. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Repre...Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 41 'aye' and 69 'no' and the motion fails. The amendment is not adopted. Any motions with respect to amendment 1?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Ah, there was a motion filed with respect to amendment #1. A motion to table amendment #1 by Representative Mugalian."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The purpose of this motion, which is to table amendment 1, is to keep the DLGA separate and intact, and not to merge it with this new Department of Commerce and whatever it is. Ah, maybe some of you have read the Minority's reports on Executive Order #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Ah, parliamentary inquiry here. We had just gone through amendment #2, is it appropriate to go back and question amendment #1?"

Speaker Redmond: "The problem is that we didn't discover that a motion to table amendment #1 was on the Clerk's desk when I called for floor amendments. The Clerk called it to my attention, so that's the reason we're there."

Hallock: "Well, I request the Order of Business, but I would be happy to respond and go back to Representative Mugalian's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "It was filed on the 17th, Representative Hallock, so it was not a dilatory motion. I just overlooked it."

Mugalian: "Ah, yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think, first of all, I have no objection to a new Department of Commerce that more aggressively promotes business to Illinois and more aggressively attracts jobs to Illinois and combines certain other functions and Departments that are concerned with our business climate and with jobs in Illinois. I think that's all fine, and I heartily support it, but I for the life of me cannot see why that Department would also take within its jurisdiction in a clearly subordinate role



the Department of Local Governmental Affairs. Now perhaps many of you haven't dealt with that Department. And I might say that it is an 'underutilized' Department. But if you look at the statute creating that Department, you will find that it has jurisdiction or really the obligation to serve all our local governments, that includes counties, townships, municipalities, special districts and I believe even school districts. Now these local governments have a budget which includes schools of about three or four billion dollars. Collectively, they are closest to the people that they serve and spend a great deal of money and about 90% of the revenues from our property taxes. I believe that these local governments and the agency which is to serve them should remain separate and apart, because these local governments need all the help they can and they need a friend upon which they can rely in all circumstances. I submit that that friend cannot be the Director of a business dominated, a business dom...oriented Department. You do not judge the effectiveness of special tax districts or townships or counties or cities or villages by a balance sheet or by how many jobs you bring into these, into this State. The standards for determining the performance of our local Governments are based on human values and on the quality of life that they encourage and upon such things as safety and public health and comfort. You can't measure these by the yardstick that business uses. And all I'm asking, I implore you, do not submerge this Department. It belongs by itself. It needs to be promoted, it needs to be expanded. Local governments still don't know the services to which they are entitled. Let business and commerce do everything they want to do, but let them do it apart



and away from the interference of our local Government. And that's what a 'yes' vote on this motion would permit DLGA to operate and to grow and to serve its constituency separately from the constituency that will dominate this new combined Department. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker, I think it would first be appropriate to call on Representative Hallock, the Sponsor of the Bill, and then I would like the floor. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would oppose this motion. His motion in effect seeks to delete the entire Bill, because House Amendment #1, Committee Amendment #1 in fact becomes the entire Bill. I would submit to you that commerce and local Government are very much intertwined. Commerce, on the one hand, is seeking to bring jobs and keep jobs through the community. Local Government, on the other hand, is trying to do the same and also promote community development. Therefore, I would oppose this amendment and urge the House to do the same."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think I agree with the previous speaker, for this reason. In my part of the world, the local government, some of the local governmental entities, have figured out that growth costs money, and what that means is if there's growth, the people who are living there, have to subsidize the future growth and the taxpayers in my city, or my county, have decided they don't like that. They think the growth should pay its own way. Now, obviously, this Department is going to have a pro-growth attitude. If it has a pro-growth attitude, that part of the Department of Local Government Affairs, which



is now telling local governments, that growth...indeed growth can be made to pay its own way, housing growth... example, probably will get shut up. I don't think that would be a good idea. I think Representative Mugalian probably is correct in suggesting that it is incompatible to put the Department of Local Government Affairs under a Department of Commerce."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As the Republican Spokesman on the State Government Organization Committee, I want to support Representative Hallock in his opposition to this Motion to table Amendment #1. This is a fairly important topic and I would appreciate some consideration of it. We took, we had in conjunction with Representative Kane, who is the Chairman of the Committee and all the members of the Committee. We had, I believe, four different hearings on this Bill. Not once, not one single time, did one single person, representing any unit of local government, or any local government organization, appear to oppose Executive Order or Amendment #1, which implements the Executive Order. None of them opposed this Amendment, none of them opposed the Executive Order, and it seems to me that fairly eloquent testimony that in their opinion, and they know their own self-interests pretty well, that there is nothing wrong with this Bill as it is proposed. It has been stated by Representative Mugalian that somehow this is going to be a business dominated Department and that business is going to eat up the function, or hide the function, or overwhelm the function of the current Department of Local Government Affairs. In fact, the working title of this Department, as it came out of the Governor's Office originally, was the Department of Economic



Development. What Representative Hallock is doing here is bringing together in one place, all of those State agencies that deal with economic development. The things that DLGA does, and I've been in local government, I came here from local government, I know what I am talking about, what DLGA does is help local government with those kind of things at their request, to Representative Skinner, I would say that if they don't make the request, then there will be no force from this Department that they engage in development activities, but DLGA gives advice on things like where you get grants for new sewers, where you get grants for various kinds of downtown renewal funds, and this is exactly the same kinds of questions that a new business coming into a community deals with and that's what Representative Hallock is doing here is bringing together, in one place, those functions that deal with that. In fact, the Governor has already announced that he is going to nominate as head of this department, a local government man. So, if anybody ought to be concerned about being submerged in this new department, it is not local government, who indeed is going to have the first rector of this department, but rather, is going to be the reverse. As Republican Spokesman, I would say that we consider this more carefully, probably than any Committee has had time to consider any other legislation in this Session. I would ask very strongly for a negative vote on this motion to table Amendment #1. It's a good Bill and it should be supported."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Bluthardt: "Dick, if we table Amendment #1, are there



subsequent amendments that will re-create the new Department of Commerce and put it back and leave everything there except perhaps the Department of Local Government Affairs?"

Mugalian: "The only way we could get a separate Department of Local Government Affairs is to table Amendment #1. It's not my amendment. #1 is essentially the new combined department. By tabling #1, we'll have other amendments that will give the Department of Commerce or any other agencies, other than DLGA. The amendment on DLGA is a separate amendment. This is the first step for putting the Bill in shape..."

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to speak briefly. You know, Mr. Mugalian's motion and his indication that there will be subsequent amendments that will re-create this Department or create this Department of Commerce makes more sense than any other argument that I have heard today. It seems to me that local government does need a department in which they can go and get help and assistance other than just economic development. The mere idea of having only a Department of Local Government or a department dealing with economic development seems to lose the whole train of the purpose of the municipality being created and existing. That municipality there is to govern and govern the people within its confine and I think we need a separate department to do that and I favor the motion to table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters. Mr. Pierce, Representative McMasters."

McMasters: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I may. One of the previous speakers said he came here from local government. I defy him to say that he's had a damn bit more experience or as much in local government as I have. I think I was probably in it



Reilly before you were born. I think this is one of the most idiotic conceptions that I have ever seen on the floor of this House. To throw in the Department of Local Governments Affairs with the Department of Business and Economic Development. I recall when the Department of Local Government Affairs was first started and that was an Executive Order, I guess, at this time. Now we come up with another Executive Order, now I have nothing against our Chief Executive. It's his business, but I don't get all hung up on Executive Orders. He can't have all of them. Good Lord, if you are going to come along with change for change sakes, the next Governor will change it to something else. If the Governor wants to put two Departments together than could perhaps get something done in this State to help business and labor, why don't you throw the Department of Business and Economic Development and the Department of Labor together. Then, I think you might accomplish something. But, you are not going to do a heck of a lot throwing LGA in with the Department of Business and Economic Development. I think it's foolish."

Speaker Matijeovich: "The Gentleman from DuPage, the Speaker of the House, in Representative Pierce's seat, Mr. Redmond."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to support the motion to table Amendment #1. I was the co-chairman with former Senator Jack Knipers, of the Inter-Governmental Co-Operation Commission, which recommended the establishment of a Department in the State of Illinois to render assistance to local governments, and the reason for that was that it was my experience and I represented practically every local government that you can think of that did not have the technical, the



financial and the legal assistance throughout the State of Illinois to make the decisions that were necessary for the conduct of local governments. And we felt that a Department of the State was absolutely necessary to service local governments and that is the reason that we have the Department of Local Government Affairs. It has no relationship, it has absolutely nothing to do with the Department of Commerce and I think Representative Mugalian's motion to table is well taken and should be supported."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when this Executive Order was first brought to the Committee on State Government Organization, I had much of the same feelings that the Speaker of the House has and Representative Mugalian and Representative Skinner have expressed on the floor of the House this evening, however, during the course of the hearing, I changed my mind. And the reason for that is that the idea of state government helping local units of local governments was a good idea and remains a good idea. However, in the Statutes, the help that the Department of Local Government Affairs can give to units of local governments, can be given only on request. Over the last ten years, the requests that have come to the Department of Local Government Affairs, have been from communities who have wanted to get involved with economic development, and that has been most of the function of the Department of Local Government Affairs during the past ten years. So, the concept of a Department of Commerce with the present functions of the Department of Local Government Affairs, makes a great deal of sense, because that is what the Department of Local Government Affairs has been doing in fact for the past ten years. Now, we may argue



that the Department of Local Government Affairs should have been doing other things, but regardless of that, it is that the Statutes says that the Department can help only at the request of the units of local government and those requests have been for economic development for help with the kinds of things that this Department, the Department of Commerce is designed to do. I think it is very instructive that none of the groups of people who represent local government, came and appeared before our Committee to object to the Governor's Executive Order or to object to House Bill 1400, as it has been amended. The Township Officials Association did not appear. The county people did not appear. The municipal people did not appear. None of them came to object. We did have Professor Banavich from the, that heads the Political Science Department at Northern Illinois University, who was very active in the Commission on Local Government, that was the creator of the Department of Local Government Affairs, and he came and talked about the function of local government, the Department. He said that the functions of that Department have been very weak in the last ten years and he suggested that the actual functions of the Department, not what we think that Department should do, but what that Department is actually doing and will continue to do, that those functions fit in very well with the proposed Department of Commerce. I was surprised at his testimony. When we asked him to come down, I did not expect him to say those things, but he did, and I think that although I originally felt that this Executive Order and House Bill 1400 should be turned down, it is that I think that, given the practicalities of the situation and what is happening, that this is a good Bill, it is a good compromise, and



I would oppose the motion to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 1400."

