

Doorkeeper Koehler: "All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order, Members please be in their seats. Be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Christian Neville Bovee said: 'One who is contented with what he has done will never become famous for what he will do. He has lain down to die, and the grass is already growing over him'. Let us pray. Almighty and Everlasting God, Creator of heaven and earth, the only Source of wisdom, knowledge and understanding, we are grateful to Thee for all the blessings of this life and, especially, for this day. We thank Thee for our health and happiness for the good providence to be in this land of freedom and liberty, and for the privilege for serving in this House of Representatives of this great State of Illinois. Prevent us, O Lord, from complacency and contentment with our accomplishments; keep us, O Lord, ever alert to the needs of the people of this state; and enforce us, O Lord, with the character of courage and constancy as we engage ourselves in the pursuit of Thy will for all mankind. This we ask in the Name of Thy Son, Jesus Christ. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following title and the passage of which I am asked...instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Bills #389, 995, 1676 and 1811. Passed by the Senate, May 10, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representatives Matijevich, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations I to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 10, 1978, reported the same back with the following recommendations: do pass House Bills 3012, 3233, 3235 and 3335. Do pass as amended House Bills 2580 and Senate Bill 1511. Tabled in Committee House Bill 3226."



Speaker Redmond: "Introduction, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3382. Ewell. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Inheritance and Transfer Tax Law. First Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 3383. Matijevich. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Insurance. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "First Reading, Senate Bills."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 389. Greiman, et al. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 995. Emil Jones. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1676. Greiman-Laurino-Peters-Telcser-Skinner. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Demonstrations Law. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1811. Greiman-Leverenz-Peters-Telcser. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills Second Reading. On House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2626, Representative Ebbesen."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2626. A Bill for an Act to regulate the advertising..."

Speaker Redmond: "Of what? Chalkie, you tell him what it is."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ophthalmic material. Ophthalmic...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, that's ophthalmic, the other one's not in my Bill. But Amendment #1 to House Bill 2626 merely adds in the enforcement of the Act. It says those that are licensed in the State of Illinois, optometry and ophthalmologists, would be under the jurisdiction of the Department of Registration and Education; and those who were nonlicensed, according to this Act, would come under the jurisdiction of the Attorney General's Office. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment, those in favor say 'aye'...one Floor Amendment there. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, did you move that to Third Reading yet, the only...."



Speaker Redmond: "Haven't found the Amendment yet."

Ebbesen: "Well, it's been circulated two or three days ago."

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Ebbesen: "It was circulated two or three days ago. Amendment #1."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Ebbesen. Amends House Bill 2626 on page 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved for the adoption of the Amendment, those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carries, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Now go to the doctor, Joseph.

Take Jack O'Brien with you.Giorgi. Giorgi. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, does the record indicate that there might be a conflict of interest in that last....Bill that was called by the person calling it? Does the record show that, Joe?"

Speaker Redmond: "It shows that there isn't. Representative Richmond wants to know if there is.....Representative Ebbesen. Representative Richmond wants to know if you'll consent to transmit your laryngitis to some of the other Members. Roman Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, for the next term what are the possibilities of having Joint Rules so this House doesn't spin its wheels?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we tried to do it this time but there are some people who didn't want to, weren't able to get....."

Kosinski: "It puts the Senate, of course, in control of every action of this House and I don't believe that's fair to the Members of the Assembly."

Speaker Redmond: "I agree with you. I voted for them but....didn't have enough votes. Eddie. Agreed Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 79. Pierce-Geo-Karis.

Senate Joint Resolution 80, Matijevich-Geo-Karis.

House Resolution 826, Polk. 827, Yourell. 828, Kucharski.

830, Ryan-McBroom. 831, Matejek-Miller. 832, Matejek-Miller....

Getty-Matejek-Miller."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."



Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Joint Resolution 79 and 80 by Pierce-Matijevich ^{Chair of the} talks about the claims of the closing of the Moultrie installations. 826 by Polk notes a birthday greeting. 827 by Yourell...Monsignor William McNichols on his fortieth anniversary. Kucharski, 828 talks about a 50th wedding anniversary. Ryan's 830...Knights of Columbus Diamond Anniversary. 831 by Matejek talks about talks about an Eagle Scout and 832 by Matejek does the same thing. And I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, was House...was House Resolution...Joint Resolution...Senate Joint Resolution 79 read? Senate Joint Resolution 79, sponsored by Senator Berning in the Senate and Senate Joint Resolution 80 sponsored by my State Senator both refer to memorializing Congress not to close Fort Sheridan, Great Lakes, Rantoul, Chanute Air Base in Rantoul and Rock Island Arsenal. And although I had to get a motion to suspend the rules, I would like to see them go through as Agreed Resolutions since I think the...the element of importance is the purpose of the Resolutions and I certainly wholeheartedly concur of them being Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Sponsored by Senator Berning and who was the other Senator?"

Geo-Karis: "My State Senator."

Speaker Redmond: "Who is that?"

Geo-Karis: "My opponent."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that Senator Leonard(sic)?"

Geo-Karis: "I suppose."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Question's on Representative Giorgi's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions, those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried and the Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 829, Deuster. And House Resolution 833, Katz-Schneider."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. Representative Geo-Karis,



did you have a Resolution for Irving Berlin's 90th birthday?"

Geo-Karis: "No, but I think he is a great performer, a great writer and I think he is well loved by all in this country. I wish I did. Perhaps you would have, Speaker, because you have a very melodious voice yourself."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to ask if we could go to the Order of Second Reading, I have a couple of technical Amendments to clean up a Bill to which a fiscal note has been requested and...and if these Amendments are adopted it could conceivably can change the amount of money involved a fiscal note. I'd like to have them adopted before filing a fiscal note if I may. 2121, House Bill 2121."

Speaker Redmond: "2121, House Bills Second Reading. Representative... is there any motion with respect to Committee Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2121. A Bill for an Act to provide civil actions against nursing homes, shelter care homes and homes for the care of the aged which deprive their residents of rights or benefits. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, D: "Mr. Speaker, there's some floor Amendments on this Bill.

In view of the limited number of people that are on the floor at this time can we hold Bills which have floor Amendments until we get a better attendance here?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the...there....as far as I know, there's only two floor Amendments both of which I've filed and they are, I assure the Representative, technical Amendments. And as I indicated in my remarks of which I presume he missed, they are for purposes of getting the Bill into shape for filing the fiscal note which has been requested. If the Amendments are adopted, my intention is to leave them on Second Reading, in fact indeed I may



not move it to Third Reading because I have not filed a fiscal note and so I believe the Representative's apprehensions are not well taken."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan. I don't know the signal."

Houlihan: "Well, apparently, he doesn't think then that the request is reasonable, I thought it was in view of the early hour this morning and the fact we have a limited attendance on the floor. If he's going to hold the Bill on Second Reading, why not hold it until we get a Membership here and then we can go through...through the Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "The answer to that question is that I would like to file the fiscal note as soon as I can and...and I have reason to believe that one of the Amendments may have an impact on that and so I would like to adopt the Amendment now...now, file the fiscal note later in the day and then we can take it up on Second Reading then. If there are other floor Amendments, that's fine, but at least I will have been able to discharge my responsibilities by filing a....fiscal note."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Oh, we can keep this up but I don't want to make a request for a quorum call, I thought it was at least being reasonable, I'm going to make request on other Member's Bills who have floor Amendments here on Second Reading. I suggest you take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "I...suggest you take it out of the record. I called it at the request of the Sponsor, Mr. Houlihan. Out of the record. Roll Call for attendance. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yeah, just a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Bowman: "Question I have is, are there other floor Amendments besides the ones I've filed? What...what are the...2...2 and 3 are the only floor Amendments filed with respect to that Bill? Okay. And both are mine, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."



Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, could I have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call, I've discussed this with the Minority Leader, George Ryan. The Bills in Appropriations Committee that we heard yesterday; all we did was say all Bills continued from the Wednesday, May 10 meeting and since we're going into another week, we have to give those Bills by number. Leave that these Bills will be heard next Tuesday at 10 a.m. in Room 114, House Bills 2538, 2550, 2590, 2857, 2887, 2944, 2967, 2971, 2986, 3062, 3067, 3071, 3072, 3073, 3128, 3198, 3231, 3265, 3290, 3361. Leave that those Bills...."

Speaker Redmond: "Would the give the Clerk a list of those Bills?"

Matijevich: "Sure will."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the Posting Rule be suspended to permit the hearing of those Bills next week, does he have leave? Hearing no objection, the Attendance Roll Call will be used. Leave is granted. Speaker's Table, House Resolution 78. Is Representative O'Brien here? House Resolution 150, Representative Kucharski. Out of the record. 478, Representative Giglio, do you want to try that Resolution? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to submit a request to you personally for the remainder of this Session. When you move around the Calendar, would you preface your call of the Resolution or Bill by stating where you're at on the Calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will do. Speaker's Table, page 17."

Madigan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "No, Mr. Speaker, I'll hold forth 78 but I'll go with 667."

Speaker Redmond: "667, House Resolution 667."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 667 came about during the last snow storm when we had a lot of trouble trying to get the streets plowed. And in my investigation of the three yards in my area, found out that there were a lot of trucks that were down, a lot of new trucks that were in the shop more than they should have been out, the personnel was not covered. And what I'm asking in this Resolution is that the...our House Transportation Committee have a meeting with DOT



to find out just where we're at pertaining to how much equipment we have, if we have the personnel and if we have the necessary facilities to do the job we're supposed to do and give the service to the people and keep the highways in the shape that they're supposed to be in."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes...yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Ryan: "Representative Giglio, I'm not sure that I understand the need for this, maybe you could explain it to me a little bit."

Giglio: "Well, what happened was, when I asked the Department of Transportation in the two yards in my area when we had the snow storm why the lanes were...weren't plowed they said they were very busy and they didn't have the equipment and they were working. And I asked then that...why they didn't have a, perhaps an emergency contract with private enterprise to help out during such snow storms like we'd have. There are many shopping areas and places in private enterprise that do have contracts set up with various individuals to come in and help do the job that's supposed to be done. After I talked to the...individuals at one of the yards, I went back two days later and asked again why the area was not plowed and they said they were still working on some other areas. Well, I went over them personally myself, was reasonable with the individual, told them who I am, what I wanted to know and in the process found out that there are many trucks, that they're so old that they're not useable. We found out that the new trucks are in the shop more than they are...should be out in the streets. We also found out from...here in Springfield when I came down that some of the contracts when we bought the trucks - it takes approximately two years and some of them are even trying to get their money - so I question whether or not it's worth getting the new trucks that are second hand or whether we're getting trucks that are really good. I also found out from talking to the...a couple of other individuals from the other yards that the routes that they have are...are too long now and they're too big. With the



roads being deteriorated, which that they are, the roads require more service. So I question whether or not perhaps we should increase the amount of people working. Get more trucks, hire more people to do the job that's supposed to be done. This is what I think we'd like to find out, what I'd like to find out with this Resolution and it's not going to cost any extra money because I feel the...the Transportation Committee of our House is capable and able in doing the job. And if with the budget coming up.... the next month or so, if that's the case then I think it's our responsibility to give DOT the necessary tools to do the job they're supposed to do to give the people service in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Representative Giglio, did you discuss this at all with Secretary Kramer, did you....call him and tell him what your personal problem was; that you want to create an investigation of the...into the department for?"

Giglio: "No, but I did talk to Mr. Zajewski who is the Director or whatever his capacity is in District 1 in my area which takes in all of Cook County."

Ryan: "And he couldn't answer your question?"

Giglio: "He...he answered some of my questions with a letter about the snow removal but, truthfully, I wasn't satisfied because I see no program that is set up for this type of operation, especially during the snow storm that we have(sic)."

Ryan: "Well, Representative, I really don't see any need for this Resolution and if you'll take it out of the record, I'll be glad to...to go with you or make an appointment with Secretary Kramer so you can....we can sit down and discuss this. You may be able to get your personal problems cared for up there."

Giglio: "Well, I don't think it's so much...."

Ryan: "That...that would certainly seem the right way to go rather than to spend the taxpayer's money on...on an issue like this."

Giglio: "Well, I don't think we're going to spend the taxpayer's money..."

Ryan: "Can you imagine what kind of shape we'd be in if all 177



Members wanted to have the...their areas investigated about snow removal? I think this is an irresponsible, totally irresponsible Resolution....I think you ought to try and correct it by talking with the Secretary and I certainly oppose the Resolution."

Giglio: "I think it's one of the best Resolutions that we've had and I think if we investigate all the departments perhaps we'd have better service for the people of the State of Illinois, not only in the highways up north but in the highways all through the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think Mr. Giglio is trying to make a point. He thinks maybe Governor Thompson shouldn't appoint so many County Chairmen to measure bridges. He should appoint the County Chairmen to man the snow plows because snow removal was an abomination in this state this last winter....was one of the worst snow....and efforts made in this state and that's what I think Giglio wants to point out."

Speaker Redmond: "...Let's get a modifying voice here. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, the problem in my area, there's still too many Democrats on the payroll and we can't get any work out of them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "A point of partisan procedure. I wonder if the Resolution also asks for an investigation of the Mayor of Chicago's somewhat faulty removal of snow removal...."

Speaker Redmond: "That wouldn't be...."

Skinner: "...Since the Gentleman's district does cover part of Chicago and the workers for the city obviously were doing something else other than....their work since....considering the election returns."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to get serious about it, I don't know just....I can be serious....I worked in that Department for a few months and I know the workings of that."



If there's going to be any investigation, it should be through the Department head and the Governor has absolutely nothing to do with the Department of Transportation, it's the Director and it's the civil service engineers that we've had in there for a good many years under the Democrats and Republicans. So I think this is a waste of tax dollars. I think that a letter should be directed by this Body or by the Leaders of this Body to the Director of Illinois Department of Transportation and it should pass... be passed along to the chief engineers in each district. This is a bad Resolution, should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "No, I was just going to make the point that Elmer Conti and Zeke Giorgi and Frank Giglio that today is not Italian-American Day it's German-American Day."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I concur with Representative Giglio having had the opportunity of serving as a highway maintenance supervisor knowing that the Governor of the State of Illinois do appoint the Director of the state. Knowing that he do(sic) have the last word with what to say about the highways, knowing that there were(sic) reason for the snow to be on the highways the way it was this year with the type of equipment and the manpower that they have and I endorse Representative Giglio's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I certainly agree with my senior colleague from Winnebago about the bad conditions of the roads and some of those people that have been placed on the road crews but the problem is it's the leftover from the last Administration. That some of those people couldn't read and write and they have a difficult time in knowing when the weather is going to become bad. So I do agree, maybe it does need investigation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio to close."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and say to some of the remarks.... I think a lot of good will come out of this and since both sides of the aisle will be represented adequately in the House



Transportation Committee. I think we can learn a lot and will find out exactly what's going on. If they do need the tools, if they do need more manpower, with the Governor that's in there now, just think of the patronage that he'll have. And if we have to buy better equipment or if we have to get rid of the trucks at 50,000 miles instead of 80,000 miles, which they say to do the job, then let's do it. Let's give the Department the tools and let's give them the necessary equipment to do the job they're supposed to do. And I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House adopt House Resolution 667? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, this question, 89.... 81 'ayes' and 67 'noes'...it requires 89 votes. Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 81 'aye' and 67 'no' and the House does not adopt House Resolution 667. House Joint Resolution 26, Representative Stearney. Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to take this opportunity to introduce the Cambridge Junior High eighth grade class, in the gallery to my left - your right - Mr. Speaker. They are accompanied by teachers, Mr. Dennison, Mr. Mel 'Krampnell', also by Everett Palmer, Barb Hancock, Mrs. 'Brachuer' and Mrs. 'Eckelberger'. I would like to say that these people are represented down here by Clarence Neff, myself and Representative Sam McGrew. Would you give the people a hand?"

Speaker Redmond: "E. M. Barnes, Senate Joint Resolution 62, page 18, line 9. Representative E. M. Barnes. Out of the record. Senate Joint Resolution 79, Sir? Representative Pierce. Senate Joint Resolution 79? I understand that 79 and 80 are an Agreed Resolution, is that correct?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 79 and 80 were adopted on the Agreed Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would ask unanimous consent to be recorded voting 'yes' on that last Resolution, House Resolution 667."



Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to be recorded?"

Hearing no objections, leave is granted and he will be so recorded.

House Bills Third Reading, page 12. House Bill 104, do you want it? Takes 89."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 104."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty, do you want 104 called?"

Out of the record. Let's go back to Speaker's Table, where are we here...page 18, line 1, House Joint Resolution 67. James Houlihan. James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Joint Resolution was drafted and recommended 'do pass' by the Executive Committee. There was general agreement that the state ought to be doing something in response to the serious problem of lost revenues of...by tax fraud; the problem being that cash businesses are often in the position of not reporting all of their income. And what this Resolution does, it sets up a special joint investigating committee to look into procedural...procedures that we could adopt that would make more likely the return of cash to the state. For example, we would not focus on individual fraud of a particular agent but would focus more on structural change, maybe reporting, maybe giving every retailer, retail occupation tax number, trying to have the wholesalers report to the Department of Revenue so there could be a cross check, things like that rather than the individual performance of an agent. And I would move for the adoption of House Joint Resolution 67."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt House Joint Resolution 67? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's a 133 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does adopt House Joint Resolution 67. Representative Ryan, are there any Republican absences that should be excused?"

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Representative Catania is away on legislative business and Representative Hudson is still ill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection to having the record show that their



absences are excused? How about Representative Dyer, Representative Ryan? I think Representative Dyer should be added to that list, should she not?"

Ryan: "I was under the impression that she may be back today, Mr.

Speaker, but I...maybe you know more than I do."

Speaker Redmond: "She didn't respond to the Roll Call is all I know."

Ryan: "Well, why...why don't we go ahead and excuse her and then if she comes in we can unexcuse(sic) her."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. She will be added to the list then if there's no objection. Representative Madigan, are there any Democrats absences that should be excused? Committee Report."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative E. M. Barnes, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 10, 1978, reported the same back with the following recommendations: do pass House Bill 3013, 3234. Do pass as amended House Bills 2973, 2979 and 3370."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich, you seeking recognition?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, no, I was really just stretching but as long as you called on me, I'm looking at the Digest and I wonder if you could explain to me, they...we have HJR 52, no Sponsor, not introduced, November 2, 1977, no action. What does all that mean anyway? Since we're on such earth-shattering business...."

Speaker Redmond: "...Digest."

Matijevich: "Since we're on such earth-shattering business I thought maybe I could have leave to be Sponsor of that, find out what it's all about."

Speaker Redmond: "What page, Representative Matijevich?"

Matijevich: "Page 1167 of the Digest."

Speaker Redmond: "We will check it out and let you know."

Matijevich: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills Third Reading. On House Bills Third Reading, page 12, House Bill 400. Representative Skinner."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 400. A Bill for an Act...."

Speaker Redmond: "I hear Skinner but I don't see him. Out of the record? Representative Friedrich."



Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege,
I'll be brief with your permission."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Friedrich: "For the last two years we've had under construction an underground parking facility next door over here. When this project was proposed, I objected because I thought it was a waste of the taxpayer's money. We were told that it would cost about 8,000 to 9,000 dollars per parking space. I...I predicted then it would run 12. We have the figures now and in the meantime compact car space has been added to about 300 compacts. It...it's going to run 12,064 dollars per car space. I pointed out at that time, and I want to point out to you now, that we could have provided above ground parking space for about 3500 dollars a car, we could have provided ground level parking space for a 1000 dollars a car and now we are stuck with this thing with 12,000 a car plus the maintenance, cleaning, heating, lighting and all those things. I think this is an extravagant waste of the taxpayer's money. I hope that when future projects are planned....keep this in mind. I think we are...have been listening too much to the Springfield Chamber of Commerce and I hope that this Body, which represents all the state in which you're taxpayers will have to pay for, will start listening when we get into some project of this kind instead of listening to the planners."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills Third Reading, House Bill 620. Representative Monroe Flinn. Out of the record. 634, Representative Laurino. Out of the record. 1238, E. M. Barnes. Out of the record. 1913, Representative Bowman."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1913. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to landlords and tenants. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, I...I'd like to hold this for a second, someone asked me if I would do that and I agreed."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 1967."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1967. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections



of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 1967 is the quarterly registration of second division vehicles. What this does, it gives the people that buy second division vehicles an opportunity to apply for the license plates on a quarterly basis instead of holding back and not buying them when they want to buy them and register them on a biannual, annual basis. There was a fiscal note filed, we've got a repealer on it to see whether or not we're going to lose money or not. The estimated revenue increase would be approximately 100,000. It would perhaps enhance people to buy the trucks in the spring or in the fall knowing that they only have to apply for a quarterly plate and thus doing what they want to do. The people that testified, the farmers, the trucking industry, We have here...and the Secretary of State, Department of Transportation. I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Roman Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was well reviewed in the Vehicles Committee, it came out... unanimous recommendation of passage and I would so encourage."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Griesheimer: "Just inquire, what is the total monetary loss to the State of Illinois as a result of the passage of this Bill?"

Giglio: "In one...the loss is one point....1.5 million and the... anticipate the pickup, the increase 1.6 million, so they figure an overall 100,000 dollar increase."

Griesheimer: "How do we pick up money?"

Giglio: "Well, we pick up because they anticipate that many more trucks, people would buy their trucks and register them, not hold back and they would...they would buy the trucks earlier and therefore register. And then if you buy a truck and not wait, you might not buy it, or buy it for three months, hold it before you put it



on the road and the state wouldn't be getting their money anyway."

Griesheimer: "Who came up with this idea of the speculation as to how much money we're going to make over and above potential loss?"

Giglio: "The Secretary of State."

Griesheimer: "And the loss of this money will be from the Road Fund?"

Giglio: "Well, it's not to...the loss in the Road Fund but then on the other hand it's an increase to the Road Fund. You know, it'll balance out, that's why, truthfully, Don, we put the repealer on. If it doesn't then, perhaps we won't go with it in 1981. It'll go in effect in '79 and '81 if there isn't then we've got a repealer to take it out."

Griesheimer: "The reason I'm trying to differentiate is that you're saying that we're going to make the money back but it'll be made back in sales tax not to the Road Fund, won't it?"

Giglio: "No. No. It'll be made back in the purchase of the plate. It's...it's just that we figure the people that are holding back are holding back because they don't want to pay that six-year... six-month plate. ...Have on a quarterly registration we will be picking up more registrants and then they won't wait so long."

Griesheimer: "I see, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this particular legislation will probably bring in more money, it's hard to estimate just what. But if you go around and talk to truck dealers over the state you will find that they have trucks sitting there, sometimes two and three months waiting until they can buy this license plate. If we reduce this down, as this legislation will do, you will find those people going ahead and buying that truck license, putting these out on the road and that's why Representative Giglio is trying to get this through because in the end.... I...I don't think there's any question, as the Secretary of State has agreed, this will bring in more money to the taxpayer and to our Road Fund; which the license plates do, as we all know, go into the Road Fund. And, therefore, I support this legislation."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Representative Giglio to close."

Giglio: "I would just ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 142 'aye' and 1 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority hereby declared passed. 1970."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1970. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that we put the Amendment on yesterday that struck everything after the enacting clause. And what this does, it gives the Motor Vehicle Law Commission the go-ahead to investigate and hold meetings pertaining to the abandonment of junked vehicles and also to set up the fund that we had...through the Motor Vehicle Commission sometime back with the Recycling Board. As you know, the Recycling Board is an inactive Board, there's money that has been in that Board for a number of years and we passed a law here back in '76 that we'd take everything over a million dollars and give it to the discretion of the Governor to put it where he wants to. And what we're trying to do now is to conduct the thorough hearings with municipalities, the counties and all the people pertaining to the abandonment of the junked vehicles. We're going to try to see whether or not we'll reactivate the Recycling Board or put it in the hands of the Commission; perhaps give back some money to the municipalities so the abandoned vehicles could be gotten off the highways and byways. And what...what it does, it'll take approximately, we figure 20, 10,000 dollars. But what we did...the Motor Vehicles Law Commission reduced this budget by 10,000 or 12,000 dollars. Now if



we hopefully pass this Bill we'll increase it...or we'll bring back another 10 so we were...be short 2,000 dollars which we were last year. So this is what we're trying to do and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker, I...I wish the Sponsor would, first of all, take this out of the record until we act...I think there are...at least one Bill having to do with abolishing completely the vehicle Recycling Board. If the General Assembly passes that Bill then I think there is some merit in doing this and that Bill is either on Second Reading or Third Reading and I...if the Sponsor would hold this until we act on that then we can discuss the merits of looking into what they were doing."

Giglio: "Fine. Sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "It's okay, we can take it out."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Representative Madigan, 19... 1973, Representative Chapman. Out of the record. 2214, Representative Younge. 2214, out of the record or you want to run it? Out of the record? 2316, Representative Kane."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2316. Kane. A Bill for an Act to establish the Illinois State Theater. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, can I have leave to return House Bill 2316 back to Second Reading for the purpose."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection leave is granted, it will be returned to the Order of Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Kane. Amends House Bill 2316 on page 1, line 16, by changing '1978' to '1979' and so forth."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment makes some technical changes and then also changes the makeup of the board that would run the state theater, basically the changes to reduced fee limitations on the appointments and allow for more representation from private colleges, universities and...and communities groups. I'd urge the adoption of the Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Did you find it?"

Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Request for a fiscal note is filed."

Kane: "By whom?"

Speaker Redmond: "Schlickman."

Kane: "We'll get your fiscal note, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Hold it on Second. Suggest that you amend Bills in the Senate. 2486."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2486. Kane. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to tax gifts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, could I have leave to return this to Second and put an Amendment on and...and get it back to Third before Representative Schlickman gets up to the....."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't guarantee what'll happen to Representative Schlickman."

Kane: "What this Amendment does, Ladies and Gentlemen, is...."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return 2486 to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection leave is granted."

Kane: "The Amendment, Mr. Speaker, adds an immediate effective date and I'd urge the adoption....."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Kane. Amends House Bill 2486 on page 2, line 30 and after line 30 the following, 'Section 2. This Amendatory Act takes effect upon its becoming the law'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I'd urge the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion....

Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J: "Representative Kane, this will be effective immediately. The public wasn't aware of this, your having effective immediately



bypassed...didn't have a hearing in Committee. Why would you want to have an effective date immediately? I mean you're gonna really throw things into a bit of confusion."

Kane: "This was requested by the State Treasurer."

Houlihan, J: "By whom?"

Kane: "The State Treasurer."

Houlihan, J: "Oh, okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carries, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2560, Representative Levin. Out of the record. 2570, Representative Lechowicz."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2570. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz. Out of the record. 2598."

Clerk O'Brien: "House...House Bill 2598. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jack Davis. Out of the record. Representative Lechowicz, do you want 2570 called? 2643, Representative Lechowicz."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2643. A Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2662."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2662. Gerald Bradley."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2663."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2663. Gerald Bradley."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2708. Out of the record. 2749."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2749. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Downstate Public Transportation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bennett."

Bennett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is a significant Bill for downstate public transportation."



And in essence what it does is to forbid any administration, whether it be this one or any one following, from transferring from the Downstate Public Transportation Fund to the General Revenue Fund monies for mass transit downstate. What has happened in the past has been, I guess a desire on administration, to transfer money out of mass transit downstate into the General Revenue Fund to build up that fund. And what has happened since fiscal year 1975 has been the transfer of over 11,000,000 dollars out of mass transit. The effect of that transfer has been to short...to shortchange mass transit downstate and to not permit many communities - some which I'll mention - from expanding their mass transit services. This Bill would have a tremendous effect upon...about 12 mass transit systems downstate which includes the Bi-State Development, Bloomington-Normal, Champaign-Urbana, Decatur, Galesburg, Loves Park, Pekin, Peoria, Quincy, Rock Island, Rockford and Springfield. This...this Bill received overwhelming support in its Committee Hearing and I submit to you at this time that it is a fair Bill. It permits the kind of service that downstate needs for mass transit and I solicit your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Madigan: "Mr. Bennett, has this Bill been amended since it was in the Rules Committee?"

Bennett: "No, it has not."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address myself to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Madigan: "I rise in support of the Bill. What it provides is that there will be an automatic guarantee of financial support for downstate mass transit systems. Today there is an absence of that guarantee, and as explained by Representative Bennett, many of these companies have found themselves in a position where they are unable to budget intelligently for the...for...for the future year or years. This Bill, if enacted into law, would provide the



type of guarantee that is needed to budget intelligently for the provision of mass transit services."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote.... Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kane: "As the downstate mass transit works now, and state subsidy of the downstate mass transit systems, if the Department of Transportation approves deficits, or approve different services for the downstate mass transit systems, and if the Department of Transportation does not approve those additional services then deficits are not going to build up and we're still not going to use this money that's in the fund. Does this Bill indicate that the Administration through the Department of Transportation is actually going to allow the downstate mass transit systems to expand their services or not? Because, if the Department of Transportation does not allow the transit systems to expand services this money still is not going to be spent even if it stays in the fund."

Bennett: "This particular Administration, I don't think, has made any kind of promises in regard to what...what monies will be available to downstate - or additional monies - but I...I know it does, the Bill has the support of the Administration and they are in agreement with its concept. And I think...not only is it money being transferred out - over 11,000,000 dollars - but it's a great loss of federal revenue because for every dollar spent, there's a dollar that comes in from the federal government to match. So you're talking about, Representative Kane, a lot of money that will be available. Now it's up to us, and our particular district's affected, I think, to make sure the Administration - whomever that person might be - listens to our needs for mass transit downstate."

Kane: "How much is in the Department of Transportation's budget for subsidy for downstate mass transit districts next year?"

Bennett: "7.8 million dollars."

Kane: "That's not all of the money that will be in the fund is it?"

Bennett: "That's right."



Kane: "That is not all of the money?"

Bennett: "That is not all of the money. There's...."

Kane: "Will you support an Amendment, then, to make sure that all of that money is...is spent and that that appropriation is increased to the amount of money that's going to be available this year?"

Bennett: "No, I don't think I...I can do that at the present time. I think, first of all, the money in this fund, this Bill only guarantees that it cannot be transferred out, period. It does not...the Bill does not address as to how the money will in fact be spent. We were not attempting to...to infringe in that area. You know, I can't...yeah, right. The Department of Transportation still makes that decision and it's up to us, I think, to make sure that our needs downstate in our respective communities and our respective mass transit districts, that they hear our cry for additional money."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would support this Bill but I would like to indicate to the Membership of the House that this Bill, in and of itself, does nothing. It just means that the money cannot be transferred out of the Mass Transit Fund to the General Revenue Fund. But unless the Department of Transportation has a positive program to approve budgets and programs and services by the downstate mass transit systems this money will not get to improve services to any of our downstate systems. And I think that when the Department of Transportation's budget comes along we're going to have to appropriate all of this money. And I would hope that we get the same support from the other side of the aisle that...for that Amendment as is indicated by the sponsorship and the support for this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly, one of the games that the...the backers of the Chicago Transit Authority play with downstate Legislators is to try to convince them that reasonable requests made for downstate transportation systems will not be supported by RTA opponents. Now I would like to blow that myth out of the water by my 'yes' vote on this Bill. Now



certainly downstate public transportation districts are being treated much differently from public transportation in the Regional Transportation Authority area. As you know, the RTA rips 3/32nds of the sales tax right off the top of the General Fund and gets it... regardless of whether or not they have a project that's worth spending it on. Now...or whether they're spending it according to law, for that matter. Downstate transportation districts do not have this privilege and because of that they have to scrape and scrimp. Now it's time we ended that. If it's fair for Chicago, it surely....if it's fair for us to take over a 100,000,000 dollars a year out of the General Fund - which could have gone to fully fund the State Aid to Education Formula - it's surely fair to take 11,000,000 dollars out of the General Fund and give it to the downstate public transportation districts and let 'em spend any way they want to."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Griesheimer: "Representative Bennett, just a question on this. I read the analysis...I read the analysis, Representative Bennett. I was just wondering, would this give the downstate transportation authorities the right to impose a 5 percent gas tax as we have in the Regional Transportation Authority?"

Bennett: "No."

Griesheimer: "I was just wondering, as Representative Skinner just pointed out, those of us living in this blighted area of the RTA are living with this now, And I was wondering, we do wish to support your Bill and we would solicit your support and all of the support of downstaters that are trying to get better transportation....transportation, the opportunity to get out of this 5 percent gas tax and the RTA. We would just enquire whether you would similarly support our efforts to get out of this 5 percent gas tax and therefore, possibly, out of the RTA."

Speaker Redmond: "You ready for the question? Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Will the Sponsor yield?"



Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Daniels: "Representative Bennett, what guarantee do we have, under your Bill, that the amount collected from the various areas will be spent in those areas?"

Bennett: "There...I tried to answer this with Representative Kane. There is no guarantee. This Bill only says that...that the Governor cannot transfer the funds out of downstate public transportation into the General Revenue Fund. Now, I think though, the passage of this Bill makes it very clear to any administration, though, our intention that we use this money for mass transit downstate. If we don't make that case to any administration it's our fault, those of us who are affected. It would be nice to make a guarantee, I think, being from one of the districts that's involved here. But we can't do that, we don't do it by this Bill, but I don't see that as any kind of threat or any kind of problem because I think the Governor - whoever he might be - is going to well note that we have to take care of mass transit. He's not going to let this fund build up to an unreasonable amount 'cause he's going to have a lot of people come down on him. And I don't think he'll want this to happen in mass transit, I don't see any problem there."

Daniels: "Are you familiar with what currently happened in the RTA district as far as the gas tax that was mandated by state law to be returned to the areas from where it's collected? Mr. Bennett."

Bennett: "No, I'm not."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, may I address the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker,....."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentleman order."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I intend to support this Bill and I feel it's certainly a step in the right direction. However, I would like to advise all of you that one of the problems that we're facing in the outlying districts of the RTA is that after the implementation of the gas tax, we are finding that there has been no formula devised at this point in time by



the RTA in which to determine how money has been collected in the various outlying districts and the return of those dollars to the districts or in services. I think that you may find, Representative Bennett, the same problem...similar problems here in the future. I would suggest that you carry your Bill forward, I think it's a step in the right direction right now, but you carry it forward to assure those districts that you're concerned about will receive the services in kind for the contributions in cash that they make toward those services. I urge you to support Representative Bennett in this Bill and I think we can work forward to improve it in the future. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Totten: "Representative Bennett, is money now being transferred from the Downstate Transportation Fund to the General Revenue Fund?"

Bennett: "Yes."

Totten: "How much?"

Bennett: "Since fiscal year 1975, 11.2 million dollars, which I might add, is about one-third of the entire revenue collected in that particular fund."

Totten: "Okay, why was it transferred?"

Bennett: "Okay, according...that there...there is an automatic transfer, I guess, any monies that is not spent then it automatically is transferred to the General Revenue Fund and it's built up that fund."

Totten: "Okay, then...then what you're saying is, with this Bill we amend it so it can't be transferred. We leave it in there and then the local mass transit districts, even if they don't need it, which apparently they don't now 'cause they're not spending it, will find ways to spend it."

Bennett: "No, the Department of Transportation makes all those decisions."

Totten: "Yeah, but if you can't transfer it into General Revenue, with this Amendment, you leave it in there and you'll be expanding...what you're trying to do is expand those transit opportunities in downstate mass transit districts."

Bennett: "Those particular mass transit districts have to show cause,



or prove, that they're in need of x-number of dollars. They have to go to the Department of Transportation and make their pitch."

Totten: "Has one ever been turned down?"

Bennett: "Oh, I...I have no...I imagine they have, I don't know."

Totten: "I don't recall any request being turned down. What I'm trying to get at is if you do this, you're going to find ways to spend money in downstate mass transit districts regardless whether you need them or not since you've got the money."

Bennett: "Well, there...there are a number of districts that are in dire need of this additional money. They've not been able to expand their programs and the list that I just gave you a few moments ago are some of those that are critically affected by not having enough money available to expand their mass transit. And...I...I think it's...it's still up to those districts to show cause that they are in need of it, it's not an automatic thing."

Totten: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, in defense of Representative Bennett, I'm in complete support of this Bill. And, Representative Totten, a lot of the downstate communities make applications for funds and there aren't...they aren't granted. But I think the downstate communities realize the energy shortage and we're going to have to be thinking about mass transportation in the future. They're have to gear up for it. They're going to have to have time to plan their bus routes, acquire buses and provide for mass transportation. We're gonna run out of gas. So this is just good imagination on the part of Representative Bennett and on part of the people that are advising them and I'm in complete support of it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I, too, rise in support of this measure. I think that all we would be doing by supporting this Bill would simply be to reiterate the intent of the Legislature when the fund was set up in the first place. We find that it has been a detriment to development of downstate mass districts that have this provision for transferring money out



of the funds. Frequently, it has been used as an extra way of providing revenue for other services and has really distorted the intent of the Legislature when the original legislation was passed earmarking these funds for downstate transit. All this will do is to emphasize our previous intent if we find that the mass transit districts do not indeed need the money and cannot come up with good programs to make use of the funds. We can at some later time decide what to do about transferring any unused monies back into General Revenue. But for the time being, we really need to give some assurance to those mass transit districts that they will be able to have access to the funds as the Legislature previously designated those funds for their use. And I solicit your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put?

Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried. Representative Bennett to close."

Bennett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I think all the questions have been asked. I think it is a move in the right direction, perhaps it can even go further, but at the present time I think this is a move to help downstate mass transit and I solicit your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 100.... Representative Greiman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Greiman: "I really wanted to explain my vote, I never saw a Bill, or heard a Bill, that anybody...that so many people got up and questioned and were quizzical about and weren't sure about it and then said, 'Well, I guess I'm going to support it'. And if a Bill is that ...that much in question, I...I voted 'present' and that's my reason."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."



Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I misspoke myself, by pushing 'yes',
I'd like to vote 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's a 133 'aye', 11 'no'. Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. That's the second Bill we've passed in an hour and a-half. 2775."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2775. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes, 2775?"

Barnes, J: "Mr. Speaker, when my Bill came out of Judiciary, I agreed to an Amendment that Representative Stearney is to put on the Bill and I keep waiting for him so I can't move the Bill since I gave my word I'd take the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "What do you want, out of the record? You want it out of the record, Representative Barnes? Out of the record. I understand that Representative McMasters(sic) does not desire to have 2800 or 2801 called? Representative Cunningham, do you want to call 2844?"

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker, 2844's chances of passage have.... improved brightly because of an illustrious new Cosponsor but the politicians on the second floor are yet trying to decide whether they really want more voters to go to the polls in the primary. So let's hold it until next week, and I hope in the meanwhile, all the Legislators will take a look at the Bill. It'll solve voter apathy in Illinois. And I'm grateful for the new Cosponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll take it out of the record. 2875, Dan Houlihan? Out of the record. 2903, Representative Stuffle. Out of the record. 2932, Schneider, is that one ready? 2932, it's the Elementary and Secondary Education Committee. Out of the record. 2981, Jane Barnes. You want Representative Collins to handle this for you?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2981. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Arts Council."



Third Reading of the Bill."

Barnes, J: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill appropriates the money for the Illinois Arts Council and it had quite a discussion, as everybody knows, with Representative Totten on the Committee and it came out with 23 'ayes' and 3 'nays'. And I...the Arts Council, this year I think under a new chairman, has really done a very, very competent job. They have started a new program of 'Listening Posts' and so far they have been in 18 areas throughout the state listening to what type of improvement they can make in everybody's legislative district to further the arts. in their districts. And another thing that I found out in my research that contrary to public opinion about the Arts Council, 51 percent of the funds go to Cook County, 49 percent of the funds are distributed downstate. I think that the Arts Council is a very worthwhile organization and I would really appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know how we can move this Bill.

Representative Collins is not here, we're going to miss his annual speech if we move this Bill now. And I think Representative Barnes should take the Bill out of the record and wait till Philip...

Representative Collins is on the House floor."

Speaker Redmond: "His switch is flashing here, I don't know whether that's...habit or what it is, but I was going.... Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, to speak on the Bill. The Arts Council in its direction indicated in Committee that most of the monies are for developmental...to get various programs off...off the ground and fund them. The Arts Council today is no better at 3,000,000 than it was at 50,000 when it was first introduced. I asked the Director of the Council, or the chairman, how many of these grants had been issued for more than one year. They were unable to supply me with the money...with their figures and I asked them to supply them before we moved the Bill and they still haven't gotten figures so they don't know. They say



it will take them a week, another week to do it. But here we have probably the greatest example of government agency gone out of bounds at a reckless pace. The original appropriation for this agency was 50,000 dollars ten or twelve years ago - and for a biennium, I'm reminded - and now we're faced with a budget of 3,000,000 dollars and the most successful lobbying group that this State General Assembly has ever seen. Such miraculous programs as the 'Alombie' Dance Studio - and the litany that I hope Representative Collins gets over here in time to recite - of...of miniscule grants given to thousands of agencies throughout this state to make sure that every Legislator votes their council, have insured that this appropriation which is now 3,000,000 dollars will probably be somewhere around 10,000,000 dollars in the next five or six years. I don't know how many of you had had the opportunity to take a look at the poetry on the CTA Program that the Arts Council has created. But I think, if Representative Barnes will provide me with one of the posters, you ought to take a look at where the state dollars are going on this program. There are six posters which now appear on the CTA, and I understand that they're going to try and expand it to include the whole RTA, so that the suburbanites will have an opportunity to see these posters. A large sum of money has been gone...has been put in to this poetry in the CTA...poetry in the CTA and the poems are just.... Representative Barnes, could I have one of the poems, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative....Collins. Representative Collins."

Totten: "I'll yield to Representative Collins at this point."

Speaker Redmond: "You know, your switch was flashing there, even when you weren't here. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it.... The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Collins to explain his vote. Ten minutes."

Collins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, this comes as a great shock to me, I was downstairs having coffee with Marc Chagall and Andy Warhol when I heard that my good friend, Representative Barnes, was trying to sneak this travesty through. Although I do stand before you today, something of a beaten man, the word has gotten around that I'm a shill for the Arts Council. This...this appropriation started in 1967 at 50,000 dollars and now we're spending almost 3,000,000 dollars of the taxpayers' money and it's all through my efforts that this has happened. I have exposed 'Yamashito' and his murals but yet I even succeeded in appropriating money for him. I ride the CTA in Chicago and what am I exposed to now? Poetry on the buses! And that poetry advises one not to run in the lake! I don't know what lake they're talking about, whether it's Lake Springfield or Lake Michigan! But I've warned you and I warned Representative Skinner, poetry on the buses is coming to McHenry County and the RTA! I warn you now! Mr. Speaker, I take full responsibility, I am responsible for the Victory Garden Theater, for the Organic Theater - or is it orgasmic(sic) theater, I don't know, Mr. Speaker - but we are now spending 3,000,000 dollars to write plays about sex and married women and single women and now next is children on the RTA. Sex on the RTA, Mr. Speaker, I warn you it's coming and it's coming to McHenry County! Mr. Speaker, if I speak a little longer I should be able to get 177 votes up there. I think I will defer to Representative Totten. I am retiring, this is my last attempt to pass this Bill (sic). I think I've done all I can for the arts in Illinois and from now on they're on their own. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if that means McHenry County will finally get its first bus under RTA?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I never realized that the former opponent to the Arts Council Bill could be so articulately in favor of it and I'm happy to see that he's been able to read poetry because after all, poetry is an expression



of the soul. Now I wonder....I know why he's so expressive tonight. I heartily concur with him, this is a good Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, some of the poetry that was in the Chicago Sun-Times today is a little cartoon in case you haven't looked at it, it's the Small Society. It depicts a person, obviously, a taxpayer saying, 'O, boy, the government is subsidizing again. It would help us so much if they wouldn't help us so much'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Jane Barnes, are you seeking recognition?"

Barnes, J: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. I went with this Bill because it was raining out, so I knew my very dear friend, Phil Collins, wasn't golfing, so I knew he was about in the building and would show up. And I would like to make a comment on the poetry in the buses. Number 1: the CTA has been nice enough to donate all that space. The cost of the poem is very minimal. And I would like to point out to all the esteemed Legislators in this House that Phil Collins and I were in Ireland this summer. And we were traveling on a bus and Phil Collins wrote a poem to me and it was read on a bus in Ireland. Now, is the Representative only for poetry in Ireland and not for the people of Illinois? What kind of a Legislator is he?"

Speaker Redmond: "Maybe you can tell us."

Barnes, J: "Well, as one Irishman to another Irishman, I promised I'd never tell. But, anyway, my rapport with the Illinois Arts Council has become, I mean, we're just getting along so well, they said, 'Representative Barnes, what can I do for you?' and I said, 'I would like my esteemed colleague and very intimate friend, Phil Collins, to be hired as a poet laureate for the Illinois Arts Council'. And they said they could handle that request. I request a....thank you for all those votes up there."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to remind the Representative Collins, you know, that the taxpayers pay infinitely



more for this art form, which I call theater-in-the-round, and I think he's one of the leading actors in this forum. However, I would also like to observe that we also ^{have things on the buses in} have things on the buses in Chicago and I think that I would infinitely prefer the artists to them."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 131 'aye' and 24 'no'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 3006, Judiciary II...whose Bill is that? Out of the record. 3039, Judiciary I? Who's the Sponsor of 3039? Out of the record. 3041, Dan Houlihan? Out of the record. 3051, Representative McClain? Out of the record. 3111, Representative Madigan? Out of the record. 3131, Representative Von-Boeckman."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3131. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Von Boeckman."

Von Boeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that exempts abandoned railroad crossings. This legislation actually takes care of two problems. Illinois Statutes are in direct conflict with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations with regard to stops of vehicles at certain railroad crossings when carrying hazardous material. Section 11-202 of the Illinois Code makes certain exemptions which are not included in the Federal Code. According to trucks when carrying intra-state, are not required to stop but when transporting interstate cargo are required to stop. The conflicting regulations are causing confusion with Illinois State Police and local law enforcement persons who are, in many instances, issuing citations for obstructing traffic which is...are incorrect. Such citations cause much concern to drivers since they are moving violations. At the time of this...this legislation permits to establish an exempt abandoned railroad crossing. This is progressive legislation since the manual on uniform control traffic recognizes use of the exempt signs when authorized by law and regulation.



The Illinois Department of Transportation is in agreement with this change. Should this progressive legislation be approved and signed into law, it would eliminate many stops by second division vehicles which are now compulsory. This would result in a saving of energy, saving of man hours and, no doubt, a reduction in rear-end collisions caused by either stopped or slow moving vehicles. I ask your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppsed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 150 'aye' and no 'nay' and the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed. 3132, Representative Von Boeckman."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3...."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record? 3135."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill...."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 3149."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3149. A Bill for an Act concerning waterways and wetlands in the State of Illinois. Third Reading of the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Representative Madigan, do you want 3163? Out of the record. 3167. Representative Ryan, please take a seat, I can't see Representative Madigan. 3167. Out of the record. 3224, Representative Macdonald."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3224. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3224 amends the Environmental Protection Act and prevents the necessity of a dual-permit system which now exists. It would correct one of the last remaining obstacles to the state's assuming primary enforcement authority toward the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act through having the state automatically adopt standards which are as stringent as those of the Federal Act. The main impact of this action would be to provide a more effective variance or exemption system. The Federal Act limits...exemptions for seven



years after the standards are adopted and adds two-year extensions for those water suppliers which are in the process of joining a regional water supply for certain limited problems. The Illinois Environmental Protection Act, on the other hand, has only one procedure which is a variance system granting five-year exemptions with a year-to-year extension after that. Without this legislation, we will lose 750,000 dollars of available federal funds to improve our state water supplies. And, whether we adopt this legislation or not, we still have to comply with federal standards. The Illinois EPA, the American Waterworks Association, the Illinois... Water Supply Operators support this Bill and I urge your support of this technical but very important piece of legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schneider: "Giddy, is this going to....we have an unusual dilemma with these kinds of propositions. One is, would the federal standards, as we so often find, be less stringent than, let's say, the state standards?"

Macdonald: "It is not currently known. This still would not alter in any way - just makes us the enforcement authority - and if we want to make more stringent standards, we will go through the same procedures. This just puts Illinois as the enforcement authority."

Schneider: "Well, I have a tough time supporting these kinds of Bills - and I know that may or may not matter - but the fact is that when we're talking about granting wider range for variances and we're limiting ourselves, once again, to the boundaries of the federal laws that they promote regarding these kinds of areas. I get very uncomfortable about it for the same reasons that I spoke on a Bill the other day, or an Amendment other day, to one of our Bills relating to agriculture. I think it's probably more sound for us to be able to deal with this on a state-by-state basis and until I can prove that the....until we can establish, rather, that the Federal Government is more responsible than many of our fine EPA Programs, I think I would recommend a 'no' vote."



Macdonald: "I'd like to respond to that, if I may, Mr. Schneider, even though what you are saying, I couldn't agree with more, but we do have to comply with the federal standards whether... whether we adopt this or not."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 141 'aye' and 9 'no' and the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed. 3236, Representative Ryan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3236. A Bill for an Act to provide salary increases for certain state employees. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3236 implements the collective bargaining agreement between the State of Illinois, the Department of Personnel and AFSCME during the period between July 1, 1977 and June 30, 1979. And I would move for the passage of House Bill 3236."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I've heard a rumor that the union that negotiated this contract is boycotting Illinois because Illinois hasn't passed the Equal Rights Amendment and I just can't imagine why so many ERA opponents are voting in favor of this. Maybe one of the opponents could tell us."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's a 121 'aye' and 20 'no'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bills Third Reading. Representative Jones, 1394 out of the record? 1395, out of the record. 1516, Representative Tipsword."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1516. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making appropriation to the Secretary of State. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."



Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill is a supplemental appropriation for the Secretary of State for 1978 and is, really, a kind of an emergency matter. This appropriation is for the refunds to trucks...fleet owners for...and for refunds on passenger car license plates. Why it's happened is that our appropriation for this was some...was based upon what it was in the prior year but especially in regard to the owners of fleets of trucks. Those owners have been rapidly entering into what...the new international registration plan and when they do that they receive a refund upon their Illinois plates that they had previously secured. Consequently, due to this high increase and the number of them going into this international registration plan, the refunds on truck plates have gone up considerably during this year and have used up the total appropriation. This appropriation is for 300,000 dollars and it's based upon the fact that during the months of April, May and June of 1977, the refunds were 307,900 dollars. So, upon that estimate, we are requesting this...this supplemental deficiency appropriation for refunds for 300,000 dollars for the months of April, May and June and the funds are needed to meet the refunds that are presently applied for in the Secretary of State's Office and that are anticipated during the remainder of this month and the month of June. I would urge that we pass this Supplemental Appropriation Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 154 'aye' and no 'nay'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed. Anyone with a Bill on Consideration Postponed desire to have it called? House Bills Second Reading. Page 2, line 1. House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 788. Representative Edgar Giorgi."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 788...."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 1068. Out of the record. 1088, Representative Young, Lady* from St. Clair."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1088. A Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1193, out of the record. 1270, has the fiscal note been furnished on that one? No, it has not been filed. 1274."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1274. A Bill for an Act creating Chicago Community School Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1333."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1333. A Bill for an Act in relation to the liability of manufacturers and sellers of products...."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 1357."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1357. A Bill for an Act relating to farming by business organizations, family farm corporations and authorized farm corporations. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Amendments 2 and 3 were tabled. Amendments 4 through 8 were withdrawn. Amendment #9...Floor Amendment #9, Robinson. Amends House Bill 1357, as amended, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Yes, Amendment #9 accomplishes all the issues in Amendments 2 and 3 that were adopted in Committee that relates to allowing coal companies to buy land for strip mining if there are strippable reserves under that land. And also says that Sangamon County would not be the court for violations of reporting but would be in the local counties throughout the state. In addition, it adds language that was suggested by the Illinois Manufacturer's Association and other business groups that allows



business to buy farmlands for nonagricultural use and to hold onto it for longer than the ten years originally in the Bill. They can ...under this Amendment go for five year extensions, any number of them, as long as they're approved by the Director of Agriculture. It also grants an exemption to public utilities and pipeline companies for buying agricultural lands for their use. In addition to that, it allows some secrecy for corporations as to the names and number of shares held by their shareholders. This Amendment, I believe, is agreed to by business and by those of us, Harlan Rigney and I, who are sponsoring the Bill. And so, I would ask for adoption of Amendment #9."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn, R: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if Representative Robinson had said that he would return to the Bill back to Second Reading for an Amendment to exempt feedlots...."

Robinson: "Yes."

Dunn, R: "Or would you rather...would you not rather keep this on Second until the Amendments are on...would like to...."

Robinson: "I've talked to Representative Dunn about that and I'd like to move it back...like to move it to Third and then when we can take a look at that Amendment, after it's drafted, we can then move it back to that Amendment."

Dunn, R: "I understood there was some other Amendments being drawn, did you know about them?"

Robinson: "Well, this...this Bill has been on Second Reading for two weeks now and I've held it, so I'd like to move it today if I could."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if I could ask a question of Harlan Rigney? I had an Amendment offered by one my constituents, is that included in this Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Yes, your Amendment is included as a...as a part of the new suggested package. In other words, what they were concerned about would be that we would raise the upper limits on corporate



ownership from 25 to 35, we have done this."

Kent: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's.... Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn, R: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker, could I ask Representative Rigney a question about the Bill or about....you want to move it on then we...discuss it on Third Reading, I guess. I'll talk to him later and thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on....Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question on the Amendment. I understand, in this Amendment, thecoal industry is exempt after ten years in the...or they must divest themselves after they've extracted the coal from the mine and then they must divest themselves, to who do they divest itself? Are you limiting who...who can buy the land?"

Robinson: "Anybody who can...under this Bill own farm lands, that's who they can divest to, and that...this Amendment doesn't change that, Jerry, that was put on in Committee. This just restates it."

Bradley: "I didn't hear...what did you first say?"

Robinson: "That anybody in the Bill who can buy farmland can buy that particular land from reclaimed coal...stripped mined land from the coal company."

Bradley: "But you're not limiting...the coal company that they have to sell the land to anybody specifically, such as somebody who was presently in the farming business?"

Robinson: "No."

Bradley: "I thought it said something to authorize....I thought there was some language in the Amendment that says, 'To authorize people who are in the farm business'."

Speaker Redmond: "No, authorized farm businesses are not people who are already in farm business."

Bradley: "Well, does it say, though, to.... How is it, then...then do you add the word in there 'authorize'...."

Robinson: "Right."

Bradley: "How does that read? Would you read that to me in that



Amendment?"

Robinson: "It says that they can...that they have to divest to an authorized farm business."

Bradley: "Authorized farm what?"

Robinson: "Business. That means any...."

Bradley: "Well, then, you are limiting it, to some extent, that it is an authorized farm business that the coal company would have to sell the land to, they just couldn't sell it to you or myself if we decided to go into the farm business?"

Robinson: "Yes, they could. We...Jerry, under the Bill, an authorized farm business is any individual, any corporation of under 35 shareholders, any trust of under 35....anybody in this room. It does not...you don't already have to be in the farm business."

Bradley: "Could a...could a...individual sell to a corporation?"

Robinson: "Are you still talking about coal companies?"

Bradley: "No, no, I...I'm going now to an individual...."

Robinson: "Well, this is...that's not the Amendment, that...that has to do with the Bill."

Bradley: "Is all...is that in your Bill? Pardon me, are you restricting that?"

Robinson: "We...the purpose of the Bill is to...."

Bradley: "Well, well, well...we'll get to the Bill when we get...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, I believe the dialogue that is continuing is rambling along beyond the Amendment and also the spondee... questioner ought to really take a look at the Bill rather than have the Sponsor read it to him. I think it's out of order and I think we ought to move on the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Point is well taken. Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, D: "Question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Houlihan, D: "Which Amendment #1....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson, a question from Representative Houlihan."



Houlihan, D: "With Amendment #1 and Amendment #9, what is the effect now as far as the prohibition on the ownership by trust of farmland?"

Robinson: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear."

Houlihan, D: "All right. Dave, with...with the two Amendments now which would constitute the Bill, #1 and #9, what is the status then of your intended prohibition as far as farm ownership by trust?"

Robinson: "The Bill in its current state says that if a trust wants to manage or purchase farmland for farming, there have to be 35 or fewer beneficiaries of the trust - although family members are counted as one for computing the 35 - however, if a trust wants to purchase farmland for any number of nonagricultural uses that this amendment describes, they can do, the trust can do it."

Houlihan, D: "Without prohibition, is that correct?"

Robinson: "That's right."

Houlihan, D: "As far as the number of persons you're talking about."

Robinson: "Exactly."

Houlihan, D: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "A question of the Sponsor. What about a numbered trust?"

Robinson: "What about...."

Waddell: "A numbered trust."

Robinson: "Are you talking about Illinois land trusts that do not have..."

Waddell: "I'm talking about a...numbered trust that can't have ownership."

Robinson: "Oh, okay. If...I...I believe you're discussing this land trust that do not have to disclose who the members are?"

Waddell: "I'm talking about a numbered trust that is administrated by a bank, for example...."

Robinson: "Right."

Waddell: "And are they covered under provision?"

Robinson: "Yes, they are...however, under this provision, they do not have to reveal the names of the owners of the...of the trust."

Waddell: "That answers it."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, he will."

Anderson: "What are the reasons for this Amendment and I want it made clear to me was that corporations that buy large tract of land to develop in the future for jobs for Illinois - I think you put a five-year extension after ten years...."

Robinson: "As a matter of fact, Representative Anderson, we have any number of five-year extensions that the Department of Agriculture can grant if the business can prove need for extension."

Anderson: "Well, then, someone like J and L who bought 6,000 acres in Putnam County, all they would have to do is apply every five years after the ten-year period and they would get an extension?"

Robinson: "Yes."

Anderson: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters."

McMaster: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Robinson: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Dave, I missed some of the debate on...regard to coal company ownership of land. Now, as I understand the...what you have said...well, I guess what I want to know is, how long a time after the land has been reclaimed can the coal company own that before they must divest themselves of it?"

Robinson: "Five years."

McMaster: "Do you think this gives sufficient time for proof of the effectiveness of their reclamation? What I'm...what I'm getting at, Dave, I want the coal companies to be responsible for that reclamation and the land to be brought back under the federal law to its previous capabilities in which the federal law states a reasonable length of time - and I really think that five years after reclamation is completed - I question whether that's a reasonable length of time or not."

Robinson: "Well, let me read you that specific provision. It says that, after the strip mining and they have stripped the land, they can...they have to...that 'Within five years after reclamation of



such land, according to federal or state statutes or any other laws, rules or regulations is completed or ceases.' Now means, to me, that the five years, the counting for the five years begins after the Federal Government and the State Government says that the reclamation is completed to...to their specifications."

McMaster: "Okay."

Robinson: "And that after they've approved the reclamation projects."

McMaster: "I'm questioning and I guess...I'm not sure that it's clear enough, Dave, I understand what your intent is but I really think if we want them to have the responsibility to see that that reclamation is done and done properly. I don't want them to be able to divest themselves of it before that is done."

Robinson: "Right, that's why that language is...is in there, Representative McMaster."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Representative Robinson, under the original Bill, an alien could come in and buy a thousand acres of land and say he was going to use it for industrial purpose, keep it for ten years, in the meantime he could farm it. Is that true under this? With your Amendment can it be done?"

Robinson: "If an alien or a large trust, like Continental Ag Land Trust..."

Friedrich: "This was aimed at aliens as I understood, what if...an alien or a group of aliens come in, they can buy farm land and keep it for ten years, and farm it...."

Robinson: "If they have a...if they have a nonagricultural use, an industrial development...."

Friedrich: "Or stated nonagricultural use, you don't have to have a nonagricultural use, right?"

Robinson: "If...if they are lying in their papers, and they have to file a signed affidavit that it's for nonagricultural use, if they are lying they would be subject both to the penalties of this law and the penalties of perjury. I've discussed it with the Attorney General's Office and they feel that a...a...."

Friedrich: "You're saying at the end of nine years they couldn't



change their mind?"

Robinson: "No,...well...if they...if the Grand Jury felt...."

Friedrich: "Nine years and eleven months, they couldn't change their mind....in nine years and eleven months?"

Robinson: "But then they'd have to get rid of it."

Friedrich: "All right, but they...but some new alien could buy it and hold it another ten years?"

Robinson: "Again, it would be up to a State's Attorney and a Grand Jury, which, according to the Attorney General's Office could bring action in that case saying that....they are not signing these affidavits in good faith."

Friedrich: "Well, then all I will say is that the Amendment makes the Bill no better than it was, it isn't worth the paper it's written on."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Dave, this Amendment, I don't know when it was distributed, it's a rather extensive Amendment and we have not had, really, an opportunity to look at it. I wonder if you'd consider holding this another day on Second Reading."

Robinson: "It was...it was distributed early yesterday."

Brummer: "Would you consider holding it on Second Reading?"

Robinson: "No, I...this Bill's been on Second Reading for...for two weeks and...and most of what's in this Amendment was discussed in Agriculture Committee already."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Robinson to close."

Robinson: "Well, this Amendment...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ralph Dunn, pardon me."

Dunn, R: "Mr. Speaker, I understand there's another Amendment filed up there that hasn't been printed yet, it's on the Speaker's desk, do you...do you have that?"

Speaker Redmond: "We'll read that when the time comes, the question is on Amendment #9. Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Well, this Amendment is an attempt to meet objections by the business community. It puts the Bill in shape that I think business can live with but still maintains the purpose of the Bill



which is to try to maintain the family farm and the locally owned farm. I'd appreciate adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #9, those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10. Cunningham. Amends House Bill 1357, as amended, on page 1 of House Amendment 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I publicly salute the Sponsors of the Bill for the perspicacity that they have brought to a problem that's all too real in Illinois, and that's the melancholy fact that in 20th Century the number of farmers, farm families residing on the farms, has dropped from 90 percent down to 4 percent. It's a...it's a genuine problem Legislature must grapple with but unfortunately it is not only these rich foreigners that come in here and snap up the farmlands of Illinois and bring about this mass migration of family farms into the city to create new problems. There's a segment of our society which is hard working, most of the successful members of that segment work eighteen hours a day and as a result of their labors, their dedication, their motivation, they acquire as many ...many of them acquire worldly goods. These lawyers and doctors that I'm talking about go out and buy up the farmlands and when they buy up the farmlands it helps create the problems. I'm not knocking them, I salute them and hope to be a part of their group because of their contribution to society but we have to recognize that they do create a problem. Now there's a second reason that lawyers particularly could be barred from buying farmland and that's the basic conflict of interest that this particular Bill will bring to that profession because, truly I assure you, that passage of the Bill that in its present form is the answer to the legal profession's prayers. It will create more legal business in the future than we have lawyers to handle. Now because of governmental red tape no businessman would venture out after



dark without his lawyer being along and if this Bill passes no one would go...even think about owning a farm without sending a whole battalion of lawyers to check the uses and the title and all. I say to you in all sincerity and conviction that if you believe with Sponsors of this Bill that it is desirable to have families residing on the farms you are morally and intellectually bound to vote for Amendment #10 which will do far more to create a...that situation that you desire where you have a...people residing on the farm than barring these rich foreigners. And it's on that basis, on the merit of the case, I urge you to give an 'aye' vote to Amendment #10 and ask for a Roll Call....Speaker, are you listening? And ask for a Roll Call vote for on this particular Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm a Cosponsor of this piece of legislation of Representative Rigney's and Robinson's and I....consider this Amendment to be frivolous and I would recommend a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Darrow: "Representative Cunningham, you have 'doctor' listed here, shouldn't that be 'doctor licensed in all the branches of medicine' or something to that effect? This term is not clear. For example, there are many people who Ph.D.'s who could be called doctors and so you would be limiting that group of citizens, prohibiting them from purchasing farmland, wouldn't you rather offer another Amendment, withdraw this one and clarify that term?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "I've been silenced by the man who runs the machine up there, speak harshly to him! In this particular I recognize(sic) that perhaps a little refinement is necessary in that wording but, Judge, we can take care of that as the Bill moves along - I'm using the terms, lawyer and doctor in their usual sense as I set forth in the Amendment - and I want to say that the Amendment is not frivolous, it has much more merit than is perceived by



the Gentleman from Highland."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's with great reluctance that I arise to oppose the Gentleman's Amendment because the truth of the matter is there's a lot of folks back home that have been talking about the Cunningham Amendment years before Cunningham ever thought about it. I realize that we do have a problem that probably in all honesty some of those in the legal profession and the medical profession are truly causing us more problems in acquisition of farmland than all of the Arab Sheiks put together. But nevertheless, as Representative Robinson and I have developed this piece of legislation, we've tried to be as accommodating to as many groups as we possibly can because we realize that with 33 states that now have legislation on the books dealing with corporate and alien ownership of farmlands, that truly Illinois is kind of laying itself bare to...to the corporate interests that might want to come into our state and take over the good Illinois farmland that's probably the very best in the nation. I think, Roscoe, that perhaps your Amendment is the type of Amendment that perhaps should come in the form of another Bill; something that we might consider after we have finally adopted our good legislation and after Governor Thompson has gone throughout the state to proclaim that the virtues of this legislation at the time that he signs it. So when you want to come back later on with a Bill of that nature, I think we should give it every consideration, but as of right now, let's keep our Bill clean the way that Representative Robinson and I have developed it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Friedrich: "In view of the recent utterances by our Chief Executive against lawyers and doctors, would you object to having this called the Carter Amendment?"

Cunningham: "I certainly would. I felt that his remarks were those of a politician, as a candidate. As a noncandidate, I can look



at this with unvarnished candor and objectivity and it has nothing to do with what any politician has to say about the attacks upon these two fine groups."

Speaker Redmond, "You ready for the question? Representative Cunningham to close."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I had hoped that the....perhaps I have been a little remiss in explaining exactly what the Amendment does. If you've read it, it bars lawyers and doctors from acquiring in any manner interest in real property after January 1, 1979 unless they reside on the land or publicly express an intent so to do(sic) within one year after the date of acquisition. It's forthright. It attacks a real, genuine problem with a directness that I think should commend itself to you . I say to you without fear of contradiction there is more merit in this Amendment than there is in the Bill itself. So I ask you to measure it on both of those bases, on its individual merit and on the basis that the...that the Bill needs a little strengthening. If you feel as I and as your constituents feel, I respectfully urge that a green light will be in order. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #10. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 18 'aye'....Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "I'm not going to ask for a poll of the absentees but I want to point out that there are two fine distinguished citizens from our county, Mrs....Mrs. Richard Deckert and her talented son, Brian, in the upper left balcony and he's a member of the Lawrenceville Indian Star Basketball and Football team. I'm sorry about the score, folks."

Speaker Redmond: "This question, there's 19 'aye' and 78 'no', the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11."

Speaker Redmond: "Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, a point of privilege. For the Gentleman from Lawrence's constituents' sake, I'd like to say something I've



said often to him, to myself, if he got smart he'd be dangerous."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #11."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11. Harris-Ralph Dunn-Richmond. Amends House Bill 1357, as amended, by House Amendment #1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #11, what it really does and what the purpose of the Amendment is, it changes from 30 days the holding of livestock and poultry to a period of one year. The purpose and cause of this is for those who have feedlot operations and feedlot cattle, one year is ample time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "This...there's no objection to this Amendment, I don't believe."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 11. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carries, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12. Ewing. Amends House Bill 1357, as amended, by deleting the title thereof and inserting in lieu thereof, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Ewing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing. ...Deuster. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, has this Amendment been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Has not."

Ryan: "Well, I don't know how we can hear it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, I would assume, then, that this Bill would go to Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, Representative Deuster is handling the Amendment."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, I think..."



Speaker Redmond: "I mean Deavers, not Deuster."

Houlihan, J: "I think our rules have provided that when an Amendment is not timely filed and not distributed and the Bill's on Second Reading and there's no further Amendments, the Bill can go to Third Reading. That's always been the custom of the House and I believe we should...."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian has suggested you should move to table the Amendment."

Houlihan, J: "I don't think that's necessary, Mr. Speaker, we have on many occasions, when the Amendment has not been distributed or when a Member has not been here, and we...going through the orderly call of business we have moved the Bill to Third Reading. And then the Sponsor of the Amendment can talk to the Sponsor of the Bill and maybe they can bring it back but we don't want to disrupt the orderly flow of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "I think if the Clerk will read the Amendment, Ewing, hyphenated, Bradley, Vinson, Deavers, so any of those four can handle the Amendment and I'm here to handle the Amendment and it was filed timely and I'd like to have the Bill held on Second Reading until such time that the Amendment is distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "I would point out to the Sponsor of the Amendment, you've had about what...fourteen days, to get this thing going. I don't consider this to be a timely filing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, I would disagree with that. It's very difficult for someone who is interested in...in a piece of legislation this important to actually draft an Amendment, especially when you get Amendment 12 and down the line, unless he's had a chance to see what other Amendments have been introduced so that he....that he doesn't mess up the content of the Bill. I...I think the Amendment's timely and...and a Bill this important, the Sponsors of this Amendment, certainly should have time to address this Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Well, if you look in the Calendar, this Amendment went to Second Reading on the 27th of April. It's been a year in Interim Study in the House Agriculture Committee at which time we had numerous meetings, ten meetings at least, on this piece of legislation when anyone who was interested was invited in and many did come. Mr. Speaker, this Amendment was filed while we were speaking on other Amendments and I don't think that that's timely. We held the Bill twice at the request of Representative....of the Majority Leader, of the Minority Leader, of Representative Davis, we held the Bill on two occasions and I think that we have a right to have the Bill moved to Third Reading now."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I tell you what we'll do, we'll....the Rules do require that the Amendments be printed and circulated, I can't determine what the motivation was behind the mover of the motion, but let's say that that will be ready, printed and distributed within the next half hour. We'll take this out of the record and we won't consider any other motions other than this....I mean, any other Amendment other than Amendment 12. I don't like to be in a position in shutting somebody off on amending a Bill, at the same time we can't permit it to go forever, so as soon as it's printed and distributed we'll return back to it. So take this one out of the record for the present time and.... 1380."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1380. A Bill for an Act to regulate and take over offers involving business firms organized in Illinois, or doing business in Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "1380...."

Clerk O'Brien: "Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1533."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1533. A Bill for an Act to create the



depressed areas, land use and community development authority.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1710."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1710. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Capital Development Board Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1764. We have the German dinner tonight so the chances are that...it looks to me there's about 200 Bills on Second Reading and the Appropriations Committee reported a lot of Bills out yesterday 'do pass'. So it looks like we've got a pretty tough road ahead of us for the next couple of days. So it's the intention not to break for lunch but to quit about 6 o'clock. I don't see how we can cover our ground if we don't do it that way. So you might as well send out for your lunch and then we will quit around 6 o'clock so that everybody can go to the German dinner. Representative Waddell has an announcement with respect to the German dinner. Representative Tipsword, for what reason do you arise?"

Waddell: "There were a couple of tickets, those of you who are interested, ...that are still available from Mrs. Wolf and Steve Schickel. but I'll tell you there's not many of them, those that need 'em had better get with it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I was...was just wondering, is an Executive Committee meeting today?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capparelli, is your Committee meeting today? Exec. Representative Houlihan."

Capparelli: "Executive...."

Speaker Redmond: "What was your inquiry, Representative Tipsword?"



Tipsword: "I was inquiring if Executive Committee was going to meet today."

Speaker Redmond: "Got that, Capparelli?"

Capparelli: "Yeah."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you meeting today?"

Capparelli: "Yes, right after adjournment."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay and then they've got time...after adjournment. Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Government Organization also has a meeting after adjournment."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, then we'll try to get out at 5, how's that? We're really going pretty slow today and there's...1764."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1764. A Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Impoundment Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1788."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1788. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. McCourt-McAuliffe. Amends House Bill 1788 on page 1 by striking lines 15 through 19 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, this...this Amendment merely tries to keep Illinois consistent with the neighboring state in that it would allow certain trucks to...to travel on four-lane highways and certain designated two-lane highways similar to the existing regulations for car carriers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt on Amendment 1 on 1788."

McCourt: "I thought I explained it already."

Speaker Redmond: "What was that?"

McCourt: "I thought it'd been explained already."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's



motion for adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1788. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1803."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1803. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions filed with respect to Amendments 1 & 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. McCourt. Amends House Bill 1803, as amended, in Section 14-1, 15 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill has to do with changing the Implied Consent Law...oh, excuse me. Oh. Oh. Mr. Speaker, this Amendment would change the contribution rate from one-half to one percent for both the university employees and the state employees for their post-retirement increment increase from two to three percent. It...it...it pays...mainly would do what the Pension Laws Commission has recommended that if the post-retirement increase goes from two to three percent, the employee's contribution should be at one percent."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan, D: "Is the Amendment printed?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Amendment printed and distributed? No. Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if we're going to pursue this, I want to speak to the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it hasn't been printed and distributed so it looks like we can't do that. We'll have to take this one out of the record. 1884. Representative Ryan, do you think we should move that one along or wait for Representative Catania? Think



she was excused today, wasn't she? Well, we'll try and see. 1884."

Clerk O'Brien: "House...."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2073."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2073. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Nursing Homes, Shelter Care Homes and the Homes for the Aged Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion filed with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2075."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2075. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Capital Development Board Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion filed with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2084."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2084. A Bill for an Act in relation to Comprehensive Adult Education. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2106. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "On...2084 there was a fiscal note requested, I don't know if it's been filed or not."

Speaker Redmond: "It has been filed, I've been advised."

Ryan: "Has been?"

Speaker Redmond: "Right."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2106. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 2106, as amended,
in Section 7 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative McCourt."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt. Representative McCourt on
Amendment 2."

McCourt: "Am I on?"

Speaker Redmond: "You're on."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill has to do with the...make substantial
changes in the Implied Consent Statute and this is a clarification
Amendment to show that the results of a Breathalyzer establishes
a certain presumption of being under the influence of alcohol at
the time the test was given.There's much confusion, sometimes
the test is not given for a hour and a-half after a person might
be apprehended and at least this will clarify that the apparatus,
or the machine, the Breathalyzer test is applicable at the time the
test is administered. It's agreed to by the Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Will the....Speaker, will the Sponsor of the Amendment
yield to a little question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kosinski: "Jim, as you know, I was Chairman of the Subcommittee that
hammered out a compromise Amendment in Amendment #1 which is in
essence the Bill. Now isn't it the inference of existing statutes
where tests are taken that the result at the time of the test are
implied?"

McCourt: "Well, it...it might be implied but the statute doesn't
say, the statute is...you...you give a man a Breathalyzer examination
because of a...a certain condition that might have existed an hour
and a-half prior to the examination and...and what you wanted...
you're trying to do is prove that a person was in a particular
condition at the time he was apprehended. But the test, actually,



is his condition at the time the is administered. And I think that's what...what the statutes should show."

Kosinski: "Yet, wouldn't...wouldn't it be presumption to assume... wouldn't we presume, rather, that if a person fails a Breathalyzer test after the fact, after the apprehension, or after the accident, wouldn't it be implied that he would also have failed at...at the time of the apprehension? I'm just wondering whether this is extraneous and not necessary to the Bill?"

McCourt: "Well, it...it, as I remember it, I was on the same Subcommittee...."

Kosinski: "I think you were absent that day."

McCourt: "Well, on a number of occasions when we had the experts in they seemed to...to indicate that there was a certain clarification needed in...in the presumption of...of a person's condition at the time the test is given."

Kosinski: "I understand that but presume it's 11 o'clock at night, a person is apprehended, allegedly under the influence of alcohol, and at 12 o'clock at night the breath test is given and it's found in fact that he's under the influence of alcohol. How would this then be a defensive argument in terms of 11 o'clock apprehension? I fail to see....I think this is extrane....I'm not sure it's necessary. Now, I'm don't object to it, specifically, I don't think it amounts to anything but I don't see what it does other than complicate the issue."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, D: "In speaking to the Amendment, I think Representative Kosinski has very accurately addressed what is...what is a problem, I think, that is going to be created in the statute of...as the presumption, the rebuttable presumption, of being under the influence. Now, I don't think that the language which is in this Amendment is really going to have any effect as far as the existing presumption under the law is concerned but it well may have...cause a problem because the presumption, then, is only as of the time the test was given; however, the statute is intended that there be a presumption as of the time he was driving - and the offense is being under the



influence at the time he of driving, obviously not at the time the test was taken - now I agree that it could be presumed that if he's under the influence at the time of the test that he's also under the influence at the time of driving. Of course, that is not the offense and I think the danger of this Amendment is, that it's going to create a lot of harm and do no real good and if the Sponsor persists in the Amendment, then I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative...Representative Kosinski hasn't yielded."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the laws are sufficiently complex without making them more so. While I can see no immediate harm in this Amendment, I think the possibility of the future of making this law uninterpretable existsin this Bill which after diligent effort was hammered out in Subcommittee, I would recommend, Mr. McCourt, that you either withdraw this Amendment or we vote negatively to the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? Representative McCourt to close."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, I think this Amendment merely clarifies the ...the law, it...it puts into proper perspective the...the Breathalyzer test. The Breathalyzer test is indicative of a person's condition at the time the test is given, it is not indicative of a person's condition an hour and a-half prior. And, basically, that's all this is saying, that the test is....the results of the test shows a person's condition at the time the test is given. I would solicit your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.On this question there's 17 'aye' and 47 'no', the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2121."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2121. A Bill for an Act to provide for civil actions against nursing homes, shelter care homes and homes for the aged which deprive the residents of rights or benefits.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Bowman. Amends House Bill 2121, as amended, by House Amendment 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm amending my own Bill here. The Department of Public Health which administered this Act made a recommendation which I accept. It's a clean up Amendment and I urge its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? ...Clear...gonna clear the deck here? Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Bowman. Amends House Bill 2121, as amended, by inserting after Section 10, the following, 'Section 11' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm again amending my own Bill here, this time to exclude Christian Science homes from this...from the Act because they do not use medical procedures for treatment and so they should not be covered by this Act which basically provides for a bill of rights with respect to medical procedure, so I ask its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any question? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2121. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative James Houlihan, we



don't let secretaries on the floor. Oh, pardon me. You...you... you're a female and I thought you were his secretary. 2295."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2295. A Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2418."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2418. A Bill for an Act to abolish all ad valorem personal property taxes and provide for replacement of revenues lost. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Ewing. Amends House Bill 2418, as amended, by deleting everything after the first sentence in Section 1, or Section 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 merely takes out the return of excess money collected under this Bill, leaves it in the fund."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is the Revenue Committee Bill to replace...to abolish the personal property tax on business and to replace it with other taxes. And the Constitutional mandate which it wishes to comply with, would not permit this Amendment. The...the mandate in the Constitution is to replace the tax but not to add taxes and that's what the effect of this Amendment would do. I'm not quite...I've discussed it with the Sponsor of the Amendment and I really am not satisfied why this Amendment is being offered. I urge that it be voted down because the Bill would then become unconstitutional itself. Ewing."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative.... Any further discussion? Representative Ewing to close."

Ewing: "I think there's quite a difference in the interpretation



between the Sponsor of the Bill and myself on the effect of this Amendment and on what the Constitution says should be done. The Constitution says the tax will be replaced on the same people. Now, if they can't come up with a Bill that does that adequately, then, are we supposed to return it? We're no...there's no sense that we'll be returning excess tax to the people that paid it. Some people will be getting a windfall and others will be getting hurt. I think it should stay in the fund, that's what this Amendment does and I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this may or may not be a very good Bill but it seems to me with all the work that the Committee has put in that we ought to least defeat it if we're going to defeat it on the grounds of the Sponsor and I think we probably will do that."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Speaker, was this Amendment distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record.

On this question there's 29 'aye' and 51 'no' and the Gentleman's motion failed. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Ewing. Amends House Bill 2418, as amended, in Section 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 is probably an improvement over Amendment #2 which I noticed was narrowly defeated and it would provide that any excess funds would be turned over to the General Revenue Fund. Now I think everybody has many projects which they'd like to see funded by the State of Illinois. This is the way that we can help provide that extra fund. Now we can have the same arguments that the Constitution doesn't provide for that but we know that the Constitution said all we had to do is replace it. And the thing that bothers me about



this Bill, and what I'm trying to get at here, is that the people who will be receiving refunds, under the Bill as it presently is constituted, are not necessarily the same people who paid in that excise...excess tax. And if it's excess tax then let's put it to the use of all the people and let's transfer it to the General Revenue Fund. And I would ask for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, it's even more difficult to understand why this Amendment was offered. What this Amendment would be, would be an increase in state taxes, that is not the purpose - or the mandate - that we have to comply with; our mandate is to abolish the personal property tax and to replace it but not to increase it. Our goal is to replace for...for local units of government the purpose of this Bill on which we have worked for one whole year is to do what the Constitution told us to do, not raise any more money for local government nor any less. This Amendment would be a tax increase and the explanation of the Sponsor of this Amendment pertains to the philosophy, to the Bill itself, and not to this Amendment. And I ask you to resoundingly defeat this kind of cute Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Ewing to close."

Ewing: "I think that the people here in the House are aware of the issue here. Let's...let's take that money and use it, there's no fair way to return it and I would ask for a favorable vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2418. Those in favor of the motion vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 43 'aye' and 65 'no' and the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Ewing. Amends House Bill 2418, as amended, by deleting Section 201-C and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've worked and been involved in the consideration of the replacement tax



for personal property, we all know that's very difficult. There's one part that I'm trying to address with this Amendment, though. We all know that today the railroads pay a great deal of tax in this state and for some reason - and I can never understand why - the railroads have been left completely out of the replacement. Now if that's conforming with the mandate, to replace the tax on the people that are presently paying it, I'll eat my hat. My Amendment puts the railroads back in and lets them carry their fair share. And I would suggest that you all look at this Bill and determine just who's getting gored and who's Bill it is. And I would ask for a favorable vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #4. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, the Subcommittee on the replacement of the personal property tax has worked about a year on this Bill, and is a Committee Bill, it is not my Bill, I did not draft the Bill but I presided over the substantial hearings we had on this Bill. Now this Amendment is not like the previous Amendment in that I think it does address a debatable question. The reason this Bill was drafted to exclude the railroads from both the abolition and replacement is that the taxation of railroads presently for both real estate and personal property is done on unitary basis by the Department of Local Government Affairs. The Bill without the Amendment would not change that situation and that the railroads would continue to pay the same amount and they would be taxed on a unitary basis because railroad property is unique and it is difficult to differentiate between personal property and real property. Now there are problems with railroads including whether or not the rolling stock is in the state or out of the state. I don't feel too strongly about this one way or the other but I'm concerned that this Amendment may be technically deficient in affecting other parts of the Bill. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 52 'aye' and 33 'no' and the Gentleman's motion carries and Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment 1, the Committee Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2529."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2529. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Committee from...any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2535."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2535. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to authorize the Director of Public Health to purchase, lease, accept or acquire suitable sites. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2548."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2548. A Bill for an Act to require all railroad companies operating in the state to furnish informational guides to their locomotive engineers and train crews that identify the hazardous materials. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Deavers. Amends House Bill 2548, as amended, in Section 7 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn, you seeking recognition?"

Dunn, J: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yes, this is my Bill and the Sponsor of the Amendment, I...I do not see right now, and he...we had some discussion about that Amendment and he was taking a little time to reconsider. Would you take this out of the record till I



get back to him, I'll appreciate it."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2549."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2549. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2554."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2554. A Bill for an Act to revise the law governing local governmental and school elections to implement consolidation of elections. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 through 7?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. I move to table Amendment #7 to House Bill 2554. Representative Bluthardt."

Speaker Redmond: "The Sponsor of the Bill evidently is not on the floor, better take it out of the record. 2555."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 25...."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2575."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2575. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Ewing. Amends House Bill 2575 on page 2 by deleting lines 1 through 35 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing. Amendment #1 to 2575."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, under this proposed Amendment the state would be removed from its obligation to pay for this excessive increase in the Homestead Exemption. There are much better ways to help the poor people and those people who need help in this state than by giving millionaires another 1500 dollars off their residential real estate tax. And I don't believe the State of Illinois can afford to fund this. This Amendment would take the responsibility away from the state to fund the other half of the Homestead Exemption and we could use those same funds to put into the circuit breaker



for those people who are living at the poverty level and I would ask for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have to rise in opposition to this Amendment, In fact, I would just like to bring to the Membership's attention that this is one of six Bills which provides the Homestead Exemption increase from 1500 to 3000 dollars. A number of Bills have passed but this is the only Bill that provided a reimbursement to local government for the increase on the Homestead Exemption. This is part and policy of the...policy of the Governor of this state when he came on this House floor and he stated that there wouldn't be any state mandated programs...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: ".....Without the reimbursement."

Ewing: "I think he should talk to the Amendment."

Lechowicz: "I am."

Speaker Redmond: "Speak to the Amendment."

Lechowicz: "I believe I am addressing myself to the Amendment. The Amendment removes...removes, mind you, the reimbursement to local governments. It also removes the effective date of this Act. If you recall, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman had an identical Bill the last Session of the General Assembly, we amended his Bill, he never had the guts to call it. I strongly recommend a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Ewing to close."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, there may be a number of Bills passed with this same provision in it but this Amendment is fiscally responsible for the State of Illinois. Let's use our money for those people who need it, not for the millionaires in this state. And I would ask for an 'aye' vote on this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Representative...Representative..... A Roll Call has been requested, those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Skinner."



Skinner: "What Representative Ewing is trying to do here, I believe, is abolish the W. Clement Stone approach to property tax relief. Is that correct, Representative Ewing, could you nod your head? If you don't think that people that don't need property tax should get it, you ought to be voting for this. On the other hand, if you think we've got money that can be thrown away and given to the W. Clement Stones of the world that...that own these 100 and 200 and 300,000 dollar houses, you definitely want to vote 'no'. And I guess it's because Representative...the Chairman....I guess it's because the Sponsor of this Bill is of that opinion, that we ought to subsidize the rich that don't need property tax relief, that he's going around punching everybody's button."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz.
Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my vote, I believe that anyone that knows me knows that I don't represent the rich or any special interests but I do represent the people of my area and though there have been a number of comments about the millionaires that are going to be affected by this Bill, and let me tell you, they live their districts and they're not mine. My Bill, and what this Amendment does, it removes the provisions...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Of reimbursement....."

Schuneman: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schuneman: "Is this Representative allowed to speak twice on this matter?
He spoke on the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I got up on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Redmond: "He's allowed to explain his vote....."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege?

Is that the point on which he's speaking, Mr. Speaker...correct...."

Lechowicz: ".....Explain my vote."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I understood that he rose to explain his
vote, is that permitted under the rules?"

Speaker Redmond: "He tells me that he rose on a point of personal



privilege."

Schuneman: "And how do you rule, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's what he rose, that's what he rose on That's what you rose, you rose on a point of order, so....I'm not going to question anybody's motives or what they're rising for. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "I would like a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 77 'yes' and 79 'no' and the Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees. Representative Boucek....."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson,...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Abramson, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Antonovych. Boucek."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boucek, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rich Brummer. Catania. Deuster. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton. Getty. Hudson. Klosak. Leverenz. Madison. Mautino. McAuliffe. McCourt."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe, for what purpose do you rise?"

Jaffe: "Would you change my 'yes' vote to 'no', please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Jaffe from 'yes' to 'no'."

Proceed with the poll of the absentees. I can't see Representative Ewing, somebody's standing up in front of him."

Clerk O'Brien: "McMaster."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty votes 'no'. Representative Madison, 'no'. Representative Miller, how do you vote? I can't see you there's somebody standing in front of you against the rules."

Miller: "Mr. Speaker, could you record my vote as 'aye' rather than 'nay', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Miller as 'aye'. Representative Keats, for what purpose do you rise? Guess he doesn't vote right. Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "McMaster. Schlickman. Sumner."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Greiman: "...Would you change my vote to 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from green to red. Representative



Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, that sounds like a good idea, change me to 'no', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Bowman from 'aye' to 'no'. Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sumner and W. D. Walsh."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Brummer: "Could I be recorded as 'no', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative Robinson, for what purpose do you rise? Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Ryan, please. Representative Ryan, rules say you're supposed to be in your chair. Mr. Doorkeeper, would you.... Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, if I fail to have enough 'yes' votes for this Amendment, I'm going to request an Oral Verified Roll Call please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. What's the score? 76 'aye' and 86 'no'. The Gentleman has requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call....Negative Roll Call, pardon me. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "I withdraw my..... Oh, I'd like to request an Oral Verified Roll Call on this please."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think the rules provides that that's within the purview of the Member, I think that's the Speaker's prerogative and when we've gone this far...."

Ewing: "I'm...well, I'm just requesting it, Mr. Speaker, I'm not ordering."

Speaker Redmond: "I think....I think timewise we better...if you want a verification we might just as well proceed with a verification of this one the way it is. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, I know it's the Speaker's prerogative but it seems to me that you've been granting oral verification of



request of almost every Member who's required it particularly.... particularly if they're from your side of the aisle. Now, Representative Ewing has a very important Amendment which obviously many Members are deeply interested in. The scoreboard shows only a couple of vote difference, maybe with a changing of votes, there's a ten vote disparity between the two sides, but I think his request is in order and you ought to give him the opportunity for an Oral Verified Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Point of order. The Gentleman realizes that you have made your ruling and he only has one alternative."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, the purpose of the... Oral Verified Roll Call was a timesaving device when you anticipated ultimately a verification of the Roll Call. We've gone so far now that it in my judgment time is best served by proceeding with the normal verification of the Roll Call. Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I can't see that there's any timesaving, I'm sorry to argue with you on that, but I must of course go with your wishes but I want a verification if we're not going to have the Oral Verification which I consider to be faster, that's why I requested it."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think that it's faster to go this way. We'll see. Proceed with the verification of the Negative Roll Call. Representative Conti, for what purpose do you rise?"

Conti: "I wasn't on the floor so I vote 'no'....'aye', 'aye', I'm sorry."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes. Beatty. Birchler. Bowman. Bradley. Brady. Brandt. Breslin. Rich Brummer. Don Brummet. Byers. Caldwell. Capparelli. Christensen. Darrow. Corneal Davis. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Doyle. John Dunn. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Garmisa. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Harris. Hart. Dan Houlihan. Huff. Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Kane. Keats. Kelly. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leverenz. Lucco. Luft. Madigan. Madison. Marovitz. Peggy Smith Martin. Matejek. Matijevich. McClain. McGrew.



McLendon. McPike. Mudd. Mulcahey. Murphy. Nardulli. O'Brien.
 Pechous. Pierce. Pouncey. Richmond. Robinson. Satterthwaite.
 Schisler. Sharp. Shumpert. Steczo. Stuffle. Taylor. Terzich.
 Tipsword. Totten. Van Duyne. Vitek. Von Boeckman. R. V. Walsh.
 Williams. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions, Representative Ewing?"

Ewing: "Representative Bowman."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Ewing: "Bowman."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Ewing: "Representative Bradley."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Bradley here? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, is Representative Bradley here?"

Speaker Redmond: "We removed him."

Ewing: "I'm sorry. Representative Conti."

Speaker Redmond: "Conti."

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Ewing: "Leave him on then. Brady. Representative Brady."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here. He's that young fella."

Ewing: "Representative Caldwell."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Ewing: "Representative Domico."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Domico here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ewing: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in the middle aisle."

Ewing: "Representative Harris."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Ewing: "Representative Kosinski."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Representative McMasters, for what



purpose do you rise?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Excuse me, he's recorded as voting 'no' rather."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters, how's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

McMaster: "Will you vote me 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'."

Ewing: "Did the...."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Ewing: "All right. Representative Laurino."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino is right here. He's this young fellow in the pink shirt."

Ewing: "Representative Lucco."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Ewing: "Representative Luft."

Speaker Redmond: "He's right here."

Ewing: "Representative Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz here? He's in the door."

Ewing: "Representative McGrew."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski has returned to the chamber, put him back on the Negative Roll Call."

Ewing: "Did...did we remove Representative McGrew?"

Speaker Redmond: "I think he's been removed, is he not, Mr. Clerk?"

Ewing: "Thank you. Representative McPike."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Ewing: "Representative Schisler. Representative Schisler."

Speaker Redmond: "What was that last one? Representative Schisler here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ewing: "Representative Nardulli."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Ewing: "Nardulli."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Nardulli? Is he in the chamber?
Remove him."

Ewing: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Richmond? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Representative Schisler has returned
to the chamber, put him back on the Roll Call. Representative
Bradley has returned to the chamber, put him back on the Roll Call.
Representative Katz...desires to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Ewing: "Representative Brandt."

Speaker Redmond: Katz 'aye' and Schisler back on. Who was the last one?"

Ewing: "Representative Brandt."

Speaker Redmond: "Brandt? How is Representative Brandt voting?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Ewing: "Representative Van Duyne."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Ewing: "Representative Christensen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Christensen? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ewing: "Representative Younge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge? How is she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her. Representative McGrew has returned to
the chamber, put him back on the Roll Call."

Ewing: "Representative O'Brien."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his chair."

Ewing: "Representative Bowman."

Speaker Redmond: "Bowman? You verified him once. He was in the chamber
at the time."

Ewing: "Is he now in the chambers?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman...."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, that's the first person that was verified...."

Speaker Redmond: "Very first person verified was Bowman and he was in his chair at the time. Representative Domico has returned, put him back on the Roll Call. Representative Richmond has returned, put him back on. Representative Nardulli has returned, put him back on. Representative Katz."

Ewing: "Representative McClain."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute, now. Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I want to change my vote from 'aye' to 'no', Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no', Representative Katz. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "Katz went from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Ebbesen seeking recognition."

Ebbesen: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Ebbesen: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote Representative Ebbesen as 'aye'."

Ewing: "Representative Pechous."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Ewing: "Representative Pechous."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pechous. How is he recorded? He.... Representative Pechous is in his chair. Representative McClain, is he on the Roll Call? Pechous. Is Representative Pechous in the chambers? Remove him. Representative McClain on the Roll Call?"

Ewing: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you verified him once."

Ewing: "I don't believe so."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, you did. He was in at the time you verified him."

Ewing: "Thank you, he's here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, is Representative McClain off or on the Roll Call?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here. Where are you now? Representative McClain."



Ewing: "I haven't seen Representative...."

Speaker Redmond: "He was standing right here a minute ago."

Ewing: "Well, you're seeing people. I don't see Representative McClain."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, you better go see Representative Ebbesen."

Ewing: "Well, I plan to do that also but I...I..."

Speaker Redmond: "Don't get laryngitis."

Ewing: "No. That...that isn't what they treat that for."

Speaker Redmond: "This is Representative McClain right here."

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you go back and shake hands with Representative Ewing? Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, will you please record me as 'no'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'."

Ewing: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for that very quick verification."

Speaker Redmond: "It would have been quicker if we didn't try two or three times to verify the same ones. Representative Mautino, I guess your switch is open, you want to push it? He's had 'em recorded as 'no'? What is the..... 78 'ayes' and 82 'noes'. And the Amendment...motion fails and the Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2578. Out of the record. Coward. 2591. Representative...okay. 2591."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2591. A Bill for an Act to amend the Transportation Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2593."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2593. A Bill for an Act to amend the Minimum Wage Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Simms. Amends House Bill 2593 on page 3, line 13 and so forth."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan on the floor? Out of the record. 2599."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2599. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Has the fiscal note been furnished?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, the fiscal note is not furnished."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll have to hold it on Second. Wait a minute. Fiscal note has been furnished you say?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Has not been furnished."

Speaker Redmond: "Hold it on Second. 2604."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2604. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber, did you want 2604 moved? Don't let Collins distract you. Third Reading. He... She... The Parliamentarian knows what you want to do with that thing. Keep it on Second Reading. 2607. Hold that one on Second Reading..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2607. A Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. John Dunn. Amends House Bill 2607 on page 1 by deleting all of line 12 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative John Dunn."

Dunn, J: "Mr. Speaker, I think we have the wrong number on the board."

Speaker Redmond: "2607."

Dunn, J: "Mr. Speaker, this is noncontroversial Amendment. It's in response to an indication I made to Representative McMaster in



Committee. This Bill relates to decennial census and I was informed in Committee that there may, after 1980, be federal census after five years, so I think - as you will see from the Amendment - it provides for relation to the quinquennial census."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye' 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it have, the motion carried, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2619."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2619. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was tabled in Committee. Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment #2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2636."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2636. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Representative Matijevec in the chair."

Speaker Matijevec: "Any...any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevec: "Third Reading. House Bill 2650."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2650. A Bill for an Act to amend the Messages Tax and provide funding for establishing of the nine...eleven emergency telephone number system in Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevec: "Any motions on the Committee Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Matijevec: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Katz-Mahar. Amends House Bill 2650, as amended, by deleting Section 6 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevec: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "In its present form, House Bill 2650, establishes a commission



to study the problem of financing the cost needed to have a state-wide 911 system. Amendment #2 is an Amendment that would simply permit the Illinois Commerce Commission to cooperate with and provide technical assistance to the 911 Emergency Telephone System Study Commission. I would move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Katz has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2650. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Ryan: "Representative Katz, what effect does this have on Amendment 1?"

Katz: "This is simply supplementing. Amendment 1 changes the Bill into a study commission and Amendment 2 simply provides that the Commerce Commission can assist that study commission. So it's totally consistent with Amendment #1."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Katz to close."

Katz: "I believe it's clear; it's a useful Amendment and I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Katz has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2650. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third...Has the fiscal note been filed? No..no, no request. Third Reading. House Bill 2652."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2652. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2656."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2656. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."



Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendments to 2656?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2660. 2661, I'm sorry."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2661. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to private employment agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions from the floor on the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2666."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2666. A Bill for an Act in relation to township police protection. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Jack Davis. Amends House Bill 2666 on page 1, line 6 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Davis. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis, on Amendment #1."

Davis, J: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The effect of Amendment #1 is to exclude Cook County from the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Davis has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2666....2666. Are there any questions on that motion? All in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Jack Davis. Amends House Bill 2666 on page 2 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis, on Amendment #2."

Davis, J: "Mr. Speaker, I move to mable...mable, ha, ha...right, I'll



move Mable. I move to table Amendment #2, Amendment #3 is replacing it, it's in better form."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take...Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Jack Davis. Amends House Bill 2666 on page 2 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis."

Davis, J: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 simply allows the municipal territories within a township opting for the referendum of police force package to not be included within the taxing provision if they so adopt by municipal ordinance."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Davis has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to 2666. Are there any questions? All in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and....Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2670."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2670. A Bill for an Act in relation to the abolishment of the Illinois Naval Militia. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions on Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2687."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2687. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Snowmobile Registration and Safety Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Adams. Amends House Bill 2687 on page 2, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Adams, is he on the floor? Gentle....Adams. Let's take that out of the record for a moment. House Bill 2688."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2688."



Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record, Adams isn't here. House Bill 2691, out of the record. House Bill 2702."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2702. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions on Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2707."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2707. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act...."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "A fiscal note has been requested and filed. Third Reading. Oh, I'm sorry, floor Amendments on House Bill 2707."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Skinner-Jones. Amends House Bill 2707 on page 1, lines 1 and 6 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones. Representative Jones, do you want to go ahead with that Amendment on House Bill 2707, Skinner-Jones Amendment? Skinner's not here. All right. Representative Kornowicz, what's your pleasure? Do you want to go to Third?"

Kornowicz: "No objections."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2714."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2714."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman...one moment, Mr. Clerk. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "How about that Amendment on 2707, was that adopted?"

Speaker Matijevich: "No, the Gentleman who wanted to...the...put the Amendment on is not here and we went to Third Reading, I thought that was your pleasure."

Kornowicz: "Okay."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. 2714."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2714. A Bill for an Act to abolish certain special funds. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Peters. Amends House Bill 2714 on page 1, line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters, on Amendment #1."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what Amendment #1 does to House Bill 2714 is just make a technical and clean-up change in the Bill. When the original Bill was drafted, the Reference Bureau took out too much of the language...."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 on 2714. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. ²⁷²² House Bill 2722."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2722. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to compensation of Members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, at Schneider's desk, I believe."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, would Representative Vinson yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Would you like to yield, Representative? The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Vinson."

Houlihan, J: "Representative Vinson, I notice you have there 'delete the time not served'. Would you consider an Amendment to delete the time that any Members spends sleeping....that was in that situation?"

Vinson: "I don't believe so."

Houlihan, J: "How about just smoking their pipe..and not tending to state business?"



Vinson: "When they're not tending to state business, I would."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, no Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, will you back up to House Bill 2707?"

Representative Kornowicz, we want leave to return that, put that back to Second. Representative Skinner is now here for what I understand is agreed Amendment, is that true?"

