

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRED THIRD LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 30, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

DoorKeeper: "All those not entitled to the floor, will you please retire to the gallery. All those not entitled to the floor, will you please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order and the Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer this morning by Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost, Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. I read in 'Golden Star Family Alburn' the following: 'People who start the day on their knees generally go through it on their toes.' Let us pray for heavenly-mindedness. O merciful God, fill our hearts, we pray Thee, with the graces of Thy Holy Spirit, with love, joy, peace, long-suffering, gentleness, goodness, faith, meekness, temperance. Teach us to love those who hate us, to pray for those who spitefully use us, that we may be good Legislators in Thy sight and may be children of Thee our Father, Who makest Thy sun to shine on evil and on the good, and sendest rain on the just and the unjust. In adversity grant us grace to be patient; in prosperity keep us humble; may we guard the door of our lips; may we lightly esteem the pleasures of this world, and thirst after heavenly things; always concerned with the welfare and the benefit of the peoples of this State of Illinois; through Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Representative Taylor. Morning, Representative Pouncey and to the other one of the muskateers. Resolutions. Resolutions, Representative Madigan."

Jack O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 69, Redmond. House Resolution 407, Porter. House Resolution 433, DiPrima. House Resolution 434, Campbell. House Resolution 436, Matijeich. House Resolution 437, Porter."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Joint Resolution 69 strongly urges the government of the United States of America not to do anything which would compromise the freedom or security of the Republic of China or its people. House Resolution 407 commends J. Phillip Redick and the honorable Robert J. Walters and the Southwestern Illinois Industrial Association for their promotion and sponsorship of the second annual legislative tennis tournament. House Resolution 433 congratulates



Quentin Bowers of Eldorado upon his election as the Commander of the Illinois Department of Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States. House Resolution 434 congratulates Mr. Vacketta upon the publication of the 'Trade Tokens of Illinois'. House Resolution 436 commends the Democratic Leadership of the House of Representatives and House Resolution 437 wishes Dean Joe Miller upon his retirement a return of the sun with a bright tomorrow. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. The Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 438. House Resolution 438, Taylor."

Speaker Redmond: "The House is honored by an official visit from the State Comptroller, George Lindberg. We're glad you came, you brought some business to us. The order of concurrence, Representative Kozubowski. Do you want to call 2435? It appears 2627, Representative Taylor. You want to call that one? 2627, Representative Taylor. I thought you wanted to go home, Representative Schlickman. Last night, you seemed to be concerned about getting home. The order of conference committee reports appears Senate Bill 965. Representative Schlickman, 965."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 965 is an Amendment to the Park District Code. House Amendment #1 provided two things. Number one, that a disconnection procedure would be made available to park districts in Cook County and it would be the same disconnection procedure as it's presently available in the Statutes for downstate. This Amendment also provides for notice to property owners with respect to a pending annexation. A Representative of Cook County ah... expressed, not objection ah... but expressed some reservation or concern with respect to providing a disconnection procedure for library districts in Cook County identical to that available downstate and he wanted to research the matter before ah... proceeding with it. So conference committee report #1 recommends that the House recede from Amendment #1 and in lieu thereof, there be a provision with respect to the ah... notice ah... of a pending annexation. It's a unanimous report and, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I do now move that the House adopt conference committee report #1 to Senate Bill



965."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Williams. Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Williams: "Gene, does this have anything to do where two park districts would be located within one municipality or ah..."

Schlickman: "No, in fact the ah... amendment or the conference committee report eliminates disconnection entirely ah... from the Bill."

Williams: "All right, thank you."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Final action, 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Representative Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 94 'aye' and no 'nay'. The House does adopt the first conference committee report. Representative Schlickman, do you want it black or with cream and sugar? Representative Calvo here? Representative Walsh here? Evidently not. Representative Berman. Evidently not. G.L. Hoffman. Evidently not here. Bluthardt. Caldwell, McPartlin, Leinenweber. I understand that ah... Senate Bill 470 was tabled in the Senate and that appears on conference committee reports. Conference committee reports appears House Bill 5. Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is ah... Roman Kosinski's sawed off shotgun Bill ah... One of the problems that we had it and the reason it went into conference committee report is the language that was used in the, in the Bill was a mass of destruct building, er... massive destruct weapon which ah... some of the Senators objected to and we have taken out that language. It is now a true sawed off shotgun Bill. The penalty is now set up for the ah... possession of a sawed off shotgun. It's a Class III felony and if you use it in a forcable felony, it's a Class I felony and I ah... urge and now move that this House adopt conference committee report #1 to House Bill 5."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the first conference committee



report be adopted. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Final action, 89 votes. Representative Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109, 112 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report. 119, Representative Porter."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, conference committee report on House Bill 119 deletes the objectionable Senate Amendment and clearly defines a handicapped child that would be provided a summer school special education program in a private facility has that child who is handicapped and attend the special education public school, program in a public school during the regular school year whose educational development will be substantially retarded unless the child attends a summer school special education program and one for whom no such program is operated by the public school district joint agreement or region to meet that child's needs and whose placement is approved by the I.O.E. It would apply only to fiscal '77 and afterwards and it substantially reduces the cost of the Bill to under \$1,000,000 and I would move the adoption of the conference committee report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report on House Bill 119. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 105 'aye' and 1 'no' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report. 751. Representative Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... Senate Bill 751 is a Bill that provides indemnification for the Illinois Community College Board and in its original form, it would have provided indemnification for the intentional violation of a person's civil rights or constitutional rights and I objected to that. It's been redrafted now, it provides indemnification for the board, its members, and its employees not to exceed \$100,000 and it ah... relates to wrongful or negligent act provided it was ah... done in the discharge of the employees duty and within the scope of the employment and that the damages did not result in the intentional act or omission of the member or



employee and I would move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 751."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question? Ah..... Representative, do I understand that intentional wrongs are now excluded from indemnification?"

Porter: "Yes."

Lundy: "So it's only ah....."

Porter: "It's only negligent wrong that would be covered."

Lundy: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House adopt the first conference committee report on Senate Bill 751. All in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished. Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report. House Bill 600. Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Onward and upward on the road to 600. This Bill's been kicking around a long time and we finally had a successful conference meeting and all parties are agreed to ah.... if you adopt the Second Conference Report on House Bill 600, ah.... this is a municipal publications Bill that requires the ah.... the zoning notices in municipalities to be published in the paper closest to the zoning matter and it does have the ah.... homerule amendment on it for municipalities of 500,000 and up and the technical error has been corrected in Amendment #2. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I move the House do adopt and concur in Senate Amendment.... House.... yeow, Senate Amendment #1 and further amend as follows by deleting twice to once and inserting in lieu thereof 'once'."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Any questions? The question is, shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 600. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Porter: "Yes."

Mugalian: "I heard him say that the publication would be the newspaper

closest to the property in question. Does that mean if there's a



very small paper with no, with almost no circulation, but is a legally qualified paper, ah..... would the public, with an office closest to the property than a newspaper that has a very wide and general circulation in the area, that the smaller newspaper would be the required newspaper of publication?"

Porter: "That's correct."

Mugalian: "All right, that's a very questionable kind of proposal. It would mean probably that much fewer people would learn of the zoning proceedings than otherwise."

Porter: "May I read it to you, Representative Mugalian? Notice of hearing to be published at least once, not more than 30 days or 15 days before the hearing in one or more newspapers published in the municipality, so if there's a daily that does the job, if there's a bigger one that does it better, they do not restrict it to one....."

Mugalian: "But the practice is, almost universally, never to make more than one publication. What is the qualifying provision of the ah.....?"

Porter: "In a newspaper published within the municipality or if no newspaper is published in the municipality, then one or more newspapers published in the county in which the municipality is located."

Mugalian: "I personally think it's a very bad solution to the problem."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that this Bill in its present posture is an extremely good Bill. We've worked it out so that those people most affected by any zoning changes will get notice in their local paper and yet can use the the people that publish can use more than one, and I think it should be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 600. Those in favor, vote 'aye'. Those opposed, vote 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 106 'ayes' and 6 'no', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report. 1790. Representative Berman. Take that out of the Record. 1935. G. L. Hoffman. 2065. Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 2065, I move to adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 which is ah..... corrective



in nature. We had some trouble with a couple of amendments the Senate put on. They didn't fit in properly and we had to nonconcur and send it back to the Senate and ah.... the Bill is now in Order. It's a housekeeping Bill to the present law. It is not new law, and I would move for adoption of House Amendment or ah.... House Conference, Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the Bill as amended that provides statutory authority to non-homerule units to issue general obligation bonds without referendum. At the time that this Conference Committee Report first came up, there was a representation that it was a statutory statement of the constitution. Since then all interested parties are satisfied and agreed that there is not, there is not any constitutional authority for non-homerule units to issue general obligation bonds without referendum. Now if you look at the Conference Committee Report and the proposed amendment to the Municipal Code, you'll see that not only is there provision for the issuance of general obligation bonds without referendum by non-homerule units, but there is also an addition to the exceptions that are provided in another section of the municipal code relative to the issuance of G. O. bonds without referendums. I think, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that we have here in House Bill 2065 a dangerous Bill, one that is not merely in nature and one that will result in an increase in real estate taxes for property owners and non-homerule units and it will be done without their consent; i.e., without referendum, so Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I urge ah.... on behalf of the taxpayers of the State, I urge a 'no' vote on the Motion to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2065."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to ask one question. Is this a Bill, Representative Bluthardt, that allows non-homerule municipalities to increase the ah.... amount of bonds that they're allowed to float without a referendum?"

Bluthardt: "No, it is not a new Bill that would allow municipalities to issue bonds without referendum. That Bill was passed at the last



session, Public Act 70-902. This is merely corrective language being, attempted to be put in that Law at the suggestion of Chapman and Cutler. It is not new law. That law was passed in the last session of this General Assembly."

Hill: "Would this piece of legislation allow any municipality, homerule or non-homerule to issue more bonds then they can now without a referendum?"

Bluthardt: "No, ah..... that was permitted at the..... in the Bill that we passed last session. This is clarifying language to that Bill."

Hill: "If this Bill..... if this Amendment does not pass, then the possibility exists there are some municipalities that would not be able to float bonds without a referendum."

Bluthardt: "Well, I can't go to say that. I can't go that far. Chapman-Cutler have recommended these changes in the present law. Whether or not they would not issue bonds based on the present law, I can't answer that."

Hill: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Sponsor of this Conference Committee Report is very difficult to pin down, but there is no doubt in my mind that if this Conference Committee Report goes down to defeat, it's going to save some taxpayers in the State of Illinois, in municipalities a lot of tax money. As I said yesterday, when this Conference Committee Report was up, that in the City of Aurora, because of the homerule clause, property taxes have gone up in that municipality from 10 to 15 percent, only because under the homerule clause it allows those commissioners and mayors to float many more millions of bonds then they were allowed to float if the homerule clause was not in that constitution, and this particular piece of legislation is an extension of that, so consequently if for a change we want to serve the people back home, save them more property taxes, I would suggest that you vote 'no' on this piece of legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah..... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah..... I can understand why the prior speaker would have some problemcations, and maybe I've had some differences of the Sponsor, but I think this Bill is good because we passed the darn Bill in 1974, giving that authority, and what the bonding attorneys want is to cleanup the language. Now



having been a municipal attorney for a number of years I can tell you that something like this is necessary. The home rule areas do have that problem. Still if anything is going to be done under this Bill, a Mayor and a city counsel has to vote for it and it's really to the interest of municipalities because if they don't get their tax money back on time when they have a lot of projects, like in one city in my district, Mundelein, with that terrible sewer problem. I can tell you that such administration is all for such a Bill and urge for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this conference committee report. I think it's a very, really a very simple thing. The general obligation bonds are no good without an opinion of the bonding house that they're salable and they're at the best possible rate. So no matter what kind of language you have unless you have the type of language that these people can interpret and recommend, it's no good. So if we don't have this language clarified, we really have nothing. So this is an opportunity again for municipal officials to be able to use the statutes and use the Constitution to the best advantage. As long as we have the language in the, in the law, it should be clarified so it can be properly used. I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in support of House Bill 2065. Representative Bluthardt was absolutely right when he explained the Bill. This Bill did pass this House in the ah... 78th General Assembly. It and this Bill also in this session passed out of Cities and Villages without a single dissenting vote. It passed the House here by 110 to 17. It passed the Senate by 42 to 9. It makes no substantive changes, but just has a clarifying, housekeeping language in it and I would urge the support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Section 841 of the Municipal Code sets forth ten exceptions to the rule that there shall not be the issuance of



general obligation bonds without referendum. Now the conference committee report adds two additional grounds and the one that I call the attention, Mr. Speaker, is now item 11."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Schlickman: "I am raising a point of order."

Bluthardt: "This gentleman has already spoken on the Bill."

Schlickman: "I am raising a point of order, the conference committee report is ah... the form is incorrect and I want to ask a ruling from the Speaker with respect to the deficiency of the form of the conference committee report. Now if you'll let me finish, hear me out."

Speaker Redmond: "Confine it to the report, however."

Schlickman: "As I point out, Section 841 of the Municipal Code, existing law, provides for only ten exceptions. Conference committee report adds an 11 entitled, bonds issued under the provision of an Act to provide the manner of levying or imposing taxes for the provision of special services to areas within the municipal boundary of home rule units and non-home rule municipalities and counties. Approved September 21, 1973. That is new language, Mr. Speaker, and it is not underscored. Number 2, there is the inclusion of a new item. Number 12, bonds issued under Section 8516 of this Code. I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that the 12, first of all, that the number 11 and the Amendment should be deleted and number 12 inserted. Now previously, we have seen where conference committee reports have been rejected for improper form and I respectfully suggest that this is not in proper form. Ah... It should ah... by a ruling of your, by a ruling from you, Mr. Speaker, be declared out of order, improper form."

Speaker Redmond: "It's the opinion of the Chair, the function of the Chair does not extend to questioning the form of the report. It would seem to me that your relief would be in the defeating of the report. Therefore, I will deny the point of order. Now, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill was taken out of the record and we've heard all this debate so I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Bluthardt to close."



11.

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to point out to the House and it's been pointed out several times that this law was enacted two years ago. It again, this Bill itself passed this House by a large majority. It didn't have a dissenting vote in Committee. It passed the Senate by a large majority. It's been passed upon by the House and the Senate at least twice in the last several years. This is merely a clarifying Amendment being put in at the suggestion of Chapman and Cutler and the Illinois Municipal League. I think we have already passed on the issue of the substantive law and I merely ask now that we do adopt conference committee report #1 to House Bill 2065."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the conference committee report. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 97 'aye', 34 'no' and the House does adopt the conference committee report. 2160, Representative Caldwell. Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to have the Clerk underline ah... Section 11 of the conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "I think enrolling and engrossing will take care of that."

Bluthardt: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question 97 'aye', 34 'nay' and the House does concur in the first conference committee report. 2160. Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill ah... 2160 was ah... considered in conference and ah... what it does is establish a board for rehab... vocational rehabilitation. Ah... All parties agreed and ah... we are recommending passage of this Bill. This would be the final action."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House concur, er... adopt the first conference committee report. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Final action. All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 121 'aye', no 'nay'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment, er... in the first conference committee report. It does adopt the first conference committee report. 257, Representative Catania or Chapman. Take that out of the record. 1443, Representative Skinner. Representative Skinner on 1443."



Skinner: "The first around we took out the wrong Senate Amendment. We have now put that right Senate Amendment back in. That Amendment excluded Cook County from the making public of expenditures of \$100 or more. This meets ah... Representative Schneider's objections that the school boards not be inundated with requests for information without having cost ah... paid by the ah... requestor of the information."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, we haven't had an opportunity to examine this file yet and ah... if we would vote on this, we'd be voting without any information available and we request the Sponsor to take it out of the record."

Skinner: "Whatever the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker ah... it's a nothing change. It was just in error that the Senate Amendment, now the Senate receded from their incorrect Amendment and ah... all this report does is correct that and it also includes the language that I supplied ah... with Mr. Skinner's cooperation because I was the original objecter in concurrence. I don't think there's anything that's significant or hidden away. Mike, it really is a nothing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, do you still desire to have it taken out of the record?"

Madigan: "Out of the record, Mr. Speaker, would you please."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you take that out of the record. ...on 98. Out of the record. Senate Bill 662, is that one ready to go? Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to adopt ah... Committee ah... conference committee report #1 to House Bill 662. The Senate has already adopted the report ah... As you recall the problem was that the Senate did not ah... concur with Amendment #1, Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 66, er... Senate Bill 662 and then a conference committee was appointed and now they ah... recede from that and they have adopted the ah... concurred in the Amendment and adopted committee report and I ask that the House do the same."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions or discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Senate, of the first conference committee report to



Senate Bill 662. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 105 'aye' and 1 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 662. 439. Representative J.M. Barnes on 2439. In the meantime, we'll pass that one temporarily. 3002, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the way the Bill, the conference committee puts the Bill in about the shape it went out of the House with the reduction of \$80,000 in contractual service and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions or discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 3002. All... Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "We don't have a copy of it. It may exist. Do others have it?"

Speaker Redmond: "They've all been distributed, I've been advised."

Skinner: "Well, if someone else will hold it up, I'll be happy to believe you. Matijevich has it. I hope he has a pink copy."

Speaker Redmond: "Page... ...002."

Skinner: "The pages don't seem to be rushing toward me with ah... multiple copies, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Will the Republican pages please rush toward Representative Skinner and give him the conference committee report on House Bill 3002. He won't bite. We'll have to take this out of the record temporarily. Representative Skinner is correct again, making it the first time. It hasn't been distributed. 1170. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Conference committee report on Senate Bill 1107 does two things. It ah... it rewrites the Bill, but makes only two changes that was caused by two technicalities. First, it makes it absolutely clear that if a covered individual, that is, the individual who has had a claim made against him, the State employee has had a claim made against him that if he chooses to hire his own lawyers in addition to the attorney general to defend him that this will be at the employees own expense. In other words, the representation for defense of the individual sued for his negligent act or acts done while he's a State employee will be defended by the Attorney General, but if he



chooses to avail himself of a supplemental counsel, this is at his own expense. The second change clarifies absolutely that the act only applies to incidents that occur after the effective date of the act. There was some question whether or not that ah... an incident that gives rise to a law suit that would occur after the effect would be covered. This makes in absolutely clear that the incident itself must occur after the effective date. Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of conference committee report #1 to Senate Bill 1170."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "I wanted to ask the Sponsor a question about this."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Hart: "Representative Leinenweber, does this Bill or any Amendments ah... exclude anybody from being sued that can now be sued."

Leinenweber: "Well, it covers ah... all officers, employees, including volunteers of the approved state program, National Guardsmen, other individuals working for the State in an employer, employee relationship. Now this would be similar to the what's now covered under responding to a superior in the private sector."

Hart: "Well, my question was, does it exempt anybody from ah... being sued that now can be sued?"

Leinenweber: "Not to my knowledge, it doesn't. It does not appear to affect at all the right of individuals to maintain claims against ah... any other individual. It only provides for a defense in the event that individual who has a claim presented against him is an employee of the State of Illinois and that incident occurred in an employment relationship."

Hart: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Porter: "Harry, just for the record. Under the Bill in its present form, is it possible for an employee sued for an intentional violation of another persons civil rights to receive indemnification?"

Leinenweber: "No, it is not."

Porter: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House... Representative Skinner."



Skinner: "I am searching for this conference committee report also and our chief page tells us that it does not exist except on Representative Leinenweber's desk. Considering this is the Bill that ah... brought the Senate back in or its predecessor Bill brought the Senate back in last year, it seems to me we ought let Representative Hill take a look at it and fine tooth comb it."

Speaker Redmond: "Has the report been distributed? Take it out of the record. 699, Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the conference committee report on 699 puts this ah... Bill in the same order as House Bill 1837 which ah... was sponsored by Representative Collins and cosponsored by myself. The only ah... difference ah... 1837 went out of the House with overwhelming support. The only difference we have in the conference report we removed some of the language that was ruled unconstitutional as it related to the ah... adoption of a ah... child within ah... prior to the death of the ah... one in the ah... pension fund ah... is not being entitled to benefits. This was ruled unconstitutional. We struck those lines 8 and 9 on page 9 of the conference report, puts the Bill in good order. The same order as the Bill that we passed out of here before and I would ask for a favorable vote on this conference report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question shall the... Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah... yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Ebbesen: "Representative Mudd, what's the fiscal impact of this?"

Mudd: "Joe, fiscal impact nec... this particular Bill has no ah... relation to state funds at all. It's ah... this is the downstate firemen's pension fund that ah... same Bill exactly that Collins had."

Ebbesen: "Well, what's the fiscal impact relative to local."

Mudd: "On the local, this was a negotiated pension fund by the Pension Board ah... the insurance, the taxpayers federation and they ah... funded this to take care of the benefits they asked for this year."

Ebbesen: "Well, I assume this conference report has been circulated, is that correct."

Mudd: "Yes, sir. It has."



Ebbesen: "Okay, fine."

Mudd: "This conference report was put out yesterday and it's on a ah... supplemental calendar #2 or 3 yesterday."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall the House con... adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 699. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished. Representative Geo-Karis from the Democratic aisle votes 'aye'. Take the record. On this question, 107 'aye', 5 'no' and the House adopts the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 699. Representative Berman, 1790 is that the one you want?"

Berman: "1493."

Speaker Redmond: "1493. Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1493 is ah... the conference committee report is the product of many contributions by Members of the Senate and the House on the conference committee report to ah... make recommendations for this fiscal year for the revisions in the school aid formula. We have distributed on you desks a memorandum explaining the various point of the proposal in the conference committee report, but let me go over them very quickly. Ah... Item #1 is ah... the transportation formula which is a concept that this House has supported both last session and this session. There was a separate Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster for what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "I was rising on a point of order that ah... the Speaker indi..., er... the Sponsor indicated there was a memorandum on our desks. Ah... We don't have it."

Berman: "Well the pages were supposed to distribute it and the conference committee report was passed out. Somebody's holding one up for you there."

Deuster: "I do have your conference committee report, but not your memorandum. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, I'm reviewing the memorandum ah... in my remarks ah... Representative Deuster. First, the first element is the transportation formula to allow the ah... transportation tax rate to be used for the operating tax rates for computing of state aid. This assists



primarily the downstate rural school districts. The cost of that portion of the formula is \$18,000,000 for FY76 and the proposal would allow this optional inclusion of transportation for the next three school years. Item #2 is that it eliminates the tax roll back that was built into the resource equalizer. This assists primarily the high school high expenditure districts, many of which are located in the suburban area around Cook and the metropolitan area. Item... and that has no state's fiscal cost. Item #3 is to permit school districts beginning July 1, 1975 and for the next three years to utilize the 1973-74 Title I count associated with the ah... Title I assistance from the Federal Congressional Act of 1965 or the optional use of Title I definition under what they call the Oreshansky formula. This will help downstate urban school districts in addition to assisting Chicago. Chicago will be get no benefit out of fiscal '76. It will realize some assistance under this program for '77 and '78. However, there are downstate school districts to the extent of about \$4,000,000 that will be assisted in fiscal '76. Item #4 is to allow unit school districts to increase the education fund and built... or building fund tax rates by 3¢ for each of those funds for the ah... fiscal ah... for the 1975 tax year subject to the same back door referendum provision that we had built in before, namely, instead of the usual 10 day ah... period for filing of the petitions for the referendum, we have extended it to 30 days; requires 1,500 signatures or 10% of the voters in the last school election, whichever is lesser and Item #5 is the, reduces the maximum state assisted operating tax rate for unit districts from \$3 to \$2.97 and for elementary districts from \$1.95 to \$1.93 to allow them greater access to the school, er... to the State assistance. This provision has no impact on ah... for fiscal '76. It will have an impact on fiscal '77. Again, in summary I wish to point out that this was a negotiated conference committee report. We have tried to address ourselves to all of the ares of the State as for as school aid are concerned. It ah... represents, I think, a very reasonable, very workable compromise and most important though, I should say, most important, but a very important consideration is that the dollar cost of this conference committee report will be \$22,000,000 for FY76 which is within the budgetary limitations that we and the people in the



Appropriations and B.O.B. feel that we can handle and can expect this Bill to be signed into law. There were other proposals that were meritorious, but many times the cost impact put this into jeopardy. This is, I think, a very reasonable legislative solution to address itself to the needs of the school districts through the State. I solicit your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative G.L. Hoffman."

G.L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a Member of the conference committee which ah... hammered out this particular report, I arise in support of the conference committee report. As ah... Representative Berman so aptly stated, ah... these changes will cost in this fiscal year an additional \$22,000,000 which would bring out total distributive formula to a \$1,254,000,000. The conference committee attempted to address itself to the degree that it could within the fiscal ah... restrain to a whole variety of problems, trying to ah... lead to the degree that we could, the needs of a whole variety of districts. I might point out to you that ah... we considered some other factors, but ah... attempting to stay within the framework of the ah... dollars available ah... we were able to move in the directions of that we did. I would ah... encourage ah... your support of this conference committee. I think it's reasonable and responsible and directs itself to the degree that we can to the problems that we face at this time. The School Problems Commission, of course, will continue to ah... look into other ah.. difficulties which our schools face. One of which ah... the ah... declining student enrollment ah... This has caused some serious problems for school districts because we pay on the basis of the number of children they have. Ah... We've got to address ourselves to this problem and I'm sure that the ah... Commission will be dealing with this issue over the course of the next year. So again I would encourage adoption of the conference committee report on Senate Bill 1493."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jim Houlihan."

J.M. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor of the conference committee report yield for a question? Art, I really appreciate all the work that I know has gone on in this conference committee. One thing I'm a little bit concerned about in point ah... 1 and 3. You indicate



what the cost is in fiscal '76, but in both those items we're getting into that kind of formula situation which commits the Legislature and the Executive branch to future funding policies and I think we did, we did run into some problems in ah... the new school aid formula where the funding picture increased at a rate maybe that people didn't expect and I wonder if ah... you have projections for what the cost might be in fiscal '77 or '78 since they're three year programs."

Berman: "Well, Representative Houlihan, may I respond by saying this.

When we look down the road to '77 and '78, ah... items 1 and 3 do have ah... commitment tied to them for those years. Ah... That's been done with recognition of several important fiscal considerations. Number 1, up ah... in ah... well throughout the State, we think that there will be areas which the ah... local assessment will be increasing either because of legislation that the legislature has passed or because of an action of local assessors in the next year and two. So that there will be ah.. increases in the ah... local funding and the ah... availability of funds for these schools. Also, because of Congressional action in relation to the Title I problem ah... the ah... areas such as Chicago that have received money from the Federal government since 1965 ah... the urban areas are being ah... are shorted and the shift is to the more rural areas and ah... from a national level, the money is being shifted to the southern states instead of the northern urban states. Ah... this causes dramatic problems in Chicago and although it will have no fiscal impact in '76, it will have an impact in ah... in '77 and '78, but offsetting that kind of demand ah... will be a declining enrollment that we are experiencing in Chicago and increased assessed evaluations ah... in Chicago. I think ah... the down the road picture is one that we will be able to handle without great difficulty. '77 will be the last full of the implementation of the four year resource equalizer formula. That will give us a chance from that, after that time to take a total re-evaluation, but we certainly think that the need for including transportation for the next two years, the next three years and Title I for the next three years without a) tying us in irrevocably for the future, but b) allow no, allowing those school districts that are affected by those two elements to know what's coming down the line for at least the next



three years is a reasonable approach."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson."

J.M. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "You got more?"

J.M. Houlihan: "Yes, I have more, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, go ahead."

J.M. Houlihan: "I asked only one question."

Speaker Redmond: "Go ahead."

J.M. Houlihan: "Ah... Excuse me, Art. On the fifth point, you indicated that there is no fiscal implication in fiscal '76. Is there a down the road commitment of this ah... particular aspect of this conference committee report?"

Berman: "Yes, sir. Our estimate, according to I.O.E. would be for FY77, \$16,000,000, 16."

J.M. Houlihan: "Well, if I might address myself to the Bill. I realize that this may well be the most reasonable effort to give school districts the ability to plan and project what kind of revenues they might expect, but I would urge the Members of the General Assembly to carefully consider what kind of commitments we are making in future years. One of the difficulties in a proposal which we have a commitment beyond the one year to a two or three year formula is that we may tie ourselves to a funding principle which is not equitable in the future years. While we can change that, I suggest that there is a significant and a rather difficult task ahead when people will harken back to this General Assembly when we tied ourselves to a three program and talk about the full faith of that legislative intent and I think that presents some difficulties, particularly when we're coming to the end of the ah... initial four year re... resource equalizer period when we can look at the total funding picture and see where we should go on a permanent basis and I just urge the Members to realize that they're committing themselves to a three program which goes beyond the four year initial ah... resource equalizer program."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson. Anderson."

Anderson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Anderson: "Representative Berman, that point #3, the Title I, isn't that



the... the language of that the same Amendment that was not adopted in Committee?"

Berman: "I believe that that language has been revised and also the figures that were ah... estimated in that ah... confer... in the committee meeting that we, where the Chicago Board people came, we ah... requested and they flew a fellow down, their Comptroller, last Friday and we sat down with ah... Office of Education people and the ah... Fred Bradshaw who works on these formulas and we, we had printouts and the projections of what those figures would be. As I indicated ah... FY76 the cost for point #3 is \$4,000,000, none of which will go to Chicago."

Anderson: "Yes, but what about the next two years?"

Berman: "FY77 we estimate approximately \$9,000,000. Now that's an approximation depending upon several factors. Ah... we have no hard data on the Oreshansky formula in outside of Chicago. So we are taking the, the guesstimates from the areas that will be getting Title I money outside of Chicago and that will be a large number of students in ah... downstate Illinois. The estimate for downstate ah... for FY77 would be anywhere from 5 to 7 million. Ah... these are ah... again, I say, guesstimates because we don't have hard data outside of Chicago."

Anderson: "Yeh, but as I remember from the testimony in that Committee that for '77 and '78 the figures went up astronomical for the city of Chicago as far as Title I is concerned."

Berman: "I remember that the figure of 60 was battered around. That figures is ah... is ah... not correct."

Anderson: "Could you give me some idea what figure you do have for the City of Chicago for '77 and '78?"

Berman: "Yes, I've, the figures that we have here is a low of 8 and a high of 25. Statewide, it would be as low as 15 and as high as 30."

Anderson: "That's for '77, what about '78?"

Berman: "Allright, I don't have figures for '78."

Anderson: "I seem, it seems to me it was something like 40,000,000 for Chicago."

Berman: "Those were the figures suggested in Committee. Those are not correct."

Anderson: "But you don't have a corrected figure."

Berman: "Well, we have no idea of '7... '77 is an approximation based



upon projections from the people in Chicago. Outside if Chicago, there are no figures that we have, that we can base it on and '78 again is a ah... we could not get any hard data to that point that would give us these kind of figures."

Anderson: "Well, didn't Bureau of the Budget come up with figures for '77 and '78? Didn't they testify and ah... this Floyd Schlupe..."

Berman: "They have no figures for those years either."

Anderson: "Let me point out to you..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill has been discussed and rediscussed and I think it's time to move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Berman to close."

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, I want to point out that whenever you put together a school aid formula proposal, everyone is going to have the opportunity to stand up and take shots at areas of the State that we don't represent and wonder why we're going, we're trying to help them as opposed to the districts that we come from. I want to say to you that this conference committee report that was ah... concurred in unanimously including the Members of the conference committee from the House, Representatives Hoffman and Tuerk and Representative Schneider and McClain and myself. I think we represent a cross section of almost all of the school districts throughout the State. I think that we negotiated and I mean that, we negotiated because we negotiated from the point of the view of the taxpayer, from the point of the view of the school districts, from the point of view of the State budget and I think without qualification, I can say and I think my four colleagues on the conference committee from the House will concur that conference committee to Senate Bill 1493 is a fiscally responsible, educationally responsible approach to the school needs. I urge your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 1493. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is a negotiated conference committee



report which will undoubtedly pass. It's \$1.25 billion this year; it's negotiated between tax district and tax district and the taxpayers have been completely left out. On item #2 on the explanation sheet you will see that you as a State legislator are going to get no credit whatsoever for cutting local real estate taxes because it completely eliminates the tax rollback provisions that have been marginally cutting taxes in the, in the high school and elementary school districts. On item #4 you will see that if the Governor deems to sign this Bill, that he will be automatically raising taxes in every unit school district in the State of Illinois. They will go up 6¢ per hundred in 19... next year and 6 1/2¢ per hundred in the year after that. That's 12 and a half cents per hundred. Now I can't believe that we had such a trivial discussion on this major issue. We've all of three people be able to ask questions and all of a sudden we closed the question. This is the second or third largest budget that we will be passing, this formula is and it's just unbelievable that we can vote 'yes' with our eyes just absolutely shut. We don't even know ah... whether this can be financed without an income tax increase in FY76 or FY77 because we don't know the total cost. We don't know whether it's going to go up a hundred million dollars or a billion dollars. Nobody's told us."

Speaker Redmond: "Bring your remarks to a close, your time is expiring.

Representative Bradley. Have all voted who wished? Representative Polk."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, fully realizing the count up there is 113, 144 and due respect to Mr. Berman and Mr. Hoffman who spent a considerable amount of time on this, you will recall the answers that were given by both these gentlemen in relation to the total amount that this was going to cost, not next, not this year, but next year and the following year and unfortunately, there is just no projection and they can not tell us exactly what the cost is going to be. I have to agree that it is necessary that we get additional funds for the children, but we should be able to know a little better what this cost is going to be and unfortunately, I've got to cast a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd... there



are some objectionable features with this, this Bill, this conference committee report. If you figure that you can back to your people and say, look I've increased your educational rate by 3¢ for 1975. I increased your building fund rate by 3¢ for 1975 when the State, the people of the State of Illinois are trying to save all the money that they can. If you feel that you can do that and come back here and next time, then you're going to be sadly surprised. I think it's time that we should hold the line on these things. I realize that school districts have to have money, but it seems to me a little pathetic and ironic that in a time of declining enrollment that we should continue to go up with these costs. I say it's time to hold the line. This conference committee report doesn't do it and I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 'aye', 21 'no' and the House does adopt the first conference comm... committee report on Senate Bill 1493 House Bill 1443, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Has the... has the gentleman from Chicago been satisfied on this Bill, Mr. Speaker? Is there need to discuss it again."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we're ready for it. The question is shall the House adopt the second conference committee report to House Bill 1443. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 118 'ayes' and 1 'no'. The House does adopt the second conference committee report to House Bill 1443. 229, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the conference... this is actually conference committee report #1. Ah... this report... In this report the Senate recedes from both of their Amendments 1 and 2 and the Bill goes back as it was, but it is amended further to provide the language that should of been in the Act in 1969 when it was first enacted and to put on the Cook County home rule Amendment. The ah... Bill does not apply to Cook County anyway, so there's no problem with ah... providing this. The reason it was done, 'cause there was some thought that maybe by, maybe by the ah... Bill we would be re-enacting a portion of the statutes that does apply to them and ah... they felt it would be better to have the, to have it show that it show there was not a limit on the home rule authority. I would ask for your support of



this conference committee report and its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first conference report to House Bill 229. All in favor vote 'aye'. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield for two questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Is this Bill as amend and contained in the conference committee report applicable only to downstate counties or does it include the county of Cook?"

Calvo: "It does not include the county of Cook. It does all the other counties."

Schlickman: "Ah... Is this a tax increase without referendum?"

Calvo: "I don't feel that it is, sir, no. It gives some, some authority to the counties in the event they desire ah... additional revenues and the supervisor of assessment area, but it will leave it to the county board to make that decision and if we're going to, people of the State of Illinois have told us in adopting the Constitution, the new Constitution that they wanted their own local units of government to have the authority to make these decisions and that's exactly what this does."

Schlickman: "In other words, it doesn't tax increase without referendum?"

Calvo: "No, sir. Not unless the county votes, the county board can vote in the supervisor of assessments area to increase the levy for that purpose. However, that is up to each county board and I think that's as it should be."

Schlickman: "But it's a tax increase by ordinance and not by referendum?"

Calvo: "That's... Well, to that extent. Yes, sir."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of House Bill ah... 229. We've had ah... considerable discussion on this Bill. We've talked with ah... Mr. Scott with the taxpayers federation ah... and he has no ah... objections to this proposal. We have attempted to address the needs of the county in past sessions. Representative McMasters had a Bill last session which is now in the process of ah... being litigated. This ah... legislation will be ah... most helpful to those counties between 15 ah... thousand and ah... three



million. I might point that ah... small counties have ah... non-referendum rate of ah... 20¢, Cook County has ah... levying, is levying 35¢. This reasonable and responsible legislation and I would encourage your support of it."

Speaker Redmond: "You ready for the question? The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 229. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 107 'aye' and 9 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 229. 1257, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The conference committee report on House Bill 1257 recommends that the Senate recede ah... from its Amendments to this Bill ah... I move that we adopt the conference committee report which agrees that the Bill will be in the form in which it originally passed out of this House."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I would think the House would want to know what it was the Senate had put on that we're, that they receded from."

Catania: "Okay, what the Bill originally did was to remove a section of the statute which was not being enforced which says that females of the age of 14 and 15 cannot be employed in jobs in which they're required to stand continuously. Simply takes out that section of the statutes ah... One of the Senators, Senator Regner, put that language into another ah... Bill, I believe, in an Amendment. I don't know where his amended Bill is now. However, this is the ah... action which has now been agreed on by both the Senate and the House with respect to this section of the statute. The Senate Amendments had ah... extended the statute to both boys and girls, but it was agreed that since it's not being enforced with respect to either boys or girls right now, it should simply be repealed and that's what the Bill in its present form now does."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 1257. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all



voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 'aye' and 10 'nay' and the House adopts the first conference committee report to House Bill 1257. Representative Kozubowski, 1089. You ready on that? Hold that? Representative Berman on 1790. G.L. Hoffman for motion on 1935."

G.L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to have leave to table ah... second conference committee report on ah... 1935."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Leave is granted. Not only the second report, but also the Bill is tabled. Is that correct? 2876, Representative Skinner. 2876, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, this is the out of district library Bill charge. What we have done is ah... put some specific exceptions in to give the local library boards ah... exemptions or to give them some leeway ah... We said that they may charge a lower rate than they do for residents to students attending elementary and secondary schools within the jurisdiction served by the library in question, senior citizens over age 65 and persons paying property tax which go to support the library. That is the basic change that's included in the report. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "How does this affect the reciprocal arrangements that library districts have ah... through the program that's administered by the State Librarian of the Secretary of the State."

Skinner: "Not at all to the best of my knowledge."

Schlickman: "Has your..."

Skinner: "...in another library district and there is a reciprocal arrangement between ah... two libraris. Ah... You know, you're in one district. What we're talking about is ah... the charge to people who are in no district. Those who are in between two districts. What has been happening is that the local library districts have been charging \$2 for an out of district key while the average home-owner family within the district pays \$20 and that's just an absurd situation."

Schlickman: "I agree."



Skinner: "No one will ever have an incentive to join a library if it costs less to stay out and the libraries don't seem to be getting the message."

Schlickman: "Has the State Librarian commented on this Bill."

Skinner: "Not officially. I have conferred with her and with ah... her library development person and unofficially, they don't have any objections."

Schlickman: "Then, officially, I won't."

Skinner: "That's good."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions or discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 2876. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House adopts the first conference committee report. Have the ah... first conference committee report on House Bill 3002, has that been distributed? 3002, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the conference committee report on 3002 says that the Senate recedes from their Amendment that on line 1, Section 12, we reduce contractual services from 939,000 down to 859,000. That's a reduction of \$80,000 and it reduces the total appropriation to age... agency \$80,000 and I would move for the adoption of the conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion, any questions? The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #1 to House Bill 3002. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 118 'aye', 1 'no' and the House adopts the first conference committee report. Temporarily, we'll go to the order concurrence. We'll call 2989. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, ah... 2989, House Bill 2989 is the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of Education and the Senate amended the Bill and I'd go through the Amendments and move to concur with Senate Amendment #2 which makes the following reductions in the appropriations for categorical grant programs. It removes ah... it reduces \$180,000 to get the reimbursement and this



reflects the 6% reduction. The 250,000 gifted area service centers, this reflects the fiscal year '75 appropriation level. 100,000 gifted experimental programs, this program was eliminated. \$229,837,000 special education reimbursement reflects the revised estimates from the Illinois Office Education for the '74-75 claims. \$575,758 for the bi-lingual Chicago reflects reimbursement for fiscal year '75 per capita costs. \$287,879 bi-lingual downstate reflects reimbursement at the fiscal year '75 per capita costs. \$620,000 in pupil transportation reflects the revised estimates of the '74-75 claims. \$1,890,960 in vocational grants reflects the 6% reduction. \$112,000 psychological screening program from Belleville eliminates the program, but in a later Amendment we're going to replace that. That's Representative Stiehl's request. \$695,000 in alternative education for court assigned truants. It eliminated the program. \$1,000,000 in library media services. It eliminates that grant and the total reduction in Amendment #1 is \$5,140,434 and it also eliminates the F.E.P.C. provision. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2989."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2989. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 113 'aye' and 2 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2. Amendment #3, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to concur with Amendment #3 with appropriates \$89,520 from the general revenue fund for operating a service center for the deaf, blind individuals. These are funds that are appropriates to implement Senate Bill 379 and I move to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved to concur in Amendment #3. The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2989. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. It takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2989. Representative Hanahan, Amendment #4."

Hanahan: "Amendment #4 reduces the appropriation to the Office of Education



for operation by \$732,848. This includes a decrease in the cost of living increase from 5% or \$50 to \$25, flat, across the board increase in other costs. The appropriation to the Office of Education for operation from the general revenue fund is \$486,290 less than the amount requested. I move for concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the House concur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 2989. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 'aye' and 1 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 2989. Representative Hanahan on Amendment #5."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to non-concur with Amendment #5 based on the technical reasons that we have double appropriated the \$10,000,000 for the special education building project and it would be better for the legislation to go to a conference committee to the one \$10,000,000 item that's not needed. So I move to non-concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved to non-concur in Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 2989. All in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House does non-concur in Senate Amendment #5. You request a conference committee ah... I guess you'll have to report that to the Senate first. 1506 on concurrence. Representative Berman."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, this is the same, this was the House version of the school aid formula. That was already taken care of in Senate Bill 1493. I move to table 1506."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman asks leave to table 1506. Any objections? 1506 is tabled. 2435. Hold that at the request of the Sponsor. 2627, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the concur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2627. Senate Bill num... Senate Amendment #3 amends the Civil Administration Code and I'll refer the discussion to Representative Yourell since that is one portion of his Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, ah... this is the Bill that apparently Representative Schraeder's been waiting for and I want to be completely honest with him ah... so that he knows this is the Bill that we're all talking about. Now what this does is just commonly known as the piggy-back Bill where a unit of government, any unit of



government, not just a home rule unit of government, may ask the Department of Revenue to collect a tax that the Department themselves considers to be compatible with a tax that's already collected by the Department. Now there has been questions raised as to whether this would enable a unit of local government and particularly a home rule unit of local government to impose a State income tax and that threat was raised in debate and arguments relative to this Bill and... in previous discussions and of course I don't like to quote the Constitution to any Member because I'm sure he knows it as well as I do, but Section... Article VII, Section 6e specifically states that a home rule unit shall have only the power that the General Assembly may provide to license for revenue, impose taxes, upon or measured by income of individuals of earnings of upon occupations. So what this Bill does if any unit of local government decides to levy a tax in order to receive the maximum benefit from that tax to have upon written request to the Department of Revenue to ask that that Department collect a tax that it's already collecting so that the maximum benefit to the unit of local government imposing the tax and the citizens thereof will have the complete benefit of most of the dollars rather than wasting some of the dollars by setting up an agency for the administration of a tax that's already been administered by the State. Now it's been called to the attention of the Members of the House that the Department of Revenue and the Director of the Department has some reservations about this House Bill 2627 and I have inquired as recently as this morning of the Department and the Department has indicated to me that they can live with this tax administratively. They have not said they are opposed to it, nor are they for it, but they say that they can live with it and would impose no hardships on them. They are the ones, incidentally, the Department of Revenue that drafted Amendment #3 and I call your attention to that Amendment in that the Department and now we're talking about the Department of Revenue shall determine, shall determine the compatibility of the local tax and shall determine the schedule under which it commences to collect the local tax. This was their suggestion in Amendment #3 that enables them to say to me and to other Members that they can live administratively with this and... House Bill and... 2627. So



Ladies and Gentlemen I now ask you to confirm and to adopt the Committee Conference Report of House Bill 2627. Ah, no this is a concurrence. To concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I arise on a point of order."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 2627 was introduced in this Chamber as an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code authorizing the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disability to provide mental health care to persons in Illinois' institutions. Now that's the Bill that was introduced here, read a first time, sent to Committee, reported out with a recommendation, do pass of 19 to nothing. It was read for a second time in this House it was read for a third time and passed. It had met the Constitutional requirements of three readings in each House. The Bill then went to the Senate. It was read for a first time there, but at Second Reading, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in the Senate an amendment was offered which is absolutely, totally, Mr. Speaker, not germane. The subject matter of this Bill as we read it three times according to Constitutional prescription dealt with the subject of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. This Bill now comes back to us with an Amendment that deals with an entirely different subject. The subject of the State collecting taxes of a compatible or comparable nature levied by units of local government. Now, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we are under Constitutional restraint and those Constitutional restraints are determined by the Illinois Supreme Court and since the 1971 Constitution and by way of interpretation, application of the State Constitution, the Illinois Supreme Court has said that a Bill may not be amended concerning a different subject matter. We have two entirely different subject matters in this Bill. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this amendment is not germane, it is out of order. And Mr. Speaker, as you have ruled on germaneness with respect with House Amendments with House Bills, I respectfully suggest and urge that you have the responsibility as the presiding officer of this chamber to rule on the germaneness of this amendment. And I respectfully suggest Mr. Speaker Members of the House in light of all the rulings that you have made with



respect to germaness from a conservative application to a liberal application concerning all of those applications this amendment is definitely out of order for not being germane. Now Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we you can prevent Mr. Speaker, us from adopting an amendment from a House Bill to prevent the Senate from acting upon it. Likewise, you have the authority and you have the responsibility Mr. Speaker, to rule on the germaness of Senate Amendments. We are an equal branch with the Senate. We have responsibilities to the people and the prime responsibility Mr. Speaker, Members of the House is to comply in our proceedings with the Constitution as interpreted and as applied by the Supreme Court. Mr. Speaker you would be doing a service to this House, you would be doing a service to the General Assembly, you would be doing a service to the people of the State of Illinois and you would be supporting the Illinois State Constitution by ruling Mr. Speaker that this Amendment is not germane and therefore, out of order and I so respectfully request such a ruling."

Speaker Redmond: "I believe the Chair has previously ruled that the Chair did not consider that he should examine the matter of germaness from a Conference Committee Report. That should have been taken up in the Senate. If it was a House Amendment to a House Bill or a House Amendment to a Senate Bill but it has gone this far. We have had a Conference Committee and it would seem to me that it's here for concurrence and I don't think that I am empowered to rule on the question of germaness of a Senate Amendment to a House Bill and I so rule."

Sklickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, what about the Constitutional prescription that a Bill be read for three times in each of the two Houses."

Speaker Redmond: "Just as it is not the function of the House or the Senate to determine the Constitutionality of the Law, I don't believe it is up to me to determine the germaness of a Senate Amendment that was attached in the Senate. Now it may very well be that a Court of Law would rule that the Law was unconstitutional but I don't think it is the function of the House of Representatives or the Speaker to determine legal and judicial matters in that way and that's the way I rule. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, just on this point and then I hope it doesn't preclude me from but I would like to make a statement on that very point."



Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schraeder: "I would like to point out that this was as you incorrectly stated not a Conference Committee Report. This is a vote on concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "That's right."

Schraeder: "I would like that to be very clearly pointed out. This is not a Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct."

Schraeder: "And I would like to also object to the Ruling that it puts the House of Representatives in a position where they can never declare or ask to have declared a Senate Amendment as being germane. I think that should be pointed out very clearly."

Speaker Redmond: "They can vote it down, Representative Schraeder, but I don't believe it's that function. Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my fellow colleague from downstate Illinois and on the other side of the aisle."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, because of your Ruling we really have no opportunity to act on germaness and on the Constitutionality so before we get to the Concurrence. I would like to move that this Senate Amendment is in violation of the State Constitution and therefore, it does not meet the germaness nor the matter of the subject matter that the Bill originally introduced and I would move that the Senate Amendment #3 is out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "It would seem to me that your Motion would be non-concur with the Senate Amendment. I have previously ruled that I don't believe that it is the function of the Chair to rule on the germaness of a Senate Amendment to a House Bill. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, you are not ruling on it. Because you have said that you can not rule on it, I feel that this body can rule on it by that motion. I am not moving I am not moving to nonconcur, I am not voting to nonconcur. My vote on nonconcurrence would be on the merits of the Amendment. But I am saying that this body ought to be able to determine whether a Senate Amendment meets the Constitutionality or not. And therefore, I offer that Motion to determine if it is Constitutional. And I think that we as a body ought to be able to determine whether it



is Constitutional. Otherwise, we are only acting as one body on constitutionality and the other body can ignore it. I think that we if we see in our minds that something is constitutional or unconstitutional we ought to be able to determine that. We ought not to freeze out our deliberations as to whether something is constitutional, whether it's germane or not just because the other body may have had their eyes closed on the subject matter and I don't think that non-concurrence fits that. I like to vote on non-concurrence on the merits of the Bill not on its constitutionality."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Would the maker of that Motion tell me under what rule he makes the Motion."

Matijevich: "I make the Motion on the constitutionality on the Constitution which says that Bills shall be limited to the subject matter of the Bill. This Bill was introduced as a different subject matter and it has been violated by Senate Amendment #3."

Shea: "But, John, and you're my friend, I just want to know under what rule we can do it, because I would hate to have somebody get up in the Senate and say after we have, you know, taken a Senate Bill and put a House Amendment on it that they felt it wasn't Constitutional and bang goes our Bill."

Matijevich: "I do it under my right as a Member of this body to make a Motion."

Shea: "Just an open Motion."

Matijevich: "That's right, by my Motion I am saying that this Senate Amendment does not meet the Constitutional requirements and I think that I have that right under Motion."

Shea: "Well, I'm wondering if we can ask the Sponsor if he would want to take it out of the record for a minute and look at that."

Taylor: "All right."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Well I'll tell you that I'm going to rule that the courts are the ones that determine the matter of constitutionality and there isn't any question about that in my mind and I would rule that Motion out of order. I don't think there's any question that this is not a judicial determination or a judicial body we're a legislative body. Representative Taylor."



Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, I stood in the Senate and watched this Bill be in order and the germaness over there was brought up but because of the fact that this Amendment amends the Civil Administrative Code as my Bill does the same, the President of the Senate at that particular time the acting President ruled that this Bill was in order and should be passed. And I so move here that we pass this Bill out of this House today and concur with the Senate Amendment #3 of House Bill 2627."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "It appears the Gentleman is speaking on a Bill that I thought you took out of the record. Have you taken it out of the record?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know what we did everybody objected. I just assumed that we would put it to a vote."

Skinner: "Well, I would like to kill it now."

Speaker Redmond: "It's not out of the record, I have been advised.

Representative Maragos, it is not."

Maragos: "Since it is not out of the record, Mr. Speaker, I ask that you rule on the Motion of Mr. Matijevich which I think is out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "I have ruled that it is out of order."

Maragos: "Oh, I didn't know that you had."

Speaker Redmond: "This is not the Supreme Court; this is the House of Representatives. And I keep don't see any way that we can get into Judicial determination. Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I believe I was in the process of speaking."

Speaker Redmond: "You were, proceed, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House and especially my colleague from downstate Illinois and on the other side of the aisle. This is a Bill that has a relationship to other matters that are pending in the Senate. Ah, they, it has a relationship to Bills that are sponsored by the Sponsor of this Amendment. Ah, I would suggest and it seems to me that it would be most appropriate if as the days go colder in October and November that we sit down and discuss this Bill along with some of those other Bills on the other side of the rotunda, around a fireside chat so that we might have an opportunity to work out the difficulties with this good Bill and those very good Bills over on the other side of the rotunda and I would encourage all of you to vote



'present' on this matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with respect to germaness, I don't see how an amendment dealing with the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities can have anything to do with the collection of taxes, but I guess the Chair has ruled on that. It seems to me that this has not been read three times in each House, but two times in one. Mr. Speaker, this is a terrible Bill and we all know it. All of us who are committed to no one but our Constituents. The Bill would provide that the State collect taxes that are compatible with"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you rise?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, could I have leave to take it out of the record for a few moments, please."

Walsh: "I thought it was Representative Yourell's Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think they are running as an entry."

Walsh: "Running in tandem."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Out of the record. 3058.

Representative Neff advises me that this is non-controversial now.

I'm glad the Clerk didn't tell me that. 3058. Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Senate Amendment to House Bill 3058 and I want to explain it again that this Bill has been remade we might say, everything that was in the contents of House Bill 3058 has been removed and this time all we are trying to do is the present speed limit is 50 miles per hour at which expires on July the First. On the Federal Highway Act of 1974, the Federal Highway Administration is required to suspend all projects' approval until the 55 mile an hour is in effect. The speed limit I want to explain again is exactly in the same terms as under the present law. The this Bill maintains the present 55 mile an hour speed limit on trucks. All trucks over 8,000 pounds. Now I would request concurrence of this Amendment and I want to say this, I don't like having the Federal Government come down and lay the rules out to the State, but the sanctions involved here are just to severe to take this chance and therefore, I would hope that we would pass this Legislation which leaves the Law the same as it is and it does expire on July the First."



Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. When this piece of Legislation was up last night and I questioned the Sponsor of it. Since I have found out that I was wrong in my assumption. I certainly am for this piece of Legislation and I want to apologize to the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I want to say also that we should support the Sponsor in his effort. We have got alot a great deal of reimbursement money riding on this particular Amendment. I think we should support it. We're talking about over half a billion dollars in highway funds and we can ill-afford to let that go down the shute. I think the Sponsor should be commended for coming in and working so hard to ensure that we will receive our equal share of those funds."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3058. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye' and 10 'no' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3058. 3097. Representative J. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

J. Dunn: "I would respectfully request that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3097. This Amendment adds two sections to the Bill. The First one, this is the State Railway Plan Bill. The first Amendment provides that the provides that the Bill shall do nothing to change the present statutory responsibilities of the Illinois Commerce Commission. The second change provides that a report shall be submitted to the State Railway Plan, a report shall be submitted to the President of the Senate, the Senate Minority Leader, the Speaker of the House, the House Minority Leader before it is submitted to the Federal Government and the Department shall also provide by October 15 of this year a report including its findings as to the extend nature and proposed use of Federal Aid which may be available for State Railway purposes. These are the only two changes that were significant in this Amendment. There were some technical changes to move the to move this plan into a different



article of the Code and I know of no opposition, DOT concurs with this change. This has been approved as I understand by Leadership on both sides of the aisle and I respectfully urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion. The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3097. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no', final action 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 130 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3097. House Bill 3118. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Impoundment Bill with a little additional add-on by the Senate. It comes back to us about the way we sent it to the Senate with a new Section in it. And this is the Bill that by using this there has been agreement to take off all the FEPC Amendments off all the appropriations and to add one clause on this Bill and that's Section 30-D and it reads as follows: Discriminatory expenditures prohibited, no expenditure shall be made in violation of the rules and regulations of the Illinois Fair Employment Practice Commission or the United States Equal Employment Opportunities Commission. This Section is enforceable only after finding a violation has been made by the Commission respectfully the Commission and after that finding has been embodied and a final Commission order which has been finally affirmed or appealed or which has become final after the time for appeal or judicial review has elapsed. This Section does not create any private rights of action of any sort. So Mr. Speaker I would move that the House do now concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3118."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it has now come to this hunk of pap as I shall call it. Let me give you a bit of history about this particular Amendment, its genesis so to speak. About 1969, Representative Ewell and Davis and Caldwell and a few others who are not here under the Leadership of Ralph Smith who gave approval and under Governor Ogilvie who also approved we began to put Amendments similar to this, pardon me Amendments similar to the Catania Amendment on all appropriation Bills that came to the House. We were trying to make a point, and the point was that the State of Illinois was partial to



discrimination in all of its Agencies throughout the land. There was no doubt about what we were trying to do. But we ceased doing it after one term because we found it was self-defeating and serving no purpose and we began to concentrate on beefing up the Fair Employment Practice Commission, expanding its power and agencies, trying to get more funds in there and getting it some real teeth. And we succeeded in doing that, culminating with Representative Davis' Initial Powers Bill which passed by this House and now is on the Governor's Desk. And we didn't only use this Amendment for select Agencies which we felt were sort of the heartbeat of the whole State Government. Now when Ms. Catania offered this Amendment to these Bills in Committee I went along with the Amendment but as I told her I didn't think they were worth the paper they were written on, but I also told her that I would vote for something that just said be good boys because I think you've got to constantly remind people that they have a responsibility to the public policy of this State. But I didn't think the Amendment did any good. Now the Bill goes over to the Senate after these Amendments have tied up so many Bills and we come back with this pap which practically is an insult to me and I'm certain to anybody that desires to be the beneficiaries of Fair Employment Practice Commission. What they say here in this Amendment and I hope Mr. Catania didn't agree to it, what they're saying is that if there's is discrimination in an Agency that expends money then this Act won't take affect until you go to Court exhaust all appeals, prove that there is discrimination, then you can't spend the money. I'm certain that will take a year or a year and a half. But then to compound the insult, and to rub salt on the sore they conclude by saying this Section does not create any private right of action in any court. I was going to vote for 3118 but I wouldn't vote for a payraise for myself, an insult to me in this manner. It does nothing, it's insulting, it's ridiculous, it's not necessary and I for one am going to vote present on the Bill. I can't understand how anybody who is dedicated to the proposition that we must root out discrimination could support such a thing. You are whetting people's legitimate appetites for freedom and equality of opportunity with alot of ridiculous sounding words. Any lawyer in this Building, any law student any 8th grade student can say this is a bunch of hog wash. I sincerely hope



Ms. Catania and Ms. Younge who carried on this fight admirable though sort of useless would not support this Amendment. I certainly won't.

