

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Someone once wrote: Every morning lean thine arms upon the windowsill of Heaven, then with the vision in thine heart, turn to meet the day. Let us pray, Almighty God, Everlasting Father of all mankind, Who art the Author of all wisdom, and the giver of all good things, we beseech Thee to hear us as we call upon Thee this day. Visit us, O God, each and every morning so that we may be thus directed in all our doings to that which is well-pleasing in Thy sight. Thus, being so guided, will be equipped to meet our tasks and services as Members of the Illinois House of Representatives; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Call for attendance. Mr. Clerk. Do you have anything, Mr. Clerk? Do you have any messages? Representative Geo-Karis, are you seeking recognition? Now you gotta make up your mind."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I can't get on. As much as I'm Oh! Now I'm on, but the light is off I guess."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you want to use the phone or the microphone, or both?"

Geo-Karis: "It all depends....which you prefer. Hello....."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Governor by Zale Glauberman, Director of Legislative Affairs: To the Honorable Members of the House, Eightieth General Assembly. Mr. Speaker, the Governor directs me to present the House and Senate of the Eightieth General Assembly his State of the State Message. Respectfully submitted, Zale Glauberman."

Speaker Redmond: "Introduction and First Reading. Why don't the lights light?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2568, Lucco, a Bill for an Act



authorizing the Capital Development Board to grant a sewer easement, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2569, Peggy Smith Martin, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2570, Lechowicz, et al., a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2571, Lechowicz, et al., a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2572, Roman Kosinski, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2573, Roman Kosinski, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2574, Roman Kosinski, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to the acquisition, possession and transfer of firearms and firearm ammunition, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan seek recognition?"

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to have the records show that Representatives Tuerk and Porter are absent due to illness. And also to request a Republican Conference, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the records show that Representative Brandt is excused because of illness; and that Houlihan is excused?"

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, the record will so show."

Madigan: "Representative Daniel Houlihan."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objections the record will so show. Introduction, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2575, Lechowicz, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2576, Lechowicz, a Bill for an Act relating to the payment of delinquent taxes assessed against the corpus of a land trust, First Reading of the Bill."



House Bill 2577, Lechowicz, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2578, Lechowicz, a Bill for an Act in relation to the commercial relocation or removal of trespassing vehicles from private property, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2579, Matejek, a Bill for an Act to provide for the recovery and sale of waste paper from certain state buildings, First Reading of the Bill. Introductions, House Bill 2580, Schisler, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Conservation, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2581, Redmond, et al., a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to compensation of Members of the General Assembly, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms, do you seek recognition?"

Simms: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentleman order."

Simms: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of a motion. This motion is being made with the agreement of both sides of the aisle, with the Leadership of both the Democratic and the Republican Parties, to suspend Rule 63 (a) and 68 (e) in reference to House Bill 363...to take from the table."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Representative Simms has moved that we suspend two rules in order that House Bill 363 may be taken from the table and put on the Order of Concurrence. All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. It requires 107 votes. Representative Giorgi, do you seek recognition?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, to support my colleague, Representative Simms in the movement of these motions, it's going to apply to the Rockford School District only. It allows them to conduct their school election on March 21, primary day and save them \$50,000. It's a one-shot deal."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz."



Lechowicz: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker, I know that the noise level is quite high. As was pointed out by Representative Simms and Representative Giorgi, this will make a provision in Winnebago County to save that county \$50,000 by making the change and having the election concur with the primary elections on March 21, in lieu of four weeks later than that date. I think it's a good Bill and deserves the support of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 'aye', and one 'no'. The Gentleman's motion prevails and House Bill 363 is taken from the table and put on the Order of Concurrence. Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do not concur with Senate Amendment to House Bill 363."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House do not concur in Senate Amendment to House Bill 363. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment to House Bill 363. Mr. Clerk, do you have an announcement?"

Clerk O'Brien: "May I have the attention of the Members, especially those Members who flew to Springfield. All Members who flew to Springfield, either commercial or state plane, will you please notify the Speaker's Office. This is the only way the Comptroller can reimburse you. All Members who flew to Springfield either commercial or state plane please notify the Speaker's Office. This is the only^{way}/the Comptroller can reimburse you. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn, is the gentleman from the University of San Francisco here today?"

Dunn: "I don't know where that is, your Honor, but Representative Phil Collins and I have some information for everybody in



attendance today. There's a sign at my desk which you're all invited to stop over and read right up close....at close range and since Representative Collins, Representative Madigan and Representative Leinenweber are all senior to me, I would defer to anyone of them who wish to make any remarks about the subject uppermost on my mind, before this General Assembly, at this moment. Representative Collins.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins, are you acquainted with the gentleman from the University of San Francisco?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, we never really....we don't recognize the existence of the whole State of California, much less the City of San Francisco. But I will say that a week ago I was in the City of Dallas, Texas, and saw the Notre Dame Fighting Irish assume the title of number one in this country in football. Come February 22, in South Bend, when the Irish meets the University....Marquette University, we then will ascend to the number one position in basketball also."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins, is there a difference between winning number one in a tournament or having it voted?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, if there's any doubt as to whether we won it or not I suggest that....I refer you to the University of Texas."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, do you seek recognition?"

Ryan: "...Not that I know of, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Caucus or anything like that there?"

Ryan: "Yes, I announced that earlier. I thought you had that. We would like to have a conference, Mr. Speaker. Where is the room?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, this is to announce that there will also be a Democratic Conference. I would suggest that the



Democrats meet in Room 114 and the Republicans meet in Room 118."

Speaker Redmond: "Room 118 is the Republicans, 114 is the Democrats. Representative....We'll turn the Chair over to Representative Madigan....er...Bradley. Pardon me."

Bradley: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. If we could have some order? If we could have some order in the House? As long as we're talking about number one and who's first et cetera. I think it's appropriate at this time that we bring the number one Junior Miss of Illinois before the Illinois General Assembly. She happens to be from the 44th District, which is represented by, of course, Lauer and Deavers and myself. Carla Lemke became the Illinois Junior Miss last December, is presently a freshman at Illinois State University. She wanted to come down and see the operations of the House and I would invite her, if we could have your attention, to say a few words to the Members of the General Assembly, Carla."

Carla Lemke "Junior Miss": "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House and Representative Bradley and Lauer, I'd like to thank you on behalf of the Illinois Junior Miss Academic Scholarship Program for inviting me to come down today and tell you a little bit about what we're all about. Junior Miss is not a beauty pageant. It is a scholarship program, an academic scholarship program designed to recognize and to reward excellence among young people today. We're judged not on a pretty face or a smile, but rather... the achievements of four years of high school and later on. It's kinda hard to explain to a group of people who are not really aware of what Junior Miss is all about exactly what it is about. Our goals are rather intangible and they're hard to explain. But perhaps if you'd allow me to be a bit unconventional today I'd like for you to sit back and to relax and to picture with me a girl; she can be any girl. She can be tall or short, black or white, as



long as she is a high school senior and a resident of the State of Illinois and not to exceed the age of nineteen. I'm sure you all know a girl like her. She's involved. She may be involved in a lot of activities at school. She may be a checker girl at the local grocery store. She may be your best friend's daughter or perhaps your niece. This girl is special because she is involved. I'm speaking to you today not as a Junior Miss but as one who has grown to know and to love the program which we're involved in. Now picture if....picture with me, if you will, a girl; and I'm sure you all know one, two....maybe several like her. She's different because she is young and she is eager and she is concerned. Picture a girl who's been in choir in school, the church choir, the school band, the orchestra, the school plays. Perhaps she's involved in student government. Maybe she's on the athletic team in the winter time, the track team in the summer. She is in the National Honor Society. She is a National Merit Semifinalist and she is an Illinois State Scholar. That may...may sound impossible but what she is is everything you could want in your own daughter. What I have described is a Junior Miss. A Junior Miss is a scholarship program which offers a lot of money to a lot of girls to help them get through school. On the national level, last year, we gave \$75,000 in cash scholarships. On the state level this year we are very pleased to give \$100,000. Not just in cash scholarships but in scholarships to specific schools and prizes. Schools in Alabama, in Missouri and most recently, one we're very pleased, Roosevelt College, in Chicago, which has offered us five full scholarships for the next several years for the girls who come in in the top five of the state competition. Junior Miss is something that we believe in strongly because we're searching for the hope and the promise in girls of tomorrow. I guess the best way to describe Junior Miss to all of you



who may not understand it, is through a saying by Ralph Waldo Emerson which goes something like this, 'Though he searched the world over to find beauty; unless we carry it within ourselves we will find it not.' And to me and to all of us who know and love Junior Miss, that's what it's all about. Because we're searching for promise for integrity, for pride, for concern for other individuals; in essence, it's a beauty that's not skin-deep. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Hall: "House Resolution 579, Madigan; 580, Kelly; 582, Terzich; 585, Kelly; 586, Kelly; 587, Kelly; 588, Kelly; 589, Kelly; 590, McClain; 591, McClain; 592, McClain; 593, Geo-Karis; 594, Dawson; 595, Dawson; 596, Mulcahey; 597, Matijevich; 598, Madigan; 599, Schlickman; 601, Richmond-Harris; 603, Marovitz; House Joint Resolution 65, Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 579 by Madigan talks about a Sylvia Catherine who celebrated her 70th birthday; 580 by Kelly honors Associate Judge Henry X. Dietch of Cook County; 581 by Kelly, honors Bennett Burgoon; 582 by Terzich talks about Michael 'Matches' Wojciechowicz; 585 by Kelly notes the Aunt Martha's Youth Center in Park Forest; 586 by Kelly talks about Father Robert Ruffie, 587 by Kelly notes Ernest Colbert of Midlothian; 588 by Kelly, the same about Mr. Wilbur E. Ellis; 589 by Kelly talks about Mr. and Mrs. Charles Yucuis; 590 by McClain talks about the Chief of the Federal Aviation Administration of Quincy, Illinois; 591 notes the record of Quincy College Soccer Championship Team; 592 by McClain talks about Lois Miller of Adams County; 593 by Geo-Karis denotes the 'All Lake County Football Team'; 594 by Dawson talks about Joe and Josephine Manes; 595 by Dawson talks about the retiring



detective of Chicago; 596 by Mulcahey notes the elevator, the grain elevator disaster; 597 by Matijevich talks about the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Waukegan, Illinois; 598 by Madigan notes the Fighting Irish of the University of Notre Dame 'Shook Down the Thunder from the Sky' over Texas when Notre Dame smeared them New Year's Day;"

Collins: "Wait a minute, we want that read."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion?"

