

Speaker Redmond: "I'm going to turn the Chair over for a moment to our late friend, Senator Lemke."

Lemke: "Thank you, it's always my pleasure to be back here in the House to see my fellow colleagues. Today I came for a specific purpose. The purpose of giving a presentation to my roommate and, of course, my mentor and teacher when I came down to Springfield. A plaque to a fellow that's been in this House for more than 20 years who has been the friend of most people here in the House and to a lot of people the fellow that makes it possible for most of the members here that have a snack, on occasion, when we go the late hours. And that's to get to the honorable State Representative John G. Fary, a plaque for his many years of dedicated service to the people of the State of Illinois. What the plaque says is this, in the shape of the State of Illinois. On one part and the seal on the other and it says, to Representative John G. Fary, for his many years of dedicated service to the people of the State of Illinois and on there is listed the 177 Representatives in the House of Representatives and the 59 Senators in the Senate with the names of the Speaker, William Redmond, and the President of the Senate, the honorable Cecil Partee. John Fary, on behalf of the many people in this Body, the staff and the many employees and especially the constituents of the State of Illinois, it's my honor as your friend to, and your pupil, to present this award on behalf of the General Assembly to you."

Fary: "Thank you very much, Representative LeRoy Lemke. This is a surprise although a very pleasant one and Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I must say farewell, but not goodbye. I came to this old House as a Representative over 20 years ago. It was strange here, but not for long. This old House of Representatives has a way of growing on you. You begun to love everybody and everything in it despite the dividing line. I'm grateful for your good wishes even though your felicitations are brotherly, are premature. I've had the good fortune of having been selected by a great man, the greatest Mayor the City of Chicago has ever had. A great politician, a great public servant, a great County Chairman who also served in this great House of Representatives, the



honorable Richard J. Daley, who tried to fill the vacancy of the late Congressman, John C. Kluczynski, whose demise created that vacancy in the 5th Congressional District and he also was a member of this great House of Representatives. The opportunity that has been afforded to me by the, that great Mayor of the City of Chicago and the Chairman of the Cook County Democratic Central Committee is an opportunity I'm sure is enviable by every member in the House because I know it to be the desire of practically everyone here to wind up his or her political career in that very exclusive club on Capitol Hill as a servant in the Capitol of the United States of America, the foremost country in the world. Over a period of 20 years, I met many people. I saw a man die on the floor of this House. I have seen several collapse of fatigue and cardiac exhaustion. I served here when several members met their death by accident en route home, en route here, or hurrying to a rally. I served here when you could be in two places at one time by written proxy in Committees, amended or unamended. I served here when we met in caucus, pledged all our votes and came out a united party despite a few dissidents, who were always excused and appeased. I served here when a filibuster was a prime tool lasting many, many hours. The State Militia brought in cots to rest your weary bones while you were waiting a roll call verification. The dancing girls from the Lake Club abandoned the show and came here to watch us because this is where the action was. I could go on and on relating amusing incidents while traveling through rain, sleet and snow, and often avoiding unidentifiable fallen or flying objects improperly secured. I have made many valuable contacts seen in the, here through the House of Representatives. I cannot say goodbye because by Resolution adopted here, I am to be on this floor of the House in the year 2000 to meet with former Representative Uncle Louis Jandrack, who introduced a Bill to prevent lady wrestling in Illinois, to meet with former Representative Bill Murphy, who cajoled a lady wrestler and the alligator who challenged Uncle Louis, and Senator Howard Moore, the triumvirate that passed the bingo Bill. We are to meet, God willing, but I'm afraid God will not be willing



to discuss the impact of the economy by legalizing bingo for us to meet here in the year 2000. And so, farewell my friends. Don't ever, in leadership, I was always a loyal and faithful player on the Democratic team. With the help of God, the great Mayor Richard J. Daley, the Ward Committeemen, the Precinct Captains and the voters of the 5th Congressional District, I may join 11 former members of this old House of Representatives who are now proudly serving their tenure in Washington, espousing peace and good will among mankind of all nations. I thank you very kindly."



Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor, will you please retire to the Gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order and the Members please be in their seats. Led in prayer this morning by our colleague Representative Davis."

Davis: "Let us...Let us...Let us pray. I was glad when the Speaker invited me to go into the House of the Lord. My feet shall stand within His gates. Oh Jerusalem. I'd rather be a Doorkeeper in the House of my God, than to dwell in the tents of wickedness. Hear us this morning, not because we're perfect but hear us because of Your sacred promises to hear us. Grant unto these, Your lustrous servants a better understanding and concern as they move this morning to the last wilderness of Bills which they have been called on to consider. Sustain them as they leave this place, Oh Lord. Give them Your courage and Your calm. We pray that there will be healing in the families of those who have been stricken and Oh Lord, above all, we raise a special prayer with our thanks for our Speaker who has governed us, who has been punctual throughout this Session and made it possible for us to close in due time. We lift a special prayer not only for our Governor but for all of those in authority in this nation. You promised, Oh God, that if they would call on Your name, You would answer them. May they call on You and may they, may You lead them into a peace that this world can not give nor take away. We pray in the name of Jesus. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Agreed Resolutions."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 429. McAuliffe. House Resolution 430. Gene Barnes. House Resolution 431. White. House Resolution 432. Younge. Mr. Madigan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker. The Agreed Resolutions are as follows; House Resolution 429 congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Peter Borkowski upon the celebration of their Golden Wedding Anniversary. House Resolution 430 congratulates Mrs. Nancy Rogers upon the occasion of her 100th birthday. House Resolution 431 commends the players who participated in the annual benefit softball game for Representative Robert Juckett



and Richard Carter and House Resolution 432 congratulates Mrs. Ruth Hill upon her election as the Vice President of the International Personnel Management Association. I move the adoption of the agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

I would concur in the adoption of the Resolutions but I would like leave of the House on House Resolution 430, if possible, to add all of the Members in the House as Co-Sponsors in this Resolution. This is a Lady who lived in my district who will be celebrating her 100th birthday July the 4th."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none. Leave is granted.

the Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 426. Mann. In respect of the memory of Louis Gotchalk. House Resolution 427. Randolph et al. In respect of the memory of W. D. Maxwell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no' the 'ayes' have it. Death Resolutions adopted. Messages from the Senate."

Fred Selcke: "Message from the Senate...Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has receded from Amendment#2 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1766. Action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House of Representative the Senate concur with the House to pass a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2971. Passed the Senate June 29, 1971. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concurs with the House to pass a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1947 and 1948. Passed the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House that the Senate concur with the House to pass a Bill of the following title. House Bill 3059. Passed the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate concurs with



House to pass a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2997, 3113, 3114, 3034. Passed the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments #1 and 3 to Senate Bill 649. Action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 751. Action taken by the Senate, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 986. Action taken by the Senate, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1157. Action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 2, 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1493. Action taken by the Senate, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to concur in the adoption of Amendments 1 and 2 of the House of the Representatives Bill of the following title; Senate Bill 610. Action taken by the Senate, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill of the following title, House Bill 1552 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1092 together with the



the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as Amended, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill of the following title. House Bill 783 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill with the following title. House Bill 835 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill with the following title. House Bill 1314 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill with the following title. House Bill 3002 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1979 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has receded from their Amendments #1 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 829. Action taken by the Senate, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #2 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill #5. Action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 229 and further directed to inform the House that the Senate requests a Committee of Conference. Action taken by the Senate, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1257. I am further directed to inform



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the House that the Senate requests a Committee of Conference. Action taken by the Senate, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 3024 and I am further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a Committee of Conference.

Action taken by the Senate, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Senate Joint Resolution #48. Adopted by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further Messages."

Speaker Redmond: "Concurrence. Senate bill 554. Representative Tipsword.

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we refuse to recede from the House Amendments to Senate Bill 554 and request a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has refused...moved that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 554. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House refuses to recede from House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 554. 699. Representative Mudd...Nonconcurrence. What's your pleasure with respect to House Amendments 1 and 2 on Senate Bill 699? The Illinois Pension Code."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker...ah...my understanding is, in the Senate...ah... did not concur in, in Amendment #2 or Amendment #1. There was two Amendments on that."

Speaker Redmond: "It show in here amends...that they refuse to accept either 1 or 2."

Mudd: "Well, I would move that...ah..."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you want to refuse to recede, is that?"

Mudd: "Yes...Yes sir. I would refuse to recede."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 699? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House refuses to recede from Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 699. 1496. Representative D'Arco. Representative D'Arco."

D'Arco: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask that the House refuse to recede on the



Amendment and a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 from Senate Bill 1496? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 to Senate Bill 1496. A Conference Committee is requested. 1170.

Representative Griesheimer. 1170."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker would you temporarily take that off the record until I can locate it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, out of the record. Representative VanDuyne, are you searching for the Holy Grail? Representative Shea. 2229.

House Bill. Representative Shea. Will you turn on Representative Luft. It's on Concurrence, yeah."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We adopted one of the Amendments yesterday and I am now informed that there's a second Amendment to the Bill. Could the Clerk read which Amendment the second one is?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, will you read Amendment #2?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Oh yeah...22...Amend House Bill 2229 on page 1 line 1 by inserting 5.1, 5.2 after Section and line 9 by inserting 5.1, 5.2 after Section and on page 12 by deleting lines 14 through 26, inserting in lieu thereof the following, 22. 'A statement that the franchisor or the sub-franchisor on request, will make available a list of the names, addresses and telephone numbers...'"

Shea: "I...I know the Amendment now, that just changes one...one little item in the Franchise Act and I would move for the adoption... concurrence of the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2229? All in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Takes 79 votes, final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 102 'aye', no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2229. Representative Taylor...yeah. On the order of Nonconcurrence appears Senate Bill 1170. Representative Griesheimer....1170. Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with regards



to Senate Bill 1170. I would move that we refuse to recede from our Amendment and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? The Gentleman has moved that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1170. All in favor of the motion indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from House Amendments #2 and 3. On the Order of Concurrence. House Bill 663. Representative McLendon."

McLendon: "Yes...ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1. This Amendment merely provides that you must go after the primary obligator, use all legal names to collect from the primary obligor before you go after the other person. I move to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 663? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final Action, 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this questions there's 103 'ayes', no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 663. On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 1299. Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Take it out of the record, if you will Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 24. Representative Shea... 24."

Shea; "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 24. This is the Bill that provides the scholarships for the...ah... National Guard with the passage of House Bill 858, rather than amend and Act we had to create a new Act and I would move for the adoption of the report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 24? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 89 votes, final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there's 103 'aye', 8 'nay' and the House adopts the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 24. Representa-



tive LaFleur and Senate Bill 32 on Conference Committee Report."

LaFleur: "Mr. Chairman and Members of the House. I move we adopt...ah...

the first Conference Committee Report for Senate Bill 32."

Speaker Redmond: "Any question? Any discussion? The question is shall the House adopt...Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "May we have an explanation of the Conference Committee Report please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Please explain it Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "It...it made one, one change...ah...Gene. It...ah...kept in all six Amendments that the House put on originally and it only added one thing and it's the severability clause."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, has this Conference Committee Report been distributed to the Members?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, has it?"

Lundy: "I do not have it on my desk."

Speaker Redmond: "It has not? Evidently it has not so we'll have to take this out of the record until the report is distributed. I have an important announcement. I wonder if Representative Walsh would come to the podium?...Schneider...The Chair will, we'll relinquish the Chair to Representative Mudd. The Freshman Democratic class."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I don't know what the past practice has been in the past but as a Freshman in this House, I think this is one of the most learning experiences I've had, as to the last six months and on my side I've had great help from the Leaders of the Democratic side of the aisle and with the Leadership from that side of the aisle, the other half of the game, you learn from the other side of the aisle. I think the freshmen have had the opportunity to watch how the real five balls of this House operate and we've got an award to make this morning, or a gift to make to one of the people from the other side of the aisle teaching us the other half of the game, a real foul ball and he is indeed a dootsie. I'd like to present this plaque to Mr. William D. Walsh on behalf of the Freshmen Democratic Members of this House and the plaque reads, 'to the Loyal opposition, William D.



Walsh 'Torpedo'.' That's what us Freshmen call him over there, 'presented by the Freshmen Democratic Class, 79th General Assembly' and I hope that Mr. Walsh will accept this plaque in the spirit that we present it to him."

Walsh: "Thank you Joe...I'm moved. Thank you very much Joe. I would have invited you to sit with us next term but, except for some of those remarks. Just for that, next time you're going to have to sit over here with the rest of these...these people. Thank you very much."

Shea: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Minority Leader and I after great deliberation, have found an item that we thought the Speaker should have as a token from all the Members. So Bud and I have the opportunity this morning to give a little token of the Speaker and I would like Bud to...ah...read the front part of our token."

Washburn: "It says, 'If I ran the circus' and inside I think it's a... says, 'to our head ringmaster, from the 79th General Assembly Circus, with fondness and respect' and it's signed by every Member of this Body, so Mr. Head Ringmaster, with the compliments and congratulations of the 177 Members of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you Minority Leader and Majority Le....You know this is probably the second best secret, or best kept secret in my lifetime. In the early stages of the war I was an Intelligence Officer and we were professional gumshoes and we knew everything that was going on and I was in the U.S.S. Woolworth Building on Broadway across from the City Hall in New York and we had to sign in and out and we had all sorts of identification and we were really 'cloak and dagger' and there was an office of civilians that we use to kind of ridicule because they really weren't secret like we were and after the Atomic Bomb had been dropped on Hiroshima we discovered that they were the Manhattan Project and they'd been right under our nose for a couple of years and we didn't even know it. So how in the world in a, in a Body that is prone to rumor, there ever could have been circulated a book without me knowing anything about it is a little bit like my experience as an Intelligence Officer in the Navy. I thank you very much for this, it, I'm sure that it isn't the



the limit of your affection....It has been a joy and I presume that it will continue to be a joy for the next month that we may be in Session if we don't get back to business but Thank you..At ease. Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Tell Redmond, you're not doing so good still."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Frederich for what purpose do you rise?"

Frederich: "On a point of personal priviledge Mr. S..."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point....Will you give the Gentleman order please?"

Frederich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. While we're at ease and so I won't be taking up the time of the House, I'd like to take about three minutes on three totally unrelated subjects. One is the article that appeared in the Chicago Tribune today by some guy by the name of Rick Saw. Now we bemoan the fact that government has a poor image and I want to say before I say anything else that I think the Members are and most of them in general are pretty responsible people, they're knowledgeable people and know what goes on around here. But this article that appeared today which bemeaned two Members of this House ironically enough on both ends of the spectrum, Representative Mann and Representative Borchers, is completly irresponsible and I, ~~and I~~ deplore this kind of things in the newspaper. If they want to know what's happening to their respective people for government, this is the kind of things that's causing it and I hope the Press is listening because most of the people in the Press box around here are pretty responsible people and they ought to help us stop this kind of thing. The other thing I want to mention to you, there's been a lot of remarks made about con-con and con-con delegates. Well let me tell you that the con...the product of the Constitutional Convention could have been a lot worse. In the first place, I worked against the calling of a convention because I realized it was dangerous. I ran as a delegate because I thought I could contribute something and make it a better document and then when it was over I didn't think it was worth adopting and I went out and worked against it. But let me tell you something. That document

could have been a heck of a lot worse and if you want to learn

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



about it, just go look at some of the proposals that were made that could have been in this thing. They are completely wild and completely irresponsible. So when you go to criticizing the con... the new Constitution of the delegates, I hope you'll look at some of the things that could have been in it. Now the third thing and lastly, I have been trying to watch the appropriations that go through here and some of the money that...things we're spending money for and I believe with the help of the Majority people in this Legislature that I can show you how we can cut fifteen million dollars out of state expenditures without deturing any necessary obligations of this state. If we're going to stop tax increases somebody's going to have to do it. Now voluntarily and without a bunch of fanfare or anything else, I'd like to sit down with some people who are interested in doing this and dig out some of these places where the bureauracracys are growing and feeding on the tax-payers of this state, eliminate fifteen million dollars of unnecessary expenditure and save a tax increase. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, a comment of general interest, if I may."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Kosinski: "While we're castigating the media and sometimes it's well deserves it...may I point out that this General Assembly, the very fine article in the Saturday edition of the Tribune by the political Editor, Neil Maylor, which you may have read, begins 'Anyone who thinks the Legislature is full of clowns or insensitive clods is making an error in judgement this is a hard working Legislature' and it ends up 'Indeed we are grateful for the superior talents and minds that are here. It is rather an Assembly of people who are willing for various reasons, to make some sacrafice to keep the Democratic system going' and then he talks to the General Reader and he says, 'and what have you done for the system, while they spent six months in Springfield?' I commend Mr. Maylor for all of us, I think it was a fair evaluation, I'm grateful to the media for this one."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Parliamentary inquiry Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."



Pierce: "On Conference Committees. In past years, I know when my first term when Jack Touhy was Speaker and I believe in the following term... When Conference Committees were appointed on Bills it was announced from the Chair or from the Clerk or from someone up in front, who the Conference Committees were on various Bills. There's a Bill posted up there now that I'n suppose to be on the Conference Committee, I've never been notified...I've never been notified by...by your office or from the front of the podium. I understand the Conference Committee Report was circulated, it's on the Calendar today and filed and no one even had the courtesy to ask me to even sign the Conference Committee Report and I think we're getting back into bad practices that existed in the last two years. I'd like to know and have some notice of who Conference Committees are and when I'm on them I'd like someone to tell me that I'm on them and I'd like the courtesy of being offered at least a chance to sign a Conference Committee Report before it's filed with the Clerk. Now I'd have probably signed that Conference Committee Report but no one had the courtesy to show me the original. I'll say this, Representative Dan Houlihan did show me a copy that had been Xeroxed and already signed by eight of the ten Members but no one even asked me to sign the original and I resent it and I think we should know who the Conference Committees are and have it announced from the rostrum the way it was in past years."

Speaker Redmond: "I concur with you. The instructions were that they would be announced and that the correct procedure would be followed and I'll look into it and find out what happened because it certainly shouldn't have. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I made inquiry about the same thing early yesterday morning when you were not on the podium sir and I was advised at that time that they would be announced from the podium and there wasn't a one announced yesterday that I was aware of."

Speaker Redmond: "I'll look into it, the instructions were that they should be announced. Representative Shea."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker if anybody has to be at fault, that was my fault I was handed a list of the Conference Committees, was going to read



them then I left the Podium and when I got back I did not. I think you will find a list up there of the Conference Committees, if not I will assure you that we will get another list out here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers, state your point."

Borchers: "I want to explain something. I've just seen this story in the paper. It seems that I'm being criticized because I don't wear my shoes around here sometimes. Well I want to explain to everyone here and to the Press, that in World War II I got hit in the right leg by artillery fire and it looked a little...My right foot hurts, so it would look a little ridiculous for me to go around with one shoe off of my right foot and one shoe on my left foot. So when I have nothing much to do I just leave my shoes off. Now the other, other point I want to bring out is to the Democratic side it says that whenever there's a vote I come over and glare at one of you. What I wanted to find out is there anyone I have failed to glare at? I'd like to come over and glare at them now. Just hold up your hand and I'll come and glare. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "An announcement. We have the list of the Members of the Conference Committee Reports that were up here on the desk and will the Clerk please read them. Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I appreciated the Gentleman's remarks, Representative Frederich, but Clyde Choate taught me a long time ago that when they spell your name right, don't complain. The other thing I'd like to say about the Gentlemen's observations in the Chicago Tribune castigating us as a group is I noticed the presence today of Representative Roman Kosinski. He's down here despite the fact that he has a very, very sick wife, out of a sense of devotion and a sense of commitment to his work as a State Representative. I wish that the Gentleman from the Chicago Tribune would print that. Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise on a point of personal privilege. I was the one that coined the phrase 'the new Bob Blair' and I always thought it was ungracious of the Press to plagiarize such description without recognition of the copyright and I would, at this time, would like to summarize the



Speakers efforts as we reach this first important milestone. Mr. Speaker, in affectionate recognition of the skill of which you've guided this House through the first half of the 79th General Assembly and with due regard to his historical precedent, I urge and suggest that you be dubbed, 'William the Conqueror' and we do appreciate your splendid Leadership."

Fred Selcke: "Ah...House Bills. House Members on Conference Committees.

496. Jaffe, Schneider, Chapman, Anderson, Deuster. 585. Terzich, Capparelli, Beatty, Meyer, Reed. 1173. Mulcahey, Gene Barnes, Boyle, Cunningham, Peters. 871. Pouncey, Patrick, Huff, Peters, Winchester. 1043. Garmisa, Farley, McPartlin, Rigney, Waddell. 1518. Shea, Katz, Hanahan, Walsh, Randolph. 1949. McLain, Marovitz, Byers, Polk, Geo-Karis. 2238. Lechowicz, Kozubowski, Leverenz, Ryan, Totten. 1443. Matijevich, Yourell, Taylo, Polk, Skinner. 1821. Ewell, Merlo, Laurino, Mahar, Schuneman. 1881. Shea, Madigan, Bradley, Washburn, Telcser. 1939. Leon, Brandt, McLendon, Deavers, Ron Hoffman. 2065. Calvo, Yourell, Williams, Bluthardt, Collins. 2296. Nardulli, Chapman, Stone, Polk, McCourt. 2868. Lechowicz, Madigan, Dan Houlihan, Friedland, Tuerk. 2869. Lechowicz, Madigan, Dan Houlihan, Tuerk and Friedland. 2876. Taylor, Yourell, Calvo, Skinner, Mahar. 1608. Marovitz. Mann, Berman, Tuerk, Frederich. 1453. Yourell, Laurino, Williams, Deavers, Lauer. 1790. Berman, Dan Houlihan, Sangmeister, Palmer, Daniels. 2215. Shea, Londrigan, Leon, Carrol, Daniels. House Members of Senate Bills on which there are Conference Committees. Senate Bill 4. DiPrima, Jacobs, Mudd, Carroll, Schoeberlein. 56. Keller, Hart, Hanahan, Kucharski, Gaines. 432. Washington, Caldwell, Holewinski, Jane Barnes, Deavers. 945. Yourell, Giglio, Huff, Cambell, Kempiners. 208. Lundy, Fennessey, McPartlin, Kempiners, Schlickman. 32. Kozubowski, Dan Houlihan, Pierce, Friedland, LaFleur. 661. Tipsword, Washington, Giorgi, Ryan and Totten. 98. McPartlin, Kozubowski, DiPrima, Telcser, Kempiners. 417. Beaupre, Chapman, McLain, Grotberg, Borchers. 662. Yourell, Laurino, Lucco, McMaster, Arnell. 858. Tipsword, Chapman, McLain, Grotberg, Kempiners. 910. Hart, Matijevich, Ewell, Kent, Lauer. 965. Taylor, Williams, Kelly, Schlickman, Ebbesen. 1387. Merlo, Berman, Fary, Miller, Schuneman. 1384. Stubblefield, Fary, Farley.



Geo-Karis and Molloy."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I think it would be helpful, if possible, if we could have copies of that too because it's very hard to follow things and if he could distribute copies so that we would know who's on the Conference Committees I...I would think it very helpful."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll see that they're furnished. Mr. Clerk, will you distribute copies. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. I've had an increasing number of inquiries and I ju...I think legitimately so, from various people who have a Sponsored Appropriation Bills that came through...various people from both the House and the Senate that have entered an Appropriation Bill that came through the Appropriation Division to Committee on Conference and they've asked me, increasingly, who will be the Conferee and I was wondering, I didn't hear any on that particular message and I was wondering."

Speaker Redmond: "The reason you didn't hear is that they haven't been assigned yet."

Barnes: "I see. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Your concern is being taken into consideration. Is Representative Taylor in the Hall? On the Order of Concurrence appears Senate Bill 348. Representative Beaupre. Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not quite prepared on that can we take it out for a minute?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the record. Representative Keller here? Representative Hart? Hart. 484. Representative Hart." Take that out of the record. Temporary. Request of the Sponsor." How about 1302 Representative Hart? On Concurrence. On Non-concurrence, 348. Representative Beaupre. Give the Gentleman order."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 on Senate Bill 348."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 on Senate Bill 348. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I wonder if



the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Ryan: "Representative Beaupre, can you tell me, is...what Amendment is it? That's not the FEPC Amendment is it, or is it?"

Beaupre: "No, Representative Ryan...ah...My understanding is that House Amendment #1, I believe, is the Amendment which restores the funds that were cut from the...in the Senate...ah...on Senate Bill 348. That Amendment restored all the funds that were cut in the Senate except for the six per cent which is included in th Amendment. So, there is a six per cent cut on the budget as it was submitted."

Ryan: "This is the...ah...the Amendment that increased by, I just got the sheet on it, ninety six thousand dollars?"

Beaupre: "That's...that's correct."

Ryan: "And you want to restore that, is that correct?"

Beaupre: "That's correct."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 348? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it the Amendment the House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 348. Representative Hart on 1302 on Concurrence. Is Representative Berman here? On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 2473, Representative Catania. I saw her come in the Hall. Representative Catania. 2473. On the order of Concurrence...On the order of Concurrence. Do you want to call that one? Take that out of the record. Representative Meyer here? How about Taylor? Representative Holewinski? Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 1103. Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think that Conference Committee Report has been distributed yet...ah...I'd be glad to take it but I don't think the Members have it in their hand."

Speaker Redmond: "I guess it has not been. Representative Houlihan, how about 2559? On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 1089. Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I would move that the House do not concur in Senate amendments 1, 2



and 3 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved...any discussion on that?"

The Gentleman has moved that the House do not concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 on House Bill 1089. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1089.

2435. Representative Kozubowski. 2435. Out of the record.

Be at ease for a few minutes here until we get some more Sponsors.

The last time we tried these Conference Committee Reports, the report was that they hadn't been distributed. Has it been distributed?

On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 208.

Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 208 amended the...amends the Campaign Disclosure Act..."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you give the Gentleman order please?"

Schlickman: "Senate Bill 208 amends the Campaign Disclosure Act. In the House, we adopted Amendment #1 requiring the filing, not only with the State Board of Elections but also with the Count Clerk, statements of organization and reports by Committees engaged in both local and state activity. The Senate moved to non-concur, asked the House to recede. I did move to recede, however, enough votes weren't sufficient. I then moved to not recede and went to a Conference Committee and I'm pleased to report to the House that the Conference Committee Report recommends to both the Senate and the House that the Hou...the Senate, that the Senate do concur with House Amendment #1, so that the Bill is in the form as we passed it and I solicit your support for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 208."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House adopt first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 208? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Representative Schlickman, this was the Bill that...ah...I know a controversial...ah...position in it. Is that provision in it now where...ah...that we discussed earlier on the Amendment stage as it relates to people that could look into the...ah...information involved here?"



Schlickman: "Representative you're referring to Amendment #1, which I offered and which was overwhelmingly defeated. That Amendment is dead and is not included in the Bill or in the Conference Committee Report."

Barnes: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I had my light on before you called this question and obviously the question has passed, but I...as a point of information, as I understand Representative Schlickman to say that...that the Bill in its present posture would require those of us who are office...or candidates for state office would also have to file...ah...with the County Clerk, in addition to the State Board of Elections?"

Schlickman: "We're talking only about those statements of organization and reports to be filed by Committees that are both involved in local elections and state elections. This does not apply to an individual, such as each of us, who's involved in only a state election."

Schuneman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 122 'ayes', no 'nay' and the House does concur in the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 208. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 1977. Representative Mulcahey. Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker...ah...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the ...ah...House not concur with Senate Amendments 1,3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 1977."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 1977. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 3, 4 and 5...House Bill 1977. The Report was not distributed....Representative Fary, I wonder if you would approach the Podium. We're going to turn the Chair over for a moment to our late friend, Senator Lenke."

Lenke: "Thank you, it's always my pleasure to be back here in the House. To see my fellow colleagues. Today I came back for a specific purpose



the purpose of...giving a presentation to my roommate and of course my meantor and teacher when I came down to Springfield. A plaque to a fellow that's been in this House for more than twenty years, who has been the friend of most people here in the House and to a lot of people the fellow that makes it possible for most of the Members here to have a snack on occasion when we go to late hours and that's to give to the Honorable State Representative, John G. Fary, a plaque for his many years of dedicated service to the people of the State of Illinois. What the plaque says is this. It's in the shape of the State of Illinois on one part, the seal on the other and it says, 'to Representative John G. Fary. For his many years of dedicated service to the people of the State of Illinois.' and on there is listed the 177 Representatives, in the House of Representatives and the 59 Senators in the Senate. With the names of the Speaker, William Redmond and the President of the Senate, the Honorable Cecil Partee. John Far...John Fary, on behalf of the many people in this body, the staff and the many state employees and the, especially the constituents of the State of Illinois, it's my honor as your friend, to, and your pupil, to present this award on behalf of the General Assembly to you."

Fary: "Thank you very much Representative Lee Lemke. This is a surprise, although a very pleasant one and Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I must say farewell, but not goodbye. I came to this old House of Representatives over twenty years ago, a stranger, but not for long. This old House of Representatives has a way of growing on you. You begin to love everybody and everything that's in it, despite the dividing line. I'm grateful for your good wishes, even though your felicitations, brother Lee, are premature. I've had the good fortune, I haven't been selected by a great man, the greatest Mayor that the City of Chicago has ever had, a great politician, a great public servant, a great county chairman who also served in this great House of Representatives. The Honorable Richard J. Daley. To try to fill a vacancy of the late Congressman, John C. Kluczinski, who the demise created the vacancy in the fifth Congressional District and he also was a Member of this great House of Representatives. The opportunity that has been afforded to me



by the great Mayor of the City of Chicago and the Chairman of Cook County Democratic Central Committee. It's an opportunity that I'm sure is envied a little by every Member in the House, because I know it to be the desire of practically everyone here to wind up his or her political career in that very exclusive club on Capitol Hill as a servant in the Capitol of the United States of America. The foremost Country in the world. Over a period of twenty years I met many people. I saw a man die on the Floor of this House, I have seen several collapse of fatigue and cardiac exhaustion. I served here when several Members met their death by accident, in route home or in route here or hurrying to a rally. I served here when you could be in two places at one time, by writ and proxy and Committees, amended or unamended. I served here when we met in caucus, pledged our vote and came out a united party, despite a few dissidence who were always excused and appeased. I served here when the filibuster was a prime tool, lasting many, many hours. The state militia brought in cots on which to rest your weary bones while you were waiting a Roll Call verification. The dancing girls from the Lake Club abandoned the show and came here to watch us, because this is where the action was. I could go on and on relating amusing incidents while traveling through rain, sleet and snow, often avoiding unidentifiable fallen or flying objects, improperly secured. I have made many valuable contacts here in the...here through the House of Representatives. I can not say goodbye because by Resolution, adopted here, I am to be on this Floor of the House in the year 2000 to meet with former Representative, Uncle Louie Janzak, who introduced a Bill to prevent Lady Wrestling in Illinois...To meet with former Representative Bill Murphy who cajoled a lady wrestler and the alligator who challenged uncle Louie and Senator Howard Moore, the triumvirate that passed the Bingo Bill. We are to meet, God willing, but I'm afraid God will not be willing to discuss the impact of the economy by legalizing Bingo, for us to meet here in the year 19...the year 2000 and so farewell my friends, don't ever, in Leadership, I was always a loyal and peaceful player on the Democratic Team. With the help of God, the great Mayor, Richard J. Daley, the Ward Committeemen, the Precinct Cabinet and the voters of



the 5th Congressional District, I may join 11 former Members of old House of Representatives, who are now proudly serving their tenure in Washington espousing peace and good will among mankind of all nations and I thank you very kindly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart, do you want to proceed with 1302 on Concurrence? On the order of Concurrence appears 1302, Representative Hart. House Bill 130...please be in order."

Hart: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We're at the stage of concurring in Senate Amendment #3..."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentleman order."

Hart: "Senate Amendment #3, appropriates ten thousand dollars for the restoration of Lincoln Cemetery in Hancock County. Now since discussion on this...ah...before, I'm advised by Senator Latherow, that...that this Cemetery is owned by the Catholic Church and is in very bad repair. There are more relatives of Abraham Lincoln buried in this Cemetery than any other place in Illinois, I guess in the United States and the purpose of this is to...ah...to go in, restore the...ah...tombstones and generally bring the Cemetery up to a reputable standard. The question of acquiring the Cemetery or other things of that nature have not been determined, so I would move that the House do concur, does concur, in Senate Amendment #3, to House Bill 1302."

Shea; "The Gentlemans motion is that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill...to House Bill 1302. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. This requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Gentlemen from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I don't see how the Department of Conservation and I know Representative Hart is only speaking for that Department, I don't know how Mr. Dean..."

Shea: "This is the Department of Conservation sir..."

Pierce: "I said Conservation."

Shea: "Oh, I thought you said Transportation."

Pierce: "No, I said Conservation. I don't know how the Department of Conservation could have approved concurring in this Amendment. They refused to give the Nature Preserves Commission the money that this House voted for it to have when Representative Mann amended this Bill



on Second Reading in the House and it passed out of the House with that Amendment. Yet they agree to an Amendment in the Senate for a broken down Cemetery, a broken down old Cemetery and believe me there's plenty of broken down abandoned Cemeteries in the state where the descendents have lost interest in their ancestors and this will set a terrible precedent of the state buying up Cemeteries where the people are forgotten, the decedents are forgotten and the survivors don't give a darn about keeping up the Cemeteries or their relatives no matter how illustrious they may be, so I think this is a bad precedent, the...we're going to concur here but I say it's a blight on the Department of Conservation that they should advise the Sponsor to accept this Amendment and I say it's a stain on this Department, they're not interested in nature preserves and they are interested in buying up some broken down, old cemetery without any conservation uses, without any recreation uses, without any possible use to the people of the State of Illinois and I...and I hope that this will not start a presidence of turning nature preserves in their own parks, the money to keep them up and keep the queers and the wierdos out of those, which we have up in Illinois Beach State Park, where the Department of Conservation lets a nature preserve become a hangout for deviates and won't even keep them out of it and at the same time buying up forgotten, abandoned, wrecked, cemeteries, without any, without any possible use and I vote 'no'."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder if the Gentlemen would yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will sir."

Mann: "Representative Hart...Mr. Speaker, could I have some attention, this happens to be an important Bill."

Shea: "Will the Members please be in their seats? Mr. Doorkeeper, will you ask those people that are not Members of the House or their Staff or are colleagues in the Senate that are on the Floor, other than those, please ask them to leave the House."

Mann: "Representative Hart, will you please tell me who is buried in this



Cemetery."

Hart: "Well, there are...according to my information, more of the relatives of Abraham Lincoln, are buried in this Cemetery, than in any other Cemetery in the United States, including, I believe...ah...one of his Father-in-laws."

Mann: "Do you know some of their names?"

Hart: "What?"

Mann: "Do you know their names?"

Hart: "Well, I don't consider this questioning as being...ah...for the purpose of...ah...elucidating any information...I don't care to answer any more questions of..."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker....Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address myself....I'd like to address myself to the Bill if I may."

Hart: "Go ahead!"

Mann: "All right, I sure will...."

Hart: "Proceed Mr. Mann."

Mann: "I sure will...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I had a vision last night in my dream. Henry Horner came to me in my dreams and said that weeds were growing over his grave and would I offer an Amendment to the Appropriation Bill for the Department of Conservation to have his grave maintained and this morning I drove by a tavern on Highway 66, Ann Rutledges Tavern and I understand Ann use to...on her way, in her stage coach use to stop there all the time and maybe the Department of Conservation wants to spend another ten thousand dollars to maintain Ann Rutledge's Tavern. Now Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is ridiculous. If the Department of Conservation is going to get into the business of grave caring and cemetery caring, where are we going to stop? Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is only ten thousand dollars, I realize that. Apparently the Department of Conservation has a fixation on death. Yesterday they wanted to spend a million for a dead lake which would be approved of, now they want to take care of a Cemetery in Hancock County. Well you know I don't have a death wish for the Department but I sure do for this Amendment. At an appropriate time Mr. Speaker, I want to ask for a verification."

Shea: "Is there any further debate? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."



Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in recalling some of the debate on this Amendment last...from last night. I raised a question as far as who owned this Cemetery and the Senator came over with Representative Neff and another individual and he stated that the Catholic Church owned this Cemetery from the Archdiocese of Peoria. I asked the good Senator if the Catholic Church agreed with the sale of this Cemetery. He says 'No, we never even contacted them.' I asked him what was the name of the Cemetery, he says, 'Well' he says 'everybody in the neighborhood knows it as the Lincoln Cemetery but I think it's the Catholic Cemetery.' but he didn't know the proper name. Now Mr. Speaker, I ask you Mr. Speaker, whether it's the Lincoln Cemetery or is there a different name on that Cemetery because I think the Amendment is out of Order. Mr. Speaker.."

Shea: "Yes sir."

Lechowicz: "I'll pose my question once again. According to the Senator that...ah...offered this Amendment in the Senate, I asked him what the name of the Cemetery was. he said it was Catholic Cemetery but he didn't know the proper name. The Amendment is drawn in the name of Lincoln Cemetery. I asked him if he'd checked with the Archdiocese in Peoria, he told me he did not but that he would. I haven't had any contact from him this morning, whether the Archdiocese approves of this sale or they do not and for this reason I am voting 'no' and would recommend that my colleagues do the same."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Know Mr. McMasters."

McMasters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm sorry that I was not here for the debate on this Amendment last night but I was not feeling well and had gone home. I think that the historical significance of this Cemetery in Hancock County is deserving of recognition by the State of Illinois. It is a branch of the Lincoln family, that are buried there. It is not very well known in history that this is what has taken place, but I think that it is something that we should recognize in this state the historical significance of the relatives of Abraham Lincoln, who is recognized as one of our greatest Presidents of the United States and I certainly feel that it



...it is incumbent upon us to recognize that and give some support to it. I would urge green lights on this Amendment."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion. The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm speaking for this Amendment...ah...I think that this is something as...ah...has been brought out here..ah..it should be preserved if this is...ah...known in our part of the country and I live about twenty miles from it, as Lincoln Cemetery. That's what we're...known it by. There's more...ah...as the Sponsor brought out here, there's more...ah...descendants of Abraham Lincoln buried here than any other place in the State of Illinois. This has been...has been elected for years, but it should be preserved to have, thousands of people that do come to that, it's hard to get to and right now you do have to climb over a fence to get to it...but...ah...it should be preserved, I think, for history as Abraham Lincoln, as we go along in history is going to be the...ah...considered more in history probably in another hundred years from now than he is today and I think this is just only something that...ah...that the people and I don't think the taxpayers object at all, on a ten thousand dollar state expenses like this..."

Shea: "Would you bring your remarks to a close sir."

Neff: "So therefore I would hope we would get ~~more~~...ah...green lights."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I listened to this debate with great interest. But I should like to point out that we in this country do not corrupt the blood of a person because they did wrong and I can not see honoring the heirs of the relics of somebody else simply because they happen to be an heir. I think this Bill is out of order and I think it's a little bit ridiculous for us in this Assembly to put ourselves in this posture."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Who's kidding whom in this matter? This Cemetery has no more chance of being purchased by the State of Illinois, the Department of Conservation than the proverbial snowball. What's really involved here is whether



or not you want to stay another day and when you vote red in this, you're saying to yourself and all the world that the for thirty six dollars you're available and want the state to keep you here in Springfield another day. The removal of these expenditures is just for the flick of the pen by the Governor and there's not one among you that doesn't realize that he's going to knock out each of these items but if you refuse to concur under the rules it has to go back for a Conference and it's safely predictable that we'll be here tomorrow and if you continue in your opposite ways we might even be here for the Fourth of July. I ask you in...in recognition of the fact that not all of us want to spend our lives in Springfield to put your green lights up there, take my assurance that all will be well, I would Representative Lechowicz that I've just received a mental telepathy message from the Archdiocese and they are very strong and fervent for this particular Bill and they urge you to vote green."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main quesiton be put? Well I see enough flashing lights that I'd just as soon have that motion.

