

Doorkeeper Koehler: "Attention, Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in fifteen minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery. Attention, Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in five minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members, please be in their seats. Be led in prayer this morning by...Taylor Pouncey, will you lead us in prayer this morning? Led in prayer by Representative Taylor Pouncey. Representative James Taylor told me he was pretty good, so we'll find out."

Pouncey: "Ladies and Gentlemen, this morning I would like to quote from the 51st Psalm. Have mercy upon us, O Lord, according to Thy loving kindness. According unto the multitudes of Thy tender mercy blot out our transgressions. Wash us thoroughly from Thine iniquities and cleanse us from our sins for we acknowledge our transgressions and our sins are ever before us. Lord, I hope you lead us unto the path of...Righteous One. Guide us in our undertakings and let Thy... be done here this morning. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the record show that Representative Kornowicz is excused because of illness? That Representative Ewell is excused because of an emergency in his family? And that Representative Mann is excused?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none the record will so show. Representative Ryan, do you have any excused absences on the Republican side? Mr. Clerk, messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following titles, to-wit: House Bills 171 and 222. Passed by the Senate, June 2, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 131 together with an Amendment.



Passed by the Senate as amended, June 2, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 235 together with an Amendment. Passed by the Senate as amended, June 2, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following title, to-wit: House Bill #10 together with an Amendment.

Passed by the Senate as amended, June 2, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Committee Reports.

Representative Yourell, Chairman of the Committee on Counties and Townships, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 1, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendations: do pass Senate Bills 1132, 164, 179 and 189 and 452. Do pass Consent Calendar, Senate Bill 313.

Representative E. M. Barnes, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 2, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendations: do pass as amended House Bill 1005 and House Bill 2403.

Representative Matijeich, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 2, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendations: do pass as amended House Bill 1033, House Bill 2389.

Representative Flinn, Chairman of the Committee on Environment, Energy and Natural Resources, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 2, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendations: do pass Senate Bill 834.

Representative Chapman, Chairman of the Committee on Human Resources, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 2, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendations: do pass Senate Bill 301, 791. Do pass Consent Calendar Senate Bills 88 and 300."



Speaker Redmond: "Approval of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Fifty-first Legislative Day...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and the Journal #51 of May 5, 1977; Journal #52 of May 6, 1977 and Journal #53 of May 7, 1977, be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion, any discussion? The question's on the motion. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries.

Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, for purpose of an announcement."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Madigan: "Would any Committee Chairman who cancelled his or her meeting for next week or who failed to post for next week, please come to my desk right now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich, I think, has anticipated you. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been here long enough to know that overnight things happen quickly so I did post for next week and I want to make the announcement that we will meet Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday. Tuesday at 2 o'clock. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any other Committee Chairman? Representative Capparelli. Representative Capparelli, he's in foreign country but...on Representative Ryan's microphone."

Capparelli: "May we suspend the appropriate rules so that I may hear the same Bills that we heard yesterday for next week?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections leave is granted and we'll use the Attendance Roll Call. Representative Von Boeckman."

Von Boeckman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, like John, also posted notice of a hearing in the Motor Vehicle next week."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Hearing no objection leave is granted and the Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative E. M. Barnes. Representative Hoffman, sit down!"

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, in anticipating some...some



thing...some movement overnight, I did post for next Thursday.

At this point I would like to suspend the proper rules to have a posting for next Wednesday. Appropriations II will meet then, next week, Wednesday and Thursday...Wednesday and Thursday, there will no meeting, no meeting of Appropriations II on Tuesday."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection leave is granted, the Attendance Roll Call will be used for the suspension of the rules. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 294, Redmond. House Resolution 296, Ryan. House Joint Resolution 44, Mudd."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi. Take them out of the record for a moment: Other Resolutions? Introduction, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2411. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act providing for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Business and Economic Development. First Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 2412. Klosak. House Bill 2411 was Telcser's Bill.

2412. Klosak. A Bill for an Act providing for the reallocation of funds appropriated...Department of Transportation for Water Resource Operations. First Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 2413. Deavers. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Capital Development Bond Act. First Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 2414. Ryan. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Transportation Bond Act. First Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 2415. Bartulis, Sharp. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions." Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 294 by yourself honors Sister Mari-stella Dunlavy on her fine work that she's done over the years And the Resolution that the fellas have been getting engraved with meticulous and patience...artistic transcriptions. 296 by Ryan honors the Class A Elementary Championship Track Championship of Kankakee. And House Joint Resolution 44 by Mudd talks about information...information. And I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond, "Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'



have it. Motion carries. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted.

General Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 42, Porter, to Committee on Assignment. And House Resolution 295 by the Rules Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments.Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 297, Tuerk, et al, with respect....with respect to the memory of Elmer Bloom of Peoria."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Death Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Death Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carries. Death Resolution's adopted. Senate Bills First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 161. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 312. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 366. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to payment and distribution of money received by officers and employees of State of Illinois. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 403. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 497. A Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 524. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 573. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Property Control Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 727. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 728. A Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 767. A Bill for an Act to make appropriation to the Department of Transportation for expenditures by the Division of Water Resources. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 797. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code.



First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 821. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Practice Act.

First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 850. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 856. A Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Commercial Code. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 887. A Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 907. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning Public Utilities. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 929. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Clinical Laboratory Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 935. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Employees Group Insurance Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 936. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Employees Group Insurance Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 937. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Employees Group Insurance Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 938. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Employees Group Insurance Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 968. A Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1033. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the creation and management of forest preserves districts. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1037. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act requiring compensation for causing death by wrongful acts, neglect or defaults. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1040. A Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Corporation Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1059. A Bill for an Act to amend the Law Enforcement Officer's Civil Defense Workers Civil Air Patrol Members, Paramedics and Fireman's Compensation Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1164. A Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill.



Senate Bill 1202. A Bill for an Act to provide for ratification of negotiated agreements affecting pay of state employees. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1203. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act authorizing municipalities to incorporate by reference of provisions of National Reorganized Technical Codes and Public Records. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1219. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to granting of assistance to indigent war veterans and their families. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1300. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Pension Impact Note Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1301. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1325. A Bill for an Act relating to placement of children. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1335. A Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Again, may I encourage the House Sponsors of Senate Bills to pick them up. Just for your information we have exactly, we're exactly in the same posture now that we were two years ago with respect to Senate Bills in the...and the House Appropriation Bills. The lull we've had in the two last weeks should not lead anybody to think that our work is done or that we're not facing a mountain of work because we are. There are seventeen Senate Bills that have no Sponsors. Will you please identify yourself if you intend to be a Sponsor? Seventeen. Representative Matijevich, do you have a motion?"

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I've cleared this on both sides of the aisle. I ask leave that all House Bills on Third Reading, substantive Bills awaiting companion appropriation Bills, and all House Bills on consideration postponed substantive Bills awaiting companion appropriation Bills that the...the deadline be extended from this week until midnight Friday, June 10th, a week from today and leave that the Attendance Roll Call be used for that purpose. As I've said..."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any objections? Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I want to make sure that we know what Bills we're



talking about. Do we have a list of those Bills or...by numbers?"

Matijeich: "Representative Ryan, they are all on the Calendar. They're the ones that we extended once and we're just extending them one more week. They're all of those on Third Reading, substantive Bills, awaiting companion appropriation Bills and those on page 8 that are on Consideration Postponed substantive Bills awaiting companion appropriation Bills. They're all right there on the Calendar, there's no...we didn't slip any in on you this time."

Ryan: "I appreciate that very much. It might be best for the record, Mr. Speaker, if we couldn't have the Clerk read those. It shouldn't take him more than thirty second."

Matijeich: "Fine."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you read the Bills, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Under House Bills, Third Reading, substantive Bills awaiting companion appropriation Bills, appear House Bills 41, 219, 555, 714, 820, 1025, 1046, 1601, 1633, 1807, 1835, 1861, 1886, 2000, 2127,.... the next Bill number we're looking up to see what it is..2173, 2212, and 2313, appearing on page 8 on the Calendar under Consideration Postponed. Substantive Bills awaiting companion appropriation Bills appear House Bills....excuse me, appears House Bills 583, 684, 1030, 1091, 1178, 1247 and 1942."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeich renews his motion. Is there any objection? Hearing none, the question is on Representative Matijeich's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 122 'aye' and 2 'no'. Gentleman's motion prevails, the rule is suspended. Did you have another motion with respect to a Senate Bill?"

Matijeich: "Yes...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, after we did our little business last night, Art Telcser came over to me and we all know that there's a Bill that didn't pass and we all want it to pass, it's a supplemental relative to Vocational Rehabilitation. And I have a motion on Senate Bill 344, having voted on the prevailing side by which 344 was declared lost that we reconsider that vote. And I so move...."



Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the vote by which Senate Bill 344 lost be reconsidered. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 139 'aye' and 2 'no' and the motion by which Senate Bill 344 failed to pass is reconsidered. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 344. This Bill has been read a third time previously."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser. Representative Walsh and Representative Edgar, will you please sit down?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 344 is a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Vocational Rehabilitation. It involves all federal funds which have to be spent before this fiscal year is out. The House Appropriations Committee amended the Bill taking a little bit of the money out, had to go back to the Senate for concurrence and I appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "May I make an inquiry of the Sponsor, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "You may, proceed."

Tipsword: "Now as I understand it, this is a supplemental for this year on Vocational Rehabilitation?"

Telcser: "Right, just...."

Tipsword: "Fine. The reason I was asking, Representative Telcser, I'm...

I received some disturbing communication in the last several months in regard to the Department of Vocational...or the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation. I'm going....I want to support this supplemental so that we certainly don't stop whatever work they're doing but when we get around to the Budget Bill perhaps I'm going to raise a point that....getting a lot of static that they're not really helping people and they're spending more money on perpetuating the administration and the people who are in jobs in there and I hope we get a chance really to carefully look into that because I'm getting a lots of...lots of complaints from people who should be being helped by vocational rehabilitation."

Telcser: "Well, Representative, I'm familiar with what you are talking



about and I think that you'll be very favorably impressed when you meet this director, if you haven't already, Jim Jeffers, who I think will be doing an excellent job in getting the agency shaped up. But before the...."

Tipsword: "I sure hope so."

Telcser: "ICE Bill is heard, I invite you, I know Jim would love to talk with you about...."

Tipsword: "I'll be glad to talk with him, too. I'm sure he has every good intention but I'll tell you, a lot of the...in house bureaucrats that we find in that division he's going to have difficulty with and I hope we can help him out."

Telcser: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's a 155 'aye' and no 'nay'. And the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority hereby declared passed. Tell them where you got it, Representative Telcser.

Representative Brady, do you seek recognition? Make way for Brady."

Brady: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I believe I have a motion up there, I wonder if we could hear it at this time? Maybe the...could the Clerk read the motion, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. I move to suspend Rule 66-B and to discharge the Committee on Revenue from further consideration of Senate Bill 1251 advanced to the Order of Second Reading, Second Day, Representative Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, this deals with a Bill which would save harmless Cook County in a situation where because of the multiplier they stand to lose in local taxes to the schools somewhere between five and ten million dollars. It's imperative that we act on this immediately if we're going to do anything to rectify this situation because it has to be done before the certification which will take place at the end of next week. And that's why we're moving this to discharge Committee to put it on the Calendar. We had moved to suspend posting rules by the courtesy of Chairman, Representative Dan Pierce and his Revenue Committee this morning.



We're unable to get enough Members for a quorum and so we're asking for this motion at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman is perfectly correct that we did post yesterday, had the rules suspended, posted this Bill for hearing this morning at 9 o'clock in the House Revenue Committee. The Gentleman did come and unfortunately, due to some misinformation that'd been spread last night around different watering holes, people were being told that there were no Committee Hearings, when this Committee was announced on the floor to be meeting, we were two votes short of a quorum. I want to thank those Members who did show up at 9 o'clock for the meeting. It shows the kind of trouble that you can get into around here when people start playing games. Yesterday everyone was mad about discharging a Committee and now those same people by failing to show up in Committee today forced another motion to discharge Committee and cast...cast further bad light on our Committee system because this is an important Bill. But it's certainly no fault of the House Sponsor, he was there. It's an important Bill. It's an emergency Bill. It has to be...get to the Governor's desk by the end of next week and therefore I am supporting this motion to discharge Committee. And I'm just...I just apologize to witnesses that were there this morning that came from Cook County that we did not have a quorum in the Revenue Committee. The Chairman, the Vice Chairman were there, eleven Members were there but we lacked the quorum and therefore I support the Gentleman's motion to discharge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain, do you seek recognition on this motion?"

McClain: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, although I'd like to ask questions on the issue of...of the Bill, but that's really not speaking to the motion. If I can have leave, I'd like to ask a couple of questions on the ...on the matter of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

McClain: "Mr. Brady, I don't quite understand the Bill but, let's see, the way I understand it is that one quadrant...in Cook County, you ...you assess property in four quadrants. Now one quadrant has come up with a multiplier of over one, is that correct?"



Brady: "All right."

McClain: "That's correct? And...and so the..."

Brady: "There...there is a single multiplier for the whole county but it's affected because one quadrant was assessed differently."

McClain: "Okay. So now that...you assess quadrants every year. So now...let me ask...let me ask this question. What kind of effect will that have on State School Aid Formula dollars holding you harmless with your assessed valuation last year? Won't that..."

Brady: "Pardon me, Representative, maybe I can explain that to you. It will not affect the State School Aid Formula because it's not holding me harmless as of last year. What it is doing is, in effect, it will assure that school districts are able to collect their 1976 tax extensions on a local basis, that's what we're doing by this."

McClain: "It...it increases local dollars....right?"

Brady: "That's correct."

McClain: "Okay. That has no effect, you're saying, with state money?"

Brady: "That...that is exactly what I'm saying. It increases them up to the point or the level they were last year."

McClain: "Okay. If the multiplier would have come into effect, would you lose State School Aid Formula dollars?"

Brady: "No."

McClain: "So all we're dealing with totally is local taxing-money."

Brady: "That's right. In Cook County."

McClain: "And it has nothing to do with state monies?"

Brady: "That's correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman, Representative Walsh, please sit down. I'll put on the lights, will you do that again, Representative...."

Hoffman: "Yes, turn on the current. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just wanted to vouch for Representative Brady's explanation of this. You've got to remember that in the Formula we deal with the rate and here we're getting it at the assessed valuation. It's not often that I have an opportunity to do this and so whenever I get the change, I would like to take it."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on Representative...Representative Skinner, should have known."



Skinner: "Well, unless you're blind or else we're being childish like everyone was last night when all the Committees were adjourned...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Apparently the school districts in the northern quadrant in Cook County, in fact in every quadrant of Cook County, are going to come back crying to the General Assembly every year from now until the end of time because the local taxes are going up, the local assessments are going up. As the local assessments go up, guess what happens? They end up getting less state aid, well that's not...excuse me, that's not what happened here. What happened was the assessments went down and so they're going to get more state aid but the school districts are so greedy that they'll take more money no matter which... options is, even if it means the local taxpayer will have to pay more money locally in order to receive less money from the state. Now what I said was, we're going to have to pay more money locally in order to get less state aid and that is precisely what is going to be the case if this Bill passes. We are never going to solve the problem in Cook County for...for school districts which seems to have an insatiable appetite for money from whatever source until Cook County gets away from the quadrant assessment system. It is never going to happen. We're going to have an emergency every year. Now I would plead with my Cook County colleagues to come up with something other than this little rubber patch on a...on an inner tube that ought to be blown up and burst."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tom Miller."

Miller: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think it would be proper for the discussion on the Bill itself to take place on Third Reading. Clearly there was an effort to have the Bill heard in Committee. Clearly there is a deadline of June 14th to work out the problems in Cook County and I would move the previous question, Mr. Speaker, and ask support of my fellow House Members to allow this Bill to be moved to the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brady to close."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, fellow Members, I thank Representative Miller for that comment. I think it would be appropriate for anybody who has any problems with this Bill, I would be glad to discuss it with



them at length. I don't see that it's adversely affecting the people who have gotten up to speak. It's very important. This has taken place after the sixty day notice by which school boards have to say how many teachers they're going to have next year. In discussions with the Assessor's Office they say that within three years they might be assessing the entire Cook County on a one basis time rather than in a quadrant format. This was used once before. I think it was voted fairly well by both Houses in this General Assembly. And I would urge your support of this motion at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's a 121 'aye' and 9 'no' and the Gentleman's motion carries. Are there any further announcements by any Committee Chairmen? Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Mr. Speaker, there is pending on the Calendar today House Joint Resolution 295 which has been reported back with a 'do adopt' resolution by the Rules Committee. Mr. Speaker, if you would like to proceed with that, Sir,....."

Speaker Redmond: "I would."

Katz: "I think it would be in order. Mr. Speaker, may we...you want to read the...have the Clerk read that....."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you read it, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 295. Be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 80th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that Rule 9.1 of the Rules of the House of Representatives is readopted, effective immediately; and be it further resolved, that Rule 9.1 is repealed as of June 30, 1977."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Rule 9.1 is that rule that the House adopted to deal with House Bills. It created a Supplementary Consent Calendar and a Short Debate Calendar and I think all the Members of the House that I have heard have been enthusiastic with regard to the way that enabled us to dispose of large numbers of bills, fairly treating everyone and still doing it, within



the time limit that we have set forth. The Rules Committee, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, met two days ago and unanimously recommended that that same rule which expired by its own terms after House Bills were disposed of, that that same rule be available for the handling of Senate Bills that come to the House. The Members will recall that it has to do with putting matter on a Supplementary Consent Calendar, permitting people to strike matters off of the Supplementary Consent Calendar, creating a Short Debate Calendar that includes matters that can be handled expeditiously with a short explanation and a proposal by proponent and opponent. And that procedure that worked so well for the period prior to the time when all the House Bills went out would, under House Resolution 295, be extended to June 30, 1977. It was unanimously adopted by the Rules Committee and I do recommend the adoption of House Resolution 295."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, do you care to comment?"

