

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in 15 minutes... Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in 5 minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Flinn: "House will be in order. The Members will be at their seats. We will be lead in prayer this morning by Father Krueger."

Father Krueger: In the Name of the Father and the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Marcus Aurelius wrote:

Do not consider anything for your interest
that would make you break your word,
quit your modesty,
or incline you to any practice
which will not bear the light
or look the world in the face.

Let us pray.

Almighty God, from Whom no secrets are hid and all desires known, we ask Thy grace that in all our doings as Members of this House of Representatives we may bear Thy scrutiny and the inquiry of the people whom we serve. Temper us with prudence in all our actions; attract us to only that which is most desirable; and induce us to so direct our lives, our thoughts, and our ambitions that we may never be found wanting and always pleasing to Thee, our Lord and Master; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matijevich will lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag."

Matijevich: "This is for Carol, for Hanahan, for Totten, and for Birkinbine only I guess. No, who else was back there?"

Speaker Flinn: "Pouncey."

Matijevich: "I Pledge Allegaince, to the Flag of the United States of America. And to the Republic, for which it stands, one Nation, under God, indivisible, with Liberty and Justice for All."

Speaker Flinn: "The House will stand at ease to the call of the Chair. My guess is about 11:00 o'clock, but I can't be sure of that.. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "You know I realize that the Leadership has offices as right behind the podium here and it's not inconvenient



to go back there and be out in five minutes notice. But we're running back and forth across the street and I think if you're going to do this, you ought to put it over the microphone and tell us we can come up at 11:00 o'clock. We've got plenty of work to do too."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, Representative Friedrich, I was aware of this about one minute ago. And I would... let me assure you that when we come back that there won't be very much taking place and you'll have plenty of time to walk back across the street."

Friedrich: "Well, I think the Speaker ought to be advised that we've got work to do too. And if he's going to be running us back and forth across the street, we've got plenty to do besides that."

Speaker Flinn: "Members within the sound of my voice who would be interested in talking about deferred compensation, will... they can come to Representative Terzich's desk on the floor of the House and Director Boyse will be there to discuss it with them..."

Speaker Redmond: "...what do you do? Are you working? Are you working? House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed the Bill the following title, the passage which, I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit Senate Bill #357, passed by the Senate April 30, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate concurred with the House in passing Bill, the following title to wit House Bill 942, together with the Senate Amendment #1, passed by the Senate as Amended April 30, 1979, by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary." Message from the Senate, by Mr. Wright,



Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of a Bill, the following title to wit House Bill 4..943, together with Senate Amendment #1, passed by the Senate April 30, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second day."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day, Page 28 on the calendar. House Bill 321, a Bill for an Act to Amend an Act in relation to abortion. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 459, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to collection of agricultural statistics. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 782, a Bill for an Act to Amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 941, a Bill for an Act to Amend an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 998, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1052, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Illinois Act on the Aging. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1099, a Bill for an Act relating to eneasement in Madison County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1101, a Bill for an Act relating to eneasement in Cumberland County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1102, a Bill for an Act relating to eneasement in Cumberland County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1103, a Bill for an Act relating to eneasement in Cumberland County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1104, a Bill for an Act relating to eneasement in Cumberland County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1105, a Bill for an Act relating to eneasement in Cumberland County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill



1106, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Cumberland County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1107, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Effingham County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1112, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1113, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1114, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1115, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Coles County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1116, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Coles County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1117, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1118, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1119, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1120, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1121, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1259, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the State Salary and Annuity Withholding Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1275, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to delinquent taxes and special assessments. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1349, a Bill for an Act authorizing the Capital Development Board to convey a sewer easement in Winnebago County. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1402, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee. House Bill 1448, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark



County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1449, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1450, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Saline County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1451, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Edwards County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1452, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1454, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1455, a Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Cumberland County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1461, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create a Commission in the survey and study of problems pertaining to public schools in the State. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1511, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill ...what happened to 1551?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich, are you seeking recognition?"

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels, pay attention."

Terzich: "Last week, I sent out a letter to all of the Members with regard to the deferred compensation, a program that is now in effect for the State employees. This is a great opportunity for the Members that are interested in substantial tax savings and a high return on their investment to look into the area of a deferred compensation program. There are 25 days left in the enrollment period and currently they have approximately about 1,000 participants already in the program. Now, if any Member is interested in getting any facts or figures or applications or so on, we do have George M. Daily from the Department of Personnel to answer any questions that you may have and also to accept any applications. And remember, you only have 25 days left



to participate in the deferred compensation program. Oft then, you can defer up to \$7500 of your salary and have all of this tax deductible. So, she's over at my desk. Anyone interested, they can make an appointment to see her or she'll discuss their problem with them right now. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day, page 31 of your Calendar, House Bill 1559, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Northeast Illinois Planning Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1562, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Purchasing Act for the Metropolitan Sanitary District of greater Chicago. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1606, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1551, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Mental Health and Developmental Disability Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1627, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1680, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1719, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1723, a Bill for an Act to Amend the Child Care Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1753, a Bill for an Act to Amend the Illinois Notary Republic Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1784, a Bill for an Act to Amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee. House Bill 1877, a Bill for an Act to Amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1908.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Donovan is recognized with re-



spect to 1908."

Donovan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to pull 1908 off the Consent Calendar and return it to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. We do not have the Amendment properly prepared at this date, but will within a day or so."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return House Bill 1908 to the order of ...oh, it's on Second Reading now. Hold it on Second Reading. Hold it on. Yeah, he wants to have an Amendment so... want us to take it off?"

Donovan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1964, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act in relation to safe finance. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1973, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Blood Labeling Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2006, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Illinois Fire Protection Training Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2024, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to recorders. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2205, a Bill for an Act to Amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 2206, a Bill for an Act to Amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2264, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act to provide for the manner of proposing Amendments to the Constitution. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2352, a Bill for an Act to Amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2365, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act in regard to attachments. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2385, a Bill for an Act to Amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2386, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act in relation to investigation and prevention of fires. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2452, a Bill for



an Act to Amend Sections of the Cities and Village Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2545, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Illinois Local Library Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2595, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2671, a Bill for an Act to Amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2683, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act in relation to fire districts. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Readings... Introduction and First Readings."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2773, Breslin, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ryan: "I was curious, Mr. Speaker, to know which Bill came off the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "1908."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, we were told to be here at 10:00 o'clock.

You changed the adjournment Resolution last week to be here at 10:00. Then Flinn comes out, the only one from your side of the aisle and said, well, you can come back at the call of the Chair. Why couldn't we have read these introductions of Bills then instead of taking up our time running back and forth across the street? Now, you know I've defended you many times and I'd like to again, but I think the Democrat Majority, the Leadership, is abusing the Members of this House. Now, certainly, this introduction of Bills could have been done an hour ago. "

Speaker Redmond: "Well, there was a problem that the Oral Motion to adjourn was 10:00 o'clock. The written Joint Resolution



between the House and the Senate was 11:00 O'clock.

And there was the concern, there was a mistake, but there was a concern that there might be some problem about anything that might have been done officially between 10:00 and 11:00."

Friedrich: "Well, living across the street, I would appreciate somebody getting on the horn and say don't come over until 11:00 o'clock."

Speaker Redmond: "Your point is well taken, Sir. We will never have this happen again. ...Second Reading, Short Debate. House Bill 144. Second Reading, Short Debate."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 144, a Bill for an Act in relation to information..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow, for what purpose do you rise?"

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe there is an Amendment on this Bill and we don't have a copy of it. If that's correct, we'd ask that it be taken out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #1, the Committee Amendment, Is that the one you're talking about?"

Darrow: "I believe so."

Speaker Redmond: "Our records indicate it's been distributed."

Darrow: "Could we hold it then?"

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 190."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 190, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act creating the Department of Children and Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1? We understand that the... Representative Bowman, was there an Amendment to this Bill? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, there is. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Was there a motion Where do we stand, Represe... , Mr. Clerk? There was an Amendment adopted in Committee."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Calendar indicates there's an Amendment



adopted in Committee and I don't have the Amendment in the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record..."

Clerk O'Brien: "...to check on it."

Speaker Redmond: "570."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 570, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 601."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 601, a Bill for an Act to Amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 655."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 655..."

Speaker Redmond: "What happened to 570? We moved that to Third? Ok. 655."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 655, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act in relation to filing by the Department..."

Speaker Redmond: "Page 6."

Clerk O'Brien: "...by the Department..."

Speaker Redmond: "Second Reading Sir."

Clerk O'Brien: "...of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1? Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you. This is an Amendment to a Bill that passed Human Resources 14 to 0. This is a clean-up Amendment; we left out a clause by mistake in the Reference Bureau."



We changed two words that have essentially the same meaning. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute. There's no motion. Amendment 1 was adopted in Committee. No motion with respect to Amendment 1, is that correct?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there an Amendment on the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Mugalian, Amends House Bill 655 as Amended by Amendment #1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative..."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I misspoke, yes. I move for the adoption of Amendment #2, which is a clean-up Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any other Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Roll Call for attendance. Wait a minute. Representative Mugalian. Did you ask leave to keep that on Short Debate?"

Mugalian: "If that's necessary, yes. That's where it was."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to keep House Bill 655 on Short Debate? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. 725. Roll Call for attendance, yeah..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 725, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 822."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 822, a Bill for an Act to provide for the filing petitions in accordance with Section 3 of



Article XIV of the Constitution. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 887."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 887, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Like to welcome back our colleague, Representative Schraeder. Representative Schraeder? Welcome back. 902."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 902, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Counties Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1 or any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions. No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 938."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 938, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "938? Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1025."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1025, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to regulation of the practice of nursing. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow. Out of the record. 1058."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1058, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning property fire loss information."



Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1065."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1065, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 ... no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Oblinger, Amends House Bill 1065 on page 1, line 17..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Oblinger?"

Oblinger: "Haven't passed out the Amendment yet."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 1086."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1086,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners, you seek recognition? 1086. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1086, a Bill for an Act relating to the certification of commercial and private applicators, use and application of pesticides. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1 or Committee, any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed. No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1100."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1100, a Bill for an Act to Amend, a Bill for an Act authorizing the Secretary of the Department of Transportation of the State of Illinois to convey a quick claim in Oak Lawn. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, .."

Speaker Redmond: "Has it been distributed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yourell, Jane Barnes..It is distributed..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell? Representative Yourell."



Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, can I ask a question? We have Amendment #2 to House Bill 1100 and after I filed House Bill, I mean Amendment #1 to House Bill 1100, Representative Barnes asked me if she could file Amendment #2, which I hope is identical to Amendment #1. The only thing we've done is switch names. She's at first and I'm second. And before I table Amendment #1, I want to be certain that Amendment #2 is the same as Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you have Amendment #2 in your possession? Representative Barnes? Did you file Amendment 2?"

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. The only change in the Amendment is that I felt since the property is in Oak Lawn that I would prefer to make it the Barnes-Yourell-Huskey Amendment. The wording in the Amendment is identical. It was just the Sponsorship of the Amendment that I wished to have changed. So if Mr. Yourell would like to table his and I would like to proceed with mine."

Yourell: "As a Gentleman, Representative Barnes, I'm delighted to have your name appear first on the Amendment since that's the only change. I move now to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 1100."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell withdraws Amendment 1. Representative Barnes moves the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor of her motion indicate by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Amendment #2 is..."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask leave to keep this on Short Debate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Lady have leave to keep House Bill 1100 on Short Debate? Hearing no objections, leave is granted."

Barnes: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: Third Reading. 1127."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1127, a Bill for an Act concerning the health and insurance of persons who have taken DES.



Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 11... 1216."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1216, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act relating to installment contracts to sell dwelling structures. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1260."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1260, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Emil Jones-Taylor, Amends House Bill 1260 on page 4, line 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Emil Jones."