Have all voted who wish? All right Mr. Borchers, turn Mr. Borchers on."

Borchers: "Well Mr. Speaker, these are the facts. The...this particular..

it's a Catholic Cemetery. None of the Lincoln family on either side were Catholic and in those days you did not bury non-Catholics in Catholic Cemeteries. Another thing in the Boiling Spring Cemetery All the Hanks's are buried and they were the Lincoln family on the female side. Now the other...on...only Lincolns were the st...were the father and step-mother and the cousins and they are not buried in Hancock County. I think this Cemetery, though I've never looked into history, I say this Cemetery was named for the Lincolns becau.... in honor of Lincoln and by the Catholic Archdiocese and I suspect that there's no historical and I may be wrong, but I have an idea that if you dig into it there's no historical background whatsoever in relation to the Lincolns."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McGrew."



McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. In deference to what the last speaker said, I would suggest that perhaps he would give us a green light on this matter and convince a few more, we might even donate him a plot so he could find out permanently. We would like to have 89 affirmative votes."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 76 'ayes' 54 'nays' 33 Members voting 'present' and the Gentlemans motion fails. The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Well I might ask the Speaker, should I make a revers motion or is it automatic?"

Shea: "No sir, you've got to make a reverse motion or it's going to stay on this Calendar."

Hart: "All right. I move that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3. All in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur...in Senate Amendment #3. With regards to Senate Amendments #4, 5 and 6. What's your pleasure sir?"

Hart: "Well...ah...I want to move to concur in them."

Shea: "All right. Senat Amendment #4 to House Bill 1302."

Hart: "Senate Amendment #4 appropriates twenty thousand eight hundred and sixty dollars in Federal Funds, for the following program. The Youthe Conservation Program, Historic Preservation Program, Outdoor Recreation Program, U. S. Foreign Service Program, Rural Community Fire Protection Program and Open Space Assistance Program and Conservation Employee Training Program. The purpose of this Amendment is to...ah...appropriate these funds...all federal funds...ah...ah... The practice in the past has been just to...ah...spend them without going through the Appropriation process. But the current thinking on it is that...ah...in order to have legal authority to expend these funds it's necessary that they be appropriated for these purposes. So the purpose of the Amendment is to...ah...legalize the appropriation and I would move for the adoption of Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 1302."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the concurrence of House Amendment...or... Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 1302. All in favor will vote 'aye'"



those opposed vote 'nay'. This takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 124 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 1302. On Senate Amendment #5. Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Ah...Senate Amendment #5, places back in the Bill some of the money that was removed by Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "Mr. Hart, might I suggest, do you want to make your motion for 5, 6 and 7 now that we've got one nonconcurrency and everybody's assured that it's going to a Conference Committee...or back?"

Hart: "What ever you say. All right. I move that we concur in Senate Amendments #5, 6 and 7 to this Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments 5, 6 and 7 to House Bill 1302. All in favor will vote 'aye, those opposed 'nay'. Takes 89 votes. Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 118...119 'ayes', 2 'nays' 4 Members voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #5, 6 and 7 to House Bill 1302. On the Order of....Mr. Madigan would you come up to the Podium please? The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to ask the Chair to rule that I need 107 votes for concurrence of the Senate Bill 1704 which provides some seed money for alternative energy in order to attract federal money for...alter... alternative energy to the State of Illinois. In the...our energy Commission is...was mandated by this General Assembly to plan a long range energy program for the State of Illinois. This we have attempted to do and we are doing it in addition by this Bill. I feel that since there was a cloud passed upon the passage of the original Bill I myself am not satisfied with 89 or 101 votes. I respectfully ask this Assembly to give this little woman 107 votes for concurrence."

Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis, having voted on the prevailing side, by which 1704 received 100 votes now moves to reconsider the vote on that Bill. Does she have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Now...House Bill 1704. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Point of Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Is that Bill in the



control of our House or not."

Shea: "Yes it is."

Maragos: "Secondly, why is it not on the Calendar then? If it's a motion?"

Shea: "Because it's sitting up in the Clerks Office and yesterday it was ruled with regard to a motion being adopted by 100 votes and at the request of the Chair the Bill was held in the Clerks and no Message sent to the Senate."

Maragos: "Ah...I...When the time comes for reconsideration, if it does, I object on that.."

Shea: "All right, the Lady has leave to reconsider the vote by which that Amendment received 100. Is there objection? Hearing...ah... you can...Are you objecting Mr. Maragos, to reconsideration of the vote? The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "If you recall Mr. Speaker, when Mr. Madigan was sitting in that Chair, I moved to reconsider the vote at that time and I was told to wait by the Chair."

Shea: "Well, you...you're making the motion to reconsider the vote..."

Geo-Karis: "Yes."

Shea: "He ruled that it took 89, as I understand yesterday..."

Geo-Karis: "Right."

Shea: "So we'll take 89 to reconsider the vote. Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "I'm sorry that this is involving a Lady of Lake...from Lake who I admire, but the point is, my question is, how could you she have been on the prevailing side if she needs somebody to reconsider it. That's the question. If it needs reconsideration it's a technicality as to whether she was on the prevailing side in the first place. Because the...there weren't...not necessary votes. It was on the prevailing side."

Shea: "Well. What we're trying to get straightened our this morning is how many votes this Bill needs to...to adopt that Amendment and to try to get the question back before the Chamber and I'll be very practical with all my colleagues that it was ruled yesterday that it took 89 to adopt the Amendment after a little research and a little more close scrutiny of the Bill, although it did not increase, the total dollar amount autorat...total dollar amount



of authora...of authorization of the Bond Issue, the seventy million dollars, it did direct that ten million of those dollars of those bonds could be used fo an extan...a purpose other than the original Bond Issue and I don't know, the Parliamentarian's written it all out for me but I'll put it in as simple as language as I can. In effect, it's authorizing ten million dollars worth of bonds for another purpose. It authorizes the incurrence of ten million dollars in State debts and in my opinion it takes 107 to do that job. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well just one question that is related, Mr. Speaker. What was the prevailing side yesterday?"

Shea: "In my opinion, now that I am sitting here that it would take 107 to pass the Amendment, the prevailing side would have those, that voted 'ayes'."

Schlickman: "Yesterday the prevailing side were those who voted 'aye', even though 107 votes were not secured yesterday?"

Shea: "Yes sir."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Shea: "Because those 107 votes...I would like to get it into posture, that's why I asked leave of the House to get it in that posture so that we wouldn't have...you still object Mr. Maragos?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I...just for one reason ~~and~~ please believe it concerns me very greatly, is that the fact that this Bill has been resurrected out of death twice already because of mismanagement and disorder. Now, I spoke up yesterday and I said we needed 107 votes before it finally declared it...and it was concurred, you said it was concurred at 89. Now I...Mr. Speaker, this Bill has been resurrected twice, it's been ...ah... disappointing and...ah...I think it's a bad procedure we've given this particular Bill and I think we're fouling up the record for the only reason...I think we should nonconcur in the Amendment. Let the Bill come back in its original and have it in proper state that the Lady could get her program through."

Shea: "Well, if we reconsider the vote by which it was adopted or whatever happened to it and then let the Lady nonconcur, it would go to a Conference Committee Report, would come out..... come out as a clean



Bill. All right."

Maragos: "All right....I withdraw my objection."

Shea: "The Lady has leave to consider the vote by which it was adopted.

Now, back to the...Well, do I start with Matijevich in the Rules, or Tipsword in 210? Oh...Something else. The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, just to be absolutely certain that the motion comes from the right side. Maybe we can get a Joint Motion from someone who voted green and someone who voted red."

Shea: "Ah...Today's the day...The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I ran...I ran over here from my office only when I heard 1704, so I've been asking people...the elevator was a little late getting up here. But I understand that you ruled 107 and now you are reconsidering the vote by which it was adopted. If you rule 107, I don't know how you can say it was adopted.... I just want to, I just want to put that in the record."

Shea: "All right, the record indicates that it was adopted. After an objection was raised and a closer scrutiny taken of the Amendment we find that it went further than on first blush. So the Lady has leave of the House...."

Matijevich: "Well, then Mr. Speaker, I don't think she even needs the Motion to reconsider, just the Motion to nonconcur."

Shea: "Well, I'd rather have it reconsidered, if I might."

Matijevich: "All right."

Shea: "So, the Lady has leave to reconsider the vote? No objection. Now we're back on it and you move to nonconcur with the Amendment and get it in a Conference Committee. Is that right? All in favor say 'aye', those opposed, 'nay'....In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. All right, the Lady moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #...What was it Mr. Clerk? Senat Amendment #2, to House Bill 1704. All in favor of nonconcurrence will vote 'aye', all in favor of concurrence...no wait, let me rephrase the Motion. The Lady moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 1704. Those that favor the Ladies motion will vote 'aye', those that oppose the Ladies motion will vote 'nay'. It takes a majority of those voting on the question. Have all voted who wish? Take the record



Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 100...there are 114 'aye', 19 'nays', 3 Members voting 'present' and the House refuses to concur in the Senate Amendment to House...Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1704 and that is the Message that will go to the Senate. Now, the Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, may I please ask for a Conference...a Conference Committee?"

Shea: "No, that...the Senate will do it over there."

Geo-Karis: "All right."

Shea: "Mr. Kozubowski on the Floor. House Bill 2559. D. L. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2559. The Amendment reduces the appropriation in the Bill from as it was when it left the House one hundred and twenty thousand to one hundred thousand and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Shea: "The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay' it takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes' 1...no 'nays' 1 'present' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2559. Catania, have you worked our your problems? Is Mr. Taylor on the Floor? What about on the Conference Committee Report. Could the Clerk...yea...Mr. Beaupre, is he on the Floor? The Conference Committee on Senate Bill 417. Can the Clerk give me the reports that are out? Senate Bill 417 on a conference committee report, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that the House accept Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 417. The report...ah...recommends that the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 3. House Amendment #1 really was a technical correction of the Bill as originally drafted left out a section of the Mental Health Code and House Amendment #1 put it back in...ah... House Amendment #3 was an Amendment offered by one of the Members of the House which preserves the right to privacy in regards to the Mental Health records of any patient. The two Amendments that we will be receding from, if we accept this report, and...ah...follow...ah"



...and vote for the Motion, is the House will recede from Amendment #2...ah...which ...ah...ah...indicated that those who voluntarily or informally admitted themselves were not subject to the provisions. I have been informed by the Legal Council...ah...and the Director of the Department of Mental Health, that this Amendment is truly not necessary and that involuntary and informal admissions are not...ah...under this particular Act as it is so drafted. Amendment #5 which we would be receding from is the Amendment offered by Representative Borchers, which was well intentioned and I found...ah...very acceptable at the time that I was handling the Bill on the Floor. The problem with it is that there are some administrative...ah...ah...conflicts that would result if this Amendment were included in the Bill, that is to say...ah...the hearing process would be carried out by private superintendents of a private facility and he would be making the determination of whether or not the patient should be moved...ah...the way the Amendment is worded. It is truly faulty in that regard and therefore I should move your support of accepting the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 417."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House accepts Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 417. Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "I concur we're going to put this Amendment on another Bill next Fall, that is most appropriate to take care of this problem. We found it belongs in another place."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House accept the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 417. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. It takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there 125 'ayes', 5 'present' and the House does accept Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 417. The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. We're down to the last several days, or last couple days of action that can be taken by the House and concurred in by the Senate. We have a number of House Joint Resolutions Constitutional Amendments which still appear on the Calendar and...ah...if...it's reality that they're not going to be received consideration and action taken upon them in the Senate



so at this time I would move that all House Joint Resolutions Constitutional Amendments which now appear on the Calendar, appear on the Fall Calendar on Third Reading. I would so move."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that all House Joint Resolutions Constitutional Amendments that appear on the Calendar, be placed on the Fall Calendar. Is there objection? Hearing none. All in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, the Gentlemans Motion prevails and all the House Joint Resolutions Constitutional Amendments that are on the Calendar today will appear on the Calendar, the Fall Calendar, in the same order that they appear today. The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. On House Bill 1453, Conference Committee Report. Yesterday we moved not to accept Conference Committee #1 and it's still on the Calendar. Should we clear that again?"

Shea: "Well let...let us do it again. The Gentleman moves to not accept Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1453. All in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1453. On the Order of Conference Committees appears House Bill 1103 and on that question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski. Is he on the Floor? On the Oraer of House Bills...or Senate Bills under Nonconcurrency appears Senate Bill 471 and on that question the Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Yes. Mr Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move not to recede from Amendment #1, Amendment #2 and Amendment #4 put on by the...ah...the ah...House here."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not concur...or that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1, 2 and 4 on Senate Bill 471. On the question. All in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The House refuses to recede from Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 4 on House...Senate Bill 471 and requests the appointment of a Conference Committee. On Senate Bill 484. On the Order of Nonconcurrency. Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Well, I need to ask the Speaker a question here. We've got two Amendments. I want to recede from one and not recede from the



other. So is there any point in doing that or just...ah..."

Shea: "If you accept one sir and refuse to recede from the other it is my understanding and I'm subject if some Member will tell me if I understand this correctly. If on a nonconcurrency, on a nonconcurrency if you receded from two but refused to recede from the third one, it would go back to the Senate with a request for a Conference Committee. Is that correct Mr Clerk.?"

Hart: "That's the way I see it."

Shea: "So what's your pleasure sir?"

Hart: "Go into a Conference Committee."

Shea: "All right. The Gentlemen's Motion is that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 2 and 3. All those in favor will say 'aye'. Those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The House refuses to recede from Senate...or House Amendments #1, 2 and 3 and ask for the appointment of a Conference Committee. On Senate Bill 348. Mr. Beaupre. Are we ready on that one now? At the request of the Sponsor, that's out. Is Mr. Taylor on the Floor? Did we do that Mr. Beaupre or did we just...Oh we did nonconcur on...Sorry, on my Calendar, I've got two Calendars up here...Nonconcurrency we still have 345, that's Mr. Taylor's. He's not on the Floor. Mr. Keller. Mr. Keller. On the Order of veto's appears an Amendatory veto on 335. What is your pleasure sir?"

Keller: "Just take it out of the record for the time being."

Shea: "Take it out of the record at the Sponsors request. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker...ah...a few Bills ago I was trying to get your attention on a concurrence. Concurrence for 2559..."

Shea: "Yes sir."

Houlihan: "And you failed to recognize me and I wanted to point out that this Bill dealt with an authorization for the Illinois Housing Development Authority and I have been in conversation with Ted Meyer and...who is one of the chief principal Sponsors and most of the Members, the Senate Sponsor on the other side, the Chairman of the Illinois Housing Development Authority and I was attempting to try to work out a reasonable solution to our particular whereby IDA, the



Illinois Housing Development Authority has not gotten any additional authorization and bonds. Primarily because the Senate Sponsor, Senator Lemke, won't call that Bill. He has still refused to take his name off the Bill and refused the request of the Speaker, on my behalf, will not even tell me whether the Bill is on Second Reading or First Reading or what posture it's in. In an attempt to try to solve this very serious problem of housing in Illinois, I have been in conversations with...ah...the principal Sponsor of 2559. Representative Meyer. He had given me the impression that he was willing to hold the Bill and possibly nonconcur so that we might work something out in a Conference Committee..."

Shea: "Might I just interrupt you for one minute. Mr. Houlihan, D. L., talked to me sir and said that he had breakfast with Mr. Meyer and Mr. Meyer informed him to go ahead and concur in the Amendment. Now, do you have any questions? Can I get Mr. Houlihan to sit down with you sir and I will arrange that..."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, my own. I would appreciate that. My only question is, I wanted to be timely and having voted on the prevailing side, I would like to move to reconsider that or make sure that it remains in the control of the House, so that we might do that..."

Shea: "Let me find out where it is Mr. Houlihan. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Parliamentary Inquiry. I think when we draw up the rules, we ought to give the House Sponsor some say on who picks up his Bills in the Senate. I've seen time after time when Senators pick up House Members Bills to kill them. They watch for them to come over, they grab them off before they can get a Sponsor so they can hold them, kill them or send them to Sub-committee and I think this is wrong, you work hard to get a Bill through the Illinois House. Now maybe in that case Mr. Meyer requested that Gentleman to be the Senate Sponsor so maybe I'm not correct in this particular instance..."

Shea: "The Bill on our Calendar sir, if you will look, on page 4 of our Calendar on House Bill 2559, it's shows Meyer-D. L. Houlihan."

Pierce: "No, I'm talking about Senate Sponsor. I'm talking about Mr. Houlihan...Mr. Jim Houlihan's problem in the Senate."

Shea: "Mr. Houlihan's problem. I don't know about his problem in the Senate"



sir, the Speaker's taking care of that."

Pierce: "All right, but the only thing, in the future to...ah...when we get to joint rules, I hope the House Sponsor can have some input on choosing his Senate Sponsor so that someone doesn't grab off a Bill to kill it and that happens so often and it's happened to me this session and I hope that in the future we can prevent that."

Shea: "Mr. Houlihan, will you file a written Motion please? The Gentleman from Cook Mr. Holewinski on 1103."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1103...ah...The Conference Committee Report simply recommends that the House concur in Senate Amendment #6. This is the unanimous recommendation of the Conferees on the Conference Committee and I would move its adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski, moves that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 with regards to Senate Bill.. 1...House Bill, would you change the...Mr. Clerk would you change the...the...Gentlemens motion is that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 with regards to House Bill 1103. Is there a discussion? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. This is final action and requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 118 'ayes', 11 'nays', 10 Members voting 'present' and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1103. That last item of business please mark Caldwell and Washington as 'aye' votes. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Conference Committee 871 and on that question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Pouncey. Mr. Pouncey are you ready to go on 871 sir?"

Pouncey: "Mr. Speaker, would you take that out of the record. I was waiting for a reply from the office sir."

Shea: "All right. Is Mr. Taylor there?"

Pouncey: "He's on his way sir."

Shea: "All right....Mr. Bluthardt. Is Mr. Bluthardt here? Mr. Ralph Dunn, are you ready on your sir? The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn, on Senate Bill 118 which appears on the order of Conference Committee Reports."



Bluthardt: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move to accept the Second Conference Report and concur with the...ah...with the concur with the...therewith on Senate Bill 118."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur and adopt Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 1118. On that question, is there discussion? The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Would the...would the Sponsor tell us what that does?"

Bluthardt: "Turn back...Thank you. I'll be glad to tell you what that does. If you remember Senate Bill 1118 created the, recreated the model school for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing Study Commission and it's a Senate Bill and it came over here and was amended to also include the Commission on Urban Education and in that Amendment the reporting date of the Commission on Urban Education was shown to be the wrong date or the...or the effective...or the revealar was the wrong date so that technically wrong we had to have a Conference Committee on it and we straightened that out and...ah... that's all the report does."

Kane: "So the Second Conference Committee Report..."

Bluthardt: "Was the same as the First Conference Committee Report. We just took a little longer."

Kane: "What's...what's the new date?"

Bluthardt: "The...ah...the, it didn't have anything to do with the Commission of Hard of Hearing but on the Urban Education Committee it makes the reporting date February the 1st, 1977 and the Commission is repealed and they ended it on July the 1st, 1977."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. When Senate Bill 1118, which would create the model school for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing Study Commission, was read for a Second time here in the House, there was an Amendment that was offered recreating the Commission on Urban Education. At that time I raised the germaneness issue and the Speaker ruled that it was germane. At this time Mr. Speaker, I'd like to pose an inquiry. Mr. Speaker...Speak...Speak...Leader."

Shea: "Go ahead sir, I'm sorry."

Schlickman: "I'm raising an inquiry now Mr. Speaker."



Shea: "Go ahead."

Schlickman: "I mentioned to the House, Mr. Speaker, that at Second Reading, there was an Amendment that was offered, creating the Commission or recreating the Commission on Urban Education. The Bill as it was introduced dealt with a different study commission. I raise the issue of germaneness. I'm now posed with a dilemma. How can I vote for one and not the other, since we have two nongermane matters here? Can I split my vote one-half one way and one-half the other?"

Shea: "No, Sir."

Schlickman: "Well, what do I do?"

Shea: "Vote 'yes' or 'no' or perhaps you'd want to vote present."

Schlickman: "Well, I want to vote for one part but not for the other part, and they're not related."

Shea: "The Speaker who was in the Chair at the time ruled on that, Sir."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, my dilemma points up the incorrectness of that ruling previously. This is an ungermane Amendment."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Perry moves that we adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 1118. All in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 123 'ayes', 7 'nays', 10 Members voting 'present' and the House does accept Conference Committee Report #2 on House Bill 1118. Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, on that last issue, and I'm a Member of the ah....hard of hearing commission, I just wonder if we could hold that Mr. Speaker, ah..... he's hard of hearing."

Shea: "That's trying two things at once and I can't make it."

Matijevich: "Let's try this 'one once. Mr. Speaker, I just wondered, we we've approved that Report, but I wonder if you will hold it there for a while and we could have some of our constitution experts look at it. We may be placing the original Commission in jeopardy by what we did and I'm a little concerned about that, and I just wonder, rather than final action, if we'd just hold that for a while."

Shea: "Mr. Clerk, just hold sending a message on 1118 for a minute. Will you come up here, Mr. Matijevich and we'll look at what you're talking



about. Mr. Houlihan, D. L. on the Floor? Mr. Houlihan, Jr. J. Houlihan, would you get in your proper seat and I can explain to you where we are on 2559. Regards to the action taken by this House today on 2559, I'm informed by the Clerk's office, after going up and getting their records that that action is annulity, because yesterday, Mr. Meyer evidently moved to concur in that Amendment, and the Clerk's records show that the Senate, we sent to the Senate yesterday, a message 'Mr. President, I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their Amendment to a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 2559, a Bill for an Act making an Appropriation to the Illinois Housing Development Authority.' So that the Bill appearing on our Calendar today, yesterday's action by this House was already final action and that Bill is no longer in control of either the House or the Senate, but is ready to go to the Governor's desk for signature. Regards to 1118, my constitution expert tells me they got the title problems straightened out, so send the message. Give me the roll call on that. They tell me I didn't announce the roll call on 1118, so could I have that. I thought I did. On that question, in case somebody said it didn't go in the Record, there were 124 'ayes', 7 'nays' and the House did adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 1118. Now back to Mr. Houlihan, J. with regards to 2559."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I recall now and you are absolutely correct, 2559 is the Appropriation, is it not? And it is companion Bill to 2558. Now am I right in assuming that 2558 is in conference at this present time?"

Shea: "It's on the Senate Calendar, Sir."

Houlihan: "In conference?"

Shea: "No, Sir."

Houlihan: "It's on the Senate Calendar waiting for Senate to either do something wise or foolish?"

Shea: "Sir, I would suggest that with your influence with your Senator, you could go over and find from her exactly what action would be taken."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I consider all the Senators my Senators, including Senator Lemke."

Shea: "On the Order of Conference Committees appears Senate Bill 407. Is

Harry Leinenweber on the..... on deck? Well, I'm informed there's really

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



not much sense in going to the Conference Committee. The Senate Sponsor just tabled the Bill. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 871 and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Pouncey."

Pouncey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, I do wish to adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 871. The ah..... Senate Amendment is to change the word 'may' to 'shall' and the second thing is they impose a \$50 penalty for doctors, lawyers, to comply to the proficiency test, and the third change was it requires the laboratories to meet proficiency standards. I wish for your favorable vote in the House."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 871. On that question, is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Barnes: "Representative, on what line was the word 'may' to 'shall' changed in the Conference Committee Report?"

Pouncey: "Ah..... on, you see where they said that a doctor, lawyer, psychiatrist 'may' show proficiency, they put the word 'shall' in instead of 'may'."

Barnes: "Could you tell me what line it is? I have it, thank you."

Shea: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Pouncey, moves that we do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 871. Mr. Stearney joins with him in that request. All in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action. Requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 130 'ayes', 5 'nays', no 'present' and the House does concur and adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 871. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1494, and on that, the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we would ah..... ask for concurrence of Conference Committee Report #1



What we did was, we exceeded to the Senate Amendment which said that ah..... the Department shall only offer one six-month permit, instead the original Bill had said a six-month permit. The problem had been that the Department kept offering a six-month permit, and a six-month permit, a six-month permit and never investigating, so the Senate decided to insert the word one, which would limit the Department's discretion, and I would move to concur with Conference Committee Report #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves the House do concur with Conference Committee #1 to House Bill 1949. On that question, is there any discussion? All in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action and requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 138 'ayes', no 'nays', no 'present', and the House does concur in..... or does concur and adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1949. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Conference Committee Report 2065. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to concur with Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2065. It's the unanimous Report. The Conference Committee merely adopts the home rule amendment and another amendment that has some correction language in it. Nothing of any real substance and I move the adoption of the Report."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt, moves that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2065. Is there discussion? Hearing none, the question is shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2065. Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Are these bonds to be issued without referendum?"

Bluthardt: "Yes, this says 'provided by the constitution'. The Constitution says that non-home rule municipalities may issue GO bonds without referendum, not to exceed one-half of one percent. This Bill merely says that's right."

Shea: "All in favor, will....."



Schlickman: "May I pursue this, please?"

Shea: "Do you wish to ask questions, or do you wish to speak to the Bill, Sir?"

Schlickman: "I do want to ask one further question."

Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Schlickman: "It is my recollection that with respect to the issuance of GO bonds without referendum that involves only homerule units and not non-homerule units, and I have the impresssion that what you're doing is extending the constitution privilege for homerule units to non-homerule units."

Shea: "Mr. Bluthardt to answer."

Bluthardt: "No, Gene, you're wrong. The Constitution specifically provides that in non-homerule unit municipalities, those units of government may issue bonds not to exceed one-half of one percent of their assessed valuation without referendum. We passed that law two years ago, ah.... and we forgot to amend another section of the statute and that's why this Amendment to the Bill that we adopted two years ago is necessary. But the Constitution does provide that non-homerule unit municipalities may issue GO bonds without referendum not to exceed one-half percent of its assessed evaluation. It goes higher than with the homerule unit municipalities. I think it's one and a half percent from homerule municipalities."

Schlickman: "Could you cite the Constitutional provision, please?"

Shea: "Sir, would you bring your remarks to a close."

Schlickman: "Well, could he take this out of the Record a moment, please?"

Bluthardt: "I'd be glad to, Gene, but it's in the Constitution."

Shea: "You want it out of the Record, Mr. Bluthardt?"

Bluthardt: "Well, it's there, Mr. Speaker, and Gene will find it, and everybody can find it, and I again move for the adoption of this ah.... Conference Committee Report."

Shea: "The Gentleman renews his Motion for the House to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2065. All those in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action and requires 89 votes. The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."



Hill: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if I understand this properly, this will allow homerule municipalities to float more bonds and consequently increase the property taxes again. Now in the City of Aurora they've just done that, and the property taxes went up in the area of 10 to 15 percent, and now we're going to allow them to do more of this and consequently increase the property tax that much more. Don't you think it's about time that we stop this. We're sitting down here and we say the more municipalities, the poor counties, the poor townships and we continuously load, load more taxes onto those taxpaying people by Bills down here without referendum. If there's a referendum on it, I could care less. At least it's up to the people, but to do it without referendum, I think we're going a little crazy on this and I would suggest that you vote 'no'."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, on the question."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, many of you who have been here in the last four or five years know that I have been one of those who have fought hard in many ways at many times to limit some aspects of homerule. But if ever there was a key to what homerule is all about, it's this kind of a Bill. In my opinion, this specifically provides what we really intended, which is in those occasions where a municipality, at the local level of government, has a problem it wants to solve itself, a municipal board directly responsible to its own people, where the Legislature doesn't have to do it. Where the City should have the power to solve its own problems. This is it. I really don't see any reason why this Legislature shouldn't go along with the Constitutional power in the area like this, to let municipalities do what they want. This is really the essence, I think, of what the Constitutional Convention meant when they said municipalities should be able, in some areas, to determine their own needs and their own faith."

Shea: "Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 62 'ayes', 56 'nays', 17 voting 'present' and the House does not adopt the Gentleman's Motion. Mr. Bluthardt, the posture of that is that you can renew your Motion and it will stay right where it's at, until you



move to not adopt., so turn Mr. Bluthardt on, please."

Bluthardt: "Well, I never had a chance to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker and there is certainly a misunderstanding here."

Shea: "Well, that's why I thought perhaps you could just leave it sit where it's at and talk to Mr. Hill and Mr. Schlickman and some of the People and get it straightened out."

Bluthardt: "All right, thank you, Sir."

Shea: "On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2296. Is Mr. Berman on the Floor? Mr. Berman on 2296. He's here. The smiling man is back. This is marked on the Calendar as Mr. Nardulli. Mr. Nardulli's has had a very serious sickness in his family, is not with us today, and has asked Mr. Berman to handle this Bill. You want to pass this for a minute while you look at it. Take this out for a minute at the Sponsor's request. On the Order of Conference Committees, appears Senate Bill 32, and on that, Mr. LaFluer, are you ready to proceed with that?"

LaFluer: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 32 is a Bill that's been before us before that we passed out of the House, and I am moving to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 32. The Conference Committee Report does one thing. It adds the severability clause to the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. LaFluer, moves to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 32. Is there discussion? On that question, the Gentleman from MacCoupin, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Yes, would you tell us, please, what the Conference Committee Report does now? In other words, has the House now agreed with the Senate Amendment to replace the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission with a new Commission?"

LaFluer: "No, they were....."

Shea: "Could I disturb you for a minute? Mr. Duff, are you trying to get some direction from Miss Hartigan back there?"

Duff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Miss Hartigan is looking for the Gentleman who ordered a B. L. & T."

Shea: "Miss Hartigan, the Lt. Governor's daughter and one of our pages is



looking for somebody who ordered a bacon, lettuce and tomato sandwich. If you'll come right up to Mr. Luft's seat, Miss Hartigan, and Mr. Duff will show you how to get there. I'm sorry for the disturbance. Now back to Senate Bill 32 and back to the Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "I wonder if the sponsor would answer the question?"

Shea: "Would you rephrase the question, Sir, because I might have been the cause of it being disturbed."

Boyle: "Well my question was, whether or not the House has now agreed with the Senate Amendment to this Bill that would replace the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission with a new Commission?"

LaFluer: "No, Representative Boyle, they have agreed.... they are not Senate Amendments, they are House Amendments and all Amendments have been accepted by the Senate in the Conference Report. There has been one section added to the Conference Report, and that is the severability law."

Boyle: "Well, based on the formula now in the amended Bill, where does the bulk of the money go? To Cook County or to downstate?"

LaFluer: "Well, I don't know that I have ah.... figured that out in each place, but as you know, the formula is based on two things. Population and crime and when you index it on those two things, the bulk of the money would go where the population is and where the crime is."

Boyle: "Well, I've been advised by my Senator ah.... Senator Demuzio that the bulk of this money goes to Cook County, 30 to 40 million dollars of this money goes to Cook County and I want to see the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission achieve legislative oversight, and I don't believe that we're going to do this, the downstate people are going to do this if we give 62% of the money to Cook County."

LaFluer: "Well, I don't think there are any figures that speak to that problem, Mr. Boyle, because I have had some, and you have to go piece by piece. You cannot go on all the planning districts and say flat that this is how it is going to go. But I have said to them before and I said this in Committee and I have told them since, that I'm not married to this formula in this sense. For them to come up with a formula that would give them a better distribution of the funds,



if population and crime is not the right index and they have not consulted nor have they come up with a formula. They want the complete cards to put the money exactly where they want it, whether the local planning unit wants it or whether they don't want it."

Boyle: "Well, I understand further in this Bill that no funds can be awarded now to a City or a County unless they get the express commission of a regional planning body. Is that correct?"

LaFluer: "Well, if you understand ILEC now, there is several regions now on this. It's formed on the judicial district and each has its own planning agency and all this says is that each local planning agency must approve the planning grant."

Boyle: "Well, I ask that you take it out of the record, or if you don't I ask that we do not accept this Conference Committee Report because I think that downstate is really getting taken down the paw-paw patch again in this Conference Committee Report and we're going to end up with the regional bodies telling our cities and counties whether or not they can get any funds. If there are any funds left to get and I don't..... I don't agree with this and I urge the Members to vote no on this."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Gentleman would yield? Will the Gentleman yield?"

Shea: "Will you yield. Go ahead, Sir, he indicates he will."

Schraeder: "Representative LaFluer, as I understand this, this new Commission as you explained it will supercede the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission. Is that right?"

LaFluer: "Well, what it does, ah..... Representative Schraeder, ah..... Illinois Law Enforcement Commission was created by....."

Shea: "Mr. LaFluer, Mr. Lundy on a point of order."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I apologize for interrupting the Gentleman, but Rule 69A of the temporary House Rules requires that a copy of the Conference Committee Report be on the Members desks before it be considered. Now I tried to raise this issue earlier, but I wasn't recognized. I object to the consideration of the Conference Committee Report until it has been distributed."



Shea: "It has been distributed, Sir."

Lundy: "Well, I don't have a copy. Could I get a copy, please?"

Shea: "Well, I think that every Member on the Democratic side has one. I was informed by the Clerk's office that they were out. Mr. Pierce has one. Mr. Holewinski or Mr..... Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I agree with you, they've been distributed, because we have them in this row, but I just want to raise a parliamentary inquiry. This Conference Committee never really met. I was appointed to it. Now, I've been around here awhile and I'm use to Conference Committees not meeting, but at least someone should have the consideration after typing my name on the report, to ask me whether or not I wanted to sign it, and I didn't mind Cook County getting 62%....."

Shea: "Can I look at the report, Sir? Mr. Clerk can I look at the original of the Conference Committee Report?"

Pierce: "Cook County..... and it's not dated either, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Sir, it is signed by the eight Members of the Conference Committee. What's your point, Sir?"

Pierce: "My point is, no one had the courtesy of asking me, who was appointed to the Committee by the Speaker whether or not I wanted to sign the Report. I mean, I understand sometimes you don't have time for a meeting of all ten Members of the Committee, but at least someone.... my name was typed on it, at least someone could have asked me whether or not I wanted to sign it. They didn't even date the report. Now I would have signed it. I don't mind Cook County getting 62% of the funds, because....."

Shea: "You've already..... Mr. Pierce, you've made your point. Now back to Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I will restate my question. As I understand this from the explanation, this new Commission supersedes the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission, what is the reasoning behind that?"

LaFluer: "Representative Schraeder. There are several things. Number 1, the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission was originally established by Governor Ogilvie as Executive Order #1, and the Commission was appointed. Now what Senate Bill 32 will do, it makes a Statutory Commission out



of an Executive Commission. This would give it permanency. It creates, instead of the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission, the criminal and juvenile justice commission. It enters.... it puts into this, the Bill contains advice and consent of the Senate. It has Legislative Committee of four representative and four senators. It provides for regional planning agencies to approve funding of the programs, and it equalizes.... it formulizes the distribution of funds. Now many things have come about and I think this is an improvement that would assure all the people of the State of Illinois of sharing and joining together both in the management of Illinois Law Enforcement and sharing in the benefits of Illinois Law Enforcement."

Schraeder: "Well, what is the increase cost due to the changes in the Commission, number of membership and the makeup of the Commission?"

LaFluer: "The Membership is more less dictated by ah.... the Federal guidelines and it conforms to that of what exists now the same will consist in the future. We've already passed out the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission budget, and that would be the budget that would support the ah.... Criminal and Juvenile Justice Commission. It would be the same. There would be no difference in cost."

Schraeder: "Are you saying that you're going to and want to conform to the Federal regulations, because they are a Federal regulation?"

LaFluer: "This does conform to the Federal regulations. Yes."

Schraeder: "Are you now saying that we have to because of Federal money?"

LaFluer: "Well....."

Schraeder: "Is that the implication?"

LaFluer: "Well, the Federal law tells you how you must organize, how they must be created, how they must be and how they must be managed."

Schraeder: "Was the old Commission in violation of the Federal law or the Federal Statute, Federal regulations."

LaFluer: "I do not know that."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me ask you one more question. How much of the funds go to downstate counties?"

LaFluer: "Well, at the present time, as much as the ah.... they wanted to give to them within the amount that the Federal government was distributing."



Schraeder: "You're saying then that the State of Illinois doesn't have any control or no one in State Government or local government has control of the distribution of the funds throughout the State of Illinois?"

LaFluer: "Yes, I think it's helped us."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, on the Motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report, this is an outer attempt by Members of this Legislature to take away an established Commission that's done its job very well in the last year, since its establishment by Executive Order. It's now attempting to divert funds that are being used by downstate counties and municipalities and to restrict their use to certain areas in the State of Illinois. It seems to me that we in the downstate are entitled to equal protection under the law, and if you're telling us that we can't have good law enforcement and good enforcement agencies downstate, then I say you're wrong. If anyone is interested in law enforcement, we downstaters are equally involved in it. We have some crime. It may not be as great as the City of Chicago and Cook County and northern Illinois, but we have crime problems. We're in need of funds for the prevention of crime and I say this is an attempt to deprive downstate of funds which we are entitled to and I would say that we should nonconcur in this Report."

Shea: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor, will say 'aye'. Those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. LaFluer, to close."

LaFluer: "Well, as each Member knows, this has been here before us, it has been to the Senate, it has been to the House. It passed out of the House before with a very favorable vote. I think it's been debated, scrutinized. It's been looked at. There has been no change in the basic Bill due to the Conference Committee Report. If anything, we have taken a good concept and a law and made it into a better one. We have assured each area of the State that they will get what is due them and I would move that we adopt Conference Committee Report



#1."

Shea: "Gentleman from DuPage moves that we do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 32. On that question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay', and it takes 89 votes. The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword, to explain his vote."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman, in explaining my vote, I would direct to you especially to House Amendments 4 and 5, which we are called upon to approved again in this Conference Committee Report. House Amendment #5 sets forth this formula that Representative Boyle was talking about. Now I agreed that areas of high crime should have some increased attention and I would be very delighted that they do. The regretable thing about this formula is that there are on-going programs that have been funded by the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission that are going to be without funds in all of the downstate judicial districts, and the downstate judicial districts are going to receive a lot less money. Now I don't care on the change of the emphasis of those areas that have a high crime rate, but I think it should provide in here some more selectivity and priority, so that those on-going programs that we now have will have an opportunity to continue and I want to point out to you also, House Amendment #4. In House Amendment #4 to this Bill sets up a regional aspect. The regional aspect that has been soundly disapproved by most of the People who vote in downstate Illinois. It gives more credence to that regional aspect and regional government aspect that has been promoted sometime ago by our Department of Local Government Affairs, and it does not give any consideration to the kind of organization of like-minded communities and communities with the same problems that we need in law enforcement, so I would urge all of you, and especially those from downstate Illinois, to vote no on this ah... Conference Committee Report. Perhaps we can get some changes in House Amendments 4 and 5."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, D. to explain his vote."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a Member of the Conference Committee, ah.... on this Report, I rise to speak in favor of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."



Let me emphasize that the formula which is contained in the Bill is not an attempt to deprive any counties. Really, what it is is an attempt to come to grips with the fact of where need is greatest. Now, the formula here is related to population and after the crime index factor. I, along with everybody from Cook County, will be very happy if we were not in a position where the crime index factor is so high, but that happens to be the fact of the matter. Now that happens to be where the funds are most needed, and I urge your adoption of this Conference Committee Report. This is a very reasonable formula."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian, to explain his vote."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think the entire concept of this Bill should probably be defeated. I'm not certain about this and I wanted to inquire of the Sponsor of this Bill. I believe that because of the way that the new Commission is appointed that we may jeopardize all Federal grants for the new Commission as created under this Bill. And I think that's another very important reason for voting 'no' on this Conference Committee Report."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, to explain his vote."