Speaker Pierce: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff, has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the previous question be tabled? All in favor say 'aye', oppose and the previous question carries. The Sponsor of the Amendment, Mr. Hallock, to close. Oh, it's the Sponsor of the motion to table to close, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I am sure that most of you here try to be responsive to the mayors and boards of trustees, village trustees and councilmen of your municipalities. If you vote 'no' on this motion, you will be throwing them to the wolves. I know that you like to be responsive to your township officials and to your county board officials. If you vote 'no' on this motion, you will be throwing them to the wolves. I know that you like to be responsive to the problems of your library districts and your park districts. A 'yes' vote will maintain a separate department for the problems and concerns of these municipal offices. Now, it's undeniable that there will be a conflict between the interest of growth and business and profits and the interests of local government. That is inevitable and the Sponsors of the proposed merger will acknowledge that. But they say that that will be cured by naming as a first Director, someone who was the Director of the Department of Local Government Affairs sometime ago. Well, those of you that are lawyers in this House, in fact, all of you that are State Representatives, know that there are two sides



to most questions and that there is nothing more reliable for judging an argument, for judging the merits of a proposal, is the adversary system. What this Bill will do, if it is allowed to proceed with that as it now is, you will destroy the adversary system, there will be no, there will be only one voice. Whether it's good or bad, or whether we're lucky or unlucky, there will be one Department that will resolve basically contradictory goals. If you're interested in planning for open space, for example, if you're interested in park development, we are the 48th State in the Union with parks, if you're interested in libraries, and all the entire gamut of the issues and problems affecting local government, you will vote 'yes' on this motion, so that these essential local governments will have their own advocate. I urge a 'yes' vote on this motion."

Speaker Pierce: "The question is, shall the motion to table prevail. Motion to table Amendment #1. All those desiring to table Amendment #1 will vote 'aye', oppose will vote 'no'. Yes, the Gentlemen from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock, to explain his vote."

Hallock: "How many votes will this take to table the Amendment?"

Speaker Pierce: "The motion will be carried if a majority of those voting support the motion. Has everyone voted?"

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "For those of you who are presently voting 'yes' or are undecided, I want you to know one thing. It has been said here that local government that has moved to this Department will be completely outlawed, obliterated, and so on. I want you to know that we are moving the Department of Local Government to the Department of Commerce almost intact. We are taking



one entire section, moving almost intact, except for the Property Tax Appeals Division in addition to that, we are also moving to that Department, almost intact, the Director himself. Director Castle, former Director Castle will now become the new head of the Department of Commerce. That to me speaks clearly from the Governor's point of view as to how important he looks on that aspect of the Department of Commerce to local government aspect. So, I would say to you that local government will not be obscured in the new Department, but rather would have a very important voice, a voice at least as important as they have now in State Government."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan to explain his vote."

Ryan: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is the result of some long-time study and some compromises that were made with both sides of the aisle. I was somewhat shocked that the Speaker's position on this Bill and still am. This is not any way to kill the Bill. When you table the Amendment, that's the end of the Bill and it's the end of reorganization. And, I think that you ought to turn your lights on green and support this...ah defeat this Amendment, because it's going to kill the Bill and that's certainly not what you should do in this matter."

Speaker Pierce: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? For what purpose does the Gentleman from Effingham rise, Mr. Brummer, to explain his vote?"

Brummer: "Yes, I have an inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Pierce: "Proceed."

Brummer: "I think this is pursuant to an executive order and this is enabling legislation, or conforming legislation. Now as I recall the provisions under an



executive order, in order for the House to reject the order, it needs 89 positive votes in order to accomplish that task. If we pass the conforming legislation, which is not consistent with the executive order and yet do not override the executive order with 89 'yes' votes, where will we be in connection with the proposed reorganization?"

Speaker Pierce: "I think the proper time to inquire to that, would be on Third Reading, we are now on Second Reading. We won't make a premature ruling. On Third Reading, that might be an appropriate question. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this motion there are 77 'aye' votes. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins scream? Mr. Dunn. Mr. Dunn desires to vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Ralph Dunn. Ralph Dunn, votes 'no'. Mr. Mahar, you voting 'aye'?"

Mahar: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Pierce: "It's on the board. Someone has voted you 'present'."

Mahar: "Alright, change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar, changes from 'present' to 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you rise?"

Cullerton: "To be recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Cullerton votes 'aye'. Yes, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Bureau rise, Mr. Mautino?"

Mautino: "To change my 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Pierce: "To vote how?"

Mautino: "'No' to 'aye'."

Speaker Pierce: "'No' to 'aye'. Mr. Mautino changes from 'no' to 'aye'. Yes ah, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Macon rise?"

Borchers: "To call your attention to the Lady from



Sangamon."

Speaker Pierce: "I don't need you for that purpose. The Lady from Sangamon is recognized, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "From 'present' to 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "Mrs. Oblinger changes from 'present' to 'no'. You have a lot of helpers there, Josephine. Have all voted who wish? Alright, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Macon... Mr. Donovan votes 'aye'. 'Present' to 'aye'. Mr. Donovan changes from 'present' to 'aye'. The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Tim Johnson, wishes to change from 'present' to 'no'."

Johnson: "No, from 'absent' to 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "From 'absent' to 'no'. Where do we stand, Mr. Clerk? On this motion there are 80 'ayes', 79 'no's' and the motion carries. The Gentleman from Winnebago explained his vote once already. Alright, I recognize the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan. Are you trying to speak Sir?"

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, he may not of wanted to explain his vote. I think he probably wanted to have a verification of the roll call. He's entitled to that."

Speaker Pierce: "Alright. You're entitled to a verification. We'll have a verified roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "One slight interlude. Representative Macdonald. Senator Rhoads, the father of the pay raise. Senator Macdonald, will you take the podium?"

Macdonald: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is my privilege and pleasure at this time, to introduce Congressman Dan Crane, from the 22nd District."

Dan Crane: "Thank you very much. It's an honor and a pleasure to be here."

Macdonald: "And it's a particular pleasure of mine, at this time, to introduce my Congressman, Congressman Philip



Crane, who is a Congressman, who is the candidate for President of the United States." Congressman Philip Crane."

Philip Crane: "Thank you. Thank you, very much. Thank you, Representative Macdonald, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Illinois House of Representatives. I deem it an honor to be here with you today as one who also serves in a House. I have a great respect, in fact the greatest respect for the so-called lower bodies. I'm sure many of you are familiar with the 'apocryphal' story about Sam Rayburn being nudged by his wife one night, in the middle of the night, and she says wake up Sam, wake up, I think there's a burglar in the house. And, half asleep he says, in the Senate perhaps, in the House never. But I do, I do view this body as truly, as in Congress, the people's body. And, the money Bills originate here and the ultimate responsibility and authority is here. And I can assure you, that if I am successful in my current endeavor, I shall never forget the fact that it is the executive that proposes and that you are the one's that dispose. It's an honor to be with you today and thank you."

Speaker Pierce: "Congressman Dan Crane is a little modest here, but at least he can come up and greet you. We want to get the cut of your jib. Come on up, Dan."

Dan Crane: "I appreciate that. About the only thing that I can say is, that ah some of you are probably all aware of how Jimmy has embarrassed...ah, how Billy has embarrassed his brother Jim and ah... our President. And we have some legislation out there, on the floor, that now any presidential candidate has to be an only child. If that makes it true, Phil's out. And if it doesn't, I'll guarantee Phil I'll stay out of the 'Illinois' airports. Thank you, very much."



Speaker Redmond: "We're very, we're very grateful for the Congressmen to stop by and should be elected, I can promise you that there will be a plaque up here that indicated, that from this podium, President Philip Crane addressed the General Assembly. I understand that the reception is still on. Republican's still mad? Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "I'd like to poll the absentee's on this vote, please."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentee's. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, in the interest of time, I would suggest that it might be best to have a verified oral roll call, because no matter..."

Speaker Redmond: "Alright on both sides? Ok. Let's dump the roll call. The verified oral roll call, you know the rules. Sit in your own seat, when your name is called, rise and indicate the way your going to vote orally and depress the switch. Verified oral roll call. Please be seated in your own seat. If Congressman Crane is President, in order for me to carry out my promise, that means that that would be in the next session, wouldn't it? Representative Ryan. I wouldn't have any authority unless I was Speaker, would I? Representative Ryan. You ready? Verified oral roll call."

Ryan: "I've been ready, since before Congressman Crane."

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Ryan: "I was ready before Congressman Crane."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Call the roll and ah..."

Clerk Leone: "Abramson."

Speaker Redmond: "You're suppose to stand and say how you vote."

Clerk Leone: "Abramson, votes...no!. Ackerman. Ackerman, votes 'no'. Alexander. Alexander, votes 'aye'."



Anderson. Anderson, votes 'no'. Balanoff. Balanoff, votes 'aye'. E.M. Barnes. E.M. Barnes, votes 'aye'. Jane Barnes. Jane Barnes, votes 'no'. Beatty. Beatty passes. Bell. Bell, votes 'aye'. Bell, votes 'no'. Bianco. Bianco, votes 'no'. Birchler. Birchler, votes 'aye'. Birkinbine. Birkinbine, votes 'no'. Bluthardt. Bluthardt, votes 'aye'. Borchers. Borchers, votes 'no'. Boucek. Boucek, votes 'no'. Bower. Bower, votes 'no'. Bowman. Bowman, votes 'aye'. Bradley. Bradley, votes 'no'. Preston. Preston, votes 'aye'. Braun. Braun, votes 'aye'. Breslin. Breslin, votes 'aye'. Brummer. Brummer, votes 'aye'. Bullock. Bullock, votes 'aye'. Campbell. Campbell passes. Capparelli. Capparelli, votes 'aye'. Capuzi. Capuzi, votes 'no'. Catania. Catania, votes 'no'. Chapman. Chapman passes. Christensen. Christensen..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman, is not in her seat contrary to rules."