Kornowicz: "Yes."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. Leave to bring House Bill 2707 back to Second Reading and leave...Representative Skinner on Amendment #1 to House Bill 2707."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly. This Amendment would, in my opinion, solve the Senior Citizens Property Tax Relief problem by requiring the state to pay all tax...all property taxes of senior citizens and disabled people that exceed 4 percent of their annual household income from all sources. It would, in addition, raise the maximum eligibility income from 10,000 to 15,000 dollars which is almost directly proportional to the increase in the consumer cost-of-living index since the circuit breaker concept was first proposed in 1972."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Skinner has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2707. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and Amendment #1...Skinner told me it was agreed. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'nay'. All in favor vote 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On Amendment #1 there are 88 voting 'aye', 12 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present' and Amendment #1 to House Bill 2707 is adopted. Third Reading. House Bill 2730."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2730. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Labor. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Mr. Clerk, I understand from the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee, there's agreement to take this out of the record. Take...out of the record. House Bill 2736."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2736. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No



Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Ryan. Amends House Bill 2736 on page 4, line 3 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Minority Leader George Ryan, on Amendment #1. Representative Ryan, Amendment #1. 2736."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a technical Amendment, corrects a reference in the Insurance Act."

Speaker Matijevich: "Is it technical, George? How technical?"

Ryan: "I move for the....."

Speaker Matijevich: "Dan Houlihan is up on his feet, he doesn't think it's technical, I...I take it. Representative Ryan has moved the adoption of Amendment #1, a technical Amendment. Are there any questions? All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. And Amendment #1 to 2736 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2738."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2738. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Ryan. Amends House Bill 2738 on page 1, line...."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 2753."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2753. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Personnel Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Simms. Amends House Bill 2753 on page 2, line 5 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman is not here. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment...."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Simms is.....Representative Jacobs, do you want to proceed, Representative Simms is not here. Gentleman from Rock Island."



Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have the Amendment, I don't even know what Amendment #1 is."

Speaker Matijeich: "Do you want to go...go with it then? All right. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Simms. Amends House Bill...."

Speaker Matijeich: "He's not here, withdraw that one. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Vinson-McClain. Amends House Bill 2753 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Vinson, on Amendment #3."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, is Amendment #4 also filed, Vinson-McClain? I'd like to withdraw Amendment #3 and just go with 4."

Speaker Matijeich: "#3 has been withdrawn. Amendment #4."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Vinson-McClain. Amends House Bill 2753 on page 1, line 1 by deleting Section 10 and so forth."

Speaker Matijeich: "Mr. Clerk, has #4 been printed and distributed on the desks? Well, we can do one of two things, we can either hold the Bill or take it out of the record. Take it out of the record. I hate to punish a Member because he's ready to go. House Bill 2754."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2754. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijeich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Boucek. Amends House Bill 2754 on page 2, line 4 and so forth."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Boucek on Amendment #1."

Boucek: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. What Amendment #1 does here, on page 2, line 4 merely adds after the word 'association, and all organized auto racing events'. And I move for its adoption."

Speaker Matijeich: "Mr. Boucek has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2754. Are there any questions? Represent.... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."



Houlihan, D: "I have a question of the Sponsor if he'll yield."

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he'll yield."

Houlihan, D: "Do I understand the Bill correctly as introduced, that this would exempt from the provisions of the Environmental Protection Act, the noise emission, being subject to the noise emission standards under the Act for Sports Car Associations chartered in the state and for skeet trap and shooting sports clubs, is that correct? All right, now, what would the Amendment do as far as expanding the exemption? The Bill as introduced makes certain exemptions that certain events or associations will not be subject to the Act. How far do you take that exemption by way of your Amendment?"

Boucek: "Well, as you know, there are several small racing tracks in...out...the state and one larger one in my district and I certainly think they should be included in this Bill."

Houlihan, D: "In ... in other words, what you're saying is that all organized motor racing events in the State of Illinois will not be subject, if your Amendment be adopted, will not be subject to the noise emission standards under the Environmental Protection Act. Is that correct?"

Boucek: "That's correct."

Houlihan, D: "Well, then, in speaking to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I suggest that Amendment #1 just makes a bad Bill makes worse and I would request a negative vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane, on Amendment #1."

Kane: "Is there any limitation on who can do the organizing of the motor racing event or could three people have a...a drag race down the street and they could be an organized motor race?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "No, that would not include those."

Kane: "Well, why not? The language doesn't say that."

Boucek: "Well, I think if you look at the Bill....."

Kane: "...Is there a definition of an organized motor racing event in the Bill?"



Boucek: "I believe that most of them are charter."

Kane: "Well, that's not what the language says in the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Want to take it out of the record, Emil?"

Boucek: "For a moment, please."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. Oh, that's Porter's Bill.

Is that all right, John? All right. Out of the record. 2757,
out of the record. House Bill 2766."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2766. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of the Crime Victim's Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2767."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2767. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Has a fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2770."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2770. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2772."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2772. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions on Committee Amendments 1 & 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Hart. Amends House Bill 2772
on page 4...."



Speaker Matijevich: "The Sponsor is not here, take that Bill out of the record for a moment. House Bill 2778."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2778. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the State Printing Contracts Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Motion from the floor on Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Giglio. Amends House Bill 2778, as amended..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Giglio, on Amendment #2."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 just changes one word in the Bill from deleting the word 'printing' and inserting in there...in lieu of 'production...or producing'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Giglio, has moved for adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2778. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2787."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2787. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Mahar. Amends House Bill 2787 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. The...Representative Brummet. The Gentleman from Fayette, Representative Brummet. Oh! Representative Mahar is the Sponsor of the Amendment. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill allows the annexation of noncontiguous territory to a municipality. And my Amendment makes a rather difficult Bill a



little bit better, I think. What it does, it says the territory was owned by...municipality prior to January 1, 1978. Secondly, it says that the water reservoir...reservoir treatment plant was in operation before that time. And the third part, it excludes those counties that have zoning powers now."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2787. The Gentleman from Fayette, Representative Brummet, on that Amendment."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an agreed Amendment and the Amendment automatically strikes the enacting clause and becomes the Bill. And I move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Amendment #1 has been moved for the adoption. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and Amendment #1 to House Bill 2787 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2790."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2790. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Transportation, Division of Water Resources. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motion on the...."

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Harris-Richmond. Amends House Bill 2790, as amended, by deleting everything preceding the enacting clause and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Marion....is Representative Harris here or Richmond? What's your pleasure, Representative Tipsword, you want to move ahead or hold? Representative Tipsword, the Gentleman from Christian."

Tipsword: "Just hold it then I...then I guess until they get back."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. House Bill 2793."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2793. A Bill for an Act to amend the Downstate Public Transportation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."



Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions on Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Deavers. Amends House Bill 2793 on page 1 by deleting lines 1 and 2 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Who's the Sponsor of that, Jack?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Deavers."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Deavers on Amendment #1 to House Bill 2793."

Deavers: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to yield to Representative Winchester, please."

Speaker Matijevich: "It's Amendment #2. Representative Winchester on Amendment #2."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 is a simple Amendment, it simply requires that the RTA repay 34.6 million dollars back to the General Revenue Fund. They would have two additional years...if this money is...is by law required to be paid by 1980, this extends it to 1982. They would begin paying immediately 9,000,000 dollars for the next three years and a balance of 7.6 million on the fourth year. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Deavers has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 on House Bill 2793...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, D: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaneness of Amendment #2 to the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hold tight. All right, that's not germane, I just looked at it. Hold tight. After a cursory examination, Representative Telcser, I rule that it is one Act put into another Act and also not the same subject and therefore is not germane. The...the Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I appeal the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Matijevich: "You would."

Geo-Karis: "Darn right I would. You want to keep within the law, pay back when it ought to be paid back instead of horsing it around."



Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, and let's not debate it, shall the Chair be overruled? Those voting for overruling of the Chair vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Take....takes 89. Record Giddy Dyer 'no'. Is that what you wanted Giddy? No. All right, record Giddy Dyer 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this....motion there are 68 voting 'aye', 83 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present' and the motion to overrule the Chair fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. 2794. Out of the record. 2818."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2818. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2833."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2833. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Skinner. Amends House Bill 2833 on page 1, line 13 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The...the Gentleman....just a minute. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell, did you...."

Ewell: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on a parliamentary inquiry, I'd like to find out about the germaneness of this Amendment having heard your last illustrious ruling."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, let me look at it first, Cal (sic). The Chair rules that Amendment germane. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Son of a gun, I'm learning. Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Matijevich: "Fair is fair."

Skinner: "This Amendment....."

Speaker Matijevich: "Now you have to have an explanation. You weren't ready for it."



Skinner: "This...I...this Amendment, he stuttered, is an Amendment designed to hold the highways, the state highways in the six-county Chicago Metropolitan Area, harmless from the Sponsor's Bill. The Sponsor's Bill would cut the state motor fuel tax by two cents a gallon. Now, fortunately, in the six-county Chicago Metropolitan Area we have a pool of money in the Public Transportation Fund which would otherwise go to the RTA, or which presently goes to the RTA. If my Amendment is adopted it will be the same as abolishing the RTA gas tax because any loss, any loss, from motor fuel tax collections within the six-county area resulting from Representative Ewell's - I'm sure well-intentioned Bill - would be made up by transfers from the Public Transportation Fund to the State Highway Fund. Now there's another advantage to this Bill which I'm sure the Sponsor shares - or at least the goal he shares - that advantage is that all of the money transferred from the Public Transportation Fund would be spent for highway purposes within the six-county area. Now all of us have heard the inestimable Gentleman from Chicago - inestimable I guess the word is, isn't it - anyway he's absolutely beyond comparison speak at length about downstate Illinois - meaning anything out of Chicago when he speaks - takes all of the State Highway Motor Fuel Tax Fund that residents of Chicago pay. Here is a chance to get that money back in the Chicago Metropolitan Area. It's not quite as good as doing away with the...with the Franklin Street Subway which would free up 300 millions of dollars if you spent in the six-county suburban area but in the long run it'll sure bring a lot money for...to fix the potholes in the Chicago Metropolitan Area which the Governor seems always...excuse me, the Democratic candidate for Governor, seems to always be falling into. I would respectfully ask for the support of all Members of the General Assembly who wish to abolish the RTA gas tax and we're going to make Representative...the Sponsor of this Bill once this Amendment gets on it the absolute hero of his upper middleclass district."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2833. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Ewell, on that Amendment."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, however laudatory the purpose of Mr. Skinner's Amendment what he really does is cripple what is now a sleek vessel that needs no repairs, it needs no attachment, it needs no Amendment. It is a very simple Bill which has deleted only two figures in the entire Bill. Mr. Skinner comes along with a proposition that amounts to double talk, triple transfers and in the final analysis the reaming of a very good Bill. Now this is a very simple Bill that the motorists of the State of Illinois want, a simple measure for tax relief and Mr. Skinner would make it into one of those compound Acts that we might need more and additional attorneys to interpret and thereby cost the state excessive funds. I ask you, and I ask the Body, to maintain this simple Bill in the posture that the Sponsor and the people and the motorists of the State of Illinois would intend. And I would urge this Body not to attempt to cripple this good Bill. I think Mr. Skinner's Amendment might be well-intentioned for his district but I think he ought to select his own vehicle and let it stand on its own merit and not hamper a sleek vessel that is intended to benefit no one but the taxpayers and the motorists of the State of Illinois and for the information of the Body, the Secretary of State has indicated that there are some 6,741,895 motorists who would be the beneficiaries. And I ask you on their behalf, I plead with you, keep this Bill in the present form."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Skinner to close."

Skinner: "I think the Sponsor of the Bill underestimates the reaction he would get from his district, especially the colleague from his district on my side of the aisle whose precinct voted against the RTA even though he actively campaigned for it. This Amendment is extremely simple convoluted Amendment. All it says....."

Speaker Matijevich: "Convoluted is right."

Skinner: "All it says is the amount of motor fuel tax that Representative Ewell is trying to cut from the State Highway Fund, he's trying to cripple the State Highway Fund with this Bill, but the amount of money that's going to be cut in the Chicago Metropolitan Area would



instead of disappearing be recovered from the Public Transportation Recovery Fund-which the RTA has previously been the full beneficiary of-this Amendment is in effect going to give everyone in this General Assembly-specially all you suburban Cook County Republicans and Democrats that want to plug up your issue on RTA a little bit-the opportunity to vote against the RTA gas tax. And I unabashedly solicit your support and I ask you to follow your gut political instincts and realize that there are even, even in Chicago there are more car drivers than there are CTA bus riders. I ask for your favorable support for this Bill to gut the Public Transportation Fund."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from McHenry has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2032. All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'.....Roll Call. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'nay'.Is one time Adeline is right. Have all voted...have all voted who wish? Take the record. On...on the motion to adopt Amendment #1. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, there are a number of absentees who have not been heard on this issue and once they are aware of what's at stake, I'm pretty sure that they're going to want to vote 'no' against this Amendment, so would you poll the absentees?"

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. The motion...the Roll Call of 73 'ayes', 70 'nays', 2 answering 'present' and the Gentleman from Cook has asked to poll the absentees. Mr. Clerk, will you proceed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Antonovych, Beatty, Bennett, Bluthardt, Bowman, Brandt, Catania, Chapman, Daniels, Epton, Getty, Hoffman, Jim Houlihan...."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, from somebody else's desk. 'No' for Houlihan."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hudson, Johnson, Emil Jones,..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Emil Jones, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Klosak, Kucharski, Peggy Smith Martin...."

Speaker Matijevich: "Peggy Smith Martin, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McAuliffe, McClain, Mudd, Porter, Robinson, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Schuneman, Stuffle, Summer...."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sumner, Telcser, W. D. Walsh, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Matijevich: "Could you give me a count now, Mr. Clerk?"

There are 73 voting 'aye', 74 voting 'no' and the Amendment fails.
The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise?"

Skinner: "I would like an oral verification on this extremely important issue."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, let's go with the same type of verification we've had, they're both lengthy but as long as we've had a Roll Call..."

Skinner: "No, all you had was a call of the absentees which would be held anyway."

Speaker Matijevich: "Will the Clerk call the negative vote?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Anderson, E. M. Barnes...."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Anderson, 'yes'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bradley...."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Mudd, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mudd: "Yes, would you please record me as voting 'no', please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Record Representative Mudd as voting 'no'. Representative....from Macon, Representative Bennett, for what purpose do you rise? Bennett, 'aye'. Yeah. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "...Would you change me to 'no', please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Change Jaffe from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Birchler from Randolph. Record Representative Birchler voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Porter... from Cook. Porter, 'aye'. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to take just a minute to explain my vote. I did not vote initially because the RTA has been very unresponsive to the domestics, household domestics and largely women who have been trying to get a bus route, transportation route, restored in Cook County. They've been effectively cut off from their jobs and they have really been...giving the cold shoulder... to the Commission on the status of women, they have been trying to do something about this and...and I'm going to be voting 'no' on this but I



want to send the RTA a message that they better shape up because they're going to undercut the...their support in this General Assembly if they don't."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bowman shapes up, he votes 'no'.

Representative Abramson from Cook, he's shaping up for the other side.

Representative Abramson, 'aye'. Representative Conti."

Conti: "With tongue in cheek, 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "A tongue in cheek 'aye' for Conti. The Gentleman

from Madison, Representative Byers, do you want...no...no. All

right, proceed with the negative...Getty, 'no'. Getty, 'no'.

Proceed with the Negative Roll Call. Do you want to know where

we're at when we start? What's the count? Gentleman from Cook,

Representative Schlickman, while we're counting."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Matijevich: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded...Gentleman is recorded not voting."

Schlickman: "Please...please record me 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Record Schlickman, 'aye'. The Gentleman from

DuPage, Representative Daniels, for what purpose do you rise?"

Daniels: "Please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Record Representative Daniels as 'aye'. ...Having

a hard time getting a count, everybody changing. 79 'ayes'...

There are now 79 'ayes' and 76 'nays' and Representative Skinner

removes his request for a verification. House...you're ahead. Now,

Representative Ewell, do you want recognition?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I can't understand these switches around here

but....if I could get a promise out of these people that vote for the

Bill, I mean, I might even go along with it. Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Matijevich: "What...I...I couldn't hear you, Ray."

Ewell: "I...."

Speaker Matijevich: "I...I...I...what?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, verify it."

Speaker Matijevich: "Verify the vote, Mr. Clerk, call the affirmative vote."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Adams, Anderson, Jane Barnes, Bartulis, Bennett,



Bianco, Boucek, Breslin, Campbell, Christensen, Collins, Conti, Cunningham, Daniels, Jack Davis, Deavers, Deuster, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Edgar, Ewing, Friedland, Friedrich, Gaines, Geo-Karis, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Hoxsey, Huskey, Dave Jones, Keats, Kelly, Kempiners, Kent, Leinenweber, Macdonald, Mahar, Margalus, Lynn Martin, Matijevich, Matula, McBroom, McGrew, McMaster, Miller, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Murphy, Neff, Peters, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Pullen, Reed, Reilly, Rigney, Ryan, Schlickman, Schneider, Sharp, Simms, Skinner, Stanley, Stearney, E. G. Steele, C. M. Stiehl, Totten, Tuerk, Van Duynes, Vinson, Waddell, R. V. Walsh, Wikoff, Willer, Winchester, and Wolf."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty, for what purpose do you rise? Getty from Cook."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I misspoke, please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Record Getty as 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell, on questions of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Ewell: "R. V. Walsh."

Speaker Matijevich: "What was that, Walsh?"

Ewell: "Yeah. Yeah."

Speaker Matijevich: "Walsh. How is Representative Walsh recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "R. V. Walsh as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "And Walsh is here and in his seat."

Ewell: "Hoffman."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gene Hoffman? Representative Hoffman, I don't see him around."

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Matijevich: "Not voting."

Ewell: "Didn't you call...what other...who did you call? Oh, okay. Stiehl. C. M. Stiehl."

Speaker Matijevich: "I didn't hear you Ray."

Ewell: "Mrs...C. M. Stiehl, I don't see her back there. How is she recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take her off the record. Continue."

Ewell: "McMaster."



Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tom McMaster is in his seat."

Ewell: "Jane Barnes."

Speaker Matijevich: "Jane Barnes."

Ewell: "Yeah, Jane Barnes."

Speaker Matijevich: "I don't see Representative Barnes, how is she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take her off..."

Ewell: "Mulcahey."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey, I don't see him. Yes, he's up here. Continue."

Ewell: "Pierce."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take him off."

Ewell: "Polk."

Speaker Matijevich: "Just a minute, put Jane Barnes back on the Roll Call. Go ahead."

Ewell: "Polk."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cissy Stiehl has returned, put her back. Representative Polk, is that Polk you asked about?"

Ewell: "Polk, yeah."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's in his seat."

Ewell: "Rigney."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative...who was that?"

Ewell: "Rigney."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Rigney is in his seat. And we have given permission to Pete Nolan to televise."

Ewell: "Sharp."

Speaker Matijevich: "Here's to Pete Nolan."

Ewell: "Sharp."

Speaker Matijevich: "And his cameraman is George Cates."

Ewell: "Sharp."

Speaker Matijevich: "Continue, Ray."



Ewell: "Sharp."

Speaker Matijeich: "John Sharp is in his seat."

Ewell: "Stanley."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Stanley is in his seat."

Ewell: "Stearney."

Speaker Matijeich: "...Stearney. Stearney is in the aisle."

Ewell: "Kelly."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Kelly, I don't see him in his seat.

How is Representative Kelly recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijeich: "Take him off."

Ewell: "Leinenweber."

Speaker Matijeich: "Leinenweber...."

Ewell: "Strike it,.... Mahone...no, Mahar. Mahar."

Speaker Matijeich: "Mahar is in his seat."

Ewell: "Margalus."

Speaker Matijeich: "Margalus is in his seat."

Ewell: "Margalus, okay. McGrew."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative McGrew, I don't see him back...

oh, here he is, standing right in front of me. He's right there."

Ewell: "Martin."

Speaker Matijeich: "Martin is in her seat."

Ewell: "Lynn Martin."

Speaker Matijeich: "Oh, Representative Lynn Martin is by her seat."

Ewell: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Matijeich: "McAuliffe? I don't see him over there."

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Matijeich: "Not voting."

Ewell: "Barnes, Jane Barnes."

Speaker Matijeich: "Hey, we've already put her back on."

Ewell: "Oh, yeah. Cunningham."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Cunningham? I don't see him

over there. How is Representative Cunningham recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijeich: "Take him off."

Ewell: "Daniels."



Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Daniels, is in his seat."

Ewell: "Davis."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Jack Davis."

Ewell: "Jack Davis."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's there in his seat."

Ewell: "Christensen."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Christensen is in his seat."

Ewell: "Ebbesen."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ebbesen is...is Jorgenson back there? I don't see him."

Ewell: "Edgar."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, just a moment. Representative Ebbesen, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take him off."

Ewell: "Dunn, R. Dunn."

Speaker Matijevich: "Dunn who?"

Ewell: "Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Matijevich: "Ralph Dunn. I don't see Representative Ralph Dunn, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take him off."

Ewell: "Edgar."

Speaker Matijevich: "Edgar is in his seat."

Ewell: "Ewing."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ewing, in his seat."

Ewell: "Tuerk."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tuerk is standing in the conference over there."

Ewell: "McBroom."

Speaker Matijevich: "McBroom is sitting right over on the aisle."

Ewell: "Bartulis."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bartulis was back there somewhere. Representative Bartulis, are you still back there? I don't see him back there. Representative Bartulis, how is he recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting...."

Speaker Matijevich: "Here he comes out of the office, put him back on.

Representative Klosak, for what purpose do you rise? Record

Klosak'aye'."

Ewell: "What's the count?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Are you through? Gentleman....Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, I don't believe I'm recorded, would you vote me 'no'?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Record the Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain, voting 'no'. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Would you record me as voting 'aye', Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Record Representative Schuneman as 'aye'.

Representative Bill Walsh."

Walsh, W: "Mr. Speaker, would you record me as voting 'aye'?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Record William Walsh 'aye'. Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Aye."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gene Hoffman 'aye'. Representative Art Telcser, 'aye'. Representative Ed Bluthardt....oh, I'll slow down. Telcser, 'aye'. Bluthardt, 'aye'. Jim McCourt, 'aye'. Are you through, Representative Ewell?"

Ewell: "I'm through."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's through. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, I have a conflict of interest, I've always supported the RTA and I feel they need this money and I want to vote 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Record Representative Beatty as voting 'no'.

Do you have the record now, Mr. Clerk? I think I know where you're at. 82 'ayes', 76 'noes' and the motion to adopt prevails and the Amendment....Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, let Representative Deuster...."

Speaker Matijevich: "....From Lake, Representative Deuster."



Deuster: "I'd like to move to reconsider that vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Deuster moves to reconsider the vote by which that Amendment was adopted and Representative Leinenweber moves that that motion lie on...on the table. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and...and it ^{prevails} The motion ...Representative...you want something, Representative Collins?"

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege. I think with the adoption of this Amendment we finally got the poetry off the buses."

Speaker Matijevich: "Wait till June 30th before you say that. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative...Representative from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, in light of this, I'd like to leave the Bill on Second Reading for possibly another Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Bill will remain on Second Reading. Representative Skinner from McHenry."

Skinner: "I wonder if it'd be premature to ask for unanimous consent to go...to immediately to Third Reading and use the same Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "I think that would be out of order. Mr. Clerk, will you go back to House Bill 2772, Representative Hart now wishes to proceed with that Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2772. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions from the floor on those Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Hart. Amends House Bill 2772 on page 4 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart, on Amendment #3."

Hart: "This is just a clean up Amendment that changes a word or two



to make it read more properly, I move for the adoption...."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hart has moved the adoption of Amendment #3. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Hart. Amends House Bill 2772, as amended, on page 3 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart, on Amendment #4."

Hart: "This is an immediate effective date."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right...."

Hart: "Immediate...immediate effective date...adopted."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Franklin has moved the adoption of Amendment #4 and on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, D: "Unless there is a misnumbering of the Amendment, Amendment #4, at least the copy we have, it's not an immediate effective date...."

Hart: "Well, I may have had them backwards. One of them was...one of them was immediate effective date and the other one was just a clean up language and I may have described them in inverse order but that's what both of them do."

Speaker Matijevich: "I think number...number three was the effective date and...Representative Hart has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and Amendment #4 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Now we will return back to House Bill 2754, that's the one where Representative Boucek had an Amendment, and I understand he desires to withdraw that."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2754. A Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Boucek."

Speaker Matijevich: "And that one has been withdrawn. Further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. All right, I understand now we can go back to, Mr. Clerk, to House Bill 1803. Stuffle's going to handle that. Stuffle on 1803 and I believe McCourt has an Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1803...."

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh, we have to have leave to return this back to Second Reading. Do we have leave? Oh, it's on Second, my record here says Third."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1803. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. McCourt. Amends House Bill 1803, as amended, in Section 14-115 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #3 has a technical error in it and I've asked the Reference Bureau to correct it and I don't have it as yet, I'd appreciate if the Sponsor would hold it on Second till we get the Amendment up from the Reference Bureau."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right...."

McCourt: "It should be up shortly."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right, we'll take it out again. Take it out of the record. House Bill 2834. 2834."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2834. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to legalize and validate appropriations Bills and tax levy ordinances of certain counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Just one moment, the Gentleman from Lake,.... Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise?"

Skinner: "An Amendment was presented earlier this morning, it has not been printed on this Bill which I believe you're sponsoring and I wonder if we could take it out of the record."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Brien,



what's your wish on that?"

Skinner: "Maybe I'm wrong. Maybe you...."

O'Brien: "No. No."

Skinner: "...Your Bill."

O'Brien: "I know of no Amendment. No, what I'm talking...."

Skinner: "I'm sorry, I thought....that was John's Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. Well, let's proceed with the Bill then.

Hold for one minute. Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, there's no record of an Amendment. No floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Has the fiscal note been filed, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2835."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2835. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to legalize and validate appropriation tax and levy ordinances. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Flip over to the next one. House Bill 2839."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2839. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act creating a commission to recommend plans and to determine location of the Pioneer Memorial Plaza. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2842."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2842. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to alcoholic liquor. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Flinn. Amends House Bill 2842"



on page 1, line 11 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Monroe Flinn, on Amendment #1."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, all this Amendment does is add private schools to the churches here, we just left it out of the original Bill. I move its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from St. Clair has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2842. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I couldn't hear the explanation of the Amendment. This Bill, as I understand, would permit liquor to be sold at retail within 100 feet of church. I think it may be sensitive and I'm wondering if he could be explicit about what this Amendment does do."

Flinn: "Sure. Be glad. What caused the Bill, to start with, is the Attorney General last year ruled that those churches and private schools that held state liquor license were within a 100 feet of the church since they were the church and for the first time the state started denying them a state liquor license and it's created a problem all over the state. The Attorney General offered some language similar to the language in this Bill but we already had the Bill drawn up which would get around the thing. Previously, the state always issued a state liquor license provided they were able to obtain a local liquor license and for the first time after the Attorney General's ruling the state started denying state license. And in drawing up the Bill we forgot private schools and all the Amendment does is add private schools to it."

Schlickman: "Okay, so that not only would the...would retail liquor license be prohibited within 100 feet of the church but also they would be prohibited from within 100 feet of a private school?"

Flinn: "No, it doesn't change the law at all, it permits them to have a license even though they are...."

Schlickman: "....That's right, just the reverse."

Flinn: "Within a 100 feet of the...."

Schlickman: "You would be allowed to have a retail liquor license



within 100 feet of a private school. What is the present law regarding public schools?"

Flinn: "I couldn't answer that, I don't know."

Schlickman: "Well, one time there was a prohibition for the location of a retail liquor license within so many feet of a public school...."

Flinn: "Well, I assume there still is, I don't recall anyone getting...."

Schlickman: "Well, if there is within a public school, I think there should also be one within a private school, prohibition, and I would oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Mudd."

Flinn: "Why don't you propose it on Third Reading? You can oppose the whole Bill then, all I'm trying to do is correct the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Mudd, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think that there is some confusion as to what this Amendment does. Representative Schlickman, in Committee what...what the Amendment, or the Bill, actually does is just... the limitations on a footage from any private or public school remains the same. The reason the Bill was put in by Representative Flinn in Committee was that if a private school - for example, a Catholic school had bowling alleys and...and there...in the basement or something like that - by their own actions they would be able to maintain a liquor license within that facility. The way the Attorney General is now...reaction to the past legislation is, that he's not issuing them because they are within a 100 feet. This just allows them, by their own actions, to maintain a facility on that school property if they so desire. That's all it does. It doesn't remove any limitations for a 100 feet from anyplace but allows that private facility, school or church, to have one within their own personal facility. That's all this does. And it's... good piece of legislation."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bingo. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Lucco, did you...."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Representative Mudd has explained the Bill as I would have done so,



I would just like to add my wording to it also that all this does ...this does not...this does not give the public the right to have a liquor license anymore than it did before, it merely allows the church and the private schools to have liquor license so that they can have it during their various church and school functions.

That's all."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Mahar: "Monroe, I understand what you're trying to do here but would this allow the Liquor Commission to grant a license for a tavern, say, within fifty feet or next door to a school?"

Flinn: "Well, what it would allow, in the first place, is the schools and churches to still prevent anyone locating within the hundred feet of them and all we're trying to do in the Bill is permit the State Liquor Control Commission to issue a state license because of the Attorney General's ruling that they could not....they couldn't sell within a hundred feet."

Mahar: "Except for within the facilities itself?"

Flinn: "That's right."

Mahar: "In the church and the school but then a hundred feet there could be no other commercial business selling liquor, is that right?"

Flinn: "If there was no objections there could be, yes."

Mahar: "In other words, they have the right, they have the right to get a license within the church or school and they also have the right to object to a...an establishment next...."

Flinn: "Right."

Mahar: "Door on a commercial basis. Thank you."

Flinn: "That's right."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, and particularly to Representative Schlickman whose question earlier I think was inadequately answered, as I read the Amendment it very clearly states that there will not be any such establishment within a hundred feet of a public school but it does, indeed, allow it within or actually



on the facility for the public schools. I think it's grossly unfair that we would pass an Amendment allowing public...allowing private schools to have something that we are depriving our public schools from having and I suggest a 'no' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn, in response to that."

Flinn: "Well, actually, if there's no further questions I'd like to close and suggest that if the Lady would like a Bill like this she ought to introduce her own Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative, before you close there's one more, Representative Bowman from Cook, would like to speak to the Amendment."

Flinn: "It was his Amendment to start with."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's why he wants to speak to it."

Flinn: "Right."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, from what I hear of the debate it seems like we're really debating the Bill and not the Amendment. Let me explain to you the practical effect of the Amendment. There are a number of religious institutions that operate schools that have licenses for the purpose of selling beer at bingo games and things of that nature. Now, what would happen if the...the school was actually the one that had the license and it happened to be located right next door to the church, under the...if the Bill is not amended, it would prohibit that sort of situation. The church itself would then actually have to have the license and I think what this really does is to correct a...just a minor technical defect in the...in the Bill in that should the Bill have to go out without this Amendment, I think it would be a more difficult situation than we now have. And...I would urge an 'aye' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Discussion? Representative Flinn to close."

Flinn: "Well, I think it's the...the Amendment's pretty well explained and as Representative Bowman said we spent some time in explaining the Bill, too, so I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'."



Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 60 'aye' and 20 'no' and the motion prevails, Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments? Representative Kozubowski desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2850."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2850."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2833. It's on Second Reading,

I understand that there are no further Amendments, is that correct?

Desire to have that.....go to Third Reading? Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "I've conferred with various sources, there are no more Amendments and the Bill is in good shape and we'd like to move to Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2853. I'll be there. 2853.

Representative Brady on the floor? 2853, Representative Brady?

Out of the record. 2854, out of the record. 2865, John Dunn."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2865. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Mental Health Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2870."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2870. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the Judicial Inquiry Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments...any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative Cunningham."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham. Is he on the floor? Representative Tipword, what's your pleasure?"

Tipword: "Well, let's leave it there till Representative Cunningham is here. I don't even recall what the Amendment was they put on in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'll hold it then. 2877."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2877. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Department of Children and Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2...."

Speaker Redmond: "Fiscal note has not yet been filed, we'll leave it on the Order of Second Reading. 2878, has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, fiscal note...."

Speaker Redmond: "2879."

Clerk O'Brien: "Not filed."

Speaker Redmond: "2879."

Clerk O'Brien: "No, fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Redmond: "2883."

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. To the gallery in our rear and to the left of the chamber, the student patrol of the Joyce Kilmer School visiting Springfield today. Their teacher, Leonard Benson, is with them. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "2893."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2893. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to Recorders. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2894."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2894. A Bill for an Act relating to Mechanic's Liens. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2898."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2898. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Any motions....respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Hart. Amends House Bill 2898 on page 1, line 1 and 5 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart, Amendment #2 to House Bill 2898."

Hart: "This Amendment was recommended by the Board of Trustees of the Judges' System in order to clarify the eligibility of a surviving child to his dependent(sic) due to physical or mental disability if he or she is beyond the age 18 upon the death of a Judge for a survivor's benefits. Presently if you have a...a child who's an...an adult dependent and the Judge dies before the child becomes 18, the child is eligible but if the Judge dies after the child is 18 the child is not eligible and this was recommended to clear up the language in the Bill to make the Bill read as it was originally intended to read. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell. Yourell."