Daniels: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support Senate Bill 32 and the Conference Committee Report #1. In reviewing this Senate Bill and the Conference Committee Report, I would also like to comment on Amendments #4 and 5 that was brought up by a previous speaker. I know of no other fair way to allocate funds than by population and the matter of seriousness of occurrence of crime. Amendment #5 does just that. This Amendment provides a formula for the distribution of funds based on population and the volume of serious crimes in the five judicial districts throughout the State. It insures a reasonable distribution based upon need as indicated by crime and population. I think this is a good approach to it. I think it's another approach that this Legislative Body has taken to the crime problem, and I encourage your 'yes' vote on this matter."

Shea: "Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane, to explain his vote."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've listened to some of the downstate Members complain that all the money in this Bill is going to go to Cook County. But I would like to echo the



statements of the previous speaker from DuPage, in which he says that the formula in looking at Amendment #5, the money in this Bill is going to be divided on the basis of population and on the basis of the location of crime, and I can't think of anymore reasonable way to distribute crime fighting money, than on the basis of where the crimes occur, and it's not just on the basis of where the crimes occur, but also on the basis of population, so that downstate is going to get more than its share of money from this Bill and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman Have all voted who wish? Mr. Boyle wants to explain his vote. Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In answer to the last Gentleman who spoke, I would like to point out that under Amendment #5, and under the formula, it is possible that you could have a small county, sandwiched in between two large counties that has a crime, high crime rate, and under Amendment #5, when they averaged the population in with the the crime rate, these smaller counties are not going to receive little, if any, funds. I think this is a bad Bill. I think it's a terrible Bill for downstate and I would urge a 'no' vote, and at the appropriate time, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be recognized for purpose of verification of this Roll Call."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 95 'ayes', 51 'nays', 12 Members voting 'present'. The Gentleman from McCoupin, Mr. Boyle, requests a verification. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. LaFluer, asks that the absentees be polled. Would you poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "Arnell. Bluthardt. Capuzi. Ebbesen. Epton. Hirschfeld. Klosak. Kosinski. Madison. McAuliffe. Meyer. Nardulli. Peters. Rayson. Schoeberlein. Stone. VanDyne. Washington. Mr. Speaker. Randolph goes from 'aye' to present."

Shea: " Mr. Randolph went from what?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye to present."

Shea: "Mr. Randolph goes from 'aye' to 'present'. Proceed with the verification, Sir."

Fred Selcke: "Washington 'aye'."



Shea: "Proceed with the verification, Sir."

Fred Selcke: "Anderson. Gene Barnes. Jane Barnes. Beatty. Berman. Borchers. Brandt. Brummet. Caldwell. Caparelli. Carroll. Choate. Coffey. Collins. Daniels. D'Arco. Carrow. Davis. Deavers. Deuster. DiPrima."

Shea: "Mr. Ryan. Ryan. Could I see you up at the podium, please?"

Fred Selcke: "Ralph Dunn. Dyer. Ewell. Ewing. Barley. Fary. Fleck. Friedland. Gaines. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Giglio. Greiman. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Gene Hoffman. Dan Houlihan. Hudson. Huff. Emil Jones. Dave Jones. Kane. Kelly. Kempiners. Kozubowski. Kucharski. LaFluer."

Shea: "Mr. Choate asked to be verified now. Is there any objection, Mr. Boyle. Mr. Choate is here."

Fred Selcke: "Lauer. Laurino. Lechowicz. Kornowicz. Leeon. Laverns. Madigan. Mahar. Maragos. Marovitz. Matijevich. Maurino. McCourt McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo."

Shea: "Mr. Clerk, excuse me for a moment. Does the Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, seek recognition?"

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'."

Keller: "I'd like that to be changed to 'aye', and I'd like leave to leave for Committee Report."

Shea: "The Gentleman asks to have his report 'aye'.... to 'present' to 'aye' and then asks that its all right to be verified. Is that permissible, Mr. Boyle. Proceed, Sir? Mr. Simms, you seek recognition, Sir?"

Simms: "Yes, will you please change my 'aye' vote to 'no'?"

Shea: "Mr. Simms goes from 'aye' to 'no'. McDonald."

McDonald: "I would like to change my 'no' vote to 'aye'."

Shea: "Miss McDonald goes from 'no' to 'aye'."

Fred Selcke: "Merlo. Mulchaney. Palmer. Patrick. Polk. Proter. Pouncey. Reed. Rigney. Ryan. Schisler. Schuneman. Sevcik. Shea. Skinner. Stearney. Sissy Stiehl. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Totten. Tuerk. Waddell. Walsh. Washburn. Washington. White. Williams. Winchester. Younge. Yourell."



Shea: "Mr. Leverenz, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Leverenz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to be verified right now, please."

Shea: "Mr. Leverenz wishes to be verified as an 'aye' vote. Is that permissible with you, Mr. Boyle? Mr. Boyle..... Mr. Clerk, what are the numbers now on this roll call?"

Fred Selcke: "Right now, the total is 96 'ayes', 52 'nays'."

Shea: "Do you wish to be verified, Mr. Schisler. Mr. Schisler asks to be verified, Sir. Do you have any objection? All right, at this point, there are 96 'ayes' and 52 'nays' and are there any questions of the affirmative roll call, Mr. Boyle?"

Boyle: "Representative Younge."

Shea: "Is the Lady from St. Clair on the Floor, Miss Younge? Miss Younge on the Floor? How is she recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Shea: "Take her off the roll call."

Boyle: "Representative Williams."

Shea: "Representative Williams is in his seat."

Boyle: "Representative LaFluer."

Shea: "Representative LaFluer is there."

Boyle: "Representative Fleck."

Shea: "Representative Fleck. How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Shea: "Is Representative Fleck on the Floor? Representative Fleck. Take him off the roll call."

Boyle: "Ah..... I believe..... Did Representative Randolph change to ah.... from 'aye' to....."

Shea: "Yes he did, Sir."

Boyle: "All right. Representative Capparelli."

Shea: "Representative Capparelli. Is Representative Capparelli in the Chamber. How is he recorded, Sir?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Shea: "Take him off the roll call."

Boyle: "Representative Terzich."

Shea: "Representative Terzich. Is Representative Terzich in the Chamber? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."



Shea: "Take him off the roll call."

Boyle: "Representative Merlo."

Shea: "Representative who, Sir?"

Boyle: "Merlo."

Shea: "Representative Merlo. I don't see him, Sir. How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Shea: "Take him off the roll call."

Boyle: "Representative....."

Shea: "Miss Betty Young returned and is put back on the roll call."

Boyle: "All right ah..... is Representative J. M. Barnes here?"

Shea: "Who?"

Boyle: "J. M. Barnes."

Shea: "She's in her seat, Sir."

Boyle: "All right. Brandt."

Shea: "Mr. Brandt. Mr. Brandt. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Shea: "Take him off the roll call."

Boyle: "Representative Collins. Oh, I see him."

Shea: "Representative Collins is standing by his seat, Sir."

Boyle: "I see him. Representative D'Arco."

Shea: "Representative D'Arco is standing right here, Sir."

Boyle: "Representative Deavers."

Shea: "Representative Deavers is in his seat."

Boyle: "Representative Fary."

Shea: "Representative Fary. Is Representative Fary in the Chambers? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Shea: "Take him off the roll call."

Boyle: "All right, Representative Gaines."

Shea: "Well, wait a minute, Representative Merlo has returned and wishes to get on the roll call as an 'aye' vote. Representative Terzich returns and wishes to get on the roll call as an 'aye' vote. Now go ahead, Sir."

Boyle: "Gaines."

Shea: "Representative Gaines. He's in his seat, Sir."



Boyle: "Representative Hanahan. Mr. Speaker, some of these people would sit down, it would speed up the process. I could see a little bit better. Hanahan."

Shea: "Representative Hanahan. Is he in the Chambers? Representative Hanahan. I don't see him here. How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Shea: "Take him off the roll call."

Boyle: "Representative D. L. Houlihan."

Shea: "Hanahan is back. Put him on the roll call. Representative D. L. Houlihan. Right by the podium, Sir."

Boyle: "Representative J. David Jones. Oh, I see him."

Shea: "Representative J. David Jones is in his seat, Sir."

Boyle: "Representative Kempiners."

Shea: "Representative Kempiners. Is Representative Kempiners on the Floor? He is by Mr. Giorgi back there, Sir. Capparelli has returned. Do you wish to go back on the roll call, Sir? Mr. Capparelli returns to the roll call, Sir. Do you have any further questions?"

Boyle: "Yes, Representative Laurino."

Shea: "Representative Laurino. Is Representative Laurino in the Chambers? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Shea: "Take him off the roll call, Sir. Do you have any further questions?"

Boyle: "Representative Mautino."

Shea: "Representative Mautino is right in front of you, Sir, with the green shirt on."

Boyle: "All right, ah.... fine, I didn't see him with the green shirt on. Representative Polk."

Shea: "Mr. Laurino returns to the Floor and wishes to be added to the roll call. Mr. Polk is in the aisle with his good looking little daughter."

Boyle: "Representative Reed."

Shea: "With two good looking girls. Representative Reed is in her seat."

Boyle: "Representative Rigney."

Shea: "Representative Rigney is by the podium, Sir."

Boyle: "Representative Greiman."



Shea: "Pardon me?"

Boyle: "Greiman."

Shea: "Representative Greiman. Right by Mr. Jaffe discussing the downstate farm problems."

Boyle: "Representative Sevcik."

Shea: "Representative Sevcik. Is Representative Sevcik in his seat? Is he on the Floor? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Shea: "Take him off the roll call. Do you have any further questions, Sir?"

Boyle: "Ah..... Deuster."

Shea: "Representative Deuster. Is Representative Deuster on the Floor? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Aye."

Shea: "Take him off the roll call."

Boyle: "Carroll."

Shea: "Who?"

Boyle: "Carroll."

Shea: "Representative Carroll is in his seat, Sir."

Boyle: "How about Representative Palmer."

Shea: "Representative Palmer is in his seat, Sir."

Boyle: "McCourt."

Shea: "Representative McCourt. Is Representative McCourt..... is in his seat, Sir."

Boyle: "Representative Hoffman. Gene Hoffman."

Shea: "Representative Gene Hoffman. He's standing up, Sir, and is Mr. Gene Hoffman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Boyle: "Representative Tuerk."

Shea: "Representative Tuerk, Sir, is down by Mr. Deavers."

Boyle: "Representative Winchester."

Shea: "Representative Winchester is by the aisle, Sir."

Boyle: "Representative Ewing."

Shea: "Representative Ewing. Is Representative Ewing on the Floor? Is Representative Ewing on the Floor? How is he recorded?"



Fred Selcke: "Ewing is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the roll call, Sir."

Boyle: "Representative Dyer."

Shea: "Representative Dyer is in her seat, Sir."

Boyle: "That's all we have."

Shea: "Mr. Duff, do you wish recognition, Sir? Turn Mr. Duff on, please."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Shea: "You are recorded as voting 'present'. Is that correct, Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "That's correct."

Duff: "Please vote me 'no'."

Shea: "Mr. Duff, 'no'. On this question, there are 90 'ayes' and 53 'nays'. Mr. Bluthardt wishes to go 'aye'. 91 'ayes', 53 'nays' and the House does concur in Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 32. Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Mr. Madigan, having voted on the prevailing side, moves to reconsider the vote by which that Amendment was adopted. Mr. LaFluer moves to lay that on the Table. All those in favor will say 'aye'. All those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and it's laid on the table. The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Fennessey for an announcement and a request."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would like to ask leave to allow the Elections Committee to meet at 3:30 in the Speaker's office while the House is in session for the purpose of considering the final report of the Marvin Dee challenge to Ron Stearney and the expenses of the election contest."

Shea: "Gentleman asked that a subcommittee on the Elections be permitted to what..... the full committee on Elections be permitted to meet while the House is in session. Is there objection? Hearing none, leave is granted and on that question, Mr. Clerk, use the attendance roll call. Now on the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 1506, Mr. Collins. You wish to call that now?"

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1506. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."



Shea: "On the Order, this is Third Reading and final action, and on this question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1506 deals with the establishment of travel control board within the State Board of Elections. The House will recall this House did pass a Bill, allowing the Constitutional officers to establish their own travel control board in a Bill that passed this House earlier. At the time, we were going to offer an Amendment that would have included the State Board of Elections. However, there was another Bill that we felt would take care of that job. However, that Bill, I believe that Bill was vetoed and so necessitated the introduction of Senate Bill 1506. It's an election committee bill of the Senate. I am not aware of any opposition. I would ask for the favorable consideration of Senate Bill 1506."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, moves the.... the question is, shall Senate Bill 1506 pass? On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Barnes: "Representative, what is a Travel Control Board?"

Collins: "All agencies of the sh..... of State government are required to file a schedule of travel control regulations, as we file our own vouchers, our mileage per diem, etc. All other agencies are under the Department of Finance. However, it was felt that the Constitutional officers and independent boards, such as State Board of Elections, should promulgate their own regulations. They still are required to file with the Auditor General..... with the Comptroller, with the Auditor General and the Audit Commission their Travel Control Regulations. However, they do it independent of the Department of Finance."

Shea: "Any further questions? Question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. It requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 130 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 'present'. Maragos 'aye', and the



House, and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby decalred passed. On the Order of Supplemental Calendar #1 on Concurrences, on House Bill 3002, Mr. Collins, do you want to handle that Bill?

Collins: "3002?"

Shea: "3002."

Collins: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3002 is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Board of Elections. I would move to nonconcur with the Senate Amendment to this Bill. The House made substantial cuts in this budget. The Senate went somewhat overboard in my estimation and I would ask for nonconcurrence."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3002. All in favor, say 'aye'. Those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3002. Mr. Bradley in the Chair."

Bradley: "Mr. Taylor on nonconcurrence, Senate Bill 345, Sir."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 345. House Amendment #1 is the FEPC Amendment, and I move for the House to recede."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House recede from Senate Amendment #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished. The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 135 'ayes', 4 'nays', 3 voting 'present' and the House does recede from Senate Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 345. Catania on House Bill 2473."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 2473 deals with expansion of powers of the Illinois Fair Employment Practices Commission. A problem has arisen in the ah... jurisdiction of the Chicago archdiocese insofar as FEPC rules and regulations will affect them, and I would like to move this back to a Conference Committee with the Senate so that we can work out an agreement with them. We have the Conference Committee Report all prepared but we will need to nonconcur so that we can get to that Conference Committee. Therefore I move to nonconcur with the Senate Amendment to House Bill 2473."



Bradley: "The Lady moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2473. All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed say 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur and a Conference Committee will be appointed. Concurrences. House Bill 534. Gene Hoffman in the Chambers? Representative Hoffman on 534. Representative Palmer on 1299. I understand that's out. What are we going to do with that, Mr. Palmer? Fall Calendar or....."

Palmer: "I'm waiting for some appropriate Senate action and I expect it within a reasonable time."

Bradley: "Fine, we'll leave it right where it is. O'kay, now, Representative Berman in the Chamber on House Bill 1506. Representative Kozubowski here? On 2435. Representative Taylor on House Bill 2627."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2627 as the Civil Administration....."

Bradley: "Mr. Taylor, could you hold that for just a minute. Could you take that out of the Record, please, Sir? Take it out of the Record. On the Supplemental Calendar, Supplemental #1, on Concurrences, appears House Bill 783. Representative VonBoeckman, is he here? VonBoeckman. Representative VonBoeckman here? On 835. Representative Luft. Is Representative VanDyne on the Floor? Representative VanDyne. Here he is. On 835."

VanDyne: "Could you give me about five minutes, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "Certainly. How about Mr. Hart on ah... 1092?"

Hart: "Ah..... I move that the House do not recede, do not concur in ah... Senate Amendments #1 and #2 and that will throw it into a Conference Committee."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 1092. All in favor will say 'aye'. Opposed say 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the House does not concur, nonconcur, in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 1092 and a Conference Committee will be appointed. Byers, Representative Byers on House Bill 1314 on the Supplemental Calendar."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill has ah..... nine Senate Amendments and ah... I would move that we concur with ah... Senate Amendment #1. I'd like to take these each..... don't want to take them all."



Bradley: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1314. All those in favor, will

Byers: "Amendment #1 is the ah.... so called Weasel Clause in regard to utilization of Federal Funds in Senate Amendment #1."

Bradley: "All right, the Gentleman moves the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1314. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 127 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1314. The Gentleman from.... Mr. Byers, the Gentleman from Madison, on Senate Amendment #2."

Byers: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, on Senate Amendment #2, ah.... I move that the House nonconcur and do not recede from Senate Amendment #2."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1314. All those in favor, will say 'aye'. Opposed 'no' and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1314."

Byers: "Senate Amendment #3, I move that we concur. This transfers \$300,000 from the Department of Mental Health to the Dangerous Drugs Commission which they finally got that money straightened out, going to the right Commission, so I move that we do concur with Senate Amendment #3."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1314. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'. Opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "A point of Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker. On the rest of these Amendments, since we have voted to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2, any action we take on the rest of these Amendments cannot be final action on the Bill. Is it necessary for roll call and is it necessary for 89 votes?"

Bradley: "Yes, because if we send it back to the Senate and the Senate recedes from their Amendment, that would be final action on their part, Sir."



Madison: "I see. Thank you."

Bradley: "O'key, so take the Record. On this question, there are 132 'ayes', 8 'nays', none voting 'present' and the House does concur in ~~Senate~~ Amendment #3 to House Bill 1314. The Gentleman on Senate ~~Amendment~~ #4."

Byers: "Senate Amendment #4, Mr. Speaker, is one that deletes \$541,000 in ~~the~~ General Revenue Fund for the Capital Improvement at Dixon State ~~Senate~~, and transfers \$250,000 in Public Welfare Building Fund dollars ~~to~~ House Bill 289 to House Bill 1314, and I move that we concur with ~~Senate~~ Amendment #4."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Senate Amendment ~~to~~ House Bill 1314. All those in favor, will signify by voting ~~aye~~. Opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all ~~voted~~ who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, ~~there~~ are 123 'ayes', 4 'nays', none voting 'present' and the House ~~does~~ concur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 1314. The Gentleman ~~on~~ Senate Amendment #5."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Amendment #5 deletes \$13,337,664 in the General ~~Revenue~~ Fund from the operations and also deletes six million dollars ~~from~~ the General Revenue Fund for grants and aid, for a total of ~~six~~ million plus and I move that the House ~~do~~ not, or that the House ~~do~~ not concur with Senate Amendment #5."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #5 ~~to~~ House Bill 1314. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The ~~House~~ have it and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #5 to ~~House~~ Bill 1314. Amendment #6."

Byers: "Amendment #6, Mr. Speaker, corrects erroneous numbers in Amendment ~~to~~ and I move that we nonconcur with Senate Amendment #6."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #6 to ~~House~~ Bill 1314. All those in favor will say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The ~~House~~ have it and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #6 to ~~House~~ Bill 1314. The Gentleman on Senate Amendment #7."

Byers: "Senate Amendment #7 restores six million dollars in grant funds, ~~and~~ I also move that we nonconcur on this Amendment."



Bradley: "Gentleman moves that we noncur in Senate Amendment #7 to House Bill 1314. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #7 to House Bill 1314. Senate Amendment #8."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, #.... Senate Amendment #8 adds \$550,000 to the General Revenue Fund for the operation of medical and surgical unit at the Anna State Hospital and I move that we nonconcur with that Amendment."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to noncur in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 1314. All in..... The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Ah..... what is your intention of nonconcurring in this Amendment?"

Byers: "Mr. Hart, I think the problem with this Amendment was concerned about possibly some employees losing their jobs, and I think that's.... you know, that there's no one going to lose their jobs or anything in this and I think Senator Ozinga put this Amendment on, and ah....."

Hart: "Well, you know better than that, Representative Byers. You know there was more involved in this than just somebody losing their job. You were down there when the subcommittee met. You remember the recommendation, the Committee recommended that this be open, and it wasn't the people losing their jobs that was the basis for the recommendation that this be reopened, it was because of the patients in there not getting adequate physical and mental care that this recommendation was made by the subcommittee, and this Amendment was put on this Bill. And ah..... to sum this up, Mr. Speaker, is that without any direction from anybody, the medical and surgical wards at the Anna State Hospital was closed, and the subcommittee of the Illinois Legislative Investigation Commission to investigate institutions went down to the Anna State Hospital and ah.... held a hearing down there about this. There was testimony from ah.... citizens, from employees, from relatives, from doctors, from community people, all in opposition to the closing of the medical/surgical ward at the Anna State Hospital. In addition, we took a tour of the facilities down there and ah..... additional input was had from the Union County Hospital. The idea was that these patients would be treated at the Union County Hospital rather than at the medical and surgical ward at the Anna State Hospital. Now, this ah..... this State just recently spent nearly one million dollars in

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



upgrading this medical and surgical ward at the Anna State Hospital only to have the ah... Administrator, Dr. Steck, come forward with a plan to close the medical and surgical ward. If you're interested in ah.... adequate medical care for the patients at the Anna State Hospital, then I would recommend that we vote to concur in this Amendment, because the testimony was from the doctors and all that knew anything about the kind of health care that was available there, that it was in the best interests of the patients of the Anna State Hospital that the medical and surgical ward be reopened, and that's the purpose of this Amendment. Senator Ozinga offered it in the Senate. He was the Chairman of the Subcommittee. Others that were there were Representative Boyle, Representative Byers, Senator Demuzzio and others. They all heard the testimony and there was a Subcommittee Report that was circulated to the Members ah.... to the affect that the medical and surgical wards should be reopened, so I would urge that the Members vote 'no' on ah.... motion to nonconcur and then I would like to make a substantive motion that the House does concur in this Amendment."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #8."

Hart: "I'd like a roll call on that Motion."

Bradley: "To House Bill 1314. All those in favor ~~of~~ nonconcurring, will vote 'aye'. Opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers."

Byers: "How many votes does this need, Jerry? Just the majority?"

Bradley: "Majority on the nonconcurrence. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished?"

Byers: "I'd like to explain my vote."

Bradley: "Proceed, Sir."

Byers: "I do know that what Representative Hart said was ture, that the Administrator of the Hospital did make the decision down there, but the number of people that were in this were quite a few less and they were like maybe six or eight there over a month or two period, and that there's another hospital down the road just about three-fourths of a mile from there and the main concern that day, I believe, was the concern with employees of the hospital keeping their jobs. And ah....



this has been assured that these people are going to keep their jobs and this ah.... while they have spent this money to do this, I do think that we should ah.... defeat this motion."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record.

On this question, there are 48 'ayes', 66 'nos', 9 voting 'present' and the Gentleman's Motion to nonconcur is lost. Now, the Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "I move that we concur in this Amendment, Mr. Speaker, and if anybody wants to ask any questions. I know it's noisy and perhaps everybody didn't quite understand it, but I will reiterate what the problem is if anybody wants to know. Otherwise, I move to concur in the Amendment."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker. A parliamentary inquiry."

Bradley: "Yes, Sir."

Houlihan: "If this legislation is motion to concur, receives what maybe the votes were to nonconcur, 66, or any vote less than 89, what is the status of this particular Bill and this particular ah.... motion?"

Bradley: "Well, right now we have the Bill in the posture. We've accepted some of the Amendments. We've nonconcurrred in others. We're going to concur in this if we get 89 votes on his motion to concur. Final action on that Amendment, and I think the Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "On Parliamentary point, I believe that if we did not give this Motion 89 votes at this time, that the Bill would have to remain on the Calendar, and so ah.... because it has done neither nonconcurrred or concurrred, and as long as that...."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Bradley: "The Sponsor of this Motion, concur, Mr. Hart."

Schlickman: "That's right."

Bradley: "He says he will."

Schlickman: "Is that a threat."

Hart: "No."

Schlickman: "I understood it that way, Sir."

Hart: "It's a promise."



Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Parliamentary Inquiry. I believe that, and I've been sitting here keeping Record on this. We have nonconcurrred on several Amendments already. So, in terms of action, whether or not you concur on this Amendment, will not maintain this Bill on the Calendar. This Bill will go to Conference whether you concur or nonconcur on this Amendment."

Byers: "Well not necessarily. If the Senate recedes from these Amendments that we've nonconcurrred in, then that would be final action on their part, Sir."

Barnes: "Yeow, but let's take it one step farther, Sir. Assuming that the Senate does not recede on the Amendments that we noncurrred in, this Bill will go to Conference no matter what you do, because you've nonconcurrred in about over half of the Amendments without it."

Byers: "Were you asking me a question, Sir? I'm sorry, I was interrupted?"

Barnes: "Which was added on? Assuming....."

Bradley: "Just a minute, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, on a point of order."

Matijevich: "Point of Order, we're dealing with some issues that we don't know will ever come up until we find out what happens to this Motion to concur. I would guess that another Motion to nonconcur would be in order if this motion fails, but I think all of that is out of order right now until we find out what happens to this Motion."

Bradley: "Nonconcurrrence motion is already failed and....."

Matijevich: "Well, I think it is in order again if this Motion fails also."

Bradley: "That's right, Sir. Now back to the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan on some questions, was that?"

Houlihan: "Well, I renew my original request. The Chair never ruled and we had a lot of conversations about ah.... about advice to the Chair, but my question remains, if this ah.... Motion does not receive the Constitutional Majority to concur, 89 votes, what is the status of this Motion and this Bill."

Bradley: "Well, we'll come back and try the nonconcurrrence again and if that fails, then we will make a Motion or a Ruling for you. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers."



Byers: "Well, I would like, Mr. Speaker, to ah... concur with Senate Amendment #8 and would appreciate a favorable vote."

Bradley: "Now, the Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 1314. All those in favor, will signify by voting 'aye'. And those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, I have a question of the Chair."

Bradley: "Yes, Sir."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, did I understand the Chair to indicate that the"

Bradley: "Pardon me, Sir."

Madison: "Did I understand the Chair to indicate that the Elections Committee was meeting now?"

Bradley: "I think they asked for leave, the full Committee to....."

Madison: "Are they meeting now?"

Bradley: "Yes, Sir, they're meeting now."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, would you advise the Members of the Elections Committee that are now meeting somewhere else, to stop voting on this Floor at the same time."

Bradley: "We'll inform them of that. The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 121 'ayes', 5 'nays', 2 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 1314. Amendment #9."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Amendment #9 was by Senator Jerry Joyce and it restores \$48,855 in the General Revenue Fund for the Waukegan, restores \$324,755 in the General Revenue Fund for Mantino and restores \$110,500 in General Revenue Fund for Chester. It's a total addition of \$484,000 and I recommend that we concur."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that we concur. Mr. Byers, is that correct?"

Byers: "I move, yes."

Bradley: "To concur in Senate Amendment #9 to House Bill 1314. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'. Opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 'ayes', 12 'nays', 1 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #9 to House Bill



to House Bill 13.4. Now...On Concurrence appears House Bill 835.

Mr. VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, I'd like to just simply make a motion to concur with the...the...ah...the Senate on House Bill 835."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 835. What..."

VanDuyne: "It reduces the...ah...Fire Commissions budget by an additional fifteen thousand dollars...It's designed that we're economically minded."

Bradley: "The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 835. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 125 'ayes', 1 'nay' and 1 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 835. On the Order of Con...Oh...Lauer 'aye' on that last...On the order of concurrence appears House Bill 783. Mr. VonBoeckman.

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I request that we not concur in Senate Amendment 1 and 2 and that the Speaker appoint a Conference Committee."

Bradley: "The Gentleman wishes to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 783. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 783. House Bill 1979. Mulcahey. Mr. Mul... on the Supplemental Calendar."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker...ah...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to House Bill 1979, simply states that any additional funds received by the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation in excess of their Fiscal Year 1967...1976, appropriation, must first be appropriated by the General Assembly, before any expenditure can be liquidated against the...against those funds and I would move that we concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1979."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1979. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who



wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 134 'ayes', 3 'naye' 1 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1979. On the regular Calendar appears House Bill 1968. Mr...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman on a motion..."

Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker...ah...is this on Amendment #1?"

Bradley: "This is Senate Amendment #1 to 1968, yes sir."

Berman: "All right. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1968. House Bill 1968, Ladies and Gentlemen, is the Bill that ah...creates a...number one, a Study Commission to study the entire area of Medical Malpractice and Number two sets up the pool called the Joint Underwriting Association, made up of the Insurance Companies, that if the private carriers that are presently writing Malpractice Insurance goes out of business the Department of Insurance is authorized to create this pool to make sure that Doctors are covered. The Senate Amendment is a technical Amendment that deals with the procedures for the re...ah...capture of losses, if any, that might be incurred by the Joint Underwriting Association. The...ah...Amendment provides for coming back to the Legislature for...ah...a appropriations to make up for any losses that might be made, that might be incurred by this JUA in the future. It was deemed to be preferable as opposed to a tax credit system that was built into the original Bill and I move for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1."

Bradley: "The...Discussion. If none, the Gentleman moves that the House concur...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Miller."

Miller: "Ah...Will the Sponsor yield to a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Miller: "Representative Berman, could you explain to me why it would be preferable for the State of Illinois to incur any...ah...losses that this JUA might in...ah...take upon itself? Rather than to have the Insurance Companies themselves bear the loss?"

Berman: "Well, Representative Miller, the Insurance Companies themselves were not bearing the loss under the original Bill. If you recall that there was a tax offset that was extended to the Members of the Joint Underwriting Association."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Miller: "That's correct, they could have a tax offset , Art, but they would still...ah...bear the loss initially if they had more claims against..."

Berman: "Well...that...That's a technical difference without being a... substantive difference, we were, the state was going to be reimbursing them through an offset against taxes to be paid to the state. Now, this procedure provides for a appropriation process whereby the Legislature at least has the chance to view what the extent of these losses, if any, there are and to appropriate accordingly. I...I as a Legislator, I prefer this way to the way that the Bill was originally prepared."

Bradley: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I...I just think this is a terrible approach to...ah...malpractice insurance. It provides that the Legislature or the state shall reimburse the pool or Association of Insurance Companies that write malpractice insurance for any losses that they sustain in writing that insurance, it does not say that the Companies reimburse the state for any profits that they derive from the writing of malpractice insurance. It seems to me that the effect of this..."

Bradley: "Mr...Mr. Walsh, Mr. Beatty is seeking recognition..."

Walsh: "I see that..."

Beatty: "Point of order.....Mr. Speaker...ah...Point...I may be mistaken here, I thought that Mr. Berman indicated the Amendment is merely a technical Amendment. I thought that the House had previously debated this Bill. Is it always necessary to go back into the merits of a Bill? Shouldn't we merely be discussing the Amendment?"

Bradley: "You point's well taken sir and I wish Mr. Walsh would...would... ah...although, this is final action and...ah...we have voted it out of the House at one time sir and confine your remarks to the...the Gentlemans motion."

Walsh: "Well, the Gentleman was incorrect and I resent the interruption. It's a very significant and the Sponsor pointed out that this is a very significant Amen...Amendment...ah...the Senate has placed on the Bill..."

Bradley: "Proceed..."



Walsh: "...Has entirely changed the thrust of the Bill. Now, I don't think the Bill was any good to start with but this Amendment has made it considerably worse and I've tried to make the point why it made it worse. It says that the state shall reimburse companies that write Malpractice Insurance through what it amounts to, an assigned risk pool. Now, this I feel is wrong because there's no provision, there's no provision that the companies reimburse the state for profits that they make from the writing of Malpractice and there's no assurance by any means that they're going to sustain a loss all the time. So, the companies that write it through this pool are assured that they will not have losses and could gain. So there's great advantage and I'd like to writing that kind of Insurance, that's tremendous. So, I submit to you that this is a bad Senate Amendment and should be defeated."

Bradley: "Discussion? If not, if none, the Gentleman wish to close? Mr. Berman?"

Berman: "Yes, please."

Bradley: "Proceed sir."

Berman: "I think that the...ah...the essence of the Assistant Minority Leaders remarks were in the fact that he didn't like the Bill in the first place, but I think the House voiced its own opinion when this Bill passed out a few weeks ago by a vote of 150 to 1. Now, what we're doing here is to recognize that we do have a medical malpractice insurance crisis potential and we are setting up the procedure for the Department of Insurance to create an underwriting pool. We have set forth in the Bill, thier cost that must be assessed against Doctors for that insurance coverage. We put, provided in the original Bill a method by which this pool would be reimbursed for any potential losses. When you talk about profits in malpractice insurance, I'm not aware...I'm not sure that there are any, but I think that this is the only Bill, the only Bill that will guarantee that if the companies that are writing malpractice insurance today, stop writing, there will be malpractice coverage available in the State of Illinois. I urge your 'aye' vote."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1968. All those in favor will vote 'aye', opposed



will vote 'no' and the light is on for pictures to be taken but the Members on the Democratic side can rest because the pictures are only going to be taken on the Republican side. So all you Republican Members smile. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Bradley 'aye', have all voted who wish? Stubblefield 'aye'. Have all voted who...Mr....The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There are a lot of people making a great big mistake on this Bill. I don't know whether they realize that this is an insurance company Bill where the companies simply can not loose. The essence of insurance that there is a risk. Any company writing under this Bill can not sustain a loss so there's no risk. The Sponsor of the Bill and the Motion says that nobody makes a profit. Well I submit that he's wrong. There are people that have profits in the writing of Malpractice Insurance. But this is...there's just simply no excuse for this and this Bill should go to a Conference Committee, it's not right, it's improper and it ought to be defeated."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy to explain his vote."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The distinguished Assistant Minority Leader couldn't be more wrong about this Bill. This is the only Bill that really takes a constructive step toward the...the problem of unavailability of medical malpractice insurance in this State and this is not a new approach. When...ah... property damage insurance became unavailable in many of our Urban neighborhoods throughout the country Congress did precisely the same thing that is proposed to be done here. It's set up a...an Assigned Risk Pool, called a fair plan and it worked, it made insurance available when flood insurance became unavailable in many parts of the country because of the...ah...large losses that the companies were taking in writing flood insurance. Congress did the same thing, it set up a pool and it guaranteed that the companies could not, would not experience more than a certain amount of loss and that's exactly what proposed here and this, unlike the Bill...ah...Senate Bill 1024, which we passed earlier, which the, the carriers themselves have testified, will have no appreciable impact on premiums or on the availability of medical malpractice insurance, this Bill will guarantee



that medical malpractice insurance will continue to be available. Now we can defeat this Bill, we can send it to a Conference Committee from which it will never emerge and then we will face, in this state, the same kind of doctor strikes, the same kind of problems when the medical malpractice rates go through the roof that have occurred in New York and California and other states. This is a responsible, sensible way to approach the problem and it will be a darn shame if this Bill were to go down in the last stages of the closing hours of the General Assembly, because it's a responsible Bill, it's been tried in other areas where in...ah...difficulty has been experienced in obtaining insurance coverage and it has worked and I have no doubt it will work here. I think we ought...we ought to concur in this Amendment and get this Bill on the way to the Governors desk. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, the Majority Leader, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As you remember, we passed out of this House, House Bill...er...Senate Bill 1024, with several House Amendments on it. In effect that was the House Bill when it left here. One of the Amendments was Mr. Flecks Amendment that we adopted almost overwhelmingly by this body. What that Amendment said in effect is that prior to any rate increases in malpractice insurance, the providers of malpractice insurance must go to the director and must receive prior approval after a public hearing before they could raise rates. Now I received the communication from the director of the department and from several other people stating that the Arganot Insurance Company would leave the state if we passed that Bill with that provision. I said 'So be it.' It was the overwhelming feeling of this House that that should have been in the Bill. We had the Senate concur in that Amendment, Mr. Fleck, Mr. Daniels, Mr. McPartlin and myself went over and talked to our friends across the Rotunda and that was concurred in yesterday. Now, I don't particularly care if any insurance company in this state feels that they don't want to write malpractice insurance because we're making it a little tougher for them to get increased premiums but I am concerned if a number of the leave this state that we will have some form of insurance. I think what this Bill says with the Senate Amendment is that if these



companies leave the State of Illinois and the health care providers can not get insured that we in the General Assembly say to the Department of Insurance, provide a pool to provide underwriting so that we can get that insurance to the health care providers. I think that this in conjunction with Senate Bill 1024, will insure that we have adequate health care facilities for the people in this State and I think that's what this is all about, the insurance not in the word of the term of insurance, but the assurity to the people in this State that they will have adequate health care. I think this, in conjunction with Senate Bill 1024 will elevate any possibility of a health care crisis in this State and I would ask my colleagues to join and vote 'aye'."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, to explain his vote."

Duff: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm happy to say, even though it took to the last day of the session, that I can say that I agree with the Majority Leader. I would say that he is absolutely right in his point that our fundamental responsibility here now is to provide for the continuation of good health care to the people. It's not to take care of the doctors, it's not to take care of the insurance companies or the trial lawyers. Now this Bill, will to some extent, provide for the continuation of coverage which is a real risk. Doctors in the hospitals and the patients and the people have to be concerned about. This Bill will provide for continuity of coverage and it will provide for the Legislature and the Executive Branch to continue to be concerned about a problem. The one point I would not agree with is that while the doctors of this state have inundated us, I suspect because they were conned by the insurance companies would please to pass 1024, 1024 is a palliative not a panacea. The doctors should be told when you go home that it was like being told to take two aspirin and go to bed. This Bill will continue good coverage, this is an important Bill and it is not Solomon like, neither was the other but it will give us an opportunity to continue to try to solve a problem"

Bradley: "Mr. Duff would you....Just a minute sir, we have Mr. Miller on a...Were you finished sir? Had you concluded Mr. Duff?"

Duff: "Yes sir."

Bradley: "Point of order. Mr. Miller."



Miller: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, the prior two speakers very eloquently spoke on behalf of the Bill and I don't disagree with the Bill and the intent of the Bill. They totally ignored the contents of Amendment #1 and if the Chair would recognize me to address...ah...to explain my vote please."

Bradley: "Mr. Miller to explain his vote."

Miller: "I want to rebut the remarks made by Representative Lundy and I think he has perhaps mislead this Body with regard to comparing this Bill here or the Amendment to the Fair Plan or the Assigned Risk Pool that we have for automobile insurance. In no way does the State of Illinois stand behind the losses incurred by those insurance companies in the Fair Plan and the Assigned Risk Plan. Never ever in the State of Illinois and I doubt in any state, has any state ever backed up the...the losses of any company, an insurance company included. If we're going to stand behind the losses of a insurance company why don't we then go into writing it ourselves and make the profit on it as well as profit might be there. It is not the responsibility of the state to put their full faith in credit behind a private enterprise system. Particularly in the insurance field and I think the Amendment is bad and I think it ought to be reconsidered in a Conference Committee. My remarks are not against the Bill, I believe it it, but Amendment #1 in particular."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman to explain his vote."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members..."

Bradley: "Mr. Schlickman would you...wait just a minute...Mr. Lundy on a point of personal privilege."

Lundy: "Yes, thank you Mr Speaker and Members of the House. Just to correct the last Speaker. I never mentioned the Automobile Insurance Fair Plan I was referring to a program created by the Housing and Urban Development Act in 1968, passed by Congress to keep available property damage Insurance in high crime Urban areas of this country. I did not say anything about automobile insurance."

Bradley: "Mr. Schlickman to explain your vote."

