

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRED FIRST LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 28, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1.

John Painter: "All those not entitled to the floor will you please retire to the Gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order and the Members will please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer this morning by our colleague, Representative Corneal Davis."

Davis: ". . . Leads me, let us pray this morning . . . let us in this place of the most high, shall abide under the shadows of the Almighty and I will say of the Lord he's my fortress and my God, verily he shall deliver us from the snare of the fowlers in some noisome pestilence he will cover us with his feathers and under his wings shall we trust, and let his truth be our shield and buckler, be tentative God our Father we come to Thee this morning and we lift our Speaker up before Thee in a very special prayer in the closing hours of this General Assembly. Save us from the restlessness and confusion that threatens to engulf us in these closing hours. Give us your peace and give us your understanding for your peace and understanding is beyond the comprehension of wearily desires and now O Lord do we pray for this nation of ours, unfold your arms of love and protection around those in authority from the President of the nation to the last elected official and may they be worthy of the great trust of the people of this place in them we pray."

Speaker Redmond: "Call for attendance. Let the Journal record that Representative Hanahan is here on time. The Chair notices that he stayed outside until the fair was over. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword, arise?"

Tipsword: "Ah . . . For an inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Tipsword: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, my inquiry is . . . ah . . . are the . . . those who are appointed to the Conference Committee is going to be announced so we'll know who's on them or when they're m . . . ing or anything."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Tipsword: "All right, fine, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: ". . . will be . . . Resolutions."

Fredric Selcke: "House Resolution 423, Young. House Resolution 424,



Mann. House Resolution 425, Giorgi."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Fredric Selcke: "Turn Zeke on."

Giorgi: "House Resolution 423 honors J. Cedell Mosley of East St. Louis; House Resolution 424 by Mann honors Mr. Otto Wander from Germany; and House Resolution 425 honors Paul J. Cerniglia of Springfield, and sponsored by Giorgi, Londrigan, Kane and J. D. Jones. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman moves the adoption of the Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Resolutions are adopted. On the order of Concurrence appears 3024. House Bill 3024. Representative Hanahan at . . . ah . . . VanDuyne's switch."

Hanahan: "I move to nonconcur, Mr. Chair . . . ah . . . Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3024. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 . . . Nonconcurrence appears Senate Bill 556, Representative Tipword. Tipword, 556. Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Which one were you one, 556?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Tipword: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm . . . is it on Concurrence or . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "It's on Nonconcurrence."

Tipword: "On Nonconcurrence. Mr. Speaker, I move that we refuse to recede . . . ah . . . from the House Amendment to Senate Bill 556, and request Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's has re . . . has moved that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and 2; and the question is, shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 1 and 2 on Senate Bill 556. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede from House Amendments 1 and 2 on 556. Representative Stubblefield, 1384, on Nonconcurrence. 1384, Stubblefield."

Stubblefield: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . that is a technical Amendment or there is technical error in the Amendment that will need



a Conference Committee and . . . ah . . . for that reason I move that we refuse to recede them and that the Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 the Senate Bill 1384? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The House refuses to recede and the Conference Committee is requested. The Conference Committee is also requested on Senate Bill 556. On the order of the Speaker's table appears House Resolution 264. Representative Lundy, 264."

Lundy: "What order of business is that on, Mr. Speaker? Sorry about that fellows. I wanted to be sure we woke up the Membership this morning. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House . . . ah . . . House Resolution 264, which is on the Speaker's table, is a Resolution which I put in after reluctantly tabling House Bill 518. House Bill 518 was a Bill which would have attempted to set up a system of impoundment control to prevent the Executive branch from . . . ah . . . refusing to spend appropriated funds without legislative authorization to do so. In discussing that Bill with . . . ah . . . the staff of cou . . . of the Auditor General, it became clear that . . . ah . . . there were a number of problems with it which it would probably not be possible to correct without further study of the appropriation and spending process and, therefore, I tabled the Bill and introduce House Resolution 264. What the Resolution does is to request a Legislative Audit Commission with the assistance of the Auditor General to study the problem of legis . . . of Executive branch impoundment of appropriated funds and Executive branch misapplication of appropriated funds for purposes other than the purposes for which the funds were appropriated. I think . . . ah . . . hopefully after the . . . ah . . . Audit Commission and the . . . ah . . . Auditor General have studied the problem thoroughly, looked at what other states have done and what the Federal Government has done about the problem, they will be able to come in to us with legislative recommendation which may lead to . . . ah . . . some legislative solutions to what I know many Members have felt is a very troubling problem, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote on the Resolution."



Speaker Redmond: "Any questions or discussion? The question is . . .

Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Well, Joe . . . ah . . . on this Resolution have you . . . ah . . . a list of specifics that you've given to someone or . . . ah . . . do you . . . ah . . . have documented . . . ah . . . instances of misappropriation? I read the . . . the capsule synopsis and I'm wondering if this is just another attempt to embarrass someone or to run around, you know, . . . ah . . . creating more expenses, more embarrassment . . . ah . . . do you have any allu . . . alleged or specific . . ."

Lundy: "No, I haven't put any specifics into the Resolution . . . ah . . . Representative Giorgi, what I have . . ."

Giorgi: "Don't you think . . . ah . . . it would have been time for specifics . . . ah . . . in as much as there has been conversation for entire year, a good lawyer always specifies, they tell me."

Lundy: ". . . Well, I'm not certain that any of the allegations have actually been proved, I tried to run some down myself and I'm always met with . . . ah . . . evasions and . . . ah . . . and denials . . . ah . . . and yet we continue to . . . to hear that . . . ah . . . these problems do exist. I will tell you that this is not an attempt to embarrass anybody, it's an attempt to work out . . . ah . . . what I think is a very profound problem of Legislative/Executive branch relations. It's . . . ah . . . the kinds of problems the Resolution addresses have it . . . ah . . . have existed at the . . . at the National level. Congress has enacted legislation to resolve them. Ah . . . That Federal legislation is what my original Bill was based on, and it turned out it to just not be appropriate at the State level for a number of reasons I won't go into here relating to the difference between the Federal and State appropriations and budgeting process. But it is a serious problem, I believe that . . . ah . . . the State Constitution intends the Legislature to be a primary branch of government in the area of taxing and spending, and yet for a number of reasons in . . . not limited by the weight of the . . . to the problems of impoundment and misappropriation, the Legislative branch over the years has allowed its prerogatives in the areas of taxing



and spending to slip away from it. Now, this is a serious attempt to find a solution to one aspect of that problem. It is not . . . ah . . . intended to embarrass anybody . . . ah . . . it's simply a request for a study."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . or Mr. Clerk, does that table up on the board mean it's going to be tabled or does he want this Resolution adopted?"

Lundy: "It means it's on the Speaker's table."

Giorgi: "But are you asking to take it off the Speaker's table or asking it to be assigned? All I'd like to say is it's easy to allege, it's easy to charge, it's too easy to . . . ah . . . buy in the window to indict . . . ah . . . but I think in some instances when people know better they ought to at least come up with some proof or least some testified documentation as to where the alleged violations have occurred. I think we've all been around long enough to know that . . . ah . . . you know, if we've got some information we ought to just devulge it rather than acting like there's . . . ah . . . there's a cancer somewhere and no one wants to point to it, no one wants to uncover it. I think it's a poor Resolution, and I'm opposed to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House adopt House Resolution 264? Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. 284. House Resolution 284, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "What is it . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Isn't Representative Giorgi ready? O.K., then we'll go to 342."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 342 would call for an investigation by the Illinois Legislative Commission of alleged kick-back schemes between certain mortgage lenders and certain real estate firms within the State of Illinois relative to mortgage loans guaranteed under the Federal Housing Authority Administration. This Resolution relates to a series of articles which have appeared recently in the Chicago Tribune detailing and setting forth the abuses which have occurred in this program and I certainly think that the adoption of this Resolution and in the . . . ah . . . investigation by the investigating Commission



would be in the public interest. I move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of the Resolution, House Resolution 242. Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is a Resolution that . . . ah . . . is . . . directing the Illinois Legislative Commission to investigate a . . . ah . . . alleged areas of problems and between mortgage lenders and real estate firms and I would suggest before the full House takes this up that we have more Membership, because we are mandating an investigation. It is going to take 89 affirmative votes of people that are here. So I would request, I have no opposition to it, but . . . ah . . . there have been times in the past when . . . ah . . . the House has authorized the Commission to undertake investigations and later there has been some type of criticism of it if there was not enough consideration given. So I would ask that we wait just a little bit longer until there are more people here."

Speaker Redmond: "It's my understanding it requires the majority of those voting, and those voice . . . vote."

Simms: "I understand that . . . I understand that we changed that rule and required 89 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "I'm not aware of the change. Members are clamoring for Roll Call. Question is on the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor of the adoption indicate by saying 'aye' . . . Representative Gri . . . you really mean it, you really mean it? O.K., we'll take this one out of the Record. We don't want to go home, send the police out. House Resolution 227. Representative Mann. Turn Representative Mann on. It takes 89 votes, so turn him on."

Mann: "Ah . . . Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Resolution 227 would direct the Health Services Subcommittee of the Human Resources Committee to study and evaluate the programs for crippled children in the . . . ah . . . State of Illinois. This matter ah . . . was heard first before Representative Michael McClain's Subcommittee and then before the full Subcommittee, and it had the full support of . . . ah . . . such knowledgeable people in this area as Representative Grotberg and it had the . . . ah . . . affirmative



support . . . ah . . . of a witness by the name of Doctor Lense who's head of Crippled Children Services at the University of Illinois.

Apparently, our services now in the State of Illinois are fragmented as far as crippled children are concerned, and the desire here is to try to do a study which would make sure we're coordinating all of our efforts and maximizing whatever . . . ah . . . Federal funds might be available, and I would hope that you could support this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Any question or discussion? The question is on the adoption of Res . . . House Resolution 227. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution's adopted. House Resolution 363. Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 363 merely forms . . . ah . . . a Subcommittee of the Appropriation's . . . existing Subcommittee of Appropriation's and . . . ah . . . Higher Ed' to validate or invalidate some of the concerns that . . . ah . . . the Sponsor has for university broadcasting activities; and I would . . . ah . . . move to do pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Any question, any discussion? The question is, does the House adopt . . . Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Will the Sponsor of the Resolution yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Grotberg: "Surely."

Lundy: "Representative, I know you spent a good deal of time during Appropriation's II Committee hearings on the budgets of the colleges and universities questioning the administrating officials about their use of . . . ah . . . radio broadcasting facilities and so forth. Is this the Resolution on that same gen . . . general subject area?"

Grotberg: "That's the one, and it was the . . . the Digest, if you have one, is wrong. We amended it . . . ah . . . to . . . from a Special Committee to . . . ah . . . or from the Illinois Investigating Committee of . . . of Higher Ed' and Appropriations. Just to follow it up and check it. I would not want to leave that subject hanging where we left it in Appropriations, Representative Lundy, 'cause I said some things that are valid and I . . . there are . . . there's another side



to the story and we want to find out both sides."

Lundy: "And this in its present form would not require the services of the Legislative Investigating Commission or the creation of a new Commission or . . ."

Grotberg: "No, no, no."

Lundy: "I thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House adopt House Resolution 363. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 267. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Resolution 267 calls upon the House to adopt rules which would place a limit on the number of Bills which could be introduced by any one Member during any one Session of the General Assembly. Frankly, Mr. Speaker, I had hoped that we might have a larger attendance when this Resolution was considered because the only value of the Resolution would be in determining a sense of this General Leg . . . or this General Assembly whether or not this idea was acceptable to the Members and . . . ah . . . I'd like to ask you, Mr. Speaker, if there may be a chance to have this Resolution come up at a later date when . . . ah . . . the attendance might be a little better than it is at the present time."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it . . . take it out of the Record."

Schuneman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "284, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, my Resolution 284 is the Resolution that relates to . . . ah . . . House Joint Committee made up of Counties, and Townships, and Cities and Villages to study the salary structure and the pension structure that is paid these people, especially when these state funds are involved. For example, in the Rockford land area there was published recently a list of high-salary employment of Rockford School District. I think there were over 150 employees making at least \$25,000 or more a year, and it went down and listed quite a number of local governmental units paying high salaries, and I think the . . . ah . . . citizen in the street is worried about the high-salary levels reached by non-elected officials and the high pension programs



where some of these people are retiring at 50 years old, joining another unit of government and drawing a full salary. I think it's . . . ah . . . probably one of the new problems besetting all the 50 Assemblies throughout the 50 states, and I'd like to move the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, I was just happening to see in . . . ah . . . House Resolution 284 that Representative Giorgi has documented the allegations by referring to newspaper stories and throughout the . . . Resolution . . ."

Giorgi: "I didn't say newspaper stories, Joe. I didn't say newspaper stories."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House adopt Resolution . . . House Resolution 284? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 306, Representative Young. 306, is that . . . ah . . . Representative Birchler, for what purpose do you arise? Are you 406, is that what you're telling me? 306, Representative Young."

Young: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Resolution 306 would set up a Joint Subcommittee of the . . . ah . . . Committee on Human Resources and the Executive Committee . . . ah . . . to continue the work of the Subcommittee on the status of women and minorities in state employment, and . . . ah . . . during its first years report in work . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . Subcommittee found that there was much work to continue to be done in these two categories and I move for the adoption of this House Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "She will."

Hoffman: "Representative, what . . . ah . . . does this House Resolution Subcommittee intend to do that the Status of Women Commission now that they are subsidized so heavily from public funds and also from General Assembly funds now seek to do that the Status of Women Commission cannot do?"

Young: "First of all, of course, the Subcommittee is not asking for any



funds; and secondly, the distinction is between the Commission on the Status of Women and the Subcommittee on the Status of Women and Minority Groups. The . . . ah . . . this Committee would not only look into the problems of women but the problems of minorities in state employment which would include, of course, black males."

Hoffman: "Do you feel that you have to have a special Subcommittee established by a House Resolution and cannot use the Status of Women Commission?"

Younger: "Yes, I feel that the Status of Women Commission would be inappropriate to look into the problems of black and min . . . and also . . . ah . . . males . . . ah . . . in employment in State Government. Ah . . . One of the most severe problems is the fact that there are hardly any blacks in . . . working for the State of Illinois, and there needs to be special look/see into correct . . . that situation can be improved."

Hoffman: "If you had indicated, Representative, that there would be no money appropriated appropriated, how would you intend to do that?"

Younger: "Well, Members of the . . . ah . . . Executive Committee of the House of Representatives and Members of the . . . ah . . . Human Resources Committee of the House of Representatives would form this Subcommittee and they would . . . ah . . . provide the time and effort, the work and investigation and . . . ah . . . as every Subcommittee works and . . . Commit . . . Committee funds . . ."

Hoffman: "Well, Representative, do you have . . ."

Younger: ". . . there would be, there are, I assume, Committee funds for this and no special appropriation is asked for."

Hoffman: "Where are these Committee funds that you are alluding to?"

Younger: "The Speaker, . . . ah . . . provides . . ."

Hoffman: "Well, what you're telling us, Representative, is that . . . that . . . ah . . . this is a Committee that will be funded. These are to come then out of the Speaker's Contingency Fund. Now, what amount are we talking about now?"

Younger: "It would be what the Speaker would approve."

Hoffman: "Well, are we talking about a request from the Sponsor of this Resolution . . . ah . . . for \$2,500, \$5,000 or \$10,000, or what amount?"



11.

Younge: "I think the meager amount spent by the . . . ah . . . Committee last year was only \$3,500 and so we . . . we're talking about a very meager request at best."

Hoffman: "I . . . I think no amount is meager when just a short while ago we're considering the appropriation for . . . ah . . . public aid, something that is growing . . . ah . . . beyond all bounds where we need as much money and input as we can and yet we are creating . . . ah . . . helter-skelter more and more Commissions and Committees to look into problems that we already have ongoing Committees looking into that we do have already subsidized. I sat here on this floor and saw the Status of Women Commission's appropriation run from \$10,000 out to, I think, in excess of \$40,000. Now, also with the ability to gain public funds, and this Commission is in a very good position to do just exactly what you're attempting to do. I think the Spanish Speaking Study Commission is also in a position to do what you attempt to do; and I would oppose this House Resolution and that is just additional duplication, an expensive duplication whether it would be \$2,000 or \$3,000 of that which the General Assembly has already authorized and is capable of doing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Deuster: "I'm wondering, Representative Younge, I think we would agree that in the area of looking into the employment of women and the status of women . . . ah . . . that this is clearly a duplication of the work being done by the Commission on the Status of Women, but I suppose I would agree with you that the looking into minority groups might be a new area, and in order to duplication, I'm wondering whether you wouldn't be willing to either take this out of the Record or have it amended to . . . ah . . . remove the . . . the jurisdiction over women, because it's an obvious duplication at this time when we're trying to conserve money rather than to spend it double. Would you be willing to do that?"

Younge: "Ah . . . I would not be willing to do that for the reason that the focus and interest of this Subcommittee is to look into the problems



of employment of women and the employment of minorities in State Government. My understanding is that the focus of the Commission on the Status of Women is much broader than that. I think the whole question in reference to additional or if any expenditures is the Speaker's prerogative; and . . . ah . . . it is very clear to me that the opportunity in Illinois is basic . . . ah . . . to state employment and that I believe that the findings of the original Committee would warrant our using this Subcommittee report to . . ."

Deuster: "Well, thank you, if I might speak to the Resolution. Mr. Speaker, I certainly think we should . . . ah . . . oppose this Resolution because it involves a duplicate expenditure which we can't afford at this time; and I would request that we have a Record vote on this, rather than a voice vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge to close."

Younge: "I ask for your . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers, do you want to . . ."

Borchers: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, a few years ago Representative Bruce put in a Commission . . . ah . . . Bill for such a Commission for the Indians; and I was a Member of that Commission. I went to Chicago and other places on this Commission, and I was amazed to find the number of American Indians in Chicago and the problems which confronted them. Now, then yesterday we had a . . . now we also have the Latin's in increasing number by the . . . according to Mr. Barbie, head of the Immigration Authority in Illinois. We will probably have another 50,000 in Illinois within the next year or year and a half or two years based on what's happening in the last couple of years. So it looks to me like a growing problem. So since yesterday, it was looked at rather . . . ah . . . vaciciously, . . . ah . . . but we did have a Resolution in relationship to status of persons. Now, I would've kind of laughed at that at the time, but now reveiwing it in my mind, I can see where this particular Resolution, if we would go back and . . . ah . . . reconsider and make this particular Bill or Resolution, I forget which it was at the moment, . . . ah . . . but in relation to status of persons, this could be an all encasing . . . ah . . . Resolution that could be properly dealing with not only



black males, black females, the . . . ah . . . Latins, but American Indians also, because I can . . . though that Commission is no longer in existence, I know the problem is still existing. So it seems to me we could . . . someone . . . to vote it on the prevailing side should probably go back and . . . ah . . . maybe reconsider and change it to the persons as was originally stated as a joke and I say carry it out as a serious matter. I think it might have some merit."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In responding to the previous Speaker, he obviously hasn't been listening or he hasn't read . . . ah . . . his Calendar. We are talking about a Subcommittee on the employment of women and minority groups in State Government. This would include Indians and Spanish speaking people, and any other minority group; and I just hope that the press this morning is taking notice of the people who are opposing this Resolution. If you look back at the Roll Call of the Equal Rights Amendment, you will see that when we discussed and debated . . . ah . . . the Equal Rights Amendment, which, of course, would prohibit discrimination by Federal or State Government, these are the people who said . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman, for what . . . what reason do you arise?"

Dyer: ". . . we are for equal rights for women . . . ah . . . but we want it done step by step . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Dyer: ". . . rather than . . ."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, this is probably the first time in seven years I've gotten up on a point of personal privilege, and I'm getting sick and tired of those Members using any vehicle at anytime to come before us and chastise us as it relates to E.R.A. I sit back here and listen to some of these Members go on and on from a position that they feel they have elevated themselves to and it turns me around when it comes to positions, we're Republicans and the Speaker or to raise yourself as a Republican and yet deserts her party; and deserts her party, why? For a trade off? For an obvious trade off, and no, Mr. Speaker, I'm not going to sit here and have that Member chastise us on our opinions



on any House Resolution or any subject matter and again attempt to parade us on the subject of E.R.A.; and I object."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I . . .

I don't think what we're saying about this Resolution should be taken that we're against the subject matter of the Resolution. I think what we've been trying to bring out here is that there are two Commissions in existence today whether we like them or not, the Status of Women's Commission and the Spanish Speaking Study Commission, which basically addresses itself to the . . . to the exact topic that Representative Younge's Resolution . . . ah . . . wants a new Subcommittee to address itself to; and for this reason only, I think, we should . . . ah . . . not . . . ah . . . approve of this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge to close."

Younge: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, I . . . my impression is that this is not a new Subcommittee. There has been a Subcommittee on the Status of Women and Minorities in state employment. Minorities would, of course, include Indians, and . . . ah . . . I am convinced that this is needed and I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House adopt House Resolution 306? Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, explaining my vote . . . ah . . . I believe that anyone in fairness would have to recognize that we would create Committees to study any problem here. Now, there seems to be some kind of . . . of resentment against the fact that women Legislators are concerned about women's problems or black Legislators are concerned about black problems. That is a very healthy thing in our society and I think that we should encourage people to be concerned with different groups that feels deprived; and I, for one, having served on the . . . previously on a Committee to study this problem say more power to those people who are trying to correct problems in an inequities and unfairnesses in our society with which they identify; and I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record."



On this question there's 99 'aye', and 23 'no'; and the House does adopt House Resolution 306. House Resolution 342, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 342 would require an investigation by the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission of alleged kick-back schemes between certain mortgage lenders and certain real estate firms within the State of Illinois. This relates to the problem which has been enunciated by the Chicago Tribune during the last two weeks and a series of articles which have documented severe abuses in the administration of the Federal Housing Administration Program within the State of Illinois. This investigation would relate to the areas of investigation which touch upon matters of state interest; and I would move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 342. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. 349, Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder if we could leave to place this on the Fall Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, it will be placed on the Fall Calendar. 367, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's . . . ah . . . plot afoot to try to . . . ah . . . give the Panama Canal back to Panamanians. Once that's done, there's been steady drum beat by the Soviet Press to achieve their reign, the Panamanians of the Canal, the de facto dictatorship of General Omar Tereo has tried in recent years to implant the idea that the Canal Zone is really Panamanian territory and the U. S. presence represents colonialism. Tereo's and company have clamored for a new treaty whose aim would be surrender of U. S. Sub . . . it overlooked the fact that Panama's own Constitution makes their ratification of such a treaty illegal. Well, to put the whole thing in a nut shell, like I say, there's a plot afoot to try to give the Panama Canal back to Panamanians and I think we ought to alert our Congressmen and Senators from the State of Illinois that the fact that we want to go on Record as saying we put in a lot



of work and sweat and blood to build that Canal, invested a lot of money and what have you, and it's still under our guidance and we want to keep it in that manner and I would appreciate an affirmative vote in regards to this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I ardently support this Resolution; and I wish to thank Representative Freidland now for permission for being a Consponsor with him. It just happens that 1913 when my father was a Member of Congress and was on the Harvest . . . River and Harvest Commission of the Committee of the United States Federal Government, he was one of the selected groups to go down and accept the Panama Canal for the people of the United States. We spent millions . . . ah . . . at that time it would be . . . today comparing the money it would be millions of dollars of our money, American money, that was spent. We purchased that land. We did all the work, our engineers, our lives were laid on at stake, we lost many, many men in the cave-ins. We still have at home his copies of the records and of the . . . the . . . ah . . . the legal documents in relation to the ownership of the Panama Canal; and I assure you that a lot of people think that this is not part of the United States, but it is part of the United States. By Grant, we bought it, we paid for it, our . . . with money and with our blood; and I think it's a terrible crime considering the fact that we . . . that we have this one strategic place on Earth in relation to control of the . . . ah . . . the navies, the merchant ships of the world that can pass by . . . and the use of this by savings of thousands of miles and thousands of dollars to go into the hands of unstable people that have no legal right, whatsoever, these people would be as they have been in the past under constant revolution, right now it's a Marxist Government, and leading closely in the lives with Russian powers. I think it would be a terrible strategic mistake and an economic mistake, a military mistake for us to do such a thing and be so stupid as . . . ah . . . Kissinger at the moment he is indicating he wishes to do; and I certainly hope you will vote for this. This is part of our Country and we should never relinquish anything that



belongs to us, even one inch of territory. So I solicit your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is, shall the House adopt House Resolution 367? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 93 'ayes', and 11 'nay'; and the House does adopt House Resolution 367. 267, Representative Schuneman, are you now ready?"

Schnueman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 267 . . . ah . . . asks that we go Record as favoring the institution of a rule which would limit the number of Bills that any one Member could introduce into a Session of the General Assembly. The Resolution, Mr. Speaker, states that the people of Illinois elect Representatives to the General Assembly in the expectation that proposed laws will be given thoughtful study and debate, that the Committee system will be permitted to operate and that Legislators will be informed about issues before voting. Now, I'm sure many of us have been concerned during this Session, Mr. Speaker, about the fact that we have had such a heavy volume of work in this House. We all know that many times the Legislative Reference Bureau has not been able to keep up with the volume of work that we have submitted to them. We all know that the Committee system on many occasions has . . . has been unable to operate the way we all would like to have it operate simply because of the great volume of work that was heaped upon the Committees to be completed in a very short time. I think everyone in the House, Mr. Speaker, understands the possible implications of such a rule and the entire and only purpose of my introducing this Resolution to try to get from the Members of the House some sense as to whether or not they would be in favor of the institution of such a rule. The Resolution does not state any number of Bills, this is something that we would have to determine at a later time. But . . . ah . . . with that brief explanation, Mr. Speaker, I would move the adoption of House Resolution 267."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."



Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I voted against this Resolution in the House, not because I don't believe there are too many Bills introduced in the Legislature, because there are too many Bills, but I think we ought to wait and pass such a Resolution and determine it through some other means. I think we may find out next year our Joint Rule . . . Rules or at least our Joint Temporary Rule that says 'all Bills except those relating to the Budget . . . ah . . . shall go to the Rules Committee'. There's got to be some screening process, I believe, to limit the number of legislation that goes into the Committee system, but I don't think it ought to be by an arbitrary limit of the number of Bills that any one Legislator ought to introduce. Ah . . . We can find another way, and I think that as in other Legislatures, probably the best way is to have either a screening type of Committee or the Rules Committee to then go over Bills before they are released to other . . . the other Committee systems. So I would urge you to vote against this even though everyone of us realizes that there are too many Bills introduced."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Freidrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Speaker yesterday had . . . ah . . . showed me list that he had . . . a chart that he had prepared, showing that two years ago 3200 Bills were introduced in both Houses and this time there were 4500. Now, that's a proliferation of . . . of 50 percent. Now, if the Members of this House are not to personally restrain themselves from introducing over 50 Bills, then we'd better adopt some system that will restrain them from this floor. It's obvious if . . . ah . . . if everybody introduced 50 Bills . . . ah . . . you know where we'd be and, frankly, the reason we've been in a bind and we've worked 24 out of the last 30 days in . . . including midnight and 4 o'clock in the morning is because some of the Members did not restrain themselves from introducing a Bill that could have been put off. I don't know any better system. I'd go for a better system if you could devise one, but if the Members won't restrain themselves, you'd better go for this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for



a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Madison: "Ah . . . Representative Schuneman, I have not seen your . . .
ah . . . Resolution, does it speak to the numbers . . . ah . . . as
it relates to limitation?"

Schuneman: "No, Sir, it does not. Ah . . . My feeling, frankly, was that
we should have a discussion about the institution of a limit, some
limit, which is to be determined . . . ah . . . rather than get hung
up . . . ah . . . at this time on what that number shall be. I'm sure
there is a reasonable difference of opinion as what . . . as to what
number should be . . . ah . . . or what the limit should be, but I'm
merely trying to get the sense of the House as to whether or not we
feel there should be some kind of a limit."

Madison: "Ah . . . Representative, . . . ah . . . in your . . . ah . . .
in your Resolution, did you envision the limitation to exclude . . .
ah . . . so called administration Bills that are not for O.C.E.?"

Schuneman: "The . . . ah . . . the Resolution, as I recall, Representative
Madison, does not . . . ah . . . deal with that issue. However, I
do have . . . ah . . . an Amendment to the rules which would provide
that each Member would have a limit on the Bills he could freely
introduce, but that that . . . ah . . . the . . . in the event of an
emergency, for example, you had something in your district that would
require you to introduce a Bill after you have already introduced
your limit that there would be procedures whereby you could take
those Bills to the Rules Committee and get special . . . ah . . .
approval in order to introduce those matters. Does that answer your
question?"

Madison: "No, I think you misunderstood me. What . . . What I'm trying
to get to is whether or not . . . ah . . . let's assume that the
limitation turned out to be 10 Bills for Member . . . would
. . . ah . . . if a Member was limited to 10 Bills would that also
include Bills that he introduced for the administration?"

Schuneman: "Oh, well, I think that administration Bills perhaps would be
included but Committee Bills would not."

Madison: "Administration Bills would be included?"



Schuneman: "This would be my concept of it."

Madison: "Ah . . . Would that include O.C.E. Bills?"

Schuneman: "I would say it would include any . . . any Commission . . .
ah . . . any Bills."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that . . . ah . . . in this Resolution we're not setting limits, we're not setting rules, we're only dedicating ourselves to so much needed reform around here that we have too many Bills. Everybody in this state knows we have too many Bills, everybody but the people in this General Assembly seem to recognize that, and this Resolution merely says that we have too many Bills and we need to do something about it. It doesn't set the rules, that'll have to be done later; and I believe that if we're doing right by the people that send us here, we'll approve this Resolution and we'll recognize, even if we are the last in the state to do so, that this place has too many Bills and that we don't give them adequate consideration. This Resolution only asks us to go on Record as recognizing that fact; and I don't see how anybody can have reform and change until he recognizes that something is wrong; and I would ask for an ac . . . a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think that there's any single one of us but what realizes there . . . there should be a curtailment upon introduction of Bills, Resolutions and what have you. However, I do want to caution the Membership that when we talk about the freedoms that we should and rightfully so enjoy, this is one of the legislative freedoms. I don't think that we should have a Resolution, a rule or anything else telling me or any other individual Member that you can only introduce 5 or 50 Bills. You know it could be circumvented, you know it that all of your colleagues are not going to introduce the maximum number, and the only thing you'd have to do is get your colleague to introduce the legislation for you. I think that it's good to bring the Resolution



out on the floor of this House so that we can confront the fact that there should be something done about the introduction of 4 or 5,000 Bills and many of them . . . many of them repetitious, but I, personally, will not vote for this Resolution or any rule that would hinder and hamstring the right of an individual Member."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I . . . ah . . . think that the debate this morning is . . . er . . . on House Resolution 267 has been a little healthy, it's something that maybe ought to be called to attention. I notice the Speaker, the previous Speaker said we all know . . . introduce too many Bills. The only unnecessary Bills I see are Bills that someone else introduces. My Bill are not unnecessary and I think every Member would feel the same way about it; and if we wanted to restrict people . . . we don't want to be restricted ourselves. I was elected to come down here and work for a year, I got paid for a year's salary and if it takes a little longer than June the 30th to discuss somebody else's bad Bills why I'm willing to stay here and do it; and I think that the limitation on a number of Bills is . . . is really . . . ah . . . deprived . . . depriving us of our rights. In talking to the maker of the Resolution a couple or three weeks ago, he said well, if you've got too many Bills you can give them to one of your fellow Legislators. That doesn't cut down the number of Bills, you still got the same number of Bills whether they're good or bad. I think that . . . ah . . . this is a matter for the Rules Committee; and I would oppose the Resolution. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I do sincerely believe that Representative Schuneman's Resolution may have some merit. At least it's the recking the issue to a point where there should be some action taken. I, too, agree with Representative Choate that there should be no limitation. However, I do want to call to your attention that between the period of April 5th to April 12th, which was the deadline for the introduction of House Bills, there was something in excess of 1200 Bills that were introduced in the House. Now,



Gentlemen this is ridiculous. What I would like to suggest if this does become a problem of the Rules Committee or perhaps the creation of a Subcommittee of the Rules Committee, certainly, they should span the introduction of Bills at interval times that Members can introduce them with no limitation, but I think the fact that we've introduced 1200 within a span period of April 5th to the 12th is something that is ridiculous as far as every Member of this House is concerned; and I would like to suggest, Mr. Speaker, that the Rules Committee do direct their efforts to help to resolve with this most serious problem."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think this Resolution has merit. You know, when we stop to think about it we have the rest of this year and all of next year to consider this. We have had our introduction of Bills for this Session, and I think that we should consider the matter. I don't know whether we're going to come up with a rule limiting the number or not, but we can look into it. I would also like to point out that I believe in the 77th Sesion of the General Asseby we had a comparable number of Bills introduced. but I think what . . . what we must direct our efforts to is an earlier deadline on introduction of Bills, rather than having April 21th, as we had this year, as the deadline, I think is too late. I think it should be a month earlier than that; and I think then we could give more proper consideration to them. We know that we got started late this year, it was unfortunate, but we did, and I think it was the late start that caused the crunch. Whether we have an actual rule or not limiting the number of Bills, at least we are pointing out to the Membership, the new Membership that will come in next Session, that one must consider the problem and try not to introduce too many; and I believe the Resolution has merit and I'm going to vote for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I think the subject matter and all the details we're debating now are not the subject of this Resolution. The Resolution, I think, says that we're going to consider all of the things we're talking about now at a later date. So I now move the



previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The question is on the adoption of House Resolution 267. Those in favor of the adoption indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Simple majority. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . how many votes does this . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "A simple majority."

Walsh: "Is this an Amendment to the rules?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, this is . . . ah . . . the sense of the House."

Walsh: "Oh, I see, O.K."

Speaker Redmond: "Palmer 'no'. The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 86 'aye' and 42 'no'; the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 400. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Resolution was introduced for one purpose and one purpose only. We are criticized very frequently by the press and it seems to be somewhat justified in many cases, all of the cases we are absolutely correct. What this does is changes the rules to permit the pho . . . the photographers and the recording of all House functions without permission of the Chair; and it seems to me why we in the Legislature have insisted on open-meetings laws, we haven't done it for ourselves. There was pending a Senate Bill on complete openness in the Senate, of course, the Senate refused to even consider the measure. As recently as two or three days we were openly criticized for not allowing such a . . . the photographing of the General Assembly, and particularly in the House; and all through the Session, and I think this is probably one of the most ironical situations that have existed in regard to the press in the medium in the Session, we've had numbers and numbers of school children coming to Springfield and sit in the Gallery with their cameras and never once was anyone of those students ever given permission to photograph the General Assembly in action, and not only that



they were chastised for taking pictures of their Members from their districts. As recently as four or five days ago, one of my local medium came down here and they had to pull every political string they could to get a picture taken on the House floor of one of the Representatives. It seems to me that if we are in favor of open government and a government by the people, they're entitled to do what they feel is necessary and that includes the actual operation of the House in Session. But let me point out one thing that I was going to do with intention I thought that it was necessary that this Resolution be followed through. I asked this Bill, this Resolution be called for immediate consideration without reference to a Committee, and it was called on motion, and at the request of the then temporary Speaker, the Bill was called, and before I had a chance to explain the Bill, the Speaker asked me if I would take it out of the Record so I could take a moment to discuss it with the Parliamentarian; and at his request I did that, and as I certainly did not expect, my motion was not called. Now, it seems to me this was a travesty of the legislative process. If this Resolution is not a good Resolution it should be defeated, but it shouldn't be on the Leadership to determine on their own whether or not this is good legislation or not; and Mr. Speaker, I say to you that the population of the State of Illinois, everyone deserves every ounce of openness that we can give to them. If we believe in open meetings, we ought to believe in open meetings of the Legislature. Mr. Speaker, with that I would just like to read what I'm attempting to eliminate from the rules so everyone will have a clear picture of what this Resolution actually does. If you choose not to vote for it, so be it. But if you believe in the open Democratic process, then I think and I ask you to support my Resolution. In essence, let me read the elimination of the rules, and if you'd please give me your attention so you'll know exactly what you're voting on. This will be excluded from the rules if adopted, 'there shall be no photographing, television or broadcasting in the House except upon the permission of the Speaker, at all times when radio or T.V. broadcasting or photographing of the House is permitted, the red light in the rear of the Speaker's rostrum shall be turned on. No filming at



permitted while Members are explaining their votes'. That is what is being eliminating from this Resol . . . from the House rules. I see no need to have that particular language in. We need openness, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask for your support of this Resolution if we believe in the right of the people to know."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for some reason this body year after year takes on a masochistic attitude about this stage of the Session and starts to flail itself about our lack of openness and once again we feel we've got to cow tow to the media. Now, if there's one fault with this body more than any other it's probably that there is less decorum here than many Legislators in the United States, and if there's nothing else that the current rules accomplish is trying to maintain some type of decorum. I, personally, would find a great umbrage at people constanting popping flash bulbs throughout this General Assembly while we're trying to maintain some orderly procedure. I don't think that the Speaker of this House this term or in the past two terms has been unreasonable in granting a request if the media wants to take pictures, but I can say this to you that many times I feel the media has abused their privileges and until they develop a little more sense of responsibility in their approach to this subject, I don't think we should eliminate the rule, particularly in the closing days of the Session when the hours get longer and longer, we've all seen examples of where the media has taken pictures of a Legislaotr who might've fallen asleep from sheer exhaustion and the next day on the front page of that particular Legislator's hometown paper will be a picture of that Gentleman asleep with a very sarcastic and caustic comment underneath such as, 'Is this your Legislator at work again?', and I don't think that the media has developed the kind of responsibility that we ought to entrust to them the right to come in here and take pictures anytime they please. I have some empathy for the students that come down here and want to take pictures, but they can do it at some other time and other than on the floor of this House; and I'd like to say to the media that if they want this type of legislation or Resolution, then we ought to



have the right go back in the press room and take pictures of the card games that go on there hour after hour during the day."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's another reason why I think we shouldn't allow . . . ah . . . television, for example, to film constantly. If you think we're exhausted after working sometimes at 4 o'clock in the morning, think how it will be if these lights are on all the time, and I have some compassion for the Clerk who's up there all the time, at least the Speaker sometimes can change and get . . . get off of that Podium and somebody else is there, but I'll tell you it's real hot up there where the Clerk and the other people who work up there and it just would be impossible for them to work with those hot lights blurring down at them constantly . . . ah . . . so I just think that . . . ah . . . the Speaker has been very reasonable. I don't know of anytime when he has said no you can't film and . . . ah . . . they come down here where there are issues. So I think the rules are all right now and I don't know of anybody in the media that has complained about them. So I think we ought to leave them just as they are."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton . . ."

Mudd: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Mudd: "I'm curious, Sir, this apparently, isn't this not an attempt to bypass Committee and thus require 107 votes?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct, the procedure that would have to be followed by the Sponsor of this Resolution is to move to suspend the provisions of Rule 73D in lieu of reference to Com . . . to the Rules Committee and that vote would require 107 votes. Now, Representative Schraeder, do you desire to move to suspend Rule 73D?"

Schraeder: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the motion that was on your desk was to that effect."

Speaker Redmond: "If there is such a motion . . . Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, several days ago or a week or more a photographer from the Peoria Journal Star came down here to do some features . . . ah . . . or some shooting for a feature they



were doing on some freshman Legislators. The photographer is a very good photographer and he's a good man, however, he did not understand the process that the . . . and the days work that goes on here in the House . . . in . . . in both Houses and throughout the Capitol . . . ah . . . buildings. He tried to get on the floor to take some pictures and was not . . . ah . . . because the heavy traffic that day we had the Governor coming in for a presentation, we had the deadline on some Bills and some other things. He was approached by several people trying to get on the floor and knowing what the schedules are . . . ah . . . took him some time to do this. After he went back to Peoria he wrote an editorial on what he thought . . . or how he thought the business of this House should be conducted in regard to photographers and news media, and I would submit to the House that their appraisal that Mr. Schrader's Resolution has served its purpose, he has gained what he sought to gain and I think that . . . ah . . . we should deal with this matter as soon as possible and get rid of it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "A point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker, if . . . if I understood you correctly the motion before us is the motion to suspend the rules."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Madison: "If that is the case, I think that the Speaker should confine himself to the motion to suspend and not the merits of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct, I would like to put the motion out if there's no further discussion on it. The question is on the suspension of the rules. Those in favor of the suspension of Rule 73D to permit immediate consideration of House Resolution 400 vote 'aye', and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all . . . all voted who wish? It takes 107 votes. Representative Schraeder, do you desire to explain your vote?"

Schraeder: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. This motion is to allow discussion on the Resolution. Let me point out and, Mr. Speaker, at the present . . . at the proper time, I would like a Roll Call on the . . . the motion, this will allow debate on the Resolution on whether or not we have an open-meetings law for the House of Representatives. If we will



allow school children when they come to the Capitol to observe and to photograph the Legislature in Session. If we're going to deny those school children, and we've abused them because we've similarly dismissed them from their camera work from the Speaker's Podium . . . dismissed them from their camera work from the Speaker's Podium many, many times, if you want the no discussion then vote red, but if you think this is entitled to discussion then give me a green light. At least let us allow it to be discussed on the House floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 30 'aye', and 78 'no'; and the motion is lost. Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Redmond: "What's your point?"

Houlihan: "If . . . ah . . . a Senate Bill arrives in the House and a particular Sponsor in the House designates himself as the House Sponsor and say a Senator is not . . . ah . . . say pleased or would prefer someone else to be the House Sponsor, is there any recourse that that Senator might follow? What is the procedure by which he would require or request the . . . ah . . . House Sponsor to remove himself in favor of someone that he chose?"

Speaker Redmond: "As far as I know there is . . . ah . . . there's something he says, I don't know of anything."

Houlihan: "M . . . Mr. Speaker, . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Maybe we'll . . . with this . . . could you hold this until we go through with that and then we'll be back and answer your question?"

Houlihan: "Well, this is . . . this is something which is a very . . . oh, I'm sorry, finish the Roll Call and I'd be happy . . . I'd like to pursue this as soon as we finish the Roll Call though."

Speaker Redmond: "I did declare that the motion was lost on this one. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I think this Membership knew what they were doing. It was an attempt to open up this Legislature and at this point I would move to table House Resolution 400."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, it's tabled. I'd rather go right down here and then I'll be back, we're looking it up in the



rules, I know I'm aware of the problem. Representative Hill."

Hill: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd appreciate if there wouldn't be a rule on this because I'm going to try to be a lot quicker than the Chicago Democrats in the succeeding 18 months trying to pick up some of those pieces of legislation that Chicago is interested in because of the way that I was treated in the Senate; and I'd like to leave that rule exactly the way it is . . . ah . . . because I'm going to be fast on my feet in the next 18 months when those Bills effecting Chicago come over here and I'm going to try to get a load of them; and you know that the Sponsor is in complete control of that Bill when he gets it. So, Gerry, you better be fast because I'm going to try to be faster than you are."

Speaker Redmond: "406. I'll be back, Representative Houlihan, I want to get through this order of business now. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I'm . . . I'm sorry to persist in my . . . ah . . . question but the Resolution that we're considering, I see no . . . ah . . . urgency on those matters and yet I have a Bill over in the Senate that a Senator has picked up and is now listed as the Chief Sponsor, I spent all of my evening last night trying to get that Senator either to call the Bill or to remove himself as Chief Sponsor. That was the first evening we've had an evening off in almost a week, and I spent my entire time over there and didn't even get the courtesy, the courtesy of a response from the Senator who was the Sponsor of that Bill; and I would like to resolve this matter and either put my track shoes on and work with Representative Hill or find out what you or the President of the Senate or any Members can do about this situation. I think it's a travesty of the Legislative process and I think it needs to be resolved immediately."

Speaker Redmond: "We have according to Rule 30D, 'The Senate Sponsor may remove the House Sponsor by notifying the Speaker in writing. Now, that, of course, in our House rule. I'm not familiar with the Senate rule, but can assure you that for the last couple of days when this matter has been called to my attention that I have worked and in some instances we were successful and I will go over to see the President of the Senate as soon as I relinquish the gavel here and see if I can't



work out on your problem, but that would be a Senate rule or a Joint rule and not a House rule. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, then I would just point out for you and for the edification of the Members that my . . . ah . . . former seat mate, Leroy Lemke, Senator Lemke now, is the individual that I'm referring to and the legislation I'm referring to is legislation to give the Illinois Housing Development Authority authorization to sell bonds. It was a Committee Bill passed out of here with support of the entire House and now is being bottled up in the Senate by one man or maybe I should say a few men; and I would urge you, Mr. Speaker, as my Leader to go over and deal with the Senate Leadership and Senator Lemke in an attempt to free this Bill from the hostage it's being held. This is a Bill which will provide housing for many people. It's necessary legislation and it's urgent that we deal with this legislation in this Session and that's why I brought this matter up; and I . . . I can't say enough, Mr. Speaker, how I would appreciate your assistance in trying to get the Senate Sponsor to remove himself from this Bill so that this Bill might be considered in this Session."

Speaker Redmond: "I assure you that I will do that. Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . Notwithstanding the rule that you just quoted, Mr. Speaker, I can well sympathize with . . . ah . . . Representative Houlihan, I know what it's like to be . . . ah . . . on . . . be the unrequited Member of a legislative dual. I would suggest that in the future that he might . . . ah . . . take the time to go to the House and . . . ah . . . try to reach an accord with a Senator that may take his legislation as they . . . ah . . . from time to time request of us over in this House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I agree completely with Mr. Houlihan. I had a similar situation happen to me last year in which . . . ah . . . an antagonistic Sponsor to a House Bill took and emasculated it. But I think the issue is much, much deeper than that, and I think we should go into it a minute or two, Mr. Speaker, because I think a . . . practice which has been in this House and in another House since I have been here is slowly and gradually eroding the whole legislative



process, and the practice is this, and I'm not going to take much time, there are Members of this House and Members of the Senate who deliberately take appropriation Bills, Bills which they have absolutely nothing to do with in terms of input, Bills to which they have not added a single period or comma or a question mark or a colon, Bills which have been put together by vigorous and sustained activities by various agencies over a period of months, Bills dealing with programs which we passed money out for, Bills dealing with the payment of people whom we are founded by law to see that they get their salary, these Bills are taken by certain Members of this House and the Senate and they are held in hostage for one narrow, biased, strided, ridiculous reason on the part of the person who holds that Bill. Now, I submit that this is wrong, and I submit that notwithstanding that the House rules provide that the Sponsor shall run his Bill. I submit categorically, Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, that anybody, anytime anybody that takes an appropriation Bill of the State of Illinois Agencies, whether a Department or Commission or Bureau and deliberately holds that Bill or deliberately attempts to blackmail, if you will, blackmail that agency into doing what he narrowly wants them to do, and I repeat every word of it, then I submit categorically that it is wrong and I don't think the rules should condone it. I have seen Members of this House take an appropriation Bill and hold it 'til the last possible minute for no other reason but that to blackjack this House and the Senate, according to their own selfish, provincial wills; and I fully with the rules and I know the traditions of the House, and I respect them, but I think you, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, in this period of reform, and I say that seriously, I think you should address yourself to that question, because I repeat it is the height of idiocy for anybody who has contributed nothing to a Bill to first of all claim it with a personal pronoun, the Bill belongs to the House and also to the people of the State of Illinois; and if it is an appropriation Bill and it is made known and clear or if that's sufficient evidence to suspect that any persons taking that Bill and holding it in hostage for his own personal gains, I think the responsibility of stopping that forthwith revolves on the



Speaker. Do you understand me, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "I do and I concur entirely, and I can assure you that according to the provisions of our rule that if any Senate Sponsor indicates that he wants a House Sponsor changed then I will make sure that the House Sponsor is changed and the . . . and the Sponsor of the wish of the Senate Sponsor will be assigned that Bill."

