

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EIGHTY-FIFTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 10, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Redmond: "Turn the Doorkeeper on."

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the floor, will you please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. The Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer this morning by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, Amen. Oh, Lord, Bless this House to Thy service this day, Amen. It was Lanza del Vasto who said, 'That man is free who has freed himself from every end. Who acts as if he were not acting. Whose end is the perfection of doing. That act of such a man splits the world like a flash of omnipotence.' Let us pray for consistent godly lives. Lord, Thou hast made Thyself to be ours, therefore now show Thyself to us in Thy wisdom, goodness, and power. To walk faithfully in our godly course we need much grace. Supply us out of Thy rich store. We need wisdom to apply ourselves to our tasks as Legislators, to go in and out inoffensively before others, furnish us with Thy Spirit. We need patience and comfort, Thou art the God of consolations bestow it upon us; for Christ's sake. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you ah... Mr. Speaker. I'd like to have the record show that ah... Representative Ebbesen is absent due to illness."

Speaker Redmond: "The record will so show. Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 340. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 362. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 454. A Bill for an Act to amend the Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Center Act. Third Read... Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 605. A Bill for an Act to amend the Purchasing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 800. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to authorize Regional Councils of Public Officials, Private Regional Organizations. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 822. A Bill for an Act to amend the Alcoholism Intoxication Treatment Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1083. A Bill for an Act to regulate the granting of academic



degrees, diplomas, certificates in certain educational institutions. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1177. A Bill for an Act in relation to regulation of rivers, lakes, and streams in the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1298. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to State finance. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following titles, to wit. House Bill 340, 354, and 416. Passed by the Senate June 10, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills, Second Reading. I've been advised that ah... the Bills, Committee Bills that were introduced yesterday are not yet printed and on your desks. So we'll go to Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bills, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 57."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 57. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Codes. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Floor Amendment, we'll pass that one. How about 173. Marovitz is not here. 188. Well are there any Amendments on 173? How about 188? 188."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 188. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Capitol Development Board Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 235."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 235, Jack Hill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill, there's a fiscal note requested. Has that been furnished yet? I've been advised by the Clerk that it hasn't been furnished, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, it's my opinion that ah... I don't need a fiscal' ah... a fiscal note on this particular piece of legislation and ah... even though the ah... House is a little thin now, I believe I would have to have 89 votes on that."



Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Hill: "And I'd like to make the motion now that ah... Let Tuerk ask it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I happen to file the motion for a fiscal note because there are some provisions of 235 which indeed will increase the burden of State costs and ah... I'm sorry, I was on the phone. I didn't hear the Gentleman's ah... reason why he didn't think so, but as I understand it, the Industrial Commission has ah... already indicated that it's going to cost substantially more money to administer this Act and therefore that's the need for the fiscal note."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, might I make the suggestion ah... there are 10 Amendments to this piece of legislation and could we possibly go through the Amendments first and then come back to the fiscal. It's on Second Reading and I don't think there's anything in the rules say that you have to take the fiscal note first."

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct. The only hesitation I have is with the, as far as attendance. I'll be back to you very shortly, but I'd rather have more Members on the floor."

Hill: "Well that's perfectly all right with me."

Speaker Redmond: "I'll be back to you on this."

Hill: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "254."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 254. A Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Service Plan Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 301."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 301. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Housing Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 355. Amendments on that. We'll hold that for a while. 364."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 364. A Bill for an Act relating to regulating of handling of eggs and egg products. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Hart on 355. Are these controversial Amendments, do you know?"

Hart: "No, I don't think so. It's the one ah... floor Amendment on that Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Speaker Redmond: "355."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 355. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Keller. Amends Senate Bill 355 by deleting line 31 through 35 on page 2 and lines 1 through 4 on page 3 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "The Amendment ah... changes the ah... It just has to do with filling vacancies on the Board of Directors of Banks and it makes it ah... direct... specifically states that the Directors would fill the vacancy and then ah... it eliminates any limit on how many vacancies can be filled ah... from year to year. I move for the adoption of the floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 355. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 406. An Amendment on that, we'll have to hold that. 427."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 427. A Bill for an Act relating to pari-mutuel betting at the Illinois State Fair. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 428."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 428. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Harness Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 506. That's the one in which a fiscal note has been requested, Representative Londrigan. 512. Amendment requested on that. 693. Fiscal note requested on 693. Have we ah... are there any Amendments on 693? Any Amendments on 693? 694."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 694. A Bill for an Act to amend the Electric Suppliers Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 703. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Was the fiscal note provided with regards to 694? Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "703. Representative Lundy, you familiar with the Amendment on 703?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 703. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 716."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 716. A Bill for an Act in relation to the repeal of the multi-State tax compact. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 731."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 731. A Bill for an Act to amend the Meat and Poultry Inspection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 722. 772, guess I don't read so good."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 772. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "731. I guess I skipped that one. Did we do 731? 773."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 773. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 785."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 785. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 786."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 786. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "805, Third Reading. Take that one out of the record. 814, Representative Calvo on the floor? 820."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 820, Williams. A Bill for an Act creating the Water Resources Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Four Committee Amendments. Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 820 as amended on page 1, line 7 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah... Amendment #1 increases the public members by two and designates how they are selected. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 820. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 820 on page 2, lines 8 and 9 by deleting voting for officers shall be done by the General



Assembly Members only."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, this Amendment ah... brings the Commission into conformance with the method that other ah... Commission use for the election of officers. All the members, legislative and the public members may vote. I move the adoption of ah... Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell, do you seek recognition?"

The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 820.

All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The

'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Amends Senate Bill 820 on page 1 by deleting line 25, 26, 27 and so forth."

Williams: "Amendment #3 deletes a Section pertaining to the termination of a, a public member and move the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 820. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Amends Senate Bill 820 on page 2, line 21 by inserting between the words shall hold, the words attempt to."

Williams: "I move the adoption of Amendment #4. It's exactly what it does."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 820. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 824."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 824. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 824 on page 1, by line 11 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #1 I wish to table. I'd like to explain that it's exact same Amendment that was place on in the Senate and ah..."



Representative Caldwell took this Bill for me and Banks and Savings and Loan, he's Vice-Chairman. and I defer to him, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "This is the Amendment that they've asked us to put on in the House, but we found it was already on in the Senate, Speaker. So I move that that Amendment be tabled."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved to table the Amendment #1.

All in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 543 as long as we have Representative McClain. 543."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 543. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 543 is much more restrictive than the ah... the original Bill ah... so stated. We believe that we had some problems with special districts and charter districts. So, therefore, we've included in the mandating of ah... transportation to pupils who reside more than one and a half miles from the school to include ah... high school districts and elementary districts with the agreement of ah... the parties as under the direction of Representative Art Berman and ah... I'd move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 543."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 543. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Londrigan. Amends Senate Bill 543 on page 1 in each of lines 1 and 5 by deleting Section 29-3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan at all. Representative McClain, are you familiar with Amendment #2 Representative Londrigan's Amendment?"

McClain: "No, sir. I'm not."



Speaker Redmond: "Do you want to move it or keep it here for..."

McClain: "Let's move it, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."

McClain: "I'd move for the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Has the filed not been ah... er... fiscal note been filed?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know. Has one been requested?"

Jack O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Schlickman: "It is filed. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 874."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 874. A Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Home and Shelter Care Homes and Homes for the Aged. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 881, R.K. Hoffman."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 881, Ron Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the creation and management of forest preserve districts. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 881 on page 2 by deleting line 25 and 26 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ron Hoffman."

Ron Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There should be two Committee Amendments on that."

Jack O'Brien: "You're right, two Committee Amendments."

Ron Hoffman: "All right, one Amendment was prepared by the Reference Bureau to clarify some of the language and I would move for its adoption. The second Amendment ah... inserts per diem prior to fee and stipulates the amount of \$36 per day and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 881. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 881 on page 1 and so forth."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Ron Hoffman: "The second Amendment as indicated indicates just the per diem fee and the amount specified, \$36. I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 881. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Daniels. Amends Senate Bill 881 on page in each of lines 1 and 6 by deleting Section 3A and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3, floor Amendment provides for the use of waste water through the production and sale of agriculture, silviculture and aquacultural products and I talked to the Sponsor. He agrees to the Amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Hey, the House rules prohibit taking of pictures gentleman in the Gallery. I've got my shoes on, I'm not walking. You can't take pictures. Where... Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Sponsor of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he'll yield."

Giorgi: "Does this ah... does this take over the county board's function as setting the per diems for the ah... members of the forest preserve districts or is this for the creation of their own forest preserve districts in a county?"

Daniels: "Well, that speaks to the Bill itself. The Amendment is, is different from that particular provision of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Does your Amendment have anything to do with fees?"

Daniels: "No."

Giorgi: "Ron Hoffman answer that question."

Daniels: "Can we move for the adoption of this Amendment and then maybe he'd answer the question after that if that's all right with you ah... Representative? I'd move for the adoption, Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "I'll support him."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #3



to Senate Bill 881. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Dyer. Amends Senate Bill 881 on page 1, line 1 and 6 by changing Section 3A and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer in the chamber? Representative Hoffman, what's your pleasure? Do you want it moved to Third or do you want to hold it?"

Ron Hoffman: "I would like it moved to Third."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Any other Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "910. 910."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 910. A Bill for an Act to amend the Shawnee-town Regional Port District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Hart. Amends Senate Bill 910 on page, line 22 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart, 910."

Hart: "Yeh, oh, yeh ah... this, this ah... Bill amends the Shawneetown Regional Port District Act and adds two members to the ah... to the board. One to be appointed by the Mayor of Old Shawneetown and this Amendment would change the other appointment from the Office of the Governor to ah... the Mayor of Ridgeway. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 910. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 957."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 957. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1109. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Is the Sponsor of this Bill here?"



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Schlickman: "It's Representative Berman, I believe."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, you mean 957."

Schlickman: "957."

Speaker Redmond: "No, he's not. Here he is."

Schlickman: "There is an Amendment to be offered."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record he says. Is there going to be an Amendment on it to move it back from Third Reading to Second Reading then. Representative Berman, we'll leave it on Third."

Berman: "Ah... The Amendment was asked for in the Committee. We know what's requested. I'll do... I can move it to Third and then move it back or if the Minority wishes to..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, leave it."

Berman: "...hold it. Whatever Mr. Schlickman wants."

Speaker Redmond: "Why don't we leave it on Second, then we won't lose it."

Berman: "All right, we'll leave it on Second."

Speaker Redmond: "1109."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1109. A Bill for an Act to define executive agency recognition, reorganization and to regulate the expenditure of funds. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1118."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1118. A Bill for an Act creating the Model School for the Deaf and the Hard of Hearing Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, McCourt. Amends Senate Bill 1118 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, this ah... as House Sponsor of this Bill and ah... in agreement with the Senate Sponsor ah... this Amendment will re-create the Commission on Urban Education. I ask for the adoption of it."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved for the adoption of Amendment..."



Can't be 9. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "What does the Amendment do?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "The Amendment ah... recreates the Commission on Urban Education. In, in, I have... In line and also adds to that the Model School for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing Study Commission. And it's the ah... was suggested that this would be the best way to do this by the Reference Bureau."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I respectfully raise the issue of germaneness. We have a Bill here to create the Model School for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing Study Commission and by this Amendment, as I heard it explained, we would be recreating the Urban Area Study Commission. I question relevance, relevancy."

McCourt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ah... mention that this was brought up ah... I, I happen to be the Chairman of the Commission on Urban Education. Ah... through ah... ah... some, some fault, this was not ah... submitted before the deadline and it was, I was told, that this was the ah... vehicle that could be used and it would be considered germane and I would hopefully ah... ah... seek your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes ah... on the question ah... of germaneness. In general, he is totally changing a Commission. Now I have constituents who are very interested in the Bill in its original form and are interested in the subject of Deaf and Hard of Hearing Study Commission and now by this Amendment, he is totally changing the legislation in which I know people who are interested in deaf and hard of hearing problems in my district are interested. It is not simply a question of satisfying his interest. It is that this is a Commission that meets the legitimate need and interest of people and it does seem to me a little unfair to snatch their Commission away from them."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, this does not do anything other than what the



Digest has. There actually will be two Commissions on this Bill. It leave the Bill in its original form. The Model School for Deaf and Hard of Hearing Study Commission. It adds to that the recreation of the Urban Education Commission. It does not change the Digest in any way. It just adds one other feature, the Commission on Urban Education."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz, it appears from the Amendment that he is not eliminating the first Commission. He's adding the second one and under those circumstances, it would appear to me that it's germane. Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "I, I, I merely arose, Mr. Speaker, to agree with you and would hope that the people who are opposing this would ah... allow this to go.."

Speaker Redmond: "The original Commission remains in the Bill. It adds a second one. So I believe that under those circumstances, it's germane. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "What is germane about this Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Schlickman: "What is germane about this Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, its subject matter is Commissions and I believe this it's germane. It's one of those gray areas, I'll have to confess. The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1118. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1131."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1131. A Bill for an Act to amend the South-west Illinois Metropolitan and Regional Planning Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1175."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1175. A Bill for an Act to amend the Game Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1180."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1180. A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to the Secretary of State. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1380."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1380. A Bill for an Act to create the Joint Legislative Service Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1465."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1465. A Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Governmental Information Service. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills, Third Reading. On Senate... Senate Bills, Third Reading. On Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 10, no, 184. The Sponsor's requested that we remove Senate Bill 184 to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of affixing an Amendment. Leave granted, return it to the order of Second Reading. Representative Ebbesen. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, we did that yesterday so it's on Third Reading now."

Speaker Redmond: "Well... Return it back to the order of Third Reading. How about 208, Beaupre? Representative Schlickman, did we take care of that yesterday?"

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I am the House Sponsor of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "208?"

Schlickman: "Yes, and I would as for leave of the House to return this Bill from the order of Third Reading to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of offering Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Senate Bill 208 will be returned



to the order of Second Reading. Representative Schlickman."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 208 on page 1, line 1 so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 208 is an Amendment to the Campaign Financing Act and covers the issue of Committees that relate to both State and local candidates. The Amendment is an Amendment that was suggested in Committee. It's technical in nature, specifically that on the campaign material of the Committee covering both State and local candidates there shall be indicated on the face of it that a copy of the reports of the Committee are available at cost ah... from either the State Board of Elections or the County Clerk. It further provides that the Treasurer of the political committee shall file a copy ah... of the report filed with the State Board of Elections with the County Clerk and then on the second page ah... there's some language ah... that's deleted relative to the authority of the State Board ah... to charge a fee. This is covered elsewhere in the Bill. I respectfully move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 208."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 208. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 208 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 208 makes some technical changes. For example, it eliminates the reference to the 1974 ah... general election which is obsolete language. It does one other thing which is a matter of policy for this Body to determine and it would eliminate the necessity of the State Board of Elections to require ah... identification of the person or organization who are, who have filed requests for copies of reports of statements of organizations ah... by Committee. I move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Barnes. Representative



Barnes."

E.M. Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for just one question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

E.M. Barnes: "Gene, when was the last ah... the effect of the Amendment of ah... persons or organization identifying themselves for information?"

Schlickman: "Amendment #2 as offered to this House for its consideration is a policy question as I indicated. One for this Body to determine. What the Amendment would do is to eliminate the requirement that the State Board of Elections require a person soliciting a copy of a finance report or a statement of organization, eliminates the requirement of having the solicitor, the person seeking information, identifying ah... him or herself or identifying the organization. It's felt on the part of some people that this identification and its subsequent report to the Committee is inhibiting in nature."

E.M. Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, could I have just a little order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order, please."

E.M. Barnes: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think this is one of the Amendments that many of you in the House should take some notice of. I think what we have here is a case where someone or some organization may go to the State Board of Elections and request specific information about you or about your ah... Committee in some form. Yet those people or that particular organization or person will not if this Amendment is enacted will not have to identify themselves. Now I think that if we're going to live in a fishbowl, that people that are trying to look into information concerning us or how our Committees are established in or are ran should be willing to at least identify themselves or the organization from which the information is being requested. I think that's the only right and proper thing to do and if ah... the effect of this Amendment is to eliminate the requirement, I think ah... it is a bad Amendment and I think many of you sitting here, all of you sitting here, will, this Amendment will have some effect in one way or another should take a real hard look at it."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "No, I won't."

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he won't."

Schlickman: "Yes, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Kozubowski: "Representative, I would just like to know the reason for this Amendment and why those people who are requesting information dealing with our statements should not have to identify themselves."

Schlickman: "Well, Representative, I indicated at the very outset that this was a policy question for issue to be decided by this Body. I further stated what the Amendment contained. The reasoning behind the Amendment is to eliminate the present or existing inhibition on the part of persons or organizations to seek copies of statements of organization or financial reports concerned that by their identity being revealed there may be some form of recrimination."

Kozubowski: "Well, I..."

Schlickman: "It's on that basis that I offer the Amendment and I leave it to this House in its judgement to ah... vote the Amendment up or down."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, may I proceed with another question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Kozubowski: "Well, I think you've really skirted the question that I asked you, Representative. I know that you're saying it's a matter of a policy decision, but I would just go one step further and say why is this Amendment or the contents of this Amendment necessary? Why should there be any ah... secrecy about whom is requesting information about our statement. What's the matter of policy? That's all I want to know. The question really is why? What's beneath this Amendment?"

Schlickman: "Well, as I stated previously, there is a feeling on the part of some people, some individuals and ah... organizations that by their being required to identify themselves and then having their identity revealed to the Committee that has filed the financial report or the statement of organization that there will a form



of recrimination that will have an inhibiting affect on these individuals or organizations seeking this information."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, if I may speak against this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to speak against this Amendment. My reasoning is very simple. I certainly have no objections to anyone..."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order."

Kozubowski: "I certainly have no objections to an individual or a group of individuals looking at the Ethics statements that I am required to file, however, I think merely as a matter of courtesy and I certainly don't see any means for recrimination at the Representative who is sponsoring this Amendment talks about. I think that if we are required to file these statements we should at least have the right to know who is requiring about the contents of those statements. Therefore, I would like to ask the membership of this House not to support the Amendment and to vote no."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "To close or are there others who desire."

Speaker Redmond: "No, Representative Kosinski. Give the Gentleman order please."

Kosinski: "If I can have the attention of this body."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman attention, please."

Kosinski: "I would like to speak to the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Kosinski: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the Legislature, we make declarations by statute of this sort to permit people to investigate our backgrounds what our actions are and it is quite in accord with the law. At the same time I think we all enjoy a certain amount of curiosity. We are concerned as to who specifically is looking at our business and whether that look-in is legal in its intent. Now presently I get back triplicate documents indicating that I have been looked into without sufficient information to salve my curiosity. Where they indicate they are an analyst and for the purpose of seeing what my contributions were. I would like to know what organization is involved. So even in the use of these triplicates at this point in time, I think they are insufficient



But to deny me and everyone in this Legislature the option of knowing what organization is involved is incredible and I recommend that this House deny this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to end up breaking the debate if there are alot of lights on there but I was going to move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn."

