

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in fifteen minutes."

Doorkeeper: "Could I have your attention please. The Speaker wishes to inform the Membership the order of business will be Third Readings."

Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery. The Speaker wishes to inform the Membership that the order of business will be Third Readings."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order, Members please be in their seats. We will be led in prayer by Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Father Herbert F. Smith, S.J., observed: After the farmer has his supply of seeds, he needs suitable soil in which to plant them. Similarly, the truth, goodness, and beauty which we see in others must be planted deep in our hearts. Let us pray. Almighty God, who hast given to us the command that we love one another as we love ourselves, grant to the Members of this House of Representatives such love of Thee and their fellow man that harmony, tranquility, and justice may be the realized pleasure of the people of the State of Illinois. Through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Due to the numerous caucuses being held on the floor and the buz in the hubbub, I think they better stand at ease until about 10:30. Representative James Houlihan. 10:30. Representative Geo-Karis is on the floor. Representative Walsh isn't."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order and the Members please be in their seats. Representative Lucco. Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, we have with us today a fine group of persons who are interested in House Bill 2084 today. They are from Venice, the Venice Technical Center from Venice, Illinois. They come from various districts. District 49, 55, 56, 57, they are studying this particular House Bill. Mr. Dwain Rankin, their director is with them, their instructor is Jim Russell and Sandra Radcliff. They are in the balcony to my rear. Glad to have you here. Right here they are."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peggy Smith Martin, for what purpose do you arise?"

Martin: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to seek leave to change from a 'present' vote to 'no' vote on House Bill 2778."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk reminds me that it will be in today's Journal and not in the Journal as of the date the vote was taken. So as far as that record is concerned, there is no way to change that one. I mean, I'll put the question but I'm just saying....the vote in the Journal will....as of the date the vote was taken will remain unchanged. There is no way we can erase that. I mean if somebody wants to put it up it will show how you voted....."

Martin: "Okay, I would still like it changed."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Does she have leave to change her vote? Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "A part of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. I would have no objections but I believe that the rules provide that the request must be made on the same Legislative Day, or do I stand to be corrected, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Smith....Peggy Smith Martin."

Martin: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to ask for that leave on last evening, if you remember there was so much commotion on the floor it was absolutely impossible."

Speaker Redmond: "Well....the Lady asks leave to have her vote



the Illinois School of the Visually Impaired in Jacksonville. The are in government class and they are here today trying to get a taste of what state government is like. The Illinois School for the Visually Impaired of course, is one of our fine state schools and I'm sure we want to welcome them to Springfield."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen in the balcony in the Speaker's balcony is a group of people from St. Paul High School from Highland, Illinois. Represented by Representative Byers, Brummet and Friedrich, glad to have you here."

Speaker Redmond: "On House Bills, Third Reading, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to be recorded as voting 'aye' on House Bill 2572, it will not change the results."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. What change did you want? To be recorded as 'aye'? House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 2626, Representative Ebbesen is recognized."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like leave of the House to take House Bill 2626 back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted...."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker....."

Speaker Redmond: "Return to the Order of Second Reading. Do you have the Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Ebbesen. Amends House Bill 2626 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen on the Amendment."

Ebbesen: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 we had printed and circulated but the people for the AFL CIO came to me and wanted a couple of words taken out. So I move



to table Amendment #2 so we can consider the same subject in Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has withdrawn Amendment #2, is that correct?"

Ebbesen: "Yes, I table that so we can move on to Amendment #3 which is really the same thing....."

Speaker Redmond: "Has #2 been adopted?"

Ebbesen: "No, to table that one so we can....."

Speaker Redmond: "Then it is withdrawing #2."

Ebbesen: "Withdraw it."

Speaker Redmond: "The posture of the Bill now is that we have the Bill and Amendment #1 which has been adopted. Is that correct?"

Ebbesen: "Amendment #1 has been adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Ebbesen. Amends House Bill 2626 on page 6, line 23 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Amendment #3, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just adds the language that nothing in paragraph (c), shall be construed to apply to private group, prepaid vision care programs. And I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any...Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker have we had an Attendance Roll Call yet?"

Speaker Redmond: "Not yet."

Madigan: "Might be a good idea to do that."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Roll Call for attendance. The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The motion carries....the 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Any excused absences?"



Representative Ryan. Representative Madigan, any Democrats absence to be excused? Representative Ryan, any absences? The Order of Motions appears motion by Representative Deuster and Skinner with respect to House Bill 1913. Representative Deuster. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, all of us are interested in the deliberative process in making sure that all of the Bills that move out of this House over to the Senate are carefully considered. And that all of the rules and procedures that are traditional and required in the House that are complied with. Last night we considered House Bill 1913, Representative Skinner and I had voted on the prevailing side. And we are at this time presenting a motion to reconsider the vote by which that Bill was passed. And the reason we're doing this is, that the time that Bill was being voted upon, I know we were all anxious-we had put in a long day and considered fifty Bills or so. And some were interested in getting out of the chamber. And Representative Pullen and Representative Ryan, I think were both on their feet seeking to request a verification. Unfortunately, in the confusion their interest in requesting a verification on that vote was not noted by the Speaker. And under all of these circumstances, we feel that it would be important to reconsider that vote so that a proper vote and a vote that really reflects the will of this House, whatever it is, is recorded. And so at this time, Representative Skinner and I, move to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 1913 was passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, I didn't think it was appropriate for us to handle it without, Mr. Bowman, being here but I see he is here so, I'll withdraw."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."



Schlickman: "A parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "According to Rule 62, such a motion to be made only if the matter is still within the control of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "It is within the control of the House."

Schlickman: "Okay. Number two, Mr. Speaker. My reading of the Rule 62, this motion must either be made orally yesterday or placed in writing yesterday."

Speaker Redmond: "It was filed and it is on the Calendar."

Schlickman: "Oh, okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman on the motion."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe the Lady should have had a verification yesterday. However, I would point out to the Lady who made the request that she had the floor at an earlier point and had spoken in debate and I've heard a number of occasions and before the House, that when people speak in debate they will very often say that if this Bill passes I request an affirmative....you know, I'll request a verification. I believe that under the circumstances, because the Membership was anxious to get out, it was the end of a long day. That it would have been more appropriate for her to make the request at that time....I also point out that House Bill 1913 did receive 106 affirmative votes which is very close to the three-fifths. And I think the votes were there, lastly, if perhaps there was an objection to brevity of debate. I want to assure everyone that the Bill was reported accurately in the synopsis and I think everyone had adequate information, and all I wanted was a Roll Call. So....but they have to let me ask if the Speaker would kindly recognize Representative Greiman, Chairman of the Subcommittee which heard this Bill. And ask if he would be able to... allowed to speak in this debate. Would you please



recognize Representative Greiman, at some point."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wanted....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I think my point of order is this, if Representative Greiman is going to be recognized to describe the merits of this Bill or what went on in Committee. I don't think that has anything to do with the motion to reconsider and simply the question is, shall we reconsider this vote and were there circumstances at the time of its passage that would compel us....out of the interest and fairness and respect for the procedure to reconsider the vote. And if he was going to describe the merits why, that's not appropriate and that would be out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "I think when I was in law school we had something about anticipatory breach. I don't have the slightest idea what Representative Greiman is going to propose here. I would suggest that we wait and find out. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, often Representative Greiman doesn't have the slightest idea what he is going to say when he gets up either. I was not going to discuss the merits of the Bill although that is meritorious. It seems to me that the proper way to do this, the issue was raised as to the Speaker's denial of a verification. It seems to me that the proper way was to appeal the ruling of the Chair. The Chair made a ruling that in essence, it was a dilatory act and that obviously everyone saw that there were well over a 100 votes on the board and quite obviously, it was to delay. It was some kind of affair....function and it was there to delay. Quite obviously, the proper motion would have been.... would be....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins for what purpose do



you rise?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, is this motion debatable?"

Speaker Redmond: "It is debatable but confine your remarks to the motion. And let's get on with business, Sir."

Greiman: "I've been speaking to the motion, I'm suggesting that this motion is an improper way. That the proper way would have been to appeal the ruling of the Chair. The Chair made a very direct ruling on this, in accordance to the rules and that would have been a proper procedure."

Speaker Redmond: "My opinion, the motion is in order. Representative Deuster to close."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, many Members over here heard Representative Pullen in the rear of the chambers shrieking and seeking attention and calling for a verification. Unfortunately there was a lot of noise on the House floor, she was not heard and I think it is important for us to reconsider this vote. Because every individual Member of this House does have a right to request a verification on any subject that might be especially important to that Member. And out of respect for that, so that we just don't run rough shod over anybody, whoever it might be, or whatever the merits might be. I think that we should reconsider the vote to...by which House Bill 1913 appeared to have a sufficient number of votes last night for passage. I urge your 'yes' votes on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on Representative Deuster's motion to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 1913 was declared passed? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Madigan, do you seek recognition? Have all voted who wished? Is the Parliamentarian here? Have all voted who wished? Representative Kane."

Kane: "Does this mean that, anytime that a verification is not allowed that we're going to get a motion to reconsider?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I presume that anyone who votes on the affirmative has a right to file such a motion. I





trust that it will be used with the usual care and discretion that is customary for the Members of the House. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 80 'aye' and 26 'no' and the Gentleman's.....Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I think it is important enough that we just have a call of the absentees. This can be handled well well...."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes, Beatty, Bowman, Brady, Brandt, Rich Brummer, Don Brummet, Caldwell, Campbell, Capparelli, Christensen, Corneal Davis, Jack Davis, Dawson, Deavers, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Gaines, Garmisa, Getty, Hanahan, Hoffman, Dan Houlihan, Huff, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kelly, Klosak, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leverenz, Luft, Madigan, Madison, Peggy Smith Martin, Matejek, Mautino, McCourt, McLendon, Molloy, Mudd, Nardulli, Pechous, Pierce, Pouncey, Robinson, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Shumpert, Stearney, Taylor, Terzich, Van Duynes, Vinson..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duynes."

Van Duynes: "Mr. Speaker, would you vote me 'aye' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Brummer."

Van Duynes: "And by the way, I think conservative people should be thinking along the same lines."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer, 'aye'. Representative Gene Hoffman, 'aye'. I got him. Representative Vinson... Representative McCourt. Vinson can only vote once. Representative Deuster...Deavers. I'll get you two guys next time. Representative Deavers, 'aye'. Representative Robert Walsh, 'aye'. Former House Member, former Representative Pate Philips is on the floor. Former House Member....change of emigration in the DuPage



County. You cannot enter DuPage without permission from the Fuhrer. Chairman Mao, they call him. Have all voted who wished? Representative Flinn, 'aye'. Flinn, 'aye'. Representative Jacobs, 'aye'. Representative Pierce, 'aye'. Representative Ewell, 'aye'. Murphy, 'aye'. Peggy Smith Martin, 'aye'. Katz, 'aye'. Leverenz, 'aye'. Representative Robinson, 'aye'. Representative Sandquist, 'present'....'aye', pardon me. Representative Molloy, 'aye'. How many have you got? We've got 98 'ayes' and the motion carries and the vote is reconsidered. Representative Bowman, what is your pleasure with respect to House Bill 1913?"

Bowman: "Well...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for a purpose of introduction. We have a fine group of students from Wood River High School, in Wood River of course, Illinois. Represented primarily by...from the two districts, District 49 and 56. Up to the balcony to my right. Glad to have you here, government class, Wood River."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, I had the urge to verify....ask for a Verified Roll Call but I'll suppress that. No, I would like to hold the Bill at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen on 2626. Is there any emergency with respect to passage of 2626?"

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to be able to move that Bill today."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you ask leave to suspend the provisions of Rule 35 (c) in order that it may be considered as amended, is that correct?"

Ebbesen: "That is correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection to waiving the provisions of Rule 35 (c)? Representative Bowman. Representative



Bowman, objects. Do you want to put the motion?"

Ebbesen: "Yes, I would, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on Representative Ebbesen's motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 35 (c) in order that House Bill 2626 may be considered. Those in..... Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Would the Gentleman please explain why this motion is necessary."

Speaker Redmond: "Explain the emergency of the Bill, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, we took it from Third Reading back to Second today and it couldn't be heard today. It would have to be heard tomorrow and there is a ruling, I think that is going to be coming out from the Federal Trade Commission, that is tomorrow and I think it has some bearing on the legislation. And for that reason I think we should be a day in advance of it. That is my only reason for that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I'm told that the Gentleman just adopted an Amendment to this Bill that wasn't even printed prior to adoption. He suggests now that there will be some ruling by the Federal Agency tomorrow. Well, I suggest to the Gentleman that this Bill will not pass the Senate before tomorrow and Mr. Speaker, I would object to the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, in response to Representative Madigan. Sir, I think that Amendment was adopted...or was printed and on everyone's desk...it was my understanding that it was. I have a copy on mine, right here. But in any event I'll withdraw that motion and just hold the Bill until tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Motion is withdrawn. House Bill, Second Reading. House Bill, Second Reading, 788. Representative



Edgar."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 788, a Bill for an Act in relation to the school district income tax. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendments #2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 2 and 3?"

Edgar: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to move that Amendment #3 should be tabled and replaced by Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there a motion with respect to Amendment #3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion, I move to table Amendment #3, Representative Madigan."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Madigan, did he file a motion? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you please have the Clerk explain the status of the Bill and the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendment #1 was adopted, April 29, 1977 and tabled May 3, 1978. Then Amendments #2 and 3 were adopted."

Madigan: "Is there a motion filed on Amendment #2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, motion filed on Amendment #3."

Madigan: "And I'm the Sponsor of the motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Correct."

Madigan: "Can I be allowed to speak to the motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would Mr. Edgar explain his intent."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "I hear him....Amendment #3 apparently when the Reference Bureau drafted it had some technical errors which the Clerk's Office called to my attention. I have Amendment #4 which will take care of the technical problems. Amendment #4 is basically Amendment #3 without the technical errors that had resulted from error drafting by the Reference Bureau."



Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I have not had an opportunity to examine Amendment #4. And I would request that we hold this matter until we can examine Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "Well, Amendment #4 has been on the desk for over a week. I have no problems for holding it for a few minutes if I'm guaranteed that we'll get back to it. We haven't been on Second Reading for a few days now. I would just, you know, if he was in Committee....I mean, you understand Amendment #3."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, my file does not have Amendment #4. Would you ask the Democratic Pages to obtain a copy of that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Joe, will you get Amendment #4 and give it to Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, we have a file on the Amendment....and if you would request, Mr. Edgar to proceed with the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "How about the motion to table Amendment #3?"

Madigan: "Apparently that's agreed between both he and I."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, the question is on the Gentleman's motion....Representative Madigan's motion to table Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries, Amendment #3 is tabled. Amendment #4."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Edgar. Amends House Bill 788 on page 1, line 14 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "Okay. Amendment #4 is essentially identical to Amendment #3 which was adopted in Committee. It corrects technical wording problems with Amendment #3 which the Clerk's Office called to our attention. And also there is a minor change, it insures the Strayer-Haig part of the school aid formula is amended to reflect any increase in local taxation from school district income tax. I can again explain what Amendment #3 did and what we're



doing again in 4. Amendment #4 will delete corporations from having to pay the school district income tax and establish a provision for them to pay their share by the property tax route. It also insures at least one half of the money raised for the school district income tax, must go to reduce the individual property tax. Those two points were stated in Committee and adopted and were in Amendment #3. Basically what Amendment #4 does, which changes from the way the Bill came out of Committee was to correct some technical errors and insure the Strayer-Haig School Districts are included in this provision.... this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion?"

Edgar: "I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Move the adoption of Amendment #4. Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I guess I missed that tail end, Jim. Was there a reference to reducing the property tax of the homeowner only...did I hear right? Would you do that for me?"

Speaker Redmond: "Turn on Edgar. Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "Provides for property tax reduction to those who pay the income tax, which is the individual."

Schneider: "Does it have a proportion or ratio?"

Edgar: "It guarantees at least 50% of the money raised from the income tax would go to offset current property tax. Now if...it goes back to general Bill it could be a 100% if they set it that way in the referendum. But at least 50% has to go to offset current property tax or could be more. It would be determined by referendum by the people."

Schneider: "Maybe I'm wrong...it sounds to me like 50%, if you generated 50% new dollars to the income tax that percentage figure would be used to reduce the property tax by the equivalent amount of dollars or the equivalent



amount of percentages?"

Edgar: "Equivalent...well, okay, let's say you raise a hundred thousand dollars from the income tax. There would have to be at least fifty thousand dollars in property tax relief."

Schneider: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Did Mr. Edgar explain whether the Bill as amended would impose an income tax upon corporations as well as individuals. Or does the Amendment simply propose to impose an income tax on individuals only?"

Edgar: "Yes....the income tax would be on individuals and the corporations would not be covered, they would stay under the property tax and would have an increase in property tax corresponding to the increase in income tax on the individual. The reason for this was, objections from both the Department of Revenue and it trying to administer the law and some various corporate groups say that there is no way they could figure how much their corporation tax, income tax should be per school district. So to simplify the law we made it just on individuals but also have a provision where the corporations would pay an equal share based on the property tax, which they are already under."

Madigan: "If individuals had complained that it would have been difficult for them to compute how much of their money would go to individual school districts would you have eliminated that provision?"

Edgar: "The Department of Revenue said, there is no difficulty in that provision. We just left it the way we are with property tax and most individuals I know would rather have a choice and would like to have the option as to going the income tax route as opposed to property tax route. Apparently a corporation..you know, has its problems with the income tax to administrative purposes so we'll say



fine, we'll leave you under status quo...you'll pay an increase in property tax. But we're going to give the individuals a choice that they can have some property tax relief."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I wish to speak in opposition to the Gentleman's motion. And I think that every Member of the House should understand that this Bill, if the Amendment is adopted and the Bill is passed. Proposes to impose another tax... another tax upon individuals without applying to corporations. And I find the Gentleman's comments very interesting when he tells us that corporations and business groups objected to the imposition of this tax upon them and he there upon removed them from the effect of the tax."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "That's just so much garbage. It is just absolutely incorrect. You can't tell where a corporation pays its income....where a corporate earns its income on which it pays corporation....corporate income tax. If you ask the IRS, they will be able to give you a state by state breakdown and nothing else. If you're from downstate Illinois and you have a Sears catalog store in your hometown, where do you think Sears is going to report that it earned the income. Well it's going to come out of Sears Tower in Chicago, now certainly we're not willing to allow Chicago to have the income that is garnered by Sears out in our towns. That's just insane, I mean well, unless your from Chicago perhaps. In which case perhaps it makes good sense. I'm rather intimately informed on this subject because in 1969 when the state income tax was being considered, I attempted to figure out how much state income tax would be paid by McHenry County, citizens and corporations. Now I found out, I found a source for individuals...that can be found. It





can be found in the State of Illinois because we know what zipcodes they pay it from. We can put on the individual income tax form a requirement that they put their school district number on it. You can't do that with corporations. Now the Gentleman who preceeded me is absolutely incorrect in suggesting that this would impose a tax on individuals and not on corporations. The Amendment as drawn, the Bill as drawn allows a local referendum before the enactment of any increase in income taxes. And a proportional amount of real estate taxes will be increased on corporations, directly proportional to the amount of money what that would equal in a property tax rate on individuals homes. So I apologize for the Majority Leader for not being accurate in what he said about the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Just to....taken the suggestion that, you can't tell where corporations earn their income. However, let me make a suggestion to the Sponsor of this Amendment. That they may like to consider with additional Amendments. And that is, why not levy a statewide income tax on corporations or dedicate a portion of the corporate income tax for school purposes and then prorate that to the local school district in proportion of the income generated by individuals. And in fact, that would be a great deal more fair because it would then even out the inherent....unevenness in the distribution of corporate facilities throughout the state."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, in all school financing studies and reforms, starting with Governor Ogilvie, Governor Walker and Governor Thompson, the only reform possible for the support of schools is from the state income tax. If you don't suggest support the state income tax as the support for schools. You're going to revert back to the property



tax, people of the State of Illinois have had taxpayer protest all over the hundred and two counties. The only solution for the feasible support of schools is the state income tax. It is a good Amendment and you ought to support it."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "Can I close, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, proceed."

Edgar: "I would just like to respond to the Majority Leader, who was in Committee and didn't ask any questions when this Bill did come up....this Amendment. This Amendment does not impose a new tax and I think somewhat given to the Bill but, this Amendment does not impose a new tax on individuals this Amendment will allow the individuals a choice. As Representative Skinner mentioned, there is a referendum provision. Nothing can be done to this unless the people vote it in. I think the people would like a choice at the local level, we would have liked to take the corporations along, the Department of Revenue said it was impossible for them to administer... So my concern was to give at least the individuals a choice as far as how they pay their funding of education at the local level. I might also add, this Amendment provides at least half of the money raised from the income tax will go to offset current property tax. So there is guaranteed property tax relief in this Amendment. I might also add that this Amendment is supported as the Bill is by the Farm Bureau, IEA and by Senior Citizen Groups. None of these groups, I think would be in favor of legislation which would favor corporations over individuals. I think this Amendment is fundamental to this Bill, if you don't like the Bill I would suggest that you vote against it on Third Reading. I don't think defeating this Amendment would really be the correct course. This would put this Bill in shape that



I think we can intelligently discuss it on Third Reading and get a Roll Call in where people stand in taxes. Whether they approve of the property tax or they would like to give people a choice for an income tax. This is all this Bill will do, give people a choice and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #4. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Madigan. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote I would just hope that all of the Members are aware that this Amendment would propose a new income tax at a local level...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman, what purpose do you arise?"

Schlickman: "Point of order. The Majority Leader has spoken in debate."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you are right: Draw your remarks to a close."

Madigan: "Is it permissible to explain my vote? I wouldn't want to violate the rules with Mr. Schlickman in the chamber, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I regret that I did not ask to speak in debate. I'm really somewhat concerned about this. I'm voting 'no'. In explaining my 'no' vote, it is because I am somewhat concerned and I might ask a rhetorical question I suppose, in explaining my vote. I'm a little concerned that if the corporations are removed from the income tax aspects of the Bill. But the Bill still provides that there must be a 50% reduction in real estate taxes. It kinda worries me that then the corporations



will get the benefit of that reduction."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 72 'aye', 74... 'no'.... Representative Edgar. Desires a poll of the absentees."

Edgar: "Right."

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bianco, Rich Brummer, Chapman, Deuster, Dyer...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Please record me as 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Dyer as 'yes'. Representative Deuster. Record Deuster as 'yes'. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Gaines...."

Speaker Redmond: "What was that? Representative Gaines votes 'aye'. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Johnson, Dave Jones, Emil Jones, Katz, Madison...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McAuliffe, Mugalian, Pierce...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz, 'no'. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Richmond...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann, for what purpose do you arise?"

Mann: "Record as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Mann as 'no'. Representative Richmond...is 'aye'. Representative J.D. Jones, 'aye'. Representative Schuneman..."

Schuneman: "May I be recorded as voting 'aye', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative schuneman as 'aye'. Johnson."

Johnson: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Johnson: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the Gentleman, 'aye'. Representative



the Bill. It is my understanding that if I and Representative Anderson persist with this Amendment, that the Sponsor of the Bill will table the Bill....even prior to a Roll Call. May I ask the Sponsor, if that's true."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Representative Robinson. Myself and Representative Barnes are joint Cosponsor's of this Bill. We stated before the Rules Committee and the Election Committee that we were simply trying to make this small change in the Election Code. And if there was any other use for this Bill, as a vehicle Bill...for any other Amendments that we would not proceed with it and we would table the Bill. That's our commitment to the Members of this Body."

Robinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, given that commitment that if any other Amendments that would go back to the original meaning of this Bill, which I do oppose or any other Amendment that this is used as a vehicle for-some of those bad Amendments that I can foresee. That the Sponsor will table the Bill, given that commitment, I withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Bradley: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Pullen. Amends House Bill 1068 as amended by striking everything after the enacting clause and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Bradley in the Chair."

Speaker Bradley: "Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment would put this Bill into a posture where the township clerk, in Cook County would have jurisdiction over absentee ballots. I think that most Members on this floor recall the debate we had on this issue last year. It came very close to passage last year and I think that



we should consider this proposal again now. Because we are in an election year when it is especially crucial. It has been demonstrated many times over that the Cook County Clerk, although not doing it in any nasty manner, does not have the capability of handling absentee ballots in an area that is the size of Cook County. If we can decentralize this process to the township clerk, whom there are thirty, we will be able to run absentee ballot processing in such a way that the votes will be counted. There has been severe problems in the suburban area of Cook, with the counting of absentee ballots. Because they are issued late from the County Clerk's Office, because they are issued late on election day from his office to the polling places. In many cases his people have to travel fifty miles to get to a polling place, they don't even know exactly where the polling place is in many cases. If we can put absentee ballot handling on a local jurisdiction, these voters who must vote by absentee ballot, because they have left town or because they are incapacitated, will not be disenfranchised as they are being now. And I plead for your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady."

Brady: "My Cosponsor, Representative Barnes, I think wanted to say something."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the prior description of the Bill is entirely incorrect. That's what the Bill was until it was amended by House Amendment #1. And that deletes all statutes and everything after the enacting clause. And the new Bill is House Amendment #1 and this merely permits the County Clerk to be able to submit to the voters, if you are going to be absent, their absentee ballot application..."



forty days prior to the election. Where right now it is thirty days and I think every Legislator in this Body likes to make every avenue open to anybody that really wants to participate in the voting process. This is all the Bill is and as Representative Brady stated, we have given our word that there will be no other Amendments attached to this Bill. And I really think it is a very simple Bill in this form and no Legislator should really object to it. And I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, for purpose of an announcement. Seated behind me in the gallery is the 8th grade class of the Effingham Central Junior High School. They are accompanied by Paul Webb, Dave Ruble, Joe Rainer, Norma Stone and are from the 54th District which is represented by Representatives Brummer, Walsh and Cunningham and they are in the rear gallery."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Pullen to close the debate on the Amendment. I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman, on the Amendment."

Greiman: "Thank you. I think if I understand what the last speaker said, what Miss Barnes said. She would oppose the Amendment but favors the Bill, so that she would want a 'no' vote on this Amendment. A favorable vote on the Bill if the Amendment is....if Miss Pullen's Amendment is defeated. I agree with Miss Barnes that this Amendment should be defeated. One of the wonderful things about being a Member of the General Assembly is that nothing dies. Everything lives and lives and lives. We vote on this and we voted on this and we voted on it and we kept defeating it, and we vote again and we will probably be voting on June 30th, on this same issue. And the truth of the matter is, that this



Amendment provides a system which cannot work. The system which divides the election process among thirty people in Cook County. Which makes it impossible to monitor....impossible for anybody to monitor. It divides federal elections into the hands of people who can't do federal elections. It is an inefficient way, it is an improper way. Without regard to any party, it's just not the way to go. The Bill is a very good Bill but the Bill will be defeated if it gets this Amendment on it. I recommend strongly a 'no' vote on Amendment #3. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell on the Amendment."

Yourell: "Will the Lady respond to a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates that she will."

Yourell: "Representative Pullen, does this Amendment-Amendment #3 to House Bill 1068 apply only to Cook County?"

Pullen: "Yes, Sir."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Now, Miss Pullen, do you wish to close debate on the Amendment?"

Pullen: "Yes, thank you. The previous speaker, before the immediate previous speaker, does certainly know about putting Amendments on Bills because he attempted to completely change mine with an Amendment. So, yes, it is true that some actions come back to life and I think that is most appropriate because we are in an election year, when it is especially important that this Bill be considered. This would apply only to Cook County and it would permit the Township Clerks in Cook County to handle absentee ballots so that we can have our votes counted. No matter what party a person belongs to, who lives in the suburbs of Cook County. He would be able to have his absentee ballot counted. Because of local jurisdiction that would provide great convenience for the voter and also provide





much greater likelihood of the processing being done correctly. We would be going from four people doing it to at least thirty people doing it. In a decentralized manner, in a local government manner in a responsive manner to the voters. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 58 'ayes', 93 'nays' and the Ladies motion fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Byers. Amends House Bill 1068 as amended in Section 19-2...."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to table this Amendment, it is defective."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Gentleman have leave to table..... withdraw the Amendment. He has leave. Withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Byers. Amends House Bill 1068...."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an Amendment that simply says, if you want an absentee ballot....right now the law requires you to be out of the county on election day. This simply insures that you're out of the county, it has to be mailed to at an out of county address. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 1068."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Fellow Members. I respectfully urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment for the same reasons previously started. We are simply trying to change the availability in the Election Code for people to apply ten days earlier by mail. So that the mail turn around will not disenfran-



chise anybody from having their vote counted. I appreciate Representative Byers may have a unique problem in his area but his Amendment, in fact, would penalize greatly the ability for people to vote absentee. If it could only be mailed to their location or home address, anybody who would be in the nature of a traveling person would be disenfranchised from voting unless they could give a specific mailing address where they are going to be.... for that County Clerk to mail it. And it is almost impossible for the mail to catch up with you when you're standing in a still position for ten days at a time. I urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Byers.....the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "This is indeed a bad Amendment. Many people leave town the day before the election, how are they going to have it mailed to another address. They should be able to vote either in person at the Clerk's Office, which they can do now or by mail, if they are home. They can't vote twice if they come in on election day, then that nullifies their absentee ballot. There is plenty of ways to check they don't vote twice. Many people leave early on the morning of election day...they leave a day or two before election, they are traveling on the road. There is nothing wrong for them to receive an absentee ballot in their district if they sign an affidavit that they are going to be out of town. And therefore, I urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Byers to close."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, just to.....this does not keep anybody that's.....for example, a traveling salesman as Representative Pierce referred to, he can still go to the court house and vote in person the day before the election. And then if they leave town they would have their vote counted. We're not worried about people voting twice."



What we're simply trying to address is the problem that many people that have applications for absentee ballots in their pocket and they pass them out willy nilly to everyone and they vote people who are not truly out of the county on election day. To have your votes counted on election day, if you've cast an absentee ballot you're supposed to be out of the county. And the only thing that my Amendment does is, you've got to be out of the county to have the absentee ballot mailed to you. This used to be the way the law was interpreted in Illinois and it would simply go along with what Representative Brady is trying to do to have the extra ten days and it would assure them that they could mail it to an out of county address. It would not preclude college students or anyone else from voting and it just merely say what the law says now. If you want an absentee ballot...you must be out of the county on election day. So anything that we're going to do is mail it to them out of county. Because that is where they are supposed to be anyway, it would be illegal to cast a vote and be in the county by absentee ballot. For example if you're in Sangamon County and you do not leave the county that day you cannot legally vote an absentee ballot. So what we're doing is merely enforcing the law and I would move for the adoption of House Amendment #5 to House Bill, 1068."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 8 'ayes'... 9 'ayes', 119 'nays'. The Gentleman's motion fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Skinner. Amends House Bill 1068...."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."



Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #6 was supposed to make the Open Primary Amendment better but it's apparently coming before the Open Primary Amendment. It does indeed make it better, what this adds a meaningful self destruct clause. If the primary election results from 1980 and 1982 do not exceed the primary turn out from 1978 and 1976 by at least 20%. I would respectfully ask the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Mr. Brady, the Gentleman from Cook."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members. All I can add to Representative Skinner's comments is that I would appreciate a meaningful self destruct of his creative approach to another situation in Illinois. I urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of this Amendment. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question 14 'ayes'....13 'ayes'....15 'ayes', 110 'no' and the Gentleman's motion fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 1193."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1193, a Bill for an Act in relation to agreements in which property is transferred in exchange for providing extended care or care payments to the transferor. Second Reading of the Bill...."

Speaker Bradley: "Out of the record. 1270, Mr. Porter. For what purpose does the Gentlemen from Lake, Mr. Matijevich rise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, to save a little of the taxpayers money...rather than taking that out of the record could we have leave to table that Bill, 1193?"

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman is the Chief Sponsor of the Bill. Moves to table the Bill. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'



have it and the Bill is tabled. 1270, is Mr. Porter on the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1270....."

Speaker Bradley: "Take that out....are there any Amendments on it? Take it out of the record. House Bill 1333..... out of the record. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman arise?"

Bowman: "I'm a hyphenated Co-sponsor on 1270 and...."

Speaker Bradley: "Do you want to handle it? Fine, all right we'll go back to 1270."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1270, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any motions for those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 1333, out of the record. 1884."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1884, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Catania. Amends House Bill 1884 as amended in Section 12-820 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #2 in conformity with what the Motor Vehicles Committee requested. The concept of the Bill is to require that there be seat back padding on school buses. The Committee said, please make it permissive so that only if federal funds are available will it be required."



We have a statement from Dr. Mandeville that he is searching for federal funds, so Amendment #2 says, only if federal funds are available will this need to be done. And I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with the addition of Amendment #2 GRF funds will not be involved and I would recommend adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Further readings....further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Catania. Amends House Bill 1884....."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #3 simply extends the deadline for compliance from July 31, 1978 to January 1, 1979. I move the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #3 to 1884. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 2316, Mr. Kane...out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 2548....out of the record. 2554....out of the record. 2555, Representative Levin. Holewinski....out of the record. 2578, Representative Lechowicz."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2578, a Bill for an Act in relation to the commercial relocation or removal of transfer vehicles from private property. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "For what purpose does the Gentlemen from Knox,



Mr. McMaster arise?"

McMaster: "Could we take this out of the record for a little while, Teddy and then come back to it. I don't want to hold it up....I think we are in agreement but I still have a little bit of checking to do on...."

Speaker Bradley: "We'll take it out of the record and get back to it whenever you are ready."

McMaster: "All right."

Speaker Bradley: "2580."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2580, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 2593, is Representative Hanahan on the floor? Take it out of the record. 2594... out of the record. 2599, Representative Polk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2599, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 2604."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill...."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Leinenweber. Out of the record. 2670, is Representative Wolf on the floor? Out of the record. 2687."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2687, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Snowmobile Registration and Safety Act. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Adams. Amends House Bill 2687 on page 2, line 1....."



Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Ogle, Mr. Adams."

Adams: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would recommend that Amendment #1 be tabled in preference to Amendment #2."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to table....withdraw Amendment #1. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Adams. Amends House Bill 2687 on page...."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Ogle, Mr. Adams."

Adams: "Amendment #2 is what was recommended in the Motor Vehicles Committee. I have talked to the Chairman of the Committee and as far as I know everyone is in agreement with Amendment #2."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none, the question is on the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 2688."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2688, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee.... wait a minute. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to Amendment #1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Floor Amendments? Mr. Adams."

Adams: "Mr. Speaker, I....according to what I have been able to find out. There is a question whether this would be Constitutional so instead of cluttering up the Bill, I would recommend that we table the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to table the Bill. Everybody in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Bill is tabled. 2691...out of the record. 2730, Representative Polk."





Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2730, a Bill for an Act to amend...."

Speaker Bradley: "Out of the record, Mr. Polk? Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Labor. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any motions relative to 1,2,3 and 4?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #3 by Representative Polk."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk on Amendment #3."

Polk: "Mr. Chairman, we move to....I think we have agreed to table Amendment #3 which originally said, to reduce this amount by fifty-nine thousand, five hundred and thirty-five dollars."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, on the Gentleman's motion to table the Amendment. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion....the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, on Amendment #3."

Ryan: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Ryan: "Representative Polk, would you tell me what Amendment #3 does?"

Polk: "The rental of the office space at 910 S. Michigan....this reduces it by fifty-nine thousand, five hundred and thirty-five. And this is federal funds and...it was introduced in relation to...to have some questions in regard to the operating expenses. We feel now that rather than to delay this supplemental because it is extremely important that we get it out...that we were going to discuss these problems when their regular appropriation comes over."

Ryan: "And the original intent was to reduce....was this the reduction in the rental money...."

Polk: "That's correct. It was for one month."



Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, is the Amendment that was adopted in Committee by Representative Van Duyne?"

Polk: "That's correct. And he has agreed to table."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Yes."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will on Amendment #3. The question is...all right with you to table the Amendment. He indicates that he is in favor of tabling the Amendment. Further discussion? If none, the question is on the Gentleman's motion to table. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #3 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Van Duyne. Amends House Bill 2730 as amended on page 2, line...."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "A question, Mr. Speaker, maybe I'm getting off on the numbers here. The Amendment that I'm agreeing to table is the seventy-four thousand dollar Amendment. The sixty thousand dollar Amendment is number what? I thought that was either 1 or 2."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Polk."

Van Duhne: "Well, excuse me, Mr. Speaker. If I may, I am not in favor of tabling the fifty-nine thousand dollar then which is actually sixty thousand five hundred and thirty-six dollars. The one that I am in favor of tabling is seventy-four thousand. That's the second Amendment that I have sponsored. The first Amendment that I had sponsored whether it be #2 or #3, was put on in Committee and I want that to stay there. By the way, whatever procedure we must follow now, I would like a parliamentary ruling on this whether I make a motion to reconsider the vote or ask you to retract your statement."



Speaker Bradley: "It appears to the Chair that Amendment #5 reduces the same amount that Amendment #3 did, you were in agreement with tabling."

Van Duyne: "Just give me the numbers, Mr. Speaker. Was it the sixty thousand dollar one that was tabled or the seventy-four?"

Speaker Bradley: "Amendment #3 reduced from one million nine hundred and seventy....to one million and nine hundred and ten. That was tabled, Amendment #5 goes from a million nine hundred and tent~~o~~ a million ~~eight~~ hundred and thirty-~~4~~ six, it appears that you have another reduction."

Van Duyne: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you know I can't make these mental calculations arithmetic computations in my head. Could you just tell me what it amounted to be? I want the sixty thousand or the fifty-nine thousand whichever it is, to stay on and the seventy thousand....seventy-four thousand dollar one I will table. There are no numbers on my Amendment here, you see."

Speaker Bradley: "The Amendment that we're looking at is Amendment #3. You reduced by approximately sixty thousand dollars."

Van Duyne: "Okay, I think....."

Speaker Bradley: "And #5 now you've reduced it again by approximately seventy-four thousand."

Van Duyne: "Okay, then I would like to table #5, not #3. The #3 is an Amendment that we put on in Committee and the other one is a Floor Amendment which I have agreed to table."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendment #3 has been tabled. Now...."

Van Duyne: "Well then, Mr. Chairman....I mean, Mr. Speaker, if it is the only way that I can get out of this is by having voted in the affirmative or the prevailing side, I would like to reconsider that vote by which this Amendment was tabled."

Speaker Bradley: "Now, just a minute so that we know exactly



what direction. Why don't you...why don't we take this out of the record and come back to it and get it resolved whichever way you want to go then we will go from there. 2738, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Out of the record."

Speaker Bradley: "Out of the record. 2753. What purpose does the Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl arise?"

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, 2738, I would like to have it called. Do you want it?"

Speaker Bradley: "Did you check with, Mr. Ryan, Celeste?"

Stiehl: "Take it out of the record."

Speaker Bradley: "It is out of the record. 2753."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2753, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Personnel Code. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Simms. Amends House Bill 2753 on page 2, line...."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms. Amendment #1 to 2753. Mr. Simms, could we go to Amendment

#2 while we're waiting for you to find Amendment #1?"

Simms: "Yes, please."

Speaker Bradley: "I hope they are not in conflict. Amendment #2."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Simms. Amends House Bill 2753 on page 2, line 5 by deleting '30' and inserting in lieu thereof '20'."

Speaker Bradley: "Can you find this one?"

Simms: "Could I ask the Sponsor to take this Bill out of the record for a moment. Our staff Amendments analysis are not in order. Jay, could you take it out for a minute until I get our staff man up here."

Speaker Bradley: "You would ask that it be taken out of the record. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker and Representative Simms, if you remember



you tabled #1 and you came with #2."

Simms: "Okay, yes, I would move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, we'll withdraw Amendment #1 and 2 and go to Amendment #3. Now Amendment #2, did you want...all right just back it up a minute. You withdraw table Amendment #1, Mr. Simms?"

Simms: "Right."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, now what are we doing with #2?"

Simms: "Amendment #2 would amend...would require the state civil service Commission, to listen to appeals by state employees for any action by the state department which would demote, discharge or suspend them for twenty days. It is presently for thirty days and our Amendment changes it to twenty days."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Jacobs."

Jacobs: "I can accept that Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan, D: "Yes, a question of the Sponsor please, if he will yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Houlihan: "Do I understand, Representative Simms that the effect now of the Bill which Amendment #2 is an employee who is suspended for a period now of more than twenty days is entitled to a hearing before the Civil Service Commission?"

Simms: "That is correct."

Houlihan: "And previously it has been before you were entitled to a hearing before the Civil Service Commission minimum suspension had to be thirty days. Is that correct?"

Simms: "Correct."

Houlihan: "That has been the law has it not, the thirty day period for a long number of years?"

Simms: "Correct."

Houlihan: "Could you tell me what the rationale of the Amendment is?"



Simms: "Well, we give more discretion really by giving it to drop the figure down to twenty days in that area."

Houlihan: "Well, don't you...."

Simms: "I don't think any magic number in thirty days as compared to twenty days, it gives more discretion frankly to the...."

Houlihan: "I suggest that it gives the management over state employees a lot less discretion in that it removes their disciplinary power to do without a hearing, now only twenty days previously it was thirty days. I was under the impression that the thirty day period was tied to the pay period. And I'm trying to figure out what you're doing here by bringing it down to twenty days.."

Simms: "I had our staff contact the State Civil Service Commission, they had no problem with dropping it from thirty days to twenty days."

Houlihan: "The Commission does not?"

Simms: "No, they really didn't feel that it would affect that many disciplinary matters...."

Houlihan: They don't feel that it is going to cause them to conduct a substantially greater number of hearings in these types of cases?"

Simms: "No, because there aren't that many frankly that it would involve and they felt that they could live with the Amendment. It would cause that big of a problem for them, they note that they are not in opposition to it nor were they in support of it. They just had no position on it."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Vinson-McClain. This Amendment was withdrawn previously."



Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #3, Amendment #4."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Vinson-McClain. Amends House Bill 2753 on page 1, line 1, by deleting Section X and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 is a very serious, very important Amendment. It will solve the problem that every Member of this Assembly as various times has complained about....complained about in notes of urgency. What the Amendment does is to provide a mechanism for making this bureaucracy that we deal with responsive. What the Amendment does is take everybody in salary grades twenty-three and above, to say that they will remain under the Personnel Code, will be selected off eligible list, will have full Civil Service Code protection for a term of four years. That overlaps gubernatorial administrations, at the end of a four year period each individual in those salary grades, their term will expire and they can be removed without cause. It is phased in over a four year period so the continuity in the Civil Service is preserved. But it provides a term mechanism.... does not begin until next year so that there is no question of partisanship. Who ever wins the gubernatorial election this year will have the benefit of this Amendment. It provides a way of making those bureaucrats who today will not respond to a Governor, a Director, the Legislature or the people that they are suppose to serve. It provides a way of making them responsive, I request a favorable Roll Call on the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Sam, on your Amendment you indicate that it may be a way to have a particular bureaucrat more responsive to the Legislature. So for example, Director Kennedy who



impounded funds that we spent. How would we be able to remove that person in that salary grade for violating the legislative intent by impounding those funds for needed social services under this Amendment?"

Vinson: "Mr. Houlihan, the Amendment does not apply to Directors. Directors are now exempt under the Personnel Code, would not deal with that kind of situation very well."

Houlihan: "In the case of the Fair Employment Practices Commission, I believe that the fiscal officer was the principal advocate and the architect of the Commission impoundment of funds which we had appropriated. Not only have we appropriated these funds but we overrode a gubernatorial veto to deal with the backlog of cases. That fiscal officer, I believe advised the Commission not to spend those funds. Under this Amendment how would we be able to remove that particular bureaucrat who was obstinate in his refusal to follow the legislative policy?"

Vinson: "It would deal with that kind of situation relatively well, Mr. Houlihan. What would happen would be, at least once in the course of the next four years, that bureaucrats term appointment would expire. He could be fired without cause, without appeal to the Civil Service Commission."

Houlihan: "He could be fired by what, the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee?"