Giorgi: "The Irish are insisting that we read 598 in its entirety but I don't want to read it, I'm Italian. 599 by Schlickman...."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any objections to that Resolution, is that Agreed?"

Giorgi: "There is some objection...Some of the Texans don't care about it. Are you going to read it, Jack? ...599 by Schlickman talks about.... Frank Allen, Administrator of the Niles Public Library; 601 notes that one of our Colleagues, Richard O. Hart has reached the half century milestone and is retiring from the House, this is by Harris and Richmond; 603 by Marovitz notes the ninetieth birthday of Bess Weinstein; House Joint Resolution 65 by Yourell talks about the dangerous dumping of PCBs, radio active material; and 604 talks about Philip J. Simborg Real Estate Brokerage and Development Company. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Resolutions are adopted. Let the record show that Representative Walsh is in the chamber. Mr. Clerk, what's.....any further Resolutions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 583, Keats; 600, Keats; 602, Levin-Gaines; House Joint Resolution 66, Mulcahey-Mudd."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. Introduction and First Reading. Representative Madigan, did you move that



we stand in recess until ...and in the meantime the Clerk can read the Bills. Is that correct? What room is the Democratic Conference?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the Democratic Conference will be in Room 114 and the Republican Conference is in Room 118. The House will stand in recess subject to the call of the Chair. We would request all Members to return to the chamber immediately after their caucus, especially Mr. Jaffe."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll be in Perfunctory Session for ten minutes. The motion to recess...all in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. The House now.. Perfunctory, ...and then stand in recess. Republicans in 118 and the Democrats in 114."

Clerk O'Brien: "Introduction and First Reading. House Bill 2582, Taylor, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Court of Claims, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2583, Ryan et al., a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Person's Property Tax Relief Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2584, Robinson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Capital Development Bond Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2585, Robinson, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2586, Levin-Gaines, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Senior Citizen's Study Committee, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2587, Pechous, a Bill for an Act in relation to the collection practices of health care providers, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2588, Pechous, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to mechanics' liens, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2589, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to fire protection districts, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2590, Flinn, a Bill for



an Act to make an appropriation to the St. Louis Metropolitan Airport Authority, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2591, Flinn, a Bill for an Act to amend a Section of the Transportation Board Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2592, Hanahan, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unemployment Insurance Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2593, Hanahan, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Minimum Wage Law, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2594, Hanahan, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Minimum Wage Law, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2595, Brady, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the State Board of Education for the disbursement of certain monies, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2596, Brady, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2597, Williams-Kelly, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2598, Jack Davis-Lynn Martin, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2599, Polk, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2600, Sandquist, a Bill for an Act to repeal Sections of an Act relating to Alcoholic Liquors, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2601, Wikoff, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act authorizing certain counties to levy an additional tax for housing of county offices and departments, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2602, Wikoff, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to authorize certain counties to incur indebtedness and issue bonds for the construction of county jails and Sheriff's residences, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2603, Wikoff, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to authorize counties of population 200,000 or more but less than 1,000,000 to issue bonds for the



construction, reconstruction and remodeling of court houses, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2604, Leinenweber, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2605, Levin-Brady, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act, First Reading of the Bill.introductions, the House now stands in recess for conferences."

Speaker Redmond: "Is the representative from the Secretary of State's Office here, Jean Flynn? Representative Willer is paging her..

RECESS.....

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Representative Geo-Karis, do you seek recognition? On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 2481, Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2481 is a supplemental appropriation for the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development. If you will recall, the Bill went out of the House totalling \$35,000,000 and in the Senate they added an additional \$38,000,000 for a total of \$74,000,000....now. The Bill was brought up at 4 o'clock the day before Thanksgiving and we did not have a quorum on the floor so it was postponed until now. I would...I would ask the House to 'do concur' on Senate Amendment 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 15 and 16. And I would also like to have leave to hear all 12 Amendments on one Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to have all of the Amendments, which he recited, considered on one Roll Call? Objection has been raised. First motion would be with respect....Representative Schlickman, is there any combination possible or do you want them one by one?"

Schlickman: "One by one."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. First motion is with respect to Senate



Amendment #1. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Senate Amendment #1, Mr. Speaker, deletes \$62,360 for the Illinois Occupational Information Coordinating Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2481. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 125 'aye' and no 'nay' and the motion carries and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2481. Senate Bill.....er...Amendment #2. Amendment 2, yeah. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Senate Amendment #2 deletes \$31,495 for inter-agency coordinating grant to BED."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 2481. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye' and 11 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 2481. Senate Amendment 3. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Amendment 3 is \$191,875 for temporary personnel for the Crisis Intervention Program. It also adds the words 'qualified individuals' to those who can receive crisis intervention grants."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Winchester: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Schlickman: "Looking at the Amendment itself, it makes reference to the Emergency Energy Conservation Program. Could you describe which Community Action Agencies will benefit by this appropriation?"



Winchester: "I have been informed, Representative, that all 24 will benefit."

Schlickman: "All 24 of what?"

Winchester: "All 24 Community Action Programs...or Agencies."

Schlickman: "These are private agencies, aren't they?"

Winchester: "That is correct."

Schlickman: "Has money been appropriated previously, by the General Assembly, for the operation of these private agencies? "

Winchester: "Yes it has."

Schlickman: "Do you know how much, for the last fiscal year, and what the difference is between then and now?"

Winchester: "Well, in the last fiscal year it was \$13,000,000."

Schlickman: "What is the difference?"

Winchester: "It just breaks out \$191,000 from that \$13,000,000 for administration of the program. The extra help that is provided for in the Amendment...it is to administer the program. That is my answer, Representative."

Schlickman: "May I address myself to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we have in this Amendment, an appropriation of approximately \$17,000,000 for grants to private agencies. Included within that appropriation is the sum of \$163,895 for quote 'extra help', end of quote. I don't think, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there's been a justification for this appropriation. And I know, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, from a previous appropriation to these Community Action Agencies, there has not been sufficient benefit received by persons who are in need. I think, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's an inappropriate vehicle and furthermore is one that has not been effective. In light of the Governor's concern about the fiscal state of the state, which is shared I am sure by every Member of this General Assembly, I think



we ought to strike out, for fiscal responsibility, and vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Bradley, are you seeking recog... Representative Satterthwaite....er....Bradley, pardon me. I didn't see you there. I guess it's because somebody was standing in front of you....against the rules."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, if I might ask a question of the Sponsor of the Concurrence Motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Bradley: "I'm looking at the Analysis of the Bill and also the ...the Amendment and the Analysis of the Bill that I have says that this is the appropriation of various federal funds. Are these all federal funds that we are dealing with?"

Winchester: "That is correct, Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Is that true for all of the Amendments as we go through them, they are all federal funds?"

Winchester: "That is incorrect."

Bradley: "Then would you do me a favor? As you go through, would you point out which ones are the federal funds and which ones are not federal funds and which ones are state funds? Would you do that, please?"

Winchester: "Representative Bradley...."

Bradley: "As you go through one at a time."

Winchester: "...we'll do that for you, but for your benefit right now, one through five are federal. But I will point them out as I go through, but one through five are federal."

Bradley: "Then designate the rest of them if they are federal or state funds, would you please?"

Winchester: "After five?"

Bradley: "Yes."

Winchester: "You are making it difficult for me, but I will do it for you."

Bradley: "We don't want to make it too difficult."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?.....

Representative, I'm confused. The staff analysis indicates that this Amendment is for temporary personnel to operate the Crisis Intervention Program. Does that mean the program that was terminated last fall to provide the additional monies for utility payments or is this talking about the weatherization program?"

Winchester: "Representative, this is the new Amendment for the ...for the Crisis Intervention payment."

Satterthwaite: "Well, I'm confused. By Crisis Intervention, we mean the utility payment?"

Winchester: "Yes, that is correct."

Satterthwaite: "For what period are we talking about? Are we talking about the program for last winter or for this coming winter?"

Winchester: "Last winter's program started in August. This year's winter program starts in February."

Satterthwaite: "But, what I'm asking is, will this money go back to pay for temporary personnel who were hired for the previous program or is this to hire people for a future program?"

Winchester: "There were no persons hired for the previous program. This is for the new program."

Satterthwaite: "If we were able to execute one year's program without additional personnel, why is it necessary for the coming year to hire additional personnel?"

Winchester: "The answer is because it was practically impossible to run last year's program without administrative assistance and that's why we are asking for ...for this type of assistance now."

Satterthwaite: "Well it seems to me it would be backwards to ask for it for a second year. We've already set the mechanism in order. The community action agencies have been through this once. The problems should have been



solved at that point. It seems to me to be foolish to be spending administrative money now for a program that's already been in operation...and should be functioning."

Winchester: "Representative, apparently no one, last year, was allowed to take any money out of the funds that were appropriated to them for administration. This is the first year that administrative funds are being deducted."

Satterthwaite: "This then would be administrative funds that would be distributed to the community action agencies for administrative purposes, or in the Office of Manpower?"

Winchester: "It is for the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development. The local agencies will be getting their own money."

Satterthwaite: "This is not 'pass through' money to the community action agencies?"

Winchester: "No. They get their own money."

Satterthwaite: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Mr. Speaker, ...the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Anderson: "Representative, this last program that we've just been through, with the Crisis Intervention, where each person that was at 125 percent of poverty, was granted a \$250 grant to help with their fuel bills, that was supposed to end August 31 and on August 23 it was extended until September 23. Then, with the federal guidelines, that money was supposed to have been distributed in one month, or the program should have been finished by October 23. As late as last week there was some grants that still weren't paid. Now will this additional money help to keep the payments in line with the federal guidelines? Because what I fear is any money that wasn't used by April 1 of this \$200 that was given to the persons, in the name of the fuel companies, will revert back. And I think some of that money is going to revert back. Now will this



money speedup those payments so that the well-deserving people will get full use of this money?"

Winchester: "In answer to your question, Representative Anderson, yes, it will. Apparently no states were given any money for the administrative salaries. Now that we will have the administrative salaries, then your problem will be answered."

Anderson: "But is there any teeth written into this particular regulation along with this money that will mandate them to get this program on the road in a month instead of three months down the road and we still haven't acted?"

Winchester: "Yes, I have been informed, by the Director, that there is those provisions."

Anderson: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will. Would you please give the Gentleman order? The noise level is getting a little bit high."

Madison: "Representative Winchester, the bottom half of Amendment 3 that relates to page five of the Bill; could you explain exactly what is happening here? Where you are inserting between lines four and five of page five."