Schlickman: "Well Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. When the Sponsor of this Bill stated that the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader was opposed to the Amendment because he was opposed to the Bill, I



arise to say that I'm very enthusiastic about this Bill, in fact, I recall having stood up to speak for it and I was pleased that it was passed. But I do think that we should very concerned about this Amendment which I oppose and urge a 'no' vote on. The Bill as it's presently written, provides that the participating insurance companies can write off their losses as state income tax deductions or they can deduct them on their privileges taxes if there is a loss that they suffer. This Amendment provides that the state will reimburse by appropriations from the General Revenue Fund for these losses and I respectfully suggest, respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we are boating in the blind. We have no idea whether there will be any losses and if so, how much and again by Amendments being thrown onto a Bill through the Conference Committee procedure we are denying ourselves the opportunity, in this case, of a Fiscal Note. Now I think the Gentleman who just spoke, or, Mr. Miller, rightfully pointed out that this is unprecedented. We don't guarantee any losses that may sustained by those insurance companies who write safety responsibility insurance for drivers who have been subjected to conviction for driving while under the influence or for three violations, moving violations. We are voting in the blind, dark, we're being stampeded, it's an insurance company Amendment and it's one that should be defeated."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill, to explain his vote."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. For the life of me I can not understand why you people are voting for this par...particular Amendment. I'd like to point out to you when the Malpractice Bill was up, the House Bill, Representative Dan Houlihan at that time said that they hadn't the opportunity of calling in all of the insurance companies to find out what the overall situation is. I think that we should defeat this Amendment. We're going to have a lot of time in the near future to bring an Amendment like this in if this is the last straw. Don't you people realize that the Department of Insurance in the State of Illinois is the one that's going to make the decision on this. I've been down here for seventeen years and the Insurance Companies of the State of Illinois have run the Department of Insurance and I don't believe it's any different now. What you're going to set



up with an Amendment like this is a fund, is a fund of money and if that fund goes broke then you're going to have to come back and out of General Revenue Funds, take monies out in order to pay off these various suits on Malpractice. Now it seems to me that the Insurance Companies that practice insurance in the State of Illinois have some obligation. It seems to me that we could get a much better Amendment to put a little responsibility on the insurance companies here in the State of Illinois and not all of the responsibility back on the taxpayers of the State of Illinois and let those insurance companies put those millions of dollars in their pocket, go to the other states and laugh at the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. This is one that you should vote against. Let it go to another Conference Committee. Let them resolve the differences and if they don't resolve the differences, we can come back here in October or next January for that matter because the crisis is not that grave. We passed Malpractice, let that Malpractice Bill try to function here in the State of Illinois and not be given a Christmas tree to the Insurance Companies that practice in this particular field. Vote 'no' on this Amendment. Thank you."

Bradley: "The Gentle...the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer to explain his vote."

Griesheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I believe that as a Legislature I have had as much personal interest in seeing some form of Malpractice...ah...Resolution come through this House as anyone else and having a father-in-law a surgeon, I get direct lobbying in the home area. I can not believe though at this point in time that the Conference Committee would have turned out an Amendment which completely destroys the integrity of this Bill. Mr. Schlickman hit the...ah...nail right on the head. The very first paragraph says that the State of Illinois will stand responsible if the fund can not cover the losses. Now this is just without a doubt the biggest rip off on state funds that we could possibly pass and not withstanding the pressure from the home area I'm changing from 'aye' to 'nay' on this and I hope the other Members of the House will do the same. This must go back to Conference Committee."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre, to explain his vote."



Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm fearful that the discussion of this Amendment has deteriorated into the same kind of arguments we had when we talked about Automobile No Fault Insurance. What we're really talking about here is protecting the interest of, of the people of the taxpayers. All this discussion about whether we're feathering the nest of the insurance companies and so forth is really irrelevant. What we're talking about is mandating, mandating, that every insurance carrier that writes casualty insurance in this state be in a pool and that they be forced to write Malpractice Insurance. Now, the comments of our distinguished Assistant Minority Leader about insurance, the essence of it being risk just wasn't true. The essence of insurance for those who know anything about it is that if you get a number of risk pools together then you can predict the losses and there's no risk involved. That's what insurance is and what we're doing in this Bill is we're mandating that insurance carriers in this state join a pool and write insurance in an area where there is limited risk, where there is a limited number or, number, of exposures and therefore the risk is not predictable. When we do that, when by law we mandate an industry to do something which is to incur the possibility of serious losses it is only fair that we agree to, to incur any deficiencies that they come up with. We're talking about serving the people in Malpractice Insurance has nothing to do with... with feathering the nest of the insurance company or anything else and I think we ought to get off of that line and get down to the serious business that we're here for and I, I think we ought to approve this Amendment."

Bradley: "We have ten more people who would like to talk on the issue, I'd just like to inform the Members and so the Gentleman from Kane Mr. Grotberg to explain his vote."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I for one would just simply like to address this Amendment. May I read paragraph 1, 'in the event the funds available to the Association under the provisions are insufficient for the sound financial of operation of the Association, they shall' not may, 'at least annually reimburse the Association for its net operating loss and the Commission for all of its expenses'. Now I submit Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of



the House, that insurance rates, Malpractice rates are established by three parties, the injured, the brilliant Trial Lawyers and the adle headed juries. Can you imagine the settlements that we've had recently when the insurance companies, with their limited funds were responsible? Can you imagine the smiles on the Trial Attorneys faces when they find that there's no bottom to the well? Can you imagine the smile on the jurors when they can give any kind of a settlement that they care to because they know that the state is the richest party in the State of Illinois and that the taxpayers well is bottomless? They could care less. I submit that this will turn be a Malpractice Insurance Program, this simple Amendment, into the wildest, dumbest thing that ever happened and I certainly would encourage a 'no' vote."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Yes...I just got the Amentment from Mr. Berman and I don't know if anybody's raised this quesiton. I'm sorry, I was off the Floor. Has anybody raised the number of votes required for this Amendment?... The reason I ask that sir, in reading the Amendment, it states that the state shall at least annually reimburse the association and my question to you sir, is this a pledge of the states credit and therefore would require 107 votes?"

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, what is he up on, is he up on...ah...a point of order explaining his vote or what. He spoke on this Bill."

Bradley: "Yes...."

Shea: "Sir, I'm trying to find out exactly..."

Walsh: "Would you just ask my question please...."

Bradley: "Parliamentary Inquiry..."

Walsh: "I suppose he's entitled to that."

Bradley: "Thank you."

Shea: "I hate to say it Walsh, I'm trying to help you I guess. It specifically asks sir in the Amendment, at least the copy I have, on page #2, in line 6 through 10."

Bradley: "We see it sir...The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well Mr. Speaker, while you're taking the time to look that up, I'd just like to make a comment to the House, Mr. Speaker. Standing right here beside me, is the 79th General Assembly. And it's piled



pretty high. The...the point I would like to make Mr. Speaker, is that we have had some effort made in this House to have an automated system by which I remember can look in simply, at his desk, to a console directly tied to a computer. I would point out Mr. Speaker, that our chief page made an effort to make sure that every single Amendment and every single Bill was on this desk every day this year. I would further point out that that is something all of us would like to be able to have, but if we did it would take us thirty or forty additional people on the payroll and many many hours of work. Our Chief Page, Rodney, managed to put these over two hundred thousand pages, together. Almost a quarter of a million pages right here in this pile. If we're going to be as effective as we seem to be tending to be, I would like to ask that we proceed with all due speed to get that computer set up, which we're not able to do this year and finally Mr. Speaker, a number of Members have said they'd like to have their picture taken beside this but keeping it up here is kind of tough because it's ready to fall over so we will put it back up again later if anybody would like to have a shot of it and because the Speaker is a man of great stature, I wonder if he might like to come up and stand beside it sometime and get his picture taken with it. We'll ask him."

Bradley: Yes Sir Mr. Lechowicz: " Point of order."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I humbly request you shut off the photo lights and I think it would cut down the debate quite...quite extensively. Shut off the lights please."

Bradley: "The...The Speaker has given his permission for pictures to be taken on the Republican side sir. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevec."

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker, I will admit that's the first time I enjoyed listening to Mr. Duff because I couldn't see him."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "Briefly, while we're having a lull here, I'd like to apologize to the Minority Leader for interfacing what he was commenting on this Amendment. I thought that the Sponsor had said that it was merely a technical change and obviously it was a quite important Amendment and I regret my interference with his presentation."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Well Mr. Speaker, we have many pressing things and I will ah..."



take this Roll Call and move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 and take a closer look at it from the Conference Committee."

Bradley: "All right, the Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to 1968. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no' and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1968. Mr. Berman. 2592. What's your druthers on that one?"

Berman: "I think there's two Senate Amendments. I move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 2592. 2592 is a Bill that has left the House forbids discriminatory acts on the basis of ethnical religious grounds by foreign governments. The Senate put on two Amendments 1 was to expand the definition of...from just ethnical religious grounds to include that a...based upon sex, creed, ...ah...or religion and the other one the 2nd Amendment deals with the requirement that the violations of the anti-trust Act must take place all or in part, within the State of Illinois. I move the concurrence of Senate Amendments 1 and 2."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know what point I'm rising on but I'd like to make a suggestion, we just stand at ease, for just a few minutes until all the photography gets out of the way. I don't know what's going on. I can't hear the debate or anything else."

Bradley: "Your point's well taken but it's rather than...to stand at ease, I think it's time that we got those books down and let's get on to the order of business of the House. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I think the Republican's have proved that they've got bigger piles than Democrats, it's time to take the Books down."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, a question to the Sponsor please. The Senate Amendment #1 included sex and what else?"

Berman: "Sex and race and creed."

Washington: "Oh, it included race."

Berman: "It expanded it yes sir."

Washington: "Well what do you mean expanded? You said ethnic but I was concerned about race."

Berman: "Well the Bill as originally drawn, we called for ethnic and religious ground. Now it says ethnic, racial, creed and sex."



Washington: "All right, thank you."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I have a question for the Sponsor."

Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield."

Leinenweber: "Representative Berman, I'm not sure I understand. This amends the anti-trust law which presumably therefore makes illegal discriminatory acts and according to Senate Amendment #1 on the part of employees offices or agents of foriegn governments and em...or employers officers or agents or operations which do business with foriegn governments and you say that...ah...it goes on to say, it specifies these acts must be committed in Illinois?"

Berman: "Yes."

Leinenweber: "Ah...the only question I have is, as I understand it, the foreign policy of certain foreign governments may require when that government deals with a domestic corporation, a United States domestic Corporation, that they do perform some discriminatory acts or refuse to perform some act which...which amounts to discrimination against someones religious or racial reasons and the threat, as I understand it, and how they can compel the domestic corporation to do it is the loss of the business. Now, it seems to be that this is pretty well intertwined in foreign policy which is sort of beyond our scope or at least, in my opinion, ought to be beyond our scope in effect the blackmail or the black listing is forced upon the domestic corporation with a threat of economic loss. Now aren't we in effect penalizing the person who is being...ah...who is having the pressure exerted against him rather than the...penalizing the person who, or is the entity that is exerting the pressure to with the foreign government? It seems to me that we're attacking the wrong source. We're attacking the person who's being pressurized and not the entity that's doing the pressuring. I know we...we can't do anything about it because we're a state and we're not the United States Government, but isn't this a valid criticism of this Bill?"

Berman: "I don't think so. I think the point that you made in your last sentence says that we can't reach these foreign governments. But I think in order to straighten the position of our local method, local businesses, we should say that the State of Illinois stands for



nondiscrimination and that...ah...if they want to do business here that they've got to do it on an up and up basis and not on a blackmail basis."

Leinenweber: "Well Mr. Speaker, very briefly on this...ah...this Bill, as I understand this is final passage. I think we are and I certainly respect the motives behind the Bill and I, I certainly agree that if we could successfully solve the problem, which is to prevent the foreign governments from exerting this type of pressure that we should do so. Bu I think this is a national...ah...an international problem, one that ought to be dealt with in Washington that can not be dealt with locally and I...I think we shouldn't try. So, I would reluctantly urge a 'no' vote."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman. Do you wish to close sir?"

Berman: "I think this Bill stands for what we all stand for and I think that it's important to make that policy of the State and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2592. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Kane...or Mr. Waddell the Gentleman from Kane to explain his vote."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't know how this Bill ever escaped by before but it's got to be one of the worst Bills that's ever come through here. If you...you'd take a look at this and you find that in there it says 'or ethnic or religious grounds', I'd like to know who's going to determine the grounds. If it's on one side of the fence, be it the Arabs and they make the decision then all of the Jews are bad, if the Jews make the decisions the Arabs are bad and how are you going to bring this down to Illinois Law? I think it's a horrible Bill."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook...Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 96 'ayes', 8 'nays', 16 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2592... Senate Amendments #1 and 2 the House does concur in. Now Mr. Berman on 2296..."

Berman: "This...2296...ah...Mr. Speaker, is a Bill that ...ah...was handled



by Representative Nardulli who...ah...as the Chair indicated before was required to go back to the city because of illness in the family... ah...the essence of the Bill represents a Legislative product of Representative Chapman and I would like to have her explain the...ah... the Conference Committee Report."

Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman...We'll give you a second or two, take your time. While we have a lull, it's the intention of the Speaker to...ah...go through the Calendar and pick up everything that's on it, which means there are not more than five or six more motions to make...ah...there's a nonconcurrence of Mr. Schuneman, if he's on the Floor. Mr. Hoffman has something worked out and... if we don't get to the other Supplemental up here at that time it's the intention Mr. Porter has...ah...a Resolution that we will stand in recess for a period of time, at least long enough to eat and the Speaker will, the Speaker will make that determination at that time, but that's the intent any thing can happen if the Supplemental Calendar would come up or something but we don't want to leave if there's work to do but if we don't have anything to do we'll, we'll recess. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not so much concerned about Supplemental Calendars because I don't know what's going to be on them but there are a couple of Bills that you seem to be jumping around on the regular Calendar and I'm wondering when we're going to get to those Bills."

Bradley: "Would you come up to the Podium and we'll get to them as quickly as we can."

Schlickman: "Be pleased to...I'm not talking about my Bills. I'll come up though. Thanks."

Bradley: "All right...all right. Mrs. Chapman...ah...Mr. Houlihan a question."

Houlihan: "Ah...Gene, as you walk up there would you ask him about Walt Kozubowski's good Bill and maybe he could respond so we all would know."

Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen...ah...Senate Amendment #1...ah...amends a program that was set up in 1972 which aims to prepare foriegn speaking teachers for Illinois Teachers Certification as bi-lingual teachers. There is a shortage of these teachers. Grants were



given to them based on a contractual agreement whereby they served as bilingual teachers in lieu of repayment.. The problem was that in addition...ah...scholarships were given to foreign speaking students wishing to attend college. However since the scholarships are only for a two year period, there is no way that they can teach two years during a...ah...a three year period, following receipt of this two year...ah...award. Therefore, we are existing, not the teachers who still have to repay, but these students from...ah...repayment.

I hope that you will vote 'aye' on this Conference Committee Report."

Bradley: "Is there further discussion? The Lady from ...or... the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's a little difficult to hear and I understand it was a Conference Committee Report. The Bill as it left the House, provided for language grants to teachers who wished to be certified as bilingual teachers and also to students who are bilingual. Now, what...ah...what is the posture, if you will come once again, with that Conference Committee Report. Are the teachers still in there?"

Chapman: "The...The teachers. Now are you talking about the Bill as it left the House? Yes is the answer."

Palmer: "And then what if...are the students still in there.."

Chapman: "Now...ah...if we're talking about the...the students that were put on in the Senate, no. This is a...a now, this is the Senate Amendment."

Palmer: "Well what is the Senate Amendment, did they take the students out?"

Chapman: "Okay...the Senate Amendment takes out the repayment requirement for the students. For this reason. It keeps the repayment equal... requirements for the teachers, because this makes sense, Romie, it takes it out for the students because what we're giving, doing, is giving these kids two years with the tuition grants. They have finished their second year in college and then we say to them, 'You must teach two years in the next three year period or you must repay', now it obviously is impossible because they don't have their certificates and they can't get their certificates for two more years. There's no way that in the next..."

Palmer: "Well then what you're saying Mrs. Chapman, is that the repayment plan is in there for the stu...for the teachers...."



Chapman: "Yes. The repayment's there..."

Palmer: "It is not in there for the students."

Chapman: "That is exactly right, because it makes sense..."

Palmer: "And does it do anything else?"

Chapman: "That's what the Amendment does."

Palmer: "Does it do anything else?"

Chapman: "No."

Palmer: "That's what the Commission, the Conference Report says?"

Chapman: "The Conference Report says...I...I gave it to Art Berman, that the...the Conference Report says that we concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Palmer: "All right, thank you."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I'm not...a question for the Sponsor...I'm not."

Bradley: "Pardon me Mr. Leinenweber, would Mr. Ryan come up to the Podium sir?. Representative Ryan. Come up to the Podium for a second sir. Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I'm not sure...I...I understand, now is...ah...the Bill or the Conference Committee Report deletes the requirements that the students who receive these language scholarships must repay, is that right?"

Chapman: "The...The students, yes. Not the teachers, because it makes sense for the teachers to repay. Only for the students who in no way are going to be in a position to fulfill the requirement of...of teachers."

Leinenweber: "Well that's because, you said, that there was a...an unreasonably early repayment date, is that correct?"

Chapman: "Ah...well to begin with it's only a two year scholarship and many of these students, this is a 1972 program and it's been in operation now for...ah...three years and many of these students don't even go on and complete college, you see. So we're asking students to repay who have not completed their, their four years of education. Much less have a teachers certificate and be able to teach two years."

Leinenweber: "Well I can...to the...the Conference Committee Report. I could see, perhaps, that the terms of the Scholarships grants might have been two step but Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't see



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

why we should, this is about the fifth or sixth or perhaps even the seventh attempt to excuse people who have taken scholarship money...ah... or loan money, knowing that it was loan money and then after they've had it and had the benefit of it, excuse them from the terms of which they agreed to do, to which to repay. Now, I can see perhaps if it turns out that the payment date was unrea...unrealistically early, the terms were unrealistic that perhaps this Conference Committee or this House and this General Assembly could adjust the terms. But I don't see any particular reason why we ought to excuse payment and I would suggest that we defeat this Conference Committee Report and send it back and perhaps they will modify the terms...ah...so as not to provide a complete elimination of requirement of repayment."

Bradley: "All right...the Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. This is rather hard to understand...ah...Mr. Leinenweber is correct in a part of the statement he makes but from now on he is not correct. Now, these are two year scholarships and these people who have a two year scholarship, most of them will never go on to teach. So it's absolutely impossible for them to repay by teaching two years out of the next three years. It's impossible for two reasons. Number one, they're going...if they go ahead to school, they'll be going to school for two out of the next three years so they necessarily could not teach. Most of them will not continue and become teachers...ah...many of them will not even continue and graduate and furthermore...ah...these...ah...these scholarships were not intended to...ah...get people to go ahead and become teachers and at the...the time that these grants were given they were not intended to be paid back grants but for some reason it's been held that they may be...ah...be repayable and this...ah... Amendment merely clarifies the intention at the time the scholarships were given. I be...and it's besides that it applies to a very, very few people. I believe that the, the Conference Committee Report is the only report that a...that Conference Committee #2 could possibly come up with if another Conference Committee were appointed and I... sincerely urge you to vote 'ayes' for approval of Conference Committee Report #1."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."



Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I think this subject has been very adequately discussed on many occasions. I move the previous question."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put? All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman ... Mrs. Chapman, do you wish to close on this or...Mr. Berman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate an 'aye' vote to rectify this administrative nightmare."

Bradley: "The Lady moves that the House does concur in Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2296. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer to explain his vote."

Palmer: "I'm voting 'no' on this thing because I believe that the...this House defeated the...a similar type Bill and 2294 and maybe I'm wrong on it but I think it's been by us once before, the other reason is that it would appear in the Senate Amendment that all persons who, who receive the language...ah...scholarships...Strike that part. The reason, the reason that I first gave."

Bradley: "Have all voted...Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber...to explain his vote."

Leinenweber: "Since I've, since I've made remarks on the Floor of this House it has been shown to me to my satisfaction that the General Assembly, when they originally established this program did not intend that these scholarships to these foreign speaking students be repayable but through some apparently, technicality they have been determined to be repayable and that this provision is merely is merely intended to clarify the original intention. On that basis, since it's not an attempt to excuse people from paying their just obligations, I'm going to vote 'aye'."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk, to explain his vote."

Polk: "Thank you, but I see there's 97 or more votes and I'll pass."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish. The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone, does he wish to explain his vote? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 110 'ayes', 22 'nays', 6 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 2296. Adopt the Conference Committee Report. Sorry..."



...Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded to the request to the House of Representatives for a Second Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1935. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1443 and request a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1146 and the Senate requests a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 396 and request a Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. "

Boyle: "Will Representative Schuneman come up here? On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 534. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move we concur in Senate Amendment #1 and #2 to House Bill 534. Senate Amendment #1 is a, a technical Amendment which underlines a line that was not underlined and puts the word 'transportation' in where it was left out in the computerized copy of the Bill and originally this Bill was intended to...ah...be effective during this...ah...Fiscal year. This is a Bill where we give...ah...school districts...er...High School Districts an opportunity to go into their entitlement and also allow districts which have passed referendums...ah...after January 1, 1974 to include this in their State Aid entitlement. We've amended it...ah..."

Boyle: "Representative Hoffman, at the request of the Majority Leader, would



you take this out of the record momentarily? Apparently there's some problems with this."

Hoffman: "Yes."

Boyle: "All right, thank you. Mr. Schuneman, would you come up please, concerning your Bill...ah...The Clerk will continue with the Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate refused to recede from their Amendments # 1, 2 and 4 to House Bill 1360 and request a Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #1, 3, 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 477. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concurs with the House in passage of a Bill of the following title to wit: House Bill 289 together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as Amended, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title to wit: House Bill 802 together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as Amended, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 2989, together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as Amended, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 1426, together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as Amended, June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further Messages."



Boyle: "All right. The question is on the Gentleman's motion to concur. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays' and 7 answering 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 534. All right, on the Order of Nonconcurrency appears Senate Bill 610. Yeah...Read the Bill....Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. On Senate Bill 610. I move that the House refuse to recede from Amendments #1 and #2."

Boyle: "All right. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 610. Those in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', those contrary 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 610. For the purposes of announcement. I've been advised by the Speaker's Office that the House will break from 5 until 7 for a purp...purposes of those Members who wish to work on Conference Committee Reports. Those of you who are not on Conference Committee Reports, I suppose you can eat lunch ...ah...we will return here...ah...back on the Floor for Floor Session at 7 O'clock. We'll break at 5, from 5 to 7 we'll be in recess. Now, we...we do have that...we do have another Bill or two we'd like to handle before we break. All right. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1146. The Gentleman from Cook, representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Conference Committee report #1 which would have adopted Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1146 was discussed in some detail last night and on other occasions and I move to adopt the Committee Report on House bill 1146."

Boyle: "All right. The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1146. On that question, is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Well Mr. Speaker, I've had my light on for about ten minutes for a Parliamentary inquiry. Could I be called upon when this Bill is disposed of? To make a Parliamentary inquiry?"

Boyle: "Yes, you may or you may make your inquiry now if so..."



Mugalian: "I'd rather not interrupt the a..."

Boyle: "All right. The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have the Conference Committee Report and I would like the Sponsor to either answer a question or to..."

Boyle: "The Gentleman indicates...The Gentleman indicates he'll yield."

Schraeder: "Has this got anything to do with collection of taxes for the State of Illinois?"

Yourell: "No, that's coming later. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I hope it comes much later."

Boyle: "The Fr...The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well...ah...Mr. Speaker, I..Would the Sponsor yield a question?"

Boyle: "He indicates he'll yield."

Friedrich: "The Bill has something to do with the collection of taxes in the State of Illinois, how come the Amendment doesn't?"

Yourell: "I believe the Bill, Representative Schraeder referred to was House Bill 26...er...Senate Bill 2667."

Friedrich: "My Digest says it's the..the...ah...they shall remit nine tenths of Secre...to the Treasurer. So I assume it has something to do with the collection of taxes for the state."

Yourell: "Well, that's correct Representative...ah...What it does is amends the inheritance and transfer tax Act in the Senate Amendment #1 to this Bill...ah...decided that it would be more administratively sound to have the County Treasurer retain the one tenth of the tax and then send the balance to the State Treasurer. That is what it does and it also deletes certain language that has to do with, according to the Senate Sponsor of the Amendment, the Constitutionality of the Act."

Friedrich: "In that case I will oppose the Bill because I oppose the concept of this...counties getting one tenth."

Boyle: "All right. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Conference Committee Report recommends that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. Now twice previously we've beaten this. We did not concur in Senate Amendment #1 at the Order of Concurrence. Yesterday this matter came up and it did not get the required number of votes and as a courtesy to the Sponsor he was given leave to take it out of the



record. This is the Bill that increases from four per cent to ten per cent the Counties share of the Inheritance Tax. That's millions of dollars diversion from the General Revenue Fund at a time when we can ill afford it. Now this Amendment makes a bad Bill even worse. It provides that instead of the state remitting.. excuse me, instead of the county remitting to the state the entire amount that's collected and the state then refunding to the county the four per cent for cost of collection, the county in the first instance will take out, not its four per cent but its ten per cent and then remit the difference to the state. Denying the state, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the opportunity, the opportunity of auditing the funds. Now Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I go along and accept that the counties should be reimbursed for the cost of collection. But doggone it the amount should be no more than the cost of collection and it should be by the state giving it rather than having it withheld from it. It reverses the proper order, denies to the state the opportunity for audit and I would hope Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that this third time we defeat this Amendment. Three times and you're out and I think it's very appropriate on this occasion and I urge a 'no' vote."

Boyle: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker...ah...Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Boyle: "He indicates he'll yield."

Houlihan: "Buzz, I'm sorry, this may have been asked before but what would be the fiscal impact, what is the cost to the State of Illinois?"

Yourell: "I'm told between seven and eight million dollars."

Houlihan: "Thank you."

Boyle: "All right. The question is on the Gentlemans motion to concur in the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1146...All right... the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Marion again. Representative Fredrichs."

Fredrichs: "Mr. Speaker, I want to explain my vote when we get on the Roll Call."

Boyle: "All right. The Lady from Lake, Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to take just a few minutes to point out to the Members of this House that we



have passed several Bills to aid and vent county and township government. Everyone of those Bills has failed in the Senate. We are left with practically no compensation back to the units of local govern...township and county government, that is. This is not an unprecedented move, it is not an unusual move, it is fiscally irresponsible and for pity sakes I would hope that this Body would recognize its responsibility to those units of local government and let them keep this portion of the inheritance tax. You've taken every other tax away from it."

Boyle: "All right. Point of order by the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman: "

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker I..."

Boyle: "Can we have a little order in the House, it's starting to get a little loud here, the noise level's gone up."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker. How many Calendars have been distributed today?"

Boyle: "I've been advised by the Clerks Office, the regular of two Supplementals."

Schlickman: "May I ask on which Calendar this Bill appears?"

Boyle: "This Bill appears on the original Calendar on page 5..."

Schlickman: "I've got it, thank you."

Boyle: "All right. The Gentleman from Logan. Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Bill. This Bill is similar, although it's technically different than one we passed out of this House two years ago and the level of retention, the money by the counties, at that point, was twenty five per cent. That Bill also passed the Senate, the Governor vetoed the Bill because the impact financially upon the state was too great. However, there have been negotiations sir, in the inter... intervening period and there's some indication that the Governor will sign this Bill. He recognizes the need by the counties for at least some capability of having the money to operate town...ah... local government. Ladies and Gentlemen, I would like to point out that this is a tax on property that has been held by an individual and is...and is a tax upon the passing of that property to another owner at the time of the original owners death. Since the counties and



the units of local government have furnished the services, the police protection, the fire protection, the...the garbage collection, everything that is needed to maintain these areas of property, it seems to me proper that the counties receive at least a certain portion of, of the revenue that is yielded upon the occasion of the passing of this property from one owner to another in the case of the...of the demise of the original owner. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is just a very small opening toward solving some of the financial problems of local governments and I hope you will see fit to put plenty of votes up...ah...on toward, toward this move. I strongly solicit an 'aye' vote."

Boyle: "All right. The Gentleman from Cook, the distinguished Majority Leader, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to support this piece of legislation. For many, many weeks I've heard people down here like Tom McMasters and many of my colleagues from downstate say that one of the biggest problems they have is no money at the local level and they're fearful of raising taxes. As our good friend Mr. Lauer said, here's a way to take some of the pe...the money from the people in the county and keep it in the county to help defray the cost of government at the local levels. I think this is an extremely good Amendment, I think it's an extremely good Bill and I would ask for the support of the House."

Boyle: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Boyle: "He indicates he will."

Madison: "Representative Yourell, in a situation where a person...ah... dies and he resides in one county and he has property in several other counties, which county does the collecting?"

Yourell: "The county where he resides."

Madison: "This Bill does not, in terms of returning the seven or eight million dollars back to the county, this does not at all speak to the need of the county, does it?"

Yourell: "In a financial sense, it certainly does Representative Madison."

Madison: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Bill?"

Boyle: "Proceed."



Madison: "Mr. Speaker, in terms of the financial impact of this Bill I'm not at all against the counties receiving seven or eight million dollars back. However, Mr. Speaker, I do feel that this ought to be done on the basis of need. It appears to me on the way that this Amendment is written, the counties that receives the most money are the counties where the rich live. Those people that are wealthy, those counties will receive the most money and we have counties...ah...we have one county right now, Mr. Speaker, that's it the papers are correct, that county is for all intents and purposes, broke. I would think that we ought to defeat this... ah...this...ah...Amendment, send it back to the Conference Committee with a mandate that if the counties want seven or eight million dollars that the Conference Committee somehow figure out how this money can be spread through the counties on the basis of need and not on the basis of where the wealthy live and for that reason I'll oppose this Amendment."

Boyle: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Boyle: "He indicates he will."

Tuerk: "Ah...do you have any figures...ah...Representative Yourell broken down by county. For example, you don't know what this would entail as far as Peoria revenue, Peoria County...ah... well overall do you?"

Yourell: "Well I know that I...the figures that were made available to me that it would...ah...return about seven or eight million dollars to the counties from the state."

Tuerk: "Thank you."

Boyle: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi...All right, the Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMasters."

McMasters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To determine who is going to die in which county with how much money you know is impossible to do. Let me point out that this money is in the county in the first place. What right does the state have to any of it, if this is what you want to bring up as an argument. I certainly think you deserve to send back ten per cent

of that to the county for the finances originated in the first

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



place. This should not be state money any of it, for that matter. What right does the state have to levy an inheritance tax on someone who died within another jurisdiction of local government. I see no reason why this should not pass and why the ten per cent should not go back to the counties. I think it certainly should. I think common sense argues this point."

Boyle: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, again, this Legislation has been debated a great deal in the past and I move the previous question."

Boyle: "All right. The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those contrary 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Yourell to close."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I know this is a somewhat controversial issue. I would like, however, in closing to bring up a few points...ah...this Bill was never beaten, as was ...ah...represented, it just did not fail to get 89 votes...ah... when the final Roll Call was put up there because of a fact that a verification was called for last night at a late hour and...ah... the membership did not want to go through that travail so I took it out of the record. It was also claimed that there is no reason or no way for the audit to be reported to the State Treasurer and in the language of the Amendment it says with a report under oath stating for what estate the taxes are paid and the reports so made shall be in such form and contain such particulars as a State Treasurer may prescribe and I...I think that the Bill deserves your attention and I think the Bill has merit and I hope that it will get 89 votes."

Boyle: "All right, Representative Yourell moves that the House adopt Con...the Conference Committee report to House Bill 1146. All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment will vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? All right the Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham to explain his vote."

Cunningham: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I'm voting for this very good Bill because the Chairman of the County Board at home told me that the county needed the money and he also



told me that if we found any Members of the Constitutional Convention here, whether they came from Marion County or elsewhere, they should be reminded, they should be honor bound to support this Bill because it was that Constitutional Convention that created the problem that we seek here to remedy. They took away the three per cent that for years and years prior thereto, was deducted from the tax re...tax collections for payment of county expenses. Now, I submit to you, that my County Chairman was right, it doesn't make a bit of sense for delegates to the County Convention to have not had a solution then and now come and seek to prevent this Legislature from furnishing a solution for the long suffering counties. So you should all be voting green, just as the Chairman of the County Board told me to tell you to do."

Boyle: "All right, the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. We went through this dialogue yesterday at quite length and there wasn't enough votes up there to pass this Conference Committee Report. But let me say why I'm voting against it. First of all, I support the concept that we have to do something for the county government units, but let me say this. We also have already done that. We've helped the counties as much as we possibly can within the revenue producing by the State of Illinois. We took away from the state, the cost of administration over and above what was needed for the actual administration. Now in this, what we're doing, we're giving seven or eight million dollars and I don't know what the figure is, no one seems to have the answers, at least the Sponsor doesn't. What we're saying to our Membership here that we're going to take from the State of Illinois, seven or eight million dollars and give it back to the county. Well that sounds all right, but if you want to vote for an income tax or an increase in gasoline tax or something else next session or maybe even in January, or maybe even in the Fall, then you better take a look at this. This money is always been a part of the revenue of the State of Illinois for many, many years and I'm concerned



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

about state government as well as I am county government but if we're going to operate this state in a fiscally sound basis, then we'd better take those red votes off there and put them on...put those green votes on red."

Boyle: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I listened very intently on the debate on this issue, when it was presented to the House last time I did vote red. However, I did listen very intently, very closely to the arguments presented by Representative Madison. I have to say that I agree wholeheartedly and totally in what he said and because of my agreement with him, urge the Members of this House to vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Boyle: "All right. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill did not receive the necessary votes before, why are we now willing to take state tax money from a state inheritance plan, the money which has always gone to the state and in this time of state emergency and crisis give the money away which we really do not have to counties? If you want to do something for counties, give them the right to tax themselves. If they are sharp they should be willing to tax themselves. We certainly should not take state money out of one pocket and give it back to the county. The only ones who will prosper are the bedroom counties of Lake and Will and Durage. Your small counties downstate and throughout the rest of the state are not going to get their fair share of return if we take it from the state and give it to the counties. You're..."

Boyle: "Excuse me Representative Londrigan. Could we have a little order in the House. This is final passage on a very important measure."

Londrigan: "What we're doing here now, we're going to embarrass ourselves by giving away tax money in a time of state crisis. I urge you to continue to vote 'no'."

Boyle: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted...The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I, in explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker, I hope the Gentleman



from Lawrence is listening. I've just gone through all the proposed Constitutional Amendments and I don't find anyone in there that he has submitted to which proposed to put the personal property tax back on and I've looked real carefully. Now, let me tell you again that this is taking money out of the General Revenue Fund and Representative Madison hit it right on the head. This is not distributing money on the basis of need at all. The General Revenue Fund supports the schools in all of these counties and you're in here for more school aid all the time. You're in here money for the colleges and all of the other institutions that use General Revenue money. Now don't be coming back and wondering why we haven't got any money in here. The counties are already doing pretty well, they get additional money for law enforcement, revenue sharing of all kinds and this is a mistake."

Boyle: "All right, the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm voting 'no' and I hope pays...recognizes what you're doing. You're giving away more state money to the county. So those 105 people that are voting 'yes' on this, next session of the Legislature or next year when the State of Illinois is broke, these same people ought to be willing to stand up and vote 'yes' for an increase in the state income tax. I'm not willing to do that and that's why I'm voting 'no'."

Boyle: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for one question please?"

Boyle: "I believe that's out of order."

Mudd: "Okay, then I, I think that under our Home Rule powers that any county or city who has...ah...the Home Rule option has the right to increase or have a local inheritance tax and I would suggest that those that feel this strong about it, that they should do...ah...do this instead of to take the money out of the state offices that have got so much of it committed already this session anyway. I would hope we all would vote 'no'."

Boyle: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I listened very carefully to Representative Madison's argument and I found in no



way did that argument argue for a 'yes' vote and I would suggest that Representative Peters is talking about other conversations which would provide 103 votes and not the merits of this particular Legislation. We have been reminded time and time again that there's a Fiscal crisis in Illinois. We are also reminded that we have over-appropriated funds, that the legislature, in effect, will be operating cower to the Constitution. Well I might add here that we compound the problem when we give away money and we give away, not on the basis of need, not on the basis of urgent...ah...necessity for example, in Polk County, Hardin County, some downstate county, but we give it away where rich people live and not necessarily on what the budget is or what the necessity of county government is. I would urge a 'no' vote on this particular Bill."

Boyle: "All right. Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 47 'nays', 14 answering 'present'. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan arise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I don't particularly want to take the time of the House for a verification, I'd just like to have it verified so we can have everybody remain on the Roll Call as they are and have no switching. Is there leave or the House to do that?"

Boyle: "Is there leave of the House to have the Roll verified so that there can be no changes without taking the time of the House for...ah... a verification individually? All right. Representative Lucco has requested permission to change to red. Does he have leave? Are there any other changes before we lock it up? All right. If not, on this question there are 102 'ayes', 47 'nos', 14 answering 'present' and the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1146."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, can I assume that this is a verified Roll Call?"

Boyle: "All right. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Having voted on the prevailing side, I move that the vote by which this Conference Committee Report was adopted be reconsidered."

Boyle: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "I move that motion lie on the table."

Boyle: "All right. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook,



Representative Houlihan arise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, does Representative Shea's motion accomplish the same thing that I'm interested in?"

Boyle: "Yes."

Houlihan: "In other words it means that there will be no changes?"

Boyle: "Yes."

Houlihan: "And that no one will be taken off the Roll Call from green to red?"

Boyle: "Yes."

Houlihan: "Thank you."

Boyle: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, has moved to reconsider the vote by which the Conference Committee Report was adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, has moved that that motion lie upon the table. The question is on the motion to table. Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those contrary 'nay', the 'ayes' have it and the motion is tabled."

Bradley: "We've got two or three things to clean up here on, we'll get to them real quick. On the Supplemental Calendar #2 appears House Bill 1881 on Conference Committee Reports. The Gentleman from Cook, the Majority Leader, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1881 is an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent appropriations for the General Assembly. This is substantially the amount of money we received last year in a rearranged order. It gives the Minority Leader and the Speaker some additional funds to spend, it reduces the amount for printing because we will not have a full session next year. It reduces the amount for per diem employees, it removes... reduces from twenty thousand to ten thousand the amount of contingency funds that each the Speaker and the Minority Leader has and it put in an amount for the use of standing Committees for hearings during a time when the General Ass..General Assembly is not in Session. I would move that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1881."

Bradley: "Any question? The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Just one question...ah...Representative Shea, if I may."



Standing Committees, does that include Sub-committees of standing Committees? Thank you."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Could you tell us how much is in for the Standing Committees? It was fifty thousand last year to the best of my memory."

Shea: "We had nothing in last year for Stand Committees. We had in an item of a hundred thousand dollars last year for special Committees of the House. We've reduced that amount of money because of the, there aren't that many special Committees and put it in the use of the Standing Committees."

Skinner: "Could you tell me then, there are two line items, one for special Committees?"

Shea: "Yes."

Skinner: "How much is that?"

Shea: "I don't have the figure in front of me as I remember it was thirty thousand dollars."

Skinner: "And is there, are there more than one special Committee?"

Shea: "As I remember, the number of Committees, thusfar, are only one or two created by this General Assembly."

Skinner: "Okay, now how much is in the other one for regular Committees?"

Shea: "I think, seventy thousand dollars. I tried to take the hundred thousand and split it between the other two items."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you...ah...Ladies and Gentlemen...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that Repres...Representative Shea has covered this quite well...ah...there is a slight increase in the Senate appropriation however, the appropriation for the House operations and Minority Le..Minority Office and the Speakers Office remain the same as Fiscal year 75 and I would ask for your favorable support."

Bradley: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House shall adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1881. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 140 'ayes', 1 'nay', 3 voting 'present' and the House does adopt Conference Committee



Report #1 to House Bill 1881. Mr. Palmer did you wish to...1299, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 1299, House Bill 1299 was sent over to the Senate some months, some weeks ago and they put some Amendments, three Amendments on the Bill which...ah...they're asking us to concur in. The first Amendment they reduced the Commission, the States Attorneys Study Commission to one year which was all right, but they put in some language which in itself was incorrect and in due course we'll ask for nonconcurrence. Ah...Senate Amendment #2, is the same language of a Bill, 3037 that we passed out some time ago, it went over there, I believe, on the 20th and they didn't have time or didn't for some reason put it through proper Committees and the Bill...ah...wasn't gotten out of the Senate so they put or rather they took the...the subject matter of that Bill and put it on 1299 and that Bill has to do with the Illinois Prosecutors Advisory Council and the third...and it's in the same form...ah...as, or substantially the same form as it was when it left here. House Amendment #...or Senate Amendment #3 added four Members...ah...States Attorneys to the States Attorneys Study Commission, which we have no...ah...we feel probably would give some more input to the problems that we're studying. It was at their request, the Senate agreed and I will ask the House then to concur on...ah...for Senate Amendment #2 and 3 and then we'll take up #1 last, if that's all right. So then Mr. Speaker, I do ask that Senate Amendments, that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #2 and 3."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #2 and 3 to House Bill 1299 and that point...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I have...I wanted to raise a point or Parliamentary inquiry at the proper time...but not regarding this particular report."

Bradley: "All right, Mr. Mann, the Gentleman from Cook."

Mann: "Well Mr. Speaker, it's here again the...ah...the bail out Bill for the States Attorneys Association is here again and I...I just can't help but ask you once more to reconsider what you're doing now this Session. You've reinact...reinacted the States Attorneys Study



Commission. Okay, that's fine, now you're appropriating three hundred and fifty thousand dollars for a State's Prosecutors Advisory Council. I don't know whether they're going to merge the two and call them a State's Attorneys Study Commission Prosecutors Advisory Council or not, but I have the impression that the same people are going to be se...sitting on both of these groups. Now, there's absolutely no need for this Bill. Although, I'm sure during the next session that the State's County Treasurers are going to be in the State Public Aid Chairmen are going to be in, the State Public Defenders are going to be in and we're going to have a whole rash of State Associations designed to help each other out with state money. I don't know why we need an Attorney General, frankly, after we pass this particular Bill...ah...and I really don't understand why...ah...certain Members see such great virtue in the Bill. No one has yet told me why they need three hundred and fifty thousand dollars on top of the appropriation for the States Attorneys Study Commission. Nobody at all and I just wish you would take a look at this Bill and just figure out for yourself when the smoke clears where the Attorney General is going to be and I guess the answer is, in the words of Len O'Connor, on State and Madison. If you want that, I would suggest that you vote for this concurrence."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff and I think the Gentleman has moved to adopt these two then he indicated you're going to move to nonconcur with Senate Amndment #1. Isn't that right Mr. Palmer?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker will the Sponsor yield."

Bradley: "You moved on two and three first....All right...two only. He indicates he'll yield for a question sir."

Duff: "Excuse me Mr. Speaker, my question was going to be relative to the four additional Members is that in Amendment one..two or three?"

Mann: "The four additional Members...ah...States Attorneys will be in #3, it's Amendment #3 and they're appointed by the...ah...Speaker of the House, the President of the Senate, the Minority Leader of the House and the Minority Leader of the Senate so that we will keep the bipartisan approach both party wise and as between the two Houses."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am a very firm advocate of the States Attorneys Study Commission. I think it's



done a fine job, it report was an excellent one, it's Chairman is to be commended. I would point out, however, on these concurrences Ladies and Gentlemen of the House and to you Mr. Speaker that when this House tried to get some balance for Public Defenders on the States Attorneys Study Commission, excuse me, on the Prosecutors Advisory Commission, that was refused. I would also point out to you during the course of this Session the States Attorneys...ah... of Illinois have come into this Legislature and after the House passed a Bill providing for the statutory definition of a preliminary hearing and after the Senate passed a Bill doing the same thing, both times by large numbers. The States Attorneys and their Executive Director who says he won't be a Lobbyist, came in here to both Chambers and managed to kill both of those Bills. So we now will have no such Bill no matter how much needed going before the Governor. They further came in here and lobbied us for an enormous pay raise, state wide, which we gave them. We have given the States Attorneys everything they've asked for. They have killed by their lobbying efforts a number of things we've attempted to do and there has been no effort at all to strike a balance in behalf of the Public Defenders in any way, shape or form. I am very much for the Bill on the States Attorneys Study Commission, I voted for it and helped on the Prosecutors Advisory Council, but the combination that's proposed before us, it seems to me, demands a Conference Committee with all points of view represented and I really don't think, with all deference to the Sponsor of this Bill that we should concur under the circumstances. They have done nothing but violate to the best they can the interest of this Legislature in their own behalf and I think we should get some reasonable degree of balance out of them."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put? All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer to close."

Palmer: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This concerns itself with...ah...concurrence on Senate Amendment #2. Now



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

let me answer some questions...or that's been posed...ah... rhetorically, we do not intend to merge the Illinois Prosecutors Advisory Council with the States Attorneys Study Commission. We feel that within one year we will have our work substantially completed so that it will not need going again with. Ah...the Bill itself, the, the thrust of this Council is for training States Attorneys. In many areas of this state, in many counties, we do not have...ah...seasoned Prosecutors. We have, because of the small number of lawyers in those counties, we generally have to take a, the counties generally have to take a young lawyer to be the States Attorney and even in some of those counties it's hard to get anyone to run for that office. Now what we need is a training program, which is the thrust of this Bill and which has been continued for or has been in existence for three years, funded by ILEC and the funding runs out this year and that's the reason for the Bill. We have prosecutors who've grown up during the late fifties and sixties with a social inclination such that if they catch a car thief they don't know whether to kiss him or prosecute him. We need some tougher people in this job and the, this training session of course, this Prosecutors Council will be able then to give them the perspective of prosecut...of protecting societal interest, which is their function and their duty. The laws of this State, in addition it keeps ties prosecutors up on the present law. We have 102 States Attorneys in this state, many of them do not know, if they're young Attorneys, what the ac...expense of the criminal laws are. We've had this...with the ILEC funded program. We provide for interns to interest law students with this program and I believe it to be a necessary function, states, fourty three states, other than Illinois, have this function and I think it is a necessity for this state to adopt the same. If we're to...if they are to discharge the functions of that office. So I would again ask, Ladies and Gentlemen, that you vote affirmatively on...ah...concur on House Amendment #2...I'm sorry, that's Senate Amendment #2, concur in that, adoption of that."

Bradley: "The Gentleman...the Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1299. All those in favor will vote 'aye', opposed will vote 'no'. The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis



to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I can assure this Assembly that this Bill has good merit to it, for this reason. Many lawyers who become States Attorneys are now aware of how to try a criminal case. If you watch your criminal cases properly tried, so that you don't blame judges for the decisions, remember you've got to give them the tools to do it with. This Council will help the States Attorneys of the various counties get together, exchange ideas and citations of cases and ex...and various other facets of trying a decent case so that the Judges will have to hold the way they should instead of being forced to throw cases out on technicalities that inexperienced States Attorneys will be unaware about."

Bradley: "Ave all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 67 'ayes', 48 'nays', 6 voting 'present' and the motions...fails. It failed....Turn Mr. Palmer on, the motion failed Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Well I hope Mr. Speaker that you would recognize me to at least give me a sporting chance of convincing, seeking to convince the Members here the worthiness of this Bill. We passed it out one time..."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, with all due respect to the Gentleman, the Chair has announced to the vote."

Bradley: "And the...the Bill will stay right in the condition it was Mr. Palmer, so you didn't lose it. All right...ah...Mr. Fennessey from. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "I'd like leave of the House to concur in Senate Amendment #3, which adds the four States Attorneys...ah...to the States Attorneys Study Commission."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Conference Committee Report...or pardon me. In Amendment #1 to...Pardon me... Amendment #3 to House Bill 1299. All those in favor will..."

Palmer: "Just a minute...Hold it just a minute Mr. Speaker....All right I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1299. All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. The House does



nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1299."

Palmer: "Now, Mr. Speaker, because of a technical difficulty, a language difficulty with Amendment #1, I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1299. All in favor will signify by voting, by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1299."

Palmer: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I move that a Conference Committee be appointed on Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Bradley: "You don't have to do that, they'll be appointed, we'll have a Conference Committee."

Palmer: "All right."

Bradley: "All right, what do you want to do with 3, so we can get it..."

Palmer: "I would move to concur with #3."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1299. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed...Mr. the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Well I would merely...wanted to ask why the States Attorneys are being brought in on the board, the one dan...the one fault that I see in this Commission is that it seems to have been captured too much by the States Attorneys, I think we need to take some hard looks at States Attorneys and I don't think that's happened yet and if you put four States Attorneys on, that have the Political clout these will need to get put on you're not going to have any criticism of States Attorneys."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Parliamentary Inquiry Mr. Speaker, is...is 3 an Amend...an Amendment to the Bill as amended by 2?"

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Bud it's not, it's an Amendment to the number of...ah...³Members of the Commission. It's a Legislative Commission. Senate Amendment #3 seeks to have four States Attorneys to the number."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wished...Pardon me Mr. Palmer. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 70 'ayes', 28 'nays', 6 voting 'present'."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

and the Gentlemans motion to concur fails. Now you will move to nonconcur. The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1299. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1299. For announcements the Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Fennessey on a motion sir?"

Fennessey: "Yes. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Committee on Elections to which the qualifications challenge to Representative Ronald Stearney by Marvin Dee was assigned which is to submit its final report. The Committee dismissed the context on the grounds the petitioner had not served a copy of the petition on Representative Stearney and had not filed his petition with the Clerk of the House. Both as required by Committee rule 6. The Motion to dismiss was adopted on a Roll Call vote, 13 to 4. The Committee determines it specifically omits from its dismissal any statement or option or motion as to whether or not these petitioners should later be permitted to file another challenge. Thus, the motion does not include leave to refile nor does it contain a statement as to whether dismissal is with or without prejudice. The Committee recommends that this challenge to the resume qualifications of Ronald A. Stearney as the Representative from the 20th Legislative District in the 79th General Assembly be dismissed and action taken by the Committee be approved and adopted by the full House. This was adopted by the Committee on a Roll Call vote of 13 to 4 on June 28, 1975. So I do now move that the House adopt the Committee Report that Ronald Stearney be retained as the Legislative Member from the 20th Legislative District."

Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to adopt the Election Committee Report the...on that point, on that Motion the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's been brought to my attention and I say this in all deference to my profession that this House is being ripped off by lawyers on the fees for these Election Contests. I was shocked to find out that there's presently a request for over thirty thousand dollars in legal fees for three Election Contest which we have to pay for. Now the Sponsor



or the Chairman of the Election has done a remarkable job in handling all these and I find from listening to his reports that in effect he has dismissed the present Election Contest because of incompetence of Council He couldn't even file the right type of..."

Bradley: "Mr. Griesheimer just...just a minute please. Mr. Bluthardt, the Gentleman from Cook on a Point of Order."

Bluthardt: "Point of Order Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. We are considering a Resolution or Report, a Majority Report of the...the Elections Committee. The matter of fees is still under consideration by that Committee. There has been no recommendation by that Committee as yet and it is out of order to discuss these at this time."

Bradley: "I think your point is well taken sir. Now, back to the Motion. The question is on the adoption of the Elections Committee Report on the Ronald Stearney Election Contest. All in favor of adopting the report will vote 'aye' and those opposed will vote 'no'. We'll try to get 89 here Ron. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 143 'ayes', 3 'nays' 1 voting 'present' and the Report is adopted. The Gentleman from LaSalle Mr. Fennessey."

Fennessey: "There is also a Minority Report filed and for this I would like to have you recognize Representative Kempiners."

Bradley: "Mr. Kempiners on a Minority Report."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. There was only one difference between the Majority Report and the Minority Report and basically I'll read the last paragraph of the Minority Report which was signed by four of us. 'It is therefore the Committee Minority recommends that this challenge to the residency qualifications of Ronald A. Stearney as Representative from the 20th Legislative District in the 79th General Assembly be dismissed without prejudice, that the petitioner have leave to refile and that this Report of the Committee Minority be approved and adopted by the full House.' Basically Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the difference between the two Reports is that the challenger under the Minority Report would be, would have the privilege of filing and properly the challenge once again."



The way the Majority report reads, there is some question which the Committee would have to decide if he did file again, whether he would have that legal right. But basically, we are concurring that the challenge should be rejected due to faulty filing with the one difference that we believe that if he files again it ought to be heard.

Bradley: Is there any further discussion? Mr. Kempiners? You just read the Minority Report and is that correct?"

Kempiners: "Yes it is Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "All right. Take the Record Mr. Clerk. All right, so you just filed the Minority Report and want it Journalized, is that it sir?"

Kempiners: "Yes."

Shea: "All right. Resolutions. Oh. I'm sorry, Mr. Madison had a point of Parliamentary Inquiry."

Madison: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I would like, if possible for the Parliamentarian to verify that a motion to table, a motion to reconsider is tantamount to a verified Roll Call."

Shea: "The Gentleman tells me the only thing it does is make sure that it can't be reconsidered sir."

Madison: "Well if I'm not mistaken, Mr. Speaker, when the...when the question was raised by Mr. Houlihan, with Representative Boyle in the Chair as to whether or not the motion to table had the same effect as a verified Roll Call, Representative Boyle as the Speaker at that time indicated that it did, which caused Representative Houlihan to recant to his request for leave to verify."

Shea: "Well it prevents reconsideration and I would assume sir that when that Roll Call went upstairs, the Rules of this House specifically are that no Member can change his vote without leave of the Body and the Clerks have been instructed at least when I've been in this Chair, that after a Roll Call is taken that nobody will come up and change their vote and if you notice Mr. Lucco wanted to do that and the Gentleman that was then in the Chair asked if he had leave of the House to do it. Because this, we ran into this problem one other time when I was in the Chair when somebody suggested that Members go up to the well and change their votes and I have specifically, when I've been in the Chair, told the Clerk that no Member would change their vote without doing it from the Floor of the House."



Madison: "Then what you're telling me Mr. Speaker, that it is not a verified Roll Call."

Shea: "No sir, it is not."

Madison: "Thank you."

Shea: "Resolutions. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, in response to Representative Madisons inquiry, I would like to order a transcript of the proceedings at that time. Because I recall that the Chair gave leave for that Roll Call to be verified."

Shea: "Well now that's another thing. That's a different question, isn't it sir?"

Houlihan: "That is responsive to Representative Madisons request."

Shea: "No sir...no sir, Mr. Madison is talking about once a motion to reconsider is made and tabled if that verified it. Now the question you...."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker If I..."

Shea: "The question you raised sir is you made a statement to the then Speaker, Representative Boyle, that if you could get leave of the House to have that as a verified Roll Call. As I remember I was on the Floor. The Gentleman then asked leave of the House. There was leave granted and as I, that's what I understood on that. The Gentleman from Moultrie...er...from McLean...Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that the House rec...er...recess for an hour and a half, till 7 O'clock and we'll be back in..."

Shea: "7:15 Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "All right 7:15, we'll be back in session then at 7:15 so I now move that the House recess till 7:15."

Shea: "All in favor say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House is now in recess until 7:15, 7-1-5."

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor, will you please retire to the Gallery?"

Bradley: "The House will be in order. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate



Amendment 1 to House Bill 600 and request a second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report to Amendment to Senate Bill 1384 and request a second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1089 and request a Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to House Bill 2439 and request a Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to a request of the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to a request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1270, that's 1297. Action taken by the Senate, no date. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of Amendments #1, 5 and 6 to a Bill of the following title. Senate Bill 629. I am further directed to inform the House the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment #4. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title to-wit: House Bills #914, 957, House Bill 914 together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as Amended, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright



Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 957, together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as amended, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of the Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 1071, together with Amendments Passed by the Senate as amended, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives in passage of a Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill #1996 together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as amended, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives in passage of a Bill with the following title, to-wit: House Bill 2036, together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as amended, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill with the following title, to-wit: House Bill #2076, together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as amended, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 3058 together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as amended, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 3097 together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

amended, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further Messages."

Bradley: "On the House Calendar, on the Supplemental #3 appears House... a Motion by Mr. Rayson on House Bill 1820. To take from the Committee on Judiciary I, Interim Study Calendar and place on the Fall Calendar."

Rayson: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would ask leave of the House to...ah..."

Bradley: "Hold it a minute, they were distributing...you...the Pages... Supplemental #3. Well hold that, take that out of the record then. Let's go back to Supplemental #2. I suggest the House stand at ease for a few minutes until some of the Membership arrives, so we can go to Conference Committee Reports on Supplemental Calendar #2. On the Supplemental 2 and 3 on the Order of Concurrences, I'm wondering if there are any nonconcurrence Motions or refusal to recede. Mr...I'll go down, 914, Mr. Mahar, are you going to concur in 914? All right, can't move it. 957. Mr. Winchester, going to on 957, you going to concur? 1996, Mrs. Young is not here. Pouncey on 2076, is he back there? Mr. Tipword back there? The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipword?"

Tipword: "I understand you wanted to know what I was going to do on House Bill 289. As soon as the Amendments are available, I'm going to concur, but I need the Amendments there to be able to tell them what happened."

Bradley: "Thank you sir. Okay, now let's go back to...ah...Supplemental #3 on the Gentlemans motion. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson on his motion."

Rayson: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House...ah...This is a motion and I've asked for leave of the House to take from the Interim Study Calendar, House Bill 1820 and place it on the Fall Calendar. This Bill is the Public Records Access Act. It's a result of the Governors Commission on right of privacy and it was on that Consent List on the night of May 23rd and it was...ah...sort of taken off by attrition and...ah...we tried to make a Committee Bill of this and set it up for that purpose but...ah...we got tied up on Malpractice and tied up with subpoenas of insurance companies and didn't get the time to make it a Committee Bill and it had a full hearing in



Committee and I would ask for leave of the House to move this to the Fall Calendar."

Bradley: "Are there any objections? I think I hear objections. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, the...the Rules specifically state that the Committee must vote the Bill out...from the Committee and...ah...I don't think that this is proper...ah...I, I don't really know what we're accomplishing in doing it."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I...I believe that the issue that's being discussed at this moment was brought up about three or four days ago and there has been a differential made between the Bills that will be on the Fall Calendar and those that have been assigned to Interim and I asked as a Parliamentary Inquiry whether this can be done. That is removing it from Interim and placing it back on the Fall Calendar, particularly those Bills that were on Third Reading as of the night of May 23rd. and I believe it's definitely unfair not to permit us the privilege of moving these Bills back to the Fall Calendar. I think this is wrong, basically we'll lose our identity to the Bill and secondly it has to undergo the scrutiny of a Committee again and it already has done this and I think in all fairness we should be given this privilege, of taking from the Interim and placing them on the Fall Calendar and I so move."

Bradley: "Well, I understand from the Speaker, the only thing that will be on the Fall Calendar, if you adopt this, will be the Gentleman's motion....So we're not really, if I understand correctly...."

Merlo: "What do you mean? By leave of the House, you can do anything. Can't you... I..."

Bradley: "Right...This will be the Gentleman's motion. The motion will appear on the Fall Calendar. We're not...I don't see how we can.... What actually he's asking us to do here is discharge the Committee if I understand the motion and the way it's written, to take from the Committee on Judiciary I....Is that correct, is that where the Bill is, Mr. Rayson? That's what your motion states."

Rayson: "Well, the motion was to...ah...take from the Interim and...and put it on the Fall Calendar. Now this is a unusual procedure because



of the May...ah...23rd evening from which this Bill passed and then it was subsequently in Limbo and..ah..I had spoken to the Majority Leader about this and he said something about there would be a policy decision about some of these matters later on but...ah...I know Representative Downs has a motion and that it was my understanding it would be entertained. First this Bill had full Committee hearing and it would have...it would have emerged as a Committee Bill but we got tied up on Malpractice and we didn't get around to it and I would hope that the leave would...the House would give me leave.... I don't know if...ah...I really don't want to make a motion to discharge...ah...unless you insist Mr. Speaker, but I would ask for leave."

Bradley: "Well you haven't receive leave, Mr. Rayson. It would take 107 votes to do that so I would suggest with the few Members that we have we'd better take this out of the record for right now."

Rayson: "All right."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "I just want to point out that I...on House Bill 136, which is mine. I did place the mo...I did place this motion and you did put it on for the Fall Calendar. That's House Bill 136...ah...no you put it on...you put it on the Study Calendar, Environmental Energy and Natural Resources, but it was done. You threw it back, it had gone through the...gone...it had been defeated, do not pass and then I mad...filed a motion and then we put it, because of the...ah...that one night when we passed those two hundred Bills on two Roll Calls, I went along with the Speaker and we put it on the Fall, to a...ah...back on the Study Calendar and I think that...ah...Representative Rayson should be given all the opportunity to put it on the Fall Calendar that he wishes. I am receiving letters from Historical Societies at Shelby, Macon County and other places to try to get this Bill out."

Bradley: "The Chair didn't say that he couldn't do it sir. The Chair said that he needs 107 votes. There was objection, he couldn't get leave, so I suggested it to him and he took it out of the record."

Borchers: "Well perhaps if he waits until some more are here we can argue them into doing it."



Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs."

Downs: "Well, I think that there is a misunderstanding of what Representative Rayson is seeking. There have been a number of Bills which got caught in the May 23rd crunch. They either were no where to reach that night or they got put on a user list and subsequent to Many 23rd these Bills, which had passed Committee, which were on the Order of Third Reading, there had been leave granted by this House to place them on the Fall Calendar. This is not the same situation as a Bill that went into Interim Study as a result of Committee action and what I believe Representative Rayson is seeking to do, as I seek to do with two Bills on the motion call, is to simply take these Bills that have been on the Order of Third Reading, that...ah...by virtue of proclamation from the Chair on the night of May 23rd, all got thrown in to a very ambiguous situation, sometimes referred to as Fall Calendar, sometimes referred to as Interim Study and a number of motions have been made in the last several days or the last week which have taken such Bills, with no objection and simply placed them on a Fall Calendar for Third Reading consideration which is where they were before."

Bradley: "The difference though Mr. Downs is that there were objections on this motion so that we...."

Downs: "I...if I understand...I think the Gentleman does not understand..."

Bradley: "No, I think he's understood very well but he'll need 107 votes to do that and there's still some question in the Chairs mind about where that Bill is. The motion says to take from the Committee.. I don't know..I don't know that the Bill. Was the Bill voted out of Committee and got to the...gotten on the Calendar."

Downs: "Yes...Yes...yes."

Bradley: "Then the motion's not properly written."

Downs: "That may be the case, yes. My motion is not that way."

Bradley: "I...suggest that you get another motion because it says to take from the Committee on Judiciary I, Interim Study Calendar and place on the Fall Calendar. That's not what you want to do.

Evidently that Bill is on the Interim Study and you want to put it on the Fall Calendar. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Well, ...ah...I didn't frame a motion to take from Committee.



The motion was to take from the Interim Study..."

Bradley: "That's not the way it's written on the Calendar."

Rayson: "Well, but that's the...way I wrote the motion was differently.

I hope that he's got a copy of the motion which might correct that...ah...technicality...I wrote it right."

Bradley: "He's right...You wrote it right sir, it's wrong on the Calendar, we'll take care of it."

Rayson: "Thank you."

Bradley: "The next Supplemental we'll have it in...that was part of my problem in understanding what you were trying to do so...ah we'll take care of it. On the order...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "What is the disposition of the Gentleman's motion?"

Bradley: "He's...We're going to correct the Gentleman's motion on the next one and I'm going to declare this motion as lost. On Supplemental #3, the Gentleman's motion on House Bill 1820 is lost. All right now on nonconcurrences on the Supplemental #3, Mr. Kozubowski on a motion."

Kozubowski: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that the House recede from House Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 629. This Amendment deals with the FEPC and I would move that the House recede from it."

Bradley: "Representative Kozubowski moves to recede from House Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 629. The question is, shall the House recede from Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 629? All those in favor...The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Bradley: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Houlihan: "Ah...Representative Kozubowski, you mentioned FEPC affirmative action. This is not related to the Bill which you're Sponsoring to destroy the two party system is it?"

Kozubowski: "I'm not aware of that Bill Representative."

Houlihan: "But this is not related to it right?"

Kozubowski: "I'm not aware of the Bill you're talking about Representative."

Houlihan: "So you would assume this is not related to it?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, I think I've answered his question."

Houlihan: "Thank you very much. This is not related, for those who are interested, to the Bill to kill the two party system."

Bradley: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield a question?"

Bradley: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Friedrich: "I went through a series of protests about having this Amendment put on and I'd like at least just one explanation of why we're racing to take it off."

Kozubowski: "Well Representative, if You'll remember at the time I agreed with you in Appropriations."

Friedrich: "I know, that's what I explained to them when they were putting it on."

Kozubowski: "We're taking it off now."

Bradley: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Merlo. You're seeking recognition, Mr. Merlo? The Chair Rec...Mr. Merlo from Cook."

Merlo: "Have we left the issue relative to removing Bills from...ah... What, what is our subject matter at the moment: Oh. I do not want to be recognized, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "The Chair recognizes, is there anyone else who seeks recognition? The question is, shall the House recede from Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 629. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. This requires 89 votes and is final action. Someone push Mr. Duff's switch 'no'. Mr. Schuneman, would you push Mr. Duff 'no'. Someone push Mr. Greiman 'aye'. Mr. Holewinski, would you push Mr. Greiman 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', 7 'nos' 3 voting 'present' and the House recedes from Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 629. Mr. McPartlin do you wish to move on Senate Bill 477? Mr. Lechowicz is handling that Bill? Mr. Lechowicz are you prepared to move to nonconcur on Senate Bill 477? On the order of nonconcurrence on Supplemental Calendar #3 appears Senate Bill 477. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I



move that the House do not concur on Amendments to Senate Bill 477 and a Conference Committee be created. Do not recede..."

Bradley: "Mr. Lechowicz moves do not recede?"

Lechowicz: "From 1, 3, 4 and 5. Do not recede."

Bradley: "Mr. Lechowicz moves, do not recede from House Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 477 and that a Conference Committee be established. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed. The 'ayes' have it. For what purpose does the Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen arise?"

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, Parliamentary Inquiry...ah...you know I see on the...ah...Supplemental Calendar #2 now, where we have a...a second Conference Committee...ah...is there any...is there a restriction in our ruling as to how many Conference Committees could be formed? Can it go on forever or..."

Madigan: "There's no provision in the rules for the restriction of the number of Conference Committees Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Thank you...Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker...ah... Mr. Speaker, relative to my Parliamentary Inquiry, is there a limit as to the number of Conference Committees in the Senate?"

Shea: "That I can't tell you sir."

Ebbesen: "Well we should know their rules also sir, would you find out?"

Shea: "Sir, by tradition, there have been a limit of two."

Ebbesen: "Thank you."

Shea: "Hoffman, G. L."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, in regard to that inquiry, it's my understanding from recent experiences that I just had that there is a limit of two Conference Committees in the Senate and so in effect...ah... with the joint rules we are, we are in that same posture."

Shea: "In other words what you're saying sir, is, we might go on forever they at the end of two can not hear anymore."

Hoffman: "That is correct and while I have your attention Mr. Speaker, I would like to ...ah... make a motion that...ah...House Bill 2156, which is presently on the Interim Study Calendar, be placed on the Fall Calendar."

Shea: "Sir, I talked to the Speaker and would you make that in the form of a written motion and it will appear on the Fall Calendar, the motion"



Hoffman: "Yes...Thank..."

Shea: "What to putting a motion on the Calendar?"

Schlickman: "I object to anything on the Fall Calendar."

Shea: "I understand sir. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. J. David Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to ask leave to be recorded 'aye' on House Bill 1851 yesterday. Amendments 1, 2 and 3 on concurrences of the abortion Bill. I was in support of the Legislation but was off the Floor in a Conference Committee Action and I would appreciate as being recorded 'aye', it does not change the results."

Shea: "Is there leave? Hearing no objection it so be ordered. The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask leave of the House that House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 31 be placed on the Fall Calendar."

Shea: "Mr. Choate, with regards to that could you file a motion and a motion...you're talking about House Joint Resolutions Sir?"

Choate: "Right."

Shea: "Those were all done this morning."

Choate: "On the Fall Calendar?"

Shea: "Yes sir."

Choate: "Thank you."

Shea: "On 477 is Mr. McPartlin are you handling that or who's handling that?...That's done? On the order of Concurrence on Supplemental Calendar #3. Mr. Mahar, where are we with you sir?"

Mahar: "Ah...I move to concur."

Shea: "What does the Amendment do sir?"

Mahar: "Ah...Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur In Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 914. It...ah... just adds a few dollars to the appropriation. Twenty thousand dollars to be exact to the appropriation."

Shea: "What was the original appropriation?"

Mahar: "The original appropriation was a request for a hundred thousand dollars. It was cut to twenty thousand dollars, this adds it back to fourty thousand."

Shea: "Is there any...could we have some order in the Chamber please?"



We're now on Supplemental Calendar #3 on House Bill 914. Mr. Mahar. Mr. Mahar with regards to Senate Amendment #1 moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 914. Is there discussion? The Gentleman so moves. This is final action and requires 89 votes. All those in favor will vote 'aye' those opposed will vote 'nay' and it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 5 'nays', 3 Members voting 'present' and House Bill 914, the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 914. On the Order of the Speakers Tab...or on House Bills...Supplemental #2. Supplemental Calendar #2 appears House Bill 396 and on that question, on Conference Committee Report appears House Bill 396 and on that question the Lady from St. Clair Miss, Younge."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move that we do adopt the Conference Committees Report. They're only technical errors and they've straightened out the technical errors."

Shea: "The Lady moves that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 396. Is there any questions? On that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "She indicates she will."

Schlickman: "Would you please indicate what the technical corrections are. I noticed by the Conference Committee Report that the Senate should recede from Senate Amendments #1 and 3. Now what are Senate Amendments 1 and 3?"

Younge: "Ah...Senate.."

Shea: "Would you wait a minute sir? Could the Members please be in their seats? Could the Members please be in their seats....Proceed."

Younge: "Yes...ah...Mr. Schlickman. Senate Amendment #1...ah...stated that the authority would not exercise its powers within the jurisdiction of a municipality without the expressed approval of the governing body of that municipality. The Amendment as written, underlines that language...ah...that was a technical error because this is a new Act and the language of an old Act was not being changed or added to so the technical error was the underlining and this Report corrects that. Senate Amendment #3...ah...had the numbers of a paragraph



incorrect and...ah...the...ah...Conference Committee Report makes it proper...ah...in the placement coming right after Section 25. So there's just two technical errors."

Schlickman: "All right, now House Bill 396 is the Bill that would create the depressed areas land use and Community Development Act?"

Young: "That's correct."

Schlickman: "Now do I understand correctly that by your Conference Committee Report the Senate will recede from Senate Amendments 1 and 3 but then you incorporate 1 and 3 in their technically correct form. Is that correct?"

Young: "That's correct."

Schlickman: "And by Senate Amendment #1, the powers contained in this Act shall not be exercised by the authority within the boundaries of any municipality or within the boundaries of any territory over which a municipality has jurisdiction unless the exercise of those powers therein have been approved by the governing body, the municipality?"

Young: "That's correct."

Schlickman: "And that by, Senate Amendment #3, which is incorporated in the Conference Committee Report. The powers contained in this Act shall not be exercised by the authority in any unincorporated area unless the exercise of those powers therein have been approved by the governing body of the county in which such powers are proposed to be exercised?"

Young: "So this authority couldn't do anything unless a municipality whether or not it was a Home Rule municipality, could be Home Rule or not Home Rule, couldn't do anything unless a municipality and by Amendment #3, couldn't do anything unless a County Board requested the authority to act on its behalf?"

Young: "Approved it."

Schlickman: "By what vehicle would a municipality, either through its City Council or through its Village Board, on the cases of the county to it, County Board of Supervisors, by what vehicle or mechanism would it invoke the authority of the development authority? Would that be by ordinance, resolution or referendum or what?"

Young: "By Resolution...ah...ah...asking for the passage of an ordinance"



I would think that the authority would determine a depressed area, the boundry lines and if it should decide that it wanted to do planning or implantation there it would request of the City Council or the County Board to pass the Resolution and...ah...should the municipality or county wish its services then it would adopt but if not then it would deny and...ah...my theory has always been that the authority was not wanted in fact, they would have twenty other places where it would be wanted."

Schlickman: "Now, with regard to the action of the...ah...County Board or the governing body of a municipality. Is it a yes or no situation? Yes we want the authority act, no we don't want the authority act? Will the municipality or county have any authority to determine what this new super agency will do with regards to depressed areas and community development. What I'm wondering is...ah...Representative is there any check in balance once the municipality or the county gives a green light to the authority?"

Young: "Yes, I think the Amendment gives the check in balance. It would have to specifically approved of what actions it would take, what powers it would attempt to use...ah...also provided by the Act you'll remember is the local citizens committee having to approve any plan and in the authority board and of course we've got in addition to that the statewide board appointed by the Governor and all of those are checks and balances."

Schlickman: "Well, may I proceed Mr. Speaker. Once the municipality or County Board gives the green light."

Shea: "Can I ask a question here sir? Are you still are you carrying on a colloquy or are you asking questions?"

Schlickman: "Well Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. We have here and I guess I may, might as well address myself to the measure if I may Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "The...the only question I raised Mr. Schlickman is that trying to get some order. Now let me get some order then you can address yourself to the Bill sir. Would the Members please be in their seats. Proceed Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. We have in House Bill 396 the creation of a new authority. One entitled the depressed



areas land use and community development authority. Now in an attempt to get this Bill passed the Sponsor has accepted two Amendments which are contained in the Conference Committee Report and which say that the authority can not act until it has been authorized to do so by a municipality or by a county board. The concern I have, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House is that once that authority is given by a municipality or county board the umbilical cord has been cut there is no continuing control by the effected municipality or the effected county board as to the activities of this authority, which is a new super kind of agency operating in very, very sensitive areas. Areas of land development, now this is an authority that will have a very broad planning and developmental functions an authority that would be operating under enabling Legislation that uses the words depressed area. Now I, what the definition will be given to depressed area, heaven only knows and there are the words blighted areas, heaven only knows what definition will be given ...ah...to those words. Now Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, not only with this authority have the authority once the umbilical cord is cut to go into land use matters but it will have the authority to issue revenue bonds for such projects, schools, librarys, parks and the question..."

Shea: "Will you bring your remarks to a close sir?"

Schlickman: "Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I just don't think that a control that should be exercised on this authority are contained in the Conference Committee Report and I respectfully suggest with all due deference to the Sponsor of this Bill, who is moving that we concur with the Conference Committee Report, I respectfully suggest that a 'no' vote would be appropriate and that this matter should go back to a second Conference Committee Report to review the matter. So I urge a 'no' vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Miller. T."

Miller: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "She indicates she will."

Miller: "Miss Youngue. Can you tell me whether or not we have provided any funding for this authority to work in the next fiscal year?"

Youngue: "No...I have withdrawn any requests for any funding in this fiscal..."



fiscal year. I...ah...my indications are that we possibly will be able to obtain Federal funds for the planning phase and soe therefore I have withdrawn my request for state funding."

Miller: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker..."

Shea: "Yes sir."

Miller: "I am unable to hear Mrs. Younge's reply."

Shea: "Could we have some order. Proceed."

Younge: "My answer is that no, I have withdrawn my request for state funding at this time because I believe that it will be possible to get federal planning funds to implement the first work program year for the new authority."

Miller: "In the Acts, what bonding authority does this authority have in order to implement the project you're referring to?"

Younge: "The...ah...Act specifically provides that...ah...the new authority would come up with a comprehensive redevelopment plan for the specific boundry lines for of the depressed areas. That plan would be presented to the General Assembly, that plan would include a sound financial phase or portion or element of it and then which would be the bonding capacity and if the General Assembly approved that plan including the bonding authorization requested then the implementation would begin. That is a very, very conservative approach to a very difficult problem."

Miller: "Can you tell me Mrs. Younge..."

Shea: "Bring your remarks to a close sir."

Miller: "I'm...ah...in questions yet Mr. Speaker. Mrs. Younge can you tell me what type of bonds the authority would...ah...be able to issue if given approval by the General Assembly?"

Younge: "The General Assembly would have to make that decision. What kind, if any, the authority would have permission to issue. Certainly it is anticipated that it will be able to...to issue revenue bonds or bonds that do not call for the full face in credit in the State of Illinois. Whether it would be able to issue any other kind of Bonds would be a decision specifically to be made by the General Assembly at a later date."

Miller: "As I read the Bill Mrs. Younge. Correct me if I'm wrong, it... ..it, they are limited to issuing Revenue Bonds, is that correct?"



Younge: "If approved by the General Assembly."

Miller: "All right, now, they have authority or they would be given authority to build schools, libraries, streets, parks, water improvements. Can you tell me..... can you tell me....."

Younge: "If approved by the General Assembly."

Miller: "All right, can you tell me how a street or a park or development is going to produce revenue in order to be able to pay the revenue bonds?"

Younge: "The revenue ah..... bearing portions of the plan would be the industrial buildings, would be the housing buildings, the residential buildings, the recreational buildings, would in all possibility be the result of revenue bonds financing. Those three elements, the comprehensive redevelopment plan, would be the subject matter of bonds."

Miller: "Mr. Speaker, I would like briefly to address myself to the Bill. I think we're making an error here in approving concurrence motion. The Bill in its original form gave this authority 11 billion dollars of bond power. They no longer have any bonding power. They no longer have any funds to operate in the forthcoming year. It is the duplication of efforts today by the Illinois Housing Development Authority, the Capital Development Board, the Illinois Office of Education, the Department of Local Governmental Affairs. The Revenue bonds are not going to be able to be sold for many of things that Mrs. Younge talks about. I think we would be better served by non-concurring in the Motion, and referring it back to Conference Committee to help work out the problems. Thank you, Sir."

Shea: "The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for a point of parliamentary inquiry. I thought that the Order of business was concurrence with the ah..... Report that we have before us and not the substance of the Bill that has already passed this House, and I wish that the Speaker would confine their remarks to that concurrence report and the material contained in it rather than trying to debate the merits of the Bill again."

Shea: "Your point is well taken. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."



Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put. All in favor will say 'aye'. All opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Lady from St. Clair, Miss Younge, to close."

Younge: "I move that the ah.... House accept or adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Shea: "The Lady moves that the House do concur in Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 396. All in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final Action and takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, to explain his vote."

Borchers: "I've had a good deal of experience along these lines and I don't want to take the time of the House, but I'll tell you right now, it would be one of the most unprofitable ventures this House will ever go into. All you have to do is look what has happened with housing and tenement housing and apartment housing and so on in St. Louis, for example. In my own community, I'm well aware of. We ourselves have been connected with building and projects of this nature, and this will be a most unprofitable ah.... adventure. I suggest you vote 'no'."

Shea: "Is there any further debate? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to explain his vote."

Deuster: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is an extremely complicated piece of legislation, involving extensive and technical powers of government, new powers of government, and since there is no appropriation for it, there's no reason in the world that this House and this General Assembly could not leave this matter pending and perhaps take it up on the fall calendar, and I would suggest voting 'no', so this matter might be deferred a little bit and given more thought, especially knowing that there is no appropriation and there's really no urgency in adopting this. I urge your 'no' vote."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington, wishes to explain his 'aye' vote."



Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I dissent vigorously from the previous speaker. I think there is an urgency involved here, to the various funding mechanism for this Bill, but the people to whom Mrs. Younge is addressing herself and presumably you are concerned about, need at this point, at least some hope and this Bill gives us hope at a minimum. She has worked vigorously, exhaustingly throughout the entire five and a half months on this piece of legislation for your consideration and acceptance. I think she's touched base with every person on this floor and I think she's made her point very clear. She comes from an area which is in dire need of this kind of rejuvenation and economic shot in the arm. And the alternative to this is only a first step, is that the area will become more deprived. May I have some order, Mr. Speaker? Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Yes, Sir?"