Vinson: "By the Chairman of FEPC, Mr. Houlihan, whom I believe when the Chairman had this kind of opportunity. It becomes possible then for Legislators to go to the Chairman and bring pressure to bear on the Chairman to exercise that discretionary authority."

Houlihan: "Well, I think you really describe this somewhat inaccurately, Representative Vinson when you say, it gives the Legislature some involvement in that process. All this does is compound the problem of an executive officer, impounding funds or removing people who might





be performing their duties who's not in line with the particular philosophy of that Governor. It only increases the power of the executive office and I think probably steps beyond what that power should be."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Mugalian: "I heard him say at the outset that this is a very important Amendment and as I was listening, it sounds even more important. Does this mean that those in grade 20...what was it, 23 and higher?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Mugalian: "First question is, what does that cover in salary ranges?"

Vinson: "Starts just about nineteen thousand dollars. Essentially what it is, is the executive floor level and above."

Mugalian: "And how many state employees are now in those categories that would be effected by this Amendment?"

Vinson: "I don't have a full count but I guess we'd be talking about in the range of twenty-five hundred."

Mugalian: "And I think what your Amendment does, this is a question. Is that in effect it phases out permanently Civil Service status for all these employees so they would...."

Vinson: "No, Sir. No, Sir. It is specifically designed to be a middle way. During the period of their appointment, during the period of the term they have full Civil Service coverage. They do have to come off eligible list. What the Amendment says is that each year 25% of those bureaucrats will have their terms expire and can be removed without cause. But during the period of that term which overlaps gubernatorial terms, they have full Civil Service coverage. It is similar to one of the ideas that has been proposed both by Republican Presidents and now by President Carter, for the Federal Civil Service



System."

Mugalian: "All right, this may be phased out but in effect it is eliminating them from Civil Service protection."

Vinson: "No, they have Civil Service....full Civil Service coverage during the period of their term. At the end of the term they have no Civil Service...."

Mugalian: "Well, that's what I mean. Everybody after a year, two, three or four, they're out."

Vinson: "No, they are not necessarily out; the term can be renewed. But what it does, it works in much the same way that some of the proposed sunset laws work. This would work that way for individuals, compel the Director to make a positive decision every four years about whether to keep an employee at that level."

Mugalian: "The person can be not rehired or fired without any cause at all."

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Mugalian: "It could be to hire a Democrat or Republican just like any policy holding official, like a department head."

Vinson: "Any time you've left discretion in a political appointee the responsibility of the discretion can be abused. That's right."

Mugalian: "One more question, might a critic of this Amendment refer to this Amendment as a reimposition of the patronage system and spoils system in Illinois."

Vinson: "Only a demagogic critic who is refusing to face the safer guards that are built into the Amendment."

Mugalian: "Thank you, I'm sure there are no demagogues in the House."

Speaker Bradley: "I feel certain that that is true. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Really I wanted to get the floor before we had the rhetoric on this Bill because there is three reasons why I opposed this Amendment. Number one, I promised Members on both sides of the aisle



that I would not allow this Bill to be used as a vehicle, and which I have tried to do. And number two, I question whether the Amendment is germane to the Bill. The Bill deals with contracts and collective bargaining. And number three, this Amendment will remove all personnel in grade 23 and above in the next four years. So this is an attempt to destroy the Civil Service and if Representative Vinson wants to do that, I think he should do it on a Bill of his own and not on one that is dealing with labor and one that is dealing with the Governor on the collective bargaining. So I oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Further Discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think we ought to hide the purport of this Amendment. The Governor could have at least fooled us in a way by allowing somebody else to handle the Amendment but the former aide of his is now an elected Representative is handling this Amendment for the Governor. Now I think he should have stayed in the employ of the Governor if he was going to do this type of thing. He talks about bureaucrats to try to get your support for this Amendment. Maybe I ought to toss a phrase to and say party hacks because that's what we're going to be doing with this Amendment allowing the Governor to employ party hacks at twenty-three thousand and above. Now...."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman. For what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Schuneman: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "State your point."

Schuneman: "The Representative should confine himself to remarks about this Amendment and not make an attack on another Member of this Legislature."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker, he is in order on that and I apologize to Representative Vinson for that even though I think that



is the expressed purpose. As I said before and I also make this correction, it said nineteen thousand and above. Evidently the Governor is looking for other avenues rather than to hire County Chairmen and other party hacks that he has had to place in such positions as bridge measure and so forth looking for other legitimate positions to hire party hacks. I think don't think we as a Legislature ought to stand for that by the adoption of this Amendment. I think another fact ought to be made clear that in one breath the Governor says he's for good government and all those niceties that he ought to stand for, but then on the other hand he supports this type of Amendment which does stand for employing party hacks. I don't think we ought to use this vehicle either for that type of procedure. So therefore, I would urge the opposition to Amendment #4 to House Bill 2753."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, point of personal privilege."

Speaker Bradley: "State your point."

Vinson: "The Gentleman referred to me in debate, I am not handling this Amendment at the request or on the behalf of the Governor. I have not talked with the Governor or his staff about this Amendment. I'm offering the Amendment based on my observation of the operation of this bureaucracy. That's what it is based on and it is designed to begin its operation under the next Governor, now if Mr. Matijevich wants to wants to suggest that that is because of some attempt to help Governor Thompson, then he is making a decision about what's going to happen in the election next year and let's have him say that."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Representative Vinson has brought this Amendment to the Democratic side of the aisle several times recently. I



think the Amendment is defective. in what it attempts to do. If you are going to try and attempt a patronage move you ought to do it up front. You ought not to tie it halfway to patronage and halfway to civil service. Indeed, if a Governor were to serve four or six or eight years it is exactly what this would do. As well I think it goes in the wrong direction, I think if we're going to look at patronage traditionally we're talking about in most cases, not dealing with career people as this does but dealing instead with people at the lower end of the salary schedule where they ought to be. If we want to have a pure patronage Bill and believe me, I can support one if you want to deal with all new people... with all new people not those on board now and not those particularly who are career people. It could be and would be supported by many Democrats as well as Republicans. I think this goes totally the wrong way and ought to be defeated."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Vinson, do you wish to close the debate?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker. This is not a patronage Bill, this is a Bill to make the bureaucracy respond. It is an attempt to make those vested bureaucrats who don't respond to the Governor, who don't respond to the Legislature and who don't respond to the clientele they are supposed to serve....respond to somebody for a change. That is the purpose of it, not to reintroduce patronage which I would not support. It is a good government Bill and I earnestly request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "The question....the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 2753. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 94 'ayes', 33 'no' and the motion is adopted. Further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Jacobs."

Jacobs: "I would like to have permission to table House Bill 2753."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to table Bill 2753, he is the Chief Sponsor and the Bill shall be tabled. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "That has got to be by leave of the House. We have a right to vote on that. Objection."

Speaker Bradley: "Objection being heard on tabling the Bill, Mr. Jacobs. Now the Gentleman moves to table...."

Jacobs: "I move to just table House Bill 2753."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Yes, I inadvertently was recorded as 'yes' and wanted to be recorded 'no' on that last Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Lady have leave to be recorded as 'no' on the Amendment #4? Hearing no objections, she will be recorded. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Jacobs."

Jacobs: "I would just like to remove that motion."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman removes....withdraws the motion to table. Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Where are we at now?"

Speaker Bradley: "Well, he has removed his motion to table. So we don't have a motion before us. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded as voting 'no' on Amendment #4."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Gentleman have leave to be recorded as 'no' on Amendment #4? Hearing no objections, he will be so recorded. 2753 has now moved to the Order of Third Reading. Backup to 2730, we're at the point now with Amendment #5, Mr. Van Duyne on Amendment #5. Mr.



Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Well, begrudgingly after all this conversation, I would like to table #5."

Speaker Bradley: "You withdraw Amendment #5? Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. Mr. McLendon, what purpose do you arise for?"

McLendon: "I want to vote 'no'....previous Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman want to be recorded as 'no' on Amendment #4. Hearing no objections, it will be so recorded. Backup again to 2758."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2758...."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is 2578."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm sorry, 2578."

Lechowicz: "On Second Reading. Located on page 2, of the Calendar."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2578, a Bill for an Act in relation to commercial relocation or removal of transfer vehicles from private property. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz. Before you start, Mr. Lechowicz. We have with us today the proud father, Emil Jones. Emil, proud father of a six pound boy born yesterday, he and his wife. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Yesterday morning when I woke up, you know, I saw a very bright sun arising in the east and three wisemen coming from the west and as the wife being that close, I realized that was, Taylor, Pouncey and Shumpert. I thought they were bringing gifts but it was Pouncey's lunch. But I was informed by my secretary that the Speaker announced that the first black President was born



yesterday at 3:20 and I had a difficult time seeing him, you know, secret service wouldn't let me get to him. But the baby and my wife are doing well and I wanted to thank each and everyone of you for your well wishes. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, McMaster. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. At this time I would like to ask permission to withdraw Amendment #2, 3 and 4. I'm withdrawing Amendments #2, 3 and 4."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendments #2, 3 and 4. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Levin. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended...."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin on Amendment #5."

Levin: "I would like to have permission to withdraw Amendment #5."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #5. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Lechowicz-Levin-Marovitz. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, kindly withdraw Amendment #6."

Speaker Bradley: "Moves to withdraw Amendment #6. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Lechowicz-Levin-Marovitz. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the





House. I move the adoption of Amendment #7. Amendment #7 clarifies the power to enforce the violations of the regulation and adds an enforcement power as against operators, drivers who actually tow vehicles and others and also deletes the Commissions power to fine violators. You are replacing it with the power to temporarily suspend licenses. This Amendment has been worked out on both sides of the aisle and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Motion...or the question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Lechowicz-Levin-Marovitz. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended in Section 18 8-200 and so forth."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #8 also is an Amendment that has been worked out on both sides of the aisle. It requires that the Illinois Commerce Commission has set rates for the towing of trespassing vehicles. It authorizes the Commission to set rates for storage of towed vehicles for longer than seventy-two hours. Prohibits the imposing of storages charges for periods of seventy-two hours or less. Prohibits the imposing of any charges other than those set by the Commission and it eliminates the reservation of local government power to authorize rates higher than those set by the Commission. And I move for its adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment #8. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9...."

Speaker Bradley: "What does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti arise...for what purpose?"



Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I am a little confused here. The analysis doesn't have any of the Amendments on it at all and I think the Amendments on our desk....I don't know what you're amending, Ted."

Lechowicz: "Representative Conti, the Amendments are distributed. It is on House Bill 2578 and I believe you have talked to Representative McMaster, there is an analysis as amended."

Speaker Bradley: "I understand that the Amendments have been distributed and are on the desks."

Lechowicz: "Amendment #9."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "I just wanted to inform Representative Conti that we have met on this, we are in agreement with....Amendment #9 is my Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative from Knox, Mr. McMaster's, Mr. Schlickman wants an explanation of the Amendment."

McMaster: "That's what I wanted to do, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed."

McMaster: "Amendment #9 merely retains the provision that counties over one million are covered under this Act. Counties under one million are not but if they do wish to be covered, it sets up a procedure by which they can be covered and regulated by the Commerce Commission in regards to towing and storage of vehicles. And I think it is a good Bill, it also retains in there that if a relocater or operator lives within a county that is regulated such as one of a million or over, if he stores vehicles in another county that is not regulated, he is still subject to all the rules and everything under the Commerce Commission. I move the adoption of Amendment #9."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "If Representative McMasters would respond to a question."



I'm delighted that this Amendment has been worked out, from your description that the Bill will only apply to Cook County and not to any county under one million in population. But you indicated that a procedure is set forth in the Bill by which the counties can be brought in or can come in. Would you describe that procedure, is it by Resolution of the County Board or just how would Lake County or DuPage County get into this regulation?"

McMaster: "It would be by Resolution of County Board, approved by the majority of its members."

Deuster: "Thank you."

McMaster: "I move the adoption of Amendment #9."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, McMaster. Amends House Bill 2578...."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Amendment #10 was a standby Amendment in case we did not get the other agreed on Amendments adopted. At this time I would like to move to withdraw Amendment #10."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #10. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 2757, Mr. Hoffman do you wish to take that out of the record? Representative Gene Hoffman, do you wish to take that out of the record? 2790...oh, the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is my privilege to introduce the government class from Springfield High School, right here. They are studying and setting up a mock Legislature in their school and I'm sure that they are learning an awful lot here today. Glad to have you here. They are represented



by Representatives Robinson, Kane and David Jones."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman who has been making introductions...in the future would let every student standup individually by name and let us meet the students that way."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 2790, Representative Tipsword."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2790, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation Division of Water Resources. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Harris-Richmond. Amends House Bill 2790 as amended by deleting everything proceeding the enacting clause and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Harris. Mr. Richmond. They are both not on the floor, what is the will of the Sponsor of the Bill. Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, let's move it to Third and I'll bring it back if they wish to persist in their Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What about....there is another Amendment. Amendment #3. We'll hold Amendment #2...temporarily. Amendment #3."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Winchester. Amends House Bill 2790 as amended by deleting the title and inserting in and so forth."

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 is a very important Amendment. I've tried on three other occasions and I haven't been very successful with it. And I'm not going to give up, Mr. Speaker, but at this point I question whether the Amendment is germane to the Bill. I feel that it is not germane so I would like to have leave to table the Amendment."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #3."

Winchester: "But I'm not giving up, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's in the record. Any objections? Hearing none the Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2794, Representative Yourell. Take it out of the record? House Bill 2837, Representative Matijevich. Out of the record. House Bill 2850, Representative Terzich."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2850, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Terzich. Amends House Bill 2850 on page 1, line 16 by deleting 'December' and inserting in lieu thereof, 'December'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich. The adoption of Amendment #1, any discussion? All....Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "All it does is capitalizes the month of December and it is just a technical Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. Any discussion? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Stuffle. Amends House Bill 2850 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is Representative Stuffle on the floor?"

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, this Amendment simply reopens until January 1, 1979, for those Judges who wish to now participate in the basic survivor's benefits. The regular service allowance program and the annual adjustment program. To do so where they had previously



elected not to. We have done this on several occasions with both the General Assembly and the Judges Retirement System. It requires payment of all the amounts that would have been paid had Members been in service and members of the Judicial System throughout their careers as Judges. It also provides for the payment of interest in the same manner that we have throughout the years. And I would urge...move adoption and urge passage of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. The Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Terzich. Amends House Bill 2850 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 amends the Chicago Policeman's Annuity and Benefit Fund, Article of the Pension Code. It changes the interest rates for the repayment of a refund from 4% to the applicable rate. The change addressing the interest rate from 4% to the applicable rate is actually no change since the regular or applicable rate is 4%. Several other systems have in their articles regular applicable rates to avoid periodic Amendments when such rates are changed. What this does is, when they re-enter the program they pay the current rate of interest on their contribution. And I move adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #3. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2853, Representative Brady."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2853, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to an Act in relation to the creation and management of forest preserve districts. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative Brady."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady on the motion to table."

Brady: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table Amendment #1, it is technically incorrect and we will make it up with Amendment #3."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman...any discussion? The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Brady. Amends House Bill...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to withdraw Amendment #2...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #2. Any discussion? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Amendment #2 is withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Brady. Amends House Bill 2853 on page 1, line 1 and 8..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady on Amendment #3."

Brady: "In the third attempt we finally did what we tried to do in Committee. We just put the technical language in proper order, chapter and verse in front of it and changed all property to property owned by a forest preserve district and make it consistent with the rest of the elements of the Bill. I talked to the Chairman, Minority Spokesman of the Committee, they are all aware of this and I urge your adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves for the



adoption of Amendment #3. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2854, Representative Brady."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2854, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to state revenue sharing with local governmental entities. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2870, Representative Tipword."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2870, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative Cunningham."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Appropriation I usually has a 100% attendance, the people who are dedicated to the legislative process. But on the day in question we had a very light turn out with the result by a close vote, we adopted an Amendment that chiseled eleven thousand, three hundred dollars away from the budget. From one of the most vital organs of Illinois Government and that's the Judicial Inquiry Board. I say that to you in all seriousness because that Board is the only defense that the citizenry of the State of Illinois have against the Judiciary whom might otherwise run rampant. They serve a very vital purpose, there are nine members on the Board, seven of whom are non judicial members and two Judges. They ride herd on





any who happen to be a member of the bench, who are.... make errors that need to be corrected. I would have preferred, if I indicated to you last week that Representative Van Dwyne's Judicial Amendment Bill pass so that the public might make the....do this function. But in that absence we have to recognize the needs and conditions of the Judicial Board. The Amendment takes out the per diem for the non judicial members at a hundred dollars a day to attend the extra three sessions that the Board feels and most of us also agree, are desirable that they perform their functions in the manner that we would wish. This also reduces a salary increase for a hard working lawyer who serves on the Board to oversee errant or alleged errant members of the judiciary. I urge you, if you would carry out the responsibility that you have and do as your constituents would wish, to restore this seven thousand, three hundred dollars to the Judicial Inquiry Board Appropriation. Would be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This matter was as the Gentleman knows, discussed quite throughly in the Appropriation I Committee and the items that we had deleted were in contractual services... actually a mere pittance that we're discussing here. Eleven thousand, three hundred dollars and I think that the Members of the Committee were aware that there were no apparent increases in the legal counsel case loads and we felt therefore, that the requested increases were not justified. And I think that the Committee acted responsibly by deleting this eleven thousand, one hundred dollars, I believe it was, it is on contractual and therefore, I would urge the Members to defeat this motion to table Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Willer."



Willer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that....Representative Cunningham.

He indicates that he will yield."

Willer: "Is it Representative Cunningham?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, he's got the motion to table, yes."

Willer: "All right. Sorry I am....very apologetic in saying that I wasn't listening to debate until Representative Cunningham mentioned Judicial Inquiry Board. Would you explain your motion to table, what are you tabling?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "A Committee Amendment for eleven thousand, two hundred dollars that was adopted in Committee."

Willer: "And this involves....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Contractual services."

Willer: "Representative Cunningham."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Representative Willer, it is the millennium. You will be able to vote with me in this particular instance. Because we seek to restore funds that are necessary to make your creation work. That is the Inquiry Board needs the additional funds, the per diem is being eliminated for the non judicial members of the Inquiry Board, for the three additional meetings that they seek. And a proposed modest increase in the salary of the legal officer is being eliminated by this particular reduction. If you believe that the Judges need a firm overseer, careful supervision, a protection against the tendency to autocracy. We urge you to vote 'aye' on the motion to restore these cuts."

Willer: "Well you have not answered my question though. These three extra meetings for the non...or for the lay members, will these be three extra above the twelve per month. Is that what they are eliminating?"

Cunningham: "Not twelve per month, twelve per year."

Willer: "I mean twelve per year."

Cunningham: "They propose that they have fifteen meetings because



of the case loads they have."

Willer: "Excuse me, I would just like to speak to this motion then to table because I think it is a very good motion. As a member of the Board for three years, I would attest to the fact that times do arrive when you have to have more than one meeting a month. It doesn't happen very often but certainly it did happen when I was there. This Board did not waste money when I was on the Board, I don't think it has changed its policy one bit. They watch every penny and I see this is certainly, as everyone here must see it. It's just one more attempt to cut the power down of this Board and the power isn't that great, one more chance to isolate...insulate the judiciary from the effective means to discipline. I urge you to support this motion, they will not use the money for extra meetings if they don't need it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I have no desire to quarrel with the eloquence and the persuasiveness or the rhetoric of the eminent Gentleman from Lawrence. And urge that we support this motion to table."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment...you closed four minutes ago. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. Roll Call. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Bobby, vote me 'no'. Get Domico... 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan to explain his vote. No. Representative Skinner to explain your vote, your lights on. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 78 'ayes', 80 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion to table is defeated. The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Let's poll the absentees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will poll the absentees. Eleven



thousand, two hundred. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners. What purpose do you seek recognition?"

Kempiners: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "How are you recorded?"

Kempiners: "Recorded as 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Kempiners: "Will you vote me 'yes'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'."

Kempiners. The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Don Brummet, Daniels, DiPrima...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "DiPrima, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Farley...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Farley... 'no'. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Getty, Hart, Kane, Lucco, Madison, Mahar...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "...recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'."

The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Mahar as 'aye'. Mr.

Steele as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McGrew, Mulcahey, Pullen, Schisler, Schoeberlein,

Schuneman, Stearney, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Peoria, Mrs. Sumner."

Sumner: "Yes, would you please change my 'no' to 'yes'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly change the Lady from 'no' to 'aye',

Mrs. Sumner. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider.

From 'no' to 'aye'."

Schneider: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. From 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Mulcahey... kindly recorded Mr. Mulcahey

as 'no'. On this question there are 84 'ayes', 78 'nays'

and the motion to table.... I'm sorry, the Lady from

Cook, Miss Pullen."

Pullen: "Vote me 'no', please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Miss Pullen as 'no'. Mr.



Daniels."

Daniels: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Daniels, 'aye'. Mrs. Martin is 'aye'.

Wait a minute, that is a change. Change that from 'no' to 'aye'. No, we're just about through. It is adopted anyway. On this question there are 85 'ayes', 79 'nays' and the motion to table was adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Let's back up to House Bill 2670, that's located on page 3, Second Reading. The Gentleman has returned to the floor. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf. 2670."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is merely a...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute, Jack, let him read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2670, a Bill for an Act in relation to abolishment of the Illinois Naval Militia...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is merely a technical Amendment which was prepared by the Reference Bureau. Apparently there was some language to be struck out that did not appear in the original Bill and this takes care of that problem."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 which is a technical Amendment. Any further discussion? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker the next Bill in numerical sequence would be a Bill which is on Third Reading. House Bill 2875 which I was going to ask you to take back to Second. I wonder if I might have leave of the House, as long as we are now on Second, to go to House Bill 2875 to bring it..."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Does the Gentleman have leave to have House Bill 2875 moved from Third to Second. Any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 2875, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, D.L. Houlihan. Amends House Bill 2875 on page 1, by deleting line 1 and 2 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment, in the Bill as originally drafted the substantive Section which was Section 8 of the Act that was amended and we failed therein, to amend the procedural part of the Act which is Section 8.01. What the Amendment will do would be to correct that technical defect by similarly amending the procedural portion of the statute. And I ask your favorable vote on the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #1 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, D.L. Houlihan. Amends House Bill....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I am informed that Amendment #2 is technically defective as drafted. I would ask that Amendment #2 be tabled and....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Any objections? Hearing none, Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Amendment #3."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait...Amendment #3."

Houlihan: "Amendment #3."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We don't have a 3."

Houlihan: "Well, hold it there on Second Reading if you will, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Surely. The Bill will remain on Second



Reading. On page 4 of the Calendar, House Bill 2877.

The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman, it is a Human Resources Bill. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin. 2877, Jack."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2877, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act creating the Department of Children and Family Service. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Committee Amendments? Wait a minute."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Skinner. Amends House Bill 2877 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to table this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #3. Any objections? Hearing none, Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Levin-Skinner. Amends House Bill 2877 on page 1, line 4 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a two part motion. One, I'd like leave to table Amendment #2 because Amendment #4 is a technical rewrite and then secondly, I would like to adopt Amendment #4."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2. Any objections? Put #2 up there, okay. Hearing none Amendment #2 is tabled. Now back to Amendment #4."

Levin: "Amendment #4 makes no substantive changes at all. It was a technical rewrite suggested by the Reference Bureau. I move its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion on Amendment #4. The Lady from Cook, Miss Pullen."

Pullen: "Well since this is a technical rewrite of Amendment #2 and we just tabled Amendment #2 without any explanation of



what that did. Could the Gentleman please explain what Amendment #4 does."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you kindly explain Amendment #4?"

Levin: "Amendment #4 provides for the transfer after, January... after, July 1, 1979, of day care services from the Department of Public Aid to the Department of Children and Family Services. It is the result of the hearing of the Subcommittee on day care and was recommended by the Full Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will yield."

Houlihan: "You indicate that #4 is a rewrite of #2, but #4 is substantially more lengthy than #2. And it contains the phraseology here in administrative support agreements. Could you expand on that, what is the administrative support agreement and is that the only additional change that is embodied in the Amendment?"

Levin: "Representative Houlihan, the major question that was raised was whether or not, under title 20, you can have the administration of day care in an agency other than Public Aid, which is a title 20 agency. And I received a letter from HEW that says, yes, you can. That under title 20 regulation there is a distinction between the administration of a program and the supervision of the administration. Now the supervision...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Representative Levin. The Dean of the House, Representative Davis in Representative Caldwell seat."

Davis: "Pardon me for the interruption but there are students from the Tanner School with their teacher, Mrs. Garrett. The district is represented by Representatives Barnes, Ewell and Gaines. Will the Tanner School and their teacher please stand. And then I have the privilege of presenting to you the famous students from Wendell Phillips





High School and from that district is, Jim McLendon, Representative Susan Catania and your humble servant the Deacon and Dean of the House of Representatives."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Representative Houlihan, to continue with my explanation.

This provides that there will be a contract and it is authorized under title 20, between, you know, the title 20 agency and the present Children and Family Services. The only other thing that it does, is it more narrowly defines what facilities are included. And Amendment #2 uses the term 'child care' which broader than 'day care'. This more limits the definition of kinds of facilities that are intended to fall under day care."

Houlihan: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes on the Amendment."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Barnes: "Representative, is this Amendment in anyway changing the manner in which day care now currently is administrated?"

Levin: "This Amendment will move the administration of day care services out of Public Aid, July 1, 1979. And into the Department of Children and Family Services in order to eliminate a lot of the duplications that was found by the Subcommittee on day care. Where we find situations where the same day care facility is visited first by Children and Family Services and they spend a day or two there and then Public Aid comes by and asks the same questions and spend more time with the day care center."

Barnes: "Will this in anyway affect the appropriation relative to day care as you know now, you know.....after the appropriated certain amounts of money and the Department of Public Aid is also appropriated a certain amount of money relative to this function. Now if you are transferring



the function, will that insure the dollars that are now currently in IBPA, will those dollars be available over in DCFS to carry out the function that they would be... have added responsibilities for?"

Levin: "I'm sorry...."

Barnes: "Currently they are....not only are there separate responsibilities to administration but under those separate responsibilities for administration they are corresponding appropriations through the normal ordinary and contingency expense. Now in transferring the responsibility from IDPA to DCFS, are you also transferring the dollars to.... so that DCFS would have the funds necessary for the added responsibility."

Levin: "Since this would be transfer of administration...would not take place until July 1, 1979, it would not affect the current fiscal year appropriation. But it would certainly be the intent that for the next fiscal year that line item be removed."

Barnes: "That would have to follow."

Levin: "Yes."

Barnes: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2878. Are you handling that, Mr. Levin? 2878."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2878....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2878, a Bill for an Act to restructure the Comprehensive Health Planning Act. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Stanley. Amends House Bill 2878 as amended by deleting Section 3 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stanley."

Stanley: "I would like leave to have that Amendment tabled, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #3. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Levin. Amends House Bill 2878...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin. Amendment #4."

Levin: "Amendment #4 simply confirms...confirms the number of consumers who....that are on the Board to the numbers that are currently on the Board."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Holewinski: "Do you know how many consumers are now on the SHCC?"

Levin: "I believe at the present time there are the maximum number that are allowed under the Federal Law and that is just under 60% which comes out to 33."

Holewinski: "Well it is my understanding that there are now 34 and in reading the language just now, it occurred to me that what you may be doing is requiring the elimination of one consumer member, right now. The language that you've got drafted in your Amendment really mandates a specific number which would....the effect of which I believe would be to require one consumer member to now be removed from the SHCC."

Levin: "If I could have leave to change 33 to 34 on the face of the Amendment then. If that is the case."



Holewinski: "Leave."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman repeat his request."

Levin: "If I could have leave to change 33 to 34 on the face of the Amendment #4."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan. Well your light is on."

Houlihan: "I want to speak on the Amendment, I want to ask some questions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right, the Gentleman asks leave to change the Amendment on its face from 33 to 34. Is there any objections? Hearing none, the Amendment will be changed on its face. Now on the Amendment, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Representative Levin, what is the total membership of the Board?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It must be 34."

Levin: "56."

Houlihan: "How many?"

Levin: "56."

Speaker Lechowicz: "56."

Houlihan: "And the effect of your Amendment will be to mandate the 34 of the 56 positions on the Board. Must be consumer members, is that what your...."

Levin: "Yes, the federal law provides that a minimum of 50% and up to 60% can be consumer. And we've had the policy in the state of having a 60%."

Houlihan: "Am I correct that 34 of the 56 members then will have to be consumer members?"

Levin: "Yes."

Houlihan: "That is the effect of this Amendment."

Levin: "Yes."

Houlihan: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The Bill itself sets...."



increases the number of the SHCC, is this correct, up to 56?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski. There is a question on the Bill, Sir. Mr. Kempiners, would you repeat the question."

Kempiners: "Law currently mandates that there a minimum of at least 25 members of the SHCC, is that correct?"

Holewinski: "That is correct."

Kempiners: "And we now have 56, is that correct?"

Holewinski: "That is correct."

Kempiners: "And this Gentleman's Amendment will mandate that we have 34 consumer members on that Board?"

Holewinski: "That is correct. The Bill itself, mandates the SHCC membership at 56."

Kempiners: "Okay. I would stand in opposition to the Amendment as I will stand in opposition to the Bill. Because I think that we're spending entirely too much money on Boards that are getting too large. And I think that we can start by defeating this Amendment than working on the Bill when it comes to Third Reading."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin to close."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is prompted by the experience of the SHCC over the last year. Where we had the situation where...for awhile there were not appointments being made to fill vacancies. There is an attempt to reduce the size then there was an attempt to reduce the number of consumers. And what this attempts to do is to provide that the current consumer membership will be continued. I think the consumers perform an important roll and we need to maintain a maximum number of consumers on the SHCC."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted. All in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this



question there 33 'ayes', 75 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present' and the Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Holewinski. Amends House Bill 2878...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski, Amendment #5."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #5 is an Amendment that was worked out with the Department of Public Health to overcome their objections to the legislation. It was agreed....as far as I know it's an agreed to Amendment. What it does is remove a Section which the department considered objectionable which would have required a separate division for health planning. Puts it back where it was prior to the drafting of the Bill and I would move the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "A question of the Sponsor if he would yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Houlihan: "As I understand the Amendment and the Bill, it creates not only an executive director of this statewide coordinating council but what you're doing here in the Amendment, is to create an office of health planning and development. Is that correct?"

Holewinski: "No, what it does is statutorily recognize what is in existence now. An office of health planning and an executive director...or executive secretary of the statewide Health Board in any council."

Houlihan: "Well, directing your attention then to your Amendment #5 at line 23 thereof, where in it it states, Section XII, there is created an office of health planning and development in the Illinois Department of Public Health...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Dan. Excuse me. Could you give the Gentleman some order. Please continue."

Houlihan: "There is created etc., an office of health, planning



and development in the Illinois Department of Public Health and shall exercise...which shall exercise the following functions. I'm getting at, Representative Holewinski, does this Amendment create such an office?"

Holewinski: "No, this Amendment takes recognition of what is in place in the department right now. There is an office of health planning, this defines...that is part of the structure of the department and defines their duties or obligations."

Houlihan: "Could you tell me, because I get so confused with the bureaucracy in health care planning. What is the relationship of the statewide coordinating council to the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Board to the office of health planning and development under the Department of Public Health. How do these relate jurisdictionally one to the other?"

Holewinski: "The statewide coordinating Council was established by mandate of federal law, public law 93-641. The objective of that council is to come up with a state health plan. It has....the council itself has no planning staff but relies on the Department of Public Health which is the State Health Planning Agency for the State of Illinois as designated by that same federal law. So they provide the staffing and planning assistance for the statewide health coordinating council in developing the state health plan. Health Facilities Planning Board established pursuant to another public act, the objective of that is obviously to keep a cost down by controlling the proliferation of health facilities and monitor health equipment: They are by this Bill required to coordinate their activities with the statewide health coordinating council to make sure that their policy decisions are constant with the state health plan which we are mandated under federal laws to have."

Houlihan: "One further question I have, Representative Holewinski. And it is not specifically related to the Amendment. But



I think that the confusion I have as to the proliferation of planning agencies and the health care field in this state. Is the confusion which is shared by a great number of Members here on the floor. Is there any publication presently available which does detail what is the relationship of all the different health care organizations or institutionally that we have set up in the state to review planning etc., in this field. Because if there is, I would like to have a copy of it in order to be able to follow jurisdictionally what each of these are supposed to do."

Holewinski: "I understand your dilemma, to my knowledge there is no such publication. One of the reasons for this Bill is to define what really has developed by interpretation of Federal Acts."

Houlihan: "Do I also understand that you are a member of the Statewide Health Coronation Council?"

Holewinski: "That is correct."

Houlihan: "Would you take that up on your agenda at the next meeting of the council."

Holewinski: "We will do so."

Houlihan: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Why don't you put a proposal for a Committee proposal."

Holewinski: "Move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski moves the adoption of Amendment #5. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Amendment #5 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Holewinski.. Amends House Bill...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #6 was submitted to us by the Reference Bureau, it corrects some technical errors in the legislation. There is no substantive effect





at all."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves for adoption of Amendment #6. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. Amendment #6 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2879."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2879, a Bill for an Act to provide for a Legislative Advisory Committee on aging. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Marovitz. Amends House Bill 2879 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and 2 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who is handling the Bill from Human Resources on 2879? Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "This is....Amendment #2 which has been worked out with agreement of the Republican Members of the council on aging. Representative Don Anderson and also the Democratic Member Oral Jacobs."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2. Any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen I would like turn over the Chair to Representative Elmer Conti. Mr. Conti, for purpose of introduction."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative DiPrima, Doyle and I are very proud for this introduction this morning. We don't have a superstar baseball player, we don't have a man up here that won any contest. We have a man that we think that you should know. A man that could very well live down the block from



where you're at now. He's a maintenance engineer for St. Celestine School and the Church and last year he had twenty days vacation accumulated time. And instead of taking that vacation he wanted to make it a little bit easier for those that were a little unfortunate and were afflicted by the disease of muscular dystrophy. So instead of packing his family and going away on a vacation he packed a schwinn bicycle and flew to Las Vegas and drove back that bicycle....rode back that bicycle from Las Vegas in twenty days and was responsible for collecting more than eighteen thousand dollars for muscular dystrophy. A man who cared about someone down the block, who was a little less unfortunate than he was. This is the man that I would like to introduce to you today. Don Cartagnini."

Speaker Redmond: "On behalf of the Members of the House of Representatives, I would like to read this Resolution. Whereas, Don Cartagnini, of Elmwood Park, single handedly raised in excess of fifteen thousand dollars on behalf of muscular dystrophy and received national publicity for his efforts; and whereas, he raised the money by securing pledges and then by riding a bicycle from Las Vegas, Nevada to Elmwood Park. Whereas, the efforts of individuals such as Mr. Cartagnini have kept America strong and vital; and whereas, the people of the State of Illinois are proud of his accomplishments and are honored to number him among one of the citizens of this state. Be it therefore resolved by the House of Representatives that we commend and congratulate him on his accomplishment on behalf of muscular dystrophy and we hope he continues to serve in the future; and be it future resolved, that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to him. Adopted by the House of Representatives on November 4, 1977. Signed by the Speaker and the Clerk of the House."



Speaker Lechowicz: "On page 4 of the Calendar, House Bill 2883.

Who is handling that Bill for Human Resources? 2883.

Mr. Holewinski. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2883, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Act. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2898, Representative Yourell. Out of the record. House Bill 2916, Representative Deuster."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2916, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #3 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, Amendment #....this Bill been read a second time previously. Committee Amendment #1 was tabled and we're on Floor Amendment #3, which was adopted previously. Floor Amendment #4, C.M. Stiehl. Amends House Bill 2916 as amended by deleting the period and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There is a technical error in Amendment #4 and I would like permission to table it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #4. Hearing no objections, the Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Deuster. Amends House Bill 2916 by deleting line....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Democratic Staff noticed that Amendment #1, which had been adopted in Committee was defective in that it removed



some statutory language but did not repeat the language and line it out. So as result of that, I tabled Amendment #1 and I've had Amendment #5 prepared to correct that technical deficiency. That's all it does, it is identical to the Committee Amendment that was adopted and I would move the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #5. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Amendment #5 is adopted. Is there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Stiehl."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #6 provides one more area for an extraordinary circumstance in which a school district may exceed its debt limitation. And it may do so only with a two-thirds vote of the voters in the district. I have cleared this with both, Representative Schneider, Representative Polk and with yourself, Mr. Speaker. I would ask approval."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Lady moves the adoption of Amendment #5. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed....I'm sorry, Amendment #6. All those opposed. Amendment #6 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. I would like to take this opportunity to introduce to the House, the Mayor of Kankakee, Mayor George Ryan. Tom, I'm sorry. That's George's brother. Tom Ryan. Welcome to Springfield, Mayor Ryan. House Bill 2941, Representative Flinn. You want to hold that? Out of the record. How about 2943. House Bill 2943."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2943, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2946, Representative Pierce."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2946, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Pierce. Amends House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 I believe was adopted in... on the floor, was it not?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir, it was."

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes, on the floor."

Pierce: "All right. Amendment #1 was...provided some drastic changes in the original Bill, Mr. Ryan, understandably asked for a fiscal note. In reviewing Amendment #1 with the Bureau of the Budget and the Office of Education, I have agreed to move to reconsider and table Amendment #1 which would have a fiscal impact and put on Amendment #3 which would not. So at this time I would like to reconsider...move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted for purposes of tabling it. I think that would be the proper way to do it. That Amendment was adopted on the floor and I so move."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1.

All those in favor signify by say....the Gentleman asks to move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. The Amendment...the move to reconsider adopted. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I now like to ask unanimous leave to table Amendment #1."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, then Amendment #1 is tabled."

Pierce: "All right now, Amendment #2 my first attempt to comp. mise here was unsatisfactory. It has not been adopted and therefore, I would like to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to withdraw Amendment #2. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Pierce. Amends House Bill 2943...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Amendment #3 is an Amendment that would not have fiscal impact so the fiscal note will be good. The Office of Education has agreed to it, I spoke to Representative Hoffman about it. He thinks it would be a good idea and in fact he had it in one of his Bills. And the Bureau of the Budget is not opposed to it, it would merely extend the present special education building program beyond the original eight years. And I move the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. All those in favor signify by 'aye', all those opposed. #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2949. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2949...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record? Take it out of the record. How about House Bill 2950? Out of the record. House Bill 2951, Representative Kornowicz. Take it out of the record. House Bill 2963, Representative Brady. Are there any Amendments? Read the Bill, 2963."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There is a Floor Amendment....take it out of the record. House Bill 2973, Representative Abramson."



Out of the record. House Bill 2978, the Lady from Cook, Miss Catania. Out of the record? House Bill 2979, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines....out of the record. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco. Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In the balcony to the rear of the House is a third grade class from DuBois school here in Springfield. Their teacher, Mrs. Waldon is with them and the....one of the shining stars along with all the stars in the class is Tim, the son of Mel Hildabrand, the Director of the Space Needs Commission. Glad to have you here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 3002, Representative Richmond. Or Ralph Dunn....Richmond. Out of the record. House Bill 3010, Representative Dan Houlihan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3010, a Bill for an Act to provide for the registration of container trade marks. This Bill has been read a second time previously. And Amendment #1 was adopted. Floor Amendment #2, Dan Houlihan. Amends House Bill 3010....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 was suggested by certain Members of the Committee and specifically Representative Greiman. As far as a restriction on the definition of the term container under the Act, what we have done now to....with this Amendment is to define the container specifically as one which is intended for return in the ordinary course of business from the retailer....back to the distributor wholesaler or the manufacturer of the product which was contained in the container. The effect of the Amendment here is to tighten applicability in the Act and I ask for a favorable Roll Call on the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. Amendment #2 is adopted."



Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3012, Representative McGrew. Take it out of the record. And 3013 also take that one out of the record. House Bill 3040, Judiciary I. Out of the record. House Bill 3057."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3057, a Bill for an Act in relation to clarification and enforcement of the rights of handicapped persons in regard to facilities and accessibility of state-owned and leased real property. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Skinner. Amends House Bill 3057 as amended in Section III and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who is handling the Bill from Human Resources? 3057. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner. Whose Amendment is that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Skinner's."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Skinner on the Amendment."

Skinner: "Yes, is the Amendment that puts the fine in? If they don't produce the report or is this the one that gives injunctive powers or power to go into court?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Accessibility Advisory Board."

Skinner: "Well, I know that's the title of the Bill. I wonder what the Amendment does."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 3088. Mr. McMaster, 3088."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3088, a Bill for an Act in relation to township government. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Schlickman. Amends House Bill 3088 on page 70 and so forth."





Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Amendment #1 to House Bill 3088 amends the township open space act so that it will be applicable not only to counties...or to townships within counties under a million. But also within counties of a million or over. This Amendment is identical to House Bill 2699 which was to be reported out of the Rules Committee but inadvertently was not on the list. I talked to the Majority Leader about it and he suggested that it be offered as an Amendment to this Bill. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to 3088. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. Roll Call. All those in favor of Amendment #1 vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. This supposedly discussed with the Majority Leader. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman on the explanation of his vote."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there was some concern about the Bill, House Bill 2699 when it was in the Rules Committee. Following a vote on that and it was one vote short of being reported out, I talked with the office of the president of the Cook County Board, Art Janvra, Jr." "

Speaker Lechowicz: "Vote me 'aye'."

Schlickman: "Who advised us that it would not have any adverse effect on the County of Cook. My objection was then withdrawn and the Majority Leader suggested that this be offered as an Amendment to this Bill. And I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Vote 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Mike, that is the one that he talked to you about, two weeks ago. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 63 'ayes',



43 'nays', the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, McMaster. Amends House Bill 3088 on page 8, line 25 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are a number of places in the township code and currently in township law that have language requiring publication of notice in newspapers. As a suggestion of the Illinois Press Association we have standardized that language with this Amendment and that is all that it does."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'...surely, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I wonder if the Sponsor of the Amendment could hold this at this time. Representative Taylor indicates that he wishes to speak with the Sponsor of the Amendment. He has some concerns about it...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "I think we are going to find out that the next Amendment is Sponsored by of....a Legislator who is not on the floor. For that reason I would like to adopt Amendment #2 and then it is going to be held anyhow and if we have a problem, Mr. Taylor and I, we can resolve it and go back to it. So I don't think the Amendment.... the Bill is going to go Third right now until Representative Ewing gets on the floor because he has Amendment #3. Is that okay?"

Houlihan: "I suppose it will have to be."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Why don't you just hold the Bill. The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. Amendment #2 is adopted. We'll hold the Bill on Second Reading."



The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dawson." Mr. Dawson, please."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in the rear of the gallery we have the St. George Grammar School from the 30th District. Which is represented by Representative Phil Collins, Frank Giglio and myself."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Welcome to Springfield. The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for purposes of an introduction, we have a hundred and sixty-four members of the Central Junior High from Zion, Illinois. Accompanied by their teachers, Richard Johnson, Bill Velirosal, Jerry Ziiful, Mary Layee, Lynn Carson, Joyce Ricker and John and Carolyn Keller. They are represented by Representative Geo-Karis, Representative Griesheimer and Representative Matijevich. Will you welcome them please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner on House Bill 3057. 3057, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3057, a Bill for an Act in relation to clarification and enforcement of the rights of handicapped persons. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry....any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Skinner. Amends House Bill....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Amendment #2 attempts to give incentive to this new Board to deal with access to state buildings, to make a report. That its predecessor Board never made by levying a hundred dollar a person fine if they don't come up with the report every year. Also gives the accessible for it every year. It also gives the accessible....<sup>cc</sup> assessability Advisory Board the ability to go into to court to enforce



state...government agencies to make buildings accessible to handicapped. May I have your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Any discussion? The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterwaite."

Satterwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Satterwaite: "Representative Skinner, are the members of this Board compensated for their work?"

Skinner: "They are compensated for their expenses."

Satterwaite: "And yet you are saying that if they do not come up with a report that we are going to fine them, the individual members?"

Skinner: "That is what we are saying. Almost half of them are Directors of State Departments. The problem that I'm trying to avoid...recreating here, is a Governor's Board that was in existence for four or five years, that was supposed to give an annual report about accessibility of state buildings. And never made a report. And yet when the Auditor General took a sample of all of the state buildings in the state, sent out a questionnaire. It turned out that 89% of them were inaccessible to the handicapped. On 99, on site inspections, 96% of the buildings were inaccessible. Now obviously somebody is submerging the problem and I want to give every incentive possible to the people that are named to this Board to do the job they are...well, they agreed..they're willing to do when they except the appointment. That is, point out to the General Assembly on an annual basis what the problems are and what progress has been made in meeting the problems."

Satterthwaite: "Well I agree that there is a great need to have this report come about to show us where we are in terms of accessibility. But I would question whether or not we should penalize somebody who is volunteering their time when it may not really be the fault of the



members of the Board if no publication comes out."

Skinner: "Well of course if the members....if the people...the people who are approached to serve on this Board will obviously read the enabling statutes before they accept appointment. So they are not going into it blind and we're not laying anything on retroactive, what we're saying is, that important jobs....we think it is a very important job to have especially welfare officers, unemployment officers acceptable to handicapped. And by God we want a report. Now four of these members are state directors or they are designees and one of them is the director of the Department of Administrative Services and the Department of Administrative Services is absolutely the biggest offender. Under Director Burris, they didn't even have a contract that when out to the various agencies that they would give....the contract specifications which they would give to the, I guess you would call them purchasing officers. The leasing people for the various departments, the requirement that has been in state law for ten years. That the buildings be accessible to handicapped. You will note that the Amendment says that this shall not be paid out of public funds. Which means that if a Director of Administrative Services wants to consort with a majority of this Committee and not produce a report, it would show that that department is doing a miserable job in improving accessibility for handicapped. He would have to pay that money out of his own salary."

Satterthwaite: "But are there not also public members who would not be state employees necessarily."

Skinner: "That is quiet correct. There are public members and I just think that if you tell the public members, by George, this is what we're expecting out of you. That hundred dollars.....that's not an excessive amount of money to pull out of anybody's pocket but it is high



enough so they are going to know they paid it. It won't be like writing out a check for ten dollars for some dinner. I want it to hurt but not hurt too much and I want the report because it is just unbelievable that 96% of the building...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any further discussion?"

Skinner: "Are not accessible to the handicapped yet in this state. And that's public buildings and the law has been on the books for ten years."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. All those in favor of Amendment #2 vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 52 'ayes', 25 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3117. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3117, a Bill for an Act relating to foreclosures. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, D.L. Houlihan. Amends House Bill 3117 on page 1...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I move to table Amendment #1....or excuse me, to withdraw Amendment #1 and also to withdraw Amendment #2 which I am also the Sponsor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #1 and 2. Any objections? Hearing none, Amendments #1 and 2 are withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, D.L. Houlihan. Amends...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."



Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 replaces what was intended to be effective by Amendments #1 and 2. Representative Mahar particularly had some concern over the drafting of the language to the Amendments and I believe that concern has been resolved in Amendment #3. Essentially now the Bill becomes....Amendment #3 becomes the Bill and it does three things. It provides that where a county municipality which has the power of lien/on....for demolition costs where that lien is foreclosed....what will happen is, on a subsequent transfer of the property by the local unit of government. It will transfer the property free and clear of any outstanding or delinquent taxes. Secondly, it provides that the prior owner of the property, however, is not relieved from liability for outstanding delinquent taxes prior to the transfer. And thirdly, it puts prohibition as far as reacquisition on the property by a prior owner, a prohibition of ten years which was the concerns specifically of Representative Mahar. And that is what the Amendment does, I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Houlihan: "Certainly."

Ryan: "Representative Houlihan, is there any chance....any outside chance at all that this could be used to build a sports stadium in the City of Chicago?"

Houlihan: "I wish, George, the answer to that were 'yes'. Unfortunately the answer is 'no'."

Ryan: "No way that this could be used to build a sports stadium in Chicago, is that right?"

Houlihan: "None that I'm aware of."

Ryan: "Thank you."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3138, Mr. Matijevich. Out of the record? House Bill 3158, Mrs. Chapman. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3158, a Bill for an Act establishing the Special Public Aid Fraud Investigation Unit in the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3168, Mr. Terzich."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3168, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Retailers Occupation Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #1, Representative Rigney."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Rigney on the motion to table Amendment... Committee Amendment #1."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In case you are not familiar with the Bill that we're talking about or the Amendment that we're talking about. House Bill 3168 is the one that is going to give sales tax relief on industrial equipment. Now if you will recall, we had a very similar Bill, in fact Sponsored by Representative Terzich last year in which we added on an exemption for farm machinery. Now when, Representative Terzich re-introduced this legislation this time the farm machinery was also a part of that Bill. The effect





of Amendment #1 is to take out the farm equipment. And that is what I object to and that is why I filed a motion to strike Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, will you take this Bill out of the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Sure. Take 3168 out of the record. House Bill 3177, Mr. Mautino."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3177, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unemployment Insurance Act. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Schuneman. Amends House Bill 3177 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to yield to Representative Simms for this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms, Amendment #2."

Simms: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 is a very simple Amendment. It stiffens the penalties for those that fraudulently collect unemployment compensation benefits. It states that they shall receive no benefits during that period unless they return to work for four weeks before receiving future benefits. It also requires that they must pay back all fraudulent benefits paid out by the state trust fund before requalifying for benefits. The present Bill would allow the individual to collect benefits in the same period in which he defrauded the state and which we feel Amendment #2 corrects this deficiency in the Bill. And I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."



Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm in opposition to Amendment #2 that Mr. Simms presented. And I would like to explain why. We have an additional Amendment #9 to correct and tighten up the fraud provisions that he is addressing. But there is one other area that he includes in his Amendment that the person must at least work five weeks of subsequent employment to be put back on the unemployment roll. What we're saying then, if in fact he is ineligible, he would then go on the welfare and public aid. I don't believe that our system of welfare and public aid can afford to do this and therefore, we're addressing the question. In Amendment #9 is being presented by Mr. Brummer and I ask for a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms to close."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in asking for a favorable vote on this Amendment, I think very frankly that we cannot reward people by allowing them to continue to receive the benefits when they have defrauded the State of Illinois... intentionally frauded on collecting unemployment compensation benefits. And this is the reason this legislation is so greatly needed. To tighten up this Bill that Representative Mautino has which has some benefits to it that will benefit all those in the state. And there is a difference between welfare and unemployment. At the same time we should not reward people who have fraudulently been collecting unemployment compensation benefits. And for this reason I would urge the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Marko...Marko, 'no'. Laurino, 'no'. Let's go. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are



76 'ayes', 83 'nays', 2 voted as 'present', the motion is not adopted. Robinson, 'no'. Record Robinson as 'no'. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "We'll go on to the next Amendment, that's all right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's covered in Amendment #8, I don't know if you heard the Gentleman. Collins, 'aye'. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Schuneman. Amends House Bill 3177....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yield to Mr. Simms, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 is another excellent Amendment and should receive enthusiastic support by all Members of the House regardless of political affiliation. This Amendment permits the Comptroller to deduct back unemployment compensation taxes owed to the employers trust funds by municipalities or other units of government who default on their UI payments. Presently the Bill permits the Comptroller to withhold refunds for any taxes or other state payments to vendors to private employers who have failed to pay their UI payments. But no such provision exists to permit the same for public employers. So we have a double standard and what this Amendment is attempting to do is to alleviate that double standard and to treat those municipalities as the same as they would treat the private sector and the private employers. We cannot have legislation which sets up two standards for the implementation of this important Act. I might add that with this legislation you would give Comptroller Bakalis that right and to apply this principle. So therefore, we urge the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mayor Tom Ryan. The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."



Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to the Amendment, it isn't a bad Amendment to be honest with you Tim. The problem that I foresee is the fact that if an instance occurs where a school district or a part district were to be in arrears in their payment to the trust fund, you're saying the Comptroller could withhold any monies due to that public body. I hope that that does not happen but I don't see any problem with that Amendment. I would be happy to accept it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What did you do? I'm sorry, would you repeat that."

Mautino: "I said that I see no real problem with it. I'm sure it will not occur. I'd be happy to accept that particular Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan on the Amendment."

Madigan: "I talked to Mr. Mautino regarding this Amendment, it's fine with me."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. The Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments? It was adopted."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Huskey. Amends House Bill 3177 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is just as fine an Amendment as the last you heard. It only changes four words 'in person' and 'biweekly'. Actually all we're asking is that the unemployed report to the employment agency....biweekly: Once every two weeks instead of mailing in the card. At least this tells the people that he's around and looking for work. 13% of our unemployment dollars is paid to California, Florida and other states. It goes out of the State of Illinois, it's not collected within the state and this Amendment merely



says, let's try the people in Illinois, keep them working not take this money and go out on a big long vacation and stay within the state and spend it in the State of Illinois. I move, Mr. Speaker, for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Levin: "I wonder if you might tell me how much more in dollars your Amendment would require in terms of staffing? In order to have employees of the agency to be able to interview all these individuals you are going to require to have come in?"

Huskey: "Actually, I think it would probably take less staffing to see the person in person rather than mailing out the card and then having to wait to receive the card back, so that's a duplicate effort where this would only be a single effort."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Yes, Sir. First of all....thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all in response to Mr. Huskey's Amendment, I would like to point out that that is not statuatorily-involved in the unemployment compensation at this time. This is an administrative decision. There is....if in fact they want to make it two weeks, they certainly can do it. If they want to make it one week, if they want to make it by mail they can do it. This is an administrative policy and I don't think that the Legislature should set administrative decisions in policy. I think it would cause more problems than what they are having now. And I am opposed to this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support the position of Representative Mautino. The question of requiring claimants to personally visit the unemployment compensation offices is a decision which



should be made administratively depending upon the number of claims pending at any one time. The reason why claimants today are not required to personally visit the offices, is that at one point in time during the prior administration...  
 Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Give the Gentleman some order please. Please continue."

Madigan: "At one point in time during the prior administration the case load volume became so great that it would have been physically impossible to process all the claimants arriving personally at the office. Therefore, the Bureau of Employment Security at that time, administratively changed over from a system of requiring people to personally visit the office to one which we have today. I suggest to the Sponsor of the Amendment that if he desires change in this area that a better approach would be a personal communication with the Director of the Bureau of Employment Security rather than an Amendment to this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey to close."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if the administration was properly doing its job there would be no need of this Bill. 13% of the dollars that are paid of unemployment compensation goes out of the State of Illinois. That means that your tax dollars are collected but they are taken out of the state to be spent. All this little Amendment does is to stay in the state to be available for the work. If you are called on to go to work, you must be here to go to work. Not to take a vacation to Arizona or Florida on unemployment compensation. That isn't the purpose of unemployment compensation for a big long vacation to sunny Florida or to Arizona or out to Las Vegas to play the slot machines, to gamble all these unemployment compensation dollars....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly address himself to the Amendment."

Huskey: "13% of the dollar is taken out of the state. If the



administration is not doing its job properly...that is why it should be mandated by this Honorable House of Representatives. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted. All in favor vote 'aye', all oppose vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword to explain his vote."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I applaud the purpose that the Gentleman has in this Amendment but there is a problem that arises. People, I know in my district, have to travel one-way as far as forty...forty-five miles to that....to get in to make that report. It's a round trip of eighty to ninety miles and if they are legitimately out of work, they don't have the money to get in there. And no one is providing for this, every once in awhile I might tell you, they get a notice to come into the office and go in and sit for about three hours and finally they say this was an error and again they get no money for that trip in there. I agree with the purpose of this but I think on cost wise it is rather impractical in a lot of areas."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, another thing is that most of those green lights are up there are Republican lights. I would suggest that if any of you Republicans are really serious about this...all you have to do is go down to the Governor's Office and say that you would like to have the Department of Labor issue a rule and regulation that people come in every week. That's what happened before and the Governor can do it, the Director of the Department of Labor can do it, it is purely administrative and if you're really serious about it go ahead and have your Governor do it."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keats to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. While I follow the logic of some of the earlier speakers, what they are really saying is not quite what they mean. Always before we said the bureaucracy has a great deal leeway, perhaps they can do more than they ought to be able to do. Perhaps you ought to put in a little tightening of the laws and the regulations. That's exactly what this Amendment does, what the arguments against it are...are saying let the bureaucracy decide. Let unelected officials who are there forever...or in some cases a few are appointed, but they can leave, most are there through civil service, let them make all the decisions. We, the Legislature will refuse to make the decisions that have been given to us by our constituents. We have been asked to decide how these programs should be run. Should we be mailing checks to Florida, Pennsylvania, etc., the constituents would like us, their elected officials to get off our collective tails and start to make a decision that has a little guts. Why are we asking the bureaucracy to make our decisions for us."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer to explain his vote. Timer is on."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment accomplishes just a small scratching start in the way back from unemployment compensation. There is not a business in this state that isn't crying for something to be done. All this Amendment asks for is to make sure these people are checking in regularly so at least we know that we're keeping track of the fact that they are attempting to find a job some place. I think it is very interesting that someone on the other side of the aisle would raise the issue that the Republicans are voting for this and the Democrats by in large are voting against it. I hope the





voters of this state note this, the business people of this state note this and as business starts moving out of the State of Illinois they will realize which party is dragging them out of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Mautino: "Yes, thank you, I hope that someone would look at the Amendment. I think it is self explanatory, it is Mr. Huskey's Amendment. And if I may, I would like to just read two sentences and show you exactly what we're talking about. It says, 'that he must report in person at the employment office biweekly, in accordance with such regulations that the Director may prescribe except that the Director may by regulation waive or alter either or both requirements.' If you look at the Amendment, that's exactly what we're talking about. He's got the authority now in the law and I...you've got a problem here. 'No' vote is right vote on this one."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis to explain her vote. The timer is on."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the prior Representative who is opposing this Amendment quoted this Amendment very well. Therefore, the people who would have a traveling hardship like the Representative from Christian County said, that can be certainly altered by the administrative authorities. I don't see anything wrong with this Amendment. We've gone too far off the deep end. We can't afford to lose any more jobs than we have and for heaven sakes the conscientious laboring person doesn't want all these extra breaks for people who don't give a darn about the rest of the world."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 93 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present'. The Amendment is not adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."



Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, I first want to poll the absentees then I want to verify the negative Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Further Amendments? Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Tuerk..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't have to tell you that the Gentleman is entitled to that request. And I think it is blatantly irresponsible on your part to ignore it. And certainly, he, as a Member of this chamber, is entitled to that and I think you ought to give him that courtesy."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ryan, the count is 73 'ayes'...."

Ryan: "I don't care what the count is, Mr. Speaker. That's his privilege not yours."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe that I try to address as quickly as possible...the announcement was made, I went to him directly upon when you signaled me as far as a notification of what he wanted. There is 73 'ayes', 10 recorded...none....and none recorded....make it 83. If he wants a verification that's fine. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, some of the distinguished Members from the other side of the aisle requested that I take this Roll Call down to the Governor and ask that he asks the administration to perform this duty. I just want to fulfill their request, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you persist in requesting the poll of the absentees?"

Huskey: "Yes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Adams, Antonovych...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Antonovych, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Don Brummet."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Don Brummet...continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ebbesen, Hart, J. M. Houlihan...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jim Houlihan, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr.



Houlihan."

Houlihan: "No, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Record him as 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kelly, Kosinski..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kosinski, 'no'. Kosinski, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McAuliffe, Stearney. No further."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Now the count is 73 'ayes', 96 'nays' and 1 recorded as 'present'. And the Amendment is not adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "I request the verification of the Negative Roll Call. As I.....the announcement was made..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Breslin."

Breslin: "I have to be off the floor for a minute, could I be verified please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Surely. The Lady asks leave to verified. What's your point, Mr. Byers."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in all due respect to the Gentleman. I do think his move...he's dilatory and I think that you should so rule and move on to the next Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Very quickly we will verify the 'no' votes. The Clerk will proceed and verify the 'no' votes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Kane. Pardon me, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Could I request leave to be verified?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to be verified, and hearing no objections the Gentleman has leave. That's Representative Breslin has leave and Mr. Kane has leave. And kindly change, Mr. Leverenz's from 'present' to 'no'. So that's 97. Mr. Getty. Getty."

Getty: "I think this is dilatory, too. Change me from 'yes' to 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Change him from 'yes' to 'no'. Kindly record, Mr. Mulcahey as 'no'. He wants to make sure he is recorded as 'no'. Speak for yourself, Mr. Keats."



Do you want to continue with the verification of the negative vote, Sir? You're really making a lot of

friends here. The Gentleman withdraws. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Tuerk. Amends House Bill...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk, Amendment #5."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 3177 purports to be a panacea of some sort, relative to the severe problem of unemployment compensation in the state. I submit to you, it is not a panacea, it's a very small move in the direction to make some changes which are not meaningful. Embodied in the Bill itself is a provision that would take off the cap on unemployment benefits. I submit that with the Amendment that I'm about to offer, which in effect agrees with that concept to taking off the cap. But on the other hand it freezes and at a level which is, in my view, quiet liberal and reasonable. What this Amendment does is, it freezes it at a level of the average weekly wage which is approximately two hundred and twenty-five dollars a week. So in effect what my Amendment does is actually raise the weekly benefits which is a compromise position rather than taking off the cap entirely and let it float at a level which would then become a higher benefit....ad infinitum. This Bill, the way that it is structured at the moment would cost the trust fund an additional thirty-six million dollars which is already in a debt ridden position of almost one billion dollars in debt. And I repeat that, one billion dollars in debt and what this Bill will do is increase that by another thirty-six million dollars."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What about your Amendment?"

Tuerk: "I'm explaining my Amendment, if you would listen to it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm trying to."

Tuerk: "What this Amendment does, as I'm telling you, and this Body. That it actually raises the compensation on a weekly



benefit level by approximately fifteen dollars a week for dependents. For those who have dependents. And what my Amendment would do, would freeze it at that level and make the Legislature come back and consider any further increases rather than give the Bill itself, the way it stands now, an opportunity to increase those benefits without any legislative approval whatsoever. And what this Amendment is, is a compromise type of approach which I consider to be reasonable. And I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino on the Amendment."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like attention of the House because this is a very unique Amendment. All this Bill says....it is very simple and very easy...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Thank you, please proceed."

Mautino: "Let me explain exactly what this Amendment does.

First of all, the cap that is being removed, that he alluded to in the discussion of his Amendment, was taken from Senate Bill 1350, which was Sponsored by Senator Mitchler, Shapiro, Weaver, Glass and Soper as part of the package of the 80th General Assembly on the mandated programs. What this Amendment does, not only is...freezes the unemployment compensation acceleration but what it does is also roll back workmen's compensation. Now if that is hard to understand, I will explain it to you. Last year at the request of the Chamber of Commerce, the Manufacturers Association, we changed the workmen's compensation from the manufacturing wage to the state's average weekly wage based on the computation of the covered industries in unemployment compensation. What he is doing with this Amendment is rolling everything back that we passed last year. Because everything is based on the statewide, weekly average for computations and I oppose this piece of legislation for that reason."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to Amendment #5 and I agree with Representative Mautino, that all of us will need a very clear understanding of this area if we are to vote intelligently not only on this Amendment but on subsequent Amendments. There will be reference to removal of the cap, that refers to a section of the statute that inadvertently was not changed by prior legislation. Consequently, today in the State of Illinois there are three categories of claimants for unemployment compensation. The first category consists of a single person, the second category consists of married person and that person's spouse. And the third category consists of a married person with a spouse and dependents. For the first two categories the upper limits of their claims is determined by a percentage of the average weekly wage in the state. However, for the third category, the category which consists of those most in need of assistance...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Excuse me. Let's give the Gentleman some order and will the unauthorized people remove themselves from the floor. Please continue."

Madigan: "Concerning the third category, a claimant with a spouse and dependents, the category which is most in need of assistance, the upper limit of their claim is today one hundred and thirty-five dollars a week without regard to what the percentage is of the average weekly wage. This Bill as amended by Representative Mautino, would remove that arbitrary figure of one hundred and thirty-five dollars and simply put the category of claimants, a claimant with a spouse and dependants in the same position as any other claimant. Including claimants that are single or those who are simply married without dependents. Reference has been made in this debate to a cost figure of approximately thirty-six million dollars. To my mind



there has been no substantiation offered to support a cost figure of thirty-five million dollars. No one has come forward to me and shown me dramatically and explicitly how that will occur. I suggest to you that it would be tragedy if this Legislature would adjourn in July without having rectified this terrible inequity which exists in this law today as regard to category of claimants most in need of assistance."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I believe this Amendment is out of order...incorrect form. What it does is eliminate existing language without striking it through and I would like a ruling on that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you kindly repeat your parliamentary inquiry, Sir."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, what this Amendment does is it eliminates the existing language without striking it through. It is therefore, out of order."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe the point is well taken and the Gentleman asks leave to amend the Amendment on its face. There is objection. And the Amendment is out of order. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Wikoff. Amends House Bill 3177 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #6 should receive completely bipartisan support because it eliminates an inequity in the present Illinois Unemployment Compensation Act. Amendment #6 if adopted would prohibit the payments of unemployment compensation of benefits to employees who are neither laid off nor fired but are merely honoring the picketing line of another striking union. This Amendment would eliminate situations which have recently plagued major plants such as the Olin Corporation in Alton, the General



Electric Plant in northern Illinois where several thousand employees were paid compensation because they were honoring a much smaller unions picket line. In other words, what would happen if this Amendment was adopted, it would end the employers having to subsidize a secondary boycott against his own plant. This is contrary to what is normally accepted in the practice of collective bargaining whereby, a strike would place the striking individuals in the position of withholding their services in order to place the employer in a financial bind and therefore, achieve the purpose that they are striking for. It is rather incongruous that the employers should not only have to accept a strike which is commonly construed as part of the acceptable parts of collective bargaining, but yet have to subsidize those who are on strike against them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe that this Amendment is also in incorrect form. It allows Amendment of Section 604 into the title but it does not amend Section 604 into Section 6....Section 1 of the Act. It is therefore out of order."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman's point is well taken. The Amendment is in improper form. Any further Amendments? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Mr. Speaker, since you do not have a parliamentarian there with you....would you take this out of the record in order that I might discuss it with you because I think that if you...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I think you better discuss it with the Reference Bureau....."

Wikoff: "I would like....since you're in the podium and you made the ruling, I would like to discuss it with you with a parliamentarian present, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Fine. Any further Amendments?"





Wikoff: "May we take it out of the record and bring it back?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll get around to it. Any further Amendments?"

Wikoff: "Can we take it out of the record and bring it back. Is that a 'yes' or 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The answer is no. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Schuneman....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You want to continue with Amendment #6? Please proceed."

Wikoff: "I would like to continue with Amendment #6. If not, I will appeal a decision of the Chair. Have it your way."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed. The question is, shall the Chair be appealed? All in favor....or- overruled? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "I request an Oral Verified Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It is untimely at this time. We've got to take a Roll Call first. Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: Well, Mr. Speaker, just to explain my 'yes' vote.

I think I have heard the present speaker on prior occasions indicate to us that he is just an umpire up there, he's impartial and he does not participate in the discussion or debate and he is certainly not sponsor of the Bill. In my recollection as I heard the Gentleman sitting in the Chair make a decision that normally should be made by the Sponsor of the Bill and that is to deny to Mr. Wikoff the opportunity to have this matter held. That is the reason that I'm voting 'yes' and I hope other Members would vote 'yes' because....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "I would like to poll the absentees and then request a verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will take the record. On this



question there are 78 'nays',...78 'ayes', 88 'nays' none recorded as 'present'. The Clerk will poll the absentees. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We happen to be dealing with a most important issue right here and you're action in cutting off the debate and allowing this man to present an Amendment to the floor is arbitrary and capricious at that."

Speaker Lechowicz: Well let me just point out to you, Sir..."

Daniels: "I'm not in a debate with...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Darrow raised a point whether the Amendment was in proper form. And he stated publicly the improper form of the Amendment. He asked for a ruling and I believe that if you would discuss this with Mr. Schwartz and your staff people, you would see that the Amendment is not in order. That was the question."

Daniels: "Who is Mr. Schwartz? Is he a Member of this House or are we supposed to check with him."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No...no, Mr. Darrow raised the question."

Daniels: "Oh. Are you going to give this Gentleman time to have his Amendment in proper form drafted by the Reference Bureau so that we can address the subject?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "If it is back here before we get through I'm sure that it will be in proper order to address the Amendment."

Daniels: "Then you will hear the other 20 Amendments that are coming too, is that right?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will poll the absentees. Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, the parliamentarian has returned now perhaps you could be more specific as to why this Amendment was as you termed, improperly drafted."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Surely, I would be more than happy to address that issue. Mr. Darrow, do you want to bring up your point again?"



Darrow: "No, Mr. Speaker, I think we are in the middle of a Roll Call. I wish we would poll the absentees. Mr. Telcser knows how this House is operated, he's been here long enough. Let's proceed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Now, let's just clear up the record once and for all. We will get a ruling from the Parliamentarian. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Abramson, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bradley, Caldwell, Gaines...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich on a point of order."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, you've called two absentees, they have failed to vote therefore, there is no way that he can get his 89 votes which is required for him to ask for a verification. Therefore, I now say that his request for a verification under the rules, is out of order."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hart, Holewinski, Kane, Kelly, Madison...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Holewinski, 'no'. Madison, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Meyer, Stearney, no further."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, a point of information. Could you tell me how many Amendments to this Bill was filed at the time this Bill was called?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Clerk, how many....Mr. Clerk, how many Amendments were filed when the Bill was called? 12 Amendments."

Mudd: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan on a point of order."

Hanahan: "A point of order is that in Rule 48 (a) that when a verification is requested on a specific amount of votes needed which is on this motion of overruling the Chair."



He cannot obtain it now so a verification would be ruled out of order on the request of any Member. Rule 48 (a)."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We've got both Parliamentarians very busy right here now, Tommy.....so let's.....let's calm down just a little bit. The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Just in response to the prior parliamentary statement or the so called parliamentary statement. That would refer to a case where you need 107 votes....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mrs. Geo-Karis. Let me just point out to you that it was the intent of the Chair to have this Bill as the last Bill being called. We've got two other things to take care of before we adjourn. I would like to get a definite.....Mr. Wikoff, do you persist in a verification?"

Wikoff: "No, Mr. Speaker. I would not persist in a verification of the appeal to overrule. I would ask that in order that...if the Parliamentarian should rule that this is not in order and I would like to have that from him. That he would tell me in what situation it is so I can rectify that situation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's a proper request. According to the Parliamentarian, Mr. Wikoff, the Amendment is out of order. And now why is it out of order? This does not amend....the beginning of the Section of the Bill to indicate that this new Section is going to be amended. Okay, any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Schuneman. Amends House Bill 3177 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr. Madison. Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move to table Amendment #7. The subject matter of that Amendment is included in a later Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You want to just withdraw, Mr. Schuneman?"



Schuneman: "Whatever."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #7. Hearing no objections, the Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Schuneman. Amends House Bill 3177 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one of the complaints that we've all heard about unemployment compensation and the unfair administration of that law in Illinois is that employees who voluntarily quit their jobs and employees who are fired for misconduct on the job are nevertheless eligible for benefits—benefits which are paid for by the employer. Now our present system provides that the employee benefits are delayed eight weeks in case of an employee who quits and six weeks in the case where an employee who is fired for misconduct. But the total period they can collect benefits is not reduced, so the result of our system is simply to delay the payment of benefits in those cases, but not to reduce the benefits. Amendment #8 would affect only those employees who voluntarily quit or are fired for misconduct by providing a thirteen week waiting period and providing that there should be a loss of those first thirteen weeks of benefits. And I would move for the adoption of Amendment #8."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to vote on this Amendment, however, it too is out of order. It has the same problem as Amendment #6. Although it amends Section 601 and 602, it does not put in any language about amending Section I. Therefore, it is out of order and the Parliamentarian should rule as he has ruled in Amendment #6."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And you are objecting, is that correct, Sir?"



Darrow: "That is correct."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Same problem, same ruling. Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, could I ask Representative Darrow for a further explanation of that...of that objection?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sure that if you want to walk over here we're going to have to talk to you about it. Further Amendments?"

Schuneman: "Well, Mr. Speaker will you hold the...will you hold it until we get it worked out then? Will you take it out of the record?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, Sir. Any further Amendments? Did you have your Amendment drafted in the Reference Bureau, Sir?"

Schuneman: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Lechowicz: "According to the Parliamentarian, he doesn't think so. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Brummer. Amends House Bill 3177 as amended in Section 901 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this Amendment provides that in case an individual is determined to have fraudulently received unemployment insurance benefits; he is ineligible for benefits until either he has served the six weeks disqualification period for first offense and an additional two weeks for each additional offense, or until he has repaid in full to the state those benefits fraudulently received, whichever period of time is longer. The current law, as you may be aware, unbelievably does not provide or require that the recipient of fraudulent benefits has to pay those benefits back to the state prior to being eligible to receive additional benefits. This would require that he has to pay those benefits back, that he has fraudulently received before he is eligible for additional benefits. And it would leave the existing language with regard to



disqualification period. I ask for an affirmative vote."  
 Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall  
 Amendment #9 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying...  
 The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is a good Amendment. It  
 tightens up the fraud provision and I'm in support of  
 Amendment #9 to House Bill 3177."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is,  
 shall...the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Mautino: "Roll Call is what he wants."

Dunn: "Roll Call, please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted....the question is, shall  
 Amendment #9 be adopted? All those in favor signify  
 by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all  
 voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On  
 this question there is 163 'ayes', no 'nays', none recorded  
 as 'present', the Amendment is adopted. Any further  
 Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, Schuneman. Amends House  
 Bill 3177 as amended in the title and Section I by  
 inserting 'five hundred' immediately before '610' and so  
 forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman  
 on Amendment #10."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to withdraw Amendment #10."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Does the Gentleman have leave to withdraw  
 Amendment #10? Is there any objections? Hearing none  
 the Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, Schuneman. Amends House  
 Bill 3177 as amended in the title and in Section I and  
 so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
 the House. Amendment #11 would disqualify from benefits  
 individuals who voluntarily leave work to marry or to



join their families in another locality, or if the leave is for domestic or marital difficulty, unless such individuals become the sole support of their family. I'm sure that many of us have had requests from employers in our districts pertaining to this point, Mr. Speaker, and one of my constituents wrote me about a case involving this problem. The constituent operated a small business with four employees. He hired a young mother who asked for a job. The young lady was married and had a young child who required a babysitter. It took about a year to train the employee to the point where the employer felt that she was beginning to pay her own way. The employee's husband was transferred to another state so the young lady quit her job and moved with her husband and filed for unemployment compensation. The employer, of course, in this case was faced with the problem of hiring a new employee and starting the training program all over again, which was a very costly project for him. In addition to that, his unemployment compensation rates were drastically increased, even though he never fired an employee. The young woman however, had a pretty good deal. Although the state to which she moved would not pay unemployment compensation benefits, she filed under a more liberal Illinois law and received benefits. The net result was that she received unemployment compensation benefits for the full period of eligibility, stayed home with her child and had as much money while not working as she did while she was working. Now I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that a system that permits that sort of thing, is wrong. It's wrong from an economic standpoint. I think it is wrong from a moral standpoint. And we're hearing complaints from employers all over this state that this law is not administered on a fair basis. Especially it is unfair to small employers who are having this sort of thing happen to their unemployment compensation rates.





I believe Amendment #11 is a good Amendment, Mr. Speaker. I think it is a fair Amendment and I think it is one that we should adopt. And I would urge the approval of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino on Amendment #11."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all, this attacks the family structure as we presently know it in the State of Illinois. But more importantly the decision of the hearing officer in cases such as Representative Schuneman addresses himself to, could very well say, yes, that job position is still available. It is still open and the employer could offer that job to that same person. If in fact she denied, or he denied coming back and taking that job, that would be grounds in itself for disqualification under unemployment compensation. This is an administrative decision to be made because the criterias state that the person must be, of course, out of work...looking for suitable work. And if that job were available and she didn't take it, I would assume then she would be declared ineligible to receive benefits in that specific case. Therefore, I'm opposing this Amendment as well and offer a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to support Amendment #11. The Gentleman who just spoke indicated that the decision as to whether or not eligibility.... in this case would be administered....would be decided from an administrative point of view. Well, I doubt that there is a Member of this House who hasn't seen administrative decisions made which don't necessarily fulfill the intent of what the Legislature had in mind at the time when the various Bills are passed. We've also seen very often the administrative bodies and agencies whose membership will get involved in these decisions...they are very often



involved in industries or the type of industries that they are supposed to regulate. And as a result, the administrative decisions are not necessarily always as impartial or as unbiased as many of us would like to see. I really believe that Representative Schuneman has made a very good point regarding Amendment #11 to House Bill 3177. And I hope that every Member while making their decision regarding their votes in this Amendment, would take this one moment to reflect in their minds...various administrative decisions which have been made in prior years by prior agencies regarding any number of decisions. I think almost every Member would agree that administrative decisions very often are not necessary unbiased. As long as we leave administrative decisions...to administrators a possibility of making these decisions, Therein lies the danger. I think that if we adopt Representative Schuneman's Amendment we do not....leave any question that the cause that Representative Schuneman is trying to aim at would simply be eliminated. And there would be no chance for a judgment one way or another from any administrative body who may have a prior feeling or bias regarding the question. And so it is, Mr. Speaker, for those reasons that I rise to support Representative Schuneman's Amendment #11 to House Bill 3177. I believe that it cleans up the Bill to a great extent. It takes away from any area of doubt the possibility of who or who could not qualify for the benefits under this legislation. And so, Mr. Speaker, let me once again urge the Members on both sides of the aisle because I do not consider this to be a partisan issue at all, that the question which..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close?"

Telcser: "...Republicans or Democrats could both support. I sincerely hope that every Member will look at this question in an



unbiased fashion and vote for Amendment #11. I think it is one which all of us should support....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. The 'ayes' have it, the previous..... the Gentleman from.....to close. Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I find it really odd that people who are opposing this Bill on the grounds that we should leave the administration of the Unemployment Compensation Act to the bureaucrats. The same bureaucrats who have unemployment compensation all screwed up now in this state. The same people....the same people who couldn't get out checks to people who were legitimately unemployed and legitimately entitled to benefits, just a few months ago when you all had those complaints. Now you want to leave the entire administration of this Act to that same group of benefits.....to the same group of bureaucrats. And I suggest that really shows some lack of judgment, Mr. Speaker. I think that this is one area in which every small businessman in the State of Illinois would support this Amendment. The small business people of this state don't have time to run around to legislative hearings, to departmental hearings and if we want to bring some fairness into this Act then we should adopt Amendment #11."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question...."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask you to give some consideration to the small employers in this state. Give them some relief by adopting Amendment #11."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #11 be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote



'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my 'no' vote. All of the problems that this Amendment seeks to rectify ought to be rectified by the Bureau of Employment Security. The statute today requires that before a claimant is eligible for benefits, that claimant must prove that he or she is available for work and is actively seeking suitable work elsewhere. The statutory provisions quite clearly provide protection that we all desire to protect this system so that it will not be misused and abused. I wish to compliment two Republican Representatives who have taken the floor today and shown remarkable courage in criticizing a part of the Governor's administration. We have heard from two of them already who have explained to us that the administration of the Bureau of Employment Security is at fault and I'm glad to hear from them because they are going to hear from us a great deal in the future."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In explaining my 'aye' vote on this, I agree very much with the Sponsor of the Amendment and I suggest that you take the Roll."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey to explain his vote."

Huskey: "I....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer to explain his vote. The timer is on. The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Mautino: "Once again I would hope, Mr. Speaker that people would read the Amendment. Please read the Amendment and on page 3.....in explaining my 'no' vote, I would like to state that the reason that I'm voting 'no' is



the fact that you would have to get ten times his weekly benefits. Our law now says, one thousand...that means that the man is making two hundred dollars. He would have to earn two thousand dollars in any one given month, or else he would be uneligible. This is a dandy Amendment all right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, to explain his vote. The timer is on. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman. Mr. Schuneman, please."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, my light was on in an attempt to explain my vote but if you're going to take the Roll Call at this time, Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully request a verification of the Negative Roll Call and a poll of the absentees please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bradley, Hart, Kelly, Satterthwaite and Stearney."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman persists in his verification? Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Oh, yes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. The Clerk will verify the negative vote."

Clerk O'Brien: "Antonovych, E.M. Barnes, Beatty, Birchler, Bowman, Brady, Brandt, Breslin, Rich Brummer, Don Brummet..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Schuneman. Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think this would be an excellent Bill for which...for you to invoke the Hanahan rule, which would call for everyone to be in their seat, Mr. Speaker. It is very difficult to see the Members and..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Point well taken. Ladies and Gentlemen, kindly be in your own respective seats. All other unauthorized personnel clear themselves from the floor. Please proceed with the verification."



Clerk O'Brien: "Byers...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Could we ask that the Members wave or indicate in  
someway...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "They're doing that, please proceed."

Schuneman: "Well, they are not, Sir."

Clerk O'Brien: "Caldwell, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Christensen,  
Darrow, Corneal Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle,  
John Dunn, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Gaines, Carmisa, Getty,  
Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Harris, Holewinski,  
Dan Houlihan, J.M. Houlihan, Huff, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil  
Jones, Kane, Katz, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino,  
Lechowicz, Leverenz, Levin, Lucco, Luft, Madigan, Madison,  
Mann, Marovitz, Peggy Smith Martin, Matejek, Matijevich,  
Mautino, McClain, McLendon, McPike, Molloy, Mudd, Mugalian,  
Mulcahey, Murphy, Nardulli, O'Brien, Pechous, Pouncey,  
Richmond, Robinson, Schisler, Schneider, Sharp, Shumpert,  
Steczo, Stuffle, Taylor, Terzich, Tipword...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Schuneman, would you kindly-  
Representative Dan Houlihan wants to be verified now.  
Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Van Duyne, Vitek, Von Boeckman, Willer, Williams,  
Younge, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "While we have a little bit of a reprisal,  
I would like to introduce a former Representative, Rep-  
resentative Kenny Boyle, now the State's Attorney. Good  
to have you back, Kenny. Now there is a difference of  
opinion. Representative Schuneman on the Negative Roll  
Call."

Schuneman: "...if I missed somebody. Yes, Mr. Speaker, Rep-  
resentative Caldwell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Caldwell. Is the Gentleman  
in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take him off the record."



Schuneman: "Representative Christensen."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Christensen is in the back of the chamber."

Schuneman: "I see him. Domico."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Domico is in his seat."

Schuneman: "Representative Doyle."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Doyle is in the chambers."

Schuneman: "Mr. Farley."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Farley...well, I can't see through you. Is Representative Farley in the chamber? Take him off the Roll Call."

Schuneman: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Flinn. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Mr. Flinn. Take him off the Roll Call."

Schuneman: "Garmisa...oh, I see him."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Garmisa is in his chair."

Schuneman: "Representative Getty."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Getty...he is in his chair."

Schuneman: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Giglio...how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is the Gentleman in the chamber...Mr. Giglio? Take him off the Roll Call."

Schuneman: "Mr. Harris."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Harris. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is the Gentleman in the chamber...Mr. Harris? Take him off the Roll Call."

Schuneman: "Representative Huff."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Huff is in his chair."

Schuneman: "Representative Jim Houlihan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jim Houlihan. Jim Houlihan."

Schuneman: "There he is. In all his glory."



Speaker Lechowicz: "He's right here."

Schuneman: "Lucco."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lucco...how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is Mr. Lucco in the chamber? Take him off the Roll Call."

Schuneman: "Representative Madison."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Madison. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Mr.

Madison. Here he is, right here. Yes, Mr. Madison is right there. Put Mr. Giglio back on the Roll Call."

Schuneman: "Representative Madison."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He was right there, he's verified."

Schuneman: "Representative Marovitz."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, did you put Giglio back on the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk? Okay."

Schuneman: "Mr. Marovitz."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's in his chair."

Schuneman: "Representative McClain."

Speaker Lechowicz: "McClain."

Schuneman: "There he is. Representative McLendon."

Speaker Lechowicz: "McLendon is in his chair."

Schuneman: "Mugalian."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mugalian...is he in...he's in."

Schuneman: "Representative Pouncey."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pouncey is in his chair."

Schuneman: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Richmond is in his chair."

Schuneman: "Representative Schneider."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Schneider...Representative Schneider.

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is the Gentleman in the chamber...Mr.

Schneider? Take him off the Roll Call."





Schuneman: "Representative Williams."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Williams.... Representative Williams, how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is the Gentleman in the chambers... Mr. Williams? Take him off the Roll Call."

Schuneman: "Representative Younge. Mrs. Younge is here. Representative Yourell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Yourell. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Yourell.... is he in the chamber? Take him off the Roll Call."

Schuneman: "Representative Beatty."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who?"

Schuneman: "Beatty."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Beatty is in the chamber."

Schuneman: "Representative Breslin."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady was here and asked leave to be verified."

Schuneman: "I don't think she was verified."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, she was."

Schuneman: "I think that was a different Roll Call, Mr. Speaker. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, I believe that was on Representative Wikoff's request for a Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Breslin. How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is the Lady in the chamber.... Mrs. Breslin. Take her off the Roll Call."

Schuneman: "Representative Kelly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kelly is here."

Schuneman: "Oh, I'm sorry. No, that is Representative Katz, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, that's him back by the door. How is the Gentleman recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Kelly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kelly."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Too bad. Would you put Mr. Harris back on the Roll Call....as 'no'."

Schuneman: "Did you take Representative Kelly off, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's not recorded, Sir."

Schuneman: "Oh, he is not recorded as voting?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct, Sir. Any other requests?"

Schuneman: "No, Sir, that is all, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this question there is 81 'yes', 83 'no' and the Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12, Keats. Amends House Bill 3177 as amended in the title in the first line of Section I and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keats."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an Amendment that is basically a clean-up and fair Amendment, that says if an individual is involuntarily out of work then we should be assisting them by giving them unemployment compensation or other forms of the system. That is if they are involuntarily out of work. If they voluntarily refuse to work, we....that being the state, do not necessarily owe them some obligation to support them. This Amendment specifically differentiates between those who are involuntarily out of work, who we will assist, and those who are voluntarily out of work, we feel that we should not be assisting. Of the fifty states in the United States, forty-three do not allow members who are involuntarily out of work in this manner to receive unemployment compensation benefits. An example of this, was a strike in Illinois recently where 95% of the workers at that factory had signed contracts."



They had no dispute with their company...95%. Yet all of them were kept from working by 5% who did have a dispute. That cost the employer and the State of Illinois six million dollars. It is very difficult to consider fairness in a dispute when the employer is paying the bills for both those who are working and those who are voluntarily refusing to work. For that reason, I ask you to please support this Amendment because what it does is put the Legislature on record in terms of saying, those who are involuntarily out of work should be helped but those who are voluntarily out of work should not be helped. I request your support. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan on Amendment #12."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #12 really, I guess could be called a very gut issue Amendment. It is an Amendment on how you stand on philosophy more than on substance. The very fact remains is that whether or not a person wants to involuntarily cross a picket line and help actually thwart a peaceful strike. A recognized work stoppage is at issue here. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if a person has a picket line up in front of an establishment....there happens to be millions of citizens in Illinois that would refuse to cross that picket line for any reason. I even know of a case...not too long ago where a father refused to go to his son's wedding because the in-laws that had a reception at a nonunion restaurant where there happened to be a picket line in front of and the father of the groom...because of his tremendous beliefs in honoring a picket line refused to even attend that kind of reception....to violate that philosophy that we will not aid and abet....anti-unionism in Illinois. Even the Business and Economic Development Commission, who I have never acclaimed as being labor orientated or in any way shape or form...in any way aimed



at the aims and aspirations for labor....even refused to have....Skinner, last night at the Southern Aire which happens to have the informational picket line in front of....last night in the City of Springfield. Many many citizens, just like in religion, feel very stongly... philosophically in the belief that you should not cross the picket line. And to say that some how we're going to change the law that says that if some person who solely....who solely does not cross the picket lines, that that in itself would make them ineligible to receive unemployment benefits, is actually crying in the face of a philosophy of labor that has been a hundred years old. That a hurt to one, is a hurt to all and that philosophy is going to be honored even...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Will the Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close?"

Hanahan: "And I suggest to you that this Amendment is really a gut issue Amendment. It sorta asks you to stand up on your belief that your constituents should not be made to cross a picket line against their philosophy."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment. It seems that whenever we're having problems with unemployment compensation the first place we look is to blame the blameless, in terms of high unemployment when unemployment costs are going up. The first people that we seek to blame are the unemployed. the people that are unemployed through no fault of their own. And this some how attempts to say that those people who refuse to cross a picket line are voluntarily unemployed. Now what a strike really means is that the collective bargaining process has come to a halt. And it really means that the workers in a form of a union and amazement are both to blame. No one is without blame and no one is totally at fault. But those



that are certainly blameless in this case are those who are not involved in this labor dispute and that is those that are unwilling to cross a picket line. So what we are now attempting to do is punish economically....those people who have a strong philosophical belief in the labor movement and in honoring a picket line of fellow workers. I would think that instead of addressing ourselves to this, maybe we should look at the example that the Sponsor of this Amendment used. He used the plants where numerous employees were honoring picket lines but at the very same plant they also had numerous government contracts. These government contracts are paid for by the taxes of the workers. But he didn't in no way shape or form suggest that we should terminate government contracts during a labor dispute or that we should end investment tax credits or that we should end accelerated depreciation or any other numerous tax benefits to the employer. The first attempt that he makes is to cut off payments to those people that are legitimately deserving of unemployment. It is a gut issue, it says that when you're unemployed you deserve compensation under our system of government. And to deny that to the worker or at the same time, to continue tax breaks to the employer is blantly unfair. I think this Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Pullen."

Pullen: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Pullen: "Mr. Sponsor, I certainly support your Amendment but I wondered whether you could tell me whether this denies any benefits to groups or people involved in groups that are sponsoring convention boycotts."

Keats: "To the best of my knowledge the answer is, no."

Pullen: "That's too bad. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff."



Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The second speaker alluded very factually and forcefully to a basic philosophy of the labor unions, and I support him in that thinking. And he made one comment which I would like to bring out and have everyone listen to. And he made the comment, 'but a hurt to one is a hurt to all'. Well, those who are striking are not receiving any benefits so therefore, why should not those who refuse to cross a picket line voluntarily be saddled with the same hurt and receive the same lack of benefit?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. The previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keats to close."

Keats: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In closing, there are a couple of points I would like to make. Number one, I think it is important to remember the issue is not really philosophy of the labor movement. Whether you agree or disagree with the philosophy of the labor movement is really irrelevant to this particular Amendment. What we're talking about is basic fairness. Individuals are welcome to honor a picket line, I believe that if a union fills up a picket line and individuals wish to voluntarily not cross it, no one should not force them to cross it. So what we should say is, if they are voluntarily not crossing a picket line, a right that they should have, should the employer subsidize these workers who are refusing to cross the picket line. It is true that we should not punish those who voluntarily do not cross the line but should we punish those who believe in working? Those who have a work ethic and say, I should be at work, I should be there, I have a signed contract. I am a man or



I am a women of my word, I signed a contract and I will be there. Why should those people be punished for those who don't? So it is not a philosophy of punishing anyone who voluntarily refuses to cross a line. What it says is those should not be subsidized when they voluntarily do not. And something that is to be remembered when all of you are paying your taxes, when we pay out six million dollars in the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund that work experience is passed on to a lot of people even though one company is picking it up initially. That work experience factor will continua for a long period of time and it will raise the produce. I would appreciate your support for this Amendment which is a fairness Amendment that does not force anyone to do something against their will. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #12 be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think the Sponsor of this Amendment has two key words in the Amendment. Or at least in his explanation and that is 'voluntary' and 'involuntary'. And what this young man is attempting to do is go back to the original concept of unemployment comp and that is to, give those people who are laid off because of no reason....no effect on them an opportunity to draw unemployment comp. And what he is trying to do is differentiate between the two and I think he has done a good job. Should be adopted."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keats."

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, first I would ask for a poll of the absentees and then depending on what the vote count is, I would ask for verification. But I would like to see the vote count before I ask for that. Thank you."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will poll the absentees. We're starting off with 64 'ayes', 82 'nays'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bartulis...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Capparelli, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bennett, Bianco...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Bianco...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bianco, okay."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bradley, Breslin, Rich Brummer...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Brummer, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Don Brummet, Conti...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Conti, 'aye',"

Clerk O'Brien: "Jack Davis, Deuster, Dyer...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Aye."

Clerk O'Brien: "Flinn, Geo-Karis, Hart, Huff, Kane, Kelly, McAuliffe, Meyer...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Meyer's 'aye', please."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mulcahey...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Mulcahey, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Polk, Reed, Richmond...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Reed, I'm sorry, I didn't hear you."

Reed: "Vote me 'yes' please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record the Lady as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Satterthwaite...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Statterthwaite....'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schisler, Stearney, Terzich, Willer...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Willer, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Williams, Younge."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Younge, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What is the count, Jack? Mr. Deuster, 'aye'."

On this question there are 69 'ayes', 88 'nays'. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. McBroom....and the Amendment





is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, Wikoff. Amends House Bill 3177 as amended on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment was to correct the one of mine, originally #6 which was ruled out of order. And since it was identical to the one that Mr. Keats just had, I would ask for the same Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave for the same Roll Call. The Clerk will give him a copy of the same Roll Call. Any further Amendments? The Gentleman from Chicago.....from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker I would like to be recorded as 'no' on that last Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record the Gentleman as 'no' on Amendment #12 and make sure he is recorded on Amendment #13. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "I wish to be recorded as not voting on Amendment #13."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objections? Kindly....make sure he is not recorded as voting on #13. For, Mr. Dunn. It's on Third Reading. House Bill.....is Representative Dan Houlihan on the floor? Dan Houlihan....no. Okay. 2875, somebody asked me to call that Bill. It is on Second Reading. 2875, read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. It is on Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2875, a Bill for an Act to amend the Fair Employment Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, for the recollection of the Membership, this Bill was on Second Reading...there was a Floor Amendment that had to be distributed. The Amendment has



been distributed. On the Amendment, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Bill is brought back from the Order of Third Reading to the Order of Second Reading. Amendment #1 was adopted, it was a technical Amendment. I asked leave to withdraw Amendment #2 because it was improperly drafted and I'm moving at this time for the adoption of Amendment #3. Amendment #3 is simply an effective date Amendment, it also deletes..... it also deletes...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Come on let's go, what happened to the system."

Houlihan: "Wait a minute."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. The system just went out of order momentarily, Mr. Ryan. There we go....Mr. Dan Houlihan please."

Houlihan: "As I stated, the Amendment is an effective date Amendment and deletes any retrospective application of the Act. And I move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan on the Amendment."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman would hold this Bill, I would like to have a little chat with him. If he could hold it today, is there any reason that you have to get it out of here today?"

Houlihan: "Well, no, but....."

Ryan: "Do you have to amend it today?"

Houlihan: "I'll tell you this, Sir, if you have a problem I'll bring it back to Second for whatever Amendments you may have. If I could move it to Third Reading though."

Ryan: "Fine, that's all right."

Houlihan: "Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Now on the Order of Resolutions there is House Joint Constitutional Resolution 52. Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, you will recall that the House and the Senate passed two Constitutional Amendments to remove the January 1, 1979, date on the personal property tax...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What number?"

Pierce: "Expiration and replacement. Representative McBroom had a Constitutional Amendment, I believe the number was 29 and I had Constitutional Amendment 47. They both passed the House the same day, they both passed the Senate the same day. His started out a little different than mine in Revenue Committee in fact it was quite different. But it was amended on the floor so it came out exactly the same as mine and we wanted to resolve this so the voters wouldn't be further confused by two Constitutional Amendments exactly the same on the ballot this November. They are exactly the same and we resolved the situation, I have magnanimously agreed to withdraw my Constitutional Amendment so that Representative McBroom can get the full credit of maintaining the personal property tax on business here in Illinois. Joined by Representative Ryan and Telcser. They want the... and I think they deserve the credit for continuing the personal property tax and all business in the state. So with that in mind, I've offered House Joint Resolution 52 to withdraw my Constitutional Amendment HJR 47. And I move at this time to suspend Rule 41 and 42, so we can have the immediate consideration and adoption of House Joint Resolution 52, Constitutional Amendment which withdraws....rescinds 47 which both Houses have passed. I was the Chief Sponsor of 47, so I move to suspend Rules 41 and 42 for the immediate consideration and adoption of this HJR Constitutional Amendment 52."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The...House Constitutional Amendment 52 has been distributed, Clerk read the Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #52. Whereas, the House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #21 and House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #47 were both passed by over-whelming majorities by the House of Representatives on May 2, 1978 and by the Senate on May 4, 1978; and whereas, these 2 Resolutions are substantially identical, both proposing the submission to the electors of a proposition to amend Section 5 of Article IX of the Constitution of Illinois in relation to the abolition of personal property taxes; and whereas, there exists the possibility of confusion arising from the passage of two Resolutions proposing the submission of the same question to the electors of this state and the public interest requires that confusion be avoided in the submission of Constitutional Amendments; therefore be it resolved, by the House of Representatives of the 80th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate, concurring herein, that House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #47 of the 80th General Assembly be rescinded and that the Constitutional Amendment proposed to be submitted to the electors under that Resolution be withdrawn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Pierce moves that the House suspend Rule 41 and 42, for the immediate consideration and passage of House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #52. On the motion to suspend. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. McBroom."

McBroom: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Mayor Ryan, just asked if I ever speak or does his brother do all the talking and I said, that is usually the case. But since my name was mentioned, I want to simply say that I support Representative Pierce's motion that he is a great...good and magnanimous individual. Thank you."



Speaker Lechowicz: "On the motion to suspend. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. On the suspension. The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I...just point of parliamentary inquiry. Is this new Resolution then, one that eliminates House Constitutional Amendment Resolution 47 and 29?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "No, Adeline, it was just rescinding 47, mine and keeping 29 on the ballot so voters won't be confused by two identical Constitutional Amendments. It is confusing enough as it is, so mine will be rescinded and Representative McBroom's will remain on the ballot which is why I received such fulsome praise here a minute ago."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you, very much."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished?"

Pierce: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 148 'ayes', no 'nays' and none recorded 'present' and the two rules are suspended. Now on the question. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of HJR Constitutional Amendment #52. It does just what I explained to the Lady from Lake, it rescinds Constitutional Amendment #47 that passed both Houses so that 29 will be the only one remaining on the ballot in November to decrease the printing cost to the state and the confusion to the voters."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the question. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich. Mr. Matijevich, please."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would the Gentleman yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Matijevich: "Representative Pierce, in your explanation of suspending the rules. I wasn't sure if you said that there was unanimous agreement or magnanimous agreement and



thought I would ask you the question. I know Ed McBroom pretty well and I know you even better, had you given any thought about....and I know Ed is probably a gambling man just like you are. Had you given any thought to the two of you flipping a coin to decide the issue."

Pierce: "Actually, he had some arguments on his side and his was introduced last year and mine was only introduced this year. Actually we, Ed and I, would never flip a coin. We might be able to agree on an impartial third person to do that and decide the issue."

Matijevich: "What other....someone told me that it is possible so that you are clearly constitutional that we ought to have three separate readings of this Resolution decision. Is that true or not?"

Pierce: "Not of my opinion because this doesn't amend the Constitution as such, it doesn't change the Constitution. All we're doing is rescinding an Amendment that would change the Constitution. This in no way changes the wording of the Constitution, all it does is rescind an Amendment that hasn't been as yet certified for the ballot. So although we call it a Constitutional Amendment Resolution, in fact it does not amend the Constitution and in my humble opinion as amateur parliamentarian, it wouldn't require the reading...at any rate we suspended that rule by 107 votes, more than 107 votes so I think we can go with this."

Matijevich: "I'm only going to say now, Dan, the next time you do it ask for two out of three."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Edgar. Mr. Edgar, please."

Edgar: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Edgar: "Mr. Pierce, are you sure that this would work? We've never done this before have we?"

Pierce: "Yes, we have. You will recall that this General Assembly



passed a Constitutional Amendment to do away with retention of Judges. And Secretary of State, Alan Dixon and the newspapers all of a sudden had a change of heart. And, they came back here and re-rescinded that Constitutional Amendment before it ever went on the ballot. I don't know if you remember that, Representative Edgar, that might have been the time that you didn't win....in the Legislature."

Edgar: "Okay. A parliamentary inquiry, how many votes will it take?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "89."

Pierce: "Let's call for 107, to be safe."

Speaker Lechowicz: "According to the Parliamentarian, it takes 89. If there is more, it's better. The question is, shall House Joint Constitutional Amendment #52 be adopted. All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Reilly. Marco. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I understand, in the balcony we have the Mollison School from 4415 S. King Drive in Chicago. Represented by Catania, Davis and McLendon."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you. On this question...let me take the Roll. On this question there are 159 'ayes', no 'nays', none recorded as 'present' and the House Joint Constitutional Amendment #52 is adopted. The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham. What purpose do you seek recognition?"

Cunningham: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute. What is your point?"

Cunningham: "What steps are the Democrat machine taking that we would have the benefit of President Carter advise, when he is on Friday morning. Specifically, we hope for a joint Session of the House and Senate. Would that good man come and leave without enlightening us. Is it not the duty of the Democrat machine to put the pressure on. We await your affirmative reply."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you very much. We will take that under advisement. Any announcements? The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl on a motion. Mrs. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I ask permission of the House to waive the posting requirement so that House Bill 3386 may be heard in Rules Committee tomorrow."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to have House Bill 2386, to be heard in Rules Committee tomorrow. Is that correct?"

Stiehl: "3386."

Speaker Lechowicz: "3386. Thank you. Is there any objections? Hearing no objections, the Attendance Roll Call will be used. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Jack Davis. What purpose do you seek recognition?"

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The same request for Senate Bill 1457."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have you talked to anybody on that Bill?"

Davis: "I certainly have. Representative Madigan, Ryan, etc., et al."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. The Gentleman asks leave to have Senate Bill 1415, was that it, Jack?"

Davis: "1457, Ted."

Speaker Lechowicz: "1457....."

Davis: "Excuse me....excuse me, 1475, I'm sorry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to have Senate Bill 1475. To be heard in Rules Committee tomorrow. Is there any objections? Hearing none, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask leave and to use the Attendance Roll Call for that purpose so that House Bill 3380, the Department of Transportation Transfer Bill....we can suspend the posting notice and that can be heard this afternoon right after adjournment in House Appropriation





Committee I."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman... is that 3380?"

Matijevich: "3380, yes, Sir."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you. The Gentleman asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to have House Bill 3380 heard in the Appropriation Committee today. Hearing no...is there any objections? Hearing no objection, the Gentleman....we will use the Attendance Roll Call for that purpose. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, the Calendar is incomplete in its posting of the Committee for tomorrow. The Committee on State Government Organization, that is in Room 118, at 9 o'clock. 9 o'clock tomorrow morning and there will be a brief meeting of the Subcommittee on Energy immediately after adjournment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Further announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, for those that are interested in softball, it will be the first night that we will have practice. I know that there will be a conflict on Mr. Ryan's part. Those of you who can make it, it will be Iles Park, 6 o'clock tonight. And we will have another practice next week before our game on the 8th of June with the Senate. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have the pleasure of introducing one of our eminent lawyers from Lake County and his wife, Bob and Jo Bowen, sitting up in the Speaker's gallery watching us this afternoon."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further announcements? May we have a little order in the chamber please. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House of



Representatives, if I could have your attention for a few moments. I have in my hand a copy of a news release from the office of the Governor, dated August 22, 1977. Approximately ten months ago, on that date Governor Thompson created the Governor's Cost Control Task Force, this was done pursuant to his fifth executive order. At the time of the creation of this Task Force, the Governor explained that the Task Force would consist of loaned management specialists<sup>s</sup> from the Illinois Business Community. And that these people would examine state government spending methods to determine what costs could be cut and services provided more efficiently. I would like to quote...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Madigan. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "For what purpose does the Majority Leader rise, Mr. Speaker? What has this got to do with the operation of this chamber."

Madigan: "An announcement, Mr. Speaker."

Ryan: "I don't believe it was an announcement, it sounded like a paid political ad."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pardon me...."

Ryan: "I object."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please continue, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "If I would be allowed to quote directly from the Governor's press release. He stated, it is increasingly obvious to me that the money will have to come from savings<sup>s</sup> and the resources currently used to fund existing programs. What is needed to fight this problem is to get some real penny pinchers into the act. People who understand and can implement techniques of cost control. And he went on to state, that these seventy loaned executives from the private sector would probe the day to day operations and procedures of state government. Mr. Speaker, the Governor in his press release stated...."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Excuse me, Mr. Ryan what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Ryan: "Well for a point of order, Mr. Speaker. This Gentleman is totally out of line, it has no bearing...there is no announcement here as far as Committee meeting. Has nothing to do with the operation...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's getting to it...."

Ryan: "Of this chamber and I vehemently object to the tactics that he is using here on this floor. It is terrible, this is not a public arena for his political announcements...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Thank you for your indulgence, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's going to get to his point in a minute, Elmer."

Madigan: "He further stated that the report of the Task Force would be...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Give the Gentleman some order. Please continue."

Madigan: "The press release further stated that the report of the Task Force would be delivered to the Governor before April 15 of 1978. I submit to you, Mr. Speaker and to the Members of the House of Representatives that this report should have been submitted to the Governor on April the 15th of this year. And today, within the last hour I have ascertained this report has been compiled, Department by Department. It has been reviewed by the Members of the members of the Task Force, it is currently being edited and it is planned to be submitted to the printer within two weeks time. And I submit to you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that our Appropriations Committee ought not to consider Appropriation Bills of the major agencies and departments of state government until this report is made available to the Members of our Appropriations Committee. If the report which to this point and time has not been made public and for some reason, has been



kept within the confines of the members of the Task Force, can be sent to the printers within two weeks then I say, it can be given to the Members of our Appropriations Committee within the same period of time within the next two weeks."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point is well taken, Mr. Madigan. Now on that question....."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker, let me finish." "

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Madigan: "I now ask the Chairman of our Appropriations Committees not to take any Committee action on the major Appropriations in their Committee's. To send those Appropriations to the appropriate Subcommittees of the Appropriation Committee until this report is made available. If it is true, and I suspect that it is, that this Task Force has found methods and ways to improve the efficiency of state government. And therefore, make more money available for our appropriations process and I say to you, that we are entitled to the information contained in that report and that it ought not be kept secret by a small group of Governor's appointees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Now on that point, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker. The Gentleman was totally out of order. I think that it certainly takes.....we listened to you guys....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Give the Gentleman some order please."

Ryan: "We listened to your Leader."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please, let's give the Gentleman some order."

Ryan: "Now if he wants to give his political oratory, he ought to do it someplace beside here, Mr. Speaker. There are ways to do this, Representative Madigan has been around here long enough to know that he was totally out of order and he had no business talking that way. And if



he wants to give a political speech I'll pay the rent for the hall that he wants to use and give it in." It certainly takes away from his dignity and his decorum and the decorum of this chamber to have to put up with that kind of political nonsense and especially from a fellow like, Mr. Madigan and I'm really surprised again, Mr. Speaker that he would do that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman, Mr. Madigan, you wanted the Forum 30, tonight, is that correct? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti. Your light is on, Sir."

Conti: "Yes, you're a little hard of hearing. You're a little late. I was very much interested in what the Majority Leader had to say...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point is well taken."

Conti: "He would have saved the time of the House if he would have sent us all a copy of what he had in his hand and we would have had time to study it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, Appropriations I."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, since the Majority Leader did mention the Appropriations Committee and it is very rare that I ever make any quotations from the Governor that would put him in good light. But let me quickly quote from his executive order #5, which created the Cost Control Task Force. They are beautiful words, they are beautiful language. I think we ought to probably put them down and some of us can use them in our press releases. Wasteful spending cuts productivity. In government waste hampers our ability to provide essential public services and there is no profit motive to act as a check. Waste is the cruelest task of all, it provides the public with nothing in return for our hard earned tax dollars. In order to meet the needs of the people of Illinois and at the same time hold down taxes, we must do everything possible to eliminate taxes....eliminate waste in government."



Now, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Matijevich. The Gentleman from Kanakakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, you've put us through one agonizing political speech, there is no reason for this to go on. What are you hiding about down there, Mr. Redmond. Get up on that podium and take charge of this place. I don't understand it. Get up there and be the hatchman for Bakalis. I don't understand....why do we have to listen to this nonsense now, it doesn't have anything to do with this operation...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from...."

Ryan: "It is totally out of order, Mr. Speaker...."

Matijevich: "I listened very closely to you, Representative Ryan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...our undivided attention. Please continue."

Matijevich: "And as long as you pay the rent tonight, why don't you invite me as your guest. You don't have to pay the rent twice. Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, many of us know the appropriations process and I really think that the reason the Governor had said that the April 15th, deadline for this report was so that we, the General Assembly could be privy to the knowledge of that report so that we can use that information in the appropriation process. After all what would be the purpose of creating a Task Force to look at ways of waste in government if you are not going to use that information where it is necessary. Where it can best be used. That's right here, what would be the purpose of such a report if the report is going to come so late that we can't use it. I'm sure that the Governor didn't want the report to come after we leave home....after we have done our work here in the General Assembly and we've added over a billion dollars and still haven't met the needs of education. I'm sure that wasn't the purpose of it. With



all of these high powered executives in business that have the talent to look at waste in government and every one of us here will admit that the appropriation process, through the Committee process does not look at efficiency in government. I just talked today with Don Totten and we discussed that matter, that we do not have available the tool at look at whether government is efficient. That's where we can cut out waste, if that Task Force can come up with many answers. We ought to have that information right here. Not only the Appropriations Committee...every Member of the House, every Member of the Senate ought to have a copy of that report at their desk tomorrow. So that....so that we can review it, we are part of that reviewing process, we are the budget process, therefore, I am going to ask Members not only Democrats but Republicans of the Appropriation Committee to hold every Agency Bill so that we can look at the report. So we can effectively cut...make cuts and provide money for the priorities that we need in government."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes, Appropriation II."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I realize that some people here and perhaps in people on the second floor do not want to recognize their own words. But since the second floor has decided at this late date to get involved in the legislative process, I wasn't fully aware that he understood that there was a Legislature. But since in his public announcement his last few public relation statement, he has been telling the...us the Legislators, what we should and should not do and by arming certain Members of the Appropriations Committee with Amendments to carry out those responsibilities, I would like to add just one paragraph that the Governor has, in his great wisdom pronounced on May 10. Relative to child abuse. The Governor said at that time, a



Governor's job is to construct the budget which will equitably distribute available resources in the party fashion. But a Governor's job does not end there, it is just as important to constantly re-evaluate budget proposals, to test the weakness of false assumptions. Or to deal with problems of state government which....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Barnes. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan on a point of order."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I think it would be a lot more convenient for the Members and accommodating if all these fellows that have got these political speeches would pass them out, that somebody wrote for them to read, to pass them out and we could all read them and get out of here. Now I don't know why we have to stand here and be subjected to this. It is totally unfair and you know that, Mr. Speaker and I'm ashamed at the way you are abusing the Chair. I think it....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure whether I just speak for myself or not but I'm getting a little bit bored with this. I'm not really outraged, I'm sure the Governor can take care of himself and will. But there are better things that we have to do, if it is only sitting and waiting for the Appropriations Committee Chairman to stop making speeches in their own Committees which they ought to be chairing...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I will be very brief and since the Majority Leader feels that these words of wisdom...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Minority Leader."

Barnes: "Minority Leader, feels that these words of wisdom that was written by the Governor's speech writer. These aren't my words, these are the Governor's words. Since he feels that these aren't words that the Republican





Minority should be listening to. I would suggest one thing here; to indicate, if there is as the Governor has indicated in his public announcement. New available information that he indicates that it is his prime responsibility as Governor to evaluate that new information and to reassess his appropriation request accordingly...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser.....I meant, Mr. Ryan. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to appeal to your sense of fairness and ask that you knock off this nonsense. You know it is not right, I know it is not right. If these fellows want to give a political speech, it is not to be done on this floor and you know that and so do I. It is a total abuse of the powers that you have and I think it is a disgrace on the Democrat side of the aisle, for them to be standing up and making a complete zoo out of this chamber. And I would ask that you cut off this debate and move on with the business of the House. These speeches have nothing to do with the operation of this chamber."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I don't believe that partisan politics has come into the debate yet. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Finally, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House and I concur with your indication. I would suggest to all Members....all Members of the Appropriations II Committee to be in attendance immediately after we adjourn this Session to address ourselves to these critical issues. And I suggest strongly...strongly that that information that the Governor has within his grasp be made available to us so we can better carry out the job that we have been elected to, to carry out here in the State of Illinois. I realize that we are only Members of the General Assembly, our responsibility is to our constituents and our eyes



are not on the White House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm not going to make an appeal to you, Mr. Speaker because I know that you are taking the orders like the rest of the Members on the Democratic side. And are being party to this desperate political last minute move."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, Sir, that's partisan politics..."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to make....I'm making my appeal...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, being no further announcements and allowing five minutes for the Clerk to perform housekeeping duties. I move that we adjourn until 10 o'clock tomorrow."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves that the House stand adjourned until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning and gives the Clerk five minutes for perfunctory work. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. House will stand adjourned until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 1468, Kelly. A Bill for an Act to amend to Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1469, Brady. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Registration and Education for the Illinois State Museum. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1569, Brady. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Board of Regents for development of parking facility at Northern Illinois University. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1689, Capparelli. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the sale of tickets to certain places of entertainment or amusement. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1696, Campbell. A Bill for an Act relating to an easement in



Clark County. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1704, McGrew. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Higher Education Corporation Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1723, Waddell. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Public Grain Warehouse and Warehouse Receipts Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1777, Matijevich. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Notary Public Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1789, Brady-Levin. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1862, McMaster. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the designation of an emergency telephone number. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1848, Edgar-Campbell. A Bill for an Act to authorize the Department of Transportation to convey certain land located in Coles County. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1859, Edgar-Campbell. A Bill for an Act relating to the release of highway easements. First Reading of the Bill. Introductions and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 3391, Younge. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. No other business, the House stands adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	2.
		Speaker Redmond	Withdrawn	
		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #3	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ebbesen		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan		
6		Speaker Redmond	Roll call, #3 adopted 3rd	
		Deuster	HB 1913 motion, reconsider	vote
		Speaker Redmond		
		McClain		
		Speaker Redmond		
7		Schlickman	Parliamentary inquiry	
		Speaker Redmond		
8		Bowman		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Greiman		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Deuster	Point of order	
		Speaker Redmond		
	11:08	Greiman		
9		Speaker Redmond		
		Collins	Motion debatable?	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Greiman		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Deuster	To close	
		<del>Speaker Redmond</del>		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	3.
		Kane	Question	
10		Speaker Redmond		
		Deuster	Poll absentees	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Van Duynes	Vote me aye	
11		Speaker Redmond		
		Brummer	Aye	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Lucco	Introduction	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Bowman	Hold the Bill	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ebbesen	Leave to suspend rule	
12	11:18	Speaker Redmond	Bowman objects	
		Madigan	Explain	
		Ebbesen		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ebbesen	Withdraw motion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 788, 2nd, #1,2,3 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Edgar	Motion to table #3	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	4.
13		Clerk O'Brien	Reads motion to table	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan	Speaks to motion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Edgar	Responds	
		Speaker Redmond		
14		Madigan	Request hold it	
		Edgar		
		Speaker Redmond	#3 tabled	
		Clerk O'Brien	#4	
		Speaker Redmond		
15		Edgar		
	11:26	Speaker Redmond		
16		Schneider	Question	
		Edgar		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan	Asks for explanation	
		Edgar		
17		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan	Opposition to motion	
		Speaker Redmond		
18		Skinner	'Garbage'	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Bowman		
		Speaker Redmond		
19		Giorgi		
		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
20		Edgar	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Explain vote
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Point of order
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Redmond	
21		Tipsword	Explain vote
		Speaker Redmond	
		Edgar	Poll absentees
	11:38	Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Dyer	Yes
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Briend	Proceeds
		Speaker Redmond	
		Mann	No
		Speaker Redmond	
		Johnson	Vote me aye
22		Speaker Redmond	
		Steele, E.G.	Aye
		Speaker Redmond	
		Tipsword	Change to yes
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Proceeds
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	6.
		McGrew	Change to yes	
		Speaker Redmond	What's count? 84 ayes, 74 nos, #4 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1068, 2nd. #4 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	#2	
		Speaker Redmond		
23	11:42	Robinson	Question	
		Brady		
		Clerk O'Brien	#3	
		Bradley	In chair	
		Clerk O'Brien		
24		Pullen	#3	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Brady		
		Speaker Bradley		
25		Barnes, J.		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Madigan	Announcement	
		Speaker Bradley		
26		Greiman		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Yourell	Question	
		Pullen		
		Speaker Bradley		
27		Pullen	To close	
		Speaker Bradley	Amendment fails	





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	7.
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1068, #4	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Byers	Withdraws #4	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	#5	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Byers		
		Speaker Bradley		
28	11:58	Brady	Oppose	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Pierce	Oppose	
		Speaker Bradley		
29		Byers	To close	
		Speaker Bradley	Amendment fails	
		Clerk O'Brien	#6	
		Speaker Bradley		
30		Skinner		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Brady		
		Speaker Bradley	Motion fails, 3rd	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1193, 2nd	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Matijevich	Leave to table HB 1193	
31		Speaker Bradley	Tabled	
	12:00	Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Bowman		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	8.
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1270, 2nd. #1&2 adopted	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1884, 2nd. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	#2	
		Speaker Bradley		
32		Catania		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Kosiński		
		Speaker Bradley	#2 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	#3	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Catania		
		Speaker Bradley	#3 adopted, 3rd	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2578, 2nd	
33		Speaker Bradley		
		McMaster	Requests TOOR	
		Speaker Bradley	TOOR	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2580, 2nd, #1 adopted	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd	
	12:05	Clerk O'Brien	HB 2599, 2nd, #1 adopted	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2687, 2nd, no CA	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	#1	
34		Speaker Bradley		
		Adams	Table #1	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bradley	Withdrawn
		Clerk O'Brien	#2
		Speaker Bradley	
		Adams	
		Speaker Bradley	Adopted, 3rd
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2688, 2n, #1 & 2 adopted
		Speaker Bradley	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Adams	Move to table HB 2688
		Speaker Bradley	Tabled
35		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2730, 2nd, 1,2,3,4 adopted
		Speaker Bradley	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads motion
		Speaker Bradley	
		Polk	Moves to table #3
		Spekaer Bradley	
	12:08	Ryan	Yield
		Polk	
36		Speaker Bradley	
		Houlihan, J.	
		Polk	
		Speaker Bradley	#3 tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	#5
37		Speaker Bradley	
		Van Duyne	
38		Speaker Bradley	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
		Van Duyne		
		Speaker Bradley	TOOR	
		Stiehl, C.M.		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2753, 2nd, no CA	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	#1	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Simms		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	#2	
	12:16	Speaker Bradley		
		Simms	TOOR?	
39		Jacobs		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Simms	Table #1	
		Speaker Bradley	Withdraw	
		Simms	#2	
		Speaker B-adley		
		Jacobs	Accept	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Houlihan, D.		
40		Simms		
		Speaker Bradley	#2 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	#3	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	#4	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bradley	
		Vinson	
		Speaker Bradley	
41-42		Houlihan, J.	Question
		Vinson	
		Speaker Bradley	
	12:26	Mugalian	Question
44		Vinson	
		Speaker Bradley	
45		Jacobs	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Schuneman	Point of order
		Speaker Bradley	
46		Matijevich	Continues
		Speaker Bradley	
		Vinson	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Bradley	
47		Stuffle	
		Speaker Bradley	
		Vinson	To close
48		Speaker Bradley	#4 adopted
		Jacobs	Moves to table HB 2753
		Speaker Bradley	Tabled
		Schlickman	Object
		Speaker Bradley	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	12.
		Jacobs	Move to table HB 2753	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Macdonald		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Jacobs	Withdraws motion to table	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Schlickman		
		Mann		
49	12:38	Speaker Bradley	HB 2753, 3rd	
		Van Duynes	Table #5 to HB 2770	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Bradley	3rd	
		McLendon		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2758	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Lechowicz	Corrections HB 2578	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2578, 2nd #1 adopted	
		Speaker Bradley		
50		Jones, E.		
		Speaker Bradley		
	12:42	Clerk O'Brien	#2	
		Speaker Bradley		
		McMaster	Withdraw #2,3,4	
		Speaker Bradley	Withdrawn	
		Clerk O'Brien	#5	
		Speaker Bradley		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	13.
		Levin	Withdraw #5	
		Clerk O'Brien	#6	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Lechowicz	Withdraw	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	#7	
		Speaker Bradley		
51		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Bradley	Amendment adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	#8	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Bradley	Adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	#9	
		Speaker Bradley		
52		Conti		
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Bradley	#9	
		McMaster		
		Speaker Bradley		
		McMasters	Explains #9	
		Speaker Bradley		
53		Deuster	Question	
	12:45	McMaster		
		Speaker Bradley	Adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	#10	
		McMaster	Withdraw	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Page	Time	Speaker	Information	14.
54		Speaker Bradley	3rd	
		Lucco	Announcement	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Telcser		
		Lechowicz	In chair	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2790, 2nd, #1 adopted	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	#2	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Tipsword	Hold	
55		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	#3	
		Winchester	Leave to table #3	
	12:50	Speaker Lechowicz	Withdrawn, 3rd	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2850	
		Spekaer Lechowicz		
		Terzich		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	#2	
		Stuffle		
56	12:53	Clerk O'Brien	HB 2850, #3	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Terzich	Explain #3	
		Speaker Lechowicz	#d adopted, 3rd	
57		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2853	
		Speaker Lechowicz		



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	15.
		Brady	Motion to table #1	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled #1	
		Clerk O'Brien	#2	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Brady	Withdraw #2	
		Speaker Lechowicz	#2 withdrawn	
		Clerk O'Brien	#3	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Brady	#3	
58		Speaker Lechowicz	#3 adopted, 3rd	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2854	
		Speaker Lechowicz	3rd	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2870	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Motion to table #1	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
59	12:55	Cunningham	On motion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Matijevich	Opposes motion to table #1	
60		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Willer	Yield? Supports	
61		Cunningham		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Tipsword	On motion supports	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Cunningham	Poll absentees	
62	1:02	Speaker Lechowicz		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	16.
		Kempiners	No to yes	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Mann	No to aye	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Mahar	Aye	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Sumner	No to aye	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Schneider		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Pullen	No	
63		Speaker Lechowicz		
	1:06	Daniels	Aye	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Motion to table adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Lechowicz	3rd	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2670	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Wolf	Explains #2	
		Speaker Lechowicz	#2 adopted, 3rd	
		Houlihan, D.	Leave to hear HB 2875	
64		Speaker Lechowicz	Leave granted	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	17.
		Clerk O'Brien	#1	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
	1:10	Houlihan, D.		
		Speaker Lechowicz	#1 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	#2	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Houlihan	Withdraws	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Houlihan, D.	Hold on 2nd	
65		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2877	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	#3	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Skinner	Table #3	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Withdraws #3	
		Clerk O'Brien	#4	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Levin	Table #2	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled	
		Levin	Explains #4	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
66		Pullen	#4	
		Levin	#4	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Houlihan, D.	Yield?	
		Levin	Discussion	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	18.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
67		Davis, C.	Introduction	
	1:14	Speaker Lechowicz		
		Levin	.Continues #4	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
68		Barnes	Yield?	
		Levin	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz	#4 adopted 3rd	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2878	
69		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	#3	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Stanley	Table it!	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled	
		Clerk O'Brien	#4	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Levin	Explains #4	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Holewinski	Yield?	
		Levin		
70		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Levin	Amend on face	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Houlihan, D.		
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendmed	
		Houlihan, D.		
		Levin	Discussion	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	19.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
71		Kempiners		
		Holewinski	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Levin	To close	
72		Speaker Lechowicz	#4 fails	
		Clerk O'Brien	#5	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Holewinski	Explains #5	
	1:25	Speaker Lechowicz		
		Houlihan, D.	Yield?	
		Holewinski	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Order, please!	
73-74		Houlihan		
		Speaker Lechowicz	#5 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	#6	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
75		Holewinski	Explains #6	
		Speaker Lechowicz	#6 adopted, 3rd	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2879	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	#2	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Maravitz	Explains #2	
		Speaker Lechowicz	#2 adopted, 3rd	
76		Conti	Intdocation	
		Speaker Redmond	Reads Resolution	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
77		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2883
	1:35	Speaker Lechowicz	3rd
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2916, 2nd, #1 tabled
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	#4
		Stiehl	Withdraw #4
		Clerk O'Brien	#5
		Speaker Lechowicz	
78		Deuster	#5
		Speaker Lechowicz	#5 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	#6
	1:35	Stiehl	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Adopted, 3rd
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2943, 2nd, no CA
79		Speaker Lechowicz	3rd
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2946, 2nd, #1 adopted
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	#2
		Pierce	#1 adopted on floor, leave to reconsider #1
		Speaker Lechowicz	Leave granted
		Pierce	Leave to table #1
80		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
		Pierce	Withdraw #2
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	#3
		Pierce	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	Adopted, 3rd
81		Clerk O'Brien	
		Lucco	Announcement
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3010, 2nd, #1 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	#2
	1:45	Speaker Lechowicz	
		Houlihan, D.	
82		Speaker Lechowicz	Adopted, 3rd
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3057, 2nd, #1 adopted
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	#2
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Skinner	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Lechowicz	TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3088, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	#1
83		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schlickman	
		Spekaer Lechowicz	
		Schlickman	Explain vote
84		Speaker Lechowicz	Adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	#2
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		McMaster	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	22.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Houlihan, D.	Would sponsor hold?	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McMaster		
		Speaker Lechowicz	#2 adopted hold on 2nd	
		Dawson	Introduction	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Waddell	Introduction	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3057, 2nd, #1 adopted	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	#2	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
85		Skinner		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
86		Satterthwaite	Question	
87-88		Skinner		
		Speaker Lechowicz	#2 adopted, 3rd	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3117, 2nd, no CA	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	#1	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Houlihan, D.	Withdraw #1 & 2	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Withdrawn	
		Clerk O'Brien	#3	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
89		Houlihan, D.		





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	2:00	Ryan	Yield
		Houlihan, D.	
90		Speaker Lechowicz	Adopted, 3rd
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3158, 2nd, #1 adopted
		Speaker Lechowicz	3rd
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3168, 2nd, #1 adopted
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads motion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
91		Rigney	Move to table #1
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Terzich	TOOR
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3177, 2nd, #1 adopted
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	#2
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schuneman	Yield to Simms
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Simms	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
92		Mautino	Oppose
	2:05	Speaker Lechowicz	
		Simms	To close
93		Speaker Lechowicz	#2 not adopted
		Simms	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	#3
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schuneman	Yield to Simms
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Simms	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
94		Mautino	Responds to Simms
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	#4
		Speaker Lechowicz	
95		Huskey	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Levin	Yield
		Huskey	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mautino	
96	2:15	Madigan	Support Mautino
		Speaker Lechowicz	
97		Huskey	
		Speaker Lechowicz	#4
		Tipsword	Explain vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
98		Kane	
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Keats	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
99		Greisheimer	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mantino	Explain vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Not adopted
100		Huskey	Poll absentees
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Huskey	
101		Speaker Lechowicz	Poll absentees
		Clerk O'Brien	
	2:25	Speaker Lechowicz	Not adopted
		Huskey	Verification
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Breslin	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Byers	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Kane	Leave to be verified
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Getty	Change to no
102		Speaker Lechowicz	Withdraws request, Huskey



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3177, 2nd
		Speaker Lechowicz	
103		Tuerk	#5
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mautino	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Madigan	
104		Speaker Lechowicz	
105		Madigan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Darrow	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
106	2:35	Wikoff	#6
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Darrow	Incorrect form
		Speaker Lechowicz	Out of order
107		Wikoff	TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	#7
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Wikoff	Continue #6
		Speaker Lechowicz	Chall chair be OVR
		Wikoff	Request oral verification
		Speaker Lechowicz	Not timely
		Deuster	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Wikoff	Poll absentees
108		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Daniels	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Telcser	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
109		Darrow	Middle of roll call
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Matijevich	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Proceeds
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mudd	Point of information
		Speaker Lechowicz	12 amendments
110		Hanahan	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Wikoff	Won't persist
		Speaker Lechowicz	#6 out of order
		Clerk O'Brien	#7
		Speaker Lechowicz	
111		Schuneman	Move to table
		Speaker Lechowicz	Withdraw it
		Clerk O'Brien	#8
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schuneman	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
112	2:50	Darrow	#7 out of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schuneman	Will TOOR?
		Speaker Lechowicz	No
		Clerk O'Brien	#9
		Speaker Lechowicz	
113		Brummer	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mautino	Support
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Dunn	Roll call please
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3177
		Schuneman	#10 withdrawn
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	#11
		Speaker Lechowicz	
114-115		Schuneman	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mautino	Oppose
		Speaker Lechowicz	
116-117		Telcser	Support
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Leverenz	MPQ
	3:00	Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schuneman	To close



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	29.
118		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madigan	Explain no vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Wikoff	Explain vote aye	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
119		Mautino	Explain vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Schuneman	Request verif. neg. roll & poll of absentees	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads neg roll call	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Schuneman	Members in seats	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
120		Clerk O'Brien	Continues	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Introduce Kenny Boyle	
121-122		Schuneman	Questions neg roll	
123-124		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Lechowicz	Fails	
3:20		Clerk O'Brien	#12	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
125		Keats		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
126		Hanahan	-Oppose	
		Speaker Lechowicz		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
127		McPike	Oppose
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Pullen	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
128		Wikoff	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		DArrow	MPQ
		Speaker Lechowicz	
129		Keats	To close
		Speaker Lechowicz	#12
		Tuerk	Explain vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Keats	Poll absentees
130		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	
131		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Dyer	Aye
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Reed	Yes
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
		Speaker Lechowicz	Not adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	#13
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Wikoff	Same roll call
		Speaker Lechowicz	3rd
		Dunn, J.	





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	31.
		Speaker Lechoiwcz		
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2875, 2nd	
132		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Houlihan, D.	#3	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Ryan		
133		Speaker Lechowicz	#3, 3rd	
		Pierce	HJRCA# 52 leave to withdraw HJRCA# 47, suspend rules	
134		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	HJRCA# 52	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McBroom		
135		Speaker Lechowicz		
	3:42	Geo-Karis	Parliamentary inquiry	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Pierce	Answers	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Rules suspended	
		Pierce	Move for adoption HJRCA# 52	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
136		Matijevich	Yield	
		Pierce		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Edgar	Yield	
137		Pierce		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Ryan	Announcement	
		Speaker Lechowicz	HJRCA#52 adopted	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	32.
		Cunningham	Parliamentary inquiry	
138		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Stiehl, C.M.	Leave to wave posting req. HB 3386	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Davis, J.		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
139		Matijevec	Leave to suspend rules	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Leave granted	
		Houlihan, J.	Announcement	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Capparelli	Announcement	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Geo-Karis	Introduction	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
140		Madigan	Governor's letter	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Ryan	Point of order objects	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madigan	Continues	
142		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Ryan	Point of order repeated	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Madigan	Continues	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
143		Ryan		
		Speaker Lechowicz		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Conti	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
144		Matijevich	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	4:00	Ryan	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
145		Matijevich	Continues
		Speaker Lechoiwcz	
146		Barnes	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
147		Barnes	Continues
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan	Appeals the chair
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	4:07	Barnes	Continues
148		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Telcser	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Madigan	Move adjourn 10:00 AM. Thrusday. 5 minute perfunct
		Speaker Lechowicz	
149		Clerk O'Brien	House adjourned

