HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

179TH LEGISLATIVE DAY

NOVEMBER 18, 1976



#### NOV 1 31976

Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order and Members please be in their seats. Roll Call for attendance. Representative Matijevich moves for a division of the House. Introduction...First Reading, Introduction of the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4023. Redmond. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 1 of an Act in relation to compensation to Members of the General Assembly. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "In case you get excited, that's the one that permits to be reimbursed for the second travel in a week...under the present laws you can only be compensated once. If you have two days, it's adjournment, and you go home you can't get paid, so that's what this Bill is. Representative Hudson here? A little veto motion...Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 233. Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 1914, Representative J. David Jones. 1914, J. David Jones. Out of the record. 3189, Representative Capparelli. Out of the record. 3362, Representative Shea. Out of the record. 3721, out of the record. 3803, Representative Porter. Representative Porter. Representative Polk, will you stop disturbing Representative Porter? Out of the record. 3858, Committee of Agriculture, Representative Schisler, Chairman. Out of the record. 3924, Committee of Cities and Villages, Representative James Taylor. Out of the record. 3973, Cities and Villages, Chairman Taylor requests that it be out of the record. Item and Reduction Vetoes. We haven't authorized the taking of pictures yet. Not yet. Item and Reduction Veto Motions. 3376, Representative Chapman. Out of the record. 3377, Representative Byers. Representative J. Dunn, 3377? Out of the record. House will come to order. We'll be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father and the Son and the



# NOV 1 8 1976

Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House with Thy service this day. A wise person observed the price of freedom is always high. Let us pray. Our Heavenly Father, we are grateful for the beginning of this day to which Thou hast called us in special service in governing the peoples of this State of Illinois. We are grateful for the special gift of freedom which Thou hast blessed this great nation of ours. We ask of Thee this day to keep our hearts and minds in tune with that precious gift of liberty so that all our deliberations and legislation may be in harmony with the Divine purpose rather than personal pleasure and partisan patronage. May each of us answer only to Thee,

Speaker Redmond: "Is everyone on the Roll Call? We took the Roll Call for attendance before. Maybe we better take another Roll Call for attendance. Roll Call for attendance. The House will come to order. Representative Washburn, do you seek recognition?"

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for the record I'd like to report that Representative Molloy is absent again today due to the hospitalization of Mrs. Molloy. Thank you. You want my other...?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Washburn: "Oh, okay. The Republicans are asked to meet in Room 118 for the purposes of a Republican Conference at a quarter to twelve. And, Mr. Speaker, I think 45...or I hope 45 minutes is sufficient and we would hope to be back on the House floor about 12:30. And Republicans meet in Room 112, or 118...118 immediately and hope to return about 12:30."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, do you desire to....

Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, there'll be a Democratic caucus at a quarter to twelve. Do we have a room? Representative Lundy has invited us to Bauer's for our caucus."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll get the room number in just a moment."



#### NOV 1 3 1976

Berman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman, Room 114."

Berman: "All right, thank you, Mr. Speaker. There will be a

Democratic caucus in Room 114 in five minutes. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Back at 12:30. House will stand in recess until 12:30."

Berman: "Will the Democrats please come down to Room 114 now for a caucus?"

#### RECESS

Doorkeeper: "All persons...all persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery?"

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. The Order is Total

Veto Motions. Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 3362.

Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill
3362 was the supplemental appropriation of the Comptroller's.

I got a call from Mr. Lindberg this morning and I want to
table that motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Hearing none the motion is tabled. 3364."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 3364 is the supplemental appropriation to the State Board of Election and I now move to table the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Hearing none..."

Shea: "The State Board of Education."

Speaker Redmond: "Motion is tabled. 3637, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will you pass that for a minute?"

Speaker Redmond: "Return to House Bill 233, do you want to take that out of the record? 1914, Representative Jones? Out of the record. House Bill 3189, Representative Capparelli. Out of the record. 3721, Representative Miller. Out of the record. 3803, Representative Porter. Out of the record. 3858, Representative Schisler. Out of the record. 3924, Representative Taylor, out of the record? 3973, Representative Taylor, out of the record? 3973, Representative Taylor, out of the record. Amendatory Veto Motions.



### NOV 1 8 1976

Representative Shea."

Shea: "Yes, I'm wondering if we could go back to 3637?"

Speaker Redmond: "Total Veto Motions, House Bill 3637. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move to....that House Bill 3637 become law the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. Now I discussed this with Mr. Washburn. This is a Bill that would allow the purpose of the Educational Facilities Act...would allow this to build facilities at private colleges similar to the Library of Congress in the United States. Both Northwestern University and the University of Chicago are very much interested in this Bill. I don't know how many people of you have heard from Julian Levy at the University of Chicago, but this would allow the Illinois Educational Facilities Authority to issue bonds to build these institutions. And I would certainly try to answer any questions if there is from any Member; if not, I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call of three-fifths."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass....Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Shea: "Yes."

LaFleur: "When you mention bonds, Jerry, what type of bonds were you speaking of?"

Shea: "My understanding...are...these are revenue bonds."

LaFleur: "That are to be supported by the institutions?"

Shea: "Yes."

LaFleur: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain, are you seeking recognition?"

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield?"

Shea: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

McClain: "Jerry, on page 2 of the Governor's Veto Message, the paragraph at the top he claims that...the Governor claims under it, only a private not for profit organization would have to be



located adjacent to the campus' and not thereby...1t goes on 'not thereby across the street'. Do you find that accurate in your wording of the Bill, I mean, if he's...if he's accurate then, would you intend for someone to make arrangements for that to be changed next year, or..."

Shea: "It's my understanding from the both the University of
Chicago and Northwestern University that under this Bill
they can build the type of buildings that they're talking
about. And what they're attempting to do is build within
the City of Chicago a building similar to the Library of
Congress. They'd be archive type buildings where they'd
house documents, et cetera."

McClain: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think in considering this motion to override the Governor's Veto we ought to take into account just exactly what the Governor said. He rightfully pointed out that the Illinois Educational Facilities Authority was established to assist private higher education institutions in the financing of construction and remodeling project. Now what House Bill 3637 would do is to expand the definition of private higher education institutions to include 'related academic institutions' located on or contiguous to the campus at an eligible private institution. But the 'related academic institution' need not be affiliated or associated with the private educational institution. Nor would it be required to grant degrees. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, to comment that these are revenue funds and not general obligation bonds and therefore not a burden on the taxpayers is somewhat deceptive in nature. The passage of this Bill by an override would simply drain revenue funds that otherwise would be available for the private higher educational facilities for the education of children, young people who elect for one reason or another to go to a private



### NOV 1 8 1976

higher educational facility. So on that basis, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I personally concur with the veto of the Governor and urge that it be sustained and not over-ridden."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He..."

Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Totten: "Representative Shea, would...should these bonds fail who would be...who would have the obligation?"

Shea: "It's the Illinois Educational Facilities....could I so that I might clear up something here, Mr. Totten, read a letter I have from a Mr. Thomas Ayers who is Chairman of the Chicago Economic Development Commission? This is addressed to myself. It says 'Dear Mr. Shea: I am writing you as Chairman of the Economic Development Commission of Chicago and in accordance with the unanimous vote of its Commissioners adopted at its meeting on September 10, 1976, the Commissioners noted that the veto of House Bill 3637, a Bill which you have sponsored enlarging the powers of the Illinois Educational Facility Authority in order to assist related academic institutions. Such institutions are not only the inevitable and desirable by-product of the work of Illinois private universities and colleges but they generate significant and important employment opportunity particularly for the young people of Illinois. The veto in our judgment is ill-sponsored. House Bill 3637 is enabling legislation and without budget affect. As you know, Illinois, its cities and peoples must now vigorously compete to hold and attract economic development and employment opportunities within the state. The veto, if sustained will handicap Illinois'. Now I Sponsored this at the request of a Mr. Julian Levy from the University of Chicago. Since, I have been contacted by the people from the University of....Northwestern University and several of the



#### NOV 1 8 1976

of the private institutions within the State of Illinois. This is only enabling legislation. Certainly the Illinois Facilities Bonding Authority will not be used unless there's a necessary reason for these facilities. This only allows them to issue the bonds, it's no guarantee they will be issued. But I think it's a worthwhile piece of legislation. I've been contacted by Senator Harris who is in favor of it and several people from your side of the aisle."

Totten: "Okay, can I just pursue one question then, Jerry."

Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Totten: "If EFA bonds are not, if the revenues are not sufficient, lay back on those bonds, what happens to the building?"

Shea: "They would become the property as I understand it, of the Authority. They're like first mortgage bonds from what I understand."

Totten: "What would the Authority do with them?"

Shea: "They'd sell the building and pay off the bonds."

Totten: "All right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I have just one or two questions..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "Follow up ones with what Representative Totten said, in the event that that latter possibility occurred and the sale of the building was not sufficient to pay off the bonds would that adversely reflect on the State of Illinois' bond rating? Now our analyst, Minority staff analyst, indicated that it would."

Shea: "Well, I would imagine that anytime anybody's bonds go in default that it might adversely affect the financial rating of either that authority or of the state. But certainly as far as I know, no bonds of this state have ever been in default."

Leinenweber: "Well specifically in the instance then, as I understand it, if this veto is overridden in addition to institutions of higher education, private institutions of higher education,



'related academic institutions' which presumably means not owned by that institute of higher education would be that ...would be the debtor or the obligor. Is that correct?

And it would have to look solely at that related institution for payment of the bonds and not the institution of higher education. Is that correct?"

Shea: "If the institution of higher education signed the bonds they'd

be responsible. You talked about a related institution, or

related academic, or related activity such as the American Bar

Association, the American Bar Association would be responsible for it."

Leinenweber: "So in the specific instance you indicate if the bonds were issued to build the institution next to the University of Chicago no revenues of the University of Chicago would be involved in any way, shape or form, is that correct?"

Shea: "Only if the University of Chicago personally want on those bonds."

Leinenweber: "And they would, if they did then you wouldn't need the Bill."

Shea: "Well, you very definitely would need the Bill. First of all, the Bill is only enabling legislation, Mr. Leinenweber. It's up to the Illinois Educational Facilities Authority whether or not they would issue bonds under this Bill. And I'm sure their general counsel, Mr. Fletcher, will not authorize or let the board do anything that would in any way hurt the Authority."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill passed the Higher Education Committee without a dissenting vote and as Representative Shea indicated, what we're talking about here would be a center for a research library which would be part of a national network which would make available to private institutions, books which they otherwise might have to purchase at great cost, but which would be available here through the means of circulation. And as far as the bonds



#### NOV 1 8 1976

are concerned, while the...while the facility, the educational facility will be issuing the bonds they're not going to be saleable unless the library can produce the necessary market credentials to get...to get the bonds at market rate. So I would say that this is a good piece of legislation. The Governor's reason for vetoing it is not a serious one. And I would urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea. Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we are all aware that there is a great deal of interest and the need for this substantive piece of legislation which would merely...which would permit the Educational Facilities Authority to construct this library. I understand, and I don't know whether Jerry pointed this out or not because I was tied up, that 90% of the funds that would be used would be federal monies and 10 would be of the Authority itself.

And I think that the cause that some of us are concerned with with the failure of the bonds that might affect the state's bonding rating, I don't think that's an unusual clause at all and certainly I would ask for an 'aye' vote too."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Shea to close."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd ask for a Roll Call. I think it's a good piece of legislation and I would appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass notwith—
standing the veto of the Governor? Those in favor vote 'aye';
opposed vote 'no'. Requires a 107 votes. Have all voted who
wish? All voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.
This question there's a 122 'aye' and 19 'no'. Gentleman's
motion prevails and this Bill passes notwithstanding the veto
of the Governor. Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill
1080. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "...Okay. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
House Bill 1080 passed this House overwhelmingly after having



been improved in Insurance Committee. What it does is require insurance carriers who offer group health insurance policies to also offer coverage for mental and emotional and nervous disorders of conditions and makes it clear that registered psychologists as well as M.D. psychiatrists are eligible for the reimbursement. The Governor's Amendatory Veto, I hate to admit, did slightly improve the Bill. He provided for a better definition of psychologists, clinical psychologists and that limited the definition of physician and improved it. And also limited the type of health insurance that must be offered to that that the state employees already have. So the Bill now mandates that insurance company offer, the group doesn't have to accept, a type of mental health insurance that our state employees, and you and I who are covered by the state plan already have in our health insurance, and therefore my motion is to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change as attached with some slight changes in the motion herein. And therefore I move to accept the specific recommendations for change in House Bill 1080..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion?"

Pierce: "...And I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "We will."

Kempiners: "I've got a copy of the Bill in front of me. Does he limit the psychologists only to those who are qualified by training to practice this type of psychology or...the part of the problem that we've had in the past is that the Psychologists Registration Act does not differentiate among the various types of psychologists. Does he make it clear in the Amendatory Veto as to what type of psychologists would be eligible to collect?"

Pierce: "That is right. The Governor pointed out that registered psychologists, which is all we do in Illinois is register



psychologists with a little too broad a definition, and he changed it to clinical psychologists and then mandated that the Department of Insurance define what a clinical psychologist is who would be qualified. And we're willing to go along with that change and I'd agree with you that does tighten up and improve the Bill by limiting the reimbursable deliverers of the mental health service to clinical psychologists rather than to all registered psychologists."

Pierce: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce, Representative Pierce, the Parliamentarian advises me there's no effective date in the Bill. Are you aware of that?"

Pierce: "The Bill will be effective July 1st..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Pierce: "Of next year."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Any further discussion? The question is, shall the Governor's specific recommendation...oh, pardon me, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, will the maker of the motion yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Lundy: "I...Representative Pierce, have received some inquiries from practicing psychologists who were concerned about the fact that clinical psychologists is not a term with an ascertainable meaning; at least those within the profession don't seem to be able to agree on exactly what a clinical psychologists is.

And so I'm wondering whether your action in moving to accept the Governor's recommendations on this Bill has the support of the professional societies and organizations within the state who represent psychologists?"

Pierce: "Yes, Mr. Lundy, the Illinois Psychological Association is officially in support of the...moving to accept the Amendatory Veto. They have a definition of clinical psychologists. I suggested it to the Governor's Office that they place it in their Amendatory Veto. They said it's about a page and a half



NOV 1 8 1976

long and it changes from time to time and therefore they would rather have our Director of Insurance after public hearings come up with that definition and that's the way we left it. And I can assure you that the Illinois Psychological Association which speaks for the psychologists of this state are in favor of accepting the Amendatory Veto."

Lundy: "Thank you, Representative Pierce. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and

Gentlemen of the House, I...in light of Representative Pierce's

comments would urge a vote to support his motion to accept the

Governor's recommended changes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Representative Pierce, am I correct in assuming that this also is applied to Blue Cross-Blue Shield plans which are service, medical service type plans?"

Pierce: "Yes, we put that Amendment on in the House so it's a Bill passed and include not only the private also the Blue Cross-Blue Shield are covered by it."

Terzich: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Shea."

Shea: "What's the limitation now on what a policy will cover for mental disorders?"

Pierce: "Right now there's no requirement at all that an insurance company offer mental health coverage. And this Bill doesn't set any limitation, it sets minimums. They must offer at least a ten thousand annual and with a 50-50 participation. There's no limitation in the Bill. The Bill establishes a minimum where at present there is no minimum."

Shea: "All right, but under this Bill, the insurance companies could go ten thousand then it's a 50-50. Under the present coverage that we can get the major medical will cover any excess and like with our state policy it's an 80-20 policy, isn't that right?"

Pierce: "Right now there's no mandate that they offer anything. I'm not sure about the state policy being 80-20, at any rate it doesn't affect...it allows them to offer greater coverage, to negotiate greater coverage



but it sets a certain minimum. And I do agree with you to this extent, I would make the minimums probably if I were writing the Amendatory Veto higher than the Governor did, but we can live with these minimums remembering again, Representative Shea, these are not limitations they are minimums that they must offer. Of course, they can offer greater benefits. And I understand that these benefits are similar to what we have under our State Blue Cross policy."

Shea: "Well, it's my understanding that right now major medical will cover, you know that...normally that area from ten thousand dollars up. And what I'm concerned about in this is that if we go with this we're giving a mandate to the insurance companies to go ten thousand dollars which we...which the policyholder will have to pay 50% and will limit the coverage from mental illnesses to only five thousand dollars. Now perhaps I don't understand the policy or the Bill right, Dan, but would you mind taking it out so we can go over it?"

Pierce: "No, I think you understand it. I explained to the medical society many times, and they finally agreed with me, that the Bill right now there's no minimums, there's no requirement..."

Shea: "Well, I want to assure you, Dan, I haven't talked to anybody from the medical society about this Bill."

Pierce: "Well, they're the ones who raised the point. And I've explained to them that this offers a minimum coverage and not in any way a maximum limitation on a coverage. It requires coverage where there is no coverage at present. It no way restricts or limits those policies that offer greater coverage and I know the medical society would rather not allow the psychologists to compete with them but they're just going to have to compete and I'm sure that psychiatrists will do all right even with this Bill as they are at present, the M.D. psychiatrists."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, Sir?"



Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will. Representative Pierce."

Geo-Karis: "This Amendment....this engrossing that you've got here,

did you make another Amendment on it, that it..."

Pierce: "Yes, we put the Governor's Amendatory Veto with a...into a form of an Amendment because there was some parts of the Governor's Amendatory Veto that weren't clear."

Geo-Karis: "Well, are you aware that the hospitals in our county are opposing concurrence...the Amendatory Veto. What I want to know, Dan, is whether the language you have in your Amendment is substantially the same thing as it is in the Amendatory Veto?"

Pierce: "No, it increases the benefits to ten thousand annual instead of ten thousand lifetime minimum. I have not heard from the Illinois Hospital Association or any hospital in Lake County opposing acceptance of the Amendatory Veto."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Pierce, do you desire...Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Merlo: "Dan, the stipulation that definitely sets forth the 50%, is that necessary in the Bill? I'm inclined to think as Representative Shea that most policies today do go as high as 80%. Now if this is flexible, why bring in the one direct 50% which could create a problem for future policies that are written?"

Pierce: "I agree with you, it's not necessary. I passed a Bill without that provision in it and both Houses...the Governor after consulting with the insurance industry determined that he would put some minimums in that they must offer. And the minimums however in no way restrict greater coverage. I want you to understand, Representative Merlo, that this is not a maximum or a limitation on a coverage, it's a bases..lowest coverage that they must offer. Right now there's no requirement at all that they offer any mental health coverage. So this



improves upon what we presently have. I would agree with you, I like the Bill a little better the way it passed originally but my opinion and those who supported the Bill, and I must be frank with you the State Medical Society never supported the Bill, but those who supported the Bill are willing to accept this...........this Amendment because it provides for a minimum that the insurance companies can live with and the Sponsors of the Bill can live with."

Merlo: "Representative Pierce, I just think that the inclination would be that these companies would definitely make this a stipulation in the policies for the future. And, of course then, it becomes a manner arbitration and increase in premium rates. And I think this is most unfair."

Pierce: "I don't agree with you, I don't think you understand the provision."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce to close. Give the Gentle-man order, please."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, there is... I would like to say that a Bill when it passes this House is in perfect condition and it can't be improved...can't be improved in any way. After reading the Governor's Amendatory Veto, and there are certain things in there I wouldn't have done, after reading it and analyzing it I'm convinced in my mind and so is the Illinois Psychological Association, and so are the providers of health care,...the insurance companies that this Amendatory Veto does in certain areas improve the Bill. It provides for a tighter definition of psychologists by limiting the reimbursement to clinical psychologists. It provides for a clearer definition of physician by limiting physicians licensed to practice in all branches of medicine. It does provide certain minimum coverages that must be offered that are in line, we thought, with our state policy. And I feel the Amendatory Veto does improve the Bill. We passed the Bill overwhelmingly in this House last year. It had a Committee hearing in this House; it passed the Senate. And I ask that the Members of the General Assembly accept, as I am

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

# NOV 1819/6

moving to do the changes recommended by the Governor. They improve the Bill and it is good legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to 1080 be accepted by adoption of the Amendment in the motion? All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Final action, 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Representative Mann."

