

80th General Assembly
May 13, 1977

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order. Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer today by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the Name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. In the Book of Isaiah, Chapter 40, Verse 31, it is written: But they that wait upon the Lord shall renew their strength; they shall mount up with wings as eagles; they shall run, and not be weary; they shall walk, and not faint. Let us pray. O Eternal God, Lord and Father of us all, we give thanks to Thee this day for all the blessings with which Thou hast endued us; we are grateful that Thou hast called us to serve the State of Illinois as Members of this House of Representatives; we are grateful that in our time we are called upon to be instruments in affecting Thy will as we meet the challenges and problems of the day. Make us not fearful of the work and labour that lies ahead, rather, enable us with Thy special grace to accomplish that which needs to be done; through Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill with the following title, to wit; House Bill 717, together with an attached Amendment, passed by the Senate, as amended, May 12, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative J. M. Houlihan and Representative Kane, Chairmen of the Committee on State Government Organization reported the following Committee Bill for introduction. House Bill 2397. Action taken May 12, 1977. Representatives J. M. Houlihan and Kane, Co-Chairmen of the Committee on State Government Organization, reported



the following Committee Bill for introduction; House Bill 2398. Action taken May 12, 1977."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2397, a Committee on State Government Reorganization. A Bill for an Act reorganizing the Department of Law Enforcement, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2398, a Committee on State Government reorganization. Bill for an Act to create the Department of Administrative Services, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 318, a Bill for an Act making supplemental appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Veteran's Affairs, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1098, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Children and Family Services, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: ".....Calendar, Third Reading. Second Day. Just read the Bills, Mr. Clerk.....Error...It shows 'First Day', it should show 'Second Day'. That right, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Group four and group five, the Calendar is in error. It should be Second Day. House Bills, Third Reading. Supplementary Consent Calendar, Second Day, Group four. On page twenty-four on your Calendar. House Bill 1343, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1345, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Act on Aging, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1356, a Bill for an Act relating to the park district police in counties containing a population of less than three million inhabitants, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1361, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1363, a Bill for an Act relating to criminal law, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1371,



a Bill for an Act codifying the powers and duties of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1392, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to plats, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1397, a Bill for an Act making the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act applicable to the Department of General Services, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1414, a Bill for an Act authorizing the Department of Transportation to release the state's interest in certain real property, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1415, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1425, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1454, a Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Energy Resources Commission, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1467, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1469, a Bill for an Act in relation to meetings, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1472, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1475, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1480, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1481, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1502, a Bill for an Act to amend the Court of Claims Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1503, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1521, a Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Energy Resources Commission, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1541, a Bill for an Act in relation to the payment of certain public funds to the State Treasurer, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1545, a Bill for an Act to amend the Animal Control Act, Third Reading of



the Bill. House Bill 1557, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1562, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1579, a Bill for an Act relating to the issuance and use of credit cards, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1592, a Bill for an Act in relation to the state waiving its immunity in declaratory judgment suits, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1596, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1612, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health Code of 1967, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1615, a Bill for an Act relating to criminal law and procedures, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1622, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1623, a Bill for an Act in relation to fire protection districts, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1627, a Bill for an Act relating to the use of state owned motor vehicles, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1651, a Bill for an Act to amend the Land Surveyors Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1652, a Bill for an Act for the regulation of the business of horseshoeing, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1653, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Detection of Deception Examiners Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1654, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Detective Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1655, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Dental Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1656, a Bill for an Act to amend the Certified Shorthand Reporters Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1657, a Bill for an Act to amend the Collection Agency Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1658, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Beauty Culture Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1659, a Bill for an Act



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

to amend the Barber Law, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1660, a Bill for an Act to amend the Athletic Exhibition Registration Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1661, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy Practice Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1662, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Optometric Practice Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1663, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Nursing Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1664, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1665, a Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Home Administrator's Licensing Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bills, Third Reading, Supplementary Consent Calendar, Second Day, Group five, begins on page twenty-six in your calendar. House Bill 1666, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Physical Therapy Registration Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1667, a Bill for an Act to amend the Physician's Assistants Practice Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1668, a Bill for an Act to regulate the practice of podiatry in the State of Illinois, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1669, a Bill for an Act to amend the Professional Engineering Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1670, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Corporation Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1671, a Bill for an Act to amend the Professional Service Corporation Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1672, a Bill for an Act to amend the Psychologist Registration Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1673, a Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitarian Registration Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1674, a Bill for an Act to regulate the practice of public accounting, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1675, a Bill for an Act to amend the Social Workers Registration Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1676, a Bill for an Act to amend the Real Estate Brokers and Salesmen License Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House



Bill 1677, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Structural Engineering Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1678, a Bill for an Act in relation to tree experts, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1679, a Bill for an Act to amend the Veterinary Medicine and Surgery Practice Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1680, a Bill for an Act to amend the Water Well and Pump Installation Contractor's License Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1681, a Bill for an Act to amend the Weather Modification Control Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1682, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of persons engaged in the practice of funeral directing and embalming, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1683, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Architectural Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1697, a Bill for an Act to regulate the advertising of gasoline prices, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1709, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1716, a Bill for an Act to amend the Coal Mining Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1727, a Bill for an Act to provide for the registration of marriages, divorces and annulments of marriage, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1731, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1732, a Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Bond Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1733, a Bill for an Act in relation to State Finance and to amend a Section of the Capital Development Board Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1734, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1739, a Bill for an Act in relation to the assessment of fees in connection with radioactive waste sites and facilities, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1740, a Bill for an Act to amend the Local Governmental and Governmental Employees Tort Immunity Act, Third Reading



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

of the Bill. House Bill 1752, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1756, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Financial Assistance Act for Nonpublic Institutions of Higher learning, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1762, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, and to add Section 20 to the Non-Profit Hospital Service Plan Act; and Section 33 to the Medical Service Plan Act, and Section 15.9 to the Voluntary Health Service Plan Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1784, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1798, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Salary and Annuity Withholding Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1802, a Bill for an Act making the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act applicable to the Department of Revenue, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1809, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1818, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1821, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to clerks of courts, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1824, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1860, a Bill for an Act in relation to the establishment and maintenance of county and multiple-county public health departments, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1874, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1888, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1900, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Small Business Purchasing Act, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1959, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to adoption and to repeal Acts therein named, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1964, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code, Third



Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1965, a Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code, Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1975, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi. Do you have the Agreed Resolutions?"

Giorgi: "I don't think so."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin. Breslin."

Breslin: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like unanimous consent to be recorded 'aye' on House Bill 904, voted on yesterday."

Speaker Redmond: "Does she have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. House Bills, Second Reading. Representative Lechowicz. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would have the record indicate Representative Kornowicz and Domico are excused because of illness."

Speaker Redmond: "The record will so show. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 717, together with an Amendment, passed by the Senate as amended, May 12, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sumner."

Sumner: "Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to vote 'yes' on yesterday's House Bill 932? It will not change the outcome."

Speaker Redmond: "Does she have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted."

Sumner: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 241. House Resolution 241 is



Speaker Redmond. House Resolution 242, DiPrima. House Resolution 243, Geo-Karis. House Resolution 244, Speaker Redmond."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance.We didn't... but.....Representative Giorgi, did you read the Resolution?"

Giorgi: "Yes, Sir.....House Resolution 241, by Speaker Redmond.....Honors Albert S. Hinds, Major, who is retiring after twenty-eight years of dedicated service in the Illinois State Police. House Resolution 242 by DiPrima, is the Resolution from the Joint Civic Committee of Italian Americans. One is Robert A. Georgine, Washington, D. C. House Resolution 243, by Geo-Karis....notes of the gas pipelineah...efficiency. House Resolution by the Speaker notes that Corneal A. Davis was honored April 30, at a testimonial dinner at the Conrad Hilton. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Resolutions are adopted. Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, may I have leave of the House to be recorded as voting 'aye' on 869. House Bill 869. It will not change the results."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I'd just like Gene to remember that speech of his yesterday."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 34."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 34, a Bill for an Act concerning public utilities, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "....Will suggest what our plans are going to be for the weekend. Unless the Members would voluntarily table about two hundred Bills...it would seem



to me that there is no alternative to working tomorrow. We have three hundred and sixty Bills on Third Reading. We have seventy Bills on Second Reading....which will move into Third Reading. So that's four hundred and forty Bills. Aside from the Consent Calendar and the short debate, we've got about three Bills an hour. So you can figure out for yourself, if the Members are going to have any opportunity to be heard prior toor by the twenty-first, there's just no way out. So we'll have to work tomorrow. Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been here a long time and I've seen where we've had things clogged up and then all of a sudden things can rush out of here in a hurry. I think we've worked hard. I've made some proposals to speed things up. I understand that the Minority Leader doesn't want to, for example, limit debate....change from ten minutes to five minutes....three minutes for other than Sponsors. I think....because I proposed some changes to speed this thing up I have every right to move for adjournment tonight. I'm going to do it. I have that right, Mr. Speaker. I've worked here hard and other Members have worked here hardWe've been here....We know we're going to be here next Saturday. You have no right to take us away from our families this weekend. I have that right to move to adjourn tonight and I'm going to make it....I want others to help me out. Otherwise I'm going to tie-up the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeovich....you have the right and you will be recognized and if the Body votes to adjourn, that's what's going to happen. I just want to impress upon you thatnot you specifically, but impress upon the Members that we have a workload here..... there is absolutely no way that we can get through this by midnight on the twenty-first.....unless we do make some adjustments. Now in defense of the Minority Leader, I



think the Minority Leader is interested in moving the business of this House just the same as every other Member. And....if he has any reservations, I think it's because he is reflecting what he thinks is the will of.... most of his Members. The control of this Body is in the Membership.....it's not in the Leadership. You have the ability to put more Bills on short debate and you have the ability to shorten debate if.....you...to recommit... you can do anything that you wantto control this House. The only thing that I say is that I don't want to take the responsibility of not doing everything within my power to insure that every Member has an opportunity to have his Bill heard when the twenty-first comes. That is my urge. I want to be home tomorrow just as much as anybody else. Representative Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think Representative Matijevich's point is very well taken. I also think it might be possible if we get into such a bind by a week from tomorrow that we can't complete the Calendar that we can get enough advance notice so that we might stay in next Sunday and extend the Calendar by one day. I would rather work through the weekend next week and get my family to come down for the weekend than I would to work through Saturday of this week."

Speaker Redmond: "I take a very dim view of extending the time. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, one more suggestion and I'm sure that the other side would be amenable to this. We've had a lot of luck with the Supplementary Consent Calendar and the Short Debate Calendar. I haven't found too much problems at all..... and I've been a little worried about it. I would suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that if we take a half hour break for lunch that the Chairman of the Committee and Vice-Chairman and the Minority Members



again meet to see if there are more Bills that can be put on Supplementary Consent and Short Debate. I think we could again speed it up."

Speaker Redmond: "I think that's a good suggestion. Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I concur with both suggestions here.....But, on a point of personal privilege, and not meaning to misdeemean any Members. I wish that all Members would follow the rules. Stay in their seats, limit their debate and I'm sure that by that cooperation by staying in their seats and not going outside or answering telephone callswhen they can have one ear open to see what is going on....and delaying us by having their vote.....We'd get out of here sooner. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "I'd like to make one other observation. Just take a look around you and see the empty chairs. Part of our problem is that so much of our time ...we are unable to do the business that we were sent here to do. Now.... I...you know....I want to go home the same as anybody else. I don't think there's anybody.....I'm just saying that I look at .. a very dim view at extending that deadline. We haven't done it in two years and it's going to be over myloud gavel....I'll tell you that. Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, just as a question, when we left the hotels this morning we were informed that there is an Elks Club Convention and that the hotels are full up and that our rooms would not be available because of the Convention and we have to let the hotels know if we are going to try and make arrangements to stay...because of that convention and because the rooms are rented. I know this is the case of the State House Inn where I stay.. I assume it's the case all around town because of that Elks Convention."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton."



Epton: "Mr. Speaker, I no longer have any (unintelligible) intent of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker. Since we set these artificial deadlines, I've done my best to cooperate with you and the Majority Members of the House. Now I am certainly for getting out of here whenever it's possible but not at the expense of just passing legislation for the sake of passing legislation...and to meet a deadline. I think our Committees have gone over these Bills, put the Bills on the Consent Calendar and the Short Debate Calendar that can be put there and I sincerely believe the rest of them are matters that should be debated. That's part of this process, Mr. Speaker. It's not the number of Bills we get out of here. We should be concerned somewhat with the quality of legislation that we pass instead of just by numbers. I'm not trying to be obstinate or an obstructionist. I do believe, however, that our first obligation is to be here and to pass the best legislation that we can and the reason we're here in the shape that we're in is so that we can debate this legislation. So I think if we shut down that process anymore it's an injustice to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I just want to again reiterate that the Minority Leader has extended every courtesy and cooperation and I have the same reservations that you doabout....but you know the whole solution as I see it is either a different process or in some way restricting the number of Bills that we introduce or that we try to consider in this time. Because of the workload there just isn't any question that this General Assembly and particular the House, has worked harder and longer and more diligent than any General Assembly that I have had the experience to be a part of. The burden is just more than we can



handle within the time frame. There is just no question about it. Representative Freidrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I think we are making tremendous progress and I think that the new method of Consent and Short Debate has been a big help. It's a big improvement over last year and if the guys across the street get over here and get to work we could get something done."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully suggest that we attend to the business of the House and proceed with the items that are on the Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Second Reading....Representative Cunningham."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 had a few imperfectionsthey were called to our attention. Public Utilities Act. Amendment #3 has been prepared and distributed. The effect of Amendment #3 is to carry out the purpose of Amendment #2, which is to transfer, through the action of the Governor, any unused balance in the Public Utilities Fund, at the close of the fiscal year. The Bill now wears the yellow jacket, is Sponsored by everyone. There's no objection from anyone that I know of. I move that we table Amendment #2 and adopt Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that Amendment #2 be tabled. All those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, will you read the Floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Cunningham. Amends House Bill 34 on page one, line one, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham, have you explained the Amendment?"

Cunningham: "I've explained. It carries out the purpose of two and authorizes the transfer by the Governor...when the balance indicates that the unused funds in the Utility Act are unneeded at the close of the fiscal year. I



move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "By this Amendment we have a different Bill, don't we?"

Cunningham: "Yes. It's an entirely different Bill. It has nothing to do with the original Bill. It has nothing to do with the telephone."

Schlickman: "What would the Bill be like as amended?"

Cunningham: "As amended, the Governor, at the close of the fiscal year transfers the unused balance in the Public Utilities Fund to the General Fund. It is endorsed by the Commerce Commission and endorsed by the Governor's Office, obviously."

Schlickman: "No longer then will a ten percent charge...."

Cunningham: "In no way, shape or form. No, that won't be reinstated. There's no support for that idea whose time has not come."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "It seems to me that the Governor has made a good Bill out of a bad one and I would support the Amendment."

Cunningham: "Would that it could have the same chemistry in regard to the Representative."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. One one four zero."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1140, a Bill for an Act to authorize the conveyance of the coal, oil and other material relating to Wayne County, Illinois. Third Reading of the..... Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in



Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Is there any other Member with a Bill on Second Reading that's noncontroversial? Representative Hart, 106, is that the one? One zero six."

Hart: "After you conclude with the Second Reading of the Bill, I'd like to make an announcement."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 106, a Bill for an Act to provide grants for Family Residency Programs through the Council for Family Practice Residency Programs, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 has been adopted previously and the Bill was held on Second Reading for a fiscal note."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "The fiscal note is on file. I want the Bill moved to Third Reading and then I want to make an announcement."

Speaker Redmond: "No further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Hart."

Hart: "Would you get a little order, Mr. Speaker. I've got a very important announcement..... I would like to announce to the Members of the General Assembly that my colleague William Harris received, this morning, a court order dismissing the attempt to unseat him....challenging the Constitutionality of his appointment. We're all involved in it but especially my colleague Bill Harris..... He's to be congratulated on the decision of the court."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevec."

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker, I hate to go back to it but since I made that statement that sometime tonight I was going to move to adjourn. I'd like, at a certain time today, to designate, for example; as one o'clock being the time I make that motion that when we finish business today, we



adjourn until Monday. Many Members came up to me in that short time and said make early, John, when the Members are all here and secondly, so we can plan for our weekend. So, Mr. Speaker, I'd like, if we're here at one o'clock, that you recognize me for that purpose."

Speaker Redmond: "I will. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is a Bill of mine which is shown, in the Calendar, on Third Reading. I think we moved it back to Second Reading for the purpose of a technical Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "What was that, Mr. Bowman?"

Bowman: "Okay. There is a Bill of mine on Third Reading..... which I thought we had moved back to Second Reading for purposes of a technical Amendment.....and then I don't believe that Amendment was ever adopted. It's House Bill 1911, page fifteen of the Calendar. I'd like to inquire of the Clerk exactly what the status of the Bill is at this point.....Okay. Could I ask the Clerk to look that up and report back to the House?"

Speaker Redmond: "We'll look it up. But now we are on the Order of House Bills, Second Reading."

Bowman: "Well, this should be on Second Reading, I believe..."

Speaker Redmond: "It's on Third. Did you say it was on Third?"

Bowman: "It's on the Calendar on Third but I think there is an error in the Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh! Oh! Okay. We'll check it and if it's there we'll call you. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I have two Bills on Second Reading and I wonder if we can advance them to Third."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the first one?"

Geo-Karis: "Twenty-one eighty-seven and we have supplied the fiscal note to Representative Lechowicz and he says.... go ahead and move it to Third."

Speaker Redmond: "...That's 2187, what's the other one?"

Geo-Karis: "Twenty-two thirty-eight.....right next to it."



Speaker Redmond: "Twenty-one eighty-seven, Mr. Clerk....."

Geo-Karis: "....and 2238.....We satisfied that too."

Speaker Redmond: "One at a time. Twenty-one eighty-seven."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2187, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Geo-Karis: "It's 2187, Gentlemen....You've got 1187. Twenty-one eighty-seven."

Unknown: "You have a fiscal note on this?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes. I have it right here. The fiscal note..... I've got the statements from Fred Ulick, of the Department of Transportation. There is no fiscal impact on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "No motion filed with respect to the Amendment, Mr. Clerk, is that right?.....And there's no motion filed, is that correct?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed on theon the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Two two three eight..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2238, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Geo-Karis: "We supplied a fiscal note on that too. We satisfied the...."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Amendments..."

Speaker Redmond: "A fiscal note been furnished?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Not yet.."

Speaker Redmond: "Has a fiscal note been furnished, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "...bringing it down. The fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Geo-Karis, amends House Bill 2238, on page one by deleting line 25 and 26 and so forth."

Geo-Karis: "This Amendment, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, simply saysinstead of making mandatory.... ..makes it directory. It says the Department 'may' provide for state employees a mechanism to establish car pools. I move the adoption of the Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments? Third Reading. Five thirty five."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 535, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adop.....tabled, Amendment #2 was adopted. The Bill was held on Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to the Amendment... Committee Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Four eighty two.....No, ... eight forty two, pardon me. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Schlickman: "Do our rules now provide that automatically a pension impact note be filed with respect to a Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know."

Unknown: "They do indeed."

Schlickman: "And....if so, I'm wondering if one has been filed with respect to 535?"

Speaker Redmond: "Where is David? The Parliamentarian..... Representative Capparelli?"

Capparelli: "If it has been requested, we haven't got any as yet."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't quite understand."

Capparelli: "We requested one at one time but it doesn't have to be filed. He asked for a fiscal note to be requested. We did request one."

Speaker Redmond: ".....impact?"

Capparelli: "There is no impact."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."



Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, it's my understanding on a Resolution that a pension impact statement can be requested the same as a fiscal note, Gene. The Pension Laws Commission hasfive working days to reply to a request to an impact statement."

Capparelli: "Well I requested one for 535."

Terzich: "Well, that's all well and good. One has already been requested...."

Schlickman: "Oh, has it? Well doesn't it have to stay at the Order of Second Reading then until that"

Terzich: "Well, if there are objections to that and a....it's the same as a fiscal note request. You know we had that discussion whereby if someone could request an impact statement that they could hold a Bill forever if the Pension Laws Commission didn't supply one. Now this has been amended and when it was amended a pension impact statement was requested of the Pension Laws Commission and we have not received it as of yet."

Schlickman: "Like a fiscal note doesn't a Bill have to stay at the order of Second Reading until...."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, your question is, do you have to hold it on the Order of Second Reading until it's furnished? I don't know the answer."

Schlickman: "David."

Speaker Redmond: "Why don't we just hold this on Second Reading until we get the answer.....We'll get the Parliamentarian on that. Eight four two...."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 842, a Bill for an Act relating to planning, acquisition and development of outdoor recreation resources and facilities,.....Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I was wondering if the Sponsor could hold this Bill. These are a series of Bills coming up now. They are from the Law Revisions Commission and I wanted to talk to Representative Terzich, who's the Chairman of that



21.

Commission.....before we start moving through those. If you could just take it out of the record for a moment."

Speaker Redmond: "...It's on. We're checking the application of the rules.....and it's on Second Reading and it's out of the record. We'll stand at ease here for about five minutes.Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I want the Membership here to know when we make that vote at one o'clock. The Senate just adjourned until four o'clock, Monday. Not only that, they have been in Committeeyet this week. Who are we kidding? Talk about that in your conference up there."

....AT EASE.....

Madigan in chair.....

Speaker Madigan: "...It is the intent of the Chair to proceed on the Order of House Bills, Second Reading. If any of the Members have a specific desire relative to their Bill would they please communicate it to the Clerk. That is, if you wish the Bill called on Second or if you do not wish it called on Second, please communicate it to the Clerk so that we can proceed in an orderly fashion. Thank you. Griesheimer.....do you wish to call House Bill 268, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, would you hold that on Second, please?"

Speaker Madigan: "Do you wish to hold it all day?"

Griesheimer: "Yes, I do wish to hold it all day."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. Mr. Stearney.....Capparelli, was 535 moved to the Order of Third Reading? Mr. Capparelli, House Bill 535? Is that held? It was moved to Third Reading? Thank you. Schlickman, do you seek recognition, on House Bill 535?"

Schlickman: "Just to say, Mr. Speaker that I have discussed the matter with Mr. Capparelli and he has satisfied me that the pension impact provision of the rule has been satisfied and that the Bill could advance to Third Reading."



Speaker Madigan: "...Mrs. Catania, do you wish to call House Bill 583? You do? On the Order of House Bills, Second Reading there appears House Bill 583. The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 583, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections, Second Reading of the Bill.Committee Amendment..."

Speaker Madigan: "...Floor Amendments, John?.....shall be taken from the record momentarily. Mr. Tuerk, do you wish to call House Bill 688?"

Unknown: "You taking 583 out of there?"

Speaker Madigan: "Five eighty-three is out of the record.... for the moment. Mr. Tuerk....Mr. Edgar, do you wish to call House Bill 788? Do you wish to hold that all day? Mr. Edgar wishes to hold that Bill all day. Mr..... Terzich, do you wish to call House Bill 842, or....has there been a request to hold that Bill? Houlihan will confer with you....and then we will return to your Bill.. momentarily, thank you., Mr. Cunningham, do you wish to call House Bill 849? Do you wish to hold that all day? Thank you. Terzich, House Bill 851. Hold? Mr. Cunningham, House Bill 852? House Bill 852 by Mr. Cunningham, Mr. Houlihan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 852, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to law....in relation to criminal jurisprudence....Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 852 wasn't on the Consent Calendar. This is from the Law Revision Commission. I had filed a Democratic challenge on the Consent Calendar to House Bill 852....I did it erroneously and based on the explanation from Representative Cunningham, and the Chairman of the Commission, Representative Terzich, we do not have any objection to 852 and would not object to that Bill being placed on the Consent Calendar. I'm not quite



sure procedurally how we do that, as far withdrawing our challenge....the fact that...."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments to this Bill?"

Houlihan: "He indicates that there are none.. "

Speaker Madigan: "There are no Amendments to this Bill. House Bill 852 shall be placed on the Order of Third Reading and I would suggest to Mr. Cunningham that he consult with the Chairman and the Vice-Chairman of the appropriate committee and the two Republican appointees....and place this Bill on Consent Calendar once again. But for now the Bill shall be placed on the Order of Third Reading. The Chair recognizes Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding of the rules, that if the individual who took the Bill from the Consent Calendar files with the Clerk, a written statement that he withdraws his objections, it then automatically will revert to the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Madigan: "Fine. If Mr. Cunningham would consult with the objector....to file a withdrawal with his objection, the Bill shall be placed back on the Consent Calendar. Thank you, Mr. Schlickman. Mr. Daniels, do you wish to call House Bill 853? Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "I'd like to hold that all day."

Speaker Madigan: "You'd like to hold that all day. What about 854?"

Daniels: "I'd just as soon call that. I thinkwell....Representative Houlihan."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The same situation in reference to 852 exists also in reference to House Bill 854. We will withdraw our challenge to that Bill....being on the Consent Calendar, I think at this time... shall we just move it to Third Reading? We will file the withdrawal of the challenge and ask that this Bill also be placed on the Consent Calendar. There are no Amendments



to House Bill 854."

Speaker Madigan: "House Bill 854 shall be moved to the order of Third Reading ...and Mr. Houlihan will withdraw his objection and therefore the Bill shall be placed on the Consent Calendar. Mr. Clerk, at this time move House Bill 535, Sponsored by Mr. Capparelli. Mr. Clerk will now read House Bill 854."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 854, a Bill for an Act to repeal an Act for the protection of foundlings, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading.....for House Bill 854: On the Order of House Bill, Second Reading there appears House Bill 835."

Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill has been read a second time previously. No further Amendments. "

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Daniel Houlihan, relative to House Bills 861 and 863."

Houlihan, Dan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The situation with respect to House Bill 861 and 863 is similar to that which I just referred to on House Bill 852 and 854. I was the one that filed a challenge to House Bill 861 and 863to their being on the Consent Calendar. I willwithdraw my challenge to their being on the Consent Calendar. I have spoken to Mr. Ewing, who is the Sponsor of both of these Bills....each of these two Bills. I would ask.....There are no Amendments to these Bills..... that they be placed on the Order of Third Reading and we will withdraw our challenge to their being on the Consent Calendar and ask that they be replaced there."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, have these Bills been read a second time."

Clerk O'Brien: "These Bills have been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "House Bills 861 and 863 shall be placed on the Order of Third Reading. I'm informed that the remainder of the Bills, down to House Bill 867 shall be held on the Order of Second Reading.is House Bill 949, Mr. Hanahan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 949, a Bill for an Act to amend the Workmen's Compensation Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: ".....Giorgi informs me that Mr. Hanahan is on his way to the floor. Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, if there are no Amendments, let's just move the BillI'm Cosponsor with Tom."

Speaker Madigan: "House Bill 949, Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments? "

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments. No floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 951."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 951, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, are there any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Tuerk, amends House Bill 951 on page one, line one and five and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "This Bill shall be taken out of the record. House Bill 962, Mr. Telcser, do you wish to move Mr. Ryan's House Bill 962, which is the appropriation for the Office of the Governor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 962, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Office of the Governor, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Telcser: ".....Out of the record? Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Telcser."



Telcser: "One of your Members wants it out of the record. So we'll take it out."

Speaker Madigan: "Nine sixty-two shall be held. Do you wish to....Mr. McBroom....Is Mr. McBroom here? Mr. Sandquist, do you wish to move House Bill 966? J. David..... Mr. Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Move it to Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Well, on House Bill 966, Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes, one floor Amendment...."

Speaker Madigan: "Floor Amendment....Mr. Robinson, on House Bill 966..."

Robinson: "Take it out of the record.....Take it out of the record."

Speaker Madigan: "Take it out of the record. Mr. J. David Jones, on House Bill 1038.....Mr. Mahar.....Mr. Mahar here? House Bill 1065, who is the Sponsor, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1065, Mahar...."

Speaker Madigan: "Take it out of the record. Mautino, do you wish to move with House Bill 1205?"

Mautino: "No."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Martin, do you wish to move with House Bill 1210? On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 1210. The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Martin."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1210, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #1 has been adopted in Committee. Are there any floor Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills, Second Reading there appears House Bill 583. The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.... Does the Clerk have to read that?..."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 583, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment No Committee Amendments."

Catania: ". . . . Ah Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment from the floor. Amendment #1, Catania, amends House Bill 583 on page 14, line 19 and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

I move to table Amendment #1, which is my Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #1 of which she is the Sponsor. Is there leave?"

Members: "Leave. Leave."

Speaker Madigan: "Leave being granted, the Amendment is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, there appears House Bill 1038. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1038, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Employees Retirement System, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Houlihan, do you wish to move 1222? No Amendments to that Bill? Mr. Houlihan, House Bill 1334. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Are there any Amendments on 1334?"

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk? 1334.. No Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1334, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Judicial Inquiry Board, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any floor Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 1347, Mr. Shumpert."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1347, a Bill for an Act in relation to Employment Development Corporations, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Madigan: "Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 1354, Mr. Doyle.
Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1354, a Bill for an Act to authorize peace officers to organize and collectively bargain, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Madigan: "Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 1386."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1386, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Madigan: "Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. 1418, Mr. Kane."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1418, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent and distributive expenses of the State Comptroller. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. There's a motion filed to table Amendment #1.....by Representative ...Kozubowski."

Speaker Madigan: "Do you wish to proceed, Mr. Kane? Mr. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, I would move at this time to table Amendment #1 which was adopted in Committee. There is a technical error which will be corrected by Amendment #2."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kozubowski, you move to table Amendment #1?"

Kozubowski: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "Do you wish to speak on behalf of your motion?"

Kozubowski: "I said move to table. I just moved to table the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kane."

Kane: "I would concur in his motion."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kozubowski moves to table Amendment #1.

Mr. Kane concurs in Mr. Kozubowski's motion. Is there leave? Leave being granted, the motion is carried and Amendment #1 is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Kozubowski, amends House Bill 1418 on page two, line six and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 creates the error which was made in Committee on Amendment #1. It is in complete agreement with the Sponsor. It merely transfers twelve hundred dollars into the 'Commodity' line item, instead of the 'Equipment' line item, as Amendment #1 did. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kozubowski, you move for adoption of Amendment #2? Mr. Kane."

Kane: "I would concur."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kane concurs in Mr. Kozubowski's motion to adopt Amendment #2. Is there leave?"

Members: "Leave....Leave."

Speaker Madigan: "Leave being granted, Amendment #2 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 1576.....Mr.



Marovitz."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1576, a Bill for an Act to require legible price marking of food products, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and #2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any motions relative to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Keats, amends House Bill 1576, as amended, by deleting Section 2 and 2.1, and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "On the price marking Bill, Mr. Keats."