Downs: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and gentlemen of the House, I respect, I respect..."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentleman order."

Downs: "The last speaker, the distinguished gentleman from Cook has given a perfectly good reason why he feels entitled to know who is looking at his records and he does so on the basis that he too like the public has the right to know, but this is clearly an Amendment that needs a kind word said for it and the questions have been asked well what is the need for it and why should they have to identify and I will give you two examples of why I think it is a very good Amendment. First, last year a number of us were embroiled in litigation over the question of filing of statements of economic interest and so in preparation for that we needed to determine who all filed and who didn't file statements and we made requests of the Secretary of State. We received three angry and eight curious telephone calls, but the important part is the angry calls that we received from public officials who demanded to know why we were looking at their statements. I think that is one good reason to have them. The other reason occurred last week. Another member of the General Assembly through some kind of misunderstanding that is not relavent to this apparently had made on his behalf requests to examine a number of disclosure statements among them mine and I received the copy in the mail indicating with his name on it the request for such information. Now I was mildly curious as to why he sought this information but I totally respected his right to have it and it did not bother me. But today I have learned that that individual is very genuinely and seriously was concerned that I might think that he is looking at my records as though he doesn't have a right to see them and he also reported to me that others had contacted him with some concern as to why he is



prying into such records and I submit that is a good reason to dispense with this. I don't care and I am sure that individual doesn't care who looks at his records. I think that it is an intimidating apparently factor. It will inhibit public inspection of these records and if the purpose of disclosure is to be served which is the public's right to know then it is an excellent Amendment because I think that clearly the circumstances indicate that people may be intimidated, certainly members of this very body are very sensitive less anybody think they are prying. I submit they have a right to know and they need not apologize to me about it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I have but one remark to make. What is good for the goose, is good for the gander, I vote accordingly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. Representative Byers."

Byers: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by saying, voting aye, opposed no. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Representative Choate."

Choate: "I was seeking recognition to explain my vote at the proper time, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Point of clarification, Mr. Speaker. This is to move the previous question, is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Lechowica: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 128 ayes, 3 no, Motion carries. Representative Schlickman to close."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. In offering this Amendment I described it and its contents. I also indicated to the Membership that there was a policy question that was involved. I should say Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that there is a multiplicity, a multiplicity of reports at the State and Federal Government



that involve each of us individually and there is no statutory requirement with respect to those reports where by the person seeking access to those reports is required to identify. I should point out Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that this requirement is one that can be very easily evaded simply by having someone else do it on your part. This is a lie and I think that we should not be encouraging that kind of evasion. I offer the Amendment Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, so that this body can have the opportunity of reviewing its position on the matter. I respectfully suggest that this Amendment in a very critical area, the area of campaign financing will promote freedom of information and that it is a good Amendment and one that should be adopted and I thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski, point of order."

Kosinski: "Point of order, Mr. Chairman, would it be in order to reverse that Roll Call of the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think so. The question is shall this Bill, shall this Amendment be adopted? Representative Choate. The question is shall this Amendment be adopted. All in favor indicate by voting aye, opposed vote no. Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you will give me your attention just one second."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman your attention please."

Choate: "I dare say that there has not been a single member in State government today that has had their ethic statement looked at more than I have. And I dare say that standing on my own two feet I've got the same right to know whose looking at it that the general public has to look at it. And when you talk to me about the right to know as far as the media is concerned, and you talk to me about open meetings because the public has got a right to know, then what in the hell is wrong with us having the right to know, and I'll tell you one thing, I respect Representative Schlickman and I think that he thinks he's right, but Gene this is one time I have got to totally disagree with you and I have agreed with you on a lot of things. If there was ever a way, if there was ever a way to make a second class citizen out of one who holds public office and must file an ethics statement within accordance of the laws of this State, this Amendment would do that very thing,



and I think that each and everyone of us should give it a resounding red light."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to explain my no vote on this outrageous Amendment. During the past two or three months when the question of ERA was before the House, the members who voted in the past Session against ERA had their Ethics Statements pulled and their campaign finances statements pulled and the reason listed on there by the group that pulled it was for the lack of support of ERA and I was glad to know that the people that were backing the Equal Rights Amendment went to all the trouble to look up all of the Representatives statements to see how they voted. And the reason they put on there that they looked it up was because we failed to support the Equal Rights Amendment. I am glad to know what groups are looking at our statements because it certainly has an influence on the way you vote on their issues later on."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative GeoKaris."

GeoKaris: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, I would like to say I don't think the ERA should be blamed or anyone else, I just don't think it is proper to have people become busy bodies instead of interested concerned citizens into those reports and I feel the only thing that we can do to be sensible about it is discourage the malicious person who just wants to cause trouble for nothing is to have them identify themselves and I urge a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "Just one little sentence that I left out, Mr. Speaker. And to be able to say it I have to do it on a point of personal privilege. I said about how many had looked at my Ethics Statement and I want to tell everybody, nobody found a damn thing wrong with it either."

Speaker Redmond: "As I read the scoreboard, it's 13 to 138. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Member of the House, it was not my intention or desire to bring up the time to impose upon the time of the House. I did think it was an Amendment that was worth consideration by this Body. I think there is an important policy issue involved. I do want to say this to the Gentleman from Anna. I have always respected his right to



disagree with another person and I appreciate that and for the Membership that's not aware the Cubs did win in ten innings four to three last night."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Russ Larson who is President of Hollywood Candy Company felt there was a real need for quick energy for the Members of this House so he sent up a little Payday bar for everybody. I hope you enjoy it. In case you don't know where Centralia is, it is half way between Chicago and Memphis."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? On this question there is 14 ayes, 15 ayes, 142 no's and the Motion is lost. The policy of the House I guess has been determined. Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We are pleased to have with us this afternoon a distinguished former colleague of our's who represented the 28th Legislative District, Jim Peterson, where are you Jim. There he is over there."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Washburn, do you seek recognition? Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would like to request that have permission of the House that we have a recess for one hour for the purpose of a Republican conference. This conference would be held in Room 114."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Okay, we will stand in Committee Reports we will stand in recess after the Committee Reports for one hour. Representative Houlihan. Before you proceed, may I request the Chairmen of the Committees to repair to the Speaker's Office for about five minutes after Representative Houlihan. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, did you make any indication what our schedule was for the rest of the day and would this affect it. Could you repeat whatever our schedule would be?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we haven't, Committee tonight, there is at least one Committee tonight at eight o'clock, so the House will adjourn probably at 7:30, I don't know what is going to happen. We have an hour off now and then there is another meeting with the fiscal and economic Commission at 4:00, about a half an hour, I mean that's."



then we will go for ever. Representative Leon."

Houlihan: "Are you saying that we should be back at 4:30?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, 4:00."

Houlihan: "Four o'clock."

Speaker Redmond: "Right, Representative Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House particularly those Members of the Banks and Savings and Loans Committee, as you know we had a meeting posted for 2:00 today, that meeting will convene and recess until 8:00 p.m. this evening in the same room, A-1, at 8:00 p.m. I would appreciate the Members being there promptly at 8:00 so we can dispose of our business. It should take about 20 minutes. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "The Chairmen in the Speaker's Office please. Committee Reports."

O'Brien: "Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 882 was referred report the same back with the Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as Amended do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 1002 was referred report the same back with the recommendation do pass, consent calendar. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 1031 was referred report the same back with the Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as Amended do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 1081 was referred report the same back with the Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 1081 was referred report the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which was referred House Bill 877 report the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3078 recommend the original Bill lie on the Table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which was referred House Bill 878 report the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3079 recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the



substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which was referred House Bill 879 report the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3080 recommend that the original Bill lie on the Table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which was referred House Bill 880 report the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3081 recommend that the original Bill lie on the Table and the substitute Bill do pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Introduction and First Reading."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3078, Committee on Cities and Villages, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Metro East Sanitary District Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3079, Committee on Cities and Villages, A Bill for an Act to Amend sections of an Act to create Sanitary Districts in certain localities, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3080, Committee on Cities and Villages, A Bill for an Act to Amend the Sanitary District Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3081, Committee on Cities and Villages, A Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act, First Reading of the Bill. Stand in recess."

Doorman: "All those not entitled to the Floor, would you please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Representative Fary, for what purpose do you rise? Senate Bills Third Reading. On Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 234. Representative Hill, do you want to return that."

Hill: "Return that to Second."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker I would appreciate it if you would bring that back to Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman asks leave to return Senate Bill 234 to the order of Second Reading, any objections? Hearing none, be returned to the order of Second Reading."

O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Deavers, Amends Senate Bill 234 on page 42, line 22 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers. Are you satisfied with the full House, yet, Representative Hill or... what is your pleasure?"

Hill: "Don't look too hot."



Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Senate Bill 522. It may look hotter for that one. Take 234 out of the record. 522. That's Representative Molloy. Representative Molloy."

Molloy: "I would like leave of the House to bring Senate Bill 522 back for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, be returned to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Read the Amendment."

Selcke: "Amendment #1, Molloy, Amends Senate Bill 522, page 1, lines 1 and 5, so forth."

Molloy: "I would like to ask leave of the House to table Amendment #1 and replace it with Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection, table Amendment, Amendment #1 is tabled."

Selcke: "Amendment #2, Molloy, Amends Senate Bill 522, page 1, line 1 and 5, so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Molloy."

Molloy: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #2 authorizes State chartered credit unions to sell money orders and travelers checks to their members. Also allows those credit unions that are insured under the Federal Credit Union Act and subject to the rules of the Internal Revenue Code to become members of the Keel clan which allows them to accept up to 1500 a year for persons not members of a pension plan and who are self employed. I would ask adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Molloy: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Madison: "Representative Molloy, what specifically does Amendment #2 accomplish that Amendment #1 did not accomplish?"

Molloy: "Amendment #1 had some typographical errors. It was the same Amendment."

Madison: "The same Amendment. Okay."

Molloy: "With typographical errors."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The Gentleman has moved the

~~adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 522, all in favor indicate by~~

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? No further Amendments.

Third Reading. 965."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 965."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave for the return of Senate Bill 965 from the order of Third Reading to the order of Second Reading for the adoption of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave. Bill will be returned to the order of Second Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 965 on page 1 in lines 1 and 6 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 965 is a Bill that amends the Park District Code and what this Amendment does is to provide that the disconnection of provision in Section 3-6B that is now available only in counties a million, would be available in counties of more than a million and also provides that a copy of the proposed annexing ordinance ah... shall be sent prior to its effectiveness to the owners who are affected by the proposed annexation. Now I would and do move for the adoption of Amendment #1, House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 965."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 965. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? No other Amendments? Third Reading."

Giorgi: "Senate Bill 965, Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1139. Ah... Got an Amendment ah... Mahar."

Giorgi: "Representative Mahar, Senate Bill 1139,"

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker ah... This is Representative Skinner's Amendment and he isn't here. I'd like to..."

Giorgi: "Is it your intention to take this Bill back to Second Reading for purpose..."

Mahar: "I'll take it back when the, when he arrives. He's here now. I'll take it back."

Giorgi: "Hold the Bill, Mr. Clerk."



Mahar: "Hold the Bill please."

Giorgi: "How about 1260, Senate Bill 1260."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1260, ah... Kozubowski."

Giorgi: "Representative Kozubowski asks Leave of the House to move Senate Bill 1260 back to the Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Leave has been granted. Put 1260 on Second Reading."

Kozubowski: "Is that Representative Kempiners Amendment?"

Fred Selcke: "Yes."

Kozubowski: "Okay, I have no objection to it."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Kempiners."

Giorgi: "No objection by the Sponsor. The motion is, the question is the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor of Amendment #2 to House, Senate Bill 1260 signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted and Senate Bill... Any further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "No further Amendments."

Giorgi: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1260. Senate Bill 1395."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1395."

Giorgi: "Representative Garmisa. Any House Amendments? Yes, sir, he wants leave of the House to bring Senate Bill 1395 back to Second Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Garmisa. Amends Senate Bill 1395 and so forth."

Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves the Amendment of ah... the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1395. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Representative Barnes. Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 1395 by renumbering Sections 4 and 5 and Sections 3 and 4 respectively."

Giorgi: "Representative Garmisa on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1395."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, the ah... ah... these ah... chap... er... these paragraphs were misnumbered and this Amendment merely numbers them correctly. I ask for ah... ah... the House to ah... adopt Amendment #1."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? The motion is, the question is the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor signify by saying 'aye';



the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1395 is adopted. Third Reading. Representative Hart on Senate Bill 155."

Hart: "Ah... yes ah... with ah... the leave of the Sponsor, I'd like to have that..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk, read Senate Bill 155."

Hart: "No, it doesn't have to be read. It has to be returned to Second Reading."

Giorgi: "Senate Bill 155 back to Second Reading. Does he have leave? Leave has been granted. Senate Bill 155 is now on Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment."

Hart: "Ah... If I can get the attention of my ah... Representative Choate voted on the prevailing side of Amendment which I believe was #1 and ah... ah... he would like to move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted so that ah... it can be ah... we can have another Roll Call on it."

Giorgi: "Mr. Choate, would you like to explain the move for the reconsideration of Amendment #1. Is that... Is that the reconsideration of Amendment #1?"

Hart: "That's right ah..."

Giorgi: "To Senate Bill 155, do you move to reconsider?"

Hart: "Yes, he does."

Giorgi: "Mr. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Can we be given an explanation of House Amendment #1."

Giorgi: "Mr. Hart."

Hart: "That's the reason that I was doing it because I'm going to explain it as soon as I made my motion. Ah... What happened on this Amendment was that ah... I had talked to Representative McMasters about it earlier last week and told him that I opposed it. It was actually ah... Representative ah... ah... from Quincy ah... Mrs. Mary Lou Kent, right. Representative Kent's Amendment and I had also discussed it with her. There was some question about whether we were talking about the same kind of a taxing district and ah... they agreed that she was going to call her lawyer, I think, who represented the district and ah... find out. When I was off the floor, Friday afternoon, the Bill was called on Second Reading and the Amendment



was placed on the Bill. Ah... So I asked Representative McMaster and Representative Kent if they would give me the opportunity to take ah... to debate the Amendment on the floor and that's what's being done at this time."

Schlickman: "Oh, okay. Thank you."

Giorgi: "Representative McMasters. We haven't put the motion yet, have we?"

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Continue on."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the fact that ah... Representative Hart was not here the other afternoon had nothing to do with the Amendment being put on. It was the order of business. I did not know that Dick was absent and ah... certainly we had no intention of ah... doing something without his knowledge and this is the reason why we agreed to bring it back. Now I have not heard what the motion is. At the proper time, I would like to speak in regard to the Amendment."

Giorgi: "All right, in other words... Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Now, Mr. Speaker, ah... I think we've got the issue clear cut and I want Representative McMasters and Representative Kent both to understand that Representative Hart was not in no way saying that him being off the floor had anything to do with them calling the Bill or the Amendment at that particular time. It was just one of those unfortunate circumstances and I certainly want, certainly want this House to know that I appreciate Representative McMasters and Representative Kent giving Representative Hart and myself the opportunity of debating the merits of the Amendment. I appreciate it and I know that I speak for Representative Hart when I say that he appreciates it. So now, Mr. Speaker, I do move having voted on the prevailing side that the House now reconsiders the vote by which Amendment #, #1 was adopted."

Giorgi: "You've heard the question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The reconsideration is successful. Representative Hart."

Hart: "Well, now I think it would be proper for the Bill, for ah...

Representative Kent to present the Amendment and we'll present our



opposition to it. That would be my suggestion to the Chair."

Giorgi: "In other words, the same Amendment is being put?"

Hart: "That's right and ah... the Sponsor of the Amendment could explain the Amendment and we'll explain why we're opposed to it."

Giorgi: "Okay, Representative McMasters on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 155. Are you moving now to put the Amendment back on Mr. McMasters? The motion is to adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 155. That's where we're at at the present time."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Giorgi: "Pardon me, just a moment. Mr. Madison, for what reason do you rise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, was Amendment #1 adopted before?"

Giorgi: "Yes, and it's been reconsidered and taken off."

Madison: "Reconsidered and tabled?"

Giorgi: "Taken off, no, just taken off of the Bill and now it's... The purpose is to put it back on."

Madison: "Well, I mean, how do you take it off unless it's tabled, Mr. Speaker?"

Giorgi: "No, it was just reconsidered and taken off of the Bill."

Madison: "Did we..."

Giorgi: "Speaker's table now."

Madison: "I don't remember us voting again on it ah..."

Giorgi: "We did."

Madison: "Okay."

Hart: "That's what we're going to do now."

Giorgi: "Now he's putting Amendment #1 back on the same Amendment, but they want to debate the issue. Mr. McMasters."

McMaster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're not actually taking the Amendment off. We're reconsidering the Amendment at Representative Hart's and Choate's request. This Amendment was a Committee Amendment. As you know, several days or a week or two ago, we did pass a series of Bills by Representative Gil Deavers that changed the method of appointment to various districts ah... in the State of Illinois, the special districts. This happens to be ah... Water Conservancy District. At that time, the series of Bills that Representative Deavers had did not consider this



specific River Conservancy or Water Conservancy District. This applies to the fact that the Legislature becomes involved when a district crosses county lines. This has not been a very satisfactory method of appointment. Under that series of Bills, these appointive powers were given to the Chairman of the County Board in the district involved with the advise and consent of the County Board. The purpose of this Amendment is to add the Water Conservancy District into the same type of legislation so that all of these appointive powers will lay in the same place instead us having this one thing that we would still be involved in. Representative Mary Lou Kent came to the Committee with the Amendment and asked that we put it on. We ah... were glad to accommodate Representative Kent and it's our purpose when the Amendment comes to the floor to attempt to put it back on and I would certainly appreciate it if ah... Mary Lou would have a few words to say in regard to it."

Hart: "He has deferred to Mrs. Kent."

Giorgi: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "I think Mr. McMaster has deferred the explanation to Mrs. Kent at this point."

Giorgi: "Mrs. Kent on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 155. Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you. This is no different than the one that ah... Representative Deavers had on the other districts. As you know, many of you have the forms that are sent to you from your district when it covers more than one county for you to sign for the trustee or commission. It seems to me that we should let those back home and the local control, we're so impressed with local control and want them to have their own that this is where it belongs. It will give the Count Board of each county the opportunity to elect or vote for the one that they want. I urge you to vote 'yes' on Amendment #1."

Giorgi: "Representative Hart on Amendment #1."

