

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members, please be
in their seats. We will be led in prayer by the Reverend
Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Rev. Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy
Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this
day. Amen. When speaking to the troops before the Battle
of Long Island in 1776...in 1776, George Washington said,
'The time is now near at hand, which probably must determine
whether Americans are to be free men or slaves, whether
they are to have any property they can call their own,
whether their houses and farms are to be pillaged and
destroyed and themselves confined to a state of wretchedness
from which no human efforts will deliver them. The fate
of unborn millions will now depend, under God, on the courage
and conduct of this army. Our cruel and unrelenting enemy
leaves us only the choice of brave resistance of the most
abject submission. We have therefore, resolved to conquer
or to die. Let us pray. Almighty God, grant to the
Members of this House of Representatives such insight and
directions as necessary for them to insure always that the
inalienable rights of all the citizens of this State of
Illinois will be respected and protected from those, who
in the guise of good government, would trample and encroach
upon them; through Jesus Christ, our Lord, we pray. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "The Pledge of Allegiance. Representative Collins."

Collins and Members: "I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United
States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,
one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice
for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate... A Message from the
Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed
to inform the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kopp is entering the chamber. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "I am directed to inform the House of Representatives
that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of



Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 510. Passed by the Senate May 14, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed a Bill for the following title and passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Bill #769. Passed by the Senate May 11, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed a Bill of the following title the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Bills #165, 168, 176, 185, 186, 188, 198, 199, 201, 206, 208, 209, 214, 215, 219, 221, 222, 227, 239, 254, 255 and 256, passed by the Senate May 14, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed a Bill of the following title, the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Bills #257, 262, 269, 277, 278, 286, 287, 289, 291, 292, 302, 307, 308, 309, 310, 314, 316 and 322, passed by the Senate May 14, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day. Page 46

on the Calendar. House Bill 273. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 756. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading

of the Bill. House Bill 1196. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Second Reading of

the Bill. House Bill 1516. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment

#1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1708. A Bill for an



Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
 House Bill 1947. A Bill for an Act to amend the Motor
 Fuel Tax Law. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1977.
 A Bill for an Act to amend the Retailer's Occupation Tax Act.
 Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2472. A Bill for
 an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
 House Bill 2540. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election
 Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2632. A Bill
 for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second
 Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2777. A Bill for an Act
 in relation to nonsubstantive revisions of various Acts.
 Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
 Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills. First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill #5. Marovitz. Creates the Hospices
 Study Commission. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 73.
 Kosinski. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code.
 First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 81. Cullerton. A
 Bill for an Act in relation to medical necessity decisions
 on claims under accident and health insurance policies.
 First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 94. A Bill for
 an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to exemptions
 from liability of certain persons providing emergency medical
 care. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 104. Daniels.
 A Bill for an Act in relation to distribution of campaign
 literature in shopping centers. First Reading of the Bill.
 Senate Bill 107. Friedrich. A Bill for an Act in relation...
 A Bill for an Act in relation to chymopapain. First Reading
 of the Bill...chymopapain.... Senate Bill 114. Davis.
 A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. First
 Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 122. Kornowicz. A Bill
 for an Act relating to garnishments. First Reading of the
 Bill. Senate Bill 136. Getty. A Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of an Act in relation to bonds of contractors
 entering into contracts for public construction. First Reading



of the Bill. Senate Bill 139. Pullen. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 162. C.M. Stiehl. A Bill for an Act to amend the Southwest Regional Port District Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 248. Winchester. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Transportation. First Reading of the Bill. Also, the Senate Bill 943. Mahar. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Military Naval Department. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills. Second Reading. Short Debate Calendar, page 3. House Bill 11. Representative Skinner, please come to order. You got a haircut."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 11. A Bill for an Act creating the Real Property Tax Procedures Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Getty. Amends House Bill 11..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels... Representative Getty, we're on House Bill 11."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amends House Bill 11 on page 1, line 21, after the word 'regulation' by adding the words 'promulgated there under' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Parliamentary Inquiry. The copy that I have before me indicates Amendment #2 on what I believe is Amendment #1. I think this was raised the other day. And then the proper Amendment #2 was subsequently filed and I wonder if that's been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Page? No, is has not."

Getty: "All right, at this time I'll ask leave, just for the record, to withdraw Amendment #1, which incorrectly on the distributed



one reads 'two', so that when we come to this again we will be on the real Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty withdraws... Now if we change 2 to 1 on the printed Amendment, would that take care of it, Representative Getty? I we got leave to change..."

Getty: "No...no."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, you want to withdraw..."

Getty: "I want to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Representative Getty withdraws Amendment #1.

There are no other Amendments..."

Getty: "No, there's a... Amendment #2, I understand, has been filed."

Speaker Redmond: "We don't have it so we'll take this out of the record. 713."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "We're on House Bill 713. House Bill 713."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 75..."

Speaker Redmond: "713. Where did we get 752? We've got 713. Do you want that out of the record or do you want that in the record. 713, are there any..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 713. A Bill for an Act to direct the Department of Local Government Affairs to conduct a study in the use of computer assisted property assessments. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 752. Third Reading. 752."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 752. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1064."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1064. There's..."

Speaker Redmond: "Has a fiscal note been requested on that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Redmond: "1134."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1134. A Bill for an Act to amend the
Capital Development Board Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1158." Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 752, I had conversations with the
Sponsor, Representative Watson, who I don't see on the floor.
And it's my impression that he would desire to...putting an
Amendment on that and I wonder if you'd want to keep it on
Second."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, let John Alden speak for himself."

When he comes, if he wants to bring it back, why then we'll
take care of it then. 1158. 1158."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1158. A Bill for an Act to amend the
Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1358."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1358. A Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1434."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1434..."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, I am..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1434. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1457."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1457. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to rate of interest and other charges in connection with sales on credits and lending of money. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading." 1477."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1477. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1499."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1499."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative George Ray Hudson has entered the chamber. 1499."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1499. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code and an Act to prevent fraudulent practices in the making or accepting of official appointments and contracts for public officials. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1535."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1535. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to require the registration of radiation installations. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1622. The Fiscal Note been filed on that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, the Fiscal Note is not filed."

Speaker Redmond: "1690."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1690."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Donovan. Proceed. 1690."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1690. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with...any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1703."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1703. A Bill for an Act to require the registration of professional preparers of income tax returns. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1770."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1770. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1801."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1801. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Second Reading of



of the Bill."
 Speaker Redmond: "What do you want? Representative...do you want this one held? Hold it. We've got 9 Amendments, I understand, so... Take this one out of the record. 1937."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1937. A Bill for an Act to create the Adult Abuse Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we didn't have time in Committee to put the Amendments on. I will have 4 Amendments to put on."

Speaker Redmond: "There is a floor Amendment?"

Oblinger: "They are not prepared...one is not prepared yet."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, do you want this left on Second Reading?"

Oblinger: "Yes, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Leave that on Second Reading. 2132."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2132. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2146."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2146. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2180. Which one? Out of the record. 2212."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2212. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."



Speaker Redmond: "2234."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2234. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2351."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2351. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendments been filed. Not printed."

Speaker Redmond: "The Amendment has just been filed, it's not printed, on 2351. Out of the record. 2390."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2390. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading." 2446."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2446. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2462."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2462. A Bill for an Act to amend the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2508."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2508. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2555. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "There's an Amendment in the works on that, could you hold that Bill, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "On 2555?" Out of the record. 2618."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2618. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2642."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2642. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2643."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2643. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2644."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2644. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No



Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2734."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2734. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1."

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. House Bills, Second Reading, the category of Appropriations. House Bill 8."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill #8. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the State Retirement System. Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 389. 389... Out of the record. 702. Out of the record. 857... Wait a minute..715."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute now. Is there an Amendment contemplated on 715? 857. What does that mean. 857."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 857. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture for certain expenses of the Logan County Fair. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk, what can you tell me about 857?"

Polk: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 is for 41 thousand 912 dollars, as was requested from the Whiteside County Board. This was discussed with the Department of Agriculture, they concur with it and I would recommend it go on."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Polk. Amends House Bill 857, as amended, by deleting 'Section 3' and so forth."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this was requested by the County Board from Whiteside County. We discussed it with the...with the Department of Agriculture, they concur. This is damage that other communities received...also received and the Sponsor is not opposed to this and I would recommend that it be attached."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you moving the adoption of Amendment 2?"

Polk: "I move the adoption of Amendment #2, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 898. Representative Swanstrom, will you please sit down? Representative Swanstrom, will you please sit down? Oh...Representative Walsh is in the chamber. You're trying to hide him. Out of the record. 999."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 999. A Bill for an Act appropriating money for a grant to the Chicago Park District for a field house. Second Reading of the Bill. Got a floor Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is there a floor Amendment on that? Out of the record. 1045."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1045. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1170."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill... House Bill 1170. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Fair Employment Practice Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Great-great-great grandson of Thomas Jefferson is in the chamber. Representative Neff... Representative Neff. He's over here... I don't know. Well, it depends on the... 1170. Do you want that out of the record? Out of the record. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Last week, I think I didn't... made a mistake. I said that in 1960 my wife had voted in the Democratic Primary. I was wrong, she was too young."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner, please. 1205. 1205."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1205. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture for certain expenses of the Montgomery County Fair. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Reilly. Amends House Bill 1205, as amended, by deleting lines 1 and 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly." I guess this has not been printed and distributed, Representative Reilly, so you've got to keep this where it is. 1209. Out of the record. 1236."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1236. A Bill for an Act appropriating money to the Department of Business and Economic Development. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1319. Out of the record. 1351. Out of the record. 1538. Out of the record. 1580."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1580. A Bill for an Act appropriating money to Judicial Inquiry Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Friedrich. Amends House Bill 1580 on page 1, line 9 and so forth."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich, is this Amendment agreed to by Representative Daniels?"

Friedrich: "I doubt very much if he'd agree to it, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Well then...he's not on the floor so we'd better take it out of the record. 1583. Out of the record. 1589. Out of the record. 1595. 1595."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1595. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? The floor Amendment has not been printed and distributed. 1630."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1630. A Bill for an Act appropriating money for the expense of the Attorney General. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1, 2 and 3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1639. We better take this one out now, I understand there's a lot of floor Amendments. 1641."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1641. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capital Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1644. Out of the record. 1648."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1648. A Bill for an Act appropriating ... appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Emergency Services Disaster Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1, by Representative Mahar."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies...and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What Amendment #1 broke out some travel in the amount of 41 hundred and 25 dollars. Of that only 2 thousand dollars is G.R.F. funds. In the interest of allowing the Department to be able to travel in case of emergency..."

Speaker Redmond: "Who sponsored the Amendment in Committee?"

Mahar: "Representative Van Duyne."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that your request or was it his request or where do we stand on that?"

Mahar: "It's my request to table his Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "My point is that he doesn't seem to be on the floor and I'm trying to get matters that are noncontroversial. Out of the record. 1652. Peters. 1652."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1652. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Board of Vocational Rehabilitation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1656."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1656. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Environmental Protection Agency. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, there were 4 Amendments in Committee and we have no problem with those Amendments. They were accepted by the agency and there are no problems with those Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any motions with respect to the Committee Amendments."



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee...
no motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "No motions, is that right? Now how about a floor
Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5. Kane. Amends House Bill 1656
on page 8, by inserting between line 28 and 29..."

Speaker Redmond: "Who is the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what Amendment
#5 does is to put into the Department of Environmental
Protection Agency an additional 12.5 million dollars in bond
funds to earmark those funds for communities that have particularly
hazardous health conditions due to lack of sewage facilities.
These are communities around the state that have been designated
health hazard communities by the Department of Public Health.
This is a program that has been authorized by the Legislature
and it has been funded in previous years. It...except that
last year the funding was removed and I'd ask the House to
approve Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As much as I respect the Sponsor
of this Amendment and as sympathetic as I am with the problem
that his Amendment addresses, this amount is not in the E.P.A.
Budget and I would have to oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the
Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #5. Those
in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who
wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the
record. On this question there's 39 'aye' and 49 'no' and the
motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6. Van Duyne..."

Speaker Redmond: "What is your... Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amends House Bill 1656 on page 8, by inserting between
line 34 and 35 the following."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald, is there a position with respect to Amendment 6? What is your... Representative Macdonald, what is your position with respect to Amendment #6?"

Macdonald: "Well, of course, again in spite of my respect for the Sponsor, this is not in the E.P.A. Budget and I would have to oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "She will."

Kempiners: "Mrs. Macdonald..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald, Representative Kempiners has a...Kempiners has a question..."

Macdonald: "The Sponsor of the Amendment is Van Dyne."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, Representative Kempiners..."

Kempiners: "Well, I've got the answer for my question. The Amendment is for 10 thousand in General Revenue Funds to the E.P.A. to help the East Joliet Sanitary District, provide a 25% match required for step 2 of the Waste Treatment Program and that's what I wanted to know, what it was for."

Speaker Redmond: "What is your pleasure, Representative Macdonald? There's nobody..."

Macdonald: "I...I oppose this Amendment. It is not in the budget and much..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative, the Sponsor of the Amendment is not here to move it. Representative Kempiners, I can't...I don't understand..."

Kempiners: "I'd like an opportunity to vote for it, that's all..."

Speaker Redmond: "You're not its Sponsor. Okay, any further Amendments? Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1657. 1657."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1657."

Speaker Redmond: "I understand there are 16 Amendments on this, Representative Hoxsey. Are their Sponsors here? Better... Better have a Roll Call for attendance here. Roll Call for



attendance. Roll Call for attendance. Representative Telcser.

Telcser: "Would the record show, Mr. Speaker, that Representative Ryan is absent because of a death in the family."

Speaker Redmond: "The record will so show. Now we might as well go to 1657, I assume. Any motions with respect to Committee Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1657. A Bill for an Act..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I wonder if Representative Hoxsey would hold that for a little bit longer. We... Betty..."

Speaker Redmond: "I've been advised the Amendments haven't been printed and distributed anyway."

Matijevich: "Right...that's right."

Speaker Redmond: "They tell me they have not been. 1730."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1730."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record at the request of Mr. Ryan. 1758.

Out of the record. You guys are not going to have any money to run government if you're not careful. 1776. Out of the record. Who wants it out? 1853. 16...1853...1853. Out of the record. 1902. Out of the record. 1925. 1954. Peters. What do you mean by that? I don't know whether you want it out or... Okay? 1954."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1954. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1994. House Bill 1994. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2004."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 200..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record? Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 2011."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2011. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the Work Study Program of high school students living in an area of high unemployment. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2035. Out of the record. 2088... 2083. Out of the record. 2088. Out of the record. 2090. Out of the record. 2098."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 20..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners. Out of the record? Would you talk with Representative Ryan's staffer? Out of the record. 2176."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2176. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2202."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill...2202. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the State Board of Education. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2243."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2243. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Business and Economic Development. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2265."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2265. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? What do you mean? Out of the record? Out of the record. Representative Yourell, Representative Schneider just came through Pontiac. 2282. Out of the record. 2425."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2425. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for expense of Guarding Ships and Navigacy Commission. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2426."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2426. A Bill for an Act to make certain appropriations to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements, grants and related purposes. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2427."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2427."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2487."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2487. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to make an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Legislative Reference Bureau. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to the Committee Amendment?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Richmond. Amends House Bill 2487
on page 1, line 4..."

Speaker Redmond: "Rep...Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. This Amendment takes care of the needs of the
Future Task Force, the Illinois Futures Task Force. It needs
to transfer...authority to transfer some funds within their
budget. The bottom line remains the same and it...I request
a favorable vote for this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Frederick. The question is on the
Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those
in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it,
the motion carries and the Amendment's adopted. Any
further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2496."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2496. A Bill for an Act to make an appropria-
tion to the Director of the Department of Corrections.
Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2502. Out of the record.
2574."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2574. A Bill for an Act to make an
appropriation for the expense of Department of Registration
and Education. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments
#1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Motion with respect to the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2577."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2577. A Bill for an Act to provide
for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of
Labor. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4



... were adopted in Committee." ...

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #4, by Representative Polk."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk, on the motion to table Amendment 4."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, although the Sponsor of the Amendment #4 has...his intentions are honorable, the 287...200 thous... dollars that he wishes to take out of Amendment...through Amendment #4 is strictly Federal Funds. If we...if we lose this 287 thousand dollars the people will not be fired, they will..."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of Amendment 4?"

Polk: "Mr. Vinson, Representative Vinson. He's on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Polk: "Vinson."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh. Okay."

Polk: "The 287 thousand dollars are Federal Funds. The people will still be there, we'll simply have to take them out of General Revenue Funds. So I move that we table Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would rise in opposition to the Gentleman's motion. That Amendment was put on in Appropriations Committee. What it does is to follow the Auditor General's report and recommendations. What we have is two Federal Agencies, the Department of Labor and Public Aid, which maintain their own leasing operations. Now I had had some hopes that perhaps we could work out something with the people involved. But if we're going to consider the Bill today I think we ought to go ahead and keep the Amendment on it. These leasing operations, in many cases contract for lease is substantially higher than the statewide average for leases... It's very costly to the people of the State and I would urge that we keep this Amendment on, which when taken in conjunction with the Public Aid Amendment and an



Amendment adding like money into the Department of Administrative Services will step up the ability of the Government to lease at much lower rates. This can save the taxpayers a lot of money. It will provide for a systematic uniform leasing operation statewide. And as I say, it's consistent with the recommendations of the Auditor General over the past several years."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Huff."

Huff: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to support the motion to table. The effect of this Amendment would be the loss, as Representative Polk pointed out, of over 200 and some odd thousand dollars of Federal Funds. And the addition of that amount and the cost to the state inasmuch...from the Federal Funds. Inasmuch as the function now performed by the effective unit will have to be performed by different state agency and only IBS is empowered by Title III of the Federal Social Security Act, to administer the Title III Funds. Moreover, neither the Department of Administrative Service nor any other state agency except IBS is presently able to perform the functions of the real property in contract management in maintaining 168 bureau employment offices throughout the state. I don't feel that making this change at this time would be advisable. So therefore, I'm going to support the motion to table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bullock. Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As a Member of the Appropriations II Committee, which did place that Amendment on, I would just echo Representative Vinson's comments. I think he covered it very well. I do support the...Amendments and rather than wasting the time of the House Members and repeating his comments, I would just echo his comments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to support Representative Polk's motion because I think, in effect, that this will cause a loss of jobs at a time when we need jobs. And I think that it would be keeping in the Federal Government's guidelines. I support



Representative Polk in his motion to table Amendment #4.

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on Representative Polk."

Polk: "In conclusion, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I just hate to disagree with my learned friend, Mr. Vinson, in regard to saving the taxpayer's dollars. This in fact will not save GFR money. This will cost additional GFR money if we keep this Amendment on. It's extremely important that we clarify that. Now, it depends on whose taxpayer's dollars you're talking about. They have 15 people who work in this Department up in Chicago. These people will not be fired if this...if this Amendment stays on. It will stay on but it will come out of our pockets instead of the Feds... Now, we're not sure that the Federal Government is going to be very happy with Illinois Department if we do in fact transfer these people out. We in fact accepted this Amendment in Committee. There were five Amendments submitted that day. The...the Chairman of the Committee, unfortunately, is not here at the present time, Mr. Barnes. After he and I both had an opportunity to look it over after the Committee Hearing he indicated that he would support me in requesting this be tabled. I just simply request the Membership support me now and table Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to table Amendment 4. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 75 'aye' and 39 'no'. The motion prevails and Amendment #4 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #... Floor Amendment #6. Amends House Bill 2577. The Sponsor is Kornowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz, on Amendment #...what Amendment is it, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 6."

Kornowicz: "Amendment 6 amends the House Bill 2577, the sum of 160



thousand dollars is appropriated to the Department of Labor for ordinary and contingent expenses associated with the Act to provide for the inspection of licensing and regulation of carnival and amusement rides and equipment and to provide the safety for public using carnival and amusement rides and equipment, enacted in the 81st General Assembly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, we had lengthy debate here on the floor about three weeks ago in relation to the Carnival Safety Regulations. Some very passionate speeches were given here. We do feel there is a necessity and I have no objection to this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 6. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 89...98 'aye' and 14 'no'. The motion prevails and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7. Kornowicz. Amends House Bill 2577 as amended by inserting immediately after Section 2, the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "The Amendment, the following names the amount as such hereas may be necessary respective for the purpose here as and named..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk, for what purpose do you arise?"

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, just... I don't believe this Amendment has been distributed, my fellow Members are telling me. And we ... checked with..."

Speaker Redmond: "Has it been printed? It has not been printed and distributed."

Polk: "May we then move the Bill to Third and I would accept moving it back to Second..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading, with the understanding it will be



returned to the Order of Second Reading. 2578."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2605. Out of the record. 2652.

Out of the record? 2680. Out of the record? Is that what you want? 2680. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2680. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record at the request of the Republican Leadership. 2704. Out of the record. These things have been on about two or three weeks. Consent Calendar. Third Reading...Second Day. What page are we on?"

Clerk O'Brien: "46 on the Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Page 46."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar. Third Reading. Second Day. House Bill 795. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to fences. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 976. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1023. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1074. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1075. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1181. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to marketing and identification of state owned vehicles. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1201. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in regard to Attorney Generals and States Attorneys. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1229. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1308. A Bill for an Act to authorize conveyance of all rights, titles and interest held



by the State of Illinois, land located in Rock Island County. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1315. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1350. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1352. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1438. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1888. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1889. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1921. A Bill for an Act in relation to assume corporate names. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1931. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to regulate the practice of Dental Surgery. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1956. A Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1958. A Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1979. A Bill for an Act to amend the Retailer's Occupation Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1982. A Bill for an Act to repeal an Act to define and regulate license of business...of merchants...by motor vehicles. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2073. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Securities Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2161. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2191. A Bill for an Act relating to the Civil Service in Park Systems. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2203. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2211 has been removed from the Consent Calendar. House Bill 2280. A Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 22...2323. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning Public Utilities. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2324. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of



an Act concerning Public Utilities. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2327. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning Public Utilities. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2332. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning Public Utilities. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2422. A Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts relating to references to the Secretary of the Illinois Commerce Commission. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2467. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2484. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2645. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2737. A Bill for an Act in relation to the duration of mortgage loans. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 303. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall these Bills pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 'aye' and 1 'no'. These Bills, having received the Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed. Introduction and First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2781. Meyer - Keane. A Bill for an Act to make an Appropriation to the Illinois Housing Development Authority. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2782. Polk. A Bill for an Act to amend the Workmen's Compensation Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 156. Katz - Leinenweber. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning the Judicial Advisory Council to the State of Illinois. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading. The category of Appropriations. 529. House Bill 529. Representative Schisler on the floor? Out of the record.



543: Representative Beatty."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 543. A Bill for an Act appropriating money to Helen Baia for rent. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an appropriation to a lady who has rented some property and there were some building violations so the Department of... withheld the rent. And then there was a mix up. There was a transfer of the functions of the department from a county level to a state level and they corrected the violations in court. The lady never received her rent. By the time they were advised that there was a transfer they filed a claim with the court of claims and by the time they were advised to do that the Statute had run. So this is the only way this lady can get the money that is rightfully due to her. As you know, the Court of Claims is a branch...an arm of the Legislature. Many years ago we had many claims of this nature coming through the House. You don't see as many with the Court of Claims. So the lady actually rented her property. The state has her money unjustly. She's corrected the violations and she should be due these funds. If there are any questions I'd be glad to answer them."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 124 'aye' and 3 'no'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 978. Page 27."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 978. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Governor's Office of Power and Human Development. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald. 978. Page 27. Sure you want that out of the record? You're among friends. Representative Macdonald."



Macdonald: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry that I am ill-prepared at this particular time. I was having a conference back here in the corner. House Bill 978 appropriates 300 thousand dollars for displaced homemakers programs. I am sure that you are aware that last year we did appropriate money for... to the Department of Labor for the establishment of displaced homemaker centers. Displaced homemakers have really become a part of our society that need help almost more than any other segment of our society. When the needs of widows and divorcees are so prevalent in today's society we have found that the centers that have been operating have been highly successful. Many of them have prepared homemakers to go back into the displaced homemakers who are widowed, divorced or whose husbands have suffered serious economic setbacks or disability, has been able to go back into the job market with as high as an 80 percent recruitment and restoration possibility and...in getting back into the job market. I do hope that we will have support for this Bill inasmuch as I feel that it is really not only mandatory for us to take action but it is the humane and absolute necessity of this government to prevent these people from going on to further needs for government assistance to appropriate this money for displaced homemaker centers and I ask for your support on this most important Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Macdonald: "Yes."

Darrow: "Representative Macdonald, how many centers do we have in Illinois, where are they located and what is the criteria that we use to establish these centers?"

Macdonald: "There are two centers, one in Chicago and one in Carbondale. And the criteria is, of course, varied because the CETA funds that are used to help with these are used in federal guidelines such as needs, such as income. These are some of the criteria that are used."



Darrow: "Have there been applications for similar centers for would it be possible to have similar centers in such areas as Springfield, Decatur, Champaign?"

Macdonald: "I believe, because I have put the appropriation with the Governor's Department of...with 'GOMHD' that it would be possible because there would be a greater variety rather than just CETA funds, which have very rigid and strict rules in terms of who they can and cannot accept. And I believe that through this particular appropriation that indeed there could be more centers and there certainly is a need for more centers."

Darrow: "How would you go about having a center located in your area? Would you need accompanying legislation or who is it up to?"

Macdonald: "I think you would have to apply to the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development for that center."

Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 'aye' and 13 'no'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1288. Representative James Taylor. Out of the record. 1614. Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 1635."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1635. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Local Government Law Enforcement Officer's Training Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative McAuliffe here? Out of the record. 1640."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1640. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the expense of the Illinois Commission on Human Relations. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."



House Bill 1640 is the OCE for the Illinois Commission on Equal Opportunity Human Relations and it asks for 499 thousand dollars for the coming fiscal year. That's up 29 thousand dollars from last year, an increase of 6.2 percent. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109 'aye', 22 'no' and the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1643. Representative Epton."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1643. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Insurance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the annual appropriation for the Department of Insurance. This year the appropriation calls for 7 million, 281 thousand, 600 dollars. It is an increase over last years appropriation and the reasons for it are well known to many of the...most of the people, if not all of the people, in the Legislature. There are additional testing services that are going to be provided, in addition to which the staff, inquiring into the solvency of the Department, is being beefed up considerably. As it is there were several Amendments introduced by the Majority Party, all of which were agreeable to the Department of Insurance and to the Sponsor and I ask for your approval of this appropriation."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Campbell...Representative Hoffman is in distress. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 'aye', 1 'no' and the



Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1645."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1645. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mines and Minerals. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. There's an Amendment been filed to this and it probably needs to be returned to Second. It's Representative Wolf's Amendment and he isn't on the House Floor. If you want to return it to Second and adopt it...it's all right."

Speaker Redmond: "I guess there's an Amendment that has not been printed so we'll have to take it out of the record."

Dunn: "It's Amendment #4. I think it's been printed. I have a copy."

Speaker Redmond: "4 has been printed but 5 has not."

Dunn: "Oh...Okay. Oh, I didn't...fine."

Speaker Redmond: "You keep it here a long time and you'll have more. Wait a minute now... 4 printed? How about 3? Where's 3?"

Dunn: "3 was withdrawn in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Where's 4? Who is the Sponsor of the Amendment? Representative Wolf the Sponsor of the Amendment? Is he on the floor? Okay, we'll take this out of the record then. 1647."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1647. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of the Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there a Republican that wants to handle this Bill? Out of the record. Out of the record. 1653. Out of the record, the Sponsor is not here. 1655."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1655. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the expense of the Industrial Pollution Control Finance Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like leave of the House to take this Bill back to Second



Reading for the purpose of adding an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return 1655 to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Birkinbine. Amends House Bill 1655 on page 1, line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment adds five-and-a-half thousand dollars for the contractual services line item for the purpose of hiring a part time accountant. This is a...an internal auditor for the Pollution Control Financing Authority, an authority that's made up of two people, a director and a secretary and they think they need a part time auditor."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion on the Gentleman's motion? Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "It's fascinating to hear that a two person agency thinks they need...thinks they need a part time auditor and I wonder what we have an Auditor General for?"

Speaker Redmond: "Do you have any response?"

Birkinbine: "Yes. As I understand it, it's the Legislature that feels they need the auditing."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2285. Representative E.M. Barnes. Barnes...2285."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2285. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Commission on Children and its expenses. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, I would just advise and...he's correct, I guess some Bills got on the Calendar...but all of these Bills are..."



Commission...what we call Commission Bills and they are to be put into one Bill. So if you would just hold this..."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you want this out of the record now?"

Barnes: "Yes, please. There may be some others and they are Commission Bills and I've been advised one of the things that we are trying to do is get them all together for one Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. 2575."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2575. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the expense of the Commissioner of Banks and Trusts Companies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to...leave of the House to bring this back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return 2575 to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Totten. Amends House Bill 2575 on page 1, line 8 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 to House Bill 2575 is part of a 2 part Amendment. One is to a substantive Bill that would recreate the establishment of the Bank and Trust Company Fund and would provide that the fees that go now into the General Revenue Fund and are then appropriated out of the General Revenue Fund to fund this agency would go into the Bank and Trust Company Fund, which would be a self-supporting fund. The way we used to do it many years ago...at that time, fees, weren't keeping the funds solvent but now fees are well in excess and this is a much better accounting way to do it. It's a recommendation of the Governor's Task Force as well as an independent auditor. And I would move for the adoption of amendment #2."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich on the motion."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Friedrich: "As I understand this, Representative Totten, the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevec, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevec: "Elmer, I think you ought to take this out of the record because as this Amendment is drafted I think it puts your whole appropriation in jeopardy, because it says in line 14 and 15, 'This appropriation shall be available for expenditure through June 30, 1985.' And that not only refers to Section II but I believe the whole appropriation, which I think puts the... The Amendment, actually, is out of order. We want a ruling on that we could proceed, but if he really wants to go through with this I think we ought... he ought to get a proper Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "I think maybe you miss...misunderstood Don when he first started talking. This is a companion Bill to 2373. This appropriation shall be available for expenditures through June 30, 1985, because in House Bill 2373 the seed money is appropriated for and this will be paid off in 3 years time. So with this Amendment on it it won't throw the appropriation off if House Bill 2373 is also adopted...the Amendment on that is adopted and passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevec."

Matijevec: "No, I...I understood him but I still think that the way it's written it could...I wonder if you would check with him but I still think that the way it's written it could put...I wonder if you would check it...check it with your council. Because the way it's written it's still...it could refer to the appropriation in House Bill 2575 and that's what I'm worried about. You ought to be more worried about it than me."

Conti: "I'm not concerned about it. I'll take it out of the record, if that"



is all right with Don because I know 2373 will explain this...
that line and that paragraph."

Speaker Redmond: "You probably better take it out and make sure it's
straight. 2579."

Conti: "Thanks, John."

Speaker Redmond: "Return...return it back to Third Reading so that
we can keep track of it. 2579. Out of the record? 2579
out of the record. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, during the lull I just want to commend you
for going to Appropriation Bills. We usually don't do that,
in any Session in the past, but as far as I'm concerned
that gives the Senate an early time to consider our
Appropriation Bills. Now I wonder if somebody would walk
over there and tell them to do the same because our Committee
would like to start working on their Appropriation Bills too."

Speaker Redmond: "I looked at the length of the Calendar and kind of
decided that we better do this so they don't get here and
stay here till Christmas, so...1635. Representative McAuliffe.
Page 33."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1635. A Bill for an Act to make an
appropriation to the Local Government Law Enforcement
Officer's Training Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe... Monroe Flinn...
Representative McAuliffe. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "This is the Bill that appropriates the money for the
Local Law Enforcement Officers Training Act, passed out of
Committee 16 to 0. And this is the...the money that goes
back to the communities to fund their training of police
officers. I move for its passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes. I would like to know if the Training Board is still
requiring the identification number for private guns, that
is non-governmentally owned guns, when they train people,
as they were in Kane County last year?"



Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "No idea."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, the answer should be 'no'. They were supposed to stop that practice and I would appreciate the Republican analysts calling them up and reminding them they were supposed to stop that practice. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield? Is the appropriation sufficient? Is that a 50 percent reimbursement to local governments or is that a 34?"

McAuliffe: "50 percent...50 percent, as amended."

