

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

NINETY-FIRST LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 18, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1.

John Paintor: "All those not entitled to the floor, will you please retire to the Gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order, Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer this morning by Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. Oh, Lord, Bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. I have been asked by one of the Members of the House to remember this morning and in our prayers Evelyn Nash who has been a faithful servant of this state and a long time worker for the House of Representatives who is a patient in extreme illness at St. John's Hospital. To Thee, the Comforter, we cry; to Thee, the Gift of God most high; the fount of life, the fire of love, the soul's anointing from above.' Let us pray. O Almighty God, who are the giver of all health, and the aid of them that turn to thee for succour; we entreat Thy strength and goodness in behalf of Thy servant Evelyn, that her weakness being banished, she may be healed of her infirmities, to thine honour and glory; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen. Andrew Carnegie said, 'the average person puts 25 percent of his energy and ability into his work. The world takes its hat off to those who put in more than 50 percent of their capacity, and stands on its head for those few and far between souls who devote 100 percent. Let us pray for dedication of ourselves to God's service. O God, our heavenly Father, make plain before our face Thy way; support us this day under all difficulties as we meet the needs of this Legislative Assembly. We offer ourselves to Thee, O God, this day to do in us, and with us, as to Thee seems most just and right and good for all and the general welfare of this state; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker . . . I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles in the passage to which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit'. Senate Bills 554, 555, 1492 and 1500 passed by



the Senate June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following titles to wit'. House Bills #803, 818, 820, 821, 822, 825, 840, 843, 846, 847, 872, 876, 903, 909 and 912 passed by the Senate June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill #199 passed by the Senate June 18, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following titles to wit'. House Bills #922, 934, 963, 968, 979, 988, 996, 999, 1038, 1041, 1042, 1046, 1047, 1055, 1063 and 1129 passed by the Senate June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following titles to wit'. House Bills #1144, 1156, 1164, 1170, 1177, 1179, 1207, 1337, 1338, 1348 and 1365 passed by the Senate June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following titles to wit'. House Bills #1369, 1384, 1387, 1429, 1435, 1436 passed by the Senate June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills of the following titles to wit'. House Bill 871 together with . . . with Amendments passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills of the



following title to wit'. House Bill 883 passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975 with Amendments. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following titles to wit'. House Bill 886 together with Amendments passed by the Senate June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 919 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following titles to wit'. House Bill 952 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1133 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1039 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following titles to wit'. House 1040 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the



4.

House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1043 together with Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1045 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1044 together with Amendments passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1095 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1097 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills of the following titles to wit'. House Bill 1098 together with Amendments passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1146 together with Amendment passed by the Senate as amended



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1160 together with Amendments passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1313 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills of the following title to wit'. House Bill #1340 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1378 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1385 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1836 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of



Representatives in the passage of Bill of the following title to wit'. House Bill 1407 together with Amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 17, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Committee Reports. Say Committee Reports."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Reports."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriation's I to which Senate Bills 652 and 653 were referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which House Bill 2293 and Senate Bill 1287 were referred. Reported the same back pursuant to Rule 23D the Bills were ordered tabled. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executives to which House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #8 and House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #21 were referred. Recommend that the Resolutions be assigned to Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executives to which House Bills 890, 891, 1032, 1632, 1794, 1817, 1943 and 1026 were referred. Reported the same back and pursuant to Rule 23D the Bills were ordered tabled."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions. Representative Madigan."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 368, Schoeberlein. House Resolution 370, Birchler et al."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 368 commends Colonel C. J. Nelson for his many years of service to the nation and to the State of Illinois; and House Resolution 370 commends and congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Dean Hammock on the celebration of their Golden Wedding Anniversary. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Resolutions are adopted. Any further Resolutions?"

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 369, Caldwell et al."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignment. Senate Bills, First Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 554, Beatty, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capital Development Board. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 555, Davis-Campbell et al, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses



to the Department of Public Aid. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1492, Beatty, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capital Development Board. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1500, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to amend Article 36 of the Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills, Second Reading. On House Bills, Second Reading, 3023, Representative Kozubowski?"

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3023, . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "It's on Second Reading. Do you want to move that along? There are no Amendments I take it. Any Amendments, Mr. Clerk? Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "House . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "3023."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3023, a Bill for an Act making supplemental appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense to the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3064."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3064, a Bill for an Act to transfer certain funds fiscal year 1975 appropriations for the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "3059."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3059, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Public Act 78-1085. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 30 . . . 3062."

Jack O'Brien: "There's a lot of Amendments on this."



Speaker Redmond: "Okay, Take that out of the Record. 3097."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3097, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3101."

Jack O'Brien: "There's Amendments on that."

Speaker Redmond: "That's all right, McLendon's here. Representative McLendon's here."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "3101."

Jack O'Brien: ". . . 3101, a Bill for an Act to amend the Workman's Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, amends House Bill 3101 by deleting . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McLendon?"

Jack O'Brien: ". . . everything after the enacting clause."

McLendon: "Would you take that out of the Record for a few minutes. I want to . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the Record at the request of the Sponsor. 3106."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3106, a Bill for an Act in relation to notice of certain subpoenas. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Are you ready on 3101, Representative McLendon. Oh, Okay, 3107."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3107, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to practice of beauty culture. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

298



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3108 Representative Jane Barnes."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3108., a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Berman. Amends House Bill 3108, on page 1 by deleting all of lines 1 through 3, and inserting in lieu the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes, what's your pleasure on the Amendment? Do you want to hold it or pass it? If the Sponsor isn't here, we'll move it."

J. Barnes: "No, I want to pass it please."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. The Sponsor of the Amendment isn't here any... do you want it adopted or not?"

J. Barnes: "Ah...Representative Berman discussed the Amendment with me and I'm in agreement with it."

Speaker Redmond: "The lady has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3109. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'...'aye", 3108. The opposed 'no, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3109."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3109, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3110."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3110, a Bill for an Act in relation to late payment provisions in residential leases. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

O'Brien: None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3111."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3111, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metro-East Exhibition and Performing Arts Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"



Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3112."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3112, Young. A Bill for an Act to amend the Southwest Regional Park District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Young on the floor? Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Young."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Young. Take it out of the Record. 3113."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3113, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Comptroller to pay for legal services in relation to certain Federal tax matters. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Palmer, for what reason do you rise?"

Palmer: "Parliamentary inquiry. Has 3113 been distributed Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Has it what?"

Palmer: "Has it been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Well Mr. Speaker...ah...our...ah...Pages make a specific effort to keep all printed Bills in this book, and the last one we have is 3112."

Speaker Redmond: "3113 is not out, so we will have to...ah.... The order of House Bills Second Reading appears...Third Reading rather appears House Bill 3113 that was advanced to Third Reading in error. So it will be returned to the order of Second Reading until it is distributed."

Jack O'Brien: "Mrs. Young is here on 3112."

Speaker Redmond: "Mrs. Young on 3112."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3112, a Bill for an Act to amend the Southwest Regional Park District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Younge. Amends House Bill 3112 on page 2 line 11 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House...ah. The floor Amendment...ah makes the...ah...Committee Bill more specific to...ah... include the words...ah...interest not to exceed 9½ percent, and inserts at a different place the Metro-East area and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 on 3112. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'... 'aye'. The opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Order of business is...ah...if anybody has any Bills on Third Reading that you desire to return to the order of Second Reading, I'm going to...ah...go to the order of Senate Bills Third Reading on which appears Senate Bill 425. Representative G. L. Hoffman is recognized."

G. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It was brought to my attention...ah...yesterday that there may be some confusion on...ah...the language in Senate Bill 425, and I have prepared an Amendment...ah...which...ah...makes certain that the new language in reference to the...ah...sale of bonds against the...ah...levy for...ah...ah...special education...ah...has an eight year minimum on it, and that it's subject to the levy under...ah... authorized under Section 17-2.2a. So I would move for the adoption of...ah...Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 425."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman have leave to return Senate Bill 425 to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment? Hearing no objection, leave is granted in the order of Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment. The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1 to amend Senate Bill 425 on page 6 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 425. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying



'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; and the 'ayes' have it, the Amendments adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the order of House Bills, Third Reading, appears House Bill 3052. Representative Fennessey is recognized."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Mr. Speaker, that should be 3056."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, it's 3056."

Fennessey: "Yes, and I asked . . . like to ask leave to have that brought back to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Leave is granted. It's returned to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment. Read the Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3056. Amendment #1 amends House Bill 3056 on page 1, lines 1 and 5, and so forth."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, 3056 is a Committee Bill on . . . ah . . . setting up guidelines on the legislative contest; and what this Amendment it . . . ah . . . brings . . . involves the primary so that everyone involved in the primary contest would be notified. Also it . . . ah . . . specifies that . . . ah . . . the three candidates from the same Legislative District in the General Election . . . ah . . . would be also notified about a discovery recount. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3056. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'. The Amendments adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 525. Representative E. M. Barnes is recognized."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 525."

Speaker Redmond: "E. M. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you . . . thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and



Members of the House, . . . ah . . . with leave of the House, I would like to have Senate Bill 525 moved back to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Leave is granted and returned to the order of Second Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 525 on page 6 by inserting after line 20 the following: Section 15."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 525 simply puts in the effective date of July 1, 1975. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 525. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would it be too late to ask the Sponsor of that Amendment a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Go ahead, but it's too late." "

Schlickman: "Why didn't you have the Amendment effective upon its approval? The Governor probably will not approve it until after July 1 and again have a retroactive effect."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Yes, the . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: ". . . the intent and purpose here Representative Schlickman is that we are hopeful that this Bill will be adopted prior to . . . ah . . . the October 1st effective date that it would be adopted . . . be approved . . . ah . . . if it did not have the effective date in it. If it is there is a . . . ah . . . possibly available to Illinois for this purpose the sums of some \$150 to \$160,000. So we are inserting into the Act an effective date hopefully the Governor will sign it and then those funds would be made available to us. That's the only purpose here."



Schlickman: "Thank you."

Barnes: "Did that go to Third Reading, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that Amendment #1 been adopted?"

Jack O'Brien: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 733. Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, on 733 I desire to take off the Amendment #1 which was placed on the Bill. So I move to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has requested leave to return Senate Bill 733 to the order of Second Reading. Does he have leave? Leave is granted. Will you read the Amendment. Oh, the Amendment has been adopted. Do you desire to table Amendment #1, is that correct?"

Londrigan: "I so move."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 733. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is the second time this Bill has been brought back from the order of Third Reading to Second Reading for . . . ah . . . with respect to an Amendment. The first time it was to adopt this Amendment, now we're bringing it back to Second Reading a second time, now to table the Amendment. I'm wondering if we could have an explanation of the Amendment so that we knew or will know what we're doing and maybe with finality this time."

Londrigan: "I do not have the Amendment before me, but what it does is set up a procedure so that the Vice Chairman will succeed the Chairman on the Election Board. However, this was got in a political controversy and it's thought best not to endanger the major Bill at this time with the Amendment so that we'll take the Amendment off and go with the original Bill until we have . . . ah . . . a better political climate to pass such a measure."

Schlickman: "Are you suggesting that by tabling this Amendment we'll have a non-political Bill then?"

Londrigan: "Right."

Schlickman: "Thank you."



Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 733. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "733. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, Senate Bill 525."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, did you . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I guess we just did that one."

Londrigan: ". . . did you leave 733 on Third Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, I moved it back to Third Reading."

Londrigan: "All right."

Speaker Redmond: "Any other Bills in Third Reading in that posture the Sponsors want to have them returned to the order of Second? The order of business is Concurrence. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 216, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 216 was a Senior Citizens Program as it might be applied to the township. We passed out a Bill authorizing the expenditure of \$25,000. It went to the Senate and they cut it down to \$20,000 to make it conform to another Bill that has passed both Houses. So then I do move you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . . ah . . . to concur in the Senate Amendment to 216."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The Gentleman's moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 216. The question is, does the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 216? All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. This is final action. It takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Cissy Stiehl coming out of home stretch. She made it. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 112 'aye', no 'nay'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 216. House Bill 674, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "All right, . . . ah . . . was it . . . there's a Senate Amendment to House Bill 674, which is a Bill that originally provided for the preservation of downstate . . . ah . . . Firemen's and Policemen's Pension Fund. In the House we had limited the application of



. . . of this restriction upon changes in Firemen's and Policemen's Pension Fund to a unit of local government as provided for in our Constitution and it had previously included therein a provision which provided that a home-rule unit as well could not restrict those pensioned funds other than to the requirements and following the limitation of the pension laws that are provided by the State of Illinois. The Senate by a unanimous vote with the exception of only two voting 'present' amended this Bill and voted the Bill out of the Senate to put back in the requirements that insofar as these pension funds are concerned home-rule units as well as other units of government would be absolutely required to live to the . . . up to the requirements of the pension laws of the State of Illinois and . . . ah . . . as we have enacted them here in the State of Illinois and not otherwise vary or change the benefits of the requirements of those pension laws. That is the Amendment that we put back in to put the Bill back in what was its original shape when it first came to the House. I would move for Concurrence with that Amendment. Representative Ebbessen."

Ebbessen: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Ebbessen: "Ah . . . Representative Tipword, . . . ah . . . is there any . . . in this Bill in its form now is there any . . . ah . . . state money involved or any . . . ah . . . stipulation or is there substantive language that involves increased benefits at all in this Bill."

Tipword: "I'm sorry, I couldn't hear."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you give the Gentleman order please?"

Ebbessen: "Is there . . . is there anything in this Bill that involves dollars, increased benefits or is it just substantive language."

Tipword: "No, this Bill has no increased benefits nor decreased benefits.

It merely says that in the provision of . . . of Firemen's and Pensions . . . ah . . . and Policemen's Pension that the . . . ah . . . requirements of our pension laws in the State of Illinois . . . ah . . . shall apply insofar as what the benefits would be It . . . doesn't change the benefit, it just says that we control



what goes on."

Ebbessen: "Fine, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "Does this Bill in its amended form constitute an exclusive exercise by the state or a limitation on home rule units? I think that decision will lead us to the conclusion as to the number of votes that's required for concurrence."

Ebbessen: "Yes, I believe . . . yes, it does. It . . . it made the preemption of the part of the state . . . the pension benefit."

Schlickman: "Well, dealer exclusive exercise which would be 89 votes or regulation which would be 107, and I think there should be a ruling of the Chair with respect to that issue so that we do properly concur with this Amendment to satisfy the . . . ah . . . the motion of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipword, are you satisfied with this preemption?"

Tipword: "Yes, I am so satisfied, I think it does."

Speaker Redmond: "It takes 107 votes, is that correct?"

Schlickman: "Limitation takes 107, exclusive exercise takes only 89, and I think there ought to be a ruling from the Chair as to which it is."

Speaker Redmond: "Benefits being provided by the state, Representative Tipword?"

Tipword: "Not in this Bill, no, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "In the present law, the benefits do not come from the state, do they? The benefits come from a separate pension fund, do they not?"

Tipword: "No, they do not . . . yes, that's correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have . . . ah . . . a inquiry which I think is important to the request that's been made of the Chair on a ruling and it . . . and it . . . it's a little bit further than the question that was asked. The Bill as written and as . . . and with Senate



JUN 18 1975

18.

Amendment would read that no home rule unit . . . ah . . . as defined in the 1970 Illinois Constitution shall have the power. So clearly there in those words it seems we have a preemption. Now, if that was the case it would take 107 votes it seems to me because it's clearly a preemption of home rule power . . . ah . . . to provide . . . ah . . . these pensions. Now, the second question I have, Mr. Speaker, if I can . . . do I have your attention at all? Mr. Speaker, the second question is that it seems to me here now we are also not preempting, if indeed we are preempting, a home rule power state-wide but specifically to municipalities . . . municipalities of a certain size because we're dealing with a downstate fund, and it seems to me that complicates the problem of preemption. Can we preempt on a regional basis when we preempt or must we preempt universally?"

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian says it's an interesting question, but there's no prohibition in the State Constitution."

Duff: "Well, is there any provision that says we can do it?"

Speaker Redmond: "No prohibition. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to suggest . . . that when it comes to the issue of home rule that we eliminate from our vocabulary the word 'preemption'. The word preemption does not appear in the 1970 Constitution Article 7. It's exclusive exercise; and I think when we use the word 'preemption' which we cannot define in the Constitution, we cloud the issue."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, . . ."

Schlickman: "And maybe we ought to suggest to the Reference Bureau that it not use the word 'preemption' in the synopsis because that is not a good word, it's not a word of art and it's not applicable."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I've been advised by the Parliamentarian that in his opinion this is a denial of power and therefore it would require 107 votes. So why don't we put the question. The question is, shall . . . Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I'd like to speak to the motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "On the . . . proceed."

Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I wish to speak in opposition to the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

motion for concurrence on this Amendment to House Bill 674. Basically, the Bill would provide that pension boards located within home-rule units would have their power to adjust benefits severely restricted and subject to the control of the state. There are two basic reasons why we should be opposed to this. Number 1, if those pension boards desire to raise the level of benefits, they would be restricted from so doing unless there were a pool from the state. Number 2, for those of you who would be fearful that then the local pension boards would be unable to decrease the benefits, that protection is provided by the Constitution already which provides that pension benefits cannot be decreased by action of the local pension board. I would urge a 'no' vote on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further . . . Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "There's an interesting thing about this. First of all, this applies only to downstate firemen and downstate policemen pensions; and while downstate . . . ah . . . home rule units have not denied or have not reduced the benefits per se, they have placed on existing pensions. Such kinds of restrictions and early retirement that by virtue of early retirement and other restrictions the benefits are themselves reduced to those that the policemen and firemen otherwise would be entitled to under the law. Consequently, this does not effect the Chicago policemen and firemen. It effects only the downstate policemen and firemen and those home rule units that exist in downstate Illinois, and because they have been by their actions really circumventing the Constitution of the State of Illinois, I would move that we do concur with this Senate Amendment which would prohibit that kind of . . . of . . . ah . . . restriction upon benefits that is now occurring."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbessen."

Ebbessen: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, again addressing a question to the Sponsor, when you say that . . . ah . . . in the name of this legislation would prohibit a decrease in the benefits for which they are entitled to if they retire earlier by changes that are made. Well, then isn't that in essence an increase in benefits?"



Tipsword: "No, Sir, because their benefits are dependent upon the number of years that they have . . . ah . . . been into the program and contributed into the program."

Ebbessen: "Ah . . . I wonder if the Sponsor would take this out of the Record for a few minutes. I'd like to talk to you, Representative, about this."

Tipsword: "All right, I'll take it out of the Record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Record. 714, Representative Craig. On Concurrence, 714. Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with Senate Amendment on House Bill 714."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman. Any questions? The question is, does the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 714? All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Final action 89 votes. Craig. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yeah, I wonder if the Sponsor could alert those of us who weren't alert enough to hear his explanation the first time on what we're voting on and why."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "On page 1, line 19 and 20, inserting in lieu thereof 'any criminal proceeding', and on page 4, by deleting 'may be required', and by deleting 'to' in front of 'child simultaneously', I mean deleting 'child simultaneously' and inserting in lieu thereof just 'child simultaneously' instead of 'to child simultaneously'. Boy, that's verbal wording change."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield for one question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I'm just wondering if this Amendment's supported by the Secretary of State."

Tipsword: "Yes, it was. That's the reason the Amendment was adopted in the Committee over there in the Transportation Committee?"

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Final action, it takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? The



Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 92 'aye', 1 'nay', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 714. 759. Representative Ebbessen."

Ebbessen: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, in relative to House Bill 674 . . . ah . . . when you think it's appropriate why we've worked out the differences here. We understand it now. So would . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "759, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I move nonconcurrence and I ask for the Committee, a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 759. All those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 759. 773. Representative Molloy. Take it out of the Record. Senate Bills, Third Reading. On Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 91. Representative Neff."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 91 . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, could we have . . . ah . . . permission at this time of the House to have a . . . Republican Conference for one hour in Room 114."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Representative Schlickman?"

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is a repetiton of a point of order that I made yesterday regarding . . . ah . . . Senate Bills, Third Reading. Yesterday I was mistaken, but I don't think I am today. I think the . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, why don't we stop."

Schlickman: "Well, it was beyond 285."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't have a Calendar, I wasn't on the podium. Somebody tell me the last one."

Schlickman: "All I can say, Mr. Speaker, is that it was beyond 285, and I think that should be taken into account with regard to . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I will. I have no problem with that."

Schlickman: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections for a Republican Conference for . . . what was it? For one hour? Okay, 1:55."



Neff: "Clyde, we'd like to have you with us."

Choate: "No . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "1:55. Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, on the Calendar on Senate Bill, Third, I see Senate Bill #296. That Bill actually passed out of here a week ago and it's now . . . it's on our Calendar on Third Reading. It sh . . . at least I thought that it passed out of here. 296."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll check."

Beatty: "And . . . ah . . . if should be . . . it's over in the Senate on the . . . on the President's table waiting for concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "We will check it. Do we got the Bill here at the present . . . 296? Senate, Third? Representative Coffey."

Coffey: "Mr. Speaker, I think the last order of business was . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 392."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we'll check on that. We'll start where we left off. It's here, Representative Beatty, we find 296 on our Speaker's table. Oh, you scared me. I guess we'll have to come back in . . . ah . . . in Session because the Republicans seem to be reluctant to leave the hall. Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to announce again . . . ah . . . immediately in Room 114 we will have a Republican Conference."

Speaker Redmond: "Vamoose! We're in recess for one hour. I was gonna . . . I had intended. You might bear in mind that . . . tonight we will not break for dinner and we'll go right up to the opening gate of Representative Fary's testimonial, but we won't break for dinner . . . about 7:30. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 314, is Representative Tipsword on the floor? 550. I'm going to go to those Bills that don't have any Amendments until we get a little better attendance . . . amendment on that one."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 550, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the form and cost of publications required by law."

Speaker Redmond: "Taylor."

Jack O'Brien: "Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to hold 550, and 551 and 552 on Second



Reading, please."

Speaker Redmond: "There goes your whole legislative program. Take it out of the Record. Tip, are you back there? 314."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 314, Tipword, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Tipword, amends Senate Bill 314 on page 1 by deleting line 14 through 22 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, Amendment #1 to House Bill 314 is one that . . . that comes about by virtue of . . . of clarification of the language in this Bill. It was felt that the manner in which the Bill was originally written . . . ah . . . was confusing and the language that was used, and this is clarifying language that simply makes it absolutely certain that in securing property as is provided for in this Bill by the Department of Conservation as additions to its State Parks and in granting the minimal three . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Jack."

Tipword: "three acre . . . ah . . . reservation of a life of state to to the grantor that it can all be done in one single deed by the reservation of that life estate sold in only for the life of that grant or for that grantors residency purposes, and it is nontransferable. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 314."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 134. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No futher Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Keller here? 720. 720."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 720, Daniels, a Bill for an Act to establish appellate courts. Second Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 773, Representative Shea."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 773, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Neff, amends Senate Bill 773 on page 1, line 1 and 5 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff. Representative Neff, will you turn him on?"

Neff: "Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 773 is to . . . ah . . . question objection raised by the Department of Transportation and the Amendment all it does is covering weight limitation of utilities to allow the utilities to make emergency repairs without . . . without regard to the weight of the limitation. This is a question . . . technical error to correct . . . ah . . . another part of our . . . ah . . . statute and . . . ah . . . the original Bill, all it does is allow water wells drillers to dig . . . ah . . . on emergencies and this the correct Amendment that they brought up to us . . . the Department of Transportation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Did I understand you to say that this Amendment will increase weight limitations for certain vehicles?"

Neff: "Ah . . . No, I'm sorry, this . . . ah . . . this . . . ah . . . this wasn't in the original Bill and . . . and, Gene, I believe you were on the . . . ah . . . Motor Vehicle Laws Committee when this Bill was heard and the Department of Transportation appeared against it because it . . . the Senate conflicted with another statute. This really has nothing to do with the Bill and all we're making it a Bill is to . . . ah . . . confirm with the present statute."

Schlickman: "Thank you."



Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 773. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 786."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 786, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 786 on page 1, line 2, by inserting immediately after the word amended the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 to 782 is another Amendment that the . . . ah . . . questioned objection to the Bill that we had. This . . . ah . . . Bill is . . . ah . . . in regards to amber lights being used on emergency vehicles, and . . . ah . . . this Amendment was put in on the State Police and under the present . . . ah . . . condition of the Bill with this Amendment and the State Police have no objection to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 786. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 751, Representative Porter, do you want to go with that one. 751."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 751, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment # . . . should have a 2."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, if I can bring you up to date on this one, you were not in the Chair but . . . ah . . . Committee Amendment #1 was tabled at my request and by leave of the House. He then offered



. . . ah . . . Amendment #2 and an objection was made by Representative Schlickman that it was not germane. At that point the Bill was taken out of the Record in order to have a ruling from the Chair on that issue and it has been discussed with the Parliamentarian . . . ah . . . and I hope that the Chair may be prepared to make a ruling, if you're not, I would ask that it be taken out of the Cha . . . the Record again until we can have that ruling."

Speaker Redmond: "I've been advised the Parliamentarian is of the opinion that it is germane, it being the same Act. So . . ."

Porter: "All right, than . . ."

Speaker Redmond: ". . . have you moved to amend? You haven't moved to amend this yet have you?"

Porter: "No, why not go ahead with Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, will you read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Porter, amends Senate Bill 751 on page 1, line 1, by inserting after 'of' the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Committee Amendment that was . . . ah . . . passed out of the Higher Education Committee on this . . . ah . . . Bill that amends the Public Community College Act . . . ah . . . would have provided for indemnification by the State Board of its members and staff to an extent that I found personally . . . ah . . . unacceptable. It would have, for example, provided for indemnification against civil rights damage claims and suits constitutional rights damage claims and suits, even though those had . . . ah . . . the acts that . . . ah . . . resulted in those suits may have been done intentionally. I don't see how . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . State or the Public Community College Board can . . . ah . . . can provide that sort of indemnification for its members and staff. We have . . . ah . . . provided by Amendment that the State Board could indemnify and save harmless its members and employees from financial loss not exceeding \$100,000 for claims . . . ah . . . demand suits or judgments arising out of negligence and . . . ah . . . that . . . ah . . . the negligence would have to have been



something that was committed while the employee was acting in the discharge of his duties and within the scope of his employment and that such damages did not result from an intentional act of the member or employee and further that the State Board cover . . . ah . . . their liability by insurance; and I would move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 751."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's obvious that I've been backdoored with regards to the issue of germaneness. We have here a Bill that passed the Senate, three readings, described in the synopsis as being non-substantive, making only technical changes. We have a Bill that was read for the first time, Committee hearing for the second time read and in Third Reading a substantive change dealing with the matter of indemnification is added to this Bill. I think it's bad, I think it's wrong and I think the only results that the Membership can have with regards to what I think are bad rulings on the issue of germaneness is to cast a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentlemen has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 751. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; that sounded like a solo. The Amendment's adopted. The 'ayes' have it. Any further . . . do you want a Roll Call?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll give you a Roll Call. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 749."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 749, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the practice of dental surgery and dentistry in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn . . . will the Gentleman . . ."

