

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRED FOURTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JULY 1, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUL 1 1975 1.

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order, the Members please be in their seats. We will be led in prayer this morning by Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy services this day. Amen. Quotation from a magazine called 'Cheer'. How few there are who have courage enough to admit their faults or resolution enough to amend them. Let us pray for daily needs. Our heavenly Father the duties that lie before us this day, as legislators, to consume our duties with finality, the dangers that may confront us, the sins that may beset us. Guide us, strengthen us, protect us, give us our life in such abundance that we may go from strength to strength. Give us Thy power that we may become a power for righteousness among our fellow men and for the good of the State of Illinois. Give us Thy love that all lesser things may have no attraction for us. Let us find Thy power, Thy love, Thy life and all mankind and thus may we serve well the peoples of this State and know Thee, our Father, in heaven. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Introduction, First Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3129. Daniels. A Bill for an Act in relation to residential care facilities for the developed mentally disabled. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, will you pick up your phone? Representative Washburn, have you got your nominees for the Conference Committee in 478? Parks and who else? Parks, Castleman and Selcke. Resolutions. Representative Matijevich."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 445, Shea. House Resolution 446, Redmond. House Resolution 447, Redmond. House Resolution 449, Redmond."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House, House Resolution 445 by the Majority Leader, Gerald Shea, honors our Speaker, William A. Redmond, for nearly two decades and for his leadership and that we express our continuing appreciation to Speaker Redmond for his leadership in this 79th General Assembly. House Resolution 446 by Speaker Redmond honors and commends the Democrat Legislative Interns, Bill Holland, Brigit Lane, and Jane Gallery, for their contribution to the work of the House. House Resolution 447 by Speaker Redmond commends the cooperation of the Democratic...or the Republican Minority Leadership



Honorable...er, James Walsh,...a...and the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that James Walsh?" JUL 1 1975

Matijeich: "James Washburn, did I say Walsh? I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "How do you table that Resolution?"

Matijeich: "A....and...a William Walsh, Art Telcser, Celeste Stiehl, Brian Duff and Tim Simms, and House Resolution 449 co-jointly sponsored by Speaker Redmond and Minority Leader Washburn. We congratulate Dr. and Mrs. Paul Duff of Peabody, Massachusetts, upon...Peabody, it says...upon the occasion of their golden wedding anniversary and we commend them for their many contributions. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Resolution is adopted. Further Resolutions."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 448, Taylor. House Resolution 450, Shea. House Resolution 450, Shea. Resolved by the House of Representatives, 79th General Assembly, State of Illinois, the Speaker shall appoint a Committee of five Members of the House, three from the majority party and two from the minority party, on the recommendation of the Minority Leader, to be known as the Journal Review Committee, and be it further resolved that the Journal Review Committee shall examine and review all Journals of the House of Representatives, 79th General Assembly, and may give final approval to such Journals and shall report from time to time to the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, with leave of the House, I move for the immediate consideration and adoption of the Resolution."

Fred Selcke: "It's the Resolution appointing the Journal Committee. Approval of the Journal. Any discussion?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion?"

Fred Selcke: "All in favor signify..."

Speaker Redmond: "All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it, the Resolution is adopted. Roll Call for attendance. I suggest that we don't push any button but our own. Representative



Dunn, for what purpose do you rise?" JUL 1 1975

Dunn: "I guess I just wasn't paying enough attention. What are we taking now, another attendance Roll? Or are we on the Resolutions on the board?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, this is for attendance."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Everyone that is here...a...everyone here that...a... have you indicated by depressing the present button? Mr. Clerk, do you want to take this? Fred, do you think we should have a print-out here? Do you want to take a print-out here? Oh. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 3017, Representative Lechowicz. 3017, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are on the subject of Senate Amendments to House Bill 3017, early this morning, and with...a...we were in the discussion of Amendment #2, Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 3017, which added \$1,500,000 from the Road Fund to the Secretary of State, so he could comply with the Act requiring liability insurance. Mr. Speaker, I move that we do concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3017."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3017. Those in favor vote aye, opposed, vote no. Have all voted who wished? Takes 107 votes. This is final action, it takes 107. Representative Luft, aye. Have all voted who wished? Representative Mahar, aye. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 aye, 5 no, and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3017. Amendment #3. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #3, which adds \$3,656,000 from the University Income Fund, this because of the increase in enrollment, there will be more money in this fund and this Amendment allows them to spend the additional revenue. It also adds \$55,000 in General Revenue for installing a utilization monitoring device and I move the House do concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House concur in Senate



Amendment #3 to House Bill....Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the sponsor could give us a more clear explanation of the first item in this Amendment with the dollars on there. Where did that come from and why did it happen and why does it appear on this omnibus Bill?"

Lechowicz: "The \$3,656,000 comes from the University Income Fund do to increased enrollment. It is broken down as follows. \$2,100,000 is appropriated to the University of Illinois from the University Income Fund. \$948,000 from the Board of Regents Income Fund for Northern Illinois University. \$398,000 from the Board of Trustees from Southern Illinois University. \$220,000 to the Governor's State College of Eastern Illinois University, and \$65,000 for the monitoring device at Eastern Illinois University."

Totten: "Why is it being appropriated to where its appropriated for and what's it going to be used for there?"

Lechowicz: "Pardon me?"

Totten: "What is it going to be used for in those line items? Where you indicated its going to?"

Lechowicz: "Well they have them broken down....the University of Illinois, personal services at Northern Illinois, personal services at Southern Illinois, personal service for Eastern Illinois. Its on Amendment #3, which was distributed last night."

Totten: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Collins: "Representative Lechowicz, Section 1 is \$2,100,000 from the Income Fund for contractual services. Can you break that down and why from the Income Fund?"

Lechowicz: "Because of the increased enrollments, they have additional income. And as far as the break down on contractual services, I'm sorry, I don't have that answer. I'm sure you can get a hold of Bill Rayson and he'll try to explain it."

Collins: "Well I wish somebody could explain it. I don't know we're



coming in on July 1st with another \$2,100,000 out of the Income Fund for contractual services. The next item on the Board of Regents is \$948,000 for personal services..."

Lechowicz: "Increased enrollments....increased enrollments, that's where this money comes from."

Collins: "Well then Ted, tell me, why wasn't this contemplated prior to today?"

Lechowicz: "Well I guess what they anticipated was a decrease in enrollments as has been going on nationwide, but thank God, in Illinois, we had an increase in enrollment."

Collins: "Well I don't know if that's anything to be thankful for or not, but I find it kind of surprising that they just awakened to it this week."

Lechowicz: "I just want to bring it to your attention that this Amendment was put in the Senate a couple of weeks ago."

Collins: "Well, we're seein it now. Do we have increased enrollment in all of our State universities?"

Lechowicz: "The increase in the enrollments are the ones that are listed here as far as that's where the money comes from."

Collins: "Well by God, we've been ripped off for so much money by these universities, I don't see why we should go any further on it on this last date."

Lechowicz: "It doesn't come out of General Revenue, I don't know what to tell ya. It comes out of the various universities income funds which is paid by the students....er...the number of students that they have at the university."

Collins: "I'm aware of that...I'm aware of that, that's coming out of the income fund, which is largely accumulated through the imposition of student fees, which is a rip off of the students too."

Lechowicz: "What you consider a ripoff and what I consider a ripoff are two different things."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."



Ryan: "Representative Lechowicz, is this...are these increases being put in here in anticipation of the Governor's 6% or 8% cut?"

Lechowicz: "Not to my knowledge. No sir."

Ryan: "Well why do we have these now in this Bill when all of these Bills went through the regular channels in Appropriations? Were these amendments that were killed in the Appropriations Committee?"

Lechowicz: "No sir they are not."

Ryan: "I'm not sure I understand why now we have to put them in the omnibus...in this Bill, can you tell me why?"

Lechowicz: "It was the only vehicle available."

Ryan: "Well why weren't they put into the regular Bill...of the...a... university? We held extensive hearings on these."

Lechowicz: "Well the increased enrollment as far as summer school students was not anticipated at the rate that the number of schools that have applied for those positions. Well how much of an increase, as an example, is the University of Illinois in enrollment? Now you've got it in the University of Illinois for contractual services and for the Board of Regents, you've got it for personal services."

Lechowicz: "Correct."

Ryan: "What kind of an increased enrollment are we talking about here?"

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, I do not know."

Ryan: "Well then you don't know how the figures \$2,100,000 came about?"

Lechowicz: "I gave you the only information that I have."

Ryan: "Well I would recommend that we do not adopt this Amendment, or concur with this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 3017. All in favor vote aye, opposed, vote no. 107 votes required. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Yeh, thank you Mr. Speaker, I just want to point out to the Members of the House that on the whole House Bill 3017, and this Bill left the House with two billion dollars in it. If we adopt all the Amendments, its up to forty billion. This cut in contractual services, also, I find out, is to restore the 6% that was cut...a...so this is rather a ruse on the Members of the General Assembly and I think that this Amendment should not be concurred with and we should vote no on it."



Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted...Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well Mr. Speaker, for the last...for the...a...edification of the previous Speaker, the 6% is not included here one iota. And in turn, it was based upon the fact that it was submitted to the Senate two weeks ago due to increased enrollment. What they're trying to do is get the money from the various income funds at the various universities based upon the unanticipated increased enrollments. Its a good enrollment and it should be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Bradley, aye. Have all voted who wished? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well we need five more votes and if necessary, I'll call the absentees, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well Mr. Speaker, at the proper time I'd like to be recognized for verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, you know sometime, the time comes that we have to be responsible and it seems to me that we have reached that place here. I don't want to be reprimanding anybody, but you know, again, there are some things that you can't take care of yesterday, because they didn't happen until today. And one of those things is the money that we are trying to put in this budget now. These students, you do the best you can to estimate how many students are going to be enrolled in these universities, and you try not to over-estimate because that isn't being fair to the system and to the people of the State of Illinois. Now when more kids show up and ask for an opportunity to attend a university then were registered yesterday, it seems to me that its our obligation to take care of those kids. There..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grefman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Stone: "I wasn't finished, Mr. Speaker, but apparently no one cares, I... don't...I really feel that way about it. I care, because those kids that are showing up there are our kids and they are the ones that are asking for the opportunity to have an education. Now we've cut our



higher education budgets over the past six years. They've been cut each year. Now I'm sure that prior to that there was fat in some of those budgets. When big things grow, you know you always have a little fat. These university budgets, in my judgement, are down to the minimum. If we are going to continue to have...a...a...young people are going to continue to have an opportunity to receive a higher education as all of us did, then we're going to have to furnish a place for them to go. I would sincerely appreciate a few more votes and I also would appreciate it if the Gentleman would withdraw his request for a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and with all credence to the previous speaker, I submit to you, Mr. Speaker, that even though this is July 1st, that we are down here as watch dogs of the people's money and not lap dogs to the higher education system and I could care less whether or not we take a long hard look on July 1st or on August 1st or September 1st of these budgets."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. Representative... the Clerk will take the record...on this question, there's 112 ayes, and 21 no, Representative Ryan....Representative Ryan?"

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully request a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a verification of the Roll Call. Prior to that, Representative Lechowicz had indicated that he wants a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk. We're going to have to dump this Roll Call, one of the sheets that we used showed the names of Members that no longer belong to the General Assembly, so we'll have to vote again. Those in favor of concurring with Senate Amendment #3 indicate by voting aye and only vote your own switch. Opposed, no. Have all voted who wished? Representative Stone, aye. Representative Cissy Stiehl, no. Stiehl, no. Have all voted who wished? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, in order to save the time of the House, I move that we non-concur with Senate Amendments #3, #4, #5, #6, #7 and #8 and get them back in the Conference Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House non-concur with Senate Amendments 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it and the House does not concur with Senate Amendments 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8. Request for a Conference Committee, Representative Lechowicz? House Bill 3096, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on House Bill 3096 I move that we non-concur with Senate Amendment #1 and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that we non-concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3096. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Yeh, I was just looking for my Conference Committee Report. Is there only one Amendment on that?"

Speaker Redmond: "It appears from the calendar, there is only one Amendment."

