

1.

Speaker Bradley: "The House will come to order. The members will be in their seat. The House will be led in prayer by Father Krueger."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. There is a Chinese Proverb that reads: The gem cannot be polished without friction, nor man perfected without trials. Let us pray. O LORD, our heavenly Father, Almighty and everlasting God, in Whom all perfectness dost dwell and have its origin, we Thy humble servants come before Thee this day beseeching Thy mercy and goodness. Grant to us, dear Lord, the patience and the initiative to constantly seek the perfection of the laws of this State. Inspire and instill within us Thy wisdom and excellency that we may serve as members of this House of Representatives without blemish or tarnish, that that we do here accomplish may shine forth to Thy glory and the good of all men everywhere; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Ropp here for the Pledge of Allegiance?"

Ropp: "I Pledge Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, with Liberty and Justice for all."

Speaker Bradley: "Roll Call for attendance. For what purpose the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, to rise?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to inquire about the Calendars. We don't seem to have any."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm informed only Republicans don't have their Calendars."

Friedrich: "That's the way things usually work around here. We never know what's going on till they tell us."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, you're gonna have to hire better Pages, I guess. They're down here, and they're being



distributed now. ...the Pages have too many duties.

The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the records show that Rep.

Schraeder is excused because of illness?"

Speaker Bradley: "The record will so indicate. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for those of you who weren't in attendance last night, I'd just like to tell you that the VFW last night honored our friend, Larry DiPrima as the VFW Legislator of the Year."

Speaker Bradley: "We start off with the Consent Calendar's Second Reading, Second Day on Page 16, and the Clerk will read the Bills."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 60. A Bill for an Act punishing persons for concealing property levied by legal process. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee. House Bill 79. A Bill for an Act in relation to discrimination of real property ownership and disposition. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 356. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to certain Commissions. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 446. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Game Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 741. A Bill for an Act regulating the housing persons...housing of persons by State agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee. House Bill 1018. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to contracts for health or dance studio services. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1025. A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to the regulation of the practice of nursing. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1051. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1060. A Bill for



an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1065. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1067. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Highway Advertising Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1069. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1071. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1077. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to protect workmen and the general public from injury or death during construction or repair of bridges and highways within the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1078. A Bill for an Act to amend the Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1079. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act providing for the licensing of livestock dealers. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1080. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act providing for the licensing of feeder swine dealers. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1082. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to regulate refrigerated warehouses and the handling and storage of certain articles of food. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1083. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Fertilizer Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1084. A Bill for an Act to amend the Slaughter Livestock Fires Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1085. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relations to suppression, prevention of infectious diseases among animals. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1087. A Bill for an Act to amend the Animal Welfare Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1095. A Bill for an Act to amend the Snowmobile Registration and Safety Act.



Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1097. A Bill for an Act to release an easement for highway purposes in St. Claire County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1100. A Bill for an Act to authorize the Secretary of Transportation...Department of Transportation to convey 'quiet' deed in certain real estate to the village of Oak Lawn, Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1108. A Bill for an Act to restore access rights in Madison County. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1109. A Bill for an Act to restore access rights in Fayette County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1123. A Bill for an Act authorizing the Department of Transportation to convey certain land owned in DuPage County to the village of Bensonville. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1131. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1156. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Community Mental Health Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee. House Bill 1159. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1186. A Bill for an Act to amend the Game Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1193. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1212. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1253. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the adoption of persons. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1254. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to recorders. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1304. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill.



House Bill 1325. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 1445. A Bill for an Act to release an easement in Champaign County. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 1446. A Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Cumberland County. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 1447. A Bill for an Act to amend...to an... relating to an easement in Cumberland County. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 1453. A Bill for an Act relating to an easement in Bond County. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee.

House Bill 1554. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 1777. A Bill for an Act to amend the Fish Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 1781. A Bill for an Act to enlarge corporate limits in the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 1913. A Bill for an Act to revise the consolidated...the schedule of elections. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 1914. A Bill for an Act to revise the election laws in relation to absentee voting. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 1751(sic). A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 176...House Bill 1965. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Radiation Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 1966. A Bill for an Act to amend the Family Practice Residency Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 1968. A Bill for an Act to revise the law relating to the testing for and reporting of communicable diseases, including venereal disease. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee.

House Bill 1969. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the licensing and regulation of a homes for the maintenance, care, or nursing of persons who are ill, aged,



or physically infirmed. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1970. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the establishment and maintenance of county and multi-county public health departments. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1974. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the State Employee's Group Insurance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1986. A Bill for an Act relating to the Department of Law Enforcement Officers. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. House Bill 199... 1990. A Bill for an Act to repeal Sections of an Act to require labeling of nursery products sold at retail. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. On Page 20 of the Calendar is the Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second Day. The Clerk will read the Bills."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 375. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 415. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 823. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 895. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Governor's Council on Health and Physical Fitness Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 964. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act fixing and providing for the payment of salaries of State's Attorneys and their assistants. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1021. A Bill for an Act to amend the General Not-for-Profit Corporation Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1028. A Bill for an Act in relation to special recreation joint agreements. Second.. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1050. A Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1092. A Bill for an Act to amend the Boat Registration and Safety Act. Third Reading of the



Bill. House Bill 1098. A Bill for an Act to release an easement in St. Claire County. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1160. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1179. A Bill for an Act relating to the payment of certain County Boards for Health...of Health, and to the payment of fence watchers. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1197. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1306. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1357. A Bill for an Act to amend the Fair Employment Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? If the quest...if not, the question is, 'Shall these Bills pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. This is a Consent Calendar, Third Reading. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On these 15 Bills there are 139 'Ayes', 1 'Nay', and 7 vote...17 voting 'present', and the Bills are declared passed. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House of Representatives, to-wit: House Bills 98... or excuse me. Senate Bills #98, 99, 108, Senate Bill 10, Senate Bill 11, Senate Bill 32, and Senate Bill 57 passed by the Senate April 24, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Bradley: "Committee reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rep. Katz, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary II to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 24, 1979 reported the same back with the following recommendations: "do pass" House Bill



1676, "do pass, as amended" House Bill 723, 788, 1088, and 1356, "do pass, Consent Calendar" House Bill 1289 and 1850, "do pass, as amended, Consent Calendar" House Bill 1290, "do not pass" House Bill 1669. Rep. Matijevich, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations I on which the following Bills were referred action taken April 24, 1979 reports the same back with the following recommendations: "do pass" House Bills 1647 and 1653, "do pass, as amended" House Bills 1531, 1657, and 1916."

Speaker Bradley: "...on the Calendar on Page 12 under short debate. Third Reading. Appears House Bill 225."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 225. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Juvenile Court Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Mrs. Dyer. Dyer-Barnes duo."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Dyer, do you want your Bill called short debate, Third Reading on the Calendar, under Page 12, House Bill 225...the Devastating Duo of Dyer and Barnes?"

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 225 simply amends a Bill that was passed overwhelmingly by both the House and the Senate last year. Sponsored by Rep. Jane Barnes. The Bill comes as a result of the child abuse case of Jimmy Smith. Those of you living in the Chicago Metropolitan Area will recall that in this case Jim Smith was removed from his natural mother and placed with foster parents because there was evidence of child abuse by the mother. He was in the home of foster parents and the workers of the Department of Children and Family Services were eager to reunite him with his natural parents. Therefore, they let him have a series of weekend visits with his natural parents. Even though the foster parents warned that he came back from these visits with signs of neglect and malnutrition and mistreatment. Even despite these





warnings, he was returned to his natural parents. In a short time, he was beaten so brutally, that he was killed by his natural father. Then, at that time after his death, a criminal check was done and it was found that the natural father had served a term in prison for killing a previous child. House Bill 225 attempts to correct the situation by permitting the court to order a criminal check on natural parents when there has been reason to suspect child abuse before returning the child to the natural parents. I urge your favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there anyone in standing opposition to the Bill? If not, the question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor, signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. ...Mrs. Chapman, Mrs. Chapman. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. In this question there are 15...154 'Ayes', no 'Nays', the Bill having the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 817."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 817. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Tazewell, Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What House Bill 817 does, it exempts from the Motor Carrier of Property Law of privately-owned motor vehicles, which would not otherwise be required to obtain a certificate from the Commissioner and which are owned and operated for the incidental purpose of delivering newspapers. The new language in the amendment exempts individuals who delivered newspapers in their privately-owned vehicles for the regulation...from the regulations of the Illinois Commerce Commission. And this does not affect any large metropolitan newspaper. The Illinois Press Association, Illinois Commerce Commission agrees



with the language in the Bill, and I ask your support."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there opposition? Mr. Brummer stands in opposition to the Bill, Sir. Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "What order of business are we on? Where are we at on the Calendar?"

Speaker Bradley: "We're on Page 12, House Bill's Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar. On House Bill 817. The second one in that order. Is there anybody standing in opposition to Mr. VonBoeckman's House Bill 817? If not, the question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 142 'Ayes', no 'Nays', and 7 voting 'Present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 916."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 916. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the River Conservancy District Act."

Speaker Bradley: "Is Mr. Richmond on the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 1053."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1053. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to the issuance and use of credit cards. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1053 restores the requirement in the Act relating to credit cards saying that language has to be spelled out on credit card applications making it clear that there cannot be sex discrimination. This came out of the Committee on Financial Institutions with a vote of 15 'Ayes', 0 'noes', and I ask for your support."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there anybody standing in opposition to the Bill? If not, the question is 'Shall this Bill pass?'"



All in favor will signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. ... Thank you. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. In this question we have 143 'Ayes', 5 'Nays', 6 voting 'Present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1089."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1089. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 189...1089...in effect allows the Department of Corrections to deny inmates for disciplinary reasons, their access to radio and television. The present law states without qualification that an inmate must be provided access to a radio and TV. The Department provides the hook-up to each cell but does not provide the sets themselves. Director Francin before the Committee stated that there was a problem with regard to giving an absolute right to radio and TV. The inmates provoke fights in order to be sent to solitary, where they can watch radio and television in private. So what we're here doing with this Bill is giving the Department the authority to deny access to radio and TV as a disciplinary tool. I would ask your favorable support."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there anybody standing in opposition to the Bill? If not, the question is 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All in favor will signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 161 'Ayes', no 'Nays', 2 voting 'Present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1090."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1090. A Bill for an Act to amend



Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1090 simply authorizes the Department of Corrections to sell the grain products from the farming operations at...at the ...correctional institutions. A few years ago we decided...the Department decided to lease the property...the farm property at Stateville, and now we're farming it again, and with...using resident labor. And we need authorization in the Code to sell the grain products. The Bill should've been on the Consent Calendar, but I ask your favorable consideration."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there anybody standing in opposition to the Bill? If not, the question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'Aye'. Those opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. In this question there are 159 'Ayes', no 'Nays', none voting 'Present'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Now we'll go to Page 2 of the Calendar. House Bill's Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar. Page 2. Mr. Terzich on House Bill 82."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 82. A Bill for an Act relating to the revival of judgments and amending certain Acts named therein. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 303."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 303. A Bill for an Act to amend



Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "House Bill 303."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 303. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Yourell. Amends House Bill 303 as amended on Page 1, Line 31 by inserting after the word 'child', the following..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, on Amendment #1."

Yourell: "Yes, Amendment #1 was proposed by the...by the Office of Education, and I move...do adopt Amendment #1 on the House Bill 303."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Is this a Committee Amendment?"

Speaker Bradley: "Floor Amendment #1. Floor Amendment."

Schlickman: "All right now. Besides it coming from the Office of Education, what does it do? I don't think you explained it."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair is corrected...stands corrected. It's Floor Amendment #2. Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Oh, yes. This Amendment was proposed by the Office of Education as I've indicated. And what it does is inserting after the word 'child', it narrows it down to 'due to vehicular traffic', which interprets the hazardous conditions that exist."

Schlickman: "What is the effect of the Amendment?"

Yourell: "Pardon me?"

Schlickman: "What is the effect of the Amendment? What does it do? How does it change the Bill?"



Yourell: "Excuse me. I'm having a little trouble with my throat."

Schlickman: "I'm sorry."

Yourell: "What it does, Gene, is to narrow the Bill down to hazardous caused by vehicular traffic, rather than foot traffic. This was suggested by the Department of Transportation and the Office of Education."

Schlickman: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All in...Mr. Waddell, the Gentleman from Kane. Are you...have a question on the Amendment?"

Waddell: "I do. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Waddell: "Had there any study been made, Buzz, to the fact that the amount of equipment that may be needed in excess to the equipment we have now, such as school buses in our district, that ...and that being the only mode of transportation. Is there any figures as to the number of buses or the number of dollars that this would take to meet these criteria of yours?"

Yourell: "Yes, Representative Waddell. I have a fiscal note file with House Bill 303, and I...from the State Board of Education, and they've indicated that it's impossible for the Office to estimate the number of hazardous conditions throughout the State by school district. And without knowing the number of a location of a hazard... it is impossible for IOE to estimate the number of pupils that might be transported by bus due to such conditions. That will be determined according to IOE by the number of applications that they receive."

Waddell: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the question's on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor will signify by saying 'Aye'. Opposed, 'No'. The 'Ayes' have it, and



the mot...the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there a fiscal note filed on this?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Gentleman have unanimous consent to read the Bill on the Consent Calendar? ...or the Short Debate Calendar? Hearing no objection, it will appear on Short Debate, Third Reading. 551."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 551. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Boat Registration and Safety Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 657. House Bill 657."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 657. A Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments...no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 683."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 683. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to the Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "...are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 684."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 684. A Bill for an Act in relation to the powers of the courts of this state to exercise jurisdiction in matters of child custody. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee."



Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 736."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 736. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Barber Law. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any motions relative to the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 815."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 815. A Bill for an Act to require employers in certain circumstances to give their employees time off from employment for their jury service. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. McPike amends House Bill 815 on Page 1, Line 3 by deleting 'service' and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike. On Amendment #1."

McPike: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is...was suggested in Committee. The present Bill 815 was a new Act, and they...and the Committee suggested that by amending a Section of the...of the present statutes, it would be simply easier to locate. Furthermore, it provides that that any employer who allows an employee off for jury duty will not be obligated to compensate such employee for the time taken off. I know of no opposition, and I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question





is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor signify by saying 'Aye'. Opposed, 'No'. The 'Ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 841. Does the Gentleman have unanimous consent for the...to keep it on the Short Debate Calendar? Hearing no objection, it will remain on the Short Debate Calendar. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. Seated in the Gallery at the rear of the chamber is a group of students from the St. Patrick Grammar School in Decatur. That is the district represented by Representatives Donovan, John Dunn, and Webber Borchers. Would the students from St. Patrick's please rise?"

Speaker Bradley: "House Bill 841."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 841. A Bill for an Act concerning certain rights of medical patients. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 963."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 963. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning licensing of grain dealers. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 985."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 985. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of...to judgment and execution. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1003."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1003. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to injunctions. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1062."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1062. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1072."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1072. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "House Bill 1110. Third Reading on 1072. 1110."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1110. A Bill for an Act to release an easement in Madison County. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to Amendments #1 & 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Motions...Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1111."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1111. A Bill for an Act to restore



access rights in Madison County. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Motions...any motions relative to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Motions from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1157."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1157. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1184."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1184. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections...or a Bill for an Act to provide for the establishment of agriculture of conservation and protection of areas. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 & 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to those amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Motions...any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1190."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1190. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Coin Operated Amusement Device Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading of House Bill 1198."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1198. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois High...Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."



Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1228."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1228. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create sanitary districts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1257."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1257. A Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of dental laboratories. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "House Bill. Third Reading. House Bill 1...1309."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1309. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Trusts and Trustees Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1323."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1323. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1382."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1382. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1543."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1543. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act codifying the powers and duties of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities."



Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1637."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1367(sic). A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and continuing expense of the Illinois Historical Library. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1733."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1733. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to alcoholic liquors. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1761."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1761. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to Office of Public Defenders. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Floor...any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1774."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1774. A Bill for an Act to amend the Game Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any rel...any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Motions from the fl...Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."



Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1840."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1840. A Bill for an Act to revise the election laws in relation to independent candidates. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1934."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1934. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Agricultural Cooperative Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1935."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1935. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Business Corporation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1936."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1936. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the General Not For Profit Corporation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 1991."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1991. A Bill for an Act to repeal the Illinois Stallion Licensing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Motions... Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 2053."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2053. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the County Home Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading...We'll go to Page 12. House Bill's Third Reading. Priority of call. Priority of call of House Bill 586."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 586. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Rigney."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker. House Bill 586 is a very simple one. It merely allows county boards to designate savings and loans as a depository for county funds. That is the funds of the county collector. I know of no opposition now to the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Schlickman: "Well, I just have a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. You went to Page 13, House Bill 586. Are we going, first of all, by the Priority of Call Calendar?"

Speaker Bradley: "Yeah, that's what we're on. I'm sorry."

Schlickman: "Which date?"

Speaker Bradley: "Page 5. Dated of the...well, dated April 24 or 25? If you have the Calendar, Priority of Call dated April 25."

Schlickman: "I'm sorry. I didn't have one. I'm sorry. Thank you, M...Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Your apology is accepted, Sir. Now, are there any debate on the question? The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker. Is this Third Reading?"

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, Sir."

Ewing: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Ewing: "Why is this legislation needed, Harley?"



Rigney: "Well, apparently there was some question since savings and loans were not specifically designated in the present Act, as to whether or not county collectors really could deposit money with them. Now there was an Attorney General's opinion that was handed down in, I think, in 1976 that said, in effect, 'Yes, they could be designated as depositories'. The attempt here of the Bill is to merely, you know, make it clear that 'Yes, you can deposit with savings and loans.'"

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? Further discussion. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm not speaking on the merits or the demerits of the Bill, but I want to point out like I did in Committee that this is a series of about 8 or 9 Bills that are going to...we're going to be faced with in the next couple of weeks. And I want to call to the attention of the members of the House that whenever a County Board, and I understand there's one for schools, one for municipalities, one for every governmental agency to make deposits at savings and loans, and when you make a deposit in a saving and loan that's not a guaranteed stock; it's a mutual organization, a mutual company, you become a shareholder. And what we are doing, is we're violating the Savings and Loan Act and asking the governmental officials, elected officials to invest taxpayers' funds in mortgage investments. And I'm a little concerned about that, and if there's some way that we can clarify the Saving and Loan Act to take the members who become members in a savings and loan. Public official investing taxpayers' funds. There's another stipulation in the Savings and Loan Act that when you make a deposit, you sign a proxy card. And in that proxy card you're allowing one of the members of the savings and loan to become your





proxy and vote for you, and on that proxy card it says that you cannot receive your money on demand like you do in a bank. You have to wait 30 days or they have the right to make you wait 30 days before you can get your deposits out of the saving and loan. Now I know of no saving and loan that doesn't pay on demand, but they do have that right in case they have a liquidity problem to hold up that savings and loan in case they are overloaded with mortgages. Now my question here today is 'Are we asking elected officials in these governmental agencies to violate the law by investing taxpayers' funds in mortgage investments?'"

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Rigney. You wish to close, Sir?"

Rigney: "Merely ask for an affirmative vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No.' The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing to explain his vote."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In explaining the vote I think there may be more implications to this than a very simple 'merely' Bill. Today we require in...by State statute a lot of restrictions on banks and yet we give savings and loans and credit unions quite a free hand. Now we're going to take and put the public funds in the savings and loans, possibly next year into the credit unions, add to the derigation of the banks. I think this is a serious matter and one that takes consideration. I don't think all the questions have been answered, and for that reason, I'm going to vote 'No' on it."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Mr. Rigney to explain your vote."

Rigney: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker. Apparently there has been some misunderstanding on this Bill. As far as I know,



many counties are depositing with savings and loan associations at the present time, and they're doing so on the basis of the strength of the Attorney General's opinion that was rendered in this area. Before I ever took this Bill, I checked with our own County Treasurer to find out whether or not he favored this concept, and much to my surprise, he told me 'Well, we've been depositing with savings and loans in our county now for 2 or 3 years.' We intend to continue. I said 'Do you see any problem with this kind of legislation? Do you know are other county treasurers doing the same thing?' He said 'To my knowledge they are.' So, I don't know. Unless we want to discontinue a practice that is...that is pretty well established now throughout the State, I really see little logic to opposing this particular piece of legislation. In fact, I think some of the other Acts concerning savings and loans acting as depositories for other governmental units have passed here without an opposing vote. And frankly, I don't see the logic of singling out now the County Collector and making an issue on that particular office."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner to explain his vote. ...for one minute."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker. I may have been the first County Treasurer in the State to have invested money in savings and loans, and I did so after getting an Attorney General's opinion saying that it was legal for a County Treasurer to invest up to a...the insured amount. Now what may be giving some members a hang-up is that the Bill as drafted or as amended, says that the deposits shall not exceed 75% of the net...I guess, net worth of the savings and loan association. Perhaps if the Sponsor would like to amend it back to the insured amount, he wouldn't get any problem whatsoever. Just a possible helpful suggestion. I think it...definitely this option should be allowed to local governments."



Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Further discussion? ...Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. In this question we have 74 'Ayes' and 23 'Nays'. The Gentleman from Stephenson wishes...asks to have it placed on Postponed Consideration. Hearing no objection, the Bill will be placed on Postponed Consideration. House Bill 597."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 597. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and members of the House. House Bill 597 was amended in the House Pension and Personnel Committee substantially rewriting the Bill and minimizing its cost impact. House Bill 597 deals with the issue of the annual 3% increase in pension payments made under the University Retirement System. The Bill in its current form provides that those people who retired in the University Retirement System, under the minimum pension provisions, those who are getting the lowest pension, the minimum under what we call Rule 4 would receive the 3% annual adjustment in their pension based upon that minimum pension, which was provided for by law by this General Assembly 3 years ago. Those people are now not receiving the 3% on the minimum; they are receiving the 3% on their old pension, which was substantially lower. I would point out to you that these people, on average, got only a \$75 a month pension prior to the institution of the minimum. Now they're only averaging \$120 a month, so you can see that this Bill has a very limited effect to a group of people that is diminishing due to the fact that their age average is over 70 and due to the fact that many will not live many more years. The Pension Laws Commission has approved this Bill. The Bill went through the House Committee as amended on a 9-0 vote."



I would ask for your favorable consideration of House Bill 597."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbeson."

Ebbeson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First of all, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Ebbeson: "Yes, Representative Stuffle. What is the impact? I know in Committee as I recall this, based on the Law of Diminishing Return, the impact really wasn't all that significant. Do you have any numbers at all?"

Stuffle: "The impact is only \$26,000 the first year to cover 400 of these lowly-paid people. That amount would diminish annually as the attrition factor takes its effect."

Ebbeson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would like to address myself to the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed."

Ebbeson: "Yes, as I recall now in Committee that...that I am one who is for any increase in the unfund of the crude liability. I certainly am one who has stood in opposition. But in this particular case, where this group, which is a very small group and the impact is not all that great, and certainly something that the General Assembly has to address itself to for these cases that are isolated. I think it's good legislation, and I would encourage everyone to support it."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Now that I'm over the shock that Representative Ebbeson's in favor of this Bill, I would like to speak in behalf of it. As mentioned before, this does cover the people who are on minimum pension benefits. It's certainly a warranted benefit for these teachers. It's at a minimal cost of \$26,000. It has been approved by the Pension Laws Commission and received the favorable support of the Pension Committee, and I would urge an



'Aye' vote on House Bill 597."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 137 'Ayes', 21 'Nays', 4 voting 'Present'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 599. I'm sorry. We're looking at one Calendar... House Bill 611. I'm sorry."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 611. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 611 amends a conflict of interest Section of the Park District Code so that the park district Commissioners are allowed the same code of ethics as the county or municipal commissioners or trustees or whatever. It is the Park District's code of ethics hasn't been amended since 1951. It was on somethings it was too loose, somethings it was too tight. The commissioner that worked, say for the Electrical Company, if he was to vote on paying the electrical bill under the present park commissioner's code of ethics could be held...could be constituted as a felony. This Bill loosens some areas, it tightens other areas to make in conformity with the municipal and county ethics codes. I move for...for its passage, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "...the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Marovitz: "What areas, Representative Huskey, does it... you said it loosens up some areas and it tightens others."



In what areas does it loosen while...existing law?"

Huskey: "...the areas it loosens is the same as your county and your municipal government. The park commissioners make contracts with the district. If it's less than \$250 and not more than \$500 in one year. That's the looseness of it."

Marovitz: "No further questions."

Huskey: "And the tightness of it...it provides that any park official who takes or offers to take a gift or bribe as a means of influencing his vote on any contract, will result in such contract being voided."

Marovitz: "Does this...does that carry with it any criminal penalties?"

Huskey: "Well, I would say the criminal penalties would be the same as the county or municipal penalties that exist now."

Marovitz: "Is that in your Bill?"

Huskey: "It's in the...yes, it's in the Code."

Marovitz: "All right, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for the purpose of an introduction. We are fortunate to have with us today one of my good friends and a friend of all black people and all people throughout this country. He's here today in Springfield just to testify on behalf of some legislation. He is now leaving for the air...for the airport. And I'm sorry to interrupt, but I would feel ~~remiss~~ if I did not take the opportunity to introduce Dr. Ralph 'Appannetta', a president of...Southern Christian Leadership Conference, Atlanta, Georgia. Dr. 'Appannetta'."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Yourell: "Representative Huskey, you mentioned a moment ago



that provided in House Bill 611 would be a provision that would prohibit against taking gifts or bribes. Can you tell me where that appears in the Bill?"

Huskey: "It appeared in Amendment #1, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Amendment #1, I believe, was tabled."

Huskey: "Amendment #1 was passed...it was...it was offered in Committee and passed."

Yourell: "That Bill...that amendment was tabled, I believe."

Huskey: "Well then...then it was Amendment #2. It's on the Bill anyway, Representative Yourell." Well, and let me say this, Representative Yourell, if you have any questions about the Bill, I suggest that you read your own Bill, which is House Bill...House Bill 738, and it was copied exactly after this Bill."

Yourell: "Yeah, well, I understand. But you were not in Committee at the time to hear the Bill so I wasn't able to ask a question. But I would suggest to the members... I don't want to discuss House Bill 738, because we'll all have the opportunity to do that in a moment or two. But House Bill 611, although the provisions of that Bill don't conflict with the general law, but they are silent on some points. And is silent on a point that I consider to be very important, and that's the prohibition of taking gifts or bribes and the voiding of contracts or agreements made in violation of the general Act. A person reading the Park District Code as amended by House Bill 611 will not be aware of additional prohibitions contained in the general Act. And I have a letter from the Oak Lawn Park District that indicated they wanted to thank me for introducing legislation to correct the inequities in House Bill 611. So although I'm not in favor, I'm not opposed to House Bill 611, I'm going to vote for it. I will suggest to the Sponsor that when the Bill gets to the Senate, that he consider an amendment to provide against prohibitions on taking gifts



or bribes and a voting of contracts made in violation of the general Act."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion?"

Huskey: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Bradley: "You'll be able to close, Mr. Huskey. Is there further discussion? Seeing none, then the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey, to close."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker. This Bill does have that amendment which Representative Yourell speaks of. Provides that any park official who takes or offers to take a gift or bribe as a means of influencing his vote on any contract will be result...will result in such contract being a void. That is in the Bill. And this Bill, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, is not my idea of a Bill. This Bill was asked by the Park District officials, and that... and it clears up a lot of misunderstanding in the Park District Code. It tightens the Code in many cases, and it is a good Bill. And I also have letters from the various park districts thanking me for this Bill. I initiated the legislation, and let me say there's other Legislators that copied the identical Bill that have spoken against the Bill. So, it's just...I think it's a cheap shot that's being taken at me, and I resent this type of cheap shot, because it's to scuttle my Bill so the same identical Bill will pass out later, which I will vote for when it comes up anyway. So, therefore, Mr. Speaker, it's a good Bill. It's a needed Bill, and I request a favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?'"

All in favor will signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty, to explain his vote."

Getty: "Mr. Chairman, members of the House. I rise to explain my present vote. I think that Representative Yourell had very good intentions. I believe his Bill is





generally good. I'm sorry. Representative Huskey had very good intentions in this. I just, however, believe that Representative Yourell did not intend a cheap shot. I think that to say that it was a cheap shot was unfortunate. I believe that, in fact, the Bill that he introduced, 738, is preferable because because it would be uniform and that it would refer directly to the municipal code, so that we wouldn't have to change or have any difference between the Park District Code and the Municipal Code. I think it works very easily if you can do it just by reference to the Section that governs municipal employees. I think it's substantially the same and I think that Representative Huskey would do better if he would amend his Bill if it would fail to pass to conform to that standard."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 120 'Ayes', 6 'Nays', 17 voting 'Present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 624."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 624. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House. House Bill 624 is another Bill that only affects the 17 three-member Commission...three-member Board Commission. Counties in Illinois. And each of these 17 counties are approximately 10 road districts and they are elected the Highway Commissioners and the District Clerk are elected for terms that don't coincide with the rest of the State, and because we're trying to consolidate elections as of December 1, 1980, this would extend the terms of these 17 counties and the Highway Commissioners and District Clerk for a period of two more years so that it would be



in conformity with the rest of the State and their elections in 1985 then would be the same time as other highway commissioners. I would be glad to answer any questions and they only...it only affects 17 counties."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Birchler."

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I support this Bill that Representative Dunn has just discussed with us. It's for the 17 commissioner county forms of government, and it will put these people in line for the consolidated election. I do ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker. I don't...Representative Dunn will correct me if I'm wrong, but is it not true, Representative Dunn that some of the commissioners and clerks that have been elected for a 4-year term could, this term indeed, could be extended ~~even longer~~? Is this not true?"

Dunn: "It...they've been elected for a 4-year term and this would give them 2 more years for the one time, and then after that would be 4-year terms."

Mulcahey: "Okay, now that could be one of the liabilities of it, but use your own judgment."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Dunn. Do you wish to close, Sir?"

Dunn: "Thank you. I urge a favorable vote for House Bill 624."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?'

All in favor will signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. In this question we have 152 'Ayes', 4 'Nays', 2 voting 'Present'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 628."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 628. A Bill for an Act to make administrative decisions of the Department of Insurance.



Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 628 amends the...the Insurance Code and makes administrative decisions of the Department of Insurance subject to judicial review under the Administrative Review Act. We just passed the other day...having all of the agencies...I think all of the agencies except 2 or 3 have elected to come under the Administrative Review Act, and this was requested by the Department of Insurance. It did come out of Committee 12-0, and I would urge your support of House Bill 628."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker. Members of the House. I noticed by the synopsis that this Bill was proposed by the Illinois Law Revision Commission. Now as I have read, the enacting legislation for the Illinois Law Revision Commission, that Commission is absolutely and specifically limited to Bills that repeal existing laws. And, of course, this Bill does not repeal an existing law, but rather, amends an existing law. I think, Mr. Speaker, members of the House, that the Legislative Reference Bureau made a mistake. I don't think that this Bill is proposed by the Illinois Law Revision Commission, but rather, is a by-product. Otherwise, it would be an illegal Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich to close the debate."

Terzich: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, that last speaker, that's his opinion. However, this Bill did go through the process of the Commission, which did consist of 12 participating members of the Illinois General Assembly that listened to this. It also went through the Judiciary Committee. We gave it, also, careful scrutiny, and it fell within the guidelines and received favorable ap-



proval, and I would urge support of House Bill 628."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?'

All in favor signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. In this question there are 162 'Ayes', no 'Nays', 1 voting 'Present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti. What purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I'm happy to introduce a group of farmers and their wives from Sarina Township in LaSalle County visiting in the Gallery. They are represented by the Representatives Hoxsey, Ewing, and Breslin. They are up in this corner. Will you give them a hand?"

Speaker Bradley: "House Bill 643."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 643. A Bill for an Act in regard to the enactment of 'no smoking' ordinances in municipalities and counties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker. Representative Griesheimer had, what I felt, was an excellent Bill last year, but unfortunately, the Governor vetoed it. The Governor said that all parts of the State now have the authority to pass 'no smoking' ordinances on a local option basis. I'm not sure that's correct, and so, what I have done is introduce a Bill which states explicitly that county governments and municipalities do have the authority to regulate smoking in their own areas. Now the biggest... I think this, by the way, meets the Governor's objection. The biggest criticism that can be made of this Bill is that it may not be necessary. That the authority...that the general public health regulation authority granted the counties and cities already allow them to do this.



I would ask your support, so that it may be made explicit, so that those of our local governmental officials who are more ex...more literal...are very literal-minded will know they have the authority to do what some people think they already have the authority to do."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe that Representative Skinner has properly described the Bill, and I am very supportive of it. As you know, for the last 4 years we've passed legislation from this Assembly to protect the rights of nonsmokers. Two successive Governors have characterized this as unnecessary, because local governments have this authority. If you'll talk to your local governments, they don't believe they do have the authority. In order to square away this matter, I think that the Bill introduced by Representative Skinner makes it absolutely clear that the authority is with the local governments, municipalities, and counties. I think it's a good Bill. I think the Governor may, Cal, eventually sign it...a Bill of this sort. I urge you to vote 'Yes'."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the Gentleman wish to close? If not, the question is 'Shall ...I'm sorry. Mr....The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Kane: "How many municipalities, do you have any idea now, have nonsmoking ordinances under the existing statute?"

Skinner: "The only one I know of is Cook County. There may be other...I mean Chicago. There may be others, but there are none in my district."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Mr. Skinner to close."

Skinner: "I would just ask for a favorable vote."



Speaker Bradley: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?'

All in favor signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Would somebody please push Mr. Huskey's button there? Thank you. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. In this question we have 152 'Ayes', 1 'Nay', 5 voting 'Present'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 663. Out of the record. Request of the Sponsor. House Bill 673."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 673. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Fair Employment Practices Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 673. The synopsis in the synopsis book is somewhat misleading. The effect of the Bill, if it's passed and signed into law would be to require that any appeal to the Circuit Court of an FEPC ruling or decision, that that appeal would be made in the Circuit Court where the FEPC hearing was originally held. The present requirements under the FEPC law are that FEPC hearings themselves be held within a 50 mile radius of where the complaint was originally made. However, the... after the FEPC ruling is handed down, the respondent to that ruling can appeal to any Circuit Court in the state where the respondent either resides or carries on business. The effect of that is that...in where most of the respondents are businesses or corporations, the effect of that is that the appeal can be made in any section of the State and the appeals are being made 200, 300, 400 miles away from where the original complainant might live. The effect of this Bill would not be to limit the appeal to the courts, but it would be saying that a respondent



could not effectively deny a person a fair court hearing by moving the case to the other end of the State. And I would urge the...an 'Aye' vote on House Bill 673."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Logan.

The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson. We're gonna have to correct that up here on this board...Vinson: I'd appreciate that...Speaker: ...Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Who is the 'appeallee' in the Circuit Court hearing?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Since I'm not an attorney, would you tell me what the 'appeallee' is?"

Vinson: "Well, who, in essence, is defending the decision by the FEPC? Is it the FEPC?"

Kane: "It's the FEPC and the...main correspondents, or the original complainers."

Vinson: "And is it...is it a whole new trial on the record? Or is it just a review as to whether there was substantial evidence for the FEPC's decision?"

Kane: "No, it's not a whole new trial. However, the attorneys for the original complaintants would be required to be there."

Vinson: "Just the attorneys?"

Kane: "On the record of the hearing."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker. May I address the Bill?"

Speaker Bradley: "You certainly may, Sir. Proceed."

Vinson: "I believe this Bill may be an example of misguided compassion. What...as I understand the situation, when the case comes to trial in the Circuit Court, essentially, the 'appellant' is simply trying to demonstrate that the FEPC made a bad decision and that there was not substantial evidence for that decision. There's virtually no need for the original petitioner to the FEPC to be there. The FEPC simply has to show that there was substantial



evidence for its decision, I believe. And, therefore, what we're doing is representing a petitioner's opportunity to choose a convenient forum where he and a state agency are the only two real parties in interest. Therefore, I think that changing this would unduly unbalance the situation in favor of the petitioner on the original unfair practices complaint, and I believe we ought to defeat this so that we retain a little bit of balance for the defendant in these FEPC hearings."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Leinenweber: "Representative, do you know of any other instance in Illinois law where venue is decided other than on the residence of a defendant?"

Kane: "Would you repeat that question, please?"

Leinenweber: "Do you know of any other instance in Illinois law where venue is determined by other than the residence of the defendant?"

Kane: "No. This isn't residence of the defendant. This is residence of the person who's appealing the situation. The original complaint usually occurs where the business is doing the hiring. That's the only place where the... where the..."

Leinenweber: "This is the...when I'm talking about venue is the proceeding where...where there's a court proceeding, which is what happens in judicial review, and I just... my question was, are there any other instances in Illinois law where you would select as a forum or a venue other than the residence of the defendant?"

Kane: "I'm not sure."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman from Logan...in addition to the reasons capably put forth by the Gentleman from Logan, this Bill is bad. It's a departure from Illinois law, which in my understanding, venue is always...at least the defendant is entitled to have his residence





to be the venue of the proceeding unless he happens to be a foreign corporation and doing business in other areas. I feel that the Bill is unnecessary, unneeded, and for the reasons stated by the Gentleman for Logan, and for the fact that it appears to be a departure from our existing venue practices in the State of Illinois, I also urge the defeat of House Bill 673."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of House Bill 673. The reason I rise in support of House Bill 673, is for the reasons that the Gentlemen on the other side of the aisle have indicated their opposition. That's rationale enough for the Bill to be passed. This Bill simply will enable persons who have a grievance to get a fair trial. Many of these persons do not have the means or the wherewithall to pursue lengthy legal procedures. Therefore, some attorneys on that side of the aisle might not be supportive of the Bill. But the Bill, in effect, does no more than treat an individual, who has a grievance, as you would treat any individual in a criminal or civil proceedings. You give them a speedy trial and you give them a trial wherein they can have a case resolved. I believe that requiring that a business or entity who does business as a corporation and not treated as an individual to be tried in the same county at which the violation or infraction occurred is not asking too much. Even if there are present laws which do not allow this, I believe that now is the time for us to enact such a law. So that is no rationale in my estimation for us not to pass House Bill 673. I have a subsequent Bill that hopefully will reach this Body that will give added incentive to individuals who seek redress by allowing the attorneys and others to represent them in an efficient manner. I respectfully urge that those of you who support this idea to cast an



'Aye' vote on House Bill 673."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz. Mr. Katz, I'm not on."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I believe that there is a little misunderstanding with regard to the Bill, and I would like to try to clarify it. As I understand the situation, if you have an FEPC hearing, FEPC tries to conduct that hearing in the area where the offense took place. Thus, if you have a down-State county, they would hold a hearing in the area where the down-State county is located. However, I understand that when an appeal is taken by the respondent in that kind of case, that they can then go up to Chicago in Cook County and file the appeal. The appeal is, thus, in many instances hundreds of miles from where the individual was, whose case was adjudicated before the FEPC. And this is simply an attempt in Representative Kane's Bill to make sure that the appeal is taken reasonably close to the location where the offense took place and where the complainants live. Viewed that way, it would appear to me to be very reasonable. I don't know why anyone would believe that the ...the person who was alleged to be discriminated against should not have a reasonable opportunity to be near the court where the matter is going to be heard. It is such that the individual couldn't really find a lawyer in Chicago if it's a down-State matter. A lawyer from a down-State county would not find it convenient to come to Chicago and all this does is to require the respondent to file his appeal in the same county where the original proceeding was held. It...that does not seem to be...to be onerous. The same lawyer that represented the respondent in the original case can be present and handle the appeal in that county, but from the complainant's point of view, it permits that complainant to get a lawyer if he wants to reasonably near his home where



he can get the lawyer and be present personally if he wants to hear the matter being argued in court. I do not believe that this does violence to anyone's rights, either the respondent's or the petitioner's. I think that everyone ought to feel that a petitioner should have a right to be reasonably near his home so that he can hear his case being argued and decided by the court. Viewed that way, it is a reasonable Bill, and I would urge the support of Mr. Kane's Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines."

Gaines: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to emphasize what the previous speaker said. That this gives the real defendant justice and convenience to his home base. And the appeal, the... becomes the real defendant. So you're really protecting the right of the new defendant to have a trial at his home. So the principles that the other Gentlemen were talking about the defendant having the right to have the venue where he is, is being guaranteed by this Bill. Because when it's appealed, the original complainant becomes the new defendant, and this gives him a right to have it where he's convenient. So I urge that the persons on both sides of the aisle to vote 'Yes' for 673."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Kane to close."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What we're asking for here in House Bill 673 is fair play in our justice system, in our court system. There are two parties to FEPC hearings. There's an individual and a company. The requirement for the FEPC hearing is that the FEPC hearing has to be convenient to both the individual and the company. It has to take place within a 50 mile radius of where the alleged infraction occurred that's convenient for the company. It's convenient for the individual. However, the law as it presently stands says that the company, if it is ruled against by the FEPC,



can take that case to court anywhere where that company does business. Which means that the company, and companies are doing it more often, can for a down-State individual, move the case to Cook County or for a Cook County individual that company can move the case to a down-State county. In effect, what is happening that the merits of a case are being determined on whether or not the individual agrieved can put up the money to have his or her attorney go to the other end of the State and represent that individual in a...in a court case. I think that if we're going to have a court system, that that court system should be fair and that it should be judged on the merits of the case, not on whether an individual has the money to hire an attorney at the other end of the State to represent them, and I'd urge the adoption of House Bill 673."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?'

All in favor will signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 102 'Ayes', 56 'No's', 6 'Present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 679."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 679. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Boucek."

Boucek: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentle...

Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 679 amends the Illinois Municipal Code to allow corporate authorities of municipalities upon request of the Municipal Treasurer to designate savings and loan associations as depositories for municipal funds. There was one Amendment that was adopted in Committee which was recommended by the bankers and House Bill 679 passed out of Committee by a 13-0 vote, and I



move for passage of House Bill 679."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is one...another one of those Bills that I said that's gonna be a package of Bills where you have... you're asking the village officials to become investors and investing in home financing and all of these Bills, I think, should be taken back to Second Reading. I don't like to see the Bills killed, but I like to see the law clarified so that we can legally make those investments. Again, I want to repeat the same thing with Harlan Rigney's Bill that the minute you make a deposit in a savings and loan, you are a shareholder and therefore you are participating in investing taxpayers' funds."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Mr. Boucek, you wish to close, Sir?"

Boucek: "I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass'. All in favor signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the...Mr. Totten, you wish to explain your vote? Clerk will take the record. All right. In this question there are 79 'Ayes', 9 'Nays'. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bou..."

Boucek: "Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman requests Postponed Consideration. Hearing no objection, it will be placed on Postponed. House Bill 693." The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten. For what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've had a motion on the Calendar for immediate consideration on a resolution for a little over a week. The motion has to deal with...the resolution has to deal with adopting a revenue estimate and as we are about entering into the appropriation process, I



think it would be timely if we would go to that order of business."

Speaker Bradley: "We'll give that some consideration. I would like to continue on the order of business we're on right now."

Totten: "Well, then I'd like to move to change the order of business to the Order of Motions."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to...would you hold that for just one minute? We'll get a piece of legislation out of the way, and we'll get back to you, Sir. House Bill 693."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 693. A Bill for an Act in relation to jury commissioners and authorizing judges to appoint such commissioners, and to make rules concerning their powers and duties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Presently, the Clerk of the Court is authorized to draw by lot for the selection of jurors. All this Bill does is authorize him to draw by lot or by random electronic process. It is believed by the Clerk of the Court that they presently have this power, but they would feel more secure if it was...this was put into the law. That they may use random electronic process or lot, and that is all this Bill does, and I would ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "A question for the Sponsor. What is random electronic process?"

Marovitz: "Computers."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Mr. Marovitz to close."

Marovitz: "I think this is a very simple Bill. It just allows either lot, as is presently used, or random electronic



process. It gives them authority, which I think that they presently may have. It would be more specific, and I would ask for your favorable consideration of this legislation."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor will signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 157 'Ayes', 2 'Nays', 2 voting 'Present'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 738."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 738. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Currently there is a General Conflict of Interest Act which covers all persons appointed or elected to public office. In Chapter 102, Paragraphs 3 and 3.2. Those Sections were extensively amended by Public Act 80-376, 938, and 1086 to provide a series of exemptions and exclusions in case...cases of minor financial interest on the part of an officeholder. The Park District Code contains a conflict of interest provision which is much more restrictive than the general law. This Bill attempts to bring the Park District Code in line with the actions of the 80th General Assembly by adopting the provisions of Chapter 102 into the Park District Code by reference. If this Bill becomes law, Park District officers would be subject to the same restrictions and exemptions as are all other public officers. I'll be happy to answer any questions if there are any."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have to



rise to say Representative Yourell has a fine Bill here. It was copied after 611, and I...we need the Bill, and it's identical to House Bill 611, so this will give the Senate its choice of which of the two fine Bills identical they want. I'm...I hope...I'm going to vote for it."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, Mr. Yourell to close. I'm sorry. Just a minute. Mr....Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Yes, I think I know the answer to this. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Mugalian: "The action of the 80th General Assembly was to relax the requirements of the ethics laws. Is that correct? And this Bill does the same thing?"

Huskey: "The answer is 'Yes'."

Speaker Bradley: "All right. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Noting that this evening is the Illinois Association of Park District's main function of the year down here, I just thought I'd like to inquire of the Sponsor, or Mr. Huskey, as to which one of them are getting the award as Legislator of the Year."

Huskey: "I received it the last two years running."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Yourell, you wish to close the debate, Sir?"

Yourell: "Yes, I do. I'm delighted that the support of Representative Huskey, my colleague in the 8th District, and I just hope it doesn't have an adverse effect on the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'Aye'. Opposed, by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, 148 'Ayes', 11 'Nays', and 7 voting 'Present'. This





Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima will preside for a while."

DiPrima: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We have a group of English veterans here with us today, and they want to make a presentation to Speaker Redmond. This is an organization originally activated by a Mr. Greg McNichols, who's ill and couldn't be present. And this precipitated a public relations between international legion posts worldwide. Some of those present here today are William Thomas Rogers, President of the Helston Royal British Legion from Cornwall, England; William Herbert Pascow, he's Chairman; Donald James Eddy, Treasurer; Matthew John Gilbert, a delegate; and Bill Trezize, past Commander of the 'Berlin' Legion Post 422. He's the 'Twining Chairman'. And they're from Pechous' District. Bob Pechous, and Paul Matula, and Henry Klosak. All right, now, I'll introduce Mr. Thomas ...William Rogers. Say a few words and make the presentation."

Rogers: "Speaker Redmond. On behalf of the Helston Royal British Legion in the town of Helston, Cornwall. We've come all the way from Cornwall to train with Forest Park. As partners, which we hope will develop into something good and something worthwhile. And being an ex-Mayor, myself, of the town, the town Mayor of Helston has asked me to bring over a plaque to present to Speaker Redmond from the town and council of Helston, Cornwall. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you very much and on behalf of the Members of the House of Representatives I am very pleased to accept this plaque, and we will hang it in the Speaker's office. And, again, thank you on behalf of all the members here. House Bill's Third Reading. Appears House Bill 762. Representative Benedict is it? Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, a minute ago, Representative Totten was



recognized by Representative Bradley in the chair and made a motion to go to the Order of Motions for consideration of his motion on HJR 35, and Mr. Bradley indicated that we'd do that as soon as disposed of the next Bill on the Calendar, and I'd like to renew that motion on Mr. Totten's behalf."

Speaker Redmond: "Where's the Parliamentarian? We'll take 762, and then we'll get back as soon as the Parliamentarian gets here."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 762. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker. Members of the House. House Bill 762 amends the Park District Code to allow any park district owning and holding any real estate to sell or lease such property provided...provided that the grantee or 'lessee' covenants to hold and maintain such property for public park or recreational purposes. Or in the alternative, such park district obtains other real property of substantially the same size or larger and of substantially the same or greater suitability for park purposes without additional costs to such district. The Bill further provides by Amendment requested by the Minority Leader that in counties with populations under 200,000, any park district owning and holding any real estate is authorized to sell or lease such property to any hospital for hospital purposes. The Bill was caused to be introduced by the Illinois Association of Park Districts, and the Illinois Park and Recreation Association was reported out of Committee, cities and villages without a descending vote. I favor...I request your favorable consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield



for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Mautino: "Gene, if I remember this legislation correctly, this law is private. Private users to sell that this portion would allow the sale or transfer or exchange to a private industry. Is that not true?"

Schlickman: "To private entities, such as a Not For Profit Corporation, which is not a public entity, but subject to one of two conditions. Either the private entity or another unit of government would have to covenant that the property will continue to be used for park or recreational purposes at no additional cost to the park district, or in the alternative, that the private entity or another unit of government would have to give as consideration other property, and using the words of the statute 'of substantially the same size or larger and of substantially the same or greater suitability for park purposes'."

Mautino: "What's the need for the Bill, Gene?"

Schlickman: "There are park districts in the State that have park district property which is not suitable for development by public...by the use of public funds, and there are Not For Profit Organizations which are desirous of utilizing that land for public purposes suitable to the goal of the Not For Profit Organization. For example, there's a historical society in my district of a Not For Profit nature that would like to utilize some park district land to promote the historical tradition or historical past of the municipality. They can't do that now."

Mautino: "One final question. One final question. Let's assume that a park district is next to a quarry operation. Okay? Is it possible for an exchange or the sale of that public property to that private entity for another use other than recreational?"



Schlickman: "If that private entity covenants to do one of two things within the judgment or discretion of the Park District Board. Either covenant to use that land for... to continue to use that land for park district or recreational purposes at no expense to the park district, or, in the alternative, would in exchange give land...other land to the district that the private entity owns that is equal in value or larger in value, and which is of the same or greater suitability for park district purposes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "If the Sponsor would yield for a question; it seems to me that the synopsis may not accurately describe what the Bill does. The synopsis says if the park district replaces this leased property as the Section...second option. Representative Schlickman, does the Bill provide that that if the grantee or lessee does not covenant to hold it for public purposes and use it, that it's the grantee or lessee who gives to the park district property of equal or greater value in...by way of exchanges. That what the Bill spells out?"

Schlickman: "Well, I didn't...I can't recall what the synopsis provides, but in my description of House Bill 762, I read verbatim what the amended Section would provide. It's the grantee, the receiver, or the lessee that has the responsibility to come up with...to either restrict the future use of that property for park or recreational purposes or, in the alternative, within the discretion of the park district, the grantee or the lessee would have to come up with other land equal to or greater and as suitable or more suitable for park or recreational purposes than the land that is being conveyed or leased by the park district."

Deuster: "Sir, that means that if I were an individual private person and I received this park property, either:

1. I have to covenant to use it for public purposes, or



2. I had to give you or sell you equal, equivalent property?"

Schlickman: "Well, not sell but to convey back."

Deaster: "Convey back?"

Schlickman: "Right."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Schlickman: "Yes."

Mugalian: "Again, I wasn't quite sure of the explanation and going on the synopsis, I have this question: If the grantee uses it for recreational purposes, will it still be as accessible to the public as it would be if it were park property? That is to say, parks are limited to... are required to have their facilities open to the public without restriction. Whereas a grantee might have it for a private tennis court. That would still be for recreational purposes but would not be public."

Schlickman: "The Bill expressly provides...provided that the grantee or lessee covenants to hold and maintain such property for public park or recreational purposes. Public." "Public."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Schlickman: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Hallock: "If there are several prospective grantees, do you have any provision in here for the competitive bidding?"

Schlickman: "There is no provision for competitive bidding inasmuch as there is no money that would be passing hands."

Hallock: "Well, how will you resolve the question if there are four or five people who would like to use this property from the park district?"

Schlickman: "It would be left to the discretion of the park



district as to which land is being offered by a private entity or another unit of government as to sameness or greater suitability or size, equality of, or larger."

Hallock: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Sponsor yield?"

Schlickman: "Yes, Sir."

Marovitz: "Representative Schlickman. First, a question.

What would be the purpose for a lease or sale from a public park to a private entity who is going to use the facility as a public park?"

Schlickman: "As I indicated previously, an example that I think is on all fours would be in my district where there is a no...nonpublic, but a Not For Profit entity. Specifically, a historical society that is negotiating with a park district with respect to the leasing of some unused park district land for the purpose of using it within the goals and purposes of the historical society. Specifically, to improve the property so as to demonstrate and to educate to the residence of that park district their historical and traditional heritage."

Marovitz: "Well, Representative, is there any provision in your Bill for input or consultation by the community where the public park is located prior to the sale or lease arrangement?"

Schlickman: "In...not by referendum, but by the representative position of the park district trustees and also by the opportunity of participation in the hearing on the ordinance that would have to be adopted to implement the authority that's contained in the Section."

Marovitz: "Okay. Well, let me speak to the Bill then. First of all, I think people...I think the idea of this Bill is a good one, but I must oppose the legislation. When you talk about using public property and after a sale or lease of the property still must be used for public or



recreational purposes. If you have a public park, and then you lease it or sell it and it's used for, let's say, tennis courts, which are charged to the public \$3 an hour, \$5 an hour, \$10 an hour, you have turned a public park that is available free-of-charge to every citizen in the community to a public tennis court which is charged for 3, 5, \$10 an hour. Now, you have gone from a general use to a very limited use without any input by the community residents prior to...prior to the request for a zoning change or any negotiations between the individuals. And #1, the basic things that we hear from our constituents is that they want input about their own community, and #2, you are turning public lands into a use which certainly, it may not have been...it will not allow them the kind of freedom that they had prior to the lease or sale arrangement. The lessee or the purchaser may be making a profit on the arrangement from the let's say whether it's tennis or an ice skating rink or anything else. Recreational purposes can be very, very limited. The use of general park land is totally unlimited, and I think you are limiting the citizenry and the constituency around the State if you allow this legislation to pass. I would ask everybody to take a very close look at this legislation. I think you are limiting the use of public lands."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will. ...break up that conference there between the Republican staff and...staff..."

Tuerk: "Representative Schlickman. I understand the thrust of the Bill, and I see nothing really wrong with that the way you have it written. However, I'd like to know, from you, the rationale of Amendment #1, and could you clarify that for me? As I understand it, you're allowing this to be sold to hospitals for hospital purposes. Now



what are the provisions for the exchange of land, etc. for park use in that instance?"

Schlickman: "As I mentioned at the outset, Amendment #1 was requested by the Minority Leader to accommodate a situation within his district. The Amendment, verbatim, provides 'in counties with populations under 200,000, any park district owning and holding any real estate is authorized to sell or lease such property to any hospital for hospital purposes'."

Tuerk: "Well, I understand that, 'cause I read the Amendment, but I'm asking for the rationale relative to why...why are you doing that...making the exception for hospitals without any exchange of property for park use? ...I'm waiting for an answer, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "From whom are you asking me?"

Tuerk: "Well, I'm asking the Sponsor, but apparently the Minority Leader.."

Schlickman: "I would ask for a leave..."

Tuerk: "...would ask for the Amendment."

Schlickman: "I would ask for a leave, if I may, to yield to the Minority Leader."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What's the question?"

Tuerk: "The question is he's providing in the basic Bill for an exchange of land for park use and that's fine. Now I see by the Amendment that he's authorizing the sale of park land to a hospital for hospital purposes, and I don't see any provision there for the exchange of land or the purchase of land for park use, which is counter to what the Park Code has been for many, many years."

Ryan: "I still don't understand the question. I heard your statement, but I didn't hear the question."

Tuerk: "Well, I'm asking for the rationale just for the sale of the park land for hospitals when you can't sell it for other purposes. The basic Bill that he has provides for the se...lease or sale of land for other purposes pro-





viding that...that less...you know when the land is leased or sold, then the park district is getting some relief by the conveyance of other land for park purposes and apparently, Amendment 1 doesn't provide for that. And, if that be the case, I would have to be opposed to the Bill."

Ryan: "Well, this is...I still don't understand your question, Representative, and I'll be glad to come back and talk to you about it. This is..."

Tuerk: "Well, the basic question is this, George. That the present law provides that a park district cannot sell land to anyone without the fact that it's been decided that that land is no...no longer usable for park purposes. Now, what he is doing with his Bill is providing for the lease or sale of land providing that other land is obtainable for park purposes, but I don't see any provision such as that in Amendment #1."

Ryan: "No, there's no Amendment there. This is...this is basically to take care of a special problem in my district where the hospital wants to expand into a park area, and this is the easiest way to do it."

Tuerk: "Well, I understand your answer now, but I just can't understand why...why you're doing this. Other than to accommodate the hospital."

Ryan: "Well, I mean, I think that's a pretty good reason."

Tuerk: "Well, it will also affect the law, the statute books as it relates to any other park district throughout the State of Illinois. Downstate at least."

Tuerk: "Well, okay. Under 200,000 which is many, many counties throughout this state. I would...under the conditions of that Amendment providing that the hospital would...would supply some land to the park district. Then I could support it. Otherwise, I can't."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."



Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the previous question.

The question is 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor, indicate by saying 'Aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'No'. The 'Ayes' have it. Representative Schlickman to close."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker. Members of the House. With respect to Amendment #1, and the concern by the Gentleman from Peoria, please take into consideration that we're talking about authorizing a park district within its judgment pursuant to its purposes to sell or lease for consideration property to a hospital for public...for hospital purposes. A use which is consistent with the statutory authority of every unit of government to promote the health, welfare, morals, and safety of its residents. Now, with respect to the Bill as it was introduced, I neglected to mention to you at the very outset that House Bill 762 was the law of the State up until 2 years ago when it was amended by a Senate Bill, and I would like to read to you the statement of the Illinois Association of Park Districts and Illinois Park and Recreation Association relative to the need for House Bill 762. Quote 'It is the position of the IAPD and IPRA that Section 10-7 should be returned to the wording, which was in effect prior to the passage of PA 80-300. By so doing, park districts will again be able to occasionally sell or lease real estate to private entities if the restrictions, as I stated, are met. In addition, it is felt that title companies in court would then not construe the Section as a limitation against the transfer of real estate by park districts with sister local governments as authorized in the Municipal Transfer Act or as a limitation upon the transfer of real estate between local governments under the Inter-Governmental Cooperation Act or the inter-governmental powers granted under Section 10 of Article



7 of the Illinois Constitution, 1970. In conclusion, Mr. Speaker, members of the House, I would like to repeat that this Bill was caused to be introduced by the Illinois Association of Park Districts and Illinois Park and Recreation Association. There was no opposition to the Bill in Committee, and it was reported out with a recommendation 'do pass' without a descending vote. I very much and sincerely would appreciate...and do appreciate your favorable consideration. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor vote 'Aye'. Opposed vote 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. May I ask Representative Schlickman a question, please? Is it too late to ask a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Not for you, it isn't."

Hallstrom: "I thank you, Sir. I wanted to make sure..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman?"...

Hallstrom: "Representative Schlickman, is it not true that there has to be voter approval before the land can be sold? It says in our analysis that it does require voter approval."

Schlickman: "No. The Bill provides that the sale or lease in consideration for which those conditions would be met, can be without referendum, so long as those conditions are met. If a park district wants to sell land for any purpose without the restriction that there be comparable land or a continued use for public and recreational purposes. Then there has to be a referendum."

Hallstrom: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I rise for the purpose of an announcement."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, a little soon. We're...I'm about



ready to take a record on this."

Madigan: "Proceed."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. In this question there's 78 'Ayes', and 66 'No'. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Consideration Postponed, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Consideration Postponed. It's the intention of the Chair to, inasmuch as we have two o'clock Committee meetings, to adjourn as quickly as we can here. I will put Representative, is it Hoxsey or Totten's motion, if you desire, to vote...require 89 votes and the vote to suspend will require 107. I will entertain a motion to adjourn pretty soon, because I think we should be able to. I'll get that. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker. For the purpose of announcing the eighth grade class from St. Anthony's School in Effingham, They're seated in the gallery on the Democratic side of the aisle. They reside in the district represented by Representatives Brummer, Robbins, and Bower. And would they all stand for an introduction? St. Anthony's in Effingham. Stand up."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any announcements? Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "I have a motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Well, I thought we were gonna go to my motion that's been on file?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I...I will go to your motion. I... I'm going to entertain a motion to adjourn very shortly. I'm telling you that, too. But in the meantime, Representative Madigan sought recognition. I didn't whether he was going to tell me the House was on fire, or what was gonna happen."

Totten: "I thought he was going to adjourn."



Speaker Redmond: "It was not that. Representative Pierce.

I'll get back to you, Represen...Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker. Due to an inadvertence last week, the Chairman of Revenue Committee, myself, I posted House Bill 2767 at the request of the Majority Leader but neglected to campaign...the companion Bill. House Bill 2766. I understand the Majority Leader has discussed this problem with the leadership on the other side of the aisle. In order to have House Bill 2767, which was posted, heard with its companion, 2766, at the House Revenue Committee this afternoon, I move to suspend the Posting Rule as the House Bill 2766 so it could be heard by the House Revenue Committee this afternoon at two p.m. in Room 118. Ask unanimous consent."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have unanimous consent? Hearing no objection, unanimous consent is granted. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker. This same request in leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. We inadvertently failed, and our noon deadline passed to post 2574, 2575 for House <sup>Approp.</sup> I next week, and I talked to the Minority and they agree, and I would like to use the Attendance Roll Call for that purpose."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have unanimous consent? Hearing no objection, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call. Representative...on that one and on the previous one... Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a similar request. I would request the unanimous consent to hear House Bill 1707, House Bill 1375, and House Bill 1683 at the already posted meeting for the Judiciary I subcommittee on real estate tomorrow morning at 8 a.m. All these Bills are posted already for the full Committee that they may need a hearing by the subcommittee, and we will need leave to get that done in a timely fashion."



Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have unanimous consent? Hearing no objection, unanimous consent is granted, and the Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker. I have another request for leave to suspend the posting requirement and asking for unanimous consent for the Gasohol subcommittee of the House of Transportation Committee to hear House Bill 171, House Bill 338, House Bill 1611, and House Bill 464 at 9 a.m. next Tuesday, May 1, 1979."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have unanimous consent? What Committee was that?"

Dunn: "It's the House Transportation Committee. Subcommittee on Gasohol."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objection, unanimous consent is granted. The Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative Gene Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. In line with the preceding, I have a motion on the Calendar to post...to have heard tomorrow in Appropriations II, House Bill 2603, which was re-referred. I've cleared it with the...Minority Leader, and I would ask leave of the House for that."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Representative Barnes, what's the number of that Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Barnes."

Barnes: "That was 2603. I cleared it with you yesterday."

Ryan: "Did you...do you have a motion filed on that?"

Barnes: "I believe there's one was filed. It's on the Calendar."

Ryan: "It is on the Calendar?"

Barnes: "Yes, it is. I spoke with you about it yesterday. It's on Page 22. House Bill 2603. It was re-referred to Approp. II from Exec."



Ryan: "Are you on the Order of Motions now then, Mr. Spea...?  
You're asking for leave, not for the...?"

Barnes: "I was asking for leave. Yes."

Ryan: "What's your...can you hold that for just a minute."

Barnes: "Okay, I'll hold that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,  
I have leave to...I ask leave to change the necessary  
rules to cons...for the full House to consider House  
Bill 300. House Bill 300, as you remember, passed this  
House with an overwhelming majority. It's a habitual  
criminal/Act. Three time loser Bills...had 115 Sponsors.  
We must necessarily consider it in this House. I ask  
for your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Repres..."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I don't remember the Representative talking to me about  
that. Did you talk to me about that, Roman?"

Kosinski: "Yes. Two years in a row, George."

Ryan: "Two years ago?"

Kosinski: "Two years in a row. Uh-huh. Well, I have no ob-  
jection, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk points out that your motion is on  
the Calendar to discharge the Committee with respect to  
that Bill. What'd you say? Is that what this motion is  
now? He's got...take it...take it out of the record at  
the present time. Representative Ryan is...any other  
announcements? The Order of Motions. The Order of  
Motions if there's a motion with respect to House Joint  
Resolution 35. Representative Totten. Representative  
Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I rise under the rules for the purpose  
of a motion which has precedence, and I do this in no  
disrespect to Representative Totten's motion, because  
I know his motion will take some debate. I move



now to adjourn until tomorrow, April 26, at 5 p.m."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker. When Mr. Bradley was in the Chair, he promised us a hearing on this. When you came to the Chair,..."

Speaker Redmond: "You will get a hearing, but you're not going to get a hearing now. We're going to have to go to Committees at two o'clock. The Committees are meeting. We get back in here tomorrow and I'll call it. ...first thing. Like I think it's unfair to the membership to not let them have lunch, and not let them have the 15-minute break, so you will get your hearing tomorrow. The question is on Representative Matijevich's motion to adjourn till tomorrow at five o'clock. Those in favor indicate by saying 'Aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'No'. Those in favor, vote 'Aye'. Opposed, vote 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "According to the Speaker, I'd just like to clarify one matter at this time. I did not make any commitment from that Chair to Mr. Totten that that Bill or that motion would be called. There was no..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, whatever it may be it would've been called, but I think it's unfair to the Membership not to let 'em have whatever little bit you can get out of 15 minutes for lunch. I'll get to this matter tomorrow when we come in at five o'clock. Have all voted who wish? Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "I would respectfully like to ask if this...if this motion to adjourn prevails, does this mean that all motions listed on the Order of Business of Motions will be heard tomorrow if they are not heard right now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I can't guarantee that, but I will guarantee Representative Totten that I'll get to that, and I'll put that question."





Dyer: "Well, I would certainly like the privilege of having my motion heard, also, at the same time."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I'm not going to commit myself. The only thing that I can say, is you can look at the Calendar, you can see the shape we're in, you can see what is urgent and what is not urgent. The things that I feel that we have to do prior to May the fourth, I'm going to do. Now I don't know what motion you're talking about."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker. My motion directly deals with the problems that we face between now and May 4th. It proposes ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, let's cross that bridge when we get there. I'll go to that question tomorrow."

Dyer: "It's a very timely motion, and I'd like assurance that it will be considered."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker. Members of the House. This looks like it's gonna be a very close Roll Call. Let me remind you, Mr. Speaker, that what Representative Totten wants is simply to help us with our Committee work. As you and all the members are aware, the State Constitution mandates that we only spend as much money as we take in through our various taxes. And what Representative Totten wishes to do is have us adopt a Fiscal and Economic Commission's report on what our revenue sources would be. So how then, Mr. Speaker, can the Members of this Assembly function in Committees for the next two weeks when we have not officially adopted the revenue estimates from the Economic and Fiscal Commission?"

Speaker Redmond: "I'd thank you...thank you for your confining your remarks to the motion for adjournment, which is according to the rules. We thank you very much, Representative Telcser. Questions? Take the record. Take the record. On this question there's 88 'Aye' and 86 'No'."



The motion prevails. We stand adjourned till 5 o'clock tomorrow, and I will call Mr. Totten's motion at 5 o'clock tomorrow. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "We're in adjournment now."

Ryan: "...you have several Members on this side of the aisle that want to verify that Roll Call, and I...you should've recognized them. I...you're not the **king** there. You're just the Speaker, and these fellas have had their lights on and their hands up, and they're entitled to that under the rules of the House. And, if you're going to continue to prosecute them, Mr. Speaker, it's going to be a long Session."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that's all right. You'll get over it tomorrow. We're adjourned."



## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
APRIL 25, 1979

PAGE 1

HB-0060	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-0079	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-0082	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0171	MOTIONS	PAGE	62
HB-0225	3RD READING	PAGE	8
HB-0300	MOTIONS	PAGE	63
HB-0303	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0338	MOTIONS	PAGE	62
HB-0356	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-0375	3RD READING	PAGE	6
HB-0415	3RD READING	PAGE	6
HB-0446	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-0464	MOTIONS	PAGE	62
HB-0551	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-0586	3RD READING	PAGE	23
HB-0597	3RD READING	PAGE	27
HB-0611	3RD READING	PAGE	29
HB-0624	3RD READING	PAGE	33
HB-0628	3RD READING	PAGE	34
HB-0643	3RD READING	PAGE	36
HB-0657	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-0673	3RD READING	PAGE	39
HB-0679	3RD READING	PAGE	44
HB-0683	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-0684	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-0693	3RD READING	PAGE	46
HB-0736	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-0738	3RD READING	PAGE	47
HB-0741	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-0762	3RD READING	PAGE	50
HB-0815	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-0817	3RD READING	PAGE	9
HB-0823	3RD READING	PAGE	6
HB-0841	2ND READING	PAGE	17
HB-0895	3RD READING	PAGE	6
HB-0916	3RD READING	PAGE	10
HB-0963	2ND READING	PAGE	17
HB-0964	3RD READING	PAGE	6
HB-0985	2ND READING	PAGE	17
HB-1003	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-1018	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-1021	3RD READING	PAGE	6
HB-1025	2ND READING	PAGE	2

## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
APRIL 25, 1979

PAGE 2

HB-1028	3RD READING	PAGE	6
HB-1050	3RD READING	PAGE	6
HB-1051	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-1053	3RD READING	PAGE	10
HB-1060	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-1062	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-1065	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1067	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1069	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1071	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1072	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-1077	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1078	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1079	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1080	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1082	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1083	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1084	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1085	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1087	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1089	3RD READING	PAGE	11
HB-1090	3RD READING	PAGE	11
HB-1092	3RD READING	PAGE	6
HB-1095	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1097	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1098	3RD READING	PAGE	7
HB-1100	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1108	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1109	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1110	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-1111	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1123	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1131	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1156	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1157	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1159	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1160	3RD READING	PAGE	7
HB-1179	3RD READING	PAGE	7
HB-1184	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1186	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1190	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1193	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1197	3RD READING	PAGE	7

## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
APRIL 25, 1979

HB-1198	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1212	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1228	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-1253	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1254	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1257	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-1304	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1306	3RD READING	PAGE	7
HB-1309	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-1323	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-1325	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1357	3RD READING	PAGE	7
HB-1375	MOTIONS	PAGE	61
HB-1382	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-1445	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1446	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1447	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1453	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1543	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-1554	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1611	MOTIONS	PAGE	62
HB-1637	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-1683	MOTIONS	PAGE	61
HB-1707	MOTIONS	PAGE	61
HB-1733	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-1761	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-1774	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-1777	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1781	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1840	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-1913	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1914	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1934	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-1935	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-1936	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-1951	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1965	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1966	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1968	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1969	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1970	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1974	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1986	2ND READING	PAGE	6

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
APRIL 25, 1979

HB-1990	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1991	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-2053	2ND READING	PAGE	23
HB-2574	MOTIONS	PAGE	61
HB-2575	MOTIONS	PAGE	61
HB-2603	MOTIONS	PAGE	62
HB-2767	MOTIONS	PAGE	61

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
 APRIL 25, 1979

SUBJECT MATTER

REP. BRADLEY - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE
REVEREND KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE
SUSPEND RULE/POSTING NOTICE/HB 2767	PAGE
SUSPEND RULE/POSTING NOTICE/HB 2574	PAGE
SUSPEND RULE/POSTING NOTICE/HB 2575	PAGE
SUSPEND RULE/POSTING NOTICE/HB 1707	PAGE
SUSPEND RULE/POSTING NOTICE/HB 1375	PAGE
SUSPEND RULE/POSTING NOTICE/HB 1683	PAGE
SUSPEND RULE/POSTING NOTICE/HB 171	PAGE
SUSPEND RULE/POSTING NOTICE/HB 338	PAGE
SUSPEND RULE/POSTING NOTICE/HB 1611	PAGE
SUSPEND RULE/POSTING NOTICE/HB 464	PAGE
SUSPEND RULE/POSTING NOTICE/HB 2603	PAGE
HOUSE ADJOURNED	PAGE

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1	11:15	Speaker Bradley	House to order
		Rev. Krueger	Prayer
		Speaker Bradley	
		Ropp	Pledge of Allegiance
		Speaker Bradley	Roll Call for Attendance
		Friedrich	
		Speaker Bradley	
2		Madigan	Excused absences
		Speaker Bradley	
		Collins	
		Speaker Bradley	Consent Calendar, 2nd Rdg.
		Clerk O'Brien	Second Readings on H.B. 60, 79, 356, 1018, 1025, 1051, 1060, 1065, 1067, 1069, 1071, 1077, 1078, 1079, 1080, 1082, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1087, 1095, 1097, 1100, 1108, 1109, 1123, 1131, 1156, 1159, 1186, 1193, 1212, 1253, 1254, 1304, 1325, 1445, 1446, 1447, 1453, 1554, 1777, 1781, 1913, 1914, 1951, 1965, 1966, 1968, 1969, 1970, 1974, 1886, 1990.
6	11:31	Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Consent Calendar, 3rd Rdg. Third Reading on H.B. 375, 415, 823, 895, 964, 1021, 1028, 1050, 1092, 1098, 1160, 1179, 1197, 1306, 1357.
7	11:33	Speaker Bradley	Consent Calendar, 3rd Rdg. Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from Senate
		Speaker Bradley	





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	2.
		Clerk O'Brien	Committee reports	
8	11:37	Speaker Bradley	Short debate, 3rd Rdg.	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 225, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Dyer	Explains H.B. 225	
9		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 225, passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 817, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley		
		VonBoeckman	Explains	
10		Speaker Bradley		
		Brummer		
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 817, passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 916, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	Take out of record	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1053, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley		
	11:44	Catania	Explains	
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 1053, passed	
11		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1089, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Hoxsey	Explains H.B. 1089	
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 1089, passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1090, 3rd Rdg.	
12		Speaker Bradley		
		Davis	Explains	
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 1090, passed	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	3.
		Clerk O'Brien	House Bills, 2nd Reading Short debate, H.B. 82, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 303, 2nd Rdg.	
13		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2, H.B. 303, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Yourell	Explains, moves adoption	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Schlickman	Question	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Schlickman		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Yourell	Explains Amendment #2	
		Schlickman		
14		Speaker Bradley		
		Waddell	Yield?	
		Yourell	Discussion	
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 303, Amendment #2 adopted	
15		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 551, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 657, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 683, 2nd Rdg.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	3.
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 684, 2nd Rdg.	
16		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 736, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 815, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1, H.B. 815	
		Speaker Bradley		
		McPike	Explains Amendment #1	
17		Speaker Bradley	Amendment #1 adopted third reading	
	11:58	Madigan	Introduction	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 841, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 963, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
18		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 985, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1003, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1062, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1072, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1110, 2nd Rdg.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	4.
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1111, 2nd Rdg.	
19		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1157, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1184, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1190, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1198, 2nd Rdg.	
20		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1228, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1257, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1309, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1323, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1382, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1543, 2nd Rdg.	
21		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1637, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1733, 2nd Rdg.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			5.
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1761, 2nd Rdg.
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1774, 2nd Rdg.
22		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1840, 2nd Rdg.
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1934, 2nd Rdg.
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1935, 2nd Rdg.
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1936, 2nd Rdg.
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1991, 2nd Rdg.
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading
23		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 2053, 2nd Rdg.
	12:07	Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading, House Bills 3rd Reading, Priority of Call
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 586, 3rd Rdg.
		Speaker Bradley	
		Rigney	Explains
		Speaker Bradley	
		Schlickman	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Speaker Bradley	
		Ewing	Question
		Speaker Bradley	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	6.
		Ewing	Discussion	
24	12:10	Rigney		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Conti	Discussion	
25		Speaker Bradley		
		Rigney	To close	
	12:12	Speaker Bradley	H.B. 586	
		Ewing	Explains vote	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Rigney	Explains vote	
26		Speaker Bradley		
		Skinner	Explains Vote	
27		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 586, PPC	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 597, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Stuffle	Explains	
28		Speaker Bradley		
		Ebbesen	Yield?	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Ebbesen	Discussion	
		Stuffle		
		Speaker Bradley		
	12:20	Ebbesen		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Terzich		
29		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 597, passed	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			7.
		Clerk Hall	H.B. 611, 3rd Reading
		Speaker Bradley	
		Huskey	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Marovitz	Yield?
		Speaker Bradley	
	12:23	Marovitz	Discussion
30		Huskey	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Taylor	Introduction
		Speaker Bradley	
		Yourell	Yield?
		Speaker Bradley	
		Yourell	Discussion
		Huskey	
32		Speaker Bradley	
		Huskey	To close
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 611
	12:30	Getty	Explains vote
33		Speaker Bradley	Passed
		Clerk Hall	H.B. 624, 3rd Reading
		Speaker Bradley	
		Dunn, R.	Explains
34		Speaker Bradley	
		Birchler	
		Speaker Bradley	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	8.
		Mulcahey	Question	
		Dunn, R.		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Dunn, R.	To close	
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 624, passed	
		Clerk Hall	H.B. 628	
35		Speaker Bradley		
		Terzich		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Schlickman		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Terzich	To close	
36		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 628, passed	
		Conti	Introduction	
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 643	
		Clerk Hall		
		Skinner		
37		Speaker Bradley		
		Griesheimer	Support	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Kane	Yield?	
		Skinner		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Skinner	To close	
38		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 643, passed	
		Clerk Hall	H.B. 663, take out of record	





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	9.
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk Hall	H.B. 673, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Kane	Explains	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Vinson	Yield?	
		Kane		
39		Speaker Bradley		
		Vinson	Address Bill	
40		Speaker Bradley		
		Leinenweber	Yield?	
	12:46	Kane		
41		Speaker Bradley		
		Bullock	Supports H.B. 673	
42		Speaker Bradley		
		Katz		
43		Speaker Bradley		
		Gaines		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Kane	To close H.B. 673	
44	12:56	Speaker Bradley	Vote, H.B. 673, passed	
		Clerk Hall	H.B. 679, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Boucek	Explains	
45		Speaker Bradley		
		Conti		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
		Speaker Bradley		
		Boucek	To close	
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 679	
		Boucek		
		Speaker Bradley	PPC, H.B. 679	
46		Clerk Hall	H.B. 693, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Bradley		
	1:00	Marovitz	Explains	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Leinenweber	Question	
		Marovitz		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Marovitz	To close	
47		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 693, passed	
		Clerk Hall	H.B. 738, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Bradley		
	1:03	Yourell	Explains	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Huskey		
48		Speaker Bradley		
		Mugalian	Yield?	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Mugalian		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Griesheimer		
		Speaker Bradley		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	11.
		Yourell		
	1:06	Speaker Bradley	H.B. 738, passed	
49		Speaker Redmond		
		DiPrima		
		Rogers		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Vinson		
50		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk Hall	H.B. 762, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Schlickman		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Mautino		
51		Speaker Redmond		
		Mautino	Discussion	
		Schlickman		
52		Speaker Redmond		
	1:14	Deuster	Discussion	
		Schlickman		
53		Speaker Redmond		
		Mugalian	Discussion	
		Schlickman		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Hallock	Discussion	
		Schlickman		
54		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	1:18	Marovitz	Discussion
		Schlickman	
55		Speaker Redmond	
		Tuerk	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Tuerk	Discussion
56		Schlickman	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Discussion
		Tuerk	
57		Speaker Redmond	
		Ebbesen	MPQ
	1:27	Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	To close on H.B. 762
58		Speaker Redmond	H.B. 762
		Hallstrom	Question
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	
59		Speaker Redmond	H.B. 762, Postponed Consideration
		Madigan	Announcement
		Speaker Redmond	
		Pierce	Motion
		Speaker Redmond	
		Totten	
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			13.
60		Pierce	Suspend Posting Rule
	1:33	Speaker Redmond	Granted
		Matijevich	Suspend Posting Rule
	1:36	Speaker Redmond	Leave
		Dunn, J.	
61		Speaker Redmond	
		Dunn, J.	Suspend Posting Request
		Speaker Redmond	
		Dunn, J.	
		Speaker Redmond	Leave
		Barnes, G.	Suspend Posting Rule
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Question
		Barnes, G.	
62		Speaker Redmond	
	1:39	Kosinski	Leave to consider H.B. 300
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	
		Kosinski	
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record, motions House Joint Resolution 35
		Matijevich	Motion, moves adjourn
63		Speaker Redmond	
		Vinson	
		Speaker Redmond	House adjourns, vote on Acts
		Bradley	
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	14.
64		Dyer	Question	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Telcser		
	1:45	Speaker Redmond	House adjourns	
65		Ryan		
		Speaker Redmond		

