

Doorkeeper Koehler: "Attention, Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in fifteen minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be led in prayer today by Father Sellers of Vandalia."

Rev. Seller: "Divine Lawgiver, inspire each one of us here upon Your earth to see beyond our desk, to see beyond these walls with the vision that only You can give us. Inspire each one of us with the light of Your spirit to again renew each one of us to again give each one of us the courage and the strength that we need to work for Your people. Give not only your intellectual guidance to us, but give us now your love so that we will put this into action. And in all of this that we see in our work a reflection of Your work. We ask many of these things through Christ, our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Is there anything on the Order of Concurrence where the Sponsor desires to nonconcur which can be done with a voice vote? Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Hall: "House Resolution 1055, Robinson. 1057, Stanley. 1059, Keats. 1060, Shumpert. 1061, Ryan. 1062, Shumpert. 1063, Kornowicz. 1064, Kornowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Did you call 1059, Mr. Clerk? You didn't call it, did you? 1055 by Robinson a fiftieth anniversary of the Catholic Church. 1057 by Stanley talks about designation of historical places. Shumpert's 1060 recognizes the efforts of the Sharon Community Baptist Church. Ryan's 1061 talks about an Indian war. 1062 by Shumpert about a missionary church. 1063 by Kornowicz a golden wedding anniversary. 1064 by Kornowicz a silver anniversary. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried. Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."



clerk Hall: "1056, Stuffle. 1058 by Gaines."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. Representative Geo-Karis on the Roll Call? Her contribution to the fiscal integrity of the state. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "When it comes to fiscal integrity, Mr. Speaker, I think you'll find I'm there. I was sitting here since 8:30 this morning and I just forgot to put the button on."

Speaker Redmond: "I know, but we thought that your reluctance to claim the per diem was your contribution to the solvency of the state."

Geo-Karis: "Well, sometimes I think I should be reluctant after what goes on here. But I still love you, Mr. Speaker. Try that one."

Speaker Redmond: "Expunge it from the record."

Geo-Karis: "Which, my love or my statement?"

Speaker Redmond: "Statement. I wonder if Representative Conti will tell us what his dinner plans are for the evening."

Conti: "I was going to get up, Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege when there were more Members here. Reluctantly I did let the, my temper get the best of me last night. I can understand and I can appreciate the workload that we have in the closing days. I think I was elected from the 18th Legislative District to come here and perform as long as the time that it takes to perform it. But the biggest complaint I had which most of the Members have is that they're waiting to hear from the Chair when we're going to adjourn and at eight o'clock when the announcement was made that we're going to adjourn at eleven, the Page boys were asked to go out and get something to eat. And then at 8:15 or 8:30 we adjourned. That's happened to me several times and I had to sit here till nine o'clock until the Page came back with my dinner. If we're going to be told at nine o'clock in the morning that we'll have to work till 1:30, 2 o'clock, that's fine. That's what we're here for. But when we're told that we might possibly get out by 5:30 or 8 o'clock I'm one that does not like to eat at my desk and I suffer a few hunger pangs which I could afford to do and wait until 8:30, 9 o'clock to go out to eat. But we would like some kind of time, approximate and I know how



difficult it is to operate that Chair, watching for five or six terms. I know it's not an easy task, but the Members across the isle in the House of Lords, they seem to have an approximate time when they're going to get through working and they can make some kind of arrangements."

Speaker Redmond: "Well I think your objection or comments are well taken. One thing that makes it more difficult here is that there's three times as many Members. Another thing is that I think that that is a more controlled group than this group. This is a group of free thinkers and I thought last night that we were going to go till eleven o'clock. But evidently, that announcement fell on kind of restless ears and that was the reason for the change. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I believe, Mr. Speaker, there was miscommunication of opinions on your side because I talked to some of your Leadership and they said we'll be out by 8:30. The next thing I knew there was an announcement from one of the Leaders in the podium said it would be eleven o'clock and some of us called up people we had for guests and first told them we're going to be here, we'll be done at 8:30 and then we got the other announcement. We called them at home and they started undressing, going to bed and we called them again twenty minutes later and invited them out for dinner. That's exactly what happened to me. I mean, those are the things that could be eliminated when you're trying to be a gracious host or hostess and you're trying to run for office again and, you know, you want to keep your commitments. And it's rather difficult because I certainly... you won't believe it, but this actually happened to my guests. Well I finally persuaded them and they started putting some back in... finally we had our dinner but I could tell you it's very, very difficult particularly when you have guests who are your constituents, they're here from back home."

Speaker Redmond: "What kind of a dinner was that? Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Mrs. Redmond is on my phone and she'd like you to call home immediately."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."



Simms: "Mr. Speaker, considering Representative Geo-Karis' problem with some of her constituents and their nighttime activities, would it be possible perhaps in the remaining two days that we do have the opportunity in the evening to perhaps recess for an hour, an hour and a half in order to have a supper hour that might be considered by the Chair?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, to repeat this for Representative Macdonald and Representative Hudson who didn't hear it, I had constituents here and other guests. And one of your Leaders told me we'd be out by 8, 8:30. So fine, I made my dinner plans to take these fine folks to dinner. I was looking forward to it cause I had a rather rough day yesterday and next thing I knew, one of your other Leaders said, well, it's eleven o'clock. Well I called my guests first, they said fine. Then I called them again and said I'm sorry, we won't be able to go because we're going to be here till eleven o'clock. They got some kooky ideas. So they were very gracious, then five minutes later it was 8:30, said we're going to get out and I called them again. And they said well... I said I'm looking forward to having dinner with you and now I know we're going to get out. Fine. Then they told me at dinner, they said, you know what happened to us. Said we were dressed for dinner, we dressed real nice and then you told us we're not going so we undressed... we undressed, we're going to stay home and just go to bed. And then they said when you called us again, that we dressed for dinner. And I want you to know we finally had dinner and the Speaker's my witness cause he saw me there with my dinner party. Wants to know what I got planned for tonight. I don't know, Ray. The way we're spending time here, you begin to look better all the time."

Speaker Redmond: "Copies of the tape are available for a nominal... nominal cost. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Well yes, Mr. Speaker, you've already... I was going to request that we get copies of that dissertation there. I'd appreciate it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, are there any excused absences on the Republican side?"



Ryan: "Betty Lou Reed, Mr. Speaker, is absent because of illness.

She may be in later on today, but she is sick this morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any excused presences on the Republican side? Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, in the spirit of trying to clean up the Calendar, two or three weeks ago when I thought the Committees were playing games by shifting Members and refusing to hear Bills on another thing, I filed a motion that all the House Committees be discharged from further consideration of all Bills. I thought it was appropriate at that time and I guess the time is passed where that would do any good so I'd like to table that motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman have leave to table the... leave to withdraw the motion. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rule so that House Bill 3319 may be put on the Fall Calendar. I am the Chief Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "You heard the Gentleman's motion to suspend the rules... Rule 35(g). Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "What's the motion, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Suspend 35(g) and put this on the Fall Calendar. 3319."

Ryan: "I got to object to that."

Speaker Redmond: "It's on Third Reading on the Calendar."

Ryan: "All right, I'm going to oppose the motion. And I may even verify the Roll Call if you do that now. What is it... Okay, all right. No, that's all right. Let it go."

Speaker Redmond: "All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye' and 1 'no'. The motion carries and 3319 is placed on Fall Calendar. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it's safe to table, on the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, two of the mini-bus Bills - House Bill 3383, 3385."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman have leave to table 3383 and 85? Leave is granted. They're tabled. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Representative Matijevich, I haven't had a chance to look



at these. Are you sure all these agencies are covered someplace?

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "They're covered but we're not sure where yet, George."

I think you know where they're going end up."

Ryan: "Well maybe you ought to hold your motion. Oh, these are House Bills, Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Speaker's Table House Resolution 150. Representative Kucharski-Skinner. What do we do with it? Leave it on the Calendar? Out of the record. 154, Representative Taylor. Representative Tipsword, for what reason do you rise?"

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I have a Bill, House Bill 2792 that's on Postponed Consideration of House Bill. I wonder if I might ask for leave under the rules to postpone that to the Fall Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman have leave to suspend the provisions of Rule 35(g) and place 2792 on the Fall Calendar? It's now on Postponed Consideration. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I would appreciate it, Mr. Speaker, when a Member poses that motion he give us a brief description of the Bill rather than... by number."

Tipsword: "Yes, I'll be glad to. This is a situation that has developed in the Fourth Circuit in regard to their public defender program. They have set up a circuit-wide public defender program and they have received some ILAC funding which is going to run out next March and that this relates to a period between next March and July 1."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objection, the Attendance Roll Call will be used for suspension of the rules. Any other Members desire to have similar treatment to a Bill which they have? 154, on the Speaker's Table. Representative Taylor here? Out of the record. 400. Representative Catania here? Out of the record. 403, Representative Catania. Out of the record. 404, Representative Catania. Representative Terzich, do you want to... move the adoption of that one?"

Terzich: "No, Mr. Speaker, I would like to table House Bill 3387"



which is on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "What number?"

Terzich: "3387."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich asks leave to table 3387 which is on Consideration Postponed. House Bill 3387. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted and 3387 is tabled. 3387, page 4. 457. Representative Steczo. Out of the record. 478, Representative Giglio. Representative Peggy Smith Martin."

P. Martin: "Mr. Speaker, did I understand you to say that House Bill 3287 was tabled?"

Speaker Redmond: "At the request of the Sponsor, he asked leave to table it."

P. Martin: "Oh, I'm sorry. It's 3387. I'm sorry. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "478, Representative Giglio. Out of the record. 485, Representative Chapman. Out of the record. 898, Representative Getty. Representative Getty. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Resolution 898 is a Resolution which is jointly sponsored by myself and Representative Mahar and it addresses itself to a problem which we are having in our, in our district. It is, by the way, jointly Cosponsored by every Representative from our area. What it asks is that the Federal Transportation Authority together with the Illinois Department of Transportation and the Illinois Tollway address itself to an intersection problem that we have on Interstate I-80 and Interstate 294 caused by the new mini-civic center which has been erected in Markham, Illinois. There is a need to create a full access for access entrance and off ramps in that area in order to accommodate the some-twenty-two million dollars that Cook County has expended in creating the civic center for the people of South Cook County. And I would sincerely ask for your support in this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I join in my distinguished colleague from Dolton, Illinois, in the 10th District in supporting this Resolution. The City of



Markham has long been concerned over a number of years about a cloverleaf at this particular location because of the nature of their community and the fact that no cloverleaf prohibits a great deal of movement through their community. Now with the new civic center which is being erected, will go into operation shortly just a few blocks from this interchange, it will require people to travel longer distances to get to that facility and hopefully this Resolution will stir some people to move in the right direction and I urge the endorsement of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, shall House Resolution 898 be adopted? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried and the Resolution is adopted. 974. Representative Murphy. Out of the record. Representative Breslin, are you seeking recognition? House Resolution 1008, Representative Harris. Out of the record. House Joint Resolution 2, Representative Epton. Representative Epton. House Joint Resolution 2."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Some years ago, four years ago legislation was introduced suggesting that the doctors and the lawyers should be required to go back to school or at least have some continuing education since neither medicine nor law is a static field. At that time, the doctors were quite in accord. However, Chief Justice Underwood indicated to me that the legal profession was certainly within the domain of the Supreme Court and although they appreciated our help, any such Bill would certainly be inappropriate. He suggested, however, that he was certainly in accord with the fact that continuing legal education was something that should be followed by the legal profession. There are, as we all know, some attorneys who perhaps enter into fields they should not. This Resolution simply asks the Supreme Court to establish some type of mandatory continuing legal education for attorneys licensed to practice law in this state. It in no way sets up any guidelines, it in no way suggests any schooling, any type of seminar, any type of education. It simply leaves as always within the prerogative of the Supreme Court, but it indicates the voice of the Legislature





that we would like the Supreme Court to encourage attorneys to continue the study of their field even after they have left law school. I would appreciate your favorable vote in favor of this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan. Dan Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In response to the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Resolution, I would simply say that I fail to see what utility the Resolution serves. The concept, I think, is laudatory but for us to be dictating, I think, to the Supreme Court when there is organized support for this already in the Bar Associations and presumptively among members of the court itself, I don't know that we accomplish anything by this type of a Resolution. The term 'mandatory' is the one that is sensitive. There is, of course, continuing legal education programs that the State Bar Association and local Bar Associations actively support. But I question the utility of what we're doing here with a Resolution to urge the courts to adopt this as a mandatory program. I think it may be well premature to have this type of a Resolution and I personally will vote 'no' in consequence to the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I intend to support this Resolution but I think the fallacy of it is is not in the Resolution, but in the explanation by the Sponsor in which he concedes that this legislative Body should have nothing to do with the regulation of the practice of law. Now we run into this in the Legislative Audit Commission which the Supreme Court was trying to contend that even the fees paid by lawyers was not public funds. And I think that any time we do anything in this Body which suggests that the practice of law and the licensing of lawyers in this state is the sole prerogative of the Supreme Court, I think that's a mistake."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Representative Friedrich has said it all."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative



Friedrich may have said it all, but he got it completely wrong in regard to the legal profession. The man that you should have been listening to was Representative Houlihan. He is more than generous, kind when we says that this may be premature, laudatory. It's presumptuous, it comes entirely at the wrong time. The legal profession presently has suffered under an undeserved blast from the Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court. In a moment of relaxed inactivity of the mind..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton, for what purpose do you rise?"

Cunningham: "I'm not through."

Epton: "A point of order. I believe you are. I have certainly listened to Roscoe Cunningham on many, many occasions. He has failed to convince me on all of them. However, in this case, I find in addition to his eloquence there is something in error in the drafting and I would ask that it be pulled out of the record. I want to know... that, Roscoe, you have thoroughly convinced me and I am pleased that you win this argument."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you rise?"

Cunningham: "I wanted to point out that every lawyer in the state is indebted to a very gracious lady named Carol Bellows who is President of the State Bar Association because she returned the misdirected fire of the Chief Justice with devastating effect. God bless Carol Bellows for defending the legal profession. The test of the lawyer is not how often he goes to school, but how many clients he can lure in the door and you need no other...you need no other guidelines in that on this earth."

Speaker Redmond "Taken out of the record, request of the Sponsor. Representative Epton asks leave to table it. Hearing no objection, leave is granted. House Joint Resolution 11. Representative Mahar moves that we table Roscoe Cunningham. Representative Yourell. The Washington Post did that. House Joint Resolution 26. Representative Stearney. Out of the record. House Joint Resolution 42, Representative Porter. Out of the record. House Joint Resolution 56, Representative Katz. Representative Katz."



Katz: "Mr. Speaker, we have reached a time of the Session when we ought to abandon partisanship and acrimony, differences and celebrate and enjoy wildlife and wildflowers. And House Joint Resolution 56 does two things. First of all, it commends the Department of Conservation and DOT for what's called the limited mowing program in which they are trying to both save gasoline but also use roadside areas for preventing soil erosion, for protecting wildflowers, to provide a cover for nesting wildlife. And the second part of the Resolution points out that there is a federal program called operation wildflower under which the Congress has agreed to subsidize local garden clubs that want to plant wildflowers along the highways of any state that participates. This Resolution urges the Department of the... to cooperate with the federal highway administration and the garden clubs of Illinois so that we can utilize these available federal funds to promote wildflowers along our highways. That is the Resolution that was reported with the recommendation do adopt by the House Executive Committee and I commend it as an appropriate point at this time in our Session to substitute wildflowers for other things that are less wholesome to the people of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, while we commending the Department of Transportation for wildflowers along the highway, I would like to point out that we have wild garbage along the highway in the State of Illinois and the County of Cook and particularly the districts that abut the expressways that serve everybody. Now we've been trying to get the attention of the Department of Transportation for years simply to do something about the problem. This House and the Senate passed a Bill last year for a simple one hundred dollars and the Governor vetoed it and said it wasn't enough. They still didn't get the message. This year we asked for a hundred thousand dollars and they opposed the thing entirely. Now while we're congratulating the Department, we ought not worry so much about the wildflowers, what we really ought to worry about is cleaning up the expressways. That is particularly the state's part of the expressways and most specifically the part



on the other side of the fence. You know the side of the fence that the residents of my district have to live on. Now this is the state's obligation and, Mr. Katz, perhaps you could just slip that Amendment into the Bill when you start congratulating the Department of Transportation on the lousy job they're doing on the expressways in terms of keeping it clean."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, just a comment on that. I think we ought to clean up the people who litter the highways to begin with. Representative Mudd and his Committee last term had taken the time to go down and to note in that area where the Department of Transportation and the Department of Conservation had foresight enough to leave it go into wild cover. They found the incident of nesting with quail, pheasant and the rest to be phenomenal because now with clean farming and leaving no fencerows anymore, the wildlife has to start someplace on their recovery route. And they found this to be quite dramatic. I think they ought to be complimented. And where we might say, 'Oh heck, who cares about wildflowers?', I think maybe we ought to take a second look. If you've been up in our area and you see large patches of tiger lilies that are beautiful and if you see the 'Chickashay' and the rest of them that have been left there, I think that for once maybe we're on the right track. Where highways do need mowing, fine, do it. But in areas where you can do two or three other things by selective management, then I think it's a good thing and I think that Representative Katz' Resolution here is a good one."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I raised a question on this Resolution in Executive Committee when it was heard in regard to our noxious weed program in the State of Illinois and if by, per chance, by having this operation wildflower it might interfere with the spraying that takes care of noxious weeds in behalf of our agriculture industry. I have contacted the Department and discussed with them operation wildflower and how they intend that it should be operated. And I can assure you that they have assured me that they have means of avoiding noxious weeds in these areas where



there may be wildflower plantings and there's absolutely going to be no curtailment of their spraying operations, nor will there be any danger to our agricultural industry by virtue of operation wildflower and I urge everyone in the House to support this House Joint Resolution. I think it can be a great thing in Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz, to close."

Katz: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I do want to thank Representative Waddell for pointing out the importance of using the side of our highways to preserve wildlife in Illinois as well as wildflowers. I see the distinguished woman from Quincy is not on the floor but when this was being considered, she spoke about the fact that they have down at her place a family of foxes that they are raising. And I want to say to you that these little touches of nature in no way cause the kind of problem that Mr. Ewell is talking about. None of us are happy about garbage on the highways, none of us are happy about any abuse either of people or the sides of highways. But when a constructive program is being engaged in by the state and when the possibility of promoting wildflowers and wild game and nature is readily available even at federal expense here, this is a program that I commend to the Members of this House and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall the House adopt House Joint Resolution 56? Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. My ear tells me that one Member voted 'aye' and 'no' both. On this question the 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the Resolution's adopted. Representative Schoeberlein, do you seek recognition?"

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, it's just a little late, but Texas is known as the Blue Bonnet State and all of the highways down there have Blue Bonnets growing along side the highway and I'd say it's beautiful. The junk that's along the highway is the same thing you find in some of the yards and they don't know where to take it so they take it out on the highway."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Joint Resolution 55, Representative Giglio. Out of the record. Senate Joint Resolution 59, Representative Friedrich. Friedrich?"



Friedrich: "I yield to Representative Brummer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer or Brummet? Brummer. Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, this is a Resolution that is recommended by the Legislative Audit Commission. Last year we passed legislation, I think it was S.B. 840, authorizing the Auditor General in the proper performance of his audit function to... to examine the records of the Illinois Department of Revenue. There was some question whether that conflicted with the IRS regulation. Representatives Simon, Findley and several other Representatives have introduced legislation into Congress to correct this. This Resolution merely memorializes Congress, asking them to give favorable consideration to that federal legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution 59. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries and the Resolution's adopted. Representative... Senate Joint Resolution 60, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Joint Resolution 60 authorizes Sangamon State University to accept a loan of some two million dollars from the Department of Housing and Urban Development to construct some student housing at Sangamon State. Present statute forbids the... any college or university, public college or university in the state to construct student housing unless its pursuant to Resolution of the House and Senate. This program for Sangamon State University has been authorized by the Board of Higher Education by specific action. The Board of Higher Education notes that thirty-eight percent of Sangamon State's enrollment comes from outside Sangamon County, that the public affairs mandate of Sangamon State is a statewide mandate, that there had been expectation that the private sector would provide housing out at Sangamon State, but that has not occurred in the last five and six years. There is no public transportation out to that area and for that reason, Sangamon State University has gone to the HUD at the federal level and has gotten the authorization for this two million dollar loan. And I would



ask for the concurrence by the House in Senate Joint Resolution 60."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman assure us that there will be no public subsidy, that is out of state funds, for the residences of these new housing units?"

Kane: "Absolutely."

Skinner: "All right, I would like to stress to the Members that that's extremely important because at the present time the average state university college student living in a state-owned facility is subsidized to the tune of one hundred, eighteen dollars and seventy-three cents per year. Those subsidies at various campuses are ninety-three dollars and fifty-four cents for the University of Illinois; one hundred, sixty-three dollars, twenty-seven cents for SIU at Carbondale; one hundred, forty-one dollars and sixty-three cents SIU Edwardsville; and Illinois State University is seventy-six dollars, eighty-nine cents; at Northern Illinois University its a whopping two hundred and three dollars, eighty-six cents; at Eastern Illinois University, it's forty-five dollars and fifty-four cents; and at Western Illinois University, it's one hundred, thirteen dollars and eighty cents. Taxpayers at large are being forced right now to subsidize college students living in university owned housing to the tune of \$4.6 million a year and I just don't think that's justifiable."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we... and Members of the House, we heard this particular Resolution and I've discussed it with universities throughout Illinois and they're all wrestling with the same problem. And that's trying to educate the people in this, provide housing for 'em when they come from different areas throughout the state. And this particular university has very little housing for these students in the immediate area. I think it's very important that we try to address this problem. We have students coming from all over the state here, from each and every one of our districts and I think that Representative Kane's Resolution just addresses itself to the problem. I don't think it mandates



anything and I think we should support it and it's too see that the problem is addressed and we have housing and quarters for students throughout the state here at Sangamon University."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we should give this Resolution our careful consideration. There is a reason why we have said that university campuses should not include student housing without legislative approval by Resolution. And if we approve this in a perfunctory manner, we will be flying in the face of our previous good judgment. There is no reason why we should take taxpayers dollars no matter at what level of government to build housing for students in an area that is as urban as Springfield is. There is a Springfield Mass Transit District. If there are no public transportation routes running to Sangamon State University now, perhaps since we're all paying for that Mass Transit District, they should be encouraged to run routes out there. There will never be an encouragement of private enterprise to build any kind of housing near the campus if we approve this so that the government can take over what private enterprise ought to be doing. I urge a 'no' vote on this and I request a Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, for those who are looking in the Digest on this Resolution you'll find that in the Executive Committee, the vote was seventeen 'ayes' and one 'nay'. I'd like to point out that I was the one voting in opposition to that and I have since gone through this and looked at the questions that I had and it's been satisfied in my mind that there will be no state expenditure here, that this is a senior institution that... that there's no question in my mind there. And I would urge the people on this side of the aisle who have questions as I did in Committee to take a good, long look at it and I think you will be convinced as I am that there is no reason to oppose this Resolution. I had a number of questions which were satisfied. There will be no state expenditure; we'll be applying for funds that are available from the Federal Government. There is a need for student housing in the senior institution and I would again urge those on my side of the





aisle to vote in support of this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane to close."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask for favorable consideration of Senate Joint Resolution 60. The Board of Higher Education looked at this matter very, very carefully. Mr. Furman, who is the Director of the Board of Higher Education, initially opposed this program. After the staff had looked into it and conducted staff analysis for... during a six month period, he changed his mind. The Board of Higher Education recommends... recommended this unanimously. The Senate has approved it and what we have is a university that is outside of the city area in a rural section. We don't have mass transportation down there. This would authorize the university to accept a two million dollar loan at a three percent rate of interest which will allow for eighty apartment units for students at Sangamon State at a much lower rate of rent than they would have to pay in the city itself. And I'd urge the favorable adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 60."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution 60? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Representative Gene Hoffman. Representative Campbell, would you please sit down? Representative Hoffman wants to talk and I can't see you."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, those of us who were here when Sangamon State was proposed, it was proposed as a senior level college which would meet a particular need in terms of public service. When it was developed, there was never any intention that it become a residential college. We have enough residential colleges in the state as it is. Let me also point out that that will have an adverse effect on the public-private or the private colleges throughout Central Illinois. Now the intent of Sangamon State was never to compete with schools like Lincoln College and other colleges located in the immediate vicinity - Millikin and places like that. It was never the intention that it would be competitive with them. The University of Illinois was enough competition. And the intent was that this was to provide



senior level work and graduate work primarily in public service. There was never any intention that residential facilities would be built there and it's for that reason that I vote 'no'. It's contrary to what the original intent was."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. This question there's 89 'aye' and 40 'no' and the motion carries and the House does adopt Senate Joint Resolution 60. Senate Joint Resolution 62, Representative E.M. Barnes. Out of the record. Senate Joint Resolution 72, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 72 is a Resolution which attempts to authorize the Electronic Fund Transfer Study Commission to close its meeting on one subject and that's the subject of security in electronic banking. This Resolution, as I say, we want to only close those meetings pertaining to security which is very important to the Electronic Banking Fund that we do have information on security which is something that worries many of us that are on this Commission. And in order to get people with authority to testify, we... they will not testify in an open meeting because it's a type... to protect the public on electronic fund transfer. It's a must that the... that this does not be put out in public. And therefore, I would encourage a favorable vote. This passed the Senate, I believe, without any dissenting votes. It passed Executive Committee here in the House with no dissenting votes and I now make a motion that this be approved, Senate Joint Resolution 72."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "The Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Greiman: "How do we, how will we know what's in the report that your Subcommittee makes and how will we evaluate your Subcommittee's report and what meaning will your Subcommittee report have if we have somehow imposed a security on it?"

Neff: "I'm sure you understand the problem with having a meeting open when you discuss security cause this involves many things. It could involve theft of computer resources. And many other



things that it's just almost impossible. And as I say, the Commission will probably only have one meeting on this. We hope to just have one meeting. I'm in favor of open meetings and I think we should have them but on a subject such as this, I think it's a must that it be closed because this is the kind of information can't be made out to the public when you're discussing security."

Greiman: "Well, do you think that we really... do we really have the kind of in-depth scrutiny that would bar electronic or a computer thief? Aren't they really pretty hep to what's cooking? Is there some kind of great security that's going..."

Neff: "Well... try to keep up with, but there is new securities coming out all the time and the public must be protected. The consumer must be protected. And therefore, we've got to establish in a recommendation to the Legislature all the security possible."

Greiman: "Well okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Bowman: "Representative Neff, following up on Representative Greiman's point about the fact that these meetings will be closed, we have an open meeting statute on the books right now. Now I don't have a copy of it in front of me, but I would surprised if the statute would provide for an exception pursuant to a House Resolution. In other words, I don't think that we, by Resolution, can override a statute. Would you please comment on that? How does the open meetings statute relate to your Resolution?"

Neff: "Yes, I will. The Constitution provides authority for closing Joint Legislative Commission systems if two-thirds of the Members elected to each House determine that the public interest requires closed sessions. And adoption of this Resolution would adopt that by a two-thirds vote."

Bowman: "Okay, so this... this motion would require one hundred and seventeen votes. Can you tell me how many votes it got in the Senate?"



Neff: "Why, I believe it carried without any dissenting votes, but I don't want to say positive. I was told that but I didn't check it out. In the Executive Committee it did pass without any dissenting votes."

Bowman: "All right, thank you. So this motion would require a hundred and seventeen votes to pass. I'm personally very concerned about any breach of the open meetings statute. I think the public in general does have a right to know. I would hope that if this does pass that the, the Representative would see to it that we are... are informed at least of the nature of the discussions if the specific... some specific information has to be withheld. But I'm personally very, very concerned about, you know, a breach in the dike and so I plan to vote 'present' on this. Thank you."

Neff: "Thank you and I will make sure in answer to that that you are given as much information we can because I'm a strong believer in open meetings also and would not be handling this Resolution if it was something I felt... that was a must."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, perhaps I could clear up something having to do with the Gentleman from Cook's question about this. The Legislature is not subject to the Open Meetings Act. Certainly we should be subject to the spirit of it be we are not subject to the Act. We are subject to the Constitution and as Representative Neff has said, it is proper for us to close a meeting of a Commission with a two-thirds vote. It did pass the Senate by fifty to one. I personally do not see any reason why this study should be closed since electronic fund transfer systems are illegal in Illinois. I don't see what the big deal is about studying security in electronic banking since it isn't even here. So I will not be supporting the Resolution, but it can be considered by this House with a hundred, eighteen votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, this Resolution seeks to close only those sessions dealing with the subject of security in electronic banking. Only security, all the other sessions will be... remain open to the general public and I would request a favorable Roll



Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I might be able to shed a little light on this. We held hearings in the data information systems on all computerization and had invited specifically the banking industry to participate in the area of privacy. They were reluctant to do so for the simple reason that the issue of privacy as it relates to banking poses a lot of problems and they're not easily answered. Therefore, they tried to stay out of them. I would suggest that this is an excellent Resolution. We should back it so that the hearings can be held and so that they can do it in the privacy that they need to have that Resolution be fruitful. I suggest an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, I have no problem with the, what's being attempted here in the Resolution except I have a question about whether through a Resolution we can circumvent the state open meetings law. I believe that since the Commission was created by state government that... I'd like to ask, perhaps, the Sponsor whether the Commission is covered by the state open meeting law and if it is, can we just through a Resolution circumvent a law that was passed and signed and has been enforced in the state?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "The answer to that is the Constitution does provide for closing Joint Legislative Commissions. This, by the way, is an open meeting and does come under the Open Meeting Act. But it does provide, if each House determines that the public interest requires closed sessions, and therefore, we need to adopt this Resolution. And I want to add again that this will probably only be one closed meeting and not over two at the most."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Mr. Chairman and Members of the House, I rise to oppose Senate Joint Resolution 72. I can't think of any particular reason why we need to allow a closed meeting on the subject of electronic funds. First of all, I'd like to bring the attention of this House that the Electronic Funds Transfer Study Commission got



off to a, what I think, a very bad start when it held a meeting in the Chairman's bank of where he was an officer and he was chosen, a nonlegislative Member, which is against my... my beliefs that we should have on any Commission of this House and it is was in a... on a state holiday which is another objection I raise. At the first meeting of our Electronics Commission, the Chairman of our Committee tried to, in fact, ask the guests that were in attendance of that meeting to step out so we could have a closed meeting. And after I raised objections, the Chairman reluctantly after his... rest of the Members persisted, to allow this meeting to be adjourned so that they could receive approval. Now we don't know that the Federal Government has had much legislation and much study in this area. Last year I was Vice-Chairman of the Electronic Funds Commission and we came up with one of the most comprehensive reports that you could have on this subject. There is no reason to have a closed meeting and I ask you to join me in opposing S.J.R. 72."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried. Representative Neff to close."

Neff: "Thank you. In response to the last speaker, I thought it was right and proper in selecting a person that was an expert on electronic banking and proven that out over the years in chairing this Commission. I could see nothing wrong with it although I would have been in favor if somebody such as Representative Kelly had been appointed, picked as Chairman. But the other man was picked and I think we're to be commended on picking a man that was qualified through his years experience on studying electronic fund transfer and it brings some outside information to the Commission that I think we need and the Legislature needs. Therefore, I would..."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution 72? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'."



Representative Steele."

E.G. Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would urge that we vote overwhelmingly for this Senate Joint Resolution which passed almost unanimously, fifty to one, in the Senate. There is a need for protection of the public and that's why this Resolution is in. They have found from experience that they do need perhaps just on one occasion to hold closed meetings where they can discuss security, security which is going to benefit the entire public through the result of the hearings of this Commission. And to vote 'no' would prevent this Commission from doing their jobs right. I'd like to point out that there are occasions where you have to have closed meetings. For instance, in the area of banking the right of privacy where a person's credit is involved. You have to keep some of that information behind closed doors because people do not want their credit rights violated, they do not want these things brought out into the open unnecessarily. And here we have a situation very similar where it is critically important to the benefit of the public, critically important to this Commission to do their job properly for the benefit of deriving what are needed in the area of security that they have occasionally a closed meeting. This has been found necessary from experience. The Senate has overwhelmingly approved of this and I would urge that this Resolution be supported by two-thirds of this House which is what it needs in order to provide and permit the Commission to do an adequate job in protecting the public. Some of these rights are inviolate. They should not be made public, be available to the newspapers and so forth and I urge green lights in support of this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm also a Member of the EFTS Commission and we've been holding hearings and meeting about six hours a month and it's come to the point in the Commission meetings where it's necessary to see what type of security arrangements are going to be available and the level of technology is there so we can properly report to the General Assembly. I think this type of Resolution is absolutely necessary



for us to do an effective job. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Constitution absolutely mandates that every Session of the Legislature, general, Committee and Commission, be open to the public. But wisely, the Constitutional Convention provided for a secret meeting or a meeting that was not open. And that was by a two-thirds vote of each House of the Legislature. And if ever there was a situation where there ought to be a closed meeting, it's to consider this Resolution. That is security in electronic fund transfers. There had been as we know many fraud perpetrated on the people through the use of computers and banking. It has tended to reduce the confidence the public has in banking and there's nothing more important than confidence in our banks. Now in the Senate, they've had a Roll Call in Committee, a Roll Call before the full Senate and there was a Roll Call in a House Committee and in all those Roll Calls, there's just one person so far who has voted 'no'. There's simply no excuse for not passing this Resolution. This is exactly what the Constitutional Convention planned for, this should be automatic and deserves an 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 117 'aye' and 21 'no'. Representative Kelly. Representative Kelly requests a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Neff requests a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees. Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Parliamentary inquiry. How many votes does this need?"

Speaker Redmond: "118."

Marovitz: "And there's a verification requested at this point?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well I... I declared that it had 117 to 21 and Representative Kelly..."

Marovitz: "He wants to verify it when it doesn't have enough votes?"

Speaker Redmond: "...wants it verified and Representative..."

Marovitz: "Needs 118 votes, doesn't have it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff has requested a poll of the absentees."





Marovitz: "Needs 118 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly has withdrawn his request.  
Representative Neff."

Neff: "A poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vitek. Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "What was your..."

Vitek: "I want to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vitek 'aye'. What's the count,  
Mr. Clerk? Representative Porter."

Porter: "Change my vote to 'aye' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Porter to 'aye'. Representa-  
tive Luft 'aye'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "120 'ayes'."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 120 'aye' and 21 'no'  
and the House... Representative Kelly. Representative Kelly re-  
quests a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representa-  
tive Neff requests a poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, Dan Houlihan desires to  
be verified. Is that agreeable? Representative Houlihan is  
here."

Clerk O'Brien: "Chapman, Corneal Davis, Jack Davis, Ewell, Hart,  
Kornowicz, Leinenweber, Macdonald, Madison, Mann, McBroom, Miller,  
Mulcahey, Reed, Ryan, Schlickman, Sharp, Stearney and Willer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson. Representative Anderson.  
Please give him order."

Anderson: "Change me to 'yes' please. Change me to 'yes' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson desires to be recorded as  
'yes'. Representative Keats desires to be verified. Is it all  
right to verify Representative Keats? Representative Kelly, he  
wants to be verified. Is that all right? Okay. Representative  
Chapman. Representative Chapman votes 'aye'. Proceed. Yeah,  
have you concluded with the absentees? Representative Lucco de-  
sires to be recorded as 'aye'. Will you proceed with the call  
of the Affirmative Roll Call? Representative Dawson 'no'. What



is the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Dawson was from 'aye' to 'no'. That's makes 121 'ayes'."

Speaker Redmond: "121. Representative Domico. That 'no'? Didn't know whether you're pointing to your 'aye' or your 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "That was 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "120?"

Clerk O'Brien: "120 'ayes' at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. Representative Ray Ewell."

Ewell: "Speaker, will you record me as 'aye'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative John Dunn 'aye'. No, pardon me. Representative John Dunn 'no'. Proceed with the..."

Clerk O'Brien: "John Dunn was 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait, wait a minute now, wait a minute now. Representative Corneal Davis, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Davis."

C. Davis: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Tipword, were you seeking recognition? Tipword? Proceed. Representative Gaines. Representative Gaines desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Adams, Bartulis, Beatty, Bennett, Bianco, Birchler, Bluthardt, Boucek, Bradley, Brady, Brandt, Breslin, Rich Brummer, Don Brummet, Caldwell, Campbell, Capparelli, Chapman, Christensen, Conti, Cunningham, Daniels, Corneal Davis, Deavers, Deuster, DiPrima, Doyle, Ralph Dunn, Ebbesen, Edgar, Ewell, Ewing, Farley, Flinn, Friedland, Friedrich, Gaines, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Hoffman, Dan Houlihan, Hoxsey, Hudson, Huff, Huskey."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman, with your new haircut."

Schlickman: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Schlickman: "Record me as voting 'aye' please."



Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Miller." --

Miller: "Mr. Speaker, could I be recorded as 'aye' please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Miller desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Jacobs, Johnson, Dave Jones, Emil Jones, Keats, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kucharski, Laurino, Lucco, Luft, Madigan, Mahar, Margalus, Marovitz, Lynn Martin, Matejek, Matula, Mautino, McAuliffe, McClain, McCourt, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPike, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Mudd, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, Peters, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Pouncey, Reilly, Richmond, Rigney, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Schuneman, Shumpert, Simms, Skinner, E.G. Steele, C.M. Stiehl, Stuffle, Sumner, Taylor, Terzich, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Van Duyne, Vitek, Von Boeckman, Waddell, R.V. Walsh, W.D. Walsh, Wikoff, Williams, Winchester, Wolf, Younge, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call, Representative Kelly?"

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to... Representative Van Duyne."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne here? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Kelly: "Representative Taylor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Taylor's in his seat."

Kelly: "Representative Stuffle, Larry Stuffle."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Kelly: "Representative Skinner, Cal Skinner."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner. Is he in his seat? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner. How's he recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Proceed."

Kelly: "Representative Rigney, Harlan Rigney. Oh, there he is.

Representative Richmond, Bruce Richmond."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Richmond."

Kelly: "Okay, Representative Polk."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "May I be verified? I have to go to a Conference?"

Speaker Redmond: "May the Gentleman be verified?"

Kelly: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Leave is granted. Representative Polk."

Kelly: "Representative Polk is here. Representative Pechous, Bob.

Oh, he's in his seat. Okay. Representative... Representative  
McPike."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Kelly: "Representative Mautino."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Kelly: "Representative Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in the center aisle."

Kelly: "Okay. How about Representative Mann."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann, is he in the chair? He's  
not recorded as voting, Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Oh, I'm sorry. Excuse me. Representative Kempiners."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Kelly: "Kempiners, Bill Kempiners."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in the aisle there talking to his Leader."

Kelly: "Okay, Tim Johnson, Representative Johnson."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson, is he in his seat? Is he  
in the chamber? Representative Johnson. Johnson. How's he re-  
corded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."



Kelly: "Representative Hanahan."

Speaker Redmond: "He's down in the front here."

Kelly: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Kelly: "Oh, she's in a Conference? Well, I'd like to knock her off, you know."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Geo-Karis on a Conference Committee? What's your pleasure, Representative Kelly? Do you want to get her?"

Kelly: "Well, I don't know where she's at, but okay, I'll leave her on there. All right, I'll take her off. Take her off."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove Representative Geo-Karis."

Kelly: "Representative Gaines."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines. In the center aisle."

Kelly: "Representative Flinn, Monroe Flinn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Monroe Flinn, I'm advised that he's also in a Conference. What's your pleasure?"

Kelly: "Take him off."

Speaker Redmond: "Take Representative Flinn off."

Kelly: "If they can come up there, they can get back on. It'd only take a minute. Representative Deavers. Gil Deavers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Representative Johnson has returned to the chamber and return him to the Roll Call."

Kelly: "Okay. Representative Cunningham, Roscoe. Oh, there he is."

Speaker Redmond: "He's right there."

Kelly: "Okay. Representative Breslin."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin. How's she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

Kelly: "Okay, two more and then that'll be it. Representative Mahar. There he is."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Kelly: "Representative Adams. He's here, too. Okay, that's it."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative Breslin



is over here, put her back on the Roll Call. Breslin. On this question there's 118 'aye' and 21 'no' and the House does adopt Senate Joint Resolution 72, 75 by a two-thirds majority. Representative Conti."

Conti: "I'm... Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I am concerned in the next couple of days we will be in Conference Committee. Should a Member or is there any part of the rule or should a Member get up and announce that he's going to Conference Committee or would he be automatically knocked off of the Roll Call?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it was the intention of the Chair with respect to that last Roll Call to recess in order to see where the Members that were in Conference Committee, to give them the opportunity to come back. Seems to me that it's unfair when they're on House business to take them off. I think that the person verifying it has the right to remove them because they don't know where they are. So that was my intention."

Conti: "I see."

Speaker Redmond: "You just advise the Chair that the Member is in a Conference Committee and we will afford the opportunity. Of course if they know when they're going if they ask leave to be verified immediately, we can take care of that."

Conti: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "75. Representative Ralph Dunn."

R. Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Joint Resolution 75 is by Gene Johns in the Senate and I'm the House Sponsor along with Representative Bill Harris. Resolved that the House concur that the policy of the State of Illinois shall be to encourage the use of Illinois coal reserves. It's an Amendment, Resolution that I think we'd have no trouble with. I'd be glad to answer any questions. I'd urge for the adoption of it in the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to introduce eighteen Brownies from the Brownie Troop from Oregon, Illinois. They have with them Phyllis Roll, their chaperone, and Kathy Bloomeyer, troop leader. This group is in the rear of the House chambers in



the balcony. They're represented by Representative Mulcahey, Rigney and Adams. Glad to have you here."

Speaker Redmond: "They were back in the Speaker's office yesterday and they're a very fine group of young ladies as are their sponsors. House Joint Resolution 75. Representative Ralph Dunn. This has not been through Committee so I've been advised that you have to move for immediate consideration if you want it to be considered by the Body."

R. Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for immediate consideration, whatever rule it is. What rule number would I... to consider Senate Joint Resolution #75?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman... is there any objection to considering Senate Joint Resolution 75 immediately? That's not having gone through Committee. Hearing no objection, Attendance Roll Call will be used and leave is granted. Representative Ralph Dunn."

R. Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 75, as I said a while ago, is a Resolution that urges that the policy of... states that the policy of the State of Illinois should be to encourage the use of Illinois coal reserves as a high priority energy source where technically, economically and environmentally feasible. And I would urge the adoption of the Resolution. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ralph Dunn, what was your motion?"

R. Dunn: "I'd like to move the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 75."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on Representative Dunn's motion to adopt Senate Joint Resolution 75. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. The Resolution's adopted."

R. Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take the time of the House to introduce a new Member of the General Assembly - Representative Jim Houlihan who just got a haircut."

Speaker Redmond: "I saw him coming out of Bettie's Wigs. Representative



Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, in an effort to clear the Calendar could I have leave to table House Bill 2507 which is on Consideration Postponed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted. 2507 is tabled. Representative James Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, in the absence of the Majority Leader, I'd like to move that 3316, the Committee from State Government Organization, be moved to the Fall Calendar also and waive the appropriate rule to do that. That was the reorganization legislation which we amended on to Senator Nimrod's Bill and the Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "It's a House Bill, House Bill 3316 on page 2. Parliamentarian advises me that it's unnecessary to suspend the rule because that's exempt from deadline. Senate Joint Resolution 95, Representative Ebbesen. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Joint Resolution 95 addresses itself to a very serious problem in the state. You all know the Illinois State Scholarship Commission has the responsibility for the administration of the Illinois guaranteed student loan program and the Commission now has something where near four hundred and eight-two million dollars in guaranteed loans that are using the full faith and credit of the people of this state. And in 1978, the defaulted loans that were... we had to pay to the lenders was somewhere around an estimated seven million dollars and there was only a collection in this same category of something like an estimated two million. And many times, previous audits of the Commission there have been recommendations to encourage the Commission to come up with some improved management of the program so that we can reduce and minimize the defaults in this area. Now what the thrust of the Resolution is that we've got hundreds now of delinquent borrowers. A recent printout showed, and a lot of them, hundreds of them, are state employees including people who work for the Scholarship Commission. And this proposal or this Resolution is really asking the Auditor General to get in there and to perform an audit with the idea that he come up with





some recommendations to review the program, the procedures they're now using in this category and especially in the area focusing on the... why we have this with people who are employed, state employees, especially right in the Commission itself, and report back to the General Assembly and the Commission for appropriate action as soon as possible. I would be glad to respond to any questions, but I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of Senate Joint Resolution 95. You know it's really hard to believe that the Scholarship Commission itself which is responsible for these loans to... and guaranteeing these loans has a number of its own Commission Members which are delinquent on scholarship loans. It's almost unbelievable that this could be happening in this state. In addition to the Scholarship Commission, there are employees of this state making over twenty thousand dollars a year who are delinquent on the scholarship loans, including some in the Bureau of the Budget. I think the Senate Joint Resolution 95 is well warranted and should be expedited through this House and through the Auditor General as quickly as possible."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A question for the Sponsor. Will this in addition speed up the service or the function of the Scholarship Commission also or address itself to that?"

Ebbsen: "Well, I would assume that anything that directs itself of this magnitude at the Commission is going to get the attention of the Commission very appropriately, but I think that there are other things that... through the legislative process this particular Session and some... there's still... in... the works right now that are getting the attention that will address itself to that."

Leverenz: "It's very difficult for two hundred and thirty-six Members of the General Assembly to make their inquiries when they only have one telephone in Deerfield. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution 95. Those in



favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried and the Resolution's adopted."

Speaker Giorgi: "House Resolution 478. Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Resolution 478 would authorize the Department of Transportation to issue permits for the auto carriers to transport loads which are, extend three feet beyond the front and less than four feet beyond the rear. What it does, it further mandates that the Department of Transportation for a two year period must keep records on the safety aspects of such operations as it pertains to the Illinois highways. Under the existing law now, carriers with a sixty foot combination can legally hang over up to five feet. This combination with this permit will let the carriers hang over on designated, only designated four lane highways and other highways designated by the Department of Transportation. They will not operate on the narrow two lane highway if this Resolution passes. The reason for this is that all these surrounding states of Illinois here in the Midwest from Michigan, Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Missouri, all have it. We don't have it and Iowa doesn't have it. We'd like to monitor traffic to see if it's feasible and after a two year period, the Department of Transportation will have their recommendation. If there's any questions, I'd be happy to answer. If not, I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McCourt on the Resolution."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

McCourt: "Would you please explain again? I wasn't listening when you first started, Representative Giglio. But would this extend the trucks lengths in Illinois? And if so, how much would the trucks lengths be extended for this two year period?"

Giglio: "No, it wouldn't extend the truck length. It would... what it does it allows, it would allow the truck to carry an extra car and extend beyond the length of the truck three foot in front and four foot in the back. Truthfully, they come out of Detroit now and they run through Illinois from Michigan to Wisconsin and



Minnesota and what they want to find out is to monitor the safety factor, whether or not this is feasible or not."

McCourt: "Well what is the present overhang permitted without this Resolution?"

Giglio: "Sixty foot combination can legally... existing law in Illinois for an auto carrier is allowed up to sixty foot and can legally have five feet hanging over. That's the law now. Chapter 25, paragraph 15-107."

McCourt: "Well, how would, how much would this extend it from the sixty-plus five or sixty-five feet?"

Giglio: "Now, it would be sixty up to three or four. It would not go to sixty-five."

McCourt: "But this would be extending the... the length by approximately five feet?"

Giglio: "Three to four."

McCourt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think this is an attempt to do the exact same thing that we defeated just a few weeks ago. There was a Bill in this House to extend the trucks length and it was defeated. Now we have here a proposal that we shall give the Department of Transportation a two year trial period to see how many people are killed or not killed by increasing the length of trucks. Now if anything should be killed, this Resolution should be killed and not anymore people by some of these ridiculous truckdrivers that are on our highways."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz on the motion."

Leverenz: "Sponsor yield for a question? Representative Giglio, it doesn't... the only thing that this does is to allow a study. Is that correct?"

Giglio: "That's correct."

Leverenz: "It does not allow the Department of Transportation to allow trucks to move on state highways for two years. We are merely authorizing them or directing them to perform a study for us and develop information. Is that correct?"

Giglio: "Right. And may I point now, only... only on the auto carrier, not on all the trucks as was stated by the previous speaker. Only on the trucks carrying the autos and the vans and the trucks coming



out of the manufacturing companies."

Leverenz: "Then the previous speaker was incorrect in saying that the Department of Transportation was going to allow longer trucks for two years?"

Giglio: "Right."

Leverenz: "And it is only a study, then I support. Thank you."

Giglio: "Right."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Mudd. Mr. Waddell. Representative Waddell, did you want... Okay, Representative Mudd on the motion. Representative Mudd on the motion."

Mudd: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield for a question? Representative Giglio, are you saying that when they do the study in the State of Illinois that there will be no trucks with the extended load on our highways?"

Giglio: "No, what I'm saying is they will conduct a study to see if it's feasible in safety with these auto carrier trucks only to see whether or not these trucks that are truthfully running through Illinois now. There's no doubt about it, I'm not going to stand up here and try to deceive the Members of the House. These truckdrivers come out of Detroit where they manufacture the majority of these trucks and run through Indiana and Illinois to get to Wisconsin and Minnesota and the western states. And we're the only state except Iowa that does not have the extended length."

Mudd: "Isn't it also true that there would be no overloads on the axles, there would also be some type of a savings to the consumer who purchase automobiles because of... if they decide that this is not a safety hazard?"

Giglio: "That's correct. There, there... it's just to monitor the traffic and to make DOT aware of what... what will take place and whether or not this is going to be feasible and whether or not the State of Illinois eventually after two years will condone this type of operation."

Mudd: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Barnes."

E.M. Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members



of the House, irregardless of whether or not the Department of Transportation or anyone else supports this, this Resolution, let's face up to the fact here. We're talking about extending the load, extending the legal limit for the load for... for tractor-trailer operations in Illinois. Irregardless of whether or not it's automobile carriers or whoever it is, you still are talking about extending the number of feet allowable on our highways. Let's be honest with everybody here. There's no way that you can conduct a study of extending the loads on a vehicle unless that vehicle runs on the highways. Let's not kid ourselves. If all you want to do is study the effect of extended load vehicles in other states, simply write to the Department of Transportation in those states. You don't need a two year study to do that. All you need is a fifteen cent postal stamp. If you're being honest here with all the Members of the House so they know exactly what they are voting on, you're talking about a two year period for running extended load vehicles for automobile carriers. No ifs, no ands or buts. That's what you're talking about. Now if DOT or anyone else support that, I do not support that and I don't think that the majority of the Members of this House who have spoken on this issue support extended, extending the length of vehicles on the highway that we are trying now to repair more and more and more each year, costing more money for repairs on the vehicles that are using those highways now. This was a bad proposal in the Bill that was defeated. It is a bad proposal in the Resolution."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Giglio to close."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Contrary to the previous speaker... tried... believe he tried to indicate that this is an extension on all trucks. This is not. These trucks are just going to be monitored and are going to have to have a permit. This is nothing to do with the extended length of all the trucks that pass through our highways and operate our highways in Illinois. These are only for the auto-truck carriers and that's all. And these special carriers will have special permits and these permit carriers will be monitored by DOT. I would



ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Giglio moves that House Resolution 478 be adopted. All those... favorable signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 64 'ayes', 43 'nays', 9 voting 'present'. The Resolution's adopted. Representative Macdonald, for what reason do you arise?"