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, can we hold that Resolution for about five minutes?"

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Ryan: "I'll go over and speak with Representative Katz."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh...which.... House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 2001, Representative Porter. Gentleman asks leave to return it to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Representative Porter. Read the Amendment. Yes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Porter. Amends House Bill 2001 on page 1, line 1 by changing 'an appropriation' to 'appropriations' and so forth."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when this Bill was before the Appropriations Committee last week a Member of the Committee asked if I would line item it and all the Amendment does is to set forth the detail of the appropriation of \$125,000 and I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 1251, Representative Brady. Senate Bill 1251, Representative Brady."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1251. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments...."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Amendments from the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative....Bradley. Representative Flinn, Monroe Flinn."

Flinn: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think I filed a fiscal note on that 1251. I didn't know we could move it to Third with a...with such a request filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Has there been a request for a fiscal note? We'll check it and see; and if it was filed, it'll have to come back to Second Reading. House Resolution 295, Representative Ryan, you have any discussion now? Representative Ryan, House Resolution 295."

Ryan: "It's...fine, Mr. Speaker, let's go with it."

Speaker Redmond: "The question on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of House Resolution 295. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 129 'aye' and no 'nay'. Motion carries. I hear noises but I don't see anybody. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I wanted to vote on that Bill and I pushed my light to explain, briefly, my 'no' vote. I just don't think that we should be doing this. I didn't think we should have 'did' in the first instance and I'm sure that although many people are satisfied with what developed from that there are many of us that 'was' dissatisfied because there are too many things that sneak through. And I use the words 'sneak through' on those agreed lists in those Short Debate Calendars that simply should not be there. So I'd like to be recorded 'no' on this motion...."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman will be recorded as 'no'. The fiscal note has been filed so we'll have to return, I mean the request, so it will have to be returned to the Order of Second Reading. House Bills Second Reading. House Bills



Second Reading appears House Bill 1051."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1051. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments...."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1059."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1059. A Bill for an Act to provide fiscal educational assistance to parents with children...."

Speaker Redmond: "There's been a request for a fiscal note on this.

Representative Meyers. Representative Meyers.

Meyer: "Sir, there's a companion appropriation Bill on Third Reading, 2377. I don't believe the Fiscal Note Act applies, 2377 is the companion appropriation Bill on Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Take this out of the record temporarily, we....

1593, Representative Deavers. You want to call 1593? Out of the record. House Bills Third Reading. 613, Representative Von-Boeckman, do you want that one called? Out of the record. 1047, Representative Meyer? Out of the record. 1349, Representative Shumpert? Out of the record. 1538, Representative Younge? Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, could we move 1047 to Third Reading in...."

Speaker Redmond: "It's on Third Reading now."

Meyer: "Oh, fine, thank you, never mind."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you want to call it for passage?"

Meyer: "No, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "1038, Representative Younge, do you want that called?

Out of the record. 1594, Representative Simms. Representative Simms, 1594? Out of the record. 1736, Representative Polk. Representative Walsh, you seeking recognition? You had your hand up. Representative Polk. Out of the record. Representative Matejek. Out of the record. That would be 1834."



Speaker Redmond: "2126, Representative Dan Houlihan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2126. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Supreme Court to provide funds to the Division of Probation of the Administrative Offices of the Illinois Courts. Third Reading of the Bill."

D. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2126 is the appropriation for the substantive Bill identified as House Bill 2127. I would at this time like to point out that subsequent to House Bill 2127 on the Calendar is House Bill 2173 sponsored by Representative Lauer. House Bill 2127 and House Bill 2173 are practically identical, dealing with the same subject matter. And I would ask leave at this time to table House Bill 2127 and then to proceed with House Bill 2173 and to ask leave to be added as a hyphenated Cosponsor with Representative Lauer on his Bill, 2173. And the Bill now before us, 2176, will be the appropriation measure for 2173 and ask leave to hear 2173 with 2126."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, the first motion is leave to table 2126. Am I correct on that?"

D. Houlihan: "That's correct... 2127."

Speaker Redmond: "You want to table 2127? What order is that on?"

D. Houlihan: "That's on..."

Speaker Redmond: "That's a companion Bill, is that correct?"

D. Houlihan: "It's a companion Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave to table 2127? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. 2127 is tabled. Now, Representative Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "Then, I would ask leave at this time to hear House Bill 2126 with it's substantive companion Bill which will be House Bill 2173."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, too, would request the courtesy of adding Representative Houlihan as a hyphenated Cosponsor on 2173 and also that 2127 be considered the companion appropriation Bill for 2173."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to be added as a



Cosponsor? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Is leave granted to hear both Bills together? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Will you read the second Bill, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2173. A Bill for an Act in relation to a subsidy for probation officers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D.L. Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "Well, at this point, I will now defer to Representative Lauer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer. Representative Conti, for what purpose do you rise?"

Conti: "Well, I'm a little confused, Mr. Speaker. 2126 appropriates two million, two hundred thousand dollars to the Supreme Court and 2173 is a state subsidy to juvenile probation officers, provides for an increase in state subsidy. How could they be companion Bills? One's a direct appropriation and the other one's an increase in the state subsidy."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "Well, the substantive Bill which is House Bill 2173, provides among other things for an increase in the subsidy payments to juvenile probation officers and also provides for subsidies to adult probation officers. Presently, in 2126 which is the appropriation measure, the increase which would be necessitated if 2173 be adopted, that appropriation is in 2126. The appropriation is two million, two hundred thousand dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2173 is the beginning steps of going to a state-wide probation system that would be as it is presently drafted, administered by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Supreme Court. Representative Getty and Representative Catania, both have Bills that provide for state-wide probation systems. Unfortunately, at the current time, there is no money available to finance the end result of a state-wide probation system such as would be envisioned in Representative Getty and Representative Catania's Bills. I think Representative Houlihan would agree with me as the state-wide... as the State Probation Officers



Association agrees with me that either Representative Getty or Representative Catania's Bills is the end result that we would all like to achieve. But since we do not have the money at the present time to fully finance, to fully fund these Bills, it seems that it would behoove us to get started toward this worthy, very worthy goal. The thrust of House Bill 2173 is such that it makes the beginning steps, it provides for a professionalism in state-wide probation officers. It provides for a certain standard of quality. It provides for certain levels of training. It provides for a subsidy so that the state takes over and increases the subsidies that are now made to the counties for the state-wide probation officers. Mr. Speaker, since we can't have the whole loaf at this time, we can't fund it, it seems to me that we should go ahead and pass these Bills in order to get started so that three to five years down the road, we can have what is truly a state-wide probation system funded by the state and afforded by the state. The cost of the system as we envision it in it's start-up time of the first two or three years is about \$2.2 million. This seems adequate and I think that it would fund it and the thing is, the Governor also agrees that we should get started toward the goal of state-wide probation systems. And the Governor has said that he will sign the Bills, that the money can be found to fund them and get them started as of January 1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

D: Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to join with Representative Lauer in seeking the support of the Membership for the adoption of these Bills. There have been probation Bills introduced since 1972 in some form seeking state-wide probation services and none have yet to be enacted. Professional salaries are not paid in most of the counties and hiring standards and practices vary widely throughout this state. Probation caseloads have gone to twice and in some counties five times the nationally recommended level of thirty-five per probation officer. Now, the consequences of these excessive caseloads are that first the approximately sixty thousand individuals on probation of court supervision cannot be adequately supervised to insure public protection.



Secondly, Judges often do not receive information on offenders prior to sentencing. This is essential if Judges are to know of any current or past probation orders. Thirdly, individuals on probation do not consistently get the services needed to successfully complete probation and these services include diagnostic testing, counselling and employment assistance. I think it's a very reasonable Bill that does have bipartisan support. And we would ask for your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Ewing: "Would you explain to us how these new probation officers will be appointed?"

D. Houlihan: "They'd be appointed by the county."

Ewing: "Are they approved..."

D. Houlihan: "The individual counties subject to the minimum qualifications and standards to be promulgated by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts."

Ewing: "Now these... these standards are not in the legislation. They are going to be promulgated by the Court Administrator?"

D. Houlihan: "That is correct. They will be standards to be adopted by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Court."

Ewing: "And will the setting of the salaries be at the state level?"

D. Houlihan: "No, the setting of the salaries will be pursuant to the individual County Boards."

Ewing: "Now, will the state set minimum salaries?"

D. Houlihan: "The state will, pursuant to this legislation, provide a maximum compensation as far as the subsidy not to exceed five hundred dollars both for adult probation officers and juvenile probation officers. Presently, for juvenile probational officers this is three hundred dollars a month. It will also provide a minimum salary for probation officers of eleven thousand dollars per year in order to qualify for the subsidy."

Ewing: "Who will appoint them in the county? The court, local circuit?"