Hart: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, now what we're, what we're debating here is the question of whether or not and it's River Conservancy Districts and not Water Conservancy Districts, whether or not this Legislature is going to retain the right to appoint the at large members of boards of trustees of River



Conservancy Districts or we're going to give up those appointments and give them to the County Boards of the multi-counties involved in the districts. Now although I didn't particularly favor the other Bills in giving up those powers which ah... we retained a couple of sessions ago when we passed all these Bills. Most of them were not of any, of any great importance and we did not ah... urge any opposition to it. But these appointments are paid emplo... a paid member of boards. And River Conservancy District trustees receive a \$3,000 a year salary and they manage ah... property of many millions of dollars. And I think they're very important positions and I believe that the Legislature should retain the power of these appointments unless there's been, there is demonstrated on the floor of this House some urgent reason for us to change it. It used to be under the Constitution, that the Judges made these appointments, but under the 1970 Constitution ah... it was eliminated and ah... legislation sponsored by ah... Representative George Lindberg, our present Comptroller, ah... placed the appointive powers in multicounty districts in the Members of the Legislature. These ah... appointments in our are very important and we would like for the Legislature to ah... support us in the retention of these appointive, appointments."

Giorgi: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I'd urge your support for this Amendment and I'd like to compliment Mrs. Kent on the Amendment. It's a terrific Amendment. I don't know how the rest of you handle it but in my area, we tend to or at least I tend to just accept the nomination by your Conservancy District or ah... by a lawyer who writes me a letter asking me to sign a petition and I have no knowledge of the Conservancy District and how it functions, how well it functions and I think the local County Boards ah... would ah... be more amenable to it. They're closer to the situation ah... it's their people. I just think it's a tremendous Amendment and ah.. I think it get it out, get it out of our hair and I'd urge a favorable vote."

Giorgi: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think it's a tremendous Amendment and I'll go into more detail as to



why I don't think it's a tremendous Amendment rather than just say that some lawyer submitted a name to me. If you're familiar with the amount of money that has come out of the coffers of the State of Illinois and the Federal government that has gone into projects like Rend Lake in our Representative District and in our Congressional District, then you ought to know that we ought to have a little bit more so say about who is going to be in charge of spending all of these hundreds of thousands and millions of dollars of taxpayers money. I found it true that being involved in the appointment that I look at the background of this man or woman whoever the case may be to see if she is qualified to see if she has or he has the best interest of first the Conservancy District and the taxpayers expenditure of dollars at heart. I'm saying to you that we who appropriate the moneys to bring about the effectiveness of an area such as this that can turn into one of the great recreational areas of the entire midwest, we in the Legislature who appropriate that money, should have something to say about the appointment of these people who's going to expend that money. That's how I feel about it and I think that if you will look at it from a constructive standpoint, from the standpoint that you want to do justice to having a board that will spend the taxpayers dollar feasibly and wisely, you will reject this Amendment."

Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption ah... Representative McMasters, to close, is that it?"

McMaster: "Well let me say one thing, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The only time that we as Legislators become involved in the appointments is when the district extends beyond the boundaries of a single county. In other words, Clyde, if the district is included solely within one county, the Legislators have nothing to do with it and at that tim, it is the power and duty of the County Board to do so. I think this is good legislation. I think the Amendment is good, and as I said before when we adopted it last week, I would certainly urge that you vote in favor of this Amendment and put it on so that we can have all appointive powers in the same place instead of spreading part of them with the County Boards and part of them with the General Assembly. I urge a 'yes' vote."



Georgi: "The question is the adoption of Amendment, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 155. All in vot... All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Could I explain my vote, please?"

Georgi: "Yes, you certainly may."

Kent: "Could I explain to you that we have given the power back to the County Boards in every other kind of district. Why would this be different. Also, please open the board."

Georgi: "I'm sorry. I apologize. Drop the..."

Kent: "I want this, Mr. Speaker, and I hope that people will vote green. Members of the House, this is an important decision that you are making now. It seems to me that by the time we pass a piece of paper around to everybody, some sign, some do not. What do we do when they don't all sign? What if there are two or three names? Then it goes into court and then there are extra costs. To me, this is very important to all of you and I hope that you will vote green."

Georgi: "Representative Hart, to explain his vote."

Hart: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think the issue is clouded here by ah... lack of attention and maybe a couple of Legislators have paid to these appointments. As Representative Choate pointed out in our 'no' vote here, when we, when the names of ah... persons are suggested to us for appointment to ah... the Board of Trustees of River Conservancy Districts, we look into their background. We find out their qualifications and we ah... ah... consider ah... the, what is the best interest of the ah... district and the management of this property. The Rend Lake ah... itself ah... is ah... owned and operated by the Corps of Engineers and the Rend Lake Conservancy District, for instance. That's a \$75,000,000 project and it isn't something that we should just want to give away ah... to anybody. I think what happened in ah... in the area represented by ah... the two Representatives from Adams that have spoken against, er... for this Amendment was that some name was submitted to them and ah... the signed some paper an it turned out that that was a bad suggestion as far as that person was concerned for that ah... River Conservancy District. But certainly one experience of that kind doesn't justify



the ah... ah... changing of the system as we're doing here. What, what is asked by this Amendment is to turn the appointments over to the County Boards and ah... certainly ah... ah... in view of the large responsibility that the Legislature has for the money for these projects within these districts, I don't believe that it's appropriate for the County Boards to have the say on the names of the persons who are ah... trustees and it should remain in the Legislature where we placed it several years ago as I pointed out on a Bill sponsored by Representative, then, George Lindberg. Ah... The importance of the appointments, the ah... consideration of where the money comes for the projects ah... urges this Legislature to retain the appointing power. I don't believe there's a single River Conservancy District in the State of Illinois that lies wholly within one county. So ah... what we're doing here is ah... is ah... retaining the appointment of these very important positions of which we fund ah... largely when a project comes ah... to the districts such as the Rend Lake ah... the ah... Saline Lake, the Carlyle Lake, the Shelby Lake and other major projects of such a nature as that."

Giorgi: "Are there any other explanation of votes? Mrs. Kent to explain her vote."

Kent: "I've explained my vote, but I want to say before the board is closed that I would like a verification please."

Giorgi: "Okay, have all voted who wished? Take the record. Mr. Clerk. A verification has been requested. Do you want to poll the absentees first? Mrs. Kent, should you ah... do you want to ah... verify the negative rather than the affirmative to begin with or should we... Mr. Hart, do you want the proper, regular procedure?"

Hart: "In accordance with the rules, that's right."

Giorgi: "All right, then let's poll the absentees and then ah... verify the affirmative and then the negative."

Fred Selcke: "Beaupre, Boyle, Brandt."

Giorgi: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I thought I was on that. I would like to vote 'yes.'"

Giorgi: "Representative Beaupre votes 'aye'. 'Aye' or 'nay'."



Fred Selcke: "'aye'. Byers, Collins, Epton, Fary, Getty."

Giorgi: "Hold it, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Fary."

Fary: "I would like to be recorded as voting 'no' ah... Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Representative Fary 'no'. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Getty 'no'."

Fred Selcke: "Getty 'no'."

Giorgi: "Continue on."

Fred Selcke: "Hirschfeld, Katz, Madison, Mann, Marovitz, Palmer, Schneider, Skinner, Waddell, Wall, Williams."

Giorgi: "Williams votes 'no'."

Fred Selcke: "Winchester, Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Okay then let's take the affirmative... Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Madison votes 'no'. How many does that make in the affirmative and the negative, Mr. Clerk? The count at the present time is 73 'ayes', 85 'nays'. Proceed with the affirmative verification."

Fred Selcke: "Anderson, Arnell, Jane Barnes, Beaupre, Bluthardt, Campbell, Capuzi, Carroll, Catania, Coffey, Daniels, Deavers, Deuster, Duff, Dyer, Ebbesen, Ewing, Fleck, Friedland, Friedrich, Gaines, Geo-Karis, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Gene Hoffman."

Giorgi: "Mr. Choate, for what reason do you rise?"

Choate: "I don't really know how Representative Hart is expected to see a single soul recognized their name being called with everyone on that side of aisle standing up."

Giorgi: "Your point is well taken, Mr. Choate. Now let's try to help with the verification by ah... at least pointing to the fellow if he's in the room or something."

Fred Selcke: "Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Holewinski, Jim Houlihan, Hudson, Dave Jones, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kucharski, LaFluer, Lauer, Leinenweber, Macdonald, Mahar, McAuliffe, McClain, McCourt, McGrew, McMaster, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Neff, Peters, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Reed, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Schisler, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Schuneman, Sevcik, Simms, Stearney, E.G. Steele, Cissy Stiehl, Telcser, Totten, Tuerk, Walsh, Washburn, Willer. That's it."

Giorgi: "Representative Washburn."



Washburn: "Thank you ah... Mr. Speaker. At the request of Speaker Redmond, the following persons are in the Speaker's office. If they could be verified without their being present and I'll give you the names and they are back there. Walsh, Ryan, Telcser, Totten."

Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Washburn. Slower for the Clerk."

Washburn: "Oh, Walsh, Ryan."

Giorgi: "Have they been voted? There's no change in their vote, are they..."

Washburn: "Telcser, Totten, Randolph and ah... myself, if you'll ah... Thank you very much."

Giorgi: "Do you have any questions of the affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Hart?"

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to start with certainly we would exceed to the request of the Minority Leader. I would ask you this. Is there any Democrats in there with those Republicans? If there is not, Redmond's got a problem on his hands."

Hart: "I think he may have, even if there are some. But are there, are there, are there ah... is the leadership ah... on the Democrat side in there with the Appropriations Committee people. If they are, I'd like to have the same courtesy extended with their vote. Could you find who's in there and we'll ah... There, you know, not have the problem with them either."

Giorgi: "We're checking that immediately."

Hart: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Are there any questions of the affirmative Roll Call now?"

Hart: "Yes, ah... Mr. Anderson."

Giorgi: "The... Mr. Anderson. Is ah... How is Mr. Anderson recorded?"

Hart: "Oh, there he is."

Giorgi: "He's in his seat."

Hart: "Mr. Arnell."

Giorgi: "Mr. Arnell. Is Mr. Arnell in the chamber? How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'yes'."

Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Hart: "Mr. Capuzi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Capuzi's in his seat."



Hart: "Mrs. Catania, I see her over there, Mr. Daniels."

Giorgi: "Mr. Daniels is in his seat."

Hart: "Mr. Duff."

Giorgi: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Duff in the Chamber? Take him off the record."

Hart: "Mr. Fleck."

Giorgi: "He's there."

Hart: "Mrs. GeoKaris."

Giorgi: "How is the Lady recorded? She's in the aisle."

Hart: "Okay, Mr. Griesheimer."

Giorgi: "Mr. Griesheimer, how's he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Griesheimer in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Hart: "Mr. G. L. Hoffman."

Giorgi: "Mr. G. L. Hoffman, how's he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Hoffman in the Chambers G. L. Take him off the record."

Hart: "Mr. R. K. Hoffman."

Giorgi: "Mr. R. K. Hoffman, how's he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Hart: "J. M. Houlihan."

Giorgi: "He's in his seat, who's calling him out."

Hart: "Mr. Hudson."

Giorgi: "I'm informed that Mr. Kane and Mr. Lechowicz are also in the
Speaker's Office. Mr. Kane and Mr. Lechowica, so they can be verified."

Hart: "Okay."

Giorgi: "What was that last name?"

Hart: "Hudson."

Giorgi: "Hudson is on our side of the aisle there."

Hart: "Mr. Klosak."

Giorgi: "Mr. Klosak."

Hart: "Klosak."

Giorgi: "Klosak, how is he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."



Giorgi: "Is Mr. Klosak in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Hart: "Mr. Mahar."

Giorgi: "Mr. Mahar is in his seat."

Hart: "Mr. McAuliffe."

Giorgi: "Mr. McAuliffe is in his seat."

Hart: "Mr. Meyer."

Giorgi: "Mr. Meyer is waving his hand there."

Hart: "Mr. Porter."

Giorgi: "Mr. Porter is in his seat."

Hart: "Mr. Rose."

Giorgi: "Mr. Rose is in his seat."

Hart: "Mr. Sevcik."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sevcik, Mr. Sevcik. He's waving from the back of the room.

Mr. Sevcik could you stand please for a moment. Sevcik, is he back there with you, George? Is Mr. Sevcik bodily here. How's he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Hart: "Could you ask the members not to be verifying somebody else. I mean after all it is a long way across there and my eyesight isn't as good as it use to be. So maybe we could ask for a cease and desist order on that kind of conduct."

Giorgi: "Mr. Collins, for what reason do you rise?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think I am recorded. Record me voting aye."

Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk, Mr. Collins votes ayes."

Hart: "Representative Simms."

Giorgi: "Just a moment Mr. Hart, excuse me. Mr. Arnell wants to be recorded as voting aye. Mr. Arnell. Mr. Arnell. Mr. Arnell was verified off and now he's verified back on."

Hart: "Simms."

Giorgi: "Simms is in the back of the room."

Hart: "Okay, E. G. Steele."

Giorgi: "E. P. Steele."

Hart: "E. G., E. G."

Selcke: "There he is."

Giorgi: "He's sitting in his seat."



Hart: "Those are all of the names that I have."

Giorgi: "That's the affirmative Roll Call. What does the total show now Mr. Clerk? The total now is 68 for the affirmative."

Collins: "How was Mr. Brandt recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Giorgi: "Mr. Brandt, record him as voting no. Mr. Brandt votes no. Mrs. Kent on the verification of the negative Roll Call."

Kent: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Excuse me one moment. Mr. Duff has returned. Would you please put him back, Mr. Duff. Mr. Duff has returned. Clerk Mr. Schneider would like to be recorded as voting aye. Ms. Satterthwaite, no. That makes it 69 now Mr. Clerk? We now have 70 ayes. 70 ayes. Mrs. Kent on the verification of the negative."

Kent: "Yes, Mr. Barnes."

Giorgi: "Mr. Barnes, how is the Gentleman recorded Mr. Clerk. Here he is sitting near Clarence Darrow."

Kent: "Mr. Berman."

Giorgi: "Mrs. Kent, she hasn't called the negative Roll Call yet. Are you verifying from the Board."

Kent: "Sure am."

Giorgi: "You had better call the Roll. Mr. Choate."

Choate: "It is was a point of order. I understand that you now have corrected the lady on the proper method of verification. Okay."

Selcke: "Gene Barnes. Beatty. Berman. Birchler."

Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk, for a moment. Representative Katz wants to be recorded as voting no. Representative Katz no. I am sorry. Continue on."

Selcke: "Birchler. Borchers. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Capparelli. Chapman. Choate. Craig. Cunningham. D'Arco. Darrow. Davis. DiPrima. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Flinn. Garmisa. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hanahan. Hart. Hill. D. Houlihan."

Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk. Representative Skinner would like to be recorded as voting aye. Representative Skinner and the same for Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Giorgi: "How is Mr. Marovitz recorded?"



Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Marovitz: "I would like to be recorded as voting no."

Giorgi: "Marovitz no. We have a couple more, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Giorgi: "How is he recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman was recorded as voting yes, was taken off on the verification."

Griesheimer: "Please put me back on."

Giorgi: "Put Mr. Griesheimer back on. Representative Choate."

Choate: "Point of order. The green lights the aye votes have already been verified and was announced."

Giorgi: "Just one more question of the Clerk. Mr. Choate we have a parliamentary snarl here and I don't know how previous Speakers have ruled but my personal opinion has always been that if a member is back on the Floor before the verification is completed, I think he is entitled to be voted. I would not want to be denied of my votes."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I certainly agree with you as for as Representative Griesheimer is concerned. I wouldn't want to deny him his perogative of voting when he had voted and then for some reason had to leave the Floor. Now let me ask you this, parliamentary inquiry though, Mr. Speaker. Those who those I'm talking about the green lights, now I'm not talking about the red lights because there has not been a completion of the verification as far as the red lights the negative votes are concerned. But those members that came in and voted aye after the completion of the verification."

Giorgi: "Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Who had not voted previously."

Giorgi: "Mr. Choate, two people now are asking to be recognized that haven't voted previously and I as temporary Speaker wouldn't want to deny them that privilege of voting."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, let me tell you something. I wouldn't want to deny the privilege of voting to a single member of this House. i just was asking you a question."

Giorgi: "Representative Hoffman, for what reason do you rise? Gene Hoffman."

G. Hoffman: "I would just like to be put back on the Roll Call as aye."



Giorgi: "Was he taken off, Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "Yes, sir."

Giorgi: "Well put him back on 'aye'. Skinner wasn't on the Roll Call to begin with, is that correct, but you want to be recorded as voting 'no'. Ah... Any other inquiries? Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, it appears to me in line with the question that Representative Choate raises that if the affirmative vote has been verified that in order for Members who were voting 'aye' and were taken off the Roll Call, in order for them to get back on, it just appears to me under our rules that they would be allowed to go back on as long as their vote did not change the results and I would ask the Chair to rule in that..."

Giorgi: "The result hasn't been determined yet so I can't make a ruling."

Madison: "But the 'aye' votes have been determined, Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "I know, but I ah... if you asked me to rule, I'd rule that anyone that come on to this floor can vote any way he please until the results are made known. That's my theory. Representative Klosak, for what reason do you rise? He wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. He, He was taken off and put back on. Mr. Hart, back to you again. Where were we?"

Hart: "Ah... Mrs. Kent. I think we were in the middle of identifying the 'no' votes. I don't think the Clerk had completed the Roll Call."

Giorgi: "Mrs. Kent, do you have any... I'm sorry, I'm sorry. I apologize. Mr. Selcke, go on."

Fred Selcke: "Dan Houlihan, Huff, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Kornowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Londrigan, Lucco, Luft, Lundy, Madigan, Madison, Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Mudd, Mulcahey."

Giorgi: "I'm sorry, Mr. Clerk, temporarily. Mr. Leverenz, for what reason do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to ah... verify with the Chair that I have been reverified. I have a meeting to attend. I'd like leave to do that."

Giorgi: "He'd like leave of the House to verified to attend a meeting."



Does he have leave? How is he verified, Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Giorgi: "Okay, you're verified, Mr. Leverenz."

Fred Selcke: "Nardulli, O'Daniel, Patrick, Pierce, Pouncey, Richmond, Sangmeister, Satterthwaite, Schlickman, Sharp, Shea, Stone, Stubblefield, Taylor, Terzich, Tipsword, VanDuyne, VonBoeckman, Washington, White, Williams, Younge, Yourell."

Giorgi: "Ah... Mr. Clerk, Representative Waddell, for what reason do you rise?"

Waddell: "How am I recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Waddell: "Vote me 'aye' please."

Giorgi: "Vote Mr. Waddell 'aye' and Mr. Sevcik, I think, would like to ah... be recognized again as voting 'aye'. He voted 'aye' and was taken off the Roll Call. Representative Hirschfeld wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Fred Selcke: "Wait just a minute."

Giorgi: "Yes. Representative Hirschfeld 'aye'."