I refuse to be a party to an insult to myself."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in opposition to the Concurrence Motion regarding House Bill 3118. This Bill is, ah, simply a give away on the part of the Legislative branch of Government to the Executive Branch. The substance of the Bill really isn't much to talk about the only new power which the Governor, the chief executive, would receive under the provisions of this Bill is the ability and the prerogative to withhold funds from the field of education. There is..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman from Chicago is speaking on the Bill and the Motion before us is to concur with the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. Confine yourself to the Motion, Representative."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I'm leading up to talking about the Motion. It's preliminary discussion. This Bill abdicates our Legislative responsibility the Amendment abdicates it, it gives the Governor's no substantive power except the power to impound funds for education. I hope that every Member of this House will vote against this concurrence Motion and not take part in another Legislative give away. The 1970 Constitution gave the Governor enough with the Amendatory veto and here we are trying to give him a more powers and those powers would be to take away money from education. I think every Member of this House ought to think long and hard before they cast an 'aye' vote on this Concurrence Motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Well, I rise very seriously to concur in what the Gentleman who just spoke said that in passing this Bill we advocate our legislative responsibilities and with respect to the Senate Amendment Representative Washington is absolutely correct and I think Representative Davis the other day made a very telling speech against the so-called Catania Amendment which this is in effect. What this Amendment does is absolutely nothing, the Legislation which gave the FEPC Initiatory Powers has removed absolutely any need for anything like this. So both in opposition to the Senate



Amendment and in very violent opposition to what this Bill would hope to do and that is to amend the Constitution by making the Legislature a less important branch of Government, I would urge that the House do not concur in this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Is it on? Mr. Speaker, I'm very sorry that Mr. Washington considers Amendment #1 to House Bill 3113 an insult to him. I wish that we could always solve all of our problems with Legislation but the fact is that we usually find none of our problems with Legislation but we can move in that direction. I believe that this proposal is one way that goes beyond calling attention of our Agencies to the fact that we will not tolerate discrimination but also once there has been a final order this Amendment will give some teeth to the law because once a final order has been handed down by the Commission then funds will be held up. I think it's time that we let our State Agencies know that we're serious that we're serious in saying that we prohibit discrimination in our Constitution and by our laws. This is one way we can do this. The Civil Rights Amendment that was added to all many of the Appropriations Bills did this and this is a refined, a refined proposal that is based on the Civil Rights Amendment that this House has been voting for on the few Roll Calls we have had by a little better than two to one. So the Impoundment Bill passed and I hope that this Amendment will also pass and that you will concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Shea to close. Representative Shea."

Shea: "I would move that the House do concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3118. All in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Final action 89 votes. Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman, I rise against concurrence on this. This Amendment when you really get down to the practice of what it does, you might say it was drafted by a bunch of nymphs up on Cloud



9 because you have to be on Cloud 9 to think that it is going to work. Right now the FEPC is being sued by an ex-employee for discrimination themselves so they ask them the rule on discrimination throughout the State and every Agency an impoundment of funds is like asking Bluebeard to judge a murder case. If your're going to expect it to work you might as well find something that is workable. This is nothing more than a B 10 Philosophy course in Sophomore College of Philosophy. That's all it is. It's nice words but there's no logic and there's no rhyme or reason that's it's going to work and I would urge the Members not to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's no sense debating this anymore, there's not going to be 89 votes up there so now I would move that the House do not concur in the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House non-concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3118. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry, if the House does not concur by 89 votes would this Bill then die?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, it would go back to the Senate for their action on their Amendment. All in favor of non-concurring, indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. The House non-concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to 3118. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, maybe I'm too late, I had my light on through that entire debate and through the explanation of Roll Calls, but I would question, thank you for your sympathy fellows, I would point out Mr. Speaker that it would have been helpful to have had that Roll Call that we were taking and to have taken it before allowing the Majority Leader to get up and change the Motion. We were on a Roll Call and there is a House Rule that says that no Motion is in order during a Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman is out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. Conference Committee Reports. Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1518. Representative Catania for what purpose do you rise?"

Catania: "On about 25 points of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Catania: "My name was mentioned in debate and I guess I've been referred to as a pregnant 'nympho', Representative Fleck. I did want to just observe that as we all know when one has to compromise, nobody is happy and I don't think that either side was entirely happy with the Amendments to House Bill 3118. However, I do think that it does give a power which is not now clearly in the minds of some agencies and some schools and some boards of reagents and some boards of governors invested in the F.E.P.C. If the Governor signs intiatory powers Bill for the F.E.P.C. and we have that Amendment which has been offered on appropriations Bills in the House and rejected by the Senate, it will give us some new strength in Illinois that we haven't seen before. I would point out to you that that Amendment in its original, unemaciated form uncompromised form, is included... May I finish, please, since I wasn't recognized when I wanted to be recognized originally to speak on the Bill and then to explain my vote and then on one of my several points of personal privilege. I would point out that that Amendment in its original, unemaciated, uncompromised form is in the Department of Transportation appropriation Bill which went to the Governor from the Senate and I think we'll be able to see now what the Governor thinks about it, what the assorted constitutional lawyers who advise the Governor think about it and if the Governor sees fit to let it stay in that appropriations Bill, we all be able to see whether it works or not and whether does give the F.E.P.C. the power that the original drafters of the Amendments who were also constitutional lawyers feel that it will give the F.E.P.C. We will also be able to see if it does something to rectify..."

Speaker Redmond: "Bring your remarks to a close or..."

Catania: "...the current unfair, gross situation with respect to employment of blacks, Filipinos and women in State government. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Conference committee report to House Bill 1518, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I know the TV cameras are on. So would you please have them take them off so we can get down to the business of the House. Everyone wants to get up and speak so would you please ask them to turn the lights down and so we can get on with this business?"

Speaker Redmond: "1518, Representative Walsh."



Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table House Bill 1518 and the conference committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, 1518 is tabled. 1089, Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that the House do adopt the conference committee report in House Bill 1089. The report suggests that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2. Senate Amendment 1 deletes the so-called weasel clause which would authorize that federal funds be spent without appropriation and Senate Amendment #2 is a cut in general by \$30,000 in return for the I.P.A. grant funds to the Department of Personnel. The Bill is also amended as follows. The, that the House recede from Senate Amendment #3 which deletes and eliminates the division of community services. The Bill is further amended by restoring the division of community services to the level in which it left the House with an 11% cut and keeps the 6% cut in general revenue operations appropriation and I would move that the House do adopt the conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "ah... Are you, You said the division of community services remains as is. What happened to the assessment people, what happened to that division?"

Kozubowski: "That division is still in, Representative, with a very small cut which is acceptable to the Department. I've been assured by the Director that they can continue to operate without any problem."

Skinner: "Well, may I speak to the question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Skinner: "It is inconceivable to me that the Director of the Department of Local Government Affairs would agree to any cut in the assessment assistance program in the State of Illinois while keeping on the community services division uncut. This is an incredible inversion of priorities. Ah... for those of you on the Democratic side who don't remember, Senator Ralph Tyler Smith campaign aides were put on this staff after they went off the campaign fund staff and I have no reason to believe to my fellow Republicans ah... that Governor Walker's future staff is not sitting there right now. It is an unbelievable conference committee and I would urge its nonacceptance."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. Houlihan."

J.M. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

J.M. Houlihan: "Well, you indicated that one aspect of this conference committee report has been approved by, er... at least consulted with the Director. Has he been informed and in agreement."

Kozubowski: "The entire, excuse me, Representative. To clarify the point, the entire conference committee report is agreeable to the Director. As a matter of fact, ah... he was there during the conference committee report and we tried to work out his problems and it is totally agreeable to the Department and the Director."

J.M. Houlihan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 1089. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Final action, 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, 109 'aye', 6 'nay', 16 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report. 1790, Representative Berman. Representative Berman. Where is he? Take that out of the record. 2238, Representative J.M. Barnes."

J.M. Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we would concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2439. The Senate Amendment deletes the term 'medical services' and reduces the appropriation from \$35,000,000 to \$19,000,000 and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion, any questions? Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. One more time. This is House Bill 2439, what started out for those of you who supported as an aid to private schools is now turned into ah... aid to public schools. The appropriation has been reduced to \$19,000,000, but actually if you would ah... read the new language, it ah... incorporates public textbooks and non-public textbooks. Just on a random computation of over 2,000,000 students..."

Speaker Redmond: "I think if you read the number, Representative Schneider should be 2238."



Schneider: "Well, wait a second then. Jane, what have you... Did you speak to the Comptroller's budget or are you speaking to aid to private schools?"

J.M. Barnes: "I was speaking on 2439 which is aid to non-public schools."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'll proceed with that. I called 2238, but go ahead. 2439."

Schneider: "Any rate, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to continue, I think what you have now is an effort to ah... try to deal with the ah... Pennsylvania decision which allows for distribution of free textbooks so it has to be allowed equitably across the State. Now in many districts throughout our areas, ah... schools charge rental fees as much as 10, 11, 12 dollars ah... individual pupil in elementary schools and a lot greater in high schools and we averaged that out to around 25 bucks a student for \$2,000,000 ah... 2,000,000 students, we're talking about a minimum of 25 and possible a maximum of \$50,000,000 most of it going to public schools and so the intent of the legislation, it seems to me, has been gutted despite the Sponsor's efforts to try to conform to the recent ah... decision relating to Pennsylvania. It means that the schools are now going to have to make greater demands on the State for the school aid formula and I think we're going to have move financial problems. Another categorical aid program that relates only to textbooks. I think the Bill was, of course, bad to start with constitutionally. It's financial problems have not been solved. This makes it even further worse ah... in terms of those of you who are interested in non-public. I would solicit, again, a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates she will."

Waddell: "In as much as we're talking about sums of money and how they're to be spent as far as our financing the books program, could you tell me who's going to decide on who gets what contracts from what publishers as to which books are going to be provided by us in the State of Illinois?"

J.M. Barnes: "Representative Waddell, it's the State Board of Education that will execute these programs. May I ask for a favorable Roll Call, please."



Speaker Redmond: "The question is does the House concur in the first conference committee report of House Bill 2439. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. All voted who wished? All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 96 'aye' and 40 'nay' and the House does concur in the first conference committee report to House Bill 2439. 2238, Representative Barnes."

J.M. Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I had at one time a very simple appropriations Bill that was #2238 and it was passed out of this House unanimously with 138 votes on May 8th. On May 13th, the Senate put on 2 Amendments without my permission and while it is true that I am a novice in the machinations of Springfield, I have had some background and the very unique distinction of being the first woman Assistant Chief Deputy Sheriff on the Sheriff of Cook County's personal staff and it was in this position that I was taught to ferret out the facts if my name is lent to any issue. When I was given the Senate Amendments, the minute that it reached my hand, my nose started to twitch. Anytime that I do touch this Amendment, my nose keeps at twitching. I went about to try and negotiate in a very businesslike manner with the Senate Sponsor, Senator Knuppel, who I have found to be quite cooperative. However, last night about 11:30 on the floor of the House, the State Fair Manager approached me and he called me a political hack. I resent being called a political hack. So I have changed my position on this Bill and I have three things that I would like to say to ole' handsome Dan Walker because I am a woman who believes in giving the devil his due and, Dan, you are a handsome man. Number 1, I would like very much for you to inform your Director of the State Fair Agency that a political hack, I am not. Number 2, since the Amendment called for certification in writing by the Governor as to the amount each individual vendor may receive, I ask for this, Dan. Please wash your own dirty laundry; and Number 3, I ask that in the future, Governor, that you keep your odoriferous Amendments away from my Bills. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I appreciate your kind attention and in the hopes of good government and the fact that this will end hopes that this will not happen again, I ask for a favorable Roll Call on this concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't quite understand. Do you want the House to adopt



the first report?"

J.M. Barnes: "I would like the Governor to, as I said, to reiterate, to wash out his own dirty laundry. I was trying to negotiate in the manner that I thought was businesslike..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Point of order. I don't think the subject matter of anybody's dirty linen as to whether we adopt or not adopt this conference committee report and I would hope that we quite all this talk about other individuals. I've heard so many Members, if they would ah... wouldn't violate our own rules when they, in debate they mention another Member's name, if we'd quite that, we'd get the business of the House finished. Let's work on this ah... report, not on anybody's dirty linen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the lady explain the conference committee report, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Please explain the report. Representative Barnes."

J.M. Barnes: "I'm very sorry, I didn't hear that."

Speaker Redmond: "Please explain the report."

J.M. Barnes: "Well, there are approximately 244 vendors that are to be paid for work that they did at the last state fairgrounds and when I suspected that there was something wrong with the report, upon investigation there are 15 vendors that have violated the Purchasing Act. So I would have to disagree with my colleague on the other side. I feel that there has been problems with this and I feel that I gave it an honest deliberation..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Downs, for what purpose do you rise."

Downs: "I'd most respectfully would ask that she tell us whether: a) She agrees with the conference report or not and what she wants us to do. Every time she starts to explain it, she goes into something else. What does it say?"

J.M. Barnes: "I thought that I explained it throughly enough, but maybe since I am now, I haven't. I would like to have you concur in paying all the vendors and leave the responsibility of payment on the Governor since the Amendment, which was prepared the Senate, does call for the Governor's written signature on each individual payment to each individual vendor."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell, do you seek recognition? Yourell."

Yourell: "Just a question, ah... Representative Barnes, were you a Member of the conference committee.?"

J.M. Barnes: "Ah... No, I was not. Representa... No, I was not."

Yourell: "Well, why are you explaining the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Her Bill."

J.M. Barnes: "I thought that perhaps since it was my Bill that I would be required to explain the Amendment."

Yourell: "Well the point I'm making, if you were not a Member of the conference, how do you know what was in there?"

J.M. Barnes: "Because I told you, in my initial statement that when I was approached over this Senate Amendment, it was done without my knowledge and because I have had experience of ferretting out the facts before I lend my name to any issue, I investigated."

Speaker Redmond: "You ready for the question? The question... Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the conference committee report. I'm sorry to be in opposition to the Lady, but I think this House should know what they're doing before they adopt this conference committee report. This is the amounts of money that are claimed to be owing vendors in this State for various services of the State Fair Agency from last state fair. I'd like to read some of these amounts. In, when the Governor approves these amounts, I hope that the whole State of Illinois looks into it because some of the claims in here, like 200... \$2,500 for somebody to advocate parimutual betting at the state fair last year and give expertise advice on it is part of this appropriation. Part of this appropriation is fifty some thousand dollars for rental of golf carts that if we had bought the golf carts, we of still owned them and paid less than what we paid to rent them for 10 days. There's ah... there's a little item about manure in this little thing that makes the whole conference committee report stink and the cost involved in the payment of this manure contract. There's some other very questionable, 15 in all, extremely questionable amounts of money being paid to people for services performed supposedly in behalf of the state fair. All done in violation and reported by the grand jury of the Sangamon County in violation of the Purchasing Act



and in violation of the high ethics that this administration campaigned on when they ran for office. Now I know the Governor's going to sign each individual item. That doesn't relieve our obligation as legislators to allow this kind of goings on in the state fair and I know the new state fair board and the state fair agency is going to say that they will never allow this to happen again, but by God, it did happen and didn't happen in a new term of office of this Governor. These kinds of appropriations, these kinds of expenditures are questionable at best and illegal at worst and I say to the Members of the General Assembly, I don't think it's the Governor's job to sign it. I think it's our job to deny payment and if any vendor qualifies for payment under his contract, let him go to the Court of Claims and apply for payment. That's what I think should be done and then the court of claims at their judicious hearing will either say that these claims or awarded or not awarded and will recommend to this General Assembly those payments, but to have some conference committee report with no judicial decision making power make these reports to this General Assembly that we should pay these questionable, sometimes, questionable whether or not they're illegal or not claims, I think is in bad taste for this General Assembly and I'd sooner see this conference committee report go down even if the Lady wants her Bill, I'd say that the people of Illinois would be better off."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. When this Bill was first heard in the House, it was passed, it was passed out of the House ah... and it went to the Senate. The Senate added Amendments #1 and 2 and the Bill came back over here for concurrence. The Representative asked that we not concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 and we obliged her by not concurring. Now then the Bill went to a conference committee at which by her own admission, the Lady was not in attendance. As I see the committee report, it indicates the she was not even assigned as a member of the conference committee. The conference committee report simply requests that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2. Well I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that if we've already nonconcurring that the conference committee ah... if the Lady was not in attendance there, we don't have any information on why we should now concur when we



nonconcurred before and so I would suggest for that reason that we not concur. That there be a second conference committee report and the Speaker appoint the Sponsor of the Bill as one of the members of the conference committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the first part of this Bill is an emergency appropriation or it was at one time to the counties of this State to provide reimbursement for services for juvenile probation offices because we didn't fund it properly because of our actions on the now infamous House Bill 2303 of last session, but if any of you remember during the appropriation hearings in the session, the veto session in prior to that and I think Representative Hanahan was there. The Governor's office asked us to provide the money for the payment of the vendors of the state fair. If you remember, two of the contracts in question were who hauled away the animal waste and who supplied the golf carts that the people used to get around the state fair. Those contracts were the subject, the subject matter of a grand jury investigation here in Sangamon County. Those contracts are the subject matter of a report by the Auditor General's office. In both instances, those two bodies have raised points of whether the contracts are in order and the grand jury has suggested hat the Attorney General go further and look into the legality of some of them. Now I don't know who the vendors are and I assure you I'm not connected with them in any way, but if these vendors and I've been informed one of them is the man that supplies the canvas and the tents out there doesn't get his money, I understand that man says he's broke. I don't know. I don't have any idea, but I take it from my friends who are connected with that, people that have been working with this agency for a number years, like Bob Craig, that those vendors need payment. I think this Amendment speaks to a way it can be done. We appropriate the money and say to the Governor as the man responsible for the fair's operation, if you think these are correct, Mr. Governor, then go ahead and let them be paid so we can have the state fair this year and I would hope, I would hope that my colleagues would join in adopt this conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."



Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Barnes, to close."

J.M. Barnes: "I would still urge the payment of the vendors, the conference committee ah... was signed by 8 members and they wish to concur. So I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 2238. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I finally found a copy of the conference report and it's signed by three members of the House. Ah... Representative Lechowicz, Kozubowski and Leverenz and Representatives Ryan and Totten who are on here, haven't signed it and I think every time we vote on something, at least somebody who's been to the conference ought to tell us what the Amendments are. Maybe, at one time, they were explained, but now that we're voting, why can't ah... we have Representative Lechowicz, Kozubowski or Leverenz explain their vote and just precisely say what is Amendment 1 and 2. I think I know, but I don't know."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've seen a lot of strange things happen in these legislative halls, but for the life of me I can't see how a Bill that starts out to pay, that has to do with juvenile defenders, ends up with having to do with paying the people who hauled the waste away from the state fair. Now I did hear this and I think Representative Hanahan is exactly right. There is a very good question about whether these people should be paid at all and how much and that's what the court of claims is for, not a conference committee. I ask you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the remarks of the Majority Leader in attempting to straighten out what has happened. Certainly we should take the conference committee's report. We must move on with our work. The fact that you can make a case out of a smelly manure sounds great,



but we're talking about far more than that. We're talking about vendors who furnish material and services and paid their people almost a year ago and are not paid. How is the State going to continue to do business with these people. If the State, if you can't be relied upon to get your money from the State, no one's going to do any business with you. They must borrow at a high rate of interest to pay these material men and for their services. All we're doing here as in a compromise in a conference committee, getting the appropriation through so when the proper checks are made by the Governor and the Attorney General that if everything is found to be right, the ones who are entitled to it will get paid and the other ones will not. I think it's a good compromise. We ought to accept it and get on with our business."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I did attend the conference committee report on House Bill 2238 and what the Lady pointed out was absolutely true that she did not agree with the concept of Senate Amendments number 1 and 2, but let me explain to this General Assembly that unfortunately, these people have not been paid since the last state fair and it is true that 15 of the individuals did not comply with the Purchasing Act within that as required by statute. But let me also point out to you that it's not the responsibility of the vendors, it's the responsibility of the State Fair Agency to make sure that the vendors comply. Now you're penalizing these 15 vendors for doing something that wasn't requested of them by the State Fair Agency. That's the issue and in turn on Senate Amendments number 1 and 2, we're saying, yes, you can pay these individuals if the certification is there. I'm questioning though upon further review whether the Governor is the right off authority in order to certify. I think it probably should have been finance ah... because this is the proper area where your, you certify to the Comptroller and for this reason, I'm going to change my vote to 'no' at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is a good Amendment and I'll tell you why. Not to accept this conference committee report is on a lower scale very similar to dropping the atomic bomb on Hiroshima. A lot of innocent people suffered for us



to achieve a certain end. Well a lot of vendors who did comply with the Purchasing Act and by not adopting this conference report, you are most certainly, most certainly placing them in some very dire financial straights and since this is the only vehicle, I must disagree with Representative Lechowicz. The state fair was under the Governor's control. I think maybe he should be the one to ferret out in who actually did violate the Purchasing Act and let him decide who is going to be paid. So I don't think we should throw out the baby with the bathwater because there are a lot of honest men who provided services and we should see that they get paid and the wrongdoers, I'm sure, will be taken care of by the justice of the Governor's pen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah... Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would think that the Sponsor of...

It appears highly unlikely that she's going to get the necessary votes that ah... she moved to nonconcur. At the appropriate time, I'd have a conference committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 61 'aye', 46 'no'. The House does not concur, does not adopt ah... Amendment #1 to House Bill 2238. Representative Barnes."

J.M. Barnes: "I would like to table the Bill, please."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has moved to table the Bill. Does she have leave? The Bill is tabled. 649, Representative Keller. 649, Representative Keller."

Keller: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah... Let's see, this is on the conference report."

Speaker Redmond: "It's on the conference committee report."

Keller: "I move not to accept ah... conference report #1 to ah... Senate Bill 649. There was an error made in ah... the way title was made out and they're going to have to correct that and they have agreed to do it on a second conference report. The Senate has already adopted this so what we've go to do is to move to not to agree."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is to not adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 649. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'. Indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House does not adopt the first committee, the first conference committee



report. You request a second conference committee be appointed. The House will be at ease for about 10 minutes. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand in recess until 1:30."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll hold that until ah... The Clerk has some messages from the Senate, but other than that we will be in recess for a while."

Shea: "Well, then could I amend it to say that the House stand at ease, that the Clerk be allowed to read in the messages and at the end of that time, that the House stand in recess until 1:30."

Speaker Redmond: "You may. You heard to the motion. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Messages from the Senate. 1:30 we'll return."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede their Amendment #5 to House Bill 1426 and further request the Senate request a committee of conference. Action taken by the June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate refuse to recede from their Amendments # 5, 6, 9, 10, 11 and 12 to House Bill 802. Further directed the Senate requests a committee on conference. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate accedes to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses regarding Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 484. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the differences of the two houses regarding House Amendments #1, 2, and 4 to Senate Bill 471. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the



differences of the two houses regarding House Amendments #1 and 3 to Senate Bill 554. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate, by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate acceded the request of the House of Representatives to consider the difference of the two houses regarding House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 348. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of the Bills of the following titles, to wit. House Bills # 139, 851, 913, 915, 946, 947, 1278, 1305, 1321, 1372, 1510, 1525, 1602, 1720, 2029, 2463, 3064, 3122. Passed by the Senate June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has receded from their Amendments to the Bills of the following title. House Bill 1302. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 207. Concurred in by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 683. Action, concurred in by the Senate June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #2 to the Bill of the following title. Senate Bill 241. Concurred in by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 12, 13, 19, 20, 22, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 34 to a Bill of the following title. Senate Bill 37. Concurred in by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright,



Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #2 to the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 992. Concurred in by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendments #1, 17, 22, 24 of the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1024. Concurred in by the Senate, June 29, 1975. No further messages. House now stands in recess until 1:30."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members will please be in their seats. Message from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has reconsidered its previous action and has adopted the following first conference committee report to House Bill 1146. Adopted by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be at ease for 10 minutes. The House will be in order. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regarding House Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 477. Action taken by the June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments # 8, 9, 10, 11, 14 and 15 to House Bill 289. Further directed to inform the House, the Senate requests a committee on conference. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to House Bill 1314. Further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a committee on conference. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker,



I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #5 to House Bill 2989. Am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a committee on conference. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary"

Speaker Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar #1 appears House Bill 735, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, sir. Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the first conference committee report on House Bill 735. Well, Schlickman ain't here. Get over the... I'm ready for ya'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor please explain the conference committee report?"

DiPrima: "Yeh, well, they deducted \$180,000 and I got back 50,000."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, the question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 735. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 104 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 735. 1303, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Since, since the last ah... explanation worked so well, this is the ah... Department of Personnel. They took out a million, five. I got \$400,000 back. Move the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the conference committee report to House Bill 1303. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109 'aye' and 1 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 1303. 1360, Representative Kozubowski. Take that out of the record. 1366. Who's handling Representative Kosinski? Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On 1366. I move that the House do adopt the conference committee report on House Bill 1366. The ah.. conference committee is formed, the report is in total agreement with the Department and the net effect is a 6.6 reduction in the overall appropriation. It is agreeable to the Department and I would move its adoption."



Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of first conference committee report to House Bill 1366. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question 126 'aye', no 'nay' and the House adopts the first conference committee report to 1366. 1977."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move we accept conference committee report #1 on House Bill 1977 which places back in \$600,000 for national guard scholarships. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 1977. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 112 'aye' and 3 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 1977. 783. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "I didn't want to talk, Mr. Speaker. I wanted to on the last one and you, you didn't notice. I'd like to be recorded 'no' though on the last Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the gentleman 'no' on the last Roll Call. 783, Representative VonBoeckman. Take that out temporarily. 348, Representative Beaupre. Representative Beaupre here? Take that out. 484, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Ah... Thank you very much. I move that we adopt the conference committee report on Senate Bill 484 which is for the Department of Mines and Minerals and it ah... continues the ah... approximate \$100,000 cut that was made in there and ah... puts the money in for strip mine hearings and ah... some money from the Capitol Development Board for land reclamation under Senate Bill, er... House Bill 1277."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall the House... Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "I can't find my copy of the conference committee report and I'm wondering what is the net effect, appropriations-wise now."

Hart: "Well, the net, the net effect is ah... there is about \$114,000 reduction in the operation. Then there's a \$45,000 addition for hearings ah... under House Bill 1277 in the last session, we require



hearings before strip mining can go forth and so this \$45,000 is there for that purpose and then there is a million and a half ah... put in there from the Capitol Development Bond for ah... the acquisition of land to be reclaimed under the orphaned lands, strip mining ah... under House Bill 1277. So actually ah... in effect, there would be a reduction from General Revenue of about \$60,000 and an addition of a million and a half from bonds."

Schlickman: "Of the \$40,000 for these hearings, are those statutorily prescribed hearings?"

Hart: "Yes, they're necessary before any strip mining can take place..."

Schlickman: "Can take place."

Hart: "Under the provisions of House Bill 1277 of the 78th General Assembly."

Schlickman: "Ah... This doesn't go to the heart of the matter, but what is... who are... who conducts those hearings?"

Hart: "Well, they're conducted by the Department and they have to have court reporters and ah... and hearing officers, but main... mainly the expense will be in the court reporting."

Schlickman: "All right, so the only... Are these the only two ah... new programs, so to speak, for Mines and Minerals?"

Hart: "Yes, yes."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Friedrich: "Representative Hart, as you know, I'm a whole lot more concerned about mine safety than I am land reclamation. Have you been given assurances by the Department that they can, this will not ah... let down any on mine inspection or rescue squad."

Hart: "Ah... Yes, Representative Friedrich, ah... the assurance was given in Appropriations I in regard to your question and ah... as you remember said that the last people that he would let out would be the mine inspectors and ah... he said he could live with whatever we gave him and I think this is the ah... a modest cut in the budget in accordance with the Governor's request."

Friedrich: "So what you're saying is that in your opinion, there will be



ample mine inspections and rescue teams."

Hart: "Well, I'm saying in my opinion, it will not be worse than it is now. I'm not, I've never said on the floor of this House that it was adequate, but I'm saying that this won't make it any worse."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of the report. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to accepting the conference committee report on Senate Bill 484. What has happened in conference committee is we have provided that ah... this ah... land ah... reclamation comes out of bond money and as of now there is no provision or authorization to do this. It is entirely new program. It was previously funded in the Bill out of General Revenue and I believe this is a mistake. It's a mistake to adopt this conference committee report and this should go to a second conference committee and be rectified."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 484. All in favor indicate by voting 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, the issue of bond money has come up and given a choice between the general revenue fund which are the taxpayers of today and the bond fund of which our taxpayers of tomorrow will be enjoying the properties to be acquired, I think it's proper to have acquisition of land from bonds and for that reason, I'm voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 99 'ayes', 22 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 484. Senate Bill 510, Representative Washington. Take that out of the record. 632, Representative DiPrima. Representative Byers. You want 632, Representative Byers?"

Byers: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ah... The ah... Bill, 632, is the Bureau of the Budget Bill and it's basically in the same form that it left the House ah... the first time. The only thing that we did, we took the very last section of it and we broke down line items about where different money had been appropriated and ah... to the various agencies then that is listed in there and I would move for ah..."



concurrence on this Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 632. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'nay'. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker on the Floor of the House the other day I said that I thought I could tell you where you could save 15 to 20 million dollars here's three million of it right here. The Bureau of the Budget we've had and it is a growing bureaucracy which in my opinion fails to do anything. They couldn't even predict how we were going to come out this year on our revenue and I would say that if we could eliminate this whole bureau and give the Governor two or three people it would serve the same purpose."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 106 'aye' and 4 'nay' and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 632. Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well in response to my friend from Marion County, this Conference had to do primarily with Federal funds that we're being administered by the Bureau of the Budget. We got the best deal that was possible. I was one that signed the report and I repeat I think it was the best that was available."

Speaker Redmond: "783, Representative Von Boeckman."

Von Boeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Conference Committee on House Bill 783 failed to reach an agreement, therefore I recommend a second Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has recommended that the was there a report filed?"

Von Boeckman: "Yes, a report that we failed to agree on at the time."

Speaker Redmond: "First report indicated a failure to agree, is that correct?"

Von Boeckman: "Correct."

Speaker Redmond: "And requests for a second Conference Committee. OK. A second Conference Committee will be appointed. 348. Representative.. Representative Shea."

Shea: "I think we've got to adopt the Conference Committee Report do we not? The one that says that they don't agree and ask for a second Committee and I would like to see the Report."



Speaker Redmond: "I think you're right, Representative Shea. The question is shall the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 783. All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On the question there is 103 'ayes', 4 'nays', and the House does adopt the first Committee Report to House Bill 783. Representative Barnes. It has to be adopted."

Barnes: "You have to adopt it?"

Speaker Redmond: "348 Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do not adopt Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 348. The Conference Committee Report suggests that the House should recede from House Amendment #1 and the Senate Amendment #1 should be adopted. This would amount to a rather drastic cut in the Liquor Control Commission's budget and I move that we do not adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Is a substitute motion in order on that?"

Speaker Redmond: "I would believe so."

Shea: "Pardon me."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Shea: "I move that we do now adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre has moved that we do not adopt the first Conference Committee Report, Representative Shea has made a substitute motion that we do adopt the first Conference Committee Report. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker to inquire as to when that became appropriate, it would seem that in the past we had been operating under the procedure where a Sponsor would move to not adopt Conference Committee Report, there would be a second Conference Committee Report request for a second Conference Committee subsequent to that."

Speaker Redmond: "I have been advised by the Parliamentarian that the motion to adopt being a final action takes precedence over the motion to not adopt which would not be final action and therefore it is appropriate. The question is shall the House, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Well, I would like to speak on Representative Shea's motion if we are going to proceed with it then and explain to the House the situation in regard to this Budget. The Senate Amendment, the original Bill was



introduced for a budget for the Liquor Control Commission of \$550,000. I would point out to you that this is some \$400,000 less than the 1973 budget of the Liquor Control Commission. I handled this budget last year and the Liquor Control Commissioner and the Commission were suggesting that we decrease the budget by some 49 percent last year. The reason for this decrease was that they believed that they had figured out a method to coordinate the inspections of their agents with the Department of Revenue. That the Sales Tax Agents of the Department of Revenue when checking on firms that had retail liquor licenses could provide them with their initial investigatory function. That program was attempted and it has worked out to a certain extent. However the Liquor Control Commission has found that they need more follow-up agents than they now have, and that they planned for in their original budget cuts last year and I point out to you again that this was a budget cut of some 49 percent last year. They have requested in their budget this year to go from six agents to fifteen. An increase of nine agents. Now in the Senate, the Senate Appropriations Committee took out those nine additional agents that they were requesting for their follow up function. Our Appropriations Committee in the House, Appropriations II, realized the necessity for adding these nine additional agents and House Amendment #1 was adopted to put the nine additional agents back in. It amounts to approximately \$129,000, with a six percent cut across the board. This is such a small budget that when you put in a six percent cut on \$550,000 that you come out with a \$33,000 cut just on the six percent amendment, so that we in effect in the House cut the request for the nine additional agents to six by virtue of our six percent cut of \$33,000 for this total budget. So basically, what we're fighting for here is an increase of six agents for the Liquor Control Commission. The House in its wisdom adopted that Amendment, the Senate refuses to recede from their Amendment. The Conference Committee, all five Senators on the Conference Committee, decided that they sent their Representatives to the Conference Committee and said that they were going to hold the line, that there would be no increase in the Liquor Control agents. I submit to you that this is fouling. We have I think in all good conscience the Liquor Control Commission and the Commissioner has attempted to cut his budget significantly over the last two years. He made a budget cut that he has found he can't quite live with



and has now come back and asked for an additional five ah, six agents. It seems to me that when we turn down this kind of a request that we're suggesting to State Agencies that they should always pad their budgets before they come to us. This budget was a realistic one, it's one that we ought to recognize as being realistic and we ought to encourage other State Agents to submit those kinds of budgets to our General Assembly Appropriations Committee and I resist Mr. Shea's Amendment and I hope that you will see that it doesn't get, Mr. Shea's motion and I hope that you will see that it does not get 89 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Off the subject a minute, Mr. Speaker, but I wondered if it wouldn't be proper for the Speaker to have these reports distributed to our office rather than have the pages walk, it's hard enough to keep track of what is going on. Nobody's got time to read them. It's just a waste of money because they are just going to get lost. I would rather as a matter of procedure anyway have these distributed to my office than over on the Floor of the House and I wonder if the Speaker couldn't take care of that for us."

Speaker Redmond: "That is what I have been trying to do ever since this Session started. Sponsor after Sponsor, Member after Member keeps coming up and for some reason or another wants something distributed. I think it's far better that they be put in the office and go through the mail but I don't seem to be able to get that established very firmly. Representative J. Houlihan. You're hard to distiguish."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker.."

Speaker Redmond: "James Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor of the Legislation yield to a question. Representative Beaupre, you indicated that they were asking for an additional some nine agents, but is that a reduction from the year before last. A total reduction."

Beaupre: "The 1973 budget had in it appropriations for 32 agents for the Liquor Control Commission, last year it was reduced to six in an effort to save money to the State of Illinois and utilize the Department of Revenue personnel as the initial contact. So in two years we have come down from 32 to what is being requested here 15 if you take the six percent cut, we're talking about 12 agents. In two years we have



gone from 32 to 12."

J. Houlihan: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to the Majority Leader's motion to adopt this Conference Committee Report. I suggest to you that there are some people who might want to put the Liquor Commission in a posture of not being able to enforce the statutes. There might be those who would prefer that there not be people out examining and looking into the many violations that have been brought to light in the recent months in the recent administration. I would suggest to you that a vote an 'aye' vote on this motion is a vote to cripple the enforcement powers to enforce Legislation which we have passed out and which has been agreed upon by this General Assembly and I would ask for a 'no' vote on this particular motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support the motion of the Majority Leader and I would ask you to all look back in time a little bit. This Bill represents the surest kind of hypocrisy that is evident by this administration. I ask you to look back to the last Session when the Chairman of the Liquor Control Commission was fired and there were some of us who thought he was being fired because he was investigating political contributions made to this administration. And you all remember the Anthony Angelos affair and this House tried to look into that matter, you'll remember those of you who were there, I chaired that Sub-Committee, but no the Liquor Control Commission told us no the problem with Mr. Johnson, the man that was fired is that he wanted to spend too much money."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, the issue at hand is whether or not we're going to add 15 or 9 agents and not the history of the Liquor Control Commission."

Speaker Redmond: "Confine yourself to the question, Mr..."

Collins: "That's exactly what I'm talking to. I'm talking to the point of the agents that are in the Liquor Control Commission and we were told that these agents were cut out as a economy move and that the Department of Revenue could handle these matters and these investigations for the Liquor Control Commission. But now we're told no maybe it didn't work



as well as we said it would. Maybe it was all a sham all along. That's the trouble with this administration, they think that no one remembers what they're pulling, but two years ago they cut it out, two years ago they made their bed now let them lie in it. Let's not buy this kind of hypocrisy, let's support the motion of the Majority Leader."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor of the Bill yield for a question or two?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Shea: "Could you tell me how much is in the Department, could you tell me how much is in the Department of Revenue's budget request that has passed both Houses and gone to the Governor for the agents that are used by the Liquor Control Commission?"

Beaupre: "I can't answer that question, Representative Shea. As you know I was originally the Department of Revenue's budget Sponsor, but it didn't work out that way by the time it hit the Floor and I don't know what's in the Department of Revenue's budget."

Shea: "Now did a gentleman by the name of Murphy worked for the Illinois Liquor Control Commission."

Beaupre: "I don't know that."

Shea: "You don't know if there is a Murphy over there that's the Executive Director or not?"

Beaupre: "Sir, I don't know the names of the personnel in the Liquor Control Commission, no."

Shea: "All right sir. Now on the Bill, Mr. Speaker, there is the money in the Department of Revenue for the additional people they seek here. This Bill in its amended form is more than the request that the Gentleman that runs the Agency originally made. To come in in midstream when we are talking about reducing budgets, reducing the expenditures from General Revenue, and seek to double the request I think comes to late and I didn't notice any Amendments to take the additional money out of Revenue. I think that this Conference Committee ought to be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Would the Sponsor of the Bill yield to a question."

Beaupre: "Yes indeed."

Madison: "Representative Beaupre, this is a Bill that was heard in Appropriations II, was it not?"



Beaupre: "That's correct."

Madison: "And was there not considerable discussion as to whether or not these additional, well let me put it this way. First of all, are these the agents that were taken out of the Liquor Control Commission some years ago? At least two years ago."

Beaupre: "For the fiscal year 1974..."

Madison: "There were 32 agents removed?"

Beaupre: "That is correct."

Madison: "And this Bill, this Amendment that is, seeks to add nine of those agents back."

Beaupre: "That is correct."

Madison: "I recall asking you in the Appropriations Committee meeting whether or not there would be a concomitant reduction in the Department of Revenue's budget for those agents."

Beaupre: "And my recollection of that discussion in Appropriations II was that I replied to you that the Department of Revenue personnel in cooperation with the Liquor Control Commission were Sales Tax Auditors who went to in the process of auditing their accounts for the ROT Tax also checked to see if there were any violations of Liquor of the Liquor Control Commission and that that ROT function still had to be carried out through the Department of Revenue and that these nine agents were in effect follow-up personnel who after being notified of a potential violation by a licensee followed-up by going to the premises and determining whether or not in fact there was a violation so that these functions are completely different and apart and that there undoubtedly would not be a decrease in the number of personnel in the Department of Revenue as a result of this addition to the Liquor Control Commission budget."

Madison: "Representative Beaupre, was this House Amendment adopted in Committee?"

Beaupre: "It was."

Madison: "Do you remember the vote by which it was adopted?"

Beaupre: "No I don't."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. The



question is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Beaupre, Representative Shea to close."

Shea: "I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 348. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. All voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "At the appropriate time, I would like to ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do your rise?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I, I think last year when the transfer of the ah... personnel from the Liquor Control Commission to the Department of Revenue was a move in the direction of curtailing some of the expenses of State government and I know this is a political move in regard to this particular motion 'cause it is friction between some of these particular groups, but let me point out last year there was a transfer of personnel in the Department of Revenue so that there would not be a duplication and thought, there was feeling amongst the Members of the General Assembly that the agents that were going in and auditing the taverns and those particular industries for R.O.T.C. that they could also go in and check the other violations under the Liquor Control Commission regulations. Now let me point out to you, this has not been true. The Department of Revenue has not been able to do the work that the Department of the Liquor Control Commission had done in the past and now it's a simple matter of putting the jurisdiction back where it was originally when it was found that it didn't work and I would say to you that if we're going to have two departments and we should have. We ought to have one checking the tax returns and we ought to have one doing the checking of the violation of the Liquor Control and I would say that this ought to be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, I remember this Bill, Ah... Mr. Speaker. I play this patronage game too often, but my State Senator did manage to get a job for one of our precinct committeemen as a Liquor Control inspector and he was laid off because the Department of Revenue was going to do it more efficiently. Well, now they can't do it. They didn't hire, you know,



they didn't transfer the employees from the Liquor Control Commission to the Revenue Department so you couldn't expect really if you had any sense in your head that the Revenue Department would be able to do the job. Well, they've made their bed, now let's let them sleep in it. Let's vote for this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to point out in support of this that the Executive Director, Mr. Murphy, was the gentleman who, when invited, invited by Representative Collins who was Chairman of the Committee to investigate these false economies, refused to come before and then when ah... Representative subpoenaed him, saw fit to fight the legislature all the way to the State Supreme Court. I think we ought to have 177 green lights up there."

Speaker Redmond: "What was the result of the that lawsuit, do you know, Representative Duff?"

Duff: "You'd have to ask Mr. Murphy."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins, do you seek recognition? Representative Matijevec, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevec: "Just to clear up the matter, the result of the lawsuit was that the records couldn't be subpoenaed. We were not a standing committee ah... operating under the rules of the House. We had no resolution or Bill authorizing us to examine ah... and therefore, we couldn't subpoena. Also, ah... there has been a court test and liquor licensee can contribute. So Representative Collins, as usual, has clouded the issue."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I am like Representative Skinner. I also remember this Bill and I also remember it in Committee and ah... if my memory serves me right, the question that I was concerned about was the number of jobs ah... related here and I remember distinctly raising the question as to whether or not these were new jobs or whether or not these were lateral transfers from the Department of Revenue. I indicated then that if they were lateral transfers, I would support the Amendment. If they were new jobs, I would not support the Amendment. Now the best understanding that I have is that this is not a lateral transfer from the Department of Revenue, but that these are,



in fact, new jobs and for that reason and in an attempt to be consistent on my part, I'm going to vote 'aye' on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, those green lights up there to me are unthinkable votes. We're talking about crippling an agency which provides law enforcement ah... for the liquor industry. It's unthinkable because, in addition to that, because we're talking about an agency which has indeed tried over the last two years to make budget cuts and get rid of the fat in their budget. Now I don't know where the Majority Leader got his figures, but his arithmetic doesn't add up. The Senate made a cut of \$129,000 out of an original submission of the \$550,000. Those notes doubling the amount of ah... budget requested at any time during the legislative process. What he's telling you just simply is not true. His addition is faulty. What I'm suggesting to you is that we are, in effect, telling state agencies that from now on, come in and submit a big budget so that when we cut down, you're left with what you really need to operate your agency. I find that ah... very faulty as far as the legislative process is concerned. What's more, the Senators are telling us that we are not going to allow any increase in personnel in any agency. That's what this is all about. Now if you look at state government on that basis and you set a blanket rule and you say that you're not going to look into each and every situation, that you're not going to look into to the needs of the agency. We're just going to adopt blanket rules and deal with it that way. I think that's foolish and..."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to move to table Senate Bill ah... 348."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved to table Senate Bill 348. Any objection? 5... Five Members have asked for a Roll Call. We'll have to take the ah... Roll here though. On this question there's 105 'aye', 42 'nay'. The ah... Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, since I have been in this House of Representatives for two and a half years, the Sponsor has always been able to control his Bill. We have granted the Sponsor that courtesy in this House. Now, Mr... The Majority Leader decided that he wanted to make a motion contrary to mine. That was in the rules, of course, but I think I have a right to



control my Bill and I move to table Senate Bill 348."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

E.M. Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. If... I would like for a parliamentary inquiry to the Chair and the Parliamentarian. I believe that the motion that we were on was a final action for adopting a committee report for Senate Bill 348. Now, to me, with the taking of that Roll Call and the Roll Call having the prerequisite number of votes to constitute carrying that motion, that this Bill, in fact, has been passed and it is out of the purview of the Sponsor to table it at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "I haven't announced the result of the Roll Call and secondly, Representative Beaupre indicated the desire for a verification. So it really hasn't received final passage."

E.M. Barnes: "If I'm correct, Mr. Speaker, I don't believe Representative Beaupre made the motion. The motion was made by another Member, not Representative Beaupre."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre, as I recall, indicated he was going to request a verification."

E.M. Barnes: "That's within his latitude, but I ask the Chair whether or not we are taking final action on this Bill at this point with this Committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that is correct. Had it carried and had it been verified, it would of been final action, but it still it did have sufficient votes. It had more than 89, but I hadn't declared it because there has been an indication of verification. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if somebody's going to verify the Roll Call, I'd like to get the Roll Call vote announced and then if the gentleman wants to proceed to attempt to cripple state government, he can put his motion to table the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "What was the count on that, I did announce it. It was 107 'ayes', 42 'nay', was it? 45, 107. 105 to 42. Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I didn't make a motion to ask for a verification or make that move. I asked you to recognize me to do so at the appropriate time, but since then I have made a substitute motion to table the Bill ah... and ah... before the Roll Call was announced and I think that takes precedent over a nondebatable motion and ah... we



ought to go up or down on that motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, just a point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "It seems to me that any motion is out of order during a Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Well that is correct."

Schlickman: "All right, have you announced the Roll Call, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "I announced it, but there was a request, that an indication there was a request for a verification."

Schlickman: "I understand he was through that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword, for what reason do you rise?"

Tipsword: "If any motion is out of order during a Roll Call, how are items at that time placed on consideration postponed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well that's in the rules."

Tipsword: "Well, he said if all motions are out of order and you agreed."

Speaker Redmond: "The only thing I can say is that a motion to put it on consideration postponed is one of the items that is dealt with in our rules and indicates that that's the right of the Sponsor to do it."

Tipsword: "Do our rules not also provide that a motion to table is in order at any time?"

Speaker Redmond: "It does or they do. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Would you announce the Roll Call please, sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, as much as Mr. Shea would like to have you announce that Roll Call, I'm sure that that would be out of order because there is a motion to table ah... put before this body. That motion is non-debatable and it is in order in accord with the rules."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think that motion is proper in the middle of a Roll Call. A Roll Call is not complete, sir, until you announce that Roll Call and what it accomplishes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

J.M. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I realize we're getting ah... late in the session and that ah... maybe some tempers or some feelings are a little ah... bruised and ah... we all might be in for a difficult couple of



hours. I would very respectfully ask the Majority Leader who has ah... frequently asked us as Members to take certain things out of the record so we could discuss them and try to work out our differences and we could come up with some way of proceeding that would not put this House in any kind of a posture that it is currently facing and I would ask ah... Representative Shea if you would ah... take your motion out of the record or take this ah... so we can talk about it and move in a much orderly fashion and not be faced with this kind of ah... confrontation. Mr. Shea, would you be able to ah... take that kind of a leadership role and ah... take this matter out of the ah... record?"

Shea: "It's strange that this comes from you, Mr. Houlihan, to ask me to assume the leadership role, but always being accommodating, if the gentleman seeks leave to take it out of the record, I will vote to let him do it or have, let him have leave."

J.M. Houlihan: "Leave having been granted..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre, what's your..."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I'm always happy to take something out of the record so that we can work out any difficulties that ah... may be concerned and I would be ah... glad to do so."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved to take it out of the record. He's moved to take it out of the record. I think before we get another call here, I'd better announce that other Roll Call. Okay, take it out of the record. 348 out of the record. 1704, Representative Geo-Karis. 1704."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you give the lady order, please."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... I move to adopt the conference committee report on House Bill 1704."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you give the lady order. I can't hear her. 1704."

Geo-Karis: "I move to adopt the conference committee report on House Bill 1704."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, not too long ago the Sponsor of this Bill got up and spoke on behalf of my House Bill 2065, came over and shook hands with me which proves she's a better man than I am and I promised her I wouldn't talk against the Bill. I just told



her I'll vote against it."

Speaker Redmond: "You're a man of your word. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I recall some of the history of this Bill.

At one time, the Sponsor moved that we concur with the Senate Amendment and then she moved that we nonconcur. We had a conference committee report here which suggests that we now concur. So we're going up and down on this matter and I'm wondering if the Sponsor would mind explaining to us the contents of Senate Amendment #2 which she is recommending that we adopt or concur with."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate moved not to recede from Senate Amendment #2. At the conference committee, it was decided with 9 members of the committee present, 10 members, I think it was ah... 9 voted to recommend that the House concur in Senate Amendment 2. Senate Amendment 2 was the Amendment that provides for alternative energy in the amount of \$10,000,000 of the \$70,000,000 already allocated to the coal bonds and also for right of appeal to the Commission if any applicant is turned down and this certain provisions in it that are very strict as to how it's done and I believe we discussed this in full. We are mandated as Members, those of us who are Members of the Energy Resources, ah... the Illinois Resources Commission are mandated by this Assembly to come out with constructive energy policy. We're trying to do that and I'm joined in this by the Members of the House who are members of this Commission and that is Representative Schisler, Representative Dunn, Representative Choate, Representative Cissy Stiehl and myself. Now, if there's any further explanations, I'd be glad to give it to you."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Ah... I have an inquiry of the Chair. An inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I have the conference committee report here and it shows that one of the House Members, Representative Maragos of Chicago, did not sign it. Now I do recall that Representative Maragos had some concern about this previously. He's not here on the floor and I'm wondering in the spirit of our being a



deliberative body and hearing from both sides of the issue that this matter couldn't be taken from the record until Representative Maragos returns. That's inquiry #1. Inquiry #2, Mr. Speaker, is the number of votes required of this House to adopt this conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "The number of votes is 107."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor then, Mr. Speaker, in light of the interest that Representative Maragos has and the fact that he has not signed the committee report which suggests, I think, that he has some thoughts on this that he'd like to share with the House. Ah... I'm wondering if the Speak... if the Sponsor would take this out of the record until Mr. Maragos returns."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I talked to Senator, er... Representative Maragos. He told me he did not intend to speak again on this Bill and I also wanted to mention..."

Speaker Redmond: "What's your response to the question by Representative Schlickman."

Geo-Karis: "Well, I don't know what to do. I'm trying to get this thing moving ah... Horace Calvo was... Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Sponsor..."

Speaker Redmond: "I can't see anybody in the rear of the chamber. Will you please take your seats? Representative Totten, Ryan, Miller, will you sit down."

Tuerk: "Will the Sponsor yield for one question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates she will."

Tuerk: "As I understand this, Senate Amendment #2 does not authorize an additional \$10,000,000, is that cor..."

Geo-Karis: "That's correct."

Tuerk: "In other words, the \$10,000,000 is now part of the \$70,000,000?"

Geo-Karis: "That's correct."

Tuerk: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think this proposal has been adequately discussed and I move the previous question."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I think at least one person on this side of the aisle ought to be allowed to speak on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Go ahead."

Matijevich: "First of all, would the lady yield to a question? First, there's a matter of a procedural question, Representative Geo-Karis. This Bill has been ah... had a history of figural mistakes. Would you tell me when the conference committee met?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, today."

Matijevich: "Well, then, Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that this conference committee report is out of order..."

Geo-Karis: "Just a moment, please..."

Matijevich: "Because it says, 'all of which is respectfully submitted the 29th day of June'. This is the 30th day of June. Are we writing conference committee reports before we meet? Is that what you're doing? You've screwed up this Bill already."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, may I be heard please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Geo-Karis: "I believe the Senate conference committee was appointed yesterday, sir."

Matijevich: "That's not the question..."

Geo-Karis: "And they signed the report and they also met Members of the committee with the other Members of this conference committee..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I'd like a rule from you, Mr. Speaker, if this conference committee report is out of order by her admission they met this morning and this report says it was submitted yesterday. I'd like a ruling on that."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you. I don't see how I could pass judgement on that. I don't ah... I wasn't here. I haven't taken any evidence. This could very easily be a clerical mistake. I, I would prefer that ah... you go to a vote on it. I can't pass judgement on that. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I can assure my colleague from my own district on the other side that the Members of the Senate met and the Members of the House also met. Now if I can have leave to amend this report and put today's date I would like to have leave of the House to amend this report and put June 30,



1975."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I'll let you go along with that, but I want you to know that I happened to see a report yesterday. Now, one more question ah... Mrs. Geo-Karis. On the matter of your initial Bill, your initial Bill speaks about research of other forms of energy, does it not?"

Speaker Redmond: "We're talking on the first conference committee report."

Matijevich: "That's right, but I have to talk about the original Bill to get at the point of the ah... conference committee report because it adds up to concur with Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Senate Amendment #2 has never been changed since Senate Amendment #2 was put on the Bill, sir, in the Senate."

Matijevich: "All right, Mr. Speaker, I'll speak briefly to that."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Matijevich: "Because in the initial Bill, Representative Geo-Karis speaks about research of other forms of energy. In other, under the Senate Amendment #2, under the bonding provisions, we call for bonding for development of other forms of capital improvement, other forms of energy and I want to suggest to the Members of this House that we don't even talk about research in the ah... Senate Amendment #2 and I think we again are placing ah... the whole subject in jeopardy. I would also suggest that I think we made a mistake. We all got involved in a real political hassle last year because it was a time for everybody to get involved in the energy issue and I think that we have now given a bureaucracy too much power, too much power that is in competition with the established bureaucracy. We can come back, this Commission can come back next session and talk about taking \$50,000,000 more out of the Coal Development Act. We established the Coal Development Act not only to, to conserve a form of energy and to research the coal in Illinois, but we also set up as a matter of policy that by doing..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, the gentleman's not on the topic at all. He ought to confine his remarks to the report."

Speaker Redmond: "Confine yourself to the report, Representative Matijevich."



Matijevich: "Just because someone says we're not under the topic of the issue, that doesn't mean it's so. I have confined myself, I've got 10 minutes to do it. Everybody else has taken so much time around here. This is a very important Bill and it should be defeated. It's been lobbied up and down these aisles, but that doesn't mean they could do it. I think that we should ah... maintain what our original commitment was and that's coal research and development because next session they're going to ask for more money out of the Coal Development Bond Act and I think that's bad and that should, we should not vote to concur with this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've had a lot of rhetoric on this Bill, but I'd like to ask the Members of this august body one question. Who would you rather have set the energy policy in Illinois, ten legislative Members and 18 public members or one bureaucratic member. That's all I ask."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Speaker, I regret that my colleague from the 31st District wants to make an issue that he shouldn't as far as I'm concerned make of it. All we're trying to do is establish a decent energy policy and the language that you quoted out of the Bill was the same language that was in the Coal Development Bond Act and that is the way it was done in the Senate. Now, Mr. Speaker, I respectfully urge everyone's consideration. I have been through the mill on this Bill because I believe in forming an energy policy for the legislature of Illinois. It's a month unless you want to wait hours in line again for gasoline. I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 1704. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. 107 votes. All voted who wished? All voted who wished? All voted who wished? All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 'aye', 20 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 1704 by two-thirds majority. For what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I appreciate my thanks to the Members of this House for their faith and trust."



Speaker Redmond: "O.K., 1766. Mr. Rigney 'aye'. 1766 . . . a prerequisite majority . . . 1766, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, the Conference Committee recommended that 1766 pass with Amendments 1 and 3. 1 was a technical amendment. 3, simply, lowered the performance of bond waiver amount from 50,000, which we in the House agreed to, down to 30,000. I know of no opposition. I ask that we adopt the Conference Committee Report Number 2 to House Bill 1766."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House adopted the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1766? All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Representative Walsh. Representative Walsh. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I rise, reluctantly, to oppose this Conference Committee Report, because the Conference Committee Report with the bill provides that certain contractors dealing with the state will not have to provide performance bonds. Now, these bonds are required, in effect, to keep the contractors honest, to make sure that they do the job they contract to do, and also surety bonds, which provide that they will take care of subcontractors who are dealing with them. Now, I submit to you that the gentleman's idea, the idea of involving small contractors in state work, is good, but it's not good at the expense of safeguards that have been established for everyone dealing with the state. So, Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, this proposal goes too far in trying to do something for small contractors; and I would urge that . . . in order that the state may continue to practice good business techniques with everyone, that we oppose this."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Washington."

Washington: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and members of the House, we discussed this rather . . . rather thoroughly when we voted it out with over 110 votes a couple of weeks ago. Ah . . . The distinguished Minority . . . Assistant Minority Leader raises a false issue. He implies that there is tremendous risk on the part of the state involved here. That, simply, is not true. Now, the Senate was cautious, we had a figure of 50,000, which would be a ceiling to waive performance bonds,



the Senate lowered it to 30; but it was demonstrated in discussions on the House, it was demonstrated that that was really no loss to the state; and to further safeguard the state, we put a two-year destruct clause on this as a pilot program, so that we could see. What's involved here is, simply, this. There is an attempt to go out and pull in the small contractors throughout the state who has be shut at . . . shut out by the large contractor because he, simply, cannot bid with them. The net result is that we have a few small contractors controlling an entire business in the state, and the net result of that is that the bids are higher and not lower. We have a tremendous reservoir of talent in this state, a tremendous reservoir of talent, which we, I think, are bound to try to stimulate; and this bill does this. The risk of the state, if it exists, is minimal. I submit it's a good bill. It was a good bill when it went out. The Senate made it even a more cautious bill. I submit it is still a good bill; and I ask for your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish?"

Washington: "And I'm going to ask to poll the absentees, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? On this question there is 67 'ayes and 6 'nays'. The gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Jack O'Brien: "Arnell. Brandt. Brummet. Capuzi. D'Arco. Deavers. Deuster. DiPrima. Epton. Fleck. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Hirschfeld. Ron Hoffman. Huff. Kent. Kosinski. Leverenz. Madison. Maragos. Mautino. McGrew. Myer. Molloy. Mulcahey. Nardulli. Palmer. Porter. Ray . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Vote me 'aye'."

Jack O'Brien: "Porter. Ryason. Schisler. Schoeberlein. Sevcik. Simms. Stearney. E. M. . . . C. M. Stiehl. Stubblefield. Terzich. VanDuyne. That's it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Would you please record me as voting 'aye', please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record the gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Stubblefield."