Jones: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to House Bill 1260 corrects an error that we inadvertently left this Bill out on. It provides that in the event a company decides to give an outline of a policy at the time of delivery of the policy, then the policy holder will have 30 days to have that policy cancelled without charge. But in the event that the application, the outline is delivered with the application then the policy holder will have the current 10 day free period without any charge of premium. And I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1260."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is my Bill and I'm going to have to oppose the Gentleman's Amendment. What he's providing for is to put the ..my Amendment to the Election Code



to in effect, put it back in the shape that it was prior to the introduction of this Amendment. The Amendment simply, my Amendment that he is attempting to Amend, simply says that they have an option of providing either at the time of the delivery of the policy or when they take the application to provide the applicant with what is in the insurance policy. And then, he is asking for an additional time of some 30 days for the person to have the option as to whether they want to keep the policy or not. Now, that would be in some cases, 30 days of free insurance and then they have the opportunity to tell the insurance company, no they decided they don't want to buy it. Presently they have 10 days to make that decision which seems adequate to me. Ten days will provide the policy holder plenty of time to review what is in his policy, what his coverage is, and let him make that decision as to whether he wants to keep the policy or not. Thirty days is just an unfair amount of days to ask anybody, whether they be an insurance company or they be a new automobile dealer, they're not going to allow 30 days to drive around in a car to decide whether you want to keep the car and this Amendment to the Bill is just not acceptable at all. And I would hope that Members of the House would oppose it. We think we have a very very fine, simple Amendment to the Insurance Code. It's just making it optional in its present form, whether the policy holder, the applicant, will be delivered with the , at the time of the application or at the time of the final purchase, what actually he is covered for in the policy. It's just that simple and I have to oppose this Bill for a couple of reasons, this Amendment, but mainly because of the 30 day extension of time that the Gentleman wants to give them on whether they want to keep the policy or not."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Jones to close."



Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. The previous Speaker, who spoke to the Amendment, really didn't give the facts. Currently the law states that if you have a health and accident policy and if you apply for one, the insurance company has to give you an outline of your coverage at the time of application. This Amendment does not give the policy holder a thirty day free period of insurance. All this Amendment says is that if the outline is not given to the potential insurer at the time of application, and the outline is then given to him at the time the policy is delivered, then the insurer has an additional 30 days. But in the event the outline is given to him at the time of application, then the insurer will have what is already currently the law, the 10 day period. So his Bill calls for a either or situation and this Amendment is an either or situation. It does not mandate that the policy holder has 30 days. All it mandates is that in the event the insurance company does not want to give the policy holder the outline at the time of application, which is already the law, then the insured the potential insurer will have an additional 30 days. So the Amendment is an either, or and I move the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Representative Jones? Representative Bullock?"

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'd like to explain my vote. Amendment ..."

Speaker Redmond: "One minute."

Bullock: "Amendment #1 to House Bill 1260 is a simple Amendment. It's a consumer Amendment. It, in effect, grants to the consumer the needed protection in a contract between an insurance company and the insurer. The present statute



provides a limited period of time for the consumer to examine a specimen copy insurance contract. Amendment #1 extends and clarifies that contract to the benefit of the consumer. The Bill itself is a much needed Bill. The Amendment merely clarifies and adds to the Bill the specific intent and protection of the consumer. I would hope that the colleagues on both sides of the aisle who are equally interested in consumer protection as well as in good free enterprise, will give an 'aye' vote to Amendment #1 and put some green lights on the Board. I'm certain that you know that in other States, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, that similar opportunities have arisen for the citizens of that, of those particular States to show support for the consumer in an insurance contract. I think that you are missing an opportunity if you abstain from voting on this Amendment. You're missing an opportunity to show you concern for fairness between an individual in an insurance contract who in fact needs the extra protection that Representative Jones has proposed here. That protection is essential and that protection is the same protection that you'd get in any other contract, whether you're shopping in a supermarket or whether you're shopping in a funeral home for a burial casket. Both ends of the spectrum apply and I would hope that some of those people who have chosen not to vote in order to avoid the issue, would seek some support and would support Representative Jones and support Amendment #1 to House Bill 1206 (sic)."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly?"

Kelly: "Yes, Sir. Mr. Speaker, would you switch me from a 'no' to a 'yes', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker ,.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be recorded as 'aye'."



Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative White as 'aye'. I think maybe we'd better dump this Roll Call. Dump the Roll Call. Those in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 53 'aye' and 56 'no'. Representative Jones, for what purpose do you rise?"

Jones: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I hate to take the time of the House, I know everyone hasn't quite settled down, but this is a very important Bill because if this Amendment is not adopted you have many policy holders, or potential policy holders, in your district who will be losing an opportunity to compare rates. As the law now stands a policy holder can receive his outline at the time of application thereby he knows what he is purchasing. So, this Bill without this Amendment would, this Bill without this Amendment would take away"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise?"

Skinner: "I yield, I'm convinced. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian? Representative Skinner, 'aye'. Representative Mugalian, 'aye'. Representative Bradley, you seeking recognition? Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "I just wondered what position we were in and what Mr. Jones was doing up talking on the Bill. What was his point of speaking?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, he snuck in couple of extra minutes."

Bradley: "Well, I wish we'd announce the Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "What is the count Mr. Clerk? For what purpose do you rise Mr. Jones?"

Jones: "I hate to take the time of the House, Mr. Speaker, but I request a Poll of the Absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "What is the count now Mr. Clerk? 55 'ayes'



and 56 'no'. Representative Ryan?"

Ryan: "Record me as 'aye', will you please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Ryan as 'aye'. Representative Darrow, 'aye'. Representative Mulcahey, 'aye'. Now, what is the count? Representative Chapman, 'aye'. ... Darrow 'no' to 'aye', Mucahey desires to be recorded as 'aye', Representative Donovan 'aye', Representative Chapman, 'aye', Representative Von Boeckman, 'aye'. What's the count now? 62 'aye' and 55 'no'. Representative Jones, do you still persist in your poll? Representative Jones has withdrawn his request for the Poll of the Absentees. On this question, the motion carried. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Hell, let's be fair about this now. Did you say it's carried? Let's poll the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "62 to 55."

Bradley: "How about.... don't I have the privilege of asking to poll the absentees?"

Speaker Redmond: "You do..... Representative Jones had requested it and he withdrew it."

Bradley: "Well, I would like to request it...."

Speaker Redmond: "Ok... Representative Bradley requests a poll of the absentees. Continue with the poll of the absentees, Mr. Clerk. May so, be the easiest way to dump this one and do it over again. Continue with the poll of the absentees. What is it what he's starting with now? 62 'aye' 63 'aye' and 54 'no'. Representative Bower?"

Bower: "Change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman's vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Bower. That's 64."

Clerk O'Brien: "Absentees are Boucek. Bowman. Breslin. Capparelli. Capuzi. Cullerton. Daniels. Dawson. Deuster. Domico. John Dunn. Ebbesen. Ewell. Virginia Frederick. Friedland. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin...."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Goodwin as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Griesheimer. Hannig. Dave Jones. Kane.

Katz. Kosinski. Kucharski. Laurino. Madigan. Mahar. Marovitz.
Matijevich..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McClain. McCourt. McGrew. Meyer. Molloy.

O'Brien. Peters. Pullen. Reilly. Ronan..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ronan 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schlickman..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton 'no'. Representative
Pullen 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schuneman. Sharp. Slape...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cissy Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, vote me 'no' please."

Speaker Redmond: "How?"

Stiehl: "'No'"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stiehl desires to be recorded
as 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. G. Steele. Terzich. Vinson. Waddell.
Walsh."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins 'aye'. Representative
Terzich 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hudson. Willer. Williams. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, what's the count? 69 'aye' and 56 nay.
The motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any
further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. We'll go to the Order of
House Bills, Third Reading. Priority of Call, which appears
on page 8. House Bill 507. Representative Wikoff, for what
purpose do you rise?"

Wikoff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. We took House Bill 144 out of
the record earlier and that problem has been solved. I
wonder if that could be put back on and moved to Third."

Speaker Redmond: "I'll get back to that. I want to get done



507."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 507, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to frauds and perjuries. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 507 seeks to require a written between unmarried couples that are co-habiting if in fact they intend to ever recover amongst each other. The Bill which has been made more or less made famous by the Marvin case in California actually was introduced because of a case here like the Marvin case in Illinois. The case I refer to is the case of Huit v. Huit, which arose in the Appellate Court case in Champaign County. And in that case the court said, the Appellate Court said, that either an express oral contract or an applied contract or even a reasonable expectation amongst the parties would be sufficient enough to allow an unmarried partner to recover from the other. That, as you all know, was a break with the Illinois precedent which had always been that unmarried couples in order to recover would need a written contract. Because the Huit case broke law in Illinois, the law now stands that unmarried couples may sue each other and recover. I submit to you that such a law will bring about unnecessary litigation, harassment law suits, and also problems of proof for the court. To adjust that problem we introduced 507, we ask for your favorable support. And I thank you and would be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Pierce: "Do you prohibit quadra-marital suits by a man against a woman or a woman against a man who would have a cause of action merely because they happened to be living together



co-habiting together, they would loose that right of action for services performed , let's say carpentry work done, house keeping work done, other kind of work that was done outside the house; do they loose that cause of action because they happen to be living together?"

Hallock: "No, this would not do that."

Pierce: "Well, why don't you do that? I thought you would prohibit them from being in action."

Hallock : "Those would be services that were in addition to a living relationship."

Pierce: "Then how does the Bill deal with it?"

Hallock: "This Bill merely provides that in couples that are co-habiting, which implies a sexual context, that in terms of the relationship itself, unless they write it down, their agreement that it wouldn't be covered."

Pierce: "So, what you're saying is the act of co-habitation would not create a cause of action unless there was a written contract, but you're not in any way, you're not in any way eliminating other causes of action that may exist like house keeping services or so on, is that correct?"

Hallock: "No, those could be sepearate arrangement."

Pierce: "Pardon?"

Hallock: "Those could be treated separately, yes."

Pierce: "Even though they're not in writing."

Hallock: "Yes."

Pierce: "Well, I have no further questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Discussion? Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well, it seems to me that this Bill, like a lot of Bills, meets our inexhaustable and insatiable desire to legislate on everything we can legislate on. If there is a headline in the newspapers on any subject almost, there are five Bills filed the next morning to do almost anything. And it seems to me that there's been a lot of interest in Marvin verses Marvin and all the other cases,



it's kind of fun to read about, but when we start legislating then it's serious stuff, I think. I'm not sure this is necessary; I don't think there's going to be thousands of people running to the courts, a huge log jam of people who are suddenly finding they have to litigate their problems. I think this is an unnecessary kink of Bill, frankly. I think it discriminates, we're saying that a woman who lives with a man and takes care of him and then says we didn't co-habit but I just helped him out, she doesn't need a written contract. But if they share the same bed well then she needs a written contract. If you want to make all contracts for personal services in writing, that's one thing, but if you want to single out this class of contracts, it doesn't make any sense. I think we ought to.. Marvin vs. Marvin, give us a few months, it'll pass like gas does. And I think we just ought to forget this Bill and all the other Bills like this."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, if I might, I can respect the Gentleman's opinion, and also about the timeliness of the newscast in light of his nuclear energy Bill. But I would also like to point out that this Bill came about and was introduced before the Marvin situation came down. And it was in direct response to the Huit case in Illinois and not the Marvin case in California."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman again."

Greiman: "Well, I know you want to be accurate and I should tell you that we filed the Nuclear Moritorium Bill before Harrisberg. I know you'd want to know that because I know you wouldn't want to state anything with any inaccuracy on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask leave of the House to have a meeting in the Speaker's Office in reference to a Commission that was formed to study



the corrections process, I believe at the meeting. They're in the office now. I ask leave of the House if they continue with their meeting and do the business that they are considering "

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, this is a Commission established by the Legislature that has been meeting in the Speaker's Office the last hour or so. But I understand they're ready to proceed to a vote and they want leave to, from this Body to proceed, with that. Is there any objection?"