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Giorgi: "Okay Representative Hirschfeld from absent to 'aye'. Representative Hart or Mrs. Kent, I'm sorry, on the verification of the negative Roll Call. Just a moment, Mrs. Kent. Just a moment. Representative McAvoy, for what reason do you rise? Representative McAvoy wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Was he voted er..."

~~Fred Selcke~~: "The Gentleman's recorded as being absent."

Giorgi: "Vote him 'aye'. Representative Berman. He's on? He's okay. Mrs. Kent on the verification of the negative. How many do we have? All right, the count now is 78 'aye' and 89 'nays' and Representative Laurino, for what reason do you rise? How is Mr. Laurino recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Laurino. The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Giorgi: "No change. Mrs. Kent, on the verification of negative."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Hanahan."

Giorgi: "Representative Hanahan, is he in the chambers? How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."



Giorgi: "Take him off the record. I'm sorry. He's on the side over by the press."

Kent: "Mr. Flinn."

Giorgi: "Representative Flinn. Is Representative Flinn in the chambers? How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Kent: "Mr. Ewell."

Giorgi: "Mr. Ewell, Representative Ewell. Is Representative Ewell in the chambers? How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Kent: "Mr. Giglio. Mr. Giglio."

Giorgi: "Is he... Mr. Giglio in the chamber? How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk."

Fred Selcke: "The Gentlemen is recorded as voting 'no'."

Giorgi: "Now just a moment, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Giglio is, should be taken off the Roll Call. Return Mr. Flinn to the Roll Call. Mr. Giglio off, Mr. Flinn back on."

Kent: "Mr. White."

Giorgi: "I don't... Mr. White is in his chair, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Mr. Keller, he's there."

Giorgi: "Mr. Keller is at his desk."

Kent: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Giorgi: "He was one of those verified in the meeting with the Speaker."

Kent: "Mr. Shea."

Giorgi: "Representative Shea is right here at the well."

Kent: "That's Mr. Lechowicz. Oh, there you are. Okay, thank you. Mr. Laurino."

Giorgi: "Mr. Laurino was just verified."

Kent: "Mr. Yourell."

Giorgi: "Representative Yourell. How was he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Yourell in the chambers? Take him off the record."

Kent: "Mr. Pouncey."

Giorgi: "Mr. Pouncey is in his seat."



Kent: "Mr. McPartlin."

Giorgi: "Is ah... How is Mr. McPartlin ah... I'm sorry, he's over here on the Republican side."

Kent: "Mr. Jones."

Giorgi: "Mr. Jones. Emil Jones is in the aisle."

Kent: "Mr. Davis."

Giorgi: "Mr. Dave Jones in his chair."

Kent: "Davis."

Giorgi: "Davis is in his chair."

Kent: "Representative Hill."

Giorgi: "Representative Hill. Just a moment, Mr. Clerk. We've got a com... Mr. Giglio is ah... wants to be put back on the Roll Call. He's near the press box, but Mr. Hill. Is Mr. Hill in the chambers? How is Mr. Hill recorded? Mr. Hill is near the press box. Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Mr. Brummet, he's right down in the front."

Giorgi: "Mr. Brummet is in front of the well here."

Kent: "Mr. Calvo."

Giorgi: "Mr. Calvo. Mr. Calvo is here."

Kent: "Mr. Capparelli."

Giorgi: "Mr. Capparelli is here in the first row."

Kent: "Mr. DiPrima."

Giorgi: "Mr. DiPrima. Mr. DiPrima is in the aisle."

Kent: "Garmisa, Mr, Garmisa."

Giorgi: "Mr. Garmisa. He's in his seat."

Kent: "Representatie Pierce."

Giorgi: "Representative Pierce. Representative Pierce. How is Mr. Pierce recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Pierce in the chambers? Take him off the Roll Call."

Kent: "Representative Stone."

Giorgi: "Representative Stone is in his seat. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Ah... There's someone back here that we..."

Giorgi: "Would you recognize them?"

Kent: "Yes."

Giorgi: "All right, Mr. Wall, for what reason do you rise?"



Wall: "How am I recorded?"

Giorgi: "How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Wall."

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Wall: "Vote me 'aye' please."

Giorgi: "Vote Mr. Wall 'aye'. Mr. Palmer. Mr. Palmer 'aye'. Palmer and Wall 'aye'."

Kent: "Mr. Merlo, is he here?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Merlo is in his seat. Does that complete your verification?"

Kent: "Thank you very much."

Giorgi: "Mr. Pierce would like to be recorded as voting 'no'. The result of this verified Roll Call is 80 'ayes', 87 'nays', and 1 voting 'present' and that Amendment is lost. Any further Amendments? Third Reading. Ah... Senate Bill 10... Senate Bill 1139, is that Second Reading? Representative Skinner, you want to move 1139 back to Second? Mahar, Skinner's here now. Do you want to move that... let Senate Bill 1139 from Third to Second again. Does the Gentleman have leave to bring back Senate Bill 1139 from Third to Second for the purpose of an Amendment? Leave has been granted."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 1139, page 1, line 17 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Skinner on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1139."

Skinner: "Amendment #1 will provide for a monthly flow of income to the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission if any money goes to that Body from State government instead of going in a lump sum at irregular intervals. It seems to be in the best interest of ah... good management and I move its adoption."

Giorgi: "Any questions? The Gentleman moves adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1139. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed 'nay' and the 'ayes' have it and Senate Bill, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1139 is adopted. Third Reading. Senate Bill 1088, Representative Caldwell. Senate Bill 1088. Is Mr. Deuster on the floor? Representative Jack Hill on the floor? He wants to call ah... Representative Fennessey, do you want that election Bill called back to Second for purposes of an Amendment? Has the Amendment been printed, Mr. Fennessey? House Bill 3057. The Gentleman



asks leave to bring it back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. House Bill 305... Any objections? Proceed, Mr. Fennessey. Clerk will read the Amendment. House Bill 3057. He's taken it back. We've already got leave to take it back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Fennessey. Amends House Bill 3057 page 2 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Fennessey on Amendment #1 to House Bill, Committee Bill 3057."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #1 does not change the Bill in any way. It just adds two lines that were inadvertently left out by the printer and ah... what it says, irregularity relied upon by petitioner was believed to have occurred or such other grounds relied upon by the petitioner. It doesn't change the substance of the Bill whatsoever and I ask for adoption of this Amendment."

Giorgi: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to Committee Bill, House Bill 3057. Any, all in favor signify by saying 'aye'; the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Third Reading. We're trying to take care of some of the Members requests. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 543."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 543."

Giorgi: "Representative McClain. Representative Londrigan on Senate Bill 543."

Londrigan: "Representative McClain's Bill and he said it was all right to move it back to Second for purposes of my second Amendment."

Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves to ask leave of the House to move Senate Bill 543 back to Second Reading for purpose of Amendment. Does he have leave? Leave has been granted."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Londrigan. Amends Senate Bill 543 page 1, and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Londrigan on Amendment #1."

Londrigan: "The purpose of this Amendment is that in transportation funds that are now earmarked will become unearmarked and local school boards may upon their own resolution, use the transportation funds in case of emergency for the educational fund and the Sponsor approves of the



Amendment. I move its adoption."

Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 543. Any discussion? All in favor will signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "No further Amendments."

Giorgi: "Third Reading. We have a House Bill that's on consideration postponed where the Sponsor wants to bring it back for an Amendment. Does he have leave? House Bill 783. It's on consideration postponed, 783. House Bill 783, okay Clerk. It's already been moved back to Second for purpose of an Amendment."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Calvo. Amends House Bill 783 by striking line 24 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Calvo on Amendment #2 to House Bill 783."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, all Amendment #2 does is add a one sentence section in place of the present section 2 which says now the funds appropriated herein shall be used for the employment of medical examiners except for the purpose of medical evaluations for rehabilitation purposes. I've discussed this with ah... the Commissioner and he ah... agrees to the Amendment because that's what he said he planned to do with the funds that were in there anyway. So I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 783."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative Ryan, on Amendment #2."

Ryan: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Ryan: "Representative Calvo, would you tell me once more or again what that Amendment does?"

Calvo: "It provides... There's some funds in there on contractual services, George, which I think ah... the Committee reduced somewhat, but the balance of the funds we were assured was not for purposes of employing impartial medical experts and in order to ah... make sure that that was correct, we put the language in that ah... the ah... Director said he wanted to use the money for ah... medical evaluations for rehabilitation. In other words, to see whether or not the man might need rehabilitation or would be beneficial and ah..."



the Amendment just limits it to that, you know, puts the language in to limit it to that purpose."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative Schlickman on Amendment #2 to House Bill 783."

Schlickman: "783?"

Giorgi: "Yes, sir. It's on postponed consideration to whence it will return."

Schlickman: "Oh, this is House Bill. I'm sorry. Thank you."

Giorgi: "No further discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 783. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; the opposed 'nay' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. It goes back to postponed consideration. Senate Bills, Second Reading. I mean, House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 235, Representative Hill. That's Senate Bill 234."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill ah... 234. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections 1, 4, 6, 7, and so forth to Workmen's Occupational Disease Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Where's the Amendment? Amendment #1, Deavers. Amends Senate Bill 234 on 42, line 22 by striking the word procedural and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Hill on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 234. Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate, Senate Bill 234 and what we're doing with this one Amendment, Amendment #1, is striking the work procedural and inserting including impartial medical standards for determining the extent of loss or disability and we feel that what this does is clarify that language and takes out the ambiguity that is created by the work procedural and I move for the favorable adoption of Amendment #1."

Giorgi: "Representative Hill on the Amendment #1."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, ah... I am opposed to this particular Amendment, Amendment #1 ah... The Commission may appoint at its own expense impartial position. This Amendment would duplicate powers already existing under the act. Ah... The standards ah... certainly each case should go on its own merit and that the setting up the system in the way Representative Deavers wants it would eliminate

that procedure and I'd appreciate a vote in opposition to it."

Giorgi: "Is there any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 234. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye'; the opposed 'nay'. The 'nos' have it. Roll Call is requested. Then we'll signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'nay'. All voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 59 'ayes', 73 'nays', none voting 'present'. This Amendment is lost."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 234 page 42, line 22 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 234."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #2 is one in a series of Amendments that are intended to put this Bill in the form of which would make the Bill ah... acceptable to a number of us. Specifically, if I can direct your attention to page 42 of the Bill, Section 16 which provides that the Commission shall make and publish procedural rules and reg... and orders for carrying out the duties imposed upon us by law which rules and orders shall be deemed prima facie, reasonable, and valid. Now the law presently provides that the Commission can, shall make and publish rules and orders both of a procedural and substantive nature. What this Amendment does is simply to strike the word procedural so that the present ruling making authority of the Commission would be retained. Now without Amendment #2 ah... the Commission could not, would not be able to make any decisions, could not enter any orders relative to substantive matters. Thereby, promoting more litigation in the area of Workmen's Compensation. What this Amendment will simply do is to retain the law as it presently exists and will satisfy ah... the objective of objective individuals who feel that we should be resolving Workmen's Compensation problems ah... more by administrative activity and less by litigation. I, therefore, move, Mr. Speaker Members of the House, for the adoption of Amendment #2, House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 234."

Giorgi: "Is there... Mr. Mugalian on Amendment #2."

Mugalian: "Yes, a question to the Sponsor."

Giorgi: "Proceed."



Mugalian: "How can a, a rule relating to the carrying out of the duties of the Commission be other than procedural?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would you repeat that, please?"

Mugalian: "Ah... The Amendment would ah... strike the word procedural as I understand it and yet the rules referred to ah... are the ah... affect, the way in which the Commission would operate. It, it deals with the procedure of the Commission and, therefore, I think, almost by definition these would have to be procedural rules rather than substantive rules. The law or the substance is in the statutes. So I suggest that the Amendment doesn't do anything and the law as presently, the Bill as presently drafted clarifies the situation so that the Commission cannot make law. Making law is our duty, not that of the Commission."

Giorgi: "Representative Hill, maybe you can answer that question."

Hill: "Well, no ah... Certainly the explanation given ah... by ah... Representative Mugalian is correct and ah... the way the Bill is written certainly is in a correct nature and I would suggest that the Sponsor of this particular Amendment ah... apparently doesn't understand ah... that the word procedure should be in there and I would appreciate very much a vote in opposition to Amendment #2."

Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman to close."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would simply suggest to the Sponsor of this Bill who is opposing this Amendment and of the Gentleman from Cook who raises the inquiry. If the word, if the present authority of the Commission was restricted solely to procedural matters in the area of rule making, then this Bill would not have the word procedural in it. It is a significant change and would constitute a significant change in the law; and it would by retention of the word procedural, result in further litigation as I indicated earlier the modern thought with regard to Workmen's Comp. is to keep at the administrative level as much as possible the resolution of claims for Workmen's Compensation rather than promoting litigation which this Bill will do and again I repeat my solicitation for your support and your 'aye' vote on Amendment #2."

Giorgi: "The question is the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 234."



234 purports to do is to strike that provision of the present law and make any disease compensable, to wit. A person reports for work with a cold or a sore throat and if he gets a doctor to testify that such a disease, if it can be called that, is aggravated by his work, he's compensable, he's compensated under ah... the provisions of Senate Bill 234. What this Amendment attempts to do is to strike that language and go back to the original purpose of the Act and that is to compensate those employees who are aggrieved by reasons of a work-place origin. There's no such legislation existent in any other State. Those cost of this provision, 234, is beyond comprehension. Ah... It's just a bad concept entirely and what I am attempting to do with this Amendment is to revert back to the original language and that is not to make it compensable for those people who can consider themselves to be aggrieved and aggravated. For example, the cold or the sore throat. I, example... The example I mentioned a moment ago. I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Giorgi: "Representative... Is there any discussion? Representative Hill on Amendment #4."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, ah... Representative Tuerk comes from certainly very clean and tidy plants like Caterpillar, but I happen to come from a plant that isn't quite as tidy and we have more difficulty involved in this particular subject and if you are transferred from one department to another, especially into our smithshop, you will find out that the situation exists where it's possible to be aggravated ah... with that dust over there or with welding as far as that's concerned and I certainly would suggest that you vote in opposition to this particular Amendment."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative Tuerk to close."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I suggest to the Sponsor of this Bill that if an employee has a cold or a sore throat and he works in those areas where he feels it's going to be aggravated, then he's better off to stay off of work that day rather than aggravate it and then expect the employer to compensate him for the aggravation. I submit to you and Members of the House that this is a ludicrous part of this Bill. It should be ah... the present language of the Act should be retained. When a person is injured at his place



of work then it makes sense to compensate him for that. But when a person reports to work with a coal or a sore throat or some other minor ailment and he gets somebody to testify that it has been aggravated because he has been at work, then I say that this should not be reason for compensation and I re-submit to you this language in this Amendment and move for its adoption."

Giorgi: "The question is shall the House Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 234. All those in favor will signify by voting aye and those opposed by voting no. Mr. Hill to explain his vote."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I feel confident that the medical profession in the State of Illinois are more honest than Representative Tuerk would like us to believe. And he brings up the situation of a cold. This goes much further than just a common ordinary cold and if the piece of legislation that is vitally needed but this Amendment is not needed and I would appreciate a no vote in regards to it."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Ms. Geo-Karis did you want to explain your vote? Would you please hurry up." -

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Thank you for rushing me dear. I'll do the same for you some day. But anyway, in due respect to you Mr. Speaker, I do feel that it is a good Amendment and a sensible one because if we don't use some common sense in our approach we're not going to have any labor and business to work together."

Giorgi: "Representative Tuerk for a quick explanation."

Tuerk: "I think I have explained this Amendment quite well, I just was going to ask for a verification at the proper time."

Giorgi: "The Gentleman has asked for a verification. Mr. Clerk why don't you, Mr. Lauer for what reason do you rise?"

Lauer: "Is the Speaker on the Floor, Mr. Speaker?"

Giorgi: "I don't know."

Lauer: "I noticed that his button is voted and your's is also voted, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "We'll get them on a verification. Okay, they have asked for a verification so why don't you run through the roll. Call the absentees first then give us a report."

O'Brien: "Berman, Boyle, Capuzi, Catania, Collins, Dyer, Epton, Friedrich,

Gaines."

Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich votes aye."

O'Brien: "Gaines, Giglio."

Giorgi: "Gaines aye."

O'Brien: "Giglio, Grotberg, Jacobs, J. D. Jones, Kosinski, Lechowicz, Luft."

Giorgi: "Representative Luft no."

O'Brien: "Madison, Mann, Molloy, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Peters, Polk, Rayson, Satterthwaite, Schneider, Skinner, Stearney, and Willer."

Giorgi: "Representative Dyer, for what reason do you rise?"

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as not voting."

Dyer: "Please vote me aye."

Giorgi: "Vote Mrs. Dyer aye. Representative Schneider no, Satterthwaite

no, Polk aye, are you getting those? Mulcahey no, Satterthwaite no,

Mulcahey no, Polk aye. Willer no, Catania aye, Mulcahey, did we get

Mulcahey no. Does anyone else want to be recorded now before we go to

the verification? Clerk will proceed with the affirmative Roll Call

for verification. Is anyone interested in verifying the affirmative

Roll Call. Mr. Tuerk are you interested in verifying the affirmative

Roll Call? Or Mr. Hill it would be. Do you want the affirmative

Roll Call called? Go ahead Mr. Clerk."

O'Brien: "Anderson, Arnell, J. M. Barnes, Beatty, Bluthardt, Borchers, Brinkmeier, Campbell, Carroll, Catania, Coffey, Cunningham, Daniels, Deavers, Deuster, Duff, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Ewing, Fleck, Friedland, Friedrich, Gaines, Geo-Karis, Griesheimer, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Hudson, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Leinenweber, Macdonald, Mahar, McAuliffe, McCourt, McMaster, Meyer, Miller, Neff, Palmer, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Reed, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Schuneman, Sevcik, Simms, E. G. Steele, C. M. Stiehl, Telcser, Totten, Tuerk, Waddell, Wall, Walsh, Washburn, Williams, and Winchester."

Giorgi: "Mr. Hill, do you have questions of the affirmative Roll Call?"

Hill: "Representative Beatty, Representative Coffey."

Giorgi: "Representative Coffey, how is he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Coffey in the Chambers? Take him off the record."



- Hill: "Representative Cunningham."
- Giorgi: "How is Representative Cunningham recorded, Mr. Clerk?"
- O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."
- Giorgi: "He is in his chair."
- Hill: "Representative Daniels."
- Giorgi: "He is in his chair."
- Hill: "Deuster."
- Giorgi: "Representative Deuster. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"
- O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."
- Giorgi: "Is Mr. Deuster in the Chambers? Take him off the record."
- Hill: "Representative Fleck."
- Giorgi: "Representative Fleck is in his chair."
- Hill: "Representative Friedland."
- Giorgi: "Representative Friedland, John Friedland is in his chair."
- Hill: "I see a hand, I don't see a face."
- Giorgi: "I recognize him."
- Hill: "Representative G. L. Hoffman."
- Giorgi: "Representative Gene Hoffman. How is he recorded Mr. Clerk?"
- O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."
- Giorgi: "Representative Gene Hoffman, is he in the Chambers? Take him off the record."
- Hill: "R. K. Hoffman."
- Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Clerk. Just a moment Mr. Hill. John Grotberg, how is he recorded?"
- O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."
- Giorgi: "He wants to be recorded as voting aye. Just a moment. Representative Lechowicz for what reason do you rise? Representative Lechowicz wants to be recorded as voting no. Mr. J. D. Jones, did you want to be recognized? Did you want to be recognized? Verification of a Roll Call."
- Jones: "Vote me aye."
- Giorgi: "J. D. Jones, wants to be recorded as voting aye. Representative Schraeder, for what reason do you rise? Schraeder."
- Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I just checked over the Amendment, vote me no."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Schraeder wants to be changed from aye to no. Mr. Beatty for what reason do you rise?"
- Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, I have read the Amendment and I would like to switch



from green to red."

Giorgi: "Mr. Beatty wants to be changed from aye to nay. Mr. Berman wants to be changed, no he's not recorded, wants to be voted no. Is there any other changes at this time? Did you get them all, Mr. Clerk? All right Mr. Hill, continue."

Hill: "R. K. Hoffman."

Giorgi: "Representative R. K. Hoffman. Put Coffey back on. He was verified off. R. K. Hoffman, is he in the Chambers? How is R. K. Hoffman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Hill: "Representative Kucharski."

Giorgi: "Representative Kucharski. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk? Just a moment Mr. Clerk, he is busy on the Democratic side."

Hill: "Representative McMaster."

Giorgi: "Representative McMaster. How is he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. McMaster in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Hill: "Representative Meyer."

Giorgi: "Representative Meyer is in his seat."

Hill: "Representative Miller."

Giorgi: "Representative Miller. Miller. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Miller in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Hill: "Representative Porter."

Giorgi: "I'm sorry, Mr. Miller has just entered the Chambers again. Mr. Porter is in his seat. Mr. Miller back on the Roll Call."

Hill: "Representative Porter."

Giorgi: "He is in his seat."

Hill: "Representative Rose."

Giorgi: "Representative Rose. How is Mr. Rose recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Rose in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Hill: "Representative E. G. Steele."

Giorgi: "Representative E. G. Steele is in his seat and Mr. Clerk how is Mr. McMaster recorded?"



O'Brien: "The Gentleman was taken off the Roll Call, he was voting aye."

Giorgi: "He wants to be verified back on."

Hill: "Representative Totten."

Giorgi: "Representative Totten is in the back of the Chambers."

Hill: Representative Waddell."

Giorgi: "Representative Waddell is in his seat. Representative Waddell is in his seat. Does that complete it Mr. Hill?"

Hill: "What is the count on that?"

Giorgi: "We'll get it in a moment. There are now 67 ayes and 90 nays.

I'm sorry 67. Mr. Collins, how is he recorded."

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Giorgi: "He would like to be recorded as voting aye. That will be 68 then Mr. Clerk. 68 ayes and 90 nays and Mr. Tuerk do you want to verify the negative. Would you proceed with the negative Roll Call."

O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes, Beatty."

Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Beatty was changed from aye to nay, correct pardon me."

O'Brien: "Beaupre, Berman, Birchler, Bradley, Brandt, Brummet, Byers, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, Chapman, Choate, Craig, D'Arco, Darrow, Davis, DiPrima, Downs, John Dunn, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, Dan Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Huff, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lornowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Londrigan, Lucco, Luft, Lundy, Madigan, Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McAvoy, McClain, McGrew, McLendon."

Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk, Mr. Walsh, for what reason do you rise?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, the people being verified are milling about. It is difficult for us to see who is here and who is not. I wonder if you could ask them to take their seats?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Hanahan, would you please cooperate with the Republican side. They are trying to verify our side of the aisle. Just get out of their way. Continue on, Mr. Clerk."

O'Brien: "McPartlin, Merlo, Mudd, Mulcahey, Nardulli, O'Daniel, Patrick, Pouncey, Richmond, Sangmeister, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Schraeder, Sharp, Shea, Stone, Stubblefield, Taylor, Terzich, Tipword, Van Dwyne, Von Boeckman, Washington, White, Miller, Younge, Yourell and



Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Mr. Tuerk, do you have questions of the negative Roll Call?"

Tuerk: "Byers."

Giorgi: "Representative Byers is in his seat."

Tuerk: "Calvo."

Giorgi: "Representative Calvo is in his seat."

Tuerk: "Capparelli."

Giorgi: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Giorgi: "Excuse me, he is up there near you."

Tuerk: "D'Arco."

Giorgi: "Representative D'Arco is in the aisle."

Tuerk: "Downs."

Giorgi: "Representative Downs is in the gallery. He is in the gallery."

Tuerk: "Does it count in the gallery?"

Giorgi: "I've never had that question put before."

Tuerk: "Well I'll put it to you."

Giorgi: "Would you please jump down."

Tuerk: "He is suppose to be on the floor, is he not?"

Giorgi: "I'm sure he will be down before you are through Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Okay, thank you. Is Greiman in his seat?"

Giorgi: "Greiman is in his seat, yes."

Tuerk: "Dan Houlihan."

Giorgi: "He's right here in the aisle."

Tuerk: "Emil Jones."

Giorgi: "Representative Jones, how is he recorded. There he is in the fifth row."

Tuerk: "Leverenz."

Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz. How is Representative Leverenz recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Giorgi: "Is the Gentleman in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Tuerk: "Kornowicz."

Giorgi: "Representative Kornowicz is in his chair."

Tuerk: "Marovitz."

Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz is in his chair."

Tuerk: "McClain."

Giorgi: "Representative McClain, Representative McClain is visiting with the press."

Tuerk: "McPartlin."

Giorgi: "Representative McPartlin, how is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. McPartlin in the chambers? Take him off the record."

Tuerk: "Richmond."

Giorgi: "Representative Richmond. How is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is..."

Giorgi: "I'm sorry, Mr. Richmond is visiting there."

Tuerk: "Shea."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Shea, how is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Tuerk: "Terzich."

Giorgi: "Representative Shea, I'm sorry Mr. Tuerk, you're going to get me in trouble with my Majority Leader."

Tuerk: "I see him down there now. I didn't see him a while ago."

Giorgi: "Representative Downs is going to visit with you."

Tuerk: "Fine. Terzich."

Giorgi: "Representative Terzich. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Terzich in the Chambers. Take him off the record."

Tuerk: "White."

Giorgi: "Representative White is along the wall."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Put him on present in as much as I don't want to bother him."

Tuerk: "Maragos."

Giorgi: "Mr. Terzich and Mr. Maragos are both in the back of the room so Mr. Clerk verify Mr. Terzich back on and Maragos is here."

Tuerk: "No further questions."

Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk, if you will give us a tally. Deuster for what reason do you rise?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker I believe I was removed from the Roll and I would like to be placed back on as an aye vote."



Giorgi: "An aye vote. Mr. Deuster from off to aye. On this question there are 69 ayes and 87 nays and 1 voting present and this Amendment is lost. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Walsh, Amends Senate Bill 234 on page 16 line 20 by striking three and inserting two and so forth."

Giorgi: "Mr. Walsh would you like to explain Amendment #5."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This corrects the error that was made in Amendment #2 or 3 rather."

Giorgi: "Just a moment Mr. Walsh, Representative Hill, on a point of order."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, that Amendment hasn't been distributed and ..."

Giorgi: "Don't you have that?"

Hill: "No the Amendment you had was certainly mislabeled by page number and by line number."

Walsh: "I can tell you pretty much what it does, Representative Hill. It"

Hill: "Do you insist..."

Walsh: "I don't insist..."

Hill: "You have it on the next Bill and why don't you run it on the next Bill."

Walsh: "Well, the problem with it is that it's loused up on the next Bill too, and also as you knew. Also if we are going to adopt this Amendment it should be adopted on both of them because they are very similar and there is no need to have it on the Workmen's Compensation Bill and not the Occupational..."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have leave for bending the rules for the Minority Leader over there and Assistant Minority Leader, I'm sure he would never do that for us. But all through this whole situation that I have experienced, I have bent over backwards to see that Mr. Walsh and Mr. Tuerk has gotten more than a fair break even though at times they weren't quite proper with me, but as I say I'll request that they bend the rules for those poor gentlemen over there and see what we can do."

Giorgi: "Representative Hill turns the other cheek. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well not, if he'll just turn a little bit further Mr. Speaker. This wouldn't hurt him a bit to accept this Amendment. All it does, all it does is change from three years in the Bill to two years the statute of
~~Limitations on when a suit can be brought on an occupational disease~~



matter. Now the Bill extends the time from one year to three years. So this is doubling the period in which a suit can be filed under the occupational or a claim can be filed under the occupational disease act. The Bill chose to triple it, that is unreasonable. Now may I also suggest that if you are in an automobile accident sustain personal injury two years is the period of time in which you have to make a claim or file suit against the person who injured you. This makes the Bill consistent with other statutes with respect to personal injury. I don't see any reason why it should be any different for this than it is for other things and I submit to you that it is entirely reasonable and I would hope that Representative Hill who has been magnanimous for the past little while would accept this Amendment."

Giorgi: "Ms. Geo-Karis on the Amendment."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think it is much easier on the rights of the agreed to know that there is a statute consistent with the personal injury statute in workmen's compensation. I think this Amendment is very reasonable. It does extend the period from one year to two years. All personal injury cases now are two years except for malpractice and that will be changed once those malpractice Bills come through and I certainly urge your consideration of this Amendment. It's far simpler for the people who are grieved. It's not just for business. It's for the labor people to know that they are consistent with the rest of the personal injury statutes."

Giorgi: "Representative Hill on Amendment #5."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I am surprised that the good Representative on the other side would pick on this particular section because this is a recommendation of the national commission and I am sure he wouldn't want the Federal Government to come in here and direct us to do this. Certainly I understand his philosophies more than that and I would suggest that you would vote down this Amendment."

Giorgi: "Representative Walsh to close."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Well, it's entirely reasonable it seems to me that the Sponsor would accept this and I am surprised to see that he is not. Now another problem that this is going to bring about that occurs to me is that insurance premiums are going to go unreasonably high because they



are going to have to retain a pool of money for possible claims for a good deal longer than they presently do. Three times as long under the present Bill, but two times as long under this Bill. Now that is going to be money that is retained and kept for service where no one is going to get any benefit. Now this entire Bill, this entire Bill is going to raise the cost of products to people in the State of Illinois for goods made in the State of Illinois. This Bill is going to drive industry it's going to drive business from the State. This is a small attempt to make the Bill a little more reasonable by doubling the period during which a person has to make a claim. Doubling mind you the Bill would triple it and I think it is reasonable and I think we ought to adopt it."

Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 234.

All in favor will signify by voting aye and those opposed by voting no. Representative Beatty on the Amendment to explain his vote."

Beatty: "I would like to briefly explain my vote. In the case of an occupational disease, it may take a little longer to determine actually whether you have got such a disease and to extend it to two years is not unreasonable but the Sponsor of this Bill is actually saying let's limit the statute of limitations to two years. We don't want these rates to go up. In fact what he is saying is we don't want workers who sustain occupational disease to get paid for them. That's what he is saying. So all I say is let the workers get paid if they get something through their employment. Let this term be extended more reasonably. Let the rates go up if they go up and let our workers who get diseases at their plants get compensated and that's what this Amendment is all about and it's a bad Amendment."

Giorgi: "Representative Mugalian to explain his vote."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I can't say that I feel very strongly that it should be one year, two years, or three years, but this is a very controversial measure and many of us are going to have a difficult time in making a final decision when the Bill comes up for Third Reading. I would suggest that perhaps to engender a spirit of compromise that we certainly not try to defeat all of the Amendments that are sincerely presented by members of one point of view. Doubling the statute of limitations would make it coincide with the statute



of limitations on personal injury and ah... an affirmative vote and the passage of this rather minor Amendment would indicate a spirit of trying to work this problem out together and I would suggest that ah... on this one, many of us might want to vote 'yes' to indicate that spirit of working together on a very difficult problem."

Jack O'Brien: "Representative Madigan in the Chair."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record.

On this question there are 61 'ayes', 76 'nos', 1 voting 'present' and Amendment #5 having failed to receive the majority is hereby declared lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Madigan: "Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 235. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Unknown: "Representative Schlickman."

Madigan: "Representative Palmer, would you turn off your switch, your, on your speak switch. Thank you. It's off now. Representative Hill."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 235. A Bill for an Act to amend the Workmen's Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Madigan: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 235 on page 54, line 2 by striking the word procedural and so forth."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Amendment #1 to House Bill 235 is identical to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 235. It's strikes the word procedural which is not in the existing law. It's a modifying word that is in the Bill and in lieu of the word procedural, would insert the language including impartial medical standards for determining extent of loss or disability. As was indicated previously, the insertion of the word procedural is new. It's limiting and contrary to the thought and views of those who are interested in reforming Workmen's Compensation in indus... ah... in disability laws to contain as much as possible the administration of the Act at the administrative level through the Industrial Commission

rather than promoting litigation. Now I move for the adoption of House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 235."

Madigan: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "This Amendment to 235 is the same Amendment that was issued ah... on House, on Senate Bill 234 and went to a resounding defeat and I would appreciate a 'no' vote."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Mugalian: "Well I don't have a copy of the ah... Amendment before me, but I heard the explanation that it deletes the word procedural but then I think it adds some language and I wasn't, didn't know that. What is the effect of the additional language?"

Schlackman: "Well it simply provides that the rules that are established by the Industrial Commission ah... would concern themselves with the impartial medical standards for determining extent of loss or disability. Certainly the medical determination as to the extent of loss or disability can be subjective depending on source and by this Amendment, we would clarify and insure a maintenance of the Industrial Commissions present rulemaking authority to insure that there will be impartial medical standards for determining the extent of loss or disability."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask the Sponsor of the Bill a question? Ah... Representative Hill..."

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Mugalian: "Would there be any objection if the word procedural were left in and you also included this language and if there is an objection, what would it be?"

Hill: "Well first of all, the Amendment is not drawn up that way and I have held this Bill ah... since last Thursday and I would like to certainly ah... proceed with the Amendments the way they're written and ah... try to pass this Bill on Third Reading."

Mugalian: "Well, I'm only asking you if the additional language would hurt the Bill."

Madigan: "The question is shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 235 be

adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it and Amendment #1 is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Tuerk. Amends Senate Bill 235 on page 20, line 4 by striking the word incompetency and so forth."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is new language to the Act. I don't know how to define incompetency. The words mental incapacity are quite ah... incisive. There's no need to change the present language and that's why this Amendment is being drawn and submitted to ah... refer back to the original language of the Act. Ah... I'm sure the Sponsor can't explain to anyone in the chamber what incompetent means. It can't refer to minors ah... It's just confusing and it'll lead to useless litigation. I think the present language is sufficient and spells out very well what we're talking about and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment to House Bill, er... Senate Bill 235."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I oppose Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 235. The present language of the Bill would exempt minors from the statute of limitations until a guardian is appointed. The Amendment would make the statute of limitations apply to minors even under 16 years of age and I'd appreciate a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Thank you. I would also like to add that ah... the term incompetency has legal meaning and it's surprising that this word wasn't used in the statute long ago. Mental incapacity is an imprecise term that does not carry with it the connotation of the inability of a person to represent himself in court and incompetency does cover minors and those who've been adjudged to be incompetent and I think it's a necessary important technical addition to the I'm surprised there's any objection to it."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Christian, Mr. Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, also I'd like to add that the provisions of the Probate Act which provide for the appointment

of guardians and conservators as provided in this Section which is purported to be amended. It uses the term incompetent and is the only term that is used ah... in regard to those for the conservator and the ah... ah... guardian ah... is to appointed under that Section and consequently, this is legally the proper term as pointed out by Representative Mugalian."

Madigan: "Is there further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 235 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed signify by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'nos' have it and Amendment #2 is lost. Are there further Amendments? Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Hudson. Amends Senate Bill 235 on page 7 by deleting lines 25 through 27."

Madigan: "Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Jack O'Brien: "Hudson."

Madigan: "Mr. Hudson. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 235 ah... on page 7 of the Bill deletes lines 25 through 27. Now what the ah... Amendment does is to strike out the provision which ah... Well, I'll put it this way. The additional coverage of any business in which electric, gasoline, or other power driven equipment is used goes much too far and that's what the three lines do. They add ah... to ah... the definition of ah... hazardous occupations ah... the coverage of any business in which electric, gasoline, or other power driven equipment is used. It would seem to us that this is unreasonable. It will require a Workmen's Compensation policy for everyone who uses ah... for example a pocket calculator or dictating machine or even an electric typewriter. Presently, such coverage is only elective. This Bill makes it compulsory and any such person who did not get a policy would be guilty of a petty offense under the criminal code. Now it seems to me, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that this sort of ah... provision snuck into this Bill here, 235, is just foolish ah... type of business. Is there any crying need to be

met in the office of a real estate broker and insurance agency or a sole practitioner of the law for this type thing? Doesn't seem to me to be reasonable that it, that it should be, but here it is and this Amendment, 235, addresses itself to that problem. It would delete those three lines and I would urge a favorable vote on this Amendment."

Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to introduce a former Member of the House of Representative standing on the Republican side of the aisle and talking to Representative Jones, former Representative Ben Harpstrite. Benny, how are you. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment above all others is one of the worst. Now if any of you people have ever operated any ah... power driven machinery and I'm sure that you have especially in a factory. It's one of the most dangerous areas there is and I certainly would appreciate a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Will the Sponsor of the Bill..."

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Mugalian: "Representative, I've read the three lines which this Amendment would delete and I'm concerned that this may include workers in, say a two ah... an office where there are two employees, including a secretary and a bookkeeper, an electric typewriter, it seems to me would technically fit this description as would an electric adding machine and the third part of my three part question is would this include someone who delivers materials or supplies by use of an automobile?"

Hudson: "Well in response, I would say yes it does include..."

Mugalian: "My question is of Representative Hill."

Hudson: "I beg your pardon."

Mugalian: "Maybe he wasn't..."

Hill: "I was consulting with my attorney, but I think what you asked was ah... you're afraid that two people working in an office would come under this provision. I don't believe it would."

Mugalian: "Well many of us have small law offices and small insurance

businesses. Isn't that ah..."

Bill: "If it was highly hazardous, I could see why it would come under it. But under the conditions that you state, I feel confident that you would not be covered in your office where two people are employed."

Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is shall Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 235 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed signify by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it and Amendment #3 is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Hudson. Amends Senate Bill 235 on page 16..."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from DuPage, Mr. Hudson on Amendment #4."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 235 ah... goes to page 15 of the Bill and deletes lines 23 through 25 which include the ah... which does this. It takes out the ah... lines or within six months after the appointment of a legal representative. Now what we're talking about here is that the way the Bill stands currently unless these lines are deleted, an individual is permitted to sue anytime he obtains ah... legal counsel. The ah... statute limitations might never run under this Bill. Ah... For example, assume 14 year old boy who may be illegally employed at a particular job and ah... he does nothing about rejecting the Act which he has to do to be able to ah... sue within six months. At age 40, he could get a legal representative appointment and be able to sue. Now this Bill as it ah... it's now worded, says that this is timely by its phrase which ever is later. The Bill reads, he will have the right within six months after the time of injury or death, that's the way it reads currently and then ah... has been added, or within six months after the appointment of a legal representative which shall be later to file with the Commission a rejection of his rights to the benefits under this Act. Well clearly this extends his time, his permission to ah... do this filing and to ah... possibly ah... gain the benefits

of ah... Workmen's Comp. Almost for the period of the rest of his lifetime if he chooses to play the game that way. Now this was never the intention of the ah... of the ah... Bill, the law in the first place and it seems to be a gross perversion of the ah... original intent of ah... the compensation features. And it's clearly a provision which should be rejected and I urge your 'aye' vote on this Amendment which addresses itself to that correction and I, I urge ah... my colleagues an 'aye' vote on this Amendment #4."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment specifically relates to minors under 16 and it seems to me that the guardian when he is appointed should have additional time and the Sponsor of this Amendment is eliminating that additional time and I'd appreciate a 'no' vote on it."

Madigan: "ah... there being no further discussion, the question is shall Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 235 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed signify by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it and Amendment #4 is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Walsh. Amends Senate Bill 235..."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "I move to table Amendment #5."

Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave being granted, Amendment #5 is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Tuerk. Amends Senate Bill 235..."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #6 is a real important Amendment. What Senate Bill 235 does in its present form is put temporary total disability and permanent partial disability all in one bag. Now I submit to you they are different and need to be classified as being different and what this Amendment does is designate and returns the language of the present differential between permanent partial and temporary total benefits. Many of you on the House floor probably don't know the difference between temporary total and permanent partial and it gets to become a pretty complicated subject, but the point is the proponents of this Bill.

the way it's presently written would lead you to believe that the National Commission has recommended this change when, in fact, the Commission has not and what I'm saying that there should be a differential between these two. Namely, temporary total benefits and permanent partial benefits and I'd be glad to explain the difference of those two if you don't know what they are. But what I'm saying, in this Amendment is that the Act now provides, the Act in its present State provides for the differential and it also provides a benefit schedule of maximums where as the Bill as proposed would eliminate that differential and ah... make these maximums which are now current the minimums and I submit to you that we do need this Amendment on this Bill and I would move the adoption of Amendment 6 to Senate Bill 65, 235."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, if I understand the Amendment properly ah... the word more would change the present compensation rates from maximums instead of minimums so that compensation benefits would be reduced instead of increased. The present language is designed to preserve the present benefits so that no one receives less under this Bill than they would receive now and I'd appreciate a 'no' vote on this piece of legislation."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, what the Sponsor of the Bill just said is not true and I want the Members to know that. He, he gave you the bum dope ah... when he tried to explain that because what ah... more means is that it takes the maximums, what the Bill purports to do is to take those maximums and make them minimums. Now what this Amendment does is put it right back where it was to make it maximums. That's all."

Madigan: "Is there further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is shall Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 235 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed signify by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it and Amendment #6 is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment number..."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk,

arise."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I wasn't able to ah... discern that much of a margin. I'd like a Roll Call on that Amendment."

Madigan: "Are you joined by five of your fellow Republican colleagues? You are. We will have a Roll Call on Amendment #6. The question is shall Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 235 be adopted. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Christian, Mr, Tipsword, to explain his vote."

Tipsword: "In explaining my vote, it appears to me in trying to insert the language that on line 8 and 9 of the Amendment, when you insert it, it doesn't in the use of the English language even make sense any more. So it doesn't appear to me that this, that the Amend... that possibly ah... be adopted and have ah... a sensible provision in that paragraph at all."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 54 'ayes', 86 'nos', 1 voting 'present' and Amendment #6 is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 235 on page 54, line 2 by striking the word procedural."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the issue underlying this Amendment has been well discussed. I ask for a Roll Call."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "All I ask for is a 'no' vote. I agree with the Sponsor of the Amendment, it has been thoroughly discussed."

Madigan: "On this question, excuse me, the question is shall Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 235 adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it and Amendment #7 is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Ryan. Amends Senate Bill 235 on page 8..."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #8 to House Bill 235 ah... on line 19 makes some changes that shall apply to real estate brokers, brokerage firms and employees

of the, brokerage firms and salesmen who are paid on a commission basis and for law firms which employ associate members on a salary basis and ah... fair legal professionals on a salary basis and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, these are not now specifically identified ah... If covered, the nature of the employment is at all hazardous and if there were insurance involved in this, it would be minimal and I certainly would request that you give a 'no' vote to this Amendment."

Madigan: "The question is shall Amendment #8 to Senate Bill... For what purpose does the Gentlemen from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, arise?"

Ryan: "I'd like to close on the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Madigan: "Oh, thank you. Mrs. Geo-Karis, do you seek recognition?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker."

Madigan: "Prior to Mr. Ryan."

Geo-Karis: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker."

Madigan: "Fine, proceed."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, I think we're going away from the actual orbit of the thrust of the Bill and I think this Amendment is simply trying to say that any real estate agency or brokerage firm that employs real estate brokers and associates and salesmen and any law firm which employees associate members on a salary basis and/or employees ah... fair legal professionals on a salary basis would not be included in there. And ah... I think it's only fair because what the thrust of the whole Bill is is to protect the labor, not the professionals and therefore I certainly urge your consideration and favorable vote on this Amendment."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, to close the debate."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I certainly agree with Representative Geo-Karis and as Representative Hill pointed out ah... they're not spelled out in the Bill. They're not ah... these people are not left ah... are not exempted by this Bill and what's this Amendment does and I'll tell you. If I were in a law firm, I'd be very

interested in this Amendment. Ah... this, this keeps members, associate members or even pari-professionals that you may hire in your law firms ah... real estate people that are on commission. Ah... This keeps these people from collecting on this Bill and I think it's an excellent Amendment and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Madigan: "On this question, er... the question is shall Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 235 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed signify by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it and Amendment #8 is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Tuerk. Amends Senate Bill 235 on page 68, striking line 18 through 29."

Madigan: "Who's the Sponsor? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Section that ah... I'm proposing to amend here is the reason why I asked for a fiscal note on this Bill because in this Section, Senate Bill 235 proposes to add a penalty of 25% in the event that an, the employees injury results from the employers violation of an OSHA safety standard. Now as we all know, the purpose of Workmen's Compensation is to compensate the injured employee and to eliminate the element of fault on the fact of the employer and the employee. If an employer is to be fined under the provisions of Senate Bill 235, an additional 25% for ah... OSHA violations. Then it seem logical, sensible that perhaps the employee ought to be fined if it is out of ah... negligence on the part of the employee because the national safety counsel estimates that over 75% of the industrial accidents are contributable to employee negligence. Now the reason I ask for a fiscal note to this Bill was simple because if you get into this area as provisions of this Bill provide, it's going to ah... get into many fishing expeditions and it's going to increase the cost of the Industrial Commissions ah... administrative responsibilities and by the Industrial Commissions own admintion, they're going to have to have additional employees to police these provisions and it's going to cost anywhere from \$600,000 to \$1,000,000 a year just for the provisions of this particular Section of the Act. That's the reason

for the Amendment. I think it should be eliminated and I would ask for your favorable support to adopt Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 235."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Section that's now in the Bill and that would be eliminated by this particular Amendment, I have run into continuous problems for quite some time. Not only in the factory I work in, but listening to employees complain about other factories including the one where this gentleman works. It's very difficult and I'd like you to know that to get some of the manufacturers to eliminate not only health hazards but accident hazards and this certainly in the long run would reduce their insurance because they would be more inclined to fix up the situation that are accident prone. There are many times that companies are requested to take care of belts or to take of machinery where people have been injured and completely refused to do it and certainly with this incentive in the Bill the way it is now, those manufacturers and place of business would be more inclined to see that these areas were cleaned up and fixed up so some human being would not be injured. So I ask for a 'no' vote on this piece of legislation."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... it seems to me that some of the ah... discussion that's been carried on here has to do with businesses of considerable size, but it should come as no news to you that many businessmen, particularly the smaller businessmen are already finding ah... OSHA, this Federal ah... octopus ah... of extreme concern to them as small businessmen complying with its rules, regulations, and so forth. Now all of us subscribe to the idea of safety in business or anywhere else, but I will submit to that adding 25% more to the compensation here allowed because there was some violation of an OSHA regulation somewhere maybe is going to have an impact on the small businessman in Illinois that he can ill afford and here's another case of another provision that's going to make it additionally difficult for businesses in Illinois to stay in Illinois to new businesses to want to come into Illinois and I certainly would ah... urge a support. I think this is a very ah... a very ah... key Amendment here that Representative

Tuerk has and I would support him fully on this one and would urge you to instead of ah... ah... perhaps of voting an automatic red light here to give it your full consideration and ah... vote in what ah... truly ah... can be and I believe to be the best interest of ah... business people in Illinois and hence the workers and working men in the State who wants jobs and a place to, aplace to work."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, fear that many of us are not being attentive to all the Amendments that have been offered to this very important Bill and to the previous one and I would like very much for those of my colleagues who are lawyers on both sides of the aisle and those who have voted against these Amendments to listen just for a moment and to consider the implications of this Amendment. I believe that this Amendment addresses something very significant in the Bill as presented. This Bill for the first time, introduces into Workmen's Compensation cases the element of negligence. It in... It includes the intentional tort and also of negligent tort. Up to now Workmen's Compensation cases have been ah... based on on the basis of, of loss and without fault. It's a very revolutionary concept when Workmen's Compensation laws came into effect. We are now going to have the Industrial Commission get into the case, cases of deciding whether harm resulted from the intentional or negligent action on the part of the employer. A revolutionary concept which I wish you would consider carefully. Now those of you who have some familiarity with OSHA or represent clients who have manufacturing businesses, I think will agree that there is no plant or office or industrial facility in this State that does not OSHA violations. If you read this Act carefully, you will have to conclude that the, the new venture upon which we would embark if this Amendment is not adopted would be incalculable in its effect upon the Workmen's Compensation system. I'm not just talking about the cost. I don't care what it costs to have safe plants and to have safe working conditions, but I think you should think twice before converting Workemen's Compensation in part to a law suit as negligence or intentional tort. I

suggest this is a good Amendment."

Madigan: "The question is shall Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 235 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed signify by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, there should be a Roll Call. The question is shall Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 235 be adopted. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 58 'ayes', 81 'nos', no voting 'present' and Amendment #9 is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #10, Tuerk. Amends Senate Bill 235 on page 60 by inserting..."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I drew this Amendment because of the advice and counsel of the Sponsor of the Bill, Representative Hill. This Amendment says merely that maximum fees for attorneys practicing before the Industrial Commission shall not exceed 10% of the amount in excess of undisputed compensation payments. It is an Amendment which ah... Representative Hill ah... recommended. I was listening quite attentively in Committee and that's where the idea for the Amendment emanated from the Sponsor of the Bill himself and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, never would I try to advise Representative Tuerk what to do or what not to do. I've learned long ago that I cannot implant my pregnant ideas into his mind and I certainly would never try to do that. Although I had made some statements similar to this, I'd like to point out that since that I have talked to quite a few of the people that practice in this particular field. I find out it's more difficult than I realized. The Commission itself has the right to set the rates and I intend leaving it with the Commission to do and I'd appreciate a 'no' vote on this piece of legislation."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm speaking as an attorney. I am willing to be paid only 10% of the

fee anyone who's injured and I think if I am willing and I'm in the field, I certainly think that those people who are not intrepidated with any ideas of unfair play toward labor should support this Amendment."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Well, Mr. Chairman, ah... Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment would violate the prevailing wage law and it should be defeated."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, at the present the Industrial Commission is authorized when there's any questionable fee to look into it and assess it properly. Many of these Workmen's Compensation cases especially in the more serious injuries are cases that are ah... a lawyer must spend many hour with, many times he'll sit with the file and work with it a couple of years and in order to do justice to his client to prove up his case, he has to do investigative work. He has to ah... send to them doctors, he has to have basic knowledge which takes years to acquire. If the fees were cut, many cases would, which maybe should proceeded with would not followed with as much ardor as they are with 20%. In order to balance out the living of an attorney, he needs 20% on these cases and many of them which consumes much time and of which there are serious problems and which are not readily proven up and I think that a cut in attorney's fees to 10%, Rolly, doing this I think would not have a good affect on working men in this State and it wouldn't help you lawyers either."

Madigan: "Is there further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is shall Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 235 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed signify by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it and Amendment #10 is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #11, Walsh."

Madigan: "Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amends Senate Bill 235 on page 20, line 29 by striking 3 and inserting 2 and so forth."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, on Amendment #11."

Walsh: "Speaker, there's some people who want to raise a point of order. I don't want to be..."

Madigan: "I fail to see them, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Oh, am I recognized?"

Madigan: "On Amendment #11."

Walsh: "Okay, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This... now, ah..."

Madigan: "Proceed, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I've been told to wait a minute and I'm going to wait a minute."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, point of order. Now for heaven's sake, if you can't recognize me as big as I am, by God, I'll give you my glasses now. Now, Mr. Speaker, I asked for a Roll Call on the last Amendment. I think it is a very important Amendment. It refers to the very sanctity of our ethics as lawyers, lawyers have been bum rapped long enough. Let's give them a chance to demonstrate that they really feel for the working man. I ask for a Roll Call on Amendment #10. Thank you."

Madigan: "Mrs. Geo-Karis, the order of business is Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 235."

Geo-Karis: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. You didn't recognize me. I was yelling for recognition and you just ignored."

Madigan: "Well, I didn't ignore you. I didn't see you."

Geo-Karis: "... but you ignored me."

Madigan: "I failed to see you. I did not ignore you. I just failed to see you."

Geo-Karis: "I yell loud enough and you can hear me up there."

Madigan: "All right, I've a bad left ear."

Geo-Karis: "All I'm asking is a Roll Call."

Madigan: "Mr. Skinner has deafened my ear drum."

Geo-Karis: "Yeh, well he hasn't deafened mine yet."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill, arise."

Hill: "Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I haven't objected to any Roll Calls. I haven't objected to your verifications, but we

certainly are on a different Amendment. It has been called and I think it would be wrong then to go back to Amendment #10 and I haven't objected to any Roll Calls all through that and I think if you'll talk to Mr. Walsh or Mr. Tuerk, you'll find that I've been more than fair through this whole situation."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, arise?"

Skinner: "Well, obviously having voted on the prevailing side I move to reconsider."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "The Chair, the Chair has previously ruled that when there's an oral Roll Call, there is no prevailing side."

Madigan: "Mr. Berman's point is well taken. And Mr. Skinner is out of order and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, on Amendment #11."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first of all, I don't want this Amendment to be at all in that partisan hassle. Next, Mr. Speaker, we've discussed this matter, the question of changing the statute of limitations from that that exists in the Bill three years to two years just as we attempted to do this on the Occupational Disease Act and let me say, Mr. Speaker, that the best speech for this Amendment was made in opposition to the similar Amendment to Occupational Disease Act because the Gentleman made a distinction between occupational diseases and personal injuries. There can be no reason on earth for extending from one to three years as the Bill does the statute of limitations for injuries received while working. As has been discussed previously, the personal injury statute of limitations is two years now. The relationship between an employer and an employee is such that it's a good deal closer than the typical personal injury case. I see no reason on earth why in the spirit of compromise, the Sponsor can accept this and let this Bill go to Third Reading. Let me say this that even if he does accept it, this is still a pretty bad Bill and I'm not going to vote for it."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the three years is a recommendation

of the National Commission and again I'd like to repeat that. If you want the Federal government to come in here and take over our Workmen's Comp. I think you have that right, but I don't want it and I'd appreciate a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Will the Sponsor of Amendment 11 yield to a question?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor of the Amendment indicates that he will yield."

Skinner: "Will there be a conflict of interest on this Amendment if a lawyer's in the Chair?"

Walsh: "Conflict of interest on this Amendment if a lawyer is in the Chair, was that..."

Skinner: "That was the question."

Walsh: "You mean the Speaker is a lawyer?"

Skinner: "The Speaker, yes."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, arise?"

Lechowicz: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I don't believe that has any relevancy to the Amendment on hand."

Madigan: "The point is well taken. Is there any further discussion of the Amendment? There being no further discussion, the question is shall Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 235 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed signify by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it and Amendment #11 is lost. Are there Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Madigan: "Third Reading. Committee Reports."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which was referred House Bill 892; reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3082. Recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which House Bill 3037 was referred; reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which Senate Bill 734 was referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do not pass. Mr. Maragos from the Committee on Revenue to which Senate

Bill 627 was referred; recommend the Bill be assigned to interim study calendar. Mr. Maragos from the Committee on Revenue to which Senate Bill 452 was referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Maragos from the Committee on Revenue to which Senate Bill 856 was referred; reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Carmisa from the Committee on Transportation to which Senate Bill 827 was referred; reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. DiPrima from the Committee Veterans Affairs Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bill 1171 was referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. DiPrima from the Committee Veterans Affairs Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bill 691 was referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Consent Calendar. Mr. DiPrima, from the Committee on Veterans Affairs Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bill 695 and 818 were referred; recommend the Bills be assigned to interim study calendar. Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which Senate Bills 405, 425, 863, 987, 1001, 1133 and 1371 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which Senate Bill 993 was referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which Senate Bills 463, 1257 and 647 were referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which Senate Bill 1133, 210, 1036, and 1290 were referred; reported the same back the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which Senate Bill 1382 were referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended to pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which Senate



Bill 161 was referred; recommend the Bill be assigned to interim study calendar."

Madigan: "Introduction and First Reading of Bills."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 3082, Committee on Judiciary II. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Madigan: "On the order of House Bills, Third Reading. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell, arise?"

Waddell: "Would somebody help this young lady who ordered these two dinners."

Madigan: "There's a young lady on the Republican side of the aisle with two dinners and she's forgot who ordered them. Try Mr. Walsh. He looks tired. He could use some nourishment. On the order of House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 3057. The and on that ah... Excuse me, that's a mistake. On the order of House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 3053 and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 3053 is ah... substitute Committee Bill for House Bill 666. Representative VanDuyne is handling that Bill and ah... it was his Bill and ah... he has an Amendment he'd like to place on the Bill. I'd like to have leave to call it back to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment."

Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave being granted, House Bill 3053 shall be placed on the order of Second Reading and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, VanDuyne. Amends House Bill 3053 on page 2, line 28 and so forth."

VanDuyne: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is merely a clerical error. It just changes the work County Clerk to read Board of Elections Commissioners and I ah... move for the adoption of the Amendment #1."

Madigan: "The question is shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 3053 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #1 is adopted. Third Reading. On the order of House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 3060. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill



3060 changes the fiscal year 75 appropriation to the Secretary of State adds instead of for phase three for phase two and three why this Bill has to be passed so that the Attorney General in defending a law suit in the Secretary of State can take and deposit with the court 2.2 million dollars and let the court make out payments for law suits that grew out of the reconstruction of the state capitol. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Madigan: "Is there any discussion? There be no discussion, the Clerk will read the Bill for a third time."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3060, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation amending an Act making an appropriation to the Secretary of State, Third Reading of the Bill."

Madigan: "The question is shall House Bill 3060 be passed. All those in favor will vote aye, all those opposed will vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 150 ayes, 6 no's, no voting present. House Bill 3060 having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Ron Hoffman in his chair. Giddy Dyer. Do we wish to call Senate Bill 881?"

Dyer: "Please."

Madigan: "On the order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 881. Ready Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, do you have the Bill?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 881."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the, Senate Bills, Second Reading. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman arise?"

Schlickman: "Somebody is playing games, I saw six up there for the total and now there are there are five lights and there was an inconsistency, but there is now."

Madigan: "You had better go see your eye doctor. Is that all you wanted recognition for? For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Madison arise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, can you indicate to the membership what the your methodology is for the order of call. You seem to be jumping around quite a bit. We are having difficulty following."

Madigan: "Yes, Mr. Madison, we are trying to accommodate the request of Sponsors to place Amendments on Bills."



Madison: "Mr. Speaker, we do have Committee Meetings tonight at least some of us do."

Madigan: "There is only one more Bill, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Thank you."

Madigan: "And now for what purpose does the Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle arise?"

Boyle: "Well, Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of an announcement while we are at ease."

Madigan: "Mr. Boyle we will get to the order of announcements very shortly, we are on the order..."

Boyle: "Well you are standing at ease, nobody is doing anything."

Madigan: "No we are not standing at ease, we are trying to recognize Mrs. Dyer to place an Amendment on a Bill. So the order of business is Senate Bill 881 and Mr. Clerk would you tell me where that Bill is now. Is it on Third Reading or Second Reading? The Clerk informs me that Senate Bill 881 is on the order of Third Reading and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Ron Hoffman. For what purpose does the Lady from DuPage Mrs. Dyer arise?"

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker I rise to explain that I have the permission of the Sponsor Mr. Ron Hoffman to add present this Amendment now since I was off the Floor when this Bill was called."

Madigan: "Fine, on behalf of Representative Ron Hoffman, Representative Dyer requests leave to take Senate Bill 881 back to the order of Second Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave being granted, Senate Bill 881 is hereby placed on the order of Second Reading and the Chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage Mrs. Dyer."

O'Brien: "Amendment #4 amends Senate Bill 881 on page one line one and six and so forth."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 which simply gives counties with a population of 100,000 to a million to do for their forest preserves what we permitted Cook County to do in House Bill 2366 which was passed out of here on an agreed list. I move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Madigan: "Mrs. Dyer moves for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 881. Is there discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Rigney."



Rigney: "I would like to ask the Lady a question if I could."

Dyer: "Yes."

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that she will yield to a question."

Rigney: "What did we do for Cook County. Did we give them some taxing authority without referendum?"

Dyer: "That is correct. We permitted them in House Bill 2366, it was a permissive Bill which would permit the Cook County Forest Preserve Commission for a corporate tax levy for maintenance of the Forest Preserve property without a referendum. Amendment #4 to 881 proposes to permit DuPage County and others who have Forest Preserve Commissions to do the same thing."

Rigney: "How much taxing authority did we give them? How many cents on the hundred?"

Dyer: "All right, in this one in Amendment #4, the one I am proposing. It would propose to permit the elected members of the Forest Preserve Commissions at their discretion to raise their corporate tax levy from .025 to .05."

Rigney: "Well if I may speak briefly on the Bill, Mr. Speaker. This is the type of Bill that we have tended to reject in the Counties and Townships Committee. Any of these Bills that are raising taxes without referendum. I have no strong feelings on this but I thought we should be aware of what we are voting on in this Amendment."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, the previous speaker doesn't have strong feelings on raising taxes without a referendum, I do. I would suggest that this Amendment is unacceptable and could be made acceptable if all of the DuPage County Legislators don't care if their tax rates go up without a referendum by changing it by putting an Amendment saying that Counties of 500,000 people or more with Forest Preserves may raise their taxes without a referendum. I have Kane County in my District and I see no reason what so ever that we should allow the Forest Preserve Districts this type of a tax rate increase without a referendum."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not going to raise the issue of germaneness, but I'm going to say that it is an awfully bad practice to have a Bill go through three readings in the Senate dealing only with the subject



of per diem fees go all the way to Third Reading in the House and then be brought back to Second Reading to put on a tax without a referendum. I would suggest Mr. Speaker, that it is not only not germane, but that it violates the constitutional requirements that a Bill be given three readings in each House and I think the best way of disposing of those two very important issues is to vote no on this Amendment."

Madigan: "Is there further discussion? There being no further discussion the Chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage. For what purpose does the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner arise?"

Skinner: "Well I am tempted to say a point of personal privilege, but I just want to make sure that my vote gets on a light, I mean that my vote gets on a board on a Roll Call and I might ask at this point, maybe four other people would agree with me and if you would agree to take a Roll Call, I would like one."

Madigan: "Mr. Skinner, there is no need for me to agree with you. Under the rules..."

Skinner: "Oh but there is sir, there were four others asking when you ruled a Roll Call previously today."

Madigan: "Mr. Skinner, you were not seen. The Chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage Mrs. Dyer to close the debate."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Particularly responding to Representative Schlickman's remarks, this Amendment went through the due process in this House. It was introduced as House Bill 2062. Mr. Ron Hoffman was a Co-Sponsor of the Bill as was Representative Daniels, Representative Gene Hoffman and Representative Schneider. This Bill went through the Committee process. It came out of Counties and Township Affairs Committee with an overwhelming vote. It got on Third Reading and it was one of the Bills that was put on the agreed list if you will remember I was ill in the last days of the agreed list. When I returned to the House I found that this Bill had been removed. It had got 95 votes as you recall but then it had been removed at the request of some members. Since House Bill 2366 which provided precisely the same privilege for the Cook County Forest Preserve Commission did not get removed from the agreed list I thought it only fair that this concept have another chance. It is with Mr. Ron Hoffman's complete approval that I added this to his Bill. I don't no



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

whether Mr. Garmisa is on the Floor now or not. He also supports the concept of my adding this Amendment at this time. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Schlickman arise?"

Schlickman: "On a point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. When the Sponsor made reference to House Bill 2062 which she said had three readings in this House, she neglected to point out that it was defeated and put on consideration postponed, suggesting what the attitude of this House was previously and I respectfully suggest that the House either dispose of this Amendment as it did House Bill 2062 by a nay vote."

Madigan: "Thank you Mr. Schlickman. The question is shall Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 881 be adopted? All those in favor will signify by voting aye, all those opposed by voting no. The Clerk will take the record. Voting is open. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago Mr. Simms to explain his vote."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my no vote. I think we should all take note that this is a bad precedent to start of giving authority to taxing districts to increase a tax levy without referendum of the people. Most of the time when legislation is introduced such as this it's because the people in local districts have went to the polls and have voted no. They choose not to have their property taxes increased and I feel that it would be imprudent for the Legislature to circumvent the will of the people in these individual areas by giving this authority. And I urge a no vote."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 38 ayes, 67 nos, 5 voting present and Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 881 is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Madigan: "Third Reading. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster arise?"

Deuster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you had a House Bill on Third Reading that we had discussed earlier 3047 in which I would like to return to Second for one Amendment that Representative Tuerk has."

Madigan: "Is that on Third Reading now, Mr. Deuster?"

Deuster: "I'm sorry."



Madigan: "Is it on Third Reading now?"

Deuster: "It is on Third Reading in the House. House Bill 3047, I think you have it up there on your desk."

Madigan: "The order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 3047. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill has to do with due process for the downstate principals. I would ask leave to take it back to Second Reading to consider Amendment #1 that Representative Tuerk has filed."

Madigan: "Is there leave. Leave being granted. House Bill 3047 shall be placed on the order of Second Reading."

O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Tuerk, Amends House Bill 3047 on page 1 by deleting lines 13 through 15 and so forth."

Madigan: "The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Yes, Mr. Tuerk has yielded to me. This is an Amendment that was suggested in the Committee by Representative Tuerk. It has the agreement of the Illinois Principal's Association and the Illinois School Board Association. What it does is the Bill, main Bill provides that before you re-classify a principal they have to give them reasonable advance warning. The original Bill said stating the specific reasons. The phraseology referring to specific reasons has been deleted, so the Bill would now read reasonable advance warning is given in writing of the proposed re-classification. It has the agreement of the principal's and the School Board and I would urge adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3047."

Madigan: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 3047 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying aye. All those opposed by saying no. The ayes have it and Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Madigan: "Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 543. Senate Bill 543, Mr. Clerk, are you ready? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Adams Mr. McClain. Is Mr. McClain in his chair? The Clerk informs me that the Amendment has already been adopted to this Bill, and Senate Bill 543 shall be taken out of the record. On the order of announcements, the Speaker has asked me to announce to the Membership his plans for the remainder of the week, and he informs me



that the House shall convene on Wednesday at 1:30. It shall convene on Thursday at 1:30, and it shall convene on Friday at 11:00 a.m. So our plans for the remainder of the week are that the House shall come in on Wednesday at 1:30, Thursday at 1:30 and Friday at 11:00 a.m. And now we will go to the order of announcements and if all of the Committee Chairmen will prepare their announcements, the Chair will recognize the Gentleman from McClain, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you Mr Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. An announcement on behalf of the Chairman of the Agriculture Committee, the Agriculture Committee will meet Thursday, at 12:30 instead of 2:00 as listed on the calendar, so the Agriculture Committee will meet at 12:30 on Thursday. Thank you."

Madigan: "The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. For the purpose of an announcement the Appropriations I will meet tomorrow morning at 8:00 in Room 114. Thank you."

Madigan: "The chair recognizes the Gentleman from McCoupin, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the purpose of an announcement, Appropriations II will meet tomorrow at 10:00 in Room 118. Appropriations II will meet at 10:00, Room 118."

Madigan: "The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, for purpose of an announcement. Please pay attention.

All the members of the Revenue Committee are going to meet immediately after adjournment. It's going to be only five minutes we have two considerations for subject matters for next week which we have to vote on and we will be in Room 118 as the recess meeting of this morning. Please come early so you can leave right away. Thank you."

Madigan: "The chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "The Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education will meet at 8:15 tonight to complete our business."

Madigan: "The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Fary."

Fary: "Labor and Commerce has been advanced to 8:00 tomorrow morning in D-1 across the street. Labor and Commerce, 8:00 a.m. in lieu of 9:30. Everybody has been notified except one man. Please understand we have 22 Bills to get rid of."

Madigan: "The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Merlo."



Merlo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Insurance Committee will meet on the Floor of the House. I repeat on the Floor of the House, tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook Mr. McLendon."

McLendon: "Mr. Speaker, Personnel and Pensions Committee will meet tomorrow morning, Wednesday, at 9:00 sharp at 122 A. Pensions and Personnel. Thank you very much."

Madigan: "The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Transportation Committee will meet at 8:00 tonight to consider one Bill in Room 122 B. I would appreciate a prompt attendance and the sooner we get over there and consider this Bill, the sooner we will be out."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Beatty arise?"

Beatty: "Point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Is Friday night the 13th the deadline on Senate Bills for getting out of the House? Out of House Committee just. Well Mr. Speaker I have got a Senate Bill 283 and the companion Bill is the Appropriation Bill 284 and they both have been sent to Appropriations. 283 sets up the local tax property tax study. That shouldn't be in Appropriations I don't believe."

Madigan: "Well, Mr. Beatty, I am sure that Mr. Lechowicz will accommodate our schedule. If you two will get together."

Beatty: "No, it is on the schedule but is it necessary that both the Bill and the Appropriation Bill be heard by Appropriations. Shouldn't, 283 already passed out of Revenue. Why isn't that on the calendar. What is it doing in appropriations? I would like to move that Senate Bill 283 be placed on the calendar 2nd Legislative Day on Second Reading. I want some action."

Madigan: "You have all heard the motion. And on the motion, you're going to get a lot of opposition."

Beatty: "Well, is that suppose to be on the Calendar in Appropriations, or is it suppose to be on the Calendar. I withdraw my motion."

Madigan: "Motion is withdrawn, and the chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea for the adjournment Resolution, Joint Session Resolution."

O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 60 resolved by the House of Representatives 79th General Assembly, State of Illinois, Senate Concurring herein, that the two Houses meet in Joint Session in the Hall of the House of



Representatives on Wednesday, June 11, 1975 at the hour of 6:30 p.m. for the purpose of hearing the Governor deliver a message."

Madigan: "The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea to explain the Resolution."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would move for the adoption of the Joint Session Resolution."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller arise?"

Keller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the Sponsor hear a question. Whether we are going to allow TV..."

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield. What is your question?"

Keller: "Jerry are we going to have the TV cameras here tomorrow night and have the wide open session, ho-down or whatever you want to call it?"

Shea: "Mr. Keller, whether there will be cameras in the Chamber of not, will be up to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House."

Keller: "Well the Governor said last night that he had 110 radio stations coming in and I don't know how many TV cameras, and I just wondered where we were going to set."

Shea: "I would presume, Mr. Keller, you're going to sit in the seat that you chose to sit when you became an elected Representative."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins arise?"

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise to speak against the Resolution. I think that this House is to busy to participate in this cheap political maneuver. Thank you Mr. Speaker I'm happy to hear you say that. And I really think, I think that this is such a patently political maneuver that I for one resent it highly. I think many members of the House do. I for one want to be recorded for voting no on this cheap politics and I would ask for a Roll Call."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Gene Hoffman arise."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I take issue with the Sponsor of this Resolution. I don't believe it is up to the Speaker of the House and the President Pro-Tem to decide whether we are going to have television or whether we are going to have anything else in this House. It is up to the Members of the Body to decide and I



resent anyone taking the position that two people can decide what we are going to do and I agree with Representative Collins, if you have had a chance to look at the newspapers today, it is another good example of the General Assembly being used by the Governor of this State. So be my guest, but count me out and I want a Roll Call on this cause I want to vote no."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Hoffman, everything we do down here is done by the will of the Membership under rules and as I understand under the rules, it is given to the two presiding officers."

Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Parliamentarian informs me that this question requires a simple majority to pass and the question is shall House Joint Resolution 60 be passed, be adopted. All those in favor will signify by voting aye, all those opposed by voting no. The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge as many people as I can to vote red on this. All that we are asked to have this Joint Session for is so the Governor can come in and tell us that last March the Comptroller was right."

Madigan: "The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Marian Mr. Friedrich to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "A clarification of your ruling, a majority of those voting, or majority of those elected?"

Madigan: "A majority of those voting. The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Franklin Mr. Hart to explain his vote."

Hart: "I just wanted to add to the words of the Gentleman from Cook Mr. Duff that it was also the words of a State Treasurer, Alan Dixon on the Floor of this House when he made his Inaugral Address I guess you could say after being re-elected and I remember his very words are 'be parsimonious' and he predicted what is happening in the State along with the Comptroller."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff arise?"

Duff: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. You originally said this would take 89 votes to pass and then you said it would take a simple majority of those voting. Now I don't know that we have ever passed a Resolution in this House with less than 89 votes or a Bill and this is a Resolution



not a motion."

Madigan: "Mr. Duff, I never ruled that this Resolution required 89 votes."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you did say that and I commend you to the transcript which is available, Mr. Speaker and in any event, I would like to see where there is a rule that says we can pass a Resolution by 89 votes, Mr. Speaker, would the Parliamentarian please tell us which rule it is? No, he rules a simple majority. I'm asking the Parliamentarian Mr. Speaker to tell us what rule allows us to pass a Resolution by less than 89 votes."

Madigan: "Mr. Duff, if you are raising a point of order."

Duff: "No, parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Madigan: "If you are raising a parliamentary inquiry, please inform the chair as to which rule you are addressing your inquiry."

Duff: "I am by parliamentary inquiry asking the Parliamentarian to tell us under which rule we can pass a Resolution by less than 89 votes."

Madigan: "The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, you ruled that it takes a simple majority to pass this. Now if the Gentleman has got a rule where he says it takes more than a simple majority, would he point it out."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, I am looking at rule 41 A, which says all Resolutions except those concerning deaths, congratulations, adjournment, and joint rules or house rules shall be introduced as original and four copies all such Resolutions shall be read once and assigned to a Committee. Now does this go to a Committee. Are we by-passing Committee and suspending that rule? And so do it. And if so it takes 107 votes according to my rule book."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 71 ayes, 27 no's, 13 voting present and House Joint Resolution 60 is hereby adopted. The chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I'm alright Mike, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand adjourned until 1:30 tomorrow afternoon."

Madigan: "You have heard the motion, all those in favor signify by saying aye, opposed no, the ayes have it and the House is hereby adjourned."



INDEX
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JUNE 10, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
1	2:00	Speaker Redmond	House come to order.
		Rev. Krueger	Prayer.
		Speaker Redmond	Roll Call for attendance.
		Washburn	Ebbesen absent.
		Speaker Redmond	Consent calender.
	2:03	Jack O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	
2	2:04	Jack O'Brien	Messages from Senate.
	2:05	Speaker Redmond	Second Reading.
	2:06	Jack O'Brien	SB 188, 2nd reading, no
		Speaker Redmond	Committee Ammendment.
			Third Reading.
3		Speaker Redmond	Fiscal note requested.
	2:08	Hill	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 254, 2nd Reading, no
			Committee Ammendment.
3		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 301, 2nd Reading, no
			Committee Ammendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
4	2:09	Jack O'Brien	SB 364, 2nd reading, no
		Speaker Redmond	Committee Ammendment.
			Third Reading.
	2:10	Hart	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 355, 2nd Reading, no
			Committee Ammendment.
		Speaker Redmond	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
4	2:10	Jack O'Brien	Floor Ammendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	
	Hart	Ammendment #1.	
	Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #1, Adopted, Third Reading.	
5	2:11	Jack O'Brien	SB 427, 2nd Reading, no Committee Ammendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
	2:12	Jack O'Brien	SB 428, 2nd Reading, no Committee Ammendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
6	2:13	Jack O'Brien	SB 694, 2nd Reading, no Committee Ammendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
	Schlickman		
	Jack O'Brien	SB 716, 2nd ^A Reading, no Committee Ammendment.	
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.	
	Jack O'Brien	SB 731, 2nd Reading, no Committee Ammendment.	
6	2:15	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 772, 2nd Reading, no Committee Ammendment.
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.	
	Jack O'Brien	SB 773, 2nd Reading, no Committee Ammendment.	
6	2:15	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 785, 2nd Reading, no Committee Ammendment.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
6	2:15	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 786, 2nd Reading, no Committee Ammendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
	2:16	Jack O'Brien	SB 820, 2nd Reading, four Committee Ammendments.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Williams	Ammendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #1 Adopted.
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Williams	Ammendment #2.
7	2:17	Speaker Redmond	
		Caldwell	"No".
		Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #2 Adopted.
	2:18	Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #3.
		Williams	
		Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #3, Adopted.
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #4.
		Williams	
		Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #4, Adopted, 3rd Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 824, 2nd Reading, one Committee Ammendment.
8	2:19	Speaker Redmond	Table Ammendment #1.
	2:20	Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #1, Tabled, Third Reading.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
8	2:20	Jack O'Brien	SB 543, 2nd Reading, Committee Ammendment.
		Speaker Redmond	
		McClain	Ammendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #1, Adopted.
	2:21	Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #2.
9		McClain	
		Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #2, Adopted, Third Reading.
		Schlickman	Has fiscal note been filed?
		Speaker Redmond	
	2:22	Jack O'Brien	SB 874, 2nd Reading, no Committee Ammendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
	2:23	Jack O'Brien	SB 881, 2nd Reading, one Committee Ammendment.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Hoffman, Ron	Two Committee Ammendment, Ammendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #1, Adopted.
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #2.
10		Hoffman, Ron	
	2:24	Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #2, Adopted.
		Jack O'Brien	Floor Ammendment #3.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Daniels	Ammendment #3.
		Speaker Redmond	No pictures.
		Giorgi.) Daniels)	Sponsor yield?
	2:25	Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #3, Adopted.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
11	2:25	Jack O'Brien Speaker Redmond Hoffman	Ammendment #4.
	2:26	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
	2:27	Jack O'Brien Speaker Redmond Hart	SB 910, 2nd Reading, one Committee Ammendment. Ammendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #1, Adopted, Third Reading.
	2:28	Speaker Redmond Schlickman	Third Reading.
12		Speaker Redmond Berman	Leave it on 2nd (SB 957).
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1109, 2nd Reading, no Committee Ammendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
	2:29	Jack O'Brien Speaker Redmond McCourt	SB 1118, 2nd Reading, 1 Floor & no Committee Ammendments. Ammendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	
13	2:30	Schlickman) Speaker) McCourt)	Question.
		Schlickman) McCourt)	Raise question of germaneness.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
13	2:31	Speaker Redmond Katz	
	2:32	McCourt	
14		Speaker Redmond	Appears to be germane.
	2:33	Caldwell Schlickman	
	2:34	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1, Adopted.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1131, 2nd Reading, no Committee Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1175, 2nd Reading, no Committee Amendment.
15		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1180, 2nd Reading, no Committee Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
	2:35	Jack O'Brien	SB 1380, 2nd Reading, no Committee Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1465, 2nd Reading, no Committee Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading, SB 184.
	2:37	Ebbesen	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Leave to return 208 to 2nd for Amendment.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
15	2:37	Speaker Redmond	Leave.
16		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	
	2:38	Schlickman	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1, Adopted.
	2:39	Schlickman	
		Speaker Redmond	
17	2:40	Barnes, E.M.	
		Schlickman	Amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	
	2:41	Barnes, E.M.	
		?	
18	2:43	Schlickman	
	2:44	Kozubowski	
		Speaker Redmond	
	2:45	Kozubowski	Speaks against Bill.
19		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Redmond	
	2:46	Kosinski	Speaks for Amendment.
20		Peters	Move previous question.
		Speaker Redmond	
	2:48	Downs	
21		Speaker Redmond	
	2:50	Borchers	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
21	2:50	Speaker Redmond Byers	Move previous question.
		Speaker Redmond	
	2:52	Choate	Explain my vote at proper time.
		Speaker Redmond Lechowicz	
		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries.
		Schlickman	To close.
22		Kosinski	Point of order.
		Speaker Redmond	Ammendment #1.
	2:54	Choate	
23		Speaker Redmond	
	2:55	McAuliffe	
		Speaker Redmond	
	2:57	Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Choate	Point of personal privilege.
		Speaker Redmond	
	2:58	Schlickman	
24		Speaker Redmond	
	2:59	Friedrich	
		Speaker Redmond	Motion is lost.
		Washburn	Introduced Jim Peterson.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading, SB 208.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTIONS INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
24	3:00	Neff Houlihan	Recess for one hour.
25		Leon	
		Speaker Redmond	Committee Reports.
	3:05	Jack O'Brien	Committee Reports.
26		Speaker Redmond	
		Hill	Return SB 234 to 2nd.
27	4:03	Molloy	SB 522 back to 2nd.
		Speaker Redmond	Leave.
		Selcke	Amendment #2.
	4:05	Molloy	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madison)	Yield.
)	
		Molloy)	
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted, Third Reading.
28	4:06	Selcke	SB 965.
		Schlickman	Leave to return to 2nd for Amendment.
	4:07	Selcke	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	
	4:08	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted, Third Reading.
		Selcke	SB 1139.
		Giorgi	
29		Mahar	Hold it.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
29	4:08	Selcke	SB 1260.
	4:09	Giorgi	Take back to 2nd.
		Kozubowski	
		Selcke	Amendment #2.
	4:10	Giorgi	Amendment #2 adopted, Third Reading.
		Giorgi	SB 1395.
		Selcke	Amendment #1.
		Giorgi	
		Garmisa	Amendment #1.
		Giorgi	Amendment adopted, Third Reading.
30		Hart	Return SB 155 to 2nd.
	4:12	Giorgi	Leave.
		Hart)	Choate would like to re- consider vote of Amend- ment #1.
)	
		Giorgi)	
		Schlickman	
	4:13	Hart	
31		Giorgi	
	4:14	McMaster	
		Giorgi	
		Choate	Move to reconsider vote.
		Giorgi)	Motion carries.
)	
		Hart)	
32		Giorgi	Motion to adopt Amendment #1.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
32	4:14	McMaster	
		Giorgi	
	4:17	Madison	
		Giorgi	
	4:18	McMaster	Explain Ammendment.
33		Giorgi	
		Hart	
		Giorgi	
	4:19	Kent	Explain Ammendment.
		Giorgi	
	4:20	Hart	Against.
34	4:23	Giorgi	
		McClain	Support.
		Giorgi	
	4:24	Choate	Against.
		Giorgi	
	4:25	McMaster	To Close.
35	4:27	Giorgi	
		Kent	Explain my vote.
		Giorgi	
	4:28	Hart	
37		Giorgi	
		Kent	Requests verification.
	4:31	Giorgi	Take the record.
		Hart	
		Giorgi	Poll the absentees.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
37	4:32	Selcke Giorgi Beaupre Giorgi	Vote aye.
38	4:33	Fary Giorgi	No.
	4:34	Selcke) Giorgi) Selcke Giorgi	Polls Absentees. Williams votes no. - Verification of affirmative votes.
	4:35	Choate Giorgi	
	4:37	Selcke Giorgi	Continues roll call.
39	4:39	Washburn	Representatives in Speaker's Office for verification.
	4:40	Choate Giorgi) Selcke) Giorgi)	
41	4:43	Collins)	Votes aye.
42		Giorgi) Selcke) Kent)	Sixty-eight affirmative.
	4:46	Choate	Point of order.
	4:47	Selcke	Negative roll call.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
42	4:47	Giorgi	Vote Skinner aye.
43	4:49	Marovitz	Vote no.
		Giorgi	
		Griesheimer	
		Selcke	
	4:50	Choate	Point of order.
	4:52	Giorgi	
		Hoffman)	
44) Madison)	
) Giorgi)	
		Hart	
	4:53	Selcke	Negative roll call.
		Giorgi	
	4:55	Leverenz	Leave to attend meeting.
45		Giorgi	
		Waddell	Vote me aye.
48	5:05	Giorgi	Ammendment is lost.
		Giorgi	SB 1139, to 2nd for ammendment.
		Selcke	Ammendment #1.
		Giorgi	
		Skinner	
		Giorgi	Ammendment #1, Adopted.
	5:08	Giorgi	HB 3057, to 2nd for ammendment.
49	5:09	Selcke	Ammendment #1.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
49	5:09	Fennessey	
		Giorgi	Ammendment #1 adopted, Third reading.
	Selcke	SB 543.	
	5:10	Londrigan	Leave to move to 2nd for Ammendment.
Selcke		Ammendment #1.	
50	5:11	Londrigan	
		Giorgi	Ammendment adopted.
		Giorgi	HB 783, move to 2nd for ammendment.
	5:12	Selcke	Ammendment #2.
		Giorgi	
5:13	Calvo		
	Giorgi		
51	5:13	Ryan	Yield?
		Calvo	
	5:14	Giorgi	
52	5:14	Schlickman	
		Selcke	SB 234, Ammendment #1.
	Giorgi		
	Deavers	Ammendment #1.	
5:15	Giorgi		
	Hill	Opposes Ammendment #1.	
	Giorgi	Roll call vote, ammendment #1, Lost.	
		Selcke	Ammendment #2 read.
		Giorgi	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
52	5:15	Schlickman	Explains Ammendment #2.
		Giorgi	
		Mugalian	Question of sponsor.
		Giorgi	Proceed.
53		Mugalian	
		Giorgi	
		Schlickman	Repeat please.
		Mugalian	Re-states question, "procedural".
		Giorgi	
		Hill	Explanation correct.
		Giorgi	
		Schlickman	SB234, Ammendment #2 Discussion.
		Giorgi	Roll call vote.
54		Tuerk	Explain vote.
		Giorgi	Ammendment #2 lost.
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #3 read.
		Giorgi	
		Walsh	Explains Ammendment #3, Sponsor.
		Giorgi	
		Hill	Parliamentary inquiry, Ammendment #3, out of order.
		Giorgi	At ease for few moments, Ammendment #3 tabled.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
54	5:15	Jack O'Brien Giorgi	Ammendment #4.
	5:28	Tuerk	
55		Giorgi	
	5:29	Hill Giorgi	
	5:30	Tuerk	
56	5:31	Giorgi	Ammendment #4.
	5:32	Hill Giorgi Geo-Karis Giorgi	- Explain vote.
	5:33	Tuerk Lauer Giorgi	Verification at proper time.
	5:34	Jack O'Brien Giorgi	Poll absentees.
57	5:35	Dyer) Jack O'Brien) Giorgi)	
	5:37	Jack O'Brien Giorgi	Affirmative roll call.
	5:42	Hill) Giorgi) Jack O'Brien)	Questions of affirmative Roll Call.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
58	5:44	Schraeder Giorgi Beatty	Vote me no.
59	5:47	Jack O'Brien	Regular roll call.
60		Giorgi	
	5:53	Walsh Giorgi	
63	6:02	Walsh Hill Giorgi	
	6:03	Walsh Hill Giorgi	
64	6:05	Geo-Karis Giorgi Hill	
	6:07	Walsh	
65	6:08	Giorgi Beatty Giorgi	Amendment #5, SB 234. Explain vote.
	6:09	Mugalian	
66		Madigan Jack O'Brien	In chair, Amendment #5 lost, Third reading. SB 235, 2nd Reading, no Committee Amendment, one Floor.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
66	6:10	Madigan	
	6:11	Schlickman	Ammendment #1.
67		Madigan	
	6:12	Hill)	
)	
	6:14	Mugalian)	
)	
		Madigan)	Ammendment #1 lost.
68		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #2.
		Madigan	
	6:15	Tuerk	
		Madigan	
	6:16	Hill	
		Tipsword	
69		Madigan	Ammendment #2 lost.
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #3.
		Madigan	SB 235.
	6:19	Hudson	Explains Ammendment #3.
70		Madigan	Introduction of former Representative.
		Hill	Speaks on Ammendment #3, Opposes it.
		Madigan	
		Mugalian	Will sponsor yield?
		Madigan	Indicates he will.
		Mugalian	Question put.
		Hill	
		Mugalian	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
70	6:19	Hill	
		Madigan	Ammendment #3 lost.
71		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #4 read.
		Madigan	
		Hudson	Explains Ammendment #4, Sponsor.
72		Madigan	
		Hill	
		Madigan	Ammendment #4 lost.
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #5.
		Madigan	
		Walsh	Move to table Ammendment #5.
		Madigan	Tabled.
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #6.
		Madigan	
		Tuerk	Explains Ammendment #6, Sponsor.
73		Madigan	
		Hill	Urges a no vote.
		Madigan	
		Tuerk	
		Madigan	Ammendment #6 lost.
74		Tuerk	Request roll call.
		Madigan	Roll Call vote.
		Tipsword	Explain vote.
		Madigan	Ammendment #6 lost.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
74	6:19	Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #7 read.
		Madigan	
		Schlickman	Ammendment #7 explained.
		Madigan	
		Hill	No vote.
		Madigan	Ammendment #7 lost.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 235, Ammendment #8 Read.
		Madigan	
		Ryan	Explains Ammendment #8, Sponsor.
		75	
Hill			
Madigan			
Ryan			
Madigan			
Geo-Karis	Speaks on the Ammendment.		
Madigan			
76		Ryan	To close.
		Madigan	Ammendment #8 lost.
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #9 read.
		Madigan	
		Tuerk	Explains Ammendment #9, Sponsor
77		Madigan	
		Hill	
		Madigan	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
77	6:19	Hudson	
78		Madigan	SB 235, Ammendment #9.
		Mugalian	
79		Madigan	Roll call vote, Ammendment #9 lost.
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #10.
		Madigan	
		Tuerk	Explains Ammendment #10, Sponsor.
		Madigan	
		Hill	Urge no vote.
		Madigan	
		Geo-Karis	Supports Ammendment #10.
80		Madigan	
		Harf	Defeat this Ammendment #10.
		Madigan	
		Beatty	
		Madigan	Vote, Ammendment #10 lost.
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #11 read.
		Madigan	
81		Walsh	
		Madigan	
		Walsh	SB 235.
		Geo-Karis	Point of order-roll call vote.
		Madigan	
		Geo-Karis)	
)	
		Madigan)	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
81	6:19	Hill	Point of order.
82		Madigan	
		Skinner	Move to reconsider.
		Madigan	
		Berman	No prevailing side.
		Madigan	Skinner out of order.
		Walsh	Explains Ammendment #11, Sponsor.
		Madigan	
		Hill	Urges no vote.
83		Madigan	
		Skinner	
		Madigan	
		Skinner	
		Walsh	
		Madigan	SB 235.
		Lechowicz	Point of order.
		Madigan	Ammendment #11 lost, 3rd Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	
		Madigan	Calls for Committee reports.
		Jack O'Brien	Committee reports.
85		Madigan	
		Jack O'Brien	Introduction & 1st reading.
		Madigan	
		Waddell	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
85	6:19	Madigan	HB 3053, 3rd reading.
		Fennessey	Leave to return to 2nd for Ammendment #1, Explains the bill.
		Madigan	
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #1
		VanDuyne	Explains Ammendment #1.
		Madigan	Ammendment #1 adopted.
		Shea	HB 3060, explains the bill, Sponsor.
86		Madigan	
		Jack O'Brien	Third reading of the Bill #3060.
		Madigan	Vote-passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 881-Senate Bills, 2nd Reading.
		Madigan	
		Jack O'Brien	Gone to third.
		Schlickman	
		Madigan	
		Madison	
		Madigan	
		Madison	
87		Madigan	
		Boyle	
		Madigan	
		Boyle	
		Madigan	SB 881.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
87	6:19	Dyer	Present Ammendment
		Madigan	Leave to return to 2nd for Ammendment, leave granted.
		Jack O'Brien	Ammendment #4 read.
		Dyer	Explains Ammendment #4.
88		Madigan	
		Rigney	Question.
		Madigan	Yields.
		Rigney	Question put.
		Dyer	Response & discussion.
		Rigney	How much per dollar?
		Dyer	From .025 to .05.
		Rigney	Speaks to the Ammendment.
		Madigan	
		Skinner	Opposes the Ammendment.
89		Madigan	
		Schlickman	Vote no.
		Madigan	
		Skinner	Point of personal privilege & Requests roll call.
		Madigan	
		Skinner	
90		Madigan	"You were not seen."
		Dyer	To close.
		Madigan	
		Schlickman	Point of personal privilege.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>		
90	6:19	Madigan	Vote on Amendment #4.		
		Simms	SB 881, Explains no vote.		
		Madigan	Take the record, Amendment #4 lost.		
		Deuster			
		Madigan			
		Deuster	Leave to return HB 3047 to 2nd for Amendment.		
		91		Madigan	HB 3047.
				Deuster	HB 3047.
				Madigan	
				Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1 read.
	Madigan				
	Deuster		Explains Amendment #1.		
	Madigan		Vote-Amendment #1 adopted, Third.		
	Madigan		Senate Bills, 3rd reading, Taken out of record.		
92		Madigan	Announcements.		
		Bradley	Announcement.		
		Madigan			
		Lechowicz	Announcement.		
		Madigan			
		Boyle	Announcement.		
		Madigan			
		Maragos	Announcement.		
	Madigan				

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
92	6:19	Schneider	Announcement.
		Madigan	
		Fary	Announcement.
		Madigan	
93		Merlo	Announcement.
		Madigan	
		McLendon	Announcement.
		Madigan	
		Garmisa	Announcement.
		Madigan	
		Beatty	Point of inquiry.
		Madigan	
		Beatty	
		Madigan	
		Beatty	Motion.
		Madigan	
		Beatty	Withdraws motion.
		Madigan	
		Jack O'Brien	Joint Session Resolution.
94		Madigan	
		Shea	
		Madigan	
		Keller	Question.
		Madigan	Yields.
		Keller	Question put.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
94	6:19	Shea	
		Keller	
		Shea	
		Madigan	
		Collins	Speaks against Resolution, asks for Roll Call.
		Madigan	
		Hoffman, G.	Takes issue with Sponsor.
95		Madigan	
		Shea	
		Madigan	Simple majority-vote.
		Duff	Vote red.
		Madigan	
		Friedrich	Clarification.
		Madigan	
		Hart	Explains vote.
		Madigan	
		Duff	Parliamentary inquiry.
96		Madigan	
		Duff)	
		Madigan)	
		Shea	Chair has ruled.
		Madigan	
		Fleck	Rule 41-A.
		Madigan	Resolution adopted.
		Shea	Move to adjourn.
		Madigan	House adjourned.