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise on a point of personal privilege. We're in the very last days now of getting ready to adjourn and I have been silent on this matter for the reason that I was so sure that the matter would be taken up. There is no greater interest in any issue in this General Assembly than there is in Representative Totten's Joint Resolution 44. The people are calling, they are waiting for us to take action and I implore this House at this particular point to with reason consider, request of Representative Totten on this urgent and all-important issue and I would move at this time pursuant to rule 10(b) to change the order of business, Second Reading Constitutional Amendments for the purpose of considering Amendments to House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 44. I have the five necessary Members to make that consideration."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Macdonald, you asked me off the record that you wanted to speak on a point of personal privilege and that point has been noted and now I recognize Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "On a point of personal privilege. It's very rarely that I disagree with the privilege of the Lady from Cook. But what I find the voters want, the voters want the Circuit Breaker Bill signed by Governor Thompson - the only meaningful property tax Bill passed in this Session which was passed yesterday. Many of you voted for it when it passed and didn't have the courage to vote for it on Concurrence when the Governor cracked the whip on your patronage. That's what I find the people say - Governor Thompson, sign House Bill 3279 into law so we have meaningful property tax relief for the low and middle income taxpayers - the Pierce Circuit Breaker Bill. I hate to correct the Lady but other than that she's usually very correct in what she says."



Speaker Giorgi: "Inasmuch as I allowed Mrs. Macdonald a point of personal privilege, Dan Pierce felt privileged to take a point of personal privilege. Now, Mr. Collins, do you want a point of personal privilege? I'll recognize you for that purpose solely. You want a point of personal privilege?"

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if that's what you want to call it, I'll call it a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Giorgi: "You're recognized on a point of personal privilege."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I have no objection to Dan Pierce giving a political speech and trying to get credit for some kind of tax relief. That's wonderful if it... if he can fool the people, all the more credit to him. But the fact is that you and those who have preceded you in the Chair have trampled upon the rights of the Members of this House and particularly one who has offered to the people of the State of Illinois the chance to speak out on tax relief. Now I am not as bright as Representative Pierce. I don't know what the people want, but we would like to put House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 44 on the ballot and let the people tell us what kind of tax relief they want. And, Mr. Speaker, I don't know how you or Representative Madigan or the real Speaker can ignore the rules of this House which you helped write, you're all Members of the Rules Committee and House Rule 10(b) very clearly says that any Member may at any time move to change the order of business and that's just what Representative Macdonald has done at this time. I'm sick and tired of you people stepping on us and stepping upon our rights. And it's about time that you allowed us to put this Resolution to the vote of this House to put it on the ballot and let the people speak out on tax relief. I know I can't dent that thick hide of yours, Mr. Speaker, but maybe we can make the point that this is meaningful tax relief that the people are asking to vote on. We're not asking to pass a Bill, we're not looking for any political advantage. We're asking the people of the State of Illinois to be given the opportunity to speak out on tax relief. Now Representative Macdonald made, put a motion to you, Mr. Speaker. I would hope that you would honor that motion



and call for a vote upon it at this time. There are obviously more than five people in support of that motion. There are many people on both sides of the aisle that's for that motion. There are many people on both sides of the aisle as Cosponsors of the Resolution. So please, Mr. Speaker, your predecessors in that Chair have not had the decency to call this motion. Let's... why don't you display a little common decency, a little respect for the rights of the Members of this House, the rules of this House and put the motion and let's go to that order of business, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, I wish you'd at least pretend like you're listening to us. Your discourtesy should be noted also."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Collins, I think the highlight of your remarks were that you were not as bright as Representative Pierce. And let the record show your remarks. Now before I recognize you, Representative Totten, and I want to give you your ending, I'm going to ask you for what reason do you rise. We're not going to go to that order of business cause time denies that and you had your day Sunday. Now do you want to be recognized as a point of personal privilege? Okay, recognize the Gentleman for a point of personal privilege because of the remarks that have been made here earlier."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On a question of privilege. There is no doubt that what the Speaker of this House has done and what Maestro Madigan has orchestrated has been a denial of the rights of a Member of this House. I have only been here five years and I have never seen a denial of the rights of a Member like mine have been denied in order to hear this proposition. There are many Members who have been here longer than I who say they have never seen the rights of a Member abused like mine have. Yesterday the Speaker of this House indicated to me that he would call this issue yesterday. Well needless to say, the Speaker has broken his word again. Now I don't know although I can suspect who is keeping him from bringing this proposition before this Assembly. But, Mr. Speaker and Mr. Madigan and Mr. Redmond, your abuse of the power that was entrusted in you to rule this





House is deplorable, it's capricious, and it does not deserve the retention of you in that Chair. I have been quiet and tried to roll within the rules of this House in order to get this measure before you. It's apparent now that those efforts are going to fall on deaf ears. Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm prepared to stay here for as many days as we have to do the orderly business of this House. But if you and Mr. Madigan are going to deny the Members of this House the opportunity to vote on this and the people of this state some real meaningful tax reform, then that mantle rests on your shoulders and no one else's."

Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you, Representative Totten. On your way to cannonization. House Resolution 833. House Resolution 833. Representative Katz on House Resolution 833."

Katz: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Resolution 833 which comes before the House here on a recommendation do adopt by the House Executive Committee would simply direct the House Education Committee to study the subject of the use of substitute teachers in the Chicago schools to see about whether they would have recommendations for improving education of substitute teachers. It appears that the use of substitute teachers began at a time when there was not ample numbers of substitute teachers in the city. Now there are large numbers of teachers. The substitutes are not used for teaching subjects. They are not certified in the subjects for which they teach and hence they couldn't teach it and this is simply an attempt to see if one of our subordinate units in the state might have some ideas that might improve the education of the children in what is by far our largest school district in the state. It is Cosponsored by the Chairman of the House Education Committee. It is not a partisan move. It is simply an attempt to try to be helpful in improving the quality of education in our largest district and I would urge the support of House Resolution 833."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kosinski on House Resolution 833."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it appears to me that Mr. Katz has hit on something very vital and fundamental in the instruction of our children. With the amount



of money we're appropriating to have proper instruction, it would appear to me as logical that when substitutions are made a person certified in the particular subject should be used. To have an English class taught by a gym teacher doesn't seem reasonable. In consequence, I feel very strongly that something should be done in terms of investigating this situation. And I would be in accord with Mr. Katz' Resolution. This mandates nothing. It merely asks for... a Subcommittee to look into the problem to see whether our educative monies are being used properly, to see whether the ultimate goal of instructing our students is being achieved by the proper use of teachers. And I am in accord with this Resolution."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mulcahey on the Resolution."

Mulcahey: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Mulcahey: "Representative Katz, is it my understanding then that one of the big concerns you have is the fact that, for example, a history teacher would be absent on a certain day, therefore, you feel that it should be a history, a person with a degree in history that should be the substitute?"

Katz: "Yes. If such a teacher is available as a substitute history teacher, would be able to do something other than just maintaining order. Whereas if no attempt is made and a gym teacher is assigned to the history class, there's nothing the gym teacher can do except sit there and keep order. I do believe that to the extent possible and with the large numbers of teachers that are available, we could have more efficiency without sacrificing any of the advantages of substitute teachers."

Mulcahey: "I think you're perfectly correct, Mr. Katz. I think this is a real good Resolution and I've been down that road a few times myself and I wholeheartedly endorse it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schneider on the Resolution."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to just read closely the synopsis on the Calendar. It does not predispose us to any conclusions and that is we are trying to just determine the capability of a district to do what seems logical and that is to



place in a classroom those people who are qualified on a substitute basis to teach that subject matter. We do want to get rid of the babysitting connotation that is so often associated with substituting. I think the Katz Resolution is important and inasmuch as it is going to my Committee where it will later hopefully be assigned to a Subcommittee, we may be able to reach some conclusions by the end of fall. So I think it's a worthwhile effort. We are examining problem with this directive and I would ask for support on it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen on the Resolution."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm sure that the subject of substitute teachers in the Chicago Public Schools is one of the truly burning issues of this day. And I am truly amazed that we have the time, Mr. Speaker, to consider this Resolution but we do not have the time to consider an issue that is being demanded by the people of this state through tax reform."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative... Representative Darrow on the Resolution."

Darrow: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Indicates he will."

Darrow: "Representative Katz, it's obvious that downstate Illinois has the same problem in this area as Chicago. Would it be possible to include downstate in your Resolution?"

Katz: "I would have no objection to doing so. I would want to tell you that in suburban Cook County, this is already done. I'm not familiar with the situation in downstate. In suburban Cook County the substitutes they bring in are assigned on the basis of what they're certified in. I'm not familiar with the situation downstate. I would have no objection to broadening it if the Chairman of the House Education Committee and he indicates that he would be perfectly willing to take testimony on the question of the downstate use of substitutes as well."

Darrow: "Would it be proper to amend the Resolution on its face to strike Chicago and put just State of Illinois or how best would that be accomplished so that they would meet the mandate of the Resolution?"



Katz: "Well I would have no objection if there was no objection from other Members. I would have no objection to substituting Chicago Public Schools, to substitute Illinois Public Schools which is what you would want. I would have no objection to that if there are no objections. I would be agreeable to the Gentleman's proposal."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen objects."

Darrow: "Well can we put it in a motion and vote on it then to strike the word 'Chicago' and add the word 'Illinois'? I think this is a problem that involves the entire state and I don't know why Representative Pullen is so parochial and wants to just look at her area of the state, be so provincial. We've got an entire State of Illinois that we represent and I wish she'd think of that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Objections have been raised. So proceed with the Resolution as it is. Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "I, therefore, make a motion that the word 'Chicago' be stricken from the Resolution and in its place the word 'Illinois'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Darrow, I'm instructed to inform you that if you make that into a written motion, it would take 107 votes. If you, if you're orally going to make that, it takes unanimous consent and you don't have it. Representative Houlihan, for what reason do you rise."

D. Houlihan: "Well, I rise to join with Representative Darrow on his motion and if the Sponsor as he has indicated would hold the Resolution for just a moment, that motion will be filed immediately with the Clerk."

Katz: "Yes, I would have no objection with regard to that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Want to take it out of the record temporarily?"

Katz: "Well, yes. I think... excuse me, Mr. Speaker, that will take about one moment and maybe I can have that moment. Ms. Pullen did make some remark concerning me and the Resolution. I do want to say that I am not introducing the Resolution...I am not against property tax relief. My Resolution has nothing to do with that subject and I don't really want to get in the middle of any property tax relief proposals. We are simply trying to do a little



bit in the field of education to make education more efficient and more effective but I do want her to understand that I am not opposed thereby or expressing any views with the subject of real property tax relief. That's nothing to do with this Resolution. I have no objection to holding it, Mr. Speaker. It's perfectly agreeable to me."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan, do you have... Representative Dan Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "Well I understand that the Sponsor is agreeable to taking this out of the record at this time and then we'll make the proper parliamentary moves and hopefully we'll resolve the question."

Katz: "Yes, I am prepared to do that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take it out of the record temporarily. Representative Edgar has asked to nonconcur on the Concurrence so we'll go to Concurrence Calendar, to House Bill 3374."

Edgar: "Mr. Speaker, this is the... now is... public health appropriation Bill. The Senate made several major additions to this Bill and I think everybody's in agreement we got to go to Conference Committee to work out the differences and I would move to nonconcur on House Bill 3374."

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you please repeat your motion?"

Edgar: "I move to nonconcur on the... I think there are twelve Amendments regarding House Bill 3374. You got 73 up there. It's 74 isn't it?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Now let's get this straight, Edgar. Clerk Jack, are we... John, are we getting his request, Edgar's nonconcurrency numbers? Repeat those slowly."

Edgar: "I move to nonconcur on Amendments 1 through 12 for House Bill 3374 as opposed to 73 which he has on the board. And if we need to ask for a Conference Committee now, I do so."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Edgar moves that... Just a moment. 1, 3 and 12. 1, 3, 4, 12, House Bill 3374, Edgar. On that question, Representative Totten, on that motion by Edgar."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for recognizing me at least for that. I think his motion is to nonconcur in Senate Amendments



1 through 12 and I wonder if he would explain what each Amendment is that we're nonconcurring in as we may want to divide the question."

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you like to answer that inquiry, Mr. Edgar?"

Edgar: "Okay, I... there are some good Amendments and bad Amendments here. This thing just needs to go to Conference Committee to try to save some time. I'll read through what all the Amendments are. Most of them add money. Amendment 1 adds eighty-one million dollars into the Bill and brings in the appropriation for public health as originally introduced in House Bill 2972 which is still in Appropriations II Committee. Amendment #2 is a technical correction to the supplemental appropriation for renal dialysis. Number 3 breaks out by fund and programs for the Office of Management Service and the Office of Health Service. Senate Amendment 4 reduces operations by seven hundred and thirty-three thousand dollars. Amendment 5 reduces operations by fifty... six hundred and fifty thousand dollars. Amendment 6 adds forty-eight thousand dollars for local health departments. Amendment 8 adds ninety thousand to local health departments. Senate Amendment #8 adds five hundred and sixty-five thousand dollars for renal dialysis. Number 9 reduces grants for a cancer program by seventy-seven thousand dollars. Senate Amendment #10 adds fifty-one thousand for rheumatic fever. Number 11 adds a hundred and fifty thousand dollars for cystic fibrosis. Amendment 12 adds five hundred and twenty-nine thousand dollars for PKU. Amendment 13 adds \$2.4 million for grants for local health departments. As I said, the purpose of my movement to nonconcur was to have a voice Roll Call to get this into a Conference Committee where it's going to have to be worked out. This is one of the, I think, the last... one of the major agencies still to be resolved."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten on that motion."

Totten: "I would move to divide the question."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Gene Barnes on the motion."

E. Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I realize that the Gentleman has a right to a division of the question cause I know I've asked for that also. But, Mr. Speaker, as Representative



Edgar has indicated, this Bill will end up in Conference Committee anyway and what we're trying to do is facilitate the time and not take up the time of the House. Representative Edgar and I and both staff in the Senate and I guess everyone concerned has been trying to work on this situation and we realize that we must eventually get down in Conference Committee to work out the problems here and that's simply all we're trying to do with this Bill. I support Representative Edgar in his nonconcurrence here and I would suggest that we would move so we can get back to the House on this matter and get it all resolved. Well, and Mr. Speaker, as I understand in relation to that, we question... we raised this question yesterday and I think as all of the Members of the House understand that if he nonconcur with just one Amendment, it all goes into Conference Committee anyway and they all can be dealt with in Conference Committee and no one can stop you. So let's not kid ourselves cause whether you concur or not concur once it get in the Conference Committee it's fair game."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative... I think Barnes answered an inquiry by Representative Totten. Representative Totten on that inquiry."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I do wish to divide the question because there are some good Senate Amendments on here. And I think if we concur in those, then the Senate does not... then they are part of the Bill and the Senate need not recede from them. So that the action we take here is meaningful, yet rather than put the whole Bill back in a Conference Committee, what we wouldn't be doing is sending it back. The next action is not Conference Committee, the next action is for the Senate to recede from its Amendments. So I think we should concur in some of these Amendments and then send it back to them."

Speaker Giorgi: "Now Representative Edgar is the Sponsor the original motion, but Representative Barnes, you want to discuss... Representative Edgar, what is your pleasure? Do you... Representative Collins seeks recognition on this motion. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't understand all the discussion. Rule 60(d) clearly states that any Member may call for a division.



And he has asked for a division and that should be automatic."

Speaker Giorgi: "We're just trying to get an agreement to save time. That's all."

Collins: "Agreements aren't necessary."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right. Representative Barnes on the motion. Gene Barnes."

E. Barnes: "No, Mr. Speaker, I want to clear up one thing. This is a House Bill, this does not go back to the Senate for the Senate to recede from anything. This is a House Bill and if we nonconcur here, this Bill goes into Conference Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Doesn't automatically. Goes back to the Senate to recede or refuse to recede."

E. Barnes: "If we nonconcur and the Senate fails to recede on any of the Amendments, it goes into Conference Committee. Is that correct?"

Speaker Giorgi: "After the Senate action, after Senate has an action on what we do here, to recede or not to recede. Then it goes to Conference Committee if they refuse to recede. And if they recede, it goes to the Governor clean."

E. Barnes: "Well, the problem... I guess the problem here, this question had come up yesterday when you started dividing the..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Well, no, that was... trying to divide an Amendment itself. These are more than one Amendments. Yesterday it was on one Amendment. They wanted to divide the question on one Amendment and that was the decision. Today there are more than one Amendments. There are... there are almost twelve Amendments. And each one is a separate proposition from the Senate. The Senate has a chance to recede. Now if... Representative Totten, you want to take them one at a time, Senate 1, Senate 2 and so on? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Okay. My motion is to divide the question. I will put some of them together if that's okay with the Sponsor. But I would like to take Senate Amendment #1 separately."

Speaker Giorgi: "Let's take Senate Amendment #1. You move to concur then with Senate Amendment #1, Edgar?"

Edgar: "I think to speed this process up and Representative Totten





mentioned earlier he'd only been here five years so maybe he really thinks what action we take here will be binding on that Conference Committee. It won't. I'm going to move to nonconcur on all of them and move the thing along. Now if we want to get into the merits... going to be here all week."

Speaker Giorgi: "The motion is then to nonconcur in all Senate Amendments. Representative Totten on that motion. All right, Representative Totten on that motion."

Totten: "As I was... as was pointed out, a Member has the right to divide the question and I've asked for that."

Speaker Giorgi: "He has the right to place his motion to divide the question. Let's..."

Totten: "It's automatic."

Speaker Giorgi: "The rules provide that the question can be divided and we'll take 'em one at a time. Now who's going to make the motion... Edgar on Senate Amendment #1."

Edgar: "Let me ask Representative Totten, he mentioned a series before. Do you want to do them now separate, Don, or do you want to do 'em as a series, a reduction of the additions or..."

Totten: "Okay, take 1 separately. 2 and 3 you can put together. 4 and 6 separately. 7, 8, 9 separately. All right, you can take 2, 3 and 14 and do whatever you want with them. All the rest are separate."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich on this point."

Matijevich: "I can't find 14. Is there a 14?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Neither can I."

Matijevich: "That shows you how silly all this we're doing."

Totten: "I'm just using my staff analysis, got a 14 on it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Barnes on the point, Gene Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in all due respect here, I don't know how we get into this kind of hassles. Representative Edgar is the Sponsor of the Bill. We have... Representative Edgar indicates that he wished to nonconcur in all of the Amendments. Now Representative Totten, as I understand, is telling Representative Edgar what manner he should take this Bill and what he should do. If he wants to divide the question



and take up the time of the House, divide the question. Divide it on every Amendment and take up the time of the House. But let's not get into this... this situation where he's gonna' tell us what we're going to do or what Amendment and where. If you want to divide it, divide it and take up the time of the House."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz on this point."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry. If we concur with a particular Amendment that Representative Totten is concerned about and then we nonconcur with others so that the Bill does, in fact, go to a Conference Committee, doesn't that Conference Committee have the power to change the Bill so that even though we did concur with certain Amendments they make those other changes in Conference Committee, therefore, the work of concurring with certain Amendments will be totally moot if the Bill goes to Conference Committee and that's what the Conference Committee sees fit to do?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Well except the Amendments are on the Bill at that point, although the Conference Committee..."

Marovitz: "But they can be changed in Conference Committee?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yeah, but it'd be back to the floor for concurrence again. Representative Totten on this point."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me explain to Mr. Marovitz, there's no... there's no guarantee that this Bill will go to a Conference Committee. We could take action on certain Amendments, concur in 'em. If we nonconcur and the Senate recedes from those Amendments, then that's it. The Bill doesn't go to a Conference Committee."

Marovitz: "It's my understanding that the Sponsor of this Bill has spoken with Members on the other side of the rotunda as far as receding from certain Amendments and that it has been indicated that this Bill will be going to Conference Committee by both sides of the rotunda and that those problems that are indicative in certain Amendments will be worked out in Conference Committee if that be the case. And if the Bill is in fact going to Conference Committee by agreement by both sides of the rotunda, aren't we then in fact wasting the time of the House by taking



up Amendments separately which can in fact be changed in that Conference Committee?"

Totten: "You are presuming an action that we have no control over on the other side of the rotunda. If we adopt some of these Amendments, they may recede from the others."

Marovitz: "I take the word of the Sponsor of the Bill. He's a Gentleman."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain on this point."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, a point of order. And that is, it's just a dialogue. A call for division is automatic, there's no vote on it, it's done. So let's take every Amendment as it is. We're doing a lot of dialogue and wasting a lot of time. The call for division is period."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Edgar then will make the motion on the first Amendment..."

Edgar: "I move we nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1? Representative Barnes, Gene, on Senate Amendment #1."

E. Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, just very briefly I support Representative Edgar in his motion to nonconcur."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mugalian on Amendment #1 on this motion."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to take unnecessary time of this House but if we're going to take up these Amendments one by one, I would like to know what the Amendments do and I would also welcome some debate on both sides of the question of concurrence or nonconcurrence. Could we at least find out what each Amendment does?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Edgar would like to respond to Representative Mugalian."

Edgar: "Amendment 1 puts in the House Bill 2972 which was the original appropriation for public health for fiscal year '79. The Amendment adds eighty-one million, one hundred and sixty-eight thousand, two hundred dollars. My reason for opposition to it, I think the way the Bill was originally introduced there were



some wrong priorities in spending so I'm against this Amendment."

Mugalian: "That Amendment is an entire Bill?"

Edgar: "It puts the Public Health Bill into this Bill which was a supplemental for public health for this year."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten on the motion."

Totten: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Totten: "Is this the appropriation as the Governor requested it?"

Edgar: "As he originally requested, he has since changed some of his priorities, too."

Totten: "Are his priorities reflected in other Amendments?"

Edgar: "Some of them they are, not all of them. It's not in this Amendment and this is what we're debating."

Totten: "Okay then, on the Gentleman's motion, I think we should support the Governor's original request."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Edgar moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3374. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. We nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1. What's your privilege... preference to Senate Amendment #2, Edgar?"

Edgar: "All right, I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment 2 which is a technical correction to the supplemental appropriation to renal dialysis programs. I really haven't conferred with the Department to find out if this correct or not and I'd like to wait."

Speaker Giorgi: "What's the motion?"

Edgar: "Nonconcur."

Speaker Giorgi: "Nonconcur to Senate Amendment #2. Any discussion? The Gentleman moves to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #2. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. We do not concur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3374. Senate Amendment #3."

Edgar: "Amendment 3 breaks out... I move to nonconcur. This breaks out by funds and programs for the Office of Management Service in the Office of Health Service again. I haven't had a chance to compare yet with the Department on this particular Amendment



and I'd like to wait for the Conference Committee to resolve this matter. Renew my motion to nonconcur."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 3374. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' and those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, we've nonconcurrred to Senate Amendment #3."

Edgar: "Okay, Senate Amendment #4 reduces..."

Speaker Giorgi: "One moment, one moment. Representative Mudd on point of order."

Mudd: "Yeah, wasn't this Bill requested by somebody for division of the question. They're not even talking on the Amendments at all? You're going to move to nonconcur with all Amendments aren't you? Then why isn't the person who asked for the division talking on the Amendments? We're just wasting a lot of time."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten in answer to Representative Mudd's inquiry."

Totten: "I've no objections to nonconcurring in the first three. I will on the rest."

Speaker Giorgi: "Then you'll accept the motion to nonconcur on the rest of the, Representative Totten?"

Totten: "No, I won't. I've asked for a division of the question and I'll speak on the other Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Edgar on Senate Amendment #4."

Edgar: "Okay, Senate Amendment #4 was put on by the Senate, would reduce operations for Department of Public Health by seven hundred and thirty-three, six thousand and seven hundred and eighty-four dollars. This Amendment if adopted would be very detrimental to the diabetes program, to the rural health program and to other necessary programs and I would move to nonconcur."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? Representative Totten on this motion."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On the motion to nonconcur, Senate Amendment #4 had more wisdom in it than the Sponsor indicates. It makes reductions in certain areas that the Senate thought could well be handled in this appropriation. The seven hundred and thirty-three thousand dollar reduction reduces personal services



by five hundred and ninety thousand, correspondingly retirement and social security; contractual services by fifteen thousand dollars; and travel by twenty-eight thousand dollars. Mr. Speaker, I think we should concur in this... in this Senate Amendment and leave it on the Bill. I'd ask for a Roll Call on the motion to nonconcur."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Eugene Barnes on the motion."

E. Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, inquiry to the Chair.

I believe, Mr. Speaker, you will find that this motion is not in order because when we nonconcur on the first Amendments, all other Amendments are thrown out of order because they all amend the first one. So there's nothing that he can concur on. The first one was nonconcurrent."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "Well, I think... Representative Barnes is correct."

E. Barnes: "They all amend the first one."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Parliamentarian is looking at the Amendments.

Representative Edgar and Representative Gene Barnes, that theory is correct. Those Amendments all amend #1. After Amendment #1 was taken off the Bill or nonconcurrent to, the rest are not in order so the proper motion would be nonconcur to all of the Amendments. So why don't you renew your motion to... for the rest of the Amendments, Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "I'll renew my motion to nonconcur in Amendment 4 through 13 because they're no longer in proper form."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion on the motion? On this question... all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no' and the House does nonconcur to the numbered Amendments to House Bill 3374. Resolutions, House Resolution 95 on page 8. House Joint Resolution 95 on page 8, Representative Madigan, the Majority Leader."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that there are Amendments filed to this Resolution."

Speaker Giorgi: "House Joint Resolution 95. You'd like leave to take..."

Madigan: "I believe that there are Amendments filed to the Resolution."



Speaker Giorgi: "What is the first Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, Madigan. Amends House Joint Resolution 95 by inserting after the first resolved clause the following: Resolved that the Special Joint Committee shall consist of ten Members appointed as follows: three by the Speaker, two by the House Minority Leader, three by the President and two by the Senate Minority Leader."

Speaker Giorgi: "First, is there any action filed on the Committee Amendment? Was there any action filed on the Committee Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion on Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "And the next Amendment is Amendment #2? Representative Madigan on Amendment #2."

Madigan: "The Amendment's been read by the Clerk and it simply provides an appointment scheme among the respective leaders. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? Representative Telcser on the motion."

Telcser: "Will the Gentleman yield please? Was there an appointment procedure in the original Bill, Representative?"

Madigan: "No, there wasn't."

Telcser: "Could you call Representative Totten... has a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser yields to Representative Totten on this question of this Resolution. On the question to this Resolution?"

Totten: "Mr. Speaker"

Speaker Giorgi: "On this point?"

Totten: "Yes."

Speaker Giorgi: "On this point, Representative Totten."

Totten: "A question. There are now two Amendments on this or one?"

Speaker Giorgi: "One was adopted in Committee and this is the second one presented."

Totten: "Okay, would the two Amendments, I wonder if the Clerk would read the whole Resolution as amended so we could understand completely what it does?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you do that, Mr. Clerk?"



Madigan: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I don't intend to call the Resolution at this time so the Clerk could simply send copies down to Mr. Totten and with his intelligence, he could put it all together."

Speaker Giorgi: "That suffice, Mr. Totten? That's fine. That suffices doesn't it? Question's on the adoption of the Amendment. Representative Totten on the motion."

Totten: "Well, I didn't say it was fine because we're now going to vote on the Amendment which is going to change it and I would like to know what it all says with the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Enlighten Mr. Totten, Mr. Madigan?"

Madigan: "Sure. As I stated earlier, the Amendment provides for an appointment scheme which was not provided in the original Resolution and it provides that the Membership of the Committee shall be ten in number appointed as follows: three by the Speaker, two by the House Minority Leader, three by the President of the Senate and two by the Senate Minority Leader."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "On the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, thank you. Just... this creates as I read it a very partisan Committee. Unemployment compensation is a nonpartisan issue and to have the Speaker and the President of the Senate have sixty percent control of the Committee, I think would not be to the best interests of business and the taxpayers in this state. So I think that the... either the Majority Leader would like to amend it on its face to make for even representation, that would be fine. But for us to support this in the partisan fashion of which it's constructed, I think would be folly."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan to close."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment simply attempts to establish the standard appointment scheme to the various Committees and Commissions of the Legislature. There's nothing abnormal about it. It's a normal situation. I would request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan moves that Amendment #2 to





House Joint Resolution 95 be adopted. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 92 'ayes', 40 'nays', none voting 'present' and this Amendment is adopted. Representative... on this point? Representative Totten on the Amendment."

Totten: "Does this Resolution require 89 votes? Or is the Amendment, just requires a majority, is that right?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Just an Amendment, just requires a simply majority. House Resolution... Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you call House Joint Resolution 96 at this time?"

Speaker Giorgi: "House Joint Resolution 96. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "And, Mr. Speaker, I believe that there's an Amendment filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Was there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Madigan. Amends House Joint Resolution 96 by inserting after the first resolved clause the following."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan on Amendment #1."

Madigan: "This is the same Amendment as the last Amendment, Mr. Speaker. House Joint Resolution 96 as originally drafted failed to provide for an appointment scheme. This Amendment would provide that the Members of the Special Joint Committee would be ten in number, that there would be three appointed by the Speaker, two appointed by the House Minority Leader, three appointed by the President of the Senate and two appointed by the Senate Minority Leader. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten on the motion."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Well, the same scheme is concocted in this Resolution as was in the prior Resolution. And again you have a very partisan Committee created to examine the contribution rate for unemployment insurance in Illinois. And I think that's highly unfair and it would be folly for this General Assembly to approve such a



scheme. And so I would request a 'no' vote on the Resolution and a Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kent on the motion."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Madigan, could you tell me what the original Bill composition of the Committee was?"

Madigan: "The Resolution simply failed to provide any appointment scheme. The Resolution was silent on that matter."

Kent: "But when you were in Committee and testified, do you remember that I asked you if this would be a partisan Committee?"

Madigan: "I don't remember that."

Kent: "And you assured me that it was a nonpartisan type of subject so I wouldn't have to worry about that."

Madigan: "Well on this Resolution, that certainly is true because this Resolution simply calls for a study of the experience rating system. And there's agreement from all quarters, Democrat, Republican, industry and labor that the experiencing rating system in Illinois operates very poorly and that it ought to be studied and that it ought to be revised."

Kent: "I agree, but why would you have to... we have Committees and Commissions that are even. Why could we not do that in this case?"

Madigan: "Because I proposed the standard method."

Kent: "Well that's why I will object then. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan to close."

Madigan: "I request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan moves that the House adopt Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 96. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Daniels to explain your vote on this motion."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, just so you know my intentions in advance, until we can deal with the subject of property tax relief and hear some of the matters on it, I'm going to ask for a verification of this Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Daniels, inasmuch as this takes just a simple majority, I think your action is dilatory. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have a right to ask for a verification."



I've had a motion on the Calendar. I've also had motions sitting on your desk right now asking to go to Senate Bill 1783 to take it from the table. You won't call that motion, you won't recognize anybody on it and until you do that, until we can address seriously the issue of property tax relief, we're going to start holding up some of these matters. I've a right under the rules of the House to ask for a verification and I intend to."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Daniels. Representative Madison on this point."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Representative Daniels certainly does have a right to ask for a verification. The Speaker has an equal right to rule that ver... request dilatory."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich on this point."

Matijevich: "Well as usual Jesse who knows the rules so well made my point but I wanted to add the further point that I understand that Representative Daniels was on Chicago TV giving his Governor hell for not having a tax relief program. In other words, he shouldn't be lecturing us because his Governor had no program. At the last minute he's trying to come up with something because his Governor also gave him orders to vote against a good property tax relief plan. Lecture the Governor downstairs, Representative Daniels, don't give us your lecture."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ebbesen on this point."

Ebbesen: "Well yes, Mr. Speaker, you know at least two or three times a day Representatives on the other side are getting up and giving political speeches. I know our Calendar is not as large as it has been in previous times at this point in the Session when it would appear to me that we could out all this political rhetoric and stay on the business at hand, I think that what Representative Daniels and what Representative Totten had said about having their legislative proposals heard in the interest of these people are well taken. That's what we're here for and I think we ought to ban all these political partisan speeches for the balance of the time we're in Session, hopefully by tomorrow night at midnight."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser, do you have your light on? Do you seek recognition?"

Telcser: "Simply to insure that Representative Daniels verification



takes place, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen, you want to speak to the motion?"

Pullen: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "How's the Lady recorded? Voting's open, Mrs. Pullen, Ms. Pullen, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "I asked how I'm recorded, Mr. Speaker. Would you answer me?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Well... I guess you have a red light which indicates 'no'."

Pullen: "Thank you, would you leave it that way please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "On this question there are 91 'ayes', 61 'nays'. Take the record. On this question there are 91 'ayes', 62 'nays' and this Resolution... this Amendment is adopted. Representative Telcser, on... for what reason do you rise?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, we're in the last two days of the Session. On the assumption we get out on time it's not going to work to keep running roughshod over Members who want to have their rights asserted. Now you know why the Gentleman is asking for a verification. You've been in the minority before and I assure you, Mr. Speaker, that this Session will not wind up if you continue to trample over the Member's rights. Now Representative Daniels asked for a verification. Representative Totten has been trying to get to his motion and to his Constitutional Amendment. You're not going to succeed in doing it that way, Zeke. And what's more, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman wants to be recognized on a point of personal privilege. His name was mentioned in debate."

Speaker Giorgi: "He was recognized on that point when he explained his vote."

Telcser: "No, he wasn't."

Speaker Giorgi: "It was my first inclination to... to... it was my first inclination to make a ruling that the Gentleman's motion is dilatory, but I'll give him a point of personal privilege and then we'll take a verification."

Daniels: "Now, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, part of what Representative Matijevich said is accurate, part cause



he has a habit of not completing the full statement. The fact of the matter is that I have criticized state government, the Members of this General Assembly in both the House and the Senate and the Leadership of all parties, including the Democrat candidate for Governor and our state government in not adequately addressing this subject of property tax relief. Now I don't know each and every district that you come from, how property tax relief affects you, but I know that in the area of DuPage County because of the... unablensness of the people of this General Assembly to address property tax relief, we stand a chance of being harmed very seriously next year when the tax bills come out due to no control of the action of the local units of government in our area due to the fact that the level of assessments and the increase in market values have gone rampant and increased so substantially. And what we're saying is that we will stay here, we will address this subject of property tax relief until we have some orderly solution. If it requires all summer, we'll stay all summer. The people of this state are asking for some relief. So you want to make it partisan, Mr. Matijevich, you go right ahead and make it partisan. We're talking about an issue that's concerned to our people all over the State of Illinois. This General Assembly will accomplish nothing if they haven't addressed property tax relief and this General Assembly will go down as a failure if we don't give our people some relief. Yes, I criticized both sides of this aisle, I criticized every Member of this House for not acting on property tax relief and, Mr. Speaker, I don't want to be a part of failure of this General Assembly to talk about a very, very important subject. I wanted Senate Bill 1783 called. It was your Leadership that refused to call it, not us. We have Amendments on that Bill that should be called and you won't recognize the motion to go to that order of business. So, Mr. Speaker, you, yourself, from Rockford, one of the violators in this state, from Rockford who are increasing their tax levies, increasing their surplus in revenue, you're failing us, too, Mr. Speaker, and that's on your shoulders and on the shoulders of every Member of this House, including both sides of this aisle.



And I know on this side of the aisle we're going to fight for relief, we're going to bring the message out, we're going to tell the people that we're trying to help them. So you answer your own constituents."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed with the verification. Representative Van Duyne, for what reason do you seek recognition? Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Mr. Speaker, I have been in this House for three and a half years, almost four. I have yet to call for my first verification. I always thought that the reason for a Parliamentarian and a Speaker sitting up there in the podium was to insure everybody's rights on this floor. When you see it's obvious that people are just plain trying to carry this thing on and on and on, abuse the whole process and delay the whole process, now, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, you want us to start screaming also? I think the three people who have talked the last over there on that side of the aisle, I think it's obvious to you and also to your Parliamentarian that they are doing nothing more than just harassing the whole procedure. Now if you want all of the rest of us to start standing up and screaming and claiming foul and so on, we can do that and really make a shambles but I'd rather not do that. You're the boss up there and you know it's obvious that they are making shambles of the procedure and you have the right and the obligation... Mr. Speaker, you have the right and obligation to rule them out of order. Why don't you?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Let the record show that the Speaker agrees with Representative Van Duyne. There are 177 academy award winners in the House of Representatives, State of Illinois. Representative Marovitz, one of the stars."

Marovitz: "Just to request of Representative Daniels to be verified now. I have to go to a meeting off the floor. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman verified? Now proceed with the... proceed with the verification. Representative Jaffe, for what reason do you rise?"

Jaffe: "Can I be verified? I have to go to a meeting."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels agrees that you can be verified. Representative Flinn. Representative Flinn, for what



reason do you rise?"

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I believe we ought to follow the rules so we don't have so many speeches explaining votes and turn that light off."

Speaker Giorgi: "Well we'll give it them, they've asked for the privilege for..."

Flinn: "Well we'll be up here all day making speeches about it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Well..."

Flinn: "...greens are going to be on TV tonight."

Speaker Giorgi: "I have to agree that they work for a living and have to get some news back home. Who's next? Representative Huff, for what reason do you rise?"

Huff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be verified now so..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, Representative Daniels indicates that he doesn't object. Huff. Now quickly proceed with the verification. And the camera... TV cameras are on, lights are on, be on your guard."

Clerk O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes, Beatty, Birchler."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, pardon me. Representative Domico would like to be verified, Mr. Daniels. Representative Kosinski would like to be verified. Representative Daniels on this question."

Daniels: "I know that the Gentlemen have meetings. We just want to make sure we get their names down so we don't inadvertently strike them from the Roll Call. Who do we have now? We have Marovitz, Huff."

Speaker Giorgi: "Domico."

Daniels: "And Domico."

Speaker Giorgi: "Jaffe."

Daniels: "Now wait a second, boys. Steve Schickel."

Speaker Giorgi: "Why don't you walk over to Representative Daniels? It'll save time of the House."

Daniels: "Yeah, come over here, talk to my assistant over here, Ron Nelson, he'll take your name down."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz, for what reason do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, can we have leave to have 91 verified?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Hearing no objections..."



Daniels: "Well, Representative Lechowicz, you do know that it's part of your battle that we're fighting for you over here for the question of property tax relief. Your speech was so eloquent we know that you join us in the major concern on property tax relief and we commend you on that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz on a point of personal privilege."

Lechowicz: "I appreciate the fact that he wants to fight my battles but I think we can take care of ourselves."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Robinson, for what reason do you rise?"

Robinson: "If Representative Schickel is verified, I'd appreciate it if Kelly Smith could be verified."

Daniels: "Does he get up to Chicago at all?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Give him time to go to the dressing room. Representative Van Duyne on this issue."

Van Duyne: "Mr. Speaker, I renew my objection. If you and the other Representative want to talk, let the rest of us go to lunch for an hour."

Speaker Giorgi: "We had a quick meeting on this, Mr. Van Duyne. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, what order of business are we on? Aren't we on a verification?"

Speaker Giorgi: "I would... yes."

Schuneman: "Why don't we get on with it, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Let's proceed with the verification. Representative Mudd on a point of order."<sup>o</sup>

Mudd: "I think Representative Schuneman is right. I think we ought to get on with this verification because we're going to verify every Roll Call from now on."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed, Jack. Representative Conti on a point."

Conti: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think we're putting an awful lot of emphasis on tax relief and this Constitutional Amendment. I doubt very much whether there are very many people home, back home that know just what this Amendment does. And I doubt very much whether there are Members of this House that know





what this Amendment does. There's no doubt in my mind that we're going to have to have some tax relief. But the biggest problem we're going to face if we go back home and the front pages of those newspapers and those cameras, keep 'em turning their cameras on, what a bunch of clowns we are down here and we're not getting our work done. Now if we can get some kind of a commitment when this Bill will be called, then we can go on with the orderly House of the business, the orderly business of the House."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed with the verification of the Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Birchler, Bowman, Bradley, Brady, Brandt, Breslin, Rich Brummer, Don Brummet, Caldwell, Capparelli, Chapman, Christensen, Darrow."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Daniels on the verification?"

Daniels: "Yeah. Maybe we can apply Hanahan's rules and have the Members raise their hands so we could see if they're here. I know they're all busy, but I would like to make sure that they're here."

Speaker Giorgi: "Please indicate your presence. Continue, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Corneal Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, John Dunn, Dyer, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Harris, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, Huff, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kelly, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leverenz, Levin, Lucco, Luft, Madigan, Madison, Mann, Marovitz, Peggy Smith Martin, Matejek, Matijevich, Mautino, McClain, McGrew, McLendon, McPike, Mudd, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Murphy, Nardulli, O'Brien, Pechous, Pierce, Pouncey, Richmond, Robinson, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Sharp, Shumpert, Stearney, Steczo, Stuffle, Taylor, Terzich, Tipsword, Van Duyne, Vitek, Von Boeckman, R.V. Walsh, Willer, Williams, Younge, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Questions of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Daniels: "Beatty."

Speaker Giorgi: "Beatty's in his chair."

Daniels: "Bradley."

Speaker Giorgi: "Bradley's in his chair."

Daniels: "Breslin. Christensen."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Christensen. How's he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Christensen in the chamber? Take him off the record."

Daniels: "Dawson."

Speaker Giorgi: "Dawson's in his chair."

Daniels: "Farley. Farley."

Speaker Giorgi: "Farley. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Farley in the chamber? Take him off the record."

Daniels: "Garmisa. I see him. Getty."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's in his chair. Hold it, Mr. Clerk. Put Mr. Farley back on. Mr. Farley's in the chambers."

Daniels: "Luft."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Luft. How's he recorded?"

Daniels: "Oh, he's back..."

Speaker Giorgi: "...the Republican side."

Daniels: "Nice to see you, Dick. Madigan. Oh, he's in his chair. He's talking to the Speaker. They're working out arrangements to call property tax relief. McGrew."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew in the chambers? Take him off the record. Hold it, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Katz would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Katz 'aye'. Continue."

Daniels: "Mugalian."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mugalian. How's he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Mugalian in the chambers? Take him off the record."

Daniels: "Satterthwaite."

Speaker Giorgi: "Satterthwaite. Representative Satterthwaite. How's she recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Satterthwaite in the chambers?  
Take her off the record."

Daniels: "Stuffle."

Speaker Giorgi: "Stuffle. In his chair."

Daniels: "Von Boeckman."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Von Boeckman. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Von Boeckman in the chambers?  
Take him off the record."

Daniels: "All right, I think some people want to be recognized to  
be recorded as 'no' because this takes a majority vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reilly would like to be recorded  
as voting 'no'. Reilly 'no'. Representative Winchester would  
like to be recorded as voting 'no'. Winchester 'no'. Put, put  
Representative Satterthwaite back on the Roll Call. She's in the  
chambers. Tally up the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there  
are 88 'ayes', 64 'nays' and none voting 'present'. The Amend-  
ment is adopted to House Joint Resolution 96. House Resolution 865,  
Representative Chapman. House Resolution 865."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I have an Amendment I'd like to offer to House  
Resolution 865. This is the Resolution that has been offered,  
a bipartisan Resolution that calls for a study of utilization,  
continued study of utilization of mental health facilities and  
what the Amendment does is to ask the Auditor General to look  
into this matter. I move to adopt Amendment #1 to House Resolu-  
tion 865."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mrs. Chapman. Was there any Com-  
mittee Amendments, Mr. Clerk? Was there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment 1, Chapman."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, on this... on the... on the motion... on the  
Amendment, Representative Totten on the motion on the Amendment."

Totten: "Did this Resolution go to Committee?"

Chapman: "Yes, this motion... this Amendment went to the Executive  
Committee and is recommended... the Amendment did not go to the  
Committee. The Resolution went into Committee. Which is your



question?"

Totten: "Well, the Calendar does not indicate that it went to Committee."

Chapman: "The Resolution went to the Committee, originally called for a study by the Economic and Fiscal Commission but they said essentially, thank you but no thanks. And I worked with the Auditor General and I have has support for this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten, the Clerk informs me that the record doesn't show but it came out of the Executive Committee."

Totten: "What did they recommend?"

Speaker Giorgi: "The record shows but the Calendar doesn't. The record shows but the Calendar doesn't. Representative Chapman."

Totten: "Has the Amendment been distributed?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, the Amendment has been distributed."

Totten: "Wait a minute. We haven't got it."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm sorry, the head..."

Chapman: "Well we have so much paper on our desks, it's kind of difficult to tell sometimes just what is there."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Chapman, the Chief Page informs me it hasn't been distributed. We'll take it out of the record. Representative Giglio, is that #55?"

Giglio: "55, page 9."

Speaker Giorgi: "S.J.R. 55, Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Joint Resolution 55 is the Amendment that would direct the Secretary of the Illinois Department of Transportation and the Department of Business and Economic Development to conduct a joint study into the effect of the 55 mile an hour speed limit in Illinois. A number of people, including the Chicago Motor Club who feel that the 55 mile an hour speed limit should be retained, however they'd... also would welcome a study on the effects of the possibility of the... either increasing the speed limit or burying this issue once and for all. The effects of the economic impact and the effect of the safety, et cetera, hopefully will be resolved by this investigation and once and for all perhaps this issue could be resolved. I would ask for your favorable support."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich on the motion."

Friedrich: "No, Sir. Mr. Speaker, I wanted to inquire if your Calendar was the same as mine. It shows... on page 7, it shows House Resolution 478, 722, 833, 865. You called 478, 833 and 865, but apparently 722's not on your Calendar and I just wondered if we could get another Calendar for you so that I can get that called."

Speaker Giorgi: "Your instructions are well noted. Any further discussion on Senate Joint Resolution 55? The question is on the adoption of... Representative Totten on Senate Joint Resolution 55."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all, I would like to inquire of the Chair, I believe that this Amendment would, this Resolution would require the expenditure of money so that it would require 89 votes. Is that correct?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Your point is well taken."

Totten: "Okay. Then, on the Amendment or on the Resolution, when matters come before us and here we are a day and a half before the end of the Session dealing with only emergency legislation, we find ourselves addressing Resolutions regarding the effectiveness of the 55 mile an hour limit and other Resolutions that are brought before this House. It amazes me that we can sit here under the direction of the Majority Leader and consider this when other Members are absolutely refused the right to hear matters which were much more pertinent to this Session of the General Assembly. This matter is entirely out of order for being considered at this time either in the Session as an emergency or a day before the adjournment of the Session. I think for that reason this Resolution should be defeated and if it goes over 89 votes, I would request a verification."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Giglio to close."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Well I would hope that the Members of the House would use better judgment than the last speaker and if he wants to use this as a wedge for whatever he wants, I hope the Members of the House would just blind their ears and their eyes according to that. And I would ask for favorable support."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Giglio moves that the House do adopt Senate Joint Resolution 55. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. 89 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Representative Giglio to explain his vote for one minute."

Giglio: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is an important Resolution. I think many of you have heard why your constituents as to the effect of the 55 mile an hour speed limit and the majority of you know that a lot of people on the interstates and the four and six lane highways don't do 55 mile an hour today. They do a heck of a lot more. And what this thing does is gets everybody into the act to really investigate this and get down to the nitty-gritty of it and come back with a feasible recommendation to be passed on not only on the Members here and to the people of Illinois, but perhaps to the Federal Government to see if perhaps the speed limit on our interstates could be changed and the speed limit on our other highways could remain at 55."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 80 'ayes' and 53 'nays'. Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Put it on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Giorgi: "Postponed Consideration. I understand the Amendment to House Resolution 865 has now been distributed. Representative Chapman on House Resolution 865 and Floor Amendment #1. Eugenie Chapman."

Chapman: "I've already explained this Amendment. I move to adopt Amendment #1 to House Resolution 865. It is on your desks."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion on the Amendment? There being no discussion, the Lady moves that Amendment #1 to House Resolution 865 be adopted. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. 'Ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Now on the Resolution, House Resolution 865. Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I believe this is an important Resolution. The Auditor General is called upon to evaluate the mental health utilization of the state and make recommendations to us. I worked with him and the language of



this Resolution is satisfactory to him. It's a bipartisan Resolution that is proposed as a result of a study which shows that our mental health facilities are being utilized at about forty-five percent of their potential. I ask for your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Keats on the motion."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This Resolution sounds so interesting I'd appreciate it if it could be read verbatim so I could soak in every word."

Chapman: "Mr. Keats is a Sponsor of this good Resolution so he should enjoy it even more."

Keats: "I remember it even better when we were sitting there in Committee one day. I was dubious at the time, but now I'm sure it's wonderful and I just want to hear it again."

Chapman: "Thank for your support, Mr. Keats."

Speaker Giorgi: "Do you insist that it be read again? Read the Resolution. Representative Kane on the motion. Just a minute. Representative Kane."

Kane: "Under what rule is Representative Keats asking for a ruling of the Resolution, reading of the Resolution?"

Speaker Giorgi: "All in favor of the Resolution 865 signify by saying 'aye'... Roll Call. Gentleman asks for a Roll Call. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Schuneman to explain his vote on this Resolution."

Schuneman: "Question, Mr. Speaker. What are we voting on? I heard Representative Keats ask that the Resolution be read..."

Speaker Giorgi: "No, then he asked for a vote."

Schuneman: "And you... you said something, Mr. Speaker, and it wasn't too clear what you said. Now what are we voting on?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Well the clearest thing I heard we Keats asked for a Roll Call. Keats asked for a Roll Call on the adoption of the Resolution. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Well I think the record will show, Mr. Speaker, that Representative Keats asked that the Resolution be read. You probably misunderstood what he said but I heard it very clearly back here, Mr. Speaker, and that was Representative Keats' question."



Now what are we voting on back here, Mr. Speaker? We're not voting on tax relief measures."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Chapman moved that House Resolution 865 be adopted. Representative Keats asked that it be read and then Representative Keats asked for the floor and says, Roll Call and we're on the Roll Call. Do you want to explain your vote? We're on the Roll Call. Take... have all voted who wish? Representative Willer on the Roll Call to explain her vote."

Willer: "Yes, if the people on the other side of the aisle are really interested in tax relief, they certainly will support this Resolution and try to get to the bottom of why we are spending so much money at maintaining mental health facilities that are so grossly underutilized. We keep hearing about their conservative attitude towards spending, but I see a lot of opposition on the other side of the aisle to this and I can only think it's partisan because this truly would give us tax relief if we consolidate and close down some of our mental health facilities."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Pullen to explain your vote."

Pullen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the House Human Resources Committee has already considered utilization of space in the mental health institutions. Mr. Dewese has done this over and over again. I don't see that this is a partisan thing to say that we don't think it has to be done again. We're wasting the taxpayers money by adopting this Resolution, asking it be done again and again and again. It certainly does not have anything to do with tax relief because we'll never hear anything to do with tax relief around here."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all... Representative Jacobs. Representative Jacobs. Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to explain my 'no' vote. If you'd read the Amendment it would tell you what's going to happen to these many buildings. Gives them the right to demolish them and we're doing the same thing that they did in California. We're taking the patients out of the mental institutions, putting them in nursing homes where they





don't belong and then to have to come back in a few years and build new institutions because they can't handle them in the nursing homes. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Chapman to explain her vote for one minute."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to say that I feel sure that this is a bipartisan measure and I look up at the board and I know that there are Republicans and Democrats who do see this as true tax relief for the voters and as an attempt to provide some cost effectiveness in our mental health system and I thank you for your 'yes' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Ryan to explain his vote for one minute."

Ryan: "No, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to request a verification on this and I would suggest that the Democrat Leadership get together and decide what they're going to do about Totten's Constitutional Amendment so we all can go home on July 1 and we're not here on September the 15th. And that's a little bit of advice I can give you, Mr. Speaker. But in case this gets to where it belongs, I'm going to have to ask for a verification."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stanley to explain his vote for one minute."

Stanley: "I'd just like to speak in favor of the Resolution cause I know we have an institution that's not far from my home town and I certainly have some questions that have not been answered about the utilization of that particular facility and I think this Resolution merits your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner to explain his vote for one minute."

Skinner: "Yes, I can understand the reason for a verification in order to delay the General Assembly to put pressure on the Democrats, but this Amendment or this Resolution is designed to get us additional jail space at less than thirty million dollars per jail. There are all sorts of facilities in the State of Illinois that are owned by the various departments that are underutilized. And what we are asking here is for the Auditor General to do some



type of a study to figure out how close we are to figuring out which ones ought to be closed. And I can understand the Gentleman from the northwestern part of the state being against it because one of his institutions is a prime target. But that doesn't mean the Resolution should not be passed after the verification finishes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich on the... to explain his vote for one minute."

Friedrich: "Well actually, I'm going to compliment Mrs. Chapman on her reason behind this even though she and I don't vote together very much. I think though that she is laboring under the wrong conception here because the Auditor General has no knowledge of mental health. He has little knowledge of construction or use; would seem to me that the people that ought to be doing this is the Capital Development Board who do know design and so on. I certainly want to use the buildings to their advantage, too, but I'm not sure what this Committee or the Auditor General has to do with them."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Van Duyne to explain his vote for one minute."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I really can't see why there shouldn't be 170 votes up there at least. The concept of this is absolutely logical. And as Mr. Skinner pointed out, we have a unit up there in... Manteno that's absolutely two-thirds abandoned by now and we're spending fifty-eight million dollars down in central Illinois for those two prisons and I can't see a thing but good that should come out of this. And I don't see, I can't see why anybody would... deny themselves the privilege of voting 'aye' on this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 94 'ayes', 37 'nays' and 15 voting 'present' and this Resolution is adopted. House Resolution... All right, the Minority Leader has asked for a verification. So, Representative Kosinski on that motion for a verification. How's that? Representative Kosinski wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Chapman, for what reason do you rise?"



Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I was... I believe that is an untimely request on the part of the Minority Leader."

Speaker Giorgi: "No, he..."

Chapman: "I heard you... you declared adopted."

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, I did. But he requested it at the proper time.

I just... it's in order till we go to the next order of business.

It's in order. I... he..."

Chapman: "Didn't you call the next order of business, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "No, I didn't. I was just ready to till... when...

till they, then they informed Mr. Ryan to renew his motion. He

did place the motion properly."

Chapman: "Then I respectfully request a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, the Lady asks for a poll of the absentees.

So let's begin the verification with a poll of the absentees.

Representative Williams, for what reason do you rise? Williams."

Williams: "I would just ask leave to be verified now. I've a meeting

to go to."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan, can Representative Williams

be verified? Representative Ryan, can Representative Williams

be verified? Does he have leave to be verified? You have leave.

Any of those that want to be verified would you please walk over to

Mr. Ryan's desk and I think he'll grant you your request. Continue

with the... Roll Call... Representative Brummer, for what reason

do you rise? Brummer."

Brummer: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Brummer: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue with the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson, Jack Davis, Deuster, Ralph Dunn, Ebbesen,

Epton, Griesheimer, Hart, Hoffman, Hudson, Huskey, Kucharski,

Leverenz, Luft, Madison, McBroom, McMaster, Peters, Polk, Reed,

Schlickman, Schneider, Schuneman, Stearney, Telcser, Waddell,

W.D. Walsh, Winchester and Wolf."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed with the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Antonovych, E.M. Barnes, Jane Barnes, Beatty, Birchler,

Boucek, Bowman, Bradley, Brady, Brandt, Breslin, Rich Brummer,



Don Brummet, Byers, Caldwell, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Darrow, Corneal Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, John Dunn, Dyer, Ewell, Farley, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Harris, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, J.M. Houlihan, Huff, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Levin, Lucco, Macdonald, Madigan."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Ryan, would you send your aide up here for a moment on a verification? Send your aide up here for a moment on a verification."

Ryan: "Pardon?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Could you send your aide up to the Speaker's podium for an inquiry on the verification? Record Representative Madison as 'aye'. Continue, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mann, Marovitz, Peggy Smith Martin, Matejek, Matijevich, McClain, McGrew, McLendon, McPike, Meyer, Molloy, Mudd, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Murphy, Nardulli, O'Brien, Pechous, Pierce."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me. Mr. Clerk. Representative Stearney, for what reason do you rise?"

Stearney: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Stearney: "Record me 'yes'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Record Representative Stearney as 'aye'. Representative Porter, for what reason do you rise?"

Porter: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Porter: "Change me to 'no' please."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pouncey, Richmond."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Schneider would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Schneider 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Robinson, Sandquist, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Sharp, Shumpert, Skinner, Stanley, Stearney, Steczo, Stuffle, Taylor."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. R.V. Walsh would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. R.V. Walsh."



Clerk O'Brien: "Terzich, Tipsword, Van Duyne, Vitek, Von Boeckman, R.V. Walsh, Willer, Williams, Younge, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Polk, for what reason do you rise?"

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, please vote me 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Minority Leader, Representative Ryan, on verification. Representative Schuneman, for what reason do you rise?"

Schuneman: "Please vote me 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Schuneman 'no'."

Ryan: "Representative Boucek."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Boucek is in the well. Boucek."

Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Mann, for what reason do you rise?"

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I might be verified."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan, does the Gentleman have leave to be verified?"

Ryan: "Certainly."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Representative Brady."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brady. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Brady in the chambers? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Brandt."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brandt is in his chair."

Ryan: "Representative Brummer."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummer is in the back of the room."

Ryan: "He's where? In the back of the room?"

Speaker Giorgi: "In the back of the room."

Ryan: "Representative Darrow."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Darrow. Representative Darrow is on your side of the aisle there in the back of the room."

Ryan: "Did you come over for advice, Clarence? Representative Domico."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Domico. I think you verified him."

Ryan: "No, I verified Mann, Williams, O'Brien and the black caucus."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Domico. How is he..."



Ryan: "If he falls into any one of those categories, then he's verified."

Speaker Giorgi: "How's he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Domico in the chamber? Domico? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Doyle."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's in his chair."

Ryan: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Giorgi: "Farley. Representative Farley. How's he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Farley in the chambers? Take him off the record, Mr. Clerk."

Ryan: "Representative Holewinski."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's in his chair. Representative Farley... excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Farley's back in the chambers; put him back on the Roll Call."

Ryan: "Representative James Houlihan."

Speaker Giorgi: "James Houlihan. Representative James Houlihan. How's he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative James Houlihan in the chambers? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Jaffe."

Speaker Giorgi: "Jaffe. Representative Jaffe. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Jaffe in the chambers? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Katz."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Katz. How's he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's in the middle of the aisle."

Ryan: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Laurino. How's he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."



Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Laurino in the chambers? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Breslin."

Speaker Giorgi: "Put Representative Laurino back on the Roll Call. Any further questions, Representative Ryan? Representative Ryan, Mr. Madigan would like to be verified."

Ryan: "I can't agree with that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Anderson, for what reason do you rise? Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Giorgi: "How's the Gentleman..."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Anderson: "Vote me 'no' please."

Speaker Giorgi: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'."

Ryan: "What about Representative Breslin? Did you take her off, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Breslin. How's she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Breslin in the chambers? Take her off the record."

Ryan: "Representative McClain."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain is in the back of the room."

Ryan: "Representative McGrew."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Ryan. Representative Breslin is back in the chambers; put her back on the Roll Call. And Representative McGrew is in his chair. Breslin is in the chambers and McGrew is in the chair."

Ryan: "McClain is where did you say? In his chair?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain is in the back of the room."

Ryan: "Representative Mulcahey."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mulcahey's in his chair."

Ryan: "Representative Robinson."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Robinson. Representative Robinson. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Robinson in the chambers? Take



him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Schisler. Okay, Representative Schneider."

Speaker Giorgi: "How is Representative Schneider recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Schneider in the chambers?"

Representative Schneider. Take him off the Roll Call."

Ryan: "Representative Terzich."

Speaker Giorgi: "Terzich is in his chair."

Ryan: "Representative Von Boeckman."

Speaker Giorgi: "Von Boeckman's in his chair. Representative

Schneider has returned to the chamber; put him back on the Roll Call. Representative Schneider."

Ryan: "Representative... no further questions."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain. Representative McClain.

Representative Brady, was that Representative McClain? Representative Ryan, on this question there are 94 'ayes' and 40 'noes' and this Resolution is adopted. On page 3, Senate Bills, Second Reading, Senate Bill 1456. Representative McClain."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1456. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the State Board of Education. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill has been read a second time previously. The next Amendment was Amendment #14."

Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bill 1456, Amendment #14."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 14, Madison."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison on Amendment #14. House is back in order on Senate Bill 1456, Amendment #14."

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #14, Madison. Amends Senate Bill 1456 as amended on page 35 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison on Amendment #14."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #14 makes a reduction of one million, four hundred, seventy-three thousand dollars in the medical line items for optometrists. The, for fiscal year '78, Mr. Speaker, the optometrists were appropriated thirteen million, three hundred thousand dollars. As of yesterday, Mr. Speaker, the expenditures in that line item had been nine million, seven hundred thousand dollars or roughly three





and a half million dollars less than what was appropriated. In addition to that, the request for the optometrist line item for fiscal year '79 is twelve million, seven hundred thousand dollars and it is... this Amendment seeks to reduce that by one million, four hundred and seventy-three thousand dollars. It will not disturb at all the rate increases that were negotiated with the optometrists and I move for the adoption of Amendment #14."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Sponsor of the Bill, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. We would concur with Mr. Madison in this. The increase actually... for optometrists is 22.6 percent and we believe that there ought to be a gradual schedule of increases rather than 22.6 percent at one time so this amount we would concur with of reducing optometrists four hundred and seventy-three thousand dollars."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Campbell on the motion."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and I know those of you that feel like that you would like to reduce these line items, particularly from the standpoint of the providers, but I want to remind you of one thing. That this is only the second time in ten years that the public aid budget has not had a deficiency, this year. Only the second time in ten years and we've always had a deficiency. So is attempting to be done here is take money from the providers so that it can be used for and an additional 5 percent increase for the recipients. But who are the ones that benefit from these services offered by those providers? It's those same people that are going to benefit if they get the additional 5 percent increase. And I can tell you that in spite of the fact that if this money is reduced, those services are still going to be offered. And it will send some of the people to the medicaid mills which will cost the state more money and will force us to come back in here for a deficiency appropriation in 1979, in 1980 or at the end of the 1979 budget year. And I'd certainly ask you to vote 'no' on these Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison to close."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm glad that I finally have an opportunity to agree with Representative Campbell. The fact of the



matter is that he is absolutely right. The services will continue if this Amendment is adopted. The services will be continued and they will be continued at the negotiated rate increase. That will happen because the reduction of this line item by one million, four hundred thousand dollars will not all disturb those medicaid rate increases. Now it was interesting that Mr... that Representative Campbell talked about the motivation behind these reductions and I would just suggest to him that he's moved ahead to Amendment #23 and I'll debate you on that one when we get there, Representative Campbell, but the present time, we're on Amendment #14. I would suggest to this House that this is a responsible reduction in this line item for optometrists. Now since we want to speculate, Mr. Speaker, then let's speculate as to why the Governor has decided to build himself a fifty million dollar slush fund in the Department of Public Aid because that is what exists in these medical line items over and above the dollars that are necessary for the rate increases. There's fifty million dollars. And I think he ought to tell the other side of the aisle what he plans to do with that fifty million dollars. The medical providers are not going to get it. I know that, the Department knows that. What we ought to know is what's going to happen to that fifty million dollars. Since we're not being told by the Governor's office what's going to happen to it, we should be responsible and take it out of the budget and I move for the adoption of Amendment #14."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison moves that Amendment #14 be adopted to Senate Bill 1456. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. Let's take a... let's take a Roll Call. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Bowman to explain his vote for one minute."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I hope everyone was listening to the numbers that Representative Madison was throwing out for us. I hope you paid attention to the fact that this year, this particular line item will lapse nearly four million dollars. Granted the Governor is asking for less in this line item this year than



he did last year, but still after the Governor's own reduction and this reduction, there is still an ample increase in this particular line item. So by voting for this Amendment to cut the appropriation you are still providing for an increase in the, in this particular line item. A very healthy increase I might add and I see that... that the services will not be cut. I think Representative Madison was... point was well taken on that score so that really what we're talking about here is... is taking the fat out of the budget in the... literal sense of that word and putting it where it belongs. So let's... let's put a green vote up there for cutting the fat out of this budget."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 64 'ayes', 64 'nays'. Representative Conti wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'? 'No'. Representative Madison requests a poll of the absentees. Representative... Representative Ewing, how do you want... 'No'. Okay, on this question there are 64 'ayes', 66 'nays' and the Amendment fails. Amendment #15."

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #15, Madison. Amends Senate Bill 1456 as amended on page 36 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison on Amendment #15."

Madison: "If this keeps up, Mr. Speaker, I'll be weakening. Amendment #15 makes a reduction of three hundred, twenty-two thousand dollars in the medical line item for podiatrists. Fiscal year '78, Mr. Speaker, the podiatrists line item was appropriated at the level of one million, seven hundred sixty-eight thousand dollars. As of yesterday, that line item had expended nine hundred thirty-nine thousand dollars. Mr. Speaker, less than a million dollars with approximately seven hundred and fifty thousand that will be in the lapse period. For fiscal year 1979, the podiatrists have been appropriated one million, seven hundred seventy-nine thousand dollars. With the medicaid rate increase, the podiatrists appropriation would be sufficient at the level of one million, four hundred fifty-seven thousand dollars and thereby the reason for a reduction of three hundred twenty-two thousand dollars reflected in Amendment #15. And I so move its adoption."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain, Sponsor of the Bill on the motion."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The increase for podiatrists is 33.7 percent. We agree with Mr. Madison that the increase ought to be scheduled and not so drastic at one time so we would ask for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Campbell on the motion."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the same argument can be used here. If you vote to reduce this, you're voting... you're actually going to come back here and vote for a deficiency appropriation because of the fact these people are still going to be using the services whether they go to the medicaid mills or wherever they may go. And based on the utilization of services, you're certainly going to increase the cost of this budget and I ask you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison to close."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's interesting that the Director of the Department of Public Aid has joined us on the wrong side of the aisle. It's also interesting, Mr. Speaker, that probably the best thing that happened to the Department of Public Aid was that this appropriation ended up in an Omnibus Bill because if it had been heard in Committee, the Director would have had to answer some of the questions that are being raised now. But he didn't have to answer those questions and so if you see him standing over there by Representative Campbell smiling, you'll know why. He has put out position on these Amendments without having an opportunity for anybody to rebut them. The fact of the matter is that this budget is fat in every one of these line items. There's been an attempt to responsibly reduce them to a level whereby the expenditures are expected and not disturbing the rate increases, but the Department for some reason does not want that to happen. I'm not even sure the Director knows why. I think that the answer lies on the second floor, but I still move for the adoption of Amendment #15."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison moves for the adoption of



Amendment #15 to Senate Bill 1456. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 40 'ayes', 78 'nays' and the motion fails. Amendment #... any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #16, Madison. Amends Senate Bill 1456 as amended on page 36, line 3 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #16 makes a reduction of six million, nine hundred thirty-four thousand in the medical line item for dentists. The fiscal year '78 appropriation for dentists was twenty-eight million, four hundred ninety-seven thousand dollars. As of yesterday, the dentists line item had been expended to the tune of twenty-four million, six hundred thousand dollars with a three and a half million dollar lapse. As far as fiscal year '79 is concerned the appropriation is for thirty-seven million, eight hundred thousand dollars. The estimated expenditures in this line item even with the rate increases for fiscal year 1979 is thirty million, eight hundred sixty-six thousand dollars and therefore, a responsible reduction of six million, nine hundred thousand dollars in this line item is certainly apropos and I move for the adoption of Amendment #16."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Campbell on the motion."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, according to the figures that I have here, the utilization rates on dentists have gone up, have increased by 47.5 percent and... or an average of 9½ percent per year. According to legislative staff estimate, however, it equates only to .059 utilization rate and I'll use the same arguments here that I've used against the others that if you vote for this reduction, you're going to be forced to vote for a deficiency appropriation at the end of this year. I ask you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Sponsor of the Bill, Representative McClain. No comment. Representative Madison to close."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Representative Campbell's vote is getting better, but his arguments aren't getting any better. The fact



of the matter is if we want to talk about utilization rates, the average payment for dentists in fiscal year 1978 was \$39.56. The Department projects an average payment of \$45.08. The rate increase for dentists does not project that kind of increase average payment. As far as the number of payments are concerned, the Department overestimated the number of payments by eighty-one thousand payments per month, Mr. Speaker. And that is the way the Department of Public Aid budgets their line item. The utilization is just not shown to be there in terms of what the Department says it's going to be. The rate increases notwithstanding, a reduction of six million, nine hundred thousand dollars in this line item is a responsible reduction and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #16."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #16 to Senate Bill 1456. All in favor will signify by saying... voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Yourell to explain his vote."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If I understand these Amendments properly, all of these Amendments deal with reductions in the Department of Public Aid's budget. Is that right, Representative Madison? So if you're voting 'aye' on these Amendments, you're voting to reduce that budget. Correct? Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question 29 'ayes', 77 'nays' and this motion fails. Representative Steczo would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #17, Madison. Amends Senate Bill 1456 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison on Amendment 17. Excuse me. Representative Mann."

Mann: "Would you kindly vote me 'aye' on the last Amendment please? Already have leave."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment. Representative Mann 'aye'. Domico 'no'. Representative Madison on Amendment #17."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my good



friend, Don Udsten, sitting in the Speaker's gallery has been waiting for this one. Amendment #17 makes a reduction of ten million, four hundred seventy-three thousand dollars in the medical line item for physicians. My arguments are the same, Mr. Speaker. This line item is overbudgeted to the tune of ten million dollars. A reduction in this line item of ten million dollars will not disturb the rate increases that have been promised the doctors. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #17."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Campbell on the motion."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, based on the seventieth percentile in the manner and the profiles in which physicians have been graded or paid based on their customary and usual fees, actually the doctors in this state are only getting about fifty to sixty percent of actual payment from the Department and we are addressing ourselves to this actually based on the profile of some of the younger doctors who have been taking care of the patients less time or recipients less time than the older doctors who are going in at a higher rate. We are addressing ourselves to that particular problem right now and I simply want to again repeat to you that last year or this year, we're not coming in with a deficiency and if you vote for the reduction of these, you're going to vote for a deficiency in... for a deficiency appropriation at the end of this year."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain. You... Representative Madison to close."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Campbell is right. The doctors have not had a rate increase for a long time. This budget includes a rate increase for the doctors. In addition to the rate increase for the doctors it includes an additional ten million dollars and nobody knows where the hell that ten million dollars is going. And for God's sake, if you're going to defend these... defeat these Amendments, at least make the Department or the Governor or somebody tell you what they're going to do with the money. I, for one, will never be a part of building a fifty million dollar slush fund for the Governor and I move for



the adoption of Amendment #17."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison moves that Amendment #17 be adopted to Senate Bill 1456. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Who's asking for the floor? Representative Stearney... take the record. Representative Stearney wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. On this question there are 28 'ayes', 101 'nays' and this motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #18, Madison. Amends Senate Bill 1456 as amended on page 35 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Speaker, with leave of the House I would request to withdraw Amendment #18."

Speaker Giorgi: "Withdraw Amendment #18? The Gentleman have leave? The Amendment's withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #19, Madison. Amends Senate Bill 1456 as amended on page 35 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison on Amendment 19."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House I'd like to withdraw Amendment #19."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, the Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #20, Madison. Amends Senate Bill 1456 as amended on page 35 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madison on Amendment 20."

Madison: "Speaker, I yield to Representative McClain."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain on Amendment 20."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Let's pull this Bill out of the record please."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take it out of the record. Concurrence Calendar, on page 4, Representative Von Boeckman on House Bill 297. Representative Lechowicz on House Bill 2344. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Senate Amendment combines an up to date version on House Bill 2344 of the previous Bills that were considered by this House and that was House Bills 2338, 50 and House Bill 186.





It requires agencies to have statutory authority to hold or spend local monies or to spend federal funds without an appropriation. This is quite similar to the Bill which passed this House in an overwhelming fashion in House Bill 3191 and I would move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2344."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion, Representative Lechowicz moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2344. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. It takes 89 votes, final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 137 'ayes', 2 'nays', none voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2344."

(con't on next page)



Speaker Giorgi: "...Von Boeckman is back in the chamber. House Bill 297, on concurrence."

Von Boeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we discussed this House Bill 297 and the question had arisen . . . . about . . . 'Would Workmen's Compensation and Unemployment Compensation be included in the mandated program?' It is the legislative intent that it's not. I've talked to the people in the municipa . . . . . municipalities and they agree with me that it does not include payment of Workmen's Comp. or Unemployment, therefore, I urge passage of this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schuneman on the motion."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill which is intended to say that the state must pay for any programs that we mandate on local government. And I have no particular objection to that concept, but if you'll take a look at Senate Amendment #2, adopted in the Senate, it says that the state must pay for any new state mandated program, but it also says that it must pay for any increased level of service of an existing program. Now, an increased level of service is defined, in the Amendment, to mean, 'Any requirement mandated by the state which makes necessary additional costs to any unit of local government.' Now that seems very clear to me, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. That since Workmen's Compensation benefits are mandated by the State Legislature and since Unemployment Compensation benefits are mandated by the State Legislature, that if in the future we enact any laws that would increase costs of local government, the state must pay those costs. Now I suggested to the Sponsor that what we should do is send this Bill back to Conference Committee and put in a specific exclusion making certain that this Bill would not pick up future costs for Workmen's Compensation and Unemployment Compensation. He has decided not to do that and for that reason, I think, it would be a very serious mistake for us to agree to concur in Senate Amendment #2 and I certainly urge you to look at this very carefully and to vote 'no' on this motion to concur."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber on the motion."



Leinenweber: "I hope the Membership was listening to Representative Schuneman on explaining the Senate Amendment #2, which is the Bill. Very clearly this Bill can have...will have far reaching financial effects on state revenue. As the Gentleman pointed out it calls for any new mandated programs and any increases in existing mandated programs to be paid for by the state. As the Gentleman also pointed out, there is no specific exclusion for Workmen's Compensation or Unemployment Compensation. Certainly, this Bill, in the form of Senate Amendment #2, ought to receive much more careful analysis and I don't think we can necessarily take the Sponsor's word if someone tells him that Unemployment Comp. and Workmen's Comp. are not included in this Bill. I don't have any quarrel with the idea that the state should neither mandate programs, but I think the thing to do in the future is what we have been doing for the last two years, and that is, very carefully analyze what we pass out of here so that we don't mandate anything down to units of local government. Certainly the proper vote is 'no' on the Gentleman's motion to concur."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Yourell, on the motion."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the Gentleman's motion because it's my interpretation and the interpretation of many others that we do have an inclusion in House Bill 297 relative to federally mandated programs... and that the state would not be liable for the payment of the extension of those mandated programs by the Federal Government to the state. Now, it was mentioned that unemployment insurance would be one of the programs that we'd have to do something about. Well, as you know, we passed Senate Bill 235 and had to do that to take care of that unemployment insurance problem. That was a federally mandated program. We had to comply with the federal law. The Federal Department of Labor told us what we had to do and we did it and that, to me, is proof enough that this House Bill 297 should not be concerned with that situation. Now if anybody in this House thinks that we are going to liberalize, again, the benefits under Workmen's Compensation, I think, that's a farfetched idea. If that's



some concern of the Members of the House, I think we're on a trend to do something to cut back those benefits under Workmen's Compensation that I think now we so wrongly did some two years ago...in Senate Bill 234 and that other Bill. Now I've heard a lot of talk recently about House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 44. If you really know what that does you'll find that included in that Constitutional Amendment is the provisions of 3112 and 3113, which are almost identical to House Bill 297, and that is concerning itself with state mandated programs back to units of local government and school districts. That is in the Totten proposal. So if you're in favor of the Totten proposal you also ought to be in favor of House Bill 297. I think the Gentleman has a good Bill. I think that you can't have it both ways. If you want 44, you've got to take 297."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman on the motion."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Does this Bill, as amended by the Senate, define mandated programs? If so, what is the definition?"

Von Boeckman: "Well, the definition of mandated programs is defined in the Bill. It says that...requires the state pay the full cost after January 1, 1980. I want to emphasize, January 1, 1980."

Schlickman: "I'm not interested in the date, I'm interested in the definition of mandated programs. Let's stick to that point."

Von Boeckman: "...Well...of any new state mandated programs or any increased level of the service of an existing mandated program after 1980."

Schlickman: "In other words, this Bill, as amended, does not define a mandated program?"

Von Boeckman: "Well, that means, right there, if you want to say, it means that...if the General Assembly mandates a local unit of government to do something we have to put our money where our mouth's at."

Schlickman: "But you don't have a definition of what constitutes a mandated program?"

Von Boeckman: "Well, again, you know what it means and I know what it means,



Gene, that if we say that the local government has to expend local tax money for something that they don't have to do it unless we give them the means to do it. I think it's time that we in the General Assembly address ourselves to the increased property tax that's going on in the State of Illinois. If we want to continue tax revolt in Illinois, all we have to do is vote this Bill down. If we want to put a curb on increased property taxes, this is the Bill that's done it...will do it...and I...I..."

Schlickman: "May...May I address myself to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue.."

Schlickman: "In addressing myself, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to the motion to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 297, I respectfully remind you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that the governmental relationship that we have in the State of Illinois and which exists in all of the other states, is one of the state being the creator and the units of local government being the creatures. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that's a sound principle of law and that's exactly what exists. By being the creators, we give to units of local government the authority to come into being. We define for them, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the scope of their legal activities, furthermore, Mr. Speaker, we have provided to them financial wherewithal by the levying of taxes to fund the programs or activities that we have either mandated or by permission, allowed to units of local government. In the meantime, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we have given to units of local government, not only the authority to levy taxes, but we have given to them...grants. We've given to them grants..vis-a-vis the income tax, vis-a-vis the sales tax, vis-a-vis the gas tax. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if we are going to further inhibit ourselves as the creator of the these units of local government, in determining the scope of their activities, we're going to further inhibit ourselves by saying what this Bill provides in a very imprecise, vague way. We might as well close shop and turn the operation of state government over to units of local government. Can you imagine, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, what Bill



affecting a unit of local government that won't be argued as a mandated program? And the units of local government saying, it's going to cost us money and if we don't get an appropriation from the General Assembly, we're not going to do it. This Bill gives us the authority to stick our nose up, at and to the General Assembly because, in our opinion, as a unit of local government this is a mandated program. And if we don't get the money we don't have to do it. I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we are the State of Illinois, we're not a number of fiefdoms uncoordinated and not operating under general public policy as determined by the General Assembly. I respectfully suggest Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that in Article VII of the Illinois Constitution of 1970, we gave...we gave to units of government, local government, ample authority by which to operate. What did we do, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we gave to home rule units...we gave to home rule units unlimited taxing authority. And we gave to units who are not, by virtue of their size, home rule units the authority by referendum..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Schlickman..."

Schlickman: "I got three more minutes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Please bring your remarks to a close."

Schlickman: "Three more minutes. ...We gave to people, in units that aren't automatically home rule in nature, the authority by referendum, to go to it. They got it, Mr. Speaker, let's not inhibit the General Assembly, let's not frustrate the operation of state government. I respectfully suggest that philosophically this is a bad Bill and it's one that we should not concur in the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 297. Let's kill this Bill once and for all and vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mautino, on the motion."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have some concerns, Jim, and I've got a couple of questions because I agree with the philosophy when it comes to education in other areas. But yesterday we talked about jails and a mandate by the State of Illinois. As I read your Amendment it says the full cost



of reimbursement. Does that mean, if some bureaucrat in some of the departments of government comes down to a specific county and says you must do this and this and this.....in your interpretation, is that a mandate by the state or are you talking about a mandate legislatively, by statute?"

Von Boeckman: "We're talking about mandated programs legislatively, by statute, but it also increased levels of services and we're talking about a...a bureaucratic ...department that would mandate something. They don't have to do it unless they pay for it."

Mautino: "What I'm saying is, how do you control that, Jim? I have some concerns there. If, yesterday, with Mr. Dunn's Amendment, on the jail provision, were to be before us today and this would have passed and this was law, would that mean that the State of Illinois would have to pay 100 percent for that jail in Macon County?"

Von Boeckman: "Again, Mr. Speaker, we're talking about a Department budget that has to come back to this Department....to this Legislative Body for enactment. I'm sure that everything that's going to be expended in the State of Illinois has to come through here. It has to come through the hearing process. So, we, as this governing Body, have to vote on that issue."

Mautino: "Then, ....may I address the concurrence at this time?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Mautino: "Very seldom have I ever stood up against another Member's Bill, in this chamber, but I must, in all honesty and good conscience, agree with Representative Schuneman, after the point was raised, yesterday. I did some checking. It is a great concern of mine that any increase as I see it, according to existing statutes in Workmen's Compensation and Unemployment Compensation, would be affected by this Amendment. I recommend, most highly, you take this back, Jim, to Committee, because we're going to be mandating those additional cos..costs, in those two areas to government. I agree with Representative Schuneman, he's exactly correct."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "...Move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The



question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and Representative Von Boeckman, to close."

Von Boeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for a good number of years we've had a lot of backlash from the taxpayers back home. House Bill 297 would stop a lot of that backlash... We know what increased level of service is, there's no question about that in our minds. That comes from the bureaucrats. We know what legislative mandates is, that comes from this governing Body. I served on the Governor's Commission on the mandated programs. We traveled all through the State of Illinois. Everything we heard in all of the meetings throughout this state was 'quit mandating us programs without the necessary funds'. I believe that this is one of the best pieces of legislation that could stop increase...in taxation back home. It is my feeling and it is my legislative intent that the Workmen's Compensation or the Unemployment Compensation federally mandated programs, which is part of the Bill, does not apply. And if you try it out in a court of law I'm sure that the minutes of this meeting and this Bill passes, it is the legislative intent that the Workmen's Compensation or the Unemployment Compensation does not apply. I ask a favorable vote on this issue."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Von Boeckman moves that the House concur to Senate Amedment #2 and #3 to House Bill 296. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Bluthardt, to explain his vote."

Bluthardt: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I intended to pose a question to the Sponsor and I didn't have the opportunity. I think I know the answer. If you'll notice the Senate Amendment #2 deletes the provision that the Comptroller may audit the records of any unit of local government or school district to verify the actual cost of the mandated program. This is deleted. I asked that question yesterday and I was advised that the Sponsor thought that the Auditor General may have that authority, he wasn't sure. But I'm not sure that he has that authority either and I think that without that provision, there will be no provision to examine the records of local government."





What's going to keep local government from overcharging the state? I think it's imperative that some provision for auditing be put into this Bill. On the other hand, I think, it is more imperative that the Bill be defeated. I speak here as a double-dipper, being a member of a municipality elected officer of a municipality, I happen to believe the same as Gene Schlickman in this regard. I don't think that we ought to have the tail wagging the dog, we are the state. We create the municipalities, we create the other units of local government and it's wrong to have them billing the State of Illinois, especially wrong to have them billing the State of Illinois for those matters that we deem necessary to be performed by local government. I think and I personally think and I've heard a lot about the crying of the plight of municipalities, but I happen to think...in the eighteen years that I've been Mayor of a town, that we are in much better shape financially than we've ever been and I think that's generally true throughout the State of Illinois. In those 18 years I've seen the Motor Fuel Tax Bills...er...pro rata share to local government increased by about 3 cents, I've seen the sales tax increase 1/2 a cent, I've seen the advent of the income tax benefit local government with their 1/12 of the income tax. And now we have federal revenue sharing. We've never been better off. We can afford many of the things that this Body deems that local government ought to perform. I think that we ought to nonconcur with this motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Vinson, to explain his vote for one minute, please. One minute."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill defies common sense. Before this Bill it takes 89 votes to pass a program...mandated program in this General Assembly. After this Bill it takes 89 votes to pass a mandated program in this General Assembly, whether we fund it or not. This Bill doesn't change a thing. All you have to do is with those same 89 votes..that want the mandated program, you simply amend this statute. It defies common sense. It doesn't solve the problem. I urge its defeat. "

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mugalian, to explain his vote, for one



minute."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to suggest that the philosophy of this Bill and the philosophy of the previous Bill...related to it, would require us to repeal the Municipal Code of the State of Illinois, to repeal the School Code of the State of Illinois and to repeal all statutes that have to do with units of local government. It would also mean, inferentially, that we might as well say from now on, the Legislature will pass no laws affecting units of local government and school boards. The whole idea is kinda preposterous and I think this Bill should lose."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen, to explain her vote, ...for one minute."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the previous speaker said that this had the same provision as another proposal that some of us are trying to get heard. That is somewhat true, but the problem is, it needs to go further. The proposal that some of us are trying to get heard does the whole job. If you do only part of a job, you're not doing a job at all. That's why I'm against this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ebbesen, to explain his vote for one minute, please."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the Sponsor said it himself, I think, ...I know that Representative Schuneman and Mautino have stated it, that the Sponsor said the Legislative intent...well, I think we can clear up Legislative intent at the most appropriate time and that time is now. This Bill should be defeated. We should nonconcur. Take the Bill into a Conference Committee, and spell out that Legislative intent so that we don't have to face the problem of these...Workmen's and Unemployment Compensation ...in the future, by putting it in words. I think that Representative Schuneman hit the nail on the head and that's what ought to be done and this deal ought to be defeated right now."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hudson, to explain his vote for one minute, please."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I



couldn't help but think, while Representative Schuneman was explaining his opposition to this Bill, how far extended that list could be. Representative Mugalian has brought up the point and I think it was a good one. We almost would have to repeal the municipal code every time we raise judge's salaries, every time we ...we affect changes in the minimum wages that are paid certain county and certain municipal officials. The State of Illinois would be in a position, perhaps, under the provisions of this Bill, of picking up the tab. I would remind our colleagues, too, that anything the State of Illinois pays or has to pay, still comes out of the pockets of the taxpayers. The taxpayers are escaping nothing by the provisions of this Amendment...absolutely nothing. The final Bill comes back to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. Philosophically, I think, too this is a dangerous concept. It seems to me that what the municipalities are really asking for, when we do mandate programs, is the ability, themselves, some provisions that they can take advantage of, to pay for these programs. In other words they should have ways of levying taxes or whatever is needed to pay for the programs that we may mandate. That's what I think they are really asking for and what we don't, as a rule, give them. But to go this route, I think, would be a supreme mistake and I too would urge a 'no' vote on this. Send it back to Conference Committee and see what can be worked out."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Tuerk, to explain his vote, for one minute. The timer is on."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, being the Hyphenated Sponsor, I commend Representative Von Boeckman for the hard work that he has put in on this Bill. I think he has elaborated on the concept. I think the concept is sound. There have been some problems raised here. I don't think, Representative Von Boeckman, it appears to be going to get enough votes to concur. It would be my suggestion perhaps that we do nonconcur, put it in a Conference and work out some of these problems and go from there."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Von Boeckman. Von Boeckman."

Von Boeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it appears that we want to work this out in Conference so I'll move



nonconcur."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman, the Gentleman wants to move to nonconcur. On that point are you..."

Schlickman: "I think the motion to concur should be declared lost. And then to move to nonconcur."

Speaker Giorgi: "That's right. Right. The motion to concur. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 70 'ayes', 72 'nays', and the Gentleman's motion failed. Now the Gentleman request a motion to nonconcur on the same ...same Senate Amendment. All in favor signify by saying...Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't know how this Amendment or this Bill, as amended, could be improved. As I indicated there is a philosophical issue here and I don't know how a Conference Committee could correct the situation. My feelings would be let's vote against the motion to nonconcur and just let the thing die."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur, Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 297. All in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed ....by voting 'aye' ...to nonconcur. And those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? To nonconcur. Representative Schuneman, on the motion."

Schuneman: "I wanted to inquire how many votes this motion took, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just simple majority."

Schuneman: "Simple majority of those voting?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 92 'ayes', 24 'nays' and the motion ...the Gentleman's motion prevails to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 297. Mr. Speaker."

SPEAKER REDMOND IN CHAIR....."



Speaker Redmond: "At this time we'll break for a few minutes. Representative J. David Jones. Representative Kane. Representative Robinson here? Senator Davidson. Turn the podium over to Representative Jones."

J.D. Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, those of you where at the testimonial dinner for Corneal 'Deacon' Davis will recall that one of the incidents in his lifetime in Springfield was mentioned by Leon Stewart, his good friend who is here with us today. Then when Deacon Davis came to Springfield on the first day to be a Member of this Legislature thirty-six years ago, he was refused accommodations at the Abraham Lincoln Hotel and had to sleep for the night on the bench in the G.M.&O. railroad station waiting room. And a reflection upon that of all, for all the service that Deacon Davis has rendered to Springfield for the thirty-six years he's been here, has probably spent more days in Springfield than he has in his home, that we want to present the Honorable William Telford, Mayor of Springfield, for a presentation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis, will you step to the podium please?"

Mayor Telford: "Thank you, Mr. Jones. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly of the State of Illinois. It is my honor as Mayor of the great City of Springfield to present to one of your retiring Members, Representative Corneal Davis, who at the conclusion of his term in office will have spent thirty-six years in the General Assembly of this great state. Mr. Davis, it is my honor to present to you on behalf of all the citizens of the City of Springfield, Illinois, a key to our great city as half of your time has been spent in Springfield even though you have been a resident of the City of Chicago. This key not only represents your service to the great State of Illinois and the City of Springfield, but also to mankind in general. And I personally thank you very much for the past thirty-six years."

C. Davis: "Mr. Mayor and Mr. Speaker, Representative J. David Jones, Robinson and Representative Kane and Senator Davidson, I'm almost speechless. To have his Honor, the Mayor, leave his busy



schedule and come here to present this humble servant with this. It says, 'Presented by the people of Springfield, Illinois to Representative Corneal Davis, June 30, 1978, William Telford, Mayor.' I will always treasure this. You know, Mr. Mayor, I knew that when Mayor Daley called me two years ago in that back room there and said to me, 'I read in the paper where you were retiring.' And I said, 'Yes, Sir, Mr. Mayor.' He says, 'Who told you you could retire?' And I says... I says, 'Well, Mr. Mayor, I've been here a long time.' He says, 'Well you can't retire. You go back out there.' He says... and in the language of Dawson, 'walk together children and don't you get weary'. That's a great camp meeting in the promised land. I thought there was something else that I was to receive here in Springfield and I have received it now and I'm indeed grateful to you. You know, when we talk about past events, I have always believed that prejudice was a preconceived attitude, a preconceived attitude. Once you know people, you associate with them, you talk to them about their problems and they talk to you about your problems, we don't seem to be as bad as some of us think we are. This attitude has been an attitude that has existed in this General Assembly. Over the period of years, those who have come here from time to time and I have met them and talked with them and visited with them all over the state, they were not afraid of me and I'm not afraid of them, irrespective of race, color, creed or party. And we find that there is a bond of friendship that exists between us. As one great poet wrote, 'Fleecy locks and dark complexions forfeits not nature's claim, races may differ, but affection dwells in whites and blacks the same.' And this tells me that this is true. Affection. And certainly I will keep this, it'll be dear to my heart and when I take it and show it to my wife who hasn't been too well, this will be medicine for her. Maybe this'll be a tonic for her and I'll place this in one of the conspicuous parts in my home in Chicago. And now standing on this Speaker's rostrum here with me is one of Springfield's outstanding businessmen. He was... his dear mother was the one who rescued me when I came to Springfield. And I'll always love her and, you



know, God works in his own mysterious play...way. When I got out there, I found out that she was not only a dear Christian woman, but that she was a member of the African Methodist Episcopal Church in which I was the preacher. And she filled a void in my life, my mother passed in '47 and she took over as my mother. And whenever they had a program out there at the church, she says, 'I have the preacher, he's right there at my House, he'll preach, he'll do the preaching.' That's Leon Stewart, one of Springfield's great and I owe him so much, one of Springfield's great businessmen whom I love, whose beautiful home I stayed in and it was like my home for many, many years. God bless you and thank you, Mr. Mayor. And I wish that I could present to you something, but I'll say thank you."

Mayor Telford: "Well you have presented me something as you have every citizen in this state - good service."

C. Davis: "Thank you. Thank you very much."

Speaker Giorgi: "On Representative Von Boeckman's motion to nonconcur to Senate Amendment 2 and 3 to House Bill 297, that motion to nonconcur was adopted. Now Senate Bills, Second Reading. Nonconcur. Senate Bill... Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1583, Representative Telcser. Senate Bill 1583. Speaker would like for me to announce that there will be a dinner break from six o'clock to 7:30. Dinner break six o'clock to 7:30. Six o'clock to 7:30. Senate Bill 1583. Representative Eugene Barnes on Senate Bill 1583."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 1583."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a minute, Mr. Clerk. Representative Gene Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I have one question on Senate Bill 1583."

Speaker Giorgi: "So let's put it first."

E. Barnes: "I... well, let me ask the question first. I see that what has just arrived to my desk, a hundred and two page Amendment to Senate Bill 1583 and I'm wondering whether or not the Sponsor of that Amendment intends to make a motion to move that Amendment at this time since none of us have been able to in any way digest in any form a hundred and two pages in the last ten



minutes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser on the Gentleman's inquiry."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just read the Bill, Senate Bill 1583."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 1583. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Board of Vocational Rehabilitation. Amendments 1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions with those Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "No motions filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right, Representative Telcser, would you like to answer his inquiry inasmuch as we're on the Bill?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it is my understanding that the Amendment is actually Representative Peters' Amendment and that he is prepared to answer any questions which Members may have regarding the content of the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Eugene Barnes on this... well, of course, we're not to that Amendment yet are we? There are some Amendments prior to that. Representative Barnes on the issue."

E. Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I hope that the House Members are listening to what's going on here. Now what my concern is and I sit here as Chairman of the Appropriations Committee and I do not know what's embodied in Amendment #5 and as I have it on my desk, Representative, is being sponsored by Representative Ryan. Now I, my question is and still I put that question, is their intentions to move Amendment #5 at this time?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Barnes, excuse me one moment. The next Amendment up is Amendment #4."

E. Barnes: "I realize that, Mr. Speaker, but the next one after #4 is #5. And I know how the train runs around this place. Now I'm asking is for an Amendment of a hundred and two pages which we do not know what is in, is it the intention to move that Amendment at this time? We received it about fifteen, twenty minutes ago. That's my question."

Speaker Giorgi: "Well, Representative Barnes, until we reach Amendment #5, I don't think your question's in order. We're on Amendment #4."





E. Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Ask your question."

E. Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, this is... this is a request to you of the Chair. When Amendment #5 comes, I would like to be heard immediately, not after you start to move the adoption, after the adoption of 4, after the adoption of 4, prior to #5, I would like to be recognized."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser then, shall we take it out of the record so that they have a chance to look at the Amendment? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, but, Mr. Speaker, would you get back to it soon? I'd be delighted to give the Gentleman fifteen, twenty minutes if he needs it or a half hour. But will you get back to the Bill?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, Sir. Representative Gene Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't know about the other Members of the House and I realize I may not have the... I realize I may not have the best perception to be able to grasp everything all at one time, but if the Gentleman is suggesting that anyone here can read a hundred and two pages of appropriations, various appropriations and be able to digest them in the next fifteen or twenty minutes, something here is more than just grease. Something here is really wrong. I suggest to the Speaker and the Members of this House if we are to here today adopt an Amendment that embodies the total appropriations of this state in the next fifteen or twenty minutes, in the next two hours, somebody is kidding somebody. None of this stuff, the majority of this stuff has never been heard in a House Committee in this House. Now to offer this stuff on the House floor and to say that you're going to digest and understand what's in it, in the next fifteen or twenty minutes..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Barnes, I'll recognize everyone whose light is on. Let Mr. Barnes finish with his dissertation. Continue, Mr. Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's not really dissertation. I'm merely suggesting if there's anyone around here who can absorb and digest this in the next fifteen or twenty minutes, I'd like



for them to step forward."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative... I'll recognize everyone. Representative Stearney on the... we're not on anything except 1583, Amendment #4."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I join with Representative Barnes. It appears that what we have here is the old shell game. They keep changing the numbers, the changing the Bills and you can't even find your Bill. Now I was originally the Sponsor of Registration and Education and it appears that there's a vast conspiracy not only on your side of the aisle, but on mine as well. They even preclude you from attaching Amendments, of filing Amendments on this Bill. Now if you call it in fifteen minutes, the Amendments that have been filed will not even have been printed and distributed to the House."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Stearney. Just a moment on a point of order, Representative Mudd on a point of order. Representative Mudd, what's your point?"

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker, just this. Representative Barnes is right. This contains so many figures that I think that a Committee of Appropriations, both Appropriations be appointed to take a look at this Amendment prior to acting on this Bill. And I ask them to take this Bill out of the... out of the record right now so that we might work on this Amendment and make a recommendation to the full House."

Speaker Giorgi: "To set the House in order we are now on Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1583. Now, Mr. McMaster, you want to be recognized on Amendment #4? Amendment #4 is on the table now."

McMaster: "If you would like to make it out point of personal privilege, I will do that."

Speaker Giorgi: "No, no. Your remarks directed..."

McMaster: "You cannot refuse me a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue, what is your point?"

McMaster: "Well, I think in answer to a couple of the speakers over there, namely one from Appropriations I in the House and one from Appropriations II in the House, they're concerned about being able to digest on Omnibus Bill, what the devil do they think



they've been trying to do to us for the last couple of months if they haven't been trying to shove Omnibus Bills down our throats. For heaven's sakes if you don't understand Omnibus Bills, what do the hell do you think we do? You're the ones that are supposed to understand them."

Speaker Giorgi: "After that, we'll take the Bill out of the record. Out of the record. For what reason do you rise, Mr. Barnes?"

E. Barnes: "I want to cor..."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Bill's out of the record."

E. Barnes: "I want to correct a couple of things that were said here. Number one, yes, the omnibus was introduced in Appropriations II, but I had the courtesy and the decency to introduce that omnibus one week, gave it to the Members and said take it home and digest it and did not suggest that we hear that Bill that day. Not only not that day, but not the next day. I said take it home and digest it so you can understand what's in it. Now let's... if we're going to be, if we're going to be truthful out here, let's be truthful. Now it's one thing to give someone a package and give him two or three days to look it over to understand what's in it and it's another thing to come up with something that's greased down the track and tell you to digest it within the next fifteen minutes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bill 1592."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1592. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the teachers retirement system. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Before we go to... to Representative Sumner, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on a happier note, like to introduce three generations of the Representative William Margalus who... these members are in the gallery in the back. His wife, Agnes, his son, William and his wife, Maureen, and grandson William and granddaughters Kimmy and Kelly. Would you welcome them please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "No Committee Amendments. Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was tabled in Committee, failed in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, Sumner-Mudd. Amends Senate Bill 1592 on page 1 by inserting between line 8 and 9 the following and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sumner on Amendment #2. Request the Bill out of the record? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1595."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1595. A Bill for an Act making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the University Civil Service Merit Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wikoff on Senate Bill 1595. Any motions on the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, take the Bill out of the record. Wikoff is not here. Senate Bill 1601, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1601. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements, grants and related purposes. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 26 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #2 by Representative Telcser."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser on the motion to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1601. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, energy conservation products were deleted from the Bill with Amendment #2 and, therefore, I have filed a motion to table the Amendment which knocks... which took from the Bill those very vital energy conservation projects which were programmed or slated to be done in this next fiscal year. The amount of money was six hundred and ninety-two thousand dollars... six hundred and ninety-two, nine hundred and twelve dollars. And Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would appreciate a favorable vote on my motion to table Amendment #2 which deleted those energy conservation projects."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich on the motion."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I will support Representative Telcser on this motion but all I'm going to say to him that much of the criticism I've heard over there in the past where we bond light bulbs and venetian blinds and things like that, evidently you agree with that. That's all right that we ought to bond such things like that. So all I'm trying to say is, when it's over on your side, it's all right. But when we were doing it, it was wrong. So I'll go along with your motion, but just to say that things are no different than they ever were."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser moves to table Amendment... Committee Amendment #2 to House, Senate Bill 1601. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #12 to Senate Bill 1601 by Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I could have leave to withdraw my motion in deference to Representative Younge."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any objections to withdraw the Gentleman's motion? No objections, the motion is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further motions. Floor Amendment #27, Winchester. Amends Senate Bill 1601 as amended in Section 9 by inserting immediately after Department of Law Enforcement and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Winchester on Amendment #27. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to withdraw Amendment #27."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any objections? The Amendment is withdrawn; Amendment 27 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 28, Giglio-Winchester. Amends Senate Bill 1601 as amended on page 8 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Giglio on Amendment #28."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment is three hundred, thirty-one thousand for the Logan County or the Logan Correctional Center. And what this... what this does and what it's for it's part of the package program for the..."



our prison institutions to manufacture the Illinois state license plate and also the sticker for the motor vehicles here in Illinois. As you know, the bids have been let out to the public and over the past few years the prisons of various states throughout the nations have manufactured our license plates. And what we're trying to do as long as the prisons of other states are going to manufacture our own license plates, we feel that our own institutions should do so. Now the Bill that permitted the Pontiac institution to manufacture plates passed out of this House by some hundred and forty-some votes. It's in the Senate. This Bill does the sticker every year and it's the start-up money for the Logan institution and whereby the manufacturing of these stickers will be here in our institutions. I would also like to point out that nineteen other states already do this and this would permit our institution only, manufacture this sticker here in our state. I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich on this Amendment."

Matijevich: "I rise to oppose this Amendment. This matter was debated quite strenuously in our Committee in the form of legislation and I want to alert those of you who very often support the organized labor activities that they took a very strong position in that in the fact that both... both in nonunion and union print shops, there's a lot of places that need... need business and that we ought not to be relying on the prisons for work that can be done elsewhere when there is so many people out of work. The Gentleman who testified in Committee mentioned the many printers who were laid off because of the... or lost their jobs because of the Chicago Daily News, for example, going out of business. So there's enough business that the private sector can do these, this job and I would, therefore, oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cunningham on the motion."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a fine Bill that deserves your enthusiastic 'aye' vote. I don't know what Matijevich the Magnificent was talking about when he said it was debated extensively in the House, in the Committee. It came in there and some of the Members looked out into



the audience and saw Harl Ray there and they mistook a frown on his face as some indication of disapproval and they ran for cover like rabbits. But after that, I had occasion to have a cup of coffee with my friend, Harl Ray, he bought. And he indicated to me that he felt no such great animosity toward the progress that's represented by this Bill. As I told you the other day when we considered the Secretary of State's Appropriation Bill, that good man is on, firmly on record as in favor of having the penitentiaries of the State of Illinois print the license plates. The corollary here is inescapable. You can't say you're for that project and not be for this one. You can't say that you're for the Bill that Ben Polk put out here three or four weeks ago without being for this one. Be progressive enough to recognize that Representative Giglio has an idea here that your constituents need. It's in the best interest of the taxpayers of the State of Illinois and if you vote 'aye', you'll have the inner calm that comes from having known that you've done your duty. I urge you not to be misled by those that raise the false red herring in regard to your sacred four flags. I give you my word and if Harl Ray's in the audience, I hope he'll stand up and signal that it's correct. You'll not lose your sacred appointment in that... in that group's rating by voting for this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz on the motion."

Leverenz: "Speaker yield? Sponsor. On the equipment, is it the equipment for the plate, the license plates and the stickers? Or is it just the equipment for manufacture of the sticker?"

Giglio: "This money is for the manufacture of the sticker only and that's the only printing that is going to be done at Logan. The other money, the ten million was for the plate over at Pontiac. This is just for the start-up machinery equipment at Logan."

Leverenz: "Thank you. I'm not buying today."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Winchester on the motion."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just simply don't understand the reasoning of the distinguished Chairman of the Appropriations I Committee. You know, we're all concerned about the shape and condition of the prison



system in the State of Illinois. It's going to shambles. It's going to shambles simply because we don't have enough work programs for the inmates to do. And this is a work program for inmates. It's not going to displace that many union members. It's going to put some thirty to fifty inmates to work probably creating a product that's going to save money for the taxpayers. Let's try to hold down the problems that we have in our correctional centers. Let's start trying to find work projects for inmates to do with their hands in the prisons. Let's get their minds off of trying to kill our prison guards and escape from our prisons. Mr. Speaker, this is a good Amendment. A lot of hard work and thought has been put into this Amendment. I urge that the Members not listen to their Chairman of the Appropriation Committee and his arguments and vote favorably for Amendment #28."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Giglio to close."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm sorry, hold it, Mr. Giglio. Peggy Smith Martin would like to be recognized for a question."

P. Martin: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Giglio: "Yes."

P. Martin: "I'd like to know of the monies that are being appropriated here, since the Public Aid Bill to the families of mothers and fathers incarcerated amount to some eighteen million dollars a year, how much of this money will be paid to the residents who will be involved in this work?"

Giglio: "Well, truthfully, I don't know that, Representative. But I do know that the inmates that... who do work in the prisons get a certain amount of money for their work and what they do, I believe, is that money is passed back to their families and whereby helping them not to receive the full benefits of public aid money. And with this it would be a way to help them get off the rolls and would give the person in the prisons a little more dignity and come out hopefully with a trade to do work when he gets finished."

P. Martin: "Well, for your information, they make fifty cents an





hour and I was just wondering if there... if in this... Amendment #28, if there was going to be a set amount of salary they would be paid so that certainly we could remove them from, move them or their families from one of the public finance rolls. And I think that if it were going to be this way, certainly if they would make minimum wages, they would be able then to remove their families from our public aid rolls where we pay them some eighteen million dollars a year. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Giglio to close."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I can only say that if we're going to manufacture the plate itself here in one of our institutions, it's only... it's only right, it's only proper and it's only reasonable that we manufacture the other half of the plates. Now it's been brought out about the printers. The only thing I can say about the printers, they're not printing this sticker now. And if this bid goes public, I doubt if any private printer will ever be able to compete with the nineteen existing prisons in this country who have the opportunity to bid on the sticker itself. So if... I think we're going to have a little egg on our face and be awful embarrassing if we manufacture the plate here and the sticker is going to be farmed out to another prison in another state in this country. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Giglio moves that the House adopt Amendment #28 to Senate Bill 1601. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Let's go. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Go ahead, Representative Darrow. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 104 'ayes', 40 'nays', 1 voting 'present' and this Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #29, Brady. Amends Senate Bill 1601 on page 16, line 32 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brady on Amendment #29."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, we're contemplating a lot of building in the state and Amendment #29 suggests that the



Revenue Building might be better serving the people of Illinois if it were to be built within one of the following counties: Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry or Will; where we have a problem with producing jobs for people in the job sector. If we're doing it all in one place, the people in other areas of the state need jobs and we can also make government more responsive to these people if we were to spread the buildings around rather than create one massive complex here in Springfield. I urge your support of the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser on the Amen... motion."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to oppose Amendment #29 to House, to Senate Bill 1601. While I agree with the Gentleman as a fellow Chicagoan that I like to have more construction and more building and jobs in the City of Chicago, I do think the Members ought to know that the site has been located and I believe acquired already here in the capital city. It seems to me that this... that this site makes much more sense for this particular department in view of the fact that all checks and bookkeeping and records in the main are kept here in Springfield. And so, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it is for those reasons that I oppose Amendment #29 to Senate Bill 1601."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser on the motion."

Telcser: "I oppose..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz, I'm sorry. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I stand in opposition to Amendment #29. I just want to point out quite vividly that the Space Needs Commission, the Department of Revenue have been working on a facility centrally located throughout this state. They've come up with the ground; the planning money has already been in C.D.B.'s budget last year. They've got additional planning money and acquisition money in this year's budget and I strongly believe that the revenue complex should remain here in Springfield, it should be centrally located and Amendment #29 should be defeated."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cunningham on the motion."



Representative Murphy, you'll be next. Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Indicates he will."

Cunningham: "Representative Brady, would you be amenable to a slight Amendment on the face of your Amendment to add Effingham County to your possible locations? Yes or no?"

Brady: "Did I yield just to say 'yes' or 'no' or did I yield to answer your questions?"

Cunningham: "No, no, we wouldn't try to... we'll listen. What's your answer?"

Brady: "Well my answer is that I've been supportive of Effingham County in so many things I thought maybe you'd want to support the counties that are further north on just a few things."

Cunningham: "Let me speak just for a moment on this, Mr. Speaker. I assume that the answer is affirmative and that we can make some real change in the tendency to congest everything that amounts to any value here in Springfield. The congestion is wasteful to all of the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. We have a new garage back here that was built because of the amount of number of government buildings. We need to remedy that by dispersal of state units throughout the state. The argument will be made that something is sacred about having everything in Springfield, that they have computers that they have to be within five miles and all of that nonsense. But if you go over to the IRS Building you'll find computers run all the way to Kansas City. The mail trucks throughout this state bring very good service. There isn't a one of you in your district who hasn't a better location for the new Revenue Building than Springfield. If your constituents back home expect you to stand up and defend their interests, I would urge each of you to vote 'aye' for this Amendment to... for a two step proposition. After we get them off of dead center, after we get off the habit of building things in Springfield, we'll have it built somewhere else in the State of Illinois that they might be recognized that Illinois is bigger than Springfield alone."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative J. David Jones on the Amendment."



J. Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this Amendment on constitutional grounds. The text of the Amendment is patently unconstitutional inasmuch as Article V of the Constitution provides in Section 1 that the records of the Executive Branch are to be kept at the seat of the government. This determination was confirmed in 1969 when it was raised by Representative Londrigan on the other side of the aisle when it was proposed that the new income tax center be at Bensenville. And it was determined at that time that the Constitution provision is correct, that it should be located at the seat of the government. It's also necessary that the Revenue Department be located in the Capital Complex as it operates in close and continuous communication with the other code departments and the Comptroller and the Treasurer. Aside from any... any parochial consideration, Mr. Speaker, I recommend that the defeat of this Amendment on the constitutional grounds."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Murphy on the motion."

Murphy: "On the motion, Mr. Speaker, my argument isn't so much about where we plan to put this building. You know, they cheat a little bit in Springfield as well as they do in Chicago. I've done a little checking on some of this and the figures that I've been getting don't coincide to what I think is right. Now we'll have an opportunity when the appropriation comes up for this site. I was waiting for about a month to get some figures. Last night around five o'clock, they brought me down a figure and I could have figured it out myself right here. They have figured in this site 5.3 million just to buy it and knock it down. Trying to check exactly what it's all about, I think this is a good issue that we have here. It should be brought to the floor of the House that when we go to the Capital Development Board, appropriations we should look into the item of fifteen and really scrutinize this thing because we are not getting the answers we should get. On the Space Needs Commission, we ran into some problems. We cured it and I think the rest of these Commissions that are working for the state, we're talking about tax dollars. This is a dandy. 5.3 million to buy it and destroy it and then put



a new one up for forty million more. Now you're talking tax dollars. All I heard this morning was tax dollars. I have another Resolution coming through on tax dollars and I'll speak to it later. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative James Houlihan on the motion."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of Amendment #29. I was the original Sponsor in the last budget of the appropriation that would create the new facility and I think if you look at the breakdown of the revenue returns, if you look at where the population clusters are, if you look in terms of processing and other issues, you'll find that this is a very reasonable Amendment. It's one which deals effectively with a cost control problem and I don't think that the Representatives from Springfield ought to feel that everything that is produced or everything that is controlled, should be controlled here. This is a large state, a state which has a lot of diversification and I think placing this location in a different area is very reasonable for the cost cutting effectiveness."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz on the motion."

Leverenz: "Move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "The... Representative Brady to close. He was the last speaker anyway. Representative Brady to close."

Brady: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members. One objection that I heard brought forth was that the records have to be at the seat of government. That must mean that the only reason the Governor has an office in Chicago is it's ceremonial. Well, I can't believe that. I think we can distribute the buildings around the state. I urge your favorable consideration of this motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question's on the adoption of Amendment #29 to Senate Bill 1601. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 50 'ayes', 88 'nays', 1 voting 'present' and this motion fails. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #30, Mahar. Amends Senate Bill 1601 as amended in Section 10 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mahar on Amendment #30."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #30 calls for two hundred and twenty-six thousand, nine hundred dollars for the IIDD Building in Chicago and a hundred and twenty or a hundred and fifty-nine thousand, two hundred for the Tinley Park Mental Health Center. As many of you know, the Department of Mental Health has been in the process and planning for a four year orderly change in order to conserve and consolidate and, in fact, answer many of the questions that was brought up in a earlier Resolution today. This is the planning money for these two institutions and I urge its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain on the motion."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Indicates he will."

McClain: "Mr. Mahar, I understand that there's some controversy over the move from Tinley Park and that the Medical Commission and the people do not want the move to be successful. In adopting this Amendment, won't we be endorsing the move even though the people in that community do not want the move?"

Mahar: "No, I think your information is not exactly correct. As a matter of fact, the people in Tinley Park, the municipality in which this facility is located has been having a lot of problems over the last two or three years. And the other Legislator from the district I think will agree with me that we have spent a great deal of time in trying to correct the problem. And those problems that were created there, were brought to the attention of the Department of Mental Health and I think have something to do with a orderly process of change to consolidate and conserve. And the people in the area are behind it. As a matter of fact, many of the private agencies are behind it and, of course, it's part of the Governor's program and part of the Director of Mental Health's program."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no further discussion, Representative



Mahar moves that... Representative Van Duyne on the motion."

Van Duyne: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Indicates he will."

Van Duyne: "Mr. Mahar, is there also a benevolent act being asked to be consummated here in that you're going to lease these buildings along with giving them three hundred and fifty thousand or three hundred and forty thousand dollars worth of appropriations to rehabilitate the buildings and then you're going to lease it to the City of Tinley Park for one dollar and your lease covers a forty year span?"

Mahar: "No, there's nothing to that effect. The Village of Tinley Park is interested along with private agencies in making use of some of the property after it's been vacated. But this is just initial planning money. As a matter of fact, I don't think there are any concrete plans for the village as to exactly how they're going to operate since they don't know what it's going to cost them and don't know at this point when it's going to be available."

Van Duyne: "But doesn't it not though... successfully bridge the legislative gap and put it in the hands of the bureaucracy. For example, maybe the GSA could then use their discretion in leasing this to them if they wanted?"

Mahar: "It's my understanding if there's any... any leasing or selling arrangement to the municipality, would have to be approved by this Body. And in my past experience down here, this Body has asked for a... once agreement is made, they've asked for their fair amount, fair price."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mautino on the motion."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Mautino: "Representative Mahar, if in fact this Amendment is adopted, this is the money that will implement the transfer of residents and clients at Dixon Developmental Hospital to Tinley Park or somewhere in that metropolitan vicinity. Is that correct or is that incorrect?"



Mahar: "Well I think that is part of the program. If... if this money is not adopted... if this Amendment is not adopted, of course, then there won't be any change at all. This is part of the four year program which deals with all the mental facilities which includes the movement of some patients from Dixon to Tinley Park which is closer to home for them. And this has been approved by those people in that area."

Mautino: "Second question, Sir. Is it a truism that that facility in Tinley Park has a qualified professional personnel to handle the severely retarded and also some of those minorly retarded people that will be moving from Dixon where the quality care is there into the metropolitan area?"

Mahar: "Well I'm sure that the movement from Dixon will also be accompanied by the movement of the necessary personnel. And I might add along that line, the movement of personnel is general a voluntary movement. And as a matter of fact as far from the job span is concerned, the overall program calls for more jobs than they have now."

Mautino: "Then if I may speak to the Amendment, Sir. I recommend most highly that this Amendment do not be adopted by this House of Representatives. I think Representative Jacobs on an earlier Amendment concerning the transfer of patients in dollars and professional people which would follow under this program would be a detriment to some of the finer institutions of mental health and developmental disabilities that we have in the State of Illinois. We have qualified people, extremely well qualified people and the program analysis that, for example, Dixon Developmental Center is one of which outside clients can also receive this quality care for the hearing impaired, for the deaf, for the blind, for the severely retarded. I recommend a 'no' vote on this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mahar to close."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I stated at the beginning of my remarks, this is part of the four year program which not includes the Village of Tinley Park and Tinley Park Mental Facility, but includes the consolidation





and conservation of building and lands and facilities throughout the state. And it's... this is just the planning money to start with and I would urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mahar moves that Amendment #30 be adopted to Senate Bill 1601. All in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 74 'ayes' and 15 voting 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #31, Robinson. Amends Senate Bill 1601 as amended in Section 5 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Robinson on Amendment #31."

Robinson: "I've spoken to the Leadership of both sides of the aisle and I believe that this Amendment is acceptable. It provides the \$1.8 million for solar energy at new prisons. We passed a Bill out 130 to 1 but the Senate staff felt they would rather have it in the regular C.D.B. appropriation."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? Representative Robinson moves for the adoption of Amendment #31 to Senate Bill 1601. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, Amendment 31 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #32, Taylor. Amends Senate Bill 1601 as amended by inserting after or before Section 9 the following: Section 8.1 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Taylor on Amendment 30... Amendment 32, isn't it?"

Clerk O'Brien: "32."

Speaker Giorgi: "32 to Senate Bill 1601."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, could I have leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #32?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Are there any objections? The Gentleman moves to withdraw it. It's withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #33, Friedrich."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amends Senate Bill 1601 as amended in Section 1 and so forth."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich on Amendment 33."

Friedrich: "This Amendment, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, is approved by the Bureau of the Budget, the Capital Development Board and the Space Needs Commission. Over the last period of time with the authority vested in the Space Needs Commission, we've been accumulating property in the Capitol Complex and some of the buildings, particularly some old houses and things are to be torn down. This money is to provide money for rehabilitating the property at 612 S. College, 612 S. Second and 630 S. College and also for accumulation of some seven houses, two office buildings, a garage and a service station which will provide service parking immediately west of the Stratton Building. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich moves for the adoption of Amendment 33 to Senate Bill 1601. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #34, Matejek-Pullen. Amends Senate Bill 1601 as amended in the title by deleting period and inserting in lieu thereof."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matejek-Pullen on Amendment 34. Representative Pullen. Representative Matejek."

Matejek: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "You want to withdraw it... withdraw it. Is there any objection to the Gentleman withdrawing the Amendment? No objections, the Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #35, Flinn-E.G. Steele. Amends Senate Bill 1601 as amended in Section 4 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative E.G. Steele on Amendment #35."

E.G. Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 35 sponsored by Representative Flinn and myself was added in the Appropriations Committee as an agreed Amendment. However, the Appropriations Bill is now inoperative and so we're asking for your support of this Amendment. It's supported by the Director of Conservation. The Sponsor of this Bill is acceptable to the Amendment and I would move for its adoption."



Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? Representative E.G. Steele moves for the adoption of Amendment 35 to Senate Bill 1601. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1864. Representative Griesheimer on Senate Bill 1864. Griesheimer on Senate Bill 1864."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, you were just on Senate Bill 1601. I had Amendment 36. It's been printed and distributed."

Speaker Giorgi: "My instruction is there are only 35 Amendments. All right, if we've got... we're looking for Amendments. I'm sorry. We'll... we'll return the Bill to Second Reading. Any objections? Leave being granted, the Bill is back on Second Reading. Amendment #36."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #36, Griesheimer."

Speaker Giorgi: "To Senate Bill..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amends Senate Bill 1601..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Amendment #36 to..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amends Senate Bill 1601 as amended in Section 4 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment 36 calls for an expenditure in the Capital Development, by the Capital Development Board of five million dollars for the complete rehabilitation and restoration of the Little Grassy Fish Hatchery. The Department of Conservation and the Governor's office has suggested this as a means to start the process of spending the money that'll be generated by the Fish and Game Fund for the increased licenses in an area that's very badly needed in the State of Illinois. As you will recall when I presented the Fish and Game Increase Bill earlier this Session which have ultimately passed the Senate and now going to the Governor, I indicated that this money would be used exclusively for the improvement of fish and hunting... fishing and hunting in the State of Illinois. If we are to wait until these funds are starting to be generated, we would be delaying the entire program approximately



eighteen months to two years. The Director of the Department of Conservation suggests that we put this in the Capital Development provisions now for the expenditure of the five million dollars. This will be the first time that anything has been done to the Little Grassy Fish Hatchery which incidentally is not in my district, not even close to my district, since 1959 when it was originally constructed. At the present time, it provides eighty percent of all of the fish fingerlings that are used in the State of Illinois and throughout the State of Illinois. This will vastly improve... increase its productivity and will be part of the entire plan to renovate the Department of Conservation, Division of Fisheries in the State of Illinois. For your information, in the October Session when we have more time to deal with it, there will be the necessary implementing provisions introduced which will allow us to draw the funds directly from the Fish and Game Fund to retire these bonds. I believe that every sportsman in the State of Illinois would be in favor of this. It definitely is a very big improvement in a natural conservation area that we have down in southern Illinois and will yield benefits to all of us throughout the state. I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond on the motion."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Amendment on behalf of the needs that are very evident... this Little Grassy Fish Hatchery. And also the fact that this would give us more credibility after passing this increase of fishing licenses. This was part of the explanation for the need for this increase and certainly the need is there. It needs updating, needs expanding and all the fishermen in the State of Illinois will thank you for a 'yes' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Geo-Karis on the Amendment."

Geo-Karis: "Will the Speaker yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Indicates he will. He'll answer a question."

Geo-Karis: "Where is the Little Grassy Fish Hatchery? That's my first question? What city, what state. I mean it would be the State of Illinois, what city?"



Griesheimer: "I will yield on that question to one of the eminent colleagues from that district. Representative Ralph Dunn, Richmond and Birchler are from that area and I see Representative Richmond up on his feet. If you'd like to specify the location, I'd appreciate it."

Richmond: "Well it's near Little Grassy Lake which is just south of Crab Orchard Lake which is just west of Carbondale, Illinois."

Geo-Karis: "Is that in your county or your district, is that it?"

Richmond: "You know, I'm not absolutely sure whether it's in... it's in Williamson County."

Geo-Karis: "And this Amendment..."

Richmond: "That's in 59th District."

Geo-Karis: "And now has there something started already? Is it, has it begun to be... has the building of it started?"

Richmond: "Oh, it's in existence now but it's very outdated and needs to be enlarged and the production stepped up to take care of the needs of the state."

Geo-Karis: "And it'll cost about five million dollars, right?"

Richmond: "That's what the Director says, yes."

Geo-Karis: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Birchler on the issue."

Birchler: "I rise to support this Amendment that has been presented. Little Grassy Fishery, this fish hatchery is in Williamson County but it is located in the 58th District. It's a far corner of the District. We've had problems in southern Illinois getting support for raising the hunting fee and the fishing fee from many people in that area. And I'm here to tell you that this will sure make it easier to get the money from those people where they go to fish and hunt. I do again ask a 'yes' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Harris on the Amendment."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Since we in southern Illinois can't get any pork, we have to settle for fish. And this fish hatchery is very necessary because we have been buying the State of Illinois fish from the outside from states such as Michigan and Arkansas. This is a taxpayer's saving



issue, something that's very, very needed. It's been supported and I have many letters in the file from fishermen and huntsmen throughout the south part of the area and this is legislation that would be... save us money in the long run and we wouldn't have to buy our fish on the outside. So since we can't have pork, give us fish at least."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Winchester on the Amendment."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to speak very briefly because I think most of my colleagues have already discussed the merits of the Bill, but it's important to point out that this is not just a southern Illinois piece of legislation. It is a state-wide piece of legislation. It will benefit the fishing industry, the fishermen of the entire state so I would certainly urge all my colleagues to vote 'aye' on Representative Griesheimer's Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Deuster on the Amendment."

Deuster: "Well Representative Winchester said what I was going to say. Anywhere in the State of Illinois where there's a little lake, you will find that you're able to stock fish in that lake whether you're in Lake County, McHenry, northern Illinois, southern Illinois, western Illinois, anywhere where there's a lake, the Department of Conservation will stock fish and provide fish for that lake. So this is something that everyone in the whole state should be voting for, especially Mrs. Martin over there."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer to close."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to point out to Representative Harris and again in the feeling of compromise that we all face now, since this is a little bit of fish, not pork for southern Illinois, I've suggested to the Director that all the manpower come from Lake County to run this area. I don't know whether he'd agree with that or not. But in all seriousness, it is a very much needed area of the state to improve. It's an area that'll give us many, many more new fish in this state at a point in time when the State of Michigan just last year cut off sales to the State of Illinois because their own demand within the state now exceeds their production. So I feel this is needed."



This is part of the Director's promise to all of the sportsmen in the State of Illinois that he would fulfill with the increased fishing license. I would urge its adoption. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer moves that Amendment #36 be adopted to Senate Bill 1601. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #37, Stuffle-Flinn-Vinson. Amends Senate Bill 1601 as amended on page 5, line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stuffle on Amendment #37."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to withdraw that Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman requests leave to withdraw Amendment #37. Any objections? No objections, the Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1601, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1864."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1684."

Speaker Giorgi: "No, 1864."

Clerk O'Brien: "Oh, did I? 1864. A Bill for an Act..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Out of the record. On the Calendar on page 4, Concurrences. House Bill 2684, Representative McCourt. 2684. Representative McCourt. Representative McCourt, Concurrence on 2684."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #1 makes a technical correction in House Bill 2131 which was passed last year and it became Public Act 80-1050. And Senate Amendment #3 merely says that if a school district desires to teach among other things hygenic and social responsibility, aspects of family life, then such a course instruction shall include teaching of alternates to abortion. These Amendments are approved by IOE and the Illinois Association of School Boards and I ask for your concurrence."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative McCourt moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment 1 and 3 to House Bill 2684. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those



opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Representative Darrow to explain his vote for one minute."

Darrow: "No, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment 4 adds twenty-five million, six hundred thousand dollars..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "...for school construction bonds. And my question is..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a minute, Mr. Darrow. Oh, this is Concurrence of Senate Amendment 1 and 3 to House Bill 2684."

Darrow: "What happened to 4? Oh, we haven't come to it yet."

Speaker Giorgi: "Right. No, we won't come to 4."

Darrow: "All right."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 137 'ayes', 3 'nays', 6 voting 'present' and the House concurs to Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 2684. House Bill 2695, Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, I move we concur with the Senate Amendment to House Bill 2695."

Speaker Giorgi: "I didn't understand you, Mr. Tipword. Would you repeat that please?"

Tipword: "I move we concur with the Senate Amendment to House Bill 2695."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Schlickman on Mr. Tipword's motion."

Schlickman: "I think we have an Amendment here that deals with a... an Amendment to the Income Tax Act and I think we ought to know what it's about."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Tipword, would you like to explain the Amendment?"

Tipword: "I'll sure try. This is an Amendment that provides that a consumer index multiplier shall be applied to the income tax exemption for each individual income tax payer. That multiplier being the consumer price index of the tax year as compared against the... the consumer multiplier price index for the year June 30... that ended June 30, 1976."

Schlickman: "Can you estimate on the basis of our inflationary rate





of the last two years, what the effect that this would have on state revenue during the next..."

Tipword: "No, I cannot, but perhaps maybe there's someone else that might be able to cause this wasn't my Amendment."

Schlickman: "Well this sounds like a pig in the poke and I think before..."

Tipword: "Not quite as much as some other things I see laying on my desk."

Schlickman: "Well everything's relative. Absolutely speaking, this is a pig in the poke because we have no idea, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House what the fiscal impact of this Amendment is. Specifically we don't know how... what kind of reduction there will be in state revenue at a very critical time. And I think, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, before we proceed on this Concurrence, we ought to know with some certainty what effect Concurrence would have on state revenue."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten on the motion of Senate... Representative Tipword."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On the motion maybe I can enlighten the Members of the House. First of all, this is the tax indexing of the personal exemption on the Illinois state income tax forms. What this Amendment would do is index the personal exemption of one thousand dollars by the rate of inflation each year. If the rate of inflation was seven percent, the exemption would be one thousand and seventy dollars as printed on the form for the next year. It's similar to a Bill, House Bill 881 that passed this House with 102 votes in the earlier part of this Session. There is no impact on state revenues on this particular method of indexing. It's free. It doesn't cost anything. It just prohibits the government from taking money that is not rightfully theirs. Presently state government is receiving a windfall because of the inflation tax. And in effect what has happened is the effective tax rate on individuals which is two and a half percent has gone up without legislation; has gone up without us legislating an increase in that tax rate. Now there may be impacts, some impacts indicate that this inflation tax windfall for state government amounts



to about sixteen to nineteen million dollars or will impact that much on the next fiscal year. But where in our Constitution are we allowed to increase effective tax rates without legislation? Unless we index, that is exactly what we are doing. The impact of that one thousand dollar tax advantage that we gave people in 1969, the cost of inflation, that tax advantage is only six hundred dollars today. If we had indexed the personel exemption at the rate of inflation from 1969 until today, the tax advantage would have stayed even then with the sixteen hundred and fifty-four dollars on the federal... on your state income tax. That is only just, that is only moral and that is only equitable. I rise to support Representative Tipword's motion to concur in House Bill 2695, Senate Amendment #1 because it's morally right."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman, for what reason do you rise?"

Schlickman: "Well, I had posed a question to the Sponsor of the motion and the Gentleman who just spoke attempted to answer it. I would like to speak to the motion if I may."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Schlickman: "I would simply like to conclude, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, by saying I think it's interesting that the Gentleman from Cook who supports this motion to concur speaks out of both sides of his mouth. On one hand, he says it's not going to cost government anything. And then on the other hand, he says it's going to cost many millions of dollars. Somewhere in the neighborhood of sixteen, seventeen, eighteen, nineteen million dollars a year as far as the state treasury is concerned. I don't think, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, when we want to give increased aid to public schools, when we want to give increased aid in the area of mental health, increased aid with respect to the care of delinquent, dependent, neglected, abused children, this isn't the time on one hand to make for those increases and on the other hand, to reduce the state resources for satisfying those needs and I respectfully suggest that we ought to accept the second statement of the Gentleman from Cook - the fact that it is going to cost the General Revenue Fund millions of dollars.



And on that account, we ought to vote 'no' on this motion to concur."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mugalian on the motion."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all I think that it's fortunate that we now know what this Amendment does. It's an Amendment to a Bill that was kind of routine, received almost unanimous approval in Committee and the House floor. And we now find that it's... we now have a proposal that has immense consequences to the State of Illinois. It puts into this Bill a whole new concept involving revenues, did not have a hearing before the Revenue Committee and I'm wondering if the Governor's side of the aisle knows what... what we're doing. This will mean that the State of Illinois will be about the only entity in the world and in the country that cannot protect itself against inflation. It will mean that individuals in the private sector and others can have their cost of living increases and so forth, but that the State of Illinois will be forever frozen and that, therefore, the underprivileged and the disadvantaged will not have the kind of revenue growth that we've relied upon in order to take care of the burgeoning problems of the disadvantaged and the underprivileged in this state. Talk about a tax lid, I mean, this is something that's going to require raises in income tax rates and other rates. We're saying that one half, roughly one half of the General Revenue Fund growth will be eliminated. And that will be done by an Amendment that we didn't even know about until somebody asked what this Amendment would do."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Greiman on the motion."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I guess if I were Governor Thompson I would say that with friends like the proponents, I don't need a whole lot of enemies. Obviously it has an incredible fiscal impact, but I don't even raise that point. Mr. Mugalian has spoken to that as brilliantly as he usually does. I would suggest to those people who drafted this and the people who use the term consumer price index that since January 1 of 1978, that has a different and perhaps ambiguous meaning. Heretofore, the words consumer price index related to revised urban wage earners



and clerical workers and how much they earn and how much they spent. Since the first of this year, a new index has been used called the all urban, the new all urban consumer's index. Both of them are now being published so that the word consumer's price index is not any longer an exact term. And it may relate to either one, consumer's price index-all urban consumers or consumer price index-revised urban wage earners and clerical workers. So that at this point, that term is ambiguous and I would suggest that in any event, you can't pass something that you know is ambiguous. I would... I guess we'd have to vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner on the motion."

Skinner: "Some of the Members on this floor seem to think that the people's money belongs to the government. This is a... an incredible concept. It's sort of like you start out as a governmental official and all of the money that everybody earns is government's. And we are doing the people a favor by giving them some of that money back. And that is just an incredible concept that even some Democrats such as Abner Mikva have recently decided is not a sane approach. I believe even he has proposed or has noted that because of the inflation of wage levels, that people are getting into a higher and higher federal tax bracket. And because of that he suggests that we should raise, continually raise the exemption of... under the federal income tax. What we are suggesting is exactly parallel to that. We are suggesting that the exemption that each individual has in the Illinois income tax increase along with the cost of living. Now that makes so much sense that the tax eaters of the world who expect the General Assembly to bail out their every whim, certainly should be doing back flips down the aisle. They probably will be as soon as this passes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Keats to explain his... to the motion."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I think what we're seeing here really is an attempt to obfuscate the issue. What we're talking about is not just tax relief. We are talking about a serious consideration of what is the main financial problem with the taxpayers in Illinois and in America



as a whole. You know, with inflation and without indexing the government always has a guaranteed increase in its tax revenues. You as an individual taxpayer and your constituents each you have, supposedly around a hundred and ninety thousand of them, your constituents have no guarantee whatsoever that there will be any increase in their income. Remember, their costs are increasing all around them. If it's the senior citizen or a person on welfare, they're costs are pretty much... excuse me, their income is pretty much fixed. And so if you have a constant growth in tax dollars, a constant increase in the amount of money the government is bringing in, that money must come from somewhere. And since the cost of living is increasing faster than incomes, that money is coming out of the pocket of your constituents and of you and of me. However I meant to say that. But, you have to give some consideration to the fact that what we are doing is not cutting revenues. We are not cutting the government. We are not taking away, we are simply holding even and continuing at a progressively even level. In simple economic terms, what we are saying is that we will hold even, we will treat everyone equitably and since the cost of government is increasing faster than any other cost in America, remember the fastest growing cost in America is the cost of government at local, state, and national level. The average American pays forty-two percent of their income in taxes. Let me remind you the average American pays forty-two percent of their income in taxes. With the probable increase in social security costs, and the probable new energy taxes, by 1980 or '81 the average American will pay in excess of fifty percent of their income in taxes and as inflation forces your income into higher tax brackets, you will pay more in taxes thus raising the percentage without necessarily in any way increasing your income. I ask you to please support Representative Tipsword in his move to concur cause what you're doing is not hurting anyone but you're helping a great deal of people and those people are your constituents and the taxpayers in Illinois and America."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels on this question."



Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, by using this tax indexing procedure a person can be assured that if his income increases by the rate of inflation leaving real income the same, his taxes will increase by no more than the rate of inflation, thus the effective tax rate remains the same. The fact is that state government operates on the concept of inflation and increased revenues through inflationary growth. In other words, increased government spending by relying on additional revenues through inflation, this is an inherently fair concept that is being proposed in Concurrence Report #1 to House Bill 2695. I urge you once again in the area in this particular case of some income tax relief to support the Concurrence Report and help your people back home. I urge you to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative John Dunn."

J. Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question... Gentleman moves the previous. Indicates the main question... All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative... Representative Tipsword to close."

Tipsword: "I just move to concur on the Amendment. I hope that everybody's been enlightened on what the Amendment is all about. It's an Amendment that was adopted by, unanimously in the Senate and passed out of the Senate then on the Bill 44 to 3."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2695. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action, takes 89 votes. Representative Conti to explain his vote."

Conti: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've heard an awful lot about tax relief and getting home... getting the message back home to the people. Those of you who are really sincere, this is a far better package than I've seen on this floor of this House. I'd also urge everyone to vote and concur with Mr. Tipsword. This is a good package. Let's really, those of us who are sincere for a tax relief, vote on this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Williams to explain his vote."



Williams: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker. Actually my light was on before the question was moved. I was going to definitely lend my support and for those who said they had never seen this before, this is the Bill that did pass out of this House. It did pass actually through the Revenue Committee and I'm very happy to see 134 votes on there. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Porter to explain his vote."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that this is probably the most significant action that the General Assembly has taken this Session. If you look at the record, you'll see that when the Income Tax Act was adopted in 1969 and we put in a one thousand dollar exemption, there has been almost a sixty percent increase in the cost of living since that time and the taxpayers have really been had by the state in a very unfair way. Now Representative Skinner referred to Abner Mikva a littler earlier. I'd like to correct the record. He has not yet seen the light of day on tax indexing and I don't expect he will. But we on this side of the aisle and a good number of Members on the other have and I hope the Governor gets the message that the General Assembly wants this to become part of the law. I think we've started something of great importance to the taxpayers of all the states in the Union today."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Minority Leader, Representative Ryan, would like to explain his vote."

Ryan: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am... I'm absolutely happy to see that Representative Madigan and the Democrat Party have finally come to their senses and given the Republican Party... there's a chance to give real, real tax relief to the citizens of the State of Illinois. I want to congratulate you people for your foresight and for your... your brilliance in adopting this Amendment, concurring in it and I want to go on record as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevecich to explain his vote for one minute."

Matijevecich: "Well I join the Minority Leader in that praise because all the word I got from... was that Representative Tipsword was



pressured because of the Governor, his wishes, not to go ahead with this. And all I can say is now, Mr. Speaker, we've given some property tax relief, we've given some income tax relief, we've done a pretty good job in trying to give some tax relief in this Session. Now all we've got left to do is find a place for the Governor to hide."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Majority Leader, Representative Lechowicz, to explain his vote."

Lechowicz: "Just for the record, Mr. Speaker, I just want to indicate to Representative Ryan that he was 146, way after the Bill was passed but I'm glad that he's finally got the message and voted 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 156 'ayes', 14 'nays', 2 voting 'present' and the House concurs to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2695. House Bill 2730. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, the Bill just before this, 2684, my light was pushed green on that and I was not in the chamber and I'd like to be recorded as either not voting or 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any objection? Record him as not voting or 'no', which do you prefer?"

Ewing: "No."

Speaker Giorgi: "Record Representative Ewing as 'no'. House Bill 2730, Representative Polk. Polk. Representative Polk on House Bill 2730. Representative Vinson on this House Bill? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move to reconsider the vote on House Bill 2684."

Speaker Giorgi: "We're not on that order of business."

Vinson: "Yes, we are on that order of business."

Speaker Giorgi: "2730, I announced it and I'm waiting for Polk to take the mike. 2730. Out of the record for 2730. 2787, Representative Brummet. House Bill 2787, Representative Brummet."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we do concur on Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 2787."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Leinenweber





on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2787 on Concurrence."

Leinenweber: "What does the Bill do and what does the Amendment do?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you explain the Amendment, Mr. Brummet?"

Brummet: "Yes, what this Amendment does is cuts down on the size of the cities and this is the Bill that lets them incorporate their lakes within the city limits even though it is not contiguous. And it cuts down on the size of the cities to a population of ten thousand or less. So actually it takes care of the two in my area that I'm interested in."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative Don Brummet moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2787. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 148 'ayes', 2 'nays', 4 voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2787. House Bill 2929, Committee on Revenue. Representative Ewing on House Bill 2929."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, could we take that out of the record a minute?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Out of the record. House Bill 26... 2969, Representative McAuliffe. 2969, Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "I move to concur in Senate Amendment 1, 2 and 3 on House Bill 2969."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Johnson. Explain the Amendment, Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Senate Amendment #1 limits obligations or expenditures in operations to not more than fifty percent of the appropriations made for operations prior to January 1, 1979. Senate Amendment 2 decreases personal services by one thousand dollars. Senate Amendment 3 adds that in the event that the General Assembly abolishes the Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officers Fund appropriations shall be paid from the General Revenue Fund."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative McAuliffe moves that the House concur to Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2969. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no' and this is final action. Have



all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 161 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2969. Representative Bennett wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Bennett. Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise? Vinson."

Vinson: "I'd like to have leave to change my vote on 2684 from 'aye' to 'no' please, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does it change the record? Doesn't change the record?"

Vinson: "No, Sir."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any objections? Record the Gentleman as requested. House Bill 2970. Representative Daniels. Out of the record. House Bill 3023, Representative Greiman. Representative Greiman on House Bill 3023."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Senate put an Amendment on this Bill which I would ask for concurrence with the Amendment... it takes out a prohibition on minors from working in areas as busboys and kitchen help in the service of meals at private clubs and fraternal and veterans organizations as long as the employment otherwise complies with the law. It affects not too many people and apparently there was a feeling that it was okay in the Senate and I don't see anything wrong with it particularly. I would ask for concurrence."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber on the motion."

Leinenweber: "Representative Greiman, there was some rumors around that there were going to be some Amendments put on this Bill. This Bill now is in the posture that if you wanted it, wouldn't he introduce it. Is that correct?"

Greiman: "Yeah, Harry, there were Amendments put on to the Bill on... in the Senate Labor Committee or whatever their Committee is and the Amendments were then taken off on the floor of the Senate so that... it does not address minimum wage problems at this time."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pouncey, you seek recognition?"



Representative Greiman moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill... Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Representative Greiman, I think you may have answered the question but I want to be sure about it. I understood that there were some Amendments to be put on this Bill to increase the minimum wage from \$2.60 or 30 cents to \$2.90. Did I understand you to say that this Bill does not increase the minimum wage now?"

Greiman: "That's right. Those Amendments were taken off by the Senate... House... the Senate floor."

Schuneman: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Greiman renews his motion to... for the House to concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3023. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Representative Geo-Karis to explain her vote. Representative Geo-Karis to explain her vote for one minute."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I had a point of information on this. Is this include... is this tailored to the... is this the Bill that includes the minimum wage?"

Speaker Giorgi: "No, it has nothing to do with that. He already answered that question. Have... Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 151 'ayes', 1 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3023. Representative Breslin, for what reason do you rise?"

Breslin: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. I'd like leave to be recorded as voting 'aye' on House Bill 2695. It will not change the outcome. House Bill 2695."

Speaker Giorgi: "Are there any objections? The Lady would like to be recorded as voting 'aye' on 2695. House Bill 3113. Representative Yourell. He doesn't seem to be in his chair. Take it out of the record. Represent... House Bill 2730, Representative Polk. 2730. Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, 2730 is a supplemental appropriation for the Department of Labor. We are going to move



to concur on Senate Amendment 1, 2, 5 and 6."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Darrow on this motion."

Darrow: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Indicates he will."

Darrow: "In these Amendments what you're doing is handling fiscal year '78 and '79 at one time, isn't that correct?"

Polk: "The Industrial Commission was the one that was going to be concerned. We've taken that out, Clarence."

Darrow: "Senate Amendment #2 was taken out?"

Polk: "Yes."

Darrow: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Polk renews his motion that the House concur to Senate Amendment 1, 2, 5 and 6. All four? Representative McClain."

Polk: "Senate Amendment #1 reduces the Department of Labor's FY78 supplemental by two million, one hundred and ten thousand. Number 2 adds in the... adds in the Industrial Commission as it was introduced. Number 5 reduces operations in the Industrial Commission by sixty-one thousand. And... a hundred and two thousand. And #6 adds twenty-six thousand, three hundred for commission for personal services for court reporters. A net reduction of seventy-five thousand, seven hundred and thirty-five dollars."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Darrow on the motion."

Darrow: "Well then what we are doing is handling fiscal year '78 in Senate Amendment #1 and fiscal year '79 in Senate Amendment #2. So we're handling two fiscal years at one time in one Bill and I think this issue was raised yesterday and my only question would be... would the answers if the same questions be asked today as yesterday, would your answer be substantially the same?"

Polk: "Yes."

Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Polk, would you renew your motion?"

Polk: "I move that we concur in Senate Amendment 1, 2, 5 and 6."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Polk renews his motion that the House concur to Senate Amendments 1, 2, 5 and 6 to House Bill



2730. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no' and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 146 'ayes', 6 'nays', 3 voting 'present' and the House does concur to Senate Amendment 1, 2, 5 and 6 to House Bill 2730. House Bill 2929, Representative Ewing for the Committee on Revenue."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, on House Revenue Bill 2929, I would move that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 and 2 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Madigan: "Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 and to request the appointment of a Conference Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the motion carried. A Conference Committee shall be appointed. Mr. Vinson on House Bill 3220."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House not concur in Senate Amendment #1 and do concur in Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2?"

Vinson: "No, Sir. I move that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 and concur in Senate Amendment 2."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Leinenweber arise?"

Leinenweber: "I just wondered what the one we're supposed to concur in does and what the one that we are not doesn't."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinson, might I suggest that we move on the Concurrence motion first?"

Vinson: "That's acceptable to me, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "So that the Gentleman moves that we concur on Amendment #2."

Vinson: "Yes, Sir. What Amendment #2 does, it provides an appointment process to the Board of Directors of an Illinois corporation where a seat on the board is vacated during the course of the year. It permits the board to fill that seat until the next annual meeting of the shareholders. Cannot... seats so filled cannot



exceed one-third of the Members on the board."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich on the motion."

Friedrich: "A question of the Sponsor. Would that include all corporations, including banks and savings and loans?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "I'm sorry, Sir. I didn't hear a question if there was one."

Friedrich: "The question was, does this include all corporations, including banks and savings and loan associations?"

Vinson: "It includes all corporations organized under the Illinois Corporation Act."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "He yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Waddell: "How do you intend to accomplish this? What mechanics have you set up?"

Vinson: "Under the Amendment, the Board of Directors on its own motion approved by a majority of those there and voting may appoint the new Director. The purpose for the Amendment, Mr. Waddell, as I understand it is that under new regulations adopted by the New York Stock Exchange certain number of members of the Board of Directors or any corporation have to be outside directors to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Some of those corporations are concerned that because that number is so small, that if those seats were vacated due to an accident or something that they would be delisted until the next annual meeting unless we provide for a replacement process."

Waddell: "That may be all well and good but I may suggest to you that the Chairman calls the board meeting. And if the person who had relinquished that seat by whatever cause, that they wanted that seat vacant that they still would have it vacant and I would suggest if that isn't covered, that you... get for nonconcurrence and see that you have the proper mechanics in there. Otherwise, you won't have to call the meeting."

Vinson: "I don't understand. Could you repeat your question?"

Waddell: "What I'm saying to you is that if you had somebody on the board that you did not want to replace for whatever motive



and your being Chairman of the Board, you wouldn't call another board meeting. Therefore, it would still stay vacant until the next annual meeting."

Vinson: "Currently, it would have to do that. This provides, what this says is that if you amend the Articles of the Incorporation to provide for that replacement process that you would have a method for filling the vacancy."

Waddell: "True, but what I'm saying to you is that you should have the provisions that mandates that they make the replacement within X number of days or call a board meeting cause the Chairman of the Board..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Representative Waddell. Mr. Vinson, why don't you take this Bill out of the record. You're going to be moving to nonconcur on the other Amendment anyway. Maybe you can discuss this with Mr. Waddell and get it resolved."

Vinson: "All right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right, thank you. Take it out of the record."

(con't on next page)



Speaker Lechowicz: "Bus, do you want to have your Bill called? House Bill 3113? 3113, Jack. Okay. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell. Okay...."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3113 is about the same as House...House Bill 297 that we discussed some time ago. We had a lot of rhetoric about mandated programs and what it would mean as far as the General Assembly relinquishing its authority relative to funding local units of government and school districts. We had discussions on what would happen with Unemployment Insurance and Workmen's Compensation. During the debate on House Bill 297 I made my view known that Unemployment Insurance was and is, as you know, a federally mandated program, Workmen's Comp. was not; but it's my view and judgment that it was... it is not the legislative intent of House Bill 3113 to consider Workmen's Comp. as a mandated program. I think that should be a matter that has to be brought out in debate. I also mentioned the fact that I don't think that this General Assembly, or subsequent General Assemblies are going to liberalize the benefits paid under Workmen's Comp. I think that has been fairly well determined so that I believe that's a moot issue. But the Governor appointed a Commission on mandated programs for local governments and one for school districts; and the Lieutenant Governor, O'Neal, was the Chairman of that Commission. That Commission held 12 hearings throughout the State of Illinois, well attended by county officials, municipal officials, school district officials, and all of those who were charged and have the responsibility... administering programs mandated by the state...by units of local government. There wasn't one instance or one individual during all of those public hearings, from Rockford to Carbondale, that on any occasion indicated that they wanted to continue the present practice of having the state mandate programs to them without being able to fund those programs. We heard testimony from the County Clerk in Pope County, I believe, that told us that she hadn't received a salary for official duties in a number of years because the county didn't have the money to pay her salary. We also heard testimony from county officials relative to





the 911 Program,...Yes, a great - fine - program. They liked it, they loved it and as you know we mandated that program to them and gave them seed money and now they're saying to us when that seed money is no longer available we have to go to a levy and that levy is levied as you all know on the local real estate property taxes and that's what Proposition 13 is all about. Proposition 13 was not directed at the State of California. It was directed at the local units of government and the levy that they extend for the collection of local real estate property taxes. This is what we're trying to get at with House Bill 3112, 3113 and House Bill 297. There wasn't one elected public official on the local level or a school district, and those of you who argue the school district question ought to check with your administrators and they'll tell you without a doubt that they want to call an end to the practice of the state mandating programs and then having to be stuck with establishing another levy or the extension of a levy to pay for the cost of that program. I mentioned to you before that I was a Cosponsor of House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #44 and I want to read to you.... I want to read to you in Amendment #1 to that Constitutional Amendment. Section 11, lines 29 and...lines 14 and 15; 'Prohibition'. And if anybody wants the definition of 'prohibition', Prohibition of mandate of local programs without state funding. Now you've all been talking, as I have, about House Joint Constitutional ...Resolution Constitutional Amendment 44, and how anxious you are to pass it. Well if you're going to pass that, Ladies and Gentlemen, you're going to pass it with Section 11, Amendment #1, in it. I say that that's fine. I'm for that. The Representative who proposed and introduced that Constitutional Amendment knows that I talked with him about putting that in there. And as soon as it was in there I went on as a Cosponsor. Any extension or mandating of new programs back to units of local government or school districts in the State of Illinois will result in only one thing and that's an increase in local real estate property taxes. That's what it's all about. If you want to do that, fine. We heard talk back some days ago about House Bill 2539, you're all familiar with that legislation. We



heard the remark, 'If you want this Bill, put your money where your mouth is.' That's exactly what I'm suggesting that you do now."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Would the Gentleman bring his remarks to a close?"

Yourell: "Yes. All this Bill does, it provides that the state will pay the total cost of all programs which the state mandates on units of local government or school districts. The Department of Local Government Affairs is charged with estimating the cost of mandates to the units of local government and the Office of Education is charged with estimating the cost of mandates to school districts. The Comptroller is in the act to, he's charged with the disbursement of funds appropriated to pay for the mandates. Now we could go on and on and talk about what this program means and I know that if we send this Bill to the Governor's Desk that he'll sign it because I suppose he'll sign if we send to his desk Constitutional Amendment #44 which calls for the same prohibition of state mandated programs without state funding. Be happy to answer any questions and I ask for a favorable Roll Call on ....concurrence to House Bill 3113."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk...on the question."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a couple questions?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Tuerk: "Representative Yourell, now...the posture of 3113...how does that differ from House Bill 297, which I am a Hyphenated Sponsor of that Legislation."

Yourell: "Yes...that's right. Yeah. Senate Amendment #... well, the Bill...House Bill passed by the Senate is different with this Bill in three ways. This Bill has a January 1, 1979 effective date and 297 has a January 1, 1980 date. Also it covers mandates created by state executed regulations...House Bill 297 does not. It also charges the Office of the Comptroller with administering the processing of claims and disbursing of money under the Act, once the appropriation has been made. In 297 this function is placed in the appropriate agency not just in the Office of the Comptroller."

Tuerk: "Well, don't you, as Sponsor of this legislation, anticipate some of the same negative arguments used on 297 and, therefore, would it



not be reasonable to think that perhaps the best way to resolve this whole question is in a Conference, Bus?"

Yourell: "Representative Tuerk, I can suggest to you this, I have never been afraid of a Conference Committee and I have never been afraid of suggestions or criticisms. If anybody thinks that I'm afraid to make this Bill a better Bill because of a Conference Committee I have no objection. I just don't know what a Conference Committee can do that we not...that we already have not done. The Senate.... This Bill came out of this House with a tremendous majority. Those of you who voted for it should be voting for it again because the changes made by the Senate Amendments #1 and 2 only strengthened the Bill. You know what your constituents are saying to you, they are saying 'We're tired, we're tired of having the state do this to us.'

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I may address the Bill? ...I think the Sponsor well knows that I spoke in behalf of his Bill when it was up on Third Reading. I do support the concept. I'm only trying to anticipate what is to come, unfortunately, from some other Members of this Body. I support the concept, I think it's a good idea, however, I think the only way it's going to be resolved is in a Conference Committee. That's all I'm saying. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "A question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he'll yield."

Meyer: "Representative Yourell, the...the State of Illinois, through the Environmental Protection Agency and the Pollution Control Board mandates pollution control standards on sanitary districts and incinerators and the like. Would the State of Illinois be required to pay for all of these installations?"

Yourell: "If I heard you correctly, Representative, you said that this was a mandated program by the state?"

Meyer: "Yes, Sir. The Environmental Protection Agency."

Yourell: "If that...if that event took place after January 1, 1979, my answer to you would be 'yes'."

Meyer: "Mr. Yourell, don't you think you better address this problem in Conference?"



Yourell: "Well, I will make the same remark to you, Representative Meyer, that I made to Tuerk. I have no ...I have no objection to a Conference Committee Report, but this is substantially the same Bill that you voted on some weeks ago and those questions and arguments that we're talking about now were not propounded in the original legislation....and the two Senate Amendments didn't change the original legislation. It didn't change the mandate part of it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Meyer, are you completed?"

Meyer: "I'm through, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "A question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he'll yield."

Schuneman: "Representative Yourell, House Bill 297 had a provision which would exclude from the provisions of the Bill, a federal mandate. Now I don't see any such exclusions in your Bill. Do I have all the necessary parts of this Bill? Does your Bill apply to federal mandates?"

Yourell: "That is correct, Sir."

Schuneman: "Well, I want to be sure I have the correct answer. You mean that if the Federal Government mandates a program and we simply pass that along, that we'd have to pay for it?"

Yourell: "If the ...if the federal mandate...mandates a program to the state government and affects units of local government, then the state is not liable."

Schuneman: "Under what provision of the Bill, Sir?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti. Oh! I'm sorry, are you completed, Mr. Schuneman? Mr. Schuneman, please."

Schuneman: "We didn't have any answer to the question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry. Mr. Yourell, did you hear the question? They're awaiting an answer to the question."

Yourell: "What question was that?"

Schuneman: "Under what provision of the Bill, Representative Yourell,..."

Yourell: "It's contained in Amendment #2, Sir. Representative....  
.....I'm sorry. Look on page one, Section 1, line 10, 'However, where the General Assembly is required to enact legislation to



comply with the federal mandate, the state shall be exempt from the requirement of reimbursing a unit of local government or school district for the cost of mandated programs."

Schuneman: "Well,...May I speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Schuneman: "There have been indications on both House Bill 297 and also on this Bill, by the Sponsors, that they do not believe that the provisions of this Bill would apply to Workmen's Compensation and Unemployment Compensation benefits increases in the future. I take exception with that point of view. I think these Bills would apply to such increases. The Unemployment Compensation benefits, for example, are suggested to the states and the states have the option of either going along with the federal suggestion or paying greatly increased Unemployment Compensation taxes. Now, the State of Illinois, elected, just last year, to increase the benefits under Unemployment Compensation...to increase the scope of coverage under that Act, to units of local government. We did, however, have an option. Now I suggest to you that that law was a mandate of the State of Illinois; and as such, it would have to be paid for by the state under this kind of a Bill. The same thing, I believe, will happen in the future, whenever those laws are changed we certainly will have units of local government expecting the state to pick up the tab. I believe that this Bill should not be passed in its present form and that safeguards should be put into the Bill to protect against the very thing that I'm mentioning in the area of Unemployment and Workmen's Compensation Insurance. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Yourell: "Was that a question?"

Schuneman: "No, Sir. I was addressing the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti. Mr. Conti, please. The Gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', all opposed....The previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, to close."

Yourell: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. I don't know what more we can articulate on this issue because the same arguments propounded



relative to House Bill 297 have certainly been discussed relative to 3113. I would suggest though, that the arguments directed to me and to the Bill, by one Gentleman, relative to Unemployment Insurance and Workmen's Compensation that that is simply not in the legislative intent of the Bill. And that if that came to pass, as he suggests it might, then I would also remind him that the General Assembly would take a very long hard look, any Governor would and both political parties would, if we were to increase again the liberal benefits presently ...given to Workmen's Compensation. I don't think that's the issue. I think it's a smoke screen. I think that the oponents of this legislation are caught in a box. It's a thing that the Governor created, now apparently he doesn't want it because the word has gone out that he doesn't want it...But, be that as it may, I think it's good legislation. Every one of your local elected public officials wants it. Every City Clerk, every Mayor, every Alderman, every County Board Member, every school district member, every school administrator. I can't think of any elected official lower than the state level that doesn't want this legislation. If you doubt that, check your own district. I come from the 8th Legislative District and I have letters of support from every Mayor and City and Village Council in the entire 8th Legislative District. So those of you who have any concern about what you're doing, you better contact those people that you represent. Your taxpayers want this Bill. It was endorsed by the Chicago Tribune, the Daily ...er New....er...The Sun Times, the Southtown Economist and every worthwhile notable newspaper in the northern part of the State of Illinois. It's about time. It was a matter and a subject of a commentary in the Southtown Economist that carries most of the publications in my district, they said it's time for a program,...for the state to quit fooling around with units of local government and school districts unless they are willing to pay for the costs. I ask for a favorable vote on concurrence of Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to 3113."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3113? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt, to



explain his vote. The timer is on."

Bluthardt: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill suffers the same fatal error as the ...the previous Bill that we defeated...297. You'll notice that Amendment 2, which eliminates the provision that occurred in Amendment #1, that was the provision that the Comptroller may audit the records of any unit of local government to verify the actual cost of the mandated program. Without this provision there is no way..no provision whatsoever for an audit of the local government's books. Now I can well understand why some big cities don't want a Comptroller coming into their town or their city and auditing their books but I don't see how we can be fair about charging the State of Illinois without auditing and verifying that the charges are correct. Now I also happen to be one Mayor in the State of Illinois who opposes...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in some remark that was made....I also represent the 8th District. I have been a municipal official in the 8th District and I've been pretty much in touch with a lot of the government heads in that district. I haven't received one letter for House Bill 1313 (sic) There's not anyone clamoring at my door for this. This would be one of the biggest tax raises, real estate tax raises we will have voted out of this House this year, to allow these villages to ...to rip us off. There is absolutely no provision for audit. You can put down any figure and expect the state to pay it...and your school districts and your City of Chicago any of them, because the provision...the Senate took out the provision that the Comptroller may audit the records of any local governmental school district to verify the actual cost of these state mandated programs. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, my vote is 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster. The timer is on."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me point out to all of you....You don't have to pay any money to local government under this legislation, all you have to do is quit mandating programs to them. By that you will not have to pay out one dime. You won't have to audit anything,



so I think you should vote 'yes' on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I voted against 297, but to answer the colleagues on my side of the aisle, the very very first provision in the Senate Amendment exempts the state from paying the costs of projects or increased services mandated by the Federal Government. That alone explains it. Secondly, I also agree with my friend on this side of the aisle, that says we don't have to be concerned about a Bill like this if we quit mandating these programs. If...we're...we're not appointed in our local areas, we're elected and if we're not doing the job right, let us throw the rascals out. Why do we have to have...This is a whip, this is something to keep the state from mandating these programs. Now the only reason why I voted against 297 is for the simple reason that it says, not only do we go from 1980, but any...any provision that you had from way back, starting way back. This is a much better Bill than the other Bill. This one I could vote for, the other one I couldn't vote for in good conscience. All we're trying to tell the state is, if you're going to demand something..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my 'no' vote, I'd say the same about this proposal as I did the other one. You can talk all you want about legislative intent. This belongs in a Conference Committee. Let's spell out in plain everyday English...related to the question of Unemployment and Workmen's Compensation, so that it's understood. Now they claim that it's there and they talk about legislative intent, I don't buy that. Put it in, bring it back, a Conference Committee Report that spells it out in everyday language so we can understand it and we know what the legislative intent is."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Actually you could vote for this. I'm not because it really doesn't do





anything. I can suggest to you that since this is a statute, it can always be amended. If you think something like this ought to be in the law then you ought to put in the Constitution because the stock provision in every subsequent mandated statute would be, 'The provisions of Yourell's Act do not apply.'

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Yourell: "Yeah. Just to explain my vote. One of the Gentlemen from the 8th District that spoke against the legislation referred to it as Senate...House Bill 1313...Maybe that's why he didn't get any letters...because he's confused about the number. But, at any rate, he also made the statement that this would greatly increase real estate taxes. He simply doesn't know what he's talking about. This prevents any real estate tax increase due to any state mandated program. I think it's a good Bill and you ought to be voting for it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 72...73 'ayes', 73 'nays', and 15 recorded as 'present'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I now move that we nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 and go to Conference."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, I think first, Mr. Speaker, you have to announce that the motion to concur failed and then..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct. I was going to do that. And the motion to concur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3113, fail. Representative Yourell moves that the House now...not concur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2. On that question all in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', all opposed...and the House does not concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3113. House Bill...House Bill 3220, Mr. Vinson...do you want that Bill called? Mr. Vinson? House Bill 3202...Mr. Dan Houlihan. House Bill 3233, Mr. McMaster. The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3233



was a supplemental... is a supplemental appropriation for the Department of Local Governmental Affairs to allow them to pay out some four million dollars of money to local government. Clarence Darrow, I hope you're listening because this affects two fiscal years like you've been asking about. In the Senate there were three Amendments put on it. Amendment #1 does two things. I puts back in the appropriation for the Department of Local Government Affairs for fiscal 1979. It also restricts the amount of money that can be spent out of that appropriation in the first six months to fifty percent of the appropriation. Amendment #2 removes some two hundred and forty-four thousand, two hundred dollars from the original appropriation. The reduction in operations is sixty-seven thousand, one hundred. A reduction to property tax research is one hundred and seventy-seven thousand, one hundred. Amendment #3 restores one hundred and six thousand, six hundred and twenty dollars for operating expenses of the property tax research division. Altogether we have a total reduction in... from the original appropriation of some one hundred and thirty-seven thousand, five hundred and eighty dollars. I would move that we concur in all three of the Senate Amendments, Senate Amendment #1, Senate Amendment #2 and Senate Amendment #3. I move for concurrence and solicit your 'aye' vote, Ladies and Gentlemen."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 on House Bill 3233. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Jack Williams. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 'ayes', 9 'nays', 3 recorded as 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 on House Bill 3233 and the Bill is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3237, Mr. Ryan. House Bill 3236, Mr. McBroom. House Bill 3287, Mr. Kempiners. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kempiners. Well, I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Cook is a big county, too, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I agree, thank you."



Kempiners: "I was born in Cook County. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is House Bill 3287 which creates the Local Initiative Fund which will help private agencies and units of local government participate in claiming Title XX dollars for social services. We added two Amendments in the House. The Senate removed the second Amendment which would mandate a state contribution equal to the contribution of the public agency or the private agency. The Senate Amendment removed this and I would move that we concur in Senate Amendment to this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Kempiners, could you take this Bill out of the record temporarily. We'll get back to you."

Kempiners: "Could I ask, is the Majority Leader in a good mood or a bad mood?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pardon me? We'll get back to it."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, will we get back to it?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 3374, Mr. Edgar. Mr. Edgar. The Gentleman in the chamber? 33... oh, we did nonconcur? The Calendar wasn't marked. How about 3394? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 3394 was a simple appropriation for a hundred and forty-four thousand dollars to BED when it went over to the Senate. But strange things happen over there and I wound up with three Senate Amendments, all dealing with the Department of Personnel. Senate Amendment #1 added the appropriation of eighty-eight million, four hundred and twenty thousand and two hundred dollars for the OCE for the Department of Personnel. Senate Amendment #2 reduced the operations by six hundred and sixty-six thousand, five hundred dollars. And Senate Amendment 3 deleted the entire appropriation for the employee and labor relations division. And, Mr. Speaker, what I would like to do is to concur in Senate Amendment #1 and to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 2 and 3. And I would so move."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. On that question, the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Just a question of the Sponsor. Is the... is the initial



intendment of the Bill that the settlement of that Department of Business and Economic Development versus Pioneer Trust and Savings still contained within it?"

Kempiners: "Yes, it is."

Johnson: "Why are we passing a House Bill for that rather than to go through the Court of Claims like we'd normally do in those sort of things?"

Kempiners: "This is an out of court settlement. This property that was involved here goes way back to when the State of Illinois negotiated with the Federal Government for the location of the national accelerator laboratory in Batavia. This land, the condemnation was contested for the price of the land being offered and the price offered by the state is in an escrow account and this for the amount above and beyond what the state had already offered and which had been settled in this court case. So all we're doing is appropriating what has been decided in this particular case in that amount. But right now my motion, what's happened is that they put the ordinary and contingent expense appropriation for the Department of Personnel on this Bill and that's what Senate Amendment #1 is."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? Question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to Senate... to House Bill 3394? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 'ayes', 4 'nays', 6 recorded as 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3394. Now the Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 3394. And on that question, the Lady from Cook, Mrs.... Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "I move to divide the question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "But, ma'am, this is nonconcurrency on Senate Amendments 2 and 3. It's nonconcurrency. You still want to divide the question?"

Pullen: "And I'd appreciate Roll Calls on the two motions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll divide the question if the Lady so persists and we'll have a Roll Call. All those in favor of nonconcurring



on Amendment #2 vote 'aye' and all opposed vote 'nay'. Kindly record me as 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 99 'ayes', 6 'nays' and the House nonconcur to Amendment #2 to House Bill 3394. And we'll have to wait for a second and we will do the same thing on Amendment #3. And on that question, the Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Pullen: "Would you please repeat to us what was cut out of the Department of Personnel budget by this Amendment?"

Kempiners: "Senate Amendment #3 entirely deletes the appropriation for the employee and labor relations division."

Pullen: "Sounds like a terrific idea. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House... the House nonconcur in Amendment #3 to House Bill 3394. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Nonconcur. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 'ayes', 15 'nays' and the House nonconcur with Amendment #3 to House Bill 3394. House Bill 2970, Mr. Daniels. Out of the record. House Bill 3237, Mr. Ryan. Out of the record. 3276 out of the record. Is Mr. McBroom behind you there? I can't see. No. Representative Matijevich, you make a motion that the House stand in recess for two hours for the purpose of dinner?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute. Before we do that, messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption of their Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bill 1523, 1786 and 1861. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that we recess for two hours



for the purpose of having a real nice dinner."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You've heard the motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed? The motion carries. We stand in recess for two hours, purpose of dinner. Enjoy your dinner."

House in recess

Speaker Redmond: "Adeline, did you get the quiz that we put on your desk?"

Geo-Karis: "The whole House can't do this quiz and I think you realize it."

Speaker Redmond: "I just thought it was a good leveling process. Some people... some people that think they're too damn smart."

Geo-Karis: "No, he didn't do it. He drew it up because he wanted to get even with us. He wanted to show us how dumb we really are and he isn't fooling me a bit."

Speaker Redmond: "You're right, Adeline."

Geo-Karis: "I know, I know your little tricks. You're worse than raising kids for nothing, Mr. Speaker. If you raise them... time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh has arrived."

Geo-Karis: "This bring me this... Walsh. Do you suppose you will get a quorum here today, Mr. Speaker? Or are they going to dress and undress like my people did last night? That was so funny, you know, when I called them and I said I'm ready to go. Then I called them and said I'm not ready to go. At eleven o'clock, oh, I said, is that too late? Well, what do you say? I think it's kind of late. Then I called them again and says, we're going to go. Oh, again? You know who it was don't you? It's John Webster and his wife. Yes. No, I didn't. They didn't know what to do... I didn't think I did anyway."

Speaker Redmond: "Who was it?"

Geo-Karis: "John Webster and his wife and one of my constituents from back home."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh, will you read that quiz that I put on your desk and.... you're going to be graded."

Geo-Karis: "You mean, we're going to be degraded."

Speaker Redmond: "Jim Andrews, the professor, reminds us that neatness



will count for ten percent so..."

Geo-Karis: "Which west wing are you talking about? Which west wing are you talking about?"

Speaker Redmond: "What'd you say?"

Geo-Karis: "Which west wing? In this building you mean?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Geo-Karis: "I don't even know which way is west? Which way would that be? One of the statues is Lottie Holman O'Neill."

Speaker Redmond: "What?"

Geo-Karis: "One of those six statues, I think, is Lottie Holman O'Neill."

Speaker Redmond: "Which... which..."

Geo-Karis: "Number four."

Speaker Redmond: "That may be Senator Barr, too. One may be Stephen A. Douglas. Representative Winchester. Neatness will count ten percent."

Winchester: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Mrs. Kent and I were very much curious about a little yellow sheet of paper that's been laid on our desks and it says, 'Looking around for around for things that you saw about the Capitol Building but were never quite sure'. Are you going to quiz us this afternoon?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well that's the purpose of it."

Winchester: "Well, I know most of the answers but Mrs. Kent doesn't, so I thought I'd ask if you were going to embarrass us or not."

Speaker Redmond: "We're going to grade them."

Winchester: "Someone has asked me, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Report it to the press."

Winchester: "Someone has just asked me as to who is responsible for payment for this quiz. He wants to know how much it costs?"

Speaker Redmond: "Minimal."

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, I'm still on. I wish you'd have them turn me off for fear that I might say something that everybody else might hear."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, that's a good idea. Turn him off. That isn't permanent though. Representative Conti. Representative Conti is back from dinner. Representative Lucco."



Lucco: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I notice here that a particular William A. Redmond, graduate of Saint Ignatius High School. Was that not the year that the GED program started?"

Speaker Redmond: "Year before. Professor Schneider, have you been able to answer all those questions on the quiz? Representative Ryan, have you completed your quiz yet? Don't you have a quiz sheet in front of you?"

Ryan: "Which quiz is that, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Neatness is ten percent."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, did you put this quiz together?"

Speaker Redmond: "With some help."

Ryan: "I wondered what you did with your time. Now I know."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I was looking over this quiz and there's one question, obvious question missing and that is, what noted sports figure accused you of being a Protestant last night?"

Speaker Redmond: "'Ziggy Czurobski'."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, in line with what Representative Winchester says, probably a good idea to turn my...(microphone turned off)."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "If neatness is ten percent, what is imagination on this quiz?"

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Supplemental Calendar, page 1, the Order of Non-concurrence. Senate Bill 1523. Representative Ebbesen on the floor. Representative Matejek. Out of the record. Take 1523 out of the record. How about 1861? Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the House has returned Senate Bill 1861 because there are a couple of technical mistakes which kind of unnumber the Sections to which they refer to because we had fourteen Amendments. I would like to make a motion at this time that the... that I concur to non-concur. You might say that... to nonconcur and I'd like to have a Conference... refer it to Conference Committee. No, no. I have to... They're right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis, what is the end result





which you desire? A Conference Committee?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, I've moved to nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "Then the motion would be that we refuse to recede from Amendment 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14. Is that your motion?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute now. Please, please be in order so I can... Now will you repeat your motion?"

Geo-Karis: "I move to nonconcur..."

Speaker Redmond: "No, it would be recede. It was a House Amendment on a Senate Bill."

Geo-Karis: "Okay, so I move not to... not to recede, is that all right?"

Speaker Redmond: "On what Amendment?"

Geo-Karis: "6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13 and 14."

Speaker Redmond: "That's all of them then? Representative Geo-Karis moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendments 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14 to Senate Bill 1861. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from..."

Geo-Karis: "Would you refer it to a Conference Committee, Sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, well that was your motion to refuse to recede and to have a Conference Committee appointed."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matejek. Senate Bill 1786."

Matejek: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House refuse to recede from accepting Amendment #1 of Senate Bill 1786 and I request a Conference Committee please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matejek has moved that the House refuse to recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1786 and have a Conference Committee, request a Conference Committee. Those in favor of the motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried and the House refuses to recede. Conference Committee Reports. 1806. 1803, Representative Stuffle. 1803, you want to go with Conference Committee Report? Supp... 2843, Representative Friedrich. 3225, Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House does now accept



the Conference Committee Report #1. There was objection when we called the Bill the first time to a Commission that was to have been created. It was fifteen Members. The compromise was that we create a temporary Joint Hazardous Waste Study Committee composed of ten Legislative Members from both General Assembly Bodies appointed by respective Leaders. The Committee shall study the problems of hazardous and low-level waste, transportation, disposal and containment and report its recommendations by October 15, 1978. The Committee will also automatically destruct by July 1st."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vitek."

Macdonald: "Or automatically repeals... excuse me, December 31, 1978."

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, I understand the report has not been distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "So? Has the first report of the Conference Committee on House Bill 3225 been distributed? Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Representative Clabaugh is with us. Why don't you introduce him?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well I didn't see him. What district does he represent?"

Walsh: "53rd."

Speaker Redmond: "They haven't been as well represented since he left. On that side. I understand that they have not yet been printed. Those will appear on the Supplemental, Representative Macdonald, so Mr. Vitek's objection is well taken. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, there's some unauthorized personnel on the floor. I see the State Fair Manager on the floor and I don't think he belongs here right now."

Speaker Redmond: "Correct."

Matijevich: "Nick Stone, get off the floor. You don't belong here right now."

Speaker Redmond: "All unauthorized persons leave the floor. Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, can you tell me when you might go to Senate Bills, Third Reading?"



Speaker Redmond: "Do what?"

Tipsword: "Can you tell me when you might go to Senate Bills, Third Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well I'm waiting to get what looks like a little better attendance."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar, Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1601, Representative Telcser. Representative Barnes, don't disturb Representative Telcser. He has a Bill up. 1601."

Telcser: "What Bill is that? Mr. Speaker and Members of the House..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1601. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1601 is the CDB Appropriation Bill which was amended and I believe we took almost every Amendment if I remember correctly. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 'aye' and 19 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Levin, for what purpose do you rise?"

Levin: "An inquiry, Mr. Speaker, while we have a lull. I recall last year when we had the summaries of Conference Committee Reports, the names of the Conferees were printed on the report so that Members can know who is appointed. I'm wondering if there's any reason why that isn't being done this year and if that could be added. I think it's helpful to know who's on the Conference Committees."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't remember exactly how we handled that, but we'll look into it and there's no reason why it can't be done. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Since you're on Senate Bills, Third Reading, could you go to 1510 on the Regular Calendar?"



Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1510, Representative Tipsword. Representative Tipsword, 1510. Representative Tipsword. Did you turn Representative Tipsword on?"

Tipsword: "I'm on. Is the Clerk going to read..."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1510. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Illinois Industrial Development Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the Bill that we took from the Appropriations II Committee a couple of days ago with the consent of Chairman of that Committee and the Members of that Committee. This is a Bill relating to the Industrial Development Authority of the State of Illinois. This Bill would provide a five hundred thousand dollar appropriation to that authority for its loan purposes. They had in 1970 received one million dollars which they have used for the purpose as prescribed in the limiting statutes that they have for the purpose of providing capital for industrial development in areas of the state where there is unusually high unemployment for the purpose of creating jobs. And they only provide these loans when private capital does not provide the loans that are necessary. They have never lost a single cent. They have created over two thousand jobs. They now have run this money up to a million, four hundred thousand dollars; but most of it is loaned out. They have a crying need in areas of the state where there's very high unemployment and they need this additional five hundred thousand dollars for such loans again. And I would move for the adoption of Senate Bill 1510."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madigan: "Where is this..."

Speaker Redmond: "Page 3, Senate Bills, Third Reading, 1510."

Madigan: "Oh, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have



all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 136 'aye' and 5 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1587, Representative Telcser, you want to go with that one? Representative Telcser."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1587. A Bill for an Act making certain reappropriations to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements, grants and related purposes. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the title of the Bill is self-explanatory. This is the Reappropriation Bill for CDB and I appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, could my learned colleague from Chicago tell us what is being reappropriated?"

Telcser: "Four hundred and fifty million dollars, Representative."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further?"

Madison: "That's all?"

Telcser: "A little here, a little loose change."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Representative Geo-Karis, please be quiet. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 'aye' and 13 'no' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I inadvertently voted 'yes' and would like to vote 'no' on 1601. Could that be changed? It would not change the outcome."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Lady have leave to be recorded as voting 'no' on 1601? Will not change the result."

Kent: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I was trying to run down a Conference Committee



Report and I didn't get recorded on 1587. I'd like to be recorded 'aye', it won't change the results."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection leave is granted. Senate Bills, Second Reading. 1583, Representative Telcser, you ready on that one? Representative Madison. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, if we're going to Senate Bills, Second Reading, the last time we left that order of business we were in the midst of Senate Bill 1456, Mr. Speaker. It would seem to me that there is... least in part an obligation on the part of the Speaker to go back to Senate Bill 1456 unless the Sponsor has asked that it be taken out again."

Speaker Redmond: "Sponsor has asked... I was just looking for things... Representative Madison, I was looking for things that I could do with..."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if you think there's something you can with ease, I don't think 1583 is it."

Speaker Redmond: "Well..."

Madison: "Just my considered opinion, Mr. Speaker. There is an infamous Amendment #5 to that Senate Bill that may not exactly roll out of here."

Speaker Redmond: "You mean with respect to 1583?"

Madison: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, take... Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we took this Bill out of the record quite a few hours ago with the request of Representative Barnes who wanted some time to look over the Amendments which have been filed to the Bill. I'm ready to go ahead with it. If the Sponsor of the Amendment, Representative Peters, is, it's fine with me."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, there seems to be a great deal of controversy concerning this Bill. There doesn't appear to be a full attendance at this time and there were requests earlier today to have more time to examine the... Amendment #5. So I suggest that we put it off for awhile."

Telcser: "Fine, I'd be glad to defer to the Majority Leader."



Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record then. How about 1592?"

Representative Sumner. Will you read the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1592. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Teachers Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 failed in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, Sumner. Amends Senate Bill 1592 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sumner."

Sumner: "I would like... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like leave to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sumner withdraws Amendment #2. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Sumner. Amends Senate Bill 1592 on page 1 by inserting between line 8 and 9 the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sumner."

Sumner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would also like leave to add Representative Mudd as a hyphenated Sponsor to this Amendment please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative who?"

Sumner: "Mudd."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, Representative Mudd's name will be added as the hyphenated Cosponsor. Will you proceed to explain the Amendment?"

Sumner: "This Amendment directs Teachers Retirement System to study the dilemma facing downstate teacher, retired teachers in obtaining insurance."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carries. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1595."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1595. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense of the University Civil Service Merit Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment



#1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Steele-Stuffle-Ryan. Amends Senate Bill 1595 as amended on page 1, line 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative E.G. Steele."

E.G. Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 sponsored by myself, Representative Stuffle and Representative Ryan, represents an agreed amount to restore a hundred and three thousand to the Fire Marshall's budget. This is an amount which still represents a reduction of ninety thousand dollars from the original budget. This Amendment is essential to keep our fire inspections and arson inspections going here in Illinois, necessary to the vital operation of this service. This amount has been discussed between the staffs of both sides of the aisle. It's agreeable, acceptable to the Sponsor and I move for the adoption... adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm in agreement with this Amendment and would accept it."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Taylor. Amends Senate Bill 1595 as amended by deleting Section 2 and inserting in lieu thereof..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Taylor. Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Don't see the Sponsor on the floor. There is Amendment #4. I'd suggest we move to it please."

Speaker Redmond: "Take Amendment #3 out of the record. Any... Amendment #4."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Huskey. Amends Senate Bill 1595 as amended by deleting the title and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."





Huskey: "Amendment #4 has been agreed to by the Sponsor. It transfers five hundred and fifty dollars out of the travel expense into the payroll expense so the employees can get paid. I'd move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "I'm in agreement with that Amendment. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #4. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried, the Amendments adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Huskey. Amends Senate Bill 1595 as amended and so forth."

Huskey: "I move to, Mr. Speaker, I move to table or withdraw Amendment #5 whichever word is appropriate in this particular case."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #5 is withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, E.M. Barnes. Amends Senate Bill 1595 as amended on page 1, line 31 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative E.M. Barnes. You familiar with this Amendment, Representative Wikoff?"

Wikoff: "No, Mr. Speaker, I'm not. I have not seen it. Since the Sponsor isn't here, I'd suggest that we move."

Speaker Redmond: "What was your suggestion?"

Wikoff: "I suggest if he's not here and there are no further Amendments we move to Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor or Representative Barnes, are either of you here? No further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. How about 1469? Representative Brady on the floor? 1456, Representative McClain, you want to go on that one? Representative McClain, 1456? Out of the record? Brady, 1469. Out of the record. On the Order on the Supplemental Calendar, on the Order of Nonconcurrency 1523. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on Senate Bill 1523, I would move that we refuse to recede and have



a Conference Committee on 1523."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Ebbesen has moved that we... the House refuse to recede from Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1523. Those in... and a Conference Committee be appointed. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried and House refuses to recede. Conference Committee will be re... appointed. Representative McClain. Somebody tells me that somebody dispatched the skirmishers around to get those answers and I presume that... that you wouldn't be one of those. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Speaker, it wasn't such a tough test. Would you like the answers?"

Speaker Redmond: "Did you do them sitting at your desk? Without any guidance or help?"

McClain: "Some consultation."

Speaker Redmond: "Somebody has already squealed on you."

McClain: "Oh, really? Must have been a Republican."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know. On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 3237. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On House Bill 3237, 37 I would move to concur in Amendment #2 and refuse to concur in Amendment #1 and request a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Would you repeat the motion again, Representative?"

Ryan: "The motion is to concur in Amendment #2 and refuse to..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Ryan: "...to concur in Amendment #1 and then request a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "You want to concur in 2 or in 1?"

Ryan: "Concur in 2, refuse in 1."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Gentleman has moved that the House refuse to concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3237. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, incorporated in the Gentleman's motion is a request for a Conference Committee and I'm wondering if he is, by that motion, asking the Senate to refuse to recede? I would



think that he would prefer..."

Ryan: "You're right, you're right."

Speaker Redmond: "That request would emanate from the Senate, I'm advised."

Ryan: "You're right, you're right."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "So the question is, shall the House refuse to concur in Senate Amendment 1? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the House refuses to concur in Senate Amendment 1. Now the next question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3237? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Would the Gentleman please explain the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Can you explain the Amendment, Mr. Ryan?"

Ryan: "Which one?"

Bowman: "Number 2."

Ryan: "Technical change. Changes salary payments to read personal services and related costs. Changes the enacting date to June 30, 1978 and stipulates retroactive if not signed into law by such a date."

Bowman: "Did we settle the retroactive question? Is... can... can we enact a retroactive Bill?"

Ryan: "I didn't hear you, Representative."

Bowman: "Did we settle the question of retroactivity? It was raised on the floor earlier."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you please..."

Ryan: "I can hear you, but I can't understand you."

Bowman: "Okay. Did we settle the question about retroactivity? Can we enact a Bill that becomes... that is retroactive?"

Ryan: "Yes."

Bowman: "Okay. I'll support it."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3237? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 131 'aye' and 1 'no' and the House does concur in



Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3237. Representative Matejek."

Matejek: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to take this opportunity to introduce a very distinguished constituent of mine. He's the Mayor of East Chicago Heights in Bloom Township in the southern part of the 10th Legislative District, the Honorable Mayor Saul Beck. Mr. Mayor, it's a pleasure to have you here."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of Nonconcurrency, Senate Bill 309. Representative Dan Houlihan. Dan Houlihan. Nonconcurrency, 309, page 6."

D. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 309. By way of background let me explain that Senate Bill 309 in the form that it passed the Senate and came to the House, it's a relatively minor Bill that simply extends the elective date for eligibles in the judicial pension system to opt in to come within the pension fund. House Amendment #1 would have included within those who would have a direct participation in the judicial pension system public defenders. That particular Amendment was proposed by Representative Giorgi. As I indicated on the floor at the time that we passed Senate Bill 309 from the House that that particular Amendment devised by Representative Giorgi would not accomplish the purpose that he had in mind for the person or persons that he was intending to assist. Now this is by agreement with Representative Giorgi who was the Sponsor of House Amendment #1 so I'm moving now that we recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 309 and I urge a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 309. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 137 'aye' and 3 'no' and the House recedes from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 309. 771, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move that the House



refuse to recede from Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 771. I believe a Conference Committee should be fruitful and I would move that a Conference Committee be requested."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House refuse to recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 771. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried and the House refuses to recede from Amendment #1. On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 3276, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would refuse to concur in Senate Amendment #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28 and 29."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House... Representative McClain."

McClain: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman kindly explain each Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Which one do you want to know about, Representative?"

McClain: "Well, Mr. Ryan, I can either call for a division or I can ask you to just run down the list."

Ryan: "How about if I bring the list over to you?"

McClain: "While the votes are being counted or before?"

Ryan: "Right now. I mean, I... you want me to take it out of the record while you look at the list? I don't care. Whatever your pleasure, Representative. I'm trying to accommodate the Speaker, that's all."

McClain: "Well, information doesn't hurt anything."

Ryan: "What do you want? Tell me what you want. I'll give it to you. I'm not trying to hide anything."

McClain: "Just run down the list if you could real quickly. That'd be fine."

Ryan: "All right. Amendment #1 reduces operations by three million, one hundred and sixty-four thousand, one hundred and thirty-seven dollars. Permanent improvements by nineteen million, four hundred and eighty-six thousand dollars for a total reduction of twenty-two million, six hundred and fifty thousand, one hundred and



thirty-seven dollars. Number 2 reduces central offices administration and planning operations by a hundred and seventy thousand. Number 3 takes out all transferability clauses in the Bill. Number 4 breaks out Series A Bonds, new and reappropriation funds and reduces reappropriation by four million, two hundred and twenty-one thousand, three hundred and fifty-nine dollars. Number 7 cuts thirteen new highway safety programs and gives the money to local government grants. There's no dollar change. Number 9 adds five million, six hundred and eighteen thousand dollars for two road projects in Chicago. Number 10 adds two hundred thousand dollars for a project on the North Branch of the Chicago River. Number 11 adds one million dollars for grade separation projects. Number 13 adds two million, twenty-five thousand dollars for three road projects in Chicago. Number 16 adds four hundred thousand dollars for a road project on Route 97. Number 17 adds seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars for a road project on Route 113. That happens to be in my district, Representative. Adds five million dollars for FAP409. Number 19 adds five hundred thousand dollars for FAP816. Number 20 adds twenty-eight thousand dollars for curbing work in Streator. Number 21 adds four million dollars for work on Route 53 in DuPage County. Number 22 adds two hundred and twenty thousand dollars to the Tyler Detention. Number 23 adds thirty thousand dollars for detention ponds. Number 24 adds two hundred and fifty thousand dollars for work on Rolling Road in Cook County. Number 25 adds three hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars for three road projects in Lake County. Number 26 adds one million, two hundred thousand dollars for road project in Midlothian. And 29 adds twenty-five thousand dollars for traffic study in East St. Louis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. A point of inquiry.

Is the motion to nonconcur with the Senate Amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "Nonconcur."

McClain: "So the issue then is if in nonconcurring with all the Amendments, if indeed they refuse to recede from any of them, then we go into Conference. But if they refuse to recede, if



they recede from all of them, then the Bill just... that'll be final action."

Ryan: "Well if that happens with one, it doesn't matter whether it happens with all of them. Just one will probably go to a Conference if that happens."

McClain: "All right, conference is one thing..."

Ryan: "But I want to concur in... in #6, #8 and #14. Number 6 is new language for local road bridge program funding which is a reduction of five million dollars. These are the ones I want to concur in. Number 8 changes the motor fuel tax distribution formula and adds twenty million, two hundred thousand dollars. And #14 breaks out reappropriations with no dollar change."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Well, I wouldn't ask for a call to divide the question except if you wouldn't mind taking Amendment #1 separately and then you could take all the others in one motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "What was the request again?"

McClain: "Would you take Amendment #1 separately and then you could take all the other Amendments combined?"

Ryan: "Well now what do you mean take it separately? On what motion?"

McClain: "Instead of calling for a division of the question, would you just mind just take Senate Amendment #1 separately and then on the other Amendments, you can make one motion and I would not call for a call to divide the question?"

Ryan: "What do you want me to do? Not concur in Amendment #1?"

McClain: "Concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Ryan: "I just read the list and you heard my motion, you know... whatever your pleasure is."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Ryan, why don't you move to nonconcur in #1 and we'll see where it goes? And then on the others you can do what you wish."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider, for what purpose..."

Ryan: "You want me to nonconcur? Well I did nonconcur in #1."

McClain: "Well, but just take that Amendment alone."



Ryan: "Well whatever makes you happy, Representative."

McClain: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion...

Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Before they act on that, if the Sponsor, the Minority Leader, would ask Fred Euling... while you're there, Fred, is Route 53 just expansion and maintenance? It has nothing to do with FAP431? Just is it widening and re-surfacing?"

Ryan: "That's right."

Schneider: "Thank you very much."

Ryan: "That take care of your pork, Representative?"

Schneider: "I have no pork. I'm lean and hungry."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I would request a Roll Call on this because I think what Representative McClain is trying to do, Senate Amendment #1 makes a reduction of twenty-two million, eight hundred and fourteen dollars and a hundred and thirty... a hundred and fourteen thousand, a hundred and thirty-seven dollars and I think that's a significant cut. And I would urge the Members to vote 'no'. If anything, we ought to hold firm. I remember Representative Reilly giving us quite a talk about where there are cuts we ought to hold to them. This is a chance that we ought to vote against a motion and nonconcur and then concur with this Amendment. That's a significant cut and I think we ought to stick by that. So I would urge the Membership to vote 'no' against a motion to nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I want to point out to the Members of the House that Amendment #1 to the Department of Transportation Bill has a total cut of twenty-two million, eight hundred and fourteen thousand, one hundred and thirty-seven dollars. Now if you want to cripple the agency totally, it takes out over three million dollars in the district offices and over nineteen million dollars in permanent improvements. Now if you really want to totally, just destroy DOT, go ahead with Representative Matijevich and





that's what you'll do. I want to move to nonconcur on this and I would hope that you would support me."

Speaker Redmond: "First question is that the House... Representative Ryan moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1. Those in favor of the motion vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ryan. Have all voted who wished? This is nonconcur in 1, 1 only. Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 89 'aye' and 39 'no' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now the Gentleman has moved that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28 and 29. Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carried. Now the Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendments 6, 8 and 14. Those in favor of this motion vote... signify by voting 'aye' and opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Some of us on the Democratic side missed the explanation of these Amendments, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, what was the inquiry?"

Madigan: "Some of us on the Democratic side missed the explanation of this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Well this motion is that the House concur in Senate Amendment 6, 8 and 14. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would hope that the Democrats at least would not support this motion to concur. And the reason I say that, that Amendment #1 which we did not support... on the Nonconcurrency, the Senate just liable to recede on that and there's some significant cuts. By concurring to this, it could be the end of the ball game for House Bill 3276 without any significant cuts. So I would urge the Democratic Members that they vote 'present' or vote 'no' on the motion to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, immediately before this vote you read off a list of about twenty or twenty-five Amendments. Was that



to concur or to not concur on those?"

Speaker Redmond: "The long list was nonconcur. Here are 6, 8 and 14 to concur."

Brummer: "So we have... we not... we voted to not concur and that motion carried on about twenty Amendments on the prior vote, right?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct, yeah."

Brummer: "Mr. Speaker, correct if I'm wrong, but we've had another vote besides this one since Amendment #1 did we not?"

Speaker Redmond: "We had a separate vote on Amendment #1. We had a separate vote on all of the Amendments that Representative Ryan enumerated in his motion to nonconcur and then this is the third motion and this motion is to concur in these three Amendments - 6, 8 and 14. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "That's all right. I used the same logic that I did, we still need a 'present' vote so that this Bill cannot be finalized just by going back over to the Senate. Because if that happens and they do recede, it's going to be final action and again I say that's the end of the ballgame. And I don't think we want the ballgame to end without some significant cuts in the DOT budget."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've had fourteen discussions on thirty-five subjects and I'm not sure what I know Representative Matijevich is talking about here, but whatever his pleasure is on this Bill, I'd be glad to accommodate him on it. You want me to withdraw the motion? Turn on your mike so you can tell me, will ya?"

Matijevich: "Yeah, nonconcur in the whole ballgame and get it in the, you know, I don't they're going to recede to everything, so that's your way you'll get it in the Conference."

Ryan: "Well these are only three Amendments that... that we thought we could eliminate from the discussion in the Conference Committee. It's going to go to Conference I'm sure."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, you know, somebody is either trying to kid somebody around here or something that you go to a Conference Committee and you have eliminated any subject to that Bill, they're



whistling in the dark. I've been around here too many years to have somebody kid somebody that you somehow could lock something up in a Conference Committee by adopting or concurring with any Amendment. Now we've been around here long enough to know that you can't do it and the only game that could be played is if we accept some Amendment that the Senate puts on and we concur with those three Amendments and then send the Bill back to the Senate. And if they recede from all the ones that we nonconcurrred on, that's the final action to that Bill. And Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, don't be kidded into thinking that you somehow could prevent a Conference Committee from entering into any subject on that Bill once they have possession of that Bill. That's kidding yourself and I think it should be stopped right now."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not trying to kid anybody and you're the last guy I'd try to kid, Tom, you know that. I have offered Matijevich whatever he wanted me to do, withdraw the motion, whatever, I don't care. If you haven't got anymore faith in your Democrat controlled Senate than that, then I don't know what to tell you. You tell me what you want and I'll try and accommodate you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich throws his arms heavenward and said nonconcur in everything."

Ryan: "Well if... Mr. Speaker, to save a Roll Call if we all go red, that's the same thing, isn't it on this motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "No. You have to have another motion."

Ryan: "All right, all right. Withdraw this motion and I'll make a motion to nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman withdraws the motion to concur. Now Representative Ryan moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 6, 8 and 14. Those in favor of the motion indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried, nonconcur in 6, 8 and 14. Page 3, Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1583, Representative Telcser. Representative Telcser."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1583. A Bill for an Act to provide for ordinary and contingent expense of the Board of Vocational



Rehabilitation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were... were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 1, 2 and 3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment provides thirty-five thousand dollars to fund House Bill 3057 which by some miracle made it past the Senate. That is the handicapped... let's see, what did we call it? The Handicapped Persons Compliance Review... Compliance Board which will review all building plans and leases that the state enters into. The reason this is necessary in my opinion is because ninety-six percent of the buildings that the state owns that were inspected in person by officials of the Auditor General's office were found to be nonaccessible to the handicapped. In a survey of five hundred of the one thousand leased facilities the State of Illinois has, eighty-nine percent were found to be nonaccessible to the handicapped. Now if we really want to keep people from getting state services who may need them more than the average, that's certainly the way we ought to keep things. But if you agree that we ought to expand the consciousness of the Executive Branch, there certainly ought to be some money to fund the Board and thirty-five thousand dollars is a reasonable estimate of how much it should cost. And I would ask for your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to oppose the Gentleman's Amendment. I am well aware of how sincere the Gentleman is about the problem which he's attempting to address in his Amendment. But the facts are the facts. The Bill which he is attempting to fund which is now in the Senate in my opinion is not going to pass. It's on its last, dying gasping breath. It is very unlikely that it has even the remotest chance to pass. I see no reason to fund something that's not going to be in



existence and for that any many other reasons, I rise to oppose the Gentleman's Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, the first... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the former speaker really didn't address himself to the issue. I mean he knows the facts as well as anybody else on this floor. The Auditor General has a report indicating that state facilities are nonaccessible to handicapped individuals and that this situation has been around for some time in spite of legislation to the contrary. This is a very modest sum and I think that we shouldn't be second guessing the Senate. Let's put this Amendment on. If the Senate tubes the Bill, then the money won't be spent. But let's put this thing on and do, you know, stand up and be counted for the handicapped of the State of Illinois. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Skinner to close."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I am aghast at my Leader fromafar, the Leader that sits to my rear as a matter of fact. And his ideas seem to be considerably behind the times. He was here in 1968 when the Facilities for the Handicapped Act was passed. Since that time, accessibility for the handicapped has been mandated in the State of Illinois. Every lease is turned over on... it is supposed to be turned over every two years and yet ten years, ten years after this Bill was passed by a sophomore Legislator sitting to my rear who now speaks against this measly Amendment, sitting in back door, ninety-six percent of the state-owned buildings in this state are inaccessible to the handicapped. Eighty-nine percent of the state-leased buildings in the State of Illinois are inaccessible to the handicapped. When will the Representative from Chicago who used to be a young reformer, an IVI standout realize that he has left his constituency and that the way to get back to his constituency is to take the steps off or at least to have someone watching the state bureaucrats who have allowed to step in. Now I got interested in this subject because the present Democratic candidate for Comptroller, Mr. Roland Burris, while he was head of the



Department of General Services allowed state departments, the Department of Public Aid and the Department of Labor, to lease two buildings in the county seat of McHenry County which offered the following access to the handicapped. One would roll one's wheelchair in and be in the middle of a stair. To find a human being, one would have to crawl up a half a flight or fall down a half a flight. It didn't happen just once. When I took the state bureaucracy to task, that wasn't enough. A year later it happened again. How long are we going to wait? How long, Representative liberal Republican from Chicago? Now it's bad enough that you want all the tax money to go down to Springfield, you don't want to move a Revenue Building to Cook County or McHenry County or DuPage County or suburban Cook County or Lake County or Will County, but when you don't want thirty-five thousand people so your constituents... excuse me, I don't mean to be speaking so loud. But this is a measly amount of money. If the Governor wants to veto it, let him veto it. Let's put it in to tell... let's put it in to tell him that we're serious about the subject. I ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 1583. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 69 'aye' and 73 'no'. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I certainly would ask for a poll of the absentees but they'd never be able to roll up the stairs in the General Assembly."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 69 'aye' and 73 'no' and the Gentleman's motion failed. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendments #5, Peters-Ryan. Amends House... amends Senate Bill 1583 on page 1, line 2 by deleting Board of Vocational and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Representative Peters. Representative Peters. Representative Peters on Amendment 5."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of Amendment 5."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Stearney."



Stearney: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Stearney: "Tell me, Mr. Sponsor, what was the original request of the Department of Registration and Education when they submitted this Bill before the Illinois Senate?"

Peters: "One hundred and eleven thousand dollars."

Stearney: "Can you tell me now what this Bill requests?"

Peters: "Fifteen million, eight hundred and ninety-seven thousand dollars. One million dollars extra for a museum in the City of Chicago."

Stearney: "Is that an increase of seven hundred and eight-six thousand dollars?"

Peters: "Approximately, .6. 786.6 increase."

Stearney: "And that increase of seven hundred and eighty-six thousand dollars only relates to the one million dollar increase?"

Peters: "That includes it."

Stearney: "Is that the only reason for the increase? Is there any other increases in this budget?"

Peters: "One hundred and sixty-seven, eight hundred dollars for operations in various departments and the divisions relating to real estate, museums, water survey and medical."

Stearney: "Can you tell me what happened to the cut made by the Senate in this Bill?"

Peters: "In the wisdom of the people who worked on the Amendment they were not as appropriate as they first thought them to be."

Stearney: "So in other words, the people that put this Bill together just last night restored all the cuts that the Illinois Senate made in this Bill as far as Registration and Education, am I right?"

Peters: "That is not correct. The Senate cut three hundred and eighty-one thousand dollars total. We restored one hundred, sixty-seven thousand, eight hundred dollars and the difference being two hundred and thirteen thousand dollar reduction from the Bill as originally introduced."

Stearney: "Well where does a hundred and thirteen thousand dollars go into?"



Peters: "Hundred and sixty-seven."

Stearney: "Hundred and sixty-seven rather?"

Peters: "Registration Division - eighty thousand; real estate personal services - seven thousand, five hundred; medical, what is that, Medical Disciplinary Board, museums equipment, the water survey for commodities and three thousand dollars for travel."

Stearney: "The eighty thousand dollar increase that was put into Registration Division, what is that for?"

Peters: "To restore its existing positions."

Stearney: "Existing or new... to be newly created positions?"

Peters: "Existing positions."

Stearney: "And those positions that I understand were cut by the Illinois Senate because they have gone unfilled for the last year, am I right, Representative?"

Peters: "It would appear, Representative, that on paper they were unfilled. However, it depends on the month that you end up taking the report. They were not unfilled positions."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in addressing myself to this very question, I oppose Amendment #5 and the reason being is that it appears that we had a shell game enacted just last evening and which it became a little difficult to find Registration and Education. And if you recall, the Assistant Minority Leader today attempted to call his Bill very early before you could even find the Bill, draft your Amendment and have it printed and distributed. What we had here was a vast conspiracy. Not only that, the Republican House appropriations staff was instructed not to draft Amendments on this Bill for any Member who tried to change it. Now I started out originally as a Sponsor of Registration and Education, but it appears that there was a vast conspiracy and a little bit of what you would call, to put it mildly, a double-cross. In other words, we've had this cabal, this unholy alliance from the other side as I understand with the velvet hammer and over on my side to deprive the Members of this House the opportunity to draft and present Amendments to this. Not only that, I believe that what we had originally, the Illinois Senate and what the House did where to





cut the appropriation for Registration and Education which they rightfully should have done. But happened now just last night, they restored all this money, put it back in without even a Committee hearing and they even... depriving the Members, especially me, of the attempt to amend this Bill. This Amendment, the entire thing should be defeated. Let us go back to 1453 where at least that House Appropriations Committee had a chance to look at it and we didn't have this underhanded double-dealing in which they attempted to deceive and defraud the Members of the Illinois House. This is a conspiracy, it should not be allowed. We should defeat this entire Amendment, let us go back. I say 'no' on this particular Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz. Representative Dunn, will you please sit down?"

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "I didn't hear you. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is a little more serious than to be just put off. You know, there's so much to this Amendment that it'd take a genius to be able to sift through some of its operations and grants and various proposals here. I'm interested in the Department of Registration and Education also and I'm significantly interested in one specific line item I'm trying to find, Representative Peters. Could you tell me where the grants to the museum line item, what page it's on?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "One second please. Representative Hanahan, it is in Section 13 of the Bill which should be and if you might help me with it, it's on page 67."

Hanahan: "Yes, I found it."

Peters: "Page 67."

Hanahan: "Well as I read this, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think the Members of the General Assembly should be aware that there's an awful tricky usage of language that's involved here. If you read that, you'd think that there... a million dollars being appropriated for public museums. But if you read the Section very closely, you'll find that there is a million dollars



going to be given to public museums as authorized by Section 58.37 of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. And if you want to read what that says, you'll find that private museums or museums that rent private or public land are being asked to be granted a one hundred percent increase in grants for their operations. Am I correct, Mr. Peters?"

Peters: "Representative Hanahan, I'm not familiar with the Section, with the particulars of the Section you allude to but I know full well that you are a Legislator who does his homework so I will take your word that what you are saying is correct."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, then because I am familiar with this Section I think before the Members are asked to ratify this kind of Amendment that I'm sure many other Members could find other faults with it. But in this specific area I might point out that there is a million dollar request to be granted to the museums around the state, both public and what we would consider private museums renting from public bodies or public lands. Last year, we granted five hundred thousand dollars to the museums of which everything but a nickel was spent. The exact amounts I have from the Adler Planetarium of fourteen thousand, nine-one dollars and thirty-five cents down to six hundred and seventy-one dollars and seventy-five cents to the Everett M. Dirksen Congressional Leadership Research Center. Now I have nothing against museums or the usage of them, but I do resent the fact that public monies are going to be appropriated to pay for private employees' salaries when those private employees salaries are never divulged to the public. Now I might out that a million dollars, a one hundred percent increase of last year's appropriation is being requested and I've nothing against the Art Institute or the Adler Planetarium or the Chicago Historical Society or the many other good, Brookfield Zoo and the many other good and worthwhile institutions. But I do find fault with the fact that public monies are going to be used to pay salaries for private employees at whatever rates they determine with no control by this General Assembly. Now I don't know what the Executive Director of Brookfield Zoo receives in salary



and I don't know what the Executive Director of the Art Institute receives in salary, but I'd like to point out to the Members of this General Assembly, I do know that the janitors receive the minimum wage and that's all they receive. And there's fifty-eight of them at the Art Institute that aren't even paid overtime that work fifty and sixty hours a week and are paid a minimal salary and yet I find that the Executive Directors are paid in the multi-thousands of dollars for their jobs and is subsidized by the taxpayers of this state without any explanation, without any adhering to any rules of state personnel code or in any be controlled by it. And for this General Assembly to double the request in one year after Proposition 13 and after the hard time we've got in order to find school funds for these purposes, I just find in error and I hope somebody somehow makes note of this and requests the Governor, if this passes, requests the Governor to either cut out the whole million dollars or at least reduce it back to this year's appropriation of a half a million dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Tipword: "I have one basic question. I don't know how much time you have, but what's in this Amendment?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in answer to the question asked by Representative Tipword, the Bill itself as he may know, 1583, is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Vocational Rehabilitation. That Bill was introduced at an amount of sixty-five million, seven thousand dollars. This Amendment increases that to sixty-five million, one hundred and forty-six for a change, an increase of one hundred, thirty-eight thousand dollars. And I'm rounding off the figures here, Representative Tipword. The Department of Corrections is also in this and I might say that we are dealing with appropriations here which have been voted out of the Senate and agreed to basically on a vote of... well I'll get you the vote in a second, in the Senate in terms of these dollar amounts plus some negotiations that followed later.



But Corrections was introduced at one hundred forty million, two hundred and eighty-six. This Bill has it at one hundred and thirty-nine million, two eighty-three for a reduction of one million, sixty-seven thousand dollars. BED is in here for an increase... excuse me, for a reduction of ninety-eight thousand dollars. The Department of Aging which was introduced at thirty-three million, seven hundred thousand is now at, rounding the figure, thirty-four million. An addition of two hundred and fifty-four thousand dollars and that money was added on in the Senate in response to a lot of action and a lot of inquiries from people and support from people around the state for the retired senior citizens program which you may be familiar with and which senior citizens are asked to volunteer their help and assistance to various schools and other voluntary care agencies. This State Fair is also in this budget. It was introduced at four million, nine. It is now at five million, two."

Tipsword: "May I interrupt there for a second?"

Peters: "Yes, Sir."

Tipsword: "I don't want to stop you, but can I ask you why a contract with the City of Springfield is back in here when the House has rejected it twice?"

Peters: "Representative Tipsword, the... the Fire Protection District situation, as my understanding, has now been approved by the individuals on both sides of the aisle of the Appropriations Committee."

Tipsword: "What individuals? It's never approp... never approved in Appropriations Committee ever."

Peters: "Representative Tipsword, I did not say approved by a vote of the Committee. In discussions with the Members of the Appropriations Committee, they had indicated that they would not be in opposition to this money being added or transferred, I'm sorry. Transferred within the Bill for that purpose."

Tipsword: "I don't know who you talked with in Appropriations. I don't recall many that voted for it."

Peters: "Well, I... it... if you are interested, Representative Tipsword, I'll have one of our staff members come over and whisper



in your ear who we talked to."

Tipsword: "I know there were four. Go ahead. What else is in the Bill, Sir?"

Peters: "The Revenue Department is here for an increase in response to the Mirage investigations of four million, nine hundred thousand dollars. The Public Aid Department is... budget was introduced at two billion, two hundred and fifty-six million. It is in this budget at two billion, two hundred and fifty-three million for a reduction of, rounding the figure, three million dollars. Department of Labor is reduced by five and one half million, from the way it was introduced in the Senate. Registration and Education which we discussed is increased by seven hundred and eighty-six thousand. The Illinois Commerce Commission is reduced by one hundred and seventy-seven thousand. The Civil Service Commission is reduced by eighteen thousand. Prisoner Review Board by twenty-five thousand. ILAC is reduced by three hundred thousand. CDB is reduced by a hundred forty-seven thousand. Veteran's Affairs by sixty-three thousand. The Institute for Environmental Quality by two hundred thousand for a rounded reduction of budgets here of four million dollars, 3.7706."

Tipsword: "May I ask you, in your BED budget, do you include in there the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentleman order. Please."

Tipsword: "In your Business and Economic Development portion of this Amendment, the budget for that area, do you include in that this new Institute for Energy and so forth?"

Peters: "Did you say..."

Tipsword: "Do you amend for the new institute that we've set up for energy or have you got it in the BED budget?"

Peters: "Staff indicates to me, Representative Tipsword, that it is not in here. Or it is in here? It is in here."

Tipsword: "It's not in IEQ's budget. Where's the project line item?"

Peters: "Representative Tipsword, according... I am told here this makes it difficult hearing on one ear and I have difficulty hearing you. That the reorganization which was done, the funds that were wherever they were before will now follow to IEQ as



part of the reorganization."

Tipsword: "That has to be amended into IEQ's budget and it's..."

Peters: "No. I'm just whispered here again that according to the Revenue Act that follows automatically."

Tipsword: "Are the research projects line itemed somewhere in IEQ's budget?"

Peters: "Representative Tipsword, I'm sorry. I didn't hear you."

Tipsword: "Are the research projects line itemed somewhere in the IEQ budget?"

Peters: "Yes."

Tipsword: "Project by project?"

Peters: "Yes. They are listed, Representative, on page 100."

Tipsword: "Okay. Now is that what... does IEQ support that?"

Peters: "Yes, Sir. This was put together on the basis of discussion with the people at IEQ in terms of how these funds are distributed."

Tipsword: "The reason I ask, in Committee they were opposed. When'd they switch?"

Peters: "Representative Tipsword, it is not that... they do not consider this to be the best situation in the world but they are willing to accept what they have here."

Tipsword: "Someone indicates to me that contractors will just look at the budget and bid the budget amount now."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, might I ask that the electrician could turn it up? I really have difficulty in hearing Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I'm sorry. I have just... it appears to me that contractors then will just simply look at that budget and bid the budget amount."

Peters: "Representative Tipsword, you may... you may well be right. There is a six percent transferability I am informed. But this is on the basis of what was agreed to in the Senate Appropriations Committee and we are trying to accommodate as best we can so that we could get as much of this budget out as we can and hopefully get out of here by six o'clock tomorrow."

Tipsword: "I want to thank you for the information that you have



supplied and I know it's... this is a large item to go through. And I appreciate your answers to my questions and I don't wish to dwell minutely upon this thing, but... but Mr. Speaker, if I might, I'd like to address this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Tipsword: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have an Amendment laid on our desk here the day before we are supposed to adjourn this General Assembly. I don't know who prepared this Amendment or who prepared this budget. It's certainly not from the Appropriations Committees of the House of Representatives. And I ask you, all of you who are the hundred and seventy-seven Members of the House of Representatives, did you have any input into this? How many of you knew what was going into this budget? I asked just the Members of the two Appropriations Committees. How many of the Members of the Appropriations Committees knew what was going into this budget? I ask you also why did you spend the hours that you have spent in Appropriation Committees preparing budgets for these various agencies of state government to have this laid upon our desk and everything else apparently abandoned? What is the business of the General Assembly? I say to the taxpayers of this state, believe me, are you ever getting ripped off. We have good staffs on both sides of the aisle. I know we have excellent staffs on our side and I'm sure the staff on the other side is just as good. But taxpayers of Illinois, what have you got for your money? Our staffs have worked for months and months. There have been appearances before the Appropriation Committees, there have been analysis to the Members, there has been deep analysis into every budget in the Appropriation Committees and what has been the result of it? Absolutely nothing. For this Amendment is prepared in no way from the hearings before the Appropriation Committees of the House of Representatives. You are being ripped off to the tune of thousands and thousands and thousands of dollars just in the preparation of this. Why, why are we sent down here? I wonder. Are we just merely to fill these seats for six months or two years, but some two or three or four people write up the



appropriations for all of the agencies of the State of Illinois, is that what we're here for? Do you know how many people are employed or are to be employed under these budgets in these various agencies? Are they all needed? How many of you can answer that question? You don't know. How many of these are vacant positions that have been vacant for months and months and years? Do you know? Very few of you in the House of the Representatives know just exactly what is in this budget or how many unfilled positions are being funded in these budgets that come before us in this Amendment. The State of Illinois sends us here to do a job. It appears to me we haven't done it. We came before this House with budgets but they are suddenly ripped to shreds, torn away from the Membership and something is laid on our desk today that consists of some on hundred and... what is it, hundred and two pages laying before us today in a matter of a few hours. And I don't know what is in there. And I'm supposed to tell the people of my district and you are too, that you know what you have been appropriating for. We have people disturbed about taxes in the State of Illinois. Can you go home and tell them that you know what your taxes are going to be spent for when we approve this budget? You can't, most of you. Most of you don't know what's in this budget. And we have not done our job. Someone said that this is a two-day shell game. If this budget passes as is, I can only tell you that it looks to me like it's about a sixty-day shell game beginning back when we started to ask for the Governor's report from some Commission or agency and we started holding up Bills and not hearing Bills because we don't have that report and then we don't get everything heard in all the Appropriations Committees and we listen to the various agencies but we don't really get to a vote on the appropriation because we want to get to this time when there is nothing, nothing from the Members of the Body except for apparently a few Members putting together an Appropriation Bill. I submit to you that that's not what we're here for and I hope that my suspicions are wrong. But do we just simply have something put together here with the Bureau of the Budget? They're not a Member of the General Assembly.





Or with the consent of the Governor? He's not a Member of the General Assembly. Over the consent of four or five Members of the General Assembly who do not represent the fifty-nine districts throughout the State of Illinois and only a few of them in order to put together what a few people would like to have for the State of Illinois. This Assembly belongs to the millions of the people of the State and it's high time that every Member of this General Assembly had a right to know what's in this budget and to have some input into it. They may not agree with it, but they should have the right to make their inquiries and to help make the decisions. And if we can approve this budget, we're not making those decisions, we're not doing our jobs. And I want to go home tomorrow, but I'd stay. I'd stay if we have a chance to put together a budget for the people of the State of Illinois and I urge you to vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman. Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I agree with the last speaker. We have a responsibility to the people of the State of Illinois and I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Representative Peters to close. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative... Representative Dunn's joined by four Members requesting a Roll Call on the last motion, the adoption to the Amendment. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, are we on the adoption of the Amendment or the motion to close? To limit debate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Motion to close is what it is."

Madison: "So we're now voting on the motion... on the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Previous question, that's right. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question



there's 110 'aye' and 23 'no'. Motion carries. Representative Peters to close."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Session in a lot of ways has said a lot of things to a lot of people. Our tempers for a lot of reasons have flared. It is election year and on both sides of the aisle we have attempted to take some advantage for our own partisan positions. From my end, I certainly say that I think that in the time that I have been here I have attempted to involve myself in the process in a proper way. I have not always succeeded in that and I admit to the fact that I may not have always been on the right sides of issues and I also admit to the fact that in the name of getting things accomplished and done, I have participated in some things with some other individuals maybe with loftier principles, so to speak, might not have. But one of the things a Legislature does is to compromise points of view. And it is for individuals on each side of the issues and the key to partisanship in the true belief of whatever that view is to press those views as hard as they can and as strongly as they can on the system to impact the system as hard as they can. But there's another function that this Legislature must also perform. And that's the function of compromise, that's the function of attempting to meet somewhere on center ground, to get government off dead center. As we did on a number of issues here these past few days which in fact have held us up for a long period of time. In this budget there are some areas which I would have liked to expanded and expanded greatly. And did not do so because of what I consider to be the necessary financial constraints in order to have a balanced budget. There are some areas in this budget that I in fact would like to have cut out some more. But all of you who have involved yourself in this process whether it's in the appropriations or in the Committees or on the House floor, know that you cannot always have the best of every world. We have got to settle for what we can. This appropriation measure in my estimation does that. It appropriates fairly to the departments. It is a reduction of some four million dollars. It involved



and has involved the Senate. It has taken the figures which they have agreed to and in a sense has gone and received hearings through half of the process. I respectfully request that in terms of the process and in terms of the stage we are in getting off dead center and moving this Legislature, that this Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1583 receive the approval of the General Assembly."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor of the motion indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Chairman, I don't serve on the Appropriations Committee but I try to inquire of them as to what's going on and where we're at and what the issues are. It's my understanding that... that many of them don't know what's going on and haven't had a chance to study this speech. If I could give the speech... the Bill. If I could give the speech that Representative Tipsword did, I would repeat it. But he said it all. I think the vote for this Amendment is to advocate our responsibility as Legislators."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen. Will you please sit down between the Chair and Representative Pullen? Members please be in your seats."

Pullen: "I agree also to an extent with the distinguished Gentleman from the other side of the aisle. All the work that the Appropriations Committees did this spring was for nothing and why is that, Mr. Speaker? It's because they didn't pass any Bills out. This might not be the best way to go about things, but this is the way the whole appropriations process has been this spring from any side. So if we're going to talk about why the appropriations process was wasted, I think we'd better look more inwardly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I guess I probably should take as much blame as anybody for having an Omnibus Bill. It's really not an Omnibus Bill, it's a minibus."



And you know I think you always proceed and improve the legislative process somehow and I'm going to be... try to be brief, but let me... because I doubt if I'll ever serve as Appropriations Chairman again. And that ought to bring some hands of applause. But let me tell you how the appropriation process ought to work after my two years as Appropriation Committee Chairman. First of all when the agencies make their requests to the Bureau of the Budget, I think that the Legislature ought to be part of that request. They should submit those requests to the Legislature at the same time that they do to the Bureau of the Budget. That way you are in the process. That way you can determine the priorities because you may never find out once the Bureau of the Budget starts putting their two cents worth. So I think number one, that ought to be part of the process. Number two, when the Governor presents his budget, his... delivers his budget message, on that day, on that day the Appropriation Bills should be introduced. We shouldn't wait long for the introductions. Now another thing, let me tell you how the omnibus approach could work. Everybody laughs about the omnibus approach, but the omnibus approach could work if at the beginning of the Session an Omnibus Bill is introduced because then you can amend it and then you know where the bottom line is and you adjust it accordingly in the Amendment process. The way we did it was wrong but we had reasons to do it. And I don't think that the cuts would have, I think, stood if we didn't have these Omnibus Bills. So I think that an Omnibus Bill can work. Now another thing, this will never happen in this General Assembly, I'm sure. But if anybody wants responsibility in appropriations process, I'll tell you how it can work. I remember when this Session started and we weren't going to have substantive Bills and it was said that the reason that so many substantive Bills came out of the Rules Committee was so that the Members had something to do. Well, I'll tell you what would have worked. That if one hundred seventy-seven Members of this House would have been the entire Appropriations Committee, then you'd have had responsibilities. Can you imagine what would have happened to a budget, an agency budget



if you would have had one hundred and seventy-seven Members split up in Subcommittees and then investigating how our monies would be spent. I'll tell you, we'd have trimmed the budget all right. That would have worked. So I just want to tell you from my observation those are the things that will work. Now I'm going to explain why I'm not going to vote for this because... and I do, I do say in the main it makes some significant cuts and I'm for that. But there are two reasons I'm not going to vote for it. One is that the budget as it's going to be... come out is primarily the work of the Senate staff. We've got pretty good staff in the House and I think that the House staff has done as good in making cuts as the Senate staff and they should have looked at their recommendations. And two, as long as that fair contract with the City of Springfield and the state is in it, I can't vote for this. And therefore, I accordingly vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar, to explain his vote. One minute, the timer is on."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Amendment. I think it's a very good Amendment. It has in it provisions for horseradish pest control and I for a long time have had problems with my horseradish. I'm glad to see we have finally recognized that fact and I hope we all support it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there's a new movie out. I don't know if you've, any of you have seen it. But it's a new movie about the General Assembly. It's called 'Grease'. Now, Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that that board is indicative of the fact that this Bill is going to fly out of here. But I want to tell you something. I don't have any problems with an Omnibus Bill, but it irritates me when I find out that the Senate staff has decided that things that the General... that the House of Representatives have done... is no good. This House has adopted some Amendments on other Bills, on other Bills of the same subject matter and this particular Amendment says whatever you did, it's null and void. We're putting the money back in. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker,



that's not fair and that's why I'm not going to vote for this Amendment. The second thing, Mr. Speaker, is that there's an Amendment #30 coming up on this Bill. I wish you would support it like I'm going to support, but I want to tell you as it relates to Amendment #30, the petroleum jelly has already been used."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think we all share some of the concerns that Representative Tipsword talked about. This is absolutely the worst mess I've ever seen with respect to appropriating money to run the State of Illinois. We've got the Arts Council tied into the Department of Mental Health. We've got Law Enforcement on with the Attorney General. Now we've got this mess here. I don't know why Representative Tipsword didn't make this speech six weeks ago. That was the time to make this speech when this thing got all fouled up. The Appropriations Committee of this House has fifteen Democrats and twelve Republicans. These Bills were introduced one department at a time and that's the way to consider them. But what happened? They started this thing, they also run the Senate. How come if things are done wrong in the Senate, who are you going to blame? Don't blame the Republicans. They don't have the majority. Let's put the blame where it belongs and I can tell you I hope I never witness another method of appropriating like we're doing it this year."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, how reassuring albeit ironic that Chairman John should enter a plea of guilty to having reduced the appropriation process to a shambles. But he's not the principal accomplice, he's no worse a culprit in the case than the loud noisy, but inaccurate Representative from Christian. They each sat there six weeks ago when the appropriations process were politicized by a man who is running for Governor. It's time for this Legislature and it's time for the public to pin the tail on the donkey. The one who brought the politics into the matter was the Democratic candidate for Governor. He marched these people up just like little children



in an army and said together we're going to make... we're going to have an Omnibus Bill. It wasn't the Republicans, it was the Democrats who created 3383 and 3385 and the Representative from Christian County shouldn't shout to you about the amount that was wasted by the staff. He needs only look into the mirror, it's a classic case of the fox being the finder and I invite each of you to recognize that reality and I hope that the people throughout the State of Illinois remember the lesson that we've learned here and we must resolve to gather that never again will one group be permitted to play politics at the expense of all the taxpayers. You have no choice in this instance, but to support a rescue operation and that's what's involved here in Amendment 5. Some effort is being made to bring the same solution to a problem that was not committee by this side of the aisle. It was created entirely by the Democratic candidate for Governor and his willing accomplices on that side of the aisle who should have known better and who should have been motivated in a higher sense of duty. Vote 'aye', there is no other choice."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Geo-Karis, one minute to explain your vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm not here to blame either side. Each side has to do its duty as much as it wants to, but I can tell you I was in Appropriations Committee meetings and I sat through and I was appalled because nothing was passed. So whether it's omnibus or not, it's still a lot better than has come out, so let's get on with the Roll Call and let's go on with the business of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is indeed a very sad Bill but I think by one token it is the best Bill that we've ever had. I have sat here in this Body and have voted blindly for unprinted Amendments, amendments that hadn't even hit the desks of the Members. I tell you I voted for unsigned Amendments. I voted for hidden Amendments. And in fact, I have sat here in absolute darkness sometime after midnight when all the sanity



of the world told me the sun was rising. I have sat here and listened to this Body talk about it's still 11:59. I think that we ought to congratulate ourselves. We have done a wonderful job. We are so far ahead of the tragic pace that we have kept, it is really heartening. It reminds me of a story that I once heard and the story was very simply they had two children. One was a pessimist and one was an optimist. And when the two children went to the psychiatrist they said, I want you to match the personalities. And so they took the child who was always the pessimist into a room full of toys and indeed he was cheered a little bit. But that was the optimist. They took the other child who was always happy into a room filled with cow dung. Half an hour later, the psychiatrist returned and found the little boy smiling, happy, digging away in the pile of cow dung. They couldn't understand it, but the little boy who happens to be like me, an eternal optimist, said somehow in all of this dung, there's got to be a pony."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn. John Dunn."

J. Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Like most of the rank and file Members I have not had time to digest this very detailed Amendment and I regret that even though I am a Member of the House Appropriations II Committee, there are many, many agencies in here. But I would just like to point out to you that a green vote up on the board now is a vote for rent at 910 S. Michigan in the City of Chicago in the amount of thirty-one thousand, one hundred and sixty-four dollars. And that's not too bad until you look to another line and you see that rent at 910 S. Michigan in the City of Chicago is four hundred and forty-five thousand, two hundred and seventy dollars and maybe that isn't so bad until you look at one more line, at rent in the City of Chicago at 910 S. Michigan is two hundred and thirty-five thousand, six hundred and forty-four dollars. That isn't bad enough for you, look again at a different line and rent at 910 S. Michigan in the City of Chicago is seventy-two thousand, four hundred and forty-two dollars. If you like all that, vote green. If you don't, vote red."





Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "If you don't like that 910 S. Michigan, I would suspect, I would suggest what you ought to do is vote for John Castle for Comptroller because his opponent signed the lease."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm very mindful of some of things that's been said here and all I can say is that as I said before with regard to many other issues, our words fly up, but our thoughts remain below and words without thought never to heaven go. That's all I have to say."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 105 'aye' and 59 'no' and the motion carries and Amendment 5 is adopted. Any further Amendments? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #5 to House, Senate Bill 1583 was adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I move that motion lie on the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman having voted on the prevailing side moves that the vote by which Amendment #5 to House Bill 1583 was adopted be reconsidered. Representative Ryan moves that that motion lie on the table. The question is on Representative Ryan's motion to table. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #6, Satterthwaite-Wikoff. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended in Section 13.01 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wikoff. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I hope that we can settle down and restore a little bit of sanity and a little bit of reason to the legislative process here. Amendment #6 is one that deals with the surveys, part of the Registration and Education budget. The Amendment if it is adopted will simply bring the surveys back to the amount that was appropriated to them originally for personal services in the Governor's budget."



I realize that in the appropriation process in the Senate it was felt that some of the positions at the surveys that had been unfilled for a certain period of time might not be necessary. However in talking with the survey directors, I find that the reason that those positions were unfilled was instead the fact that for these positions they frequently need highly specialized people whom they cannot readily obtain particular at the salaries they're able to offer because they have not had sufficient funding to upgrade those salary levels to an amount that is really competitive within the systems. And so I would simply ask that we restore these monies so that they can have a more negotiable salary range and perhaps be able to attract the kind of specialized people they need for these particular jobs. And I solicit your 'aye' vote. This Amendment was discussed in Appropriations II, it was accepted in Committee. However, it was in relationship to another Bill that did not get out to the House floor. I'm sorry that those who drafted Amendment #5 did not see fit to include it, but I think it's a very necessary change and I would urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment restores further personal service reductions made by the Senate. In our estimation and in terms of the basic agreements reached with the Senate I would have to indicate to you our opposition to the adoption of this Amendment although I am fully in agreement with the purposes of it. But I will have to vote 'no' and suggest that the General Assembly does the same on Amendment 6."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on... Representative Satterthwaite, do you desire to close?"

Satterthwaite: "I would just solicit your 'aye' vote. I think it's a very necessary Amendment. By adopting this Amendment we can help to undo some of the damage that was created by acting on such a large piece of legislation as Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Lady's motion for the... Representative Wikoff."



Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment as Representative Satterthwaite had mentioned, is a result of not only this year's... couple of errors made this year but... and action by the Senate, but is trying to resolve some problems which have been created with the three surveys throughout or through the action or I should better say inaction of the Department of R and E over the past few years. These three survey systems have been the stepchildren of the Department of R and E for the last several years and as a result, this is some action which is trying to return and resolve some long needed funds to their operation. I would urge and 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment #6. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 50... Representative Collins from left field votes 'no'. On this question there's 52 'aye' and 88 'no'. The motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #7, Satterthwaite-Wikoff. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended in Section 13.1 by deleting the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels, for what purpose do you rise?"

Daniels: "How many Amendments are filed on this Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Thirty-eight as far as I know."

Daniels: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we have thirty-eight total Amendments We're on Amendment #7, I believe, when you changed the board. Is it in order to make a motion to table Amendment #7 through and including 38?"

Speaker Redmond: "The question is they haven't... they haven't yet been offered. I don't see how you can."

Daniels: "You haven't what, I'm sorry?"

Speaker Redmond: "They haven't been offered yet. They haven't been moved."

Daniels: "Well on 8 through... what has to go on? Seven's okay,



Mr. Speaker. But when we get to 8 will you recognize me for the purpose of such a motion? Stearney, sit down. We listened to your... Appellate Defender enough."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor on 7? Representative Satterthwaite, the Lady has an Amendment which she wants to offer. Please give her order. It appears to the Chair that there are quite a few unauthorized persons on the floor. All people not, all persons not entitled to the floor please clear it. Doorkeepers, please enforce that order. All unauthorized persons leave the floor. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment is again for the geological surveys but this time in the retirement system line item. Again as Mr... as Representative Wikoff indicated, the surveys have frequently been treated as a stepchild in the agency in which they've been funded. In many ways, they really are a stepchild because unlike the other employees of the Department of Registration and Education, they are a part of the university's retirement system. They're retirement system funding has frequently been underfunded because of this mixup. During the Governor's TV debates a few weeks he indicated that it was his desire to have all of the participants of the university systems funded at the gross payout level for their retirement systems. The amount of money in Amendment #7 would simply be in line with that desire of the Governor's and I urge your support of the Amendment so that the surveys can have their retirement system funding on the same level as all the other participants of that system."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative McCourt." Will Representative Molloy, Representative Ryan, Representative Geo-Karis, please sit down. Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "She will."

McCourt: "Has this item been referred to the Pension Laws Commission or was there a separate Bill at any time before the Personnel and Pension Committee on this matter?"

Satterthwaite: "This is an Appropriations Bill, Representative.



There is no substantive change by this action. The only difference is that the calculation for these systems has been made on the net payout for this year. The Governor stated in his TV debate a couple of weeks ago that he wanted the university systems to be funded at the gross payout level. This would be just the additional dollars needed to bring it up to that level. The university systems are being funded at that level this year and as I understand it, the teacher's retirement system, the state employees systems are already funded at that same level. There is no substantive language change."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I might be able to add to that a little bit. Yesterday we passed out of here Senate Bill 1535 which did the very same thing to all of the university's retirement systems. I think it was, if I remember my figures right, there were some a hundred and forty-four to four as far as the voting was concerned on it and basically what it did was change the employer contributions from the net payout level to the gross payout level. This is in line with the Governor's projection. This was really an omission earlier in the game which was overlooked and I would urge a favorable vote on this because it would bring them in line with all of the rest."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Questions... Representative Satterthwaite, you want to close?"

Satterthwaite: "I would just urge your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Lady's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished?"

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "In explaining my vote, I find it very difficult to understand why so many Republican Members are not supporting their Governor's position on this matter. He indicated that he wanted that he wanted all of the participants of the university systems to be paid in this manner. If it is going to be the Governor's



position it seems to me to be inconsistent that the Members of his party would be here on the floor of this House voting in opposition to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "We've had two good spokesmen for this piece of... this Amendment to this piece of legislation. No opposition raised publicly, but yet because this particular Amendment didn't have the benefit of being part of a deal it goes down without any discussion, without any reason why it shouldn't be included except that it just wasn't part of a deal that was cut. I think that's bad government and I certainly think that if... unless somebody can tell Representative Satterthwaite and Wikoff why this isn't a good concept and why it shouldn't be amended on, that you ought to vote green."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 59 'aye' and 84 'no'. The motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #8, Keats. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended on page 47 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keats."

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, most of the Members of the House are well aware of what this Amendment is. I've spoken to many of you. I've not asked for a commitment out of anyone, but I've told you, I think everyone's aware in the administration budget they had increased public aid by five percent. This Amendment reduces that five percent. It's not a cut in public aid, it simply holds the expenditures at last year's level. From my taxpayers point of view, this Amendment saves fifty million dollars. Let me say that again. From a taxpayers point of view, this saves fifty million dollars. Some people say, why are you willing to cut public aid? I'll tell you and I think it's important that you understand. Cutting public aid is not a minor point. There has to be a logical reason for it and let me tell you a few of them. Number one, number one, the increase in food stamp bonuses has helped many welfare recipients keep pace with many of the food price increases.



Number two, Illinois' current level of welfare benefits is directly in line with those of the neighboring and other great lakes states. Number three, the high welfare benefits in Illinois relative to other states leads to a migration of poor families to Illinois. It's well known our benefits are some of the highest benefits in America. And our standards for getting on welfare are some of the loosest in America. Number four, the current welfare benefits for a family of four exceed the annual disposable income of a family of four with a working head of household earning eight thousand dollars a year or almost four dollars an hour. Number five, Congressional reports have found that the high benefits in Illinois act as a disincentive to accepting employment and help break up low income families. And six, there are more families receiving welfare benefits in the north central states than there are estimated to be below the poverty level. Let me say that one one more time. There are more families receiving welfare benefits than there are families below the poverty level. So we have a problem that the system is serving far more people than it has any intention to serve or any need to serve. People will say, well what is this huge cut? For a family of four this cut is only a hundred and thirty-six dollars a year. What makes the cut large is that we are talking about the aggregate appropriation, we're not talking about the individual families. The hundred and thirty-six dollars a year cut for a family of four. Some people have also said, constitutionally we can't do this. Well there just was a Supreme Court case the beginning of June of which I've got a copy right here that will inform you that not only can we do it, the Supreme Court considers it perfectly acceptable and ought to be done. Again I remind you this cut in welfare spending is not, I say again, is not a cut from last year. This is simply maintaining the appropriation at the exact same level. Now if there's one Roll Call that the press ought to pick up and print and so far we've had very few Roll Calls they've printed. But if there's one press, one Roll Call the press ought to print, it's this one. And increase in welfare is counterproductive. The higher welfare benefits, the more families we have



moving in from Hammond, from Gary and from St. Louis. The higher the benefits the greater the disincentive not to seek or accept work. If we are maintain a work ethic in America, we must make sure that those who work receive better benefits than those who do not. And as I said before a family of four making eight thousand a year would only be receiving the same as a family of four on welfare who's not doing one ounce of work. So it is necessary that we look at all of these issues, so I ask you if you really care about improving the situation in Illinois you have got to vote 'yes' on this Amendment. It is not a cut in welfare, what it simply does is keep last year's level. I urge you to vote 'yes'. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "The Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Keats: "As many as you'd like."

Mann: "Yes. Are you stating the Governor's position on this five percent cut?"

Keats: "Could you say that again? I missed something there."

Mann: "I said, are you stating the Governor's position on this Amendment?"

Keats: "No, I'm not a spokesman for the Governor in this particular case."

Mann: "Are you a spokesman for the Department of Public Aid?"

Keats: "You could ask Director Quern. To the best of my knowledge I'm not, but if he were smart he'd certainly agree with me."

Mann: "Are you a spokesman for the Republican Party?"

Keats: "I am a spokesman for the taxpayers of Illinois."

Mann: "Well, I was waiting for Rog to surface. That great equalizer, that great populist who earlier in the day was talking about sharing income as the answer to our plight in America, here we have Rog, good ole Rog, let 'em eat cake. Let 'em buy food stamps when they don't have enough money to purchase them at the time they're out for sale, have them live below the poverty line set by the Department of Agriculture, let 'em live in slums and driv-els during the winter without food or money for utilities. Starve





'em, put 'em in hospitals, fatten 'em up and starve 'em again. Good ole Rog, you're getting so low in your seat, Rog, I can't even see you. Rog, the cost of living has arisen for public aid recipients, believe it or not, just like the rest of us. You'd be surprised, Rog, they're people. They have bodily needs, they have clothing needs. They're people just like the rest of us. Now if you want to starve 'em, let's call it the Roger Keats Starvation Bill. But don't come to us and tell us about a five percent reduction after they have not received a cost of living increase in four years when the average cost has gone up twenty-five percent. And if you think that keeping house in a slum and trying to keep together seven or eight children in a family is work, well then I invite you to do it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Pardon me, Representative Mann."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, this Member has repeatedly used the name of another Member in debate. He knows it's forbidden by the rules. You know it's forbidden by the rules, now let's stop it."

Speaker Redmond: "Conclude your remarks, Representative Mann."

Mann: "It's only a sign of affection, Dwight. It's only a sign of affection. Well, Mr. Speaker, let me say this. That if we leave this chamber during this Session, drying out, dripping out, sweating out the last hope for some modest increase for the million people on public assistance in the State of Illinois, this will be a betrayal that we will never live down. I urge a hundred and seventy-six people to join me in voting 'no' on this travesty that the Gentleman from Evanston has proposed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I join with Representative Mann in asking for a 'no' vote on this Amendment. And I would like to renew my invitation to the Gentleman from the 1st District to come and campaign with me in front of the supermarket in Robert Taylor Homes and explain his particular version of the Republican philosophy."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."



Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I have a lot of respect for the Sponsor of this Amendment, but I want to tell the Members of this House that this is one of the most despicable Amendments I've ever seen in my life. Mr. Sponsor, let me tell you something. You come to us talking about you speaking for the benefit of the taxpayers to the tune of fifty million dollars. Well, Mr. Sponsor, you had your chance yesterday when I offered Amendments to the tune of fifty-two million dollars, cuts in the public aid and the medical line item and, Mr. Sponsor, you voted for those Amendments. Where is your benefits for the taxpayers, Mr. Sponsor? You come to us talking about it's not a cut in public aid. It's a retention of the same level as last year. Mr. Sponsor, I offered Amendments to retain the medical line item at the same level as last year and you voted against those Amendments, Mr. Sponsor. You come to us talking about you're a spokesman for the taxpayers. Well the taxpayers heard you loud and clear yesterday, Roger, when you agreed to put together a fifty million dollar slush fund for the Governor. Now if you want a free ride to the Senate, Mr. Speaker... Mr. Sponsor, I'll give you a lift. But don't come here with that horse pookey about your concern for the taxpayers. You know this is greased, I know it's greased. You ought to be decent and take this Amendment out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to correct a statement made a few moments ago. The Sponsor of this Amendment was identified as being from Evanston and I can assure you he is not from Evanston. He does represent the 1st District and I would hope that this Amendment gets soundly defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Corneal Davis."

C. Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm sure you can remember when we were getting ready to go home... when we were getting ready to go home for our Thanksgiving dinners and I stood on this floor and talked about inflation and talked about giving an extra loaf of bread or maybe an extra pair of shoes to some little child. And the voice of the Governor, the Governor heard my voice and the Governor said, 'Don't worry about



it.' He said, 'It'll be in my budget. That extra loaf of bread will be in my budget.' And here now the distinguished Gentleman comes in here and this is the way he wants to save the taxpayers money by taking the extra loaf of bread that he knows, his Excellency the Governor and I stood on the House floor and thanked him for it by taking the loaf of bread from the poor, from the hungry, from the aged, from those who no high paid lobbyist to stand down here and beg for them. Shame on you. Though you speak with the tongue of men and of angels and have not charity, you sound like sounding brass and the tinkling cymbals."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's been no cost of living increase since October of 1974. The consumer price index has increased eighteen percent. Food stamps and medicaid have helped, but this five percent is needed. It was promised by the Governor. It is in the budget and a family of four, it simply raises them from three, seventeen to three, thirty-two. And I rise in opposition against this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Representative Keats to close."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know we've heard a lot of noise today and I have to give people credit, they've said some very emotional things. I admit it. But emotions do not substitute for economics. Logic is far more important than raw emotional appeals. It is easy to say throw this dollar here, throw that dollar there. People like to talk about the unmet needs. Well I can guarantee you the greatest unmet need in America today is for the average taxpayer to get to keep and spend more of his or her own hard earned income. Some points have been raised and I think are significant to remember. Number one, Jesse, if you check the Roll Call, you would



notice I didn't vote against you once. I didn't vote against you once. If you would notice some other things. We talk about the welfare benefits in Illinois, America's benefits are some of the highest in America. We have got a situation in Illinois today where our welfare benefits are higher than a person who is making over four or making four dollars an hour. And that person making four dollars an hour is paying taxes. Somewhere we have got to say to the taxpayer, there is a line which we cannot cross. If we continue to raise welfare benefits, our entire budget is going out of hand. Welfare this year will be somewhere between 2.5 and 3 billion dollars. Some people say, oh we can throw a little more here and a little more there. And I know fifty million isn't much, but when you think about what that means to the taxpayers, that's a lot to them. And I say to you, I say to you, let the people in your district know where you stand. If you can go home with the exception of a few districts in this room and say you voted for an increase in welfare, you are an exception. There are only a couple of districts in this entire House who would support a Legislator if they thought they had gone out of their way to increase welfare, to increase our caseloads to make it more difficult for the productive citizens in society to make their way. I ask you to vote 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #8. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ryan to explain his vote."

Ryan: "No, not me, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 7 'aye' and 142 'no'. The Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #9, Stearney. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table Amendment #9."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment 9."



Speaker Redmond: "...Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #10, Stearney, amends Senate Bill 1583, as amended.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment deals with the Department of Registration and Education. I've aptly termed this Amendment the Perrigo Amendment, because it specifically deals with an individual named 'Perrigo', who works for the Department of Registration and Education. And this Amendment merely deletes 26 thousand dollars from the budget of the Department of Registration and Education. The reason I do this, Ladies and Gentlemen, is in view of the fact that the bureaucracy has gained overwhelmingly control of the Legislature and the people and the citizens of the State of Illinois. I agree with the Ladies and Gentlemen on the other side, especially with their last...with one of their candidates in the last presidential campaign sometime ago, Governor George Wallace, when he termed some of these individuals, 'These pointy headed pseudo intellectuals that cannot even park their bicycles straight.' And what we have here in this individual is that man. I believe he's sitting in the balcony. And he carries that attache case around and if you were to find anything in there besides his lunch, I would buy you your lunch. And for that reason I'm offering this Amendment in the House...in a good spirit...to benefit the Illinois taxpayers, to eliminate one bureaucrat from this budget of R and E that continues to grow and grow and grow with an individual of that ability. And I suggest to you, this is incompetence of the highest sort. Now mind you, Ladies and Gentlemen, I am not moved...I am not asking you to move him to the Island of Angelo's Towhead and the reason I'm not doing so is because it would incur certain moving expenses that I don't believe the people should have to bear. So I'm saying to you, we should just abolish his job altogether, save the taxpayers some money and not move anybody to ...to the Island of Angelo's Towhead this year. I ask for an 'aye' vote on this measure. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the



previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall... Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, we introduce a lot of nobodies around here, could that gentleman, who deserved all of that attention, come up and wave to us? I want to...I ...He hid way in the back. I'd like to find out who could draw all that attention."

Speaker Redmond: "The question ...the question is on the Gentleman's motion...er the Lady's motion to the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Representative Stearney...The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 10. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; in the opinion of the Chair the 'noes' have it and the motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #11, Matijevich. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended in Section 8 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Representative Matijevich. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #11 actually, I guess, I'm real sad about the Amendment because I know what's going to happen about it. And the reason I say I'm sad about it is because I know that the Amendment is going to fail. Jasse Madison was right when he called this greased. But this Amendment refers to the contract between the City of Springfield and the State of Illinois regarding fire protection at the state fair. And the reason I'm sad about it is there's going to be a whole fire department out there that are going to lose their jobs. Some men have been working out there for twelve years. At May of this year this Bill came out of the Senate. There was nothing in regards to that contract between the state and the City of Springfield when that Bill came out of the Senate. Twice in the House Appropriations Committee, the matter of the contract came up. Twice the Members of that Committee voted it down. I have to apologize to those men out there because all of us that heard the comment that it was a



Mickey Mouse Keystone operation. We've heard it out of the mouth of Commissioner Ward of the City of Springfield who made that statement. Then my evidence was that all of these men were trained by Commissioner Ward. And he's making the statement about the men that he trained. And I think that I'll fight for anybody and their job until I can find that they didn't produce. I don't like to send anybody out in the street. I don't know the ages of those men out there. One of the union... fire fighters union people called me off the floor and he said, 'John, those guys don't belong to the union or all but two of them.' And I said to him, 'Well, you know, time is all right but when you're talking about somebody's life and their livelihood and their jobs, I think they deserve a few minutes.' And I said to the fellow from the union, I said, 'You know my voting record is perfect with regards to union activities, but I'll fight for somebody's job whether they belong to a union or not.' I don't like the way this whole matter was negotiated. I think there's some politics behind it. I think there's some city and state politics involved in it and until... not only the matter of fighting for these people's jobs there's a second thing. I asked the State Fair Manager, I said, 'How many major fires have they had in the hundred years of the state fair agency?' And he said, 'Two.' I said if my guess was right, both of those fires were probably... be a matter of arson. He said, 'Yes, you're right.' And I said, 'Well if I were an arsonist, what I would do if we followed through with this contract, I'd call for a fire at the north of town and these firemen would respond to it.' You would leave the state fair then without any protection. I don't think the state gets a good end of the bargain because they would have many, many runs on the north end of town. Our first responsibility is to the state. Our first responsibility is the protection of the state fairgrounds. And I don't think by following through with that contract that we get a good bargain. And I frankly can't see shoving somebody out on the street. I know how difficult it is for people to find a job when they're thrown out in the street and I think it's a bad contract and that's why I offer Amendment #11 to



save those men their jobs and also to meet the state's primary responsibility, not Springfield's."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the... the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Will the Sponsor yield please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Griesheimer: "Representative Matijevich, is it my understanding from reading the newspapers that what this is all about the City of Springfield is demanding money from the State of Illinois in order to offer fire protection for the state fairgrounds?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "They're entering into a contract with the State of Illinois for fire protection. They would have twelve men that would be City of Springfield firemen stationed at the fairgrounds. Now they would also protect outside of the fairgrounds, the City of Springfield."

Griesheimer: "All right, that's what I thought this was. You know one of the things that's amazing to me about this Springfield city is that if the State of Illinois wasn't here, it would be in the middle of about four furrows of corn and about a half a dozen furrows of soy beans. And yet the city continually wants to bleed more and more money out of the State of Illinois to grow larger and larger and to grow more and more homes around the golf course northwest side of town. What I would suggest we do is that if the City of Springfield continues its demands for money for a fire protection of something that is yielding money to them, namely the fairgrounds, that all of you join with me next year when I attempt to move the Illinois State Fair to Joliet. I think it'll be more convenient to those people in Chicago. It'll be just more convenient to me in Waukegan and I think that maybe I can work something out with Representative Leinenweber to get free fire protection from the City of Joliet."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me say first that I agree with Representative Matijevich. I think we need to keep our own state people out there in the fire department on the fairgrounds. But I do think that if other people read the Springfield





newspaper, they would find out that the principals involved in the negotiating for this contract have already decided the contract cannot be agreed upon. So there isn't going to be any contract. So let's go along with John Matijevich and vote for his Amendment. It doesn't make any difference because there isn't going to be any contract anyhow, but I'm with you, John. Keep those guys out there."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Is the main bone of contention here whether or not these people work for the City of Springfield or whether they work for the State of Illinois and whether or not their pensions will be in jeopardy?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Amendment also. I have talked with some of..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, I'm sorry, Joe. I thought you were completed, Mr. Van Duyne."

Lucco: "I'm sorry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Van Duyne please."

Van Duyne: "I'm sorry, too. But really I'm asking the question of which I think is more pertinent to the people who are involved here than all the rhetoric we've heard so far. Are they going to be attached to the state? Is their pensions to the City of Springfield going to be intact or are we disenfranchising their pensions by joining them with the state? And that's the question and I don't know who should answer it. Probably the Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well these were some of the issues that the Mayor Telford who some of us saw here today when he presented our good friend Deacon Davis with a key to the city. Mayor Telford is opposed to the contract, another Commissioner came to the Committee opposed to that contract because... the finance Commissioner who as you know is interested in finances, and both of them feel that the third year of the contract will cost three hundred



thousand dollars. This is the matter of the spiralling pension costs, that's right. But the big issue as far as I'm concerned is the fact that city firemen when we now have firemen, state firemen right there, they're first obligation is to make all the little city runs and at that time leave the fairgrounds and we won't have fire protection. As I said, who's going to start a fire at the fairgrounds? An arsonist. That's who's going to start a fire. We've got men there that are there all the time, just being there is protection. I'll tell you that. Anybody'll tell you the best protection, police protection you can have is policemen being there making rounds, that's the best protection you can have. Policemen are good firemen too because they're there. They find some arsonists. I'll tell you, I'd hate to see nobody out there at the fairgrounds and they had testimony in Committee on the estimated number of runs on the north end of town and there's a lot of runs out there. So I think it's a bad contract."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco. Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Van Dwyne."

Van Dwyne: "Really that does not answer the question. All he did was just talk and talk and talk and really talked around the whole subject of whether it was a good contract or a bad contract. I am not even alleging that it's a good contract. I am just asking him whether or not these people are going to from now on, if this is adopted, be under the contract of the State of Illinois or whether they are going to be under the contract as to the employees under the fire division of the City of Springfield. Now listen, you all know, every one of you people in this room know if you'll bother to even pay a little bit of attention that we do have fifteen out contracts, twenty-five years and fifteen outs, twenty-five, thirty years and fifty-five and all I'm doing is asking Mr. Matijevich to just give an honest 'yes' or 'no' whether they will be... their contract as it now stands with the City of Springfield will be abridged and they will be gypped out of what they've got so far."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I thought that I answered that, Representative Van Dyne."

But this is it. The contract will be yearly negotiated. They are going to be city's firemen, but the city is going to yearly negotiate with the state and the state's going to... and the Bill will... let me tell you the Bill will spiral every year."

Van Dyne: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Will the Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close?"

Van Dyne: "Yes, I will. And I would ask everyone to please oppose our candid abbreviated speaker from the State of Illinois and ask Mr. Hanahan the champion of labor to please stand up."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco. Mr. Lucco please."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Amendment.

I have talked with several of the individuals involved out there. I've seen the conditions of the facilities. I think the State of Illinois has entirely too much money invested in the facilities there to not have it properly protected against the fire hazards that do exist and as Representative Matijevich has said, these people now do have a pension fund on the state variety and if we don't renew this contract or don't appropriate this, they will be literally out of their jobs and they will be terminated. Now I think this could be renewed and this will be worked out on the yearly basis. I certainly solicit your support for this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Christensen."

Christensen: "Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. The previous question's been moved. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, to close."

Matijevich: "I appreciate an 'aye' vote. And only in closing, one more thing. I think a dastardly thing was done. The Department of Personnel sent these people their walking papers. Do you know that? They anticipated a contract. I called Director Boys up



and I said, 'How can you do that? How can you anticipate?' And he said, Well I don't know, I guess they were, they thought by the end of June that this contract was going to be negotiated and go through. I said you can't do that, you can't take away somebody's job. And I think that the Members of the General Assembly will join me in saying they can't take their jobs away. I appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #11 be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think we're missing the point on this Amendment, Mr. Speaker. The point is providing fire protection for the state fair properties and we have a Mickey Mouse fire department out there. We may have good firemen, but they don't have any equipment and if we're going to provide the equipment that they need, we're going to have to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars. This Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in support of this Amendment. I think it's a travesty that any men that are... that are dedicated employees are going to be terminated for whatever reason, political or otherwise, and these men are going to be losing their job according to your vote. An 'aye' vote is the only proper, humane vote that you could possibly give in this case. Spend some time out there, listen to the men, what they've dedicated their lives to and a 'no' vote means that you just don't give a damn about those men and their families and their futures. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 65 'ayes', 85 'nays' and the Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, Leverenz. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended by inserting..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz.



Amendment #12."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I... with the loss of Amendment #11, perhaps another one should be prepared. I am ready to withdraw Amendment #12 but it did include the money to replace or repair the automatic fire alarm system at the state fair which has not functioned since 1972. Whether we have our own fire department or the City of Springfield fire department, they'll never know anything happened out there until they see the flame and I withdraw Amendment #12."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #12. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, Stearney. Amends Senate Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney. Stearney. The Gentleman's not on the floor, take the... Oh, I'm sorry. Called you. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to table Amendment #13."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #13. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 14, Stearney. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment deals with the Department of Registration and Education. It's an Amendment that would cut a hundred and thirteen thousand, four hundred dollars from the Department's budget. And the reason I bring this to your attention is that the blue ribbon commission that the Director Joan Anderson herself appointed returned this very report this spring in which she mentioned, in which this commission recommended that this Legislature no longer regulate and license certain occupations. Now I'd like to also bring to your attention that Illinois has more licensed occupations than any other state in the Union. We license and regulate a hundred and eighty-one of them according to the U.S. Department of Labor studies. Now mind you the Registration Department Division asks for an increase of four hundred thousand dollars this year. The Senate cut ninety-nine thousand, thus the Department



of Registration and Education will get an increase of three hundred thousand dollars just for its Registration Division alone. Now there is in this budget ninety-four thousand, eight hundred for the creation of nine new positions. Now I say to you there is no reason that we should be regulating certain occupations because we all agree that for us to regulate certain occupations there should be the... we should be able to prove that if we fail to do so this would specifically endanger the public health, the safety or our welfare. Another criteria that this Commission proposed is does this regulation directly or indirectly increase the cost of any goods and services to the people of the State of Illinois. A further criteria is whether the regulatory process is designed solely for the purpose of protecting the public. And a further regulation is, further proposal criteria is would this regulation significantly reduce competition in the field? And if so, is this reduction more harmful to the public than the harm which might result from the absence of regulation? Now I say to you, everyone is in accord and this here very same blue ribbon Commission was...was in accord that we should not regulate certain occupations. Namely, sanitarians. There's some six thousand of them. No one... and it's been proven that there's no real reason for doing so. Certified... strike that. Certified shorthand reporters which they feel the courts should do that, decide who is competent. And one other thing is what they consider the rainmakers that we regulate here. And it's proven by this Commission, by the Commission appointed by Joan Anderson herself as Director of Registration, that we should not be regulating these very same occupations. Now what I'm asking you to do is this. If we believe that there should be tax relief which everyone has talked about for the past few weeks, then we should be cutting the budgets of some of these departments of government. And what strikes me as asinine is that the Director is asking for more money and not implementing the Commission report which she herself appointed. This is beyond my ken. Now I started out originally as the Sponsor of Registration and Education and this has been my thinking. I think we should adopt this Amendment



I propose because we should beginning to pare the budgets of some of these departments of government. Now I'm asking you to do this with a very fine scalpel. I'm not asking you to axe her and cut out a half million..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close."

Stearney: "...thirteen thousand dollars and I'm saying that we should do this on the basis of a Commission report which she herself commissioned. If that be the case, we should be adopting her own proposals, but nevertheless, she failed to show up in Committee in the Appropriations Committee. She had failed to recommend this report, but I'm saying that we as the guardians of the public trust and the public money should implement this report and cut this budget a mere hundred and thirteen thousand dollars since she is herself getting an additional three hundred thousand dollars from last year. And she has nothing to regulate or license. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor of this Amendment yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Stearney: "Yes, he will."

McMaster: "I gather, Mr. Stearney, that you are an attorney?"

Stearney: "Yes."

McMaster: "Are you licensed and regulated in the State of Illinois?"

Stearney: "Yes, but not by the Department of Registration and Education."

McMaster: "That is a little bit my concern, Mr. Stearney. I don't know where Arty Telcser went, I gather he's the Sponsor of this Bill. I wonder if he would hold it long enough for me to prepare an Amendment to turn over the licensing and regulating of attorneys such as Mr. Stearney to the Department of Registration and Education. Would you agree to that Amendment, Mr. Stearney?"

Stearney: "Well we are licensed by the Court Commission, but I'd like to ask you a question, Mr. McMaster. You're a farmer, aren't you? Are farmers licensed by the State of Illinois? Because you have an occupation as a farmer, should we license you? Should we



license tool and dye makers, machinists, and factory workers and dock workers and truck drivers? Is that the case? We should license every occupation in the entire country? Is that it? Is that what you recommend, Sir?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Telcser's going to give you one of those pills in a minute. The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Previous question's been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney, to close."

Stearney: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, the argument is clear that there's no need to regulate farmers, tool and dye workers, machinists, truck drivers and all other occupations. Only when the danger is clear to the public health, welfare and trust should we do so. And I needn't remind you, we are regulating and licensing horseshoers and tree trimmers and you know how they regulate tree trimmers, tree experts? How deep they go into the subject? We not only license a tree expert but a registered tree expert and a tree expert corporation and a tree expert branch office and a tree expert partnership. Can you tell me if there's rhyme or reason or sanity to what we are doing in this state? Vote 'yes' on this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #14 be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to explain his vote. The timer's on."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, not really to explain my vote. I would just like to know what kind of pill that is you're passing around on the other side of the aisle."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I don't have the slightest idea. Maybe a couple Excedrin would do. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Leinenweber: "No, just to tell you what kind of pill that is. It's myaglyacin."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who





wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 61 'ayes', 78 'nays', the Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #15, Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended by deleting Section 9.05 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner. For what purpose the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne, seek recognition?"

Van Duyne: "Mr. Speaker, I just... I can't see that far. I just want to know how Mr. Matijevich after the last Roll Call, I want to vote against what he's voting. How's he voting?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "On this Amendment?"

Van Duyne: "Yes, yes, yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman is recorded as 'no'."

Van Duyne: "Thank you. Record me as 'aye', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Record Mr. Van Duyne as 'aye'. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, on the way to the Governor's desk is House Bill 2707 sponsored by Representative Kornowicz. This is a Bill which provides for rebates to senior citizens of any part of their real estate tax bill that exceeds four percent of their income. In order to pay for this, we will need an extra fifteen million dollars in the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act Appropriation Bill and that is what this Amendment does. It adds fifteen million dollars, it brings it to a total of a hundred and twenty million dollars."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #15 be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'."

Skinner: "Speaker, if I could explain my vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I cannot understand how anybody could vote against this if they voted for 2707. Promising senior citizens that they're going to get property tax relief by voting for Representative Kornowicz' Bill and then refusing to vote for the extra fifteen



million dollars it's going to take to pay for senior citizen tax relief that will be supplied under House Bill 2707 will make it easier for the Governor to veto the Bill and make it harder for us to override the veto if he decides to do so."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Can always come in for a supplemental."

Skinner: "Of course we can always come in for a supplemental which I will be happy to sponsor if indeed you think more headlines are deserved in that direction."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 52 'ayes', 84 'nays', the Amendment's not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 16, Madison."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #16 to Senate Bill 1583 is identical to Amendment #12 to Senate Bill 1456. That Amendment was adopted by the House yesterday. It made a reduction of thirteen million, two hundred seventy-five thousand dollars from the AFTC line item in the Department of Public Aid for the four thousand cases overestimated by the Department of Public Aid and I move for the adoption of Amendment #16."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #16 be adopted. All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 34 'ayes', 82 'nays', the Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 17, Madison. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Madison. What purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian, seek recognition?"

Mugalian: "A parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your point?"

Mugalian: "Since Amendment #5 was adopted and I think maybe one or two Amendments after that, every Amendment that has been proposed has been defeated by the coalition that passed Amendment #5. We know that a deal's been cut and we know that no other



Amendments are going to pass and I'm a realist. Who's kidding who? Why don't we just end this charade and table and mercifully kill all these Amendments because no one is listening, no one is... a lot of people are voting against motions that they would normally vote for. A lot of meritorious Amendments have been proposed which if we had free will would be adopted, but the message has been sent out and we're told to kill all these Bills and why continue the tortue any further? That's my inquiry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, on Amendment #17."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to skip down to Amendment #21."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are you withdrawing 17, Sir?"

Madison: "No, I'm not. 17, 18, 19 and 20 are my Amendments, Mr. Speaker. If I have leave to skip down to 21 it may save the time of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Madison discussed this and has suggested that may be a way of attempting to help with the process here that we take a vote on 21 and on the basis of the outcome of 21, he may decide to table the other Amendments. Now that's not a firm commitment, but he said he may do it and I think we ought to consider his request. If we could get by with 21, we can table the others. Representative Madison, am I correct in what I have said?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objections? 21."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #21, Madison."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's at the request of the Sponsor."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended on page 47, line 35 of House Amendment #5 by deleting a hundred and thirty-seven million, six hundred thousand and inserting in lieu thereof a hundred and twenty-seven million, a hundred and twenty-seven thousand."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I requested of the House that we skip down to Amendment #21 because of the Amendments that I filed, this



represented... this represents the largest single cut in the medical line item. It cuts the physician line item by four, by ten million, four hundred seventy-three thousand dollars. Mr. Speaker, I made that request because as all of you know on yesterday when I presented the Amendment to 1456 that all along I was saying that throughout these medical line items there was a build-up of some fifty million dollars that I termed as a build-up of the Governor's slush fund in the Public Aid Department. I asked for this Roll Call on 21 because I want to establish once and for all the will of this House on the matter of reductions in the medical line item. Before I ask for a vote, Mr. Speaker, I would just like the House to know that in my inquiries about where the fifty million dollars is going, I would like the House to know that I just found out where it's going. I have in my hand, Mr. Speaker, a news release from the Office of the Governor dated June 28 and I would like to read the first line. 'Governor James R. Thompson announced Wednesday that shelter care facilities will receive a twenty-six dollar increase per month payment for Illinois public aid recipients.' Mr. Speaker, this is where the fifty million dollars is going. If that's the will of the House, so be it. Between now and November, every medical care provider in this state whether he receives an increase or negotiated an increase recently or not is going to receive additional increases and payments for public aid recipients and you're going to see those increases from now until November and the Governor is going to use that fifty million dollars to purchase his way back into the Governor's mansion. If that is the will of the House to go along with that, then I wish that that would be reflected in the vote on Amendment #21 and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #21. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, the medical line item represents the fattest giveaway we've had in this country since they initiated foreign aid. I say to you that we have at the bottom of the totem pole some seven hundred thousand people who



receive approximately one thousand dollars apiece because they are recipients in some form, of some form of public aid. On the other hand, the medical line item for physicians grants eight thousand dollars to every physician who participates in this program and I say to you that's only those who participate in the program. I don't have to go into the figures to show you how the greatest single ripoff in the welfare budget is the providers. That's where you have the thieves, that's where you have the scoundrels and that is where the corruption lies. You have provider after provider ripping off at the expense of the poor. This Amendment not only allows them an increase, but what they seek to do is to go beyond that figure and have additional funds for cases they never intend to treat, never intend to take care of and all at the expense of the people who are at the bottom of the scale. When I arrived in this Assembly, the medicare line item in public aid was less than five percent. Today it's in excess of fifty-three percent. And I dare say when we calculate this figure, we'll find it perhaps has risen to maybe as high as fifty-seven. I say to you the real cheats, the real scoundrels in the public aid system are the providers who are ripping off the State of Illinois. And if you haven't got the courage to stand up and take out a minimal amount of fat, then go home and hang your head in shame and tell your voters that you are irresponsible, you do not seek responsible government by appropriating responsible amounts of money. If you are sincere, you will cut this item. But you are not because we are as a group on the payrolls of those fat lobbyists and we do it in the name of 'my family physician'. Your family physician doesn't treat medicaid patients. So let's face the facts. Ignore the system if you will, but look at this Amendment and know you're granting the greatest group of cheaters in this country the greatest windfall in the history of the world. And I would vote 'aye' on this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, may I have a little order, Sir?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, Sir."

Mann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, the comments of the past two speakers have been extremely to the point and true. Over a billion dollars of the over two billion dollars that is appropriated for the public aid budget in Illinois goes to the medical providers. Another third goes to the bureaucracy. The last half goes to the people in the form of grants. And who are these medical providers that are ripping off the taxpayers in Illinois through the medicaid system? Well they've got their mass production gin mill neighborhood clinics all over the City of Chicago, all over the City of East St. Louis, all over every city in the State of Illinois. Their mandate is not only to rip off the state with poor medical treatment, but to fill the hospitals who are crying that their beds at two hundred and ten dollars a day be filled so that they than continue their activities. Now if you're looking to cut money, if you're looking to cut fat, if you're looking to take money from ripoff artists that really ought to be going to the people, here's your opportunity with this particular Amendment. The statistics are very clear. I challenge you in the fall when you're asked by your constituents why you permitted over a billion dollars to come into the hands of the money-grubber providers in the State of Illinois, medical providers, I challenge you to tell them you did it because they're rendering quality care. They are rendering no care. No care at all. And the best thing we can do for them is to wipe them out, start anew with a prepaid medical system that makes sense, that will conserve the dollars and cents of our public aid budget. But in the meantime, here's your chance to cut fifty million dollars out and to do it right now."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Previous question's been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to close."



Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I will not restate the merits of this Amendment. The merits are obvious. But I would like to speak particularly to the Democrats on this side of the aisle. The proof of the pudding is when you eat it, Ladies and Gentlemen. The Governor is about to embark on a program in the next six months to purchase his way back into the Governor's mansion to the tune of fifty million dollars. Now I know what the Republicans are going to do. I suspect if I were on the Republican side of the aisle, I'd do the same thing. I want to know what the Democrats are going to do on this particular issue. You will reflect that on your vote. Perhaps before we call the Roll Call on this, Mr. Speaker, since Mike Bakalis has been in the Capitol all day maybe we ought to get him out here so he can see this Roll Call and he can see us give this Governor's mansion back to James Thompson on a fifty million dollar sleigh ride. And I move the adoption of Amendment #21."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #21 be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Yes, Sir. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to explain his vote. 22 to 81."

Dauster: "Well it seems to be a habit around here and the Sponsor of this Amendment has perpetrated it further that when you can't talk about the merits of an Amendment why you try and inject partisan politics. The truth is that this Amendment would hurt poor people because many poor people and we have them in Lake County come to me and the reason that pharmacists and doctors and others won't serve them is because they lose their shirts on reimbursements. Either they aren't paid for a year or they aren't paid enough. And if you really want to help poor people, you'll vote red as most of you are doing and defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 37 'ayes', 112 'nays' and the Amendment's defeated. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, if we can back up I would like to have leave



of the House to withdraw Amendment #17."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave..."

Madison: "I'm sorry, 17, 18, 19 and 20."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendments 17, 18, 19 and 20. Hearing no objections, the Amendments are withdrawn."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave of the House to withdraw Amendments #22 and 23."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendments 22 and 23. Hearing no objections, the Amendments are withdrawn. Amendment #24."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 24, Madison."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, the only reason I didn't withdraw Amendment #24 was at the request of some of the Members of this House. Amendment #24 is a reduction in the hospital in-patient line items to the tune of six million, one hundred sixty-eight thousand dollars. It's the same story, Mr. Speaker. The Department of Public Aid has negotiated rate increases for the hospitals as well as... as well as the physicians and other medical providers. The provision for that rate increase has been made in the Governor's budget. In addition, in the hospital line item, in addition to the provision of money for the rate increase, there is an additional six million dollars in that budget, Mr. Speaker, that is not needed to effectuate the rate increase that has been negotiated. Perhaps it might be needed to negotiate the rate increase between now and November, but it's not needed for the one that's all... we've already been asked to approve. And for that reason, I would move for adoption of Amendment #24."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this Amendment. The Director of the Department of Public Aid, Representative Tipsword on the other side, Senator Berman in the Senate, the Governor, all of them have assured us that the new rate control mechanism for hospitals that this House and that the Senate have adopted





is going to cut the rate of inflation for hospitals. If that's true, this is the time to prove it. Let's start proving we're going to save taxpayers and consumer's money. Let's cut this money out of the budget just as they would urge us to do if they were thinking about this issue in isolation. Let's prove that they're right, that hospital rate review will save money. I urge an 'aye' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to close."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I just ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #24 be adopted?"

All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 57 'ayes', 78 'nays', the Amendment's not adopted. Any further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #25, Madison."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to withdraw Amendments #25 and 26."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendments 25 and 26. Hearing no objection, the Amendments are withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 27, Madison."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #27 with the failure of Amendment #16, Amendment #27 is not now in proper form and I ask leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #27."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #27. Hearing no objections, the Amendment's withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #28, Madison."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I guess this is the one you've been waiting for. This Amendment increases the line item for aid to the aged, blind, disabled, for aid to families with dependent children and for aid under the general assistance program. This Amendment, Mr. Speaker, would increase the public aid budget by forty-nine million, seven hundred thousand dollars. One-half of which would be paid by the Federal



Government. This is the so-called infamous ten percent Amendment, Mr. Speaker. In fact..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Jesse. Whoever throwing water from the Speaker's gallery up there on the Clerk in front, I know we're kind of warm, but kind of stop it as well. Please continue."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, this might be maybe, probably called, be known as the five on five Amendment in that it seeks to add an additional five percent to the Governor's five percent cost of living increase for welfare recipients. Mr. Speaker, I think that this issue has probably been argued more than anything else. I won't even speak to the need. I think the need is self-evident. In terms of the increase in the cost of living and the fact that the recipients have not received any increase since 1974, the need for five percent is there, the need for ten percent is there. We could make a case for need for twenty percent increase but we're not trying to do that. Let me talk about where the money's to come from. It was an attempt on my part when I first agreed with the coalition that asked me to sponsor a ten percent cost of living increase Bill that I would sponsor that Bill on the basis that I could determine if there was money in the budget to provide for that without increasing the bottom line. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was able to do that. It was the wisdom of this House that those reductions not be made. I only offer this Amendment, Mr. Speaker, because even though those reductions were not made, unless the Governor negotiates his additional rates and spends his fifty million dollars in the next five months, fifty million dollars is going to lapse in the medical line time. There is no reason why the Department of Public aid cannot come in at that time and ask for a transfer of these funds from the medical line items to the grant line items to cover the cost of an additional five percent increase for the public aid recipients. For that reason, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, I ask for your wisdom as to whether or not you feel the welfare recipients of this state are entitled to a ten percent increase. Let me put it



correctly, a two and a half percent per year increase for four years. A two and a half percent per year increase for four years. If you feel they're entitled to it, I ask for your 'aye' vote on Amendment #28."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Friedrich: "Would you know...."

Madison: "Speaker, I can't hear him."

Friedrich: "I'm asking, do you know what the rates in Illinois are that are paid to public aid recipients as compared, for example, to the State of Missouri?"

Madison: "No, I don't."

Friedrich: "Do you know what the rates are in Illinois as compared to what they pay in Indiana?"

Madison: "Why don't you tell us, Dwight?"

Friedrich: "Well, I can tell you that Illinois is already the highest paid state in this area and we're getting a five percent increase through Representative Campbell's Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to close."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it is not mine to debate whether or not Illinois is higher or lower. It's mine to only look at the twenty-five percent of my district who are on public aid and see them 'squallow' (sic) in economic decadence and ask for a little help and we come back to the answer that there are too many of you that are fraudulently on public aid. We hear the papers scream about the fraudulent... the fraud in the medical providers line item and yet we say we won't do anything about that. I'm asking you now to put the department in a position that when the fifty million dollars lapse in the medical line item, they would have to come to this General Assembly and ask for a transfer of that money to the grant line items thereby not increasing the bottom line of the Department of Public Aid's budget for fiscal year '79. I ask for your 'aye' vote and I ask for it sincerely."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #28 be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted



who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 52 'ayes', 87 'nays'; the Amendment's defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #29, E.M. Barnes. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended on page 47 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes. Was that Gene Barnes, Mr. Clerk? We'll withdraw the Amendment and if he's back on the floor, we'll get back to it. Amendment #30."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #30, Stearney. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended in Section 18.04 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Petroleum Jelly Stearney."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't have the Amendment. Would the Clerk read it please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The cost is way out of line."

Stearney: "Clerk, read it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "By adding immediately below the last line the following: for a hundred and seventy-seven pounds of petroleum jelly to alleviate the pain and provide immediate relief for this piece of legislation - one hundred thousand dollars."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House... "

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is..."

Stearney: "Wait, wait. In the interest of saving the taxpayers some money, I think it would be fitting that you provide your own vaseline in this matter. Accordingly I move to table this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. There's objection."

Stearney: "Wait, one moment. I bow and I acquiesce to the Members of the General Assembly and I ask for an 'aye' vote on this matter."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall this Amendment be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "You'll tell the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney, that if



he'd stop by one of the Ryan pharmacies we'll accommodate him."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Which way? On this question there are 25 'ayes', 131 'nays' and the Amendment is defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 31, J.M. Houlihan. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry. For what purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Caldwell, arise?"

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, I pushed the wrong button on that last..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Which way do you want to be recorded?"

Caldwell: "I'd like to be recorded 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hearing no objections the Gentleman will be recorded as 'no'. Who is offering it? Yes, Ma'am. No, not you too, Genie. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman, requests the same, to be recorded as 'no' and the Lady will be so recorded. And Mr. Houlihan, Jim Houlihan. Where's he at? I don't know what he wants. Is that who's offering the Amendment? The Amendment's withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 32, J.M. Houlihan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman's not on the floor, withdraw the Amendments. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 33, John Dunn. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

J. Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a very modest Amendment. This is a seven million dollar reduction in the community coalition Amendment. This is an Amendment that would provide an additional one million dollars in grants to the community based program for the mentally ill. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #33 be adopted? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners, on the question."

Kempiners: "Well it maybe a seven million reduction but it's a one million dollar increase and I would suggest that we do what we've done to every other Amendment he's had that would do the same thing and that is vote 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall this Amendment be adopted?"



All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 49 'ayes', 85 'nays', the Amendment's not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #34, Van Duynes. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended by deleting Section 11.03."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duynes. The Gentleman leaves... asks leave to withdraw the Amendment. Hearing no objection, the Amendment's withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #35, McClain. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #35 places in the Bill, House Bill or Senate Bill 1583 the Department of Children and Family Services. Of all the Departments who we have had in this General Assembly this year, the one Department that we should have taken much look at was the Department of Children and Family Services. The one appropriation that we took absolutely no look at was the Department of Children and Family Services. Last year they had eighteen area administrative offices. This year effective July 1, they will have eight regional offices. What this Amendment does is to provide for the entire sum of money that the Department of Children and Family Services asks for - one hundred and twenty million, six hundred and twelve thousand, nine hundred dollars. What we have done in this Amendment is we have regionalized the budget, we have kept in this budget many of... all the requests, in fact, all the requests the Department initially made. Within the new offices, several functions maintained their budgetary autonomy. These units are electronic data processing, internal audit, guardianship assistance and monitoring and day care assistance. Every time at every level we continually kept what the Department requested. In the entire function of the Department of Children and Family Services, both... both appropriations staffs were eager to discuss regionalization and whether or not it was good for the kids and family services - an addition of



downstate child abuse investigators which as you know the Governor came out for child abuse investigators but did not say whether or not they were Chicago or downstate; funding level and vocation for the Illinois status offenders service; appropriation of local effort day care funds; addition of day care funds pursuant to a hundred percent federal FIDCR funding; funding the heating plant at the Illinois Soldiers and Sailors Childrens School; reassessment of the closure of the Southern Illinois Childrens Service Center; reestablishment of the institution evaluation unit which the Department had deleted. Every time that we had an issue, the Department of Children and Family Services was never discussed. The purpose of placing this on this Bill is at least it opens up the box so that we can talk about the Department of Children and Family Services. At every level, this is one Department that the Membership should have paid some attention to and in every level we have not been able to discuss it. Penny Pullen tried day after day for the Department to be heard and never could Penny Pullen be heard. At every time she'd try, we never got to it. The issues for the Department are great. In children, child care, family services, homemaker services, at every level and never could we address those issues. I ask you to adopt this Amendment so that at least we can open up and start discussing Department of Children and Family Services."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, certainly Representative McClain is sincere in his intentions here. We're going to present to you in House Bill 2970 the appropriation for the Department of Children and Family Services and we feel that that contains a very fine presentation as far as the budgetary items set forth therein. To acquire the kind of accountability that Mr. McClain has distinguished himself in this House is of course a commendable goal and we very much want to accomplish this and we're going to work towards this goal in the future since we've had a reduction from eighteen to



eight. We're presenting an alternative here that we operate under the current format under House Bill 2970 during FY79 and FY80, I'm sure that we'll be able to answer any of the questions that the Gentleman has. So what we're suggesting to you right now is to concur in our presentation of the Bill, House Bill 2970, that'll follow later on and to turn down this Amendment because we feel that we will be able to present to you a very fine budget that has been worked with at length. As Representative McClain stated, Representative Pullen has spent a great deal of time and I believe that she also is against Amendment #35 so I would urge you to vote against this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I join with my colleague from DuPage in asking that the Members of the House do not accept Amendment 35 which would put into this... this Bill the appropriation for the Department of Children and Family Services. Representative Daniels does have that Bill and that Bill did address a number of issues that I know a lot of you are interested in. It presently includes the funds for the Illinois status offenders program, fully funded at a million two hundred thousand dollars as in Representative Daniels proposal that you'll hear. It has included also seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars for homemakers which I know a lot of you were interested in and a lot of calls were made and a lot of people talked about. We've increased the funding for child abuse. I sincerely ask because this again is one of the agencies that as you may know I have a deep interest in, that I feel that the budget as presented in the Bill held here by Representative Daniels is a good Bill for us and I think that you will be extremely happy when you hear his explanation in regard to the individual line items."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain, to close."

McClain: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what Mr. Daniels and Mr. Peters both failed to tell you is, yes, they did add on to House Bill 297 money for child abuse investigators, child abuse workers. They did not





include any child abuse investigators for downstate. Only Cook, only Cook, not downstate. Yes, that is true that they have worked on day care funds, but they have not appropriated enough for local effort day care funds. They have not appropriated any money for the heating plant at the Illinois Soldiers and Sailors Childrens School in Bloomington. They are closing the Southern Illinois Childrens Service Center in southern Illinois. The next closest facility for those kind of emotionally, severely angry kids is like in Illinois Soldiers and Sailors School in Bloomington. It is true that they have done away with the evaluation unit for out of state placements and for evaluation units for institutions in the State of Illinois. There's no evaluation units now in those institutions. Continually time after time Children and Family Services is not addressing the issues. No child abuse investigators downstate, time after time those things are not addressed in 2970. They ought to be addressed and I ask you to adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #35 be adopted?

All in favor signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 51 'yes', 96 'nay's, the Amendment's not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #36, Anderson-Kempiners. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended by Amendment 5 and inserting immediately after Section 18.04 the following."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you know all the Amendments have been going down and I would be willing to go along with Amendment 37 and take the same vote on that without even explaining the Amendment if it's all right with the Sponsor of Amendment 37."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're on 36."

Anderson: "All right. Amendment 36 adds a million dollars to the Department of Mental Health and Development Disabilities for grants for community based programs for the alcoholic community service program. I have tried to put this on House Bill 3385.



That evaporated. I don't know where that is. Same way with Senate Bill 1456, it was Amendment 52 on there. That evaporated. Now it's here and I'll be putting it on any other Bill that I can find. You know alcoholism is a one line item that was cut this year in the Department's budget. It was cut a whole percent. Now the liquor industry, you know, a thirty-four percent increase to regulate but nothing to take care of the product coming out the other end. We only have, you know, two schools in the State of Illinois that have alcoholic counselling programs - SIU and Governor's State. There's a dire need. One out of ten people in the state may have no problems with this. It's something that we should be putting more of an effort into than we are. And this one million dollars would help restore to the budget some of the grants and go to local communities to help the alcoholic and the potential alcoholic. Appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall Amendment #36 be adopted?"

All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes. To explain his vote, Mr. Barnes."

E.M. Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, I do not wish to explain my vote, but I did... would have liked to spoke on the issue."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Sorry, Sir. I had the Calendar over there, I didn't see your light. Have all voted who wished? Yes, Sir. Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Kempiners: "Well you must have two Calendars, Mr. Speaker, cause I had my light on, too. I'd just like to say that every other type of category - in mental illness, developmental disabilities - received significant increases this year and have been for the past seven years where the alcoholism category received a decrease. Now this one million may be too much, but I would suggest that we put this on and then have the Governor reduce it but at least there is an increase because there are more and more people going out in the community without any dollars following and in fact fewer dollars. Now that decrease is in both operations and in grants and I think that Representative Anderson is very dedicated in this area and that we ought to support this measure."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Now this isn't a partisan issue, it's for the whole State of Illinois. There's no pork in it for me. I would appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster. Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "No, I don't want to explain my vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 89 'ayes', 68 'nays' and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #37, Taylor. Amends Senate Bill 1583 as amended by inserting immediately after Section 5.11 the following."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #37 to Senate Bill 1583 appropriates sixty-two thousand, one hundred and ninety dollars to the Court of Claims to pay for services performed in connection with relocating of public aid recipients."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor. You shut him off."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #37 to Senate Bill 1583 appropriates sixty-two thousand, one hundred and ninety dollars to the Court of Claims to pay for services performed in connection with relocating of public aid recipients. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I solicit your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #37 be adopted. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 96 'ayes', 36 'noes' and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #38."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, will you kindly record Mr. Davis as 'aye' on that last Amendment as well? And Mr. R. Walsh as 'aye'. Pardon me? Corneal Davis, the Deacon."

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #38, Levin-Bowman. Amends Senate Bill 1583



by amended by inserting immediately Section 19.4 the following."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, because of the failure of Amendment 35, we're going to ask to withdraw this particular Amendment. We just want to indicate that what this Amendment would have done would have been to appropriate approximately ten million dollars in federal funds which is consistent with the policies..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You withdraw Amendment #38, Sir? The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #38. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #39, Hanahan-McPike-Giorgi."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is to create the Office of Safety Inspection for the Department of Labor, Public Employee Health and Safety Office and appropriates sixty-eight thousand, eight hundred dollars for personal services and a total of a hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars for the total operation of the Public Employee Health and Safety Office. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, all of us could have games and fun and enjoy ourselves but there's nothing more serious than the injuries that are commonplace in the work force. And the work force especially in public employment. If any of you have followed the series in the news media about the amounts of accidents in the workplace plus the high cost of workmen's compensation, it is now affecting, directly affecting even this operation of state government by the fact that we appropriate the money to spend for the injured employees of the state. It would only... only indicate to me and certainly to you that we should be doing something about it. The occupational safety in health inspection of the Federal Government specifically is not covered for governmental employment. This would be a small and... but significant step toward trying to put down some of the hazards, some of the... some of the injurious types of situations that we place our public employees in by having the Department of Labor being able to address themselves at least to a safety program and explaining to the public employees



around the state some of the procedures that they should be following, that we don't have to appropriate more monies for the cost of injured and the cost to the injured's or deceased's families of public employees. I urge a favorable vote on Amendment #39 to House, Senate Bill 1583."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Question is, shall Amendment #39 be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? On this question there are 20... On this question there are 36 'ayes' and 88 'noes' and the Amendment's not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #40, Peggy Smith Martin. Amends Senate Bill 1583..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Peggy Smith Martin."

P. Martin: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Since 1973 I've been trying to get a fieldhouse for twenty thousand youngsters and twenty thousand older people in Lindbloom Park in Chicago. I'm sure that most of you have heard this Amendment over and over again or one similar. It's very much needed and because you have heard it before, I won't 'linguish'(sic) here, I'll just ask you to please remember twenty thousand young people and give them a Lindbloom Park fieldhouse in Chicago. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is..."

P. Martin: "I solicit your 'aye' vote."

(con't on next page)



Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #40 be adopted. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 48 'ayes', 92 'nos' and the Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. On the Calendar, on page 5 is House Bill 2970. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's on the Concurrence Calendar and includes the Commission on Delinquency Prevention and the budget for the Department of Children and Family Services. The total amount of the added amount in the Senate for the Department of Children and Family Services, on the concurrence level is one hundred twenty-two million, one hundred eight thousand and eight hundred dollars. I'm asking you to concur on Senate Amendments #1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12 and 13. The Amendment #1, adds eight hundred thousand on the Commission of Delinquency Prevention. Amendment #3, reduces the thirty-two thousand and six hundred in personal services. Amendment #4 adds the FY-79 budget for the Department of Children and Family Services. Amendment #5 reduces personal services retirement and social security by four hundred and twelve thousand, five hundred. Amendment #6 adds three hundred and twenty-one thousand and three hundred for child abuse caseworkers and seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars for the homemakers services. Amendment #7 adds a hundred thousand dollars for adoption services and fifty-six thousand, nine hundred for services for unmarried mothers grant line. Amendment #8 adds seventy thousand, five hundred for handicapped and thirty-six thousand for metropolitan area protection services. Amendment #9 adds fifty



.thousand for...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, could you just slow it down just a little bit because some Members are trying to keep track of it."

Daniels: "We don't want them to keep track too carefully."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Amendment #9."

Daniels: "Amendment #9 adds fifty thousand for television decoder. Amendment #10 adds seventy-eight thousand and seven hundred for child abuse caseworkers to bring total to four hundred thousand to add some downstate caseworkers, Mr. McClain. Amendment #12 adds four hundred and forty-five thousand to Children personal and fiscal maintenance grant line. And Amendment #13 adds the FY-78 transfer for DCFS. I move for concurrence."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On concurrence, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Number one, I would ask a division of the question. Then number two, I want to make something clear here. The Sponsor of this Bill said that there were four hundred thousand dollars here that added downstate child abuse workers. That is as far from the truth as you can get. I know because I put the money in this budget for child abuse workers. There is no money in this budget for downstate child abuse workers. Let me emphasize that and underline it. There is no money specifically in this budget for downstate child abuse workers. And I don't want anyone to go under that impression because I don't want to be a part of... a part of a total untruth. I want to make that clear."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gene, we're going to divide the question and maybe what we should do is address them individually. And what I would like to do now, go on each individual Amendment specifically. The Gentleman moves the adoption of Senate Amendment #1. On that question, is there any discussion? Do you want to explain Amendment #1 again,



Mr. Daniels, very briefly."

Daniels: "Amendment #1 added eight hundred thousand in GRF to the Commission on delinquency prevention for the status offenders program in accordance with the Governor's request."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Amendment #1 be adopted? All in favor.... concurred. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 134 'ayes', 6 'nays' and the Amendment is concurred with. Senate Amendment #2...#3, I'm sorry."

Daniels: "Amendment #3 reduces thirty-two thousand and six hundred in personal services, retirement and social security."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion on Amendment #3? The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted. All in favor....concurred in. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 138 'ayes', 2 'nays' and Amendment #2 is concurred with... #3, I'm sorry. Amendment #4."

Daniels: "Amendment #4 adds the FY-79 budget for the Department of Children and Family Services at the level of one hundred and twenty million, six hundred twelve thousand nine hundred dollars."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

McClain: "In this hundred and twenty million, six hundred and twelve thousand and nine hundred dollars, is that a lump sum?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is whether it's a lump sum."

Daniels: "Well, it's broken out by appropriate line items."





McClain: "It's...as one state appropriation not as regions and not by administrative areas."

Daniels: "Are you asking if it is broken up by region?  
By the eight regions?"

McClain: "Yes."

Daniels: "No."

McClain: "Is it broken out by administrative areas?"

Daniels: "No, it is broken out by central offices and area offices."

McClain: "Does it have a line item for guardianships?"

Daniels: "Yes."

McClain: "How much for the guardianship?"

Daniels: "Seven hundred and fifty-five thousand and seven hundred dollars."

McClain: "Now these guardianship, would they report to the central office or to the regional directors?"

Daniels: "Regional directors."

McClain: "Is that legal according to the Guardianship Act?"

Daniels: "Yes."

McClain: "That's an easy answer isn't it?"

Daniels: "Yes, it is. It's an easy question."

McClain: "Do you have any money in there that closes the Southern Illinois Children Service Center?"

Daniels: "Yes, we do."

McClain: "And where would you transfer the kids?"

Daniels: "To group homes being established in Carbondale."

McClain: "Aren't these severely emotionally disturbed kids?"

Daniels: "No, they are not."

McClain: "Lee, are....."

Daniels: "Yes, Sir."

McClain: "Okay, forget it."

Daniels: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you have any more questions, Sir? Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 2970?"



All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. On the question...the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn to explain his vote."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had hoped to ask some questions because there was, the last time I saw this budget, an issue of regionalization of this budget and there were a lot of other unanswered questions and...I guess it doesn't make any difference I guess. But I think that those of us who are downstate are going to find that child abuse is a growing problem and you're not going to have anyone to deal with it. You're going to find that your office has been moved to a region, you're going to find that there are no new caseworkers to do the job. You're going to find that those who work in your area for Children and Family Services are not going to be adequately compensated. And you're going to find a lot of other things you don't even know about. I think that this budget is running through here without adequate scrutiny and shouldn't be voted on at this time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', 29 'nays' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 2970. #5, Senate Bill #5. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Senate Amendment #5 is basically the Hynes Amendment. It reduces personal services retirement and social security by four hundred and twelve thousand, five hundred and for the purpose of reducing pay increases to the 5.5% level. It also deletes the 2% transfer authority in grant lines."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Representative Daniels, when you're talking about reductions are you talking about reductions for recommended levels by staff, the Governor or your own figure or DCFS



figure or from last year?"

Daniels: "Reduction from the Governor's budget."

Schneider: "So the total on that line...or that...."

Daniels: "Four hundred and twelve thousand, five hundred."

Schneider: "What was it last year, Lee?"

Daniels: "Are you talking about in personal services?"

Schneider: "In that total...."

Daniels: "It reduces the whole number of line items and in personal services. What it amounts to is a reduction by four hundred and twelve thousand and five hundred."

Schneider: "From last year or from the Governor's recommendation? Do you have last figures? Ask, Larry, he's right there. So it's an increase of how much?"

Daniels: "I don't have those figures available right now from last year."

Schneider: "Well, I know the Amendments are flying, it's another one of those Bills. But the point is that when you're talking about reductions the Members ought to be clear that they are not reductions from last year which makes it seem so much more fiscally responsible but actually different kinds of reduction. So probably it makes more sense if we are attentive to the kind of increases that these represent. Not that I'm in opposition to them but that we ought to all be aware of the fact that there are some increases and not reductions."

Daniels: "That's true."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Schneider, do you have anymore questions? The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Edgar." Edgar, your light is on. Any further....the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Harris: "Representative Daniels, I didn't understand fully what you said about transfers, 2% transfer."

Daniels: "Well we deleted....we requested the 2% transfer authority



and it was deleted by this Amendment."

Harris: "And the budget is not line itemed?"

Daniels: "Yes, it is. It is 2% transfer authority as contained in the original proposal has been deleted now."

Harris: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House concur in Amendment #5 to House Bill 2970? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes'...111 'ayes', 23 'nays' and the House does concur in Amendment #5 to House Bill 2970. Amendment #6."

Daniels: "Amendment #6, adds three hundred and twenty-one thousand three hundred for child abuse caseworkers and this was proposed by Hynes and Barnes. And adds seven hundred and fifty thousand to the homemakers services grant line."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "I just explained. Move the concurrence of Amendment #6."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Amendment #6? The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Are all those funds on child abuse in Cook County or are some of those downstate?"

Daniels: "At this particular level, Amendment #6, we're talking about three hundred and twenty-one thousand...three hundred and twenty-one thousand three hundred. The intent was for Cook County. There is a later Amendment that adds additional funds which will also take care of downstate....some additional caseworkers downstate."

Brummer: "Is that later Amendment greased also?"

Daniels: "The later Amendment is Amendment #10, which we're going to move to concur in."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite."



Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, just a point of information. Have these Amendments been distributed to the Membership?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, ma'am, they have."

Satterthwaite: "They have not arrived in this aisle."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to correct something one more time. This Amendment that is being offered here, I worked in conjunction with Senator Hynes on...that he's offering. The money embodied in this Amendment is the only money specifically designated that can be designated in this budget for child abuse workers. Now there is a Representative from DCFS on the floor, I wish he would correct those statements. This money is for child abuse workers but I suggest to the Gentleman that there is no other Amendment, no other dollar that can be directed towards specifically child abuse workers. Because none is broken out anywhere in the state but in the County of Cook."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Bennett."

Bennett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to ask that question because it seems to be a conflict. Would the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Bennett: "And I would like to know if there is any money allocated for downstate on the child abuse program?"

Daniels: "Mr. Bennett, all that I can say, once again in explanation of Amendment #6. Is that it adds three hundred and twenty-one thousand three hundred dollars for child abuse caseworkers. Though the original intention of that was exclusively for Cook County Amendment #10 adds seventy-eight thousand seven hundred for child abuse caseworkers to bring the total to four hundred thousand dollars. The intent of that is to add some downstate caseworkers and that is contained in Amendment #10. But we're on Amendment #6 right now and the specific figure, there is three



hundred and twenty-one thousand three hundred dollars."

Bennett: "Then is #10 specifically set out for downstate on the child abuse program?"

Daniels: "It is additional money for personal services."

Bennett: "That's not the question, the question is, is it specifically set out for downstate on the child abuse program?"

Daniels: "It does not specifically say downstate, but I have representatives from the Department of Children and Family Services here. I'm telling you what they are telling me and it is their intent to add caseworkers for child abuse in downstate pursuant to Amendment #10."

Bennett: "So it's their department that is saying that the... the registration itself..."

Daniels: "That is correct."

Bennett: "Does not specifically set forth downstate. Is that right?"

Daniels: "That is substantive language, Representative Bennett and this is an Appropriation Bill."

Bennett: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #6 adds three hundred and twenty-one thousand, three hundred dollars for additional Cook County child abuse caseworkers. Amendment #10 adds seventy-eight thousand eight hundred dollars for additional child abuse staff and related expenses pursuant to the Governor's four hundred thousand dollars reallocation of group insurance funds. There is your difference."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Mulcahey. The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Along the same lines, Mr. Speaker. The only money that I see for.....possible child abuse services will be in Amendment #10. And that is only for increased personnel and that Amendment is seventy-eight thousand dollars and it



includes seventeen thousand dollars for travel and seventeen thousand dollars for telecommunications. And as Chairman of the House Appropriation II Subcommittee which heard this budget, I can assure the Members downstate that all you're going to find for child abuse as a result of this budget is an increase in personal services with retirement and fringe benefits....and no new designated people for caseworker services for child abuse. Existing people are going to be asked to do this function downstate. So those who are on board will just have one more job to do and they will have more accrued but unpaid overtime. They will have more hours to work and they will be in a much better position to do a poorer job than they are able to do at the present time with the facilities they have available to them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question."

All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed.

The previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels to close."

Daniels: "Just to say that, Gentleman, I understand your concern. It's an appropriation....Amendment #6 deals with the caseworkers and the homemaker services. And I think you will find that the caseworkers will be added downstate pursuant to Amendment #10, it's a representation by the department. This is what they are telling me and I'm repeating it to you. I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #6 to House Bill 2970. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain to explain his vote. The timer is on."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen



of the House, Mr. Daniels just got this budget last.... yesterday, so you can't blame him. But I wonder why it's substantive language, if indeed, in Amendment #10, we would have said that child abuse investigators were for downstate, however, in Amendment #6 it is okay to say they're just for Cook. Downstate gets ripped off and you guys know it and it is not Mr. Daniels fault but good gosh, take a look at this budget will you. It's a bad budget and indeed it rips off downstate, no regionalization and I guess it is greased but good Lord, I wish you guys would take a look at this thing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 101 'ayes', 37 'nays' and the House does concur in Amendment #6. Kindly record, Mr. Conti as 'aye'. Amendment #7. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Amendment #7 adds one hundred thousand dollars to adoption services grant line and fifty-six thousand nine hundred to services for unmarried mothers grant line."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? We've got to wait just for a second until the machine gets completed. The question is, shall Amendment #7 be concurred in? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 125 'ayes', 7 'nays' and the House does concur in Amendment #7 to House Bill 2970. Amendment #8." The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Amendment #8 adds seventy thousand five hundred for handicapped needy, a program for the deaf and thirty-six thousand for metropolitan area protective services program for child abuse research. I move the adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 2970? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all





voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 141 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #8 to 2970. Amendment #9."

Daniels: "Amendment #9 adds fifty thousand dollars for a television decoder for captioning in television programs.... for the school of the deaf:"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #9 on House Bill 2970? Have all voted.....the question is, shall the House concur in Amendment #9? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 127 'ayes', 19 'nos' and the House does concur in Amendment #9. Amendment #10."

Daniels: "Amendment #10 is the item that we have been referring to. It adds seventy-eight thousand seven hundred for child abuse caseworkers to bring the total to four hundred thousand. And I want to repeat, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the prior Amendment had no substantive language in it that it had to be for Cook County nor did this Amendment have any language that it would have to be downstate. But it is the position of the department that these caseworkers that are added by here will be located in downstate Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider. Schneider, please."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. With seventy-eight thousand dollars in the crisis and child abuse tell me, how many social workers that adds...or caseworkers. And what is the anticipated load for each of those?"

Daniels: "I'm told around thirty-one to thirty-two phased in over the year."

Schneider: "Thirty-one....caseworkers for seventy-eight grand?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "Tell that guy to go back to school."

Schneider: "Two grand a year. That's college graduates, right?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "I know it's a little late...."

Daniels: "You're talking about seventy-eight thousand by Amendment #10?"

Schneider: "That's right, seventy-eight thousand." How many caseworkers, Lee? I don't think...."

Daniels: "Okay, I thought you were asking on the four hundred thousand."

Schneider: "No."

Speaker Lechowicz: "This is Amendment #10."

Daniels: "They tell me around five."

Schneider: "Five?"

Daniels: "Yes."

Schneider: "Out of seventy-eight thousand and that's for all of the downstate areas that has fully 50% of a case load that we're going to add five."

Daniels: "That was what was added to the budget, Representative."

Schneider: "Is that a commitment from the agency without substantive language then as you are implying all evening?"

Daniels: "Yes, we're committed to five."

Schneider: "When do we know that for sure that's going to take place? Could you ask Larry...."

Daniels: "When the Governor signs the budget."

Schneider: "He'll hire five immediately."

Daniels: "He will start to phase in procedure for five...."

Schneider: "Collar counties or downstate counties?"

Daniels: "Where the need is dependent upon the case load."

Schneider: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipword."

Tipword: "I wonder if the Gentleman would explain how that seventy-eight thousand....they are taking about thirty thousand out for travel and some other items. They are going to pay for five caseworkers with what is left out of that seventy-eight thousand. You will have about...."



what, forty-eight thousand or perhaps less. Oh, let's see there is thirty-seven for personal services out of that. Thirty-seven five eighty, I'll be darned if you're going to pay for five caseworkers out of that."

Daniels: "Well, we're phasing in..."

Tipword: "There is over a hundred and one counties in the state, thirty-seven thousand five hundred and eighty dollars."

Daniels: "We're going to phase them in over the year and we're going to do our best to meet the need as it is presented. And certainly where Representative Schneider comes from he probably doesn't feel it has a need. But we're going to do our best to meet the need as it is presented."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Out of the seventy-eight thousand and eight hundred in this Amendment there is thirty-seven five hundred and eighty dollars for personal services. There is no way he can hire five child abuse caseworkers for that, now I've been trying to say it, maybe I'm not very articulate in my presentation. But Glenn Schneider and Allen Bennet hit it right on the head. For 101 counties you're going to get basically two child abuse investigators and that's all. Period. Now I don't mind things being greased, everybody has to make a deal but for 101 counties two child abuse investigators is just wrong. Now, Mr. Daniels in all his graciousness, one minute saying thirty-one and the next minute with Mr. Schneider's question, he said he was going to hire them as soon as the Governor signs the Bill. The next minute he's going to phase them in over the year. Now there is just no way you can get a straight answer from the department unless somebody takes a look at it. Two child abuse investigators for the 101 counties. Period! Absolutely, no other way to get around it and if you vote for it and you come from downstate, it's a



fraud and I would as least ask you to check this Amendment off. For once when you stuck up for Don Anderson on a legitimate issue....for once put your areas ahead of yourself...get some more child abuse investigators. Another three or four hundred thousand dollars will not harm the budget so 101 counties can get some decent child abuse investigators. I would urge you on this one to vote 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, possibly if the Appropriation Committee that this Bill was put in in the first place had had a proper hearing, they might have determined they needed more money. But they never got a chance to be heard in Appropriation Committee. So we have to do it this way, I'm sorry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if the Gentleman from the other side of the aisle would....before he gets up to expound on his great knowledge, make an attempt to get some facts....if this Bill and whenever this Bill would have been heard. In the Appropriation Committee there was already an Amendment drafted by me to put in the necessary child abuse workers for downstate - the numbers and the dollars. DCFS does not want that to happen. That's what this is all about, that's what I was talking about on Amendment #6. They will not do it then. They won't do it now, you can vote for this if you want to. They won't do it in July, you won't see it in August and any of you....any of you sitting in this room from the 101 counties outside of Cook, any of you sitting in this room go back to your counties tell them that you're going to get any child abuse workers out of this money....you're participating in the fraud of the century on the people that you have been here to represent."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Daniels to close. Oh, I'm sorry. Mr.



Dunn."

Dunn: "I would....Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just like to echo the remarks of the previous speaker. I was Chairman of a Subcommittee that considered this budget, the budget didn't get back to the Full Committee. The previous speaker is exactly right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels to close."

Daniels: "Only to say that, Director Kennedy sat for thirty-five hours in the Appropriation Committee to have this Bill heard. She spent four hours in a Subcommittee hearing, chaired by Representative Barnes, we've had a commitment from....Dunn, I'm sorry. We've had a commitment from the department that they will phase in five caseworkers downstate as result of this money. The commitment is there, I expect them to honor that, I expect the downstaters to see that they will be phased in during the year. I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #10 to 2970? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Margalus to explain his vote. Mr. Margalus, your light was on."

Margalus: "I'm sorry the button was pushed on."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison to explain his vote." The timer is on."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would just like to explain to all my downstate friends my reason for my 'present' vote, I have a potential conflict of interest and for that reason I will be voting 'present' on any matters related to DCFS."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I won't take up the time of the House. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? The Lady from



Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite to explain her vote. The timer is on."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, this is one of those difficult situations where it is impossible to make a vote that makes any kind of sense. If we do not concur in this Amendment we do not even add enough for the additional caseworkers downstate. If we do succeed in defeating a motion to concur on this we have nothing then. There is no way that anybody can make an intelligent vote on this issue in this manner. The only way that we can do anything worthwhile is to put this Bill back in a Conference Committee and get some kind of sense out of it, get some kind of concession from the department that their budget has to resemble the means in which they plan to operate. If they are indeed, as we know they are already under a regional plan. Why can they not give us any idea how they are going to expend that money by regions. There is no way we can make sense out of a budget of this sort. I think we need to put this Bill....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Will the Lady kindly bring her remarks to a close? "

Satterthwaite: "In a Conference Committee so we can make some sense of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Bowman: "Yes, quickly, Mr. Speaker. Just a little simple arithmetic for those of you who are voting for this Amendment. First of all let me point out that, even if five workers are added downstate those five workers are going to be only about 1/5th of the number of workers that we're providing for Cook County. Another interesting piece of arithmetic is, five workers spread over the 101 counties is approximately one worker for every twenty counties. I think the largest legislative district in this



state has twelve counties and maybe some of you can correct me. But can you imagine representing in the area that has twenty counties in it? Any twenty counties in the state, I think even five workers is grossly inadequate for the magnitude of problems that we have to deal with. And I think some of the folks from downstate ought to reconsider their votes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Winnebago, Mrs. Martin to explain her vote. The timer is on."

Martin: "I would remind the House that for once we have a director that comes from downstate. A director that has headed a region, there is no way she is going to sell downstate out. She is a downstater, so you can safely keep your votes on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 93 'ayes', 61 'nays'....the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I respectfully ask for a verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels asks for poll of the absentees. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Record me as 'aye' please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Katz as 'aye'. The Gentleman from Christian, what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Christensen: "Mr. Speaker, change me to 'aye' please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record him as 'aye'. Mr. Leverenz is 'aye'. Mr. Reilly is 'aye'. Who? Reilly as 'aye'. Mr. Giglio as 'aye'. Mr. Shumpert as 'aye'. Mr. Matejek as 'aye'. Mr. Bennett as 'aye'. Mr. Farley as 'aye'. Mr. Huff as 'aye'. Mr. Kosinski as 'aye'. Mr. Brandt as 'aye'. Do you still persist, Sir? What's the count Jack? All right. We've got 105 now. Do you still persist,



Sir? Mr. Johnson as 'aye'. Oh, I'm sorry, you want to be....kindly record Mr. Johnson from 'present' to 'no'. All right. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Caldwell, Capparelli...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Capparelli is 'aye'. That's 106."

Clerk O'Brien: "Darrow, Gaines, Hart, Emil Jones, Keats, Klosak, McBroom, McGrew, Reed, Stearney, E.G. Steele, Von Boeckman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are we starting out on 106 now, Jack? 106. Do you persist on the verification, Sir? The Clerk will proceed to verify the affirmative vote."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Adams, Jane Barnes, Bartulis, Beatty, Bennett, Bianco, Bluthardt, Boucek, Bradley, Brady, Brandt, Campbell, Capparelli, Christensen, Collins, Conti, Cunningham, Daniels, Jack Davis, Dawson, Deavers, Deuster, DiPrima, Domico...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman is recorded....excuse me, kindly record Mr. Von Boeckman as 'aye'. And Mr. Katz wants to be verified. You want to have leave to be verified?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Doyle, Ralph Dunn, Ebbesen, Edgar...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Keats wants to be recorded as 'aye'. That's 108. Please continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Epton, Ewing, Farley, Flinn, Friedland, Friedrich, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Giglio, Griesheimer, Hoffman, Dan Houlihan, Hoxsey, Hudson, Huff, Huskey, Jacobs, Dave Jones, Katz, Keats, Kempiners, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kucharski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Leverenz, Luft, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Margalus, Lynn Martin, Matejek, Matula, McAuliffe, McCourt, McLendon, McMaster, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Nardulli, Neff, O'Brien, Pechous, Peters, Polk, Porter, Pouncey, Pullen, Reilly, Rigney, Ryan, Sandquist, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Schuneman, Shumpert, Simms, Stanley, C.M. Stiehl, Sumner, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich,





Totten, Tuerk, Vinson, Vitek, Von Boeckman, Waddell,  
W.D. Walsh, Wikoff, Winchester, Wolf, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any questions of the affirmative vote?

Mr.....what's our count now, Jack? 108, we're starting  
with. Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Nardulli."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Nardulli, he's in his chair."

McClain: "Mr. Neff."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who?"

McClain: "Mr. Neff."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Neff, he's in his chair."

McClain: "Pechous."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pechous is by his chair."

McClain: "Mr. Sandquist."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Sandquist is here....in his chair."

McClain: "Mr. Schlickman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Schlickman is in his chair."

McClain: "Stanley."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Stanley is in his chair."

McClain: "Mrs. Sumner."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Sumner.....Lady....Mrs. Sumner is in her  
chair."

McClain: "Terzich."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Terzich...in his chair."

McClain: "Mr. Collins."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Collins....Mr. Collins. How is the  
Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McClain: "Mr. Tuerk."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Tuerk. He's back there."

McClain: "Mr. Vinson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Vinson is back there."

McClain: "Mr. Abramson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here."



McClain: "Mr. Bianco."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who?"

McClain: "Mr. Bianco."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bianco, he's back there."

McClain: "Mr. Edgar."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Edgar....he's back there. Oh, I'm sorry.  
Mr. Edgar....take him off the record."

McClain: "Mr. Bradley."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bradley....how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McClain: "Mr. Brady."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Brady is in his chair."

McClain: "Mr. Campbell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bradley is here put him back on the record.  
Campbell....and put Edgar back on. Mr. Campbell just  
walked....he's here."

McClain: "Mr. Leinenweber."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leinenweber is here."

McClain: "Mr. Kucharski."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Kucharski....he's back there."

McClain: "Where?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Right in the aisle."

McClain: "Mr. McAuliffe."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. McAuliffe....Mr. McAuliffe....how is  
the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McClain: "Mr. Peters."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Peters....he's right here."

McClain: "Mr. Ebbesen."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Joe Ebbesen....how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McClain: "Mr. Deavers."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Deavers....Gene, could you just move for a second? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McClain: "Mr. Domico."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Domico....who...."

McClain: "Mr. Domico."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Back on. Mr. Domico...take him off the record."

McClain: "Mr. O'Brien."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. O'Brien....Mr. O'Brien, did you ask for?"

McClain: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Lechowicz: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McClain: "Mr. Von Boeckman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Von Boeckman was just here. Mr. Von Boeckman. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McClain: "Mr. Laurino."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Laurino. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McClain: "Mr. McCourt."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Put Coach Deavers back on too. McCourt is back there."

McClain: "Mr. Kornowicz."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McClain: "Mr. Jacobs."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Jacobs...how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

McClain: "Mr. Wolf."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record. Mr. Wolf. Jake,



he's there."

McClain: "Mr. Telcser."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Telcser...where are you at? He's there. Are you through? Stearney. Record Stearney as 'no'. Are you through, Mr. McClain?"

McClain: "Mr. Hudson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hudson, he's in his chair."

McClain: "Mr. Conti."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Elmer, where are you at? He's in the back."

McClain: "Mr. Margalus."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Margalus is back there."

McClain: "I have no further questions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's our count, Jack? On this question there are 99 'ayes', 58 'nos' and the House concurs in Amendment #10. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "#12....no, it is not according to the Calendar. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Amendment #12 adds four hundred and forty-five thousand to the children's personal and physical maintenance grant line for medical payment for wards."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #12 be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Bowman: "Well, I believe this is...according to our staff analysis here it is a duplicate of an item in the public aid budget. I don't know perhaps the Sponsor would like to respond that that is an error. But that is the case according to our staff analysis and I don't see why we should appropriate an extra four hundred and forty-five thousand dollars...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 123 'ayes', and the House does concur in Amendment #12.to 2970. .



Amendment #13."

Daniels: "Amendment #13 adds the FJ-78 transfer for the DCFS."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bill Walsh. Your light is on, Bill. We've got to wait for the machine to pop out here. The question is, shall the House concur with Amendment #13? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 129 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House does concur with Amendment #13. on House Bill 2970. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Corneal Davis seek recognition?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, I would like to present to this House a wife of one of our distinguished Members, a brilliant young man. His wife is here in the east gallery to my left, Mrs. Jessé Madison. Won't you stand please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, a lot of my colleagues have asked me what I was going to be doing when I left the General Assembly. I would like to take this opportunity to announce for the first time that I will be returning to Springfield as a vaseline salesman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you kindly drop in and see Mr. Stearney for your first large order? Okay...on the Calendar on page 5, is House Bill 3220, Mr. Vinson. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen, what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Pullen: "A dual purpose, Mr. Speaker. I would like leave to change my vote on Amendment #8, to 'no'. It will not change the outcome."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hearing no objection it is so recorded."

Pullen: "And secondly, Mr. Speaker, have you intended to put that on Third Reading?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pardon me."



Pullen: "Had you intended to advance the Bill to Third Reading?

Oh, that was concurrence, excuse me."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Good morning. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we started to discuss this Bill this afternoon and Mr. Waddell had a question which I believe has now been answered. I'd like to renew my motion that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 and concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3220."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Why don't we, why don't we concur first on Senate Amendment #2 and you can explain it and then we'll nonconcur on the other? Amendment #2, Jack. Want to explain the Amendment, Mr. Vinson?"

Vinson: "Senate Amendment #2 provides for a replacement process on boards of directors. Specifically it says that the stockholders in an annual may adopt by laws which permit the directors to replace a director... a director when a seat becomes vacant during the course of the year until the board, until the next annual meeting as long as the board does not replace a third of the membership of the board in this way."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On that question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Levin: "Okay. I had a question earlier and I didn't get to ask it. Is the status of the existing law that a corporation cannot put into its charter or bylaws the authority for a replacement process for members of the board of directors?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir. The only way directors can be chosen currently is by an annual meeting of the board."

Levin: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House concur in Amendment #2? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 137 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House does concur in Amendment #2 to House Bill 3220. Now the Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Amendment #1. All in favor signify by



saying 'aye', all opposed. The House nonconcurrs to Amendment #1 to House Bill 3220. House Bill 3287. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels. Oh, I'm sorry. 3287 is Mr. Kempiners, from Will."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3287 is the Bill that creates the local initiative fund which would provide an opportunity for local agencies whether they be private or public to contribute to the fund to draw down seventy-five percent in federal funds for the providing of social services. We added Amendment in this House that would call for a state contribution matching the contribution of the local agency which the way the formula is created would be a two and a half million dollar expenditure by the state. The Senate removed this Amendment and I would move that we concur in the removal of this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the Senate Amendment removed an Amendment offered by myself in the House while the Bill was considered by the House of Representatives. My Amendment provided that the State of Illinois would be required to provide one half of the funds provided at the local level. The purpose in offering my Amendment was to allow for the state to participate in the local match funding in these programs. I don't think that it's appropriate for the state not be involved at all in funding for these social service programs. What this is is another copout by the Governor's administration. He has said to private service agencies if you wish to continue these programs, if you wish to continue to receive the federal money, then you come up with the local match and I won't come up with anything but I'll walk away a hero. For that reason, I offered my Amendment which was adopted in the House, taken off the in the Senate. For that reason, I urge a 'no' vote on this motion for concurrence."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners, to close."

Kempiners: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the Bill that you



have been receiving phone calls from from your private agencies.

Your private social service agencies are very much in favor of this Bill without the Amendment. In other words, with adopting the Amendment or concurring in the Senate Amendment, I know you've been receiving a lot of conversation on this and I would just ask you to follow the recommendation of your local private agencies who want this Bill and vote 'yes' on this concurrence motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3287. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. No. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 90 'ayes', 54 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present'. And the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike. Mr. McPike please."

McPike: "Well since there's only 90 'ayes', I'll request a verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Why don't we dump the Roll Call and start all over? Everybody hit their own switch. Everybody up now. The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3287? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman... Mr. McPike. Mr. McPike."

McPike: "To explain my vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed. Mr. McPike please."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I find it difficult to see how a Democrat can put a 'yes' vote up on that board. Now we have had a lot of partisan issues in this election year, but obviously this is one of the most partisan. Here is the Governor of the State of Illinois that's trying to take credit for a twenty million dollar program. He's trying to be a hero in this state and say that he's all for helping these agencies. But he's not going to put one penny, not one penny of state money up front and I think it's a disgrace. It's really a copout on his part. He's not supporting this program, he's buffaloed these agencies into thinking that he's going to veto it if there's any state monies in it and consequently, they have been pressuring every Democratic Member on the House floor to vote for this. They've received commitments over the last six weeks. I've received twenty





telephone calls on this and every one of them says the same thing. If this passes with the Madigan Amendment on it, then the Governor will veto it. What the Governor is really saying is that he's against this. He doesn't want to expend any state money for it, doesn't want to spend one dime for it. He wants to, in an election year, take credit for a twenty million dollars... or twenty million dollars for helping local agencies and not spend one red cent. I don't see how one Democrat can put an... a 'yes' vote on this board. Encourage you to change it to 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, to explain her vote and the timer's on."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it's nice when we attack either the Governor or the one who's running against him. That's real fine, but I think we're wasting a lot of time. You've got your mistakes, we've got ours. But let's not always attack everyone, let's be positive. Let's finish the darn thing now."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Good morning. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne, to explain his vote."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I couldn't agree with Mr. McPike any more and if there's anybody in here who heard this debate or argument in Appropriations II, their memory will be refreshed. They are taking two and a half million dollars from the United Fund which is supposed to be in addition to, not in lieu of, state funds to take this three to one match. Now really, if the Governor and if the State of Illinois are not going to measure up to their own responsibility in trying to get the three to one matching funds from the Federal Government, then we should not be aiding and abetting this charade. Now please, there's 97 votes up there and there's about 25 that shouldn't be up there."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 98 'ayes', 55 'nays' and the House... the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike. Mr. McPike. Mr. McPike please."

McPike: "Yes, I would request a verification."



Speaker Lechowicz: "And Mr. ~~Compiners~~ asks for a poll of the absentees I would imagine."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bluthardt, Rich Brummer, Cunningham."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Cunningham votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Darrow, DiPrima."

Speaker Lechowicz: "DiPrima votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Domico, Ebbesen, Flinn, Gaines, Hart, Jacobs, Kane, Klosak, Kornowicz, Lucco, McBroom, McGrew, Reed, E.G. Steele, Von Boeckman, R.V. Walsh and Younge."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed to verify the affirmative votes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Adams, Anderson, Antonovych, E.M. Barnes, Jane Barnes, Bartulis, Bennett, Bianco, Boucek, Bowman, Don Brummet, Byers, Campbell, Catania, Collins, Conti, Cunningham, Daniels, Jack Davis, Deavers, Deuster, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Edgar, Epton, Ewing, Friedland, Friedrich, Geo-Karis, Greiman, Griesheimer, Hoffman, Holewinski, J.M. Houlihan, Hoxsey, Hudson, Huskey, Johnson, Dave Jones, Katz, Keats, Kempiners, Kent, Kucharski, Leinenweber, Levin, Macdonald, Madison, Mahar, Mann, Margalus, Lynn Martin, Peggy Smith Martin, Matula, McAuliffe, McCourt, McMaster, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Mudd, Mugalian, Neff, O'Brien, Peters, Pierce."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What purpose... for what purpose the Gentleman seek recognition? Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Pierce: "Please change me to 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Change him to 'no' please."

Clerk O'Brien: "Polk, Porter, Pullen, Reilly, Rigney, Robinson, Ryan, Sandquist, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schuneman, Simms, Skinner, Stanley, Steczo, C.M. Stiehl, Stuffle, Sumner, Telcser, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Vinson, Waddell, W.D. Walsh, Wikoff, Willer, Winchester, and Wolf."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. McPike, do you have any questions of the affirmative vote? Excuse me, Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien. For what purpose you seek recognition?"



O'Brien: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

O'Brien: "Please change me to 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Change him to 'no' please. Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Could you tell me the count before we start?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir. 97 'ayes'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Put one on. Cunningham."

McPike: "Bennett."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bennett."

McPike: "Bianco."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute, wait a minute. Mr. Bennett's  
in the chamber. Mr. Bianco. He's in the chamber."

McPike: "Byers."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Byers? Not in his seat. The Gentleman in the  
chamber? Take him off the record."

McPike: "Conti."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Conti's here."

McPike: "Jack Davis."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jack Davis. He's in the back."

McPike: "John Dunn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "John Dunn. Yeah, he's here. Mr. Byers is back,  
put him back on the Roll Call. Mr. Flinn. Excuse me. Kindly  
record Mr. Flinn as 'aye'."

McPike: "Edgar."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Edgar. He's here."

McPike: "Ewing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ewing. He's back there."

McPike: "Friedland."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Friedland. In his chair."

McPike: "Jim Houlihan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jim Houlihan. Take him off the record."

McPike: "Huskey."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Huskey. He's back there."

McPike: "David Jones."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's in his chair."

McPike: "Katz."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Came back, he's here."

McPike: "Kucharski."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kucharski. Mr. Kucharski. The Gentleman in the chamber? Take him off the record."

McPike: "Margalus."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Margalus is in his chair."

McPike: "Lynn Martin."

Speaker Lechowicz: "She's here."

McPike: "Matula."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's there."

McPike: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McPike: "Who did you take off the record?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. McAuliffe."

McPike: "Thank you. Joe Mudd."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McPike: "Peters."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pete Peters. He's here."

McPike: "Pullen."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady is here. Wait a minute. Ms. Pullen. Yeah, she's here."

McPike: "Ryan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ryan. Mr. Ryan. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."

McPike: "Winchester."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here."

McPike: "Wolf."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wolf. He's here. Mr. Mautino, for what purpose you seek recognition?"

Mautino: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "How's he recorded, Jack?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Mautino: "Change it to 'aye' please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay, let me record Mr. Mautino as 'aye'. Mr. Luft,



what purpose you seek recognition?"

Luft: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Luft, Dick Luft."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Luft: "Change it to 'aye' please."

McPike: "What was that last one?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Luft. He wants to be recorded from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Ewell. Ewell wants to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Christensen wants to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Brummer as 'aye'. What's our count? Put Mr. Ryan back on, too, Jack. Kucharski, back on."

McPike: "No more questions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's our count, Jack? On this question there are 100 'ayes', 50 'noes' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3287. The Bill is hereby declared passed. On the Calendar on page 1, Supplemental 3 is Senate Bill 1583. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1583. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Board of Vocational Rehabilitation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill... Senate Bill 1583 is the ordinary and contingent appropriation for sixteen state agencies. It's a Bill which I'm sure the Members are very familiar with since we debated it just a short time ago. You know the Amendments which the House adopted. I think it was Amendment #37 and Amendment #36 and I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Question is, shall... the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "I just wondered if the Gentleman would yield for one quick question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Porter: "Art, do you happen to have the comparable figures for the previous year's budget? All right, I got 'em."

Telcser: "All right."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is the Bill that we debated earlier.

This Bill contains as far as I'm concerned some fifty million dollars of overbudgeting in the Department of Public Aid medical services line item. That is money that certainly could be used for something other than what it appears to be intended and under those circumstances, Mr. Speaker, there's no way I can support this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich. John, your light's on. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I was just going to ask some of the downstate Democrats leave the Republicans put the... it's their Amendment #5, leave the Republicans put the votes on there and it'll help your rating."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. The previous question's been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser, to close. The question is, shall the House concur in the Amendments on Senate Bill 1583? All those in... Third Reading. The question is, shall the House... move that the... Senate Bill 1583 pass? All in favor will vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney, to explain his vote. The timer's on."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to ask a question of the Sponsor if this is the Bill that had been greased to slide right through here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, fun is fun, but there's a lot of important budgets on it. One of them is the senior citizens budget so if you don't want to vote for the Department of Aging and hurt the senior citizens, just keep off the Roll Call. Glad you're back on. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 96 'ayes', 49 'nays', 5 recorded



as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On 82? Gentleman from Rock-Island, Mr. Polk, on Senate Bill 82 on the same Calendar."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to move that we refuse to recede and I'd like to call a Conference Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, what was your motion?"

Polk: "I refuse to recede."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has refused to recede to Amendments 1 and 3. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. The Gentleman has refused to recede on Amendments 1 and 3 and requests a Conference Committee. Okay on the Calendar on Supplemental #2 is Senate Bill 1592. The Lady from Peoria, Mrs. Sumner."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1592. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Teacher's Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Peoria, Mrs. Sumner."

Sumner: "I just would urge a favorable vote. Its the Appropriation Bill for teacher's retirement and the additional directives to study the problems facing the downstate teachers on their insurance."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House pass Senate Bill 1592? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question 158 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the House... this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1595, Mr. Wikoff."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1595. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense of the University Civil Service Merit Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1595 appropriates four hundred and eighty-six thousand, six hundred dollars. It's for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the University Civil Service Merit Board. That's the board which actually operates the pension systems for all of the



universities, all of the universities. There were two Amendments placed on 'em which I agreed. I'd ask for... anybody has any questions, I'll answer them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House pass... what? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will. This is Senate Bill 1595."

Ryan: "Yes. Representative Wikoff, did Amendment #5 go on that Bill?"

Wikoff: "It was withdrawn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "1, 2 and 4 only, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall the House pass Senate Bill 1595? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 141 'ayes', 4 'nays', 7 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On Conference Committee Reports, House Bill 2808, Mr. Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Senate has receded from its position and then accepted our Conference Report and I would move final adoption of House Bill 2808."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion?"

Epton: "It's a Bill that was in the original form it left the House which corrected the demolition and liens procedures and allows municipalities to collect the monies first in the event of any fire loss."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2808? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 151 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed and the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report. House Bill 2885. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."





D. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2885. This is the appropriation for the judicial system of the state, the appropriation to the Illinois Supreme Court. The Conference Committee Report has been joined in by all of the Conferees. The Conference Committee Report does three things. First, the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1. That particular Amendment establishes the same funding formula that has existed for the courts for the past several years - 25% from the Road Fund and 75% from General Revenue Funds. Secondly, it provides that there will be no expenditure of the funding for the probation subsidy prior to January 1, 1979. And thirdly, it provides for the only nonjudicial personnel left out of the cost of living increase which are the law clerks, that they will receive the cost of living increase but it will be limited to the 5.5% that has been standard through the Appropriation Committees. And I move the adoption of this Conference Committee Report on the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2885. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 133 'ayes', 10 'nays' and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2885 and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1792, Mr. Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill by Senator Guidice and Representative Lechowicz which amends the Fair Plan and increased the membership of the Fair Plan and added four consumers. The Amendment, the Senate accepted our Amendment which contained anti-redlining procedures. However, in that we asked that the member... the Fair Plan be increased to ten members, making it six insurance companies and four consumer advocates. The Senate refused to accept that and the Conference Committee came back changing it only back to nine members of which five will be the companies that presently exist



and four consumer representatives. I move the adoption of the Conference Report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1792. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 143 'ayes', 4 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present' and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1792, is hereby declared passed. On page 3 of the Calendar and this is our last Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen, hopefully, is Senate Bill 1864, the position of Second Reading. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1864. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense of the Bureau of the Budget. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Vinson."

Vinson: "Move to withdraw that Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #1. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Vinson."

Vinson: "Withdraw the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Withdraw Amendment #2."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Vinson."

Vinson: "Withdraw the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, E.M. Barnes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

E.M. Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw that Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw the Amendment. The Amendment's withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Jack Davis."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jack Davis."

J. Davis: "Withdraw the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #5. Further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Winchester."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Withdraw the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Jack Davis."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Davis."

J. Davis: "Same request, withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #7."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Winchester."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Withdraw the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman withdraws the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Winchester."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Withdraw the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #10, Jack Davis."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Davis."

J. Davis: "Speaker, withdraw the Amendment please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #11, Younge."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady asks leave to withdraw the Amendment. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "#12, Younge."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady withdraws the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #13, Taylor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Taylor. The Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14, Jack... John Dunn."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Dunn, John Dunn."

J. Dunn: "I'm back again with a million dollars for the mentally ill and I'd like a Roll Call, Mr. Speaker. We've put the money in for the treatment of the alcoholics and you put a little money in for Medley Movers and I think we can at least add a million dollars for the community grants for the mentally ill. I'd sure appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #14. Any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "I'd urge the defeat of the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #14 be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Lady from Champaign, Mr. Satterthwaite, to explain her vote. The timer's on."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, by previous action of this House we took out more than three million dollars of the mental health budget as it came to us from the Governor. I think that it's only fair that we put back one million of it in a way that it can be used to very good advantage in community services."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 65 'ayes', 80 'nays' and the Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #15, Winchester-E.M. Barnes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of Amendment #15."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's it do?"

Winchester: "Amendment #14 is the..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "15."

Winchester: "Or Amendment #15 is the ordinary and contingent budget for the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development. The total appropriation for Amendment #15 is ninety-three million, one hundred and ninety-nine..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Winchester: "I was cut off."



Speaker Lechowicz: "That was my mistake up here."

Winchester: "All right. The total appropriation is ninety-three million, one hundred and ninety-nine thousand, one hundred and eighty dollars. This Bill is six million, nine hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars less than what it was when it was received from the Senate. And it is seven million, one hundred and seventeen thousand less than what it was when it was originally introduced. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #15."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

E.M. Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is the Governor's Office of Manpower that have been incorporated in here, various items related to that. This Amendment came into the Senate at approximately a hundred... this Amendment came to us from the Senate approximately with a two hundred thousand dollar reduction. Our staff has worked on it, we have concurred with the Amendment in the form that it's in and I support the Gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment #15."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Pullen: "Is there any money in this for consumer services?"

Winchester: "Yes, there is."

Pullen: "Then I'd like to speak to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed. The timer's on."

Pullen: "The consumer services item is for the promotion of Lifeline, Ladies and Gentlemen. And I urge that you defeat this Amendment. Mr. Conti, it's for the promotion of Lifeline."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #15 be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye' all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. Yes, Mr. Winchester. This is only a majority on this one. On this question there are 82 'ayes', 42 'noes' and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #16, Anderson-Kempiners."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Withdraw the Amendment please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan. Oh, wait a minute. The Clerk needs a minute for something. Any messages, Jack?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments to Senate Bill 82. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following report of House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #21, report of House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #29, Adopted by the Senate, June 29, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, does the Clerk require extra time?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, Sir, not tonight."

Madigan: "Is it all right to adjourn, Mr. Totten? Mr. Totten has requested permission to make the Adjournment Resolution for ten o'clock tomorrow morning."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you trust him? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Pursuant to prior precedent, I move to go to the Order of Constitutional Amendments, Second Reading for the purposes of adjournment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We need a time certain."

Totten: "Ten a.m."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ten a.m. this morning. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. We recess till ten a.m. this morning. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. The House stands in recess till ten a.m. this morning. And thank you for your attendance and your fine work. Good night."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	1.
1	10:00	Speaker Redmond	House to order	
		Reverend Seller	Prayer	
		Speaker Redmond	Roll Call	
		Clerk Hall	Agreed Resolutions	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Giorgi	Explains	
		Speaker Redmond	Adopted	
2		Clerk Hall	Further Resolutions	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Geo-Karis	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Conti	Point of Personal Privilege	
3		Speaker Redmond		
		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Conti		
		Speaker Redmond		
4		Simms		
		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ebbesen		
		Speaker Redmond		
5		Ryan	Excused absences	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Friedrich	Table motion	
		Speaker Redmond		
	10:17	Madison	Motion on H.B. 3319	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	2.
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Object	
		Matijevich	H.B. 3383, 3385, leave to table	
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted	
		Ryan		
		Speaker Redmond		
6		Matijevich		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Tipsword		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Schlickman		
		Tipsword	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Terzich	H.B. 3387, table	
7		Speaker Redmond	Tabled	
		P. Martin	Question	
		Speaker Redmond	H.R. 898	
		Getty	Sponsor	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Mahar		
8		Speaker Redmond	Adopted	
		Epton	H.J.R. #2	
9		Speaker Redmond		
		D. Houlihan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Friedrich		
		Speaker Redmond		





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Skinner	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Cunningham	
10		Speaker Redmond	
		Epton	Take out of record
		Speaker Redmond	
	10:30	Cunningham	
		Speaker Redmond	
11		Katz	H.J.R. #56
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ewell	
12		Speaker Redmond	
		Waddell	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Tipsword	
13		Speaker Redmond	
		Katz	To close
		Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted
		Schoeberlein	
		Speaker Redmond	S.J.R. 59
14		Friedrich	Yield to Brummer
		Speaker Redmond	
		Brummer	
		Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted
		Kane	S.J.R. 60
15		Speaker Redmond	
		Skinner	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	4.
		Speaker Redmond		
		Mudd		
16		Speaker Redmond		
		Pullen		
	10:50	Speaker Redmond		
		Kempiners		
17		Speaker Redmond		
		Kane	To close	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Hoffman		
18		Speaker Redmond	S.J.R. #60 adopted	
		Neff	S.J.R. #72	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Greiman	Yield?	
19		Neff	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Bowman	Yield?	
20		Neff	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Pullen		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Capparelli		
21		Speaker Redmond		
		Waddell		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Robinson	Question	
		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	5.
	11:05	Neff	Responds	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Kelly	Oppose	
22		Speaker Redmond		
		Brunner	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Neff	To close	
		Speaker Redmond	S.J.R. #72	
23		E.G. Steele	Support	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Abramson		
24		Speaker Redmond		
		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Marovitz		
25		Speaker Redmond		
		Neff	Poll absentees	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Vitek	Aye	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Porter	Change to 'aye'	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Redmond	Kelly requests verification	
	11:15	Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Anderson	Change to yes	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	6.
		Speaker Redmond		
26		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ewell	Record me 'aye'	
		Speaker Redmond		
		C. Davis		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Affirmative Roll Call	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Schlickman	Voting 'aye'	
27		Speaker Redmond		
		Miller	Aye	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Proceeds	
		Speaker Redmond		
28		Kelly	Questions	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Tipsword		
29		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Kelly		
		Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted	
30		Conti		
		Speaker Redmond		
	11:35	R. Dunn	S.J.R. #75	
		Speaker Redmond		



7.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Lucco	
31		Speaker Redmond	
		R. Dunn	Move to suspend rule
		Speaker Redmond	
		R. Dunn	Proceeds with S.J.R. 75
		Speaker Redmond	adopted
		Byers	
		Speaker Redmond	
32		Jaffe	Leave to table H.B. 2507
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
		J. Houlihan	Move H.B. 3316 be moved to Fall Calendar
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ebbesen	S.J.R. 95
33		Speaker Redmond	
		Totten	Supports
		Speaker Redmond	
		Leverenz	Question
		Ebbesen	Discussion
		Speaker Redmond	S.J.R. 95 adopted
34		Speaker Giorgi in the Chair	
		Giglio	H.R. 478
		Speaker Giorgi	
35		McCourt	Question
	11:47	Giglio	Discussion
		Speaker Giorgi	
36		Leverenz	Question



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	8.
		Giglio	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mudd	Yield?	
		Giglio	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		E.M. Barnes		
37		Speaker Giorgi		
		Giglio	To close	
38		Speaker Giorgi	Resolution adopted	
		Macdonald	Move change order of business	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Pierce	Point of personal privilege	
39		Speaker Giorgi		
		Collins	Point of personal privilege	
40		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lotten	Point of personal privilege	
41		Speaker Giorgi		
		Katz	H.R. 833	
		Speaker Giorgi		
	12:05	Kosinski		
42		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mulcahey	Yield	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Schneider		
43		Speaker Giorgi		
		Pullen		
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Darrow	Yield?
44		Katz	Discussion
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Darrow	Makes Motion
		Speaker Giorgi	Make written motion
		Speaker Giorgi	
		D. Houlihan	
		Katz	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		D. Houlihan	Take out of record
		Katz	Discussion
45		Speaker Giorgi	Take out of record
		Edgar	H.B. 3374, S.A. #1 - 12
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Totten	Explains
46		Speaker Giorgi	
		Edgar	
		Totten	Move to divide motion
		Speaker Giorgi	
	12:16	E.M. Barnes	
47		Speaker Giorgi	
		Totten	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Totten	
48		Speaker Giorgi	
		Collins	
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
		E. Barnes	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten		
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #1 to H.B. 3374	
		Edgar	Nonconcur in all	
		Speaker Giorgi		
49		Totten	Divide the question	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Edgar		
		Totten		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijevich	No #14	
		Totten		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		E. Barnes		
50		Speaker Giorgi		
		Marovitz	Parliamentary inquiry	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten	Answers	
51		Marotivz	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		McClain	Got on with it!	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Edgar		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		E. Barnes		
		Speaker Giorgi		





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	11.
		Mugalian	What do amendments do?	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Edgar	Explains Am. #1	
52		Mugalian	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten		
		Edgar	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi	Nonconcur in #1	
		Edgar	Am. #2	
		Speaker Giorgi	Nonconcur in #2	
		Edgar	Am. #3, move to nonconcur	
53		Speaker Giorgi	Nonconcur in #3	
		Edgar	Am. #4	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mudd	Wasting time	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten	Answers Mudd	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Edgar	Nonconcur in #4	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten	Opposes motion	
54		Speaker Giorgi		
		E. Barnes	Inquiry	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Edgar		
		E. Barnes		
		Speaker Giorgi	Amendments not proper	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	12.
		Edgar	Nonconcur in Am. 4-13	
		Speaker Giorgi	Nonconcurrent in	
		Madigan	H.J.R. 95	
		Speaker Giorgi		
55		Clerk O'Brien	H.R. 95, Am. #2	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
	12:40	Telcser		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten	Question	
56		Madigan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan	Explains	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. adopted	
57		Totten		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	H.J.R. 96, Am. #1	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madigan	Am. #1
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Totten	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Kent	Question
		Madigan	Discussion
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Daniels	
		Speaker Giorgi	Dilatory
		Daniels	
59		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madison	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Matijeovich	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ebbesen	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Telcser	
60		Speaker Giorgi	
		Pullen	
		Speaker Giorgi	Resolution adopted
		Telcser	
		Speaker Giorgi	
61		Daniels	Point of personal privilege
62		Speaker Giorgi	
		Van Dyne	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	14.
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Marovitz	Wants to be verified	
		Speaker Giorgi		
	12:50	Daniels		
		Speaker Giorgi		
63		Flinn		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Huff		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Affirmative Roll Call	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Daniels		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Unknown		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Giorgi		
64		Daniels		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Robinson		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Van Dyne		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Schuneman	Inquiry	
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	15.
		Mudd	Point of order	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Conti		
65		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues wil Roll Call	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Daniels	Raise their hands!	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues	
		Speaker Giorgi		
66		Daniels	Question of Roll Call	
67		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #1 is adopted to H.J.R. 96	
		Chapman	Am. #1 to H.R. 865	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Am. #1	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten		
		Chapman		
68		Speaker Giorgi	Am. not distributed	
		Chapman		
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #1...take out of record	
		Giglio	S.J.R. 55	
69		Speaker Giorgi		
		Friedrich		
		Speaker Giorgi		



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	16.
		Speaker Totten		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Giglio	To close on H.J.R. 55	
70	1:10	Speaker Giorgi		
		Giglio	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Giglio	Postponed Consideration	
		Speaker Giorgi	H.R. 865, Am. #1	
		Chapman	Explains Am. #1	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #1 is adopted	
		Chapman	Resolution 865	
71		Speaker Giorgi		
		Keats		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Chapman		
		Keats	Wants it read	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kane		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Schuneman	Question	
72		Speaker Giorgi		
		Willer	Explains her vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Pullen	Opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Jacobs	Opposes	
73		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	17.
	1:17	Chapman	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Ryan	Verification	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Stanley	Supports H.J.R. 865	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Skinner	Explains vote	
74		Speaker Giorgi		
		Friedrich	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Van Duyne		
		Speaker Giorgi		
75		Chapman	Not in order, poll absentees	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Williams		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Brummer	Aye	
		Speaker Giorgi		
	1:23	Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Affirmative Roll Call	
76		Speaker Giorgi		
		Ryan	Pardon?	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Stearney	Aye	
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues	
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	18.
		Porter	Change to 'no'	
		Speaker Giorgi		
77		Clerk O'Brien	Continues	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Polk	No	
		Speaker Giorgi		
	1:30	Schuneman	No	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Ryan	Question of Roll Call	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mann		
		Speaker Giorgi		
78		Ryan	Questions	
79		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Anderson	No	
80		Ryan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues	
		Speaker Giorgi	H.J.R. 865 is adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads S.B. 1456	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk Hall	Reads Am. #14	
	2nd Tape	Speaker Giorgi		
	1:40	Madison	Explains Am. #14	
81		Speaker Giorgi		
		McClain	Supports Am. #14	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	19.
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Campbell		
		Spekaer Giorgi		
		Madison	To close on Am. #14	
82		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bowman	Explains vote, supports	
83		Speaker Giorgi		
		Ewing		
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #14 fails	
		Clerk Hall	Reads Am. #15	
	1:50	Speaker Giorgi		
		Madison	Explains Am.	
84		Speaker Giorgi		
		McClain	Supports	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Campbell	Opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madison	To close on Am. 15	
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion fails	
85		Clerk Hall	Am. #16	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madison		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Campbell		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madison	To close	
86		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	20.
		Speaker Yourell		
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. fails	
	1:59	Clerk Hall	Am. #17	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madison	Am. #17	
87		Speaker Giorgi		
		Campbell		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madison		
88		Speaker Giorgi	Motion fails	
		Clerk Hall	Am. #18	
		Madison	Withdraws	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk Hall	Am. #19	
		Madison	Withdraws	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk Hall	Am. #20	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madison	Yield to McClain	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		McClain	Take out of record	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lechowicz	H.B. 2344, S.A. #1	
89		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
90		Von Boeckman	H.B. 297, S.A. #2	
	2:06	Schuneman		
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	21.
91		Leinenweber		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Yourell	Support	
92		Speaker Giorgi	Question	
		Schlickman		
		Von Boeckman	Discussion	
93		Speaker Giorgi		
94		Schlickman	Speaks to concurrence	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mautino	Question	
95		Von Boeckman	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		J. Dunn	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Giorgi		
96		Von Boeckman	To close	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bluthardt	Explains vote	
97		Speaker Giorgi		
		Vinson	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
98		Mugalian	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
	2:26	Pullen	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Ebbesen	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Hudson	Explains vote	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
99		Speaker Giorgi	
		Tuerk	
		Von Boeckman	Move to nonconcur
100		Speaker Giorgi	
		Schlickman	Discussion
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Schuneman	Inquiry
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion prevails
101		Speaker Redmond in the Chair	
		J.D. Jones	Presents Mayor Telford
		Mayor Telford	
102		C. Davis	
103		Speaker Giorgi in the Chair	
			Motion to nonconcur is adopted
		E.M. Barnes	
		Clerk Hall	S.B. 1583, 2nd Rdg.
		E.M. Barnes	
104		Speaker Giorgi	
		Telcser	
		E.M. Barnes	
105	2:45	Speaker Giorgi	
		Telcser	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		E.M. Barnes	
106		Speaker Giorgi	
		Stearney	
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	23.
		Mudd		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		McMaster	Point of personal privilege	
107		Speaker Giorgi	Take out of record	
		E.M. Barnes		
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1592, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Waddell	Announcement	
		Speaker Giorgi		
108		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1595, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Giorgi	Take out of record	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1601, 2nd Rdg. Am. 1-26 adopted	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Telcser	Motion to table #2	
109	2:55	Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijevich	Supports motion	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #2 tabled	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads motion to table #12	
		Telcser	Withdraws motion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #27	
		Winchester	Withdraws	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #28	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Giglio		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	24.
110		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijevich	Opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Cunningham		
111		Speaker Giorgi		
		Leverenz	Yield?	
		Giglio	Discussion	
		Winchester		
112		Speaker Giorgi		
		Giglio		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		P. Martin		
		Giglio	Discussion	
113		Speaker Giorgi		
		Giglio	To close on Am. #28	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #28 is adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #29	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Brady	Explains Am. #29	
114		Speaker Giorgi		
		Telcser	Opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
	3:10	Lechowicz	Opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
115		Cunningham	Yield?	
		Brady	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
116		J.D. Jones	Opposes
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Murphy	
117		Speaker Giorgi	
		J. Houlihan	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Leverenz	Moves previous question
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Brady	To close on Am. #29
	3:17	Speaker Giorgi	Am. #29 fails
118		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #30
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Mahar	Explains Am. #30
		Speaker Giorgi	
		McClain	Yield?
		Mahar	Discussion
		Speaker Giorgi	
119		Van Duyne	Question
		Mahar	Discussion
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Mautino	Yield? Opposes
120	3:22	Mahar	Discussion
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Mahar	To close
121		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #30 is adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #31
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	26.
		Speaker Robinson	Explains Am. #31	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. is adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #32	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Taylor	Withdraws #32	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #33	
122		Speaker Giorgi		
		Friedrich		
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #33 is adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #32	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matejek	Withdraws #34	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #35	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Steele	Explains Am. #35	
123		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #35 is adopted 3rd Rdg.	
		Griesheimer	S.B. 1601	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #36 to S.B. 1601	
		Speaker Giorgi		
	3:30	Griesheimer	Explains Am. #36	
124		Speaker Giorgi		
		Richmond	Supports	
		Speaker Giorgi		





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	27.
		Geo-Karis	Yield?	
125		Griesheimer	Yields to Richmond	
		Richmond	Discussion	
		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Birchler		
		Speaker Giorgi		
	3:35	Harris	Supports	
126		Speaker Giorgi		
		Winchester	Supports	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Deuster	Supports	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Griesheimer	To close on Am. #36	
127		Speaker Giorgi	Am. is adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Am. #37	
		Spekaer Giorgi		
		Stuffle	Withdraws Am. #37	
		Speaker Giorgi	3rd Rdg.	
		McCourt	H.B. 2684, S.A. 1 and 3 asks concurrence	
	3:40	Speaker Giorgi		
128		Darrow	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
		Tipsword	H.B. 2695, asks concurrence	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Schlickman	Asks for explanation	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	28.
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Tipsword		
129		Schlickman	Question	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten	Answers Schlickman	
130		Speaker Giorgi		
	3:45	Schlickman		
131		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mugalian		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Greiman		
132		Speaker Giorgi		
		Skinner		
		Speaker Giorgi		
133		Keats		
		Speaker Giorgi		
134		Daniels		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		J. Dunn	Moves previous question	
		Tipsword	S.A. #1 to H.B. 2695	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Conti	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
135		Williams	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Porter	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	29.
		Ryan	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijeovich		
136		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
		Ewing		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Vinson	Move to reconsider by on H.B. 2684	
		Speaker Giorgi	Not on that order of business	
		Brummet	H.B. 2787, S.A. #1	
		Speaker Giorgi		
137		Leinenweber		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Brummet	Explains Bill and Amendments	
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
		Ewing	Take H.B. 2929 out of record	
		Speaker Giorgi	H.B. 2969	
		McAuliffe	Moves concurrence	
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
138		Vinson	Vote 'aye' to 'no' on H.B. 2984	
	4:05	Speaker Giorgi		
		Greiman	Concurs with S.A. #1 to H.B. 3023	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Leinenweber		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	30.
		Greiman	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
139		Schuneman		
		Greiman		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Geo-Karis	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
		Breslin	'aye' on H.B. 2695	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Polk	Moves concurrence on H.B. 2730 S.A. # 1, 2, 5, 6	
140		Speaker Giorgi		
		Darrow	Question	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Polk	Explain Am. 1, 2, 5, 6	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Darrow		
		Polk	Discussion	
	4:12	Speaker Giorgi		
		Polk	Renews motion	
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
141		Ewing	Moves noncur in S.A. #1, 2 to H.B. 2929	
		Speaker Madigan in the Chair	Nonconcurs, Conference Committee appointed	
		Vinson	Nonconcur in S.A. #1 - H.B. 3220 Concur in S.A. #2 - H.B. 3220	
		Speaker Madison		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	31.
		Vinson	Repeats	
		Speaker Madigan		
		Leinenweber		
		Speaker Madigan		
	4:15	Vinson	Explains Am. #2	
142		Speaker Madigan		
		Friedrich	Question	
		Vinson	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz in the Chair		
		Waddell	Yield?	
143		Vinson	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Take out of record	
144, 145		Yourell	H.B. 3113, concur in S.A. 1-2	
146		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Tuerk	Yield?	
147		Yourell	Discussion	
	4:30	Speaker Lechowicz		
		Meyer	Yield?	
148		Yourell	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
149		Schuneman	Yield?	
		Yourell	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Conti	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Yourell	To close	
150		Speaker Lechowicz		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	33.
	4:50	Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #1 to H.B.	3394
		Pullen	Divide S.A. 2 and S.A. 3	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House nonconcurr in S.A. 2	
157		Pullen	Yield?	
		Kempiners	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House nonconcurr	
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from the Senate	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Matijeovich	Moves stand in recess	
158		Speaker Lechowicz	Recess	
		House in recess		
	7:12	Speaker Redmond	Conversations about quiz	
159		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Winchester		
		Speaker Redmond		
160		Lucco		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Leinenweber		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Macdonald		
	7:41	Speaker Redmond	House to order, Supplemental Calendar, Nonconcurrences	
		Geo-Karis	S.B. 1861, nonconcurrence	
161		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	32.
151		Bluthardt	Explains vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
	4:40	Huskey	Explains 'no' vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McMaster	Explains 'aye' vote	
152		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Conti	Explains 'aye' vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Ebbesen	Explains vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Leinenweber	Explains vote	
153		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Yourell	Explains vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Motion fails	
		Yourell	Move to nonconcur	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Schlickman		
	4:45	Speaker Lechowicz	House nonconcur in S.A. 1-2 to House Bill 3113	
		McMaster	H.B. 3233, concur in S.A. 1, 2, 3	
154		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs	
155		Kempiners	H.B. 3287	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Take out of record	
		Kempiners	H.B. 3394, concur in S.A. #1	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
156		Johnson	Question	
		Kempiners	Discussion	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	33.
	4:50	Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #1 to H.B.	3394
		Pullen	Divide S.A. 2 and S.A. 3	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House nonconcurs in S.A. 2	
157		Pullen	Yield?	
		Kempiners	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House nonconcurs	
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from the Senate	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Matijeovich	Moves stand in recess	
158		Speaker Lechowicz	Recess	
		House in recess		
	7:12	Speaker Redmond	Conversations about quiz	
159		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Winchester		
		Speaker Redmond		
160		Lucco		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Leinenweber		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Macdonald		
	7:41	Speaker Redmond	House to order, Supplemental Calendar, Nonconcurrences	
		Geo-Karis	S.B. 1861, nonconcurrence	
161		Speaker Redmond		





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	34.
		Geo-Karis	Am. 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14 moves to recede	
		Speaker Redmond	House refuses to recede	
		Matejek	S.B. 1786, Am. #1, refuse to recede	
		Speaker Redmond	House refuses, motion carries	
		Macdonald	Accept C.C.R. on H.B. 3225	
162		Speaker Redmond		
		Vitek	Distributed?	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Walsh	Introduction	
		Speaker Redmond	Report not distributed	
		Matijevich		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Tipsword		
163		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bills, 3rd Rdg.	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1601, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Telcser	Sponsor	
	7:50	Speaker Redmond	passed	
		Levin	Inquiry	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Tipsword		
164		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1510	
		Tipsword		
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1510, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Tipsword	Sponsor	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1510 passed
165		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1587
		Speaker Redmond	
		Telcser	Sponsors
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madison	Question
		Telcser	
		Speaker Redmond	passed
		Kent	Vote 'no' on 1601
		Speaker Redmond	
		Friedrich	Vote 'aye' on 1587
166		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bills, 2nd Rdg.
		Madison	Question
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1583
		Telcser	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	
167		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1592, 2nd Rdg.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #2
		Speaker Redmond	
		Summer	leave to table #2
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #3



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	36.
		Speaker Redmond		
		Sumner	Wants Mudd as Cosponsor	
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted	
		Sumner	Explains Am. #3	
		Speaker Redmond	adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1595	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1595, 2nd Rdg.	
168		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #2	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Steele	Am. #2 moves adoption	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Wikoff		
		Speaker Redmond	Am. #2 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #3	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Wikoff		
		Speaker Redmond	Am. #3, take out of record	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #4	
		Speaker Redmond		
169		Huskey	Sponsor	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Wikoff	Supports	
		Speaker Redmond	Am. #4 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #5	
		Huskey	Table or withdraw	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	37.
		Speaker Redmond	Am. #5 withdrawn	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #6 to S.B. 1595	
		Speaker Redmond		
	8:06	Wikoff	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond	Am. #6	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1595 to 3rd Rdg.	
		Ebbesen	S.B. 1523, refuse to recede	
170		Speaker Redmond	House refuses to recede Conference Committee	
		McClain	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond	Concurrence	
		Ryan	H.B. 3237, concur in Am. #2, refuse in Am. #1	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Concur - #2, refuse - #1	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madison		
171		Ryan		
		Speaker Redmond	Motions carries on Am. #1	
		Bowman		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Explains Am. #2	
		Bowman	Question	
		Speaker Redmond	House concurs in Am. #2	
172		Matejek	Introduction	
		Speaker Redmond	Nonconcurrences	
		D. Houlihan	S.B. 309, H.A. #1, House recede	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	38.
		Speaker Redmond	House recesses, H.A. #1	
		Getty	S.B. 771, House refuse to recede - Am. 1, 2, 3	
173		Speaker Redmond	House refuses to recede	
		Ryan	H.B. 3276,	
		Speaker Redmond		
		McClain	Question	
174		Ryan	Explains Am. to H.B. 3276	
		Speaker Redmond		
		McClain	Inquiry	
		Speaker Redmond		
175		Ryan	Concur in S.A. 6, 8, 14	
		Speaker Redmond		
		McClain		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Discussion	
		McClain		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan		
		McClain	Discussion	
176		Speaker Redmond		
		Schneider	Question	
		Ryan	Discussion	
	8:25	Speaker Redmond		
		Matijevich	Roll Call	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Moves nonconcur in S.A. #1	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	39.
177		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries	
		Ryan	House concurs	
		Madigan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Matijevich	Asks not support motion to concur	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Brummer	Question	
178		Speaker Redmond	Discussion	
		Matijevich		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Discussion	
		Matijevich		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Hanahan		
179		Speaker Redmond		
	8:35	Ryan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Withdraws, moves nonconcur	
		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1583, 2nd Rdg.	
180		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1583, Am. #4	
		Speaker Redmond		
	8:37	Skinner	Am. #4	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Telcser	Opposes	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	40.
181		Speaker Redmond		
		Bowman	Supports	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Skinner	To close	
182		Speaker Redmond		
		Skinner		
		Speaker Redmond	Am. #4 fails	
		Clerk Hall	Am. #5	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Peters	Moves adoption	
	8:45	Speaker Redmond		
183		Stearney	Sponsor yield	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Stearney	Question	
184		Peters	Discussion	
185		Speaker Redmond		
		Leverenz	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Redmond		
	8:50	Hanahan	Question	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Peters	Discussion	
		Hanahan		
187		Speaker Redmond		
188, 189		Tipsword	Question	
190		Peters	Discussion	
191		Speaker Redmond		
192	9:06	Tipsword	Speaks on Am., votes 'no'	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	41.
193		Speaker Redmond		
		Hoffman	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madison	Question	
		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries	
194		Peters	Close on Am. #5	
195		Speaker Redmond		
		Mugalian		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Pullen		
		Speaker Redmond		
196	9:18	Matijeovich	Opposes	
197		Speaker Redmond		
		Mahar	Explains vote, supports	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madison	Explains	
198		Speaker Redmond		
	9:22	Friedrich	Explains vote	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Cunningham		
199		Speaker Redmond		
		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Redmond		
	9:25	Ewell		
200		Speaker Redmond		
		J. Dunn		
201		Speaker Redmond		





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	42.
		Skinner		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Huff		
		Speaker Redmond	Am. #5 adopted to S.B. 1583	
		Schlickman	Moves to reconsider the vote	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Moves to table that motion	
		Speaker Redmond	Motion to table carries	
		Clerk Hall	Am. #6	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Satterthwaite		
202		Speaker Redmond		
		Peters		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Satterthwaite	To close	
		Speaker Redmond		
203		Wikoff		
	9:35	Speaker Redmond	Am. #6 fails	
		Clerk Hall	Am. #7	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Daniels	Question	
204		Speaker Redmond	Discussion	
		Satterthwaite	Explains Am. #7	
		Speaker Redmond		
		McCourt	Yield	
	9:40	Satterthwaite	Discussion	
205		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	43.
		Wikoff		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Satterthwaite	To close	
		Speaker Redmond	Am. #7	
		Satterthwaite	Explains vote	
206		Speaker Redmond		
		Johnson		
		Speaker Redmond	Am. #7 fails	
		Clerk Hall	Am. #8 to S.B. 1583	
		Speaker Redmond		
207	9:43	Keats	Explains Am. #8	
208		Speaker Redmond		
		Mann	Question	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Mann	Discussion	
		Keats		
209		Speaker Redmond		
		Friedrich		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Mann		
		Speaker Redmond		
	9:50	Catania		
		Speaker Redmond		
210		Madison		
		Speaker Redmond		
		McCourt		
		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	44.
		C. Davis		
211		Speaker Redmond		
		Campbell	Opposes	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Conti	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Keats	To close	
212		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Explains vote	
		Speaker Redmond	Am. #8 fails	
		Clerk Hall	Am. #9	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Stearney	Withdraws Am. #9	
213		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #10	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Stearney	Sponsor	
	10:00	Speaker Redmond		
		Geo-Karis	Moves previous question	
214		Speaker Redmond		
		Matijevich		
		Speaker Redmond	Am. #10 fails	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #11	
		Speaker Redmond		
215		Matijevich	Sponsor	
216		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Griesheimer	Yield?	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Matijevec	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	10:10	McMaster	
217		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Van Duyne	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
218		Lucco	Supports
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Van Duyne	Continues
219		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Matijevec	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Lucco	Supports
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Christensen	Moves previous question
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Matijevec	To close on Am. #11
220	10:15	Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schuneman	Opposes
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Hanahan	Supports
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #11 fails
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #12
		Speaker Lechowicz	
221		Leverenz	Withdraws
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #13



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	46.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Stearney	Withdraws Am. #13	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #14	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
222	10:20	Stearney	Explains Am. #14	
223		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McMaster	Yield?	
		Stearney		
224		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Geo-Karis	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Stearney	H.B. 1583, Am. #14	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madison		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Lienenweber		
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #14 fails	
225		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #15	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Van Duyne		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Skinner		
226		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #15 fails	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #16	
	10:30	Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madison		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #16 fails
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #17
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mugalian	Parliamentary inquiry
227		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Madison	Skip to Am. #21
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Peters	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #21
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Madison	H.B. 1583, Am. #21
228		Speaker Lechowicz	
229		Ewell	Supports
		Speaker Lechowicz	
230		Mann	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Waddell	Moves previous question
		Speaker Lechowicz	
231		Madison	To close on Am. #21
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Deuster	Explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #1 fails
232		Madison	Withdraws 17, 18, 19, 20
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Madison	Withdraws 22 and 23
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	48.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #24	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madison	Explains Am. #24	
	10:40	Speaker Lechowicz		
		Vinson	Supports	
233		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madison	To close on Am. #24	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #24 fails	
		Madison	Withdraws 25 and 26	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #27	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madison	Withdraws Am. 27	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #28	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
234	10:45	Madison	Explains Am. #28	
235		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Friedrich	Yield?	
		Madison	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #28 fails	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #29	
236		Speaker Lechowicz	Withdraws	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #30	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Stearney	No Amendment	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	49.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #30, read in full	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Stearney		
	10:50	Speaker Lechowicz		
		Ryan		
237		Speaker Lechowicz	#30 defeated	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #31	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Caldwell	Votes 'no' on Am. #30	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Withdraws Am. #31	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #32	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Withdrawn	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #33	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		J. Dunn	Explains Am. #33	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Kempiners	Opposes	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #33 fails	
238		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #34	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Withdrawn	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #35	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McClain	Explains Am. #35	
239		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Daniels	Opposes	
240		Speaker Lechowicz		





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	50.
	11:00	Peters	Opposes	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McClain	To close on Am. #35	
241		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #35 fails	
		Clerk Hall	Am. #36	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
	11:05	Anderson	Explains Am. #36	
242		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Barnes		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Kempiners	Explains vote	
243		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Anderson	Explains vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Deuster		
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #36 is adopted	
		Clerk Hall	Am. #37	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Taylor	Explains Am. #37	
	11:10	Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #37 is adopted	
Tape 4		Clerk Hall	Am. #38	
244		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Levin	Withdraws Am. #38	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk Hall	Am. #39	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Hanahan	Explains Am. #39	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	51.
245	11:15	Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #39 fails	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #40	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		P. Martin	Explains Am. #40	
246		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #40 fails, 3rd Rdg.	
		Daniels	H.B. 2970, concurrence	
247		Speaker Lechowicz		
		E. Barnes	Division of the question	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
248		Daniels	Explains S.A. #1	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #1	
	11:20	Daniels	Explains S.A. #3	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #3	
		Daniels	Explains S.A. #4	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
249		McClain	Yield?	
		Daniels	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
250		Dunn	Explains vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #4	
		Daniels	Explains S.A. #5	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Schneider	Yield?	
251		Daniels	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
252		Harris	Yield?	
		Daniels	Discussion	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	52.
	11:30	Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #5	
		Daniels	Explains S.A. #6	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Brummer	Yield?	
		Daniels	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
253		Satterthwaite	Inquiry	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Barnes	Opposes	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
254		Bennett	Questions	
		Daniels	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Mulcahey		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
	11:35	J. Dunn		
255		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Winchester	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Daniels	To close on S.A. #6	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McClain	Explains vote	
256		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #6	
		Daniels	Explains S.A. #7	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #7	
		Daniels	Explains S.A. #8	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #8	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	53.
257		Daniels	Explains S.A. #9	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #9	
		Daniels	Explains S.A. #10	
	11:40	Speaker Lechowicz		
		Schneider	Yield?	
258		Daniesl	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
259		Tipsword		
		Daniels		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McClain	Opposes	
260		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Friedrich		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Barnes	Opposes	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
261		J. Dunn	Opposes	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Daniels	To close on S.A. #10	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Margalus		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madison	Explains vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Peters		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
262	11:50	Satterthwaite	Explains vote	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	54.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Bowman	Explains vote	
263		Speaker Lechowicz		
		P. Martin		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McClain	Verification	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Katz	Aye	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Leverenz	Aye	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
264	11:55	Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Affirmative Roll Call	
265		Speaker Lechowicz		
266, 267		McClain		
268		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #10	
		Daniels	Explains Am. #12	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Bowman		
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #12	
269		Daniels	Explains S.A. #13	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #13	
		C. Davis		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madison		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	55.
	12:10	Speaker Lechowicz		
		Pullen	Change on Am. #8	
270		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Vinson	H.B. 3220, S.A. #2, concurrence	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Levin	Yield?	
		Vinson	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in S.A. #2 and nonconcurs in S.A. #1	
271		Kempoiners	H.B. 3287, concurrence	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
	12:15	Kempoiners	To close on S.A. #1, H.B. 3287	
272		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McPike	Verification	
		Speaker Lechowicz	New Roll Call	
		McPike	Explains vote	
273		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Geo-Karis	Explains vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Van Duyne	Explains vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.A. #1	
		McPike	Verification	
274		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	
		Speaker Lechowicz		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	56.
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Affirmative Roll Call	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Pierce	No	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
275	12:30	O'Brien	No	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
276		McPike	Questions	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Mautino	Aye	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
277		Luft	Aye	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McPike		
		Speaker Lechowicz	H.B. 3287, S.A. #1, House concurs	
	12:35	Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1583	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Telcser	Explains S.B. 1582	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Porter	Yield?	
278		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madison		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Matijevich		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Terzich	Moves previous question	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	57.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Stearney		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Geo-Karis	Explains vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1583 is passed	
279		Polk		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1592	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
	12:40	Summer	Explains S.B. 1592	
		Speaker Lechowicz	passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1595, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Wikoff	Sponsor	
280		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Ryan	Yield?	
		Wikoff	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz	passed	
		Epton		
		Speaker Lechowicz	H.B. 2808, House concurs	
281		D. Houlihan	H.B. 2885, Con. Com. #1	
	12:45	Speaker Lechowicz	House adopts Report #1	
		Epton	S.B. 1792, C.C.R.	
282		Speaker Lechowicz	House adopts	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1864, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #1	





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Vinson	Withdraws
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #2
		Vinson	Withdraws
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #3
		Vinson	Withdraws
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #4
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		E.M. Barnes	Withdraws
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	AM. #5
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		J. Davis	Withdraws
		Speaker Lechowicz	
283		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #6
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Winchester	Withdraws
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #7
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		J. Davis	Withdraws
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #8
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Winchester	Withdraws
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	59.
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #9	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Winchester	Withdraws	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #10	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		J. Davis	Withdraws	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #11	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Younge	Withdraws	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #12	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Younge	Withdraws	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #13	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Is withdrawn	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #14	
284		Speaker Lechowicz		
		J. Dunn	Explains Amendment	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Vinson	Urges defeat	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Satterthwaite	Urges Support	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. fails	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #15	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Winchester	Moves adoption
285		Speaker Lechowicz	
		E.M. Barnes	Supports
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Pullen	Yield?
		Winchester	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Pullen	Speaks to Amendment, urges defeat
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #16
286		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Anderson	Withdraws
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Lechowicz	3rd Rdg.
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from the Senate
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	12:55	Madigan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Totten	Moves House adjourn till 10:00
		Speaker Lechowicz	House adjourned