Yourell: "No objection to the Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2898. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carries, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Terzich. Amends House Bill 2898, as amended, in the title and Section 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #3 amends the Chicago Police Article of the Pension Code. Last year we passed a Bill changing the Pension Board; however, there was a court ruling and the Amendment provides for staggered terms of an elected Board of Trustee members. Elections are scheduled for this fall and could result in a hundred percent turnover. If this occurred it could create an administrative problem due to the....lack of knowledge of pension administration by the new members. The court ruled that they couldn't change it over immediately. There's no cost involved and it just provides for staggered terms and it's approved by the Pension Laws Commission."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "No objection to Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Terzich. Amends House Bill 2898 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Amendment #4 amends the Chicago Labor Benefit Article Fund. It permits the participants to assign refunds to secure loans from the credit union which makes loans only to participants and pension funds organized under the Illinois Pension Code. Presently, there are two or three systems, such as the Sanitary District System, which allows members to use pension proceeds to secure a loan from the credit union. This provision does not diminish any pension benefits. It only applies that if they terminate, voluntarily terminate from the pension program and there is a refund of pension benefits, that those monies could be used to satisfy the loan from the credit union. And, again, this has been submitted to the Pension Laws Commission and has it's approval."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Move...move the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 2898. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5. Yourell. Amends House Bill 2898, as amended, on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #5 to House Bill 2898 was included in House Bill 741 which was passed by the House last July 1st with 120 affirmative votes. House Bill 741 received 31 votes in the Senate but it required a three-fifths majority due to its late arrival. All that the Amendment does is allows for service credit in the Chicago Municipal



Employees' Retirement System, for employees who work the Land Clearance Commission which was superseded by the City Department of Urban Renewal. I move adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 2898."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #5. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6. Beatty. Amends House Bill 2898, as amended, by inserting '2-156' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Amendment provides that if a Member of the General Assembly loses his right to participate in the Pension Fund due conviction...due through conviction...through the conviction of a felony that the contractual right that his wife would have would be retained and upon his death, if wife - and he chose to leave the funds in the system - that his wife and children could benefit upon the death of the Member. At the present time we pay 10 percent of our pay in towards this pension and part of it is for the wife's portion of that fund and this would appear to be more equitable rather than having a total forfeiture upon a Member having a serious problem with the law."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #6. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the...probably one of the most important Amendments to be put to House Bill 2898. It is...Amendment, the Amendment that I think we should all be concerned with because it does affect us as Members of the General Assembly and I move the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 2898."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the motion for the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 2898. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7. Beatty. Amends House Bill 2898



on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Amendment 7 is an Amendment that has passed this House previous...

on two previous occasions in the last Session, it didn't get through the Senate. But what it does is, it takes those Members of the General Assembly who have previously had service with the...any of the six following funds: Municipal Employees Fund, County Employees, Forest Preserve District, Laborers and the Retirement Board, Park Employees and the Sanitary District Employees, and what the...what the change would do would be make these Members of the General Assembly have the law in relation to their pension benefits be similar to those now in existence for those Members of the General Assembly who have participated in the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund. In other words, the credits that a member would earn in...in his system, in addition to his payment being transferred, that system where he earned his credit, the county, city or whatever unit of government in addition to...would transfer their credits and the money would come here directly. At the present the member has to make up the difference, so this...and then any other difference would be made up by the Member of the General Assembly. So this would not really, in effect, cost the General Assembly any money.Equitable thing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Move the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 2898."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any...any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #7. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8. Beatty. Amends House Bill 2898, and in the title and in Section 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a..attempt at an Amendment to make more equitable the law with relation to Judges' Retirement. There are four Associate Judges at the Circuit Court in Cook County who are serving in the Probate Division. Back in 1972



when they were serving, the law was made to give them a partial credit for their years of service as an Associate Judge in Probate and so...being on the bench they...they participated at that time, paid in their funds and, just for example, one Judge who had 4.33 years as an Associate Judge was given 1.7 years as credit. Now, subsequently, the law has been amended again but it does not apply to these four Judges. At the present all the other Judges that serve in the Probate Court get a day-for-day credit service in the Judges' Retirement System whereas these four Judges, since they applied at an early time and paid in, the law does not provide them with the same privilege. And therefore in order to treat them fairly, we ask that this Amendment be put on the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Move the adoption of Amendment #8."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, may I...have a question of the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Terzich: "Representative Beatty, you mentioned this affects only four Judges?"

Beatty: "Yes, these are four men who of retirement age and if they... if this Bill passes they would...they could consider retirement because they could pick up an additional 2½ to 3 years of credit and they would make room for four younger men to get on the bench."

Terzich: "Do you know if it would..."

Beatty: "And there is no conflict, Representative Madigan tells me."

Terzich: "Well, do you...do you know if this is going to affect any other Judges?"

Beatty: "No, all the other Judges in a similar capacity now get a day-for-day credit, so these Judges are being shortchanged and not being treated fairly with comparison to the...all the other Associate Judges that work in the Probate Court."

Terzich: "Was this Bill suggested by the Judges' Retirement System?"

Beatty: "This...well, the Judges' Retirement System I'm sure would approve of this because these four Judges are being discriminated against. They are not getting a day-for-day credit in the judicial system where every other Associate Judge is. They are being....



this is an attempt to make it equitable for just four Judges."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #8. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried, Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? I've been advised that there's a pension impact note requested which has not been filed, we'll have to hold it on Second Reading until that is filed. There are no further Amendments though. 2899. Representative Yourell. Real Estate Transfer Tax Act."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill...House Bill 2899. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Real Estate Transfer Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2916, Representative Deuster. Mr. Doorkeeper, will you ask Mr. Deuster if he wants to take 2916? 2916."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2916. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "We've lost the Bill, that doesn't mean it didn't get 89, we can't find it, so we'll be back to it. 2926."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2926. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Terzich. Amends House Bill 2926 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 to House Bill 2926 again, I think, is one of the most important Bills of the General Assembly. I've been asked by many, many citizens who have expressed dissatisfaction with the manner in which the Chicago School District is administered. They feel that a single school district with boundaries coterminous with the City of Chicago is



too large and diverse to be considered as one unit by the State Board of Education. The Chicago Board of Education has attempted to make the district more manageable by dividing itself into 27 subdistricts. This Amendment would provide for more local control as the districts would be smaller and allow parents and district residents a better opportunity to participate in their school educational system. This is compatible with the neighborhood school theory which encourages local participation. There are many positive aspects with regard to this Amendment. The present system does not encourage the necessary parental input for quality education. Policy-makers and smaller school districts have an easier task of communicating with parents and with personnel than those in larger districts. The decentralization would provide a means for more local school control therefore generating a people-oriented feedback cycle. This type of involvement is a highly person....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schlickman: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaneness of this Amendment. House Bill 2926 deals with the disbursement or use of funds from the sale of real estate by the Chicago School District. This Amendment does not deal with the disbursement of proceeds from the sale of real estate but rather deals with the organization of the Chicago School District. I can't imagine, Mr. Speaker, that this by anyone could be construed as germane and I object to it on that basis and solicit, respectfully, your ruling that it is not germane."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Schlickman hasn't heard my full statement to determine whether or not it is germane and I believe it is germane."

Schlickman: "Well, I think the Amendment speaks for itself, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I'm inclined to agree with you. The Parliamentarian is studying the question of germaneness, Sir, which would be...."

Terzich: "It would take him six months to study that Amendment, Mr. Speaker. It took me a year to get it."



Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian advises me that it is germane inasmuch as it only amends the School Code, the same Act. Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hanahan: "I'd just like to announce, Mr. Speaker, there's an old friend of yours here on the floor, the former Democratic National Committee-woman from DeKalb...."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I'll be darned."

Hanahan: "Dorothy O'Brien....."

Speaker Redmond: "Dorothy O'Brien. Representative Satterthwaite, for what purpose do you rise?"

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, just to check to see if this Amendment has been circulated, I can't find it."

Speaker Redmond: "Has it been....printed and circulated? It is? I've been advised it has been printed and circulated. Proceed, with your explanation, Mr....."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, as I mentioned, the decentralization would provide the means of local control therefore generating a people-oriented feedback cycle. This type of involvement is a highly personal kind of accountability which needs goals and feedbacks are as seamless...would be based on a joint effort of lay people and educators. There are genuine advantages to the smallness that have been ignored too long. The neighborhood schools could enhance in each individual a critical spirit, the desire to question and to reject the dictates of the administration which often seeks to impose its will without explanation. The decentralization can provide greater staff sensitivity to local population. In smaller districts, the problems of intellectual and cultural deprivation can be more equally remedied by focusing on the unique deficiencies of the area. Decentralization can enhance school-community relations by encouraging more active parent and teacher involvement. In addition, parent-advisory councils can be initiated to discuss the school curriculum and service with school superintendents of a smaller district. There are many, many reasons for this, Mr. Speaker. At the present time there are over one thousand school districts. In Chicago alone there are 524,000 students. The average student population of the remaining school districts in the State of Illinois amounts to 1,700. Even if the



statistics were broken up into 27 separate independent school districts, it would still leave only 16,000...16,000 students in each district. And I think the people in Chicago, and I think that the people of Chicago and the State of Illinois are entitled to a better educational system and I urge support of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J: "Representative Terzich, what would be the voting population within each of those 27 districts?"

Terzich: "I do not have that figure. What...what it would do is, the Bill would set up that the present 27 subdistricts would remain intact, that the Chicago Board of Education would simply draw the boundaries for that district. The principals, the teachers and everyone would remain the same, they would elect their own school board and the superintendent who is presently in charge would be the... the superintendent provided he is elected to that position. The... the current superintendent of that school district until an election..."

Houlihan, J: "Well, we would have 27 school districts, wouldn't we?"

Terzich: "Correct."

Houlihan, J: "So there wouldn't...wouldn't be a current...oh, there'd be the district superintendents would become the superintendent of the board?"

Terzich: "Of those elected."

Houlihan, J: "Would they all then have separate budgets for bonding, for capital improvement, for maintenance, for supplies?"

Terzich: "The Bill provides that the...there would be a central school district which would be by the current Board of Education and they would have the power to have the special educational, except in the gifted children education, vocational education, things of this nature would be incurred by the Chicago Board."

Houlihan, J: "Representative Terzich, I have...I'm inclined to support this Amendment and this Bill. I have two reservations, one of them being school districts, whether those districts are now best drawn to involve a mix within the City of Chicago of all the communities within that city. And two, what the size of that voting district would be. Just in a rough figure, would it be a little bit larger



than a legislative district?"

Terzich: "I...I couldn't tell you, Representative."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to rise in support of this Amendment that has been offered by Representative Terzich. I think those of us who have are concerned about the...the activities of local government would support this type of an Amendment because it would bring government closer to the people and each of the various communities in the City of Chicago would benefit by having people representative of their feelings on the local school board, having these people brought to their own communities and having representing their own communities rather than somebody distant from them. And I definitely would encourage the people on my side of the aisle who believe in strong local government to support Representative...Terzich in his efforts in this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "You ready..... Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes...yes, Mr. Speaker, I think that this proposal has a great deal of merit. I think the one thing that has been mentioned because of a...there'd be different amounts....different districts within the !..with a large...within a larger district to give them a chance to monitor a management concept and I think that everybody in the overall picture will benefit by it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Levin: "What impact, if any, would this have on the total number of dollars coming to the schools in Chicago and the School Aid Formula as currently constituted?"

Terzich: "It...it wouldn't have any change whatsoever, it would actually, simply divide it equally among the districts that they would make requests in the same manner through federal aid and also through the State Aid Formula."

Levin: "We wouldn't lose any money as a result of this?"

Terzich: "No, not to my knowledge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."



Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, can I ask the Sponsor a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Conti: "Do you have any Amendments where you can include the Workmen's Comp on this for the General Assembly Members? Everytime Geo-Karis passes me up I get crippled here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Question of the Sponsor please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schneider: "Bob, what kind of districts are you forming within this structure that you are now developing units, high schools, elementaries."

Terzich: "This would be all of them that would fall within the present 27 subdistricts that are presently in Chicago."

Schneider: "But are the subdistricts identified as either, let's say, a dual district or a unit district?"

Terzich: "They are...they're...there are in unit districts, I understand."

Schneider: "Well, the School Board of Chicago isn't it?"

Terzich: "No, I think it...."

Schneider: "Developing that?"

Terzich: "...Within the...."

Schneider: "Representative Huff has a proposal I understand that's before the Legislature somewhere which is to study the proposition, are you not a little premature on it in terms of that? It seems like the Huff...."

Terzich: "Well...."

Schneider: "Program might be directing itself more effectively toward that question whether...whether or not I can agree with that is also disputable but maybe you ought to wait until that happens rather than jeopardize Capparelli's good Bill."

Terzich: "No, the...."

Schneider: "Right, Capparelli, you...."

Terzich: "Representative Huff is a Cosponsor of this Amendment and similarly that it is designed that these elections could be held this November and the change-over...June 15th so it's timely at this time. And it has been studied for a year, like I say, a year



and a lot of work has been involved."

Schneider: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, on the Amendment."

Terzich: ".....Good Amendment."

Schneider: "The Capparelli Bill standing alone is a very fine proposal and I think it was a Bill designed to clean up some of the problems that the Bill he had last year, I think this Amendment is really premature. I know it's an innercommunity battle but basically I think it's a bad Amendment to add this Bill and we ought to go another route in pursuing it. I would oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Representative Terzich, what kind of decisions as far as appropriating money to the local districts that you're breaking up here? What kind of decisions will be made by downtown and what kind of decisions will be delegated to the local districts?"

Terzich: "Well, basically, for example, teachers and benefits through a right such as service credits would be assumed by the new district, pension benefits would be administered by the central office, contracts rights by the new district, tenure by the new district, and appointments have been uncertain. Principals would remain in...the same within a district and district superintendents would be terminated by superintendents of the new district."

Marovitz: "What about the purchasing of supplies and textbooks and the determination of what textbooks to use, where would that decision be made?"

Terzich: "Well, that would be, I believe, by the new school board."

Marovitz: "By the local districts?"

Terzich: "Yes."

Marovitz: "So, in other words, in other words we're saying that various school districts which may adjoin each other will be using completely different textbooks and have different curriculum?"

Terzich: "Well, the...the central school district would be utilized for the purchase, you know, of books, of the handling of the special ed schools, gifted children and various vocational schools."

Marovitz: "What about the selection of principals, would that be brought to the local districts...."

Terzich: "That, yes, that would be the local district."



Marovitz: "Do you know how that would differ from the present system would...would the parent councils have input...greater input in the selection process of principals or would that become a...."

Terzich: "Well, due to the...the smallness of the school district not only would the board be involved but the entire district, they would know who they would want for their....principals and there'd be a more closeness to determine the quality of the teachers and also of the principal within the school system."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Terzich to close."

Terzich: "I'd like to pass to my Cosponsor, Representative Huff, to close."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ewell: "Yeah, Mr. Terzich, would this cause the loss of revenue to the Chicago School System?"

Terzich: "Not to my knowledge."

Ewell: "What would happen with Title I money under this plan that you have? I have not seen, you know, the....memos...."

Terzich: "Well, it's my understanding that there would not be any loss to funds that each school district would be able to apply for any federal funds whether it's Title I or any other...the same as any other school district. I have not seen it."

Ewell: "Okay, thank you."

Terzich: "Well, I move for adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'noes' have it. Any further Amendments? Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there five Members asking for a Roll Call? One, two, three.... Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I'm so sorry that you made the decision to ask for a vote on this, I'd had my light on when the debate started and you didn't see fit to recognize me. I wanted to ask the Sponsor some questions, I guess I'm not able to, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record.

On this question there's 90 'aye' and 30 'no' and the motion carries and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2916."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2916. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1.... This Bill has been read a second time previously. The next Amendment would be Floor Amendment #3. Deuster. Amends House Bill 2916, as amended, by deleting all of Subsection B and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 is my Bill to...my Amendment, rather, to my own Bill. This Bill deals with providing some flexibility in the debt limitation for school bonds where there's been rapid enrollment increases. Because it deals with the subject of bonds. I had the Bill reviewed by the respected firm of Chapman and Cutler. They reviewed the Bill and the Amendment in Committee and they have suggested Amendment #3, I've worked it out with them. What Amendment #3 does essentially, is identical to the Bill, but the changes are...the scheme of the Bill is that where a school's ... enrollment increases 35 percent, or over 200 students from one year to the next, that with approval of all the voters in the district at a referendum and with approval of the Regional Superintendent of Schools and the State Superintendent of Schools as to the enrollment increase, they may exceed their debt limitation and have bonds issued with a referendum. The changes are this, that Chapman and Cutler suggested that we have the State Superintendent also not only approve the need for the additional school facilities but also the cost so that the exact cost of the bonds...presentation to the voters would be approved by the Regional Superintendent and the state office. Also, we provide that the notice of the election of the referendum shall state the amount of the indebtedness that it would(sic) exceed the normal limit. And then another change is that we provide the ballot question so all the voters would see the exact percentage of bonds outstanding compared



to the equalized assessed valuation afterwards. The purpose of this is to make it clear that the voters will know what they're doing and those are the changes. And I would move adoption of Amendment #3 to the Bill at this time. And as I say, it is my Amendment designed to improve to perfect the Bill and respond to the suggestions of the firm of Chapman and Cutler."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Don, is it still frontdoor referenda?"

Deuster: "Yes, Representative Schneider, it is still a frontdoor referendum."

Schneider: "And this Amendment replaces....it becomes the Bill in effect, is that right?"

Deuster: "In effect it becomes the Bill, that's right."

Schneider: "All right, it seems to be consistent with the way the Committee you're adding now, the changes on the ballot. And then also the Superintendent of Public Instruction has to also approve the number and the amount?"

Deuster: "One more change, they said in addition....if the school estimates that next year they'll have the increase they could issue the bonds. This provides that if they actually had an increase of 35 percent. I think that's a good improvement to recognize...."

Schneider: "All right.
 This is all local money and I guess we'll discuss that on Third."

Deuster: "All right, seems like a good Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Don, would you answer a couple of questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Deuster: "I would be happy to."

McClain: "Did I hear you correctly, the Regional Superintendent will approve the cost?"

Deuster: "What the Bill provides is that where a local school has all kinds of subdivisions coming in and they see their enrollment next year is going to increase 35 percent or 200 and they say, 'boy,



we need some more classrooms'. The need and that estimation must be approved by two people; one is the Regional Superintendent of Schools and the second is the State Superintendent of Education. That's a provided safeguard so that...you know, we have the other two levels of education affirming that they really do have this need and this rapid expansion and enrollment."

McClain: "Is this a local problem?"

Deuster: "It's all around the state where you have enrollment increases that are rapid. I know some Members who have declining enrollment can't understand why areas like mine and some others, I think in DuPage County, where we have rapid suburban areas developing or population you have this kind of a problem."

McClain: "Well, that's not what I'm worried about...."

Deuster: "Oh."

McClain: "If it's a local problem then I don't have any trouble with it..."

Deuster: "Oh."

McClain: "But the problem that I...that I see with it is, why would the local school system want to relinquish their right to build another school and give it to the Regional Superintendent and the State Superintendent?"

Deuster: "Yes, this was brought to my attention by the local schools and they felt this was a reasonable safeguard. There have no problem with it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster to close."

Deuster: "Well, I think the Amendment is clear, I...I would urge that it be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, Amendment's adopted, motion carried. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4.. C. M. Stiehl. Amends House Bill 2916 as amended by deleting the period after the end of paragraph 3....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stiehl. Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 has the approval of the Sponsor of House Bill 2916 and all it does is...is provide



that whenever a school is required to build a new facility to meet state mandated programs they may do so with two-thirds of the.... with the consent of two-thirds of the voters at a referendum."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe this is quite contrary than...from the Bill. If the Lady would repeat what she just stated, a state mandated program would require two-thirds of the voters of that district for approval?"

Stiehl: "There's something there. No, what I'm saying is that Representative Deuster's Bill provides that when you have reached your limit it gives you some flexibility. In there if you have approval of the voters in your district to exceed that and it sets up certain circumstances...."

Lechowicz: "Well, Ma'am, I think you better read the Amendment because the Amendment is quite clear it takes two-thirds of the people voting on the question. And I'd like to ask you if there has been any proposal, school referendum in this state, that has been approved by two-thirds of the people voting on the question?"

Stiehl: "This...this...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Would not apply to all referendums. This would only to... only apply in that one instance where that school district had met bonding limitations and was granted the release under this Bill and had to build a new building to comply with state mandated programs and it was only then that they would require the two-thirds."

Lechowicz: "I'm going to have to speak against the Amendment. I think it's quite clear, I don't believe that there's been a referendum passed in this state that has received two-thirds of the populace voting in approval of any...any referendum. And what this will do is it will stymie all school construction in this state and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "I'd like to just ask a couple of question of the Sponsor. One, when you talk about the state mandated program, the fact that children have to go to school between the ages of 6 and 16, that's a state mandate so any building that was built to provide a school



is done because of a state mandate. Would that require a two-thirds vote?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Hoffman: "No.....I asked a question of Representative Stiehl and she'd like to be recognized to respond to my question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Yeah, could I take this out of the record a minute and talk to you about that? If it's all right with the Sponsor.....doing."

Speaker Redmond: "We won't lose it again."

Deuster: "I have no objection to Representative Stiehl discussing this before we take it out of the record and leave it, I wonder....have ...have a discussion while it's in....moved to Third Reading. There is one more thing that I would like to do with leave of the House. There is an Amendment #5 which just corrects another Amendment that was defective, do you have Amendment #5? If we could just take care of that while Representative..... I think the...I...I'll explain it while the Clerk is looking for it. Amendment #1 which was adopted in Committee was defective because it struck some language from existing statutes without repeating all the language and lining it out, this was brought to my attention by the staff on the other side of the aisle. So I prepared Amendment #5 to correct Amendment #1 and at this time I would like to move to table Amendment #1 which is defective."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion?"

Deuster: "I would...."

Speaker Redmond: "You don't have 5?"

Deuster: "Well, on 1....you don't have 5? Well, I've got...I think I've got 5. Well, let....let me do this. I would move to table Amendment #1 or reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee, whichever is appropriate"

Speaker Redmond: "We can't consider Amendment #5, it's not here. ...You move to table Amendment #1, is that correct?"

Deuster: "I move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Was that your Amendment in Committee?"

Deuster: "That was my Amendment in Committee and the Democratic staff pointed out to me that it was defective because it didn't repeat



the language that was being deleted and I have a later Amendment somewhere that corrects that but at this time to make use of the time of the House, I'd like to move to table Amendment 1...#1 and get that out of the way."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion to table Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. Motion carries. Amendment #1 is tabled. Now we have Amendment 2, 3; 4 is being discussed.... Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, inquiry of the Chair. Is Amendment #2 now in order since Amendment #1 was tabled?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Yes, it is. The Legislative Reference Bureau drafted the Amendment knowing that Amendment #1 would be tabled. And I have in my hand the corrected Amendment that was given to the Clerk to replace Amendment #1 and I don't know what happened to it but it's the same as Amendment #1. Unless someone's got a problem, I would move to adopt Amendment #5....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "I think that the Sponsor of this Bill, or the Amendment, is taking everything out of order, we don't have the Amendment distributed. He's trying to adopt Amendment #5 when Amendment #4 has been taken out of the record and I think this is completely out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, would you make an announcement?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendment is printed to...as Amendment to House Bill 2961 not 2916."

Speaker Redmond: "Has it been distributed?"

Deuster: "Has it been distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "I think maybe we better take this one out of the record until we get...while we've got everything all discombobulated. We'll take 2916 out of the record and I will make the Speaker's Office available to Representative Deuster, Representative Stiehl, Representative Hoffman, Representative Lechowicz, Representative Kane and get the whole thing straightened out. Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



in the balcony to the rear of the House, we have a group of students from Kingsley School in Evanston, their attendance center is divided between the First and the Eleventh Districts. The Representatives in the First District are Katz, Porter and Keats. In the Eleventh District, Bowman, Brady and McCourt. Glad to have you here, Kingsley."

Speaker Redmond: "2927."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2927. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representatives Jaffe, Yourell, Lucco, will you please sit down? Representative Richmond. Is there any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2941."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2941. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to Clerks of Courts. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Flinn. Amends House Bill 2941 on page 1, line 13 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Monroe Flinn. Flinn. Out of the record. 2945. You want to call it? 2945."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2945. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2946."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2946. A Bill for an Act...."

Speaker Redmond: "2945."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2945. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections



of the State Employees' Group Insurance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2946."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2946. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2947."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2947. A Bill for an Act to amend the Business Corporation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2949."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2949. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to permit lessees to receive a rent credit for paying certain lessors' obligations. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2950."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "On 29....on House Bill 2949, you say the Amendments were adopted in Committee?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct."

Conti: "All right, fine."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 2950. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis."

Davis, C: "Ask him just...we'll hold it on Second...."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, pardon...."



Davis, C: "...Commerce has..."

Speaker Redmond: "2949."

Davis, C: "Some Amend....we was talking to them about it."

Speaker Redmond: "You want that held on Second?"

Davis, C: "Yeah, the Commerce Commission has an Amendment and I want to wait...." :

Speaker Redmond: "...Return to the Order of Second Reading."

Davis, C: "Will you hold it, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "We will. Both 2949 and 2950? 2951."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2951. A Bill for an Act relating to the conducting of pull tabs and jar games by certain non-profit organizations...."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 2963."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2963. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Edgar. Amends House Bill 2693(sic) on page 2, line 24 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Brady on the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Wait a minute, I've got the wrong Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Brady on the floor? Is there an Amendment to 2963? Whose...whose Amendment is it? Representative Edgar. Representative Brady...Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could take that out of the record and go back to it? Mike just walked off the floor and he'll be right back on, I think he's opposed to the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Representative Edgar, will you step up to the well? Representative Edgar, will you come up to the Clerk? 2978. Guess Representative Catania isn't here today, is she?Take that out of the record."



Speaker Redmond: "2984."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2984. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense to the Department of Mines and Minerals. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 & 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 & 3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment 3 by Representative Cunningham."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham. Please give the Gentleman order. Representative Cunningham. It's a motion to table Amendment #3, Representative Bartulis. No, no, I'm...recognizing Representative Cunningham. I was just alerting Representative Bartulis. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Kent has the motion to table."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Cunningham: "Representative Kent."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is it Amendment 1 that you want to table? Motion says...."

Kent: "Amendment #3, I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bartulis."

Bartulis: "Yes, I agree on tabling Motion #...Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Question on the Lady's motion...Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the movant yield for a question?"

Kent: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Amendment #3 would provide a line...or a line item appropriation - which I think is a good fiscal approach - and number two, decreases the appropriation by 55,900 dollars which I also think is a good approach. And I'm wondering why in light of those two things you are asking that this Amendment be tabled."

Kent: "Amendment #2 has already been tabled."

Schlickman: "I'm talking about 3."

Kent: "And 3, we are asking it to be tabled and there will be another



Amendment placed on the Bill instead."

Schlickman: "Well, what's wrong with Amendment #3?"

Kent: "Well, for one thing, it cuts out all the needed money to do the job. You can't do the job when they cut it down that far and the next Amendment will just bring it up a little so that that could be done."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Kent: "Did I answer your question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, you responded but I'm still not satisfied that it isn't a good Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we're on the question of Representative Kent's motion to table Amendment #3. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the...House, the Appropriations I Committee, I feel, acted responsibly with the adoption of Amendment #3. Some of you may or may not remember the discussions that we've had in regard to the Abandoned Mines Land Reclamation Council and the fact that last year we cut a good deal of that Council's appropriation and operational expenses because of the fact that they could not definitively come up with a plan in regards to projects. The Council did again, they finally published a booklet, it came right at the eleventh hour when they knew that the appropriations process was coming about. It, again, is the type of document that has flowery language and I doubt if we'd even have that if the Appropriations Committee wasn't coming up with their budget. But, really, it has nothing in regards to definitive projects and because of that the Committee did cut out part of that budget. We've still given them the same amount of money to operate so I think it's a responsible cut and we of the, in the Appropriations Committee, I think this Session acted much differently than we have, we did last year because we have been looking at the budget, looking where there can be cuts made; where departments, where divisions can operate within the limits that we think that they need and still do the job. I know they can do the job, everybody here knows they can do the job with...



with the monies we have given so therefore I would urge the Members of this House to defeat the motion to table Amendment #3. It's a good Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bartulis, the Sponsor of the Bill."

Bartulis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In answer to Representative Matijevich's remarks, want you to know there's approximately 800...800 problem abandoned mine sites in the State of Illinois that are to be evaluated for possible reclamation and require an expansion for this is...is because a lot of federal monies that are involved and we're going to need this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, I was going to make some comments similar to what Representative Bartulis made but I have an Amendment that will be following shortly after this motion hopefully is adopted which will make some cuts that I think will satisfy Representative Matijevich's concern. I would like to reiterate, though, that the Abandoned Mines Land Reclamation Council was created in 1975 with the responsibility for addressing multitudes of problem presented by abandoned land...mine lands. And in Illinois there's nearly 200,000 acres that have been affected by strip mining and lands have been grossly affected by more than 4,000 underground mining sites. And recent surveys have identified 800 problem sites encompassing 23,000 acres. So, Mr. Speaker, it is very important to the survival of the Council that this additional money be granted. And...and, again, let me say that I have another Amendment which will make some...some cuts which I think should satisfy Representative Matijevich's concern."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This mine reclamation cannot be done without hiring a field person to help evaluate the reclamation projects. And, also, when you reclaim property you must have legal people working to be sure that it is done correctly. What you are doing by keeping this Amendment on is not helping the projects any, not doing what Mr. Matijevich would like to have done. You are just keeping it so it can't be done. So I urge you to vote 'yes'



on tabling Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser, for what reason do you arise?"

Telcser: "To speak on the motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise, also, to support the motion to table Amendment #3 to House Bill 2984. I can well remember the number of fights we've had over the years with colleagues on both sides of the aisle about the issue of strip mine reclamation. I must say that in prior years I found myself among the minority in my party in my own party in that particular issue and the Members of the Majority Party were very vigorous in their feelings toward cleaning up the environment and reclaiming land which was destroyed by strip mining. This was a long, hard battle which was fought for many, many years and we have finally won that battle. In the last couple of years, I understand, this agency's appropriation was reduced so that they could come up with a logical plan and program in order to reclaim those strip mines. The agency is now ready to move forward with that plan. In order to implement that plan, that program which all of us worked together on, in terms of their appropriation they need this money. Now I can't understand why the Majority Party is against reclaiming strip mine land. I don't know why they don't want to restore that land for recreation purposes or for environmental purposes back to the people of this great state. Now I know that election year everybody gets some kind of campaign fever and it clouds our judgment. It clouds yours as well as ours but, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I sincerely implore and urge you to vote to table Amendment #3. I know that every one of you is indeed for reclaiming that land which strip mining disturbs and destroys. We've all worked very, very hard for this type of legislation, let's not destroy it today. Let's work together for the good of the people of the State of Illinois. The right motion, the proper motion, the right position is to be to table this Amendment which was adopted as I see by one vote in the Committee. Please, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let's vote to preserve Illinois land for the citizens of



Illinois, vote to table Amendment #3 to House Bill 2984."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to the motion to table this Amendment. This is a repeat of the demonstration that you observed on this floor yesterday. Yesterday one of the Appropriations Committee of this House proposed a rather insignificant reduction in the amount of money proposed to be appropriated to one of the Governor's departments. Yesterday the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader gave his usual harangue concerning 240,000 dollars and completely ignoring the extravagant expenditures at the mansion. Today, he has repeated his performance. However, today, the issue is 60,000 dollars, 60,000 dollars in an Appropriation Bill which allegedly will be used for one additional position and for appropriations for positions that have not been filled over a period of the last year. Our Appropriations Committees have worked long and hard in this Session. They have scrutinized the proposals of the various departments and agencies. They, in conjunction with their staffs, know what is needed to be appropriated. The cut which was placed on this particular Bill was the result and the product of long hard work. I suggest to you again, why are we quibbling over 60,000 dollars when there is far more than that being expended over at the mansion for rather frivolous purposes?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Corneal Davis. Corneal Davis."

Davis, C: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have in the rear of me here, in the north gallery, the McCosh Public School in Chicago, if their school will please stand, they come from the great 24th and 29th Districts, Representatives Caldwell, Mann and Epton. And 29th District, Gaines, Barnes and Ewell. The McCosh....(unintelligible). Now they're listening to how we are going to spend some of this money."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise, certainly, in support of this motion to table in an absolute amazement at the Majority Leader's and his party's position on this Bill. Just last week your candidate for the



Lieutenant Governor was in my district criticizing Lieutenant Governor Dave O'Neal for not doing a good enough job in this project and now you folks wants to cut out some of this money. You want to take out 55,000 dollars. Where do you stand on these issues? I don't understand this. Mr. Madigan, I would suggest that you get priorities straight with your party because they're certainly not now. And I move for the adoption and an 'aye' vote on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It is true that Representative Telcser seems to be programmed to harangue on occasion, basically on every Appropriation Bill, but I think we ought to look at the proposal in a realistic sense and separate from our party interest. And that is, that under the last year's proposal it was not possible to begin to look for sites to deal with reclamation. I think what the increase is needed for is to finally allow the work that has preceded this year's proposal and allows for the reclamation of certain sites that have begun to be identified throughout the state, therefore, last year's budget stayed at around - if I'm correct - somewhere in the 45 to 50,000 dollar vicinity. I think we ought to do as Representative Winchester has accurately portrayed, and that is, to adopt this proposal which I think would have had a 100,000 and then rather ...support this motion rather which would put us at a 100,000. And then take Representative Winchester's Amendment #4 which would reduce the figure to 83 and allow this Commission to proceed on doing its job, and that is, to clean up the areas that have been disastrously ruined by strip mining and try to honestly reclaim that land. So, although it may not be a...a palatable party position for 60,000 dollars and for a cause that relates to the environment, it's a small amount. I think we ought to support Motion 3 and Representative Winchester on 4."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sharp."

Sharp: "Would the Sponsor of this Amendment yield to..."

Speaker Redmond: "She will."