Jack O'Brien: "Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends Senate Bill 749 on page 1, line 1, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Committee Amendment and I would move for its adoption. What it does it . . . ah . . . requires the . . . ah . . . Department



of Registration before February 1, 1977 . . . ah . . . requires them to issue a report containing recommendations relative to the performance of tests by dental assistants; and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 749. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Pierce on the floor? Marovitz on the floor? 942."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 942, Deavers, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the employment of children. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers."

Jack O'Brien: ". . . amends Senate Bill 942 on page 2 and so forth."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 and what this does is . . . ah . . . move the Bill into conformity with the State Labor Department and this way they'll agree with the Bill."

Jack O'Brien: "He moved adoption . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 942. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Am . . . Floor Amendment #2, J. David Jones, amends Senate Bill 942 on page 4, line 1, by inserting immediately after the word 'working' the word 'in indoor motion picture theatres or'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "I'll handle the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, for Representative Jones, and what this does is add another occupational class whereby that the underage people 14 and 15 can work in indoor motion picture theatres; and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Deavers, could you tell us the reason for this Amendment?"



Deavers: "Yes, Representative Jones wanted the Amendment and we felt that there would be no . . . ah . . . conflict or nothing harmful in allowing people 14 and 15 to work between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. in indoor motion picture theatres and it excludes outdoor. We've got certain things that they can do in service stations, bowling alleys, etcetera. So I can see it where there would not be any conflict."

Kane: "Is there any limit on the number of hours they can work?"

Deavers: "Yes."

Kane: "Which?"

Deavers: "They can work only during the hours of 7 a.m. and 7 p.m."

Kane: "They could work for 12 hours then?"

Deavers: "No, whatever the standards state labor law is now. How many hours can they work?"

Kane: "And they could . . . they could work . . . ah . . . on any job or would the type of job be limited?"

Deavers: "We're limited on here to the occupation of indoor motion picture theatres."

Kane: "But it would be any occupation within the indoor theatre?"

Deavers: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Hanahan: "If an X-rated motion picture is being played are you saying that in X-rated movie theatres can employ a 14 and 15 year old employee to work in between the hours of 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. under this Amendment?"

Deavers: "No, because he has to be 18 to get into the theatre for an X-rated film."

Hanahan: "That's for paid admission, Sir, not for work."

Deavers: "I think . . ."

Hanahan: "I'm questioning now that under this Amendment at an X-rated theatre and not for admission purposes but for work purposes would a 14 and 15 year old . . . ah . . . if . . . person be able to be employed during the hours of 7 a.m. and 7 p.m.?"

Deavers: "I . . . I'll refer that to Representative Jones."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "No, they'd be prevented from being there in the first place and the present Illinois statutes will cover that."

Hanahan: "Ah . . . I didn't hear the answer . . . ah . . ."

Jones: "They would be prevented from being there in the first place under the present Illinois statutes."

Hanahan: "What Illinois statute prohibits a person going to work at an X-rated theatre."

Jones: "No, this is said it should not be harmful to minors act and the statute says that 'shall not exhibit to any such person', so they . . . you would be doing that if you permitted them to be there. So that would prevent them from being there in the first place."

Hanahan: "I . . . I don't agree with your interpretation of the law because my interpretation of the law of . . . of admission to an X-rated theatre has nothing to do with employment and as for gainful admission to view and certainly a person who is going to work at a theatre is not going for purposes of viewing but will be exposed to that kind of . . . ah . . . exposure and I don't believe that present statute prohibiting a 16 year old or a 17 year old applies in an X-rated theatre, because I personally witnessed in the city of Chicago X-rated theatres where usherettes and candy counter girls and ticket collectors and ushers are present in a theatre under the age of 18 even though the filming of . . . of an X-rated film is prohibited for those persons under 18 years of age for admission. I don't know if . . . if they get around it based on the fact that labor law does not prohibit their exposure to X-rated theatre but I know that this Amendment as I view it would certainly not come in good faith unless it was amended to prohibit those under the age of 18 to work in a movie theatre where an X-rated picture or a parental guidance picture or a restricted picture calls for the age restriction of viewing; and I think this is a bad Amendment without that kind of specific . . . specific call for age of working in an X-rated or restricted movie theatre."

Jones: "Well, may I respond to that, Mr. Chair . . ."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."



Jones: "The present age of 16...ah...is in the same category and the...the...ah...any theatre owner is prevented and ah from hir.. hiring anybody or exhibiting such a movie to anybody under that age so that would be prevented from being there in the first place, but this is only for the summertime employment at a candy counter or whatever when the lady says my boy is 15 years old and he's six foot two and you mean he can't stand in a theatre with a flashlight or sell candy ah I want to know where he is I mean this this is a a permissive...."

Hanahan: "I suggest sir in answering my question that you are not reading the Bill you're amending. You're amending Senate Bill 942 which is not for summertime employment, Number one, you're amending a law that's going to allow 14 and 15 year olds to perform limited duties in service stations, hotels, motels, restaurants and bowling establishments and you're saying that you are also now going to allow a 14 and 15 year old to work in movie theatres, and I'm saying that without further restriction on your Amendment, the Amendment is a bad Amendment, and it makes a bad Bill even worst with this Amendment."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Sangamon Mr. Jones offers Amendment #2 to House Bill 942. Is there any further discussion? Is there any further discussion? Mr. Madison from Cook on the question."

Madison: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield for questioning?"

Shea: "He indicates he will sir."

Madison: "Ah I don't know if I'm addressing this to Representative Deavers or Representative Jones...ah...Representative Jones ah...under your well would the would the ah minimum wage law apply in this case?"

Shea: "Mr. Jones do you want to answer that question sir?"

Jones: "Yes the...the regulations now are for 16 year olds. This reduces it from allows 14 and 15 year olds to be in the same category and it doesn't change anything in that respect. It's for somebody who can work in a hamburger stand under this this ah proposed legislation if they can work at a candy counter



under the same condition. To give them some temporary employment.

That's all."

Madison: "I guess what I'm trying to figure out, Representative Jones, is why the inclusions of movie theatres . . . ah . . . why . . ."

Jones: "For the same reason that the filling stations and the . . . and the . . . ah . . . restaurants are included in this . . . in this all . . ."

Madison: "Why are they included . . . ah . . ."

Jones: "I'm not speaking to that, I'm not the Sponsor of the Bill."

Madison: "Okay, thank you very much."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, on a question."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I join with the Gentleman from McHenry in suggesting that expanding the work . . . working hours from young people in theatres with the kind of movies that are being shown presently can be as injurious to their health as working at a foundry; and I suggest that this Amendment be defeated."

Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, on the Amendment."

Skinner: "Is it possible that you've recognized me when I haven't asked for recognition?"

Shea: "Well, your light was flashing, Mr. Skinner, perhaps one of your assistants, I see you have two or three around you, pushed it on."

Skinner: "Or perhaps it could be that there is a reflection on the ceiling that is playing tricks with your cranium."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones, to close."

Jones: "This is simply an Amendment that gives the same kind of employment opportunities to young people that is being purported in the basic Bill so that . . . so that they can be employed until 9 o'clock instead of 7 o'clock to . . . in service stations and restaurants and etcetera and is a chance for them to be employed in . . . in the . . . in the movie theatre and this is an indoor movie theatre, not drive-ins are not included."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Sa . . . Sangamon, Mr. Jones, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor will say 'aye', all those



opposed will say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'nos' have it. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. On the order of House Bills, Second Reading, appears House Bill . . . Senate Bills. Is Mr. Marovitz, I see he isn't here. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 942, was that Mr. Deavers Bill? All right, then 970, Mr. Getty, is he here? House Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 970."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 970, a Bill for an Act to amend Section 16 in the Nursing Homes, Sheltered Care Homes and Homes for the Aged Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment, Amendment #1, amend Senate Bill 970 by deleting everything after the enacting clause and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move for the adoption of the Committee Amendment. It brings it into line with . . . ah . . . legislation previously passed out of the House."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 970. All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. On the order of House Bills, Third Reading, appears House . . . Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 739."



Selcke: "Senate Bill 739. A Bill for an Act Authorizing the delegation of health tasks to physician's assistants under the supervision of a licensed physician. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 739 on page 4, by inserting between lines 17 and 18 the following and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce on Amendment Number 1."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Amendment Number 1, to Senate Bill 739 ah... was ah... suggested in Committee ah... by ah... Mr. Ebbesen, I believe and ah... ah... made certain that the physician's assistants ah... did not impinge upon the ah... optometrist's. It was adopted in Committee... it was supported by the ah... sponsor of the... of the Bill, Senator Berning and myself, as House sponsor and I move the adoption of House Amendment Number 1, to Senate Bill 739."

Shea: "The gentlemen moves for the adoption of Senate... or House Amendment Number 1, to Senate Bill 739. On the question, all in favor will say 'aye' those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Selcke: "Ah... Amendment Number 2, Pierce. Amends Senate Bill 739 on page 2, line 18, and so forth."

Pierce: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, this Amendment was suggested by the Illinois Department of Public Health which is now in support of the Bill, when these Amendments are adopted. It would make it clear ah... that the physician's assistant could be used by ah... by doctors in ah... in critical practice in Public Health in Community Health facilities as well as those in private practice. The original Bill was more ambiguous on this subject, the Amendment is acceptable to both the Senate and the House sponsor, myself and I therefore move the adoption of House Amendment 2, to Senate Bill 739."

Shea: "The lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... may I address my query to the sponsor of the Amendment?"

Shea: "Proceed."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... Dan, is that the Amendment ah... you said, there was.... I didn't quite hear the first context... ah... the first Amendment is this the 2nd Amendment?"



Pierce: "Yes, you see the first Amendment was ah... the one that was placed on in Committee at the suggestions of Representative... the gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen. Ah... the second Amendment was one that the Department of Public Health suggested to Senator Berning and myself... and we agreed to it, it carries out his intent. His Bill wasn't all that clear that the physician's assistant's could work for ah... doctors in the... in the Public Health and Community Health field, it was a little ambiguity that maybe could only apply to those in private practice which was not Senator Berning's intent and therefore we agreed to put this Amendment Number... Amendment Number 2, on and the Department of Public Health is supporting the Amendment and the Bill."

Geo-Karis: "Okay, thank you."

Pierce: "I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? All in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adoption."

Selcke: "Ah... Amendment Number 3, Pierce. Amends Senate Bill 739 on page 6, line 21, and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce on Amendment Number 3."

Pierce: "Amendment Number 3, was also suggested by the Department of Public Health. They would like to delay the effective date of this Act from January 1, 1976 which is in the Bill that came over from the Senate, to July 1, 1976. Give them more time to implement the rules and regulations under this Bill and to look at the training standards of physician assistant's. Ah... Senate Berning and I agreed to the Amendment and told the Department of Public Health, we would offer it and I therefore offer the adoption of House Amendment Number 3, to Senate Bill 739 which extends the effective date of January 1, 1976 to July 1, 1976."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment Number 3, to House... or Senate Bill 739. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 992."



Selcke: "Senate Bill 992."

Shea: "We're holding that for a fiscal note. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 7...er...993. Is Mr. Madigan on the floor?"

Selcke: "Out of the Record."

Shea: "Out of the Record on 993. Senate Bill 1018, Mr. McPartlin. Is he on the floor? Take that one out of the Record. Senate Bill 1024 out. Senate Bill 1049, Mr. Calvo on the floor? Take that out of the Record. Senate Bill 1057, Mr. Meyer. Is he on the floor? Take that out of the Record. Senate Bill 1170, Mr. Griesheimer take that out of the Record. Senate Bill 1493, the Committee on Education, Mr. Berman. Is Mr. Berman on the floor? Take that out of the Record."

Selcke: "How we doing?"

Shea: "1499. Read that Bill Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1499, an Act making a supplement appropriation to the Department of Revenue and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 284, Mr. Beatty."

Selcke: "284...Senate Bill 284...ah...a Bill for an Act making an appropriation Local Government Tax Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 284 by inserting between Section 1 and Section 2 the following henceforth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty on Amendment #1."

Beatty: "I'm not familiar with that Amendment. What is that?"

Shea: "It's Committee Amendment #1."

Beatty: "I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 443."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 443, Lechowicz. An Act making an appropriation



to the Board of Trustees, State University Retirement System.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 461."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 461, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 461 by inserting between Section 1 and 2 the following henceforth."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to 461. All in favor say 'aye'...'aye'. Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Could we have an explanation of the Amendment since this is an appropriation Bill."

Shea: "All Right. Turn on Mr. Sevcik back there. Mr. Sevcik would you explain to Mr. Schlickman what Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 461 the appropriation to the Crime Investigating Commission does?"

Sevcik: "Ah...that was...ah...Representative Younge's...ah...Fair Employment Practice Amendment."

Shea: "Do you have any objection to that Mr. Schlickman? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye' those opposed 'nays'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Third Reading. On the order of House...er... Senate Bills Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 463. Mr. Tipword are you ready on that one?"

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker...ah...the Gentleman that had ask me to...ah... if he wanted to have another Amendment for it whose our Parliamentarian that he thought ought to be changed told me that the Amendment would be coming up right away. I told him I was going to proceed today on what we had if we didn't have it, but he came in a little while ago and said it would be up shortly. So I guess I'd better leave it on Second, and try to accomodate him but I...now I sure hope we can get a..."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Christian, is a Gentleman. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 468. Your Parliamentarian is just on his way back to talk to you now, Mr.



Tipsword."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 468, an Act making certain appropriations reappropriation Board of Trustees, University of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. We got any floor Amendments on this? Four Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1, amends Senate Bill 468 page 5 line 16 and henceforth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone. Mr. Stone on Amendment #1."

Stone: "Who offered that Amendment...ah...Mr...er...Barnes?"

Shea: "Mr. Barnes offered that Amendment. Do you want me to go to Mr. Barnes on Committee Amendment #1."

Stone: "Is that the...ah..."

Shea: "Mr. Barnes will explain it sir. Go ahead Mr. Barnes. The Gentleman from Cook."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Committee Amendment #1 as I can recall and I'm trying to get my notes together, is an reduction Amendment I believe Mr. Clerk? It reduces the amount of 462,000 is that correct?"

Selcke: "It...ah...deletes certain lines and then it...ah...page 7 line 27 by deleting 1,843,400 inserting in lieu thereof 1,381,800."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye'...'aye'. Those opposed say...on the question the Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr...er...may I...ask the Sponsor of the Amendment a question sir?"

Shea: "Did you further want to explain the Amendment Mr. Stone or what?"

Stone: "I...I...wanted to ask the Sponsor a question."

Shea: "He indicates he'll yield."

Stone: "Mr. Barnes, is...is this the Amendment that was originally 468,000 and was then reduced to...ah...162,000?"

Barnes: "This...this is the Amendment...Amendment that we adopted that re...reduced the appropriation by 462,000."

Stone: "Then...ah...will you please take this Bill out of the Record? That Amendment was supposed to be a changed to be a smaller amount,



and I'd like to take the Bill out of the Record."

Shea: "Take the Bill out of the Record. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, we were...ah...under the impression that we were not going to consider Appropriations Bills at this time. We haven't heard anything to the contrary."

Shea: "The Speaker talked to the Minority Leader, and then asked me to call Appropriation Bills sir."

Walsh: "When did that happen?"

Shea: "About five minutes ago. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 476. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Well...ah...thank you Mr. Speaker. I wonder we have...ah... some Amendments for some of these Bills, and...ah...we've just got them prepared, and if you're going on to appropriation matters if we could adopt the Committee Amendments, and whatever floor Amendments we would appreciate it if you would hold them on Second Reading because it...right now we weren't aware that you were going to go to Appropriations Bills."

Shea: "The Sponsor indicates that he wants to call the Bill. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, we understand that the Minority Leader knows nothing of the conversation that...ah...you talked about. So I wonder if you can hold them at least for a while...go to other Bills on Second Reading, and we can clear this with the Minority Leader."

Shea: "I'm calling them now Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well...ah...are you telling me that there was an agreement with ...between the Speaker and the Minority Leader, and then all of a sudden there's no agreement with the Speaker or Minority Leader?"

Shea: "Sir, I didn't say there was..."

Walsh: "You're going to call them any way you please, is that right?"

Shea: "No sir."

Walsh: "Have you consulted with the Speaker about it?"

Shea: "The Speaker asked me to call the Appropriation Bills, Mr. Walsh. That's what I'm doing. He asked me to do that after I talked to



Mr...er..."

Walsh: "Would you has a courtesy hold them until we talk to the Minority Leader?"

Shea: "The Gentleman from..."

Walsh: "You didn't answer me?"

Shea: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley, want's his Bill called."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill has been on Second Reading, and I've held it there for almost ten days now, and to the best knowledge there's been no other Amendments offered, and I think this is an important part of State business and I want to go ahead and move the Bill from Second to Third Reading."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 476, an Act making certain appropriation and reappropriation Board of Regents. Second Reading on the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 476 page 7 by deleting line 19 and henceforth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the Amendment that the Appropriations Committee was adopting on every appropriation Bill that was offered by Representative Catania, and I will accept the Amendment and move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 476."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to 476, and on a question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Ah...for a statement Mr. Chairman and Representative Bradley. Ah...Representative Bradley, earlier today in Appropriations Committee we had these same Amendments being offered to various Bills, and there would appear to be some problem in...ah...this Amendment in regard to the Board of Higher Education Bill. It did seem to be the consensus at least as expressed by Representative Choate in regard to S.I.U....that...ah...that if the difficulties in fact with the Board of Higher Education Bill were worked out that you would accept...that accept that Amendment for the S.I.U. thing. Now I'm not sure what this Amendment ends up going to...ah...but I just offer this for your information, perhaps you'd want to consult with



. . . ah . . . Representative Choate just even very briefly or Representative Barnes who might be able to give you some background information on what appears to be the problem with it."

Bradley: "Well, I wasn't happy with the Amendment in the first place and so I will move . . . ah . . . that we do not adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 476."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt Amendment #47 . . . ah . . . Amendment #1. On the question, Miss Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House . . . ah . . . I was discussing this question of whether this Amendment should be adopted or not on other Bills with Representative Barnes who agreed to get back to me with his position on it, this has not happened yet. This Amendment was adopted by an overwhelming majority in the Appropriation II Committee . . . ah . . . Representative Peters has not discussed this with me either and I certainly would hope that we would not take this action until we have discussed it with . . . ah . . . anyone who might feel that it should no longer be on the Bill; and I would ask Representative Bradley to . . . ah . . . at least extend the courtesy of waiting until either Repre . . . well, until Representative Barnes has made clear his position on this. He is the Vice Chairman of Appropriation's II."

Shea: "Are you moving for the adoption of the Amendment, it's your Amendment."

Bradley: "Let . . . let me reply, I feel that we already do better than what this particular Amendment calls for the Board or for the Board of Regents to do. All we're doing with this Amendment is making it go . . . having it go back to the Senate for concurrence. There's no problem in the higher board or with any of the boards of Governors of Regency. I asked your southern or any . . . or University of Illinois, there's no need for this particular Amendment. I was only accepting it in the first place at your request. But I . . . I was opposed to it when you offered it. I'd like to . . . ah . . . I'm going to oppose it right now, and . . . ah . . . I've made the motion that we do not adopt and if . . . ah . . . further discussion convinces me that we need it, I'll move it



back from Third Reading to Second, but in order for the . . . for us to go ahead with state business in the next two weeks so that these appropriations will be passed to these schools and do the job they're supposed to do, we've got to keep moving these Bills along. So I move that we do not adopt Amendment #1."

Shea: "Well, the position of the Bill is you've called it Miss Catania's offered Amendment #1. On the question, the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there's three people that have spoken on Amendment #1 and no one to my knowledge has explained exactly what it does. I'd like to know I presume from the Sponsor of the Amendment what Amendment #1 does. I . . . if she would yield I would pose that question."

Shea: "Mr. Clerk, would you read the Amendment."

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 476 on page 7 by deleting line 19 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: Section 10, 'no funds appropriated in this Act may be extended in violation of the rules and regulations of the Illinois Fair Employment Practices Commission or the Federal Equal Employment Opportunities Commission', Section 11, 'This Act takes place July 1, 1975'."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, on the Amendment."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I wonder if I could ask the make of the motion do not adopt the question, or is that the motion before us?"

Shea: "The . . . the motion before us is Miss Catania has moved adoption of the Amendment."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I heard Representative Bradley make a motion. Now, am I to understand that motion has no standing?"

Shea: "His motion is . . . was not to adopt. Do not adopt, but you cannot make that motion, Mr. Bradley, the Lady is making a motion to adopt, and I will call on you during the debate if you so wish."

Madison: Well, all I'm really trying to find out, Mr. Speaker, is whether or not Representative Bradley has any standing to answer a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he'll yield, Sir."



Madison: "Thank you."

Shea: "Representative Bradley."

Madison: "Representative Bradley, I understood you to say you opposed the Amendment, did you oppose the Amendment in Committee?"

Bradley: "No, Sir . . . you're a Member of the Committee, aren't you, Sir?"

Madison: "I see you're opposed . . . yes, I am."

Bradley: "Well, then what happened in the Committee . . . I took it then you knew that."

Madison: "Well, I understood you to say now you're opposed to the Amendment, I'm wondering if you were not opposed to it then what has since happened to change your mind?"

Bradley: "I checked the . . . ah . . . the Bill and found that it's not necessary. We're already doing more than this Amendment calls for, and in order to move it along at the . . . ah . . . Committee stage, I accpeted it . . . ah . . . but . . . ah . . . after checking, I found it's not needed."

Madison: "Well, Representative Bradley, it appears to me that if . . . ah . . . the Board of Regents is already doing more what the Amendment calls for then the . . . ah . . . the Amendment certainly would not damage the Board of Regents posture, would you agree with that?"

Bradley: "I didn't hear your last . . ."

Madison: "The Board of Regents is already doing more than what the Amendment calls for then it appears to me that the Amendment certainly would not damage the Board of Regents posture, is that a correct statement?"

Bradley: "That might be so, the only thing that . . . ah . . . in the proceedings in the way that we operate the two . . . ah . . . Houses here you know then that this Bill then has to go back over to the Senate for another vote, another concurrence, another . . . another action by one of the Houses and if we can avoid that I would rather avoid it."

Madison: "Repre . . . Representative Bradley, were you not aware of the fact that this would require concurrence by the Senate at the time



it was offered in Committee?"

Bradley: "I wanted to check it . . . ah . . . I said I would accept it until I at that time and would check it as I did, and I don't think it's necessary; and if it is would you point out to me at any place where the Board of Regents have been discriminating and that's what this Bill is about, this Amendment, and if you . . . you have, it's been called to your attention at any time that there has been discrimination by the Board of Regents that this is necessary. I'm saying it's not necessary. Nobody's pointed out to me in the Committee or here today why it's necessary."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak on the Amendment?"

Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, at this point in time there's apparently been some indications that there may be some problems with . . . with Senate Bills being with this Amendment on it. At this point in time . . . ah . . . it has not been indicated to me what those problems are and since the Sponsor of the Bill did accept the Amendment in Committee, he indicated that he would support the Amendment in Committee. I happen to think it's a good Amendment if those problems that have been brought to our attention are of valid problems then I, for one, would certainly be willing to ask the Sponsor to bring it back to Second Reading so we can table Amenent . . . Amendment, but otherwise I think the Amendment is a fair Amendment, it was voted overwhelmingly in Committee and I think that this House ought to concur with the Committee action. I ask your favorable vote on this Amendment."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, on the question."

Hart: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of Jury . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . I am getting in bad shape up here really, but . . . ah . . . I concur in remarks of . . . ah . . . Representative Madison. This Amendment has been placed on every Bill that comes through Appropriation's I and I believe through Appropriation's II. I see no reason why . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from McLean would oppose or would be scared of it."



If we're going to take the position that . . . ah . . . we don't want to put Amendments on Bills because we are afraid to send them back to the Senate and then I think . . . ah . . . we're in really bad shape, but certainly . . . ah . . . there's in my judgment no reason to single out . . . ah . . . this appropriation for the . . . ah . . . deletion of this . . . ah . . . Amendment which is being placed on all Bills . . . ah . . . and I would urge the . . . that the Amendment be adopted."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from . . . the Lady from Cook, Miss Catania, to close. Ah . . . Mr. Bradley, did you wish . . . turn Mr. Bradley on, I'm sorry, I didn't see your light, Sir."

Bradley: "Yeah, yes, I'd like to . . . ah . . . speak on the Lady's motion and I'm certainly going to oppose it at this time . . . ah . . . simply on the reason that nobody has said why it's necessary. She didn't when she offered the Amendment, she didn't tell us in Committee why it was necessary to . . . ah . . . put this Amendment on the Board of Regents, she hasn't said so during this debate why it was necessary to put the Amendment on. There has never been any problem at the Board of Regents' . . . with the Board of Regents' Bills and the . . . ah . . . and any discrimination matter that I know of has never been brought to my attention and I . . . I simply would ask here when she closes to bring to my attention some . . . ah . . . some problem that they've had. I think that we're already protected in the . . . in this appropriation Bill with what she expects to do and all she's asking us to do is . . . is make . . . is have it go back to the Senate and by the time it gets back over there who knows what's going to be happening by then. She's delaying . . . she's delaying State Government by attempting to . . . by putting each one of these Amendments on. There's no explanation at all why she's doing it or why it's necessary. Maybe it's necessary in some appropriations but it certainly isn't necessary in this one; and I certainly urge every Member and as Clyde Choate said in Appropriation's Committee this morning, 'it's not necessary then, it's not necessary now, we're delaying State



Government'. Let's defeat this Amendment."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, as one who comes from one of the Board of Regents schools, I, too, join Representative Bradley in opposing this Amendment and I understand it's going to be put on all the university Amendments, thus, delaying the time of the House. This is going to necessitate all of these Bills once again going back over to the Senate. There's nothing the matter with this Amendment because the Amendment is not needed. It says we shall not discriminate, they're not supposed to discriminate now so what's another statement in these Bills going to accomplish. Absolutely nothing, the Amendment is useless and let's vote it down."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, one of the Members of Appropriation I has put this Amendment on every Bill and I think most of us have kind of tolerated it. All it does is says you have to obey the law. Well, I don't know why we have to pass a law that says you have to obey the law. Now, it doesn't hurt anything, but it sure doesn't do anybody any good; and if by putting this Amendment on it, it involves all the rigamarole of sending this Bill back over to the Senate and all the Bills like it, then I think it's a waster of this body's time and my money."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I've listened to this debate with considerable interest. There are those who says that it is not necessary that the Amendment merely mandates that the various agencies and . . . ah . . . governments that are using taxpayers money obey the law. The fact remains that during my nine years down here we've attempted to do this by cajoling, appealing, begging and the fact remains that it's not being done, and that's the reason that this Amendment is on . . . ah . . . all of these appropriation Bills; and to those who say that it's unnecessary and that it . . . it's taking up the time of the House, then I think that we ought to . . . ah . . . face the



honest truth and do what all of us can to implement the law of the land which is not being implemented. So let's don't kid ourselves, we have a decision to make here and now. Whether it does any good or not we don't know. I know personally that it hasn't done a damn bit of good during my nine years down here but I'm for . . . I, for one, am going to try to put it and keep it on all of the appropriations to try to give a little insurance that we do obey the law. I'm . . . I'm for it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we're all familiar with this issue and the time of the House is valuable and I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the Lady from Cat . . . from Cook, Miss Catania, to close."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would like in general to point out why this Amendment is needed and why it is needed on so many Bills. It is needed because many of these agencies, and in particular the schools, do not seem to feel that they are currently included under the jurisdiction of the F.E.P.C. sometimes under the E.E.O.C. I have a letter from the Board of Governors, not the Board of Regents, but the Board of Governors saying that they do not consider themselves specifically included in the Illinois Constitution proscription of sex discrimination in Article 1, Section 18. Therefore, this is necessary to point out quite clearly in each and every appropriation that the Illinois General Assembly does consider that the Illinois Fair Employment Practices Commission and the Equal Employment Opportunities Commission have jurisdiction over these institutions and agencies. Futher more, . . . ah . . . we want to make it quite clear that the Auditor General has the power to go in and to insure that there is no discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, sex and the other items in the list which this General Assembly has generally agreed . . . ah . . . to include in the areas where we will not tolerate discrimination. In particular, this morning I



received answers to a couple of questions I had asked about a couple of the campuses that are involved directly here. I had asked for example how much money is set aside for . . ."

Shea: "Would you bring your remarks to a close, please?"

Catania: ". . . I had asked how much money is set aside for athletic scholarships. I found that on one of the campuses only a fraction of the money set aside for athletic scholarships for men as set aside for women. On another campus I said how many black and how many women are included in the faculty in physical science and mathematics . . ."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. . . . er . . . from Sangamon, Mr. Kane, on a point of order."

Kane: "Ah . . . Is there a time limit for debate, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "I've asked the Lady to bring her remarks to a close, the debate is over, she's closing on the question, Sir. Proceed, Miss Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I was attempting to answer as . . . as fully as I could in the limited time the questions brought up by the Sponsor of this Bill. The answer to my question tell me how many women and how many blacks are included on your campuses faculty for physical science and mathematics is there are no blacks, there are two women. This is in a very long list the physical science and mathematics professors."

Shea: "What . . . would you . . ."

Catania: "I think the need for a constant reminder . . ."

Shea: "Would you bring your remarks to a close, please, Miss Catania?"

Catania: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think the need is clearly demonstrated and I do ask for your approval of this Amendment."

Shea: "The question is, shall this Amendment #1 to House . . . Senate Bill 476 be adopted? All in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines to explain his vote."

Gaines: "I wish to say that in response to the statement on the other side of the aisle of the query about why it's needed. Well, if you were black you'd understand, number 1. Number 2, we have complaints



filed with N.A.A.C.P. every year against every college in this state. We have complaints filed with Operation Push against every college in this state. We have complaints filed with the Chicago Urban League against every college in this state. But don't say that there's no complaints and there's no reason for it. Now, you may not want to face the fact that there are reasons but they are good sufficient reasons if you're the ones that's being discriminated against. Thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 84 'ayes', 37 'nos', 4 Members voting 'present'; the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #2, Grotberg, amends Senate Bill 476 as amended on page 7 between lines 15 and 16 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, on Amendment #2."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 476 gets at a situation that has been apparent in all of the . . . ah . . . education budgets so far and having to do with the inability of the Appropriation's Committee to get from the Board of Higher Education or their different systems in this case the Regency system. Appropriate figures regarding . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Grotberg, would you hold on a minute. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes, on a parliamentary inquiry."

Barnes: "Thank . . . thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . Inquiries to the Chair. I wonder whether or not . . . ah . . . this Amendment has been printed and distributed?"

Shea: "Is . . . the Amendment I understand is not printed and distributed. How long ago was this Amendment on the desk, Mr. Clerk? How long ago? How long ago did you put the Amendment up, Mr. Grotberg?"

Grotberg: "Within the hour . . . ah . . . Mr. Chair . . . ah . . . Mr. Shea, Mr. Speaker, and this is really not the fault of this Sponsor of this Amendment, nor the Sponsor of the Bill, Representative Bradley. It's typical of the effort we've been trying to



get to get the information from the system to put it out and it was handed to me . . . ah . . . about an hour ago so that we could draft the Amendment. I would be willing to explain the Amendment if it has enough interest . . . ah . . . to proceed I would ask for leave of the House to do so."

Shea: "Mr. Barnes is objecting. Take the Bill out of the Record. Mr. Bradley?"

Bradley: "Yes, yes, Mr. Speaker, I want the Bill moved to Third Reading. The Bill's been there 10 days and just within the last hour they come up with Amendment. I think this is dilatory tactics on their part. I'm not speaking to the Amendment, I'm speaking about the tactics. They're holding up State Government. I want the Bill moved to Third Reading."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Bradley, I'd like to accommodate you. How many Amendments are there, Mr. Clerk? There are two more Amendments. We'll get those printed and get back to the Bill within a half hour, Sir."

Bradley: "Well, I don't like it. I think that when we've been patient with that side of the aisle for 10 days with that Bill sitting there and then to come up with . . . ah . . . the hour of the day we call the Bill on Second Reading . . . ah . . . I think it's dilatory in their part and . . . ah . . . I certainly would like to express my objection."

Shea: "Senate Bill 608."

Fredric Selcke: "Give me the Bill. Senate Bill 608, . . . ah . . . for an Act making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of Lieutenant Governor and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah . . . Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 608 on page 2 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on Amendment #1."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #1 . . . ah . . . appropriates \$150,000 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the abandoned mine land reclamation concept, and I move for its adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The



Amendment's adopted. Amendment #2."

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 608 on page 2 by deleting line 6 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on Amendment #2."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #2 is Representative . . . ah . . . Younge's Amendment as far as no funds appropriated in this Act may be expended in violation of the rules and regulations of the Fair Employment Practices Commission or the Federal Equal Employment Opportunities Commission. I move for its adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', those oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Is there any further Amendments? Third Reading."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Amendment #3 . . ."

Shea: "Oop, is there a third Amendment?"

Fredric Selcke: "Yeah, Younge . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "Is that the same as Amendment #2, Mr. Clerk?"

Fredric Selcke: "Well, partly and partly not."

Shea: "Miss Younge, you've got two Amendments on this Bill that do the same thing. Amendments . . . Committee Amendment #2 and then you have a floor Amendment #3 that does the same thing."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table . . ."

Shea: "The . . ."

Younge: ". . . Amendment . . . floor Amendment #3."

Shea: ". . . the Lady moves to table floor Amendment #3, is there objection? The Amendment will be tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Amendment #4, Totten, amends Senate Bill 608 as amended in Section 3 by deleting '\$150,000' and inserting in lieu, thereof, '\$115,623'."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, on Amendment #4. Is that printed, Mr. Clerk?"

Fredric Selcke: "No."

Shea: "How long ago was that Amendment put on the desk, Sir?"



Totten: "Within the hour."

Shea: "All right, we'll come back to that one. Mr. Lechowicz, we'll come back to that one. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Why don't we move it to Third and on . . . maybe I'll bring it back to Second?"

Shea: "All right, the Gentleman asks leave to move to . . . Third Reading and then bring it back when the Amendment is printed. Is there objection? Hearing none, Third Reading. He said he'd bring it back for you, Mr. Totten, when the Amendment was printed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 6 . . . it's on Third Reading, Mr. Lechowicz is handling it. Mrs. Jones . . . or Mr. . . . Representative Jones' wife is in the hospital and we flew him back to Chicago yesterday and he's still there. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 6 . . . er . . . Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 637."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 637, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the Governor. Ah . . . Second Reading of the Bill. Ah . . . let's see, . . . two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 and 3 were tabled in Committee. Committee Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 637 by inserting between Section 1 and 2 the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on Amendment #2."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 is the . . . ah . . . no . . . none of the money can be expended in violation of the rules and regulations of the Fair Employ . . . Fair Employment Commission. I move for its adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Amendment . . . Committee Amendment #4 amends Senate Bill 637 of the House on page 1, line 11, and so forth."

Lechowicz: "Committee Amendment #4 is a 6 percent reduction . . . ah . . . within the Office of the Governor. I move for its adoption."



Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #5, Hanahan, amends Senate Bill 5 . . . ah . . . 637 on page 1, line 15, by deleting '132,730' and inserting in lieu, thereof, '104,000' and so forth."

Shea: "Is Mr. Hanahan on the floor? Mr. Hanahan on the floor? Take that Amendment out of the Record. Are there any further Amendments? Is Mr. Hanahan . . . take that out of the Record. Are there any further Amendments? Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 649. Is Mr. Keller on the floor? Or Senate . . . Senate Bills, Second Reading, 649."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 649 . . ."

Shea: "I don't . . . go ahead, Sir."

Fredric Selcke: ". . . give me the Bill . . . a bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment . . . Committee Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 649 on page 1 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is Representative Londrigan's Amendment . . . ah . . . maybe he'd rather expl . . . Senate Bill 649, Jim. It's a Committee Amendment, I move for the adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Is there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #2, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 649 on page 1, line 1, by deleting 'to amend Section 3-610' and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Ah . . . Mr. Speakers and Members . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, excuse me not plural, . . . ah . . . and Members of the House, this Bill is turning into a Christmas tree and since we're putting decorations on it, I thought I'd offer a . . . a . . . a line of blue lights for rescue squad members. Ah . . . the problem is that in the Senate they have this hang-up about blue lights. They



think that my rescue squad members when they're going to a wreck . . . ah . . . will get mixed up with the Chicago police cars, which I find rather humorous since the Chicago police cars don't go out in the areas where we have rescue squads and the rescue squad members don't go into Chicago too often. So in order to put us into a negotiation . . . negotiating position with the Senate, I offered this Amendment which is the same as a Bill that passed out of here lickety split about a month ago. It has the Department of Transportation . . . ah . . . approval as far as limitations go."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 649. On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman.

I see you, Gene, you don't have to get nervous."

Schlickman: "Oh, I'm not a bit nervous with you up there, I've got my hand in my wallet."

Shea: "Good. Maybe you'll buy dinner tonight."

Schlickman: "Parliamentary inquiry."

Shea: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "I question the germaneness of this Amendment."

Shea: "Give me the Bill and the Amendment please, Mr. Clerk. The House will be at ease for a minute. The Parliamentarian tells me, Mr. Schlickman, they're both within the body of the same Act. Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, is that going to be the ruling of the Chair that so long as they're on the same Chapter."

Shea: "Same Act, Sir."

Schlickman: "Well, even in the same Act? Now, you know that the Illinois Motor Vehicle Code covers a number of arm-related subjects. We have a Bill here that deals with license plates. It started off with Congressional license plates, by Amendment it went to Legislative license plates. We now have here an Amendment which has nothing to do with license plates, it has nothing to do with elected officials, it deals with the subject previously considered by this House and apparently defeated in the Senate and what the . . . well, it's in the Senate and I don't see any need for this.



I think it's a perversion . . . perversion of the process and the Member is attempting to do indirectly what he couldn't do directly."

Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, on the Amendment."

Skinner: "I think he summed it up very well."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, I, too, think this is an abuse of the Amendment process. This blue-light Bill and similar ones were defeated several times in our Committee and I don't think after it's been defeated in Committee and other attempts ought to be made by the Amendment state to put it on in the House. What this does is allow emergency vehicles . . . what we're talking about is volunteer fire departments, individual cars of volunteer fire departments to be equipped with the emergency vehicles. Now, the State Police has consistently been against this; and as I said we have defeated a number of times in the Committee; and I suggest that we defeat it again right now."

Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to close."

Skinner: "Yes, well we seem to have a surfeit of misinformation running around on motor vehicle laws in the Illinois House for the last two days. For instance, yesterday we were told that trucks couldn't go 55 miles an hour on four lane highways and indeed they can. Ah . . . Today we're being told the State Police opposes this . . . ah . . . concept. They are not . . . they are neutral . . . ah . . . and if you don't believe me we can take this out of the Record and I'd be happy to . . . ah . . . to wait and . . . ah . . . and have anyone give them a call. Ah . . . We will have a similar Bill coming back to us with a equally irrelevant Amendment on it which the present Speaker could not get on in Committee. It was defeated in Committee on motorcycle helmets. Ah . . . This is certainly as is relevant as that will be. Ah . . . The need is real while many of you Gentlemen have . . . ah . . . have firemen who . . . ah . . . can use blue lights when they're going to a fire, did you know they cannot use blue lights when they're on the way to pry someone out of an automobile wreck. Now, listen to that. Did you know your firemen can't turn



their blue lights on when they are going to an emergency wreck? Ah if this Bill doesn't pass or if the Senate Bills do not pass the House Bills that are in the Senate they will have the ability do that....ah....then here is where there are not fire departments who take care of emergency situations, automobile wrecks we in northern Illinois, and I know that some people around the Peoria area, and areas in southern Illinois have what we call what are called rescue squads. In the Illinois Motor Vehicle Code at the present time there is not even a definition of a rescue squad and yet they're all over the place. Ah what this does is put us in a good position to get that concept passed which has gotten out of the Illinois...ah...Motor Vehicles Committee in the House believe it or not."

Shea: The Gentleman moves to the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say aye, those opposed say nay. The Amendment's lost. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 658."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 658 an Act making appropriation Board of Trustees of Judges Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Shea: "Is Mr. Beaupre on the floor? Take that Bill out of the record. Senate Bill 661."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 661 an Act provides the ordinary..... expenses Department of Business and Economic Development. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah...four Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 661, page 1, line 13 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentlemen from Christian Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Ah Mr. Speaker these are are all four Committee Amendments that make ah some changes in the Appropriations that are, the first three make changes in the Appropriations that are provided for the Department of Business and Economic Development. Ah...these were all drafted and ah prepared by the staff of the House Appropriations Committee #1, all moved for the adoption of ah Committee Appropriation #1."



Shea: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption . . . the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner. Mr. Washburn."

Skinner: "I'm searching for the Amendments and they are not in my Bill book and my Page has just finished putting them all in."

Shea: "Have the Amendments been distributed, Mr. Clerk? They tell me they've been distributed, Mr. Skinner. Perhaps you're Clerk isn't doing an efficient job. Proceed, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, on Amendment #1."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I may have been busy talking, but I did not hear an explanation of the Amendment."

Tipsword: "I wonder if I might call upon the . . . the Chairman of the Appropriation's Committee #1 is he can tell which . . . I'm not sure which is one, and two and three on these Amendments and . . . and the general provisions that they make."

Shea: "Back to the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz. Mr. Washburn, when you get a chance could I see you please?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #1 which was adopted in Appropriation's I made changes in the general office in approximate restoration of . . . ah . . . \$70,000, in tourism it was cut by \$30,000 and in contractual and international trade it was cut by approximately \$30,000 again in contractual and in energy it was . . . it was reduced . . . \$10,000 in contractual . . . I'm sorry, \$10,000 in telecommunications and readjusted in contractual and so it's a readjustment between the Senate cut and the . . . well, our Staff in the House has recommended in the general office tourism, international trade energy and among all the other enterprises. I move for adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Committee Amendment #2, Amendment . . . amends



Senate Bill 661 as amended on page 1 by striking line 27 and so forth."

Shea: "Mr. Lechowicz on Amendment #2."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe you already pointed out to Representative Skinner that the Amendment's were distributed."

Shea: "The Clerk tells me they're distributed, Sir. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, our Pages keep this book entirely up to date with every Amendment they have and the only Amendment to this Bill that's in this book is Amendment #5. None of the other Amendments have been distributed on this side of the aisle, Mr. Speaker."

Fredric Selcke: "Call up and ask, Jack's doing it."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, has that issue been resolved?"

Shea: "The Clerk tells me that there is some question about whether they were distributed on both sides of the aisle so we'll take the Bill out of the Record until we get it cleared up. Take it out of the Record, Mr. Clerk. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 739."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill . . . wait a minute, did we call that?"

Shea: "We moved that one. Senate Bill 81 . . . er . . . 763."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 763, . . . ah . . . a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the floor?"

Shea: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 813."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 813, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the . . . for the recodification laws relating to municipalities Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Fredric Selcke: "Third Reading. Third Reading."

Shea: "None, Third Reading. Mr. Marovitz here? Senate Bill 935."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill . . ."

Shea: "Out of the Record at the Sponsor's request. Mr. Houlihan. Mr. Beatty . . . er . . . Madigan, do you want to call 993 or is that out. McPartlin. Calvo. Call 1049."



Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1049, a Bill for an Act to provide for the development and construction of public docking and dry bulk commodity transfer of facilities by the Tri-City Port District. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah . . . Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendments #1 amends Senate Bill 1049 on page 1, line 2, and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo, on Amendment #1."

Fredric Selcke: "661."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 provides for the place the . . . ah . . . financing is to come from for this project from the Capital Development Bond Fund; and I would appreciate the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Committee Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 1049 by inserting after Section 3 the following: Section 4 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo, on Amendment #2."

Calvo: "What was the . . . could you . . . could you read that a little . . ."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, do you want to handle that Amendment #2."

Lechowicz: "Do you want to read the Amendment?"

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Okay, Section 4, 'no funds voted in this Act may be . . ."

Lechowicz: "It's Mrs. . . . Amendment."

Fredric Selcke: ". . . rules and regulations'."

Calvo: "Oh, yeah, yeah."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves . . ."

Calvo: "No, . . ."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the standard A . . . F.E.P.C. Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. On the order of House . . . er . . ."



Senate Bills, Second Reading, is Mr. Meyer back? Senate Bill 1057."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1057 . . ."

Shea: "Do you want that out, Mr. Meyer?"

Meyer: "Yes, it needs a fiscal note."

Shea: "Take that out of the Record. Mr. Griesheimer, is he back?"

Fredric Selcke: "Yeah."

Shea: "Let's try 1170."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1170, a Bill for an Act to guarantee immunities, safe harmless and indemnify officials, officers and employees of the state from financial loss arising out of their employment by the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Turn Mr. Griesheimer on. 2 . . ."

Fredric Selcke: "Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 1170 in the House page 1 by striking line 10 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment #1 was tabled. There should be Committee Amendment #2 on there and . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "Then move to table the Committee Amendment . . . is there objection?"

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Did you get it tabled?"

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to table Committee Amendment #1, is there objection? Hearing none, the Amendment is tabled."

Fredric Selcke: "Committee Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 1170 on page 1 by deleting lines 1, 2 and 3 and so forth."

Griesheimer: "Committee Amendment #2 is rather a comprehensive change to this. It keeps the basic concept of granting indemnification to state employees who in the line of their work are involved in acts which would amount to negligence. Ah . . . It does however couch the jurisdiction . . . ah . . . within the Circuit Courts of the state . . . ah . . . for any of these hearings. This is done specifically at the request of the number of the attorneys on the . . . ah . . . Judiciary Committee who felt that by having to file your claims in the Court of Claims there was undue delay and also a great hardship placed on the claimant. Ah . . . So we placed



the prime jurisdiction in the . . . ah . . . the courts . . . the Circuit Courts and . . . ah . . . also provided that . . . ah . . . the Attorney General of the State of Illinois would upon the request of the claimant offer up a defense to the claimant up to the amount of the indemnification limit, which is \$100,000. Ah . . . This met with all the objections of the Members of the Judiciary Committee and it did pass Judiciary by . . . ah . . . quite an overwhelming vote. Ah . . . There will be a subsequent Amendment which we cannot hear today on this Bill . . . ah . . . but I would offer the adoption of Amendment #2."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #2 to House . . . Senate Bill 1170. Is there any discussion? All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Is there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #3, Griesheimer, amends Senate Bill 1170 as amended in the first sentence and so forth."

Griesheimer: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, the . . . ah . . . Amendment #3 . . . ah . . . which is a House Amendment merely clarifies the . . . ah . . . Section which defines the . . . ah . . . the parties covered by the indemnification . . . ah . . . in the original Bill or I should say the Second Amendment which has become the Bill we had used broad terms and also specific terms. They were in contradiction so we took out the specific leaving the broad terms in and then also we found a duplicate . . . ah . . . sentence in the . . . ah . . . fourth and fifth Article of the . . . ah . . . of the Bill and we merely removed the Bill . . . ah . . . that particular sentence in the . . . ah . . . second Section between the Bill also. I consider these both to be technical in nature. I would move the adoption of Amendment #3."

Shea: "Ah . . . Before we go to that, I'd just like to announce we have a guest from the Senate strolling down the center aisle, Senator Jim Soper. Now, Mr. Griesheimer moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1170. On the Amendment is there any discussion? All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there



further Amendments? Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 1493. Second Reading."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1493, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah . . . Three Committee Amendments. Ah . . . Committee Amendment #1 was tabled in Committee. Committee Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 1493 as amended on page 1 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1493, Amendment #2 . . . ah . . . strikes the Senate version of the . . . ah . . . proposals for the additional mines for the school . . . School Aid Formula substitute for all of the provisions of House Bill 1506 that we originally passed out of here. I think that . . . ah . . . as you recall . . . ah . . . this 1506 met with . . . ah . . . broad approval by this House. We think that it deserves the further consideration by the Senate and . . . ah . . . so we want to keep it alive and, therefore, I move . . . ah . . . the adoption of Committee Amendment #2."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, on the Amendment."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor answer a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Duff: "Ah . . . Representative Berman, I didn't exactly understand . . . ah . . . that. It sounded like you said that you don't really agree with the Amendment but you don't want to jeopardize the position of the Bill, is that right?"

Berman: "Well, there . . . ah . . . Amendment #1 . . . ah . . . was . . . was the Amendment that I prop . . . that I preferred but . . . ah . . . it didn't meet with the sufficient approval vote-wise in the Committee. So 150 . . . so Amendment #2 is . . . ah . . . second best."

Duff: "Well, what does it do?"

Berman: "Well, the difference between the one that was . . . ah . . . passed and the one that was failed was that . . . ah . . . it would



have allowed consideration of a title one hold harmless provision that was in the Bill...ah...1493 as it came over from the Senate. It's a Bill that would involve substantial amounts of...ah...funds to be committed to the loss of revenue that Chicago, East St. Louis, other high density...ah...under privilege areas will...ah...will be experiencing next year...ah...the Committee felt it was too much money, and therefore...ah...the Committee Amendment #1...ah...did not pass in Committee. Committee Amendment #2 does everything that we had previously done in the House...ah...period."

Duff: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman. The lady from St. Clair, Miss C.M. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield? Representative Berman what does this do...ah...what financial help will this give to downstate elementary districts, how will this help them?"

Berman: "To refresh your memory...ah...this...this Bill this Amendment is the same as the Bill that we had previously passed out of the House, and for purposes of...ah...reference I would refer you to the digest on House Bill 1506. That's the Bill that...that included transportation for the downstate districts for the amount of fifteen million dollars, eighteen million dollars it...ah...had a provision in there for...ah...increasing the...ah...allowable tax rate by referendum for downstate districts...ah...in a three year phase in period...ah...12½ cent for the building fund the first year...ah...12 cents for the education fund the second year, 12 cents for the education fund the third year...ah...it...ah...excluded the I.M.R.F...ah...and toward immunity funds from consideration for the...ah...roll back, and...ah...provided as far as some of the suburban districts for a decrease in the...ah...districts where they had a decrease in excess valuation to accelerate their school aid entitlement...ah...faster than the 25 percent limitation would allow...ah...that was about it. Again I refer you to the synopsis of House Bill 1506 for the details."

Stiehl: "Well then...ah...according to that explanation I'm I correct that the only assistance that if an elementary district did not



have a transportation tax, actually there would be no assistance to them whatsoever except to increase there taxes at the local level with the back door referendum provision. Is that right?"

Berman: "That's...that's correct."

Stiehl: "So then there will be a number of elementary districts that are going to be seriously affected, and seriously hurt by this Amendment?"

Berman: "No, I don't think that you can say that they will be seriously hurt. I think that we have provided in 1506 a...a...method by which recognizing the realities of the situation dollar-wise from the state's point of view we're allowing...ah...the local districts to get additional funds in probably the most politically feasible method possible."

Stiehl: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Than...thank you Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I only arise to support the Amendment. I feel convinced that we're doing as well as we possibly can. It's not near enough as Representative Stiehl has pointed out for our downstate schools, but we do have to realize that we are losing students. We are going to have an increase in funds. We do have the provisions as I understand it in this Amendment to...ah...increase and equalize the unit districts with the dual districts with the back door referendum...ah.... I'm satisfied that we're doing as much as we can. Also, I think that the provision...ah...that Representative Berman can correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe the provision of the two years on the transportation increase has been eliminated, and it's a permanent situation now and that was my objection and I'm glad to see that out of there and I think it is a good Amendment and I...ah...urge the members to support it."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor will yield to a question? Representative Berman I'm I correct in...ah...the...ah... statement that the downstate districts will only be...ah...given



equal treatment if they pass the back door referendum or without the back door referendum?"

Berman: "Would you repeat it?"

Schraeder: "Will downstate districts only get equal treatment if they use the back door referendum?"

Berman: "Many of the downstate districts are help directly with the transportation formula, if any."

Schraeder: "How many of them?"

Berman: "Well, I have a print out here that I will be glad to send back to you. We...ah...Representative Bradley has got has the same Bill, and I think he can probably fill you in...in...greater detail."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker if downstate districts can only get the benefit by back door referendum then I'd have to say that this is a bad Amendment, and ask for it's defeat."

Berman: "Well that's not the case. Again, you asked whether they're helped, and I'm saying yes. This total...the total cost of this Bill I point out is about twenty-six-twenty-eight million dollars. Eighteen million of that is going directly to downstate from State revenue. So downstate districts are being helped substantially. Much more than any other segment of the State, and again I point out that...ah...Chicago has not helped a dime one by this Amendment."

Shea: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from a Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question? I guess he indicates he would. Representative Berman as I understand...ah... under the Senate Bill 1493 as it came over to us from the Senate... ah...it would be possible for the voters...ah...in a school district for the citizens and tax payers there to go in, and to increase there innovative rates so that if they wanted to spend more money for education in there area they could...ah...is that...was that a feature of Senate Bill 1493 prior to your Amendment or before?"

Berman: "Yes. It increased the...ah...innovative rate from 15 to 25 percent."

Deuster: "Now, does your Amendment strike that...ah...innovative opportunity?"



Berman: "It addresses it itself in a different way. Ah...for some of those districts that has the...ah...toward immunity I.M.R.F. fund exclusion, and also allows for...ah...excelerated payments for those districts that have lost...ah...ah...excess valuation. For the most part those are districts...ah...the districts that you are referring to are also districts that are aided by that method."

Deuster: "Well...ah...what I'm trying to get at is that...ah...there may be other adjustments, but actually that specific provision is struck out is it not?"

Berman: "Yes."

Deuster: "The second question is this...ah... As I understand under House Bill 1506 which you're...ah...offering by way of an Amendment to the Senate Bill...ah...the downstate districts would be able to get there...good deal of there money if they went out and voted for it with a referendum. Is that correct?"

Berman: "No. Ah... the downstate districts get immediate direct financial help through the...ah...transportation formula application. That amounts to eighteen million dollars. In addition phasing in over a three year period starting next...starting the following fiscal year not 76 but FY 77...ah...they can adopt by resolution increases in there...ah...ah...tax rates, twelve cents for the building fund ...ah...the first year, twelve cents for the education fund the second year...ah...twelve cents for the education fund the third year. Those increases by resolution of the board would be subject to back door referendum."

Deuster: "Well Mr. Speaker, if I might speak to the Bill."

Shea: "Proceed sir."

Deuster: "...Ah yes. What we really...what's really going on here is the Senate worked out what they thought was a fair and balanced change in the resource equalizer formula in the financial structure, and they sent it over to us. Ah...our House that's 1493 that's before us...ah...in the House our members produced 1506 and we sent it over to the Senate. I know there's a certain amount of pride of authorship on the work on our...the Senate and the House, but ...ah...it happens that many of the educators in my district think



the Senate Bill is much better. Now I think that we should leave it alone. I think that we should not throw it in the trash bucket by striking everything after the enacting clause, and putting in the House Bill. As a matter of fact in the...ah...Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education the Chicago School Board came in and said they prefer Senate Bill 1493 just the way it is. I think we ought to leave this Bill the way it is by defeating this Amendment, and also by leaving in there the innovative opportunity for our downstate schools...ah...to...ah...add more money for there programs if they would like to approve it by referendum. I think that we should leave 1493 the way it is so that the two optional proposals for bringing equity in the financing of our schools in Illinois are sent to the Governor's desk. I would urge the defeat of Senate...of...Amendment of House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1493."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman G.L."

G.L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Amendment. Contrary to what the previous speaker said, the Senate has taken House Bill 1506 and has put a compromise type 1493 into that Bill. So that in fact the...we don't have two different things...ah...at work. Let me tell you they...they...talk about the innovative...ah...programs, and the add on from 15 to 25 percent. Innovative programs is a euphuism for spending more money it has nothing to do with programs. Ah...there just talking about keeping more of the local tax monies. Now, those of you who were here in 1973 will remember that part of the resource equalizer formula was tax rate reduction in high rate districts. What is being discussed here is an effort to not give that local property tax money back or to stop taxing locally at...at...that level as we replace with State funds. So if we agree to move from 15 to 25 percent add on...ah...for these...ah...in this part of the program we will in effect...ah...be not keeping faith with the voters, we will not be keeping faith with the people or certain elements of the people who supported the passage of the resource equalizer. This Bill...ah...this Amendment is a good Amendment, and has been care



fully considered in the main as a product of the work of the School Problems Commission, and should be supported."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Not right now Mr. Speaker. I'd...like someone else to talk for a few minutes...ah...Mr. Speaker while we...ah...ah...look this over. Okay? You can even talk if you like."

Shea: "You're declining your opportunity?"

Walsh: "No, I didn't have my light on. I didn't wave at you or I didn't do anything."

Shea: "Oh...I thought your light was on."

Walsh: "It wasn't."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker I'm embarrassed because I just told the...ah... Assistant Minority Leader that I was going to move to...ah...cut off debate. Then he says he want's to talk some more...ah...I think we've heard enough Mr. Speaker. Only out of great respect ...and...ah...warmth and affection for...the...ah...Assistant Minority Leader...ah...I guess I won't make the motion at this moment."

Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. If the Sponsor would yield to a question, I wonder if he can tell me...ah...what...ah...procedure...ah...citizens would go through to trigger the back door referendum?"

Berman: "File a petition within...not ten days but thirty days after the resolution of the board."

Skinner: "And how many people have to sign such a petition?"

Berman: "I think...I don't have it in front of me. Cal...I think...it's 10 percent of fifteen hundred signatures or which ever is less. Oh, just one second I'll double check it."

Skinner: "Ten percent of what?"

Berman: "Ten percent of the voters in there district."

Skinner: "I'm sorry. You seem to be turning your mouth away from the microphone when you get to the last two words."

Berman: "Ten percent of the voters in the last of that district in the last election."

Skinner: "This is a School Board Election?"



Berman: "Yes, Sir."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Shea: "Now, to the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, for the second time."

Walsh: "First time I've asked though, Mr. Speaker, . . ."

Shea: "Well, the first one was gratuitiveness on my part, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: ". . . and Ladies and Gentlemen . . . yes. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment mostly because of the Amendment that . . . ah . . . I notice is to follow which will provide a substantial increase for the City of Chicago, and I'm not concerned nor do I care that the City of Chicago gets their fair share but it looks to me, Mr. Speaker, as though districts outside of the district of . . . ah . . . the district in Chicago are getting a pittance when we're going to be asked later on to give them the lion's share as they have been used to getting all along. Now, I submit to you, Mr. Speaker that what we do is buy off a few people here and there . . . some districts . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Walsh, Mr. Walsh, there's been a point of order. Mr. Kane."

Kane: "I don't believe the Gentleman is addressing himself to this Amendment."

Shea: "Will you continue to discuss this Amendment, Mr. Walsh?"

Walsh: "I cer . . . I certainly will. Mr. Speaker, what we do is we give Springfield and we give the north shore a little bit and the rest of us all suffer. Those of you who think that including the transportation tax in the Resource Equalizer is a windfall for you school districts ought to look again. Approximately half of the districts downstate get virtually no benefit from that at all, none whatever. The districts in suburban Cook County, Dupage County and Lake County as the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, correctly point out, get very little indeed. Now, later on again we're going to come up with a great big windfall for Chicago and I'm reluctant to talk about it right now, but I submit to you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that we simply are not going to be able to fund the Resource Equalizer in times to come and the ones who are going to be shortchanged, the ones that are



going to be shortchanged are you people downstate. We really aren't promised anything in the dual districts; opposed to the city, we're not promised much at all. They give the on . . . unit districts and they give the elementary districts a little something here, we have elementary districts that they . . . rather they give the high school districts, they don't give our elementary districts anything at all; and they expect us to buy it. I would urge those of you who are in the dual districts surrounding Chicago to beat this Amendment and to resoundingly defeat the next one."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, to close."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I want to make clear my position . . . on this . . . ah . . . Committee Amendment. I did not offer this Committee Amendment in the Committee. I offered a . . . ah . . . Amendment #1 which was defeated. Probably politically the best vote for me would be a 'no' vote on this Committee Amendment because 1493 as it stands does probably a little more for the school districts that I represent. But I think that there is more than just personal political positions that I must take in recommending Amendment #2 and that is to be consistent with the position that this House has taken previously on the question of how to spend upwards of \$20,000,000 that the Governor has recommended in his budget proposal over and above the full funding of the School Aid Formula; and I think that 1506 did that in a reasonable way. The only area that was not addressed in 1506 that was addressed in 1493 was a reevaluation of the problems of Title I and I do think and I still think that that must be addressed, but I'm hopeful that these problems will be addressed or . . . as a result of any Conference Committee recommendation because they are just too overwhelming a proposition to be swept aside by . . . ah . . . regional interests. So that I come to you with mixed emotions and say that Amendment #2 isn't everything that Representative Art Berman would like but it is what this House endorsed a few weeks ago, and I think in order to keep face with you and with the . . . ah . . . everlasting . . . ah . . . optimism that we will address all needs of all parts of the state before a final Bill is passed out. I do commend to you an affirmative



vote on Amendment #2."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair it's too close. All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, you wish to explain your vote? Have all voted who wish?"

Deuster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, be very brief, but I'd like to say Senate Bill 1493 is a better Bill. I urge you to vote 'no' because 1493 the way it is without this Amendment is better for downstate and is better for Chicago; and in the Committee, the Chicago School Board representative said the Chicago School Board . . . ah . . . prefers 1493. Also a representative of the Bureau of the Budget came in and said there was less . . . a little bit less money and 1493 was a Bill that the Governor could be more inclined to sign. Ah . . . This . . . we had elementary school representatives from around this state in saying 1493 was their preference. It also is a preference of those who come from high expenditure districts that would like to go out and talk to the voters and ask for a little more money to do something more for their children because it has the innovative rate expansion. I would highly urge a 'no' vote so that we leave 1493 the way it came over from the Senate in which is frankly a much better Bill for everybody in this state."

Shea: "Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there is 78 'ayes', 46 'nays', 3 Members voting 'present'; this Amendment having received the majority of those voting on the question is, hereby, declared adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3 amends Seanate Bill 1493 as amended on page 3 by deleting all of line 28 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Shea: "Whose Amendment is that, Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "Jaffe."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, on Amendment 3."

Jaffe: "Ah . . . Yeah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #3 is also a Committee Amendment. It really deals for the first time



with the problem of declining enrollment which we have not dealt with beforehand. This really equitably deals out the money in the State of Illinois. Ah . . . It costs about \$29,000,000. \$7,500,000 goes to the City of Chicago, about \$7,500,000 to suburban Cook County and about \$14,000,000 . . . ah . . . downstate. Ah . . . It came out of Committee 15 to 3, and I would urge an 'aye' vote on it."

Shea: "Is there any discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Jaffe: "Yeah."

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "What is . . . what is the cost of changing the basis by this Amendment?"

Jaffe: "Well, actually there is no cost in F.Y. '76. The cost comes in at F.Y. '77; and the cost at that time would be approximately \$29,000,000, but you have to understand that these school districts are losing funds and . . . and so this is sort of recuping some of the funds that they will have lost, and practically every school district has lost . . . ah . . . enrollment and has lost funds."

Schlickman: "This will be a \$29,000,000 additional expenditure to the implementation of the Resource Equalizer in fiscal '7 . . ."

Jaffe: "'7, yes, Sir."

Schlickman: ". . .7. Thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. Hoffman, G. L."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if it weren't for the cost of this Amendment . . . ah . . . the Amendment is addressing a . . . ah . . . a very serious problem, and the problem comes about because the formula or . . . or state aid of all types is . . . ah . . . paid on the basis of the number of pupils. Well as many of you know, the number of pupils in the State of Illinois is . . . ah . . . dropping and in some districts is dropping . . . ah . . . tremendously. So as the enrollment goes down, their assessment goes up . . . ah . . . their assessment pro . . . their local assessment per pupil goes up mathematically and they receive less and less state aid. This Amendment says that they will slowly



drop down using three year averages to where they would normally fall anywhere. The real difficulty with this Amendment, of course, is not with the problem that it addresses because it's fair and not really particularly with the method because I think it's a fairly equitable method. But we're voting on a change in the formula which will . . . ah . . . end up costing an additional \$29,000,000 and I think that's the fact that we have to take into consideration when we consider this Amendment."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, to close."

Jaffe: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as I indicated beforehand . . . ah . . . the fiscal impact would not take place until 1977 . . . ah . . . it would be \$29,000,000 and I said about \$7,500,000 for City of Chicago, \$7,500,000 to suburban Cook County, \$14,000,000 downstate. I think this is probably the most equitable way we could possibly do it; and the way we do it if we have to weight the average daily attendance over a three year period . . . ah . . . this is the only attempt to try to use this particular method, and I would urge an 'aye' vote and remind you that the Committee adopted this Amendment 15 to 3."

Shea: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted? All in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair we need a Roll Call. All in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Dupage, Mr. Schneider, the Chairman of the Elementary and Secondary to explain his vote."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, we have . . . ah . . . enough votes . . . ah . . . I'll be quiet."

Shea: "Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 79 'ayes' 26 'nays', 2 Members voting 'present'; this Amendment receiving the majority of those voting on the question is, hereby, declared adopted. Amendment #4, the Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McGrew. Is he on the floor? Is Mr. McGrew there? Are there any further Amendments after Mr. McGrew's Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."



Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I want to ask to the . . . ah . . . Sponsor to hold this, although Mr. McGrew is not here, there's another Amendment #5 that is being drawn and we'll need to take a fiscal note. So I just want to alert the Gentlemen that in all possibility when it gets to Third and . . . if we may ask him to bring it back to Second for the purpose of Amendment. I wanted to alert him to that. Thank you."

Shea: "Third Reading. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Mr. Bradley, those Amendments are not back yet, but we'll get back there. The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "When? What . . . what Amendments are we waiting for on the . . . ah . . . Board of Regents Bill?"

Shea: ". . . there were two or three Amendments and they've taken them down to be printed and they tell me they're not distributed yet. We'll go back to Second Reading, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, I still think it's dilatory tactics . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and I've said it before that we . . . that we said we'd wait a half hour. So we've been an hour and a half since that time. If they had an Amendment that they wanted to offer, I don't object to that, but I think the least they can do is get the Amendments up there so we can go ahead with the state business."

Shea: "Where are they, Mr. Clerk? They're not up from the print shop yet. Senate Bills, Third Reading, Senate Bill 392."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 392, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that took considerable amount of time of ours last night. It merely extends the 55 mile per hour speed limit . . . ah . . . indefinitely based upon congressional actions. As you know . . . ah . . . our federal highway funds are at stake if . . . ah . . . this method of limiting speed in the state is not continued; and I would ask for your favorable support."

Shea: "The question is, shall House Bill . . . Senate Bill 392 pass? On the question, the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."



Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I alluded to several misstatements that were made last night on this Bill. Number 1, the speed limit on four lane highways, for trucks, is now 55 miles per hour. You don't have to worry about that. Number 2, the Federal Government is not threatening to cut off any of our federal highway funds if we don't equalize the speed limit of trucks on two lane highways. Ah . . . This is the same Bill that we have passed previously and I don't see any reason to vote against it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, . . ."

Friedrich: "I just want to point out as a result of yesterday's action, if you vote for this Bill, you will be limiting truck speed to 55 mile an hour in Illinois for the first time in at least in a long, long time. You will find yourself on a two lane highway wondering why you voted for this Bill because if you'd . . . you the only way you can pass a truck is by violating the speed limit. Now, maybe some of you are doing it anyway, but just to remind yourself, you're doing this. Number 2, you're cutting down . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Friedrich, if you'll hold on for a minute, Mr. Schraeder, what's your point of order, Sir?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, he's talking about the Amendment defeated last night and not the Bill."

Shea: "I think he's talking about the Bill, is he not about extending the speed limit."

Friedrich: "I'm talking about the Bill as it presently stands with a 55 mile an hour limit in it for trucks. That's part of the Bill. You helped put it on there, so let's talk about it."

Shea: "Proceed, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "We depend more and more every day on trucks to move the goods of this country and this state and the manufactured goods of this state and the groceries that go on your shelves. I think this is a bad Bill with the Amendment on it. I fought the Amendment, so it's a bad Bill with the Amendment on it; and I urge you to vote 'no'."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, the Assistant Minority Leader."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I can



find some reason not to vote for this Bill. The fact that this is the suggested speed limit by Congress and has been ratified or rubber stamped, I should say, by us and by every other state in the Union does not necessarily make it right. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that with many of the roads that we have this is an unrealistically low speed limit and I would suggest that any of you who don't think it's unrealistically low and travel at 55 miles an hour religiously to and from Springfield vote for it, and if you are the only ones that vote for it, it's going to be defeated soundly. Mr. Speaker, I can understand conserving energy. I think that's a very worthy cause, but it seems to me that we could conserve energy and do a reasonably good job by making 60 or perhaps 65 the speed limit and not one that is just ridiculously low. Travelling on Route 55 and Route 66 from here to where I live, I find that no one, I mean no one, and trucks . . . ah . . . especially do not travel at the . . . at the speed limit and people are . . . I don't find are arrested, not just Legislators, but people generally are not arrested for traveling 10 miles over the limit. Now, I don't see why we should hypocritically sit here and vote for a speed limit which we know will not be enforced, which . . . ah . . . the police, I think, are embarrassed to en . . . enforce. Let's come up with a limit that is realistic and let's see that it's enforced. I would urge that . . . ah . . . you vote 'no' on this in the hope that it might be brought back and that we might come up with a more realistic speed limit."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't feel at this time there's any reason for this Bill either, because we're already doing this at the present time. So I consider this a very do-nothing Bill. Now, we get back to this 50 mile an hour . . . just how unrealistic this is, these over-the-road trucks, if you please, they're not going to drive 55 miles an hour because 90 percent of those trucks would be burnt up if they'd preceded to run at that rate of speed because they can't get in their overdrive or cruising gear or



whatever you want to call it running 50 miles an hour and I've talked to a lot of these truckers individually and they just can't do that and afford to be on the highway and burn up a tractor that maybe cost them \$140, 50, 60,000. Now, this is about as unrealistic Bill and as unneeded as any Bill we've had in this Legislature; and I'd appreciate a 'no' vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the main question is put. The Gentlemen from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre, to close."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are all kinds of emotional arguments, I suppose, that can be made in regard to this Bill. Basically the Bill is a technical Bill, and it's technical in that it makes some technical changes in the statutes which now exist. Ah . . . It strikes . . . ah . . . the reference in our . . . ah . . . Traffic Code to the Emergency Highway Conservation Act . . . ah . . . which was in effect at the time that we installed the 55 mile an hour speed limit, and it changes that reference to the Federal Aid Highway Amendment of 1974. I . . . I would submit to you that . . . ah . . . what some of the Speakers have said . . . ah . . . in regard to this Bill . . . ah . . . is not true in regard to . . . ah . . . pla . . . the fact that . . . ah . . . continuing the 55 mile an hour speed limit or eliminating it will not place our highway funds in jeopardy . . . ah . . . and I would point out to you that if you look at the statistics in regard to traffic safety over the last two years since we've had the 55 mile an hour speed limit in effect, you'll find that we've saved thousands and thousands of lives of Ill . . . Illinoisians and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre, moves that Senate Bill 392 be passed. All those in . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 392 be passed? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'; the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, to explain



his vote."

Lechowicz: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote on this Bill, and I would hope that the Members of the House would take cognizance of the fact that what we're doing is we're concurring with the Federal Government requirement of the 55 mile an hour speed limit throughout this country, throughout this country. What's entailed in this Bill is the amount of money, federal money, that may also come into the State of Illinois. I strongly encourage a . . . an 'aye' vote on this Bill so that wouldn't jeopardize over \$400,000,000 of federal money for highways. Now, if you want to . . . ah . . . see the curtailment of the President's Bill that he just signed a week and a half ago, possibly bring that type of money into the state, then vote 'no'. But I, for one, and I'm sure that the taxpayers of Illinois want as much federal money coming in to the state that we're entitled to plus some. I strongly encourage an 'aye' vote so that we would lose the Federal money that's entitled to the State of Illinois."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Londrigan, to explain his vote."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, perhaps I should try to shed a little light on the subject. This Bill has nothing, nothing to do with trucks. It doesn't mention trucks and has nothing to do with truck speed limit. The only thing this Bill does is say that we shall have a speed limit on cars of 55 mile an hour. Until the Federal Government changes the law, then ours will change. Now, the only difference is now we already have a 55 mile an hour limit which is permanent, whether or not there are any federal funds involved I'm not sure, I really can't see why or how because we already have a 55 mile an hour speed limit. So all this does is say that when the Federal Government changes, then we will no longer have a 55 mile an hour speed limit."

Shea: "Are there any further discussions? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre, to explain his vote."

Beaupre: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would merely like to point out to the Members of the House who are objecting to this Bill because



. . . ah . . . they don't like to travel 55 miles an hour or under that basically what this Bill would do is it makes reference to the Federal Act so that when that Act is repealed we will revert to our original speed limit. I think . . . ah . . . if you look at the . . . the potential fiscal implication of . . . ah . . . not complying with the Federal Act that's referred to in this Bill that we are indeed placing our federal funds for highway purposes in jeopardy and . . . ah . . . that is completely irresponsible for this General Assembly . . . ah . . . to suggest . . . ah . . . even . . . even to hint that we don't need these federal funds for highway purposes; and I would guess that you might very well be charged with that if you've got a red light up there . . . ah . . . and . . . and . . . ah . . . I would hope that no one in this House would go on record as opposing this measure."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, to explain his vote."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if you remember two years ago when we acquiesced to the Federal Government's mandate that we install a 55 mile an hour speed limit in the State of Illinois. We did so under threat of the reduction or elimination of some \$73,000,000 worth of federal funds; and I would like to reiterate what Representative Lar . . . Lechowicz just said, we're in no condition at this time to flout federal funds. We need it as badly as any other state, and I don't like 55 miles an hour but it is the law according to the federal statute and if we don't live with it we're gonna' lose federal funds. We don't have any choice, and since this Bill doesn't have anything to do with trucks and the speed limit of trucks, I say we got to have those other 8 votes."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, to explain his vote."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my 'no' vote on this Bill just represents the disenchantment that I generally feel with the manner in which the Federal Government operates. It takes tax money out of the State of Illinois, and takes out approximately 1/3 to handle that money and then to say to the states of the Union that we're going to give you back this money provided



you do this and you do that and you do this. We have the same argument in regard of federal funds on all most every appropriation. Federal funds in the Labor Department, we can't do this because we're going to lose money. Federal funds in regard to grants to hospitals. We've got to match those grants otherwise we're going to lose federal funds. We've got to do this and we've got to do that. Nowhere in this entire situation does the federal government end up saying to the State we're going to return those funds to you that you can help end up paying for some of the costs and expenses of government that you had. I would like at one point to see one of the States of the union end up saying to this federal government we might have a problem with that \$400,000,000 here but we're going to tell you we're not going to abide by the dictates of the federal government in Washington and I assure you that the same bureaucrat who decided on this measure is going to change his tune pretty quickly because they're not going to be able to stand the key to the constituent, the industry and the people in the State of Illinois by denying us these funds."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, to explain his vote."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just wanted to indicate that my green light is ah not particularly an indication that I am a fan of 55 mile an hour speed limit, but I am a fan of federal funds in the State of Illinois and I think if we ah if we do not pass this measure as the other speakers have indicated we're placing those funds in very serious jeopardy. So I would urge ah everyone here to ah get enough green lights on that board to make sure this measure passes."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish, have all voted who wish. The Gentleman from Cook Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Well Mr. Speaker when this first passed last session I said that the federal government was mugging us in the back alley now I don't know what in we need this Bill for when we're already tied into the Highway Energy Conservation Act. All we're acting here is a symbolic Bill where nothing is at stake



but a jellyfish. We're not even a man-of-war. We have no spine nothing, we're sitting here like a bunch of pigmies letting the the federal government who I consider nothing more than hogmies cause they're the biggest hogs in the world putting the gun to our head again saying we're going to take your federal fund. Well I don't know when they've ever taken our federal fund. They threaten us with that when we didn't pass an apply consent Bill on time and they never took our federal fund. They're threatening it right and left and they've never withheld the federal fund, and here we are again like a bunch of mopes and dopes walking down the treadmill to.....and giving more and more to the federal government. Constitutionally the States are suppose to have the police power, but by God if you keep voting for garbage like this you're gonna to surrender all the police powers of the State to those people in Washington and you'll rue the day when you do."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 85 ayes, Mr. Beaupre, postpone consideration please. There's been a request for a couple of Bills on this to be taken back and be amended on the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading appears Senate Bill 910, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Ah yes."

Shea: "Sir before we get to that may I go to Mr. Londrigan for the purposes of an announcement."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker I'd like to announce that the reception that was to be held for Ex-Governor Terry Sanford at the St. Nicholas Hotel at 5 o'clock has been abandoned, we will continue at work in the House and there will be no reception."

Shea: "Then now back to the Gentleman from Hart..ah..from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Ah well is this Bill back on Second Reading. This Bill back to Second?"

Shea: "No but if you're asking for the House to take it back, is there objection, hearing none, Second Reading."

Hart: "Ah having voted on a prevailing side by which Amendment #1 was



adopted I now move to reconsider that vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman on the motion."

Schlickman: "May we have, may we have an explanation of the Amendment?"

Hart: "Yes you may. Ah...the Amendment ...ah...if this had to do with Shawneetown Regional Port District the Bill adds two members to the district...ah...the Bill...ah...was amended by the Amendment that I'm now that I'm now going to table to give one of the appointments to the Mayor of Ridgway...ah...to determine that Ridgway is not within the confines of the Shawneetown Port District, so that Amendment will be tabled and I'm going to offer an Amendment to give the other appointment to the Chairman of the county board of Hardin County. Ah...I move to table Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. Is there objection, hearing none, leave is granted. Is there further Amendment to Amendment #2. The Gentleman from...."

Hart: "Yes this would put the appointment...ah...in the...ah... chairman of the county board of Hardin County the appointee must reside within the district and this will give Hardin County...ah... representation on the board of the Shawneetown Regional Port District and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Shea: "Read the Amendment Mr. Clerk and then we'll get to the questions."

O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Hart amends Senate Bill 920 on page 1, line 22 by deleting five and inserting in lieu thereof four, and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers."

Byers: "Sponsor yield."

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Byers: "Ah Mr. Hart how many members are on that board now?"

Hart: "Seven."

Byers: "Seven. And how are they appointed now?"

Hart: "Four by the Governor, three by the Mayors of Equality...ah... Shawneetown and...ah...one other town I can't remember



Byers: "And this Amendment would let the county board chairman of Hardin County appoint one member."

Hart: "That's right ther at the present time Hardin County although has it has some of it's territory within the district has no representation on the board."

Byers: "Would...ah...would this member have to be from Hardin County?"

Hart: "Yes and not only from Hardin County but within the terr. . part of Hardin County that's in the District."

Byers: "Just that one part?"

Hart: "That's right."

Byers: "Ok thank you."

Hart: "You're welcome."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion, the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Hart: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Just to make sure that we don't have to come back to Second Reading on this is the Shawneetown Regional Port District in Hardin County."

Hart: "It covers parts of Galatin and Hardin."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Hart: "You're welcome."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Kane Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Would the Speaker yield?"

Shea: "He wants to know if the speaker will yield. You want to.... He wants to know if you'll yield."

Hart: "Yes I will."

Waddell: "Ah could you tell me what salary is involved in here in this membership?"

Hart: "There is no salary."

Waddell: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from....is there any further discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say aye, those oppose nay, the ayes have it, the Amendment is



adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Shea: "Third Reading on the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 241. The Gentleman ask leave to take it back to the order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment, are there objections, hearing none, Second Reading."

O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Kornowitz amends Senate Bill 241 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Shea: "Who's Amendment is it? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment, is there discussion? Explain the Amendment Mr. Kornowitz."

Kornowitz: "Yes the Amendment is ah..an Amendment just to clarify on the appointment of the CTA."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? All in favor say aye, Mr. ah...Schlickman on the question."

Schlickman: "Well as I understand this. Will the Sponsor yield please?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "As I understand this Bill it provides for free transportation on CTA for members of the Cook County Sheriff's Department. Now that did you mention what did you have in mind when you referred to appointment to CTA."

Kornowitz: "That's right."

Schlickman: "Well could you be more explain it, explanatory?"

Kornowitz: "What I have in mind is the is the Amendment of the board and that is tied in with the....ah....CTA."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker could we have the Clerk read this Amendment please?"

Shea: "You got a copy of it? Is this Amendment printed Mr. Clerk Are you through Mr. Schlickman?"

Schlickman: "No sir I don't have a copy of the Amendment."

Kornowitz: "Well the Amendments were passed out."

Schlickman: "Well let's read it then. I'm on the other side and I don't have....."

Kornowitz: "Will the Clerk read the Amendment please?"



Shea: "Is it a long Amendment Mr. Clerk? Why don't we just get you a copy Mr. Schlickman?"

Schlickman: "Well I think there are others who are interested too, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Well have the members got the Amendments. Were they passed out Mr. Clerk. They tell me they've got them now. Do you have them on the Republican side. Where's a Republican page. Would you check Mr. Walsh to see if the Amendments are passed out or not."

Kornowitz: "They're being passed out now, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "All right take the Bill out of the record until we get the Amendments. Senate Bill, Second Reading. In the order of Senate Bill. Second Reading appears Senate Bill 476."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 476."

Shea: "This Bills been read a third time. We're on Amendment #2. Read Amendment #2 please."

O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Grotberg amends Senate Bill 476 is amended on page 7 between line 15 and 16 by inserting the following, and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg on the Amendment."

Grotberg: "Thank you again Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe we can now proceed with Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 476 which as I started to relate an hour or so ago has gets at the problem of the higher education system in this particular case the regency system and the evidently state-wide confusion in being able to answer questions in appropriations regarding the use of university radio stations and television stations for concerns beyond academic ah training of students enrolled in communications courses. I think a glaring example of it was just mentioned a few moments ago when ah the Representative from Sangamon stated that a leading citizen of the nation Mr. Terry Sanford was not going to be cocktail partied tonight because of the work of the House. I might tell you that the only radio exposure that Mr. Sanford got in the City of Springfield today was Sangamon University. Bless their



news department they had time to work him in. So it throughout the State of Illinois in the most unguided biggest radio system in the State. If this Amendment does not get on Ladies and Gentlemen I would only suggest that the State of Illinois also buy up all the newspapers in the State. We might as well have State owned newspapers as State owned radio television programs and that are unguided unstructured and even the higher board of education cannot tell us what the state-wide policy is. Now to the Amendment #2 merely inserts into this budget the following; all funds appropriated for each campus operated radio and television facility shall be expended only for academic and technical courses in radio and television communications in a ratio...or no in a ratio no greater than the cost per student enrolled in such courses in higher education system as a whole. University instructional television associations shall use appropriated funds only for instruction and that is the language of this Amendment to be applied. It is to remain to the appropriation because it deals with the appropriation and I would like to find a way as long as this system cannot get us figures in time to help them amend their budget we will put a blanket Amendment like this on each and every one of them as it comes along. And I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Shea: "The Gentleman's moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. On the question is there any discussion, the Gentleman from Bradley Mr. McLean. The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this Amendment. I understand that the Amendment that was offered on other Bills this morning in Appropriation Committee...ah...very similar to this in fact the exact Amendment, they were defeated. Ah...I don't think this Amendment should be defeated because in my opinion when you're restricting the way this Amendment tends in least as I read it restricts the Appropriation funds only for instruction if they had a breakdown in the radio



or television services of that they presently have in my opinion if we pass this Amendment they couldn't even buy a new tube...ah.. because it wouldn't be for instructional purposes to repair the particular radio station that they presently have. I think this is a bad Amendment. It certainly would restrict the...ah... radio stations and TV stations that we presently have at our universities...ah...in the area of being able to provide educational services and cultural programs...ah...to the local community and when I'm talking about the local community, the community is the local university community where educational programs could not be provided, and these...ah...these are provided by the station and I will say for this Gentleman though he did recognize the fact that that at ISU we had a very very small radio...ah...service as far as power transmitting power. I don't think the Gentleman found anything wrong with that particular operation because it's not it's not an expensive operation, but I have to resist the the...ah...I I just can't accept the language when it's limits it only the funds only for instruction because that's just too limiting upon what it entails to teach these people how to become...ah...announcers, repair people, etc., that they do do, so I suggest we vote a no on this Amendment."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes. Mr. Grotberg did you wish to..."

Bradley: "I'll close if I may."

Shea: "Well...ah...Mr..."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker I would like to raise a point of order here on Amendment #2...ah...to whether or not...ah...this Amendment is in order...ah...since it adds only.....I would consider.....language to Appropriation Bill it does direct itself at any line item or any dollar amount in the Appropriation Bill. It merely addslanguage to restrict the movement of funds but it does not delineate what funds that is clearly speaking directing itself toward. So I would question whether or not



this is Amendment is..."

Shea: "Give me the Bill on the Amendment Mr. Clerk. Have a copy of the Bill just handy there. Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Might I add to that inquiry also that part of these funds that we're talking about here are student fees not appropriated money. These are fees that are are are...ah...part of...ah...part of the funds are fees that are collected from students for student activities and as I read this Amendment it would restrict the use of those also those monies also and that's not part of our appropriation process."

Shea: "It would be the opinion of the chair that this Amendment seeks to put substance matters in an appropriation Bill and therefor is not germane. Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I'm not unaware of some of these things but I am aware of the substantive provision that's been placed on every Bill in this House lately by Representative Catania. I'm repre...I'm aware of this type of..ah.....material being.....by Representative Younge...ah...and I hereby would appeal to the chair that the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Barnes is wrong. It mentions only appropriated funds and has nothing to do with fees. I would remind the Sponsor of this Bill that this Amendment has not been placed on anything in Committee, we have only this within the last several hours got the Amendment Representative and...ah...based on that I would not...ah...appeal to ruling the chair but appeal to your good sense Mr. Speaker to allow again for legislative intent to slip into some of these appropriations are we will of all been done in."

Shea: "Well the Parliamentarian's gonna look at it again with me and we'll see. Mr. Grotberg I got a further problem all of a sudden on the Bill I got before me. There is no line 14 or 15 and 16 on lines page 7."

Grotberg: "Well would you mind just taking your pen and putting in the appropriate lines as long as you have the Bill we'll we'll go along with the chair on that."

Shea: "Hardly believe so. Are there further....."



Grotberg: "Do you have 476...?"

Shea: "That's the.."

Grotberg: "As amended.."

Shea: "That's the number the Clerk gave me."

Grotberg: "As amended.."

Shea: "As amended.."

Grotberg: "Well now Mr. Speaker it would seem that on page 7 line 14 or on line 15 and 16 is exactly in order on the Bill that I have. Now let's sort that out."

Shea: "Well we seem to have a little problem here. Mr....ah....do you know if this Bill was amended in the Senate Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "It's not amended in the Senate..ah..I don't know what the problem is with the..ah..with the Parliamentarian's problem is. You've already ruled that the...ah...Amendment is not germane."

Shea: "Well I ruled that..."

Bradley: "So why are we fooling around with the Amendment?"

Shea: "And I rule with the line. Are there further Amendments here."

O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Ryan, amends Senate Bill 476 on page 1, line 14 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan on Amendment #3."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House ah...there must be some error in this Amendment. This is Representative Washburn's Amendment."

Shea: "Well then back to the Gentleman from Grundy the Minority Leader."

Washburn: "Thank you...ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..ah..if I might I'd just like to comment on some conversation that took place...ah...when we started to hear appropriation Bills this afternoon. Speaker Redmond did come back to me and oh here he is right here. Speaker Redmond did come back to me and said that he would like to...ah...move appropriation Bills and I indicated to him that we were having some Amendments drawn and would hope that he could wait a little bit, so there was no agreement and I'm sure the Speaker would shake his head yes to that comment. So we clarify that matter. Now Amendment #3 is one of those...ah...Amendments that we were waiting for and this is the approach they we think that we should



take in lieu of the Governor's...ah...proposal to cut across the board six percent. Now I think every one in this room will agree that...ah...that the six percent approach...ah...is not workable it's not feasible. Six percent from the school aid formula...ah... for example or...ah...from Public Aid or...ah...from many many other items. Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House this Legislature does have a responsibility for setting priorities, and as a Republican Member of this House I stand ready to cut this budget to bring it into line with estimated state revenue's for fiscal 76. We have had our staff and appropriation members, committee members literally working day and night to review every single budget Bill in this House in order to propose these Amendments. Now Amendment #3 would decrease the seventy-eight million seven-hundred and forty-seven thousand six hundred and seventy-one dollars from general revenue funds contained in Senate Bill 476 by some seven million four-hundred sixty-three thousand seven hundred and fifty-four dollars. Broken down more than that if you desire in personal services and fringe benefits, three million four-hundred and seventy-three thousand. In contractual services, the printing commodities, travel etc., two million one-hundred and ninety-four thousand four hundred. The equipment one million five-hundred and fifty-three thousand four-hundred. In tela-communications thirty-seven thousand nine eighty and in permanent improvements two hundred and four-thousand five thirty four. Now Ladies and Gentlemen, I think that this is the fiscally responsible position to take to the revenue crisis that we find ourselves in and certainly it's the responsible position of this legislature, and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 476."

Shea: "On the question, the Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer. On the question Mr. Bradley. Mr. Lauer evidently isn't there. Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to oppose this Amendment because in fact what this Amendment cuts...it cuts 9.4 percent out of the budget for the Board of Regents. Not a six percent cut. It actually will give



them less money to operate on this fiscal year, and I hope that the Sponsor of the Amendment was listening. Mr. Minority Leader you're cutting the Amendment by...by this Amendment you're cutting them 9.4 percent not 6 percent as was requested. So it's a more drastic cut than...ah...the Governor is asking for which you have as your prerogative to do, but let me say this to you. You've also cut them more or with less money and give them less money to operate on this next fiscal year than they operated on this fiscal year. I don't know how in the world the Minority Leader expects these three universities to take more students this next year and educate them with less money than they had last year with fewer students. These cuts are at a time when inflationary time is pushing, put in for instance our utility cost at these universities are going up from twenty to forty percent. Other items going as high as ten to fifteen percent. The cuts just are greater than the State's fiscal crisis seems to dictate at this time. It's a poor Amendment. The Appropriation Committee I understand defeated similiar Amendments to the...to other universities Bills this morning. I would certainly hope that the Board of Regents could be treated likewise as our other university governing boards through out this State, and I would urge the members on both sides of the aisle to take a good strong look at a cut of 9.4 percent and realize what damage it will be doing to the Board of Regents and I strongly...and I strongly urge that we defeat this Amendment because it's one we simply can't live with."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Lauer: "Representative Washburn is this an Amendment that...ah...was worked out with the Regency system?"

Washburn: "No it isn't Representative Lauer. They had an Amendment but it wasn't in this total amount."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, if I may speak to the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Lauer: "I hate to rise and oppose my Minority Leader, but I do know



as a matter of fact having talked with the Presidents of all of the Regency Universities last night, and having talked with the ah...staff of the Regency System that the Regency Universities within the last week have worked out a six percent cut that they can live with. They...they can live with it they're going to bleed, but sometimes it's considerably less painful to bleed if you can select the place where you're going to be cut. They're some priorities that they have established. There are priorities that... that...ah...are fully within the scope of good education. These are establishing areas where the cuts can properly be made. It seems to me that this Amendment if it would not work out with the Regency System should be resisted because this is again the broad act approach whereas we should use a scalpel to make sure that we do not cut into the muscle that's in you of good education Appropriations Bill that would damage the educational madate and the carrying out of that madate by the Regency System."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Just to speak to the Amendment for just a moment. We had two similiar Amendments of this type this morning on the...ah ...Southern Illinois University, and also on the Board of Governors. Ah...the Amendment the approach to the Amendments were almost the same. The percentages were almost the same, and if you want to talk about a meat axe approach that's what you're talking about here. You're cutting out funds that was available to these institutions in the current year. You're cutting out programs that have already been instituted. You're cutting out obligations that has already been made and can't be fulfilled. These Amendments were offered in the Appropriations Committee this morning and were both soundly defeated, and I think that in line with that the same approach should be soundly rebuffed here on the House floor and let's get on with the business of government and...ah...put this Bill in the shape that the Regencies and the staff and the Bureau of the Budget has worked so hard to put it into, and go ahead and pass this Bill out. We should soundly defeat this Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I...ah...arose...ah...ah...when the prior person was in the chair. I guess to a point of media privilege. Ah...Mr. ah...Grotberg stated that no radio station had covered former Governor Sanford's appearance in Springfield and that's incorrect virtually all of them did. Ah...unfortunately we don't have enough time to listen to the radio so he probably doesn't know about that. I would like to suggest that on this Amendment the issue is clear cut...ah...this is not a meat axe this is a pearing knife. Now what we're trying to do here what the Republicans are trying to do and a feeling to the Democrats to assist us in doing is to allow us to fully fund the items like the Public Aid Formula, the School Aid Formula and the other categorical grants. Now I don't know if the six percent for instance is going to be cut of the...ah...revenue sharing money that we give to cities and counties, but if it is the Municipal League...ah...of Representatives and those people who represent county government ought to be looking at this. It is a nine percent cut. Now obviously nine percent is more than six percent but I would assert without fear of contradiction that if one is going to cut nothing from large parts of the budget like state aid education and like welfare one had better find some other place to make a cut that's a little more than six percent. Now the universities are an interesting case as long as their enrollment was increasing they were satisfied to have their budget increased a proportional way. When enrollment started going down two years ago they found they created new rules of the game. Now apparently we are creating another set of new rules. It seems to me that...ah...the educational institutions I know have a lot of fat in them and...ah...maybe they won't bleed as much as the proponents of the opponents of this Amendment think. Indeed maybe instead of choking to death as apparently the Sponsor of the Bill almost did...ah...they may become healthier as they become leaner. Let's put them on a diet."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn to close."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Cer-



tinly Representative Bradley was right when he said that this was about a nine percent cut, but we must remember that we're talking only about general revenue and this is a nine percent 9.48 percent decrease in general revenue funds. Now, I think the question that's before us right now is are we as Legislators going to advocate our authority to the Governor? I think in my opinion that someone in State government this year has to...ah... set priorities and meet the responsibilities. This Bill is exactly what that does with this Amendment. It sets priorities which is our job to do, and I would asked for your favorable support of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 476 if we're going to ever get out of this fiscal mess."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 476. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'...'aye'. The opposed 'no'...'no', the 'no's have it. The Amendment's lost. the gentleman has asked for Roll Call. All in favor of the adoption vote 'aye', the opposed vote 'no'. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to explain my 'no' vote, and make a correction to one of the speakers who participated in the debate. The university the Regency Universities have all three grown substantially. One is a new university here at Sangamon who has increased it's enrollment. Illinois State University has increased it's enrollment and Northern Illinois after a drop off some three years ago has increased it's enrollment, and now you're asking those people to educate those students with a great deal less money and I like the way the board looks and...ah...thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 53 'ayes', 80 'no' and the Amendment is lost. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading, 661. Representative Tipword."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 661, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Business and Economic Development. Second Reading of the Bill.Committee Amendments."



Amendment #1, amends Senate Bill 661 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Now this is an Amendment that is an extensive Amendment of change that was prepared by the...ah...appropriations the staff of Appropriations #1 by changing several different portions of...of this Bill. The Amendment was...ah...accepted by the Department of Business and Economic Development when it was presented, and the Amendment was explained a short while ago by Representative...ah... Lechowicz on the floor of this House, and I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that we are on Amendment #2. Amendment #1 was adopted before."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you."

O'Brien: "Amendment #2, amends Senate Bill 661 as amended on page 1 by striking line 27 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Whose the Sponsor of this Amendment? Representative Tipsword?"

Tipsword: "This was by Representative Houlihan in Appropriation's I."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz are you going to handle the Amendment?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 appropriates seventy five thousand dollars of federal funds, and reappropriates fifteen thousand dollars of state money for the Foreign Trade Zone Feasibility Study and I move for it's adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The gentleman moved the adoption of Amendment #2, Senate Bill 661. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying 'aye'...'aye'. The opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #3 was tabled in Committee. Amendment #4, amends Senate Bill 661 by inserting between Section 11 and Section 12 the following....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."



Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 is the F.E.P.C. Amendment, and I move for it's adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 661. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'...'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The 'aye's' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 661 on page 3 by inserting between line 22 and 23 the following. Section 3a and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment that puts into law a promise that the director made not to use any of the money on making mass mailing on the stationery of the Governor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A similar Amendment was defeated in Committee. I ruled that it was not germane to the Bill. I'm sorry, and like to have a ruling from the Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "I concur with...I concur with the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee. I do not consider it germane."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #6."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise?"

Skinner: "Well I merely arise to...ah...ask that you be con...consistent in your ruling from now on."

Speaker Redmond: "I will. I will rule the same way next time. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Totten. Amends Senate Bill 661 as amended on page 1 line 13 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten. Is that Representative Totten? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I've been asked to handle this Amendment for Representative Totten."

Speaker Redmond: "Leave granted for Representative Ryan to handle Repre-



sentative Totten Amendment? Hearing no objection, leave is granted."

Ryan: "This Amendment reduces the...ah...appropriation of...ah...to Senate Bill 661 by one million three-hundred ninety-one thousand three-hundred dollars...ah...in various Sections, and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #6."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm going to have to oppose...ah...Amendment #6...ah...has not been discussed whatsoever as far as reductions in what area's. I think it's quite similiar to the Amendment that was just defeated, and ah...in as far as the Board of Regents Budget, and I think it is rather untimely and at least have the courtesy to of at least discussing the Amendment with the Sponsor and maybe someone from the Appropriations Committee as well. I was trying to suggest that we reject Amendment #6 to this Bill at this time."

Ryan: "Representative Lechowicz, Representative Totten is now on the floor, and I'm sure he would be glad to answer any questions that you may have on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I also would rise to oppose this Amendment. There have already been cuts in this...in this budget...ah...though the cuts have been quite substantial and been ah.....to the Department of Business and Economic Development. I presume that this is a...one of those grand stand cuts that they were going to cut six percent plus more off of the...ah...business...ah...the Department of Business and Economic Development. So for the next year it cannot function properly. I think that it would be very...ah...inappropriate for us to adopt this...ah...Amendment that is being offered at this time, and I think that it would be inappropriate for us to try to cripple the the...ah...department for the next year. We..I think will be making provisions if the full six percent is not been reached on the...ah...general revenue funds in this budget buy the Amendments that have already been proposed, and the cuts have already been made in this budget for this department. I'm sure that they will be adequately taken care of."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten. Is Representative Yat...

Ryan yielding to Representative Totten. He...he yields."

Totten: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker to...ah...explain the Amendment ah...as the Business and Economic Development..."

Speaker Redmond: "That was explained by Representative Ryan, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well there are apparently some questions on it."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't hear any questions. Anybody got any questions?"

Totten: "Let me just point out then...ah..."

Speaker Redmond: "You may explain your vote if you wish but we won't need any further explanation."

Totten: "Well Mr. Speaker...ah...the Sponsor of the Bill has just raised some questions on it that are not accurate. This this appro..."

Speaker Redmond: "You may do that in explanation of vote. The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 661. All in favor indicate by saying aye, ok, all in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Representative Totten to explain your vote."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker...ah...it wasn't but...ah...less than a week ago that the Governor indicated to us that he wanted to cut some of these appropriations by 6%. This appropriation Bill has not been cut by the appropriations department and what or...ah...ah...Committee and what this Amendment does is take money out of new programs that have been put into the BED budget. There are no reductions in this Amendment as in this Bill as it exist now and this Amendment, Amendment #6 to House to Senate Bill 661 does provide reductions of \$1,391,000 to the Bill and I would ask for a favorable vote on the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. On this question there's 50 ayes, 83 no, the Amendment's lost. Any further Amendments Any further Amendments Mr. Clerk?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Will you explain where Senate Bill 241 is again Mr. Clerk? Senate Bill 241 I understand has been returned to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment, is that correct."

O'Brien: "That's correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Then the Amendments have been distributed, is that correct?"

O'Brien: "Yes, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you read the first Amendment? Senate Bill 241."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 241, Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 241 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who who's the Sponsor?"

O'Brien: "Ah...Representative Kornowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker this...ah...this Amendment only clarifies in regard to the appointment. In other words there's vacancies in the CTA board and we're asking for the appointment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh.:

Walsh: "Ah...Mr. Speaker we're out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "I understand that we were in order that the it was taken out of the record in order that the Amendments would be distributed. I was advised that the it was in order..."

Walsh: "Well...in...yeah but that. . . thatwe must go through the Senate Bills in Second Reading and return to this after we have....ah....ah....touched on every other one."

Speaker Redmond: "No that was in the.....General Assembly Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "No there was no there's there's nothing Mr. Speaker that permits you under the rules to return to something because the Amendments have not been distributed and if you wish to go back to this then you ought to have someone suspend the rules, get 107 votes and go to this."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "I understand that the acting speaker was given leave to go to the order of Senate Bill 241 and and as a courtesy it was taken out because the Amendments were not ready. I understand the Amendments have been distributed and I'm going back to the order where the then speaker had been given permission to go. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well Speaker, Mr. Speaker, I'm rising on a parliamentary question."

Speaker Redmond: "State your....."

Schlickman: "The parliamentary question being the matter of germaneness.

Now.....ah Senate Bill 241 as it was introduced in the Senate and as it was read one, two, three times in the Senate provided dealt solely with the subject Mr. Speaker, subject of providing free rides on the Chicago Transit Authority for Cook County Sheriff department employees. That's the way the Bill was passed by the Senate. It came to the House in that form and was read a first time in the House. It was then heard in Committee and reported out of Committee solely dealing with the subject of free transportation for employees of the Cook County Sheriff department and that's the way it comes to us at the order of Second Reading. Now Mr. Speaker it previly...it previously has been held by you Mr. Speaker, if I can have your attention, previously was held by you that germaneness is not based on a separate section of the same Act. You did that to an Amendment that I offered Mr. Speaker. Now there's inconsistency by the chair's rulings. I respectfully call your attention to.....rules of order which says to be germane and a an Amendment must in some way involve the same question that is raised by the motion to which it's applied. An Amendment can not introduce an independent question. Now what the Amendment does Mr. Speaker, it goes to the independent question of the membership of the Chicago Transit Authority. That is an entirely different subject from the main motion which is this Bill as it was presented to us at the order of Second Reading in dealing as I indicated previously with the question of whether or not Cook County Sheriff employees



can receive or should receive free transportation on CTA buses.

I respectively suggest Mr. Speaker that this is not a germane Amendment. It's an independent question and therefore it should be ruled as not being germane and out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "Well Representative Schlickman the main Bill amended Section 30 of the Metropolitan Transit Authority in order to read in that manner and so forth. The Amendment also amends the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act. Not only Section 30 but also insert Section 20 and the Amendment is in Section 20."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker previously I offered a motion. . . offered an Amendment to Section 3 of a two of a three Section Act and because the Bill dealt only with Section 2 you ruled that the Amendment I offered at that time was not germane. It was in the same three Section Act and at the time Mr. Speaker I conceded to your ruling. I deferred to it with all respect to your judgment and to your position as Speaker. I did comment Mr. Speaker that I trusted that you would be consistent in your rulings on germaneness and that you would hold that action on your part as the criterion by which you would subsequently rule on germaneness. Mr. Speaker if you do not rule that this Amendment is not germane you have broken trust, Mr. Speaker, with a member of this House."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, my point of order is you have ruled, he has only one other alternative, he's continuing to debate and he's out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "While it appears to me that they're amending the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act by amending the language of Section 20 and Section 30, it seems inconceivable to me that if you wanted to amend Section 20, 21, 22, 23 and so forth, that you have a separate Bill for each one of them, so therefore I do believe it is germane and I so rule. If my ruling is in error I apologize, but that's the way it strikes me that we are amending the Metropolitan Transit Act and I can't conceive that you you can't change the language of two separate sections of the main Act, and that's.....Representative Kornowicz."



Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker I I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved for the adoption of Amendment #1,
Senate Bill 241. All in favor vote aye, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "What is the reason for this Amendment?"

Kornowicz: "Well there is the there's vacancies and this Amendment
just clarifies in regard to the appointment."

Schlickman: "Has there been any problem under the existing Act?"

Kornowicz: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Would you sight it?"

Kornowicz: "Well I mean if you have vacancy the board cannot operate
properly."

Schlickman: "May I ask one more question Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "You may."

Schlickman: "Why don't you reverse the situation to be completely
consistent inequitable? You have not provided as I read your
Amendment that any appointment made by by the Governor has to be
approved or disapproved within fifteen days by the Governor or
ah...by the Mayor. Where's the equity in consistency in your
Amendment?"

Kornowicz: "Well...ah..."

Schlickman: "You're being discriminatory, sir."

Kornowicz: "In regards to the the...ah...board there's seven men on the
board and there is five members on the Committee at the present
time there is one vacancy, and there is one deceased, and I thought
that this Amendment would would solve the problem in regards to
and clarify in regards the appointment."

Schlickman: "May I address myself to the Amendment Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House we have an Amendment
here that cuts only one way. It provides that if the Governor
does not approve or disapprove an appointment made by the Mayor
of the City of Chicago within fifteen days that person appointed
by the Mayor shall be conclusive and firm, so we don't have it
the other way. In other words the Mayor of the City of Chicago



can withhold any action on his part relatively to gubernatorial appointees forever, and a vacancy will exist to the detriment of a Chicago Transit Authority. I would suggest Mr. Speaker and Members of the House for the sake of consistency, for the sake of equity, for the sake of insuring that there will be a continuity of the CTA board that this Amendment be defeated to stimulate to induce the Sponsor of this Bill to hold it at Second Reading and come up with an Amendment that cuts both ways. It's discriminatory, it's prejudicial, it should be defeated. I might even suggest I think it's political."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Ah Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the Gentleman a question if I might."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz."

Houlihan: "If in the case of...ah...some pending...ah issues which are very important not only to the City of Chicago but to the State, the Governor requests some information so that he can make a judicious decision as to the appointment procedure...ah.. wouldn't this preclude him from having that information in making a reason judgment in approving the appointments of the...ah...Mayor to the CTA."

Kornowicz: "Well Senator Houlihan all I can tell you that there's vacancies for eight months and I thought....ah....in regards to this Amendment...ah...I believe the replacements should be made."

Houlihan: "Well Representative Kornowicz I agree that the placements should be made but I think that the intent of the original legislation was to bring together the Mayor and the Governor and their concerns about the CTA, I think now that we have a RTA it's even more important that the Governor, and the Mayor, and those people that are...ah...responsible for mass transportation in Metropolitan area work together very closely. I can think of a...ah...hypothetical situation where for example a proposed ...ah...chairman of the CTA might want to take all the road funds that downstaters might want to use for their roads and



use it for mass transportation. In that case, I think that the Governor might want to...ah...examine the fitness of that person to represent..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone, for what purpose do you rise?"

Stone: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Stone: "Well the gentleman is very argumentative raising...ah...hypothetical questions. If he wishes to ask questions on the Amendment, I think it would be proper but he is not."

Speaker Redmond: "Confine your remarks to the... Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Also, on a point of order you don't use road funds for mass transit. You've got a separate fund for that."

Speaker Redmond: "Point is well taken. Bring your remarks to a close Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Well, Mr. Speaker I would like to speak out against this Amendment. I think what this would do it would be a step at trying to break down the communication that's very necessary between the Chief Executive of the State, and the Chief Executive of the City about transportation problems. It would polarize...further polarize and possibly politicize the appointments to the CTA, and I think that would be very bad. I think anybody that's interested in mass transportation and the use of both Federal and State Funds for...ah...transportation purposes both mass transit and highways ought to vote against this...ah...proposed Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Point of parliamentary procedure."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Palmer: "Ah...Senate Bill 241 amends the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act in it's chapter hundred eleven two-thirds with a paragraph. The chair ruled on germaness that outside of the subject area, and I believe if I understood correctly that the ruling of the Speaker was that anything within that Act could be amended. Am I correct that this is a guideline for germaness rather than subject area?"



Speaker Redmond: "In essence I...I...ah...ruled that this Amendment was germane. It just added...just changed the language of another Section."

Palmer: "If we changed, then it would be germane...?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Point of order. That issue is not before us. The man is speculating and we'll rule at the appropriate time."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're point is well taken. Anything else Representative Palmer?"

Palmer: "No, I'll bring it up later."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 241. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my vote. This is perhaps one of the most ludicrous Amendments I've seen in my life, Mr. Speaker. Then we have an Amendment that says each appointment by the Governor shall be subject to the approval by the Mayor, and each approval by the Mayor shall be subject to the approval by the Governor. Then it goes on to put a time limit on the amount of time that the Governor has to approve or disapprove his...ah...the...ah...Mayor's appointment but like such time limit is put on the...ah Mayor, and I think it...it lacks equity it doesn't make sense to me, and I for one notwithstanding any affinity or like of affinity I may have for the Mayor of Chicago, I will not vote for this Amendment. I think that it is ludicrous and I'll have no part in it. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce, do you seek recognition? Have all voted who wish. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In explaining my 'aye' vote on this...ah...basically what we're trying to do is to get certain people moving, and I think that if you take a look at the City of Chicago and the Chief Executive of that City, that's one thing about the Mayor...ah...he doesn't hesitate. He thinks the things out and moves accordingly, and unfortunately that the vacancies that occurred on the CTA Board even when the Chief



Executive of this State was the Honorable Richard Ogilvie, we never had this type of situation develop. Where there was an inequity between the Chief Executive of this State...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, point of order. He is not speaking on the Amendment. He's making a political speech."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. Speak on the Amendment, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker that's exactly what the Amendment does. What we're trying to do is have the Chief Executive of the State make a decision within a reasonable period of time as far as the recommendation on the vacancy on the CTA Board. That's what we're trying to correct, and that's including making sure that the people act accordingly within a reasonable amount of time. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 46 'ayes', 92 'no'. The Amendments lost. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Wait a minute...oh yes, there's a fiscal note requested. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I was just inquiring as to rather the fiscal note had been filed?"

Speaker Redmond: "The request has been filed but I don't believe that the note has been.... Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, I now withdraw my request for a fiscal note, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Certainly. Representative Daniels is a smart aleck. Clerk, if you will advise the Chair where we terminated Senate Bills, Third Reading? Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I noticed that Representative Cal Skinner has... ah...requested to withdraw his fiscal note. I'd like to...ah...request a fiscal note at this time, if he's going to withdraw it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you filed the thing in proper form?"

Houlihan: "I'm going to walk down and file it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I distinctly heard you order to go to the order



of Third Reading, and any attempt to violate the request for a fiscal note at this time would not be timely."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I...ah...distinctly heard you...ah...move it to Third Reading. I rose to ask if there was a fiscal note filed, and you indicated that there was. Subsequent to you're moving it to Third Reading you said that you would bring it back to Second Reading because the fiscal note had not been filed, and then Representative Skinner has withdrawn his request for a fiscal note and I believe that there is now another fiscal note being requested so it would be proper keeping this Bill on Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, regardless of the intent of any Member of this House to ask for a...to submit a request for a fiscal note. The fact to the matter are and the transcript will substantiate it, that you ordered the Bill to the order of Third Reading. Prior to the time that Representative Houlihan indicated that he had intent to file a request for a fiscal note, and the transcripts will further indicate that a previous request for a fiscal note has been withdrawn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Well Mr. Speaker, I think the course of events do not...ah... substantiate the intention of Representative Madigan, and I'd respectfully ask if this Bill is still on Second Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "My memory is that I did not send it to Third Reading because I think the Clerk interrupted me, and said that a fiscal note had been requested...and that's my memory and therefore I will move it back or keep it on the order of Second Reading wherever it may be. Get down here and ask for your fiscal note?"

Houlihan: "It's filed, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Then will hold it on the order of Second.... Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I hereby request a copy of the transcript, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "We will be guided by whatever the transcript says. How long does it take to get it? Well, we can't do it until we have



adjourned Representative Madigan. If the transcript indicates that I had moved it to Third Reading, then I will reverse myself and put it on Third Reading tomorrow. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "For the Record, Mr. Speaker. Would you also look at the transcript to see if you moved it to Third Reading while Representative Skinner's fiscal note was still pending, because if you did that would be in violation of the rules."

Speaker Redmond: "I'll do the best I can."

Houlihan: "Alright."

Speaker Redmond: "Now...is somebody going to tell the Chair where the previous speaker ended and the calling of.... Is it 392? Is that the next Bill? 398...we're on Senate Bills, Third Reading. Representative Ewell, 398."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 398...ah...a Bill for an Act to try to define the powers and duties of the Department of Mental Health, Development Disabilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "The...ah...digest adequately describes the Bill. Ah...amends the Department of Mental Health and Development Disabilities Act, and requires the Department to establish in Chicago an in-patient facility for the long term care of the...ah...mentally ill, and the ah...Amendment...ah...simply requires the Department to maintain and operate within Cook County a in-patient facility. Ah...this is ah...Senator...ah...Palmer's Bill. It's a good Bill and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I wonder what effect this would have on Elgin State Hospital?"

Ewell: "Mr. Skinner, I'm really not sure as to what effect it will have on Elgin State. It seems that someone else can answer for me my... ah...running mate."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Ah...the Chicago area is flooded with persons who should be in institutions. The pro...the state program of putting people back into the neighborhood has failed. So it's not going to effect Elgin



at all. There's going to be enough people to go around for all the institutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "How much will it cost? Did my question get through?"

Speaker Redmond: "How much did it cost? Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Well a...again some of these questions I guess would have been proper in Committee where we had the people who were available to us to let us know exactly what it would cost, but...ah...I can't give you on Third Reading the cost and I didn't see a...ah...fiscal note requirement and...ah...without a fiscal note I would have no way of preparing for this particular...ah...question."

Skinner: "Well..ah..if I might speak to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Skinner: "This is not the Sponsor's fault but the Department seems to be totally unacceptable to legislature. . . legislators of this General Assembly. There has been a series printed in the Elgin Courier News about three months ago in Elgin State Hospital which the Department agreed to summarize so that I could distribute it to legislators in the area of a served by Elgin State Hospital. That summary has been sitting on the so called legislative liaison's desk since early May. Now while Representative Ewell does not have complete information enough to answer some rather revelant questions on this Bill. That information should have been provided by these very officials who can't seem to bring a summary from the fourth floor to the second floor. Now I resent this very much on the part of the Department...ah...I've been told by Mr. Ryan that I have to come and spend a long time in his office discussing four subjects of topics of which he will not tell me. Ah...maybe we'll get the summary eventually...ah...this may even be a good Bill ...ah...but until we see some responsiveness on the part of the Department of Mental Health I think something should be done to tell them that there are indeed legislators...oh...if they oppose it then I'll vote for it...ah...which I mean say the magic word."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Will the Representative yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Which one?"



Winchester: "Ewell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Winchester: "Will this Bill require the construction of a new facility?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Ah...exactly what the Department has in mind under the Bill in other words exactly as to how they are going to proceed to operate ah...I am not totally.....in other words when the...ah... it's the Senate Bill and when the...ah...experts were available with it who could answer the technical data...ah...unfortunately they're not here with me now."

Winchester: "Well I was curious if this could be established at the Tinley Park State Hospital which is in Cook County I understand. Is this something..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines for what purpose do you rise?"

Gaines: "Ah...I can answer those questions...ah...Representative Ewell was not present at the hearing and I handled the Bill in hearing and Subcommittee and I think I'm a little better to answer this than he is. It does not require the erection of anything. They can they have the facilities in Cook County that can be used and should be used for this purpose. There's no additional expansion in the sense of building anything."

Winchester: "Was the intentions to put this facility at the Tinley Park State Hospital?"

Gaines: "Ah...we didn't...ah...try to limit them to any one particular facility they have several facilities in Cook County that can be used."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker I wonder if the Sponsor will yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schraeder: "Does Senate Bill 399 have anything to do with 398?"

Ewell: "Ah...not that I know of and again I'll refer to Mr. Gaines. He has a little more expertise in this Bill than I do."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Well the.....of greater Chicago and other mental



health organizations that are behind this Bill has not been tied to any other Bill to my knowledge."

Schraeder: "Let me ask you this then...ah...whichever one wants to answer. How much money is appropriated if any?"

Gaines: "Ah...there is...ah they have the facilities that can be used now. it's merely...ah...the fact that they're not properly using the funds that they have. They can't do without any of these appropriations."

Schraeder: "Well then I would suggest if there's funds available maybe we ought to use that 6% cut to cut the Department's overestimated budget rather than going into new programs at a time when we got..ah insufficient amount of State revenue and...I...I...question the..."

Gaines: "This is a badly needed program. Now you're going to have the streets of Chicago full of people who can't operate and raise the crime rate if this isn't established."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I move to previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved to previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Previ...previous question has to be...vote record vote. All those vote aye. How do we turn this thing on? Previous question. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. This question there's 110, 112, 114 ayes, 5 no and the motion carries. Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen...ah...in explaining just a footnote to my apparent inadequacy on this Bill...ah...the Bill I was not to be the prime Sponsor of the Bill until about an hour ago. I thought it was a mistake in the digest when the Sponsor from the Senate...ah...assured me that I did in fact have it rather than another House Sponsor but I'd like to point...ah...that this is the Department Bill, they're attempting to do the best job that they can. There was a full and complete hearing in the Senate...ah...it passed there with a 51 to 1 vote. Ah...I suggest this is a good Bill to the degree that it addresses itself to the particularly acute problems of the mentally ill in the City of Chicago and Cook County in which there are abundance in numbers. It's a good Bill. Ah... there should be nothing but perhaps...a...a...a formal question or



two which I'll be glad to try to get an answer for anyone who might want to...ah...ah...a later answer. It's a good Bill and I would request a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Representative Deuster. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker in explaining...ah...my no vote...ah...I if you'll look at the synopsis you'll see that this Bill came out of the Human Resources Committee by a vote of 10 to 8, that means that six members of the Committee weren't there. I would think...ah...I haven't heard anybody but I shou...should certainly think that...one of the eight ought to explain what the serious problem is...ah...with this Bill. I think of course the fiscal implications in the requirement that a new program or a new facility be established might be the reason but perhaps some else in explaining their vote might shed more light on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I did try to get attention but I know there're many who want to rise. Ah...the Sponsor has indicated that the Department is in favor of the Bill. I did not understand that to be the case. Ah...I did not understand that the Department favored the Bill at all, as a matter of fact the whole idea in this day in age when all of the tendency in the field of mental health is to take people out of hospitals to build a new hospital which if that's what they have in mind, it seems to me to be quite unnecessary and a waste of public funds and if it's not to build a new hospital then what do they need the Bill for. Ah...if as the Sponsor indicates the Department is in favor of using one of the existing facilities then let them use one of the facili... existing facilities and not waste the time of the General Assembly. We have enough to do with state government without running the Department of Mental Health and I vote no."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Deuster asked for an explanation for some from someone who voted against the Bill. Ah...Mr. Speaker...ah...I was one of the persons who voted against this Bill in the Committee...ah...I didn't...ah...take the time of the House because I really didn't



think the Bill is this important...ah...all the Bills says is this to maintain and operate within the County of Cook, and inpatient facility for long term care for persons in need of mental treatment. So...ah...it doesn't call for the building of a hospital. Depending on the interpretation of the Bill it could call however, for some expenditures which I believe...ah...ah...really not called for...ah... I think that we need to give the Department some flexibility, and so I think the proper vote on this Bill is 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. I guess we have had an inadequate explanation and that's created a problem for me. It is my understanding that the present facilities are having mismanagement difficulties. I think we've even lost some Federal monies if I'm not mistaken, and so I suggest that before we get involved with another facility or even an expansion of the services that I think we ought to vote 'no', and then take a good look at what the Department is doing in regard to the present facility. So again there is this very foggy idea and foggy area of information relating to the proposal but my own recollection is that we are having some problem...ah...receiving accreditation, and I would suggest a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Now I will ask the Sponsor to correct me if I am wrong, but I remember a Bill like this...ah...very similar to this in the last Session, and if I remember correctly the argument in favor of such a Bill was that people...ah...in Chicago who had to travel long distances to visit relatives who had been put in long term care facilities, and it was a real hardship on people within in the city that they were not able.. not able to have really sufferable facilities in the City of Chicago for long term care for the mentally ill, and I think that if...if I'm correct in my recollection that this Bill is a very necessary Bill and a very good Bill. If people are...to be in turn for long terms in mental health facilities...ah...that come from the City of Chicago which I would suggest would probably feed more patients into such



facilities, than any other area within the State it's only logical that we would have facilities near their homes so people can readily ...ah...attain the...ah...ah...facilities and visit people without great hardship being...ah...ah...imposed upon these people. I think it's...it's absolutely reasonable that they have facilities near homes so that relatives can visit people and indeed the people can receive needed care...ah... I...ah...I...ah...ask the Sponsor if I am correct in my assumption, and if I am I...I...think that this a very good Bill, and I would urgently...ah...ah...ah...solicit the support of the Members of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The previous speaker is exactly correct. Many mentally ill people in the Chicago land area are sent to...ah...other communities...ah...for long term care. Such as Elgin or Mantino because of this they are not able to be visited as often by members of their family. Many times when they are mentally ill this is the only hope that they have to see a familiar face. I think that it is a necessary Bill. I think that the Department of Mental Health needs direction it's been dumping a lot of people on the street, putting them out into our society then not able to care for themselves. In this instance they need direction so that those who are mentally ill around Chicago can see members of their family. They sit in these hospitals, they see no one that they know, and I think that they really should be able to see their loved ones. Some of these people don't have the money to go to the hospital at some distance, and they don't have the time. I think that it is an important Bill and it should pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House. I've gone to a number of these places in the last five years that I might tell you that the number one policy of the Department of Mental Health is to return patients into the local communities. Although I'm not going into that because I don't have time but it is causing a lot of trem... a lot of trouble in these communities. I would like to...ah...say though that the...ah...return of...ah...ah...for example the..ah...



Lincoln, Illinois not to far from us. They've now emptied that facility over half the patients. They have not reduced the personnel one bit. So if it's the same as Elgin, Mantino and other places we have a tremendous number of spaces already available if we wish to use it. Now it's the visitation of...ah...of ah...ah...parents and relatives. Actually it's a very rare thing. I have the records of individuals who live but a short distance from Lincoln, Illinois who never go to see their relatives. I don't think they care any more. So the...ah...the unfortunates are in a position that very soon they begin to forget, and not even know whether or not they are related to a family. So until we can review the whole thing, and look into all the aspects of this matter...ah...and all the...ah...possibilitites...ah...I believe we should vote 'no' but make a comprehensive study of what's going on by the Department of Mental Health."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leon."

Leon: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In explaining my affirmative vote on this measure, I have been deceived by many elderly people living in my district asking me to please do something to get their loved ones back into Chicago. They are placed in Kankakee, these people have no means of transportation. They are in tears everytime they call me, asking "Mr. Leon, will you please do something to get my brother or my mother or sister or my son, back in Chicago so I can visit them", and I certainly believe that this measure is one that will make possible the return to Chicago of persons who are placed in institutions a long distance from the City. I would appreciate more green lights on this measure."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "I wish to say that one of the things that...ah...in Chicago is that the program that they have for returning people back into the community is been failing, and that the...the having of a facility in the Chicago area would enable ah...ah...persons on Public Aid and Senior Citizens to go visit their relatives, and this has been the big problem. It cost over five dollars round trip to go visit a relative at the closest facility to Chicago, and that's for persons



on Public Aid or on fixed incomes and that means that they have to go without food in order to visit their relatives and so they don't, and one of the things that most experts of Mental Health agree on is that before you can put them back into the community you have to have visitations from their relatives so they will have a rapport when they go back to the community, and this is the only way they're going to have there program work."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Just to explain my vote. I think that this Bill should have further study and actually I think that Mental Health Department should take and let us know in the Legislature just what there plans are. Here they are phasing out Mental Institutions in the State, and now wanting to build one. It just seems that they don't know what direction to go. Now we have the five year plan which is suppose to take and do away with long term care. They give them a hand full of tranquilizers and a rocking chair, and tell them to them to rock yourself to death, and that's whats happening. Let's ...let's get with Mental Health. Let Mental Health tell us what... there directions are so we will know what to do, and I ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Sponsors of this Bill need five more votes. Now it is well and good for us to say let Mental Health do this and that, but in my community they've been dumping the mental cases with the Senior Citizens who work in the a...ah...the...the nursing homes. If you think that that has been a easy thing, believe me it isn't. Now I've had...I've had people mental cases hit people who are older there, their on the floor, they've been hurt, and believe me it is not healthy. I think that since we have the existing facilities, why not use them. We're being very foolish, pound wise and penny foolish. I certainly urge a 'aye' vote on this. It's a necessary thing. Cook County is a big County, they have many more to take care of than Lake, DuPage or McHenry and all we need is four more votes and let's go."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."



Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, very...ah...brief in explaining my vote. I can't understand the reluctance of...ah...other sections of the State to come to the assistance of the County of Cook. We've...ah...maximized this Bill to just make it for the County of Cook. Half of the population of the State lives in the County of Cook, and why is it that we simply cannot have some type of Long Term Mental Health Care Facility in the City of Chicago? We deny nothing to anyone else sitting on this Floor. If it's a Drivers License Facility you want or a Safe Facility of any type or of any qualification we don't hesitate to give it to you. We say with complete requiabandon, here it is and damn the cost. Now as the population of the State they've tried to make it at least a little more convenient for us to try to do something with the people who are mentally ill, in our particular community and God know's we have an abundance of them. It's not that we want it that way it just happens to be that way, and so we would ask you please give us a little assistance in trying to handle some of the problems that exist in our end of the State. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Unfortunately, the Illinois and Economic Fiscal Commission Report has had to be sent back to the printers because it was not competently done. If you had read that report as I have read that report, you would conclude that the Department of Mental Health had no plan. Well, I respect the gentleman behind me and his desires to have a comprehensive health plan. If we wait for that to occur, we half the people in this House probably won't be here. We have already sent two hundred fifty thousand dollars in the last two years to recodify the Mental Health Code, and all we gotten out of it is a Democratic Candidate for Attorney General. Ah...I would sincerely suggest that the way to send the Department of Mental Health a message is probably to pass this Bill. Then they will have to explain to the Governor why it ought to be vetoed, and then the Governor will have to explain to us why he vetoed it..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I will be very brief but at the proper time I



would like to ask for a verification if it's necessary. I think this is extremely critical. During the campaign of former Otto Kerner, and I'm sure many of you here have known the campaign promise that he made and fulfilled when he was the Governor in regard to Mental Institutions, and I might add Governor Sam Shapiro was very acutely aware of what happen to the mentally ill in the State of Illinois and if many of you were in this Legislature, when Sam Shapiro took all of us in the Legislature who wanted to go to Lincoln State School to see how miserably we were treating our mentally ill, and I haven't forgotten that. People 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 years old laying in diapers because they had no facility. The Department of Mental Health under Governor Kerner and Governor Shapiro inaugurated in a new system for the Mental Health, and now we have that program going by the zone centers throughout the State of Illinois, and every citizen has a right and a need can go there and every community is represented by those zone centers. We have the most competent psychiatrist available in the United States operating those zone centers. They come from the public sector as well as the private. It's extremely critical but Mr. Chairman or Mr. Speaker and the Members of the House, let me point out that we don't need more institutions to put these people in to forget them. We need institutions that are viable and treat the mentally ill as they ought to be treated. We ought to treat them and send them home to their...their loved ones where they can be loved and not forgotten, and believe me we had one at Peoria State Hospital that was nothing but a dumping ground for the ederly and the senile and the mentally ill, and believe me when they closed that they may have done the best thing to the Mental Health Institutions ever done in there life, and we don't want another dumping ground for the mentally ill. We want to treat them and say I say vote 'no' on this miserable piece of legislation for another dumping ground."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. To explain and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To explain my 'aye' vote. You go down to Lincoln State Hospital or Kankakee State Hospital, and look at the guest list you



will find an awful lot of people from Northern Illinois. With relatives sons, daughters, friends the mentally retarded in those places, and some even down in Carbondale, and if you look at the guest list, you'll find that they come from Northern Illinois and I believe that all this Bill seeks to do is to place a facility which is convenient to an awful lot of people in this State. It's tough for those parents or relatives to make that trip to Lincoln but they do it and do it faithfully, and they'll do it as long as they live. So the only thing we could at least do here, I believe that the Bill seeks to do, is to make it a little bit more excessible to those people who want to visit. I think that it is a good Bill and I think that it should be adopted...passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Byers."

Byers: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As Sponsor of the Department of Mental Health's Budget in this...ah... House, I do know that they are straining to fur...to furnish the patient care that they need now with the amount of money that there... there budget has already been increased six million dollars and it's going to have to be cut back some. The Department of Mental Health simply at this time is opposed to this Bill, and they're also...ah... opposed to doing it in this manner. They have a plan to to do these things and this is simply does not fit into this. Now downstate Illinois has taken care of these people over the years, and now that it's becomes a more lucrative thing here Cook County want to take over, and I do think that we should vote...ah...'no' on this Amendment. Ah...it did only pass by two votes out of Human Resources Committee, and...ah...I would...ah...urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 96 'ayes', 54 'no'. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I hate to take the time but I ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has ased for a verification. The gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Will the Clerk poll the absentees?"

Selcke: "Boyle, Calvo, Capuzi, Friedrich, Greiman, Hirschfeld, Hudson, Emil



Jones, Mann, McAuliffe, McClain, Rose, Stearney, that's it."

Speaker Redmond: "Verify the affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Clerk."

Selcke: "Anderson, Arnell, Gene Barnes, J. Barnes, Beatty, Berman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbeson."

Ebbeson: "Ah...yes Mr. Speaker. How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'.

Ebbeson: "Would you change that from 'no' to 'aye' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change it to 'aye'."

Selcke: "Birchler, Bluthardt, Brandt, Brummet, Caldwell, Capparelli, Catania, Choate, Collins, Craig, Daniels, D'Arco, Davis, Deavers, DiPrima."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, it would be very helpful if they would raise their hands. We're going to have to call a lot of names because I do not see anybody raising their hands, and I would hate to waste that much time."

Speaker Redmond: "Suggested that you raise your hands when your name is called."

Selcke: "Duff, John Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Ewing, Farley, Fary, Fleck, Friedland, Gaines, Garmisa."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Mann: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Proceed."

Selcke: "Geo-Karis, Getty, Giglio, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, Dan Houlihan, Huff, Jaffe, Dave Jones, Keller, Kelly, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kucharski, Lauer, Laurino, Lechoqicz, Kornowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Lucco, Lundy, Madigan, Madison, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, McCourt, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Mudd, Nardulli, Palmer, Patrick, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Pouncey, Reed, Richmond, Rigney, Ryan, Sangmeister, Sevcik, Shea, Skinner, Taylor, VanDuyne, VonBoeckman, Washington, White, Williams,



Younge, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Did you call Lemke on that Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "I called Kornowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "That's what I thought."

Schraeder: "Arnell? Mr. Speaker...Arnell?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Arnell is here."

Schraeder: "Barnes?"

Speaker Redmond: "Who was that?"

Schraeder: "Barnes?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative.... Which Barnes?"

Schraeder: "Gene."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh...he is in Emil Jones seat."

Schraeder: "How about the young lady?"

Speaker Redmond: "The young lady. That's Jane Barnes. She's here."

Schraeder: "Birchler?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birchler should be back...back behind
you."

Schraeder: "Brandt?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brandt? Is he in his seat? How is he re-
corded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Schraeder: "D'Arco?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Schraeder: "Davis?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Schraeder: "Brummet?"

Speaker Redmond: "Brummet's here."

Schraeder: "Capparelli?"

Speaker Redmond: "Capparelli's here."

Schraeder: "Choate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate is here."

Schraeder: "Capparelli?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here again."

Schraeder: "Craig?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig? How is he recorded



How is Representative Craig Recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Schraeder: "Deavers?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers? He's here."

Schraeder: "Duff?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff? He's here. Representative Duff
will you...?"

Schraeder: "Hill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill was back there a minute ago."

Schraeder: "Fary?"

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute. Is Representative Hill here? Is Repre-
sentative Hill in the Chamber?"

Schraeder: "Here he is. Fleck?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck is here."

Schraeder: "Garmisa?"

Speaker Redmond: "Garmisa? Representative Garmisa here... How is he re-
corded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Schraeder: "Friedland?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedland is here."

Schraeder: "Representative Getty?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty is here."

Schraeder: "Representative Grotberg?"

Speaker Redmond: "Grotberg is here."

Schraeder: "Hanahan?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan? Representative Hanahan? How
is he recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Schraeder: "Representative D. Houlihan?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D. Houlihan? Is he here? Yes, there he
is."

Schraeder: "Representative Kosinski?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Kosinski here? Any others?"



Schraeder: "Kozubowski?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kozubowski's here. Representative Capuzi, is he recorded?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker what'd you do with him?"

Speaker Redmond: "With whom?"

Schraeder: "Kozubowski?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Kozub...?"

Schraeder: "He's here oh I couldn't hear you sir I'm sorry. Lechowicz?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz's here? Representative Lechowicz? How's he recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Schraeder: "Representative Ryan?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan? Lechowicz has returned put him back on the Roll Call. Representative Ryan? How's..."

Schraeder: "Representative VonBoeckman?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VonBoeckman? How's he recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Schraeder: "Representative Madigan?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan? How's he recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Schraeder: "Representative White?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative White? Representative White is here as is Craig, as in VonBoeckman, Madigan is here, White is here Hanahan is here."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker in view of the fact that I broke up a little entertainment I would...ah...cease with the Roll Call with the ah...verification."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman withdraws his request for verification."

Selcke: "On this Representative Calvo aye, Representative Telcser aye."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the score clerk? Hanahan is on, VonBoeckman is on, Calvo is on, White is on, Telcser is on, Bradley aye. Yeah. What's the score? McClain aye. This question is 99 aye, 54 nay, the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 405. Representative Beaupre on the...ah..."



Is that Beaupre's Bill or is that Randolph's? Representative
Beaupre."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 405 Bill for an Act to amend school code, Third
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah...

Senate Bill 405 increases the reimbursement for special education
from \$2,000 per student to \$2,500. It also makes an adjustment on
the bottom end of the scale...ah...at the present time...ah...the
amount to be paid...ah...or reimbursed to local school districts for
students attending special education facilities...ah...is...ah...
\$600...ah...or the average per pupil cost of educating a student in
that particular school district which ever is less...ah...this Bill
would make the adjustment on the bottom end of the scale upward
from 6 to 8. Ah...so that what we're doing is increasing the spread
by some \$300 rather than rather than the...ah...\$500 that you see
at the top...ah...the total cost of this measure is 2.1 million
dollars...ah...as estimated by the Bureau of the Budget and...ah...
I'll leave it to the discretion of the House as to whether we want
to incur this increase expenditure. I do know that this is an
area that needs...ah...some kind of...ah...ah...of state aid at
this point and I would...ah...move for...ah...a favorable support
of Senate Bill 405."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Brinkmeier: "Yes...ah...the you mentioned 2.1 now that's the state's
cost right? Is that correct? 2.1 billi..million."

Beaupre: "Yes the additional cost to the state."

Brinkmeier: "Approximately locally how much is it going to cost local
school districts?"

Beaupre: "Well this...ah...this Bill only deals with the reimbursement
from the state to the local school district...ah...so it wouldn't
ah...ah...affect any change on the local level as I understand the
Bill...ah...Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Well I I don't understand it that way but let's assume you



are correct. Speak...Mr. Speaker if I may address myself briefly."

Spekaer Redmond: "Proceed."

Brinkmeier: "Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House if I understand the Bill correctly it would cost the local districts...ah...some money but even if we ignore that fact and I realize this is like speaking against motherhood and apple pie when we speak against special ed but we're talking about an increased expenditure now of over \$2,000,000 at a time when we are also being asked to reduce the appropriation we might even have full funding for schools and as much as I hate to do this I certainly would urge that all of you consider this very seriously before you cast your vote. I for one intend to vote no."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Is this item contained in the Governor's original no balance budget?"

Beaupre: "It is not."

Schlickman: "Was and isn't."

Beaupre: "It it is not in the original budget."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Representative Byers."

Byers: "Thank you Mr. Speaker I'd just like to remind the Members that this Bill calls for state spending and we don't have the money for it so we're mandated by the constitution to balance the budget and this is not helping one bit."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank thank you very much Mr. Chairman I would like to point out that this Bill did not come out unanimously and further that it's not only going to cost the State of Illinois money but it's going to cost your local school districts money. The amount that we are requiring the local school district to pay for the special education districts by this Bill passing will be increased an additional \$500. I submit to you that they do not have the money,



that we're talking about a 6% decrease in the state aid formula anyway and and I think that it's just a bad practice and a bad thing to throw on the schools when they don't have the money available to them so I urge a no a nay vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Ah Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I would ah...admit to you that this Bill was introduced...ah...prior to the Governor's discovery...ah...that...ah...there was a physical crisis in the State of Illinois...ah...and...ah...I would also admit to you that I agreed to act as the House Sponsor prior to the Governor's discovery that there was a physical crisis in the state, but I do think that we need to achieve some degree of understanding about the formula...ah...involving...ah...the funding of special education. I don't pretend to be an expert on it but it seems to me that some of the statements made by the prior speakers are incorrect. As I understand the current formula a school district may be reimbursed for tuition...ah...paid to a special education facility by the State of Illinois for the amount over and above it's present cost of educating a student in the school district a per capita cost of education or \$600 whichever is the lesser amount up to the amount of \$2,000. This Bill purposes to change that formula by increasing the threshold in affect of...ah...the reimbursement by the state from \$600 to \$800 but allowing for increased payments...ah...that is to say at the top end of the scale and changing the maximum from \$2,000 to \$2,500. Now the truth of the matter is that the cost of special education has gone up and there are many many more students involved in special education as the programs increase. I would hope that this Bill would not fail because of a lack of understanding of the formula. Ah...the for..the formula does not provide for additional increase cost to local school districts...ah...the increase...ah...in the threshold from \$6 to \$800 is a \$200 increase, the increase in the maximum that the school districts can receive in the state is a \$500 increase and if you just use simple...ah...ah...addition and subtraction you'll note that the beneficiary...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce for what purpose do you rise?"



Continue, I'm sorry Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "You'll note that there is a three hundred dollar spread that the local school districts can take advantage of. Now it is true that if the cost is not up to or over the two thousand dollar figure then there may possibly be a lost but that depends upon the particular school district and what the cost of educating a particular student is in that district. So let's not be confused by that. I think that the real issue here is rather or not we want to spend an additional 2.3 million dollars on...ah...special education. Ah...I have mixed feelings about that but I don't think that we should confuse the issue. Ah...that's a decision that this House ought to make, and... ah...I would...ah...ask for your favorable support but you may feel otherwise in lieu of the...ah...current crisis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker...ah...I'd like to explain my 'aye' vote. Back in 1967 I believe long before the Governor made...ah...his famous telecast, long before he was Governor, long before he took his walk, this State made a commitment for special education, and I think we have to honor that commitment. Now there's a question here whether or not we're going to meet the needs of children who desperately need help or not, and this is a Bill which is needed, it's an obligation of the State which we can't talk away it's our constitutional obligation to take care of...of these children. Nobody else is going to do it and so you can't rationalize a vote away merely because the Governor comes here in the last two weeks and says suddenly there is a fiscal crisis. These kids are in crisis. They're in constant crisis and so are their parents who cannot afford to give them privately the care and the education which they need. Now let's put priorities where they belong, and put some red...green votes on that...on that board."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of the issue, and of the previous speaker. I don't think that you're talking a few dollars here. I think what you're talking is salvaging people, and these kids need your help. They're backing



hurdles that they can't overcome. The only way they can do it is with you help here, and if you ever spent a couple of million dollars here wisely, this is the place to do it because they're not getting the help in the area that we normally provide them with that kind of help because the teachers are not available. The thing you have to do then is to send these kids to the area and to the school where they can help them, and as some of the people know that are on this House Floor that have had children in that kind of circumstance this is the Bill that you have this year that you can feel good about if you leave here and give them a green light. There should be a hundred and fifty greens up there."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I sponsored the original legislation in 1968 that is now being amended today. Ah...the Sponsor, the House Sponsor of this Bill was correct. This Bill will not cost your local school districts any money because although you're raising their contribution from six hundred dollars per student which was per capita back in 1968 when I first Sponsored this Bill to eight hundred which is most of the per capita today. At the top level the State will be picking up a maximum of twenty five hundred dollars of tuition rather than two thousand as of present. So the local school districts will not be hurt by this. There will be cost of the State as is pointed out by the Sponsor. Now this program is strictly permissive no local school district need place a student...ah...true placement of a student in a nonpublic special education school facility if they have the facilities for the child themselves. It's only where they don't have the facility for the child because of the...ah...severely handicapped, the...ah...multiplicity to handicapped or the...ah... low number of children with a handicap that they have in their district...ah...that they placed a child then permissively they placed a child in the private facility and are reimbursed by the State. So no local school district should oppose this. The children still count...ah...still count on their average daily attendance for school aid, and are actually making money on these students. We passed a similar Bill to this two years ago, and again last year which I Sponsored. The Governor vetoed it both times. Now I think maybe



awhile to look at it more carefully. He's running for reelection next year, and maybe he'll look a little more carefully at the needs of handicap children, and I advocate to all of you that we vote this Bill and support it and we have the votes now. So we can take the Record."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative McGrew?"

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. There's been some misrepresentation on this issue. There's absolutely no way that the school district can make money, and it's only way is that they can loose money. So at the appropriate time I'd like to be recognized for verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there is a 110 'aye', 25 'no'. The Bill having received the constitutional majority...Representative McGrew do you wish to be recognized?"

McGrew: "For purposes of verification."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has requested a verification of the Roll Call. Will verify the affirmative Roll Call."

Selcke: "Anderson."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "May I have a poll of the absentees, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees Mr....."

Selcke: "Berman, Calvo, Craig, Ewing, Greiman, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Emil Jones, Klosak 'aye', Maragos, Rose, Sevcik, Simms, Stearney, Sissy Stiehl, VonBoeckman, Washington, Washington. Are you voting Harold? Absentee? Younge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge, for what purpose do you rise? She want's to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Washington 'aye'. Representative McGrew? McGrew?"

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. I resent very much the facts that have been given on this Bill. It has been explained that the district can make money, and that is not the case. If everyone agrees that they want 112 votes on this, so be it they have to go home and account to their district why they have six percent less then they can spend on special education. I do not say that we don't need that program, but what I am saying is that we can't afford another



four million dollars for that purpose....if the gentleman would let me finish I'll have a motion at the end of this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "I think that the comments by...ah...Representative McGrew are entirely out of order. He had an opportunity to explain his vote on this issue."

Speaker Redmond: "Conclude Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I was just pointing out that there have been some things that haven't been explained appropriately and if that is the way with the Members of the House, I will withdraw my motion for verification. They've got to live with it."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave to withdraw his request to withdraw for verification? Hearing no objections, verification withdrawn. 115 'ayes', 25 'no'. Simms 'aye'. The...ah...Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. We have passed eight Bills today. 410."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 410, a Bill for an Act prohibiting the recruiting and hiring of persons who customarily and repeatedly offer themselves as a replacement, striking employees and providing penalties therefore.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond; Representative McClain. Out of the Record. 417."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 417, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I'd ask leave to bring...ah...Senate Bill 417 back to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any objections? Leave is granted, and 417 return to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Selcke: "Amendment #1, Beaupre. Amends Senate Bill 417 page 4 by inserting after line 33 the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I'm having a little trouble here sifting through my papers here. Can we take this out of the Record for a minute?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Record, temporarily. 418."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 418, a Bill for an Act to amend...ah...to amend the Comprehensive Health Planning Act. Third Reading of the Bill.



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sharp."

Sharp: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is...ah...418 Senate Bill 418...ah...this piece of legislation we discussed at great length yesterday in the Amendment stage. It...ah...creates ah...the Health Coordinating Council which is appointed by the Governor, and replaces the Comprehensive...ah...Health Planning Advisory Council...ah...it also provides that the Illinois Department of Public Health shall be the Health Planning Agency for the State of Illinois. As we mentioned yesterday this legislation...ah...is required due to the National Health Planning and Resources Development Act of 1974, and...ah...like I said before we discussed it at great length yesterday and I would...ah...entertain any questions or ask for an affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Kempiners: "I remember this Bill in Committee, and it basically as you mentioned in your remarks...ah...transfers the authority from the State Comprehensive Health Planning Agency or takes that Agency and puts it in the jurisdiction of the Department of Public Health. I do not recall the creation of the Council you mention. Could you give me a little bit more information on that Council, and how is that Council different from the Advisory Board?"

Sharp: "Ah...the information that I have is...ah...designates the State...ah...Health Coordinating Council that replaces the Health...ah...Planning Advisory Council. Ah...the way it's been explained to me by the people from the Department of Health, and I'm no authority in this area so someone can help me here on the Committee I'd appreciate it, but...ah...the Federal regulation...ah...require that the State Agency be established and that a Council be established...ah...the appointments by the Governor's office...where's that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Ah...just possibly I may be able to enlighten this gentleman somewhat. If you will recall about...about seven years ago...ah...the Comprehensive Health Planning Advisory Council came into existence because of the Federal mandate



It was the only way we could get the federal funds. Now, just this past year, as I understand it now, the federal requirement has been eliminated. As a matter of fact, as I understand it now, they . . . they can in the text says you have got to put this department . . . this agency under a department now. Now, it was chosen then that the Department of Public Health should be that specific body. That's my understanding. I think the reason for this is to comply with the federal . . . ah . . . requirement. So . . . ah . . . very briefly, as I understand it, that's what's happened."

Sharp: "Right, this is . . . ah . . . the understanding that I have from all conversations with Pu . . . Public Health is that it is to comply with the federal . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Sharp: ". . . ah . . ."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, I didn't intend to raise any controversy on this.

I was curious and I'm informed by the Democrat staff members for the Human Resources Committee that the part I don't remember from Committee is that it is basically just a change in name for the existing council; and . . . ah . . . if that's all it is . . . ah . . . there's no problem with the Bill and as Representative Brinkmeier has been stating the Bill is in response to a change in federal requirements and I would support that Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the explanation is correct. I offered the Amendment to this Bill that . . . that Amendment version was House Bill 1593 and all it does in effect is . . . ah . . . change the existing Comprehensive Health Planning Agency and put it over under the Department of Health. There's no other . . . ah . . . manipulations involved here, and it only prescribes to . . . ah . . . apply with the mandate of the Federal Government as prescribed under the federal law."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question 126 'aye', 1 'nay'; the Bill having received the constitutional



majority is, hereby, declared passed. 427."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 427, a Bill for an Act to provide for the handling of cash receipts obtained from the sale of paramutual wagering tickets to the Illinois State Fair. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to ask leave to hear 427 and 428 together."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. 42 . . ."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 428, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Harness Racing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, these are the two Bills, except one of them is 427 sets a working cash fund for the State Fair to have paramutual betting at the . . . out at the State Fairgrounds and also they permit paramutual betting at the State Fairgrounds in Du Quoin State Fair; and that's the substantive of these two Bills, and I'd appreciate your support and try to answer any questions . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Anderson: "Mr. Sponsor, isn't it true that there was . . . ah . . . an Amendment prepared for this Bill to take the State Fair out of paramutuals and you refused to bring it back to Second Reading to put this Amendment on?"

Craig: "That I did."

Anderson: "Will you do it now?"

Craig: "No."

Anderson: "Okay, may I speak to the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "All right, the question of paramutual betting at the State Fair may be viewed from two positions. Initially, we have got to consider the expense involved in the installation and administration of a



paramutual betting operation; and secondly, the effect of that paramutual betting will have on the already severely tarnished image of the Illinois State Fair. To allow paramutual betting at the Illinois State Fair will mean a sizable expenditure of state funds. There are grandstand modifications needed, paddock modifications needed, barn security consideration, equipment purchases and the development of systems of handicapping in past performance inspiration. All of which will require state funds; and at this point no one has come forward with the data to indicate that such an investment . . . investment can be financially "

Craig: ". . . estimated it at \$5"

Anderson: ". . . feasible. In fact, the man in to testify before the Agricultural Committee says there would a wash the first year. That they expect it to take in \$85,000 and to spend \$85,000 to make these preparations. The fair does not attract at the present time and will not attract serious and enthusiastic betters. Only 1/10 of 1 percent of those living near established tracks with paramutual operations are racetrack patrons, and how much would even a thousand cautious friendly fans who frequent the \$2 window bring into the State Treasury. Wagering at the State Fair is simply not feasible. Financially risky and probably will not even pay for itself; and why should the people of Illinois be expected to pay for the installation of paramutual operation that will be used only by a handful of others. The second viewpoint on the question of paramutual betting involves the image of the Illinois State Fairs that now exist. The Auditor General has just reported that the last year the State Fair lost \$3,000,000. That's \$1,000,000 that has ever been lost in the history of the fair. The Auditor General called the fair a financial disaster; and the 1974 fair was operated almost completely without management, supervision and control, particularly over fiscal and budgetary operations. On top of the undistinguished record of fair management in . . . in the past, there are those who now want to have paramutual betting to an already scandalized exposition. Let's be honest, the phrase, 'Horseracing' means crookedness to the people of Illinois. The Illinois State Fair is almost equally synonymous with shoddy



shenangans by state officials and now it combined the two in a move that will undoubtedly astound the people of Illinois and further convince them that Illinois' General Assembly doesn't give a hang about their welfare. It's time to clear up the State Fair and I think Dave Jones has introduced and we passed out of this House the Bill that will do this. So I've asked and the farmers and the agricultural people for the state want paramutual betting. Fine, we'll give it to them, but let's let them take a look at it first."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi. For what purpose do you arise, Representative Giorgi?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I just . . . ah . . . wanted to say as sweetly as I could that he mentioned . . . ah . . . farmers . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you concluded, Representative Anderson?"

Anderson: "No, . . ."

Giorgi: ". . . oh, . . . I'm not . . ."

Speaker Redmond: ". . . then conclude."

Anderson: "The people of Illinois do not need nor want paramutuel betting at the State Fair. It would not provide any increase in revenue, it would destroy the character of the fair, and it would tie the fair to the Racing Board and re . . . would create a potential for payoffs and favors and gifts. It cannot be justified and I ask for your red, green light. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I couldn't help but . . . ah . . . listen to the Gentleman and remind myself of . . . ah . . . I remember Mr. Hunsicker, who he was here previously in the General Assemblies, and I remember that . . . ah . . . his was the only county that had three township fairs and they depended on the skim, the gambling skim at the Arlington Park and Cahokia and east in the . . . ah . . . in the other tracks, and then he mentioned the farmers and the 4-H Clubs, I think he mentioned, and the money for prizes to the farmer farmers . . . ah . . . for the breed of fine cattle, for the farmers who run for the best strawberry preserves as all paid with gambling dollars; and I'm wondering if he thinks those dollars came from heaven or if . . . ah . . . somebody donated 140 acres of soy beans to



the . . . to the prize of . . . ah . . . capacity. Mr. Speaker, this is going to save to the Du Quoin Fair and the Hambiltonian and I think it's a good Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing. Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Would the Gentleman standing between the Chair and the . . ."

Mudd: ". . . Members of this House, I would have to agree with remarks made of Representative Giorgi and some of the other ones. I think that . . . ah . . . this legislative body makes light of one of the finest sports in this country. I think that the men and women who participate in this sport and those people who frequent our State Fair, I think that in light of the things that have made our State Fair public invisible as far as . . . as some of the reasons that . . . ah . . . we look at it as scandalous is nothing but political reasons. I think we've got still one of the greatest shows in the State of Illinois at fair time. I think the thing that supports our Agricultural Committees, our agricultural activities, is the racing industry. I think the racing industry . . . ah . . . deserves better treatment from this Legislature than it's got in the past; and I think that . . . ah . . . a lot of our larger cities are even . . . ah . . . participating in some different types of entertainment in their cities because of this industry, and I urge support of this Bill is the support to our racing industry; and I think it deserves a green vote, and to give them their due . . . ah . . . deserving respect . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is, shall these Bills pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. . . . Mr. . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was seeking recognition before we called for the vote on this Bill. I served on the Agricultural Committee who heard this and this Bill was, I think, very accurately ripped to pieces in front of that Committee,



and yet it passed out with a do pass vote. My family has been involved in racing for many years, and I'm sympathetic to it, and I . . . I do not believe that we can in any way justify paramutual betting at the Illinois State Fair purely from a financial angle, and I would ask a 'no' vote on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "May I . . . may I have permission to be voted . . . ah . . . 'no' on 427 and 'yes' on 428?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk suggests you come up to the Well."

Skinner: "No, . . . vice versa. No?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk suggests you come up to the Well, it makes it easier."

Skinner: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I . . . ah . . . I think this is a Bill that we ought to all stop and think before we vote on it. In Illinois there has always been a strictly an agricultural pa . . . a pace . . . place where we send out young people to learn judging of livestock, showing livestock and so forth, and I don't think gambling in Illinois Agricultural Fair that we have here is a place. I don't think we want to educate our children to . . . ah . . . start gambling early in life, and I think it's a bad practice, and I would hope we would get more red lights up there."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've just been thinking this Bill is very highly touted and I . . . I wonder what the odds are that the Governor will sign it. That's just . . . that's been nagging me."

Speaker Redmond: "Why don't you send Representative Skinner down, we'll find out. The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 95 'ayes', 48 'nays'; the Bill having received the consti . . . the Bills having received the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed. 432. Representative Mulcahey, are you seeking recognition? 432."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 432, a Bill for an Act to amend the Fair Employment Practices Act. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington. Representative Washington on the floor? 432, . . ."

Washington: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, Senate Bill 432, just a bit of brief background . . . ah . . . as of two years ago that . . . there are five Commissioners in the Fair Employment Practice Commission and in . . . ah . . . two years ago, they each made \$5,000 salary and the Chairman made \$7,500. The salary of the Commission of the four commissioners was raised last Session to \$7,500 and to inadvertence or other thing, the Chairman's salary was not raised above that of the other members. So the first thing this Bill does is attempt to bring his salary in line and raise the Chairman's salary from \$7,500 to \$10,000. Secondly, it provides for some procedural and technical changes dealing with subpoenas and notices and pleadings, all of which are relatively innocuous with a design to expedite the functions of the Fair Employment Practices Commission. The Bill came out of the Committee without a dissenting vote. I know of no opposition. I ask support of Senate Bill 432 at any . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question 132 'aye', 2 'nay'; the Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 438. Representative Madison 'aye'."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 438 . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Emil Jones on the floor yet? You'd better take that one out of the Record. 449."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 449, Kelly, a Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Record at the request of the Sponsor. 452."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 452, Capparelli, . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capparelli on the floor? Take that out of the Record. 470."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 470, Leinenweber."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Jack O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to amend the Hospital Licensing Act.
Third Reading of the Bill."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 470 amends the
Hospital License Act to provide for . . . ah . . . emergency treat-
ment to raped victims. Now, the House in its wisdom saw to amend
this Bill so it's absolutely identical to the Jaffe Bill which the
House passed over to the Senate. So . . . ah . . . I would urge
its adoption. We've already voted for this approach."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is, shall
this Bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all
voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the
Record. On this question there 150 'aye', no 'nay'; and the Bill
having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared
passed. 473."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 473, McClain, a Bill for an Act to amend Workman's
Compensation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain, Representative DiPrima, for what
purpose do you arise?"

DiPrima: "Thank . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain, 473."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . .
ah . . . Senate Bill 473 is a Bill that passed out of the . . . ah
. . . Labor and Commerce Committee . . . ah . . . 12 'ayes', 2 'nays'
and 1 voting 'present'. All it says is that the . . . ah . . . compen-
sation for an injury or disease does not . . . abate on the death of
the employee. I believe it received a fair hearing and I'd urge
a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is, shall
this Bill pass? Representative Fleck, do you seek recognition? Tuerk."

Tuerk: "I have a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Tuerk: "This provides that compensation for injury or disease does not
abate upon the death to the employee. Now, explain that just a little
bit further than that."



McClain: "Well, Representative Tuerk as you know you're a Member of the Committee and as you also know you voted 'no' . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . it's . . . it's just that simple, Fred. If . . . ah . . . your awarded . . . ah . . . from a disease or from an injury now the law is that if you would die . . . ah . . . the payments or the benefits would collapse. All this does is that your . . . ah . . . beneficiaries are to receive those benefits. It's that simple."

Tuerk: "Well, it . . . it sounds that simple, but for as long as the beneficiary lives then? In other words, if . . . ah . . . if you're on a Workman's Comp' Benefit and you're a young man, and you happen to die, your wife would claim the benefits for . . . ah . . . maybe the next 40, 50 years?"

McClain: "Well, as you know, Representative Tuerk, . . . ah . . . usually those awards are made . . . ah . . . with a ceiling . . . ah . . . they're not ongoing . . . ah . . . forever and ever and ever."

Tuerk: "Well, there is some limitation, I agree with that, yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Would the . . . would the Sponsor yield for a question please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Beatty: "Ah . . . Representative McClain, . . . ah . . . the idea of your Bill sounds good. I would wonder how . . . how a claimant, how an attorney or how someone would proceed to prove up a claim for a . . . ah . . . permanent disability, is this what you're talking about after a party had passed away already?"

McClain, No, no, no, oh, no, not at all, Jack, if you'd been awarded a . . . ah . . . compensation . . . compensation claim, okay, . . ."

Beatty: "Do you mean the lawyer's been into it already?"

McClain: "Right."

Beatty: "Okay."

McClain: "Yeah, and then you die, you're the employee, okay."

Beatty: "Yes."

McClain: "Your beneficiaries would still receive that award."

Beatty: "Yes, may I speak to the Bill, please, briefly?"

McClain: "That's all, Sir . . . super Bill."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is a very fine Bill giving the injured



employees' family the money that the man would've been entitled to had he survived. I think that . . . ah . . . it . . . ah . . . creates some equity in the Workman's Compensation Law and takes care of the family to some degree. Many times his injury may even contribute to the death, they aren't always easy to establish; and I think that it is a fair Bill, I think that it should be passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leineweber."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would speak on behalf of this Bill, too.

As many of you know, Workman's Compensation award is often paid in the . . . in the form of a . . . of a lump sum which can be done in the petition and the . . . by leave of the Commission in certain instances. Now, it would seem to me that . . . that . . . ah . . . you . . . under certain circumstances you would certainly want to petition for a lump sum, but on the other hand, it's probably better for the injured workman not to do so, to take it over a period of time the way the Act contemplates. So I think this is a reasonable Bill . . . ah . . . the person has suffered a particular injury, he's entitled to a certain amount of money and I think he or his estate should get it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters. Peters."

Peters: "Will . . . will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Peters: "Representative McClain, I'm not familiar at all with the workings of the Workman's Comp' situation. But assuming someone does . . . ah . . . contract a particular disease . . . ah . . . because of the employment. Now, Wor . . . would Workman's Comp' allow him a certain amount of money every month because that disease is . . . is . . . ah . . . work related?"

McClain: "Of course, Representative Peters, as you know those are the kinds of things between the parties in the claim that are negotiable. Whether . . . ah . . . as Harry just . . . Harry Leinenweber just pointed out, you can usually either receive it in a lump sum or you can dissipate it over a period of time."

Peters: "Well, I . . . I can see the lump sum situation. All right, but now my question now goes to what happens with the individual who has



elected to receive payments on a monthly basis?"

McClain: "Okay, the . . . the present law, Representative, is that it would've . . . it would stop. It would not proceed and continue to go to the beneficiary. For instance, let's say that . . . ah . . . I have an opportunity . . . I loose an arm and . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . filed claim would give me \$50,000."

Peters: "Mike, I can understand that, I mean, you lost an arm, you lost a leg, I can understand that. I'm in agreement with you on that part of it. But what I'm . . . what I'm getting to now is that you have a work-related disease. That disease now makes you unable to work anywhere else . . . ah . . . under Workman's Comp' I take it you have a right to elect to receive a lump sum payment or you can, in fact, . . . ah . . . receive so much per month. Now, what I'm asking is . . . ah . . . where it's a work-related disease, if there an award made that during your lifetime you receive \$20,000, \$25,000?"

McClain: "You can do that."

Peters: "Well, the problem that I'm trying to get at, Mike, . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbessen, for what purpose do you arise?"

Ebbessen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I . . . when this . . . ah . . . this discussion is over, they're . . . they're conversing and . . . ah . . . something they could resolve . . . ah . . . I'd like to have it either taken out of the Record or move the previous question."

Unknown: "Take it out of the Record."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? Are you ready for the question? Representative Ebbesse, did you move the previous question?"

Ebbessen: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. We'll have a Record vote. All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. It takes 2/3, but I can't decide 2/3 of a voice vote. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question 114 'aye', 11 'no'; the previous question prevails. The question is, Representative McClain, to close. The question is,



shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. I guess we got a print out. I wonder how . . . if Tallman and Robins can do it for you? The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . we barely have enough votes up there and I choose not to explain mine."

Speaker Redmond: "Wise man. The Clerk will take the Record. On this question 124 'aye', 7 'no'; the Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 483."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill . . . Senate Bill 483, McClain, a Bill for an Act to amend the Workmans' Occupational Disease Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Digest in . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 483 is extremely accurate. Ah . . . What it basically says is it provides for compensation for partial loss of hearing and it increases the amount of compensation for loss of . . . ah . . . hearing in one ear from 50 weeks to 100 weeks and from 125 weeks to 200 weeks if you lose your loss of hearing in . . . ah . . . both ears. It had a fair hearing in Labor and Commerce, and it passed out 11 to 3 and I'd urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: Any questions? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Re . . . The Clerk will take the Record. This question 139 'ayes', 11 'nays'; the Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. The order of business is . . . Motions. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that we suspend Rule 18 of the House in order to hear the following Bills in Appropriation's Committee II, Thursday, June 19, at 8 a.m.



on the House floor, House Bill 835, Senate Bill 348, Senate Bill 471 and Senate Bill 989."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I would like the Record to show that I object to House Bill 835."