Washington: "But I am going one step further, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "And I'm also told you that I will go over to the Senate as soon as I relinquish the Chair here and do what I can. I'm a Member of the House, not a Member of the Senate, I'll do the best that I can. I'm aware of the situation, and let me tell you this, that as far as I know, this is the first Session that this has happened in any great degree."

Washington: "But I'm going one step further, Mr. Speaker, I'm talking about Members of this House taking appropriation Bills to which they made no iota of input, not a one, added nothing, and are using those Bills to blackjack the agency heads and directors to do what they particularly want to do; and I think that's a responsibility of the House to get rid of this anachronistic tradition which says if you put your name it belongs to you. That defies parliamentary law. If you put your name on a Bill, they file it here, it belongs to the House, and if it is not called within a reasonable time, I think we have a right to dislodge that Member and call that Bill up. That's what I'm talking about."

Speaker Redmond: "I agree with you."

Washington: "Will you do something about it?"

Speaker Redmond: "I . . . I will."

Washington: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd make a point of order. We're not on any . . . ah . . . the conversation, the dialogue that's going on is not directed towards any particular Bill or Resolution that's before the House. I would suggest that we move on with the timely business. You've already stated your point."

Speaker Redmond: "Your suggestion . . . your suggestion is well taken."



House Bill 406, we're still on the order of Speaker's table. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, and . . . ah . . . just in closing, I'd like to . . . ah . . . suggest . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're out of order, Representative Houlihan. You're not addressing yourself to the order of business. I think that we have accommodated you as far as we should at the present time; and I will ask you to . . . to . . . ah . . . observe the rule of the Speaker and let us proceed with the business on the order of Speaker's table and proceed to House Resolution 406 and . . ."

Houlihan: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Then, Mr. Speaker, I would like to move, whichever is in order, that we recess or adjourn until you have time . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "You're out of order on that. 406. House Bill 406 on the order of Speaker's table. Representative Birchler."

Birchler: "Mr. Speaker and Members of this body, Resolution 406 is a Resolution on the statutes of the State of Illinois that regulate the practice of accounting. The Act was enacted in 1943 and since that time there has been very little of any upgrading or review of this particular Act. It effects both Certified Public Accountants and Accountants. Resolution 406 states in effect that there shall be a study made of the law and make recommendations to this body on or before March the 1st next. That is the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? It takes . . . all in favor of the adoption of this Resolution indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote . . . Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, could you explain the makeup of this Committee again? Is it going to be completely dominated by the C.P.A.'s?"

Birchler: "I'm turned on, yeah, the makeup of the Committee is to be five Members of this Body appointed by the Speaker to . . ."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? It requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 101 'aye', and 1 'no'; and the House do adopt . . . House does



adopt House Resolution 406. House Joint Resolution 48. Representative Dyer. Representative McMasters."

McMaster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, a point of personal privilege. Could we have the intensity of these lights turned down a little bit . . . ah . . . I know the T.V. cameras are working, but the light is their problem. I think we can have them turned down for our comfort."

Speaker Redmond: "Look at . . . look at the power of the men, they're diminishing already. Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . House Joint Resolution 48 addresses itself to a matter that come before the Higher Education Committee . . . ah . . . Representative Calvo, Representative McGrew and others are aware of this . . . ah . . . that we have appropriated money during this year to broaden and expand the T.V. facilities at . . . ah . . . Western Illinois University and at Southern Illinois University . . . ah . . . I supported both of these concepts . . . ah . . . and yet I feel that we need to pause and take a good look at a state-wide organized plan for where different kinds of facilities of this nature should be, and . . . ah . . . we . . . ah . . . Representative McGrew and I at first approached this through proposing a Commission, however, we were sensitive to the feeling of this group of this Assembly that we do not want unneeded Commissions how . . . so therefore what we're proposing is a Joint Subcommittee of the Higher Education Committee in the House working together with a Subcommittee of the Education Committee . . . ah . . . in the Senate using existing staff of the Board of Higher Education and I.O.E. . . . ah . . . I would think this is an effective way . . . ah . . . to meet a problem that's here upon us, I think we could save millions of dollars, I certainly would appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I have one question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "Representative Dyer, is this to dis . . . the study to include whether or not the . . . these institutions are to be involved in this type of program at all, too? Or is it assumed that they should be and are in . . . ah . . . rather directed to toward the extent?"



Dyer: "Ah . . . Representative Leinenweber, I think we have to start where we are. I think Representative Grotberg . . . ah . . . Subcommittee goes deeper to the point of should . . . should they be, therefore, this takes what we have and tries to make an orderly . . . ah . . . project."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, I would ask the Sponsor a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Dyer: "Yes."

Calvo: "Mrs. Dyer, is this the . . . ah . . . study of a state-wide television network for educational purposes by the Higher Board of Education that your Resolution refers to?"

Dyer: "Ah . . . That . . . yes, that part of it. It . . . It also goes into other forms of . . . of instruction by technology, like the Plato system that, you know, is used by the University of Illinois. This . . . to try to do a cost benefit ratio study and . . . and . . ."

Calvo: "Well, when you're talking about Plato system, are you talking about a type of grade book program, such as was started by the University of Chicago many, many years ago, about 20 years ago?"

Dyer: "Ah . . . no, indeed, no, no, Sir. Plato is an acronym for a . . . ah . . . computer type of individualized, it's a little instrument that's used to give individualized study to children, from elementary schools, particularly, all the way up."

Calvo: "All right, then . . . ah . . . you're . . . you're mixing then in this study . . . ah . . . state-wide television with . . . ah . . . computer study by individuals in schools, is that correct?"

Dyer: "That's correct, but it addresses itself to any kind of instruction by technology, and as you know the Board of Higher Education and the Office of Education already have staff Members working on this. This is just to put the General Assembly in there so we have some kind of . . . of control over this planning."

Calvo: "All right, Mr. Speaker, if I might just speak briefly to the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."



Calvo: I wish we would've put the General Assembly into the program in 1958 when the Higher Board of Education started to study a state-wide or television . . . educational television network. It's been studied since 1958, there's been at least three reports turned in by the Office of Education indicating how it should be done and that as I said before that S.I.U, Edwardsville and Western would have to be part of such a network or should be and yet we do not have anything, any recommendation from the Higher Board of Education. It certainly is time that the General Assembly knew what they were . . . what the Higher Board was doing and why they have to have so many separate studies and spend so much money on studies three or four times and still do nothing; and maybe if the . . . maybe the Legislative Committee that . . . that hopefully will have some input will force them to some decision after all these studies and this waste of money."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I join with Representative Dyer, and I think Representative Calvo in urging support for this. This is a chance for the legislature to . . . ah . . . exert a little influence in getting the problem solved that should've been solved a long time ago. Ah . . . This is a good Resolution because it involves the cooperation of the Senate and the House. I think the synopsis is slightly incorrect because this would establish a Joint Subcommittee, not a Committee, a Joint Subcommittee of the Senate Education Committee in the House Education Committee. Ah . . . This will strengthen the General Assembly and help us solve a problem. I urge a 'yes' vote for this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, thank you . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order."

Grotberg: ". . . I've talked enough, if we need an explanation of vote, I'll be glad to do it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It would seem to me that this Resolution addresses itself to the question as to whether or not Illinois should spend more money on these parti-



cular things. Now, I don't know what . . . ah . . . I've never been completely enamored with our . . . the carrying out of the educational programs even since 1958 referred to by Representative Calvo. It's true that academia studies, things to that, and one wonders whether they're not there just to spend money, rather than to get anything accomplished, and maybe some other question is whether or not they're capable of any great accomplishment in any particular area. It would seem to me also that in this particular . . . ah . . . Committee if it's going to involve itself with the expenditures of state monies which it undoubtedly will, that we should have on this Committee the Executive . . . the Committees or some Members of the Executive Committees, the policy Committees of both the House and the Senate and obviously the Appropriations Committees. I've seen things come out of the Higher Appro . . . Higher Education Committee of the House in the seven years that I've been down here that are directed in only one way and that's without any breaks or anything else. I would say that the Subcommittee that they're talking about is not well balanced that they cannot give the input of this Legislature that should be on there, that it should be . . . ah . . . brought or defeated; and if they want to change it, put on Members of the Appropriation Committees of both the House and the Senate and also the . . . the Executive Committees of the House and the Senate. Until that's done, I shall vote against it and urge others to."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn, J. Dunn, do you seek recognition? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speak . . . Mr. Speaker, there are many people that have . . . ah . . . in my area at least have been concerned because it seems like the people in the Committees on Higher Education and . . . ah . . . in the educational fields don't realize that some things are occurring they don't seem to want to face up to and that is the continuation of four or five of our universities a . . . ah . . . training and educating and graduating teachers when there is such a shortage of medical people. There's been a lot of discussion and a lot of conversation about maybe one of our educational institutions ought to made into a medical facility and graduate people in the



JUN 28 1975

38.

medical fields because there's such a shortage. I think it's a good Resolution in that these people might address that problem so that one of our higher educational institutions will get in the field solely of a . . . of graduating medical people. When you've got . . . ah . . . structure of a 40,000 or 50,000 students on the campus and you're graduating only 100 or 150 doctors there's something wrong somewhere; and I think it's somewhat of a conspiracy that maybe this Committee can . . . ah . . . ferret it out."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is, shall the House adopt House Joint Resolution 48? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. We're honored by having a visitor, a former Member of the House, Senator Ray Welsh, he used to be a distinguished Member of the back bench back there. I think Jimmy Taylor has the seat he used to have. House Joint Resolution 61. Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Joint Resolution 61 . . . ah . . . respectfully requests or petitions Congress to . . . ah . . . call a convention for the purpose of considering the rights of the unborn. Ah . . . This particular Resolution . . . ah . . . in discussing it with the legal . . . ah . . . minds would require a possibility of opening the . . . ah . . . entire Constitution. However, . . . ah . . . I certainly ask for the support of the Membership. I think this is the right direction to take."

Speaker Redmond: "Any question? Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I think that the Members of the House . . . ah . . . I hope they heard what the Gentleman said, he said this Resolution involves opening the whole United States Constitution. Now, we are sitting here deliberating a Resolution that would open up the whole United States Constitution, the first Amendment, the second Amendment, on down through all the Amendments of the Constitution and every other procedure; and I would ask, Mr. Speaker, if we could have a little quiet. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are two messages set forth in the United States Constitution for amending the United States Constitution. One is that



the Congress of the United States passes by a two-thirds vote a proposed constitutional Amendment . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order please."

Kätz: ". . . and that constitutional Amendment goes to the states for ratification. That has been the only procedure used since 1781 for amendment the United States Constitution. There is another provision for amending the Constitution and that is the provision that House Joint Resolution proposes . . . 61 proposes to follow. It is a procedure that has never been used in the history of the United States because it involves petitioning Congress to convene a new Constitutional Convention that will reopen every provision of the United States Constitution. Now, it has nothing to do with whether you approve or disapprove of the subject matter of House Joint Resolution 61. It happens that there is a good deal of activity in the Congress now for using the first procedure set forth in the Constitution for doing something about rectifying what some Members of this Assembly obviously feel is a deficiency in the Constitution with regard to the subject of abortion; but the procedure that is being followed here by the Sponsor is in his own words to follow a procedure that will open up the entire United States Constitution to change in any way that that Convention might want to change it. No one knows what would happen if such a Convention were called. It appears from the Constitution that if the requisite number of states petition Congress under this procedure that Congress will have no alternative but to call such a Convention, and if there be those here cognizant of their duty to the country, I hope that you will keep in perspective the fact that you are sitting here with the tools in your hand to undue every provision of the United States Constitution. There is no reason at all why the first procedure which has to do with a Resolution in the Congress for amending the Article in question is not an adequate method by which the Gentleman can pursue to change the Constitution in the one regard that he wants to without pursuing the way that this Resolution which is to open up the entire Constitution to change in any way that those people who happen to attend that Convention wish to change; and so I would respectfully suggest to



you that in the entire 79th General Assembly you will consider nothing as important as this Resolution because this Resolution can undue the entire product . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hudson. Representative Hudson has priority. Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't think that I have ever differed with my good friend Dick Kelly on . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you please give a little order to the Gentleman, it's almost impossible to hear."

Hudson: ". . . on the matter of . . . ah . . . right to life. I have subscribed to his point of view almost 100 percent. I think 100 percent all the way along. When this particular Resolution did become . . . did come before us in Committee I had one reservation, and that reservation has been expressed by Representative Katz, but I would not rise to speak if I didn't think that I had perhaps a little bit of additional input on this very important question. I tried to do my homework on the matter. My reservation was indeed the fact that we might be opening up a Constitutional Convention that would not be limited to the subject that Representative Kelly desires to have addressed by the Constitutional Convention. So I took the trouble of going to the Illinois Legislative Counsel, and with your permission . . . ah . . . they sent me a letter . . . ah . . . I would remind you that this has been attempted, a Constitutional Convention of this kind has been attempted before but we get into a gray area because it has never succeeded. We have come within one state, we were one state short of having a Convention, but anyway to the . . . to the point and my colleagues I do think that this is important for you to understand, my question was, would such a Convention be limited to the precise question? Now, here's what the Legislative Counsel responded and with your permission I will read just a few lines; 'The authority to propo . . .'

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone, for what purpose do you rise?"

Stone: "On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. I believe that the rules of this House provide that there shall be order so that other Members may hear



what a Member is saying. I have been trying for five minutes, I heard very little of what Representative Katz said, I have heard very little of what Representative Hudson; and I believe it's my right to hear; and I cannot."

Speaker Redmond: "Neither can I, please give the Gentleman order. Representative Lundy, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lundy: "A point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Lundy: "I have in front of me a House Rule 42 which relates to Resolutions proposing Amendments to the Constitution of the State of Illinois and the Constitution of the United States. It's my reading of that rule that the passage of . . . the adoption of this Resolution requires 107 votes; and I request a ruling from the Chair as to the required number of votes to adopt this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "107, further complicated by the fact that the rule also provides that the Resolution shall be read in full on the second and third consecutive days; and I don't believe it has been so read. So it would seem to me that, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, that was my next point, and . . . ah . . . I would object to consideration of this Resolution if it does not comply with the . . . ah . . . reading . . . the . . . the three readings requirement that's contained in the House rules."

Speaker Redmond: "I do not believe it has complied with that requirement. I would suggest that we put in on the Fall Calendar so that it can be done. Any objection? Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "No, I think that's . . . ah . . . a good suggestion on the part of the Speaker; and . . . ah . . . I would ask that we do put it on the Fall Calendar and we can by that time have . . . ah . . . I hope a more of a consensus opinion."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? It'll be on the Fall Calendar. Representative Skinner. Please give the Gentleman order."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was merely rising to the same point that Representative Stone rose to. This is probably one of the most important Resolutions that we would be considering this year. Ah . . . You know, it could lead us living under a dictatorship in the United States.



It could lead to something worse than the Imperial Presidency; and I'm very happy . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: ". . . well, I'm very happy that we are taking it out of the Record because if we had passed that today in our condition and with this total lack of attention to the . . . ah . . . to the Resolution, I think we would be acting as irresponsibly as it would be possible to act."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you, Sir. On the Speaker's table is Senate Joint Resolution 34. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Joint Resolution 34 deals with a new 500-bed medical facility in the City of Chicago connected with the University of Illinois Hospital. I would like to suspend the appropriate rule for immediate consideration of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Board of Trustees University of Illinois would like to get a new 500-bed hospital with the teaching and research center in Chicago at the U. of I. Medical campus there. They have proposed a plan, wherein, the state would pay for the building and they would make periodic payments to the state out of the income of the hospital over the next 25 years, so that in effect the U. of I. would pay back the cost of building the facility. This Resolution requires no expenditure of money, but it does urge the Governor and the Board of Higher Education to provide the capital funding for that project and then let the U. of I. out of its current income pay back the loan. It provides for no more than the . . . the Resolution provides for no more than the expenditure of \$60,000,000 on behalf of the state, and provides for the payment of interest and principle back over a 25-year period. I'd be happy to try to answer any questions about the Resolution; and if not, I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 34. All those in favor vote . . . say



'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. House . . . 3123, is that . . . ah . . . that belong on the Speaker's table? Then what did we do with it? On the order of Conference Committee Report appears Senate Bill 24. Representative Shea. Turn on Kosinski's microphone."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that we do not adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 24. This was a Bill to provide National Guard Scholarships. The reason that I ask you to do not adopt with the passage of House Bill 858, there's a serious question of whether the Section of the statute wherein this was put if . . . if they . . . if the Governor signs that this Section is repealed, and the funding that's in House Bill . . . 1977 will not be applicable. What I'd like to do is take this back to a second Conference Committee and rewrite a new Act so that we would be assured that these scholarships would be available to the members of the National Guard."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is, does the House not adopt Conference Com . . . the first . . . the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 24? All in favor . . . Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, has this Conference Committee report been distributed to the Members?"

Speaker Redmond: "I as . . . yes, it has. All in favor of the question indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the first . . . the Conference Committee's first report on Senate Bill 24. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 5. Is there any House Sponsor for this? Oh, yeah, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Thank you . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 5 is Roman Kosinski's Bill and maybe many of know by now, Roman was . . . had to hurry back to Chicago because his wife is very sick and asked me to handle this Concurrence. Ah . . . I can best describe this Bill as I think he talked to most of you on the floor of this House regarding it. This is his sawed-off shotgun Bill . . . ah . . . which if becomes law,



of course, will be illegal to use or possess and the Senate strengthened it by . . . ah . . . adding language that would up the penalty from a . . . ah . . . Class 4 to a Class 1 and . . . ah . . . deleted other language . . . ah . . . that would be needed . . . the . . . or operable; and I would move for concurrence . . . I move to concur . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the concurrence of Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 5. All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'; final action, 89 votes."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 1 'nay', 12 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 5. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit'. Senate Joint Resolution 46 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975, by two-thirds vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House package Bill of the following title to wit', House Bill 3082 passed by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has receded from their Amendment #1 to House Bill 3105 action taken by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has receded from their Amendment #1 to the Bill of the following title, House Bill 3057 action taken by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Reports on Senate Bill 642 passed . . . adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the



Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 645 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 682 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 881 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 911 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 944 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 419 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted a Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 418 adopted by the Senate June 27th, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 355 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 298 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary,



Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate adopted Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 295 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 211 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 184 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 66 adopted by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Shea: "On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 44, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 44. The language deleted in the Senate with language with which I promise, I think, Mr. Schlickman that I would delete . . . have deleted in the Senate. It's simply for . . . strikes out that language which gives the Fair Employment Practice Commission the power to do anything else . . . any other things necessary. There was no disagreement on it, and it simply makes it a better Bill. I move that we concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 44."

Shea: "The question is, shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 44 be adopted? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action and requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Shea 'aye'. Mr. Hana-han would you push my switch 'aye', please? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 122 'ayes', no 'nays', 5 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 44. For the purposes of an . . . an announcement, the following persons will be excused to attend



the funeral of our former colleague, Representative Krause, Representatives Choate, Epton, Flinn, McMaster, Stiehl and Yourell. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 212. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that we do concur in the Senate Amendment, it was put on at the behalf of the . . . ah . . . telephone company . . . ah . . . which said that that procedure that we wish to follow was really not necessary because we did have one party consent already and . . . ah . . . it was agreeable to everybody and . . . ah . . . I move to concur in it."

Shea: "In regards to those in attending Representative Krause's funeral, Representative Calvo will go in place of Representative Flinn and Representative Palmer in place of Representative C. M. Stiehl. The question is, shall the House adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 212? On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Minority Leader, William Walsh."

Walsh: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Jaffe: "Yes."

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Does . . . does this Bill now provide that the State's Attorney may not initiate . . . ah . . . an eavesdropping investigation?"

Jaffe: "Well, he can do it upon court order or they can do it . . . or police authorities can do it in emergency situations . . . ah . . . wherein you have . . . ah . . . the life of a police officer endangered and a felony being committed or about to be committed."

Walsh: "Well, but to the question, if the State's Attorney feels that it is necessary to use that device to gather important evidence could he initiate?"

Jaffe: "No, he could not . . . well, he could initiate it without going to court."

Walsh: "And he . . . but he would have to go to open court in order to . . . ah . . ."

Jaffe: "No, it's not . . . ah . . . it's not an open court, it's actually a closed court proceeding. If you would look at the Bill, we pretty



... much follow the Federal law . . ."

Walsh: "Ah . . . I'll tell you, Mr. Speaker, I . . . I have asked the Staff for . . . the Republican Staff for an analysis of this . . . ah . . . Bill. I wonder if the Gentleman would take it out of the Record."

Shea: "What's your pleasure, Mr. Jaffe?"

Jaffe: "Well, I . . . I would just as soon go through with it because you know we did have a . . . ah . . . this is not my produce alone, it's a produce of a . . . ah . . . Subcommittee of the House . . . ah . . . in which we had . . . ah . . . Representative Palmer, Representative Leinenweber, Representative Mann, Representative . . ."

Walsh: "I . . . ah . . . yeah, I . . . all fine and honorable men, now, I'm . . . I'm concerned largely with the Senate Amendment . . . ah . . . and . . ."

Jaffe: "The Senate Amendment . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Walsh, let us not get into colloquy, it's up to the Gentleman --- if he wants to pull it out or not, if not, . . ."

Jaffe: "The Senate Amendment does . . . it does not deal with the State's Attorney at all. It's just put in there because the telephone company had an objection to it which we thought was valid and so we took out Section . . . ah . . . B of . . . ah . . . of that particular paragraph. It has nothing to do with it. It has not changed it with regards to the State's Attorney's office after this has come out of the House."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the House to concur in Senate Amendment #1, is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Would . . . would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he'll yield."

Skinner: "If I trust my State's Attorney, should I vote for this . . . ah . . . concurrence?"

Jaffe: "Absolutely."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, to close."



Jaffe: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would merely say that this the identical Bill that come out of the House, the only change that has been made has been really one that the telephone company has objected to because it . . . it really contains language that they do not think is necessary and in reviewing the Bill we don't think it's necessary to put this on . . . this undue burden on them. I think it's probably the only time the telephone company and I have agreed all Session long, and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 212. This is final action and requires 89 votes. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 132 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 Member voting 'present'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 212. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 396. The Lady from St. Clair, Miss Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 396. Senate . . . Senate Amendment #1 . . . ah . . . provides that . . . ah . . . the powers of the authority will be not be exercised within the jurisdiction of any municipality without the express approval of the corporate authority. Senate Amendment #2 deletes the words of 'eminent domain' in a place where the inclusion of the words make the sentence meaningless. Ah . . . Senate Amendment #3 . . . ah . . . states that the powers contained in this Act shall not be exercised by the authority in any unincorporated areas without the express authority of the . . . ah . . . corporate body of the unincorporated area. I move the adoption of these . . . Concurrence of these three Amendments."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 396? On the question, the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the House Sponsor, we have some questions over here on it, Mrs. Younge. I wonder if you'd take it out of the Record for a little bit, our Staff is going over the



Senate Amendments. This is a complicated Bill and . . . ah . . . we'd like to know if you'd take this from the Record."

Younge: "Ah . . . We don't have time, Mr. Speaker."

Simms: "Well, we have all . . . we have until June 30th, Mrs. Younge."

Shea: "Well, the Lady says she's not going to take it out, Mr. Simms, proceed."

Simms: "Well, in that case then I would urge then that . . . ah . . . we take a hard . . ."

Younge: "Do take it out for a brief time."

Shea: "The Lady . . ."

Simms: "Thank you very much, Mrs. Younge."

Shea: "On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 474, Mr. McGrew.

Mr. McGrew on the floor? On the order of Concurrences appears House . . . House Bill 663. Mr. McLendon on the floor? House Bill 679, Mr. Yourell. House Bill 736, Mr. Stubblefield, are you ready to go with that? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Stubblefield on 736."

Stubblefield: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate Amendment to House Bill 736 was worked out between myself, Representative Lundy, who has been the Chief Sponsor of House Bill 824 and the Senate Sponsors of those two Bills and the Amendment in effect does tails together into one Bill the provisions of House Bill 824, which is Representative Lundy's Bill, and 736. Both of these measures originally passed the House with a substantial margin and this Bill passed . . . ah . . . the Senate with a good majority also. It does not change the provision of those two Acts, simply merges them; and I would urge that we concur with the Amendment."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 736. On the question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. This requires 89 votes and is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 120 'ayes', 1 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 736. On the order of House Bills appears House Bill 771, Mr. VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . . ah . . ."



this is a very simple Amendment. It's just a clerical . . . clerical . . . ah . . . Amendment so I ask for concurrence."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 771? On the question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action and requires 89 votes. On the order of . . . McMasters 'aye'. Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 136 'ayes', no 'nays', no Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 771. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 5, and on that question the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister. On . . . he's got a motion to make."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, is this on House Bill . . ."

Shea: "House Bill 5, Sir."

Sangmeister: "Yeah, . . . ah . . . we have discovered that there was a technical error that's gonna' have to be corrected in that Bill so . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side of the vote by which House Bill 5 passed on Concurrence, I now move to . . . ah . . . reconsider the vote by which it passed."

Shea: "Mr. Schlickman on that question."

Schlickman: "Would the move in respond . . . ah . . . yield? What is the technical . . ."

Sangmeister: "Well, when they adopted the Amendment over in the Senate, they changed the penalty provision and instead of putting all of the language . . . ah . . . which should be shown on the Amendment as being lined out, they just put the new language in without showing the old statutory language that was lined out. So . . ."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Sandmeister: ". . . that's what we're going to try to correct."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote by which Senate Amendment #1 and #2 were concurred in by the House. This will require 89 votes. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 142 'ayes', no 'nays', and 1 Member voting 'present'; and the House reconsiders the vote by which Amendments #1 and #2 were adopted. Now, the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister,



moves that the House do not concur . . ."

Sangmeister: "I now move, Mr. Speaker, that we concur in Amendment #1 and not concur in Amendment #2, which is the one that has the trouble with."

Shea: "All right, we . . . he moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. It takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. The Senate . . . the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill #5. Now, the Gentleman from Will moves that the House do not concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 5. All in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 5. Gentlemen, although the television and camera light is on and that was for one of the television studios, the Chair has granted permission for a cameraman to go upon the floor and take pictures. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 853 and on that question the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich. John Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 853 places Lake County and McHenry County in one Congressional District . . . ah . . . wait a minute, I've got the wrong Bill, I'm sorry. Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 853 clarifies the language . . . ah . . . so that it insures that there be a referendum before any . . . there be any issuance or bonds; and I move to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 853."

Shea: ". . . sorry, I got involved here, we're talking about Senate Amendment 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 853, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Do you want me to take them all, I can take them all, if you so wish? All right. Ah . . . Number 3 deletes the reference to wild . . . wild land in the definition. Senate Amendment #4 removes the . . . ah . . . it restricts the powers of eminent domain so that the lands used for farming and agricultural purposes are not within the powers of eminent domain; and Amendment #5 . . . ah . . . restricts the Act to counties having a population of more than 250,000 and less than a 1,000,000; I move to concur with Senate Amendments #2, 3, 4 and 5 . . . ah . . . to House Bill 853."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #2, 3



4 and 5 to House Bill 853; and on the question, the Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, does Logan have over 250,000 people, Jack?"

Lauer: "No, Mr. Speaker, but I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question?"

Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Lauer: "John, was . . . was that reference to . . . ah . . . putting all of Lake and McHenry Counties in one . . . ah . . . Congressional District a Freudian slip or . . . ah . . . is that a different Amendment that's coming up on another Bill?"

Matijevich: "Well, I ju . . . just keep your ears open and your eyes open and you never know what'll happen around here."

Lauer: "John, it sounds like we're going to have to. I think you just wilded up this side of the aisle. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Matijevich: "I just want to alert everybody."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, . . . er . . . from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question, please?"

Matijevich: "Yes, Sir."

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Skinner: "Ah . . . Are you allowing every county in the state to be under this Act?"

Matijevich: "It started out that way, Mr. Skinner, but it isn't ending up that way."

Skinner: "Are you saying that only your county and other counties of over 200,000 . . . that in only your county and other counties of over 200,000 the township board will be able to buy . . . ah . . . land for park purposes?"

Matijevich: "That's right."

Skinner: "I think we ought to nonconcur with that."

Matijevich: "No, I . . ."

Skinner: "I ask for a division on that one Amendment which I believe is Amendment #1."

Matijevich: "Well, we went over that subject, Mr. Skinner, in the Senate Committee and . . . ah . . . it was the judgment of that Committee . . . ah . . . that . . . ah . . . as we start into a Township Open Space Act, we ought to start with these population figures and



. . . and possibly in the future General Assemblies we may broaden it. But I . . . I think it makes sense to start out . . . ah . . . and I think for another thing, Mr. Skinner, a . . . it would insure the passage of it. So I . . . and I think you're for the concept like I am and we will . . ."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask how one obtains the division of the question, I do not . . ."

Shea: "Just ask for it. Which Amendment do you object to, Mr. Skinner?"

Skinner: "Amendment #1."

Shea: "Amendment #1 is not before us."

Matijevich: "That's tabled."

Shea: "It was tabled evidently. Amendments 2, 3, 4 and 5 are before us, Sir."

Skinner: "Well, I guess I'm totally . . . ah . . . confused at this point."

Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Skinner: "Ah . . . That would not be unusual of course."

Shea: "No, Sir."

Skinner: "Now is . . . is Amendment #5 the same as Amendment #1?"

Matijevich: "No, it's 250,000 instead of 200,000."

Skinner: "All right, I would ask for a division on Amendment #5 then."

Shea: "Do five Members join the Gentleman in a request for a division? It seems you're not supported by . . ."

Matijevich: "He's been alone before."

Skinner: "Then I would like to . . . that's true, I would like to speak to the issue then."

Shea: "Well, the . . . let us get rid of Amendments 2, 3, 4 first, since you have no objections to those. The question is, shall the House concur in Amendments 2, 3 and 4 to Senate . . . House Bill 853? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. It requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 119 'ayes', 4 'nays', and the . . . the House does concur in Senate Amendments #2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 853. Now, on Amendment #5, Mr. Matijevich has explained it. Is there any questions with regard to Amendment #5? You want to explain Amendment #5 again, Mr. Matijevich?"



Matijevich: "Yes, Amendment # . . . ah . . . 5 limits the provisions of the Act to counties having a population of more than 250,000 and less than a 1,000,000. This is where . . . ah . . . most of the people have asked that there be such a Township Open Space Act and . . . ah . . . I think as I said before to insure passage that we ought to concur with this Amendment; and I would ask for your favorable support."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 853; and on that question the Lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I'm in support of the Amendment, I'm sorry, my button's still on."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I arise to oppose concurrence with Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 853. Now, this Bill was . . . would create the Township Open Space Act permitting townships after referendum to establish an Open Space Program. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that by restricting this Act to those counties with a population of more than 250,000 but up to 1,000,000 and not after that excludes a vital area of this state being suburban Cook County and suburban Cook County is where the people are at and it's the people who need open space. We need it vitally, we need it desperately, and it seems to me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that the townships in suburban Cook should have the same authority, the same right, and the same opportunity to serve their people; and for that reason, I urge a 'no' vote on concurrence with Senate Amendment #5 so that this Bill can go through a Conference Committee if the Senate doesn't recede in order to work this out and avail to Cook County suburbanites the opportunity to have open space."

Shea: "The Lady from Dupage, Miss Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . I would like to say that . . . that . . . it . . . the perfect Bill, of course, would be to include . . . ah . . . Cook County, but I think half of us is better than none, and the . . . the counties, the surrounding counties really . . . that really need this



so badly are those with population over 250,000. This is where the population is growing, this where people are coming. If we don't buy the land now, it just won't be there. So I would urge support for this Amendment."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, in our community or our county, we have a conservation committee, conservation . . . ah . . . committee that has the power for . . . ah . . . for eminent domain where I've been taken care of, and I understand that this concurrence is only implemented because of the wishes of . . . ah . . . the original Bill was instituted because of the wishes of one county, Lake County, I don't know that we need it at all in our area. I know of none in my counties that need this. So I think this is a good . . . ah . . . at least we should vote for this concurrence. I would quite agree with it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; and in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and back to Mr. Matijevich to close."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is a good Bill in its entirety for those who believe that we ought to preserve open spaces. In the area . . . the counties around Cook County, we are fast losing all our open spaces; and this Bill is an attempt to . . . to get at that problem. Ah . . . I started out . . . ah . . . differently with the Bill and as many of you know as you go along in the process you know what can defeat or pass your Bill. Ah . . . I con . . . concur with this Amendment. A new Member sometime ago, Lee Daniels had a Bill that related to the . . . ah . . . burgeoning growth in the counties outside of . . . ah . . . Chicago and I'm trying to respond to the problem that he is. Ah . . . But we've got to concur with this Amendment or we take the chance that a real good Bill will be defeated. So I urge my colleagues to vote to concur



with . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 853."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 853? This is final action and requires 89 votes. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 26 'nays'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 853. Berman 'aye'. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 229. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask that the House do not concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 229."

Shea: "The Gentle . . . the Gentleman moves that the House do not concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 229 . . . to Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 229. On the question all those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed will say 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 5 . . . er . . . House Bill 229. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 679. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Amendment to House Bill 679 is an Amendment that apparently clears up all of the objections that were voiced on Third Reading debate relative to House Bill 679. If you'll recall at that time, and this is the mobile home tax Bill, at that time it was debated that the local units of government . . . ah . . . the local taxing districts, rather, under my Bill were not getting their fair share of the tax collected for this Bill. Now, the Senate in its wisdom apparently has indicated that . . . ah . . . this shall be so and they've also added . . . ah . . . something that I think is necessary in that they put a schedule relative to the model year of the mobile home as it relates to the tax per square foot that can be collected. They also indicate by language which clarifies the square footage requirement as to exactly how that shall be measured, and with the new language, I would move now to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 679."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur with Senate Amendment



#1 to House Bill 679. On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. This is final action and requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 118 'ayes', Collins 'aye', 119 'ayes', 3 'nays'; and on . . . no Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 679. Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "With permission of the Chair, I would like to announce that the Members of the House who are going to attend the funeral of our . . . ah . . . former colleague, Mr. Bud Frause, that my car will be leaving the south . . . ah . . . south parking lot at a quarter after 12, the south parking lot of the State Office Building and will go to the airport and catch a plane. Thank you."

Shea: "On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 911. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "House Bill 911, as you will recall, provides for a state-wide emergency telephone number, which number happens by coincidence to be 911, the Amendments to 911 in the Senate . . . ah . . . there are 3 amendments. The first is the technical Amendment of no co . . . ah . . . of no substantive consequence, the second one is an Amendment that extends the period for the total covering of the state so that it will be . . . ah . . . by 1985 instead of 1983. It was felt by the Commerce Commission that the additional two years would be required . . . ah . . . the third Amendment provides that the Commerce Commission will have the power to approve or disapprove any plan submitted from the various parts of the state and the various areas . . . ah . . . but it also provides an exemption that any plan that has been announced prior to the effective date of the Act . . . ah . . . will not be subject to such approval. Ah . . . I would move to concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 911."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 911. All those . . . on the question this . . . will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay', this is final action and takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk.



On this question there are 125 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 Member voting 'present'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 911. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 985. Miss Geo-Karis, did you want something?"

Geo-Karis: "I'm sorry, no, I didn't, I'm sor . It's stuck. It's stuck."

Shea: "House Bill 985, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "House Bill 985 . . . ah . . . a companion Bill that goes along with House Bills 983 and 984 that have been passed by the General Assembly . . . ah . . . strengthen and extend the facilities for the Handicapped Act. The particular function of House Bill 985 is to extend the legal requirements for the new construction of office buildings . . . ah . . . and also factories that they be designed in such a way that people who are not physically able to walk . . . ah . . . would be able to work in the office buildings or in the factories. Ah . . . The Amendment in the Senate is purely a technical Amendment with no consequence on the substantive effects of this Bill. I would, therefore, move that the House concur in the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 985."

Shea: "The question is, shall the Senate concur in . . . shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 985? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. This is . . . requires 89 votes and is final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 136 'ayes', 1 'nay', 2 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 985. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 1094 and on that question the Gentleman from Fayette, Mr. Brummet."

Brummet: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Under the present law, plumbers have to be licensed for putting in septic tanks, for example, as well as being licensed for their plumbing business. This Bill just merely said that they would be covered for installing septic tanks with their one license. The Amendment says that they shall still get the license but not be charged for it. I . . . move for concurrence wwith Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur with Senate Amendment



#1. On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 133 'ayes', no 'nays', no 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1094. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 1149 and on that question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on 1149, that's Secretary of State's Budget."

Unknown: "That's your Bill, isn't it?"

Shea: ". . . get those Ted. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do concur the Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3. Senate Amendment 1 decreased the General Revenue appropriation by \$2,713,135. Senate Amendment #2 specified the fund for the appropriation. Senate Amendment #3 breaks out the electronic data processing line item into the Department of Management Information Systems, that's part of the . . . under the Department of Finance; and I move that the House do concur."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1149? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 132 'ayes', no 'nay', 1 Member voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1149. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 1257, the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1257."

Shea: "The Lady moves that the House do not concur with . . . not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1257 and on that question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question as to what the . . . the Amendment does, please?"

Chapman: "Ah . . . Yes, I'm happy to. This is a Bill which repeals a Section and which originally repealed a Section in the State statutes that



prohibits females under the age of 16 from in . . . being employed at a job that would keep them constantly on their feet. In the condition in which the House sent this Bill over to the Senate, it was a repealer. Ah . . . The Senate amended the Bill so that it applied both to males and females under the age of 16 so that neither males or females who were employed . . . ah . . . at ages 14 and 15 could be employed at a job which kept them constantly on their feet. Ah . . . I don't believe this is . . . is reasonable and I . . . I'm sure we can work this out with those reasonable people over in the Senate."

Beatty: "Could you tell me what it is about this that you don't feel is reasonable?"

Chapman: "Well, my daughter works at Bressmer's Ice Cream Parlor and this is a job that keeps her constantly on her feet, Sir, and I believe she is healthy enough to continue this employment as . . . would be true of young men and young women who work at McDonalds and . . . and cashiering at . . . at the Jewel and many other jobs of this nature."

Beatty: "And what is it that this . . . that the House is . . . that the Senate is trying to impose on you that you don't like?"

Chapman: "Ah . . . This prohibition of . . . ah . . . both girls and boys 14 and 15 from jobs which would require them to constantly be on their feet unless it would permit them to sit on occasion, and . . . ah . . . if you look at the Amendment . . ."

Shea: "Excuse me, mam, Miss Chapman, excuse me for a minute, there are a number of people on the floor of the House that are not authorized, would they please leave the floor of the House. Proceed, mam."

Chapman: ". . . do you think they're lobbying on this Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "Pardon me."

Chapman: "Do you think maybe they're lobbying on this Bill?"

Shea: "I doubt that."

Beatty: "Well, . . . ah . . . Mrs. Chapman, your original Bill . . . ah . . . for . . . referred only to females, is that what it did?"

Chapman: "No, the original Bill was a repealer. The law now wouldn't let your daughter or my daughter be . . . ah . . . employed, say at McDonalds or . . . or an Ice Cream Parlor or as a waitress or a cashier or any job that kept them constantly on their feet. Now, Mr.



Beatty, this job does not . . . this law is not being enforced. But if it were enforced, it would be most unfair as the law now stands to young women . . . ah . . . age 14 and 15; and as it comes back to us from the Senate, it . . . it applies both to males and to females."

Beatty: "And you're distinguishing between the males and the females, is this what you're doing?"

Chapman: "Oh, I assure you I distinguish between males and females, I have no difficulty."

Beatty: "In this Bill. Well, I knew you do that, but . . . ah . . . in this Bill, you think . . . ah . . . is there a dis . . . well, I don't know . . . if I'm not asking the questions properly or what but . . . ah . . . are you trying to say now that . . . ah . . . the Senate thinks the same law should apply to young men as to young women?"

Chapman: "That's right."

Beatty: "And you do not think so?"

Chapman: "No, I think it should be repealed because it's a restriction. I don't think it should apply to either males or females."

Beatty: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, on the question."

Skinner: "On a point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Mr. Skinner, you're recognized on this question."

Skinner: "I have a point of parliamentary inquiry . . ."

Shea: "Well, we'll get to that a little later. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise to support this Amendment, Mr. Beatty. I happen to have it and I saw this early this morning when going through them, and what the Senate in all their wisdom, and I sometimes question that, they continually . . . ah . . . take House Bills and when we go before Committees the first and foremost thing that most Senators say is 'well, this is very poorly drafted in the House, and it's obvious that the people in the House don't know how to draft Amendments'. Well, I point out to you Amendment #1 to House Bill 1257 says, and it's underlined, 'unless they're allowed to sit on occasions'. Now, I'd like you to define on . . . how one defines on occasion, and sit, does that mean then sit down



for a moment and then get back up and go to work. I think the whole thing is very poorly written and I support Mrs. Chapman on a nonconcurrence of this Amendment."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Lady moves that the House do not concur with Senate Amendment # . . . Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1257. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed . . . the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1257. On the order of House Bills, appears House Bill 1287. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1287 was a . . . ah . . . administration Bill. There was . . . ah . . . two . . . ah . . . Amendments adopted, one in the House and one in the Senate. The one that I would ask for your concurrence with is . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1. All it merely does is take out the . . . ah . . . the Section that says 'A, B and C'. I don't know why the administration asked for it to go in the House and then they took it out in the Senate on a Senate Amendment. I'd ask for your concurrence."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1287. On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 115 'ayes', 4 'nay', 4 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1287. On the order of House Bills, Third Reading, appears House Bill 1487. The Gentleman . . . is Mr. Hirschfeld here? Appears House Bill 1354, the Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, the . . . ah . . . House Amendments to . . . ah . . . or the Senate Amendments to House Bill 1354 with the Bill relating to a total . . . ah . . . revamp of the Savings and Loan Code in the State of Illinois are Amendments that are partly technical in nature that . . . ah . . . make very little substantive changes in the Act; and then the others . . . ah . . . that were adopted make absolutely certain that this does not relate



to branching . . . ah . . . in any of the discussion of facilities in the language of House Bill 1354; and I move for concurrence with the Senate Amendments to House Bill 1354, they are Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1354. On the question all in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On these questions there are 123 'ayes', 5 'nays', 12 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 on House Bill 12 . . . 1354. On the order of Nonconcurrences . . . on the order of Nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 555. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I refuse to recede from Senate Amendment . . . ah . . . to the Welfare Bill 555 and that's that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1, 3, 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 555. On the question all in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; and the House refuses to recede and request the appointment of a Conference Committee. On the order of Noncurrence appears Senate Bill 510, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington. That's the Department of Labor's appropriation. Do you want to get it in the Conference Committee?"

Washington: "I move that we . . . ah . . . ame . . . refuse to recede from Amendment # . . . whatever the numbers are."

Shea: "1, 2, 3 and 5, the Gentleman moves that the House refuse to receive from Sena . . . from House Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 5 to House . . . Senate Bill 510. On the question all in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede from Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 5 to Senate Bill 510 and requests the appointment of a Conference Committee. On the order of Senate Bills 478 . . . or . . . on the order of Nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 478 and on that question the Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Craig. That's on a State Fair Bill, Mr. Craig, do you want to recede or concur? What do



JUN 28 1975

65.

you want to do, Mr. Craig, they're trying to get the Conference Committees appointed . . . is Hanahan going to take it for you or what?"

Craig: "I don't believe that I'm handling 478."

Shea: "Well, who's got that Bill, Mr. Clerk? Your name appears on the Calendar. Geo-Karis. Is Miss Geo-Karis on the floor? What about on the State Fair Bill? Take it out of the . . . do you want it . . . would you look at it so we can find out what we're doing. On the order of Senate Bills on Nonconcurrency is 635, and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you . . . thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I have two to refuse to recede and two to concur, is that okay to go on . . .?"

Shea: "Give me the first Concurrences, Sir."

Barnes: "The first Concurrences would be to . . . ah . . . concur in . . . ah . . . do recede on Senate Amendment 6 and 7."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do recede on Amendments #6 and 7 to Senate Bill 635. On the question all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This requires 89 votes, and then the Gentleman is going to move to nonconcur. On the question, Mr. Sharp, you want to talk on these two questions?"

Sharp: "Yeah, I want to ask you a question."

Shea: "Go ahead, Mr. Sharp."

Sharp: "Ah . . . Representative Barnes, does . . . is this the . . . the one that the \$5,000 for the coaches at Illinois College?"

Barnes: "No, it is not, we're . . . ah . . . receding on Senate Amendment 6, which is the Catania Amendment, and we are also receding on the deletion of the appropriation for Capitol improvement to Herrick House which is housed in another appropriation Bill."

Sharp: "O.K., thank you."

Shea: "On this question there are 1 . . . on these questions . . . take the Record, Mr. Clerk . . . on these questions there are 126 'ayes', 1 'nay', 5 Members voting 'present'; and the House recedes from Senate Amendments #6 and 7 to Senate Bill 635. Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes, moves that the House refuse to recede from Senate Amendments #4 and 8 and asks for the appointment of a Conference Committee. All in favor will say 'aye', all oppose will say 'nay'; the 'ayes'



have it, and the House refuses to recede from Amendments #4 and Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 635 and requests the appointment of a Conference Committee. On the order of Concurrences appears Senate Bill . . . Nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 1493 and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move that we not recede from . . . ah . . . House Amendments 2, 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1493 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #2, 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1493 and request the appointment of a Conference Committee. All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the House refuses to recede from Senate . . . from House Amendment 2, 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1493. On Nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 236 and on that question, the Gentleman from Dekalb, Mr. Ebbessen."

Ebbessen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I would move that the House recede from House Amendments 4 and 5."

Shea: "And what do those do, Sir?"

Ebbessen: "Well, . . . ah . . . let's see, one is the . . . ah . . . related to the . . . ah . . . more term on pension and the other one is the . . . ah . . . one that allows transfer of credits and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do recede from Senate . . . from House Amendments 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 236. On that question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'; this is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On these questions, there are 130 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 Member voting 'present'; and the House does recede from Senate Amendment . . . er . . . House Amendments #4 and 5 to Senate Bill 236; and this Bill is passed. On the order of Nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 478 and on that Miss Geo-Karis, is she ready to proceed yet? Geo-Karis in the back in a Conference, she's working on that back there with Hanahan and Craig and the Fair Board. On the Speaker's Table appears Senate Bill 1506; and on that question, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins. Mr. Skinner, would you get out of the way so the Chair could see the sta . . . the



man with the microphone, please?"

Collins: "Thank you; Mr. Speaker, and Mr. Skinner is not listening to you or me."

Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1506 is a . . . ah . . . a Bill that . . . in the House that is sponsored by Representative Shea and myself, which would amend the Election Code and provide for the travel regulation procedures for the State Board of Elections . . . ah . . . allowing them to create their own . . . ah . . . Travel Control Board; and, Mr. Speaker, I would move that this Bill be advanced to Second Reading . . . Reading without reference to Committee."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, who will be handling the Bill and be the Chief Sponsor of the legislation and will have absolute control of the Bill moves that the House be . . . that the Bill be taken from the Speaker's Table and be placed on the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day; and on that question, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. Collins, what Committee heard this Bill in the Illinois State Senate?"

Collins: "Ah . . . This is a Committee Bill, it . . . it's the Elections and reapportionment Committee of the Senate Bill."

Pierce: "So it's . . . so it's their own . . . it's their own Bill and then . . . it . . . was it apparently in that Committee?"

Collins: "I can only . . . I can only answer that it . . . what it says on the face of this Bill is by the Elections and Reapportionment Committee and . . ."

Pierce: "Because . . ."

Collins: ". . . well, no, and then there is th . . . I beg your pardon, there is a notation to Second Reading without reference."

Pierce: "Well, I think that's common on a Committee Bill even when they're heard in Committee when they were put out on the floor and given a number and then they're . . . they're advanced to Second Reading without reference to Committee. I . . . we . . . we do the same



thing here but the Committee may have considered the Bill, but then when it was reported out, and it got a number, it goes ahead without . . . but apparently the Committee . . ."

Collins: "I believe it's correct."

Pierce: ". . . the Committee in the Senate must have considered this, so I will not object to your motion."

Collins: "Thank you, Sir."

Shea: "Does the Gentleman have leave to suspend the appropriate rule and put it on the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day? Hearing no objection, hearing no objection . . . it will require 107 votes. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay' . . . Mr. Washburn, is Mr. Washburn on the floor? When he comes . . . er . . . Mr. Telcser, could you come up here please? This requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 129 'ayes', 7 'nays', 4 Members voting 'present'; and the Gentleman . . . the Gentleman's motion carries, and Senate Bill 1506 will be put on the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. On the order of Nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 478."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . the Senate . . . ah . . . has . . . ah . . . not concurred in Amend . . . House Amendments 1 and 2; and I move . . . ah . . . to noncur . . . not concur in the Senate's report, it asks for Conference Committee."

Shea: "The Lady moves that the House refuse to recede from Senate . . . from House Amendments #1 and #2 to Senate Bill 478 and ask for the appointment of a Conference Committee; and on that question, all in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from Senate Amendments #1 . . . er . . . House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 478. Yeah, hold on. On the order of Concurrences, 474. I know we are. Could we have a little order? On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 474 and the Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, I would like to move to Concur with Senate . . . Senate Amendment #1. All it did was to clear up a



mistake in spelling and it spelled the word correctly."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 474. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', all opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 141 'ayes', no 'nays', no 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 474. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 752, and on that question, the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . I would move that the House do concur in Senate Amendments . . . what's the numbers, Mr. Clerk? Oh, there are several of them."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . 7 . . . 2 and 3."

Grotberg: ". . . 2 and 3 to . . . ah . . . House Bill 752. Ah . . . These Senate Amendments . . . ah . . . bring the mobile home tax program into conformity . . . ah . . . in the area of . . . ah . . . how to measure a trailer but the hitch must be disassembled this time and . . . ah . . . it simply clarifies the Bill, it makes the nonpayment of the tax a Class B misdemeanor with a \$500 fine."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, moves that the House do concur with Senate Amendments #2 and 3, and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Beatty: "Representative Grotberg, in this Amendment, in one of these Amendments, is there an imposition of a tax on mobile homes that would cover Cook County?"

Grotberg: "Ah . . . In the wisdom of the Senate . . . ah . . . and the wisdom of the House by benefit of Mr. Katz the home-rule Amendment is still on here. There's a severability clause that's been added. That's one of the Amendments . . . ah . . . which means that if it ever is found . . . if the home-rule Amendment is found unconstitutional the . . . the Act itself is still intact with exception of that clause."

Beatty: "Well, the way these Amendments are written, isn't there an



Amendment that would provide that this tax would apply to all the counties?"

Grotberg: "No, they . . . they discussed that. It's . . . It's in all the counties except counties of 2,000,000 and up now. Ah . . . There was an Amendment offered to strike the home-rule Amendment, and that Amendment failed, that was Senate Amendment #1."

Beatty: "Are you saying that this tax will not apply to . . . the mobile homes in Cook County?"

Grotberg: "That's correct."

Beatty: "Thank you."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #2 and 3? The Gentleman from Cook, . . . perhaps we'd rather whistle for a while. Why don't the House just stand at ease for five minutes and everybody can . . . Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this stupid whistling was started over in the Senate, and I don't think we in the House should degrade ourselves so much as to pick up one of those silly, assinine tricks of some of those silly, assinine Senators."

Shea: "Why don't we just stand at ease for a couple of minutes and get up and . . . ah . . . take . . . as my good friend here says, take a little 7th inning stretch. The House will be in order and on the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 752, back to Mr. Grotberg. Hold on, Mr. Grotberg. Could the House please be in order and we'll continue with the business of the House. Mr. Grotberg on 732 or 752, I'm sorry, Sir. Have we finished the discussion? George, turn Mr. Grotberg on there will you please?"

Grotberg: "Yes, . . . ah . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker, to . . . to correct . . . there's no Class B misdemeanor here for not paying your taxes, there's a Class B . . . ah . . . misdemeanor if you don't notify somebody that you moved your mobile home; and I wouldn't want the Record to show anything else than that. Ah . . . I move that we concur on these Amendments, Sir."

Shea: "On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman, did you get your question . . . the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, on the question."



Giorgi: "Mr. Grotberg, do you exclude Cook County from this Act?"

Grotberg: "Yes, the home-rule Amendment is still on here for counties of 2,000,000 and . . ."

Giorgi: "How about cities in home rule?"

Grotberg: "No, counties only."

Giorgi: "Why do you exclude the county . . . mobile homes are the same all over the state, aren't they?"

Grotberg: "Well, these taxes have traditionally been imposed by the . . . ah . . . carried out through the county structure, Representative Giorgi, this is the Revenue Act and . . . ah . . . there's no home rule for cities on Revenue taxes . . . er . . . on . . . on the Revenue Act."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #2 and 3 to House Bill 752. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On these questions there are 118 'ayes', 3 'nays', 2 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendments #2 and #3 on House Bill 752. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 1487, and the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1487 was the Act that called for inspection of elevators throughout the State of Illinois in order to try and eliminate many of the accidents we've had with dumb waiters and with elevators themselves because of people violating safety standards. Cook County already has proper elevator inspection, unlike the rest of the State of Illinois and Senate Amendment #1, therefore, was the home-rule Amendment. We tried to put in on the House, but we got caught in the switches because of the deadline so we had it put on in the Senate; and I would move we concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1487."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1487. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. It's final action, it requires 89



votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 3 'nays', 6 Members voting 'present'; and House . . . the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1487. On the order of House Bills . . . on Concurrence appears House Bill 1704 and on that order, Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "1704? I move to concur with . . . ah . . . House Bill 1704."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer, on a point of order, Sir."

Geo-Karis: "Can I take it out of the Record at this time?"

Meyer: "Now, I'd just assume raise this order, this Bill was called and I'd like a ruling from the Chair . . . there was a dissent filed on this Bill by more than five Members of the House when it did pass the House raising the issue that this Bill had passed with less than announced, and announcement by the Chair that it received 99 votes, that it in fact was an obligation of the state, it needed 107 votes, and I'd like a ruling on that point."

Shea: "Can I remind our guests in the Galleries that there is no picture taking. I find I'm in error, the lights on. Walsh tells me I'm in error by saying I'm in error because they got to have the explicit permission to take it. On Mr. Meyer's question, the Chair ruled before that there were 107 votes on it when it passed here."

Geo-Karis: "109."

Shea: "Or whatever, in excess of 107. Mr. Bluthardt raised that question and filed a dissent. It is not back here for Concurrence or Nonconcurrency, Sir, . . ."

Meyer: "Senate . . ."

Shea: ". . . Sir, can I finish please?"

Meyer: "Yes, Sir."

Shea: ". . . occur in Concurrence or Nonconcurrency in Senate Amendments, and if the Lady moves to Concur and the House does Concur, that is final action by this House on that Bill and will hear any alleged defects at the time the Bill was called. Now, Miss Geo-Karis, the Lady from Lake moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1704; and on that question, Mr. Bluthardt, do you wish to speak to the question or to your dissent, Sir?"



Bluthardt: "A point of order, Mr. . . ."

Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Bluthardt: ". . . Mr. Speaker. Going back to your ruling of . . . ah . . . May 23rd, about the 25th I think it was, because this first came up on May 23rd when it appeared that the vote was 97 'ayes' to some 40 some 'nays', my question was whether or not it received the constitutional majority of 107 votes at that time. You said that those who wanted to vote should come down to the Well and vote that was the rule of the House, but you did not rule on whether or not when they came down and changed their votes, whether or not they were violating that rule of the House that prohibits the change of votes that would . . . would result in a different outcome of . . . ah . . . of the vote. In other words, . . . ah . . . whether or not . . . ah . . . Members were allowed to change their votes that would change the result of the outcome of that vote. I don't think you ruled on that at all, and . . . and I would like a ruling at this time as to whether or not a Bill that required the constitutional majority of two-thirds of this House and fails to receive it when it is called whether or not Members may then change their vote and change the outcome and result of that vote."

Shea: "Well, again, Mr. Bluthardt, I think we're past that point, we're now on the Concurrence or Nonconcurrence with the Senate Amendment."

Bluthardt: "But, Mr. Speaker, if . . ."

Shea: "You've filed your dissent, Sir, at the time I happened to have been in the Chair, then you raised the question some two days later. Had you raised that point at the time of the Roll Call, perhaps we would have had . . . have had a different outcome, Sir."

Bluthardt: "At the time of the Roll Call, Mr. Speaker, there were 97 affirmative votes, there were not 109 until several days later."

Shea: "But the Bill had been declared passed and had you raised the point at that time, Sir, the Bill would not have been declared passed. Now, the Journal reflects your dissent, Sir, and the ruling of the Chair, and it is firmly implanted forever in that Journal, and it will, I'm sure, be the subject matter of a court suit if that action is continued. So I think you've made your point, I think Mr. Meyer has made



his, so now can we get on to the Concurrence of Nonconcurrence with this Amendment and on that question the Lady from . . . the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, only so that it's included in the Record, because I . . . I think we're talking about 10,000,000 of dollars and you did make the statement that if this issue now receives over 107 votes that that removes any objection or any dissent and clears the whole matter up. Ah . . . I want the Record to show that as far as I'm concerned, that we are voting on the Concurrence of Amendment #1 and that as far as I know about the legislative process, I know that you need the required number of votes for final passage on that Amendment . . . ah . . . or concurrence, but I want the Record to show that when this Bill left the House that it didn't have the constitutional majority and I . . . and I want the Record to show . . . ah . . . also that we are now voting . . . ah . . . for concurrence for Amendment #1 to House Bill 1704 . . ."

Shea: "The Record will so reflect that the action before this body on this date with regards to House Bill 1704 is the Concurrence of Nonconcurrence with Senate Amendment #1. The Record will further reflect, Sir, at the time that this Bill passed, the Speaker announced that it was passed that some days later one of the Members questioned the legality of the number of votes that it had to pass and filed a written dissent within the rules of the House. Now, Mr. Tipword, the Gentleman from Christian, has a question."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, just a matter of clarification for my own personal inquiry on something you said a minute ago, do I understand that if the House has declared a Bill to be passed, the Speaker has declared a Bill to be passed, and it is not at that time challenged or there . . . or the results are not then changed by some action of the House, that it is then a legal action of the House on the passage of the Bill?"

Shea: "Mr. Tipword, let me clarify what I'm going to say, Sir. I am speaking with regards to Senate Bill . . . or . . . House Bill 1704, its dissent from the Record and I am talking whether . . . not whether a Bill is passed or not but whether there has been final action taken



by this House."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, I understand, but I would also request a transcript of the remarks that you have just made, I would appreciate having it, and I have not received my transcript from two days ago yet."

Shea: "I will ask the Parliamentarian to find out what kind of a constitutional hole I have dug myself to get you the . . ."

Tipword: "Thank you, Sir."

Shea: "Now, on the question of passage . . . all right, let's start with two points or order, start with Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, it's a parliamentary inquiry, and I wanted to know if a Bill needs 107 votes initially to pass the House, what is the required number of votes to concur not to concur?"

Shea: "The Bill to nonconcur or concur on an Amendment requires the same number of votes that would be required for final passage. If the Bill in the form that it is in now requires 107, it will require 107 to adopt the Amendment. If the Bill in its present form requires 89 votes with the Amendment, it will require 89 votes to adopt the Amendment and pass the Bill."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak on this motion at the proper time, I want . . ."

Shea: "All right, now, Mr. Meyer, do you have a point of order, Sir?"

Meyer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to include in the Record a dissent to your action today which we have already filed with the Clerk of the House, and I would like to just read a portion of it if I may take the time of the House?"

Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Meyer: "This Bill having failed to receive the constitutional required votes should not have been considered by the Senate in any action from that Chamber should be ruled . . . by this Chamber should be ruled out of order. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Thank you, you filed that, Sir, and I assume you're joined by a number of your colleagues? The Lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis, moves that the House do a . . . do adopt or do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1704. On the question, is there any discussion?"



Geo-Karis: "It's Senate Amendment #2, it's #2."

Shea: "I'm sorry, that's Senate Amendment #2."

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to be heard on that."

Shea: "And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, wishes to be heard."

Collins: "I want an explanation of the Amendment."

Shea: "Well, why don't I start with Mr. Bluthardt, and then we'll get . . . do you want . . . ah . . . do you want to yield to Mr. Bluthardt for a minute, Mr. Collins will yield to you for a minute and then I'll come back to him. Mr. Bluthardt on the Amendment."

Bluthardt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I have . . . ah . . . question of the Sponsor, if she'll yield. Ah . . ."

Shea: "She indicates she will, Sir."

Bluthardt: "All right, there's been a rumor around here for a week or so that the Senate removed . . . ah . . . your \$10,000,000 authorization of . . . from the Bill, is that correct?"

Geo-Karis: "The Senate incorporated a \$10,000,000 in the existing \$70,000,000, they did not add the additional \$10,000,000 because they said that none of the . . . the bonds already allocated had been used as yet."

Bluthardt: "I've . . . I've read the . . . ah . . . Digest here and it doesn't indicate that any \$10,000,000 was deducted from the . . . ah . . . the Bill."

Geo-Karis: "I can show you the Amendment, Sir."

Bluthardt: "Which Amendment is that?"

Geo-Karis: "Senate Amendment 2 that was passed by a . . . with the concurs of the Joint leadership of the Senate by a vote of 42 to 5, the Bill as amended that way passed by 42 to 5 with all the Leadership on both sides voting for it."

Bluthardt: "All right."

Shea: "Perhaps, Mr. Bluthardt, might I suggest this, perhaps we'll take this out of the Record for a few minutes and Miss Geo-Karis can show you and Mr. Meyer and Mr. Collins can . . ."

Bluthardt: "No, no, if she tells me that the \$10,000,000 has been reduced and that it comes out of the \$70,000,000 previously authorized, I'll



. . . I accept that as being so. I don't find it in Amendment #2 that appears on . . . in the Digest. But I will accept that and we're talking about \$70,000,000 and no additional authorization."

Geo-Karis: "I believe the reason you didn't find it in the Digest it because it was passed two days ago, Sir."

Bluthardt: "Well, let's call it this yellow sheet, it's not in there either, but if you say that it's been reduced by the \$10,000,000, the \$10,000,000 has been amended out, I'll accept that."

Geo-Karis: "It is, definitely, that's on my word that it is."

Shea: "All right, on the question, the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Lady yield?"

Shea: "She indicates she will."

Geo-Karis: "Certainly."

McClain: "Ah . . . Miss Geo-Karis, on page 1 of Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1705 I read . . . ah . . . 'provided however that the \$10,000,000 thereof shall be used', I go to page 2, 'shall be used exclusively for the specific purposes of acquisition, development, construction, reconstruction improvement, financing, architecture on technical planning and instal . . . installation of capital facilities consisting of building structures, durable equipment of land and for the purpose of capital development of other forms of energy', . . . ah . . . could you explain that for me please?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, this is the same, my understanding is this is in the same form as the language is for the Coal bonds."

McClain: "My point, Mam, is that . . . ah . . . maybe I misinterpreted you, but you said that . . . ah . . . the \$10,000,000 had been taken out."

Geo-Karis: "The \$10,000,000 will come out of the \$70,000,000 that has been allocated by the Legislature in the last General Assembly. It is not an additional \$10,000,000, and the language of this Amendment relating to what you just read, Sir, is the same type of language that you will find it . . . in relation to the Coal bonds . . . to the . . . to the reference that was made in the Bill that was passed two years ago to the Coal bond. This makes it consistent."

McClain: "But it is underlined this additional language?"



Geo-Karis: "Yes, because we . . . the \$10,000,000 that was taken out of the \$70,000,000 relates to alternative energy. We did not have any provision in the Coal bond Act for alternative energy. We have a great need for some seed money for alternative energy. We have none, and this is why this Amendment was . . . this Bill was even sponsored by us. The Commission and other Members who were present at the quorum . . . ah . . . voted favorably, all of them unanimously to the sponsorship of the Bill, and this Amendment was done by input both on the Democratic side and the Republican side in the Senate to take it out of the \$70,000,000 Coal bond because none of the Coal bonds have been used. As you know, the Illinois Energy Resources Commission has to approve or disapprove any project under the Coal Bond Act which is referred . . . which is presented to us by the Division of Energy or the Business Economic Development Agency."

Shea: "All right, I . . . I think you've answered the question, . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . no project has even been represented."

Shea: ". . . do you have any further questions or do you wish to speak to the Bill, Sir."

McClain: "One more further question, if I may, Sir."

Geo-Karis: "Certainly."

McClain: "Ah . . . Miss Geo-Karis, so what you're saying, therefore, is that the orig . . . the original Bill which had an additional \$10,000,000 for research and development is no more. Now, what we're doing is with the \$70,000,000 originally appropriated or authorized . . . ah . . . to your Commission, we're now taking . . . we're authorizing \$10,000,000 of that \$70,000,000 to . . . for alternative energy, and it is not an additional \$10,000,000 . . . \$10,000,000 bonds?"

Geo-Karis: "The Bill is the same except that it does not take an additional \$10,000,000, that's right, in other words, there are still \$70,000,000 under this Bill as amended. There'll be \$70,000,000 for coal development and research and alternative energies, \$60,000,000 of that \$70,000,000 has to be used for coal projects, and 10 is there for alternative energy only."

McClain: "Thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Is there any further discussion?"



The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mati . . . er . . . from . . . Maragos."

Maragos: "Will the Sponsor yield to several questions?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, Sir."

Maragos: "All right, . . . ah . . . Mrs. Geo-Karis, . . . ah . . . as the Bill stands now, the \$10 . . . \$10,000,000 out of the \$70 . . . first of all, the \$70,000,000 that was allocated to the last Session, was it not?"

Geo-Karis: "It was allocated . . ."

Maragos: "Your . . . for your Commission and for the . . . for the . . ."

Geo-Karis: "It was allocated on the Coal Development Bond Act, Sir."

Maragos: "That's right, and that was the previous Session?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, Sir."

Maragos: "And the original input of this Bill was to take at . . . to put an additional \$10,000,000 for the purposes of the . . . of the . . . for the development of alternate sources of energy, is that correct?"

Geo-Karis: "Right, Sir."

Maragos: "All right, now, when it went to the Senate they in fact took that \$10,000,000 and really disallowed it and put it back into that original \$70,000,000 that was authorized two years ago or a year ago when the Acts . . . when the Bonding Act was established, is that correct?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, Sir."

Maragos: "Now, did the Senate also do in another thing by some Amendment that you asked it to concur in?"

Geo-Karis: "I'll be happy to explain it, Sir."

Maragos: "Does . . . doesn't that also have another alternate portion that your Commission is gonna' be the determining factor or have the veto power of these projects?"

Geo-Karis: "The Senate in its wisdom . . . I'd . . . I'd like to call attention . . ."

Maragos: "I asked to be 'yes' or 'no' whether it does, I know what it's . . . whether it's wise or not has to be determined by the Legislature, but . . ."

Geo-Karis: "The Senate . . . ah . . . the Senate made a right of appeals



to the Commission of any project that was disallowed by the B.E.D."
 Maragos: "All right, what . . . what would this do to the 'law bust' to try and develop these various energy sources that are going to be developed if we have to have a right of appeal everyday to the Legislative Commission?"

Geo-Karis: "Well, I think you will find . . . ah . . . if I may answer you, Sir, is that one of the reasons this came about is because from the hearings one of the projects that came to the Department of Energy, the Division of Energy, the Business Economic Development that dealt with coal slurry, pelletizing coal slurry to provide fuel was rejected by the Department of Energy . . . of the Division of Energy because they said it was not a proper use of the Coal Bond, and this is one thing that the . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Maragos, would you watch your time please, Sir."

Geo-Karis: ". . . and this is the only thing that the Commission has is a right . . ."

Maragos: "How . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . in this Amendment is to have a right of appeals to the Commission and then it has to be overturned to this Division of Energy by two-thirds vote."

Maragos: "All right, Miss . . . I'd like to speak on the question, if I may?"

Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Maragos: "I would like to state, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that this Amendment does more than just give the Commission . . . it is going to have a constitutional implication where a Legislative body has a right of . . . in Executive action. I think it's ill-advised and ill-prepared and not in any way having anything to do with the Commission who I think are very honorable in the Legislature, but I think a constitutional framework of this Commission is not set up for this purpose, and, therefore, I would ask for a 'no' on this Amendment."

Shea: "Mr. Collins, do you wish to speak to it now or . . ."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm looking at this Amendment and I . . . I really think that it makes a



bad Bill infinitely worse. Ah . . . Besides from the point that this Bill was illegally passed and . . . ah . . . despite the ruling of the Chair, I am a signature to both the sense, I think it will be the subject to a court suit as the Chair has indicated and I think we can save the courts a lot of time and trouble by beating this Bill, but now reading Senate Amendment #1 it would give this Commission the power to override the decisions made by the . . . by the Department of Business and Economic Development. I really think if not . . . if not in a bad procedure, it's certainly cumbersome. I just can't see any reason for . . . imposing this kind of power in this Commission, beyond that the fact that we're talking about \$70,000,000 at the end of a Session when we've been asked to cut our appropriations and impose a surity within all budgets, I really think this would be folly and . . . ah . . . I would urge that the Members of this House let's . . . let's beat this thing, let's get . . . every time we add another Amendment to it it gets worse. Let's get rid of it and . . . ah . . . once and for all and let's not be in court on this thing, let's beat it right here today."

Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have sat in this House and have heard the Bill attacked on three grounds. One ground is it didn't get enough votes, I submit . . ."

Shea: "Well, . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . I . . . I think it's a point of personal privilege."

Shea: ". . . Miss Geo-Karis, were you gonna' make a request or talk about the Bill because I . . . there are other . . ."

Geo-Karis: "I would like to take this Bill out of the Record, . . . talk to some of these people about it."

Shea: "The Lady wishes to take the Bill out of the Record, take it out of the Record. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 1572, and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Houlihan, D. L."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1572. Senate Amendment #1 deletes the requirement of verification of the Attorneys Petition under the Bill. It's a minor change. It



conforms with existing statutory language and I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1572? On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay', this is final action. It requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 118 'ayes', 6 'nays', 3 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur with House . . . with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1572. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 1573, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, D. L."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the same type of an Amendment, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1573. Again it deletes the requirement of verification of the Attorneys Petition under the Bill and it is a minor change, and . . . ah . . . I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1573."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1573. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 117 'ayes', 1 'nay', 2 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1573. The Chair would like to ask the Members that on the Bills that last night the Members indicated that they wished to concur, there have been a number of Members who now wish to nonconcur after they have had a chance to review 'em. If they would come to the . . . to the Rostrum here or the Podium and indicate to the Chair that they wish to nonconcur, we could get that done so they could get back to the Senate, and on that, I will call on Mr. Washington on 1766 and the Gentleman wishes to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1766; and on that question is there any discussion? Hearing none the . . . Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, is this a nonconcurrence on a Bill in which we have previously concurred with?"



Shea: "No, Sir."

Madison: "Thank you."

Shea: "Mr. Washington on the question."

Washington: "Just briefly, the problem of inconsistency between Amendments #2 and 3 . . . ah . . . error in technical drafting and it has to go back, it can't be cured. I move we nonconcur on 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1766."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1766. All in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur or refuses to recede from Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 and asks for the appointment of a Conference Committee. We nonconcur, I'm sorry, the House nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1766. On Supplemental Calendar #1 on the order of Nonconcurrences . . . is Mr. Epton on the floor? All right, I'll get to it in a minute. On the regular Calendar . . . on the order of Nonconcurrences appears House Bill 608, Mr. Emil Jones. Senate Bill 608, Mr. Emil Jones. Take that out of the Record. Will the people please look at the Supplemental Calendar so we could get those back if we have to. On the order of Senate Bills, appears Senate Bill 970. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty, do you wish to concur or nonconcur, Sir, recede or refuse to recede?"

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House refuse to recede from . . . ah . . . Senate . . . ah . . . from House Amendment #1 and 2 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not recede from Senate Amendment #1 . . . er . . . from House Amendments #1 and #2 to Senate Bill 970. On the question, all in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House . . . Senate Bill 970 and asks for the appointment of a Conference Committee. On the order of Nonconcurrence Supplemental Calendar #1 appears Senate Bill 986, and on that question, the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Friedland. Turn Mr. Friedland on, will you please. Proceed, Sir."



Friedland: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . concerning Senate Bill 986, I move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 and asks for the appointment of a Conference Committee. On the question, all in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. The House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 and it moves for the appointment of a Conference Committee. Shut Mr. Getty off down there, would you please. On the order of nonconcurrences appears . . . on the regular Calendar appears House Bill 1935 and on that question . . . on Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1935 and on that question, the Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. Hoffman, G. L., moves that the House do not adopt a First Conference Committee Report and ask for the adoption of a Second Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the House refuses to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 and asks for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill . . . let's try to get through the Nonconcurrences if we can. Mr. Keller, are you ready to go on 1297 and 649? All right, we'll start with 649. Turn Mr. Keller on."

Keller: ". . . Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I move to nonconcur with Senate Bill 649 . . . ah . . . me . . . the Amendments #1 and 3."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, asks the House that they refuse to recede from Senate . . . from House Amendments #1 and 3 to Senate Bill 649 and for the appointment of a Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the House refuses to recede from Senate . . . from House Amendments #1 and 3 to Senate Bill 649, and asks for the appointment of a Conference Committee. On that order of business appears Senate Bill 1297 and on that question, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that . . . ah . . . we nonconcur with House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1297."



Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 192 . . . 1297 and that the House appoint a Conference Committee. On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as one Member of the House, I should appreciate some description of these Amendments and a reason for why the Member is moving as he does. I notice on the previous one there was an Amendment that . . ."

Keller: "O.K., Gene, I'll be happy to . . ."

Schlickman: ". . . would you please?"

Keller: ". . . to do that, on the first one, the Senate Bill 649 . . . ah . . . House Amendment #1 was . . . ah . . . this happens to be license plate Bill. There was some language put on there for the State Police that they wanted to be included in it . . ."

Schlickman: "Well, that was a completely ungermane Amendment, and it was one that we should recede from."

Keller: "Now, the other one was . . . ah . . . #3 . . . ah . . . with the license plate Bill, it pertains not only to . . . to Congressman, but also to Legislators and . . . ah . . . while they were . . . had drawn up the Amendment, somebody has taken some other language out of the Bill which . . . ah . . . didn't even pertain to it. It was put on by mistake and . . . ah . . . what we're trying to do is to get it all clarified up."

Schlickman: "Well, I'm interested in 1297 now, which is the one under consideration."

Keller: "O.K., now 12 . . . Senate Bill 1297 . . . ah . . . the House Amendment there what it did is required . . . ah . . . the Department that has the opening to make sure that they posted it in a conspicuous place . . . ah . . . in a type of . . . ah . . . job examinations that were going to be held . . . ah . . . the Senate for some reason . . . ah . . . it wasn't clarified who was going to be responsible for the posting and this just clarified who would be responsible for the posting."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, moves that the House do



not concur . . . er . . . do not . . . er . . . Mr. Keller moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 and asks for the appointment of a Conference Committee. All in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1297. Is Mr. Griesheimer on the floor? I thought maybe he was hiding behind the books. Are you going to handle it for him? On the order of Conference Committees appears Senate Bill 347 and on that Mr. Barnes is handling it for Mr. Kosinski."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, I would move to nonconcur in Senate Bill 347."

Shea: "And what Amendment is that, Sir, I'm sorry, it's not on the Calendar?"

Barnes: "It's not on the Calendar, I'm not really sure."

Shea: "What Amendment is it on the . . ."

Barnes: "#2, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Senate Amendment #2, on the question, the Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from Senat . . . from House Amendment #2 and that the House ask for the appointment of a Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye', all those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. The House refuses to recede from House Amendment #2 and requests the appointment of a Conference Committee. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading . . . on the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 345, and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege first. I rise on a point of personal privilege because I think that some of the remarks that was made this morning about House Bills, Senate Bills being held hostage. I can tell you one thing, since I've been in this House I've heard crys from both sides of this aisle about how the Senate has treated their particular piece of legislation in the Senate, and they've treated my legislation bad too. Over the past year I've had legislation that was held continuously in the Rules Committee and when I went home to talk to my constituents about what programs that I had implemented in the House of Representatives, I'm



always coming up with the same faith I'm telling that I passed some out of the House, but they were held in the Senate. Well, let me say to you this year, I have found a new weapon and they were up in the House, Senate Bill 345, and out of the result of that, in every Bill that I have sent to the Senate it's now on the Governor's desk thanks to Senate Bill 345. I'm not moving this Bill because of any remarks made by any Member on this floor, but I do have high regards for the Speaker of this House. In my opinion, he's one of the better Speakers we have ever had and certainly one of the finest ones that's been in this House; and for that purpose and that purpose only I will move Senate Bill 345 at this moment."

Shea: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor, read the Bill."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 345, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Pollution Control Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor, moves that the House . . . the question is, shall this Bill pass and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 345 is the ordinary and contingent expense for the Pollution Control Board. They had one Amendment on it, the Amendment was a six percent reduction which . . . ah . . . the staff and the Pollution Control Board agree with, and, therefore, I move for the . . . solicit your favorable vote for Senate Bill 345."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 345 pass? On the question, the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, again I'm going to vote 'no' on this, and I hope a few join me. I realize the need for money, but I want to point out to you that this . . . this Pollution Control Board has more power than we do, they can control cities, municipalities, they can control sanitary districts, they can control agriculture. They are the one of the . . . one of the most dictatorial . . . ah . . . powers we have in this state. They can do anything in fact they wish. They are an economic burden to our . . . ah . . . our economic development. They have caused



factories to leave this state, hundreds and hundreds and, in fact, there's thousands of people have lost their jobs as a result of these type of . . . type of activities that they've carried on. I fear them. I fear them . . . ah . . . as one of the most dangerous . . . ah . . . of government we have in this country. Look at the pipeline in Alaska. It's people like this that's caused us to be in this oil crisis. The thing is continuing, we've got to begin to con . . . to draw a halt to it. I know I can't . . . I can't . . . don't want to destroy them motherly, I must admit I'm . . . agree with some things they do. But they are a danger, and we must make some effort to curb the power that they are continually advancing."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'm probably too late, but I wanted to ask the Sponsor if House Amendment #1 was still in this Bill? I can't see him so I don't know what he's saying."

Shea: "House Amendment #1, what Amendment is that?"

Unknown: "Jaffe, P.C. Amendment . . ."

Shea: "Do you want to take that back and take it off, Mr. Taylor?"

Taylor: "I . . . I'd assume we'd keep it on, Mr. Speaker, . . . just that I moved the Bill."

Shea: "All right, fine,"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I want to point out that a question has been raised as to the constitutionality of any Bill that this Amendment is attached to. I particularly wanted it pointed out because I have questioned the advisability of putting it on all through the time. I was not smart enough to figure out that it might make the Bill unconstitutional. I thought it was a waste of paper, but it may be more than that when you get around to spending the money."

Shea: "What's your pleasure, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move ahead with the Bill."

Shea: "All right, the Gentleman asked that shall the House . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 345 pass? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. It requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 140 'ayes', 4 'nays', and 1



Member voting 'present'; and the House does pass Senate Bill 345. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Now, back to Mr. Barnes on the Concurrence Calendar or Nonconcurrence Calendar on Senate Bill 347. Mr. Barnes moves that having voted on the prevailing, he moves that the vote by which we refused to recede from House Amendment #2 be reconsidered. Hearing no objections he has leave now on Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 347. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, this is a Catania Amendment, and I move that the House do recede in Senate Amendment #2 to . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 3 . . . House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 347."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes, moves that the House recede from Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 347; and on that question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 2 'nays', 4 Members voting 'present'; and the House does recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 347. Mr. Jones, you got a couple of Bills here. On Senate Bill 608, which is the Lieutenant Governor's expenses . . . no, that's Emil . . . ah . . . let's see, you've got another on here though. You . . . You want it to nonconcur, Ron? On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 1914, and on that the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones, on Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4. You wish to nonconcur in those, Sir?"

Jones: "That was . . . we concurred yesterday in that one."

Shea: "On 1914?"

Jones: "Yes, Sir, last evening, you called it and it . . . it passed. I don't know why it's back on the Calendar."

Shea: "Well, you want to check the Clerk's records here, Sir?"

Jones: "All right,."

Shea: "There's a message here . . . ah . . . perhaps you'd better work this out with the Clerk. All right, now, we're going back to the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading; and on the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, is Mr. Keller on the floor? Mr. Keller, do you want to take 471, Sir? Yes, Sir. Turn Mr. Walsh on."



Walsh: "Ah . . . We would appreciate it, Mr. Speaker, if you would give us some indication of . . . ah . . . where you are . . . you are going and how long you are going to stay on an order and if when you are on that order you will go in consecutive . . . take Bills consecutively. It's very, very difficult to . . . ah . . . follow and . . . ah . . . as you know, we have a rule that covers that subject . . ."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Walsh, I'm going to try to move the business of the House and do it in the most . . ."

Walsh: ". . . Well, it's not, I hope, again at the expense of the rules, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "No, Mr. Walsh, we're gonna' try to do it within the rules and . . . ah . . . perhaps we'll be here on July 4th. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 471 and on that question, the Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah . . . Senate Bill 471 is an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Community College Board. I . . . I move for passage of Senate Bill 471."

Shea: "Read the Bill, I'm sorry."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 471, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Community College Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, on 471."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . this is to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Community College Board. I move for the passage of Senate Bill 471."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 471 pass? On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield? Would you describe to us the difference between the appropriations contained in this Bill and the amount that was appropriated a year ago?"

Keller: "Ah . . . there was . . . ah . . . two Amendments in this particular Bill, Gene. Ah . . . On #1, it cut the expenses of the Commun-



ity College Board some . . . ah . . . six percent. Amendment #2 that was adopted . . . ah . . . put in \$5,000,000 for the . . . ah . . . full-time enrollment . . . ah . . . expected for the . . . the classes to happen in the fall and also the 25 percent increase which they had in the enrollment in the summer session of the Junior Colleges."

Schlickman: "Well, let's go at this a different way, what's the total appropriations now, what's the total amount?"

Keller: "The total appropriations now is . . . ah . . . \$107,000,000."

Schlickman: "\$107,000,000. What was the appropriation a year ago?"

Keller: "I don't have those figures in front of me right now."

Schlickman: "Is the \$107 greater or lesser than a year ago?"

Keller: "I'd be glad to take it out of the Record and get those if you . . ."

Schlickman: "I don't want to do that, I just want to get a comparison so I know how to vote on this."

Keller: "Well, as . . . as I say, I don't . . . I don't have those in front of me right now and . . . ah . . . I can get them, I've got 'em in my office. I didn't . . . I didn't know this was gonna' be called at the present time."

Shea: "The question . . . is there any further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 471 pass? On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. This is final action, it takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 139 'ayes', no 'nays', no 'presents'; and this . . . the House . . . Senate Bill 471 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 554. The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword, after the Bill is read."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 554, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Capitol Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Chirstian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I believe the number is wrong on the Board, I



believe it's 554."

Shea: "554."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the Bill for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the operation of the Capitol Development Board . . . ah . . . pursuant to our Amendment yesterday evening to try to give them sufficient money in order to handle the various projects we have. I . . . ah . . . urge the . . . ah . . . passage of this Bill so that we can get it back to the Senate and I probably get to Conference Committee on it to work out our differences."

Shea: "On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, the Spokesman on Appropriation's II for the Minority Party."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I rise in opposition to Senate Bill 554 . . . ah . . . as you know yesterday, this House managed to add \$2,000,000 to this Bill for the operations of the Capitol Development Board . . . ah . . . I feel this in excess of the amount of money that they need; and I would request a 'no' vote on House . . . on Senate Bill 554."

Shea: "The . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 554 pass? On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed will vote 'no'. Vote Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the . . . take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 36 'nays', 2 Members voting 'present'. Senate Bill 554 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 1498 and on that, Mr. Beatty, are you ready to proceed with that one, hold that one? On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 1500. Do you want to call that now, Mr. Matiejvich?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1500, a Bill for an Act to add Article 36 to the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matiejvich."

Matiejvich: "Ah . . . Since Mr. Fleck isn't here, I'd rather take it out . . . ah . . . I thought he was on the floor."

Shea: "Fleck may be gone forever."



Matijevich: "No, he was just here and . . . ah . . . well, all right, I'll call it then . . . ah . . . all I want is a Roll Call. Everybody knows what it is, it's the Oregon Plan, it's not the Threshold Plan; and I'd just like a Roll Call; and . . . ah . . . passed it . . . I'm now asking for a favorable passage of Senate Bill 1500."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1500 pass? On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we passed a . . . an identical House Bill . . . ah . . . last week and we passed it only because we wanted a vehicle in the Senate so they could hopefully come up with a meaningful no-fault Bill because we took all the meaning from this no-fault Bill from the Bill yesterday and made it a bad Bill. It . . . ah . . . it was a good Bill yesterday, it's a bad Bill today, and it's bad because it mandates additional coverage. There's no reason on earth why people should have to buy this loss of wages and other aspects in these policies when they can buy it now . . . ah . . . voluntarily. It . . . ah . . . it just simply doesn't make any sense, Mr. Speaker. It . . . ah . . . we mentioned . . . ah . . . before uninsured motorists is a coverage like this that was mandated to us. In my opinion, it's the biggest rip off that ever happened for the insurance industry. I submit to you that this is also going to turn out to be a . . . very favorable for the insurance business, and . . . ah . . . I urge a 'no' vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, to close."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, again I ask for your favorable vote on Senate Bill 1500."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1500 pass? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'; this requires 89 votes. I'm sorry. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 115 'ayes', 23 'nays', 7 Members voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 1500 is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, I wish the Members would look at their Calendar and notice that on Senate Bill 477, there's a notation that that Bill was read a second time yesterday. I would also like to inform the



Membership that the Clerk's Records indicate that Senate Bill 629 was read a second time yesterday; and I wish you would mark your Calendars accordingly. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 477. Mr. McPartlin, is Mr. Lechowicz handling that Bill? I don't see him on the floor. Mr. Kozubowski, do you want to go ahead with that Bill or hold it. Mr. Kozubowski wishes to hold Senate Bill 629. Con . . . that takes care of the business that we can get to on that order of business now, and we will go back on the order of Concurrences, Mr. Emil Jones, did you find out about that Bill? On the order of Nonconcurrences on Supplemental Calendar #1 appears Senate Bill 608; and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Emil Jones."

Jones: "Yeah, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House recede from Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 608. This is the F.E.P.C. Amendment, and I move that the House recede from Amendment #2."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House recede from Senate Amendment . . . House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 608; and on that question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action and requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 134 'ayes', 7 'nays', 3 Members voting 'present'; and the House recedes from Senate Amendment #2 to . . . from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 608. On the order of Senate Bills, is Mr. Griesheimer back on the floor? Is Mr. Epton back on the floor? Mr. Polk, will Mr. Griesheimer be back, do you know, today? All right, we'll get back to those. On the Speaker's table is Senate Joint Resolution 46 that deals with the meeting of the Legislative Advisory Committee on Public Aid. Is either Mr. Mann, Mr. Campbell or Mr. Davis going to handle that, would they check and see which one of the Members of that Committee wishes to handle that. Do you know what that is? Do you want to handle it, Mr. Campbell. The Gentleman from Vermillion, Mr. Campbell, on Senate Joint Resolution 46."

Campbell: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, about a year ago this . . . ah . . . House passed . . . ah . . . a similar Resolution which provides for closed meetings for the investigations



of Legislative Advisory Committee only regarding the purpose of hearing testimony of witnesses, inspecting books and papers, documents relevant to any investigation in which the Committee is involved in pertaining to the fraud investigations and . . . ah . . . this is merely an extension of . . . ah . . . of the time. In other words, this expires June the 30th and this particular Resolution continues that time until June the 30th of 1977; and it does require a two-thirds vote; and I'd appreciate your favorable support."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell, moves that the House do adopt Senate Joint Resolution which authorizes the Legislative Advisory Committee on Public Aid to continue for a period of one year of the date of July 1st to continuing holding of closed meetings with regards to an investigation into the payment of medical vendor payments; and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House . . . ah . . . the Illinois State Constitution, I think with good reason, . . . ah . . . makes it very difficult for Sessions of the General Assembly and its Committees and Commissions to be held in secret. Now, it's always been my understanding that the authorization for secret meetings of Commissions and Committees which has been provided there is very limited and that it is intended to extend only to specific meetings on particular dates and that . . . that it does not authorize the General Assembly even by Resolution to pro . . . to . . . ah . . . to authorize a broad and sweeping set of . . . of non-public hearings; and I . . . I . . . in light of that, I would just ask the Sponsor, I'm sure he has been advised by counsel or that the Resolution has been reviewed . . . ah . . . by somebody who has indicated that it's . . . ah . . . that it's constitutional, but I must say it is . . . it is certainly a variance with my understanding of the intention of the Constitution that the General Assembly could authorize the Public Aid Advisory Commission for a period of . . . ah . . . of two full years to hold secret hearings . . . ah . . . with regard to any specific subject. My understanding always was and still is that they must . . . that each such meeting must be individually authorized by Resolution of the General Assembly and I . . . I wonder if he would



care to respond to those comments?"

Shea: "Turn Mr. Campbell on please, Sir."

Campbell: "Ah . . . Joe, as you know, we've had this authority since . . . ah . . . we passed a similar Resolution about . . . ah . . . six months ago, and . . . ah . . . we haven't gone into the constitutional question, however, I'm . . . I'm sure that it was checked previous to the time that the other Resolution was offered. In addition to that, as you note, in the Resolution any final determination thereof must be made in open meetings; and one of the reasons for this is certainly if we're looking into . . . ah . . . whether it be a recipient, a doctor or a dentist and so forth and names are mentioned, then obviously we want to . . . ah . . . keep those names confidential without certainly hurting the character of the witnesses that . . . that would appear or their names being mentioned . . . ah . . . in the event that . . . ah . . . the investigations have not been . . . ah . . . finalized, and in the event that they're found not guilty, obviously."

Shea: "On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, for just one additional short question. Will the testimony and the work of the Advisory Commission which is conducted in secret pursuant to the . . . ah . . . the authorization of this Resolution ever become public, will the transcripts become public at a later time after the Commission has completed its work? Turn on Mr. Campbell, please."

Campbell: "I would say that they would be . . . ah . . . certainly if . . . ah . . . if the people are prosecuted . . . if the people are prosecuted."

Lundy: "But with respect to those . . . ah . . . that no legal action is . . . ah . . . no criminal prosecution is recommended then that would remain forever confidential, is that the idea?"

Campbell: "I would think that it would be, yes."

Lundy: "Thank you."

Campbell: "And I might say that . . . ah . . . before we can go into a closed meeting, we must have two-thirds vote of the Commission itself, too."



Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 46. This will require two-thirds vote. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Vote Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? This requires 118 votes for passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. This Resolution has 126 'ayes', 15 'nays', 11 Members voting 'present'; having received the required two thirds constitutional majority, Senate Joint Resolution 46 is declared passed. On the order of Nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 1157, and on that question, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I would request a Conference Committee on this . . . ah . . . to refuse to accept the Amendment that's placed on the Bill by the Senate."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves with regards to House . . . er . . . Senate Bill 1157, that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and #2 and that there is a request for a Conference Committee. All in favor will say 'aye', that's 1 and 3, I'm sorry, the House . . . all in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the House refuses to recede from Amendments #1 and 3 on Senate Bill 1157 and requests the appointment of a Conference Committee. On that order of business appears House . . . Senate Bill 751. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter. 751."

Porter: "Sorry, Jack, . . . ah . . . I . . . ah . . . move that the House refuse to recede . . . ah . . . from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 751. The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 751. On the question, all in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the House refuses to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 751; and asks for the appointment of a Conference Committee. The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I now move that the House does stand in recess until the hour of 3:15, if everybody would be back at 3:15, we'll get started again and we'll break for lunch now."

Shea: "All right, now, the Clerk needs five minutes . . . five minutes to



read in some messages from the Senate, and then we'll stand in recess until the hour of 3:15. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it and the House stands in recess."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments #3 and 4 to Senate Bill 98; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments #2 and 5 to Senate Bill 417; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 662; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message by the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 858; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the difference to the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 910; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the



difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 965; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1387; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to adopt a Conference Committee Report of House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1118; and the Senate requests a Second Conference Committee; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from the Amendments #1, , 3 and 4 to House Bill 1366 and request a Conference Committee; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from the Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2852 and request a Conference Committee; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from the Amendments to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 119 and request a Conference Committee; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from Amendments #2 and 3 to House Bill 222 and request a Conference Committee; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from the Amendment #1 to House Bill 2160 and request a Conference Committee;



action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages. The House now stands at ease."

Shea: "The hour having reached 3:15, the House is in Session. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the adoption of Amendments to the following Senate Bills, Senate Bill 162, Senate Bill 314, Senate Bill 379, Senate Bill 425, Senate Bill 486, Senate Bill 598, Senate Bill 665, Senate Bill 669, Senate Bill 703, Senate Bill 706, Senate Bill 731, Senate Bill 739, Senate Bill 749, Senate Bill 786. Senate Bill 820, Senate Bill 829, Senate Bill 837, Senate Bill 860, Senate Bill 882, Senate Bill 942, Senate Bill 957, Senate Bill 968, Senate Bill 978, Senate Bill 985, Senate Bill 1021, Senate Bill 1022, Senate Bill 1036, Senate Bill 1247, Senate Bill 1258, Senate Bill 1298, Senate Bill 1395, and Senate Bill 1492 concurred in by the Senate June 27, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Shea: "On the order of Concurrences. Mr. Clerk, did we finish 679? Appear on your Calendar, Sir?"

Jack O'Brien: "Yes, we concurred in House Bill . . . in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 679."

Shea: "On the order of Concurrences appears Senate . . . er . . . House Bill 17 . . . let's see, we'll go right from the beginning, Miss Young, is Miss Young on the floor? Mr. Rigney, is Mr. Rigney on the floor? Mr. Hoffman? Mr. Polk? Mr. Griesheimer? Mr. Griesheimer on House Bill 604."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 604 I would move to concur on the Amendment from the Senate, this is a home-rule Amendment and . . . ah . . . it merely provides that the Bill shall not . . . ah . . . override the powers of the home-rule . . . any of the home-rule municipalities in the State. I'd move to concur it."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bills 604 . . . on the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action and requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the



Record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', 2 'nays', no Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 604. Let's see, Geo-Karis is out. Meyer is not here. Well, she wanted her Bill out of the Record. Houlihan, he's not on the floor. J. David Jones? Berman, on 1968, do you want to take that one? Do you want to hold that for a minute? Mulcahey . . . Mulcahey on 77 is holding it. Walsh, what about 1999? Is that a good one?"

Unknown: "Yes."

Shea: "I notice, Sir, that doesn't effect the Election Code in any way, does it?"

Walsh: "As a matter of fact it does, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Well, I mean will you give me a commitment that you're not trying to remap the Congressional Districts?"

Walsh: "I . . . ah . . . I will assure it."

Shea: "Proceed then, Sir."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate Amendment to House Bill 1999 provides that in the statement of economic interest filed by people working for . . . ah . . . government and for elected officials that people who have the authority to deposit public funds must in addition to the statement that all the rest of us file must file a statement of loans in the names of banks they have where they have loans of more than \$1,000; and I move concurrence on the Senate Amendment."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1. On the question, is there any discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Lechowicz: "Now, who does this effect? Does this effect the State Treasurer and the various County Treasurers and City Treasurers?"

Walsh: "Yes, it effects those people, the State Treasurer who incidently is in favor of the Amendment . . . ah . . . the Senate Sponsor of the Amendment talked with Mr. Dixon, it effects County Treasurers and . . . ah . . . it also would ef . . . effect School Treasurers, Park



District Treasurers . . . ah . . . anyone who has the . . . ah . . . responsibility for investing public funds."

Lechowicz: "And where do they file this, with the Secretary of State?"

Walsh: "It . . . it's a part of the . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . form that we file, yes. The statement of economic interest that is filed with the . . . the Secretary of State."

Lechowicz: "And they'd have to comply with this when? Next year?"

Walsh: "Oh, we have to take the . . . effect, yeah, I think the due date on that . . . ah . . . statement is in . . . ah . . . April, isn't it, so it would be effective with the next filing."

Lechowicz: "April 30 . . ."

Walsh: "Yeah."

Lechowicz: "All right, thank you."

Shea: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1999? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. It takes 89 votes. Mr. Lechowicz. Turn Mr. Lechowicz on."

Lechowicz: "I think you'd better dump that Roll Call and start all over and . . . ah . . . if it gets 89, I'm going to ask for a verification."

Shea: "All right, dump the Roll Call. The question is, shall the . . . yes, Mr. Walsh. Turn Mr. Walsh on."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Well, if there's something . . . if there's going to be some dispute over this, I'd just assume we have more people here and . . . ah . . . so if you would take it out of the Record."

Shea: "Take it out of the Record. House Bill 2204. Mr. Lechowicz on that question."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2204 . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment decreased the appropriation by \$1,800,000. Now, as this Bill has been amended in the Senate, it calls for \$1,225,150. I move the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2204. On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh. Oh, I was just trying to find the . . . ah . . . the Bill. Oh this is . . . ah . . . the Secretary of State, it's a reduction, Mr.



Walsh, of \$1,800,000."

Walsh: "Is that six-percent of the total?"

Shea: "I think it's about 60 percent of the totoal. Somebody misplaced a decimal point at the time they reduced it."

Walsh: "This is the ordinary and contingent expenses of the photo division . . . oh, I . . . you know, I legitimately don't like this Bill. This is the . . ."

Shea: "Are you inferring, Sir, that it sometimes you . . . you legitimately like the Bill but speak against it or something."

Walsh: "No, no, this is truly a bad Bill. This is . . ."

Shea: "In your opinion, Sir."

Walsh: "No, no, it's got to be the opinion of everyone."

Shea: "No, the House Sponsor disagrees with you."

Walsh: "This is the . . . oh, . . . ah . . . this is the appropriation for . . . ah . . . photos . . . ah . . . equipment apparently or for the photographs on drivers licenses which I . . . ah . . . and also, as I understand it, for . . . ah . . . identification cards and is big brotherism at its . . . at its very worst. This . . . this would permit people to order identification cards from the Secretary of State, and it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that . . . ah . . . that government should not be in the position of identifying their citizens for whatever purpose and . . . ah . . . if it gets 89 votes . . . ah . . . we would like to have a verification."

Shea: "The Gentlman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, on the question."

Shcraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker and . . . and . . . ah . . . Members of the House, particularly to the Gentleman on the other side of the aisle, this . . . ah . . . appropriation covers the . . . ah . . . request of people from your district as well as mine and all the others of the state would want some kind of identification to go into a bank or a store to cask checks and establish credit. Ah . . . It's been on a trial basis for two years. Ah . . . It's been signed into law by Governor and this just amounts the . . . pegs the appropriation."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, on the question."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I just hate to take issue with my . . . ah . . . Minority Leader over there, but with the increasing number of aliens



for one thing, the fact that I have a . . . ah . . . social security number like all of us, I have . . . I'm already under the jurisdiction of big brother. I do have people often come in to me in relation to check . . . cashing checks, and I think big brother in this case is very good because I can take one quick look at his physiognomy and see if it matches up with his face . . . ah . . . on the picture and . . . ah . . . as such I can make a determination of where I'm maybe going to lose 50 bucks or not lose 50 bucks; and I like that part of big brotherhood; and I . . . in trying to take somebody into camp with a little con game, why I think he should vote against this. But if you got . . . ah . . . want to protect yourself, I think you should vote for it. So I'm for it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Miller, T."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "Mr. Lechowicz will."

Lechowicz: "Yes, I will."

Miller: "Ted, in looking at the . . . ah . . . printout that we have here on this Bill apparently the Bill went through Committee in the House without any opposition and it received 147 to nothing vote on the House floor, and the Bill at that time was at a little over \$3,000,000. When it got to the Senate, it was cut back to \$1,225,000 and could you explain the reason for the Senate cutback of \$200 . . . ah . . . \$175,000,000? \$175,000, pardon me."

Shea: "\$1,800,000."

Miller: "Something like that, right. a 60 percent cutback as the Speaker indicated."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, to be exact, the cutback was \$1,800,000. It was taken out in various areas. Primarily as far as the total number of personnel, the furniture and office equipment was reduced, the copying and printing services were reduced, and the electronic data processing was reduced for the first year.. May I also point out that the Secretary of State has authorized now and then 95 $\frac{1}{2}$ -110 to include the license photograph on each drivers license. That's where the cutbacks were, Representative Miller . . . ah . . . for that dollar amount."



Miller: "All right. Ted, could you explain to me why that cutback wasn't made in the House?"

Lechowicz: "The reason why it wasn't made in the House, because if you recall, no I don't believe your on Appropriation's I this year, but . . . ah . . . the justification for this Bill was at full funding level. When there was a cutback in the General Revenue funds, they decided they would implement it on a phase-in basis and that's what the cutback is . . . ah . . . in \$1,800,000."

Miller: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Anderson: "Is there any provision in this for certain religious sects or against being photographed? I did have a letter to that effect from my district?"

Lechowicz: "Well, I'm sure that if they don't want to be photographed, then all they have to do is file the statement that it is against their religious belief in the Secretary of State; it's not mandatory . . ."

Anderson: "Thank you."

Lechowicz: ". . . for . . ."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, moves that the House do now concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2204. On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'; this is final action, and it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 116 'ayes', 8 'nays', 1 Member voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2204. All right, on the order of the Supplemental Calendar #2 appears Senate Bill 1506; and on that the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins. 1506, Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1506, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"



Jack O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "No Amendments from the floor."

Shea: "Third Reading. On the order of Concurrences appears #2101, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the . . . the . . . ah . . . House Bill which we passed out and we've already voted out the Senate version . . . ah . . . that Representative Hart had. Ah . . . I would move that we concur in Amendments 1 and 3; #1 exempts . . . ah . . . public health because of its responsibilities relating to . . . ah . . . certain radio-active materials. Amendment #3 includes that portion that related to agricultural activity and the dis . . . disposition of that material on their property. I would move for its adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. Schneider, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 2101. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. It requires 89 votes, and is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 121 'ayes', no 'nays', no 'present'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #3 to House Bill 2101. Mr. Clerk, on House Bill 221 . . . 15, was that not nonconcurrent in the other day? Would you please, Sir? On the order of House Bills on Concurrence is House Bill 2260 and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 2260 amends the Judges Retirement Article in the Pension Code. It authorizes judges to acquire service credit in the system; and what the . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment does, it states that a judge may receive no more than six years of service credit for all credits allowed under this paragraph; and I would ask the House to concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2260."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2260. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'; it re-



quires 89 votes in its final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 126 'ayes', 3 Members voting 'present', no 'nays'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2260. On the order of Concurrences appears . . . Kozubowski, is Kozubowski on the floor? On the order of Concurrence . . . is Mr. Farley, is Mr. Farley on the floor? Miss Catania on 2473, is Miss Catania on the floor? On 2538, is Mr. Getty here? On 2559, Ted Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 which reduces the appropriation from \$120,000 to \$100,000. I move for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1. Thank you."



Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2559. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are . . . Mr. Clerk, 2559 . . . On House Bill 2559, the Clerk erroneously had 2359, he straightened that out. Take another Roll Call so we have it proper. Can you take the Roll Call now? On this question, the House, there's 119 'ayes', no 'nays', 3 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2559. Schneider 'aye'. Is Mr. D. L. Houlihan here? Mr. Taylor on 2627, that one is going to be handled by Yourell. Katz? Is Katz on the floor? Telcser on 2784. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser. Mr. Berman."

Berman: "I thought 2592 was the next one on the line."

Shea: "That one's got a hold on it, Sir."

Berman: "Not from me."

Shea: "I know, Sir. Will you turn on Telcser, will you, on 2784."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2784 provides that the maximum benefit which a . . . ah . . . official may receive cannot be higher than the salary of the highest paid officer in the system, and that . . . ah . . . after two years as an officer, the officers have the option that . . . to pay in another two years to receive the four years credit; and I move the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2784."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2784. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question there are . . . yeah, bring me some cigars . . . on this question there are 124 'ayes', 4 'nays', no Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2784. We're going to pick up a few Members we skipped. Walsh. on 1999. I'll pick that up, David, if you'll go over there. Before we do that, Mr. Walsh, on Mr. J. David Jones on 1914."



Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we nonconcur . . . there's an error in the Amendment structure and it needs to be corrected."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. J. David Jones, moves that we do not concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 1914. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to concur in Senate Amendment #1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 1914. On the order of House Bills appears 1999, Walsh and Collins."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Make that Walsh, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Did he lose, Collins?"

Walsh: "We lose Collins on the Senate Amendment."

Shea: "Mr. Walsh on remap."

Walsh: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentleman of the House . . ."

Shea: "Did your voice go up an octave when I said that?"

Walsh: ". . . this is . . . ah . . . yes . . . this is a Bill we discussed . . . ah . . . a few minutes ago that . . . ah . . . Representative Lechowicz had some question about. I'm afraid I did not solve the problem that he had and I may have brought about additional problems, but let me tell you again exactly what the Senate Amendment does. It requires that those persons who have the responsibility for the deposit of public funds state on their statement of economic interest what loans they have, with what banking institutions and not by amount over \$1,000. This is what the Senate Amendment does, purely and simply, and it seems to me that . . . ah . . . this is a legitimate area of concern for . . . ah . . . the public to know if there's any problem at all if a . . . a person who is depositing funds is . . . ah . . . is getting any kind of economic benefit himself from the deposit of public funds; and I . . . ah . . . solicit your support."

Shea: "Could I ask leave of the House if I could ask you a question from the Rostrum?"

Walsh: "Ah . . . very unusual."

Shea: "I know."

Walsh: "Very, very unusual."

Shea: "I didn't hear the explanation."



Walsh: "Yes, Sir."

Shea: "Could . . . could you do it one more time for . . ."

Walsh: "Sure. You didn't hear the explanation?"

Shea: "No, Sir."

Walsh: "Well, Amendment #1 adds to the list of persons required to file Ethic Statements the following: persons elected or appointed to any state position who have authority to deposit public funds, and persons elected or appointed to any unit of local government or school district position who have authority to deposit public funds. It requires that such persons in addition to filing the information required of other persons covered by the Ethic's Act to file the following information, the names of all creditors to whom the person or his family owes money in excess of \$1,000, and 2, the names of all financial institutions in which such person or his family has an economic interest in excess of \$1,000. Now, the . . . the present Ethic's Act specifically excludes deaths from its disclosure requirements. The Senate Sponsor of this Amendment offered the Amendment in response to the problem that they are having currently in one of the counties in this state. It seems to me that it . . . ah . . . is perfectly reasonable and . . . ah . . . I would urge the adoption in the support of the acting Speaker."

Shea: "On that question, is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1999; and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Mautino: "Representative Walsh, is this the same Bill that was defeated, this Amendment the same as the Bill that was defeated in Revenue Committee concerning the Treasurer of Dupage County in that simple situation up there?"

Walsh: "Ah . . . I'm not aware of the Bill, Dick."

Mautino: "May I speak to that particular Amendment then?"

Shea: "Yes, Sir, now, if you'd wait a minute. This is an extremely important Amendment. Could the Members please be in their seats. Mr.

Mautino on the question."

Mautino: "The Senate Amendment, I believe, is the Bill which we defeated in



111.

the Revenue Committee. . . ah . . . concerning a Treasurer in Dupage County, which is a local problem and should be handled from that position; but I do believe that . . . ah . . . this would create an additional hardship because as stated by the Sponsor under the Ethic's Law . . . ah . . . debts are not part of the disclosure, they are not part of the . . . ah . . . an ownership situation either. I do believe that since it was soundly defeated, this same proposal as a Bill in the Revenue Committee, that it should not be . . . ah . . . included as a Senate Amendment. I urge a 'no' vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Ah . . . Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you'll look in your Digest, you see the Sponsorship of this Bill is Wash . . . Walsh and Collins and speaking as a former Sponsor of this Bill, I'd like to suggest that we nonconcur. It strikes me that this thing goes a lot further than the Senate Sponsor intended it to go. I know he was concerned with the . . . the County Treasurer, however, it seems to me that this would take in every school treasurer, every trustee of pension funds and I don't know how far it might go . . . ah . . . I think it's a bad Amendment, and I'd like to urge nonconcurrency."

Shea: "On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I was one of the Chief Sponsors of a Bill similar to this, however, I think this is the wrong approach and the wrong method by which we should try to pass legislation. Therefore, I ask for the nonconcurrency as well."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar, on the question."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will. Mr. Sarunas could you step back a little bit, Sir?"

Mahar: "Bill, . . . ah . . . in many . . . in most municipal corporations the City Council or the Village Board authorized by Resolution the places where funds will be deposited, would this include the Mayor and Councilmen?"

Walsh: "Ah . . . In my opinion, it would not . . . ah . . . but I'd better talk to my Counsel, would you hold on a second? Well, if they . . .



if . . . if it is by that authority that the public funds are . . .
are invested or deposited, yes."

Mahar: "May I speak to the Amendment?"

Shea: "Please do, Sir."

Mahar: "I think that . . . ah . . . probably the intentions are well, but this is far too broad and would involve a tremendous number of people to be involved and very difficult, I think, to administer and I think that the Amendment ought to be defeated."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I'm very happy to hear that some other people finally know the true impact of that Senate Amendment, but, in turn, as was pointed out . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Walsh now wishes to . . ."

Walsh: "I do not, I do not, I want to run this out, Mr. Speaker, this Gentleman has spoken before on this issue."

Lechowicz: "Oh, no, no, Sir."

Shea: "I thought that he pulled it out and you want . . . you pulled it out of the Record and you went over and talked to him."

Walsh: "I thought he . . . I thought he spoke after that?"

Shea: "No, Sir."

Walsh: "O.K."

Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, now that everyone knows the true impact of the Senate Amendment #1 it's really very far reaching. In fact, I don't believe that we can really tell the number of people that are involved because if you have anyone that has the authority to invest those funds, whether they be elected or not, they would be encompassed within this Senate Amendment and also point out to you that as far as the city councils and the county boards, they have invested interests as far as the people who finally make the approval of where those funds are at. The other people make the recommendation, but it's upon their vote determines where the funds are finally invested. I think . . . ah . . . maybe the intent of the Amendment was that to correct the situation in one county, but when you have a . . . an Amendment of this nature, I would strongly recommend that we do not concur with



this Amendment, maybe it can be rectified in the Conference Committee."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, on the Amendment."

Peters: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I strongly, strongly concur in the remarks made by Representative Lechowicz and Representative Collins. In this day and age where individuals who are in public light . . . ah . . . in fact, continue to get castigated not because of fact but because of inference. We are opening up by this particular Amendment on this Bill every County Board member, every . . . ah . . . town alderman, every city councilman . . . ah . . . to inquisition . . . ah . . . because of a business activity he might have conducted . . . ah . . . with a bank for an ongoing period of years. Ah . . . I think it's extremely unfair . . . ah . . . by this kind of legislation to settle a problem which has arisen in one county to put every elected city official and county official from one end of the state to the other . . . ah . . . under constant attack and harrassment because of business investments he may have. This certainly to me is not the way to go about it and I, for one, am going to vote 'no' on the concurrence and would strongly urge . . . ah . . . the House to do likewise."

Shea: "Mr. Walsh to close."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first of all to respond to the question as to whether this is the same as the Bill that was defeated in the Revenue Committee, it is not. The Bill that was in the Revenue Committee set up an absolute prohibition against persons who have the responsibility of depositing public funds against dealing with the institution in which they are deposited. Further more, it provides pen . . . it provided penalties, a penalty that . . . ah . . . such violation of that provision would be a Class 4 felony. Now, this, Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is an Amendment to the Ethics Act and is simply disclosure of the fact of a loan, a personal loan, in one of these institutions. Now, I submit to you that there are not very many county boards or village or city councils that require that there be a Resolution as to which institutions will be the depositories of public funds. Where there are, then those council members and those county board members would have to



file this very simple statement in their Ethic's Act . . . in their Ethic's Statement. Now, Mr. Speaker, they don't have to give the amounts of their loans, it's a very, very simple thing and there's no need to gather any inference from it. There is a serious situation in one county that . . . ah . . . that no question about it, there may be a serious situation in 100 other counties too, in countless villages and cities . . . ah . . . who knows, school districts, etc. I think this is a reasonable Amendment to the Ethics Act; and I would urge you to support it."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 199? All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Shea 'no'. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco, to explain his vote."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise on just a little bit of pride here. I would like to support this Amendment as asked for by Sidney Greenstreet Walsh over there. I've been here six months and I think he's deserving of one pat on the back of having had one good piece of legislation. We sort of take off now then like a herd of turtles and I think . . . ah . . . on this particular Bill, we're going in various directions at the same time. I really think that the Representative has the sincere effort here to abide by the pure Ethic's Law; and I would like to support this in my 'aye' vote."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. Well . . . take it, Mr. Clerk, leave the switches open. The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I certainly agree too that this is one of the better pieces of legislation that the Gentleman from the other side has come up with; and I find myself in accord with this Amendment. I'd like to point out to you that it would be very refreshing to have a breath of Spring air come over the school districts in the State of Illinois and at least find out something that's going on in them. I'm not opposed that this covers a lot of people in the State of Illinois, but it's an area that should certainly be covered; and it seems to me that this is just a small step in that particular area and it is a very good Amendment and it certainly should be adopted."



Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Miss Willer, the Lady from Cook, Miss Willer, to explain her vote."

Willer: "Thank you, Mr. . . . thank you . . . I'm on, yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'm hard put to understand why people object to this . . . ah . . . bill. I think it's very good idea and I don't think the public is going to infer anymore from . . . ah . . . looking at this statement that you owe something then they would say you holding stock in something if you're a Member of the General Assembly and you're voting on legislation that effects say utility, and you own a lot of stock in utility. Ah . . . I've always felt that the big . . . one of the big drawbacks in our Ethic's Statement is that we do not have to say what we owe, because I think it's just as important in a conflict of interest and what you owe is what you have; and I'm rather surprised there's so many people . . . ah . . . who are so turned off by this. I commend Mr. Walsh for this, and I think it's a very good idea and I would hope that more Members would support it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to explain my 'no' vote. This is just another example of harrassment of people that are in public life. How are we going to get anybody to serve on school boards and serve on these various boards if they have to disclose all of their debts. Many people don't want to have their neighbors know how much they owe and who they owe it to; and we're going to take people and put 'em in a fish bowl like you're not going to get anybody to serve on any of these things. This is ridiculous, we're going to become so "Simon pure" that nobody will be able to qualify to run for any office or serve any board in the State of Illinois, it's crazy."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support Representative Walsh and his motion to concur. I think we should remember that this Amendment is addressed to those who have the authority to deposit public funds and as such with the kind of money that they're dealing with, they will have a great influence over their ability to



receive money back in the nature of loans. Now, it's true that we've had some problem in the County of Dupage and it's true that this Amendment is addressed to that basic problem, but I think we should keep in mind that those people that we expect to handle our state funds and local funds should be the people most responsive and responsible to us. I think this Amendment is a good Amendment; and I wholeheartedly endorse it and ask for your green vote in this matter."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 76 'ayes', and 70 'nays'; and the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, would you poll the absentees?"

Shea: "Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "Campbell. Epton. Ewell. Fleck. Gaines. Hirschfeld. Ron Hoffman. J. D. Jones. Katz. Kozinski. Leinenweber. Madison. Marovitz. McAvoy. Palmer. Schoeberlein. Sevcik. Skinner. Telcser. VanDuynes. Washington. Williams. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "On this question there are 76 'ayes', and 70 'nays'; and the Gentleman motion . . . and the Gentleman's motion fails. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House refused . . . the House nonconcur with . . . the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1999. On the order of House Bills, is Farley back on the floor on 2440, do you want to go with that one, Mr. Farley? Is Mr. Hoffman, G. L., seeking recognition for some question? Shut off his light then will you please."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to 2440. What this Amendment does it limits the Bill to just Cook County; and I would move to concur."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2440. On that question, the Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. LaFluer."

LaFluer: "Ah . . . Bruce, would you . . . ah . . . answer a question on this . . . what does Senate Amendment #1 do?"



Hoffman: "Limits the Bill . . . the purpose or the intent to just counties over 200,000 population which classify real property. So it pertains just to Cook County."

LaFluer: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, I do not know if I am in . . . ah . . . doing the right thing, but I would like to raise a question of germaneness of this . . . ah . . . Amendment to House Bill 2440."

Shea: "Well, I'd have to take it out of the record and get the Bill down and I'll do that. I don't know if we can raise the germaneness of the Senate Amendment, it's never been raised before and I'm going to have to get the Parliamentarian here, it raises an interesting question. Do you think so, Mr. Schlickman? I'm glad, now I know how to rule. Take this one out of the Record, please. On the order of . . . let's see, is Miss Catania on the floor? I don't . . . I don't see Miss Catania. You're waiting for that one too, aren't you. On the . . . ah . . . 2538, is Mr. Getty here? On House Bills, on the Concurrence Calendar appears House Bill 2538. Turn Mr. Getty on please, I'm sorry."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House does concur in Amendment 1 through 4, Senate Amendments 1 through 4 to House Bill 2538. Amendments 1 and 4 address themselves to limiting . . . ah . . . the requirements . . . ah . . . where the security guard is to carry is to carry a gun and Amendments 2 and 3 address themselves to separating and clarifying the fact that security guards under 21, that is from 18 to 21, may not carry a gun and those over 21 may carry the gun again only if they have received the appropriate training."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 to Senate Bill . . . er . . . to House Bill 2538. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. It requires 89 votes. Mr. Schlickman, could you come up to the Podium please, Sir? Come on Walsh, you can come too. No, I'd rather have you up here, if you don't mind. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 129 'ayes', 1 'nay', 2 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 2538. On the order of House Bills on Concurrence appears



House Bill 2635, and on that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "That should be 2625, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "2625, Sir."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Bill 2625 was a Bill which would increase the number of Circuit Court judgeships in the Circuit Court of Cook County. Senate Amendment #1 sets the number of such additional judgeships at 30. The provision is supported by the Illinois Supreme Court, and the number is tied to existing courtroom construction in Cook County; and I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2625."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 2625. On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill now that we are voting on will give Cook County 30 additional judges, and I think beyond doubt they need additional judges to clear up the terrible backlog. However, it's interesting to see how they are elected, these 30 additional judges, 25 of them are destined to be Democrat judges, 5 . . . 5 will be Republican. I think maybe I'd better shut up, I think they think we're getting too many."

Shea: "Would you give the Gentleman . . ."

Walsh: "The people . . ."

Shea: ". . . would you give the Gentleman some order, please?"

Walsh: ". . . additionally in suburban Cook County where there are about two fifths of the total population in Cook County, there will be representation by one sixth of these additional judges, that's how bad this situation this. That's how bad we are treated by the Democrat County Chairman in Cook County. This is grossly, grossly unfair, Mr. Speaker, there should be two fifths of these . . . the number of 30 running from suburban Cook County and the three fifths running from Chicago, that's the only fair way it can be approached; and you know it, Mr. Speaker, and there should in addition to that, judges should have a little more local responsibility in Cook County. Now, the people just simply don't know them. If we had some arrangement here they were to run from area, it would be far better than are present



Arrangement, but I suggest to you that this is not fair. We should not concur. I would hope that we'd send this back and come up with a far more equitable way to distribute the judges throughout Cook County and select and elect them. So I would urge that we vote 'no' in the hope that this can go to a Conference Committee and these problems can be resolved."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, on the question."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Pre . . . Members of the House, those of you who are on the enemies list . . . ah . . . it's a matter of record that we need more judges and we need 'em in Chicago and we need 'em in the county; and I don't see any reason why this matter has to be further deliberated in a Conference Committee. We know that one of the problems, for example, in the area of Criminal Justice is that we don't have enough judges to hear the burgeoning criminal trial call. We just had a report indicating that there are not enough judges. We're going to have the additional courtrooms to accommodate these judges; and I would urge a speedy concurrence from all of my friends for . . . ah . . . House Bill 2625."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, on the question."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would answer a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will. Gentlemen, the T.V. light is turned on again."

Schraeder: "What's the status of the Bill as far as downstate judges are concerned?"

Shea: "Mr. Houlihan, turn on Houlihan, D. L."

Houlihan: "This Bill with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 refers totally to Cook County and Dupage County. Now, the reason for this is that the justification according to the Illinois Supreme Court was there only for these two counties at the time. There is another Bill which is on the Interim Study Calendar, it's House Bill 1883, which would address itself to downstate circuits."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I suggest that since downstate is not getting due consideration, we ought to put this back on the Interim Study. I'd like to vote 'no'."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."



Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in response to Representative Schraeder's comment, let me say that at the current time downstate circuit judges are spending two weeks to a month in Chicago relieving Chicago judges. This Bill is somewhat vital to the downstate judges as to Chicago. If it passes, Chicago will have additional judges and we'll have more judicial time in downstate Circuit Courts. I would hope that my downstate Representatives . . . fellow Representatives from downstate would give Chicago a vote on this so we would benefit from the additional judges in Cook County."

Shea: "On the question, the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I know it isn't exactly the right thing to do to a draft . . . it's dangerous to address a Member of the Legislature, but Dear Robert over there I think it's very appropriate that you should vote for this because for the last seven years I have watched the very permissive legislation you have put in to help contribute to the need for more judges; and so I think this is an interesting situation."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, all through the years we've had, excuse me, advocates of judicial reform and we were assured then that #1, it would cut down the backlog of cases, it would . . . ah . . . make more capable judges, it would cut . . . cut the cost of the courts and so on, and every Session since then we've been asked to put on more judges at higher salaries with bigger pensions. Here we go again."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, to close."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like first to correct a misimpression that I feared the Majority is under . . . or . . . the Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh, is under as far as the allocation of the judgeships in Cook County under this Bill is



concerned. I'd like to point out that when I filed this Bill, I called for all of the judges in Cook County to be elected county-wide. It was in order to accommodate the Republican Membership that I agreed to an Amendment in the Senate which would break this down this way. 15 of the 30 judges will be elected county-wide, 10 will be elected in the City of Chicago, 5 will be elected solely from the suburban area of Cook County. Now, I would point out that these three areas of Cook, areas of Cook County are specifically spelled out in the Illinois Constitution under Article 6 as three separate areas for judicial consideration and in addition the constitutional provision calls for a 1 to 4 ratio between suburban Cook County and the City of Chicago. I have gone beyond that 1 to 4 ratio and made it a 1 to 3 ratio. Now, additionally, Senate Amendment 2 provides three additional judgeships for the Circuit Court in the 18th Judicial Circuit which is Dupage County. Now, you know and I know those aren't going to be Democratic judges, but the fact of the matter is that the need was demonstrated in Dupage County, and the need in Cook County is absolutely overwhelming. Now, on the first week in June the Illinois Supreme Court took the absolute unprecedented step of permitting 15 additional associate judges to have felony jurisdiction in Cook County because the backlog now in criminal cases is 6 to 7,000 cases. This is an extremely vital Bill for Cook County for its criminal justice, for Dupage County and if it effects those two counties, it effects the entire state. It's a very reasonable Bill. It is supported by the Illinois Supreme Court; and I ask for your favorable consideration."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 2625? This is final action, it requires 89 votes; and to explain his vote, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my vote, I should first like to point out that the Constitution says that with respect to associate judges in Cook County the ratio shall be 1 to 3 and not 1 to 4. The Constitution says one fourth, that's 1 to 3. The practical effect of this Amendment, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, is to give to suburban Cook one sixth, 5 of 30 judges. The Sponsor of this Amendment . . . the Sponsor of this Bill knows that



practically speaking judges in Cook County that are elected county-wide are elected from and by the City of Chicago. This is a Bill that is a disservice to suburban Cook County and I urge a 'no' vote."

Shea: "Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On these questions there are 107 'ayes', 35 'nays'; and the Senate . . . the House does concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2625. On the order of Concurrences appears 2440, that's Mr. Farley's Bill and I believe Mr. LaFluer has got a statement to make. Mr. LaFluer, please, would you turn him on? You're on, Mr. LaFluer."

LaFluer: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to withdraw my question that I had of the Chair . . . ah . . . of the question of germaneness of the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2440."

Shea: "All right, now back to Mr. Farley, he moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2440. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This takes 89 votes and is final action. Agreed Resolutions . . . oh . . . ah . . . let me . . . take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this there are 118 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 Member voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2440. Agreed Resolutions."

Fredric Selcke: "House Resolution 428, Garmisa, et al, you want me to read it?"

Shea: "Read the Resolution."

Fredric Selcke: "Whereas, since 1952, the date of June 28, has been celebrated in knowledgeable circles as an event worthy of grand consideration; and, whereas, for a number of years this celebration has been somewhat subdued due to the nature of Albert Green's employment to wit', that of being Legislative Coordinator of Cook County, a job which occasionally requires his presence in Springfield on June 28; and, whereas, Virginia Bugoe Green, Al's wife, has shown a remarkable amount of tolerance through the years in having to share Al with Cook County, the Illinois General Assembly, its Committee meetings and Sparky Garmisa; and, whereas, the marriage of Al and Ginny has been blessed in the presence of five loving children, Valarie, Michael, Gregory, Johnathon and Venessa; and, whereas, home-rule Al has always



labored with the Members of the General Assembly in a fair, forthright and tough as nails manner; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly that we offer our hearty congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Albert Green on the occasion of their 23rd Wedding Anniversary and sincerely wish them many more; and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Mr. and Mrs. Al Green."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa, on the Resolution."

Garmisa: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . the . . . I see more of Al Green than his wife or his family does and I know the kind of a guy he is. So actually this . . . ah . . . too is my 28th Anniversary with Al; and I . . . ah . . . would ask for . . . ah . . . the House to adopt this Resolution; I would ask for every Member that would like to get on it to come on up to the podium and join me in sponsoring this fine Resolution."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the immediate consideration of adoption of the Resolution."

Shea: "All those in favor will . . . of the Resolution will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted. Back to the order of Con . . . Concurrences. Mr. Katz on the floor? On 2740? Mr. Garmisa on 2825."

Garmisa: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the . . . in regards to 2825 the . . . ah . . . Minority Leadership in the Senate . . . ah . . . thought that House Bill 2825 would be in much better shape if they were to strike out the words 'recycling' and 'manufacturing'. I have no quarrel with that; and I would ask for your . . . the House to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2825."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2825. On the question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'; this is final action and requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 130 'ayes', no 'nays', no 'present'; and the House does concur



with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2825. On the order of House Bills on Concurrence appears House Bill 2826 and on that order, Mr. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House do concur with a Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2826. It's . . . it's merely a housekeeping Amendment. We failed to underline the new language and I move for the adoption of the Concurrence of Amendment #1."

Shea: "Mr. Brinkmeier, did you mention on Nonconcurrence before and then got it straightened out?"

Brinkmeier: "Pardon me?"

Shea: "Had you mentioned that you were gonna' nonconcur before now and got it straightened out?"

Brinkmeier: "Yes."

Shea: "I've got two no . . . notations on here."

Brinkmeier: "It's all right now, it's cleared."

Shea: "All right, Mr. Brinkmeier now moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2826. On the question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'; and the House . . . have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 130 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2826. On the order of Concurrences . . . is Mr. Skinner here? On the order of Concurrences 3005, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment . . . Senate Amendment #1 provides for the payment of additional award judgments made by the Court of Claims since the original Bill was introduced in May . . . ah . . . and . . . ah . . . they passed out the list of awards; and I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur . . ."

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, I believe there's a question."

Shea: "Well, I'll get to that. The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3005; and on the question, the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."



Darrow: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Darrow: "Representative Boyle, on this Amendment there's \$15,000 for Mohammed Mostapha. Who's Mohammed Mostapha?"

Boyle: "Well, I believe that he is a janitor in the first floor men's room in the Capitol Building."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3005? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. It's final action, it takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 1 'nay', 3 Members voting 'present' and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3005. House Bill 3024, the Committee on Labor and Commerce, Mr. Fary, is he on the floor? That wasn't on concurred in already. On the order of House Bills on Concurrence appears House Bill 3050; and on that question, the family from Dupage, the Schneider's. Now, you can take any one you want down there."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . . ah . . . I think the motion is to . . . ah . . . accept Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3050 . . . ah . . . it deals basically with . . . ah . . . Representative Dunn's problem in his area. We have discussed it and I agreed to have it attached to 3050. I would move that we concur to Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3050. Is there any question? On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Schlickman: "Would you explain the Amendment, please, or describe it?"

Schneider: "Gene, if you would like . . . ah . . . I think Representative Dunn who suffered some real problems over there in the Senate when his Bill was used for a vehicle and it went down the drain would prefer to explain the language, I . . ."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."



Unknown: "All right."

Dunn: "This Amendment is the text and language of House Bill 960 which passed out of this House something like 120 to 0 or . . . or 1. House Bill 960 was the Bill was . . . ah . . . changed the Section 14.701 or 702 of the Education Code to pro . . . School Code to provide that . . . ah . . . special education facilities which is entitled to receive funds from a public school district includes a special education facility owned and operated by a county government unit on the effective date of the amendatory Act of 1975 and by definition it includes only one school."

Schlickman: "Thank you, thank you."

Dunn: "I . . . I don't think there's any problem, no . . . no opposition anywhere."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3050. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 138 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 Member voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3050. On the order of Concurrences appears 3098 and on that question, the Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 3098 amends the General Assembly Retirement System Article and the Senate Amendment #1 clarifies this Bill and makes it more workable; and I move the . . . that we concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "Before I do that there's one of our former colleagues on the floor, John Kriegsman, John, turn around and say hello to the rest of us. The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3098? On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Schlickman: "This is that controversial Bill that adds our per diem to our salary as the basis for pension benefits. What is the clarifying nature or what are the con . . . what the contents . . . what are the contents



of the Senate Amendment #1?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, the . . . the Senate Amendment #1 merely says that the . . . the additional salary that we receive by way of expenses for two years shall be averaged and that the average of the two years shall be used. It . . . it's a good Amendment, I believe, it clarifies the Bill and . . . ah . . ."

Schlickman: "I'm sorry, what do you mean by averaging?"

Stone: "Well, the . . . the Amendment says that you should . . . the Member shall have added to his salary an amount equal to one half of the allowances that were paid for two years."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from . . ."

Schlickman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Go ahead, Sir."

Schlickman: "What is this about Members of the General Assembly who have terminated . . . whose service terminates prior to their having received such allowances? Are they going to be getting credit for something they haven't received?"

Stone: "No, Sir."

Schlickman: "I'd feel more comfortable if we could take this one out of the Record for a moment. Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, on the Amendment."

Duff: "Well, the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Duff: "Ah . . . Representative Stone, if a person terminates . . . ah . . . is the . . . under the Bill . . . under the Amendment for any reason . . . ah . . . is there a . . . is that one year used as the . . . as the . . . ah . . . determinate figure, rather than an average of the two years?"

Stone: "As I understand the Amendment, Sir, if . . . if someone is elected and only served out one . . . only served one year and he's term . . . and is terminated for any reason by death or . . . or whatever that only year could be used, yes, Sir."

Duff: "Then . . ."

Stone: "And I think that's what Representative Schlickman is concerned about, but I think there's no concern there really."



Duff: "Well, I would agree with that if I were in favor of the Bill, but as far as the Amendments are concerned you were saying that it . . . the person who lapses after a year for whatever reason isn't penalized on . . . as an exception but does have the same rights?"

Stone: "Yes."

Duff: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder. The Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. LaFluer."

LaFluer: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, Mr. Stone to close."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I ask that we concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3098. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 93 'ayes', 46 'nays', 6 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3098. On the order of . . . on the order of Nonconcurrences on Supplemental Calendar . . . yes, Mr. Kelly, I'll be back . . . on the order of Nonconcurrences on Supplemental Calendar #1 appears Senate Bill 558. On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . ."

Shea: "Hold on, Sir, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I have not had an opportunity to review this Bill, would you please take it out of the Record until we have a chance to review the file?"

Shea: "Are you going to concur or nonconcur with the Amendments, Sir?"

Stone: "I'll . . . I'll accept the nonconcurrency and move to concur."

Shea: "He's going to nonconcur with the Amendment, Mr. Madigan, or recede . . . refuse to recede."

Stone: "No, I'll . . . I'll accept it."

Shea: "Oh, you're gonna' re . . . you're gonna' recede or attempt to



recede?"

Stone: "Sure."

Shea: "Then you want to hold this for a minute and let somebody read the Amendments?"

Stone: "Fine."



Speaker Shea: "On the order of Concurrences . . . on the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 1851, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the House, . . . ah . . . this is House Bill 1851 is the restrictive abortion bill that passed here . . . ah . . . just recently; and the Senate . . . ah . . . had . . . ah . . . adopted three amendments, 1, 2 and 3; and I would move that we concur with these amendments. Ah . . . I . . . ah . . . personally, I'll explain what they are. Amendment Number 1 . . . ah . . . and actually for that matter, Amendment Number 2 adds the word, 'intentionally', before the word, 'fail', in both . . . in three separate . . . ah . . . areas. Ah . . . This is regarding a physician. In other words, he'd have to intentionally fail to . . . ah . . . to . . . ah . . . to inform a woman about the abortion . . . ah . . . to take place and so forth. Ah . . . Amendment Number 3 is the amendment which would make . . . ah . . . a lot of people . . . ah . . . easier for many people. This amendment was drafted with the cooperation of Illinois Medical Society and the Catholic Conference, and it re . . . it takes out the repealer. So we're going have two abortion laws in the State of Illinois. Ah . . . However, in the case of a conflict, the . . . ah . . . latest abortion law would supersede; and I'd ask for your support and if anyone else has anymore questions, I'd be glad to . . . ah . . . explain it."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments Number 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1851. On the question the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the House. I would suggest to the House that we ought to . . . ah . . . vote against his motion and nonconcur and go to Conference Committee for . . . ah . . . and . . . and make one last effort of redemption here. Though the Senate amendments have cut down the amount of constitutional infirmities in this bill, they created a new one; and that is a . . . ah . . . misdemeanor felony for . . . ah . . . for a medical doctor not giving . . . ah . . . instructional information on fetal life and other things; and I suggest that this . . . this . . . this . . . ah . . . smacks again of another constitutional infirmity. In short, I think, rather than have the Governor veto us and have us get



inflamed in emotional problems, that we ought to take . . . at least put this back to Conference Committee and butter it up."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, on the question."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

Madison: "Representative Kelly, did I understand you to say that the effect of Amendment Number 3 would be for us to, in effect, have two Illinois abortion acts?"

Kelly: "Yes, that's true . . . ah . . ."

Madison: "And if I understood you to say that, except in cases of conflict, one would supersede the other?"

Kelley: "Yes, if there was a conflict. In other words, under the re . . . the lat . . . the latest abortion law . . . ah . . . for instance, a husband's consent or a parent's consent, if this entered into it, . . . ah . . . this would supersede over the 1973 Abortion Law; and would be . . . the law."

Madison: "Is there . . . is there any possibility that the way the two acts are written that there might be some conflict on which one supersedes the other?"

Kelly: "There . . . it is possible, however, it . . . ah . . . there's a very strong feeling by members, not only of the House but, of the Senate that the entire bill may be constitutional. I did not . . . I did not draft this amendment; and I reluctantly accept these amendments, but I think that in the best interest of all concerned that they should be adopted, and this was . . . this is why this amendment was . . . ah . . . was added, to take away the fears. If the entire bill would be struck down, we still would have an abortion law in Illinois, and I . . . that's why I said it makes a lot of people feel more comfortable."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak on the motion to concur? Mr. Speaker? Mr. Speaker, in speaking on the motion to concur, by the Sponsor's own admission the fact that we on the . . . if we concurred in this bill, we would have, in effect, two abortion laws that may very well conflict with each other. Ah . . . I think that we should . . . ah . . . vote down this motion to concur and send this to a Conference



Committee. I had some grave reservations about the bill in its original form and, therefore, I did not vote for this bill. I've got even greater reservations about a bill in the form that it is suggested by the Senate; and I would strongly urge my colleagues to put this bill in Conference Committee and let whatever conflicts there are be straightened out."

Speaker Shea: "The lady from DuPage, Miss Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, would . . . would the Sponsor yield for a question? Ah . . . Do I . . . ah . . . Representative Kelly, do I understand that if . . . if this bill, with these amendments, if found to be constitutional, then it supersedes the . . . ah . . . present Illinois abortion law that was sponsored in the last session by Senator Wooten in the Senate and Senator Day, I mean, Representative Day in the House?"

Speaker Shea: "Turn Mr. Kelly on, please."

Dyer: "It's a question."

Kelly: "Yes, it would supersede . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . '73 abortion law, if both laws . . . ah . . . if the second one we're attempting to make Illinois law, does so and the con . . . and the Supreme Court upholds it. Then that way . . . and we have two abortion laws, the most recent would supersede and would be considered . . . ah . . . and I had raised this question regarding the . . . ah . . . possibility of . . . ah . . . let's say a doctor . . . ah . . . let's say being tried or . . . or attempting to try him on this newest law, could he use the first abortion law as a defense, and . . . ah . . . the answer is, no, you cannot. Ah . . . It can be and you can hold the second the . . . ah . . . second law as and . . . and it will be the law of the land."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Then, Mr. Speaker, I would like to just . . . ah . . . speak for one moment. Again, . . . ah . . . concurrence here . . . ah . . . the bill that we're operating under now was worked out very, very carefully with the co-operation of the Illinois Medical Society and the Illinois Hospital Association. Ah . . . The . . . the doctors and the hospitals have been very comfortable with it. It fitted within the Supreme Court decision. I think it would be very dangerous . . .



ah . . . to muddle in . . . ah . . . this bill with these amendments; and I strongly urge a 'no' vote on this."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the House. Ah . . . I would like to ask for a division of the question, Mr. Speaker, I think Amendments 1 and 2 . . . ah . . . improve what was initially a . . . a very questionable bill, however, Amendment Number 3 is a very controversial amendment, which would leave us in the situation with two conflicting statutes on the book relating in some cases to identical conduct . . . ah . . . and, therefore, I think we should vote separately on 1 and 2; and then take a Roll Call on 3."

Speaker Shea: "All right, now, with regards to Amendments Number 1 and 2, are they basically noncontroversial so we could adopt those? Are they, Mr. Kelly? Turn Mr. Kelly on."

Kelly: "Yes, yes, Mr. Speaker, I know that . . . ah . . . Representative Rayson had raised the point about there being a Class B misdemeanor on . . . ah . . . Amendment Number 2. Ah . . . We had . . . ah . . . the bill as amended does . . . it has a Class B already on it, so I don't see where this . . . ah . . . where it was raising any . . . ah . . . penalty. It was . . . it was that in its present condition before the amendment was adopted."

Speaker Shea: "All right, why don't we take Amendments Number 1 and 2 and get them adopted, and then go back to 3? The question is, shall the House adopt Senate Amendments Number 1 and 2 to House Bill 1851? All in favor will vote 'aye', those oppose vote 'nay'. This takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? On these questions there are 105 . . . take the Record . . . there are 105 'ayes', 28 'nays', 6 members voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Amendment Number 1 and 2. Now, with regards to Amendment Number 3. Is there any further discussion on Amendment Number 3? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Grotberg. Or . . . the . . . from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I had risen to try to address Amendments Number 1 and 2; and the concern that I have is that anything that would make this bill better would get us closer to that impossible situation in our World, whereby, we place the long arm of government and the



long arm of the law into that very precious process called, 'Everyones Personal Business', my wife, my daughter, my granddaughters, if these United States or this State of Illinois ever lays a hand on either one of them and deprives them of their decision, this is one Representative that will spend the rest of his life trying to stop regulating every phase of our life. We've finally done it in business, we've finally done it in industry, we've finally done it everywhere and here we are, again, fiddling around with nature's most precious product, our ability to make our own decision about the reproductive process, its results, its intent, and one of the founding rocks of our United States is that those things are better left to each person's individual morals and I can't see in any way, and I have discussed this with this very serious and wonderful legislator who is sponsoring this, from time to time we have a difference of opinion, but I wanted this floor to feel free, not in these closing moments of this General Assembly, but if it takes the rest of this General Assembly to deal with this problem once and for all, I would like to be a part of it, and open the debate in full, instead of trying to close the General Assembly."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. D'Arco."

D'Arco: "It's . . . it's my understanding that under this bill a wife would have to have the consent of here husband before she gets an abortion. Is that correct?"

Kelly: "Yeah, yes, that's . . ."

D'Arco: "All right, I just want to address myself to the bill."

Speaker Shea: "Go ahead, Mr. D'Arco."

D'Arco: "I never heard of a denial of equal protection of the law as blatant and as flagrant as that provision in this bill. To deny a woman the right to have an abortion, is to deny her a . . . an equal right under the Constitution of the United States, under the 14th Amendment; and I will oppose this Third Amendment on Concurrence, and I ask everyone in the House to oppose it. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Are there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House,



. . . ah . . . I wonder if the . . . ah . . . Sponsor of the bill . . ."

Speaker Shea: "He'll yield."

Huff: "All right."

Speaker Shea: "Turn Kelly on . . . leave Kelly on; go ahead, he'll yield, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Ah . . . Mr. Kelly, . . . ah . . . I must admit that I became somewhat belatedly interested in this debate; and I wanted to know . . . ah . . . just what the distinctive quality of your amendment is that sets it apart from . . . ah . . . that would supersede the . . . ah . . . the bill as it is now?"

Fred Selcke: "Madigan in the Chair."

Kelly: "Well, I . . . I have to . . . ah . . . agree that it does present some confusion to the members of . . . ah . . . this House, as I'm sure it's presented to the Senate. I know there was some lengthy debate in the Senate. Ah . . . I . . . ah . . . we . . . we went into the bill quite heavily before, but this . . . this amendment which the Senate adopted . . . ah . . . will take out the . . . ah . . . the area which . . . ah . . . deletes the Abortion Law of 1973 so that we would have . . . it wouldn't be repealed. We'd end up with two abortion laws in the State of Illinois if this becomes a law; and it would give us two laws instead of one, and . . . ah . . . as I mentioned, the second law or the most recent law would supersede."

Huff: "All right, well, . . . ah . . . is the basis of it as . . . ah . . . Representative D'Arco articulated to a moment ago that . . . ah . . . the underlying thing is that the husband has to give consent to his wife to have an abortion."

Kelly: "Well, as I started off my . . . ah . . . my . . . ah . . . speech on this here, I had mentioned that this is the restrictive abortion bill. It . . . ah . . . certainly those who . . . ah . . . are in favor of abortion may have some reservations. I even think the people that are opposed to abortions may prefer to have this amendment adopted; but . . . ah . . . there's many other areas of it. Not only from the husband's consent . . . ah . . . I didn't mention, I didn't go to . . . into a further aspect, but that it would . . . ah . . ."



and would also have a different area such as the parental consent, it also requires the in . . . the inform consent and to tell the prospective mother . . . ah . . . the size and the . . . ah . . ."

Huff: "Yes, I understand that. What I really wanted to address myself to in the amendment is not the . . . ah . . . point that you are . . . ah . . . discussing. However, I would like to say this, that I realize that . . . ah . . . there are two extremes on this issue, those that are for it and those that are morally for whatever reason are against it. However, the fact remains that it does . . . it is a law; and I wanted to . . . ah . . . speak to the issue about the doctors as in the case of New York, who performed an abortion and then later was . . . ah . . . taken to court on a criminal case and was convicted; and . . . ah . . . I take the middle view and I would . . . I agree with Representative Grotberg that on his issue if we . . . if the Chair so desire it, I'd like to have this debate o . . . this issue open up a little bit wider on debate and see if we can get . . . ah . . . a broader consensus of opinion on this."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. McCourt."

McCourt: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, seems to me that this amendment is an attempt to rectify to some degree the insidious decision of the Supreme Court made a few years ago. Basically, we're trying to reaffirm the basic principles on which this nation was founded almost 200 years ago. Life is sacred, no one has the innate right to kill, whether life is in the womb or near the tomb. This amendment does little effort to protect this principle. The Supreme Court has seen fit to go contrary to the basic principle this nation was founded upon, but at least this amendment does one thing. It lets a person . . . ah . . . know that they are about to kill when they are going to have an abortion performed; and I hope that it . . . does pass."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall the main question now be put?"



All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose . . . the 'ayes' have it; and the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly, to close the debate."

Kelly: "I would just ask for . . . ah . . . your favorable support. I feel that . . . that this is the right direction to go at this point; and . . . ah . . . I'm going to support the measure, and I think maybe if . . . that it would be a good idea if most of you do."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment Number 3 to House Bill 1851? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those oppose by voting 'no'; this is final action. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to explain his vote."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, an explanation of my vote, I'm voting 'no' on the motion to concur on this amendment; and my reasons of thus, the Sponsor of the . . . of the bill has indicated that the Senate has put on an amendment that would take out the repealer, which means that we will, in effect, have two abortion laws. Two abortion laws, it appears to me, are in almost direct conflict with each other. Now, the Sponsor goes on to say that if the Supreme Court finds that this bill is constitutional, then the . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . the most recent bill will be . . . will be the bill that will take effect. The problem I have with that is that we will have passed the law, and we'll have two laws on the books and until such time as is a test case in court to determine the constitutionality of either, we're working on them both. They are in direct conflict. It appears to me that it is going to cause more confusion that it . . . that it . . . than it alleviates, and I think the best thing for us to do is to send this to a Conference Committee to see if we can't work it out and not end up with two laws in direct conflict with each other."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania, to explain her vote."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the House. This bill has some very, very dangerous provisions; and I think that the best thing we can do with it right now is to send it to a Conference Committee and try to work out, if that is possible, some of the worst provisions of



this bill. One of the things it does is to say that a woman must have written consent of her spouse. It does not in any way establish that that spouse has any interest, as a father, in the child about to be born. It does not establish that they are even living together. They could be separated, they could be on the verge of divorce. Ah . . . this abortion could be refused to that woman the day before a divorce is granted and she would be left with the entire responsibility for rearing that child, total parental responsibility. Last session I attempted to address the problem of abortion, and Representative Hyde, who is now serving in Congress, said that he considered absolutely heartless a provision which is in this bill, which says that the parents give up all rights to the child if they have consented to the abortion. The child that is born alive as the result of the abortion becomes a ward of the state, and there is no possibility for the parents, if they decide after the abortion occurs and a live child is born, a viable fetus, there's no chance for them to decide that they do want to rear that child. As I say, Congressman Hyde said he considered that provision heartless, and succeeded in defeating similar legislation last session. I don't seem to be having any effect. I do think this is an extremely, serious violation of the rights of families in Illinois. I think we should put a lot more red lights on. I think it needs to go to a Conference Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs, to explain his vote."

Downs: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, a couple of weeks ago I was in the district and . . . ah . . . a member of the Right to Life groups in my district, a key person, came up to me and we discussed House Bill 1851; and . . . ah . . . while there was some disagreement with regard to some of the . . . ah . . . some parts of that bill, . . . ah . . . that person . . . ah . . . this . . . ah . . . member and key person of the Right to Life group assured me that House Bill 1851 was, indeed, constitutional; and therefore, . . . ah . . . since the bill is in such a fine form as far as the Right to Life groups are concerned, I think it would be a mistake . . . ah . . . for the Right to Life . . . ah . . . interested individuals to



support . . . ah . . . any of these amendments, because they may jeopardize . . . ah . . . the constitutionality of . . . ah . . . House Bill 1851, and I urge your 'no' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to explain his vote."

Deuster: "Speaking very briefly in support of this . . . ah . . . concurrence, I would say, because prior speakers have commented on the role this has with respect to the husband and wife. I do believe that this improvement and amendment in the abortion law will help to strengthen the institution that many of us regard to be as holy and sacred and that is marriage. Marriage is a partnership between the husband and wife; and just as the husband and wife co-operate and agree to have a child or to conceive a baby, there's nothing wrong, whatsoever, for the requirement that when they make that decision to abort the child that there be the consent of the spouse and in this case the bill even goes further to say that the woman's spouse consent is required unless the abortion is certified to be necessary by the physician to preserve her life. I do think that this improvement in the law will strengthen the family and . . . ah . . . cause husbands and wives to discuss . . . ah . . . this very, very important matter and reach an agreement on it; and I urge . . . ah . . . support for this important concurrence."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy, to explain his vote."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the House, since I ask for the division of the question, I felt some responsibility to point out to the . . . to the members of the House, including many who have spoken on this concurrence that we are not debating the merits of the bill here. What we are debating is the amendment, which is the amendment that was added in the Senate and the question that that amendment raises and that question is, shall we have on the statute books of the State of Illinois, simultaneously, two laws? One of which says, with respect to certain conduct, it's legal; and another, namely this bill, which says, with respect to that same conduct, it's not legal, not only is it not legal, we'll put you in jail if you engage in that conduct."



Now, I submit that that's a terrible, a sorry state in which to leave the law; and that is why we ought not to concur in this amendment. I don't even think the Sponsor really believes we ought to concur in this amendment. He said he was doing so very reluctantly; and I think the only responsible thing for us to do is to send this bill to a Conference Committee and let's . . . let's . . . let the conferees work out their differences, either we ought to have the present law in the book or we ought to have this law in the book, so we ought to have some modified version of the two; but you can't have on the statute books, simultaneously, two laws which permit and prohibit under criminal penalty the same conduct. That's absolutely idiotic. Now, I would ask those members who are voting to concur to think a little bit about what they're doing; and, Mr. Speaker, at the appropriate time, I would ask for a verification of the affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, to explain his vote."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, since I've been in this legislature, I don't believe I've taken a back seat to any member in terms of my very strong feeling that . . . ah . . . we should not allow abortion on demand; and I still feel very strongly that we can, in fact, . . . ah . . . try to respond to the very vague United States Supreme Court decision that was made in this regard, and I don't want to not vote 'aye' on a bill that will accomplish that well. I have never not voted 'aye' on a good bill in this regard. I do really, sincerely believe that this bill has some tough problems. I think that if we also want to protect the integrity of the law and the criminal law, which, as many of you know, I have also worked very hard to try to do as the best I can, I really believe the Sponsor should bring this bill back, nonconcur with an amendment that he, himself, is uncomfortable with, not pass this bill and make the problem even worse in terms of our trying in some future time to constructively respond to the Supreme Court. Now, Representative Kelly, you know how closely I've worked and talked with you on this subject. You know how I feel on this subject; and I'm asking you, sir, if you wouldn't consider getting this bill into a Conference Committee?"



Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly, to explain his vote."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . no, Representative Duff, I do not feel that we should go to a Conference Committee, well, with this amendment and with the bill; and I'll tell you, those of you that are voting against this and . . . ah . . . if we get into a conference, we might possibly end up without this repealer and then we'll end up with a new law. Now, the new . . . this new law we are instituting here has a severability clause in it, which means that the consti . . . if the Supreme Court finds any portion of the bill unconstitutional, then that part would be severed and it would still be the law in Illinois. No, I don't think we should . . . ah . . . go to a Conference Committee. I think it should be passed right now; and I'd . . . ah . . . ask for the votes that have voted 'yes' to . . . ah . . . increase."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 94 'ayes', 45 'nos', 25 voting 'present'; and the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask for a verification."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Madison has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll . . . and the . . . and the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, change my present vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Bluthardt as 'aye'. The Chair recognizes Mr. LaFluer, who wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. The Chair recognizes Mr. Gene Hoffman. Gene Hoffman, who wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? There are now 97 'ayes'. The Speaker is recorded as 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? There's been a request for a verification, and Mr. Kelly requests a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Giglio: "Byers. Davis. Fleck. Friedrich. Hirschfeld. R. K. Hoffman. J. D. Jones. Kent. Kosinski. Leverenz. McLendon. Schoeblein. Those are the absentees."

Speaker Madigan: "The . . . ah . . . Clerk will proceed with the . . . ah



. . . for what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, arise?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I can be verified. I have to leave the floor."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Collins is recorded as voting 'aye' and shall be verified as voting 'aye'; and . . . ah . . . what's . . . how many affirmative votes are there, Mr. Clerk?"

Collins: "Thank you."

Giglio: "97."

Speaker Madigan: "97 affirmative votes, Mr. Madison. Do you wish to proceed with the verification?"

Madison: "Yes, sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi is recorded as 'aye' and shall be verified as 'aye'; he's working on a Conference Committee. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty, arise?"

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Madigan: "How is . . . how is the gentleman recorded?"

Giglio: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'."

Getty: "Would you please change that to 'aye' and may I be verified?"

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Getty as 'aye' and verify Mr. Getty as 'aye'. For what purpose does the lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent, arise? Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Madigan: "How is the lady recorded?"

Giglio: "The lady is recorded as 'absent'."

Kent: "Please, record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mrs. Kent as 'aye'. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ron Hoffman, arise?"

Hoffman: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Madigan: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Giglio: "Gentleman is recorded as 'absent'."

Hoffman: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Ron Hoffman as voting 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? How many affirmative votes do we have now, Mr. Clerk?"

Giglio: "100."



Speaker Madigan: "100 'aye' votes. Mr. Madison persists in his request for a verification. The Clerk will proceed with the verification of the affirmative Roll Call."

Giglio: "Anderson. Arnell. J. M. Barnes. Beatty. Birchler. Bluthardt. Boyle."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Madison has requested that you slow down with the verification of the affirmative Roll Call."

Giglio: "Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Calvo. Campbell. Capparelli. I quit."

Jack O'Brien: "Capuzi. Carroll. Coffey. Collins. Craig. Cunningham. Darrow. Deuster. DiPrima. Ralph Dunn. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Flinn. Friedland. Garmisa. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Hanahan . . . o. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Dan Houlihan . . . son. Jacobs. Keller. Kelly. Kent. Kozubowski. Kucharski."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld. "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Madigan: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hirschfeld: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Hirschfeld as 'aye'. For what purpose does the gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman, arise?"

Schuneman: "Please, record me 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Schuneman as voting 'aye'. For what purpose does the gentleman from Marion arise, Mr. Friedrich?"

Friedrich: "'Aye', vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Friedrich as 'aye'. For what purpose does the gentleman from . . . for what purpose does the gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing, arise?"

Ewing: "Change my vote to 'aye', please."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Ewing as 'aye'. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, arise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wish the Sponsor hadn't done this to us, but I'll vote 'aye', too."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Duff as 'aye'. For what purpose does the



gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, arise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, in the process of withdrawing my request for a verification, my only comment would be, particularly to those who consider themselves constitutional lawyers, I hope you know what the hell you're doing."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs, arise?"

Downs: "Ah . . . Does the requester of the verification know that by withdrawing it, the persons can then change their votes again after it . . . ah . . . is concluded in which they cannot do so if he concludes the verification?"

Speaker Madigan: "The request for a verification has been withdrawn; and, Mr. Clerk, what is the count on this matter?"

Jack O'Brien: "105, 45."

Speaker Madigan: "There are 105 'ayes', 45 'nos', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment Number 3 to House Bill 1851. On the . . . is . . . on the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 396. Mrs. Young. The Chair recognizes the lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Young."

Young: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House . . . ah . . . nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 and 3 for the reason that there are technical errors and the House concur in Senate Amendment #2. Ah . . . So I move the . . . ah . . . concurrence of Senate . . . of Senate Amendment #2."

Madigan: "Would the House be in order, would the House be in order; and Mrs. Young, will you tell us the status of Amendment #1 to House Bill 396?"

Young: "Ah . . . This matter came up before and I move to concur in this Amendment. In looking at it more carefully, there is a technical error, so I move at this time to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1."

Madigan: "Fine. Mrs. Young, has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 396; and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that she will yield."



Schlickman: "What is the technical error in Amendment #1?"

Young: "The technical error in Amendment #1 is that the words . . . ah . . . 'of the Amendment' are underlined, and that would be correct if it were an old one but this is a new Act."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Madigan: "Mrs. Young has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 396. All those in favor of that motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed . . . the 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. Mrs. Young for a motion on Senate Amendment #2."

Young: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that we concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 396. This Amendment is a technical . . . ah . . . there is a technical error in the . . . ah . . . meaning of the words of the Act, and the Amendment deletes the words of 'eminent domain' and they just simply don't belong in the paragraph."

Madigan: "Mrs. Young moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 396, and on that question, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, would the Lady yield?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that she will yield, and would the House give their attention to Mrs. Young."

McClain: "Representative Young, are . . . by that Amendment are you . . . ah . . . saying that this age . . . this new . . . ah . . . development agency cannot . . . ah . . . cannot take by eminent domain powers?"

Young: "Ah . . . No, the subject matter of the . . . ah . . . paragraph in Section 10 . . . ah . . . states that the redevelopment property . . . ah . . . shall be exempt from taxation subject to the approval of the General Assembly, and it . . . included in that para . . . in that sentence are the words of 'eminent domain' and they simply don't belong in there, that's all. It has . . . it has no relevancy there."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 396? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Schraeder, do you seek recognition?"

Schraeder: "No, I'm sorry, no."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all



voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 100 . . . 108 . . . 8 'ayes', 108 'ayes', 1 'no', 19 voting 'present'; . . . ah . . . Record Mr. Walsh as 'no'; and that means there are 2 'nos' on this matter; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 396. The Chair recognizes the Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge, on Amendment #3."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3. There are technical errors . . . ah . . . in reference to the line reference."

Madigan: "Mrs. Younge moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 396. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed . . . the 'ayes' have it; and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 940. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, on House Bill 940."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 940 does two things. It deletes that part of the Bill that we sent over there that would have exempted public employees from the provision of the Act and it further changes the amount of filing fee from \$4 to \$8 or 2 percent to be paid by the person filing; and I would move for the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1."

Madigan: "Mr. Shea moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 940. There being no discussion, the question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 940? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'; this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 103 'ayes', 7 'nos', 19 voting 'present'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 940. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 2229. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, on House Bill 2229."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Amendment in the Senate to this Bill was a rather substantial change in the Illinois Securities Law, and I had it pulled out of the Record yesterday at the request of Mr. Schlickman and other Members. I sup-



plied them with the materials that is changing basically . . . it changes Section 2.9 and that requires the registration of officers or partners of a deal or issuers or salesman if they act as such; Section 3G which adds the Chicago Board of Option Exchange to the list of recognized exchanges; Section 4B permits controlling persons as well as issuers to ex . . . to exchange or issue the securities which he holds of the issuer; 4G which increases the number of persons . . . persons from 25 to 35 for actual sale and number of solicitations from 50 to 70 and adds an alternate sale . . . ah . . . from 50,000 to all of 70 persons solicited. Section 4H increases the number of persons from 25 to 35 for actual sale and number of solicitations from 50 to 70; 4M broadens the exemption to include reorganization subscriptions for trusts and partnerships; Section 4O provides limited exemptions for sale of 50 center . . . percent or more of the interest; and Section 8A through 8I, both housekeeping and substantial changes of substantant change requires dealers to notify the Secretary of State within 10 days rather than 30 days of the termination of a salesman; and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I should like to express my appreciation to the Majority Leader for the consideration he has given to the Membership with regards to this Amendment #1, Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2229. It's an Amendment to the Illinois Securities Law of 1953, which was developed by the Secretary of States office; and what the Bill does basically is to put our Act in conformance with the Federal Securites and Exchange Act, and I join with him in soliciting your concurrence to Amendment #1 to House Bill 2229."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2229? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 154 'ayes', no 'nos', 1 voting 'present'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2229. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 1732. 1732 and the Chair



recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1732. Senate Amendment #2 is the . . . changes the Bill . . . the parochial aid Bill which I sent over which would send back to the parent a tuition voucher. It changes it in substantially the same form as Representative Barnes' Bill. It now provides that the parent get a textbook loan program and additionally it provides that the local public schools share the same textbook loan program. I would move for the adoption of Senate Amendment #2."

Madigan: "Mr. Meyer and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Madison: "Representative Meyer, my Calendar indicates that we should be concurring with Senate Amendment #1 and I understood you to say 2. Now, which one is it?"

Meyer: "Pardon me, it should be Senate Amendment #1."

Madison: "Thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten. Mr. Totten declines. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think this is one of the last times we'll have a chance to vote against this bad Bill; and I think you should be made aware of the tremendous costs and the impact that this Bill is going to make on the State of Illinois; and I . . . there'd be a 'no' vote on this Bill, . . . parochial Bill."

Madigan: "Mr. Meyer moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1732. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Hoffman 'aye'. Mr. Beatty, would you record, Mr. Madigan as 'aye'? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. Schneider, to explain his vote."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I was just out getting



a cup of coffee and didn't realize that this is Representative Meyer's Bill. Ted, did you strike everything in . . . ah . . . your Bill that was relating to vouchers and substitute . . . ah . . . Representative Barnes' Bill, is that it, now? All right, so basically the arguments that the House didn't respond to before still exists. I hope that you still vote 'no'. This is putting \$35,000,000 in the budget obviously that we don't have, it's raising serious constitutional questions; and I would hope that we could still defeat it."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to explain his vote."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I would just like the Record to show that I'm voting 'present' on this Bill as I voted 'present' when this Bill was heard in the Committee because of my feelings that I might have a conflict."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs, to explain his vote."

Downs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I supported this Bill in its original form as it left this House and at that time it was the Parent Education Assistance Act and I was satisfied that it was possibly a constitutional means of assisting parents in this particular area; and I, therefore, supported it. In my opinion, the Amendment that you are putting on it raises very serious constitutional questions. It specifically is a matter which has been litigated and now does have the state becoming involved in non-public education and parochial aid in a manner which has been struck down in other jurisdictions and jeopardizes an otherwise good Bill; and I urge all of you supporting this Bill to consider that and not be stampeded into doing a bad act."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 104 'ayes', 35 'nos', 18 voting 'present'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House 1732. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 2740; and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz, on House Bill 2740."

Katz: "Ah . . . Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a . . . ah . . . a Bill to increase the punishment for electronic eavesdropping."



There was a technical defect in the Bill . . . ah . . . the Senate wanted to make the penalty . . . increase it from a Misdemeanor Class A to a Class 4 felony; that was quite agreeable to me and I would move to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2740."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes . . . Mr. Katz moves that the House concur in . . . is your motion concurrence, Mr. Katz, I didn't hear you? Mr. . . ."

Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, it is concurrence."

Madigaon: "Katz, on . . . on Senate Amendment #1? Turn on, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "It is to move to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2, Mr. Speaker."

Madigan: "1 and 2, fine. Ah . . . Mr. Katz moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2740. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Clerk. This will be on both Amendment, Mr. Clerk, 1 and 2. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 148 'ayes', no 'nos', 1 voting 'present'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 2740. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 1704. The Chair recongizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we had quite a bit of debate earlier on this Bill. This is a Bill to provide for some seed money for some development of projects for alternative energy which can include for example various grains. I call to your attention to an article in the Tribune that appeared Monday, June 23, which points out that such grains as corn, wheat, soy bean and barley and other types of materials can be converted into fuel energy. Ah . . . You've heard a lot of debate. I'm very . . . I respectfully ask your consideration for the approval of this concurrence because we really need it to help the energy program in Illinois and I'm talking also with my hat on as a member of the Illinois Energy Commission."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to point out a dissent that was previously filed on this Bill. Thank you."

Madigan: "Thank you; and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Moul . . ."



Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, Sir. Yes, please."

Mautino: "Ah . . . Adeline, would you explain to me on page 3 of Amendment 2 what line starting 3 and 4 means . . . ah . . . 'the Department of Business and Economic Development shall forward a copy of every request for funds to your Commission'. Would you please explain that to me?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, at the present time, it's not obligated to do so. This Amendment provides that when the Business and Economic Development Section of the . . . Energy does have requests for a fund from the . . . the Bond Act for development of energy, it shall forward a copy to us. We don't pass on it, they have to pass on it first."

Mautino: "But is this . . ."

Geo-Karis: "The only time that we . . . that we come into the picture is if an applicant wants to appeal . . . ah . . . decisions of . . . of the Division of Energy, then we . . . the applicant has the time limit in which he must appear . . . appeal, that is. That's, if you recall, I explained that to you earlier, Dick, when I was talking about the Bill."

Mautino: "O.K., thank you. No, I didn't."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the main question now be put? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed . . . the 'ayes' have it, and the Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, to close the debate."

Geo-Karis: "I respectfully ask your favorable consideration for concurrence."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1704? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz, to explain his vote."

Katz: "Ah . . . As I see it, the State of Illinois is sitting with one of



the world's great treasures under its soil which is coal. I believe that that is our only task that the Federal Government can handle all other alternate sources of . . . ah . . . energy and that we should have evenhanded determination to make coal feasible and anything that diverts money now or at anything from coal research I think is . . . is not in the interest of . . . of Illinois. I do not also believe that there should be . . . ah . . . Appellate jurisdiction in a legislative Commission to pass on anything. That should be left to the . . . ah . . . Executive branch of government and that is why I am voting 'no'."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt, to explain his vote."

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, first let me say it's not my intention to verify this Roll Call or any other . . . less time we're here, but I wanted to point out that in my opinion and the opinion of many Members of this House, this Bill became a nullity when it failed to receive the three-fifths majority that's required by the Constitution when it was first called by this House. Any action taken thereafter, is null and void; and if you want to save the state some money then vote 'no' and kill this Bill once and for all because if you pass it you can bet your boots it's going to go into court and there's going to be litigation and the chances are very, very strong that it will be found to be illegal and a nullity. I would suggest you vote 'no' on the Bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff, to explain his vote."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, in explaining my 'yes' vote, I would like to make this statement that the United States is an energy consuming nation. We've . . . in the . . . consumed more energy than we produce. We imported approximately \$35,000,000,000 of oil last year alone. This dollar flow must be reduced if this nation is to . . . maintain a prosperous economy. Research indicates that grain alcohol may blend with gasoline to replace this . . . the lead acidities in gasoline and grain alcohol may be used as a primary fuel for most types of conventional internal combustion engines with a minimum of



alterations to that engine. House Bill 74 as it's now in this condition will provide . . . ah . . . this needed money to watch . . . to match with Federal money to demonstrate the feasibility of this idea; and, therefore, I think we should all be voting 'yes' on this."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 100 'ayes', 32 'nos', 11 voting 'present'; and the House concurs . . . the information of the Chair, it is the intention of the Chair to state that the House concurs with Senate Amendment #2 and nothing more and nothing more and nothing more, John. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I want the Record to show that prior to you being in the Chair when this issue came up before that the Gentleman who was in the Chair said that the Bill would require the same number of votes for concurrence on the Amendment as it needed for its constitutional majority, is that right? That's what I thought I heard. Well, at least let the Record show that's what I thought I heard."

Madigan: "Fine, and the Chair . . . for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, arise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, just for the Record, it is my recollection that the Chair said that it took 107 when it went out of the House with the prior . . . might have . . . with the prior Amendment, but it amended in the Senate that a vote of 89 would rectify that error since the Amendment took off the increase bonding authority. Just for the Record, I think that's what he said."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I was not going to get up on this thing, except that I wanted to get the parliamentary inquiry, I mean, a point of order brought up because the Speaker at the Chair at the time who was Representative Shea ruled when I asked him as a parliamentary inquiry that it needed 107 votes to concur because that needed the same amount of votes that would need initial passage. So, therefore, it needs 107 votes. Therefore, it did not concur."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, arise?"



Matijevich: "A point of order because it was said that 8 . . . I can't understand that 89 could ever rectify an issue that once needed 107 which evidently it never received . . . ah . . . at least legally; and I . . . I don't know how 89 votes now can legalize an issue that needed 107 votes and never got it. That's very puzzling to me."

Madigan: "As the Chair sees the issue, the issue is the question of concurrence. Well, I don't know about that and the way I see it, the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1704. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 526. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Rigney."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Madigan: "526."

Rigney: ". . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you'll recall that House Bill 526 was that Bill that required independent candidates to file their nominating petitions at the same time as party candidates. There was an Amendment put on in the Senate that did two things. First of all, it deleted some obsolete language from the Election Code, which prohibits a person who has voted in a party primary from signing the petition of an independent candidate. Now, this language is made obsolete by this Bill . . . ah . . . since independent and party candidates would now be filing at the same time. The other thing it provides for is that no person shall circulate or certify a petition for candidates of more than one party or candidates . . . ah . . . from one party and . . . and . . . ah . . . those running independent."

Madigan: "Mr. Rigney moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 526. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes . . . Mr. Madison, do you seek recognition? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to explain his vote."

Madison: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I had my light on before you called this Bill to raise a point of parliamentary inquiry and at the proper time I would like to renew that point."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 9 'nos',



2 voting 'present'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 526. The Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . When you finish with the Roll Call on this Bill, I would like the . . ."

Madigan: "We're finished."

Geo-Karis: ". . . are you finished with the Roll Call?"

Madigan: "You're recognized."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . I'd like to be recorded as 'aye' on that Roll Call. Mr. Speaker, I would like to move to reconsider the vote by which 1704's concurrence was considered."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, arise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to know on a point of parliamentary inquiry what position the House is supposed to take when two different Speakers rule differently on the same identical question?"

Madigan: "For your information, Mr. Madison, if your reference is to House Bill 1704, I'm only aware of only one ruling and I was not in the Chamber at the time of that ruling."

Madison: "Well, the reason I raise the point, Mr. Speaker, is because I am aware of two rulings."

Madigan: "I see."

Madison: "They were . . . they were . . ."

Madigan: "I'm not."

Madison: ". . . definitely different."

Madigan: "I see. Would the Clerk . . . ah . . . give me the Bill, 1704? Mr. Clerk . . . Mrs. Geo-Karis, we'll take this out of the Record until we get everything together and we'll come right back to you; and Mr. Selcke has some messages to read."

Fredric Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 and 2 of Senate Bill 970; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate has



acceded the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1384; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975.

Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded . . . has . . . that the Senate has refused to recede from the Amendment #6 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 1103, and I'm further directed to inform the House that the Senate has requested Committee of Conference; action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House . . . oh, let's see, these are duplications, let me see that other one, O.K., no further messages."

Madigan: "On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 743. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn, on House Bill 743."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker; and Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 743 is a 6 percent reduction. I move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Madigan: "Mr. Flinn moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 743. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those oppose by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 149 'ayes', no 'nos', 3 voting 'present'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 743. On the Supplemental Calendar #2 on the order of . . . on the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1043. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to adopt the Conference Committee Report and Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1043. The Conference Committee met Representative Rigney, Representative Waddell, myself, and . . . ah . . . the Senate Members agreed that this Amendment is acceptable to the Bill, and I would so move."

Madigan: "Mr. Farley moves that the House adopt Conference Committee Report



#1 on House Bill 1043. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the Record. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 7 'nos', 4 voting 'present'; and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1043. On Supplemental Calendar #2 on the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1443. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I don't seem to have a copy, but maybe everyone else does. The Conference Committee Reports that meets with your . . ."

Madigan: "You don't have a copy of the Conference Committee Report?"

Skinner: "Well, I have . . . I know what it is, I just don't see the printed copy."

Madigan: "Well, I . . . I . . . ah . . . heard you comment many times on the floor that we shouldn't proceed without these things unless we have copies of them available. Do you wish to proceed?"

Skinner: "Your wish is my command, Mr. Speaker."

Madigan: "No, I . . . mine . . . I'm asking you, do you wish to proceed?"

Skinner: "Well, certainly, I know what's in it."

Madigan: "Fine, let the Record show that he wishes to proceed."

Skinner: "Representative Totten and Representative Ryan are not clairvoyant so they don't, so maybe you'd better take it out of the Record."

Madigan: "Mr. Skinner requests that this matter be taken out of the Record. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill . . . no . . . Mr. DiPrima, are you prepared to handle House Bill 2296 for Mr. Nardulli? Mr. Berman, is Mr. Berman in the Chamber? Mr. Berman is not here. Mr. Washington? For what purpose does the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, . . . ah . . . arise?"

Grotberg: "A point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . You're on the Supplemental Conference Reports, there are a number in the Regular Calendar, does that have preference over . . . ah . . ."

Madigan: "I . . . yes, I inadvertently went to this Calendar. I thought that these were all Concurrences, but since I got here I thought we might as well take them, then we'll go right back to the main Calendar."

Grotberg: "Thank you."



Madigan: "Mr. Washington, are you ready on Senate Bill . . . ah . . . 432? The . . . on the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 432. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 432 after coming out of Conference is exactly as we voted the Bill out and amended it from the Senate except that it changes a misspelling or the word 'prescribe' was misspelled. I, therefore, move the adoption of the Conference Committee # Re . . . 1 Report to House . . . Senate Bill 432, that should be Senate Bill up on the board."

Madigan: "Senate Bill 432, Mr. Washington moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. Mr. Washington, you move for adoption?"

Washington: "Yes, I do."

Madigan: "And all those in favor of adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 432 will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 132 'ayes', 2 'nos', 6 voting 'present'; and the House adopts the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 432. On the Supplemental Calendar #2 on the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1453. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I would like to move that we do not accept the First Report of the Conference Committee."

Madigan: "Mr. Deavers moves that the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1453. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', oppose . . . the 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1453. On the First Supplementary Calendar on the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1939. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers. Mr. Deavers on House Bill 1939."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1939; and the reason it was sent to Conference Committee, if you'll recall that we had some problem with the Service Corporation aspect of this Bill, and some of the people felt



that the Service Corporation may be construed as a branch and all we did in the Conference Committee is take the branching aspect completely out of it so there cannot be any confusion. It's agreed to by the . . . ah . . . Banks and Savings and Loan Commissioner and most of the lobbyists who represent the smaller banks and the ambi . . . etcetera, etcetera; and I move for the adoption."

Madigan: "Mr. Deavers moves that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1939. Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that that Conference Committee Report has been distributed and I think we should take it out of the Record until we get a distribution."

Madigan: "Mr. Beaupre, I . . . I know that . . . ah . . . I received a copy of the Conference Committee Report, however, if you wish to accede in Mr. Beaupre's request, Mr. Deavers? You do not wish to accede to that? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have no particular trouble with this Bill, and . . . ah . . . when . . . ah . . . the Sponsor is not going to adopt the Conference Committee Report whether it's been distributed or not, I have no problem with it; but in this case, he is moving to adopt the Conference Committee Report. I think the rules provide that the Report must be on our desks and . . . ah . . . I'm more concerned about future Bills that may come up and I think we should follow that procedure of the rules."

Madigan: "Mr. Beaupre, I agree with you wholeheartedly, but the Clerk informs us that the Conference Committee Report has been distributed. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs."

Downs: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, I am trying very hard to file Conference Committee Reports and make-up files and I have not received that Conference Committee, nor have those around me."

Madigan: "The Clerk has it here and he tells us that it has been distributed, Mr. Downs, and . . . Democratic Pages have the Conference Committee Report, the Republicans seem to have the Conference Committee Report. I wish to report a most extraordinary event. The Clerk admits



that there has been an error in his report, and the Conference Committee Report has not been distributed and . . . ah . . . do you wish . . . and Mr. Deavers requests that this be taken out of the Record until the Report is distributed. Yeah, right, but not the Democrats so it . . . we'll take it out of the Record until it's been distributed. Will the Democratic Pages please distribute the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1939. Do you want to pass that Bill out right now? Let's get this Bill out. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 477. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill . . . what's the number, 477 . . ."

Madigan: "477. This Bill was read a second time yesterday and Mr. Clerk would you read this Bill a third time today and the Bill shall be moved to the order of Third Reading."

Fredric Selcke: ". . . a Bill for an Act to amend the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of General Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I'd like to move this Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendments . . . ah . . . we were in the process of going through the amendatory stage yesterday and Representative Shea asked me if there was some debate on the Amendments and I told him there would be and he asked me to take it out of the Record at that time. With leave of the House, I'd like to take the Bill back now to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment."

Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave, is there leave? Leave being granted, the Bill shall be placed on the order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there Amendments filed?"

Fredric Seelcke: "Amendment #5, Hanahan, amends Senate Bill 477 as amended in Section on . . ."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, arise? Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, we were on Amendment #1 yesterday when we took it out of the Record."



Madigan: "Amendment #1. 1939."

Fredric Selcke: "Apparently, Amendment #2 was tabled in Committee, right?"

Lechowicz: "That is correct."

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment # . . . Committee Amendment #3 amends Senate Bill 477 as amended and so forth."

Lechowicz: "Fred."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, arise?"

Lechowicz: "Speaker, . . . Amendment #1 . . ."

Totten: "We were . . ."

Madigan: "Mr. Totten."

Totten: ". . . we were on 1 yesterday and it wasn't adopted. That was one that was pulled out of the Record."

Madigan: "Fine. So it's Amendment #1, Mr. Clerk, is that available?"

Fredric Selcke: "Committee Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 477, page 1, line 12, and so forth."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #1 makes the following changes, in the General Revenue Fund it makes an increase in the administration for \$26,100, a reduction in the real estate of \$94,100, in the area of procurement . . . procurements a reduction of \$4,000, total communications a reduction of \$10,200, in office supplies an increase of \$27,000, in the are of the supervising architect it makes a reduction of \$213,300, in data processing it's a increase of \$60,000, it puts back governmental information services at \$445,100, it makes a reduction in the garage revolving fund of \$1,475,000, in the telecommunications funds of . . . an increase of \$9,800, paper and printing a decrease of \$76,900, so a total, overall adjustment \$1,542,100. It also makes a cash transfer of \$100,000 from the office supply revolving fund to the General Revenue Fund; and Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let me also point out the fact that this Amendment was quite severely and heatedly debated in the Committee, it was adopted and restored the full funding of the Illinois Information Service and that I'm sure there'll be another subsequent Amendment to remove this on the floor which was receded in the Committee. I move for adoption of Amendment



#1."

Madigan: "Mr. Lechowicz moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 477. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the . . . for what purpose does the Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, arise?"

Keller: "Yes, . . . ah . . . could I ask the Sponsor a question?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield. Mr. Pierce, would you turn off your light?"

Keller: "Yes, Ted, you said something about, and I want to be sure I'm correct here, . . . ah . . . that the Information Service, there was money in here for that in this Amendment?"

Lechowicz: "Do you want to turn me on?"

Madigan: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Representative Keller, for the third time, I stated that the monies for the Illinois Information System is in this Amendment at \$445,000. There is an additional Amendment, I believe, it's filed with the Clerk to delete that from this Amendment if it's adopted. It makes an overall reduction though of \$1,542,100 within this Department, inclu . . . which also includes the full funding for I.I.S."

Keller: "Okay, thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . just so, you know, I'm going to support Amendment #1 and . . . ah . . . later on on Amendment #5 . . . even though this restores the Illinois Information Service at this moment if you would bear with us until we get to Amendment #5, I think that would clarify all the different cut types of debates that we would have, and I will be enter . . . the Amendment #5 is on the Clerk's desk and will be debated shortly so that will be the one that we would hope to adopt later."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 477? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose . . . the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #2 was tabled in Committee. Amendment #3, Com-



mittee Amendment #3 amends Senate Bill 477 as amended on page 8, line 25, and so forth."

Madigan: "Are we on Amendment #3?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, we are, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #3 restores \$155,000 to the office of the Supervising Architect. It was brought up in our Committee and also in . . . ah . . . reviewing the Capitol Development Board budget that this is a Section that was in the Department of General Services that reviews the contents of \$50,000 or less; and I move for adoption of Amendment #3."

Madigan: "Mr. Lechowicz moves for adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 477. There being no discussion, the question is, shall Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 477 be adopted? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose . . . the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #3 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Committee Amendment #4 amends Senate Bill 477 as amended on page 2, line 30, and so forth."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #4 was offered in Committee by Representative Winchester. This Amendment adds to the procurements division from the General Revenue Fund for specification writing section. It adds \$51,500. I move for its adoption."

Fredric Selcke: "What are you doing . . ."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I move for adoption of Committee Amendment #4."

Madigan: "Mr. Lechowicz moves for adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 477. On that question the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary information. Ah . . . If you could perhaps give it to me sometime before we conclude but, how would I possibly raise an objection . . . ah . . . to Amendments on an omnibus Bill? How could I challenge the germaneness . . . ah . . . to Amendments on an omnibus Bill? Speaker."

Lechowicz: "I believe that point is not in question now. This is not an omnibus Bill."

Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #4 to Senate



Bill 477? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose, the 'ayes' have it; and Amendment #4 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #4, Hanahan, amends Senate Bill 477 as amended and so forth."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "It's Amendment #5, Mr. Speaker, and it's Representative Hanahan's Amendment. It's Representative Hanahan's Amendment."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment deletes that portion of the budget as presented to this House that would allow the Illinois Information Service to go around and continue their attitude that the only one they perform services for are the Executive branch of government and specifically the Governor through his Department of General Services. I suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, that this is a good Amendment so that all of us, all Members of government would be provided with the various types of public information that is now provided by the action of both the House and the Senate and the various appropriation Bills over \$1,300,000 worth of positions have passed this House already for various public information positions for the various code departments and commissions of this state. I don't believe that we need one single office as presented with this Bill on top of all . . . the \$1,000,000 out of General Funds that are right now presently passed in the various . . . Bills that are passed in the House for the very same purposes that another \$400 and some thousand dollars would provide in . . . in this Bill. I move for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 477."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 477. A similar Amendment was offered in Committee and defeated by a vote of 11 to 10. What Representative Hanahan has indicated is absolutely correct. As we documented in Committee there are over 49 jobs that we could find immediately in the budget that are public information offices . . .



officers in the budget for the various departments, there are 2 in Agriculture, 4 in D.C.F.S., there are 6 in Conservation, 1 in Corrections, 2 in E.P.A., 1 in Insurance, 7 in Labor, 2 in Law Enforcement, 5 in the Department of Mental Health, there are 8 in Public Aid, there are 3 in Public Health, there are in addition 16 jobs in the Department of Transportation that are \$220,000 to supply public information to the people of the State of Illinois. There are a total of 72 positions that we could document in the budget that are presently being used and being salaried at a cost of over \$1,000,000 to the people of the State of Illinois. As we indicated in Committee and I'm indicating to the Members of the House now, there is absolutely no need for this Illinois Information Service because we have the people plenty more than we need already budgeted for in the budget, and this request for the I.I.S. that was adopted in Amendment #1, there was an additional 23 positions that were asked for at another cost of \$460,000. So to inform the people of the State of Illinois as to what's going on in State Government, we're being asked to spend \$1,490,000 for 95 public information officers in all the various Departments of the State Government. I think at this time that this request is unreasonable, that Amendment #5 should be adopted to reduce this . . . this portion of the budget and provide within the means that we can . . . that information that is needed and to reconsider the Illinois Information Service at another time; and I would respectfully request a green vote on Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 477."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Friedrich: "Representative Hanahan, does this delete in . . . the entire appropriation that was put on by Amendment #1 for the . . . ah . . . division?"

Hanahan: "It just . . . it just deletes that portion that would have gone for Illinois Information Services."

Friedrich: "Okay, then I'd like to speak on the Amendment. Ah . . . Director Burris and I have talked about this and, of course, the testimony was given in Committee; but I've had couple of talks with him since, and



he assures me that he will work with us to . . . to point out and eliminate those positions in the other departments which are duplications, appropriation wise, of this \$450,000. Ah . . . This is . . . this is a logical place for this division. It will be under his direction if it's funded the way this Amendment . . . ah . . . which now stands in the Bill, and I do not believe it should be deleted, and I'm aware that it should be corrected but I would like to let the Director have his opportunity of doing it."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as everyone is aware we are approaching the end of the Session and today like many others I was looking around my office in doing some cleaning and I had to have one of the janitors come in with his push buckets to haul away the excess booklets that would have been about five feet tall in my office. I could never have read them or absorbed all of these and I think if this Amendment helps delete this waste, we ought to put a green vote up there for it. Thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the main question now be put? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed . . . the 'ayes' have it, and the Chair recognizes the Sponsor of the Amendment, Mr. Hanahan, to close the debate."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to answer Mr. Friedrich in his support of it and to listen to the Director, if you listen to Director Burris, who have a lot of respect for it, but will not really, truly be in charge of this program through his own admission, I might point out that in fiscal year '74, and we're going back two years now, that the total budget was \$317,400, that after the Governor comes before this General Assembly and asks us to cut back six percent out of General Revenue and all sorts of all other programs that are needed services for the citizens, not for the politicians, not for this Governor, for his immediate staff, but for the citizens, services that people need, that to ask for \$455,000 is unconscionable



in this day and age, not when we're going to cut back other needed services, and his . . . on top of that, I don't know what power that Director has that he could go into the various departments and tell them not to spend money that they would . . . that they have now budgeted for their own information services through the list that Representative Totten has read off. This is a good Amendment. This Amendment is striking at the very unnecessary, unneeded, uncalled for services that people I've never heard in my district ever asked for and to put . . . to ask the taxpayers of this state that you tax through sales tax on food, on clothing and medicine, and on an income tax in their sweat to ask them to provide some sort of public information for the Governor of this state is absolutely assinine; and I think this is a good Amendment and should be adopted."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 477? All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', oppose . . . in the opinion of the Chair there should be a Roll Call. Mr. Clerk, take the Record. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, to explain his vote."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm opposed to Amendment #5 as I was opposed to Amendment #2 in Committee; and let me explain the . . . ah . . . basically why, we're talking about control, there's only one way to control it and that's . . . and that's to have the Illinois Information Services centralized and have a man accountable for it, and if there's any man in State Government that could control this and watch it carefully, is Director Burris. As Representative Friedrich pointed out, here is a man that and Representative Hanahan disputed that fact as having direct control, but I say that he will have the direct control. He burn . . . brought this out in the Committee, said he will have direct control, Mr. Dunn was there, and, in turn, they both stated that if this item is funded, the other . . . ah . . . positions that are within the state budget are going to be eliminated. Now, it's a very simple choice, it's a simple choice of funding it at \$475,000. The amended version calls for \$455 and I'm stating to you now that if you want to have control,



you have it centralized and have a man responsible for it. That's why I oppose Amendment #5 and it should be defeated."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The . . . ah . . . Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Caldwell, to explain his vote."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I rise in opposition to this Amendment for the simple reason that I think that when a department appears before the Appropriation's Committee and is questioned, examined by the Members of that Committee, I think that . . . ah . . . they justify what they ask for and if I question the fact that any one of us on this floor can de . . . speak for a specific department. These departments have . . . ah . . . that duty, they are charged with carrying out their obligations, and . . . ah . . . they are part of the administration; and I think if anyone has something against the . . . the Governor or anybody else, I think that this is not the way to get back at them. I think we ought to adopt this Amendment, and I think we ought to forget personalities and let us . . . ah . . . give the Department the necessary funds to carry out the duties with which they are charged. I . . . I vote . . . I move that we not adopt this Amendment."

Madigan: "The Chair recongizes the Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Brinkmeier, to explain his vote."

Brinkmeier: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, very briefly I am voting 'no' for a couple of reasons; but I do want to correct a previous statement that was made, at least I think it should be corrected, and that . . . ah . . . we receive an excessive number of books and pamphlets on report. I point out that these reports are mandated by the legislation that we pass, and I don't think we should get involved in . . . in that issue and use that as an excuse to put a green light on that board. I don't think either we should use . . . ah . . . a personal vendetta against the administration in trying to block them from doing the services that they should be and have been doing in the past. I certainly hope that many of you would reconsider and vote red."



Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington to explain his vote."

Washington: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, very briefly. Mr. Friedrich is a, if I may say with the utmost respect and deference, a fiscal conservative. And he stands on this floor and says categorically that he's been assured by the Director of that Department that he will monitor these funds carefully and also will see other agencies to determine, one, if there is an over-lapping and that's an iffy question, if; and, two, if there are, he will do what he can to roll those back. I would think of anybody hearing that from Mr. Friedrich would be greatly impressed. I am. Secondly, I'm somewhat disturbed that Mr. Hanahan would dispute the Director of the Department of General Services when he makes that statement before the Appropriations Committee. And the question in my mind is why. Why? I detect, and I've detected throughout some of the opposition of the gentleman from McHenry, not a logical objection to incorporations in the various budget, nothing based on fiscal responsibility, nothing based on a careful analysis of the bottom line coming from these various agencies, but I detect something which I must describe as something just short of venomous in directed to any agency of State government, and where there is any minor degree of discretion, even if the ultimate purpose of that line item in the budget is directed toward the health, safety, or information and education of the people of this State. And I think he has permeated some of the finest pieces of legislation and programs which have come out with that venom. Now we all know how he feels about his Governor and some of us others may feel the same way, but at least we are responsible enough to try to make sense of these budgets and we don't try to color..."

Madigan: "The timer is on, Mr. Washington; and the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff, to explain his vote."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House.

Well I, for one, am going to take exception to the remarks made by the esteemed Representative Washington about fiscal integrity and I feel that I'm constrained to do so because I'm representing the people from my district and I want to know why, and I haven't



been able to find out to this day yet why this particular Department saw fit... Let me define..."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington, arise?"

Washington: "I rise on a point of personal privilege and I'll just make maybe ten words. I didn't know I was talking to Mr. Huff.

I thought I was talking to Mr. Hanahan. Are you one and the same?"

Huff: "No, but I do want to join in this if ah... you don't mind, Mr. Washington?"

Madigan: "Gentleman, could we... Proceed with your explanation of vote, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Well my point is very simply this, I've been trying to find the necessity of why this particular Department saw fit to move an agency such as the ah... Bureau of Unemployment Securities to an unnecessary site at a cost of some two million dollars to the taxpayers to make this move. Leaving the move alone, the Department of Unemployment Security has the responsibility to pay the unemployment checks for those people who are unemployed and I think the Governor himself stated on the floor here there was something like four hundred, thousand people that were unemployed. This move made it necessary for these people to wait as much as 8 to 10 weeks for their unemployment checks."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, as it has been said, I am not known around here as one of the big spenders. Actually, in my opinion, if you vote for this Amendment, you will be actually, in the long run, costing the State more money. One of the things I forgot to mention a while ago was that ah... Director Burriss has also agreed to help us work out a revolving fund arrangement whereby each Department when it demands P.R. work from this Department, will be billed for that amount and it will be in the Department budget. But it will be concentrated in one place, under Director Burriss' handling. This should result, if not in this particular ah... year, fiscal year, it will, at least, in the next fiscal year result in a considerable saving because all, all these



Departments are loaded with people who can be eliminated. I urge your 'no' vote."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. James Houlihan, to explain his vote."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, this issue was debated in Committee. This issue was debated last session. One of the comments that was made last session has been a strain throughout the entire debate was referring to the consolidating of these officers and the bringing together of this information as being a power grab. And, yet, it was suggested when this agency was, there was a refusal to fund this centralized agency last session. There was an admission that these functions were necessary. There was even further an admission that these functions were important to the fiscal and effective operating of State government. The people must be made aware of programs and people must be made aware of how State government operates. It was further pointed out in Committee that this Amendment would cost the taxpayers, in effect, additional dollars. But this Amendment would not be fiscally conservative; but, in fact, would be a drain upon the taxpayers dollars because it would cause the many Departments to duplicate services. It would cause them to duplicate funds and it would cause them to be less effective in their delivery of information to the people in Illinois. I would respectfully ask for red lights on this particular Amendment, and those that would be reasonable not to be involved in such an ineffective vendetta for the Executive branch."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines, to explain his vote."

Gaines: "I would just say that I oppose this Amendment because the Director is a very conservative man, former official of the County of Illinois National Bank. He's a neighbor of mine and I know him to be very, very frugal and I know that he told Representative Friedrich that he would eliminate these other folks in these other Departments from duplicating. He'll do it. So, therefore, I'm asking for less green and more red."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes,



to explain his vote."

Barnes: "Thank, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Let me clear up one thing on this that has been said in this debate, and I hope I will put it to rest finally. One of the primary missions for the Appropriations Committee is to deliberate on issues that they are presenting to you. There is no, and I repeat, no relationship to this budget as it relates to the Bureau of Security services and where they are housed. There's absolutely nothing here. And they are... Department of Labor budget. We shouldn't continually bring it up. It has absolutely nothing to do with this budget. So, other than that, this has been one of the best ran Departments in State government under Director Burris. Without a doubt, we have had absolutely no problems with this Department. He has been one of the most responsible Directors, one that we can communicate with at any time, any place. I see absolutely no reason to question whether or not he will be able to administer anything that was under his charge efficient, frugal administration. I think to assume, to assume or preclude his ability to administer any part of his Department, I think is really a shallow, shallow kind of approach to take. I think we're doing a, ah... disservice to the man and a disservice to State government in trying to adopt Amendments of this type."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to explain his vote."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, so as not to take up the time of this House, I would suggest to the Speaker that we dump this roll call because I have seen as many as a dozen people vote for somebody else. Beyond that, I have seen persons vote and leave their desk only to have others switch their vote from what they voted. And unless you are willing, Mr. Speaker, to dump this roll call and take a new one, I would respectfully request a verification of this vote."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? We're going to have a verification anyway, Mr. Madison. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 77 'ayes', 67 'nos', 12 voting 'present'. And ah... Mr. Madison requests a verification and Mr. Hanahan requests a poll of the absentees."



Selcke: "Arnell, Carroll, Collins, John Dunn, Epton, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Emil Jones 'no'. Dave Jones, Klosak, Kosinski, Leinenweber, Lucco, Maragos, Mautino, Meyer, Molloy, Palmer. Schoeberlein, Sevcik. That's it. Picked up one 'no'."

Madigan: "The Clerk will proceed with the verification of the affirmative roll call."

O'Brien: "Anderson, J.M. Barnes, Beatty, Borchers, Brandt, Calvo, Catania, Choate, Craig."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, arise?"

Hart: "I'd like leave to be verified. I've got to leave."

Madigan: "Verify Mr. Hart as an 'aye' vote."

Hart: "Thank you."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, arise?"

Dunn: "How am I recorded as voting?"

Madigan: "How is the gentleman recorded? Mr. Dunn."

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Dunn: "Please vote me 'no'."

Madigan: "Record the gentleman as 'no' and proceed with the verification of the affirmative roll call."

O'Brien: "Cunningham, Daniels, D'Arco, Deavers, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ewing, Farley, Fary, Fleck, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Giglio, Grotberg, Hanahan, Hart, Dan Houlihan, Hudson, Huff, Jacobs, Kane, Keller, Kelly, Kent, Kozubowski, Kucharski, Laurino, Kornowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Luft, Macdonald, Madigan, Marovitz, McAuliffe, McCourt, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Nardulli, Neff, Patrick."

Madigan: "Record Mr. Carroll as 'aye'."

O'Brien: "Peters, Polk, Pouncey, Richmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Schuneman, Shea, Simms, Skinner, E.G. Steele, C.M. Stiehl, Taylor, Telcser, Totten, Tuerk, Walsh, Washburn, White, Williams, Winchester, Younge and Yourell."

Madigan: "Have you completed the verification of the affirmative roll call. Mr. Madison, do you have questions of the affirmative



roll call."

Madison: "Yes, I do, sir. Brandt."

Madigan: "Mr. Brandt. Is ah... Mr. Brandt in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove him from the roll call."

Madison: "Calvo."

Madigan: "Mr. Calvo. Is Mr. Calvo in the chamber? Is Mr. ah... Calvo in the chamber? The reason I'm waiting, Mr. Madison, I understand he's back on a Conference Committee."

Madison: "Well, I'm sure when he returns, you'll put him back on."

Madigan: "He hasn't appeared. So, how is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove him from the roll call."

Madison: "Craig."

Madigan: "Mr. Craig. Is Mr. Craig in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove him from the... Here's Mr. Craig right next to the Speaker's podium. Then we leave Mr. Craig on the roll call, Mr. Madigan."

Madison: "Deavers."

Madigan: "Mr... Mr. ah... Calvo is back. He's returned. Restore him to the roll call. Mr. Deavers. Mr. Deavers is in the gallery."

Madison: "Daniels."

Madigan: "Daniels. Mr. Daniels is in the chamber."

Madison: "Ewing."

Madigan: "Ewing. Mr. Ewing is in his chair."

Madison: "Farley."

Madigan: "Farley is in..."

Madison: "I see him."

Madigan: "...in his chair."

Madison: "Fleck."



Madigan: "Mr. Fleck. Is Mr. Fleck in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove him from the roll call."

Madison: "Friedland."

Madigan: "Friedland. Is Mr. Friedland in the chamber? Remove Mr. Friedland from the roll call. And, Mr. Madison, would you verify Mr. Laurino at this time next to the Speaker's podium?"

Madison: "Yes, right."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the gentleman from ah... Kane, Mr. Waddell, arise? Wishes to be recorded as 'no'. Mr. Waddell 'no'. Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Garmisa."

Madigan: "Garmisa. Is Mr. Garmisa in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, did I understand you to say Mr. Friedland was taken off?"

Madigan: "What did we do, Mr. Clerk."

O'Brien: "Friedland was removed from the roll call."

Madison: "Now, well what about the..."

Madigan: "And Garmisa. Remove Mr. Garmisa. How is Mr. Garmisa recorded, 'aye'?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove Mr. Garmisa from the roll call."

Madison: "Kozubowski. I see him. That's all right. McLendon."

Madigan: "McLendon. Is Mr. McLendon in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove Mr. McLendon from the roll call and Mr. Garmisa has returned to the chamber. Restore Mr. Garmisa to the roll call."

Madison: "Kucharski."

Madigan: "Mr. Kucharski. How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "How?"

Madigan: "Mr. Kucharski."

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Madigan: "Remove the gentleman from the roll call."

Madison: "Leverenz."

Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz. Is Mr. Leverenz in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Well, remove the gentleman from the roll call."

Madison: "Marovitz."

Madigan: "Marovitz. Is Mr. Marovitz in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded."

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove the gentleman from the roll call."

Madison: "McCourt."

Madigan: "Mr. McCourt is in the chamber."

Madison: "McLendon."

Madigan: "We've removed him from the roll call."

Madison: "Oh, I'm sorry. You sure did. Ah... Mr. Merlo."

Madigan: "Merlo. Is Mr. Merlo in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove Mr. Merlo from the roll call."

Madison: "Nardulli."

Madigan: "Mr. Nardulli. Is Mr. Nardulli in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Ah... remove Mr. Nardulli from the roll call and Mr. Marovitz is to the right of the Speaker's podium. Restore Mr. Marovitz to the roll call."

Madison: "Patrick."

Madigan: "Mr. Patrick is in his chair and Mr. Brandt has returned to the chamber. Restore Mr. Brandt to the roll call."

Madison: "Polk."

Madigan: "Mr. Polk. Is Mr. Polk in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove the gentleman from the roll call. For what purpose does the gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones, arise?"



J. Jones: "How am I recorded, sir?"

Madigan: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

J. Jones: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Madigan: "Vote Mr. Jones 'aye'. For what purpose does the gentleman from ah... Logan, Mr. Lauer, arise?"

Lauer: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Madigan: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'."

Lauer: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Madigan: "Record Mr. Lauer as 'aye'. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, arise?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I think I'm recorded as not voting. Please vote me 'aye'."

Madigan: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Madigan: "Record Mr. Maragos as 'aye'."

Madison: "Should I continue?"

Madigan: "Yes, proceed, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Choate."

Madigan: "Mr. Choate. For what purpose... Mr... Is Mr. Choate in the chamber? Is Mr. Choate in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove the gentleman from the roll call. Mr. Keller and Mr. Hart ask to be verified. Is Mr. Choate in the gallery? We're still on Mr. Choate for the roll call."

Madison: "Sorry, Clyde. Mr. Rose."

Madigan: "Mr. Rose. Is Mr. Rose in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove the gentleman from the roll call."

Madison: "Mrs. Younge."

Madigan: "Mrs. Younge is in the chamber."

Madison: "Mr. Ryan."

Madigan: "Mr. Ryan. Is Mr. Ryan in the chamber? How is the gentleman



recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove the gentleman from the roll call."

Madison: "Mr. Simms."

Madigan: "In the..."

Madison: "I see him."

Madigan: "...aisle. Mr. Ryan has returned to the chamber. Restore him to the roll call."

Madison: "Mr. Telcser."

Madigan: "Mr. Telcser. Is Mr. Telcser in the chamber? How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Madigan: "Remove him from the roll call."

Madison: "Mr. Tuerk."

Madigan: "Mr. Tuerk is in his chair."

Madison: "That's all I have, sir."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the gentleman... Mr. Telcser has returned to the chamber. Restore him to the roll call and for what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer, arise?"

Palmer: "How am I recorded?"

Madigan: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Palmer: "Vote me 'present'."

Madigan: "Vote Mr. Palmer as 'present'. Have you completed your questions of the affirmative roll call, Mr. Madison?"

Madison: "Yes, sir."

Madigan: "Thank you. On this question there are 72 'ayes', 70 'nays', and the Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 477 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Madigan: "Third Reading. And the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on Senate Bill 477. Mr. Clerk, has this Bill been read a third time?"

O'Brien: "No, sir."

Madigan: "Well, read it a third time."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 477. A Bill for an Act to provide for the



ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of General Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff, arise?"

Huff: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Madigan: "Proceed, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you. I want to say something to this General Assembly, ladies and gentleman, as emphatically as I can. I am not a black legislator. I'm a legislator who happens to be black. And I know who Mr. Burris is and I know, I'm not going to be intimidated by the color of his skin. If I feel there's something wrong with that Department, I'm going to say so. I don't care who he is or what he is. I know there is over four hundred, thousand people and half of those in my district cannot get their unemployment checks because there's not only fiscal irresponsibility in this agency, but there's judiciary irresponsibility in this agency. And I, I gathered that many don't want to hear what I want to say about this. So I'll just say this, that when these people come down here to complain about why they can't get their checks, maybe then you'll give me the attention where I can discourse on this without a whole lot of passion. I'm going to vote 'no' against the Amendment and the Bill, too."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines, arise?"

Gaines: "I wish that if Mr. Huff did his homework, he'd find out that was the individual department's decision that the bureau, General Services admitted that's a request of the other Department."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, arise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, it's about time. It's the end of the session. I wonder when we're going to find out what a point of personal privilege is and ah... not getting up when there's no point of order. I guess it's too late to bring it up, but it's about time we all learn about that."

Madigan: "And the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on Senate Bill 477. Mr. Clerk, has this been read a



third time."

O'Brien: "Yes, sir. It has been read a third time."

Madigan: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 477 is the O.C.E. appropriation for the Department of General Services. It amounts to approximately 52, ah... well right now with the changes, about \$52,722,000. Primarily, the bulk of the money is in the various revolving funds. As I stated the office supplies fund, the garage, telecommunications, paper printing revolving fund. As far as the administration, it's in line with last year's expenditures. In fact, there is a tremendous reduction from last year. Last year's, the request for fiscal '76 came in at 37,000. The amended version now is 280,400. As you know, I.S. has been eliminated, property control is down to \$288,600. Again, this item has been thoroughly scrutinized both by the Senate and the House. And I strongly recommend an 'aye' vote on Senate Bill 477 as presented."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and members of the House, so that everyone realizes that this Department, General Services, is in charge of the leasing of the various buildings around this State. And I'd like everyone to understand that what has gone on in leasing of buildings around this State, almost is tantamount to a national disgrace in Illinois. Any time they could take a building and build a building cheaper than \$30 a square foot and then have the Department of General Services come in and rent that building for \$7.50 a square foot in Schaumburg, Illinois and move from Marina City where they're paying \$7.25 a foot, I think this Department isn't doing their job. I look across the street and I see the Office of Education, a million and 10 thousand dollars in rent to a building that, if we needed, we, the people, should own. We shouldn't be leasing buildings at twice the rental space usage as we were paying in other buildings. And this Department, not another Department, not at the request of anyone else, this Department statutorily has a responsibility of



fixing the leases and setting the leases for property. And what Representative Huff was talking about is absolutely correct. That if you have a complaint on unemployment compensation, take a look at what they did in moving the Employment Securities Division from Canal Street to Michigan Avenue. Take a look at the cost of moving that computer down to Springfield, Illinois at a cost of \$65,000 and then have the audacity in this budget are going to move it back again to Michigan Avenue. You talk about a waste of money. You talk about a waste of manpower. You talk about why your people in your district aren't receiving their paychecks, their unemployment compensation checks. The reason is simple. That the waste of manpower in just moving alone screwed up all the unemployment compensation checks in this State and let's not kid ourselves. This Department is typical. I say, typical of this administration that comes before you in this General Assembly and says through crocodile tears that we got to save the General Revenue Fund 6% and at the same time throwing waste money, wasted hand over fist and then they say, I am mad at the Governor. Well, let me tell the people of Illinois that there's a lot of people back home that are mad at them, too. Those that didn't receive unemployment checks are 'damn' mad because the renters, the food markets, the butcher shops, the doctors, insurance companies, utilities wanted their money, but no, we had to move around from Canal Street over to Michigan Avenue. And then take a look at the lease that they actually entered into a lease with a body that didn't own the property. Contingent upon him purchasing it, they moved the unemployment offices. You talk about something that should be investigated. This whole Department should be investigated. From the top to the bottom and the only reason why I supported Amendment #5 is I didn't want to raise the 'bullcrap' that the Illinois Information Service had put out commending themselves for a job well done of moving from Canal Street over to Michigan Avenue and take a look at the rent of that building. The whole building isn't worth what they're renting it for. In five years, you're going to pay more rent than the whole building is worth. And I say, before you vote on this General Services



budget, you ought to consider whether or not they're going to do the taxpayers of this State any good with that money you're giving them."

Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, the previous speaker hit upon one point. It's been a very sore point and I think it needs discussion in the State of Illinois. I've always felt that one of our biggest scandals in the State of Illinois is our leasing. We go in and lease the building for two years. We spend hundreds of thousands of dollars to fix it up. We lease it for two years and we may be gone, and all of this State money which has improperly been put in this building remains with the owner. That is the biggest scandal I've seen in my time. And this has happened from year on year. Well when I stood up there the other day and tried to get some State buildings so we would be the landlords and to prevent this tremendous loss, you've seen the opposition to that, too. You can't have it both ways, gentlemen. You got to have it one or the other. I suggest you continue to support State building and you'll get away from this leasing scandal."

Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr... Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, with that diatribe against the Department and against the Governor, I cannot help rise to say in all the time that I've been on the Executive Committee, I have not seen any Department cooperate more in coming to the Committee. Director Burris has been before the Committee many, many times. He has always had somebody in the Committee to represent the Department. I can't say that for all of the Departments. So, all of the remarks that have been said about the Department, ah... I have to say that I must defend Director Burris. I personally think he's done a very good job. I recall when ah... Governor Walker started his term here and in the Executive Committee, I was very disturbed that very often the Departments weren't represented in Committee; and sometimes when they were, there was some semblance of arrogance against the ah..."



legislative process. But I can't say that for Director Burris nor anybody that has represented him in Committee. So, I just thought that ought to be said."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, if there is corruption and scandal in the leasing practices of this State and the gentleman who has spoke know about it, they have an obligation to introduce a Resolution to investigate that division. I have seen no such Resolution. In the meantime, just let me say that I have known Roland Burris since he was a baby and if he is dishonest and it's divulged, I'll be the first, the most suprised man on earth. But if you notice something dishonest going on, then let's get it out on top the table and investigate it, not just talk about it on this floor."

Madigan: "The question is shall Senate Bill 477 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. All those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes to explain his vote."

E. Barnes: "I'll be very briefly, Mr. Speaker. Since Director Burris happens to live in my district, I'd like to say just this one word about him to the gentlemen that spoke. If he can compare his qualifications with the Director of the Department of G.S.A., I would suggest that he attempt to do so. I doubt seriously if he can measure up to his ankles."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan to explain his vote."

Hanahan: "I don't know if the gentleman thinks that he's smart or somethin' about qualifications, but it doesn't take any qualifications to enter into leases of twice their value in the city of Springfield. And I challenge him if he wants to challenge that. And another thing, Mr. Speaker, you're talking about a Bill that we're supposed to be spending money on behalf of people. I wonder if it's in behalf of who. You know, the gentleman is very cute about qualifications. I didn't contribute to Walker's campaign. Maybe that was the biggest qualification he had."



Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff,
to explain his vote."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm learning fast. Now listen, ah...
I feel, I feel that there was conspiracy against me, too; and I
want to say my qualifications are carte blanche. I have the facts
here. I have the lease here. I have a lease that shows General
Services paying ah... three dollars for every one dollar they've
spent in renovation of this place and paying of fifteen million dol-
lars, a half, million and a half dollars than where they're moving
from and I also have letters showing that this is going to, from
the people showing that they're 14 weeks behind in receiving their
checks. I also have a letter from the Federal Department of
Federal Manpower saying that Clifton Nugent is the worst admini-
strator in the country. That he could mess up a two car funeral.
And that's all I have to say. I'm going to state this, if the
people were down here asking you, respective individuals ah...
Representatives from the district that you're supposed to be repre-
senting, where in the heck their checks are. Send them to me and
I will explain. Thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison,
to explain his vote."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, very briefly. If one of the previous speakers
would be able to, to ferret out scandal in the union movement the
way he has ferreted out scandal in the Department of General Ser-
vices, I think that this country would be much farther along
than it is now."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished?
The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 137
'ayes', 4 'nos' and 15 voting 'present' and Senate Bill 477
having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared
passed."



Madigan: "On the order of Conference Committee Reports . . . on the Supplemental Calendar #1 appears House Bill 1939 and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers, on House Bill 1939 on the order of Conference Committee Report, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1939 and the reason it went to Conference Committee was we had some problems on the total Bill at the fact that some of the people felt that when we're talking about those branches of service corporations that these were really branches for the State Savings and Loans and we had some opposition and we feel this Conference Committee Report corrects that; and I move for the adoption."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1939? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 140 'ayes', 1 'no', 3 voting 'present'; and the House adopts the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1939. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 57. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the only thing we did on this Report is clarify the extension that it shall not be effective until January 1st, 1976. It was improperly in the Amendment, and it's a clarifying Amendment and Report, and I ask for the adoption of Conference Committee Report."

Madigan: "Mr. Londrigan moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 57. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. This requires 89 votes and is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 135 'ayes', 6 'nos', 2 voting 'present'; and the House adopts the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 57. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 69. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Sharp."



Sharp: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . . ah . . . the Senate . . . ah . . . acted too quickly on the First Report and did not adopt it. Ah . . . the Second Report is going to be the same as the first one, but I move that we do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 69 and the second one will be submitted shortly."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee on Senate Bill 69? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose . . . the 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 69. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 184. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Dekalb, Mr. Ebbessen."

Ebbessen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would recommend that we adopt this First Conference Committee Report. The only difference in the . . . ah . . . Report coming from the Conference Committee is that it changes the wording . . . ah . . . 'Speaker of the House', and inserts, thereof, in place of that just 'House Rules Committee'."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 184? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. This requires 89 votes and is final action. 8:30. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 139 'ayes', 1 'no', 2 voting 'present'; and the House adopts the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 184. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 211. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones. Mr. Jones, J. D. Jones. J. D. Jones on Senate Bill 211, the Conference Committee Report."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we . . . that we adopt the Conference Report . . . ah . . . it's been agreed that the item under consideration was at the County of Cook was omitted from the legislation."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House adopt the Con . . . the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 211? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. This



JUN 28 1915

187.

requires 89 votes and is final action. Have all voted who wish?
The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 127 'ayes',
8 'nos', 1 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt the First
Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 211."



Madigan: "On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 295. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kornowitz."

Kornowitz: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to adopt ah... the Conference Committee Report."

Madigan: "The question is shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report..... for what purpose does Mr. Schlickman make all that noise?"

Schlickman: "May we have an explanation of it?"

Kornowitz: "In regards to the Conference Committee Report; this is Senate Bill 295, amends the Illinois Pension Code and allows the General Assembly Members, that's you people, you Members; to have certain pension credit from other pension systems transferred into the General Assembly Fund and to make other miscellaneous changes. There is no cost to the state and any deficiency is paid by the General Assembly Members, plus he pays any necessary interest. I move to adopt."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he'll yield."

LaFleur: "You mentioned other systems. Could you specify what other systems you're talking about, Mr. Kornowitz?"

Kornowitz: "The Pension System."

LaFleur: "I know, but what other systems qualify for the General Assembly Pension?"

Kornowitz: "The one that qualifies is the Cook County and the City of Chicago."

LaFleur: "Which one?"

Kornowitz: "That Sections 8 and 9."

LaFleur: "Municipal, teachers, police, fire, what?"

Kornowitz: "It takes in all of the employees.... municipal."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this actually amended the ah... most of the ah... benefits under this and this is simply a reciprocal arrangement for transfer of credits into the General Assembly Fund. This is the same ah.... ah... Article that is written in the I.M.R.S. Fund and



it is approved by the Pension Laws Commission and I urge the adoption of this Conference Committee Report."

Madigan: "Are there further questions? The question is shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 295. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and all those opposed by voting 'no'. This requires 89 votes and is final action. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, to explain his vote."

Borchers: "I ah.. believe that this is a Conference that I was a Member of or thought it was. Now I think very highly of Representative Terzich, but ah... when the Conference Report was handed to me, I was not ah... I did not attend a Conference ah... Representative Terzich just came to my desk. There was no ah... everyone had signed but me. I thought, well, I suppose that since I'm the last one, I might as well sign, but I didn't like the idea of no Conference, no discussion of the matter and I think it's against the best interest of this legislature so I'm going to vote 'no' against my signature upon this Conference Committee Report."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "I would like to remind Representative Borchers that I did explain what this did and it didn't change the Bill one bit. It just simply left in an Amendment, which we past out of this House unanimously on this Bill. There's no cost and all this did was give a reciprocal arrangement which was no cost to anybody. Therefore, it didn't change a darn thing, Webber. That's what I told you."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the you've explained you vote already. Was Mr. Borchers name mentioned in debate, Mr. Terzich? The House says it wasn't, Mr. Borchers and the Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 98 'ayes' and 17 'no' and 34 'present' and the House adopts the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 295."



Madigan: "On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 298. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr.

Schlickman. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, arise?"

Borchers: "It'll take but a moment, but it is a fact he said, he used my name, he said Webber so and so, I just want to say that, yes, what he said is true, but I believe we should have conferences . . ."

Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the Clerk informs me that we have a defective Roll Call on the . . . on Senate Bill 295, therefore, the order of business will be Senate Bill 295, the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 103 'ayes', 16 'nos', 28 voting 'present'; and Mr. Duff wishes to be recorded as 'no', and the House adopts the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 295. Again, on the order of Conference Committee Reports there appears Senate Bill 298. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 298 amends the Public Library District Act, and in its original form it was introduced in the Senate provided that the proceeds from general obligation bonds with respect to the construction of a new library or an addition to an existing library could be used for books, periodicals, films, recordings and electronic data storage and retrieval facilities in library material acquisition. The Senate by Amendment struck books, periodicals, films and recordings. It was the feeling of the House, Cities and Villages Committee. that the Bill should be restored to its . . . to the form that it was when it was introduced in the Senate. The Senate has refused to re . . . ah . . . concur with that Amendment and the Committee Report is to recommend to the House that we recede from House Amendment #1 . . . ah . . . with this Committee Report the Bill isn't as good as it was with the House Amendment, but I'm advised by the Senate Sponsor if we don't recede, he's going to let the Bill die. Well, I think the Bill is a little bit better than nothing. So I would move at this time, Mr.



Speaker and Members of the House, that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 298."

Madigan: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt . . . Mr. Clerk, are we ready? Clear the board, will you please? The question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 298. All . . . for what purpose does the Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, arise?"

Hart: "I was going to give you the name of my optometrist? Can't you see my light up there?"

Madigan: "Well, we . . . proceed, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Thank you, Mr. Madigan. Ah . . . Representative Schlickman, are you advising us to accept this Amendment on the basis of . . . ah . . . pressure from the Senate Sponsor?"

Schlickman: "No, Sir."

Hart: "Well, as I understood your remarks you said that either we adopt this Amendment or the Senate Sponsor is going to table the Bill."

Schlickman: "What I said was that I recommend that we adopt this Committee Report which involves our recede from the House Amendment . . . by our receding from the House Amendment a good Bill will be left good, but it would be better than nothing."

Hart: "But it was on the basis of a threat from your Senate Sponsor to table the Bill in . . . in the event that we do not go along with his wishes, is that correct?"

Schlickman: "The Senate Sponsor indicated that with the House Amendment he would cause the Bill to die, it's his Bill. I simply suggest to the House that Senate Amendment . . . excuse me, Senate Bill 298 without the House Amendment is better than no Bill."

Madigan: "Further discussion? If none, the question is on the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 298. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 124 'ayes', 17 'nays', none voting 'present'; and this . . . the Committee Report is adopted. Com . . . Senate Committee Report 355. The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller."



Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that . . . ah . . . we adopt the Committee Report . . . ah . . . to Senate Bill 355. What this does is clarify who makes the appointments on the . . . from the Board of Directors."

Madigan: "The question is on the adoption of Conference Committee Report

#1. On the question Mr. Madison, the Gentleman from Cook."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Madigan: "He indicates he will."

Madison: "Representative Keller, if I understand the . . . ah . . . Committee Report, the first item is that the House recede from the Amendment that the House placed on. Would you explain the rationale in the Conference Committee that caused the Members from the House to agree to recede when this House has voted not to recede?"

Keller: "Okay, wait, what this was . . . ah . . . Representative, was . . . ah . . . the House had an Amendment on there that the Board of Directors in case of a death of a Member of the Board . . . of a Bank Board would appoint . . . ah . . . somebody to fill the vacancy until the interim period was up, til the next stockholders meetin', which might be six months, two months, or whatever it might be. Ah . . . It left it opened so that if . . . a bank board would have gotten into a family fight, that theoretically the bank board . . . ah . . . could have all ended up an appointed board and we didn't want to allow this. So this was the reason that we wanted to send it to a Conference Committee and . . . ah . . . so that we could protect anybody from just taking over the bank board . . . ah . . . by appointment and . . . ah . . . now the most in case of a death if there's ten members on a bank board that could be appointed would be three members."

Madison: "Thank you very much."

Madigan: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Ah . . . Representative Keller, who . . . who makes these appointments under your . . . as the Bill will now stands as amended to the Conference Committee?"

Keller: "Ah . . . The way the Bill read originally was it just said the



bank would make the appointments, and it didn't say who in the bank would make the appointments. So the way we did is that the . . . the Board of Directors would fill the vacancy in case of a death."

Friedrich: "The remaining members of the board?"

Keller: "Correct."

Friedrich: "Thank you."

Madigan: "Further discussion? If none, . . ."

Keller: "I move for the adoption of Committee Report to Senate Bill 355."

Madigan: "The question is on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 355. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question we have 140 'ayes', 1 'nay', 4 voting 'present'; and the . . . we do adopt . . . the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 355. Senate Bill 416. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Sharp. 418, I'm sorry."

Sharp: "Yes, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I . . . ah . . . move that the House do adopt . . . ah . . . Committee Report #1. As you know, Senate Bill 148 has quite a bit of discussion on the floor . . . ah . . . dealing with . . . ah . . . reincorporating the comprehensive health planning functions within the Illinois Department of Public Health. Ah . . . The Bill was held in tact in the Senate. The only . . . ah . . . argument that they had with it which caused Conference Committee to be called was that . . . ah . . . the Executive Secretary to the State-wide Health Planning Coordinating Council be under the advise and consent of the Senate. They felt that he should be approved by the Senate and their consent; and I move for its adoption."

Madigan: "The question is . . . the Gentleman moves that the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 416. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. I'm sorry, 418. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question we have 132 'ayes', 10 'nays', 1 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 418. 419. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr.



Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 419 authorizes the Department to administer a one half fee for a camping unit for Illinois residents 62 years in age of an over . . . under existing regulations, a camping unit is defined as a person. I move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 419."

Madigan: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Will the Sponsor respond for a question?"

Madigan: "He indicates that he will."

Deuster: "The question is . . . ah . . . I gather by giving a reduced fee for the entrance in their trailer camp sites that there'd be some loss of revenue. I wonder if you could indicate what the . . . what the loss is now to the state or what it would be under the Conference Report as is compared with the Bill that we passed out?"

Matijevich: "There has been no . . . ah . . . estimate as the . . . as to the cost with the Conference Committee Report, Representative Deuster. The . . . the estimated cost is somewhere between 18,000 and 30,000, as I recall, that wouldn't change by this . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report I understand."

Deuster: "What is the fee and what would 50 percent be, I can't recall from when I . . ."

Matijevich: "I'm not sure, but I think the fee is \$3, Representative."

Deuster: "So for senior citizens it would be \$1.50 and the . . . the Conference Report makes no change in that?"

Matijevich: "No, no."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Madigan: "Further discussion? If none, the Gentleman moves that the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 419. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 135 'ayes', 2 'nays', 2 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 419. Senate Bill 456. The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler."



JUN 23 1975

195.

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do adopt the First Committee Report on House Bill 456. All it does is that it . . . the . . . ah . . . does is add the word 'public' on page 1, line 15, between improving and migratory."

Madigan: "Discussion? If not, the Gentleman moves that the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 456. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 139 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 456. Senate Bill 470. Mr. Leinenweber on the floor? Senate Bill 638. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Could you come back to this in a moment, I'm caught short."

Madigan: "Can . . . We certainly can. Senate Bill, how about 640, are you caught short there too? All right, Senate Bill 645, Mr. Giglio, the Gentleman from Cook on Senate . . . on the Conference Committee Report 645."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do concur with the . . . ah . . . Committee Report."

Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I know we all want to move fast, but I really do think we ought to get an explanation of what the Conference Committee Reports do in each case."

Madigan: "Fine, Mr. Giglio, do you wish to respond?"

Giglio: "The . . . ah . . . the Conference Committee Report was the one with the . . . ah . . . with the . . . regards to the liquor and the intoxication of the pilots and the ones that we had a big discussion on with regards to the mechanic, and we put the Amendment on that . . . ah . . . it would be safe if they were under some doctors care. However, the people in the Senate . . . ah . . . the legal beavers of the Senate thought that that way it was written on our side, would not . . . ah . . . comply and they rewrote it and . . . ah . . . it's just a two-line . . . ah . . . change which says that 'if the . . . except the medication prescribed by physician will not hinder the



person incapable of performing his duties safely."

Madigan: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Friedland."

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Madigan: "He indicates he will."

Friedland: "Ah . . . Mr. Sponsor, does this Bill have anything to do with parachuting?"

Giglio: "No."

Friedland: "Thank you."

Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to . . . that the House will adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 645. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 135 'ayes', 3 'nays', 4 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 645. Mr. Walsh, are you . . . Mr. Walsh, are you ready?"

Walsh: "Yes, sir."

Madigan: "All right, Senate Bill 638, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Conference Committee simply added to the Bill and this Bill deals with the notification to the subscriber and also the State's Attorney upon discovery of a eavesdropping device. Simply added that the State's Attorney must notify the subscriber within a reasonable time; and I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 638."

Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 638. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 118 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 638. Senate Bill 640, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 640 makes exactly the same change only this Bill deals with the finding of the eavesdropping devices by people other than . . . ah . . . communications people; and



I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 640."

Madigan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Walsh: "Yes."

Madigan: "He indicates that he will."

Maragos: "Bill, does this com . . . does the statute give the criteria by which this device would be legal?"

Walsh: "I'm sorry, Sam, I didn't hear you."

Maragos: "Does the statute which is being amended here by . . . state the criteria upon which a device would be considered illegal?"

Walsh: "Well, yes, the way the . . . the device is illegal is if it has not been authorized . . . ah . . . wiretapped under the . . . ah . . . the law."

Maragos: "All right, thank you."

Madigan: "Further discussion? If none, the Gentleman moves that the House does adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 640. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those oppose by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 130 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 . . . 2 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Committee . . . Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 640. Senate Bill 682. The Gentleman from . . . where, Stephenson, Mr. Rigney."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if you will recall, Senate Bill 682 is the one that made several Amendments to our Illinois Game Code, and you will recall at that time that I told you that there was one area of difference between the House Bill and the Senate Bill pertains to the wearing of the blaze orange color during the deer season, the Senate finally came around our point of view on this and so I move for the adoption of this Conference Report."

Madigan: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 682. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Ah . . . Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, as I'm



reading the Conference Committee Report, I'm not sure in Item #2 what this means, Sir, it says 'beginning January 1, 1976, those persons who attempt or . . .', the language seems to be ambiguous and maybe the Sponsor could . . . could explain it."

Madigan: "Would the Gentleman wish to explain his vote? On this question, the Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 129 'ayes', 1 'nay', none voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 682. Senate Bill 881, Ron Hoffman. The Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. Daniels, are you handling 881?"

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Conference Committee Report #1 . . . ah . . . changes the Amendment that was passed by this House to provide population of counties over 300,000 this will apply to. Now, basically what it does is it allows the districts in counties over 300,000 to recycle secondary treated water through the production and sale of agricultural products necessary for the preservation and management of the water resources of the district; and I move for its adoption."

Madigan: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Yes, . . . ah . . . what, if you'll define what silvicultural and agricultural products are?"

Daniels: "Well, I'm not sure I can give you the exact technical definition, but I think silvicultural products applies to wood products, you know, like in forest preserve districts, and wood production and agricultural products would apply to . . . to those dealing with some water resources and so forth. The language is drafted by forest preserve district and . . . ah . . . language that they desired in it."

Madigan: "Is . . . further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Madigan: "He indicates that he will."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . You and I've discussed this particular question in the past. Does this take into consideration my concern . . . ah . . . this language . . ."

Daniels: "Ah . . . Yes, this is . . . this in accordance with what we



discussed with the Director . . . ah . . . when he was down here and answers the questions that you have presented here."

Kempiners: "Okay, thank you."

Madigan: "Further discussion? If not, the Gentleman moves that the . . ."

Shea: "Would you mind holding this for one minute, please. No, that's all right, go ahead with it then."

Madigan: "The Gentleman has . . ."

Daniels: "No, I mean, if you want it held, I'll hold it. Is it okay?"

Shea: "Yes, go ahead."

Madigan: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 881. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 2 'nays', 5 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 881. Senate Bill 911. The Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move we adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill . . . ah . . . 911.

Ah . . . What this does it . . . ah . . . provides that . . . ah . . . we accept one of the Committee Amendments to the Bill which . . . ah . . . provided . . . ah . . . for the . . . ah . . . return to the . . . ah . . . Administrative County Control Act or the notification of the Administrative County Control Act that any dead animals found on the highway they're returning their . . . the tags and the . . . the . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report also indicated . . . ah . . . or clarified the language in regards to veterinarians not being compelled to collect any fines unless . . . ah . . . they consent to do so, and we didn't have that in the other . . . bill as it went out of the House. So I move for the acceptance of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 911."

Madigan: "Discussion? If none, the Gentleman moves the adoption of Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 911. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 119 'ayes',



4 'nays', 9 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 911. Senate Bill 944. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 944 permits the Legislative Advisory Committee to meet with . . . ah . . . various other departments that have a fis . . . that have a . . . an effect on the fiscal policy or fiscal impact and policy of the Department of Public Aid; and on Second Reading, I offered an Amendment clarifying and . . . ah . . . the Senate further clarified that Amendment as saying having a clear and direct effect on the policy of administration or fiscal impact of the Illinois Department of Public Aid. So the Conference Committee . . . ah . . . wishes that we recede from House Amendment #1 and adopt Senate Amendment #2 and I move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Madigan: "Further discussion? If none, the Gentleman moves that the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 944. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', just a minute, Mr. . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Ah . . . Representative Campbell, the new language says to have a clear and direct effect on the policy, the administration of fiscal impact on the Department of Public Aid. Could you sort of expand on that just a wee bit?"

Campbell: "Well, yes, in other words, if we were . . . ah . . . meeting with the Department of Public Health to, for instance, establish the rates that we have to pay . . . ah . . . that we are required to pay by the Department of Public Aid, we cer . . . we certainly would like to know when they're going to make some change on that rate so that it effects the . . . so that it effects our budget."

Madigan: "Further discussion, Mr. Barnes. The Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, Representative Campbell, you remember we had quite a discussion in the Committee on this. This is the Bill that started out saying or sort of facilitating the Legislative Advisory Committee to meet with all these departments and then the Bill finally required that they met and I thought it was too stringent. Had that been changed



at all? Is is still in that same mandatory language . . . ah . . ."

Campbell: "It . . . it requires that they do meet whenever they have . . . ah . . . want to establish any policy that has a clear and direct effect on the policy administration or fiscal impact of the Department of Public Aid. In other words, with a \$2,000,000,000 and someone comes along and wants to change the policy, it's gonna' cost us another \$3 or \$400,000 or a \$500,000. We certainly would like to know that at the time."

Madigan: "Discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 944. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 134 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 944. Senate Bill 1118. Turn Mr. Shea on please over Mr. Kosinski's . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with regards to Conference Committee . . . # . . . er . . . First Report on Senate Bill 1118, that's Mr. Dunn's Report. It's my understanding that the Senate has not adopted their Report. They've refused to adopt it. Is that the message you get, Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "Yes, Sir, . . ."

Shea: "So I would ask that this House not adopt it and go along with the Second Conference Committee."

Madigan: "The Gentleman moves we do not adopt Conference Committee Report Senate . . . to Senate Bill 1118. All in favor of that motion say 'aye', oppose say 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The House . . ."

Shea: "And then . . . and then request a Second Conference Committee."

Madigan: "The House does not adopt the First . . . Con . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report and request a Second Conference Committee be appointed. House Bill . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I have a parlia . . . I have a parliamentary inquiry."

Madigan: "Yes, Sir."

Schlickman: "How can we act on a . . . on a Conference Committee Report which has not been entered into? I understand . . . ah . . . I under-



stood the Gentleman as saying that the Senate Members had not participated in it."

Madigan: "No, the Senate . . . the Senate refused to drop . . . adopt the First Conference Committee Report . . ."

Schlickman: "Oh, I'm sorry, oh."

Madigan: ". . . so we have no alternative."

Schlickman: "No, I understand, thank you."

Madigan: "But to ask for a Second Conference Committee."

Schlickman: "I misunderstood the Gentleman."

Madigan: "Oh, all right, House Bill on the Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 221, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan; "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies of the House, we went to a Conference Committee Report . . . ah . . . Conference in order to clarify the Senate Amendment which was in itself a clarifying Amendment. The Bill . . . is back in substantially the same form as when it passed the House. It is the same form and concept. I would say that the legislative intent on this Bill is that . . . delay some mistakes by the state shall be their responsibility and after 90 days the penalty shall apply if the delays or mistakes that's made by the vendors in 90 days shall not run. This has been approved by the Comptroller and the Administration and all parties concerned; and I ask for your approval."

Madigan: "House Bill. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, has the . . . ah . . . Report been distributed? I don't have a copy of it?"

Madigan: "Ah . . . Other Members indicate that they do have them all over the Chamber, Sir. Mr. Tipword has one all the way in the back of the . . . ah . . . Mr. Dunn, Kempiners, Madison, so they must be on your desk. All right, the Gentleman moves that the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 221. All those in favor will signify . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Did we have this one just a little bit ago or yesterday?"

Madigan: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "The problem is this Report's been on your desk for almost ten days, at least a week and I did not have it before."



Palmer: "I'm not . . . ah . . . I'm not, all right, the . . . you . . . they state that they want the . . . the . . . that the . . . ah . . . that the House . . . the recommendation here is that House Amendment #1 be adopted, is that correct?"

Londrigan: "What . . . what we did is take the Senate Amendment and rewrite it and drop the last two lines."

Palmer: "Well, as I . . . as I read it here it says that they . . . ah . . . they recommend that House Amendment to Senate Bill 211 . . . ah . . . that the Senate concur in House Amendment 1. House Amendment 1 if I'm correct on this, this is the question and other questions, makes the Bill applicable to townships and counties having less than 1,000,000 population."

Londrigan: "You're on the wrong Bill."

Palmer: "I'm sorry, I'm on 211."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 221? All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 134 'ayes', 4 'nays', 6 Members voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 221. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Are you . . . are you gonna' pass up House Bill 600 for awhile?"

Shea: "I'll be back on that order of business, I want to get some appropriation Bills over to the Senate. On the order of House Bills, Second Reading, appears . . . er . . . Senate Bill, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 629. Mr. Kozubowski, are you ready on 629? Are you getting the Amendments or . . . all right . . . how about on . . . Mr. Beatty on 1498 on Senate Bills, Third Reading? Is he here? Take that out of the Record. On . . . under the order of vetoes under the order of amendatory vetoes appears Amendatory Veto 335. Is Mr. Keller on the floor? Is Mr. Keller? He did withdraw his motion? All right, on the order of Amendatory Vetoes is House Bill 713 and there is a motion by Mr. Craig to accept the Governor's recommendation for change. Do you want to go with that, Mr. Craig, please? Turn Mr. Craig on at Mr.



Kosinski's microphone."

Craig: "I . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to go along with the Governor in an Amendatory Veto which merely, and his Secretary of State's request, which just changed it from 1976 to 1977. The reason for this was a lot of the licenses have all been already contracted and I urge you to go along with the Amendatory Veto."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House adopt the language of the Governor's Amendatory Veto? This requires 89 votes. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. On the question, Shea 'aye', On this question there are 139 'ayes', 2 'nays', 2 Members voting 'present'; and the House does accept the Government . . . the Governor's recommendation for change in the language for House Bill 713. On the order of Amendatory Vetoes appears Amenda . . . House Bill 1041. Is Mr. Lechowicz on the floor? Read the Bill. You don't read it . . . ah . . . all right. The motion of the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, is to accept the Governor's recommendation for change. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to accept the Governor's specific recommendation for changes with respect to House Bill 1041 . . . "

Shea: "Do you want to tell them . . ."

Lechowicz: ". . . in the manner and form as follows: first he would have to have an effective date, the amended House Bill 1041 on page 3 by inserting between lines 9 and 10 the following, Section 3 is added to an Act to provide for the having of cash receipts obtained from the sale of parimutuel wagering tickets at the Illinois State Fair by amending certain Acts named therein. I move that the House accept the recommended change of the Governor."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do accept the recommendation change . . . the recommended change of the Governor and on that question, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, will the Gentleman yield?"

Shea: "He will. Mr. Lechowicz."

Ryan: "Representative Lechowicz, you say that this changes the effective



date of Senate Bill 427?"

Shea: "We're on 1041."

Lechowicz: ". . ."

Ryan: "Well, then this is House Bill 1041, with an effective date that changes Senate Bill 427, is that right?"

Lechowicz: "No, no, no, no, no. There's an effective date on House Bill 1041 and it also incorporates an effective date for Senate Bill 427."

Ryan: "Ah . . . If this isn't put in here, then the effective date is October 1st for Senate Bill 427, is that right?"

Lechowicz: "That is correct, but actually what we're doing is this is creating a revolving fund. If you recall what the purpose of House Bill 1041, then actually the purpose of Senate Bill 427 it amended the State Finance Act concerning payment of public money in the State Treasury by state employees to provide for the establishment of a working cash accounts to handle cash receipts in the sale of parimutuel wagering tickets and that was the purpose of Senate Bill 427."

Ryan: "Is there also an effective date in 1041 . . . is there also an effective date in 1041?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir, there is."

Ryan: "And this changes that?"

Lechowicz: "It'd be the same."

Ryan: "It'd be the same."

Shea: "Mr. Grotberg. Is there any further discussion with regards to the Gentleman's motion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, my question to the Chair is . . . is this germane? The Governor's put an effective date on a Bill that he's already signed. He's changed the effective date in another Bill that he's already signed in . . . in House Bill 1041 and I wonder if that's germane in it."

Shea: "As I understand, Sir, what the Governor is doing with this is that the Bill allowing parimutuel betting at the State Fair and the Hambleton did not contain an earlier effective date. Upon the Governor signing the Bill, it was already law when he found out that



it did not contain an effective date, but it is the law. What he seeks to do by this Amendatory Veto and if we adopt it, he will have succeeded is to provide an earlier effective date for a law that is already on the books, because that law is filed as I understand it, is it not, Mr. Lechowicz? Turn Mr. Lechowicz on."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. All this involves is a working of the cash fund. Now, this has nothing to do with the legalization of the . . . ah . . . moving the dates of the . . . having parimutuel betting at the tracks. If you do that, you've got to have the . . . the cash fund in order to play some money. That's all the . . . this Amendatory Veto does on 1041. The other Bill that you're concerned about is Chuck Keller's Bill."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Representative Lechowicz, I understand by providing the effective date of July 1 that parimutuel betting will then be permissible at the Illinois State Fair this year. Now, the intent of Senate . . ."

Lechowicz: "Not this Bill."

Ryan: ". . . Bill 427 obviously was not."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Ah . . . I think that what the Speaker suggested a moment ago is a fact. However, Mr. Speaker, I don't think that the content of this Bill and that the suggestion that Representative Lechowicz has as far as 1041 is concerned really has anything to do about changing the effective date on making it passible for it to be effective in August of this year when the fairs will be held as far as the Hambletonian or the State Fair is concerned, isn't that right, Representative Lechowicz?"

Lechowicz: "Correct."

Shea: "This Bill does not effect the parimutuel betting Bill, does it, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "No, it does not."

Shea: "It effects the cash fund Bill, is that correct?"

Lechowicz: "Correct."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Governor's Amendatory Veto to House Bill 1041. On the question, are you through, Mr. Ryan?"



Ryan: "I wonder if Representative Lechowicz would hold this for five minutes until I can get it cleared up with him?"

Lechowicz: "More than happy to, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "All right. Messages from the Senate."

Fredric Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 1089 together with the following Amendments. Passed in the Senate as amended June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 1302 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 1360 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 2256 together with the following Amendments. Passed in the Senate as amended June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, . . . have you . . . House Bill 2439 . . . ah . . . House Bill 2439 together with the following Amendments, thereto, which Amendments has been printed by the Senate in the adoption of which I'm stressed to ask concurrence of the House to wit' . . . ah . . . it passed the Senate as amended June 28, 1975. You got it . . . Kenneth Wright, Secretary of the Senate."

Shea: "Messages from the Senate."

Selcke: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded in the request of the House of Representatives for a second Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regards to House Amendment #1 to



Senate Bill #24 action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Shea: "On the order of Concurrences . . . which one is that, yeah, take 1041 out of the Record, please . . . all right, back on 1041. The Gentleman from Cook moves that the . . . that the . . . moves to adopt the specific recommendation for change on . . . of the Governor on House Bill 1041. On that question, all in favor will . . . Mr. Duff, do you want something?"

Duff: "Yes, Sir."

Shea: "He's just worked this out with Mr. Ryan, you've got another question?"

Duff: "Yes, Sir."

Shea: "All right, proceed."

Duff: "Well, let me . . . well, let me first say, Mr. Speaker, that I indicated to the Governor's Staff that I would . . . ah . . . support an effort to correct the error, however, in conversations with a number of people, I have another real concern, and perhaps some of the Members of the Constitutional Convention who are Members of the body could comment on it. But we have in previous years had some serious concern in the House over the use of the Governor's Amendatory Veto for substantive changes of items of legislation which come back under a veto. In this instance, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . we are doing something which is unprecedented and I would like to have it clear . . . ah . . . clearly understood by the Members of the House what it appears to me that we are doing. Within one Session of the Legislature . . ."

Shea: "Well, now wait a minute. Before you proceed, I think there is some former Members of the Constitutional Convention on the floor and I wish they'd all perk up their ears, 'cause we can call on you to answer these questions."

Duff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It appears to me that . . . ah . . . even though I would like to help correct this error, a precedent may be established when by Amendatory Veto prior to July 1st of . . . ah . . . of a given Session we allow the Legislature . . . the Legislature allows the Governor, not only to substantively or amendatorily veto a



Bill, but to veto that Bill with an impact on another Bill which was considered by the same Session of the General Assembly. Now, I know that the purpose of the Amendatory Veto is to correct a technical and minor error, however, in this instance, I believe in a very real way if we approve this Amendatory Veto, we are even within the Session itself allowing the Governor to become a Legislator and to participate concurrently with us in the legislative process. Now, I did tell the Governor's Staff that I would not mind trying to help correct this error which is embarrassing, I'm sure, nevertheless, in terms of the posture of a Legislature and in respect to the Executive branch and in an unprecedented move of the use of the Amendatory Veto I think we should try to seriously consider . . . ah . . . the import of that and that's why, Mr. Speaker, I'm glad that you asked the Members of the Constitutional Convention who are now Members of the floor to attend to the problem because I think that some of them might very well be able to shed some light on it."

Shea: "All right, here we go, the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, I was looking around for my two colleagues who were on the Executive Article Committee, Harlan Rigney and John Leon, and I see Harlan's here, all right, maybe he can verify what I'm going to say or disagree with me. It was never the intent of the Legislative Article Committee and in my opinion the convention for the Governor to make substantive changes in any legislation in a . . . in an amendatory veto."

Shea: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Willer, do you have any comment?"

Willer: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Shea: "We're getting our lesson in constitutional . . ."

Willer: ". . . yes, thank you. Well, I would agree with . . . ah . . . Representative Friedrich that that is the way I understood the Amendatory Veto is that he could not make substantive changes. I am baffled though . . . ah . . . why Representative Duff is distressed over the fact that the Governor is sending it back while we are still in Session. I can't see where that makes much difference whether we're in Session or it comes back afterwards and we come into Session in the Fall. I don't quite get his point there, but I would agree with . . ."



ah . . . wholeheartedly with Representative Friedrich."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leon, I don't see him on the floor.

Mr. Madigan, being one of those people from that Convention, do you have any comment about Mr. Duff's statements with regards to Amendatory Vetoes?"

Madigan: "I haven't been following the discussion."

Shea: "All right, who else is there from the Convention from the floor?

Mr. Rigney, oh, and . . ."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, what Dwight Friedrich has told you about our

Executive Article Committee is just 100 percent right. That was our understanding in the Committee, however, when we went to the floor with our Amendment, probably one of the most . . ."

Shea: "Well, the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill, on a point of order."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, they messed that thing up so bad I don't think that this is part of the order of the House, let 'em go and read the new Constitution even though it is all messed up; and I resent giving second-hand information about the Constitutional Convention on the floor of this House."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Hill, I think all we're trying to do is get some enlightenment with regards to what they did at the Convention, particularly

in this because this has always been a troublesome area. Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I raise the same point of order. I think that the present discussion is not in order."

Shea: "Well, I think if anybody is to blame it's the Chair, and if I might let Mr. Rigney finish for a minute and then Miss Macdonald for a minute and Mr. Leon if he wants for a minute and then we will get to the main question."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, what I was about to say before the point of order was raised, was that when this was presented on the floor, Delegate Dawn Clark Netsch asked this specific question if it was to be limited in this fashion and a Member of our Executive Committee, Mr. Orlando, made probably one of the most famous replies now in that Convention and he answered it by saying, 'No, mam'. So if you want to go back to the verbatim transcripts of . . . of that debate . . . ah . . . you would come away with the conclusion that it's almost



unlimited field in that area."

Shea: "All right, now, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, moves for the adoption of the specific changes in the Governor's Amendatory Veto of House Bill 1041. This will require 89 votes. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are . . . Gene Barnes 'aye' . . . on this question there are 96 'ayes', 24 'nays', 18 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur with the recommendation for specific change in the Amendatory Veto of House Bill 1041. On Supplemental Calendar #3 on the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2439; and on that motion, the Lady from Cook, Barnes, J. M., moves that the House does not concur with the recommendation . . . er . . . the Senate Amendment #1, is there any discussion? All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2439. On Conference Committee Reports on the . . . on the regular Calendar appears House Bill 1291. The Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . ."

Shea: "Are you ready with this now, Mr. Schneider?"

Schneider: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm ready to make the motion that . . . ah . . . the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #1. It deletes the language that Representative Schlickman and I thought was inappropriate for a professional braod that is . . . that would be ref . . . there'd be references to political parties and it also adds membership from the Hospital Association so that the board is therefore represented by physicians, nurses and hospital executives; and I would, therefore, move for adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1291."

Shea: "The House . . . or the Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. Schneider, moves that the House do accept the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1291; and on the question, the Gentleman from Dekalb, Mr. Ebbessen."

Ebbessen: "Ah . . . No, Mr. Speaker, I . . . ah . . . when we left on these we were supposed to start House Bill 600, I . . ."



Shea: "I talked to Mr. Grotberg about that, Sir."

Ebbessen: "Oh, thank you."

Shea: "Do you have any question on this Bill, Sir? All those in favor in adoption of the Conference Committee Report will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'; this takes 89 votes. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 1408, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Yeah. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I move to adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1408. This . . . ah . . . lowers the annuity for widow benefits from 50 to 40 percent, just like the police in the City of Chicago. Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli, moves to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1408. On the question, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, are you on this one, Sir?"

Hart: "I've had my light on for about three Bills, I was just going to find out if we adopted a rule to prevent an explanation of votes or if you wanted somebody to stand here and yell at you?"

Shea: "No, Sir. Sir, I guess I've had too many papers up here. Go ahead."

Hart: "Well, I wanted to explain my vote on about three Bills back and I just left my light on to find out what your intentions are."

Shea: "I'm sorry, Sir, I didn't call on you. Mr. Mar . . ."

Hart: "Well, I'll yell at you the next time, since that seems to be the way it has to be done."

Shea: "Mr. Mautino are you on this Bill?"

Mautino: "No, Sir, but I was questioning the order that . . . ah . . . appears on the Calendar. When you . . . left off at 600 and you went to . . . ah . . . 1291, you bypassed 1176, and I would like to have it called."

Shea: "On the order of Conference Committees appears . . . er . . . wait this is on the vote on 1408. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 125 'ayes', 4 'nays', 8 Members voting 'present'; and the House adopts Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1408. Mr. Mautino,



could you come up here for a minute, Sir? On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 1697. Miss Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker . . . ah . . . Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the . . . ah . . . Bill that I mentioned just yesterday where the Senate repealed in their Amendment a section of the law which is the subject of a Bill of a House Member which has been passed and sent to the Governor. So all we did in the Conference Committee was to put that section of the law which the Senate had repealed back into the Bill. Ah . . . I move the adoption of Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1697."

Shea: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman, moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1697; and on that question, the Gentleman from Tazewell, Mr. Luft. Not on that question? On that question, the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Lady yield?"

Shea: "She indicates she will."

Kempiners: "I . . . this is one of the few Conference Committee Reports I do not have, but I won't question that, but could you just briefly explain what it is that you are putting back into the Code that was inadvertently deleted?"

Chapman: "Oh, yeah, . . . ah . . . it was a . . . ah . . . section which the Senate felt was duplicated. Ah . . . It relates to the authority the School Board has to lease property to other governmental units. No problem really."

Kempiners: "Than . . . thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, are you . . . is there any other Member that desires recognition? The Lady from Lake no . . . the Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman, moves for the adoption of the Committee . . . the Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1697. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action and requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 118 'ayes', 3 'nays', 1 Member voting 'present'; and the House adopts Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1697. On Supplemental Calendar #3, Supplemental Calendar #3 on the order of



Concurrences appears House Bill 1089, and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski. Do you want that out? On the order of House Bills, Concurrence, appears House Bill 1302, and on that question, the Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House . . . ah . . . do concur with Senate Amendments #1 through 7 to House Bill 1302."

Shea: "I'm sorry, Mr. Hart, I didn't hear what you said. I was talking."

Hart: "I move to concur with all of the Amendments."

Shea: "Well, it looks like we're going to have a little discussion. The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to ask what they do. This Report is not on my desk."

Hart: "Well, if the Report hadn't been circulated, then it shouldn't be adopted."

Shea: "This is on the Amendments, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Well, . . . ah . . . basically what it did, the House took out about half of the new . . . ah . . . personnel that . . . ah . . . that the Department requested and the Senate took the rest of them out . . ."

Unknown: "I got it."

Hart: ". . . ah . . . by House Amendment . . . Senate Amendment #1 which was a Committee Amendment and then later on restored some of the money in another Amendment. The rest of them are various projects of the individuals . . . ah . . . many of which were . . . ah . . . attempted to be put on in the House and . . . ah . . . did not get there; and then the \$20,000,000 in federal funds which was appropriated . . . ah . . . for . . . ah . . . for the first time under an interpretation of the court, I think, that . . . ah . . . we can not spend federal funds unless we appropriate them. So that was the purpose of that Amendment. But I think the Amendments are live-able, and . . . ah . . . I would urge the House to concur in them."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I . . . in the words of a famous man, I would like to make it perfectly clear that my comments



have nothing to do with the distinguished House Sponsor. I am addressing myself to Amendment #1 which . . . ah . . . in turn addresses itself to the appropriation for the Nature Preserve Commission. Now, on Second Reading on a vote of 103 to 29, this House added in \$50,000 so the Nature Preserve Commission could do an adequate job of policing the dwindling inventory of open spaces in the State of Illinois. Ah . . . the House . . . the Senate has knocked that \$50,000 out which is about a 40 percent cut of the total appropriation of the Nature Preserves Commission which is within the appropriation of the Department of Conservation; and because we do have a problem and there are open preserves in every one of your districts, but they are dwindling and they do need vigilance and protection, I would ask that with regard to Amendment #1 that the House do not concur . . . ah . . . in House Bill 1302 so that we can try to get back this paltry amount of \$50,000 when measured against the importance of our open preserves is really peanuts. So please do not concur."

Shea: "The . . . on that question the Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, is it the decision of the Chair to take these Amendments individually or collectively?"

Shea: "Well, the Gentleman's moved to adopt them collectively and I . . ."

Winchester: "Well, will the . . . will the Representative yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Winchester: "Representative Hart, what specifically will Amendment #5 do?"

Hart: "Ah . . . Could you . . . I'll have to find out which one it is."

Shea: "Mr. Maragos. Turn Mr. Maragos on on a point of order."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, because of the uniqueness of each of these Amendments, I think I'll move at this time . . . ah . . . objection to having consider this as . . . ah . . . collectively and have these . . . every Amendment considered individually because of the fact that there, there might be some . . ."

Shea: "Well, that . . . that motion is out of order, but do you know how to put one that is in order? You move . . ."

Maragos: "Move division of the question, Mr. Speaker."



Shea: "Very fine, the Gentleman moves for division of the question, and I think he's absolutely right. We start with Amendment #1."

Hart: "All right, Amendment #1 . . . ah . . . subtracts \$1,256,000 from the budget that was sent over there by the House. Ah . . . \$55,200 from the Executive Office, \$83,900 from Forestry, \$946,000 from Land and Historic Sites, \$119,500 from Law Enforcement, \$21,600 from the Fish Fund and \$29,800 from the Administrative Services for a total of \$1,256,000. Now, I want to say parenthetically that some of that money was restored by a subsequent Amendment. I move for the adoption of Senate Amendment . . . of the concurrence with Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1. On that question, is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cunn . . . ah . . . Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Cunningham: "Richard, is there anything that happened to Crawford County's Lake. I can't tell from your Amendment."

Hart: "Not . . . not in this Amendment."

Cunningham: "Are there other Amendments, I've looked through them and I can't find them there either?"

Hart: "Well, I think it would be out of order to discuss the other Amendments at this time."

Cunningham: "I have no further questions right now."

Shea: "Is there any further questions on this? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, if the Gentleman would yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Skinner: "Could you tell me the effects . . . what happens to if anything to House Amendment #8 in this Amendment?"

Hart: "What did that do, Cal'?"

Skinner: "That puts \$100,000 into the Woodstock Opera House."

Hart: "Ah . . . No, this . . . that is not effected by this Amendment nor any other Amendment."

Skinner: "God Bless you in the Senate."

Hart: "Ah... You're welcome. It was through my ultimate influence in the



Senate that that was kept on."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Gentleman would yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Bradley: "Representative Hart, I know we have a division of the question here, but I'm wondering if you could give us some idea of when we . . . if we do adopt all these Senate Amendments, what the appropriation will look like compared to what the amount was last year. Could you give us some idea of comparison between this year that they've been working with and what the total amount will be for the next fiscal year."

Hart: "Well, there'll be a substantial increase . . . ah . . . from last year. There was originally, and in addition, the Senate Amendment #4 . . . ah . . . put in \$20,000,000 in federal funds for the reason being that . . . ah . . . the current thinking is that you can't spend federal money unless you appropriate it. So this was a new . . . a new concept in the . . . ah . . . Conservation Department appropriation Bill. But the original Bill was a very substantial increase over last year F.Y. '75 . . . ah . . . it seems to me, my memory says about \$20,000,000, and . . . ah . . . the net effect of all of these Amendments will be reduction from the House Bill as it went over there of 11.4 percent from the General Revenue Fund and . . . ah . . . in addition of 5.5 percent to the Game and Fish Fund."

Bradley: "All right, thank you very much."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I certainly agree with Representative Hart and do think that we should concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . would the Sponsor yield to one quick question?"



Shea: "He indicates he will."

McClain: "Ah . . . Dick, would . . . ah . . . would the pork barrel project in Quincy be in . . . the Quincy Bay \$100,000?"

Hart: "Was it in the House Bill when it went to the Senate?"

McClain: "The one that Clyde and I Cosponsored?"

Hart: "Was it in the House Bill when it went to the Senate?"

McClain: "Yes."

Hart: "It's still in there."

McClain: "Thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. Mann, have . . . you spoke on this once already, haven't you, Sir?"

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I spoke before the . . . ah . . . question was divided. If you want me to wait to explain my vote . . ."

Shea: "No, go ahead, go ahead if you are now talking on a specific . . . this Amendment."

Mann: "Yes, Sir."

Shea: "Go ahead."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm not talking about . . . ah . . . Woodstock or Quincy or any other pork barrel, I'm talking about open spaces in the State of Illinois, and when we put on \$50,000 for the Nature Preserves Commission out of \$127,000, that's \$40,000 . . . that's 40 percent, the Senate knocked that . . . ah . . . that \$50,000 off. So I'm asking you out of concern for the dwindling supply of open spaces in Illinois to please . . . ah . . . vote 'no' or stay off of . . . ah . . . Amendment #1 so that we can do what we try to do by a House Amendment when we voted 103 to 29 to add \$50,000 in for the purposes of a Nature Preserve Commission. Every one of you have open spaces in your . . . ah . . . various districts; and I'd ask you with regard to Amendment #1 . . . ah . . . to vote 'no' or stay off, please."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn. Mr. Dunn, do you wish recognition, Sir? The Gentleman from Dekalb, Mr. Ebbessen."

Ebbessen: "I move the previous question."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, to close."

Hart: "Well, thank you very much. Just briefly in response to my very



dear friend, Representative Mann, the amount of money that's in this Amendment for the Nature Preserves is \$20,000 more than it was in F.Y. '75; and the Nature Preserves Commission I'm advised has agreed to the amount that's in this . . . ah . . . Amendment as it comes back to the House. So . . . ah . . . I . . . I really feel that we should . . . ah . . . adopt this Amendment rather than to throw this Bill into a Conference Committee . . . ah . . . at this time; and I would urge that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1302."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1302. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? What more do we have? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 94 'ayes', 30 'nays', 9 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1302. On Senate Amendment #2."

Hart: "Ah . . . This is an appropriation of \$100,000 for dredging of Lake DePue. I move for the concurrence in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1302. I do, I do."

Shea: "The ques . . . the question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1302? Is there any discussion?"

Hart: "1 . . . \$1,000,000."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Mann: "What is Lake Natoo?"

Hart: "I would . . . I would . . . ah . . . like to defer . . . ah . . . the answer to that question to . . . ah . . . my colleague . . . ah Representative Mautino."

Mann: "Well, will you answer this question, Sir? Is this an addition of \$100,000?"

Hart: "It's a \$1,000,000 and it comes from the Boating Fund and . . . ah . . . this is for the dredging of Lake DePue."

Mann: "All right."



Shea: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, where the lake is located."

Mautino: "You want a \$1,000,000 explanation, right, Bob. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to answer the question for him. Lake DePue is a lake in Bureau and Putnam County that's owned by the State of Illinois. In 1969, they did a survey, the Waterways Division, and said that . . . ah . . . this project could be done for \$380,000 . . . ah . . . the companion Bill . . . ah . . . to authorize this, of course, is 1588 which is passed and . . . ah . . . is now on the Governor's desk. After they made the study, . . . ah . . . the Department of Conservation and Waterways Division . . . ah . . . said that it was in need of dredging and now it's gone from about 18 feet in depth to about 18 inches; and what happened in 1971 was then when the State of Illinois said it didn't have any money to do the job, they turned around and they bought the lake from 12 people in Chicago for \$738,000. Now, it's my contention that if the State of Illinois buys a lake, they purchased it, it's their own property, they sure as hell should fix it up; and this is exactly what it does, it's been going on since '69, and don't you whistle; and I move for the adoption of . . . ah . . . Amendment #2 to . . ."

Shea: "The question is, shall the Senate adopt . . . shall the House adopt . . . go ahead, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Am I on, Mr. . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm not responsible for the fact that the State of . . . ah . . . Illinois enriched the pockets of seven gentleman from Chicago for \$738,000 in 1969, and has not been able to fix up the lake since then. I do know that . . . ah . . . two years ago when we tried to get all the lagoons in the City of Chicago dredged and cleaned up we got a gubernatorial veto. Now, this is a \$1,000,000. I don't know . . . I don't care whether it's coming out of the Boating Fund or the Conservation Fund. We can't put a lousy \$50,000 into the Nature Preserves Commission to keep open spaces viable in Illinois. But we can spend \$1,000,000 for a lake down in Bureau County. I just don't think it makes sense. I'm against the Amendment. As far as I'm concerned it's a special interest project and ought to be defeated."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."



Mudd: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think that Representative Mautino was trying to save some time and didn't want to go in to explain the real significance of this Amendment. For a good many years the national boat races of the United States has been held on this particular lake, and I think that it's important that the State of Illinois retains this national event. It's important to our country, and I think that it does the state well to retain it and I think the price not only to clean up the lake but to retain that kind of event that the State of Illinois is worth the money spent; and I would urge that you support this Amendment."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Dekalb, Mr. Ebbessen."

Ebbessen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Mautino and Mudd have indicated two important facts here that . . . that for the past 10 years as Representative Mudd has indicated that the National Speed Boat Races which draws just thousands of people into Illinois and you talk about tourism and spending money wisely and that lake does belong to the State of Illinois and . . . ah . . . we've sat down here and we've appropriated millions of dollars. This is a natural lake and we go out and build lakes. We got where the State of Illinois is building up in Dekalb County where I reside. I don't know, two or three, four million dollars, and here we have a natural lake which has been neglected . . . ah . . . since the state took it over; and it's a serious problem and if it's a millions dollars well spent, if it takes a million, I don't think it's gonna' take that much, but I certainly would encourage everyone to support this Amendment."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Well, it's for the last Amendment. I was never recognized, so . . . I won't speak on this one."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion on this Amendment? The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Yes, I'd like to ask Mr. Mautino a question on the . . . ah . . . if he's handling the Amendment or speaking to the Amendment, I don't know who's handling it, but I understand that . . . that this lake at one time was about 18 feet deep and is 18 inches and filled



with silt. How . . . how long is it going to be if we drain it . . . if we dredge it back to 18 feet before that lake is filled up again in that particular area, and we'd have to appropriate another \$1,000,000 when it fills again. I . . . would you respond to that?"

Mautino: "I'd be happy to. First of all, we're not getting it back to 18 feet. We're getting it down to 8 or 9 and we're opening up the springs, it's a spring-fed lake. The siltation that you're talking about has clogged up those springs, it feeds the lake. As soon as that is opened up, the problem will be resolved. Ah . . . This has been an environmental problem and what has happened now is the lake will stagnate and then the State of Illinois, the E.P.A., is going to come in and they're going to spend about \$20,000,000 solving that particular problem if you don't do something about it right now. But I would like to make . . . mention one fact. This does not come out of General Revenue, this is not a General Revenue expenditure. It comes out of the . . . ah . . . the State Boating Act, which comes from the registration of fees to boats in the State of Illinois, but not out of the General Revenue Fund."

Bradley: "Well, I'm going to reluctantly support the Amendment. I don't understand how getting it down to 9 feet is going to solve the problem when at one time you had it at 18 feet, and it didn't solve the problem and it build up again, I'm of the opinion we're going to be back in a couple of years trying to get the silt out of it all over again."

Mautino: "No, . . ."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. Mr. Hart to close."

Hart: "Well, I would only say . . . ah . . . in that response . . . only to Representative Mann, that . . . ah . . . I have no assurance that the Governor will . . . ah . . . include this in the overall budget . . . ah . . . when it finally . . . ah . . . becomes effective. So



. . . ah . . . it's one thing to put it in the Bill and another thing to get it spent."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the concurrence of the House with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1302. On the question, all in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers, would like to explain his vote."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think we're going about this the whole . . . the wrong way. I think what we should do is take 18 inches of dirt and fill this lake up and make it into a park, it'll be a lot cheaper . . ."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this Amendment there are 95 'ayes', 18 'nos', 3 Members voting 'present'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #2 to 1302. Five more to go. On Senate Amendment #3."

Hart: "Senate Amendment #3 appropriates . . . ah . . . \$10,000 to acquire . . . where's a copy of that . . . ah . . . Lincoln Cemetery, Lincoln Cemetery."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill . . ."

Hart: "I didn't . . . yeah, I couldn't remember what it was. This . . . this Amendment was offered by Senator Latherow and it's to acquire . . . ah . . . the Lincoln Cemetery in Hancock County. I move for the concurrence of Senate Amendment #3."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1302. On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, will the Sponsor yield to a question? How many cemeteries do we now own?"

Hart: "I don't know of a single one, but I'm . . . it's possible that we own some. I don't really know."

Lechowicz: "And how big is this cemetery?"

Hart: "I don't know."

Lechowicz: "Well, . . ."

Hart: "Perhaps somebody who represents the counties which Senator Latherow represents could answer some of these questions. I'm . . . I'm not acquainted with it. I wasn't at the Senate hearing and I haven't been



advised about it except that I've been . . . it's been recommended to me by the Director of this Department that we concur in the Amendment."

Lechowicz: "Well, I think the Director just wants to get this Bill on the Governor's desk as quickly as possible."

Hart: "Well, you may be right."

Lechowicz: "But, you know quite seriously . . . ah . . . I'm questioning the wisdom of acquiring a cemetery in Lincoln, in Hancock County, Hancock, the name of it being Lincoln Cemetery and it says for the acquisition and restoration and maintenance of Lincoln Cemetery in Hancock . . . Hancock County, and it does . . . it's not appropriating quite a bit of money, but . . . ah . . . I'd like to know . . . ah . . . what's the purpose of it?"

Hart: "All right, I would recommend that we take it out of the Record until we find that answer. Of course, apparently, none of the Members of that particular . . ."

Shea: "Ah . . . Mr. . . . Mr. Neff now is an expert on Hancock."

Hart: "Oh, I didn't see him here. Okay."

Neff: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is a real old cemetery that several people . . . Lincoln . . . easy, I know the first cousin, he is buried there and several more . . . ah . . . of the Lincoln family are buried there. That's . . . ah . . . it's quite a historical and it should be . . . ah . . . taken care of. It's . . . ah . . ."

Lechowicz: "Well, you know, I've heard the state is buying a lot of . . . you know, the state buying a lot of things, but . . . ah . . . I think if you go through the Record as far as the difficulty, in fact, I don't know have all the people, are all the survivors or people that are buried at the Lincoln Cemetery have approved the sale of the cemetery? Who owns the cemetery?"

Neff: "Why . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Neff, hold on please. Mr. Matijevich on a point of order."

Matijevich: "Point of order, you don't bury survivors."

Lechowicz: "No, I made that quite specific as far as that the survivors . . . see but quite seriously, say for example if a relative of mine was at Lincoln Cemetery, I thought you had to contact a survivor in



order to move that surviving member of the family in order to move that body or if . . . ah . . . some other reason you're . . . you're changing hands, I might be wrong, but . . ."

Hart: "Well, I think you're entirely right, but there's no one . . . nothing to indicate that that wouldn't be done and that . . . perhaps they're not going to move any, I don't know."

Lechowicz: "I want to know if . . . if . . . ah . . . if they've been contacted. Who owns this Lincoln Cemetery?"

Shea: "Now, hold on. Mr. Mann on a point of order."

Mann: "No, not a point of order. I want to be heard on this . . . that Bill."

Shea: "All right, go ahead."

Lechowicz: "It's an Amendment."

Mann: "That Amendment, yes. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House . . ."

Shea: "Now, wait, Mr. Lechowicz isn't through yet."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I asked a question and I'm wondering if the man can respond. Who owns Lincoln Cemetery and the number of people that are there and the size of the cemetery."

Shea: "Did you want to take this out of the Record, Mr. Hart, until you get all that information?"

Hart: "I'd . . . I'd be glad to do that."

Shea: "All right. Mr. Hart takes it out of the Record until we get the information on these projects. On Supplemental Calendar #3 appears House Bill 1360. On the order of that business, Mr. Kozubowski. Do you know why you want to hold that one? All right, on the order of the Supplemental Calendar appears House Bill 2256, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1 substitutes the word 'Board' for 'Secretary of State' in a vehicle recycling fund . . . transfer of funds to the Vehicle Recycling Board instead of the Secretary of State; and I move the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2256. On the question, is there any discussion? All . . . Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen. Would the Sponsor



yield?"

Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Ryan: "Representative . . . ah . . . Leverenz, would you tell me once more what you're doing here?"

Leverenz: "Senate Amendment #1 substitutes the money to go to the Vehicle Recycling Board instead of the Secretary of State."

Ryan: "Last year, Mr. Leverenz, we did this just the opposite. Last year the funds were re-appropriated to the Secretary of State . . ."

Shea: "Might I ask one thing . . . interrupt you for one minute, Sir, if you'll want to hold this for one minute we can concur in Conference Committee Report on 2215, which recycles the money to the Vehicle Recycling Board, then you can do it this way. Is it your pleasure to wait or can we proceed?"

Ryan: "Well, go ahead with 2215, if that's what you want to do? It doesn't matter with me, I'm . . ."

Shea: "No, I was asking a question."

Ryan: "No, I just . . . I wanted to point that out, Sir."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2256. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Hello. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 130 'ayes', no 'nays', 7 Members voting 'present; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report . . . er . . . Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2256, concurs. On the First Supplemental appears Senate Bill 558, and on that order of business, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker on behalf of Representative Epton, who is the Sponsor of this Bill and has left the Chamber, . . . ah . . . I move to refuse to recede from House Amendments . . . ah . . . 1 and 2 and request a Conference Committee."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to . . . the Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and 2 and asks for the appointment of a Conference Committee. Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mrs. Satterthwaite told me that this was the Election Code, is it?"



JUN 28 1975

227.

Shea: "I don't know, Mr. Walsh, that's why you're supposed to read these."

Walsh: "Oh, okay."

Shea: "All right, all in favor say 'aye', the opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 558. Mr. Skinner? Is Mr. Skinner here or did he leave again? Do you want to call, if you get in your seat, we'll call 1443 in a minute. Back to the main Calendar on the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 600. Mr. Bradley, did you take one of the Calendars with you? The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . On House Bill 600 I would move that these Bills are run . . . they connect so long here . . . ah . . . that we do not . . . ah . . . adopt the Conference Report and ask for a second Conference Committee, there are technical errors in the Amendments to these, and we have to go back and offer a new Amendment."

Shea: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 600. All those in favor say 'aye', the opposed 'nay'; and the House refuses to adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 600. On House Bill 601, the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move the adoption of the Conference Report on House Bill 601, which . . . ah . . . is simply the home-rule Amendment that we were . . . ah . . . complaining about and . . . ah . . . one mistake in spelling of the word 'Notice', where it needed a capital 'N', instead of a small 'n'. So it's Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 601. I move for the adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report with regards to House Bill 601. Is there any discussion? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will . . . the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "I would like to have a further explanation of that. Does this make it easier for a county to come under the home-rule clause?"

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Hill, for that inquiry. These are publication Bills . . . for zoning, correct, to county zoning . . ."

Hill: "Well, I know that you mentioned home rule in there."



Grotberg: "Right . . . right, and the home-rule Amendment was placed on both 600 and 601 and, therefore, the County of Cook and the City of Chicago are exempt from these two . . . ah . . . zoning notice provisions."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Conference . . . on House Bill 601. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 125 'ayes', 1 'nay', 3 Members voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 601. On the order of Concurrences appears . . . yes, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order."

Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Schlickman: "Ah . . . On House Bill 600 there was a motion made that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report. By the time that that motion was acted upon, I found the Conference Committee Report; and I noticed that all the Conference Committee Members, Senate and House, signed it and I'm just wondering is it . . ."

Shea: "They found technical errors as the Gentleman explained after it went to the Conference Committee, Sir."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Sir."

Shea: "On the order of Conference Committees appears House Bill 11 . . . er . . . on Conference Committee Reports appears Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1176; and on that question, the Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we accept the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1176, and what that Report did was to include one line that was not in the original Bill and what that line said was as follows: 'pressure vessels regulated and inspected under the Illinois Fertilizer Act of 1961. What that means is Department of Agriculture now inspects the pressure vessels on . . . in the agricultural community and the Department of Law Enforcement . . . ah . . . does the rest of them in conjunction with the Department of Labor. This was left out of the



original Bill, we put it right back in because the Department of Agriculture . . . ah . . . should retain the inspection of . . . ah . . . of vessels on a farm and it eliminates duplication. I move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1176. Is there any discussion? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. It requires 89 votes. Take the Record. On this question there are 131 'ayes', no 'nays', 4 Members voting 'present'; and the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1176. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 348. Action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #1, 2, 3 to Senate Bill 484. Action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 to Senate Bill 1496. Action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Madigan: "Supplemental Calendar 3. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 1089. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski. Mr. Kozubowski has asked to take House Bill 1089 out of the Record and he requests that we call House Bill 1360. Mr. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that the House do not concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1360 and that a Conference Committee be formed."

Madigan: "The . . . ah . . . the Gentleman moves that . . . ah . . . what's your motion again, Mr. Kozubowski, to nonconcur in 2 and 4? Turn on Kozubowski."



Kozubowski: "Wait a minute, wait a minute, take it from the Record."

Madigan: "Take it out of the Record."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, I'd amend my motion to include to be . . . ah . . . Amendments 1, 2 and 4."

Madigan: "Mr. Kozubowski moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 4 to House Bill 1360 and that a Conference Committee be formed. All those in favor of the motion say 'aye', oppose . . . the 'ayes' have it. Mr. Shea, do you wish to call House Bill 2215? On the order of Conference Committee Reports on the Supplemental Calendar 3 appears House Bill 2215. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Conference Committee adds another paragraph which takes care of the . . . ah . . . which had to be amended. The Bill changes the appropriation of Vehicles Recycling Commission from the Secretary of State to the Vehicle Recycling Board; and I would move for the adoption of the Report."

Madigan: "Your motion, Mr. Shea, is to adopt a Conference Committee Report?"

Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Madigan: "All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 135 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 voting 'present'; and the House . . . and the . . . ah . . . in the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2215 is adopted. On the order of . . . on the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 629. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski. This Bill having been read a second time yesterday, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill a third time. Mr. Kozubowski, do you have Amendments for this Bill?"

Kozubowski: "No."

Madigan: "There being no Amendments, the Bill shall be moved to the order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill for a third time."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 629, a bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Law Enforcement



Commission and the office of the Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Madigan: "The Gentleman has moved that Senate Bill 629 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. The . . . for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, raise his left hand? Turn on Mr. James Houlihan from Cook."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, to ask a Sponsor a question. He did not explain this Bill, and you . . . ah . . . moved quickly. Had I realized it's so wonderful to have Walter up here and moving the Bill . . ."

Madigan: "Mr. . . . Mr. Kinney's out in the corridor, Mr. Kinney is out in the corridor. He can explain the Bill."

Houlihan: "Oh, there he is."

Madigan: "He's assisting Mr. Houlihan. So the question is, shall Senate Bill 629 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Take the Record. Have all voted who wish? Give me a note on that, write it down. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 144 'ayes', 3 'nos', 2 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 629 having received a constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. You want to get these, let's go to this one. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 1498. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "This is a Bill, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that has the funding in for the various commissions. I move for the passage of this Bill."

Madigan: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1498 pass? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no', vote by record Roll Call, all vote 'aye' and 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 135 'ayes', 5 'nos', 9 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 1498 having received a constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 1274. The Chair recognizes the Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House . . . ah . . . the two Senate Amendments to House Bill 1274 are the six percent cut; and I move for concurrence of Senate Bill 1 and 2."



Madigan: "Mrs. Younge moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1274. On that question the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, all you recognized me on a short time the real Speaker was up there and I was gonna' inquire what time we were breaking for . . . ah . . . dinner. I had my light flashing, I'm sorry, I apologize to the Sponsor for raising that question at this time, but maybe . . . ah . . ."

Madigan: "We have approximately seven more Bills that we want to handle and then we will be gone."

Deuster: "Seven more Bills, thank you very much."

Madigan: "So that the . . . on the . . . have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 100 . . . the question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1274? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 136 'ayes', 8 'nos', 8 voting 'present'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1274. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 587. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk, on House Bill 587."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I move to concur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2. Senate Amendment #1 and for your information the 587 is an infamous Chadwick slap . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1 says that the appropriation should come from the Road Fund . . . in lieu of . . . by deleting line 6 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'May be necessary to appropriate it from the Road Fund to the Department of . . . instead of from the General Revenue Fund'. #2 is it says, 'upon the completion of the resurfacing provided by this Act that the county will assume the total responsibility hereto for the maintenance'; and I concur to Amendments 1 and 2."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 587? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all



voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question . . ."

Unknown: "That's right."

Madigan: ". . . there are 126 'ayes', 17 'nos', 4 voting 'present'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 587. On the order of Concurrence . . . for what purpose does the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer, arise?"

Griesheimer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think it's only fair to advise the House that we've been referring to this last Bill as the Chadwick Slab . . . ah . . . within a few days when the Bill is signed I understand it's going to be renamed the Benjamin Polk Expressway and . . . ah . . . that he will be duly honored at ceremonies."

Madigan: "On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 1884. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, Senate Amendment #1 addresses the problem which was raised . . . ah . . . when this Bill was considered on Third Reading. This . . . ah . . . Bill sets up in a procedure whereby students and parents can gain access to school records. The Bill included all schools in the state, private and public. The Senate . . . ah . . . in its discussions in the Senate Education Committee decided that . . . ah . . . the points raised . . . ah . . . in the House and in fact in the Senate that . . . ah . . . private schools ought to be deleted. This Bill is in its same form except it omits private schools and I would move for the concurrence to Senate Amendment #1."

Madigan: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would the Sponsor yield?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield?"

Ryan: "Representative Houlihan, do you know if in fact if Senate Amendment #1 was put on this Bill?"

Houlihan: "Ah . . . Yes, George, it was . . . Senate Amendment #1 was originally offered in Committee. It was not adopted in Committee. Subsequent I had conversations with the Sponsor, Senator Brady and



other Members in the Senate and when it was offered on the floor at first it was not adopted but then subsequently it was adopted and we worked it out. It is in fact on the Bill so that the private schools are exempted."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would urge people to support this Bill . . . ah . . . this concurrence, although it's a crappy Bill, the Amendment makes it much better and that I'd urge your concurrence."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1884? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 9 'nos', 7 voting 'present'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1884."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take the privilege of announcing the arrival of my former seat mate, Senator Leroy Lemke . . ."

Madigan: "Fine, Senator . . ."

Houlihan: ". . . his friend Senator Savikas. We'd like to welcome them to the House and . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd also like this time to also thank Speaker Redmond in his effort to help me and my Bill and help all of us . . . ah . . . not have a special Lemke session . . . ah . . . on July 4th."

Madigan: "Mr. Kozubowski, do you wish to call House Bill 2435? Mr. Taylor, do you wish to call House Bill 2627? On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 2627. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2627, and for the discussion I will refer that to Representative Yourell, since he is the one whose Bill is tied into 2627."



Madigan: "Ladies and Gentleman, I . . . ah . . . I apologize for not recognizing . . ., this Bill is highly controversial and we will . . . at the . . . ah . . . everyones convenience take it up tomorrow because the Speaker would like to get out of here tonight. So sometime tomorrow. Mr. Skinner on House Bill 2872. Is that controversial, Mr. Skinner? 2872, on the regular Calendar?"

Skinner: "We didn't do it already?"

Madigan: "No. So . . . on the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 2872. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

SKinner: "Can we do 1443 first?"

Madigan: "Well, we have this one in the Record . . . ah . . . is this . . ."

Skinner: "Well, but I don't have the file on my desk."

Madigan: "Can you remember what it's about? It's only one Amendment, School Code."

Skinner: "Controversial? Oh, yes, I do remember. This is the one that . . . ah . . . says that if you have a unit School District that was formed before January 1st, 1975, there will be no change in the board. Ah . . . The original Bill said that if a unit School District is formed after . . . ah . . . that date or . . . well, at any School District in which the . . . the vast majority of the people are living in one township that the board would not have to be apportioned . . . ah . . . as, well, I think there's a maximum of four people that can be from one township in any unit School District. Ah . . . All this says is that if it's a . . . new district they can be elected at large, if it's an old district the old rule . . . ah . . . go. I move . . . I ask for concurrence, I move for concurrence, whatever."

Madigan: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2872? On this question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Sharp would like to be recorded as 'aye' and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "I did have my light on, Mike, I apologize for taking up the time of the House, but just quickly it seems that this narrows down the applicability to just a few districts and not as comprehensive



. . . ah . . . I think unless it is . . . ah . . . applicable across the board and I would suggest a 'no' vote."

Madigan: "On this question there are 1 . . . on this question there are 110 'ayes' . . . the Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', 33 'no', 7 voting 'present'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2872. Just finished that and . . . ah . . . you got anything in here . . ."

Shea: "On the order of Supplemental Calendar #3 on Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 222. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 222 in which we concur in Senate Amendment #2 and 3. The purpose of going to a Conference Committee was to add an Amendment and it clarifies Senate Amendment #2. What it does generally is extend the period of time in which state employees can qualify through for various reasons, probably because they didn't have the money, didn't qualify before. I ask your approval."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 222. Is there any questions? All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. It takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 120 'ayes', 7 'nays', 5 Members voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 222. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1821. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Ladies and Gentlemen, all the Conference Committee did was re-draft the language of 1821 to reinstate the county mutual exemption. There was a technical error in the Bill and it could not be . . . ah . . . amendatorily vetoed, and we had to take it back to the Senate and for that reason . . . ah . . . we simply redrafted the Bill to allow the county mutual exemption; and the Senate Amendment as described in the Digest is the Bill exactly."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1821. On the question all in favor will vote



'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 121 'ayes', 7 'nays', 9 Members voting 'present'. The House does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1821. Senate Bill 69, Mr. Sharp."

Sharp: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move that the House do adopt . . . ah . . . Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 69. Ah . . . All this Bill does in its present form is allow townships to acquire more than 10 acres for park purposes if any acres . . . acreage over 10 acres is acquired through a gift; and I move for the adoption . . . it applies to the whole state."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House adopt Senate . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Do I understand that Senate Bill 69 with the Conference Committee Report is now applicable townships in every county of the state?"

Sharp: "Yes. Yes."

Schlickman: "Including the County of Cook."

Sharp: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Thank you very, very much."

Shea: "The question is, shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 69? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 141 'ayes', 4 'nays', 2 Members voting 'present'; and the House will . . . the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #2 on House . . . Senate Bill 69. Senate Bill 970, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House . . . ah . . . does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 970. The . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report substantially adopts the House version of this Bill and . . . ah . . . makes a technical correction to have the Director of the Illinois



Department of the Aging as a member of the . . . ah . . . Department of the Nursing Home Administrators Licensing Board and two representatives of the general public. I move for the adoption of the Committee Report."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 970, and on that question, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Ryan: "Representative Getty, are there any rate changes . . . ah . . . involved here at all?"

Sharp: "I'm . . . I'm sorry, I didn't hear the . . ."

Ryan: "Rate changes for membership into the council, do you have a rate charge?"

Sharp: "Rate? It . . . it's the same as it passed out of the House version . . . ah . . . and I'm referring now to the same questions that you asked me . . . ah . . . five days ago . . . ah . . ."

ryan: "I have a short memory . . ."

Sharp: ". . . to the long term Care Facility Advisory Board. It's the same as it was in that version. As to the . . . ah . . . Nursing Home Administrators Licensing Board, the change is in compliance with the federal standards in order to meet the requirements of . . . ah . . . Title 19 of the Social Security Act so that there are two representatives of the gneral public and the Director of the Illinois Department of Aging added into it and 3 persons who are actively engaged in the nursing home business. Now, this as it is written, it is my understanding it has the approval of the industry representatives."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 970. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. This requires 89 votes, and if final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 128 'ayes', 8 'nays', 3 Members



voting 'present'; and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 8 . . . Senate Bill 970. On the order of Concurrences . . . Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1384. Turn on Mr. Stubblefield. I'm sorry, Sir."

Stubblefield: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Conference Report simply corrects a technical error. On page 9 of the Bill we had intended to add language and inadvertently as it was drawn up they used the word 'delete'. In the Conference Report we just corrected that technical error; and I move adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1384. On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This is final action, it requires 89 votes. Mr. Kozubowski, are you ready on . . . 1089 now? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 6 'nays', 9 Members voting 'present'; and the House con . . . adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1384. On the order of Conference Committee Report on Supplemental Calendar #2 appears House Bill 1443 and on that question the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner. Turn Mr. Skinner on, Sir."

Skinner: "Thank you for the delay, I was able to swallow my dinner. The Conference Committee Report of House Bill 1443 meets the objection that Representative Schneider, the Joint Sponsor of this Bill . . . ah . . . raised with regard to residents in undating School Districts with requests for copies of expense . . . ah . . . reports, expenditures from a revolving fund. What it says is any resident of a school district may receive a copy of this report upon request by paying a reasonable charge to defray the cost of preparing such copy."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on . . . Senate . . . er . . . House Bill 1443."

Skinner: "And I move adoption."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 142 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 Member voting 'present'; and



the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1443. On the order of Conference Committee Report on the Calendar appears House Bill 1146 and on that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House . . . ah . . .

I move to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1146. Senate Amendment #1 to 1146 provided a . . . an administrative change where the county treasurer who collects the taxes, this incidently is an inheritance tax Bill that . . . ah . . . received some opposition where it was first heard when it came back from the Senate with a Senate Amendment on it, and what it . . . the Amendment does is to transmit 9/10's of the taxes to the State Treasure and to keep 10 percent which makes it administratively more reasonable. It also attempts to in language to clear up the constitutional question as to whether the . . . ah . . . county officers are entitled to fees under the new Constitution, and I move for the adoption of the Committee Report on House Bill 1146."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1 on 1146. On the question, the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have that report. Does this have anything that's . . . question of a comparable tax?"

Yourell: "I didn't hear him."

Shea: "Would you . . ."

Schraeder: "I don't have the report. Does this have anything to do with the collect . . . the state collecting a comparable tax?"

Yourell: "No . . . that comes tomorrow."

Schraeder: "I understand, but I'm still looking."

Shea: "Is there any further question? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, on June 20 this House noncurrred with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1146. It was sent to a Conference Committee and the Conference Committee Report puts us right back to where we were on June 20, because the Conference Committee Report recommends that we concur with the Amendment that



we previously rejected. Now, what this Bill does is to increase from 4 percent to 10 percent that amount of the inheritance tax that is collected to be given to the . . . ah . . . county. It further provides that instead of all of the inheritance tax being collected going to the state and then having the state remit to the county . . . ah . . . a share for the cost of collection, the county will deduct that amount before passing it on to the state. Now, I have two objections to this Bill as amended. #1, the 4 percent, which presently exists, is for the purpose of cost of collection. Now, the Sponsor of this Bill has not indicated at any time that the cost of collection has increased or justified going to 10 percent. #2 . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Schlickman, Mr. Stone is raising a point of order. Turn on Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, he is not talking to the Conference Committee Report. He's talking to the Bill which has already passed both the House and the Senate."

Shea: "Please re . . . just keep your remarks to the Conference Committee Report. Proceed, Sir."

Schlickman: "#2, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment which provides that the county will deduct its 1/10 share I object to it because it does not provide to the state the opportunity of auditing the exact amount that has been collected. So on both of those grounds I would respectfully suggest that we do not adopt Conference Committee Report #1, we send it back to a second Conference Committee and ask that Conference Committee to make what corrections are needed as we have suggested on June 20, 1975."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I can assure you that the adoption of this Amendment will take a lot of general . . . ah . . . money out of the General Revenue Fund of this state which is already broke. Now, how you can deplete General Revenue Funds at a time when we're trying to figure out how to take \$300,000,000 out of the Budget from the General Revenue Funds, I don't know."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, let me . . . let me respond to him before he gets going."



Shea: "Well, let him, he's raising the point and then you can get right to him."

Yourell: "All right, fine, I . . ."

Shea: "Go ahead, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman is talking to the Bill. It has already passed."

Shea: "Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I'll respond to that. This Conference Committee Report has a heck of a lot to do with that Bill, it changes the Bill completely. That's the reason I'm responding to it, and if I can't respond to that, there's no use to talk about anything. Now, I want to say again that this is going to take a lot of money out of the General Revenue Fund and you haven't got enough now. The 4 percent is more than adequate for the counties to collect this tax. It's just that simple."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, to close."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Ah . . ."

There's been a lot of discussion about this Bill and the Amendment that was attached in the Senate. Now, what that Amendment does and it's quite plain it does administratively what I should have done in the House. Now, it doesn't do anything to hurt this Bill and if those individuals who are interested in the plight of the counties will realize that the counties are going to get the money back after having been deprived of a lot of money through new provisions in our Constitution then they ought to be voting for this Bill. This is a county Bill and I ask for your favorable support."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, moves that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1146. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'; and Mr. Schraeder, do you want to explain your vote, Sir?"

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I tried to get your attention to ask you a question."

Shea: "You did, I thought, one time asked a question on this Bill?"