Winchester: "All right. Can you hold just a second, Representative? Hold it just a second."

Madison: "Thank you."

Winchester: "I assume that you are talking about the words 'qualified individuals'. That..."

Madison: "No. I'm talking about line nine of the Amendment."

Winchester: "I'm sorry, Representative, but I do not have the copy of the Amendment with me here. I'm just trying to do..."

Madison: "The problem, Representative Winchester, is that this says, on page five, by inserting between lines four and five, the following.... It adds four line items total \$191,000."



Winchester: "All right. Now this may not be the answer but this is the total appropriation to administer this particular program as outlined in Senate Amendment #3.

Madison: "Okay. The problem is, Representative, I think that this Amendment is technically deficient because it adds four additional line items to a category in the Bill without changing the amount of total. Now if this were to go through like it is you're going to have \$191,000 added in line items and no change in the total. I don't know how that's going to effect the Comptroller."

Winchester: "I am informed by our staff that the Comptroller goes by the line items and not by the total."

Madison: "Fine. Okay. Thank you."

Winchester: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "I.....Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I haven't got time to read this Bill, I've been trying to race through it as fast as I can while they're talking on it. I can't find the provisions and the objections that I raised the day before Thanksgiving. Where there's \$108,000Mr. Speaker, can I have a little attention, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order."

Conti: "This is the Bill that had \$108,000 in it....acting like the lifeline Bill. Where anyone who had any complaint against the rates would be able to go out and hire their own attorney...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow, for what purpose do you arise? Darrow? Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask that the Speaker speak to the Amendment. I believe we're on Amendment #3. He is going off on some tangent about the Bill itself. I think we should first consider these Amendments then if he wants to speak against the Bill he'll have plenty of time."



Speaker Redmond: "Please confine your remarks to the Amendment, Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman is absolutely right. We were downstairs having coffee and I didn't know we were in Session. The speaker wasn't on. I don't even know where you're at. That's the reason. I just walked into the room and I saw the Bill number. I thought you were voting on the Bill. I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Anything further? Representative Winchester to close."

Winchester: "Well, I would just simply ask for a favorable vote on Senate Amendment #3, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 2481. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'."

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, apparently the lights on the back row are not working."

Speaker Redmond: "They are on the board I understand."

Winchester: "Well, I was afraid I wasn't going to get to vote for my Amendment, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 110 'aye' and 19 'no'. The motion prevails and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2481. Amendment #4." "Didn't you show up on the board there? Yeah. It's green on the board, Representative Catania. On the board. Representative Winchester. Amendment #4."

Winchester: "Amendment #4, Mr. Speaker, is also federal monies. It adds \$27,860 for a grant to the Illinois Department of Personnel for job monitoring."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Would the Speaker yield for a question?"

Winchester: "Yes."

Gaines: "Exactly what does this entail? What does it monitor?"

Winchester: "It would allow the Department of Personnel to



assist the state agencies...in, I assume, job interviewing and things like that for public servant employees under the CETA guidelines."

Gaines: "How many employees will this add to the payroll?"

Winchester: "One and a half."

Gaines: "What's the half?"

Winchester: "...!Half the employees time in the model program' I really don't know what that means, Charlie, but I small employers program."

Gaines: "Representative Catania wants to know if it's a pregnant woman."

Winchester: "It's okay, Charlie."

Gaines: "...Ah...But what do they do? What can one person do to monitor a program....or half a person?"

Winchester: "Well, they are assisting the state agencies in meeting their affirmative action goals, Charlie..... is what they are doing."

Gaines: "Does this go in the personnel depar...shouldn't that go into thethe Department of Equal Employment Opportunities rather than the Personnel Department?"

Winchester: "It's under the Model Employer's Program in the Department of Personnel."

Gaines: "All right then."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 4 to House Bill 2481. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 124 'ayes' and 3 'no' and the motion prevails and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 4 to House Bill 2481. Amendment #5. Representative Winchester. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. Senate Amendment #5 is also federal monies. It brakes out \$287,554 in operations and 7.5 million in grants for East St. Louis.



There is no net dollar change in the total."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to remind the Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that when this Bill did leave the House of Representatives before, I had said that I wanted the agency to cooperate with the Democratic Staff of the Appropriations Committee. I know that we can't stop this legislation in one day's time. But I'd like to again give this warning to the Members of the House of Representatives. You know we talked about scandals in the nursing home industry and health care and all kinds of scandals. I believe that probably the greatest scandal that we'll ever have will be in the CETA program. I want to tell the Members of the House of Representatives that I think that the State of Illinois and especially having a Governor who tells the people all over the State of Illinois that he's one who believes in accountability, that we ought to stand firmly...that any program ought to have accountability. The CETA program and the operation of the Governor's Office and CETA's funds has been one that is so complex and so confusing I don't think anybody...anybody can make heads or tails out of it. It is a classic case of where one can pass the buck. We have been held in limbo because of the matter of the difficulty of the East St. Louis CETA program. The difficulty of the Federal Government saying whether the prime sponsorship should be in St. Clair County or whether the State of Illinois should take over that sponsorship. There has been no decision. There has been indecision. I can't lay all of the blame on the Governor's Office and Director Murray. But I can say, from where I stand as Chairman of the Appropriations Committee that heard this legislation that we had better....and I think I'm talking not just to conservatives who always talk about accountability, I'm



talking about everybody, because we're talking about the employment of people who need jobs. We had better look at accountability. If I had more time I would want this legislation to stay right here so that we could fight and we could tell the Federal Government 'wait a minute, it's not your dollars it's our dollars.' It's everybody's dollars. We don't think it's free money. We want to make sure it's used properly. We want to make sure that it's not used for political purposes which we know it has been. Not byjust by Republicans, but by Democrats too. That's not the purpose of CETA funds. So I want to make this strong warning that we're going to be coming back with this problem in the Session...the budget Session. Everybody hear this now. I warned you. I warned the Governor too....that it's going to come back. It may come back to haunt him. It may be the major issue of the next campaign. So I'd say to him 'be accountable'. Make sure that somebody doesn't pass the buck to each other and say, 'We can't help it, it's the Federal Government's fault.' The Federal Government passes the buck back. I give that as a warning as this legislation passes the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Totten?"

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Winchester: "Yes."

Totten: "Bob, what is the reason for splitting the East St. Louis...? I think Representative Matijevich got part of it but why are we....why are we splitting it the way we are. in this Amendment?"

Winchester: "I've been told, to insure that the money is spent in the East St. Louis area."

Totten: "Who's going to monitor it?"

Winchester: "The Federal Government monitors all prime sponsors, Representative Totten."

Totten: "The state will no longer be involved in monitoring



what's going on down there?"

Winchester: "No. The Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development will....will be the prime sponsor then."

Totten: "Well, I would just hope that when we get these CETA funds...I can't agree with...more with Representative Matijevich that we have a complete report on monitoring this East St. Louis thing, before the Appropriations Committee next year or this year, so that we can try and get a handle on this program."

Speaker Redmond: "Further discussion? Representative Winchester to close. Representative Madison, pardon me."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I reluctantly rise to oppose this Amendment. I oppose it for the following reasons, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment assumes that the City of East St. Louis is going to lose its prime sponsorship of these programs. Now this Amendment is being put together in anticipation of the state being asked to take over these funds. There is no assurance that the state is going to be asked to take over these funds, Mr. Speaker. As a matter of fact, this Amendment will almost guarantee that the City of East St. Louis will lose the prime sponsorship and the state will be asked to take it over. I think that the City of East St. Louis ought to be allowed to remain as the prime sponsor of these programs and, therefore, I am going to oppose this Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "In response, Mr. Speaker, to the Representative's statement. This is a federal decision and a federal decision only. If this money is not made available to the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development and it's a continuation then if, by some chance, the Department of Labor decides that East St. Louis is going to lose the prime sponsorship then there will be no public service programs in East St. Louis. Then what are they going to do



in that area of the state? If they have no monies whatsoever to fund their programs? I think it would be a wise choice, Mr. Speaker, if everyone in this House voted for this money. It will not be spent if the Federal Government does not deem that it is necessary. But for the sake of those people, mostly black people in East St. Louis, then they are going to be without public service employment and other types of programs, senior citizen and whatnot, if we don't have this money available, should the Federal Government decide to take away the prime sponsorship in East St. Louis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti, are you seeking...?"

Conti: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I did agree with my colleague that I might have been out of order, but I understand that this discussion has been going on for quite a bit. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?...Has item (b), on page 2, the \$108,000 Consumer Assistance Services, has that been deleted, or is that still in the Bill? If so, I'd like to address myself to the Bill in its entirety."

Winchester: "Yes, Representative Conti, that was always in the Bill. And it has not been deleted. I think the Amendment was ruled out of order and it is back into the Bill. It is not an Amendment."

Conti: "Then, Mr. Speaker, then I don't think I'm out of order. This is an anonymous Bill. All of these Amendments is the Christmas tree package...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester, for what purpose do you arise?"

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, we are on Senate Amendment #5 and I sympathize with Representative Conti but what he is about to speak, I don't think it pertains to this Amendment and could jeopardize this Amendment, which is totally different from his comments."

Conti: "This is what I'm afraid of, Mr. Speaker, and I don't want to jeopardize this Bill. There's a lot of good things



in this Bill. But as long as this portion of the Bill remains in here.....as long as this portion of the Bill remains, it makes a Bill that was very much needed almost certain to go down in defeat unless something is done about this ...the clarification of all these Christmas tree packages on it. That's all I'm trying to bring out. Now if he wants his Bill to go down, that's different, but what I'm suggesting is that he should come in with separate and total Bills for all of the good parts in this Bill. So let's get all of the Christmas tree packages off this Bill so that we can put through a good Bill. There's a lot of parts in this Bill that I have to vote for, that I want to vote for, but I can't vote for it in its entirety."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 5 to House Bill 2481. That is the motion that is before the House. On that question, those in favor of the Gentleman's motion vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Lechowicz, will you come up here, please? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #5. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Winchester to explain his vote."

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, I'm afraid that people have got Representative Conti's comments confused with the issue of Senate Amendment #5. Now Senate Amendment #5 is important to the East St. Louis area and I hope everyone understands that. Without the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development having that 7.5 million dollars and should the Department of Labor decide that they are going to withdraw the prime sponsorship in East St. Louis, then there will be no programs, no public service programs in that area of the state. Now, Representative



Conti has a legitimate question concerning an item in the Bill, but that's in the Bill, it's not part of this Amendment and I think many Legislators are confusing the issue here. I would appreciate more green votes or the East St. Louis area is going to have some very serious problems in the very near future."

REPRESENTATIVE LECHOWICZ IN CHAIR....

Speaker Lechowicz: "May I point out to the Membership that there are two people seeking recognition....according to the board up here and I would hope that the Membership is informed that the Clerk has taken the Roll on this matter. I will permit the Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge, to explain her vote. Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm voting 'no' on this Amendment because it is a bad Amendment, it will not help the East St. Louis area. The East St. Louis area is in the process of negotiating with the Federal Government on this question and they are working out their differences and so therefore I vote 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes, to explain his vote."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think there has been a great deal of confusion relative to this Amendment, but one of the things to try to clear up some of that confusion is evidently some decisions will be made relative to who will be the prime sponsor in this program. Now, if that is the case and if the state deems that it has a roll in this area, it can have that roll without this Amendment. This Amendment is not necessary under either the amended version of this Bill nor the original version of this Bill, if in fact, the steps take place as has been indicated by the Sponsor in terms of acceptance of this Amendment. But if you want to, in fact, shut out any other applicant for the prime sponsor-



ship...that is what I see involved in this Amendment. Now as I understand, the City of East St. Louis, there has been some indication...that they, that the Federal Government would not designate that city as the prime sponsor. But I further understand that the County of St. Clair has applied and would like to be prime sponsor in this area. If that is the case, what we will be doing in this Amendment, in fact, would be obfuscating the possibility of St. Clair County being the prime sponsor in this program. If that is the case, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't think it's an action that this General Assembly would like to take. Those people in that area should have some input in the decision to whom will be prime sponsor of this important program..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close?"

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The indication here is... without this Amendment, and contrary to the Sponsor, without this Amendment the state could, in fact, still exercise their option to be sponsor, but with this Amendment the state would be the sole one that would have that responsibility. I think it's a bad Amendment. We should oppose it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten. For what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Totten: "An inquiry of the Chair. Is it proper time to change votes? I mean, I've seen the light and this is a good Amendment and I want to change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, to save the time of the House. Since the Speaker did close off the board, I think it would be fair to dump this Roll Call and take a new Roll Call. A number of people have asked for that same provision. So the Clerk will kindly dump that Roll Call and let's have a new Roll Call on Amendment #5. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who



wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's
119 'ayes', 21 'nays', 3 recorded as 'present'. The
Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Amendment #7."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "All right. Amendment...Senate Amendment #7 adds,
and I'll read these individually, most of them, if not
all of them, are General Revenue Funds, some out of
Capital Development Board. Richland County Fair recon-
struction, \$150,000 out of the Ag Premium Fund; The Loop
College Land Acquisition, \$7,500,000...Capital Develop-
ment Board; Triton College, \$6,680,000...CDB; WSIU TV,
\$500,000...CDB; for the probation officers in Cook County
\$95,000; for the probation officers downstate, \$1,000,000
out of General Revenue Funds...er...I'm sorry, \$95,053 out
of General Revenue Funds; the Mazon River, \$1,000,000
General Revenue Fund; and the Kankakee River \$20,000 out
of General Revenue Funds. For a total of \$16,132,717....
Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Will,
Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Will the Gentleman yield, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Leinenweber: "Are these all projects which were defeated
during the Regular Session?"

Winchester: "As I understand it, these were projects that
were part of House Bill 2470...House Bill 2470 which was
killed in the Senate...and these items were added to my
Bill in the form of Amendment #7."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, just briefly, I didn't think
these projects were any good when they were presented in
that other Bill and they certainly don't belong in this
Bill so I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from



Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Waddell: "Which county was that that had the county fair appropriation in it and did they file before, under the appropriate Act, for the amount of money that was due them?"

Winchester: "Well, the county, Representative, was Richland County. As to whether they filed or not, I don't know, Sir, I don't."

Waddell: "Well, I can see no reason why. May I speak to the issue? ...I can see no reason why, when some of the counties have been reduced in their amounts, that they have been paid and should have been paid out of the Agricultural Premium Fund. And then turn around, and at a time like this, see that exemplary treatment be given to one. I don't think that that's fair. I think that we should defeat it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Representative Winchester to close."

Winchester: "Well...If I might add an additional response to the previous speaker's comments? I have since found, since I gave you that brief explanation, that this was...this is reconstruction due to 'act of God' ...damages. And that there were no funds available for this reconstruction. That is why that they were taking this route in seeking this additional money."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #7 be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Marco. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I asked some questions. I had my light on and I wonder if I could ask them on my explanation of vote?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Totten: "Thank you. Representative Winchester, you've explained the Richland County Fair reconstruction. I'm wondering,



I don't have the information on some of these others, as to what they are actually doing and why, and why now; on each one of the other items that are on this Christmas tree?"

Winchester: "Well, first of all, Representative Totten, these Amendments were added in the Senate. I did not add them. I really can't tell you why or very much about them, other than to read them off to you as they were presented to me from the Senate."

Totten: "Can anybody?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey Have all voted who wish? I recognized you, Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "My microphone doesn't work. Does it work now?"

Yes it does. Mr. Speaker, our buttons are not working back here in this row. I don't know what the...how that got up there. At least back here it's not indicating that it is working...anyway."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Actually....Thank you. Your point is well taken. But the votes are reflected on the board. It may not be reflected on your respective switch. So if you will take a look up on the board I'm sure you're recorded properly, however you want to be recorded. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 109 'ayes', 23 'nays', 3 recorded as 'present', the Amendment is adopted. Amendment 8. The Gentleman from Whiteside.... I'm sorry, from Hardin, Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Senate Amendment #8, it adds \$15,800,000 in school construction funds for Illinois schools, as follows: Chicago \$5,000,000; downstate \$10,800,000."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt and concur with Amendment #8? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr. Chairman, I was wondering if the Sponsor would



yield for one short question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Mudd: "Did you do anything at all with the debt service formula on school construction at all? Did you add any monies at all, to it?"

Winchester: "I have been advised that we have not....But there is authority to use this money."

Mudd: "All right then, my understanding is that there is money in the debt service account to take care of everything that we got going until the next Appropriation Session."

Winchester: "That is correct."

Mudd: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Dunn: "Were these funds in the administration's budget proposal from last spring?"

Winchester: "No. No, Representative."

Dunn: "And are they then in addition to what was proposed by the...by the Governor at that time?"

Winchester: "That is correct but the Bureau of the Budget has approved them."

Dunn: "And for what specific projects are these funds designated?"

Winchester: "Well, do you want me to read all of them, or are you just interested in your district, Representative Dunn?"

Dunn: "Well, my district would be an item of concern to me."

Winchester: "Why don't I read them? For Lake County, Waukegan, \$387,385; for Lake County, Fox Lake, \$501,523; for Whiteside County, in River Bend, \$765,099; for DeKalb, Genoa, \$1,344,358; Woodford, in Germantown Hills #69, \$770,439; Mercer County, in Winola \$8,855; Mason County, Balyki 125, \$102,414; Forman #124, \$1,042,042; Macon County, Argenta #46, \$70,568 and then for #61 it's \$8,168; for George-



town #3, in Vermilion County, \$35,416; for Wayne County in Wayne City #100, \$2,530,224; in Clinton, in Marion County, Salem, \$1,917,958; ..."

Dunn: "What is the...."

Winchester: "Now, I like this, John, let me go on....\$858,264;

This is getting good, John. Perry County and St. Clair County, DuQuoin, \$1,418,035; Dupo, \$67,000; Dupo 196, \$58,000; Marissa, \$969,418; Cook County in Raster, \$2,108,000; Cook County in Gompers, \$1,163,000; Cook, Earle and O'Toole, \$1,527,000; Cook, West Pullman and Nansen, \$1,912,000; Cook, in McCormick, \$1,686,188; Cook County, Byford, \$880,000; ...That's it."

Dunn: "What is the revenue source for these funds?"

Winchester: "Construction bonds."

Dunn: "And where does the debt service come from to retire those bonds?"

Winchester: "GRF."

Dunn: "General Revenue?"

Winchester: "General Revenue Funds."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, if I could just address myself to the Amendment...briefly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Dunn: "I would just like to impress upon the Membership what we are doing here if this Amendment is approved, we are authorizing General Revenue monies to be expended for the purposes of school construction and I would ask each of you to just think about your situation back home and ask yourself whether the people back home would rather have the money for new schools or whether they would like to see this much General Revenue money plugged into the School Aid Formula so they could keep open the schools that they already have. Think about the districts back in your legislative district...school districts which are bankrupt at this time, who could use a grand total of \$15,000,000. Think what \$15,000,000 would do to your



chances for reelection if you could go back home and say that was plugged into the School Aid Formula, think how many school board members, how many school superintendents, how many parents, how many teachers and how many children would be proud of you to come home and tell them that. Think about that when you vote about this money for construction of new buildings, not payment of money into the School Aid Formula."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent, to explain her vote."

Kent: "Now that we have the votes I'll keep quiet. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to explain his vote."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I thought we were on questions. I didn't know that the board had been opened."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, it is open, Sir."

Madison: "Can I ask the Sponsor a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes."

Madison: "Representative Winchester, do you have somebody from the Capital Development Board beside you?"

Winchester: "That is correct."

Madison: "Could you find out from that gentleman whether or not the Chicago construction projects have been identified already?"

Winchester: "Yes, Representative, I read them off just a few minutes ago."

Madison: "No. I'm talking about the \$5,000,000 for Chicago."

Winchester: "Yes. I just read those \$5,000,000. Would you like for me to read them again?"

Madison: "Yes. Would you please?"

Winchester: "All right. Cook County, Raster, \$2,108,000; Gompers, \$1,163,000; Earle-O'Toole, \$1,527,000; West Pullman-Nansen, \$1,912,000; McCormick, \$1,686,000; Byford, \$880,000."



Madison: "Thank you, Representative."

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Could I have leave of the House, Sir, to have Senate Bill 16....er....I'm sorry, Amendment #16 and Amendment #8 heard together, since they are basically the same thing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's kinda late in the Session right now, Sir."

Winchester: "I'm sorry, Sir, but I would appreciate it if you would give me that consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objection to having Amendment #8 and Amendment #16 heard as a package? There's objection?"

Winchester: "May I....May I read what Amendment #16 was to see if the Gentleman would withdraw his objection?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's still objection, Sir. So...do you want to proceed with 8? Any further discussion? Any other explanation of vote? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 109 'ayes', and 18 'nays', and 11 recorded as 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 2481. Senate Amendment #9. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Senate Amendment #9 adds \$44,217 from the land and water fund to conservation for a grant for railroad crossings at the DesPlaines conservation area."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #9 be concurred? All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120 'ayes', 13 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #9 to House Bill 2481. Amendment #10, Representative Winchester."



Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #10 adds \$20,000 in General Revenue Funds for back pay to Webber Borchers, one of our distinguished State Representatives who used to serve in this House with all of us."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I guess my only question would be what this has to do with the Governor's Office of Manpower?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you care to respond to that?"

Madison: "I don't know if I want to question the germaneness of a Senate Amendment, I don't want to get in that hassle again, but maybe this....I withdraw it, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell. Any further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Amendment #10 be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 110 'ayes', 1 'nay', 22 recorded as 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #10 to House Bill 2481. Senate Amendment #13. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #13 adds \$473,522 in road funds for the Department of Law Enforcement for the installation of radios in 150 patrol cars. We have purchased the patrol cars. We do not have the radios. This is the funds to provide a radio program in those cars. It's 100 percent reimbursable from the Governor's Traffic Safety Program, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you want to explain that Amendment again?"

Winchester: "All right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? No, we heard you. Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Vitek. Your light's on, John. The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."



Mudd: "Mr. Chairman. If the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Mudd: "Are these vehicles replacement vehicles, Representative Winchester?"

Winchester: "They are all brand new, Representative. Patrol cars."

Mudd: "Replacement vehicles for vehicles..."

Winchester: "These are additional....additional patrol cars."

Mudd: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield?.....Bob, would you tell me, does the money come from the Road Fund or does it not?"

Winchester: "We have to spend the state money in order to get the money back from the Federal Government. I believe it is coming out of the Road Fund or the Governor's Trafficer....it's coming out of the Road Fund. We have to spend state money in order to get the federal money to reimburse us."

McClain: "So all we're using road fund money then is for pass-through money? It's going to be reimbursed from the Federal Government, 100 percent?"

Winchester: "That is correct. That is correct, Sir."

McClain: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #13? All in favor vote 'aye' all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 131 'ayes', 10 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #13 to House Bill 2481. Amendment #15."

(See page 38, Special Request.)



Speaker Lechowicz: "...Amendment #15."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #15 adds \$1,866,000 in CDB Funds for the Public Affairs Center at Sangamon State University. This was originally \$3,000,000...I understand. It was negotiated down; worked out through a compromise down to \$1,866,000."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, would the...would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Skinner: "I would like to know if the Capital Development Board has rebid the project...for a third time?"

Winchester: "I have been informed that that is not true. The answer is 'no'."

Skinner: "Then I rise to speak against this Amendment and I rise to speak about as vehemently as I can. There's a firm in Antioch that was the second lowest bidder on the plumbing contract, approximately half a million dollar contract, in the first round of the bidding. CDB decided that the contracts had come in too high. Everyone had bid too high. So they put it out for bidding a second time. And the second time the only thing that happened was that the low bidder upped his bid by about \$70,000 and all of the higher bidders, next coming along, lowered their bid. Now, because of the rebidding, we're going to pay, I think it was \$150,000; at least \$150,000 more than we would have paid if they'd accepted the first bids. And the Capital Development Board, apparently to this date, refuses to put it out for bid for a third time. Now there is something kinky in this. I don't know what it is, but it doesn't smell right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #15 be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane, to explain his vote."



Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that the previous speaker....made a....at least left a wrong impression with a lot of Members on this... in this House, concerning the bidding on the project to complete the Public Affairs Center at Sangamon State University. The bids, as they went out the first time, and if you're familiar with the bidding procedure.....is that the mechanical contracts are put out separately so that there are about a total of eight or nine total bids. What happens is that the Capital Development Board...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Doug. Would all unauthorized personnel remove themselves from the floor? Please proceed."

Kane: "The Capital Development Board has to look at all of the bids and rebid all of them or ...or take them all. On the second bidding, when the bids came back in, the bid that the Capital Development Board was particularly interested in was the general....the bid for the general contract. That bid was considerably lower than...than the original bid for the general contract...somewhere in the...in the vicinity of over a million dollars. There were...on the mechanical bids, some of them were up, most of them were down. And I don't question the fact that the plumbing contract perhaps may have, on the second bid, come in at 60 or 70 thousand dollars more. But as a total package, the bid on the Public Affairs Center, for all of the bids, with the general contract and with all of the mechanicals, the total bid was somewhere in the neighborhood of \$1,600,000 less than the original contracts as they came in. This Amendment, when it passed the House in the Thanksgiving Session, we passed it here for 3.3 million dollars. The Senate reduced that amount to \$1,800,000, to cover the existing contracts. If this Amendment does not pass, if it is not adopted, the current contracts that are out and the current bids that are in will have to be scratched and will have to go out for a third bid. What that will



mean is an additional delay for a project that is already been delayed about four or five times. It will mean additional cost. And the present contract...the present bid for the general contract which is substantially lower than the original...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentlemen kindly bring his remarks to a close?"

Kane: "I would urge the adoption of this Amendment...the concurrence in this Amendment. It's a good, overall, contract for the state. If we tube it now it will cost the state considerable to go out, bid again, only to....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"

Unknown: "89 or 107?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "89. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109 'ayes' 31 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present'. The House does concur in Amendment #15 to House Bill 2481. Amendment #16. Representative Winchester, 16."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #16 adds an additional \$4,200,000 in school construction funds for downstate Illinois schools."

Speaker Lechowicz: "How much was that?"

Winchester: "\$4,200,000."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Barnes: "Mr. Winchester, is this \$4,200.....\$4,200,000 in addition to what was in Amendment #8, where there was \$10,000,000 for downstate and \$5,000,000 for the..... \$10,800,000 for downstate and \$5,000,000 for the County of Cook?"

Winchester: "Representative Barnes, that is correct. This now



brings it up to the level that we passed it out of the House of Representatives to the Senate."

Barnes: Well, the question that I ask....ask then is, what is the additional 4.2 million? If the Amendment #8, of which we adopted, was for \$15,000,000 for new construction, Amendment #8 was for \$15,000,000 for new construction, \$10,000,000 for downstate, \$5,000,000 for the County of Cook; what, in fact, is the additional 4.2 million in Amendment #16?"

Winchester: "Amendment #8 added only 10.8 million dollars. This 4.2 brings it up to that \$15,000,000, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "As I understand....Now, as I understand Amendment #8, you can go back and take a look at it, in Section 1 there is \$5,000,000 and in Section 2 there's 10.8 million. So if you add those together, under my elementary education, you've got 15.8. And you add in this 4.2 you come up with a sum total of \$20,000,000. Now that's my elementary addition, but I think that's the way they taught at my school."

Winchester: "Could you hold just a second, Representative Barnes, until I can get you an answer? You are correct, Representative Barnes, it is fifteen....fifteen for downstate and five for Chicago."

Barnes: "So you are ending up with a three to one ration for downstate schools, from the General Revenue Fund. Is that what what you think? \$15,000,000 for downstate and \$5,000,000 for the City of Chicago?"

Winchester: "Representative Barnes..."

Barnes: "...Where the number of students...where the number of students is outweighed heavily in the other direction? Is that what I'm hearing from you?"

Winchester: "Representative Barnes, I have been informed that it has always been this ratio of three to one and it is how it passed out of this House to the Senate."



Barnes: "Well, that's not the question that is being propounded here. It seemed to me that you said that, in your explanation, that these was some needed funds for some extremely needed projects around the state. Not based on a formula or in acceptance of a passed procedure that has always been upheld in this Body. As I understand this is a situation that exists now that did not exist during the Appropriation hearing just a few months ago. Is that the case? "

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, could I yield to Mrs. Kent?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent. Mary Lou Kent, please proceed."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Barnes, this money.... you know how schools are on lists for approval after.... well, some of them haven't passed their bond issues yet, but there are some on those lists that have passed their bond issues, they have their money ready to go and that's what these sums are based on. Some of them that are ahead of them as far as priority is concerned, have not passed a referendum, do not have that money available. So some of these then can slide up and use the money and not lose all of their referendum money in inflation. That is the purpose of this."

Barnes: "Well, Representative, I think I understand that, but in the City of Chicago you don't have that problem, because a referendum is not a prerequisite for spending for these additional funds. We simply don't do that up there. It's nonreferenda. So what I'm saying here..."

Kent: "You mean you just....they just do it anyway?"

Barnes: "...unfortunately that's one of the things that the General Assembly has authorized in that area of the state. But one of the...what I'm getting at here is that this 4.2 million is in additon to what we've already added here. They already added 10.8 million. Now, my question is, why the additional 4.2 million, in this instance?"



Kent: "When this was originally in the Bill 2470, when it was originally presented, it was presented with the total figure of \$15,000,000 for downstate. Then the Senate began to play around. You know how that happens, they took out five and now they are putting it back in in another Amendment. It comes out to the same total as it left this House when it was approved in the first place."

Barnes: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I think she said what I intended to say. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. Is there any further discussion?"

The question is, shall Amendment #16 be adopted or concurred? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Caldwell. Lew.... Would you kindly record Representative Caldwell as 'aye'? On this question there's 114 'ayes', 11 'nays', and 3 recorded as 'no'; and the House does concur in Amendment #16 to House Bill 2481. On the House Calendar Supplemental....is House Bill 2271, the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask leave of the House to change the Sponsorship of the Bill so that it reflects Ryan and Redmond and Kempiners."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to have the House Bill 2271 change in Sponsorship to reflect Representative Redmond, Representative Ryan and the responding leadership Mr. Kempiners, naturally. Is there any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. ...Speaker Redmond...at Lechowicz's desk...On the Order of Concurrence...."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate had two Amendments to House Bill 2271.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to suspend the rule.."



Speaker Redmond: "...and at this time I move only to suspend Rule 68 (d) for purpose of having immediate consideration of House Bill 2271 in the matter of addressing ourselves to the Senate Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave of the House to suspend Rule 68 (d). Leave for the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing no objection, it is so recorded. The Gentleman from DuPage, Speaker Redmond."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Amendment #2 comprises the entire agreement that was reached between the Democratic Leadership and the Republican Leadership and the Governor's Office. It, in essence, provides that the Governor will make the appointments; four from his own party without consultation and four from the other party from a list of ...of three for every vacancy submitted by the constitutional office of the opposite party. I move to.... that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2271."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. Mr. Speaker, do you want to move that the House"

Speaker Redmond: "...concur..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...nonconcur in Amendment #1 and concur in Amendment #2? Is there any objection to having that as a joint motion? Hearing no objection, the Speaker moves that the House nonconcur in Amendment..Senate Amendment #1 and do concur in Senate Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I rise to praise the negotiators from the Republican side of this House for their splendid efforts in working out this compromise. Their expertise and skill have been a thing of wonder. May all future eyeball to navel confrontations between the Republican Governor and the Democratic Majority end with the same happy proportion



as worked out in this particular concession. Many of us were fearful when His Excellency skated out on the thin ice. We felt that it was too dangerous, too risky to tweak the nose of the Majority Party and veto the Bill that we sent to them. But we need not of had any fears. His remarkable powers of persuasion, even with a bad back, have been shown again and the Democratic nominee for Governor should sue his party for nonsupport or he should sue..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close?"

Cunningham: "...sue him for abandonment, which would be more accurate. I'm not quite through yet, Mr. Speaker. Don't interrupt, please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...Just about...The Gentleman from Cook..."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker...May I continue for my full two minutes?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Very shortly."

Cunningham: "Now I want to pay compliments though to the wily... to the wily leader of the Democrats in this House, Speaker Redmond, he might be looking down the road farther than we realize. He might be looking way down the road. Not this year but some year in the future when we will have again a Democratic Governor and at that time we will discover that we've created a Bill that makes the Governor ten feet long. I would have preferred a Bill that elected the Members of the Board of Education...er Board of Election, or a Bill that would....permitted those who had political ties toremained members..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close?"

Cunningham: "...I don't want the Supreme Court to handle the Election Bill. I want to urge Republicans to be fea..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Darrow."



Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If we look at this Bill we see that this board is composed of eight members...four Democrats and four Republicans. And if we recall a year ago, Governor Thompson was elected having no experience in politics other than being a prosecutor. It only took him one year to forget about independent and other party members other than Democrats and Republicans. These board members must not have any office in a political party. They can be big campaign contributors to both the Democratic and Republican party. They can have other ways of influencing the votes and influencing our office holder. It took the Governor only one year, one year to change from being someone who I respected as being somewhat aloof from the political battles and the political smears and the political contributions. We now have a Bill that is going to be controlled by the politicians again. We're going to have a board composed of eight members, not five members. We are again going to have a Bill and a Board of Election where we're going to have a provision with four...four deadlocks. I feel this is a bad compromise. I feel it's a politicians Bill and again we are not considering there are people in the State of Illinois who are not members of a political party. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your inquiry? What's your question?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, we had leave of the House to hold these motions jointly. My question is, if I'm not mistaken, the motion to nonconcur requires a simple majority and the motion to concur requires a Constitutional Majority. What happens if the motion gets left in a Constitutional Majority? Does the motion to nonconcur still pass?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes."

Madison: "I mean, are you going to join them together, but if



it doesn't work out you'll separate them? Is that what you're saying?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're joining them together and if that doesn't work out we're going to be here through Saturday."

Madison: "I think you might have answered my question, Ted. I think I maybe just missed it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure that you haven't violated the rights of Representative Cunningham."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'd never do that, ...Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, you just did it and I'm a little bit upset about it. He wasn't through speaking and I think he's entitled to the full time that is allotted him. And I think you treated him very rudely and I wish you'd recognize him again."

Speaker Lechowicz: "First of all I'd like to apologize publicly because I'd never think of treating Representative Cunningham rudely. I consider him an excellent colleague...of this... of this House and a Member and he deserves every right as a Member. I thought that his time was up and he was belaboring a point. Now if maybe my watch or the time that I see was incorrect, I'll be more than happy to ...to recognize him once again and give him the remainder 35 seconds that he was entitled to."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, your graciousness has no equal in this world. I had said nice things about my great and good friend Speaker Redmond but I'm going to forgo, for the rest of the time, commenting on the Temporary Speaker, for the reasons that I've indicated, I think we would all be well advised to vote for this very fine Bill. It haswe have no alternative, really. We do not want the Supreme Court to run the Bill. There's one thing that you ought to wonder about though and I just shoot that to the Sponsor as I leave; what happens if six spotted pups



are nominated by .. the...the opposite officer? At that point he gets to pick on the seventh man, whoever he wishes, because the Bill provides that he can only reject two rounds of nominees. I'll vote 'aye' for the reasons stated."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, about a couple of weeks ago we came here and had a fiasco for a Session, at the taxpayers expense, on a State Board of Elections Bill. I heard some of the comments made by the...about the Governor of this state and I'd like to say at this time that I commend the Governor of this state, Governor Thompson, for being big enough to accept decent and meaningful compromise so that we can get on with the business of the House and support a Bill that will be fair to both parties. I certainly support this Bill. I think it's great that the Leadership on both sides of the aisle got together with the Governor and got together as grown-up individuals instead of petty little men. And I'm happy to support the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Speaker Redmond, the great compromiser, to close."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, accolades have been laid on the Governor but it would seem to me that the Gentleman that requires the most gratitude and thanks is none other than the Minority Leader. His usual kind, gentle, understanding disposition came to the fore and this couldn't have been accomplished if it hadn't been for those stellar qualities of the Minority Leader, Representative Ryan, from Rockford. Therefore, I again move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 and nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2271."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 and do concur in Amendment #2



to House Bill 2271. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, to explain his vote."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would say to Speaker Redmond that he well should congratulate the people on this side of the aisle for their part in this so-called compromise. Because they gave the store away to you and gave you everything that you would have accepted on the first day of this Session a year ago. You've still got your dumping ground for your political deadwood. You've still got your board where you can contract for your lawyers that are out of work. You got around the executive appointment that you didn't like, but most of all, Mr. Speaker, you got your hung jury that you fought so hard and long for. You've got your do-nothing Board of Elections. I have to congratulate you for taking everything that we offered and then some and shoving it right down our throats. The only thing I might suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, is maybe ...maybe we should change party symbols because we certainly have bigger and much more jackasses than you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted.... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt, to explain his vote."

Bluthardt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am very reluctant to support this Bill because I firmly believe, as a Gentleman had mentioned earlier in debate, that here we are dealing in partisanship politics and not serving the people of this state. We're forgetting that that's the reason that we're down here...is to serve the people of the State of Illinois. By adopting a Bill such as this we're not doing that. We're serving the political parties. Again we have an impossible situation of four and four. No tie breaker, the public, the independent, is not represented on the board. I don't think it's a good Bill. But on the other hand it's better, in my



opinion, that we do support the Bill than to have the Supreme Court of this state mandate a State Board of Elections until we can get our heads on straight. I think that, with that choice, I'm going to support the Bill. But I'm not very happy with it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino. Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Chairman, would you vote me 'aye'?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "You are voted 'aye'."

Laurino: "My switch doesn't show it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "A lot of them don't show it today, Bill.

On this question there's 142 'ayes', 14 'nays', none recorded as 'present' and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #1 and does concur in Senate Amendment #2. Hereby recorded. The Gentleman...Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, first of all House Joint Resolution 66....there's two....three mistakes on there; on paragraph 5, paragraph 11, on page one and paragraph 12 of page 2. It has 100 percent parity. I would just ask leave, unanimous leave to have this amended on its face to read 'equitable' rather than '100 percent' parity."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to correct the Amendment on its face. Changing it from '100 percent' to 'equitable'. Is there any objection? Hearing none, the Clerk will make the necessary changes. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey, on Resolution 66."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would ask unanimous consent to waive the appropriate rule so that we may hear House Joint Resolution 66 at this time?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks unanimous consent to use the Attendance Roll Call. Is there any objection? Hearing none, so granted."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Joint



Resolution 66....As we all know Illinois is one of the leading agricultural and exporting states in the country, as a matter of fact, Governor Thompson recently has hinted an additional increase in exports if at all possible. We all know that profits in production of raw food products are vital to the whole economy, not only to Illinois but also to the Nation itself. We find that parity has fallen off to 63 percent.....as opposed to ...that is 63 percent currently, and this has been the lowest since 1933. I think the farmers are entitled to equal return for their investments, hopefully, to assure a normal increase in their standard of living. Accordingly, I think wewe as a state, as Members of the House of Representatives, we should call upon the President's Council of Economic Advisors to take notice of this serious situation described in the Resolution so that they may put forth all their efforts to bring about equitable parity and equitable return on investments to the farmer. I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Preliminary, Mr. Speaker. What is the order of business? Is this a motion to bypass Committee, or has that already been done?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Immediate consideration has been granted and they explained the Resolution, now it's the move to adopt the Resolution."

Leinenweber: "All right. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak in opposition to the Resolution. I think that we ought to think very seriously before we go on record with a Resolution such as this...which fortunately will probably be totally ignored in Washington..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. I'll try to get you a little order. Please proceed."

Leinenweber: "...I think you ought to review some of the



'whereas' clauses and the Resolution 'resolved' clauses in this particular Resolution. If you vote for this you're going to be voting for, going on record of asking Washington to get much more deeply involved in the agriculture business than they currently are. In fact, even moreso than they were a few years ago when fortunately, under a Republican President, we decided. Congress decided to get somewhat out of the farmer's hair. This Resolution asked Congress to pass laws to totally control the farming business, guaranteeing them a price, allotting them how much they can grow. If you want this type of huge, monstrous, governmental regulation and control, if you want to be on record, then vote for this. If you don't, then vote 'no' or don't vote at all."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker, has the Amendment yet been ...I mean the Resolution been amended on its face, yet?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, it has."

Mudd: "It has already been amended?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes it has."

Mudd: "I think....I want to apologize for my voice, I've got a cold...I wish that every House Member and Senate Member could have been here in Springfield yesterday. We had farmers from all over the state that were here and they were addressing themselves to this problem here at their state capitol. I disagree with the statement that was made previously. I think what we're saying in this Resolution is that we recognize that there's a problem in this area and that we would like the Federal Government to address it. Now why should Illinois get so involved? Maybe it's because we're the largest state that exports farm products in the United States. Maybe it's because we're the third largest producing state for farm products. That makes us the top in the na...in the world. If we can't recognize the people that produce these ...products



and guarantee that we're going to have a good production, and a sound agricultural base for years to come, then we're not protecting one of the natural resources or one of our products in this state that we're one of the leading states in producing, then I don't know who should be involved. I don't think we're getting involved to that extent. We want the Federal Government to know that we support our farmers in Illinois and that we want them to get the type of parity and the type of consideration that is equitable.....equitable; and that is whatever is fair. And I think that's what any Legislator in this House wants is whatever is fair for the farmers in Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Rigney: "Representative Mulcahey, did you make the statement, 'We now are living with 63 percent of parity.' under the present administration?"

Mulcahey: "That's correct, Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "What was it under the days of good old Earl Butts, the....when the farmer enjoyed prosperity and we were selling our products overseas. Could you give me that figure?"

Mulcahey: "No. I have no idea."

Rigney: "Well, just specifically, what does this Resolution do other than say that we are concerned about the situation? I haven't seen any constructive suggestions to Congress about what they are ...you know...supposed to be doing about the present farm situation."

Mulcahey: "Well, Mr. Rigney, first of all, in 1943, when parity was reaching 100 percent...when parity was reaching 100 percent, the consumer buying power rose 110 percent. It increased employment, it decreased inflation, and farm industry was buying more and more raw material, more



machinery et cetera. The farming affects the entire economy, we all know this. And now since 1973, and note that date, since 1973, thirteen and a half billion dollars has been lost in agricultural income. Now the result of this simply is that 94.6 billion dollars has been lost...of the national income, with an 18.9 billion dollars being lost in revenues to the government. So that's just what happened since 1973. And what we're doing here is simply calling upon the President's Council of Economic Advisors to take notice. It is a serious situation, which I'm sure you know, that is described in this Resolution, and to put forth all their efforts to bring about equitable parity."

Rigney: "Well it seems to me that the only thing you're saying is that apparently the President's Council of Economic Advisors isn't aware of the present plight of America's farmers and perhaps that is true. If I may just make some brief comments, Mr. Speaker. It's a sort of Resolution that obviously you couldn't oppose because it's really not doing anything. It's not saying to Congress what should be their course of action to address this very serious situation, where the farmers are limping along with 63 percent of parity. I would hope that there might be a Resolution that would call for some constructive action. Maybe to...first of all to remove the present Secretary of Agriculture and get someone in there who believes in...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Would the Gentleman kindly confine his remarks to the Resolution on hand. If you have any constructive.....to prepare your own Resolution. Do you want to..."

Rigney: "...Well....Mr. Speaker, I'll sum it up for you this"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. Thanks."

Rigney: "..for you this way. I'm not going to oppose this



Resolution, but I think it's rather obvious on its face, it really isn't going to be doing anything and I'll leave it at that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as claiming the fact that I am the only farmer's wife in this General Assembly, I feel I need to say one comment in regard to this Resolution. You forgot to put in one more thing. We would love to have 100 percent parity, but we certainly don't want 100 percent federal regulation with it. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's been so long since I put my light on I forgot I had it on, but I wanted leave of the House to be ...recorded as 'aye' on 2271. We've been having a little trouble back here with our switches and I thought I had voted but, you know, inadvertently I hadn't."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You're fine. You're on. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Beatty: "Mr. Sponsor, you've indicated that you're interested in the plight of the farmer and I'm...I can't say that you're wrong in this. I wonder if you're also interested in the plight of your fellow State Representatives and State Senators who haven't had a payraise in some time? I'm wondering if there is a payraise proposed for the Legislature in the next few months whether you'll vote yes to that payraise; or, are you only interested in the farmer?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, to close... Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, that depends on the terrain and situation."



Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is not calling for any type of federal regulation, really just the opposite. There's no federal regulation asked for here at all. It is simply, as I said, urging the President's Council and economic advisors to take notice. To take serious notice of what's going on here. To put forth all of their efforts to bring about equitable parity and equitable return on investments for the farmer. This, incidentally, will be the first Resolution adopted in the United States of this type. And who can sit here or stand here and say that it's not going to do any good? It's never been done before. Maybe it will do some good. I would move now for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 97 'ayes', 13 'nays', 10 recorded as 'present'. The Resolution is adopted. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to have the record show that Representative McBroom and I think I excused Tuerk and Porter for illness."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Now wait a minute. That was Representative McBroom and who else?"

Ryan: "Yeah. Representative McBroom. Representative Tuerk and Representative...are absent because of illness."

Speaker Lechowicz: "So recorded. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to have leave to have a meeting of the House Rules Committee immediately after adjournment today, for the purpose of considering the kinds of rules that we will be having here governing Spring Session. This has been discussed with the Republican Leadership and I would like leave to suspend the appropriate rule so that we might



meet this afternoon. No action will be taken on these specific Bills."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman have leave? The Attendance Roll Call will be used. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, if the Clerk will read the Agreed Resolutions we'll get them out of here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will read the Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Hall: "House Resolution 600, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627....."

Unknown: "I don't have 610."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What 610? ...The Gentleman from McClean Mr. Bradley, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, ...on the Calendar, under Motions, Mr. Speaker, appears 1394 and 1395, by Representative Jones...to discharge those Committees and those two Billsah.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're on Agreed Resolutions right now, let's finish those."

Bradley: "Well you said you were going to get us out of here. Those two Bills are my Bills. That's why I'm wondering ...what Dave Jones is doing with 1394 and 1395 and..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're not on that order of business. Zeke, do you want to go ...do you want to go through with the Agreed Resolutions? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "House Resolution 600 by Davis, talks about Edith Foor who celebrates her 86th birthday. 605 by Kelly, notes the 20th anniversary of Country Club Hills. 606 by Daniels, commends the Associated Press Wirephoto operator, Henry Ferguson, after 38 years of service. 607 by Grieman honors the 100th birthday of Janusz Korczak. 608 by Antonovych, notes Ukrainian Independence Day, January 22. 609 by Wikoff, talks about Brother Lloyd Smith, who is a member of the Board of Grand Examiners. 610 by Beatty



denotes the two hour documentary based on the real life story of Simas Kudirka, a young Lithuanian seaman. 611 by Byers, marks the 63rd wedding anniversary of Lawrence and Martha Olive. 612 by Byers, talks about the heroism of Emerson and Ed Smalley. 613 by Wolf, notes that the AMVET Victory Post is 34 years old. 614 by DiPrima, endorses the Panama Canal Treaty. 615 by Barnes, talks about the volleyball team of Mother McAuley High School. 618 by Barnes, notes the Eagle Scout Progress award of William McAdarash. 619 by Greiman, notes the birthday of Susan B. Anthony. 620 by Greiman, talks about Alice Paul who 50 years ago was the forerunner of the Equal Rights Amendment. 621 by Barnes, denotes the Eagle Scout Progress of Scout Kevin Govert. 622 by Barnes, does the same thing for Gregory Bartnicki. 623 by Matejek, talks about the National Womens Organization. 624 by Matejek, notes a wedding in his district. 625 by Matejek, notes a birthday in his district. 626 by Matejek, notes the 'golden birthday' of Laura Nowak. 627 by Younge, notes the deceased, Dr. Walter I. McDonald. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen...on the Agreed Resolutions."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I didn't quite grasp ...there was something about the Panama Canal on there. Could we have an explanation of that?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Giorgi, did you hear the question? Okay. Larry....Larry DiPrima. Clerk, why don't you read that Resolution."

Giorgi: "Yeah, read it. It's an innocuous Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's Representative DiPrima's Resolution on the Panama Canal."

Giorgi: "It says nothing except to.....Everybody's right. It doesn't say anything."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record. The Gentleman



from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "There was a Resolution dealing with the Equal Rights Amendment. Could you tell us what that is, please, Zeke?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Greiman."

Greiman: "It's a birthday Resolution of a woman that was a forerunner...the Equal Rights Amendment fifty years ago."

Walsh: "Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's on those two ladies. Any further discussion on the Agreed Resolutions? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye', all opposed ...The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 617, Levin-Collins and House Resolution 614."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Committee on Assignment. Mr. Keats, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Keats. Okay, fine. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we might have leave to consider House Joint Resolution 67?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Clerk. House Resolution 67, has that been printed and distributed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No. The Resolution isn't even introduced yet. Not printed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll have to wait for it then."

Houlihan, J.: "It's not what?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's not printed. Any further items for the good of the General Assembly? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan.Death Resolutions, I'm sorry. Representative Giorgi."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 584, Marovitz et al., and House Resolution 616, Satterthwaite. Death Resolutions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Giorgi moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'; all opposed....The Resolutions are adopted. Messages from the Senate."



Clerk O'Brien: "Messages from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Joint Resolution 64 adopted by the Senate January 11, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Lechowicz: "God Bless Mayor Bilandic."

Unknown: "God Bless Mayor Jack Hill."

Unknown: "God Bless Mayor Paul Egan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will read the Message from the Senate on the Adjournment Resolution."

Unknown: "Jack Hill wants to say something."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolutions. The adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Joint Resolution 63. Resolved by the Senate of the 80th General Assembly, the State of Illinois. The House of Representatives concurring herein. When the two houses adjourn on Wednesday, January 11, 1978, they stand adjourned until Wednesday, March 1, 1978, at 12 o'clock noon."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, is the Clerk finished with his business?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk is asking for ten minutes of Introduction and upon the advice of the Parliamentarian, we should recess until the call of the Chair. I would strongly advise that the recess be of very short in duration because when we move for the adoption, I would imagine there's going to be a lot of movement by the respective Members. Mike....Mike, we have to wait for



the Senate to recede from that Amendment. So why don't we move for the adoption of this Resolution. Go into a Perfunct....The Adjournment Resolution. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan moves the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. Any discussion? All in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; all opposed.....The Adjournment Resolution is adopted. We'll stand in recess in this Session and go through the Special Sessions....

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION...

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Madigan moves that the Roll Call of the ...Regular Session be used for the First Special Session. Any objections? Hearing no objections, the Roll Call will be used. Message from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Joint Resolution #4, Resolved by the Senate of the 80th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the First Special Session thereof, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Wednesday, January 11, 1978, they stand adjourned sine die."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan moves the adoption of the Senate Adjournment Resolution, the First Special Session. Now let me explain to the Membership that this will adjourn the First Special Session, sine die....and table all of the Bills in that Session. Any discussion? The Gentleman has moved for the adoption. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed....it's adopted. What else? Second Special Session..."



SECOND SPECIAL SESSION....

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any messages from the Senate? Representative Madigan moves that the Roll Call from the Regular Session be used as the Attendance Roll Call for the Second Special Session. Any discuss....any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted. So recorded. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Joint Resolution #3. Resolved by the Senate of the 80th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, the Second Special Session thereof, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Wednesday, January 11, 1978, they stand adjourned sine die."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Again, this is the Second Special Session. The adjournment of the Second Special Session means that all of the Bills will die. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan moves the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed..... The Adjournment Resolution is adopted. Further business of the Second Special Session? Now Representative Madigan moves that the Second Special Session now stand adjourned sine die. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', all opposedit's adjourned sine die.Special Session, Representative Madigan moves that the First Special Session stand adjourned sine die. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', all opposed....First Special Session is adjourned sine die. Now the Regular Session will reconvene.



REGULAR SESSION ...Reconvenes

Speaker Lechowicz: "Introductions, First Reading."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 2606, Deuster-Pierce, a Bill for an Act relating to alcoholic liquors, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2607, John Dunn, a Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2608, Griesheimer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2609, Lynn Martin-Pierce, a Bill for an Act in relation to the reduction of litter and recycling of waste materials, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2610, Jaffe, a Bill for an Act in relation to shipments of special nuclear material, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2611, Jaffe, a Bill for an Act to amend the Psychologist Registration Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2612, Younge, a Bill for an Act to amend the Northeastern Illinois Planning Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2613, Byers, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to ...to require that public ...meetings be held in public places, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2614, Laurino, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2615, Skinner, et al., a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're checking with the Senate right now to make sure that they concur with our actions on the State Board of Elections Bill. We'll stand at ease for about five minutes.

The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd, for an announcement."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Executive meeting that was scheduled after adjournment today has been canceled."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe all the Members of the Executive



Committee should take note. The Vice-chairman of the Committee pointed out that today's Executive Committee, which was supposed to meet after adjournment, has been canceled."

Mudd: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there any other announcements? Any other Committees being canceled or time changes? The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I'm just curious. March 1st is the Adjournment Resolution?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct, Ma'am."

Geo-Karis: "I'm wondering if somebody can tell me how long we're going to be in Session that week?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That day."

Geo-Karis: "Only?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hopefully. We're only going to be here to have the Governor present his budget to us."

Geo-Karis: "And that's it? Right?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hopefully."

Geo-Karis: "I do too. But you never know. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jack, what's the status of that Bill in the Senate? Jack. The House will stand at ease for five minutes. Call of the Chair. May I point out to the Membership that a very distinguished Member of the House has joined us this afternoon. Now the Mayor of Aurora, a very close friend of many of us, Mayor Jack Hill. Jack. It's good to see you again. It's been brought to my attention that a very distinguished Member of this Body, who moved to the Senate, from the House to the Senate, and now also representing his district in Congress, the Honorable Roland Libonati is back there with us in Larry DiPrima's chair. Roland.... Always welcome to Springfield, my friend. Any other introductions? The House will be at ease for a few minutes."



HOUSE AT EASE.....

Speaker Lechowicz: "The House will reconvene. The Clerk will read the message from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has receded from their Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, to wit; House Bill 2271, being a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Action taken by the Senate January 11, 1978, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, moves that the House now stand adjourned till noon March 1st. I want to wish the Membership a happy and healthy new year and good luck. Any questions on the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan's motion? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'; all opposed the 'ayes' have it and we are adjourned. Have a safe trip home."



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: Jan. 11, 1978

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1.	12:00	Speaker Redmond	House to order
		Reverend Krueger	Prayer
		Speaker Redmond	Roll Call
		Geo-Karis	
		Clerk O'Brien	Message from Governor
		Speaker Redmond	
2.		Clerk O'Brien	Introductions and First Reading
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Excused absences Republican Conference
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Excused absences
		Speaker Redmond	Introductions and First Reading
3.		Clerk O'Brien	Further introductions
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:18	Simms	Motion to suspend rule to take HB-363 from table.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Giorgi	Support motion
		Speaker Redmond	
4.		Lechowicz	Supports
		Speaker Redmond	Motion prevails
		Simms	Move nonconcurrency
		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcurr SA to HB 363.
	12:21	Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Dunn, J.	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: June 11, 1978

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
5.		Speaker Redmond) Collins) Speaker Redmond Ryan Speaker Redmond Madigan	Republican Conference Democratic Conference
6.		Speaker Redmond	
7.		Bradley	Introduction 'Junior Miss'
8.		Carla Lemke	'Junior Miss'....
9.		Speaker Redmond Giorgi	Resolutions
10.			
11.	12:39	Clerk O'Brien	First Reading
12.		Speaker Redmond	House to order
	2:00	Winchester	HB-2481 (Explains) leave to hear all 12 Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	objections raised
13.		Winchester	Explains SA #1, moves concurrence
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Amendment #1, House concurs
		Winchester	Explains SA #1, moves to concur
	2:03	Speaker Redmond	House concurs in SA #2
		Winchester	Explains SA #3
		Speaker Redmond	
14.		Schlickman) Winchester) Speaker Redmond Schlickman	Sponsor yield? Discussion Speaks on the Amendment



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: Jan. 11, 1978

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
15.		Speaker Redmond	
		Bradley)	Yield?
)	
		Winchester)	Discussion
		Speaker Redmond	
		Satterthwaite)	
)	
16.		Winchester)	Discussion
17.		Speaker Redmond	
18.		Anderson)	Yield
)	
		Winchester)	
		Speaker Redmond	
	2:15	Madison)	Yield?
)	
		Winchester)	Discussion
19.		Speaker Redmond	
		Conti	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Darrow	Point of order
		Speaker Redmond	
20.		Conti	
		Speaker Redmond	
	2:20	Winchester	to close on SA #3
		Speaker Redmond	
		Winchester	lights not working
		Speaker Redmond	House concurs in SA #3
		Winchester	Explains SA #4
		Speaker Redmond	
		Gaines)	Yield?
21		Winchester)	Discussion



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: Jan. 11, 1978

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	2:23	Speaker Redmond Winchester Speaker Redmond	House concurs in SA #4 to HB 2481 Explains SA 5, moves concurrence
22.	2:27	Matijevich	
23.		Speaker Redmond	
24.		Totten) Winchester) Speaker Redmond Madison Winchester	Yield Opposes Amendment #5 Responds to Madison
25.		Speaker Redmond	
	2:33	Conti Winchester Conti Speaker Redmond Winchester Conti	Yield? Discussion opposes
26.		Speaker Redmond Winchester	Explains his vote.
27.		Speaker Lechowicz Younge Speaker Lechowicz	Explains vote.
	2:40	Barnes	Explains vote
28.		Speaker Lechowicz Totten Speaker Lechowicz	Dumps Roll Call SA #5 is adopted.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: Jan. 11, 1978

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
29.		Clerk O'Brien	Read SA #7
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Winchester	Explains SA #7
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Leinenweber)	Yield?
)	
2:43		Winchester)	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Waddell)	Yield?
)	
		Winchester)	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Winchester	To close on SA #7
		Speaker Lechowicz	
31.		Totten	Explains vote
		Winchester	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mulcahey	Lights not working
		peaker Lechowicz	SA #7 is adopted
2:48		Winchester	Explains SA #8
		Speaker Lechowicz	
32.		Mudd)	Yield
)	
		Winchester)	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
33.		Dunn, J.)	Yield
)	
		Winchester)	
34.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Kent	
		Speaker Lechowicz	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: Jan. 11, 1978

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
35.		Madison) Winchester)	Question
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Winchester	Leave to hear Am. #8 and 16 as package
		Speaker Lechowicz	There is objection SA8, House concurs
	2:56	Winchester	SA #9
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs
36.		Winchester	SA #10
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Madison	Question
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs
		Winchester	SA #13
		Speaker Lechowicz	
37.		Mudd) Winchester)	Yield
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		McClain) Winchester)	Yield
38.		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs #13
		Winchester	SA #15
		Speaker Lechowicz	
39.		Skinner) Winchester)	Question
40.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Kane	Explain vote



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: Jan. 11, 1978

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs
		Winchester	SA #16
40.		Barnes)	Question
41.	3:10	Winchester)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
42.		Kent)	
)	
		Barnes)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
43.		Friedrich	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs
		Kempiners	Moves change Sponsors to Ryan-Redmond and Kempiners
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Speaker Redmond	HB-2271
44.			Move to nonconcur SA #1 and concur in SA #2
		Speaker Lechowicz	
45.		Cunningham	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
46.		Darrow	
47.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Madison	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
48.		Cunningham	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Geo-Karis	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Speaker Redmond	to close
49.		Speaker Lechowicz	
	3:27	Collins	Against
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Bluthardt	
50.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Laurino	"Vote me 'aye'"
		Speaker Lechowicz	House nonconcurs SA #1 concurs in #3
		Mulcahey	leave to Am. Reso. #66
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mulcahey	Resolution #66, move to sus- pend rule.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mulcahey	Resolution #66
		Lechowicz	
51.		Leinenweber) Question
52.		Speaker Lechowicz)
		Mudd	Question
		Speaker Lechowicz	
53.		Rigney) Yield?
		Mulcahey) Discussion
54.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Rigney	'To sum it all up'
55.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Hoxsey	only farmer's wife in House
		Speaker Lechowicz	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: Jan. 11, 1978

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Van Duyn	Record 'aye' on 2271
		Speaker Lechowicz	
56.		Beatty	Yield?
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mulcahey	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan	Excused absence
57.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Katz	Announcement, leave for House rules
		Speaker Lechowicz	Leave granted
		Giorgi	
		Hall, J., Clerk	Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Bradley	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
58.		Giorgi	Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ebbesen	Questions Resol. # 614
		Speaker Lechowicz	TOOR
59.		Walsh	Questions Res. 620
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Grieman	Ref. Equal Rights?
		Walsh	'Okay'
		Clerk O'Brien	HR-617
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Houlihan, J.	Hear HJR 67



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	Resolution not printed!
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
60.		Clerk O'Brien	Adjournment Resolution
		Speaker Lechowicz	Resolution adopted Request Session recessed
			FIRST SPECIAL SESSION
	3:53	Clerk O'Brien	Messages from Senate Senate Joint Res. #4
		Speaker Lechowicz	Resolution adopted
62.			SECOND Special Session
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Joint Resolution #3
		Speaker Lechowicz	Resolution adopted
			Second Special Session Adjourns Sine Die
			First Special Session Adjourns sine die
63.			Regular Session reconvenes
		Clerk Hall	Incroductions and First Readings
		Speaker Lechowicz	at ease for five minutes
		Mudd	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Exec. Com. cancelled
		Geo-Karis) Question
		Speaker Lechowicz)
	4:03		House reconvenes
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from Senate
		Speaker Lechowicz	House adjourns until noon
			March 1st.....