Mann:

"Mr. Speaker, this is very important legislation. As the Sponsor indicated he would have preferred that the Bill remain in its original form but we're not new to the necessity of amending a Bill along the way in order to get it passed. But what we have here is the opportunity to establish a principle, namely, that we spread around to qualified people the ability and the right to treat certain illnesses, namely, of an emotional or a nervous order. Prior to this legislation this was not possible because third party carriers would not get involved. And so what Representative Pierce here is doing is enabling through third party coverage people with immediate needs for treatment of emotional ills to be treated by qualified people. And if you want to think about this in terms of dollars and cents you might recognize the preventative value of a lot of people who might have to go into institutions if they don't receive immediate help. So I don't know why Jerry Shea is in opposition here, or the others, but this is very, very good legislation and you will be doing your constituents a great service by giving them the opportunity to get treatment and help from qualified professionals that they otherwise would not have. It would be wonderful if everyone of the 175,000 people in your district could have access to a psychiatrist..both in terms of financial ability and otherwise, but they're are too few of them. And what we're doing here is really opening up the marketplace for qualified people to help treat the emotionally ill. There's nothing wrong with this legislation. Absolutely nothing wrong. It is not special interest legislation and I hope you will support it."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I, too, want to indicate my support for the Bill. As the Bill stipulates we now have expanded the opportunity, really, for more people to get health care of a significant kind. Emotional and nervous disorders, have increased erratically in our technological culture and what I think we find here is that given the opportunity people make different kinds of choices about the kinds of services and treatment they want. The cost has always been prohibitive in terms of psychological and psychiatric care and I would hope that we see the benefit that expanding, at least the definition of those people qualified to receive insurance money, would encourage more people to accept that kind of assistance. Probably my restriction, from my own point of view is that there are still a lot of other people who are qualified to render those kinds of services. Some people in the religious community are qualified but they aren't psychologically and academically prepared. But these people are prepared. I think we take a big step forward in solving a lot of our problems if we admit that there are different ways to treat nervous and emotional disorders. And I would hope that we could continually pass the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Miller."

Miller: "Thank you..."

Speaker Redmond: "89 votes required."

Miller: "89?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I just want to point out to the Membership of this House that presently under the benefits that are provided to all state employees this is a negotiated item at the present time and in turn what you're doing with the passage of the Amendatory Veto on this Bill is providing that type of service to the other people but under our contract it's a 100% now; 100%. And in turn, with this Amendatory



Veto you can draw within 50% and pass on that cost to the... all state employees. It's going to be recorded as 'aye' go right ahead and do so but what Representative Shea pointed out is quite true. And in turn, any agency that defected with negotiations with Blue Cross or any other medical carrier, what you're doing here is you're losing for all state employees the benefits that has been worked out and negotiated by this administration and previous administrations. I would strongly consider...have you reconsider the position that you're placing yourself at this time. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I could tend to agree with the Sponsor what he's trying to do by this Bill however I don't believe it's the position of the General Assembly to underwrite benefits for any employer or any insurance carrier. At the present time health care costs have an inflationary rate in the State of Illinois of almost 30% and we're asking the people who pay for these benefits to go about and pick up some additional insurance coverage which they may or may not need. Most group carriers do provide coverage for mental health and are doing a terrific job. Now I don't know what the additional cost would be but if we just looked at the cost for our present group insurance of the employees for the State of Illinois you can see it as increased from an initial costs of a few years ago of 25,000,000 and I believe now it's in excess of \$60,000,000. The monthly cost just for the health insurance alone is running approximately \$100 a month for family coverage and we're asking for people to pay more premiums to cover more benefits. And I don't believe it's the position of the General Assembly to make that determination for all the people of the State of Illinois. For that reason I have to vote 'no' on this Bill." Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record.



On this question a 101 'aye' and 19 'no'. Gentleman's motion

prevails. 3485, Representative McMaster, you ready with that

one? Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 3486, the same. 3629, Representative VonBoeckman?"

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I

wish to concur in the Governor's Amendatory Veto in House

Bill 3629. What this does, simply, conveys the Pekin Lake

property from the...which was...originally went to the Pekin

Park District to the City of Pekin. I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Have you got the Roll Call there

yet? The question is, shall the Governor's specific recom
mendation for change with respect to House Bill 3629 be ac
cepted by adoption of the Amendment and the Motion. Those

in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who

mendation for change with respect to House Bill 3629 be accepted by adoption of the Amendment and the Motion. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Hanahan, 'aye'. Requires a 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 136 'aye' and no 'nay'. The Gentleman's motion prevails and the Governor's specific recommendations are accepted. 3850, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I move to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change in House Bill 3850. These...this Bill makes changes in two sections of the School Code and the Governor's proposal in effect will require that students on educational tours be covered by insurance for bodily injury and death. And that school personnel would be covered by insurance for bodily injury.

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 3850 be accepted by adopting the Amendment and the Motion. Those in favor vote 'aye': opposed vote 'no'; 107 votes required. Hanahan, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 147 'aye' and 1 'no', 2 voting 'present'. The Motion prevails and the Governor's recommendations for change have been adopted. Order of Motions. On the Order of Motions appears House Bill 721, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



House Bill 721, I think at this point, most of the Membership is quite familiar with. I did attempt to update the Membership as to pertinent information that has transpired since this Bill was introduced and somewhat of the course it has taken through the General Assembly. House Bill 721 amends the Unified Code of Corrections and creates the crime of the use of firearms in the commission of an offense. Defines the term firearms, uses, and makes the crime a Class I felony. Provides a mandatory, nonreducible prison sentence for the minimum term of the sentence entered. I think as all those Members here that went through the last year's ...this year's campaign realized, your constituency back in your district is quite concerned with the spinoff of crime, many of the criminals that are being released over and over again to commit, recommit the same type of crimes using firearms. There is a loud hue and cry to address attention by state and federal action for gun control. This Bill seeks to address the problem as we discern it. to address those who do commit the crime and provide a mandatory nonreducible sentence. I think that the people that we've talked to are looking for that bit of security that if someone is apprehended and convicted for illegal use of firearms they will then come forward, enter into testimony and make sure that person is convicted and put away. And they want to know that once convicted that man is going to be in prison and kept there for at least the minimum sentence of four years. Now this legislation carries over 80 Cosponsors. We at one time in the early spring had taken this Bill from Committee by a substantial margin and put on the Order of Second Reading. The Bill was read a second time and moved to the Order of Third Reading and at one time off the User List was passed on Third Reading. However, through the movement of the User List the Bill was consequently returned to Committee and I would ask at this time that the House again reaffirm their commitment to their constituents and take a step and support



the Motion to take House Bill 721 from the table and moved to the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, we are here, down here for the purpose of Veto Messages. We are not down here in a General Session to consider House Bill 721. This Gentleman, the Bill is no longer alive. If the Gentleman wanted to pursue House Bill 721 November the 18th 1976 is not the time to pursue this kind of matter. I would oppose the Gentleman's Motion. If the Gentleman takes his Bill everyone else can take their Bills off and we will be here until Christmas in general legislation. I think it inappropriate that we go into matters of this kind. If it is taken from the table there will be no time for Committee Hearings. This is a motion to take a Bill and to send it directly to Third Reading and I would very strongly oppose this as a bad precedent as bypassing the Committee and as not being consistent with what I understood the Leadership on both sides and the Members of the House desired which was a limited Veto Session. And so I would oppose the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and to close. The last speaker had indicated that it was inappropriate. Well. Ladies and Gentlemen of this House. when we're elected the appropriate thing is to address those matters in behalf of our constituency. And at no time would I ever resurrect a Bill or persist with a Bill if it wasn't in the direct wishes of our constituency. Now the last speaker has indicated that it is bypassing the Committee system. It is not. It had a hearing in the Committee. However, the Committee failed to act but the House did act and took the Bill from Committee and put to the Order of Second Reading. I would ask that the Membership of the House look at this Bill, make their commitment to themselves and to their constituents and put this Bill to the Order of Third Reading. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to take



House Bill 721 from the table and place it on the Calendar under the Order of House Bills Third Reading. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'; requires a 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would hate to take the time of the House in something that is not of great magnitude but I think as we had gone through the last year we find that our constituents are very concerned with this matter. I think that if we can take the time to come down here, and as the media would have it, discuss such things as pay raises for Legislators or any other state officer that we certainly can stand here and discuss something that is of prime importance and that is the protection of our constituents in the district. And I would ask for sufficient votes at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question there's 95 'aye.'...Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "May I poll the absentees, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with the poll of the absentees. Representative Lauer is 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes, Beatty, Beaupre, Boyle, Caldwell, Capparelli, Capuzi..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Chapman, Emery..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VonBoeckman, for what purpose do you rise? VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to change my 'no' vote to 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'.

Representative James Houlihan. James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to change my 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'. Giorgi,

'aye'. Willer, 'no'. Proceed with the call of the absentees." Clerk O'Brien: "Davis, D'Amico, Dyer, Ewell, Ewing, Farley, Garmisa,

oh, excuse me, Garmisa is present, Giglio, Hirschfeld, Hudson,

Huff, Kornowicz, Lauer, Madigan, Madison, Dawson, Marovitz,



McAuliffe, McClain,..."

Speaker Redmond: "McClain, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McGrew, McPartlin, Merlo, Molloy, Nardulli, Patrick,

Pierce, Rayson, Riccolo, Rose, Satterthwaite, Schisler,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler, 'aye'. Representative
Anderson, 'aye'. Representative Capuzi, 'aye'. Representative
Lauer wants to vote 'aye' again. Representative Dawson, 'aye'.
Representative Caldwell, 'no'. Representative White, 'aye'.
Representative Riccolo, 'aye'. Representative Henry,"

Henry: "If I'm not recorded I want to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Henry is 'aye'; Riccolo is 'aye'. Caldwell is 'no'. White was 'aye'. Telcser, 'no'. McGrew, 'aye'.

Shea, 'aye'. Wolf, 'aye'. I think...might be advisable to take a new Roll Call. You got them all? Okay. Okay.

George tells us we've got them. What's the score here?

Representative Huff, 'aye'. Patrick, 'aye'. Bradley, 'aye'.

Giglio, 'aye'. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to change my 'no' to 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'. Pouncey."

Pouncey: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to change my vote from 'nay' to 'yea'."