Williams: "Okay. 50 percent, as amended. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms. Anything further? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish...have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 146 'aye' and no 'nay'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1614. Representative Cissy Stiehl."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1614. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the St. Louis Metropolitan Area Airport Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cissy Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1614 appropriates 256 thousand, 100 dollars to the St. Louis Metropolitan Area Airport Authority for fiscal year beginning July 1, 1979. The potential and the need for an all cargo airport in the Midwest is being recognized by transportation experts all over the country. This is becoming an essential element of modern transport and it is emerging into a multi-billion dollar annual industry. The St. Louis Metropolitan Airport is seriously being considered as the formation of a Midwest all cargo airport. This is very important to the area of Southern Illinois. It's very important to the State of Illinois, to continuing



revenues in Illinois and also for maintaining the 1,000 jobs that are presently part of the Tiger Airlines. I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Monroe Flinn. The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor... Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when I spoke against this in Committee and have in the past, I've naturally said that this isn't a party position. However, I've watched this St. Louis Metropolitan Airport Authority Appropriation in our Committee for some years now. And I think our staff totaled the appropriations that we've had... accumulate now to over 3 million dollars. And for that over 3 million dollars the State of Illinois has nothing to show for it. Nothing but applications. I think that this is money that's wasted. In past Sessions the St. Louis Metropolitan Airport Authority maintained that we need a passenger airport in Southern Illinois to alleviate the Lambert Field and they knew that that wasn't going anywhere. Then all of a sudden they come now with this new scheme that now we are going to have to have a cargo airport. And everybody knows that the Department of Trans...the U.S. Department of Transportation will not stand for more airports. They took a position that we've got to do something with the airports that we have, like Midway Airport in Chicago where we have much space that is not utilized. Imagine the people that we can feed with over 3 million dollars. This is the type of waste that people are talking about when they talk about Proposition 13. That we in Government, we the Legislators ought to do something about waste in Government. I...I'm going to vote against it because I've seen nothing that's been accomplished for it, nothing but paying for a bureaucracy, nothing but paying for the Executive Director. The last one got a nice position, Mr. Saunders, and I'm sure we're going to set this Gentleman up for a nice position too. I



don't think that's what Government is for and I would not urge some 'no' votes, just to show the airport authority that at least they don't have our overwhelming support for the waste of our taxpayers money."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Monroe Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't mind seeing a few red votes up there but I would ask and beg of you to put enough votes up to pass the Bill. Now, if we don't fund the St. Louis Metropolitan Authority this year then we will have wasted the 3 million dollars because there's no chance then whatever to take advantage of an opportunity. The opportunity presents itself to us or to Levenworth...Topeka, Kansas or to Lincoln, Nebraska. Those are the three sites that are being considered right now. There was one secondary, that being Lawrenceville, Indi...Illinois. Now, if... It is rather foolish for us to have spent the 3 million dollars and then when we get this one last opportunity to take advantage of something that will really benefit Illinois, create a lot of jobs...actually although the St. Louis people, especially the politicians on the Missouri side of the river, have their heads in the sand, it will benefit them a great deal too. I would ask that we pass this appropriation today to keep from wasting 3 million dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise with the Chairman of the Appropriations I Committee to oppose this legislation. This is the case of a very excellent Sponsor and a very, very bad Bill. We have for years been funding this authority in the hopes that the State of Illinois would get an airport in the greater Metropolitan St. Louis area in Illinois. It hasn't come to pass. Even the prior Director, finally quit in frustration because he knew this...that the Federal Government was not going to approve the site. Now we're asked in one last ditch



effort to prove...to try and fund the fantasy the Flying Tiger has that they can put a cargo facility down in this greater East St. Louis area. This, as the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee indicated, is just another waste of money and a continued ploy to try to keep this facility in Illinois. It's just not going to happen. Here's a case where we can save several hundred thousand dollars by not appropriating the money for it, it's going to be wasted as it has in the past and I would urge a 'no' vote despite the excellent Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stiehl, to close."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like briefly to rehash the history of the...this airport. This is not a waste of money. If you go back and look in 1973 the F.A.A. favored a Columbia-Waterloo Airport and then asked for immediate construction. The application was approved by Congress and in 1974 the Senate asked, at the request of the State of Missouri, for the completion of another study. Secretary Breneger, then Secretary of Transportation, approved Columbia-Waterloo as the only site. The studies were completed and Secretary Coleman held hearings in the State of Missouri for all interested parties. At that time both studies were taken under consideration and after 9 months of thorough investigation the Columbia-Waterloo site was approved. It was then challenged in the federal courts and it was still...the courts refused to grant the judgement against the Columbia-Waterloo Airport simply because ; right was on our side. The 6000 pages of study and testimony they contended were sufficient. We will have this airport, it is right. Now, the State of Missouri has appropriated twice as much to their authority just to fight Columbia-Waterloo. We, at this time, have an opportunity of becoming... a cargo hub. It's important that we keep this authority alive at least for this year so that this consideration can be



made. We have the environmental studies, we have many very important areas that a cargo hub requires. We are a transport center, we are a metropolitan area, we have an international port, we have a rail center. All of these are vital and we have environmental clearance, which is so important because cargo airports must have landing and take-off permits 24 hours a day. There are not many airports that can grant this and I would ask for a favorable vote. If we don't have this we will have been throwing all of the money that we've spent, all of the time, all of the effort and all of the studies right down the drain. I would ask all of the Members to please give us a green vote on this."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. For four or five years now we've appropriated money for this fiasco. We have nothing to show for it other than some rich people who are drawing salaries from contractual employees. The Representative from Crystal Lake sat on the Appropriations Committee, he was very much opposed to this. I think he felt the money could be better used for the R.T.A. On the other hand, I can sympathize with the sponsors of this Bill with the number of people down there coming to them and asking them to help them with their pork barrel. Actually, it's a waste of 3 million dollars. The Chairman of Appropriations was correct, if they build a cargo airport there the fees from the cargo will never meet the obligations on the indebtedness. I would suggest that we all vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Steele."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I urge some more green votes up here. This appropriation is a small investment really. If you keep working on a project that's previously been approved by the United States Secretary of Transportation...it's received full improvement...approval in



the past and it will receive these approvals again. This is a small investment to keep working on efforts to bring the commercial air cargo center of the Midwest here to Illinois. It's a vital investment that's needed now to keep alive this project. Governor Thompson is going to be meeting next week with the head of the Flying Cargo Express on this very project. It's now a scheme, it's a very possible, a very likely possibility that we can bring here to Illinois the commercial cargo center of the entire Midwest. And so this alone would generate private investments, it would generate private enterprise investment in this facility, generate hundreds if not thousands of jobs. So I think this is a small investment really on the part of the entire State of Illinois to possibly bring to a depressed area many, many jobs that are vitally needed as well as this cargo facility, which is very close to a very strong possibility for coming here to Illinois and I do urge your support, it's vitally needed for this appropriation this year."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Bower."

Bower: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have nothing but the greatest respect for the Sponsor of this Bill and once upon a time the possibility of developing the airport in this area was a very great likelihood. But I'd like to point out that right now in Southern Illinois there already is an existing airport that is being seriously considered as a international air cargo airport and that is the Lawrenceville - Vincens Airport. It's an airport already in existence, there are 7 or 8 major international air cargo carriers who are seriously looking at it. The Governor and the Secretary of Transportation are very interested in this project also and I would urge a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "I urge a green vote on this because it...what has developed... it was approved by the F.A.A. under Director Coleman but it got into an Illinois and Missouri fight and a green vote is a vote



for Illinois and so if you want to see this development go some-
place else then a red vote is right but if you want it to go
to Illinois vote green. And in regard to Arvin Saunders,
the Director that somebody mentioned a while ago, he has been
hired by Saudi Arabia to develop their airport system at
three times the salary that he was being paid in Illinois.
I urge a green vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted...have all voted who wish? The
Clerk will take the record. On this question there's
98 'aye', 59 'no'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional
Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1653." Representative
J.J. Wolf."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1653. A Bill for an Act to make an
appropriation for the expenses of the Office of the
Lieutenant Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the appropriation...
annual appropriation for the Office of the Lieutenant
Governor. It's an increase of 15 thousand, 500 dollars for
3.2% over fiscal year 1979. The other evening a Gentleman
on the other side of the aisle asked me as to whether or
not the Lieutenant Governor had an Affirmative Action
Program. At that time I could not answer the question. I
have since looked into it and of course the 18 employees in
the Lieutenant Governor's Office are exempt from the Personnel
Code and therefore jurisdiction be and so the Lieutenant
Governor's Office is not statutorily required to submit an
Affirmative Action Program, as is not the Governor, Comptroller,
Treasurer, Attorney General, General Assembly. However,
steps have been taken by the Lieutenant Governor to initiate
an Affirmative Action Program and Lieutenant Governor O'Neil's
staff is meeting, as a matter of fact, today with Mr. Nathaniel
Tindo, the State's Equal Opportunity Employment Officer, to
develop such a program."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill
pass? Representative Chapman. Representative Chapman."



Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm delighted to hear that the Lieutenant Governor is planning on developing a...an Affirmative Action plan, particularly since the Lieutenant Governor's Office informed the Democratic Staff that they had an Affirmative Action plan on file with the Department of Personnel. And the Department of Personnel indicated that they had never seen such a file. I...I hate to see state officials in the posture of saying one thing and doing another. So possibly, if the Lieutenant Governor's Office means it this time and they really develop an Affirmative Action plan we'll be able to make an honest man of the Lieutenant Governor."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no' Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 127 'aye' and 10 'no'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."



Speaker Redmond: "How about 1647. Are you equipped on that one Representative J.J. Wolf? Representative Wolf move to table 1647? Will you read your Bill Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1647. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation of the ordinary contingent expense of the Office of the Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is the annual budget for the Office of the Governor. It's an increase of \$157,800 over the fiscal year '79." appropriation which is approximately seven percent. The other evening one of the Representatives on this side of the aisle asked about a Woman's Advocate salary or expenses for it and I'm advised that approximately \$85,000 will be ear marked for the Women's Advocate in the Governors Office on Inter-Agency Cooperation It will employ three full-time personnels. The position will be Mrs. Peg Blazer, the Women's Advocate with a salary of \$28,000, an Administrative Aid of \$13,500, and a secretary at \$12,500. Personal services, \$54,000, retirement, \$4,320, and Social Security, \$3,310 for a total of \$61, 630."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'd like to thank Representative Wolf for getting the information we've heard, but I didn't hear anything about travel. How much money is there for travel?"

Wolf: "I understand it's not broken out, but it's included in the Inter-Agency Cooperation Office. There's over \$500,000 in that... so there should be sufficient amount of money for her to travel wherever her heart desires."

Catania: "OK, I'd also like to point out that Peg Blazer isn't in that job anymore. Sharon Sharp has just been appointed to



that job and her salary is going to be \$28,000 is that correct?"

Wolf: "That's correct."

Catania: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question there's 139 aye and 3 no. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed."



Speaker Redmond: "1645."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1645. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Mines and Minerals. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to have this Bill returned to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return 1645 to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, leave is granted."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4. J. J. Wolf. Amends House Bill 1645, as amended, on page 3, line 4 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "I would move to table Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf withdraws Amendment 4. What happened to 3?"

Dunn: "It was withdrawn in Committee. Amendment #3 was..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any further Amendments, after 4?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5. J. J. Wolf. Amends House Bill 1645, as amended, on page 3, line 4 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. J. Wolf, on Amendment #5."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this...since we've tabled Amendment #4, Amendment #5 would phase in some positions would now be able to be put on as of July 1 and that's what this Amendment would do." It actually amounts to a reduction in Personal Services Retirement and Social Security in the Division of Land Reclamation by 39 thousand, 237 in federal funds because the Division had been vacant for a long time.



We intend to phase the positions in every 2 months."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf moves the adoption of Amendment #5. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Any further Amendments? Amendment #5 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On page 22, House Bills, Third Reading, appears House Bill 189. Representative Bowman requests that that be returned to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. 189 returned to the Order of Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Bowman. Amends House Bill 189 on page 1, by deleting line 28 through 32 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The...the Bill, in its original form, did 2 things that required a posting of a notice in the home prior...nursing home, prior to a hearing for administrative action to remove the license of the home or fail to renew the license of the home. And the second thing that it did was to provide a reporting requirement so that we would be better able to find out from the Department of Public Health exactly what the status of homes that were in noncompliance were...and the number of the homes, the location of the homes, the identity of the homes and what they were doing to upgrade their facility. The Amendment deletes the posting notice. That was the basis on which the Bill was attacked in Committee. I think it would help...this provision.. we still have a good Bill, it still provides the kind



"of information I think we need to...to know which direction to go on regulating nursing homes. And at the same time I think it removes the principle objections that opponents had to the Bill and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Friedrich: "Are you saying that...if these notices are not posted or this information is not posted, what becomes of it?"

Bowman: "Well, Representative Friedrich, the...the notice was...was to be posted immediately prior to an administrative hearing. All right? The hearing would still take place, the home would be...the owners of the home and everything would be notified of the hearing but they would not be obliged to post the notice of the hearing in the entryway."

Friedrich: "You mean they're not notified now when there's going to be a hearing with regard to revocation?"

Bowman: "They are notified now and that provision would remain in the code."

Friedrich: "Well then what will your Bill do after you take this out?"

Bowman: "What the Bill does...with this deletion, is that it provides that at the end of each fiscal quarter the Department of Public Health shall prepare and publish a report regarding the status or final disposition of its actions against licensees or applicants that have been served with a notice regarding contemplative denial and other such actions."

Friedrich: "In other words, you're...this Bill will provide that anyone can go in and see the list of all of the items that the Department lists that need correcting in the nursing home?"



Bowman: "Well, the...the language of the Bill says that they shall publish a report...first of all it shall be a report so the people won't be going into the homes themselves, for example. Okay? So we wouldn't be imposing a burden on the homes in that respect. The Department of Public Health shall prepare a report regarding the status or final disposition of actions against licensees. So in other words, people would have better information about exactly what...what action the Department is taking or has taken. I mean right now the people don't even know what the Department of Public Health is doing in this regard."

Friedrich: "Okay. I think I'm going to support the Amendment and be against the Bill. Thank you."

Bowman: "We'll discuss that later."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on Representative Bowman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 189. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1026. This is on Third Reading, page 28...28. 1026. They want to go back to Second. Does Representative Darrow have leave to return 1026 to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objections leave is granted. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Darrow. Amends House Bill 1026, by deleting line 9 thru 12 and so forth."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is the product of the Department of Conservation and Ralph Dunn. What it does is, it corrects some spelling errors and also adds the 'possum to the list of animals. I'd ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1? Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the



Motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 2615, on page 42. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully request leave to bring 2615 back to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of..."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Peters. Amends House Bill 2615 on page 2, line 35 and so forth."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I would first move that table...that Amendment #1 be tabled. I have discussed this with the Majority Leader and the Chairman of the Veterans's Affairs Committee, as well as the Minority Spokesman and they are in agreement."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters has moved to table Amendment #1 on 2718. The question is on that motion. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Peters. Amends House Bill 2615 on page 2, line 35 and so forth."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I would now move for the adoption of Amendment #2, which is substantially identical to Amendment #1 outside of 2 areas. One of which...it takes care of some veterans who were grand-fathered in as pharmacists after the Second World War. It returns them to their full status as Assistant Pharmacists and secondly, it does represent an agreement which has been reached between the Pharmacists and the retail merchants in terms of the operation of the pharmacy and I would respectfully request adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say



'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."

Peters: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "On page 23. House Bill 420. Representative DiPrima is recognized."

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have House Bill 420 be placed on Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return House Bill 420 to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. DiPrima. Amends House Bill 420, as amended, by deleting the title and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir. Under the present law every county in this state is entitled to grant each year one four year scholarship to the University of Illinois to a child of a person who served in the Armed Forces of the U.S. during the Civil War up to the Korean Conflict. This Amendment does only one thing, it adds Vietnam to the list of wars, making children of veterens of that war eligible for the same kind of veterens...kids of veterens of all other wars."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Will the Gentleman yield? I just want to know the difference between a conflict and a war. I want to hear it from an expert."

DiPrima: "That was called police action."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2? Those in favor of the motion indicate by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills, Third



Reading, appears House Bill 2331. Representative Kent is
 recognized. Wait a minute now..."

Kent: "It's 21...2321."

Speaker Redmond: "What is it? 23 what?"

Kent: "2321."

Speaker Redmond: "Where is it?"

Kent: "It's 31. It's on page 20 and it's on the Armory. It's on
 Third Reading, Short Debate."

Speaker Redmond: "2321."

Kent: "2331."

Speaker Redmond: "2331 on page 20. Representative Kent asks leave
 to have this returned to the Order of Second Reading, is
 that correct?"

Kent: "That's correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Does she have leave? Hearing no objections, leave
 is granted. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments on this
 Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Kent. Amends House Bill 2331 on page 1,
 by deleting lines 8 thru 12 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment just changes the wording
 because there were those who did not feel it was worded
 correctly in the sale of the Armory in Quincy. And it
 authorizes and directs it to be sold at the fair market...
 appraised fair market value and that Quincy will have the
 first option to buy it at that price. I urge the adoption
 of Amendment #..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Just one thing, Mrs. Kent. It doesn't do anything with the
 special fund though, does it? The special fund is still there?"

Kent: "Yes. It puts it into the Armory Fund."

McClain: "Good. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion on the Lady's motion? The
 question is on the motion. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye',
 opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the



Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Kent: "Could I keep this on Third Reading, Short Debate, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Lady have leave to have it remain on the Order of Short Debate? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you rise?"

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for the purpose of an introduction. We have with us today from the 38th District the Plano No School, represented by Representative Breslin, Representative Ewing and Representative Betty Hoxsey, from the town of Plano."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 891. Representative Sandquist is recognized."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to have that Bill brought back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? It appears on page 45. Does the Gentleman have leave to return it to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Will you read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Sandquist. Amends House Bill 891 on page 1, line 13 and 23 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill did not receive enough votes when it was up for consideration. It was put on Postponed Consideration. The Bill amends the Consumer Installment Loan Act and as...as it was originally in the Bill, the limit was raised from 10,000 to 25,000 and the length of time that it could be out to 180 months. What my Amendment does is in line with the discussion we had on the floor. It cuts it back down so that the increase will be from 10,000 to 15,000 and the time...length of time for the loan would be only up to 169 months. I ask for adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the



Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted...it will be returned to the Order of Consideration Postponed."

Sandquist: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "1257, Consideration Postponed. Representative Jaffe is recognized."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, could we have leave to return this to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return 1257 to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Representative Bower. Amends House Bill 1257 on page 13, by deleting all of line 19 thru 22 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, this is Representative Bower's motion...Amendment and I have no objection to it. All that it does is delete the provision that would exempt certain people under that Act from being...from not serving as a juror and I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor of the motion say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "On the same order, Consideration Postponed, appears House Bill 308. Representative McCourt. 1257 goes to Consideration Postponed. 308."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like leave to call House Bill 308 back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted."

McCourt: "In the Committee..."



Speaker Redmond: "308 will be returned to the Order of Second Reading.

Mr. Clerk, do you have an Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. McCourt. Amends House Bill 308 on page 2, line 34, by changing 1980 to 1981."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative McCourt."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1...was put on in Committee and I wish that Amendment would be tabled so that we can add Amendment #2, which actually will just continue the life of the Urban Education Commission to 1981 instead of having it a continuing legislative commission which brought the objection when it was on Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Just in order to get the record straight here, do you desire to table Amendment 1, is that correct?"

McCourt: "That's correct."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on that motion...the motion of the Gentleman to table Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, Amendment #1 is tabled. Now he has moved the adoption of Amendment #2. Is that correct?"

McCourt: "That's correct."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, and Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1939, Representative Catania. What page is that Bill on?"

Catania: "It's on regular Third Reading, page 35, my seatmate tells me. I would ask leave to return it to the Order of Second Reading so I can offer 2 Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady asks leave to return 1939, which appears on page 35...to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Does she have leave? Hearing no objection,



leave is granted. Mr. Clerk will you read the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "There's a motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative Catania."

Catania: "I move to table Amendment #1, which was adopted in Committee, so I can replace it with Amendment #2, which the staff tells me adds the word 'of' on page 2."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has moved that the Amendment #1 be tabled. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Catania. Amends House Bill 1939 by deleting everything after the enacting clause and so forth."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move to adopt Amendment #2, which as I said earlier..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty, do you have this Amendment? 1939...Amendment 2 to 1939?"

Getty: "Has it been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "It has not been distributed."

Catania: "The Clerk's had it for ages."

Speaker Redmond: "Where should we leave this, Mr. Clerk, so we can find it again? Third Reading? Better leave it on Third Reading. Representative Leinenweber... Address your remarks to the Chair... Better leave it on Third Reading so we can find it more easily."

Leinenweber: "I only wanted to inquire what the Amendment did, rather..."

Speaker Redmond: "We don't know, they're not printed."

Leinenweber: "That's what I understand. I'm going to ask her, is that all right?"

Catania: "I think they've distributed Amendment 4 after they've skipped Amendment 3."

Speaker Redmond: "Well as long as we can't take it all it would seem to me we'd better keep in on Third Reading or it's liable to get lost."

Catania: "Well, we'll keep trying. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "19...we'll leave it on Third Reading till we find..."

It's out of the record on Second Reading, we'll leave it on Third Reading. 1955. Where's that one? On page 20. It's on the Order of Short Debate. Representative Macdonald, you ask leave to return that to Second Reading, is that correct? Does she have leave to return 1955 to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, it will be so returned. Any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment..."

Speaker Redmond: "1955."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Macdonald. Amends House Bill 1955 on page 1, line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the Department of Conservation Bill and it amends the Civil Administrative Code to give the Department the authority to grant easement on lands for purpose of ingress and egress. And this Amendment merely says that however, no easement shall be granted to a private individual for less than the appraised fair market value. I move for the adoption of this Amendment and I would like to ask leave to leave this Bill on Short Debate."

Speaker Redmond: "Your first motion was adoption of Amendment #1... is that correct?"

Macdonald: "Correct."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on that motion. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Amendment #1 is adopted. The Lady asks leave to have 1955 remain on the Order of Short Debate. Representative Rigney. Representative Rigney objects, is that correct? Representative Rigney is... He objected, yeah. On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading, on page 25 appears House Bill 745. 745. Do you have an Amendment on that? The Lady asks leave to return it to the Order of Second Reading. Is there any objections? Hearing none, it will be so returned. Mr. Clerk, the Amendment."



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Walsh... Amends House Bill 745 on page 1
in each of line 1 and 5 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Representative Walsh?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Walsh, William D."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2
to House Bill 745 simply adds that any school district must
abate its educational tax levy by the amount of all funds
transferred to the Educational Fund. And the Sponsor of the
Bill agrees to this and I would urge the adoption of this
Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom, do you desire recognition
on the motion?"

McBroom: "Mr...Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you please sit down? The Gentleman standing
between Representative McBroom and the Chair..."

McBroom: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like leave to bring
House Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute now, I thought you were rising in
connection with Representative Walsh's motion. The question
is on Representative Walsh's motion for the adoption of
Amendment 2 to House Bill 745. Those in favor say 'aye', aye,
opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and
the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Bluthardt. Amends House Bill 745 on
page 1 in each of lines 1 and 5 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "I'd like now to table Amendment #3 and proceed with Amendment
#4."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt withdraws Amendment #3. Are
there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Bluthardt. Amends House Bill 745,
as amended, in the title and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment provides



that where any school district abolishes or abates its working cash fund, none shall issue without any further working cash fund without first submitting a proposition to this effect to the electors of the district. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #4. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2728." 2728. It must be on Second Reading. Is that right? Postponed Consideration, 2728. Representative Kelly. It's on page 46."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'd like to ask leave to take House Bill 2728 back to Second Reading for the purpose of adopting an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Any Amendments from the floor on 2728?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Yourell - Kelly. Amends House Bill 2728 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "On that I would like Representative Yourell to be recognized to explain the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 to House Bill 2728 reapportions the County of Cook so that after 1981 and each ten years thereafter, to comply with the census, the County Board of Cook County shall reapportion that County into 15 districts for the election of Members of the County Board. Each district shall consist of compact and contiguous territory and the shall be, as nearly as possible, equal in population. Now that's just what the Amendment does."



Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the... Representative Conti."

Conti: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti.."

Conti: "Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Yourell."

Conti: "Who's going to reapportion the...who's going to reapportion the districts?"

Yourell: "The County Board."

Conti: "Is this countywide?"

Yourell: "Countywide."

Conti: "The purpose of that is to elect County Commissioners by district instead of countywide?"

Yourell: "Correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any... Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "If the Sponsor of the Amendment would yield to a question, the question is this. Is there any particular vote...majority required? A three-fifths or a two-thirds vote to accomplish this redistricting? Or is it by a simple majority?"

Yourell: "By a simple majority."

Duester: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As much as I admire the Sponsor of this particular Bill, I would have to rise to speak against it. I feel it is not in the best interest of Cook County to apportion in this way and I would resist this particular vehemently."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's... Representative Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge rejection of this Amendment and would caution those of us live in the suburban and collar areas of Cook County, to point out that this is an obvious power grab by the Cook County Board in an attempt to geremander the County Board so that...so that you might even have overlapped districts



between Chicago and Cook County. This is one of the greatest invasions into the suburban Cook County are in an attempt to solidify one party control of the Cook County Board that is imaginable. This..this is so flagrant and blatant that it should be just rejected out of hand. I am surprised that the Sponsor of the Consolidated Elections Bill to introduce such a potentially dangerous legislation and I...I would urge every Member, who would jealously guard the territory in which they live whether it be County or Municipal Government, to reject this type...this type of invasion into the Cook County Government. Please join us and reject this Amendment."

Unknown: "Who me?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker; I...I don't find this Amendment on my desk. Has it been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "A question of the Sponsor...or several of them. Buss, presently, the makeup of the County Board is that 10 are elected from Chicago and 6 from Cook County. Is that correct? What...what do you foresee if this becomes the law... what do you foresee as the probable make-up of the County Board? Politically?"

Yurell: "I don't think it's going to change the make-up politically. I don't foresee any difference. I don't think there's going to be any Democrats elected from suburban Cook County and I don't foresee any Republicans being elected from the City of Chicago. What we're trying to do with this Amendment is simply to try to abide by the one man, one vote and have those County Commissioners responsible to a certain constituency. And I think that's important to all of us who are interested in elections."

Bluthardt: "Well I think you have that today, haven't you? You've got the 10 from Chicago responsible to the Chicago Democratic Party and you've got the 6 out in the suburbs responsible to the Republican and the people of the suburbs."

Yurell: "Yeah, well I don't know..."



Bluthardt: "Well in effect then; you're cutting it down...if this passes, from 16 to 15. Is that correct?"

Yourell: "That's correct."

Bluthardt: "And...and you foresee the same type of geremandering that came about as a result of reappointment here in 1971, when we reapportioned the House and the Senate. We have the overlapping districts coming...overlapping from the suburbs into Chicago so that you could grab maybe 3 or 4 more of those seats for the Democratic Party and the County Commissioners Board."

Yourell: "Well, if you're asking my personal opinion...."

Bluthardt: "Well, isn't that really the purpose behind this?"

Yourell: "No, that is not the purpose. The purpose of the Bill is to have the County Commissioners who are elected to be elected from a constituency, representing that constituency...representing a district, just as you and I represent a district, just as the Aldermen in the City of Chicago...Chicago represents a Ward, just like the village and cities and towns with their boards and councils represent a district, or the council at large. There is a mistake in the Amendment and I...I must apologize to the Members of the Body. I didn't look closely at the Amendment, I thought that they had 16 in there and it's 15 and I..."

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak against the Amendment. I'd like to say this, if you want to see the two party system disappear entirely in Cook County then vote for this Bill, or this Amendment. There's very little left of the Republican Party in the City of Chicago. That's very obvious as a result of the last mayoral election. And you can applaud but Mr., let me tell you, if you want a dictatorship go ahead and do away with the representative form of government we have and have a one party system like they have in Russia and like they had in Germany under the Nazis. And that's what's going to happen in Cook County if you start geremandering these districts around and you start power grabbing every...every



...opportunity you have to take away the power of a two party system. You may benefit momentarily but in the long run the people will suffer and this form of government will suffer. This Amendment is atrocious, it should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when I questioned the first question on Mr. Yourell I know there's a Bill in here to reapportion Cook County and have County Commissioners elected by district. And that's the Bill I thought we were addressing ourselves to. However, I turned to my Bill page and I find...I question from the Chair now and I ask of the Chair, Mr. Redmond...Mr. Redmond, I question whether this Amendment is germane to this Bill. This is consolidation of an election and it has an appropriation to create a cash to pay the expense of election under election consolidation act. And I was just wondering if this Amendment is germane to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian advises me it's the same Act and it is germane. Anything further? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Representative Collins and Bluthardt pointed out very well some of the shortcomings of the Gentleman's Amendment. I'm in full agreement with what they have to say. But let me also add a little of my own personal speculation. I had the experience some 8 or 9 years ago to go through a reapportionment process. That was in 1971 when we apportioned the state legislative districts. And let me tell you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's my own personal opinion that this Amendment is a precursor to a reapportionment of the County Board. And the reason for this, in my opinion, is that the handwriting is on the wall. Chicago is losing population, the suburban population is increasing and when those things happen the political scales fall out of balance. And I want to call particular attention to my independent friends who are in the House today to take a good look at this Amendment. Let me assure you,



my friends, that if this Amendment should ever become law we're simply giving more and more power to the organization up in Cook County. That power base may be dwindling and this is simply an attempt to extend it beyond the borders of the city out to the suburban areas where we've seen a check and balance among members of the County Board. This Amendment would not only destroy the two party system, but it would destroy any dissent or debate or any checks and balances among the members of the County Board no matter what their party may be. And it is for this reason, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that I also will oppose the Amendment. And I ask and hope that the independent Members of the House will take a good hard look at the Amendment and not be quietly lulled on a boring, quiet day into voting for this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kane: "Representative, could you tell me if DuPage County Board is divided up into districts?"

Yourell: "Yes, that's absolutely correctly. I'm astounded at some of the opposition that has been voiced on this Bill relative to dividing the County of Cook into districts. We have DuPage County that's presently run from districts, we have Kane County and we have other counties in the state. So we're not doing anything in Cook County that you haven't been doing in downstate for a long, long time."

Kane: "So does the DuPage County Board still have discussion?"

Yourell: "Yes, they do."

Kane: "I have no further questions. It looks like a good Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and...it is a quiet day and certainly I don't want my mind to be lulled into anything, Mr. Telcser. And I've looked at this and I've also looked at Cook County Government and I've found that it's difficult for 5 million



people to look to a County Commissioner for problems that they have with respect to their county. It's difficult for suburban people even to have input into the County Board, frankly. It would be a responsive government, a truly responsive government if I had in my area a County Commissioner, for example, that I could complain about...complain to or complain about, as a matter of fact, either one, take your pick. Representative Government is the best form that we have in this...in this society. It is the...it is the political institution that has made this society work and that's what this Amendment 1 does. It brings to Cook County government true representative form of government and I'm going to support this Amendment. And I think a lot of people who are awake here, and we're all awake here on this side, are also going to support it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Would the..would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Huskey: "Is there any problems or any crisis in Cook County that... that demanded this Bill be drafted, or this Amendment be drafted?"

Yorell: "A lot of letters from my district, for one."

Huskey: "A lot of letters from your district?"

Yorell: "You hear right, Sir."

Huskey: "Is it the intentions of the Sponsor that when this...these districts are redrafted that the...that the fingers of Chicago will reach out into the areas of Cook County and incorporate more or less the district of the suburban Cook County in the City of Chicago?"

Yorell: "Are you digging up the old black hand again...of metropolitan government?"

Huskey: "No..."

Yorell: "No, that's not true. What we're trying to do, Mr. Huskey, is exactly how I explained it. We're trying to have the County Commissioners run from districts, just as you run from districts, to make a better, more firm, more responsible



representative type of government."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, this is a device...this Amendment is very, very...

it's very cleverly written and I have to compliment the Sponsors on their cleverness. It's very cleverly written to incorporate the suburban Cook County areas into the City of Chicago. It's the end, it's the end of the two party system in Cook County. If you want to end the two party system then vote for this Amendment. If you believe in the two party system then don't vote for it, it's as simple as that. I...I hope...truthfully hope all fair minded people will oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the Gentleman's Amendment and I have listened with sheer delight at the nonsense from the other side of the aisle. If you have listened to the speakers from the Republican side of the aisle, they have all been Representatives of Chicago or suburban Cook County districts and they've been led in their opposition by their machine leader, the fine assistant leader from Chicago. Their comments have been to the effect that this is some type of a power grab, that there's something bad involved in this Amendment and I find their comments rather interesting, because for those of you who are not familiar with the histrionics of election politics in Chicago let me simply tell you that on every election day in Chicago the comments of the Republican Party are to the effect that the Democrats are the machine, that the Democrats want closed meetings, the Democrats don't want the people to know the candidates, they don't want the candidates to stand up before the people and make themselves known and explain their positions on Bills. But now, when we have an Amendment before the House that would eliminate this system in Cook County, where the voters both in Chicago and in suburbia don't know the County



Commissioners, and you know it's true, in Chicago you'll complain that the Chicago voters don't know the Chicago County Commissioners and in suburbia the same complaints are voiced...no one knows them, no one knows for what they stand. Now we have before us an Amendment to rectify that problem. And the Amendment says very simply, in the future each locality will know who the County Commissioner is. It will be someone mandated by law to be a representative of that locality and therefore they'll be familiar with the locality problem. And as Representative Greiman stated, they'll be responsive to the complaints and the concerns of the locality. That's what the Amendment states and I think in all good conscience, those of you on the other side of the aisle who for all of these years have engaged in that silly rhetoric in Chicago, you ought to admit that this is what you've always wanted and vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am completely surprised by the pious protitudes of the Majority Leader on the other side of the aisle. For he has been the one who has opposed attempts in this Legislature to try and district the election of Judges in the City of Chicago and Cook County. So that everyone will know who their Judges are and are not the products of the machine he accused one of our Minority Leaders of being the leader of. It is he who has opposed the attempts to allow the voters of this state to know who their Judges are and at the same time he proports to tell us that we ought to know who our County Commissioners are. I'm surprised at the rhetoric that the Majority Leader has used that is in complete conflict with his stands on other issues of similar substance and I hope you view them as such."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. During the Constitutional Convention, another delegate, John Devork and I, were responsible



for submitting an Amendment on the floor of the convention allowing to happen...what is happening today, I hope. I believed then that Cook County should be divided into districts for the purpose of elections so we could get to know our...our Commissioners and they would be responsive. In 1973 I testified before the Cook County Government when they were talking about reorganizing county government and I again urge that we divide Cook County into districts. I was told at that time that the time was not yet but we would get to that some day. I suspect Representative Telcser, that you're correct in what you surmize. But I think we're faced with the lesser of two evils. Right now County Government is totally unresponsive to people in the suburbs. I do believe that Representative Greiman is absolutely correct in his idea that you must have...in order to have representative government you've got to have the smaller districts. And so I guess I'll take my chances with your fears and I'm going to support this Amendment. I think the time has come for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Representative Yourell, to close."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I...I'm really surprised at the opposition to this, particularly from the very distinguished Chairman of the Republican Cook County Central Committee, my good friend. I think this is what he really needs if he's going to strengthen the Republican Party in Cook County. I would suggest also that if you will look at the experience of those who have been elected as Aldermen in the City of Chicago, there's a great deal of activity in the Independent area where they have been electing Independents as Aldermen. And it seems to me that we're opening up the electoral process and letting everybody run that wants to run from districts and to have a better representative



form of government as far as the County of Cook is concerned and I urge a favorable vote for House Amendment #1 to House Bill 2728."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2728. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Deuster, the Gentleman from Lake County."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a very good idea except it combines a good idea with democracy with the idea of a potential power grab. I really think if this Amendment maintained the present system in Cook County where 10 of these Commissioners were elected from districts that would be good for the people and then 6 of them from the suburbs. But they've gone too far and they've raised the hobgoblin here of a political Democratic Party power grab. I think that this Amendment, that you should vote 'no' and perhaps they would present a better Amendment if we defeat this, so that we can genuinely give to the people of Cook County the right to divide their county into smaller districts so the people will know their County Board member, feel better about it, know what his views are. But to open up the door to a political partisan party power grab is going too far and is wrong and I would urge more 'no' votes on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that single member districts in Cook County are a great idea, but not with this Amendment. I am really amazed, considering the sincerity that I know the Sponsor has for the electoral process and for the Republican Party in Cook County that he spoke so warmly of, that he admits this Amendment doesn't do what he intended. He admits it reduces the number of suburban Commissioners at least from 6 to 5, at least, and yet he



insists on pressing this Amendment when he should withdraw the Amendment because it wasn't drawn the way he intended.

I certainly urge everyone to vote 'no'. We may...we are not fools on this side of the aisle, even if some people on the other side have taken a departure from their normal stand.

Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "At the proper time I would ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything else? Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if you'd beckon the Parliamentarian.

I question the constitutionality of this Amendment and refer to you...refer you to Article VII, Section 3(c)."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian advises me that that is a substantive, not a procedural question and not a decision for the Chair to make. That's for the courts." Anything further? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Friedrich: "To explain my vote. Mr. Speaker, a couple of weeks ago one of the Members on the other side told me that the word fair was not in the Constitution. I think this proves it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 85 'aye' and 85 'no'. Representative Bluthardt requests a verification of the Affirmative Roll. He's changed his mind. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell has requested a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees. Representative Huskey, for what purpose do you rise?"

Huskey: "If this receives a majority..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt... Proceed. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Catania. Ebbesen. Kozubowski. Pechous and Ryan."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count?" 85 to 85. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Leave to verify the negative."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pechous, for what purpose do you rise?"

Pechous: "Hello there. Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Pechous: "Please mark me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. On this question there's 86 'aye' and 85 'no'. Representative Bluthardt requests a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. It's the plan of...it's the plan to... I would encourage you to send out for food now and we'll close about 8:00 instead of 9:00 this evening. Representative Ebbesen. We won't...we won't break for food but we'll close about 8:00 tonight, I suggest you send out... Representative Ebbesen.