Lechowicz: "Leave to use the Attendant's Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objection, leave is granted. The Attendant's Roll Call will be used. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was going to close whenever.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I suppose Representative Leinenweber can cover most of the pertinent points in closing, but I just want to stand in support of Representative Leinenweber, Representative Hallock on House Bill 507. Contrary to what Representative Greiman said, the potential and already the realized potential in some areas, are just an unlimited litigation resulting from the Huit doctrine and the Marvin doctrine around the country and here in Illinois; it's already starting to show itself. I think that when you have the potential for an implied contractor 'quantum-marrow-lithe' theory recovery based on something other than a written contract, you really leave yourself open to an unlimited number of cases. How many days, or how many months do you have to live with somebody before you have the opportunity to sue them for prpperty settlement? Is is three years or four years or six years, or could it be two weeks or even two days ? And that's the mischief and the potential that comes from this case. Time Magazine reported this week that over a thousand cases that already been filed in California and I know of a good number already filed in



Illinois. All we're saying is here that we ought to have some meaningful guideline to limit the spawning litigation form this uncontrollable doctrine. I think this Bill is a very moderate Bill, it's been Amended to put it in very proper form. It's a simple Amendment to the Section on Statute of Frauds in our revised statutes. And I certainly think that Representative Hallock and Representative Leinenweber be commended on a moderate approach to try to mitigate some of the serious potential consequences of a very serious doctrine. And I certainly stand in support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: " Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Yourell: "Is there a grandfather clause in the provisions of House Bill 507?"

Hallock : "This has been Amended so it will affect those cases that are going now. But not the cases that have been filed as of today. How far back do you want to go, Bud?"

Speaker Redmond: "You ready to close, you going to close Representative Leinenweber? Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I think you , Mr. Speaker. I certainly can't add a great to what has already been said by Representative Hallock and Johnson in support of House Bill 507. I think that House Bill 507 is consistent with the actions of the Legislature in the State of Illinois over the years. Many years ago there were suits called breach of promise suits which brought about as much notarity as the celebrated Marvin vs. Marvin case. Because of the proliferation of breach of promise suits, which are very similar in type to the Marvin case, the State of Illinois not only reacted certainly a lot more firmly than we're reacting in House Bill 507. It outlawed breach of promise suits, virtually outlawed breach of promise suits. We are not outlawing claims to be made rising out of extra marital relationships.



All we are doing is setting down some procedural ground rules for the proof, and I'd like to emphasize, we're dealing with proof, not the existence of a relationship or any rights, so forth, we're saying that you cannot prove in court your particular claim under an oral contract. It must be in writing. This will force parties to adopt this relationship to sit down and cover these particular questions before breakups occur. I think this is good. This will as Representative Johnson points out, will eliminate a lot of unnecessary and expensive and celebrated legislation. I can just point out that Time, or yesterday's Tribune had an article about palimony suits throughout the nation and all the, how many there are. For example, Flip Wilson currently has two pending against him covering the same period of time. I think these suits are unnecessary. They're expensive and they ought to be eliminated except where the parties have sat down beforehand and ironed these matters out in writing. I certainly urge the adoption of House Bill 507."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 'aye' and 29 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 599."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 599,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell? Representative Kozubowski desires to be recorded as 'aye' on 599? 507, pardon me. 599, Representative Yourell."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 599, a Bill for an Act relating to auto milage reimbursement for State employees. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 599 raises the reimbursement for State



employees to 20¢ a mile equal to the reimbursement rate for State Legislators. The current reimbursement rate for State employees is 13¢ a mile. The average cost today of owning and operating a typical United States car resumed its double digit rate of increase in 1978, rising more than 10% to the present 33.1% a mile. Three cents above last year's 30.1¢ outline. These figures were compiled by the Hertz Car Leasing Division's annual estimate issued on April 1979. It seems to me that if we expect State employees to use their own cars and to drive those cars that we ought to be willing to reimburse those individuals at least in part, for those expenses. Now, the Illinois Association of Highway Engineers has compiled figures on a private vehicle mileage January 1978 through December of 1978. And the figures that I'm going to read for you are the figures of the numbers reported of individuals that drove their cars under 1,000 miles a month during that calendar year. There were a total of 1,408 who drove their cars 1,000 miles per month or twelve.....876 State employees that drove their cars between 1,000-5,000 miles a month for an average of about 18,000 miles a year. And there were 77 state employees that drove their cars over 5,000 miles a month. I think if we're going to raise our mileage reimbursement rate to 20¢ we ought to at least do that for the State employees who use their cars for tending to the State's business more than we do. And I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, there are few issue this year that I think that we can stand support over and above the Governor's budget, because we all came down here with the attitude of austerity and that we were not going to spend more than we have in the past. We are simply are going to try to hold the budget. But I see no way in good conscience that we can vote against this



piece of legislation. It's obvious that we're receiving that 20¢ a mile. I think it's imperative that we give every State employee that same opportunity and I certainly would support this legislation and urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Darrow: "Representative Yourell, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz?"

Darrow: "Will individuals still be able to be reimbursed as actual expense? For example, if he takes Ozark or another flight or must he still be governed by the 20¢ per mile?"

Yourell: No, he will be treated the same as we are treated."

Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 151 'aye' and 1 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 724. Representative Skinner, please come to order. 724. Representative Frederick."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 724, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 724 is a Bill addressing an inequity in the down-state teacher's retirement system by Amending that system permitting surviving spouses of the teacher's annuitant to retain his or her survivor's benefits even though he or she remarries after the age of 55. As the law now stands, a widow or widower of a teacher who has been receiving a teacher's pension loses the survivor's benefits if that



widow or widower remarries. My rationale for the change is this, when a husband and wife have paid into a Pension Fund for 30 to 40 years, whether or not they remarry at the age 55 is irrelevant. They have contributed to the Pension Fund for a working lifetime. This Bill simply makes the teacher's pension fund consistent with the Legislator's Pension Fund, the Judges, the Chicago Police Pension Fund as well as the Social Security benefits, which were changed in January of this year. This Bill is supported by the Pension Laws Commission, the Illinois Federation of Teachers and the Down-State Teacher's Retirement System. It passed out of the Pension, out of the Personnel and Pension Committee by a vote of 13 to 2. A fiscal note has been filed. I can give you just a few figures. In 1978, there were 1526 widows, and widowers receiving benefits as surviving spouses of teachers. In 1977 and 78 combined, only 29 of the surviving widows and widowers remarried and 17 of those were 55 or older. I urge the adoption of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Would the Sponsor yield for a few questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "She will."

Ewing: "How many of our Pension Systems now provide for this type of survival benefit?"

Frederick: "How..."

Ewing: "How may other Pension Systems?"

Frederick: "There are four other Pension systems that do provide for these benefits."

Ewing: "Which ones are those?"

Frederick: "The Police, the Legislators, Social Security, and there's one other... the Judges Pension Fund."

Ewing: "Now, under Social Security if you remarry after 55 you do get to retain your husband or wife's Social Security, whichever it may be?"

Frederick: "That's the way I understand it, Sir."

Ewing: "You say that is the way you understand it?"



Frederick: "Yes. Yes. I've been advised that this is true, this took effect in January of this year."

Ewing: "What,.. do you have any estimate as to the cost to the Teacher's Retirement Fund?"

Frederick: "Yes. I have an analysis done by our staff, the Republican staff which says, ' the increase in annual cost will be \$192,000 plus.'"

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "As I understand it, there're just 29 remarry, that were married and so lost their..."

Frederick: "Yes.."

Borchers: "...pensions?"

Frederick: "Yes.."

Borchers: "Well, I think it's obligatory on our part to see that the rest of them, who are obviously probably living in sin, are brought, given the right to remarry without living in sin. So I think we ought to support this Bill."

Frderick: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 153 'aye' and 3 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I want to do something I never did to Adeline, I would like to give my signature on that first Bill of your. I never did that for Adeline, Virginia."

Speaker Redmond: "739."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 739, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: I'll get back to you Representative Von Boeckman. Representative Pechous. It's the intention to go through the Priority of Call on Third Reading before we go to Committees. Representative Pechous."



Pechous: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, and excuse my back. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I present to you House Bill 379, (sic), which is rather accurately portrayed in the Digest. This is an Amendment to the Illinois Vehicle Code and would deny a drivers license or a permit to the undocumented, 'illegal alien', and furthermore that the application would set forth the language whether the person is a U.S. citizen and if not, to state that proof of legal residence is required before a license can be issued. House Amendment #1 was suggested by the Secretary of State's Office and namely provides that proof of legal residence should be set forth as provided by the Federal Immigration and Naturalization Service. They tell me that they would like to have an effective date as of July 1980 so they could consume the applications presently on hand. Therefore it would be no cost to the State of Illinois. House Bill 379, (sic), presents itself because many people in the law enforcement field and residents residing in a Southern District brought it to my attention that the policy of the State of Illinois, 739, that the policy of the State of Illinois was to issue a drivers license irrespective of whether the person had gained illegal entry into the United States and or if at one time that this person either by purpose of a work visa or a tourists' visa had been legally admitted that some time later that the person had unlawfully continued in the country beyond the expiration date of his visa and or passport. I would bring to your attention that the State of Illinois' policy is very similar in terms of employment, that on the application form of which I have before me, that it sets forth citizenship and the block United States citizen, yes or no, and then furthermore, permanent resident alien. Now, this means a person who is going through naturalization. These made application and there's no problem, no concern with that category. Nonimmigrant alien, that again refers to a per-



son who is here on a work visa, on a student visa, or is a Governmental official. The category I'm specifically aiming at is that person who has gained unlawful entry into the United States. And I want to make it very clear, that this Bill is not aimed at Mexican Americans, Iranians, Scandinavians, Polish, Czechoslovakian, Greeks, Italians, etc., it is aimed across the board as a legitimate assertion of state sovereignty aimed at all persons who would be here under unlawful title. I would pause at this time and be prepared to answer any questions. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Mugalian: "I've listened carefully to your explanation. Is this Bill designed to improve traffic safety?"

Pechous: "May I respond?"

Mugalian: "Yes."

Pechous: "Yes, to the extent that there may be some language difficulty but moreover, and let me emphasize this, moreover, that is a person is here illegally intrinsically he is a fugitive. He's a fugitive from the INS, he's a fugitive from law enforcement agencies. By nature, this person's driving irrationally, he's going to be driving with one thought in mind that the car behind him may well be a Federal authority. And so therefore, the probability of danger of hazard of accidents is far greater."

Mugalian: "You're saying, Sir, that if a person happens to be bi-lingual that he's necessarily a greater risk on the highways than someone who merely speaks English?"

Pechous: "No, I'm not saying that. You're saying that. What I am saying.."

Mugalian: "Well, that's what I thought your answer was. I take it then that this Bill is essentially on aid on the part of the State of Illinois to help the Bureau of Immigration and Naturalization. Is that correct?"



Pechous: "It will give the State of Illinois some sort of partnership with the United States Government in the regulation of illegal aliens, yes."

Mugalian: "Has Illinois been asked to assist it...by the Federal Government?"

Pechous: "I discussed this matter with the Immigration Office and they tell me that it would be of great assistance to them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I can well understand how the Gentleman's constituents feel regarding the question of illegal aliens. But I submit to you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that this Bill goes about it in the wrong way. Let me first state, as you already know, that the responsibility of handling illegal aliens and enforcing the Federal laws regarding that question resides with the Federal Government and I assume the Naturalization Division. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it seems to me that we will be putting untold burden upon many citizens of this country and people who legitimately would be entitled to obtain their drivers license. I wonder, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, what extra documents people will be asked to produce in order to receive an Illinois drivers license. I wonder how much money it's going to cost the Secretary of State's Office eventually in terms of time and extra personnel to enforce this law. We're going to be putting the Secretary of State's Office in the position of determining who or who is not a legal resident of this country, let alone this state. I also am very concerned Mr. Speaker, that anyone who even speaks with a foreign accent would be subjected to perhaps unnecessary questioning and harassment if they're stopped for a simple traffic violation. I also ask the question, Mr. Speaker, what if somebody comes from a part of this country where records were not accurately kept, where birth certificats are not necessarily



easy to obtain. Mr Speaker, and Members of the House, I rise to oppose this Bill because I think it carries with it a great many abuses. I think many American citizens of foreign ancestry will be abused. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I sincerely hope that the Members who reject this piece of legislation, it is not our responsibility; if it were an accident to law it would be extremely costly; it would lead to another bureaucracy and cause hardship on many many of our citizens."

Speaker Redmond: "...Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you. Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 739 would deny rights to persons on the presumption that there's status in the United States is unlawful without the safeguards available to such persons before the Immigration and Naturalization Service. I hate to oppose this Bill because the Sponsor's a friend of mine, but this is really a bad and discriminatory piece of legislation. The involvement of the Secretary of State employees in determining the immigration and citizenship status under our Nation's complex immigration law would inevitably result in the denial of licenses to thousands of Illinois residents who are lawfully in the United States as citizens or lawful aliens. Many U. S. citizens are aliens, lawfully residing in the United States, may have difficulty proving their status for two reasons: either because they were born in areas in the United States where both records were not regularly maintained or were difficult to obtain, or because the Immigration and Naturalization Service has delayed in processing documentation of their immigration status. Immigration laws should be left up to the Federal Government. This is not the purpose of our state government, of our Secretary of State to determine who's illegal and who's not, and issue drivers licenses on that basis. The fundamental purpose of the Drivers Licensing Statute is to pro-



vide drivers licenses to residents of Illinois to facilitate enforcement of traffic laws and enable insurance companies to offer coverage to Illinois drivers. This would be an unbelievable burden to the Secretary of State and I would ask all level-headed thinking Legislators to join me in voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, and fellow Members of the House, I am absolutely and thoroughly amazed at your ignorance, the majority of you. And I say to your ignorance, you better begin to think what's happening in this State. Let me give you a bit of a background. There are over 500,000 illegal aliens in this State right now. And I can produce all of the necessary material to back to it up if you so wish. I want order..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser, for what purpose do you rise?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, if the Gentleman knows of 500,000 illegal aliens, he should tell us their names and addresses."

Speaker Redmond: "They can meet in the Speaker's Office right after the session. Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, I have an asinine question, I won't go any further. Now, I want you to know that over a 100,000 plus illegal aliens are crossing our borders, mostly from the South, every month and getting in here, a 100,000. If you don't believe it, check the immigration authorities, they'll tell you how we can not turn them all back. We don't have the means. It has been said by someone here that it's the responsibility of the Federal Government. True, it is. But it's also our responsibility. Cause they're in our State, and this is our land and our people that we should be protecting. Now, I've heard about, about about the driving licenses, that it's an added expense. Well, it's not an added expense. All you've got to do is turn them down if they can't prove citizenship and that's



up to the fellow that's an illegal or legal alien or ourselves. We do it all the time, when I put in my drivers license, I have to prove to a reasonable degree that I was a citizen. That's all you have to do. Turn it in. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have a little order."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentleman order."

Borchers: "Now, suppose I'm stopped on the street or you are stopped on the street for some illegal action with your car, all you got to do is produce a drivers license. The police don't care whether you're legal or a illegal alien, or a citizen. You did something wrong and they take it. If you don't have the drivers license, then maybe you might have forgotten it. They take your car. You all know the procedure, I don't to waste time talking about that. I just want to point out that the fact is that the drivers license is required. Now, in my files, in my office, I have records of illegal aliens killing, running over people with these illegally driven cars. We have a right to protect our people and at the same time no insurance behind them because there's no means for them to get insurance. There's been children killed, there's been men, women killed on this matter. I only wish I could show you all the material I have. I've been saving it for over 10 years and building up on this. Anyone that thinks that this is a bad Bill is absolutely wrong. This is a damm good Bill because it's helping identify illegal aliens, it's helping to control illegal aliens in this State, and one day you're going to be forced to do it because already, in this State alone, we are adding over 50,000 illegal aliens a year in the State of Illinois. And you're going to more and more conscious of all this as time goes by. It's time to wake up in the Legislature, now, first, because you're responsible. And if you don't take action we're hurting our own people. I won't go into all the millions of dollars that are being illegally stolen from us. They're on welfare, they're getting



medical help, they're getting every damn thing you can imagine and we're doing nothing about it. This is the first attempt to try to do something about it. So let's help them. Wake up."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Leverenz: "What happens Representative, if you lose the identification you have under this Bill?"

Pechous: "By that, you're referring to the green card that's issued, that must be carried by all illegal aliens over the age of 18?"

Leverenz: "That's correct."

Pechous: "...and or in the case of a nonimmigrant who would have any visa or a passport?"

Leverenz: "Can you tell the Body how long it takes to replace those items?"

Pechous: "I'm told there had been in the past some delay, but that it has been expedited and furthermore that such a time period would be extremely short."

Leverenz: "When you say delay, can you name the number months?"

Pechous: "I think it's closer to weeks."

Leverenz: "Pardon me?"

Pechous: "I believe it's closer to weeks."

Leverenz: "I understand it to be roughly three or four maybe six months. If a person goes and takes a drivers test, and passes it, don't you think that's enough to gain a license to drive?"

Pechous: "I believe the motoring public of Illinois thinks not."

Leverenz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. I believe the legislation offered here would have a reverse effect and being unable to provide that information many would drive without licenses, which would be more harmful than the Bill would call for. And certainly the previous Speaker apparently



knows who the illegal aliens are, and thus there is no need for this piece of legislation. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman we're engaged here in a great travesty here. What we're getting ready to do is punish people who have faulty English. If you think of a person driving along the highway and simply he has faulty English, he will now get a strip search of his mind. This is inequitable, unfair, and it accomplishes nothing. The purpose of driver licensing is the fact that a person knows the rules of the State of Illinois and is competent to operate a motor vehicle. Nothing else ought to be determined except those facts. And I think in reaching as we are here, we are going to commit a serious travesty of justice on people who happen to have faulty English. I think it is a measure of our integrity as to how we are willing to vote on this type of Bill. This Bill picks out a few people and unfairly and unjustly places the burden upon them. It is easy for us to say I do not have many Latino voters in my district and therefore I will vote for the Bill. But I suggest that justice, equity and fairness demand the same principle, regardless of how many Latino voters are in your district. It's an unfair and discriminatory Bill. It is a strip search of the minds of a certain small minority. And I will rise in opposition."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti. "

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall the main question be put.

Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Pechous to close."

Pechous: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. Again Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems incongruous that in the State of Illinois we say to an undocumented alien that you can't hold public office. And we say to that same person that you can't vote. We say that you can not secure employment



with the State of Illinois. But we say for the payment of a few dollars you can be licensed driver in the State of Illinois. This Bill is designed to promote the safety and welfare of the people of Illinois. I know of no opposition other than some used car dealers. The Secretary of State's Office says to me that they are neutral on the subject, the burden is on the applicant under perjury possibilities for him to state whether he is or is not a citizen of the United States. It is up to him to so state. Every resident immigrant over the age of 18, which is our licensing law, must carry at all times a green card. A person who then is a student, a person here on a work visa, has a passport and it is stamped as to his arrival and departure. All, all of these above classes carry those identifications. There is no other district in the State of Illinois, I would venture to say, that has a greater population of foreign born, than in the sister communities of Burlin and Cicero. And they too, find it incongruous that in the State of Illinois and in the United States that we have such lax policy about the issuance of drivers licenses. This Bill, 739, as Amended, is brought before you in the interest of the motoring public of Illinois and I commit it to you for your 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Van Duyne to explain his vote."

Van Duyne: "Well, Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. Really the emphasis here is on the illegal. You know everybody has been talking about a class of citizenary and they're not talking about the people who are here in this country illegally. Now, we're not talking about somebody who is here on a visa and who is up here on a farm, migrant laborer, or something like that, or somebody who is here, any way shape or form legally. These people are in this country



without a permit. And this would devise some plan one way or another where at least if they were stopped for a traffic violation or something, the people would be able to at least find out that they're here. They come to , at least my area of Will County, and they go to work in some of the jobs that some of the people don't want. And legally I might say. And as soon as their visa runs out they melt into the woodwork and they're here to stay. And the first thing you know we are put in the position of vying for the same job that these people have taken. So I see nothing wrong with the Bill and I would ask for a lot more 'aye' votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen to explain her vote."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're talking about something which is a privilege, the privilege to drive in the State of Illinois. I do not understand why we should extent that privilege to people who are not giving us the courtesy of going through our immigration regulations. I certainly agree with the previous Speaker and with the Sponsor of the Bill, this will not do anything for, against people who are here legally as citizens or otherwise. I'm certainly willing to trust the Secretary of State's Office that they will administer this fairly and I'm surprised that some people here are not. It's not a situation of having policemen stop people on the basis of whether they're here legally or not. They would simply be asking to see a license and if the person has a license, then obviously they've been able to prove that they are here legally and that question would never arise for those who actually are. For those who are not, the question should arise. And I'm sure they would not drive without licenses if they thought they might be deported as a result. I'm very pleased to support this very good Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative



Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Members, I rise in support of the Bill. I happen to be one of those people who have a number of the individuals involved with this Bill in my particular District and I would hope to see that this at least gets and keeps the 73 or more votes that it has up there so we can at least put it on Postponed Consideration. The arguments were well made about people driving without licenses presenting a hazard to the public and no recourse to the legitimate driver, the legitimate citizens, of this particular State. I would urge the people in my District to at least get on green and stay on green and vote for this good Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Hudson... J.J. Wolf. Representative Hoffman, please sit down. Hoffman? Sit down."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'm supporting this Bill because it is discriminatory. It discriminates against those people who are here illegally and who have no intention to become American citizens and will use their drivers license to get employment which takes employment away from American citizens. Now, the first instance that I had as I recall campaigning door to door one time, was a person who incidently a Latino, he happened to be a German. And he wasn't a citizen and I asked him why he was not a citizen and registered to vote. And he said why should I? He said I can do anything here but vote, so why should I take the time to become a citizen? I think this is an excellent piece of legislation. I think we should crack down on illegal aliens. We're not talking about people who are here for a number of years who intend to become permanent residents of the United States, those who are here on visas. We're talking about people who have illegally entered this country and have drivers licenses. I would urge a few more green lights to put this Bill over the top."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what troubles me is the reasons given in opposition to this Bill. They appear to be specious without basis in fact or logic. The Minority Whip here tells you it would be burdensome on the Secretary of State's Office to require documentation. He neglects to tell you however, that the Secretary of State's Office requires not one, not two, but three pieces of documentation as it is now to establish you, are John Q. Citizen. That being the case, it's very simple for them to ask for you to document the fact that you have a green card or you have a voters' registration card. Second of all, the Gentleman on the other side of the aisle tells you that it involves the complexity of Federal immigration and naturalization law. That is a sham argument. We are not determining that. The Secretary of State's Office is not determining that. All they are asking you is on the date that you apply for the license are you, in fact, a legal alien. That is all. Not whether you are involved in litigation before some administrative Body to obtain lawful status, whether you are, in fact, a lawful resident on that date. That is all. And as the Gentleman who supported this Bill provides that if we are going to drop all these requirements of citizenship, then it be the case, why establish that you are in fact a citizen when you register to vote. There has to be some minimum, some minimum requirements and this may be one of them. And as it is in my District, I find that a great deal, a great number of the illegals are driving without auto insurance and that is the biggest gripe, the biggest complaint in my District, is that people are driving without insurance and it is these very same people who are here unlawfully without insurance because they have no reason to fear anything else. So I say for those reasons there is no reason to be opposed



to this Bill. I think it has some basis in fact. And the Supreme Court has said that driving is a privilege, not a right. If that be the case, if driving is a privilege, and the Supreme Court says it is, then the Legislature can set some minimum policies. For that reason I think you can accordingly, and I do, vote 'aye'. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, .."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe?"

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have the sad experience in being involved in an accident with an illegal alien about four months ago. He hit seven cars and took the front stairs off a house and when the police went to attempt to find him, he just melted back into the background. I assume he's probably back out of the country now. He had a drivers license. He was not a citizen of the United States. And there's no way that I can get restitution or anybody else that was involved in the accident. This helps drive up the insurance rates for people who are legitimate drivers. And there's no way to collect. My insurance company had to put out \$600 and I had to spend \$100 deductatble. And I'm just out that money. And the other property owners that were involved in the accident are also out that money. I don't think it's unreasonable burden to expect a person who is going to drive in the State of Illinois to show that he also is a citizen of the United States at the same time and a responsible person. "

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Pechous, for what purpose do you arise?"

Pechous: "To explain my vote , Mr. Speaker. I believe this measure is a legitimate assertion of state sovereignty I commend it to your attention under the bi-com rule system



in Illinois. This matter deserves a hearing in the Senate. I ask for the few votes to get it to the Senate, let it be further heard in Committee and be voted on in the Senate. I ask for sufficient votes to pass 739. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. To explain my vote, I just don't understand the red votes up there. I think there's some of the people here that are really not thinking what they're doing. All we're doing here is treating these people the same as if they were citizens. And therefore I just don't understand. I would hope that we would give Representative Pechous a few more votes and let this go to the Senate and let them argue it out over there."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, I see enough votes up there so I won't have to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Frererick. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe the Latino communities probably are the least represented ethnic group in the State of Illinois. With all the population of the Latinos in Illinois, look around you. Can you find one on the floor of the House. You cannot find one Latino here. If there were one, except Elmer Conti, if there were one Latino here I doubt if this Bill would get 70 votes because we would listen to that person to tell you the effects of this legislation. If there is a problem with illegal aliens, let us not by this legislation do harm to those who are of Latino descent and are not illegal aliens. Because, let me tell you what will happen. That this Bill will be a Bill that will harrass those who are good decent people, who are not illegal aliens. I received a letter from a Priest in my community who is a Priest over a Latino congregation. He wrote to me and said, do everything you can not to see this type of Bill pass



because the good people will be penalized from it. So I urge you , Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, try to do what you can to think what will happen that those just because they look foreign, I happen to know something about this. My first term in the House, I'll never forget it. When I came into, we had the Session over at the Governor's Mansion, and I'll never forget it. When a Member came to me and said, you know, you didn't turn out so bad after all. Just because my name is Matijevich, a foreign name, he thought I just arrived on the boat. You know, so remember, that you are going to do harm to Minorities just because they look foreign and no other reason. And they're going to harrass them because of it. Don't do that. I don't think a decent person wants that to happen to anybody. And vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "At the appropriate time,if this should get 89 votes I'd like to be recognized for a motion for verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne. Van Duyne. Representative Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that the whole gist of this Bill has been missed. This Bill, all it does,it states that an illegal alien, and that's illegal, a man or individual or a woman that's come into this country illegally, not one that's a Latino, that comes here from Puerto Rico , but an illegal alien. These people are not entitled to this drivers lisencc and I believe justly so."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fredrich?"

Fredrich: . "Mr. Speaker, there's no country that I know of that you can go as an Americancitizen and get a drivers lisencc without showing any evidence that you have a legal visa. Certainly if you travel, you know this. So this requirement is certainly no different than you would find in other countries and probably a lot less stringent. I



can't for the life of me understand how, why anyone wants an illegal alien to have a drivers license. And those of you who are voting 'no', I just can't believe you understand what we're trying to do here. "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Branes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I've heard people raise questions relative to other countries. But there's one thing that separates this country from other countries; this country does not require a National Register. Every other country in the world requires their citizens to be registered nationally. I submit to you Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, no one of us, no one sitting in this Legislature, could prove that they are legal, legal American citizens if they were pushed to that regard. There is no way that we, sitting here, or any other Members of any other citizens of the State, United States, could prove that they are legal, legal residents because there's no National Registration in this country and I hope there never will be."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I have the feeling that not too many of the 'no' votes up here listened to Representative Stearney and because of the comments made by my running mate and since we have a very large Latino population in the 31st Legislative Districts, I'd like to explain my vote. It's been suggested that this is going to discriminate against the honest, law-abiding person who is a nonresident alien. That's just not the case. We're going to deal with the illegal alien here. And the reason I want to speak to this, in our district we have a tremendous number of illegally driving aliens without insurance that are causing irreparable damage to everyone without any means of even identifying them. Representative Stearney classified it as perfectly as you could be. That's exactly the problem. We're not trying to take advantage of the nonresident



alien in this country, who's here legally. He can make provision to drive in this country. And an alien who is applying for American citizenship is legally in this country and he can drive. We are not taking advantage of them in any way. And to the remarks of the last Speaker, if he doesn't think the United States has a National Registry, I suggest he go search out the Social Security System, you can't get a job in this Nation without one of those numbers and if that's not a National Registry, I don't know what one is."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 96 'aye' and 70 'no'. Representative Greiman has requested verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Representative Pechous desires a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk. Don't threaten my colleague, Mr. Barnes. The absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Ebbesen. Representative Getty. Representative Hanahan. Representative Dave Jones. Representative Laurino. Representative Madigan. Representative McGrew. Representative Terzich. Representative Vinson."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman has requested a poll of the absentees, of the Affirmative Roll Call. Verification. Please call the Roll of the Affirmative."

Clerk Leone: "Abramson. Ackerman. Anderson."

Speaker Redmond: "Suggest you go slowly. Representative Greiman's microphone open? Please come to order. Please. Try and close so we can get to the Committees."

Greiman: "Could you have the new Members, new Members at least raise their hand. I'm not sure where they're all sitting, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "There's a new Member, at least a new Leader, Representative Collins back there. Proceed."

Clerk Leon: "Barnes. "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti and Deuster, will you please take your seats? Hanahan's rules. "



Clek Leone: "Jane Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Jane Barnes? You're not in your seat, Representative Barnes. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Beatty."

Speaker Redmond: "Who? Beatty?"

Clerk Leone: "Bell. Bianco. Birkinbine. Borchers. Boucek. Bower. Bradley. Brummer. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Christensen. Collins. Conti. Daniels. Davis. DiPrima. Donovan. Ralph Dunn. Woodyard. Ewing. Friedland. Friedrich. Gaines. Griesheimer. Hannig. Harris. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Hudson. Huskey. Johnson. Keane. Kelly. Kempiners. Kent. Klosak. Kosinski. Leon. Macdonald. Mahar. Marguals. Matula. McAuliffe. McBroom. McMasters. Meyer. Molloy. Mulcahey. Kulas. Neff. Oblinger. Pechous. Peters. Piel. Pullen. Rea. Reed. Reilly. Richmond. Rigney. Robbins. Ropp. Ryan. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Schuneman. Simms. Skinner. Stanley. Stearney. E. G. Steele. C. M. Stiehl. Stuffle. Sumner. Swanstrom. Totten. Tuerk. Van Dyune. Von Boeckman. Waddell. Walsh. Watson. Wikoff. Williams. Winchester. J.J. Wolf. Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the Affirmative?"

Greiman: "Yes. Representative Abramson."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Greiman: "Abramson."

Speaker Redmond: "Abramson? He's right there."

Greiman: "Ok. Representative Capuzi.. oh, he's in there. Representative Harris, is he there?"

Speaker Redmond: "Harris? I saw him a minute ago. He's right there."

Greiman: "Is he there? He's with us?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe, is he over there?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Greiman: "Ok. Representative McBroom."

Speaker Redmond: "He was in the back."

Greiman: "Ok. Representative Molloy?"



Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Greiman: "Representative Johnson? "

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Greiman: "Johnson, the Gentleman from Champaign County."

Speaker Redmond: "Johnson? Representative Johnson? You're being verified Representative Johnson. Is he in the chamber? Oh, he's up in the gallery. I don't know whether that counts." Does that count?"

Greiman: "If you say it does, Mr. Speaker, then I count it. Representative Kempiners?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Greiman: "Representative Skinner?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's not in is seat. It's against the rules, but he's there."

Greiman: "He's here. Representative Boucek. Oh, he's here now. Ok. Representative Piel?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Greiman: "Alright, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Apparently we don't have the goods."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count? On this question there's 96 'yea' and 70 'no'. And the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 794. Representative Von Boeckman, for what purpose do you stand?"

Von Boeckman: Mr. Speaker; and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to have leave to have House Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "I'll get back to that. I still want to go through these Representative Von Boeckman. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Bill 79, 794 does two things. One, under the present Fiscal Note Act there is limit of extension of time to June 15th of the odd-numbered year. We take out the odd-numbered year so that that can pertain to annual sessions. Also, under the present Fiscal Note Act rules and regulations maybe be promulgated by the Department of Administrative



Services. We take that out and put by each House of the General Assembly this, I believe, now, makes it a good Fiscal Note Act, as good as we possibly can. And I would appreciate your support of House Bill 794. This came out of Executive Committee, 15 to nothing."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you read the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 794, a Bill for an Act requiring certain types of Bills introduced in the General Assembly have provided a note indicating the Fiscal effect thereof on State finances. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: Is there any discussion? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 157 'aye' and 0 'nay'. And the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 816."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 816, a Bill for an Act to Amend Senior Citizens Disabled Person's Property Tax Relief Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 816 is designed to correct a problem of a series of mistakes the Department of Revenue made when these so-called Senior Citizen's Circuit Breaker Act began, there was not enough built-in guards to provide where the, a person could not, or a family could not collect a second time in one year and there were occasions when the surviving spouse was not aware of whether or not they had already been paid for a given year and in many cases their Legislators would advise them, it doesn't really do any harm to file again. There are a number of people over the past few years who have been paid the second time in error simply because these simple checks were not put in by the Department of Revenue. There's some question as to



whether or not the Director of the Department of Revenue has the authority to collect by withholding in any future payments and this Bill is designed to say that they do not have and go on from here. They now have had these all on computer. You must have both Social Security numbers down; they've got the proper built-in guards to prevent it from happening and even if it does happen, the Bill doesn't provide that they not be able to be recovered. So, I would ask favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Getty: "Do I understand Representative Flinn that if over payment was made on December 15th, then no recovery could be had if it wasn't asked for within two weeks? But if the over-payment was made on January 15th, you'd have almost a year to seek recovery?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn?"

Flinn: "No, that's not right. The year, the calendar year we're talking about is the taxable calendar year and the payments are made during the following year. So, they've got all of that time to collect. The Bill, in the first place, is not designed to prevent recovery on future payments. It's designed to not be able to collect on all those in the past. "

Getty: "Well, I'm just confused, I guess, by the use of the language, calendar year. What you're saying is there would be no bar to recovery? Regardless of the date when the time rose?"

Flinn: "No."

Getty: "Alright."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further, Representative Huff? Representative Huff? Representatative Satterthwaite? Representative Johnson, please sit down."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Speaker yield for a question? Repre-



sentative Flinn, our analysis on the Amendment indicates that these, this would apply only for over-payments made in 1978 for the 1977 tax liability and previous years to that. Is that an accurate assessment of the Bill?"

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker.. I'm on now. That's right. It is not designed for any future years. It's designed for all those when the Revenue Department did not have the proper checks to prevent this from happening. It happened to some very unfortunate people, who in the first place, had to be at the poverty level or they would not have been eligible for the payments to start with. The problem exists that they're trying to collect from people who can very little afford to re-pay."

Satterthwaite: "I guess I was trying to clarify after Representative Getty's question that this is not something that is going to apply for an on-going kind of thing, but only a past situation. And so the situation will not exist where December 15th of this year, a payment might be made and have to address the problem as Representative Getty presented it."

Flinn : "Well, the problem probably exists that the original Bill did not cover that and the Amendment in Committee took care of that matter by using Aid dates, saying prior to that they would not be able to collect, but does not touch on , after that date. So the Amendment took care of it and apparently we're looking at an analysis that was made on the original Bill."

Satterwaite: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telscer. Please sit down, Representative Barnes. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Ewing : "What is the estimated cost of this?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn. "

Flinn: "I've had all kinds of estimates, anywhere from somewhere



two hundred thousand dollars up to eight hundred and some odd thousand dollars. And I don't know which one's right. At one time I was told by the Department of Revenue there were only 2500 cases. If there were only 2500 cases, this being, those people, who were involved in double payment must have drawn an awful lot of money if it's up to eight hundred thousand dollars. I really don't know the truth of that matter, but I've had all those figures thrown at me."

Ewing: "Does this prohibit the Department from recovering where people by their own mistake made two applications?"

Flinn: "I would say, more accurately, a mistake made by the Department of Revenue."

Ewing: "Well, no. If you come in and make more than one application, that wouldn't be the Department's mistake, would it?"

Flinn: "Well, if they let it pass, it would be their mistake. You understand, the problem has existed for some time. There about three past Directors of the Department of Revenue who wonders what to do about this situation. This takes them off the hook. It tells them go from here and do not worry about the past. It does not blame them for it."

Ewing: "Go forth, and sin no more. Is that..."

Flinn: "I don't say that. But I'm saying that they have the built-in guard against this happening again where it would very rarely, if ever, happen again and it wouldn't be a major problem as it existed caused by the inefficiency of past Departments."

Ewing: "Has the Department a position on this?"

Flinn: "What was your last question?"

Ewing: "Has the Department taken a position then in favor of this Bill?"

Flinn: "No, the Department has not favored the Bill. I raised the question whether they can Constitutionally collect it off of future payments since we, in fact, are saying



to the people that they are entitled to it if they meet certain qualifications."

Ewing: "And one final question, are they pursuing the collection of these over-payments, that you know of?"

Flinn: "I'm not aware of whether they are or not. I think they started the proceedings. I doubt if any money has been collected."

Ewing: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill came through the Revenue Committee and I think it has some merit which would tug at our heart strings and we don't want to be collecting money from our senior citizens who have already received it and spent it. But I think any of us, who know and handled the Circuit Breaker, know that many times we all get confused. And it's more apt to happen the older you get. And people have often times applied for this benefit more than once. And I really don't see that we can use the rationale to forgive this back indebtedness. I think that we have to give the Department the right to re-claim the money that was sent out. Now, we can't blame all that on the Department. The first mistake was when the party applied the second time, not the Department when they forgot to check it out. Now, there could be two mistakes there. But that doesn't relieve the applier from his responsibility to know if he's made application for a Circuit Breaker Benefit. And probably the worst in the precedent that we're setting is, it's very easy for us this year to pass this forgiveness and next year we zing it out of here, forgiving it again because we done it the year before. And I think we ought to defeat this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anything further? Any further discussion? I'll be there with Mr. Taylor very shortly. Representative Flinn to close."

Flinn: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to point out that I don't think the Department of Revenue is picking



on this group of people but I doubt if anyone in this room or anyone in this State has received a second rebate on their income tax rebate. I have provided in the Bill to where there's , if it is obvious that there is criminal intent that of course, prosecution could be followed and we're not trying to avoid that. All we're trying to avoid in this Bill is the Department of Revenue of collecting from some people who very, very, poorly afford to pay back money that was paid to them and has been spent, in some cases, as much as three, four, five years ago. The point is that it does not set a precedent. It's a Bill to take care of one situation; it does not set a precedent in any other Department or any other year or any other situation. It only takes care of this one situation. And I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Flinn?"

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker , we're either going to pass this thing or not. I'm not going to put it on Postponed Consideration cause I know what that calendar looks like and I know what the chances are of ever getting it passed and I'm not one of those to clutter up the calendar, in the first place. Someone of the previous Speakers talked about tugging at your heart strings and all that sort of thing, well, they're tugging at your purse, at the purse strings of the people who can at least afford it. Now, it's an, it is indeed an error. Maybe it was an error on the part of the individual who first made the second application, but there are State Representatives right on this floor who admit that they tell them, file again, it doesn't hurt anything. You can't hurt anything. They'll catch it if you've already been paid. But that's not true. The proper safeguards were not built-in to the original applica-



tion. They didn't have room for two Social Security numbers. They only had room for one. Now, they've changed them; they've got two, room for both Social Security numbers. They've put it on the computer and they have the proper checks they should have had all the time. The Department certainly is to blame for people finding themselves in such a bad position. And I would ask for enough votes to pass it, but I will not put it on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I have some personal contact with this kind of problem that had arisen here. While I was Director of the Department on Aging, unfortunately the law that you hear here in the Legislature passed, did not clarify how people were to apply for the Homestead Exemption or the Circuit Breaker, either one. You put a cut off date of 1976, December 31st. Then all of a sudden it was changed to December 31st, 1977. And people were told by the Department they had to apply twice. When people have an income above \$400,000 per year, they get a check for \$90 or \$110. They spend that money, and you asked them alter to make this up when it is our fault they made the mistake. I think you're putting a penalty on the wrong people."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 93 'aye' and 62 'no'. Representative Ryan has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Representative Flinn desires to poll the absentees. Representative Polk, for what purpose do you rise?"

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is a very important time. There's some unauthorized people on the floor. We'er going to take this count real soon and I think all unauthorized people should not be on the floor. There seems to be a Gentleman sitting in this aisle, who I do not believe, is a Member of



the Illinois General Assembly. I am very opposed that he's here on the floor, unless he be introduced."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor?"

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I do rise for the purpose of an introduction. We have here with us today a great politician, a great Legislator, a friend of all of ours, and really the Father of the Democratic party as far as I am concerned in this State. He's now a Board of Election Commission, with the Chicago Board of Election Commission. He was the Assistant Majority Leader of this House. Is our good friend, the Reverend Corneal A. Davis of Quine Chapel, Chicago, Illinois. "

Speaker Redmond: Mr. Clerk, will you poll the absentees?"

Clerk Leone: "Daniels. Ebbesen. Hoffman. Dave Jones. Kempiners. Madigan. McAuliffe. McGrew. Molloy. Peters. Piel. Stearney. Vinson. Wikoff. Willer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly desires to be recorded as 'no', is that correct? Representative Leon, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leon: "I'd like to be verified so I can go...."

Speaker Redmond: "Alright."

Leon: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the Affirmative Roll Call. Members please be in your seats. Representative Willer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Willer : "Yes, will you please add me to the Roll Call and vote as 'yes?'"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative O'Brien? "

O'Brien: Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to be verified? I also have a meeting across the aisle."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien, may he be verified, Representative Ryan? Proceed with the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Leone: "Alexander..."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan's microphone open?
Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Balanoff. E.M. Barnes. Bianco. Birchler.
Bower. Braun. Brummer. Bullock. Capparelli. Chapman.
Christensen. Cullerton. Currie. Darrow. Davis. Dawson.
DiPrima. Domico. Doyle. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ewell.
Farley. Flinn. Gaines. Garmisa. Giorgi. Goodwin.
Hanahan. Hannig. Harris. Huff.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman, for what purpose
do you rise?"

Greiman: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Greiman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Greiman: "Vote me 'aye' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote him 'aye'. Representative Donovan, for
what purpose do you rise? Representative Donovan desires
to be changed from present to 'aye'. Proceed with the
Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Leone: "Huff. Huskey. Jaffe. Johnson. Emil Jones.
Kane. Keane. Kelly. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian, for what purpose
do you rise?"

Mugalian: "Please change my vote to 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change him from 'no' to 'aye'. Proceed.
Representative Kornowicz?"

Kornowicz: "Verified?"

Speaker Redmond: "May Representative Kornowicz be verified?
Representative Ryan? Proceed. Representative Ryan has
given his benediction."

Clerk Leone: "Kozubowski. Laurino."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley, for what purpose
do you rise?"

Bradley: "Change my vote from 'no' to 'aye' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Bradley from 'no' to 'aye'. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Laurino. Lechowicz. Leverenz. Leon. Margulas.



Matijevich. Mautino. McClain. McMasters. McPike.
 Murphy. Kulas. Neff. Oblinger. O'Brien. Patrick.
 Pechous. Pierce. Pouncey. Pullen. Rea. Reed. Reilly.
 Richmond. Robbins. Ronan. Satterthwaite. Schisler.
 Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Sharp. Henry.
 Slape. Steczo. E.G. Steele. Stuffle. Sumner. Taylor.
 Terzich. Tuerk. Van Dyune. Vitek. Von Boeckman.
 Willer. Williams. Winchester. Sam Wolf. Younge.
 Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schoeberlein?"

Schoeberlein: "Kindly change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Schoeberlein from
 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'.
 Representative Ryan, are there any questions of the Af-
 firmative Roll Call?"

Ryan: "Certainly, Mr. Speaker. Could you give me the count
 please?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 107 to 60."

Ryan: "How many?"

Speaker Redmond: "I just wanted to see if you were alert. 95
 'yes' and 62 'no'."

Ryan: "Representative Bianco."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bianco? That's not Bianco.
 Representative White, for what purpose do you rise?"

White: "Mr. Speaker, in Spanish that's Blanco. But right now
 I'd like to be changed from red to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative White from red to green.
 Representative Brummer requests permission to be verified.
 Representative Ryan. Representative Ryan?"

Ryan: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Brummer, is it alright to verify him?"

Ryan: "Sure."

Speaker Redmond: "Ok. Representative Piel, was it?"



Ryan: "What about Representative Bianco?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Proceed. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Representative Bower."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bower on the floor? Here he is."

Ryan: "Representative Chapman."

Speaker Redmond: "She's in her seat."

Ryan: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Farley... is Representative Farley in his seat? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Farley has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call."

Ryan: "Representative Gaines."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Gaines here? How is he recorded? Representative Gaines."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Gaines is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him from the Roll Call. Representative Satterthwaite, for what purpose do you rise?"

Satterthwaite: "May I have leave to be verified please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite desires to be verified, is that alright? Proceed."

Ryan: "Representative Hanahan."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Is he here? Hanahan here? Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Huskey."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. "

Ryan: "Representative Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "Kane's in his seat. Kind of slumped down a



little bit, but he's there."

Ryan: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino in his seat? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Mautino."

Speaker Redmond: "Mautino in his seat? There he is in the center, in the aisle there."

Ryan: "Representative McMaster."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Patrick."

Speaker Redmond: "He's back there, very quiet."

Ryan: "Representative Pechous?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here. Talking to the Assistant Minority Leader."

Ryan: "Representative Reilly."

Speaker Redmond: "Reilly, is Representative Reilly here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Well, he changed his vote, didn't he? Mr. Speaker, He's recorded as...."

Speaker Redmond: "He did change it. Yes. He had been 'no' and I think he requested to be recorded as 'yes' in my memory."

Ryan: "No, he had an 'aye', I believe he's recorded on the board..."

Speaker Redmond: "Pardon me."

Ryan: "'Aye', and...."

Speaker Redmond: "George tells me he change to 'no'. That is correct."

Ryan: "Ok. ..."



Speaker Redmond: "No, leave him alone."

Ryan: "Leave him alone. Representative Schneider."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Schneider here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Slape."

Speaker Redmond: "Slape here? He's in his seat. He's a little fellow back there."

Ryan: "Representative Steele, Evert Steele."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Terzich."

Speaker Redmond: "Terzich here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Reomve him."

Ryan: "Representative Von Boeckman."

Speaker Redmond: " Von Boeckman? He's there I guess, isn't he? Von Boeckman will you please stand? Yeah, there he is."

Ryan: "Ok. Representative Mugalian."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugađian? Seems to me that he requested permission to be recorded."

Ryan: "No, he didn't Mr. Speaker. I have a list of them here."

Speaker Redmond: "He changed from 'no' to 'aye'. He was here at that time. What's your desire?"

Ryan "How is he recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Ryan: "My desire is to remove him if he's not here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan desires to remove him. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Ryan: "I have no further questions."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count? Former House Member, Senator



Sangmeister. Criminals beware... Representative Breslin, for what purpose do you rise?"

Breslin: "Please record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Breslin as 'aye'. Now, what is the count? 87 'aye', 61 'no'. This Bill having failed to receive the.. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "How am I recorded? I switched twice."

Ryan: "No, you didn't.."

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative ..."

Ryan: "No, you didn't switch twice. You're being dilatory."

Speaker Redmond: "... Matijevich recorded?"

Ryan: "Announce the Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Matijevich recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Voting what? How is Matijevich recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Matijevich: "See, I did switch because originally I was 'no'. And then listened to Oblinger and I switched my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "George.. So he is voted as 'aye', is that correct? And he is in the tally, is that correct?"

Clerk Leone: "Yes."

Ryan: "That's right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Preston, for what purpose do you rise?"

Preston: "Chairman, I'd like to ask how my vote has been recorded."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Preston, how is he recorded? How is Representative Preston recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Preston: "Mr. Speaker, may my vote be recorded as voting 'aye'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Getty. Representative Getty desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Anything further? Representative Robbins.



Representative Robbins desires to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Change me from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Johnson from 'aye' to 'no'. Are there any other changes? Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Wait a minute. The Clerk has asked me to slow down. So, in deference to the Clerk, we're slowing down. Ready now? Who wants... Representative Bower? desires to be changed from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Bowman, Woods Bowman."

Bowman: "It sounds like a good idea Mr. Speaker. I ask leave to have my vote changed from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: " Representative Bowman desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Beatty desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Marovitz desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Wait a minute now. Slow down again. We're slowing down Mr. Dunn. When the Clerk gives me the green light, then we'll go again. You ready? Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Ralph Dunn recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Dunn: "That's correct. Leave me out, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? "

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, can I have some more verification?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I don't know. I'm waiting for the Clerk to tell me what the count is."

Ryan: "Well, I want to verify Representative Margalus."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Ryan: "Representative Margalus."

Speaker Redmond: "Ok. Representative Margalus. Is he here? Representative Leinenweber advises me that Senator Margalus is in the Senate. Margalus? Margalus? Is he here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: " Remove him. Now, Mr. Clerk, what is the



count? On this question there's 86 'aye', 62 'nay'. The Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. Any announcements? Representative Von Boeckman."

Von Boeckman : "Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Garmisa is going to ask leave to hear House Bill 1442. I have permission from both sides of the aisle. In the Transportation Committee at 3:30 today."

Speaker Redmond: "What was your inquiry? That the Posting requirement be suspended, is that correct?"

Von Boeckman: "Yes.."

Speaker Redmond: " ... and 1442 be heard?"

Von Boeckman: ".. both sides of the aisle."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "What is the content, or nature of this Bill?"

Von Boeckman : "Well, what the Bill does, it just extends the date I think from the 20th of the month to the last day so that the people who have the gas tax given to the State, they can use their computer system to provide that. It's not a... it's not a controversial Bill."

Schlickman: "Do you anticipate that there are any interested parties that won't be.. haven't been notified?"

Von Boeckman: "Well, I don't know, but I had, I have the leave of both sides of the aisle. "

Speaker Redmond: Representative Ryan?"

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have about a five minute recess so we can discuss what we're going to do with these Posting Suspensions with you and whoever else you want to talk to."

Speaker Redmond: "Ok. We'll..."

Ryan: "I'd like to call the Rules Meeting for..."

Speaker Redmond: "We're going to have a Rules Meeting right



after adjournment.."

Ryan: "Well, if I would suggest Mr. Speaker, I think we ought to do that before we adjourn and do it rather quickly so we can make up our minds what we're going to do here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk, for what purpose do you rise?"

Polk: "Well, on the order of business that we're on Mr. Speaker, I would move .. request permission to waive the Posting Rule on House Bill 1308."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute now. We haven't taken care of Representative Van Dyune. Does he, or does he not have leave with respect to House Bill 1442? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I believe that's Representative Von Boeckman and I told him earlier I didn't have any problem with that. As you can see, I've got several requests to have Bills suspended for hearing in the morning. That's why I suggest that we recess for five minutes and have the Rules Meeting before we act on any of these."

Speaker Redmond: "Ok, we will recess for five minutes. Representative Katz."

Katz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I rise to announce that the Rules Committee will be meeting very briefly in the Speaker's Office immediately upon the cessation of activities here, right in the Speaker's Office, just as the Minority Leader just indicated."

Speaker Redmond: "And then we will back on the floor. We anticipate five minutes."

Ryan: "That's fine. Everybody should hold their place Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "That's right..... House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Representative Polk, now make-up your mind. Do you want to address the Chair or talk on the phone?"

Polk : "Thank you Mr. Speaker. As I.. in the posture as in before we departed, I request permission to by-pass Rule



on Posting of Committee on House Bill 1308. It's in Transportation Committee, they just failed to post it. Well, as Mr. Schlickman, is Mr. Schlickman here? For Mr. Schlickman's benefit it is to convey a small piece of land, it's a conveyance Bill that has... I know of no opposition and it should go on the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection leave is granted. The Attendance Roll Call. Representative Von Boeckman?"

Von Boeckman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to waive the necessary rule so that we can hear House Bill 1442 in Transportation.."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that 1442?"

Von Boeckman: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I believe the Chairman of that Committee had some other Bills that he wanted to ask be suspended. You might as well.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa, do you have some more Bills? "

Garmisa: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker. Also like to put in the same request for House Bill 2332, this at the request of the Minority Leader, and House Bill 2363."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman of the three Bill mentioned? Hearing no objection,....."

Ryan: "Three Bills?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, there's 1442 and then he mentined two more...."

Ryan: "Alright, fine." No objection."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have the Post requirements waived for some Bills to be heard in the Executive Committee. I've discussed it with the Minority Leader and with the Democratic side. House Bill 1340, 2744, 2764, 2769."



Speaker Redmond: " Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative ..."

Beatty: "Well, I have one more request, Mr. Speaker. There was an Executive Subcommittee on legislative compensation. It was scheduled to meet this morning and I had intended to ask for leave last week but we wound up rather suddenly last week. We would like leave of the House have that Executive Subcommittee on legislative compensation hear the Bills tomorrow morning at 8:00 o'clock."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan? Ryan? Turn Representative Ryan on."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have no objection to the Gentleman's motion. Maybe you ought to tell the Members of the House what we decided in the Rules Committee and decide whether we're going to take ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we're giving him a chance to get back here. Representative Schneider."

Ryan: "You might eliminate all this nonsense if you'd make your motion, take your vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think it's better this way. Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, and Members, we have some problems in Elementary because one of our former Members has since resigned his seat and in the Inter-im, three of his Bills went over to other Sponsors and they did not have time to post. Those Bills which I am asking leave to post are 863, 1438, & 1439. And waive the Posting notice for that and also 2507, for other reasons. But those four Bills I would like to Post for this afternoon."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I'd like to have about a minute to look those over, if I may?"

Speaker Redmond: "What was that?"

Ryan: "I'd like to have about a minute to look over..."



Speaker Redmond: "Ok, we'll give you a minute. Representative Lechowicz. Among other things, excuse the absence of Representative Madigan."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to have the record indicate Representative Madigan be excused because of illness."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? The record will so show it. Representative Ryan, any Republicans whose absence should be excused?"

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Representative Jones' wife was in an accident this afternoon and he hasn't come back yet so I'd like to have him excused."

Speaker Redmond: "No objection? Record will so show. Representative Willer?"

Willer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave of the House to table my House Resolution 249."

Speaker Redmond: "If there are no objections, leave is granted. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I'd like to have consent of the Body to table House Bill 2238, of which I am prime Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted, the Bill is tabled. Representative Sharp."

Sharp: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I would like to ask leave to waive the Posting requirement so a number of Bills can be heard in Public Utilities ... posted for a hearing tomorrow. There won't be any problem with either proponents or opponents being in attendance because they were notified in Subcommittee meetings and that a Subcommittee report would be coming back to the full Committee tomorrow. The only problem, the Chairman had, called problems coming down and the Subcommittee wasn't able to meet. So, I ask leave to have House Bills 275, 813, 1928, 2027, 2609, 748, 1815, & 2593 posted. Now, a number of these Bills, the Sponsor requests they be placed



in Interim Study so we won't be hearing all of them but due to an unforeseen circumstance, we weren't able to get the report back. So, I'd ask for leave to have those Bills...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I again, have not had a chance to look these Bills over. If you'll hold that for a second, I'd like to okay on Schneider's 2507, 863, 1348, & 1349. I have no objection to that."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll hold Representative's.... you want.. Representative Sharp, do you want to talk your Bills over with Representative Ryan? On the Order of Motion, Representative Friederick is recognized."

Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, because of the two things, one is that the House cannot meet while the Appropriation Committees are meeting, and the other, because of the large number of Bills, substantive Bills, that are still in Committee, I'm going to.. the Rules Committee has supported a change in the rules to make the deadline for substantive Bills and appropriation Bills the same. The date would be May the 11th for getting Bills out of Committee. Both for substantive and appropriation Bills and for getting them out of the House till May the 25th. And both appropriation Bills and substantive Bills, so the motion is to suspend the provision of Rule 25-D through May 11th, 1979, to suspend provision of Rule 25-G through May the 25th, 1979. And I so move."

Speaker Redmond: " Is there any discussion? Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. I would like to raise the question, relative to that motion. And advise the Body of the problem that I had received and I would assume that the same problems in Appropriation I. The problem that this motion will compound, is that the Appropriation Committee Members also have various Bills and are on other



various substantive Committees. The problem is that the Appropriation Committees cannot keep the prerequisite Majority to be able to operate since.. and the deadlines are the same. So, it seems to me Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House , is that with this motion, you simply compound a problem that exists now. I spoke to the Parliamentarian about this last week. We couldn't report out virtually anything. Because we couldn't keep the prerequisite number in Committee because they had other Committees to go to. And I understand that. Now to move the deadline, one of the things that we were hoping to bank on was that the deadlines for substantive Bills was a week before the dealine for appropriation so we could have everyone there , so we could meet everyday and get all of the appropriation Bills out under the current deadline. I just don't see how that can be accomplished now."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, there are not really very many substantive Committees that we think we're going to have to avail themselves of this additional week. There's only a couple of Committees."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, what I'm suggesting, I'm not suggesting that we don't extend, but what I'm suggesting is that is , if that is a fact, that the Appropriation deadline should be correspondantly suspended so we can finish our work. Otherwise I don't see how we can finish our work."

Speaker Redmond: "My point is that in our judgement, or in my judgement, there aren't going to be enough substantive Committees that will be meeting in that last week, which would... the chances are that you will be able to get full attendance in the Appropriation because there won't be too many other Committees meeting. And if we find that it is a problem, why we'll address it at that time. It looks like maybe all but Revenue and"



Barnes: "I think that if you check the Membership of those Committees, Mr. Speaker, what I'm suggesting to you, you'll find that many or most, many many, let me put it that way, of the Members that are on Appropriation are on Revenue. That's the problem we faced last week."

Speaker Redmond: "I would think that we can meet that one when it comes. I think it will work out better, certainly better than we are at the present time. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I move a Substitute Motion that we eliminate all the deadlines pertaining to Committee reporting Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "That's not a proper Substitute Motion, I'm advised. The question is on Representative Frederick's motion. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Why is that motion improper?"

Speaker Redmond: "Got a written motion before the House that's under debate. The proper order of business is Representative Frederick's motion. The question, to suspend the deadlines as discussed in the Rules Committee. And the question is on Representative Frederick's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Katz. Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109 'aye' and 35 'no'. And the motion carries. Representative Stanley, did I see you. were you seeking recognition?"

Stanley: "Yes, I was, Mr. Speaker. I wanted leave from the Posting Rules for House Bill 1151. It was originally assigned to Higher Ed. and reassigned at Elementary and Secondary."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that one of those that Representative Schneider discussed?"

Stanley: "No, it's not. I talked to Representative Schneider and Representative Ryan and they have no problem with that."

Speaker Redmond: " Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "I will accept that Mr. Speaker because the words



ill-assigned. It was sent to the wrong Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objection.... Representative Kucharski. Kucharski. "

Kucharski: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'dlike to ask leave to suspend the Posting Rule so that House Bill 468 may be heard in Enviornment, Energy, and Natural Resources Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I think, we ought to stop this policy where individual Members come up and ask leave . I think the Chairman of the Committee ought to be the one to ask leave, having talked to the Member of the Committee and the Minority. But otherwise, we're going to have Members jumping all over..."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're absolutdy right. I think that the Members should communicate their requests to the Chair- man of the Committee. "

Matijevich: "By the way, Appropriations Committee I is meeting immediately after adjournment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we've done that all morning and I..."

Speaker Redmond: " I know, I wasn't changing now as far as Representative Kucharski is concerned. I just say that in the future it would be a better practice to go to the Committee Chairman. We're not going to..."

Ryan: "Representative Kucharski have laeve then, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative Barnes."

Barnes : "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I ask leave of the waiving of the proper rule that House Bill 2721 can be heard in the Appropriations II Committee , Thursday, May 3rd. It's been cleared with both sides of the aisle.

Speaker Redmond: "Do you have leave? Representative Ryan indicates



its set. We'll have to take another Roll Call on Representative Frederick's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Somebody blew a fuse. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 111 'aye' and 33 'no'. Representative Sharp, for what purpose do you rise? Motion carries."

Sharp: "Yes, I'd like to get back to my request for suspension of the Posting requirement...."

Speaker Redmond: "Discuss it with Representative Ryan?"

Sharp: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave. Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Attendance Roll Call. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I have a little list here. First I'd like to suspend the fifty Bill limit of the House Revenue Committee for this week, that would be one motion. And then I have some individual Bills I would like to suspend."

Speaker Redmond: "Check it with Representative Ryan?"

Pierce: "Half of them are his Bills, yeah."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, then the answer is yes. The first question is on Representative Pierce's request that the limit of fifty Bills for Posting be suspended. Does he have leave to..... Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, with the extension of the deadline, is it necessary now Dan?"

Pierce: "Well, some of them are your Bills..."

Ryan: "...don't tie it to that Dan. That doesn't have anything to do with it."

Pierce: "Well, some people, some Subcommittees want a report like on 228, Skinner, we want to move do not pass. He wants to put it in Interim Study. I don't know.."

Ryan: "Well, why don't you bring your list over here and we'll have a look at it."

Pierce: "It's all Republican Bills. I don't care if we do it."



Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Representative Schneider, I don't know whether you gave him leave for the four Bills he asked for or not."

Speaker Redmond: " Yes, we did."

Ryan: "You're sure? I know that I .."

Speaker Redmond: "I'm positive."

Ryan: "Ok."

Speaker Redmond: "You may have your doubts, but I know."

Unknown: "God bless Mayor Byrne..And Walter Kozubowski.."

Speaker Redmond : "Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I rise just for the purpose of a brief announcement. The Real Estate Subcommittee of House Judiciary I Committee will meet tomorrow morning at 8:00 a.m. in room G-4 of the Stratton Building. The Posting notices that were sent out do not have a room number on the notice because the room was not available at the time the posting was made. That Subcommittee will meet as scheduled in room G-4 tomorrow morning , 8:00 o'clock. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Representative Peters. Representative Peters has granted his leave. Representative Williams."

Williams: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker. It's sort of a point of inquiry, now that the 1:30 Committees have lost an hour are we to meet, two hours? Or do we just meet now from 1:30 ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Back everything up an hour."

Williams: "Back everything up and we do meet then, for two hours?"

Speaker Redmond: "Right."

Williams: "Now, the other thing, I would like whatever leave that I need on the Calendar, there was a mistake, 2554, is Representative Bluthardt's Bill and it shows on the Calendar as 2545 rather than 2554, and I don't know what



I have to do. But we would like to hear that Bill today."

Speaker Redmond: "Did you discuss it with Representative Ryan?"

Williams: "Yeah."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan says so. Does the Gentleman have leave? Representative Pierce?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I have now dicussed this list with the Minority Leader. I would move to suspend the Posting Rule. Most of these Bills have been posted but not within the six and a half days. They're already posted for Wednesday and Thursday in Revenue. House Bill 228, Skinner, 709, Ryan, 710, Ryan, 713, Ryan, 714, Ryan, 980, Skinner, 981, Skinner, 2156, McCourt, and 2189, Macdonald. For House Revenue Committee this week."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to hear those Bills in House Revenue? Leave. Now, ..."

Unknown: "God bless Mayor Byrne."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce requested leave to hear more than fifty Bills. Was leave granted on that? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I tried to find Representative Laurino and couldn't locate him. I did talk with Mr. Epstein and I would request that leave be granted for the Elections Committee, for Thursday at 8:00 o'clock to hear 2733 & 2734, which are Committee Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Vice-Chairman of Elections? Who? Representative Willer. Well, I suppose we had better... there's no objection? So, I guess that we would proceed. I guess this is one of those things that the subject is being divested or something in the event something happens that's wrong here. Representative Peters. We'll go ahead on that one, yeah. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, with your leave, I would like to call attention to the Clerk that on page 23, House Bill 2301



should list Representative Hallstrom as the principle Sponsor. Peters-Hallstrom, I will file the appropriate form but I wanted that on the record. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you for the correction, Representative Peters. Anything further? Any other announcements? Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask for leave of the House to have House Bill 1396 and House Bill 1430, heard in the Judiciary II Committee this afternoon. Both of these Bills were heard last week and there was Amendments to be adopted and it was supposed to be posted and then it was listed as an 8:00 o'clock meeting this morning under miscellaneous, under a Subcommittee, which I don't know why it ended up in that Subcommittee. I'd like to have leave to have those Bills heard at Judiciary II."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative Jones. Emil Jones."

Jones: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'd like to remind all the Democratic Members on the Insurance Committee that we have a meeting immediately after adjournment in the Majority Leader's Office and also that we will be meeting at 4:30 because we are backed up an hour. Our scheduled meeting at 3:30 will be pushed back one hour. It will be 4:30 in room 122-B."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anything further? Any further announcements? Representative Younge. You seeking recognition?"

Younge: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to announce that the Energy and Environment Committee, Subcommittee on Hazardous Waste will meet at 4:30 tomorrow in room 122-B. There will be no testimony, 122-A rather. No testimony we will merely vote on the Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Ok, fine. Representative Lechowicz. Wait a minute. J.J. Wolf. I'm a little previous here."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, just a final reminder that tonight



is the German - American Legislative Dinner at the Forum Thirty. And there are a few tickets. I think Mr. Von Boeckman has a few tickets left over there if anybody needs them. See you tonight."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I wish to announce that the House Transportation Committee is going to meet on the House floor at 3:30, rather than in room D-1, this afternoon. I would urge every Committee Member to please be in attendance and at.. on time. No, it's 3:30. Is that what you're doing? Backing every Committee up an hour?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, yes. We have to because..."

Garmisa: "Alright. Then we'll meet at 4:30 and on the House floor and all Sponsors of the Bills, I would ask them to get there as early as possible. We'd like to clear them up this evening."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I now move that the House stand adjourned till 11:00 a.m. May 2nd."

Speaker Redmond: "After five minutes perfunctory, the House will stand adjourned until 11:00 a.m. tomorrow. Those in favor of the motion say 'aye'; 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. After five minutes perfunctory, the House stand adjourned until 11:00 a.m."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Reports...."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Pierce, Chairman for the Committee on Revenue to wish the following Bills referred, action taken April 26th, 1979 ; report the same back with following recommendations: Interim Study, House Bill 2187. Representative Farley, Chairman of the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which the following Bills referred, action taken April 27th, 1979, reported the same back with following recommendations; Interim Study, House Bill 2681. No further business. The House now stands adjourned."



LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 01, 1979

PAGE

HB-0228	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
HB-0275	MOTIONS	PAGE	70
HB-0321	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-0459	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-0468	MOTIONS	PAGE	74
HB-0570	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB 507	3RD READING	PAGE	22
HB-0599	3RD READING	PAGE	27
HB-0601	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-0655	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-0709	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
HB-0710	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
HB-0713	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
HB-0714	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
HB-0724	3RD READING	PAGE	29
HB-0725	2ND READING	PAGE	11
HB-0739	3RD READING	PAGE	31
HB-0748	MOTIONS	PAGE	70
HB-0782	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-0794	3RD READING	PAGE	51
HB-0813	MOTIONS	PAGE	70
HB-0816	3RD READING	PAGE	51
HB-0822	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0863	MOTIONS	PAGE	69
HB-0887	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0902	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0938	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0941	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-0980	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
HB-0981	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
HB-0998	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1052	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1058	2ND READING	PAGE	13
HB-1086	2ND READING	PAGE	13
HB-1099	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1100	2ND READING	PAGE	13
HB-1101	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1102	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1103	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1104	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1105	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1106	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1107	2ND READING	PAGE	4

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 01, 1979

PAGE 2

HB-1112	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1113	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1114	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1115	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1116	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1117	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1118	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1119	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1120	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1121	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1127	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-1151	MOTIONS	PAGE	73
HB-1216	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-1259	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1260	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-1275	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1308	MOTIONS	PAGE	68
HB-1340	MOTIONS	PAGE	68
HB-1349	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1396	MOTIONS	PAGE	78
HB-1402	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1430	MOTIONS	PAGE	78
HB-1438	MOTIONS	PAGE	69
HB-1439	MOTIONS	PAGE	69
HB-1442	MOTIONS	PAGE	66
HB-1448	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1449	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1450	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1451	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1452	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1454	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1455	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1461	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1511	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1551	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1559	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1562	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1606	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1627	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1680	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1719	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1723	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1753	2ND READING	PAGE	6

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 01, 1979

PAGE 3

HB-1784	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1815	MOTIONS	PAGE	70
HB-1877	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1928	MOTIONS	PAGE	70
HB-1964	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-1973	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2006	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2024	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2027	MOTIONS	PAGE	70
HB-2156	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
HB-2189	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
HB-2205	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2206	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2238	MOTIONS	PAGE	70
HB-2264	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2332	MOTIONS	PAGE	68
HB-2352	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2363	MOTIONS	PAGE	68
HB-2365	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2385	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2386	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2452	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2507	MOTIONS	PAGE	69
HB-2545	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-2593	MOTIONS	PAGE	70
HB-2595	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-2609	MOTIONS	PAGE	70
HB-2671	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-2683	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-2721	MOTIONS	PAGE	74
HB-2733	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
HB-2734	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
HB-2744	MOTIONS	PAGE	68
HB-2764	MOTIONS	PAGE	68
HB-2769	MOTIONS	PAGE	68
HB-2773	1ST READING	PAGE	8
HR-0249	MOTIONS	PAGE	70

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 01, 1979

PAGE 4

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER FLINN-HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REV. KRUEGER-PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	2
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE	70
ANNOUNCEMENTS	PAGE	74
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	79
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	79
HOUSE STANDS ADJOURNED	PAGE	79