Kent: "Yeah, if you will recall a couple of years ago, we started out in a rather fine fashion under the past Lieutenant Governor and we started cleaning up some of the waste piles, Particularly I'm familiar with one in Macoupin County about four blocks down the street from where I live, and we're going to have our second inspection, annual inspection in a couple of weeks and there's a great deal of publicity on what a fine job we've done in that area. And then there were other projects that were scheduled to be carried out and they were abruptly cut. I guess the question I have is if we have a plan and we need the money to carry out additional projects, could you specify what projects we're talking about?"

Kent: "Yes, in your...in your district, which is 49th, there are 482 acres in this grant and they badly need reclamation."

Sharp: "I...Yeah, I'm asking about for the coming year. Which one... how many of those ...442 acres are going to be cleaned up and specifically where?"

Kent: "There will be 50 sites selected but you...should....first have to have the money, the people to select those sites. They will be evaluated at that time."

Sharp: "I would...well, one more question. I'd like to know, there were specific sites selected by the past head of the Land Reclamation Commission and they were abruptly dropped by the present Commission. I would like to know why they were dropped and then where these projects that were schedule, that were dropped, where they're going to be under new listing?"

Kent: "There was only one site, as I understand...."

Sharp: "Okay. It's right down by Staunton, I know...."

Kent: "That was because they could not acquire the land."

Sharp: "They could not acquire the land?"

Kent: "...Do not have eminent domain and they could not acquire the land."

Sharp: "So where does it stand now in the priorities?"

Kent: "It'll probably be in number one in this site selection."

Sharp: "Well, if they couldn't acquire the land, how are they going to acquire it now, that...concerns....I don't like...."



Kent: "They need the legal part that you're cutting out, they need the field worker to prove and get the statistics so that they can get this land acquired."

Sharp: "They didn't have the staff to do it before?"

Kent: "No."

Sharp: "They did not have it...."

Kent: "No and they also had to work on the plan because they couldn't do any of this until that was meeting the federal requirement."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Lady's moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Kent to close."

Kent: "Thank you, could I have Representative Winchester close, please?"

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it's important that it be pointed out that there is nearly 20,000 acres of land in this state that's badly in need of land reclamation. I think it's also important that downstate Legislators who are probably going to be supporting a political move to try to block, or to...to support Representative....Representative Matijevich's position should know that in the 59th District there's 9,107 acres that's badly in need of land reclamation; in the 58th District, 8,263; in the 57th Legislative District, 843 acres; the 56th District, 269 acres and in the 55th District, 263 acres; the 54th District, 86 acres; the 53rd District, 1,186 acres; the 51st District, 47 acres; the 50th District, 207 acres and on and on and on. This is a downstate Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen, and I hope that the downstate Democrats will support Mrs. Kent's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion, the Lady's motion, rather, to table Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair...are there five people that want a Roll Call? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of information. I heard the Minority Leader talking earlier about the Lieutenant Governor, he mentioned



a name that was not familiar to me and I wondered if he would, for the sake of us who...not even sure that the state has a Lieutenant Governor, that he would tell us again that person's name and what state he represents."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, do you care to respond?"

He can't remember. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question there's 90 'aye' and 55 'no' and the Lady's motion prevails and Amendment #3 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Winchester. Amends House Bill 2984 on page 2 by striking all of Section 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What this Amendment....last Wednesday in the Appropriations I Committee, the Committee adopted Amendment #3 which cut the Council's line item from 100,000 to 44,100 dollars. Mrs. Kent's motion which was successful has now placed the 100,000 dollars back into the Bill. Because we are fiscal conservatives we are now offering this Amendment which would cut 39,400 dollars...39,400 reduction. We would now go from 100,000 dollars to 83,500 and I would certainly appreciate the adoption of this Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "First of all, I believe the man's mathematics are slightly incorrect. But on the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to know if the Amendment also breaks down the Bill to a line item appropriation for this respective section of the budget as Amendment #3 did. And in what areas are they making the reduction?"

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, I would like...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative...."

Winchester: "Thank you. I would like to apologize to the Assistant Majority Leader, he is correct, my calculations were wrong. It is about a 16 - 17,000 dollar cut instead of a 39. I understand that the answer to your question is affirmative."

Lechowicz: "It is broken down to line items?"

Winchester: "I understand that it was not line itemed before but it is



line itemed now."

Lechowicz: "It was line itemed in Amendment #3. Could you tell me where you made the reductions? I know that Representative Schneider wanted the 100,000 dollars in the...and so I moved and voted in favor of the motion to table. I was wondering where you're cutting from a 100,000 to 83,000."

Winchester: "I understand, Representative Lechowicz, that there was some cuts in personal services, but the...but most of the cuts were under contractual services."

Lechowicz: "Is the Assistant Director still included in your amended version for 18,000, the new one?"

Winchester: "I'm not sure if the terminology is correct. It's not an Assistant Director but it is a...a field personnel who will be doing field work."

Lechowicz: "How does that affect the 20,000 acres, your mentioning all the counties? That was about 2 dollars an acre in my calculations as far as the original Bill called for. And with the 18,000 dollars appropriated for this one field person, is he going to be covering those 20,000 acres or what?"

Winchester: "Well, I'm not sure. Are you suggesting that perhaps we should add additional money?"

Lechowicz: "No, I thought Amendment #3 was quite in order but it's out of order at this time. I'm just suggesting Amendment #4. I'd like to know whether the 18,000 dollar position is in or out."

Winchester: "I understand it's cut down to about 16 - 16;5."

Lechowicz: "But it's still in there."

Winchester: "It is still in there."

Lechowicz: "Could you tell me then where the reduction occurred?"

Winchester: "Could you hold just a second, Representative, till I get the answer?"

Lechowicz: "Surely."

Winchester: "...Been about a ~~15.500~~ dollar cut. Originally it was around 18,000 dollars and they were thinking about going for an engineer and they...they cut that classification down and now they're...they're at a...around a 16 hundred....16 hundred..16500 dollar



level."

Lechowicz: "Can I ask you, Sir, since last year's appropriation, if my memory serves me correctly, was supposed to be for planning. And as I see your Amendment #4 at 83,000 dollars, is it a continuation of the planning or do you have any specific projects involved?"

Winchester: "It is for site evaluation that will eventually lead to the evaluation of sites. That's an honest statement."

Lechowicz: "And you had.....you really believe that, huh, Bob? Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "First of all, Mr. Speaker, I have a question. Has this Amendment been printed and distributed?"

Winchester: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk."

Tipsword: "It's not on my desk."

Speaker Redmond: "Page, will you get one down to Representative Tipsword?"

Tipsword: "Then the...would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield to a question?"

Winchester: "Yes."

Tipsword: "Well, if all the planning was done last year, that they had all the...the money for, will you please give me a list of the sites?"

Winchester: "No, I can't."

Tipsword: "Well, are you telling me there is no list of sites?"

Winchester: "We have a list of all the abandoned sites in the state but we have not had the time or the money to evaluate them."

Tipsword: "So the planning wasn't done then last year?"

Winchester: "Yes, the time was spent in...in setting criteria on the evaluation of sites. You know, if I could withdraw the Amendment we could go back to the 100,000 dollars, Representative. We are offering a 16,000 dollar cut."

Tipsword: "Well, I'm wanting to know, you're telling us that they... they've done all these great things, I'm wondering what they are. And



since you mentioned all these districts and those acres, you ought to know what those sites are. And I'd like to ask you also, are you also asking for something in excess of 1,000,000 dollars in CDB Funds and you don't even know what the sites are? I understand it's 1.7 million, in fact."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further cross-examination?"

Tipsword: "No, I'm just asking questions. Not....getting any answers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms to the rescue."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "We're right in the middle of an inquiry here."

Simms: "I renew my motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I'm still waiting for an answer to my question. I have one other question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "I understand that in the past they have been doing this evaluation in the office. Now, this would afford them the opportunity to get out into the field and do the actual evaluation...."

Tipsword: "Did we do any evaluation then last year?"

Winchester: "To do abstract title research and things of that nature."

Tipsword: "Well, let me ask you one little simple question then. You said that 47-1/2 acres in the 51st District, what's the site or sites?"

Winchester: "Well, I don't have that information at my hands here, I just have the total amount of acreage. I would be more than happy to provide that information to you later on, Representative."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative Matijevich. Matijevich has been recognized."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm not sure how long we're going to proceed with the way we've been operating. I find it very difficult and sometimes shameful that Members in my own political party who like to spend a lot of money on staff and...are the ones who come forward every Session



and say we need more money for staff and then get that staff, works their head off and comes in with responsible, factual information to our Committee that justifies to our Committee that cuts should be made. In fact I think that some from our side of the aisle ought to reconsider what they did on that last Amendment if we're going to be fruitful in this Session and provide some money for education which some of those who voted on that side of the issue, I find very difficult to believe how they can vote...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative...."

Matijevich: "I don't think it's responsible that a Council like this when we can provide just a pittance for education can come in with a 126 percent increase, one hundred twenty-six percent! Now, now tell me that's responsible, one hundred and twenty-six percent! Now...now I think that it's about time that this House start acting responsibly, that we look at these budgets and find out that at least in those areas where there have been promises delivered and nothing has happened, that there's any...."

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, is the Gentleman speaking to the Amendment?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker....."

Speaker Redmond: "....Representative...."

Matijevich: "...The truth...the truth hurts sometimes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, could I remind Mr. Matijevich that we, too, have a very capable staff and our figures seem to differ. And, also, if you will remember that he is after action and jobs and working to get the job done and you can't do it if you keep them in the office so, therefore, let's vote for a field man and let's put this Amendment on so that it is more to your liking."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keats."

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I do want to say while I'm in complete sympathetic (sic) with Representative....complete sympathy with Representative Matijevich's point I find it interesting anyone who read the Illinois Conservative Union Fiscal Responsibility Guidelines will find that



Representative Matijevich ranked #1 as the biggest spender in the entire Legislature. For Representative Matijevich to lecture us about fiscal responsibility is lunacy!"

Speaker Redmond: "...Representative Matijevich's name has been mentioned in debate, ^{personal} he's recognized on a point of personal privilege."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I...I was hoping someday someone would bring up that Illinois Conservative Union vote, I was just waiting for the time. Thank you, Roger, I appreciate that very much because let me tell you, you may be part of that Illinois Conservative Union. I never saw such a phony survey in all my life! Do you know why I rated first? Do you know why I rated first, Roger? Because I'm here in my seat voting! I'm here! Everybody...everybody...you know, I...I remember the time, I remember the time that we had a Representative and he voted 'no' on every Bill that came along, every Appropriation Bill. And then, you know how we always voted 'no' on somebody's first Bill? Well, we did it to him, only we did it differently to him, we kept voting 'no' and that guy learned a lesson then. He started voting 'aye'. You know, we have to fund government and that... you tell that Illinois Conservative Union instead of voting... showing our record about three times on the same Bill, just show it once on one Bill, that's the true measure of it. I happen to be here in my seat. You know, I only missed three Roll Calls; three Roll...listen, he...he named me in debate and I think I can answer fully. The Illinois Conservative Union deserved my answer."

Speaker Redmond: "The man...the Gentleman is entitled to respond on a point of ^{personal} personal privilege. What is your point? What is your point?"

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, my point of order says we're on an Amendment #4 to House Bill 2984 and it's...if this is the issue, this is what we should be addressing ourselves to not Representative Matijevich's tirades."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr...."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question?"



Matijevich: "No, I didn't finish....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Matijevich: "I didn't finish....Speaker."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative....Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Bring it up, Mr. Speaker, I didn't bring it up....or at least ought to be able to finish because I want to say that if you turn that thing that thing around, I think that any conservative, any conservative believes that if you get your money's worth out of government that's good. Any conservative believes that. You don't have to spend more than what you have to get the job done, what is the first criterion of getting the job done? Being on the job, being here. Those that rated low on that scale are not here, those are the ones that are cheating government. They are the ones cheating taxpayers, certainly not I! And that's your answer and that's the Illinois Conservative Union's answer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Winchester: "Hasn't the question been moved, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "No...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Representative Winchester, under your Amendment what personnel would be funded under Amendment 4?"

Winchester: "Staff Director, field person and a secretary."

Leverenz: "What about the Assistant Director? Or would the field person be called an Assistant Director?"

Winchester: "The field supervisor probably would be doing similar duties to an Assistant Director."

Leverenz: "With a staff of two why are you promoting a position of Assistant Director?"

Winchester: "I don't think we are, Representative."

Leverenz: "That's exactly what you called it in Committee and perhaps



A.C.

you would explain why you would need an Assistant Director with a staff of two with a salary of 18,000."

Winchester: "He's a person who would have to have some engineering background, that's why."

Leverenz: "Does your current Director have that background?"

Winchester: "No, he does not."

Leverenz: "And when did the Executive Director come aboard?"

Winchester: "December 1st."

Leverenz: "December 1st, for five months and he is yet to put the program together for which they were supposed to be doing the last year, is that correct?"

Winchester: "Well, Representative, it's my understanding that this program started under the Walker Administration and Lieutenant Governor O'Neal...Lieutenant Governor Hartigan and why haven't we progressed any further than we have now under his Administration?"

Leverenz: "I understand nothing has been done the current Administration and at least two were done with the prior Administration. Answer the question."

Winchester: "There was no planning document and no criteria under Hartigan."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Roger's name has been mentioned in debate. Representative Roger McAuliffe is recognized for a point of personal privilege."

Keats: "I hope Marquette doesn't win two games. Mr. Speaker, I wanted to answer a few comments since my name was mentioned. So, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in speaking to my good friend, Representative Matijevich, who thank goodness has a sense of humor....."

Speaker Redmond: "There you go again."

Keats: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, if we keep with this all day we won't pass one rotten Bill and the taxpayers will be better off. So one day it has to be learned, it's true. I would like to say what a fine job you and Representative Giorgi have done in making sure each...each other's votes, both of you have done a good job



pulling cards, too. But, once in a while when you're here voting - which I'll appreciate - vote 'no', it would be a new experience. So am I. So am I. In fact, if you notice, some of us have hung with you on a few of these cuts but try voting against a little spending. You don't run up a total 17,000,000,000 dollars by voting 'no', you run up a total of 17,000,000,000 by voting for every goofy program that comes along. So keep that in mind. As far as getting our money's worth out of government, if we got all...if we got all the government we paid for, we couldn't stand it. We're lucky that government wastes all this money because we can't take all the money we spend on it. And the last thing, I don't why you're trying to cut out this job, the way the Governor is going he's going to give it to a Democrat anyway, you ought to be for it. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "You ready for the question? Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If I had known this Amendment was going to cause this much excitement and this much controversy, I might have had second thoughts about introducing it. But...I...I do want to take this opportunity to apologize to Representative Lechowicz, I did not answer his question probably as thoroughly as...as he would have liked. I did not really have the...the answer, Ted, so I apologize there. And to Representative Tipsword, I apologize to you too, Sir, I did not have the answer to your question or did not explain the answer thoroughly enough. And to you, also, Representative Leverenz. But I...I am very much concerned that the other side of the aisle is not grasping what I'm trying to do here. We just put a 100,000 dollars back into the Bill and I come along with an Amendment to cut 16,500 and then I...I get the impression that I'm doing the wrong thing. I get the impression from the other side of the aisle, now, that...that really I should have left it at 100,000 dollars, Mr. Speaker; that maybe I'm not being a fiscal conservative. So based on the arguments that I've heard from the other side of the aisle today, Mr. Speaker, I have no other choice but to table this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman tables Amendment #4. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5. Matijevich. Amends House Bill 2984 on page 1, line 18 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, just to clear the air on some of the utterances made by Representative Keats who seeks the Senate seat in that district. It was recorded by the Illinois Conservative Union that he absents himself, he was absent for 69 votes on these issues; 69 times he failed to be in his seat voting and this is the record he was quoting from and he can peruse it anytime he wants to. Sixty-nine absences, one of the most abominable absence records in the General Assembly."

Speaker Redmond: "Shame. Shame. Representative Keats."

Keats: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If you remember...if you remember, absence is the same as a 'no' vote. If you guys would be absent a little more often this state wouldn't be in the trouble it's in. If you guys, - notice how carefully I say, you guys - would learn a lesson and spend more time on the tennis courts and less time in Committee hanging the taxpayers we'd all be better off. The state would benefit from a little bit of fiscal responsibility. And if we could find a way to get all you guys to be absent a little more often, or at least vote 'no' those few times when you deem to attend - the taxpayers of the state would say 'Amen, thank goodness for you'. In fact, they'd probably be happy knowing a certain couple of Members on your side, they'd be happy if you never showed up. It'd be cheaper. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #5, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I...I really don't want to continue that...could I just save the closing note. I always...I always like....I always liked Emil Jones' words when he said, 'The difference between a liberal and a conservative is the liberal hangs you from a shorter tree!'"

Speaker Redmond: "...Matijevich on Amendment #5."

Matijevich: "Yeah, on the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw that, please."



Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #5. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."



Speaker Redmond: "2985."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2985. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense of the Emergency Services and Disaster Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2987."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2987. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Historical Library. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #2 by Representative Peters."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters, on motion to table Amendment #2? Representative Jones. Representative J. David Jones."

Jones, J.D: "As the Sponsor of the Bill I would like to move that the motion to table be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones moves that the Amendment #2 be tabled."

Jones, J.D: "I'd like to speak to that at the proper time."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Jones, J.D: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as the Sponsor of 2987, I, unfortunately was not present in Appropriations II when the Bill was called because I was tied down in a matter in Appropriations I of which I'm a Member and I was unable to properly present the case for this Agency. Now, admittedly, this is not a big financial consideration, it's 3,900 dollars and the Agency will not live or die on the decision of this reduction. However, I want to share with you what these reductions do to the service of this fine Agency and believe that you will agree with me that these cuts should not be made. One cut was 100 dollars from the contractual services line, reduces the subscription and information services.



They use this money to pay for subscriptions to Illinois newspapers such as The DeKalb Chronicle, The Greenville Advocate, The Grayville Mercury Independent, Pittsfield-Pike Press and so on. These papers are collected and stored for future use at the library. Next item, 1500 dollars was removed from the travel line, 17, and this will hamper their school services program, their collection efforts and the operation of the Historymobile which you know goes around this state and attends the various functions that...where you request it to be, at the county fairs and...and et cetera. The 2,000 dollars in the printing line reduction would mean no Documentary Series #4. This is the publication used by teachers who teach Illinois history. And the 300 dollars in the telecommunications means that with increased telephone rates as projected, they would have to take this from some other item. So I think that the...if the purpose of this...these cuts were to collect some money to be used for school funding it's counterproductive because these funds are being used for educational purposes and I don't believe that you're really....this House really wants to cut these services from this Agency and I solicit your vote to table the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as the Sponsor pointed out, this Amendment is a total 3,900 dollars out of this budget. It's broken down into the following line items, contractual services was 200, fiscal expenditures for 1978 is 100 dollars, they make a reduction of 100 dollars, all right? Travel...was at 12,500 last year, anticipated expenditure for fiscal '78 is 11,000, reduction of 1,500 dollars. Printing was at 79,100, fiscal '78 expenditure is at 77,100, a reduction of 2,000 dollars in that line category. Telecommunications was funded at 8700, fiscal expenditure for fiscal '78 is 8400, reduction of 300. So this....total reduction of 3,900 and the man moves to table the Amendment. The Amendment is in order and it should be supported, the man's motion should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "...Thing further? Question is on....Representative Barnes. The question's on the Gentleman's motion to table Amendment #2. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all



voted...all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 73 'aye' and 86 'no', the motion to table fails. Any further motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further motions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2988."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2988. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the St. Louis Metropolitan Area Airport Authority.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Leverenz. Amends House Bill 2988 as amended on page 1, line 9 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, because of a problem with the beginning of the Amendment this Amendment was not offered in Committee. It would reduce the overall operating budget of the Airport Authority to 1,000 dollars. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative C. M. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this Amendment. This is the greatest tragedy that could ever befall southern Illinois. What you're doing with this Amendment is effectively ruining any opportunity or any chance that we have for a new St. Louis Metropolitan Area Airport. You are failing to hold up our end of the bargain with this Authority with Congress and with our United States Senators, Representative Monroe Flinn and myself and local officials went to Washington and we talked individually with our Congressmen and with our Senators. And they told us that if ever at any time we needed a unified effort, if we needed reports from this Authority it was now. It was important that they continue to update their report and it was important that they continue with their environmental studies. If we pass this Amendment we will be pound foolish because we have eliminated thousands and thousands of jobs and hundreds and hundreds



of thousands of dollars of revenue in southern Illinois. We have just received the okay for an interstate, a circumferential highway in the entire area. We have a new bridge underway going straight into this land. We need this Columbia-Waterloo airport. The Airport Authority is...has filed the application for our airport, without them our application will be illegal. I ask you, please defeat this Amendment. It's the most important program we have in southern Illinois and all of the studies have clearly shown that Lambert Field will reach its capacity far sooner than ever was anticipated. It is now the seventh most crowded airport in the country and I beseech you, Ladies and Gentlemen, defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment is absolutely ridiculous. It...it really tells Missouri go ahead and build the metropolitan airport for the St. Louis area in spite of the fact you don't have a location to build it, one suitable. The Waterloo-Columbia site is the most likely site to build the airport and there is no other down there. We have spent seven years studying the problem. We're saying to Missouri, 'yes, we give up here in the Legislature after spending some three or four millions in efforts to try to get the St. Louis Metropolitan Airport located in the Columbia-Waterloo site. We're also saying to the Federal Government and our Federal Congress, 'just forget it, Illinois doesn't really care what goes on in southern Illinois, the whole State of Illinois says forget it'. This not only means a lot to the economy of...of a depressed area, it means an awful lot to the State of Illinois in new business being located in Illinois. I say to you that we will be doing a great injustice if we support this Amendment. I ask for you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it's....I don't know where I read on a conservative scale but it seems odd that it... all depends whose ox is being gored on how they feel about spending taxpayers money. Now the Secretary of Transportation has suspended any further plan for any metropolitan airport around St. Louis and I've flown in and out of St. Louis enough times to know that during



the night you could shoot a cannon through there and not hit an airplane or a person, that's how little used it is in comparison to some of the other metropolitan airports around the country.

The need to build an airport in the metropolitan St. Louis area has not been proven, it's a waste of our money, it's been suspended in the Federal Budget. For us to spend another 300,000 dollars out of General Revenue Fund, I could tell you we could spend it a lot better in education than we can, not to put people to work but to keep some boondogglers busy planning a pie in the sky dream that's never going to happen because even the Federal Government has stopped any allocations for any future expansion. You've got a beautiful airport there at Lambert Field, if you need it expanded, I don't see what for you don't have enough people going through there, enough airplanes to go through there to expand that let alone build a new one in that area. Spend your money wisely. If you want to be a conservative and quit shedding them crocodile tears, don't be wasting the taxpayers' money on something that's never going to happen and to spend 300,000 dollars out of General Revenue is a foolish spending. And I say whether you're a conservative or a liberal, we could find better places to use that money."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steele."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I rise in opposition to this Amendment because it would in fact scuttle one of the most important projects that this state may be undertaking in the next ten years. Since I've been here in the Legislature the thing that I've heard the most often is that we need more jobs, we need more programs that will put people to work. And I can tell you that there's nothing, absolutely nothing that we can do in this state that would be more helpful and more important and more effective in revitalizing the southwestern part of Illinois than the development of this airport and I certainly think that we could vote against it. Any of you who have been to O'Hare Field know the tremendous job impetus, job creating opportunities that have been developed all the way around O'Hare Field and we're talking here about a small sum in relation to the great promise and the great potential that this airport has. And I'd like to point out



to the people here in the Assembly that the St. Louis Arch - and I know that many of you have seen the St. Louis Arch - and that Arch was turned down four or five times, that St. Louis Arch was delayed four and five and six years and yet the people of St. Louis stuck with that project, they stayed with it, they had the will and now that St. Louis Arch has completely revitalized, it has completely turned around, it has completely rejuvenated the City of St. Louis so that it's now one of the most revitalized cities in our state and in our nation. And I would say that the same thing can happen on the Illinois side, we need the will, we need the determination, we need the steadfastness to stick with this project until it can in fact become the job-creating project that it is destined to be and I urge that you will vote against this Amendment which will scuttle one of the finest projects this state may undertake in the next ten years. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Here on the floor next to me is one of the best Attorney Generals in the United States, William J. Scott."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise to oppose Representative Leverenz's Amendment for the reasons that the basic benefit that this new airport will be to the people of the State of Illinois will be to participate in a 64,000,000 dollar construction project. This will mean some 64,000 new jobs, badly needed jobs in this area. I'd like to say that unequivocally the unions in the southwestern areas support this project, AFL leaders, the constructions leaders and all the various industries, our leaders have gone to Washington in...in support of this project. The delayed period for airplane landings at Lambert Field are...are in some periods of time as long as two hours, the planes are stacking up at Lambert already. And the signs of airport construction is such that there is need for a day-to-day staff to handle the weekly complications and events that come up that will make this airport a reality. And I...I say...I believe that this staff is one of the most competent in the world for airport development and we should give them our support in moving toward a reconsideration by Secretary



Block and be building of that airport in a very badly depressed area."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a very important issue to people from southwestern Illinois and one of the reasons that it's very important is that you have to live in the area to understand the political and social and economic consideration. People from St. Louis and Missouri and St. Louis County have always treated Madison and St. Clair County as sort of a plantation slaves on... on the Illinois side of the river. And what we're trying to do is get a little bite of this economic dollar also on our side of the river and there's been many the people, the MacDonald-Douglas people and people that's got a lot of influence with Senators and bigshot politicians in Washington that have kept this airport from going over here after Secretary Coleman said that this was the place it should be built on the Illinois side of the river. The St. Louis Airport is probably one of the worst airports in the United States as far as getting in and out of but they're continually improving it just a little bit, a little bit at a time and I think that it's time that we show the Illinois General Assembly that we, yes, that we're for the metropolitan area to have a decent airport and we want this one to progress and to go forward and I recommend that we vote 'no' on Representative Leverenz's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representatives Von Boeckman, Houlihan, Lechowicz...."

Tipsword: "....I find...I find myself on the horn of dilemma in this situation. First of all, I'm very strongly in support of the location of the airport in Illinois. Secondly, the airport at St. Louis does have a limited life. That airport has no place to expand. It has an increasing use. It has a life in the future as an industrial airport because it is surrounded by aircraft industry and it's certainly going to be used for those purposes but as a commercial passenger airport it's life is very limited and there's going to be an airport in that area one of these days. That airport should be in Illinois. I am also very disappointed with this Authority.



This Authority for seven years has expended about this same amount of money and has really accomplished very darn little. In addition, the people who live in the airport...in the area where this airport should be located have a right to have a finality to the question that hangs over their lives, is the airport going to be there or is the airport not going to be there? They have a right to know what their future may be, whether they can improve the land upon which they live and farm, whether they can go into other enterprises or not and they cannot get that question. The fault in this situation lays in two areas, I think. One, with the Authority that I think have done a namby-pamby job in trying to get the job done for getting this airport located in St. Louis. Secondly, it lies with our Congressional delegation, the Senators and Congressmen from this state have done a lousy job in doing what they need to do for the location of this airport in Illinois. I don't like the position taken by Senator Eagleton of Missouri in saying it has to be in St. Louis but by gosh he's done the job for Missouri that our Congressmen and our Senators ought to be doing for Illinois. If they're afraid to go in and talk to the Secretary of the Department of Transportation we probably deserve the situation that we're in. They need to go in there and tell that Secretary that this is where this airport belongs and to get through with this job, get an answer made(sic), get the airport located in Illinois, or at least tell people that it is going to be located there with some finality. All we have now is a change of direction from the current Secretary of Transportation who says that probably the airport shouldn't be located now in Illinois with no real finality to this thing. I regret that this Authority has not done its job and has not shown to St. Louis and to Missouri that we do not like being taken as a...as only a paying partner in the economic growth of the area around St. Louis. They only want to take from Illinois, they don't want to participate...have Illinois participate in the economic growth of the area. I find myself unable to totally want to kill this Authority simply because all the contracts are under this Authority's name. I am very disappointed that the Division of Aeronautics has not really done its job in promoting both the State of Illinois and showing



to Missouri that we don't like what they're doing and in getting our Congressional delegation to get off of their duffs and get the job done. I don't think I'm probably giving you any answers but I ...I would wish that if we were cutting this Authority, we're providing a little more funds specifically in the Division of Aeronautics so that they could and should go in and do the job. I hope that each of you will look carefully into this question but keep first and foremost in mind the airport is needed in Ill...in the area and it should be located in Illinois. I would wish that we could cut this Authority but I'd also like to have some emphasis to get the Division of Aeronautics off their duffs and get their job done."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put?

Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

Representative Leverenz to close."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, to put a couple of questions to rest I believe it should be said that by taking this year approximately 363,000...or 362,000 dollars out of General Revenue from this budget does not in any way make any statement to whether the airport should or should not be built, whether the merit of it is good or bad. The ...a Director of this Airport Authority is also the same gentleman who is head of the Division of Aeronautics. He spends five days a week on state business with the Department of Aeronautics and goes to East St. Louis to run this operation on Saturday, I understand. What he...would do in effect is from a half a million last year to a third of a million this year allow the Authority a 1,000 dollars to prove its viability and it can function and the functions can be done by the Division of Aeronautics. I believe it is a good Amendment and it does in no way make any determination on the value or not value(sic) of having an airport there, just a transfer of money and functions. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2988. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Lucco to explain his



vote."

Lucco: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Of course I rise in opposition to this Amendment and very much in support of the Bill. And the reason I do is because all of the labor unions, all of the labor organizations, AFL-CIO, central trades and everything else in our area are in support of this. This means a lot to the economic situation of the southwestern part of the state so I urge all of you to defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevidch."

Matijevidch: "Mr. Speaker, I want to quickly explain my vote because I really, we all knew where this was going, but I still think it's irresponsible to vote for an Authority which spends over 300 grand every year. The Director of the Division of Aeronautics gets 25 percent of his salary out it. You remember the Governor when he says he's up front in telling us where salaries are from? He's sure not up front on this one. So I...I...we could do this job everyone of us taking a charter to Washington, D. C. to Congress and save a hell of a lot of money. We can be for the airport, we can vote for Monroe Flinn's Budget Bill for the airport and then we're going to put your Governor in a hell of a spot. But, really, this is a big waste. We can put the money in the Department of Transportation, much less of it, about a hundred thousand. Most of this work was contractual services, what a waste, what a waste! We're fooling the people down there, we've been fooling them for about seven years and then every year these people have who have a direct interest, who have farms there, come in with tears in their eyes, actually have tears, just because we're putting them on for all of this time. We either put up or shut up. We're either going to have an airport or not have an airport, and I'm for it, but not to waste money with this Authority. What a waste. You ought to vote 'yes' and put it in the Department of Transportation."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly, I tend to agree with the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee however I do not rise for that purpose. I rise to observe that I've never heard a more vicious attack on Representative Paul Simon's representation



in the Congress that was delivered by the Representative from Christian County and I wonder if it is deserved in this case.

I tend to think it probably is."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record.

On this question there's 31 'aye' and 104 'no' and the Gentleman's motion failed, the Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Think we'll go back to a Bill that we took out of the record because of a...an Amendment was not printed. 1357. What Amendment were we on? Representative Ewing, are you seeking recognition? Representative Vinson, are you seeking recognition? Is Mr. Deavers in his chair?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12. Ewing. Amends House Bill 1357 as amended by deleting the title and so forth."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment 12 is to the Bill which is to regulate farm corporations and aliens. There's been a lot of talk about this Bill and there's been a number of Amendments put forth. This Amendment, though, strikes everything after the enacting clause and actually, then, becomes the Bill. And it provides two major things. It provides that aliens cannot own farmland in Illinois. And second, it provides that if we're going to have a reporting system in Illinois that we're going to find out how serious the problem of alien ownership, corporate ownership and trust ownership of farmland in Illinois really is. I believe this is the sensible solution to the immediate problem. The legislation as presently existing to which this is an Amendment would tend to try and solve the problem before we really know what it is. It prohibits all types of corporate ownership and then turns around gives a number of exemptions. The Sponsor of that measure has done a tremendous job at (sic) trying to work at this problem. The part of this Bill which I have in this Amendment is not my work, it's part of his work, but I think it's the important part of his work. It's the prohibition against alien ownership of Illinois farmland and the reporting provisions of his Bill. We can come back next Session and put in the prohibitions against corporate ownership if we need to. I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson, Rigney, pardon me."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am, indeed, rather disappointed to see that once again some of my good Republican friends seem to be supporting the corporate interests in the area of land ownership. I guess probably as the House's newest populist I have....going to have to abandon some of them on this particular Amendment. What it does, and let's lay the cards on the table, what it does is make it possible for General Motors or any other corporate interests in this nation to come in and to compete for Illinois farmland. In addition to that you will recall about two years ago when Continental Bank was going to create what was known Ag Land Farm #1 and they were going to have a little pilot project, put about 50,000,000 dollars into a trust arrangement, this is what would be legalized under the terms of this Amendment. So let's...let's label it for what it is, it's the General Motors Amendment. I think it just does absolutely violence to the Bill. If we were to adopt this Amendment we would only have a shell of the original legislation so I must urge in the strongest of terms that we reject this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, will the Representative of the Amendment yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Geo-Karis: "Representative, the present law of Illinois says that aliens cannot hold land for more than 6 years unless they transfer to a citizen or must of course the....and if the State's Attorney takes some action against them. Now, you...your Amendment isn't clear to me and I can't find it on my desk, I wonder if you would mind telling us the essence of your Amendment before I speak?"

Ewing: "It very plain and very....it just prohibits alien ownership of land. Now I don't think that any of us believe that the present statute is being enforced."

Geo-Karis: "All right, now, you just say it prohibits alien ownership of land, then you're not prohibiting a trustee like a bank trustee who may have as a beneficiary an alien, are you?"