Speaker Redmond: "Aye. Representative Davis,....I just saw your tie there, Corneal, it kind of blinded me. 'Aye'. Representative Kornowicz, 'aye'. Representative Madison, 'aye'. What have you got? On this question there's a 117 'ayes'; 26 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. House Bill 721 will be placed on the Order of House Bills Third Reading. House Bill 3167.

Romie...Judge Romie Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Cunningham and myself were joint Sponsors of one of the two Bills pending in this Legislature, at least in the House, on the reinstatement of the death penalty. Request the Chair that the consideration of this motion be postponed at this time. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor.

3204, Representative Kosinski."



Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, in terms of the motion to discharge on House Bill 3204, the dealth penalty, I still understand the Senate is in a state of flux. To move this at this time without some Senate determination would be wasting the time of the House therefore I wish to hold this motion until we return on the 29th or 30th."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Introductions First Reading."

Clerk O:Brien: "House Bill 4024. Garmisa. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Transportation. First Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 4025, Gerald Bradley. A Bill for an Act to direct the transfer of monies between funds in State

Treasury. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4026,

Byers. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Election

Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4027, Brinkmeier. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the

Department of General Services. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar #1, Total Veto Motions,

appears House Bill 3138. Representatives Palmer and Kosinski.

Representative Palmer, 3138. Out of the record. Representative Kosinski, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kosinski: "Did I understand, Mr. Speaker, that you called the override on 3138 on Supplemental Calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "I called it. Representative Palmer..."

Kosinski: "We're ready."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh. Okay. House Bill 3138."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope you remember and I hope you've got our information through the mail, this is a Bill the House of Representatives passed by a 117 votes which was subsequently passed in the Senate which went to the Governor's desk and was vetoed, I think, from some misunderstanding. To enlighten you, by law we have a Firearm Owner's Identification Act in Illinois. I repeat, by law. And that law states that anyone who has a felony



conviction within five years may not have an application for a gun license. Through your efforts we got the necessary money to fill the computers with those convictions. We now can screen out the people who falsify their applications and ask for a gun permit who have had a felony conviction within five years. The other portion of that law, and I repeat this is a law, was that anyone who was institutionalized with a mental problem within five years may not also get an application for a gun purchase permit. This is the missing link of the law you saw in your wisdom to pass this Bill. must be some misunderstanding we are not invading the right of privacy. The technicalities relative to this are, there's a Department of Law Enforcement will handle the application; the Department of Mental Health not ask for their records. They will redline those who should not receive a firearm and those applications will be denied. I ask you, General Assembly, to follow through on our original vote, override this veto. I support the right of privacy. This is not an invasion of right of privacy and I think it imperative that the statutes that exist, the laws that are on the books be properly fulfilled. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Any discussion? Representative
Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm sure that our colleague, Representative Kosinski, is not for the invasion of privacy but unfortunately the Bill which he speaks on behalf of definitely does invade the privacy of people who are in a very, very vulnerable position. They can't talk for themselves because a lot of them are perhaps institutionalized in the past or presently institutionalized and therefore we must talk for them. Now I don't know how you define invasion of privacy but I think that when your name appears on a list and is redlined and therefore you are singled out as some person with some kind of psychological illness or emotional disorder, if this doesn't constitute an invasion of privacy I guess I just don't know what does. Maybe



too the very idea of the Bill is perhaps objectionable to me because I could never understand why people who feel so strongly about invading the privacy of a few would not go all the way and just ban guns if guns do in fact kill, let's ban them rather than taking the circumscribed limited positions which do nothing more than isolate and single out people who ought to have the protection of this General Assembly. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we have a duty to protect the public in general and I think when a person has been a patient in a mental institution within a past five years I think he might have a potential of being dangerous with a weapon. I think that this Bill would be a very helpful Bill and we have a duty to protect the majority of the public. I can see where it might be rigorous at times but I think in essence it's a good Bill and I am speaking for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Kosinski to close."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Pardon me, Representative Madison had his hand...

and I didn't recognize it."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Madison: "Representative Kosinski, does not your Bill at least give the innuendo that an individual once having had a mental problem for ever and a day always has a mental problem?"

Kosinski: "Jesse, that was not my understanding. The statute of the law as it lays presently is that anyone institutionalized within the five year period shall be so designated as not have been responsible to obtain a firearm; not an innuendo for life."

Madison: "Thank you."

Kosinski: "In closing, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and I'm repeating arguments heard on this floor in the



past, I support the right of privacy but I also support the right of public safety. Were we in Illinois to have occur what occurred in Texas where a man got up on a steeple with a rifle and shot 42 people and then find out that we in our wisdom gave him the right to purchase that weapon, we morally are indicted. Because really an incremental gun law, first necessity is gun screening and screening the people who get them and the felon and the person who has emotional or mental disorders are certainly among those to be screened. And I think that veto is a misunderstanding and I think we could override it. And I thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall House Bill 3138 pass notwithstanding the veto of the Governor. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? 107 votes.

Have all voted who wish? ... Kosinski to explain his vote."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, our vote in this House was a 117 when the same arguments were presented and I think we would be remiss if that board didn't reflect at least 117 and I urge the Members of this House to first screen the applicants for guns if we're going to do anything respectable about guns."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the record. On this question there's a 109 'aye' and 24 'no'. Gentleman's motion prevails. House Bill 3138 passes notwithstanding the veto of the Governor.

Agreed Resolutions. Anybody has any business they want to bring before the Chambers....please advise the Clerk. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 3217 appears on the Calendar and whatever it takes to get it off I move that it be tabled because a similar Bill more far reaching was passed and signed by the Governor."

Speaker Redmond: "Procedure is that it'll stay on here until December the 2nd because it doesn't require the Sponsor to file a motion.

I understand anyone can, so....Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 1006, Jane Barnes.



House Resolution 1008, Lechowicz, et al. House Resolution 1011, Friedland, et al. House Resolution 1012, Riccolo, et al. House Resolution 1013, Redmond, et al. House Resolution 1014, Shea, et al. House Resolution 1015, Ron Hoffman.

Senate Joint Resolution 83, Giorgi. Senate Joint Resolution 84, Brady."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 1006 by Jane Barnes honors Mike Boyer on attending...received coveted rank of Eagle Scouts. 1008 by Lechowicz honors Jerry Scandiff as Man of the Year by the Chamber of Commerce in Chicago. House Resolution 1011 by Friedland honors Chief William Brey on his outstanding contribution to the field of law enforcement. And the Sponsor of the Resolution, Friedland, asks that the entire Membership of the House be listed as Cosponsors. House Resolution 1012 by Riccolo honors Coach Young who is the Streator football coach. House Resolution 1013 by Redmond honors Honorable Samuel C. Maragos on his activities on the House floor now is elected to the Senate. House Resolution 1014 by Jerry Shea honors Bob Craig of Danville. House Resolution 1015 by Ron Hoffman honors the Illinois Park and Recreation Society. Senate Joint Resolution 83 by myself extends the reporting date of the Unemployment Compensation Commission. And House Joint Resolution 84 by Brady honors Bishop McManus. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye'...'aye'...the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Resolutions are adopted. Representative Katz.

Announcements."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, there will be a meeting of the Rules Committee on the day we come back after we adjourn November 30th. It will be at 11 a.m. in Room 114. Members who have filed Bills that they desire to be heard should go into the Speaker's Office



and fill out the required form indicating why they want their Bills heard and those Bills will be posted and heard at 11 a.m. in Room 114 on November 30th, the meeting of the House Rules Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Resolutions. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just an announcement that the

Counties and Township Committee will meet on Tuesday, November

30th in Room A-1 at 1 o'clock. We have two Bills to consider

...if you get there early we'll get out in ten minutes. Thank
you."

Speaker Redmond: "Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Joint Resolution #85. Resolved by the Senate of the 79th General Assembly of the State of Illinois the House of Representatives concurring herein that when the two Houses adjourn on Thursday, November 18, 1976, they stand adjourned 'til Tuesday, November 30; 1976, at 2 o'clock p.m."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummet."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint

Resolution and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives. I move that we adopt."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Resolution is adopted. Any further Resolutions?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1007, McGrew, et al. House Resolution 1009, Brummet, et al."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. Representative Lechowicz, do you seek recognition?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the purposes of an announcement both Appropriations 1 & 2 will meet on November the 30th at 12 o'clock in the State Capitol. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Wasn't there some discussion that there might be a

Democratic Conference at noon on the 30th of November?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know whether....Representative Lechowicz,



we were going to have a Democratic Conference on the 30th at 12 o'clock at which we were going to have the Appropriations, the Chairman of the Appropriations Committees and maybe Economic and Fiscal advise us of certain facts there. Were you aware of that?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I think that the...well, I know as far as

Economic and Fiscal Commission met this morning and passed out
the forecast for the remainder of the year a copy of which was
distributed to the Leadership and every Member of this House
and Senate. I would hope that the Membership would have an
opportune time to read it over the holidays and in turn if there's
any further questions on we'll be more than happy to discuss it
on the 30th. But that information has been submitted to everyone today, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Trouble is that at the conference that was moved and seconded that we have a meeting on the 30th at 12 o'clock noon. And at that meeting we had a 101 Democratic Members and it carried and I think that we're bound by that."

Lechowicz: "We'll meet at ll o'clock, Mr. Speaker, as far as the Appropriations Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you very much. Thank you very much, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Death Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1010. Tuerk, et al. In respect to
the memory of Edward F. Murphy. House Resolution 1017. Respect
to the memory of Mrs. Ida M. Fletcher."

Speaker Redmond: "Further..."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution, 1018, Boyle. In respect to the memory of Mrs. Mary Lee Wood."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think there's House Resolution 1016 that's agreed upon that he was going to read?"

Clerk Selcke: "It's already been read."

Giorgi: "All right, Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of House



Resolution 1016 and the Death Resolutions as ennumerated by Mr. Selcke."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion to adopt
Resolution 1016 and 1017. All in favor, all in favor say
'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

Resolutions are adopted. Introduction First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "...4028. House Bill 4028. Riccolo, et al. Amends

Illinois Valley Regional Port Districts Act. First Reading

of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummet. Adjourned?"

Brummet: "I move we adjourn."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved we now adjourn 'til November the 30th at 2 o'clock p.m. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'; 'no', say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and we now stand adjourned until November the 30th, 2 o'clock. Have a Happy Redmond's Birthday on the 25th."