Washington: "May I have some order? And that's the alternative to not adopting something along this nature, is to make a depressed area of virtual waste land. I do not see why we temporize with this type of legislation. Four or five nights ago, we voted out a billion dollars with a mere fare-the-well and a few ha has, for those who had succeeded in putting pork into the barrel, and now we're hung up on mere technicalities, which if they exist at all can readily be taken care of. I think this young lady has made a tremendous case for us in the General Assembly to look like, not only at my City of Chicago, who also needs this, but to look at other parts of the State. East St. Louis, a budding, thriving area with tremendous reservoirs of talent, untouched and won't be touched, unless you, the General Assembly reach down with some degree of humanitarianism and above all common sense and say 'yes', we're going to build this area. You're entitled to it. The niggers in East St. Louis are waiting for this. No money. There's hope in this Bill and anybody who can't vote for hope ain't no hope for them. I urge you to support this Bill."

Shea: "Is there any further debate? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, to explain his vote."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I have a good deal of respect for the Gentleman who just spoke, but I should think



he, as much as anybody, is tired of the word 'hope', wants action. There is nothing, there is absolutely nothing that will produce action. There's no money. There is the restriction as to the control by municipalities and by county units, but once they should give their approval if they do, there is nothing this authority can do. And then you look at the enabling act and it just consists of a lot of vagory. It's window dressing. It's wash. It ought to be defeated and reliance should be given upon the existing agencies that do have the authority, that do have the ability to accomplish an end effectively and expeditiously. I think, as I say, it's window dressing and it's something that should be defeated for what it's worth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen, to explain his vote."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to make one observation here, that ah.... as was indicated by a previous speaker, Representative Younge has worked long and hard on this. It is ah.... a very extensive proposal and the fact that there is no money involved, no money involved. The reason I address myself to this, I had two proposals in this General Assembly, in this Seession, in which there was no appropriation involved. It was creating an act, which, as she indicated in her testimony here before this House, that ah.... there was a possibility of getting Federal funds, and I think when we can create an Act, which would create a vehicle with a possibility that the Federal government will come in and do something, in this particular area, I think it merits an 'aye' vote and I would encourage everybody to get on green."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, to explain his vote."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the Motion to adopt this Conference Committee Report on House Bill 396. I think the issue is very well presented by Representative Washington, when he explained that this proposal is designed to save a vital area of our State. No one can dispute the argument that the East St. Louis area, with its industry with its strategic location, within the midwest, to urge this kind of legislative act, and I don't think anyone has said that it is not needed. Certainly if the officials in that area who are desirous of



saving their area, of rehabilitating it, of making it a viable place to live, to work, to play, that we should vote for this proposal, and I'm astonished at certain people who are not voting for it. It's good legislation and should be enacted into law."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Miller, to explain his vote."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I can't ah... anymore heartily agree with Representative Madigan and Representative Washington with regards to the efforts of the Sponsor. From the very beginning of this Bill, in subcommittee and our Cities and Villages Committee, I know she has been working very diligently to solve a problem. Nevertheless, when you look at the Bill, there is another provision in the Bill that will not permit a revenue bond to be sold for more than 7%, and in today's market there is no way of selling a revenue bond for 7%, number one. Number 2, there is no bonding authority. Number 3, there are no funds for the authority and we're only kidding ourselves and deluding ourselves by voting for this measure in its present form. We're not going to deliver anything for the depressed areas in the State of Illinois in the forthcoming year by voting for this Bill. Absolutely nothing."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let me respond to one of the previous speakers who talked about hope. I would ask him, where were all those unemployed people who hoped to receive their unemployment loan a Monday ago? Where were all those people that had empty promises made to them? Promises that almost have a self-destructing clause? One of the problems that we have in the General Assembly is that many times, we make promises with no intention or no ability to keep them. There were some in the unemployment line, who were expecting to get a loan, because of the serious problem we've had. What's happened to those people? I suggest that their hope has been diminished, not increased. What's happened to those people who have been given a promise without a pledge? What happened to those people who have been given a vehicle without wheels and I....."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on a Point of Order."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I don't think the Gentleman's addressing himself to the Bill."

Shea: "Would you please address yourself to this piece of legislation, Mr. Houlihan?"

Houlihan: "Certainly, Mr. Speaker and I realize your quickness to recognize Mr. Lechowicz might be indictative of my being off the topic. I would suggest that this Bill, as many other Bills, is the worst part of politics. It's promising the people something that we can't deliver, and I think that's wrong, and I'd ask for a 'no' vote."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 103 'ayes', 38 'nays', 19 Members voting 'present' and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 396. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Just on a mild, nonadversary point of personal privilege, the Gentleman addressed some questions to me relative to hope....."

Shea: "I don't think this....."

Washington: "I didn't sponsor those Bills, nor did I hold out that they would do anything. I think we must make distinctions clear.."

Shea: "I'd like to announce the following conference committee re.....
I announced that this was the Conference Committee was adopted. Report #1. The following Conference Committees have been appointed by the Speaker. On Senate Bill 478, Mr. Craig, Mr. Bradley. Mr. Farley. Mrs. Kent and Mr. Cunningham. On 510, the Department of Labor, Mr. Washington, Mr. Bradley, Mr. Taylor, Mr. Ryan and Mr. McAvoy. On 555, Mr. Barnes, Mr. Bradley, Mr. Mann, Mr. Ryan and Mr. Campbell. On 556, Mr. Tipsword, Mr. Bradley, Mr. Yourell, Mr. Peters and Mr. Ryan. On 632, Mr. Lechowicz, Mr. Bradley, Mr. Byers, Mr. Cunningham, and Mr. Peters. On 635, Mr. Barnes, Mr. Bradley, Mr. Ewell, Mr. Peters and Mr. Ryan. 348, Beaupre, Bradley, Garmisa, Totten and Ryan. 471, Keller, Bradley, Berman, Ryan and Peters. 484. Hart, Bradley, Huff, Totten and Winchester. All right, the Conference Committees on 556, 348, 471 and 484 will meet in 212 now, immediately. Messages from the Senate."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Jack O'Brien: "Message....."

Shea: "Yes, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "I certainly don't want to be on any of those Conference Committees, but what is designed in the appointment of these Conference Committees? It's impossible for one person to be on every Committee and come back with a recommendation. Are you people deliberately stalling long enough to keep us in, not only tonight, tomorrow and the next day? I think that's ridiculous. When you 101 Democrats in this House of Representatives and you put one individual on every Conference Committee, when you know and we know, it's impossible for that individual to attend each and every meeting. I'd like to know what the Democratic Leadership is trying to do and trying to accomplish. I think you people are stalling. I think it's disgraceful and as far as I'm concerned, I think it's ridiculous."

Shea: "Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "Message from the Senate, by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representative the Senate concurring with the House of Representatives, in the passage of the Bill with the following title, to wit: House Bill 3118, together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Preamble and Joint Resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution 47, adopted by the Senate June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Shea: "On the Order of Conference Committees appears House Bill 1453, the Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I would like to move at this time that we adopt the second conference committee report on House Bill 1453, and the second committee report moves it back, the Bill back to where it was when they first presented it and if you'll recall, this is a series of 11 Bills and this is the only one that has been tied up and the Senate offered an Amendment to, and I move at this time that we adopt this Committee Report."



Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1453. On that, any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "You are recommending in this Committee Report that the Senate recede from Senate Amendment #1. Would you please describe Senate Amendment #1."

Deavers: "Senate Amendment #1 excluded all counties of 500,000 or more."

Schlickman: "May I address myself, Mr. Speaker, to the Conference Committee Report?"

Shea: "Pardon me, Sir."

Schlickman: "I'd like to address myself....."

Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, let me tell you what House 1453 and Senate Amendment #1 is all about. House Bill 1453 amends the Fire Protection District Act. And it would, in its original form, remove the General Assembly power of employment with regard to trustees of fire protection districts for those districts that overlap counties. Now these fire protection districts are contained of trustees who have tax levying authority and who appoint a Treasurer and they appoint an attorney. You would think, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that they would be representative, philosophically of the area that is encompassed by the district which they represent. Now, what Senate Amendment #1 did was to exempt specially, and we'll call a spade a spade, would have exempted the county of Cook. There are fire protection districts that overlap between suburban Cook and adjoining counties, and by Senate Amendment #1, instead of the president of the County Board of Cook County, participating in the selection of these trustees, it would be left with Members of the General Assembly. The first conference committee report recommended that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 and I was very disappointed that that conference committee report wasn't adopted. The Sponsor of the Bill for some reason recommended that we do not adopt. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, those fire protection



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

districts are suburban in nature and it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that those trustees should be appointed by individuals, and more specifically representatives in the General Assembly, and Senators, who are from those areas who know the problems in those areas, rather than having the trustees appointed by a Gentleman in the County Building at Clark and Washington, and by whom and through these trustees appointed by him would be appointed County; excuse me, would be appointed fire protection district treasurers and fire protection district lawyers. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, on behalf of suburban Cook County, that would like to be represented by people who are from suburban Cook County and are sensitive to the problems of suburban Cook County, I urgently recommend and solicit your 'no' vote on the adoption of Conference Committee #2 to House Bill 1453."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion on this question? The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I hope that we adopt Conference Committee Report #2, because those of us from downstate would very much, and I say very, very much like to have this Bill become law. Now if the Gentleman wants this to.... this same privilege in the County of Cook, then let the Gentleman introduce a Bill which would do the same thing for Cook County. Downstate we need it very, very bad because those of us that represent several counties have quite a problem in circulating the ah.... petitions here to appoint trustees. I hope yve can adopt the Amendment, the Conference Committee Report."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question if he would answer. Mr. Stone, or who is the Sponsor of the..... I would like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Shea: "He indicates he will yield, Sir."

Palmer: "In my area, we've got the Lemont Fire Protection District, which encompasses the County of Cook, part of the County of Cook, part of the County of Will and part of the County of DuPage. Who would appoint the trustee in case of a vacancy."



Deavers: "Three County Board Chairmen would get together and by a Majority vote they would be appointed."

Palmer: "All right, thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer. Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Deavers, or from McLean, Mr. Deavers, to close, if he wishes."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I move for the adoption of Conference Committee #2 and in answer to Representative Schlickman, again there were a series of 11 of these Bills pertaining to all the different districts and ten of the others have passed and on the Governor's desk, and I think in being consistent that's the reason I move for the adoption of Conference Committee #2."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in House Bill, the Second Conference Committee Report in House Bill 1453. All in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 123 'ayes', 12 'nays', 2 'present' and the House does concur in Conference Committee Report #2 on House Bill 1453. Ryan 'aye'. Barnes 'aye'. Duff 'aye'. Washburn 'no'. 'aye'? On the order of Resolutions. Resolutions. Is House Resolution 453, 435 on the desk, Mr. Clerk? The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones, on a Resolution."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would ask for the suspension of the proper rule for the immediate consideration of House Resolution 43....."

Shea: "Mr. Jones, would you wait until I get some order? Will the Members please be in their seats. Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to request the suspension of the proper rules for the consideration, immediate consideration of House Resolution 436."

Shea: "Five?"

Jones: "435, I beg your pardon."

Shea: "The Gentleman asks leave to suspend the appropriate rule for the immediate consideration and adoption of House Resolution 35. You want to have the Resolution read, Sir?"



Jones: "Please."

Shea: "Read the Resolution."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 435. Whereas the year 1976 will be designated the Bicentennial, marking the 200th anniversary of the formation of the United States of America; and whereas the United States is the only major country in the world without a floral emblem, and whereas each of the 50 States of the United States, in addition to a State flag and floral emblem, which is cherished as its own; and whereas the People of the United States have adopted the American flag and the American Eagle to represent the virtues of this country, and whereas people of the world have adopted emblems for their country representative of their national virtues; and whereas the American Marigold represents the character of the United States, more appropriately as a floral emblem than any other flower in that the Marigold is an American native, grown in abundance in gardens of every state, grown easily and quickly from seed, acknowledged as a symbol of religious faith, representing beauty and rugged humility of character and like the American Eagle and the American Flag an exclusively an American emblem; and whereas the Illinois General Assembly should also endorse the adoption of the American Marigold as the Nation's floral emblem; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly in the State of Illinois, that we encourage the Congress of the United States to designate the American Marigold as the National floral emblem of the United States for the Bicentennial year and thereafter as our National floral emblem to encourage the President of the United States to declare such fact by Proclamation and that such Resolution be forwarded to each Member of the United States House of Representatives and each Member of the United States Senate from Illinois, showing our endorsement of this cause."

Shea: "Is there any discussion. The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. J. David Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in support of Resolution 435, which memorializes the United States Congress to designate the Marigold as the United States' official flower. We have distributed a package of the Marigold seed to your desk with our compliments. You



know that this was a life time goal of the late Everett McKinley Dirksen, the illustrious United States Senator from Illinois and a very dear, personal friend of mine. In fact, the delightful book written by his wife, his widow Louella, is entitled....."

Shea: "Hold on, Mr. Jones. Mr. Greiman, on a Point of Order."

Greiman: "Unless I missed something, were we not reading it to find out what the subject matter was?"

Shea: "Yes, Sir, and now the Gentleman is discussing and asking leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rule for immediate consideration."

Greiman: "Is that what the discussion was, Sir, pertained to?"

Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Greiman: "It seemed to me he was on the Motion itself."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, on a Point of Order."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I object to the ah..... Gentleman's request for leave that this be considered immediately without reference to Committee. I would request that if he attempts to suspend the Rule, he does not get the 107 votes. This should be assigned to the Executive Committee where it belongs."

Shea: "Now back to the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones, who is seeking to suspend the appropriate rule."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. ~~May I continue now?~~ I will get to what the issue is here in a moment. As Pekin is the home place of Everett McKinley Dirksen, has been designated as the Marigold City, we have solicited co-sponsorship of the Legislators from that District, Representatives Anderson, Luft and VonBoeckman, and I understand that there is another Resolution of a similar nature is coming over from the Senate and I would request that both Resolutions be considered and that we both join in the Joint Resolution, because it has the same goal and purpose."

Shea: "Any further discussion? Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I rise to support this Resolution and this Motion to consider at this time. Normally, I'm reluctant to memorialize congress about anything, and as a gardner, I generally love perinneals, so you can rely on them coming up year after year, but I must say among the annuals, the Marigold is such a powerful and potent



plant, the seeds are so powerful, besides being beautiful, it is almost a symbol of fertility as well, and I would certainly that we urge to move to consider this Resolution at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe, for what purpose do you rise?"

Jaffe: "A point of Order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Jaffe: "I do not believe that this Motion is debatable."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're correct. Representative Downs, do you seek recognition?"

Downs: "It was on that point."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, the suspension of the appropriate rule to permit immediate consideration of House Resolution 435. Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah..... Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have Senate and Joint Joint Resolution under the same ah..... category and I'd like to really like to hear both of them at the same time."

Speaker Redmond: "I didn't understand you, Sir. You'd like what?"

VonBoeckman: "We have Senate Joint Resolution concerning this same matter and I would like to have them both heard at the same time."

Speaker Redmond: "What Resolution is that?"

VonBoeckman: "47."

Speaker Redmond: "That the same subject matter, you say?"

VonBoeckman: "Same subject matter. It failed to come over here. The Senate was suppose to send this over the 27th, but they didn't, so....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Anderson: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker. Has the Resolution arrived from the Senate."

VonBoeckman: "Correct."

Anderson: "It has arrived?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, it has. Representative Jones."

Jones: "In my remarks, I recommended that they both be considered and all of us join in the Joint Resolution, because it would be doubly effective and it contains the same subject matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones, will you state....."



Jones: "Yes, it was my recommendation in my remarks that the other other Resolution be heard and we both join in the Senate Joint Resolution so that ah... it will be doubly effective."

Speaker Redmond: "O'kay, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure that those two Resolutions can be joined together, but if you rule that they can be, I just ask that we defeat the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, the Gentleman has asked leave to have them considered together. Any objection? On this question.... Objections have been raised. The question is on the leave to consider these Resolutions together. Those in favor, vote 'aye'. That they be considered together, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. It appears that the 'nos' have it. Leave is granted. Representative Duff."

Duff: "So as not to injure either Resolution, the same rule by which they may be heard together allows them to be heard consecutively and brought up at the same time. Perhaps the Sponsors would want to accept that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, we're either on the last day or the next to the last day of the Session. We have Conference Committee Reports, we have all sorts and kinds of concurrences. I really think that it's nonsense for the House at this time to start taking up these types of Resolutions. I think that we've made ourselves clear with our voice vote. I think we ought to vote down the Motion and get on to more serious business."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, whatever the question is, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put. All those in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Jones."

Jones: "I'm repeating that what I suggested here, that we hear both resolutions and that we all join in the Senate House Joint Resolution, because it would be doubly effective, which would in some sense, would be feebling my resolution in favor of their's."



Speaker Redmond: "Leave was denied by the House, Representative Jones.
Mr. Clerk. Representative Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Resolution, with the
understanding that the Senate's resolution will be heard...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Downs."

Downs: "Mr. Speaker, the Order of business at this moment is apparently
to suspend the Rules and that is all."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct. You are correct."

Downs: "Now if somebody is suspending the rules, I think that should be
stated, what it is, if it's to determine whether we are to memorialize
Congress without ah.... consideration by Committee with regard to
whether Dirksen's flowers should be the State flower or the National
flower or something. Let's get on to it."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the appropriate rule be sus-
pended to allow the immediate consideration of Resolution 435. All
in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Takes 107 votes. Have all
voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished?
The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 73 'ayes'
59 'no' and the Motion fails. The Order of Conference Committeee
Reports is House Bill 1766. Repr..... Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Yeow, Mr. Speaker, I rise on a Point of Order."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Boyle: "I don't know by what rule or what order or I assume by your
authority that these Conference Committees were appointed in this
House, but I want to let the Speaker know and I want to let others
in this House know that I am very, very much agrieved in the fact
that I was Chairman of one of the two Appropriations Committees in
this House, and maybe I didn't do a very good job and maybe I wasn't
the best chairman that ever was in this House of the Appropriations,
but I was not named to any one of the Conference Committees where the
Chairman of Appropriations I was named to three, and I highly resent
that. I thought that we had come to the point where we were in the
new politics, but I submit, Mr. Speaker, we're back to the same old
politics. There's just different people doing it, and I concur with
Representative Hill, in that one Member, it's impossible for one down-



state Member to be on all of these Conference Committee reports, and I want you to know, Mr. Speaker, that I highly resent it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, on a Point of Personal Privilege.

Since there was reference as far as my appointment to the three Conference Committees, those were three of my Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 1766. Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Senate has refused to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1766, so I ask the House to form a Second Conference Committee, and may I go further, Mr. Speaker and ask to appoint the same Members. It would save time."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the first report of the Conference Committee. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the request is made for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee. Is that correct?"

Washington: "Same Members from the House, if possible."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, your request is noted."

Washington: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 1935. G. L. Hoffman. G. L. Hoffman 1935.

Held at the request of the Sponsor. 2868. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Just a minute. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do concur with Conference Committee #1 on House Bill 2868. 2868, as the Membership should recall, transfers the responsibility for administering the Act from the Industrial Commission to the Department of Labor for the Health and Safety. Senate Amendment #1 deleted the provision for political subdivisions and its employees, and I move that we do concur in Senate Amendment #1 and do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2868."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Any questions? Any discussion? The question is on the adoption. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question? Ted, this elimination of that division related to political subdivisions, can you tell me



that was entirely voluntary, wasn't it?"

Lechowicz: "I don't believe it has."

Houlihan: "You don't believe it was voluntary?"

Lechowicz: "That is correct."

Houlihan: "The enforcement was mandatory."

Lechowicz: "I really don't know. You'd have to ask Mel Rosenbloom."

Houlihan: "Well, could you get the answer from Mel so we...."

Lechowicz: "According to the Chairman, it was mandatory coverage."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is on the adoption of the first Conference Committee Report. All those in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Final action, 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 119 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report. 2869. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do concur in Committee Report on House Bill 2869. The Bill created a review division of the Department of Labor to hear appeals from citations issued for violations of safety and health standards which are presently decided by the Industrial Commission. This transfer applies to citations issued in connection with inspections conducted after April 11, 1975. The Amendment did eliminate the review division within the Department of Labor, and I move that we concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Any questions? Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report. All those in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Final Action. Takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 140 'ayes', no 'nay' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report. 986. Representative Friedland. 986."

Friedland: "Thank you, very much, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge the House adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 986. Ah.... the Conference Committee has straightened out kinks in the Bill, suggested by Mr. Al Green. This is a privilege tax on mobile homes. The main difference between it and other Bills



which we've acted upon is that the privilege tax will be paid semi-annually rather than annually at a rate not increased. I urge your favorable consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Any questions? The question is shall the House, Representative Byers."

Byers: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question? Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Friedland: "Yes."

Byers: "Who did you say this was worked out with?"

Friedland: "Ralph Dunn, John Grotberg, Al Green, among others."

Byers: "Who's Al Green?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions.?"

Friedland: "Ask Mr. Madigan."

Speaker Redmond: "Will the crowd that's in the front of the Clerk's office please go to the Conference Room on Fifth Floor. Question is shall the House adopt Committee, Conference Committee First Report. All those in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Final Action. 89. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 117, 122 'ayes' and 5 'nay' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report. 1157. Representative Griesheimer. Just a moment. We have to take another Roll Call on that last one. Representative Beatty, for what purpose do you rise?"

Beatty: "I wonder if I could ask the Sponsor a question on that?"

Speaker Redmond: "You will when we start over."

Beatty: "Pardon me?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedland, do you care to respond?"

Friedland: "If he doesn't want to, I don't really care, one way or the other."

Speaker Redmond: "He indicated he would."

Beatty: "I wonder if this imposed a tax on mobile homes in Cook County?"

Friedland: "No."

Beatty: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer on 1157."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah..... I would move the House would adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1157. What we did was clarify the amendments that were put on in the House,



and the Senate refused to concur in. We left the homerule amendment on the Bill and ah.... with the concurrence of the House sponsor on the Amendment, we deleted the provisions that auxiliary deputy sheriffs should have the ability to read brands in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt the Conference Committee's First Report. All those in favor, vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? 107, or 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, 122 'ayes' and 6 'nay' and the House does adopt the Conference Committee's First Report. 1834. Representative Stubblefield. Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I'm ah.... I hate to pull this at this last minute. Unfortunately, I'm trying to get the attention of the Chair on Senate Bill 986. It's been adopted now, but please in the future, Mr. Speaker, watch it, because there was a very important question here, but....."

Speaker Redmond: "I'm sorry, there was a conference in front of you and I really didn't see you. Representative Stubblefield. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just on a point of information. It could be quite helpful to the House Members that are appointed to Conference Committees, if we would also have the names of the State Senators who are on the Conference Committee as well."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know whether the announcement was made that the Conference Committees are meeting in Room 212. Well, you can check in there. Representative Bradley is down there. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, when that announcement was made, it was only mentioned to two Bills. Now, do all the Conference Committees meet in Room 212?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeow."

Lechowicz: "O'kay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stubblefield."

Stubblefield: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1384 passed the Senate in its unamended form



by 38 votes and in the House we put in an Amendment on which simply made the Bill a..ah...applicable to the Workmans Compensation Bills that passed a week ago. 234 and 235. It does exactly the same thing as the Senate Bill would have done. That Bill...That Bill in its amended form passed by 127 votes. There was a technical error in the Amendment which indicated that language was being deleted when in effect the language was being added and...ah...the Conference Report simply corrected that error. We passed the first report by 122 votes, the Senate did...only gave it 29 votes and therefore we had to go to a second report. It just simply got caught up in some political crossfire over across the Rotunda. I would urge an adoption of the...of the Report #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I had one question for the Gentleman. I noticed...ah... Representative Stubblefield from the Digest, the Bill provides that every employee who sustains the loss of a member shall receive the maximum rate of Compensation and the Conference Committee Report adds or changes that from maximum rate to the rate applicable to earning maximum wages, regardless of the earnings. Is that a...ah...a difference in the Bill, that's being changed?"

Stubblefield: "The Digest is not...completely accur...or...it is not conclusive. What the original Bill did was specify the amount of the maximum which I believe was ninety two dollars for a single person. Now that the changes in the...that were made in 234 and 235 that figure ninety two is not applicable, the new language also has a scale that increases over the next few years and we use the maximum amount which would...ah...make this...ah...conform to that language and they would set a...at the present time, would require a maximum payment of two thirds of two hundred and five dollars, about one hundred and thirty six bucks."

Leinenweber: "Well it just seems to me...as I understand it, there are three variables in a claim, one is of course, the extent of the loss of the injury, the second one is the...the maximum, the amount or wages the...the respondent or the protectioner is making and the third one is his family situation, whether he's single or married or...ah...has dependents. Now it seems to me that, at least by the Conference



Committee Report, you are leaving out one of the variables to with the amount of money he's making, it seems to me more consistent, and I happen to agree, I think that a workman who loses a limb by amputation and is underpaid that it would be better to extend the period of time he's received Compensation and increase the amount he receives that way rather than to arbitrarily...ah...ignore the other variable."

Stubblefield: "Well at the present and under this Act as well, the payment is limited to sixty four weeks and I think it would be...ah... pretty cumbersome to try to modify that and...ah...what we were trying to do was suggest the amount of his...ah...weekly payment for the same number of weeks, the limitation of no more than two thirds his wage would still apply...He could not get more than about 137 bucks."

Leinenweber: "What's the...If we adopt the Conference Committee Report, how much more would a petitioner receive who's lost a member...ah... than he receives currently?"

Stubblefield: "Well, if he earned less than two hundred and five dollars, it would have no...per week, it would have no effect at all. If he...more than two hundred and five dollars he would have no effect at all. If he earned less than that the most that it could possible effect him would...ah...from the original Bill in this, would be ...ah...about twenty dollars a week, twenty, twenty-five dollars a week."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Houlihan: "Ah...Representative Stubblefield, this seems to be a very important Bill...ah...A very significant Bill that would help the working man in terms of his Workmens Compensation. Is that not correct?"

Stubblefield: "It would have the effect of...ah...helping a person who makes less than two hundred and five dollars who lost...had a complete loss of a limb. It does not effect any injury other than those where there is an amputation of the arm, leg, hand, foot or the loss of an



eye by inoculation, which means the eye must be removed either by injury or surgically, it does not effect legal blindness."

Houlihan: "Ah...Representative Stubblefield. I'm in agreement with your intentions and this Bills intentions, but I must tell you that I'm not in agreement with the...the rather intransigent intentions of the Senate Sponsor, Senator Lemke. Who has been without any kind of respect for this House, his former House, his former Speaker and the Members of this House by killing a Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D'Arco."

D'Arco: "Please tell Mr. Houlihan to confine his remarks..."

Speaker Redmond: "Confine your remarks to the...the Report, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I will Mr. Speaker. Representative Stubblefield, I'm supporting this Legislation because of its merits and because of your Sponsorship."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Ah...Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Friedrich: "I..ah...I don't have the statute here, what is the definition of a member, is it a finger, is it a whole hand or an arm or a..."

Stubblefield: "I believe I've read that twice but I'll read it again..."

Friedrich: "I'm sorry, I missed it...I'm sorry."

Stubblefield: "It's the amputation of an arm, leg, hand or foot or the loss of an eye by inoculation."

Friedrich: "Thank you, I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Stubblefield to close."

Stubblefield: "I would just simply ask for a favorable vote. We have passed this Legislation...ah..twice before and it should have a third time and I think that will work. I've done a little work across the Rotunda, I think we have the votes there to pass it."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1384? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'nay'. Final action. 89 votes. Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "On this...ah...crucial measure I would remind the minority Members of this House that somehow or another there are no minority Members of the Senate on the Report at all. I grant you that you have more than half the votes and signatures needed for this ...ah...Amendment, for this Conference Report, but nevertheless, it was never signed and I would like to know why. I was trying to get your attention, Mr. Speaker, to ask the Sponsor, the House Sponsor of this Conference Report and perhaps in explaining his vote he can explain why no Members of the Senate minority chose to sign this Amendment, this Conference Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? You may proceed Representative Stubblefield."

Stubblefield: "Representative Grotberg, the...the Senate Members of the Conference Report signed the first one, there is no change between the first Report and the second one, the same Members were there and I think their response is pretty well...ah...in conformance with Representative Houlihan's statement."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 'aye' and 3 'nay' and the House does adopt the second Conference Committee Report. 1387. Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, I respectfully move that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1387. The Bill permit the merger of the Blue Cross Blue Shield into a Health Service Plan Corporation. The Illinois Department of Insurance strongly supports the merger. However, there was a slight difference on the Amendment, however, they did also agree to the changes and now the language of the Amendment which will make the Amendment and the Bill acceptable to all parties involved and I move the adoption of the Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any question? Any discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill



1387? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final action, 89 votes required. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 140 'aye', no 'nay' and the House does adopt the Conference Committees first Report on Senate Bill 1387. On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 289. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. There are several Amendments that have been placed on House Bill 289 which is one of the...the project Appropriation Bills for Capitol Development Board expenditure and...and action during the coming year. If you'll let me, briefly, I'll try to run through and tell you what each one of these Amendment that are listed, does do. Amendment #1 is merely technical. It goes through and takes out in ten different places the word 'as nearly as possible' in making appropriation. Those appropriations made for various items are exact and not as nearly as possible. So it's purely technical and...and...ah... does not change the Bill in any aspect except to make it certain. Amendment #2. Takes out the FEPC Amendment which is properly known on the Floor as the Catania Amendment, which is now being taken care of by Amendment to the FEPC. Amendment #3, this one is a little more extensive, it deletes four hundred and eight thousand, seven hundred dollars from the...the project appropriated for the Department of Children and Family Services in the original Bill. It relates to windows and drapes for the central office, some paint resurfacing or a road at Harry's House and roofing repair at the Braille and Sightsaving School, some internal painting and roof repair and the replacement of a baseboard at the Illinois Childrens Hospital School, paint the exterior and trim and does tuck pointing at the Illinois School for the Deaf, Touch pointing on the Illinois Veterans Home together with...ah...replacement of valves and thermostats in the air conditioning and heating system, paing and clean the water, fill the certain roads and to some touch pointing on some of the other out buildings. At the Illinois Visually Handicapped Building, it installs, would have installed a...ah... blower and would...blower exhaust and would...ah...paint the interior of the buldng and would replace certain valves and repair a steam



line. It also, at the Mariville Childrens Center would tuck point the administration building and paint the cottage interior and at the Southern Illinois Childrens Service Center, repair and repaint trim and replace two fire doors, replace ceiling tile and paint the interior and resurface the drives. Now they've found that most of these items however, were taken out of Capitol Development Board... ah...that are taken out here in Amendment #3.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Mr. ...Could I ask Mr. Tipsword a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Shea: "Are you going to move to concur in all these on one Roll Call sir?"

Tipsword: "No, I don't think I should. I am going to move to...ah..

to concur on each one of them."

Shea: "Well, if you're going to do them individually could you explain the Amendment and we'll take a Roll Call rather than explaining them all."

Tipsword: "Okay, now let's go back to Amendment #1, which is purely technical and takes out only the language 'as nearly as possible' in each appropriation. I move for the concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 289."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The Gentleman's moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 289. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 119 'ayes', 6 'nays' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 289. Amendment #2."

Tipsword: "Amendment #2 takes out the Catania FEPC Amendment which we've provided for in another Bill. I move for concurrence with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 289."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is on the adoption, or on the concurrence of Senate Amendment #2. The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 289? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 124 'aye'. 9 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 289. Representative Tipsword. The Deputy Clerk has suggested



that you have one Roll Call on all of the Amendments that you wish to concur with."

Tipsword: "I think...I would wish to concur with each of them. But

I'm not sure that all the Membership will."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection. Representative Schlickman objects.

Which one do you object to?"

Schlickman: "I don't know I haven't heard the discussion and Mr. Speaker,

if I may, at some point in time could the Sponsor indicate what the

net effect is of these Approp....these Amendments, dollar wise?"

Tipsword: "If you'd give me just a second I think I can."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #3. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Okay Amendment #3 takes out four hundred and eight thousand

seven hundred dollars, out of this Bill. This removes...ah...slightly

less than a half a million dollars. These are...ah...in process for

the Department of Children and Family Services, they're window repair,

interior painting, replacement of some valves and steam lines, replace-

ment of steam lines and tuck pointing...exterior trim and painting

around the various locations under...under the supervision of this

Department. These are taken out for the reason that they are found

to have been appropriated for under the ordinary and contingent

expenses budget of this Department and so they're taking them out

of the Capitol Development Board, so I would move for concurrence

with Amendment #3 which reduces this Bill by four hundred and eight

thousand seven hundred dollars..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I was simply going to suggest leave for the last Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we have to put it on again and then print it out.

The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #3 to

House Bill 289? All in favor vote 'aye, opposed vote 'no'.

Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On

this question there's 144 'aye', 2 'nay' and the House does concur

in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 289. We'll leave this one on

and we'll see if we can give the same Roll Call. Amendment #4,

Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I wondered if I might have...ah...leave of the

House to consider Amendment #4 and Amendment #16 together as they



relate to exactly the same thing and one of them actually replaces the other but we have to concur in both of them if we concur in one. I can explain them if I might, if I have leave to explain them at least."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with your explanation."

Tipsword: "Amendment #4 adds to the Bill...ah...it takes out some language...ah...providing two million twenty thousand dollars for a fish hatchery for the State of Illinois. It changes that to a million seven hundred and twenty thousand for a fish hatchery at Little Grassey and expands the definition of what they are to do. Then in Amendment #16 it takes out all of the language relating to Little Grassey for the fish hatchery and puts back in, and puts in new language which provides for a statewide fish hatchery for planning its construction for a new fish hatchery to be located at the best optimum location throughout the State of Illinois. There's a reason for this. A fish hatchery can only be located where the proper kinds and types and quantities of water are available and it is uncertain whether or not Little Grassey would be the location. So I would move for concurrence with Senate Amendment 4 and 16."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield for question?"

Tipsword: "Certainly."

Kane: "Are you saying that not both, that both of these are not going to be spent that only one will be?"

Tipsword: "Yes sir. 16 takes out 4, but 16 would be improper if 4 had not all..also been concurred in and made a part of the Bill."

Kane: "So with 4 and 16 we'll be going back to the way that we passed... that it passed the House."

Tipsword: "With the only exception that the, the definition of the fish hatchery and what the money is to be used for is more...ah...particularly stated than it was originally in the Bill. It's just a better statement of what the fish hatchery must consist of. The Amount of money remains exactly the same."

Kane: "A total of two million twenty thousand?"

Tipsword: "That's correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck."



Fleck: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Tipsword: "Certainly."

Fleck: "The way I read this Amendment #16 is providing three hundred thousand dollars for planning of a fish hatchery?"

Tipsword: "No sir, if you'll read 16, it deletes all of this about Little Grasley, and reinserts, 'statewide'."

Fleck: "I know but Little Grasley is a construction. You're going to build one in Little Grasley for a million seven hundred and twenty and you're gonna...you're gonna plan to construct one for an additional three hundred thousand for the..."

Tipsword: "No...No sir."

Fleck: "Well explain the difference."

Tipsword: "It first pro...It first provides in the Bill and it's regrettable they adopted both of these Amendments in the Senate. It first provides in the Bill two million twenty thousand dollars for a fish hatchery for the State of Illinois. The Senate took that out an put in Little Grasley, in Amendment #4. In Amendment #16 they ...they took out Little Grasley and restored just a statewide fish hatchery, for two million twenty thousand so, it...it is two million twenty thousand is all..."

Fleck: "All...but my point is that you've got Little Grasley, you're going to build that one, that's for construction, you're going to have your fish hatchery..."

Tipsword: "No sir..."

Fleck: "It takes it out...You're going to build a fish hatchery for one million seven hundred and twent thousand, now you're going to research and plan one and construct one for an additional and...the same amount I assume, but the additional money is three hundred thousand dollars, which has to go to planning. You're over two million dollars..."

Tipsword: "Oh, you mean the difference between Little Grasley and...and the statewide fish hatchery."

Fleck: "Right...You need three hundred thousand dollars to plan a fish hatchery?"

Tipsword: "Well...The amount of money that was originally taken out by the Little Grasley Amendment was already two million twenty thousand



dollars, the Little Grasse Amendment was less to try to make it attractive to the Senate Committee, I presume..."

Fleck: "Could I also ask you on Senate Amendment 16 where they throw in the words...you're going to have a visitor center and all other things necessary for the completion of the project. Now what do you mean by all other things necessary for the completion of the project?"

Tipsword: "That's...I'm advised by the Bureau of the Budget, that's standard language for sight improvement and all of the other...ah... things that go along with this kind of construction project. That they use this language all the time."

Fleck: "Well I tell you, it seems like an awful lot of money for a fish hatchery. I wish I was in the business of planning fish hatchery's I'd quit the practice of law tomorrow."

Tipsword: "It's a ...business."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Schlickman: "From which State Fund will this in excess of two million dollars come?"

Tipsword: "The Capitol Development Board. These are all Capitol Development Funds, bond projects."

Schlickman: "But from the General Revenue Fund will come the interest to pay these bonds."

Tipsword: "No, all of ...ah...every one of these items in 289, is Capitol Development Board."

Schlickman: "But those are bonds that have...the principal of which and the interest of which have to be paid back and that will come from the General Revenue Fund, is that correct?"

Tipsword: "You're absolutely correct."

Schlickman: "Okay, number two...."

Tipsword: "This fish hatchery, I must tell you, at two million twenty thousand was in the Bill as it left the House and went to the Senate."

Schlickman: "What happened."

Tipsword: "The Senate made all of these...these changes putting Little Grasse in, taking Little Grasse out and going back to the statewide



fish hatchery at exactly the same amount."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Leave to use the same Roll Call? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #4 to 289....Have all...4 and 16, pardon me. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. Take the record. Representative VanDuynne votes 'no'. You'll have to come up and tell the Clerk that you've decided to vote 'no' on that. 144 'ayes' 2 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 4 and 16 to House Bill 289. Senate Amendment #6, Representative Tipsword. Representative Tipsword. Will you give the Gentleman order please."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Amednment #6 deletes from the Bill 'kitchen rehabilitation and installation of a new floor and some improvement and construction for sidewalks and parking at Herrick House which totals approximately thirty two thousand dollars. Oh, thirty five two, I'm sorry. Thirty five thousand...ah...two hundred dollars and I believe these are included in...in the. Are these included elsewhere in some other projects or are these just taken out?...These are just taking out of things that are not needed and necessary at this time, so this is a reduction of thirty five thousand two hundred dollars for these items. I move for the adoption of Senate Amendment 6."

Speaker Redmond: "Leave to use the same Roll Call? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #6 to House Bill 289? All in favor vote 'aye, opposed vote 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 144 'aye' 2 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #6 to House Bill 289. Amendment 7. Amendment #7, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Ah...Mr. Chairman and Members of the House, now Amendment #7 takes certain minor improvement for the Department of Children and Family Services at the Illinois Brail and Sight Saving School, Illinois Childrens Hospital School, the Illinois Veterans Home and Southern Illinois Childrens Service Center amounting to what, about one hundred thousand dollars and takes them out of the



Capitol Development Fund and does put these items into General Revenue Fund for the Department of Child and Family Services. I would move for the adoption of Senate Amendment #7."

Speaker Redmond: "Same Roll Call? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #7 to House Bill 289? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 144 'aye', 2 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #7. #8. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor Yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Tipsword: "Certainly."

Shea: "Mr. Tipsword you're taking money out of the Capitol Development Bond Fund, is that correct?"

Tipsword: "That's correct."

Shea: "Now, there was an authorization Bill, 3096, that went over there or at least left here and went to the Senate and I haven't seen it come back yet, on the authorization."

Tipsword: "Right."

Shea: "Are all these projects that we're putting in or taking out within those authorizations?"

Tipsword: "It is my understanding from a meeting I just attended that 3096 is...is being held in the Senate, possibly until tomorrow, so that they can find out whether they can reduce or would have to increase the amount in that, that particular Bill. They want to see what happens with all of these authorization projects and what the total will be."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Representative."