Ewing: "That's our intention."

Geo-Karis: "Well, if it's your intention, are you prohibiting an alien from being a beneficiary in a trust?"

Ewing: "That's right."

Geo-Karis: "Well, you haven't said so in your Amendment."

Ewing: "Well, that's your interpretation, I..."

Geo-Karis: "No, no, I'm just asking you....."

Ewing: "No, I know, I just disagree. Our intention is that...."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have the Amendment read because I can't find it and I think it's only right so I can have it clear in my mind."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, my...."

Speaker Redmond: "What was your request, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "...Would...would the Sponsor please read the Amendment?"

Ewing: "I would just as soon she'd come to my desk and I'll give her the ten page Amendment."

Geo-Karis: "Well, then, all I'm asking the Representative, you're saying that an alien cannot own farmland, is that correct?"

Ewing: "That's correct."

Geo-Karis: "And is that the gist of your Amendment?"

Ewing: "That's correct."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak on the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Geo-Karis: "Just a moment, please. ...According to this Bill, from what paragraph 4 in Amendment 12 and I believe that's what the Representative is referring to, fiduciary capacity is explained as acting alone or as jointly trustee, administrator, executor, representative, agent, et cetera but it doesn't say anything about beneficial owners. Under a trust, a land trust, you can have a beneficial owner who is undisclosed and the bank can be for instance, 'Boggle' Bank and Trustee #2 under such and such a date. And the trustee might be representing a whole flock of alien interests who have beneficial interests. Now, this is what I say the Amendment does not make clear to me because Article IV, Paragraph 4 in it, does not cover beneficiaries of land trusts. And this is what I'm questioning at this time and I don't think your Amendment goes far enough and I'd be happy



to submit another Amendment to include those too if you'd hold your Bill on Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Well, I think that Representative Geo-Karis is right. What they've done is created, through this Amendment, a Bill that doesn't go far enough. Our bill specifically, Adeline, in the shape it's in now - and it is Representative Rigney's and my Bill - and the shape it's in now would be exactly what you are suggesting. And so this Amendment is a retreat not only on large corporate agriculture, not only on large trusts but also in the area of nonresident aliens who could channel money through the trusts. Let's face the fact, Continental Illinois Bank and Northern Trust are the largest sources for foreign capital in the United States, not only in Illinois but throughout the country, that's been uncovered in an expose that's been running in the Post-Dispatch. This Bill is backed by the Farm Bureau. It's backed by the Illinois Farmer's Union. It's backed...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ewing: "I don't think that the Sponsor needs to go into who backs the Bill, we're talking about the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're right. Confine your remarks to the Amendment."

Robinson: "The Amendment...the Amendment speaks against the Bill that is backed by all the major farm organizations and it's a retreat not only...not only from a Bill that's also backed by the Illinois Bankers' Association but also a Bill that is supported in principle in the Democratic platform in this state and in the Republican platform. Let me just read from the Republican platform...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "I think he can explain his Bill when it comes up for a vote... He wants.....Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Your point is well taken. Confine your remarks to the motion."

Robinson: "The Amendment is a retreat, The Bill is the...without the Amendment is the work of a year in Study Committee. This is a last minute attempt and we ask for your defeat of the Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, lest Representative Ewing have a heart attack, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried. Representative Ewing to close the debate."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and Representative Houlihan, thank you. This Amendment does what I think the farmers of this state want. I think it does what the farmer organizations in this state want, that is, to prohibit further investment by aliens in Illinois farmland until we can find out how serious the problem is. We don't throw out all our property rights in doing so until we find out what the problem is with this Amendment. I think it's a good conservative way to approach this problem. And I think I would like to have the support of this House in redoing this Bill in this manner. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #12. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote if I might. The Amendment that we have before us, it doesn't....getting very many votes up there right now, addresses itself to the major problem in the State of Illinois. It's certainly not a step backwards, it's step backwards from the Bill in the present form, I would readily agree with that. But it addresses itself to the very problem, the very problem that other Legislatures in the State of Missouri, State of Iowa, State of Wisconsin, they've addressed themselves to and two of those three states have passed legislation...almost identical to the Amendment that we have before us today. The problem in the State of Illinois, and what the farmers are concerned about in the State of Illinois, are not what the corporations are doing. The farmers in Illinois - and what Representative Robinson was referring to is the articles in the various newspapers throughout the state - those articles in the newspapers were referring only to, only to one area and that was the area of how much land in the State of Illinois is owned by alien interests. That's what the concern is by those, yes,



it was how much land is owned and how much was purchased over around Havana, down around Mount Vernon, how much...and who owns the land. And we really don't have a handle on how much land is owned by aliens in the State of Illinois. Without this Amendment, I'm afraid the Bill would...in the way...in the way it presently is, certainly could not pass because of all the loopholes...all the Amendments that have been accepted throughout the hearings for the past year that are in it, I wouldn't be able to support the Bill the way it is. With this Amendment addressing itself to the very crux of the problem in who owns the farmland in Illinois - and it will enable us to find out who owns the farm land in Illinois - I could support the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 63 'aye' and 76 'no' and the Gentleman's motion fails and the Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson. Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, I believe we brought this back for this one Amendment, or left it out for this one Amendment and I recall your indicating that we would be...because it was a timing question, this was the only Amendment filed and not to hold the Bill up. That we would consider that Amendment and we would not consider any additional Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. Representative Ewing, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ewing: "Well, I'm not sure that...that we can do that as a rule but I... if there are any other Amendments - and there are several been submitted in my name - I'm moving to table them at this time but I think that a person does have the right, so long as the Bill's on Second Reading, to put in an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, at the time this came up, we held it because the Amendment was...had been filed very close to the time it was going to move so I took it upon myself to hold it there to permit the Amendment to be printed and distributed and...."



Ewing: "And I...I appreciate that. I appreciate having the hearing on the Amendment but....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm certainly going to abide by your wishes, however, I offered Amendment #13 and I had no idea that the Bill, you know, wasn't going to move or the Amendments weren't distributed just like...I think Representative Deavers had. However, you have to realize that there were Amendments offered on this Bill and we didn't have a chance to look at them until just yesterday and that would have been difficult to have an Amendment drafted and distributed to deal with those Amendments that may be adopted. And I certainly feel that it's not...would not abuse the Sponsor of this Bill if... if we heard Amendments that were offered on the Bill but whatever you decide, of course,.....go along."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I just say that at that time the Amendment #12 was the last Amendment that had been put there and if I hadn't of held it there for the printing and distribution it would have gone to the Order of Third Reading. So I think in all fairness it should go to the Order of Third Reading now."

Mudd: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "1803. One, eight, oh, three. This is another one that we took out of the record because the Amendment was not ready. 1803."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1803. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any motions with regard to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. McCourt. Amends House Bill 1803 as amended in Section 14-115 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt. Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, what...what Amendment is that...on, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "3, your Amendment. Those two Committee Amendments."



McCourt: "I move to table Committee Amendment, I mean my Amendment #3.

Has not....Amendment #4 been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, you just withdraw 3. I believe the Committee Amendments are 1 and 2, isn't that correct? That's correct."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "And 3 was the floor Amendment so you just withdraw that one. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. McCourt. Amends House Bill 1803 as amended by inserting 14-133 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 increases the employees' annual... contribution from one-half percent to one percent because their annual retirement increment is going to be increased under...by the Bill from two to three percent. This...this is introduced because the Pension Laws Commission has recommended that if you increase the ...the annual increment from two to three percent, that the employees' contribution should be increased to one percent."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson...Stuffie."

Stuffie: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. I rise in opposition to this particular Amendment #4 for a number of reasons. First of all, we're in a situation with the state employees' system where they're taking in considerably more money from the current employees than is paid out now each year in pay out for the annual adjustment and pension. In the state university system affected by this Bill in its current posture without the Amendment we're already asking the state university employees to pay roughly five times next year, five times the cost of the added pay out under this Amendment. That system is already making more than twice as much each year as it needs for pay out in its reserve for this particular program that already exists. To ask the university employees to pay one-half of one percent additionally instead of a quarter is to ask him to double the amount of money that he now pays for each one percent of the annual adjustment. He now pays one-half of one percent for a two percent annual adjustment which I believe is minimal given the cost of inflation and the cost of living. The Bill in its current posture without the Amendment I think is fair for those reasons, the Amendment ought to be



rejected for those reasons. In fact, if you take the approach in the Amendment offered by Representative McCourt, you're effectively asking within the state employees' system for the employees in the first year, the first year alone, to pay the life cost of this benefit for people who have already retired. We're being extremely unfair, in my opinion, to the people who are retired, who haven't had an increased benefit. We're being unfair to them because we're saying to them on one hand, we're pushing up your funding because we're not pushing up the funding despite the fact that you and the current employees have paid your share in, we can't give you any more even a one percent amount of relief. Also, you have to look at the situation in the state employee system that almost all the employees now on board pay not only for a minimal pension, a partial pension from the state but they also pay in for social security and to ask them particularly to add a half percent contribution I think is unfair and onerous to them. I think the Bill in its current position without the Amendment has provisions in it to safeguard the equities in the system and the funding without adding further burdens to the employee for a needed benefit. We put enough things on the back of these people, we've done too little for the annuitants in the name of more funding. We're not hurting the funding by that substantial degree claimed in this Bill. We need this Bill in its current form, we ought to oppose the Amendment for those reasons in the name of being fair to these people, in being fair to the people who now work in the state employees and state university retirement system."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McLendon."

McLendon: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on behalf of the Committee, we oppose Amendment #4. I was a Member of the Subcommittee and we heard several witnesses in connection with this Bill and the Bill was reported to the full Committee. The full Committee heard the Bill and the vote for the Bill was 11 to 1 in the Committee. I do not feel that at any time...at this time that any further Amendments should be proposed to this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I...I oppose Amendment #4. This particular problem has been brought to the Pension Laws Commission a number of



times in my tenure in the General Assembly has never been properly addressed. This particular Bill covers people who are retired who have been ravaged by inflation who get minimum pensions of 90 dollars a month, who have seen other pension systems and particularly the social security increased quite substantially with the cost of living and these poor people can't even get a slight increase of one percent. The amount of money that is being asked for is, I think, a debt that we owe to the many fine workers of the State of Illinois that have put in so much time and effort and for us to ignore their plight at this time, I think, is atrocious. As Representative Collins would say, it's facetious, malicious, whatever you want to call it, but it's time that we give some of our retired employees some consideration and put them on a decent income rather than having to apply for food stamps and public aid. So I voted....vote 'no' on the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt to close."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "...Representative Wolf, pardon me."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I was wondering...maybe Representative Terzich could answer a question for me.... Mr. Terzich..."

Terzich: "Well, we'll give it a go, Jake."

Wolf: "Okay. Is it the position of the Pension Laws Commission changed their position and that....I notice that the university employees would be making some additional contribution as I understand, a quarter percent, and the state employees would be making no increase contribution? Is it now the position of the Pension Laws Commission that this...in Commission be increased from two to three percent with no contribution? Am I correct?"

Terzich: "Well, I...understand it's a different position with the state employees union or the university and that they have agreed to make, I believe, it's one quarter of a percent contribution. The particular problem was never really resolved on an individual basis by the Pension Laws Commission nor was individual actuarial studies made as to what the required amount of contribution would be to increase the post retirement increment from two to three percent. They just took a blanket. Now there's only been two system I believe that have



adopted the two to three percent increase and so therefore the actuaries that have five figures such as Representative Stuffle stated, that they believe that that amount is excessive, the one-half percent contributions for the benefits requested. And therefore I think that the request by Representative Robinson is a legitimate request and therefore I'm against the Amendment."

Wolf: "Well, you didn't quite answer my question. It seems inconsistent. The university employees are going to increase their contribution by one-quarter percent to pay for this in this Bill but the state employees are going to get it for free, is that my understanding?"

Terzich: "Well, I will leave that to my expert on the state...university system, Representative Stuffle, will reply to that."

Wolf: "Okay, all I need's a simple yes or no. Is this Bill, as it is set up now, provide that the university employees will contribute an extra quarter percent of salary as the others have who have... paid something who have gotten it so far and the state employees will not pay any increase, am I correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Well, you really asked two questions, Representative Wolf. The answer, first of all, is that there is a difference here, that there is a quarter percent increase by the state universities not by the state employees."

Wolf: "Okay, that's all I want to know."

Stuffle: "But you also asked the reasoning in the other systems, I think, and the answer to that, I think, is this. That the funding situation and the amount of actuarial need is different, that's why we went this way. The one system I think of that got the three percent, did not pay for it, directly by a quarter of a percent or half or otherwise, they paid for it through Representative Mudd's Bill two years ago for the firemen on a blanket basis, the whole package, and wasn't broken out for this particular benefit alone."

Wolf: "Kind of a long winded answer, you could have given me yes.

All I wanted to was establish that the state employees are getting a freebie and the university people are going to pay for it."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt to close."

McCourt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this...this Bill, without this Amendment, the first year will cost the state in excess of 750,000 dollars. We have under our control 17 separate pension systems. Now the Pension Laws Commission has gone on record and realizes that...that many of thethat...that all retirees can use more money because of the inflationary spiral. But at the same time, to afford giving this additional increment from two to three percent, it has to be properly funded. Now here we have two systems, two out of seventeen systems that are only funded at approximately 45 percent and we are going to give more benefits without actually taking in new money to pay for these benefits. Now if you want to break the...the pension systems in Illinois this is the way to go and not...by not voting for this Amendment. If you want to be fiscally responsible this Amendment should be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #4. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Skinner, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 48 'aye' and 76 'no' and the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Request for a pension note has been filed and the...well, Mr. Clerk, will you tell us the status of 1803?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The request for a pension note has been filed and a pension impact statement has been filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I do not know whether this note or statement of the potential cost refers to the Bill unamended or the Bill with any Amendments but I have a specific request on file in writing that is...that the note referred the Bill as it is presently amended."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson, is that what.... Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Well, first of all, the request as we received it was not for the pension note but for a fiscal note, we filed both, and it is



for the Bill in its current status."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2995. Wait a minute. Representative Von Boeckman, do you seek recognition? Von Boeckman, 2995. Order of Second Reading, page 7. Representative O'Brien."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2995. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3002."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3002. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Local Library Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1...was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions...."

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Skinner. Amends House Bill 3002 as amended in Section 1 of the Bill and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Richmond on the floor? Okay. Proceed with the Amendment."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "And Members of the House, this Amendment merely says that the backdoor petitions have to be immediately available at the library."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye'....Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, D: "Mr. Speaker, before we consider Amendment #2, would the Speaker consider the germaneness of Amendment #1?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, D: "Withdraw my request on Amendment #1, please."

Speaker Redmond: "You ready...well I think he will explain the Amendment, it meets no opposition as I understand. You ready for the



question? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "I don't think that Amendment has been distributed and I would like to move the Bill to Third Reading and if the....certain provisions are made and agreement reached we might go back to Second Reading but it would be the Sponsor's....is that number 4?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, this is 3, we have a 4.....got one someplace."

Yourell: "Okay, go ahead."

Speaker Redmond: "Three been printed and distributed? We'll have to hold it here on Second, Mr. Yourell. 3004."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3004. A Bill for an Act to revise provisions pertaining to first offender probation in relation in relation to certain offenses. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Kempiners. Amends House Bill 3004 on page 1, line 16 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 which is before the House right now amends the Bill so that it comports with the Supreme Court decision in the 'Dumontell' case and also indicates the Legislature's intention that it would look favorably if the Court interpreted this Bill as being part of the statute when it was initially passed in 1973. And I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3009."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3009. A Bill for an Act in relation to writs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3010."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3010. A Bill for an Act to provide for the registration of container trade marks. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3014."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3014. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Kane. Amends House Bill 3014 on page 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane on the floor? Representative Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this was suggested by Representative Kane in the Committee, it's certainly agreeable to me. It allows for an audit to take place should the Auditor General not have to do one and it's just a clean-up Amendment to try and make sure an audit is done if necessary. And I would move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it, the motion carried, Amendment #1 to House Bill 3014 carries. Amendment's adopted. 3015."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, they're not....Third Reading. 3015."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3015. A Bill for an Act to change the frequency of certain audits required by the Auditor General. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3016."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3016. A Bill for an Act to authorizing temporary interagency transfers of state employees. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3019."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3019. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Bingo License and Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3023."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3023. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to regulate the employment of children. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Farley. Amends House Bill 3023..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman on the floor? Out of the record. 3027."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3027. A Bill for an Act in relation to subsidy for probation officers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3038."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3038. A Bill for an Act permitting raffles and chance games to be conducted by not for profit organizations. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3040."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3040. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Schlickman. Amends House Bill 3040 on page 1,...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative....out of the record. Let's return to 3023, I've been advised....been advised by the Sponsor of the Amendment #2 that Representative Greiman has no objection to that Amendment. Is that correct, Mr. Farley?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3023. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to regulate employment of children. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in Committee. Floor Amendment 2. Farley. Amends House Bill 3023 as amended in the title and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Farley."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 establishes the Illinois Commission on Labor Laws. Actually it continues the Commission, June 30th is the date that the Commission would expire and due to an oversight, the enacting legislation did not come out of Rules. So what I'm doing with this Amendment is continuing the Commission and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3042."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3042. A Bill for an Act amending an Act that authorized the conveyance of certain property to several units of local government. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3050."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3050. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3053."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3053. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3054."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3054. A Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Lucco. Amends House Bill 3054 on page 1 by deleting lines 10 through 12 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman from Madison, Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 to House Bill 3054, I prepared to satisfy an objection that Representative Meyer had and it's very pleasurable to do it and it helps the Bill. And I hope that we can add Amendment #1 to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, Amendment... motion carried, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "I understand the fiscal note has not been furnished, Representative Lucco."

Clerk O'Brien: "No fiscal note yet, no."



Speaker Redmond: "We'll hold it here and if it's filed we'll move it along. 3057. Fiscal note has been furnished on this one, John? 3057? Take that out of the record. 3059."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3059. A Bill for an Act in relation to licensing of ambulance services. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Robinson. Amends House Bill 3059 on page 1, line 20 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment? Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "I'm also handling the Bill for the Committee and I withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "You withdraw Amendment #2?"

Robinson: "Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. You had me there for a while. Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments? Any further Amendments on 3059 that...Representative Robinson has withdrawn Amendment 1."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3079. Yes."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3079. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment...Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1? 3079."

Clerk O'Brien: "Yeah. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. 3079."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment #1 on 3079?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Polk. Amends House Bill 3079 as amended in Section 3-617 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk. Amendment 2. You want it out of the record? Out of the record. 3083. Move that to Third Reading. 3059, that was Robinson...."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "He was through the.... Representative Robinson"



withdrew Amendment #1. 3079, Representative Polk."

Polk: "Yes, Sir, Amendment #1 is just a technical Amendment. In the Bill we...we left the word 'Superintendent of Public Instruction' in...in...of course, it should have been 'Illinois Office of Education', technical Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, there's no motion with respect to Amendment #2.

Are there any...there's a floor Amendment, though, on Amendment 2."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 2 is your Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Polk."

Speaker Redmond: "Is he on the floor? Is it a long Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "What does 2 take...."

Clerk O'Brien: "And Amendment 2 changes the 'Superintendent of Public Instruction' to the 'Illinois Office of Education'."

Speaker Redmond: "So then you move the adoption of Amendment 2, is that right?"

Polk: "Yes, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3083."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3083. A Bill for an Act relating to state government providing for the establishment of State-wide Forms Management Program. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3088."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3088. A Bill for an Act in relation to township government. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Schlickman. Amends House Bill 3088 on page 70 by inserting immediately after line 29 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters on the floor? Or Von-Boeckman? Who is the principal Sponsor of this? Representative Schlickman, the Sponsor of the Amendment, doesn't seem to be on the floor, Representative Von Boeckman. You want to take it out of the record? Out of the record. 3097."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3097. A Bill for an Act relating to the establishment and maintenance of health departments and public health districts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3054. Has a fiscal note been filed, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is now filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3108."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3108. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3112."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3112. A Bill for an Act requiring reimbursement to local...to units of local government. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3113. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Has the fiscal note been filed on that Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say, Representative...."

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "3113."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3113. A Bill for an Act requiring reimbursement to units of local government. Second Reading of the



Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Yourell. Amends House Bill 3113 on page 1 by deleting lines 32 and 33 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to House Bill 3113 was put to the Bill at the request of Representative Lynn Martin. When the Bill was heard in Committee, she expressed some concern about taking up the...the... the role that the Comptroller would play in allocating funds and the amount estimated by the Comptroller and upon approval and joint certification in writing from the Governor. And I agreed to place the Amendment to the Bill to make it substantially the same as House Bill 297. And I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3113."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3113. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3117. Out of the record. 3118."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3118. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3119."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3119. A Bill for an Act relating to the filing of returns under the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3129."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3129. A Bill for an Act relating to county



and municipal jails. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3134."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3134. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for financing for state programs, collection and disposal of unclaimed abandoned vehicles. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Bartulis. Amends House Bill 3134 as amended by deleting the title and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bartulis."

Bartulis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 to this Bill is for the benefit of the little man on the second floor. This Amendment provides for a two dollar user fee sticker tax on all vehicles within the City of Chicago for the express purpose of providing money for pothole repairs. The Amendment provides an alternative to the 30,000,000 dollars that the little man on the second floor was advocating. I hope for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. May I just point out to the Membership of the House that the City of Chicago has a vehicle ordinance and the vehicle...and the cost of the vehicle sticker now is approximately 50 dollars for a...for an automobile of 35 horsepower or more, 35 dollars if it's lower than that, lower than 55 horsepower. May I point out that the City of Chicago is a...has always been in the policy of determining the amount of money that is raised by...by the residents of that city. I think that this Amendment is...is poorly drawn, is dictating to a home rule unit how it's supposed to allocate its money in increasing its vehicle sticker. I think it's one of the higher vehicle sticker costs in the state. And, Mr. Speaker, I question



the germaneness of the Amendment and I also question a number of votes that it requires to pass the Amendment if it is to be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "...This one out of the record temporarily. Announcements, Representative Chapman?"

Lechowicz: "How about 3191?"

Speaker Redmond: "Announcements. Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, the House Committee on Human Resources will meet immediately on adjournment to consider our report showing a severe under utilization of mental facilities. The meeting will be immediately on adjournment and I hope we'll be brief."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I wonder, Mr. Speaker, if the Chairman of the Human Resources Committee, on which I serve, would consider just meeting in the General Assembly rather than shifting everything across to the Stratton Building? We might get finished faster...and it would make it more convenient for the press who will definitely want to know the startling revelations that you are going to make about the state mental hospitals."

Chapman: "You're right, the revelations are startling and we will be happy to share them with...with all. I thank you for your suggestion, I believe we had best go quickly to Room C-1."

Skinner: "You mean you've got the reports already over there that you have already carried back?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, Committee on Government Organization(sic) will meet immediately after adjournment in 118 and we would like also leave to post a Committee Bill which deals with the executive order on law enforcement. I think Representative Steele(?) has talked to Representative Ryan, it's not a controversial measure, it's just clean-up language. And, Mr. Speaker, one other thing, we have Higher Education hearings tomorrow at 8 o'clock in the morning and we have Gordon 'Lyman' coming in from California and we may be going a little bit over. I wonder if we could have leave to continue his testimony until maybe 9:30 when we come into Session if that would appropriate?"



Speaker Redmond: "I would think so. Now what was the first....

did you make a motion to suspend the posting rule?"

Houlihan, J: "Posting rules for subject matter to clean up the legislation with regard to law enforcement."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Hearing none the Attendance Roll Call will be used in support of that motion. Representative Birchler, for what purpose do you rise?"

Birchler: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave to suspend the rules to hear House Bill 3388 in Appropriations II Subcommittee on Tuesday, May 16th, in Room 122-A, immediately after we have adjournment on that day."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you cleared with Representative Ryan? Representative Birchler, have you discussed it with the Minority Leader?"

Birchler: "I talked with Mr. Kempiners who is the...Member of the Committee from the Republican side and the Sponsor...."

Ryan: "I don't know anything about it, Mr. Speaker, I'd like...."

Birchler: "Discussed."

Speaker Redmond: "What is your pleasure? Here's Representative Kempiners, will you please the Minority Leader what your agreement was?"

Ryan: "No objection."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objections, waive the posting rule. What Bill number is it, Representative Birchler?"

Birchler: "House Bill 3288."

Speaker Redmond: "Attendance Roll Call will be used in support of that motion. Representative Capparelli, Chairman of the Exec, Representative Lechowicz is...."

Capparelli: "Mr. Chairman, we'll meet exactly right after adjournment, we'll meet in downstairs in Room 118 for Executive Committee.... 118 about an hour. 114."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll put 3134 back on the record now. Mr. Parliamentarian, how about the Amendment? David. David...how about the Amendment on 3134? Parliamentarian advises me it is germane. We're on the question. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, then I have to rise and oppose



Amendment #2 to 3134. Basically, what the Amendment does is imposes a two dollar vehicle tax on the residents of the City of Chicago for the privilege of using the city streets. I think that the people in this state, and especially the people in Chicago, pay their fair share in taxes and to have an additional tax imposed upon the residents who own vehicles in the City of Chicago for the repair of potholes in the city I think is really totally contradictory to what the philosophy of the Department of Transportation's budget is all about. You're talking about an area in the state that raises approximately 60 percent of the revenue and now you're imposing additional tax on those people. I strongly encourage a 'no' vote on Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I'm surprised at the prior speaker's opposing this Amendment and I especially...in view of the fact that the City of Chicago has just imposed a nine dollar per year fee to park in some neighborhoods on the street. Now this is a two dollar fee which I am sure that many residents would love raised to nine dollars if indeed they knew that the money was going to be spent on filling potholes. Now the potholes exist, and I certainly don't know why they'reexisting in Chicago, but there's no doubt about the fact that they are there. It seems to me that this is a way to get more money to accomplish one of the goals that the Democratic candidate for Governor wishes to fill potholes. Now certainly he ought to be in favor of filling potholes in the community in the State of Illinois from which he expects to get the most votes. I don't know how any Democrat could oppose this...this...this pothole filling Bill. Two dollars won't go too far, it won't go that far, maybe it's not large enough. Maybe somebody will think will think about putting in a four dollar pothole tax. We don't have potholes in our community so we don't need this tax but you certainly have it and we're just trying to help out."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further?"

Skinner: ".....But no thanks."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."



Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, am surprised that the former speaker from the County of Cook opposed to this. I thought the party of the donkey had identified themselves as the party of pothole this year and here's a chance to get those potholes fixed without taking potluck on the rest of the state budget. This Amendment provides for a mere forty percent ...four percent increase in the registration fee to fix those potholes in the City of Chicago. This is the city from where most of the vehicle registrations come, this is the city that causes most of the holes in our road and this is the city which causes most of the holes in our budget. Let's make them fix their own holes with their own money and let's support this Amendment to House Bill 3134."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just to correct Representative Totten who I think is a little misinformed in that a lot of those potholes in the City of Chicago are caused by suburbanites going to work in the morning and home at night."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 55 'aye' and 79 'no' and the motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles, passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Bills #1421, 1422, 1455, 1475, 1512, 1616, 1630, 1645, 1667 and 1678. Passed by the Senate, May 11, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the



House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #84.

Adopted by the Senate, May 11, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1422.. Dave Jones. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to tax on gifts. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1455. Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill.

House (sic) Bill 1678. Matijevich. A Bill for an Act to amend Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3384. Harris-Richmond. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. 10 o'clock tomorrow?

In case you're interested, we've moved 88 Bills from Second to Third and we passed seven Bills. We've only got 100 Second tomorrow."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "Move along...."

Madigan: "We're happy that you're happy."

Speaker Redmond: "Isn't the Rules Committee happy? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Any further announcements, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Guess not. 10 o'clock. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Does the Clerk need any time? Does the Clerk need any time?"

Unknown: "The Clerk needs a lot of time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I move that we adjourn until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the motion, those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries.

Don't forget the German dinner tonight."



1.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1	9:00	Speaker Redmond	House to order
1		Reverend Krueger	Prayer
1		Speaker Redmond	
1		Clerk O'Brien	
1		Speaker Redmond	
1		Clerk O'Brien	Committee Reports
2		Speaker Redmond	
2		Clerk O'Brien	Introduction & First Reading
2		Speaker Redmond	HB 2nd Reading
2		Clerk O'Brien)	HB 2626
2		Speaker Redmond)	
2		Ebbesen	Speaks to Bill
2		Speaker Redmond)	Floor Amendment
3		Ebbesen)	
3		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1-HB 2626
3		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted
3		Giorgi	
3		Speaker Redmond)	
3		Kosinski)	
3		Speaker Redmond	
3		Clerk O'Brien	Agreed Resolutions
3		Speaker Redmond	
3(a)		Giorgi	
		Speaker Redmond	Agreed Resolution adopted
4		Clerk O'Brien)	Further Resolution
4		Speaker Redmond)	
4		Geo-Karis)	

9:18



<u>2.</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	4		Speaker Redmond	
	4		Bowman	
	4		Speaker Redmond	
	4		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB 2121-2nd R
	4		Speaker Redmond	
	4		D. Houlihan	Wants Bill held
	4		Speaker Redmond	
	4		Bowman	Wants Bill heard
	5		Speaker Redmond	
	5		D. Houlihan)	Persists
)	
	5		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
	5		Bowman)	Discussion
	5		Speaker Redmond	Out of Record
	6		Matijevich	Leave to have Bills heard in Appropriations I
	6	9:23	Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
	6		Madigan	
	6		Giglio	
	6		Speaker Redmond	
	6		Giglio	Explains HR 667
	7		Speaker Redmond	
	7,8		Ryan)	Yield?
)	
	9		Giglio)	Discussion
	9		Speaker Redmond	
	9		Giorgi	
	9		Speaker Redmond	
	9		Friedrich	



<u>3.</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	9		Speaker Redmond	
	9	9:32	Skinner	
	9		Speaker Redmond	
	9		Conti	
	10		Speaker Redmond	
	10		Matijeich	German-American Day
	10		Speaker Redmond	
	10		Taylor	
	10		Speaker Redmond	
	10		Simms	
	10		Speaker Redmond	
	10	9:35	Giglio	To close on HR 667
	11		Speaker Redmond	HR 667 fails
	11		McMaster	Introduction
	11		Speaker Redmond	
	11		Clerk O'Brien	
	11		Speaker Redmond	
	11		J. Wolf	Votes 'yes' on HR 667
	12		Speaker Redmond	House Bills 3rd Reading
	12		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB 104-Out of record
	12		Speaker Redmond	HJR 67
	12	9:40	J. Houlihan	Explains HJR 67
	12		Speaker Redmond	HJR 67 adopted
	13		Ryan)	Excused absences
	13		Speaker Redmond)	
	13		Clerk O'Brien	Committee Reports
	13		Speaker Redmond	



<u>4.</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	13		Matijevich	Inquiry
	13		Speaker Redmond	House Bills 3rd Reading
	13		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB 400
	13		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
	14		Friedrich	Point of personal privilege
	14		Speaker Redmond	
	14		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1913-3rd Reading
	14		Speaker Redmond	
	14		Bowman	Hold it
	14		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
	14		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1967-3rd Reading
	15		Speaker Redmond	
	15		Giglio	Explains HB 1967
	15		Speaker Redmond	
	15		Kosinski	Supports
	15		Speaker Redmond	
	16		Griesheimer)	
	16		Giglio)	
	16	9:50	Speaker Redmond	
	16		Neff	
	17		Speaker Redmond	
	17		Mulcahey	
	17		Speaker Redmond	
	17		Giglio	To close
	17		Speaker Redmond	HB 1967 passed
	17		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1970
	17		Speaker Redmond	



<u>5. Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
17		Giglio	Explains HB 1970
18		Speaker Redmond	
18		Totten	
18		Speaker Redmond	
18		Giglio	Out of record
18		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB 2316
18		Speaker Redmond	
18		Kane	Return to 2nd?
18		Speaker Redmond	Leave
18		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Floor Amend #1
18	9:57	Kane	Explains FA #1
19		Speaker Redmond	F1 Amend #1 adopted
19		Clerk O'Brien	
19		Speaker Redmond	
19		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2486-3rd Reading
19		Speaker Redmond)	
19		Kane)	
19		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
19		Speaker Redmond	
19		Kane	
19		Speaker Redmond	
19		Houlihan, J.)	Question
20		Kane)	
20		Speaker Redmond	Amend adopted-3rd Reading
20		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2749-3rd Reading
20		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
6.			
20		Bennett	
20		Speaker Redmond	
20		Madigan)	
)	
21		Bennett)	
22		Speaker Redmond	
22		Kane)	
)	
23	10:05	Bennett)	
23		Speaker Redmond	
23		Skinner	
24		Speaker Redmond	
24		Griesheimer)	Yield
)	
24		Bennett)	
25		Speaker Redmond	
25		Daniels)	
)	
25		Bennett)	
26		Speaker Redmond	
26		Totten)	
)	
27		Bennett)	
27		Speaker Redmond	
27		Giorgi	Support
27		Speaker Redmond	
27		Satterthwaite	
28		Speaker Redmond	
28		Polk	Moves previous question
28		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries
28		Bennett	To close
28		Speaker Redmond	HB 2749



<u>7.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
28	10:30	Greiman	Explains vote
28		Speaker Redmond	
29		Houlihan, J.	Vote 'present'
29		Speaker Redmond	Passed
29		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2775—3rd-R-
29		Speaker Redmond	
29		Barnes, Jane	
29		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
29		Cunningham	
29		Speaker Redmond	
29		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2981-3rd Reading
30		Speaker Redmond	
30		Barnes, J.	
30		Speaker Redmond	
30		Byers	
30		Speaker Redmond	
30		Totten	Speaks on Bill
31		Speaker Redmond	
31		Ryan	Moves previous question
31		Speaker Redmond	
31,32		Collins	Explains vote
32		Speaker Redmond	
32		Skinner	
32		Speaker Redmond	
32	10:31	Geo-Karis	
33		Speaker Redmond	
33		Wolf	