Keats: "Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have offered an Amendment to this Bill that I think substantially improves the Bill and if this Amendment is on I will strongly support the Bill. Right now this Bill is kinda like a 'bad penny'. We beat it constantly and it comes back. We've already defeated this particular Bill once earlier. By putting on this Amendment...and the Members of this House...in the majority agreed with this Amendment in the past. I am asking for your support a second time. This is what the Amendment does. Let me put it in plain and simple terms. What it does is protect the consumer by helping to hold down prices. It does allow for some innovation within the grocery industry. But the Amendment itself does not really speak to that issue. What it does is simply not foreclose the possibility. My Amendment says that a price on an item in a store must be conspicuously visible.....and sets up penalties if it is not done that way. It is very important we do this because if we are to help hold down grocery prices, an item that has been rising about as fast as anything on the consumer price index, we've got to do the little things. My Amendment is not going to save the consumer thousands of dollars a year but will save them a little money here, a little money there, a couple dollars here, a couple dollars there..



and to the small consumer the poor individuals in society, this means a lot more. To the poor element of society who have less incomethey spend more of that income on consumption. And...as we raise the prices on the consumption of necessities, and food is a necessity, we make it more difficult for the poorer members of society to be able to get the food, et cetera, that they need. What my Amendment does is protect the poorer member of society and at the same time protect all consumers by holding prices down. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in strong opposition to Amendment #3 to House Bill 1576. As far as I'm concerned this Amendment is an Amendment which is ridiculous in nature. It was pointed out by the Sponsor of the Amendment that he was concerned about the poor consumer. Well Ladies and Gentlemen, if everyone in this House has had an opportunity to read the information sheet, which Representative Marovitz and I had distributed to your office, which detailed the computerized check-out counters; it also talks about the price marking procedure. You will find out, Ladies and Gentlemen, that this is an anticonsumer legislation...with this Amendment. Now in this proposal here....in the proposal which was circulated to the Members, it mentioned both the good points and the bad points of price marking and computerized checkout counters. Now ... under the drawbacks...it was pointed out in here that the consumer advocates are especially critical of the proposal to eliminate item pricing. Such a move, they say, would make price comparison more difficult. Further, they fear, unscrupulous merchants ...listen to this, unscrupulous merchants could post a low price on the shelf but program the computer with a higher price. Moreover,



the detailed grocery receipt you will get with the system won't be of much assistance to you....if you can't check that with the price on the product. Ladies and Gentlemen, I would ask that the Sponsor of this Amendment would consider the possibility of withdrawing this Amendment. Give the Sponsors of our proposal an opportunity on Third Reading, to face the issue of price marking head-on....and not try to scuttle it with an Amendment which is anticonsumer, anti-poor-people. Now there is another underlying factor I'd like to bring to your attention, Ladies and Gentlemen. That is .if this proposal does not become law and if there is not mandatory pricing, you're going to have over twenty thousand retail clerks in the State of Illinois that are unemployed. I don't know about you but I go to the store on weekends when I do have a day off, which is occasional nowadays, and I do see a lot of young people and other people that will not be working if this law does not become passed in the State of Illinois. I would ask for your full support in opposing Amendment #3, offered by Representative Keats."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in opposition to this Amendment. Representative Keats said the price will be clearly marked, but it's not going to be clearly marked at the cash register when the shopper wants to check to be sure that the person who is at the cash register is ringing up the correct price. I would like to point out that no matter what they put on that list that they are going to give us to take home, that shows the prices on it, it's not going to help if you do any kind of sizable shopping. I happen to have with me my cash register tape for one week's shopping for my family. It has 118 items on it. I think it is unbelievably demeaning to the shopper to suggest to him or her that



they're supposed to go home and check through 118 items while the frozen peas are thawing and the ice cream is melting and making puddles all over the kitchen....just so they can see if the store dealt fairly with them that week. This is an unbelievably demeaning and insulting Amendment and I ask for your unanimous refusal to accept it."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question? "

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor of the Amendment indicates that he will yield."

Leverenz: "Yes, Sir. What would be individually price marked and what would not be individually price marked under your Amendment?"

Keats: "Under my Amendment every item in the store could be price marked. . . . There is nothing blocking price marking on every item in the store if that is the decision made by the store or in a mutually bargained collective agreement with whoever the union happens to be. Everything can be marked . . . specifically. . . . It does not say 'individual item'. Under the Bill there are certain other items excluded already."

Leverenz: "You said 'could'..or did you say 'would be'?"

Keats: "Every item could ...with a 'C'."

Leverenz: "At the discretion of who..."

Keats: "At the discretion of either the store or a collectively bargained agreement."

Leverenz: "Then everything would not be price marked under your Bill.....or your Amendment. Is that correct?"

Keats: "Not necessarily, but possibly."

Leverenz: "Possibly or most certainly?"

Keats: "There are stores today that mark every item and it is not mandatory."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Kent."



Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I really truly cannot understand why all of you aren't in agreement with Representative Keats. We all know that the prices of groceries are going up day in and day out. It has nothing to do with the marking except the extra cost that it takes to mark it. I disagree with Representative Catania and I will put her name out so she can object to my saying what I'm saying. I have just been shopping for the purpose of knowing how it's done at theone with thelabel....computerized pricing. I will tell you that this is even better than the cash register way because not only do you bring the item up and it shows it onit spells it out for you right therewhat you are paying for it. It's on the bin, it's on the little light that comes on...tells you it is even 'Libby's corn'..... not just corn or just canned goods. On your statement that you get it says 'strawberries so much', it says 'canned corn'.....but 'Libby's canned corn', in case you got two cans of different kinds. I think it is the best way I've ever seen. I know more what I'm paying for things now than I ever did.....because it isn't what's on the can, it's what you see at the cash register and this is the clearest way I have ever seen. I urge you to vote for this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lavin."

Lavin: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in strenuous opposition to this Amendment. I think that when the Amendment was presented initially, there was some confusion about what it did. I think that affected the outcome. I hope that people are clear....clearer this time on what the Amendment does. The Bill is a very simple Bill and it simply provides that where items have been previously price marked they should be price marked now. I know, as a consumer, I like to have the opportunity to verify at the checkout counter that the prices that are being run up are the correct



prices. In fact I tried to add them up in my head. If Mr. Keats's Amendment is passed, that will be impossible. We will not have the opportunity to verify the prices we are being charged at the cash register are indeed the correct prices. I urge the defeat of this bad Amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves the previous question."

The question is, shall the main question now be put? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Chair recognizes Mr. Keats to close the debate."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me mention a few points from the debate because I think we've brought up some excellent issues during this debate and we have totally and completely ignored an issue that I think is so gross I cannot believe we've ignored it. Number one; the issue was brought up.....'Looking at your grocery tape'. I've got a copy of one hereand you talk about specificity, it'll come out 'Hi-C Grape Drink'; 'Hi-C Cherry Drink'; 'Land-O-Lakes Margarine'; and if you can't read that I suggest we should send you back to our public schools. We talked about.....I apologize, I didn't mean to do you that much harm. I am sorry. But I do want to say in response to Representative Catania's comment, it is the obligation of this Legislature to protect people from fraud. We should not be protecting people from laziness. And if you are too lazy to look at your own cash register receipts, don't ask me to have higher prices because you are lazy. I don't believe in it. A second item to be remembered is; we've had a great deal of discussion on this issue for a long period of time. Chicago Daily News certainly not a big business newspaper, has come out



saying that they believe that this pricing idea should be given a chance. If we are to protect people, protect the poor in particular, I think we have got to hold prices down. Now let me bring in.....If I could have the attention of the House?....for one particular item, something that has not been mentioned....."

Speaker Madigan: "Would the Membership please give their attention to Mr. Keats?"

Keats: "I want to mention an item that is two inches short of fraud.....in this Bill. I want to bring this up now so that every Member of the House is aware that this Bill basically comes down on the side of consumer fraud. I'll give you an example. You've all heard of the Aldi Stores? The Aldi's Store? You remember last time I...."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider, arise?"

Schneider: "I think the individual ought to stick to the Amendment. We'll discuss the Bill after we defeat the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Keats, we will have a fulsome explanation of vote on this issue. Could we proceed to the question of the Amendment?"

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to finish this one point because you don't understand what the Amendment does..... Because this is very important to the Amendment..... What the Amendment does...."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, let's pay common courtesy to Mr. Keats and let him finish his explanation."

Keats: "The Aldi Store has asked the Sponsor of the Bill to have themselves removed from the Bill. Everyone who competes against the Aldi StoreEveryone who competes against the storeThat's what we are trying to do, explain how the Amendmentexplains what this Bill does."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Keats, could you finish in about thirty seconds?"



Keats: "I certainly can if I can get some of the people to be quiet."

Speaker Madigan: "Please let's have order."

Keats: "What the Amendment does is exclude Aldi from the coverage of the Bill but all their competition....one of our biggest gripes has been the government when it regulatesprotects one industry and hangs another. The Aldi stores.....being protected by an Amendment, where all their competition has their prices increased and if we aren't helping consumer fraud on the side of Aldi, I don't know what we are doing. The last time I defended Aldis and this time I'd like to condemn them on the floor of the House for unfair marketing. With that I ask for your vote in favor of this Amendmentas we passed this Amendment once before, please support it again."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'.. The Chair recognizes Mr. Kelly to explain his vote.....for one minute."

Kelly: "Well I'll.....I'll be for that..."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes Mr. Deuster to explain his votefor one minute."

Deuster: "I am....Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am sorry people are shouting to take the record because I'm standing here to represent a store in my district that wants to do something that makes sense to lower food prices for the convenience and the protection of the people in my district....in Grays Lake. This Amendment will simply allow the stores to have the price located next to a bin so the average person with any common sense can see what he's taking out of the bin, what the price will be and then as Representative Keats pointed out, you do get a slip that says strawberries, bananas, whatever it is; you have to be a moron...almost...not to be able to understand and protect yourself. This



will allow the great American free enterprise system that's so ingenious in holding prices and lowering using efficiency, lowering prices for consumers, to do more of it. The Bill would be very much like the Bottle Bill unless it had this Amendment. I urge more green votesand thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you know this country was built upon innovation and invention. It was done without the interference of government in that process. Here we have a new idea that promises to give more information to people, to speed up the job that is being done by grocery stores throughout the country to help the consumer. Now, looking up at that board what we see is that this Body thinks that they are smarter and that government can interfere in this process of improving the lives of our citizens. It seems to me that that's a travesty. It seems to me that that's extremely shortsighted. It seems to me that what we're doing here is merely supporting some people in their entrenched jobs and worrying about the labor implications of this Bill rather than the people of this state. They should stop interf....."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen there are 88 'no' votes recorded for this Amendment. The Clerk will take the record. There are now 89 'no' votes and Mr. Keats.... do you wish the verification?"

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, first of all I would like to have a poll of the absentees. Secondly, I will verify because I can see at least six chairs that are voting against this that I know perfectly well aren't here and you know it also. So we'll have to check those."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall proceed with a poll of the absentees and then we will verify through an oral



- verification. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd, arise? Mudd, Mr. Mudd. Mr. Mudd."
- Mudd: "Thank you. We'll save time if you'll just look at the number of the absentees on the board."
- Speaker Madigan: "Proceed to a poll of the absentees, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Rich Brummer, Deavers, Domico, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Kornowicz, Kucharski, Leverenz, Meyer, Mulcahey, Tipsword, Williams and Wolf."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Keats, do you wish to proceed...do you wish to persist with your request for a verification?"
- Keats: "In this case I certainly do because I'm still looking at those empty chairs."
- Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Flinn arise?"
- Flinn: "Well Mr. Speaker...I actually favor this Amendment but it's obvious that it's a waste of time to poll the absentees and polling the 'no' votes so I would change mine from 'aye' to 'no' to save some time."
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Flinn. Mr. O'Daniel."
- O'Daniel: "Mr. Speaker, change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'."
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. O'Daniel. Mr. Keats."
- Keats: "Mr. Speaker, in that case, if several Members now feel it's more important to save time than to save the consumer money I'm more than willing to hold off on the verification. We'll work on it at a later date. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Keats. Are there further Amendments? ..."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Kelly-Marovitz, amends House Bill 1576 as amended, by adding the following after Section 2.1."
- Speaker Madigan: "Who is the Sponsor of the Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Kelly."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kelly."
- Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #4 would delete fresh produce from being considered."



It shouldn't be priced and there's no way possible to price fresh produce and we certainly would like to have this Amendment adopted to delete fresh produce fromthe price marking Bill."

Unknown: "Exempt?"

Kelly: "Yeah."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As the Sponsor of the Bill, this provision was inadvertently left out of the original Bill. I totally support the Amendment and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Simms, do you wish to move House Bill 1594? Mr. Jones, do you wish to move House Bill 1595?"

Jones, J.D.: "Yes, I do."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Jones."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1595, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Fair Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #1 has been adopted in Committee. Are there any motions relative to that Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Stearney, do you wish to move House Bill 1686? Mr. Stearney? Do you wish to move the Bill? It's your Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1686, a Bill for an Act to amend the



Code of Criminal Procedure, Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, Stearney
amends House Bill 1686 on page one by deleting line one,
and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
this Amendment would provide that in a preliminary hear-
ing the individual defendant shall have the right to
present evidence material to the proceedings to cross-
examine witnesses relative to the crime and the identi-
fication of the defendant. It would further provide that
no testimony taken of a witness at a preliminary hearing
may be used on any subsequent occasion if the witness was
unavailable for trial. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Kosinski: "Ron, this...I remember this Bill from Committee and
it had certain merits, however, with the Amendment, won't
it extend the time of a preliminary hearing to further
clutter up the court?"

Stearney: "No."

Kosinski: "Then pleasetry to define the Amendment for
me a little more clearly. You read that quickly."

Stearney: "Well, no, the Amendment would merely establish certain
standards to be applied in a preliminary hearing."

Kosinski: "But it'll permit him to introduce evidence?"

Stearney: "Yes.....I think that's altogether reasonable because
if there is a finding of probable cause the individual is
forced to stand trial either by the matter going to the
Grand Jury or an information being filed. So I think
this would free up the courts because if an individual
were able to present his defense he....the judge at the
preliminary hearing may find no probable cause and that



may terminate the proceedings right there....rather than cluttering up the proceedings for another year or two."

Kosinski: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I have a question for Representative Stearney... Representative..."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Leinenweber: "This Amendment.....what did the Bill do prior to the Amendment?"

Stearney: "Well the Bill establishes a Speedy Preliminary Hearing Act. This Bill passed the Illinois House of Representatives three times and it....last year, last Session it even overrode Governor Walker's veto."

Leinenweber: "Wasn't this the Bill that we cleaned up in Committee so it was in very good shape when it came out of Committee?"

Stearney: "Yes."

Leinenweber: "So it doesn't need an Amendment....is my understanding."

Stearney: "Yes."

Leinenweber: "It does ...not...need an Amendment?"

Stearney: "No.....yes. It does need an Amendment."

Leinenweber: "Isn't this Amendment....in the form of another Bill....had the unauthorable opposition of the State's Attorneys Association and State's Attorney Carey."

Stearney: "No it didn't....it just had your opposition, Mr. Leinenweber. Even though I amended it to suit you...you nevertheless voted against it in Committee."

Leinenweber: "It was my opinion that this Bill, when it came out of Committee, was as good as it would ever get and another Amendment would be superfluous...or harmful, so I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, shall



Amendment #1 be adopted. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explanation of my vote, let me just add that ... Representative Leinenweber will probably vote against the Bill, even if it weren't amended.....so it really doesn't make any difference as to his objection. I think this is a reasonable attempt to clarify the proceedings at a preliminary hearing and I think this is the way to do it. This Bill has been in the House before and I've had it.....it's been on other Bills. It's a reasonable way of conducting a preliminary hearing and it isn't going to clutter the courts. It insures certain rights to the individual defendant. I think he is accord./it. He should have the right to present certain evidence at a preliminary hearing and he should have the right to cross-examine the witnesses who are testifying against him at a preliminary hearing. I think this is a reasonable attempt and I ask for your favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, it is rather hard to follow what's going on here. What I gather ...is going on is ...the Gentleman is amending a Bill that he has ...in order to permit at preliminary hearings a full statement of....full right of cross-examination. I gather that's what he is doing. If that is what he is doing, my concern is that he is going to intensify the problem that we already have of delaying the criminal courts so that instead of having one trial we will have two trials. In view of the fact that speedy trials are one of the top priorities in the whole criminal law field and that opening preliminary hearings to where they become, in effect, second trialswould really intensify the problem of delay in



criminal proceedings. I would think that those who believe in speedy justice, but who believe in the fact that people who commit crimes ought to be brought to trial as soon as possible, would be voting 'no' on the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, just being a latrine lawyer....I think this Amendment might really help the Bill and I think that these preliminary hearings might unclutter the courts somewhat."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hart."

Hart: "I'd just like to point out that in Earl Stanley Gardner's mysteries, Perry Mason wins most of his cases when he is allowed to present evidence and cross-examine on preliminary hearings. So I think it would speedup the justice process and I think it ought to be adopted."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes Mr. Stearney to explain his vote."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, what bothers me is that the Gentleman that opposed it...just last, I don't believe he has ever been in a criminal court. You know, it seems to me that the people that should have expressed opposition are the people that have conducted these preliminary hearings. Unless you've done so you have no first hand knowledge of what's going on. I think I do. I've been in there for ten years. I think this is an expeditious way of conducting preliminary hearings. As it is right now, the prosecutor asks six questions, the defense is allowed to ask only two and he is overruled on one because it is outside the scope of the direct. He is indicted. He has to stand trial. He has to hire a lawyer. He has to undergo the tribulations of waiting and the anguish of waiting over a year to get to trial. I think...if a case should be disposed of...it should be disposed of at the preliminary



hearing and the state should not be able to play with a man's life. I think this is an effective way of handling these preliminary hearings. Remember this, in the last Session we passed a Bill that allows the state to proceed by information....after there is a finding of probable cause. That is, the state need not present its evidence to the Grand Jury any longer. If that be the case I think the defendant should be able to explain his side, present his evidence, and at least cross-examine the witnesses as to the identification of the defendant. If that be so, many of these cases would be kicked at the preliminary hearings and we wouldn't be cluttering the courts and we would have an expeditious and speedy trial. This is the way to accomplish that. The individual who opposed it, I think should spend a little bit more time in the criminal court, at 26th and California, and he'll see the way things are conducted and he'll see that justice is perverted.... many times before these preliminary hearing judges."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 72 'ayes'; 56 'no'; 4 voting 'present' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan. Would the Membership please give his attention to Mr. Ryan. This concerns our schedule for the remainder of the day. Would the Chair please give its attention to Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've been in the Speaker's Office afew minutes ago and we discussed the possibility of the motel room and hotel room situation here and realize it's a bad situation for the Members. Plus the fact that we've agreed also to go back to the Committees to see if there are any more Bills that can possibly be



pulled off of the Third Reading list and put onto the Short Debate Calendar and we're going to take a hard look at that and see if that can be done. With the....plus the fact that we have all worked very hard all week and we're tired....Mr. Redmond and myself have thought maybe it might be a good idea if the Membership knew that we are going to try and get out of here about five or six o'clock this evening."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Speaker Redmond at Mr. Houlihan's chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, again I'd like to express my appreciation to the Minority Leader for the cooperation that he has extended. He has agreed that we would ask the Committee Chairmen, the vice-chairmen and the two Minority designees to again go over the list and see if we can't put more Bills on that Short Debate. It seems to workout pretty well. That coupled with the problem that we have about the motels leads me to conclude that probably we ...not probably.... but that we should adjourn tonight ...when we get through with our day's business. I don't know whether that will be five o'clock or six o'clock or just when it will be, but I think you can plan on leaving today and not returning tomorrow. Just so you won't think that I've gone completely soft in the head though.....I want to remind you that the twenty-first is the last day and those of you that were here before know that that gavel is going to come down at midnight on the twenty-first and I don't want anybody complaining and crying to me that they weren't given full opportunity ...the opportunity to be heard and have their Bill passed. Just like you do in scuba diving, when you have a buddy system, I would suggest that you latch onto your seatmate and make sure that they get here when we start in the morning and that they stay here so that you have the benefit of full attendance when your Bills come



up. So again, I would like to thank the Minority Leader and all of the Membership that are understanding in this very difficult situation. We're going to do the best we can and with that in mind, when we adjourn this evening we will not come back until Monday. Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco.....Mr. Lucco..."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,my privilege to introduce some two hundred and thirty seventh graders. They are in the bleachers...at the moment. They are students from the Admiral Rickover Junior High School, at Sauk Village. They are represented by Representative Getty, Representative Matejek and Representative Miller. Glad to have you here."

Speaker Madigan: "On the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, there appears House Bill 1843."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1843, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code, Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Houlihan, James Houlihan.....1843..... Out.....Out of the record. For what purpose does the Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen, arise?"

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I apologize for interrupting the proceedings of the House, but you...they failed to indicate at what time we would be coming in on Monday. Could we have some indication on that in the near future?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Speaker is still working on that time. That will be announced later. Thank you. Eighteen forty-three shall be taken out of the record. On the Order of House Bills.....1963....On the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, there appears House Bill 1963. Mr. Greiman indicates that he wishes that Bill taken out of the record. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Well, I'm sorry to disagree with my Cosponsor, Representative Greiman, but I would like to have this Bill called on Second Reading. There is an Amendment that Representative



Keats has offered.....to the Bill. And I think the Bill ought to be considered at this time. And I would like to have the Bill called."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would just like aa..... parliamentary inquiry. I filed this Bill, it came from the Administrator Procedures Study Committee.....was part of its work product. About two weeks ago Representative Hart asked if he might be made a Cosponsor of this Bill. I said that he could be a Cosponsor.....and signed the appropriate document. And....I'm wondering what are the rights of the original Sponsor? What are the rights of Cosponsors? What is the meaning of a principal Sponsor? Can any Sponsor proceed...anybody who is in the whole list of Sponsors proceed and go forward on a Bill? And..... What are my rights, Judge?"

Speaker Madigan: "Would the Parliamentarian please come to the podium? Mr. Epstein.....would you please come to the podium? Ladies and Gentlemen, Mr. Epstein has just explained the rules in this regard and let me tell you what I think he said. He seemed to say that a principal Cosponsor has a right to have the Bill called on the Order of Second Reading and moved, despite the fact that another principal Cosponsor has requested that the Bill not be called or moved from Second to Third. The remedy to the other principal Cosponsor who wishes not to move is that individual can moveplace a motion before the Body that the Bill not move. In light of that ruling, the Bill shall now be called on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 1963 shall be called on the Order of Second Reading and the Chair shall recognize the Gentleman from Hart..... from Franklin, Mr. Hart.....and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "I think, Mr. Speaker, that that addressed the question



of moving it to Third Reading.....to Third Reading, after all the Amendments have been disposed of, but did I understand that also it covered the issue of actually calling the Bill on Second Reading?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Epstein feels that either Cosponsor can call the Bill."

Greiman: "Is there...isjust so I know for the future? Does that include any Sponsor.....down the line...anytime we have twenty Sponsors in a line, can they move forward with the Bill?"

Speaker Madigan: "Apparently it does."

Greiman: "In other words, anybody who is a Sponsor of any Bill in this House can move that Bill to Third....to Third Reading....can ask it to be called on Second Reading; although adverse to the person who drafted it and circulated it and whatnot? Is that the ruling, Mr. Epstein?"

Speaker Madigan: "It appears to be the ruling, Mr. Greiman. And the remedy appears to beto not be so generous in the future about giving away your Sponsorship."

Greiman: "That's a good.....Good advice, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Chief Sponsorship.....Now, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "I think there are Amendments that need to be considered at this time."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1965 (should have been 1963), a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unemployment Insurance Act, Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments 1, 2 and 3 were adopted and the Bill was held on Second Reading. Amendment #4, Keats, amends House Bill 1963 as amended, in the Title and in Section 1 and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "Before we proceed to the Amendment the Chair would like to offer further clarification to the ruling. When we say that a Cosponsor can insist that the Bill be called on Second Reading, we're referring to a principal



Cosponsoror a hyphenated Cosponsor, we are not talking about those who simply add their name on below the Sponsor.....principal Sponsor. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, wouldn't it be more accurate to suggest that it not be a principal Cosponsor but a joint Sponsor versus a Cosponsor?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes. Yes, Mr. Schlickman. Thank you. Mr. Hart, where are we?"

Hart: "Amendment.."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Keats, do you wish to offer your Amendment?"

Keats: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. I believe my Amendment to be a consent Amendment. I'll explain it in just two sentences to say there was an odd court ruling recently that said you can draw unemployment compensation and vacation pay at the same time. That sounded to be a very silly ruling. This is strictly a Bill to.... clearly.... this Amendment to clearly clarify the Bill and the intent of the unemployment compensation procedure and it says you can draw vacation pay or you can draw unemployment compensation.....you just can't draw both of them on the same day. That's all the Amendment does and I would ask it to be a percent Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed.....In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Greiman, amends House Bill 1963 by deleting everything after the Enacting Clause and so forth..."

Hart: "Mr. Speaker....Mr. Speaker.."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hart..."



Hart: "Point of order."

Speaker Madigan: "State your point."

Hart: "Amendment #5 offered by Representative Greiman is an Amendment that would strike everything after the Enacting Clause of the Bill that is now amended and restores the Bill to its original posture. In view of the fact that Amendments #2 and 3 were verified and locked onto the Bill I believe that Mr. Greiman's Amendment is out of order and could not be considered at this time."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Epstein, Mr. Hart.....you better restate your point."

Hart: "All right. My point of order is that Amendments #2 and 3, were adopted and were locked into the Bill by motion to consider which was tabled. Amendment #5 now attempts, by Mr. Greiman, to strike everything after the Enacting Clause, as the Bill is now amended, and restore it to its original posture. I believe the Amendment is out of order because it could not strike Amendments #2 and 3. I make that point of order. I move that it be tabled if necessary."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair disagrees with your point, Mr. Hart.....so that the point is not well taken."

Hart: "Am I to understand then; that locked in Amendments can be unlocked? Is that...."

Speaker Madigan: "They can be superseded by a subsequent Amendment."

Hart: "Okay then, I move to table Amendment #5."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #5 which has been offered by Mr. Greiman. The Parliamentarian informs me that that is a debatable motion..... On page 46 of the green rule book it states in Section 64, Subsection (d), last sentence, 'Motions to table Amendments are debatable.' Now I will leave and Mr. Lechowicz will take the Chair."

LECHOWICZ IN CHAIR...

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Hanahan on a point of order"



What's your point?"

Hanahan: "The point I'm raising is that the Gentleman, Representative Hart, was not recognized for a matter of a motion. He was recognized for a matter of a point of order. And that, upon the opening of an Amendment by a Sponsor, Amendment #5, the principal Sponsor of that Amendment should be allowed to have his ...the opening statement on that Amendment....be brought before the House before we get into any other recognition of any other motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point is well taken. Representative Greiman.Present the Amendment."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment restores the hard work of the Administrative Procedure Study Committee. We had long hearings with the Department of Labor. Questionnaires were filled out to determine how we could bring due process to the Unemployment Compensation...willmaking process and contested case process. It was determined and it was agreed by the Department that we would restore and insure confidentiality, since that's important in dealing with people's finances. We said that we would also save a great deal of money for the state by changing the Administrative Procedures Act....as it applied to the Department of Labor.....so that they need not have certified mail but could use regular mail. That's, by the way, unless this Bill passes, is a two hundred and fifty thousand dollar postage ticket each year that you'll have to pay unless.....the state will have to pay, not private individuals but the state will have to pay. It would create other exemptions as to when the Administrative Procedures Act locked in. It is a significant piece of legislation....bringing due process in both of those functions...will making and contested cases to the Department of Labor. Whether it's on a motion to table or whether we hear the Amendment and then vote it up or



down, I don't want to continue this round with...over and over again with us....but I believe the Amendment is an important Amendment. The Bill should be the law of Illinois. It has instead been encumbered and impaired by other legislation....other Amendments that have nothing to do with this Bill. I ask then, that we strike everything after the Enacting Clause and adopt this Amendment to bring the Bill back to its original state. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Schuneman on a point of order. What's your point?"

Schuneman: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. We're discussing Amendment #5 to House Bill 1963?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, we are."

Schuneman: "I have distributed to my desk, two different Amendments, both of which are labeled Amendment #5 to House Bill 1963. I would question how the House is to know which of these two Amendments are being considered?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Clerk, would you kindly respond?"

Do you have Amendments #5 to House Bill..... Why don't you send it up here, we'll see what you've got. Representative Hart on the Amendment."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, could I interrupt?Don't you think we should have a ruling as to whether the Amendment is in proper order, before we proceed?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well.....according to.....I just asked the Clerk, Representative Schuneman, and he only has one Amendment #5. So until I see which two you are referring to I can't make a ruling. Ladies and Gentlemen, may I point out to youif you take a look at the LRB number which is located on the right hand portion of the Amendment, the proper number is LRB-80-5405AM5DKA/mak. The only difference in the other one is that it's AM3. So the proper Amendment that is filed with the Clerk..... I'll repeat the number again, LRB-80-5405AM5. ..Represent... Does that answer your question, Representative Schuneman?"



Schuneman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I assume that since two Amendments were distributed that two Amendments were filed. Wouldn't it be in proper order for the Gentleman to table one of the Amendments?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "According to the Clerk there is only one Amendment #5 and I gave you the number. There must be an error from LRB....as far as the other one is concerned. Representative Greiman, can you put any light on the subject? Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Yeah.....I think that when they redid this they didn't put in the Section.....Section 1701 of the Unemployment Insurance Act approved so and so.....So the one with the LRB number AM3.....I think is the one that we... that should be number five. The other one we can discard or renumber and table or however you want to do it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Schuneman, does that answer your inquiry?"

Schuneman: "Well, Sir, I think if the Clerk has only had one Amendment filedthat probably answers the question. If the Members are able to differentiate between the two Amendments that are distributed then I think that the filing apparently was correct. But, Mr. Speaker, another point if I might, since this appears to be resolved, I'd like to point out to the Chair that the same situation existed with Amendment #4....that there were, in fact, two different Amendments distributed, both of which were labeled Amendment #4 to House Bill 1963. Oneintroduced by Representative Hart, the other by Representative Keats."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Why didn't you lift your feet? Goodness! No problem. Representative Brummer.....Okay....Representative Hart."

Hart: "Well, Representative Keats's Amendment was adopted as Amendment #4the one that designated #4, with my name on it is going to be tabledwhen we get to it."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. Thank you."

Hart: "Now I move to table Amendment #5, offered by Representative Greiman. I think he..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No....no....Excuse me...."

Hart: ".....conclude his explanation...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No....because Representative Schuneman went on a point of order..."

Hart: "Okay....I apologize....I'll hold my motion until he concludes his explanation..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you very much. Ladies and Gentlemen can we have your attention....in the chamber, please? For what purpose do you seek recognition, Representative Schuneman?"

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm not sure we've resolved the problem as it relates to Amendment #4. If Amendment #4 was Representative Hart's Amendment....or was Representative Keats' Amendment and the Amendment then for Representativeunder Representative Hart's name is to be tabled or was to be tabled....?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "When we get to it..."

Schuneman: "But we're on Amendment #5 now, are we not, Sir?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct. That motion can come after Amendment #5....is either adopted or defeated."

Schuneman: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Greiman to close on Amendment #5."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I merely want to tell you about my owndiscomfort that the hard work of a Committee of this House,.... may end up going nowhere.... when it should bebecome the law of this state...in order to protect people who are applying for unemployment comp..... We are trying to build due process into it, that's what Amendment 5 does. We're trying to build due process....in the rule making process....that's what Amendment 5 does. This was a significant Bill when it



was filed. I'm just kind of heartsick that it's getting into this crush. I think we should enact.....adopt Amendment 5 and defeat any motions to table. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any debate? Representative Hart: ..."