Stubblefield: "Record me as voting 'present', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the gentleman as 'present'. Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, someone is circulating the false rumor that labor, and I don't know what labor they're talking about, is opposed to this bill. We all know better and we know why those lights are yellow up there. I want to submit to you people this, that if you're thinking you're hurting me by voting yellow, you're sadly mistaken. I'm going to eat well, live well, sleep well, and do everything else well. The only people you're hurting are the people this is designed to help, small businessmen throughout this entire state who want a piece of the action and are entitled to it, they're taxpayers, they pay their dues; but they've been shut out of the contractual market through the Purchasing Act of this state, which has vested interests surrounding it; and they want to loosen that up, and they want to have the chance to bid on the business which they pay their taxes for, and in voting yellow, you're denying them that right. Those who are voting red perhaps have firm convictions, I doubt if you voting yellow have those convictions. But I want to make it categorically clear here that if anyone is thinking that they're punishing me, personally, because of my own votes or refraining from votes on some issues, by killing this good bill, they're sadly mistaken. I've lost bills here before, I've been pushed around before, but I recall an old saying by County Cullen, the great black poet, and he said this, 'If you make me eat in the kitchen and I'm too weak to resist you, so I'll eat in the kitchen and I'll grow strong, and one day I'll eat in the front room, and I might make you eat in the kitchen'. So you're not hurting me, you're killing some good people who need this bill; and if my part in the Democratic Party, which I've been a member of since I was sixteen years old and powered the streets, and got votes for, including the present Mayor for the last six elections, if they think they're hurting me by doing this, they're ridiculous and silly. I'm going to take the message back to my community, and I'm going to say that the leaders of my party were so vindictive, so arrogant, so beset with power that they would destroy a little people to get back



at one of their Representatives who is trying sincerely to represent them. So keep your lights yellow; I'll get the Roll Call. It will be dispersed, and I'll come back with another good bill just like it, and perhaps in the future, the Leadership won't be so arrogant, and perhaps the followers like you and I won't be so intimidated by their arrogance and their threats of power, and perhaps one day these little men with too much power in their hands, if they've abused it day after day on the floor of this House, will understand that this piece of the mighty are not too safe; and I'm looking at the man I'm talking to, and he understands who I'm talking to. So if he thinks he's hurting me, he's sadly mistaken; and I submit to him that this will go on his record when he runs city wide for office."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VanDuyne."

Washington: "County wide."

VanDuyne: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and members of the House, . . . ah . . . I just want to call to Representative Washington's attention to . . . ah . . . one part of the Digest. On page 1624 in the . . . ah . . . third paragraph under House Amendment Number 3. In the last . . . second to the last line it says, 'exceeds the sum of \$50,000, shall not be required to furnish performance or payments bonds with surety'. Now, Mr. Washington, . . . ah . . . I am voting 'no'. I mean I'm not voting at all on this bill or with all deference to you, because, in truth, I do have a terrific amount of sympathy for everybody, and I presume that you are . . . ah . . . alluding to the fact that these people you're talking about are black. Ah . . . I'm voting . . . well, then my presumption is wrong, and I take it back; you're talking about all small business people, and I am in very great sympathy for small contractors. My brother are one of them . . . one of these very people that you're talking about, who are now up into the million or so category, where they can get performance bonds and . . . ah . . . and also . . . ah . . . labor and material bonds. Ah . . . I have all the empathy and all the sympathy in the world for this, but I was also a member of the County Board in Will County for ten years, and there is no governmental industry, in my way of thinking, with any sound logic or any brains or any kind of . . . ah . . . obligation



to their constituency in their local government that will allow people to bid and give them jobs . . . ah . . . because they are a low bidder without any type of a performance bond. Now, this is just not good substance or good government, and I, please, I'm not gonna' vote in the . . . in . . . ah . . . deference to you, but that's my reason."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "I don't understand this debate. This bill was voted out of here 105 to some odd figure, and the figure then was 50,000; it's been lowered to 30, but all at once I can't . . . I can't get those other 40 . . . 40 votes. I don't understand what you're talking about, VanDuyne."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think perhaps that . . . ah . . . the vindictiveness that the sponsor of this Conference Report speaks of may not be too real. I think Representative Walsh pointed out to the membership the performance bond thing; and I don't particularly quote of . . . care to go against my distinguished Minority Leader over here on that subject, but I'm here to tell you I have fought for small business on the floor of this House from the beginning of my service, and I'll continue to fight for it, and I think maybe those of us who have to live with the fact that small business is being wiped out of so many other fronts, may have to live with the fact that a \$30,000 contract may not have to have a performance bond until we come back in here next fall. This is the Second Conference Committee Report. The sponsor of this bill has worried this thing through the General Assembly for months, and it did go out of here before with a \$50,000 figure, and it . . . and I would submit maybe, ladies and gentlemen of this House and Mr. Speaker, that we are doing an injustice to small business in general, to black small business and minority small business, in particular by . . . and I've been a small businessman, and if I would have had to put up a per . . . performance contract on the things that I was dealing with, I would never have gotten the order. I would urge a green vote on this and help Representative Washington get this thing. It'll be a year from now before the first contracts are let anyway and we'll be back here



twice to amend it, in the meantime; and I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco. Representative . . . Ucco. Report Representative Grotberg as 'aye'. Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Speaker, I respect Mr. Washington as a leader of the people, and I want to change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change him to 'aye'. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, may I be recorded as 'aye', please?"

Speaker Redmond: "May she be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Willer."

Willer: "Mr. Speaker, I want to be recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded? Madison."

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, would you vote me, too, 'ayes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Please, please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Perhaps we ought to dump this Roll Call and take another one. Will you dump the Roll Call. The question is on the . . . the question is on the adoption of the Second Report. Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I'm rising to support this motion in the secure knowledge that Representative Washington during my nine years down here has worked unselfishly hard with integrity, aside from politics, attempting to do something for the small business people of this state. Now, when we say small business people, we're not talking about blacks only. For the information of those who do not know, there are probably ten times more white small businessmen than there are blacks. We have had conferences after conferences with people all over this state dealing with this problem, and I think it's a problem that deserves the attention of all of us; and aside from any politics, this has nothing to do with politics, this has . . . what we're attempting to do here is to utilize the resources that are at our command; and I think that this is a good bill and I would appreciate more green lights up there."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "I wish to . . . ah . . . echo Mr. Caldwell's . . . ah . . . statement; and to the ladies and gentlemen of the House, I wish to say, 'thank you for putting them up there and I'm going to shut up'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. I have been assured since my little dissertation there that . . . ah . . . the thing that I was referring to in this bill or in . . . at least in the synopsis . . . ah . . . will be corrected. Now, I've got that concrete promise. So . . . ah . . . with that in mind, I'll change my vote . . . I mean, at least, I'll vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I find it incredible to see those votes up on this bill, and all the things that have been said about Representative Washington are true, and I share the admiration for him as anyone that is as expressive on this floor; but just look at this bill and look what it's doing. I don't know if labor is against this bill, but they should be; and I don't know if small business is against it, but they should be. The very people . . . the very people that this bill is assigned to . . . is designed to help, will be harmed by this bill. We're saying, 'Come in, give us a low bid and if you're the low bidder, you're going to get a bond'. Well, my Lord, as . . . how responsible business, responsible small business and responsible minority business, if you will, compete with those kind of terms. We're asking anyone to come in and bid, and if you are low, you're going to get a bond for that job. I just think this is total irresponsibility."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 106 'aye', 10 'no'; and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1766. 1790, Representative Berman."



Speaker Redmond: "1790, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to adopt the 2nd Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1790. The Conference Committee Report ah.... calls for the Senate to recede from Senate Amendments #1 and #2 and inserts the language that deletes the word, 'tax' on page 24 and on page 8, line 23 and page 17, line 15 ah... sets forth language that would make this Act applicable and uniform throughout the State of Illinois, but in Chicago, would allow the concurrent jurisdiction of a local ordinance. This is the Bill that sets up the procedure for declaratory judgement for the determination of what is ah... obscene along with the criminal penalties presently in the obscenity laws. I'd be called to respond to any questions and urge your affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "I have a very simple question. After all you've said, I still don't have the faintest idea what the Bill does, what approach is taken and I was a Member of the Judiciary Committee and heard it. Could you at least amplify and expand upon what's happened to the Bill now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "I'll be glad to. This Bill ah.. sets up a procedure for a declaratory judgement civil proceedings for the determination of obscenity. The ah... the ah... the Amendments that are set forth in the ah... digest ah.... the first Amendment was the rewriting of the Bill that we introduced in the Committee on which you sat. Most of the hassle has been in the question of the interpretation of the scope of the Bill and as I indicated in my explanation, this makes the Bill uniform throughout the state, as far as the Criminal Code is concerned or the ah.... state charge of obscenity if ah.. however, it allows also concurrent enforcement of the City of Chicago Ordinance."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you rise?"

Fleck: "Am I clear now that Senate Amendment ah... was it #1 or #2 that excluded Cook County is now out of the Bill?"

Berman: "No, the language that sets forth in ah... if you're looking at the digest..... the bottom Amendment on page 1635..."



Fleck: "Ya, ya."

Berman: "Now that's the language. The applicability of that language is that if there is an existing obscenity ordinance in Chicago or in the County of Cook, they would have concurrent jurisdiction with this law. There is no obscenity statute in ah... by the County Board of Cook, there is one by the City and that's what they would be able to take as an alternative."

Fleck: "All right, my question is then ah... under the way the Bill is now drafted, a person in Chicago or the County of Cook could move for a detained declaratory judgement under your Bill. Is that correct?"

Berman: "No, the State's Attorney is the one who's....."

Fleck: "Well, all right then, the State's Attorney, they have the ah... authority to move for the declaratory judgement."

Berman: "That's correct."

Fleck: "That's very good. No further question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Williams: "This is the 2nd Conference Committee, is that correct? I have #1 and #2 here and I would like to know how they differ."

Berman: "They don't. The reason was that the..... ah.... when the first one was moved, before we had an opportunity to call for it in the House, it was offered in the Senate and came up short votes, so a 2nd Committee was appointed."

Williams: "Well, I would like to speak to this then."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Williams: "The first..... now this House did not concur in the 1st Conference Committee Report and... and the second one is identical to the first, as the Sponsor said. Now this allows the City of Chicago and the County of Cook out of this and I think if there's any place where we really need this, where we have seen the dissemination of smut and so forth, is in the City of Chicago and the County of Cook and therefore I would urge that we do not concur in this."

Speaker Redmond: "Any fur..... Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there was consideration



by this House regarding the 1st Conference Committee Report and at that time there was the objection expressed that this Bill, which originally was of uniform statewide application, provided for and expressed exception to the County of Cook including the City of Chicago and it was pointed out at the time that by Conference Committee #1 being adopted, there would be no coverage in suburban Cook. Now we did not adopt that Conference Committee Report. It went back to a second Conference Committee and as the distinguished gentleman from Franklin Park just point out, we have the identical Conference Committee Report here, in Committee Report #2. I point out to you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that on the first Conference Committee Report, there were three signatures missing. On the second Conference Committee Report, there are also three signatures missing. There is not unanimity ah... on this thought. Now I had the opportunity, between the two Conference Committee Reports, to discuss the matter with the Sponsor. I suggested to him that the best approach would be to provide for concurrency. Concurrency of jurisdiction by the state and the City of Chicago and the County of Cook to have concurrency so that ah... either by state action or by local action, there would be coverage with respect to the regulation of obscene literature. I sited to him that provision of the State Constitution that should be incorporated. For some reason, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, and I don't know why, the gentleman did not respond affirmatively to that even though, in his description of the 2nd Conference Committee Report, he uses the word concurrency, when in fact concurrency is not is not included. You cannot use the words, 'other than a municipality or a county with a population of 2,000,000 or more' and suggest that there is concurrency. I ah... I'm sorry that the Sponsor took this position because this is the 2nd Conference Committee Report and if we don't adopt it, we don't have anything. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it seems to me that if a Sponsor is going to take that position, than I don't think that there is anything else deserving but a 'no' vote. That is what I urge because by a 'yes' vote, there will be statewide application of this Act downstate. The City of Chicago will have its Obscenity Ordinance and suburban Cook



will have nothing. Now if this is the way that we're going to operate in the State of Illinois, we're going to have three classes and three sets of laws, than I would suggest Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that the City of Chicago ought to withdraw from the State of Illinois. Suburban Cook can withdraw from the State of Illinois and we'll have a third state in the downstate. That isn't what I want. I want a whole state and I want a law of such importance to be of uniform application and I would say one further thing, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, those who want to give exemptions or exclusion to the City of Chicago or the County of Cook or any other home rule unit because they don't want to be subject to state law, better take into account what's happening in the County of Cook right now and that's a movement for an Amendment to the Constitution to repeal the home rule power. Now I spent a lot of time working for home rule and I am very disappointed by the greed that's imprisoned in those who don't want to be subject to reasonable regulation and control. They're willing to have it imposed on others, but not themselves and then continually come down here for the money to do as they wish. I urge a 'no' vote on Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in addition to the points of equity as far as the application or ah.. uniformity that the previous gentleman referred to; the thing that very much concerns me about this Conference Committee Report, if I can put in into slightly different words than the previous gentleman from Cook did, is that this amends the Criminal Code. Now the Criminal Code must be.... must be uniformly applied in the State of Illinois. There is ah.... otherwise you have very serious problems with equal protection under the law and you can't have a Criminal Code that selects some people out and makes them criminals and then selects out other people and doesn't for the same process. We cannot.... this legislature cannot delegate the Criminal Code to municipalities and I think the Conference Committee Report places a very very serious problem on the Bill in that regard. I really don't like the Bill anyway, but I'm not allowed to speak on that, but this Conference Committee Report



makes the Bill literally impossible."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor say 'aye' opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and Representative Berman to close."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, first of all I want to say categorically that Representative Williams and Representative Schlickman are wrong. Let me read the Bill as amended by a Conference Committee Report and I have conferred with people, who I think have constitutional ah... knowledge, and they tell me that the application is as I have stated it. Let me read it. 'This Section shall be applicable and uniform throughout this state.' Let me repeat that. 'This Section shall be applicable and uniform throughout this state.' and then it goes on....' and no political subdivision thereof, other than a municipality or a county with a population of 2,000,000 or more, shall enact any law, ordinance or any other regulations relating to the possession, distribution or display of any matter subject to this Section.' Now let me tell you according to my constitutional experts, what that means. I regret that Representative Schlickman faults the Bill because I don't follow his legal advice. It means this; that this Bill, if we pass it today, will be applicable and uniform in every part of the State of Illinois bar none. In the city.... including Chicago, Jack Williams, including Chicago, however in Chicago they will have the option if they want to proceed under a local ordinance, the Corporation Counsel, not the State's Attorney, but the Corporation Counsel, will have the right if they want to take a lesser penalty and a weaker law, to proceed on the obscenity under the City of Chicago Ordinance. The County of Cook have no ordinance so they would have to proceed under this law. So I am saying to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, as far as this Bill is concerned, it does exactly what what Representative Schlickman and Representative Williams said, it will be applicable throughout the State of Illinois. All that we are



doing.... all that we're doing is to allow the city the option, the City of Chicago, the option of proceeding under a less stringent law. I don't think the people of the City of Chicago want to do that. I think they'll come under this law because that's a more stringent law and I urge an 'aye' vote on this excellent piece of legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the 2nd Conference Committee Report. All in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed vote 'no' Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask you for just a few seconds of your time to listen carefully to some of the problems that this Bill is presenting. For the first time, for many of the people in this House that I've inquired, we are now attempting to amend the Criminal Code to provide special Sections dealing with home rule units or in this case, 2,000,000 or more. I ask you to think carefully before you do that. I ask you to consider the possible consequences of varying changes between our criminal laws under Chapter 38. When we look at this Bill and we see what's in here, it's not a bad Bill, Representative Berman and I could support the Bill, until you put this amendment on, until we had a Conference Committee Report, that had one Section dealing with it, and then you dumped that Report and you brought out another Conference Committee Report and you took off some Members because those Members wouldn't agree with your viewpoint because we wouldn't go along with Chapter 38 being tampered with like you're doing in this case. Now Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, consider what could happen if we are going to allow tampering with the Criminal Code. If it's good enough for Chicago, if they have the right to bury this law and to make it a easier law, it's good enough for the rest of the State of Illinois and I implore you to think carefully what could happen if we were to pass this Bill and to authorize this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "What I think that the gentlemen seem to ignore is that the unique quality of this Bill is that it provides ah.... several ways you can test whether or not something is obscene, otherwise you face the situation, as it has existed before, where someone who sells books



can be arrested for a criminal violation without any way of knowing that the book in question is in fact obscene. I think that when you view the situation as basically providing a civil root by which you can determine the question of obscenity, that the references to Chapter 38, the Criminal Provision, do not meet the same ah... validity ah... they do not have the same validity as they otherwise would. I think that it would be a salutary day when it would be possible to go into court, in advance, and find that a book is in fact obscene and if it is then proceed criminally against whoever is selling the book. I believe that too much attention is being given to one aspect and ignoring the whole thrust of this very excellent new kind of treatment of obscenity. For that reason, I would say to you that we ought to try it on the only basis that is feasible to pass it. If it works than it can be extended. If it doesn't work then of course it can be repealed, but we are at a 2nd Conference Committee stage. The time is now to act and if we fail to do so, there will be no effective obscenity laws in the State of Illinois... anywhere in the State of Illinois and for that reason, those people who are concerned about the question of obscenity, ought to be voting 'green' on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let none mistake this Bill as one to prohibit the distribution of pornography. The misnomer in the digest of the content, notwithstanding. This is the Bill to lighten pornography. I said that in Judiciary II and I said it here on the floor when it passed the first time. I urge you to seize this opportunity that the Conference Report gives you to reverse the very bad decision that you've made on prior occasions. The effect of the Bill is first to invalidate all municipal ordinances. Apparently excepting the City of Chicago with its latest Amendment and then you have the proposition that's been described by the prior speaker as being unique. It's worse than unique, it's terrible. Whatever you say that you have the right to have a civil proceeding inject itself between the prosecution of criminals by the State of Illinois, you're to nullify the entire effect of



the Criminal Code. Who is better prepared to resist criminal prosecution by a long expensive civil proceeding? The wealthy distributors of the pornography or the citizenry whose sensibilities are offended by their greed for money? If you want to make the Criminal Code worthwhile, if you want to protect your own home community, I urge you to vote 'red'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am always amused by speakers who pick up a Conference Committee Report, read the language in a Conference Committee Report and if they are a lawyer ah... that's as far as they go. They don't bother to read it and ah... insert the language with the Bill and see what it does with the Bill. They get up and start steaming emotionally about the Conference Committee Report, but they don't put the two together to see what the puzzle really is. The way I read this, I think that Representative Berman is correct. This is not a home rule Amendment. It does not remove Chicago or Cook County from the uniform application of this Act. What it says is, all other counties and municipalities and what have you in this state, including Cook and Chicago, will be under this Act, but Chicago and Cook can also enact local ordinances in their respected municipal areas. Now what does that mean? That means that the State's Attorney in Cook County can proceed under this Bill and enforce the provisions of this Bill and as a Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, under a different local ordinance, may proceed under that ordinance if he wants. It does not affect this Bill. This Bill applies to Chicago. It applies to Cook County and it applies to every municipality and county in this state and I think that people are absolutely off base when they think it is going to exclude Chicago and Cook County because it does not."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, before I explain my vote I would like to ask the Chair if the Chair is ruling consistently with the previous ruling on this subject, that this does in fact limit home rule powers ah... under Article 7, Section 6, paragraph 'a' ah... and require 107 votes.



For the benefit of the Chair, I would read, if I might, that one portion which says 'except bills limited by this Section, a home rule may exercise any power and perform any function pertaining to its government and affairs, including, but not limited to the power to regulate for the protection of the public health, safety, morals, and welfare'. I would ask the Chair if this Bill takes 107 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "I'm of the opinion it takes 89."

Duff: "Then I would like to address the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Duff: "I believe there was a previous ruling that it didn't take 107 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "The previous ruling may have been wrong. 89 votes."

Duff: "You think it takes 89? Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that some of the statements that have been made in explanation are not necessarily accurate. If this Bill doesn't pass, its not going to do away with the ordinance of the City of Chicago, or the ordinance of the Village of Willmette. I would remind the Gentleman from the first district that they are having difficulties in his district on this subject right now...a...I think that passing this Bill at this time would be a serious mistake. The civil remedies that apply do indeed allow a person whether what he or she is doing is obscene, but at the same time, they also allow dilatory tactics and delay so that a community may not, except by a long succession of actions, protect itself from the continuation of the pornographic activities, if in fact, they are that. And Mr. Chairman at the appropriate time, I would like to ask for a verification of the roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, you've already indicated it's a ruling so I pass."

Speaker Redmond: "What was that?"

Madison: "I said you've already indicated the number of votes required so I pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm holding in my hands the two conference committee reports. We had one conference committee report and it was rejected by this House. The sponsor has



come with another one, which is not really a second, it's the same. Its just really a carbon copy; I don't see a word change. And so really, we are very much bordering on a deception to have a different explanation for the same report. What this really does, in the sponsor's own words, is to say we're passing a criminal procedural law for the State of Illinois, but the City of Chicago may proceed under a less stringent law. Now the point to be made is that if we allow one city to proceed under a less stringent law, or to escape utilizing this law, why don't we do it for Peoria? Why don't we do it for Rockford? Why don't we do it all around the State? I think we ought to have uniformity and the issue is not the substance of this Bill. The issue is whether we're going to pass a law for all the citizens of the State of Illinois and whether we're also going to allow one special city to bale themselves out and do not proceed not to choose to proceed under this law. I think its wrong. I think its clearly wrong. The House expressed itself one time on this, against this, and I think we ought to do it again. Vote no."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich. I think we should bring this matter to a close."

Friedrich: "My remarks are going to be very brief. If we have reached the place where Chicago legislators can come down here and pass one set of criminal laws for downstate and another for Chicago, maybe the time has also come when we should take the necessary steps to make that a separate state. Then they can make all the rules they want for Chicago, but they won't be screwin' around with ours."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this questions, there's 90 ayes, 57 noes, a request has been made for a verification. The Membership's attention is directed to the fact that it is now ten mintues after four, Monday, June 30th. A request has been made for a verification. The Clerk will proceed to verify the affirmative roll call. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Well the Chair made the point that it is late in the day and I don't know, there might have been the implied suggestion that we get on with it some way. I would suggest to the sponsor that this is an important subject, we shouldn't vote on it yes or no on the basis that we want to get out of town fast. I would suggest that in order for us



to deliberate properly, the sponsor might take this out of the record and leave it on the fall calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "The request has been made for a verification of the affirmative roll call. Poll of the absentees first."

Fred Selcke: "Arnell. Byers. Capuzi. Deavers. Dyer. Epton. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Kosinski. LaFleur. Lauer."

Speaker Redmond: "Lauer, no."

Fred Selcke: "No? No, Jack? Lauer, no."

Speaker Redmond: "Hoffman, no."

Fred Selcke: "Gene Hoffman, no. Nardulli. Rayson. Schisler. Schoeberlein. Simms. Stearney. Stone. That's it."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with the verification of the affirmative. Representative Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "No."

Keller: "Record me aye please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record him aye."

Fred Selcke: "Change Keller from no to aye. Lauer, no and Gene Hoffman, no."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you rise?"

Fleck: "For a brief point of explanation, Mr. Speaker. Before you proceed with this verification, can the people who want it verified find out the Democratic Party's designated voters on the floor? I don't see him over in his seat."

Speaker Redmond: "You're out of order, Mr. Fleck. Proceed with the verification."

Fred Selcke: "Startin' off with 91 ayes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, may I respectfully suggest that the Members who are standing in the aisles be seated, it would be very helpful to us."

Speaker Redmond: "I think the time has come to suggest that the Members take their seats and nobody but a Member occupy a seat of a Member. If there's anybody who is not entitled to sit as a Member, doesn't



vacate the chair, the Master-at-arms or the Doorkeeper will eject them. All other people not entitled to the floor, please leave the chambers. Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, change me to present."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to present."

Fred Selcke: "Boyle from yep to present."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D. L. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "May I be verified at this time, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "May he be verified? Hearing no objections, he may leave. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Present."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my vote to aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to aye. Where are we on the verification?"

Fred Selcke: "We haven't started yet."

Speaker Redmond: "Let's start."

Fred Selcke: "E. M. Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Barnes? Is he here?"

Fred Selcke: "Jane Barnes? Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Birchler. Bradley."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff.....I didn't hear you. Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, could we ask people to be seated so we can see?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Members will please be seated. Representative Byers, Representative Boyle, staff assistant Carter, please sit down. Representative Stone."

Stone: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Stone is aye."

Fred Selcke: "Stone, aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster, please take your seat. Representative Ryan, take your seat."

Fred Selcke: "Brandt. Caldwell. Calvo. Campbell. Capparelli. Chapman. Craig. D'Arco. Davis. Davis from aye to no. Downs."



Ralph Dunn. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn.
Gaines. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Giorgi. Greiman. Hanahan. Hill.
Holewinski. Dan Houlihan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipword has requested he be verified so he can return to a Conference Committee. Any objections?"

Fred Selcke: "Huff. Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Dave Jones. Kane. Katz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, may I be verified now?"

Speaker Redmond: "May the Gentleman be verified, he must attend a conference."

Fred Selcke: "Keller. Kempiners. Klosak. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Laurino. Lechowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. How am I recorded as voting?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "No."

Dunn: "Please change my vote to aye."

Fred Selcke: "John Dunn, aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt, for what purpose do you rise?"

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How am I recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman...how in the hell does he spell his name... huh? Present."

McCourt: "Please change me to aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to aye."

Fred Selcke: "Lechowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Lechowicz, aye."

Fred Selcke: "He's on the aye vote, he's being verified. Leinenweber."

Speaker Redmond: "Leinenweber? He's here."

Fred Selcke: "Kornowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Fred Selcke: "Leon."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Fred Selcke: "Londrigan. Lucco. Luft. Lundy. Madigan. Madison.



Mann. Maragos. Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. McAuliffe.
 McAvoy. McClain. McCourt. McGrew. McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo.
 Mudd. Mugalian. O'Daniel. Patrick. Peters. Pierce. Pouncey.
 Randolph. Schneider. Sevcik. Shea. Stone. Taylor. Telcser.
 Terzich. Tipword. VonBoeckman. Wall. Washburn. Washington.
 White. Willer. Younge. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Craig. May Representative
 Craig be verified? No objections. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "What are we starting with? What's the number that we're
 starting with."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the number we're starting with?"

Fred Selcke: "We're starting with 93 ayes and 59 nays."

Berman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Representative Jane Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Turn her right around...about face...she's right there."

Schlickman: "Okay. Representative Bradley."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Bradley in the chamber? He's in
 the Conference Committee room, we'll send and get him."

Schlickman: "Is he taken off now? Thank you. Representative Calvo."

Speaker Redmond: "Take Bradley off. Calvo's here."

Schlickman: "Representative Boyle. Oh, he's there. He's there."

Fred Selcke: "He's recorded as voting present."

Schlickman: "I'm sorry. Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Redmond: "Who was that?"

Schlickman: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Schlickman: "Alright, I got him. Representative Chapman. I see her."

Speaker Redmond: "She's here."

Schlickman: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Redmond: "Ralph Dunn? Is he in his seat? I don't see him. Take
 him off."

Schlickman: "Representative Flinn."



Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Schlickman: "Representative Garmia...Garmisa."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Schlickman: "Representative Ewell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell is here."

Schlickman: "Representative Giorgi."

Speaker Redmond: "Right here."

Schlickman: "Representative Hanahan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan in the chambers? How is
Representative Hanahan recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Schlickman: "Representative Hill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Hill in the chamber? How is he
recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Schlickman: "Representative Huff."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Schlickman: "Representative Jaffe."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Schlickman: "Representative J. D. Jones. I see him, I'm sorry.
Representative Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "Who was that?"

Schlickman: "He's here. Representative Katz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz is in the rear of the chamber."

Schlickman: "I thought these Gentleman were supposed to be in their
seats, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "They are. He's in a conference with the Chairman
of the States Attorney Study Law Commission."

Schlickman: "Representative Klosak."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Klosak? Is he in his chair?"

Schlickman: "Representative Kornowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat, but Representative Daniels isn't."

Schlickman: "I'm sorry, what was that, Mr. Speaker?"



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels is not in his seat."

Schlickman: "Is Representative Kornowicz in his seat?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Schlickman: "I see him. Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz?"

Fred Selcke: "Voting present."

Schlickman: "Representative Londrigan."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Schlickman: "Representative....he's here. Representative McClain."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain. Is Representative McClain
in his seat? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Schlickman: "McClain was taken off?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeh."

Schlickman: "Representative McGrew."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew? Is he in the chamber? Take
him off. McClain is here; put him back on."

Schlickman: "Representative McGrew."

Speaker Redmond: "He's not here. Leave him off."

Schlickman: "Representative Mugalian."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Mugalian here?"

Schlickman: "He just left, Mr. Speaker, that's alright. He's on.
Representative O'Daniel."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Daniel? He's way in the back, there."

Schlickman: "Representative Patrick."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Patrick is here. Representative Geo-
Karis would like to be verified, she has to go over to the Democratic
side."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you."

Schlickman: "Did you say that Representative Patrick is here, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Schlickman: "Patrick."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Stand up, Langdon."



Schlickman: "Is Representative Shea? Representative Terzich?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich is here."

Schlickman: "Representative Mann. Representative Birchler."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birchler. He was in Tipsword's seat a minute ago. If Representative Walsh would sit down, I could see if Representative Birchler was there. Is Representative Birchler there?"

Schlickman: "I believe he's gone, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him from the roll call."

Schlickman: "Representative Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz is in the back of the room.

Representative Bradley has returned. Put him back on. Representative Washington, for what purpose do you rise? Anything further? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Representative Fennessey."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Schlickman: "Representative Taylor."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Schlickman: "Final one, Mr. Speaker, Representative Campbell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell? How's he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schlickman: "Would you take the record, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "What have we got here? On this question there's 87 ayes...Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Palmer: "Change it to aye please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to aye. Representative Catania."

Catania: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Lady recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Who's this?"

Speaker Redmond: "Catania."

Fred Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting no."



Catania: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the lady as 'aye'. Now what's the count?"

Fred Selcke: "89."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 89 'aye', 59 'no', is that correct? And the House does adopt the second conference committee report to Senate Bill, er... to House Bill 1790. We'll be at ease about 10 minutes. At ease for about 10 minutes. We'll go to Resolutions."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 438, Ryan et al."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Fred Selcke: "You want me to read it, George?"

Ryan: "Is this the Resolution?"

Fred Selcke: "Yeh, you want me to read it?"

Ryan: "Yeh, I think that would be appropriate."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 438, Ryan et al. Whereas allegations of cheating on medical license exams in the State of Illinois have appeared in the news media, whereas the method of administering those examinations by the Illinois Department of Administration and Education has been challenged in reports of the media and whereas the rule of the Medical Examining Committee of the Department of Registration and Education in administering these exams has been de-emphasized to the point at which the only input they have is to choose the test to be administered and grade the test and whereas under reciprocity arrangement physicians who take examinations in states with more lax licensing standards than the State of Illinois are allowed to move to this state and practice medicine and whereas the charges appearing in the news media, if true, pose a threat to the deliver of competent, medical services to the citizens of the State of Illinois. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives, the 79th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, that the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission be directed to review the Department of Registration and Education testing procedures of the medical licensing examination. Be it further resolved the Department of Education and Registration's utilization of the medical examining committee be investigated and be it further resolved that reciprocity arrangements in the granting of physicians licenses between Illinois and other states be investigated



and be it further resolved that the Legislative Investigating Committee make a report of its findings and recommendations with the Illinois House of Representatives and shall file it, report it to the Clerk of the House no later than January 5, 1976."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I move for the adoption of the House Resolution 438."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevidch."

Matijevidch: "Mr. Speaker, I'll vote for this, but I want Representative Ryan to know for one who always speaks about saving the taxpayers money, I got three reports on my desk. It's about time you got rid of those ah... photos you in there and save a little bit of the taxpayers money you're always screaming about. We don't need your photos there with the full-page photo. Why don't you have that Investigating Commission save some of our money. They ought to pay... Who's going to investigate the investigators. It's about time you try to save some of our money."

Ryan: "I'll do my best to see that that happens. I have complained about that in the past, Representative Matijevidch, and I'll do that once more."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman's moved for the adoption of House Resolution 438. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. Opposed 'no'. The Resolution's adopted. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, do we need a Roll Call on this?"

Speaker Redmond: "You going to spend any money?"

Ryan: "Yeh, I'm sure we will."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, then you do. The question is on the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 101 'aye' and 2 'no' and the Resolution's... Maragos 'aye'. The Resolution's adopted. We will be at ease until ten minutes til five."

Giorgi: "Agreed Resolutions."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 439, DiPrima. House Resolution 440, Tuerk. House Resolution 441, Washburn et al. House Resolution 442, Washburn et al. House Resolution 443, Telcser et al. House Resolution 444, Washburn et al. Giorgi has now assumed the Chair."

Giorgi: "13, ah... 13. Representative Pierce on the Agreed Resolutions."



Pierce: "Zeke, we've been here ten years. Now we're in charge of the place."

Giorgi: "Agreed Resolutions."

Pierce: "Agreed Resolution 439, DiPrima honors Mildred E. Leff on being elected President of the Veterans of Foreign Wars, Ladies Auxillary in the State of Illinois. 440 by the gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk, honors the commander Joseph Ambrose of Peoria on being elected Department Cammander of the Illinois Department of Veterans of World War I, United States of America, the 21st Department possession in Peoria. No, Representative Giorgi, that was not the 'Wehr Macht' that was the veterans of World War I, U.S.A. by Mr. Tuerk. House Resolution 441 honors Webber Borchers, Webber Borchers on his birthday, July 1, 1975, tomorrow will be Webber Borchers birthday. Webber, do you have anything to say on that. House Resolution 441 sponsored by Representative Washburn and all the Republican Members of the General Assembly. House Resolution 442 by Representative Washburn and all of the Republican and Democrat Members other than the Democratic leadership honors the leadership of the, this session of the General Assembly. Should I read this one, Clerk read this one. It honors our Speaker, William Redmond, our Majority Leader, Gerald Shea, Assistant Majority Leader, Michael Madigan, Assistant Majority Leader, Corneal Deacon Davis, Assistant Majority Leader Zeke Giorgi, Whip, Gerald Bradley, and Whip, Donald Brummet, on their unifying the Majority party in this session of the legislature and leading it to its many triumphs."

Giorgi: "Are you through, Mr. Pierce?"

Pierce: "No, I'm still going. You told me to take my time."

Giorgi: "Excuse me one moment, Mr. Pierce. Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "On, on that last motion, Mr. Speaker, can we sever the party?"

Giorgi: "You don't want to complete the issue, sever the issue."

Pierce: "House Resolution 443 by Representative Telcser ah... expresses our admiration to Minority Leader, Bud Washburn, for the conduct of his office and congratulates him achieving recognition as a legislative leader in the finest traditions of the Illinois General Assembly and that's by all the Republican and Democratic Members ah... of the legislature honoring Bud Washburn's leadership as Minority Leader of this session of the General Assembly. House Resolution 444 by



Representative Washburn et al, honors the Republican Staff, the young men on the Republican Staff on the conclusion of their Internship experience and those Inters and I hope I don't miss any are Douglas Carey, who has received a Masters Degree in Social Work in the University of Michigan working with Human Resources; Daniel Elsaph, who is working with the Committee on Labor and Commerce; Terry A. Scrogrum; John P. Vanardos who worked with the Executive Committee are concluding their year of Internship here in the General Assembly and that completes the agreed Resolutions unless Weber Borchers has anything to say about the historical significance of his own birthday, I move the immediate consideration and adoption of the agreed Resolutions Mr. Speaker and the suspension of the appropriate rule."

Giorgi: "The Gentleman has moved the suspension of the rules in the adoption of the agreed Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the 'ayes' have it and the rules are adopted, the Resolutions are adopted. Representative Houlihan."

Pierce: "What do we do next Zeke?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, just sort of to clean up the calendar on page three in concurrences I think we ought to have leave to table the non-appropriation Bills that are on that and I ask leave for than."

Giorgi: "You're not recognized for that movement Mr. Houlihan. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, my 'aye' vote on that last Resolution is contingent on us getting out of here by midnight."

Giorgi: "I agree. Be at ease for another few minutes."

Selcke: "Message from the Senate. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, secretary, Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Amendments one and two to House Bill 783. Senate requests a second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, secretary. Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to receive Senate Amendment #1 to a Bill by the following title, House Bill 1999. I am further directed to inform the House that the Senate a Committee of Conference action taken by the Senate. Kenneth Wright, secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has receded from their



Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 3118, action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1975, Kenneth Wright, secretary. No further....Message from the Senate, Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate concurs in the House adoption of the Governor's Amendment to the Bill of the following title House Bill 713 concurred in by the Senate June 30, 1975, Kenneth Wright, secretary."

Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar number two is being distributed. Supplemental Calendar number one, House Bill 1360, Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you Mr. Speaker Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the House do concur in Conference Committee Report #1. The Amendment suggests that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 which offers a reduction of one million sixty four thousand eight hundred dollars. It concurs in Senate Amendment #2 which deletes the FEPC Amendment that the Senate receive from Senate Amendment #4 which it added an addition \$241,000. The Conference Committee Report also suggests that we amend the Bill to include an additional \$27,000 for boiler inspection, \$53,000 for the Bureau of identification, \$15,000 for the fire school and \$55,000 for race track investigation service. I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor of this Legislation yield for a question or two?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Houlihan: "Representative Kozubowski, I had this Conference Committee Report on my desk some time ago and I noticed that it was signed by only six members but there was an OK by I guess that is Joe McGaw, could you indicate what the status of a report is that is not signed by a majority of the Members in the House?"

Kozubowski: "Well, Representative, I'm quite sure you are familiar with the rule that requires that there be only six signatures on a Conference Committee Report. One of the members has indicated that he was going to sign the report, however, our Staff could not find him before the report went to the printer."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, before I proceed on the merits of this particular



question, I would like a either parliamentary ruling as to whether we in the House can vote upon this since there are only two members of the six members appointed by the House that signed this Conference Committee report. In other words, a majority of those members from the House did not sign this report."

Speaker Redmond: "The majority of the Conference Committee. That's been the custom and that's the last rule that we were operating under. Under duplicate by majority of the Committee."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to appeal that ruling, I believe."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman, it's the old joint rule that we have always operated sign the agreed report of a Conference Committee shall be made read and signed in duplicate by a majority of the Members of the Committee."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to appeal that ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill has already been adopted over in the Senate. I don't understand the Gentleman's concern about this. Now he says he wants to appeal the ruling of the Chair. What would he want the Chair to rule that it took ten members? I'm opposed to the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, the Majority Leader asked me why I was so concerned about this Bill. One of the aspects of it is that it deletes the Office of Special Investigations which is under the Directorship of Donald Page Moore, but I would like to appeal the ruling. I believe we ought to have at least three members of the House sign the Conference Committee Report and I respectfully ask the Members to over-rule the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Do six Members move the appeal. One, two, three, 71 requires six Members. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I have a Motion on the Table."

Speaker Redmond: "It will be taken up in due time. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, my Motion is non-debatable. I move that the Motion be held now, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan has a Motion on the Floor, appealing the ruling of the Chair. Was he joined by five additional Members? I



don't see a total of six. I'm sorry, but then that Motion cannot be put. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Motion on the table if you would have it read."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Motion."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, I move that House Bill 1360 be tabled. Representative Fred Schraeder."

Speaker Redmond: "Under rule 63 that's a proper Motion. On the Motion, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if we were as serious about investigation corruption and crime in the State of Illinois then I think we should let the proper procedures follow. The Auditor General has made it very clear and very distinct that the Governor has the power to investigate crime and corruption. Now if we in the General Legislature don't want him to have that right of investigation of the criminal element of the State of Illinois then we ought to put it in the statutes and stand up and be named and say look Governor you can't investigate crime, you can't invade corruption you can't ferret it out and with that I move the adoption of the Motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Is it a proper Motion Mr. Speaker to have another member make a Motion to table another Member's Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "I see nothing in the rules that would preclude it and therefore I would believe that any member has got a right to make this Motion. Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as the Chief Sponsor of this Bill I would ask the courtesy of the Membership of this House not to table this Bill. What we are talking about is cutting off one's nose..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I believe this is a non-debatable Motion."

Speaker Redmond: "You're correct it's non-debatable. Are you ready for the question? Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker would you inform the House as to how many votes it will take for this Motion to pass?"

Speaker Redmond: "89 votes, a simple majority."



Madison: "A simple majority," thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question. The question is shall House Bill 1360 be tabled? Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Madison."

Madison: "In explaining my vote, it appears to me that a Motion to table particularly when it is not made by the Sponsor of the Bill is paramount to a Motion to strike the enacting clause and for that reason I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 5 'ayes', 93 'nos' and the Motion is lost. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a substitute Motion, not to concur in Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Make the Motion to adopt, being of final action would take precedence over that. I think we'll have to put it..."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, would you check with your Parliamentarian, if that is your ruling."

Speaker Redmond: "We've gone through that before."

Houlihan: "Pardon."

Speaker Redmond: "We've gone through that before."

Houlihan: "I would like to appeal that ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Be joined by five others."

Houlihan: "I would ask for a substitute Motion to non-concur."

Speaker Redmond: "I only see one other, so that after not having been joined by enough the appeal does not ride. The question is shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1360. All in favor, vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Final action, takes 89 votes. Representative James Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to address myself to this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this Conference Committee does is delete the Governor's Office of Special Investigation. The Governor has established an Office to look into official misconduct, to examine charges within the Executive Branch of all employees who are reported directly to the Governor and who are accountable to the Executive Branch. It is his effort to look into



official misconduct and any suggestion that are brought to his attention are examined by this Agency. Mr. Donald Page Moore is the Head of this Division and I believe that it is wrong for the Legislature to tell the Chief Executive that he is not allowed to look for and to examine the conduct of employees that are in fact reporting directly to him. I believe the Legislature is stepping out of bounds when it says that he does not have the liberty to examine and to deal with any misconduct that is in his administration. I think establishing this office was a step in the right direction. It was a step for leadership and now what we are saying if we adopt this Conference Committee Report is that we do not want to allow a Chief Executive to look into any suggestions of impropriety maybe what we want to do is to allow that to go on and I think that's wrong. I think that the Chief Executive ought to have the ability to run his agencies and run them in a fashion that is fitting for public scrutiny and I believe that this vote ought to be rejected and that we ought to non-concur and go to a second Conference Committee to deal with this very serious problem. One that needs another report and a different avenue to address the problem of official corruption in Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question? I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that some of the comments of the previous speaker are completely unfounded. There is nothing that is in this Bill that says that the Governor cannot look into official misconduct. We have the Illinois Bureau of Investigation that is fully funded in this Bill, we have the State Police that is fully funded in this Bill. They are both under the control of the Governor. There is nothing at all in this Bill or in the statutes that says that the Governor cannot ask the Illinois Bureau of Investigation or the State Police to look into official misconduct. There is absolutely nothing and I think that what the previous speaker is saying is he is pulling a red herring across here and saying that the Legislature is tying the hands of the Governor. That is absolutely false. We have the Illinois Bureau of Investigation that is set up by statute. We have the State Police that is set up by statute and I think that if we are going to run State Government the way that State Government should be run that the branches of the Government that the offices should be set up by statute



and not by Executive Order and I would urge that this Conference Committee Report be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker in explaining my 'no' vote, number one it appears to me that this issue of whether or not the Governor's Office of Special Investigations ought to be funded has come before this Body in another form this Session and if I'm not mistaken we defeated that attempt then. My other reason for voting no Mr. Speaker and I've seen it happen not only on this Conference Committee Report but on others is what appears to me to be an attempt on the part of the Sponsor to at least not reveal the true facts as it relates to what is in the Conference Committee Report. We have had Sponsors time and time again get up and make a motion to adopt without even explaining what the Conference Committee Report does or else in making attempt to explain it explain it in such vague, vague terms that we don't really understand it and I think that we ought to adopt a policy here that whenever a Sponsor is putting forth a Conference Committee Report that he ought to explain fully what it is that is in the Conference Committee Report, how that Report either changes, adds, deletes what was in the original Bill and until such time that policy is developed I for one will vote 'no' on every Conference Committee Report that comes before us."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I am voting 'no' for some of the reasons mentioned previously but also because this Conference Committee Report removes the original FEPC Amendment and since we no longer appear to have an agreement on putting that into the State Finance Act, I don't think that we should agree to remove it anymore from Bills that come back in Conference Committee Reports."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to explain my vote. There were some remarks made in the explanation of votes and in the discussion of the particular Conference Report that I think need some clarification. For the first thing the Governor's Office is not restricted in any way from going about and investigating so called wrong doing of State Employees. We all know that the Department of Personnel has adequate mechanisms by which they can go and investigate again so called wrong doings and



JUN 30 1975

115.

misjudgements by State employees. We also know that the Governor's offices felt as the right and privilege to appoint executive directors or assistant governors, if you will, for the purposes of investigating wrong doings. I might also add that last year the Legislature itself refused to fund an I.L.E.C. grant which was for this O.S.I. office. Contrary to the legislative intent, the Governor funded this office through additional funds in the Department of Law Enforcement. This was contrary to the legislative intent, Ladies and Gentlemen, and I think that's an important thing to keep in mind. Again, once again, this year it is the intent of the Legislature not to fund this particular office through the Department of Law Enforcement and I think if we're going to establish our integrity as a legislative body, it's incumbent upon us to exercise that authority and I would ask for your vote. I think it's important enough to ah... talk about, important enough to discuss and I think we should all vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the hour grows late and we're trying to get the agencies funded at a level they can live with. Now I think Representative Houlihan brought up ah... whether or not the Governor would investigate certain things, but let me make it perfectly clear. I think Representative Kane pointed it out. This is the money for law enforcement throughout the State of Illinois. If we haven't certainly got enough state police in ah... in the I.B.I. that was put in here some years ago to make an investigation, I don't know how many super C.I.A. agencies or whatever they are we need around here. I think that this is a good Bill. It should pass. This conference committee has already passed the other House and this could be final action on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 78 'aye', 34 'no'. Representative Kozubowski. Poll the absentees, please. Will you poll the absentees."

Jack O'Brien: "Arnell, Bluthardt, Boyle, Caldwell, Calvo, Capuzi, Chapman, Darrow, Deavers, Ebbesen, Epton, Fleck, Giorgi, Greiman, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman, Jaffe, Katz, Kent, Londrigan, Lundy, Matijevich, McClain, McGrew, Meyer, Miller, Nardulli, Palmer, Peters, Polk, Porter, Rose, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Sharp, Simms, Stearney,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tipsword, Totten, VonBoeckman, Washington and Kosinski."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Groberg 'aye'. Representative McClain 'no'. On this question there's 79 'aye', 35 'nos', 21 'present' and the House does not adopt the conference committee's first report. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Isn't it the motion of the gentleman to adopt, fails."

Speaker Redmond: "Correct. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ah... ask you what position or what posture we would be in if we do not move for a second conference committee?"

Speaker Redmond: "It stays where it is. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor hasn't indicated that what he's going to do and I'd move a conference committee be re... second conference committee be requested."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Well, Sponsor ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, as the chief Sponsor of this Bill, I do not request a conference committee and as the chief Sponsor, I think I'm entitled to that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe you stated before that the position of the Chair at the motion ah... that has a high priority takes precedent and I think Representative Schraeder's motion now for a second conference committee has priority."

Speaker Redmond: "The Chair agrees."

McClain: "Well, let's have a Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House refuse to ah... Representative Shea."

Shea: "The request for a second conference committee would only come, would it not upon the House refusing to adopt. Now the gentleman's... the gentleman's motion was to adopt. That motion failed. There is no motion before this chamber to, that we do not concur in the report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder made that motion."

Shea: "Well, is that his motion that we do not concur?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct, yeh, do not adopt. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is dilatory and I would move my



motion that we not adopt an..."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman..."

Schraeder: "... conference committee."

Speaker Redmond: "I announced the Roll Call, didn't I, Jer... Okay, would you take it off the board. The gentleman has moved that the House do not adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 1360. All in favor, all in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. I called for a Roll Call. Well if we did it by a voice vote, somebody would demand a record vote anyway so... Have all voted who wished? Representative Houlihan. This is a simple majority. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Speaker's indicated that this is a simple majority vote and I would request enough green lights on there so that we can move off of dead center. It seems to me that we have to move ahead and we can't be put on an arbitrary position where this Bill will not come back to us to consider it another form. A form that might be more reasonable. I might point out to you that the Senate concurred in this conference committee report with the bare minimum, 30 votes. The highly controversial conference committee report and I would suggest that if we're serious about adjourning, we're serious about an orderly process of getting home that we vote green, send the conferees back to work this out, to come back to us with another suggestion so that we might vote that on its merit and I would ask for a few more green lights on this particular motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved that the House do not adopt the conference committee's first report and that's the question. The question is on that motion. Those in favor of not adopting vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. Simple majority. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote and ask the people that aren't here get off the switches. We've did this before and we've nonconcurred in a conference committee report and it's very obvious that someone on the other side of the aisle is trying to, to stall this particular piece of legislation, go it beyond the deadline. If that's the tactic, I think it's very, very wrong. Now what's wrong with going to a second conference I ask the leadership on this aisle and I even him out. Mr. Shea, why don't you want this to go to a conference? Mr. Kozubowski, why don't you want this to go to a conference with this?"



Are you afraid of it? Give us the green light and put your people on a conference committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 75 'ayes' and 68 'nos' and the motion prevails. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, did I understand you to say the motion fails or prevails?"

Speaker Redmond: "Prevails."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The request for a second conference committee, I assume. Supplemental Calendar 2, appears House Bill 726. Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if you could hold this for a few moments?"

Mr. Speaker, I wonder if you could hold this for a few moments, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 1608, Representative Marovitz. Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would ask that the House do adopt conference committee report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Explain the Amendment, er... ah... the report."

Marovitz: "The conference committee report #1, this is the bilingual contract Bill and after considerable discussion, it was agreed among all Members of the conference committee and all did sign this report that the wording in the Bill would be as follows. 'It is unlawful practice for any person to conduct a retail transaction or negotiations within it to a retail transaction in a language other than English. The sale to give each consumer prior to answering in to any contract or other written agreement with respect to said transaction and on executed copy of the contract or other written agreement in such language. The terms of this section do not apply to transactions made pursuant to a credit card issued to the buyer whether such card is issued by the seller or a third party.' Also makes those Section 2 through 21 of the Consumer Fraud Act ah... applicable to ah... cause of action by the, by the individual consumer. I would ask, I would ask for ah... acceptance of conference committee report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. Dunn."

J.F. Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the ah... Sponsor yield to a



question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

J.F. Dunn: "When the conference committee report refers to quote 'such language' end of quote, ah... what languages are meant by that phrase?"

Marovitz: "That refers to the foreign language in which the negotiations are conducted in."

J.F. Dunn: "Then does this mean that ah... for those who do not speak English, they must be furnished a copy of the contract in whatever language they do speak?"

Marovitz: "Only if that this, only if which ah... leads to the contract is conducted in the foreign language. That is the only time. This takes advertising totally out of the Bill. Only if the negotiations, the agreement itself is conducted in the foreign language, then an executed copy of the contract must be offered in that foreign language."

J.F. Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any other questions? The question is shall the House adopt... Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, again. I appreciate it. A question of the Sponsor of this ah... of this conference of the Bill. Mr. Speaker, a question of the Sponsor of the Bill. Okay, I'll go on anyway without permission. I was curious, Mr. Sponsor, if you might tell me when you're here 50 years from now and the conditions of this country are completely reversed that if you were Sponsor and see there's a Bill that the English language must be used in all contracts because the way things are going, I'm a little doubtful of its existence. Could you answer me that? Would you sponsor such a ah... Bill at that time? I'd like to be able to handle a ah... copy of, in English, of a ah... of a ah... It's already there, huh? Well that's good, I'm glad to hear it."

Speaker Redmond: "Now nine ah... five, fifty. Representative Polk."

Polk: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Polk: "Now I want to make this perfectly clear, in downstate areas where we have ah... a large ah... large groups of Spanish speaking people in the district. Now if someone advertises and indicates that they are going to sell something, do they have to put this contract out?"



Marovitz: "No, advertising is totally out of the Bill, totally out of the Bill."

Polk: "All right, well didn't you have ah... have migrants coming through, through the community and they go into a store and purchase on ah... and they're going to be in town for a couple of months picking tomatoes. Now how does this affect them on a contract?"

Marovitz: "If, if there's a contract involved in the purchase and the negotiations leading toward that contract are in a foreign language..."

Polk: "Are, are, because, you mean because they can't speak, ah... they can't ah... relate to each other, therefore, it goes into another language. In other words, they have to get an interpreter to come in to interpret?"

Marovitz: "If the negotiations leading to that contract, for whatever reason are in a foreign language, then an unexecuted copy of that contract must be given to the individual by the executioner of that contract."

Polk: "In the, in the same language."

Marovitz: "In their language, yes."

Polk: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schuneman: "Along that same line, Representative, there are two parties to this contract or two parties to the ah... negotiations leading up to a contract and I think in many instances, one would speak English and one would speak a foreign language and this is where I would have a hangup about this Bill. Are you saying that only if the negotiations ah... are conducted in the foreign language by both parties to the contract that only in that situation is when this Bill would apply."

Marovitz: "That is correct."

Schuneman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the con... first conference committee report to House Bill 1608. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 100 'ayes', 15 'nos' and the House does adopt the first conference committee



report. 2473. Maragos 'aye'. Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah... I would move to adopt conference committee report #1 on House Bill 2473. The point of discussion was one that was raised by the Chicago Archdiocese. They had some difficulty with the original language about the ah... specification that religious entities in the State of Illinois would be able to discriminate only on the basis of religion. That problem has now been cleared up and they are satisfied with the language now adopted in the first conference committee report. What it says is that a religious corporation association, institution, or organization will be able to hire persons of a particular religion in connection with its activities. In other words, it may not discriminate on the basis of race, sex or national origin, but it may discriminate on the basis of religion if it so chooses. I move for the adoption of conference committee report #1 on House Bill 2473."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "She will, she indicates..."

Friedrich: "Is the provision that reduces the number of employees still part of the Bill with the conference committee report."

Catania: "Yes, Representative Friedrich. That was not a point of contention."

Friedrich: "Okay, then I'll be happy to vote for the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #1 to House Bill 2473. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question 114 'aye' and 10 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 2473. 726, we'll return to that. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House does adopt conference committee report #1 to House Bill 726 and what the conference committee did was to recede from Senate Amendment #1 and that Amendment gave the Department of Insurance about ah... a 12% cut, I understand. We receded from that and then we amended it down to the 6% cut ah... as requested by the Governor and that's where the Bill stands now and I move the adoption of the first



conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Ready for the question? The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 726. All in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 124 'aye' and 4 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 726. 2852, Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, due to the wisdom of the House in passing and concurring with House Bill 1608, I would now move to table House Bill 2852."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved to table House Bill 2852. Any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted and it is tabled. 2989, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, conference committee report on 2989 is identical to Senate Amendment #5 with the following exceptions. A duplicate appropriation for special education building projects for the School Construction Bond Fund has been deleted and \$600,000 for alternative education for court assigned truants has been reinserted. The Amendment #5 also included the ah... eliminating a duplicate ah... reinserting the appropriation for physical and psychological screening program for Belleville, Illinois, reinserted \$900,000 for library media service grants, reinserts \$600,000 for alternative education... I read that once before, assigned truants. Ah... Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move for the adoption of conference committee #1 to House Bill 2989."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "The Sponsor yield, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schneider: "Is that the experimental ah... excuse me, Tom. Is that the experimental program on ah... learning disabled that was placed from in the Belleville area?"

Hanahan: "No, this is ah... Yes, this is a new one in Belleville that had applied for grant programs in Belleville, Illinois for a purpose of setting up a screening program, a physical and psychological screening program."



Schneider: "How much again, please?"

Hanahan: "\$112,000. That was in the Bill originally."

Schneider: "Well, no, no. Cissy Stiehl had a Bill like that that failed in our committee and I was curious as to why I.O.E. would buy it all of a sudden."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative Catania."

Catania: "Would the gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Catania: "Ah... Representative Hanahan, is the F.E.P.C. Amendment still in this Bill?"

Hanahan: "No, it's not. It was removed in an earlier Amendment ah... the Senate had adopted, Amendment #2."

Catania: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House..."

Hanahan: "We have already concurred in that Amendment earlier today."

Catania: "All right, I would like to point out that when Dr. Cronin was before the Appropriations II Committee, ah... I asked him why the original language which was put in by the Office of Education which said that if funds would not be expended in the way they discriminated on the basis of race, national origins ah... or creed did not mention the word sex and he said that ah... he had no objection to it, including that. That language is, I suspect, still part of this Bill. The Senate apparently feels that if that kind of language is unconstitutional, have they made any attempt to take that out, Representative Hanahan?"

Hanahan: "I believe the whole Section is struck."

Catania: "Would you check that for me please?"

Hanahan: "The whole F.E.P.C. Section is struck."

Catania: "I'm not talking about the F.E.P.C. Section, I'm talking about the original language that was in when Dr. Cronin brought the Bill to the Appropriations II Committee. It's right at the end of the Bill."

Hanahan: "I'll check it, I haven't got it right in front of me."

Catania: "I wish you would because ah... otherwise I suspect that the Senators are going to do something drastic to take it out since they seem to feel that that kind of language is unconstitutional."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The gentleman has moved that the House adopt first conference committee report to House Bill 2989. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk



will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye' and 8 'no' and the House does adopt first conference committee report to House Bill 2989. 1299, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I do now move you that the House adopt the first conference committee report on House Bill 1299. It was unanimously approved by the Members of the conference and it has to do with the extension of the State's Attorney Study Commission ah... for another year. It puts on the Illinois Prosecutorial Advisory Council and adds four States Attorneys to the Commission. There's no objection in the number and as a matter of fact, we feel it is a good thing to have four States Attorneys on the Commission so that we can, at least in this area of the criminal justice, go into it further than what we did this year and I do ask the House to adopt this conference committee report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I have the utmost respect for the Sponsor, but I just don't think that we ought to be putting States Attorneys on a Commission that is going to study States Attorneys. I think that's preordained that the Commission will not bring in any recommendations that the States Attorneys do not want brought in."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The gentleman has moved that the House adopt first conference committee report to House Bill 1299. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 99 'aye', 26 'no' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to House Bill 1299. 555, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we adopt the conference committee report on House Bill 555. It passed the Senate by a vote of 35 to 11. Ah... with a net increase of \$1,170,200. Of course, out of this amount, the one was the law enforcement program. This is reimbursable by the federal government at a rate of 75% and in effect the Ryan Amendment was adopted. And I move the adoption of the conference committee report on Senate Bill 555."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first



conference committee report on Senate Bill 555. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "The Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Anderson: "Yes, the Department of Labor, has there been any transfer from there for the Welfare Rehabilitation Service?"

Davis: "Let me tell you what this does. No, there's none in the Department of Labor. I ah... if you want to know, W.I.S. was a transfer in field operations, but that's not the Department of Labor."

Anderson: "But the Welfare Rehabilitation Services have not been transferred from the Department of Labor to the Department of Public Aid under this... ah...."

Davis: "Yes, oh, yes. Welfare Rehabilitation Service yes. \$542,180."

Anderson: "Is that to cover the lease for two years at this time?"

Davis: "No, not the lease, not the lease. That's operations, sir."

Anderson: "Not the lease, the lease is not included in this."

Davis: "Operations."