Clerk Leone: "Christensen passes. Collins. Collins. Collins, votes 'no'. Conti. Conti, votes 'no'. Cullerton. Cullerton, votes 'aye'. Currie. Currie, votes 'aye'. Daniels. Daniels passes. Darrow. Darrow. Darrow passes. Davis. Davis, votes 'no'. Dawson. Dawson, votes 'aye'. Daniels. Daniels passes. Deuster. Deuster, votes 'no'. DiPrima. DiPrima, votes 'aye'. Domico. Domico, votes 'aye'. Donovan. Donovan, votes 'aye'. Doyle. Doyle, votes 'aye'. John Dunn. John Dunn passes. Ralph Dunn. Ralph Dunn, votes 'no'. Dyer. Dyer, votes 'no'. Ebbesen. Ebbesen passes. Woodyard. Woodyard, votes 'no'. Epton. Epton passes. Ewell. Ewell passes. Ewing. Ewing, votes 'no'. Farley. Farley, votes 'aye'. Virginia Frederick. Virginia Frederick, votes 'no'. Flinn. Flinn, votes 'aye'. Friedland.



Friedland, votes 'no'. Dwight Friedrich. Dwight Friedrich, votes 'no'. Gaines. Gaines, votes 'aye'. Garmisa. Garmisa, votes 'aye'. Getty. Getty, votes 'aye'. Giorgi. Giorgi, votes 'aye'. Goodwin. Goodwin, votes 'aye'. Greiman. Greiman, votes 'aye'. Griesheimer. Griesheimer. Griesheimer passes. Grossi. Grossi, votes 'no'. Hallock. Hallock, votes 'no'. Hallstrom. Hallstrom, votes 'no'. Hanahan. Hanahan passes. Hannig. Hannig passes. Harris. Harris passes. Hoffman. Hoffman, votes 'no'. Hoxsey. Hoxsey, votes 'no'. Hudson. Hudson, votes 'no'. Huff. Huff, votes 'aye'. Huskey. Huskey, votes 'no'. Jaffe. Jaffe passes. Johnson. Johnson, votes 'no'. Dave Jones. Dave Jones, votes 'no'. Emil Jones. Emil Jones passes. Kane. Kane, votes 'no'. Katz. Katz passes. Keane. Keane, votes 'aye'. Kelly. Kelly, votes 'aye'. Kempiners. Kempiners 'no'. Kent. Kent 'no'. Klosak. Klosak 'no'. Kornowicz. Kornowicz 'aye'. Kosinski. Kosinski 'aye'. Kozubowski. Kozubowski passes. Kucharski. Kucharski 'no'. Laurino. Laurino passes. Lechowicz. Lechowicz 'aye'. Leinenweber. Leinenweber 'no'. Leon. Leon 'no'. 'Aye', I'm sorry. Leon 'aye'. Leverenz. Leverenz passes. Macdonald. Macdonald 'no'. Madigan. Madigan 'aye'. Mahar. Mahar 'no'. Margalus. Margalus 'no'. Marovitz. Marovitz 'aye'. Matijeovich. Matijeovich 'aye'. Matula. Matula 'no'. Mautino. Mautino 'no'. McAuliffe. McAuliffe passes. McBroom. McBroom passes. McClain. McClain 'aye'. McCourt. McCourt 'no'. McGrew. McGrew passes. McMaster. McMaster 'aye'. McPike. McPike 'aye'. Meyer. Meyer 'no'. Molloy. Molloy 'no'. Mugalian. Mugalian 'aye'. Mulcahey. Mulcahey passes. Murphy. Murphy 'aye'. Kulas. Kulas 'aye'. Neff. Neff 'no'. Oblinger.



Oblinger 'no'. O'Brien. O'Brien passes. Patrick.
 Patrick passes. Pechous. Pechous passes. Peters.
 Peters. Peters passes. Piel. Piel 'no'. Pierce.
 Pierce 'aye'. Polk. Polk 'no'. Pouncey. Pouncey
 'aye'. Pullen. Pullen 'no'. Rea. Rea passes.
 Reed. Reed 'no'. Reilly. Reilly 'no'. Richmond.
 Richmond passes. Rigney. Rigney 'no'. Robbins.
 Robbins 'no'. Ronan. Ronan 'aye'. Ropp. Ropp
 'no'. Ryan. Ryan 'no'. Sandquist. Sandquist 'no'.
 Satterthwaite. Satterthwaite 'aye'. Schisler.
 Schisler 'no'. Schlickman. Schlickman passes.
 Schneider. Schneider 'aye'. Schoeberlein.
 Schoeberlein 'no'. Schraeder. Schraeder 'aye'.
 Schuneman. Schuneman passes. Sharp. Sharp 'aye'.
 Henry. Henry 'aye'. Simms. Simms 'no'. Skinner.
 Skinner 'aye'. Slape. Slape 'aye'. Stanley.
 Stanley 'no'. Stearney. Stearney passes. Steczo.
 Steczo 'aye'. E.G. Steele. E.G. Steele 'no'. C.M.
 Stiehl. C.M. Stiehl 'no'. Stuffle. Stuffle 'no'.
 Sumner. Sumner 'no'. Swanstrom. Swanstrom 'no'.
 Taylor. Taylor 'aye'. Telcser. Telcser 'no'.
 Terzich. Terzich 'aye'. Totten. Totten passes.
 Tuerk. Tuerk passes. VanDuyne. VanDuyne passes.
 Vinson. Vinson 'no'. Vitek. Vitek 'aye'.
 VonBoeckman. VonBoeckman 'aye'. Waddell. Waddell
 'no'. Walsh. Walsh 'aye'. Watson. Watson 'no'.
 White. White 'aye'. Wikoff. Wikoff 'no'. Willer.
 Willer 'aye'. Williams. Williams passes.
 Winchester. Winchester 'no'. J.J. Wolf. J.J. Wolf
 'no'. Sam Wolf. Sam Wolf 'aye'. Younge. Younge
 'aye'. Yourell. Yourell 'aye'. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Mr. Speaker 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Patrick. Patrick, 'aye'.
 Representative Chapman. Push your switch. Votes



'aye'. Representative Jaffe, 'aye'. Representative Rea, 'aye'. Anyone else? Representative Peters, 'no'. Representative Ebbesen, 'no'. We even now? Representative McAuliffe, 'no'. Representative Schuneman, 'no'. Representative Dunn, 'no'. Representative Mulcahey, 'no'. O'Brien, 'aye'. Jones, I hope you're pressing your switch now so that the Roll Call is right. Jones, 'aye'. Representative Leverenz, 'no'. Leverenz. Totten, 'no'. Representative Campbell, Leverenz is 'no'. Campbell, 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Pechous, 'no'. Anyone else desire to vote? Take the record. On this question there's 71 'aye' and 85 'no' and the motion failed. Representative McMasters."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I know that looking at the Digest that ah, I was listed as the Cosponsor of this Bill prior to amendment #1. I would ask permission of the House to be removed as the Cosponsor of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative Harris. We've already ah, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, same request as Tom McMaster's. Remove me as a Cosponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman have leave to be removed as Cosponsor? Hearing no objection, Representative Bluthardt be removed as Cosponsor. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3, Mugalian, amends House Bill 1400 on page 2 by inserting between lines 15 and 16 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, in view of the last vote, I ask leave to withdraw amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "3 is withdrawn. Any further amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4, Reilly, amends House Bill 1400 as amended by amendment #1 with reference to page and so on."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, amendment #4 amends the Bill by reducing the salary of the proposed Director of the new Department from \$44,300 and by too, \$43,800 and by reducing the proposed salary for the proposed Assistant Director. I move the adoption of amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of amendment #4. Those in favor say 'aye'...those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. The amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #5, Kane, amends House Bill 1400 as amended by amendment #1 with reference to page and line numbers and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, amendment #5 to House Bill 1400 removes all of the ah, manpower programs that were originally in this Bill and put into the Department of Commerce, removes them from the Department of Commerce. Ah, and it also would, implementing legislation for the Governor's Executive Order; it would also change that, and I would urge the adoption of amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this amendment. As Representative Kane has said, it takes the 'GOMHD and CETA' aspects out of the Department of Commerce, and it makes it a much better Bill, much more oriented towards local Government, and much more oriented also towards



commerce. I support the motion and the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Where are you proposing to put this?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "All of those functions will stay where they presently are."

Skinner: "My Heavens, it would seem to me of all parts of the Bill, ah, any change would be good as far as 'GOMHD' or whatever we call it now goes. That place has been a consistent scandal for the six years that I can remember, maybe longer than that. How could it be worse in this Department? And couldn't it ah, couldn't it add to economic development if the CETA money were used in conjunction with ah, an economic development mandate."

Kane: "Most of the community action agencies around the State have been concerned with the ah, handling of this program over the last several years. They have come to us and said that in the last year, year in a half, that ah, the activities have been improved considerably, and that they would prefer to have these functions left where they are. Also they were a number of the, of the ah, unions, United Auto Workers, The AFL-CIO who felt that job training should not be in the Department of Commerce and that they should stay where they are."

Skinner: "Okay. It's your Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson. Anything further, Representative Kane to close."

Kane: "I urge the adoption of amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of amendment #5. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "No further amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading, which one is that 600 page amendment? House Bills, Second Reading. #100. Out of the record. 226, Harris. Second Reading #226. Will you read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 226, A Bill for An Act amends Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to amendment 1?"