Schraeder: "I beg your pardon, Sir, I thought it was the other Bill. I'd just like to explain my vote then and . . . ah . . . and hope I'm right"



on this because I didn't get to the question. Apparently, we're allowing to the counties a tremendous sum of money at the expense of the state. I hope by defeating this, I don't know if it defeats the Bill or not, but that's my attempt at least and I would ask for a Roll Call."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Ryan to explain his vote."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is about the most sensible thing I've seen come through here yet. This returns to the County Treasurer the authority to keep the 10 percent it had of its taxes collected. Now, the original Bill has the State Treasurer paying that money back. This makes good logical sense and I would encourage a green vote."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Ralph Dunn to explain his vote. Mr. Dunn, did you want to explain your vote. Mr. Duff, do you want to explain your vote. Mr. Schrader, do you want to explain your vote?"

Schraeder: "No, Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, I want to verify the Roll Call."

Shea: "All right, have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there . . . on this question there are 88 'ayes', 35 'nays', and the Gentleman . . . Mr. Barnes votes 'aye', Washington votes 'aye', Mr. Dunn 'aye', John Dunn 'aye'. On this question there are 92 'ayes', 35 'nays', 19 voting 'present'; and the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman asks for a verification and on that question, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Poll the absentees."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, request a poll of the absentees."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Yes, Mr. . . ."

Yourell: "Ah . . . I don't know whether it's proper to take this Bill out of the Record?"

Shea: "You have leave of the House, if you wish, Sir."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman wishes to take this Conference Committee out of the



Record. Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Now, before we leave, any announcements? Bar mitzvah pictures or anything? Mr. Washburn?"

Washburn: "I'm glad to hear that statement, Mr. Speaker, because our Sponsor remarked at the previous Speaker, acting Speaker Madigan, stated about 27 Bills ago that we were going to wind this thing after 7 more Bills."

Shea: "The Lady from Dupage, Miss Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would just simply like to ask leave of the House that H.J.R.C.A. 30 which is on the Calendar . . . ah . . . be postpo . . . put ahead to the Fall Calendar."

Shea: "Does she have leave? Leave is granted. Now, let's see, we have Mr. Duff, do you have some statement to make, Sir?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I have a question to ask of the Chair and perhaps so that it can be thought about over night. Ah . . . We've been putting a lot of things on the Fall Calendar in the same position in which there are now. Many of us not knowing there was going to be a Fall Calendar. Well, on the . . ."

Shea: "Like I say, the Speaker hasn't answered that Mr. Duff and I'm sure he will in the next day or so."

Duff: "I was going to refer to the problem of the Bills that were put in Study Calendar on May 23rd which probably would have as much . . . equitably have as much right to the Fall Calendar as these Bills and I think there are many Members of this House who if there were . . ."

Shea: "Well, . . ."

Duff: ". . . were to be a Fall Calendar would like to have a motion to put those Bills, those May 23rd Bills which fell accident to time."

Shea: "All right, Mr. Duff, we'll get to that tomorrow. Mr. Lucco."

Lucco: "Mr. . . Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just rise for an announcement to announce that the Members of the House softball team will meet the members of the press and the news media tomorrow morning at 9:30 a.m. at Lincoln Park, Diamond #8. Those of you that are up to it why show up."

Shea: "Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, will we have some clarification on the conflicting



of the . . . of the different chairs on 1704 tomorrow?"

Shea: "I think you'll have a nice written opinion."

Madison: "Thank you."

Shea: "Now, the Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, did you have . . .
ah . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. White."

White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to
. . . ah . . . take this opportunity to congratulate the Democratic
secretaries for be . . . for beating the Republican secretaries in
the annual secretary softball game, the final score was 22-21."

Shea: "Was that football or baseball?"

White: "Softball."

Shea: "Oh, I see. The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I now move that
the House stand in recess for one hour so that the Clerk can receive
any messages that might be coming over from the Senate and then will
be adjourned until 12 o'clock tomorrow, noon."

Shea: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion. All in favor say 'aye',
those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it and the House will recess for
an hour . . . introduction."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3124, Daniels, et al, a Bill for an Act to
revise the law in relation to medical practice. First Reading of the
Bill."

Shea: "Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "No messages."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Eugen . . . Eugenia Chapman lost her purse again.
If it's on anybody's desk, please let her know about it."

Shea: "The Lady from Cook, Mr. Chapman, reports one purse lost. The
Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Desuter: "Mr. Speaker, I want the Record to show that there's some doubt
officially over the outcome of that ball game, although, we appreciate
the kind remarks of Representative White."

Shea: "Mr. White says there was no doubt whatsoever."

Fred Selcke: "The House will be in Session. Messages from Senate. A
message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm



directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #3 to the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 345, action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment 1 and 2 of the House to a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 699, action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #2 and 3 of the House of Representatives in the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1170, action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #1, 2 and 4 of the House to the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 471, action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #1 and 3 of the House of Representatives of the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 554, action taken by the Senate June 28, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Representative Raymond Ewell now moves that the House stand adjourned until 12 o'clock noon tomorrow. Okay, Ray, okay, buddy."



INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

June 28, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

June 28, 1975

9:30 Speaker Redmond House to Order
 Davis, Corneal Prayer
 Speaker Redmond Roll Call
 Clerk O'Brien

9:37 Speaker Redmond
 Tipsword Parliamentary Inquiry
 Clerk Selcke Agreed Resolution

9:38 Giorgi
 Speaker Redmond
 Hanahan HB 3024, Senate Amendment #1

9:42 Speaker Redmond Non concurs
 Speaker Redmond Senate Bill 556; House Amendment #1
 Tipsword

9:43 Speaker Redmond Refuse to recede
 Stubblefield Senate Bill 1384; House Amendment #1

9:45 Speaker Redmond Refuse to recede
 Lundy House Resolution 264

9:47 Speaker Redmond

9:47 Giorgi) Question
)
 Lundy)
 Speaker Redmond

9:52 Madigan House Resolution-342-
 Sims
 Speaker Redmond Out of Record



9:55 Mann House Resolution 227

Speaker Redmond Resolution adopted

Grotberg House Resolution 363

Speaker Redmond

Lundy) Question
)
 Grotberg)

Speaker Redmond Resolution Adopted

Schuneman ~~House Resolution 267~~

Speaker Redmond Out of record

Giorgi House Resolution 284

Speaker Redmond

Lundy

Giorgi

Speaker Redmond Resolution Adopted

Younge House Resolution 306

Speaker Redmond

Hoffman, R.) Yield
)
 Younge)

Speaker Redmond

Deuster) Yield
)
 Younge)

Speaker Redmond

Borchers

Speaker Redmond ,

Dyer

Speaker Redmond

Hoffman Point of Personal Privilege

Speaker Redmond



McCourt
 Speaker Redmond
 Younge To Close
 Speaker Redmond House Resolution 306 (cont.)
 Katz Explain Vote
 Speaker Redmond Resolution Adopted
 10:15 Speaker Redmond House Resolution 342
 Madigan
 Speaker Redmond Adopted
 Daniels House Resolution 349; Placed on Fall
 Calendar
 Speaker Redmond
 10:17 DiPrima House Resolution 367
 Speaker Redmond
 10:18 Borchers
 10:20 Speaker Redmond House Resolution 367 adopted.
 10:21 Schuneman House Resolution 267
 Speaker Redmond
 10:24 Macijevich
 Speaker Redmond
 10:25 Friedrich
 Speaker Redmond
 10:25 Madison
 Schuneman
 10:28 Madison
 Speaker Redmond
 Ewing
 Speaker Redmond
 Choate



10:30 Speaker Redmond

10:30 Dunn, R.
Speaker Redmond

10:32 Merlo
Speaker Redmond

10:33 McMasters
Speaker Redmond

10:35 Yourell Move Previous Question

10:37 Speaker Redmond Ayes have it.
Walsh
Speaker Redmond Resolution Adopted

10:37 Schraeder House Resolution 400
Speaker Redmond

10:42 Hirschfeld
Speaker Redmond

10:44 Matijevich
Speaker Redmond

10:45 Mudd
Speaker Redmond

10:45 Mudd
Speaker Redmond

10:47 Schraeder
Speaker Redmond Motion is to close

10:49 Houlihan, J.)
Speaker Redmond)

10:50 Schraeder Move to Table House Resolution 400
Speaker Redmond Leave



10:50 Hill
Speaker Redmond

10:52 Houlihan, J.
Speaker Redmond

10:54 Houlihan
Speaker Redmond
Huff
Speaker Redmond

10:55 Washington

10:59 Speaker Redmond
Sims

11:00 Speaker Redmond
Houlihan, J.)
Speaker Redmond)

11:01 Birchler House Resolution 406
Speaker Redmond

11:02 Skinner
Speaker Redmond Adopted

11:03 McMaster Point of Personal Privilege
Speaker Redmond
Dyer House Joint Resolution 48
Leinenweber Question

11:05 Dyer
Calvo) Question
Dyer)
Calvo Speak to the Resolution.
Speaker Redmond
Deuster Support



Speaker Redmond
Grotberg

Speaker Redmond
Palmer

Speaker Redmond
Giorgi

Speaker Redmond House Joint Resolution 48 Adopted
Introduce Mr. Ray Welsh

11:13 Kelly House Joint Resolution 61

Speaker Redmond

11:14 Katz

Speaker Redmond

11:18 Hudson

Speaker Redmond

11:2- Stone Point of Order

Speaker Redmond

11:21 Lundy Parliamentary Inquiry

Speaker Redmond

11:22 Kelly Put on Fall Calendar

Speaker Redmond
Skinner

Speaker Redmond Senate Joint Resolution 34

11:23 Shea Suspend Appropriate Rule

Speaker Redmond Leave

11:24 Shea

11:25 Speaker Redmond Senate Joint Resolution 34 adopted.

11:28 Shea Conference Committee Report
Senate Bill 24

Speaker Redmond



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

11:28 Lundy
 Speaker Redmond House Does not adopt.

11:29 Sangmeister House Bill 5; Senate Amendment #1 and #2.
 Speaker Redmond

SHEA IN CHAIR.....

Clerk O'Brien Messages from the Senate

Speaker Shea House Bill 44

Washington Senate Amendment #1; move House concur.

Speaker Shea House Concurs Senate Amendment #1

Speaker Shea House Bill 212

Jaffe Senate Amendment #1, move to concur

Speaker Shea

Walsh) Yield
)
 Jaffe)

Speaker Shea)
)
 Jaffe)
)
 Walsh)

Speaker Shea

Skinner) Yield
)
 Jaffe)

Speaker Shea

Jaffe To close

Speaker Shea House Concurs

Speaker Shea ~~House Bill 396~~

Younger Senate Amendment #1,2,& 3, move to concur.

Speaker Shea

Sims) Question -



Younge)
 Speaker Shea
 Younge Take out
 Speaker Shea House Bill 736
 Stubblefield Senate Amendment #1, move to concur
 Speaker Shea House concurs
 VanDuyne House Bill 771, Senate Amendment #1
 Move to concur.
 Speaker Shea House concurs
 Sangmeister House Bill 5, ask vote be reconsidered
 Speaker Shea
 Schlickman) Question
)
 Sangmeister)
 Speaker Shea House reconsiders vote; Amendment #1 & 2.
 Sangmeister Move we concur Amendment #1.
 Speaker Shea House concurs Amendment #1
 Speaker Shea House does not concur Amendment #2
 Matijevich House Bill 853; Senate Amendment #2
 Move to concur.
 Speaker Shea Senate Amendment #2,3,4 & 5.
 Matijevich
 Speaker Shea
 Lauer) Yield.
)
 Matijevich)
 Speaker Shea
 Skinner) Yield.
)
 Matijevich)
 Speaker Shea) Amendment #1 was tabled.
 Skinner) Request for division



Speaker Shea	
Matijevecich	
Speaker Shea	Senate Amendment #2,3 & 4. House concurs
Matijevecich	Senate Amendment #5
Speaker Shea	
Geo-Karis	Support
Speaker Shea	
Schlickman	Oppose
Speaker Shea	
Dyer	Support
Speaker Shea	
Borchers	
Speaker Shea	
Kozubowski	Move Previous Question
Speaker Shea	Ayes have it.
Matijevecich	To close.
Speaker Shea	House Concur Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 853.
Calvo	House Bill 229, Move not concur Senate Amendment #1 & #2.
Speaker Shea	House does not concur
Yourell	House Bill 679, Senate Amendment #1 move to concur.
Speaker Shea	House concurs
Yourell	Announcements
Speaker Shea	House Bill 911
Katz	Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3. Move to concur.
Speaker Shea	House Concur
Katz	House Bill 985, Senate Amendment #1 Move to concur.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Shea	House Concur
Brummet	Senate Amendment #1, House Bill 1094 Move to concur.
Speaker Shea	House Concur.
Speaker Shea	House Bill 1149
Lechowicz	Senate Amendment #1,2,& 3, move to concur.
Speaker Shea	House Concur.
Chapman	Senate Amendment #1, House Bill 1257, Move to nonconcur.
Speaker Shea	
Beatty) Question
)
Chapman)
Speaker Shea	Unauthorized people on the floor.
Beatty)
Chapman)
Speaker Shea	
Skinner	Parliamentary inquiry
Speaker Shea	
Polk	Support
Speaker Shea	House nonconcur
Kelly	House Bill 1287, Senate Amendment #1, Ask for concurrence.
Speaker Shea	House concurs
Tipsword	House Bill 1354, Senate Amendment 1,2,#3.
Speaker Shea	House concurs
Davis	Senate Bill 555, refuse to recede
Speaker Shea	House refuses to recede.
12:25 Speaker Shea	Senate Bill 510
Washington	



Speaker Shea Senate Bill 510
Refuse to recede Amendment 1,2,3 & 5.

Craig ~~Senate Bill 478~~

Barnes Senate Bill 635, recede on #6 & 7.

Speaker Shea # 6 & 7.

Sharp) Question

Barnes)

Speaker Shea House Recedes Senate Bill 635, Senate
Amendment #6 & 7

12:28 Speaker Shea House refuses to recede Senate Amend-
ment #4 & #8.

Berman Serate Bill 1493, move not recede House
Amendment #2, 3 and 4.

Speaker Shea House refuses to recede

Ebbesen) Amendment #4 & 5, Senate Bill 236.
) Move to recede.

Speaker Shea)

Ebbesen

Speaker Shea House recedes, Bill passed

Collins Senate Bill 1506, move place on
Second Reading

Speaker Shea

Pierce) Yield.

Collins)

Speaker Shea Senate Bill 1506, put on Second Reading,
Second Legislative Day.

Geo-Karis Senate Bill 478, move nonconcur.

Speaker Shea Refuse to recede #1 & 2.

Speaker Shea House Bill 474

12:38 McGrew

Speaker Shea House concurs Senate Amendment #1

Speaker Shea House Bill 752



Grotberg Senate Amendment 2 & 3, House Bill 752.

Speaker Shea

Beatty) Yield.

Grotberg)

Speaker Shea

Hill

Speaker Shea Stand at ease.

12:43 Speaker Shea House in Order...House Bill 752.

Grotberg)

Giorgi)

Speaker Shea House does concur

Speaker Shea House Bill 1487, Senate Amendment #1.

12:47 Hirschfeld

Speaker Shea House concurs

Geo-Karis) House Bill 1704, Senate Amendment #2
Meyer) Point of order.....

Speaker Shea)

12:50 Bluchardt) Point of order.

Speaker Shea)

12:52 Matijevich

Speaker Shea

12:54 Tipsword) Request transcript ...tape II.

12:55 Speaker Shea) 1704 ???

Maragos) Parliamentary Inquiry

Speaker Shea)

Meyer) Dissent

Geo-Karis) Senate Amendment #2

Speaker Shea)



12:57 Collins)
)
 Geo-Karis)
 Speaker Shea Take out of record for a bit.

12:58 Bluthardt
 Speaker Shea

12:59 McClain
 Geo-Karis

1:03 Speaker Shea
 Maragos Yield?

1:03 Geo-Karis
 Speaker Shea

1:06 Collins
 Speaker Shea

1:07 Geo-Karis
 Speaker Shea
 Geo-Karis Take out of record.

1:07 Speaker Shea
 Speaker Shea
 Houlihan, D.L. House Bill 1572, Senate Amendment #1

1:08 Speaker Shea House does concur
 Houlihan, D.L. House Bill 1573, Senate Amendment #1.

1:09 Speaker Shea House does concur
 Speaker Shea House Bill 1766....#1, 2 and 3.
 Madison
 Speaker Shea

1:10 Washington
 Speaker Shea ~~House refuses to recede...~~
 non concurs



1:12 Speaker Shea Senate Bill ~~600~~.970, House Amendment #1 and 2.

Getty

Speaker Shea House does not recede

Speaker Shea Senate Bill 986, House Amendment #1.

Friedland

Speaker Shea ~~Senate~~..House refuses?

1:14 Speaker Shea 1935...House refuses Conference Committee #1.

Speaker Shea Senate Bill 649..House Amendment #1 & 3.

Keller

Speaker Shea House refuses to recede

Keller Senate Bill 1297, House Amendment #1

Speaker Shea House ?

1:16 Schlickman) Question
Keller)

Speaker Shea House refuse to recede

1:18 Speaker Shea

Barnes, E.L. Senate Bill 347, House Amendment #2.

Speaker Shea House refuses to recede

Speaker Shea Senate Bill, Third Reading

Taylor Senate Bill 345, Point of Personal Privilege

1:22 Speaker Shea Read the Bill

Clerk O'Brien

Speaker Shea

1:22 Taylor

Speaker Shea

1:23 Borchers



1:23 Friedrich)
Taylor)
Speaker Shea
Taylor Move with the Bill
Speaker Shea Senate Bill 345 passed.
Speaker Shea House Bill 347, Senate Amendment #2

1:27 Barnes
Speaker Shea House does recede
Speaker Shea House Bill 1914, Senate Amendment 1,2,3 &4.
Jones We concurred yesterday
Speaker Shea

1:29 Walsh ~~How long~~ very difficult to follow.
Speaker Shea
Keller

1:30 Clerk O'Brien Senate Bill 471, Third Reading
Speaker Shea
Keller
Speaker Shea
Schlickman) Yield
Keller)
Speaker Shea Senate Bill 554 passed.

1:32 Tipsword Request 'no pass'.

1:35 Speaker Shea Senate Bill 554 passed
Clerk O'Brien Senate Bill 1500
Speaker Shea

1:35 Matijevich
Speaker Shea Senate Bill 1500
Walsh



	Speaker Shea	
1:36	Matijeovich	
	Speaker Shea	Senate Bill 1500 passed
	Speaker Shea	Senate Bill 608
1:38	Jones, E.	House Amendment #2
	Speaker Shea	House recesses
1:40	Campbell	Senate Joint Resolution 46
	Speaker Shea	Senate Joint Resolution 46
1:42	Lundy	
	Speaker Shea	
	Campbell	
	Speaker Shea	
1:45	Lundy	
	Bradley	
1:45	Campbell	
	Speaker Shea	Resolution is adopted...passed
1:47	Speaker Shea	Senate Bill 1157
	Griesheimer	Refuse to accept Amendment #1 & 3.
	Speaker Shea	House refuses to recede
	Porter	Senate Bill 751, House Amendment #1
1:48	Speaker Shea	House...
	Bradley	Move House stand in recess 'till 3:15.
	Speaker Shea	House stands in recess.
1:49	Clerk O'Brien	Message from Senate

3:15	Speaker Shea	House is in Session
	Clerk O'Brien	Message from Senate



Speaker Shea
 Clerk O'Brien
 3:18 Speaker Shea
 Greisheimer ?...House Bill 604...Senate Amendment #1
 Speaker Shea
 3:21 Walsh
 Speaker Shea House Bill 1999, Senate Amendment #1
 Lechowicz) Yield
)
 Walsh)
 3:23 Speaker Shea
 Lechowicz Dump the Roll Call
 Speaker Shea
 Walsh Take out of the record
 Speaker Shea House Bill 2204
 Lechowicz House Bill 2204, Senate Amendment #1
 Speaker Shea
 3:25 Walsh)
 Speaker Shea)
 Walsh
 Schraeder
 Speaker Shea
 3:28 Borchers
 Speaker Shea
 Miller
 3:29 Lechowicz)
 Miller
 Speaker Shea
 Anderson



3:31	Lechowicz	
	Speaker Shea	House Conkurs
3:32	Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 1506, Second Reading
	Speaker Shea	Third Reading
	Speaker Shea	
	Schneider	House Bill 2101.....1 and 3.
3:34	Speaker Shea	House concurs
	Speaker Shea	House Bill 2260, Senate Amendment #2
3:35	McPartlin	
3:35	Speaker Shea	House concurs
	Meyer	House Bill 2559, Senate Amendment #1.
3:37	Speaker Shea	House Conkurs
	Berman	
	Speaker Shea	
	Telcser	House Bill 2784, Senate Amendment #1
	Speaker Shea	House Conkurs
	Jones, J. David	Senate Amendment #1, (1964) 1,2,3 &4.
	Speaker Shea	House refuses to condur
	Walsh	Amendment #1 House Bill 1999
	Speaker Shea	
3:45	Mautino	Urge 'no'.
	Speaker Shea	
	Collins	
	Speaker Shea	
	Maragos	
	Speaker Shea	
3:46	Mahar	
	Walsh	



3:47 Lechowicz)
Walsh)
Speaker Shea

3:48 Lechowicz
Speaker Shea

3:49 Peters
Shea
Walsh

3:52 Shea House Bill 1999, Amendment #1
Lucco Support
Speaker Shea
Hill Support
Speaker Shea

3:54 Willer Support
Speaker Shea

3:55 McAulliffe 'No' vote
Speaker Shea

3:56 Daniels Support
Speaker Shea
Walsh Poll the absentees
Speaker Shea Poll the absentees

3:57 Clerk O'Brien
Speaker Shea Motion fails
Walsh Moves to nonconcur.

3:59 Speaker Shea Nonconcur.

3:59 Farley House Bill 2440, Senate Amendment #1

3:59 Speaker Shea House



3:59	LaFleur) Question
)
4:00	Farley)
	LaFleur	Raise a question of germaneness
4:01	Speaker Shea	"Get Parliamentarian here"
	Getty	Move House concur House Bill 2538 Senate Amendment #1,2,3, and 4.
4:03	Speaker Shea	House concurs
	Speaker Shea	
	Houlihan	Should be House Bill 2625, Senate Amend- ment #1 & 2.
	Speaker Shea	
	Walsh	Urge 'no'.
	Speaker Shea	
4:07	Mann	
	Speaker Shea	
4:08	Schraeder	Vote 'no' -
4:09	Speaker Shea	
	Darrow	
	Speaker Shea	
	Borchers	
	Speaker Shea	
	Friedrich	
4:12	Speaker Shea	
	Lundy	Move Previous Question
	Speaker Shea	'Ayes' have it.
4:15	Houlihan, D. L.	to close
	Speaker Shea	House Bill 2625
4:16	Schlickman	
	Speaker Shea	House Bill 2625, Amendment #1, House concurs



	LaFleur	Withdraw question of germaneness.
4:18	Speaker Shea	House Bill 2440, Amendment #1, House concurs
	Clerk Selcke	House Resolution..Garmisa, et al.
	Speaker Shea	
4:20	Garmisa	
	Speaker Shea	
	Madigan	Move for adoption of Resolution
	Speaker Shea	Resolution adopted.
	Garmisa	House Bill 2825, Senate Amendment #1
	Shea	House concurs
4:20	Speaker Shea	House Bill 2826, Amendment #1, House concurs
	Brinkmeyer	Senate Amendment #1, House Bill 3005 Move to concur.
	Speaker Shea	House concurs
	Boyle) House Bill 3005, Senate Amendment #1
	Darrow) Question
	Boyle	
4:25	Speaker Shea	House concurs
	Schneider	House Bill 3050, Amendment #1
	Speaker Shea	
	Schlickman	
	Schneider	
	Speaker Shea	
4:27	Dunn, J.	
4:28	Speaker Shea	House Bill 3050, Senate Amendment #1 House concurs
	Stone	House Bill 3098, Senate Amendment #1
	Speaker Shea	



4:29 Schlickman) Yield
)
 Stone)
 Speaker Shea

4:30 Duff)
)

4:32 Stone)
)
 LaFleur Move Previous Question
 Speaker Shea

4:33 Stone To close

4:33 Speaker Shea House concurs
 Epton ~~Senate Bill 558~~..Amendment #1

4:35 Speaker Shea Hold for a minute
 Kelly Houe Bill 1851, Senate Amendment 1,2 & 3.
 Speaker Shea
 Rayson Vote against

4:37 Speaker Shea
 Madison) Yield
)
 Kelly)

4:39 Madison Speak on Amendment
 Speaker Shea
 Dyer)
)
 Kelly)
 Speaker Shea

4:42 Lundy
 Speaker Shea)
)
 Kelly) Amendment 1 & 2

4:43 Speaker Shea House concurs
 Grotberg
 Speaker Shea



4:45 D'Arco Oppose Amendment

Speaker Shea

4:47 Huff) Question

Kelly)

MADIGAN IN CHAIR

4:50 McCourt

Speaker Madigan

4:51 Taylor Move Previous Question

Speaker Madigan 'ayes' have it.

4:51 Kelly to close

Speaker Madigan Senate Amendment #3

4:52 Madison

Speaker Madigan

4:54 Catania Oppose

Speaker Madigan

4:56 Downs Explain vote 'no'

4:57 Deuster For...

Speaker Madigan

4:58 Lundy Explain vote...will ask for verification

Speaker Madigan

5:00 Duff

Speaker Madigan)

5:01 Kelly) Explain vote

Speaker Madigan

5:04 Madison Verification

Speaker Madigan



5:05 Bluthardt Change
 Clerk O'Brien Poll absentees
 Speaker Madigan

5:07 Collins wants to be verified
 Speaker Madigan Wish to proceed?
 Madison Yes

5:08 Speaker Madigan

5:08 Getty 'aye'.
 Speaker Madigan

5:08 Kent
 Hoffman, R. 'aye'.
 Clerk O'Brien Affirm Roll Call
 Speaker Madigan
 Hirschfeld?? Vote me 'aye'.
~~Friedrich~~
 Speaker Madigan
 Ewing Change to 'aye'.

5:12 Duff 'aye'.
 Speaker Madigan
 Madison
 Downs
 Speaker Madigan Request for verification has been withdrawn

5:14 Speaker Madigan House concurs with Amendment #3
 Speaker Madigan) House Bill 396
 Younge)
 Speaker Madigan

5:16 Schlickman) Question
 Younge)



Speaker Madigan House non-concurs
 Younge Amendment #2
 Speaker Madigan
 5:16 Mulcahey)
 Younge)
 Speaker Madigan House ~~non~~-concurs...
 Younge
 Speaker Madigan House concurs
 Shea Senate Amendment #1, House Bill 940
 Speaker Madigan House concurs
 Shea) House Bill 2229, Amendment #1
)
 Schlickman)
 5:25 Speaker Madigan House Bill 2229, House concurs
 5:27 Meyer Senate Amendment #2, House Bill 1732
 Madison
 Speaker Madigan
 5:28 Byers
 5:28 Speaker Madigan House Bill 1732, Amendment #1
 5:28 Schneider Explain vote
 Speaker Madigan
 5:29 Madison
 Speaker Madigan
 5:29 Downs Explain vote
 5:30 Speaker Madigan House concurs
 5:30 Katz House Bill 2740, Senate Amendment 1 & 2
 5:32 Speaker Madigan House concurs
 Geo-Karis House Bill 1704
 Speaker Madigan



Meyer	Point out dissent
Speaker Madigan	
Mautino	Yield?
Geo-Karis	
Speaker Madigan	
Ryan	Move Previous Question
Speaker Madigan	Ayes have it.
Geo-Karis	To close
Speaker Madigan	
Katz	Explain vote ..'no'.
Speaker Madigan	
Bluthardt	'no'.
Speaker Madigan	
Neff	Explain vote ...'yes'.
Speaker Madigan	House concurs with Senate Amendment #2
Matijevich	"Record to show, etc."
Speaker Madigan	
Duff	"My recollection, etc."
Speaker Madigan	
Maragos	Point of order
Speaker Madigan	
Matijevich	Point of order
Speaker Madigan	House concurs
Rigney	House Bill 526, Senate Amendment #2.
Speaker Madigan	
Madison	Parliamentary inquiry at proper time.
Speaker Madigan	House concurs
Geo-Karis	Move to reconsider House Bill 1704 vote.



Speaker Madigan

Madison) Parliamentary Inquiry
)

Speaker Madigan) Take out of record

Clerk Selcke Message from Senate

Speaker Madigan House Bill 743

Flinn Senate Amendment #1, move to concur

Speaker Madigan House concurs

Farley House Bill 1043, move to adopt conference Committee Report, Senate Amendment #2

Speaker Madigan House adopts Conference Committee Report

Skinner -- House Bill 1443 --

Speaker Madigan)
)

Skinner) Take out of record

Speaker Madigan)
)

Grotberg)

Washington Senate Bill 432, move adopt Conference Committee Report #1

Speaker Madigan House adopts Conference Committee Report #1

Deavers House Bill 1453, move not accept Conference Committee Report #1.

Speaker Madigan House does not adopt Conference Committee Report #1

Deavers ~~House Bill 1939, Conference Committee Report #1. Move we adopt.~~

Speaker Madigan

Beaupre) Not been distributed
)

Speaker Madigan)

Downs

Speaker Madigan Take out, "error in Clerk's report".



Clerk Selcke) Senate Bill 477
)
Speaker Madigan)

Clerk Selcke Third Reading
Speaker Madigan

Lechowicz Move back to Second for Amendment.

Speaker Madigan Leave granted

Clerk Selcke Amendment #5

Speaker Madigan

Lechowicz "We were on Amendment #1 yesterday!"

Clerk Selcke Amendment #2 tabled. Amendment #3.

Lechowicz "Fred"

Speaker Madigan

Totten

Clerk Selcke Amendment #1

Speaker Madigan

Lechowicz Amendment #1

Speaker Madigan

Keller) Question?

Lechowicz)

Speaker Madigan

Hanahan

Speaker Madigan Amendment #1, Senate Bill 477 adopted.

Clerk Selcke Amendment #3

Speaker Madigan

Lechowicz Amendment #3

Speaker Madigan Amendment adopted

Clerk Selcke Amendment #4

Speaker Madigan



Lechowicz Amendment #4
 Speaker Madigan Amendment #4
 Ewell Parliamentary information
 Lechowicz "Point not in question."
 Speaker Madigan Amendment #4 adopted.
 Clerk Selcke Amendment #5
 Speaker Madigan
 Lechowicz
 Speaker Madigan
 Hanahan Amendment #5
 Speaker Madigan
 Totten Support
 Speaker Madigan
 Friedrich) Question
 Hanahan)
 Speaker Madigan
 Ewing Green vote.
 Speaker Madigan
 Taylor Moves Previous Question
 Madigan Senate Bill 477, Amendment #5 discussion.
 Hanahan
 Speaker Madigan Roll Call
 Lechowicz Explains Opposing vote.
 Speaker Madigan Recognition of Representative
 Caldwell Explains opposing vote.
 Speaker Madigan Recognition of Representative
 Brinkmeier Explains 'no' vote.



Speaker Madigan Recognition of Representative
 Washington Explains vote
 Speaker Madigan Recognition of Representative
 Huff Explain vote
 Speaker Madigan
 Washington Point of Personal Privilege
 Huff
 Speaker Madigan Proceed with explanation
 Huff Continues explaining vote.
 Speaker Madigan Recognition of Representative
 Friedrich Explains vote
 Speaker Madigan Senate Bill 477, Amendment #5 discussion
 Houlihan, J. Explains vote.
 Speaker Madigan Recognition of Representative
 Gaines Explains opposing vote.
 Speaker Madigan Recognition of Representative
 Barnes Explains vote
 Speaker Madigan Recognition of Representative
 Madison Dump this Roll Call or verification.
 Speaker Madigan Take the record, verification and poll.
 Clerk Selcke Polls the absentees.
 Speaker Madigan Proceed
 Clerk O'Brien Verification of affirmative
 Speaker Madigan
 Hart Leave to be verified
 Speaker Madigan
 Dunn
 Madigan



Clerk O'Brien

Dunn Vote me 'no'.

Speaker Madigan Senate Bill 477, Amendment #5

Clerk O'Brien Proceed with verification

Speaker Madigan Carroll as 'aye'.

Clerk O'Brien Continues

Speaker Madigan)

Madison) Questions of the affirmative

Clerk O'Brien

Jones

Speaker Madigan

Clerk O'Brien

Jones 'aye'.

Speaker Madigan

Lauer

Madigan

Clerk O'Brien

Lauer

Speaker Madigan

Maragos 'aye'

Speaker Madigan

Clerk O'Brien Senate Bill 477, Amendment #5 verification.

Speaker Madigan

Madison

Clerk O'Brien)Continued questions of the affirmative

Speaker Madigan)

Madison)

Madison)



Palmer

Speaker Madigan

Clerk O'Brien

Palmer Present

Speaker Madigan

Madison "yes"

Speaker Madigan Amendment #5 adopted

Clerk O'Brien no further Amendments

Speaker Madigan Third Reading

Clerk O'Brien

Speaker Madigan

Clerk O'Brien Senate Bill 477, Third Reading

Speaker Madigan

Huff Senate Bill 477

Speaker Madigan Proceed

Huff Point of Personal Privilege

Speaker Madigan

Gaines

Speaker Madigan

Matijevich Point of Order

Speaker Madigan

Clerk O'Brien

Lechowicz Senate Bill 477, explains the Bill, Sponsor

Speaker Madigan

Hanahan Speaks to the Bill 477

Speaker Madigan

Londrigan Speaks to the Bill

Speaker Madigan



Matijevich Speaks to the Bill

Speaker Madigan

Friedrich

Speaker Madigan Vote

Barnes Explains vote.

Speaker Madigan Senate Bill 477

Hanahan Explains vote...supports

Speaker Madigan

Huff Explains vote...opposes

Speaker Madigan

Madison Explain vote

Speaker Madigan Take the record...passed.

Deavers House Bill 1939,Conference Committee Reports...move to adopt report.

Speaker Madigan Vote on adoption..Report #1 adopted

Londrigan Senate Bill 57..move to adopt report #1

Speaker Madigan Vote on adoption..Report #1 adopted.

Sharp Senate Bill 69..move to not adopt #1 report.

Speaker Madigan House does NOT adopt.

Ebbesen Senate Bill 184..move to adopt first report.

8:30 p.m. Speaker Madigan Vote...report is adopted.

Jones, J. David Senate Bill 211..move to adopt Conference Report #1.

Speaker Madigan Vote...report is adopted.

Kornowicz Senate Bill 295..move to adopt report.

Speaker Madigan

Schlickman Senate Bill 295..please explain it...



Kornowicz

Speaker Madigan

LaFleur

Speaker Madigan

LaFleur) Discussion on report

)

Kornowicz)

Madigan

Terzich Explains report also...

Speaker Madigan Vote

Borchers Explains vote

Madigan

Terzich

Speaker Madigan Take the record...passed.

Borchers Point of personal privilege

Speaker Madigan Senate Bill 295..defective Roll Call
Takes new one...report adopted.

Schlickman Senate Bill 298...move to adopt report.

Speaker Madigan Vote..

Hart

Speaker Madigan Senate Bill 298

Hart Question of Schlickman

Schlickman Discussion

Hart

Schlickman

Hart

Schlickman

Speaker Madigan Vote...report adopted.

Keller Senate Bill 355...move to adopt



Speaker Madigan Recognition of Representative
 Madison Question
 Speaker Madigan Yields
 Madison Question put...
 Keller Response and Discussion
 Madigan
 Friedrich Question asked
 Keller
 Friedrich
 Keller
 Speaker Madigan Senate Bill 355
 Keller Move to adopt
 Speaker Madigan Vote...report adopted
 Sharp Senate Bill 418...move to adopt Report #1
 Speaker Madigan Vote...report adopted.
 Matijevich Senate Bill 419
 Speaker Madigan
 Deuster Question
 Speaker Madigan Yields
 Deuster Question put...
 Matijevich Responds
 Deuster)Discussion
 Matijevich)
 Speaker Madigan Vote...report adopted..
 Schisler Senate Bill 456...move to adopt
 Speaker Madigan Vote...report adopted
 Speaker Madigan Senate Bill 470 ..took out of record..



Walsh Senate Bill 638

Speaker Madigan

Giglio Senate Bill 645...move to adopt..

Speaker Madigan

Duff Request explanation

Speaker Madigan "fine"

Giglio Explains report

Speaker Madigan

Friedland

Speaker Madigan

Friedland)

Giglio)

Speaker Madigan Vote...report adopted..

Walsh Senate Bill 638..move to adopt report

Speaker Madigan Vote...report adopted..

Walsh Senate Bill 640..move to adopt report

Speaker Madigan

Maragos Question

Speaker Madigan Yields

Maragos) Question put...

Walsh) Discussion

Speaker Madigan Senate Bill 640..vote..report adopted.

Rigney Senate Bill 682

Speaker Madigan Vote

Madison

Madigan House does adopt

Daniels Senate Bill 881

Speaker Madigan



Palmer Question

Daniels Response

Speaker Madigan

Kempiners Question

Daniels

Speaker Madigan

Shea Hold this a minute

Daniels

Speaker Madigan Vote...report adopted

Hoffman, C. Senate Bill 911

Speaker Madigan Vote..report adopted

Campbell Senate Bill 944..move to adopt

Speaker Madigan

Barnes Question

Campbell

Speaker Madigan

Deister)

)

Campbell)

Speaker Madigan Vote..report adopted

Shea Senate Bill 1118

Clerk O'Brien

Shea Move to NOT adopt

Speaker Madigan, House does not adopt & request Second Committee.

Schlickman Parliamentary Inquiry

Speaker Madigan

Schlickman

Speaker Madigan House Bill 221



Londrigan Move to adopt Conference Committee Report
 Speaker Madigan
 Maragos No copy...
 Speaker Madigan They are...
 Speaker Madigan House Bill 221
 Palmer
 Londrigan Ten days on desk...
 Palmer
 Londrigan
 Palmer

SHEA IN CHAIR.....

Speaker Shea Vote...report adopted...
 Grotberg
 Speaker Shea629 Took out of Record
 Senate Bills Second Reading...
 Speaker Shea1498 Took out of Record
 Speaker Shea335 Took out of Record..
 Amendatory Vetoes...
 Craig713..Move to go along with Gov-
 ernors veto...
 Speaker Shea Vote...House does accept Governors veto.
 Lechowicz House Bill 1041..move to accept Gov-
 ernors change veto
 Speaker Shea
 Ryan
 Speaker Shea
 Ryan
 Lechowicz



Ryan) House Bill 1041..Discussion
)
 Lechowicz)
 Ryan) Continued discussion
)
 Lechowicz)
 Speaker Shea
 Ryan Is this germane....
 Speaker Shea
 Lechowicz
 Ryan
 Speaker Shea
 Choate
 Speaker Shea Vote
 Ryan Hold for five..
 Lechowicz
 Speaker Shea
 Clerk Selcke Messages from Senate
 Speaker Shea
 Clerk Selcke
 Speaker Shea
 Duff House Bill 1041
 Speaker Shea
 Duff
 Speaker Shea "Perk up your ears.."
 Duff
 Speaker Shea
 Friedrich
 Speaker Shea



Willer
 Speaker Shea House Bill 1041
 Madigan
 Speaker Shea
 Rigney
 Speaker Shea
 Hill Point of Order
 Speaker Shea
 Stone Present discussion not in order..
 Speaker Shea
 Rigney
 Speaker Shea Governor's Amendatory veto
 House Bill 1041...Vote...veto accepted
 Concurred in.
 Speaker Shea House Bill 2439 ...moves not concur
 'ayes' have it...
 Schneider House Bill 1291
 Speaker Shea Conference Committee Report
 Schneider Move to adopt Conference Committee
 Report #1.
 Speaker Shea
 Ebbesen Point of Order
 Speaker Shea Vote.....passed
 Capparelli House Bill 1408..move to adopt Report #1
 Speaker Shea
 Hart
 Speaker Shea
 Hart
 Speaker Shea
 Hart



Speaker Shea	House Bill 1408
Mautino	Questioning order of Calendar
Speaker Shea	Vote...House adopts Report...
Chapman	House Bill 1697
Speaker Shea	
Kempiners	
Speaker Shea	
Kempiners	Please explain
Chapman	Explains the report..
Speaker Shea	Vote...House adopts
Kozubowski	House Bill 1089...Took out of Record
Speaker Shea	House Bill 1302
Hart	Move to concur..adopt..Senate Amendment.
Speaker Shea	
Hart	
Speaker Shea	
Tipsword	What do they do???
Hart	
Speaker Shea	
Hart	
Speaker Shea	
Mann	House Bill 1302
Speaker Shea	
Winchester	
Speaker Shea	
Winchester)
)
Hart)
Speaker Shea	



Maragos		Object to hearing them collectively...
Speaker Shea		
Maragos		Division of the question
Speaker Shea		Amendment #1
Hart		Explains Amendment #1
Speaker Shea		
Cunningham		
Speaker Shea		
Cunningham)	Discussion
)	
Hart)	
Speaker Shea		
Skinner		Question
Speaker Shea		House Bill 1302
Skinner)	Discussion
)	
Hart)	
Speaker Shea		
Bradley		Question
Speaker Shea		Yields
Bradley		Question put...
Speaker Shea		
Ryan		
Speaker Shea		
McClain		Question
Speaker Shea		
McClain)	Discussion
)	
Hart)	
Speaker Shea		
Mann		



Speaker Shea	"Go ahead."
Mann	Speaks on Amendment #1
Speaker Shea	
Ebbesen	House Bill 1302..moves Previous Question
Speaker Shea	
Hart	To close...
Speaker Shea	Vote...House does concur...
Hart	Explains Senate Amendment #2
Speaker Shea	
Mann	
Speaker Shea	Yields
Mann	Question put..
Hart	
Mann	
Hart	
Speaker Shea	
Mautino	
Speaker Shea	
Mann	Opposes the Amendment
Speaker Shea	
Mudd	
Speaker Shea	
Ebbesen	House Bill 1302..Amendment #2..discussion
Speaker Shea	
Pierce	Won't speak
Speaker Shea	
Bradley	Ask question
Mautino	Explains...



Bradley	Reluctantly supports
Speaker Shea	
Winchester	Moves Previous Question
Speaker Shea	So Moved...
Hart	To Close...
Speaker Shea	Vote...
Byers	Explains vote..
Speaker Shea	House does concur with Senate Amendment #2
Hart	Explains Senate Amendment #3
Speaker Shea	
Hart	
Speaker Shea	
Lechowicz	House Bill 1302...Question
Hart	
Lechowicz	How Big???
Hart	I don't know!!!
Lechowicz	
Hart	
Speaker Shea	
Neff	Explains the cemetery
Lechowicz	
Neff	
Speaker Shea	
Matijevich	"You don't bury survivors."
Lechowicz	
Hart	
Lechowicz	
Speaker Shea	



Mann

Speaker Shea "Sorry"

Lechowicz Who owns???

Speaker Shea House Bill 1302

Hart

Speaker Shea Took out of Record

Speaker Shea 1360...Took out of Record

Leverenz Senate Bill 2256..Senate Amendment #1
Move House do concur...

Speaker Shea

Ryan

Speaker Shea Proceed, Sir.

Ryan Please re-explain

Leverenz

Ryan

Speaker Shea Vote..House concurs on Senate Amendment #1

Madigan Senate Bill 558..Move to refuse to
recede and request Conference Committee.

Speaker Shea "Ayes"

Walsh Election Code???

Speaker Shea Ayes have it..House refuses to recede..

Grotberg ~~House~~ Bill 600..Concurrences
Do not adopt report

Speaker Shea Vote...So be it...

Grotberg ~~House~~ Bill 601...move to adopt...

Speaker Shea Senate Bill 601

Hill Further explanation

Grotberg County zoning

Hill Home rule mentioned



Grotberg	Cook County and Chicago exempt
Speaker Shea	Vote..House does adopt..
Schlickman	Point of Order
Speaker Shea	House Bill 1176..Conference Committee Report...
Mautino	Move to accept report
Speaker Shea	Vote..House does adopt report..
Clerk O'Brien	Message from Senate

MADIGAN IN CHAIR

Speaker Madigan	House Bill 1360
Kozubowski	House Bill 1360..Move to...
Speaker Madigan	Took out of record..
Kuzubowski	Amendment #1, 2, and 4.
Speaker Madigan	'Ayes' have it...
Shea	House Bill 2215...Move to adopt report
Speaker Madigan	Vote...House does adopt...
Speaker Madigan	Senate Bill 629...Third
Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 629..Third Reading
Speaker Madigan	
Houlihan, J.	Question
Speaker Madigan	Vote...Bill passed...
Beatty	Senate Bill 1498..Explains the Bill.
Speaker Madigan	Vote...Bill passed.
Younge	House Bill 1274..Concurrences Move to concur Senate Amendment #1 & 2
Speaker Madigan	
Deuster	
Speaker Madigan	Vote..House does concur...



Polk House Bill 587..Move to concur Senate
Amendment #1 & #2.

Speaker Madigan Vote..House does concur

Griesheimer

Speaker Madigan House Bill 1884

Houlihan, J. Senate Amendment #1

Speaker Madigan

Ryan

Speaker Madigan

Ryan House Bill 1884

Houlihan, J.

Speaker Madigan

McClain Urge support

Speaker Madigan Vote...House concurs

Houlihan, J. Introduction

Speaker Madigan

Taylor House Bill 2627..move to concur

Speaker Madigan

Skinner

Speaker Madigan House Bill 2872

Skinner Move to concur Senate Amendment #1

Speaker Madigan Vote

Schneider Urge 'no' vote...

Speaker Madigan, House does concur

SHEA....in CHAIR

Londrigan ...222..Move to adopt Conference
Committee Report

Speaker Shea Vote...House does adopt report



Ewell	...1821..move to adopt Conference Committee Report
Speaker Shea	Vote..House does adopt...
Sharp	Senate Bill 69...Move to adopt report
Speaker Shea	
Schlickman	
Speaker Shea	
Schlickman) Discussion
)
Sharp)
Speaker Shea	Vote...House does adopt...
Getty	Senate Bill 970..move to adopt report
Speaker Shea	
Ryan	Question
Speaker Shea	Yields
Ryan	Question put...
Getty	Discussion
Ryan	
Getty	
Speaker Shea	Vote...House does adopt...
Stubblefield	Senate Bill 1384..move to adopt report
Speaker Shea	Vote...House does adopt...
Skinner	House Bill 1443..moves to adopt Conference Committee Report
Speaker Shea	Vote..House does adopt
Yourell	...1146, move to adopt Report #1
Speaker Shea	
Schraeder	Does not have the Report
Yourell	
Schraeder	



Speaker Shea	
Schlickman	
Speaker Shea	
Stone	Point of Order
Speaker Shea	
Schlickman	
Speaker Shea	
Friedrich	
Speaker Shea	
Stone	Point of Order
Friedrich	...1146
Speaker Shea	
Yourell	To close
Speaker Shea	Vote
Schraeder	Explain vote
Speaker Shea	
Ryar	Explain vote
Speaker Shea	
Schraeder	Verify Roll Call
Speaker Shea	Take the record
Yourell	Poll the Absentees
Speaker Shea	
Yourell	Took out of Record
Speaker Shea	Take out of Record
Washburn	
Speaker Shea	
Dyer	Ask leave House Joint Resolution 30 for Fall...



Speaker Shea	Leave granted
Duff	
Speaker Shea	
Duff	May 23rd Bills on Fall
Speaker Shea	
Lucco	Announcement
Speaker Shea	
Madison	Conflicting Rulings
Speaker Shea	
White	Congratulate Democratic Secretary
Speaker Shea	
White	
Speaker Shea	
Bradley	In recess for 1 hour and then adjourn
Speaker Shea	So moved..
Clerk O'Brien	First Reading
Speaker Shea	
Clerk O'Brien	No messages
Speaker Shea	
Matijevich	Chapman lost purse
Speaker Shea	
Deuster	Doubts
Speaker Shea	No doubt
Clerk Selcke	Message from Senate House Adjourned