Anderson: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 109 'aye' and 6 'no'. The House does adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 555. With leave of the House, we'll take the appropriation measures in line here. Senate Bill 635, E.M. Barnes. There'll be no dinner break, incidentally. Senate Bill 655, 635, Representative E.M. Barnes."

E.M. Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would move that the House adopt the first conference committee report on Senate Bill 635. The Senate concurred in House Amendment #8. The House recedes from House Amendment #4. The Senate, on Senate Bill 635 be further reduced on page 12, line 27 by deleting \$11,090,800 and inserting in lieu thereof \$10,404,800. The effect of this is that the House concurred in Amendment #8 which was a \$5,000 item that was brought to our attention by one of the Members concerning a gym instructor downstate. The House receded from the #4 which was the 2% lateral transfer ah... Amendment and the reduction is \$694,000 and I add from the Senate \$1,500,000. I would move that the House concur in Senate, in the first conference committee Bill ah... first conference committee on Senate Bill



635."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "The Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Anderson: "Does this take out the \$1,000,000 that the Director wanted out of this budget?"

E.M. Barnes: "Not the total, it takes only \$694,000 of it."

Anderson: "Why didn't we take it all out. She wanted it out."

E.M. Barnes: "Well, Representative Anderson, it was ah... agreement of the conferees that the compromise point would be the \$694,000 and I concur with that."

Anderson: "But there was \$1,000,000 lapse in this program last year. The program is being funded at the same level and if we had this extra \$1,000,000 in, there's no federal matching funds and it won't be used and it shouldn't be there."

E.M. Barnes: "You, you're absolutely correct. There was \$1,000,000 lapse. The Senate Sponsor put in \$1,516,000 and we compromised by deleting of that \$1,516,000 that he added on in the Senate, we compromised by reducing that \$694,000."

Anderson: "Well, I'd like to see this not adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Grotberg: "Gene, can you be more specific what line the \$600,000 comes out of?"

E.M. Barnes: "Line 27, page 12, line 27."

Grotberg: "Yeh, but is that the, does that take care, do you have your notes in front of you?"

E.M. Barnes: "This is the item..."

Grotberg: "Is that the day care item?"

E.M. Barnes: "Yes, it is."

Grotberg: "So you slashed the nine hun..."

E.M. Barnes: "We slashed the Senate. The Senate Sponsor added an Amendment, Senate Amendment #5, \$1,516,000 over and beyond the original request. With the compromise with the Senate Sponsor, we reduced that \$694,000 which left an add-on, add-on of \$889,000."



Grotberg: "I got you, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Will the Sponsor yield? Representative Barnes, there was an item I believe for alternate schools which I think was \$695,000. I don't know if that's the same, was that in this budget at any time?"

E.M. Barnes: "No, it was not. Not in this budget."

Berman: "All right, thank you."

E.M. Barnes: "I would move for the adoption of the first conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 635. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye', 9 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 635. 661, Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the ordinary and contingent expense budget for the Department of Business and Economic Development. Under the conference committee report, it is exactly the same as it came out of the House with one exception and that is the House had restored \$100,000 in contractual services up to \$346,000 in the Energy Development Section and the report takes out \$75,000 from that. Ah... I move for the, concurrence with Senate, I mean, with the conference committee report of Senate Bill 661."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions, any discussion? Representative Carroll. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Carroll, do you seek recognition? Representative Catania, do you seek recognition?"

Catania: "Would the gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Catania: "Representative Tipword, you said this was in exactly the same it was when it went out of the House. I assume that means the F.E.P.C. Amendment is still in?"

Tipword: "No, I'll take... I'm sorry, it does take the F.E.P.C. Amendment out."

Catania: "Okay, I just wanted to get that cleared up. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall the House



adopt first conference committee report to Senate Bill 661. All in favor indicate by voting 'aye'; opposed by voting 'no'. Final Action. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120 'aye', 4 'nay' and the House does adopt first conference committee report to Senate Bill 661. 1496, Representative D'Arco. Representative Hart."

Hart: "Ah... Senate Bill 1496 is the ah... appropriation for the Judicial system. The ah... Amendments in the conference committee ah... restored the money that was ah... reduced in the House. It also kept the money that was added on for the various ah... substantive changes in the law that the House and the Senate had ah... enacted. Such as the increase in the salary of the ah... Supreme Court Clerk, \$2,500. The money for the increases in the ah... salaries for the court reporters up to \$19,000. The money for the increase ah... for the State to pay the entire salaries of the judges and other ah... matters and I would move for the adoption of the conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Will the gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Ryan: "Representative Hart, do I understand that you've put the \$1,000,000 in that was agreed to by the Judicial Department to take out?"

Hart: "Well, it was restored, that's right."

Ryan: "The total million?"

Hart: "The total of \$1,000,000, that's right."

Ryan: "On what justification?"

Hart: "Well the point of justification that ah... that the adjustments really were not agreed, you know, they were never agreed to by Judge Gulley. They were agreed to by the Senate, I mean, the House Appropriations staff on both sides of the aisle. He did not resist them, but he did feel that there would probably be, probably... needed to be, would need to be ah... supplemental appropriation in the event that some of the vacancies that exist in some of the ah... offices of the circuit and associate judges were filled and it was a calculated risk as to whether or not ah... that money probably would have to be requested in a supplemental appropriation, but in order to ah... get along, I guess you might say, ah... the Amendment was put on. Although it was



certainly not never agreed to by the administrator of the court system. It also ah... the House Amendment also eliminated the money for the interpreters and that was ah... restored in the Senate."

Ryan: "Well, ah... I understand that it was not an agreed cut, but certainly ah... Judge Gulley was willing to go along with somewhat considerably less than what he'd been offered and I'm baffled as to why now we need to put the full million back in."

Hart: "Well, ah..."

Ryan: "Right now, Representative Hart, as of noon today, at least, the General Assembly had appropriated an additional \$205 er... \$210,000,000 from the general revenue funds which is about 8.2% over the budget and ah... that's why I'm concerned about this."

Hart: "Well, I'm concerned about it too, but ah... the Amendment that was ah... put on in the House never was ah... necessarily satisfactory."

Ryan: "Well, I understand that and I know that. I know the Judge wasn't happy with it, but I thought he was willing to live with at least maybe half a million, but ah... if that's the way it is, that's the way it is."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt conference, first conference committee report to Senate Bill 1496. All in favor indicate by voting 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I've had my light flashing for quite a while. I did want to ask the Sponsor a question. Ah... Are we receding from the F.E.P.C. Amendment in this Bill also?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't have any idea. Representative Hart."

Hart: "Yes, we are."

Dyer: "Ah... Well, Mr. Speaker, may I just make a statement? I have been trying to get recognition for some time. I would just like to say that since the agreement to put this Amendment on the basic Bill, 3118 which would be part of the Finance Act, was not kept. I think it's a mistake for the House to recede from these F.E.P.C. Amendments when there are other Amendments on the Bill and it does not force any conference that isn't otherwise necessary."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 'aye' and 11 'nay'. The House does adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 1496. 477, Representative Lechowicz."



Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I move that the House concur in conference committee #1 to Senate Bill 477. What it did ah... the conference committee met last night and again today. It retains the Senate deductions of \$2.6 million from the department's operating budget. It retains additional House reduction totally \$1.5 million in the operating budget. It restores \$250,000 general revenue fund appropriations for the Illinois Information Service and adds \$1.2 million appropriation from the Capitol Development Bond Fund for portions of a downtown campus for Sangamon State University. I'd like to also point out to the Membership of the House that all 10 Members signed the conference committee and I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, I will."

Kane: "Could you tell us what the \$1.2 million is for?"

Lechowicz: "That basis of that Amendment is to take advantage of an opportunity of purchase of land in the building. The building is an office facility which is adequate to house state agencies and has been leased by the state agencies continuously since 1967. The acquisition cost of this property will be advertised by the fund appropriated to the user agencies for the rent in a period of approximately four years and according to ah... the Sangamon State University, they'd like to have a downtown campus and that's the purpose of the Amendment."

Kane: "How large, how large is the building?"

Lechowicz: "The building is located at 325 So. Fifth Street. I don't know the exact ah... loca... the size of the building, but according to the University, they'd like to have that facility for downtown campus."

Kane: "Mr. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Kane: "No, no, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: Oh, pardon me. Representative Kane."

Kane: "Could I address myself to the conference committee report?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what we have in this conference committee report is a sum of \$1.2 million to purchase the Herndon building on south Fifth Street. Now this is the first



time that this purchase has come up. It hasn't been included in, in the Bill in either the House or the Senate. The Herndon building is contains approximately 35,000 square feet and what the people who are selling the building are saying that it can be used for a downtown campus for Sangamon State University. Now at the present time, Sangamon State University is in the Leland building and occupies about 30,000 feet in the Leland building. But, Ladies and Gentlemen, let me dis-
 abuse you of one statement and that is that Sangamon State University does not plan on keeping 30,000 square feet in the downtown area. All of the planning that has been at Sangamon State University in the last two and three years is to reduce the downtown presence of Sangamon State. When the ah... Board of the Creditors came here ah.. earlier this year, one of the statements that was made to the ah... accrediting committee was that Sangamon State University was going to reduce its presence downtown and the accrediting coll... ah... Board commended this. They want to consolidate their campus out at the main campus because that's where the new library is being built and the programs are going to be consolidated out there. The long range planning for Sangamon State University calls for only 8,000 square feet of space in their downtown facility. Now the lease that we already have on the Leland building that Sangamon State already has runs through 1977 and by that time, we're not, Sangamon State University is not going to need 35,000 square feet for a downtown campus and Ladies and Gentlemen, what I'm saying here is that at the last minute we have a part of this conference committee report, \$1,200,000 to purchase a downtown building here in Springfield that's been vacant for a year under the guise of a downtown campus for Sangamon State University. That will not take place. Sangamon State University does not want that space downtown and I think that we ought to reject this conference committee report as long as that \$1.2 million is in there."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, ah... different objection to this conference committee report than what Representative Kane has which seems valid. It seems like somebody putting in a building. It almost smacks like there's a deal because I got an opposition to the conference committee report based on the Illinois Information Service being snuck back in."



The other night I made a speech and I, I thought the Membership of the House spoke very eloquently in its opposition to continuing a service that the taxpayers don't want, the taxpayers haven't asked for. Nobody has asked for and it seems like it sneaks back in all the time. Now in this conference committee report, there's quarter of a million dollars for Illinois Information Service and once again, I might point out there is over \$1,000,000 of taxpayers funds already paid for through the appropriation process of the various departments for the very same services. Now I don't know how much money this administration may need to cover up its inability to function, but if it needs another quarter of a million dollars to hoodwink the people, if they're thinking they're doing the peoples business, I say, 'no' to 'em. Not if at the same time, the Governor came before this General Assembly and asked to reduce services by 6%, but when it comes to the Illinois Information Service which doesn't do one damn thing for people except for the elected official of this, the Governor of this State, I'd say to you that you should not concur, not support this motion and let this conference committee, the next conference committee know we mean business when we say there's over \$1,000,000 already appropriated in the various agencies to give out all the misinformation this administration wants to give out that we don't need to spend another quarter million dollars of the taxpayers hard-earned money to pay for this kind of service. I ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Lauer: "Ah... Mr. Sponsor, is the Herndon building, the address that you gave, is that the space that is being vacated by the Illinois Office of Education ah... in their move to the new facility ah... here within a stone throw of the capitol complex?"

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, I don't know where the building is located. The only thing that was ah... brought to our attention that their feasibility study prepared by the Sangamon State University staff recommended that site and also Chris Vlahoplus, from the University, recommended that site. I don't know who's in that building right now. That's all I have, ah... the only information that I have."



JUN 30 1975

133.

Lauer: "Thank you..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative ..."

Lauer: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, if I may speak to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Lauer: "I don't where the Sponsor received his information. I take it ah... since he mentioned the name Vlahoplus that this is true. However, I have on information from the ah... Division of ah... Business Affairs and Planning of Sangamon State that Sangamon State does not wish to ah... wish this space. In fact, it would be impossible for Sangamon State to even consider using this space before 1978 if, in fact, at all they could ever use it because they do not think it would be suitable. Ladies and Gentlemen, this conference committee report is a ripoff on the people of the State. I don't know exactly what kind of a deal has been made, how Sangamon State got involved in it, but Sangamon State does not want that space nor, in fact, do they anticipate that they will be able to use it. I strongly recommend that there be a 'no' vote on this conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone, do you desire recognition? Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Huff: "Ah... Has this conference ah... report have any direct bearing with the ah... Department of General Services?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "That's exactly what this conference report deals with, the operating budget for General Services for 1976."

Huff: "Well, may I speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Huff: "Yesterday, I got up rather passionately and tried to explain why I had some reservations about ah... this particular agency and I just don't understand how the Department of General Services can see fit to sign a lease to ah... house two government agencies before the, before the lessor has any legal interest in the building and then willing to pay this man one and a half million more than the building that they're moving the agency out at a terrible disadvantage to people who



ah... are waiting as long as 8 to 14 weeks for their unemployment checks. I'm not a lawyer, but ah... what I read in this lease is absolutely shocking. For example, Department of General Services is not only going to pay the real estate tax for this building that they're moving in is really an albatross, a white elephant. Standard Oil couldn't even give the building away, but they're going to pay the, not only the real estate tax, but they're going to pay the landlord operating expense and for every dollar that the landlord spends in renovating the building, the State is going to spend three additional dollars. Now I've heard the word ripoff and as I understand it, this Ladies and Gentlemen, is a ripoff in which the administration is the 'ripor' and the taxpayers very definitely being the 'ripee' and I'm going to vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz to close."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the only thing I can say is that as far as the 'ripor' or the 'ripee', I would hope they'd read the conference committee report because when you're talking about a saving of over \$2.6 million in the, from the Senate and \$1.5 million in the House, I can't see whether the 'ripor' or the 'ripee'. Let me point out to you, yet, we funded the Illinois Information Service for \$250,000. They stand on the premise that no matter who's the Governor, a man should have an opportunity and I just recommend to my Democratic colleagues that when the Illinois Information Service was created underneath Governor Oglivie and in turn we tried to put one iota of a cut, there wasn't one Republican vote and may I also point out to cut this budget as it was submitted called for a request of \$454,000. The conference committee has recommended \$250,000 to fund that Illinois Information Service. I think it's proper and I think it should be adopted and let me also point out to you as far as the statements that were made on that respective building. All I have as far as the information has been submitted to me from Sangamon State University and they're telling me that they need, according to their reports, they want that building and that's what I'm going to go on and would encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt first conference committee report to Senate Bill 477. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed



vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, again I would urge a 'no' vote on this conference committee report. It has been said that Sangamon State University wants this building. That is absolutely not true. Sangamon State University has a 5 year lease at the Leland building until 1977. By that time, the new library will be built. By that time, the public affairs building will be built and the long range plans for Sangamon State University call fo consolidating ah... programs at their campus out by the lake and they intend to remain downtown in a space of only 8,000 square feet and they don't need a new building for that ah... for that ah... amount of space and I would urge a 'no' vote on this conference committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just to ah... enlighten Representative Huff just a little bit. I found out in an investigation ah... that was held up so long that we wouldn't find out too much a year or so ago that it doesn't make any difference whether the leasor may ever get any interest, the State of Illinois will, under the present Department of General Services, will enter into a lease with anybody even if they don't even have a contract to buy anything. So for that reason, I vote 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 73 'aye', 47 'no' and the House does not adopt first conference committee report to Senate Bill 477. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe the motion did not carry."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Lechowicz: "Just let it sit for a while."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 558, Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the ah... conference committee report recommends that the House recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 558 and I move the adoption of the conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, I'm wondering, we can't find the conference committee report. How long ago did it come out, sir, do you know?"



Could you just hold it for a few minutes. We have not seen it yet."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Spéaker, maybe Representative Schuneman can explain the conference committee report while we're waiting and that ah... I'd like to know exactly what the suggestions contain."

Schuneman: "Yes ah... Senate Bill 558 is the prepaid legal expense ah... Bill which would ah... make it possible to write prepaid legal expense insurance in the State of Illinois and the conflict ah.. revolves around the question as to whether or not the insurers under such policy would be permitted to choose counsel of their own choice or if counsel would be chosen for them ah... by the ah... parties who are establishing the group insurance coverage and ah... the Senate... Well, first of all, the Senate Bill provided so-called open choice and ah... the House Amendment provided that it's possible to set up such a plan and allow that choice to be made by the group that is setting up the plan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative... Please give the gentleman order."

Schuneman: "Now the Senate has refused to concur in our Amendment and it is the recommendation of the conference committee ah... 9 out of the 10 members of the conference committee have recommended that the House to recede from that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was the one Member of the conference committee that refused to sign. Now let me explain to the Members of the House why I refused to sign. This Bill authorizes insurance companies in the State of Illinois to underwrite group, prepaid, legal plan. That's a program whereby employers, unions, or other groups can get together and set up a program where people will pay ah... into a fund that will reimburse the members of the group for legal expenses in retaining of lawyers services. Representative Schuneman and the conference committee is urging us, urging the House to adopt a program that would prohibit insurance underwriting of what is called 'close panel plans'. Now there are two types of plans and I hate to take up the time of the House, but it is a little complicated and I want you to know what you're voting on. There are two types of plans in... in prepaid legal pgrams. There's



what's called the open plan. That is where members of the group pay in premiums and they can go out and hire any lawyer that they want. A closed plan is where the group that's setting up the program contracts with a specific group of lawyers, it can be one, it can be five, it can be a number of lawyers throughout the State, specific lawyers to render these services. Now the Bar Association had been debating the advisability and the ethics of both closed plans and open plans and the Bar Association has not taken a position that would restrict either type of plan. Right now, you could write prepaid legal programs for either..."

Speaker Redmond: "Bring your remarks to a close please, Representative Berman."

Berman: "For either closed panels or open panels. This conference committee report would prohibit closed panels and I don't think that we should legislate at this time the prohibition against the insurance underwriting of closed panels. If you reject the conference committee report, they'll probably come back and not dictate which plan must be used and I would urge that we not legislatively dictate in this very new kind of program what panels must be used. I would urge a 'no' vote on the motion to adopt."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "If I, if I may, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to respond to the comments of the last speaker. It is the feeling of the majority of the conference committee that the choice of legal counsel should be left up to the people paying the premium for the insurance and if the choice of legal counsel should not be made for those people by the group that establishes the insurance plan. Namely, perhaps an employer or perhaps a union group or some other organization that establishes such insurance plans. So we believe that the free choice of legal counsel on the part of the person buying or paying the insurance premiums is a matter of freedom for the people of the State of Illinois that they should retain and I would respectfully urge that the House do recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 558."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 555. All in favor indicate by... 558, indicate by voting 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted



who wished? Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? All voted who wished? Takes 89 votes. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 62 'aye' and 45 'no' and the motion fails and the House does not adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 558. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm handling ah... this Bill for another ah... Representative who is not present today and I, I, my own inclination would be to table the Bill, but I think that I should at this time move for ah... second conference committee."

Speaker Redmond: "I think the motion is that the House do not adopt the first conference committee report. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the first conference committee report and the request for a second conference committee is made. Representative Schuneman on 610."

Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, ah... Senate Bill 610 is a Bill that would permit an insurance company to write group professional liability insurance for teachers. This Bill was passed out of the Senate and it passed out of the House. The Senate found some objections to the language which was used in House Amendment #2. They were concerned that the Amendment which we attached might permit insuring illegal acts on the part of teachers. Since that was never the intent of this legislation, the conference committee would ah... insert new language and that language would state that such coverage shall not include intentional acts or omissions in violation of any law or court order. Now I would move the adoption of the conference report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 610. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 130 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 610. On the first supplemental Calendar appears Senate Bill 858, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 858 relates to Child Care Act and the conference committee report recommends that the Senate concur in House Amendment which ah... takes care a situation in which they are changing the licensure office under the



Department of Children and Family Services and recommends that the House recede from House Amendment #2 and this Amendment specifies that the word 'A' means one permit can be granted ah... until a child care agency meets the qualifications for licensure. It makes one other change. They've included in the passage of the Bill, the word 'Department of Mental Health'. It merely adds 'and developmental disabilities' to those words so there'd be a correct description of that department in the Bill. I move for concurrence with the first conference committee report on Senate Bill 858."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt first conference committee report to Senate Bill 858. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 129 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 858."

(con't on next page)



Speaker Redmond: "In the regular House calendar under concurrence appears House Bill 2435. Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. I would move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2435. This Amendment has now become known as the party enrollment amendment as it would require that voters may enroll in a party of their choice at any time except for a period of 31 days whenever there is a primary election. This is achieved by limiting the enrollment period for primary voters to the same period now in the Statutes for the closing of registration from 28 days prior to the primary to 2 days after the primary election. The Democratic National Party at the '72 convention required a system of party enrollment as a condition for participating in National Party affairs. This requirement was embodied in the preliminary call to the '76 national convention as approved by the Democratic National Committee on March 21, 1975. I'd certainly be willing to answer any questions. I'd move for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to oppose concurrence in House Bill 2435. While it is true that this is in much better shape than Senate Bill 18 was when it was introduced in the Senate. I feel that we have some real problems with this type of a Bill and the reason we do is because it moves in a direction opposite from that which the people have said they want. And they have said, we don't want to be fettered in voting in primary elections. More and more people are not voting in primary elections because they resent, for one thing, having to declare their party affiliation when they call for their ballot. This is going a step farther. It's going to make them come earlier and say, I am going to vote in this primary or at that primary; and I think we're going to be discouraging people from voting in primary elections. And we should be doing things to turn that around, encouraging them to vote in primary elections. A poll of my district shows a strong support for a Bill which was introduced by Representative Sangmeister which calls for an open primary. We are just closing them more



than they ought to be. With this Amendment, we're regressing, ladies and gentlemen. I've, I have stood on this floor and opposed many Bills that have come through the Elections Committee and I've been accused of trying to inhibit people from registering and voting. I am not trying to do that and by opposing this, I'm going to try to encourage people to vote. I'd just like to close with this; public office is not a gold watch for the party faithful to be awarded when they ah... have twenty years of service to the party. They and get public office. Public office is very valuable and ought to go to those who are qualified to serve in that office and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the House. I rise ah... to oppose concurrence in this Amendment, and I do so for, at least, two reasons. Although, there are many more. Number one, this Senate Amendment was never germane to the Bill to which it was offered and, although, the Speaker has said earlier in the day that that is not a valid grounds for objection to a vote on concurrence, it certainly seems to me, valid grounds for rejecting the Amendment when it comes back over to the House. When this Bill went over to the Senate, it extended the notice time for meetings of State, the State Central Committees from five days to ten days. It comes back with an Amendment that would have a profound and significant effect on the conduct of primary elections in this State. Now that... And that seems to me a very unfortunate, unfair and a good reason to reject the ah... Amendment. Secondly, there are only four states in this country that impose these kinds of pre-registration requirements on voters before they can vote in party primaries. I asked the Legislative Council to research the issue for me. They have done so and they indicate to me in this memorandum that in only four states in this country is this kind of pre-registration requirement imposed on primary voters. Now if the maker of this concurrence motion is correct that in order to send delegates to the Democratic National Convention in 1976, you have to have a pre-registration requirement, then I'd say there's going to an awful lot of states, in fact, 46 or 45, if we



adopt this Amendment, that won't be sending any such delegates. So, I don't think that's a very convincing argument. What this Amendment would do would be to lock voters out of primary par... primary voting. It would say to the party officials, to the people who are ah..., who are elected within the party that they should select the candiddates and not the voters. I think that's wrong. I think what we need more than anything else today is more participation in the political process and not less. And I would strongly urge a 'no' vote on this concurrence motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, none believes more strongly than I that one who is ashamed to publicly proclaim his party affiliation should switch parties. Nor do I concur with those I.V.I. types that say that the voting of a straight ticket is the intellectual abdication, but I do want to say that despite these observations and the further observation that this type of thinking against party line voting has brought the two-party system almost to its death bed and has destroyed entirely any concept of party responsibility in the State of Illinois. Because of recent disasters, the thing that the Republican party needs least, at this time, is to lock out the would-be converts and those who would return home. We must proselyte to survive. We mustn't freeze out people with imaginal line type of thinking. We need to lure them back home. We need to stand there and say to all who have strayed from the fold, 'welcome home brother'. And we Republicans should watch the..., we should watch the Walker-Daley fight from afar and enjoy it immensely. They should have their own rules, arrived at in their own party caucus as to how they conduct that inert eastern war and we hope it'll be an irresolvable deadlock and mutually self-destructive. Now I did want to say, in regards to that fight, that I observe here on the floor the Governor's arm twisters applying the same reverse English opposition to this Bill that they did to remap. For they know, as do you, that if this Bill does... doesn't pass, that they'll be confronted in the spring of 1976 with a great many Republicans and Independents that they come



over into that primary to vote, it'll be to correct a horrendous error that they made in 1972. Be that as it may, we Republicans have a building cause that we must undertake if we're going to restore the grand 'ole party to the grandeur of Eisenhower and to the competency of Ogilvie and the way we do it is to keep the door open. And the way we keep the door open is to vote 'no' and we'll see you all in 1976 where the clustered are, in the winners circle."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I listened to the golden throat of Roscoe Cunningham and ah... just to make sure that there are no mis-impressions about ah... what's going on here, ah... I want, at least, him to know that as far as I'm concerned, this is not a Walker-Daley fight. I suspect, for instance, that the Governor is strong enough on his own merit that there aren't too many Republicans that are going to cross over and vote for him in the primary in the next election. So, I don't see it as that at all. I do see this Bill as one of those fire-side chat Bills that we ought to sit down and talk about ah... in October or November and I hope that the downstate Democrats and the, my colleagues on the other side of the aisle will give us a 'present' vote on that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "This post-Watergate era is not the time to discourage participation in party politics. This Bill will appeal to those who can control enough of their electorate to get renominated and renominated and renominated. It will also appeal to those legislators who are insecure. To those politicians who are afraid to more people vote than themselves, their families, their patronage workers and friends, they should vote against, they should vote against... they should vote in favor, they should vote against. Anyway, if you're not afraid of the people, for Pete's sake, vote against this Bill. In my opinion, this Bill is going to kill whatever remnant remains of the Republican party. And if that's what we want, we certainly ought to vote in favor of it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."



Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Speaker, in closing... Excuse me, in closing, I would like to answer some of the comments that were made by previous speakers. One mentioned that our goal should be for open primaries if we are interested in attracting a greater number of party participations. Speaker, could I have a little order, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Give the gentleman order."

Kozubowski: "One of the speakers mentioned that if we're interested in party participation, we should go the route of the open primary system. Well, I would suggest to the members of this Body that those States who have a so-called open primary system, namely our neighbor, Wisconsin, has shown that the number of voters participating has decreased rather than increased with the open primary system. Also, I might mention that this Bill, as amended 2435, would grandfather any voter who has participated in a party primary election since March of 1968. So those who are afraid that we're going to limit party participation, that we're going to close people out from voting at the polls, are wrong. And I would suggest to them that they look to this Bill. That it is an honest effort on behalf of the Democratic party to comply with the rules of the National party to insure that the delegates which the people from our own Congressional districts elect will be seated at that convention. I would ask for a favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2435. All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Pierce to explain his vote. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the gentleman asked for questions. No one was recognized that wanted to ask him any questions. It's too late now. Let me tell you what I think this Bill does. It means, when we go out to register people this summer, young people, and they



come out and register and then they go to vote next March in the primary, they won't be able to vote because this summer there'll be no party registration. They'll have registered and by the time we get around to the effective date which you wouldn't answer when it was. I think maybe October 1 ah... the effective date of the law with the primary ah... filing period in December, ending in November. Those young people who registered this summer will go to the polls in March and not be able to vote. Now I see we have the votes to defeat this. We're tired of being dictated to by the Democratic National Committee. Tell them to leave our laws alone. They've fouled us up enough. You were at that convention. They were for homosexual marriage and everything else. Let's not have the Democratic National Committee dictate to us. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I don't think it's fitting, I don't think it's fitting to demean any party. I think we should just stick to the issue. The issue is whether we want to have that type of legislative or not. Not whether it's Democrat or Republican. And I, for one, have the highest respect for the sponsor. I cannot agree with this Bill, in explaining my vote, because I am in favor..."

Speaker Redmond: "Bring your remarks to a close. Representative Kozubowski, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kozubowski: "Speaker, on a point of personal privilege. For the information of the gentleman from Lake who spoke last, I would suggest to him that I was not a delegate to the last National Convention."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, when I first saw this Bill, my first reaction was, what the 'hell' is this? Well now I see what it is, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, this was very obviously a shell Bill that was put together so an Amendment could be effective to bring this Bill back over in the manner that it's in. This is a bad Bill, Mr. Speaker, and for all those of you that have fought hard to get registration by mail and registration by precinct committeemen, if you vote for this



Bill all your efforts will go down in vain because all of those people that you registered would not be able to vote. Don't you think for one minute that this Bill is designed specifically to conform with the National Democratic Committees', ah... National Democratic Conventions' mandate. That's a lot of 'horsepoopey'. This Bill is designed for the sole purpose of locking people out and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 42 'aye', 91 'no'. The gentleman's motion fails."

Shea: "On the order of nonconcurrence, Senate Bill 245, Mr. Luft. Take that out of the record. On supplemental #3. On the order of conference committee reports, supplemental #3 is House Bill 816, Mr. Mugalian. Is Mr. Mugalian on the floor? Take that out of the record. 1092, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Ah... Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1092 of the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Registration and Education and the conference committee report ah... takes out ah... some ah... approximate 6% of the budget ah... you know, more in line with the ah... the Senate ah... version of the Bill as it came over to the House and ah... completely eliminates the consumer advocates program, a new program. It also puts in some money for the ah... real estate salesmen license procedures that we've passed in the last session of the legislature and I would move for the concurrence in the adoption of the conference committee report on House Bill 1092."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #1 on House Bill 1092. On the question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. This takes 89 votes. This is final action. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 130 'ayes', 1 'nay', no Members 'present' and the House does adopt conference committee report #1 on House Bill 1092. Hudson 'aye'. Collins 'no'. Walsh 'aye'. Duff 'aye'. Willer 'aye'. Deuster 'aye'. Palmer 'aye'. On the conference committee reports appears House Bill 1314 and on that the gentleman from Madison, Mr.



Byers, is he here?"

Byers: "Has that report been distributed?"

Shea: "I think so. Have you got that? Has that been distributed ah... 1314? While we're waiting for that 1968, Mr. Berman on the Insurance Code."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1968 is the ah... commission and the joint underwriting association proposal to address ourselves to the ah... medical malpractice crisis. The conference committee report addresses itself to only one..."

Shea: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "To the best of my knowledge, Mr. Speaker, the conference report has not been distributed."

Shea: "Has this been distributed? All right, take it out of the record. On the order of conference committee reports, appears Senate Bill 98. Mr. McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the summary of the conference committee report #1 on Senate Bill 98 retains the 300 population division in counties over 300,000 to rotate political majorities of election judges by precincts. The precinct rotations would be odd and even numbered. The precinct designation and appointment election judges should be by County Clerk with approval of the County Board except in counties over 1,000,000 where responsibility remains with the County Board and I would move for the adoption of conference committee report #1."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of conference committee report #1 to Senate Bill 98. On the question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor... Well, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the most blatantly political proposal that we've had this session. I don't know that it's so terribly important whether we have two or three Republican or Democrat election judges in the various precincts, but if we do, we ought to be somewhat uniform. Now as the law is currently those election authorities that are organized in one way alternate between precincts. This is the way they do it in Chicago and, of course, in Chicago it doesn't make a great deal of difference because they can't find any election judges, but we can find lots of them in the suburbs,



Mr. Speaker, and in the suburbs we select a majority of election judges on the basis of how the precinct went the preceding election for Governor and seem to think that's kind of a good idea, but if that's not a good idea, Mr. Speaker, for DuPage County and the Cook County suburbs and, I think, Lake County, then we ought to have it applied for all of the State and not just those counties of more than 300,000 people. It's a little bit like the civil service proposal that we talked about the other day that was narrowed down to apply just simply to DuPage County. In that way, you eliminated those Democrat opponents that you have downstate. You didn't address the problem in Chicago where there are temporaries. It's something that is designed to attack solely and explicitly Republicans in the suburban area of Cook County and in the surrounding collar counties and we're getting a little bit tired of it and I ask you, Mr. Speaker, and all of you who have any justice at all in your soul to vote 'no' on this conference committee report."

Shea: "Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I couldn't agree more with the previous speaker. This certainly is a blatant Replic... er... move to attack Republican voters. Now I don't know how many times I've listened to you on the other side of the aisle tell us if it's good for part of the State, it's good for the whole State and then here you come with a conference committee report that says only in counties over 300,000 will this apply. Well this is ridiculous. If you want to give us this, when I look at this conference committee report signed by 6 people, all Democrats, trying to shove it down the voters of Repub... the voters in Republican counties. All right, let's be honest with ourselves. If it's good for the big counties, it's good for the small ones. I suggest that we reject this conference committee report. It's outrageous, it's blatantly political and deserves no consideration other than a 'no' vote."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor say 'aye'. All opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin, to close. Who's..."

McPartlin: "Mr. Speaker, who's..."



Shea: "Hold on, Mr. McPartlin. Mr. Deuster, what's your point of order?"

Deuster: "Well, my point of order is I've been looking through Representative Schlickman's files and mine and I don't see the report. I do not believe it has been distributed."

Shea: "It's been out for a number of days, sir. All right, close, Mr. McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, as far as the conference committee report is just that this has been debated before and has to do with technical changes in the Bill. So I would move for adoption of conference committee report #1 to Senate Bill 98."

Shea: "The question is shall the conference committee report be adopted. It is final action and requires 89 votes. All voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, to explain his vote."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to remind the Members that we had 89 votes on this last time. If this goes over 89 votes then there's Members pushing other switches. We're going to have a verification."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from ah... Lake, Mr. Pierce, do you wish to explain your vote?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is a good report. What it does is create uniformity in the larger counties between ah... having a commission and having a County Clerk. In odd numbered precincts, one party would have a majority. In even numbered precincts, the other party would have a majority. It's the method used where there's a Board of Election Commissioner throughout the State. It's putting the counties in the large, and I have a Democrat County Clerk, so I'm not concerned with the County Clerk being unfair. But it is fair to have an even number of judges. Now if this works well in the big, then I'm sure the small counties will want to adopt it next time and I urge everyone who wants a fair election to vote for this Bill. This Bill only creates equity, half the precincts will have Republican judges and a few Democrats. Half the precincts will 3 Democratic judges and 2 Republicans on an odd and even basis. It's a fair Bill and should be supported and I vote 'aye'."



Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The gentleman, er... the lady from Lake, Miss Reed, to explain her vote."

Reed: "I simply, in explaining my vote, would like to know how we're achieving equity when we zero in on Lake and DuPage Counties only, Mr. Chairman."

Shea: "The gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn, the Minority Leader, to explain his vote."

Washburn: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. In explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker, you've certainly taken a long time in calling for a Roll Call on this. You've delayed and delayed and delayed. I thought we were trying to ah... work towards adjournment tonight, but if that's the way you want to conduct the business, well we conduct it the same way. In its proper time, I want to call for a verification of this Roll Call."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Just wanted to make sure that you'd recognize Representative Totten for the purposes of a verification which he requested."

Shea: "I don't think I will. I will recognize the Minority Leader, who's requested it."

Walsh: "Oh, okay."

Shea: "On this question there are 93 'aye', 65 'nays' and 1 Member voting 'present'. The Minority Leader, Mr. Washburn, asks for a verification of the affirmative vote. Mr. McPartlin, the Sponsor, asks for a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees please, sir."

Jack O'Brien: "Arnell, Capuzi, Deavers, Epton, Fleck, Gaines, Griesheimer, Hirschfeld, Him Houlihan, Kosinski, Laurino, McGrew, Mudd, Nardulli..."

Shea: "The gentleman... Pardon me, sir. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Laurino, wishes to be recorded 'aye'."

Jack O'Brien: "McGrew, Mudd, Nardulli, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Simms, Stearney. That's all."

Shea: "The gentleman from Grundy, the Minority Leader, wishes a poll of the absen... er... I mean, verification of the affirmative vote. Mr. Clerk, would you call the affirmative vote, sir."

Jack O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Birchler, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Byers, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparella,



Chapman."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Change me to 'aye' from 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Mr. Jaffe wishes to go from 'no' to 'aye'. All right, during the verification and after looking at the board and showing it to the Minority, Assistant Minority, Mr. Walsh, might I ask the Members on the Democratic side of the aisle and those Democrats that are to the one side of the aisle if they would please be in their seats during the verification. Mr. Bradley asks leave to be verified. Is there objection, Mr. Minority Leader? Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "You're recorded as voting 'present', I believe."

Geo-Karis: "I heard it, but I didn't... entailed my county in a little census there. So I'd like to be recorded as 'no'."

Shea: "Miss Geo-Karis votes 'no'."

Jack O'Brien: "Choate, Craig, D'Arco, Darrow, Davis, DiPrima, Downs, John Dunn, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, Huff, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Kornowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Londrigan, Lucco, Luft, Lundy, Madigan, Madison, Mann, Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McClain, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Mugalian, Mulcahey, O'Daniel, Patrick, Pierce, Pouncey, Rayson, Richmond, Sangmeister, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Schraeder, Sharp, Shea, Stone, Stubblefield, Taylor, Terzich, Tipword, VanDuyne, VonBoeckman, Washington, White, Willer, Williams, Younge, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Mr. Minority Leader, do you have questions of the affirmative Roll Call?"

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Now, might I ask you, sir. Excuse... sir, if those... Some of the people on your side that are standing there having that conference, could sit down a little bit and we'll proceed."

Washburn: "Ah... E.M. Barnes."

Shea: "E.M. Barnes, Mr. E.M. Barnes. How is he recorded, sir?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the roll. Mr. Clerk, what does the affirmative count



start with?"

Jack O'Brien: "Before we took E.M. Barnes off, it's 85 'ayes'."

Shea: "85?"

Jack O'Brien: "Er... excuse me, 95."

Shea: "95 'ayes' and Mr. Barnes comes off. Are there any further questions?"

Washburn: "I thought, Mr. Speaker, you were trying to save some time of the House here. Ah... Beatty."

Shea: "Mr. Beatty is in his seat, sir."

Washburn: "Berman. Boyle."

Shea: "Mr. Boyle, is Mr. Boyle on the floor. How is he recorded, sir?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call, sir."

Washburn: "Brandt."

Shea: "Mr. Brandt. Is Mr. Brandt on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call, sir."

Washburn: "Capparelli."

Shea: "Capparelli, is Mr. Capparelli on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Mr. Capparelli on the floor? Take him off the Roll Call."

Washburn: "Mr. Choate."

Shea: "Mr. Choate, is Mr. Choate on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Washburn: "D'Arco."

Shea: "The gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane. Turn on Mr. Kane on at Mr. Keller's desk."

Kane: "How am I recorded?"

Shea: "How is Mr. Kane recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Kane: "Vote me 'aye'."

Shea: "Change Mr. Kane from 'no' to 'aye'."

Washburn: "DiPrima."

Shea: "Mr. DiPrima. Mr. DiPrima, is he on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Washburn: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, we called Mr. D'Arco. Did you act on that one?"

Shea: "Mr. D'Arco, is Mr. D'Arco on the floor? How is he recorded, sir?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the roll."

Washburn: "Mr. Flinn."

Shea: "Mr. Flinn, Mr. Flinn. Is he on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Washburn: "Mr. Garmisa."

Shea: "Mr. Garmis, Mr. Garmisa on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Washburn: "Mr. D.L. Houlihan."

Shea: "Mr. Houlihan, D.L. is right by his seat there, sir."

Washburn: "Mr. Huff."

Shea: "Mr. Huff, Mr. Huff. Is Mr. a... is Mr. Huff on the floor? He's right by his seat, sir."

Washburn: "Emil Jones."

Shea: "Jones, Mr. Jones from Sangamon, sir?"

Washburn: "Emil Jones."

Shea: "Emil Jones. Is Mr. Jones here? Mr. Emil Jones, how is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Washburn: "Keller."

Shea: "Kelly?"

Washburn: "Keller."

Shea: "Mr. Keller, Mr. Keller is in the back, sir."

Washburn: "Now Kelly."

Shea: "Mr. Kelly is in his seat, sir."

Washburn: "Laurino."

Shea: "Mr. Laurino, is Mr. Laurino here? How is Mr. Laurino recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Mr. Laurino, I am informed, is in the nurses quarters, sir. He did vote 'aye' from the floor. Would you want us to get him?"



Washburn: "We'll verify him again. We'll verify him again, he may be back by then. Leon."

Shea: "Mr. Leon is in his seat."

Washburn: "Maragos."

Shea: "Mr. Maragos is in his seat, sir."

Washburn: "Marovitz."

Shea: "Mr. Marovitz is in his seat, sir."

Washburn: "Merlo."

Shea: "Mr. Merlo. Is Mr. Merlo on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is voted 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Washburn: "Mr. McClain."

Shea: "Mr. McClain. Mr. McClain, how is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call. Could we verify Mr. Laurino now, sir, and let him go back to the nurses?"

Washburn: "Yes, ah... Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Okay."

Washburn: "Mugalian."

Shea: "Mr. Mugalian. Is Mr. Mugalian on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Washburn: "Patrick."

Shea: "Mr. Patrick, is Mr. Patrick here? Mr. Patrick on the floor? The gentleman is in his seat, sir."

Washburn: "Rayson."

Shea: "Mr. Rayson, Mr. Rayson on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Washburn: "Terzich."

Shea: "Terzich, is Mr. Terzich on the floor? How is Mr. Terzich recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Washburn: "I can't see, Mr. Speaker, whether Mr. Taylor is back there or not."

Shea: "I assure you, sir, Mr. Taylor is there with his lovely bride."



Washburn: "Is Schisler on the floor?"

Shea: "Who, sir?"

Washburn: "Representative Schisler."

Shea: "Mr. Schisler, sir, I think is in his seat."

Washburn: "Matijevich."

Shea: "Matijevich, is Mr. Matijevich on the floor? He's by Mr. Giorgi, sir."

Washburn: "Mr. Byers."

Shea: "Mr. Byers is in his seat, sir."

Washburn: "And we verified Mr. McClain."

Shea: "Mr. McClain is off the Roll Call, sir."

Washburn: "Downs."

Shea: "Mr. Downs. Mr. Downs is in the back, sir."

Washburn: "I believe no other questions ah..., Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "You have no further questions, sir? All right, Mr. E.M. Barnes has returned to the floor and he seeks recognition. What do you want, Mr. Barnes?"

E.M. Barnes: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "You were taken off the Roll Call, sir."

E.M. Barnes: "Vote me 'aye'."

Shea: "Mr. Barnes votes 'aye'. Mr. Emil Jones seeks recognition. What do you seek recognition for, Mr. Jones."

Emil Jones: "How am I recorded?"

Shea: "How is Mr. Jones recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman was taken off the Roll Call."

Emil Jones: "Vote me 'aye'."

Shea: "Mr. Jones wishes to be voted 'aye'. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa, seek recognition. Turn Mr. Garmisa on, please."

Garmisa: "How am I recorded?"

Shea: "How is Mr. Garmisa recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman was taken off the Roll Call."

Garmisa: "Would you be kind enough to put me back on, please."

Shea: "Mr. Garmisa, how do you wish to be put back on."

Garmisa: "With the green light."

Shea: "Mr. Garmisa is 'aye'. The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, seeks recognition."



Choate: "Ah... Was I removed from the Roll Call, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman was removed from the Roll Call."

Choate: "Am I now on the Roll Call?"

Shea: "No, sir."

Choate: "May I be on the Roll Call."

Shea: "Yes, sir."

Choate: "Would you vote me 'aye'."

Shea: "Mr. Choate votes 'aye'. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brandt."

Brandt: "Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman was removed from the Roll Call."

Brandt: "Vote me 'aye'."

Shea: "Mr. Brandt votes 'aye'. Gentleman from Cook, er... from Bureau,
Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded, sir?"

Shea: "How is Mr. Mautino recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The, the gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Mautino: "Thank you very much."

Shea: "Take the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk. On this question, on this question there are 87 'ayes'. There are 87 'ayes', 65 'nays', 1 Member voting 'present' and the gentleman's motion fails. The gentleman from McClean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted you to, notify you, sir, that ah... Mr. Mugalian asked me to handle 816 for him if you're ready to call it."

Shea: "On the order of conference committee reports, appears conference committee report 816."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House does adopt conference committee report #1 on House Bill 816. What actually happened here, the Senate receded from Amendment #1 and the House receded from Amendment, from House Amendment #1. The House Amendment deleted about \$15,000, went over to the Senate, they deleted \$76,000 which was a 10% cut which included the \$15,000 we had deleted, but we receded from both of those Amendments and what we've really done was, the third part of it is to delete a 6% cut in the conference committee report on the appropriation for the Department of



Financial Institutions and I move the adoption of conference committee report #1 to House Bill 816."

Shea: "The gentleman moves that the House do adopt conference committee report #1 on House Bill 816. All those in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay' and on the question, the gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this was one of the conference report that I was on and I signed the report. I don't know when ah... Representative Bradley had his report, but I had a very fine session with Mel Sager, who is one of the advisors from the other side of the aisle, and he explained this Department to me at some length and I'm indebted to him and publicly express my indebtedness for his kindness in instructing me about it. The reductions are, as represented by Representative Bradley, but there's one point that we all, we watch on this side all the time and I would report to ah... my conferees that we, only one new job will be added by reason of these changes. So I think it's a very, it's a necessary job. It's in the office of ah... of ah.. property, abandoned property and probably brings in more money to the State of Illinois than it costs. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Shea: "All those in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'no'. This is final action and takes 89 votes. All voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 128 'aye', no 'nays', 2 Members voting 'present' and the House does adopt conference committee report #1 on House Bill 816. Mr. Kane, are we ready on 1303, sir?"

Kane: "That went through about 5 hours ago."

Shea: "Well, that's what you call up to date. Mr. Washington, are you ready on 510, sir? Mr. Berman, which one? 1968, sir?"

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to adopt ah... conference committee report #1 on House Bill 1968. Ah... 1968 as we started to say before is the Bill that sets up the malpractice ah... commission and the pool, called the Joint Underwriting Association, to guarantee that medical providers, doctors, hospitals, other types of medical providers in the State of Illinois will have a source of medical malpractice insurance. The conference committee addressed itself to only one area of discrepancy in the Bill and that is the area dealing with what method is to be used



if the pool, the Joint Underwriting Association, ah... has losses that exceed its income. I think I ought to take a moment to explain, first of all, how the pool ah... will operate. If the Depart... the Director of the Department of Insurance finds that private underwriters, private insurance companies that write malpractice insurance is not available to medical providers in the State of Illinois, he has the authority under this Bill to set up this pool, the J.U.A.-Joint Underwriting Association. The premiums that will be charged by the J.U.A. will be based upon actuarially sound basis so that a doctor who's paying an insurance premium today will pay probably the same or slightly higher premium to the J.U.A. In addition, there is a one-third surcharge which is charged by the J.U.A. If the premium that's charged plus the one-third overcharge is not sufficient to cover the losses under the malpractice coverage, then the question is how will the J.U.A. be reimbursed. Under the original Bill, there was a provision whereby the insurance companies that participate in the J.U.A. would have the right to take a direct offset, a direct credit against either the state income tax or their state privilege tax to offset..."

Shea: "Mr. Berman, at the request of the Speaker, will you please take this Bill out of the record?"

Berman: "Sure."

Shea: "I want to do one thing. Mr. Lechowicz on House Bill 477. Mr. Lechowicz moves that the..."

Lechowicz: "House do not concur with conference committee report #1 and a second conference committee be reconvened."

Shea: "Mr. Berman moves that the House do, er... Mr. Lechowicz moves that the House refuse to adopt conference committee report #1 to House, er... Senate Bill 477 and a second conference committee be reconvened. All in favor say 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Clerk will so report. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, we have received an anonymous phone call or somebody at the State Capitol switchboard has said that there will be a bomb to go off some time between 8:00 and 8:30. So at the insistence of the State Police, this House will stand in recess until 9 o'clock. I might also announce and I hope this goes over to the State Office Building that they did not mention which one of the buildings in the Capitol Complex the bomb would go off in. So would



those people in the State Office Building please clear the State Office Building until 9 o'clock p.m. Messages from the Senate, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment # 1 and 2 of the House of Representatives to a Bill of the following title, to wit. Senate Bill 248."

Shea: "Hold, hold on. Mr. Washburn, Mr. Washburn, would you come to the Speaker's office, sir, if you have a moment."

Jack O'Brien: "They got 15 minutes. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, to wit. House Bill 2476 together with an Amendment. Passed by the Senate as amend June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate acceded to a request of the House of Representatives to the second conference committee to consider the differences of the two houses in regard to House Amendments # 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 649. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Shea: "Might I ask the Members if they would please take themselves, their families and their guests out of the building. The State Police are about to sweep the building and I'm asking you would you please take yourselves and your family from the building."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives the passage of the Bill of the following title, to wit. House Bill 1342 together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as amended June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

RECESS TO NINE O'CLOCK - BOMB THREAT

Shea: "Your kids ate all the pizza. Who's that traipsing behind you, do you disassociate him? The order of concurrences appears House Bill 348, Mr. Beaupre. Mr. Beaupre asks leave to adopt the conference committee report with the last unanimous Roll-Call. The..."

Unknown: "Objection."



Shea: "Leave is granted and that's it."

Unknown: "Objection being heard."

Shea: "I understand upon our return that the gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, would like a quorum call and that is what he says we ought to pay the per diem off of and he asks nobody to have their switch pulled. Turn Mr. Schraeder on back at Mr. ah... Pouncey's mike."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I just went through a dye since we left. I had a little bleach job, but that's quite all right. Ah... Mr. Pouncey and I would like..."

Shea: "Can you take the cup off the mike and we could hear a little better. Now would you care to go through that..."

Schraeder: "I really wasn't calling you names. Mr. Pouncey and myself would like quorum call."

Shea: "And you wish that nobody would push anybody else's switches."

Schraeder: "Just push your own."

Shea: "And we pay the per diem allowance off that Roll Call. Houlihan says he objects on behalf of himself and the other ones."

Schraeder: "Who's he? Oh, D.L."

Shea: "The hour having reached 9 o'clock p.m., the House is now in order. Are there any messages from the Senate, Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "No messages."

Shea: "Is there another Calendar?"

Jack O'Brien: "No Calendars."

Shea: "On the order of Speaker's table appears Senate Bill 248 on non-concurrence. On that question, the gentleman from Tazwell, Mr. Luft."

Luft: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the House do not recede from Amendments 1 and 2 and would ask for an appointment of a conference committee."

Shea: "The gentleman from Tazwell, Mr. Luft, on Senate Bill 248 moves that the House do not recede from Amendments # 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 248 and asks for the appointment of a conference committee. On the question, is there any discussion? All those in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. In the opinoin of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from Amendments # 1 and 2 and ask for the appointment of a conference committee. The order of Committee reports appears Senate, er... House Bill 1303 and on that question, the



gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "What order are we on? We adopted the conference committee report some time ago."

Shea: "Did we take care of personnel? About 5 hours, 6 hours..."

Kane: "6 hours ago now, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "All right."

Kane: "I would make the same motion if ah... you would prefer and I would go around and push the buttons."

Shea: "Mr. Keller, Mr. Keller on the Second Calendar or Supplemental List #2 appears Senate Bill 1297. What's your pleasure on that, sir?"

Keller: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to ah... accept, er... adopt ah... this here conference committee report on Senate Bill 1297 and ah... all it does is correct technical language. What this does is just requires posting in the office where there's going to be civil service exams coming up and that just clarifies where this stuff's supposed to be posted."

Shea: "Well, why don't you hold that motion for just a few minutes, sir. Might I remind our guests in the gallery there is no picture taking in the House, sir. The House will be at ease for a few minutes. For what reason does the gentleman from Effingham arise, to have his picture taken?"

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, ah... I'll show, if anything that you're worried about in this budget, that there's no ghost hidden in this here deal in any way, shape, or form."

Shea: "We hope not."

Bradley: "The order of concurrence... The House will be in order. On the order of concurrence appears House Bill 2476. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just prior to adjournment, the House did pass the conference committee report on Senate Bill 1299, er... House Bill 1299. Representative Palmer, wherein we did approve the prosecutors advisory counsel. The Senate, within the last hour, passed the appropriation in the amount of \$350,000 and did, in fact, change the name or strike the work 'office' and insert in lieu thereof 'prosecutors advisory council' and I would move for concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2476."



Bradley: "The gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in view of the ah... small numbers that are present here."

Shea: "Do you want it out of the record, George?"

Ryan: "I think your actions are very dilatory and if you proceed in this manner, I will have to call for ah... quorum."

Shea: "I'll take it out, if you want, George."

Bradley: "You want it out of the record?"

Shea: "Take it out of the record. Mr. Byers on the floor? On the order of concur... ah... conference committee reports on Supplemental Calendar #3 appears House Bill 1314.."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I would move for concurrence with conference committee report #1 ah... on House Bil 1314. This is the annual appropriation for the ah... Department of Mental Health and we have worked out in Committee some agreement with the Senate so that we could pass the Bill in both the House and the Senate and it was quite an experience working with the Senators on this Bill. The ah... program for the community based for the developmentally disabled ah... was reduced by \$800,000. It was ah... it still contains \$2.2 million than the original request. The community based program for the mentally ill ah... contains \$2,000,000 for than the original request. We did delete the community based program for the alcoholic abuse program. As you recall, we passed a Bill that will delay this for one year and this was reduced by a net reduction of \$2,825,000. Since we delayed the effective act of this Act to July 1, 1976, by Senate Bill 822, we reappropriated..."

Shea: "Mr. Byers, will you hold on for a minute? The gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just ask that the gentleman take this Bill out of the record til we get at least 89 people on the floor."

Shea: "Well, the House was supposed to return at 9 o'clock and I would assume that there is a quorum of the House present unless you want to question it, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "No, I won't question the presence of a quorum..."

Shea: "Fine."

Boyle: "But, I intend to verify the Roll Call."



Shea: "Fine, sir. Mr. Byers, continue."

Byers: "The ah... the ah... part 4 of the reappropriated \$190,200 for the construction of community development disabled and part 5 leaves the total grant in 8 sections, \$1,184,000 greater than the original request and I would move for concurrence with conference committee report #1."

Shea: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Singer."

Skinner: "I hope you'll pardon if I'm tone deaf. Ah... Would the gentleman yield to a question?"

Byers: "Yes, sir."

Shea: "Indicates he will, sir."

Skinner: "Is there sufficient money in this budget to give a summary of the Elgin State Hospital period, do you think?"

Byers: "I would be glad to speak with the Director personally for that for you, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, I thank you very much."

Shea: "Any further discussion? The gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle, on the question."

Boyle: "Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman or Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I finally ah... managed to get a copy of the conference committee report on this Bill. In its original form, House Bill 1314, included \$24,600,000 in day care ah... training grants for the retarded together with a \$2,687,200 to increase the direct care staff in the various mental institutions throughout the State of Illinois. Now the Bill as ah... the conference committee reported, reports the Bill. It's in worse shape than the Bill was originally introduced in the House of Representatives. For instance, in the Chester Mental Health Center they have cut \$337,500 out of personal services. In the Warren G. Murray Children's Center they cut out \$183,500 for personal services which is going to mean, Mr. Speaker, that people are going to have be laid off in the direct care ah... facilities in the state institutions throughout the State of Illinois. We're going to have to have people laid off at Dixon. We're going to have to have people laid off at Howe. We're going to have to have people laid off at Lincoln. We're going to have to have people laid off at Murray. Now I am a member of the commission to visit and examine state institutions and



mental hospitals and the problems that we have in these institutions now are directly related to the fact that we don't have enough direct patient care in these facilities. We don't enough people to clean the washrooms. We don't have enough people to take care of the patients. We've got a lot of middle management type people, but we don't have enough people to take care of the patients in these institutions. Mr. Speaker, you can play games with the bond issues and you can play games with the Department of Transportation and you can play games with the buildings in the State of Illinois, but don't play games with the mentally handicapped. I understand they don't vote and I understand they don't contribute to political campaigns, but they have a right to live decently in the State of Illinois and I don't care if we have to stay here til July 15th. I, for one, am interested in protecting the mentally handicapped and the mentally retarded in the State of Illinois and I'd urge you to vote 'no' on this disaster."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I say what the gentleman just spoke said is completely irresponsible. I think I know as much about Murray Children's Center as he does. In fact, I'm the one's responsible for its being in Centralia. It's one of the finest institutions of its kind in the world. It's clean and the patients are well taken care of and I can tell you now that I ssw to it personally because I'm on this conference committee that they've got over a million dollars more than they had last year which is an increase of about 14% and those kids are taken care of and I suggest if he's going to make statements like this, he'd better know what he's talking about."

Shea: "The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Fried..."

Shea: "Hold on, Mr. Hoffman. Sir, your name wasn't used in debate. What's your point?"

Boyle: "He just used my name."

Shea: "No, sir. I don't think he did."

Boyle: "He said the last speaker. He don't know what he's talking about."

Shea: "He did not use your name in dabate. Mr. Hoffman."



Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the conference committee has had an opportunity to look at this and I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor will say 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the roll, Mr. Clerk. On this question, Kempiners 'aye'. On this question there are 83 'ayes' and 24 'nays' and the question is shall the main question be put, carries. Now back to the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers, on House Bill 1314.'

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This one problem that we're talking about, the '75 appropriation for, for the Murray Center was \$8,257,000. The '76 request is for \$1,834,000. This is \$1,577,000 more. Last year there was 54 new positions provided in this budget for Murray Center and ah... for a total of 9 vacancies to be filled. The total is 63. There's staff ratio there now, there's 1.3 and this will reduce it even further if this budget carries. So I would move for ah... an 'aye' vote on this measure."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #1 to House Bill 1314. All in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. The gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, to explain his vote."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I tried to get your attention on several occasions prior to the previous question, Mr. Speaker, but in your eagerness to ram this Bill through the House you failed to recognize me. I'd like to point out that this Bill falls some almost \$1,000,000 short ah... for the community services for the developmentally disabled and I think this ought to go back to conference committee and be ah... straightened out the way it should be. There's \$2,000,000 in general revenue fund. I think this Bill should go back to conference committee and I would encourage a red vote on this."

Shea: "The gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."



It's a very difficult Bill to ah... evaluate. The ah... committee report got into the Amendments and tried to get all line items together, but I, I believe as I examine this particular Bill that you are completely decentralizing the institutional facilities for mental health and developmental disabilities. It's seems to me it's a disproportionate amount of money that, that's going outside the institutions and it looks to me like quality care and professional facilities are going to be hampered by this particular ah... Amendment and unless somebody can show me different, I'm going to remain on that red button."

Shea: "Mr. Byers, perhaps you'd like to take this record, Bill out of the record for a minute and discuss it with Mr. Boyle and the Republican leadership. Gentleman take it out of the record. Mr. Boyle, what's your point?"

Boyle: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a substitute motion to not adopt the committee report."

Shea: "It's out of the record, sir. Pardon me, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Turn me on."

Shea: "Turn Mr. Ryan on."

Ryan: "I object to taking this Bill out of the record. You've got a Roll Call vote up there. I think you ought to leave it off, put it back in a second conference committee. That's why you got the red votes you got up there, Mr. Speaker. You're trying to move things along here, you ought to pay us a little bit of attention to that board."

Shea: "Take the Bill out of the record. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Ryan has made a very good point. The reason we have second conference committees is so we can resolve differences of opinion. I don't see why we should have to take something out of the record, take another Roll Call on it, go back to another conference committee later when we beat it again."

Shea: "Well, what I suggest is that Mr. Byers do was to take it over and explain it to Mr. Boyle and to Mr. Ryan. If, if they still have the same objections... Yes, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well I'd like to have you know, Mr. Speaker, I was a member of that



JUN 30 1975

167.

conference committee and failed to sign that report. That's why I am objecting and I just..."

Shea: "I haven't read that, sir, and I understand there's a couple of these institutions in your district. Mr. Byers, might I suggest you go over and talk to Republican leadership with your ah.... Mr. Boyle, on a point of order."

Boyle: "Well, I'd like know, Mr. Speaker, just how we're going to do anything if you're not going let us to nonconcur and have another conference committee. We can talk all day, but unless we have some authority to change these numbers, I don't think we're going to get very far."

Shea: "Well, I think we're working on that. Is Mr. Berman on the floor? Mr. Keller on the floor? Mr. Keller on Senate Bill 1497. The gentleman from Effingham on Senate Bill 1297."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to adopt conference committee report #1 on Senate Bill 1297. All it does is correct some technical language. So ah... it's on a posting ah... of civil service exams in the buildings that they should be posted in. I move for the adoption."

Shea: "The gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, moves for the adoption of conference committee report 1 on 1297. Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, on the question."

Ryan: "Would the gentleman explain the ah... conference committee report, please?"

Shea: "Keller, turn him on."

Keller: "Yes, George ah... what this conference committee report does is ah... it wasn't clear that... All that was clear was that the Department of Personnel would send out ah... that there were going to be examinations. All this does is require the Departments that are going to have examinations to have it posted so the people working in those departments will know of other civil service jobs coming up in that department so that they can ah... take the exam or be eligible for the examination."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #1 to Senate Bill 1297. On the question all in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. All voted who



wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 116 'ayes', no 'nays', no 'present' and the House does adopt conference committee report on House 2, House, Senate Bill 1297. Mr. Byers, Mr. Byers, might I suggest, sir, that you take Mr. Boyle, Mr. Williams, Mr. Ryan, back to my office and sit and see if you can get together on this Bill. The, on the order of conference committee report appears House Bill 1968 and on that question, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we'd better start from the beginning. House Bill 1968 is the Bill that sets up a procedure to guarantee that medical providers will be assured of medical malpractice insurance if the private companies that now write withdraw from the market. The conference committee report dealt with only one area of this important subject. The area that it dealt with was, is the question of what happens if the Joint Underwriting Association, the pool, has losses that exceed its income. The income of the Joint Underwriting Association is made up of premiums based upon actuarial computations which are charged to the medical providers. In addition, another one-third over that is to be paid by the person taking out the insurance. The conference committee report only addresses itself to the question of what is to be done if the losses to the Joint Underwriting Association exceed the amount that they have raised by way of premiums plus the one-third over charge. In the original Bill, the insurance companies that participate in the J.U.A. were allowed a direct write-off or offset against state taxes. The Department of Revenue had objections to that approach and I, personally, had questions about, about that and we have submitted to you in this conference committee report a plan whereby if the losses to the underwriting association exceed their income then the other underwriting association must come back to the Legislature for an appropriation and it'll be subject to the General Assembly's appropriation process to make up those losses. I think this is a reasonable approach. I think it gives legislative check and balance. I think it addresses itself to the serious problem of the availability of malpractice insurance and I solicit your 'aye' vote."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of conference committee report



#1 on House Bill 1968. On that question that gentleman from Kank...
er... from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We're back with that Christmas tree for these large insurance corporations again. The original Bill was no good and the Amendment makes it worse. They will be allowed under this Amendment to dip in the general revenue fund of the State of Illinois and again the taxpayers of the State of Illinois are going to get hooked. Now it seems to me that these insurance companies that are building these beautiful buildings not only in the State of Illinois, but all over the United States of America, have ample funds to take care of the situation. They do not have to dip into the taxpayers pockets of the State of Illinois to make their stockholders richer than they are now. This Amendment is no good. The Bill is no good and I appreciate very much a 'no' vote on this."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the previous speaker is absolutely right for all the wrong reasons. Originally before Senate Amendment #1 after the, this program and the stabilization fund were exhausted, the J.U.A would assess the member companies in the fund for the excess losses. The member companies could directly deduct the loss assessment from their income tax in the case of domestic companies and the premium taxes in the case of foreign companies. Now the reason this was necessary was because we were allowing, in fact, providing for the continuation of coverage which is the essential problem that we've been faced with, not the ultimate answer in the malpractice situation, but a very, very important one. The Governor's office objected the income tax setoff and threatened to veto the Bill. A compromise was worked out in the form of Senate Amendment 1 which passed the Senate. This Amendment said..."

Shea: "Mr., Mr. Duff..."

Duff: "Mr. Chairman, but he makes a point of order every time I talk."

Shea: "Sir, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, I usually don't do this, but the gentleman is talking on that bad Bill. I wish he would have his remarks directed at the very bad Amendment."



Shea: "Proceed, sir, and would you talk to the Amendment."

Duff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Since this could be a final Roll Call, it seems to me that the Amendment and what leads up to it are important. The gentleman always call a point of order when I speak and I appreciate his attention. The fact of the matter is the Amendment said that the State shall reimburse the J.U.A. by direct appropriations and the change says that they may. The conference committee report changes the language to say they may. Now the fact of the matter is with the watered language, there will never be a state appropriation under the state fiscal situation unless it's, unless it is imperative or mandated, rather than permissive. I think it's important to oppose the conference report and ask for a second conference committee so that we could go back to the position we're originally in. Now, Mr. Speaker, the effort that was made already in this House to pass some legislation to attend to the problem of malpractice was important, but I think incomplete. I will remind the House that the Majority Leader earlier said that it was important not only to have the legislation that is already gone through this House, but to have a legitimate vehicle by which an underwriting authority might be established to guarantee the continuation of coverage, but without the mandatory language, this is a bad conference committee report. It will not accomplish the purpose that we all have to go home and say we accomplished. We don't want to stay here any longer than we have to, but it's important, important to go back and get this thing put in shape that we can all be pleased with and be sure that we've done the right thing. This is a bad conference committee recommendation. I strongly urge that we not pass the..."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Miller."

Miller: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise to oppose the conference committee report as a Member of the committee. It seems to me that the report placed before us has nothing more than a thin veil over it, over the previous House Bill as amended in the Senate. If you recall as Representative Hill pointed out it does potentially dip into the general revenue funds of the State by an appropriation rather than allowing a tax credit to the insurance companies participating. I believe it's a step in the wrong direction."



It seems to me that Representative Berman when he had the Bill go out of the House initially had a reasonably good Bill. It got a vote of 143 to 1 and it seems we're going backwards on this. It seems to me that if we look closely at the Bill as amended by the conference committee, we put a burden upon the home owners, upon the auto... the home owners insurance writers, the automobile insurance writers. We ought not to go in that direction. We ought to reject this conference committee report and either come up with a modified conference committee report or hold the matter over until the fall. The world will not come to an end if we do not act upon this Bill until the fall. I would strongly urge a 'no' vote on the conference committee report."

Shea: "The gentleman from Kane, Friedland."

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor will say 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. On that question the 'ayes' have it. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, to close."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think you have to put this Bill into its proper context. My good friend, Representative Hill, says it's a bad conference committee report on a bad Bill. I submit to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that on July 1st or July 2nd if Argonaut Insurance Company follows through with its threat of pulling out of the private insurance underwriting business in Illinois, 60 or 70 percent of the hospitals in the State of Illinois will have no insurance coverage and no place to look for it. Now you've heard me in debate on many issues on this floor dealing with insurance companies and you haven't heard me champion their cause except perhaps on very, very rare occasions. We are confronted here not with an insurance problem, not with a doctors problem, but with a social problem. We are confronted with a problem that because of increasing malpractice rates and reputed and I underline that, reputed losses in underwriting malpractice insurance, companies are threatening to withdraw from underwriting that kind of coverage. I don't want to happen in Illinois what has been in California or New York or other states and that is strikes by hospitals and doctors refusing to service their patients. The only vehicle that we have here to guarantee



that there will be an available pool of malpractice insurance if the private companies refuse to write, is House Bill 1968 and I underline it because it's a private industry problem. It is combined with the broad based social problem that affects every citizen in the State of Illinois and I submit to you that between the alternative of the Bill that we passed that gives the Legislature no check, no check or balance against the losses that this underwriting association may have as opposed to the conference committee report which says that the underwriting association must come back to us to appropriate for any losses even though they are remote, if there are losses, we, the Legislature, will have the opportunity to review those losses. I submit to you that's a much better approach, giving our people coverage and giving the Legislature the right to review those losses. I submit to you the conference committee report and ask for your affirmative vote."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #1 to House Bill 1968. All in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. All voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Mr. Londrigan to explain his vote."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this legislation. Representative Hill as usual hit it right on the head. This is a ripoff by the insurance companies and I am surprised that they would have the brass and gall to attempt to get the general revenue fund of the State of Illinois to be the insurance company for the insurance company. It is unbelievable to think that the general revenue fund is going to back the insurance company. I've heard of the welfare state for the poor people. I've never heard of the welfare state for insurance companies. The principal that we should insure insurance companies is not only preposterous and we should send this down to a quick death."

Shea: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 47 'ayes', 65 'nays', 8 Members voting 'present' and the gentleman's motion fails. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "I move that the House not accept the conference committee report and a second conference committee be appointed."

Shea: "The gentleman moves that the House do not accept the conference



committee report and that a second conference committee be appointed. On that question all in favor will say 'aye'; those opposed 'nay. The 'ayes' have it. Mr. Washington on 510."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, may we have a bit of order, please. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in light of the overwhelming support that this House gave to Senate Bill 510, I hope you will indulge me a few brief moments so I can give the background on the conference report ah... pursuant to that Bill. Conference Committee numb... report #1 was signed by six members of the ten man team. Only two Members of the House signed that report. The other three Members of the House and one Member of the Senate, refused to sign it and neither the House Sponsor nor the Senate Sponsor signed this report. In answer to what the report does is to take out of Senate Bill 510 that which you put into it namely, the Public Safety Division of the Department of Labor, with a line item for \$400,000. I think this is very unfortunate and I think it's unfortunate because the record of public safety... Mr. Speaker, it's really noisey on my left."

Shea: "Mr. Washington, I'll try to get them quiet here."

Washington: "I think it's unfor... Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Hold on, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "As I was saying, Mr. Speaker, neither the Senate Sponsor nor the House Sponsor of this Bill concurred in the report and only two of the five Members of the House concurred in it and I think it's unfortunate that they passed it because they took out the safety inspection division which is, would have been responsible for guaranteeing as best they could the safety of all public employees. Now we are inclined to think of public employees as those working in office buildings, but they represent only a fraction of the total public employees that we have. We have employees working in roads and Department of Conservation, Department of Transportation and the accident rate of public employees in the State of Illinois is consistently higher than that of private employees. There must be a reason for it and the reason and to get that reason, we do need safety inspectors. Now it has been brooded about that these inspectors would be political positions and that is totally and completely untrue. What



was proposed in Senate Bill 510 was that the factory inspectors which operated under the OSHA program, civil service, all civil service, if you please, would be transferred to this public safety sector. So the purpose of trying to guarantee that we would cut down the percentage of serious accidents happening to public employees. The Senate didn't see fit to go along with that. I think that based upon the overwhelming labor support, the overwhelming support that you, in this House, gave to that separate division, I think based on that, we owe it to ourselves to make one more attempt to try to work with the Senate to work out some kind of a formula we can sustain this division. I'm, therefore, appealing to the House to not adopt, to do not adopt conference committee report #1 to Senate Bill 510."

Shea: "The gentleman moves that the House do not adopt conference committee report #1 to Senate Bill 510. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the gentleman's motion is that the House do not adopt. All in favor will say 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt conference committee report #1 on House Bill 510 and ask for the appointment of a second conference committee. Mr. Bradley here?"

Bradley: "Schneider, do you seek recognition?"

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order. The House will be in order. On supplemental Calendar #1 appears Senate Bill 348, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've been discussing this Bill for ah... several hours. It would appear that ah... ah... it's going to be very difficult to arrive at any degree of compromise. I am concerned about the agency and preserving what enforcement capability it has and I will, therefore, move to adopt the conference committee #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved to adopt the conference committee, the first report of the conference committee. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there's so much noise in the chamber, I didn't hear what the gentleman ah... what the gentleman had to say about the Liquor Commission. Could he repeat that, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre, would you repeat it, please."



Beaupre: "Representative Ryan, I indicated that we have been discussing matter for several hours ah... that we ah... found that there are some immovable forces involved. I am indeed concerned about preserving ah... the enforcement capability of this agency, at least as it now exists. I it very difficult to believe that ah... we can't look at each one of these agencies on an individual basis ah... but if that's the case, then I move to concur with ah... ah... conference committee report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. Houlihan."

J.M. Houlihan: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

J.M. Houlihan: "Representative Beaupre, is it not your intention and probably ah... unfortunate that we do not have the time to work out all these dificulties, your intention that we have the full capabilities for field work and for investigators and for agents to ah... make a full and complete examination of the liquor establishments and make them in compliance with the law?"

Beaupre: "Well, Representative Houlihan, it is indeed. I think what the Membership should know and basically what I was trying to accomplish this afternoon is to at least get the Membership to make a decision in regard to whether or not ah... these capabilities are going to be impaired over the next year ah... rather than having it decided by a few conference committee members. Now the fact is that at the current time, the Department of Revenue ah... is ah...under a mutual agreement with the Liquor Control Commission providing the initial contact to licensees in regard to determining whether or not there are violations. I have been informed by the Industrial Commission that over the last three months, because of the utilization of Department of Revenue auditors rather than the ah... 32 agents that the Commission had two years ago, by utilizing the Department of Revenue auditors, they have come up with in the last three months, 2,100 potential violations. Now at that, after those potential violations are cited by the Department of Revenue personnel, ah... and transferred to the Liquor Control Commission, those violations must be followed up on. I would point out to you that the Director has indicated to me that they didn't have 2,000 cases to investigate in the entire



year last year, but that's how much transferring the function of the initial contact to the Department of Revenue has improved the functioning the Liquor Control Commission. The problem is that there aren't enough people to follow up on the potential violations and that's what I was fighting for on the floor here this afternoon."

J.M. Houlihan: "Representative Beaupre, wouldn't you be able to recommend a deficiency appropriation if those ah... follow up ah... exceeded the staff carry that out and the we could solve that in the fall."

Beaupre: "Well, I can assure you that I would be ah... the first to want to sponsor some such legislation or..."

J.M. Houlihan: "With that in mind I think that maybe we ought to move ahead with this Bill and see what happens in the fall."

Sepaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, earlier this afternoon I'm not sure why, where we are here on this, on this Bill ah... I'm a Member of the conference committee. If they've met for several hours, I was not included in the conversation. I'm appalled to think that we're still on the first conference committee and I question the Chair as to whether we shouldn't be on the second conference committee or not."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Ryan: "Would you answer my question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, we're not. Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Mr. Chairman, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "We're on the first report. Representative Gaines."

The House will come to order, please. Five minutes to 12 in Kankakee. Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor to explain exactly what this report does that was not in the original Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "The original Bill requested \$550,000 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Liquor Control Commission. The Bill was a Senate Bill and the Senate Appropriations Committee took out \$129,000. Of the hun... of the \$550,000 in the original Bill, fiv... the \$129,000 represented 9 new agents that the Liquor Control Commission was requesting to be put on board. That was taken out by the Senate Appropriations



Committee. When the Bill came to the House, the House Appropriations Committee in its wisdom put it back in minus 6%. Because this is such a small budget that 6% of \$550,000 if you'll figure it out amounts to \$33,000. That, in effect, took out three of the proposed new agents, cutting it down to six. So what we're arguing about here is six agents to do that follow-up work of all those potential violations that the Department of Revenue seems to be discovering over a three month period."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten. Will you please come to order. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question on this good conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Beaupre to close."

Beaupre: "I would ah... in a very dispiriting way ah... urge you to vote for this conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved that the House concur, or adopt the first conference committee report to Senate Bill 348. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, because of the particular nature of what had happened in this Department and some of the controversy surrounding it I hesitate in a sense to rise and say what I'm going to say, but I frankly rise to speak in opposition to concurring with this report as I am convinced on the basis of the testimony we received in the Appropriations Committee and, in fact, the testimony we received last year when we attempted, when we attempted to forestall the transfer of the job... when we attempted to forestall the transfer of the jobs from the Liquor Commission to the Department of Revenue. On our side of the aisle, we were then of the opinion that it wouldn't work. We're still of the opinion that it doesn't work and we think that this particular agency is understaffed and it ought to receive the kind of help in terms of personnel to do the job for the people of the State that has been originally requested in this budget. For those reasons,



I'm going to have to vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Please see what these guys are doing. They're going around harrassing everybody that's trying to give away Coors Beer. They've got the American Legion in Elgin and I bet you 2,000 of the other complaints, there are others giving away Coors Beer in this State, and utterly ridiculous, and if that's what they're doing, to build up their workload, they certainly con't deserve the extra people."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 123 'aye', 19 'no' and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 348. We're going to call that right now. The Third..... On the Third Supplemental Calendar appears House Bill 1314, Conference Committee Reports. Representative Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that we concur with Conference Committee Report #1....."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order."

Byers: "For the community Mental Health budget for 1976 fiscal year. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, not one thing has changed since the last time that he presented this motion, and I thought we had an agreement in Representative Bradley's office that we would nonconcur and appoint a second Conference Committee so that we could do something for the mentally handicapped and the mentally retarded in Illinois and restore these cuts for direct patient care and for the day program, and I'd urge you to nonconcur so that we can finally, somehow, try to get a second Conference Committee Report to do something for the mentally handicapped in Illinois. There's nothing changed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is my understanding that they.... they wish to agree to go back to the Second Conference Report. This just reminds me of two years ago when we fought and fought and fought, came right down to the very last minute and then we quote "caved in" and decided to let the DDA go under the



Department and look what happened. Now we're looking around and seeing that they don't have the funds that they necessarily need, and I sincerely hope we get a 'no' vote on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Gaines: "Exactly, what is the difference between the Conference Report and the original Bill and also what's different to report now than when we voted against it a few minutes ago?"

Byers: "This is..... this, of course, is the same as what we voted against awhile ago. This Bill contains ah..... a total of \$386,498,000 for the operations and capital improvements for the Department of Mental Health for next year, and ah..... it's approximately a three percent cut."

Gaines: "Ah.... what was the cut made and what part of the budget?"

Byers: "Well, are you talking about from the original budget, or from the amendment....."

Gaines: "Yes, what I want to know is what is the difference between the Conference Report budget and the original Bill. Where did they make the cut?"

Byers: "Ah..... the ah..... cuts were made in the ah..... community programs for the developing of disabled. There was a million dollar cut from that. However, this line item still contains 2.2 million more than last year. The..... one million was cut out of the General Revenue fund for community based programs. However, this line still contains two million dollars more than last year."

Gaines: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann, do you seek recognition? Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, this Conference Committee Report was discussed very thoroughly a few minutes ago. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Byers to close."

Byers: "All right, I think this budget's been worked out. We had to work



it out with the Senate, and I've spent the last twenty-four hours with this budget. I think it's a good budget. I think it's one everyone can live with. There's jobs in here to provide for direct patient care in these institutions. There's not as many as some special interest groups in the State would like. However, there are many new jobs provided in this budget, and I would move for concurrence of this Report."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House concur in... shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1314. All in favor, vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah.... yes, Mr. Speaker, I was hoping to have the opportunity to ask the Sponsor of this legislation a question, but I want to go back to House Amendment #4, relative to the ah... miscellaneous capital improvement to the Dixon State School, which amounted to a little bit more than ah... one half of one million dollars. Now, there have been rumors that have circulated, are you listening? If perhaps during the explanation of votes, that he can explain this, but two questions. Number one, the rumor has been circulating, they attempted to close the Dixon State School, and secondly, House Amendment #4 still included in this ah... Conference Committee Report?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I strongly support the position of Representative Boyle, when he suggested that we nonconcur in this Conference Committee Report. Games are being played here Ladies and Gentlemen and the unfortunate thing...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers, for what purpose do you rise?"

Byers: "Ah... it looks like this is not going to go now, so I move that we nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved that the House nonconcur in the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1314. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Do you request a Conference Committee? Conference Committee will be appointed. On Supplemental Calendar #3, House Bill 2476. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House, this is an appropriation in the amount of \$350,000 for the prosecutor's advisory council."



The Bill passed out of here in a Conference Report some two hours ago. The Senate just passed the appropriation. The Amendment changes the wording to confirm what the name of the council we passed, and I would ask for the support of the House and ask to concur in the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House concur..... Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Mann: "Ah.... Mr. Majority Leader, what was the final appropriation?"

Shea: "\$350,000. It's the same dollar amount as left this House, just with the name changed to the Prosecutor's Advisory Council, which means it is not a State Agency and it's the same appropriation."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I wonder if I could ask for a little Order here. I would like to speak to this question."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman Order, please."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we've already renewed the life of the State's Attorney Study Commission. We're now being asked to create a new Body, a new entity, called the Prosecutor's Council. As far as I'm concerned, this is legislative incest. We don't need two separate entities in the State of Illinois to deal with the problems of States Attorneys. We don't need to spend over \$400,000 in this biennium for work which will be largely duplicatory in nature. We don't need to edge into and erode the role of the Attorney General in the State of Illinois. We don't need to do the job that States Attorneys themselves can't do through the States Attorneys Association. Now, I know the Gentleman feels strongly about this legislation, and I respect his conviction. I want him to know that my position here is an impersonal one. It has nothing to do with his sponsorship, but I still feel strongly about it, because I'm afraid that we are going to start a precedent, which is going to see the initiation of councils for every single type of agency in the State of Illinois. The County Treasurers Council. The Public Administrators Council. The Public Welfare Council, if you will. The County Treasurers Council. We don't need all these agencies, because we have a State government. We've



got a Judiciary Committee in both Houses. We have an Attorney General. We have a system of criminal jurisprudence. We have Federal funds. We have ah.... a collection department, and I would ask you not to concur in this Conference Report. It's duplicatory. It's unnecessary. It will not accomplish what is thought to be accomplished. It will be wasteful and once more, I ask you for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House..... Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I'm searching for a copy. Geo says she has one. I hope she's telling the truth."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the problem, Representative Skinner? We can't hold up forever."

Geo-Karis: "I'm not going to hold you up at all. I'm just looking to give Mr. Skinner what he's looking for, because I have it somewhere."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I just wanted to make sure something was passed out, that's all."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2476. All in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we passed the legislation. All we did was change the name of this. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 103 'ayes', 33 'nays' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2476."

Shea: "The House will be at ease for a couple of minutes. There is another supplemental coming down that will have all of it. The names are in the wrong places. Is Mr. Collins on the floor? There's a Resolution. Mr. Ryan, do you want to take Resolution 293 for Mr. Collins? Or do you want that to go over to the Fall Calendar? We'll have the poor man worried all summer about that, then. Is Rayson on the Floor? Can anybody handle 314 for Mr. Rayson? House Resolution 314 for Mr. Rayson. I'd like to make an announcement that there is a car on the driveway with its lights on, and I don't know who it belongs to, but



the license plate number is 2200. Is that yours, Mr. Telcser? Or is that William Walsh? Just have an Amendment. The engine's still running. On the order of reduction vetoes, Mr. Lundy. Mr. Lundy, with regard to the veto on House Bill 840. Is there anything you wish to do with that, Sir?"

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the description on the Calendar is incorrect. Mr. Lechowicz and I are not the sponsors of House Bill 840. I believe Mr. D'Arco is the principal sponsor of that Bill."

Shea: "Is Mr. D'Arco on the Floor? Is that your ah..... is that the medical center, Sir?"

D'Arco: "Yes. Is that the reduction in the retirement portion of the Bill?"

Shea: "Why don't you come to the Clerk's desk and look at that Mr. D'Arco? On the Order of Reduction Vetoes, is 639. Is the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin, on 639, is he there? What about Mr. Kosinski and his historical library. Is there anybody..... Mr. Kosinski's wife is sick..... is there anybody that knows anything about that? 722. Those four Bills, the motion would be to over-ride the action of the Governor. Mr. Boyle. Is Mr. Boyle on the Floor? Mr. Ryan, do you know if Mr. Washburn has appointed his two Members to the Second Conference Committee on 1314 and who are they, Sir?"

Ryan: "Well, Representative Friedrich and Representative Ryan."

Shea: "Well, Sir, I was wondering if you ah..... the Democratic Members are Byers, Bradley and Boyle."

Ryan: "That's what my paper says."

Shea: "So would you like to see about getting together and see if you could get together with the I'm just informed by Mr. Friedrich that the Conference Committee is now meeting in the Speaker's office."

Ryan: "Yes, I'm aware of that, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "If you care to attend."

Ryan: "I have my ah.... "

Shea: "Embassary?"

Ryan: "Yes, I'm being represented well, there."

Shea: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."



Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. With leave of the House, I'll handle House Bill 722 for Representative Kosinski."

Shea: "Could I ask you what your intentions are, sir?"

Lechowicz: "To concur."

Shea: "You don't have to. If you just, it's a reduction veto. If you do nothing, it automatically becomes law."

Lechowicz: "With the 6% reduction, I don't think it'll really hurt that agency to any great extent and that's the reason why I'll handle the Bill."

Shea: "All right, and Mr. D'Arco does the same on the medical. The order of Supplemental Calendar #4. On concurrences appears House Bill 1342 and on that question the gentleman from Wayne, Mr. O'Daniel."

O'Daniel: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, ah..."

Shea: "Hold on, Mr. O'Daniel. They tell me they're not all down yet, so we'll wait a couple of minutes. We're just trying to... Would the pages make sure that the Democratic, er... Republican Members have it. House Bills, First Reading. Introduction."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3125, Corneal Davis. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to state finance. First Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask leave to have House Bill 3124 referred to the interim study calendar. Judiciary I Committee."

Shea: "The gentleman moves that House Bill 31, what is it... 3124, is it not, sir? That he ask leave of the House that that Bill be assigned to Judiciary I and be put into a interim study posture. Now that is, his Bill, sir, is a Bill on malpractice and that committee is now, has several insurance companies under subpoena and continuing hearings with regards to the malpractice crisis. On that question, the gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Not on that question, not on that question."

Shea: "Does the gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah... Yes, Mr. Speaker, a point of inquiry here. Ah... Relative to the fall calendar and perhaps this question might be premature



but ah... any introduction of any ah... are we going to confine, my question is, are we going to confine ah... the fall session to a veto session? Is there going to be introduction of Bills and so forth or will this question be answered for all the Members of this House ah... sometime within the next ah... before we adjourn?"

Shea: "Sir, I think your question will, no doubt, be answered after the leadership gets together this summer. The purpose of the Bill that was just introduced, that normally would 've laid on the Speakers Table and not been assigned to a committee, but since it has to do with malpractice, the gentleman only wished to get a vehicle before the Committee."

Ebbesen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, my ears may have deceived me, but I thought I heard the Clerk House ah... 3125, First Reading. We got a series of Bills coming on that are..."

Shea: "No, sir. Just the one Bill."

Ebbesen: "Thank you."

Shea: "Have the Members now got the copies of the calendar? On the order of Supplemental Calendar #4, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Speaker, there's an error on Supplemental #4. I filed about an hour and a half ago with the Clerk the second conference committee report on Senate Bill 477 and it is not included on the Calendar and I was wondering if ah... we would have some indication from the Speaker or the Clerk's office whether Senate Bill 477 will be included on Supplemental #4. Well the hour is getting late and according to the announcement that they gave, this is the last Calendar."

Shea: "I guess the report didn't say second report so the excluded it, thinking there was a duplication of the first report. There will be another supplemental down."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "On the order of Supplemental #4 appears House Bill 1999, The Assistant Minority Leader, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this conference committee is a matter, covers a matter that we had discussed earlier about the disclosure by certain financial officers of debts..."

Shea: "Excuse me, sir. Mr. VonBoeckman, could I see you. Please



proceed, sir."

Walsh: "Certain financial officers of debts that they had in excess of a \$1,000 that they must make on their statement of economic interest. The Bill has been tightened up considerably so that the only people who are required to file under the Bill now are the State Treasurer, County Treasurer, Township Supervisors, Municipal Treasurers or other chief financial officers of a municipality, either elected or appointed. The only people under this Act who would have to file statements of economic interest who do not already file them are municipal treasurers who are not elected. We have removed, I think, most of the opposition. I know Representative Mahar had a question which we thought was good relating to... Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Has this Amendment been distributed?"

Shea: "Yes, sir."

Lechowicz: "Well, I haven't seen it. I haven't seen it, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "The gentleman moves that the House..."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would hope that the Clerk would take notice of ah... the Amendment that was just handed to me because I couldn't read the number on it. I thought it was 1099 and it's 1999. The printing is extremely poor. That's why I didn't know which Amendment it really was and I'd like to just take a moment if I may to read the copy that I just received."

Shea: "Mr. Lechowicz, are you asking a question or are you..."

Lechowicz: "Well, I just want to know if this solely includes the State Treasury... Treasurer, County Treasurer, Township Supervisor or Municipal Treasurer or other chief financial officer of a municipality, either elected or appointed. Is that correct? Let me ask you this, does anyone else have to file similar ah... information?"

Walsh: "No, ah... they do not. The only people that would have to file this ah... information, the statement of obligation would be people who have responsibility. Specific people who have responsibility for depositing public money."

Lechowicz: "Well, is there any limitation as far as the, as far as the



other chief financial officer of a municipality, whether elected or appointed?"

Walsh: "That is the limitation."

Lechowicz: "Just one person?"

Walsh: "Yes."

Lechowicz: "All right, thank you."

Shea: "The gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

Mautino: "All right, as in a township, taken out the spouses and relations of those in the family."

Walsh: "No, we have not. The ah... names of all ah... No, his spouse is still in and also his unemancipated minor children."

Mautino: "They are still in that Amendment?"

Walsh: "Yeh, they are still in... they are still in that Amendment, but they ah..."

Mautino: "That would also take in school treasurers that ah... are appointed?"

Walsh: "No, no. No, no."

Mautino: "It wouldn't?"

Walsh: "No, the only people who had have to make the ah... declaration are State Treasurer, County Treasurer, Township Supervisor, Municipal Treasurer of other chief financial officer of a municipality and I emphasize the municipality. School people, park district people, special district people and no one else would be involved."

Mautino: "May I address myself to the Amendment, sir."

Shea: "Proceed, sir."

Mautino: "Okay, it still seems to me, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that this is a local problem and it could be taken care of by a county board resolution in the county of DuPage. I see no reason why the State of Illinois must take a position on the debts of ah... an elected officer or of an appointed officer within the State of Illinois or any other city or municipality or county government in the State of Illinois. If it's a localized problem, I'm sure it can be resolved by the county board of DuPage County and not cause additional ethics problems and financial disclosures for those people who are really not directly involved



with the ah... depositing of money for counties. If I may explain one situation. For example, in counties that do not by resolution, designate financial institutions as depositories to their funds, that do it on a county wide basis, whereby each bank within a county receives county funds, they would be liable under this same Act because, in effect, they would be depositors of county funds within a county financial institution. I think it's a bad Amendment and should be taken care of locally and not at the State level."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor say 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh, to close."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the purpose of the Ethics Act, so called Ethics Act, which requires that we file a statement of economic interest is to disclose possible conflicts of interest that we may have in the holding of whatever office we seek. Now I suggest to you that there is a possible conflict of interest in people who have the responsibility for the deposit of public money in depositories in the borrowing or money. So there is a very real possibility for conflict of interest which came about in one of the counties of our State. Now it was discovered there and could very well have been, be existing in many other counties now. I suggest to you that this is a good Bill. It tightens up our Ethics Act and I solicit your 'aye' vote for this conference committee report."

Shea: "The gentleman moves that the House do adopt this conference committee report. All in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. It takes 89 votes. The gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen, on the question."

Ebbesen: "Ah... Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and I have a great deal of respect for my leader, but I ah... I, I want everyone to seek very careful consideration of what they're voting on here now that I'm a great believer in the ethics legislation and so forth, but one thing we are doing if we adopt this particular legislation, we are legislating ourselves out of a reservoir of talent at the local level that would run for these particular offices and I'm telling



you right now when they have to go out, he never did indicate in, in the ah... in his disclosure in his statement right here, his disclosure testimony as to what we are voting on and I would hope that in his explanation of, of his vote that we're talking about they have to ah... talk about all of the people in all of the institutions to which they have ah... indebtedness and so forth and I think we're carrying ethics too far on this particular situation and I would encourage everyone to cast a 'no' vote."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, to explain his vote."

Collins: "Thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah... Listening to the previous speaker, I would ask him please to look at this again. I am the only cosponsor of this Bill and if you'll recall yesterday when this Amendment first came before us, I spoke against the Amendment because I thought it did go too far. As far as Mr. Ebbesen suggests it may, but the Assistant Minority Leader in a sense of compromise has taken it right to the point where it is only people in the county, in the state level and, and people who are actually ordering the investment of funds who will be covered by this act. Now we are in a position where we have adopted an Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. I think it's very reasonable to ask that people who are in the, in a position to invest funds such as we are talking about to ah... reveal whether they have favorable dealings with banks in their area. Now we have taken out the, we have taken out the school boards, we have taken out the local people. The furthest we've gone is to a Township Supervisor. I think this is a reasonable compromise. I think now it is a Bill that we all can ah... we can endorse the support. I, I know there's a good deal of misunderstanding here, but please, look at the Amendment as it now is before us. Give us a vote on ah... on conference committee report."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 107 'ayes', 23 'nays', 12 Members voting 'present' and the House does adopt conference committee report #1 to House Bill 1999. On the order of Supplemental Calendar #4, appears House Bill 783 and on that order, that report should read, Second Report, not First Report and on that order of business, the gentleman from



Tazewell, Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Could I have a little quiet, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Proceed, sir."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think everyone should listen to what I have to say because we're dealing with the issue that involves the injured workmen in the State of Illinois and how we personally got sold down the drain for another issue. Personally, I wholeheartedly against this report. It does not bear my signature and I am the chief sponsor of this legislation. It is a wrong time to cripple a commission that is doing the job for the injured people, workmen of the State of Illinois. We passed in this House, two new pieces of legislation dealing with the injured workers. Now we come to grips with the fact that the appropriation was practically cut in half. This was done in the Senate. We, in the House, cut the appropriation for this commission 8.1. The Senators saw fit to cut it 40%, not because of the issues or what Mel Rosenbloom has been trying to do with this commission, but with personalities. All of the issues that were discussed that Mel was the instigator of this and that, I have proven to everyone and I ah... I can prove it, that all these were vicious lies. Now when we're going to castrate a person ah..., I think it should be done on a personal ah... level, not because he's, not because he is ah... ah... the Director of this Department, but, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I sincerely and hopefully request that you defeat this second conference committee report."

Shea: "Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I was just going to ask for some order for ah... Representative VonBoeckman."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty, on conference committee report #2."

Beatty: "Yes, ah... Mr. Speaker, the bulk of the cut in this is actually two programs and I spoke on this Bill originally and I don't think it's the time for new programs in view of the Governor's speech and the ah... economic problems the State has and I think that the basic budget of the Industrial Commission is intact. I think with the passage of a couple of these workmen's compensation Bills, our working men will be all right in this State and I move for the adoption of conference committee 2 on



House Bill 783."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #2 on House Bill 783. On the question the gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Would the gentleman yield?"

Shea: "Which one?"

Ryan: "Representative VonBoeckman."

Shea: "The gentleman from Tazewell, Mr. VonBoeckman, would you yield, sir?"

VonBoeckman: "Yes, I will."

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Ryan: "Representative VonBoeckman, ah... where did you get this conference committee report. I, I've never seen it."

VonBoeckman: "Well, I'm a member of the conference committee and I've never seen the report either."

Ryan: "Can you tell me how many signatures are on that?"

VonBoeckman: "Ah... It was just shown to me and there were 5 Senators and one's a Representative and that's Representative Beatty."

Ryan: "5 Senators and 1 Representative. Are you going to move to concur in this?"

VonBoeckman: "No, sir. I would like to..."

Ryan: "Pardon."

VonBoeckman: "I think it's time we come to grips with the issue. This is an issue that deals with the people of the State of Illinois, the injured worker and I think it's high time we in the General Assembly not sell the injured worker down the drain for another program and I, I'm asking each and every one of the Members of this House to take a long look at themselves and think of what they're doing here and I think it's time we ah... voted this issue down."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. VonBoeckman, I couldn't agree with you more. This is an example of sheer power politics. I think it's absurd. I think it's ridiculous and I would go along with you, with your suggestion to whatever that is. If it's to nonconcur, then I would agree."

VonBoeckman: "I would like to add, Mr. Speaker, that the conference committee was a farce. The biggest farce I've ever been involved in in this House of Representatives. We never sat down in a room to try to work out



the differences between the House and the Senate. The Senators brought the program over and said sign it. The first program and, and conference report and I disagreed. The second one I never even saw. So, I don't even know what it contains."

Shea: "The gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been working since about 6 o'clock last night on all the, on all the conference committees that I was assigned to and I don't think that there's one conference committee report that we've adopted that there hasn't been a cut and we've accepted Amendments on the floor of this House to cut appropriations 6% on almost every occasion that we've had an appropriation Bill go through here and I don't think that this particular commission should be exempt from taking a cut that the Governor of this State said was necessary and by actions of this House, we said it was necessary and for this gentleman to say that this was a farce is wrong and you know that I spoke to him on many occasions today to try to get something worked out, but he absolutely refused to sit down for more than 5 minutes in the back room because he said this is what we've got to have and we won't take anything less and I resent it that he said that we didn't try, that we couldn't sit down with him because he's sitting right here and I just hope that we follow with the motion that was made by Representative Beatty, who was on this same conference committee and I hope we adopt so that we can keep safe government going with a commission that we vitally need."

Shea: "Mr. Bradley, Mr. Bradley, Mr. Houlihan wants a point of order. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, would direct the gentleman to contain his remarks to merits or demerits of the conference committee report and not to apologize for the problems that we've had in setting up an effective conference system."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor will say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The loudest, loudest one on the floor was little Miss Kale... Keller. In the opinion of the Chair, the motion doesn't carry. The... You want a Roll Call, My. Taylor? All in favor



will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. It takes two thirds to carry. All voted who wished? Take the record. On this there are 94 'ayes', 36 'nays' and the gentleman's motion does carry and now back to the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty, to close."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House..."

Shea: "Mr. Washington raises a point of order."

Washington: "Ah... Isn't it two thirds required, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "Yes, sir and I think 36 times 2 is 72 and 94 is more than 72, isn't it, sir?"

Washington: "Yes, but 36 times 3 is more than..."

Shea: "More than two thirds of those voting, isn't it? Harold, I know who you passed so many Bills and I now know why my good friend, Lou, wants to have something to do with policy. The gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "I'd like a poll of the absentees."

Shea: "The gentleman's motion carries. Now back to Mr. Beatty to close."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the problem we have here is this is the second conference committee. The basic programs of the Industrial Commission are intact. The cuts here are basically new proposals for the commission and I don't think it's the right time them. We do want a budget for the State. We don't pass this, we may be back here on a special session in the next week. Now you Members can decide what you want to do, I urge an 'aye' vote. I think we're treating this commission properly at this time."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #2 to House Bill 783. All in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay' and on that question, the gentleman from Tazewell, Mr. VonBoeckman, wishes to explain his vote."

VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as I stated previously, I think we should bring ourselves to grips with this issue and I beg to differ with Representative Beatty. I urge a 'no' vote on this issue. We're dealing with the injured workmen of the State of Illinois and they're, and ah... their pay out. I urge a 'no' vote."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo, to explain his vote."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have a



slight problem here. I found out yesterday that the rules of the Senate and they have their own rules, we haven't adopted joint rules in conference committees or that there's only two reports. I think we should fund this commission and in order to fund it, we've got to vote for this second conference committee report or come back in special session and as Mr. Beatty said, I think this will fund the commission as it's been funded. It's not the time for new programs. That's a decision, unfortunately, that the Legislature must make and I think we've made it. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, to explain his vote."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know if I'm explaining my vote or rising on a point of personal privilege that I waited two years for, but I find this very amusing to hear cries from the other side of the aisle that they've gone to conference committees which Members of the conference committee didn't hear about, which Sponsor of the Bill didn't know anything about and I remember two years ago when I heard these cries from the other side suggesting that we ah... indulge in such tactics... Oh, it hurts doesn't it. It really hurts..."

Shea: "The gentleman..."

Collins: "We had conference committee report, we put them out in the open. We..."

Shea: "Mr. Collins, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "As a matter of fact, the Majority Leader who was then the Assistant Major... Minority Leader complained to me that we had allowed the press to come to our conference committees, but now here you are. You're hiding everything, you have a record number of conference committees and you are complaining that you are not involved in your own conference committees. Mr. Speaker, I think it ludicrous. It's very amusing and the shoe is on the other foot, now enjoy it."

Shea: "Mr. Barnes, to explain his vote. Could we have some order. Mr. Barnes to explain his vote."

E.M. Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I realize everyone is tired and everyone wants to go home and I'm among those totally. I'm tired and I want to go home, too, but I think that the one thing



that we should point out here and I realize this is going to carry, but I think that for us to become tired and frustrated on a Bill of this magnitude and this importance to workers in this State is not the point where we should take out our frustrations. I, for one, would not like to come back for a special session, but I think if we have the alternative of voting in a very, very bad Bill as it relates to the workers in this State or coming back for special session, I will take the special session."

Shea: "Take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', 38 'nays', 3 Members voting 'present' and the House does adopt conference committee report #2 on House Bill 783. On the order of concurrences appears House Bill 1342. Has that been distributed yet, Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "No, sir, not back from the printer."

Shea: "What about on 3096?"

Jack O'Brien: "No, sir, not back from the printer."

Shea: "What else is on this that's back? On the order of conference committee reports appears House, Senate Bill 471 and on that question the gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... Senate Bill 471 is the ordinary and contingent expenses of the community colleges. Ah... the conference report ah... what it does is take a 6% cut in the, in the main offices located here in Springfield. It's \$1,584,000. for the summer program at the junior colleges due to the increased enrollment. Ah... Also included is the equalization formula and ah... we withdraw ah... Senate Amendment #, I mean, House Amendment #4 which was the ah... ah... fair employment Amendment. I move for the adoption of, of the committee, er... the conference committee report #1 to Senate Bill 471."

Shea: "The gentleman moves that the House do adopt conference committee report #2 on Senate Bill 471. Wait, it's first conference committee report, Mr. Clerk. Would you adjust your scoreboard there. Conference committee report #1 on Senate Bill 471. All in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 136 'ayes', 4 'nays', 3 Members voting 'present' and the House does adopt conference committee report #1 to Senate Bill 471.



On the order of concurrences appears House Bill 1342 and on that question the gentleman from Wayne, Mr. O'Daniel."

O'Daniel: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move that the House does con... do concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7 to House Bill 1342. Ah... The, the affect of these Amendments is a reduction of \$94,300 to the appropriation to this."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Wayne, Mr. O'Daniels moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments # 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7 to House Bill 1342. All in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action and requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are, on these questions there are 135 'ayes', 32, 3 'nays', 6 Members voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments # 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7 on House Bill, on Senate Bill 1342. On the order of concurrences appears House Bill 3096 and on that order of business the gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have a copy of the Senate Amendment yet."

Shea: "Take it out of the record. On the order of conference committee reports appears House Bill 289 and on that question the gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword. Is he on the floor?"

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, conference committee report on House Bill 289 took all of those Amendments that the House had considered the other evening on, on ah... 289. They ah... took the Votech ah... Construction in East St. Louis, they cut it back to planning and site development which is all that can be done this year. They cut it back from a figure of \$8,400,000 to \$2,800,000 on Senate Amendment 9. Ah... This is in regard to the ah... rehabilitation of Frank Holten State Park. They cut this way back from about \$9,000,000 ah... back into about ah... a million, nine which is all that can be used and will be done and is absolutely ready to go this year. Ah... Senate Amendment #10 they moved that we should concur on in the House. Senate Amendment #10 ah... provides... Oh, they cut it back. This was originally a figure also of around \$10,000,000. They cut it back to \$5,000,000 for installation of bridges or culverts as they call them along the Hennepin Canal so that it can be crossed. The bridges there are unsafe. Many of them are, have been closed and they are school bus routes at



the present time. Ah... Senate, #11 has been moved back to \$100,000. #14, they moved it that we concur in that Senate Amend... have receded from fifteen. They've added a small amount for some Department of Corrections items. I move that we concur with ah... conference committee report on House Bill 289."

Shea: "The gentleman moves that we adopt conference committee report #1 on House Bill 289. Is there any questions? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

Totten: "Representative Tipword, how much is Senate Bill, er... House Bill 289 increased in this report than when it left the House?"

Tipword: "Approximately \$9,000,000."

Totten: "Approximately \$9,000,000."

Tipword: "That's when it came to us from the Senate, it had in it approximately \$65,000,000 increase."

Totten: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I can speak to the conference committee report, the motion. I rise in opposition to the concurrence to the ah... motion to adopt conference committee report #1 ah... as the increase is not warranted of almost \$9,000,000."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #1. All in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action and requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 30 'nays', 4 Members voting 'present' and the House does adopt conference committee report #1 to House Bill 289. Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Just an inquiry, Mr. Speaker. We're moving awfully fast and we just went by a Bill that was increase by... Yeh, well you just voted on fish hatcheries, boat dockings, etc. in a conference committee report, but..."

Shea: "That's already out of the record, Mr. Collins. On the order of conference committee reports appears House Bill 1426 and on that question the gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adoption of conference committee report #1. Basically what it



does is put ah... \$300,000 back in operations money and \$100,000 for soil and water conservation grants and I move for the adoption."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of House... the conference committee report #1 on House Bill 1426 and on that question the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, the Minority Spokesman on appropriations."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for one question?"

Schisler: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Totten: "Representative Schisler, does this, House Bill 1426, still include the 6% reduction Amendment that was adopted, I believe, in the Senate?"

Schisler: "Well, Representative Totten, it's not quite 6%."

Totten: "How much is it?"

Schisler: "Well, it's 4%."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, if I could speak to the conference committee report."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you. Ah... the conference committee as well during concurrence, this House and the Senate had plenty of time to adopt a reasonable 6% cut in this appropriation and we have not done it. Ah... The conference committee report ah... is lacking in this area. It certainly could be reduced 6% and considerably more and I would again oppose the motion to adopt conference committee report on ah... #1 on House Bill 1426 for those reasons."

Shea: "The gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "I raise the question of whether or not this has been circulated. I don't have it on my desk and I think others may be ah... wondering the same thing."

Shea: "Mr. Clerk, has this conference committee report out? While we're waiting for the presses to catch up, messages... Take this one out of the reocrd. Messages from the Senate."

Fred Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a second conference committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments #1, 3, 4, and 5 to Senate Bill 477. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the



Senate has refused to adopt the conference committee report on House Amendments # 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1170 and the Senate requests a second conference committee to consist of 5 Members from each House to consider the differences between the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 2 and 3 to the said Bill. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a second conference committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to Senate Amendments # 1, 2 and 4 to House Bill 1360. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further Messages."

Shea: "I think we've got one we can run rather quickly. On the order of conference committee... Even you'll like this one. On the order of conference committee reports on the Fifth Supplemental Calendar appears House Bill 1968 and on that the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the adoption of conference committee #2 to House Bill 1968. This is the Joint Underwriting Association to malpractice. We have striken by unanimous consent of the second conference committee the Senate Amendment that changed the Bill. The Bill is back to the way that we passed it out of the House by over 140 votes. I move adoption of conference committee report #2."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report 1968. Do you have what? It's ah... on the desk. Well, your pages are a little slow. The gentleman moves for the adoption of the conference committee report. On that question the gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support conference committee 2 of House Bill 1968. I would like to advise the Members of the House that this report puts House Bill 1968 back in the form that it was when it first passed out of the House by 143 votes. I commend the Sponsor for his actions and I solicit your vote on this question."

Shea: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, because I agree with what Lee Daniels, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor say



'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Mr. Berman moves that the House do accept conference committee report #2. All in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I suggest that maybe you're ramrodding this and I think that it was probably ramrodded earlier."

Shea: "On this question there are 123 'ayes', 11 'nays' and the House does con... The House does..."

Walsh: "Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Speaker."

Shea: "The House does adopt the second conference report on House Bill 1968. On the order of conference committees reports on Supplemental Calendar appears Senate Bill 477 and on that question the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the second conference committee report on Senate Bill 477 and I'd like to publicly thank Representative Kane for his comments on the first conference committee report because the information that was submitted to the first conference committee report last night and in reference to the Sangamon State University being in favor by a staff report of purchasing a building was not entirely correct and Representative Kane was kind enough to go over to his office and show me a copy of a written report where they did not state that they were in favor or were that they were against it and we're still studying the possibility of acquiring that site. I tried to contact Mr. Chris Vlahoplus to confirm that he was in favor of this site and unfortunately, the line was busy and then finally when we got through, his wife said he was playing cards and she didn't know anything about it and if there, if Sangamon State is truly interested in this facility, I would strongly recommend that they put it in at an earlier, earlier date next year so we can truly study the situation. What, what the second conference committee calls for, it retains the Senate reduction of \$2.6 million in the Department's operating budget. It retains additional reduction of \$1.5 million in the Department's operating budget and it restores \$250,000 in general revenue fund appropriations for the Illinois Information service. So as the Bill is submitted for our perusal, it calls



for an expenditure of \$55,000,000 as a Conference Committee calls for \$51,000,000. I would strongly recommend that the House do concur in Conference Committee Report #2. Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 477, all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed, and on that question the Gentleman from Logan Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question."

Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

Lauer: "Is this in fact, Ted, that the Herndon Building on South Fifth Street is out of this Bill now."

Lechowicz: "It is not in this at all."

Lauer: "Okay, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "The gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

McMaster: "Ted, Ted, does this include the IIS for a sum of \$250,000?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, sir, it does."

McMaster: "Could I ask a question then of some of the members of the Conference Committee?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, sir."

McMaster: "Mr. Ryan were you not the other day opposing the IIS?"

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, yes the other day this had 350 or \$375,000 in it, it only has \$250,000 in there now."

McMaster: "Then a deduction of \$100,000 has swung you around to support the IIS?"

Ryan: "I didn't hear the question, Tom?"

McMaster: "You're saying that a reduction of \$100,000 has swung you around to support the IIS."

Ryan: "Well in all cases I try to be reasonable as I did in this."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Ryan."

Shea: "The gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the earlier disagreement that I had with this Bill which had the 1.2 million in it for the purchase of the Herndon Building has been resolved and I would urge the adoption of this Conference Committee Report."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."



Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I must comment Mr. Speaker, you people are beautiful the way you're ramrodding these things through without anybody having a chance to see these Conference Committee Reports before you call them. Oh, point of order, nothing. This isn't a portion of the legislative process and you know it. All right now let me speak to this Amendment. This Illinois Information Service."

Shea: Speak to the Amendment, Sir."

Collins: "I'm speaking to the Amendment. Last session we refused to appropriate for the Illinois Information Service as we did for the Governor's Office of Human Resources and the two other shadow agencies which ultimately were hidden in other state budgets. As a matter of fact some of the people that are yelling point of order at me right now were in the forefront of abolishing those agencies. As a matter of fact, I made the mistake at that time of supporting the Governor in his attempt to soak the people of the State of Illinois, but here again comes the Illinois Information Service. The propoganda arm of this administration and I must admit other administrations. It's a fraud and we should never vote to appropriate the money for this agency or any of those other agencies which have been misused by this Governor and others to fool the people of the State of Illinois. They use them as PR agencies, propoganda and I say that anybody that votes for this Bill is going back on the position that we took two years ago. Now regardless of whether you agree with me now or not I don't know how things change over on the other side of the aisle, but it seems that they do it in a hell of a hurry. Besides that Mr. Speaker, you owe it to us to let us see these Conference Committee Reports. To let us examine them before we are called to vote on them. We had one, two Roll Calls ago that appropriated 12 million dollars and you rammed it down our throats without a chance to have anybody look at it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, on a point of order."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker this gentleman is not speaking ..."

Shea: "Would the gentleman, the gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate on a point of order."

Choate: "My point of order simply is this, Mr. Speaker, that the Gentleman is not confining his remarks to the legislation the Conference Committee Report that is before this House of Representatives and I think that the



Speaker is being ...fair in recognizing Mr. Collins and others and letting them get a little steam off their chest in opposition to what ever it is that he has opposition to. However, in stating your opposition, Mr. Collins, I would suggest that you confine your remarks to the issue at hand and not things that happened in the past, because we all know what's happened in the past."

Shea: "Points well taken. The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is shall the main question be put? All in favor will say 'aye' opposed 'nay', in the opinion of the Chair, the ayes have it. Back to Mr. Madison on a point of order."

Madison: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, has the Speaker given permission for the cameras to be on?"

Shea: "No, sir."

Madison: "Well unfortunately I think some of the members recognize that it is on and we're getting alot of campaign speeches."

Shea: The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, to close."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I humbly request a favorable Roll Call."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 477. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook or the Gentleman from McHenry Mr. Hanahan to explain his vote."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, once again I might point out to the Members of the House that the taxpayers back home don't need to be taken and that's what this Conference Committee is doing taking them to the cleaners for un-needed services of explaining the inability of this government of this administration to really govern. And that's what we're talking about and I agree wholeheartedly with Rep. Collins in his statement that this is a trade-off a trade-off at its worst. When we take from the Department of Labor some needed services that the people want, and trade it off on a political deal for the Illinois Information Services, I suggest it's a bad political deal for the people of Illinois and this Conference Committee Report shouldn't be adopted, it should go back, and we should stay here and do the people's business not some political dealings that is going on against the Department of Labor."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Collins,



do you wish to explain your vote?"

Collins: "Yes sir."

Shea: "Mr. Collins to explain his vote."

Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and I must still thank my good friend Rep. Choate for bringing me back to reality I was probably talking about things that happened in the past when I should be addressing myself to the issue before us, which is this present ripoff which is the Illinois Information Service. Now two years ago we did vote not to appropriate money for the Illinois Information Service as we voted not to appropriate the Governor's Office of Human Resources as we voted not to appropriate for the Office of Collective Bargaining as we voted not to vote for the Office of Special Investigations, but here they are coming back at us again, back at us again and I'll only, I'll only relate to the one before us, the Illinois Information services. Here we are talking about \$154,000 for personal services. Now that's \$154,000 of payrollers that are going to be out ringing doorbells for the Governor or for whatever campaigns he may be interested in. We found that the last time that we did not appropriate for the Illinois Information Services, the Director of that Agency wound up on a ghost payroll for a Mental Health Hospital that had been closed for over a year. Now we're not going to force the Governor to a phony payroll like that again because here we're giving him \$154,000. He can probably get six or seven phony payrollers for that. Now Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I'm asking, let's be consistent for God's sake we got rid of this Agency two years ago as we got rid of those other shadow agencies and now we are asked, I don't know what the deal you and your people on that side of the aisle have made but there's no excuse for backing off of a position we took two years ago and going into the tank. The tank for a quarter of a million dollars for political payrollers. This thing smells worse than anything we have faced all day, yes all Session. I would urge a no vote from every member of this House."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. McMaster, you wish to explain your vote?"

McMaster: "If I could, Mr. Speaker. It seems that in the last few days when we talked about the IIS before it was explained to us that there was what something in the neighborhood of a million dollars in other budgets for other departments for publicity purposes. And now we're coming along with another \$250,000 for the IIS and we talk about fiscal conservatism, and we're



doing this. I am surprised that anyone can vote green a yes vote on this complete fiscally irresponsibility. I urge a no vote."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Friedrich, do you wish to explain your vote sir?"

Friedrich: "Not unless there is going to be a verification."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', 40 'nays' 11 members voting present and Mr. Collins requests a verification. Mr. Collins there are 106 'aye' votes on this motion or on the passage of this Bill, I think your motion is dilatory. The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I think we all know what the outcome of this will be if he verifies, but I would point out to you that one of the big departments in this State the appropriation still has to be passed. The Conference Committee Report is being typed. We are only going to have a few minutes to do it. This could well result in a whole Department not having any money starting tomorrow morning..."

Shea: "I'm sorry, sir, proceed."

Friedrich: I doubt if Mr. Collins want to take the responsibility for that and I hope that he will withdraw his motion."

Shea: "Ms. Geo Karis."

Geo Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I think we have gone long enough on this, change my vote to 'aye'."

Shea: "Ms. Geo Karis votes 'aye'. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Vote me 'aye'."

Shea: "Mr. Daniels wishes to be voted 'aye'. Do you still wish to verify it, sir? Mr. Leverenz votes 'aye'. Mr. Van Duyne 'aye'. Mr. Matijevich on a point of order."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I think you and I and everybody here knows that Mr. Collins would not have made that request for a verification if it weren't so close to 12:00 and I think you're right and I would ask you to rule that what he asked for is dilatory under the rules."

Shea: "Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I think that's twice today that Mr. Matijevich has cast dispersions on what motivates me and I don't think that he is qualified to make those comments."

Shea: "Mr. Collins do you want the verification?"



Collins: "I have never... Yes, I do."

Shea: "All right, verify... Are there five Members that join with them?"

The gentleman is within his rights under the rules for a verification.

Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I humbly request you poll the absentees."

Shea: "Poll the absentees."

Fred Selcke: "Arnell, Bluthard, Capuzi, Deavers, Epton, Fleck, Griesheimer, Hirschfeld, Kosinski, McGrew, Molloy, Nardulli, Porter, Sangmeister, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Simms, Stearney."

Shea: "Proceed with the verification."

Fred Selcke: "Anderson, E.M. Barnes, Janes Barnes, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Birchler, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Byers, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Chapman."

Shea: "Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I looked around and I see absolutely nobody trying to determine whether or not the affirmative votes are here. I suggest that this is dilatory and it's within your purview as Speaker to rule it that way even though the gentleman has a right, he is not attempting at all to determine if the affirmative votes are here and I think it's dilatory, Mr. Speaker."

Fred Selcke: "DiPrima, Downs, John Dunn, Ewell, Farley, Fary."