Hart: "I would move to table Amendment #5 and I would explain my reasoning as follows: Amendment #5 is the same as the Bill originally came out of the Committee. The Bill as originally came out of the Committee is.....the previous Amendment did not strike any of the Bill that came out of the Committee.....so that portion of Representative Greiman's Bill is still a part of legislation. In addition his Administrative Procedure Act Amendment is on our desk as an Amendment#12 to House Bill 951. So...in the ...some event it would not reach the Governor's Desk on 1963...it very well may reach the desk of the Governor on House Bill 951. So I do not believe in either event that the work of Representative Greiman and his Committee is going to be subverted. I believe that it will eventually be signed into law by the Governor and we will keep it in this Bill and it's not necessary for Amendment #5 to be adopted because it would strike what we did before.... the other day. So I move to table."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the question, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, because what we did the other day may have been more emotion than factual, in the desires of the Legislature, this Amendment is not only timely but a corrective Amendment....and should be adopted. The motion to table should be defeated. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Unemployment Insurance Act, if you want to get into all sorts of administrativeprocedures should be addressed to by this General Assembly on its own merits....To involve Amendments that would somehow....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Representative Hanahan, Representative Tuerk, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"



Tuerk: "Well, a....a point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your point?"

Tuerk: "Is the motion to table, debatable?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes it is....according to the rules.

Please proceed."

Unknown: "Pay attention over there....Tuerk."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We just ruled on that about five minutes ago, Fred."

Unknown: "Keep alert....Tuerk."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Hanahan.... The timer is on..."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I speak in opposition to the motion to table because to put this Bill in the proper form as introduced makes it a good worthwhile Bill that should go to the Governor. To have other kinds of Amendments attached to the Bill that not only were opposed by the Chief Sponsor of this legislation, but are not necessarily within the purview or the intent of the principal Sponsor of this legislation. I suggest that the Members of the House have a lot more things to do in the areas of unemployment insurance than to debate Amendments that did not even clear Committee action nor receive Committee consideration. Especially Amendments of magnitudeof a magnitude such as was adopted the other day. I think Amendments of that nature should be introduced as legislation and receive Committee clearance. And at least an affirmative vote of the majority of the Members of the Committee in order to get out here on the floor of the House for a debate. But to attach an Amendment of this nature to a Bill that has no intention by the Sponsor of the legislation nor no intention of the General Assembly to be adopted but to be used just as a vehicle is an error and we should correct that error by beating this motion to table and adopting Amendment #5."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Tuerk."



Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House....I apologize for not hearing that previous ruling, however, I think the Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart did explain it quite well. I think this House has spoken on the Amendment that Representative Hart and others have offered to this Bill. Therefore, I think Representative Greiman is out of order, if it was within his right, but I would disagree with what he is trying to do in order to attempt to put the original Bill back as the Bill. I think the House has spoken quite clearly on this Bill and on the issue and I think Representative Hart's position should be sustained."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Hart moves to table Amendment #5. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Dawson....."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Madison to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, we've got a classic example here of two joint Sponsors of a Bill, in conflict with each other, and it kinda puts some of us in a precarious position. Both of these Gentlemen are good friends of mine and since I'm terribly confused with the meritsand I don't like being confused with the fact. I'm going to vote 'present'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 79 'ayes', 65 'nays', 8 recorded as 'present'. The Amendment is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Representative Hart, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Hart: "There was another Amendment designated #4, which I was the Sponsor of which Representative Schuneman and Brummet point out wasthere was two number fours. If it isn't filed with the Clerk there won't be any necessity of



tabling it, but if it was I wanted to table so that it would clear up the matter. Did you find any Amendment in there with my name on it?"

Clerk O'Brien: "We have no record of any other Amendment."

Hart: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 1977. Representative Yourell. I moved it to Third Reading, yeah."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1977, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to elections, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments....floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments? Amendment #1, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Amendment #1 to House Bill 1977 is an Amendment to the Comprehensive Election Code that we have not completely decided as to the way in which we want to proceedthere may be other Amendments that will be discussed and agreed upon during this coming week. But in order to facilitate the movement of House Bill 1977, I would move to adopt Amendment #1 to that Bill which just deletes the effective date from 1977 to 1979. That may be changed but I do wantor have the Bill....as does Representative Collins, the Cosponsor, want to have the Bill and the position that we have to move it....we'll be in the position to do that. So I move the adoption of Amendment #1 with the agreement ...with Representative Collins and others, that this Bill can be and will be moved back to Second Reading next week for additional Amendments. I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Representative Yourell moves the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'. Opposed....Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2002, Representative



Telcser.....He'll be right out. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2002, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2003."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2003, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Amendments from the floor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2004."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2004, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2006."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2006, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2007."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2007, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2008."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2008, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor."



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2009."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2009, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2010."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2010, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2011."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2011, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2030, Representative Kucharski. Representative Ryan, is anyone handling that Bill for him? Representative Ryan. House Bill 2030. Representative Ryan. House Bill 2030. Put Representative Ryan on please. Thank you."

Ryan: "Are there any Amendments for this Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes there are."

Ryan: "There are?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Kucharski will be here next week. As you know, he has been in the hospital."

Ryan: "Take it out of the record. I think we ought to take it out."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. House Bill 2083. Representative Laurino."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2083, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Laurino, amends House Bill 2083, on page one, line one and two and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Laurino on Amendment #1."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1takes the Bill and instead of utilizing just the City of Chicago, compares it to the entire state. Makes it a statewide Amendment. It's a uniform code..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well two points, Mr. Speaker. I didn't hear what Representative Laurino said and I wonder if the Amendment has been distributed?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Amendment has been distributed a week ago and let me try to get you a little order. Can we have a little order, please? Thank you. Representative Laurino, would you explain the Amendment again?"

Laurino: "It takes the provision out of the code now that sends in the results to the controller and has a uniform system for the state rather than just for the City of Chicago."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Ryan. There being no further discussion, Representative Laurino moves the adoption of Committee....House Amendment #1. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'. All those opposed.....Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. We'll go to the order of the Consent Calendar which is located on page 24. Immediately after the Consent Calendar we'll return to House Bills, Second Reading. The Clerk will read the Bills that are located on page 24 which are House Bills, Third Reading, Supplementary Consent Calendar, Group IV."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bills, Third Reading, Supplementary Consent Calendar, Second Day, Group IV. On page 24 of your Calendar. First I'll read.....there's two Bills



that have been stricken from the list, they are House Bill 1622 and 1623. The Bills that are on Group IV, Supplementary Consent Calendar, are House Bills 1343, 1345, 1356, 1361, 1363, 1371, 1392, 1397, 1414, 1415, 1425, 1454, 1467, 1469, 1472, 1475, 1480, 1481, 1502, 1503, 1521, 1541, 1545, 1557, 1562, 1579, 1592, 1596, 1612, 1615. The next two Bills that appear on your Calendar have been removed. Then 1627, 1651, 1652, 1653, 1654, 1655, 1656, 1657, 1658, 1659, 1660, 1661, 1662, 1663, 1664 and 1665. These Bills have been read a third time previously."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall these Bills pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye'. All those opposed will vote 'nay'. Tommy.....Tom...Hurry....Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On these questions there are 151 'ayes', no 'nays', 12 recorded as 'present'. The Bill receiving the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Back to House Bills, Second Reading. On page five, House Bill 2118, Representative Reed. Before we go into debate on this matter....Please read the Bill..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2118, a Bill for an Act to provide for the management and regulation of a defined coastal zone in the State of Illinois, Third.....Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let me point out to the Membership that it is the intent of the Chair not to break for lunch.... proceed continuously....So if your getting a bit hungry please order whatever you'd like. House Bill 2118, Mrs. Reed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Amendment 1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions on file."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Dawson, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, in Section 3, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Houlihan, D.: "I was under the impression that all prior Amendments were going to be tabled....before number seven."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, with the consent of the Chairman of the Committee on Energy, Environment and Natural Resources and the two other principal Sponsors, Representative Macdonald and Representative Williams, I'd like to request the tabling of Committee Amendment 1, 2, 3 and 4, since they are incorporated in Amendment 7, which in essence becomes the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the question, is there any discussion? Representative Williams."

Williams: "I would like to concur....actually concur with that. These Amendments were put on in the Committee and we have talked to the Chairman and actually these were our own Amendments and they are incorporated in Amendment #7 and I would concur with the tabling of 1, 2 and 3 and 4....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the question, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, are we now acting on a motion to table the Amendments that were mentioned and to adopt Amendment #7?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, we are on a question of tabling Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4. Is there any further discussion? The Lady moves to table Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4. Is there objection? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'; those opposed Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 are tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Dawson, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, in Section 3, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Williams on the Amendment."

Williams: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Representative



Dawson was here until just a moment ago. He went to the Senate, but his intention is to table Amendment #5 because it is again included in number seven."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #5.

Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'; all opposed.....Amendment #5 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Geo-Karis and Keats, amends House Bill 2118 on page four, line eighteen and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Geo-Karis. Amendment #6, Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Was that one under the old Bill? Is that correct?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes ma'am."

Geo-Karis: "All right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You want to move to table it?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #6. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'. All opposed.....Amendment #6 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Reed, amends House Bill 2118 by deleting everything after the Enacting Clause and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Amendment #7, Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a fairly extensive Amendment, striking everything after the Enacting Clause. In a great many instances it was merely to clear up or clarify technical mistakes that had been made in the Bill. I have chosen approximately eight Sections that I think are relevant and changes in the original Bill. On page two, under legislative intent, the Bill has been amended to change to....'protect the common law and statutory public and private rights within the coastal zone'. It simply mandates the protection of private rights. On page three, Section 3, under definition of coastal waters, the language is actually that of Committee Amendment.....or...the intention is that of



Committee Amendment #1 which reads that, 'but specifically excluding the Chicago River and the North Shore Channel, both inland of their controlling works, and the Calumet River and Lake Calumet.' This excludes the Calumet River as indicated andassures us of a consistent boundry throughout the entire coastal zone. Further down on page three, line 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, under the definition of Construction. This Amendment limits the discretion of the Secretary of the Department of Transportation, by limiting the kinds of activity subject to regulation... 'which activity may result in adverse impacts on the Lake Area, excluding routine maintenance or repairs of existing structures or facilities and such other activities as may be excluded by rule. Construction means actual construction activities and not local zoning or land use regulation.' On page five, under definitions....Land Modification, we specifically exclude...'gardening, minor landscaping andwe limit the land modification.' Which may result in an adverse effect on the lake. On page ten, under the Municipal Management Program, we again are limiting the discretion of the Secretary by indicating that 'a requirement that permit applicants for construction or land modification activities in the Hazard and Impact Areas submit data in the detail and quality that is normally required for construction and land modification permits to enable the municipality or county to properly enforce the management practices and policies.' Page twelve, under Municipal Management, lines 20 through 21, embody Committee Amendment #2. Even if the community is not certified, municipal permits must be obtained before a state permit is granted. It does not in any way preempt the municipal right to issue permits. Page 14, under Certification, they again limit the discretion of the Secretary of the Department of Transportation and guarantee municipalities will be certified if the state guidelines in Section 6 are



met. Line 14, under Certification, again strengthens the authority of the certified municipality. Page 16, line 4 through 7, limit the discretion of the Secretary in recertifying the municipality. He may only review; number one, enforcement of the Municipal Management Program and the expenditure of that municipality's funds."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Representative Porter."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think it's any secret to the Members of the House that I am opposed to the Bill but I think that it's always a question for any Representative as to whether they want to improve a Bill that they know is a bad one... I think that the Amendment has, in fact, worked out some of the problems that I find with the Bill. It doesn't change the overall scheme of the Bill, which is a federal-state land use planning concept. That still continues as it did in the original version, but it does make some slight improvement and for that reason my judgment tells me that we ought to favor the Amendment and so I would urge the Members to vote 'aye' on this particular Amendment even though I still am opposed to this federal-state land use concept contained in the Bill and in the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "As I understand it now, Amendment #7 to this Bill is the new Bill. And anything that we might have learned or been in favor of or not in favor of in thebefore Amendment #7, now has changed. The question I want to know, if the Sponsor will yield, is; What happens to the submerged land that is adjacent and contiguous to the U. S. Steel?"

Reed: "It's my understand.....Representative Macdonald, would you like to handle that?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, Mrs. Reed. What's your question?"

Reed: "Representative Macdonald will handle that question."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you. Mrs. Macdonald."

Macdonald: "It stays under the jurisdiction of the state."

Yourell: "Does the new Bill.....Another question....does the Amendment now make the Bill in the position that the Coastal Zones Management Act will extend one hundred feet into U. S. Steel.....property at 79th Street..."

Macdonald: "The first railroad right of way."

Yourell: "Do you know where that might be....at that point, 79th Street?"

Macdonald: "About 100 feet."

Yourell: "About 100 feet. So in no way does this Bill do anything about that 200 acres of submerged land that U. S. Steel tried to get a few years back? That remains as it is, is that correct?"

Macdonald: "That is correct."

Yourell: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "If the Sponsor will yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "She indicates she'll yield."

Deuster: "As I understand, Representative Reed, originally the City of Highland Park had opposed this Bill but I thought I heard that the Amendment made here, in Amendment #7, changed the Bill enough so that the City of Highland Park has a different position. Is that correct?"

Reed: "That's correct. They took action last Monday and determined that they would support the Bill."

Deuster: "How about the City of Lake Forest....?"

Reed: "Lake Forest met on Monday also. They determined that they were not supportive of the Bill."

Deuster: "I see....."

Reed: "Lake Bluff is supportive..."

Deuster: "Are there any other communities of concern to us about which we should know what their position is? With respect to the Amendment I mean..."

Reed: "I think that there are concerns by other municipalities



that should be brought out....as I understand it, Wilmette is not in favor of the Bill, nor is Winnetka, by their most recent action."

Deuster: "I have a second question and....this is the last question....As I understand the Amendment.....the...it deletes or takes out of the Bill the Calumet River. Now what is the reason for that?"

Reed: "Simply.....because we could go only to that first railroad track.....The hydrology does not effect the coastal zone. It goes back into the total Lake Calumet industrial area. All of which is under the jurisdiction of the City of Chicago and should they become a certified municipality, it's irrelevant."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Would the Lady from Lake yield for a question?"

Reed: "Yes, Sir."

Pierce: "I know in the original Bill, which we passed out of the Environment Committee....the Park Districts, especially in our Lake County area...felt that they hadn't been properly included in the planning here, that they own quite a bit of the lake shore land, run parks and marinas and so on....along the lake shore and the park districts being independent municipal corporations under Illinois law, felt that they should have more input. I can't find in your Amendment anywhere where the park districts along the lake in Lake and Cook Counties are given any role in the planning phase or the management phase of the lake shore. Is there any place the Amendment takes care of the park district's problems?"

Reed: "We determine, on page 15, line three, that the municipality is the primary agent responsible for dispersing any federal or state funds, but down on line seven and eight it indicates 'and special districts with jurisdiction in more than two coastal municipalities'. We are not certifying park districts, Representative Pierce; however, they will work



with their municipalities for any funding that they are applying for. The municipality is the primary agency."

Pierce: "Special districts with jurisdiction in more than two coastal municipalities would of course not include any park districts but could probably include the North Shore Sanitary District, is that right?"

Reed: "It includes MSD as well as the North Shore Sanitary District and I do think that there is one park district that's within two municipalities."

Pierce: "This has more than two, I think. More than two coastal municipalities. So two would not be enough. If it was in two municipalities it would not qualify under this provision. Is that right?"

Reed: "You are right."

Pierce: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I would like to.....Would the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "She indicates she will."

Geo-Karis: "In your original Bill, in Section 6.7, you referred toand I quote from line 19, 'decisions on construction of land modification activities or land or water use within the coastal zone'. I checked your new Amendment, which is the Bill now...if it is passed...your Amendment 7, Representative, and I do not find that language in that Bill. Has it been taken out.....pertaining to land use.... quote...'pertaining to land or water use in the coastal zone'. I cite Section 6.7....in the original Bill and... I know that this is the same 6.7the new Bill, in your Amendment 7, except that this language has obviously been taken out. Am I correct?"

Reed: "Yes, it has been taken out and you will find the new language in Section 6.5, on page 12."

Geo-Karis: "I'm looking at page 12, but line...."

Reed: "Lines 16 through 22..."

Geo-Karis: "Yes....but you don't refer to it anymore as land or



water use plans but you do refer to land modification."

Reed: "Yes."

Geo-Karis: "...and land modification permits..."

Reed: "Yes. That's right."

Geo-Karis: "Under your Amendment you ...this new Bill. In other words, your proposed Amendment 7, ...you still have the same authority in your state and federal government on this plan as you did in the original Bill. Isn't that so? In other words..."

Reed: "Geo, this language narrows in Section 6.5, it clarifies, makes more specific....construction and land modification. Both under definition and both under...Section 6.5..."

Geo-Karis: "And under your amended Bill here, if a municipality does not wish to be certified by the Department of Transportation, Division of Water Resources, then the state will do as it wishes and so will the Federal Government, which implemented the state authority..."

Reed: "That's not so....."

Geo-Karis: "Well...."

Reed: "Will you address that specific question to Representative Williams?"

Geo-Karis: "...Maybe you didn't understand me. If the municipality does not wish to become certified under this Bill, is it true that the state will have the complete say-so over that coastal land involved in the municipality which does not choose to certify itself?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Williams, do you wish to respond to that? Williams...."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Yes I will respond to that. This is actually a cooperative program...actually between the state and the local government. Now, any permit that would normally be required to do whatever you were talking about will still have to be received from the local government...."

Geo-Karis: "Pardon me.....I'm sorry....I said.."

Williams: "I said, any permits for land modification there



would actually still have to be issued by the local government. Now it is the first choice of the municipalityto be a certified municipality. Actually it would be their choice if they did not want to be certified."

Geo-Karis: "But if the municipality did not wish to be certified and if it gave the permit for example toMrs. Jones, who owns 500 feet of coast-lineto build a garage, and the state decided it didn't want a garage there, the state could prevent that on the basis of the fact that they have complete control. Isn't that right, Representative?"

Williams: "If the improvement that you are talking about would not be allowed under the normal ordinances of that municipality, it would not be allowed under the state."

Geo-Karis: ". . . .is that in your Bill"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Will the Lady kindly bring her questions and remarks to a close?"

Geo-Karis: "This is a veryMr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a veryvery important Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Geo-Karis, may I point out . . .you have been up twelve minutes and other people are seeking recognition."

Geo-Karis: "All right. I'll try to make it short."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Geo-Karis: "Representative, can you tell me where in the Bill it says that if the municipality that is not certified . . . issue certain permits ormakes certain ordinances . . . they have priority over the state authority?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pardon me. Representative Ebbesen, on a point of order."

Ebbesen: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, we've got a dialogue going here and I think"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady is concluding . . ."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, just let me make a point . . .Just let me



make a point, Sir?That this Amendment in the Bill... in the form that they want it....and all these questions, and dialogue....wasting time here....we're going to go to Third Reading and they've got over the weekend to look it over and ask the questions and get into a conversation prior to Third Reading. I think we're wasting a lot of time in dialogue."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady is asking pertinent questions to the Amendment. She is bringing her remarks to a close."

Geo-Karis: "I just ask this one question....Last question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Geo-Karis: "Can you tell me where in the Bill, Representative, I'll repeat it....it says that the municipalities that are not certifiedif they have certain ordinances...certain zoning, certain permits that they will prevail over the right of the state....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Reed to reply..."

Geo-Karis: "...to change them."

Reed: "Representative Geo-Karis, that Section 6.5 that I referred to on page 12, where it says, 'No person shall undertake any construction or land modification activity in the Hazard or Impact Area without..'"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you..."

Reed: "...without first obtaining all requisite construction and land modification permits required under Section 6, 6.1 and 6.2 of this Act from the certified municipality or county within whose jurisdiction the area lies."

Geo-Karis: "You are not addressing my question. I'm saying where the municipality is not certified. I knew what happens when it's certified. That's all I'm asking."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Houlihan.....Dan."

Houlihan, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to support the Amendment. I did want to point out though, for the record, the clarification....that Section 7.1. It is not necessary in order for certification, by a municipality, to meet the



state guidelines r...rather, what it does say, if those guidelines as set forth in Section 6, which is the Municipal Management Program, are addressed to the local zoning subdivision and building ordinances, then the Department shall certify the municipality or county. I think it is a very solid Amendment. I urge its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The previous question has been moved. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'....All those opposed.. The previous question is moved....Representative Reed, to close... the debate. For what purpose do you seek recognition, Representative Keats?"

Keats: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would just like to check, I think there has been something said in error...by honest accident, but I think there has been a major error and I would like to have it corrected because it may effect the vote. It would be a simple 'yes', 'no', type of change."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You can ask the question when you explain your vote."

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, but this may directly effect the Bill, I'm not sure because there were Representatives involved who would be interested in the stand taken by their local municipalities."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, in the essence of time and the fact that this Bill has been around for three and a half weeks, I simply move to adopt Amendment #7."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady moves to adopt Amendment #7. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all-those opposed will vote 'nay'. Representative Keats, to explain his vote."

Keats: "I thank you, Mr. Speaker. At this time I would like to clarify what the problem is....Number one is, this Bill has not been around three and a half weeks, it's been around two days. This is basically a new Bill. We offered



a large number of Amendments. We haven't been able to get them back to the Reference Bureau. We're just getting them in now....and that has to do with the shortness. The issue....Highland Park was said to be supporting this Bill and unless we've misread the same communique, Highland Park has taken no position on this Bill whatsoever. They passed a resolution saying it's an interesting idea, but so unless I have misread it or they've done something in the last two days....they have not supported it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 106 'ayes', 6 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Geo-Karis, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, in Section 3 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah.....The....My Amendment #8 would amend House Bill 2118, as amended, in Section 3, in the paragraph defining 'Impact Areas' by changing '500 feet' to '100 feet'. The Amended Bill that was just approved provides that the Impact Area takes in 500 feet of shoreline from the water's edge. I feel that that's too much land to have control over when someone else owns it....industries own it, private people own it and I move the passage of my Amendment 8, which would reduce the Impact Area from 500 feet to 100 feet of shoreline."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any debate? Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, we oppose Amendment #8. Two and a half years of study, geological engineering studies have gone into this. Eleven municipalities have been consulted with. In many areas along the shoreline the shoreline erosion hazard area extends up to 500 feet, such as Lake Bluff and the Illinois Beach State Park. Many coastal problems such as recreation, boating facilities and Commercial Port Authorities require considerably more than the 100



feet suggested in this Amendment and we oppose it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes. For the same reasons that Representative Reed gave, plus the fact that if you look there in Section 10 (3), 'The final determination shall be made by the General Assembly, with concurrence of the certified municipality or county'. That is covered in, now Amendment 7, which is the Bill. Again there are a lot of reasons that Representative Reed has just mentioned which is the geological, hydrological and biological studies that were conducted and it was with the input of the municipalities along the shoreline that actually the boundry was determined so I would oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Keats."

Keats: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The point of Representative Geo-Karis's Amendment is really pretty simple. In some areas it is 100 feet....some areas it is 500 feet. Let's treat everybody the same. You don't treat one group because they've got lobby influence a little more special than the other and they only get 100 feet stuck to them...in other area it's 500. I think what we ought to have to do is treat everybody the same and that's what this Amendment does, Representative Geo-Karis's Amendment very specifically just gives fair and equal treatment to everyone."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Geo-Karis to close."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just earlier we heard that the steel works....the Calumet Steel Works were going to be excluded, which I think in itself would be discriminating upon us if we don't exclude 400 feet of shoreline, inward shoreline, from our counties and we have 65 miles of shoreline on Lake Michigan and I think it's only fair to give to the Coastal Management Group.....if this Bill should pass, enough authority just to do its job. Not enough authority to be



oppressive, and take property and use it and tell people what to do with their property just because they say so whether they are right or wrong. I think it is an unlawful derivation of property and I respectfully ask support for this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady moves the adoption of Amendment #8. All those in favor will say 'aye', all those opposedThe Amendment is defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...Come on!...Okay. A Roll Call has been requested. We'll give you a Roll Call. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 23 'ayes', 19 'nays', 2 ...as 'present'. The Amendment is lost. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Geo-Karis, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by inserting after the last sentence in Section 17 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this coastal management situation, which is involved with this Bill, refers in the Bill, in the amended version, to the 'Official Coastal Atlas'. I'm asking, by this Amendment, the Official Coastal Atlas should be approved by the General Assembly before a state management program may take effect under this Act. Because about three weeks ago I had people call me and one of the people who called me was Mr. Freeburg, from the Association of Commerce and Industry, who said he asked to see the Coastal Atlas andthe Official Coastal Atlas and he was told by people in the Coastal Management Office of the Water Resources Division, that they were working on it. So I think the Legislature should approve the Official Coastal Atlas before the State Management Program takes effect under



this Bill, once it is passed. I'm simply putting a safeguard for the people of Illinois, and for the people involved in the 65 miles of Lake Michigan shoreline, by this Amendment and I respectfully ask for its adoption.

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would only oppose this Amendment because I think it is useless. Once we have established a boundary the Atlas is reflected in the establishment of the boundaries and I think this would be needless to come to the General Assembly. You can't have the Atlas until you have established the boundaries and it would be an exercise....a useless exercise for the General Assembly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let me just point out to the Membership, we're on Amendment #9, the Clerk has just informed me that up to 23 has been returned from the Reference Bureau, that a total of 33 Amendments have been filed on this Bill....I would like toThe Bill addresses itself quite specifically to the Membership.....that maybe we should have proponent and opponent and vote your wishes. Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to oppose the Amendment. It's already included by the passage of the Bill and actually on page 19, it says that any change and so forth ...will bethe final determination, as to any boundary change within an uncertified or decertified in itself, be made by an Act of the General Assembly. So, I say, this Amendment is unneeded and unnecessary."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Geo-Karis to close."

Geo-Karis: "I don't want to delay it any further but it's a simple statement, I don't know what's so difficult about it. It just leaves it up to the Legislature to approve of that map to cover those areas. That's all. I ask respectfully for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment



#9. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. On the question, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, may I suggest that this is a good candidate for Interim Study. If it takes 33 Amendments, somebody hasn't thought it through very well."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a perfectly reasonable Amendment. If there is any purpose at all to the Coastal Atlas, and I guess there isn't, it seems to me that we ought to have some control over what the boundaries are. If we don't know what the boundaries are....under the Act, if the General Assembly is to have the authority to approve those boundaries.....we're going to have to approve the Coastal Atlas and that's exactly what this Amendment is intended to do. This is a very reasonable Amendment and I'm very surprised, as a matter of fact, that the Sponsors of this Bill didn't see fit to accept it. It's very reasonable and very necessary."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the three tiers of the coastal zone and the coastal zone boundary itself are specified in detail in House Bill 2118 and by voting for the Bill you are approving the Coastal Atlas."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On Amendment #9 there are 20 'ayes', 78 'nays', one recorded as 'present'. The Amendment is defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #10...Keats, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by inserting immediately before the last Section an additional Section...and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Keats. Excuse me, Representative Macdonald, for what purpose do you arise?"



Macdonald: "Point of order. I don't seem...Oh wait! They just put it on my desk. I'm sorry. I didn't have the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is it ten you are referring to? Representative Keats on Amendment #10."

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, could I ask for a ruling of the Chair before I do....that? Representative Friedrich brought up a good point. Any Bill that's got 33 Amendments on it probably needs a little more work. Perhaps we should draw it out and have a few more people sit down and talk it over."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Point of order by Mrs. Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order, please. I think when we're talking about 33 Amendments, as far as I know these 33 Amendments have been generated by a combination of the three Representatives who put in the first three. Now I think we should clarify that. Maybe they have 33 Amendments but I don't think we should confuse and cloud the issue here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Chair has to tend to agree with Mrs. Macdonald. I asked when the Amendments were dropped off. They were dropped off this morning. I understand thatyou know you received Amendment #7, as well, just a day or two ago. So in all fairness, I can understand a few Amendments being offered, but the volume and the extent.....I believe it's a delaying tactic. It's the intent of the Chair to move through these Amendments as quickly as possible....Keep this Bill on Third Reading today. If you want to spend your all day, it's fine with me. In all fairness to Mrs. Reed, I think it's not the proper way to do it. But I will proceed with the Amendments as offered. If you're a Gentleman you will table the Amendment and either beat the Bill up or down, on Third Reading. Representative Porter, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"



Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me clarify something and let me tell you that it is not at all our intention to delay the Bill at all....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you very much.."

Porter: "However, the.....Amendment #7, which is now the Bill, was put on our desk on Tuesday. We immediately put into the Reference Bureau a number of Amendments..... to the Bill; as amended, because we were certain that this Amendment would be adopted. Those have just come out of the Reference Bureau. We're not exactly sure that we have to go with all of them. I'm sure that we will be able to table some of them because we have been under the time pressure. They have not yet been printed as was mentioned... A number of them have just been filed. It's my suggestion that if you want to take this out of the record until later on today, that we can go over those, get rid of a lot of them and aim ourselves just at those that we consider to be essential and important and get the Bill moved to Third Reading in a reasonable way."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Porter, may I recommend; maybe we can advance the Bill to Third Reading with the understanding it can come back to Second Reading?"

Porter: "I don't see any point to that. Why?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well you still can discuss the Amendment. Well, I'll tell you why, because then you can stop the proliferation of additional Amendments."

Porter: "I have no intention of filing any more Amendments. I don't think anyone else does, so I think we ought to remain on Second. There are Amendments filed that haven't been heard."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Keats."

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, it should be noted, the reason there is so many Amendments is the intent....opposition to this Bill, this is a Bill that has been generated completely on its



own by the bureaucracy. That's why there are a lot of Amendments from the taxpayers who will be covered by the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's not my intention to delay. All I've got is about four Amendments. You've already disposed of about two of them and all I can tell you is that each of us has a responsibility to our constituency. This Bill, the amended Bill is thirty pages long and all I'm saying is that....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Matijevich on a point of order."

Matijevich: "Point of order. The only issue before us is the Amendments and I think we'd better get to them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "Simply wanted to speak on a matter of personal privilege."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Reed: "I think that there is not all that intense an opposition to this Bill if Amendment #7 passed with 111 votes. Will you get on with your Amendmentplease...Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Chapman. Mrs. Chapman. Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I think the votes that we've seen as we voted on these Amendments showed the kind of confidence we have in the work the Sponsors of this Bill have done. I suggest that we vote the remaining Amendments on one Roll Call. I would so move."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I think it's obvious that we are facing tactics that are trying to delay action on this Bill. I suggest that we move along on an expeditious matter and either vote the Amendments up or down. I think it's dilatory tactics."



Speaker Lechowicz: "I wish we would proceed. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I personally, as a Member of this House, resent the implication that any Member of this House, and particularly Representative Porter who is one of the finer Members of this Body, is accused of delay tactics in an important piece of legislation like this. Now if we're going to talk about voting down a Bill on one Roll Call, then you keep in mind, Representative Chapman that we'll treat your Bills the same way. I haven't taken a position on this Bill. I want to hear the Amendments and this is a fine Legislator and I think we ought to deal with him fairly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. Representative Keats on Amendment #10."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to say ...the only reason for this is we're trying to avoid a railroad because to say there is no opposition to this Bill is nothing short of ludicrous. Perhaps you'd like to come out and talk to a few of the people who are affected by this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you kindly address yourself to the Amendment?"

Keats: "Just trying to sneak that in when I got the chance. Okay, Amendment #10, on with the battle. Amendment #10is this on....Amendment #10, what it saysis definitely open to question whether this Bill will be accepted for federal funding. We have had rulings from the Washington bureaucrats who'll deal with it, both pro and con the Bill, as amended, whether or not excluding Calumet River et cetera, is covered. So what this Amendment says is 'if the Federal Government says this Bill does not match their guidelines...' and after all this is just going to be federal zoning. So if the Federal Government does not accept the zoning we want. If it says, 'it is not good and acceptable this program will go out



of existence'. The reason for it being, if the Federal Government will not give us the money nobody is going to want this Bill anyway. The only reason it is up is to get federal money. So if the Federal Government refuses to accept it, the Bill is null and void. If the Federal Government does accept, then the Bill will continue on."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "...Oppose... this Amendment. It is absolutely unnecessary. We've had a commitment for funding."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All those in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Mrs. Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Just very briefly to explain my vote. We had a person from the Department of Commerce who was here ... and testified in favor of this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mrs. Macdonald. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. 19 'ayes', 89 'nays', one recorded as 'present'. The Amendment is defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #11, Campbell, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by inserting immediately before the last Section and so forth..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who is the Sponsor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Campbell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Campbell?... Representative Keats moves to table Amendment #11. Is there leave? Hearing no objection the Amendment #11 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12, Keats, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by deleting Section... Subsection 7 of Section 4 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Keats."

Keats: "All this Amendment does is clarify the Bill. It specifically says what the Bill will do. To plan and manage for the preservation, protection and enhancement



of the coastal zone and for the use of the coastal waters'.
What it says is that this Bill deals with the coastal zone and with nothing else. It's a plain and simple Amendment. It just puts a limit on the Bill that is lacking right now. That's all."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Reed on the Amendment."

Reed: "I think that Representative Keats would be defeated in his incensed district if he went with this Amendment. It's out of context. As it stands now the Department will only plan for public trust in the lake area. This Amendment would expand the coastal zone to include the state in the total coastal zone."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed The Amendment is defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #13, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by inserting immediately before the last Section, an additional Section and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "I ask leave to table that Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to table that Amendment. Is there any objection? Hearing none, the Amendment is tabled."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by inserting at the end of Section 5, the following.....and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "I ask leave to table Amendment 14."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman ask leave...is there any objection? Hearing none, Amendment #14 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #15, Keats, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by inserting immediately before the last Section an additional Session and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Keats."



Keats: "Mr. Speaker, I would gladly table this if you would let me make one comment for when my name was mentioned. Is that a fair trade?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to table the Amendment, Is there any objection? Leave being granted. The Amendment is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #16, Geo-Karis, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by deleting Subsection 5 of Section 4 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm going to rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your point?"

Geo-Karis: "There's absolutely no ...there's no animosity toward the Sponsors of the Bill, they happen to be my friends. However, some of us have taken a position because we feel justified. I'm going to ask leave to table this Amendment. ...No sense going on with this charade.....because you people don't live in a coast-line area and those of us who are protesting it do. I'll tell you this sincerely, we'll bring it up in Third Reading. We're not going to delay it any more. I ask leave to table #16."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady asks leave to table Amendment #16. Is there any objection? Hearing none, Amendment #16 is tabled. Any other Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #17, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by inserting immediately before the last Section an additional Section and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter."

Porter: ".....Move to table that Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to table Amendment #17. Any objection? Hearing none, Amendment #17 is tabled."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #18, Campbell, amends House Bill 2118,



as amended, by adding at the end of Section 6.2, the followingand so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Campbell. Representative Keats.."

Keats: "Please table it if Representative Campbell is not here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, Amendment #18 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #19, Keats, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by deleting the last sentence of Subsection 4 of Section 5."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Keats."

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, at this time I want to make a comment....a plain and simple point. Previously I was attacked. If Representative Reed would like to come into my district and find out whether they are incensed on the Bill. My ..major papers are opposed to it, my towns are opposed to it, the only group in my area favoring the Bill is being paid to favor it. So I think the area...my area in question is about 90 percent opposed to the Bill. If my district were this incensed about the Bill, I wouldn't have the massive support I've been getting and you know as well as I do this Bill is as strongly opposed as any Bill you have ever seen."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the motion on the Amendment?"

Keats: "Table."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to table Amendment #19. Is there any objection? The Amendment is Tabled. Representative Davis, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Davis, J.: "Point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker. There is 14 more Amendments coming up on this Bill. Are they all to be tabled or is there any....can we take them out of context or....can we do it in a group, to save time? What's going on here?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "According to the Clerk, I guess we gotta take them one at a time. Any further Amendments? "



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #20, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "Well, I would hope that this Amendment might be acceptable to the proponents of the Bill. It simply says that the rights of the Attorney General, to enforce the Act, are exclusive as contained therein. I would move for its adoption. It's very simple."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Deuster, on a point of order."

Deuster: "I don't seem to have a copy of this Amendment. Have they been distributed?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, they have."

Deuster: "Did the Clerk advise that they have all been distributed?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Up to twenty-three, I guess. I think you made your point on twenty-four."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'll make a ruling. Is there any discussion on Amendment #20. Representative Porter moves to adopt. Representative Williams."

Williams: "I would move to oppose this Amendment. This certainly takes out of this thing exactly what we're trying to do. That is to give, at the local...local control. This says the Attorney General does. I would certainly oppose this one.....wholeheartedly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "I just wanted to comment, Mr. Porter apparently felt strongly that this was a serious and important Amendment and yet he never told us why the Amendment ought to be adopted. I'm trying to vote on them on their merits and it just happens that I think the merits are with the proponents....that Mr. Porter ought to explain why it's needed if it's needed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter moves the adoption of Amendment #20. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposedThe Amendment is defeated."



Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 21, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, in the second sentence of Subsection 3, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "I would move to table Amendment #21."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to table Amendment #21. Is there any objection? Hearing none, the Amendment is tabled."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #22, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by adding at the end of Section 12, the following, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "I would move to table that Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment.... Any objection? Hearing none. The Amendment is defeated. Tabled."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 23, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended by inserting immediately before the last sentencean additional Section and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter on the Amendment."

Porter: "I would move to table that Amendment. I would like to apologize to the Members of the House....but this has been....I have to tell you, this has been a very difficult kind of situation because the Bill was substantially changed by Amendment #7. These Amendments just got to ...into our hands ...just five minutes ago really. I'm sure these Amendments have not been distributed in accordance with the House rule. Nevertheless I'm asking them...this one to be tabled. When we get to one that is extremely important, then I will ask if it has been distributed according to the rules."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir. The Gentleman asks leave to have Amendment #23 tabled. Is there any objection? Hearing none, the Amendment is tabled. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #24, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, in Section 8, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Clerk, has that Amendment been distributed? The Clerk informs me that Amendment 24 through 33 have not been distributed. I would ask that the people that are strongly concerned about this measure let the Lady advance the Bill to Third Reading, and in turn, with the assurance of the Sponsor, to bring it back after you sit down and maybe work something out. We'll bring it back to Second, maybe next week,..... well, what we going to find out?And discuss those Amendments at that time. Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, I am reluctant to impose on the House, however, because of the time element and Third Reading, next week, because of the time that has gone into this Bill. Yes, there have been changes made, however, we really addressed only five or six areas that really are of concern. My opponents, I think, knew very good and well ...as soon as I knew ...the changes that were going to be made. I am very reluctant to move this to Third in an effort to work it out. We are, without doubt, at an impass. I doubt that over the weekend would make.....make any change, Mr. Speaker, although I appreciate your advice."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, I would just like to echo the comments of the principal Cosponsor. Like I say, this has been in the works for a long time. Now if we went to Third, I would want to make a promise that it would be brought back to Second and thereby take the chance that perhaps this Bill would not get out by May 21."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Williams, let me point out to you that as long as the Bill remains on Second Reading you can offer additional Amendments. It's a protection for Mrs. Reed.....and your position...but..."



Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "I have seen the error of my ways. I will go the route the Chair suggests."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. Is there any objection? Representative Deuster, he objects."

Deuster: "The reason I object is there's nothing magic about going to Third Reading. We've got a Calendar with Bills on Second Reading and Third Reading. Frankly I happen to represent a district affected by this, too. I wish I had had time to examine this carefully. I am going to take it home and look it over and I would not want to agree here and now . . . myself, that there's just one Amendment that this 30 page Amendment needs.

I would think that there's nothing unreasonable about suggesting that it be held on Second Reading at the risk . . . I'm sure that if there were a lot of dilatory and silly Amendments offered that the House would beat them off easily but certainly this is such a major subject I would like to see it held on Second Reading or at least an agreement by the Sponsor to take it back for such Amendments as . . . as interested Members along the area might want to . . . offer . . ."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter, I don't want to . . . there are now thirty-four total Amendments filed with the Clerk. I'm just stating that . . . the facts of life. I believe that the Lady will try to sit down and work it out. She's agreed to bring it back to Second Reading. What Mrs. Reed said, that's good enough for me. Representative Williams, do you agree with that? Williams?"

Williams: "Yes, I would concur with that . . . with the Sponsor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Do I understand then, if you go ahead and put it in Third Reading, that the Sponsor has agreed to bring it back to Second Reading for purposes of Amendments?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct."



Geo-Karis: "Is that....is that all right with you.....?"

Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "Now.....it's my understanding, let's get this absolutely straight so we don't have anythingnot understood."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Right."

Porter: "This Bill will go to Third ...today?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Correct."

Porter: "It would come back to Second....at the next opportunity."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Next week..."

Porter: "Any Amendments that have been filed up to the time.. it would go to that order, including the ones after today...will be heard then? Is that correct?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, I believe that that's the whole purpose. You've got up to thirty-four Amendments now."

Porter: "Any maybe more..." Those will be heard also, is that correct."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I can't assure that. Once it's on Third you can't amend it..."

Porter: "Well, it's either that or we're going to have to take it out of the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I would argue that what you are doing is not time consuming because you are going to have the whole weekend that more Amendments are going to be prepared. When you get it back to Second they're in order. There's some now that aren't printed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yeah, I know."

Matijevich: "You could.....they're not in order because they are not printed. You can move this Bill a lot quicker to Third Reading by just keep on going....but I'll go along and do whatever you want to do but you are not going to save time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Ewing."



Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, it would seem to me that the continued filing of additional Amendments is very dilatory and that these Sponsors have a right to go ahead with their Bill. The fact that the people have a grinding mill putting out new Amendments all the time seems a little out of context. I think we should give them the right to move ahead with this. We are facing a time limitation. Everybody knows that and we don't need to try and kill the Sponsor's Bill in that method."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further Amendments? Representative Davis:"

Davis, J.: "Yes, I'd like to.....as long as we're getting the rules clear on what procedure will be handled on Second Reading when the Bill comes back. I think I'm speaking for Representative Reed because she is reluctant to do it but I'm going to do it. I'd like a ruling from the Chair or at least an agreement from the Chair that this Bill will not suffer the loss of the time date-line of May 21. That regardless of how many Amendments are filed and heard on 2118, when it comes back to Second, that this Bill will get a hearing on Third Reading before the May 21 deadline."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I couldn't guarantee that, Jack, I'm sorry. Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker, the other day when Speaker Redmond was in the Chair and we discussed about the time consuming process that we were trying to go through here, he made a statement out of that Chair that the Amendments that weren't prepared and ready and distributed to everybody when a Bill was called were going to be ruled untimely. Now this Bill has been on Second Reading since April 30. It had nine Amendments this morning and now we've got thirty...some... Now if this isn't...shouldn't be ruled untimely, I don't know what should. This is way out of order. I think the Sponsor of this Bill should



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

have an opportunity to have this Bill heard without Amendments that wasn't filed today."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Reed, what would you like to do? Representative Williams."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, I think I have only one option. Take it out of the record for the time being."

Unknown: "Wait....wait....No.....No....No...."

Reed: "No?"

Williams: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address that. Since the ruling of the Chair just came down that there would be no guarantee that this Bill then would.....be heard. I would move at this time that we proceed at this point right now with these Amendments. As I said before, I would not guarantee that I would be in favor of bringing this back to Second, once it's on Third. We're here today to do the business and I'd like to go on with the business and I'd like to move on with these Amendments right now."

Speaker Lechowicz: "May I point out to you that none of these Amendments have been printed."

Williams: "Well, that's not our fault."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I think, because of the Speaker's timely warning over a week ago that I would move that every Amendmentafter Amendment 9, lay on the table."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let me point out to you that what Representative Mudd pointed out to the floor, is absolutely correct....Representative Redmond, as Speaker of the House, ruled that if the Amendments were not here in the proper time, that it would be untimelyIt's the intent of the Chair now to call the Amendments, in order as filed and make a ruling accordingly. Amendment 24. Representative Matijevich, point of order."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, the only way now that you could hold



this Bill on Second Reading is under the rules....by leave of the House. I know there'll be objections to that." There are nothere are no Amendments on file written and distributedso it's gotta go to Third and she doesn't have to make any agreement to send it back."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Evidentially we're at an impass here and we've got an .. issue that two sides are very concerned about. I would recommend at this time and hope the Sponsors of the Bill would go along with thisthat they take it out of the record. They get the negotiations done over the weekend and if they can't come to grips with their problem then they bring it back out here next week and do what we have to do with the Bill. But I think in all fairness to everybody involved here that's the way it should be handled."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's up to the Sponsor. Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. With all deference to our good Minority Leader, I'd like to say if there are any problems, now this Bill will be on Third....you vote it up or down. Actually the Bill still has to go to the Senate and I think there'll be ample time there....if there are any changes that want to be made....You'll have the whole process there. I'd like to move this to Third and let's get on."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's a motion on the floor to advance this Bill to Third Reading....Representative Pierce."

Pierce: ".....Motion is another example of the type of irresponsible action we're taking against Members of this House. This week I wasn't given leave without a fight to bring my own Bill back to Second. Now he is trying to table Amendments that Members have not had a chance to get printed because the other Amendment wasn't



even admitted. It's not in writing. It's forcing a Bill through here....it's forcing a Bill through here that Members want to put Amendments on that doesn't effect his district. It's a kind of discourtesy to Members that we're falling into too easily and especially that some of the newer Members are falling into...very very easily ...when they have the votes, then they stomp on the other side and don't pay any attention to their rights. These people have Amendments, let them present the Amendments. We had a complete rewriting of the Bill adopted today on Second Reading. A complete rewriting of everything after the Enacting Clause. I think these Gentlemen should be allowed to present their Amendments and I think that Mrs. Reed agreed to take it out of the record, if I heard right, and I think that the Minority Leader backed her up on that and I think we should back it up instead of trying to force things through herein discourtesy to other Members."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Williams, what would you like to do?"

Williams: "I'd like to point out that Rule 22 (d) says that if the Amendments have not been printed that the Bill shall move to Third Reading. Now there's no promise, as far as I'm concerned, we're going to bring it back to Second. But the Rule 22 (d) clearly states and I think we ought to abide by the rules of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we can settle this very quickly. As I understand Representative Porter, he is willing to go with the Amendments that already have been written. He will not present any more over the weekend. I think that under that basis, if the Sponsors and the opponents would agree we could move this Bill on to Third Reading. I think the Sponsor would agree to bring it back to consider only those Amendments that have been handed in at this time.



No new Amendments. How many do we have, Ted?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thirty-four. May I point out to you that Amendments 10 through 34 were filed from ten o'clock this morning on..."

McMaster: "Yes. And I think that I would suggest ...Gentleman is out of order...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed.... He will...."

McMaster: "I would suggest that with 34 Amendments that have already been handed in, if it is agreeable to the Sponsor....Sponsors of the Bill and to the opponents then I would say let's move it to Third, bring it back next week for those Amendments that have already been presented ...with no new Amendments to be presented between now and then. I would suggest that and so move, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Deuster doesn't agree with that."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, some of us have districts and people and property owners involved. Now if the Sponsors of this Bill, which they have given careful thought to, want to railroad it through, and inspire some real response, a motion to strike the Enacting Clause or something like that, they can rush forward. But I don't think there is anything unreasonable about letting some of us who haven't had time to read this whole Billread it over and I might come up with an Amendment and I might not. But there's no reason it can't be held on Second Reading and taken out of the record as I think the more reserved reason minds are suggesting right now..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...Good...Representative Hart."

Hart: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like an inquiry of the Chair..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Hart: "If the Amendments haven't been circulated, can they be considered at this time...without leave of the House?"



Speaker Lechowicz: (unintelligible, mechanical failure.)

Hart: "Does Rule 22 provide then that if the Bill is called on Second Reading can it be held on Second without leave of the House? Rule 22 provides that the Bill shall be advanced to Third Reading unless leave of the House is given. Is that correct?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct."

Hart: "All right, let's go by the rules which we all adopted and get this Bill out of this Third Reading and go on to another business."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let's go. Twenty-four."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 24, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, in Section 8 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, it's not. Not printed, right? It's untimely."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendment is not printed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there objection to hearing it? Objections have been heard. Next Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 25, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, in Section 7.3 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is it printed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendment is not printed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there objections to hearing it? Objections have been heard. Next Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #26, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by adding at the end of Section 7.5, the following, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Has it been printed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendment has not been printed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there leave to hear it? Objections. Next Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #27, Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by adding at the end of Section 9, the following, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Has it been printed?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendment has not been printed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there leave to hear it?" Objections.
Next Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #28, Porter, amends House Bill 2118,
as amended, in Section 10, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter, for what purpose
do you seek recognition?"

Porter: "Point of order, Mr.Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes."

Porter: "It seems to me that in this way, these Amendments simply
are not going to be heard even though they have been
filedtimely filed.....with the House. Now it
seems to me that if the Sponsors of a Bill want to go
through this unfair procedure, where they get their
Amendment adopted and heard and argued for about twenty
minutes and that no other Amendments can be heard by the
House fine. We can use the same kinds of tactics. I
don't like those kinds of tactics myself. I think they
demean the House. I don't know why we can't have this
Bill held for an hour or so until those Amendments can
be printed and come back if you want to do that. I'm
happy to do it, but it seems to me that basic fairness
requires a hearing be given to these Amendments. The
last four of them I didn't object to because I would have
tabled them anyway, but there is a few I would like to
be heard and Amendment 28 is one of them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Houlihan. Dan."

Houlihan, Dan: "Mr. Speaker, the Chair is proceeding according
to the rules of this House. Mr. Porter can call these
tactics or whatever but these are the rules of the House.
We're wasting time. Would you please proceed and let's
get on with the business of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 28, Porter, amends House Bill 2118,
as amended in Section 10."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Has the Amendment been printed?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendment has not been printed." 100.

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there leave to hear the Amendment?
Objections have been raised. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 29, Porter, amends House Bill 2118,
as amended, by adding at the end of Section 6.7, the
following, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Has the Amendment been printed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, the Amendment has not been printed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there leave to hear the Amendment?
Objections. Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, I apologize. I'm a housewife from
Deerfield who has gone through a lot of machinations on
this Bill but whether I am a housewife or a Legislator,
fairness has to prevail. In consultation with my two
Chief Sponsors, will take it out of the record for a matter
of a couple of hours. I would like the assurance, if
I may have it, from the Chair that we can get back to
2118 in the course of this Legislative day. I apologize
for all of this confusion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll try."

Reed: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 2180. Representative Stearney.
Yeah! Hold that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill
2180, Representative Stearney."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 21... What number did he call?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "...2180, Jack."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2180, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure, Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Stearney, amends House Bill 2180,
by deleting line 11 to 16, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Stearney on Amendment #1."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #1 would merely clarify the intent of the Bill
as passed by House Judiciary II Committee. The Bill



provides that any individual who has been granted immunity after refusing to testify before the Grand Jury shall not be incarcerated for a period of longer than six months. The Amendment would clarify that and state that the individual may not be brought back before that same Grand Jury or a different Grand Jury and asked substantially the same questions and then be incarcerated for another six months or a year period. It's meant to make the language unambiguous and clear. The intent of the Bill now, mind you, is to put teeth back into the Fifth Amendment that has been severely emasculated by the courts over the last twenty or twenty-five years. I ask for a favorable Roll Call on this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion on the Amendment? All those in favor of the Amendment #1 signify by saying 'aye'. All those opposedAmendment #1 is adopted. Third Reading.Ah! I'm sorry. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Stearney, amends House Bill 2180 on page one, line one, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment to the Bill would provide that any individual who is subpoenaed to testify before the Grand Jury may be accompanied by counsel. Last Session this General Assembly passed into law a Bill providing that an individual who is the defendant in the proceedings or a target witness would be allowed to be accompanied by counsel. The problem with that is that the individual does not know that he is a target witness and certainly the government is not going to apprise him of that fact. So that they may bring him before the Grand Jury without counsel. This Bill provides....the Amendment provides that any individual subpoenaed shall have the right to be accompanied by counsel before that Grand Jury, the same as the named in.. defendant or the target witness. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion on the Amendment? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'....in the adoption of the Amendment..All those opposed....Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2248, Representative Telcser."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2248, a Bill for an Act relating to state financial assistance in connection with catastrophic illness, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions. Floor Amendment #2, Mudd, amends House Bill 2248, as amended, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Mudd on the Amendment. Representative Mudd moves to table Amendment #2. Is there any objection? Hearing none, Amendment #2 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Pullen-Telcser, amends House Bill 2248, as amended by House Amendment #1 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Pullen on Amendment #3."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment tightens up the definition of uninsurables so that it would be sure to include only those persons that this House would intend to include in the Legislation. It has the agreement and Cosponsorship of the Chief Sponsor of the Bill. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objection? Any discussion? Hearing no discussion. The Lady moves the adoption of Amendment #3. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed.....Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Telcser, amends House Bill 2248, as amended by House Amendment #1, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Telcser. Amendment #4."



Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #4... expands the coverage of this Bill to include that segment of the population who have some sort of group insurance policy with minimal coverage, but are still considered uninsurable to obtain other major medical because of a prior illness. This Amendment covers that population which we are looking to come from the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #4. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'. All those opposed....Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Telcser, amends House Bill 2248, as amended by House Amendment #1 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #5broadens a little more the definition of uninsurable to include more diseases. The way the Bill was originally written an uninsurable individual would be one who was turned down forwe had listed three diseases....we're adding a couple....other diseases such as arthritis or stroke ...for diseases which could be considered deniable reasons for someone to be considered uninsurable and therefore qualify for the program."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #5. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'. Those opposed.....Amendment #5 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Telcser, amends House Bill 2248, as amended by House Amendment #1, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, when this Bill was first introduced, Representative Peters and I and others who were interested, were looking around to find a source of revenue to fund a program which this Bill is really all about. Our first inclination was, and I frankly



admit it is still my personal feeling that one of the revenue sources which we ought to use to obtain funding for this would be a tax on tobacco and alcohol. It seems to me that there is some relation, as remote as it may seem to some, to illness and the use of alcohol and tobacco. Those two sources not only would have been logical and are logical in my mind, but are really good sources of revenue. They raise a lot of money. But as we saw the Session progress, we could see the opposition building up to an increase in tobacco tax...for reasons totally unrelated to what we're trying to do in this Bill. The same holds true for an alcohol tax. In fact, just yesterday, a Bill went down, I believe, because the Members just wouldn't go for an increased alcohol tax. So Amendment #6 takes out those two sources of funding and increases the surcharge on the existing funding mechanism of this legislation. Our funding would then be an add-on surchargeI think....up to three dollars per person, per policy, for health insurance and I move its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there discussion? Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, D.: "I have a question, Mr. Sponsor, if he'll give.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he'll yield."

Houlihan, D.: "Would the elimination, by this Amendment, of the alcohol and cigarette tax.....do I understand you correctly then that the source of funding would be a surcharge on every health insurance policy in the state? What's the anticipated amount of the revenue as a result of that?"

Telcser: "All right. The effective date of the Bill would be October of this year. We have about a year lead-in time to start accumulating money. The surcharge which we have in Amendment #6, for the first fifteen months.....which would be the time lapsed between the first time when



people could make application to the fund and when the Act becomes effective, we would generate some thirty-five million dollars....in monies. Up to three....It'll generate....By the time the program starts, when people can make application, we'd have thirty-five million dollars in the till."

Houlihan, D.: "May I ask one further question? This refers then to every health insurance policy? This include health insurance policy....on children?"

Telcser: "We're asking for a surcharge for every health insurance policy written in the state."

Houlihan, D. "Three dollars per policy for everyone?"

Telcser: "Right. Here's the logic. Again there's some.... It's hard to find a revenue source. This is always a problem...but it's in the added insurance policy because anyone in this state could someday be the individual who would be coming to this fund for help. That's an easy tax to administer. There's no bit of law enforcement at the retail level. There's no questions like the tobacco tax, as much as I like it....on bootlegging and what have you. It's a simple, easy, add-on tax...easy to collect, easy to enforce, it's just clean."

Houlihan, D.: "Well, how does the Department of Public Health. how does it become aware of....how many health insurance policies there are in this state and whose names they are and who has the responsibility?"

Telcser: "Through the Department of Insurance."

Houlihan, D.: "Is that called for also....in your Amendment?"
I didn't see the Department of Insurance..."

Telcser: "....Doesn't say that in the Amendment. We've left a great deal of leeway to the Departments to work out rules and regulations."

Houlihan, D.: "What about, Art, the state employee....er...the State of Illinois, for Public Health Insurance on employees of the state. They also then would be subject to this."



Telcser: "Yes. We in the Assembly here would have to pay an extra three dollars a year.....on our policies. We have a group insurance policy....health insurance policy. But someday, Dan, you or I, God forbid, could have a disease which would make us uninsurable if we wanted a major medical. As you know, we have and using ourselves is not really a good example, because our policy, the contract Blue Cross has with the state, is probably the best one in the United States of America. But someone else who has a Group Blue Cross Plan, they could still become uninsurable for major medical, so it could benefit them also and us.....although we may never need it because of the uniqueness of our own contract."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion on this terrible Amendment? Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's unfortunate that Representative Telcser and I did not have the opportunity to discuss this Bill before. The Bill was not exactly perfect prior to this Amendment. This Amendment will, I'm afraid, totally destroy the Bill. I had intended to discuss it with him and it's obviously my fault. We have at least six Bills in the Interim Study Calendar, studying this very problem of health care. You've heard this same argument from me before. I think ...the three dollars additional surcharge on the policy would not only reduce the amount of policies available, but knowing insurance companies as well as I do, no one would be charged three dollars, it would be three additional dollars for administration. So your policy would now be approximately ten dollars higher by the time....see, actuaries don't add, three and three; they go three times three times ten and therefore I would suggest that my beloved colleague might be well advised to pull this out of the record so that I can bash his head in..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Chapman."



Chapman: "Mr. Telcser..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman indicates that he'll yield."

Chapman: "Apparently you anticipate an annual revenue of between twenty-four and twenty-seven million?"

Telcser: "Right."

Chapman: "Does the fiscal note.....maybe this would be a good time to ask about it....indicate that this would be a sufficient amount of revenue to cover the cost of the program?"

Telcser: "The fiscal note from the Department of Public Health estimate the annual cost of forty-four point eight million dollars, but I want you to bear in mind, it's twenty-four million annually but we have a fifteen month lee time when....the estimate is that when this program would begin, when the first applicant can make application to receive funds, there should be thirty-five million dollars, according to our estimates, ready to go against the forty-four. By the time the fiscal year ends then we will have well into forty-five....six million dollars available for disbursements if enough people make application to the fund. It'd be close but I think we'd cover it."

Chapman: "Further question, Mr. Telcser. Down the road, how do you anticipate that this would be funded then when you wouldn't have this period of additional months revenue?"

Telcser: "That's a good question, Representative. Down the road, we have to see how the program works, where it goes, how it's going and we will probably have to look for new sources of revenue if the program is one which the public accepts and if it's one which is trying to accomplish what we're attempting to do. I said that in the Committee. This is a new idea, it's an innovation, there is not a lot of experience regarding this type of program in Illinois and I frankly admit it is something new. It's a different step in a new area which we've never done before..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further questions?"



Telcser: "...but we have enough funds to give it a start... then take a second look at perhaps new funding."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "One last question. Mr. Telcser, apparently Amendment #2 calls for a maximum two dollar surcharge on policies, but this appears to call for an up to three dollar surcharge per individual insured and so there's quite a bit of difference between a per person surcharge and a per policy surcharge.....and I wondered about the equity of this change?"

Telcser: "We went that route, Representative Peters and I, went that way for the reasons I stated when I discussed the Amendment. We felt we had to start looking for money. Where do we raise the money to put into the fund? Once we made the decision that the tobacco and alcohol tax were just not going to go anywhere and we just had enforcement problems we had to think....where else could we go. This is where we wound up.....to come up to a reasonable level of dollars as indicated in the fiscal note and still wind up with a clean straight-on tax."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Willer."

Willer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Willer: "Representative Telcser, I don't have the Amendment but I gather I understand correctly, you are dropping the tax on cigarettes with this Amendment."

Telcser: "I am reluctantly dropping it because....yes."

Willer: "Well....I just want to raise my strong protest against this. I commended you for this Bill, the ingenious part of it was the tax on tobacco. It seems to me every time the tobacco industry says 'no', legislative bodies from Congress on down to state Legislatures cave in. Now we smokers are causing the....are part of the causepart of the cause of the spiraling cost of sophisticated techniques to fight the very illnesses caused by smoking...."



from cancer to emphysema, I smoke two packages a day and shame on me, if I want to kill myself I guess it's my business. But I am adding to the cost of everybody because of my habit and I think it's a shame you don't keep it in. I don't care what the tobacco industry says about it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The previous question has been moved."

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Those opposed
....Representative Telcser to close."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in response to the last speaker; if the Assembly wants to keep the alcohol and tobacco tax on the Bill that's fine. I assure you that I did not...Representative Peters and I...did not alter the funding because we have a concern with the tobacco and alcohol industry. I have a concern with getting votes here on the floor. If you want to...we'll take a Roll Call vote on Amendment #6 and if we beat it, that's fine. I simply went this route to try and get the Bill passed. Obviously Amendment #6 had some opposition. Mr. Speaker, I hope we have a Roll Call vote on it. Whatever the voice of the Assembly is that's fine with me as long as the concept is preserved and perhaps we can get enough votes to pass the Bill on Third Reading. That's what I'm looking for, the concept of catastrophic insurance for people who want to have help."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #6. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Representative Matijevich to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Matijevich: "I just wanted to point to the assemblage, because we left in chaos last night and that's why he was saying the Assignment Committee had done something wrong. This Bill, before this Amendment was being considered, didn't



go to Revenue Committee either. So I just wanted^{110.}
you to take note, when we came back to Houlihan's Bill
sometime or another."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point is well taken. Have all voted
who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take
the record. On this question there are 17 'ayes', 67
'nays', one 'present'. The Amendment is defeated. Any
further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Telcser, amends House Bill 2248,
as amended by House Amendment #1 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #7
is an Amendment which strengthens the data which the
individual who makes application must provide to the
Department of Public Health to see if that individual
meets the financial requirements that are in the Bill for
the individuals to apply for the programs benefit. The
Amendment says that the individual must provide a financial
statement to Public Health and other pertinent data so
that Public Health could ascertain if that individual
qualifies for the program."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the
adoption of Amendment #7. All those in favor will signify
by saying 'aye'.....All those opposed.....The 'ayes' have
it. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Telcser, amends House Bill 2248
as amended by House Amendment #1, and so forth."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #8
provides that we appropriate the money from the Solvency
Fund to the Department of Public Health. We, the General
Assembly does that..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Discussion? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Sir. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Matijevich: "Representative Telcser, you said, 'we shall
appropriate', but I didn't hear you say 'we shall



appropriate 'X' number of dollars'. How much are we speaking of?"

Telcser: "Well we will make that decision every year. The money we collect will go into the Solvency Fund and instead of Public Health just being able to take the money out as they wish, we, the General Assembly would determine how much we want to give them every year out of that fund.

Matijevich: "Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? Hearing none, Representative Telcser moves the adoption of Amendment #8. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. All those opposedAmendment #8 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Telcser, amends House Bill 2248 as amended by House Amendment #1 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #9 provides that benefits can be paid for some outpatients and physician costs when the individual may not be in the hospital and for medication costs. It was pointed out to me by Public Health that many of these kinds of programs or health insurance policies generally sometimes induce people to stay in hospitals when they really can be treated at home as outpatients, particularly in somein some terminal diseases. So Amendment #9 simply says that this program can pay for those kinds of needs. I move its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Representative Darrow. The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Darrow: "No, I don't have anyMr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #9 be adopted? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed.....Amendment #9 is adopted. Third Reading. House Bill 2315, Representative Terzich.Request of



the Sponsor...it's out of the record. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, we'll go to Third Reading, Short Debate, which is located on page 19 of the Calendar. I'm sorry. All right. With leave of the House we'll...we'll ring the bell a couple of more times and then maybe we'll get a couple more people here. We've got two requests to go from Third Reading to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. House Bill 485, Representative Porter. Is he here? All right, we'll get to...would you kindly get him and then we'll go to Representative Schneider, he was here. House Bill 1240. It's on Third Reading, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Schneider: "I'd like leave....Thank you for the courtesy, Mr. Speaker. I would like leave to bring House Bill 1240 back for the purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, House Bill 1240 moves from Third Reading to Second for the purpose of an Amendment."

Schneider: "I think, Mr. Clerk, I have before you a motion to table Amendments 1, 2 and 3 which were adopted....wait a second, which were proposed...I believe...."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's a motion filed to table Amendments 1, 2 and 3. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman have leave? Amendments #1, 2 and 3 are tabled."

Schneider: "Thank you. Now, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 incorporates 1, 2 and 3. Representative Hoffman and I are the Chief Cosponsors of the Amendment and I would ask him to address the Body on Amendment #4."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #4. Representative Hoffman..."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to make a few brief comments about Amendment #4 to House Bill 1240. This particular piece of legislation amends the School Construction Bond Act. One of the things that we did by



the fourth Amendment was to eliminate the increase of ten million dollars in the bonding authority. So that what we did was we struck the four hundred and thirty million dollars and went back to the four hundred and twenty million that was in the Bill. The other changes were included in Amendments 1, 2 and 3 and I would just mention them briefly. What we're doing with Amendment #4 is providing a way of putting all of the special ed capital construction, which by the way there is very little now, we've had two starts this year and there may be a third start, into the Capital Development Program so that it will be treated the same way as other capital construction is treated. One other provision in ... the Amendment to House Bill 1240, it provides that all school districts will be treated exactly the same and that is that there will be an eight year limitation on their ability to receive grants from the state. The state matches grants that are raised by local taxation. And in all school districts with the exception of the City of Chicago School District, we have an eight year limitation. What this Amendment does is it puts in the same limitation for Chicago and the taxpayers in the City of Chicago, as there are in all of the other ... school districts in the State of Illinois. This Amendment has been worked out by Representative Schneider and myself with consultation with the Bureau of the Budget and the Illinois Office of Education and I would appreciate the adoption of Amendment 4."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I think Representative Hoffman's close was interesting. It was worked out with everybody else but the place that it effects greatest and that's the City of Chicago. He's placing a limitation of an ..eight year limitation on Chicago because it says it's placed elsewhere. But this program is a matching funds program from the local area school districts in the state and it's a reimbursement program on top of it. What it means



is Chicago has already come up with their matching fund for this year and this will eliminate them getting their grant from the state. It might have been discussed with the Illinois Office of Education but from any information I can garner from them they did not necessarily come out and support his proposal. I think it dramatically hurts, retroactively, the people in the City of Chicago and I urge your strong opposition to this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if I understood you correctly you were taking House Bills on Third Reading and moving them back to Second for the purpose of an Amendment? I put in a request some time ago for the same purpose, Mr. Speaker. If I understood what you said. It's House Bill 2241, the Amendment is noncontroversial. I talked to Representative Madigan about it several hours ago and ..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll get to you."

McBroom: "All right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who wants to close? Representative Schneider. Representative Schneider to close."

Schneider: "Thank you again, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. One of the things that was overlooked in the comments from the distinguished Gentleman from Cook, was simply that every other district in the state levies the tax against which the state then matches a thousand dollars per professional employee in that district. Nowhere is there provision for them to levy their tax. The legislation is fuzzy ...and we, as districts throughout the state, are doing our effort locally and very visibly and we think that that is a fair manner. I think we do our best work sometimes as a body when we talk about what's equitable and what's fair and it seems to me that this is fair to everyone if we include every district in an eight year limitation. No one is going to lose their money. The appropriation for these figures cannot be made available until the following



year and I certainly would be willing to sponsor the increased authorization for the exact amount of dollars for all of the districts effected. So everyone is going to get their money. All we're asking is equity and fairness throughout the state and also to eventually place the program in a more rational kind of a process rather than leaving it based on such things as professional employees and other nonrelated matters for funding. I would ask the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All those in favor of Amendment #4 signify by saying 'aye'. All those opposed....."

Unknown: "Roll Call....Roll Call.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentlemen requests a Roll Call. All those in favor of Amendment #4....signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'nay'. Representative Brady to explain his vote..... Representative Brady to explain his vote."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker....and fellow Members. My name was brought up....I believe in closing on this and I'd like to say that once again the City of Chicago, County of Cook are effected greatly by this. We're not even considered or apprised of this before this Amendment was offered. I'm very glad that the Representative would like to continue to go through with the process of debate and see what's fair and equitable ...as he says, for the entire state. I think it unusual that this Bill came through the Committee, of which he's the Chairman of, and this was never discussed in the Committee of which I'm a member of. I think it's an unfortunate move to try and do something retroactively, against a group of people without giving them a chance to voice their opinion on it. I'm strongly opposed to it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 48 'ayes', 63 'nays', 3 recorded as 'present'. The Amendment is defeated. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2249. The Gentleman requests to move that Bill back from Third to Second. Is there objection? Hearing none, House Bill 2249Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Hart, amends House Bill 2249, as amended, in Section 4 (a), and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Hart on Amendment #4."

Hart: "Thank you very much. This Amendment just straightens out some provisions providing for election of the trustees and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Hearing none, Representative Hart moves the adoption of Amendment #4. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed..... Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Hart, amends House Bill 2249..."

Hart: "This Amendment just straightens out a little of the language. It was suggested by the Reference Bureau...to clean up some language and I move for the adoption...of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #5 to 2249. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed... Amendment #5 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2241, Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Mr. Speaker, before I make any brief comments about House Bill 2241, I want to apologize to you and Representative Schneider and whoever else was engaged in debate, Mr. Speaker. I thought the remarks were concluded or I would not have interrupted."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to return House Bill 2241 from Third to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. Is there any objection? Hearing none...House Bill 2241 is v....Second Reading."



McBroom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the Clerk has the Amendment. It provides that the nonvoting member, which is the genesis of the Bill...the Illinois Liquor Commission, shall be a holder of a license issued by the Illinois Liquor Control Commission. I don't believe the Amendment is controversial and I move its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, where does this Bill appear on the Calendar?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentlemen tell me it's on Third Reading."

Madigan: "Third Reading, full debate?"

McBroom: ".....No, it's on the Consent Calendar, Representative Madigan and it's on page 30."

Madigan: "Would Mr. McBroom explain what the Bill does and what the Amendment does?"

McBroom: "The Bill puts a...the original Bill, Representative Madigan, puts a nonvoting member on the ...it added a member to the Illinois Liquor Control Commission. The.... at the request of several individuals including a couple of the Members, they asked if I would be receptive to this nonvoting member being a holder of a liquor license and that's what the Amendment does."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? Representative McBroom moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2241. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. All those opposed.... Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments. Third Reading. House Bill 1946. Excuse me. Representative Sandquist, for what purpose do you seek recognition? State your point, Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Do I understand now that this Bill will no longer be on the Consent Calendar, it was brought back for an Amendment so it'll be on debate."



Speaker Lechowicz: "No. The Consent Calendar also contains Bills that have been amended."

Sandquist: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk informs me that when an Amendment is adopted, on the floor, it moves from the Consent Calendar and it goes back on the order of Third Reading, full debate. House Bill 1946.No, it went to Third Reading. It was adopted in Third Reading. The request was made to take House Bill 1946 from Third Reading to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. Is the Sponsor here? Representative Leverenz. Is leave granted? Hearing no objection, House Bill 1946, Second Reading."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment #2,...in the current Bill it lays out that the appointments to the Corrections Board would be made by the Sheriff, with the advice and consent of the County Board. This would change it so that the Sheriff would appoint three and the president of the County Board would appoint two. It makes that simple change and I ask that we adopt Amendment #2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2 to 1946. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'....'aye'...those opposed...Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 391. I believe Representative Kelly requested leave to have that Bill called from Third to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. Hearing no objection, House Bill 391, Second Reading. That's 391, Jack. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Houlihan: "What page of the Calendar is this Bill on?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Page six."

Houlihan: "All right. It'd be much easier, Mr. Speaker, if the Sponsors on these, when they're bringing the Bills back, if they'd just refer to the page in the Calendar that the Bill appears on."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point is well taken. Representative Taylor on House Bill 391 and it's on Second Reading. Whose Amendment is it? Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I yield to Representative Kelly. We do have an agreed Amendment and he represents the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Representative Taylor and I and Representative Steczo discussed 'Amendment #391', which I would ask for your favorable adoption ...of Amendment #1. What this Amendment does....it says that the director of the Department of Mental Health shall give the approval for the transfer of a person into that institution. If that person poses no threat to the patients, personnel or the community surrounding the hospital. The Department of Mental Health has fully endorsed this Amendment and I move for adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 391."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 391. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'...'aye'. All those opposed..... The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 485, Representative Porter. The Gentleman asks leave to have that Bill called from Third to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. Any objections? Hearing no objections, House Bill 485, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Porter, amends House Bill 485 on page two by deleting line eight through fifteen and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 485 is located on page eighteen of your Calendar."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave for Amendment #1 to be



tabled."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to have Amendment #1 tabled. Any objection? Hearing none, Amendment #1 is tabled. Amendment #2."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Pierce, amends House Bill 485 on page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I understand the Sponsor, who has tabled Amendment #1, to which there were objections, has no objectionto Amendment #2, which brings this Act into closer conformity to the Uniform Act and will decrease the number of Illinois Corporations that are moving to Delaware and ...decreasing the amount of corporate fees collected by the State of Illinois. This Amendment brings the Act more into conformity with the model Act Sponsored by the Uniform Commissioners and I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'...'aye'. All those opposed....Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 426...the Gentleman asks leave to bring the Bill back from Third to Second for the purpose of an Amendment....Located on page six. Is there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 426...Second Reading. 426...426, Jack. Representative Madigan on the Amendment."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker...does the Amend..., does the Clerk have the Amendment with him?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's located on page six of the Calendar, Gentlemen. Ladies and Gentlemen."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Madigan, amends House Bill 426 on page one, line one and five and so forth."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, is there an Amendment #1?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jack, is there an Amendment #1 on the Bill?"



We're checking right now, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "It's a Committee Amendment, Mr. Speaker....according to our file."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, was adopted in Committee...was adopted previously. The Bill was now on Third Reading so it's Engrossed in the Bill."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment #1 provided for a change in the complexion of the Police Pension Board in the City of Chicago. I, in conjunction with Representative McAuliffe, have reached an agreement which is embodied in Amendment #2. As I stated, all of this has been worked out and negotiated with Representative McAuliffe and Representative Ryan is now representing Representative McAuliffe. SoI would move to table Amendment #1, which is the Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to table Committee Amendment #1. On the question, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Why?"

Madigan: "Because it's now obsolete. Let me explain.....
Let me offer the explanation. The subject is the Police Pension Board in the City of Chicago. Today, there are three appointments to that Board by the Mayor of the City of Chicago and there are two elected. One of those Excuse me, there are three elected....today. One of them is a Lieutenant or above, which means that he's either a Lieutenant or a Captain or a Commander. One is a Sergeant or below, which means he's either a Sergeant or an Investigator or a Patrolman and one is a retiree. The retirees vote among themselves to select the one retiree member of the Board. All members of the Police Department vote for the other two. The change which is proposed in Amendment #2 would provide that there would be one more appointee by the Mayor and there would be one more elected. Among those to be elected, one would be a Lieutenant or above, so it would be a Lieutenant or a Captain or a Commander. One would be a Sergeant and one would be a



Patrolman or an Investigator and in the future there would be election by the separate groups.....so that all...."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much..."

Madigan: "Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1 ...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I talked to Representative Madigan about this but my staff has just pointed out a technical error in Amendment #2 and maybe we better come back to this after I've had a chance to talk to him a minute."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you want to amend it on its face?"

Ryan: "No...I don't."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you want to take it out of the record?"

Ryan: "No...It's all right with me but I want to point out that this Amendment is wrong.....#2, before we table #1, in try and adopt #2 we're going to have problems with #2 until we get it corrected."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, we can take this from the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Bill will remain on Second Reading.

Ladies and Gentlemen, now we'll revert back to page 19 of the Calendar. Located there is House Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar. We'll start off with House Bill 1685, Representative Ewell. ...The Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Ewing, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker...I'm..... Yes, I'm wondering why we're not starting where we left off last night?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's where we are."

Ewing: "What was the number?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "...1685."

Ewing: "If I recall....we were on 1029 last night."

Speaker Lechowicz: "....Third Reading, Full Debate. We're on the Short Calendar."

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You're welcome. Representative Madigan."



Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, we've worked out the problem in House Bill 426. Mr. Schwartz will now show the Clerk the Amendment on the face. It can be added and I request that we go back to that order of business."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Fine. We're back on House Bill 426, on Second Reading. The Amendment has been corrected on it's face....with leave? Leave being granted. Representative Madigan renews his motion to tableRepresentative Ryan..."

Ryan: "Would you have the Clerk read the...Amendment? Just because of the first couple of...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. Fine. Would the Clerk read the amended version of Amendment #2?"

Ryan: "...The part that says, 'by inserting immediately thereafter...'"

Clerk O'Brien: "...by deleting line 15 and 16 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: the city, at least one of whom shall be a Lieutenant or of a rank superior to Lieutenant; one of whom shall be of the rank...., and on page two...I repeat, on page two...by inserting immediately after line 11 the following: Section 5-179...."

Ryan: "Thank you. That's fine. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Madigan on Amendment #1... has moved to table. Is there any objection? Hearing none, Amendment #1 is tabled. Amendment #2. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 contains the.....the explanation which I just offered. I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2 to 16....let's correct the Board, Jack, 426, Second Reading. The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 426. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed....Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. We're back on page 19, Short Debate Calendar, Third Reading. Representative Ewell. Is he in the chamber? Take it out of the record. 1690, Representative Cunningham. House Bill 1690. Mr. Clerk, will you please read the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1690, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's some dispute presently in the law as to the right of one who is acquitted, and the key word is acquitted, in a criminal trial, to receive back from the clerk the entire cash bail that was deposited as a term to be released from the jail. The practice has been, in many of the districts, for the clerk to hold ten percent. The Statute isn't clear. In some districts they turn back the entire amount. I hope that you agreed with me and agreed with the Committee that it is not fair, it is not proper to penalize one who has been acquitted by keeping the ten percent and so the purpose of this Bill is to clarify the law and turn the entire....amount back. There have been some expressions of concern, from Cook County. My great and good friend..the Majority Leader's light..will indicate that those concerns have been worked out. I would urge, respectfully request, your green vote and be appreciative always. It's just and fair."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any opponents? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 1690....Representative Schlickman, I'm sorry, I didn't see your light."

Schlickman: "I was expecting somebody else to stand up, Mr. Speaker and I'm surprised they haven't. Perhaps there's something I don't know. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed. Well, there's no questions."



Do you want to address yourself to the Bill? This is Short Debate."

Schlickman: "Well, if I'm not mistake.... If I may, Mr. Speaker, address myself to the Bill....and if I'm wrong the Sponsor can respond by explanation of vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: All right."

Schlickman: "If I understand correctly this is the Bill that amends the Act by which ten percent of the money deposited with the clerk shall be refunded to an individual if that individual is acquitted. That ten percent of the money deposited with the clerk in the past has been retained by the clerk legally, to reimburse the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the administrative cost of the bond system. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, not too many years ago we had bond-bailsmen that...bondsmen....that charged much more than this and there was a good deal of abuse in existence and the consequence we substituted the program that presently exists. I'm told that the passage of this Bill is going to cost one county in excess of one million dollars. I don't think it's a fiscally responsible approach and on that account I oppose the Bill and urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1690 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. On the question, Representative Friedrich to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, under the new bail system, as provided by the Constitution, a person that needs bail only puts up ten percent in the first place so if we're talking about a thousand dollar bond; he put up a hundred dollars and the county has the job of servicing this and returning the money. We're only talking about ten dollars. I think the county is entitled to that if they're going to act as professional ballsmen."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Dan Houlihan...to explain his vote."

Houlihan, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would rise to support



the Bill. I don't think you can look at a Bill like this solely on its fiscal impact. I think what this is..... basically simple justice. We're talking here about defendants who have been acquitted. If the presumption of innocence in a criminal case means anything at all I don't think such a defendant who has been acquitted should have to bear the cost of bailbond. I urge an 'aye' vote on the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Getty to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, when Representative Cunningham first had this before the Judiciary Committee I was opposed to it. I was opposed to it on fiscal grounds. In my heart I can't continue to oppose it. I think he's absolutely right. If a person is found 'not guilty', he should not be penalized in any way. Whether it be ten percent or one percent. I'm supporting this and I probably will vote 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 111 'ayes', 17 'nays', one recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. House Bill 1691. Representative Caldwell."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1691, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the definition, licensing and regulation of community currency exchanges, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1691 is one in a package of Bills dealing with the currency exchange industry, that had been worked out with thewith the industry to bring about some badly needed corrections in this particular industry. This Bill will allow the currency exchanges to accept utility bill payments from utility companies...customers and companies with which it has an agreement, without charge to the



customer for the service. It will also allow them to pay other bills that were worked out in an Amendment that was accepted... I move the adoption of this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any opponents? The question is, shall House Bill 1691 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "I would like to say something when this Roll Call is finished."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 140 'ayes', one 'nay', 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill receiving the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, on the previous Bill, House Bill 1690, I voted 'aye'. The light was green and my seatmate noticed that my light had gone out by itself. That's pretty hard to do but I'd like to be recorded as 'aye', because that's the way I voted."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to be recorded as 'aye' on 1690. Hearing no objections he shall be so recorded."

Mugalian: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you kindly also include Representative Lechowicz, on 1691. Thank you. Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if this is the type of Bill that the spokesman and the chairman of the Committee are trying to remove. There are only three people voting against it and if there was any type of bill that this General Assembly ought to have on Consent it seems to me it's that kind of Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point is well taken. House Bill 1693, Representative Schneider."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1693, a Bill for an Act to authorize the establishment of a community purchasing department, Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. 1693 allows the County Board permissively to create a purchasing department. It is a Bill that was worked out among the Republicans and Democrats in our county. I've added an Amendment to satisfy Representative McMaster's objection to the Bill, which was basically to allow those other elected officials to do their own purchasing. So this does not interfere with their functions. I think it's good legislation, it's permissive and it's in effect an agreed Bill and I would ask for its passage."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any opponents or objectors? A question of the Sponsor? We're on short debate. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Let's make it a parliamentary inquiry then, I don't just care."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your point?"

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, could you tell me how this would effect home rule units. Would it require 107 votes?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "...Want to pass up the Bill ...and if Mr. Epstein is within hearing distance of my voice, please return to the podium. Pardon me...No. We'll get to it. Mr. Epstein."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, I've been informed it does not effect Cook County so I'll withdraw my inquiry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You get that from Mr. Schwartz? Okay."

McClain: "Representative Schwartz informed me."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Good. Representative...Any further discussion? Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I not necessarily oppose the legislation, but my opposition would be in the matter of, is this thing really needed? I don't.....In reading the Synopsis of the Bill, I don't see where we really need to have this type of legislation. It's the type that continues to clutter up



the Statutes. Maybe the Sponsor could answer in his explanation of vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1693 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'no'. Representative Schneider to explain his vote."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to Representative Mahar...I'd just suggest that a Purchasing Act makes the process of clean and aboveboard purchases much more visible. This includes sealed bids and items like that which I think would make it more open...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? ..."

Schneider: "You mean I don't need any more votes?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 133 'ayes', 6 'nays', none recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. House Bill 1694, Representative Schnieder."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1694, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Schnieder."

Schneider: "Would you just take that out, Ted?....I have to console with my colleagues."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 1715, Representative Tipword."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1715, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 1715 relates to a provision in our code which provides that municipalities or fire protection districts are authorized to charge insurance companies, who are not licensed in Illinois, two percent of their gross receipts received from fire insurance, upon property within that municipality or that fire protection district. That money, it is provided in the Act, is to be used solely and only for the maintenance, use and benefit of the fire department of that



municipality or fire district. It also provides in the Act currently that that money can be paid only to the treasurer of the municipality or the secretary of the fire protection district. Now these moneys have been paid through other intermediaries without any authority in the law. This Act would simply provide that the municipality can designate the state fire marshall, what has been amended from the original Bill which said 'the Department of Revenue'....to the state fire marshall who can collect that for the municipalities and districts and reimburse the districts for what is collected. Taking out no funds from the money. Conversations with the state fire marshall's office ...they said they can do this and would do it. All of the moneys would then go back to the municipality for use in their fire districts. It would give a central place...lawfully....for the money to be paid."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this Bill. If I could take just a few minutes to explain. During the early history of tax very few municipalities took advantage of this power because the revenue received was not sufficient enough to meet the cost of the collection. The situation went from bad to worse with other accounts and tax sharks entering into the collection business producing very little revenue and creating a bad situation between local authoritiesthe local authorities, insurance agents and companies. In 1935 the Illinois Municipal League, by action of the Executive Committee, established a collection system for the municipalities of fire protection. Under this system the league collects the tax from the home or the district office. This is essential because many of the policies are written by agents or agencies in the municipality other than the municipality levying the tax. Many policies are



written by agencies located in other states. The league collects for every municipality from companies located almost in every state and Canada. Beginning in 1952 the present system of collection of a check from each fire insurance company for the total amount due to all municipalities and fire protection districts was instituted. Before we had, maybe, about thirty or forty or fifty checks and it went up from thirty-three and a third percent to fifty percent for the...to collect this two percent fire tax. The Illinois Municipal League is doing it now. This will not effect the City of Chicago and all I can see that this Bill is aimed at is to kill the strength...or theto ...weaken the Municipal League in the State of Illinois. I oppose this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Tipsword to close."

Tipsword: "This Bill was not opposed in Committee by the Municipal League. In fact, they spoke for it. I might indicate to you, too, that in addition the Municipal League takes a fee out of this money, contrary to the law. The moneys are all supposed to be used for the fire districts or for the fire departments of the municipality of the district. Consequently I would urge that this sum of about one hundred thousand dollars being taken out as a fee would be well used in the fire department."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1715 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye'. All those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question... I'm sorry, Representative Bluthardt, I didn't see your light. Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill is very simply designed to injure, if not destroy, the Illinois Municipal League. The Illinois Municipal League has been collecting this tax for many...many years; twenty-five years, I think, more or less. From that they receive a



commission. From their Commission they operate the Illinois Municipal League. By passing this Bill two things will happen; one, the Municipal League will be crippled so that it will barely be able to operate. You can think what you want about the Municipal League, they do serve a very valuable function for the rest of the municipalities in Illinois. The other thing that is going to happen, as I understand it, the fire marshal is not set up to collect this tax and disburse it...and that it will be at least one year before they will be in a position to do that. In the meantime the municipalities will receive nothing... nothing from this tax. I would urge you to vote ...to... first of all I would ask that you open the machine so that the vote can be fairly taken...And second I would ask that you get off the green vote and support your municipalities in the Municipal League and vote 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let's open it up againin all fairness. We'll....1715. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Same Bill. 'Aye'....Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Tipsword to explain his vote."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, all that the members in the Municipal League have to do is to do what is lawful and required under the statutes. All they have to do is appropriate for their dues to the Municipal League. The Municipal League can certainly receive their fee and there's absolutely nothing wrong with that and they can continue. Presently, under the law, there's no lawful authority for them to collect this money. There was no lawful authority for them to take a fee and the law in fact requires that this money not go into fees for the League but should go into the use and sole use of the fire departments of the districts in the municipality. So I would urge that we make the municipalities lawful in their actions under this and that they just merely put in their budgets that they are paying a fee for their membership in the



Municipal League. There's nothing wrong with that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, in explaining my vote, I was present at the hearing in Cities and Villages Committee where this Bill was heard. At that time the Municipal League did, in fact, testify in favor of this Bill. I don't think any of us feel that it is proper to give a collection of a fee to any group or lobbyist group in the State of Illinois to support their action so I think that we better take a very careful look at what we're doing here. I think that the proper vote on this is green."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Brummer to explain his vote."

Brummer: "I also was a member of the Cities and Villages when this was heard. It was not opposed. I would just remind the Members that in the new Constitution, we prohibited percentages of charges for collection of taxes and this is in the same vein. Currently, illegally, allowing a practice to continue, allowing the Municipal League to collect rates and taxes which belong with the local fire district....Therefore an 'aye' vote on this is the correct vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 97 'ayes', 48 'nays',Representative McMaster, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I think to correct the statement that was made earlier, I don't know whether it was by the Sponsor of the Bill or not, but someone made the remark that there was no statutory provision, I believe, for the Municipal League to collect this tax. I believe that in truth there is statutory provisions for it. It was given quite some time....quite a few years ago...so I don't say that this should not be reviewed at this time. But I do believe that at one time there was statutory provisions



made for the collection of this fee.....and the tax."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you care to be recorded...Sir...
beings I closed it?"

McMaster: "I'm where I want to be."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. On this question there are 97
'ayes', 48 'nays', 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill
having received the Constitutional Majority is declared
passed. House Bill 1718, Representative Schneider."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1718, a Bill for an Act to provide
for the maintenance or restoration of the natural integrity
of wild, scenic and recreational rivers and wetland areas,
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House,
this is a modest proposal that deals with trying to develop
within Illinois recreational opportunities and chances that
are going to bypass us in the very near future if we don't
take the opportunity now to correct some of our land
abuse. I call it a modest proposal simply because unlike
other proposals that dealt with this topic, what I have
tried to develop in this Bill or to create for all of us
is an opportunity to safeguard individual private rights
and the fear that many people have on scenic river proposals
that they are going to lose property. I would like to point
out that this Bill provides that when there is a scenic
river designation by the Department of Conservation, it can
only be included in their regulations with the approval of
the General Assembly. I think traditionally we have been
very circumspect and very restrictive in our allowing this
kind of thing to occur but I think also we are going to be,
in the next few years, willing to take a good look at the
prospect. Also, I would like to emphasize that we don't
violate the private ownership concept involved in land hold-
ings because the law.....the Bill rather.....as drafted
allows present ownership to continue. It also provides for



an appeal process and judicial review as well as hearings before any area can be approved by the Department of Conservation. But what I want to underline throughout the whole legislation is that the General Assembly has the final authority for designating scenic areas....scenic rivers rather, for the purposes of the Department of Conservation and I would hope that we could pass this proposal out and I would solicit therefore an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Bill. Now this idea has been discussed practically every year, in the House and the Senate, since 1971 when Representative Meyer introduced the first Scenic Rivers Bill. It's been defeated every year by concerned and affective landowners who have opposed another bureaucratic scheme to control private property. House Bill 1718 is nothing more than a composit of all the past Scenic Rivers Bills. True....it's wrapped in a nice pretty paper and on the surface it appears to be good and innocent....but the core of the idea is socialistic. Let me tell you why. Two hundred one years ago this country was founded on the building block of private ownership and private control of said land. That is what has made this country great. Now comes House Bill 1718 that proclaims that a state bureauacracy, the Department of Conservation and so forth, can do a better job of managing than the present owners of the ground. This smacks of regional government and spot zoning by the state, both to me as an elected official and as a landowner, are reprehensible. The State Conservation Department has more land now than it can take care of properly. Another thing that makes it attractive is that it's a cheap way to acquire future park land. If the state wants more land for these purposes let them acquire it fee simple like everyone else. The truth of the matter is that the Bill spells out various



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

methods of acquisition other than fee simple. I'm sure that the Sponsor thinks that this will make it attractive because of the tight money situation. In past years and past Bills, the amount of ground required adjacent to each stream and area was spelled out in the Bill. House Bill 1718 says that this will be determined later when each site is surveyed by the Conservation Department. Now this is a real sleeper. Ironically I believe it passed out of Committee on Friday night. No one voting here today knows how much land or how many landowners will be effected by this action. For sure there is a foot in the door legislation here. The areas listed in House Bill 1718 are initial segments only. Any and all farm real estate is, in the final analysis, in jeopardy. Another thing, who really designed the initial segment? Why? Where do they go from here? Who really is behind all this? Were any of the effected landowners ever asked for any input? Who will justify the administration costs? These are all very valid and unanswered questions. Let's not go down the path in Illinois on more state control and more bureaucratic management of private property. I, for one, cannot vote for this Bill. I, for one, cannot do this to my constituents who own land effected by this Bill. And I for one will not be a party to spot state zoning and regional bureaucratic government. I ask you to join me in voting against this dangerous concept on House Bill 1718. I ask a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1718 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. Representative Lauer to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, as we've had for the last five years, this is a pure power-grab by the state. It arrogates land use, land management, complete control of riparian rights and also those rights in land along the rivers, from their proper owners. It does it,



Ladies and Gentlemen, without compensation. This Bill has absolutely no redeeming feature. They talk about saving the environment. They talk about passing it on to posterity. Ladies and Gentlemen, that's fine. If the state wants to buy the land, I'll vote for it. I'll also vote for the appropriation Bill. But there's no way that I can vote for a Bill that actually amounts to the taking of private property rights without compensation. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative O'Daniel, to explain his vote. O'Daniel.."

O'Daniel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I think, in the General Assembly, we need to realize that Illinois is an agricultural and an industrial state. This isn't Kentucky or Tennessee or the Carolinas, where they have to rely entirely on recreation for their livelihood. We have to manage our streams and the flood plains or we're going to take thousands of acres out of production and out of taxation. If this Bill is passed I think it will be the biggest blow we could do to agriculture, than it has been done in many years, to come out of this General Assembly. I just feel like it'll be a sad day for agriculture in the State of Illinois and I'd appreciate some more 'no' votes on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Leinenweber to explain his vote."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I've never been known as the flaming liberal but I'm voting 'aye' on this Bill. I don't think it's all that unusual for the state or for one of our governmental units to exert some control over land owned by private individuals. We do it all of the time in cities and in counties that have zoning ordinances. One of the accepted statutory purposes of zoning is the preservation of the market value of joining lands. Certainly it is not unusual, therefore, to put some restraints on the use of land. There's no difference between having



a setback in a village or city, preventing you from building in the front yard of your home and to prevent you from building next to or joining a scenic river. All this Bill does is establish some regulations. There is an accepted procedure in the Bill for getting around those regulations if you can show good cause, just the same as the use of the land in a city that is zoned for residential purposes. So I certainly feel that this is a reasonable Bill and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Dunn. John Dunn."

Dunn, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To explain my vote I would like to call the attention of the Members to Section 20 of the Bill. On page 13, which if I read it correctly, indicates that activities which are not compatible with the designations of rivers as scenic wet land, according to this Bill, are those which would include the construction of any impoundment or diversion. And that would include the so-called Middlefork and any other reservoir that is proposed to be constructed in the State of Illinois. So those of you who are interested in water supply for your areas better take a long hard look at Section 20, page 13, of this Bill, because as I read it you can't construct any more reservoirs on rivers which are designated wet lands, according to this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 79 'nays', 5 recorded as 'present'. Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Postponed Consideration please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave for Postponed Consideration. Hearing no objection, leave is granted. House Bill 1729. Representative Anderson."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1729, a Bill for an Act to direct the transfer of money between funds in the State Treasury, Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1729 authorizes the Comptroller to make transfers into special funds during the 78....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me... Could I have a little order? Please! Representative Marovitz. Please proceed."

Anderson: "Local Government Law Enforcement Officer's Training Board, Fire Protection Personnel Standards and Education Commission and the Court of Claims. Each of the special funds have been created by Statute and require an annual transfer Bill that authorizes the Comptroller to move certain amounts into the respective funds periodically throughout the year. Appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any opponents? The question is, shall House Bill 1729.... Representative John Dunn."

Dunn, J.: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I have to speak in opposition to this. Unless I misunderstand something, I think this Bill did not go before either appropriation Committee. All appropriation Bills and transfers of funds, that I am aware of, have gone to an appropriation Committee, and I don't think this Bill should be passed out of this House without consideration by one of our appropriation Committees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes. This is not an appropriation Bill, it is a transfer Bill and it is subject to the deadline of the 21st. What it does ..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Could you tell us what Committee heard the Bill and the vote out of the Committee?"

Anderson: "Yes. Executive. There was not a vote against it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There have been a number of other Bills that were heard in Executive as well. Representative Luft's Bill, 186, which passed the House 150 to nothing and a few others. The question is, shall House Bill 1729 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Gale, would you vote me 'aye', please? Representative Mahar..... Have all voted who wish? Have all



voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 'ayes', one 'nay', 17 recorded 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. At the request of the Sponsor House Bill 1742 is out of the record. House Bill 1753, Representative Friedrich."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1753, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Nursing Homes, Shelter Care Homes and Homes for the Aged Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House this Bill purports to put the family physician or the attending physician in charge, to have the final say, on those who are in shelter care homes or nursing homes. That's all it does. It just says that the final determination will be made by the attending physician as opposed to the state."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objectors? The question is, shall House Bill 1753 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Hart to explain his vote."

Hart: "I think the intent of the Bill is probably good but I'm afraid that..... this is going to put these homes in a terrible position because I've never known two doctors who could agree on anything. So they're going to have terribly conflicting rules to try to live by and I think it's an unworkable Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 18 'nays', 15 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed." House Bill 1768, Representative Jaffe."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1768, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, all House Bill 1768 does is remove the requirement of the school board .. 'charge a fee' for summer school, where the school board itself determines that the family of the pupil is either indigent or that the educational needs of the pupil require his attendance at those courses. It's permissive legislation. There is no cost to the state. It passed out of Committee 21 to nothing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objectors? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Oh! I can't ask a question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1768 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 132 'ayes', 2 'nays', 7 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. House Bill 1769, Representative Jaffe."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1769, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Basically, 1769 permits downstate and suburban school districts to have the same leasing power as the City of Chicago. This becomes necessary because of declining enrollment and because we're having closing of schools. And these school districts just do not have proper authority to do the things that they want to do with their property. As I indicated this would make it equal between the downstate and suburban school districts and give them the same leasing power as the City of Chicago. It's supported by all the school districts. And I know of .. of ... no opposition to it. It passed out of Committee 25 to nothing."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objectors? The question is, shall House Bill 1769 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 154 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. At the request of the Sponsor House Bill 1786 will be taken out of the record. House Bill 1792, Representative Terzich."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1792, a Bill for an Act concerning cities, villages and incorporated towns, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill amends a Section of the Cities and Village Act dealing with the City of Chicago. It repeals a paragraph dealing with the corporation council. The corporation council is an appointed office under the new Constitution of 1970. The intent of the Legislation is to simplify and cleanup the city charter. I urge your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Any opponents? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm not certain why this section needs to be deleted. It looks to me like you might have a shell Bill here and that's why I oppose it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "If that's the only one you've seen, George, you're in big trouble."

Ryan: "That's the only one I've had a chance to talk on."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1792 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Terzich to explain his vote. Terzich.."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, first of all we should get rid of these birds in here ... I hear... flying around."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. All the birds leave the chamber. The bombs, too. Please proceed."

Terzich: "Yes, and in addition, like I say, under the new Constitution it does allow the Mayor to appoint the corporation council and certainly this Bill cannot be a shell Bill if it doesn't get over to the Senate to be amended so therefore I would urge your support. I needI need another seventeen brave shellfish in here..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Ryan, do you seek recognition?"

Ryan: "Take the record, will you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Somebody seeking recognition. I don't know who's yelling back there."

Unknown: "Terzich."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He explained his vote already. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 78 'ayes', 56 'nays', 15 recorded as 'present'....Representative Terzich, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I won't take up the time of the House to poll the absentees, but if you feel that way about it I'd like to have Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leave is granted. Hearing no objection, Postponed Consideration. House Bill 1794, Representative Bradley."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1794; a Bill for an Act concerning fees and salaries, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Bradley. Take it out of the record. House Bill 1804, Representative Mudd."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1804, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to coroners, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if you'll recall, we had this particular Bill on Third Reading and I held it on Second because there was a problem with it. The problem that was raised was one that some counties were receiving additional funds



because they were in a trauma center area but that Bill was misleading. It was vetoed by the Governor and there is no trauma centers receiving . . . er . . . coroners in trauma center counties receiving extra money. So this amends the Act to revise the law in relation to coroners. Provides that in cases of apparent suicide or homicide or of accidental death, the coroner of the county where the injury causing the death occurred shall conduct an inquest into the cause of death. The reason for this is since the evidence, the witnesses and so on, of this type of death are usually found where the injury causing the death occurred, it is logical that the coroner of that county conduct the inquest rather than another coroner in some other county where the deceased lived or where he died. So this just gives every coroner in the State of Illinois. . . it charges them with the responsibilities that he's elected to provide for the citizens and paid for by that county I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Peters as the objector."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I really rise in support of this motion. . . ."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh! You're out of order. Wait a minute. . . Explain your vote. Are there any objections. . . or objectors? The question is, shall House Bill 1804 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye'. All those opposed will vote 'nay'. Representative Peters to explain his vote."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is an excellent Bill. Anyone who commits suicide should be forced to appear before the coroners to explain why he did what he did. . . ."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 'ayes', 10 'nays', 6 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. House Bill 1822. Representative Catania."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1822, a Bill for an Act to establish



service programs for displaced homemakers, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

As the Clerk just said, this Bill would provide that the Department of Labor would establish service centers, and that would be probably two, for displaced homemakers in the State of Illinois. It is accompanied by an appropriation Bill of one hundred thousand dollars and that is the maximum amount of money that would be spent. We expect that it will be considerably less because legislation is pending, in Congress, to supplement that money. A displaced homemaker is a person usually between the ages of 35 and 60 who is suddenly widowed or divorced and who either has never worked outside the home or who has not worked outside the home for many years and therefore needs some temporary counseling or assistance or some direction to the appropriate federal or state or local agency that can help that person get into the job market. Usually this is a lady who is perhaps in her forties or fifties who cannot qualify for Social Security and, as I said, is recently widowed or divorced so she is going through that kind of a traumatic experience and she needs temporary assistance, temporary counseling so that she can become self-sufficient and she in no way wants to become a burden to society but she needs this kind of help. This sort of program has been very successful in the State of California for the past two years. Maryland has had a center of this sort operating for several months and they are having great success with it. The State of Texas just enacted similar legislation. It is pending in 25 other states. As I said, there is legislation also pending in Congress to provide a ninety percent, ten percent match to the state to fund these kinds of programs. The way it would work is that the Department of Labor would select community agencies that have expressed



an interest in working on these problems. For instance the Homemaker Extension Service, in rural areas, and the YWCA'S, just as an example, in urban areas. These community organizations would be required to provide five percent of the funding. I ask for your support of this Bill. This is a genuine motherhood Bill, incidentally."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there any opponents? Representative Kelly, are you seeking Representative Miller, as an opponent. Representative Miller."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Clearly it is a motherhood Bill as the Sponsor points out, but it comes as a shock and a surprise to me that once again the Commission on the Status of Women would introduce a Bill that is clearly unconstitutional if ERA were adopted. Here we are extending a privilege to one sex and denying it to the male, the father, who also might be put in a similar predicament. If I am incorrect in that evaluation of that I would certainly invite the response of the Sponsor."

Catania: "...Says people, not women."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you withdraw your objection now, Representative Miller?"

Miller: "If that's the case in the Bill, yes, Sir. I'm reading from an analysis that would indicate the...woman and wife."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill.... This is limited debate. I asked if he was an opponent to the measure. He indicated he...."

Catania: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. Do we have to search for an opponent?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yeah. You can explain your vote."

Catania: "He used to be. He's a recent convert."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1822 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Representative Schlickman to ...



explain his vote."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, this is a....and Members of the House, this is a brand-new program which mandates the establishment of a new training program. The major problem with this Bill is that it provides no limitation on either the scope of the program nor the discretion of the Director of Labor's authority. Millions of Illinois residents could apply for special training under this program because of the imprecise definition contained in it. It does not specify how many centers are to be operated. It doesn't place any limitation on the cost. It permits the director to fund these centers as costs are incurred. He can provide unlimited funding. Why should the state establish additional job counseling and training services just for displaced homemakers when the Department of Labor has such placement and counseling services under its unemployment Compensation program, its work incentive program and its normal counseling services? I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Dan Houlihan to explain his vote."

Houlihan, Dan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to speak in support of this Bill of which I am a Cosponsor with Representative Catania. The legislative intent of this proposed Bill is to provide job training and employment opportunities to homemakers who are displaced through either divorce, death of a spouse or loss of family income. This is a pilot program and I suggest that the need it addresses is a particular meritorious one. There is an increasing number of persons in this state who in their middle years and having fulfilled the roll of homemaker, find themselves displaced because of dissolution of marriage, the death of a spouse or other loss of family income. In consequence these displaced homemakers have a greatly reduced income. They have a high rate of unemployment due to age, a lack of paid work experience and limited opportunities to collect funds of assistance from Social



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Security, Unemployment Compensation or other health insurance benefits or pension plans of the spouse. I think this Assembly should find that assistance should be offered these displaced homemakers to enable them to contribute to society and to maintain independence and economic security. It is not as broad as Representative Schlickman suggests. Limitation is in the companion appropriation measure. I suggest it does deserve your support and I would request that support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Kelly to explain his vote."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I also rise in support of this measure. Certainly we do have a great number of problems in our society and in our families. And certainly through no fault of many people they get caught into this bind of either a divorce, the death of a spouse or loss of the family income. I think this serves a very useful purpose in having the ability of an individual who is faced with a catastrophe to be able to be given an opportunity in job training or in employment opportunity. I would ask for your support of this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative McAuliffe to explain his vote.....Representative Simms to explain his vote."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this legislation. I would certainly agree with Representative Houlihan and Catania. There is a definite need for this type of counseling. As a funeral director I do see these occasions where individuals that have lost a spouse, have not been in the working market, do have need for special counseling. There are many people who have not been in the free enterprise system for a good number of years and because of the loss of a loved one that they do find themselves in a very difficult time, a difficult experience, both financially and as to what direction they should go. I think...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you very much..."

Simms: "I think this legislation deserves passage and I would urge some more green votes."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? There's 97... 98....Have all voted who wish? Deacon, do you want to seek recognition? Dean of the House, Deacon Davis."

Davis: "I see you have enough votes. I simply want to say that you know this has to do with people who are unemployed and who can't find any employment, I'm sure that if you would direct your attention to that, all of you want to see people employed and they cannot find employment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I realize the Bill has gotten quite a few votes and has enough to for passage; but I do want to be consistent, and I'm not speaking on the merits of the Bill. But this again is another Bill whose appropriation has not been heard. We have not even had this appropriation assigned to the Committee as yet. I simply don't think we should be acting on these kind of Bills. I apologize to the Sponsor but I must be consistent with everyone. I raised the same question earlier and I will continue to raise the same question because these appropriations have not been heard. I don't know what the appropriation would even look like when it comes out of Committee and I simply want everyone in the House to realize that. I don't think we should be voting on these kind of Bills. I vote 'present'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Dick. Dick. Representative Hart. Hit me 'present'. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I agree wholeheartedly with the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee....has nothing to do with the merits of the Bill. On the Bill itself, I probably am going to support the Bill, if it comes up with the companion appropriation. I'm just sorry that I wasn't.... didn't have a chance, on Second Reading, to put an Amendment on this Bill to not only include displaced homemakers but misplaced homemakers."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I know this is quite a....we should have



brought this to the attention of the Chair when the Bill was called. And since it was not brought to the attention of the Chair, I would hope that leave would be given that this Bill be taken out of the record so the Lady can have another opportunity without going to Postponed Consideration. Leave being granted? It's taken out of the record. I'm sorry, Mrs. Catania. House Bill 1826, Representative Catania, is there an appropriation Bill on this one as well? No, there is not? House Bill 1826."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1826, a Bill for an Act in relation to the definition, licensing and regulation of community currency exchanges, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill would provide that the Currency Exchange Advisory Board be composed of four public members and three members from currency exchanges. This would give control of the board to members of the public. The control now rests entirely with the currency exchanges. This Bill was requested by the Governor and has broad based public support. I ask for your support of this legislation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there any oponents to this measure? Being none, the question is, shall House Bill 1826 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Dick, would you give me....No, it does not. It is not necessary. I asked the Lady already. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 3 'nays', 16 'present'. This Bill receiving the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. House Bill 1827, Representative Leinenweber."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1827, a Bill for an Act in relation to community currency exchanges, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "House Bill 1827, as it came out of Committee



as amended, ...is part of the Governor's package of currency exchange reform. It has bipartisan support. It merely raises the amount of money that currency exchanges are required to keep on hand from three thousand to four thousand dollars, immediately, and after January 1, 1979, to five thousand dollars. The amount hasn't been changed for a number of years and it is necessary in order for them to fulfill their stated purposes in assisting the people in negotiating currency matters. So I urge an 'aye' vote on House Bill 1827."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objectors? The question is, shall House Bill 1827 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', one 'nay', 14 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to introduce to the Members of the House, some eighth grade students from Hinckley, Illinois, 38th District, which are represented by Representative Breslin, Ewing and Hoxsey. Glad to have you with us."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 1829, Representative Collins."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1829, a Bill for an Act in relation to Community Currency Exchanges, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1829 is another in the Governor's package on currency exchanges that came out of the Committee with bipartisan support. This Bill deals with the ambulatory currency exchange. The Bill would merely allow an ambulatory exchange with service to customer for a period of two years, to follow that customer, if the customer moves to a new location, without going through the investigative procedures on a new application. This Bill, like the



others, came out of Committee 26 to nothing and I would solicit your favorable support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any opponents? Representative Friedrich?"

Friedrich: "I just wanted to say that, Mr. Speaker, that I think about the only people in the ambulatory currency exchange business is fill-ins and I think they are subject to some criticism on some of their activity. So I am not going to vote for this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall house Bill 1829 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Beat that guy. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 3 'nos', 20 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. House Bill 1831, Representative Collins."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1831, a Bill for an Act in relation to community currency exchanges, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1831 is the last in the package to come out of Committee of the Bills dealing with currency exchanges. This would merely revise the schedules of amounts of insurance required to be carried by currency exchanges covering risk of loss, theft, burglary, robbery or forgery. It increases the minimum requirements and the maximum requirements. There was one Amendment offered by the currency exchange industry, in Committee, which the Sponsor accepted and the Bill came out of Committee 16 to nothing. I would again ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any opponents? The question is, shall House Bill 1831 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 122 'ayes', no 'nays', 14 recorded as 'present'. This Bill received the Constitutional Majority."



...is declared passed. House Bill 1845, Representative Houlihan, Jim."

Clark Hall: "House Bill 1845, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to wage deductions for the benefit of creditors and regulating the issuance of deduction orders, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, Jim: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1845 amends an Act relating to the wage deductions for the benefit of creditors. What this does is it prohibits an employer from dismissing a particular employee if there is a wage garnishment order. It only prohibits this if this is the only reason for dismissal. It is, I would suggest to all of the Members of the House, a practice which puts people out of the mainstream, the employment stream, and puts them onto public assistance or other types of government programs and takes them out of the wage earner category. I would solicit an 'aye' vote and your support on House Bill 1845."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objection? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to object to this Bill. It's another Bill that tells private employers how to run their business. My God, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we can't even run the State's business. Look at the situation that we're in. Now how can an employer defend himself, when incident to a discharge, there has been a wage deduction order? There may be justifiable cause in addition to or separate from, an individual having received a wage deduction order. What's the statute of limitation on a wage deduction order? What if a man does get fired, three weeks after a wage deduction order, for just cause. He then raises the question, wage deduction order, that's why you're firing me. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's about time that we keep our fingers out of their pots. Leave business operate and raise money, income for the state, and employment for the unemployed. A



'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1845 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Representative Mugalian to explain his vote."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There was comment made about telling people how to run their business...whether it's local business or governmental business. I suggest that every Bill we pass here does that. The question is, do we improperly interfere; or do we improperly impose safeguards and regulations for the welfare of our citizenry? Now to discharge someone because he had a wage attachment or garnishment, seems to me really counterproductive for the purposes to which we're here assembled. We're to help people get jobs, we're here to help people keep their jobs and we're here to protect them against arbitrary action. This rule about a ...this practice of some employers to fire somebody just because they incurred an indebtedness that they couldn't pay, just seems barbaric to me. I hope we all vote 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Simms to explain his vote. Okay, Representative Marovitz to explain his vote."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill just guarantees due process to any employee so that he's not found guilty without a trial. This doesn't say you can't dismiss an employee for cause. If there is due cause you can still dismiss an employee. But not merely because there is a wage deduction order or two wage deduction orders outstanding. Because there may be some reason that those wage deduction orders are outstanding. I think any individual is entitled to have a hearing on that rather than be dismissed for that reason alone. Again he still can be dismissed for cause. This does not interfere with that right of the employer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Younge to explain her vote."



Younge: "I would certainly think that the General Assembly would want to assist those persons who are being relieved of their employment opportunities because they have their wages attached. What happens when they are fired? Then they get back on the public rolls. They become a liability to the state, increase the amounts of money that come from the general treasury, and I would think that this is a very well intended and a very valuable instrument that ought to be passed. There ought to be more green lights up here in order to protect the employee in reference to the wage assignment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Brummer to explain his vote."

Brummer: "Yes, I don't understand this. I just was checking on the Statute, as it exists, Chapter 62, Section 88, and it currently provides, 'a prohibition from any employer discharging or suspending any employee by reason of the fact that his earnings have been subjected to a deduction order for any indebtedness'. How does that differ from the Bill which is currently before you?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Madison to explain his vote."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm afraid I'm going to lose a flag on this one. I can see some situations, and I've been involved in situations, Mr. Speaker, where this kind of procedure is an absolute necessity. Prior to my being elected to the General Assembly I was involved in a situation where I had some employees working for me who were involved in the business of financial counseling. Mr. Speaker, I just had to take a position that a person cannot give financial counseling to others when by his very action he shows an inability to manage his own financial affairs. If somebody can show me where that kind of situation is not a legitimate situation, then I'll vote for this Bill, otherwise I'm staying on 'present'."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Peg Martin to explain her vote."

Martin: "Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I certainly would like to see a lot more green lights up there. Having worked in credit and collection for some twenty years before coming to the General Assembly, I'm well aware of what is known as a stickup artist with a pencil. That is where persons will have people to sign wage assignments on two dollars down and two dollars a week and then, of course, three weeks later the wages would be tied up. This happens constantly in the City of Chicago and it's not all small businesses. Some of the larger firms that you'd be surprised to know about are in the same kind of practices. I would certainly urge you to keep people off welfare by voting green on this particular measure. Credit is one of the most abused systems that we have in the State of Illinois. Certainly when it comes to wage assignments...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Will the Lady bring her remarks to a close.."

Martin: "...they are also handed out abundantly. So I'd ask you ...please...to vote green on House Bill 1845. Come on, 89 votes. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Johnson to explain his vote."

Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, many of the previous speakers and most particularly, Representative Marovitz, have given great attention to the subject of due process. Somehow we've seemed to have misconstrued this issue. We're not talking about the public sector. We're not talking about an area where we have the requirement of a hearing. We're talking about private enterprise and an individual businessman using judgment, as he sees fit, in running his business. I can't see why, for the life of me, we have to extend all of this whole series of protections that we've invested in the public sector into the private sector. They are absolutely



different, and if we are going to have any vestiges of free enterprise left in this country, I think this is a classic example of where we have to start by voting an absolute 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Neff to explain his vote."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think this Bill does hurt business. I ... I've been in business myself for quite a few years now. I've had people have garnishment of wages. When they get those, I've always called them into my office and worked out an agreement where they've went ahead and took care of it. If I hadn't of known, if they hadn't had this garnishment, I couldn't have done anything about it. I doubt whether I'd have spent the time. Also, let's keep in mind that when you get these garnishment of wages, it does take extra cost to the employer. I think also it's been brought out here that there's people that you might be letting go, sometime during this particular time, for a particular ... another reason entirely, but I think you'd have trouble finding proof that you didn't let him go for that reason. Therefore, it's a legislation against business and I think it should be opposed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Hanahan to explain his vote."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the fact remains that a person gets a wage deduction and an employer fires him, those Members who are voting red are just aiding and abetting the crisis that we have got in unemployment. Now why should a person who happens to have a wage deduction order placed against his earnings be any less valuable as an employee and why should he be, therefore, discharged for that kind of reason? It just is incomprehensible to me to think that people would vote 'no' on this kind of measure when our unemployment rate is as high as it is right now, and encourage the fact that to discharge an employee for some sort of economic reason. Not because he is not a good



worker, but an economic reason that he couldn't make a wage.....a deduction.....a payment of some kind that he encumbered himself with, or his family with; that we should give an employer the right to discharge him from employment. That's called economic jail. That's what you are doing to that person. You're putting him in chains of slavery that he can't even get a job because...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close."

Hanahan: "...because of that kind of condition. I think you should vote 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 84 'ayes', 65 'nays', 5 recorded as 'present'. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "...Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...Request a poll of the absentees. Then a request of the verification has been made. Let's see where we stand with the poll of the absentees?"

Clerk Hall: "Gene Barnes, Beatty, ..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...Get a little order, please? Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk Hall: "Beatty, Bradley, Campbell, Collins, Jack Davis, Deavers, Domico, Ralph Dunn, Huskey, Klosak, Kornowicz, Kucharski, Laurino, McAvoy, McGrew, Meyer, O'Daniel, Polk, Reed, Stearney, Wall, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Houlihan requests Postponed Consideration. Hearing no objections, Postponed Consideration. Leave of the House, I'd like to go to page 26, House Bills, Third Reading, Supplementary Consent Calendar, Second Day, Group V. Clerk, read the Bills."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bills, Third Reading, Supplementary Consent Calendar, Second Day, Group V, page 26 on your Calendar. The Bill that were removed from the Calendar.... from the Consent Calendar are, House Bill 1670, House Bill



1671, House Bill 1762, House Bill 1784, House Bill 1900, and House Bill 1959. The Bills that remain on the Consent Calendar are, House Bill 1666, House Bill 1667, House Bill 1668, House Bill 1669, House Bill 1672, House Bill 1673, House Bill 1674, House Bill 1675, House Bill 1676, House Bill 1677, House Bill 1678, House Bill 1679, House Bill 1680, House Bill 1681, House Bill 1682, House Bill 1683, House Bill 1697, House Bill 1709, House Bill 1716, House Bill 1727, House Bill 1731, House Bill 1732, House Bill 1733, House Bill 1734, House Bill 1739, House Bill 1740, House Bill 1752, House Bill 1756, House Bill 1798, House Bill 1802, House Bill 1809, House Bill 1918, House Bill 1821, House Bill 1824, House Bill 1860, House Bill 1874, House Bill 1888, House Bill 1964, House Bill 1965, and House Bill 1975. These Bills have been read a third time previously."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall these House Bills pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Dick. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 140 'ayes', no 'nays', 19 recorded as 'present'. These Bills having received the Constitutional Majority are declared passed. Representative Anderson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Anderson: "I'd like to have unanimous consent to vote on group four, please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leave granted? Hearing no objection, so recorded. House Bill.....now we're going back to Second. we're going back to Short Debate Calendar. House Bills on Third Reading. The next Bill to be called is House Bill 1861. Representative Satterthwaite."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "1861."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1861, a Bill for an Act in relation to the practice of professional Geologists Examining



Committee, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, before beginning discussion on this Bill I have a point of information that I feel needs clarification. Mr. Speaker I was asking for clarification. It is my understanding that Bills that require some additional funding that is not in a state agency appropriation are going to be held until an appropriation Bill for those measures is included. Is that accurate?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ma'am,been the custom of this House, has an appropriation Bill been filed for this ... corresponding legislation?"

Satterthwaite: "There has not been because it was my intention to either amend the agency budget to include the funds that would be necessary for this Bill or"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is this a new expenditure for the State of Illinois?"

Satterthwaite: "It is a new licensing procedure."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Then you better have a companion appropriation Bill."

Satterthwaite: "Then, may I ask for further clarification about the status of these substantive Bills that have not been acted upon by the House. Will we find, for instance, that when an appropriation Bill is filed, the Appropriation Committee will tell us that they will not act on our appropriation because the substantive legislation has not passed?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "You do have a problem, Mrs. Satterthwaite, but in turn, I imagine there will be a discussion brought up between the Leadership on both sides, on this issue, to try to come up with an understanding answer....because your Bill is not the only one in that category."

Satterthwaite: "I'm aware of that and that is why I felt that we needed to have clarification not only for this Bill but for the many Bills that are in this same situation. And



I am afraid that myself, as Sponsor of this Bill, that many other Members of the House will find themselves in exactly this position. We will get to the appropriations process with a Bill and be told that the Appropriations Committee will not act on it because the substantive legislation hasn't been passed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objection to hearing this Bill?There have been objections raised."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, maybe.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I would strongly recommend, Mrs. Satterthwaite, that you take this Bill out of the record, sit down with Speaker Redmond andand ask him to discuss this matter with the Minority Leader. Out of the record. House Bill 1864."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 1864, a Bill for an Act to prohibit the imposition of monetary payments upon any division or agency of government by any other division or agency of government, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Pechous."

Pechous: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1864 proposes a statutory prohibition against the placing of monetary payment by a division or agency of government against a division or agency thereof, except in accordance with a written contract. Now, Amendment 1, and I would call your attention that Amendment 1 has, in effect, rewritten the Bill. Amendment 1 was developed by the Department of Public Health and Transportation and adopted in Committee to erase the objections they had to what they consider to be overly restrictive language in House Bill 1864. I would simply point out to you the four exceptions...the exemptions which are set forth in Amendment 1, 'That no such monetary payment to a division or agency of government against another could be affected if it occurred before the effective date of this Act.' Which means that this Act would not be, of course, retroactive. Further, point two, 'Any payment



pursuant to a written contract or agreement. No monetary payment could be imposed unless it was done in accordance with state Statute.' Lastly, 'That no fee, charge or payment between divisions or agencies within state government or within the same unit of local government or school district.' Now having said that, I would be pleased to answer any question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there any opponents to House Bill 1864? The question is, shall House Bill 1864 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Pechous to explain his vote."

Pechous: "For the purpose of explaining my vote, and surely to encourage a few more green lights up there. I would ask your support behind this very straight-forward, clear-cut Bill, but I see that the chairman of the Committee where it was heard, Mr. Taylor, thought so much of it that he modeled an identical Bill in an attempt to fatten up his batting average here in this Session. So I would ask....I have the 70, so I would now ask, Mr. Speaker, for leave to put it under Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Now, have all voted who wish? The Gentleman asked leave to have this item on Postponed Consideration. The Clerk will take the record..then you ask leave. House Bill 1866, Representative Rigney."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 1866, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Litter Control Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Several years ago a decision was made to include in the Statute a provision that said that if you threw garbage or trash out of the window of your automobile that the owner of that automobile, or the driver rather, would be looked upon as being guilty of



having discarded litter, in violation of the Litter Control Act. In other words, there was a presumption that the driver of the car was guilty of this offense, however, it could be rebutted. What we have done under 1866, is to extend this provision to those who discard garbage along our roadway. Many times we are finding in our rural areas sacks of garbage that have been deposited on some of our back roads. It's rather obvious, at times, who discarded it. Now, there'll be envelopes containing names, many marks of identifying material. When they take this to the State's Attorney, however, the State's Attorney is reluctant to prosecute a case because he did not see or no one was witness to the actual discarding of this material. So what we are doing, under the terms of 1866, is merely extending that provision to say that that person is presumed to be guilty, however, the presumption may be rebutted."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion or objection? The question is, shall House Bill 1866 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 124 'ayes', 11 'nays', one 'present'. This Bill received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. House Bill 1873, Representative Mann."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 1873, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I was the original Sponsor of this Bill back in 1961, I'm the Chief Sponsor, but the individual who has done the work on it with the State's Attorney, is Representative Levin, and I'd like to yield my time to him."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Representative Mann. Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1873, as amended, amends the Criminal and Housing Management



Act, which Representative Mann first authored in 1961, to deal with slumlords who maintained premises that are so deteriorated that they endanger the health and safety of the residents. This Bill makes three changes based on experience with that law. First of all it removes the word 'gross' before 'carelessness'. 'Gross carelessness' being a term which is not a usual term in the law and which the judges, not being familiar with, have ruled in various different ways as they've considered this law. Secondly, it removes the word 'personal' before 'management'. So that where a slumlord is not managing the building, but has actual knowledge of the dangerous conditions....provisions of the Act."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Representative Levin. Can we have a little order in the Chamber? Thank you. Please proceed."

Levin: "Finally, it strengthens the existing law by making a second and subsequent offense a Class IV felony. I just wanted to point out one more thing. That there was an Amendment #1 added to the Bill which restores the requirement that there be actual knowledge on the part of the individual. And the Bill, in that state, was approved by an 18 to nothing vote by Committee. I urge your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Houlihan, as the objector. Dan....Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to House Bill 1873. I would point out, to the attention of the Membership, that what we are dealing with here is a criminal statute and we are,.....in my opinion, making rather substantial changes in this criminal statute. First of all.....Well, first of all.



we delete the requirement of 'personal management' and we simply change the standard from 'gross carelessness or neglect' to simple 'carelessness and neglect' and then hike the penalty provision for subsequent offense to that of a Class IV felony, which calls for an imprisonment of from one to three years, as a potential sentence. I submit that the reason that we have a statute on criminal housing management is because of personal responsibility in gross....and I emphasize the word 'gross' neglect or carelessness. If we are to proceed along these lines, to make simple negligence, because that's really what this Bill does. We should be back to the area of civil proceedings, but not a criminal prosecution. I submit that we should not very lightly change the standard approved in this type of a criminal proceeding. I know that the Bill is well intentioned and it seeks to address a severe need, but we cannot use the Criminal Code lightly, we cannot simply delete the rights of dependents, they are entitled to protection and of course they are entitled to the presumption of innocence. Now this goes way too far as far as making the standard of violation simple negligence. I would ask for a negative vote on the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1873 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed by voting 'nay'. Representative Mann to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Mann: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, first let me say that we recognize that the vast majority of property owners do a good job, they don't endanger anybody's life or safety. This Bill is directed and was directed in 1961, at those hard-core people that so manage their property that kids die of lead poisoning. And fires occur day after day after day, we read about them....due to defective wiring, defective upkeep. Now I don't know whether Dan Houlihan knows this, but the Corporation Council of the City of Chicago approves



this. The State's Attorney of Cook County approves this. What we're going after are hard-core killers, that's what we're going after. This is a law and order Bill and I ask your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Davis to explain his vote:"

Davis: "And we're going after a lot of those real estate dealers. We talk about the absentee landlord, but a lot of those real estate dealers who are supposed to be keeping the property in repair and the absentee landlord is charged for those repairs and they're never done. They just simply get a bill out there. . . .The property deteriorates and endangers the lives of other people in the neighborhood. I think it's a good Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Representative McAuliffe to explain his vote."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask some of you to examine your conscience. Now if you own a building and you're down here in Springfield and somebody sends you a certified letter complaining about a condition in the building. And you're busy. . . . opening your mail. They could say that you knowingly knew about a condition in the building and you didn't take care of it. Water dripping through a roof, dripping onto somebody's chest could give them pneumonia. Then the first thing you know they'd have you in court and want to put you in the penitentiary. Now this is crazy. We're dealing with problems that . . . a person could own a building and not know what was going on and they'd get a registered letter or somebody would tell them about it or say they told them about it. Somebody could come up and say, 'Well I told you about it three or four times that the lock on the door didn't work and somebody broke into the house and hit me over the head.' They want to put you in court over something like that. I'm very much opposed to this."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Epton to explain his vote."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is one of those situations where there is certain valid arguments by both sides. Representative Houlihan is pointing up a very strong legal issue which disturbs me but let me remind you of the fact that some of you may have forgotten. In Chicago, for example, we are defending a situation where there is an individual who has three hundred pieces of property that he is selling....that he owns "and is not selling. That individual has had forty-seven fire losses in the space of 18 months. He's an absentee landlord and we can rarely touch him. We have another situation where an individual has 200 pieces of property. He has sold all of his pieces on contract. He has had one fire in two years. There is a very marked distinction between the absentee owner and the one who is on the premises. I too am apprehensive when you put in the word 'careless'. The courts are going to construe that in different fashion. On the other hand, we have not been able to stop these wanton, willful acts on the part of absentee landlords. The Corporation Council hasn't done it. Only one judge, Judge Aspen, in the City of Chicago, has had the courage to send a man to jail..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close?"

Epton: "...I think... Thank you. I certainly shall do so. It's difficult to debate this in such a short time. I would simply say that....pass this Bill out of the House and let the Senate give some thought to perhaps putting more stringent penalties on it. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative E. M. Barnes to explain his vote."

Barnes, E. M.: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't think that this Bill is



going to receive enough votes for passage. But I think that you've heard comments on both sides of the issue and this is the kind of issue that I think should get the necessary votes to at least go on Postponed Consideration. so that if there are some problems that they can be worked out. This is an important matter. I don't know how many of you here has ever had the misfortune of seeing buildings, when the winters are cold, with ice on the floor sufficient to skate around like an ice rink. Those buildings are in areas where there are absentee landlords. I think that there are some legal problems and I'd appreciate the 70 votes so that they can work on this and workout some of those problems."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Levin requests that this item be put on Postponed Consideration. Hearing no objection. The Clerk will take the record. Representative Mann."

Mann: "Representative Houlihan, can we take this out of the record; rather than Postponed Consideration? Take it out of the record?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's been objections raised. We've taken the record. It'll be on Postponed Consideration. Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to take the time to poll the absentees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Fine. We'll have a new Roll Call. The Gentleman will poll the absentees. We'll have a little order. What do you want to do, Bob. You're the Sponsor of the Bill."

Mann: "Representative Houlihan and I have an understanding here and please take the Bill out of the record. All right. Put it on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to put it on Postponed Consideration. Hearing no objection. Postponed Consideration. At the request of the Sponsor, House Bill 1883, by Representative Adams, will be taken out of the



record. House Bill 1889, Representative Capparelli."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 1889, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 1889 amends the Metropolitan Sanitary District Article by adding one member to the Board, from five to six members. It went out of the Pension Committee 13 to nothing. A similar Bill, yesterday, for the Park District went out of here 135 to 2. I ask your favorable..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objectors? The question is, shall House Bill 1889 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Mahar to explain his vote."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't quite understand how you can improve the actions of a pension system or a pension fund board by adding another member to make it three and three. In other words make it three appointed members and three elected members and hope to solve any problems. It seems to that this would confuse the problems much more than by having the existing board which is currently two appointed members and three elected members. I don't quite understand how we can improve the pension system and make it work better with this type of operation. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, yesterday, this same Bill with the Park District, ...Mr. Mahar, got up...went out yesterday 135 to 2. It's the same thing. Now if you want to put Amendments, put 7 or 8, I'll go along with it. They are being overworked and always looking...they can't even get a quorum now...they get two and three members. We're



asking for one more member. Maybe next year we'll ask for another one...There's nothing.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman askspoll of the absentees."

Capparelli: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman requests a poll of the absentees. The Clerk will call the absentees."

Clerk Hall: "Adams, Bradley, ..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute. Representative Adams.... wants to be recorded as 'no'."

Clerk Hall: "...Bradley, Campbell, Jack Davis, Deavers, Domico, Ralph Dunn, Ebbesen, Gaines, Hoffman, Hudson, Huskey, Emil Jones, Kent, Klosak, Kornowicz, Kucharski, Macdonald, Madison, Mautino, McAvoy, McMaster, Meyer, Molloy, Reed, Satterthwaite, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Stanley, Stearney, Telcser, Wall."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Mautino..wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Mann, 'aye'. Representative Holewinski, 'aye'. Representative Molloy, 'aye'. Representative Jane Barnes, 'aye'. Oh! This ought to be right. Representative Lauer, 'aye'. Representative, Geo-Karis, 'aye'. Representative Katz, 'aye'."

Capparelli: "No, it's no shell Bill. We've ...You've become an obsession with this. Walsh. No, it's not. I'll tell you the vehicle if you ask."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We need two more. Representative Jones, 'aye'. One more. Representative Gaines? No. No. He's not here. No. Who's seeking recognition? Representative Madison, 'aye'. What is it? Come on. On this question there are 89 'ayes', 23 'nays', 43 recorded as 'present', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. Okay. House Bill 1901, Representative Giorgi." Just a minute. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. ...in the Senate. No, really, your light wasn't on, Giorgi. Is it on? I didn't hear you. Representative Ryan."



Ryan: "Yes, I'd like to verify."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks for a verification.

Do you want to go with a new Roll Call, George? Representative Ryan? Does that satisfy you?"

Ryan: "We'll take the Roll Call and then we'll see, Mr.

Speaker. It'll be all right to take a new Roll Call, but I don't know if that will satisfy me or not."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Capparelli, the Minority Leader asked for a verification. We did call the absentees. In order ..."

Capparelli: "Give me a new Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks..."

Capparelli: "...Ted. Ted. He voted yesterday for a Bill just like this. He's not very consistent with his own thinking. Yesterday he voted for a Bill like this so let's put it on Postponed Consideration. I'm not going to tie-up the House. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to put this matter on Postponed Consideration. Postponed Consideration. House Bill 1901, Representative Giorgi. Out of the record. House Bill 1918, Representative Giglio."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 1918, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate campaign financing and amending certain Acts in connection therewith. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Bill 1918 is a Bill to eliminate the requirement that the official with whom the nominating petitions are filed will send certain forms and instructions and manuals to the persons for which the petitions are filed. What it is it's a Bill that they found that the County Clerks and the Village Clerks and the Board of Election...the people on the Board of Election are sending out the materials to the people that run for public office.Really it's a duplication of the forms and the books and everything that the people get from the three different governmental agencies;



the County, the State Board and the Village Clerks. So what we're trying to do is just eliminate the forms. We're not trying to torpedo anybody or submarine anybody for not getting the forms that are required to be filed. We're not trying to hide anything. What we're trying to do is to stop the ...cost on the local level and as long as the Board of Election Commissioners are sending out these forms there's no need for the other municipalities to do so."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objectors? The question is, shall House Bill 1918 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Tipsword wants to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Emil Jones wants to be recorded as 'aye'. Who else? Representative Broom....McBroom."

McBroom: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm not charmed... Mr. Speaker, could I have your attention, if no one else's, please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "You have my entire attention, Mr. McBroom, please proceed."

McBroom: "I knew I would, Theodore."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'll try to get you a little attention as well...."

McBroom: "I'm not charmed to stay here 'til five, six, seven o'clock anymore than you are, Mr. Speaker, or any of the other Members. We all have many things to do. But it seems to me that if we are going to stay here until five, six, seven, eight o'clock or whatever we're going to do, that we ought to try to be reasonably productive and as Speaker Redmond suggested the other day, stay off each other's speeches. I don't think either side has got 89 votes, Mr. Speaker, I don't think you do either. And I have Gentlemen on both sides of me saying that all Roll Calls are going to be verified, this, that and the other thing and I would just suggest that we leave each other's switches alone. If we're



going to be here another hour, try to do something productive; other than hear each other's names called out. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point is well taken, Representative McBroom. But in viewing the chamber I would imagine that we do have a fairly good attendance, it could be better. Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know what's going to happen with this Bill. It appears as if we're on the borderline of passage....or not? I might suggest..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's 89 now..."

Kempiners: "Okay. Well, there's an indication there might be a question of the'aye' votes. I might say to the Sponsor of the Bill that I think there may be some deficiencies in the Bill that could be worked out if he'd like to put it on Postponed Consideration and have an Amendment drafted. I think that maybe we can do something there."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "I don't understand the Gentleman's inquiry. What.... We heard this in the Elections Committee, and we had it run through the courts. I don't understand what you're trying to say?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Mr. Speaker, would you please record me as 'no' on House Bill 1918?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Representative Boucek as 'no'. Any other people want to be recorded? Clerk, do you want to give me the count? On this question, on House Bill 1918 there are 89 'aye'; 43 'nos'; 13 'present': Representative Totten."

Mann: "Take it out of the record."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm confused by my vote here and I'd just like to change it from 'aye' to 'present'."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, can I have leave to take this out? Take



it out of the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there leave to take the Bill out of the record? Hearing no objection. Take it out of the record. Too late. House Bill 19.... Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I have no objection to their taking it out of the record. The debate on 1873 had received...approach- ed approximately the same numbers. I ask that 1873 be taken out of the record too, if we're going to have equality of treatment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I didn't hear it. You know there was a lot of objection on that one, Bob. Did you object on this one?"

Mann: "No, Sir. Mr.... I'm going to object."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay, put it on Postponed Consideration. 1918.... Postponed. 1928, Frank, do you want to go with that Bill? Representative Giglio, House Bill 1928."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 1928, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to counties, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "I don't think I've got too much luck today, Mr. Speaker. I'll just take it out of the record, forget it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. Take it out of the record. I believe the troops are getting a little restless. Is Representative Matijevich available? Representative Giglio for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Giglio: "I think with the Bill that I have on the floor right now, I think that's the one we ought to take a very good look at and perhaps it would take the restlessness out of the troops."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On 1928?"

Giglio: "That's the Massage Parlor Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh! Wonderful. Call House Bill 1928. I've been informed that House Bill 1928 has been read a third time. Please proceed on the Bill. Representative Giglio. It's been read a third time. 1928. Do you want to take



it out of the record?"

Giglio: "Take it out." Seriously, take it out."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you, Frank. House Bill 1946, Representative Leverenz."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 1946, a Bill for an Act in relation to the County Department of Corrections Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you want to proceed on the Bill, Representative Leverenz? Proceed. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1946 was introduced, came out of Committee with no dissenting votes. It's the Bill that would put the warden of Cook County Jail directly under the Sheriff. It would have him serve at the Sheriff's pleasure. It would eliminate the involvement there of the Corrections Board. It has been amended that two of the appointments to the Board are made by the President of the Cook County Board. Three of the appointments are made by the Sheriff. I ask for the support of the House in passing this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Leverenz, it's been pointed out to the Chair that this Bill was amended and it's on full debate at Third Reading so we'll have to take this Bill out of the record at the present time. House Bill 1949, Representative Capparelli. Take it out of the record. House Bill 1950. Representative Flinn. Take it out of the record. House Bill 1951, Representative Mulcahey. Take it out of the record. House Bill 1972, Representative Christensen. House Bill 1972..... Representative Christensen.."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 1972, a Bill for an Act in relation to the disclosure of the grade of beef cuts served in restaurants, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you care to proceed on this Bill, Representative Christensen?"

Christensen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is a truth in advertising Bill or a



Bill to allow the customers to know what grade of meat he's being served. This Bill says that if you advertise choice meat or choice cuts of meat or if you advertise prime meat or prime cuts of meat, then you must be serving U.S.D.A. Choice or U.S.D.A. Prime. Remember this is not a mandatory Bill, this only says that if you choose to advertise choice beef or if you choose to advertise prime beef, then the grade of beef that you are selling must be either Choice or Prime. This Bill has the approval of the Illinois Livestock Association and it should encourage the sale of a high grade of beef."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentlemen, could we have your attention for a moment, please? Are there any opponents to this measure? Representative Friedrich?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm reluctant ... to oppose the Sponsor of this Bill but what this really does, he say it is not mandatory, but the truth of it is, you can no longer advertise 'Prime Rib of Beef' or 'Choice Steaks' unless, in fact, it is a prime grade of beef because that's a specification of the U.S.D.A. So I think this is pretty restrictive. I think it could be further harassment on business that's struggling the way it is. We know what....choice steaks, does not necessarily mean Choice grade and prime rib of beef does not necessarily mean Prime. So I think it...I'm sorry to oppose the Sponsor but I'm afraid it's a bad Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1972 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye'; all those opposed will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman's first Bill. Have all voted who wish? Representative Wolf to explain his vote."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm reluctant to rise against a Member's first Bill; but I'm looking at this Bill, there isn't a restaurant in this country that doesn't indicate 'prime rib of beef' on the menu. They don't say it's U.S.D.A. graded Prime. This subjects him to a



fine of up to one thousand dollars. I think this Bill is going pretty far up field, Mr. Speaker. I believe it should be defeated."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Friedrich to explain his vote. Christensen. Representative Friedrich, do you want to explain your vote? Okay. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I too am reluctant to oppose the first Bill. I did hear this Bill in Committee. It came out on a vote of 13 to zero, with seven abstaining....or voting 'present'. There was a lot of discussion about this Bill. It does go quite a ways in regulating restaurants. I'm not a cook but I understand from the testimony that a lot of the problem with beef, after it's cooked or meat after it's cooked, is the cooking....not necessarily the grade of beef before it was cooked. You could have a lot of problems with this. We could give the restaurant business just a lot of headaches if we passed this Bill out of here. I am sorry to oppose the first Bill but I think we should have second thoughts about this before we just let it fly out of here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Neff to explain his vote."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this will work a real hardship on many of our small restaurants in the rural areas where they've already got controls over them, where we're losing restaurants almost daily in our rural area. As the previous speaker said, when you talk about a good piece of meat, and I'm sure the ladies here, and some of you men know that, it isn't always necessary that....that...steak come out just the waya good piece of meat, because many pieces of....steaks are spoilt by the cooking of them. I think this puts a handicap and a hardship on us that they don't deserve. I would hope we would all oppose it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Luft to explain his vote."



Luft: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, apparently no one is reading their Digest. The Bill was amended. The fine is down from one thousand dollars to one hundred dollars and the Bill is also permissive. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes', 26 'nays', 14 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1974, Representative Brummer."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 1974, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill address a.....in the future, the school problem which 1958 addresses retrospectively, I suppose, the problem is that school districts are currently required to file a tax levy ordinance by the last Tuesday in September. Subsequently they receive a multiplier from the Department of Local Government Affairs which may dramatically alter their assessed valuation, their equalized assessed valuation. As a result of that they would then drop below their qualifying rate for the purpose of the school aid distribution formula and it prevents them from doing intelligent budgeting. The Chicago School District, currently, under Chapter 122, Section 3441 and 3453-1, have the authority to file a supplemental tax levy, adopt a supplemental budget and file a supplemental tax levy. This request authority, or grants the school districts authority to do the same things that the Chicago schools can currently do, so that they can do intelligent financial planning and generate the revenue that they intend to generate by the adoption of their levy ordinance. The House Bill 122, was previously passed out of the House moving the levy filing



date from the last Tuesday of September till the last Tuesday of December. It was Roscoe Cunningham's Bill. I certainly supported that Bill. The only problem with that is that many of the multipliers were not certified until sometime after the last Tuesday in December. Last year the following counties did not have a multiplier yet, by the last Tuesday of December: Boone, Cook, DuPage, Jefferson, Jersey, JoDaviess, Kankakee, LaSalle, Peoria, Shelby, Stephenson, Will, Williamson, Winnebago. This will merely enable school districts to do proper budgeting and proper planning. I respectfully request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there any objectors? The question is, shall House Bill 1974 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Representative Brady to explain his vote."

Brady: "I stand in support of Representative Brummer's Bill. It does alleviate the problem that he's talking about. I think they should be given the ability to have a supplemental budgeting..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 131 'ayes'; two 'nays'; 4 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. With leave of the House I'd like to go back to Second Reading. House Bill 2118. Mrs. Reed. Will the Parliamentarian come back to the podium, please? Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave to be recorded as 'aye' on 1974. I was temporarily away from my desk."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to be recorded as 'aye' on 1974. Any objections? Hearing none, he'll be so recorded. Now, Mrs. Reed, on House Bill 2118, Second Reading. What Amendment now?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 24, Porter, amends House Bill 2118



as amended, in Section 98, by deleting Subsection 5...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter on House...on Amendment #24. It's been distributed."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask leave to table Amendments 24 through 33, inclusive."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to table Amendments 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34. Any objections? Hearing none, Amendments are tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #35, Reed-Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as Amended, with reference to pages and lines in Amendment 7 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Williams, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Williams: "I think that Representative Porter had through 33. With all due respect, Representative Ewell had 34."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's not here. It's tabled."

Williams: "Okay. Well..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are you going to handle it for him? Okay. We'll go back just for a second. That means ...up to 33 were tabled. Amendment #34, Representative Jones."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 34, Ewell, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, by House Amendment #7 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Jones on Amendment #34."

Jones, E.: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "For what purpose do you seek recognition, Representative Pierce? "

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to question whether this Amendment is germane to the Bill. The beaches on it is jurisdictions of park districts and certainly, Mrs. Reed, the Lady from Lake, made it clear this morning her Bill doesn't give any authority whatsoever to park districts. And..... therefore, I feel that Amendment #34 is not germane to this Bill although it may be a good idea."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is germaneness. If the Parliamentarian is within the chamber or hearing distance of my voice, I'd like to have him return to the podium. Representative Walsh, was that your inquiry of the Chair as well? Okay. Representative Reed, do you want to shed some ..."

Reed: "I'd simply like to indicate that Representative Pierce is absolutely right. We question the germaneness of this Amendment, not only is it in the purest concept of coastal zone management, but secondly, we do try to provide a state municipal partnership."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Show them the Amendment and the Bill please."

Reed: "...with reluctance, oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Jones. Emil Jones."

Jones, E.: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe the Amendment is germane. We're talking about an expenditure of state funds here and so therefore this Amendment deals with the coastal zone as well. I'm quite certain this Amendment is germane."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe Representative Ewell has returned to the floor. Maybe he's got something he can add. This is Amendment #34, Representative Ewell....to House Bill 2118. The question is the germaneness of the Amendment to the Bill, since the park districts are not included in the Bill."

Ewell: "But nevertheless they are people who have land along the lakeshore. And of course one of the main purposes of the Bill is to provide for public recreational facilities. I know that's what everyone is always interested in. I did the best I could with the Legislative Reference Bureau to fit it in."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, I remember this type of an Amendment being put on a Bill some time ago. It was ruled germane a couple of years back. I'll rule it germane today. Please proceed on the Amendment." House Bill 2118, Amendment #34,



Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, one of the thrusts of Bills like 2118 is to insure that the public has access to the lakefront, to provide for it, to care for it, to leave it in a natural state for ourselves. Well... to appropriate estates for ourselves and our children. And one of the little problems which we had which was pointed up by several newspaper articles some time ago, is that some jurisdictions along the lake don't even provide a fee. In other words they believe that the lakeshore is exclusively theirs. But one of the things that we find is, as soon as the tides rise and the rains come and the lakeshore erodes and washes away, everybody is freely and willing to admit that it's a state proposition and that the state ought to go in and help and do these things for the benefit of the state. And I think, at this time, when summer comes and it's nice and the weather is good, that all of the people of the State of Illinois ought to be able to enjoy these beaches. Like we do in the City of Chicago, we pay for the museums and let the people come in; we pay for the parks and they are all free and everyone comes in. It's a good Amendment. ...And... for all of the people of the State of Illinois, for all of the beaches of the State of Illinois...I urge the adoption of this Amendment. I don't see how any fair-minded person could oppose it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As probably every Member that served in the 79th and the 78th General Assembly know, I've been working conscientiously on beach...the development of Lake Michigan and more particularly on the development of Waukegan, as it attaches itself to Lake Michigan. I can tell you, unequivocally, that if this particular Amendment



was adopted that it would absolutely destroy the development that is attempting to go on in Waukegan. Our Park District lives on the fees that it charges boat owners for placing these boats in the water. And the way this Amendment is drafted, that would be a use of the beach, and if we did not have that money we'd have no other form of income whatsoever. Thus, the Port Authority would go bankrupt overnight. There would be no more salmon fishing whatsoever. I further wonder what effect this will have on all boat launching ramps throughout the State of Illinois. I would presume it would have an extremely detrimental effect upon the Chicago Park District. Now maybe the Sponsor of this Amendment didn't mean to do this; because I know the Reference Bureau has been drafting these Amendments and Bills, ... sometimes, a little bit different than the way the Sponsor would like to have them. But I'm afraid that's the way it reads and I would urge that this House would definitely vote against this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Williams on the Amendment."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I reluctantly arise to oppose this on the basis of the fact that it says that any unit of local government cannot charge any fee for persons seeking admission. I know the intent. I'm not opposed to the intent of the Sponsor but we do know that the local units do have a charge for maintenance, for police and such things, and they do have to charge a fee to their own residents; and this says that they cannot charge a fee. So I would oppose this Amendment on that basis."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Corneal Davis."

Davis, Corneal: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is 'burnt child' Amendment. I don't like to go back into history but this Amendment, I can remember distinctly, when I first came down here, I just don't like



to do these things; but the past rises before me like a dream. I can remember, distinctly, when I first came down here; my folks, I don't care how free the beaches were, there were certain beaches where we dare not enter, dare not come. And it's a 'burnt child' Amendment. I enjoyed myself up there at Waukegan. I took my wife, one of her friends and we had a great time. I want...I want to protect that....I want it always to be that way. I certainly don't want to stop you from getting your fee because we were there and all of those who come up there have... I don't want to stop you from getting your boat fee. But we were free up there and we were treated like we were great Americans and I want to always be treated that way. I'm sure Ray Ewell is of the same opinion. Now how we can do it, I don't know. Some people think they own Lake Michigan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Deacon, would you care to bring your remarks to a close?"

Davis, Corneal: "All right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you. Representative Ray Ewell to close."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, all you have to do is read the Amendment. This doesn't deal with beach facilities....beach marinas and the boats, et cetera. It doesn't deal with that at all. All it talks to....about beaches and that's clear, in the law, and all we're trying to do is be reasonable. There are a lot of people who live behind the lakefront areas there that are barred off of the lake simply because of the fees and in some cases they have jurisdiction which won't even sell beach permits to anyone at any price. I had the list of them a couple of years ago...like, Lake Bluff, I believe it was. So, all we're doing is saying, 'Hey, these beaches belong to all of the people of the State of Illinois.! Like when we go downstate you can go to any recreational facility



down there, they are open to everybody, they welcome you and they throw their arms out. So why should we sit in the City of Chicago and tell the people who are sweltering in the heat, who don't happen to have those exclusive little communities along the lakefront, that 'you can't come to the beach'. Now I know that all of my great, liberal friends on the North Shore are going to carry this as a cause and I know they are going to vote with me. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #34. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Jack, give me 'aye'. Representative Emil Jones to explain his vote."

Jones, Emil: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. In particular, those Members downstate, we're asking for an expenditure of state funds here. One thing, during the hot summers, you're going to want to visit the beaches in Chicago, we're not going to charge you a fee. But when you go to the North Shore, you are going to pay twice. You are going to pay, number one, for the Bill itself and you're going to pay to go to the beaches. So this Amendment will let you go to the beaches free."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Walsh to explain his vote."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think this Amendment is quite as I'd like it to be. But I think it is an expression of this House that Lake Michigan is a great natural resource and should be available to everyone in the State of Illinois and not those persons who happen to live along its border. Now I would suggest that the Amendment ought to be that those cities and villages along Lake Michigan may not discriminate in their charges, may not charge nonresidents more than a resident. But I think we ought to vote 'yes' on this. And perhaps pass the Bill with it. That little technicality



can be taken care of in the Senate. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that all of us ought to have the benefit of those beaches, not just a select few. I agree and think that everyone ought to vote 'yes' on this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Porter to explain his vote."

Porter: "Well, the fact of the matter is, is that everybody does have access to the beaches along Lake Michigan. But there are differences in the cost of beach tokens, between those persons who are residents of the municipality that maintains the beach and those who are nonresidents. The reason is a very plain one and that is this; that those beaches, to maintain those beaches, to take care of them, to provide lifeguards, to get rid of the rocks and to provide the sand costs a lot of money. That money doesn't come just from the beach tokens. If it did it would cost you forty dollars per token. The most....most of that money comes from local real property taxes that are collected by the municipality and applied to those costs. If you think that you can have a free lunch and get the beaches for nothing, then I'd like to know who's going to provide the lifeguards and who's going to provide the clean-up crews and who's going to provide the sand that makes for those beaches?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly bring your remarks to a close."

Porter: "...We can't....we can't legislate that here. That has to be paid for by someone and the fees are perfectly fair, open to everyone."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Wikoff....to explain his vote. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise, not to explain my vote but a point of parliamentary inquiry. Would the Speaker rule as if to the effect...if this Amendment is passed this then preempts home rule and takes 107 votes to pass?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll rule on that when it's raised in



Third Reading. Representative Reed, to explain her vote."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to point out to the Members of the house that 31 miles of the 59 mile shoreline in Illinois are open and free. Secondly, and more germane, particularly to me, is the fact that this Amendment, in effect, does away with the principal basics of the Coastal Zone Management Act. We have discussed local control...Park Districts that are within municipalities and the state. There is no way that this particular Amendment can serve two masters; Coastal Zone Management Act, as we introduced it early this morning and what Representative Ewell is attempting to accomplish."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all vote who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 69 'ayes', 50 'nays', 13 recorded 'present', Representative Griesheimer.

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to ask for a verification...Affirmative Roll Call. That can be an oral verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "If he means, take the Bill out of the record, I have no objection to that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Request verification. Ladies and Gentlemen, please be in your seats. Verification. Kindly be in your seats. All unauthorized personnel please remove yourself from the floor. Ladies and Gentlemen, please be in your seats. The Clerk will proceed with the oral verification. Now the procedure is, you....when your name is called you respond with a 'yes' or 'no'....and also hit your switch. Representative Ewell. Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "He's concerned about the time of the House. I'll take it out of the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to take it out ofthe Amendment out of the record. Hearing no objection, leave is granted. House Bill....House Bill 2118, any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 35."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter."

Clerk O'Brien: "Let me find it. Reed-Porter, amends House Bill 2118, as amended, with reference to pages and lines in Amendment 7 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Porter on the Amendment."

Porter: "This Amendment basically does three things. First, it makes some technical changes. Secondly, it provides that where a municipality has been de-certified, that they can apply for recertification without waiting any period of time. The original Bill provided ... the original Amendment 7 provided for a 90 day period to wait. Thirdly, it adds a new Section, Section 18, that says very simply that the Department, meaning the DOT, cannot in any of the land areas under the Act, regulate in any way, in such a way, that it preempts or limits or modifies the power or function or authority of any unit of local government, except in the explicit powers granted to the department under the Act relating to construction activity and land modification. I would move its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Representative Porter moves the adoption of Amendment #35. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposedAmendmnet 35 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Representative Matijevich for the purpose of an announcement....or..."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd request leave to suspend the rules....the posting provisions so that House Bill 2368 can be heard in Appropriations I Committee, Wednesday. That is the Appropriation Bill for the General Assembly. Could I have leave?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave on House Bill 2368 to be posted for Wednesday. Hearing no objection..... Use the Attendance Roll Call....on House Bill 2368 to be posted for Wednesday... Are there any....The Clerk will



go to the Order of Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Preamble and Joint Resolution. The adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #41, adopted by the Senate May 13, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this Senate Joint Resolution is to note the opening of the medical instructional facility of the School of Medicine here in Springfield. We're all invited. I move for the adoption of the Senate Joint Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Representative Giorgi moves the adoption of the Senate Joint Resolution 41. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. All those opposed....It's adopted. Any further messages from the Senate?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed the Bill with the following title, passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Bills #294, 317, 330, 333, 341, 342, 428, 432, 494, 705 and 1199. Passed by the Senate May 13, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill with the following title, to wit: House Bill 1028, passed by the Senate May 13, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Barnes at Representative Caldwell's desk."



Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rule so that House Bill 2393 can be heard Monday in Appropriations II. This is the companion Bill to Representative Catania's measure that was up earlier today."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objection? The Gentleman asks leave to have House Bill 2393 be heard in the Appropriations II Committee this coming Monday. Representative Barnes' motion."

Barnes: "Thank you. And Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if I may make a comment, and I hope that all of the Members that are present and in the sound of my voice will hear this. Any Bills that are appearing before the two Subcommittees in Appropriations II, Monday, will be called if the Sponsors are there, or if they are not there. One of the reasons is to try to expedite those Bills that have companion measures that are now on the Calendar, on Third Reading. So all of the Bills that we have in our two Subcommittees, Monday, will be called. So I want to caution the Sponsors. If you have a Bill in either the Subcommittees, Monday, at one o'clock. If you have any Bills in either one of those Subcommittees, be sure to be there, if you're not there, the Bill will be called just the same."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll move the 2393. We'll use the Attendance Roll Call. Clerk, is there any further business? Introductions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2399, Ewell, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What else you got, Jack? General Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution, 35, Deuster."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Committee on Assignments. Representative Bennett, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Bennett: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave to be recorded as voting 'yes' on House Bill 869 and 'no' on 802."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objection? So recorded.

Representative Barnes, Jane Barnes. Have you got a motion that's expiring? Representative Jane Barnes."

Barnes, Jane: "Mr. Speaker, it's a very simple Bill. House Bill 1528 merely expands the textbook's explanation to include workable materials. I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? What's the Bill number?"

Barnes, Jane: "1528."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Now, we're in the order of motions. The Lady's motion expires today.....on House Bill 1528. As a matter of courtesy. I just want to bring it to the attention that it does expire today. The Lady explained the Bill. The question is whether House Bill 1528 should pass. On the question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'no'. 1528 is the question. Representative.....To take from the Speaker's Table and place on the Calendar. It expires today. All we're doing is taking the motion from the Speaker's Table and place it on the Calendar. Requireshow many votes? 107 votes. Somebody get busy. Leave for the Attendance Roll Call. I think we need a Roll Call. Mrs. Satterthwaite wants to be recognized."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I believe this motion was before us previously. This Bill had been referred to the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education. It, as I recall, at the time this motion was considered before, the Chairman of that Committee arose to say that the Sponsor of this Bill had not appeared in Committee to have the Bill heard. It seems to me that we should not give the privilege to a Sponsor of bypassing a Committee hearing process simply to have this Bill come out for discussion on the floor of the House without due Committee procedures. I think, if the Sponsor wants a Bill to be considered on the floor of this House they have the responsibility to come and defend that Bill in Committee and abide by the action



of that Committee. We know that at times a Sponsor has appeared and has felt they have not been treated fairly somehow in that Committee. But in the case of the Sponsor not even appearing to present this Bill, I don't think we can use that excuse to bypass Committee and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Telcser to explain his vote."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the last few weeks have been terribly hectic. I don't think there's been a Member who hasn't been caught between Committees, with Bills being heard simultaneously, with serving on Committees simultaneously. I'm not familiar with the circumstances of this Bill, but I say, all the Sponsor is asking to do is get it off the table so it can be heard. We can defeat it or pass it, whatever we wish. But I think we all ought to bend over backward to give everybody a chance to take a whack at the ball, and I don't think it's a terrible thing to not give the Sponsor to have the Bill heard. I hope that everybody votes 'yes' and she gets her 107."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Ewing to explain his vote.

Ewing...Okay. Representative Brady to explain his vote."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, while I take into consideration Representative Satterthwaite's comments, I will have to say that I also am a Member of that Elementary and Secondary Education Committee. We did hear a great number of Bills. I don't know if the opportunity would have presented itself for Representative Barnes to get to our meeting but I know I was running from there to other meetings and missed some Bills myself. I happen to be someone who supports Representative Barnes in this. I would urge that you just give her a chance to get it on the Calendar to discuss it in front of you and I urge your favorable support."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 99 'ayes', 9 'nays', 31 'present'....The motion fails. For what purpose does Representative Daniels seek recognition?"

Daniels: "With leave of the Chair, Mr. Speaker, the other day we referred House Bills 855, 856 and 857 to the Assignment of Bills Committee. Those were the Alien Ownership Bills that Representative Bradley had a concern over. I was informed today that if we did that it would automatically kill the Bill. Representative Bradley has the question of alien ownership of property in the Judiciary I, Subcommittee. With leave of the House I'd like to refer those Bills, 855, 856 and 857 to the Interim Study Committee, Judiciary I."

Speaker Lechowicz: ".....moves to suspend Rule 25 (b) and commit those three Bills, 855, 856 and 857 to the Interim Study.....of Judiciary I. That's correct. Is there any objection? Hearing none, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call. Representative Porter, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave to ask leave of the House to be recorded as 'aye' on 1718."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to be recorded as 'aye' on House Bill 1718. Is there any objection? Hearing none.....so recorded. I'm sorry, Representative Porter. The Clerk just told me that it's been postponed so there is no Roll Call. Representative Abramson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to take House Bill 1355 from the Speaker's Table and placed on Second Reading, First Legislative Day. I ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're not on that...."

Abramson: "I believe you're on the order of motions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're not on the Order of Motions right now. Don't have 107 people here, partner. Does it expire today?"

Abramson: "Just as well."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Does it expire today?"

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, I have the right to have it called..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh! Fine. Order of Motions, House Bill 1355. Would you read the Motion, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Pursuant to Rule 33 (b), I move to take House Bill 1355 from the Speaker's Table, which was reported out of Committee unfavorably, and placed on the Calendar on the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, First Legislative Day."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 1355, Representative Abramson, on the motion."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1355, an Act to prohibit counties and municipalities, including home rule units... from imposing a tax on the employment of persons by an employer. This Bill was narrowly defeated in the House City and Villages Committee by a three vote margin. I believe this is an issue of sufficient importance to warrant the full attention of the House. It's true that this Bill does encroach on home rule authority, but I believe there's a greater, more important, principle involved here. The principle is that the philosophy of a tax on employment is wrong. I ask for a favorable Roll Call....on this motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Madigan on the question."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to this motion. I happened to be present in that particular Committee hearing. This Bill received a very full, fair and open hearing. It proposes a radical change in the tax structure of home rule units all across the state by denying them an opportunity to impose taxes upon large employers. I'm rather surprised the Bill.... the motion is being offered at this late time...when there are so few people here and I'm also rather surprised at Mr. Abramson's leadership ...again allowing him to put down the path to this terrible Bill. I'm really surprised that they don't provide more enlightened leadership for him."



SPEAKER REDMOND IN CHAIR:

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser for the purpose of making an introduction."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to make two points. In the first instance the Majority Leader knows full well that every Legislator has the right and the ability to introduce Bills which they believe are right. Let me assure him that Representative Abramson introduced this Bill on his initiative because he believed that it was right. Now let me add something also, I agree with him. I think it's a good Bill. If the Gentleman from Cook wants to continue taxing every small business man in Chicago until there's nothing left of Chicago, that's fine by me. But I want to help save the City. I don't know what he wants. I don't know if he's working for the organization or for patronage, for politics, he ought to consider the people of the City of Chicago once in a while. He ought to vote for the Representative's motion. It'll help the city and it'll help the people, and I still hope, in the next few moments, he'll change his mind. It is a very parochial attitude."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser, you weren't recognized for that purpose. You were recognized to introduce Mrs. Hudson....up in the balcony there. The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "In closing, the principle is that the philosophy of tax on employment is wrong. Can you imagine the President of the United States establishing a tax on employment throughout this country? If there's one cry in this country today, it's for jobs. Anything we can do to further employment in the City of Chicago and this country should be done. This is the type of taxing philosophy that's followed by New York, which drags the City of New York and all of the State of New York to bankruptcy. The economic effect of this tax is discouragement of employment. I urge a favorable Roll Call."



Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I seriously object to the Minority Leader and his assistant moving around the chamber at this particular time. I think they ought to remain in their chairs, listen to this intelligent debate which is being offered right now. Mr. Telcser, the only condition under which I would vote for this is if you were to leave this city."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 56 'aye' and 32 'no'; the Bill...the motion failed. Any announcements? Any Resolutions? Anything for the good of the order? Representative Madigan, .."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, does the Clerk have any announcements?"

Speaker Redmond: "Do you have any program, Representative.... Jack O'Brien, pardon me. He's all finished. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, it is our intent to return on Monday at four o'clock in the afternoon and at this time, in light of developments earlier in the day, I yield to Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "On behalf of Mrs. Ray Hudson, I move to adjourn."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adjourn until four o'clock, Monday. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the House is adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1.	9:30	Speaker Redmond	House to order
		Father Krueker	Invocation
		Speaker Redmond	
2.		Clerk O'Brien	First Reading Committee Reports
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bills, First
		Speaker Redmond	Consent Calendar
3,4,5,6, 7,8.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Consent Calendar, 3rd Reading, 2nd Day; HB's 1343 through 1665. HB's 3rd, Supple. Group 5; HB-1666 through 1975 (Not all inclusive.)
	9:45	Speaker Redmond	
		Giorgi	No Agreed Resolutions
		Hoffman	Leave to change vote.
		Speaker Redmond	HB's, Second Reading
		Lechowicz	Absenses
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from the Senate
		Sumner	Leave to change vote.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Agreed Resolutions
9.	9:48	Speaker Redmond	Roll Call for Attendance (Moves adoption)
		Giorgi	Reads Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Redmond	Agreed Resolutions are adopted.
		Walsh	Leave to change vote.
10.		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Redmond	House Bills, Second Reading.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
10.	(cont.)	Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB -34
		Speaker Redmond	Work tomorrow
		Matijeovich	Wants to adjourn tonight
		Speaker Redmond	
11.	9:55	Porter	Agrees with Matijeovich
		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijeovich	Suggestions
		Speaker Redmond	
12.	9:58	Vitek	Point of personal privilege.
		Speaker Redmond	
	9:58	Marovitz	
13.		Epton	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Redmond	
14.		Friedrich	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Cunningham	Table #2 and Adopt #3
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #3
		Speaker Redmond	
		Cunningham	Explains Amendment #3
15.		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman) Yield?
)
		Cunningham) Discussion



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #3 is adopted Third Reading.
16.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-1140, Second
		Speaker Redmond	Third
		Hart	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-106, Second
		Hart	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Hart	Announcement
		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Redmond	
17.	10:05	Bowman	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Geo-Karis	Request
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2187, 2nd Reading; Am. #1 adopt
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2238; Second Reading, No C. A.
18.		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted; Third Reading
19.		Clerk O'Brien	HB -535, 2nd Reading; Am. #1 table Am. #2 adopt
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Schlickman	Inquiry
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Capparelli	
		Speaker Redmond	
20..		Terzich)	Responds
)	
		Schlickman)	
		Speaker Redmond	Hold on Second
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-842, Second Reading, No C.A.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Houlihan, D.	Hold Bill?
	10:15	Speaker Redmond	TOOR
		Matijeovich	
		MADIGAN IN CHAIR.....	
21.		Speaker Madigan	
		Griesheimer	
		Speaker Madigan	Hold H-268... "All Day"?
		Griesheimer	"Yes."
		Speaker Madigan	
		Schlickman	HB-535 to Third
		Speaker Madigan	
		Clerk O'Brien	
22.		Speaker Madigan	HB-583, TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-852
23.		Speaker Madigan	
		Houlihan)	
)	
		Speaker Madigan)	
		Schlickman	Explain Rule
		Speaker Madigan	Wish to call 853?
		Daniels	"No", Hold



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Madigan	What about HB-854?
		Houlihan	Same situation as 852
24.		Speaker Madigan	Moved to Third, HB-854
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads 854
		Speaker Madigan	Third Reading, HB-835 Third Reading
25.		Houlihan, D.	HB-861 and 863 same as 852 and 854.
		Speaker Madigan	Withdraws challenge. Have been read Third time.
		Clerk O'Brien	No Amendments
		Speaker Madigan	Third Reading, HB-949
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-949, No Amendments.
		Speaker Madigan	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-951
		Speaker Madigan	Amendments?
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1, TOOR
		Speaker Madigan	HB-962
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB=962
		Speaker Madigan	TOOR
26		Telcser	
		Speaker Madigan	HB-966, Second Reading, 1 Fl. Am.
		Sandquist	
		Speaker Madigan	TOOR, HB-1065, TOOR
		Mautino	
		Speaker Madigan	HB-1210, Second Reading, No C.A.
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB-1210
		Speaker Madigan	Third Reading, HB-583
27		Catania	Clerk read?



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-583, Second Reading, Am. #1
		Speaker Madigan	
	10:42	Clerk O'Brien	HB-1038, Second Reading, No C.A.
		Madigan	Third Reading
		Houlihan, D.	Question
		Madigan	
		Clerk O'Brien	H-1334, Second Reading, No C.A.
28.		Speaker Madigan	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1347, Second Reading, No C.A.
		Speaker Madigan	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB -1354, Second Reading, No C.A.
		Speaker Madigan	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1386, Second Reading, Am. #1
		Speaker Madigan	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1417, Second Reading, Am. #1 adopted.
		Speaker Madigan	
29.		Kozubowski	Move to table Am. #1
		Speaker Madigan	Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker Madigan	
		Kozubowski	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Kane	Concur
		Speaker Madigan	Am. #2 adopted, Third Reading
30.		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1576, Second Reading, Am. #1 and 2 adopted.
		Speaker Madigan	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Speaker Madigan	
31.	10:50	Keats	
		Speaker Madigan	
32.		Kelly	Oppose
		Speaker Madigan	
		Catania	Oppose
		Speaker Madigan	
33.		Leverenz)	Question
		Keats)	
		Speaker Madigan)	
34.		Kent	
		Speaker Madigan	
35.		Levin	Opposition
		Madigan	
		Mudd	Moves previous question
36.		Speaker Madigan	
		Keats	To close
		Madigan	
		Schneider	
		Speaker Madigan	
37.		Keats	Continue
		Speaker Madigan	Amendment #3
		Kelly	Explain vote
		Speaker Madigan	
		Deuster	Explain vote.
38.		Speaker Madigan	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Porter	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Keats	Poll absentees
		Speaker Madigan	
39.		Mudd	
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees
		Speaker Madigan	
		Flinn	Change to 'no'.
		Speaker Madigan	
		O'Daniel	Change to 'no'.
		Speaker Madigan	
		Keats	Withdraws verification
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #4
		Speaker Madigan	
40.	11:11	Kelly	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Marovitz	Supports
		Speaker Madigan	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1595, Second Reading, Am. #1 adopted.
		Speaker Madigan	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1688, Second Reading, No C.A.
	11:14	Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
41.		Speaker Madigan	
		Stearney	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Kosinski)	Yield?
)	
42.		Stearney)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Madigan	
		Leinenweber)	Question
)	
		Stearney)	
		Speaker Madigan	
43.		Stearney	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Katz	
44.		Speaker Madigan	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Hart	
		Speaker Madigan	
45.	11:21	Stearney	Explain vote
		Speaker Madigan	Am. #1, adopted, Third Reading
46.		Ryan	
		Speaker Madigan	
47.		Speaker Redmond	
		Speaker Madigan	
	11:26	Lucco	Introduction
		Speaker Madigan	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1843, 2nd Reading, TOOR
		Speaker Madigan	
		Ebbesen	Question
		Speaker Madigan	HB-1963, Second Reading
48.		Hart	Does not want it TOOR
		Speaker Madigan	
		Greiman	Parliamentary Inquiry



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Madigan	Explain rules
49.		Greiman))	Discussion on Ruling
		Speaker Madigan	
		Hart	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1963, 2nd Reading, Am. 1,2, and 3 adopted.
50		Speaker Madigan	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Hart	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Keats	Amendment #4
	11:38	Speaker Madigan	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5
		Hart))	Point of order
51.		Speaker Madigan)	
		Hart	Move to table #5
		Speaker Madigan	
		LECHOWICZ IN CHAIR.....	
52.		Hanahan	Point of order
		Madigan	
		Greiman	
53.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schuneman))	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz)	
54.		Schuneman	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
54. (cont.)		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schuneman	
		Greiman	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
55.		Hart) Move to table #5
		Speaker Lechowicz)
		Hart) Hold the motion
		Schuneman	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
56	11:52	Greiman	To close
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Hart	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Hanahan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
57.		Tuerk	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	Proceed
		Hanahan	Oppose motion to table
		Speaker Lechowicz	
58.		Tuerk	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Hart's motion
		Madison	"Present"
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #5 tabled, Third Reading.
		Hart	
59.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1977, Second Reading, No C.A.
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Yourell	Amendment #1
		Speaker Lechowicz	Adopted, Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2002
60.		Speaker Lechowicz	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2003
		Speaker Lechowicz	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2004
		Speaker Lechowicz	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2006
		Speaker Lechowicz	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB -2007
		Speaker Lechowicz	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2008
61.		Speaker Lechowicz	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB -2009
		Speaker Lechowicz	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2010
		Speaker Lechowicz	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2011
		Speaker Lechowicz	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2030
		Speaker Lechowicz	TOOR
62.		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2083, Amendment #1
		Speaker Lechowicz	Adopted, Third Reading
	12:06	Clerk O'Brien	Consent Calendar, HB's Third Reading, Group 4. HB-1622 and 1623 stricken
		Speaker Lechowicz	Bills passed.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2118, Second Reading Am. #1,2,3 and 4 adopted
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Am. #5
		Speaker Lechowicz	
64.		Houlihan, D.	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Reed	Move to table Am. #1,2,3, & 4.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Williams	Concur
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Yourell	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #1,2,3, & 4 tabled.
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #5
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Williams	Table #5
65.		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #6
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Geo-Karis	Am. #6, table.
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #7
		Speaker Lechowicz	
66.	12:15	Reed	Extensive Amendment
		Speaker Lechowicz	
67.		Porter	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Yourell)	Question
		Reed)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
68.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Macdonald	Responds
		Speaker Lechowicz	
69.		Deuster)	Yield?
)	
		Reed)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
70.		Pierce)	Yield?
)	
		Reed)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
71.		Geo-Karis	Yield?
		Reed	
72.		Williams)	Discussion
)	
		Geo-Karis)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ebbesen	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
73.		Geo-Karis	
		Reed	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	12:37	Houlihan, D.	
74.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Taylor	Move previous question
		Speaker Lechowicz)	
)	
		Keats)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Reed	Move to adopt #7



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
75.		Keats	Explain vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment Adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #8
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Reed	Oppose Amendment
76.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Williams	Oppose Amendment
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Keats	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
77.		Geo-Karis	To close
		Speaker Lechowicz	Am. #8 lost
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #9
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Geo-Karis	
78.		Speaker Lechowicz	
	12:45	Macdonald	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Williams	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
79.		Friedrich	'Good Candidate for Interim Study'



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	'Reasonable Amendment'
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Reed	Unnecessary Amendment
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment defeated
		Clerk. O'Brien	Amendment #10
80.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Macdonald	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Keats	Asks ruling of Chair
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Macdonald	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
81.		Porter	'Not intentions to delay Bill'
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	Let's get Bill moved to Third!
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Keats	'Reason for Amendments is opposition to Bill.'
		Speaker Lechowicz	
82.		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Matijevich	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Reed	
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Reed	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Chapman	Vote remaining Amendments on one Roll Call
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	12:53	McMaster	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
83.		Daniels	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Keats) Amendment #10
)
		Speaker Lechowicz)
84.		Reed	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Macdonald	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment #10 defeated
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #11
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Campbell	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Keats move to table #11
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #12
		Speaker Lechowicz	
85.		Keats	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Reed	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment #12 defeated
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #13
		Porter	Table #13
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #14



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Porter	Table #14
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #15
86.		Keats	Table #15
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #16
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Geo-Karis	Point of personal privilege Leave to table #16
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #17
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	Move to table
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
87.		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #18
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Keats	Table #18
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #19
	12:55	Speaker Lechowicz	
		Keats	Table #19
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
		Davis, J.	Question
		Speaker Lechowicz	
88.		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #20
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	12:56	Porter	
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Deuster	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Williams	Oppose
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Katz	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment Defeated
89.		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #21
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	Move to table #21.
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #22
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	Move to table #22
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #23.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	Move to table
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled.
90.		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #24
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	
	1:00	Speaker Lechowicz	Amendments 24 thro 33 not dist.
		Reed	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Williams	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
91.		Reed	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Deuster	Objects
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Williams	Concurs with Reed
		Speaker Lechowicz	
92.		Geo-Karis	Question
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	Question
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Matijeovich	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
93.		Ewing	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Davis, J.	Asks ruling of Chair
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	1:09	Mudd	
94.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Reed	
		Williams	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mudd	Point of order Move all Am. after #9 tabled
		Speaker Lechowicz	Redmond ruled Am. #24.
95.		Matijeovich	Point of order...Rules.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Williams	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Pierce	
96.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Williams	States Rule 22 (d)
		Speaker Lechowicz	
97.		McMaster)	
		Speaker Lechowicz)	
		Deuster	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
98.		Hart	Question
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #24
		Speaker Lechowicz	Not printed
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #25
	1:17	Speaker Lechowicz	Not printed
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #26
		Speaker Lechowicz	Not printed
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #27
		Speaker Lechowicz	Not printed
99.		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #28
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Houlihan, D.	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #28
		Speaker Lechowicz	Not printed



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
100.		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #29
		Speaker Lechowicz	Not printed
		Reed	TOOR
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2180, Second Reading, no C.A.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Speaker Lechowicz	
101.	1:23	Stearney	Amendment #1
		Lechowicz	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Stearney	
102.		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2248, Second Reading, Am. #1 adopted.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mudd	Move to table
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Pullen	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #4
		Speaker Lechowicz	
103.		Telcser	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment adopted



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Telcser	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #6
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	1:29	Telcser	
104.		Speaker Lechowicz	
105.		Houlihan, D.)	Yield?
106.		Telcser)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Epton	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
107.		Chapman)	
108.		Telcser)	
		Speaker Lechowicz)	
109.		Willer)	Question
		Telcser)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Brummer	Move previous question
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Telcser	To close
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Matijevec	
110.		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment lost
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #7
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	1:42	Telcser	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #8
		Telcser	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
111.		Matijevec)	Yield
)	
		Telcser)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #9
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Telcser	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Darrow	Move Previous Question
112.		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		Schneider	Leave to return HB 1240 to Second.
		Speaker Lechowicz	Leave granted
		Schneider	Move to table Am. 1, 2 & 3.
		Speaker Lechowicz	Tabled
		Schneider	Amendment #4
		Speaker Lechowicz	
113.		Hoffman	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Brady	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
114.		McBroom	HB-2241
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Schneider	To Close
		Speaker Lechowicz	Roll Call requested
115.		Brady	Explain vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment lost, 3rd Reading HB-2249 returned to 2nd.
116.		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #4
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	1:54	Hart	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5
		Hart	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		McBroom) Leave to return to 2nd)
		Speaker Lechowicz) HB-2241
		McBroom	Amendment #1
		Speaker Lechowicz	
117.		Madigan)	Explain
)	
		McBroom)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment #1 adopted, Third Reading.
118.		Sandquist	Question
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	1:53	Leverenz	Amendment #2
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment adopted, Third reading; leave HB 391 to Second.
119.		Houlihan, D.	Question
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Taylor	Yield to Kelly.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Kelly	Amendment #1
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading. Leave to return HB-485 to Second.
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Porter	Amendment #1 tabled
120		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Pierce	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Adopted, Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Lechowicz	HB-426
		Madigan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment #2
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Madigan	
121.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Madigan	Committee Amendment #1.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schlickman)	
122.		Madigan)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan	
		Madigan	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	Remain on Second
		Ewing) Why not start where we left) off?
		Speaker Lechowicz) We are: HB-1685, short debate
123.		Madigan	HB-426
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan)
		Clerk O'Brien) Amendment #2
		Madigan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment #2 adopted. Short debate Calendar HB-1690.
124.		Clerk O'Brien	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
125.		Schlickman) Question; address Bill!
		Speaker Lechowicz)
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Friedrich	Explain vote.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
126.		Houlihan, D.	Support
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Getty	Supports
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed. HB-1691.
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
127.		Caldwell	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mugalian	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
		Mugalian	Recorded 'aye' on 1690!
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Skinner	Point
		Speaker Lechowicz	Point well taken!
128.		Speaker Lechowicz	HB-1693
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schneider	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		McClain)
		Speaker Lechowicz)
		Mahar	
129.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schneider	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Pass HB-1694 T00R
		Schneider	
		Speaker Lechowicz	HB-1715, Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	
130.		Tipsword	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
131.		Contin	Oppose.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
132.		Tipsword	To close



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Bluthardt	Explain vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Tipsword	Explain vote
133.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mudd	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Brummer	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
134.		McMaster	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1718, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
135.		Schneider	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
136.		Hoxsey	
	2:38	Speaker Lechowicz	
		Lauer	xplain vote
137.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		O'Daniel	Explain vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Leinenweber	Favors
		Speaker Lechowicz	
138.		Dunn, J.	Against
		Speaker Lechowicz	HB-1718
		Schnieder	Postponed Consideration
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
139.		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1729, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz)	
		Anderson)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Dunn, J.	
		Speaker Lechowicz)	
		Anderson)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
140.		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1753, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz)	
		Friedrich)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Hart	
	2:48	Speaker Lechowicz	HB-1753, passed
141.		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1768, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Jaffe	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schlickman	Question? "No" question!
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1769...Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Jaffe	
142.		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1792...Third Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Terzich	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
143.		Terzich	Get rid of birds....
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Terzich	Postponed Consideration
		Clerk O'Brien	HB- 1794 ...1804, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
144.		Mudd	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Peters	
	2:55	Speaker Lechowicz	
		Peters	Supports
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
145.		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1822, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Catania	
146.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Miller)	Oppose
)	
		Catania)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
147.		Schlickman	
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1831, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Collins	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
153.		Clerk Hall	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Houlihan, Jim	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Schlickman	Against
154.		Speaker Lechowicz	
	3:20	Mugalian	Favors
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Marovitz	Favors
		Speaker Lechowicz	
155.		Young	'More green lights'
		Brummer	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Madison	'Present'
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Martin	Green
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Johnson	Opposes
156.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Neff	Opposed
		Speaker Lechowicz	
157.		Hanahan	Favors



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Houlihan	
158	3:28	Speaker Lechowicz	HB-1845 (Cont.)
		John Hall	Polls absentees
		Speaker Lechowicz	Postponed Consideration
		Clerk O'Brien	Sup. Consent Calendar Third Reading, group 5. Stricken HB-1670, 1671, 1762, 1784, 1900, 1959...Consent Cal.
		Speaker Lechowicz	Bills passed
		Anderson	Leave of House
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1861 , Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
159.		Satterthwaite) Point of information
		Speaker Lechowicz) TOOR
160.		Clerk John Hall	HB-1864, Third Reading
161.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Pechous	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Pechous	Postponed Consideration
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk John Hall	HB-1866, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
162.		Rigney	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
		Clerk John Hall	HB-1873, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Mann	Yield to Levin
163.		Speaker Lechowicz)	
	3:42	Levin)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
164.		Houlihan, D.	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mann	Explain vote.
165.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Davis, Corneal	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		McAuliffe	
166.		Speaker Lechowicz)	
	3:50	Epton)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
167.		Barnes, E. M.	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Postponed Consideration
		Mann)	
)	
		Speaker Lechowicz)	
		Clerk John Hall	HB-1889, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Capparelli	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	3:55	Mahar	Explain vote.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Capparelli	
169.		Speaker Lechowicz	Poll the absentees



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk John Hall	Polls absentees
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
170.		Ryan	Verification
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Capparelli	Dump the Roll Call Postponed Consideration
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	4:01	Clerk John Hall	HB-1918, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
171.		Giglio	
		Speaker Lechowicz	HB-1918
		McBroom	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
172.		Kempiners	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Giglio	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Boucek	'No'.
		Speaker Lechowicz	89 'ayes'.....
		Totten	Change to 'present'
		Speaker Lechowicz)	TOOR
173.		Mann))
		Speaker Lechowicz	Postponed Consideration
		Clerk John Hall	HB-1928, Third Reading
		Giglio	TOOR
		Speaker Lechowicz))
174.		Giglio))



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk John Hall	HB-1946 , Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	4:10	Leverenz	
		Speaker Lechowicz	TOOR
		Clerk John Hall	HB-1972, Third Reading
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Christensen	
175.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Friedrich	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Friedrich	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Wolf	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
176.		Ewing	Explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Neff	Explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
177.		Luft	Explains
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
		Clerk John Hall	HB-1974
178.		Brummer	Sponsor
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Brady	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed HB-2118, Second Reading
		Friedrich	'aye' on 1974.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	HB-2118
		Clerk O'Brien	Postponed Consideration #24.
179.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	Table #24 thro 33.
		Speaker Lechowicz	Leave
		Clerk O'Brien	#35
		Williams	Questions #34
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	#34
		Jones, E.	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
180.		Pierce	Germane
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Reed)
		Speaker Lechowicz) /
		Jones, E.	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ewell	
181.		Speaker Lechowicz	Rules germane
		Ewell	Favors
		Speaker Lechowicz	
182.		Griesheimer	Against
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Williams	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
183.		Davis, Corneal	
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Ewell	To close
184.		Speaker Lechowicz	
	5:35	Jones, Emil	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Wikoff	Point of parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Lechowicz	
186.		Reed	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Griesheimer	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ewell	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ewell	Take out of record
		Speaker Lechowicz	HB-2118
		Clerk O'Brien	#35
187.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Porter	
		Speaker Lechowicz	adopted, Third Reading
		Matijeovich	HB-2368, Suspend rule.
		Speaker Lechowicz	Leave granted
188.		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from the Senate
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Giorgi	SJR.....



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	Resolution adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from the Senate
		Speaker Lechowicz	
189.		Barnes, E.	Suspend rule on HB-2393
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Introduction
		Speaker Lechowicz	General Resolutions
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Bennett	HB-869. 'yes'...809, 'no'.
		Speaker Lechowicz	Motions
190.		Barnes, J.	HB-1528
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Satterthwaite	
191.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Telcser	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Brady	
192.		Speaker Lechowicz	Fails
		Daniels	HB-855, 856, 857; suspend Rule
		Speaker Lechowicz	Leave
		Porter	'aye' on 1718
		Speaker Lechowicz	
193.		Abramson	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	HB-1355
		Clerk O'Brien	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Abramson	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
194.		Madigan	Opposes
		Speaker Redmond in Chair	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Telcser	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Abramson	In closing
		Speaker Redmond	
195.		Madigan	
		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
		Madigan	Monday...4:00 o'clock.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijevich	Move adjourn
	5:00	Speaker Redmond	Adjourned