D. Houlihan: "No, it'll be pursuant to the direction of the County Board of Commissioners."

Ewing: "And just one final question. Do you anticipate that we would find ourselves in anywhere similar situation where we have now where the counties would be directed to pay certain salaries at the state... we would set them like we do for our Judges and yet we wouldn't provide them the funds for that?"

D. Houlihan: "I'm not quite sure just what you're referring to, Representative."

Ewing: "Well, right now we have a number, at least downstate counties who are quite concerned about the fact that we continue to raise Judges salaries and the local county has to pick up a share of it. They have nothing to say about what that Judge is paid anymore, but they are directed by us here in the General Assembly to pay a portion of that salary. And every time we up it, they've got to up their contributions."

D. Houlihan: "This legislation provides for a maximum, a maximum, not a minimum, but a maximum of five hundred dollars per month. Right now, the maximum is three hundred dollars a month and that's for juvenile probational officers."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, if I may speak to the Bill. I believe that state-wide probation probably has some excellent merits. I think probably we have some very poorly staffed and manned probation officers across this county, across this state. I believe also that we have some very good probation officers. But I think that this General Assembly should be aware, should be aware that this Bill reduces local control of the probation officers and, in essence I believe, transfers it to the State of Illinois. And I think wherever you vote on this matter, you should be concerned and aware of this important change in direction in our probation offices. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Will the Sponsor yield? Representative Houlihan, where do we find this item in the Governor's budget?"

D. Houlihan: "Let me defer to my Cosponsor, Representative Lauer. He'll answer that."



Cunningham: "No, I didn't ask him. I want you to answer."

D. Houlihan: "Do you want the answer?"

Cunningham: "Yes."

D. Houlihan: "I'm going to give it to you from Representative Lauer, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well do you know?"

D. Houlihan: "Yes, I know, but I want it to come from Representative Lauer."

Cunningham: "Well, but I wanted you to say that we weren't... that you would assert this in the future, that we were stopped from pointing out in further expenditures and not in the Governor's budget. It isn't there, is it? Now, Speaker, I have a right to pick out my pigeon and if I would have wanted Representative Lauer, I'd have done so. And I resent trying to be shuffled off here and I want that fellow over there to answer as to where in the budget we find this item."

D. Houlihan: "That answer's going to come from his side of the aisle, Mr. Speaker. Representative Lauer will answer the question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If the Gentleman from Lawrence will cease and desist, I will attempt to extricate him from his thoughts. I have been in contact with the Governor's office and the Governor has assured me that the money is available and that he will be delighted to sign both the substantive Bill and the appropriation Bill intact."

Cunningham: "Now, Speaker, going back to the Sponsor, I want you to know I want you to tell us how you got him to agree to this."

Lauer: "I think probably, Roscoe, that yours is the most persuasive verbal power in the House of Representatives. However, all things come to those who are quiet and wait and by playing a waiting game, I was able to persuade the Governor that this was a good idea."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to speak for a moment or so. Sometimes I become weary of being with a party that gets suckered into positions so often. Now, I'm going to vote for this Bill though I share all of the misgivings so well stated by Representative



Ewing because the public has become persuaded that the local communities have neither the resources nor the savoir-faire to successfully run a probation system. and they believe that it should be done on a state-wide basis. I have more confidence myself in our own particular district but the rest of you, perhaps you do need state-wide guidance. But you must recognize when you vote for it that you're relinquishing any local control whatever. And there's the financial aspect here that needs to be carefully weighed by each of us. We've spent several months here loudly proclaiming if it isn't in the Governor's budget, we must hold the line. But we see revealed, naked before us one of the basic principles of politics - where there is sufficient pressure, the money is found. And we should have been able to force the Assistant Majority Leader to publicly say that we are not stopped in the future from asserting this defense. I fear that in the days that lie ahead, he will repeatedly stand up but you found the money there. That's why I wanted him to publicly say that so that he would not be in that position of defense. Finally, I want to point out to you that in voting 'aye' for this Bill that's greased and is going to slide through with an overwhelming vote, I, for one, am speaking against the financial interests of my home county because we're hopeful of having a new penitentiary there in a few days and we will need residents from throughout the state to come... I'm speaking... and we'll have residents throughout the state to come to the County of Lawrence. We want to invite all of you to come down and see it when we get it. But we're going to vote 'aye' on the Bill because the community... the opinion state-wide is that it's needed.

Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, want to reiterate the words of my colleague who just got through speaking. I am happy to see for a change that we have a Governor that is working with the General Assembly, coming up with many agreed Bills. However, I was asked to come down here on January 12th, to pick a Minority Leader who I did not vote for, but who



I feel and I have the utmost respect for that Gentleman in this chamber. And I am getting sick and tired, for one, I am getting sick and tired of hearing about agreed Bills and I hope that the Governor's speaker's on in his office. When they come to an agreement on a Bill, I wish they'd let my Leadership know because the other day I had to vote against the school Bills for special education which included a million dollars. Now, I've seen subsidies on these various programs start with two million dollars, three million dollars and then just like Bernice Vanderice... when I was up in the gallery, started the Common School Distribution Fund, says it would never exceed eighteen million dollars. Today, I don't have to tell you that subsidy is one billion, one hundred million dollars. And if we're going to have agreed Bills here, I wish it would come through the Leadership. And if we can find money to take away local control of probation officers and give them two million dollars and vote against the special education class, from here on out, I am voting 'no' on all appropriation Bills so that I can go back home and tell the people that I'm not taking money away from schools, giving it to the probation officers, taking ten million dollars away from here and putting it there. If it's going to be an agreed Bill, I've got a Leadership on this side and I wish they'd inform me or I wish we could take five minutes caucus to tell us Members what's going on on these agreed Bills. Again, I'm happy to say that I like to see a Governor work with the General Assembly. I like to see more agreement Bills, but I certainly would like to know what's going on. I don't want to learn it secondhand."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mulcahey. I mean the Gentleman from Winnebago."

Mulcahey: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Pierce: "Sponsor indicates he'll yield to a question."

Mulcahey: "Could either one of you Gentleman tell me whether or not the additional funds that the counties are going to have to pick up are those funds in their budgets? Are they going to be able to afford it?"

Lauer: "Would you repeat that question please?"



D. Houlihan: "Let me respond if I may to Representative Mulcahey.

This doesn't have an impact on your individual counties. This is a subsidy system from the state to the counties up to a maximum amount."

Mulcahey: "So the counties will have no additional, additional funds to put into this matching-wise?"

D. Houlihan: "In effect, it saves the counties money."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, is recognized."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think the Sponsor said... had put one word in his argument for this Bill. He said, 'Now, I want you to know this is a first step.' It's a first step towards further centralization of our government, taking it away from the local communities. It's another first step in giving the Judiciary more power. And I, for one, the Judiciary wanted to get out of politics and I want to help them. And I'm not going to vote for this Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes. Mr. Barnes is recognized."

E. Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Would the Sponsor, either Sponsor, yield to a question?"

Speaker Pierce: "They indicate they'll yield. Which one would you like?"

E. Barnes: "Either/or. I want... my question is..."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Lauer looks like he could answer."

E. Barnes: "... and I wanted to make sure that I'd heard this debate properly. My question is, as I understand this is an agreed Bill with the support of the Executive Branch. My question is, number one, how much is this going to cost? I understand because the answer has been answered earlier that it's not in the budget, but I would like to know how much will this cost this fiscal year?"

Lauer: "\$2.2 million."

E. Barnes: "Then, my second question is in the agreement and I hope that many Members of both sides of the aisle on the appropriation process is listening very closely. In the agreement of the Governor who has \$2.2 million item here that is not emphasized, is



not in his budget request, but has said that he will support and sign into law what will be used, I would assume, for salaries and deferring operational costs of the probation system, finding that a higher priority than the education of our kids in this state since he said that monies for education that was not in his budget, he could not see fit to insure that those funds are available."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, in response to Mr. Barnes..."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Lauer, you're on."

Lauer: "I don't know whether it's a question or a comment."

E. Barnes: "It is a question. Will the \$2.2 million that are projected in this budget, what will the largest percentage of that money go for in this program?"

Lauer: "It'll go for parole supervision and I think, Representative Barnes, you would have to acknowledge that that is in itself an educational process."

E. Barnes: "What is parole supervision? Is it not a fact that this \$2.2 million, the bulk thereof, will go for salaries to probation officers for increases?"

Lauer: "It'll also go for the development of standards, for training and for programs."

E. Barnes: "It will go for increases for pay for the people that are involved in this probational system. Is that not a fact?"

Lauer: "Only partially, Representative Barnes. In that, if we can reduce the rate of recidivism through an adequate and effective probation system, I think ultimately we will have it yield a reduction in state expenditures."

E. Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the issue for just a moment?"

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes, to speak on the issue."

E. Barnes: "Well, I think the underlying thing here in the basic bottom line is that we see coming out of the Executive Branch, a priority, I have seen very little in the six months that this administration has been there, but it seems to me that their priorities are a bit upside down. Here we have a Bill that is admittedly \$2.2 million



outside of the Governor's tight fiscal request that he says in our year of sacrifice to raise... to seek monies for raises and increased funds for the probational officers. Now, I'm not saying that these people that are working in the system may not or should not be beneficiary of these raises in salaries, but I'm saying in terms of priority when there was no money, no money, when the administration lobbied in my Committee and on this House floor for any raise; any raise for welfare recipients who have not received a raise for the last four years; when there is, according to this administration, no money, no money for educational purposes, when they are requesting of this General Assembly in the appropriation process thereof to insure, to insure that educational programs are cut back because of... according to the administration and all of the people that have appeared before our Committee that no money was available for those purposes. I merely want to state one fact here categorically, if this... if the priorities that this administration is setting forth to insure that people that is employed by them will receive more and additional monies from this General Assembly for salaries over and beyond the education of our children, over and beyond the socially deprived folks of this state, fine. If that's what he wants, that's what he wants to do, I want to make it clear, clear that I will not support any priorities in that aspect. I will not vote for this Bill and I would suggest to the Members of the House to hold their votes, to hold their votes until the administration can get their priorities in some kind of shape so we can understand where they are going for the public, the people of the State of Illinois can understand where this administration is going in this year, this year that he says is the year of sacrifice. I only want to know whose sacrifice. The little people of this state, not the people in his administration."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, is recognized.

Representative Peters is recognized."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is with some trouble that I rise to express my opinion on this particular Bill and trepidation. And I do so because as the Minority



Spokesman on the Republican side of the aisle in the Appropriations Committee, I certainly have the obligation to the Members of the Committee and to the Members of this side of the aisle to uphold what appears to us to be a policy of fiscal restraint in these very pressing times of fiscal crisis. I also have the obligation as the Minority Spokesman to uphold the programs put forth by the Governor. I also find myself between two individuals on this side of the aisle who have spoke for and against this measure who I consider to be very dear and close friends. Representative Lauer, who has worked for a long period of time and has had a great interest in this; and Representative Conti, who so eloquently spoke earlier on the subject and with whom I have had a long political relationship and a friendship going back some ten or twelve years. It is extremely difficult for me, Ladies and Gentlemen, to say to the Members of my Committee or ask the Republican Members on this side of the aisle to vote against a ten thousand dollar appropriation for an agricultural fair building for Representative Mautino or to vote against a fifty thousand dollar expenditure somewhere else or five million dollars for foster children as was required and asked for by Representative Bradley or three million for that matter for the museum. And then, to find... then to find that we find two, two and a half or three million dollars somewhere else. I know that these things do end up happening in this political process we are in. I do know that the pages in the books sometimes end up shifting and changing. And I had a very great problem here in making a decision and suggesting to you either a support for Representative Lauer and Representative Houlihan in what is an extremely fine and good program for the state, and yet to maintain my credibility with you and the Members on the Republican side of the aisle when I do ask you to vote against some of these programs in terms of fiscal restraint. Like Representative Conti, I regret that Members on our Committee and on our Leadership, let me just speak for the Members of my Committee. I don't know the Leadership position in this. We're not informed of this. I regret that we were not brought in for the picture so that we could discuss



the problem with all of you as indicated by Representative Conti. Again, I cannot suggest to you how you should vote or not vote on this. But for me, I am going to maintain the position I have made in attempting to uphold the budget that was put to us and vote 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania, is recognized if she desires."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It is certainly true that we were given a budget by Governor Thompson and we were asked to adhere to that budget. But the Governor is not God and he can't think of everything. He didn't think of little old ladies who were covered in the Displaced Homemakers Bill that we passed last week. And although he himself has always supported a uniform, state-wide probation program, he wasn't personally the person who put together his corrections package in its entirety. He recognizes that probation is an essential part of solving the corrections crisis that we all know that we have in the State of Illinois and I don't think we should hold it against him, that it wasn't included in his original budget. We desperately need a state-wide probation program. Right now, we have seventy separate probation programs in the State of Illinois. There is no unity. We are ending up with a situation where almost half of the counties in the State of Illinois aren't putting people on probation. Instead, they are sending them directly to the Illinois prison system where they cost us about ten thousand dollars a year and whereas you know, they can come out hardened criminals because they are caged with hardened criminals. We desperately need state-wide probation. I repeat, it is economically sound to do this because it does, believe me, in the long run, saves money. It doesn't take a mathematical genius to figure out that if you have to pay ten thousand dollars a year to keep someone in the prison systems and that they're probably going to return to that system many times in the course of their lives because they never had decent probation, it's going to end up costing the taxpayers a lot more money in the long run. And I ask for your support of this Bill as a start towards state-wide



uniform probation."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "The Chairman of one of the Appropriations Committee or the Appropriations Committee I presume, this Bill, the money for this Bill will go through, commented briefly on the priorities of the Thompson administration. And I must admit that I have a difficult time separating campaign rhetoric from either the Democratic candidate for Governor and the performance of some of the Democrats who supported that Gentleman or the rhetoric of my Governor and his supporters from what is actually happening when you look at the Bills as they go through this General Assembly. But for the record, I think I would like to lay the priorities as I see them. Number one, tied for number one are public aid and the R.T.A. Both of them have been funded and 100% of the statutory authorization. Number three or perhaps number 1A is law enforcement which is funded at over 100% of what the budget was. And a clear fourth is education. Now, if there's an extra two million dollars in the budget, everybody on this floor has got a chance to decide where they want to put it. They can put it here or they can put it in education or they can put it in welfare or they can even put it in the R.T.A. But this is one of those votes on which we're going to decide what we think is most important. And it'll be interesting to see who votes how."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As a Member of the Judiciary Committee, I can recall when the substantive Bill was heard in Committee at least a month ago that would very clearly advise that this was the Governor's favorite approach at the time we were faced with three separate proposals - the Getty approach, the Catania approach, and the Lauer-Houlihan approach. You can also say as far as the priorities are concerned, one of certainly my priorities and I think many of those who ran, took a priority that they were strongly anti-crime. I served as a Member of the Adult Corrections Subcommittee, were hearing on determinate sentences in all area of adult corrections. And I would say that there was one common theme we heard from every witness,



no matter what portion of law enforcement, of the criminal law enforcement they were in. Whether they be police chiefs, prosecutors, Judges, lawyers, people involved in organizations representing the rights of defendants. It was all said that the number one tool to crime prevention would be a better and improved and extended probation system. Now, this did not come just from the bleeding hearts. It came from all avenues of law enforcement and it was particularly impressed upon us by chiefs of police and prosecutors and sheriffs who were daily involved in crime. They decried the lack of adequate tools to put a person on probation and they claim that this would be the strongest single weapon to fight crime. So I certainly say that if we're going to have priorities, certainly one of mine was to fight crime which as we all agree, is somewhat rampant in the streets of America. So I certainly say that if we're going to change slightly and certainly two million is not a great change in a budget of almost six billion, if we're going to make any kind of modifications, I think it ought to be in the area of law enforcement. This is the most effective tool in combatting crime and so I certainly urge that we commence with voting approval of these Bills."

Speaker Pierce: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Vitek."

Vitek: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and debate is closed. The... which Gentleman desires to close? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. D. Houlihan, to close."

D. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In response to the concerns that have been expressed respecting the fiscal impact of this legislation, I'd like to point out that the Governor has asked for an additional forty-five million dollars for the Department of Corrections for fiscal year 1978 and that figure includes twenty-five million dollars for capital expenditures. Now while I think that budget priority is understandable,



a comparison of cost between prison or probation is important and is significant as respects to this legislation which is before us today. According to the Department of Corrections, it costs twenty-two thousand, eighteen dollars a year to maintain a juvenile in a state correctional institution and almost six thousand a year to each adult. The cost for a proposed new five hundred bed prison is estimated to be twenty million dollars or forty thousand dollars per prisoner. Now in contrast to this, the cost to adequately supervise the some-sixty thousand individuals on probation and court supervision in Illinois would be about one thousand per year per individual. I would also like to point out that in the three forms of state-wide probation Bills that were submitted to this House for its consideration, one of which is now in the Senate, that House Bill 2126 and House Bill 2173 represent by far the most economical approach to the problem. As to respect to the issue that was raised respecting local control and that this would be a vitiating of local control, let me point out that the hiring will still be in the individual County Board of Commissioners so that that essential element of local control is maintained in this legislation. Now, that fact is that there is no state agency which is responsible for establishing and implementing minimum standards for probation personnel or for the training of probation officers. No state agency is responsible for gathering information, identifying needed resources, or upgrading probation into an effective component of the justice system. That's why this legislation is necessary and I respectfully submit that's why it needs your support."

Speaker Pierce: "The question is, shall House Bill 2126 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Further explanation of votes? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to explain my 'aye' vote. I view this as the first step towards a state-wide probation system. We have already passed out as was mentioned during debate House Bill 875 which indeed does create a true state-wide probation system. I believe that this is an alternative, first step that could be enacted immediately, delaying the effective



date of the Bill that I sponsored by a year or two in order that we can begin the groundwork for that system. And in that way, I urge and encourage your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, is recognized to explain his vote."

Deuster: "Representative Leinenweber referred to the combat we would engage in against crime. A number of Members on this side of the aisle who are anxious to be cooperative with our Governor and I'm reminded as Larry DiPrima and I discussed once, there's an old saying about when the trumpet blows uncertain, what soldiers will run into battle. I think yesterday we all received a message that the Governor sometimes gives us signals as he did during the campaign that he would not enter into the E.R.A. discussion. Yesterday we came in here, found a message on our desk. I think Members on this side of the aisle should not be too concerned about following the Governor's directions, but to vote on the merits. I think that we... this is an example for setting priorities. My schools need the money. We... more than the probation system. We have an excellent probation system in Lake County and I think this is an opportunity to make a choice and so I encourage you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, is recognized to explain his vote."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of House Bill 2126 and remind you in connection with what Representative Getty previously said, this House has acted on House Bill 875 in passing it over to the Senate by a 115 to 14 vote. I think it's absolutely essential that we have state-wide standards throughout the State of Illinois in our probation system. One of our major Chicago Newspapers did a series of articles on probation throughout the State of Illinois, I think did an excellent job in pointing out the discrepancies in various areas of the state. I think it's a very simple matter that we're dealing here. That is whether or not we can attack the criminal justice plan and assist them to stop recidivism in the criminal justice system that we are experiencing today. I heartily encourage



your 'aye' vote. I suggest this is a very logical approach to a very serious problem and one that we can deal with on a continuing basis and suggest that we put our 89 votes up there and pass this Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, is recognized for explanation of vote."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I reluctantly rise to oppose this Bill based on the statements made by two of my previous colleagues and for the fact that this Bill is going to cost us about seven million dollars after it's full blown this year and when they get the program totally blown up and fully funded, it's going to be a thirty million dollar program. It's certainly worthwhile legislation, I cannot argue with that; but I don't think that we need it now. I don't think we can afford it now. I think it ought to be put off for a year and for those reasons. I think, Mr. Speaker, that this Bill ought to be defeated and I would certainly hope that we could do that here this afternoon."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, is recognized for explanation of vote. Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to refute one of the figures that was just thrown at you by the distinguished Minority Leader. As the Bill is presently drafted, the ultimate cost would be five million dollars a year. Five million, that's maximum. As the Bill is presently drafted, in order for the cost to get higher, certainly either Mrs. Catania's Bill or Mr. Getty's Bill would have to be passed and become the vehicle. The present system and it is meant as simply a step in the right direction is to get the program started. And until such time as this General Assembly in it's wisdom would pass a different Bill, the maximum cost would be five million dollars a year. This is one of the reasons why the Governor chose to support this Bill and did promise to sign it because it is a case, Mr. Speaker, that this was one that he saw was affordable by the state in it's present financial circumstances. That being the case, I strongly urge an 'aye' vote up there and I'd like to call to the Speaker's attention that the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Bill number is 2173, not 2126."

Speaker Pierce: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti, is recognized for explanation of vote."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I have never asked for a verification of the Roll Call and I'm not going to ask for a verification of the Roll Call on this, but I strongly warn those that are pushing buttons of people who are absent here today if this continues, I will then ask for a verification of the Roll Call. I have never done it in all the terms that I've been here. I don't want to start today."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, is recognized for explanation of vote. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes, rise?"

E. Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, I know it's getting late and many of us and myself included would like to get away from here. This is an extremely important Bill and I can look up at that board and name ten people that aren't here. I would suggest that we dump this Roll Call, let everybody vote their own switch so we don't have any problems."

Speaker Pierce: "All right, we'll take a new roll, Mr. Clerk. And then, Mr. Hoffman, is recognized for explanation of vote. In fact, he's recognized right now. Dump the Roll Call please. Okay, we'll call... The question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'no'. Explanations of votes, Mr. Hoffman, the Gentleman from DuPage, is recognized."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're involved not only in a debate today about state-wide probationary systems, we're involved in a debate or a discussion about what are priorities. We have already set in this General Assembly in past Sessions priorities and commitments which we have been unable to make up to the present time. All you have to do is to look at your mail in terms of education issues, in terms of health and mental health issues to know that the priorities that we have set in the past, we have not met those commitments. I would suggest to you that it is unwise for us as a legislative Body to make more commitments



that we'll be unable to keep. And it's also unfair to the general public. It's wrong to give people false hopes. And as I've mentioned before on the floor of this House, that probably this fact alone and perhaps this fact more than any other factor has led to the disillusionment on the part of the general public in terms of a responsiveness and in terms of the action of legislative Bodies. We are Representatives and we do have a responsibility. And I would suggest to you that on this Bill because of the present fiscal condition of the state that we have but one responsible vote to make regardless of how we may feel about a state-wide probationary system, and that is a negative vote."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, is recognized to explain his vote. Mr. Madison, where are you? Okay. The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell, is recognized to explain his vote. No? All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. D. Houlihan, is recognized to explain his vote."

D. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. In explaining my vote, I would like to point out that this objection that has been raised to the Bill, first of all, is not to the merits of the legislation. Even the opponents agree that this is a very meritorious piece of legislation, one that is very necessary in addressing the needs of this state. But to use the question of fiscal impact is to use the same argument that has been utilized to defeat such legislation at least for the past five years. Now, this Assembly is being characterized and some take great pride in the fact that it is a law and order legislative Body. I suggest to you that if we do not pass this legislation, then as far as being effective to the law and order issue that all we are doing is headline hunting and we are not addressing this thing seriously or responsibly. This Bill carries a price tag of \$2.2 million. It is a substantial amount of money, but it is in no sense the largest appropriation that we are going to be considering for this kind of a program. I think reasonableness and responsibility dictate an affirmative vote for this Bill. And I think the failure to pass this at this time will place this in the same position of each of the prior Assemblies



that we give lip service only to this concept and that we will not make the hard decision to adequately fund this very necessary system of state-wide probation. I urge your support of the measure. I think it is extremely reasonable and there is no question, how necessary it is at this time for our state?"

Speaker Pierce: "Further explanation of votes? The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I do believe that this is a necessary program but at the present time, we've all talked about what our priorities are and I believe that if we would cut out spending in some of these areas, we could fund education 100%. And I think that it's time that we put some 'no' votes on the board on these various programs, fund education, then what's left over we can spend for programs like this. I think until we do that and get our priorities in the right order, that we should vote 'no' on these type of issues."

Speaker Pierce: "Have all spoken who wished? For what purpose does the Gentleman, Mr. Friedrich, rise?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, despite your admonition, there are switches being pushed green of people who are not here. Now, the difference between that is whether this Bill is alive or dead and I don't know what you have to do to tell people not to push other people's switches on a side Roll Call, but they're doing it."

Speaker Pierce: "We've told them already. Thank you, Sir."

Friedrich: "But they're still doing it, Sir. There's green votes up there of people that are not here."

Speaker Pierce: "They may be in the back temporarily. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Mr... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, is recognized."

D. Houlihan: "Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman requests Postponed Consideration. The Bill will be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration. The... both Bills, the companion... the substantive Bill and the companion appropriation Bill. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Madison rise, Mr. Byers?"

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you have extraordinary powers being Speaker



and could you do something about the air in here and warm it up a little bit?"

Speaker Pierce: "I think it's very comfortable but if you're cold.... you can wear a sweater, that's what President Carter is doing. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco, for what purpose do you rise; if any?"

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ..my privilege to introduce to the Body here the newly elected members of the State Park Commission who are seated up in the balcony above the Speaker's rostrum. Please rise."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise? Excuse me, Mr. Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, also to the rear of the chamber in the balcony are students from one of the schools in Chicago represented by .. Representatives Giglio, Collins and Dawson. Glad to have you here."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Matijevich, for the purpose of an announcement."

Matijevich: "Yes, as long as he introduced two, I'll go that route, too. We have Wayne Kirby who is the Assistant Bill Clerk over from Petersburgway in the yellow jacket over there. And...and also from the Illinois Nurse's Association, Julia 'Chehak'. Come on, Julia, stand up there."

Speaker Pierce: "Okay, on the Order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 2213, the Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge, is recognized."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2213. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the East St. Louis Industrial Develop...."

Speaker Pierce: "Please take it out...the Bill out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 2296. The Clerk will read the Bill. Out of the record. Out of the record. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 2356. Out of the record. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 2359. Out of the record, Mr. Caldwell says. On the Order of Third Reading, House Bill 2364. Out of the record. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 2369. Mr. Kane, the Gentleman from Sangamon."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2369. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Treasurer. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane, is recognized."

Kane: "We'll take this out of the record."

Speaker Pierce: "The Bill is taken out of the record. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 2377. The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2377. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Illinois Parent Educational Assistance Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer, is recognized. Do you wish to make a motion?"

Meyer: "No, I...not in regard to this Bill but House Bill 1059, Representative Hoffman has moved his objection in...to the fiscal note."

Speaker Pierce: "That Bill is on Second Reading and that'll be moved to another order of business. Do you have a companion Bill to this Bill that you'd like leave to be heard with this Bill?"

Meyer: "No, the House Bill 1059 is the companion Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "You wish to proceed on this Bill?"

Meyer: "Take it out of the record."

Speaker Pierce: "Take this Bill out of the record. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 2381. Out of the record. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 2397. Mr. Kane, Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr....take it out of the record. That's State Government Reorganization. On a motion, the chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Laurino. Mr. Bradley, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a motion we adjourn until 12 o'clock...."

Speaker Pierce: "You're not recognized for that purpose, Sir."

Bradley: "Well...."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to suspend the appropriate rule to have the Senate Bills posted to the Elections Committee for next Wednesday, Senate Bills 29, 584, 668, 876, 824, 963, 964, 1149, 1177, 1189, 1204 and 1378."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend the Posting Rule, is it, so those Bills may be heard in Elections Committee."

Laurino: "Next Wednesday."



Speaker Pierce: "Yes. Do we have unanimous consent? Hearing no objections the Attendance Roll Call is taken and the appropriate rule is suspended ...the Bills may be heard in Elections Committee. Now the Gentleman... the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty, is recognized. For what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to help clear the Calendar. I'm the principal Sponsor of Senate Bill 779, I'd like leave to table it."

Speaker Pierce: "Does the Gentleman have leave? On what order does that appear?"

Beatty: "First Reading."

Speaker Pierce: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections the Bill is therefore tabled and we have one less Bill to contend with. On...on the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, is that Mr. Meyer's order? House Bills...on the Order of House Bills Second Reading, the chair recognizes Mr....the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer...."

Meyer: "I've spoken...."

Speaker Pierce: "Motion to House Bill 1059."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1059. A Bill for an Act to provide financial educational assistance to parents with children in autonomous schools in the state. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, there was a fiscal note requested by Mr. Hoffman who still opposes the Bill but is very gracious enough to remove the fiscal note....request."

Speaker Pierce: "Any Amendments from the floor? Mr. Hoffman from DuPage. Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading." Anything else for the good of the order of the body? Any announcements? The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, is recognized for....explanation of something."

Geo-Karis: "...Good afternoon, Mr. Speaker, I see that we have a House Calendar, Supplemental Calendar 1, are we going to take up those two things?"

Speaker Pierce: "The Sponsor of this did not inform the chair. Okay, on the Speaker's table appears the Senate Joint Resolution 45, the Adjournment Resolution. The Clerk will read the Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 45. Resolved by the Senate of the 80th General Assembly of the State of Illinois the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the Senate adjourns on Thursday,



June 2, 1977, it stands adjourned until Wednesday, June 8, 1977, at one (1) o'clock. And when the House of Representatives adjourns on Friday, June 3, 1977, it stands adjourned until Wednesday, June 8, 1977, at twelve (12) o'clock, noon."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley, do...on the Adjournment Resolution."

Bradley: "Can I do it? Can I have it added to it?"

Speaker Pierce: "Oh, the Gentleman while they're...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Laurino, is recognized. Mr. Madigan's seat."

Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to suspend the rules to have Senate Bill 999 posted for Wednesday also."

Speaker Piece: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend the appropriate rule to post Senate Bill 999 for hearing by the House Committee on Elections on Wednesday? ...Unanimous consent? The Gentleman have consent? The rule is suspended and the Clerk requests that the Chairman of the Elections Committee bring his list down to the floor. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "I wanted to be heard on the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Pierce: "While we're reverting back to Mr. Bradley to explain the Resolution and then we...you can have...you can say something on it if you wish. The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley. You're on."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I now move that we adjourn until Wednesday, June 8, at the hour of twelve (12) o'clock with the exception, I would like to remind the Members that there will be Appropriation Hearings held on Tuesday at two (2) o'clock, I believe. If that's not the correct time, I see...."

Speaker Pierce: "Where? At the polling place or here?"

Bradley: "Two, two, two o'clock, Approp I on...Tuesday, June 7th, Appropriation I and Appropriation II are both scheduled on June 7th. Now if June...is Appropriation II not being heard? All right then, Johnny Matijevich is over here at Approp I and...here he is. John, is that right? You're meeting at two (2) o'clock Tuesday? Two (2) o'clock Tuesday."

Speaker Pierce: "The Chairman of...."

Bradley: "Approp...Approp I. So now I move that we adjourn...."

Speaker Pierce: "No. No. On...on the...for what reason...."



Bradley: "Move the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Pierce: "All...all those in favor of adoption... On the question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, has something important to say."

Walsh: "Very important, Mr. Speaker. I can understand why the Gentleman, the maker of the motion stammered. He is in the bag, as are most Democrats, we are completely subjugated in this House by the City of Chicago and by the fact that they have a local election up there and for that reason, and that reason alone, we are not working Monday and Tuesday. The Speaker announced earlier today that we are in the same position now that we were in two years ago...."

Speaker Pierce: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, arise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, to set the record straight. We recessed this House so all these downstate townships could hold their elections April the 5th and I think Bill Walsh is cognizant of that. I think it just slipped his memory."

Speaker Pierce: "I thought that was very informative. Mr...the Gentleman from Lake, for what purpose do you rise, Mr. Matijevich?"

Matijevich: "Point of order. There's no election. Governor Thompson has already conceded. It's automatic. It's just going through the paces."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Walsh, you join in that concession."

Walsh: "All right, if...if I may conclude, Mr. Speaker. I think it's atrocious. We are losing two very valuable days that we will not be able to address the business of the State of Illinois... We are doing it for that specific purpose and I contest, Mr. Speaker, the statements that they make with respect with local elections elsewhere. We do not close down this House for school, parks, other elections that we are vitally interested in. It's a sham, Mr. Speaker, and it's a shame and it just shouldn't take place. And I want to offer my objection and I vote 'no' if I'm given the opportunity on the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Pierce: "I don't know if this is debatable. Mr. Madison, the Gentleman from Cook, what do you say about this?"

Madison: "As a point of information, Mr. Speaker, I know that Chairman of Appropriations I announced that Appropriations I would be meeting Tuesday. But Chairman Barnes of Appropriations II announced that



Appropriations II would be meeting Wednesday."

Speaker Pierce: "That's the way it goes sometimes. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have the appropriate rule suspended to post three Bills...."

Speaker Pierce: "Can you hold that until we adopt? All we're doing is adopting this Resolution, we're adjourning. Am I right, Mr. Bradley? ...Going back to Mr. Caldwell. Oh,...just adoption of the motion. Mr. Bradley moves adoption of the motion. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed.... All right, now, the 'ayes' have it and the Adjournment Resolution is adopted but we're still in Session for... for Mr. Caldwell, the Gentleman from Cook."

Caldwell: "Yes, I wanted to ask permission to post Bills for hearing next Wednesday of the House Committee on Public Utilities."

Speaker Pierce: "Do you have the number of those Bills? Can you bring the list down to the Clerk?"

Caldwell: "Let me get them."

Speaker Pierce: "All right. The Gentleman moves for leave to post Senate Bills in the House Public Utilities Committee and on that.... Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, is recognized."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I certainly don't want to delay the proceedings here, I know everybody wants to go home. But if we're going to start suspending rules for posting then I wish somebody would come over here and talk to me about it or I'm going to have to object. And I have heard nothing about this. This is news to me. And if the Gentleman wants to suspend the rules I wish he'd come and talk to me."

Speaker Pierce: "Can you hold that for a minute, Mr. Caldwell, and see the Minority Leader because...he likes to be consulted on these things. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Madison cleared up the Appropriations II Meeting and so I have nothing further to add."

Speaker Pierce: "I'm glad it's cleared up. All right, any further announcements? Mr. Bradley...hold it a minute. We want to straighten out Mr. Caldwell there before we adjourn."

Caldwell: "Did Mr. Ryan say okay?"

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Ryan, what do you say, Sir?"



Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know what Bills he's talking about and I don't know why it's necessary to do....and I...I...certainly would like to have an explanation of that."

Speaker Pierce: "These are Senate Bills that have been assigned to Public Utilities probably today and it's the last...what day do you meet next week, Mr. Caldwell?"

Caldwell: "On Wednesday. What happened. We...we had...I don't know why the Digest didn't show it, we had asked earlier in the week to ...we wouldn't have...we cancelled our meeting for this week and we said we'd have a meeting next Wednesday. Now in the meantime, yesterday, six Bills, five Bills, came over from the Senate and my girl was sick and I guess I assumed that they were being posted...."

Ryan: "Well, Mr...."

Caldwell: "The number of the Bills, Mr. Ryan...."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Caldwell, let me say this...."

Speaker Pierce: "...The Gentleman from Kankakee."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker....part of the problem, as I have tried to mention earlier in the Session this week is that the Bills are not available for our staff people to get ahold of analyze. They're not printed. We can't get ahold of them and I think it's certainly unfair to suspend the rules to hear Bills that we can't even get to read. And for that reason I've got to object. I don't know why we have to suspend the rules, why we can't take this through the normal process and do it like we're supposed to."

Caldwell: "All I want to do, could we post them today, could we have permission of...you...you have access to them they...days of the week."

Speaker Pierce: "Objection is heard, Mr. Caldwell, your Committee does meet again, does it one more time, June 14, Tuesday, June 14th?"

Caldwell: "I'm certain we do."

Speaker Pierce: "My schedule shows that your Committee will meet again June 14th and to....Mr...Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr....to expedite things for Representative Caldwell. Louie, you can make this motion Wednesday, the Bills will be printed Wednesday. You can move to suspend the rules Wednesday for the hearing. That's the daily hearing anyway."

Speaker Pierce: "All right. Objections were noted. Back to the Gentleman



from McLean, Mr. Bradley, to send us on our way."

Bradley: "I now move we adjourn till twelve (12) o'clock, Wednesday,

Mr. Speaker. Wednesday...."

Speaker Pierce: "The Clerk has some little business to be done here.

Mr. Clerk, can you announce your order of business?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Five minutes perfunctory time to read Senate Bills,

First Reading and Committee Reports."

Speaker Pierce: "Mr. Bradley moves that in approximately five minutes

the House will adjourn till noon on Wednesday. All those in favor

will say 'aye', opposed..... The 'ayes' have it. The Clerk will

continue for five minutes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Reports.

Representative Schneider, Chairman of the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken, June 3, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendation: Do pass Senate Bill 27. Do pass as amended Senate Bill 286.

Senate Bills First Reading.

Senate Bill 516. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code.

First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 517. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code.

First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 652. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code.

First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 672. A Bill for an Act to regulate public service and stations and jacks in Illinois. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 922. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Aeronautics Act. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1056. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code.

First Reading of the Bill.

No further business, the House now stands adjourned."



<u>l.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1	12:30	Speaker Redmond	House to order
1		Taylor Pouncey	Prayer
1		Speaker Redmond	
1		Madigan	Excused absences
1		Speaker Redmond	
1		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from the Senate
2			Committee Reports
3		Speaker Redmond	
3		Clerk O'Brien	
3		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
3		Madigan)	
3		Speaker Redmond	
3		Matijeovich	
3		Speaker Redmond	
3		Capparelli	
3		Speaker Redmond	
3		Von Boeckman	
3		Speaker Redmond	
3		Barnes, E. M.	
4		Speaker Redmond	
4		Clerk O'Brien	Introduction, First Reading
4		Speaker Redmond	
4		Giorgi	
5		Clerk O'Brien	HJR 42. HR 295
5		Speaker Redmond	Committee on Assignment
5		Giorgi	
5		Speaker Redmond	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

2.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	6		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bills First Reading
	7		Speaker Redmond	
	7		Matijeovich	Motion
	8		Ryan)	
	8		Matijeovich)	
	8		Speaker Redmond	
	8		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Bills
	8		Speaker Redmond	Matijeovich's motion prevails
	8		Matijeovich	SB 344-motion
	9		Speaker Redmond	Motion prevails
	9		Clerk O'Brien	SB 344-3rd Reading
	9		Speaker Redmond	
	9		Telcser	
	9		Speaker Redmond	
	9		Tipsword)	
	10		Telcser)	
	10		Speaker Redmond	Passed
	10		Brady	Motion - SB 1251
	10		Clerk O'Brien	Reads motion
	10	1:00	Brady	
	11		Speaker Redmond	
	11		McClain)	
	12		Brady)	
	12		Speaker Redmond	
	12		Hoffman	
	12		Speaker Redmond	
	13	1:05	Skinner	



3.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	13		Speaker Redmond	
	13		Miller	Moves previous question
	13		Speaker Redmond	
	13		Brady	To close
	14		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries
	14		Katz	HR 295
	14		Speaker Redmond	
	14		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Resolution
	14		Speaker Redmond	
	14		Katz	
	15		Speaker Redmond	
	15		Ryan	Hold it?
	15		Speaker Redmond	OK. Leave-return HB 2001 to 2nd
	15		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
	15		Speaker Redmond	
	15		Porter	
	15		Clerk O'Brien	
	16		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	156		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1251-2nd-No C.A.
	16		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	16		Flinn	Fiscal note
	16		Speaker Redmond	
	16		Ryan	
	16		Speaker Redmond	House Resolution 295 adopted
	16		Barnes	
	16		Speaker Redmond	
	17		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1051-2nd-No C.A.



4.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
17		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
17	1:17	Clerk O'Brien	HB-1059-2nd Reading
17		Speaker Redmond	
17		Meyer	
17		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
17		Houlihan, D. L.	Table HB 2127
17		Speaker Redmond	Tabled
18		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2126
18		Houlihan, D.	Leave to hear HB 2126
18		Speaker Redmond	HB 2173
18		Lauer	
18		Speaker Redmond	
19		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2173-3rd Reading
19		Speaker Redmond	
19		Houlihan, D. L.	
19		Speaker Redmond	
19		Conti)	
19		Houlihan, D. L.)	
19		Speaker Redmond	
19	1:25	Lauer	
20		Speaker Redmond	
20		Houlihan, D. L.	
21		Speaker Redmond	
21	1:30	Ewing)	
21,22		Houlihan)	
22		Speaker Redmond	



5.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	23		Cunningham)	
)	
	23		Houlihan)	
)	
	23		Lauer)	
	24		Speaker Redmond	
	24		Conti	
	25		Pierce in the Chair	
	25		Mulcahey)	
)	
	25		Lauer)	
)	
	26		Houlihan)	
	27		Barnes)	
)	
	27		Lauer)	
	28		Speaker Pierce	
	29		Peters	
	30		Speaker Pierce	
	30		Catania	
	31		Speaker Pierce	
	31	1:55	Skinner	
	31		Speaker Pierce	
	31		Leinenweber	
	32		Speaker Pierce	
	32		Vitek	Moves previous question
	32		Speaker Pierce	
	32		Houlihan, D.	To close
	33		Speaker Pierce	
	33		Getty	Aye vote
	34		Speaker Pierce	
	34		Deuster	Oppose



6. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
34		Daniels	Support
35		Speaker Pierce	
35		Ryan	Oppose
35		Speaker Pierce	
35		Lauer	
36		Speaker Pierce	
36		Conti	
36		Speaker Pierce	
36		Barnes.	
36		Speaker Pierce	Dump Roll Call
36	2:05	Hoffman	Explains no
37		Speaker Pierce	
37		Houlihan, D.	Explains vote
38		Speaker Pierce	
38		Byers	Explains no
38		Speaker Pierce)	
)	
38		Freidrich)	
)	
38		Speaker Pierce	
38		Houlihan	Postponed Consideration HB 2126 & 2173
38		Speaker Pierce	
38		Byers	
39		Speaker Pierce)	
)	
39		Lucco)	Introduction
)	
39		Speaker Pierce	
39		Matijevich	Introduction
39		Speaker Pierce	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
7.			
40		Speaker Pierce	
40		Kane	Out of record
40		Speaker Pierce	
40		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2377-3rd Reading
40		Speaker Pierce	
40		Meyer	Out of record
40		Speaker Pierce	
40		Laurino)	Suspend Rules
41	2:16	Speaker Pierce)	Rule suspended
41		Beatty	SB 739-Leave to table
41		Speaker Pierce	Tabled
41		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1059-2nd R-No C. A.
41		Meyer	
41		Speaker Pierce	3rd Reading
41		Geo-Karis	
41		Speaker Pierce	
41		Clerk O'Brien	SJR 45
42		Speaker Pierce	
42		Laurino	Suspend Rule SB 999
42		Speaker Pierce	
42		Walsh	
42		Speaker Pierce)	
43		Bradley)	Move adjourn Wed 12:00 noon
43		Speaker Pierce	
43		Walsh	
43		Speaker Pierce	
43		Giorgi	



8.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	43		Speaker Pierce	
	43		Matijevec	
	43		Speaker Pierce	
	43		Walsh	
	43		Speaker Pierce	
	43	2:25	Madison	Point of information
	44		Speaker Pierce	
	44		Caldwell	Suspend Bills
	44		Speaker Pierce	SJR 45 adopted
	44		Caldwell	
	44		Speaker Pierce	
	44		Ryan	
	44		Speaker Pierce	
	44		Byers	
	44		Speaker Pierce	
	44		Caldwell)	
	45		Ryan)	
	45		Ryan	
	45		Speaker Pierce	
	45		Giorgi	
	45		Speaker Pierce	Objections noted
	46		Bradley	Move adjourned 12:00 noon
	46		Clerk O'Brien	5 minute Perfunctory
	46		Speaker Pierce	House adjourned
	46		Clerk O'Brien	Committee Reports House adjourned