Shea: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that rule 50-B, 50-B be suspended. That rule requ... allows one to have the right to verify the Roll Call. I move that that rule be suspended, well, on this particular issue."

Speaker Redmond: "Point of order, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe we're in the process of verification of Roll Call. I'd like the Clerk to continue and finish it."

Shea: "I've got a very touchy question, John. I can't dump the Roll Call."

Fred Selcke: "Fennessey, Flinn, Friedrich, Gaines, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Hart, Hill, Gene Hoffman, Holeywinski, Dan Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Kane, Katz."

Shea: "Sir, are you filming from the balcony? Sir, there'll be no pictures in the chambers unless the Speaker authorizes it. If you talk



to him, I'm sure he will. Proceed."

Fred Selcke: "Kelly, Kozubowski, Kucharski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Kornowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Londrigan, Lucco, Lundy, Madigan, Madison, Mann, Maragos, Marovitz."

Shea: "Pardon me, sir. Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, switch my vote to 'aye' please."

Shea: "Mr. Lauer goes 'aye'. Proceed, sir."

Fred Selcke: "Matijevich, Mautino, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McLendon, Merlo, Mudd, Mugalian, Mulcahey, O'Daniel, Patrick, Pierce, Pouncey, Randolph, Rayson, Richmond, Ryan, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Schraeder, Sharp, Shea, Stone, Stubblefield, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Tipsword, VanDuynes, VonBoeckman, Wall, Washburn, Washington, White, Willer, Williams, Winchester, Younge, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Is there any question of the affirmative vote?"

Collins: "Ah... Anderson."

Shea: "Mr. Anderson is in his seat, sir."

Collins: "Ah... Barnes, E.M."

Shea: "Mr. Barnes, E.M., is in his seat, sir."

Collins: "Ah... Barnes, J.M."

Shea: "Barnes, J.M., is... I don't see... Is Ms. Barnes on the floor? How is she recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Recorded 'aye'."

Shea: "Take her off the roll. Any further questions?"

Collins: "Yes, sir, a number of them. Calvo."

Shea: "Mr. Calvo. Is Mr. Calvo on the floor? He's right there, sir, on the center aisle."

Collins: "John Dunn."

Shea: "Mr. John Dunn is in the center aisle, sir."

Collins: "Kosinski."

Shea: "Mr. Kosinski. How is he reported? He's not voting, sir."

Collins: "Ah... Sangmeister."

Shea: "Mr. Sangmeister. Is Mr. Sangmeister on the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Absent."

Collins: "Maragos."

Shea: "Mr. Maragos is in his seat."

Collins: "Ah... Mr. Rayson."



Shea: "Mr. Rayson, is Mr. Rayson recorded? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Collins: "Ah... Flinn."

Shea: "Mr. Flinn, Mr. Monroe Flinn. How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Collins: "Getty."

Shea: "Mr. Getty, Mr. Getty. How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Collins: "Byers."

Shea: "Byers is in the center aisle."

Collins: "Ah... Mugalian."

Shea: "Mr. Mugalian, has Mr. Mugalian voted? How has he voted?"

Fred Selcke: "'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Collins: "Mudd, oh I see."

Shea: "Mudd is right in the center aisle."

Collins: "Ah... Brandt."

Shea: "Mr. Brandt is in his seat, sir."

Collins: "No, Leinenweber's voting my way. Ah... Hill."

Shea: "Mr. Hill is in his seat, sir. No, he isn't. Mr. Hill, is Mr.

Hill on the floor? Take him... How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the roll."

Collins: "Ah... Houlihan, Dan."

Shea: "He's right there ah... No, that's Houlihan, J."

Collins: "You're Jim Houlihan, I was looking for Dan Houlihan."

Shea: "Is there any further questions, sir?"

Collins: "Yes, sir ah..."

Shea: "He's standing right there, sir."

Collins: "Leon."

Shea: "Mr. Leon, is Mr. Leon on the floor? Right in the center aisle,
sir."

Collins: "Farley."



Shea: "Mr. Farley, is Mr. Farley on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Collins: "Ah... Schneider."

Shea: "Mr. Schneider, is Mr. Schneider on the floor? He's standing right there, sir. Terzich, Mr. Terzich. He's in his seat."

Collins: "I didn't say that."

Shea: "Well, do you have any further questions?"

Collins: "Yes, I do, but I don't know how you got Terzich. I didn't say that. Ah... I see Laurino. Katz. I see him. Never mind. Ah... McClain."

Shea: "Mr. McClain is here."

Collins: "This would be much easier Mr. Chairman, Speaker, if they would be in their seats."

Shea: "Do you have any further questions, sir?"

Collins: "Yes, sir. Quite a few. Ah... Giglio."

Shea: "Mr. Giglio, is Mr. Giglio here? Mr. Giglio here? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the record."

Collins: "Ah... McLendon."

Shea: "He's in his seat. Would the Members please be in their seats. Would the Members be in their seats, please. Proceed, sir."

Collins: "Ah... Patrick."

Shea: "Mr. Patrick, is Mr. Patrick here? He's right in his seat, sir."

Collins: "Ah... Pierce."

Shea: "Mr. Pierce. Mr. Pierce is in his seat, sir."

Collins: "Ah... VonBoeckman."

Shea: "Mr. VonBoeckman is in his, er... he's... How is VonBoeckman..."

Fred Selcke: "'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the roll. Mr. Giglio, put him back on the Roll Call."

Collins: "Ah..."

Shea: "Mr. Madigan wishes to be verified, sir. Is there any further questions? Give me the Roll Call."

Collins: "No, I have a few more."

Shea: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Farley. Put Mr. Farley back on."

Collins: "Ah... McAuliffe."

Shea: "Mr. McAuliffe, is Mr. McAuliffe here? Right in the center aisle."



JUN 30 1978

210.

Collins: "Ah... Winchester."

Shea: "Mr. Winchester is in his seat, sir."

Collins: "Washburn."

Shea: "Mr. Washburn, is Mr. Washburn... Right there."

Collins: "Ah... Ryan."

Shea: "Mr. Ryan, is Mr. Ryan recorded? He's right there, sir."

Collins: "Totten."

Shea: "Mr. Totten."

Collins: "He's with me, never mind."

Shea: "Ms. Barnes is back, put her on the Roll Call. Do you have any further questions?"

Collins: "Nardulli."

Shea: "Mr. Nardulli is not voting, sir."

Collins: "Telcser."

Shea: "Mr. Telcser is right in the center aisle, sir. Give me the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk. On this question... Are you finished?"

Collins: "You asked me if I was finished."

Shea: "Are you finished?"

Collins: "Yes, now I believe I am."

Shea: "All right, now on this question there are 105 'ayes', 39 'nays', 11 Members voting 'present' and Senate ah... conference committee report #2 to Senate 477 is adopted. On the order of Supplemental Calendar #3 appears House Bill 1360 and on that the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski. Are you ready with that, sir."

Kozubowski: "1360, yes, sir."

Shea: "Yes, sir."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the House do adopt the second conference committee report in House Bill 1360. The report suggests that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 which is a reduction of \$1,064,800. The reductions are as follows. \$465,300 which removes the O.S.I. and new employees request. I'll take a Roll Call."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman moves that the House do adopt conference committee report #2 to Senate Bill 1360. On that question the gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk. Ah... Senate Bill one, it's House Bill 1360."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuerk: "I just wanted to raise a point of order on this one. I don't have a copy of the second conference report. I have the first one, but not the second."

Shea: "The Clerk tells me it's been... Mr. Clerk, has this been circulated? They tell me it has been, sir. Well, they tell me they've been circulated. Is there any question with regard to this? The gentleman from, er... the lady from ah... Cook, Ms. Catania."

Catania: "Would the gentleman yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

Catania: "I'm not a sir."

Shea: "Well, sir, ma'am."

Catania: "Does this Bill contain the Fair Employment Practices Commission Amendment?"

Kozubowski: "No, it doesn't."

Catania: "Sorry about that."

Shea: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, the Minority Leader, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Now come on, Mr. Speaker, I know it's getting late and you're trying to meet a deadline, but we've got many, many more Bills to hear and I think they should be heard especially over on this side."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I have a conference committee report on 1360 on my desk, but I'd like to check the wording 'cause it doesn't say #2. Could somebody tell me ah... if it doesn't say #2, is it #1. Otherwise, Mr. Speaker, we don't have a copy of this conference committee report. Now, I..."

Shea: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, on a point of order."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, a point of order that there has been raised a point of ah... there has been made a motion for the previous question and you've been extremely fair. You recognized the Minority Leader, you recognized the ah... Minority Whip and for the gentleman's information on the other side of the aisle, I share with them in their concern for the plight of the minority because in 30 years I've been in the Minority much, much, much more than in the Majority, but at the same time I've



got to say to you that Jerry Shea is treating you more fairly than ever we were treated on this side of the aisle. Now if you want... Now if you want to conduct a filibuster, you're going about it in an awful weak way. I could teach you a few lessons. Mr. Speaker, the question before the House at this time is the question of moving the previous question."

Shea: "At this time, the Speaker has allowed the television cameras on. The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, has moved the previous question. All in favor will say 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski, to close."

Kozubowski: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would just move that the House do adopt conference committee report #2 on House Bill 1360."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #2 on House Bill 1360. On that question all in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. Takes 100, er... it takes 89 votes and the gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, wishes to explain his vote."

Ryan: "No, I don't, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of parliamentary inquiry."

Shea: "And, well, sir, we're now taking a Roll Call. I'll get back to you after the Roll Call. Have all voted who wished? Take the Roll Call. On this there are 108 'ayes', 14 'nays' and the House does adopt conference committee report #2 on House Bill 1360. On the order of Supplemental Calendar #4 appears House Bill 802 and on that question, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Shea: "Oh, I'm sorry. I promised to get back to Mr. Ryan and I got tied up with Mr. Washburn. Turn Mr. Ryan on."

Ryan: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Is there any reason that the real Speaker is in the sidelines and you're in the Chair. The fellow that was elected to run this House is not in the Chair. Can you answer that for me."

Shea: "Sir, I am trying to do the best job I can."

Ryan: "Well, he was elected to do the job, not you."

Shea: "He's right here, sir. On the order on conference committee reports



is House Bill 802. On that, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Conference committee report indicates that the compromise..."

Shea: "Mr. Mr.... Do you raise a point of order, Ms. Kent?"

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I see by the conference report of 802 when I turn it over, I see my name. I was not in the conference committee. I am not on the conference committee of 802. I went down and checked the record. What they have done, they have put the wrong names on the other side of the sheet. I wish the record to show that I had no part in that Bill."

Shea: "Can I see the conference committee report, please? Turn on Mr. Bradley, please."

Bradley: "The conference committees are correct, sir, and I think the lady is just trying to say that they just made an error in printing and in the conference her name is on the right ah... right conference committee report, isn't that right, Mrs. Kent?"

Kent: "That... It is not printed on your desk. I just want you to know..."

Bradley: "She's just trying to make it clear for the record. The report is correct."

Shea: "The report is correct. The Minority Leader is looking at it. Now back to Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #5, the Senate recedes in that and leaves in the \$595,000 there. Amendment #6, the House concurs and returns the \$200,000 for the equipment. Senate Amendment #9, House concurs there, returns \$800,000. Senate Amendment #10, they receded in. In addition ah... in taking off \$6,000,000. It leaves \$3,000,000. Senate Amendment #11, the House concurs there. It's for the Springfield office building, reducing the amount from \$75,000,000 to \$10,000,000 to handle the parking. Senate Amendment #12, the Senate receded in. I ask for ah... the adoption of committee report #1."

Shea: "All right, the gentleman moves for the House to adopt conference committee report #1. On that question... Mr. Deuster on a point of order."

Deuster: "Ah... Yes, Mr. Speaker. My point of order is this ah... I'd like to inquire of the Chair, whether the privilege of explaining votes has been suspended in any way. Ah... and the reason I ask that is on



the last question, there were a great many lights flashing..."

Shea: "Sir, we're on this question. Do you have a question?"

Deuster: "I'm asking you on this question."

Shea: "Sir, every Member will get a chance to explain his vote."

Deuster: "Every Member... Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "The gent... The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Are we on explanation of House Bill 802?"

Shea: "No, sir. We're asking do you have any question with regard..."

Totten: "Yes, I have, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask some ah... questions of the Sponsor on House Bill 802. What does the total additions on this Bill in the way of Amendments and how many of these were part of the accelerated bond program?"

Leverenz: "The total of the Senate Amendments?"

Totten: "As the conference committee is reporting it out, how many of these additions are part of the accelerated bond program and what are the amounts."

Leverenz: "It's \$60,000,000 less than we had to begin with."

Totten: "I want to know... You're talking about 7 different items on here that have been changed in the conference committee report."

Leverenz: "That's correct."

Totten: "The major portion that you took out was the \$75,000,000 for the state office building."

Leverenz: "That's correct, sir."

Totten: "Okay, there's \$590,000 added for East St. Louis second vocational technical school. Is that accelerated bond program?"

Leverenz: "But that was there to begin with, the planning money for it, yes, it is there."

Totten: "Was not in the original Bill."

Leverenz: "Yes, sir, it was. Senate Amendment #5 would have deleted it."

Totten: "You added \$800,000 for two vo-tech schools in Chicago, is that part of the accelerated bond program?"

Leverenz: "No, it is not. It also includes \$405,000 of that \$800,000, I believe, for the police training academy here in Springfield."

Totten: "That \$405,000 is another addition that's not included in that 800 and that originally came out of the road fund. There's money in



JUN 30 1975

215.

here for parking facilities in Springfield and what is that and how much is that?"

Leverenz: "\$10,000,000 which reduces the \$75,000,000 we put on it in the House for the Springfield office building."

Totten: "Okay, there's \$200,000 for R. and E. What is that for?"

Leverenz: "That is the scientific equipment of the natural history survey center at Urbana."

Totten: "Is that bondable?"

Leverenz: "It returns it to the Bill."

Totten: "Is it bondable?"

Leverenz: "Yes, it is, sir."

Totten: "By whose say so?"

Leverenz: "C.D.B."

Totten: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I may on the Bill."

Shea: "Speak to the Bill, sir."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah... This conference committee report has ah... put some projects back in here that the Senate and House did not agree in. There's questionable bonding moneys in here. The biggest reduction, of course, is the \$75,000,000 for the State Office Building and the total reduction is rather fictitious when you look at that one in the hole ah... because ah... it was not needed as is and I think that this conference committee should not be, this motion to adopt the conference committee should not be adopted and that this Bill should go to a second conference committee."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines."

Gaines: "Ah... Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "Indicates he will, sir."

Gaines: "Has this been cut the 6%?"

Leverenz: "I don't know exactly how many percent it's been cut. It's been cut \$60,000,000."

Gaines: "But how much percentage of that of the total?"

Leverenz: "It's not general revenue, sir. It was \$114,000,000 to start off with. It's \$60,000,000 down now currently."

Gaines: "Is the F.E.P.C. Amendment on this one?"

Leverenz: "No, sir, we removed it yesterday."

Gaines: "Thank you."



Shea: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'll keep being a nice guy. I move the previous question. Thank you."

Shea: "The gentleman moves the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor will say 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The gentleman moves that the House do concur in conference committee report #1 to House Bill 802. All in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Ms. Catania, do you wish you explain your vote?"

Catania: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if I may. Ah... I did want to observe that the other night this House defeated an Amendment which provided for \$3,000,000 to plan for office facilities in the Chicago Loop area. I notice that here it is specified that we will give them \$3,000,000 for planning for office facilities in the Chicago Loop area, even though we were assured the other night that the Capitol Development Board would decide what part of Chicago that office building would go in after I pointed out that we have a very suitable space at 39th and Cottage Grove which I suppose is outside the Chicago Loop area which, unfortunately, is not defined in this conference committee report. That's why I'm voting 'no'."

Shea: "The gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMasters, to explain his vote."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I think you know very well that ordinarily I'm very easy to get along with, Jerry, but I'm a little bit concerned. It has been admitted that the wrong names were put on the back of this conference committee report."

Shea: "We got that straightened out, sir. The Minority Leader was up on this podium and looked at the report."

McMaster: "May I ask, Mr. Speaker, then who were the Members of the conference committee?"

Shea: "Hand me the report, sir. Take the record while we get this. On this question there are 112 'aye', 30 'nay', 12 Members voting 'present' and the House does concur in conference committee report #1 to House Bill 802. Sir, on this, on this report, there is Mr. Leverenz and it is signed. Mr. Bradley and it is signed. Mr.



Madigan and it is signed. Mr. Ryan and Mr. Totten and neither signature appears. On the order of conference committee... Yes, sir, I did. I said that there were 112 'aye', 30 'nay', 12 Members 'present' and that the House, this Bill having received the Constitutional majority, the House did adopt conference committee report #1 to House Bill 802. There are 112 votes, sir, and there's a Constitutional majority. The gentleman from Grundy, the Minority Leader."

Washburn: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to have the record show that there ah... July 1st, about 12:02 a.m. on my watch and ah... according to the rules, it will now take ah... a three fifths majority to pass a piece of legislation in this body."

Shea: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, I would like..."

Shea: "Mr. Choate, before... Would the gentlemen that are whistling, please refrain from whistling. Now, Mr. Choate."

Chaote: "I would like for the Minority Leader to also recognize that we'll put into the record that we're not 15 days after ah... June the 30th and as far as I'm concerned, Mr. Speaker, you're doing, as I said, an exemplary job and I expect to be out of here pretty shortly."

Shea: "On the order of Supplemental Calendar #4 appears conference committee House Bill 1426. Mr. Walsh, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Walsh: "Well, to respond, Mr. Speaker, to the 15..."

Shea: "Mr. Walsh, you're not recognized for that. Would you please proceed on House Bill 1426, Mr. Clerk. Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I feel that I have ah... explained this outstanding piece of legislation adequately and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Shea: "The question is shall the House, shall the House adopt conference committee report #1 to House Bill 1426 and on that question, the Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Yeh, as I was saying, Mr. Speaker. To the question of 15 days..."

Shea: "Confine your remarks to the Bill, sir."

Walsh: "Yeh, okay, let me confine my remarks to the Bill and let me point out that 15 days, Mr. Speaker, was because the Governor refused to honor



the Constitution. That's why we were here for 15 days and everybody over there knows it."

Shea: "Mr. Duff on the Bill."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, since we're talking about a Bill that takes a three fifths majority and since everybody had made a point of the fact..."

Shea: "Mr. Duff, may I remind you, sir, that it only takes a three fifths majority to become effective immediately. This Bill could pass with 89 votes and would become effective January the 1st, er... July 1st of 1976."

Duff: "I am properly impressed with your constitutional knowledge, Mr. Speaker. I don't disagree with you on that point. I would only point out the fact that the only reason we're even close to this Roll Call at this time is because for the first time in years, there has been responsibility and cooperation from the minority party."

Shea: "Mr. Totten, on the Bill."

Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, before this Bill was taken out of the record before, I did speak in opposition to it. I was the one member of the conference committee that did not sign it. Ah... both the Senate and House had agreed on a 6% reduction on this. That was taken out in the conference committee and there is now somewhere around a 4% reduction and I think both the House, Senate conference committee had time to carefully consider that 6% and it was warranted and that this conference committee report should not be adopted and this Bill should go to a second conference committee."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a ah... I rise on a point of information. It was my understanding that the rules contain express, express sections against demonstrations, etc. on the floor and disturbances by Members while others are speaking and I wish to assure you that the gentleman who's holding up the clock and turning it's hands around manually surely is falling within the purview of these rules. He's giving a demonstration on the floor, bringing the House into dispute and I would ask the Chair rule him out of order."

Shea: "Mr. Skinner, could you put down the clock. There's one at the back of the chamber that shows seven minutes after. I'm sure everybody knows what time it is. The gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, on the



question."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker..."

Shea: "Turn Mr. Lauer on please, sir."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, ah... since everybody has now made their political speeches and ah... the TV cameras have duly recorded that it is past 12 o'clock, could we have the lights turned down and the cameras turned off and then I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Shea: "Would you proceed to the Bill, sir."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a budget that I have examined and recognized that in my case it is a very essential interest examination because I am a farmer and this is the Department of Agriculture Bill. I've examined this budget with a great deal of care and of all of the budgets that I have looked at and I have looked at quite a number, this is probably the most bare bones budget that is involved in State government. I am neither lauding the staff of the Department of Agriculture, nor am I damning the staff of any other Department of State government. As this is one that I do know something about and this is one that I'm happy to say has made every effort to hold the line on costs. Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are things that are involved in this budget which do not lend themselves to the 7 ah... to the 6% cut as Mr. Totten referred to because there are commitments here that are made to local agencies specifically to county fairs whose premium books went out anywhere from 6 weeks to 2 months ago. These county fairs were assured that the funds for the state funding of premium out the agricultural premium funds would be there. They would be appropriated, that there would be ah... no problem in having the county fairs meet their responsibility of increasing premiums along with ah... the general gist of inflation because many of ah... the exhibitors that make for county fairs, many of the exhibitors who are putting to the forefront the agricultural industry of this State and I would like to point out that this State in agriculture exports more in the world market than any other single state in the nation. But those who are doing to the best promotional job for this State are now being put on the hook because we are talking about a 6% cut. Commitments have been made here that can't be rescinded and I would strongly advocate a favorable vote on this fine Bill."



Shea: "The gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler, to close."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, in the essence of time, I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Shea: "The question is shall this Bill pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 137 'ayes', 3 'nays', 1 Member voting 'present'. House Bill, the House does adopt the conference committee report on House Bill 1426 by a constitutional three fifths majority. On the order of conference... On the order of Supplemental Calendar #4, on the order of Senate Bills appears Senate Bill 478 and on that question... Is Ms. Geo-Karis on the floor? Mr. Friedland, do you seek recognition, sir? Take that out of the record for a minute. Mr. Friedland, turn him on, please."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've noticed on the last several Roll Calls there are Members that are recorded who are not here and I think it's an affront to those of us who are here yet and I'd appreciate it if you'd remind the Members to refrain from voting those who are not here."

Shea: "On the order of Supplemental Calendar #4 appears Senate Bill 554 and on that, the gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the first conference committee report on Senate Bill 554 effected a real compromise in working out ah... the differences between the House and the Senate upon this Bill. We consequently, after a long, long time, arrived at a figure that is somewhere halfway between what the version that was passed out of the House and the version passed out of the Senate. It's been done with the agreement of the Capitol Development Board for which, whom this is the ah... Bill for an ordinary and contingent expenses for the current fiscal year. We arrived at a figure finally about halfway between the two, as I said, in the area of \$7,600,000 to operate all, to ah... operate this agency so that they can do those projects which you have passed in House Bill 289, 802 and certain other Bills that have been scattered through the session. I move for concurrence with this conference committee report #1 on 554 which, by the way, has already been accepted by the Senate."

Shea: "I'm going to ask our guests in the gallery, the cameramen on



the floor and television cameras that we are turning off the television lights. Gentleman moves that the House do concur in conference committee report #1 on Senate Bill 554. On that question, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On the motion to ah... adopt conference committee report #1 on Senate Bill 554, the compromise that the Sponsor indicated is really halfway between here and infinity. This is the operating budget for the Capitol Development Board. The average head count for the Capitol Development Board for fiscal year 1975 was 190 people. It is now 224 people. When this Bill left this House, there were \$6,297,000 in it. As we are asked to vote on it now on this conference committee report, there is \$7,626,000 in it, 7,6 which is million, four more than when it left this House. This is a preposterous proposal for us to consider at this time and I would ask that the House not adopt conference committee report #1 on Senate Bill 554."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword, to close."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would call for a, an... approving vote for this ah... conference committee report. I would reiterate only that we did drop it by a million dollars from what left ah... from the figure that left the House when it was moved out of here and the head count under this would only be at 324. So I urge that we adopt this report."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #1 on House Bill 554. All in favor will 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay' and the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, to explain his vote."

Houlihan: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, that was a point of personal privilege. I'll do that after the Roll Call."

Shea: "All right, have all vote who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 115 'ayes', 23 'nays', 6 Members voting 'present' and the House adopts conference committee report to Senate Bill 554 by a three fifths constitutional majority. On the order of Senate Bills on conference committee reports appears Senate Bill 556, but before that, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, J."



J.M. Houlihan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I appreciate ah... your recognizing me. I had my light on when we were in that couple of minutes before 12, but I realized it was very difficult with all the confusion to recognize some of the Members and I really understand the difficulty. I just wanted to point out that when we were considering ah... House Bill 1360 which was the law enforcement Bill ah... it had been pointed out to me that this ah... reduction in no way wanted to was the intention of the reduction to annihilate the Governor's Office of Special Investigations, but it was really a reduction in forces. With the Office of Special Investigations, the Governor was able to direct some of those resources to look into official misconduct in his administration and I just wanted to rise on a point of personal privilege to point out that in my conversations with the other Members of the House that it was not the legislative intent as pointed out in the debate on that first conference committee report that there was no intention to delete that particular function."

Shea: "On the order of, on the order of Senate Bills is 556 and on that, Mr. Stubblefield. Mr. Stubblefield on 556."

Stubblefield: "Mr. Speaker, I believe my name has been listed in error."

Shea: "Is that Mr. Tipword's also?"

Stubblefield: "I really don't know."

Shea: "The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipword."

Tipword: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Mr. Stubblefield. I'd be very happy to have him take it. This is ah... the first conference committee on Senate Bill 556. This is ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Public Health. As you will recall, when this Bill was in the House, we rearranged the priorities in this in cooperation with the Department of Public Health and cut it an additional \$13,800. Under this ah... conference committee report, there has been cut an additional \$52,000. That \$52,000 took \$7,300 from the office of management service, \$1,892 in electronic data processing and \$42,826 in the office of consumer health protection. That is in the, in the ah... swimming pool inspection division. The City of Chicago has agreed to inspect the swimming pools there and so this money is not needed. So, I would move for the adoption of conference committee report ah... 1 on Senate Bill 556."



Shea: "The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword, moves that the House do adopt conference committee report #1 to Senate Bill 556. On that question the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a Member of the conference committee, I rise to concur in the remarks made by Representative Tipsword. I think an outstanding job was made on this particular budget by Representative Tipsword and the Members of the Public Aid Department and I encourage a 'yes' vote."

Shea: "Is there any further questions? The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword, moves that the House do adopt conference committee report #1 on Senate Bill 556. On that question all in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. All voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 147 'ayes', 2 'nays'. House, er... Senate Bill 556, the, on this question there are 147 'ayes', 2 'nays' and the House does adopt conference committee report #1 on Senate Bill 556 by a three fifths constitutional majority. On the order of conference committees appears Senate Bill 649. On that question, the gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we adopt ah... conference report ah... #2 to Senate Bill 649."

Shea: "Would you explain it, sir."

Keller: "Yes ah... What ah... this conference report is is ah... they had to delete some of the language that ah... was put in it inadvertently that had to do with ah... a Senate election that happened back in 1954 where they were elected for a four year term and deleted ah... two years of their retirement in it and also it has to do with two Congressional license plates and ah... Also has something to do with ah... the way that stolen vehicles are ah... picked up."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of conference committee report #2 to Senate Bill 649. On the question, is there discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, the gentleman mentioned two Congressional license plates. I wonder if he'd explain that part of the conference committee report."

Keller: "Yes, Jim, what this does is ah... Congressmen right now can only receive one set of plates and what it does is give them a set so the can put



one on their car in Washington and one on their car in Illinois."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

Beaupre: "This is an Amendment to the Illinois Vehicle Code. Representative Keller, is there anything in here that would change the speed limit for ah... vehicles over 4,000 pounds to 55 miles per hour?"

Keller: "No."

Shea: "Mr. Schraeder wants to find out if there's anything on helmets."

Schraeder: "How right you are, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Keller, do they have anything to do with the helmet law?"

Keller: "There is no helmets in there and also there is no money in here for GOHR and the Governor's Action Office."

Shea: "All right, now Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah... Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

Deuster: "In your explanation, I thought you said something about a Senate race in 1954 or you made some reference to the, to the Senate or to Senators or what was that you..."

Keller: "I didn't make any reference to the Senate. Oh, to the Congressional plates ah... Right now ah... they are allowed to receive ah... two sets of plates and I understand that ah... we can by request get up to three sets of plates for our cars with our ah... House plates on it or Senate plates and what this does now is allow the United States Congressmen to get two sets of plates. Under the present law, they can only receive one set."

Deuster: "But I thought I distinctly heard you say something relating to a 1954 Senate race."

Keller: "No, what, what that... Maybe Mr. Garmisa can explain it."

Shea: "Mr. Garmisa is an expert on that. It cost him a seat in the Senate. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd be happy to answer ah... my good friend, Representative Deuster's question in regards to the ah... 1954 redistricting in the ah..."



Illinois Senate ah... There was some, there's ah... an Act put in there that's provided for ah... those that were redistricted ah... were elected in '52 and redistricted out in '54 to have the emoluments of the office for the four year period in which he was elected. Now inadvertently, the staff on the Transportation Committee on the Senate side thought that this language was obsolete and did not affect any Member of ah... any serving Member of either the House or the Senate, ah... but they were in error because I am still affected by that because I was part of that 1954 redistricting. So they ah... put this language back in the Statute as it was originally before ah... this Bill was passed or before this Bill was brought to the attention of, to my attention anyway."

Deuster: "Do these emoluments of office relate to the license plates, is that..."

Garmisa: "Not a thing to do with it, but this part of the language is in that part of the Statute."

Deuster: "I see, thank you."

Shea: "Now the gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, moves that the House do accept conference committee report #2 to Senate Bill 649. On that question all in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 132 'ayes', 2 'nays', 3 Members voting 'present' and the House does adopt conference committee report #2 on House, er... Senate Bill 649. Ms. Geo-Karis on Supplemental Calendar #... That's not ready yet, Ms. Geo-Karis. They're just getting that report out. On the order of Supplemental Calendar #6 appears House Bill 1314. Mr. Byers, the gentleman from Madison."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. After going to conference committee and discussing various differences with ah... different groups on this, we did agree to ah.... put in more funds for direct patient care at the following ah... centers-Dixon, Lincoln, Howe and Murray. And there will be also money in for Manteno, Waukegan and Chester under Amendments added in the Senate and another Amendment added in the conference committee this morning was the Illinois Mental Health Institute on the West side of Chicago. And this was at the urging of the Illinois Association and ah... for retired citizens in most instances and I would move we concur with conference committee report #2 to House Bill 1314."



Shea: "The gentleman moves that the House do concur with conference committee report #2 to House Bill 1314. On that question the gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Well, I don't believe that we've got a perfect conference report. This conference committee report does put a half a million dollars back in direct patient care in four state institutions. I think it's the best effort that the Legislature can put forth in this late hour and I would concur in Representative Byers motion to adopt the conference report #2 to House Bill 1314."

Shea: "The gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah... Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the only point I wanted to make here and ah... I wanted to make certain that ah... one of us from the district in which the Dixon State School is located got an opportunity to say that we did have objections. We aren't happy with the compromise, but reviewing all of the facts relative to the amount of money that's been ah... ah... cut, that we are willing to support at least that aspect of it in the entire legislation."

Shea: "The gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Thank you, would the gentleman yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

Polk: "I appreciate that you put some money back in, but would you be just a little bit more specific. I, I failed to ah... I missed it. Exactly how much went to Dixon and how much went to Lincoln."

Byers: "\$125,000 to each."

Polk: "To each?"

Byers: "Yes, sir."

Polk: "Okay, thank you."

Byers: "Personal services."

Shea: "Did he answer your question, Mr. Polk? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I ah... I'm going to oppose this concurrence. However, I think everyone should vote their own conscience on this. I just want to point out that ah... that the original \$13,000,000 which was ah... cut out on this last



ah... conference report, the Senate only agreed to give us back \$500,000 of the \$13,000,000 and I believe that this ah... small amount is, for the Senate or for anyone else to take back from its Mental Health, the \$13,000,000 when we talk about the \$200,000,000 building fund, road building, when we talk about the state office building for \$75,000,000 and we're talking about \$13,000,000. This \$500,000 is a paltry amount and it's not going to go very far. Now I just want to point out that this is to the direct care of patients in these facilities. This is not for the upper management. We don't want to help and we don't want to increase the staff of upper management, but we do want to see these patients taken care of. Cause that's the most important need and I'm going to oppose this particular ah... concurrence."

Shea: "All right, there are many people that want to talk and I assure you, let your lights flash and you'll be called on. The gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I suppose, I think the Sponsor pointed out this is the same conference committee report as #1 except that it's added ah... \$500,000 to personal services in four different areas. Ah... Developmental disabilities has still been left about a million dollars short. Since this is final action on the Bill, it's going to be difficult to vote against it, but I thought maybe that ah... you people that ah... interested in developmental disabilities should know this and ah... I just wanted to point it out. Thank you."

Byer: "Mr. Speaker..."

Shea: "You'll have a chance to close, Mr. Byers. The gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think what has not been fully explained here that the institutions such as Murray and Dixon and so on are actually getting a 14% increase of a year ago plus the \$125,000 that was put in the conference committee report. This has been done ah... notwithstanding effect that the number of patients have, has decreased and with regard to ah... Representative Ryan's problem, there's an increase of 40% over a year ago. So I don't want anyone to go away from here thinking that we are neglecting ah... these institutions or the personal services needed to take care of those



patients."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines."

Gaines: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

Gaines: "On the last report, it was... I inquired as to where the cuts where and it was mentioned that the community services part, there was some heavy cuts. Well, was any money restored to the community services ah... portion by the second report?"

Byers: "Mr. Gaines, that total cut ah... there's \$6,950,000 more than there was last year and the cut from the House to Senate is \$800,000. We left in a million, two."

Gaines: "Well, I.. That's not in response to my question. My question was the specific part about the community services ah... It was mentioned in the first report that there was quite a bit cut out from the original Bill and I want to know if the second conference committee report put any of that money back in."

Byers: "No."

Gaines: "Thank you."

Shea: "The gentleman from Hardin."

Winchester: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Byers: "Yes, it's still there, Bob."

Winchester: "Okay, thank you."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs."

Downs: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, several questions have been asked which have been answered that not one cent has been restored between conference committee #1 and conference committee #2 and there was such a hue and cry about conference committee #1 and now it's clear where the interest was and it had to do as it properly should in part with that portion of the Bill that has to do with the institutions, but I objected as a cosponsor of this Bill to being totally excluded from these conference committees and apparently there has been nothing in the way of in porter persuasion to argue for the community program, to argue for the shelter care workshop program, the day care and I must strenuously object and certainly call your attention to it."

Shea: "The gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."



McMaster: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

McMaster: "Ah... Could you tell me is there any difference with the funding for Galesburg State Research for fiscal '76 in comparison to fiscal '75?"

Byers: "What research, what research was that?"

McMaster: "Galesburg State Research, Galesburg, Illinois. Illinois State Research in Galesburg. Stop it, John. I would really like to know."

Byers: "All right, the ah..."

Shea: "Can we pass that for a minute and let his research assistants there look up the information and we'll get back to you."

McMaster: "In regard to the Research Hospital. Thank you, Jerry."

Shea: "Okay, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer. Mr. Byers, why don't you let your fellow there look it up and you answer the question."

Palmer: "If Mr. Byers will answer a question regarding the direct patient care ratio at Lincoln and at Dixon, ah... whether what it is at this point."

Byers: "Lincoln and Dixon?"

Palmer: "Yeh."

Byers: "Direct patient care, the ratio."

Palmer: "Yeh, direct patient care ratio."

Byers: "All right, just a minute here."

Palmer: "It used to about... If it's going to take any time, you can take it out of the record."

Byers: "I've got Mr. McMasters answer and it's 3.8."

Shea: "Does that answer your questions, Mr. Palmer?"

Byers: "Les.."

Palmer: "No, he answered Mr. McMasters on that ah... He's going to answer me."

Shea: "Was that all right on you, Tim?"

McMaster: "I would like to know in comparison to what, but I'll accept it."

Byers: "We can't find that information right now."

Shea: "Excuse me, please. Is there somebody with a can of pop on one of the rails. If they are, would they please take it off so it doesn't fall on the press box. Now back to Mr. Palmer's questions."

Palmer: "He doesn't know the answer. One of the problems we have in



JUN 30 1975

230.

Illinois is the direct patient care ratio and the federal funds hinge on that ah... on that ratio. I think we've been lagging on that somewhat and we need more direct patient care. Ah... certainly at Lincoln and Dixon and perhaps next year, we can address ourselves to that point in a better fashion than what we have now. Thank you."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to ask some questions, but I see the time's getting late and I move the previous question."

Shea: "There's just two more gentlemen and I think that they're entitled to their answers. Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Yeh, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I was opposed to this on the first conference committee and I'm still opposed. However, I know I have to vote for this budget, but I'd just like to see what the vote would be if each of you people in this House could visit these institutions as Mr. Lauer, Mr. Boyle and myself have. I think the vote would be a lot different as to restoration of these funds that were taken away for direct patient care. I'm going to have to vote for it, but let's take a look at this next year and in the meantime, visit these institutions and see how these people are cared for. It's a disgrace."

Shea: "The gentleman from Lauer, er... from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I think this is probably the best compromise that we can come up with at this time. However, I have discussed with several of the Members of the commission to visit and investigate state institutions or we should during the summer and early fall. Go into these institutions and take a good look and see whether or not the funds that we are providing are adequate. I doubt that they are, but it's kind of like when you go out to sell a bull, Mr. Speaker. You ask for a lot and you hope to get most of it. I think that in this case, we're gotten as much as we can for those who are least able to help themselves and I would solicit an 'aye' vote on this measure."

Shea: "The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers, to close."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, too, join in the ah... concerns of everyone has for the people in the mental hospitals and I certainly know that the State of Illinois is putting more money in Mental Health



than other State in the Union and ah... I know that's not enough. We can do more and do better, but I would appreciate an 'aye' vote on this budget so that we can take care of the people in our State hospitals and we appreciate your vote."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt conference committee report #2 to House Bill 1314. All in favor will vote 'aye'; those opposed will vote 'nay'. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, wishes to explain his vote."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, of course I'm going to have to support this budget, but I hope that if Dr. Levitt is within hearing ah... he would note of the fact that I've been advised that there've been some cuts at the Illinois Psychiatric Hospital ah... Ispay, in Chicago which are seriously effecting ah... patient care there and research in several areas, including the area of depression and I would hope that he would reconsider these cuts if he can by some administrative transfers of money. The other thing I'd like to say, Mr. Speaker, is that I think this business of returning patients to the community can be overdone when we're talking about returning psychotics and other people who're really not ready ah... for ah... return to the community who are actually dangerous and unable to take care of themselves. Nevertheless, I am going to vote 'aye'."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines, to explain his vote."

Gaines: "My 'no' vote is not a dispersion against the Mental Health Department, even though we've had our differences. It's in protest to cutting the community services budget. I'm not endangering the rest of the budget because it's overwhelming, but I feel there's a time when the Department has been turning people out into the community and then you turn around and cut the budget necessary to maintain these people in the community and to foul follow-up services. You're doing a great service, disservice to the community and I wish to say that I hope the Department will slow down their discharge of patients since they did not have a budget to follow up on them and keep them in the institutions a little longer since they have more money for that purpose. Thank you."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. D'Arco."

D'Arco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah... Just for Mr. Mann's information,



those cuts that you alluded to, Bob, ah... were restored in the budget and ah... to the effect of some \$400,000 so we can figure out why criminals become criminals and ah... do whatever they do."

Shea: "Take the Roll Call, sir. Mr. Clerk, would you take the Roll Call, please. On this question there are 138 'ayes', 7 'nays', 5 Members voting 'present' and the House adopts conference committee report #2 on House Bill 1314 by a three-fifths constitutional majority. Now, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I rise, I hope, for the good of the House. Ah... It's pretty apparent that the Members aren't going to get to go home tonight and ah... since we are on the first of July ah... I would like to suggest that we take an attendance Roll Call and establish a legislative day."

Shea: "Yourell 'aye' on this last one."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker..."

Shea: "Mr. Duff, the Speaker and the Minority Leader are talking about that and Mr. Walsh brought to my attention that several or one or two Members were being voted who apparently weren't here and I've taken the keys out of their desks. Now, Mr. Clerk, read the messages from the Senate."

Fred Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a second conference committee to consider the differences between the House in regard to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1968. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a second conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to Senate Amendments 2, 5, 6, and 7 to House Bill 1314. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate acceded to the request of the House for second conference committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 5 to Senate Bill 510. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following



JUN 30 1975

233.

title, Senate Bill 1015. Action, concurred in by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of House Amendment #1 to the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1016. Concurred in by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate acceded the request of the House for a conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments # 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 248. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Shea: "On the order of con... conference committee reports appears Senate Bill 510 and on that question, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I request a short delay. There is some degree of unreadiness. It won't be long."

Shea: "All right. Where's the Calendar? Is Mr. Keller on the floor? Mr. Keller on the floor? He's, he's working in negotiating his piece, huh? Are you ready to go on that little item, Mr. Keller? On Supplemental Calendar #3, Mr. Keller, are you ready to go on that?"

Keller: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, ah... I don't think ah... The motion's been put. Has it been circulated yet?"

Shea: "I don't know. The motion is on the desk. You don't have to circulate it. We'll just have it read."

Keller: "I'm ready if everything's in order and the Governor is ready for this ah... which ah... I don't think he is."

(con't on next page)



Shea: "On the order of the Supplemental Calendar #3..... read that, Mr. Clerk."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Motions. I move to accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 335 in the manner and form as follows: Amend engrossed House Bill 335 on page 1 by deleting lines 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'An Act to make certain changes in the law relating to racing by amending certain Act herein named.'; and on page 5 by inserting below line 26 the following: 'Section 2. Section 2 is added to 'An Act to amend Sections 5,22, and 28 of the Illinois Harness Racing Act, approved July 17, 1945, as amended, approved June 24, 1975, Public Act 79-61, the added Section to read as follows: 'Section 2. This Amendatory Act shall take effect July 1, 1975, but no license may be issued hereunder before October 1, 1975 except in relation to the Du Quoin State Fair and Du Quoin State Fairgrounds. Section 3. If any provisions of this Amendatory Act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, such invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this Amendatory Act which can be given effect without the invalid application or provision, and to this end the provisions of this Amendatory Act are declared to be severable. Section 4. This Amendatory Act shall take effect July 1, 1975.' Dated June 30, 1975. Charles Keller."

Shea: "Mr. Keller, and I hope you have a lawyer ah... Mr. Hart, behind you there."

Keller: "I have good lawyers behind me and a good one up there beside you that helped draft this. Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, this Bill originally was designed to encourage the breeding of thoroughbred horses in Illinois and to stimulate racing with Illinois fold horses. This was House Bill 335. However, to offset a mistake, the Governor applied an Amendatory Veto to this thoroughbred Bill, which would write in substantial changes. It would allow gambling at the Illinois State Fair this year. This motion I have now filed will do as follows: It will not allow any gambling at all on the Illinois State Fair Grounds this year; however, we do have a Du Quoin State Fair, which is in southern Illinois which is a privately



owned concern and it will allow them to take effect upon the Governor's thing ah.. through this Amendatory Veto here ah... to allow them to add parimutuel betting this fall. I was saddened to learn that if I supported legalized gambling, which I don't, that the Governor's in his efforts, who has long advocated legalizing parimutuel betting at the Illinois State Fair and his haste to sign the Bill, Senate Bill 428, which would have made parimutuel betting possible, did not draw upon the advise of his own learned people in the Executive Branch of government; as well as all of the legal talents available to him, signed a Bill which did not have an early effective date. So I now find myself in a position, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, that although I am opposed to legalized gambling, I find myself in this position of attempting to keep a great industry in southern Illinois. So I am now allowing, without my supportive vote, my Bill to become the vehicle to allow parimutuel betting at the Du Quoin State Fairgrounds and probably this will make it possible from now on to keep this great sport, The Hambletonian, in southern Illinois. I do want to explain to you, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, that I am allowing my Bill, which was intended to improve the horse breeding industry in Illinois, to become the vehicle..... in the interest of keeping this great sport in southern Illinois and that only. I hope that it does. In addition to the ones that advocate parimutuel betting and gambling, it is possible to gamble. I now allow the Membership to vote on this, however I do intend to vote 'present' here on my own Bill due to the fact that it still allows gambling on the horse races at the fairgrounds. However, I hope that this Bill..... and I know that it is going to become law and ah... we will keep that great sport in southern Illinois and that is the main interest in allowing this to happen."

Shea: "On my question now becomes lawyers..... does Mr. Hart want to talk as a lawyer, as a southern Illinoisan or just sit there?"

Hart: "Well, I want to do both."

Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Hart: "First, ah... I want to say that I do not believe that the ah... the scope of the Amendatory Veto encompassed the act that the



Governor originally took nor the action that ah...encompasses in this motion ah... limiting the Amendatory Veto to the Du Quoin State Fair: However, I join with Representative Keller in feeling that we have no other choice but to at least attempt this. I went to the first running of the Hambletonian at the Du Quoin State Fair. The fairground is 18 miles from my home. Bill Hays, the owner of it and the President of the Fair Association is a long time friend of mine, he's a fraternity brother of mine and his sister ah... I used to date and I know her very well ah.... no, ah... she's a good friend and she lives in my district. She lives in Union County. I have a great deal of mixed emotions about this. I've known the Hays family ever since I was a boy. I do not believe, really, that William Hays, the founder of the Du Quoin State Fair, would have approved a parimutuel betting at the Du Quoin State Fair, but times do change. I believe that it's probably essential to the salvation of the Du Quoin State Fair that ah... parimutuel betting ah... be legalized. The Hambletonian Race means a lot; not only to southern Illinois, but to all of the State of Illinois and we want to keep it in Du Quoin. For that reason, I intend to cast an 'aye' vote for this motion. I want to say ah... on the floor of this House, that it gives me a great deal of problem ah... to ah... do this ah.. to correct an error of the Governor's in his haste for publicity ah... that he did not take the time to review and analyze the effect of the Bill that he signed creating parimutuel betting. It's a little bit difficult for me to swallow in that regard, but I do not intend ah... by the negative vote on this, to give the Governor an opportunity to excuse his errors by the failure of the legislature to make an attempt to correct them. On behalf of Bill Hays and on behalf of the Du Quoin State Fair Association and the State of Illinois and on behalf of the Hambletonian, which I think ranks high in the attractions of Illinois and ranks high in the development of the economy of southern Illinois, I'm going to cast my 'aye' vote on this issue hoping that it will not be challenged in the courts and hoping that it will in some way ah.. inance the economy of our area."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer."



Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A parliamentary inquiry. I'd like to raise the question of germaness of the Governor's Amendatory Veto and ask for a parliamentary ruling on it."

Speaker Redmond: "I believe it is germane."

Meyer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijeich: "Ah... I guess I have a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

As I understood Representative Keller, ah.. he is making a motion on the Governor's Amendatory Veto and ah.. if we follow the motion, as I see it, it very probably will be that the Governor would not certify that we meet his specific recommendation. Let me finish, Representative Keller. I maybe wrong ah... ah... I want to state my whole case here. In other words, as I understand the Amendatory Veto ah.. and I don't know, but ah.... it would apply to both the State Fair and to Du Quoin and evidently by his motion ah... he is in a sense amending the Amendatory Veto. I really don't think that we have that power. We've discussed that in previous Sessions and there's been some dispute about it. I don't think we've got that power. Even if we do, I think we are placing this in jeopardy because the Governor could then certify that we don't need the specific recommendation and he would return it back to this House with the Veto Bills. So I think that the proper motion ought to be that ah.... we approve the Governor's Veto and ah... in its entirety."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all, I would like to inquire as to whether copies of the Amendatory Veto have been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "I imagine so. This has been on the Calendar for a long time."

Boyle: "Okay. Then secondly, ah... Mr. Speaker, I probably should direct this to Representative Keller. Is the effect of your motion that we authorize parimutuel betting at the private track at Du Quoin and yet deny it to the public at the Illinois State Fair?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keller."

Keller: "I was being interrupted there, Ken. Would you please restate that?"



Boyle: "Well, I'm wondering whether or not the substance of your motion is that we authorize parimutuel betting at a private fair in Du Quoin, but deny it to the public of the State of Illinois at the Illinois State Fair."

Keller: "Only until October 1 does it make a difference. Ah..."

Boyle: "Well, what's the reason why you won't agree to authorize the people of the State of Illinois to participate in parimutuel betting at the Illinois State Fair?"

Keller: "Well, as I say ah... two things come into being here. A lot of people are against betting at the Illinois State Fair. This Bill only received 90 votes when it came out and they don't want to mix 4-H's up with gambling."

Boyle: "They like to gamble more down in Du Quoin than they do in Springfield, huh?"

Keller: "Now, ah.. the other thing that comes in here is ah... one is private and one is public, as I know and I have work from the Governor's staff here that they will accept this motion in this particular order."

Boyle: "Well, speaking to the Bill, Mr. Speaker; I oppose the motion. I don't care whether the Governor's Office has agreed to it or not. I don't think they have a constitutional prerogative to agree to grant parimutuel betting to a private race track that is owned by a private individual and separate this issue and then deny it to the people of the State of Illinois at the Illinois State Fair. What's good for the goose is good for the gander. If it's good enough for Du Quoin than it oughta be good enough for the citizens of the State of Illinois in Springfield and I think that we oughta either adopt or reject the Amendatory Veto on its face and not be making these side deals."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I am in sympathy with what Representative Keller is trying to do, but I can tell you that it was never the intent of the Constitutional Convention for the ah... for this legislature to do anything but accept or reject the Governor's Amendatory Veto. You can't horse around with these things and amend them again. I can assure you of that and the Constitution is quite clear. Anyone that can read, can read it right there."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I'm going to be big about this. Bigger than other people have around here. I'm not going to do anything that appears with the Hambletonian, but I've sat here and voted for southern Illinois time after time without fail. I don't appreciate what you're doing with this amendment. It's not fit, but I'm going to support you anyway."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Keller: "Yes, I will."

McMaster: "Ah.... Charlie, If I can understand things correctly before I vote on this. As I understand it, we passed legislation and put it on the Governor's desk that would allow parimutuel betting both at the Illinois State Fair and at Du Quoin. Is that correct?"

Keller: "That's correct."

McMaster: "Can you tell me the effect of the Governor's Amendatory Veto?"

Keller: "The effect of the Governor's Amendatory Veto was on another Bill where he placed in an effective date on my Bill."

McMaster: "No, are you trying to tell me that he made the effective date for the Illinois State Fair parimutuel at an effective time that the Illinois State Fair this summer could have parimutuel?"

Keller: "Yes."

McMaster: "Did he do the same for the Du Quoin State Fair?"

Keller: "Yes, he did."

McMaster: "In other words, ah.... Charlie, ah... can you tell me then, you are moving to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto?"

Keller: "Without..... the way it reads here and I can read it per se; that it takes effect July 1, 1975, but no license may be issued hereunder before October 1, 1975, accept in relation to the Du Quoin State Fair and the Du Quoin State Fair Grounds. Now this is done for two reasons. One, the Illinois State Fair is a public State Fair and I had reservations about it being amended to put the 4-H's and the gamblers together. The other thing is ah... by October 1, we may hopefully have a new State Fair Board ah... an elected State Fair



Board and ah... it would be their prerogative to make the decision because this is not mandatory racing whether we have legalized gambling at the State Fair."

McMaster: "Charlie, I think that most fairs, whether it be in Du Quoin or Springfield, allow the public to answer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative....."

McMaster: "Let me finish, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

McMaster: "I think that we need to keep the Hambletonian in Du Quoin. I oppose the legislation that would allow parimutuel betting at any race track in the State of Illinois. I have never attended a track that had parimutuel betting, Charlie, but I do think this; I think the Hambletonian and the Kentucky Derby and all of the other important races or running horses rolled into one, when it comes harness racing. I think that we need to keep the Hambletonian in Illinois. I think we must to this. I think you are wrong in attempting to amend an Amendatory Veto, Charlie. I don't really think that you can do it. I think Representative Friedrich is right. An Amendatory Veto is that and only that and I think whatever we do, we will find out that you cannot, by legislation in this Chamber, amend an Amendatory Veto."

Keller: "May I respond to that, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Keller: "Tom, I have some legal advisors who ah.. have only the best interest of our Legislative Reference Bureau downstairs ah... there's Dave Epstein up there and also the Governor's legal advisors say that we can do this and that it will be acceptable to the Governor."

McMaster: "Well, you know what they say, Charlie? It's like my State's Attorney says about an Attorney General..... they're nothing but another damn lawyer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't know what this is all about, but I have a pretty good idea what it's all about. We're in the last couple of hours of the Session and now we're getting into the political arena again and we're making speeches. I think this motion is entirely out of order and I would like to move a second



substitute motion that we accept the Amendatory Veto and get on with this political hogwash."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not a lawyer, constitutional or otherwise, but I have an intuitive layman's reaction to this whole mish-mosh. It appears to me that this whole thing is a way of flagrantly ignoring the Constitution of the State of Illinois. First of all, my intuitive reaction is that the Governor overstepped his constitutional bounds when he used one Bill as a vehicle to amend another Bill. It appears that we are trying to out-do the Governor by amending his Amendatory Veto. Now it seems to me that this is flagrantly unconstitutional and I'd like a ruling from the Chair as to whether or not this motion is in fact, in order."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I raised a question of the Chair as to whether or not this motion is in order."

Speaker Redmond: "I believe that it is in order, Representative Madison."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, wherever we are, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and Representative Keller to close."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I ah.. hope that we can see....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, I understood that there was a substitute motion. If that's true ah... then perhaps Schraeder should close."

Speaker Redmond: "Is a substitute motion in running? Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "I move the substitute motion lie on the table."

Speaker Redmond: "It would be the preference of the Chair ah... if we'll get a vote on this ah..... Representative Keller, out of common courtesy, I think it's something like striking the enacting clause. Representative Keller."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I hope



that those people on the other side of the aisle and on this side and on this side ah..... because this race is of great interest to several people that sit in this legislature, especially here ah... Bruce Richmond, Vince Birchler and Ralph Dunn ah... I hope that they can keep that great sport of harness racing in southern Illinois, in Du Quoin, and keep it there from now on. I know what they want on this particular issue and I hope that we can along and keep this great sport in the State of Illinois and especially the Hambletonian in southern Illinois in Du Quoin."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 335 be accepted in the manner and form as contained in the motion. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. 107."

Keller: "This is my motion here..... the one I filed?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct. Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House.

I merely want to say that I think the Governor has overstepped and misused his Amendatory Veto and I think that we are compounding that abuse ah... by ratifying this Bill. I'm going to have to vote 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, for allowing me to explain my vote. After all the Hambletonian is raced in Du Quoin and after all, I have had my light on ever since Representative Keller started speaking. It was all right to ignore me when we were voting on the possum, but I certainly think that this is a little more important than that and I would urge everyone to vote an 'aye' vote on this. The Hambletonian is going to be raced in Du Quoin on August 30, whether we have parimutuel or not. This track needs parimutuel betting and needs ah... to be effective this year. The price that Mr. Hays and members of the family had to pay to ah.... guarantee the first ah.... to have the Hambletonian back in Du Quoin ah.... you know, we nearly lost it. It went to Liberty Bell Track in Pennsylvania last year and it came back only because of the assurance of many of us in the General Assembly that we would help Mr. Hays see that he had parimutuel betting. I personally don't know whether parimutuel betting will work



at the DuQuoin Fairgrounds, but that's a private owned fairgrounds, its not subsidized except for premiums and those kind of things from the State and if parimutuel doesn't work then I'm sure Mr. Hays will be the first to know about it. I'd urge a....I understand it may take 107 votes, I certainly urge that we get 107 votes up there and pass this bill and okay the Amendatory Veto and the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bruce Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I also would like to rise in support, and ask your support of this action here that's covered by this bill. I did quite a bit of work, as all of us in Southern Illinois, here just a short time ago in time to save the Hambletonian. And the State Legislature at that time did come to our rescue and help tremendously. Now we ask that you consider going a little farther and help us to make it legal for parimutuel betting. As has been pointed out, this is not a guaranteed cure, but we think it will be very beneficial and it certainly would be very beneficial to our area. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know anything about parimutuel, but I know that the Hambletonian has a long and distinguished name of accomplishment in that area. Obviously, the economy of that area would be helped and even thought there is a question in some of our minds about the constitutionality of this Amendatory Veto being remodeled. I think its a case that can be taken up if necessary. And if the Southern Illinois boys are willing to go along with it, I think we could try and help that area and vote for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birchler."

Birchler: "Thank you Mr. Speaker for giving me the privilege to speak to this body. The Hambletonian...."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order."

Birchler: "The Hambletonian is run within 33 miles of my home. I've been to this place, to the fairgrounds at DuQuoin to see this race on many occasions. The General Assembly has been kind to us to help us this time, and in the last session, to see that we had help keeping it



there. I rise here this evening to ask you members of this General Assembly to put a green light on the board up there and give us help so that we can get this bill through so that we can keep the Kentucky Derby of harness racing in the State of Illinois. I thank you and urge you all again to vote green."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Well Mr. Speaker, I think what we're going through is quite an exercise in futility. What former Con-Con delegate, Dwight Friedrich, told you is 100% on the mark. We discussed the very thing that we're doing here tonight at some length in the Executive Article Committee. How many times are you gonna kick this legislation back and forth between the Governor and the members of the General Assembly. We decided at some point there had to be a cut-off and the cut-off came at the time the Governor returned the legislation. We, in the General Assembly, were not to be given any further chance to modify that legislation. I think that is crystal clear and all the proceedings in the Executive Article Committee and I think in the way that it was explained on the convention floor, so I suggest to you that the thing that we are going to have to do is either to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto or to deny it. There is no way possible that we can modify it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would not hesitate to defend the cause if I thought that what was being done was right, but I have to say that no matter what my admiration for the sponsor, I cannot join him in this effort because its wrong. If we sweep away the law today, there will be no law to protect us tomorrow. I do not believe that any track, any bill, any issue, is worth the entire distortion and misrepresentation of the law. I think that we have to be honest with ourselves and we have to maintain some form of integrity. What we are doing here, today, is patently unconstitutional, its wrong, it was never intended by the court, and if we do this today, I say there will be no limit, we will have no Third Readings and if you ever want to talk about deals, shuffles, reshuffles, or whatever you might call it, I suggest we will have nothing but a shambles for a legislature and I suggest that the only proper vote in this case,



so however unimportant or however unfavorable and distasteful it might be, is a vote of no, because you have to stand with our integrity and with your conscience."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 85 ayes, 21 noes, and the motion is lost. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, since the motion in effect was not to adopt the Amendatory Veto, but to modify it, what position are we in now relative to the Amendatory Veto?"

Speaker Redmond: "I understand that motion has failed. I understand there's another motion, has that been filed with the Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "Yes sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you read the motion?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, my question is has the Amendatory Veto failed or has the motion to modify it failed?"

Speaker Redmond: "The motion to modify has failed."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig....Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "Motion. I move to accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 335 in the manner and form as follows: Amend engrossed House Bill 335 on page 1 by deleting lines 1 and 2, and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'An Act to make certain changes in the law relating to racing and amend certain Acts herein named on page 5 by inserting below line 26 the following: Section 2. Section 2 is added to an Act to amend Section 5, 22, and 28 of the Illinois Harness Racing Act approved July 17, 1945, as amended. Approved June 24, 1975, Public Act 79-51 with the added section to read as follows: Section 2. This amendatory Act shall take effect July 1, 1975. Section 3. With any provision of this amendatory Act or the application thereof to any person or circumstances held invalid, such validity does not effect other provisions or applications of this amendatory Act which can be given effect without the invalid application or provision and to this end, the provision of this amendatory Act are declared to be servable. Section 4 of this amendatory Act shall take effect July 1, 1975.



Robert Craig'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I would like to ask all of you to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto on behalf of the State Fair and the DuQuoin State Fair. This is in conjunction with the veto of last week, or last Friday I believe...(tape trouble)...on Mr. Ted Lechowicz' bill, which amended the Finance Act, and this will amend the Horse Racing Act till it will be possible for them to have that parimutuel racing, both at the State Fair and at the DuQuoin State Fair immediately, that they so wanted and acquire for it from the Racing Board. I would appreciate an aye vote and 107 up there to do this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I'm sorry Mr. Speaker, it was impossible to hear what Representative Craig was asking us to do over on this side and I wonder, would you just say again what are you moving that we override the Amendatory Veto?"

Craig: "I'm moving to uphold the Governor's Amendatory Veto..."

Deuster: "Oh, you're moving to accept..."

Craig: "for the Governor's Amendatory Veto so we can have racing at the State Fair in Springfield and DuQuoin both."

Deuster: "And that takes 89 votes, does it Mr. Craig?"

Craig: "It takes 107 to become effective immediately."

Deuster: "Well I thought the Constitution said that we may accept the specific recommendations for change by record vote of the majority members elected. Isn't that just 89?"

Speaker Redmond: "107. That was ruled on the previous motion and its ruled on this motion. Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Will the sponsor yield to a question or two?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

McMaster: "Bob, as I understand this Amendatory Veto, the Governor, by his amendment, will give racing, parimutuel racing to the State Fair and DuQuoin Fair this year."

Craig: "Right."

McMaster: "Another question Bob. Is it possible to come up with the



necessary equipment to provide that parimutuel betting in this short length of time? Just when is the State Fair, when are the dates?"

Craig: "I think the 9th or so of August. I think the 9th to the 18th or something like that in August."

McMaster: "Approximately a month away. Can you buy the equipment and install it in that length of time?"

Craig: "That I cannot answer, but its not compulsory that we do this and if they can't do it, they don't have to do it. It merely allows them to if they so could do something...."

McMaster: "Bob, you read the newspapers like I do. As I read them, the equipment for parimutuel betting has been stored under the grandstand of the State Fairgrounds since last year, is this not correct?"

Craig: "I think they have some of it there, but..."

McMaster: "Has this been paid for?"

Craig: "That I don't know whether its been paid for."

McMaster: "No, neither do I, but I wish that I knew."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This, now this vote, really we need this, and neither the last vote, and I tried my very best, and I think it was a better vote than this one, although as being a constitutional convention delegate, I have to join with my friends in wondering a little bit about how legal it was, but I raised to accept it and if we could have gotten 107 votes, I was perfectly happy to do this. Now I've talked to the Governor's people today here on the floor as other members have in talking about this, and the Governor's men, I haven't talked to the Governor, himself... he doesn't seem to want to concern himself with this.... says that they won't have time to put it in Springfield, but it will allow us to have it in DuQuoin, and I would urge that you give us an aye vote on this bill so that we can have an opportunity, if they can install the equipment, to have parimutuel betting this year instead of next year at the DuQuoin State Fair. My friends over on this side of the aisle and that side of the aisle I earnestly beg and plead with you to give me 107 votes on this, on Mr. Craig's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keller. Give the Gentleman order."



Keller: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is something you'd better think about long and hard. Yes, you better think about it. The Governor of this State is by dilatory tactics trying to steal a bill away from me so that he can use it for his own personal goals. Well, now think about it. If the Governor can take over this bill and do whatever he wants, then he can take over one of your bills just as well. If you allow this to happen, then you're giving up your reason for being here, and that is to represent the people of your area. If you allow this to happen, then there is not a House of Representatives, but there's a House of Puppets without voices, without rights, and without meetings. If you allow the Governor's man to take over this bill, you have killed yourself and surrendered up all rights to call yourself representatives of the people. You'll be the tools of the Governor. Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 335 was my bill and I guided it through the House and encouraged it through the Senate as it passed both Houses. Now the Governor and his cronies are attempting to literally kidnap this bill by Amendatory Veto and then change it into a gambling bill that corrects the stupidity in the Governor's office and let the original parimutuel bill be signed into law without an effective date. If you want to be a party to stupidity at the highest level, that's your business, but there's more involved here, that the Governor of this State can take a bill away from me, he can, at some future date, take a bill away from each of you. And if it allows this to happen just one time, then you've opened the doors of the House to wide open politics and chaos and compensation. These are the strong-arm tactics of dictators and to take what they want and do as they wish, the public be damned. We use to pride ourselves on being a House ruled by orders. In this, any Governor can take a bill in this manner, then we become a House in which disorder prevails and the Governor will have the power to take any bill of his choosing and convert it into his own use by real chaos. So think about it, Ladies and Gentlemen, take your choice between the rules of order and decency and dissent into government by legislative banditry."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative...."

Keller: "...with this kind of action, the Governor mocks us. He treats us



like fools and shows us no respect whatsoever to either the legislators or the legislative process. I am urging each of you to either vote present or no on this particular motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in this particular instance, again as I said before, it appears to me that the Governor has stepped outside the bounds of his constitutional authority and responsibility. Here we have what amounts to, Mr. Speaker, to an amendment being offered to a bill, and I suggest that that amendment is not germane. And in the same regard the Governor's Amendatory Veto to this bill is not germane to the subject matter. And for that reason, I will defy any constitutional lawyer to show me where the Governor has any authority to take a bill and put substantive language into that bill and have it have no bearing on the subject matter in the bill that he has applied his Amendatory Veto to. I, for one, will have no part to it and I will vote no on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question, is shall the main question be put? All in favor indicate by saying aye; opposed, no. The ayes have it. Representative Craig to close."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I ask Mr. Keller, I think last Friday evening, if he would do this and he told me he would. And now he has been holding his bill trying to get other things of his liking to get along and get by with the Governor in trying to do other things, and I'd like for this situation to stand on itself. I think each bill should stand on itself, I don't think we ought to mix apples and oranges and I waited to the eleventh hour of the eleventh day, you might say, and before I did this. I tried all the time to get him to do it and he has been holding it up. I'd like to urge an aye vote and get 107. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 335 be accepted in the manner and form as contained in Representative Craig's motion. All in



favor, vote aye; opposed, vote no. It takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to explain my vote. What we're doing, I think all of us would like to keep the DuQuoin Fair here in Illinois. People come from all over the United States to come to watch that great race. Those people come and they like to bet, and it would be a great asset in keeping that race in Illinois and I would like to say this. I feel that this is something that the A's people at DuQuoin were very very interested in having and I think this will give them that opportunity. Whether they have the race at the State Fair or not, that's determined...will be determined by the people who are trying to get the things ready. But at least, it will give the racing at DuQuoin this year, of which I'm sure they want it. I've talked to the track and they're all in favor for it. The horsemen and a lot of people would like to see this happen at DuQuoin in particular and I'd appreciate a lot more votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, out of all good deference to my good friend and long time colleague, Representative Craig, I live pretty close to the Hambletonian. In fact, I live just a few miles from the Hambletonian. In fact, I introduced the legislation that brought about a state subsidy for the Hambletonian because it looked like we were going to lose this great sporting event and for anybody to say tonight that this legislation is dependent upon keeping the Hambletonian in the State of Illinois, its erroneous because there's a multi-year contract to keep the Hambletonian in the State of Illinois. Now let me tell you what has happened. Let me tell you what has happened, and I did not want to get involved in this issue because I'm involved with a couple of personal friends, and I'm voting present and I'm voting present because I don't want to take sides when I've got two personal friends involved in an issue of this nature. But I'll tell you one thing, that with this bill that is sponsored by Representative Keller, certain aides of the Governor come on this floor tonight and cut an iron-clad proof deal and said 'yes, we will subscribe to keeping the Hambletonian and forget the DuQuoin State Fair'....I mean the Illinois



State Fair, I mean the Illinois State Fair in Springfield, if you want to know the truth. Only then to go around and say to people 'don't vote for the Keller amendment'. And then when the Keller amendment is dumped, and I don't blame Bob Craig for coming back with the second amendment, don't misunderstand me, because I know his heart and conscience is in it, and he probably was not acquainted with the agreement that was made with Representative Keller, but don't never let nobody tell you that king of sports, as far as the harness racing industry is dependent upon this vote tonight, because it isn't. We in this legislature agreed in the last session of the General Assembly, I think its the third race, the third race of all of the parimutuel betting tracks in the State of Illinois, the proceeds of those races go to subsidize and to help keep the Hambletonian in the State of Illinois. And as I said, they've got a multi-year contract, they're being subsidized to the tune of approximately \$300,000, we have built new barns at taxpayers expense, we have made other renovations and brought about construction at taxpayers expense and I resent the executive branch putting out the story that to keep the Hambletonian in Illinois is dependent upon this vote and I vote present."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hate very much to have to do this, but you know when we talk about the eleventh hour, the eleventh day, its really the thirteenth hour of the twelfth day, or the first hour of the twelfth day, or however you want to put it. But I hate to have to dispute my good friend, Clyde Choate, but I happen to be serving on behalf of Representative Craig and he wants to talk about a deal. I don't know of any deal like he talks about except that the Governor's representative said that if his Amendatory Veto was approved, that he wanted it approved so that we could have racing, parimutuel racing in DuQuoin with the Hambletonian this year. I dispute the man in one other way. A gentleman from DuQuoin told me that Bill Hays has lost a considerable amount of money operating this fair for each of the last four years. Now its not State funds that's going to furnish these machines and these clerks and this help, its the money of the Hays family."



They want it. They want to salvage not only the Hambletonian, they want to salvage their fair and have an opportunity, through this, to make a few dollars. I don't understand when we stood on this floor ten minutes ago and said this is my vehicle for Southern Illinois. A Representative did that, he said this is my vehicle that will give us racing and give us and keep us the Hambletonian in Southern Illinois and now, we're going to do the same thing with the same vehicle and he says no, don't vote for it. I don't understand that kind of a deal and I can tell you this I know of no deal, no other legislation tied to this and I don't know when we talk about deals what we're saying. What are we saying? The deal was that we're going to have the Hambletonian, we're going to have parimutuel racing, we're going to try to help the Hays family, Bill Hays with this fair in and I ask for goodness sakes, can't you put one minute of trust in the Governor when he says you give us this and you'll have your fair in DuQuoin. And we can wait until next year in Springfield, give us the green vote to help the man who wants this and needs the help, and I think deserves it. And I don't know, Clyde, I'll be happy to yield enough time to you to dispute that Bill Hays doesn't want this, but I know, it's been represented to me from a man right from DuQuoin that this is exactly what he wants. He's the man that's gonna have to put the investment in it to make it work and I tell ya, give it to the man and give him that opportunity that doesn't cost the State one dime. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I resent the statements of the previous speaker when he injects a personal issue into legislative matters. We are down here to speak for the people of the State of Illinois, not for individuals, personal interest, and this is what we should judge this legislation on, is the merit of it, not upon what it is going to do for one individual or one individual family. Please, I ask you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 82 ayes, 17 noes, and the motion is lost. On the supplemental calendar No. 4 appears Senate Bill 478."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis, Keller."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I still haven't gotten a copy of the conference report."

Speaker Redmond: "To Senate Bill 478, conference... Conference report been distributed to 478?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, has anyone gotten a copy of it? I haven't gotten it."

Speaker Redmond: "Take this out of the record. On the Supplemental Calendar #7 appears House Bill 3017, Representative Lechowicz on concurrence. Representative Lechowicz. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, to wit. House Bill 3017, together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as amended, June 30, 1975 by a three fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills of the following titles, to wit. House Bill 3096 together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as amended June 30, 1975 by a three fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar #7, conference committee report appears Senate Bill 248, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I ask the House to adopt conference committee report on Senate Bill 248. What this does is the House is receding from House Amendment #1 and we're asking the Senate to concur in House Amendment #2. With the passage of 1354 out of the House and out of the Senate, ah... Amendment #1, House Amendment #1 is no longer necessary in our judgement and the Amendment #2 ah... to the Bill says that teachers are not ah... eligible for unemployment compensation. I would ask for the adoption of the conference committee report on Senate Bill 248."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? The question is shall the House concur with first re... conference committee report on Senate Bill 248. All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Final action, 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the



record. On this question there's 110 'aye' and 1 'no'. On this question there's 110 'aye' and 1 'no'. This having received the constitutional three fifths majority is hereby declared adopted.

Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with leave of the House, I'll be glad to take Senate Bill 478 off the concurrence report. I know, I've been informed that \$180,000 was cut off from the state fair trust fund. I talked with the original Sponsor in the Senate, Senator Knuppel. He has objections and he feels rather than have it die, we could go ahead and I would like to move for ah... concurrence on this report."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Supplemental Calendar #4 under conference committee reports appears Senate Bill 478. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "She indicates she will."

Totten: "Ah... We previously had a Bill, I believe it was House Bill 2238, which listed in it a series of about 15 vendors who are not being, not paid from the last years state fair. Has those vendors and those amounts been amended in Senate Bill 478 for payment?"

Geo-Karis: "Representative, if I may have leave of the Chair, I would like to direct this inquiry to Representative Craig and Representative Craig, Representative Totten would like to know if any of the expenditures that were in House Bill 2238 which were not accepted by this body, whether they are involved in this conference report."

Speaker Redmond: "To whom are you directing your inquiry?"

Geo-Karis: "Representative Craig, if I may."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "No, any of the items that was in 2238 that's out of that and held up in litigation are not in this and this report, I may say, takes out \$180,000 and this report was signed all 10 of the conference committee. I worked on this long and hard and all of the conference committee has signed this report and I'd urge your favorable vote."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Totten."

Totten: "The first copy of the conference committee report that I saw



had those included in it. I do not have another copy. We cannot find one here. Ah... Representative Craig assures me they're not in there. Ah... I'll accept his word, but we don't have another copy of that conference committee report."

Geo-Karis: "Well, I still don't have a copy of this, but for the time..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen, for what reason do your rise."

Ebbesen: "Well, yes, Mr. Chairman. He indicated that something about litigation. Are you talking about litigation of something that's already been initiated?"

Craig: "These here items are all approved and this went out of the Senate, I think, 43 to 2."

Ebbesen: "I don't care about that. You, you made the comment about something that's already been initiated litigation. Now we knocked our Bill today, are any of these particular items in that particular conference committee report? I want to know."

Craig: "20 to 38 items."

Ebbesen: "Are you talking about... We're not talking about Bill numbers. I'm talking about the fact that we knocked out a Bill to... all these concessionaires in which an appropriation. Now is there any of that money in there, in this appropriation conference committee report? Yes or no."

Craig: "Yes."

Ebbesen: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, ah... I don't think the conference committee report has been distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, rather than take any more time, valuable time of this House, I hope the pages will get that conference report distributed and we'll take it up again when it is."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, take it out of the record. On Supplemental Calendar #7, on the concurrence appears House Bill 3017, Representative Lechowicz. Will you give the gentleman order, please."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, ah... Mr. Speaker. Have the Amendments, Senate



Amendments # 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "I understand they have not all been distributed, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think we'd better wait then and make sure everybody has had an opportunity to read 'em."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll take that out of the record. House Bill 3096. I understand that that Amendment has been distributed. Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3096 is the increased authorization for capital bonding in the State. The Senate Amendment #1 increases the bonding authority untold by an amount of \$9.9 million. Those increases are as follows, in the following areas. \$24.7 million for state universities and colleges, community colleges and school building commission. An increase of \$2,000,000 for correctional facilities and state prisons. An increase of \$9.2 million for conservation, open spaces and recreation. An increase of \$15,000,000 for mental health and public health facilities. A decrease of \$7.3 million for the various departments, authorities, commissions, and agencies. An increase of \$25,000,000 for the Space Needs Commission for land acquisition for the Springfield office building. An increase of \$4,000,000 for water ways and I would move the adoption of... Correction, I would move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3096."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Grotberg: "Yes, Representative Beaupre, you mentioned \$2,000,000 for corrections. Do you have the details on that?"

Beaupre: "The projects... The ah... \$2,000,000 increase ah... in corrections in State prisons ah... was to ah... compensate ah... and make corrections in the authorization Bill ah... in conformity with what was in ah... House Bill 289 which we approved earlier in the evening. As you know, the House ah... Bill came out with a minus \$22,000,000, \$24,000,000 in it in bonding authority and ah... that's an increase by ah... \$2,000,000."

Grotberg: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."



Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Well, we have to wait for July 1 for Christmas, but this is really it. This Senate Amendment increases this Bill \$81.7 million from the House version. There is additional money put in for almost every conceivable project. We are back to the accelerated bond program and this concurrence should not be adopted and this should go to a conference committee. This is absolutely ridiculous."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he'll yield."

McPartlin: "Would you explain the \$25,000,000 on line 18 that has to do with the development, conception, and reconstruction and providing the financing, the planning, the installation of the capitol facilities consisting of produce, structure, equipment, and land for the State Capitol Complex. Can you explain that to me? ...this part of the accelerated program."

Beaure: "Yes, ah... I would be happy to explain that to you. In fact, I'd like to go back on what something that Representative Totten said because I believe at the time that I started my presentation on this Bill that there wasn't a great deal of order in this chamber and I think maybe ah... he didn't hear me..."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the gentleman order, please."

Beaure: "If you look at the Amendment ah... it would appear that what Representative Totten said is correct. That there is ah... substantial increase by virtue of this Amendment. That is not the case because there were in this Bill as it went over to the Senate ah... incorrect figure in the total line items ah... at the top of the page so that the total increase by Senate Amendment #1 is only for \$9.9 million and not the figure quoted by Representative Totten. Secondly, the \$25,000,000 for the Space Needs Commission ah... to answer the ah... last speaker, is for land acquisition for the Springfield office building. Ah... That line item is put in separately but there is an off-setting decrease in the total authorization in the amount of \$70.3 million ah... that was under ah... the Section involving departments, authorities, commissions, and agencies."

McPartlin: "Is, is the \$25,000,000 cleared through the Space Needs Commission



rather than the Capitol Development Board? Will that come from them to the Capitol Development Board or do they have complete control over the \$25,000,000?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it goes to the Space Needs Commission and the ah... line item indicates, I believe, in the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Representative Beaupre, would you ah... yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Kane: "When you say that the \$25,000,000 to Legislative Space Needs Commission is to purchase land for the state office building, I thought the State Office Building was going out on the ah... parking lot out here south of the State Office Building."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "My understanding is that that Amendment was taken out and the conference committee ah... so that there, there is no substantive legislation which indicates the exact, precise location."

Kane: "So why the \$25,000,000 then if we're going to put the office building in the parking lot that we already own?"

Beaupre: "Well, I indicated that there's nothing in ah... either of the Bills involving ah... the ah... office building that would indicate that it's being built on a parking lot owned now by the State of Illinois."

Kane: "Well, what property are we going to buy then for the \$25,000,000?"

Beaupre: "I couldn't tell you."

Kane: "I beg your pardon? What property are you going to buy for \$25,000,000?"

Beaupre: "I don't know what property. I'm sure that the Department and the Space Needs Commission will undergo ah... very careful scrutiny of possible sites for this building ah... and ah... The decision hasn't been made yet as to ah... what would be to most feasible site and what would ah... be most economically efficient for the taxpayers."

Kane: "Well the... the Space Needs Commission initially said that the property north of the Capitol Building was the prime site for the office building and the State already owned that property except for the Odd Fellows Hall and ah... the secondary site that the Capitol Development Board wanted and finally said they were going to build a building is



ah... on the ah... parking lot south of the State Office Building. Now both of those we already own except for the Odd Fellow Hall. Why do we need the \$25,000,000?"

Beaupre: "Is that, is that a question?"

Kane: "Yes."

Beaupre: "I'm sorry, I didn't really understand the nature of your interrogatory."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Miller."

Miller: "Mr. Speaker, I share the concern of Representative Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the gentleman order, please."

Miller: "We have before us for concurrence of Senate Amendment to House Bill 3096 calling for an appropriation of \$25,000,000 and we are not getting any, any explanation of what it's for. I would heartily support voting 'no' and until we get an answer on this matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan indicates he can answer."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Space Needs Commission has been working on this for a long, long time. They're not only going to take the Odd Fellows and the rest of the buildings over on the north side, they're going to take on east side across from the Supreme Court, the entrance to the Capitol. They're going to buy that filling station, that old garage and that whole block there and fix up the entrance to the Capitol throughout... Yes, the Capitol Way Inn, too."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones."

Londrigan: "Now you know where it is."

Unknown: "15 minute omelet."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "This is all of the overall plan for the dev..."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the gentleman order."

Jones: "For the development of the Capitol Complex. As you go into the construction of the office building, then you need additional parking spaces which in the perimeter of the present Capitol Complex. The need... probably need to be acquired to carry out the overall plan for the development of the office spaces in which will be 100,000 square feet of office space and the backup for that for parking and ah... in the development of the ares this money is appropriated for. I will not



expended and ah... except going through the proper procedures of the authority of the Space Needs Commission in the ah... acquisition of properties as the need arise."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to be just a little bit more specific if the Sponsor of the legislation would ah... yield to a question or two. \$25,000,000 here and I have heard ah... in the last 4 to 8 hours are going to either encompass property north, south, east, and west. Now specifically, has there been any discussion to the best of your knowledge that brings out we're going to purchase such sites as the Lincoln Tower? Yes or no?"

Beaupre: "No."

Ebbesen: "Okay, now one more question, sir. Did we ah... in previous legislation appropriations, didn't we ah... talked about a \$7,000,000 expenditure for ah... state property or state development, is that correct out of this \$25,000,000?"

Beaupre: "Would you rephrase that question. I'm sorry, I really didn't hear you."

Ebbesen: "It's my understanding perhaps you can help, Representative Beaupre, clear my mind on this. Did we earlier in all this confusion in the last 48 to 72 hours, did we not appropriate something like \$7,000,000 relative to state con... at least \$7,000,000 in this geographical area for, for ah... state office buildings?"

Beaupre: "We appropriated \$10,000,000 for parking."

Ebbesen: "For parking?"

Beaupre: "That's correct."

Ebbesen: "That's over and above this \$25,000,000?"

Beaupre: "That's correct. For a multi-story parking garage in ah... House Bill 802."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, may I address myself to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Ebbesen: "Is this conference committee report #1?"

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Amendment #1, I believe."

Ebbesen: "Senate Amendment #1. All I can say, is if there should ever be 177 red lights up here, it should be on this particular Amendment. \$25,000,000 with no specific concrete answers."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative W. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I certainly concur with the last speaker. This is really the silliest reason. We, we want out of here so bad that I suppose some of us might even vote for \$25,000,000. That was \$75,000,000 yesterday and we're going to be spent on the southwest side of the Capitol. Today it comes back considerably reduced after a great deal of planning and it's going to be spent on the east side of the Capitol. If this isn't ridiculous, Mr. Speaker, I don't know what is. I don't know if this Governor will ever give up on his accelerated bond program. I think maybe up till now, we're over what he asked for at the beginning. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Would the Sponsor, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Tipsword: "Representative Beaupre, is this is an appropriation Bill?"

Beaupre: "It is not. It's ah... an authorization."

Tipsword: "This solely an authorization Bill."

Beaupre: "That's it."

Tipsword: "And so consequently, not being an appropriation Bill, it does not contain those, those specifics as to what the money is being spent for. Those are in other Bills, are they not?"

Beaupre: "That is correct."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. This is the authorization. I'd like to point out to the Membership of the House, that's why I asked for the Amendment to be distributed. Amendment #7 on House 3017 is the money."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate. Representative Keller will you ah..."

Choate: "I'd like to ask the ah... Sponsor ah... one question."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Choate: "Mr. Sponsor, under the provisions of this Amendment, will you tell me whether this is not an appropriation to the Space Needs Commission or whether it is not a... a... part of the Governor's accelerated building program?"

Beaupre: "My understanding ah... Representative Choate, is that it's an



authorization for the Space Needs Commission to sell \$25,000,000 in bonds. Now I went over personally after ah... after deliberating with the staff ah... the other night ah... the difference between the original proposal of the Governor's accelerated bond program and what our appropriation committee came up with as a feasible bonding program in the State. I could in no way find anything involving authorizations to the Space Needs Commission, nor did I find in House Bill 289 which I originally sponsored which was part of the accelerated bonding program ah... any authorization for the sale of bonds to go to the Springfield or Chicago office building."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as the original Sponsor of the Bill to create the Space Needs Commission, also as the original Sponsor of the Commission to study the operation of State government which was amended out in the Senate with my cooperation by Senator Russell Arington and then taking the Bill sponsored by Representative Katz which is created then to be called the GOOGA Commission. It was my hope at that time, that we could provide facilities for the Legislative Branch of government for various State agencies and, yes, bring about, yes, bring about a beautiful State complex of officers, quarters for all, for all branches of State government. I just was talking to Representative Brandt who is a... who is a Chairman rather of the Space Needs Commission and he has told me that if the enactment of this will be brought about this it will do finally the things that the original Space Needs Commission was created to bring about. Now we have in the city of Springfield a bipartisan group of people appointed by the city that have cooperated with the Space Needs Commission in attempting to bring about a feasible location. As far as the city, the county, and the State is concerned for office space and what have you. I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, that we should concur with the Sponsor of this conference committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. The is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Totten,



for what purpose do you rise."

Totten: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your inquiry."

Totten: "This is an increase in authorization, bonding authorization will take 107 votes. It will not become effective if there are only 89 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "It takes 107 votes."

Totten: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre, to close."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for those who ah... would like to tie this proposal to the original accelerated bond program, I'd like for them to come to my desk and compare the figures. You will see that the various sections ah... and the amounts contained in thereafter bear no relationship or very little relationship whatever to the original Bill, House Bill 289 which I ah... introduced early in the session. In addition, I know that one of the Representatives has indicated that ah... this may be the silly season and I know that ah... there is a tendency for words to flow rather freely early in the morning ah... in these ah... legislative sessions. So might I suggest to you that maybe we are talking a bit silly when we want to know the exact ah... site location ah... when we want to know, for instance, when we're talking about building a multi-story office building ah... whether or not ah... a particular site is appropriate. The State does not have the right to go in and ah... do boring to examine the structure of the earth under the, the surface of the soil ah... The State does not have the right to invade private property in order to go in and check and see ah... before any negotiations take place and before an appropriate site is selected ah.. to go in and invade private property to determine whether or not it's feasible to build a office building at a specific site. I would suggest to you that the regular, ordinary appropriation process and all the safeguards that we have built in to legislation over the years will safeguard the taxpayers money in regard to how this \$25,000,000 is spent. This is but a bonding authorization Bill. We have passed the appropriations in two other House Bills ah... that has been thoroughly debated and I would ask for your favorable support."



Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3096. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ... I draw your attention to the Amendments of 3017 which appropriates the \$25,000,000 and what that appropriation says is the sum of \$25,000,000 or so much thereof as may be necessary is appropriated to the Space Needs Commission for acquisition of real property within the areas bounded by College, Monroe, First and Adams Street and by Third, Monroe and Second Street from Capitol Avenue in the city of Springfield. Now that first plot of land that we're talking about is the lot just north of here that the State already owns all of except for the Odd Fellows Hall. The second area that it says is the old Horace Mann Building over here that the State has been looking at for a long time and which we appropriated last year two and a half million dollars to purchase that property and that two and a half million dollars is already reappropriated for the purchase of the property. So why we want to appropriate another \$25,000,000 to purchase property that we already have or we already have appropriated money for, I have absolutely no idea and until that question is answered, I would urge ah... 'present' vote or a 'no' vote on this authorization."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote I have two areas of concern. The first is almost an analogy to the situation of where the one of a nail, a shoe is off the horse and etc. For want of an authorization, a bond wasn't sold for the want of the selling of a bond, an appropriation wasn't made and for the want of an appropriation, an expenditure wasn't made. It seems to me that this is a proper attitude toward this Bill. I'm especially disturbed by what I find to be Amendment #8, sponsored by Senate Knuppel in the Senate which brings in the Office of the States Attorney Study Commission for \$28,800. I really don't... whether this is germane to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "He's talking on House Bill 3017. It has nothing to do with 3096."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you are correct."



Lauer: "I have in my hand, Mr. Lechowicz, and I would invite your perusal of the same an Amendment to House Bill 3017 and Senate Amendment to House Bill 3017, those if which are ah... assigned to the same Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "The gentleman will kindly look at the board, we're discussing House Bill 3096."

Lauer: "I stand corrected, sir, but my first remarks with regard to the authorization are valid nonetheless. I would strongly suggest a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones."

J.D. Jones: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I want you to think about the proposal is, that this is for the Legislative Space Needs Commission and those who have some fears about the Governor's accelerated program, this is just the opposite because this puts the control of this action and this development under the legislative control. So you just... your agency to work out the problem of the Space Needs and the development of the Capitol Complex and not giving it to the Governor for some accelerated program or whatever. That this is your agency, created as Representative Choate has said, to develop the Capitol Complex and to provide for all the backup needs for the construction of the building, for additional parking in the, in the ah... peripheral area and possible developments of the museum, the State library and other ah... agencies that are seeking development of their projects and so this is to ah... bonding authority. This is ah... appropriations have already been passed and they'll negated unless you authorize the issuance of the bonds as they are needed for this development."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative ..."

J.D. Jones: "...urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Londrigan. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is not, not the Governor's accelerated program. This is the Legislative Commission headed by Representative Brandt with Republican membership. If you want something to say about the future of government building here in Springfield, you'd better vote for the this authorization of bonds. This is the only way that you in the Legislature are going to be in control of the situation. I repeat, it is not the Governor's



accelerated program. It is the Legislative Space Needs Commission and I urge you to reconsider and vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I have certain resentment about the inferences made regarding this Amendment any Republican vote or anybody else's vote, for that matter. I have the highest respect for John Brandt and the Space Needs Committee. The thing that is bothering me is that there is money in here that is needed, for instance, for Corrections where we've been appropriating and authorizing money for Corrections for the last five years to the Capitol Development Board and they have spent less than 5% of the authorization for the last five years. They left 95% and now we bury all of these things in one omnibus Bill. We can't divide the question and, John, I wish to heaven, John Brandt, that we had a separate packet so that it wouldn't go down the tubes on something like this. We have no choice in this matter. Some of us just have to say 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brandt."

Brandt: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman, Space Needs Commission, naturally are very much interested in seeing that we get another office building built around this complex. The reason for us being so interested in having another building is because when we checked into the matter of the great amounts of money that we are spending for leases throughout the, throughout Springfield, we came to the conclusion that it was about high time that we would come out and build another office building. Because we feel by doing so that we will be able to save the taxpayers money. We are spending over \$5,000,000 a year for leases and I think if we go out and get this \$25,000,000 for the purpose of, of ah... acquiring land, that we will be able to build this office building. We will doing ah... adjusted, we will be justified in doing this for the, for our taxpayers. Gentleman, we need this money in order for us to complete something that's absolutely necessary in this complex of, in Springfield here and we need that to purchase other buildings because if we don't purchase any other land to build, we will not be able to, be able to get these people who are parking their cars on these various different lots, lots that we have. We have to purchase land in order to move those people who have these parking, that have



parking these cars so that we will be able to get them off the, off this property so that we will then be able to build that building that we need so much in this complex of ours. I will appreciate very much, gentleman, if you will give us a 'yes' vote in order for us to come do a job for the taxpayers here in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dop... Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a bounding... bonding authorization for over \$230,000,000. We have spent our entire time discussing \$25,000,000 of it which is less than 12 or 13% of the total authorization and because of that 12 or 13%, there seems to be some problems with this Amendment. Also there's a great deal of discussion about whether this is part of the accelerated bonding program. I thought we had cleared up that issue last night. I point out to you, for instance, that in the area of higher education, the Governor had originally asked for in the accelerated bonding program \$142.5 million. This proposal was the legislative proposal which is a total of \$109.7 million. The Governor in his accelerated bonding program had nothing set aside or no increases ah... for corrections and State prisons, but this Bill deals with the very problem that Representative Grothberg pointed out and decreases the present authorization by \$22,000,000 because they have, indeed, not sold those bonds that were previously authorized. This Bill which is the General Assembly Bill provides for \$53.7 million increase in conservation ah... open spaces and recreation. The Governor asked for \$71.5. There a number of other areas where the Governor asked for additional amounts that are not contained in this Bill, but I'm suggesting to you is that is bears no relationship whatsoever to the accelerated bonding program of the Governor. It's the General Assembly bonding program and it's a good one. Let's not get hung up on what amounts to 14 or 13% of the total authorization where there are all the mechanisms and safeguards in, in ah... the issuance of these bonds and the appropriations of the funds where the taxpayers are indeed protected by the mechanisms that we have set up legislatively to take care of that kind of a problem. That is not the issue. The issue is whether or not we're trying to authorize the, the bonds."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to be very brief and not go



JUN 30 1975

268.

over some of the points that were raised in regard to getting Space Needs without leasing property. I'm sure that some of the questions that was asked here tonight as far as specifics on specific property to be purchased and their definite use, they're pretty much out of line because it puts us in a bad position for negotiation when we do this and I think also we have to remember that property is not getting any cheaper, governments keep getting bigger, and we're going to have to buy this property sooner or later and we should purchase it for the citizens of the State of Illinois as cheaply as possible and as soon as possible."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in the days of Pharoah the locusts descended upon the land and they ate all the crops. I have the strangest feeling that the locusts abound in Illinois and is going to eat all the earnings of the taxpayers for the next 50 years if we keep putting it farther in debt."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative McMasters."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, perhaps I should keep quiet because we do not have enough votes, but let me remind you that previous speakers have said we are spending \$5,000,000 per year to lease property. Let me remind you that they are talking about \$25,000,000 per year to purchase faith upon which to build property. They are talking about property in the neighborhood of \$300,000,000 to build it, buildings upon that property. I think the previous man who spoke, said that a plague of locusts is about to descend upon the State of Illinois and I am inclined to agree with him. I think this legislation should be defeated. I think sometimes we may need to build these state buildings, but I do not think that this is the time to do it. I do not think the taxpayers of this State can afford it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think I started this whole thing as far as putting this \$25,000,000 in to the accelerated program. This is not right. The Bill that I was actually talking about, there was two Bills that came before the Executive Committee. They were Senate Bill 135 and House Bill 292. These were part of the



Governor's accelerated program and this is... I want to make an apology to the House that this Bill that we're talking about now which is 3096 is not part of the accelerated program. This is part of the Space Needs Commission which is a legislative program and I wish we could get enough votes on that board to pass this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah... Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to make one comment here and that's this. That ah... if a lot of you people that are voting green would turn over to red, we're going to get another chance at this because I, I see that it's... the same Amendment, another \$25,000,000. It spells it out with more ah... definition as to where this \$25,000,000 geographically would go. So turn those ah... green lights down to red and let's get on with the business. Put this thing in a conference committee and resolve it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 86 'aye' and 43 'no' and the House refuses to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3096. Representative Beaupre, do you desire to make a motion?"

Beaupre: "I'd like to hold the Bill at that stage for the time being."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. I don't know how you do that. Representative Beaupre, do you desire to make a motion to non-concur?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, may we take the Bill out of the record?"

Speaker Redmond: "Cannot now. Senate Bill... On Supplemental Calendar #6 appears Senate Bill 510. Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the second conference report on Senate Bill ah... 510 was the same as the first conference report in that it deleted the entire appropriation for the Safety Division of the Department of Labor ah... to the tune of some \$425,000. Ah... The five Senators signed the report, only one Member of the House signed the report. I never saw the report until it was put on my desk and printed. I don't think any other Members of the House saw the report until it was put on their desk and printed. I wouldn't have signed the report if I had seen it. As a matter of fact, I disagree so heartily with this report, I know we're short of time and I don't want to keep the Members of the House, but I'm going to make two motions. One, I'm



going to ask leave of the House to withdraw my name as the House Sponsor to this Bill 510 and if that is accepted on request, on request of the six Members who have signed this report, to their everlasting infamy, I'm going to move that we adopt conference report #2 to Senate Bill 510."

Speaker Redmond: "Any... The gentleman asks leave to withdraw his name as the Sponsor. Any objection? Leave is granted. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I have a question of the ah... former Sponsor of this legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Houlihan: "Mr. Washington, could you ah... clarify the makeup of the conference committees. Were they the same as ah... the first and the second in Membership?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "The House Sponsors were the same, they were James Taylor, ah... Representative Bradley, myself on the Democratic. Representative McAvoy and Ryan on the Republican side. The only Member to sign in the House was Mr. Taylor. The five Members of the Senate, they only varied by one, Mr. ah... Senator Hynes replaced Senator ah... I've forgotten who was there now, but anyway, all five Senators signed it, Kenneth Hall, Senator Regner, Senator Savickas, Mr. Hynes and Senator Weaver. Ah... Ray Welsh was the original. Only one House Member signed it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if the ex-chief Sponsor of the (unintelligible) will withdraw his name as chief Sponsor of that Bill, I would certainly like to be the chief Sponsor 'cause I do understand why they did not adopt that Amendment. And one of reasons was because the passage of two House Bills in this House, House Bill 2868 and House Bill 2869, made it unnecessary for us to have that ah... Amendment in the Bill and that was one of the reasons that the ah... Senators refused and I join them. And I would like to move that we adopt the conference committee report, second report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "You've been misled again by the same gentlemen who misled you often. You never read the whole poopsheet evidently. The law at



present gives to the State of Illinois the responsibility of investigating for safety all public institutions in the State. That is pending Legislation which would take some of that municipal power away from the State and give it to the municipalities. However, that Legislation is on the Governor's desk and even if signed the State would still have the responsibility of providing State regulations and prevalence of all public buildings, public jobs, etc, etc. It covers the Department of Transportation, the Department of Conservation, the Mental Health Hospitals and all isolations. I might add, incidentally, that the Public Health Safety record in the State of Illinois at lease the private is atrocious. You're talking about maimed limbs, broken arms, herniated disks, eyeballs out, scarred breasts, you run the outlet of the possible dangers and safety factors that our employees the 200,000 suffer and you find them in the State of Illinois. I previously made the motion to adopt this report not withstanding and I would like emphatically to have my name withdrawn and I would like to journal Mr. Speaker, to indicate that I have withdrawn and that Mr. Taylor asked to be replaced. You might underline both names if you will."

Speaker Redmond: "The journal will so reflect. Any further discussion?"

The question is shall the House, Representative J. Dunn."

J. Dunn: "Point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker, did I understand that there was a request for leave for the Sponsor to withdraw his name?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct and leave is granted."

J. Dunn: "May we be recorded 'aye' or 'nay' with regard to that request."

Speaker Redmond: "Leave was granted. It was an oral Roll Call."

J. Dunn: "I didn't hear it announced, I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask a question of the ex-Sponsor of this Bill. As far as the \$400,000 that is involved in this Bill, this was a cut it was a reduction that had to do with the factory inspectors. Why was this taken out? Was this job elimination because of the economy, through the Governor or what? Would the Sponsor answer that question?"

Washington: "I'm the last person to answer it. I tried to concur with the concur reason who were in opposition to it and I got a 'no'. I got no analysis. I got no discussion. I got no reason. These employees that were booted out, totally completely untrue, was that they were patronage



employees. This is untrue. These are factory employees who are on Civil Service. Many with 5, 10 years or more service who were going to be transferred laterally to this Department. But to answer your question specifically Mr. McPartlin, I have yet to receive a concrete answer as to why they were taken out. During the debate on the Floor of the House there was Floor opposition here. We discussed item for item what they were going to be doing. I simply haven't got an answer."

McPartlin: "Well, I have 8 of them in my District and this is why I wanted to know and some of them have 10 years, some have 15 and some have 25 and this is the reason I'm trying to find out why this \$400,000 was taken out of this budget."

Washington: "I can give you..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madigan: "To speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Washington: "I was responding to a question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. McPartlin, I can give you a partial response, and a response based on my observations and discussion with various people. This is another political power play. There is no logical reason for doing this. There exists in the Senate two or three young men and a couple in this House who have made this their target for the Session as they did the Collective Bargaining aspects of this Bill during the previous Session when Mr. Giorgi was in the same position that I am in now. He was finally confronted with a Bill that was distasteful to him but he thought it was his duty to at least present it. But there are young men aspiring to higher office and other things in both Houses who have made this their object of disaffection and they have succeeded and they have played their part very beautifully because they have run us down to the last hour when everyone is tired and no responsible Sponsor wants to keep this thing going on and on and they played it like a hawk and we fell prey to their trap and we here are confronted with a budget of several million dollars for the unemployed workers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone for what purpose do you rise?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman is not responding to the question."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're right Representative Stone. Representative Washington, confine your remarks to the question."



Washington: "Well, to confine my remarks to the question, a bunch of no-good dogs attacked a good bill for the people you pay and I'm trying to explain it to you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I prefer not to engage in personalities and simply address myself to the Bill and to the Conference Committee Report. What we are talking about in this particular Conference Committee Report is those factory inspectors who remain at the Department of Labor after the OSHA program was discontinued at the Illinois State Department of Labor and transferred over to the jurisdictions of Federal Government. Under former Governor Ogilvie the State of Illinois was given the option of initiating..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madison: "Point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker, you might want to call it that. Was Mr. Madigan a part of the Conference Committee? I didn't hear his name read off."

Speaker Redmond: "He was."

Madison: "He was."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington indicates he was."

Madison: "I see thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I was not a member of the Conference Committee but I feel that I am no different than any other member of the House, I have a right to be informed about Bills and about provisions of Bills and about Conference Committees and I do have a right to speak about a Bill on the Floor of the House and I would like to have that opportunity to do that, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Madigan: "As I was stating the Ogilvie administration was given the option of initiating the OSHA program at the State level or simply cede it to federal jurisdiction. We embarked upon the initiation of a state OSHA Program within the last year, the Walker administration decided that it would dis-continue administration of OSHA at the state level and transfer the function over to the Federal Government. Therefore, there is no longer any need for the old safety and education division of the Department of Labor. The inspectors who remain and who certain proponents proposed



JUN 30 1975

274.

to remain on the payroll would have one function. Their function would be to inspect municipal office buildings, the office buildings in the structure of local municipalities and the office buildings in the structures of the State of Illinois. That would be their only function and in the opinion of many that would serve no useful function. I would recommend an 'aye' vote for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion, the Gentleman from Cook or Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The question is shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #2 Mr. Clerk I think your calendar was in error there, shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 510. All in favor vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Dunn to explain his vote."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker the hour is late and I am as weary as anybody but I'm just not about to vote for any Bill at this late stage that has been negotiated as long and hard as this one has when you can't get one person in this House to sign a Committee Report. I don't care if it takes forever, work it out and work it out right if we're going to do this."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Peoria Mr. Tuerk to explain his vote."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, members of the House, it is certainly not my pleasure to get into any political fight on the other side of the aisle, in fact sometimes when I think this is going on it's quite interesting and amusing, but let me tell you one thing and I think we should adopt this Conference Committee Report. The way Representative Madigan explained the situation relative to this \$425,000 is absolutely correct. There is no reason to vote 'aye' or delay this action any longer and I would suggest a few more green lights and we get on with our business and go home."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 93 'ayes', 28 'nays', 13 members voting present and the House does concur with Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill, Mr. McClain, Mr. McClain..."

McClain: "I'm misinformed, sir, I thought it took 107 to..."



Shea: "No sir it takes 89 votes to concur."

McClain: "I said I'm misinformed."

Shea: "It just doesn't become effective for a year. Have all voted who wish. All right 93 'ayes', 28 'nays' 13 members voting present and the House does concur with Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 510. Mr Hudson. Turn Mr. Hudson on."

Hudson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege if I may. I don't know how long we're going to be going here tonight maybe three o'clock maybe until 4, maybe 5, maybe 6 however long it is I intend to be here as do most of the others I'm sure. Nor do I know how much money it would cost to fill up that coffee pot that is just behind you. We spend money here rather freely and I would suggest as a suggestion meant to be helpful if we can get some coffee, I think it would do us all good."

Shea: "Maybe we can even blow for some sweet rolls."

Hudson: "Good."

Shea: "Well Gentlemen on our 102 day we have just set another new record. We have run out of paper in the machine and from now on we will take oral Roll Calls. We spent all that money on everybody but ourselves. The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, if I could suggest can we use the last Roll Call as the Roll Call from now on?"

Shea: "Let's see where we go with the next Bill."

McMaster: "With an oral Roll Call, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "At least we'll know who's here, won't we. The Gentleman from Logan Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know whether you call this a parliamentary inquiry maybe just a point of progress. How many Bills do we have yet to consider?"

Shea: "I think there's one or two items and then I suppose that some place along the line we'll have one or two other problems. Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, to expedite time would it be possible for us to still use the Board and record the Roll Call, have one of the Clerks copy it off of the Board."

Shea: "I think we might be able to do that."

Madison: "Thank you."



Shea: "The House will stand at ease for a few minutes while the Leadership meets, Mr. Tipsword. Turn Mr. Tipsword on."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I presume am I correct or incorrect I would like to make an inquiry of the Chair."

Shea: "Yes, sir."

Tipsword: "In view of the vote of the last Bill does that make it probable or at least a possibility that we might be back here in some kind of a Session in July."

Shea: "I don't know if it will be in July, sir. It may be today because I am sure the Governor is now contemplating what action to take with regard to that Agency that is not going to be funded this year."

Tipsword: "Like perhaps a Special Session. Could I ask the Speaker if we have just a moment here, there is a matter that I would like to clear up for the Chair and for the calendar."

Shea: "Sir, could we do that when Mr. Redmond is here, please, he couldn't be here, sir."

Tipsword: "All right, fine."

Shea: "I have a note here. On the order of the Supplemental #7 appears House Bill 3017 and on that the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe the Amendment to House Bill 3017 has been distributed and I hope that the Membership has had ample time to review the six or seven amendments that were adopted in the Senate. Let me take them one by one so there is no confusion, exactly what transpired on this Bill in the Senate. Senate Amendment #2 if I may Mr. Speaker, moved for the Adoption of Senate Amendment #2, it adds one million five hundred thousand dollars from the Road Fund to the Secretary of State for the administration of the Act requiring proof of liability of insurance. I move that the House do adopt Amendment #2 to House Bill 3017. Is there leave? Amendment #2 is adopted, Mr. Speaker. I move to adopt Amendment #2 as I explained it, to concur."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Amendment #2 to House Bill 3017, is there any discussion? On that the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Totten, the Minority Spokesman on Appropriations."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a million and a half dollars from the Road fund for what substantive program



is this for?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, for the third time, for the administration of the act requiring proof of liability insurance."

Totten: "Has the Bill passed?"

Lechowicz: "Yes it has."

Totten: "On the Governor's desk."

Lechowicz: "Yes it is."

Totten: "Thank you."

Shea; "Is there any further discussion. The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 3017."

Lechowicz: "I move to concur."

Shea: "Concur, I'm sorry sir. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Mr. Peters, turn Mr. Peters on."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, might I just make a suggestion, since we don't have the paper in there and it is going 113, 12 perhaps the Chair might be given leave to just enter it as a voice vote, rather than having to mark all this down."

Shea: "Well, I've got an idea here just what I'm going to do, as soon as we've got everybody voted that wishes to vote, I'm going to lock it up and let somebody mark it and then I'm going to start working off the Roll Call on this Amendment. Have all voted who wish?"

Peters: "Voice vote, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Well I'll tell you what, why don't the House be at ease, they have found some elderly paper they said up stairs and they think they might be able to work it out. Mr. Madison, would somebody turn Mr. Madison on please."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, would the paper in the voting machine in the Senate fit this one?"

Shea: "I thought of that too, but they tell me there's only 59 names on that one. Mr. Tipword on 210, you want to see if we can handle this while we're moving along."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen by virtue of the fact that I believe I was on the prevailing side on a Bill that was declared to have been passed a few days ago and which Bill I'm informed is still within the busom of the House, I would move that upon Senate Bill 210 that the vote by which that Bill was at that time declared to have been passed be re-



considered by this House, Senate Bill 210."

Shea: "Does the Gentleman have leave to extend the appropriate rule to make the motion. He's going to try to move that Bill to the Fall calendar and save everybody alot of aggravation and work. 210 was that Election Bill that he had the other night."

Tipsword: "It was a registration Bill."

Shea: "Registration. So if there is no objection, leave is granted.

Matijevich how about you coming up here and grabbing this hammer and you getting it done. Mr. Tipsword would you like to explain what you are trying to accomplish, sir."

Tipsword: "I certainly would. House Bill 210 was the Bill that was being considered during some sort of a hubbub that took place on the Floor the other night, it was a little noisy here and I don't think everybody knew what was really taking place and the Bill by virtue of the votes upon the tote board over there was declared to have been passed. Thereafter without any move to reconsider it was declared that the Bill was taken out of the record so it leaves us in sort of a hiatus. To remove that hiatus and this was a Bill I might tell you that provided for registration of voters in their homes. It shows upon our records that it was declared to have been passed. The Bill is still in the House, I am going to move to reconsider the vote by which that Bill was declared to have been passed. If that is granted I will move to place it on the Fall Calendar."

Shea: "On that question, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully object to the Gentleman's motion and object on the basis that in my opinion and in the opinion of the Speaker as the Speaker refused to sign the Bill, the Bill did not pass and indeed failed and if we were to use this practice then anyone that had a Bill defeated could make a motion similar to the Gentleman's and have it referred to the Fall calendar and everything we have done for these six months would go right down the chute. Now I suggest to you that this is not good practice. This Bill was defeated like several other Bills, not enough other Bills but it was nevertheless defeated and so I object to the Gentleman's motion."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Marion Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we have killed alot of Bills around here and they have come out as Committee Bills. I submit to you that this Bill could come out of the Committee as a Committee Bill



JUN 30 1975

279.

next Fall and I don't see much point in making alot of effort to keep him from putting it on the Fall calendar cause next Fall he can come out with a Committee Bill anyway."

Shea: "Mr. Friedrich, I think you have explained it very well. Now the Gentleman renews his motion for leave. Is there objection? Is there objection? He asks leave to reconsider the vote to take all right now he has put a motion to suspend you've objected, it has been noted, Mr. Collins and Mr. Walsh, it takes him a number of votes like 107 to get the job done. If there here, you know, now Mr. Tipsword put your motion."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we, I move that the proper rule of this House be suspended so that I might have a motion considered on a reconsideration of House Bill 210."

Shea: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion, the Gentleman has made his motion. On the Motion the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Representative Tipsword has moved to reconsider what was the Gentleman's motion?"

Shea: "The Gentleman's motion is to suspend the appropriate rules to make a motion to reconsider the vote by which 210 passed this House and if that is successful and to reconsider that vote he then will move to take and place House Bill or Senate Bill 210 on the Fall calendar."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me say two things. In the first place I don't believe that Bill passed the House."

Shea: "Well it was declared passed, so let's not get into that."

Telcser: "Representative Shea, wait a second. Secondly the Joint Rules provide that that Bill is dead. The Gentleman's motion is of no consequence and doesn't warrant being supported."

Shea: "Sir, that question, like all the other Bills on the fall calendar will be faced in October."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, that Bill isn't even alive to go to the fall calendar or anywhere else."

Shea: "That Bill was declared passed by the Chair."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, it was not declared passed. It was not declared passed, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker you know that Roll Call was dumped, it was not a proper Roll Call, the hour of 12 passed before a subsequent Roll Call was declared passed. That Bill was not passed."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."



Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, whatever anybody said and they could look at the transcripts, that Bill was declared passed. Now the Speaker hasn't signed it. The Speaker has refused to sign it because in his opinoin, it has not met the procedural requirements. That's why he didn't sign it, but you can check the transcripts. It was declared passed and I think it's only fair now that we allow it to be on the fall Calendar. That doesn't mean anything will happen as far as I'm concerned. I hope nothing on the fall Calendar passes ah... but I think we ought to let him be in the same posture since the Bill was declared passed as others we've allowed to remain on the fall Calendar."

Shea: "All right, the gentleman has made his motion. Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, might I first ask since, in reality, we all know you have the gavel. Do you intend, sir, to allow this motion to be put?"

Shea: "Yes, sir."

Duff: "Then, Mr. Speaker, I would ask first, there are two points I would like to make. First, I would ask, Mr. Speaker, since the Speaker of the House, elected by this Body has taken a position on this, would you allow him to come to the podium and discuss it with you?"

Shea: "Sir, he is meeting with..."

Duff: "Would you defer it then, sir?"

Shea: "That's fine. Mr. Tipsword would like to defer it and I'm just as happy."

Duff: "Thank you."

Shea: "Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "You know, for one other thing, I think is being overlooked, ah... whatever there is left of that Bill has been put on the fall Calendar. It was placed on the fall Calendar subject to the transcripts as to whether or not it had been declared passed."

Shea: "We're getting there. Introduction and First Reading."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 3126, Jaffe, Schneider, et al. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Mr. Jaffe. Turn Mr. Jaffe on over there, will you."

Jaffe: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, I cleared this with the Chairman of the Education Committee and Minority Spokesman and we'd like to merely have it referred to the Education Committee so it could be studied during the summer and fall."



Shea: "The gentleman asks leave to take this Bill, House Bill 3126, from the Speaker's Table and have it assigned to the Education Committee so that they may have hearings on it during the recess. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, that will be the order. No, Jim, that trick is to introduce it as a Committee Bill or as an emergency Bill, then, then go to ah... a Committee of the Whole and to Second Reading. Yes, James."

J.M. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, are we on the special order of business known as the Shea emergency order?"

Shea: "No, we're just watching."

J.M. Houlihan: "By the way, Representative, what ever happened to your good Bill 30 ah... 3018?"

Shea: "3118?"

J.M. Houlihan: "Yeh, no, no, no, not 3118. The other one, ah... the unemployment loan."

Shea: "Jim, would you like to debate how you got that over in the Senate?"

J.M. Houlihan: "No, no, I just asked..."

Shea: "I didn't think you wanted to."

Madigan: "It'll be another sweet day, they won't quit. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just thought I'd use a lull to make a little comment. I was asked by quite a few Members of the House if ah... we could have a photographer take ah... individual pictures with the Bills all stacked up for their newsletters or whatever and our photographer is out of film. Ah... So, I didn't want to disrupt the House. I thought I'd wait for a lull like this. If anybody who has asked me about that is still interested and they can find a photographer, we can get somebody to stack the things up while we're not working."

Fred Selcke: "The machine is fixed and we're ready to go if we can get a Speaker."

Peters: "All business having been concluded, the House is adjourned. Representative Collins, you've been taking too much time of the House here."

Unknown: "Yes, ah... Ladies and Gentle... Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Repe..."

Collins: "Is my, is my microphone on? The volume is way down. There's no volume. There's no volume. Now there's volume. Ah... I have a Resolution"



I was going to introduce and as long as we're waiting, I'll read it to you. I decided against read... ah... introducing it, but ah... Resolved by the House of Representatives, the 79th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, that the temporary so called rules, so called, of this Body be amended by insert at the end of Rule 34, entitled Amendments, a new paragraph F to read as follows: 'During the final week of each session of the General Assembly preceeding June 30, all Amendments shall be known as deals and shall be subsequently, I mean, substantially as follows, deal to Senate Bill or House Bill' and here you insert the number of the Bill, and then you insert the Sponsor's name, whatever it might be, ah... The title of such deals involving all Bills having already been dealt with shall be as follows: 'Deal to Senate Bill blank as dealt'. Any Member who either intentionally or inadvertently or both files any Amendment with Clerk in violation of this paragraph shall be barred from all further dealing on that Bill unless leave to continue dealing is granted by not less than two sevenths of those already dealing on the Bill. That's it. You want me to read it again?"

Keller: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question? Oh, the dealer. I want the head dealer. The head... I want to know who the head dealer is."

Collins: "You want a hit?"

Keller: "Yes, I want ah... hit. I think that we could probably adopt this. I notice there's a motion on the Calendar that ah... to move to the order of business to adopt the ah... proposed House rules at 12 o'clock noon, the 4th."

Matijevich: "Mr. Keller, you're out of order. That was a misdeal."

Keller: Oh, no. Overrule the Chair. I, I wonder why we're standing in recess here, why we couldn't get ah... Rolly Tipsword and Adeline Geo-Karls ah... to make some music here and sing for us."

Hart: "One chorus of the Hambiltonian."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, no volume, no volume, no volume. Turn the volume up. Turn the volume up. Turn up the volume. Turn the volume up. Turn the volume up. Mr. Temporary Speaker, you know, a little while ago I read a Reso... Turn the volume up."

Speaker Redmond: "I wish I could tell you exactly where we're going. Obviously, we're having some problems to where we're going to go. The ah... House and the Senate Republican and Democratic Leadership are



conferring in the office. We've contacted the Governor. Ah... The ah... Comptroller's also in the back room. We think that we should have some solution in about 15 minutes. I'm sorry to say that, but that's the way it is. The Auditor General, pardon me. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... I doubt very much if we will finish the order of business in the condition we're in and that doesn't mean that anyone's thinking of the at all. It's just a matter of fatigue and I'm just wondering if it wouldn't be a wiser course if the Leadership would consider on both sides to adjourn and come in at noon and finish our business. Well, you're not going to finish much right now because you're going to have nonconcurrences and..."

Speaker Redmond: "That's under consideration and there's other more serious things under consideration so I would ah..."

Geo-Karis: "Well, I'm not aware, but I can assure you that the exhaustion is running rampant."

Speaker Redmond: "The other serious consideration is running rampant, too. So, if you could only bear with us for about 15 minutes. Representative Shea, will you come to the podium of course. House will be in order. Supplemental Calendar #4, conference committee reports appears Senate Bill 478. Representative Craig. Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the conference committee on the appropriation Bill for the State Fair, 478, it takes \$180,000 out of the line item trust fund used for other fair activities. That is taken out. Then the Bill is like it left the House except with the vendors which will put back in all except two. It believe it's Birchler and Williams's are the two that are not in with the other vendors. The others..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, according to Supplemental Calendar #4, as I have it, the Sponsor ah... of ah... Senate Bill 478 is ah... listed as Representative Geo-Karis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig, do you... any part in the Sponsorship."

Lauer: "May I ask why Representative Craig is speaking before the Sponsor of the Bill is ah... speaking to the Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Well, we'll call Representative Geo-Karis then. We're trying to work a problem out here that will unfold itself if you will just hold it."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was the House Sponsor of Senate Bill 478 and I presume I still am. Now I was not the the conference committee nor was I a member of the conference committee and I understand that there is Amendments that was put in by the conference committee of which I was not aware until this morning. Therefore, my feeling is at this time that I would move to nonconcur and ask for a new conference committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think if we could get out on the table what the second Amendment is, what it's about, then the 265 people that rendered services to the State of Illinois through the State Fair. I think it would perhaps help the Members understand what it's about. Now, Ms. Geo-Karis, I don't know why they didn't put you on the conference committee. I had thought that they had discussed it with you. Perhaps if we could have Mr. Craig explain what the second Amendment is, er... the second part of the conference committee report and then we could proceed with the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when I talked to Mr. Paul King, he said the only thing that he seemed to object to was the fact that there were Senate, there were House Amendments 1 and 2 from the Appropriations Committee on the Bill. I knew nothing else about nothing else and ah... I thought you'd like to know."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to make some comments on this Bill, if I may."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Ryan: "And a little history would probably be in order. Earlier this week, maybe 5 or 6 days ago ah... this same Amendment popped up on House Bill 2238. It was Representative Barnes's Bill. Now Representative Barnes and myself talked to ah... her Bill went over to the Senate and was amended by this Amendment to pay these vendors and in the vendors are 15 vendors that are guilty of 52 violations of the contract, bid



JUN 30 1975

285.

letting, advertising ah... bids not advertised, no competitive bidding, lowest bidder not selected. There are several violations here and in the 15 vendors there were 52 violations to the tune of \$244,671.74. Yesterday or today, I've lost track of time, Representative Barnes rose and asked for concurrence on this same Amendment. She didn't get it and then moved to table 2238 and tabled it. Now back comes the same stinky Amendment attached to Representative Geo-Karis's Bill unbeknownst to her and Mr. King from the Fair assured a couple of people of our conference committee that these people weren't included in this report. That they weren't in this Amendment. Now we're asked or I guess, I don't know what we're asked, but that's the history of this and we're now back ah... with the same Amendment to pay 15 vendors that are guilty of 52 violations for \$244,671.74. I think it's an unfair situation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, I don't want to interrupt you, Mr. Ryan, but Mr. Craig did say that two of those big vendors, the big payments were taken out."

Ryan: "Which two?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Beaker and R.A. Williams were taken out."

Shea: "The Anderson Electric was acclaimed for \$114,000."

Ryan: "Anderson Electric is still in the Bill."

Craig: "Mr. Beaker was a general contractor and Mr. Williams, I believe, was the animal waste hauler."

Shea: "Beaker and Williams, the ones he... Ah... Give him the names again, Mr. Craig."

Ryan: "...in addition to what you're talking about, Representative Craig."

Craig: "Ah..."

Ryan: "There were 15 more. Now... on page 3 of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, is the ah... board wrong or is the Calendar wrong because on the Calendar it shows that Senate Bill ah... 478 is on first conference committee report. On the board it shows second conference committee report."

Jack O'Brien: "It shows first now."

Lauer: "Thanks, Jack."

Speaker Redmond: "The board is correct. Representative Ryan."



Ryan: "To continue ah... with a little bit of what I know about this, ah... I now understand that ah... Representative Geo-Karis has made a motion to nonconcur, to not adopt this Amendment. Ah... I don't know what ah... whether you're going to entertain that motion or not. I also understand that there are not enough Senators left in the Senate to accommodate what action we may take here and it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that we went through this same problem with the Senate last year. Ah... Certainly we were all elected to do the same thing, whether we're on this side of the rotunda or that and when the business of the House is completed, then we go home and obviously, the business of the House is not completed and so I would, I would go along with Representative Geo-Karis that we do not adopt the Amendment or concur with this conference committee report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As a Member of the conference committee, I was told when I asked if those people who were in any way in liquidation or in court cases were removed from this Bill. I was assured that they were so I signed the Bill because I believe that if the State contracts for something and these people take in good faith that they should be paid, but in no way did I sign that conference committee with the understanding that there still 15 who were not ah... contracted in the proper manner and so I don't know whether this is proper or how I should go about it, but I would like to have my name removed from that conference report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I talked to Paul King in the presence of Representative Craig. In all due respect to Mr. Craig, Mr. King, I feel, which should have known better 'cause he wanted a conference report and I accommodated him. He wanted this to go into conference so I concurred and asked to go to a conference committee, but I do feel that Mr. King had the responsibility and the duty to inform me what he had in mind because I knew nothing about it till today."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have a Bill before us, this Amendment with conference committee report with only



Representative Craig as the House Sponsor of it and seems to me that Representative Geo-Karis is up explaining the Bill or the Amendments, it seems that a member of the conference, Representative Kent, has asked to have her name removed from that conference committee. What I want to know is what in the dickens is going on here? What do we have, do we have any business going on or do we have complete dissolution? I think it's time that we get back to an orderly session of the Illinois General Assembly. I think we should quit playing games and I think we should get down to business for the people of the State of Illinois and run this House as it should be run."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, I certainly am in the dark as evidently some of you also are, but it seems to me that there is a proper procedure by which these claims to be handled legally and that's through the court of claims and I think we should go on and handle the legislation without, ah... yes without respect to these individuals who are involved in whatever it might be that seems to very carefully hidden from us and I think that they should handle their problems through the court of claims. That's the legal procedure and I say that's for the courts and not for us to decide."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, when we reached the agreement, I told Mrs. Kent and Roscoe Cunningham, when this came out, they asked me. I said I would rather for you to go and I demanded, in fact, for them to go across the rotunda and talk to Senator Weaver and Senator Regner and their staff man about what this was taken place. I said I don't want to be accused of misleading you and I, they come told me that they sign it, that they'd been over there and I asked them if they had. Now about whether these here things, these people were, these vendors were contracted properly or not, I don't think that is only, the only question here. These people were hired and they ah... gave their service to the State Fair with the intention of being paid and I think we've waited about long enough on these particular vendors and what they said about bidding. They've had bids open now for tents and they have had no takers whatsoever to put bids. I understand that maybe Armbrusters may be the only tents that's big enough to want to undertake the job because he's been the



only one in the past 80 years at the State Fair and he is on one of these lists that are, they want to take off and here's a man that has served here for, served the State Fair for this many years and I'm satisfied he's expending his money. I think he expected it when he put the tents up last year and whether it was done in the proper contract or not, I think there's been mistakes made before. I think we do owe these vendors this money, a lot of them in your district scattered around and there's, as I say, they put back all except those two and I'd like to make a substitute motion that we do concur with committee, conference committee report 1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to point out that if Mr. Armbruster's been doing business with the State for 80 years, he certainly ought to know how to contract with the State and the Auditor General's report says he had 38, 3 violations in his, in his contract and his contract is for \$58,993. Now we've heard all of the rationale and all of the reasons why we should be involved in all of this nonsense that's going on with this Fair Bill and let me tell you, 6 or 7 days ago the people in the Senate knew what was going to happen to this Bill if they persisted in putting these Amendments on our Bills. They're chosen to put them on two Republican Member's Bills to begin with and that makes me have some reason what's happening. Now, Mr. Speaker, if we concurred in this, we'd have to be completely in violation or in agreement with these violations and I suggest to you that anybody that votes to concur in this Amendment is concurring in the violations that are listed in these sheets, some 52 of them and I ah... vote ah... move ah... recommend that we do not concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Well, I was going to make a motion that the ah... to table the motion to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The motion to table is not debatable. Representative Craig made a substitute motion that the House do adopt the first report on Senate Bill 478. Representative Hart moves that that motion lie on the table. The question is on the motion to table. Representative Kent."

Kent: "Mr. Speaker, my name was used in debate. I did go over to the Senators and I did talk to them as ah... Representative Craig advised."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Downs, for what purpose do you rise?"

Downs: "The motion is nondebatable. The lady is out of order."

Kent: "My name was mentioned in debate."

Speaker Redmond: "This is a point of personal privilege, Representative."

Kent: "Personal privilege or whatever it is at this time of the morning.

But I did go over there and I did talk to them and again I said, are the people that are, have any chance of being in court, are they out of there? Are the ones that were not contracted properly, are they out of there? They assured me that they were. So I want you to know that I did this in good faith. I trusted people and at this time of the morning, I'm not so sure I can trust any of you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... for a point of clarification, I picked up this Bill and one other Bill from the Clerk and the Clerk has asked 'cause they have no Sponsors and that's how I got the Bills. When, after I got the Bills, Mr. King, who was a bit upset, I guess, because I had them. Maybe he thought I was going to tube them. I certainly was not. I was in good faith on them so I certainly will not go for any Amendment that they stuck on it."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we might as well put the question here. The question is shall... There's not debate. The question to table Representative Craig's motion to adopt the report. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. It takes a simple majority. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 63 'aye' and 60 'nay' and the motion to table prevails. Now revert to the motion of Representative Geo-Karis that the, the House do not adopt first conference committee report to Senate Bill 478."

Geo-Karis: "My motion to nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "All those in favor indicate by saying... All those in... All those in favor vote 'aye'. I guess we have to have a Roll Call here. Be at ease while we fix the machine. Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. While we're waiting for the machine to be fixed, I think a word or two is in order. I think that this House through your leadership, Mr. Speaker, has had a very orderly process up to now. I think that you should hold no fear about a late adjournment."



I don't think it can be laid at your feet, Mr. Speaker, and all of us fussed a lot about many of your procedures, but I think all in good faith. I think it's 5, nearing 5 o'clock in the morning and that after this Roll Call is finished and this matter is disposed, I would hope the Chair would entertain in all graciousness to we Members who think so highly of you for your kind and gentle procedure that you've exhibited since January, should finish it by recessing until the daylight hours so we could get a little sleep and you should be proud of us."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House... There's been a motion that the House do not adopt the first report, conference committee report to Senate Bill."

Geo-Karis: "And I request a new committee."

Speaker Redmond: "All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 72 'aye' and 56 'no' and the House, the motion carries and the House does not concur in the first conference committee report. Representative Shea. She requests a second conference committee. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand adjourned until 2 o'clock this afternoon, July 1."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the motion. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The 'ayes' have it. We stand adjourned."



INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

June 30, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1.

June 30, 1975

9:30	Speaker Redmond	House come to order
	Reverend Krueger	prayer
	Speaker Redmond	Roll Call
9:36	Jack O'Brien	House Resolutions
	Madigan	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Jack O'Brien	
9:46	Speaker Redmond	"George Lindberg"
9:48	Speaker Redmond	
9:59	Speaker Redmond	
	Schlickman	SB 965 Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	
10:00	Williams)	yield?
	Schlickman)	
	Speaker Redmond	House adopts 1st Conference Committee Report
	Sangmeister	HB 5 Conference Committee Report #1
10:05	Speaker Redmond	House adopt 1st Conference Committee Report
	Porter	HB 119 Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	House adopts 1st Conference Committee Report
10:07	Porter)	SB 751 Conference Committee Report #1
	Lundy)	
	Speaker Redmond	House adopts 1st Conference Committee Report
10:10	Grotberg	HB 600 Conference Committee Report



Speaker Redmond

10:10 Mugalian) yield?

10:10 Grotberg)

Speaker Redmond

10:11 Shea for

Speaker Redmond House adopt 1st Conference Committee Report HB 600

10:13 Bluthardt HB 2065 Conference Committee Report #1

Speaker Redmond

10:13 Schlickman urge 'no' vote

Speaker Redmond

10:16 Hill) question

Bluthardt)

10:18 Speaker Redmond

Geo-Karis urge adoption

Speaker Redmond

10:20 Mahar support

Speaker Redmond

10:21 Williams support

Speaker Redmond

10:21 Schlickman point of order

Speaker Redmond

10:21 Bluthardt) point of order

Schlickman)

10:24 Speaker Redmond

Matijevich move previous question

Speaker Redmond 'ayes' have it

10:25 Bluthardt to close



10:25	Speaker Redmond	House adopts Conference Committee Report #1
10:26	Bluthardt	leave to have Clerk underline, etc.
10:27	Caldwell	HB 2160 Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1
10:28	Skinner	HB 1443 Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	
	Madigan	have not had opportunity etc.
	Skinner	whatever...
	Speaker Redmond	
10:29	Schneider	
	Madigan	take it out
	Speaker Redmond	
10:30	Yourell	SB 662 Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	House adopts 1st Conference Committee Report
	Shea	HB 3002 Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	
10:33	Skinner	
	Speaker Redmond	out of record
10:35	Leinenweber	SB 1170 Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	
10:37	Hart	
	Speaker Redmond	
10:38	Porter	
	Speaker Redmond	
10:38	Skinner	not distributed
	Speaker Redmond	take out



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

10:39	Mudd	HB 699 Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	
10:40	Ebbeson)	question
	Mudd)	
10:41	Speaker Redmond	House adopts 1st Conference Committee Report
	Berman	SB 1493 Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond)	
10:43	Deuster)	point of order
	Berman	proceeds
10:47	Hoffman, G.L.	
10:50	Speaker Redmond	
	Houlihan, J.)	yield?
10:50	Berman)	
	Anderson	
	Houlihan	
	Speaker Redmond	
10:55	Anderson)	yield?
	Berman)	
	?	move previous question
	Speaker Redmond	
10:55	Berman	to close
	Speaker Redmond	
11:01	Skinner	explain vote
	Speaker Redmond	
11:03	Polk	explain vote
	Speaker Redmond	
11:04	Palmer	



11:05	Speaker Redmond	House adopts 1st Conference Committee Report SB 1492
	Skinner	
11:07	Speaker Redmond	House adopts 1st Conference Committee Report HB 1443
11:07	Calvo	HB 229 Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	
	Schlickman)	yield?
11:08	Calvo)	
	Speaker Redmond	
11:09	Hoffman, G.	
	Speaker Redmond	House adopt Conference Committee Report #1
	Catania	HB 1257 Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	
11:12	Deuster	
	Speaker Redmond	House adopts Conference Committee Report #1
11:12	Hoffman	leave to table 1935
	Speaker Redmond	leave
11:13	Skinner	2876
	Speaker Redmond	
11:15	Schlickman)	
	Skinner)	
	Speaker Redmond	House adopts 1st Conference Committee Report
11:16	Shea	HB 3002 1st Conference Committee Report
	Speaker Redmond	House adopts Conference Committee Report #1



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

	Speaker Redmond	Concurrence
11:16	Hanahan	HB 2989.....SA #2
11:20	Speaker Redmond	House Conkurs
	Hanahan	Amendment #3
11:21	Speaker Redmond	House Conkurs
11:21	Hanahan	Amendment #4
	Speaker Redmond	House Conkurs
	Hanahan	Amendment #5
11:22	Speaker Redmond	House non-Conkurs
	Berman	HB 1506....move to table
	Speaker Redmond	Tabled
11:23	Taylor	HB 2627...SA#3
	Speaker Redmond	
11:24	Yourell	
	Speaker Redmond	
11:27	Schlickman	Point of Order...not germane
11:31	Speaker Redmond	"Chair cannot rule"
11:31	Schraeder	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Beaupre	
11:33	Matijevich	Move SA#3 is in violation of State Constitution
11:34	Speaker Redmond	
	Matijevich	
	Speaker Redmond	
11:35	Shea)	"under what rule do you make motion"
)	
	Matijevich)	
11:37	Speaker Redmond	rule motion out of order



11:37 Taylor
 Speaker Redmond

11:37 Skinner
 Speaker Redmond
 Maragos Rule on motion of Matijeovich
 Speaker Redmond

11:38 Beaupre
 Speaker Redmond
 Walsh)
 Taylor) Out of record
 Speaker Redmond)

Neff HB 3058...SA #1
 Speaker Redmond
 Hill
 Speaker Redmond
 Barnes
 Speaker Redmond House Concur
 Dunn, J. HB 3097...SA #1
 Speaker Redmond House Concur
 Shea HB 3118...SA #1, move to concur

11:49 Shea HB 3118...SA #1
 Speaker Redmond
 Washington
 Speaker Redmond

11:50 Telcser) Opposition
 Speaker Redmond)
 Madison)



11:51	Speaker Redmond	Confine yourself to motion
	Telcser	
	Walsh	
	Speaker Redmond	
11:54	Chapman	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lauer	Move previous question
	Speaker Redmond	
11:55	Shea	to close
	Speaker Redmond	
11:57	Fleck	
	Shea	Move House non-Concur
	Houlihan, J.	
	Speaker Redmond	House non-Concur..SA #1
11:57	Duff	HB 3118
	Stone	Point of Order
	Speaker Redmond	Conference Committee Report
11:59	Catania	Personal Privilege
	Speaker Redmond	Proceed
	Catania	States her point
	Speaker Redmond	bring remarks
	Catania	
	Speaker Redmond	HB 1518....Conference Com. Report
	Terzich	TV Camera down
	Speaker Redmond	
	Walsh	Move to table
	Speaker Redmond	Tabled



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Kozubowski	HB 1089, Conf. Comm. Report Move to adopt
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	Question
Kozubowski	
Skinner	May I speak
Speaker Redmond	Proceed
Skinner	HB 1089...opposes
Speaker Redmond	
Houlihan, J.	
Speaker Redmond	yields
Houlihan, J.	question put
Kozubowski	Response
Speaker Redmond	Vote...report adopted 1790..Conf. Comm. Report #1 Take out of Record
Speaker Redmond	HB 2439
Barnes, J	move to concur..Senate Am. #1
Speaker Redmond	
Schneider	Speaks to the question
Speaker Redmond	2439 ? ?
Schneider	
Barnes, J.	
Schneider	Opposes
Speaker Redmond	
Waddell	
Speaker Redmond	
Waddell	HB 2439....question put
Barnes, J.	Favorable Roll Call



Speaker Redmond	Vote...Report adopt
Barnes, J.	HB 2238..changes position... Three points stated; ask for favor- able
Speaker Redmond	
Barnes, J.	
Speaker Redmond	
Matijevich	Point of Order
Speaker Redmond	
McClain	Explain the report
Speaker Redmond	
Barnes, J.	
Speaker Redmond	
Downs	
Barnes, J.	
Speaker Redmond	
Yourell	Question..
Barnes, J.	No, I was not
Yourell	
Speaker Redmond	HB 2238 (cont.)
Barnes, J.	
Yourell	
Barnes, J.	
Speaker Redmond	
Hanahan	Oppose the Committee Report
Speaker Redmond	
12:20 Madison	
Speaker Redmond	
Shea	for...HB 2238...Con. Com. Rep. #1



12:24	Ebbesen	Move previous question
	Speaker Redmond	
	Barnes	to close
	Speaker Redmond	
12:25	Deuster	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:25	Friedrich	against
	Speaker Redmond	
12:25	Londrigan	vote for
	Speaker Redmond	
12:27	Lechowicz	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:27	Fleck	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:27	Ebbesen	
	Speaker Redmond	House does not adopt
	Barnes, J.	Table Bill
	Speaker Redmond	Tabled
	Keller	Move not to accept.
12:30	Speaker Redmond	SB 649...First Con. Com. Report House does not adopt..Con. Com. Report #1 At ease for ten minutes
	Shea	move to recess for 1:30
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	Stand at ease, Clerk read messages and then recess.
	Speaker Redmond	Motion carries
	Jack O'Brien	Messages from Senate.. Recess until 1:30.



1:33	Speaker Redmond	House to Order
	Jack O'Brien	Messages from the Senate
1:39	Speaker Redmond	House will be at ease for 10 minutes.
	AT EASE.....	
2:25	Speaker Redmond	House in Order
	Jack O'Brien	Message from the Senate
2:30	Speaker Redmond	Supplemental Calendar #1
2:30	DiPrima	HB 731..Conference Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	
2:30	Schlickman	
	Speaker Redmond	House adopt First Conference Committee Report.
	Kane	HB 1303, First Conference Committee Report.
	Speaker Redmond	House
2:33	Kozubowski	HB 1366, Con. Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	House does not adopt, Con. Com. Report #1
	Mulcahey	HB 1977, Con. Committee Report #1
2:35	Speaker Redmond	House adopts Con. Committee Report #1
	Walsh	Record me as 'no' on the last.
	Speaker Redmond	
2:35	Hart	HB 484, Con. Committee Report #1
	Schlickman) question
)
3:36	Hart)
	Speaker Redmond	
2:38	Friedrich)
)
	Hart)
	Speaker Redmond	



2:39	Totten	Opposition to accept report
	Speaker Redmond	House
2:40	Schlickman	
	Speaker Redmond	Adopts reports on HB 484
	Byers	HB 632
	Speaker Redmond	
	Friedrich	
	Speaker Redmond	House does adopt report
	Cunningham	Responds to earlier comments
	Speaker Redmond	HB 783
	VonBoeckman	HB 783, Second Conf. Committee be appointed.
	Speaker Redmond	
	VonBoeckman	Failed to agree
	Speaker Redmond	
	VonBoeckman	Correct
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	Point of Order
	Speaker Redmond	House does adopt; to HB 783
	Barnes	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Barnes	
	Speaker Redmond	HB 348
	Beaupre	move House does not adopt
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	Substitute motion
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	move to adopt



	Speaker Redmond	Substitute motion
	Houlihan, J.	Appropriate
	Speaker Redmond	Appropriate motion
	Beaupre	Speaks to Shea's motion
2:47	Beaupre	Resist the Amendment
2:50	Hart	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:50	Houlihan)	yield
)	
	Beaupre)	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:53	Collins	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Beaupre)	Point of order
)	
2:54	Collins)	
	Shea)	
)	
	Beaupre)	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:56	Madison)	Question
)	
2:57	Beaupre)	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Rigney	Move previous question
	Speaker Redmond	'ayes' have it.
2:59	Shea	to close
3:00	Beaupre	Ask for verification at appropriate time.
	Speaker Redmond	
3:00	Schraeder	
	Speaker Redmond	



3:01	Skinner	Support
	Speaker Redmond	
	Duff	Support
	Speaker Redmond	
3:02	Matijevich	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:03	Madison	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:05	Beaupre	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:07	Beaupre	Move to table
3:07	Shea	Roll Call
	Speaker Redmond	
	Barnes	Parliamentary inquiry
	Speaker Redmond	
3:08	Barnes)	
	Speaker Redmond)	
3:09	Shea	
	Beaupre	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:10	Schlickman	Point of Order
	Speaker Redmond	
	? ..Tipsword	
3:11	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:12	Beaupre	
	Speaker Redmond	



	Shea		
	Speaker Redmond		
3:12	Houlihan, J.		
3:13	Shea		
	Speaker Redmond		
3:14	Beaupre	Take out of the record	
	Speaker Redmond	'OK'	
3:14	Geo-Karis	HB 1704; Conference Committee Report	
	Speaker Redmond		
3:14	Bluthardt		
	Speaker Redmond		
3:15	Schlickman		
	Speaker Redmond		
3:15	Geo-Karis		
	Speaker Redmond		
3:17	Schlickman		
3:17	Geo-Karis		
	Speaker Redmond		
	Tuerk)	Question
)	
	Geo-Karis)	
	Speaker Redmond		
	Ebbesen		Move the previous question
3:20	Matijevich)	Ought to be allowed to speak
)	
	Geo-Karis)	
3:20	Matijevich)	Rule Conf. Committee Report out
)	or order
	Speaker Redmond)	
)	
	Geo-Karis)	Leave to Amend report



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

3:21	Matijeovich)	
	Geo-Karis)	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:24	Greiman	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:24	Matijeovich	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:25	Geo-Karis	
3:26	Speaker Redmond	HB 1704; Conf. Committee Report #1
		House Adopts.
3:28	Geo-Karis	
	Speaker Redmond	
3:28	Washington	HB 1766; Conf. Committee Report #2
	Speaker Redmond	House
3:28	Walsh	
3:30	Washington	Poll the absentees
	Speaker Redmond	
	Jack O'Brien	HB 1766; poll the absentees
	Speaker Redmond	
	Palmer	Vote me 'aye'.
	Jack O'Brien	Continues poll
	Speaker Redmond	
	Mudd	'aye'
	Speaker Redmond	
	Stubblefield	'present'
	Speaker Redmond	
	Washington	False rumor
	Speaker Redmond	



VanDuyne	Explains not voting
Speaker Redmond	
Washington	
Speaker Redmond	
Grotberg	votes 'aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Lucco	'aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Satterthwaite	HB 1766; 'aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Willer	'aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Madison	'aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Jack O'Brien	
Madison	'aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Brinkmeier	'aye'
Speaker Redmond	New Roll Call
Caldwell	Explains vote; 'aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Gaines	Explains vote; 'aye'
Speaker Redmond	
VanDuyne	Explains vote; 'aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Collins	Explain vote; 'no'
Speaker Redmond	House does adopt; Conf. Com. Rep. #2



Berman	HB 1790; Second Conf. Committee Report
Speaker Redmond	
Fleck	Simple question
Speaker Redmond	
Berman	
Speaker Redmond	
Fleck	
Berman	
Fleck	
Berman	
Fleck	
Berman	
Fleck	
Speaker Redmond	
Williams	
Speaker Redmond	
Williams	
Berman	
Williams	Speaks to the question
Speaker Redmond	HB 1790
Schlickman	Speaks on the question; opposes the Report
Speaker Redmond	
Duff	
Speaker Redmond	
Totten	Moves Previous question
Speaker Redmond	So moved
Berman	To close



	Speaker Redmond	
	Daniels	Explain vote
	Speaker Redmond	HB 1790; Conf. Committee Report
4:00	Katz	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:03	Cunningham	Vote Red.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Fleck	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:07	Duff	At appropriate time; verify Roll Call
	Speaker Redmond	
4:08	Madison	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:09	Deuster	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:10	Friedrich	
4:10	Speaker Redmond	Request for verification
	Jack O'Brien	
	Deuster	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:12	Fred Selcke	
	Keller	Record me 'aye'
4:13	Fleck	
	Speaker Redmond	You're out of Order
	Schlickman	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:15	Boyle	Change to 'present'



4:15 Speaker Redmond

4:15 Houlihan, D. L. "may I be verified?"
Speaker Redmond

4:15 Madison Change to 'aye'

4:15 Fred Selcke Affirmative Roll Call
Speaker Redmond

4:18 Madison "May I be verified?"
Speaker Redmond

4:20 Dunn Change to 'aye'
Speaker Redmond
Fred Selcke
Dunn 'aye'
Fred Selcke
Speaker Redmond
McCourt HB 1790
Speaker Redmond
Fred Selcke
McCourt
Speaker Redmond
Fred Selcke) Verifies
Speaker Redmond)
Berman
Speaker Redmond
Fred Selcke 93 'ayes', 59 'nays'
Schlickman)
Speaker Redmond) Questions of the affirmative
Fred Selcke)



	Speaker Redmond	
	Palmer	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Fred Selcke	'aye'
	Speaker Redmond	
	Catania	HB 1790
	Speaker Redmond	
	Fred Selcke	
	Catania	
	Speaker Redmond	89 'ayes', House does not adopt.
4:30	Speaker Redmond	House does not adopt Conf. Committee Report. At ease for 10 min.
	Fred Selcke	House Resolution
	Ryan	
	Fred Selcke	Reads the Resolution; HR
	Speaker Redmond	
	Ryan	Moves to adopt
	Speaker Redmond	
	Matijevich	
	Ryan	
	Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted
	Ryan	Need a Roll Call
	Speaker Redmond	Yes...Vote...Resolution Adopted At ease 'til 4:50
	Giorgi in the Chair....	
	Fred Selcke	Agreed Resolution
	Pierce	Explains the Resolutions
	Giorgi	



Jaffe

Pierce Continues explaining Resolutions

Giorgi Resolutions Adopted

Houlihan

Giorgi

Matijevich

Giorgi at ease

Fred Selcke Messages from Senate

Kozubowski Conference Committee Report

Speaker Redmond

Houlihan

Speaker Redmond

Houlihan

Kozubowski

Houlihan Parliamentary Ruling

Speaker Redmond

Shea

Speaker Redmond

Houlihan

Speaker Redmond

5:25 Shea HB 1360

Speaker Redmond

Houlihan Appeal the Ruling

Speaker Redmond Six Members

Schraeder

Speaker Redmond

Schraeder



	Speaker Redmond	
	Jack O'Brien	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
5:25	Kozubowski	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Schraeder	Undeatable motion
	Speaker Redmond	
	Madison	How many votes? 89 Simple Majority
5:25	Speaker Redmond	Shall HB 1360 be tabled?
	Madison	
	Speaker Redmond	Motion lost
5:26	Houlihan, J.	
5:27	Speaker Redmond	
	Houlihan, J.	Address myself to Conf. Committee Report ...HB 1360
5:35	Shea	
5:35	Speaker Redmond	Poll the absentees
5:35	Jack O'Brien	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
5:39	Houlihan	Question of Chair
	Speaker Redmond	
	Schraeder	Conference Committee Requested
	Speaker Redmond	



	Kozubowski	Does not request
	Speaker Redmond	
	McClain	Precedent
	Speaker Redmond	Chair agrees
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	HB 1360..Conf. Committee Report
	Speaker Redmond	
5:41	Schraeder	
	Speaker Redmond	Vote
	Houlihan, J.	Request Green lights
	Speaker Redmond	Vote on not adopting
	Schraeder	
	Speaker Redmond	Motion prevails
	Madison	
	Speaker Redmond	HB 726
	?	
	Speaker Redmond	Took Out Of Record
5:45	Marovitz	HB 1608..do adopt Conf. Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	
	Marovitz	
	Dunn	
5:48	Marovitz	HB 1608..Conf. Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	
	Borchers	
	Speaker Redmond	



5:48	Polk	
	Marovitz	
	Polk	
	Marovitz	
	Polk	
	Marovitz	
	Polk	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Schuneman	
	Speaker Redmond	Proceed
	Schuneman	
	Marovitz	
	Speaker Redmond	Passed
5:52	Catania	HB 2473..Moves to adopt Conf. Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	
	Friedrich	HB 2473
	Speaker Redmond	
	Friedrich	
	Catania	
	Friedrich	
5:53	Speaker Redmond	Vote Motion Adopted
	Bradley	726...Move House does adopt Conf. Committee Report #1
	Speaker Redmond	Vote to adopt..moves does adopt
	Marovitz	HB 2852..Move to table Bill
	Speaker Redmond	Tabled
	Hanahan	HB 2989..Moves to adopt Conf. Committee Report #1



Speaker Redmond

Schneider

Speaker Redmond

Schneider

Hanahan

Schneider

Hanahan

Schneider

Speaker Redmond

HB 2989

Catania

Hanahan

Catania

Hanahan

Catania

Hanahan

I'll check it

Catania

Speaker Redmond

Vote..Motion passes to adopt

Palmer

HB 1299..Move House adopt 1st Conf.
Committee Report

Speaker Redmond

HB 1299

6:00

Skinner

Speaker Redmond

Vote..Motion passes

Davis

HB 555..Move to adopt Conf. Comm.
Report #1

Speaker Redmond

Vote

Anderson

Question of Sponsor

Davis

Anderson



Davis

Anderson 555

Davis

Anderson

Davis

6:04 Speaker Redmond Motion Adopted

Barnes, E.M. SB 635..Move House do adopt Conf.
Committee #1

Speaker Redmond ?

Anderson ?

Barnes

Anderson

Barnes

Anderson

6:06 Barnes

Anderson

Speaker Redmond

Grotberg

Speaker Redmond

Grotberg

Barnes, E. M.

Grotberg SB 635..Conf. Committee Report #1

Barnes

Grotberg

6:07 Barnes

Speaker Redmond

Berman Question

Barnes



Berman

Barnes

Speaker Redmond

Vote..Motion passes

Tipsword

SB 661..move to adopt Conf. Comm.
Report #1

Speaker Redmond

Catania

Speaker Redmond

Catania

Tipsword

Catania

Speaker Redmond

Vote..House does adopt

Speaker Redmond

SB 1496..

Hart

SB 1496, Move to adopt Conf. Comm.
Report

Speaker Redmond

Ryan

Speaker Redmond

Ryan

Hart

Ryan

6:11

Hart

Ryan

Hart

Ryan

Hart

Ryan

Speaker Redmond

Vote



	Dyer	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Hart	Yes
	Dyer	Statement
6:15	Dyer	SB 1496
	Speaker Redmond	House does adopt
	Lechowicz	SB 477..House do concur..Conf.
	Speaker Redmond	Committee Report #1
	Kane	Question
	Lechowicz)
)
	Kane)
	Speaker Redmond	
	Hanahan	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lauer	
	Speaker Redmond	Yields
	Lauer	Question asked
	Lechowicz	Response
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lauer	Speaks to the Bill
	Speaker Redmond	
6:25	Huff	Question
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lechowicz	SB 477
	Huff	
	Speaker Redmond	Proceed
6:26	Huff	Speaks to the Bill



6:26	Speaker Redmond	
	Lechowicz	To close
	Speaker Redmond	Vote
	Kane	Explain 'no' vote
	Speaker Redmond	
	Calvo	Explain vote
	Speaker Redmond	Motion adopted
	Lechowicz	Motion did not carry
	Speaker Redmond	Chair agrees
	Schuneman	SB 558
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	Hold it a minute
	Speaker Redmond	
	Holewinski	Explain Conf. Committee Report
	Schuneman	Explains the Report
	Speaker Redmond	SB 558
6:35	Schuneman	SB 558
	Speaker Redmond	
	Berman	Urge a 'no' vote
	Speaker Redmond	
6:38	Schuneman	Responds to Berman's remarks
	Speaker Redmond	Vote..motion fails
	Schuneman	Move for Second count
	Speaker Redmond	
6:41	Schuneman	SB 610..move that House does adopt Conf. Committee Report
	Speaker Redmond	Vote..Motion passed



	Kozubowski	HB 2435..Move House do concur #2
	Speaker Redmond	
	Kempiners	Opposes Concurrence
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lundy	Opposes Concurrence
	Speaker Redmond	
6:49	Cunningham	HB 2435
	Speaker Redmond	
	Beaupre	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Skinner	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Taylor	Moves Previous Question
	Speaker Redmond	So moved
	Kozubowski	To Close
	Speaker Redmond	Vote
	Pierce	Explain Vote
	Speaker Redmond	
	Geo-Karis	Explain Vote
	Speaker Redmond	
	Kozubowski	Explain Vote
	Speaker Redmond	
	Madison	Explain Vote
	Speaker Redmond	Motion failed
	Shea in Chair...	Supplemental #3
7:00	Shea	HB 816..Took out of Record
	Hart	1092..Conf. Committee Report #1



	Shea	Vote..Motion passes to adopt
	Byers	1314 ...Conf. Committee
	Berman	1968
	Shea	
	Skinner	
	Shea	Took out of Record
	McPartlin	SB 98..Moves to Adopt Conf. Comm. Report #1
	Shea	
	Walsh	
	Shea	
7:06	Collins	
	Shea	
	Leverenz	Previous question
	Shea	
	Deuster	Point of Order
	Shea	
	McPartlin	To Close
	Shea	SB 98..Vote
	Totten	Explain Vote
	Shea	
	Pierce	Explain Vote
	Shea	
	Catania	
	Shea	
	Washburn	Verification request
	Shea	
	Walsh	



Shea
 Walsh
 Shea
 Jack O'Brien Polls absentees
 Shea Laurino 'aye'
 Jack O'Brien Continues poll
 Shea
 Jack O'Brien Affirmative Roll Call verified
 Shea
 Jaffe SB 98..Change from 'no' to 'aye'
 Shea be in seats
 Geo-Karis vote 'no'
 Jack O'Brien Continues verification
 Shea
 Washburn) Questions of affirmative
 Shea)
 Jack O'Brien
 Kane
 Shea
 Jack O'Brien
 Kane) Vote me 'aye'
)
 Shea) Questions of affirmative
)
 Washburn)
 Jack O'Brien
 Shea
 Barnes
 Shea



Barnes	Vote me 'aye'
Jones	SB 98..verification
Shea	
Jack O'Brien	
Jones	Vote 'aye'
Garmisa	
Shea	
Jack O'Brien	
Garmisa	
Shea	
Garmisa	Green Light
Shea	
Choate	
Shea	
Jack O'Brien	
Choate	
Shea	
Choate	Votes 'aye'
Shea	
Brandt	
Jack O'Brien	SB 98..Verification
Brandt	Vote me 'aye'
Shea	
Mautino	
Shea	
Jack O'Brien	
Mautino	Stays 'aye'



	Shea	Roll Call..Motion fails
	Bradley	
	Shea	816..Conf. Committee Report
	Bradley	move House does not adopt
	Shea	vote
	Cunningham	Supports the report
	Shea	Vote..take the record..motion passed
	Kane	1303 already done
	Shea	
	Berman	HB 1968 ..Conf. Committee Report #1
	Shea	Took out of record
	Lechowicz	477
7:38	Shea	477..Move House do not concur
7:39	Shea	BOMB THREAT...Stand in recess 'til 9:00
	Jack O'Brien	Messages from Senate
	Shea	
7:41	Jack O'Brien	Continues messages
	Shea	
	Jack O'Brien	Continues messages
8:58	Shea	
	?	Quorum call
	Shea	House in Order
	Jack O'Brien	No messages
	Shea	
	Jack O'Brien	No calendars



	Shea	SB 248..Speakers Table..Non-Concurrences
	Luft	Appt. of Conf. Committee..House do not recede #1 & #2
	Shea	Vote..Motion passed
	Kane	HB 1303..Already been taken care of
	Shea	
	Kane	Run around and push buttons
	Shea	SB 1297
9:03	Keller	Move to adopt Conf. Committee
	Shea	Hold motion
9:04	Shea	House at ease for few minutes
	Keller	No ghost
9:05	Shea	Hope not
	Bradley in Chair	
	Bradley	2476..Order of Concurrence
	Shea	HB 2476..Senate Amendment #1
	Bradley	
	Ryan	
	Shea	
	Ryan	
	Shea	Took out of Record
	Bradley	Took out of Record
9:12	Shea	
	Byers	Conf. Committee Reports
	Shea	
	Boyle	Request 'take out of record' 'til 89 are on floor.
	Shea	



Boyle	
Shea	
Byers	
Shea	
Skinner	Question
Byers	
Shea	
Skinner	Question
Byers	
Skinner	Thank you
Shea	Recognition of Representative
Boyle	
Shea	
Friedrich	
Shea	
Boyle	
Shea	
Hoffman	Previous question
Shea	Vote...so moved
Byers	To close
Shea	
Ryan	1314...explain vote
Shea	
Byers	Explain vote
Shea	Took out of Record
Boyle	Point of order
Shea	



	Ryan	Second Conference Committee
	Shea	Took out of Record
	Duff	Good point
	Shea	
	Ryan	
	Shea	Still out of record
	Boyle	Point of Order
	Shea	
	Keller	SB 1297..move to adopt Conf. Comm. Report #1
	Shea	
	Ryan	Explain
	Keller	Explains the report
	Shea	Vote..Motion passes
9:31	Shea	HB 1968..
	Berman	Conf. Committee Report #1... moves to adopt
	Shea	
	Hill	Speaks against motion
	Shea	
	Duff	Speaks to the report
	Shea	
	Hill	Point of order
	Shea	Talk to Amendment & not Bill
	Duff	
	Shea	
	Miller	
	Shea	



	Friedland	moves previous question
	Shea	so moved
	Berman	to close
	Shea	
	Londrigan	explains vote
	Shea	HB 1968 take the record motion fails
	Berman	Second Conference Committee
	Shea	'ayes' have it - passed
	Washington	SB 510 Conference Committee Report #1
	Shea	gavel - order!
	Washington	move House does not adopt
	Shea	vote - House does not adopt
	Speaker Redmond	Supplemental Calendar #1
	Beaupre	SB 348 move to adopt Conference Committee #1
	Speaker Redmond	
	Ryan	can't hear, request repeat
	Speaker Redmond	
	Beaupre	repeats
	Speaker Redmond	
	Houliha, J.	question of Sponsor
10:01	Beaupre	
	Houliha, J.	
	Beaupre	
	Houlihan, J.	
10:02	Ryan	
	Speaker Redmond	



	Gaines	
	Speaker Redmond	
10:04	Beaupre	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	moves previous question
	Speaker Redmond	so moved
	Beaupre	to close
	Speaker Redmond	to vote
	Peters	explains vote - against
	Speaker Redmond	
	Skinner	
	Speaker Redmond	take the record - House adopts
	Byars	SB 1314 move we concur
	Speaker Redmond	
	Boyle	not one thing changed
	Speaker Redmond	
10:09	Polk	
10:10	Speaker Redmond	SB 1314
	Gaines	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Gaines	
	Byars	
	Gaines)	discusses
10:11	Byars)	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Stone	moves previous question
	Speaker Redmond	so moved



	Byars	to close
	Speaker Redmond	vote
	Ebbeson	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Byars	
	Speaker Redmond	'ayes' have it
22:12	Shea	HB 2476
	Speaker Redmond	
22:13	Mann	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Mann	
22:13	Shea	
	Mann	
	Speaker Redmond	order
	Mann	speaks on the report
	Speaker Redmond	
	Skinner	
	Speaker Redmond	
22:16	Geo-Karis	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Skinner	
	Speaker Redmond	vote
	Shea	explains vote
	Speaker Redmond	take the record - House concurs
22:23	Shea	House at ease for couple minutes
	?	
	Shea	



	D'Arco	
	Shea	722 reduction vetoes
	Ryan	
22:28	Shea)	
	Ryan)	
	Shea	
	Lechowicz	HB 722
	Shea	
	Lechowicz	to concur
	Shea	
	Lechowicz	
	Shea	Supplemental Calendar #4
	O'Daniel	HB 1342
	Shea	wait a few minutes
	Daniels	
	Shea	assigned to Judiciary I
	Ebbeson	
	Shea	leave granted
	Ebbeson	Fall Calendar veto session
	Shea	
22:33	Ebbeson	1999
	Shea	copies of calendar
	Lechowicz	
	Shea	
	Walsh	
	Shea	
22:35	Walsh	



	Shea	
	Lechowicz	
	Shea	
	Lechowicz)	
	Walsh)	
	Shea	
	Mautino	
	Shea	
	Mautino	
	Walsh	
	Mautino	
	Walsh	
	Mautino	
	Shea	
22:41	Mautino	
	Shea	
	Taylor	moves previous question
	Shea	so moved
	Walsh	To close - move to adopt Conference Committee Report
	Shea	vote
22:44	Ebbeson	explains vote - no
	Shea	recognition of Representative
22:45	Collins	explains vote
	Shea	take the record - House does adopt
	VonBoeckman	HB 783 quiet
	Shea	proceed, sir
22:47	VonBoeckman	explains report - against this report



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

	Shea	
	Houlihan, J.	ask for order
	Shea	
	Beatty	support Report #2
22:50	Shea	
	Ryan	yield?
	Shea	
	Ryan	
	Shea	
	VonBoeckman	yes
	Ryan)	question
	VonBoeckman)	
	Ryan	
	VonBoeckman	
	Ryan	
	VonBoeckman	
	Shea	
22:55	Bradley	
	Shea	
	Houlihan	point of order - contain remarks
	Shea	
	Taylor	moves previous question
	Shea	motion does not carry
	Shea	Roll Call vote - motion carries
	Beatty	to close
	Shea	
	Washington	



	Shea	
	Washington	
	Shea	
	Hart	poll absentees
	Shea	motion carries
	Beatty	to finally close
	Shea	vote
	VonBoeckman	explains vote
22:59	Shea	
	Calvo	explains vote
	Shea	
	Collins	explains vote
	Shea	
	Collins	
	Shea	
	Barnes	explains vote
	Shea	take the record - House does adopt
	Shea	1342
	Jack O'Brien	
	Shea	SB 471 Conference Committee Report
	Keller	Conference Committee Report #1
	Shea	House adopts Conference Committee Report #1 SB 471
	O'Daniel	HB 1342 Senate Amendments #1, 2, 5, 6 and 7
	Shea	House concurs
	Beaupre	HB 3096 don't have copy
	Shea	take out



	Tipsword	HB 289 Conference Committee Report #1 moves to concur
	Shea	
	Totten)	yield?
	Tipsword)	
	Totten	speaks on report - oppose
	Shea	House adopts HB 289
	Collins	inquiry
	Shea	HB 1426
	Schisler	Conference Committee Report #1 moves for adoption
	Shea	
	Totten)	yield?
	Schisler)	
	Totten	speaks on and opposes
	Shea	
	Hart	
	Shea	message from Senate
11:13	Fred Selcke	messages from Senate
	Shea	5th Supplemental Calendar HB 1968
	Berman	Conference Committee Report #2 move adoption
	Shea	
	Daniels	support
	Shea	
	Matijevich	move previous question
	Shea	'ayes' have it
	Walsh	
	Shea	House adopts Conference Committee Report #2 HB 1968



Lechowicz Conference Committee Report #2
Supplemental Calendar SB 477

Shea

Lauer

Shea

McMaster) question

Lechowicz)

McMaster) question

Ryan)

Kane support

Shea

Collins)

Shea)

Matijevich point of order

Shea

Choate point of order

Shea

Schraeder move previous question

Shea 'ayes' have it

Madison

Shea

Lechowicz to close

Shea

Hanahan

Shea

Collins "explain my vote"

Shea

McMaster



Shea
 Friedrich
 Shea
 Friedrich
 Shea
 Geo-Karis change to 'aye'
 Shea
 Daniels "vote me 'aye'"
 Shea
 Matijevich dilatory
 Shea
 Collins
 Shea want verification?
 Collins
 Shea
 Lechowicz poll absentees
 Shea)
 Fred Selcke)
 Shea
 Fred Selcke proceeds with verification
 Shea
 Madison "it is dilatory"
 Fred Selcke
 Shea
 Matijevich move Rule 50-B be suspended
 Shea
 Lechowicz



Shea "no pictures"

Fred Selcke continues verification

Shea

Lauer switch to 'aye'

Fred Selcke proceeds

Shea any questions?

Collins)

Shea)

Fred Selcke

Shea Conference Committee Report #2
SB 477 adopted

Kozubowski HB 1360 Conference Committee Report #2

Shea

Tuerk

Shea

Catania

Kozubowski

Shea

Matijevich move previous question

Shea

Washburn

Shea

Duff

Shea

Choate point of order

Shea 'ayes' have it

Kozubowski to close



Shea	Conference Committee Report #2 HB 1360
Ryan	point of parliamentary inquiry
Shea	House adopt Conference Committee Report #2 HB 1360
Leverenz	HB 802
Shea	
Ryan	parliamentary inquiry
Shea	
Leverenz	HB 802 Conference Committee Report #1
Shea	
Kent	point of order
Shea	
Bradley)	
Kent)	
Shea	
Leverenz	Conference Committee Report #1 moves for adoption
Shea	
Deuster	point of order
Shea)	
Totten)	question
Leverenz	
Shea	
Totten	speaks to Bill
Shea	
Gaines)	yield?
Leverenz)	
Shea	



	Matijeovich	moves previous question
	Shea	'ayes' have it
	Catania	explains vote
	Shea	
	McMaster	explains vote
	Shea	Conference Committee Report #1 HB 802 House concurs
	Washburn	record show it is July 1st
	Shea	
	Choate	
	Shea	HB 1426 Conference Committee Report
	Walsh	
	Shea	
12:04	Schisler	Conference Committee Report #1
	Shea	
	Walsh	
	Shea)	
	Duff)	
	Totten	
	Shea	
	Ewell	point of information
	Shea	
	Lauer	on the question
	Shea	
	Schisler	to close
	Shea	vote - passed
	Shea	SB 478 take out of record
	Friedland	vote only yourself



	Shea	SB 554
12:12	Tipsword	SB 554 move for concurrence on Report #1
	Shea	
	Totten	
	Shea	
	Tipsword	to close
	Shea	all voted - motion passed
	Shea	SB 556
	Houlihan, J.	comment on 1360
	Shea	HB 556
	Stubblefield	name in error
	Shea	
	Tipsword	move to adopt Conference Committee Report #1
	Shea	
12:19	Peters	rise to concur
	Shea	vote - passed
	Keller	SB 649 move to adopt Report #2
	Shea	explain it
	Keller	
	Shea	
	Houlihan, J.	
12:21	Keller	
	Shea	
	Beaupre	
	Keller	
	Shea	



	Deuster		
	Shea		
	Deuster		
	Keller		
	Deuster		
	Keller		
	Shea		
12:25	Garmisa		
	Deuster		
	Garmisa		
	Desuter		
	Shea	vote - passed	
	Shea	1314	
12:27	Byars	move concur	Conference Committee
		Report #2	
	Shea		
	Boyle		
	Shea		
	Ebbeson		
	Shea		
	Polk)	yield?	
	Byars)		
	Shea		
	Kelly	oppose	
	Shea		
	Ryan		
	Byars	"Mr. Speaker"	
	Shea		



Friedrich
 Shea
 Gaines) yield?
 Byars)
 Shea
 Winchester
 Shea
 Downs
 Shea
 McMaster yield?
 Byars
 Shea
 McMaster
 Shea
 Palmer) question
 Byars)
 Shea
 McMaster
 Byars
 Shea
 Palmer
 Shea
 Maragos move previous question
 Shea
 Williams oppose
 Shea
 Lauer "Best compromise"



Shea

Byars to close

Shea Conference Committee Report #2 HB 1314

Mann explains vote

Shea

Gaines explain 'no' vote

Shea

D'Arco

Shea take the Roll Call House adopts
Conference Committee Report #2 HB 1314

Duff

Shea

Fred Selcke message from Senate

Shea SB 510

Washington

Shea "all right, etc."

Keller Supplemental Calendar #3

Shea

Fred Selcke Supplemental Calendar #3

Shea

Keller HB 335 Governor's amendatory veto

Shea)

Hart) 'aye' vote

Speaker Redmond

Meyer parliamentary ruling

Speaker Redmond)

Boyle) copies been distributed?



Speaker Redmond
 Keller)
 Boyle)
 Speaker Redmond
 Friedrich
 Speaker Redmond
 Londrigan
 Speaker Redmond
 McMaster) Sponsor yield?
 Keller)
 Speaker Redmond
 McMaster)
 Keller)
 Speaker Redmond
 Schraeder
 Speaker Redmond
 Madison)
 Speaker Redmond) "I believe it is"
 Stone move previous question
 Speaker Redmond 'ayes' have it
 Keller to close
 Speaker Redmond
 Matijevich substitute motion?
 Speaker Redmond
 Yourell move it lie on table
 Speaker Redmond
 Keller continues to close



Speaker Redmond	
Keller	
Speaker Redmond	
Macdonald	Governor has misused his amendatory veto
Speaker Redmond	
Dunn, R.	urge 'aye' vote
Speaker Redmond	
Richmond	support
Speaker Redmond	
Geo-Karis	support
Speaker Redmond	
Birchler	urge green
Speaker Redmond	
Rigney	"exercise in futility"
Speaker Redmond	
Ewell	'no' vote
Speaker Redmond	motion lost
Madison	"what position"
Speaker Redmond	
Madison	
Speaker Redmond	motion to modify amendatory veto
Jack O'Brien	
Speaker Redmond	
Craig	ask to accept Governor's amendatory veto
Speaker Redmond	
Deuster	"what one you for"



Speaker Redmond
 Craig) voting to uphold Governor's amendatory
 veto
 Desuter)
 Speaker Redmond
 McMaster yield?
 Craig
 Speaker Redmond
 Dunn, R.
 Speaker Redmond
 Keller urge present or no vote
 Speaker Redmond
 Madison vote 'no'
 Speaker Redmond
 Brinkmeier move previous question
 Speaker Redmond 'ayes' have it
 Craig to close
 Speaker Redmond shall Governor recommend for change
 to HB 335
 Craig explains vote
 Speaker Redmond
 Choate vote 'present'
 Speaker Redmond
 Calvo green vote
 Speaker Redmond
 McMaster
 Speaker Redmond motion is lost
 Speaker Redmond Supplemental Calendar #4 SB 478



Geo-Karis)
 Speaker Redmond) been distributed? take out of record
 Speaker Redmond Supplemental Calendar #7 3017
 Jack O'Brien message from Senate
 Speaker Redmond
 Tuerk SB 248 Conference Committee Report #1
 Speaker Redmond House adopts
 Geo-Karis SB 478 off Committee Report
 Speaker Redmond Supplemental Calendar
 Totten Sponsor yield?
 Geo-Karis) "direct to Representative Craig"
 Speaker Redmond)
 Craig
 Speaker Redmond
 Totten
 Geo-Karis
 Speaker Redmond
 Ebbeson)
 Craig)
 Speaker Redmond
 Ryan don't think Conference Committee
 Report been distributed
 Geo-Karis
 Speaker Redmond take out
 Speaker Redmond HB 3017
 Lechowicz 234 Senate Amendments #7 & 8 been
 distributed?
 Speaker Redmond



Lechowicz "wait"
 Speaker Redmond take out HB 3096
 Beaupre HB 3096 Senate Amendment #1
 Speaker Redmond
 Grotberg) yield?
 Beaupre)
 Speaker Redmond
 Totten
 Speaker Redmond
 McPartlin)
 Beaupre)
 Speaker Redmond
 Kane) yield?
 Beaupre)
 Speaker Redmond
 Miller
 Speaker Redmond
 Londrigan
 Speaker Redmond
 Jones, J.D.
 Speaker Redmond
 Ebbeson) "Lincoln Towers"
 Beaupre) "no"
 Ebbeson "address myself to Bill"
 Speaker Redmond
 Walsh urge 'no' vote
 Speaker Redmond



Tipsword)	yield?
Beaupre)	
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	point of order
Speaker Redmond	
Choate)	ask Sponsor a question
Beaupre)	
Speaker Redmond	
Choate	should concur
Speaker Redmond	
Kempiners	move previous question
Speaker Redmond	'ayes' have it
Totten	parliamentary inquiry
Speaker Redmond	"takes 107 votes"
Beaupre	
Speaker Redmond	HB 3096
Kane	urge 'no' vote
Speaker Redmond	
Lauer	explains vote
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	
Speaker Redmond	
Lauer	
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	
Lauer	suggest 'no' vote
Speaker Redmond	



Jones, J.D.	
Speaker Redmond	
Londrigan	"not Governor's accelerated program"
Speaker Redmond	
Grotberg	"say 'no'"
Speaker Redmond	
Brandt	'yes' vote
Speaker Redmond	
Beaupre	
Speaker Redmond	
Mudd	
Speaker Redmond	
Friedrich	no
Speaker Redmond	
McMaster	no
Speaker Redmond	
McPartlin	for
Speaker Redmond	
Ebbeson	"red light"
Speaker Redmond	House refuses to concur HB 3096 Senate Amendment #1
Beaupre	hold the Bill
Speaker Redmond	
Beaupre	may we take out?
Speaker Redmond	cannot now
Speaker Redmond	SB 510 Supplemental Calendar #6
Washington	Second Conference Report withdraw name as Sponsor of 510



Speaker Redmond	leave granted
Houlihan)	question
Washington)	
Speaker Redmond	
Taylor	would like to be chief Sponsor
Speaker Redmond	
Washington	
Speaker Redmond	
Dunn, J.	point of inquiry
Speaker Redmond	
McPartlin)	question
Washington)	
Speaker Redmond	
Madigan	"to speak for the Bill"
Washington	"was responding, etc."
Speaker Redmond	
Stone	"gentleman not responding to question"
Speaker Redmond	
Washington	
Speaker Redmond	
Madigan	address the Bill
Speaker Redmond	
Madison	parliamentary inquiry
Speaker Redmond	
Washington	"he was"
Speaker Redmond	
Madigan	



Shea	
Matijevich	move previous question
Shea	'ayes' have it
Dunn, J.	explains vote
Shea	
Tuerk	explains vote
Shea	House concurs with Conference Committee Report #2
McClain	
Shea	House concurs with Conference Committee Report #2 SB 510
Hudson	point of personal privilege
Shea)	"Run out of paper"
McMaster)	
Shea	
Lauer	parliamentary inquiry on point of progress
Shea	
Madison	
Shea	"stand at ease for a few minutes"
Tipsword	
Shea	
?	
Shea	HB 3017 Supplemental Calendar #7
Lechowicz	Senate Amendment #2 HB 3017 move to concur
Shea	
Totten)	question
Lechowicz)	



Shea	HB 3017 Senate Amendment #2
Peters	
Shea	
Peters	"voice vote"
Shea	"House be at ease"
Madison	
Shea	
Tipsword	move SB 210 vote by which declared passed be reconsidered
Shea	leave granted?
Tipsword	on SB 210 to be put on Fall Calendar
Shea	
Walsh	object
Shea	
Friedrich	
Shea	"put your motion"
Tipsword	"move proper rule be suspended"
Shea	
Telcser	"what is motion?"
Shea	
Telcser)	
Shea)	
Matijevich	
Shea)	
Duff)	
Tipsword	has already been put on Fall Calendar
Shea	Introduction and First Reading
Fred Selcke	HB 3126



Shea

Jaffe leave to take 3126 and assign to Education Committee

Shea leave granted

?

Shea

Madigan?

Duff "photographer, etc."

Fred Selcke machine is fixed

Peters (being funny) "House is adjourned"

? "Resolution to introduce"

Keller Sponsor yield?

Matijevich "Keller, you are out of order"

Keller Tipsword and Geo-Karis

Hart "one chorus of Hamiltonians"

Speaker Redmond

? "Mr. Speaker, no volume"

? "Mr. Temporary Speaker"

Speaker Redmond "having some problems"

Geo-Karis)

Speaker Redmond)

Speaker Redmond "Representative Shea, come to podium. House will be in order."

Speaker Redmond "Supplemental Calendar #4 Conference Committee Report SB 478"

Craig

Speaker Redmond

Lauer

Speaker Redmond



Geo-Karis "was not in Conference Committee"

Speaker Redmond

Shea

Speaker Redmond

Geo-Karis

Speaker Redmond

Ryan

Speaker Redmond

Shea

Ryan which two?

Craig)

Shea)

Ryan)

Speaker Redmond

Lauer

Speaker Redmond

Ryan

Speaker Redmond

Kent wants name removed from Conference Report

Speaker Redmond

Geo-Karis

Speaker Redmond

McMaster "quit playing games"

Speaker Redmond

Lucco "in the dark"

Speaker Redmond

Craig substitute motion



Speaker Redmond	
Ryan	recommend we don't concur
Speaker Redmond	
Hart	motion to table
Speaker Redmond	
Kent	
Speaker Redmond	
Downs	motion is not debatable
Kent	
Speaker Redmond	
Kent	point of personal privilege
Speaker Redmond	
Geo-Karis	point of clarification
Speaker Redmond	question to table Craig's motion to concur motion to table prevails
Geo-Karis	motion to non-concur
Speaker Redmond	"Be at ease"
Grotberg	recess till daylight
Speaker Redmond	
Geo-Karis	
Speaker Redmond	House does not concur in Second Conference Committee Report
Shea	move House adjourn
Speaker Redmond	House adjourned