Speaker Redmond: "The Record will so show. Other than that, the Gentleman's given leave. Representative . . . any announcements? Representative Boyle, do you have an announcement?"

Boyle: "Yeah, thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, only to reiterate what Representative Barnes said that Appropriation II will meet tomorrow morning on the House floor at 8 a.m., also to remind the House about . . . ah . . . the party for Representative . . . Representative, now Congressman Fary or soon to be Congressman Fary, immediately upon adjournment the Casey's."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeveh."

Matijeveh: "Yes, Mr. Speaker . . . ah . . . and Members of the House, first an announcement. The Executive Committee will meet at 9:30 . . . 9:30 a.m. in Room C1 in the State Office Building. Also, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave and use the attendance Roll Call to waive the Rule 18, the Posting Rule, so that we can hear House Resolution 267, House Resolution 363, House Resolution 367 and House Joint 55. Ah . . . Could I have leave?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted."

Matijeveh: "All right, all right, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Judiciary I Committee will meet in Room 114 tomorrow morning at 8:15 sharp."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg, for what purpose do you arise?"

Grotberg: "9:30 or . . . on a short point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . In debate some time ago I was trying to make a point that public radio and television is doing in private and forgot to add that W.B.B.M., W.G.N., W.C.V.S., W.M.A.Y. and W.T.A.X. each in their own way found time to interview the Gentleman from the South, Mr. Terry . . . ah . . . what's his name."

Speaker Redmond: "That's an excellent point of personal privilege."



Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, as long as we're on personal privilege . . . ah . . . I had the Clerk transcribe the matter of House Resolution 340 and by which . . . and how . . . and how it passed the House last week. Could you . . . ah . . . I've given a copy to Dave Epstein, the Parliamentarian, could you . . . ah . . . my challenge, if you remember I wrote you a letter on it, is that it did . . . ah . . . pass with the required number of votes and . . . the rule is not suspended to provide for that number and . . . ah . . . could we proceed on that?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you take that up with me tomorrow, Mr. McClain, I'm not familiar with it."

McClain: "That'd be fine."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for purpose of an announcement. Appropriation's I will not meet tomorrow, we'll meet Friday morning at 8 o'clock in Room 114. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, for the purposes of an announcement, there'll be a meeting of the Rules Committee of the House in the Speaker's office at 9:45 tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of a . . . an announcement."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Winchester: "There . . . there will be a freshman Republican breakfast tomorrow morning at 7:30 at the State House Inn. The Honorable . . . ah . . . Attorney General Scott, the Honorable George Lindberg, the Honorable Bud Washburn, and the Honorable George Harris will be in attendance. I'd appreciate all freshmen Republican Legislators being prompt in their attendance."

Speaker Redmond: "Introduction, First Reading. Committee Reports first, I'm advised."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Boyle from the Committee on Appropriation II to which



Senate Bill 472 and 634 were referred. Reported the same the back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Boyle from the Committee on Appropriation's II to which Senate Bills 507, 517, 66 . . . 633 were referred. Reported . . . ah . . . reported them back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Maragos from the Committee on Revenue to which was referred House Bill 3011. Reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3119, recommend the original Bill lie on the table and substitute Bill do pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Introduction First Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3119, Committee on Revenue, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3120, Brinkmeier, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to State Finance. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The Chair would like to make an announcement. Four or five years ago I had the pleasure of flying across the Atlantic and my . . . my seat mate was then Representative Danny O'Brien, or O'Neil rather, he's here and how would you like to fly across the Atlantic sitting to Dan O'Neil. He was a big one. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand in adjournment until 10:30 a.m. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "It is moved that we stand in adjournment until 10:30 tomorrow morning. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye, oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. We're adjourned."



INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

June 18, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

June 18, 1975

TIME	SPEAKER	DESCRIPTION
12:00	Speaker Redmond	
	Reverend Kreuger	Prayer
	Speaker Redmond	Roll Call
12:04	Jack O'Brien	Messages from the Senate
12:13	Speaker Redmond	Committee Reports
12:13	Jack O'Brien	
12:14	Speaker Redmond	Agreed Resolution
12:14	Jack O'Brien	
	Madigan	
12:15	Speaker Redmond	SB...First Reading
	Jack O'Brien	
12:17	Speaker Redmond	HB...Second Reading
	Jack O'Brien	HB...3023, Second Reading..
	Speaker Redmond	no Committee Amendments Third Reading
	Jack O'Brien	HB 3064, Second Reading, Committee Amendment
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
12:18	Jack O'Brien	HB 3059, Second Reading, no Committee Amendment
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
	Jack O'Brien	HB 3097, Second Reading no Committee Amendments
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
12:19	Jack O'Brien	HB 3, Second Reading, 1-Floor Amend. no Committee Amendment
	Speaker Redmond	Take out.



12:20	Jack O'Brien	HB 3106, Second Reading no Committee Amendments
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
	Jack O'Brien	HB 3107, Second Reading no Committee Amendments
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
	Jack O'Brien	HB 3108, Second Reading, floor Amend. no Committee Amendments
	Speaker Redmond	
	Barnes, J.	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
	Jack O'Brien	HB 3109, Second Reading no Committee Amendments
12:21	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
	Jack O'Brien	HB 3110, Second Reading no Committee Amendment
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
	Jack O'Brien	HB 3111, Second Reading no Committee Amendments
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
	Jack O'Brien	HB 3112, Second Reading, floor Amend. no Committee Amendments
12:22	Speaker Redmond	Take out.
	Jack O'Brien	Return 3112 to Second (not out) HB 3113, Second Reading no Committee Amendments
	Speaker Redmond	Third
12:23	Palmer	Parliamentary Inquiry
	Speaker Redmond	
12:24	Duff	
	Speaker Redmond	Return 3112 to Second (not out)



12:25	Jack O'Brien	HB 3112, Second Reading, floor Amend. no Committee Amendments
	Speaker Redmond	
	Younge	Floor Amendment #1
12:25	Hoffman, G.	Leave to return SB 425 to Second for Amendment
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
12:27	Fennessey	HB 3056, leave to return to Second
	Speaker Redmond	Leave
12:28	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1
12:28	Fennessey	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
	Jack O'Brien	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:29	Barnes, E.M.	SB 525, back to Second for Amend.
	Speaker Redmond	Leave
	Jack O'Brien	
12:30	Schlickman	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
12:33	Londrigan	HB 733, to Second for Amendment
	Speaker Redmond	Move to Table #1
	Schlickman)	
)	
	Londrigan)	
	Speaker Redmond	Concurrence
	Palmer	HB 216, SA #1
12:36	Speaker Redmond	House concurs
12:37	Tipsword	HB 674, SA #1



	Speaker Redmond	
12:38	Ebbesen)	
)	
12:39	Tipsword)	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:39	Schlickman)	Question
)	
12:40	Tipsword)	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:42	Duff	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:43	Schlickman	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:44	Madigan	Speak on motion, oppose.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Tipsword	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:47	Ebbesen	Would Sponsor take out?
12:48	Tipsword	'OK'
	Speaker Redmond	
	Craig	HB 714, SA #1
	Schlickman	
12:49	Speaker Redmond	House
	Skinner	
12:50	Craig	
	Kane	?
12:50	Craig	
	Speaker Redmond	House does concur ??
	Ebbesen	HB 759, SA #1



	Schraeder	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Schlickman	Request Republican Conference
	Speaker Redmond	
12:53	Beatty	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:55	Coffey	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Neff	Republican Conference Room 114
12:55	Speaker	Recess for one hour
	Speaker Redmond	
	Jack O'Brien	SB 550, Second Reading no Committee Amendments
	Speaker Redmond	
	Taylor	SB 550, 551 and 552...on Second
	Speaker Redmond	Take out
	Jack O'Brien	SB 314, Second Reading, 1 floor Amendment, no Committee Amendments
	Speaker Redmond	
	Tipsword	Amendment #1
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
2:10	Jack O'Brien	SB 720, Second Reading no Committee Amendments
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
	Jack O'Brien	SB 773, Second Reading, Floor Amend. No Committee Amendments
2:11	Speaker Redmond	
	Neff)	
)	
	Schlickman)	
	Speaker Redmond	



	Jack O'Brien	SB 786, Second Reading Committee Amendment
2:14	Neff	Amendment #1
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted, Third Reading
2:15	Jack O'Brien	SB 751, Second Reading, Floor Amend. no Committee Amendment
2:15	Porter	Amendment #1
	Speaker Redmond	
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2
2:16	Porter	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:18	Schlickman	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment Adopted, Third Reading
	Jack O'Brien	SB 749, Second Reading, one Committee Amendment
	Speaker Redmond	
	Washburn	Amendment #1
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted.
2:21	Jack O'Brien	HB 942, Second Reading one Committee Amendment
	Deavers	Amendment #1
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2
2:22	Speaker Redmond	
	Jones	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:22	Deavers)	
)	
	Kane)	
)	
	Speaker Redmond	
2:23	Hanahan)	
	Deavers)	



	Jones	
2:27	Hanahan	
2:27	Shea in Chair	Amendment #2
	Madison)	Question
	Shea)	
2:28	Jones	
	Shea	
2:29	Schlickman	
	Shea	
	Skinner	
	Shea	
2:30	Jones	To close
	Shea	Amendment #2, lost, Third Reading
	Fred Selcke	SB 970, Second Reading one Committee Amendment
	Getty	Amendment #1
2:32	Shea	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
	Fred Selcke	SB 739, Second Reading one Committee Amendment
	Shea	
2:33	Pierce	Amendment #1
	Shea	Amendment adopted
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #2
2:34	Pierce	
	Shea	
2:35	Geo-Karis)	Question
	Pierce)	
	Shea	Amendment adopted



	Fred Selcke	Amendment #3
2:35	Pierce	Amendment #3, SB 739
	Shea	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
	Fred Selcke	SB 992
	Shea	Hold for fiscal note
2:38	Fred Selcke	SB 1499, Second Reading No Committee Amendment
	Shea	Third Reading
	Fred Selcke	SB 284, Second Reading Committee Amendment
	Shea	
	Beatty	Amendment #1
	Shea	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
	Fred Selcke	SB 443, Second Reading no Committee Amendment
	Shea	Third Reading
2:39	Fred Selcke	SB 461, Second Reading one Committee Amendment
	Shea	Amendment #1, ...adopted
	Schlickman	
	Shea	
2:40	Sevcik	Amendment #1
	Shea	Amendment #1, adopted, Third Reading
	Tipsword	
	Shea	
2:42	Fred Selcke	SB 468 , Second Reading four Committee Amendments
	Shea)	
	Stone)	
2:43	Barnes, E.M.	



2:43	Fred Selcke	Amendment #1
	Shea	Amendment
2:44	Stone)	Question
	Barnes)	
	Stone	Will you take out of record?
	Shea	
2:45	Walsh	
	Shea	SB 476
2:45	Totten)	
	Shea)	
	Walsh)	
2:47	Bradley	Wants Bill called
	Fred Selcke	SB 476, Second Reading - one Committee Amendment
	Shea	
	Bradley	Amendment #1
	Shea	
2:48	Peters)	Statement for Bradley
	Bradley)	
	Shea	Moves we not adopt
	Catania	
	Shea	
2:50	Bradley	
	Shea	
2:51	Leinenweber	
	Fred Selcke	
	Shea	
2:52	Madison	Question



2:53	Bradley	
	Shea	
2:56	Hart	"for"
	Shea	
2:57	Bradley	"oppose"
	Shea	
2:59	Londrigan	"oppose"
2:59	Friedrich	"oppose"
	Shea	
3:00	Caldwell	
	Shea	
3:01	Kosinski	Move previous question
	Shea	
3:02	Catania	
	Shea	
3:05	Kane	Point of Order
	Shea	
	Catania	
3:06	Shea	Amendment #1
	Gaines	
3:07	Shea	Amendment #1 adopted
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #2
	Shea	
3:07	Grotberg	Amendment #2
3:08	Barnes	Parliamentary Inquiry, has Amendment #2 been distributed?
3:08	Shea	Not been



3:08	Grotberg	"within the hour"
	Shea	Take out
	Bradley	Express objection
3:10	Shea	
	Fred Selcke	SB 608, Amendment #1
	Shea	
3:11	Lechowicz	Amendment #1
	Shea	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
	Fred Selcke	
	Shea	"#2 Amendment do the same thing"
3:12	Younge	Move to table, Amendment #3
	Shea	
3:13	Fred Selcke	Amendment #2
	Shea	We'll come back
3:13	Lechowicz	
	Shea	Third Reading
3:14	Fred Selcke	SB 637, Second Reading two Committee Amendments
	Shea	
3:15	Lechowicz	Amendment #1
	Shea	Amendment adopted
	Lechowicz	Amendment #4
	Shea	Adopted
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #5
3:16	Shea	Take #5 out of Record, Third Reading
3:17	Fred Selcke	SB 649, Second Reading two Committee Amendments
	Shea	



3:17	Keller	Move for adoption of Amendment #1
	Shea	Amendment adopted
	Fred Selcke	Amendment
	Shea	
3:18	Skinner	#2
	Shea	
3:19	Schlickman	
	Shea	
	Skinner	
	Shea	
3:22	Londrigan	"Abuse of Amendment process"
	Shea	
3:23	Skinner	To close
3:25	Shea	Amendment #2...lost
	Fred Selcke	SB 658, Second Reading one Committee Amendment
	Shea	Take out
	Fred Selcke	SB 661, Second Reading one Committee Amendment
	Shea	
3:27	Tipword	Amendment #1
	Shea	
3:28	Skinner	Amendment not in Bill Book
	Shea	Amendment #1
	Madison	Explain Amendment?
	Tipword	
	Shea	
3:28	Lechowicz	
	Shea	Amendment adopted



3:29	Fred Selcke	
	Lechowicz	
	Shea	
3:30	Duff	
	Shea	Take Bill out
	Fred Selcke	SB 739 ..763, Second Reading No Committee Amendment
	Shea	Third Reading
	Fred Selcke	SB 813, Second Reading No Committee Amendments
3:33	Shea	
	Calvo	Amendment #1
	Shea	Amendment adopted
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #2
	Shea	
	Calvo	
	Shea	
	Lechowicz	
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #2
	Calvo	"Yea...Yea..."
3:35	Shea	Third Reading
	Fred Selcke	SB 1170, Second Reading two Committee Amendments
	Shea	
3:35	Gresheimer	"Committee Amendment #1 was tabled, etc."
	Shea	Amendment #1 Tabled
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #1
3:36	Shea	Amendment adopted
	Greisheimer	



	Fred Selcke	Amendment #3
3:38	Greisheimer	
	Shea	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
3:39	Fred Selcke	SB 1493, Second Reading
	Shea	three Committee Amendments
3:40	Berman	Amendment #2
	Shea	
3:40	Duff)	Question
)	
	Berman)	
	Shea	
	Steihl, C.M.)	Yield
)	
	Berman)	
	Shea	
	Bradley	Support
	Shea	
	Schraeder)	Yield
)	
	Berman)	
	Shea	
	Deuster)	Yield
)	
	Berman)	
	Deuster	Speak for Bill
	Shea	
	Hoffman, Gene	Support
	Shea	
	Walsh	
	Shea	
	Duff	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Shea		
Skinner)	Yield?
)	
Berman)	
Shea		
Walsh		Oppose
Shea		
Kane		Point of Order
Shea		"Address Amendment"
Walsh		
Shea		
Berman		To close
Shea		Amendment #2
Deuster		Explain vote "NO"
Shea		Amendment #2, adopted
Jack Q'Brien		Amendment #3
Shea		
Jaffe		Amendment#3
Shea		
Schlickman)	Yield?
)	
Jaffe)	
Shea		
Hoffman, G. L.		
Shea		
Jaffe		To Close
Shea		Amendment #3
Schneider		"I'll be quiet"
Shea		Amendment #3 adopted.



Jack O'Brien	
Shea	
Polk	Ask Sponsor to bring back to Second Reading
Shea)	Third Reading
)	
Bradley)	
Jack O'Brien	SB 392, Third Reading
Shea	
Beaupre	
Shea	
Skinner	
Shea	
Friedrich	Against
Shea	
Schraeder)	Point of Order
)	
Friedrich)	
Shea	
Walsh	Against
Shea	
Craig	Against
Shea	
Epton	Move Previous Question
Shea	"ayes" have it
Beaupre	To Close
Shea	SB 392
Lechowicz	Explain vote, urge 'aye'
Shea	



Londrigan	
Shea	
Beaupre	To explain vote
Shea	
Schraeder	Explain vote 'yes'
Shea	
Peters	Explain vote 'no'
Shea	
Dunn, J.	Explain vote 'yes'
Shea	
Fleck	Explain vote
Shea	Postponed Consideration
Beaupre	"please"
Shea	SB 910
Hart	Yes
Shea	
Londrigan	Announcement
Shea	SB 910, Second Reading
Hart	Amendment #1, move to reconsider
Shea	
Schlickman)	Explanation of Amendment ?
)	
Hart)	Move to Table Amendment #1
Shea	Leave granted, Tabled
Hart	Amendment #2
Shea	
Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2
Shea	



Byers)	Yield?
)	
Hart)	
Shea	
Schlickman)	Yield?
)	
Hart)	
Shea	
Waddell)	Yield?
)	
Hart)	
Shea	Amendment #2, adopted, Third Reading SB 241, leave to take to Second for Amendment.
Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1 :
Shea	
Kornowicz	Explains Amendment #1
Shea	
Schlickman)	Yield?
)	
Kornowicz)	
Shea	
Schlickman)	
)	
Kornowicz)	
Shea	Take it out of Record
Jack O'Brien	SB 476, Amendment #2
Washburn	Amendment #3
Shea	
Bradley	Oppose
Speaker Redmond	
Lauer)	Yield?
)	
Washburn)	



Lauer	Speak to Amendment, oppose
Speaker Redmond	
Barnes, E. M.	Speaks to Amendment, oppose.
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	"Point of Media Coverage" (for)
Speaker Redmond	
Washburn	To Close
Speaker Redmond	Amendment #3, SB 476, Amendment lost.
Bradley	Explain my 'no' vote.
Speaker Redmond	Amendment lost, Third Reading
Jack O'Brien	SB 661, Second Reading three Committee Amendments
Speaker Redmond	
Tipword	Amendment #1
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	Amendment #1, Adopt before
Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2
Speaker Redmond	
Tipword	"Houlihan in Appropriation I"
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	Amendment #2
Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted
Jack O'Brien	Amendment #3 tabled in Committee Amendment #4
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	Amendment #4
Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted
Jack O'Brien	Floor Amendment #5



Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	"not germane"
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	
Shea	
Grotberg	Amendment #2
Shea	
Bradley	Oppose
Shea	
Barnes	Point of order, questions germaneness.
Shea	
Barnes	
Shea	"Is not germane"
Grotberg	
Shea	"Parliamentarian will look at it, etc."
Grotberg)
Shea) "Hardly believes so"
Shea	"Was this Amendment in Senate?"
Bradley	
Jack O'Brien	Amendment #3
Shea	
Ryan	"Washburns Amendment"
Shea	
Speaker Redmond	
Jack O'Brien	Amendment #6
Speaker Redmond) Amendment #6
)
Ryan)



Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	Oppose
Ryan	
Speaker Redmond	
Tipsword	Oppose
Speaker Redmond	
Totten	Amendment #6, Explain
Speaker Redmond	Amendment #6
Totten	Explain Vote
Speaker Redmond	Amendment #6 lost, Third Reading
Jack O'Brien	SB 241, Amendment #1
Speaker Redmond	
Kornowicz	Amendment #1
Speaker Redmond	
Walsh	"We're out of order"
Speaker Redmond)	
Walsh)	"nit-picking"
Speaker Redmond	
Schlickman	Parliamentary question Germaneness of Amendment #1
Speaker Redmond	
Schlickman	
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	Point of Order
Speaker Redmond	"Rule it is germane"
Kornowicz	"move for adoption"
Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1



Schlickman)	Yield?
)	
Kornowicz)	
Schlickman	Address the Amendment
Speaker Redmond	
Houlihan	Question
Kornowicz	
Speaker Redmond	
Stone	Point of Order
Speaker Redmond	"Confine remarks, etc."
Lechowicz	Point of order
Speaker Redmond	
Houlihan	
Speaker Redmond	
Palmer	Parliamentary procedure
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	Point of Order
Speaker Redmond	
Palmer	
Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1, SB 241
Madison	Explain my vote 'no'
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	Explain 'aye' vote
Speaker Redmond	
Bluthardt	Point of Order
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	
Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 lost, Third Reading Fiscal Note Requested



Houlihan

Speaker Redmond

Skinner Withdraw request for Fiscal note.

Speaker Redmond

Houlihan Request a fiscal note

Speaker Redmond

Madigan You ordered Bill to Third

Speaker Redmond

Houlihan

Speaker Redmond

Madigan "Transcript will indicate, etc."

Speaker Redmond

Houlihan "is this Bill on Second-Reading?"

Speaker Redmond Keep on order of Second

Madigan "Request a copy of transcript."

Speaker Redmond

Houlihan

Speaker Redmond SB, Third Reading

Fred Selcke SB 398, Third Reading

Speaker Redmond

Ewell Sponsor

Speaker Redmond

Skinner) Question?

Ewell)

Speaker Redmond

Gaines

Speaker Redmond



Skinner) "How much will it cost?"
)
 Ewell)

Skinner Speak to the Bill

Speaker Redmond

Winchester) Yield?
)
 Ewell)

Speaker Redmond

Gaines)
)
 Winchester)

Speaker Redmond

Schraeder) Yield?
)
 Ewell)

Speaker Redmond

Gaines

Schraeder)
)
 Gaines)

Speaker Redmond

Friedland

Move Previous Question

Speaker Redmond

"Ayes" have it, motion carries

Ewell

Speaker Redmond

Deuster

Explain 'no' vote

Speaker Redmond

Katz

Vote 'no'

Speaker Redmond

Chapman

"NO"

Speaker Redmond



Schneider	'no' vote
Speaker Redmond	
Collins	Support
Speaker Redmond	
Beatty	
Speaker Redmond	
Borchers	
Speaker Redmond	
Leon	Explain Affirmative vote.
Speaker Redmond	
Gaines	
Speaker Redmond	
Jacobs	Explain vote 'no'
Speaker Redmond	
Geo-Karis	"Yes"
Speaker Redmond	
Ewell	Explain vote
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	
Speaker Redmond	
Schraeder	Ask for verification at proper time....votes 'no'.
Speaker Redmond	
Palmer	Explains 'aye' vote.
Speaker Redmond	
Byers	
Speaker Redmond	SB 398
Schraeder	Verification



Speaker Redmond	Clerk, poll the absentees
Fred Selcke	
Speaker Redmond	Verify Affirm. Roll Call
Fred Selcke	
Speaker Redmond	
Ebbesen	Change to 'aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Fred Selcke	Continues
Speaker Redmond	
Schraeder	"Raise their hands"
Speaker Redmond	
Fred Selcke	Continues
Mann	How am I recorded?
Fred Selcke	
Mann	Vote me 'aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Fred Selcke	Continues verification
Speaker Redmond	
Fred Selcke)	
)	
Schraeder)	Questions of affirm.
)	
Speaker Redmond))	
Schneider	Ceases with verification
Speaker Redmond	SB 398, Passed
Fred Selcke	SB 405, Third Reading
Speaker Redmond	
Beaupre	Sponsor
Speaker Redmond	



Brinkmeyer)	Yield?
)	
Beaupre)	
Brinkmeyer	Address the Bill
Speaker Redmond	
Schlickman)	Yield?
)	
Beaupre)	
Speaker Redmond	SB 405
Byers	
Speaker Redmond	
McGrew	
Speaker Redmond	
Beaupre	
Speaker Redmond	
Mann	Asks for green votes
Speaker Redmond	
Waddell	Support
Speaker Redmond	
Pierce	Support
Speaker Redmond	
McGrew	Appropriate time, verify
Speaker Redmond	
McGrew	Request verification
Speaker Redmond	Verify Affirmative Roll Call
Fred Selcke	
Beaupre	Poll the absentees
Fred Selcke	Polls absentees
Speaker Redmond	"Record Younge 'aye'"



McGrew	
Speaker Redmond	
Beaupre	He's out of order
Speaker Redmond	Conclude
McGrew	Withdraw verification
Speaker Redmond	Verify withdrawn, SB 405 passed
Fred Selcke	SB 410, Third Reading
Speaker Redmond	Take out of Record
Fred Selcke	SB 417, Third Reading
Speaker Redmond	
Beaupre	Leave to bring to Second for Amend.
Speaker Redmond	Leave
Fred Selcke	Amendment #1
Beaupre	Take out
Speaker Redmond	
Fred Selcke	SB 418, Third Reading
Speaker Redmond	
Sharp	SB 418
Speaker Redmond	
Kempiners)	Yield?
Sharp)	
Speaker Redmond	
Brinkmeyer	"May enlighten etc."
Sharp	
Speaker Redmond	
Kempiners	
Speaker Redmond	



Barnes
 Speaker Redmond SB 418, passed
 Fred Selcke SB 427
 Speaker Redmond
 Craig SB 427 and 428, together
 Fred Selcke
 Speaker Redmond
 Craig
 Speaker Redmond
 Anderson) Yield?
 Craig)
 Anderson Speaks to Bill
 Speaker Redmond
 Giorgi
 Speaker Redmond
 Anderson
 Speaker Redmond
 Giorgi
 Speaker Redmond
 Mudd
 Speaker Redmond
 Ewing
 Speaker Redmond
 Skinner no...on 427 and yes...on 428
 Speaker Redmond
 Neff
 Speaker Redmond



Duff

Speaker Redmond SB 427 and 428, passed

Fred Selcke SB 432, Third REading

Speaker Redmond

Washington

Speaker Redmond SB 432, passed

Jack O'Brien ~~HB 438~~

Speaker Redmond Take out

Jack O'Brien SB 470, Third Reading

Speaker Redmond

Leinenwëber

Speaker Redmond SB 470, passed

Jack O'Brien SB 473, Third Reading

Speaker Redmond

McClain

Speaker Redmond

Tuerk) Question

McClain)

Speaker Redmond

Beatty) Yield?

McClain)

Beatty Speaks for the Bill

Speaker Redmond

Leinenweber Supports

Speaker Redmond

Peters) Question

McClain)



Speaker Redmond	
Ebbesen	Move Previous Question
Speaker Redmond	Ayes have it
Beaupre	
Speaker Redmond	SB 473...Passed
Jack O'Brien	SB 483, Third Reading
Speaker Redmond	
McClain	
Speaker Redmond	SB 483...Passed
Barnes	Move suspend rules
Speaker Redmond	
Ryan	Object to House Bill 835
Speaker Redmond	Leave
Boyle	Announcement
Speaker Redmond	
Matijevich	
Speaker Redmond	
Grotberg	Point of Personal Privilege
Speaker Redmond	
McClain	Personal Privilege
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	Announcement
Speaker Redmond	
Katz	Announcement
Speaker Redmond	
Winchester	Announcement
Speaker Redmond	Committee Reports



Jack O'Brien

Speaker Redmond

Introduction, First Report

Jack O'Brien

Speaker Redmond

Announcement

Shea

Move House Adjourn

Speaker Redmond

House Adjourned