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Ebbesen: "Vote me 'no', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Ebbesen as 'no'. Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, while we're preparing for that I'd like to introduce in the Gallery, the Mulberry Grove Junior High School Eighth Grade, Mr. Stan Bushall, represented by Representative Watson, Friedrich and Slape. They're sitting up here in the gallery, if they would stand to be recognized, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, for a purpose of an announcement, seated in behind me in the gallery behind the Democratic side of the aisle is a group from the Sugar Grove Elementary School in the 38th Legislative District, represented by Representative Breslin, Ewing and Hoxsey. Would they please stand on the Democratic side."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "With leave of the Gentleman that asked for a Verified Roll Call on the Affirmative Vote, would he be agreeable to



having a Oral Verification so that both the negative and the affirmative will be taken at the same time?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, I'd be agreeable on one condition, that is there's no more interruptions during the verification. Because, you know, these interruptions give people time to come in here and vote and there are more of them then there is us and that ain't no good."

Speaker Redmond: "Right."

Bluthardt: "So if we could get right to it, let's go."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Verified Oral Roll Call. Do you understand the rules? Now everybody be in your seats. Representative Daniels, sit down. Deuster, sit down. Collins, sit down. Schlickman, sit down. Representative Bluthardt's microphone will remain open, Representative Yourell's microphone will remain open. At the time your name is called, stand and indicate the way that you desire to vote and depress your switch accordingly. Prayer won't do you any good on this, Representative Yourell...prayer won't do you any good on this one. Proceed, yes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Abramson. Abramson, pass. Ackerman. Ackerman, 'no'. Alexander. Alexander, Alexander 'aye'. Anderson."

Speaker Redmond: "Orally indicate how you desire to vote when your microphone will be open. Stand up, indicate how you're going to vote, depress the switch. Who was the last one, Anderson?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson votes 'no'. Balanoff. Balanoff 'aye'.

E.M. Barnes. E.M. Barnes, pass. Jane Barnes. Jane Barnes 'no'. Beatty. Beatty 'aye'. Bell. Bell 'no'. Bianco. Bianco 'no'. Birchler. Birchler 'aye'. Birkinbine. Birkinbine 'no'. Bluthardt. Bluthardt 'no'. Borchers. Borchers 'pass'. Boucek. Boucek 'no'. Borchers votes 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "No duress, Representative Conti."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bower. Bower votes 'no'. Bowman. Bowman 'aye'.

Bradley. Bradley 'aye'. Preston. Preston 'aye'. Braun.



Braun. Braun 'aye'. Breslin. Breslin 'aye'. Brummer.
 Brummer 'aye'. Bullock. Bullock 'aye'. Campbell. Campbell 'no'
 Capparelli. Capparelli 'aye'. Capuzi. Capuzi 'no'.
 Catania. Catania 'no'. Chapman. Chapman 'aye'. Christensen.
 Christensen 'aye'. Collins. Collins 'no'. Conti. Conti 'no'.
 Cullerton. Cullerton 'aye'. Currie. Currie 'aye'.
 Daniels. Daniels 'no'. Darrow. Darrow 'aye'. Davis.
 Davis 'no'. Dawson. Dawson 'pass'. Deuster. Deuster 'no'.
 DiPrima. DiPrima 'aye'. Domico. Domico 'pass'. Donovan.
 Donovan 'aye'. Doyle. Doyle 'aye'. John Dunn. John Dunn
 'aye'. Ralph Dunn. Ralph Dunn 'no'. Dyer. Dyer 'no'.
 Ebbesen. Ebbesen...Ebbesen votes 'no'. Woodyard. Woodyard
 'no'. Epton. Epton 'pass'. Ewell. Ewell 'pass'. Ewing.
 Ewing 'pass'. Farley. Farley 'aye'. Virginia Frederick.
 Virginia Frederick 'no'. Flinn. Flinn 'aye'. Friedland.
 Friedland 'no'. Dwight Friedrich. Dwight Friedrich 'no'.
 Gaines. Gaines 'no'. Garmisa. Garmisa 'aye'. Getty.
 Getty 'aye'. Giorgi. Giorgi 'aye'. Goodwin. Goodwin 'aye'.
 Greiman. Greiman 'aye'. Griesheimer. Griesheimer 'no'.
 Grossi. Grossi 'no'. Hallock. Hallock 'no'. Hallstrom.
 Hallstrom 'no'. Hanahan. Hanahan 'aye'. Hannig. Hannig 'aye'.
 Harris. Harris 'aye'. Hoffman. Hoffman...'pass'. Hoxsey.
 Hoxsey 'no'. Hudson. Hudson 'no'. Huff. Huff...Huff 'aye'.
 Huskey. Huskey 'no'. Jaffe. Jaffe 'aye'. Johnson. Johnson
 'pass'. Dave Jones. Dave Jones 'no'. Emil Jones. Emil
 Jones 'pass'. Kane. Kane 'aye'. Katz. Katz 'aye'. Keane.
 Keane 'aye'. Kelly. Kelly 'aye'. Kempiners. Kempiners 'no'.
 Kent. Kent 'no'. Klosak. Klosak 'no'. Kornowicz. Kornowicz
 'aye'. Kosinski. Kosinski 'aye'. Kozubowski. Kozubowski
 'pass'. Kucharski. Kucharski 'no'. Laurino. Laurino 'pass'.
 Lechowicz. Lechowicz 'pass'. Leinenweber. Leinenweber 'no'.
 Leon. Leon 'aye'. Leverenz. Leverenz 'pass'. Macdonald.
 Macdonald 'no'. Madigan. Madigan 'aye'. Mahar. Mahar 'no'.
 Margalus. Margalus 'present'. Marovitz. Marovitz 'aye'.
 Matijevich. Matijevich 'aye'. Matula. Matula 'no'. Mautino.



Mautino 'aye'. McAuliffe. McAuliffe 'pass'. McBroom.
 McBroom 'no'. McClain. McClain 'aye'. McCourt. McCourt 'no'.
 McGrew. McGrew 'pass'. McMaster. McMaster 'no'. McPike.
 McPike 'aye'. Meyer. Meyer 'no'. Molloy. Molloy 'no'.
 Mugalian. Mugalian 'aye'. Mulcahey. Mulcahey 'aye'. Murphy.
 Murphy 'aye'. Kulas. Kulas 'aye'. Neff. Neff 'no'.
 Oblinger. Oblinger 'no'. O'Brien. O'Brien 'pass'.
 Patrick. Patrick 'aye'. Pechous. Pechous 'aye'. Peters.
 Peters 'no'. Peters 'pass'. Piel. Piel 'no'. Pierce.
 Pierce 'aye'. Polk. Polk 'no'. Pouncey. Pouncey 'aye'.
 Pullen. Pullen 'no'. Rea. Rea 'aye'. Reed. Reed 'no'.
 Reilly. Reilly 'no'. Richmond. Richmond 'aye'. Rigney.
 Rigney 'no'. Robbins. Robbins...Robbins 'no'. Ronan.
 Ronan 'aye'. Ropp. Ropp 'no'. Ryan. Ryan 'pass'. Sandquist.
 Sandquist 'no'. Satterthwaite. Satterthwaite 'pass'.
 Schisler. Schisler 'aye'. Schlickman. Schlickman 'no'.
 Schneider. Schneider 'pass'. Schoeberlein. Schoeberlein 'no'.
 Schraeder. Schraeder 'aye'. Schuneman. Schuneman 'no'.
 Sharp. Sharp 'aye'. Henry. Henry 'aye'. Simms. Simms 'no'.
 Skinner. Skinner 'no'. Slape. Slape 'aye'. Stanley.
 Stanley 'no'. Stearney. Stearney 'no'. Steczo. Steczo 'aye'.
 E. G. Steele. E. G. Steele 'no'. C. M. Stiehl. C. M. Stiehl
 'no'. Stuffle. Stuffle 'aye'. Summer. Summer 'no'.
 Swanstrom. Swanstrom 'no'. Taylor. Taylor 'aye'. Telcser.
 Telcser 'no'. Terzich. Terzich 'pass'. Totten. Totten 'no'.
 Tuerk. Tuerk 'pass'. Van Dwyne. Van Dwyne 'pass'. Vinson.
 Vinson 'no'. Vitek. Vitek 'aye'. VonBoeckman. VonBoeckman 'aye'.
 Waddell. Waddell 'no'. Walsh. Walsh 'no'. Watson. Watson
 'no'. White. White 'aye'. Wikoff. Wikoff 'no'. Willer.
 Willer 'aye'. Williams. Williams 'aye'. Winchester.
 Winchester 'no'. J. J. Wolf. J. J. Wolf 'no'. Sam Wolf.
 Sam Wolf 'aye'. Younge. Younge 'pass'. Yourell. Yourell 'aye'.
 Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Epton as 'no'. Representative



Leverenz 'aye'. Representative Domico 'aye'. Lechowicz 'aye'.
Satterthwaite. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, if this Amendment goes on the Bill, what
vote would be necessary for passage of this Bill? Does
this pre-empt home rule in any way?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Parliamentarian. Representative Barnes... Representative
Barnes 'aye'. Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian. Representative
Peters, depress your switch, Sir. Representative Peters 'no'.
Representative McAuliffe 'no'. Anything further?
Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, I saw somebody push Representative Stearney's
button and I don't see him in the room."

Speaker Redmond: "He was in the back of the room at the time.
Representative Stearney. He's in the back of the room.
Representative Abramson...Abramson 'no'. Anyone else desire...
Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was just reached by the
State Troopers in Pontiac, to go to Pontiac, where I can
be picked up and brought back for this vote. And Representative
Yourell, who is normally of good temperament appreciates
that so I think I'll vote 'aye' on this on his behalf."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing." Depress your switch and tell
us what you're doing. Anyone else desire to change their
vote? Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "I'm still seeking an answer to my inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Well I don't have the Parliamentarian. Representative
Terzich. Get over there any punch your switch. Representative
Johnson, you have to vote orally and depress your switch."

Johnson: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Bluthardt, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, to suggest that the Roll be taken. I think
that the question is...dilatatory and we ought to get to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I'm sure it's not dilatatory... Representative



Satterthwaite.

Satterthwaite: "I...I do not want to cast a vote until I have the answer to my inquiry."

Speaker Redmond: "Well...the Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 79 'aye' and 85 'no' and the motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Page 40. 2373. Representative McBroom in the chamber? On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading, appears House Bill 2373. Representative McBroom desires to return that to the Order of Second Reading. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Are there any Amendments?" 40... 2373. Who is the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Totten. Amends House Bill 2373 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten, is the Sponsor of the Bill familiar with this Amendment? Proceed with the..."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Sponsor is aware and agreed to bring it back and is in agreement of the Amendment. The Amendment is a substantive Amendment to create the Bank and...recreate the Bank and Trust Company Fund for the depositing of fees to fund the banks and trust appropriation. And it is an Agreed Amendment with the Sponsor and the Commissioner and I would move the adoption of Amendment #1 to 2373."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2373. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "On page 8, Third Reading. Page 8...I guess we don't have to go to that one now. 1202, page 29. Representative Kelly, out of the record? Out of the record. We've got one more



here. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 2200.
Representative Skinner is recognized."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is my furry and feathered friend Bill. I would ask leave to bring it back to Second Reading to make it more effective."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted for 2200 to be returned to the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, is there an Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Skinner. Amends House Bill 2200 on page 1 in line 6 and 31 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I'm capitalizing the word 'game' and 'nongame'. I am making certain that the Bill takes effect with this years taxes, which will be paid next spring and I'm cleaning up the language on the check off of the rebate. I offer Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2222, on Third Reading. 2222.
Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill...I would ask leave to bring House Bill 2222 back to Second Reading..."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted and it will be returned to the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, do you have a floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Skinner. Amends House Bill 2222 on page 1, line 22 and 23 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I offer Amendment #1, which contains the suggestions of Representative Rigney. After reading the grain recovery fund Bill that I am sponsoring."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion?"



Skinner: "I think this makes it more operative than it was before."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion on the Amendment? The question is on the motion. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Skinner. Amends House Bill 2222 on page 6, line 26 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Amendment #2 corrects and...a mistake that was made in the Reference Bureau and I would ask Amendment for...I would ask adoption of it."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On page 19 appears House Bill 1468. Representative Collins. What's it say? Big apple? Did you know who the first European...the State of Illinois? Father Marquette. 1468. Does Mrs. Reed have leave to return 1468, which appears on House Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar, to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, leave is granted and it will be so returned. Mr. Clerk, is there an Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Reed. Amends House Bill 1468 on page 1, by deleting line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #2 makes provisions for exemptions from registration of snowmobiles by those people who are residents of Illinois that do not operate the snowmobiles within the state. I move for adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2 on House Bill 1468. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'



have it, the motion carries...the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Now she asks leave to have it remain on the Order of Short Debate. Does she have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. On the Order of Short Debate."

Reed: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, House Bills, Second Reading. On page 5. House Bills, Second Reading, House Bill 4. Representative Pierce."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4. A Bill for an Act to regulate the advertising of ophthalmic material. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Any motions on the Committee Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 100, on Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 100. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions on the Committee Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Getty. Amends House Bill 100 on page 6 by adding the following between line 28 and 29 and so forth."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty, is recognized. Out of the record. Is that what that waving means? All right. I thought you might have to go to the rear or something. The Gentleman signals out of the record, I wasn't... It was a mixed signal. House Bill...the Bill will be taken out of the record. Safe at Second. House Bill 140."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 140. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."



Speaker Pierce: "Any motions on the Committee Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Deuster. Amends House Bill 140
on page 1, line 1 and 5 and so forth."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Deuster, is recognized
on Amendment #3. The Gentleman from Lake, that's from Lake County."

Deuster: "This Amendment removes a qualification in the Juvenile Court
Act so as to provide that in all circumstances the victim
of juvenile crimes would have the right to know the identity
of the juvenile that caused the personal injury or the
property damage."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Yes, Representative Deuster, was this... is this similar to
a Bill which you sponsored that was in the Judiciary Committee?"

Speaker Pierce: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Deuster: "Yes. This is not only similar but it's identical to a Bill
that was considered in Judiciary. And unfortunately, on the last
day, as Representative Monroe Flinn and Roman Kosinski and
some others who were sitting there can attest, there was no
quorum, so that the...there was no opportunity to get the...the
Bill out. It is the same concept. It is supported by the
Illinois Municipal League. It...it makes effective, a law
that we passed during the last Session, that we all thought
had passed the House and the Senate and was signed by the
Governor, guaranteeing the right of a victim of juvenile
crimes to at least know the identity of the juvenile that had
caused the personal injury or property damage. Unfortunately,
when we adopted that law last Session, we left in a qualifying
phrase in the law that said that...that this applied only
in cases where the minor was adjudicated delinquent. As a matter
of fact, the police departments will tell you that...and as
will the juvenile officers that that rarely ever happens. So
if we adopt this Amendment we will establish, in all cases, the



right of the victim of this crime to just know the name, the identity of who the person was that caused the damage so that there would be an opportunity to discuss restitution and the subject of restitution, of course, is the objective of the principal Bill that Representative Davis has introduced here."

Getty: "Well now...now Representative Deuster, wasn't your original Bill assigned to a Sub-Committee in Judiciary?"

Deuster: "The original Bill was assigned to a Sub-Committee but it was amended and the Amendment that we have before us here is the way that the Bill was finally amended so that all it does is just strike out that language which says 'Where the minor has been adjudicated a delinquent.' So that the effect.."

Getty: "And..."

Getty: "The effect would be, as I recall the Sub-Committee's discussion, the effect would be that only where the court has approved the disclosure of the information would that occur. Is that correct?"

Deuster: "No, Sir. The way it is right now, the Amendment is in this form. If you, or any citizen of Illinois, has an automobile stolen or damaged or has the window broken or any damage done to property and you call your local police department and say, 'Have you arrested anybody in connection with this theft, or this damage?' And they say, 'Yes.' You'll say, 'Can I come down and get a copy of the police record?' Normally you have a right to that and you get the police record and you can find out who hurt you. But if it happens to be a juvenile they say, 'Oh, I'm sorry, there's a confidentiality provision in the law and we can't tell you who it is.' So if we adopt this Amendment, we will allow the local police department to cooperate with you in telling you and giving you the police report, or at least part of it, the name of the juvenile offender, so that you can enforce your right if you want to. I just this morning talked to a police officer in Mundeline, my home community, on how this would work. And he said that the law is desperately needed because right now the



hands of the police are tied. When they do arrest a juvenile and they don't want to go over to the circuit court and have a petition filed against him and give him a bad record, they would be able to work out a station adjustment, involving not only the parents but the victim if this Amendment were adopted. Right now they can only work out informal restitution at their local police station and...you know a nice neighborly way, if the parents are cooperative and the parents consent to bringing in the victim, really the police officer that I talked to said, you know there's enough inconvenience to the victim of crime than to leave the law the way it is, which would force the victim to have to go over to the Circuit Court, hire a lawyer and maybe get a court order to find out who it was. Whereas, with any other offender it's a matter of right."

Getty: "Well I don't really disagree with what you're trying to do, but as I look at this Amendment, I see that the identity of the minor shall be made available to the victim of the offense. It doesn't say who would make it available. Unfortunately, that language modifies or comes immediately after the language, 'and not divided' except with specific court approval', I just don't think that the Amendment is clear. I think it's vague and I think it has the...the same infirmities that you're seeking to correct. My recollection, that in the Sub-Committee there was a...a substantial Amendment that set out the terms under which this could be approved. I don't recall that Representative Deuster, that you were there in the last two meetings on the Sub-Committee, but in any event, I think I'm going to have to oppose this as being just vague. I...I understand what you're saying you'd like to do and I don't disagree with it, but this doesn't do it."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Flinn in the Chair."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz, is recognized."



Katz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this appears to be an example of what we've been complaining about around here, which is to subvert the Committee system. I hear a great deal on the other side of the House about how that's something that should be avoided. I heard a very eloquent speech by the Gentlewoman from Quincy regarding the attempt to do it on some other Bills. I was so moved by her speech that I voted with her. This is a matter that came before the Judiciary II Committee, very serious consideration was given to Mr. Deuster's proposal. It failed to carry and now he is taking another Bill and putting on that other Bill the Bill that failed to carry out of the Committee. Two can play at that game as well as three, you know, and it really isn't a good idea. The Bill itself was discussed a good deal, a Sub-Committee met on it. What he is doing is not a desirable parliamentary matter and the substance of the Bill is not well thought out, in my opinion, and I would very strongly urge us not to get involved in taking everybody's dead Bill and attaching it from this point on to all of the vehicles that happen to be floating around. Accordingly, I will oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just found out about this Amendment about an hour ago and I have mixed emotions about it, although I'm going to oppose the Amendment simply because of the comments that have been made about the vague wording in the language. I sympathize with the intent of the Sponsor to do what he seeks to do, however, I think the Bill in its...in its unamended form will simply provide the kind of relief that he seeks through the intent of this Amendment to the degree that I would seek to have it done. So I would agree with Chairman Katz and with Representative Getty and the others who spoke in opposition and...and would prefer that this Amendment not be attached to the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Stearney."



Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask a question of Mr. Davis?"

Speaker Flinn: "He indicates he'll yield."

Stearney: "Are you opposed to Representative Deuster's Amendment because it failed to get out of Committee?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "I'm opposed to the Amendment because I don't think it does what it seeks to do, Representative Stearney."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The previous question has been moved. The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Deuster, to close."

Deuster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the criminal justice system is supposed to give some consideration to the victim of crime.

We have from one end of the State of Illinois to the other a dramatic and startling increase in juvenile crime. Many time you and your constituents will find that some little old lady has had her fence broken. Somebody in your neighborhood has had a window broken, a car has been stolen and smashed, and you will find your citizens and your police departments, who are trying to protect the innocent, the innocent victims are frustrated by the law. The old idea that we would completely have confidentiality of records of the juveniles. If they happened to be juveniles we wouldn't even tell anybody, not even the victim, who it is that caused the damage or the personal injury. During the last Session of the General Assembly, the House and the Senate and the Governor signed into law a provision that I'll read that's right in the law now that says, 'The identity of the minor shall be made available to the victims of the offense.' Unfortunately, in my own case, as Sponsor, I overlooked a qualifying phrase in the law that said 'Where a minor under 17 years of age has been adjudicated a delinquent' That means that we force them to go to court and the Judge would have to find and prove that



he was delinquent. All this Amendment does is to strike out that qualifying language so the intent of the last General Assembly and what I think is the will and the desire of us and our people, will be true in the law and that is in all cases the victim...the victim of juvenile crime will at least have the minimal right to find out who...the identity of the juvenile that caused that damage. This Amendment is supported by the Illinois Municipal League. To my knowledge it's supported by every police department that I know of and I would certainly think that your constituents and mine would feel that although we do want to be considerate and respectful of young people, by gosh when they cause personal injury or property damage we at least must have the right to find out the identity of the person that did it to him and caused that damage. I would urge your consideration and your favorable vote for this Amendment and I must say that I am sorry that...that the circumstances in the Judiciary Committee were that there was hardly a quorum there for many of us who sat for a long, long time. I have a respect for the Committee system but we do have a right to offer Amendments. I think this is a good Amendment and I offer it to this Bill and would appreciate your 'yes' vote. Thank you. "

Speaker Flinn: "The question is, shall House Bill...Amendment #3 be adopted to House Bill 140? All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Borchers, to explain his vote."

Borchers: "...to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, for a simple reason. In my community I know that a lot of damage that has been done by juveniles. I know that some of the juveniles are... particularly 14, 15, 16, 17, actually laugh at the law. They know they can't be hurt, they know their identity is concealed and we've had gangs going around in Decatur, in my area, that deliberately cause thousands of dollars of unnecessary damage. I think this is a good Amendment and I urge that you support it."



Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The speaker
 Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 55
 voting 'aye', 84 voting 'no' and 4 voting 'present' and the
 Amendment loses. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 179."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 179. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
 of the Revenue Act of 1939. Second Reading of the Bill.
 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Is there any motion pertaining to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 179 on page 4, line
 23, by deleting, 'studies for the third' and inserting in
 lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from...where you from, Skinner?"

Skinner: "Anyplace you want."

Speaker Flinn: "St. Clair County..."

Skinner: "The Gentleman from St. Clair moves the adoption of Amendment
 #2, which we thought we adopted in Committee but didn't.
 What it does is defines 25% of market value as the result
 of a 1 year average rather than a 3 year average. This lessens
 the impact of this Bill, which without the Amendment would
 cut the property tax base in Illinois approximately 24%
 and with the Amendment will cut the property tax base by
 12%."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "The Gentleman speaks correctly, we thought we were adopting
 that in Committee but we adopted a technical Amendment instead
 and I have agreed to this Amendment and therefore, I would
 concur, as Chief Sponsor of the Bill, in the Amendment #2
 offered by Representative Skinner and myself. I urge its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If none, all those in favor
 of Amendment #2 being adopted, to House Bill 179, say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is



adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 226, out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. House Bill 247."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 247. A Bill for an Act to amend raffling or drawing for prizes to be conducted by not-for-profit organizations. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Pierce in the Chair."

Speaker Pierce: "House Bill 251. 251."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 251. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions as to the Committee Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 262."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 262. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the Revenue Act of 1939. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading for this good Bill. House Bill 320."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 320. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Probate Act of 1975. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee #1...Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions on the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further Committee Amendments? Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."



Speaker Pierce: "Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise here?"

Schlickman: "It's my understanding, Mr. Speaker, that there is an Amendment to be drafted with respect to this Bill that has not been prepared, printed and distributed. And I think the understanding was that it was to be kept at the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Pierce: "The Chief Sponsor, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Schlickman, there were no...we adopted the Committee Amendment, there were no Amendments from the floor, I was about to move the Bill to Third Reading and Mr. Schlickman, the Gentleman from Cook, indicated that someone is preparing an Amendment and it wasn't as yet prepared. I don't see it here and I'm prepared to move the Bill to Third Reading, unless you desire otherwise."

Mr. Speaker, as a matter of fact, we've had staff and conference with Mr. Schlickman and other parties and it is our intention to offer an Amendment. If you've moved it already you can leave it there and I'll move it back or you can leave it on Second. Whatever is Mr. Schlickman's pleasure."

Speaker Pierce: "I don't believe I've moved it yet, have I Mr. Clerk?"

Schlickman: "No."

Speaker Pierce: "Would you like to leave it on Second? Committee Amendment #1 was adopted and the Bill will remain on Second. House Bill 331."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 331. A Bill for an Act to enact the Illinois Pool, Tabs and Jar Games Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 350."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 350. A Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments on the floor?"



Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments. . . Oh. . . Floor Amendment #1.

Stearney. Amends House Bill 1. . . Amends House Bill 350
on page 1, line 24, by deleting mere evidence of a crime
and. . ."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney, on Amendment
#1."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment
#1 is merely a technical Amendment meant to delete certain
language that the staff had found objectionable because
of the Appellate Court Decision. I ask for a favorable
Roll Call."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further discussion? Mr. Epton, do you wish
to discuss this Bill? Third Reading. We haven't adopted the
Amendment. The Gentleman from Cook moves the adoption
of Amendment #1. All those in favor will say 'aye'; 'aye',
opposed. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 432."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 432. A Bill for an Act to enact the Illinois
Elevator Safety Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments
#1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions on the Committee Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3."

Speaker Pierce: "Kornowicz. . . Getty. . . All right, that. . . the Committee
Amendments have been adopted, Amendment 1 and 2, that brings
us to Amendment 3: Representative Getty."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3. Getty. Amends House Bill 432
on page 10, after line 34, by adding the following."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #3 provides very
simply that the provisions of the Act do not apply to any
installation over for which the municipality with a population
of over 1 million is asserting similar jurisdiction. And
I would ask for adoption of the Amendment."



Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Amendment."

Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #3 say 'aye', opposed? Amendment #3 is adopted.

Any further Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. With leave of the House, we had a mix up a minute ago on House Bill 320. And I understand that there's no problem. We adopted the Amendment, read it a second time. I understand there's no problem on moving that to Third. So House Bill 320 was called, the Amendment...the Committee Amendment adopted. It was read a second time and I...and therefore, Third Reading on House Bill 320, as amended. The Clerk have that? Third Reading on 320. I want... All right, will the Clerk...the Clerk put up 320 a minute? All right, any further Amendments on 320? House Bill 320?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten is recognized. For what reason do you arise, Sir?"

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for the purposes of an introduction. We have a very special guest with us today, a colleague of ours from the State of Idaho, a Legislator in the State of Idaho that I'd like to introduce to the House, the Honorable Tom Siver, Member of the Idaho General Assembly. He's also the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee in the Idaho Legislature. He's not a lawyer and they've only allowed one lawyer in the whole Idaho House. Tom."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Idaho is recognized."

Siver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It is a great privilege, of course, for me to appear before your Body. And with the permission, I suppose, from our Governor I do bring wishes to you from the State of Idaho. We're shipping you all the potatoes we can, we are a little short supplied. Now I know you people don't have big problems in the State of Illinois, but we have tremendous problems in Idaho and I hope you would recognize those sometime.



One moment of history, please. I left the State of Illinois, probably before half of you people were born. I was born in a little town between Clinton and Decatur at Maroa, Illinois 51 years...61 years ago. And I left there 51 years ago and it's a great thrill for me to be back. I've met so many tremendous people in Idaho and a lot of my friends and a few of my relatives that we left. Thanks so much for allowing me to appear here. I would invite all of you to attend Idaho and cool off sometime. Thank you very much."

Speaker Pierce: "Thank you, we bring the State of Idaho greetings from our Governor all the way from Japan. Not in a private jet though. House Bill 447."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 447. A Bill for an Act in relation to school districts income tax and amending certain acts therein named. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions on Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any floor Amendments? Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 505."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 505. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Health Facilities Authorities Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1. Amends House Bill 505 on page 1. Polk. Amends House Bill 505 on page 1, in line 14 and 15 by deleting the word 'financial institution' and inserting therein lieu of the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk, on Amendment #1."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment was prepared for us in Committee and then the Bill moved so fast in Committee, we didn't have a chance to put it on. This is a Section that the banks wanted. This...recognizing that this is something that would benefit the community savings and loans and the banks asked



us to put this Amendment on and I recommend adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Rock Island has moved the adoption of Amendment #1. Any further discussion? All those in... Representative Skinner... The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative..."

Skinner: "I'm not alone in asking what does it do? Could you tell us a clue?"

Polk: "Would the Clerk read the Amendment? Just 2 words."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Rock Island to close on Amendment #1. Representative Skinner wanted further hallucination..."

Polk: "Hallucination? The Amendment changes 'financial institutions' and inserts the word 'one or more banks or savings and loan associations'. Again, the original intent of this is for S and L's, the banks asked that this Amendment be put on, so they have no opposition to the Bill." Mr. Chairman, I move ... I move that we adopt Amendment #1."

Speaker Pierce: "All right. The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed. Amendment #3 is adopted...Amendment #1 is adopted. House Bill 516. Third Reading. Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, I noticed that you went by 513. I don't know of any Amendments or requests for a fiscal note."

Speaker Pierce: "Someone wrote 'out' on it. It just then came up to me."

Stuffle: "It's been passed on the Calendar three times. If there aren't any Amendments I'd like it moved."

Speaker Pierce: "All right. Representative Collins. The Sponsor is here, Representative Polk...the Representative from Rock Island, Mr. Polk." We moved 505 to Third Reading."

Polk: "Yes, Sir. But I wonder if Larry would hold this for one more day?"

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "I've held it two previous times, no one has approached me to ask me about anything to consider an Amendment or otherwise, or even ask about a fiscal note. I filed one anyway...I don't see



why if you don't have an Amendment you don't want it to go to

Third... I want it to go to Third Reading."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman from..."

Stuffle: "If you have an Amendment I'll...Second."

Polk: "No, we don't have an Amendment, there's just some questions,
some additional questions that the staff has."

Speaker Pierce: "The Chair has called House Bill 513. I was
misinformed that the Sponsor wanted the Bill held. He
doesn't want it held. Committee Amendments on 513."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 513. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of
the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman now requests that House Bill 513
be taken out of the record. House Bill 516."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 516. A Bill for an Act to amend the Game Code
of 1971. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted
in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions to Amendment #1? No motions?"

Clerk Leone: "A motion to table Amendment #1. Representative Harris."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, Representative...the Gentleman from
Williamson, Representative Harris...on Committee Amendment
#1."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
I ask leave of the House to table Amendment #1...withdraw
Amendment #2 and adopt Amendment #3."

Speaker Pierce: "Let's go one at a time. You table Amendment...you
move to table Amendment #1?"

Harris: "I move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Pierce: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection,
Amendment #1 is tabled. Now, any floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 516 on page 1,
by deleting line 14 and inserting in lieu thereof, the
following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Williamson, Representative Harris, on
Amendment #2...Floor Amendment #2."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask leave to withdraw Amendment #2."



Speaker Pierce: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Amendment #2 is withdrawn.
Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3. Amends House Bill 516 on page 1, by deleting
line 14 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Williamson, Mr. Harris, on Amendment
#3."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #3.

Any discussion? There's been a request for an explanation,
if the Gentleman wants to explain it. He doesn't wish to
explain it. All those in favor of adoption Amendment #3 will
say 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, for
what purpose do you rise?"

Schlickman: "Simply to have the Gentleman explain his Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "Does the Gentleman wish to explain his Amendment?"

Harris: "Amendment #3. Sir, I'd like to read it for you for an
explanation. It authorizes...it sets a specific sites, for
there are documented cases of lead poisoning of water fowl
and all alternate methods of alleviating lead poisoning. Such
as the watering, flooding or tilling...have been determined to
be unsuccessful in preventing lead poisoning losses of water
fowl. At such specific sites, shot shell ammunition containing
non-toxic pellets such as steel shall be used. These specific
sites may be designated by the Department after a statewide
public hearing."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further discussion? It has been moved that
Amendment #3 be adopted. All those in favor will say 'aye',
opposed? The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "House Bill 525. Third Reading. Third Reading,
House Bill 525."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 525. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal
Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted
in Committee."



Speaker Pierce: "Any motions as to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 532."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 532. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to state money. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 644."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 644. A Bill for an Act to amend the Auditing and Purchasing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian arise?"

Mugalian: "I wondered why 548 was skipped over. I have an Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "At the request of the Sponsor, the Chairman of the Revenue Committee."

Mugalian: "Can I ask the Sponsor, the Chairman of the Revenue Committee, to reconsider? There are 2 Amendments offered from the floor. I think they ought to be disposed of."

Speaker Pierce: "Well all the Amendments will be disposed of at the same time." There may be further significant Amendments. House Bill 644. Amendment #2 has been adopted? Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 662."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 662. A Bill for an Act requiring reimbursement to units of local government and school district. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions as to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."



Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 690."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 690. A Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions as to...any motions as to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further Amendments? Any floor Amendments?
All right, no floor Amendments. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike, arise?
Third Reading."

McPike: "Oh, there is a Floor Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "All right."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3. Amends House..."

Speaker Pierce: "Back to Second Reading...back to Second Reading. I didn't realize, from the Clerk, that there was a floor Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3. Amends House Bill 690 as amended by deleting an enacting clause and adding in lieu thereof, the following. Catania."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I think there are 3 versions filed of this Amendment and I think I want to table the first 2 and go with the 3rd one. Is that correct?"

Speaker Pierce: "We're on Amendment #3. Do you ask leave to table Amendment #3? You can withdraw it, it's a floor Amendment. All right, the Lady asks leave to withdraw Amendment #3 and 4..."

Catania: "And go with Amendment #5."

Speaker Pierce: "The Amendments are withdrawn. Amendment 5...floor Amendment 5."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #5. Amends House Bill 690, as amended, in title and in introducing portions of Section 1 by inserting '211.5 215' immediately before '401' and by inserting immediately below the last line of the introductory portion of the line, the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentlepersion from Cook, Ms. Catania, on Amendment #5."



Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. Amendment #5

would adopt a provision, which is now part of the federal law and make it part of Illinois law. Currently, under federal law, household employees who earn 1,000 dollars or more in a calendar year from a given employer are eligible for unemployment compensation. This would make that provision part of Illinois law and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady requests the adoption of Amendment #5. Any further discussion? All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed? Amendment #5 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6."

Speaker Pierce: "I understand Amendment #6 has been filed but not distributed and we'll therefore have to hold House Bill 690. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike, for what purpose do you rise?"

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill has been on Second Reading now for 11 days, I believe. There's no reason why any Amendment should not have been filed last week...it would have been printed by now. I think these Amendments have been filed at the last moment, only to slow up the process of the House. I'm ready to move the Bill and I think it's ... it's been the policy of any Amendments that are not ready by this time are simply dilatory and I think the Bill should be moved to Third Reading."

Speaker Pierce: "Will the Clerk inform us as to when that Amendment was filed? The Gentleman...it's being printed right now, we'll come back to it. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, on a point of excitement."