Leone: "Amendment #1, adopted in committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to amendment 1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendment from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Harris, amends House Bill 226 on page 1, line 7 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 is an amendment that was adopted after several meetings with the PMW, Progressive Mine Workers and the mine operators. This is an amendment that they thought would best for the sulphur dioxide omissions and after we met with them, the Sponsors, Representative Harris, Representative Rea, Representative Winchester, Representative Richmond, at their advice this is an amendment that we adopted. This is something that would be good for the coal industry throughout the State of Illinois, and I urge the adoption of the amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion. Questions on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried. The Amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3, Meyer. Amends House Bill 226 on page 6 by deleting lines 27 thru 31 and so forth."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer. What amendment is this, 3?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer here? Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I am a hyphenated Sponsor of House Bill 222 and Representative Meyer indicated that he was probably going to table this Bill, or this Amendment. He is not on the floor. I discussed this with Representative Harris and he's agreed that we would bring it back to Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Here comes Representative Meyer. Representative Meyer on Amendment 3."

Meyer: "Now, I thank you Mr. Speaker. I am offering Amendment #3 to request the Tuberculosis Institute. This would limit the coal to be only Illinois new coal could be burned. This would prohibit coal from Kentucky and Indiana to be brought in to Illinois under the lower sulphur-dioxide standard."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In my judgment, Amendment #3 would do nothing except kill this Bill. It would kill the coal industry and by this time in October, you would have several thousand coal miners not working in this State. We can't put a restriction on this Bill of this type. There would be no Bill. This Bill would be a do nothing Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer to close."

Meyer: "I move the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Question on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment 3. Those in favor, vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 30 'aye' and 78 'no'. The motion failed and the



amendment is not adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1282. Representative Taylor."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1282. A Bill for an Act appropriating money to the Health Hospitals Governing Commission of Cook County. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the Record. 1396, Representative Kelly. 1396."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1396. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Kelly. Amends House Bill 1396 on page 1 by deleting lines 24 thru 30 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #2 to House Bill 1396 relates to the marijuana. This is, what it does is it, the Bill itself would provide a one year penalty for anyone who is convicted of exchanging different types of well, substances. There was a feeling in the Committee, a mixed feeling in the Committee, of whether or not marijuana should be included. We excluded alcohol and this would exclude the marijuana. So, what it would mean is the Bill then would just apply to hard drugs, where someone would get a mandatory one-year prison sentence if he was convicted of delivering and exchanging inside the prison, not outside the prison, inside the prison, hard drugs or different types of weapons. So, I ask for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question on the



handkerchief? Representative Kornowicz. Is

Representative Kornowicz, ...what's Representative Ryan

doing in the balcony? Representative Henry, what's

Representative Ryan doing in the balcony?

Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, when was the amendment to my Bill filed here? Is this dilatory action?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, knowing the Sponsor, I would say no."

Ewing: "Well, I'd like a ruling on that."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Lee Schwartz around? Representative VanDuyne, for what purpose do you rise?"

VanDuyne: "Just an announcement Mr. Speaker. While you have Representative Ryan here, tell him to stick around for 1657."

Speaker Redmond: "Lee Schwartz. When was the amendment filed? Is it printed and distributed? Both 1 and 2 have been printed and distributed, so it had to have been filed Friday. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Is everything in order, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "It appears to be."

Ryan: "Thank you. Amendment #1 to House Bill 1431 is the amendment that you have all been waiting to vote for. This is the same as House Bill 709 that I introduced earlier in the Session and was not able to get out of the Revenue Committee. This is the Property Tax Freeze Bill, and as you have traveled over the State, you know that the big complaint has been property tax freezes. This is a Bill that the people of the State of Illinois have been crying for, and I would be glad to try to answer any questions. Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "I would ask for the adoption of this amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."



Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I would, I would a, support this amendment and glad we have a good use for my Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Ryan, will you yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Cullerton: "What was the vote in the Revenue Committee?"

Ryan: "I don't really know. I believe it was a partisan roll call, but I can't answer that John. I don't know what the vote was."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will the gentleman yield?"

Ryan: "Certainly."

Getty: "Representative Ryan, it would appear that this is a very substantial amendment. I wonder if you could explain this just a little bit more, so that the membership would know in greater detail just what they are voting on. Was this heard in Committee, or part of the subject matter heard in committee? Could you tell us about what this would do?"

Ryan: "This was in Committee, Representative Getty. It's a property tax freeze on the extension, and that is about as plain as I can put it to you."

Getty: "And, what occurred in the Committee relative to this?"

Ryan: "Pardon me?"

Getty: "What occurred in the Committee, relative to this property tax extension?"

Ryan: "I didn't...what did what?"

Getty: "What occurred, what was the results of the hearing in the Committee?"

Ryan: "I didn't have a Committee hearing on this amendment."

Getty: "Was the subject matter heard in Committee?"

Ryan: "I don't think that's relevant to this amendment. I



don't know what that's got to do with this amendment.

I think it was yes, I heard him in the Committee. The Revenue Committee. Take the Roll, would you Mr. Speaker. I would move for the adoption of the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does this apply to the City of Kankakee?"

Ryan: "You're out of line, Mr. Speaker. I know you're stalling doing your best to get your Members in here but I'd appreciate a Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "I don't have the amendment in front of me. Is this a permanent freeze on extensions? Are there any circumstances under which they could be increased beyond that by referendum or something like that?"

Ryan: "Yes, yes, that's in the Bill, in the amendment, yes."

Brummer: "What are the specifics of that?"

Ryan: "Pardon?"

Brummer: "Under what circumstances can the extension be raised?"

Ryan: "People of the area, feel they need to increase their property taxes, they'll have a referendum and vote on whether they are going to have it or not."

Brummer: "Does it require some prior action of the unit of government first by the Board, for example, to declare that there is an emergency and commit this to a referendum?"

Ryan: "No."

Brummer: "Well, who is going to determine whether a referendum ought to be held? Who is going to hold the referendum if the unit of government is not going to?"

Ryan: "The electorate have to request the referendum and make the proposals. It the people's Bill, Representative."

Brummer: "Are there no provisions in there for an emergency?"



Ryan: "A referendum could be considered, yes, that could be an emergency. I don't know what you mean by an emergency."

Brummer: "Well, in the event of a large snowstorm or something like this, that would require snow removal and additional funds."

Ryan: "Well, if the people of that community, or your community, felt that they ought to raise their property taxes to take care of the snow removal, yes, they could have a referendum and that's the only provision that's in to increase the property taxes."

Brummer: "Does this Bill, pre-empt Home Rule?"

Ryan: "Yes, it applies to all home rule units."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further? Question on the gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 1. Those in favor, say 'aye', oppose 'no'. Those in favor, vote 'aye', oppose, vote 'no'. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker. This is your chance. If you're for the tax eaters, you vote 'no' and if you're for the taxpayers, you vote 'yes'. And if it passes, then we all go home and explain why we did it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, explaining my 'yes' vote. I think this is the closest thing that you are going to come to see that will have a chance this Session of passing the legislature of a tax freeze. The people of Illinois voted last November, as most of you will recall, by an overwhelming majority that they want to place a freeze on taxes both at a State and local level, and Representative Ryan's amendment should pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 83 'ayes'."



and 53 'no'. The motion prevails and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Ryan. Amends House Bill 1431 on page 1, line 2, by deleting the period and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I would like to withdraw Amendment #2, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. We just moved 1396 to the order of Third Reading. Representative Kelly has decided that he would like to have that brought back to the Order of Second Reading, so that he could move the adoption of Representative Katz's amendment. Does he have leave to return 1396 to Second Reading? No objection, leave is granted. Amendments from the floor."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3 Katz. Amends House Bill 1396 on page 2, line 15, by inserting after 'thereof' the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #3, which is sponsored by Representative Katz, makes somewhat of a change in the, by taking the alcohol and marijuana, as the Bill stands, under the initial proposals, it would take it out of the definition. So, what I would like to do, first of all, is ask leave of the House to table Amendment #1 and Amendment #2 and then move for adoption of Amendment #3. Amendment #3, what it does is, it does basically the same thing. In other words, alcohol and marijuana would still be contraband, but it would not provide a mandatory one-year sentence for these two substances. So, it is basically the same thing,



except as the Bill stood with Amendment 1 and Amendment 2, it would have taken alcohol and marijuana out of the definition of contraband and therefore, it would be distributed legally, and that is certainly not my intention or the intention of those in support. So, I would ask leave to table Amendment #1 and Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is on the Gentleman's motion to table Amendments 1 and 2. Those in favor, say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendments #1 and 2 tabled. Amendment #3. Do you have Amendment #3?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 3. Katz. Amends House Bill 1396 on page 2, line 15, by inserting after 'thereof' the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair. How was Amendment 2 adopted? Was it adopted on the House Floor, and if it was adopted by a roll call, we must take it off that way? Our Digests are not up to date, so we can't tell."

Speaker Redmond: "Voice vote."

Totten: "Voice vote. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly on Amendment 3."

Kelly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3, as I said, would make alcohol and marijuana, still continue to be considered contraband in a prison, but in hard drugs, hard narcotics and the other forms of contrabands, such as weapons and so forth, anyone that would be exchanging or transporting these types of dangerous items, would be given a mandatory one year prison sentence. The Bill is in the same condition as Amendment #1 and Amendment #2. The only difference is now, we are not taking out alcohol and marijuana of the definition. I hope I am explaining it right."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Would the Sponsor of the Amendment answer a question?"

Now, the question is, are you saying presently that any weapons, if an inmate is found with a weapon, that it mandates a one year additional term upon, sentence upon his term?"

Kelly: "Representative Polk, that is what the intent of the legislation is. That is not the intent of this amendment. The intent of the amendment is to not make this mandatory sentence applicable to alcohol or marijuana."

Polk: "So, you're saying that if an inmate is found on the grounds, in the institution, and he happens to have a pound and a half of marijuana, that would be handled as what?"

Kelly: "That, right now, is not legal. What this does, by Amendment #1 and Amendment #2, well actually Amendment #2, dealt with cannabis. By having that amendment, it would have said that if someone was transporting as you pointed out, a pound of marijuana, then it would be, in effect, legal. This amendment would make that correction and would make it continue to be, as it is currently in the Statutes, an illegal activity."

Polk: "But, not necessarily a one year."

Kelly: "It would not make it a one year."

Polk: "The inmate would be arrested, go to Court, as he normally would under the process we have now, and that determination would be made by the judge."

Kelly: "This Bill would have nothing to do in that area at all and you are totally correct."

Polk: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further. The question is on the Gentleman's...Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Kelly, why would you want not to have it apply to alcohol and marijuana?"



Kelly: "There was some concern that it should not be. Some of the members in the Committee were concerned and I said I would leave it up to the membership of the House. My main interest and my main intention of making a mandatory one year additional prison sentence, is to go after those that are involved in the heavy narcotics, which is going on in the prison. There's already a prohibition against the liquor or the cannabis and I feel, that personally, I think that's adequate. That wasn't my intent to go after those offenders. I, I, I, what I'm after are the hard pushers and the ones that have all these weapons, which we know that exists in the prison and the Department of Corrections are very much in support of this proposal."

Gaines: "May I speak to the amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative."

Gaines: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Gaines: "Ah, I don't feel that by being soft on alcohol and marijuana that you're doing anything to help security of the prison. It'll be much easier to have all contrabands come out of this, because one year really isn't that much. And, ah, just one year for hard drugs is nothing. But one year for marijuana and alcohol might be something. So, I'm going to have to be against this, because I don't think it's strong enough."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have the upmost respect for the Sponsor of this Bill, and by being a nice guy and accepting this Katz's amendment...he's messing up an awful lot of his Bills. Of all these Bills, all these amendments he's



accepting on his Bills. Now, a contraband is a contraband. Now you're releasing a mandatory provision that was originally in the Bill for marijuana, and I couldn't agree with Charlie Gaines anymore that if the man's in prison, any kind of contraband whether it be a pistol, whether it be a knife, whether it be marijuana, he should still receive the mandatory one-year jail sentence. And I think that Representative Kelly by being such a nice guy and accepting all of these amendments is killing a lot of good Bills he introduced this year. And I urge everybody to vote this amendment down."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Ah, Representative Conti, I appreciate your comments and Representative Gaines's comments too, but I'm not even by taking out liquor and taking out marijuana, there's still prohibitions and I'm not really, this legislation is making the law even stiffer. And I'm not softening the law and even in the slightest. If someone wants to have a proposal that would permit a one-year penalty for someone on liquor or cannabis, that's fine. But this is a Bill as amended, what this amendment that says if you're dealing in hard narcotics or with weapons in a prison you'd be guilty of a Class 1 felony. I, the law isn't being softened up, and I certainly hope that no one has even the slightest idea that I'm condoning marijuana, because this Bill in no way condones liquor or marijuana. It leaves it alone as it is presently against the law."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the main question be put. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried. Representative Kelly to close."



Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I, ah, the intent of this legislation is as I said earlier, is to make a very strong one-year mandatory prison sentence. Anyone who's convicted of dangerous drugs and also of the prison...the weapons in a prison. This um, I think that we've had enough time to explain it, I would just ask you to vote your conscious and vote, I hope, in favor of this proposal, this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion to adopt amendment 3. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative VanDuyne to explain his vote."

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry I didn't get to ask a question, but my conversation was going to revolve around Representative Kelly's contention that he was not really changing the law. It's true that he's not changing the law. He is trying to change the law with the Bill, and the intent of the law when he says you get a mandated one-year sentence for bringing in contrabands or hard drugs to penal institution, I think by his omission of the other two that he is at least showing his intent to soften it or make it some kind of attached approval, something of that nature to the other...so I'm going to have to vote no on the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 82 'aye' and 32 'no'. The motion prevails and amendment 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1444. Out of the record. 1517. I'm getting there. 1517. Representative Griesheimer. Out of the record. 1644. Representative Peters. Out of the record. 1657,



Representative Hoxsey. Representative Kempiners, will you please sit in your own seat. Representative Ryan, Reilly, please sit down. Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, um, House Bill 1657 is the appropriation Bill for the Department of Conservation."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1657, A Bill for An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses to the Department of Conservation. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 8 adopted in committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to committee amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Motion to move to table amendment #8 to House Bill 1657, J.J. Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the mover of the motion? J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move to table amendment #8, ah, I will offer in its place later on, an amendment #15 which will reduce the equipment line so right now I'd just like to table amendment #8. I have talked to Chairman Matijevich about this, we are in agreement."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to table amendment 8. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried. Amendment #8 is tabled. Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #9, Kempiners."

Speaker Redmond: "Is this a floor amendment? Floor amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Yes, amends House Bill 1657 on page 17 by inserting immediately after Section 16 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "I wish to withdraw amendment #9, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #9 is withdrawn. Any further



amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #10, Harris, amends House Bill 1657 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask leave to table amendment #10. Withdraw, withdraw."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 10 withdrawn. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #11, Harris, amends House Bill 1657 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, amendment #11 adds \$60,000 for services for summer work in the Department of Conservation, \$50,000 for Park Street that's for seed and planting the trees. This is an amendment that is needed very badly in the Department of Conservation."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried, amendment 11 is adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #12, Kempiners, amends House Bill 1657 on page 17 by inserting immediately after Section 16 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Kempiners-VanDuyne amendment. And it provides \$640,000 to the Department of Conservation to construct a channel linking the Illinois-Michigan canal with the DesPlaines River in Rockdale. This is a recommendation of the Division of Water Resources in a report that they prepared in 1971, which has never been implemented, and I would urge adoption of



amendment #12."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative VanDuyne?"

VanDuyne: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, I wholeheartedly ask everybody in the place to vote for this thing, you know 2 years ago, or 3 years ago, I had a million dollar, I had in a million dollar amendment to the DOT's budget which we passed and Governor Walker vetoed. And, well, not to be leave of the point, but you know I'm for this, and I hope you do adopt it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this appropriation is already in the Capital Development Board appropriation. I think this amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Ah, I just wanted to ask the Sponsor of the amendment, my notes indicate that this was supposed to have been withdrawn. Is that correct or incorrect?"

Hoxsey: "Amendment #9 was withdrawn, and the difference between the two is that this one is GRF and amendment #9 was Capital Development Fund. And with amendment #9 was withdrawn."

Wolf: "Yea, I understand this has already been amended on CDB though."

Hoxsey: "Well, you plant a seed here, you plant a seed there."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Gentlemen of the House, I think there's a sense to the House that we want to help Representative Kempiners and VanDuyne but not in two places. We'll help you in one spot, but not the other, and you ought to take your choice, if I were you, I'd take the CDB Bill where it's already in there and withdraw this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."



Kempiners: "Well, I would bow to the wisdom of my elders and withdraw this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #13, Younge, amends House Bill 1657, by inserting after the last line of Section 19 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of this?"

Clerk Leone: "Younge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge. Representative Younge isn't on the floor. Oh, here she comes."

Younge: "Would you read the amendment, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative, will you read the amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #13 amends House Bill 1657 by inserting after the last line of Section 19 the following: the sum of \$50,000 or so much thereof as may be necessary as appropriated to the Department of Conservation for recreation development and community facilities planning at Frank Holten State Park."

Younge: "This is an amendment that I have talked with the Department of Conservation about, and I talked to the Director, and he is in agreement that a sum of money is needed to do facility planning at Frank Holten State Park. There was a boat house there, and the boat house burned. And, ah, this ah, is a urban park that is greatly used by the community around it, it's a State Park and probably part of this, probably 85% of it could come from the Urban Recovery Funds for recreation, and I move for the adoption of this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Sponsor of this amendment has indicated that the Director supports this. This is not the information that I have received from the Department of Conservation. I



might also add that the Sponsor of this amendment is a similar Bill for \$50,000 for this State park in committee. And I would certainly hope that this amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for the very reason that Representative Wolf has just given you, I would oppose this amendment and ask you to vote against it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I commend the Sponsor for her diligence, because if every appropriation that she had tried to get for her district had been approved, we would've appropriated more money for her Legislative District than the whole country of Portugal spends in a year. But as the prior two Speakers have pointed out, the, this is a program that the Department of Conservation is interested in, but they just don't have the money, and it is not approved. It is a low priority on the Department's list of programs, and we can't do it all this year. Maybe next year the Sponsor will have more luck as the priority will move up. But at this point, this \$50,000 is not warranted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge to close."

Younge: "This is the year that we ought to spend these funds, because Frank Holten State Park has a unique distinction of being an urban park. The United States Congress has passed the Urban Park Recovery Act which makes available 85% of any amount needed for work in a park available from the Federal Government. This is a situation which the promises have been made to the community of Centerville for 10 or 15 years that those various improvements would be made to the park. The Department of Conservation has never made the



improvements, therefore, it is not, and that is why it is not opposed. I am not opposing this amendment. And the Director wants this amendment, and I move for the adoption of this amendment, because 85% of it can come from the Federal Government, and these people are entitled to this, ah, planning for a new community facility."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion for the adoption of amendment 13. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 72 'aye' and 72 'no'. Representative O'Brien, 'aye'. On this question there's 73 'aye' and 72 'no'. The motion prevails. The amendment's adopted. Representative Kosinski, 'aye'. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #14, VanDuyne, amends House Bill 1657 by inserting after the last line of Section 16 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this really should be called the Ryan-McBroom-Christensen-VanDuyne amendment, because it all lies in the 43rd District. My only involvement with it is because it happens to be near where I have a cottage on the Kankakee River. Every year, every year we have a certain amount of ice damage and periodically we have millions of dollars worth of ice damage. So I'm trying to get this \$10,000 appropriation for a pilot program to simply just put a type of crane that you see up and down the highway with a ball on it when the ice is roughly about 12 inches deep, to see if we can alleviate the actual damming of the Kankakee River by ice. The ice comes down there where it starts to break up and then



impales itself on the islands that are in the middle of the Kankakee River and they act as a dam. So if we can pick up this ice a little bit before that we would at least allow an excess of the ice to get out of here. Now as I say, this is not in my district. I've already talked to Representative Ryan about it, he told me he was in favor of this amendment. I talked to Mrs. Hoxsey about it, and she says she really has no objection although it is not in the Governor's budget, but I would plead with you for your affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion, Representative Hoxsey. Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I suppose I really should oppose this amendment, but since it's such a small amount, I'm not going to do it."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of amendment 14. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #15, J.J. Wolf, amends House Bill 1657 as amended on page 4 of line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, amendment #15 reduces the equipment line item in two divisions by \$36,300 in fish and game funds for some vehicles that we did not feel need to be replaced. And I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of amendment 15? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried, the amendment 15 is adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #16, Younge, amends House Bill 1657



by inserting after the last line on Section 9 the following." ~~of the~~

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment would add \$3,000,000 to the budget of the Department of Conservation for an emergency summer jobs program. The most serious problem facing the people of Illinois is that there are large numbers of youths and young people 18 years old who ah, qualify for Public Aid who are not employed and who have no employment experiences and who live in the rural areas of Illinois, who live in the inner cities of Illinois and who grow up without any type of job experience. I talked with Director Kenny about this Amendment and about the possibility of his running an additional summer jobs program and he said to me, that he would welcome the additional funds if they could be used in any park. He is of course the person who administers the YCC Programs, young youth programs, but these programs are not adequate for the needs that we have for summer employment for young people. And I move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Younge had this Bill in Committee as an appropriation to the Department of Labor. It duplicates the 'CETA' Program and it was voted down in Appropriation II Committee. This \$3,000,000 Amendment is something we can't afford. It is not in the Governor's budget and it should be voted down."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to echo that. In case some of you Members didn't hear, this was under the guise of another Bill which



was to be added to the Department of Labor's budget and it was defeated with a do not pass motion in Appropriations II. Now it again appears on the floor... I know we've let a few go by and we have the answer well, 'one more for the gypper'. Let's give her a pat on the back for her diligence. Let me tell you; this was a \$3,000,000 one. Now I think you ought to look at this close and I'm going to serve notice right now, I'm going to ask for a verification on that next roll call. We've let a couple go by by one vote, but this is \$3,000,000 and it warrants our close scrutiny."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Amendment might appropriately be considered as part of the Department of Labor, or as part of the Governor's Office of Manpower. It's inappropriate on this budget. The Department has no ability to administer it, there are not in the roll of providing summer jobs or full-time jobs to cure minority employment problems. We may perhaps ought to do this, but I would urge a 'no' vote on this Bill and we ought to consider it in the proper course of events on the 'GOMHD' appropriation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye' oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Representative Younger to close."

Younger: "The Director of the Department of Conservation wants this Amendment on his Bill, he said that he would welcome it and he is the one person in the State, with the authority to administer a summer jobs program. The worse problem facing the State of



Illinois is the fact that in a number of days, large numbers of young people are going to be turned out into the streets with nothing to do. We need this Bill and I ask for your approval of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment #16. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there is 44 'aye' and 69 'no' and the motion failed. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #17, Matijevich. Amends House Bill 1657 by deleting all of Section 19 and inserting in lieu thereof the following:"

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I almost feel weak and inept because this Amendment adds no money, takes no money out. However, it is an accountability Amendment, based on the problems we had in Committee with the Young Adult Conservation Corps Program. It breaks out the professional and artistic services. It's better known, Ted Leverenz just walked by and he said is this the Scott Fisher Amendment. You have rightly defined the Amendment, I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I would urge my colleagues on this side of the aisle to join in supporting this Amendment. It's an excellent Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried. Amendment #17 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #18, Younge. Amends House Bill 1657



by adding immediately after Section 18 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."
 Younge: "Mr. Speaker, I'll table that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Withdraw 18. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #19, Younge. Amends House Bill 1657.
 by adding immediately after Section 18, the
 following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "I withdraw that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "19 withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #20, VanDuyne. Amends House 1657 by
 inserting after the last line in Section 16, the
 following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VanDuyne. Representative
 Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Withdraw that one. A prior Amendment was
 adopted, which does the same thing, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VanDuyne withdraws
 Amendment #20. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #21, Currie-Taylor. Amends House
 Bill 1657 by deleting all of Section 21, and inserting
 in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Taylor? Taylor and
 Currie? Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the
 amendment ah, \$500,000 for urban parks. This money
 would be eligible for the 15% max. which would bring
 the State of Illinois some 2.8 million dollars to
 create urban parks in the State of Illinois. I move
 for the adoption of amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, now it's easy
 to see why amendment #18 was withdrawn. That was only
 \$225,000 out of General Revenue Fund; this one is for
 \$500,000, and this Bill failed to clear committee, and



I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on... Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I would hope that they would not get this confused with the Lindbloom Park. This thing is how the Department of Administrative Highways used this money different than what the other was. And I do solicit your support for..."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of amendment #21. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, this is a little different than the other Bills on urban park development and the other Bill, or amendment that ^{Wyvetter} had, I believe, well, hers was similar, but this is for matching grants so that we can avail ourselves of some of those Department of Interior funds that we talked about earlier today. If we don't get those funds, others, and I know that argument has been said before and some don't live by that argument, but the funds will soon be available and I believe if we don't get them other states will, so I believe it's a good amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 79 'aye' and 70 'no'. The motion carries, the amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #22, VonBoeckman, amends House Bill 1657 on page 17 by inserting immediately after Section 16."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VonBoeckman. What's your pleasure, Representative Hoxsey?"

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would simply oppose this amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative VonBoeckman within earshot? Representative, there he is. This is your amendment on 1657. I made arrangements to have the Secretary of the Department of Transportation, Mr. Cramer use my office, anybody that wants anything from him, you're perfectly welcome to go back to the Speaker's office. Anybody wants a job or a highway or a stop sign or anything you want, he's very magnanimous today. Representative Cra...Ryan is escorting him to Kankakee right now to...Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this amendment is for a \$150,000 to complete the controversial Rock Island Trail. As you all know, we've already spent \$250,000 on this 288 acre trail. And it was scheduled for completion in the quarter, fourth quarter of '79. We are fif...48 out of the 50 state per capita in the park land. I think it's high time that we put an end to their problems of the Rock Island Trail and finish it. I ask for support in this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Ropp: "Mr. Sponsor, is this in your district?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "No, but it applies to all of the people of the State of Illinois. It's park land."

Ropp: "What is the \$150,000 to be used for?"

VonBoeckman: "For completion of the trail."

Ropp: "Well, I think you know that if I add \$150,000 plus \$250,000, that only comes up with about \$400,000, and the best judgement that I have from the Department is that it's going to take about 2.2 million which only



leaves, we're only about 25% complete, and it is not true to say that this will complete the trail. It's only a very early beginning of the trail, and in no way, shape or form does it complete it, because it costs at least a half a million dollars just to provide the adequate fencing between the property owners and the trail itself. And so I would urge a 'no' vote on this, because there are other Bills that will come up that will deal with this more specifically and that I'm sure that this is not in the Governor's budget at the present time."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on... Representative VonBoeckman to close."

VonBoeckman: "Well again, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this will complete the proposed section of the controversial trail, and I think it's high time that we in the energy crunch start supplying recreational areas for the people in my district and in Peoria County, which is adjacent to Tazewell County. I think it's a good amendment, and I urge the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of amendment 22. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in explaining my vote, I'd like to point out that the Sponsor of this amendment who wants to spend more money in one part of the State is the Sponsor of an amendment who would sell off every State park in my district. And therefore I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Borchers, no. Take the record. On this question



there's 82 'aye' and 58 'no'. The motion carries, the amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading, 2004, we're on the order of appropriations now."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2004, a Bill for An Act appropriating money to the Chain of Lakes, Fox-River Commission. Second Reading of the Bill, amendment #1 adopted in committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, J.J. Wolf, amends House Bill 2004 as amended by deleting Section 2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, today I'm going to ride before this House to ask some of you to consider what might be the impossible of all impossibles. And I hope that you will join with me in a serious attempt to give careful consideration to the number of commissions which we the General Assembly have authorized and which have allowed to perpetuate themselves year after year. By our actions and inactions, we have turned these commissions into some kind of a sacred cow, and the practice over the past few years is to put these into an omnibus Bill. And when there in an omnibus Bill, you may get 15, 20 or more commissions in one Bill, and people have an inclination to support them, because within that omnibus Bill you may have one commission which you feel is worthwhile or several or one in which you may have a special interest. What I'm attempting to do by the next series of amendments is to let each commission rise and fall on its own merit. If you feel a commission is doing a good job, you ought to



vote for it. If its a permanent commission, you feel the report has been a good report and that they are spending the money in a good manner, you ought to continue that commission. But I'm asking you as responsible Members of the House of Representatives to carefully consider each commission one by one. And if you feel that it is unneeded, unwarranted or does not serve a useful purpose, then you ought to vote against it. In Fiscal Year 78, we appropriated \$10,017,240 for commissions. In Fiscal '79 we are up to almost \$15,000,000. And this year it'll probably hit 17, 18 or \$19,000,000. In amendment #2, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I ask that we delete Section 2. This amendment is for an appropriation of \$10,000 to fund a joint committee on inheritance taxes. Now I'm not necessarily opposed to this, and I know the Sponsor, this is the only \$10,000 and from what it appears to be, it's a one-time commission. And the only thing, I personally intend to vote for it. But I think we should have a chance to vote on these commissions one at a time, because there are some commissions in this omnibus Bill that probably did not justify their existence and there is in this omnibus Bill the creation of some new monsters that are purporting to spend some big money. And I would ask for your vote on these separately."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first I'd like to say that some years back the now appellate court judge Toby , Barry and I introduced the first Bill in the Legislature to eliminate commissions. But I've said on the floor of the House many times we live in a real world. We know that we're not going to eliminate commissions, and we have included them in the omnibus Bill to make it more



effective in getting rid of these Bills in one Roll Call, and I'm not going to take the time of the House, ~~and~~ if we find that we're going to have a lot of time spent on this Bill, but if in the first couple of Roll Calls, we're going to show that we're going to keep commissions because we all know that we are going to, I think that we can do this in an expeditious manner. I would urge the Membership to use your common sense that you and I know that the commissions are going to end up, you and I know that when we put them all together with all the Members on the floor of the House, even if we did it separately, members of one commission would help the other members, we've done it every way possible in the past. And I've given up, I've given in, we know we're going to have commissions, so I would urge the Membership to defeat amendment #1 and all other amendments that do away with commissions. Let's show that we do live in this real world, and they are going to continue to, and some of them do, many of them do a purpose that committees can't do and have highlighted issues that in some other ways would not be highlighted. So I would urge the Members to defeat amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "I believe the Sponsor is going to withdraw this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf?"

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, to expedite some time, I would like to withdraw amendments 2, 3 and 4."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendments 2, 3 and 4 are withdrawn. How about amendment 5?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, J.J. Wolf..."

Wolf: "And 5."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 6?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 6, J.J. Wolf, deletes Section 6."



Wolf: Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, there are a

number of these commissions that are..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes, for what purpose do
you rise? Barnes."

Barnes: "Point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker. What happened to
amendment #5?"

Speaker Redmond: "Withdrew it."

Barnes: "He said 2, 3 and 4."

Speaker Redmond: "And 5."

Barnes: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "6."

Wolf: "As I was saying, Mr. Speaker, some of the commissions
are permanent commissions. We haven't even seen a
report. They are mandated to give us reports January,
February, March and April, we haven't seen a report,
and we've asked them to appropriate money again for
another year without a report. In this particular
case, this is the Data Information Systems Commission.
They did make a report, it's 35 pages long. It's
double spaced, it received \$75,000 in Fiscal 79,
they're requesting \$95,580 for this coming year. It
seems to mean that that's an awful lot of money for a
35 page double-spaced report. And as I can see,
there's only one recommendation, one Bill that the
commission has presented, whatever the will of the
House is, if you feel we should continue this kind of
funding, \$95,580 then vote 'yes'. But if you feel no
then we should vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
we're now approaching 8 o'clock, and I don't think we
ought to waste alot of time, so I'm going to pull this
Bill out of the record right now."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2098, Representative
Kempiners."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 20..."

Speaker Redmond: "This has how many, this has 24 amendments.

Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, I would just in line with Representative Matijevich. 2098 is something like 28 or 29."

Speaker Redmond: "Yea, that's just what I told Representative Kempiners. We're going to quit at 8 o'clock. Let's try something less...2427 is how many amendments? Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I was ready to go with this Bill, you know, a couple of days ago, in fact it was Thursday or Friday, and every day we put off, I got 2 or 3 more amendments coming in and you want to wait till Friday, fine, but we'll have 48 amendments then. I don't really care what we do, but I just would like to go with this Bill, and I would like some commitment from the chair that we'll be able to go with it tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll take it tomorrow."

Kempiners: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "1700. That's on page 3."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1700. A Bill for An Act to amend Sections of the Purchasing Act to the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago. Second Reading of the Bill, no committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Piel, amends House Bill 1700 immediately before the final period and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz. Stiehl, rather, pardon me. Piel. Representative Hudson, please sit down."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take amendment #1 out."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Any..."

Piel: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, could I ask the Clerk a



question. Last week you said that you had amendment #1, but you didn't know what it was exactly and so my amendment was #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, can you help us out?"

Clerk O'Brien: "There's an amendment #1 and 2, and they look identical."

Piel: "No, they're..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, we allowed leave to have amendment #2 introduced last week, because there wasn't no amendment #1 to be changed on its face."

Speaker Redmond: "What was that again?"

Leverenz: "We allowed amendment #2 to be changed on its face to 1 because there was no #1, and I would suggest that the Sponsor would withdraw amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you understand, amendment #2 is renumbered amendment #1, so that gives us..."

Piel: "...I would like to withdraw."

Speaker Redmond: "Withdraw the original amendment #1, is that correct?"

Piel: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "And then what was 2 will be named, changed on its face to be #1. Now any further amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Piel, amends House Bill 1700 immediately before the final period and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Okay, with ah, agreeing with the Majority Leader, I have added in..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, the copy which I have indicates Leverenz as the Sponsor of amendment #2. I just wanted to know if the Clerk's copy also indicates that."

Clerk O'Brien: "It's been changed to Piel. The Bill was filed with no Sponsor on it, and we put the Sponsor's



name for some identification, the Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Well, if I'm the Sponsor, I would withdraw the amendment."

Piel: "He is not the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Who is the Sponsor of the amendment?"

Piel: "I'm the Sponsor of the amendment. Inadvertently, my name was not put at the top of the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk advises me that Representative Piel is the Sponsor of the amendment."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk. I'll bow to the decision of the Clerk in his wisdom."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, in agreement with Mr. Madigan last week, I have included basically the same amendment as the original amendment. We have added in line 15 and 16 and the first two words in 17 'or any other municipality lying wholly or partly within the corporate boundaries of the Metropolitan Sanitary District'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, amendment 1 and 2 here have been offered as good government amendments. If I can take your attention for a moment to the part of the amendment, it would allow that no more than 7 1/2% of the distributable income of any contract could go to a person having a direct or indirect involvement with the Metropolitan Sanitary District. And if they did that, they would have a fine of a business offense or a \$2,500 fine. It sounds good on the surface, however, currently, it pretends to be a reform piece of legislation. It actually relaxes the very stringent conflict of interest provision in the



Metropolitan Sanitary District's Purchasing Act. Under the existing law, it would be a Class 4 felony for any officer or employee to have a direct or indirect financial interest in a sanitary district contract, which means they would go to jail for one to three years, not just a \$2,500 fine. The fine under the current Act would provide for up to a \$10,000 fine plus jail sentence possible. I would suggest that under the good government feeling of the amendment that we should oppose the amendment and it should be defeated for what is in the Sanitary District Act currently where they can't have any interest, not even 7 1/2% interest. And I would ask to be a red vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Piel."

Piel: "He's speaking basically with the Metropolitan Sanitary District Act. What I am speaking of is the statutes, Chapter 42, paragraph 331.18 lines 8 through 24 has no provision for this. And this is basically what it is doing. The statute takes precedence over the Act, and this is why we got to put some teeth into the statute, to try and clear up this horrendous Bill. I would ask for your favorable vote on this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question? Representative Piel, what is your intention then to allow 7 1/2% interest in a contract by a Member of the Sanitary District. Is that what your amendment and the statute is proposing?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "No basically what it states Dick, is it is unlawful for any firm, partnership, association or corporation in which any such officer, employee, or spouse or minor child thereof is entitled to receive more than 7 1/2% of the total distributable income to have or



acquire any such contract or direct pecuniary interest therein. They are talking about the business with this dealing with, the purchasing area."

Mautino: "Ok then. I think your prior statement was that you wanted to tighten this up. Why not put the same language in the statutes, that you have in the Purchasing Act? Which is no interest in a, in any concern, you know doing business with the Sanitary District."

Piel: "I think with the Purchasing Act dealing with the Sanitary Districts, they should conform with the statutes. They're not conforming with the statutes."

Mautino: "Doesn't sound too good."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question is on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr.... I'd like to ask a question. Does this put the Purchasing Act in conformity with what the Municipal League ah, the municipalities and the counties, is that the same as they are? I think it is, isn't it?"

Piel: "I didn't hear you Herb."

Huskey: "Does this put the Purchasing Act in the same conformity as the municipalities and counties?"

Piel: "Yes, basically it does."

Huskey: "It's the same thing, is that right?"

Piel: "Right. And I checked with the Mayors of the municipalities before I added the Amendment in there and there was no objection at all to that."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye' oppose 'no'. Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 57 'aye' and 68



'no'. The motion failed. The Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1829, Representative Stearney."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1829. A Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion on Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Johnson. Amends House Bill 1829, on page 1, line 1 and 6 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Mr. ah... Is this 1829?"

Speaker Redmond: "1829."

Johnson: "This Amendment, Mr. Speaker, I believe has the agreement of the Sponsor. It clarifies what I think is an oversight with respect to the effective date of the Act. And I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question is on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye' oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1760, Representative Beatty."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1760."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty, will you please sit down?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for An Act to amend the Personal



Actions Limitations Act.

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. That is the answer to the question of 2028. Out of the record. 2054."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2054. A Bill for An Act in relation to Commissions and Committees. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Telcser. Amends House Bill 2054, on page 2 by deleting lines 7 through 22 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It appears to me as though Amendment #1 to House Bill 2054 is simply a technical Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "No objection to that one, I understand."

Telcser: "I frankly don't recall what it does, but it looks like..."

Speaker Redmond: "It looks good to me."

Telcser: "Looks okay to you? Alright."

Speaker Redmond: "B is much better than E. Question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye' oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried. Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Leverenz. Amends House Bill 2054, on page 5, line 5 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz. Let me see that one, Jack. Representative Leverenz here? I think we ought to move along without that one. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2130. Oh yeah, 2077 I guess."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2077. A Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Purchasing Act. Second Reading of the



Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "I have a note here to Representative Polk, is that correct? I guess he isn't here. Representative Peters."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Griesheimer. Amends House Bill 2077, on page 3, line 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2077, is in a sense an Amendment to the Purchasing, the Illinois Purchasing Act. And what Amendment #1 does, which is Sponsored by Representative Griesheimer, it adds to that Section that a spouse may be employed in the ah, by the State provided that she has, she or the minor child has a license and ah... does not work for the same office the spouse may be elected to."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question is on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye' oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried, Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Peters. Amends House Bill 2077, as amended and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a further clarification and extension of the prior Amendment offered by myself. And it provides that a spouse or a minor child may be employed by the State provided it is not on a contractual basis, but either appointed by an elected official or through the regular civil service channels. Presently there is some question as to whether a spouse or a minor child can be legally or technically employed by the State if



the spouse is an elected official regardless of what office that particular individual happens to hold. This would make it permissible."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "If the Sponsor would yield. I guess in one sense this would legalize nepotism, is that correct?"

Peters: "That's not the case, Representative Deuster. We ah... what the problem involves now in this day and age, it seems ridiculous that the Purchasing Act has not been amended, where a spouse, whether that be a man or a woman would be allowed to be employed by the State even though the other spouse happens to be an elected official. As example right now, your wife or your daughter for that matter, minor daughter, could not be employed by the State. At least there are some questions in terms of the clarity of the Purchasing Act. Now, if your wife is already working for the State and you then become an elected State official, there is some question as to whether she can continue to end up working for the State. Now that just does not seem to be... make to much sense in this day and age. At least some of us don't think that."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye' oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2130. Can you do this in a hurry, Representative Marovitz?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2130. A Bill for An Act requiring certain tests on motor fuel storage tanks. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Marovitz. Amends House Bill 2130, on page 1 and so forth."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment fulfills a commitment that I made to the Committee on Transportation and to the Petroleum Marketer's Association. And deletes the requirement that States should not sell gasoline if there is two inches or more of water on the bottom. And allows the Department of Agriculture to set a water level at the bottom of any tank because of the difference in tanks. The Petroleum Marketer's Association is in support of this. They asked me to put this Amendment on. I don't know of any objection and I ask for an affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion. The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2130. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye' oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Death Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 308, Chapman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask that the Clerk read the Resolution please."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Resolution Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Whereas this body has learned with deep regret the death of Julia R. Cihak, R.N., Associate Administrator of Government Relations for the Illinois Nurses' Association and; whereas she was born in Beecher City, Illinois, but has lived in Springfield, Illinois for the past 30 years and; whereas she graduated from St. John's School of Nursing Education,



Springfield, Illinois. Earned a B.S. degree in public health nursing at St. Louis University, St. Louis, Missouri. Did graduate work as a teacher nurse at the University of Illinois, with consultant certification and earned an M.A. degree in public administration at Sangamon State University, Springfield, Illinois and; whereas her life was devoted entirely to nursing and health education and where her work and organization memberships and activities and; whereas her work experience included office and clerical nursing, clerical instruction and school health. And in 1966 she was employed as a nurse consultant by the Illinois Department of Public Health. In January 1971, she became associate administrator of government relations, Illinois Nurses' Association, Springfield and; whereas she was a strong advocate of good government and it was affected by the legislation. She worked for high standards for nursing and health care affecting all citizens. She promoted labor legislation protecting the welfare of all working, including nurses and she associated and worked for all legislation benefiting women and family including ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment and legislation to combat violence and; whereas she leaves to mourn her untimely passing at the age of 54, her mother, Mrs. Maybelle M. Ryan, Sr., a son David R., two daughters, Ellen and Maryann and grandson and several nieces, nephews and cousins as well as the people who had the privilege of knowing her and her work, and the organizations she was active in, the members of the First United Methodist Church, Springfield, Illinois and the legion of friends; therefore be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 81st General Assembly, of the State of Illinois that we express our sincere sorrow



on the untimely death of Julia R. Cihak, R.N., a great believer of the best in nursing, health education and legislation for the raising of the standards in numerous areas, that we extend our sympathy to the members of her bereaved family, and be it further resolved that suitable copies of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to her family."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. We were shocked and grieved at the death of Julia Cihak on Saturday. She was more than a friend, she was a person who worked diligently for those causes which she supported. And through her efforts she not only supported nurses' through her employment with the Illinois Nurses' Association, but she worked for the improved health of all Illinois citizens. She was interested in womens' issues, she was a familiar face around this legislative body and a friend to all of us. Legislators may be interested in knowing that the visitation will be Tuesday night, from 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 at the Staab Funeral Home and that the funeral will be on Wednesday at 11:00, at the First Methodist Church on 5th and Capital. And expressing our deepest sympathy for her family I move the adoption of this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has moved the adoption of the Death Resolution #308. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye' oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. This Resolution is adopted. Representative Giorgi. The adjournment. 10:00."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn till tomorrow, 10:00 a.m., the 22nd of May."

Speaker Redmond: "After ten minutes of perfunctory, the House will stand adjourned until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. Suggested that the Member's be on time



tomorrow, we lose about an hour and a half every morning. Representative James Keane and Upesford please."

Clerk O'Brien: "Messages from the Senate by Mrs. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following title, the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Bills #758, 763, 764, 775, 788, 790, 795, 799, 800, 807, 811, 814, 824, 829, 841, 847, 853, 858, 860, 861, 870, 871, 873, 875, 882, 907, 908, 910, 922, 920, 937, 938, 950, 956, 967, 970 and 971. Passed by the Senate May 21, 1979, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Senate Bills First Reading. Senate Bill 343. A Bill for An Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 359. A Bill for An Act to amend the Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 409. A Bill for An Act concerning townships. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 415. A Bill for An Act in relation to conflict of interest amending certain Acts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 446. A Bill for An Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 496. A Bill for An Act amending the alcoholism and intoxication treatment Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 518. A Bill for An Act to amend the Dangerous Drug Abuse Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 562. A Bill for An Act to revise the law in connection with campaign literature. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 635. A Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 695. A Bill for An Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 696. A Bill for An Act requiring reimbursement to



units of local government in school districts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 735. A Bill for An Act to amend the Real Estate Brokers' and Salemen's License Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 736. A Bill for An Act to amend the Fire Protection District Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 739. A Bill for An Act to amend the Fair Employment Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. No further business. The House now stands adjourned."



DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 21, 1979

PAGE

HB-0008	3RD READING	PAGE	78
HB-0161	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-0226	2ND READING	PAGE	206
HB-0348	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-0389	3RD READING	PAGE	79
HB-0445	3RD READING	PAGE	37
HB-0702	3RD READING	PAGE	80
HB-0752	3RD READING	PAGE	17
HB-0898	3RD READING	PAGE	89
HB-0980	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-0999	3RD READING	PAGE	96
HB-1016	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-1038	3RD READING	PAGE	19
HB-1064	3RD READING	PAGE	20
HB-1134	3RD READING	PAGE	34
HB-1158	3RD READING	PAGE	52
HB-1168	3RD READING	PAGE	107
HB-1170	3RD READING	PAGE	109
	3RD READING	PAGE	135
	MOTIONS	PAGE	134
HB-1205	3RD READING	PAGE	106
HB-1209	3RD READING	PAGE	110
HB-1235	3RD READING	PAGE	142
HB-1236	3RD READING	PAGE	142
HB-1396	2ND READING	PAGE	208
	2ND READING	PAGE	214
HB-1400	2ND READING	PAGE	181
HB-1426	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1431	2ND READING	PAGE	209
HB-1468	3RD READING	PAGE	23
HB-1477	3RD READING	PAGE	24
HB-1499	3RD READING	PAGE	25
HB-1595	3RD READING	PAGE	114
HB-1608	3RD READING	PAGE	117
HB-1622	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1630	3RD READING	PAGE	144
HB-1639	3RD READING	PAGE	120
HB-1641	3RD READING	PAGE	145
HB-1645	3RD READING	PAGE	121
HB-1648	3RD READING	PAGE	122
HB-1652	3RD READING	PAGE	123
HB-1656	3RD READING	PAGE	124
HB-1657	2ND READING	PAGE	220

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 21, 1979

HB-1686	3RD	READING	PAGE	26
HB-1690	3RD	READING	PAGE	29
HB-1693	3RD	READING	PAGE	31
HB-1694	2ND	READING	PAGE	4
HB-1700	2ND	READING	PAGE	238
HB-1758	3RD	READING	PAGE	130
HB-1770	3RD	READING	PAGE	32
HB-1776	3RD	READING	PAGE	136
HB-1829	2ND	READING	PAGE	243
HB-1901	3RD	READING	PAGE	175
HB-1902	3RD	READING	PAGE	175
HB-1937	3RD	READING	PAGE	39
HB-1949	3RD	READING	PAGE	40
HB-1953	3RD	READING	PAGE	137
HB-1954	3RD	READING	PAGE	137
HB-1981	3RD	READING	PAGE	44
HB-1993	3RD	READING	PAGE	178
HB-1994	3RD	READING	PAGE	178
HB-2004	2ND	READING	PAGE	234
HB-2054	2ND	READING	PAGE	244
HB-2077	2ND	READING	PAGE	244
HB-2130	2ND	READING	PAGE	246
HB-2146	3RD	READING	PAGE	45
HB-2176	3RD	READING	PAGE	139
HB-2180	3RD	READING	PAGE	46
HB-2202	3RD	READING	PAGE	154
HB-2212	3RD	READING	PAGE	47
HB-2234	3RD	READING	PAGE	48
HB-2243	3RD	READING	PAGE	150
HB-2265	3RD	READING	PAGE	153
HB-2373	3RD	READING	PAGE	160
HB-2425	3RD	READING	PAGE	155
HB-2426	3RD	READING	PAGE	146
HB-2436	3RD	READING	PAGE	51
HB-2446	3RD	READING	PAGE	53
HB-2450	3RD	READING	PAGE	56
HB-2462	3RD	READING	PAGE	57
HB-2487	3RD	READING	PAGE	36
HB-2501	3RD	READING	PAGE	58
HB-2508	3RD	READING	PAGE	66
HB-2575	3RD	READING	PAGE	160
HB-2577	3RD	READING	PAGE	163
HB-2578	3RD	READING	PAGE	167

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 21, 1979

PAGE

HB-2597	3RD READING	PAGE	75
HB-2618	3RD READING	PAGE	77
HB-2652	3RD READING	PAGE	170
HB-2680	3RD READING	PAGE	172
HB-2704	3RD READING	PAGE	177
HB-2710	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-2764	2ND READING	PAGE	6
SB-0343	1ST READING	PAGE	250
SB-0359	1ST READING	PAGE	250
SB-0409	1ST READING	PAGE	250
SB-0415	1ST READING	PAGE	250
SB-0446	1ST READING	PAGE	250
SB-0496	1ST READING	PAGE	250
SB-0518	1ST READING	PAGE	250
SB-0562	1ST READING	PAGE	250
SB-0574	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0635	1ST READING	PAGE	250
SB-0695	1ST READING	PAGE	250
SB-0696	1ST READING	PAGE	250
SB-0735	1ST READING	PAGE	251
SB-0736	1ST READING	PAGE	251
SB-0739	1ST READING	PAGE	251
SB-0753	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0754	1ST READING	PAGE	4
HR-0308	3RD READING	PAGE	247

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND-HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REV. KRUEGER-PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
HOUSE TAKES RECESS FOR CONFERENCES	PAGE	181
CONGRESSMAN PHILIP CRANE SPEAKS	PAGE	198
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	249
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	250
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	251