Shea: "Well are, do you...you plan on, on all these projects to concur and this, and this will be final action?"

Tipsword: "I am going to make that motion, Mr. Shea, I have no means of knowing whether the House will concur in each one of them or not. We are coming about three or, two or three or four Amendments here that are sizable and the House may disagree with the Senate. I do not know."

Shea: "All right sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."



Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on Amendment #8. Now this is an Amendment that increase the appropriation to the Illinois Community College Board in the sum of \$8,400,000 for the construction of a joint East St. Louis Community College and Division of Vocational Technical Education Community Vo-Tech Skill Training Center. This is an increase in this Bill in the provision of this center of \$8,400,000 to be constructed in the East St. Louis area.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "The source for this will be bonds, is that correct?"

Tipsword: "This is Capital Development Bonds. Yes, Sir."

Schlickman: "The principle interest which will come from the General Revenue Fund?"

Tipsword: "That's correct."

Schlickman: "Number 2, this 8.4 million dollars, which is contained in Senate Amendment #8 is from the original accelerated bond program previously rejected by this House. Is that correct? Through its committee?"

Tipsword: "No, Sir. It was..... Now wait a minute. I am informed that this amount was not in the accelerated program. That this is an additional amount. This is new, I am advised by the Bureau of the Budget."

Schlickman: "Well, I'm advised by somebody else that it's part of the accelerated bond program. Let me ask you this, if it wasn't a part of the accelerated bond program, if it wasn't a part of this Bill, as originally drafted by the administration, how did it come about at this late date in this session?"

Tipsword: "It came about by an Amendment in the Senate by some Senator offering the Amendment, I presume."

Schlickman: "So it doesn't have the support of the administration?"

Tipsword: "I'm advised that this is simply a legislative late entry into the game, that the administration does not object to, and would consider, possibly consider favorably, but this is a matter that came from the legislature."



Schlickman: "If I may address myself to this matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "It seems to me that capital development within the State should be based on a plan and a program. Now if an individual member of the House wants to promote or the General Assembly wants to promote a need within his or her district, it should come at an earlier time and subject to close scrutiny; i.e., through the Committee process. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would urge a 'no' on a Motion to concur with Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 289."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Shea: "Mr. Tipsword, as I understand it, in the original accelerated bond program, the project was submitted in two parts. \$590,000 for planning and 1.8 million for accelerated development, and that now instead of just the planning money, that it now seeks to put in the whole thing. Is that correct?"

Tipsword: "I'm sorry, would you repeat those figures. I was being talked to back here. I didn't understand them."

Shea: "In the original accelerated bond program, the Governor requested \$590,000 for planning and 1.8 million for accelerated development. He felt that that was all he could spend in this fiscal year. Now all of a sudden, let's put it that way, is that correct?"

Tipsword: "Yes, that is correct."

Shea: "All right, now...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, for what reason do you rise?"

Ryan: "Point of ah.... Order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Ryan: "This has nothing to do with this Bill. I just came from Room 212. There's about 35 Conference Committees going on down there and the Senate has adjourned so their Members can at least be in conference. Our Members are down there, have no input to what's going on here, and I think it's a tragedy. It's absolutely a mess down there, and it's certainly unfair to the Members of the House to be involved in a process such as that."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'll hold my remarks on the Amendment if there's some further comment to be made on the last comment but....."

Shea: "May I finish, Sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Representative Shea."

Duff: "I would then like to be heard on the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Could you tell me, then, if all that was in the accelerated bond program was the 5.9 and the million, eight, 590,000 and million, eight, why is it that they now have eight million, four in here?"

Tipsword: "Excuse me, Jerry, I was again being talked to. What was your question directed to me?"

Shea: "Could you tell me why there's now eight million, four when the administration originally said they couldn't spend but \$590,000 for planning and 1.8 million for accelerated development?"

Tipsword: "Well I can tell you, Jerry, that the Sponsor of the Amendment apparently included all of the completion costs in this project and I think the administration did feel that that was the only amount that could be expended."

Shea: "Well,"

Tipsword: "Perhaps someone from the East St. Louis community may have some basis or reason for defending the amount. I was offering it simply as an item that came from the Senate, in which the administration said they could live with."

Shea: "Well, I would hope that the House would not concur with this Amendment, so that we could at least, if we're going to do things out of bonding projects, we will do them in a reasonable matter."

Tipsword: "I am willing to live by the decision of the House on this matter."

Speaker Redmond: "At ease for a few minutes. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I've been informed that the Chicago Tribune morning addition announces that we've adjourned. If any of you fellows want me to call your wife and assure her you're still here, I'd be glad to do it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keller."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to advise them also, I remember one time that they announced Dewey had won also."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword on Amendment #8."

Tipsword: "Mr. Chairman, ah..... I would move that Amendment #8 be concurred in by the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, I'm wondering if the Speaker would open up the switches on this one. I think that there's a number of....."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 289. All in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, well, I'm not going to bother saying anything."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 29 'ayes', 84 'no' and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 289. We're going, as soon as we get through with this Bill, we're going to stand at ease for about 10 minutes, until we find out where we're going on in connection with those Conference Committees. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that the House do nonconcur with Senate Amendment #8 to this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 289. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House nonconcur in Senate Amendment # 3 to House Bill 289. Amendment #9."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, the next three Amendments, I think, are going to be just as controversial as the last and may I have permission of the House to present Amendments #9, 10 and 11 at once on a Motion of nonconcurrence. They replace into this Bill items that were in the accelerated program."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 9, 10 and 11, to House Bill 289. All those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 9, 10 and 11. Amendment #14."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #14 ah.... puts into this Bill \$66,000 to provide airconditioning at the terminal building at the Willard



Air Force, University of Illinois. I move for concurrence of this."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Is there any other airport in the State of Illinois for which the General Assembly authorizes bond funds for the installation of airconditioning?"

Tipsword: "Not that I'm aware of. The University airport is owned by the University and the State of Illinois."

Schlickman: "Number 2, this is in addition to the \$150,000 of General Revenue Funds that we've appropriated for this airport for operational purposes. Isn't that correct?"

Tipsword: "Yes, yes, that's true, but these bonds will not be used for operating costs."

Schlickman: "Isn't it also true that this is a commercial operation, this airport, equivalent to Capitol Airport?"

Tipsword: "Yes, from my experience, I think that is also correct."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much."

Tipsword: "I might add, this was not a part of the accelerated program. This is a new Amendment offered by the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #14 to House Bill 289. All in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 23 'aye' and 104 'no' and the House does not concur in the Senate Amendment #14. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Consequently, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #14."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House nonconcur in Amendment #14 to House Bill 289. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #14 to House Bill 289. Amendment #15. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Amendment #15 adds into this Bill, in addition to the 15 million dollars in the original Bill



an additional sum of \$31,337,661 for to the school construction fund for making grants to school districts under 500,000 population for debt service. This is an Amendment that was added back into the Bill by Senator Shapiro in the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, he will."

Schlickman: "What happened from the time this Bill was introduced with an amount of \$15,000,000 to bring about an increase by \$31,337,700?"

Tipword: "I am advised that the Senator reappropriated all of the unexpended balance in the school construction fund. I don't know why he did it, but that's what it does. It reappropriates the unexpended balance laying in that fund."

Schlickman: "Isn't it also true that if these bonds or this authority isn't necessary or needed for debt service that it can be used for new construction?"

Tipword: "Yes, that's correct."

Schlickman: "Thank you, very much. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I urge a 'nay' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I want to join with Representative Schlickman and I think the House by now is probably becoming aware of the fact that although we have ah..... spoken our minds so to speak some weeks ago about the accelerated bond program, we seem to be seeing it reinstated piecemeal ah.... through a variety of different kinds of projects appealing to a variety of different kinds of people, and I think that it is healthy that we be aware that this is going on tonight."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to feel as deeply negative about Amendment #15 as I have about the previous ones. The facts are, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House and Mr. Speaker, that your's and mine public school grants for construction, I believe, are in this portion. It was my Bill in the last General Assembly, Representative Schlickman, that allowed the transfer of unexpended debt retirement fund to be used in the Capital requirement fund on construction



grants, and I would ask each and everyone of you to think very carefully about your own school district. I know how I'm going to vote, but this does not quite..... that's in a different area. My coach tells me that it's a different area, but this is still the unex..... this is the reappropriation of the unexpended debt retirement. Am I correct? From the Sponsor, Mr."

Tipsword: "This is the reappropriation of the unexpended funds in that fund."

Grotberg: "Right, so that ah.... and there was \$25 million, I believe on that unexpended debt retirement of 20, or the total amount of 30."

Tipsword: "Well, the total amount now with the..... they added it....."

Grotberg: "Is it all reappropriated or is this a new one?"

Tipsword: "It's an additional reappropriation, it's a 15 reappropriation. No, the \$15 million that was originally in the Bill was new. He added all the funds in the bonds, or in the fund and reappropriated them in the total of \$46 million plus. It was \$15 million and he added all the \$35 million plus that was in the fund and reappropriated it."

Grotberg: "Your distinguished advisor of over there would then say that the \$30 million is reappropriated, the \$15 million is new?"

Tipsword: "That's....."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Mr. Tipsword."

Grotberg: "We're not quite through, Mr. Speaker."

Tipsword: "I don't quite understand this. He said that it's reappropriating the unexpended balance. It's all new money. I don't follow him on that."

Grotberg: "I think I better sit down, but I still think you better think about your local school district before you carry on....."

Speaker Redmond: "Amen. Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Yeow, if I get it right, this is about \$31 million dollars of which there is \$15 million new dollars in it. Is this correct?"

Tipsword: "What you're actually doing. You're making an additional \$31 million available for debt service on new construction over and above the \$15 million that was made available in the Bill as it went to the Senate from the House."

Palmer: "All right, how much money of this would go to suburban Cook County?"



Tipsword: "I have no way of knowing. It applies to districts, in any districts of populations under 500,000."

Palmer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #15 to House Bill 289. All in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? It would be appreciated if the whistling would stop. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 32 'ayes', 57 'no' and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #15 to House Bill 289. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I now move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #15 to House Bill 289."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #15 to House Bill 289? All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's.... and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #15 to House Bill 289. Amendment #18."

Tipsword: "This is the last Amendment that was sent to us by the Senate and I appreciate the patience and the understanding and the intelligence the House has shown in examining all of these Amendments that have come across. I would like, really, sincerely, to come in to you, Amendment #18. Now this does restore some items. They're comparatively small items. I might point out one of them, at Pere Marquette State Park is sorely needed to bring water quality up to standards, so they can have proper water supply at Pere Marquette State Park. Each one of these, these were not in the accelerated program. They're in the regular program, which the House regrettably omitted and I would very sincerely urge your concurrence in Senate Amendment #18."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #18. The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #18 to House Bill 289. All in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Final action, 89 votes. Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Well, I note in here that ah.... I don't know where the Beyorklan Hotel is, ah..... 107,000 dollars, but you've got 200,000 dollars for the Illinois Michigan Canal State Park, and I think ah.... that they



deserve a lot more money than that. They had the one for the Hennepin, and it was over a million dollars that we didn't concur in, and I think we ought not concur in this, because of some of the stinginess of some of the Appropriations and also some of the lack of identification of where these places are."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 117 'aye', 11 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #18 to House Bill 289. Any further Amendments?"

Tipsword: "No, Sir, that's all and thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will stand at ease..... We will read messages from the Senate, but we'll be at ease for 15 minutes. The Minority Leaders, Majority Leaders will retire to the Speaker's office."

Fred Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1 and 2 to a Bill with the following title: House Bill 1092. And I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a Committee of Conference. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to a Bill with the following title: House Bill 3002. And I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has requested a Conference. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to a Bill of the following title: House Bill 1977. I am further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a Committee of Conference. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1 and 2 to a Bill with the following title: House Bill 783. I am further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a Committee of Conference. Action taken by the Senate on June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr.



Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 and 2 to Senate Bills 699. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded the request of the House for a Second Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1453. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1766 and the Senate requests a Second Conference Committee to consider the differences between the two Houses in regard to Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 of said Bill. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further Messages."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Supplemental Calendar #2. On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 802. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "802, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

We have 12 Amendments to 802 and Senate Amendment #1 deletes language quoting as nearly as possible in section descriptions where the apportioning of funds to projects are discussed. This is put in in five different places. Now I ask that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 802. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 111 'aye', 112 'aye' and 8 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 802. Amendment #2. Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. We've been standing at ease here for about an hour and we were informed that there would be a conference among



Leadership to decide...ah...what our program is going to be. Is there to be an announcement, about...ah...?"

Speaker Redmond: "There are about ten matters on Concurrence or Nonconcurrence that must be called tonight in order to give us any chance to get through tomorrow. As soon as we finish those items we will adjourn for the evening and we'll be back in the morning at some time not yet determined. Depending upon how late it takes us tonight. So there's about ten items. Amendment #2. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes sir. If the Gentleman will leave the microphone on.

Senate Amendment #2 deletes the FEPC Amendment and I ask the House do concur in Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "May we use the same Roll Call? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 802. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 'aye', 8 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 802. Amendment #4."

Leverenz: "Senate Amendment #4 ask seven hundred nine thousand dollars for planning and consolidation of office and laboratory spaces for the Department of Agriculture. The building would also permit office space for other users located at the State Fair Grounds. Such as State Fair Agency, due to the freeing of present occupied quarters."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Walsh: "Did you intend to concur in this...ah...Amendment?"

Leverenz: "Yes sir."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is not...ah...was not a part of the...ah...original appropriation, it was added and I submit to you that as a part of the Governors accelerated bond program and I would urge that we defeat this Amendment which adds seven hundred and nine thousand four hundred and sixty four dollars to an appropriation when we are trying to conserve...ah... money."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz...Please stop your whistling this is important business if you want to adjourn tomorrow. Represent-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

tative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. In response to the Assistant Minority Leader. This item was reviewed in the Appropriations Committee in a time that it was being considered it was not accepted because the...the proper did not...was not forthcoming. When the Bill went into the Senate they did justify it and I would move that the House do concur...in Senate Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 802. All in favor...Same Roll Call on this one? Better dump the Roll Call. All in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 94 'aye', 38 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 802. Amendment #5. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #5, would have deleted five hundred and ninety thousand dollars in planning money in section 1 for a vocational technical skill training center in East St. Louis. Because an Amendment for eight million four hundred thousand dollars was not put on to...to...House Bill 289. We asked that we do not concur in this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Walsh?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I...since the Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in this Amendment...ah...and certainly the House is going to agree with that. Why don't we just send the entire Bill, why doesn't he just nonconcur with all of these Amendments and...ah... send the entire Bill to a Conference Committee because it'll come in an all together different form from them possibly, so we'll save ourselves some time. There are twelve Amendments on here."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, I ask that we just do not concur in Senate Amendment 5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz do you seek recognition? I mean Lechowicz?"

Lechowicz: "Well Mr. Speaker, in response to the Assistant Minority Leader, I would hope we'd go through the Amendments and adopt some of them, they're good Amendment and the other ones that we disagree



with. Then we would just limit the scope of the Conference Committee and eliminate a lot of extra time and extra effort in duplication."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, just a word on that. Ah...our action does not have that effect. That's the unfortunate thing. The Conference Committee could very well delete Amendment #4 which we have already concurred in. It would render our actions purposeless. So...ah...that's if, it had that effect I would agree with you Ted, but it doesn't."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, I know I don't have to remind the Assistant Minority Leader that the Senate may recede from those Amendments and then the Bill would pass."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we better proceed. The Gentleman has moved that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #5 on House Bill 802. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur in Senate...in Amendment #5. Amendment 6. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes sir, thank you. Senate Amendment #6 returns two hundred thousand dollars to the Department of Registration and Education for scientific equipment for environmental investigation. It refers to equipment for a National History Survey Center in Urbana and it goes on to name the equipment. I...ask that we do not concur in Senate Amendment #6."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The Gentleman has moved that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #6 to House Bill 802. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur in Amendment #6. Amendment 7."

Leverenz: "Senate Amendment #7. Returns to the Bill five hundred thousand dollars to General Services for Garage facilities in Cook County, prior the justification had not been there and the justification in terms of car count the money they have spend it there. I ask we do concur in Senate Amendment #7."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well I again point out to the Members of the House that in this Amendment #7 we have some of the accelerated bond program creeping back into these Bills and I would...ah...ask that we non, not concur



with the Gentleman's motion to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #7 to House Bill 802? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. It takes 89 votes. Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Concur or nonconcur?"

Speaker Redmond: "Concur. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, I just want to point out that this is five hundred thousand dollars for garage facilities in Cook County an as the... Representative Leverenz pointed out, when this item was being discussed, not part of the accelerated bonding program, but there was a justification for our staff. The justification has been received, this money is expended and for fiscal year 1976, under the normal operations of the Department and I would hope that it would get...receive 89 votes. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well Mr...Mr. Speaker and Ladies of the House. I would hope that it would not receive 89 votes because I see that it would consider remodeling rather than construction. Construction I can understand for bonds. I can't see the issuance of bonds for the purpose of remodeling. So I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I would rise to speak in favor of this Amendment. Because of a conversation with...I had with an employee of the Department of Transportation who told me that there are some people who have cars in the Cook County area, who, everytime they reach the minimum number of miles to which they can take it back to a private garage to get a new brake system or new exhaust system, they do it. Now the person I was talking to didn't say outright that there were kickbacks there but...ah...the implication was that it sure would stand some looking into. I think the probably can save one hell of a lot of money if Representative Stone will excuse my indiscretion by passing this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 89 'aye', 55 'nos', Representative has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Representative



Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I kindly request we poll the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees."

Fred Selcke: "Arnell, Boyle, Brummet...Brummet 'aye', Capuzi, Collins, Deuster, Epton, Ewing, Fleck, Hanahan, Hill...Hill 'aye', Hirschfeld, Katz, Katz, Kelly, Klosak, Kosinski, Leinenweber, Londrigan, McAuliffe, Nardulli, Pierce, Reed, Rose, Schisler, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Sharp, E. G. Steele, Sissy Stiehl, Sissy Stiehl 'no'. Tipsword, Williams. How do you vote? Williams 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ebbesen: "Ah...yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to...ah...explain my, explain my vote in the interest of time and I hope the others will follow me. This is going to Conference anyway and even if we're successful in the verification now, he and Don will be right back on in a Conference Committee Report that comes back, so I would encourage everybody to get over on 'yes' and save the time of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Fred Selcke: "Ebbesen 'aye'."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to change my 'no' vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Mautino, 'aye'. It's suggested that we dump the Roll Call and re-do it. The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #7 to House Bill 802. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question...the Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 'aye', 113 'aye', 37 'nay', the Bill, the House does concur in Senate Amendment #7 to House Bill 802. #8. Representative Leverenz. Is...Representative McGrew, will you please sit down."

Leverenz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Senate Amendment #8 appropriates one million five hundred thousand dollars to General Services from the Capitol Development Bond Fund for the completion of Central Valley Central Unit School District, 321, High School and this would have it come out of the Capitol Bond Fund rather than the Road Fund. I ask that we do concur in Senate Amendment #8."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative G. L. Hoffman. G. L. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Will the Sponsor of this Amendment yield for a question?"



Speaker Redmond: "Yes...yes."

Hoffman: "Where is the Illinois Central Valley Central Unit School District #321?"

Leverenz: "Chillicothe, Illinois."

Hoffman: "Have they applied for a grant under the School Bond Construction Act?"

Leverenz: "I'm sorry, repeat the question."

Hoffman: "Have they applied for a grant under the School Bond Construction Act as all other School Districts must do for State assistance?"

Leverenz: "The information I have indicates they have, yes."

Hoffman: "Is this...is this building to be built under the...ah... offices of the...ah..Capitol Development Board pursuant to the School Bond Constructjion Act?"

Leverenz: "Again, I'm sorry, I did not hear the question. Repeat it."

Hoffman: "Is..is this school to be built out of the School Bond Construction Act money, or the money that's appropriated to the Capitol Development ...ah...ah...Board for construction under the School Bond Construction Act?"

Leverenz: "I don't know the answer to that question. Let me, let me indicate this at this point. On Senate Amendment #8 and Senate Amendment #9, Senate Amendment #10, Senate Amendment #11 and Senate Amendment #12. I ask that we take these all at once and do not concur. I move we do not concur with the balance of the Amendments."

Hoffman: "Thank you...I concur with the...ah...Sponsor of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd...Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker, I...I would like for the ...ah...the benefit of Representative Hoffman to explain this Amendment. This was an Amendment that I put in 802 prior to House Bill 3096 which corrected an error in emergency appropriations for this High School. They not only made applications to the Capitol Development Bonding Program, but this district or unit district all but wrote the guidelines by which we give grants for unhousted



students and health and welfare, under that program. Because of a an error in...in the...ah..program or this particular program and so that the...ah...bonding or the...ah...the part of the, the Capitol Development Purchasing Act would not have to be changed in the hole for any part of to allow this construction to be paid is why it was asked to be done in this way. We've discussed this Amendment on 802, we've discussed it on 3096 and before we make a motion not to...ah...concur in these other ones, I would ask reconsideration that we concur in this Amendment. It's a good Amendment, I want it left on this Bill and I would hope everyone would support me in this effort."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In all due respect to the previous speaker. On the School Bond Construction Act we have provisions for emergency...ah...problems or for school districts which have serious problems. We considered one, for example, for Abbington last year when they got hit by a...ah...a...ah... high wind and had serious structural damage. It seems to me that we're either going to construct schools out of the School Bond Construction Act or we're not. School Bond Construction Act was set up specifically for that purpose...ah...all they have to do is ...ah...is meet the requirements in terms of...ah...of the grant index and pass a referendum locally. I...I understand and appreciate the situation that the previous speaker is in, however, I feel that we either treat everyone the same or we're all over the map on, on this issue and...ah...therefore, I concur with the Sponsor of the Bill in terms of nonconcurring with 8 through 12."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah, Yes, Mr. Speaker. It was a Point of Order. I think that the Sponsor of this Legislation moved that we do not concur with all the...the balance of all these Amendments and I...we're addressing ourselves to one specifically, he's asked that all of them be consolidated, do not concur and go to a conference."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that correct Representative Leverenz?"

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, that is correct and I agree with a number of things that have been said here, we may as well expedite it and get it there



immediately so we can work these things out."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would ask for a division of this question on a substitute motion. On this particular item here, what you do with the rest of these Amendments I don't give a damn, but I don't want to see this Amendment lost. So I'd ask for a division."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall, we'll have a division then. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, I...I again, I'd like to move that we consider all these at one time. The Representative Mudd and I have discussed this, we will work it out and I'd like to renew my motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd, do you persist in your motion for division?"

Mudd: "Yes, I...The only thing I care about is that we divide this Amendment from the rest of them because I..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'll vote on this Amendment. The question... The Gentleman has moved that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 802. Better take a Roll Call on that. On this question, we'll take a Roll Call. Those...Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "What..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Yes, I'd like to know Mr. Speaker, if there's...if this has been distributed. I've been looking for House Bill 802 and all..."

Speaker Redmond: "I can't, I can't understand you."

Maragos: "I say, I...it's concurrence...Okay...Stand corrected."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved. Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House...ah...As I understand this...ah...Representative Mudd put this...ah... Amendment on 802 as a conciliation to some of the other Members on that other Bill. Now, my lord, I think it's only a matter of courtesy...ah...whether or not the Bi...the Amendment goes up or down, you shouldn't jeopardize his Amendment by tacking it on to three or four other Amendments and I think...ah...he deserves the courtesy to have this thing heard on its own merit, individually and I, and I would wish that the House would do this."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, the posture that we're in now is that Representative
GENERAL ASSEMBLY



Leverenz moves that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 802. Representative Mudd moved the substitute motion that we do concur and the vote is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 802? Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, the motion is that the House will not concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The motion is the House will concur. There was a substitute motion and Representative Mudd had proposed a substitute motion on the nonconcurrency. Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Gentlemen, I hope that you will all take into consideration how important this is to this school district. Last year they passed a five million dollar referendum when referendums were hard to pass. Under the bonding grant they would be allowed forty per cent of the total construction, what we're asking for here is less than twenty per cent. It's a savings of the state by going this way and I would app...would hope that you would consider this and give me your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? It takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 92 'aye' and 33 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #8 to House Bill 802. Representative Leverenz has moved that we nonconcur in Senate Amendments 9, 10, 11 and 12. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, could we take all but 11 in that motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that we nonconcur in 9, 10 and 12. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. We nonconcur...on...ah...Senate 11. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move we nonconcur now, in Senate Amendment 9, 10, is that correct, 11 is not..."

Speaker Redmond: "We nonconcurrred in the others, Representative Walsh asked for division and wanted a separate vote on 11. So we're now on Amendment #11 to House Bill 802. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker...ah...I'm taking a lesson from Representative Mudd and I sure wish he'd handle this and not me. I...ah...move a substitute motion that we concur in Senate Amendment #11."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz has moved that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #11, Representative Walsh has moved the substitute motion that we do concur. The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #11 to House Bill 802? Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #11 deletes seventy five million dollars, that's seventy five million for the Springfield State Office Complex. This is indeed a part of the Governors accelerated bond program, I call this to your attention. We've been playing with it a good long time, we've satisfied a lot of ego's, it's time now that we got down to business and got rid of this. Let's act responsibly on it and concur in Senate Amendment #11."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I also ask that we do not concur in Senate Amendment #11, we have already concurred in Senate Amendment #12, we have the three million dollars for the Springfield Office Complex and I move at this time we do concur."

Springfield: "Okay, the question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #11 to House Bill 802? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd sure like to get this up to 89 so that we can do something responsibly for once. This is seventy five million dollars for the Springfield State Office Complex. We deleted from the Appropriation earlier the twenty one million dollars that they had for the acquisition or sight selection of an office building for the Loop in Chicago, the least we can do is delete about three times that much from the appropriation for the Springfield office complex."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. How much has Mr. Walsh gone on and on and on and on, especially every time the Springfield project comes up. We're getting a little tired of Mr. Walsh. This is the third time on this very program

he's stood up. GENERAL ASSEMBLY



he keep delaying us like this and with no simple reason except his own personal pieve against these buildings in this district.

I suggest we vote 'no'. Get on to nonconcurrence and our business."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff, do you seek recognition?"

Duff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we don't mind Representative Londrigan getting his pork barrells locally but he doesn't have to make a personal attack on one of our leaders."

Speaker Redmond: "You're out of order. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 65 'yes', 62 'no' and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #11 to 802. Representative Leverenz. Representative Leverenz. Do you move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #11?"

Leverenz: "Move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #11."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 11 to House Bill 802. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #11. That's all the action required on 802, isn't that correct? Mr. Clerk. 1426...Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. ...Mr..Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1426 and I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #5 and I move to concur with Senate Amendment #6."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is...Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, I would like to have the Amendments explained to me so I'd be able to intelligantly vote upon the Gentleman's recommendations."

Schisler: "Senate Amendment #1 deletes the...ah...so called 'weasel clause' which pertains to the expenditures of Federal Funds. Ah... Senate Amendment #5 cuts an additional five hundred and thirteen thousand six hundred and fifty dollars from the...ah...General Revenue and Senate Amendment #6 adds thirty eight thousand dollars for...ah... thoroughbred and standard bred harness horse breeding programs as provided in Senate Bill 37."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, we have Amendments 1 and 5 but we don't have the others."

Speaker Redmond: "You don't have 6?"

Duff: "Yeah, we have 6."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 6 to House Bill 1426? All in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 115 'aye', no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendments #1 and 6 to House Bill 1426. Amendment #5. The question is shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 1426? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'no'. The House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 1426. 1302. No...back up. 1071. Representative Keller....I don't know, it's marked here but I don't know where the devil it is. 71 is on Supplemental 3...Is that the one we're looking for. Let me have that back again will you, I...Yes, Representative Keller, I made a...okay, go ahead, 1071."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1071."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Dunn."

Keller: "This is just a reduction..."

Dunn: "Just explain it please."

Keller: "Well, what this does, it...ah...it's a reduction to the underpass Bill for Fayette Avenue in Effingham...ah...to nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars. That's all they can spend this year they say."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield for a moment?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "From which fund will this be appropriated?"

Keller: "This is from the Road Fund, Gene."

Schlickman: "Thank you."



Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1071. All in favor indicate...vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 96 'aye', 18 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1071. 1314. Representative Byers. It's on Supplemental #1. That's been done. What in the world is that. Wait a minute now.. 1552, we've done that?...No we haven't. 1552. Representative Kozubowski. The Sponsor is not here. Where is he? Representative Kozubowski was misplaced. Read the messages while, in the meantime."

Fred Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 555. Action taken by the Senate, June 29...ah...Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 556. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 5 to Senate Bill 510. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to Amendments 4, 6, 7 and 8 to Senate Bill 635. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 478. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 558. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate



has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 2473. I am further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a Committee of Conference. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1790 and request a second Conference Committee to consist of five Members and so forth. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to recede from Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1299. I am further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a Committee of Conference. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1704. I am further directed to inform the House the Senate has requested a Committee of Conference. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to recede from Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1968. I am further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a Committee of Conference. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 to Senate Bill 1496. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has re...acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1170. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has acceded in the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 610. Action taken by the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses



in regard to House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 32. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further Messages."

Speaker Redmond: "Back to the order of concurrence. 0597. Representative Winchester. This is on Supplemental #3. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 957, changes the language where, which provided for a grant to now it provides for a loan to be repaid to the General Revenue Fund. I move that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 957? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final Action. 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 117 'aye' 1 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 957. 1506. Representative Berman. This is the regular Calendar. 1506. Representative Berman. Representative Kane will you... Representative Berman."

Berman: "Take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 1996. Representative Young. It's on Supplemental #3. Representative Young 1996. Would the Members please take their seats between me and the... ah... Representative Young."

Young: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 which changes the language to say a loan at 3 per cent interest to be repaid to the General Fund."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1996? All in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will... Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 96 'aye', 12 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1996. 2552. Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."



Speaker Redmond: "That's on Supplemental #1."

Kozubowski: "There are five Amendments, five Senate Amendments to House Bill 1552. I would like to ask for one Roll Call, however, I will explain each Amendment individually to answer any questions..."

Speaker Redmond: "May they all be considered together? Hearing no objections. Proceed."

Kozubowski: "Amendment #1, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would add one hundred and thirty four thousand dollars to the Department of Conservation projects to reappropriation in order to more accurately conform to the existing book balances. Amendment #2 adds three hundred and twenty four thousand, one hundred and seventy five thousand dollars to various capitol project reappropriations which were originally appropriated last year in order to conform more accurately with our current book balances. Senate Amendment #3 bring House Bill 1552 in line with Senate Bill 958, which has passed both Houses and gone to the Governor. Changes made to reflect transfers in Senate Bill 958, which dealt with State Fair projects. Senate Amendment #4 makes the deletions in various Department of Corrections projections which have since been completed and need not be re-appropriated. Senate Amendment #5 reduces a Conservation project by three hundred thousand dollars in order to confor with their book balance. I would move the...that the House do concur in Senate Amendments 1 through 5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if he would an explain in Amendment #2, that's a reappropriation."

Speaker Redmond: "Please explain it."

Schraeder: "Does that cover anything with the Rock Island Trail?"

Kozubowski: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you Representative."

Schraeder: "Does Amendment #2 on a reappropriation cover the Rock Island Trail?"

Kozubowski: "I don't believe it does."

Schraeder: "Does any of those five Amendments cover it?"

Kozubowski: "I don't believe they do."

Schraeder: "Thank you."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. Dunn."

Dunn: "Ah...With regards...Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Dunn: "With regard to Amendment #1...ah...I really don't understand whether these amount to increases or decreases and...ah...and whether these projects are earmarked for specific sites or whether these are statewide items...ah...what they are."

Kozubowski: "This Amendment Representative, would add an additional one hundred and thirty four thousand six hundred dollars to the Department of Conservation. These are statewide projects."

Dunn: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Yes, will the Gentleman yield for a question? On Amendment #4?"

Kozubowski: "Certainly, I will.."

Grotberg: "You..."

Kozubowski: "Representative before you begin I would just remind you that these are reappropriations of projects which the General Assembly has already favorably acted upon."

Grotberg: "I understand that. I'd like to hear what the projects are."

Kozubowski: "In which Amendment."

Grotberg: "Number 4. Corrections, you mentioned corrections. It's a reduction. Right?"

Kozubowski: "That's correct. But these projects have already been completed and are in agreement with the Department of Corrections."

Grotberg: "There's no way to have anything completed and have reduced the appropriation. I question...I...I would like a detailed account of the project in corrections that you're talking about."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well Mr. Speaker, as was pointed out by the Sponsor that these are items that are reappropriated and they're just making a adjustment as far as the book balances on hand and we reappropriated that dollar amount, some of the projects were completed and there's a correction in that process."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Madison."



Madison: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Madison: "Representative Kozubowski, with...ah...reference to Amendment would you explain that Amendment again?"

Kozubowski: "Yes, Representative. This Amendment adds an additional one hundred and thirty four thousand six hundred dollars as a reappropriation to the Department of Conservation projects. This is to more accurately conform with their existing book balances, this is to..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann, Representative Schneider, will you please be in your seats."

Madison: "Oh, well, Representative Kozubowski, could you explain to me which barrell this money's coming out of."

Kozubowski: "Representative I've been informed by the staff assistant that these are not pork barrell items that these are items which have been approved by the Department of Conservation and many of which are presently in the construction stages. These are all programs which have been approved by the Legislature and by the Governor as well this is merely a reappropriation."

Madison: "No well what I meant was, which fund. The General Revenue Fund or Bond Fund?"

Kozubowski: "The Capitol Development Board Fund."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 1552. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Schraeder. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I asked the Gentleman on...on this Amendment if the Rock Island Trail was an appropriation there and he said it was not or, I beg your pardon he said he didn't think so and I think I'm entitled to a correction on that one because apparently it is in there. May I take it out long enough to find out about it Mr. Speaker, I think I'm entitled to that."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't believe so. Have all voted who wish. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I don't having something put through, but when I



ask a question I think I'm entitled to a straight answer. Now, maybe the Sponsor didn't know it but somebody did and there's an Amendment in there and I'd like it made very clear for the record that I think this is a very poor way of doing it. Now if this is the way we're going to run the Conference Committee Reports then I think we'd better start all over. I protest, I think it's absolutely incorrect."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Well Mr. Speaker, I think we're on the Roll Call. Our staff assistant has offered Representative Schraeder an answer and is more than willing to sit down with him and explain it. His question was related to the Amendment and I gave him the correct answer and I think we should proceed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well Mr. Speaker. I want to protest this. You can put my record up there as 'no' but I think I was not given the correct answer and on this may be happening on other Conference Committee Reports. I think this is absolutely unfair. If we don't get the straight dope, then how do we know what we're voting on. I think this is a terrible thing to do and I want to protest."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder. The protest will be noted as a matter of record. This is a concurrence. This isn't a Conference Committee Report. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 100 'aye' and 15 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 1552. 2036. Representative Ryan. That's Supplemental Calendar #3."

Ryan: "Ah...Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to...I would like to concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions, and discussion? Representative Schlickman wants to know what it does."

Ryan: "It makes two changes. Two language changes in the Bill. The first changes, the first change deletes the reference to the expiration date in lines 2 and 3 of the Bill and the second change deletes the clause which permitted the apportionment of funds as nearly as possible, as indicated."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions or discussion? The question is,



shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2036? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 126 'aye' and 3 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2036. 2076. That's also on the Third Supplemental. Representative Pouncey."

Pouncey: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I do wish to move to concur with the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2076. The Amendment is to change the source of funds from the General Revenue Fund to the Capitol Development Bond Fund. So I'd appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question. Any discussion? Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker...ah...would the Sponsor answer a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Duff: "Representative Pouncey, this is the specialized living centers and it was funded from this, is funded from the General Revenue Fund and you now want to put it under the..under bonds, is that right?"

Pouncey: "No, Representative Duff, I do not want to put it under there, that is the...It was changed in the Senate. The General Revenue Fund did not approve of the funds and it was referred to the Capitol Development Bond Fund."

Duff: "Well...Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is just another example of the fact that we are recognizing that we are over spending. Now we have a very valid and legitimate state exercise here involved under this Bill, specialized living centers Act. It should be, if it's...It should be, if it's a valid concern of the Legislature, paid for by the State and now we're once again admitting that we don't have the money. So, we're once again mortgaging a valid program that should be paid for on a fiscally responsible basis. This is just another Bill that is deferring the day that we ever have to pay, but Ladies and Gentlemen the day will come when we do have to pay all these bonds. It's...I think it's fiscally irresponsible for us to continue on these kinds of programs with this kind of an approach and I don't think we should concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."



Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that this is a good program and in order to conserve revenue...ah.. this is coming out of bond funds. These things will certainly last forty years and I would ask that the House do concur in the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Deuster: "Representative Pouncey, I'm informed that this specialized living center program has already been funded through House Bill 3096 and...ah..are you aware of that?"

Pouncey: "That is not true, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "It's not in there?"

Pouncey: "That is just authorization and this is the appropriation sir."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Borchers: "Ah...is this that program where the minimum facility is fifty beds...to a hundred beds back from a hundred beds."

Pouncey: "There will be no less than fifty and no more than one hundred beds, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Well I would have to oppose the Bill because I'm certainly convinced from going around to the various institutions we have that this is the wrong approach and we're concentrating too many of these mentally retarded and I feel that it should be in smaller...smaller facilities, I believe Representative Palmer who has had some experience has the same opinion and others of us have been to these institutions and if Representative Palmer doesn't believe what I've said I think he should get up and say so, but I feel we're spending money for..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, we're not on the Bill. I wish the Gentleman would confine his remarks to the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "CONFIDENTIAL" "Representative Totten."



Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I rise in opposition to this concurrence motion. Ah...this fifteen million dollars was originally a part of the Governor's accelerated bond program. Representative Pouncey changed this to have it come out of General Revenue, the Senate has chosen to put it back in the form in which the Governor introduced it into the accelerated bond program and here we go again, as Representative Walsh as indicated time and time again we're going to approve this whole program in piecemeal fashion and it's time we stand up and beat it and I say let's vote 'no' on this concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Skinner: "Under the Capitol Development Board do you think that the cost of these facilities will be able to be lowered, below sixty thousand dollars per bed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, I'm waiting for an answer."

Speaker Redmond: "Pardon me."

Pouncey: "Please repeat your question please."

Skinner: "Under the Capitol Development Board, do you think the cost per bed will be lowered below the sixty thousand dollars per bed which was proposed as financed under the General Revenue Fund?"

Pouncey: "Ah...ah...Representative Skinner, I was told by an authority that it cost less than twenty thousand dollars a bed in these centers."

Skinner: "God bless you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2076? All in favor vote 'aye, opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Catania 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 94 'aye', 47 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2076. 2989. Representative Hanahan. That's on Supplemental #2. Is Representative Hanahan here? Representative Shea, can you explain 2989?"



Shea: "No Mr. Speaker, but if Hanahan isn't here maybe we can do this one in the morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 3058. Is that Representative Londrigan? Supplemental #3. Representative Neff, pardon me."

Londrigan: "Take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "I think this is Representative Neff's isn't it. Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah...Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to House Bill 3058, Senate Amendment #1 is just to...ah...a Amendment that...ah...the...ah...state law will comply with the Federal law, that's Public Law number 93643 in regards to the speed limits leaving the same as it is now at 55 miles per hour. I would move to...ah... adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3058."

Speaker Redmond: "Any question? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "I do not have a copy of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Have the Amendments been distributed, Representative Neff has them there. Representative Palmer. Have you got it. Will you send a courier down to Representative Schlickman, he... Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, this...this matter was discussed at great length before. I wonder if we could take this out of the record and take it up early in the morning?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Amendments filed, we might as well proceed."