Collins: "Hardly excitement, Mr. Speaker, but as you know, the Minority Leader was called home because of a death in the family. He does have Amendments that he wishes to file to this Bill and I would ask that you hold the Bill on Second Reading so he'll have that opportunity upon his return tomorrow."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madigan: "With all due respect to the Minority Leader, I would expect that



the Amendments under discussion are simply a reflection of Bills which failed in the Labor and Commerce Committee, where there were motions filed at the end of last week to take the Bills from the Committee and those motions were not called Friday when the opportunity was presented to the Sponsor. I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that the filing of any Amendments at this time when the Bill has been called for consideration certainly is dilatory and the filing of the Amendment ought to be ruled to be out of order and you ought to move the Bill to Third Reading."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would suggest that neither the Majority nor I nor anybody could speculate as to what these Amendments are that the Minority Leader would like to offer. But if the...the case that these Bills...these Amendments only reflect the motions that were dying on the Calendar last week, I'd say it's in poor grace of the Majority Leader to suggest that we shouldn't consider them for that reason and that reason alone. I ask the Majority Leader, who is responsible for us sitting here wasting six and a half to seven hours last week? Certainly not the Minority Leader, certainly not this side of the aisle. It was the Majority Leader, the Speaker and certain Members of the Majority who put this House in such a posture that we went out of here Friday night in utter chaos, without ever considering anywhere near the number of motions that have been put. Just let me get off that for a moment and say again that neither he nor I can speculate as to what are the proposed Amendments. The Minority Leader is in Kankakee, unfortunately, he and only he can explain what these Amendments are that he wishes to offer and I would suggest that it would be only common decency to waste one more day, till tomorrow, to let him offer these Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, Mr. McPike, do you ask that this Bill go to Third Reading today? The only Amendment up here was just..."



All right. This Bill was on Second Reading all last week. The only Amendment that we haven't reached, after reaching Representative Catania's, was just placed up here today. And if the Gentleman wants to go to...move to Third Reading... Third Reading. House Bill 703. For what purpose...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, you have a motion...we're going to a motion, one motion now." Mr. Peters requests defeat of yours."

Peters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would now move, Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 66(a), to discharge the Committee on Appropriations II from any further consideration of Senate Bill 485 and to advance that Bill to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. This Bill has to do with the appropriation for the funding of the State Police. It is the only Bill that we have in the Appropriations Committee tomorrow. There is no disagreement in terms of the staff and Representative Barnes has discussed this and he is in agreement as, it's my understanding, the Speaker and the Majority Leader, in terms of the purposes of this motion."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman has made a motion on Senate Bill 485, that it be discharged from Committee and placed on Second Reading, First Legislative...Second Legislative Day and on that motion the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, in a spirit of cooperation with the Minority Party and having spoken with Representative Barnes, I will support the motion of Mr. Peters, and I hope again, in the spirit of cooperation that I am joined by Representative Telcser, and Collins and Conti."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Telcser indicates he's in support. The Gentleman from Winnebago, do you want to speak right at this moment?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask Representative Peters to enlighten us as to whether... In my district in Rockford, because quite a bit of the IBI guys are running over each other up there, they were a little concerned because you people were concerned because you people were hysterical about the lay-off, the pending lay-off of state troopers if this money wasn't channeled into the



proper categories. And I want to reassure the people of Winnebago, who are concerned about the crime rate and about the crime rate that the state troopers haven't done a damn thing about, I'd like you to tell us how safe it's going to be. Now that you've got the money, are you going to transfer it around and these IBI guys are going to find duties other than setting radar and raiding all the senior citizen poker games?"

Peters: "Representative Giorgi, I'm certain that with the funds which will be released as a result of this transfer and the increase of pay the police will have other things to do than run around..."

Giorgi: "There won't be any lay-offs then?"

Peters: "No lay-offs...I'm sure they'll have other things to do than raid the poker games that you and I are interested in."

Speaker Pierce: "On the motion, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, you went to this Order of Business before we were finished with House Bill 690. Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker... there are other Amendments filed..."

Speaker Pierce: "That was after we finished 690..."

Telcser: "No, it wasn't."

Speaker Pierce: "690 has been moved to Third Reading."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, you stood on that podium a few moments ago and said you'd hold that on Second Reading until other Amendments had been printed."

Speaker Pierce: "No, I never said that..."

Telcser: "Yes, you did, Mr. Speaker. Representative Schuneman has an Amendment filed."

Speaker Pierce: "His Amendment was dilatory, it was filed today, after that Bill had been on Second Reading for at least a week. On the motion. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we're on a motion right now and I wish everyone would stay to that motion on that point of order. And recognizing that, I'd like to ask Representative Peters some questions on his rationalization on why he wants to move this Bill without going through the Committee process."



I thought everyone around this House thought that the Committee process was so important that everyone has to go through Committees with issues. And I was just wondering on the rationalization, do we need this because of time or what exactly has taken place now that we have to move this Bill without going through the Committee process?"

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman, on the motion."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Pierce: "He's got a right to raise a point of personal privilege."

Schuneman: "Could we have some order, please, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Pierce: "You have order..."

Schuneman: "Thank you...thank you. Mr. Speaker, earlier you ruled that House Bill 690 would remain on Second Reading because of an Amendment, which I filed to this Bill, which has not yet been distributed. Now, Mr. Speaker, I ask you to, in the spirit of cooperation to go back and hold House Bill 690 on Second Reading, as you agreed to do, Mr. Speaker. All during that debate my light was on, Mr. Speaker, and I'm asking you to do this for my Amendment. Now, I'm not certain what Representative Ryan's Amendment would seek to do, but I believe, Mr. Speaker, in the spirit of fair play you should go back and reverse your ruling and hold House Bill 690 on Second Reading until this House has an opportunity to consider that Amendment, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker... Do the rules...Mr. Speaker, do the rules of the House apply to everyone with one exception?"

Speaker Pierce: "They apply to you, Sir, and you're not making a point of personal privilege. Now, the Gentleman is no longer recognized. We have a... Mr. Hanahan's question to Mr. Peters, from Cook. Mr. Peters, do you wish to respond to Representative Hanahan's question on the motion?"

Peters: "Frankly, I forgot the question. I hope Representative Hanahan didn't."

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Hanahan on the question."



Hanahan: "Would the Gentleman yield first? The question I've got, Representative Peters, is that what major importance is there of passing this Bill without going to Committee so fast? I mean, are we trying to get this Bill to the Governor's desk this week or is somebody in dire need that this Bill gets to the Governor this week that it can't go through the orderly, normal process. Nobody has really explained to me what the duress...that is now confronting the citizens of Illinois that we have to move this Bill so fast."

Peters: "I understand your concern for the Committee system, Representative Hanahan, and I hope my explanation will be sufficient to help you realize that we do want to get this Bill out of Committee for the following reasons. One, it is the only Bill the Appropriations Committee had to hear that day. That's some 25 members who then would be tied up hearing one Bill. Secondly, both sides of the aisle, both staffs, have looked at this Bill, have come to the general agreement that should this Bill be heard in Committee it would be passed out as introduced in Committee. So to save the time of the Committee, and secondly to save the time and hearing on the Bill, in terms of Second Reading, Third Reading and so forth, the Chairman of the Committee, as well as the Speaker and in a spirit of cooperation, the Majority Leader, had agreed to support this motion to discharge Committee so that we could get this on the desks so that we can have a vote on Third Reading so that it could get to the Governor's desk so that it could be signed and...and with that I say sayonara."

Hanahan: "Well, the question then that arises in my mind is, what is in the Bill that has...what has happened to cause the necessity of this Bill moving without the inspection of the Committee? Is there raises in here? Is it true that there's been a 30 percent pay raise to some people in this Bill that we're covering up by this fast move or...what...what's going on with this Bill that has caused so many people so much concern?"



Peters: "I...I don't think the 30%... Now, Representative Giorgi, don't cause a problem here. I...I don't think that's the case, Representative Hanahan. I think it's a question of some legitimate miscalculation in how much the department would need and an underestimate in terms of how much might be needed in overtime and in terms of what the cost of the salary increases would be that we did give the state police..."

Hanahan: "Well that's...that's exactly the point I want to come to..."

Peters: "We're 690 thousand dollars short."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, one of the reasons why I'm very concerned about the movement of this Bill without going to Committee is brought up in the fact of exactly what Representative Peters says about the overtime pay. Now just this Session I had a Bill in before the Executive Committee asking for time and a half for overtime in either pay or time and a half off for troopers and I found that they have an administrative rule in the manner in which they pay our troopers overtime. And I've found out that they don't even get paid overtime after a certain amount of hours in a quarter. I'm just wondering why this Bill shouldn't be scrutinized based on the fact that the department itself came into the Executive Committee and to Representative Caparelli and his Committee and argued that they did not want...they did not want a statute guaranteeing overtime payment to troopers that have to work overtime. So you know, it may be that even though we may have a reason to pass...bypass Committee, it seems to me that maybe somebody in that Department, based on the fact that the Bill died last week in the Executive Committee, does not want to be scrutinized on their manner in which they do or do not pay overtime to the state troopers. And..."

Peters: "Representative Hanahan, I know that your concerns here are genuine. I know that you have a great interest in the Bill before the Executive Committee and I would assure you that when the entire law enforcement appropriation comes up that Bill



will receive very close scrutiny. What we're talking about now is an emergency measure of 690 thousand and you know as well as I do that it's not the people that you and I...or you want to get at that will be hurt if this doesn't pass..."

Hanahan: "The last question, Sir, before we vote on this motion. And that is the question of, I understand that right now, presently, we have doubled the security force for the Governor from 25 troopers to 50. Now is that a fact and is the money being used in the passage of this Bill, once again to not allow the scrutiny of the Committee, to figure out why we have doubled the security force in the Governor's Office from 25 to 50 just recently."

Peters: "Representative Hanahan, I have no answer for you in regard to the doubling of the security force. It would seem strange to me if in fact that did happen. My information in regard to the 690 thousand dollars is that it has nothing to do with what you would be talking about. This is strictly for overtime pay that's already due people who are working."

Hanahan: "Well then, in the spirit of cooperation, Sir, I will remove my objections with the Bill. But in the meantime I wish your staff would figure out why the department objected to the statutory provision for overtime pay for the state trooper, number one. And number two, to find out where the money is going, if it is a fact or not that the Governor has doubled his security from 25 troopers to 50 instead of being on the highways trying to save people's lives."

Peters: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti. Assistant Leader."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and I'd like to address my remarks...I had my light on when you were speaking about House Bill 690 and I'd like to address my remarks to the Majority Leader and I'll question the fact of whether he's the Majority Leader or not because...Mr. Ryan is not here today... Let me finish on a point of personal privilege..."



Speaker Pierce: "Comments on 690 are out of order unless it's personal privilege."

Conti: "It's a point of personal privilege. I don't care what you do with 690. But Mr. Ryan is not here today for a very personal reason. And I remember just a few short months ago there would have been a question whether that Speaker up there would have been a Democrat or Republican if it wasn't for the courtesy offered by Mr. Ryan at that day for excusing several Members. Now Mr. Ryan is not here today and I think he is getting some shabby treatment and I question whether the other side has the Majority Leader or not anymore."

Speaker Pierce: "Well no Amendments were filed by the Gentleman from Kankakee. Mr. Barnes on the motion."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, just to add my words with Representative Peters, my...the staff and myself did meet with the Law Enforcement Director, Representative Kosinski was in that meeting. We met with them, with their staff, this Bill is just as Representative Peters has indicated. It is a supplemental for overtime that has occurred in the Department and so I see absolutely no reason for this Bill to go in Committee. We would do absolutely nothing with it but present it to you just as it's being presented to you today and I concur with the Majority Leader and with Representative Peters in this motion to move this Bill to Second Reading so we can proceed along."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "I too would like to speak on personal privilege when it is convenient."

Speaker Pierce: "Well let's finish this motion and we'll have a time set aside later for personal privilege. All right, Mr. Peters, to close."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I think the issue has been well debated. I just ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Pierce: "Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? The adoption of



the motion is before the House. Hearing no objection, the Attendance Roll Call is used. The motion is adopted and the Bill will be discharged from Committee and placed on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. The Clerk will read the Bill."

Peters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 485. Amends fiscal year 1979 appropriations for the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 703. The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 703. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Housing Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, with all due respect, we've always given the courtesy of a Member that rises on a point of personal privilege, as long as she doesn't carry this on, I think..."

Speaker Pierce: "Well, I was going to recognize her until you popped up. I thought you had something very vital to say. The Lady has been very courteous and I now, on a point of personal privilege, recognize the Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent, on a point of personal privilege."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't care what Bill it is but isn't it odd how soon we forget? When there is a death in the family, and there was on this House floor when the Speaker was being elected, our Leader, the Minority Leader, gave our Members the privilege of voting in the place of Representative Kelly. And I just think it's only fair play that if it were you and when it was you you sure wanted it your way. But if it is us and we have something like this happen in our family that we should give every courtesy possible and I would hope they would reconsider their objections."



Speaker Pierce: "All right, there's no motion filed by the Gentleman from Kankakee, as I said. On House Bill 703 are there any Committee Amendments? Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Committee Amendments. Motions 2 and 3."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 703 on page 2, by deleting lines 2 thru 6 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Pierce: "Okay, the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Younge."

Younge: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to table Amendment #2 and..."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Lady withdraws Amendment #2, a floor Amendment and the Amendment is withdrawn. Amendment #3. Do you want to withdraw that as well?"

Younge: "Yes."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge, wishes to withdraw Amendment #3 to House Bill 703. The Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4. Amends House Bill 703 on page 2, by deleting lines 2 thru 6 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following. Representative Younge."

Speaker Pierce: "Representative...the Lady from St. Clair, on Amendment #4."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 becomes the Bill and it adds the word 'Springfield' and makes it conform with the Bill that's going thru the Senate and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yeah, would the Lady yield to a question?"

Younge: "Yes."

Speaker Pierce: "...she will."

Leinenweber: "Representative Younge, why are you adding Springfield to East St. Louis and Chicago?"

Younge: "I'm adding Springfield to make the Amendment conform with the Bill that is going to the Senate."

Leinenweber: "To do what?"

Younge: "To make the Bill conform with the Bill that is going thru



the Senate."

Leinenweber: "Why are you doing that? I mean, why would you add Springfield to East St. Louis and Chicago?"

Younge: "I'm adding Springfield because I think that there ought to be an office of the Illinois Housing Development Authority in Springfield, Illinois too since it's the Capital."

Leinenweber: "Why?"

Younge: "Because the Springfield area has problems too and there ought to be an office of this major Illinois authority in Springfield, Illinois?"

Leinenweber: "Well why don't you go back to your original suggestion and have Springfield, East St. Louis, Marion, Cairo and Chicago?"

Younge: "Because I don't want to. I want the Bill to read as it is in Amendment #4 and I move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has a right to finish his remarks."

Leinenweber: "Well okay, Mr. Speaker, just briefly on the Amendment.

This Bill was heard in Executive Committee and originally the Lady did have the Bill specifying that these particular Illinois Housing Development Authority branch offices be all over the state. It was pointed out that this would be rather costly, unnecessary bureaucratic compiling group of offices and so the Lady did specify that she wanted one in East St. Louis. So she eliminated in Committee all of the offices except for one in East St. Louis and Chicago. The stated reason was that they ought to be available where the areas...where these housing authority developments would be important and necessary. So I suggest to you that people that take advantage of IDA are probably some of the most sophisticated contractors, construction people and money people in the State of Illinois and it's very easy for them to hop on a private jet or a commercial airplane and fly to Chicago to work out the loan arrangements with IDA. It's totally unnecessary to spread this office throughout the State of Illinois. I'm sure by the time this Bill gets out of the Senate there'll probably be one in Joliet, one in Aurora, one in Peoria, one in Rock



Island and all over the state. This is going to be a tremendous expansionary expensive and mind boggeling bureaucratic agency by the time we get through with House Bill 703 and I urge you to defeat Amendment #4 and at least put the Bill back in the way it was and the Sponsor wanted it when it came out of Committee, which gave it an office in East St. Louis."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock, is recognized."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of Amendment #4. The intent of this Amendment, as the Sponsor has indicated, is to give some kind of geographical balance to the office that she seeks to strengthen. The precedent is there, many agencies have Chicago offices and Southern Illinois offices and of course Springfield being the center, an office would logically be here. The same is true on the federal level when they have many regional offices and of course national headquarters in Washington and other local offices. In essence, I think the Amendment is a good Amendment. It tries to give basic administrative geographical balance to an agency that serves a wide and varying constituency within the State of Illinois. I certainly encourage an 'aye' vote on Amendment #4."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I also rise to support this Amendment. I don't understand what Representative Leinenweber is all excited about because even the Amendment passed, judging by that agency's past record, they aren't going to do anything when they get down there anyway. They don't follow any statutes. Now he mentioned the fat cat, they fly into the airport and come in and get a project approved in 15 minutes. I want you to know, that agency is in my district and I go there on the bus and I can't get anything out of it. This is a Bill for the people who are in need. They don't read the statutes. They ought to read the statutes because if they did they'd need to be in East St. Louis and the West Side of Chicago and on 63rd...some parts of the Southwest



area of Chicago where they never have been after a billion dollar appropriation...bonding appropriation. This is a... this Bill is worthy of our positive consideration."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Pierce: "What did you say?"

Skinner: "I said, I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker, your Honorable Sir."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from McHenry has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor will say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed. And the previous question is moved. The Gentleman from...the Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge, to close."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from St. Clair has moved the adoption of Amendment #4. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed. Roll Call. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'no'. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen...voting. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment...on this Amendment there are 76 'aye' votes, 68 'no' votes, 3 voting 'present' and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. Request for a fiscal note. Has the fiscal note been filed? Fiscal note has not been filed. The Bill will remain on Second Reading. Request from Representative Leinenweber." House Bill 710. Mr. Ryan is not here. What is the wish of the Assistant Minority Leader on this series of Bills on Second Reading? ...Proceed with 710 if there's no Amendments? I don't see a Committee Amendment. All right, House Bill 710 on Second Reading."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 710. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading: House Bill 714."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 714. a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Revenue Act of 1939. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 744."

Speaker Pierce: "House Bill 744. A Bill for an Act creating the Policy Number Games Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions on Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 755."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 755. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 757."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 757. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 777."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 777. A Bill for an Act in relation to townships and multi-township assessors. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions as to the Committee Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #9. Skinner."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner, on



Speaker Pierce: "Any amendments from the gentleman?"
Amendment #9."

Clerk Leone: "Amends House Bill 777, as amended, in Sections I."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, it should be noted that there is no Amendment #8. Amendment #9 adds the discretion...gives the Department of Local Government Affairs the discretion to pick certificates given by other appraisal assessing associations other than those named in the Bill, just in case there are some. This meets the requests of Representative Keane, I trust. I offer this Amendment..."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further discussion on Amendment #9? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #9. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed. Amendment #9 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "House Bill 828.. Third Reading. House Bill 828."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 828. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions as to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2.. Stanley. Amends House Bill 828 on page 1, line 1 and 5 by deleting 13-10 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Stanley."

Stanley: "The Gentleman from Cook. I'd like to table...we're talking about Amendment #2 now?"

Speaker Pierce: "We're talking about Amendment #2. Floor Amendment."

Stanley: "I'd like to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Pierce: "Well you could withdraw it...it's a floor Amendment."

The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #2 and Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3. Stanley. Amends House Bill 828 on page 1, line 1 and 5, by deleting 13-10 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."



Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Stanley, on Amendment #3."

Stanley: "Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment would extend the voting hours to 7:00. It goes from 6:00 to 7:00. There were in excess of some 8 Bills heard in Election Committee that were referred to Interim Study. And I ask that you accept this Amendment. Last year we passed the House and the Senate substantially in approving the Amendment...or the Bill that increased the voting hours till 7:00. I think everyone realizes how important it is and I know there are many Members on the other side of the aisle that have endorsed this concept also. And I would ask for your favorable consideration in adopting this Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Question of the Sponsor. What provision does the Bill make for compensating judges for the extra hour of work?"

Stanley: "The Bill is amended to Representative Wolf and I will yield to his comments about that that concerns Judges compensation."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman yields to the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf, the Chief Sponsor, for the answer."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm going to rise in opposition to this Amendment. This Bill is simply a measure... I'm trying to get some increased compensation for the Judges of Elections. Now the Sponsor lost his Bill, he talked to me about the Amendment. When I presented this Bill before the House Elections Committee it would have been sent to a Subcommittee along with the others. I gave my word and assured the Chairman of the Committee that I was not trying to attempt to use this as a vehicle for anything other than the purpose which I introduced the Bill for and that is to get an increase in compensation for the registrars and the Judges of elections and the mileage for downstate electors. I told the Sponsor of these Amendments that I did not want to have them, that I would rise in opposition and if by some strange coincidence they should be put on this Bill I'll either table the Bill or



send it to Interim."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I believe the Sponsor of the Bill is quite correct as far as his comments to the Membership of the floor, for that reason the Bill came out of Committee. But we also heard discussion on the concept that is Amendment #3 and in the Committee it was also pointed out the difficulty in having people serve as Judges, of both political parties, as far as the hours that they are presently... a 12 hour day...where the polls are open for 12 hours. But in all reality you're talking about a 14 or 14 and-a-half hour day presently. Now this Bill adds an additional hour and unless the Gentleman can assure me that both political parties will have an ample number of people serving...because I know as a Democratic Committeeman in the City of Chicago I have difficulty in making sure that every precinct has the proper number of Judges, people willing to serve, under very trying circumstances. And I know I do have vacancies on the Republican Party and I'm sure that the reverse is also true in other portions of this state from both political parties. And for this reason, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I stand in opposition of Amendment #3 and it should be defeated."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Representative Lechowicz made a good point about the difficulty in finding election Judges. I rise in support of this Amendment, however, because as an Amendment to an increased compensation Bill for election Judges it seems to me that we're part way toward a solution of Representative Lechowicz's problem. Keeping the polls open until 7:00 at night, a 13 hour election day, is not at all out of line with practices in other states, particularly practices in other Northern industrialized states. People these days working downtown, working far away from home, have trouble making it to the polls to vote for the candidates of



their choice, to vote for us. I ask the House to support this Amendment. Thank you very much."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stanley, to close."

Stanley: "Thank you very much. This is certainly not an attempt to kill Representative Wolf's Bill, it's an attempt to strengthen it. And I think based on both the substantial support that we have received in this House and in the Senate last year in the 7:00 voting hours, you know, I respectfully request your support for this Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 828. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed... The 'noes' have it. How many hands do we have for the Roll Call? All right, the Clerk will take the roll. All in favor will vote 'aye', all opposed will vote 'no' on the adoption of Amendment 3. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wilf, you spoke in debate, Sir, I don't believe you can explain your vote. All right, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I spoke against this Bill last Session. When we had the November election, and some of you may know I'm Chairman of the Lake County Democratic Central Committee, we had between 100 and 200 vacancies in Democratic Judges. The problem will be amplified if we extend the hours. So I would urge the Members, and I also wanted to make the point, last Session I had a Bill that was very important to my district and it was an election Bill. I had a very difficult time because I had to put an Amendment on another Bill because Representative Stanley put this Amendment on my Bill and I don't want to see that happen to my good friend Jake Wolf, who's helped me so much in Appropriations Committee. Thank you."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to encourage your vote in favor of this Amendment. You know, out of fairness to the Sponsor of this Amendment let me say that all of these



7:00 closing hours...voting hours were sent to Interim Study, I believe, mainly because they included a provision where the state would pay for part of the compensation of the Judges. This was a new wrinkle and some of us didn't like it. But I think that the people are entitled to an extra hour in the evening to vote and not only do I think that but I remember the Clerk of the great County of Cook, Stan Kessler, came out openly at a press release that he favored the 7:00 closing hour. And I would think some of you boys from Cook County on the other side would support your County Clerk, who certainly knows what the needs of the electorate are when it comes to establishing election hours. I also resent the fact that the Sponsor of the Bill, who appeared before the Election Committee, were asked first, before they could get a vote from the Majority Party, to whether they would resist any Amendments to the Bill. I think that's unfair. I think this Bill would have gone out of the Committee regardless of the action of the Sponsor. I don't think that's really cricket. I think it's unfair and I think we ought to pass this Amendment and get a 7:00 closing hour on the polls."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf, I think you already yielded a time. You didn't use your own time in debate, you were yielded time by the Gentleman from Kane so I'll let you explain your vote."

Wolf: "At any rate, Mr. Speaker, my name was mentioned in debate so I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Pierce: "Either way...either way."

Wolf: "I would just like to reiterate, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that whatever the circumstances of the question before the Committee, it's that I gave my word. And to me, in politics and down here your word is golden. If your word is no good you might as well pack up and go home. Let me repeat, if this Amendment goes on this Bill I will either table it or send it to Interim."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, to explain his vote."



Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I want to explain my 'no' vote. I don't feel the issue here is whether or not we should have 7:00 closing time. The issue is, are we going to increase the workload for a Judge? Now if the Amendment had the voting time to start at 7:00 and go to 7:00 then I'd be in favor of it. But what he's doing is increasing by one hour the amount of time that he wants the Judge to work and not increasing the...proportionately the increase for the Judges and that's why I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further discussion? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 77 'aye' votes...on this Amendment... Yes, the Gentleman from Kane, 'Mr. Stanley.'"

Stanley: "Yes, I'd like a verification of the negative Roll Call and a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Pierce: "Well first we have to announce the results. On this Amendment, the adoption of Amendment #3, 77 'aye' votes, 78 'no' votes, 5 voting 'present' and the Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees prior to his verification. For what reason does the Gentleman from Cook, Assistant Majority Leader, Mr. Taylor, arise?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. We have here with us from the 14th District, Our Lady of Mercy School, they're up in the gallery here and they're represented by Representative Ronan, Representative Farley and Representative Abramson. Give the kids a hand."

Speaker Pierce: "The Clerk will proceed with a poll of the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Bell. Breslin. Daniels. Getty. Grossi. Hudson. Kane. Kozubowski. McMasters. Mulcahey. Pullen..."

Speaker Pierce: "For what reason does the Gentleman from Winnebago rise, Mr. Mulcahey?" Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, please record me as voting 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Mulcahey votes 'no'."

Clerk Leone: "Pullen. Ryan. Schuneman. Telcser. Waddell. Watson. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Pierce: "The Chair recog...represents Mr...recognizes the Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster, to vote 'aye'. Mr. McMaster



votes 'aye'. The Gentleman wishes to persist in the verification. All right, the Gentleman from Kane has asked for a verification of the 'no'...of the negative prevailing side. On the tie vote the negative is the prevailing side. I believe we stand at 78 - 78, is that correct, Mr. Clerk? 79 - 78. The Gentleman asks for a poll of the...asks for a verification of which side? The negative side. Does anyone request a verification of the prevailing side? Representative Bullock requests a verification of the prevailing side. 78 'aye', 79 'no'. The 'no's' are the prevailing side. Okay, we have a request of both the negative and the affirmative. We wish an oral...do we have leave for an oral verification? Leave. We'll proceed with the oral verification. We will take a Verified Oral Roll Call alphabetically on both sides. The Clerk can dump the Roll Call. The Clerk will call the roll. Please remain seated. Everyone remain seated and rise when your name is called and press your buttons 'aye', 'nay' or 'present'. The Clerk will...the Clerk will proceed with the Verified Oral Roll Call."

Clerk Leone: "Abramson. Abramson. Abramson passed. Ackerman. Ackerman 'aye'. Alexander. Alexander 'no'. Anderson. Anderson 'aye'. Balanoff. Balanoff 'aye'. E.M. Barnes. E.M. Barnes passes. Jane Barnes. Jane Barnes 'aye'. Beatty. Beatty. Beatty passes. Bell. Bell 'no'...Bell passes. Bianco. Bianco 'no'. Birchler. Birchler passes. Birkinbine. Birkinbine 'aye'. Bluthardt. Bluthardt 'aye'. Borchers. Borchers 'aye'."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Bluthardt, will you hit your button, please?"

Clerk Leone: "Boucek. Boucek passes. Bower. Bower 'aye'. Bowman. Bowman 'aye'. Bradley. Bradley 'no'. Preston. Preston 'aye'. Braun. Braun 'aye'. Breslin. Breslin. Breslin passes. Brummer. Brummer passes. Bullock. Bullock 'present'. Campbell. Campbell passes. Capparelli. Capparelli 'no'. Capuzi. Capuzi 'aye'. Catania. Catania 'aye'. Chapman. Chapman 'aye'. Christensen. Christensen 'no'. Collins. Collins 'aye'. Conti. Conti 'aye'. Cullerton. Cullerton 'no'. Currie. Currie 'aye'. Daniels. Daniels passes. Darrow.



Darrow 'no'. Davis. Davis passes. Dawson. Dawson passes.
 Deuster. Deuster passes. DiPrima. DiPrima 'no'. Domico.
 Domico 'no'. Deuster. Donovan. 'no'. Donovan 'no'.
 Doyle. Doyle passes. John Dunn. John Dunn 'aye'. Ralph
 Dunn. Ralph Dunn 'no'. Dyer. Dyer passes. Ebbesen.
 Ebbesen 'aye'. Woodyard. Woodyard 'no'. Epton. Epton 'aye'.
 Ewell. Ewell 'no'. Ewing. Ewing 'no'. Farley. Farley 'no'.
 Frederick. Frederick 'no'. Flinn. Flinn 'aye'. Flinn 'no'.
 Friedland. Friedland 'aye'. Friedrich. Friedrich 'aye'.
 Gaines. Gaines 'aye'. Garmisa. Garmisa 'no'. Getty.
 Getty passes. Giorgi. Giorgi passes. Goodwin. Goodwin 'no'.
 Greiman. Greiman 'aye'. Griesheimer. Griesheimer passes.
 Grossi. Grossi passes. Hallock. Hallock 'aye'. Hallstrom.
 Hallstrom 'aye'. Hanahan. Hanahan passes. Hannig. Hannig
 'aye'. Harris. Harris passes. Hoffman. Hoffman 'no'.
 Hoxsey. Hoxsey 'no'. Hudson. Hudson. Hudson passes.
 Huff. Huff 'no'. Huskey. Huskey 'aye'. Jaffe. Jaffe 'aye'.
 Johnson. Johnson passes. Dave Jones. Dave Jones 'aye'
 Emil Jones. Emil Jones 'aye'...no? Emil Jones 'no'.
 Kane. Kane passes...Kane passes. Katz. Katz 'aye'. Keane.
 Keane 'no'. Kelly. Kelly 'no'. Kempiners. Kempiners 'aye'.
 Kent. Kent 'no'. Klosak. Klosak 'aye'. Kornowicz. Kornowicz
 'no'. Kosinski. Kosinski 'no'. Kozubowski. Kozubowski passes.
 Kucharski. Kucharski 'aye'. Laurino. Laurino passes.
 Lechowicz. Lechowicz 'no'. Leinenweber. Leinenweber 'aye'.
 Leon. Leon 'no'. Leverenz. Leverenz 'aye'. Macdonald.
 Macdonald 'aye'. Madigan. Madigan 'no'. Mahar. Mahar 'aye'.
 Margalus. Margalus 'no'. Marovitz. Marovitz 'aye'. Matijevich.
 Matijevich 'no'. Matula. Matula 'aye'. Mautino. Mautino
 passes. McAuliffe. McAuliffe 'aye'. McBroom. McBroom passes.
 McClain. McClain 'no'. McCourt. McCourt 'aye'. McGrew.
 McGrew 'no'. McMaster. McMaster 'aye'. McPike. McPike 'no'.
 Meyer. Meyer 'aye'. Molloy. Molloy passes. Mugalian.
 Mugalian 'aye'. Mulcahey. Mulcahey 'no'. Murphy. Murphy 'no'.
 Kulas. Kulas 'no'. Neff. Neff 'aye'. Oblinger. Oblinger 'aye'.



O'Brien. O'Brien passes. Patrick. Patrick 'no'. Pechous.
 Pechous passes. Peters. Peters 'no'. Piel. Piel passes.
 Pierce. Pierce passes. Polk. Polk passes. Pouncey. Pouncey
 'no'. Pullen. Pullen passes. Rea. Rea passes. Reed.
 Reed passes. Reilly. Reilly passes. Richmond. Richmond 'no'.
 Rigney. Rigney 'aye'. Robbins. Robbins 'aye'. Ronan.
 Ronan 'no'. Ropp. Ropp passes. Ryan passes. Sandquist.
 Sandquist 'aye'. Satterthwaite. Satterthwaite 'aye'.
 Schisler. Schisler passes. Schlickman. Schlickman 'aye'.
 Schneider. Schneider 'aye'. Schoeberlein. Schoeberlein 'aye'.
 Schraeder. Schraeder 'aye'. Schuneman. Schuneman passes.
 Sharp. Sharp passes. Henry. Henry 'no'. Simms. Simms 'aye'. Skinner.
 Skinner. Skinner 'aye'. Slape. Slape 'no'. Stanley.
 Stanley 'aye'. Stearney. Stearney 'aye'. Steczo. Steczo 'aye'.
 E. G. Steele. E. G. Steele 'aye'. C. M. Stiehl. C. M. Stiehl
 'no'. Stuffle. Stuffle 'aye'. Sumner. Sumner 'no'.
 Swanstrom. Swanstrom 'aye'. Taylor. Taylor 'no'. Telcser.
 Telcser 'aye'. Terzich. Terzich 'no'. Totten. Totten 'aye'.
 Tuerk. Tuerk 'no'. Van Duyne. Van Duyne 'no'. Vinson.
 Vinson 'aye'. Vitek. Vitek 'no'. VonBoeckman. VonBoeckman
 'no'. Waddell. Waddell passes. Walsh. Walsh 'aye'.
 Watson. Watson passes. White. White. White 'no'. Wikoff.
 Wikoff passes. Willer. Willer 'aye'. Williams. Williams
 passes. Winchester. Winchester 'aye'. J. J. Wolf. J. J. Wolf
 'no'. Sam Wolf. Sam Wolf 'no'. Younge. Younge 'no'. Yourell.
 Yourell 'no'. Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker passes."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Cook... Anyone wish to be added to the
 Roll Call? The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald."

Macdonald: "I'd like to be recognized after the Roll Call is announced,
 please."

Speaker Pierce: "The Roll Call is on the board. We're not ready for that
 yet, excuse me. I'm sorry, I didn't realize that was your
 purpose. The Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, press your button. All right, the Gentleman



from Cook, Mr. Pechous."

Pechous: "Sir, I'd like to be recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Pierce: "Pechous 'aye'. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "No."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Hanahan votes 'no'. The Gentleman from Peoria,

Mr. Schraeder votes 'no'. The Gentleman from Effingham,

Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Please record me 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Brummer votes 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook,

Mr. Barnes. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty. Mr. Beatty

votes 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien. Mr. O'Brien

voted 'aye'? All right, the Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler."

Schisler: "No."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Schisler votes 'no'. The Gentleman from

Cook, Mr. Bullock. Mr. Bullock votes 'no'. The Gentleman

from...the Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Pierce: "Mrs. Hoxsey changes from 'no' to 'aye'. The Gentleman

from Vermilion...Hoxsey you can...Mrs. Hoxsey, you can push

your switch. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell, 'aye'

and vote your switch. All right, the Gentleman from Champaign,

Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Just to explain my vote, my 'no' vote is in respect to

the Sponsor's wishes and not on the merits of the Amendment

itself. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "All right. We're on a verification. The Gentleman

from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer. 'Aye'. The Gentleman from

Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf. The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff.

'Aye'?"

Wikoff: "No."

Speaker Pierce: "Okay, you Gentlemen are suppose to stand up and say

orally how you're voting as well as pushing your button. The

hand signals sometimes are confusing. The Gentleman from

Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Vote me 'aye', please."



Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Deuster changes from 'present' to 'aye'...from passed to 'aye', I think it was. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "As soon as you're finished...I'd like to be recognized for a motion."

Speaker Pierce: "Oh, as soon as you're finished... All right, all right, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson, 'no'. All right, the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor of the Bill doesn't want this Amendment and he's indicated he's going to table the Bill. I think the Bill has merit. Switch me from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "Change Mr. Leinenweber from 'aye' to 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Change me to 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Leverenz changes to 'no'. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr... The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "In accordance with the Sponsor's wishes I'd like to be voted 'no' rather than 'yes'."

Speaker Pierce: "All right. The...the Gentleman from Harden, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "In accordance with the Sponsor's request, vote me 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Boucek."

Boucek: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Boucek votes 'aye'. The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Sharp. Vote...vote him 'no'. Vote Mr. Sharp 'no'. Any further changes? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe in the Amendment but I will go along with the wishes of the Sponsor so please vote me 'no', please."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Piel votes 'no'. Any further changes?"

If not, the Clerk...wait, we have some more there, we have some more there.

The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, could I be recorded as voting 'no', please?"

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Schuneman votes 'no'. The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "Vote me 'no', please."

Speaker Pierce: "Votes 'no'... Any further changes? All right, the

Clerk will now take the Verified Roll Call. On this question, the



adoption of Amendment #3, there are 72 'ayes', 77 'no's' and the motion fails...the Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4. Stanley. Amends House Bill 828, as amended in the title and in the introductory portion of Section I by."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald, do you want to be recognized?"

Macdonald: "2? Amendment #3?"

Speaker Pierce: "All right, Representative Stanley on Amendment 4."

Stanley: "Thank you very much. This is another progressive Amendment and what this would do is move the primary day here in Illinois to the last Tuesday in April. And let's keep in mind a couple things, one that there were no Bills regarding primary date change and the last session there are currently no Bills that are alive to do that in this Session. And I would respectfully submit or ask for your support in affirming this Amendment. I'm not saying that April is the only day but there are a number of Bills that were introduced in Committee moving it to September, to the second Tuesday in May, to the third Tuesday in May and so forth and so on. And I think getting it off ground where we are now, due to the inclement weather and a lot of other reasons certainly merit some consideration in changing the date where it is. Thank you very much and I appreciate your affirmative action."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #4. The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson, is recognized."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition of this Amendment. It seems to me that when we have good ideas or bad ideas and they put to the Committee process and the Committee decides in its wisdom, as it did in the areas of the ...in those Bill that would have changed the date of the primary, that you try to put them on all sorts of vehicle Bills and it really didn't make a lot of sense. But as to the merits of an April primary itself, I would urge all of you Legislators from District 32 through 59 to look carefully and see what this



Amendment is going to do to agriculture, to the farmers who are planting the crops and out in the field from 4:00 in the morning until after midnight during the time that they'd have to be voting in a primary election. If you want to disenfranchise a good segment of the people of agricultural areas and agricultural districts, voting for this Stanley Amendment is one way to do it. In addition to that, I would urge all of you to look and see how many Session days in each of the years that we've met, or in each of the days...each of the years that each one of you have been Members of the General Assembly that we've had by when this primary would be held. And you'll see that it...it's obvious that we're not going to have a ... a single Session day or more than one or two days before the primary election is held. That just isn't a practicality. We're not going to give our opponents, if we're incumbents, an opportunity to go out and campaign while we're down here for two or three months. So the practical effects of this Amendment is that we're going to have to jam a whole legislative process into two months and if you think it's chaotic now meeting all the deadlines we have now for Committees and House Bills and Senate Bills, just try it with this primary date. We'd be meeting till midnight or 1 or 2 or 3 or 4:00 in the morning every day, 7 days a week, between the time of the primary election and...and late June or whenever we finally adjourn. So I certainly urge all of you to look very carefully at this. This isn't a progressive Amendment. There's very little difference between the weather in late March and late April. All it is is an attempt to make a...evade the Committee process and I certainly urge you all, for whatever reason you choose, and there's plenty of them there, to vote 'no' on this ridiculous Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers.

You don't have your speaking light on but you're shouting."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I beg to differ with you. I'm looking at it flashing off and on right now."



Speaker Pierce: "It's burnt out on the board."

Borchers: "Okay. I've been ten years, I guess you might say twelve years, listening to something along these lines every session. Everytime I've had to get up and point out something. During March, it's generally very damp poor weather. It's half snow, half rain, the roads are muddy and when I hear somebody say that it's going to interfere with farming I know it's wrong because I know better. We're theoretically farmers. Now when you take the last of March and the first of...the last of April there's a months difference and a critical time of the year. And that's the changing of spring...the synthetic spring to real spring. Now it's possible that you might be lucky and begin to plow and to disc and to plant and all that sort of stuff but generally not in the end of April. Now right now, and this is the middle of May, you all drive down through the land, which I did this morning coming over, you're going to see the farmers out with their tractors everywhere planting and putting the crop in. Now, I'm sick and tired of having to campaign, if I do it anymore, which God knows whether I will or I won't, but if I have to campaign at least give me the chance to campaign when it's beginning to turn a little green and the birds have come back from the South, which half of them aren't in the last of March, and let's have it at a logical, reasonable time. We...we're the only country on earth that postpones our elections for, let me see for a moment, March, April, May, June, July, August, September, October, November, 10 months...9 months, nearly a whole year later. In England, where they have a little common sense in their elections...within around 60 days and not over...much over 90 days at the most. Well let's use a little common sense in relation to our voting times for primary and before the general election. Even then it's too long, but it's time to change to a more logical, reasonable time in relation to weather, whether it's half good weather and the farmers have a chance to get in and vote, which they still do in April and it does not interfere with the



planting of the crops. So that being the agricultural argument so my mind is off the track. I don't know who made it but I don't think they know a horse from a plow."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "I do want to take issue with the immediate preceding Speaker when he says he doesn't know what...we don't know what we're doing when we talk about farmers being in the field in April. Believe me, the prime time for planting corn, if the weather is possible instead of wet like it was this year, is from the middle of April on. And we farm a lot more ground than we usually do. We have to get in the field earlier and anyone that says that we're not planting corn in April is full of bunk, because we are planting when we can plant corn in April. And I make a suggestion that we do not vote for this Amendment. If you're going to amend the primary date then move it past May. Don't monkey around between April and May. So I would say that move it further than what you're doing with April and don't move it into May, go into June. And we had June primaries at one time. Most of us recall that. And the people in the suburbs screamed and hollered because people are on vacations in June. So I don't know what time they're going to move it to. I'd just as soon leave it where it is, I guess."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise to support the Amendment. I agree with Representative McMaster that even a later date would be better but I think the 5 weeks extension this Amendment offers us over the third Tuesday in March is well worth having. As to the agricultural community that Representative Johnson was so concerned about, perhaps if he'd voted 'yes' on the previous Amendment the farmers would at least have had an extra hour in which to make it to the polls. I object to the tone of Representative Johnson's concern with this Amendment. His distress is with the legislative schedule. The point of this Amendment is to make it easier for voters to participate in elections, not to be concerned with how we



Legislators will respond to our duties in Springfield. The point here is to encourage people to participate in the electoral process. I think they're more ready to do so when the elections they're voting in are closer in time to the offices those elections are meant to fill. And I think they are readier to do so when the campaign itself happens when the weather is decent and when on election day they have an opportunity to get to the polls. I urge your support. Thank you."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, again not addressing myself to either the merits or the demerits of the Amendment, I would ask for its defeat for the same reason stated in the previous Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Pechous."

Pechous: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question, the main question. The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and we revert to the main question. The Sponsor of the Amendment, to close, the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Stanley."

Stanley: "I would just request an affirmative Roll Call on this. I think it's time we talked about changing the primary date and it's time we move it toward a period when there was...the weather was better and more people could vote here in Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Pierce: "The Clerk will take the Roll...on the... The Gentleman moves to adopt Amendment #4 to House Bill 828 and the Clerk will take... All those in favor will say 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. We have five hands? All right, Roll Call. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook...the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote."

Skinner: "How on earth could anything be worse than the primary date we



have now. I would suggest that if we don't make it later, next year our constituents may think we're responsible for not removing the snow and may remove us as they removed the Mayor of Chicago."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff, to explain his vote."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As Representative Skinner brought out, how can it be a worse date than the date we've had it on for the last several years? I think each and everyone of us have a lot of complaints on the March primary date. Like many others, I would rather see a later date than April, but we can't seem to get together on that. As far as a...I live in a rural area and as far as the farmers can't get to vote, that's ridiculous. Any farmer has a polling place within a half hour of where he's...where he votes at and therefore that will not keep the farmer away if he happens to be busy in the fields. And therefore, I think this is a good Amendment and should be supported."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite, to explain her vote."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm voting in support of this. I had a Bill of my own which the Subcommittee approved in Elections, that would have made our primary date the first Tuesday in May. As I indicated to that Committee, it would be acceptable to me to have it either the last Tuesday in April or the first Tuesday in May. Unfortunately, the Committee as a Whole decided not to send out any change in the primary date. Unlike my colleague from Champaign I feel that we will have plenty of time to have an election at this date and still do our legislative duty here. As you will recall, in an election year we are not in the kind of crunch that we are now with substantive Bills. In the second year of the Session we are handling primarily appropriation Bills. And I've had records from the Clerk's Office that indicate that we are in Session very few days



previous to the end of April in the second year anyway. So I don't think it would in any way handicap the Members who are running for re-election. I think instead we would be better able to tell our constituency what we have been doing in Illinois, what we plan to do for them in the appropriation process that year and bring it closer to a time when we could expect the poorer people from our districts, who do not have automobiles to get to the polls, or do not have automobiles that allow them to have easy access to political forums previous to the primary election, to be able to participate more fully in the election process. I think that outweighs whatever slight inconvenience it might have to the Members of this Body to be able to get a fuller participation from the people and I urge your support."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Livingston to explain his vote, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I too support this measure. I think any date which moves it closer to the general election would probably be for the benefit. And if we, like the Gentleman from Champaign said, were crushed to do our work in a shorter time, maybe we'd do a lot less messing around and cover a lot less trivia. It probably would be good for us and for the State of Illinois and I urge your support."

Speaker Pierce: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I think the issue has been made clear again and again. The Sponsor of the Bill has great intentions. He wants a salary increase for people who work at the polls. They deserve it and the concepts that have been raise on the floor today are substance of other Bills that have not gotten out of Committee. I think we'd do ourselves honor if we kill these Amendments and allow the people who need the money to get an extra increase for working long, arduous hours at the polls."



Speaker Pierce: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment there are 74 'aye', 84 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present' and the Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. On Third Reading appears House Bill 1939 on page 35. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania, desires that this Bill be returned to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I believe that earlier we tabled Amendment #1, which had been adopted in Committee. And I would just move to adopt Amendment #2, which makes a one word change on page 2."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, you have leave, the Bill is back on Second Reading. Now what is your...what is your motion, Ma'am?"

Catania: "I would like to have it verified by the Clerk that we have tabled Amendment #1."

Speaker Pierce: "We took it out of the record."

Catania: "All right, then I ask leave to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Pierce: "Is that a Committee Amendment?"

Catania: "Yes, which I offered in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, we have a motion filed to table Amendment #1. You ask for leave, as the Sponsor. Do we have unanimous leave to table Amendment #1? Leave is granted, Amendment #1 is tabled."

Catania: "Now I move to adopt Amendment #2, which makes a 1 word technical change. It adds the word 'of' in line 27, page 2. I move to adopt Amendment #2."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Cook moves to adopt Amendment #2. Is that Amendment 3 or 2?"

Catania: "2."

Speaker Pierce: "Amendment #2. You move the adoption of Amendment #2?"

Catania: "That's correct."

Speaker Pierce: "All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed... The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."



Brummer: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor would explain a little more fully what's going on here? I was looking in the...in the Digest and apparently House Amendment #1, which was adopted in Committee, deleted everything after the enacting clause and provided an entirely new substantive Bill. It would appear that that was the basis upon which this Bill went out of Committee and now this Amendment was tabled without any discussion and the original content of the Bill is being changed by one word. It all sounds very innocent but as we look at the history of this Bill, without the substance...I don't know what the substance is. I was wondering if the Sponsor would explain the...substance of what the original Bill is, what House Amendment #1 provided, which deleted everything after the enacting clause and now what House Amendment #2 provides."

Catania: "Okay, there is a file right in front of you which has Amendment #1 and Amendment #2 and I just went over and checked it to be sure this is totally accurate. The House Democrat staff person pointed out that the word 'of' was missing on line 27, page 2 of the Bill. And the way in which the Amendment was drafted by the staff just happened to be a complete reprinting of Amendment 1 with the word 'of' inserted. I'll be happy to explain what it does if you'd like to have..."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has withdrawn his objections. The Lady has offered the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed and Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3. Catania. Amends House Bill 1939, as amended, in the last sentence of new Section 708 by deleting 'jeopardize' and inserting in lieu thereof 'seriously injure'."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania, on Amendment #3."

Catania: "Amendment #3 was suggested by Representative Johnson in the Judiciary I Committee. On page 2 of the Bill where it discusses jeopardy to the physical, mental or emotional health



of a party or of a minor child. We are substi...substituting the words 'seriously endangered' to increase the standard by which the case would be judged and I move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Representative Catania, are these substantive rather than technical changes? I'm sorry, I didn't hear that too well. I thought you said that it makes it tougher...the substance of the Bill, as I understand it, is the noncustodial parent who is normally the husband or the father rather, may be denied visitation rights if his coming around to the house makes the wife, or the former wife, emotionally upset. And as I remember, the original Bill said that that had to be where he had either struck her or harassed her. And has that been changed or what does this Amendment do now to that?"

Catania: "This amends one word in Section 708 where we're talking about whether the custodial parents street address ought to be disclosed and this would make it easier for the noncustodial parent, in your example the father, to continue to have knowledge of the street address and visitation rights. Because it would have to be established that his presence or his knowledge of the street address would seriously endanger the minor child. So Representative Johnson requested this so that the noncustodial parents rights would be stronger in this case."

Deuster: "So this Amendment only relates to the child, to the fact that his conduct would seriously endanger the child rather than the spouse?"

Catania: "No, it relates to both. It says, if the court finds that the physical, mental or emotional health of a party or that of a minor child or both would be seriously endangered, instead of jeopardized, by disclosure of the party's address."

Deuster: "I see. Thank you."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "No, just roll it..."



Speaker Pierce: "Griesheimer: The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer. The Lady from Cook has moved the adoption of Amendment #3. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed. Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments? We understand Amendment #4 is a duplicate of 3. Do you withdraw Amendment #4?"

Catania: "I didn't call it in the first place. The Clerk skipped Amendment #3 and we've now untangled the whole mess. Let's withdraw it. Thank you."

Speaker Pierce: "Amendment #4...Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. We have one other Bill we want to move back to Second at the request of a Republican Member. Representative Skinner has a motion as to House Bill 750, which appears on Third Reading. And that is on page...page 25. On House Bill... The Gentleman moves...asks leave to return House Bill 750 to the Order of Second Reading. Leave. Any objections? House Bill 750 is returned to the Order of Second Reading. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, Mr. Clerk, have any Amendments been adopted to House Bill 750?"

Clerk Leone: "No Amendments have been adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman...the Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #1. Is that a Committee Amendment?"

Skinner: "That is my Amendment. Floor Amendment... It's a floor Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman has leave to withdraw Amendment #1."

Skinner: "I'd offer Amendment #2 which specifically exempts..."

Speaker Pierce: "The Clerk will read...do we have Amendment 2?"



Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Skinner. Amends House Bill 750 on page 1, line 1 and 6, by changing '3' to '4' and by deleting all of page 1 after line 8 and all of pages 2 and 3 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is about a three term project of mine that has gotten snafued each time it came around. What I am attempting to do is require all gravel pits to rehabilitate the pits after they've finished with them instead of leaving them looking like craters of the moon as they do through much of Northern Illinois. Amendment #1 would have required every operator of...engaged in surface mining to obtain a permit from the Department of Mines and Minerals before proceeding. That was too broad. I did not wish to cover strip mines, which are covered in Representative McMaster's Bill. So Amendment #2 exempts fossil fuels from this requirement to apply for a permit since they have to apply for permits already. I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman moves the adoption to Amendment...of Amendment #2 to House Bill 750. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer. All those in favor of adopting Amendment #2 will say 'aye', opposed. Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 1202 appears on the Order... We'll return to Second in a minute. House Bill 1202 appears on the Order of Third Reading, page 29. And on that Mr. Kelly is recognized. He asks leave to remove the Bill to Second Reading. Is that correct?"



Kelly: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Pierce: "Does he have unanimous consent? House Bill 1202 is returned to the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Kelly "

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to ask Representative Harry Leinenweber to explain the Amendment which he had sponsored, Amendment #2 to House Bill 1202."

Speaker Pierce: "Okay, the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1202 is a comprehensive recodification of the abortion law of 1979. Amendment #2 seeks to add an additional provision to the abortion law in the State of Illinois and basically to provide a procedure whereby the father of an unborn fetus may initiate a proceeding in court to enjoin an abortion providing he is willing to stand all expenses of prenatal, postnatal and raising of the child. And also provided he is willing to accept complete and total custody of the child. It's a concept which is...to my knowledge is somewhat new in the law, although the Illinois General Assembly did consider this point several years ago in a proposed legislation by another Member of the General Assembly. I think it's a good idea. It will recognize, for the first time, the equal right of the father of the unborn child to the destiny of the unborn child. The procedure is reasonable, it permits a court proceeding, it cannot be forced upon the mother if it's the court's finding that it will endanger her life or her health. The provision, as I pointed out, does recognize the equal rights of the father to the unborn child, provided he is willing to accept total responsibility. This would alleviate the problem which continually gets raised, that we are trying to force the woman to modify her life to an unwanted child. She will no longer be responsible for the child, she will no longer have custody unless the court proceedings determine that after the birth of the child that the custody ought to be with the mother.



I would answer any questions regarding the Amendment but otherwise would urge the adoption."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Leinenweber: "Yes."

Yourell: "Harry, as you indicated, this is not a new concept. I had sponsored a Bill such as this about 8 to 10 years ago in the General Assembly. Can you tell me, I know you're always concerned with the Constitutionality of legislation that we... that we pass out of here, can you tell me if this provision or a similar provision has been ruled unconstitutional by the Supreme Court of the United States?"

Leinenweber: "To my knowledge this provision, or a similar provision, has never been ruled on. As a matter of fact, in the Rowe vs. Wade decision, it's my understanding there was a footnote to the decision which expressly reserved the question as to what right, if any, the father of the unborn had. So that the rights of the father of the unborn has not been ruled upon."

Yourell: "By a Federal panel or the Supreme Court?"

Leinenweber: "That's my understanding. My authority also says 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this reminds me very much of a Bill that Representative Catania had a few years ago. As I recall the Bill got something about...like about 5 votes. And I'd hope that that would be just about how well this Amendment would do."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, you've been recognizing me on each of these Bills. I've been trying to work inbetween the Bills. I'm just inquiring, as a matter of procedure, what is our order of business at the present time?"

Speaker Pierce: "We're on Second Reading, but out of courtesy to certain Members, who have requested it, we're bringing Bills back to Second."



Griesheimer: "Well, of necessity, Mr. Speaker and in all deference to the people, the Bills that we're handling now, by offering them this courtesy we're offering discourtesy to all the rest of us that have been waiting for weeks to have Bills called the first time on Second Reading. As I understand it, these are all Bills that went on to Second Reading, they're going back to Second for adjustments. This is all well and good but we're facing another deadline within a short period of time and I wonder why we cannot proceed on with Second Reading so that all the Bills get on Third Reading and then if anybody wants to bring them back to Second I can understand it. But it would just seem to me it would be more logical to proceed on with the order of regular business on Second Reading."

Speaker Pierce: "Well we intend to do that. The...the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Willer."

Willer: "Yes, to ask a question of the Sponsor, I've...did you say husband or natural father?"

Leinenweber: "The father."

Willer: "In other words, they don't even have to be married?"

Leinenweber: "That's correct."

Willer: "In other words, he can prevent her from having an abortion simply because he signs a paper, I presume, a legal document saying that he will support the child, the prenatal care, the postnatal care and support the child?"

Leinenweber: "Yes. There's also a provision that the Judge should require adequate bond in order to insure that his promises are kept."

Willer: "To what age is he suppose to support the child?"

Leinenweber: "To the age of 18."

Willer: "What kind of a bond would you have to put up for that?"

Leinenweber: "Well there is, this would depend on the circumstances."

Willer: "Well, say she definitely doesn't want the child, she can't afford it and he's not married to her, she's 18 or 17 or whatever and, you know, he promises. I don't see any practical, feasible



way you can hold him to it till the child is 18. No bond would be astronomical as far as the price of the bond. Do you really think that anyone who is not married to a woman is going to keep his word? He gets married later on, he moves out of the state...leave her."

Leinenweber: "Well he also would undoubtedly have custody of the child, although we do provide that if the court should find after the birth of the child that custody ought to be left with the mother, then she would get custody. But undoubtedly I would say that the practical consequences would be that he would have actual custody of the child."

Willer: "But you don't put that...you put that in the Bill...the Amendment, I'm sorry, that he will have custody unless the court rules otherwise?"

Leinenweber: "He must request custody but the court would reserve, depending on the circumstances... I had originally thought that perhaps he ought to automatically get custody. Then it was prevailed upon me that we ought to leave the option with the Judge after birth because of possible change, desires on the part of the woman. Which I think is reasonable upon thinking about it."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I'm going to support Representative Leinenweber on this Amendment. I do feel it makes abortion more restrictive. This is a father's rights type of Amendment and it...it does give the father some input. This question was never answered, as far as the Constitutional question, has never been tested by the Supreme Court. There was a test in the area of requiring a written consent from a father. This does not relate to any...any form of written consent. What it does, it gives him an option. If he can meet certian financial responsibilities then he can prevent the abortion and allow the birth of his natural child. I will support the Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, is recognized."



Bowman: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Leinenweber: "Certainly."

Bowman: "Representative Leinenweber, how is paternity of the natural father to be determined. Supposing the woman says he's not the natural father, then what?"

Leinenweber: "Well we do provide a hearing and there would...presumably that question could be raised and the court would have to make that determination at the time he considers the verified physician. But I would...I would feel that in all probability no one who was not legitimately the natural father would put himself in the position of coming into court, swearing that he was the father of the child, and also swearing to pay all prenatal and postnatal expenses plus, ask for custody and for responsibility of the child until the child attains its maturity."

Bowman: "Well that is a presumption on your part. Where does the burden of proof lie in the..in the hearing process?"

Leinenweber: "Well any verified petition, the burden of proof would be on the petitioner."

Bowman: "Which would be the father?"

Leinenweber: "Certainly."

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I could address the Amendment briefly, it seems to me that this is nothing more than a make work Bill for lawyers. It seems to me that if the woman says that's not the natural father that we ought to take her word for it. I think this is a very bad Amendment."

Leinenweber: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I was wondering if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Speaker Pierce: "He indicates he will."

Bullock: "Representative Leinenweber, you said that the Constitutionality of your Amendment is not in question and I tend to agree with that but perhaps you could answer these two questions. It's my understanding that as late as '78 a court decisions was rendered which in effect held unconstitutional the rights of a court or anyone to require that a woman certify marital status,



is that true?"

Leinenweber: "I didn't understand your question, would you..."

Bullock: "I said, it's my under...did you understand the first part of the statement or did you want me to go back to the beginning?"

Leinenweber: "No, go ahead. Would you please repeat it?"

Bullock: "I said, it's my understanding that as recent as '78 the court decision was rendered which in effect said that a female need not certify or in any way acknowledge marital status, as that could not be a condition for her receiving any type of relief, certainly denying her an abortion. Is that true?"

Leinenweber: "You mean a woman..."

Bullock: "The woman could not be constrained or required to certify marital status or give marital information, is that true?"

Leinenweber: "I'm not familiar with that particular holding."

Bullock: "Okay. I think that's the Planned Parenthood of Central Missouri vs. Danwick. It also is my understanding that the mandatory Constitutional requirements have been held unconstitutional, that any type of parental or spousal consultation as a condition for abortion are also held unconstitutional, isn't that true?"

Leinenweber: "Well that's not quite true. There's never been a Supreme Court determination. There has been some..."

Bullock: "A Circuit Court..."

Leinenweber: "There has been some conflicting Circuit Court of Appeals opinions. Now if you're going to ask me whether this provision will ultimately be held Constitutional or unconstitutional I'll have to say I don't know. There's been a mismatch of decisions. Many of them totally contradictory and unreasonable, in my opinion. Many of them have been reasonable and contradictory to those which have been unreasonable, I suppose, depending on your point of view. So I would say merely that this provision has ultimately every much chance of being held Constitutional as any other that's ever been put forth. And I..."



Bullock: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address the Bill. Very briefly, I think this Amendment makes a bad Bill worse and I think most people that are ambivalent about it can vote either way because certainly when the Bill reaches passage stage I would hope that most the Members of this Body would join me in... in killing the Bill. It's a bad Bill. The Amendments are dilatory in many respects but they merely resurrect age old arguments we've heard before. And I voted against previous Bills that went out of here dealing with some reform for abortion clinics and I think this Bill is doubly worse. So I don't think you would hurt yourself if you voted 'no', if you didn't vote either way. It's a bad Amendment and it makes a bad Bill worse."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian, is recognized."

Mugalian: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Pierce: "He indicates he will."

Mugalian: "Representative Leinenweber, this is a two-part question. Would a rapist have standing to be a petitioner in a case of this kind?"

Leinenweber: "I would assume he would if he wasn't in prison."

Mugalian: "But he's not barred?"

Leinenweber: "If he is convicted he would lose his civil rights to appear in court as a litigant."

Mugalian: "And the second question is, in the case of incest would the male be entitled to be a petitioner in this, under your Bill?"

Leinenweber: "Yes."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman, is recognized."

Greiman: "Well, first I question your response, Mr. Leinenweber, to the last questioning. I don't believe that someone who is in prison loses their rights to be a plaintiff in a civil action. They may lose a lot of other things, their freedom... their right to vote, but certainly not that. So if they're in a relatively short vacation..."



Leinenweber: "If that's true then my answer to Representative Mugalian's question would be, yes he could."

Greiman: "A rapist could then, okay. A question about attorney's fees. The young woman...the young woman would be required to supply her own attorney's fees. Is that right? Either way, win, lose or draw, is that right?"

Leinenweber: "Well I think that the court would have the inherent right to access fees in this particular proceeding."

Greiman: "Well courts don't have the right to access fees on their system unless it says so in the statute, isn't that right?"

Leinenweber: "My aid seems...thinks it says attorney's fees."

Greiman: "I'd like to hear what you think it says, Harry."

Leinenweber: "I wouldn't have...I wouldn't have any objection to including that provision."

Greiman: "Well it's your Amendment and you're putting it on and what you're saying is, if the Amendment goes on at this point, this woman who is bearing the child is unable, will have to maybe worry if she wins about doctors fees...bills and if she loses, either way or wins, attorney's fees. Is that right? I mean, that's what your answer is I assume right."

Leinenweber: "I missed the question."

Greiman: "The question is, that she's going to be...if she...if she loses she ends up with attorney's fees in any event and if she wins she ends up with attorney's fees in any event..."

Leinenweber: "Unless we adopt your suggestion, which I wouldn't have any objection to doing if this Bill gets to the Senate."

Greiman: "Well, you know, we don't let them out usually on that basis as far as I know, at least I hope we don't let them out that way. So I'm going to oppose this Bill because it places certainly a rather unreasonable burden on the...an already burdened person."

Leinenweber: "Is that your only reason?"

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Mr... Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Schoeberlein has been replaced, we have a new man."



The Gentleman has moved the previous question; the Gentleman from Cook. The question is, shall the previous question be put? All those in favor will say 'aye'; opposed. The previous question is moved and the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber, to close."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Amendment #2 to House Bill 1202 is, it's been pointed out, is not exactly a new concept. It was a product of a Bill early... a few years ago by Representative Yourell and by Representative Catania. It does, however, attempt to place in the Illinois law the rights of the father. This is an equal rights provision to an unborn child and I would urge your adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2.

All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'no'. The Clerk will take the roll. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, is recognized to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I was following the Sponsor of this Amendment until he got to the point where he said that in a case of incest the...the father, for example, who empregnated a daughter could force the daughter to have the child. Now that strikes me as just incomprehensible, unjust, unfair and just unbelievable and for that reason I just can't vote 'aye' so I shall vote 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On Amendment...motion for Amendment #2, 78 'aye' votes, 54 'no' votes, 4 voting 'present' and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. We'll now return, at the request of thousands of Members, to where we were on Second Reading and those with Bills on Third Reading who want them returned will have to convince the real Speaker to get out of order again. House...On Second Reading appears House Bill 847, on the Order of



Second Reading."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 847. A Bill for an Act to amend the
Southwest Regional Port District Act. Third Reading of the...
Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions as to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any floor Amendments? All right, no motions.
Amendment #2. The Lady from St. Clair moves to withdraw
Amendment #2. Does she have leave? Leave. Amendment #2
is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 848."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 848. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of
an Act to require protective covering or fencing on or
above dangerous excavations. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1. Schneider. Amends House Bill 848
on page 1 by deleting line 17 and inserting in lieu
thereof."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to adopt Amendment #1, which
removes the Department of Transportation and local highway
authorities from the...the legislation that is before you.
So it's an Amendment to strike those two governmental
groups."

Speaker Pierce: "Did you move the adoption of Amendment #1?"

Did you move the adoption of Amendment #1? All right, the
Gentleman from Cook has moved the...the Gentleman from DuPage...
there's someone waving a hand back there. The Gentleman from
DuPage has moved the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in
favor will say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and Amendment #1 is
adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. Do you want to hold it? House Bill 853."



Clerk Leone: "House Bill 853. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions on the Committee Bills?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2. Hallstrom. Amends House Bill 853 on page 1, line 1, by deleting...amending Section 10-20.21 of and inserting in lieu thereof, add Sections 10-12.442 and."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 to House Bill 853 simply takes the wording from Amendment #1 that was adopted in Committee and it moves it from the duty Section to the power Section of the School Board regarding contractual agreements with individuals or groups of employees. I did check with the Sponsor of the Bill and he is in agreement. I move adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Cook moves the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 853. Representative Stuffle, do you seek recognition? No. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3. Deuster. Amends House Bill 853 on page 1, line 1 and 5 by changing Sections 10-20.1 to Section 10-20.21 and 27-6 and, and line 6 by changing 'is' to 'are' and the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, on Amendment #3."

Deuster: "Yes, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #3. Thank you."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has asked leave to withdraw Amendment #3. Leave being granted Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 882."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 882. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections 4 and 5 of an Act in relation to the performance of medical,



dental or surgical procedures on or counseling for minors.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Committee Amendment (sic) #1. Jaffe. Amends House Bill 882 on page 1, line 16 and 17, by deleting 'or alcoholism as defined in the alcoholism and intoxication treatment Act' and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe, on Amendment #1."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment that's wanted by the Department of Public Health and also by the Medical Society. All that it does is clarify the language to conform the definitions with the statutes and I would move its adoption."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook has moved the adoption of Amendment #1. Any discussion? All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 886."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 886. A Bill for an Act creating the Commission to study the need for a state catastrophic health insurance program. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Representative Flinn in the Chair. Amendment #1. Ronan. Amends House Bill 886 on page 1, by deleting lines 1 and 2 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Amendment was added to this piece of legislation by the request of the Chairman of the Human Resources Committee. It's a minor Amendment. All it does is clarify the duties of the Commission. I assume there should be no objections to the Amendment and I move for its passage."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of this



Amendment vote by saying 'aye', those opposed. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 888. Take that out of the record. I don't see the Sponsor on the floor. House Bill 893."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 893. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to these Amendments? We read the wrong record...we're going to read that again."

Clerk Leon: "House Bill 893. A Bill for an Act in relation to health planning and many certain acts therein named. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to these Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Motion to table Amendments 1 thru 5 to House Bill 893. Representative Kempiners."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kempiners is recognized."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to withdraw that motion. Amendment #6 will combine all of those and it deletes everything after the enacting clause and just rewrites the Bill with...with those Amendments included in it. So that motion is...I ask that it be withdrawn."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman withdraws the motion. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6. Kempiners. Amends House Bill 893 by deleting everything after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kempiners. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment # 6, as I already indicated, takes the original Bill, plus the 5 Committee Amendments and combines them into this one Amendment. The Amendment itself makes certain technical corrections at the request of Enrolling and Engrossing.



They brought some errors to our attention. So rather than go through any kind of legal gymnastics we decided to have one Amendment that would take care of the original Bill plus the five Committee Amendments and the technical errors and we have combined all them into Amendment #6 and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #6 to House Bill 893 will say 'aye', those opposed. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading."

Kempiners: "You know, you can really turn off my microphone now."

Speaker Flinn: "898 is the next Bill, out of the record because the Sponsor is not on the floor. House Bill 900."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 900. A Bill for an Act to amend Section of an Act in regard to forcible entry and detainer. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading."



Speaker Flinn: "Alright we're back up to 898. Read 898."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 898. A Bill for an Act making various appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee amendments 1,2,3,4, and 5 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Are there any motions pertaining to these amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No amendments filed."

Speaker Flinn: "No motions filed."

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Are there any further amendments? Just a minute. Representative Conti for what purpose do you rise?"

Conti: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think Mr. Ryan would like to have this Bill hold up. I wonder if the sponsor would hold this until George comes back. Would you hold this off? Thanks."

Speaker Flinn: "OK. Out of the record. Alright, we're going to back up to 898. Representative Giorgi are you ready to go with 898? Read 898."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 898. A Bill for an Act making various appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1,2,3, 4, and 5 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to these amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No motion filed?"

Speaker Flinn: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6, J.J. Wolf. Amends House Bill 898 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Jake Wolf. Representative Wolf on the floor? Oh I see him, I'm sorry. On amendment #6."

Wolf: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy of the amendment before me at the moment but I can pretty much tell you off the top of my head what it pertains to. The parti-



cular Bill before us, the House Bill 898, was voted out of Appropriations I Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Just one moment. For what purpose is Representative Giorgi arise?"

Giorgi: "At the request of the Minority Leader, I held the Bill out so the gentleman could address the amendment. Now he's telling us he doesn't have the amendment and he's speaking to the Bill. Now how, how often should we let these people insult the intelligence of the House with attempt of amendment that he's placed on this Bill? He's ashamed to admit that what the amendment contains. That's why he doesn't have the amendment in front of him. He's ashamed to admit what's in the amendment. You said a moment ago you didn't have it."

Speaker Flinn: "I understand..."

Giorgi: "When will you tell the truth?"

Speaker Flinn: "He's got the amendment. Proceed Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #6 to House Bill 898 adds another 22 counties that which were neglected by the sponsor. This is a Bill that provides for, I believe, 13 million dollars in general revenue funds to be taken from the State Treasury and given to certain counties for their snow removal projects. Now in order to get the Bill out with the minimum number of votes, numerous counties had to added in which members of the Appropriation Committee came from in order to get the votes to get out so I just figured maybe we ought to add all of the counties in Illinois plus Dade County, Florida. After all, you never know when there going to have a snow down there, perhaps some of our more wealthy Members who take trips to Florida during the Easter vacation and Christmas might be caught in a snow down there. I think the Bill is ludicrous on its face. I think this just helps make a bad Bill even better."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Winchester is recognized."

Winchester: "Would the... Would the sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Flinn: "He indicates he will."

Winchester: "Does the sponsor realize that there's \$455,000 in here for Dade County, Florida?"

Wolf: "\$455,120."

Winchester: "Oh, did you say that? Oh, I'm sorry. Alright, thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Is that amendment in there because he shares a duplex with Anita Bryant in Dade County?"

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know about poor Anita Bryant but if you think you got it tough, just think about her poor kids. When they have a tooth pulled today, nobody comes."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker I urge the rejection of this amendment. It's an insult to the intelligence of this House. When we're trying to do the peoples business we have a long Calendar ahead of us and we held the Bill out because someone told me that the Minority Leader wanted to look at the Bill and here we find a frivolous amendment made by the minority spokesman of the Appropriation Committee. You wonder why this House is in chaos and why the entire state is in jeopardy. I urge your rejections of this amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "The question is shall amendment #6 be adopted to House Bill 898? All those in favor say 'aye' aye, those oppose say 'no' no. The nos have it. OK, we'll have a Roll Call. Representative Epton for what purpose do you arise?"

Epton: "Mr. Speaker I have a home in Florida and I have a conflict of interest so I didn't vote in the aye or the nay at that time."

Speaker Flinn: "Well we're going to have a Roll Call. It was



close enough..."

Epton: "Oh then I will vote."

Speaker Flinn: "All those in favor vote aye and those oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 17 voting aye, 103 voting no and 6 voting present and the Bill having received less than the majority of those voting is declared lost... the amendment. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 948."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 948. A Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 950. Out of the record. House Bill 958. Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I filed an Amendment to 955 when it was on Second Reading House Bill 955. And I only missed two days and I was told it wasn't called Thursday or Friday and now I find that House Bill 955 is on Third Reading. I was wondering how it got there and I haven't missed a day and according to my digest it's on Second Reading. Of course, this isn't the latest digest. I was just wondering when it was passed to Third Reading and why my Amendment wasn't called?"

Speaker Flinn: "We'll have it checked out while we're doing this Bill here."

Conti: "Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Conti, the Bill was moved to Third Reading on May 8...yes. According to the computer."



Conti: "I was here when that Bill was filed...my Amendment was filed before May 8."

Speaker Flinn: "Well all I can do is go by what the Clerk's Office tells me and..."

Conti: "Well I wonder if the... I wonder if the Sponsor... I noticed the courtesy was offered to everybody...every Member of this House to bring their Bills back to Second Reading."

Speaker Flinn: "Why don't you...why don't you discuss that with the Sponsor and we'll go on with 958. House Bill 958."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 958. A Bill for an Act in relation to licensure of professional counselors. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee Amendment #1, adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 971."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 971. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Condominium Property Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to Amendment #1."

Clerk Leone: "Motion #1. To table Amendment 1."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Abramson, did you file this motion? Okay. Representative Abramson is recognized on the motion."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1, which was adopted in Committee, was found to be defective so we're asking that that be tabled and we have another Amendment that does the same thing to replace it."

Speaker Flinn: "Does the Gentleman have leave to table Amendment... Committee Amendment #1? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. The Amendment is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Abramson. Amends House Bill 971 on page 2, line 19, by inserting after 'a period', the following."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, we ask to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman is withdrawing Amendment #2. Any further



Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3. Abramson. Amends House Bill 971 on page 2, line 19, by inserting after the period, the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Amendment #3 clarifies the first part of the Bill. And it makes sure that if a tenant is given notice of conduct to buy the unit within the 120 day period he has 30 days, even if the 120 day period runs, before the expiration. So that's... And the second part of the Amendment #3 clarifies the right of the purchasing tenant to inspect the building and its records regarding maintenance and upkeep of the building. And it establishes a penalty for the developer for failure to give access to the information to the purchaser. I urge.. I move the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As Sponsor of the legislation, I spoke with the Sponsor of the Amendment, it clears up some ambiguities in the Bill and I think makes the Bill even stronger and I would support the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Flinn: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted, to House Bill 971? All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. I understand one of the Pages has lost his wallet with about \$80.00 in it and he has reason to believe he's lost it somewhere on the floor. The young man's name is Jim Ackerman. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "That's in the Majority Leader's Office."

Speaker Flinn: "It's in the Majority Leader's Office, Jim. Well we're lucky he didn't lose it over on that side. House Bill 975."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 975. A Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to deposit of public funds. Second Reading of



the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1. Satterthwaite. Amends House Bill 975 on page 2 by inserting after line 30 the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Ms. Satterthwaite, wait just one moment. Representative Griesheimer, for what purpose do you arise?"

Griesheimer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I thought it was only appropriate to relay onto the young man that lost the wallet, it is true that his wallet is in the Majority Leader's Office. And in fact, it wasn't found on this side but you should point out to him that the \$80.00 is missing."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is purely a technical correction. There was one Section of the Statutes that needed to be included and was omitted in the original Bill when the Reference Bureau drafted it. I move for approval of the Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of Amendment #1 to House Bill 975 say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 981."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 981. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 986."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 986. A Bill for an Act creating the State Purchase Human Services Review Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1. Kent. Amends House Bill 986 on page 4 and so forth."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kent." Committee Amendments.

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would like to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady wishes to withdraw Amendment #1. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Kent. Amends House Bill 896 on page 4, line 31 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Amendment #2 says that the onsite programs for classes which are under the handicapped children, in the least restrictive environment may be administered by the Superintendent of the Educational Service Region, if requested to do so by the local school districts. And I would like to ask if I could add the letter 's' to 'district' on its face, rather than have another Amendment prepared."

Speaker Flinn: "Does the Lady have leave to amend it on its face? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Would you state where the 's' goes first so we get it right on the Clerk's copy?"

Kent: "It is to make 'district' plural so it is the last word, districts."

Speaker Flinn: "What page and what line are we talking about?"

Kent: "It's on line 12 on page 4."

Speaker Flinn: "We only have 11 lines on page 4."

Kent: "Well districts goes down to #12. It's the last word. Where it says, 'If requested to do so by the local school district'."

Speaker Flinn: "Let's take this one out of the record. Come down here and let's get it straight because...and then we'll move onto the next Bill. Let's back up to 950. Representative Katz was temporarily off the floor. We'll back up to 950."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 950. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to fire protection in certain areas. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 987. Representative Katz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Katz: "There's Amendment #1, Mr. Speaker. I have...I want to make sure that



Amendment #1 is adopted. I made a commitment to those counties.

Speaker Flinn: "Well...alright. Check with...Mr. Clerk, on House Bill 950, I understand there's an Amendment filed on Floor Amendment."

Katz: "Yes..."

Speaker Flinn: "Just a minute, Harold, we're checking it. All right, let's back up, take that Bill off of Third Reading. That was in error, moving it to Third. And we're on House Bill 950, is there a floor Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1. Katz. Amends House Bill 950 on page 1, line 1, by deleting '2' and inserting in lieu thereof, '1,2' so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 fulfills a commitment I made to the Counties and Townships Committee that the Bill would be amended so that it would apply to Cook County as well as the other counties in the state and that's all Amendment #1 does, simply gives it statewide application."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #1 to House Bill 950 will say 'aye', those opposed. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 987. Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I noticed that the Sponsor of House Bill 950 is on the floor and I'd like to know if he'd extend me the courtesy of bringing that back because my Amendment was filed before it was moved to Third Reading."

Speaker Flinn: "Who is the Sponsor? I don't have that..."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Katz...Mr. Katz."

Speaker Flinn: "Have you talked to Mr. Katz?"

Conti: "I talked to Mr. Katz and he said he wasn't willing to do it, he wanted to check into it. I'm asking him now if he would do it. I'd like to extend him the same courtesy in the future



if he refuses to do so today."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Mahar and I are Sponsoring that Bill. I'll be very happy to talk to the Sponsor, we don't need to take up floor time in a debate on that kind of matter."

Speaker Flinn: "Why don't you do that. Okay. House Bill 987."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 987. A Bill for an Act to amend the fees and salaries Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading." Representative Pouncey, we've got... we've got 999 marked out. Do you want to take it out of the record? Out of the record. House Bill 1000."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1000. A Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2. Mahar. Amends House Bill 1000 on page 3, line 2, by inserting after \$50.00 the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 adds in motorcycles for vanity plates at \$25.00 to be charged for the plate and \$10.00 for renewal. This is at the request of...of motorcycle clubs who also want to display vanity plates on their motorcycles. It's my understanding that the Sponsor does not object."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I did speak to the Sponsor of this Amendment and it's his wish that this Amendment go on. I have no objection whatsoever to Amendment #2."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #2 to House Bill 1000 say 'aye', those



opposed. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3. Willer. Amends House Bill 1000 on page 1, by deleting line 1 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 simply amends the Bill to state that Legislators third plate, which we now receive for nothing, the one we have in the front if we choose to use it, with the State Seal and the words, 'Official House'. From henceforth we will pay a fee of \$10.00 upon application for this separate plate."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of Amendment #3 say 'aye', those opposed? The 'ayes' have it. We're being asked for a Roll Call. All those in favor of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1000 will vote 'aye' and those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment there are 40 voting 'aye', 53 voting 'no' and 14 voting 'present' and the Amendment, not having received the majority of those voting, is hereby declared lost. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. 1002."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1002. Amends...amends a Section of the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. 1005."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1005. A Bill for an Act relating to the agreement of Park Districts and Municipalities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendment from the floor?"



Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment 1. Schneider. Amends House Bill 1005 on page 2, by deleting line 14 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 eliminates the double taxation provision. Under the present law, with the changes made in the Bill it would allow a district to have a .04 percent tax, this cuts that to 2. It also limits the participants to those beyond and above 30,000. I move its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #1 to House Bill 1005 say 'aye', those opposed. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "I'd like to announce that about 5:30 or quarter till 6:00 we're going to go on House Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate. So stick around. Third Reading on 1005. House Bill 1011."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 111(sic). A Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2. Emil Jones. Amends House Bill 1011 on page 1 by deleting all of line 26 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Emil Jones."

Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like leave to withdraw Amendment #2. Leave to withdraw Amendment #2, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman asks to withdraw Amendment #2. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3. Sandquist. Amends House Bill 1011 on page 1, by deleting lines 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Sandquist."



Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 just clarifies to make sure that the clinical social workers who have qualified to come under this Act will be on a list published by the Department of Registration and Education in conjunction with the Department of Insurance and the list they publish each January 15. It just clarifies that that will be done. I ask its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #3 to House Bill 1011 say 'aye', those opposed. The 'ayes' have it. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4. Emil Jones. Amends House Bill 1011 on page 1, by deleting all of line 26 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Emil Jones."

Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 is a clarifying Amendment. It defines what an agency is in the Act and only those agencies employing a clinical social worker and that would be performed by clinical social workers as defined in the Bill...can so be...can so be received. So therefore, I move the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree with this Amendment of Representative Jones and ask for its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #4 to House Bill 1011 say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 1012."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1012. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1. Hoffman. Amends House Bill 1012 on page 1, line 21, by inserting after the word 'teachers' and before the word 'who' the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hoffman."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Gene Hoffman. What's the pleasure of the Sponsor of the Bill?" Representative Mautino is recognized."

Mautino: "I saw Gene earlier. I don't know what the ruling was concerning a Member on the House...when an Amendment is called, the Member introducing the Amendment. I'd like to give him every opportunity but I know that I've got to move it to Third as well. What's the...the rule on it?" I'd like to move it to Third and I'd be happy to talk to Gene later."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Fred Tuerk, for what purpose do you arise?"

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me address myself to the point Representative Mautino just made. Actually, Representative Hoffman, the other day, asked me if I would handle the Amendment in his absence and yet today he took the file back and I don't have the file, Dick. As I recall the Amendment... Well as I recall the Amendment, if it's Hoffman's Amendment, it was that he was attempting to avoid the problem that if a teacher was teaching History that they couldn't bump somebody in some other field just because they had more seniority. As I recall, that was the Amendment. And what his Amendment would do is require that a teacher in order to bump a teacher with a shorter period of tenure must have been teaching that subject at that grade level rather than simply being certified to teach the subject with teaching experience in another subject or grade level. That's the basis of the Amendment and if so desired I'd be willing to move for the Amendment, if that's in order, Mr. Speaker."

Mautino: "Yeah, well I would...I think there should be clarification on this Amendment. There are many people opposed to this Amendment because there are many downstate teachers who have a...a major, let us say in History, and a minor in English and they aren't...in the area of their major subject, they're hired, for example, as English teachers rather than History teachers. They may have been there 10 years or so and



then... I would oppose the Amendment. ~~What is the~~

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Mautino, if there's going to be any opposition we have no choice to move the Bill to Third Reading and if you can be talked into moving it back that's up to you and..."

Mautino: "I'll talk to Gene, Fred. Okay?"

Speaker Flinn: "Take the Amendment out of the record. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 1016. Wait just a minute. We're going to back up and pick up Representative Kent's Bill. Mrs. Kent's Amendment to 986, the Ray Slape Bill. Have we read this Bill a second time?" All right, House Bill 898."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 986. A Bill for an Act creating the State Purchase Human Service Review Board. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Amendment #1 is the Amendment that I want on there. There was a mixup as far as numbers are concerned so that was the reason for our trouble. So Amendment #1 does what I said before. On site programs for classes for handicapped can be requested and administered by the Superintendent of Regional...the Service Region, if requested to do so by the local school districts. So..."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady has moved to adopt Amendment #1 to House Bill 986. Any discussion? If not, all those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Kent. Amends House Bill 986 on page 4 and so forth."

Kent: "I would like to table or withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady has asked to withdraw Amendment #2. Hearing no objection, the Amendment is withdrawn."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."



Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. 1016."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1016. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to these Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #5. McPike. Amends House Bill 1016 on page 1, by deleting line 32 and inserting in lieu thereof."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr...Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #5 clarifies that we're talking about consecutive years of teaching experience by a teacher in the Junior College Districts. I know of no opposition to Amendment #5."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amndment #5 to House Bill 1016 say 'aye', those opposed, the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6. Walsh. Amends House Bill 1016 as amended by deleting all of the Subsection 8 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, to start with, I wonder if we could have consent to amend on its face Amendment #6 in line 3 by changing the 'b' to 'c' and in line 5 by changing the 'b' to 'c'. There is an improper reference."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has asked leave to amend Amendment #6 on its face. Is there any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Is there objections? Who's objecting? I don't... Representative McPike, I'm sorry. Representative McPike objects. Leave is not granted."

Walsh: "Well, I don't know what we can do in the absence of that. Frankly, Amendment #6 takes care of everything else that is in the...is in the Bill. It was such a minor thing that it's just an improper reference to a Subsection. I don't recall that this has ever been denied a Sponsor. I wonder if the Gentleman would give his reasons for not...for withholding his leave?"



Speaker Flinn: "Representative McPike."

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, the Bill is out of order and it should...I mean the Amendment is out of order and it should be stricken and we should move on to subsequent Amendments."

Walsh: "Is the Gentleman aware of any other way in which it is out of order except for that improper reference?" Will you respond to that?"

McPike: "Mr. Speaker the Parliamentarian should rule that the Amendment is either in order or out of order. If it's in order let's debate it, if it's not then we should move ahead."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, that... I'm sorry that that is the attitude of the...of the Sponsor. It is an attitude that fortunately we don't see very often. If you'll recall, a few minutes ago a Sponsor of an Amendment was granted leave to change a word in the Amendment without any difficulty at all and as a rule that is...that is what's granted. I...I suppose I have no choice...but to go on. Mr. Speaker, may I put the question of that change to a..."

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, could we have a ruling on this, whether the Amendment is in order or not in order rather than..."

Speaker Flinn: "I think the Sponsor is willing to admit it's out of order but if you want the Parliamentarian to rule on it we're waiting on that now." If it has to be amended on its face, unanimous consent was denied and it that makes it out of order, it's still out of order."

McPike: "Well could we move to Amendment #7 then, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Flinn: "Well as soon as we dispose of this one. The Amendment is out of order. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #7. Walsh. Amends House Bill 1016 as amended by deleting that portion of the first sentence of subparagraph (b) and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as I...as I mentioned, the Amendments 7 thru 15 do substantially what Amendment #6 would do so I don't see where the Gentleman gained a great deal by withholding his..."



his consent. But to get to Amendment #7, Mr. Speaker,
it changes..."

Speaker Flinn: "Just a minute Mr...Representative Walsh. For what purpose does Representative Getty arise?"

Getty: "Well I would question whether this Amendment is germane. I don't think it fits. It again refers to (b). I think it's out of order."

Speaker Flinn: "The Parliamentarian advises me that the Amendment is in error. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #8. Totten. Amends House Bill 1016 on page 2, line 4, by inserting immediately after the period, the following."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The effect of Amendment #8 is to change the original wording required a board to approve a motion containing specific charges. This addition gives the board more latitude in specifying charges for dismissal or removal hearings and I would move the adoption of Amendment #8."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I concur. This is a good Amendment and I would second the adoption of it."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #8 to House Bill 1016 say 'aye', those opposed. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #9. Totten. Amends House Bill 1016 on page 2 by deleting lines 30 and 31 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #9 adds that both the board and the teacher may cross examine witnesses and may offer evidence and have witnesses in the support of and in defense. What the Bill did was instead... it just limited the teacher to the right to cross examine and this would include both the teacher and the board to offer evidence and I move for the adoption of Amendment #9."

Speaker Pierce: "Any...any further discussion? If not, the Gentleman



has moved the adoption of Amendment #9. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed. Amendment #9 is adopted. Representative Pierce in the Chair. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #10. Totten. Amends House Bill 1016 on page 3, line 31, by deleting the period and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Totten, on Amendment #10."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

What the effect of Amendment #10 would be, when a final decision is made in favor of a teacher in a dismissal or removal proceeding, it would delete from the amount the trial court can set as the boards liability any amount the teacher earned or should have in litigation such as unemployment compensation. And I would move for the adoption of Amendment #10."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #10.

Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Amendment. What we're looking at in this Bill is probably one or two cases a year of a teacher in a Junior College that is...that requests a hearing, because they've been dismissed and what they think is...for unjust cause. There are in no position, at this time, to pay for this. We do the same for teachers in K thru 12 and...and the cost, the total cost is not more...or any portion of the cost is not born by the teacher. I don't think in this case there should be any difference. The Bill itself does not require this. I see no reason why we should penalize the teacher who has been dismissed unjustly and then who in turn has to end up paying for the...for the hearing itself. And I would oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, as hyphenated Sponsor of the Bill, Representative McPike, I think, has hit the nail on the head. This penalizes the teacher who wins the case, the teacher who is unjustly and



unfairly dismissed and the Amendment should be defeated for those reasons."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, to close, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just point out that we're...if we are going to pass House Bill 1016, the procedures that would be created might create a significant number of new hearings. What the Amendment 10 does is to provide some sort of financial deterrent so that frivolous cases are not brought before the board and that responsibility for conducting the... the hearings be both the responsibility of the board and the teacher. And I think it would only be fair and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #10."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #10. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed. The Amendment is... A couple of lonely fella's out there... Okay, the Clerk will take the roll. All those in favor will vote 'aye', opposed will vote 'no'. The...the Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey, to explain her vote... No. All right, the... have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the roll. On this Amendment there are 29 'aye', 90 'nay', 5 voting 'present' and the Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 11. Totten. Amends House Bill 1016 on page 3, by deleting lines 7 and 8 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, is recognized on Amendment 11."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House. The effect of Amendment #11 would be to change the responsibility of paying the costs of reporters for dismissal of removing hearings from the board exclusively to one-half by the board and one-half by the teacher, similar to Amendment #10. Again, it would require some responsibility on behalf of the teacher for the cost of conducting the hearing. And that seems to be only fair and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #11."



Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #11.

The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, on the Amendment."

McPike: "Maybe we could use the same Roll Call on this, Mr. Speaker.

It's the exact same principle. This again requires a teacher who is trying to show or trying to prove that they've been dismissed for an unjust cause. It's putting the burden right back on the unemployed teacher to pay for the hearing. It really makes no sense and it's...it's a it's guts really, the entire concept of the Bill. I oppose it."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "It doesn't do that at all, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, what it...

what it does is simply require that the teacher who understand has been dismissed for cause, he hasn't been dismissed willy-nilly, there is some reason for his or her dismissal. And it simply requires that that teacher pay one-half of the cost of the court reporter. Now I don't think that's asking too much, Mr. Speaker. They don't pay anything toward the hearing officer. They don't pay any of the other administrative costs. There should be some sacrifice. Presumably that teacher was let go for a serious reason. I think to...to permit them to do this without any possibility of penalty is just absolutely wrong, especially since we did not limit in Amendment #11 the amount of award they may get even by the amount of pay they may have received from the district during the period of their so-called hearing. So this is entirely reasonable, Mr. Speaker and I would urge the passage of Amendment #11."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman...the Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I think Representative McPike has again accurately portrayed reasons to vote against the Amendment and I would urge, for the same reasons as on the last Amendment, a 'no' vote."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, to close, Mr. Totten."



Totten: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Walsh has said it well and has closed better than I can. He is absolutely right and this Amendment should be adopted. It's the most responsible procedure and I would move for a favorable vote on Amendment #11."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has offered the adoption of Amendment #11. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed. All right, we'll take a Roll Call. All those in support will vote 'aye', opposed will vote 'nay'. The Clerk will take the roll. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the roll. On this Amendment there are 50 'aye', 90 'nay' and the Amendment, Amendment #11, fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #12. Walsh. Amends House Bill 1016 on page 2 by deleting lines 4 thru 6 and so forth."

Speaker Pierce: "Amendment #12. Mr... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh on Amendment #12."

Walsh: "Well there should be no dispute over this one, Mr. Speaker. The Bill, I'm sure, erroneously says that there will be a hearing unless the teacher requests that there not be a hearing. That's on it's face ridiculous. This simply says that there will be a hearing provided the teacher requests a hearing. That is somewhat more reasonable, that's all the Amendment does and I urge the adoption of Amendment #12."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman adopts the...the Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #12. Any discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Walsh is correct, there can't be any disagreement on this, it's a good Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman offers Amendment #12. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Amendment #12 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #13. Walsh. Amends House Bill 1016 as amended by deleting all of the paragraph of Section 3-42 and so forth."



Speaker Pierce: "And on Amendment 13, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment limits the area of the hearing officers jurisdiction to decide whether the charges of the board are supported by the evidence and does not permit the hearing officer to go into the basis of the charge...the charges. It leaves the basis of the charges entirely up to the board which is the elected body that determines these...are elected to determine these things and I would urge the adoption of Amendment #13."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, offers Amendment #13. Any discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment does a little more than limit the hearing officers ability of 'preview' in this situation. What it really does is gives him no authority whatsoever. It puts all the authority exactly right back to the board, and that's exactly what we're trying to get around. What we're trying to say is that a teacher deserves a hearing, deserves due process and he deserves that due process in front of an impartial hearing officer. And what this Amendment says is that regardless of the fact that it's an impartial hearing officer, regardless of the fact that it happens to be an arbitrator or a mediator, or a selected from a list of five supplied by the state, regardless of the impartiality, regardless of the final decision, the decision is meaningless because it goes right back to the board. Whatever authority they have to begin with, or whatever decision they want, well that's the decision it is. The arbitrator or the hearing officer has nothing to do about it. Of course it limits its ability, it guts it. He has no authority. It's a terrible Amendment, oppose it."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the effect of the Amendment is the same as deleting the enacting clause, as Representative Walsh knows."



It would kill the Bill without even taking it to Third Reading and for that reason it should be defeated."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Walsh, to close."

Walsh: "Well, if that were the effect, Mr. Speaker, it wouldn't be all bad. And as a matter of fact, the enacting clause, as it pertains to the City of Chicago Community College has already been...been stricken and that's done by the Sponsors. So I don't know why we can't do it for the other Junior Colleges as well. You see, this Bill does not apply to the City of Chicago. Now I submit to you that there is a little more we have retained in the Bill. The review through the Administrative Review Act, so that there is some protection for the...for the teachers. And I repeat that it leaves the matter of charges and what are serious charges to the board. The board was elected to determine these things. I submit that they ought to be permitted to and that this is a good Amendment and I urge its adoption."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has offered Amendment 13. All those in support will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. No, I mean...excuse...me. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On Amendment #13, 32 'ayes', 80 'no's' and the Amendment is lost. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 14. Walsh. Amends House Bill 1016 on page 2, line 10, by inserting immediately after 'teacher', the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, on Amendment 14."

Walsh: "Again, Mr. Speaker, I don't think there can be any dispute over this, it simply spells out the manner in which written notice to the teacher shall be informed of the charges against him or her. The charges for dismissal or removal. And that shall be by a certified or...by certified or registered mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the teacher's last known address and I urge the adoption of Amendment 14."



Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has urged the adoption of Amendment 14.
The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a good Amendment and I would concur in Representative Walsh's motion."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, Representative Walsh has offered Amendment 14. All those in support will say 'aye', opposed. Contrary? Amendment 14 is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #15. Walsh. Amends House Bill 1016 on page 3, by inserting below line 31, the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment is somewhat more...more significant and I'd like to, like to say in connection with this Amendment, in connection with this Bill pretty much, that of the 45 or so Junior College Districts in this state, 39 or 40 of them have collective bargaining agreements. I think that's significant and the reason that Chicago was excluded from the Bill, I was told, was because they had a collective bargaining agreement. Well so do almost all the rest of them. But this Amendment says that where there is a Collective Bargaining Bill, so that it would not include the five or six that do not have a collective...collective bargaining agreement, then that agreement shall prevail and knock this legislation, as to the number of years that a teacher must be a full-time employee of a Community College District. It's a very reasonable Amendment, I would urge its support and it puts the other Community Colleges pretty much in the same posture as the Chicago City Junior Colleges in that they were excluded by a collective bargaining agreement. I don't know why the other Community College Districts, if they had a collective bargaining shouldn't be excluded from some of the application of this Act. So I urge the adoption of Amendment #15."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, it should be pointed out that the



last two lines of the Amendment in effect can have the same the ability to gut the Bill as other Amendments presented by Representative Walsh. What the last two lines in effect say are that the board may, that is the board involved here, the Junior College Board, may adopt by its own action, by its own rule, an alternative method to provide a hearing. That method could in effect and would, I'm sure, in some cases gut this Bill. Because the board could elect to provide a...a hearing which they consider impartial, which might not be, and which indeed would take away the hearing officer authority, would revert back to the situation in the other Amendment. The board would continue to be Judge and jury and for that reason I oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Madison, do you have anything to add to that? Mr. McPike?"

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. That's exactly what it does.

Representative Walsh addressed himself to about the first 10 or 12 lines of the Amendment but forgot to address himself at all to the last few lines. What it does say is that if you have a collective bargaining agreement, that's fine. But if not then the board can adopt its own rules and that's what we've been saying all along. The board in effect has no rules and would like to have complete and total power over the dismissal of teachers without ever giving anyone a fair and impartial hearing. So I would oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, to close."

Walsh: "Well, just to say that it...I dispute what the last Gentleman had to say with respect to this. It addresses itself to agreements between employers and the board...employees and the board and simply on the basis of those agreements excludes the application of the Act. It's a good Amendment and I urge its passage."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has offered Amendment 15. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk



will take the record. On Amendment 15 there are 39 'aye',
76 'nay' and the Amendment is lost. Amendment 16."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 16. McPike. Amends House Bill 1016 on page
1, line 31, by deleting 'teacher' and inserting in lieu
thereof, the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, on Amendment 16."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 16 addresses itself to
a question that was raised in Committee. We are talking
about full-time faculty members and not part-time members.
This simply clarifies that and states clearly that we're
addressing ourselves to full-time faculty members. I
know of no opposition to this Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman has offered
Amendment #16. All those in favor will vote 'aye'...will
say 'aye', opposed say 'nay' and Amendment 16 is adopted.
Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 17. Walsh. Amends House Bill 1016 as amended
by deleting all of that part of paragraph (c) and so forth."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "I withdraw that Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Pierce: "Amendment 17 is withdrawn. Do we have leave? Amendment
17 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments. Third Reading. We have an
appropriation Bill that was moved when an Amendment was
distributed by Mr. Van Duyne was at a funeral this morning
and it's House Bill 1656 on page 11. Representative Macdonald
has agreed to bring that back to Second. Is there leave
to bring it back to Second? Hearing no objection, House
Bill 1656 is returned to Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, page 11.
That was moved earlier today with the Appropriation Bills."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1656. A Bill for an Act appropriating money
for expenses of the Environmental Protection Agency. Second
Reading of the Bill.. Amendment 1, 2, 3 and 4 adopted
in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6. Van Duyne. Amends House Bill 1656 on page 8 by inserting between lines '34 and 35 the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."

VanDuyne: "Thank you, thank you very much and thank you, Mrs. Macdonald. I'm sorry I was absent this morning but my uncle died and I had to be a pall bearer for him. Anyway, Amendment #6 is... appropriates 10,000 dollars of a grant that was...a health hazard grant that was appropriated in 1977. But unfortunately when we made the language up for this grant we didn't put any start up money in there. So Amendment #6 simply addresses itself to that and appropriates 10,000 dollars of an already approved grant of 1,200,000 dollars for start up money and that means for rent of buildings for public hearings, which they are mandated to pay, an engineering firm to prepare their levy and a lawyer, etc. Just a piddley Bill, and by the way, it appropriates the 10,000 dollars but it only is going to approve the amount that they need to get started. So I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #6."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #6. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm sorry that Representative VanDuyne couldn't have been here this morning when we had the Bill on Second. I would like to ask him a few questions about exactly what the grant money will be used for. Can...can he..."

Speaker Pierce: "Will the Gentleman yield? He indicates he will answer your question."

Van Duyne: "The 1,200,000 dollars is the formation and installation of the East Joliet Sanitary District. The...the tentative costs are approximately 1,4 or 1,5 depending, you know, on... there's really no expert testimony given as to what. It depends on the times inflation and etc. But anyway the grant is for 75% of that installation of that sanitary sewer. And this 10,000 dollars is just so much of that 1,200,000 dollars."

Macdonald: "Well it won't be used for rent then or any of those kinds



of expenses." will take the security of the State.

Van Duyne: "Well it will be used for rent in the sense that it will be used for public hearings now to inform the people of what's happening but it will not be used for their offices yearly rent per se."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr...thank you, Representative VanDuyne."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just a question of the Chair.

When the Bill was announced you said that there were 4 Amendments adopted in Committee and this is Amendment #6. What happened to..."

Speaker Pierce: "I understand that this Bill was advanced to Third Reading earlier today and those motions...there were no motions on those Committee Amendments. And then Mr. Van Duyne had a printed Amendment that had been distributed."

Griesheimer: "No you didn't answer...listen to my question. You said there were 4 Amendments adopted in Committee, 1, 2, 3, 4 and this is Amendment #6. I merely inquired, where's Amendment #5."

Speaker Pierce: "It must have been a Committee Amendment that wasn't adopted in Committee. It was defeated on the floor this morning, we're told. This Bill was...was heard this morning. Any further discussion of Amendment #6? Mr... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Sponsor yield for some questions?"

Speaker Pierce: "Yes, he indicates he will."

Totten: "Why was this not included in the original Bill?"

Van Duyne: "Well truly and sincerely it's probably my own ineptness of the BOB or the EPA or whoever, but, Don, the attorney who has been retained by this sanitary district has been paying these incidental bills out of his own...his own pocket. I don't know why, you've been here longer than I have and why it wasn't put in there for the start up in the grant you know, I couldn't tell you. But anyway I know it's not there."



Totten: "Does the Bureau of the Budget and the Environmental Protection Agency approve of this appropriation, of this monies being added to their budget? Is this agreed?"

Van Duyne: "Well, Representative Macdonald just had a conversation with Director 'Mothey' and he said that he has no objection to this and she can corroborate what I'm saying, as long as it's not used for ordinary operating expenses."

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Macdonald wants to answer that question, your colleague, the Lady from Cook, Representative Macdonald, to answer the question of Representative Totten."

Macdonald: "Thank you, indeed I did talk to Representative 'Mothey' and I think, I think, as a matter of fact, that the Environmental Protection Agency simply is taking no position on the Bill. I don't know that they accept the Amendment but they are not taking any position on this Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Totten, have you finished?"

Totten: "All right, then thank you. Well the question then that are raised as to whether we should spend the money or not and with the apparent lack of it being in the original budget request and this monies then being over what was the budget request I, until those questions are resolved, I don't think that Amendment #6 should be supported."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Would the...would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Pierce: "He indicates he will."

Wolf: "Mr. VanDuyne, I'm sorry, I was kind of busily engaged and I didn't...didn't catch your first part. Are you requesting 2,000,000 dollars be added to the EPA budget?"

Van Duyne: "No, I'm sorry, maybe I misled you. There has been a health hazard grant already appropriated and okayed, voted through by both Houses, signed by the Governor, the whole bit, in 1977. But inadvertently, whether it's my own ineptness or whether it's the administration, we didn't put any incidental expense money for start up. Now since this has been going on the...the people have been appointed to the Sanitary District,



the president has been named, they are attempting to start this district up, get the engineering specs made, hire them and let the contracts. But they're meanings mandated by all laws that we work under and they have to hire an attorney to advise them so that they're sure that they don't go astray or awry so these are incidental expenses all...pointing toward starting the sanitary district up. You know, the idea Jake, is the fact, so that these people don't have to pay this money out of their own pocket and then have to recoup it along the line. And in answer to Representative Totten's question, the 10,000 dollars that's being asked for, that's the figure in the Amendment but they have to go through certain...measure up to certain criteria. And if the money doesn't meet the criteria of the E.P.A. they won't be given the money. But anyway it's not...it's no...there will be no addition to this year's budget. This is going to be taken out of an already approved grant."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, Mr. Wolf, do you want to speak on the matter? You don't have to."

Wolf: "Well, I'm kind of reluctant, you know this comes as a complete surprise. The Appropriation Committee has heard the Bill, the staff, both Democrat and Republican staff have, you know, worked over it and now at this late moment I haven't been apprised of anything and on the basis of that I would just have to offer some resistance and hope that we would not adopt an Amendment which hasn't been discussed in Committee and worked over by our staff."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yeah, thank you, Mr. Speaker. It isn't often I get up to help my colleague from the 42nd District, perhaps that's because it isn't often he has good Amendments. But this is a good one, it's only...it's a modest sum by the standards that this body usually sets. Most of the time there's another couple of zeros on it. This is only 10,000 dollars. The agency, as I understand it, can live with it, it's vastly needed by this



area, which happens to be where my colleague resides and I certainly urge adoption of House Bill 6 and urge all of my colleagues on this side of the aisle to go along with it."