8.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
33		Speaker Redmond	
33		Barnes, J.	
33		Speaker Redmond	
33		Huff	
34		Speaker Redmond	HB 2981 passed
34		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3131-3rd Reading
34		Speaker Redmond	
34		Von Boeckman	
35		Speaker Redmond	Passed
35		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3224-3rd Reading
35		Speaker Redmond	
35		Macdonald	
36		Speaker Redmond	
36		Schneider)	
37		Macdonald)	
37		Speaker Redmond	Passed
37		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3236-3rd Reading
37		Speaker Redmond	
37		Ryan	
37	10:43	Speaker Redmond	
37		Skinner	
37		Speaker Redmond	Passed
37		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1516-3rd Reading
37		Speaker Redmond	
38		Tipsword	
38		Speaker Redmond	Passed
39		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1088-2nd-Am 1 & 2 adopt in Comm



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>9.</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	39		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	39		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1357-2nd R-Read 2nd time prev. Am # adopted in Comm etc Fl Am 9
	39		Speaker Redmond	
	39		Robinson	
	40		Speaker Redmond	
	40		Dunn, R.)	
)	
	40		Robinson)	
	40		Speaker Redmond	
	41		Kent)	Question of Rigney
)	
	41		Rigney)	
	41		Speaker Redmond	
	41		Dunn, R.	Question
	41		Speaker Redmond	
	41	10:52	Bradley)	
)	
	42		Robinson)	
	42		Speaker Redmond	
	42		Schneider	
	42		Speaker Redmond	
	42		Houlihan, D.)	
)	
	43		Robinson)	
	43		Speaker Redmond	
	43		Waddell)	
)	
	43		Robinson)	
	44		Speaker Redmond	
	44		Anderson)	
)	
	44		Robinson)	



<u>10.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
44		Speaker Redmond	
44		McMaster))	
45		Robinson)	
45		Friedrich))	
46		Robinson)	
46		Speaker Redmond	
46		Dunn, R.	
46		Speaker Redmond	
46		Robinson	To close
46		Speaker Redmond	Amend #9 adopted
47	11:05	Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amend #10
47		Speaker Redmond	
47		Cunningham	
48		Speaker Redmond	
48		Byers	
48		Speaker Redmond	
48		Darrow))	
48		Cunningham)	
49		Speaker Redmond	
49		Rigney	
49		Speaker Redmond	
49		Friedrich))	
49		Cunningham)	
50		Speaker Redmond	
50		Cunningham	To close
50		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #10
50		Cunningham	Announcement



<u>11.</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	50		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
	50		Clerk O'Brien	
	50		Schlickman	Point of Personal Privilege
	51		Speaker Redmond	
	51		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #11
	51		Speaker Redmond	
	51	11:15	Harris	Amendment #11
	51		Speaker Redmond	
	51		Robinson	No objection
	51		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted
	51		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #12
	51		Speaker Redmond	
	51		Ryan	Question-Amendment Distributed?
	51		Speaker Redmond	
	51		Houlihan, J.)	
	52		Speaker Redmond)	
	52		Deavers	Wants Bill held on 2nd
	52		Speaker Redmond	
	52		Rigney	Objects
	52		Speaker Redmond	
	52		Mudd	
	53		Speaker Redmond	
	53		Robinson	
	53		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
	53		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1380-2nd R-Am 1 & 2 adopted in Committee
	53		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading



<u>12.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
53		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1533-3rd Reading
54		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
54	11:20	Clerk O'Brien	HB 1710 - 2nd R
54		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
54		Waddell	
54		Speaker Redmond	
55		Tipsword)	
)	
55		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
55		Capparelli)	
55		Houlihan, J.	Announcement
55		Speaker Redmond	
55		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1764-2nd R-No C.A.
55		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
55		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1788-2nd R=No C.A.
55		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
55		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1788-2nd R-No C.A.
55		Speaker Redmond	Floor Amendment #1
55		McCourt	
55		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted-3rd Reading
56		Clerk O'Brien	HB-1803
56		Speaker Redmond	Floor Amendment #3
56		Speaker Redmond	
56		McCourt	
56		Speaker Redmond	
56		Houlihan, D.	Amendment Printed?
56		Speaker Redmond	No
56		Stuffle	



13. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
56	11:30	Speaker Redmond	Out of record
57		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2073-2nd R-Am 1 adopted Com.
57		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
57		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2075-2nd R-Am 1 adopted Com
57		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
57		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2084-2nd R-Am 1 adopted Com
57		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
57		Ryan	Fiscal note on 2084?
57		Speaker Redmond	Has been filed
57		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2106-2nd R-Am 1 adopted Com
58		Speaker Redmond	
58		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
58		Speaker Redmond	
58		McCourt	
58		Speaker Redmond	
58		Kosinski)	Yield?
59		McCourt)	
59		Speaker Redmond	
59		Houlihan, D.	
60		Speaker Redmond	
60	11:37	Kosinski	
60		Speaker Redmond	
60		McCourt	To close.
60		Speaker Redmond	Am 2 fails. 3rd R
61		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2121-2nd R-Am 1 adopted Com
61		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
14.			
61		Bowman	Amendment #1
61		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted
61		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
61		Speaker Redmond	Adopted-3rd Reading
62		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2295-2nd R-No C.A.
62		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
62		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2418-2nd R-Am 1 adopted Com
62		Speaker Redmond	
62		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
62		Speaker Redmond	
62		Ewing	Amendment #2
62		Speaker Redmond	
62		Mugalian	
62		Speaker Redmond	
62		Ewing	To close
63	11:44	Speaker Redmond	
63		Skinner	
63		Speaker Redmond	
63		Lechowicz	
63		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 fails
63		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #3
63		Speaker Redmond	
63		Ewing	
64		Speaker Redmond	
64		Mugalian	Oppose
64		Speaker Redmond	
64		Ewing	To close



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
15.			
65		Speaker Redmond	
65		Mugalian	
66		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #4 adopted-3rd R.
66		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2529-2nd R-Am 1 adopted Com
66		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
66		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2535-2nd R-No C.A.
66		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
66	11:52	Clerk O'Brien	HB 2548-2nd R-Am 1 & 2 adoptCom
66		Speaker Redmond	
66		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #3
66		Speaker Redmond	
66		Dunn, J.	Out of record
67		Speaker Redmond	
67		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2549-2nd R-No C.A.
67		Speaker Redmond	3rd R
67		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2554-2nd R-Am 1,2,3,4,5,6,7 adopted in Committee
67		Speaker Redmond	
67		Clerk O'Brien	Reads motion
67		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
67		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2575-2nd R-No C.A.
67		Speaker Redmond	
67		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Am #1
67		Speaker Redmond	
67		Ewing	
67		Speaker Redmond	
67		Lechowicz	Oppose



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
16.			
67		Speaker Redmond	
67		Ewing)	
68		Speaker Redmond)	
68		Lechowicz)	
68	11:57	Ewing	To close
68		Speaker Redmond	
69		Skinner	Explains vote
69		Speaker Redmond	
69		Lechowicz	Explains vote
69,70		Speaker Redmond	
70		Schuneman)	Point of order
70		Speaker Redmond)	
70		Lechowicz)	Point of personal privilege
70		Speaker Redmond	
70		Ewing	Poll absentees
70		Speaker Redmond	
70		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees
70		Speaker Redmond	
70		Jaffe	Change to no
70		Speaker Redmond	
70		Clerk O'Brien	
70		Speaker Redmond	
70		Miller	Aye
70		Speaker Redmond	
70		Clerk O'Brien	
70		Speaker Redmond	
70		Clerk O'Brien	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
17.			
70		Greiman	No
70		Speaker Redmond	
71		Bowman	
71		Speaker Redmond	
71	12:05	Ewell	Requests oral verification
71		Speaker Redmond	
71		Leverenz	
71		Speaker Redmond	
71		Ewing	Requests oral verification
71		Speaker Redmond	Speaker's prerogative
71		Telcser	
72		Speaker Redmond	
72		Lechowicz	
72		Speaker Redmond	
72		Ewing	
72		Speaker Redmond	
72		Conti	Aye
72		Clerk O'Brien)	Reads negative roll call
)	
73		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
73		Ewing)	Questions negative roll call
74		Speaker Redmond	
74		McMaster	Vote aye
74		Speaker Redmond	
75		Ewing)	
)	
75		Clerk O'Brien)	
)	
75		Speaker Redmond)	
76		Lechowicz	



<u>18.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
76		Speaker Redmond	
76		Ewing	
76		Katz	From aye to no
76		Speaker Redmond	
76	12:19	Ebbesen	Vote aye
76		Speaker Redmond	
77		Ewing)	Continues
)	
77		Clerk O'Brien)	
77		Speaker Redmond)	
77		Speaker Redmond	
77		Mautino	Record me no
77		Speaker Redmond	
77		Ewing	
77		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 fails-3rd Reading
77		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2591-2nd R-Am 1 adopted Com
77		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
77		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2593-2nd R-No C.A.
77		Speaker Redmond	
77		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
78		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
78		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2599-2nd R-No C.A.
78		Speaker Redmond	Hold on 2nd-fiscal note
78		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2604-2nd R-Am 1 adopted Com
78		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
78		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2607-2nd R-No C.A.
78		Speaker Redmond	
78		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
19.			
78	12:26	Dunn, J.	
79		Speaker Redmond	Am #1 adopted - 3rd Reading
79		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2619-2nd R-Am 1 tabled Am 2 adopted
79		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
79		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2636-2nd R-No C.A.
79		Matijevich in Chair	3rd Reading
79		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2650-2nd R-Am 1 adopted Com
79		Speaker Matijevich	
79		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
79		Speaker Matijevich	
79		Katz	
80		Speaker Matijevich	
80		Ryan)	
80		Katz)	
80		Speaker Matijevich	Am #2 adpted-3rd Reading
80		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2652-2nd R-No C.A.
80		Speaker Matijevich	3rd Reading
81		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2656-2nd R-Am #1 adopted Com
81		Speaker Matijevich	3rd Reading
81		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2661-2nd R-Am 1,2 adpt Com
81		Speaker Matijevich	3rd Reading
81		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2666-2nd R-No C.A.
81		Speaker Matijevich	
81		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Am #1
81	12:30	Davis, J.	Amendment #1
81		Speaker Matijevich	Amendment adopted



20.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	81		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
	81		Speaker Matijevich	
	81		Davis, J.	Move to table
	82		Speaker Matijevich	Withdraws
	82		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #3
	82		Speaker Matijevich	
	82		Davis, J.	
	82		Speaker Matijevich	Amen adopted-3rd Reading
	82		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2670-2nd R-Am 1 adpt Com
	82		Speaker Matijevich	3rd Reading
	82		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2687-2nd R-No C.A.
	82		Speaker Matijevich	
	82		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
	83		Speaker Matijevich	Out of record
	83		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2702-2nd R-Am 1 adpt Com
	83		Speaker Matijevich	3rd Reading
	83		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2707-2nd R-No C.A.
	83		Speaker Matijevich	
	83		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
	83		Speaker Matijevich	
	83		Kornowicz	
	83		Speaker Matijevich	
	83		Kornowicz	
	84	12:38	Clerk O'Brien	HB 2714-2nd R-No C.A.
	84		Speaker Matijevich	
	84		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
	84		Speaker Matijevich	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
21.			
84		Peters	
84		Speaker Matijevich	Am adopted-3rd Reading
84		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2722-2nd R-No C.A.
84		Speaker Matijevich	
85		Houlihan, J.)	
)	
85		Vinson)	
85		Speaker Matijevich	3rd R-Bring 2707 back to 2nd
85		Skinner	Amendment #1
85		Speaker Matijevich	Amend adopted-3rd Reading
85		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2736-2nd R-No C.A.
86		Speaker Matijevich	
86		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
86		Speaker Matijevich	
86		Ryan	
86		Speaker Matijevich	Amendment adopted-3rd Reading
86		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2738
86		Speaker Matijevich	
86		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
86		Speaker Matijevich	Out of record
86		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2753
86		Speaker Matijevich	
86		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
86	12:47	Speaker Matijevich	
87		Jacobs	
87		Speaker Matijevich	
87		Clerk O'Brien	F1 Amendment #2 withdrawn Amendment #3



22. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
87		Speaker Matijevec	
87		Vinson	Withdraws #3
87		Speaker Matijevec	
87		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #4
87		Speaker Matijevec	Out of record
87		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2754-
87		Speaker Matijevec	
87		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
87		Speaker Matijevec	
87		Boucek	
87		Speaker Matijevec	
88		Houlihan, D.)	
)	
88		Boucek)	
88		Speaker Matijevec	
88		Kane)	
)	
89		Boucek)	
89	12:48	Speaker Matijevec	Out of record
89		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2766-2nd R-No C.A.
89		Speaker Matijevec	3rd Reading
89		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2767-2nd R-No C.A.
89		Speaker Matijevec	3rd Reading
89		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2770-2nd R-No C.A.
89		Speaker Matijevec	3rd Reading
89		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2772-
90		Speaker Matijevec	
90		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #3
90		Speaker Matijevec	Out of record



23.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
90		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2778-2nd R-Am #1 adopted
90		Speaker Matijevich	
90		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
90		Speaker Matijevich	
90		Giglio	
90		Speaker Matijevich	Amendment adopted-3rd R
90		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2787-2nd R-No C.A.
90		Speaker Matijevich	
90		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
90		Speaker Matijevich	
90		Mahar	
91		Speaker Matijevich	
91	12:52	Brummet	
91		Speaker Matijevich	Amend adopted-3rd Reading
91		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2790
91		Speaker Matijevich	
91		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
91		Speaker Matijevich	
91		Tipsword	Hold it
91		Speaker Matijevich	Out of record
91		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2793-2nd R-Am #1 adopted
92		Speaker Matijevich	
92		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
92		Speaker Matijevich	
92		Deavers	Yield to Winchester
92		Speaker Matijevich	
92		Winchester	Am #2 on HB 2793



24.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
92		Speaker Matijevec	
92		Houlihan, D.	
92	12:55	Speaker Matijevec	
92		Geo-Karis	Appeals ruling of the Chair
93		Speaker Matijevec	Motion to overrule fails
93		Clerk O'Brien	
93		Speaker Matijevec	3rd Reading
93		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB 2818
93		Speaker Matijevec	3rd Reading
93		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2833
93		Speaker Matijevec	
93		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
93		Speaker Matijevec	
93		Ewell	Amendment #1 germane?
93	1:00	Speaker Matijevec	Rules Amend #1 is germane
94		Skinner	Explains Am 1 to HB 2833
94		Speaker Matijevec	
95		Ewell	Speaks on Amendment #1
95		Speaker Matijevec	
95		Skinner	Closes on Amendment #1
96		Speaker Matijevec	Amendment #1
96	1:10	Ewell	Poll absentees
96		Speaker Matijevec	
96,97.		Clerk O'Brien)	Polls absentees
		Speaker Matijevec)	
		Skinner)	Oral Roll Call
97		Speaker Matijevec	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
25.			
97		Clerk O'Brien	Negative Roll
97		Speaker Matijevich	
97		Mudd	
97		Jaffe	
97	1:14	Speaker Matijevich	
97		Bowman	Explains vote
98		Speaker Matijevich	
98		Abramson	
98		Speaker Matijevich	
98		Conti	Aye
98		Speaker Matijevich	
98		Schlickman	Record me aye
98		Speaker Matijevich	
98		Daniels	Aye
98		Speaker Matijevich	Skinner withdraws verification
98		Ewell	Verify affirmative roll
98		Clerk O'Brien	Reads affirmative roll call
99		Speaker Matijevich	
99		Getty	Record me aye
99,100,101		Speaker Matijevich)	Questions Affirmative
102,103		Ewell)	Roll Call
103		Speaker Matijevich	
103		McClain	Votes no
103		Speaker Matijevich	
103		Schuneman	Aye
103		Speaker Matijevich	
103		Walsh, Wm.	Aye



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
26.			
103		Hoffman	Aye
103	1:30	Speaker Matijevec	
103		Beatty	No
103		Speaker Matijevec	Amendment #1 adopted
103		Skinner	
103		Speaker Matijevec	
104		Deuster	Move to reconsider
104		Speaker Matijevec	Leinenweber moves to table
104		Collins	Point of personal privilege
104		Speaker Matijevec	
104		Ewell	Leave Bill on 2nd
104		Speaker Matijevec	
104		Skinner	
104		Speaker Matijevec	
104		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2772-2nd R-Am 1,2 adopted
104		Speaker Matijevec	
104		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #3
105		Speaker Matijevec	Amendment adopted
105		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #4
105		Speaker Matijevec	
105		Hart	
105	1:35	Speaker Matijevec	
105		Houlihan, D.	
105		Speaker Matijevec	Am #4 adopted-3rd Reading
105		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2754-2nd R-No C.A.
105		Speaker Matijevec	
105		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
27.			
105		Speaker Matijevec)	Withdrawn-3rd R.
)	
106		Clerk O'Brien)	HB-1803
106		Speaker Matijevec	
106		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #3
106		Speaker Matijevec	
106		McCourt	
106		Speaker Matijevec	Out of record
106		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2834-2nd R-No C.A.
106		Speaker Matijevec	
106		Skinner	Out of record?
106		Speaker Matijevec	
106		Clerk O'Brien)	
)	
107		Skinner)	
107		Speaker Matijevec	Proceed with Bill
107		Clerk O'Brien	
107		Speaker Matijevec	3rd Reading
107		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2835-2nd R-No C.A.
107		Speaker Matijevec	3rd Reading
107	1:40	Clerk O'Brien	HB 2839-2nd R-No C.A.
107		Speaker Matijevec	3rd Reading
107		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2842-2nd R-No C.A.
108		Speaker Matijevec	
108		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
108		Speaker Matijevec	
108		Flinn	
108		Speaker Matijevec	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
108		Schlickman)	Asks for explanation
108		Flinn)	
109		Speaker Matijeovich	
109	1:44	Mudd	Supports
109		Speaker Matijeovich	
109		Lucco	Supports
110		Speaker Matijeovich	
110		Mahar)	
110		Flinn)	
110		Speaker Matijeovich	
110		Satterthwaite	No vote
110		Speaker Matijeovich	
111		Flinn	
111		Speaker Matijeovich	
111	1:47	Bowman	Supports
111		Speaker Redmond	
111		Flinn	To close
111		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted
112		Clerk O'Brien	
112		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
112		Ewell	
112		Speaker Redmond	
112		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2865
112		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
112		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2870
112		Speaker Redmond	
112		Clerk O'Brien	Motion to table Amendment #1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
29.			
112		Speaker Redmond	
112		Tipsword	
113		Speaker Redmond	Hold HB 2870
113		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2877
113		Speaker Redmond	Hold 2877 on 2nd
113		Bowman	Introduction
113		Speaker Redmond	
113		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2893-2nd
113		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
113		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2894
113		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
113	1:55	Clerk O'Brien	HB 2898
114		Speaker Redmond	
114		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
114		Speaker Redmond	
114		Hart	Explains Amendment #2
114		Speaker Redmond	
114		Yourell	No objections
114		Speaker Redmond	Floor Amendment #1 adopted
114		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #3
114		Speaker Redmond	
114		Terzich	Explains Amendment #3
115		Speaker Redmond	
115		Yourell	No objections
115		Speaker Redmond	Floor Amendment #3 adopted
115		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #4
115		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
30.			
115		Terzich	Explains Floor Amendment #4
115	1:58	Speaker Redmond	
115		Yourell	No objections
115		Speaker Redmond	FA #4 adopted
115		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #5
115		Speaker Redmond	
115		Yourell	Floor Amendment #5
115		Speaker Redmond	FA #5 adopted
116		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #6
116		Speaker Redmond	
116		Yourell	Supports
116	2:00	Speaker Redmond	Floor Amendment #6 adopted
116		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #7
117		Speaker Redmond	
117		Beatty	Explains #7
117		Speaker Redmond	
117		Yourell	Supports
117		Speaker Redmond	#7 adopted
117		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #8
117		Speaker Redmond	
117		Beatty	Explains Floor Amendment #8
118	2:03	Speaker Redmond	
118		Yourell	Supports
118		Speaker Redmond	
118		Terzich)	Yield?
118)	
118		Beatty)	
119		Speaker Redmond	#8 adopted - Hold on 2nd



31.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	119		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2899-2nd Reading
	119		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	119		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2926
	119		Speaker Redmond	
	119		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
	119		Speaker Redmond	
	119		Terzich	Explains #1
	120	2:08	Speaker Redmond	
	120		Schlickman)	Germane?
	120		Terzich)	
	121		Speaker Redmond)	Rules #1 is germane
	121		Hanahan	Introduction
	121		Speaker Redmond	
	121		Satterthwaite	Inquiry
	121		Speaker Redmond	
	121		Terzich	Continues
	122		Speaker Redmond	
	122		Houlihan, J.)	
	123	2:14	Teraich)	
	123		Speaker Redmond	
	123		Kempiners	Supports
	123		Speaker Redmond	
	123		Mudd	Supports
	123		Speaker Redmond	
	123		Levin)	
	123	2:18	Terzich)	
	123		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
32.			
124		Conti)	
124)	
124		Terzich)	
124		Speaker Redmond	
124		Schneider)	
125)	
125		Terzich)	
125		Speaker Redmond	
125		Marovitz)	
126)	
126		Terzich)	
126	2:22	Speaker Redmond	
126		Terzich .	Referred to Huff
126		Speaker Redmond	
126		Ewell)	
126)	
126		Terzich)	Moves adoption
126		Speaker Redmond	
126		Madison	
127		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted-3rd Read.
127		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2916-2nd--Read 2nd prev. Floor Amendment #3
127		Speaker Redmond	
127		Deuster	
128		Speaker Redmond	
128		Schneider)	
128)	
128	2:29	Deuster)	
128		Speaker Redmond	
128		McClain)	
129)	
129		Deuster)	
129		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
33.			
129		Deuster	To close
129		Speaker Redmond	Am #3 adopted
129		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #4
129		Stiehl	
130		Speaker Redmond	
130		Lechowicz)	
130		Stiehl)	
130		Speaker Redmond	
131		Hoffman)	
131		Stiehl)	Take out of record?
131		Speaker Redmond)	
131		Deuster)	Explains #5
131		Speaker Redmond	
131		Deuster	Moves to table #1
132		Speaker Redmond	#1 tabled
132		Totten	Inquiry
132		Speaker Redmond	
132	2:38	Deuster	
132		Speaker Redmond	
132		Kane	
132		Speaker Redmond	
132		Clerk O'Brien	Clarifies
132		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
132		Lucco	Announcement
133		Speaker Redmond	
133		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2927-2nd-AM #1 adopted
133		Speaker Redmond	



34. <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
133		Clerk O'Brien	HB-2941-2nd-R-
133		Speaker Redmond	.
133		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
133		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
133		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2945-2nd R-No C.A.
133		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
133		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2945-2nd R-No C.A.
134		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
134		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2946-2nd R-No. C.A.
134		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
134		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2947-2nd R-No C.A.
134		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
134		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2949-2nd R-Am 1 & 2 adopted
134		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
134		Contin	Question
135		Speaker Redmond	
135		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2950
135	1:40	Speaker Redmond	
135		Davis, C.	
135		Speaker Redmond	Return 2944 to 2nd Hold 2950
135		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2968-2nd R-No C.A.
135		Speaker Redmond	.
135		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
135		Speaker Redmond	
135		Bradley	
135		Speaker Redmond	
136		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2984-2nd R



35. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
136		Speaker Redmond	
136		Cunningham	Defers to Kent
136		Speaker Redmond	
136		Bartulis	
136		Speaker Redmond	
136		Schlickman)	
)	
137		Kent)	
137	2:50	Speaker Redmond	
137		Matijevich	Opposes motion to table
138		Speaker Redmond	
138		Bartulis	"
138		Speaker Redmond	
138		Winchester	"
138		Speaker Redmond	
138		Kent	"
139		Speaker Redmond	
139		Telcser	"
140		Speaker Redmond	
140		Madigan	Opposition
140		Speaker Redmond	
140		Davis, C.	Announcement
140		Speaker Redmond	
140		Ryan	Supports motion to table
141		Speaker Redmond	
141		Schneider	Supports
142		Sharp)	
)	
143		Kent)	



<u>36.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
143		Speaker Redmond	
143		Geo-Karis	Moves previous question
143		Speaker Redmond	
143	3:05	Kent	To close-yields to Winchester
143		Winchester	
143		Speaker Redmond	
143		Madison	Point of information
144		Speaker Redmond	Motion to table carries
144		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3 tabled
144		Winchester	Amendment #4
144		Speaker Redmond	
144, 145		Lechowicz)	
)	
146		Winchester)	
146		Speaker Redmond	
146		Tipsword	Amendment distributed?
146		Speaker Redmond	
147		Tipsword)	
)	
147		Winchester)	
147		Speaker Redmond	
147		Simms)	Moves previous question
)	
147		Speaker Redmond)	
147		Tipsword)	
)	
147		Winchester)	
148		Speaker Redmond	
148		Matijevich)	
)	
148		Winchester)	
148		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
37.			
148	3:18	Kent	
148		Speaker Redmond	
148		Keats	
149		Speaker Redmond	
149		Matijevich	
149		Speaker Redmond	
149		Simms)	Point of order
)	
149		Speaker Redmond)	
149		Leverenz	
150		Speaker Redmond	
150		Matijevich	
150		Speaker Redmond	
151		Leverenz)	
)	
151		Winchester)	
151		Speaker Redmond	
151		McAuliffe	
151		Speaker Redmond	
152		Winchester	To close-leave table #4
152		Speaker Redmond	Tabled
153		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5
153		Speaker Redmond	
153		Giorgi	Responds to Keats
153		Speaker Redmond	
153	3:30	Keats	Point of personal privilege
153		Speaker Redmond	
153		Matijevich	Amendment #5 withdraw it
153		Clerk O'Brien	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
38.			
154		Speaker Redmond	Withdrawn. 3rd Reading
155		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2985-2nd-Am #1,2 adopted
155		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
155		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2987-2nd-Am 1,2 adopted
155		Speaker Redmond	
155		Jones, J.D.	Motion to table #2
156		Speaker Redmond	
156		Lechowicz	
156		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
157		Clerk O'Brien	No further Amendments
157		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
157		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2988-2nd-Am 1 adpted Comm.
157		Speaker Redmond	
157		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
158		Speaker Redmond	
158		Flinn	
158		Speaker Redmond	
158	3:42	Hanahan	
159		Speaker Redmond	
159		Steele, E.G.	Oppose
160		Speaker Redmond	
160		Ryan	Introduces Bill Scott
160		Speaker Redmond	
160		Younge	Oppose
161		Speaker Redmond	
161		Byers	
161		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
39.			
161,162		Tipsword	
162		Speaker Redmond	
163		Johnson	Moves previous question
163		Speaker Redmond	
163		Leverenz	To close
163		Speaker Redmond	
164		Lucco	Explains vote
164		Speaker Redmond	
164		Matijevich	Explains vote
164		Speaker Redmond	
164		Skinner	
165		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails-Am #2 lost-3rd R
165	3:57	Clerk O'Brien	HB 1357-2nd R-Am #12
165		Ewing	
166		Speaker Redmond	
166		Rigney	
166		Speaker Redmond	
166		Geo-Karis)	
167		Ewing)	
168		Speaker Redmond	
168		Robinson	
168		Speaker Redmond	
168		Ewing	Objects
168		Speaker Redmond	
168		Robinson	Continues
168		Speaker Redmond	
168		Ewing	Objects



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
40.			
168		Speaker Redmond	
168		Robinson	Continues
169		Speaker Redmond	
169		Houlihan, J.	Moves previous question
169		Speaker Redmond	
169		Ewing	To close
169		Speaker Redmond	
169		Bradley	Explains vote
170		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #12 fails
170		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #13
170		Speaker Redmond	
170	4:10	Houlihan, J.	Objects to reading Am #13
170		Speaker Redmond)	
171		Ewing)	
171		Speaker Redmond	
171		Mudd	
171		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
171		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1803-2nd-AM 1 & 2 adpt Com
171		Speaker Redmond	
171		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #13
171		Speaker Redmond	
172		McCourt	Moves to table
172		Speaker Redmond	Tabled
172		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
172		Speaker Redmond	
172		McCourt	
172		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
41.			
172		Stuffle	Opposes Amendment #4
173		Speaker Redmond	
173	4:17	McLendon	Opposes Amendment #4
173		Speaker Redmond	
173		Terzich	Opposes
174		Speaker Redmond	
174		McCourt	
174		Speaker Redmond	
175		Wolf)	Questions to Terzich
175) Terzich)	
175		Speaker Redmond	
175		Stuffle)	Responds to Wolf
175) Wolf)	
176		Speaker Redmond	
176		McCourt	To close
176		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails-3rd Reading
176		Clerk O'Brien	Pension Note, etc HB 1803
176		Speaker Redmond	
176		Skinner	
176		Speaker Redmond	
176		Stuffle	
177		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
177		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2995-2nd-AM #1 adptd in Com.
177		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
177		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3002-2nd-Am #1 adpt Comm.
177		Speaker Redmond	
177		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2



<u>42.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
177		Skinner	
177	4:30	Houlihan, D.	Germaneness questioned #1
177		Speaker Redmond	
177		Houlihan, D.	Withdraws request
177		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted
178		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #3
178		Speaker Redmond	
178		Yorell	Not distributed
178		Speaker Redmond	Hold on 2nd
178		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3004-2nd-AM #1 adoptd Com
178		Speaker Redmond	
178		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
178		Speaker Redmond	
178		Kempiners	
178		Speaker Redmond	Am #2 adopted-3rd Reading
179		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3009-2nd-No C.A.
179		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
179		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3010-2nd-AM #1 adptd Comm.
179		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
179		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3014-2nd-No C.A.
179		Speaker Redmond	
179		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
179		Speaker Redmond	
179	4:35	Brady	
179		Speaker Redmond	Am #1 adopted-3rd Reading
179		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3015-2nd-No C.A.
180		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
43.			
180		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3016-2nd-No C.A.
180		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
180		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3019-2nd-No C.A.
180		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
180		Clerk O'Brien	HB-3023
180		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
180		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
180		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
180		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3027-2nd R-No C.A.
180		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
180		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3038-2nd R-No C.A.
180		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
181		Clerk O'Brien	-HB-3040
181		Speaker Redmond	
181		Clerk O'Brien	
181		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3023-2nd-Am #1 adpdt Com Floor Amendment #2
181		Speaker Redmond	
181		Farley	
181	4:38	Speaker Redmond	Am #2 adopted-3rd Reading
181		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3042-2nd-No C.A.
182		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
182		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3050-2nd-No C.A.
182		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
182		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3053-2nd-AM.1 adpdt in Com
182		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
182		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3054-2nd-No C.A.



44.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
182		Speaker Redmond	
182		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
182		Lucco	
183		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted
183		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3059-2nd-No C.A.
183		Speaker Redmond	
183		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
183		Speaker Redmond	
183		Robinson	Withdraws #1
183		Speaker Redmond	Withdrawn. 3rd Reading
183		Clerk O'Brien	HB-3079
183		Speaker Redmond	
183		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
183		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
184		Polk	Mr. Speaker
184		Speaker Redmond	HB 3079-Am #2
184	4:43	Polk	
184		Speaker Redmond	
184		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1 adopted in Comm. Amendment #2
184		Speaker Redmond	
184		Polk	
184		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted-3rd Reading
184		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3083-2nd-No C.A.
184		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
184		Clerk O'Brien	HB-3088
185		Speaker Redmond	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
185		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
185		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
185		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3097-2nd-No C.A.
185		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
185		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3054-fiscal note filed
185		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
185		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3108-2nd-No C.A.
185		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
185		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3112-2nd-No C.A.
185		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
185		Ryan	Question-fiscal note
185		Clerk O'Brien	
185		Speaker Redmond	
185		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3113-2nd-No C.A.
186		Speaker Redmond	
186	4:48	Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
186		Speaker Redmond	
186		Yourell	
186		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted-3rd Reading
186		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3118-2nd-No C.A.
186		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
186		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3119-2nd-No C.A.
186		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
186		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3129-2nd-No C.A.
187		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
187		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3134=2nd-Am #1 adpdt Comm.
187		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
46.			
187		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
187		Speaker Redmond	
187		Bartulis	
187		Speaker Redmond	
187		Lechowicz	Question germaneness & number of votes required
188		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
188		Lechowicz	
188		Speaker Redmond	
188		Chapman	Announcement
188		Speaker Redmond	
188	4:55	Skinner)	
)	
188		Chapman)	
189		Speaker Redmond	
189		Houlihan, J.	Announcement. Leave to suspend rules
189		Speaker Redmond	
189		Birchler	Leave to suspend rule HB3288
189		Speaker Redmond	
189		Birchler)	
)	
189		Ryan)	No objections
)	
189		Speaker Redmond)	
189		Capparelli	Announcement
189		Speaker Redmond	Am #2 germane-HB 3134
189		Lechowicz)	Oppose Amendment
)	
190		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
190		Skinner)	
)	
90		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
47.			
191		Totten	
191		Speaker Redmond	
191		Holewinski	
191	5:02	Speaker Redmond	Amendment fails-3rd Reading
191		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from Senate
191		Speaker Redmond	
191		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bills 1st Reading
192		Speaker Redmond	
192		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1st Reading
192		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
192		Madigan)	
192		Madigan	Moves to adjourn 10 a.m. Friday
192		Speaker Redmond	