Totten: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The House non-concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3096. Representative Washburn, will you give us the names of your nominees to 3096? And for 3017? Representative Friedrich?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, am I on that motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "510."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, yesterday when...a... this Bill failed to get the 107 votes necessary to make the money available now, instead of a year from now, I filed this motion to reconsider because I thought, today, we might need a vehicle to bring this Bill back and pass it with 107 votes, which could probably keep up from having to come back here in a special session to pass this one appropriation Bill. This is the appropriation for the Department of Labor. I am not the sponsor, but I wanted to get this motion in as a vehicle to do what needs to be done I think. So at this time, having voted upon the prevailing side, I would like to move to reconsider the vote by which the House...a...adopted the second Conference Committee report on House Bill...er, Senate Bill 510."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea on the question."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've discussed this with the leadership on both sides of the aisle and I would move to concur in the Gentleman's motion so that we, perhaps, could get this Department funded in this fiscal year."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to reconsider the vote. Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, the issue is not now, as to this Bill, and never was, with the Department be funded. We all know that Department will be funded. The clear-cut issue on this motion to reconsider is whether or not we are prepared or not prepared to put back into the Department of Labor a safety division section which will be designed to protect the State employees. That is the clear cut issue. So if you're voting to fund the agency, that isn't it. And I think on this motion to reconsider, we should determine in our minds whether we think that that safety division is sufficiently important to protect the 100,000 or more State employees or not. If we think so, then I think we should reconsider and I think we should also think in terms of the next step and the next step. If you feel as I feel that that Department is vital to the function of the Department of Labor, then vote to reconsider, but I think we should also go one step further and demand that the Senate join with us in restoring that money which has been cut out so that labor will not only be paid, but also will be protected in their job, safety and healthwise. That's the issue."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "With all respect to Representative Washington, I understand his concern, but I'm kind of a practical guy, and I think at this point it just boils down to whether the Department of Labor has any money to operate on beginning today. Now, as far as sending it back for a third Conference Committee Report, I doubt very seriously if it got back over there to get enough votes out of the Senate to get back here at all and we'd be right back where we are with the necessity of the Governor calling a special session to fund this one Department. Now I hope that you'll be as practical as I am, and if this thing that Representative Washington is concerned about,



can be handled in some other manner, but I think we'd be making a mistake if we sent this back for a third Conference Committee Report because I think the Bill would die."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to reconsider the vote by which the second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 510 was adopted. All those in favor of the motion to reconsider, vote aye. Opposed, vote no. According to Rule 64, it takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question...take the record. On this question, there's 116 ayes and no nays, and the vote by which the second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 510 was adopted is reconsidered. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we adopt Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved...Representative Washington."

Washington: "Will the Gentleman please explain for us exactly and precisely and in detail what is in the Conference Committee Report #2?"

Shea: "You did that last night, Representative Washington, it the same one that its on and I move for the vote."

Washington: "Well then if he won't tell you, I'll tell you. Conference Committee Report #2 was the same as Conference Committee Report #1. When that Bill went out of this House, this House had restored three things in it. One, there was an agreed cut of \$55,000, which was taken out. That was Amendment #1. Amendment #2 put \$1,000,000 from the fund for the acceleration of Workmen's Comp payments. Amendment #3 was the bond of contention and it put into that Bill four hundred some-odd thousand dollars for a safety division to be manned by former factory inspectors, civil service employees to the tune of twenty-one skilled people. Their function would have been to guarantee that the high accident rate among public employees in this State, which is almost twice as high as those among private employees, to guarantee that that accident rate would go down by going on the job site, by going into the mental hospitals, by going to the State institutions, and making certain that these buildings and these job sites are up to safety standards. That was the whole core of #3. We went into two Conference Committees. The Senate refused to go along



JUN 1 1975

with the House. The House Members, on the Conference Committee, except for one, refused to go ...to back track. That was the issue and its still the issue. Now if we vote this Bill out....Mr. Speaker, may we have a bit of order?"

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order."

Washington: "Now if we vote this Bill out, we are simply saying to the laboring people who we employ through appropriating funds, we're saying to the labor unions to demand to support this and that the State Federation of the A.F.L.C.I.O. AFSCME, U.A.W. we're saying to them that we're not concerned about the safety of State employees. Now the Senate has come back here today. They're over there prepared to work on the preceding Bill. We sent it to another Conference Committee. Obviously, they have the manpower to do it. You've been forced to stay here from your homes and families another day. We may as well work rather than sit around and jawbone with each other. Its no burden on this House to insist, within the reasonable framework of time, that another Conference Committee be formed to reconsider this matter. The argument will be made that the Senate has a rule which prevents third Conference Committees. I submit to you that if you send this Bill back to the Senate, or refuse to adopt Conference Committee Report #2, that the Senate will reconsider their position. A year ago, the Senate similarly passed out some Bills and walked away and left us holding the bag, as they are known to do. We stood up to a man in this Assembly and told them 'listen, if we're going to work, you're going to work, and the responsibility for funding State government is a bicameral operation and not a unicameral operation'. We finished up our business and left and the Governor called them back and they came back. We don't have to resort to that this time. They were called back today to work. The reason why this session was set at 2 o'clock was so that they would have time to get back. They're here, ready, willing, and presumably, able to work with us in hammering out what I consider to be one of the most vital issues confronting this General Assembly. The safety of workers, and not just the safety in terms of their life and limb, but the safety of the State costs because if these people are injured, they



are no longer productive. They draw workmen's comp. They draw welfare benefits. Its a vicious, vicious circle and we have the responsibility of cutting it off. All that is required from us is a bit of time and hour, two hours, three hours. Do not let anyone humbug you into thinking that if you don't adopt this Committee Report, those State employees will not be paid. They will be paid and you freshmen, you know yourself, based on your limited experience here, that pressure is the one thing that the power-wheelers down here have that you don't have. And if you succumb to that pressure, and those false issues, you're not really living up to your responsibilities. I will submit to you that the best thing that you can do in the twilight hours of this General Assembly is not adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 510, but refuse to adopt it and force the power-brokers to get together and resolve this problem which is so vital to the safety of the people that you have passed laws and appropriations to work for our State.

Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've always had a high trust in the last Speaker. However, as far as I'm concerned, and it has always been the policy of this legislature, that there be no more than two Conference Committee Reports. And I think that we ought to stick to that because when we come to the day when we can have three, four, five, six, an endless number of Conference Committee Reports, we'll never finish our job. Now I think somebody ought to know why we're here. There's a matter of some jobs, and I think the Governor's office is at fault. We can go home. We can go home today in a couple of hours if the Governor's office would sit and talk with probably, the leadership on both sides of the aisle, and say 'we want to go home, we don't want anymore of this dealing as to the jobs'. Now I don't know how many jobs are involved here. If they're trying to save all of the jobs that are involved in those that lost their jobs under the federal takeover of OCEA, but if that's the case, the federal...a...the State made that decision. But all I'm saying is that we don't want a special session, we who are here don't want a



special session of the legislature. We worked more hours in this session of the legislature than in the history of any legislative session. We did our jobs. We're through but there are some here who say that we're not through because they've got a few little things involved that they want to talk about. And believe me, I know that they are talking to the Governor about them. So Governor, get your people talking and let's get out of here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in addition to what Representative Matijevich said regarding Conference Committee set-up, by the passage of House Bills 2868 and 2869, this administration said, in effect, that they don't want to implement the OCEA regulations at the State level, they want to send this back to Washington. We concurred in that judgement. Therefore, the money that is needed to pay those safety inspectors relative to OCEA inspections is not necessary and that was the reason for the cut in this budget. As I recall, it was somewhat in the vicinity of \$425,000. Now it would seem to me logical that despite the fact that we're all interested in safety of State employees, and I think that's a worthy objective, and I think the Department of Labor has the tools and the wherewithall to implement that program, but I think here's an opportunity for us to pick up the \$425,000, take it out of their budget, if we have a problem regarding safety of State employees, we can deal with that at a future date. I would urge you to adopt this Conference Report and get on with our business."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, excuse me, you caught me. I would address a parliamentary inquiry to the Chair and ask Representative Washington, Harold, Representative Washington, this is a matter which involved you earlier in the session, and I wonder if we might not have a vehicle or a way out of our dilemma. Representative Matijevich has rightly indicated that we ought to stick to the policy of two Conference Committees. And I agree with that. I think that's a proper procedure. But I recall on a Bill that I was the chief sponsor, there were some problems, and we had some hard-headed people



who were not about to give in and we went two Roll Calls on that and I'm referring to the Racing Board. We did not pass that legislation. Representative Lundy, who had filed a motion to reconsider to keep that Bill alive, we found another vehicle. What we did was, we put it into 3017. The Senate in their wisdom funded it for six months at a full operating procedure."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of order. I don't think the Gentleman is speaking to the Conference Committee Report #2 that we're trying to adopt here. If he wants to talk with Mr. Washington about it, have him walk across the aisle and talk to him about it."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. Representative Houlihan, confine your remarks to the question of the Conference Committee Report, Senate Bill 510."

Houlihan: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I may have gotten out of line here. Let me address the parliamentary inquiry to you at the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Houlihan: "Would it not be possible, since we just appointed a Conference Committee for 3017, to fund the Department of Labor in that omnibus Bill, and then avoid this stalemate that we're in, come back to the General Assembly and then have a favorable vote on 3017, including the Department of Labor, as we have done with the Racing Department and operate in that fashion and then go home quickly."

Speaker Redmond: "It might be possible that the question before the House now is the question of the second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 510. We'll have to confine ourselves to that. Are you finished, Representative Houlihan?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, is the adoption before us or the..."

Speaker Redmond: "The adoption. We have moved to reconsider on the question of the adoption and the question is before us."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The



JUL 1 1976

16.

question is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move for the adoption of this Report. The amount that everybody seems to put in question is about \$400,000 in a \$66,000,000 budget. I would move for the adoption of the report."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 510. Those in favor of the motion, vote aye. Opposed, vote no. It takes 107 votes.

Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I feel just a little bit coerced on this vote. I'm not too sure how I'm going to vote, but I say this, I listened to Representative Houlihan and Representative Matijevich and both of them somewhat critical to Representative Washington. I feel that if we're going to have to vote just because past policy has been that we only have two Conferences, then forget it. What some of you have done in the past doesn't stand up too well for me today. And as far as I'm concerned, I want this particular Conference Committee Report to either go up or down on its merit, and not this old stuff that we've only had two Conference policies...the policy of two Conference Meetings in the past and the Senate won't take it. Well if they won't take it, they can do without it. Now I think this particular report, you should vote on it whether you agree with it or not agree, and not just because some guys that have been here a long time say 'that's the way we've always done it'. Well maybe its time that we quit doing it that way."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to point out again that this Bill has had a fair hearing in both Houses. It has gone through the business of two Conference Committee Reports, which is all any other Bill on this floor has ever had. Now it boils down to this simply. I question very much whether they could get a 60% vote in the Senate now. The problem is that this Department, starting today, has no money with which to operate. Its obvious to anybody



that if we do not pass this Bill in its present form, that there will have to be a special session to fund this Department. Now there are other ways to get the money and to...for Representative Washington to get a hearing on his matter. I urge you with all of the know-how I have to get off those yellow lights and give this Department the money."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the reason that we are back here on July 1st is because we haven't taken care of our business in the time allotted to us in this 79th General Assembly. Now what Representative Washington has said is absolutely correct. We did have two Conference Committees, but as brought out on this floor last night that the Conference Committees consisted of the power brokers who did what they wanted to do and came back up here on this floor and expected to cram it down our throats. Now let's...let's...let's look at this thing realistically. Representative Friedrich says he's a practitioner, I am too. Representative Matijevich says let's go along. Well, I'm tired of going along. There's a way to conduct government and thought, Mr. Speaker, with you in the Chair, that we would conduct this Assembly in an orderly fashion. And I, for one, am getting sick and tired of a small group of power brokers taking over and making the policy and conducting all of the inside dealings and then come back up on this floor and expect the rest of us, who are as about as intelligent as they think they are, and buy it. Now we are here because we need to straighten this thing up and there's only one way to do it. Its very simple to have another conference, and get this matter straightened out like it should. Yes, the Senate is over there, we don't have any joint rules. We drafted rules before we opened this Assembly and we haven't adopted them yet. So the Senate is no more than this Body is. And I would suggest to everyone here who has their...a...a...a...knows that they are as intelligent as anybody else, we have our votes and I think that we better start using it or this whole governmental process is going to pot. And I would suggest that we do not adopt this...a....this....a....program."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman from Madison alluded to the past and maybe things ought to be different. But let me tell you, if you want to change that policy, things will really be different. We're never going to fund any agency of State government. Our responsibility is to fund the agencies of State government. Now we could have another Conference Committee meet, and even though I think it violates our past policy, but there's nothing to say that that's going to solve things. And what we're going to do in the future, we're going to have other sponsors of Bills say 'give me another Conference Committee' and another one and I just think that's bad policy no matter what you judge the past to be. And I say that we've got an obligation. We came here in this session of the legislature and said we're going to do something for labor, and there's a lot of good things we've done for labor, but nothing good if we don't fund the Department of Labor. We haven't done our job and I want to tell you that there's some who want us to be here in this special session and I say that if the Governor wants that, he's going to have to shoulder that blame. I think he wants us here in a special session of the legislature and I think that's wrong and if we are called into a special session, I'm going to put the blame where it belongs on Governor Walker. I don't want it. We can finish today and if he cooperates, we'll be out of here. If he doesn't, we won't be."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm a bit confused and a bit amused by some of the dissenters of the rules of this House getting up and talking about what had been the policies in the past. Some of these people are the people that have written the rules for this House and if it was a rule that we only had two Conference Committees, I would like for someone in this House to point out to me, what rules? There is no such rule. And if it had been so important, it would have been incorporated as part of our rules. What we have involved here is certain people in this House, in the other House saying 'you will take what we will offer or you will get nothing'. Well my position is too long we have been



getting nothing. Sometimes there comes to a debarkation point, and this is it. Now if...if...certain people want to vote out a bad Bill as it relates to the laboring man, fine. Do it, but do it without my vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, the issue is becoming more and more clouded.

The issue is not shall we have a special session or not, we're in session now, we can take care of our business now. The issue is not who is to blame, you can blame anybody and cloud the issue if you wish. The point is who's got the ball in their hands at this present moment. We have it and what we're talking about is that we should act based upon our knowledge and not somebody's rules across the rotunda or perhaps the Governor's. The issue is very clear, do we or do we not want to maintain a safety division in the Department of Labor for the safety of our 100,000 employees. That's the issue. Now Mr. Shea, the Majority Leader, stated that the budget was a mere pittance out of a sixty-six some odd million dollar budget. Well that is somewhat misleading because about sixty odd million of those dollars are for the support and security and not for the administration of this Department. The operating expense of this Department, with the 6% cut, is approximately 3.5 million... 3.5 million. This \$400,000 cut represents a substantial cut, which if gone along with by us, will mean that that Department was cut, I repeat, that Department was cut by almost 30%. So, we're talking about roughly 3.5 million budget, \$400,000 taken off, the 6% has already been taken off, and this is a substantial cut on that budget. Let me conclude very quickly by repeating what Mr. Lucco said. I don't think we are bound by rules. If chaos has entered the halls of this chamber at all, they've entered the halls of this chamber because of deadlines imposed arbitrarily. We now know they simply do not work when you get into the hustle and bustle of taking care of the people's business. The fact that the Senate has a rule precluding fair Conference to us should be as relevant as the last year's rent receipt, non-relevant. We say our own rules and the Members of this House have a right to determine when their own good conscience and...."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "I want to take the Bill out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Representative Washington."

Washington: "Just a point of inquiry. The record shows, and I asked it to be journalized, that I be removed as Chief Co-sponsor because I didn't want my name connected with anything that would hurt labor. Am I to assume that Mr. Shea is now the House sponsor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative, I don't know who is now, but you are not."

Washington: "I am not? Well is Mr. Shea the House sponsor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor asked for it yesterday."

Washington: "Who is the House sponsor sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Well then is that motion proper for Mr. Shea?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor, do you seek recognition?"

Washington: "We know what he wants, don't we?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, will you please take this Bill out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "As long as everybody's reciting the rules, some of them know them and some don't, according to our own House rules, all of these Bills past June 30 in Conference Committee are tabled by our own rules, they're tabled and that rule has to be suspended by 107 votes, suspended by 107 votes, not...we've...we've gone past June 30, so look at the rule, look at Rule 69, I think its 69, you'll find out what the rule is. But I want to urge my colleagues here, please don't go past two Conference Committee Reports, please never do that for the good of this legislature, never do that. We will be here every day of every year if you keep doing that. Please don't go that far."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think what we have just done was completely irresponsible, and I think in a few minutes unless I have some indication that the House wants to assume some responsibility, I'm going to table this Bill and let them figure out how to get the Department funded."



JUL 1 1975

21.

Speaker Redmond: "Stand at ease for a few minutes. Representative
Tipsword in connection with, was it Senate Bill 210? Do you wish...."
Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I'll try once again if I might. I would like
to have leave..."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you give the Gentleman attention?"

Tipsword: "I would like to have leave of the House if I might, for the
suspension of the rule for the purpose of placing a motion to
reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 210 was declared passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman attention."

Tipsword: "I'm asking, or making a motion, that the rules be suspended..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman is asking that the appropriate rule be
considered by which....the parliamentarian indicates that the
suspension was done last night, and therefore, the motion to
reconsider is in order now."

Tipsword: "Then I would now move, Mr. Speaker, having voted on the
prevailing side of Senate Bill 210, which was declared in the
records to have been passed, that the vote by which that Bill was
declared to have been passed be now reconsidered."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is
the Bill that was called a few days ago and was passed, but was not
verified and this corrects the...a...problem that we had with the
transcript that will say one thing and the speaker ruled another
with respect to its passage. So in order to get the Bill from the
a...a...that position, the Gentleman must move to reconsider the
vote and I would support his motion in order that we can put this
Bill on the fall calendar because it would have been put on the
fall calendar if it had failed three or four nights ago anyway. So
I support the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the vote by which Senate Bill
210 was declared passed be reconsidered. All in favor vote aye...
say aye ...all in favor vote aye and opposed vote no. Have all
voted who wished? It requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished?
The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 103 ayes,
3 no, and the vote by which Senate Bill 210 was declared passed is



JUL 1 1975

reconsidered. Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, I thank the Assistant Minority Leader and I now move that that Bill be placed on the fall calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to be placed on the fall calendar. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no, the ayes have it, fall calendar. At ease again. The leadership is aware that the smoking lamp is on and that NBC is in the gallery.is trustworthy and reliable so I guess we don't have anything to fear. Messages from the Senate."

Fred Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has received a request from the House for a second Conference to consider the difference of the two Houses in regards to House Amendments #1 and #2 to Senate Bill 478, action taken by the Senate July 1, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Speaker Redmond: "Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments #3, #4, #5, #6, #7, and #8 to a Bill with the following title to which House Bill 3017, further directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate requests a Committee on Conference. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order. Senate Bill 510. On the supplemental calendar #6. Representative James Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 510. I refer to my leader, the Majority Leader, Jerry Shea, for discussion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've worked on this, I've talked to the Director of the Department, he's here with me and he says that they now concur in this Amendment and would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Shea, so its perfectly clear, are you saying, sir, that"



JUL 1 1975 23.

the Director of Labor is approving of approximately 28% in his operating budget?"

Shea: "Sir, I'm saying that the Director is here, he came over with you, he's a friend of yours and I think, probably, you were helpful in getting this solved, and I want to thank you and I'm saying that the Department now approves it."

McClain: "I've never seen a tongue in cheek that...."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 510. Those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I indicated clearly that I, under no circumstances, could vote for this Bill in its present form, which deletes what I consider to be vital to the agency, a safety division for State employees. However, we are all victims of this game called the legislative process and the last minute crunch. I've talked to about twenty people. They are all tired, they want to go home, and I can understand that, but that's the price that you have to pay for, shall we say, getting worn out. I can't vote for the Bill, I'm going to vote present. I don't agree with what's been done, but I see no reason for me to continue that matter any longer because I don't think negotiations will help. I vote present."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan. Have all voted who wished?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I find myself in a position somewhat similar to Harold Washington where we are asked to vote for a Bill which is probably not in the shape it is and we're asked to vote for it because of political pressure, we're asked to vote for it because of the intransigence of some of the younger Members in this House that are trying to establish themselves, as was pointed out. Let me just remind people that when we come back next session, when we try to implement a good program, many Members will get up and harken back to this day and say when we all agreed to go along with



JUL 1 1915

these cuts and this wasn't necessary. Let me just hope that all of you will remember how this was accomplished and not go along with the hoax when people in the next session say 'well this program wasn't necessary last time, so we shouldn't fund it this next time'. And let's hope that we can move on with the business of this House in a more orderly process."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 aye, 5 no, and the House does adopt the second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 510. Grotberg, aye. The House will be at ease for about 15 minutes."

Shea: "Ladies and Gentlemen, Mr. Washburn and I have just left the Conference Committee. They have met and have now adjourned and feel that they are writing the reports and should be with us very shortly. Can we journalize that remark, Mr. Skinner? Where's your clock? Ladies and Gentlemen, the Committee, the Conference Committee on Senate Bill 478 has filed their report. In order to expedite it, I'm wondering if I might have leave to hear that and I will tell you it is identical to the report you have on your desks up to a certain point. And if you will take the...give me the Resolution, will you Mr. Clerk? The Conference Committee Report. Well, has somebody got one of last night's reports they could hand me? 478, Mr. Clerk. If I might, Ladies and Gentlemen with the report that you have in front of you, starting with Section 4, Section 4 and everything thereafter, is deleted. Starting on the first page, Section 4 and everything that follows is deleted thereafter. Now based on that, could we proceed and hear this or do we want to wait until the printed copy comes? Mr. Collins?"

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you're deleting everything after Section 4, which deletes every vendor?"

Shea: "Yes sir."

Collins: "Then every vendor is going to show up in some other Bill?"

Shea: "I don't know about that sir, I want to get this Bill out of the way."

Collins: "Well then I object. I think we should wait for the printed copies."



JUL 1 1975

Shea: "It has gone to the printer. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, I can respond to the Gentleman's question. It's yes, every vendor will be in another Bill. As I understand, the Conference Committee on House Bill 3017, if that'll get us off dead center and we can pass this Bill. The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "With leave of the House, inasmuch as all that additional addenda that was put on in the Amendment in the Conference Committee to which I objected, is removed, basing it on it is removed from Senate Bill 478 starting from Section 4, all the way through to the end of the Bill, for the Conference Committee, then I move that the House do concur with the Conference Report."

Shea: "Well there's only one problem. How would you like to suspend the appropriate rule so that we can hear it without the printed copy?"

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to ask leave of this Assembly to suspend the appropriate rules to hear this without the printed copy based upon the information deduced from the chair."

Shea: "Is there...is there objection? Is there objection? Hearing none...I think the rules require it to be in writing. Hearing none, the motion carries. Ms. Geo-Karis. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well I don't know why you wouldn't take a Roll Call on this Mr. Speaker, we've got time and there's an objection and why not suspend the rules..."

Shea: "The Gentleman then wants to verify it and as he said, the printed copies will be up in a minute. I tried to help the Members of the House, but...the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I think we ought to proceed and let it pinpoint the irresponsibility of the one making the objection."

Shea: "Ms. Geo...Mr. Collins?"

Collins: "If you want to pinpoint responsibility, Mr. Speaker, I don't have to look any further than the Members of that Conference Committee who are attempting to put this House in a trickbag by taking illegal contracts and bunching them with perfectly valid contracts, putting them in a Bill so that nobody could possibly object."



JUL 1 1915

Shea: "That's not before this House sir."

Collins: "Oh yes it is because it was part of this Bill and you're trying to take it out of this Bill by this Conference Committee Report so that's what I'm talking to."

Shea: "No sir, that was part of the Conference Committee Report."

Collins: "I am talking to your Conference Committee Report."

Shea: "Not mine sir."

Collins: "Alright, if you want to continue in your steamroller-like tactics, I'll remove my objection. Go ahead, fool the people of the State again."

Shea: "The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I believe it was I, who last night in the early hours, complained about the vendors being on the State Fair Bill. It was not fair, Representative Collins, in the beginning. This Bill is now back to its original form as it should be, and I would urge you all, now, to vote for the State Fair and the concurrence of this Report. True, it could be very well be in another Bill coming up, but at that time, we can discuss it, but now I would urge you to vote for the State Fair."

Shea: "We are on the Lady from Lake's motion, Miss Geo-Karis has moved that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2. On that question the Gentleman, the Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh, not on that question. On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten?"

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, on that question with Mrs. Kent, I was the other Member of that Republican Conference Committee. We held firm that these contracts should not be in there, what they do with them is something else, but if we're going to pass the State Fair thing, they shouldn't be on there, I was not going to sign that report with them in there, and I didn't. Mrs. Kent insisted the same way, that we prevail. They are not in there, and I think now we can vote aye on the State Fair and take up the problem of these vendors wherever we want to."

Shea: "Alright, the question is on the Lady's motion. Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 478. All those in favor will vote aye, those opposed will vote nay. This takes 107



JUL 1 1975

votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Ms. Geo-Karis, do you wish to explain your vote?"

Geo-Karis: "I simply wish to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that the new Conference Committee Report of July 1, 1974, on Senate Bill 478, deletes everything after Section 3, which provides of the following remarks, and then, from Section 4 on, it is completely deleted and those extra vendor Bills, I don't know how many of them they numbered, are not in this Report."

Shea: "On this question there are 138 ayes, 1 nay, 3 Members voting present, and the House, by a 3/5's constitutional majority, adopts Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 478. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is my understanding that the Senate has receded from Senate Amendment #1 on Senate Bill...on House Bill 3096, and that being the case, I think it would only be appropriate that I indicate to you the current posture of that Bill as it has passed both the House and the Senate."

Shea: "Ms. Geo-Karis?"

Geo-Karis: "Point of parliamentary inquiry. Would the sponsor tell us what was in the Amendment in which the Senate receded."

Shea: "Well let's just let him explain where he is in the posture of his Bill."

Beaupre: "The current posture of Senate Bill, er, of House Bill 3096 is as follows and I would point out to you that this is the House version with only the House Amendments on it. It increases the bonding authorization by a total of \$234,000,000, that is made up of the following increases in the various sections. For State universities, colleges, community colleges, school building commission, \$85,000,000. For corrections and State prisons, a decrease in the authority of \$24,000,000. For conservation, open spaces, and recreation, an increase of 44.5 million dollars..."

Shea: "Mr. Beaupre, so nobody has any question of where we are, would you turn on House Bill 3096 on the board, Mr. Clerk? We are not voting on anything, the Gentleman is merely explaining something



JUL 1 1975

28.

and that's exactly what he's doing."

Beaupre: "In the Section dealing with mental health and public health, no increase. In the Section dealing with the various departments, authorities, commissions, and agencies, an increase of \$123,000,000. The...a...highly debated Space Needs Commission authorization of last night has been deleted from the Bill by virtue of the Bill from their receding from their Amendment. An increase to the Section on waterways of 12.5 million dollars, and an authorization to sell bonds for private medical schools in the amount of \$10,000,000. And if there are any questions, I'd be happy to entertain them."

Shea: "Before we get into discussion on this, the Adjournment Resolution has come over from the Senate. Will you read it Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution #50. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to which Senate Joint Resolution #50, resolved by the Senate of the 79th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Tuesday, July 1, 1975, they stand adjourned until Wednesday, October 22, 1975, at 12:00 o'clock noon. Adopted by the Senate July 1, 1975, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Shea: "The Gentleman from McClean, Mr. Bradley, on the Resolution."

Bradley: "Well Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."

Shea: "All in favor say aye. Opposed, nay. The ayes have it and when we adjourn today, we will adjourn until 12:00 o'clock noon on Wednesday, October 22nd. Now on a little bit sadder note, might I call on our good friend, the Reverend Davis, and could we have some order in the chamber please?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just received a message from Emil Jones, who had to leave, that his little baby, premature baby, had to have an operation. And he said the baby passed about an hour ago and to please get word around as



JUL 1 1975

so many of my fellow legislators was inquiring about his infant."

Shea: "Would you say a prayer, Reverend?"

Davis: "Thank you for inviting me to say a prayer. As the Holy Spirit leads me, eternal God, our Father, we come now to plead for those, this little infant, who can no longer plead for itself. Thou art the same God yesterday, today, and ever. All power is in your hands, we ask you now to comfort those who need comforting. We lift a special prayer for Emil Jones and his wife in this, their hour of bereavement. Unfold your arms of love and protectin around them and give him your peace for it is your peace that the world cannot understand, nor can the world give. Let them no that Thou art God and besides Thee, there is none other. Now, Lord Jesus, I don't know how it feels to die, I have never died, but I know what you said. Sleep ease, you remain in the bosom of mother earth and on the third day You arose from the dead and conquered death and said, 'death, where is your sting? Where is your victory?' Peace be to God who giveth all that went to victory, our Lord Jesus Christ, and then, finally, you said 'let not your hearts be troubled, if you believe in God, believe in Me'. Lord Jesus, let not their hearts be troubled, comfort them and may they be comforted by the fact that his wife is still with him, that the family is still there, and that he has the sympathy of everyone of us who know him. In Jesus' name we pray, Amen."

Shea: "The television and camera lights are now on. Mr. Mann, you sought recognition for something and I'm sorry, but I thought..."

Mann: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would it be appropriate while we're in this semi-state of limbo here, to ask Representative Beaupre a question or two?"

Shea: "Could we let him finish and then get to you?"

Mann: "Oh, I thought he was finished, certainly."

Shea: "Are you finished, Mr....alright, go ahead. This is an informal discussion."

Mann: "Jack, this \$234,000,000, I don't know if that's the exact figure, but it's a ballpark figure. Now is this money new money or money that was restored after it was cut, what's the source of this?"

Beaupre: "Well, as you know, there were several appropriations Bills



JUL 1 1975

that carried the appropriations that come within the purview of these bonding authorizations. A....House Bill 802...a...House Bill 289, and...a...I think we'll see the omnibus Bill....a...House Bill 3017, will carry some minor appropriations, which deal with this bond authorization. Also, in addition, Senate Bill 683. So these are merely a change in the line items of authorization in the Capital Bonding Development Act authorizing increases in the specific areas. Does that answer your question?"

Mann: "Are you saying that these are authorizations that were in other Bills that have now been put into 3096?"

Beaupre: "No, no I'm saying that the appropriations were passed by this House in those Bills which I enumerated, House Bill 802, House Bill 289, Senate Bill 683, and a few very minor appropriations in House Bill 3017. This increases the author....this Bill is related to those other Bills only in that they carry the authorization...they carry the appropriation for the expenditure, this Bill increases the State of Illinois' bonding authority in effect, by increasing the authorization through bonds."

Mann: "Alright, now the bonds with regard to the Division of Waterways.."

Shea: "Excuse me for one minute, Mr. Craig, could you come to the podium sir? Proceed Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Yes, could you address yourself to what those bonds are for?"

Beaupre: "I'll have to get the appropriation Bill, just a second."

I can tell you that the Middlefork Project is not in there, if that's the problem."

Mann: "Well that wasn't my sole concern....a....I was wondering just what they were for."

Beaupre: "I don't have the list of projects immediately on my desk. Representative Mann, I'll be happy to get a copy of it to you."

Shea: "The Agreed Resolutions and on that, the Gentleman from....can I just get this out of the way, now, I'll get back to you. The Agreed Resolutions, and the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 451, Younge. House Resolution 452, Anderson. House Resolution 453, Skinner."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, 451 by Younge honored



JA 1 1975

31.

David Grant, an Attorney at Law and member of the Board of Aldermen in St. Louis, Missouri. 452 allows Representative Borchers to go to France with the Resolution to present to the French people, and 453 by Skinner, honoring the Crystal Lake Herald. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor will say aye, those opposed, nay. And on that question the House does adopt the Resolutions. Now back on Mr. Beaupre's debate, and on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, has a question. I'm sorry, I keep missing things. The Minority Leader, Mr. Washburn, on an announcement."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think every Member of this House should know and will be very proud to learn that the Republican pages of the House of Representatives donated their tips for last week to the Muscular Dystrophy Association of America, and I have a letter from that agency with a copy of a check in the amount of \$118.75 for the tips donated by the Republican pages last week, and we're very proud of them, and certainly they deserve a hand."

Shea: "Now back to Mr. Beaupre and the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Yes, Representative Beaupre, in the Senate Amendment from which they have receded there were \$15,000,000, the idea of which was to increase the appropriation for Children and Family Services to the Department of Mental Health specifically the idea in mind that this money would be available for specialized living centers that were included in House Bill 2075. I realize that there are 77.6 million dollars in that line item that the...at the present time, what is your reaction to the...a...this figure of \$77.6 million being adequate to deal with the specialized living center in question."

Beaupre: "Representative Hoffman and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Conference Committee discussed that line item at great length in that it was indeed the intent of those Members of the Conference Committee to retain the necessary bonding authority to see that those specialized living centers would come into existence. In fact, that



JUL 1 1975

was a hang-up for a while. A...however, our staff reports have indicated to us...a...and indicated in the Conference Committee that there was currently in that Section of the authorizing Act sufficient authority that those specialized living centers could be built projecting the current expenditures over the next fiscal period. It would appear from our staff reports and it was indeed the intent of the Conference Committee to retain for the State of Illinois the power to issue sufficient bonds to cover the building of those specialized living centers."

Hoffman: "Then it was the intent of the Conference Committee that you agreed to recede from the Senate Amendment, that the specialized living facilities would have had in the funding of the authorization of \$77.6 million, is that correct?"

Beaupre: "That is absolutely correct."

Hoffman: "Thank you sir."

Shea: "Now the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly, has a question. Mr.

Beaupre? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly, has a question."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Mr. Beaupre, I'd like to ask you along the lines of thought of these live-in centers, are they similar to what I have in my area, which is called the Howe Development Center in Tinley Park, whereby certain limited numbers of male and female adults are placed into family-type environments and...a... is this what these pertain to?"

Beaupre: "Well, as you know, the Department of Mental Health has been undergoing a program for some time to get people out of institutionalized atmospheres and to give them a greater community feeling and atmosphere by placing them in smaller facilities so that those who have been institutionalized and who have the possibility of being rehabilitated can be eased into an atmosphere which lends itself towards adjusting them to community life. The Department has engaged in studies over the last year or so, for several years, as a matter of fact, to try to devise these kinds of special living centers which lend themselves to creating a proper atmosphere for this transition phase. The specifics in regard to as how they will be built and what their fiscal characteristics will be have not,



JUL 1 1975

33.

to the best of my knowledge, been decided upon, but I would guess, though I'm not familiar with the location that you indicate, that we're talking about a similar type facility, yes."

Kelly: "Thank you. I'd just like to mention one word further. I understand from some very good, reliable sources that some of these limited number of facilities, of course, are mixed with young adults, male and female, and that there are many instances,...well, first of all, the bedrooms are located upstairs. Some of these units have four separate bedrooms upstairs, and some of them don't even have doors on them, and the attendants are down on the first floor, and couldn't even regulate or control anything even if they tried to, and some of the parents of some of these young people that are in there have expressed concern to me about the direction. I'm not bringing this up to stop the program. I believe that some of these facilities, which are based upon a home environment are in the best direction of the mental health people of our State, but I do think that I want to bring it to the attention of the Members of the mental health staff that there has been a problem brought to my attention, I think they should watch it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Yes, I was trying to get your attention on the last speaker. I would like to inquire of the Chair what order of business are we on?"

Shea: "Sir, what we're trying to do, Mr. LaFleur, why we are at ease, we asked Mr. Beaupre if he would explain specifically what was in 3096. We are, in effect, at ease sir."

LaFleur: "I'm just beginning to wonder if the people in the House, if Jack would tell the people so that they understand that 3096 is finished, that the Senate did recede so this is not before the House.."

Shea: "I explained that earlier, I thought, if not, that's the Chair's fault, not Mr. Beaupre's fault."

LaFleur: "Many are misunderstanding the subject that we are on."

Shea: "We are not talking about any Bill before the House."

LaFleur: "Thank you."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."



1 1975

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, in the same vein on 3096, I have had the same questions asked of me in my area, and I've gone out to these places and in my area, and I suggest you do the same in your area. All the Representatives, those that are interested in going to these homes, and...and find out if the pill is being given to the girls. In my area, they are. Because this is a very difficult thing to watch. I'm in agreement with the fact that community living is to a reasonable degree, but there is a very great danger as has already been pointed out. I think all of us should be aware of it and when we go out and check such places, which I do and others, I'm sure do, we should recheck and make certain that proper precautions are being taken because all these young people cannot be watched all the time. Its just impossible."

Shea: "Is Mr. Craig in the chamber? Is Mr. Craig in the chamber? Would you come up to the podium? Mr. Sangmeister on a motion. Mr. Sangmeister has filed a motion with the Clerk, read the motion."

Jack O'Brien: "Motion. I move that the provisions of temporary House Rule 69(c) be suspended and that all Conference Committees which do not report for which reports are not adopted by the House on June 30, 1975, be placed upon the fall calendar."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves....Mr. Sangmeister on the motion."

Sangmeister: "On its...its face, I would like to now amend that motion to read 'July 1st, 1975' rather than June 30th."

Shea: "Read to amend on its face. Is there objection?"

Sangmeister: "We presume that in that motion that that excludes any Bills that were passed today. The parliamentarian thinks that that ought to be part of the record and I agreed."

Shea: "That is now on the Gentleman's motion. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I vigorously oppose the Gentleman's motion and suggest that we have to bring these things to an end sometime. Now there are many controversial Bills that were defeated that were on...in Conference Committee Reports. I might suggest one of them was the collection of taxes by the State for local units of government. There are a couple of other political



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Bills, that one is not political, but I suggest to you that these Bills were defeated and they were defeated fair and square and they should not be brought back to us in the fall to haunt us. Now if someone wants to introduce the Bill in the fall to provide what these Bills did, let them do it. Maybe they can even improve on them.

So I would urge everyone to vote against the Gentleman's motion."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion with....the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry, if they are placed on the fall calendar and if we take House Bill 3017, which funds many of the Commissions that this General Assembly has established, what would be the effect? Could we get money out of fiscal '76? Or would it have to be out of fiscal '77?"

Shea: "Sir, would you explain that to me again?"

Palmer: "I'll run it by you once more. The question is on House Bill 3017, which funds among other things, many of the Commissions that have passed out of this General Assembly, and passed by the General Assembly. Assume adoption of 3017 in the fall on the Commission, would that be a useless act insofar as the money for fiscal '76 is concerned or would we have to reintroduce in 1977 to....to get the money for funding these Commissions?"

Shea: "On that question, my financial expert, Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well Mr. Speaker, awaiting for the Conference Committee Report that's been submitted, I guess its being printed, already has recorded 107 votes, and they'll have their money starting July 1."

Palmer: "If it is not adopted."

Lechowicz: "No Commissions."

Palmer: "Well the Commission, the Commission Bills have passed, the question is one of funding."

Lechowicz: "Funding if its adopted in the fall, that's when they begin getting paid in the fall."

Palmer: "Well I'd like to suggest then, Mr. Speaker, that the Commissions then would only be able to operate, that is the one-year Commissions for a very short period of time and it wouldn't do any good as far as I'm concerned for those one-year Commissions."



1971

Lechowicz: "Well Mr. Speaker I just want to point out that the motion right now is irrelevant because the Bill will be heard shortly."

Palmer: "Why, is there a possibility that we could wait on this motion until after 3017 comes over?"

Shea: "I think we'd like to vote it up or down now. Is there anypardon me? Send the motion to a Conference Committee? Could we put you and Ryan in charge of that? And maybe we could bring McBroom back. Alright, George? Listen, can we put in a Resolution to make a....for his travel voucher. The Gentleman moves for the adoption of his motion and on that question, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well Mr. Speaker, I really rise the question of the motivation of...for this motion. This is more than just a temporary rule we are suspending. It was in our old rules, is in the proposed new rules...a...I believe there was a good reason for cleaning everything out June 30th and I just...I...I...I question the wisdom of suspending a rule at the last minute to put a lot of Conference Committee Bills on the fall calendar. These are obviously Bills that have had some difficulty in getting passed or they wouldn't still be in Conference Committee. I think we should stick with Rule 69(c) and leave it as is and defeat the Gentleman's motion."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the sponsor would yield to a question. Does this have only to do with Conference Committee Reports?"

Sangmeister: "That is correct, that is the nature of the motion."

Schraeder: "Well Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that we in the wisdom of the General Assembly up to this point, have decided that if there were important matters that should have been passed at this session, that includes the end of the year, we would have done it up to this point. We only have one measure left that amounts to anything. I think this is complete out of order, these Conference Committee Reports should die right now."

Shea: "On that question, the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister, to close."

Sangmeister: "Well, I want the House to know that there's no ulterior motive in this, I think we put all those Senate Bills over on the



JUL 1 1975

fall calendar and if we are willing to put the Senate Bills over on the fall calendar, I think we ought to save the Bills that went as far as the Conference Committee Report, and that's all I'm trying to do is save some sponsors of Bills for the fall, as long as we save those other Bills and that's the only reason for the motion. Vote it up or down."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the motion. All in favor will vote aye, those opposed will vote nay. Shea, aye. Would you read the motion again, Mr. Clerk? There's some question about what it does."

Jack O'Brien: "Motion. I move that the provisions of temporary House Rule 69(c) be suspended and that all Conference Committees which do not report, or which reports are not accepted by the House by July 1, 1975, be placed upon the fall calendar. Representative Sangmeister."

Shea: "On that question the Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword, to explain his vote."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, more than just...well in explanation of my vote, I'd like to say that it appears to me that the calendar is in error in regard to Senate Bill 556, for that report was accepted last night by an excess of 107 votes..."

Shea: "Sir, the Clerk's office indicates to me that was in error and I will make that announcement that Senate Bill 556, the Conference Committee on that was adopted last evening."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Do you want to verify it Mr. Totten. I think it takes 89 votes to do this. 107? 89, I think. Alright, let me ask the parliamentarian that question. Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 89 ayes, 57 nays, and the Gentleman's motion fails. Agreed Resolutions Giorgi, the Gentleman from Winnebago."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 453, Giorgi."

Shea: "Yes, go ahead, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this House Resolution congratulates and commends and thanks the Democratic



JUL 1 1975

38.

pages for their...a...hard work in the 79th General Assembly and I move to adopt with our appreciation."

Shea: "All in favor say aye. And those opposed, vote nay, and there are none. Mr. Collins has a parliamentary inquiry."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I call your attention to House Rule 69(c), which says that any Conference Report that has been filed, but not acted upon by June 30th of the year in which the Bill was introduced shall be tabled and not be revived. How is it that we are still operating or working on Conference Committee Reports which, under Rule 69(c), should be dead as of midnight last night?"

Shea: "Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I believe the Conference Committee Reports ...would these be Conferences that are appointed after the 30th of June?"

Shea: "Well they were acted upon before and some after. But they were all acted upon before."

Collins: "Pardon me."

Shea: "They were acted upon before."

Collins: "Before what?"

Shea: "Before...before June 30th."

Collins: "They have been filed, but not acted upon by midnight, June 30th."

Shea: "Did all of those have some action on them prior to midnight, June 30th?"

Collins: "Some action on them. To act upon a Conference Report is to put it to a Roll Call vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, every Conference Committee Report that we've acted upon after June 30th has taken 107 votes. That, in itself, is explicit that we are suspending that rule which requires 107 votes. So I say that if it is not explicit, it sure is implicit by the 107 votes required that it is our intentions also by that action in suspending that rule. The 107 votes required is taking."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, we are talking about an entirely different point. This is acting upon a Conference Committee Report, which has been filed on a Bill on a year in which the Bill was introduced. I submit to you



JUL 1 1975

that, technically, all of these Conference Committees should be dead and the rule does go further and says they may not be revived.

Section b of this rule says that the rule may be suspended only upon the affirmative vote of 107 Members."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker, I think the Gentleman has a point, and I think to get ourselves out of this mess, we ought to move to suspend the provisions of Rule 69(c) for the purpose of considering those Bills which we have acted on indeed, today, and will act upon today. And I therefore, move to suspend the provisions of that rule."

Shea: "On the Gentleman's motion, all in favor will vote aye, those opposed will vote nay. Ms. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Spea..... all right."

Shea: "Take the Roll, on this question, there are 119 ayes, no nays, I present, and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now Ms. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Wouldn't that rule be applicable based on the anticipated adjournment of June 30th, would it not be applicable as long as we are still in session?"

Shea: "We just solved the problem. The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond, has a motion."

Richmond: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 335 in the manner and form as follows:

' Amend Engrossed House Bill 335 on page 1 by deleting lines 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: An Act to make certain changes in the law relating to racing by amending certain Acts herein named. And on page 5 by inserting below line 26, the following: Section 2. Section 2 is added to an Act to amend Section 5, 22, and 28 of the Illinois Racing Act, approved July 17, 1945, as amended. Approved June 24, 1975, Public Act 79-61, the added Section to read as follows: Section 2. This Amendatory Act shall take effect July 1, 1975. Section 3. If any provision of the Amendatory Act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held



JUL 1 1975

invalid, such invalidity does not effect other provisions or applications of this Amendatory Act which can be given effect without the invalid application or provision and to this end the provisions of the Amendatory Act are declared to be severable. Section 4.

This Amendatory Act shall take effect July 1, 1975."

Shea: "On that question, the Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, by word of explanation, this motion would, as you know, we have already passed legislation which would permit and not mandate, but permit parimutual betting at the Illinois State Fair and the DuQuoin State Fair. This motion, if adopted, would merely allow are legislative intent to be implemented. It corrects an error of admissions concerning the effective date on Senate Bill 428, which has been signed by the Governor. This motion will make it possible to proceed with parimutual betting this year instead of waiting until next year. And I respectfully ask your support of this motion."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Perry, Mr.a...from Effingham, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in talking over the evening with my seatmate, here, from Jackson, this here DuQuoin State Fair is in his area, and everything, and he asked me if he could do this, I told him he had my blessings, he could go ahead and try the Amendment, this means a lot to him in his district and I hope the people of the House will support him in his motion."

Shea: "The Gentlemen from Perry, Mr. Dunn. Mr. Dunn?"

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I certainly concur in this effort by my friend, Representative Richmond,...and ...a...Representative Keller, and I'd urge an aye vote on this."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart on the question."

Hart: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlement of the General Assembly. This is the 50th year of the DuQuoin State Fair and its also going to be the first year when the Hambletonian is going to be televised live on...a...television. Its gonna be changed to be run on Saturday this year and...a...they expect with the year's parimutual betting that they will have a full house for



APR 1 1955

the race and that the proceeds of the gate receipts, if parimutual betting is adopted, would increase the profit to the fair association some 50 or 60 thousand dollars during the week of the fair. I think its very important that this motion be adopted this year because for the first time, with live television, with the Saturday running, with parimutual, it begins to put this fair all together. And creates the kind of an image that will give a nation-wide impres- sion of sufficient importance to a attract the Hambletonian Society to continue having this race there. It is the hope of all of us in Southern Illinois that the Hambletonian will be a permanent fixture in DuQuoin, such as the...a...race in Kentucky, the Kentucky Derby. And on behalf of all of the people of Southern Illinois, and on behalf of the DuQuoin State Fair Association and the Representatives of the 58th and 59th District, and others interested in developing the economy of Southern Illinois and bringing the nation's attention to what we have down there in having people come and see it and making this Hambletonian a permanent institution in our State and in Southern Illinois, I urge the support of this motion."

Shea: "Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I wonder...a...if the previous speakers, those who are in favor of this motion, with the exception of Representative Dunn from Perry, a...aren't having a change of heart in view of their vote last night when they voted present on this same matter which would permit parimutual betting."

Shea: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Will the sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will sir."

Anderson: "Representative Richmond, does this apply to DuQuoin only and not the State Fair?"

Richmond. "No it does not."

Anderson: "It only applies to DuQuoin?"

Richmond: "No, it applies to both fairs."

Anderson: "It applies to both. Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the motion, I think that

we should honor the requests of those from Southern and Central Illinois

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



JUL 1 1975

and especially the farm interests, who are interested in promoting the attendance at the State Fair and also at the DuQuoin running of the Hambletonian. I certainly acted too late in the session to rectify a problem which had already arisen, I would urge an aye vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Winchester, or from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "Mr. Birchler wanted to talk, but we'll let him explain his vote."

The question is shall the Gentleman's motion for specific change to House Bill 335 of the Governor's Amendatory Veto be adopted... accepted. On this question all in favor will vote aye, those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, to explain his vote."

Choate: "In explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to say to the Gentleman that queried about the change of heart, if he'd have listened to the explanation of vote last night, he wouldn't have had to ask that question. Secondly, I want to advise the Membership of this House, that if you're not familiar with the difference between the Hambletonian and the Kentucky Derby, that at the Kentucky Derby they ride horses, what are called thoroughbreds, and the Hambletonian, they drive horses hooked to a two-wheeled vehicle called a selky. If you come to the Hambletonian this year, I will be in the seat of a selky driving Selcke."

Shea: "I've been informed by a reliable source, it's called a sled."

The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, since I'm from Southern Illinois, I thought it was proper that I should explain my no vote. This change in the Hambletonian is neither subtle or small. For many, many years the people have gone to the race out of the love of racing. They watch the horses run, they get a genuine enjoyment out of it. From now on they go to the track out of the love of gambling. The choice is very clear, I'm sure they'll have a full house and this will pass with a great deal of ease, but none should fail to recognize that distinction. I think Southern Illinois needs other things in addition to gambling."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Birchler, to explain his vote."



JUL 1 1935

Birchler: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I wish to concur with my colleague, Representative Richmond, for changing from his views last night to tonight, casting...making the Resolution to cause this to be possible and I would like to see another green light up there on the board so that we can have the voting...have the Hambletonian race and betting in Perry County for the Hambletonian race. Thank you."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 110 ayes, 13 nays, 12 Members present, and the House does adopt Mr. Richmond's motion with regard to the Amendatory Veto of the Governor on House Bill 335. Now the Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond, takes the floor on a point of personal privilege."

Richmond: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to point out to the two comments concerning last night's vote. I remember the Agriculture Committee, I was, I think, quite instrumental in helping guide this piece of legislation through that Committee. I certainly helped in every way that I could, and Mr. Hays knows that. I helped on the floor of the House, I voted...I voted in favor of it and in fact, the ballot that they're talking about, the Roll Call indicates that Richmond voted yes on that too. Thank you."

Shea: "Any other business while we are waiting for the....Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes Mr. Speaker, we had a minimum wage Bill, House Bill 3024, it passed out of the House of Representatives, was sent to the Senate and the Amendment that gutted the whole Bill, outside of the Amendments the amounts the minimum wage be increased, was amended to strip everything concerning the time and a half after forty provision, and all the other exemptions that were in the Bill, but the Amendment did not take out those amounts of monies that would be needed to keep pace with the federal increases in minimum wage, plus the learner's standard of \$1.50 an hour. Now the Conference Committee of Members of the House, the five Members of the House did meet and did sign two in dissent and three in favor of a Conference Committee Report that would have still kept in the time and a half provision after forty. But the Senate in their



JUL 1 1975

wisdom, or lack of it, decided never to meet, so at this time, reluctantly, I would like to move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3024 that if this Bill isn't held over to the fall calendar or killed because of the failure of the motion of the Conference Committee Report, and before Ms. Geo-Karis gets too nervous, I might point out that this is Senator Green's Amendment, completely taking out all of the time and a half provisions of the Amended Act that I introduced in 3024."

Shea: "Would you restate your motion sir?"

Hanahan: "I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3024 that we may pass this Bill, and its not hanging around on any calendars."

Shea: "Alright, will you put it on House Bill 30...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I don't see the Bill on the calendar and Tom, forgive me because I don't know what you're doing, and if we could somehow determine just what the effect of your motion is going to be I would appreciate it."

Shea: "Can I make a suggestion? Can I make a suggestion to you sir? Mr. Hanahan, why don't you file a written motion, we can get that before the House on a supplemental...."

Hanahan: "All I'm doing doing is accepting the amounts, they struck out on the...."

Shea: "Shut Mr. Hanahan off back there. Might I just suggest to you sir, that if you file a written motion that both the Bill and the Amendment are in our Bill Book so there's no problem. Mr. Hanahan? Turn Mr. Hanahan on."

Hanahan: "Yes sir, I will file that motion."

Shea: "Alright, thank you. Mr.Messages from the Senate, let's get that out of the way."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has receded from their Amendment #1 to the Bill with the following title to which House Bill 2558, action taken by the Senate July 1, 1975, by a 3/5's vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."



A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has receded from their Amendment #1 to the Bill with the following title to which, House Bill 3096, action taken by the Senate July 1, 1975, by a 3/5's vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I ask leave of the House that House Bill 3127 be referred to the Human Resources Committee and be placed on interim study, its the Residential Care Facility Act."

Shea: "The Gentleman makes a motion that House Bill 312/ that deals with residential care facilities, be taken from the Speaker's table and placed in the Committee of Human Resources in interim study. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. The Gentleman from Collins, Mr. Cook, or Cook, Mr. Collins. Phil, go ahead."

Collins: "Either way, Mr. Speaker, I was just wondering, the matters that are carried on the calendar on the Speaker's table, will they be automatically carried on the fall calendar, or will they be dead if nothing is done today?"

Shea: "I think the Resolution will be there."

Collins: "I would like to have it on the fall calendar, thank you."

Shea: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 3127, I was just informed that where it should have been placed would have been Human Resources in the appropriate Subcommittee. If we might amend that motion..."

Shea: "I can only go so far as to get it to Human Resources and interim study. The appropriate Subcommittee, you'll have to have Ms. Chapman take care for you."

Daniel: "The problem was that I understand interim study takes 107 votes and to discharge Subcommittee only takes 89, is that correct?"

Shea: "Its still a Committee...its not a Committee Bill."

Daniels: "Okay, fine."

Shea: "Now the Gentleman from McHenry or Cicero?"

Hanahan: "Both, Mr. Speaker, I hope they both proudly claim me. Mr.



JAN 1 1946 46

Speaker and Members of the House, I filed the motion to accept Senate Amendment #1. I might point out that Senator Green introduced this Amendment, it passed 52 to nothing, on House Bill 3024. It completely removes the controversial section of the time and a half after forty provision of the Minimum Wage Bill, which I thought we could easily pass in this session of the General Assembly. For whatever reason, we've never got a call on it in the Senate to be adopted, a Conference Committee Report to be adopted. So in the meantime, sooner than have no Bill at all and no report being carried out at all, I move to adopt the Senate Amendment to House Bill 3024 so that at least the learner's of this State, if those employers that want to employ a learner at a subminimum wage at \$1.50 an hour, will be allowed that minimum wage under this Bill. I move to concur."

Shea: "On the Gentleman's motion is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the sponsor yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will sir."

Tuerk: "Well now I don't have that in front of me. Today, you and I had, oh a number of discussions on this Bill as it relates to the Conference Committee, but now as I understand it, there's no possibility of a Conference Committee. Therefore, you are moving to accept the Senate Amendment to this Bill, which in effect, takes out about half of the Bill that you passed out of the House. Is that correct?"

Hanahan: "It takes about 99 and 44/100's percent of the Bill it takes out."

Tuerk: "Alright, what's the Bill do now then?"

Hanahan: "The Bill right now, it changes the word 'made' to 'shall' in the original part that the Department of Labor wanted, it puts back in....it strikes...here's what it does so that everyone is well aware...it strikes Chapter 48, paragraph 10048, Section 4(a) which is the time and a half provision, completely out of the Bill. Take from line 19 on page 4 to line 15 on page 5, which is really the only part of the Bill that was under any controversy and I'm



JUL 1 1953

sorry to say that even though some people may have won a victory by making me accept this removal, that victory may be very hollow when the Supreme Court rules this fall that says that time and a half shall be covered on the municipal employees. But that is what the Bill does as of now. It adds in the language of the changes and the amounts, that comply with the federal law, exact amount, not one penny more, not one penny less."

Tuerk: "One final question, if I may, what would happen to your Bill if the House failed to concur in the Senate Amendment?"

Hanahan: "The Bill would be dead."

Tuerk: "I see, thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield."

Hanahan: "Yes I will."

Grotberg: "Representative Hanahan, I'm going back to the substantive language that I think is still in the Bill. I have only the synopsis of the Bill, but this was the Bill to bring employers of fewer than five full-time employees..."

Hanahan: "That's right sir."

Grotberg: "...into the Act, it had to do with...with...a..the..the...a... the..a..."

Hanahan: "...religious corporations, domestic services, outside..."

Grotberg: "those working on tips..."

Hanahan: "...right."

Grotberg: "And they have to...a..."

Hanahan: "...we do not change the tip except to comply with a technical change in the law that says 'shall instead of may require each employer desiring an allowance, keeping records, and in the period for which the claim of exemption is made' which was recommended by both the industry, the employers, and the Department of Labor. It has no real fiscal or any other bearing on the bill."

Grotberg: "Right, it has a fiscal impact, probably, on the small businessman and particularly, the small restaurant operator, some of the larger ones, and as you indicated earlier, the really big ones are not



JUL 1 1975

48.

opposing it and you and I have had many dialogues on it. If I may address the Bill, Mr. Speaker, or the motion briefly, I think that in this particular economically distressed period that we're going through some of the hope for jobs, and I'm not all hung up over the minimum wage at this point, because I think most employers are either within the federal minimum or above it. I know in my particular case, I'm well within it, but if we do anything stringent in these closing moments of this General Assembly to prevent any person, whether he's sixteen years old or sixty years old, from finding some kind of job even with the small employer..."

Shea: "Hold on sir, Mr. Downs, on a point of order."

Downs: "Mr. Speaker, is the Gentleman addressing himself to the Bill or the Senate Amendment. I have no objection to him on the Amendment, but if he is addressing himself to the Bill he is out of order."

Shea: "Stay on the Amendment, will you please Mr. Grotberg?"

Grotberg: "Surely, I would be delighted to address the Amendment. I would recommend voting no on the Amendment so that we may kill the Bill. Thank you."

Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, may I address a few queries to the sponsor? Mr. Sponsor, you say that under the Graham Amendment, municipal ...municipalities will not be forced to pay time and a half, is that right?"

Hanahan: "I...I...I...said it three times, I said we struck the whole section, not one word is left in under the Graham Amendment."

Geo-Karis: "Can you tell me what the Graham Amendment says? That's what I'm trying to find out."

Hanahan: "It says on page four by deleting all of lines 19 through 34, and on page five by deleting all of lines 1 through 15, if that's the section you are referring to, its as clear as could be as it strikes every single period, comma, word, in the English language that's on the Bill."

Geo-Karis: "Now does your Bill still exempt home rule municipalities?"

Hanahan: "It strikes every word, I can't make it any clearer, there is



JUL 1 1958

49.

no way I could put in home rule municipalities if you struck out the language I was going to amend."

Geo-Karis: "Don't get excited, I'm simply trying to get educated."

Hanahan: "This is Senator Graham's Amendment. Its not exactly a labor flag Amendment, believe me."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you."

Hanahan: "Won't get ya in heaven."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3024. On that question all in favor will vote aye, those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Grotberg...I'm getting punchy, John... the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "You're not alone, Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry now, oh, we've got 116, okay."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? On this question, there are...take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 122 ayes, 9 nays, 1 Member voting present, and the House does concur by a 3/5's constitutional majority in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3024. The House will be at ease for a few minutes, the Conference Committee Report will be up. For what reason does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, arise."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I noted on the calendar that we had not adopted the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1170 and in the absence of the Gentleman who had agreed to handle this report, I would like to ask leave to handle this and act on it at this time."

Shea: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted, proceed Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1170 is a Bill which had previously been acted on and passed by the House, the big...it's an indemnification plan for State employees. The big problem apparently was that the Act didn't have an effective date and the section was added which limited the Act to take effect on involving incidents occurring after the Act takes effect. The Members of the Conference Committee were Senators Donnewald, Terrell,



JUL 1 1915

50.

Bruce, Fawell, and Rose, the House Members were Griesheimer, Harold Washington, John Beatty, Harry Leinenweber, and Dan Houlihan. That is the change in it as I understand it, Mr. Speaker, and I move for adoption of Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1170."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 or #2, is this?"

Duff: "1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1170. On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Houlihan, D. L."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, as a Member of the Conference Committee, I would simply point out that what Representative Duff has said is very correct. This is a unanimous Conference Committee Report and the principle point at issue with them was to emphasize in the Conference Committee Report that the Act would be effective to accidents occurring after the effective date of the Bill and I urge the support of the Membership for what is a very reasonable piece of legislation."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Shea: "Yes he will."

Duff: "Mr. Duff, there were some suggested corrections to be made to that Conference Committee Report, were those corrections made?"

Duff: "Do you have reference to a specific one, I can tell you sir."

Stone: "Yes it was the language at the bottom of page 1 and at the top of page 2 that was suggested be stricken? I think on line 2 and 3, page 2, there was a...a...repetition of language and then at the middle of page 2, there was a little bit of language that needed to be stricken to make the...to...to clarify the report."

Duff: "I have the Conference Committee Report in front of me, not the Bill, can you give me the subject that was involved in that?"

Stone: "I could, except I gave to Senator Bruce, my copy of the Amendment. It was quite apparent, Mr. Duff, that no Member of the Conference Committee had read the report because there were some very obvious mistakes that had been made in it and Senator Bruce



JUL 1 1975

indicated that these mistakes should be corrected and if they have not been, then I think that the Report should not be adopted, it should be held over until the fall. I'm very much in favor of the Bill..."

Duff: "It appears, Mr. Stone, that it has been corrected, but if you'd like me to bring it over to you..."

Stone: "I'll come over there to save you the trip because you're a big leader you know and all, but I do think its important that these corrections have been made to the Bill."

Duff: "Age before beauty, Mr. Stone, I'll meet you halfway."

Stone: "Alright."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, can we take this out of the record for just a moment?"

Shea: "No, you've dug your hole. Take it out of the record."

Duff: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis, moves to consider...reconsider the vote by which 1704 was adopted. Collins seconds it. Turn on Ms. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a point of personal privilege, I myself, would like to thank the many courtesies of the Chair and also the many courtesies that our fine Republican pages did because they really did a great job. I commend you Mr. Speaker, even though we didn't always agree, I think you've done a great job. All of you, including Speaker Redmond. And I mean Speaker Redmond, he's a great guy."

Shea: "The Speaker would like to tell the Membership that he is attempting to get, prior to the time you get a digest, letting you know precisely what happened. He will attempt to get from the information service a complete rundown on all Bills and get that mailed to you within the next week or two so that you may answer any questions from your constituency. I've been informed by the doorkeeper that after today's session, he intends to lock all desks, so that if you have keys, I wish you'd take them with you if you plan on getting in your desk between now and October because they will be locked. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, is back on the



JUL 1 1926

52.

record with 1170."

Duff: "Well Mr. Speaker, Representative Stone was correct, there was some duplication in here that he has been able to.... simply an initial lineation with the crossing off with some unintended language including a typing error and a phrase which confused the intention of the Report, obviously. So we would now, if Representative Stone would agree, move the adoption of Conference Committee Report of Senate Bill 1170."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1170, on that question.... Mr. Borchers, your light's flashing, did you..."

Borchers: "I wanted it on another question, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "All in favor will vote aye, those opposed will vote nay. The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone, to explain his vote."

Stone: "Yes Mr. Speaker, I believe that this is legislation that is long overdue and we definitely should pass it. There were a few minor errors in the drafting of the Conference Committee Reports, they've been corrected and I hope that we can pass it. The legislation is good."

Shea: "Mr. Darrow to explain your vote.... have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 119 ayes, 3 nays, 10 Members voting present and the House does concur in Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1170 by a constitutional 3/5's majority. Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Now....a.... fellow Members of the House, the Resolution that you heard passed a little while ago is rather interesting and will give any one of you a chance if you come and tell me that you'd like to do this, I'll be glad to cooperate. What that Resolution did was, in relation to House Joint Resolution 57, which was the 14th Colony Resolution, passed unanimously by the House and the Senate, allows meI...I...I...have no intentions of going to the East, but I checked into it and I found that the rules of procedure are that a Resolution is mailed...is mailed to the Governor of Massachusetts, South Carolina, what have you, but I don't want them to if I can help it. This allows me to designate any of you that are going say,



to a...to a...to South Carolina or Virginia or wherever, the one of the thirteen original so called States, if you're going there this summer, and if we get these Resolutions, once I get them, I can give them to you or your families and you can...and then I will designate...I'll be able to designate you as an official representative of the State of Illinois, and you can go to the Governor's mansion and present them in the name of the Legislature of the State of Illinois, yourselves, and I'd sure like to have some of you do that because I think a personal presentation to the Governor is far more important than just having such a Resolution laid on the...received by a clerk or secretary and laid on his desk. So any of you who want to do that, get ahold of me and I'll try to work that out with you. Now wait a minute, I don't want one man to take thirteen, I don't think that'll be fair. Well, understand that it is at your own expense, the State is paying nothing for it, otherwise, we'll just have to mail them and I don't want to do that if I can help it."

Shea: "Well I thought you indicated, Mr. Borchers, that you were going to take care of the Members' expense yourself. I'm willing to take a Roll Call on that."

Borchers: "That's a nice hope."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, would the sponsor yield?"

Borchers: "I sure would."

Hudson: "Webber, what do you expect the response to be from the Governors of those original thirteen colonies?"

Borchers: "Frankly, I don't know and I don't care. The point is we're set...we're set...we're setting up our own historical rights and I just think it would be a nice way for some of the fellows here or the women here, the Member here to go and meet a Governor of another State if they want to do it. I can't think of a better or nicer way to get into this kind of a deal. You might get invited to dinner."

Hudson: "Another question, would the couriers, in those cases, would their lives actually be in danger? This is what I'm trying to find



out."

Borchers: "Well, it is true, it is true that from Illinois to Massachusetts, for example, there is some Indian country, and they might have to pass through Indian country before they get there, they'll have to take their chances."

Hudson: "Well thank you, thank you Webber..."

Borchers: "If you've got any more questions to ask, these are the very... I'll be glad...glad to answer any type of question along these lines. Representative Geo-Karis asked me what she wanted you to do, I want you to go to the front door, introduce yourselves, show your identification, knock, say your an official representative of the legislature and the Senate in the State of Illinois, and in relation to the bicentennial, and that you have a Resolution embossed, which will be when through, from the State of Illinois and the Senate thereof, and in the name of the State of Illinois, you are going...you want to present to the Governor. Now who in the world can turn down...what Governor would dare turn down such an official representation from a great State like Illinois, there isn't a one of them....and you might get invited to dinner."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines."

Borchers: "Just a minute, I'm going to have to arrange...I'm getting demands...."

Shea: "Webber, we...you know...you just answer questions. Turn Mr. Gaines' phone on there."

Skinner: "Yes, thank you for letting me use Representative Gaines' microphone. I wonder if I might have leave of the House to be the designated hitter, so to speak, for my home State of Maryland and the State of Delaware from which I was born. And if you're lucky it'll be a one-way trip, you never can tell."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn. Are you gonna invite us to the Hambletonian with some free passes or something?"

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I hadn't thought of that yet, but I certainly do want to thank you for the good vote, I just came back from the Senate and they gave 36 votes to Representative Richmond's motion and we're certainly pleased and I imagine that you'll be getting an invitation to DuQuoin State Fair, it may be at your own



expense and bring some Webber Borchers' Resolutions down when you come and there'll be people there from every State and maybe several Governors. I would like to ask Representative Borchers about the authenticity and whether this long Resolution that I'm a co-sponsor of, if he has any personal knowledge of whether it's correct or not. I took the liberty of sending a copy of it to an historian and I got back a letter saying it sounded kind of silly to this particular person, so I want to warn you about taking them around over the country, I think we ought to have a Committee to investigate Webber first."

Borchers: "Well, let's answer it this way, I have photostatic copies that I have taken out of the official records on this very point. My position is very simply this. No one for some...I think I know the reason...but for two hundred years, no one thought about we being one of the fourteen original colonies. Now I think this is one of the reasons that maybe an historian might be a little behind in his... in his...or doubt the situation. Now let me ask you, what is a colony? That is the number one question. Number two is, did we participate in the revolution? Well let me ask you very quickly, the colonies, the records of the French colony in Illinois, the records themselves, in French, call it a colony. There were around 2000. Well I think 2000 is ample. Now they had sawmills, they had farms, they raised cattle, they raised wine...."

Dunn: "...raised wine?"

Borchers: "They did raise wine and they drank it, I'm sure."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Borchers: "Now in relation to the military history. Now let's assume that any of you here are of the British and I happen to be the American...or the Frenchman. I have the actual record for 150 young French men, the photostatic copy of where 150 young French men joined the militia company, George Rogers Clark, went to...with George Rogers Clark and the 175 colonials, they participated in the attack in the taking of Fort Hamilton, they fired...I mean toward Vincennes, ...they..."

Shea: "Time has been called so you have one-half hour to finish."



Borchers: "Alright. I won't take that long, ^{will} so there. These young Frenchmen actually fired at the British and were fired back by the British and against them. Now I propose...I propose to enter here that if you fire at the enemy and they fire back at you, you sure as the devil are participating in a revolution. So since there was military action, not only at Fort Kaskaskia, but also Fort Vincennes, and Fort Charchar, and that there was...the colony...the colony part I think I've answered so I can thoroughly answer it, let alone I forgot to mention churches and a college..."

Dunn: "Point of order sir, I didn't really ask a question, I just made an observation, he's answered a question. Thank you very much."

Borchers: "I think we're on safe ground, frankly. Now there'll be opponents, and I know that, but to heck with them. Are we from Illinois or are we from Virginia? If the Floridians want to put in a claim, let the Floridians do it and the the people from Michigan, let them handle their own."

Shea: "House Bill 3017. If anybody says they haven't got the reports yet, the young men up and down the aisles, would the page make sure that the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, gets a copy. Do you want to go out and get some, they're coalating them out there. On the order of House Bills' Conference Committee on Supplemental Calendar #1 appears House Bill 3017 and on that question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3017. Let me explain to the Membership exactly what's contained in the Conference Committee Report. House Bill 3017 as it was passed out the House provided for the funding of the various Commissions of State government and in turn, it called for a fiscal request of \$5,400,000, and out of that \$2,500,000 was for land acquisition for the Space Needs Commission on the Capital Development Bond. The Amendment...the Senate adopted a number of Amendments and they were discussed in the Conference Committee, and what's included now in House Bill 3017 is the full funding, the various Commissions of State government, we also included \$1,500,000 for the



Secretary of State, for the administration requiring the proof of liability insurance, that money comes from the road fund. We added \$3,656,000 from the various universities income funds do to increased enrollments, there will be money in this fund and this Amendment allows them to spend the additional revenue of the various universities. We were discussing this Amendment before. It also added \$55,000 out of general revenue for installing an emergency utilization monitoring device. We added \$1,588,388 from the Agriculture Premiums Fund for the funding of the Illinois Racing Board for six months. We also provided the section as far as the Illinois Racing Board and the personal services by stating that the personal services is for employees subject to jurisdiction of A. B. and C. of the Personnel Code. We decreased by 6% all the Commissions which the House passed out and all that were added on to the Senate. We added some several new Commissions which were in separate Bills. We added \$200,000 from general revenue and \$200,000 in federal funds for the Legislature Advisory Committee on Public Aid. We added \$1,500,000 to the Department of Revenue for the State Lotteries Funds for developing, producing, and promoting the lottery. We added \$4,700 to the Legislative Council for printing costs. We added 7.5 million dollars to the Capital Development Fund to the Space Needs Commission for the acquisition of real property in the Capitol complex. We added \$28,800 for the State's Attorney Study Commission and we provided the payment of the various vendors for the State Fair with a joint certification by the Auditor General and the Governor of this State so that those people could be paid from last year. That is what is contained in the Conference Committee Report #1 and I move for its adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 3017. On that question is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, will the sponsor yield?"

Lechowicz: "Yes I will."

Ryan: "Representative Lechowicz, am I to understand that the fifteen



JUL 1 1975

58.

violators that we spoke about last night that are guilty of some fifty-two violations as outlined by the Auditor General of the State of Illinois, to the tune of \$244,671.74 are contained in this Bill?"

Lechowicz: "Representative Ryan, you were in at the discussion stage as far as the Conference Committee, in fact you sat through the whole thing. It was pointed out to you that there was approximately three hundred vendors that provided a service to the State, and in turn, it was the responsibility of the State Fair Agency to inform these people whether they were to comply with the Purchasing Act. Unfortunately, fifteen people did not. Now in this Bill as it is amended, the money is there. As far as to whether we are going to be reimbursed or not, its up to two individuals. And that's up to the Auditor General and the Governor, upon written signature, and then in turn, as far as the legality of it, it goes over to the Comptroller and I think that he would be the final person whether they are going to be paid or not."

Ryan: "Than you're suggesting that we, as the General Assembly, abdicate our powers and authorities to these three constitutional..."

Lechowicz: "Not at all. Not at all, sir. In fact, all I'm stating is that these people that did perform a service, I'm not a judge nor a jury, I'm stating that the money is there and if they are properly certified, then in turn, they would receive the money. If they are not certified, they will not receive one penny."

Ryan: "Representative Lechowicz, do you...do you agree that there are fifteen vendors that have committed some violations here?"

Lechowicz: "The only thing that I know is what I've read and there are fifteen people that did not comply with the Purchasing Act.....a... in total. But I think if we would review every agency, unfortunately, I'm sure that this is the case in every agency."

Ryan: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would also like to point out that this Conference Committee Report is not signed by Representative Telcser based on the fact that these fifteen Members are here....fifteen vendors are listed in this Bill."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, may I point out that out of the ten Conference



Jul 1 1915

Committee Members, nine have signed the report."

Ryan: "I think I pointed that out, Representative Lechowicz. I make the same recommendation to this Body as I made last night. We have an obligation to be here to look after what's right and what's wrong. This will be the third time now that we've had an attempt to pass this through this House. Once on Representative Barnes' Bill and again, just recently on the Fair Bill that hung us up here this long. I think its wrong action, I think that we've got an obligation to defeat this Bill and I would suggest that you vote no based on the fifteen vendors that are involved with this list."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I do not really see the hang-up on these vendors. We appropriate money constantly every day to the Governor. He expends the money. We don't question everything he does. The only difference here is its caught in the question, fifteen out of all of these vendors. Now this is because the State Fair inadvertently mistakenly ordered too much goods and services. That where the illegality came in primarily. They ordered what we did not appropriate. All we're doing here is really the same thing we do on every occasion, we appropriate the money to the Governor. The Governor will check over the Bill, and in this instance, we even put in the Auditor General. Plus the fact that the Comptroller is going to look in. We have three checks to determine the legality and if they want to go further, they have the Attorney General to call in at any time. We have all the checks we do on every appropriation. I really don't see the hang-up except for the adverse publicity on a couple of these items. I suggest we go ahead, appropriate the money and the four agencies at least will be there to see that the money will not be paid out if its improper. I suggest that it is the last Bill and we go ahead and get on it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are all aware of what is in this Bill and I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is shall the main question be put. All those in



JUL 1 1875

60.

favor will say aye, those opposed, nay. On the Gentleman's motion, all those in favor will vote aye, those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 111 ayes, 25 nays, 5 Members voting present. And the House does concur in Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 3017. Is there any further business before the House? The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Well Mr. Speaker, I now move that the House does stand adjourned."

Shea: "All in favor say aye."



INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JULY 1, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1.

July 1, 1975

2:00	Speaker Redmond	House to order
	Reverend Krueger	Prayer
	Speaker Redmond	Introduction First Reading
	Jack O'Brien	
2:04	Speaker Redmond	
	Jack O'Brien	Agreed Resolutions
	Matijevich	
	Speaker Redmond	Further resolutions
2:10	Fred Selcke	
	Shea	
2:12	Fred Selcke	
	Speaker Redmond	Roll Call for attendance
2:13	Dunn, J.	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:17	Lechowicz	HB 3017 Senate Amendment #2
2:18	Speaker Redmond	House concurs
	Lechowicz	Senate Amendment #3
	Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	Sponsor explain?
2:20	Lechowicz	
	Collins	
2:21	Lechowicz	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:24	Ryan	
	Speaker Redmond	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

2:25	Totten	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:26	Lechowicz	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:27	Ryan	proper time - verification
	Speaker Redmond	
2:28	Stone	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:30	Grotberg	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:30	Ryan	request verification
	Speaker Redmond	
2:33	Lechowicz	move not concur 3,6,7 and 8
	Speaker Redmond	House does not concur
2:34	Beaupre	HB 3096 Senate Amendment #1
	Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	only one amendment?
2:35	Speaker Redmond	House non-concurs
2:39	Friedrich	SB 510
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	move to concur
	Speaker Redmond	
2:40	Washington	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:41	Friedrich	House vote is reconsidered
	Speaker Redmond	move we adopt
	Shea	



	Speaker Redmond	
	Washington)	yield?
	Shea)	
2:43	Washington	
2:47	Speaker Redmond	
2:48	Matijeovich	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:49	Tuerk	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Houlihan, J.	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:52	Bradley	point of order
	Speaker Redmond	
2:53	Houlihan, J.)	parliamentary inquiry
	Speaker Redmond)	
2:54	Lundy	move previous question
	Speaker Redmond	'ayes' have it
	Shea	
2:55	Speaker Redmond	SB 510 Conference Committee Report
	Lucco	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:56	Friedrich	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:57	Caldwell	
2:59	Matijeovich	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:00	Barnes	



	Speaker Redmond	
3:03	Washington	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	
3:05	Washington	take the Bill out of the record
	Speaker Redmond	remove my name as chief Sponsor
3:06	Matijevich	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:08	Friedrich	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:12	Tipsword	stand at ease
	Walsh	
3:14	Speaker Redmond	SB 210 leave to suspend rules to plan
	Tipsword	motion to reconsider the vote by which
	Speaker Redmond	Bill passed
	Speaker Redmond	vote is reconsidered
3:16	Fred Selcke	at ease again
	Speaker Redmond	messages from Senate
	Jack O'Brien	messages from Senate
	Speaker Redmond	at ease
	Taylor	SB 510 Second Conference Committee
	Speaker Redmond	Report
4:53	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
	McClain	
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	SB 510 Conference Committee Report #2



4:54

Washington
Speaker Redmond
Houlihan, J.

explains vote - present

5.

4:56

Speaker Redmond

House adopt Second Conference
Committee Report SB 510 at ease for
fifteen minutes

Shea

remarks

Shea

leave to hear report on HB 478

Collins

deleting everything after #4

Shea

Collins

Shea

Collins

objects

Shea

gone to printer

Walsh

Shea

Geo-Karis

move that House do concur

Shea

suspend rules

Geo-Karis

asks leave to suspend rules

Shea

motion carries

Walsh

take a Roll Call

Shea

just trying to help

Friedrich

proceed

Shea

Collins

trick bag

Shea

Collins

Shea



Collins	
Shea	
Kent	Vendors on State Fair Bill
Shea	
Totten	SB 478..Conf. Committee Report #2
Shea	Vote
Geo-Karis	Explain vote
Shea	Motion passed..report adopted
Beaupre	HB 3096
Shea	
Geo-Karis	Parliamentary inquiry
Shea	
Beaupre	Merely explaining
Shea	
Jack O'Brien	Senate Joint Resolution #50
Shea	Adjournment Resolution
Bradley	Moves to adopt
Shea	Resolution adopted
Davis	Prayer
Shea	TV lights on
Mann	
Shea	informal discussion
Mann	Question on HB 3096
Beaupre	
Mann) Authorizations
Beaupre) No
Shea) Craig come to podium



Mann		
Beaupre)	Just a second
Mann)	
Shea)	Discussion
Jack O'Brien		Agreed Resolution
Girogi		Explains the Resolutions
Shea		Resolutions adopted
Washburn		Announcement..Rep. Pages
Shea		
Hoffman)	Question again on HB 3096
Beaupre)	Discussion
Shea		
Kelly		
Beaupre		HB 3096..Response to Kelly's
Kelly		Question
Shea		
LaFleur		Inquiry of Chair
Shea		Actually at ease
LaFleur		
Shea		Explained it earlier
LaFleur		
Shea		
LaFleur		Thank you
Bradley in Chair		
Borchers		Still discussion on HB 3096
Shea		
Jack O'Brien		Reads a motion



Shea	
Sangmeister	Amends motion
Shea	
Sangmeister	
Shea	
Walsh	Discussion on Sangmeister's motion.
Shea	
Palmer	
Shea	
Palmer)
Lechowicz)
Shea	
Collins	Questions motivation of the motion.
Shea	
Schraeder	Question of Sponsor
Sangmeister	Only Conf. Committee Reports
Schraeder	Motion is out of order
Shea	
Sangmeister	To close
Shea	Vote on motion
Jack O'Brien	Reads the motion again
Shea	
Tipsword	Explains vote
Shea	Motion fails
Shea	Agreed Resolutions
Jack O'Brien	Agreed Resolution 453
Shea	

6:42



Matijeovich

Explains Resolution #453..Pages

Shea

Collins

Parliamentary inquiry

Shea

Tipsword

Response to Collins

Shea

Collins

Shea

6:45

Matijeovich

Collins

Shea

Walsh

Move to suspend Rule 69C

Shea

Vote on Motion

Geo-Karis

Shea

Take the Record..Motion prevails

Geo-Karis

Parliamentary Inquiry

Shea

HB 335

6:47

Richmond

Explains his motion

Shea

Keller

Supports

Shea

Dunn

Supports

Shea

Hart

Supports

Shea

Flynn

Shea



	Anderson	
	Shea	
	Anderson	Question
	Richmond)	Discussion
	Anderson)	
	Richmond	
	Shea	HB 335...Amendatory veto
	Madigan	Supports
	Shea	
6:55	Winchester	Moves Previous Question
	Shea	Vote
	Choate	
	Shea	
	Cunningham	Explains 'no' vote
	Shea	
	Birchler	Explains vote
	Shea	House adopts Richmond's Motion
	Richmond	Point of Personal Privilege
	Shea	
6:59	Hanahan	Move to concur, Senate #1, HB 3024
	Shea	Re-state Motion
	Hanahan	So done
	Shea	
	Madigan	
	Shea	
	Hanahan	
	Shea	



7:01 Jack O'Brien

Messages from Senate

Shea

Daniels

Leave, HB 3127, Interim Study

Shea

Leave Granted

Collins

Shea

Collins

Shea

aniels

Shea

Daniels

Shea

7:07

Daniels

Shea

7:09

Hanahan

Move to adopt and concur Senate Amendment HB 3024..Filed motion Minimum Wage Bill.

Shea

Tuerk

Shea

HB 3024..Senate Amendment Concurrence

Tuerk

Hanahan

Tuerk

7:11

Hanahan

Explains the Bill as Amended

Tuerk

Hanahan

Tuerk

Thank you

Shea

Grotberg

Question



	Hanahan	
	Grotberg	
	Hanahan	
	Grotberg	Addresses the Motion
	Shea	
	Downs	Point of Order
	Shea	
	Grotberg	Vote 'no' on Amendment
	Shea	
7:15	Geo-Karis	HB 3024...Question
	Hanahan	HB 3024...Response
	Geo-Karis	HB 3024...Continued questioning
	Hanahan	
	Geo-Karis	
	Hanahan	
	Geo-Karis	
	Hanahan	
	Shea	Vote on Motion to concur
	Grotberg	Parliamentary Inquiry
7:17	Shea	Take the Record..Passed...House does concur. House at ease for few minutes
	Shea	
	Duff	SB 1170..Conf. Committee Report
	Shea	Leave granted
	Duff	SB 1170..move to adopt Conf. Committee Report #1
	Shea	States Motion
	Houlihan, D. L.	Unanimous report



	Shea	
7:25	Stone	SB 1170...yield for question
	Duff	
	Stone	
	Duff)
	Stone)
	Duff	Took out of Record
	Shea	Took out of Record
	Geo-Karis	
7:29	Shea	
	Geo-Karis	Point of Personal Privilege
	Shea	Announcement of Doorkeeper
	Duff	SB 1170..Continues the Bill
	Shea	
	Borchers	
	Shea	Vote
	Stone	Explain Vote
	Shea	Take the Record..House Concurs
7:35	Borchers	
	Shea	
	Borchers	
7:37	Shea	
	Hudson	
	Borchers	
	Hudson	
	Borchers	
	Hudson	



7:40 Borchers
 Shea
 Skinner
 Shea
 Dunn
 Borchers
 Dunn
 Borchers
 Shea

Time

7:43 Borchers
 Dunn
 Borchers

7:44 Shea

HB 3017..Conf. Committee Report #1
 Move House adopt Report and explain

7:49 Lechowicz
 Shea
 Ryan
 Lechowicz
 Ryan

Question
 Discussion

7:54 Lechowicz
 Ryan
 Lechowicz
 Ryan
 Shea
 Londrigan
 Shea

Moves Previous Question
 So moved..House Concurs

7:58 Bradley

Move to adjourn....HOUSE ADJOURNED