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Van Duynes, to close."

Van Duynes: "Thank you. All I ask for is an affirmative vote."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 1656. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed? The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. We passed over today House Bill 888 at the request of the Minority Leadership. We understand that's been declared for a hearing now and the Clerk will call House Bill 888, which we passed over on the call a little earlier this afternoon."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 888. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions on the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3. Lechowicz: "Amends House Bill 888 as amended on page 1, by deleting lines 17 and 18 and inserting in lieu thereof."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Because of some of the provisions which this Amendment changes are deleted in Amendment #4. I'd like to withdraw this Amendment at this time."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #4. Leave is granted..."

Lechowicz: "3."

Speaker Pierce: "3? Amendment #3. Leave is granted. Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4. Amends House Bill 888. Lechowicz. As amended in Section 5 by deleting the underscored paragraph



commencing."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #4 removes two provisions which the IMA opposed in the original Bill. Namely, that the requirements that an employer must pay two weeks of severance pay if he terminates their work without good cause and without a 2 week notice. And secondly, the requirement that 2 weeks written notice be given any worker prior to any change in the rate of pay. These were the two primary objectives of the IMA and I move for the adoption of Amendment #4. This removes both of those provisions under House Bill 888."

Speaker Pierce: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered Amendment #4, the adoption of Amendment #4. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed? Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #5. Lechowicz. Amends House Bill 888 as amended on page 1, by deleting lines 17 and 18 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Amendment #5 is the corrective Amendment which #2 removed public employee from one section of House Bill 888 but left them in another of the definition sections. Amendment #5 would delete public employee from that definition section so that public employers are completely taken out of provisions of House Bill 888. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Pierce: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "What's the purpose for doing that, Mr. Lechowicz?"

Lechowicz: "Because the Governor stated he wouldn't sign the Bill if the provision was in the Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "And that takes care of that. The Gentleman has offered Amendment #5. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed? Amendment #5 is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."



Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 1027."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1027. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions filed as to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 1032."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1032. A Bill for an Act to amend the Game Code of 1971. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1. Rigney. Amends House Bill...1032 on second page and so forth."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman... Mr. Rigney is recognized as to Amendment #1."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave to withdraw Amendments #1 and 2. They are both incorrect."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has asked leave to withdraw Amendments 1 and Amendment 2. Consent...He has consent and Amendments 1 and 2 are withdrawn. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: Amendment #3. Rigney. Amends House Bill 1032."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Rigney, on Amendment #3."

Rigney: "Amendment #3 is at the request of our Department of Conservation. It merely gives the state the right to divide, by administrative order, management zones for the taking of all fur bearing animals."

Speaker Pierce: "Any discussion? There being no discussion, all those in support of the adoption of Amendment #3 will say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."



Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 1039."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1039. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Pierce: "Any motions as to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 1094."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1094. A Bill for an Act relating to monies received by the Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1. Campbell. Amends House Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This simply says that income is derived from fines, penalties and other actions of county and municipal law enforcement personnel may be retained by the county or municipality where the violations occurred. I'd move for the adoption of the Amendment. I'll answer any questions."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "What kind of money does this apply to?"

Campbell: "Well to tell you the truth, Sam, if you'd been at the University of Illinois instead of Georgetown University, and you'd been over to Kikapoo State Park and you'd been at the beer blanket party and you'd been caught for disorderly obedience or disorderly conduct and the people were taking you in and you had to pay a fine well than that local municipality and local government, county government, would have been able to retain that money."

Vinson: "Well I wouldn't have been subject to that kind of behavior but it sounds like a good Amendment."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has offered Amendment #1. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed? Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments." Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 1096."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 1096."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1096: A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning development of outdoor recreation resources by the Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1. Griesheimer. Amends House Bill..."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment to clarify the language that was missed in Committee. As this allows the Department of Conservation to create two funds for historically significant places. The Bill originally had the word, 'special trust fund', this merely makes it plural."

Speaker Pierce: "Any discussion on the Amendment? The Gentleman has offered Amendment #3. All those in favor will...will say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Pierce: "Third Reading. House Bill 1125."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1125. A Bill for an Act relating to the decrease of fees of certain sheriff services. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk Leone: "Representative Lechowicz in the Chair. Amendment #... no motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Griesheimer. Amends House Bill 1120."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 2 as the two subsequent Amendments, 3 and 4, are merely correcting language in the Bill that we agreed to correct in Committee when we discussed it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion on Amendment #2? The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment... Want to correct the board?"



The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #2? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed? Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3. Griesheimer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Amendment #3. Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker. This also clarifies language in the Bill to show that it applies only to forcible entry and detainer actions and limits the Bill, again, by agreement from the Committee hearing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye', all opposed? Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4. Griesheimer. Amends House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, this particular Amendment rolls out the language of the original Bill and leaves the language as it was before. This was requested by the Committee and by several interested parties. We tried to limit the Bill to only two areas, small claims and forcible entry and detainers, this clarifies that situation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed? Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading." House Bill 1128. Mr. Abramson."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1128. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Mr. Speaker, we have Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bring the Bill back to Second Reading on 1128."

I thought the Clerk said there were no Amendments, I'm sorry.
Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk Leone: "My error. Amendment #1. Abramson. Amends House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Abramson."

Abramson: "Amendment #1 eliminates redundancy in paragraph 11, which is covered elsewhere in the Bill. I move adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor signify... What's the Amendment on the board, Mr. Clerk?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed? Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 1129."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1129. Abramson. Amends House... A Bill for an Act to amend the Savings and Loan Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?" Any Amendments from the floor? 1129? Let's go, guys." There is a floor Amendment according to the Sponsor. Let's get it out of... I believe it's...is it misnumbered, Mr. Abramson? They have it as Amendment #4. According to the Calendar there are no Amendments in Committee."

Abramson: "There's no Amendments in Committee, there's just 1 floor Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is this your Amendment, Sir?"

Abramson: "Yes, it is."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to correct the Amendment on its face from the number...from having it numbered as 4 to 1. Any objection? Hearing none, the Clerk will correct the Amendment on its face to Amendment #1. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Abramson, on Amendment #1 to House Bill 1129."

Abramson: "Amendment #1 deletes the redundancy, the same as the prior Bill, which is covered also in the Bill. It also deletes the word 'transfer agent or executor' which powers savings and loans do not have. I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Take this Bill out of the record for the time being. House Bill 1154. 1154."



Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1154. A Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 1165."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1165. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in realltion to township organizations. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor on 1155, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "No Committee Amendments. Amendments from the floor. Amendment 1, McPike. Amends House Bill 1165 on page..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike. Do you want to put Amendment #1 up?"

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is offered to meet two objections raised in Committee. The Bill is for the leasing of township property. The Amendment says that the...the lease must be made after a competitive bid letting and also that a portion of the property is to be used for private use and then that private use is taxable."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #1 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed? Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 1166."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1166. A Bill for an Act to amend Senior Citizen's Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Skinner. Amends House Bill 1166 on page 4 in line 5-9 by adding immediately after 'gas' the following..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is an auditing mechanism that I'm attempting to



amend it to the Bill. I'm suggesting that the utilities of the state be mandated to make their records public, that is available, not public, to the Department of Revenue for auditing purposes so that we can make sure that those who apply under the provisions of House Bill 1166 are actually telling the truth in their application. I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the question, the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I concur with the Amendment and second the adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed? Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 1182."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1182. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utility Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Ralph Dunn. Amends House Bill 1182..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #2 is an Amendment that was put on by the request of the utilities. It says that this Section does not apply in emergency situations to start up operations or testing purposes. House Bill 1182 would require that coal fire plants put on line after 1982 would burn Illinois coal. And they asked that I put in this Amendment to handle emergencies or start up operations. I urge its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed? Amendment #2 is adopted. Are there



any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading." House Bill 1187."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1187. A Bill for an Act to amend the Bingo License Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions...any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed. Amendment #1. Floor Amendment. Yourell. Amends House Bill 1187..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell. Take it out of the record." House Bill 1192."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1192. A Bill for an Act to amend the Cannabis Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading." House Bill 1210."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1210. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to fencing and operating rail rates. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1. Kornowicz. Amends House Bill 1210."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the Committee, this is only a correction. It states that any railroad corporation violating Section 14 is guilty of a business offense. And any railroad employee violating Section 14.1 of this Act is guilty of a petty offense. I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is..."

Kornowicz: "I move for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Shall the House adopt Amendment #1? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed? Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2... Kornowicz. Amends House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "What's #2?"

Clerk Leone: "Amends House Bill 1210 on page 1, by deleting lines
8, 9 and 10 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Kornowicz: "I ask for adoption on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted?"

Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

The Sponsor didn't explain what the Amendment does or at
least if he did I did not hear him."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave of the House to withdraw
Amendment #2 because it's identical to 1. And the...hearing
no objection Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading...Third Reading. House Bill 1211."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1211. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning
public utilities Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions...any Amendments from the... Any
Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1. Kornowicz. Amends..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the Committee and Members of the House, the
Amendment makes the gist of change in regards that all
signs and flashing signals at automatic crossing gates install-
ed under the provision of this Section, shall be inspected
and tested by the appropriate railroad companies as determined
by the Commission. Not less than once each month each
railroad company shall file a report of the inspection
with the Commission witness seven days of each such inspection.
Forms shall be provided by the Illinois Commerce Commission.
The main purpose of this Amendment is in regard to the
malfunctioning of the signals and of the railroad crossing.
I ask for adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."



Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I think I filed a fiscal note on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On 1211?"

Conti: "On 1211, yes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The fiscal note has been filed with the Clerk, Mr. Conti. The response to your request has been filed with the Clerk."

Conti: "All right, how about 1210? I don't notice that it's been acknowledged that there's a fiscal note on 1210 also. Ed, was there a fiscal note on 1210? Turn him on so I can hear him."

Speaker Lechowicz: "According to the Calendar, a fiscal note was not requested."

Conti: "Just on 1211, is that right?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's correct, Sir."

Conti: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #1? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed? Amendment #1 is adopted. Whoever shut the lights off, put them back on. It must be twilight time. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 1214. Mr. Wolf."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1214. A Bill for an Act abolishing the Illinois Naval Militia. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1. J. J. Wolf. Amends House Bill 1214 on page 26, line 31 and 33 by deleting 1979 and inserting lieu in thereof the following."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is just a technical Amendment changing the date 1979 to 1980. I'd ask the adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves...is there any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #1 be adopted? Do you want to put Amendment #1 up there, please? The question is,



shall Amendment #1 be adopted? On that question, the
Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I'm still trying to get an answer. On the fiscal
not on 1211, has it been answered? If it is."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It has been filed with the Clerk."

Conti: "It has been filed and answered?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir."

Conti: "And you did move it to Third Reading?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir."

Conti: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You're welcome. The question is, shall the House
adopt Amendment #1? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye',
opposed? Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 1223. Mr. Epton."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1223. A Bill for an Act creating the Illinois
Life and Health Insurance Guarantees Law. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 1249."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1249. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2. Kozubowski. Amends House Bill 1249
on page 1, by deleting lines 12 thru 30 and inserting in lieu
thereof, the following."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ralph, are you going to handle the Amendment #2 on this
Bill? Bob? Take it out of the record temporarily. House
Bill 1251."



Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1251. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Firemen's Pension Fund Article of the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1. McCourt. Amends House Bill 1251 on page 3, line 33, by deleting..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McCourt." Mr. McCourt.
Amendment #1 on House Bill 1251."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment reduces the increase in the minimum widow's annuity for retired Chicago Firemen from 100 dollars down to 25 dollars. It's agreed to at the time of the hearing in the Personnel and Pensions Commission and I solicit your affirmative vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #1 be adopted? All. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "Would the Sponsor please explain that, I didn't quite understand it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you kindly repeat your explanation, Sir?"

McCourt: "All right. The original Bill required that the retired Chicago Widow's Annuity would be increased by 100 dollars, from 350 dollars to 450 dollars. This Amendment will increase the Widow's Annuity from 350 dollars to 375 dollars. In other words, it's an increase of 25 dollars a month instead of 100 dollars a month."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #1 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed? Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Back to House Bill 1249 and instead of Kozubowski, the Amendment will be offered by Representative Terzich."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1249. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Firemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund Article of the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in



Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Kozubowski. Amends House Bill 1249..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 was recommended by the actuary, Mr. Campbell, of the Pension Laws Commission simply to clarify the language in the Bill. It makes no technical changes other than the clarification and I move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed? Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Mr. Reilly. House Bill 1205."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1205. A Bill for an Act making the appropriation to the Department of Agriculture for certain expenses at the Morgan County Fair. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk Leone: "Motion by Representative Reilly to move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is technically defective. Amendment #2, which I will offer in a minute, simply replaces it but does exactly the same thing. I would move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. Any discussion? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye', all opposed? Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2. Reilly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 simply puts in technically correct form what was done in Committee through attempted



Amendment #1. I'd ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed? Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 1209."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1209. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill." No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate. Located on page 18 of your Calendar. That's House Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate. Page 18 of the Calendar. House Bill 519."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 519. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Probate Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Hallock. Mr. Hallock. Take it out of the record. House Bill 569."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 569. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Both Mr. Kempiners and Skinner on the floor? Do they wish to proceed? Mr. Skinner, you have it indicated to the Chair if you wish to proceed. He's checking first, Bill. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is very...a very elementary Bill and it deals with the homestead exemption for Senior Citizens and it provides where a married couple qualify for the exemption because one of them is over 65 and that one dies and the other one is not 65 but is over 62 years of age, that the surviving spouse would continue to be eligible for that exemption. I picked the age of 62 because that's generally when benefits can be collected under Social Security and it is very difficult for a person of that age to get another job to pay for this sort of expenditure. As I



said; it's very elementary and it came out of the Revenue Committee with a unanimous vote in support and I would urge your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Anyone in opposition? The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen, in opposition? It's on short debate. The question is, shall House Bill 569 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Lee, kindly vote me as 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 158 'aye', no 'nay', none recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 519."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 519. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Probate Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, under the current law representatives of descendants estates may now invest in accounts in savings and loans. House Bill 519 merely specifies exactly what the word 'accounts' means to extend to investment certificates, deposits, and also certificates of deposit. The Bill passed out of the Judiciary I Committee with a 10 to nothing vote and I'd appreciate your favorable support. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any opposition? The question is, shall House Bill 519 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 151 'aye', 1 'nay', none recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 601."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 601. A Bill for an Act."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Yourell... Take it out of the record. House Bill 843."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 843. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Dave Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Digest in

the...the description in the Digest is incorrect. The Bill has been amended and the Amendment is the Bill. It plugs a small hole in the homestead Act in the case where a couple, both over 65, and the house was in the wifes name and she was in a nursing home and they were denied the homestead. This corrects that situation and it's only a small thing but it says when a homestead exemption has been granted pursuant to this Section and the person qualifying subsequently becomes a resident of a facility licensed pursuant to the Nursing Homes, Shelter Care Homes and Homes for the Aged Act, the exemption shall continue so long as the residence continues to be occupied by the spouse of the person so qualified...I

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any opposition? Anyone speak in opposition? The question is, shall House Bill 843 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 155 'aye', no 'nay', none recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1038."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1038. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to landlord and tenants. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Braun. Mrs. Braun."

Braun: "It's on... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to have this Bill taken back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady asks leave to bring this Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Hearing no objection the Bill is on Second Reading. Correct the board, Jack. 1038. Second Reading." Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Ma'am."



Braun: "Mr. Speaker, it's my understanding that the Amendment is prepared... is not prepared. Mr. Johnson will respond."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Johnson, is this...do you have an Amendment to this Bill?"

Johnson: "I do. I just ordered it and it's probably...it won't be printed and distributed probably for a little while. We just talked about it a few minutes ago so there's no way they could have it ready yet."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, we'll just leave it on Second Reading, then, ma'm. We've already put it back from Third to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. We'll move it back to Third Reading and move it back when the Amendment's out and distributed. All right? Back to Third Reading on 1038. Take it out of the record. 1156."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1156. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Community Mental Health Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Rea here? Mr. Kempiners here? Mrs. Chapman here? No, I'm sorry, we've got to take the Bill out of the record. 1244."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1244. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a Bill that will help local taxpayers by providing some addsome additional resources to the Department of Local Governmental Affairs in a way of an assessing staff to help out in the assessment of industrial and commercial properties that are sometimes beyond the ability of local assessors to properly assess. The synopsis in the Bill is accurate as well as the Amendment, which permits a reassessment on the request of an assessor or supervisor of assessment or a local taxing district or the board of review or upon request of 2 percent of the real property taxpayers residing in that district. The Bill was voted out 19 to 0 in the Revenue Committee and the principle Cosponsor is Representative Campbell."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman: Is there any opposition? The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, we're on short debate and I can't ask it. I would like to know if...if this new department is confined to industrial or commercial appraisals. I..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Did you hear the Gentleman's response?"

Friedrich: "Yes, I think he just ruined my vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone in opposition? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell, to close."

Campbell: "Well I just simply would like to ask for your favorable support. It does exactly what the...Mugalian said that it did and I think it will assist local assessors and supervisors of assessments in various taxing districts in the appraisal of commercial and residential...commercial and industrial property."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1244 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Hey Lee... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 144 'aye', 10 'no', 1 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1272. Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 1310."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1310. A Bill for an Act to exempt insulin, urine testing materials, syringes and needles used by diabetics from certain taxes. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1310 exempts insulin and insulin only. The other urine testing materials, syringes and needles were amended out in Committee. It exempts insulin used by diabetics in the State of Illinois. There are approximately 500,000 people in the state who require daily insulin injections. In the next 15 years that number should be doubled. The reason it will be doubled is because insulin wasn't discovered until 1921 and diabetics are living to an older age, therefore, prior



to that time people died. It would cost approximately 790,000 dollars, this is what the state would lose but what the taxpayer would save. In many incidents...instances person who contract diabetes are older and living on fixed incomes. They are the ones that can least afford it and I'd certainly appreciate your 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is, shall House Bill 1310 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 150 'aye', no 'nay', 7 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1405."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1405. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill amends the Municipal Code and says that when loss of use and enjoyment of premises has been found by a court that the court shall award damages against the defendant. There was no opposition to this legislation in Committee and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Anyone in opposition? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask that nine others join me to take this off of short debate. There is opposition to it, there was one vote cast against it according to the synopsis in Committee and I think it's a rather important Bill and I'd like to know more about it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has nine other Members with him and now it's full debate. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt, do you want to continue, please?"

Bluthardt: "Yes, a few questions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates...the Sponsor will yield."

Bluthardt: "Are we talking about an action by an individual plaintiff



against a property owner or are we talking about an action by an individual against a municipality? Or perhaps both?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Marovitz. Turn him on, please."

Marovitz: "I'm glad you brought up that point, Representative Bluthardt."

This question was asked before and it was clarified in Committee. It is not against a municipality in any way.

This has nothing to do with an action against a municipality.

This would include an action by a tenant against a landlord or visa versa, an action by a landlord against a tenant."

Bluthardt: "So that if a municipality takes action against a defendant in this instance for violating the zoning code or the building code and the municipality loses, this Bill would not apply to the municipality and there would be no damages for loss of use of the premises because of the action of the municipality?"

Marovitz: "That is correct, that question was before and clarified."

Bluthardt: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I have some questions for the Sponsor too."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "Is there anything under current law that would prevent a person who has so agreed from obtaining damages now and if so why can't he?"

Marovitz? "There is no provision in the law currently for an award of money damages in the law. We've amended this Section of the Municipal Code several times but never to allow for an award of money damages. The courts have referred to this... that they do not have this power time and time again and we have discussed this with the Corporation Counselors Office and said that it would be best if this were written into the law."

Leinenweber: "What type of prohibited activities are we talking about that you would now award damages to? To what class of people would be entitled to damages, what class of people would be subject to the payment of the damages and what type of activities would they have to have performed to be subject to penalties such as this."



Marovitz: "I'm sorry, could you repeat that? You say what class?"

Leinenweber: "Okay, tell me the type of people, the class of people, neighboring property owners or whatever would would...first of all would be entitled to bring an action for damages under your Bill? They type of people that would be liable for these damages and what...what type of activities they would have to have performed in order to create this due cause of action?"

Marovitz: "Okay, this is not a new cause of action. Presently, in the law, and this is already in the law, somebody living within 1,200 feet of a property that is adversely affecting their property interest has the right of action to sue. In this particular case a tenant or a landlord or a property owner, already in the law, would have a cause of action. This just says rather than merely having injunctive relief he would also be entitled, if it had caused him to lose his enjoyment of his property, would also be entitled to an award of damages if the court sought this."

Leinenweber: "Well what type of activity are you talking about that would cause him to lose enjoyment of his property? That's what I'm driving at?"

Marovitz: "Well, there may be cases where an individual was denied the right to occupy his property because of conditions that existed in the building or a landlord was denied the right to rent his property because the conditions that were caused by a tenant, a tenant, vice versa, might be denied the enjoyment of his individual property because of conditions caused by the landlord or another tenant or an adjoining tenant. There have been cases like this in court where injunctive relief has been awarded and the individual has not been, has had to go elsewhere or not been able to live in an apartment which he is paying rent for, he's had to get a motel, he's had to find other places...place to live."

Leinenweber: "You mean like if he had a fire or a sewer broke or something like that?"

Marovitz: "No, I don't think...this would not cover a case of a fire."



Leinenweber: "I'm still trying to figure out what type of activity that they would have...that would subject them to this type of liability."

Marovitz: "Well I think in the Bill it says that, one, to prevent the unlawful construction, reconstruction, alteration, repair, conversion, maintenance or use, again unlawful. Two, to prevent the occupancy of the building structure or land, three to prevent any illegal act, conduct, business or use in or about the premises. Or four, to restrain, correct or abate the violation."

Leinenweber: "All right, well very briefly in opposition to the Bill, Mr. Speaker, we are creating, by...even though the Sponsor terms it not creating a new cause of action but we are because, as he explained it, there's no provision in the law now to award damages in the type of situations apparently that this Act contemplates. This day and age we have...our courts are overburdened, we're creating, apparently, an entire new line of...of law whereby people can file new law suits, perhaps to harass neighboring property owners, perhaps to harass tenants, perhaps to harass landlords. One must remember that it only costs, I believe \$5 dollars to file a law suit and that...that law suit must be defended. I don't think that this time that it's appropriate to pass this type of law."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff. All right, his question has been answered. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree that I'm not quite satisfied with the answer he gave Representative Bluthardt because it says a land suffered as a result of another persons violation of the law receive compensation for his loss. This may result in more lawsuits between neighboring landowners to collect money instead of just having a violation stopped or corrected. Now, Bill, if that violation is not stopped or corrected it's true that a municipality could be made party of the suit until that violation is stopped or corrected. So a municipality



can become involved in a lawsuit."

Marovitz: "The municipality cannot and would not become involved in this lawsuit under this particular Section. We held the...we held the legislation up in Committee, we checked on it, we checked with the municipality. It would not be subject to any liability or any lawsuits and this legislation does not create any new lawsuits. This legislation only adds one sentence. The paragraph is already on the books. The right of action is already on the books. This has nothing to do with an additional right of action."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in favor of this legislation. Wish to point out to the people that have objected too as Representative Marovitz has indicated, that right now under the law that he is amending a tenant can go in, if he is within 100...1200 feet of a building which is in violation of ordinances and he can ask the court to do certain things. He can ask the court to issue a restraining order or a pulmonary injunction or a permanent injunction. And he can be awarded, under the present law, attorney's fees. So all the Bill does is to also allow for that plaintiff, upon finding that the defendant has engaged in...in the prohibited activities, a reasonable sum for damages, for loss of the use or enjoyment of the premises. And it's not...it's not in any way going to effect the municipalities and it's very reasonable in light of what the present law is now."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed? The previous question has been moved."



The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz, to close."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just to reiterate what the previous speaker has said, this legislation does not in any way create an additional cause of action. It just creates another remedy for somebody who is involved in an existing cause of action that is already on our books. Besides the restraining order or injunction somebody can get an award of damages if there is a guilty finding under this section of the Municipal Code, which already is in the law and already part of our statutes. The courts have recommended this, the corporation councils have recommended this and I would ask for an affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer in opposition."

Marovitz: "I was closing, Mr..."

Speaker Redmond: "Pardon me. Okay. The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Griesheimer, to explain his vote."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to explain my vote and I'm sorry that I wasn't allowed to ask some questions. If you look at your Digest if the Digest is correct all of the explanation of the proponents is incorrect because it says it requires the court to award damages. Now that is vastly different than permitting the court to award damages. And if the Bill in fact does require damages, this is a dreadful Bill because it takes away the discretion of the courts. And since we're creating, in effect, a new remedy we are really creating a hazard for every possible landlord. Another question I was going to ask pertains to whether the Bill contains an exception for acts



of God. Can you imagine if a hurricane or a tornado or something blows the roof off your house the tenant could sue the landlord of the House and it would be totally beyond his capability to control this. I wasn't allowed to ask that question either. This would reek havoc with every landlord in the State of Illinois. And many of these houses, little duplexes, are "ma" and "pa" operations. You'd put them out of business overnight. I think this Bill basically has some flaws in it and I think it would be a very bad thing to pass this type of legislation at this time until the Bill is either amended, corrected, or explained."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in explaining my vote, I think there are two things that you ought to consider with respect to this Bill. Number one, there's got to be a building or a zoning violation committed by a property owner. Number two, with respect to an adjoining property owner or tenant, there must be a loss of use and enjoyment on account of the zoning or building violation. And all the Bill provides is, if somebody suffers the loss or enjoyment of his property on account of somebody else having violated a building or a zoning ordinance, the court will determine what reasonable damage...damages are that have been suffered by that loss of use and enjoyment. I think it's an equitable Bill. I think it's appropriate and I think it will do a lot to maintain neighborhoods and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, in response to the Gentleman from Lake, I'd like to direct his attention to page 2 of the Bill where it clearly says that the award is only



after a finding that the defendant has indeed engaged against prohibited activities. Now I would think that he would be one who would want to look at that now and hopefully support this legislation. I think it's excellent."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, in an awful lot of communities around the state and certainly in Chicago, there are people who are trying to revitalize their communities, build up their communities and there are certain individuals that are certainly...not only are they not cooperating but they're tearing down the communities and contributing to the lack of stabilization of communities. All this legislation does, it doesn't create another cause of action, it says, if a Judge finds that there has been a loss of use and enjoyment of the property, either the tenants property or the landlords property because of actions by a tenant, that that court... in that one isolated case shall award the damages when actual loss of use of the property or enjoyment of that property has occurred. What better way to contribute to a revitalized community or stabilized community, than to make sure that if somebody is going to interfere with somebody else's property rights that they have a right to recover for the loss of that property right. This is an important piece of legislation, there was no opposition to this legislation that testified in Committee and I would ask for a few more green votes for this important piece of legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 92



'aye' and 59 'no' and the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1425."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1425. A Bill for an Act in relation to the applicability of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act to certain corporation laws. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. This Bill was put in by the Secretary of State and it amends the Business Corporation Act, in general, Not-For-Profit Corporation Act, which makes the Administrative Review Act applicable to the business corporation, as already passed the House in House Bill 376 and this is just clearing it up. It passed the Committee 13 to 0 and I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 154 'aye' and no 'nay', the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1468. Representative Reed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1468."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, did that go to Second today? We'll have to wait till tomorrow on this one. 1484."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1484. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Court Reporter's Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "House Bill 1484 is supported by the Judicial Advisory Council, recognizes the fact that in Illinois we are now able to get court reporters for our courts who are qualified. Formerly there was a



Class A, Class B and Class C. The Class C existed because there were not adequate numbers of qualified reporters who could meet the requirements of A and B. Since there now is it is now proposed to eliminate the Class C and that's all the Bill does."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 160 'aye' and 1 'no' and the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1556."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 1560."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1560. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to criminal identification and investigation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1560 does exactly what the Digest says. It amends the Criminal Identification and Investigation Act to require that Sheriffs and police departments of the State of Illinois report domestic incidents of crime that are attempted between spouses or members of the same family or household. This is not a written report. You will notice that Amendment #1 took out the requirement of a written report. What the Bill will do is to require that in using the computer report form, which all of the police and sheriff departments in the State of Illinois use, they check off a field on domestic violence. And I have a letter here from Dan Webb, Director of



the Department of Law Enforcement in which he says the Department will incorporate into the 1980 uniform crime report collection forms a mandatory field relating to domestic violence. It would be preferable if the mandatory field relating to domestic violence were backed by some statutory authority. Please be assured of our continued cooperation. As I said, that's from Dan Webb, Director of the Department of Law Enforcement, which supports the legislation. It is also supported by the Commission on the Status of Women and the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission because it will be included when the new forms are printed. This will be done at no additional cost and I ask for your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain, in opposition."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, could I just ask a couple quick questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "Hurry up, quick."

McClain: "Mrs. Catania, are there confidences for these forms? Are these forms in confidence? Can anybody walk in and take a look?"

Catania: "These are statistical forms. These are only reports of numbers of assaults and batteries and rapes and homicides."

McClain: "No persons name?"

Catania: "No. This just adds a box to check off whether it was something that was done in a domestic situation."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Representative Schlickman in opposition."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I can't think of a Bill that is more do nothing in nature than this Bill is concerned. The Bill, as it was originally introduced, provided that all



law enforcement agencies in Illinois, which have received complaints, shall file a written report with the Department of Law Enforcement. It went on to provide what details of that written report should be. Now I think a written report with details is meaningful. But by Amendment the written requirement was eliminated. Now how a law enforcement agency is going to report to the State Department of Law Enforcement in unwritten form, I don't know. And then what it's going to report, I don't know because the specifications of the report, as contained in line 16 thru 23 on page 1, have been eliminated. It seems to me that we... we have here is a useless Bill and I don't think we ought to clutter up the statutes with something that is useless, something that says you don't have to report in writing and it's not incumbent as to what you report. I therefore respectfully would urge a 'nay' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Thompson...Johnson.Swanson. Johnson

Johnson: "I guess this is short debate. I really don't have a right to speak now. Susan, you can go ahead and close. If you have any problem I'll speak for you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'."

Catania: "Can I close..."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 'aye' and 23 'no', and the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1562. Representative Yourell. Out of the record. 1597. John Dunn. 1597. Will you read the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1597. A Bill for an Act to amend



Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative John Dunn, the Gentleman from Macon."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1597 is a clarification measure. When the original farmland assessment Bill was passed some years back there arose some confusion as to whether a county multiplier could be assessed and applied to a farm residence. House Bill 1597 clears up that matter and makes certain that if a multiplier is to be applied it shall be applied uniformly on all resi...property used as a residence."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 159 'aye' and no 'nay' and the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1600, Representative Leverenz. Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have nine Members join me in taking this off of short debate."

Speaker Redmond: "Nine Members join him? Okay... Full debate... Representative... It will be full debate. Representative Leverenz."

Conti: "Then I'd like to address myself to the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1600. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1600 would delete the wording, 'All other monies collected for certificates of title in excess of the said 1 dollar fee and for the filing of



security interests shall be placed in the General Revenue Fund in the State Treasury. I... As I understand it they no longer have a filing of security interests so there is no more need for this part in this Section of the statute. Still, the same 1 dollar of each certificate of title goes to the Vehicle Recycling Fund and this would eliminate the no longer needed part of the statute... and put the money in the Road Fund, as it is in the Section E or the new Section D of the same Chapter."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is one of those Bills that I reluctantly arise to oppose. It's a white hat Bill and it's pretty hard to oppose a Bill like this but I hear day after day and Session after Session how we don't want to increase the taxes in the State of Illinois but still and all we put a Bill on short debate that's going to cost the General Revenue Fund 7 million dollars on a short debate. There's no...no place in...no provision in this Bill that places the burden upon the General Revenue Fund, since no alternate source of funding is provided. And that's the only reason why I rise to oppose the Bill. There's 7 million dollars that won't be in the General Revenue Fund and it's going to have to come from someplace."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman to close."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Pierce in the Chair."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz, to close."

Leverenz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. The Bill simply deletes the language that is no longer necessary and certainly this money is needed for the Road Fund. And with the Bill, I believe, that passed



out last week all monies over a million dollars in the Vehicle Recycling Fund now go to the Road Fund and this is parallel legislation and I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Pierce: "The question is, shall this Bill pass?"

All those in favor will vote 'aye', opposed will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, to explain his vote."

Collins: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wouldn't say that this is parallel legislation, I think it's duplicating legislation and we don't have enough Bills in this Session already. I don't know why we're throwing more in just so some Members can run with a Bill that sounds good. Now it's...it's sexy this year to say, take money out of the Road Fund and put it in the General Revenue Fund but nobody is suggesting how we're going to replace the money in...in the road fund...or in the General Revenue Fund. I beg your pardon. But...I...I think this Bill, at best, is duplicative and perhaps is a bad Bill and I would suggest that we turn it down at this time."

Speaker Pierce: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to clarify what we're doing here and perhaps answer a few other questions. The Bill was proposed, I believe, last Session by the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission. In straightening out some of the problems with the Road Fund. The part and language in the statute that we are deleting is no longer necessary, they don't do it. The money then would fall to the last Chapter, which covers anything in excess of



that which is collected for a specific purpose and it goes to the Road Fund. I don't see any reason that we should oppose this legislation. And we all have problems with roads. We do look for ways to get it back, there have been proposals by the Governor's Task Force and everybody else and that's all it simply does."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, to explain his vote. The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 101 'aye' votes, 43 'nay' votes, 20 voting 'present'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1601."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1601. A Bill for an Act in relation to social security deposits and collections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1601 would allow the State Social Security Administrator to set the due date for payment of social security taxes. The strengthening of the authority is necessary to comply with the new federal monthly deposit regulations which go into effect July 1, 1980. This Bill is necessary due to the new federal monthly deposit regulation in order to assure timely payments and avoid federal interest penalties by the state. This Bill came out of Committee 13 to 0 and I'd urge your support."

Speaker Pierce: "Does anyone rise in opposition? I don't see anyone. The Gentleman has moved the passage of House Bill 1601. All those who wish to vote 'aye', vote 'aye', 'nay', 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will



take the record. On this Bill there are 102 'aye' votes, 3 voting 'nay'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is declared passed. On Third Reading appears House Bill 1604. Representative Terzich."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1604. A Bill for an Act to amend various Acts to revise references to workmen to refer to workers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is a simple Bill. Actually, it amends the various Acts to change reference from the word 'workmen' to 'workers'. As we know, that under all of these systems it does have the stipulation of 'workmen' and it simply notarizes it and makes it into 'workers' and that's the only change and I'd urge support."

Speaker Pierce: "Does anyone rise in opposition? The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would like to point out that this Bill is simply a sex change Bill and that it is totally unnecessary."

Speaker Pierce: "Well we had... Representative Matijevich only wanted a short debate and Representative Pullen spoke. Do you want to explain your vote? All right... The Gentleman doesn't wish to close, right? Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, to close."

Terzich: "Certainly, there will be some changes in the weather you know, there will be a change in me. However, we must understand that this Bill is also a part of the Collective Bargaining Bill and is supported by Labor and George Dunn as part of his Collective Bargaining package. And... And certainly I want to say that this is the only change. It was approved in the Judiciary Committee by a vote of 12 to 0 and



it's a good piece of legislation and I would urge support."

Speaker Pierce: "The question is, shall this Bill pass?

All those in favor will vote 'aye', opposed 'nay'.

The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich, to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "Well, I was going to say that I realize we have to change this because of the so-called equal rights, but I think he makes a presumption that all workmen are workers and I don't think we ought to put that into the law because there's a lot of people that are so-called workmen that ...yeah, they're loafers. They're getting paid even though they're not workers. I think it's a bad precedent, really."

Speaker Pierce: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 113...113 'aye'...112 'ayes', 28 'nays'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1677."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1677. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Library Districts Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Under present law the board of trustees for public library districts are elected for a term of six years. Some areas are finding that some people do not want to be elected to a term of that period. This Bill gives them the option of either setting forth a 4 year term or a 6 year term, the option being up to each district. I would urge the adoption of House Bill 1677 to which there has been no opposition."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? Representative



Ebbesen:"

Ebbesen: "Not in opposition, but I'd just like to ask a question. Why isn't a Bill like this on the Consent Calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's not, it's on short debate."

Ebbesen: "I know that, that's why I'm asking."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass?"

Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

The Clerk will take the record. On this question

there's 140...150 'aye' and 5 'no' and the Bill,

having received the Constitutional Majority, is

hereby declared passed. 1885. Representative

McPike."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1885. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to alcoholic liquors. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1885 addresses a problem that we discussed a few months ago on the House floor. Currently the dram shop Act prohibits retail sales of alcoholic beverages which are consumed on the premises within 1,500 feet of a State University. As you know, under current law we prohibit the sale of alcoholic beverages within 100 feet of a church or 100 feet of ... a elementary or secondary education school but for some reason we extend that to 1,500 feet for a...for a university. And in the first place this doesn't make sense and in the second place it's not enforced in most of the college towns throughout Illinois. Although I don't live in Champaign-Urbana I've been there a number of times and...and know that the...the law is simply ignored and the same is true in a lot of other cities where we have State Universities. The law really doesn't make any sense and this...this Bill right here simply eliminates the restriction on the sale of alcohol within that 1,500 feet."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I rise in opposition to House Bill 1885 for these reasons. It may be, as Representative McPike says, in some areas this law is not now enforced but indeed by knocking out the 1,500 foot restriction that is currently in the law against the sale of hard liquor and anything in fact other than beer, what you're doing in a community such as Charleston, where I live, where there's a university is giving a direct competitive advantage to one person who happens to retail liquor. That one person may hold and in that case that I cite, does hold a full service liquor license and cannot now, under the law retail all types of liquor, only



beer. To pass this Bill would give that person a direct competitive advantage over all the other licensees who have been granted only the beer licenses by the City of Charleston. I'm sure this holds true elsewhere. If you put this Bill into effect it gives that person the competitive advantage, not only over the people there in the area who only have the beer licenses, it lets them jump right into the middle of it and I think would help put those other people out of business who have been servicing the community as retailers and small business men for many years. Some people have argued that this Bill would not do that, but indeed those people who only have the beer licenses will continue only to have them and to grant a competitive advantage, as I said, to one person over all others. For that reason I would rise in opposition to the Bill. If you want to support the Bill you may indeed be putting some people out of business, giving some an advantage over others, and indeed, that is directly the case in the instance I've cited and I'm sure there are others within this state. And for those reasons I would urge opposition to House Bill 1885."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson, to close."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think Representative McPike summarized all the reasons why this Bill should be passed. It's honored only in the breach in all college towns around the state. It seems to me to be an absurd contradiction in values to say that you can't have a...there's 100 foot limitation with respect to churches and 100 foot limitation with respect to elementary and secondary educational facilities, which I think is a rational drawing of the line and yet you make something 15 times that broad and that more extensive for colleges and universities. It doesn't make sense, there wasn't any voice opposition in Committee, it passed 12 to 1. The Champaign County Circuit Clerk has already struck down this prohibition as being unconstitutional. Nobody can understand how it got in the statutes to begin with and I would certainly



urge your support for House Bill 1885. I would give that person a direct

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Matijevich, to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I'm voting 'aye' because after I get through at church it takes me about 1,500 feet before I need a drink, but when I was going to school I needed a drink as soon as I was out the door."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye', 35 'no'. And the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1908. Representative Donovan."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1908. A Bill for an Act in relation to blood and blood products. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Donovan. 'Donovan.'"

Donovan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1908, as amended, amends the Blood Act to provide the the blood banks license may be denied or revoked if such blood bank charges a nonreplacement fee for blood. A nonreplacement fee means a fee charged a recipient who's failed to replace in kind the blood used or is required to pay a fee for a voluntary donated blood. I believe that blood is a commodity...community resource and it's really beyond monetary value. I...that's the reason for this House Bill 1908. Standing in support of this Bill is American Red Cross, Doctor David Fox of the Illinois State Medical Society, The State AFL-CIO and it received a unanimous vote in Committee. I believe it is time for passage of this Bill and I ask your support of House Bill 1908."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill... Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what I'd like to point out to you on this Bill and I know that it's flying out of here is a lot of small counties and a lot of small areas in the State of Illinois, they cannot raise enough blood to replace their usage of that blood. So what you're really doing is placing, like the American Red Cross, which does an admirable job in many places throughout the State of Illinois, in a monopolistic position as opposed to a lot of small areas that cannot have the quantity of blood to replace the blood that they



use. So that's why I stand, although I know that it will fly out of here, in opposition to this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass?

Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this

question there's 148 'aye' and 3 'no' and the Bill,

having received the Constitutional Majority, is

hereby declared passed. 1919."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1919. A Bill for an Act to

amend Sections of the Environmental Protection

Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of

the House. House Bill 1919 standardizes the

disposal and waste of hospitals. I was absolutely

amazed earlier this year when I was asked by an

environmental employee of Florida what Illinois

indeed did do about their infectious hospital waste.

I was sure with the Institute of Environmental

Quality, which is the research arm of our

environmental system, the Pollution Control Board

and the Environmental Protection Agency, plus

the Department of Health, certainly must have

regulations to standardize the disposal of such

infectious waste. When I got home I found out

indeed that that was not the case and in Illinois

we do not have standard disposal methods. So

House Bill 1919 describes hazardous hospital waste

and it also mandates that they must be burned at

very high temperatures or else disposed of in

a manner which has been promulgated by sterilization

that will be promulgated by the Pollution Control

Board. I've worked with both the Department of Health and



with the Environmental Protection Agency to devise this Bill and at a time when we are as concerned as we are about nuclear wastes and other wastes it is certainly to the best interest of the health of the people of this state that we have a standardized system where there is cancerous and infectuous waste that is being bagged in bags that are red and found to be floating in the rivers near Peoria that are used for personal and industrial use. So I urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the Sponsor some questions here before we pass these additional regulations."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed...proceed."

Ewing: "We're on short debate and I would have to have some others join me."

Speaker Redmond: "No, you don't have to do that. We just got a ruling from the Parliamentarian that you can use your two minutes to ask questions, providing it's really a quizzical or actually in opposition and I can see that you're quizzical."

Ewing: "Thank you. I may be in opposition. Virginia, can you tell me if you've checked with the hospitals? What were they doing with this waste?"

Macdonald: "Many of them do indeed incinerate their waste but others bag in red or orange bags, this infectious hospital waste, and dump it in landfills. Now under certain conditions there are sanitary landfill operations that are perfectly legitimate and perfectly all right but in other situations and one in my area, as a matter of fact, the landfill in which 'leachate' has been discovered, it would be highly dangerous to have that kind of



hospital waste dumped in those kinds of landfills and I think it is in the best interest of the people of this state to be sure that this kind of waste, such as cancerous and other pathogenic infectious waste is not a health hazard to the people of Illinois."

Ewing: "Has the hospital had any input into this legislation?"

Macdonald: "They did not appear in opposition and I have not heard from them and I have tried and it's almost impossible with the number of hospitals to find out...except that I know that they do not all incinerate. And many of them that do incinerate do not incinerate at temperatures high enough to actually kill the infectious waste."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 151 'aye' and 3 'no'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1941."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1941. A Bill for an Act to exempt from certain occupation use taxes, personal property eligible for certain federal tax credits. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This seems to be my day in the General Assembly with all my Bills coming in succession. House Bill 1941 would exempt from the sales tax items which are eligible for federal income tax credits under the Energy Tax Act of 1978. There are two categories of energy related equipment and material purchases that are eligible for federal tax credits. The energy conservation category includes insulation, storm



windows, weatherstripping, high efficiency furnace burners, flu dampers and night set back thermostats that are installed in existing structures. The renewable energy credits category includes equipment which uses solar, wind, or geothermal energy sources installed in new and existing buildings. This is not only an attempt of mine to...to meet our concern for energy consumption in the State of Illinois but it is also an attempt to give businesses incentive to make them stay in Illinois and give us a broader tax base. So I urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 146 'aye' and 12 'no'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1949. Representative Kempiners."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1949. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to bring this back to Second Reading for Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman asks leave to return it to the Order of Second Reading? Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. 1949. The Order of Second Reading. Do you have a floor Amendment, Mr. Clerk? Representative Stearney, if you will slowly wind your way to your seat you have 1828 and 1830. You don't want them called today?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Getty - Kempiners. Amends House



Bill 1949 on pages 17, by deleting lines 21 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty: Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House; Amendment #1 provides simply that communications would be disclosed only upon the order of the court where the court finds that such is necessary for the interest of justice. This will make it clear that there must be a court hearing on it. Confidentiality would still be protected but the interest of other parties where it was necessary in the interest of justice would also be protected and I would ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners. Question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."

Kempiners: "Can I have leave to put it back on..."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave to put it back on short debate? Leave is granted. 1959."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1595...1959. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Service Use Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 1959 is the first of a series of four Acts where we're amending...this one amends the Service Use Tax Act to make the statutorial limitation for criminal proceedings to be three years where it's now only 18 months. It makes it in conformance with the Income...Illinois Income Tax, which has a three year statute. When you're making audits of



sales tax the 18 months is just not enough time
and I ask your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, if I might just use my two minutes for a question. Is this a repeal of the Statute of Limitations?"

Sandquist: "No, it extends it from 18 months to 3 years."

Peters: "It ought to be 6 months."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor... Representative Getty. The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my 'no' vote. I think that this is a dangerous precedent. We're here asked to extend the Statute of Limitations on a misdemeanor offense to 3 years. Now nowhere else are misdemeanors so treated. I think Representative Peters may be absolutely right. Maybe it ought to be reduced to 6 months. All you're doing is being asked to make the inefficiency of a department, if they are inefficient, the reason for subjecting taxpayers, people of the State of Illinois, to possible criminal prosecution for a period of 3 years. Now I don't think our constituents want us to do that sort of thing. I think a reasonable period of time is what the statute is right now, 18 months and I don't think it ought to go any further than that just so a department can harass a taxpayer. There's enough harassment in other levels of government. The State of Illinois ought to be able to take care of its affairs within 18 months and if it can't we shouldn't make it any longer."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sandquist, to explain his vote."

Sandquist: "Well, you know this is...this is really amazing."

We...we have mirage investigations, we have other things done and you expect the Department of Revenue to go through and conduct proper audits. Now anybody who knows what's involved in an audit, it cannot be done in 18 months. So if you want everybody else to just go away and let them cheat on their taxes and you don't care about that, when all other statutes on investigations have it, that's all right with me. But you're not helping the taxpayers. You're hurting the taxpayers who are paying their sales tax, the people, the consumers are paying it but it's the people...the fraudulent ones who are putting it in their pockets and not giving it to the state. That's who you're helping with this kind of a vote. And if you like that kind of a thing then it's all right with me."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this... Representative Skinner, please be quiet. On this question there's 58 'aye' and 92 'no'. This Bill, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. 1960. Out of the record. 1961, out of the record. 1962, out of the record. 1980."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1980. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Fish Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, this is probably the most ignominious Bill of the Session. All it does is take the Fish Code, put in a few new definitions, and incidentally this is a Department of Conservation Bill, renumber it so that it's in line with all of the current



numbering. It's the first time it's been reorganized since 1971. It has absolutely no fiscal impact and if you read the Bill you'll fall asleep. I would urge its passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer, the Clerk reminds me that there's a floor Amendment here that you're sponsoring. Did you want to call this Bill on Third Reading or did you want to...do something with that Amendment? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, may I...will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "As I read the Digest definition what we've got here is a Bill that allows the Department of Conservation Inspectors to enter on private land anytime they want to make an inspection. Is that the case?"

Griesheimer: "No, the Bill does not create that. The law at the present time gives the Department of Conservation the authority to enforce all of the fish and game laws in the State of Illinois. Of necessity, to enforce any laws in the State of Illinois, you can't be restricted to public land or every crook and thief would run on every piece of private property each time. The problem is, is how is it enforced. And it's our position that it would be enforced like anything else. I would like to go back to the question. I think Representative Getty had requested an Amendment on this particular Bill and just to clarify this, I did prepare the Amendment and if you'd like, I would request it be returned to Second Reading and we'll get the Amendment in there to take out the two words that he is objecting to."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay then. Representative Griesheimer asks



leave to have 1980 returned to the Order of Second Reading. Is that correct? Does he have leave? Hearing no objection leave is granted. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Griesheimer. Amends House Bill 1980 on page 10, line 15, by deleting the following, 'public and private'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, the Bill, as it was written by the Department of Conservation, injected the word 'public and private' land when they were referring to entering property for the purposes of enforcing the provisions of this Act. And what we discussed was taking out those words so that the language would be left as it is today, which merely gives them the authority to enter all land and waters to enforce provisions of this Act. And obviously I would presume that this would be in compliance with the general law of the state. I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes. Under the Amendment that means they can enter any land without a warrant?"

Griesheimer: "No that's not correct. Under the Amendment it does not do that. The law, as it is written right now, provides that. That is nothing new. It's been in the law for...for at least a couple of years."

Vinson: "But if we adopt this Amendment and then pass the Bill the authority of the Department of Conservation will be to enter anyone's land in this state without a warrant?"

Griesheimer: "No, that's not..."

Vinson: "I understand that's not a change in the law but that's the effect of everything we do?"



Griesheimer: "The law, as it reads today, has...gives that authority...gives them that authority. It reads presently, 'Authorized employees of the Department are empowered, without a warrant, to enter all land and waters and examine all buildings, private or public, except dwellings, etc. That's the way the law reads today. So there will be no change in the law with regard to entry of land."

Vinson: "But if we pass this and the Governor signs it, that will be the authority of the Department of Conservation?"

Griesheimer: "No, that's incorrect. The law, as it reads today, they have that authority. The Governor signed the Bill. There is no change. We're not creating any new power for the Department of Conservation."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Vinson: "This Amendment, and I hate to do this to the Sponsor, who is a very good friend of mine, but I'm going to. This Amendment...the effect of this Amendment will be that the Department of Conservation, if the Amendment is adopted and the Bill is passed, will be able to enter any land in the state for the purposes of inspection to insure compliance with the Fish and Game Code. Now, the problem with that is that we're sitting here with regulations pending from a number of departments. One of them is the Environmental Protection Agency, who wants to do the same thing with regard to soil erosion. If we create the precedence that we're going to allow these warrantless searchers, we're going to have a very hard time making that argument against E.P.A. when they want to do it on soil erosion. I would suggest that that's



a bad precedent to establish at this time and that before this Bill goes anywhere we should make it clear that the Department of Conservation has to have a warrant in or on private land to conduct this kind of a search. There are regulatory agencies precedents to establish for other regulatory agencies and we should not do anything, even though it's not a change from current laws, the Sponsor admits, we should not do anything to give any state agency that kind of authority because it will be used against us later in a much more dangerous context. I urge defeat of the Amendment for that purpose."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I couldn't agree more with the general theory of what Representative Vinson said. I think, as a matter of fact, Representative Greisheimer's Amendment, as proposed, does go a long way towards relieving a very bad problem. If we pass the Bill as it is now it would specifically say that the Department could enter...enter public and private land. Now I think, as Representative Griesheimer indicated before, the power as it is now, as it already is the law, would have to be in accordance and his theory...and I think his expression of legislative intent, would be that it would be in accordance with the law, the Constitution of the State of Illinois and the United States insuring the right to privacy that go therewith. I think if we were to pass this as the Bill is without this Amendment, it would be far, far worse because we would be specifically authorizing, or attempting to authorize if we could, a warrantless search. I would say the Amendment ought clearly to be adopted. I would



suggest that the Legislature could go much further in making this a vehicle towards clearly delineating under what circumstances the Department of Conservation could enter private lands. And I would certainly join with Representative Vinson in asking that that be done."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would take the Bill out of the record and not even adopt this Amendment because when he explains the Bill and I believe Amendment #1, he did make reference that this is the law as far as going on land without a warrant. And I looked at the law before the Amendment and that isn't true and I think if his explanation was correct he himself wouldn't want the law changed where, as Representative Getty said, that you not follow the Constitution and those protections of private property and I think therefore he ought to even change by, whether it be Amendment 2...a different Amendment 2 or Amendment 3, to put in those protections that Sam Vinson has discussed. I don't think that he wants that either, from his original explanation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Just a minute, Mr. Speaker. If I may, I'm talking to my former friend, Representative Vinson here."

Speaker Redmond: "How about your former colleague over here."

Griesheimer: "Your Honor, I'm...your Honor... Mr. Speaker, may it please the court... I think I am going to request to take this out of the record because although Representative Vinson has passed the Bar he's a little short on legal interpretation and I thought maybe if we got together we could work



out the problem. So I'll take this out of the record and Representative Matijevich is a former police officer, you're invited too."

Speaker Redmond: "Well we'll take this out of the record and put it back on Third Reading so we know where it is. 1981. Out of the record? Out of the record. 2016. 2016."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2016. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act providing for the organization, operation of the Mosquito Abatement District. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2016 amends the Mosquito Abatement Act by providing for a disconnecting procedure that is applicable to all abatement districts regardless of their size of the county in which their district is located. Presently, Cook County is excluded."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120...130 'aye', 133 'aye', 7 'no' and the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2160. Representative Capparelli."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2160. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 2160 provides that the Duty Disability Allowance for 75 percent of the salary in the case of a policeman that was injured on duty and then returned work to duty for two years, at least two years, and was subsequently found to be unable, due to the ill



disability, the initial disability, that allowance would be based on his most recent salary. The cost is about 20 thousand dollars a year and it's been approved by the Pension Laws Commission and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 151 'aye' and no 'nay' and the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 2205. Representative Deuster, Walsh and Campbell, will you please sit down. Representative Gene Hoffman. Representative Ropp, please sit down. Representative Tim Johnson sit down."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2205. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman, the Gentleman from DuPage, 40th District."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2205 does exactly as your Digest indicates. It brings into conformity; in the first place, the School Code in regard to the Census Report required by County Superintendents or Regional Superintendents coming into the state office. By Amendment, we provide that County Superintendents of Schools or Regional Superintendents who are maintaining on site classes may be reimbursed their proportionate share by the State Superintendent. I move for your..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish?"



Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 165 'aye' and 1 'no'. And the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page 44. Senate Bills, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 160."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 160. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to make an appropriation to the Supreme Court. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that Klosak... Representative Klosak... Klosak back there?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Klosak. Amends Senate Bill 160 as amended on page 8, by inserting between lines 34 and 35 the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Klosak."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Klosak is withdrawing Amendment 2." Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Schisler. Amends Senate Bill 160 on page 6, line 7 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Just for curiosity, Mr. Speaker, Representative Klosak apparently is not on the floor. Could we..."

Speaker Redmond: "He was and he has indicated to me that he desires to withdraw Amendment 2 and not present it."

Collins: "That's, I'm sure..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that's the way it is. He told me that. If you don't...if there's any change we'll do



it...undo it tomorrow. Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3, for the pay raise for the Civil Defense Director, Harry Jones. And it would raise his salary from 25...27,000 to 35,000. Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been in government a little while, 15 years now, and I've had a lot of dealings with different people in State Government and most of them aren't worth the powder and lead to blow them to hell, but Harry Jones is. If you have a tornado, he'll be there. If you have a flood, he'll be there. He keeps you informed, he's on the job. I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Collins on the Amendment."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I'm so confused. If I understand it, this Amendment is a pay raise for the Director of...the Parliamentarian is shaking his head at me now, maybe I misunderstood the explanation. It didn't sound germane and I'm all for the Gentleman getting a pay raise but..."

Speaker Redmond: "The raise is on the appropriation that's contained in Senate Bill 160, to provide for raising of the salary of the Civil Defense Director. Is that correct?"

Schisler: "That's right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Collins: "And this Bill deals with the judicial system?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well it's an appropriation Bill. The Parliamentarian says that it's germane. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have no ill will towards the Gentleman, however in perusing some of the materials



that we get over our desks I noticed the report of the Illinois Atomic Energy Commission. That Commission had an insert, written by the Gentleman... the Director, in which he said basically that Illinois has no system of evacuation and has no system of...of integrated control in the event of a nuclear accident. Now I'm going to vote for this Amendment but I certainly hope that the next time I see the report of Representative Hudson's Commission, the Illinois Atomic Energy Commission, that Mr. Jones would have developed for Illinois some kind of an evacuation program dealing with our nuclear power plants. I will vote for it but with some thoughts about what job he has ahead in the next year. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I object strongly to any implication by the previous speaker that Mr. Jones may be the reason that the state does not have adequate emergency plans. For the last four years the State of Illinois, yes even under the Governor of your party, has asked the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for sufficient money to test evacuation plans around every nuclear plant in this state and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has absolutely refused to fund the...the request. So if you want to throw rocks don't throw them near Jones, in this case. Throw them at Governor Carter...or what is his name? President Carter. I never did get use to that title and I don't think he has either."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I...I'm just a little at loss here. I'm certainly not opposed to the pay raise but as I understand it this is a Supplemental Bill for Fiscal '79 and Mr. Jones can't get a pay raise, I



think, until October of next year when his...when his term runs out. If that's the case...would you correct me if I'm wrong, but if that's the case he can't get the salary increase until next fiscal year anyway and we're kinda spinning our wheels on this one."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, let me apologize to the House. I was confused by the title on the Calendar. I was absolutely wrong on this and I'm...I'm...I now can see that this Amendment is totally germane and as Mr. Skinner said, Mr. Jones is doing an outstanding job and is entitled to this pay raise. And if he can effectuate a plan to evacuate Mr. Greiman from this state he should get even more money. So I urge everybody to vote for this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I love Mr. Jones too but my question is, what are we doing fooling around with a Senate Bill with 2,000 House Bills that have to be out of here in 8 days."

Speaker Redmond: "Well you want this one, I can assure you. Representative Matijevich!"

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was going to ask the same question as Representative Wolf made and maybe the Parliamentarian can answer the question. Is it proper to have an increased appropriation on a Supplemental Bill? In other words, we're talking about monies that are due for this particular fiscal year. And I think the intent of Representative Schisler is that he be giving...given a pay raise in the next fiscal year. And I think he's amending the wrong Bill. I think we ought to amend the Bill that has to do with the next fiscal year."



I...I intend to vote for it; too, not so much on the present Director as much as I think the position ought to mandate that type of salary. I think that's what people sometimes lose sight of when they talk about percentages. Not that I've got anything against..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian advises me that if the statutory salary. If the salary for the Gentleman is fixed by the statutes all this does is furnishes the money. But if his statutory salary is not this high, this action is a nullity. Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 160. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 74 'aye' and 29 'no' and the motion prevails and the Amendment's adopted. 589. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Giorgi."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment was placed on the House Bill so I'd like to withdraw it at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi withdraws Amendment #3...4. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading? 589."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 589. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Administrative Services. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 591."

Clerk O'Brien: "House... Senate Bill 591. A Bill for
an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide
for the ordinary and contingent expense of the
Department of Local Government Affairs. Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Agreed Resolutions.
Representative Schisler, will you come up here
when we adjourn?" Are there any announcements
before we get to Agreed Resolutions? 9:00.
Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, a placid announce-
ment. On the Calendar appears schedule for
Appropriations II tomorrow morning at 8:00 a.m.
That meeting has been cancelled. There's no
meeting tomorrow for Approp. II."

Speaker Redmond: "We will meet at 10:00 tomorrow morning
instead of 9:00. No... I've been requested
to remind you that the newspaper pundits are
putting on a grid iron dinner and they're having
a terrible time to sell any tickets. It's
really worth the 2 dollars that they charge
for them. Representative Polk. There...all these
Gentleman and Ladies up here have tickets.
Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, would you clarify what time?"

Speaker Redmond: "10:00...10:00...10:00...10:00...10:00.
Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 285. Birchler. 286.
Collins. 288. Yourell. 290. Kane. 291.
Schraeder. House Joint Resolution 534. Kornowicz
54, Campbell. 55, Redmond - Daniels - Hoffman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."



Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, 285 by Birchler talks about the annual convention of the Knights of Columbus. 286 by Collins notes the same thing. 288 by Yourell honors the Grace Methodist Church. 290 by Kane Cheryl Draper of Springfield has been named the woman's first varsity basketball coach of Springfield College in Illinois. 291 by Schraeder honors Rich... Rich...high school student newspaper. 53 by Kornowicz calls the Month of May, Tavern Keepers Month. 55 by Redmond honors the Bensenville Elementary School District and congratulates Mrs. Turner. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Agreed Resolutions ...what do you want? Senate Resolutions..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 283, Waddell. 284, Young. 287, J. J. Wolf. And 289, DiPrima."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignment. Representative Madigan, adjournment. Five minutes."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, providing five minutes for a Perfunctory Session, I move that we adjourn to 10:00 A.M. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that we adjourn after 5 minutes Perfunctory until 10:00 tomorrow morning. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and we now stand adjourned until 10:00."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 668 Terzich. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Illinois Public Employees Pension Law Commission."



First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 106.
Deuster. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of an Act to tax gifts. First Reading of the
Bill. Messages from the Senate. Message from the
Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker,
I am directed to inform the House of Representatives
the Senate has passed a Bill of the following title
and passage of which I am instructed to ask
concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit:
Senate Bills #2, 324, 325, 328, 330, 340, 342, 343,
344, 345, 346, 353, 354, 359, 361, 368, 945, 428,
430, 434, 435, 438, 442, 446, 450, 455, 461, 463,
467, 468, 473, 479, 481, 362, 363, 364, 365, 369,
378, 388, 394, 402, 403, 404, 405, 409, 407, 412,
415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422 and 426.
Passed by the Senate May 15, 1979. Kenneth
Wright, Secretary. No further business, the
House now stands adjourned."



DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 15, 1979

PAGE 1

HB-0004	2ND READING	PAGE	82
HB-0140	2ND READING	PAGE	82
HB-0179	2ND READING	PAGE	89
HB-0189	2ND READING	PAGE	50
HB-0247	2ND READING	PAGE	90
HB-0251	2ND READING	PAGE	90
HB-0262	2ND READING	PAGE	90
HB-0273	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-0308	2ND READING	PAGE	57
HB-0320	2ND READING	PAGE	90
HB-0331	2ND READING	PAGE	91
HB-0350	2ND READING	PAGE	91
HB-0420	2ND READING	PAGE	54
HB-0432	2ND READING	PAGE	92
HB-0447	2ND READING	PAGE	94
HB-0505	2ND READING	PAGE	94
HB-0516	2ND READING	PAGE	96
HB-0519	3RD READING	PAGE	199
HB-0525	2ND READING	PAGE	97
HB-0532	2ND READING	PAGE	98
HB-0543	3RD READING	PAGE	30
HB-0569	3RD READING	PAGE	198
HB-0644	2ND READING	PAGE	98
HB-0662	2ND READING	PAGE	98
HB-0690	2ND READING	PAGE	99
HB-0703	2ND READING	PAGE	109
HB-0710	2ND READING	PAGE	113
HB-0713	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-0714	2ND READING	PAGE	114
HB-0744	2ND READING	PAGE	114
HB-0745	2ND READING	PAGE	60
HB-0750	2ND READING	PAGE	136
HB-0752	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-0755	2ND READING	PAGE	114
HB-0756	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-0757	2ND READING	PAGE	114
HB-0777	2ND READING	PAGE	114
HB-0795	3RD READING	PAGE	27
HB-0828	2ND READING	PAGE	115
HB-0843	3RD READING	PAGE	199
HB-0847	2ND READING	PAGE	147
HB-0848	2ND READING	PAGE	147
HB-0853	2ND READING	PAGE	148

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 15, 1979

HB-0857	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0882	2ND READING	PAGE	148
HB-0886	2ND READING	PAGE	149
HB-0888	2ND READING	PAGE	183
HB-0891	2ND READING	PAGE	56
HB-0893	2ND READING	PAGE	150
HB-0898	2ND READING	PAGE	152
HB-0900	2ND READING	PAGE	151
HB-0948	2ND READING	PAGE	155
HB-0950	2ND READING	PAGE	159
HB-0958	2ND READING	PAGE	156
HB-0971	2ND READING	PAGE	156
HB-0972	2ND READING	PAGE	158
HB-0976	3RD READING	PAGE	27
HB-0978	3RD READING	PAGE	30
HB-0981	2ND READING	PAGE	158
HB-0986	2ND READING	PAGE	158
	2ND READING	PAGE	166
HB-0987	2ND READING	PAGE	161
HB-1000	2ND READING	PAGE	161
HB-1002	2ND READING	PAGE	162
HB-1005	2ND READING	PAGE	162
HB-1011	2ND READING	PAGE	163
HB-1012	2ND READING	PAGE	164
HB-1016	2ND READING	PAGE	167
HB-1023	3RD READING	PAGE	27
HB-1026	2ND READING	PAGE	52
HB-1027	2ND READING	PAGE	185
HB-1032	2ND READING	PAGE	185
HB-1039	2ND READING	PAGE	186
HB-1045	2ND READING	PAGE	13
HB-1074	3RD READING	PAGE	27
HB-1075	3RD READING	PAGE	27
HB-1094	2ND READING	PAGE	186
HB-1096	2ND READING	PAGE	187
HB-1125	2ND READING	PAGE	187
HB-1128	2ND READING	PAGE	188
HB-1134	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1154	2ND READING	PAGE	190
HB-1158	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1165	2ND READING	PAGE	190
HB-1166	2ND READING	PAGE	190
HB-1181	3RD READING	PAGE	27

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 15, 1979

HB-1182	2ND READING	PAGE	191
HB-1192	2ND READING	PAGE	192
HB-1196	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-1201	3RD READING	PAGE	27
HB-1202	2ND READING	PAGE	138
HB-1205	2ND READING	PAGE	197
HB-1209	2ND READING	PAGE	198
HB-1210	2ND READING	PAGE	192
HB-1211	2ND READING	PAGE	193
HB-1214	2ND READING	PAGE	194
HB-1223	2ND READING	PAGE	195
HB-1229	3RD READING	PAGE	27
HB-1236	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-1244	3RD READING	PAGE	201
HB-1249	2ND READING	PAGE	196
HB-1251	2ND READING	PAGE	196
HB-1257	2ND READING	PAGE	57
HB-1308	3RD READING	PAGE	27
HB-1310	3RD READING	PAGE	202
HB-1315	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1350	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1352	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1358	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1405	3RD READING	PAGE	203
HB-1425	3RD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1434	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1438	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1457	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-1468	2ND READING	PAGE	81
HB-1477	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-1484	3RD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1499	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-1516	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-1535	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-1560	3RD READING	PAGE	212
HB-1597	3RD READING	PAGE	214
HB-1600	3RD READING	PAGE	215
HB-1601	3RD READING	PAGE	218
HB-1604	3RD READING	PAGE	219
HB-1614	3RD READING	PAGE	39
HB-1630	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-1635	3RD READING	PAGE	38
HB-1640	3RD READING	PAGE	32

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 15, 1979

HB-1641	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-1643	3RD READING	PAGE	33
HB-1645	2ND READING	PAGE	49
HB-1647	3RD READING	PAGE	47
HB-1652	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-1653	3RD READING	PAGE	45
HB-1655	2ND READING	PAGE	34
HB-1656	2ND READING	PAGE	16
	2ND READING	PAGE	178
HB-1677	3RD READING	PAGE	220
HB-1690	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-1703	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-1708	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-1770	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-1885	3RD READING	PAGE	222
HB-1888	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1889	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1908	3RD READING	PAGE	225
HB-1919	3RD READING	PAGE	226
HB-1921	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1931	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1937	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-1939	2ND READING	PAGE	58
	2ND READING	PAGE	133
HB-1941	3RD READING	PAGE	228
HB-1947	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1949	2ND READING	PAGE	229
HB-1954	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1955	2ND READING	PAGE	60
HB-1956	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1958	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1959	3RD READING	PAGE	230
HB-1977	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1979	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1980	2ND READING	PAGE	232
HB-1982	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-1994	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-2016	3RD READING	PAGE	238
HB-2073	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-2132	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-2146	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-2160	3RD READING	PAGE	238
HB-2161	3RD READING	PAGE	28

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 15, 1979

PAGE 5

HB-2176	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-2191	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-2200	2ND READING	PAGE	80
HB-2202	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-2203	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-2205	3RD READING	PAGE	239
HB-2212	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-2222	2ND READING	PAGE	80
HB-2234	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-2243	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-2280	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-2323	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-2324	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-2327	3RD READING	PAGE	29
HB-2331	2ND READING	PAGE	55
HB-2332	3RD READING	PAGE	29
HB-2373	2ND READING	PAGE	79
HB-2390	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-2422	3RD READING	PAGE	29
HB-2425	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-2426	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-2446	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-2462	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-2467	3RD READING	PAGE	29
HB-2472	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-2484	3RD READING	PAGE	29
HB-2487	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-2508	2ND READING	PAGE	11
HB-2540	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-2574	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-2577	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-2615	2ND READING	PAGE	53
HB-2618	2ND READING	PAGE	11
HB-2632	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-2642	2ND READING	PAGE	11
HB-2643	2ND READING	PAGE	11
HB-2644	2ND READING	PAGE	11
HB-2645	3RD READING	PAGE	29
HB-2728	2ND READING	PAGE	62
HB-2734	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-2737	3RD READING	PAGE	29
HB-2777	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-2781	1ST READING	PAGE	29

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 15, 1979

HB-2782	1ST READING	PAGE	29
SB-0005	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0073	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0081	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0094	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0104	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0106	1ST READING	PAGE	247
SB-0107	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0114	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0122	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0136	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0139	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0156	1ST READING	PAGE	29
SB-0160	2ND READING	PAGE	240
SB-0162	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0248	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0303	3RD READING	PAGE	29
SB-0485	2ND READING	PAGE	109
	NOTIONS	PAGE	102
SB-0589	2ND READING	PAGE	244
SB-0591	2ND READING	PAGE	245
SB-0668	1ST READING	PAGE	246
SB-0943	1ST READING	PAGE	4
HR-0283	1ST READING	PAGE	246
HR-0284	1ST READING	PAGE	246
HR-0287	1ST READING	PAGE	246
HR-0389	1ST READING	PAGE	246

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 15, 1979

PAGE 7

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REV. KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
REP. COLLINS - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	19
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE	19
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	245
PERFUNCT SESSION	PAGE	246
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	247
HOUSE ADJOURNED	PAGE	247