Walsh: "Well, if the Amendment hasn't been distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "It has been distributed. Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "I'd like to ask a question, does this re...does this provide that trucks can go 55 miles an hour?"

Neff: "No this does not. This keeps the law the same it is now the speed of trucks would be 50 miles an hour and the passenger cars would be 55. The law would stay the same as it is now."

Palmer: "Well then why do we need the Bill?"

Neff: "Well, the law...ah...has expired. Will be expiring in



Palmer: Oh I see... This is just a renewal of the...Oh I see. All right."

Neff: "Yes, this is just a renewal Representative Palmer of the present law that we have on the books now."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "If the Gentleman would yield to a question I would like to ask."

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Skinner: "Is this for cars only?"

Neff: "It's the same as is...passanger cars and I believe it covers pick up trucks. Anything under eight thousand pounds."

Skinner: "We're not covering those huge trucks on two lane highways, we're not going to let them go over 50 miles an hour."

Neff: "No it doesn...on any state highway. Cause this is different on the toll roads and the city municipalities have control, but any state highway the trucks, the large trucks can only go 50 miles an hour under the law, the same that it is suppose to be now."

Skinner: "Okay, this looks okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Senate has done something which I find to be offensive whether it's a good Amendment or not. It has added a subject which is not germane to the original Bill and for that reason Mr. Speaker, I am going to be voting 'no'. When we introduce a Bill, when a Bill is called to be introduced, revising the vehicle, vehcile codes provisions relating to a special registraton fee for vehicles used to transport machinery within a limited radius for soil and water conservation work and then offers an Amendment in the Senate after we had given it three readings here, dealing with special registration fees and puts something on with regards to speed limits, I think it's entirely out of order and I wish Mr. Speaker, that one day sooner or later the Speaker of this House would rule such Amendments to be not germane."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Just a Parliamentary Inquiry Mr. Speaker. When the Senate adds an Amendment and the question of germaneness is not raised over there and it comes back in the form of a Conference Report, is it appropriate at that time to raise the question?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Redmond: "I have, I don't know of any way that we can discuss or address ourselves to the germaneness of a Senate Amendment."

Deuster: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Neff to close."

Neff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Gene, this Bill has been...ah...been stripped down and the Bill that you were talking about...ah... we've struck the enacting clause on it and...ah...all this Bill now consists of it is the speed limit. The Bill that you were speaking about...ah...it's been taken out of it by Amendment, completely."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is....Representative Walsh."

Neff: "Would you meet this law Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House in order to...ah...get...ah...Federal funds, without it, passing this law, why we could be in trouble of getting Federal funds."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Ah...To ask if the Sponsor would yield to a question..."

Speaker Redmond: "It's too late now. We've put the previous question. You can explain your vote. The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3058? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "As I look at this Bill or this...ah...concurrence...ah...very rapidly. It seems to me that it permits trucks in this state to go 55 miles an hour regardless of the road. That is not what it does? Well could we hold on just a second and look at it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Final action. 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Representative Londrigan, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Hill."

Hill: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and the House. There apparently is some doubt whether or not this would provide in the statutes if this becomes law, that those heavy trucks could travel at 55 miles an hour. Ah... Senator Chew is the one that apparently put this on. I know he's been



vitaly interested in seeing the trucks going at 55. I think we had better check this out and I would appreciate if the Sponsor would withdraw this concurrence for the time being."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah...This, Senator Chew had nothing to do with this and this is and you've got it right, this spells it out at 55 miles an hour except motor vehicles in division #B, which would be 50 miles an hour. The law is left the same exactly as it is today. 50 miles and hour for the large trucks and 50 miles an hour for the passenger."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To get right down to the heart of it, what this does is in every instance of the present highway speeds Act, where it said that it would be a limitation of 55 miles an hour, temporarily. According to the emergency highway energy conservation Act, enacted by the Congress. It, it eliminates all of that and makes it subject to the Federal Aid Highway Amendments of 1974 and it keeps it temporary but the problem it gives us that if the federal government, any time, should change that law, to allow ...ah...trucks to travel at the same speed as private passenger vehicles then it wouldn't require an action on our part. It would automatically become the same speed. What the Amendment does is put us totally in the hands of the federal government in terms of what it might do about the truck speeds and maybe everybody here would trust the federal government not to play around in this area, but I wouldn't."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment is the same Bill, the Senate Bill...ah...392 and what it does is it changes the reference in our speed limit Act in the, in the motor vehicles code from one federal statute to another. That's all it does. It changes the statutory reference so that our reference in our statutes of the Federal Act, will be correct and if it is not corrected by July 9th, I'm informed that we, that the Federal Highway Administration...ah...will not certify our federal highway funds...ah...This Bill is necessary. It should be passed now. It does not change the speed limit in any way, shape or form. If you



don't want these big trucks...ah...driving at the same speed limit then you ought to vote green on this...ah....What I'm suggesting to you is. I don't know whether this Amendment was offered by Senator Chew, but I do know that when he first introduced the Bill that he refused to accept the 55 mile an hour speed Amendment for trucks. I know that he refused to do that on his Bill was originally drafted in his Committee and I'm suggesting to you that if you don't want the trucks...ah.. traveling at 55 miles an hour then you ought to concur with this because if we don't concur with it you're going to end up with another Amendment and...ah...what will happen is that we'll end up with the standard...ah...speed limit."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I noticed too on page 5 line 19 that this now the...ah...I'm wondering whether this might make a substitute change in this Bill where it shows that the Toll Highway Commissioner's out of business now it's the Toll Highway Authority. I was wondering what this does to the Toll Highway Commission?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff, are you going to respond?"

Neff: "Yes...ah...Thank you Mr. Speaker...ah...Sparky, the Toll Highways have never been into this, they have their own laws and rules and...ah...as far as the states concerned, why, under our present law the toll ways are not under it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Clarence, what I'm referring to here is...now what is the reason for now making it a Toll Highway Authority rather than the present Toll Highway Commission...ah...What are you trying to do with that in this Bill? And what are your reasons for so doing?"

Neff: "Ah...Sparky...ah...This is written up by D.O.T. and I was just going through it now of course I haven't seen it, this was just done today and...ah...I believe, Mr. Speaker, If I could, I'd like to take this out of the record because...ah..."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? He have leave? Out of the record. Representative Shea."



Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn until 9:30 A.M."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved that the House adjourn until 9:30..."

Shea: "Mr. Washburn wants."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Just one announcement. Representatiye Simms and Mrs. Simms became the parents of a six pound thirteen ounce boy while Representative Simms was here taking care of his Legislative duties. About forty-five minutes ago..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House do now adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow morning. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The House is adjourned. May I make this announcement? According to the last count, we have about seventy Concerence Committees now some of those reports have been in but it's a full days work tomorrow and I may encourage that we get here at 9:30."



INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

June 29, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

June 29, 1975

<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>DISCUSSION</u>
12:00	Speaker Redmond	House to Order
	Davis	Prayer
	Speaker Redmond	Roll Call
	Fred Selcke	Agreed Resolution
	Madigan	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Barnes	
12:03	Fred Selcke	Death Resolution
	Speaker Redmond	
12:05	Fred Selcke	Message from the Senate
	Speaker Redmond	Non-concurrence
	Tipsword	SB 554...HA #1 and #3
	Speaker Redmond	House refuses to recede
12:11	Mudd	SB 699...HA #1 and #3
12:14	Speaker Redmond	House refuses to recede
	?	1496.....#1, #2, #3 and #4
	Speaker Redmond	Refuses to recede
	?	Out of record
12:15	Speaker Redmond	HB 2229
	Shea	
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #2
	Shea	
12:18	Speaker Redmond	HB 2229...Amendment #2, House Concurs

~~Taylor~~



12:19	Speaker Redmond	SB 1170
	Griesheimer	SB....HA #2, #3
	Speaker Redmond	House refuse to recede
	McLendon	
	Speaker Redmond	HB 663...SA #1
12:21		House Concurs
	Speaker Redmond	HB
	Palmer	Take out of Record
	Speaker Redmond	SB 24..Conf. Committee Report
	LaFleur	SB 32
	Speaker Redmond	
	Schlickman)	Explain ?
	Speaker Redmond)	
)	
12:25	LaFleur)	
	Speaker Redmond	Take out of record
	Speaker Redmond	
12:30	Mudd	Placque to Walsh
12:31	Walsh	
	Shea)	Presents Book to Speaker
)	
	Washburn)	
)	
	Speaker Redmond)	
12:35	Borchers	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:37	Friedrich	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Kosinski	
	Speaker Redmond	



12:40	Pierce	Parliamentary Inquiry
	Speaker Redmond	
12:42	Tipsword	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:43	Borchers	Point of Personal Privilege
	Speaker Redmond	
	Mann	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:45	Cunningham	Point of Personal Privilege
12:45	Fred Selcke	Conf. Committee Report
	Speaker Redmond	
	Katz	Need copies
	Speaker Redmond	
12:48	Barnes	
	Speaker Redmond	SB 349
	Beaupre	Take out
	Beaupre	SB 348..HA #1
12:50	Speaker Redmond	
	Ryan)	Yield
)	
	Beaupre)	
	Speaker Redmond	House refuses to recede
	Holewinski	Conf. Committee Report
	Speaker Redmond	
12:55	Kozubowski	HB 1089..SA #1, #2 and #3
	Speaker Redmond	House non-concurs



	Speaker Redmond		Be at ease
	Schlichman		SB 208..Conf. Committee Report
	Speaker Redmond		House adopt...1st Conf. Committee Report
12:59	Barnes)	
)	
1:00	Schlickman)	
)	
	Speaker Redmond)	
)	
1:00	Schuneman)	
	Speaker Redmond		House does concur
	Mulcahey		HB 1977..SA #1, #3, #4, and #5
1:00	Speaker Redmond		House non-concurs
1:01	Senator Lemke		Senator Leroy Lemke presents Placque to Representative Fary Thanks
	Fary		
1:10	Speaker Redmond		
	Hart		HB 1302...SA #3
	Shea in Chair		
	Shea		SA #3..HB 1302...House
1:15	Pierce		
	Shea		
1:17	Mann)	Yield ?
)	
	Hart)	
1:19	Mann		Speaks against the Bill
	Shea		
1:20	Lechowicz		voting 'no'
	Shea		
1:21	McMasters		Speaks for
	Shea		
1:22	Neff		for



	Shea	
1:24	Ewell	Against
	Shea	
1:25	Cunningham	
	Shea	
1:25	Kozubowski	
	Shea	
	Borchers	
	Shea	
1:27	McGrew	
1:28	Shea	Motion fails
	Hart	Moves non-concur..Am. #3
1:28	Shea	House non-concurs..HB 1302..SA #3
	Hart	SA #4..HB 1302
	Shea	House Concurs
1:30	Hart	SA #5...HB 1302
	Shea	House Concurs with SA #5,6,and 7
	Geo-Karis	Ask chair for fuling HB 1704
	Shea	Leave to re-consider vote
	Maragos)	Point of Parliamentary Inquiry
	Shea)	Is there objection?
	Geo-Karis)	
	Shea)	
	Maragos	
	Shea	
	Schlickman)	Questions
	Shea)	



	Maragos	"Object for one reason."
	Shea	
	Maragos	Withdraw objection
	Shea	
	Tipsword	"Joint motion"
	Shea	
	Matijevich)
)
	Shea) 'ayes' have it
	Shea	SA #2..HB 1704..House 'no' concur
	Geo-Karis	Ask for Conference Committee
	Shea	HB 2559
	Houlihan, D. L.	SA #1
	Shea	House Concur .
1:44	Shea	SB 417..Conf. Committee Report
	Beaupre	HA #1, 2 and 3
	Borchers	
	Shea	House accepts Conf. Committee Report
	Mudd	Move that all House Joint Resol. appear on Fall Calendar
	Shea	
	Deavers	HB 1453, Conf. Committee Report
1:49	Shea	House refuses to accept...1453
	Keller	Move not to recede on #1, 2 & 4 on SB 471
	Shea	House refuses to recede
	Hart)
)
	Shea) House refuses to recede #1, 2, & 3.
	Shea	Amend. veto 335
	Keller	Take out



1:53	Houlihan, J	"IDA" (Lemke..Senate Sponsor #2559)
	Shea	
1:55	Pierce)	Parliamentary Inquiry
	Shea)	
2:00	Holewinski	HB 1103..SA #6..Conf. Com. Rep.#1
	Shea	Conf. Committee Report HB 1103 House Adopts
	Shea	CC 871
	Pouncey)	Take out
	Shea)	
	Dunn, R	SB 113
	Shea	Conf. Committee Report #2
	Kane)	Question
	Dunn)	
	Shea	
	Schlickman)	Question
2:07	Shea)	House accepts Conf. Committee Report...SB 1118
	Matijeovich	
	Shea	Hold sending Message on SB 1118
2:10	Shea	HB 2559..Bill no longer in control of House or Senate
	Shea	House did adopt Conf. Committee Report #2 ..SB 1118
2:12	Houlihan, J.	
	Shea	SB 470 ...HB 871
2:14	Pouncey	Conf. Committee Report..Adopt
	Shea	
2:15	Barnes)	Yield
	Pouncey)	



	Shea	House does adopt ..Conf. Committee Report #1..HB 871
	McClain	HB 1949..Conf. Committee Report #1
	Shea	House Does adopt Conf. Committee Report #1..House Bill 1949
	Bluthardt	HB 2065...Conf. Committee Report
	Shea	
	Schlickman)	Yield ?
)	
	Bluthardt)	
	Shea	
	Hill	Vote 'no'
	Shea	
	Duff	
	Shea)	House does not adopt
)	
	Bluthardt)	
	Shea	HB 2296
	Shea	SB 32
2:27	LaFleur	Conf. Committee Report #1
2:27	Shea	
	Boyle	"what does it do"
	LaFleur	
	Duff	BLT ?
	Shea	
	Boyle)	Sponsor answer question ?
)	
2:29	LaFleur)	
	Shea	
2:32	Schraeder	
	Pierce	



2:35	Shea	
2:36	LaFleur	
2:38	Schraeder	
	Shea	
2:39	Deavers	Move Previous Question
	Shea	'ayes' have it
	LaFleur	
2:40	Shea	HB 32..Conf. Committee Report
	Tipsword	
2:40	Beatty	
	Shea	
2:43	Mugalian	
	Shea	
2:43	Daniels	
	Shea	
2:45	Kane	Urge 'aye' vote
	Shea	
2:45	Boyle	Explain vote,..Rec. for verification.
2:46	Shea	Poll the absentees
	Fred Selcke	
	Shea	
2:48	Randolph	'aye' to present
	Fred Selcke	Continues with affirmative verif.
2:52	Shea	
2:52	Keller	Change to 'aye'
	Shea	Proceed
	Simms	Change to 'no'



	Shea	
2:53	Macdonald	'no' to 'aye'
	Fred Selcke	continues Roll Call
	Shea	
2:54	Leverenz	verify 'aye'
	Shea	
	Boyle	Questions of Roll Call
	Shea	91 'ayes' 53 'nays' House Concurs SB 32..Conf.Com.Rep.
3:07	Madigan	Moves to re-consider the vote
	Shea	Laid on the Table
3:07	Fennessey	Leave for elections..Com. at 3:30 Full Committee
	Shea	Leave
	Fred Selcke	SB 1506, Third Reading
	Shea	
3:08	Collins	
	Shea	SB 1506
3:10	Barnes, E. M.	
	Collins	
3:10	Shea	SB 1506...Passed
	Collins	SA #1...HB 3002
3:11	Bradley..Shea	House does not concur
	Bradley in Chair	
	Taylor	SB 345..HA #1
	Bradley	House recesses
	Catania	HB 2473..Move back to Conf. Report SA #1
	Bradley	House non-concurs



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Hoffman, G	534
Palmer	"waiting for Senate action"
Bradley	
Taylor	HB-2627
Bradley	take out
Bradley	HB-783
VanDuyne	Five minutes ?
Bradley	
Hart	HB 1092....SA # 1 & #2
Bradley	House non-concurs
Byers	HB 1314..Move to concur SA #1
Bradley	
Byers	Explains Amendment #1
Bradley	House Concurs
Byers	SA #2
Bradley	House non-concurs
Iyers	SA #3
Bradley	House
Madison)	Parliamentary Inquiry
)	
Bradley)	House Concurs
Byers	SA #4
Bradley	House Concurs
Byers	SA #5
Bradley	House non-concurs
Byers	SA #6
Bradley	House non-concurs



Byers		SA #7
Bradley		House non-concurs
Byers		SA #8
Bradley		
Hart)	
)	
Byers)	
Bradley		
Byers)	Question
)	
Bradley)	
Byers		Explain vote
Bradley		Motion to non-concur is lost
Hart		Move we concur .
Bradley		
houlihan, J.		Parliamentary inquiry
Bradley		
Hart		Parliamentary Point
Bradley		
Schlickman)	Question
)	
Hart)	
Bradley		
Barnes)	
)	
Bradley)	
Matijevich		Point of order
Bradley		
Houlihan, J.		Renew my request
Bradley		
Byers		Moves to concur



Bradley		
Madison)	Question of Chair
)	
Bradley)	House concurs
Byers		SA #9
Bradley		House concurs
VanDuyne		HB 835..SA #1..move to concur
Bradley		
VanDuyne		Explains Amendment
Bradley		House concurs
Vonoeckman		HB 783..SA #1 & #2
Bradley		House non-concurs
Mulcahey		HB 1979..Amendment #1
Bradley		House concurs
Berman		HB 1968..SA #1
Bradley		
Miller)	Yield
)	
Berman)	
Bradley		
alsh		
Bradley		
Beatty		Point of Order
Bradley		"confine your remarks"
Walsh		
Bradley		
Berman		to close
Bradley		House
Walsh		



Bradley	
Lundy	Explain vote
Bradley	
Shea	Explain vote 'aye'
Bradley	
Duff)	"Agree with Majority Leader"
Bradley)	
Miller	Point of Order and explain vote
Bradley	
Schlickman	
Bradley	
Lundy	Point of Personal privilege
Schlickman	
Bradley	
Hill	Explain vote 'no'
Bradley	
Griesheimer	Explain vote 'no'
Bradley	
Beaupre	Explain vote 'yes'
Bradley	
Grotberg	Explain vote
Bradley	
Shea	"number of votes required"
Bradley)	
Walsh)	
Shea	
Bradley	



Duff	"Members want pictures taken?"
Bradley	
Lechowicz	Point of order
Bradley	
Matijevech	
Bradley	
Beatty	"Apoligize to Minority Leader"
Bradley	
Berman	Move to non-concur
Bradley	House non-concurs HB 1968..SA #1
Berman	Move to concur HB 2592..SA #1 & #2
Bradley	
Tuerk	"Suggest we stand at ease"
Bradley	
Matijevech	
Bradley	
Washington)	Question
)	
Ecman)	Sex, race and creed.
Bradley	
Leinenweber)	Question
)	
Berman)	
Bradley	
Berman	To close
Bradley	
Waddell	Explain vote
Bradley	House Concurs ..SA #1 & 2..HB 2592
Berman	HB 2296



Bradley	
Schlickman	Couple of Bills
Bradley	
Schlickman	
Bradley	
Houlihan	Kozubowski's Bill
Bradley	2296
Chapman	Explains SA #1, Conference Committee Report
Bradley	
Palmer) Question
Chapman) Discussion of Senate Amendment
Bradley	
Leinenweber	
Bradley	Ryan came to podium
Leinenweber) Question of Sponsor
Chapman) Discussion of Senate Amendment #1
Leinenweber	Speaks on the report
Bradley	
Stone	
Bradley	
Ebbesen	Previous question
Bradley	so moved
Chapman	To Close
Bradley	Vote
Palmer	Voting 'no' on this Bill.
Bradley	2296
Leinenweber	Explains vote.



Bradley		
Polk		Pass
Bradley		Take the record..House adopts report.
Jack O'Brien		Messages from the Senate
Boyle in the Chair		
Boyle		Concurrences
Hoffman		534; move to concur with SA #1 & #2
Boyle		Took out of Record
Jack O'Brien		Messages from the Senate
Boyle		534; proceeds with the Bill
Hoffman		Explains SA #1 & #2
Boyle		
Skinner		Question
Boyle		Indicates he will
Skinner)	Question put
Hoffman)	Discussion and response.
Boyle		
Schraeder		
Boyle		534
Schraeder)	Discussion and response
Hoffman)	
Boyle		Vote...House concurs
Schuneman		SB 610...Non-concurrence
		Move that House refuse to recede
		HA #1 and #2
Boyle		So moved.....Announcement
Yourell		HB 1146..Conf. Committee Report #1
Boyle		
Mugalian		Parliamentary Inquiry later.



Boyle	
Schraeder	Does not have report and question
Boyle	
Schraeder	
Yourell	
Boyle	
Friedrich	Question
Yourell	Response
Friedrich	
Yourell	HB 1146
Friedrich	Opposes the Bill
Boyle	Recognition of Representative
Schlickman	
Boyle	
Houlihan	
Boyle	
Houlihan	Fiscal impact
Yourell	Seven to eight million
Boyle	
Reed	
Boyle	Order in the House
Schlickman	How many calendars
Boyle	
Schlickman	
Boyle	
Lauer	Rise in support



Boyle	House Bill 1146
Shea	Supports the Bill
Boyle	
Madison	
Boyle	Yields
Madison) Question put
)
Yourell) Discussion
Boyle	Proceed
Madison	Speaks to the Bill, oppose.
Boyle	
Tuerk	
Boyle	Yields .
Tuerk	Question put
Yourell	Response and Discussion
Tuerk	Thank you
Boyle	
McMaster	
Boyle	
Ebbesen	Moves previous question
Boyle	HB 1146....so moved
Yourell	To close
Boyle	
Cunningham	Explain vote, supports
Boyle	
Schraeder	Explains vote, opposes
Boyle	
Peters	Explains vote, votes 'aye'



Boyle	
Londrigan	Explains vote, opposes
Boyle	Order
Londrigan	Urge 'no' vote
Boyle	
Friedrich	Explains vote, opposes
Boyle	
Simms	Explains vote, 'no'.
Boyle	
Mudd	
Boyle	
Mudd	HB 1146, Explains vote
Boyle	
Houlihan	
Boyle	Take the record.
Houlihan	
Boyle	
Houlihan	Assume it's verified
Shea	Reconsidered
Boyle	
Yourell	Lie on table
Boyle) Discussion
)
Houlihan)
Boyle	Motion tabled
Bradley in Chair	
Bradley	Conference Committee Reports
Shea	HB 1881



Bradley	HB 1881
Grotberg	
Bradley	
Skinner	
Shea	HB 1881, discussion.
Skinner	
Shea	
Skinner	
Shea	
Skinner	
Shea	
Skinner	
Shea	
Bradley	
Washburn	
Bradley	Vote on adoption, House does adopt report.
Palmer	HB 1299, move for House to concur SA #2 and #3.
Bradley	
Madison	Later a point of Parliamentary inquiry.
Bradley	
Mann	
Bradley	
Duff	Will Sponsor yield?
Bradley	HB 1299, yields.
Duff	Four additional Members
Palmer	Amendment #3
Duff	Speaks on the Amendment



Bradley	
Peters	Moves Previous question
Bradley	So moved
Palmer	To close
Bradley	Vote on SA #2
Geo-Karis	Explain vote....supports
Bradley	Motion fails
Palmer	
Bradley	
Mann	Point of order
Bradley	
Palmer	Move to concur, SA #3
Bradley	
Palmer	Move to non-concur, SA #2
Bradley	So moved
Palmer	HB 1299, move to non-concur SA #1
Bradley	So moved
Palmer	Move for Conference Committee.
Bradley	That will occur
Palmer	Move to concur, SA #3
Bradley	Vote
Skinner	
Bradley	
Mann	Parliamentary Inquiry
Bradley	
Palmer	Explains SA #3
Bradley	Take the record...Motion fails



Bradley	House does non-concur SA #3
Fennessey	Motion..election..Committee Report
Bradley	
Greisheimer	
Bradley	
Bluthardt	Point of Order.
Bradley	Vote...Report adopted.
Fennessey	
Bradley	
Kempiners	Minority Report
Shea in Chair...	
Shea	Resolution
Madison	Parliamentary Inquiry
Shea	
Madison	
Shea	
Madison	
Shea	Resolutions
Houlihan	Order a transcript
Shea	
Houlihan	Madison's request
Shea	NO, Sir.
Bradley	Move that House recess 'til 7:15.
Shea	House now in recess 'til 7:15.
Doorkeeper (John Painter)...Announcement	
Bradley	
Jack O'Brien	Messages from the Senate



Bradley	Motion by Rayson.
Rayson	
Bradley	Hold it a minute
Tipsword	
Bradley	Supplemental #3.
Rayson	Motion ...1820 on Fall Calendar...
Bradley	Objections?
Walsh	Objects...(who else, huh?)
Bradley	
Merlo	
Bradley	Only motion will be on Fall....
Merlo	With leave you can do anything
Bradley	
Rayson	Take from interim and put on Fall Calendar.
Bradley	Suggest...Take out of record.
Borchers	
Bradley	
Borchers	
Bradley	
Downs	Misunderstanding of Rayson's motion.
Bradley	
Downs	
Bradley	Still needs 107 votes
Downs	
Bradley	
Rayson	
Bradley	Motion wrong on Calendar
Schlickman	Disposition of motion



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Bradley

Kozubowski SB 629..Motion..House does recede
from Senate Amendment.

Bradley

Houlihan

Bradley Yields

Houlihan

Kozubowski

Houlihan SB 629

Kozubowski

Houlihan

Bradley

Friedrich

Bradley

Friedrich)
)
Kozubowski)

Bradley

Merlo Subject matter?

Bradley Vote - final action..House recesses from
SA #4SB 477

Lechowicz Move House do not recede

Bradley

Lechowicz

Bradley So moved

Madigan in Chair

Madigan

Ebbesen Parliamentary inquiry

Madigan

Ebbesen



Shea in Chair

Shea
 Ebbesen
 Shea By tradition
 Ebbesen Thank you
 Shea
 Hoffman, G. L.
 Shea
 Hoffman, G. L. HB 2156....placed on Fall Calendar
 Shea Written motion
 Hoffman Yes
 Shea
 Schlickman Object to anything on Fall.....
 Shea
 Jones, J.D. Asks leave
 Shea
 Choate Asks leave...#31...HJRCA on Fall.....
 Shea File motion
 Choate
 Shea
 Choate Thank you
 Shea #477...done
 Mahar
 Shea
 Mahar HB 914..Explains SA #1...\$20,000
 Shea
 Mahar



Shea	Supplemental #3...HB 914 Vote...House does Concur SA #1.
Younge	HB 396..Move to adopt report
Shea	
Schlickman	
Shea	
Schlickman	Technical corrections..What are they?
Shea	Be in seats
Younge)	Explains
Schlickman)	Discussion
Shea	HB 396
Deuster	Explain vote...Oppose
Shea	
Washington	Explain vote..support
Shea	
Schlickman	Explain vote..opposed
Shea	
Ebbesen	Explain vote...Support
Shea	
Madigan	Explain vote...support
Shea	
Miller	Explain vote...Opposed
Shea	
Houlihan, J.	Explain vote
Shea	
Lechowicz	Point of order
Shea	Speak to the Bill
Houlihan, J.	Continues... 'no' vote.



Shea	House does adopt Report #1
Washington	Point of Personal Privilege
Shea	Announcement, appointment of Conference Committee
Jack O'Brien	
Shea	
Hill	Stalling
Shea	
Jack O'Brien	Messages from Senate
Shea	HB 1453
Deavers	Move to adopt 2nd Conf. Committee Report
Shea	
Schlickman	Will Sponsor yield?
Shea	Indicates he will
Schlickman	Describe SA #1
Deavers	Response
Schlickman	Speaks to report
Shea	Proceed, Sir.
Schlickman	Proceeds...
Shea	Recognition of Representative
Stone	Hope we adopt.
Shea	HB 1453
Palmer	Ask Sponsor question
Shea	Indicates he'll yield
Palmer	Question put.
Deavers	
Shea	
Deavers	To close



Shea Vote..House does concur!!!!
 Jones, J.D. Resolutions
 Shea Wait till I get some order
 Jones HR 435... Suspend App. Rule
 Shea HR 435
 Shea
 Jones, J.D.
 Gréiman) Point of Order
)
 Shea)
 Lauer Point of Order
 Shea
 Jones, J.D.
 Speaker Redmond
 Deuster Support
 Speaker Redmond
 Jaffe Point of Order
 Speaker Redmond
 Downs
 Speaker Redmond
 Von Boeckman
 Speaker Redmond
 Anderson)
)
 Speaker Redmond)
 Jones
 Speaker Redmond
 Schraeder "Not sure Reso. can be heard together."
 Speaker Redmond



Duff	
Speaker Redmond	
Jaffe	"Nonsensical"
Speaker Redmond	
Ebbeson	Move previous question
Speaker Redmond	'Ayes' have it
Jones, J.D.	
Speaker Redmond	
Jones	
Downs	
Speaker Redmond	motion fails
Boyle	1766 point of order
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	Point of personal privilege
Speaker Redmond	HB 1766
Washington	Ask for second Conference Committee
Speaker Redmond	Leave
Lechowicz	HB 2868 Conference Committee Report #1
Speaker Redmond	
Houlihan, J.)	Yield?
Lechowicz)	
Speaker Redmond	House adopts first Conference Committee Report
Lechowicz	HB 2869 Conference Committee Report #1
Speaker Redmond	House adopts first Conference Committee Report
Friedland	SB 986 Conference Committee Report #1



Speaker Redmond

Eyers) Yield?

Friedland)

Speaker Redmond House adopts first Conference
Committee Report

Beatty) Question

Friedland)

Speaker Redmond

Griesheimer SB 1157 Conference Committee
Report #1

Speaker Redmond House adopts first Conference
Committee Report

Maragos

Speaker Redmond

Lechowicz) Point of information

Speaker Redmond)

Stubblefield SB 1384 Conference Committee
Report #2

Speaker Redmond

Leinenweber) Question

Stubblefield)

Speaker Redmond

Houlihan, J.) Yield?

Stubblefield)

Speaker Redmond

D'Arvo

Speaker Redmond "Confine your remarks"

Houlihan, J.

Speaker Redmond



Friedrich)	Question
Stubblefield)	
Lundy	Move previous question
Speaker Redmond	'Ayes' have it
Stubblefield	To close
Speaker Redmond	
Grotberg)	
Stubblefield)	
Speaker Redmond	House adopts second Conference Committee Report
Merlo	SB 1367 Conference Committee Report #1
Speaker Redmond	House adopts Conference Committee Report #1
Speaker Redmond	
Tipsword	HB 289
Speaker Redmond	
Shea)	Question
Tipsword)	Amendment #1
Speaker Redmond	House concurs
Tipsword	Amendment #2
Speaker Redmond	House concurs
Schlickman)	Question
Tipsword)	Amendment #3
Speaker Redmond	Amendment #3 House concurs
Tipsword	Amendment #4 & #16
Speaker Redmond	
Kane	Yield?
Tipsword	



Speaker Redmond
 Fleck) Question
 Tipsword)
 Speaker Redmond
 Schlickman) Yield?
 Tipsword)
 Speaker Redmond House concurs Amendment #4 & #16
 Tipsword Amendment #6
 Speaker Redmond House concurs
 Tipsword Amendment #7
 Speaker Redmond
 Shea) Yield?
 Tipsword)
 Speaker Redmond
 Tipsword Amendment #8
 Speaker Redmond
 Schlickman) Yield?
 Tipsword)
 Schlickman "Address myself to this matter"
 Speaker Redmond
 Shea) Yield?
 Tipsword)
 Speaker Redmond
 Ryan Point of order
 Speaker Redmond
 Duff "Hold my remarks"
 Shea "May I finish"



Speaker Redmond	Proceed
Duff	
Shea)	Continues
Tipsword)	
Speaker Redmond	"At ease for a few minutes"
Friedrich	Tribune announced adjournment
Speaker Redmond	
Keller	
Tipsword	Amendment #8
Speaker Redmond	
Shea	"Open up the switches?"
Speaker Redmond	
Fleck	
Speaker Redmond	House does not concur #8
Tipsword	Moves House non-concur
Speaker Redmond	House non-concurs
Tipsword	Permission #9, 10, & 11 -- non-concurrence
Speaker Redmond	House non-concurs
Tipsword	Amendment #14
Speaker Redmond	
Schlickman)	Yield?
Tipsword)	
Speaker Redmond	House does not concur
Tipsword	move House does not concur
Speaker Redmond	House non-concurs Amendment #14
Tipsword	Amendment #15
Speaker Redmond	



Schlickman)	Yield?
Tipsword)	
Speaker Redmond	
Duff	
Speaker Redmond	
Grotberg)	
Tipsword)	
Speaker Redmond	
Palmer)	Question
Tipsword)	
Speaker Redmond	House does not concur
Tipsword	Move to non-concur #15
Speaker Redmond	House non-concurs
Tipsword	Amendment #18
Speaker Redmond	
Palmer	
Speaker Redmond	House concurs
Tipsword	
Speaker Redmond	At ease for 15 minutes
Fred Selcke	Messages from Senate
Speaker Redmond	"House will come to order"
Leverenz	HB 802 Senate Amendment #1
Speaker Redmond	House concurs
Dunn, J.	
Speaker Redmond	
Leverenz	Senate Amendment #2
Speaker Redmond	House concurs



Leverenz	Senate Amendment #4
Speaker Redmond	
Walsh)	Question
Leverenz)	
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	Responds to Walsh
Speaker Redmond	House concurs Senate Amendment #4
Leverenz	Amendment #5
Speaker Redmond	
Walsh	"Why not non-concur with all?"
Leverenz	
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	
Speaker Redmond	
Walsh	
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	
Speaker Redmond	House non-concurs Senate Amendment #5
Leverenz	Amendment #6
Speaker Redmond	House non-concurs #6
Leverenz	Senate Amendment #7
Speaker Redmond	
Totten	
Speaker Redmond	
Borchers	Concur or non-concur?
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	



Speaker Redmond	
Walsh	Urge 'no'
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	In favor
Speaker Redmond	Schlickman requests verification
Lechowicz	"Poll the absentees"
Speaker Redmond	
Fred Selcke	
Speaker Redmond	
Ebbesen	Go from no to yes
Speaker Redmond	
Mautino	Change to 'aye'
Speaker Redmond	"Dump the Roll" House concurs #7
Leverenz	Amendment #8
Speaker Redmond	
Hoffman, G.L.)	Yield?
Leverenz)	Amendment #8, 9, 10, 11 & 12
Speaker Redmond	move we not concur
Mudd	Explain Amendment
Speaker Redmond	
Hoffman, G.L.	
Speaker Redmond	
Ebbesen	Point of order
Speaker Redmond	
Leverenz	
Speaker Redmond	
Mudd	



Speaker Redmond	
Leverenz	Move we consider all
Speaker Redmond	
Mudd	
Speaker Redmond	House
Maragos	
Speaker Redmond	
VanDuyne	
Speaker Redmond	
Duff	
Speaker Redmond	
Mudd	
Speaker Redmond	House concurs Senate Amendment #8
Walsh	"Could we take all but 11?"
Speaker Redmond	'Ayes' have it - non-concur on 9, 10 & 12
Leverenz	
Speaker Redmond	
Walsh	Move substitute motion
Speaker Redmond	
Walsh	Move concurrence #11
Speaker Redmond	
Leverenz	Ask non-concur #11
Speaker Redmond	House
Walsh	
Speaker Redmond	
Londrigan	Vote 'no'
Speaker Redmond	



Duff

Speaker Redmond You're out of order

Speaker Redmond House does not concur

Leverenz Move not concur

Speaker Redmond House non-concurs #11

Schisler 1426 move to concur Senate Amendment #1 & 6, non-concur Senate Amendment #1

Speaker Redmond

Choate Explains Senate Amendments

Schisler Explains the Senate Amendments

Speaker Redmond

Duff No other Amendments

Speaker Redmond

Duff Yeah, we have #6

Speaker Redmond Vote - House concurs Senate Amendment #1 & 6

Speaker Redmond House non-concurs Senate Amendment #5

Keller HB 1071 move House concur Senate Amendment #1

Speaker Redmond

Dunn Please explain it

Keller Explains Senate Amendment #1

Speaker Redmond

Schlickman

Keller

Speaker Redmond Vote - House does concur

Fred Selcke Messages from Senate

Speaker Redmond 957 - Order of concurrence

Winchester Move House do concur Senate Amendment #1



Speaker Redmond	Vote - House concurs
Berman	1506 - take out of record
Speaker Redmond	1996
Younge	Move House do concur Senate Amendment #1
Speaker Redmond	
Speaker Redmond	Vote - House does concur
Kozubowski	1552 - 5 Senate Amendments, one Roll Call - explain each
Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
Kozubowski	Explains Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4 & 5
Speaker Redmond	
Schraeder)	Discussion
Kozubowski)	
Speaker Redmond	
J. Dunn	Will Sponsor yield?
Speaker Redmond	He will
J. Dunn	Question put
Kozubowski	Discussion and response
J. Dunn	Thank you
Speaker Redmond	
Grotberg	
Kozubowski	
Grotberg	
Kozubowski)	Discussion on Senate Amendment #4
Grotberg)	
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	



Speaker Redmond

Madison Will Sponsor yield?

Speaker Redmond He will

Madison) Question put

Kozubowski) Response and discussion

Speaker Redmond

Schraeder Straight answer requested

Speaker Redmond

Kozubowski

Speaker Redmond

Schraeder Protests this action

Speaker Redmond Protest noted - House concurs

Ryan 2036 - move to concur Senate Amendment #1

Speaker Redmond

Ryan Explains Senate Amendment #1

Speaker Redmond Vote - House does concur

Pouncey 2076 - move to concur Senate Amendment #1

Speaker Redmond

Duff Will Sponsor answer questions

Speaker Redmond He will

Duff Question put

Pouncey Response

Duff Speaks to the Amendment

Speaker Redmond

Shea Good program

Speaker Redmond



Deuster	Will Sponsor yield?
Speaker Redmond	He will
Deuster	
Pouncey	
Deuster	
Pouncey	
Speaker Redmond	
Borchers	
Speaker Redmond	
Borchers	
Pouncey	
Borchers	Opposes
Speaker Redmond	
Madison	Confine remarks
Speaker Redmond	
Totten	Opposes concurrence motion
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	Will Sponsor yield?
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	
Pouncey	Repeat the question
Skinner)	States the question
Pouncey)	Response
Speaker Redmond	Vote - House does concur
Shea	2989 - do it in morning



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Redmond	Take out of record
Londrigan	3058
Speaker Redmond	
Neff	Explains Senate Amendment #1
Speaker Redmond	
Schlickman	
Speaker Redmond	
Walsh)	Great length
Speaker Redmond)	
Palmer	Question
Neff	No, it does not
Palmer)	Discussion
Neff)	
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	Question
Speaker Redmond	Yields
Skinner	Discussion
Neff	
Skinner	
Speaker Redmond	
Schlickman	Opposes the Bill
Speaker Redmond	
Deuster	Parliamentary inquiry
Speaker Redmond	
Deavers	Moves previous question
Speaker Redmond	So moved
Neff	To close



Speaker Redmond	
Walsh	
Speaker Redmond	Too late now - Vote
Walsh	Explains vote
Speaker Redmond	All voted?
Hill	Opposes
Speaker Redmond	
Neff	Discussion
Speaker Redmond	
Duff	
Speaker Redmond	
Beaupre	
Speaker Redmond	
Garmisa	
Speaker Redmond	
Neff	
Speaker Redmond	
Garmisa	
Neff	Take out of record
Speaker Redmond	Take out of record
Shea	Move to adjourn till 9:30 a.m.
Speaker Redmond	
Washburn	Simms had a baby
Speaker Redmond	House adjourned



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES