

Doorkeeper (Emery Koehler): "Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in 5 minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order and the Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. I've been asked to say a prayer for former Representative Frank Smith of Chicago, who died recently. Let us pray. O God, whose mercy cannot be numbered, accept our prayers on behalf of the soul of Thy servant, Frank, departed, and grant him an entrance into the land of light and joy in the fellowship of Thy saints through Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen. May he rest in peace and may light perpetual shine upon him. David Josiah Brewer wrote, 'For it is written by the finger of the Almighty and the everlasting tablets of the universe that no nation can endure and prosper into and through whose life does not run the thread...the gold thread of equal, exact and universal justice. Let us pray. Lord God Almighty, we are come together this day in our lives as Members of this House of Representatives to enact such legislation as may be fitting and proper for the State of Illinois. Guide us, we beseech Thee, O Lord, to paths that will provide justice and equality, fairness and discipline, harmony and appeal so that those who must live under the impact of our laws may feel secure, at peace and in harmony with Thy Divine plan. Through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "...Approval of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wednesday, November 2, 1977, the House met pursuant to adjournment. Speaker in the Chair. Prayer by Father William Krueger, Chaplain. By the direction of the Speaker, a Roll Call was taken to ascertain the attendance of Members as follows..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal, and the Journals #94, #95, #96 and #97 of November 2, 3, 4 and 7 of 1977 be approved as read."



Special Session will come to order. Representative...approval of the Journal, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal, and the Journals #3, 4, 5 and 6 of November 2, 3, 4 and 7 of 1977, be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any questions? Hearing none, the question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. The Journals approved; and the Second Special Session will stand in recess until the adjournment of the First Special Session. It's about 3:30. Let the record show that the Chairman of the Energy Resources Commission is in the chamber. The Chairman of the Energy Resources Commission is in the chamber. The Chairman of the Energy Resources Commission is in the chamber. Representative Mann, when we're recessed, I don't know which Body you're addressing now; but Representative Mann..."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, whether we're in recess or not you're still our Speaker and a darn good one. I just wanted to announce that immediately after recess that the Judiciary I Committee would meet in Room C1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that now?"

Mann: "If we're through, that's now."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, we're in recess now."

Mann: "Okay, would Members please come to Room C1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Inquiry of the Chair. Is there any motion for suspension of the rules?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, he's just announcing that we're not...we're not in Session now, and he's announcing a meeting of the Committee."

Madigan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm...is this the...the 11 o'clock meeting that was posted on the notice?"

Mann: "We were in recess, Mr. Majority Leader, at 11 o'clock."

Madigan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "I think...Representative Barnes."

Madigan: "...As I understand it, there's no Bill before the Committee."

Mann: "There's a subject matter before the Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "I think some of the...some of the Committee were under



Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Journals are approved. Introduction, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2527, Giorgi et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2528, Giorgi et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, will you let the record show that John Porter, Representative Porter is absent because of illness?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, the record will so show. Representative Madigan, do you have any?"

Madigan: "Are we on the Roll Call for attendance, Mr. Speaker? That's the time when we normally offer excused absences."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, he just got off Amtrak, and he ran over here."

Madigan: "Mr. Ryan did?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah. The first...the Regular Session will stand in recess until the call of the chair, which will be about 3:30 or 4 o'clock. I understand that some of the Committees have work that they have to do. We will bring the First Special Session...the hour of 1:10 having arrived, the First Special Session will come to order. Approval of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for Wednesday, November 2, 1977, the House met pursuant to adjournment, the Speaker in the chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and the Journals #3, 4, 5 and 6 of November 2, 3, 4 and 7, 1977 be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the motion. Is there any questions? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for approval of the Journal. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Journal is approved. The First Special Session will stand in recess until after the Regular Session adjourns. The Second Special Session, the hour of 1:20 having arrived, the Second



the impression that they would have this afternoon for Committee hearings, and they had posted...and they had set their schedules that way and they think that 1 o'clock meeting of the Regular Session kind of hasn't taken that into consideration; and that's one reason why we're standing until 3:30 or 4 o'clock. Representative Barnes."

Barnes, E.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Just an announcement. If there's any of the Appropriations II Committee Members within sound, the Appropriations II Committee will meet immediately in Room 114. It had recessed from this morning and it will convene immediately in Room 114."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, the Rules Committee is meeting after adjournment today; but that is not at the present recess. It will be after the final recess that we have at the end of the day. Similarly there are two meetings of Subcommittees of the Judiciary II Committee. I see that they are conferring over there now; but the notice says it will be after adjournment. I will leave to those individuals to work out that problem but at least as regards the Rules Committee that will be after the final adjournment later this afternoon or when we do adjourn, and it will be in the Speaker's Office then. Similarly, the Judiciary Subcommittees, I think, will be later in the day to make sure that everyone who has not gotten here yet will have an opportunity to be present if they desire to. That will be after the adjournment later this afternoon."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you explain our posture at this time?"

Speaker Redmond: "We're in recess until the call of the chair, which will be about 3:30."

Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "What happened is that there was a mix-up between the floor Session and some of the Committees, and...so we had to recess and let them continue the work which they had started this morning. Representative Katz."

Katz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Assign...assigned two other Bills, House Bill 37 and House Bill 38, of the First Special Session



since we will probably only be meeting this week, may I have leave to here those at the Judiciary II meeting, which will be taking place at 9:30 a.m. on Thursday morning here in Room 114."

Speaker Redmond: "It would seem more appropriate to get that leave after we convene again because we're really in recess now."

Katz: "All right, perfectly agreeable, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. The Members please be in their seat. Representative Wall is in the chamber. You weren't at 1 o'clock if you really want to...Roll Call for attendance. Introduction, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2529, Mulcahey, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the record show that Representative McLendon is excused because of his attendance at a conference of insurance Legislators, and that...and that Representative Pechous is excused?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, the absences will be excused. The order of business is Total Veto Motions. Total Veto Motion 281. Representative Hart? Out of the record. 413, Representative Richmond. Out of the record. Reduction Veto Motions appearing on page 3, Senate Bill 1302, Representative Brandt. Representative Terzich? Representative Terzich? Representative Brandt is recognized."

Brandt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the Legislature, I concur with the Senate to...to override the Governor's veto of Senate Bill 1302. And I move that the items on page 1, lines 20 through 26, of the Senate Bill 1302 be restored. The Space Needs Commission has...as amended clearly states that this Commission is to acquire the land within the capital complex as described in this Act for the future development of and expansion of the capital complex and its related facilities. Historically, the Commission has had...\$2,500,000 of land acquisition in their budget for 1977-'78. And Senate Bill 1302 which was the Space Needs Commission appropriation it contained



\$1,000,000 of reappropriated Capital Development Board bond funds, and \$1,500,000 of new bond funds. This amount of funds is absolutely necessary as in many cases there is a carry-over from fiscal year to fiscal year with negotiations of...parcels of land, plus...plus also there were...there have been 4 cases of condemnation in the courts. Two have recently been settled, and two more are in litigation within...within the courts. Senate Bill 1302 passed this House 140 to nothing; and we...140 to nothing. We started negotiations there for nine other pieces of property. The Governor in signing Senate Bill 1302 removed by line items reductions of about \$714,000 of the Capital Development bond board...bond fund. In doing so, he left the Commission at a disadvantage because the property in negotiations had carry-over from the last year...last fiscal year into the current year, and also let the court's orders comes down on the condemnation suit...the Commission must have the necessary funds available to pay for the property. About two weeks ago, we talked to 'Doug Manderville' in Fletcher, and they agreed with us to restore the amount...to restore the amount. And they informed us that the Governor has no objections. I talked to the Leaders on both sides of...of the aisle, and they have no objections to the restoration of these items of reduction. I would appreciate a favorable vote and we need 89 votes for the passage of this Senate Bill 1302. The Senate passed this Bill 46 to 1. I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Telcser: "Representative Brandt, I recall last week you came by and talked with me and did say that the Governor's Office was now glad to support your motion to override."

Brandt: "That is correct, Representative."

Telcser: "...and I'm trying to call now to be sure that situation is the same. There seems to be a little confusion as to the Governor's position."

Speaker Redmond: "So what's new?"

Telcser: "I hate to...I hate to ask you to take it out of the record; but,



frankly, I don't know just what to do at this moment."

Brandt: "Well, I'd be willing to take it out of the record, Representative because two weeks ago we met with 'Doug Manderville' in Fletcher in regards to the restoration of this amount of money; and they agreed with us that we should put that through. They will not object to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is, shall the reduced item of appropriation on page 1, lines 20 through 26, of Senate Bill 1302 be restored to its original amount notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. It requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in this world of uncertainty there has to be something that we can anchor our beliefs to. Now, if the Governor's changed his mind, it's unfortunate because he was right the first time when he vetoed this \$700,000 item. As I've said before, notwithstanding its superior membership, the Space Needs Commission is the menace to every Legislator in this House, except those that live here in Jonesville. They are not dedicated to the improvement of your district. They're dedicated to the building of more and more monuments in Sangamon County. And one more federal...state expense will sink the whole county; and it's time for you to recognize that you represent areas far removed from Sangamon County. The money that they're going to spend here could better be spent in Kankakee County or Wheaton County. Don't be afraid to vote 'no' because as I started out the Governor was right the first time around, regardless of what his position is now."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 88 'ayes' and 47 'no'...Representative Brandt."

Brandt: "Mr. Speaker, in regards to the last speaker in regards to this appropriation, I wish I..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Brandt: "...I would like to advise Representative Cunningham that the Space



Needs Commission can only procure property within the capital complex; and it has no authority to going outside the capital complex. Therefore, we need this...we need this money in order to carry on our work to be able to do...to build additional buildings here and to buy additional buildings. And that is the reason why...that is the reason why I'd like to have this Bill passed because it's absolutely essential that we carry on with the work that we have started and we have nine different pieces of property at the present time and we...negotiating with these people and we need this money in order to go be able to purchase these properties within this complex. Now, I'd appreciate it if we'd get enough votes to pass this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, would...that's a wrong vote up there, would you change my vote from 'no' to 'aye', please?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman...Representative Mulcahey desires to have his vote recorded 'aye'. Representative Conti. Representative Conti desires to be recorded as 'aye'. How many are there now, Mr. Clerk? Well, I haven't...Representative Byers desires to be recorded 'aye'. Representative Madison 'aye'. How many, Mr. Clerk? On this question there's 92 'aye'...Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "In the name of fiscal responsibility, I request a verification of the affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Representative Brandt has requested a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Antonovych, Bartulis, Rich Brummer, Campbell, Christensen, John Dunn, Epton, Gaines, Kucharski, Lauer, McAuliffe, McBroom, McLendon, Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, O'Brien, Pechous, Peters, Porter, Rigney, Satterthwaite, Stearney, Tipsword, Totten, Winchester and Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, proceed with the verification. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I would request that the Members be in their seats, that the Clerk call the Roll deliberatively, and that the



Members present upon the calling of their names raise their hands."

Speaker Redmond: "Members please be in your seats. There'll be a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Members please be in their seats. Mr. Hudson, Representative Schlickman has requested that you be in your seat. Mr. Deuster; Representative Lauer, Representative Schlickman has requested that you be in your seat. Representative Mahar, Representative Catania, Representative Jones, Representative Campbell, Representative Cunningham, Representative Schlickman desires that you be in your seat and that's under the rules. Representative ...Representative Friedrich, Representative Kozubowski...proceed... Representative Dyer, Representative Dyer, you're supposed to be in your seat, we're having a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Train leaves for Houston tomorrow. Representative Schlickman is now satisfied, proceed with the verification. Representative Mautino, you're supposed to be in your seat. Proceed, deliberately."

Clerk O'Brien: "Deliberatively, E. M. Barnes, Beatty, Birchler, Bowman, Bradley, Brady, Brandt, Breslin..."

Speaker Redmond: "Permission has not been granted to photograph. Representative Ebbesen. Somebody with a camera there, the red light is not on."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Don Brummet, Byers...Caldwell, Capparelli, Chapman, Conti, Darrow, Corneal Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Friedland, Friedrich, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Harris, Hart, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Huff, Huskey, Jacobs, Jaffe, Dave Jones, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz, Kelly, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leverenz, Levin, Lucco, Luft, Madigan, Madison..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz desires to be verified. Maybe...and there's a lost Coke here on the Republican side, a lost Coke."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Mann, Marovitz, Peggy Smith Martin, Matejek, Matijevich, Matula, Mautino, McAvoy, McClain, McGrew, McPike, Meyer, Mudd, Mulcahey, Murphy, Nardulli, Pierce, Pouncey, Richmond, Pierce, Robinson, Schisler, Schneider, Sharp, Shumpert, Steczo, Stuffle, Taylor, Terzich, Van Duyne, Vitek, Von Boeckman, Wall, Wikoff, Williams,



Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the affirmative Roll Call? Representative Willer."

Willer: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to change my 'no' vote to 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer desires to be changed from 'no' to 'yes'. Representative Lauer. Representative Lauer, the Gentleman from Logan, desires to be recorded as 'no'. Representative Sandquist. Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Mr. Speaker, change my vote from 'present' to 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Sandquist from 'present' to 'yes'. Representative McBroom. McBroom."

McBroom: "Yes. Record me 'no', Mr. Speaker, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom desires to be recorded as 'no'. Any further questions? Representative Klosak desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Christensen desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Mugalian 'aye'. Representative Robert Walsh 'aye'. Representative Flinn...Representative Taylor...Representative Wyvetter Younger, record the Lady as 'aye'. Flinn says he's all right. Representative Catania 'aye'. Any further questions of the affirmative Roll Call, Representative Schlickman? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "What is the number, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "What is the count, Mr. Clerk? 100 'ayes'."

Schlickman: "Representative Bowman?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman is in his chair."

Schlickman: "Representative Bradley?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley is in the back there..."

Schlickman: "Representative Don Brummet?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Don Brummet is in his seat."

Schlickman: "Representative Lewis Caldwell?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell is in his chair."

Schlickman: "Is he here?"

Speaker Redmond: "Caldwell? Yes."

Schlickman: "Representative Capparelli? I see him."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Schlickman: "Representative Dawson?"



Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Schlickman: "Representative Domico?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Schlickman: "Representative Doyle?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Doyle is in his chair."

Schlickman: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Farley? Representative Farley? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schlickman: "Representative Garmisa?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa as usual is in his seat."

Schlickman: "Representative Getty?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schlickman: "Representative Holewinski? I see him. Representative James Houlihan."

Speaker Redmond: "James Houlihan? Dan Pierce, is that James Houlihan sitting there? There he is...there he is."

Schlickman: "Representative Huff?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Schlickman: "Representative Huff?"

Speaker Redmond: "James Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Huff? No, he's changed his mind. Representative Huff, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schlickman: "Representative Jaffe?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe is in his seat...conferring with Giorgi."

Schlickman: "Representative Kane?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane? He's over here."

Schlickman: "Representative Katz?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz? May Representative Dan Houlihan be



verified?"

Schlickman: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz, is he in his chair? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schlickman: "Representative Luft?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Luft is in his seat. Representative Gaines, for what purpose do you arise?"

Gaines: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Gaines: "Change me to 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to 'aye'."

Schlickman: "Representative Marovitz?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz is here."

Schlickman: "Representative...Representative Mautino?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino? Mautino? I understand he's in my office, we'll go and get him."

Schlickman: "Representative McGrew?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew? Is Representative McGrew...how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Representative Dan O'Brien, for what purpose do you arise? He desires to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Schlickman: "Representative Mudd."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd is in the center aisle."

Schlickman: "Representative Schisler?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is in his seat."

Schlickman: "Representative Schneider?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Schneider here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schlickman: "Representative Von Boeckman?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat. Representative Mautino is here,



Representative Schlickman. Representative Schlickman?"

Schlickman: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Mautino is here."

Schlickman: "No more questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "What is the count? Representative McGrew has returned to the chamber, you can put him back on."

Clerk O'Brien: "97 'ayes'..."

Speaker Redmond: "97 'ayes'..."

Clerk O'Brien: "...44 'nos'."

Speaker Redmond: "...44 'nos'; and the motion having received the Constitutional Majority prevails, and the item on page 1, lines 20 through 26 on Senate Bill 1302 is restored to its original amount notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor. Reduction and Item Veto Motions, Senate Bill 437. Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Page 5, Amendatory Veto Motions, Senate Bill 23. Representative Emil Jones. Representative Jones, do you want that out of the record? Take 203 out of the record. 473, Representative Peggy Smith Martin. On the matter of Amendatory Vetoes and the Motions with respect to Senate Bill 473, the motion is to accept the Governor's specific recommendations by adoption of the Amendment. Representative Martin."

Martin, P.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Schlickman: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "You're not taking these motions in numerical order."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian says that this is not...Second Reading and that's...there's no requirement in the rules that we take them in order. What one do you have...complaining about specifically?"

Schlickman: "Well, I would...I would simply be satisfied if you would take them in numerical order..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I thought I..."

Schlickman: "...The Sponsor...."



Speaker Redmond: "...23...23 has to be taken out of the record, and 203 was out of the record at the request of the Sponsor, and 473 is the next in sequence, appearing on page 5."

Schlickman: "...Not by my Calendar, you start on page 3 at Senate Bill 23 and then you jumped to page 5, 473. There's more than a full page..."

Speaker Redmond: "I think...I think that you're looking at Amendatory Vetoes, I don't think there's any motion in respect to those. Unlike the Senate, the Senate doesn't list the motions, but the House does."

Schlickman: "So you and I are operating by different Calendars, I guess, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, let me see. What date is today?"

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Was I right or wrong?"

Schlickman: "You were partially right and partially wrong, and I was partially wrong and partially right."

Speaker Redmond: "Half...half gray and half bald. Okay, proceed Representative Martin."

Martin, P.: "Thank you, again, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendation for change to Senate Bill 473. Senate Bill 473 is that the Amendment prohibiting the cancellations of policy of automobile insurance or policy of fire and extended coverage if the sole reason for such cancellation is the payment by the insurance company of a claim or claims against that policy. And the Governor's recommendation was that no notice or cancellation of any policy of insurance shall be effective unless mailed by the company of the named insurer at the last mailing address known by the company by certified...a mailing on U. S. Post Office various number forms. Mr. Speaker, I move that...that we concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, would the...would the Sponsor again repeat just what this veto was and what the Bill does please? What I've been able to hear...it was..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jane Barnes, are you seeking recognition?"



Martin, P.: "Thank you again, and I will answer that question. Senate Bill 473 prohibits insurance...automobile insurance companies from the cancellation of a policy of fire extended coverage for the sole reason that the payment of the insurance company of a claim is against such policy. What the Governor recommended is that the notices or cancellations of any policies of insurance shall not be effective unless mailed by the company of the name insured at the last known mailing address and it must go out by certified mail."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 473 by adoption of the Amendment? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Will the Parliamentarian come here, please? David? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 153 'aye' and 1 'no'... Representative Madison."

Madison: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, we have a Page here with a can of Pepsi and some pretzels and he can't seem to find... can't seem to find who ordered it."

Speaker Redmond: "There's a lost can of Pepsi and what else?"

Madison: "Pretzels."

Speaker Redmond: "Pretzels, Pepsi and Pretzels."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's on that diet? This motion having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority prevails; and the House accepts the Governor's proposal. 964 out of the record. 1299, Representative Steczo."

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 1299 stipulates that if a Bill authorizes capital expenditures or appropriate funds for capital expenditures, a statement should be prepared by the Capital Development Board, which includes any principal and interest payments required to finance such capital expenditures. The Governor recommended a change from the Capital Development Board to the Bureau of the Budget. The Senate concurred with that recommendation; and I move that the House do concur with the Governor's recommendations for change."



Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 1299 by adoption of the Amendment? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 14...150 'aye' and no 'nay'; and the motion having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's proposal. 1324. Representative Hart. Representative Hart."

Hart: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill has to do with the signing of a consent for adoption. The original Bill would've required that the consent be signed before the Circuit Judge, who would be hearing the eventual petition, the Governor's Amendatory Veto would say that the consent could be signed before any Circuit Judge. And I think it's a good change. It would facilitate handling of these matters; and I urge the support and adoption of the change suggested by the Governor."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 1324 by adoption of the Amendment? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 155 'aye' and no 'nay'; and the motion having received three-fifths Constitutional Majority prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's proposal. On the Speaker's Table, on the Speaker's Table appears House Resolution 80. Representative Yourell. Out of the record. 85, Peggy Smith Martin. Not in her seat, out of the record. 150, Representative Kucharski. Representative Kucharski, out of the record. 154, Representative Taylor. Out of the record. 158, Giorgi and Hanahan. Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Forget it. What is it, Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "House Resolution 158. Out of the record. House Resolution 213, Representative Giorgi. Out of the record. House Resolution 457, Representative Steczo. Out of the record. House Joint Resolution 26, Representative Stearney. Out of the record. On Motions,



House Bill 841, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a motion to take from the table and suspend Rule 68(e), House Bill 841 dealt with the relieving for senior citizens of paying trailer fees in the state park area. I understand that all the problems have been resolved. This was tied up in the closing minutes of the Session, and I would like to have a second Conference Committee appointed so we can get this resolved and see if we can get it passed. And I would urge support of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that we suspend Rule 68(e) to take House Bill 841 from the table. Any discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 841 as it was originally introduced and as it was passed by this House was a clean-up Bill proposed by the Law Revision Commission deleting reference to the Superintendent of Parks. In the Senate the Bill was subjected to an Amendment of a substantive nature dealing with the subject of fees. I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker, that the Senate Amendment is not germane; and I would ask for a ruling."

Speaker Redmond: "Where is this Bill now? Is it in Conference Committee? To rule on the question of that Amendment, it would have to await the ...the report of the Second Conference Committee. So...there's nothing before this Body now, except your motion to reactivate the Bill. Now, I assume that it's...what should it be? 107 votes and then a move for a Second Conference Committee, is that correct? If the motion passes...so the question's on the Gentleman's motion to suspend the rule, which will ultimately permit the appointment of a Second Conference Committee. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted...Representative Terzich. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I don't understand any of the green lights up there. As a matter of fact, I notice they're from the other side of the aisle. This Amendment was put on by the Governor's Office for consideration for the senior citizens; and it did get tied up. And this is the only stage that the Bill is in; and all I'm asking



for is a completion of this here Conference Committee, and then you can vote on the Bill up or down after a Conference Committee report. And I would appreciate your support just for the hearing of this."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Sub-Parliamentarian advises us that 68(e) requires 89. Have all voted who wished? Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Will you please switch me from 'present' to 'aye', please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. The voting is open, I guess, Representative Bluthardt. Isn't your switch still open? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I'm not sure we all should be voting in favor of a Second Conference Committee here if indeed the administration still wants to do what it wanted to do in the First Conference Committee. So take a look at Senate Amendment 1. This is the Bill that'll allow the state park...to charge senior citizens to camp on weekends. Now, you may want to do that or you may not; but that was the thrust if I remember correctly of what the Conference Committee came out with. I don't know what the Sponsor has in mind the second time; but people ought to know that."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 107...108 'aye' and 42 'no'; and the motion carries. Representative...Representative Terzich, do you now desire to move that...for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee?"

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Chairman."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee with respect to House Bill 841. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Second Conference Committee will be reported. 845. Representative Greiman. Out of the record. 933, Representative DiPrima. Representative DiPrima. 933. Motion to suspend Rule 68(d), is that correct?"

DiPrima: "Yes."



Speaker Redmond: "Where is this Bill now?"

DiPrima: "Well, due to a...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, during the mass confusion at the end of the Session the Bill was inadvertently muffed over in the Senate on...Conference meeting. And all we're trying to do is get it back over there to have it reconsidered and there won't be any problem with it because this is a Bill to help the paraplegic veterans who are currently getting a 15 percent tax exemption on the real estate tax, which in actuality they're paying nothing; and this would insure the future because with the real estate valuations going up the way they are...it's just to keep them in the same category and actually it don't cost the state or anybody anything. I would appreciate an affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Schlickman. Representative Hoffman...Hoffman. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Parliamentary inquiry. How can we be asking for a second Conference Committee when one has been appointed previously."

DiPrima: "Yeah, it wasn't resolved."

Speaker Redmond: "The Bill is in...the Bill is in Conference, the Parliamentarian advises me that the rule killed the Bill and that the motion to take it from the table in order that we may appoint a Second Conference Committee so that the already appointed Second Conference Committee may report."

Schlickman: "May I speak to the motion, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we are being asked with this Bill as we were asked with a previous Bill to revise an issue that could not be resolved in the Spring Regular Session. In one case a Bill that was simply revisory in nature, it left this House simply revisory in nature, went to the Senate where a substantive Amendment was put on. We rejected concurrence with the Senate Amendment. The First House...the First Conference Committee did not succeed. We have a similar situation here. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we've got enough problems to



resolve of an emergency nature, rather than trying to revive issues that couldn't be resolved in the Spring Regular Session. Now, I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, a lot of mischief can result from the handling of Bills in this matter. With all due deference to the Sponsor of this Bill, the movement, and with all due respect to what merits there may be in the Bill, I think reconsideration of this measure at this time is untimely and I respectfully suggest that the motion be defeated. And if there is merit to the proposition, that it be revived by the regular process of reintroducing the Bill and having it considered next year; and I urge your 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any further discussion? Representative DiPrima to close."

DiPrima: "Yes, Gene, I mean, this is actually, you know, to help out these paraplegic veterans, the guys that were shot prac...practically to pieces, they're on wheelchairs. And what it does, is like I say, it goes from 15 percent, they're presently getting a 15 percent reduction on their tax exemption. This would bring it up to 30 percent...this Amendment was given to me by Bud Washburn. So it's got to be all right, I mean...and it doesn't cost the state anything. Presently, the paraplegic veterans aren't paying any taxes. They have specially built homes that the Veterans Administration built for them, but with the valuation of property going up the way it is this is...insure the continuation of not taxing them. And I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "What's your...for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, arise?"

Schlickman: "Point of privilege."

Speaker Bradley: "State your point."

Schlickman: "The Gentleman said that this measure would not cost anything. The fiscal note indicates that the revenue lost to local governments would run approximately \$3,000,000 to \$3,500,000."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yeah. No, Gene, you talk to 'Walter Castleman' from the Department of Veterans Affairs, and he'll show you it doesn't cost



nothing. God strike me dead. 'Walter Castleman' told me that. It won't cost nothing. The Veterans Administration isn't paying anything right now...these paraplegic veterans rather."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?"

Geo-Karis: "I wonder, Mr. Speaker, if I could address a question to the Sponsor?"

Speaker Bradley: "You may proceed."

Geo-Karis: "I can't find a copy of it. Could you just give me in one sentence what it really is all about, that's all. I couldn't quite hear him before because it was so noisy."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative DiPrima, Mrs. Geo-Karis was wondering if you could give one or two sentences as an explanation of the legislation, I believe, is what she asked."

Geo-Karis: "Right. He's not on."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. DiPrima."

Geo-Karis: "Turn him on."

Speaker Bradley: "He's on."

DiPrima: "About eight years ago, I introduced a piece of legislation that...whereby the state granted a \$5,000...and the government gave a \$10,000 tax exemption on all paraplegic veteran real estate tax. Now, the government has come out with an additional \$15,000. The paraplegic veterans are presently paying no taxes; and 'Walter Castleman', Bud Washburn's administrative assistant, has told me that it won't cost the veterans anymore than it does now, which is absolutely nothing. It don't cost the state anything. And I've already got the approval of Tom Tulley from the Cook County Assessor of Cook... Assessor of Cook County."

Geo-Karis: "All I want to know is are you asking for an exemption, is that it? An increase in exemption? What does the Bill do? That's all I'm asking...is that what it is?"

DiPrima: "Yeah."

Geo-Karis: "...Thank you, Mr. DiPrima."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."



Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it...does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DiPrima, wish to close?"

DiPrima: "Yeah. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this only affects about 300 paraplegic members in the whole State of Illinois. Now, they're scattered throughout the state, the majority of them being up in Cook County. And Tom Tulley, County Assessor of Cook, has assured me he's behind this piece of legislation. I would appreciate an affirmative vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion regarding House Bill 933. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 131 'ayes', 6 'nays', 1 voting 'present'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails. On the Calendar under Motions appears House Bill 1468. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1468 is presently in the Cities and Villages Committee in the Order of...on the Order of Interim Study. Now, this Bill was considered in the Spring Session of the General Assembly and passed out of the House with a vote of 115 to 7. And it went to the Senate, it was passed out of that House, that Body with a vote of 54 to nothing. But they put Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 1468, and we had a problem with that Senate Amendment with the Corporation Council, the City of Chicago and others; and we didn't have time to go into Conference and discuss the Amendment. So I move to place the Bill in Interim Study so it could be alive and given time to discuss the Amendment and to bring it back on the floor of the House. Now, House Bill 1468 is a very important piece of legislation if you are a local government person, particularly in the county area. It amends the Local Governmental...Governmental Tort Immunity Act to expand the permissible purposes for which the insurance levy may be used. The Bill is desirable and necessary because liability insurance is becoming increasingly unavailable.



You all know that. Currently the insurance levy can be used only to purchase liability insurance or to pay judgments. With many local governments involuntarily becoming uninsured, statutory authority is needed to enable local governments to use the levy for things that insurance companies normally do. Such things would include the settlement of judges before law suit is filed, and the undertaking of risk management programs. Such activities in the long-run are expected to reduce insurance rates. In addition, local governments will be specifically authorized to use the insurance levy to self-insure and to pay for workmen's compensation. Now, you know that through this past Session and the last Session we considered all kinds of legislation dealing with workmen's compensation. This deals with it in a specific manner and that...allowing units of local government to levy for this purpose. Although the workmen's compensation law replaced tort, that's liability litigation, workmen's compensation is not considered a liability coverage. That's how many units of government are apparently illegally using the levy to pay for the recent mandatory applications for workmen's compensation. Now, since we put this legislation in Interim Study, we have had many...many letters from County Chairman and County Board Members throughout the 102 counties of the state, plus memorandum from many presidents, trustees and aldermen and those engaged in the business of local government. And they were very distressed when I put this Bill in Interim Study. And they're now asking us to bring this Bill out on the floor of the House to nonconcur in the Senate Amendment and go to Conference and get the Bill passed. Now, this Bill has the endorsement of the Urban County Council, the Insurance Laws Study Commission and many other organizations in the State of Illinois, but particularly local governments, including counties. Now, that's exactly what the Bill does. Many of you voted for it when it was presented on Third Reading; and, of course, it came out of the Senate without a dissenting vote. I'd be happy to answer any questions that you might have; and I would appeal to Representative Epton, if he's here, to aid me in this cause because he is the one Gentleman that was the Cosponsor of the



legislation, and has done a lot of work since we put the Bill in Interim Study to get it out. If there's any questions, I'd be delighted to try to answer them for you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Would the Gentleman yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Tipsword: "Now, if this comes out of Committee, what does it...what does it go to? Second Reading upon the floor of the House?"

Yourell: "No, it will be in the position of Third Reading. What I will ...with this motion to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, at which time it will go into Conference."

Tipsword: "Then it would go into Conference, and then in Conference all the Amendments that couldn't go on the Unemployment Comp' Bill when it was before the House can go on this Bill?"

Yourell: "Well, I can't tell you, Rolland, about that. I would assume that's the correct position. I would hope we would consider this in the text in which it was drafted and presented to the House and to the Senate."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Yourell: "But those Amendments would be, I'm advised, be non...were not germane to the legislation because this is an Amendment to the Tort Immunity Act, and that is all."

Tipsword: "Doesn't this also cover in here workmen's comp' and unemployment comp' in the language of this Bill?"

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon me, Mr. Tipsword?"

Yourell: "This...all this does is provide a mechanism for local units of government to insure."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Yourell, so that the Chair and you can be on the same plane here, it's our opinion if this motion prevails...what we have done is nonconcurrred with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1468, and the Bill would be returned to the Senate, which could either recede or refuse to recede and ask for a Conference Committee. That's where we are. What? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "I wonder if Buz would yield for a question or two?"



Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Bluthardt: "Buz, as I recall, the...the tax levy permitted for insurance under court immunity has no...no limitation on it, has it?"

Yourell: "I...that's what the Amendment did, Ed, the Senate Amendment put a \$500 limit on the claim; and if that claim was not adjudicated in the Circuit Court within a period of two weeks, it would come back."

Bluthardt: "I'm talking about a tax rate. As I recall, there is no tax rate limitation on court immunity and judgments rendered against the municipalities, right?"

Yourell: "That's correct."

Bluthardt: "They must levy a tax in the amount necessary to pay off that judgment. So if you pull this back, and you succeed in passing it, and you widen the scope of the authority, then local government would be allowed to levy taxes for other purposes than just paying off judgments. They would be allowed to levy taxes for unemployment compensation, workmen's compensation, various other...this would be an additional levy that would be permissible, isn't that correct?"

Yourell: "What it does, Ed, is to allow a local governmental unit to levy taxes to pay the cost of settlements or judgments. Under the present law, the taxing power is limited to levy...levying taxes for purchasing insurance."

Bluthardt: "But I understand you want to broaden it so that they could levy a tax to pay for the cost of insurance for unemployment compensation and for other insurance liabilities. You explained earlier that ...that one of the purposes of this Bill, why you wanted to get it back is to grant local government the authority to...to buy insurance or to set up, I took it, to set up their own insurance program because they can't...they can't get insurance today."

Yourell: "Well, you know, many of these units of local government are so small it's probably inappropriate that...for them to self-insure, which would be the levy. In this Bill, methods which would facilitate the grouping and funding of such entities are encouraged. And these methods, including the contractual pooling of risks by these local units of government and the formation of a reciprocal insurance, so



it does provide that; but what...in addition it does...it provides that local governments will be specifically authorized to use the insurance levy to self-insure and to pay for workmen's compensation. You know, already they can do that."

Bluthardt: "Yes. Well, I'd like to speak briefly on the...on the motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed."

Bluthardt: "Last week we experienced a...a Gentleman of this House, a Leader of the Majority Party jumping up and screaming about this side of the aisle introducing a tax increase levy to pay for the unemployment compensation that the local governments will have to pay. I want to point out that this is the same thing, this is a tax levy against...by local government against the property owners. If it does become law, this will be a...an authority to levy taxes without limitations for the payment of various types of insurance costs, including unemployment compensation and workmen's compensation. So while the other side screamed to high heaven at the Republican's answer to the unemployment compensation obligation of local government, here we have the very same thing without a limitation. Remember this, the McMaster's Bill limited the tax increase as a...a permissive increase of two mil. This has no limitation whatsoever. Any amount could be levied for all purposes of covering the insurance obligations of local government. There's no ceiling at all, Mr. Speaker. I think it's a bad motion and we ought to defeat it."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "I wonder if the Sponsor will yield to a question. Buz..."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Conti: "...Buz, are you familiar with House Bill 2132 that Dawson and I passed this last year? That...that Bill amends the Local Government and Government Employees Tort Immunity Act...permits local public entities to contract for or purchase guaranteed funds, certificates of shares of guaranteed capital that's provided for in the Insurance Code. Isn't this a duplication? Wouldn't this take care of your Bill?"

Yourell: "I can't answer that because I don't...I'm not that familiar



with your legislation."

Conti: "Well, that's passed and the Governor has signed that Bill. That should take care of your Bill, 1468."

Yourell: "Well, obviously, it doesn't take care of it because since the passage of that legislation we've had correspondence from at least 50 County Chairmen that have asked us to get out and pass this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "I think probably what I was going to say has been said before; but I would like to point out that the Majority Leader on the other side of the aisle arose with great indignation last week about a piece of legislation. It was limited specifically to two mils for one specific purpose and that was unemployment compensation insurance. It would seem to me that this legislation is opening the whole thing up and covering a great more number of things other than just unemployment compensation insurance. And this concerns me that the other side of the aisle who was so indignant about a tax increase without referendum is now...now proposing a much wider, a much broader tax increase without referendum. It concerns me a little bit that they talk out of both sides of their mouths."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it seems almost odd that nobody is really speaking to the motion on...on this specific Bill. The fact remains is that these cities, and townships, and counties and public bodies today are purchasing workmen's compensation insurance. Now, this means, as far as I'm concerned, if you'll look at the Department of Insurance figures that 40 cents out of every \$1 that that municipality, or that county or township is paying into private insurance fees is not being used for the purposes of paying a claim. That's a fact. 40 cents out of every \$1 is not used for paying a claim. If the city, or the county or township could self-insure and pay just the claim, this would certainly be a tax saving, not a tax paying proposition. Many of the communities around the state have come before the Legislature and they ask for this kind of relief, that they would like to be brought under



self-insurance. I think this is a good idea. I commend the Sponsors of the Bill because somehow we could then grapple with the issue of whether or not we are paying for too great of a reserve clause, too great of an administration clause and too great of a profit-making clause to private insurance companies, whereas, we can have these cities and counties self-insured, pay for their own claims and save the taxpayers a lot of money that you all know are being paid for right now. Don't kid yourself, right now every public body is buying the insurance. All we're asking here is allow them to have self-insurance."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I move...I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I'm sure you heard my motion."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it...The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, to close."

Yourell: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would have hoped that this would not have become a political issue because I can tell you that it is very important to units of local government, and without exception the Urban Counties Council has recommended the passage of this legislation. I can tell you that most of the individuals who spoke against the Bill this time vote for it...voted for it when it was originally proposed back in the Spring Session. So I don't know why the sudden change; but many, many of the individuals, including Representative Bluthardt and others, voted 'yes' for this Bill. I don't understand why suddenly they seem to find fault with it at this late date. What it does is simply expand the Tort Immunity Act to provide units of local government the assistance and the vehicle to do what they have to do. Now, if you want to consider passing legislation that levies taxes, at least we're giving them the enabling legislation to do what they have to do. If the County Board wants to pass a levy for unemployment compensation insurance and for claims filed against it, then I think



they ought to make the decision and not us. I think that we ought to give them the enabling legislation to do the job that they want to do for their constituents. I'm looking at the Roll Call now on House Bill 1468 on May 12, 1977, received 115 votes, no...7 'nay' votes and every one of the Gentlemen that talked against this Bill today voted 'yes' voted on May 12. I would hope that you would see the light and give us a 'yes' vote to get this Bill in the position so that we can nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 and do something for local governments, and I'm sure that you're all in favor of it."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of the Gentleman's motion. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, there are two issues confronting the House; one is whether or not the Committee will be discharged, and number two is to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. I move for div...I request a division."

Speaker Bradley: "You're...the question is divisible. You so move you want to take them separately, Sir? With leave of the House, then we'll divide the question. The question...the first question is the motion to discharge Committee regarding House Bill 1468. Mr.... Representative Yourell, you realize the posture we're in now? All right. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This will take 107 votes. The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, after asking some questions of the Sponsor of this Bill and having a chance then to look back at it, I find that the answers I received were absolutely correct. I think the Sponsor is correct in what he is trying to do in this situation. The Sponsor is an honorable Gentleman and I'm voting 'aye' and would certainly urge my colleagues to do so."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Would somebody...push my button. Have all voted who wished? This is on the first part of the question, the motion to discharge. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record."



On this question we have 87 'ayes', 53 'nays'; and the motion...the motion fails. Speaker Redmond is now moving that the Regular Session stand in recess until the call of the chair...we'll get to those...today...All in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and we stand in recess until the call of the chair. The First Special Session re...will be reconvened. Roll Call for attendance. With leave we'll use the Roll Call of the First...of the Regular Session for the First Special Session. Is there leave? Hearing no objections, we will use the Roll Call of the Regular Session for the Attendance Roll Call of the First Special Session. Introduction, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 41, Levin-Gaines, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedures. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 42, Levin-Gaines, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedures. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Kane...Sangamon, Mr. Kane, arise?"

Kane: "A point of information. I'm wondering if the Speaker would let us know what his schedule is or what you're schedule is for tonight since we started late, three hours late. What are we going to cover and what's your time period?"

Speaker Bradley: "Well, I'll talk with the regular Speaker about that and try to get back to you as soon as we can."

Kane: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Calendar on Third Reading appears House Bill 26; and on that the Gentleman from DuPage, Speaker Redmond."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 26, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Speaker Redmond."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 26 addresses itself to a problem that has plagued the General Assembly ever since the Supreme Court ruled invalid the method of selecting the members of the State Board of Election. It would seem to me that we should have some review of the reason



why we had a State Board of Election provided for in the State Constitution. Originally, it was thought that they would have a central, a chief state election official who would take the place of the Index Division in the Secretary of State's Office, which had traditionally handled election matters. I would like to call your attention to the fact that there had been a State Board of Election composed of the elected constitutional officers plus the Chairman of the Central Committee of the Republican Party and the Chairman of the Central Committee of the Democratic Party. And traditionally matters involving the validity of petitions has been handled by that State Board; and as far as I was concerned, there was a minimum of problems and a minimum of conflicts. But it was thought in order to be sure that we have the uniform application of the election laws throughout the State of Illinois..."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon me, Sir...could we have...have some order, please? Proceed."

Speaker Redmond: "...that it would be a better system to have a central authority located in the...in the State Capitol to assist the local election authorities establishing uniform procedures and uniform dates. The method that we have decided upon was ruled unconstitutional by the Supreme Court. House Bill 26 provides for a board of eight members, four Democrats and four Republicans, two members from each party from Cook County and two from each party from downstate. Four are to be selected by the...by the Governor and the other four are to be selected by the state official, the constitutional officer of the opposite political party. They would have two year...judicial terms would be for two and four years, thereby, creating staggered terms at first. The members would receive a per diem of \$100 per day for each meeting, instead of the present \$22,500 salary. The Chairman elected by the Members would serve for two years and the post would rotate each two years between the parties. The member of the board would appoint a manager, an executive manager, all of the employees and the board members would be restricted from political activity; and any actions of the board would require five affirmative votes in a quorum, which consists of five members.



It seems to me that this meets the requirement of the Constitution, which says that no political party shall have a majority on the board, it meets the requirement that the Legislature is not interjecting and interposing itself in the matter of executive appointment. I, personally, think it's about time that we got this monkey off our backs. Every time we are not criticized individually for not doing something, the Legislature is criticized for failure to come to some terms. And it would seem to me that if all we want...if all we want is equity, if all we want is equality, and if all we want is the guidelines in order to have fair elections and to have equal access to the process that this board meets the requirements of the law of the Constitution then...the most severe critic. So, therefore, I urge and encourage the Members to vote in the affirmative in the passage of House Bill 26."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Bill. I think that over the past two years we've had quite a few battles dealing with the State Board of Election. And once again we come to the eleventh hour and haven't resolved the problem. And we're being asked because of the crisis situation to vote for any old Bill that comes down the pike. I think that we ought to be more reasonable than that, I think we ought to take a look at some of the things the Speaker has said. He's asked us if we want equity, equality, fair elections and access to the system, then we ought to vote for this Bill. If this Bill becomes law, you're not going to have any of those things because there's no way to break a tie. That means if a contest is brought to this board, or if a challenge has been brought to this board under the Campaign Income Disclosure Act two people can tie up any decision. What this Bill will do will be to put the taxpayers of this state in a closet, keep them in the dark as to what's happening in the electoral system, and will not make it possible for them to identify who is responsible for a bad decision. Take a look at that appointment power, it's chaired by two state-wide elected officers. Now, there are Bills that have been submitted to this Assembly, one officer would be responsible for



that..for that decision, which means that the voters could hold that officer accountable. But if there's a bad decision or no decision, who are the voters going to hold accountable? The one officer or the other officer? Nobody can answer that question. All we're going to do with passage of this Bill is tie up the election process; and I contend, Ladies and Gentlemen, that is the intent of the proponents of this Bill who have consistently fought the reform measures which have been presented to this Body for the past two years. For those reasons, and for the reasons I believe that this would just promote and encourage the continuation of the cronyism we have seen at the State Board of Elections, I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich. Could we have some order, please, in the chamber?"

Matijevich: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, does this Bill still have that three-fifths provision in it? Mr. Speaker, does this Bill still have that three-fifths provision in it?"

Speaker Bradley: "It does, yes."

Matijevich: "Boy, hallelujah, after that bad dream I was in yesterday we may need it."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, first a parliamentary inquiry. Where are we on the Calendar?"

Speaker Bradley: "We're on the First Special Session on the House Bills, Third Reading."

Bluthardt: "Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Bradley: "No, Sir."

Bluthardt: "Well, this was up last week and it failed to receive the necessary votes."

Speaker Bradley: "No, under the rules, if you'll recall, 61(a) or something, when the Bill failed to receive the 107 votes with the effective date...immediate effective date on it, the Sponsor has the right to reconsider automatically under our rules; and it takes off the effective date, which the Sponsor did, and leave it on the..."



in the condition and placed on the Calendar where it is. And it's provided under the rules, Sir."

Bluthardt: "All right, let me ask the Speaker this...the Sponsor of the Bill, you have removed the effective date...the immediate effective date, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Bluthardt: "And now the effective date would be what? July 1 of '78?"

Speaker Redmond: "July 1st, right."

Bluthardt: "But my understanding we're under a mandate of the Supreme Court to solve this problem and establish a State Board of Election by the 30th of this month, isn't that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "We're enact...we're mandated to enact something, I don't believe the Supreme Court's opinion said that we had to have any particular effective date. And in my judgment, if we enact this prior to the 30th of November, we will have required...satisfied the requirements of the court."

Speaker Bradley: "We would like to call the attention of the Members that the light for television pictures is on and the Gentleman is taking pictures from the balcony."

Bluthardt: "That's why I've got the mike, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "I thought so."

Bluthardt: "Really, what..."

Speaker Bradley: "Do you wish to continue?"

Bluthardt: "...Yeah, I want to talk on the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed."

Bluthardt: "And I want to point this out, that we've been under instructions by the Illinois Supreme Court for about a year now, and they have given us deadlines for the enactment of legislation that would satisfy the requirements of that Supreme Court decision in the establishment of a State Board of Election. I don't know where the Speaker would get information that a Supreme Court would be satisfied if we enact something that will not take effect until July 1st of 1978. It's my opinion the Supreme Court is liable to tell us to get moving; and not only that, I'm bothered by the provisions of this Act. And I'd like to speak on it. I'm bothered by the same provision



that the last speaker spoke about, and that is, the lack of holding anyone responsible in the appointment and the activities of the Members of the board. If you're going to split the appointment powers between the Governor and some other executives, then who is going to be responsible for the acts and the actions or the lack of actions of that State Board? If the Governor would like to get rid of a member who happened to be appointed by say the Secretary of State, Would the Governor have power to remove him? My opinion is that he would not. This has many flaws, and one of the biggest flaws again is the lack of a provision for breaking a tie. If you want to do nothing, State Board of Elections, then this Bill will answer that question. You'll have a State Board of Elections that will probably be unable to function without...with any real satisfaction or any purpose in its function. I again tell you, in my opinion, and I know where that's gone in the last year or so, that the only way to answer this is to have a fifth person or the tie breaker; and until we do have such a Bill presented, I'm going to vote against this Bill. I think it's a bad Bill. It does not answer the question. And I think that we all ought to vote against it; but I know better that we won't all vote against it. But I would hope that enough vote against it and help defeat this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Redmond, to close the debate."

Redmond: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that we have forgotten the fact that traditionally the Secretary of State was the office in which election matters were held. And that didn't provide for any tie breaker, that was one man; and we didn't really have too many difficulties that, as I recall, it was a question of how we were going to...to locate people on the ballot that brought most of this to the floor. And that was solved pretty much by people going to court. I would remind you, as I did the other day, the juries traditionally have had 12 people, and they resolve their problems pretty well. And it seems to me that the kind of people that you can anticipate would be appointed to this board would be able to resolve their problems. There aren't that many



partisan matters. I may say that as far as the court is concerned, I think, and I may be wrong, but I think that we have to report back by the 30th. And I have a strong feeling that if we're able to go in there and tell the court prior to that day that we have passed something that would be in effect in five or six months that that would satisfy the requirements of the court. I would also like to point out the fact that I am the Democratic County Chairman in DuPage County. Our elections are handled by an Election Commission that has two Republicans and one Democrat. And I may say that in the main since the formation of that Election Commission that as I, the Chairman of the Minority Party, have absolutely no reason to quarrel with the decisions of the Election Commission. I think that the only reason that anybody could say that they were against this Bill is that if they're looking for some kind of an edge or some kind of an advantage. And that is not what we're supposed to do here. We're supposed to have an Election Commission, we're supposed to have a procedure that is equal and fair to everyone. And this insures it and it meets the requirement of the Constitution that no party will control. I don't see how in the world we can meet that requirement unless we have an even-numbered board. And, therefore, I strongly urge an affirmative vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, to explain his vote."

Ryan: "No, Mr. Speaker, to request a verification at the proper time."

Speaker Bradley: "At the proper time you will be recognized for that purpose. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 92 'ayes' and 70 'nays'; and a request for a verification. The Gentleman from DuPage, Speaker Redmond."

Speaker Redmond: "I request a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman requests a poll of the absentees. The Clerk will call...poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Collins, Daniels, Epton, Friedrich, Hoffman, McAuliffe, McCourt, McLendon, Meyer, Pechous, Porter and Stearney."



Speaker Bradley: "Proceed with the verification."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes, Beatty, Birchler, Bowman, Bradley, Brady, Brandt, Breslin, Rich Brummer, Don Brummet, Byers, Caldwell, Capparelli, Chapman, Christensen, Darrow, Corneal Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, John Dunn, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Harris, Hart, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Huff, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz, Kelly, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leverenz, Levin, Lucco, Luft, Madigan, Madison, Mann, Marovitz, Peggy Smith Martin, Matejek, Matijevich, Mautino, McClain, McGrew, McPike, Mudd, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Murphy, Nardulli, O'Brien, R. V. Walsh, Pierce, Pouncey, Richmond, Robinson, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Sharp, Shumpert, Steczo, Stuffle, Taylor, Terzich, Tipsword, Van Duynes, Vitek, Von Boeckman, Willer, Williams, Younge, Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Questions of the Affirmative Roll?"

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Representative Brady?"

Speaker Bradley: "Brady is in the chamber."

Ryan: "I see. I see Representative Brady there. Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Bradley: "Capparelli is not in his seat. Is he in the chamber? How is he...here he is right here."

Ryan: "Representative Breslin?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Breslin is in her seat."

Ryan: "Representative Flinn?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Flinn is in the rear of the chamber."

Ryan: "Representative Getty?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Getty? He's not in his seat, is he in the chambers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Ryan: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Giglio? He's not in his seat. In the rear of the chambers, center aisle."

Ryan: "Representative Huff."



Speaker Bradley: "Representative Huff is standing next to his chair."

Ryan: "Representative Emil Jones?"

Speaker Bradley: "Emil Jones is in his seat."

Ryan: "All right. Representative Kane?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Kane is in the chamber."

Ryan: "Representative Kozubowski?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Kozubowski is in the chamber."

Ryan: "Representative Peggy Martin?"

Speaker Bradley: "Peggy Martin is in her seat."

Ryan: "Representative Murphy?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Murphy is in the chamber."

Ryan: "Representative O'Brien?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative O'Brien is in the chamber."

Ryan: "Representative Pierce?"

Speaker Bradley: "In the chamber, he's here."

Ryan: "Representative Schneider?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Schneider?"

Ryan: "Schneider, yes, Sir."

Speaker Bradley: "He's not in his seat. Is he in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Ryan: "Representative Sharp?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Sharp is in the rear of the chamber."

Ryan: "Representative Tipsword?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Tipsword is in the aisle."

Ryan: "Representative Von Boeckman?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Von Boeckman? He's not in his seat, is he in the chambers?"

Ryan: "He's right over here, Sir. Representative Robinson?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Robinson is in the chamber."

Ryan: "Representative R. V. Walsh?"

Speaker Bradley: "He's in his seat."

Ryan: "Representative Shumpert?"

Speaker Bradley: "Shumpert is in his seat."



Ryan: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Farley is in his seat, way in the back."

Ryan: "Representative McGrew?"

Speaker Bradley: "Representative McGrew is in his seat."

Ryan: "Oh, Mr. Speaker, I have no further questions. When the Speaker's Bills are called his Members are here. I congratulate him."

Speaker Bradley: "We're all aware of that. On this question we have 90 'ayes', 70 'nays', 3 voting 'present'; and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is, hereby, declared passed.

Representative Madigan moves we recess the First Special Session. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I...having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which that last Bill passed."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I move that motion lie on the table."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Gentleman's motion prevails. And the motion to reconsider is tabled. Now, Mr. Madigan moves that we recess the First Special Session to the call of the chair. And we...and we will reconvene the Second Special Session and now Mr. Madigan moves that the Roll Call Attendance for the Regular Session will be used for the Attendance Roll Call of the Second Special Session. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it and that Roll Call will be used for the Attendance Roll Call in the Second Special Session. Now, we shall recess the Second Special Session for the call of the chair and return to the Regular Session. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative E. M. Barnes, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II to which the following Bills were referred, action taken November 16, 1977; reported the same back with the following recommendations, 'do pass' House Bill 2507, House Bill 2517."

Speaker Bradley: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles and the passage of



which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit, Senate Bill 6...or 1261, Senate Bill 1394 and Senate Bill 1395; passed by the Senate November 16, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Bradley: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1261, Leinenweber, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Controlled Substance Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1340...1394, Dave Jones, a Bill for an Act authorizing Capital Development Board to grant a permanent roadway easement. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1395, Dave Jones, a Bill for an Act authorizing the Capital Development Board to convey certain real property in Sangamon County to the City of Springfield. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Introduction, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2530, Steczo-Yourell, a Bill for an Act to provide for the administration of aid to the medically indigent by the State of Illinois, instead of local governmental units. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2531, Cunningham, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2532, Geo-Karis, a Bill for an Act to provide for the control and recycling of litter and the recovery of resources and to add an additional special fund to the State Treasury. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 523, Madigan. 524, McPike. 525, Gene Hoffman. 528, Giglio. 530, Wikoff. And 531, Lucco."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 523 by Madigan talks about Lieutenant Murany who spent 33 years with the Chicago Police Department. House Resolution 524 by McPike talks about the Granite City South High Warriors soccer champions. 525 by Hoffman notes a 30... 53rd Wedding Anniversary of David Elder of Cobden. 528 by Giglio recognizes Mr. Allan Anderson for 30 years of service. 530 by Wikoff talks about Professor Carl Woese's work at the University of Illinois. And 531 by Lucco commend E. L. Alexander at having an



auditorium named after him. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. On the Speaker's Table appears House Resolution 85. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Martin, House Resolution 85. Ms. Martin."

Martin, P.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'd like leave to have House Resolution 85, which has to do with a Committee to investigate the school lunch program, to be placed in the Education Committee... Elementary Education."

Speaker Bradley: "The...the Lady has moved to commit House Resolution 85 to the Education Committee, that's her motion. And she has asked leave. Are there any objections to that Bill going to Education? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I object to that motion."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, then she will...we'll have to put it through a ...all right, Mr. Ryan, you understand the motion is just to...to re...to commit this Resolution to the Education Committee. It's not to adopt the Resolution."

Ryan: "Well, the Resolution is in Executive Committee now, it hasn't been able to get out of there, is that right?"

Speaker Bradley: "I think it's been reported out of Executive Committee and it's on the Calendar. And she is asking to take it from the Calendar and return it to the..."

Ryan: "All right, All right, I withdraw."

Speaker Bradley: "...Education Committee...okay. Any...any other objections? Hearing none, the...the Resolution will be recommitted to the Education Committee with leave of the House."

Martin, P.: "Elementary Education. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "To the...to the House Education Committee."

Martin, P.: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any announcements? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Executive Committee



will meet immediately after adjournment in Room 114. We have some very important Bills and I would hope that everyone would be there as soon as possible so that we can get out. I am standing up."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz, for an announcement."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, with your leave and the House's leave in view of the very late hour and the fact that we hope not to be in Session very much, there are several Bills on which Sponsors have requested leave to appear before the Rules Committee this evening immediately upon adjournment; and I wonder, Mr. Speaker, if I might get leave of the House so that Senate Bill 1383, Senate Bill 1387, Senate Bill 1261 and House Bill 2502 could be heard by the Rules Committee immediately after adjournment. And also, Mr. Speaker...and also, Mr. Speaker, that the House Judiciary II Committee that meets tomorrow morning at 9:30 a.m. can hear House Bills 37 and 38 which were just recently assigned to the Committee, but they would like to be able to have leave to hear those Bills in Judiciary II tomorrow morning since there may not be another opportunity to hear them. Now, those are House Bill 37 and House Bill 38 of the First Special Session. Now, in addition to that, Mr. Speaker, there is one additional Bill that a Sponsor has requested about one second ago that it be heard, that is, House Bill 2483 in the Rules Committee this afternoon immediately upon adjournment. And so, Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House we would ask that these Bills be heard as indicated."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would Mr. Katz restate the numbers of the Bills that will be heard by the Rules Committee tonight?"

Speaker Bradley: "I suggest we take this...we've got about three or four items on Mr. Katz. Let's go to the first motion that you had regarding about four Bills to be heard immediately after adjournment."

Katz: "All right."

Speaker Bradley: "Let's get that taken care of."

Katz: "Okay, now these are requests that the Rules Committee here requests for exemption immediately upon adjournment. The Bill numbers are Senate Bill 1383, Senate Bill 1387, Senate Bill 1261, House Bill 2483 and House Bill 2502. Now, I'm told by the Sponsors



of those Bills that all of those have been checked with the Leadership on both sides of the aisle and those are the subject matter, Mr. Speaker, of the first motion."

Speaker Bradley: "Now, has everybody heard that motion? Does the Gentleman have leave to hear those Bills immediately upon adjournment in the Rules Committee? Hearing...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Katz, who's the Sponsor of House Bill 2483?"

Katz: "Excuse me, 2483 is a Bill by Mr. Marovitz. I asked Mr. Marovitz and he told me that he has checked that with Mr. Telcser on your side of the aisle. Now, I do not have independent knowledge, but that is what Mr. Marovitz advised me. He...Mr. Marovitz, would you go over please and speak to the Republican Leader, who has raised a question concerning your Bill?"

Madigan: "Ask him to talk to the Democratic Leader, too."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Katz...Mr. Katz, meet the Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan. It's Mr. Madigan addressing you of the question, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Oh. Mr. Madigan, you two look so much alike, it's very hard for me to know the difference between you."

Madigan: "And, Mr. Katz, on House Bill 2502, who is the Sponsor of that Bill?"

Katz: "House Bill 2502 is sponsored by Mr. Wolf, who advised me that he had checked that with you as well as the Republican Leader."

Madigan: "No."

Katz: "That is a Bill that would require insurers...insurers to...first of all, it relates to general problems of red-lining in Chicago. Now, he specifically told me that he had checked that with you and checked that with the Republican Leader. I have no independent knowledge with regard to that subject."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, on the motion? Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yeah...Well, I think one of these days we ought to get an interpretation in our rules as to what emergencies are and what ought to be coming out of Rules Committee. I'm one of those who believes, for example, that we don't even have to be in the Special



Session, of any Special Session. I'm one of those who believes that even as to the matter of crime, Class X or Class A or whatever that we're not going to solve the matters of crime in this Special Session. Anything can wait until next year; and I, for one, do not believe that we ought to be here until Christmas. And if we keep these Bills floating out of here, we're going to be here until Christmas. And I'm not for any of these Bills coming out."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, on the motion."

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if Representative Katz would give us a list of those numbers again?"

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair has them; and if I'm wrong, Mr. Katz, you correct them. But they're Senate Bills 1383, 1387, Senate Bill 1261, House Bill 2502, House Bill 2483. Is that correct, Mr. Katz?"

Katz: "Yes, that is correct, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Further questions, Mr. Ryan?"

Ryan: "No."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, are there...Mr....the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I remove my objection to House Bill 2483. However, I do object to House Bill 2502."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, there have been...all right, we will so note then on the motion...the final motion, Mr. Houlihan, the Gentleman from Cook, on the motion. Jimmy Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, who's the Sponsor of 2502?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Katz."

Katz: "The Sponsor of 2502 is Mr. Wolf; and I will amend my motion to exclude 2502 because it is not our practice for me to make the motion unless the Leadership on both sides has agreed. Mr. Wolf is perfectly free to make whatever motion he wants; but I will amend my motion to exclude 2502 in view of the opposition of the Majority Leader."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, then are...the...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, yes, I would like to move to suspend the appropriate rule to have House Bill..."



Speaker Bradley: "...let's get the...let's get the first four Bills in the position we want them and get back to your motion. We have Senate Bills 1387, 1383, 1261; and Mr. Madigan has removed his objection on 2483. So the only one we're removing then is 2502, correct? That's Mr. Wolf. Correct, Mr. Katz, four Bills?"

Katz: "Correct."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to ask about 1383 and 1387. Since they aren't in our Digest, I wonder if Mr. Katz could explain the substance matter of those particular Bills. They're not in our Digest and we don't know what the subject matter is of those items."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Katz, are you aware of the subject matter?"

Katz: "Well, I know that 1383, Mr. Ebbesen's Bill, amends the Downstate County Working Cash Fund; and Mr. Ebbesen indicates Senate Bill 1383 that it affects no county other than the County of DeKalb. With regard to Senate Bill 1387, if the Sponsor of that Bill would like to get up and explain to Mr. Houlihan, it was represented to me that he had checked with the Leadership on both sides. The House will understand that suspending this rule does not mean that there's necessarily going to be Rules Committee clearance, this will simply permit the Sponsor to appear before the Rules Committee and urge his motion. As a matter of fact, last week the Rules Committee threw out about 17 Bills out of 20. They passed out only a very small number of Bills. This is simply a waiver of notice. I am not able to answer Mr. Houlihan's very intelligent question; and in view of that if the Sponsor of 1387 wants to rise now and answer his intelligent question then I will leave the motion in. Otherwise I am going to withdraw that motion."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, Mr. Houlihan. All right, are there any objections to the Gentleman's motion? Does he have leave? Mr. Ryan?"

Ryan: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to have to object now to Senate ...House Bill 2483."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman objects to 2483. Are there objections on the Senate Bills 1387, 1383 and 1261? There's objections, I hear objections. The Gentleman does not have leave on these five Bills to



suspend the rules for immediate consideration. All right, now, Mr. Katz, you had another series on the First Special Session, House Bills 37 and 38. Does the Gentleman have leave to hear those?"

Katz: "These are two Bills recently filed in Special Session 1 relating to criminal justice, assigned by the Committee on Assignment to Judiciary II. It will probably be holding its last meeting tomorrow morning. This is simply to afford an opportunity to those Sponsors if they want to appear to do so. Accordingly, I would seek leave that those Sponsors be permitted to appear since it is the last opportunity they will have probably tomorrow morning to be heard with regard to those Bills that you were..."

Speaker Bradley: "Sir, are you suspending the posting rule or are you just advising that they'd better be there?"

Katz: "...No, I am suspending the posting rule."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, are there...does the Gentleman have leave to...the Gentleman from Cook, Jimmy Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Again, Mr. Speaker, I can't find it in our Digest; and I wonder if the maker of the motion would explain the substance of those proposals."

Speaker Bradley: "Perhaps we'd better have the...the Gentleman who is the Sponsor of the Bill...Mr. Katz, would you rather have them do it or are they here?"

Katz: "Yes, I would rather have them doing it. I'm only according a courtesy for them to be heard. I will withdraw my motion unless the Sponsors want to get up and speak for themselves..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman...the Gentleman removes the motion. The Gentleman does not wish to proceed with that motion. No other motions on Mr. Katz...all right, for announcements then. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Human Resources Committee has only two proposals before us this evening. If the Members of the Committee go immediately to Room C1 in the State Office Building, I think it's possible since these matters have been well discussed on other occasions that this could be a short meeting...Immediately on adjournment, Room C1, Human Resources Committee."



Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, for the purpose of an announcement."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Counties and..."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon me, Mr. Yourell, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, prior to all the confusion you were going to give Representative Wolf a call on his motion; and I wish you'd recognize that."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm glad you brought that to my attention. I intended to do that right now. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf, wants to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move for the suspension of Rule 27(d) so...House Bill 2502 could be heard by the Rules Committee. And I would ask for a Roll Call vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would object to the Gentleman's motion for two reasons. Number one, I have not yet seen either a copy of the Bill or a copy of the application to the Rules Committee, which contains an explanation of the Bill, an explanation as to why the Bill should be considered an emergency. Additionally, the Chairman of the Rules Committee offered this Bill in conjunction with a House Bill sponsored by a Democrat. I objected to this Bill because of the reasons that I just enunciated, thereupon, Mr. Ryan objected to the Democratic Bill; and I think that we ought to just drop our consideration of both of these Bills at this time and take them up at some other time. And for those reasons, Mr. Speaker, I would object to the Gentleman's motion and urge all to vote 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Wolf, you persist in...your request...you want a Roll Call vote on your motion to suspend the posting rule, correct?"

Wolf: "Correct."

Speaker Bradley: "Let's back up for a second before we can go to that posture if we need unanimous consent because that motion is not on the Calendar...unan...yeah, unanimous consent to get to the Roll



Call of it because it's not on the Calendar and there are objections being heard. So I don't think we can get to a Roll Call because it's not on the Calendar. Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, in that case, Mr. Speaker, then I would move to suspend that rule."

Speaker Bradley: "The unanimous consent rule?"

Wolf: "Yes, yes."

Speaker Bradley: "If you would look under 18(k), we're going to have to ask unanimous consent whether it's a Roll Call or what it is and you're not going to...receive that kind of a vote...I have a...I have a strong feeling you're not going to get unanimous consent. Yeah. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, what the Gentleman is trying to do is to suspend the provisions of Rule 18(b) in order that he may have his motion heard today..."

Speaker Bradley: "I think that..."

Telcser: "...regarding 27(d)."

Speaker Bradley: "...that has to be on the Calendar; and he can file a motion and put it on the Calendar. But...tomorrow..."

Telcser: "That's the rule he's wishing to suspend, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "...It's not...but it takes..."

Telcser: "And he has the sufficient number of votes, he's put his motion, you should entertain it and call for the question."

Speaker Bradley: "...Yeah, if you'll look on...what is it?...18(k), you'll see that in order to suspend the Calendar requirements takes unanimous consent."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, he's simply asking to suspend that rule. The Gentleman's willing to put it to a motion."

Speaker Bradley: "How many votes does it take, Mr. Telcser?"

Telcser: "89 votes."

Speaker Bradley: "Not the way the rule reads. The rule reads, 'It takes unanimous consent to suspend the Calendar'...and he cannot..."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Bradley: "...he cannot get unanimous consent."

Telcser: "...Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman wishes to suspend that rule. Now,



if you have a sufficient number of votes, you can suspend any rule.

And the Chair is obliged to entertain that motion."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, I'm going to have to...you're absolutely right when you say he moves to suspend that rule; but I'm...I'm trying to inform him to suspend the rule it takes unanimous consent of the House, which means he has to get all the green lights."

Telcser: "Well, let's take the Roll Call and see then."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, all in favor of the Gentleman's...yeah, the Gentleman asks unanimous consent to suspend the Calendar requirements of Rule 18(k), and on that motion all those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The Gentleman's motion appears...fails. Now... The Clerk will take the Roll. On this question there are 63 'ayes', 41 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion fails. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, did you make your announcement, Sir?"

Yourell: "No, thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Counties and Townships Committee of the House will meet in the House chambers immediately after adjournment. We have two Bills. We should be no longer than five minutes."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any other announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the Transportation Committee will meet tomorrow morning at 9 a.m. in Room B1 of the Stratton Building to consider House Proposal 45. If you're there promptly, we'll get you out in a hurry."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, the Chair would like to announce that when we adjourn this evening, we will adjourn with a...a Death Resolution for a former Member. So I think we ought to be aware of that. We're going to...we'll read that Death Resolution and adjourn the Regular Session, and then we will take care of the Special Sessions subsequently immediately thereafter. Are there any other announcements? Mr. Pierce for an announcement. Mr. Pierce for an announcement."

Pierce: "The Revenue Subcommittee on real property exemptions and classifications will meet tomorrow morning at 10 a.m. in Room K1, the second floor of the Stratton Building, to consider House Proposition 55. I hope that the Subcommittee Members...House Proposal 55..."



Representative Dyer, are you listening? Your Proposition 55 will be up tomorrow morning at 10. Heave the Members there. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty, for what purpose do you arise?"

Beatty: "At the conclusion of the Executive Committee meeting, which will meet immediately after...after the recess of the House here, there will be a meeting of the Subcommittee, of which I'm Chairman, dealing with House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #18. So I'd appreciate it if the Members would be present."

Speaker Bradley: "All right. Any other announcements now? I think all the...the Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Rigney, for what purpose do you arise?"

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've been hearing some rumors about our schedule. Would you enlighten us? Are we going to be here on Friday of this week or not?"

Speaker Bradley: "I'm afraid I'm not in a position to answer that right at this very moment; but we'll inform you tomorrow. Would all the Members please be in their seats? Please be in your seats for a Death Resolution. On...first on the Adjournment Motion, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, are we in the Regular Session now?"

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, Sir."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we adjourn until 12 noon tomorrow, and that we adjourn upon the adoption of the Death Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that when we adjourn, we will adjourn until 12 noon tomorrow afternoon; and the Members will be in their seats...and all in favor of this motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. Death Resolution. Now, the first two Death Resolutions are not Members of the House of Representatives."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 527, Younge, in respect of the memory of Waker Kenneth Allen. House Resolution 529, Representative Meyer, respect for the memory of Theodore P. Speropulos."

Speaker Bradley: "Would the Clerk read the...Mr. Madigan moves the adoption of those two Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Resolutions are adopted. Mr. Clerk, would you read the



next Death Resolution? And the Members please be in their seats."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 503, Walsh-Schoeberlein-Schlickman, 'Whereas Members of the House are saddened to learn of the recent death of a beloved former colleague, the Honorable Hellmut W. Stolle, who served with honor and distinction as a Representative from the 16th Legislative District in the 73rd, 75th and 76th General Assemblies; and, whereas, a native of Berlin, Germany, Mr. Stolle has been a resident of Chicago's northwest side since 1925, where he was a leader in civic, community, and business and sports organizations; and, whereas, for many years he served as a director of the Associated Retail Bakers of Greater Chicago, including three years as Treasurer and three years as President; and, whereas, during his tenure of service in the House he enjoyed the respect and affection of his colleagues on both sides of the aisle; and, whereas, his passing is a severe loss, not only to the members of his immediate family, but to his community and to the state which he served so well; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eightieth General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we express our profound sorrow upon the death of our beloved friend and former colleague, the Honorable Hellmut W. Stolle; and to his beloved widow and children we extend our heartfelt sympathy; and that a suitable copy of this Resolution be presented to Mrs. Stolle; and as a further mark of our respect, the House do now stand adjourned'."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Resolution signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; and the Resolution is adopted, and the House stands adjourned. 1st Special Session. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan. 1st Special Session."

Madigan: "Are you prepared for the Adjournment Resolution, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bradley: "The motion to adjourn..."

Madigan: "Then I...I move...hour of 12:10 tomorrow."

Speaker Bradley: "...The Gentleman so moves. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the 1st Special Session stands adjourned until the hour of 12:10. Now, the 2nd Special Session will come to order. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, on the 2nd Special Session."



Madigan: "I move that we adjourn until 12:20."

Speaker Bradley: "He moves the...you've heard the Gentleman's motion.

All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House...2nd Special Session stands adjourned until 12:20 tomorrow."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: November 16, 1977

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1	12:47	Doorkeeper	
	1:00	Speaker Redmond	House in Order
		Reverend Krueger	Prayer
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads of Journal
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Motion about Journal
2		Speaker Redmond	Motion carried
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads First Readings
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Absences
		Speaker Redmond	
3		Madigan	Roll Call for attendance
		Speaker Redmond	Regular Session is recessed First Special
		Clerk O'Brien	Approval of Journal
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Motion about Journals
		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries, First Special in recess, Second in order
		Madigan	Motion about Journals
		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries
	1:07	Speaker Redmond	Second Special in recess
		Mann	Announcement
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Inquiry
		Speaker Redmond	
		Mann	Discussion



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: November 16, 1977

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
4		Speaker Redmond	
		Barnes	Announcement
		Speaker Redmond	
5	1:10	Katz	Announcement
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Inquiry
		Speaker Redmond	
		Katz	
	4:10	Speaker Redmond	Recess until 3:30; House to order
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Excused absences
		Speaker Redmond	
6		Brandt	Senate Bill 1302--motion
		Speaker Redmond	
7		Telcser	Yield
		Brandt	
	4:16	Matijevich	breaks in--'So what's new?'
		Telcser	Continues
		Brandt	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Redmond	
8		Brandt	Explains vote
		Speaker Redmond	
		Mulcahey	Change to 'aye'



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: November 16, 1977

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Requests verification
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees
		Speaker Redmond	
9		Schlickman	Requests Members in seats
		Speaker Redmond	
10		Clerk O'Brien	Verification Affirmative Roll Call
		Speaker Redmond	
		Willer	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Sandquist	'Yes' vote
		Speaker Redmond	
		McBroom	
	4:35	Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Asks count
		Speaker Redmond	100 'ayes'
11, 12, 13, 14		Speaker Redmond	
		Katz	Change to 'aye'
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Continues
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman)	Questions
)	
	4:47	Speaker Redmond)	
		Martin, P.	Senate Bill 473--motion
		Speaker Redmond	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: November 16, 1977

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
15		Beatty)) Martin, P.)	Asks to repeat
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madison	
		Speaker Redmond	House accepts Gover. prop.
16		Steczo	Senate Bill 1299--motion
		Speaker Redmond	House accepts Gov. prop.
		Hart	Senate Bill 1324--motion
17		Speaker Redmond	House accepts Gov. prop.
		Terzich	House Bill 841--motion
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	
18		Speaker REDmond	
		Bluthardt	'Aye'
		Speaker Redmond	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries
		Terzich	Moves for Second, C.C.
19		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries
	5:00	DiPrima	House Bill 933--motion
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman)	Parliamentary inquiry
) DiPrima)	
		Speaker Redmond	
20		Schlickman	Speaks to motion
		Speaker Redmond	
		DiPrima	To close



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: November 16, 1977

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bradley	
		Schlickman	Point of privilege
		Speaker Bradley	
21		DiPrima	Responds
		Speaker Bradley	
		Geo-Karis)	Question
)	
		DiPrima)	
		Speaker Bradley	
22		Taylor	Moves previous question
		Speaker Bradley	
		DiPrima	To close
		Bradley	Motion carries
23, 24		Yourell	House Bill 1468--motion
		Speaker Bradley	
		Tipsword)	Question
)	
		Yourell)	
25, 26		Bluthardt)	Question
)	
	5:17	Yourell)	
		Speaker Bradley	
27		Conti)	Yield
)	
		Yourell)	
		Speaker Bradley	
		McMaster	
		Speaker Bradley	
28		Hanahan	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Skinner	Moves previous question



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: November 16, 1977

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bradley	
29		Yourell	To close
		Speaker Bradley	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Tipsword	Supports
30		Speaker Bradley	House Bill 1468--motion fails; Regular Session in recess; Call of Chair; First Special
		Clerk O'Brien	Introduction, First Reading
		Speaker Bradley	
		Kane	Point of information
		Speaker Bradley	
		Clerk O'Brien	
31, 32	5:35	Speaker Redmond	House Bill 26, Third
		Speaker Bradley	
33		Kempiners	Oppose
		Speaker Bradley	
		Matijevich	Questions
		Speaker Redmond	
		Speaker Bradley	
34		Bluthardt	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Redmond	
		Speaker Bradley	
35		Bluthardt	Oppose
		Speaker Bradley	
		Ryan	Verification
		Speaker Bradley	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: November 16, 1977

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Poll absentees
37		Speaker Bradley	
		Clerk O'Brien	Affirmative Roll Call
		Speaker Bradley	
38, 39		Ryan	Questions Affirmative Roll
		Speaker Bradley	
		Ryan	Congratulates Speaker
		Speaker Bradley	House Bill 26 passed
		Madigan	Move to reconsider, etc.
		Speaker Bradley	
	5:39	Giorgi	Move motion lie on table
		Speaker Bradley	Recess First Sepcail; recess til Call of Chair; Second Special-- Second recess
		Clerk O'Brien	Committee Reports
		Speaker Bradley	
40		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from Senate
		Speaker Bradley	
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bills, First Reading
		Speaker Bradley	Introduction, First Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Bradley	Agreed Resolutions
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Giorgi	
41		Speaker Bradley	Resolution adopted
		Martin, P.	House Resolution 85--motion
		Speaker Bradley	
		Ryan	Objects



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: November 16, 1977

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bradley	
		Ryan	
	6:06	Martin, P.	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Mudd	Announcement
		Speaker Bradley	
		Katz	Announcement
		Speaker Bradley	
		Madigan	Asks Bills restated
		Speaker Bradley	
43		Katz	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Bradley	
44		Matijevich	
		Ryan	Asks Bill
		Speaker Bradley	Senate Bill 1383...
		Katz	Consent
		Madigan	Remove obj.
		Houlihan, J.	Question
		Speaker Bradley	
		Katz	Amend motion to exclude 2502
		Speaker Bradley	
		Wolf	Moves to suspend rule
45		Speaker Bradley	
		Katz	
	6:15	Bradley	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: November 16, 1977

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Houlihan, J.	Question
		Speaker Bradley	
		Ryan	
46		Speaker Bradley	
		Katz	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Houlihan, J.	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Katz	
		Speaker Bradley	
47		Chapman	Announcement
		Speaker Bradley	
		Yourell	Announcement
		Speaker Bradley	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Wolf	Move to suspend rule--2502, Roll Call vote
		Speaker Bradley	
		Madigan	Objects
	6:20	Speaker Bradley	
		Wolf	Moves to suspend rules
48		Speaker Bradley)	
)	
		Telcser)	
49		Speaker Bradley	
		Yourell	
		Speaker Bradley	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: November 16, 1977

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Garmisa	
		Speaker Bradley	
50		Pierce	Announcement
		Speaker Bradley	
		Beatty	Announcement
		Speaker Bradley	
		Rigney	Schedule?
		Speaker Bradley	
		Madigan	Move adjournment Regular Session, 12 noon
		Speaker Bradley	
		Clerk O'Brien	Death Resolution
51		Speaker Bradley	Resolution adopted; First Special Session to order
		Madigan	Adjourn--12:10
	6:33	Speaker Bradley	Second Special Session to adjourn
52		Madigan	Adjourn--12:20
		Speaker Bradley	Adjourn--12:20



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES